MONDAY MAY 7 1917 CANADA

SESSIONAL PAPERS NO. 142

RETURN TO AN ORLER OF THE HOUSE OF COLMORS. duted the End May, 1917, showing a copy of the report of the Royal Commission appointed by Order in Council, 20th Sentember, 1916, to inquire into and report upon the conditions in regard to the delivery of cargoes of coal to coasting vessels in the Maritime Provinces.

Secretary of State.

keference No. 125

Mover: Mr. Hughes (Kings)

Dated Eth May, 1317.

Ottawn, Ontario, 6th Documber, 1916.

To The Right Honouroble

Sir George Bules Foster.

Einister of Trade and Commerce of Canada.

Ottows.

81r,-

The Hembers of the Royal Commission; appointed by the Order-in-Council of the SCth September, 1916, on the recommendation of the Acting Hinister of your Department, in response to the terms of a Resolution of the Regislative Assembly of the Province of Prince Edward Island; have the honour to report as follows:-

The Merbors of the Commission, Mesers, Wilfred E. Tupper, Archibald R. Tibbits and J. Fred Hedonald, had their first mosting at the Helifax Hetel, in Helifax, on Friday, October 6th, 1916, and organised the Commission. Hr. W.E. Tupper, as the representative of the Department of Trade & Commerce, being named as Chairman.

The Commissioners deemed their object to be, in accordance with the terms of the Order-in-Council appointing them, as follows:-

- 1. To obtain the evidence of no many of the captains and owners of consting vensels as possible in relation to their complaints of delays in leading coal cargoos.
- 2. To visit the soul minon of the various ports of
 Novo Scotia and to inspect the systems amployed and the facilities provided for delivering coul to sailing vessels, and
 to obtain statements from the officers of the Coal Companies
 in explanation of their attitude with regard to delivering
 corgoes of coal to sailing vessels.

3. To ascertain from both parties to the controversy their suggested remodies to alleviate the conditions complained of.

4. From a study of all the evidence and information available, to recommend to the Dominion Government some regulations that might apply to both the earrier and the producer of cargoos, if it were developed that the conditions complained of were the result of any causes that might be affected by such regulation.

Nothod of Procedure Adopted, and Programme.

objects would be more easily and quickly - and as officenciously accomplished; by holding informal sensions and acquiring as much evidence as possible, without the formality of logal counsel or the examination of witnesses under eath; and, as a consequence, all the investigations were conducted in that manner. Accordingly, ofter organization, the Consission carried out the following programs:-

Friday, October 6, - Met at Helifax Notel and organized, interviewed the Deputy Minister of Mines and the Deputy Attorney
General of the Province of Nova Neetie, in Malifax, with regard
to any possible existing Provincial Logislation for the regulation and control of the Goal Companies, in respect to the Examer
of shippent of coal cargoos, and as to the existing opinion
regarding the authority of the Provincial Jovernment in the
promises.

Saturday, Company 7.- Visit the offices of the Deminion
Coal Company at Sydney, N.S., - interview its executive officers
and inspect the Company's pier and leading facilities; also
visit the Nova Scotia Steel and Coal Company's offices at North
Sydr and inspect their leading facilities. Call at the office
office brokers who book the Nova Scotia Steel & Coal Company's
sping orders, and inspect their books, having a lengthy

JU.

ıly.

in**o** ord lot

ıro

th La interview with the brokers. Leter have an interview with the Company's Transportation Shipping Henoger.

Thesday,- October 10,- A session was held by the Commission with the owners and captains of coasting schooners in response to an advertised meeting for 10 o'clock that morning at the office of the Marine Department in Charlotte-town, at which were present representatives of the coasting schooner trade of Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick and News Scotie. In the evening, interview Mesors, Duntain, Boll & Company, the local agents of the Acadia Coal Company.

Wednesday, October 11.- Inspect the leading pier of the Intersolonial Coal Company at Pietou and interview the Hanager at Westville; else interview the Hanager of the Amadia Coal Company at Stellarton.

Thursday. - October 12. - An inspection and interview with the Hanager of the Invernoss Coal Company at Port Hastings.

This wound up the sotivities of the Corrisoion until it should meet later in Ottawa to propose its report, based on the evidence obtained.

GRHERA L.

