

53/1897

Quebec, 13th April, 1897.

The Honourable

Sir Oliver Mowat, K.C.M.G.

Minister of Justice,

&c., &c., &c.

Sir:-

In pursuance of a Commission under the Great Seal of Canada, bearing date the second day of March last, appointing the undersigned Commissioners to investigate "into the nature and extent of the losses incurred by citizens of the city of Quebec, in consequence of the landslide that occurred thereon in September 1896, the number of persons killed and injured, their age and condition, any special circumstances connected therewith, and further, in what proportions or otherwise, any sum which Parliament may vote for the relief of the sufferers should be expended, and in what manner the appropriation should be divided and applied, and in what sums and to whom respectively the same should be paid," we have the honor to report as follows:-

Upon receipt of the Commission by notices published in several newspapers of the city, for a week, we asked all persons who had suffered by, or had claims resulting from the landslide, to come forward and file such claims with vouchers, on or before the 22nd ultmo, and on that day, we began the investigation, in the Admiralty room in the Court House here, which was placed at our disposal by the courtesy of the Attorney general of Quebec, and continued our sittings from day to day till all persons who preferred claims had been heard. We examined 77 witnesses, among them being the

Reverend J. McCarthy, C. S.S., R.C., one of the Priests of St. Patrick's, in whose special charge were many of the sufferers and the Reverend D. Tait, Minister of Chalmers' Church, from both of whom we obtained valuable information. We subjoin to this report brief notes of the evidence. We may here add that we made independent enquiry on our part, at the Registry Office, at the Assessor's office of the City Corporation, from the proof taken at the Inquest and from all other sources calculated in our judgment to help us to a fair conclusion, and that this the result arrived at is not to be considered as purely drawn from the original testimony.

The calamity in question, - unfortunately not the first of the kind with which Quebec has been visited during the past sixty years, - occurred at about 7 o'clock of the evening of the 19th. of September, 1889. For some years fissures, almost imperceptible, and gradually widening, had made their appearance in the cliff, the property of the Crown, adjacent to the North East of what is known as the King's Bastion, but considerably below it; and upon representations of possible danger measures were taken by the Militia Department, - inadequate as the result proved - to prevent further disintegration of the rock. Under the original titles, as far as we can learn, the properties of the riparian owners extended to the Cime du Cap, but as before the accident the Crown had acquired all private rights on the side of Champlain Street, remote from the river, it is not disputed that the disaster was occasioned by the fall of the Crown property under the control of the Crown. No notice distinctly warning of possible danger appears to have been given.

On the river side of Champlain Street, immediately below the dangerous cliff, but on the opposite side, were the following buildings: a tenement house belonging to Mrs. Daniel

two tenements belonging to Mr. J.W. Henry - one vacant - the other occupied having in rear a foundry with its appurtenances - a tenement the property of the Lawson family, and two others the property of Mr. T. Berrigan and Mr. McCabe. All these buildings were solidly constructed of stone and brick, and were occupied at the date of the accident by a number of families; - the total number of persons living in them being probably between 150 and 175. The houses were occupied for the most part by the owner and employees of the foundry, wharfingers and caretakers, and by ship-laborers of the better class; and as their situation was a convenient one, rooms in them were in constant demand, and the average rent obtained was large, as compared with the prices for which the properties were acquired. The tenants were with hardly any exception, industrious and well behaved, and their lodgings were comfortably furnished as a rule. From the evidence it does not appear that there was in any case insurance upon life, and in hardly any, though the average wages were good had any savings to speak of and put aside.

On the evening of the 18th. of September the people were mostly in their houses, as work was over for the day, and the weather was extremely wet, the rain falling in torrents as it had done for several hours. At about 7 o'clock, without the slightest warning, a large portion of the cliff toppled over, and totally destroyed in its fall the Bradley, Lawson, Berrigan, and Henry Houses, with the foundry and partially injured and in the result rendered valueless the McCabe house on the extreme West. By this disaster, no less than 47 persons were either killed on the spot or died shortly after extrication from injuries received, and 84 were more or less severely hurt. It is not necessary to repeat in this report, after so many years, the horrors of the scene, but it is proper

to state that the shock in several instances was too great for the reason, and that several of the sufferers subsequently died insane, and this is not to be wondered at, when it is borne in mind that many were buried as it were alive for hours - some for nearly two days, undergoing not only physical suffering of the severest character, but the agony of knowing or fearing the fate of those dearest to them. One case of heroism among many may be mentioned. Patrick Fitzgerald and his wife were covered by the ruins of their lodging and the falling stones. Neither was able to move. The wood-work took fire and burned the husband severely. Upon his position being ascertained, and efforts made to extinguish the fire he had the presence of mind to see that the accumulation of water was about to drown his wife, who had been thrown on a lower level, and he had the courage to urge the rescuing steamer and others to stop the play of the water, to allow him to burn, and to save his wife. Both were rescued, losing two children, but Fitzgerald died subsequently of his injuries.