At the outset the Commissioners realized that the conditions existing since the present was began could not be considered as normal, and that their conclusions must be based largely on evidence as to conditions that existed before the wor. Initial enquiries developed the fact that none of the minor at present were able to produce enything near their normal output, owing to the fact that so many of the minors had enlisted for service everseas; and in spite of this shortage in the output, there was an increased demand for surply from munition plants and everseas transports, so that it had been impossible to fulfil even their already existing contracts. The Correlationers' enquiries, therefore, were directed largely to ascertaining conditions prior to the beginning of the present war.

An analysation of the evidence thus obtained from the verious sources would appear to establish:-

Firstly; That there is some justification for the complaints of sailing vessel owners and captains as to delays in loading their vessels after they have arrived at the port where the mine ships its output, and the time consumed, in some cases, before they are enabled to depart loaded.

Secondly; That the coal mining componies admit there have been delays in leading schooners, but they maintain there is equal justification for their claim that, under the comditions heretefore existing, these delays are unavoidable and are due to a number of sausan beyond the power of the companies to regulate without the co-operation of the vessel eveners themselves, or without considerable financial less.

offert of the part of either side to errive at an agreement that might relieve some of the causes of the delays; and note that side has a suggested remedy that would be acceptable to

the other.

Вo

ts

y

8

SULDIARY OF VESSET-OWNERS! CASE.

ing vessels, as complainants in this matter, being all slong practically the same lines, may therefore be summarized as a whole.

Primarily it must be noted, in consideration of the evidence presented by this side, that in only one instance were any definite dates given for specific instances of the occurrences complained of.

captains examined were in the hebit of keeping a regular Ship's Log and, as a consequence of the absence of any written records the Counisad eners, in weighing this evidence, were compelled to form their conclusions almost entirely on general statements from the captains and owners, given from memory and without the support of data that would have fixed definitely dates of actual encurrences; and that might have been checked with the records of the coal shippers. All the captains and owners who related their experiences, with the one exception, gave details based only on memory; and the dates of the various instances which they related were in many cases very indefinite,— semetimes not even the year of the occurrence could be placed.

In effect, the evidence of all those exemined was identical, and united on the statement that, for some years past, they had been delayed ever a varying period, - stated to be from one day, as the minimum, to as much as three or four weeks as the maximum.

It was further developed that very fow, if any, of these sailing vessels ever went for eargoes of seal under charter; but the usual practice was to endeavour to obtain some eargo, such as produce, etc., to a coal part, and to bring back a cargo of coal as a return. In this way the visits of the

irrogular, and in a great many instances it was evident that the vessel laid some days discharging her carge of produce before being ready to take on a sarge of cost.

The coptains all claimed that they only dated the beginning of their delay from the date on which they had booked the order for their earge with the coal earpany, but in this regard there seems to be some confusion, and the Commissioners are not at all positive but that in some instances the captains have considered that they were booked when the coal companies did not admit this claim. The lack of any definite dates, however, in the evidence submitted by the captains, prevented an investigation of the books of the companies specifically with a view to obtaining evidence confirmative or otherwise of this statement, except in the following two inutances:

coneral statement was made that he had not been loaded in loss than 9 days at North Sydney any time during the lost 18 years. In comparing this statement with the records in the books of the Brokerage Company booking the orders at North Sydney, it was found that the average time consumed between booking and loading this captain's particular schooner, according to the Company's records extending ever the past two years, - and during which period conditions have admittedly been abnormal, - had been only five days. In connection with these records the Company make the statement that the booking dates simply show the data that the order was cent to the Coal Company and that if the order reached the Coal Company before the vessel was discharged of inward carge or ballast, that the vessel would not be booked until she was so discharged of ballast or carge; and this Company further makes the statement that the captains fully understand this point that they cannot book until they are ready to load. In spite of this statement, it would appear that the captains must have counted a portion of the time for which they claim they were delayed from the date at which they reached the loading port in the first instance.

The Captain of the Schooner "Bonus" testified that "He arrived at Pictou at 4 P.M. Hay 15th, booked morning of the 16th, was not finished leading until May 30th. Again, arrived on June 18th and on 27th his turn was due. For some reason or other he was put book, another Schooner taking his place; on the 30th his own lead was completed. Arriving again on August 13th he was not despetched until August 28th and in all cases he was ready for earge when he arrived. This evidence the Commissioners were able to check accurately, as this was the single instance where definite dates were given. The circumstances relating to each instance of delay complained of by this captain were given by the Acadis Coal Company as fellows: "In each case this captain applied for a cargo of Acadis nut coal; that this is a class of coal of which the dempany only makes a very limited quantity, but that during the

מו

r

sesson in which this captain applied for it, there was a more then usual demand and that they could not begin to produce sufficient to meet that demand. When this captain called a large number of other schooners were in port for a similar class of coel and would take none other. This captain did not need to remain unless he so chose, as their shipping agent could have assured him immediately on his arrival as to when he might expect his carge."