We subjoin an extract from the Quebec Morning Chronicle of the day following the accident, which gives a fairly accurate statement, so far as then known.

The names, and as far as can be ascertained, the ages of the killed and injured are as follows:-

K I L L E D.

	Aged about	28 years	1
Mrs. Robert Lawson	"	"	1
Robert Lawson's child	"	2 "	1
Mr. Richard Mayberry	"	66 "	1
Mrs. Richard Mayberry	"	62 "	1
Richard Mayberry's son	"	"	1
George Miller	"	18 "	1
John Ready (died 2 & mor after)	"	65 "	1
Mrs. Martin Ready	"	28 "	1
Miss Margaret Walsh	"	36 "	1
Miss Nora Kennedy	"	14 "	1
Mrs. James Bradley	"	90 "	1
Jas. Bradley's 4 children	"	8 mos. to 6 yrs.	4
Thomas Nolan	"	27 "	1
Mrs. Thomas Nolan	"	25 "	1

Thomas Farrell	Aged about 87 years.	1
Thomas Farrell's 3 chil.	4-1/2, 5 & 8 yrs.	3
P. Fitzgerald (died 2 yrs after)		1
P. Fitzgerald's 2 chil.		2
Mrs. John O. Dowd	68	1
Mrs. Chas. Allan	59	1
Mr. Chas. Allan	66	1
Miss Catherine Allan	18	1
Timothy Berrigan	80	1
Dennis Berrigan (son)	38	1
Michael Beehey	65 or 80	1
Mrs. Stephen Burke	30	1
Stephen Burge's 2 chil.	6 mos. & 2 yrs.	2
John Henry	70	1
Mrs. J. Henry	69	1
Henry Black	64	1
Henry Black's 2 children	17 & 10 yrs.	2
Mrs. Marshall	60	1
Richard Leahy	39	1
Mrs. Richard Leahy	37	1
John Kamp	63	1
Mrs. John Kamp	60	1
Mrs. Blackon	63	1
Mrs. O. McElroy	60	1
Thomas Popherton	14	1
	Total	47

Injured.

Thos. Berrigan's two sons (Log broken of one only)		1
James Heydon & 2 children		3
John Hamilton	severely injured	1
Mr. Thos. Graham, ex.	Injured and died 1 year after	2
Mrs. P. Fitzgerald	Injured and still bears marks	2
William J. Power	Injured - died 6 years after	2
Mrs. William Stevens also three children, aged 7 years, 6 years and one 8 months, under rock for several hours, one child a permanent cripple		4
William Stevens became insane from effects of slide - died in Beauport Asylum, about 4 yrs after - aged 34 years.		1
J.P. Miller became		
Mr. & Mrs. John O'Neill	Injured and under rock	1
Mrs. Douglass	Injured - 3 mos. in hospital	1
Stephen Burke	Mother & child under rock rock for 6 hours - 8 weeks in hospital.	3
Mrs. Black, Miss Black and Miss Caldwell	Injured	3
Henry Mullins	Under rock for several hours	1
Mrs. O'Farrell	Badly injured	1
	Total	24

In answer to the advertisements, 81 claims were
filed in writing, consisting of statutory declarations of loss,
accompanied in some instances with particulars, but for the

most part drawn in very general terms. These were prepared in the month of October following the accident, with a view to eventual legal proceedings against the Crown. A large majority of the claimants were represented by Mr. A. Robertson, Advocate, of Quebec, others by Mr. Dunbar, Q.C., Mr. Taschereau and Mr. Lavery, while a few were not represented. The aggregate amount demanded was \$278,209.62. The claims were of 5 kinds.

1.	Claims for loss of life and for personal injury	\$1,80,500.00
2.	Claims for proprietors for damage to real property	80,585.00
3.	Claims for personal effects destroyed or damaged - and for collateral losses caused by the accident	41,198.38
4.	Claims for tools supplied to the survivors for requirements to them and to the wounded, and for clothing and other necessaries supplied to the survivors	607.50
5.	Claims for consequential damage to the business and property of certain firms holding wharf and other property under lease or otherwise in the vicinity	9,333.00
		<u>\$278,209.62</u>

Of course in many instances the claimants stand on more than one of these grounds of loss, when they occur in his case. We return with our report the claims filed with us, accompanied by a list showing the amount of the original demand in each instance.

Upon opening the investigation we were careful to state to the claimants and their representatives the principles

upon which we conceived we were bound to proceed in framing any recommendation to the Government. We pointed out that not only were all claims barred by lapse of time, but that under the formal decision of the Supreme Court in the case of the City of Quebec vs the Queen (24 S.C.C.R. p 481), the Crown was held neither in fault nor responsible (two members of the court dissenting); and that thus any relief which Parliament might be disposed to grant could not be viewed as an admission of a liability which did not exist and could only be reasonably considered as a grant in relief, and be claimed by those in necessitous circumstances. Upon this representation several claims were withdrawn - among them that of the City of Quebec for a large amount.

There are seven claims which on this principle are inadmissible. They are those of the Estate J.W. Henry (No. 63) \$16,000; W. & G. Jalbert (No. 68) \$30,000, subsequently reduced by them to \$1,800.00; Hadden & Willis (No. 26) \$4,000; R. Dorland & Co. (No. 2) \$200.00; T.H. Twaddle & Co. (No. 46) \$1,695.00; G.M. Webster & Co. (No. 48) \$480.00 and Orlando Gisacoro (No. 60) \$3,060.00. Herd all the claimants, with the exception of the latter are in prosperous circumstances, and we do not feel justified in recommending them for relief. But if a contrary view is taken, we have no hesitation in stating that with the exception of Mr. Dorland's the claims are largely exaggerated and the attempts to support them were of a most unsatisfactory character. With the exception of the Henry claim for the destruction of property worth probably about \$4,000.00 reference to the claims will show that they are mainly for consequential damage, for which probably there would be no remedy at law, even were liability established.

With respect to the claim of Edward Larose (No. 74) for \$1,022.00, it is not connected with the Landslide and therefore does not fall under the investigation. Larose, it appears,

-8-

was working under contract with the Militia department about two or three hundred yards to the eastward of the place where the rock fell, and the heavy floods of September appear to have injured his works and occasion him considerable expense and delay for which he has received no compensation.

CLAIMS FOR LOSS OF LIFE AND INJURY.

In all 33 claims were submitted to us asking compensation for loss of life or personal injuries. By our law the remedy of the surviving relative in case of death is limited to the husband or wife, as the case may be, and to the children and parents of the deceased. This excludes from consideration a certain number of claims. Further, though a technical right of action may exist, damages, to any considerable extent are only allowed when the survivor sustained actual pecuniary loss through the death, as in the case of children deprived of their parents support and care, or aged parents deprived of the support of their children. This again excludes from compensation to any very large extent a number of claims. There are others which we deem it our duty to submit for favorable consideration. Evidently the cases of widows deprived at once of their husbands and children are the strongest. We shall mention several.

MRS. BERRIGAN. - Here the husband and son were both killed and the family house totally destroyed with all its contents. Mrs. Berrigan is now living with a son-in-law, and is entitled to look for support to another son who escaped. She has a further claim for property destroyed.

MRS. BLACK. - This is a specially painful case. By the accident Mrs. Black lost her husband, a man of 54, two sons aged respectively 17 and 19, the older a student of pharmacy, and was injured herself to such an extent as to be unfit for work for life. Her daughter and only surviving child had one of her feet so distorted as to cripple her, and naturally

to be an obstacle to her settlement in life. The family were fairly well off. Black doing a good business as a founder and Mrs. Black contributing to the support of her family by keeping a shop. They were probably worth \$7,000 or \$8,000. at the time of the accident, but ever since she and her daughter have been supported by the joint charity of the Church to which she belongs and the St. Andrew's and Irish Protestant Benevolent Societies. We refer to the evidence of the Reverend J. McCarthy and Reverend D. Tait on this case.

MRS. FITZGERALD. - Here the husband was seriously injured, death resulting some two years afterwards. Mrs. Fitzgerald has 6 children, several of whom are of an age to contribute to her support.

MRS. T' O'FARRELL. - This person lost her husband and three children, being left with only one son, a boy of about 10 at present. She remarried two years ago, previous to which she was in poor circumstances. She was herself badly injured.

MRS. W.J. POWER. - Her husband was so badly injured that he was unfit for work, and died some three or four years ago, leaving her in poverty with several children dependent upon her. Some of them are now old enough to help her.

MRS. STEPHENS. - Here the husband died many years after the accident, from its results we were informed. She has two children, one of them permanently crippled. The Reverend Mr. McCarthy represents this as a deserving case. She herself is in very poor health and circumstances.

MRS. J.P. MILLER. - Here the husband, though not in the accident, lost a son by it and practically all his relatives in this country. This produced such an effect upon his mind that he ultimately died insane. The son was by a previous marriage.

LORENZ, DALE AND MARY - The wife and two children were killed in an accident at the home of the husband, who was working on a bridge. The wife and one child were buried in the same grave.

KELLY, MARY & MORTON - The wife and son were killed in an accident at the home of the husband, who was working on a bridge. They had a daughter, 11 years old, who died in the cabin of a steamer about 1000 miles away from home.

MICHAEL AND ETHELINE VOLAK - Have a son and daughter. An son was killed, and the claimants are in poor circumstances and bad health. The son was 27 years of age when killed, and was a master mechanic and foreman of Mr. Black's foundry, earning good wages all the year round and contributing towards the support of his parents.

MICHAEL AND EILEEN DRAKES - These claimants lost their father, who was their only support. According to the evidence, they are of a weak order of intellect, in poor circumstances. One of the claimants had her leg broken by the accident.

JAMES BRADLEY - He lost his wife and all his family.

IDA CALDWELL - She was severely injured and still feels the effects - is unable to work much, and walks with some difficulty.

STEPHEN BURKE - ROBERT LAWSON - MARTIN HEADEY - In each of these cases, the wife was killed, leaving in each case one young child, a fair subject for relief.

As the other claims for compensation for loss of life, though deserving of all sympathy, do not involve pecuniary loss - the deaths claimed for being those of parents who were unable to support their children. We do not consider them in the same light as the former cases.

desired by Sir John Thompson, the responsibility of the Government, we think the expenses might properly be returned. It is true that the tenements in question were assessed for large sums in the books of the corporation, but these assessments are not fair criteria of value, and as the value of property in that part of Quebec has for some time decreased, we prefer to rest our views on the prices of acquisition by the proprietors. On this basis we would allow the Lawsons \$1500; Mrs. Borriigan \$1500; Mrs. Bradley, whose property was mortgaged, \$1,000 for her individual loss, and Mr. McCabe, whose house has been practically rendered valueless \$1600.00 As already stated, the Gilbert claim has been withdrawn, and the injury done to Mr. John Power is allowed for hereafter.

REBURNABLE SUPPLY TO SUFFERERS AND REQUIREMENTS.

Those claims seem to us fair, and we have for the most part admitted them in the list given below.

LOSS OF FURNITURE, PERSONAL PROPERTY, &c.

It is out of the question to go into this matter in detail. As nearly 3 years have elapsed since the accident, it is impossible to check in any strict sense the claims submitted, which however we consider are not unnaturally exaggerated. We have given the subject our best consideration, and taking into account the evidence, lodgings, number of inhabitants, position in life, and earnings of the various occupants, we are disposed to think that the amounts set opposite their respective names below are fairly correct.

Placing together all claims - for life and personal injury, damage to property, real and personal and claims for necessaries and supplies - we suggest that any grant which Parliament may be pleased to vote for the relief of the sufferers by the Quebec Landslide, if amounting to \$20,000.00 should be apportioned as in the following list, or in proportion

to such list, according to the amount which may be voted.

LIST

No. of Claims	CLAIMANTS.	AMOUNT.
1.A.	Douglas, Mrs. Wm.	\$ 50.00
2.A.	Madden & Ellis	25.00
3.A.	McGee, Wm.	1,500.00
1	Barrigan, Thomas	450.00
2	Borland, R. & Co.	
3	Bradley, James	
4	Black, Mrs. Henry	
5	Black, Miss Ida	
6	Burke, Stephen	
	" child	
7	Donnelly, John	
8	Farren, Mrs. F.E.	
9	O'Gorman, James	
10	Doyle, Mrs. P. & 3 sisters	
	Boyle, Mrs. P. & 3 sisters	damage moving etc.
	Deeney, Mich. & Ellen	
11	Doherty, J.T.	
12	Donovan, Patryek	
13	Maright, Thomas	
14	Sims, Mrs. Elizabeth	
15	Fitzgerald, Mrs. P.	
16	Graham, Thomas, Jr.	
17	Graham, Thomas, Jr.	
18	Hanlon, John	
19	Heyden, George	
20	" James	
21	Henry estate, John	
22	Kerwin, Mrs. M.	
23	O'Farrel, Mrs. Catharine	
	& son	
24	Kennedy, Wm.	
25	Kerwin, Luke	
26	Kennedy, Mrs. James	
27.	Lang, John	
28	Barrigan, Mrs. Tim	
	& family	
29	Will, Mrs. W. &	
	Reardon, Mrs. J.	
30	McCarthy, Alex.	
	" Wm.	
	John	
	McMahon, Mrs.	
	Devine	
	McGinnis, Jim	
		250.00

NO. OF CLAIMS.	CLAIMANTS.	AMOUNT.
33	Mullins, Henry	Household effects \$450.
33	Malone, Mrs. Wid. J.	Injuries 250 \$ 700.00
34	Greer, Edward	Wearing apparel 80.00
35	Paul, Mrs. Robert	Household effects 200.00
36	Nolan, Patrick & " Bridget	Damage, necessaries &c 175.00
37	O'Dowd, Frank	household effects 2400
37	O'Neil, James } " Joseph }	life 1000 1,400.00
38	" Maggie,	Household effects 400.00
39	" Mrs. J.	Wearing apparel 600.00
40	" Patrik	Household effects 125.00
40	Power, Mrs. W.J.	household effects 6400
41	Ready, Martin	life 1000 1400.00
41	" child	Household effects 3250
42	Stephens, Mrs. W.	life 400 750.00
43	Douglas, Mrs. W.)	Household effects 6600
44	Knox, Mrs. J.	life 1000 1,500.00
45	"	see A.1)
45	Tweedie, T.N. & Co.	wear, apparel of Mrs. Brown 353.00
46	Walsh, P. & Mrs.	Household effects 176.00 226.00
47	Waters, Jno.8	
48	Webster, G.M. & Co.	daughter's wear, apparel 360
49	Wilson, Mrs. W.	life 600 560.00
50	Giguere, Clvide	wear, apparel 34.00
51	O'Connell, Jimie } " Wm } " Hallie } " Sarah } " Joseph } " Maggie)	damage moving etc. 20.00
52	" Asia" (barque)	Household effects 223.00
53	Henry, estate, J.W.	supplies &c. 68.60
54	Berrigan, Mrs. Tim	legal expenses 750.00
55	Loysons (family)	(see 20)
	R. " daughter	house 11,400
56.	Bradley, Mrs. F.B.	* effects 1,000,000
57.	Power, John	400 2,800.00
58.	Jalbert, G. & W.	property 1,000.00
59.	Allan William)	damage, moving etc. 250.00
	Rose, Mrs. W.)	
	Nettleton, Mrs. P.)	
	" Ed)	
60	Miller, Mrs. J.P.	household effects 260 1,000.00
		G. Miller's wear, apparel 333.45
61	Kemp, Wm. J.	life 500.00 633.45
62		Household effects 200 300.00
63	Miller, J.P.	wear, apparel 60.00
64	Pemberton, Robt.	necessaries & tools 28.00
65	Deherty, James	damage and necessities 82.85
66	" Mrs. James	(see 30)
67	Mayberry J.	refreshments &c. 50.00
68	Beechamp, A.	

99	MAYDARTY, W.	(see 80)	850.00
70	O'Rourke, Harry A. }	50.	
	* Sarah }	50.	
	* John }	50.	
	* Flo. }	50.	
	* Ed. }	50.	
71	Knox, Mrs. J.	(see 44)	220.00
72	Mullins, Bernard	household effects	25.00
73	Brown, Ann	wearing apparel	
74	Larose, Edward		
75	Watson, James & Co.	Tools &c.	20.00
76	Power, Patrick	wearing apparel etc.	14.00
77	Donnelly, Mrs. P.	effects	0.00
78	Caldwell, Ida	wearing apparel etc.	\$180
			400
			<u>580.00</u>
		Total	\$38,788.48

From the proof before us, it appears that from time to time, during the past seven years, assistance has been more or less reluctantly promised to the victims of this disaster - sometimes by gentlemen in a position to provide with authority. It would be absurd to speak of our recommendation, if accepted, being in any measure opposition to the offerors. That it is in the power of no one to give them. But we believe that even at this late hour, the substantial offer suggested would do much to promote their future comfort in life; and the feeling that losses and suffering of a pecuniarily and naturally great, but too slighted by the country, would soften the bitter and angry feelings, which long neglect and broken pledges not unnaturally led them to entertain.

We have the honor to be, Sir,

Your obedient servants,

(sgd) William Cook.

P. Murphy.

John C. Howe.