The logical inference is that the schooner complained of as "taking his place" was satisfied to take another grade of coal, that was on hand.

any complaint on the part of any captains of sailing vescels as to any preference being given in the order of leading sailing vescels,— their main complaint being against atcomers, chiefly those chartered by the componies for carrying the coal to fill their contracts to the larger centres. It was claimed that in some instances atcomers had been leading when scheeners had applied for cargoes and that the leading of the steamer had been completed, the steamer had departed for her destination,— usually some port on the St. Lawrence,— had discharged and had returned and been leaded again while the scheener was still waiting to receive earge.

of Nova Scotia, but the greater number of complaints were against the companies using ports along the Northumberland Strait. The St. Peters Canal did not seem to be popular with the meaters of sailing vessels and the greater difficulty of navigating to the Sydneys led to the selection, by sailing vessels corrying coal to Prince Edward Island, of the ports on the Straits in preference to the longer trip.

The charge was made in the evidence given by one vecsel-owner in Charlottetown, that captains of sailing schooners were promised cargoes which were not furnished them and he maintained that if this were not the case, but if the captains were told definitely that they could or could not be leaded within a certain time, that this would be satisfactory.

as it then would leave the option with the captain of remaining the necessary time or deporting if he did not want
to wait. There was, however, an admission on the part of
some of the captains that some of the companies had at times
notified them that they could not promise to furnish them
with coal cargoos within a definite time, but that if the
captains wished to book and wait they could do so:- and that
if under these circumstances they had cleated to wait, they
have still considered that they were being done an injustice.
The only condition, apparently, that would really satisfy the
sailing vessel people would be one brought about by some
regulation compelling the coal companies to bookwall vessels
for cargo from date of application, and then to load in order
of booking, without distinction.

9

ing vescels at their hearing that in the United States ports soiling vessels were leaded in their turn of arrival without distinction with report to any other class of vessel. To determine the correctness of this attacement, the Commissioners commissioners with the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Bavigation, at Machington, enquiring what the regulations were in this regard, and received a reply from the Commissioner of that Department stating that this was not a matter that was covered by Federal Statute, but that if any such regulations existed, they were issued by the local harbour authorities at the various ports.

It was admitted by one of the Goal Companies that the circumstance related by one of the sailing captains as to his having been in port for a cargo of coal and having to wait during the time a steemer had loaded, gone out and discharged and returned, was correct, but the company stated that this was a very rare instance and only due to unusual exigencion and the necessity for filling their regular contracts, which they

could not allow to be interfered with by the irregular applications of the soiling vessels for cargoes.

SUMMARY OF THE CASE AS PRESENTED BY THE COAL COMPANIES.

As conditions are not the same at the different collieries it may be well that a summary of the statements of the officers of the various coal companies be presented individually, with a General resume of the composite facts adduced by each.

The Dominion Coal Company, Sydney.

this company makes the claim that it has been sepecially nursing the business with the small sailing vessels,that a portion of their International Pier has been set aside
exclusively for the use of these vessels, at which tips of a
much lower height then those at other portions of the pier
are provided for greater ease in chutcing the coal into the
holds of these smaller vessels, which, of necessity, are much
lower in the vator than larger ships.

The Commissioners inspected this Pier, and witnessed the leading of a schooner that would carry 180 tens, deed weight, of coal. One of the greatest difficulties in loading these small sailing vessels expeditiously, is from the fact of the small cargo space which they contain. In most cases both the cobin and forecastle accommodation eccupy a portion of the space that would ordinarily be the hold of the vessel, leaving narrow and low spaces at either side and below the cabin. In all these spaces the coal has to be conveyed by hand,— a grow of mon known as trimpers being provided by the

A further difficulty to be contended with is the small-size of the hatches with which these vessels are provided. Owing to this, only a little scal at a time can be dumped from the chutes into the hold,—when the hatch becomes blocked, necessitating a wait until this can be relieved. The

Company maintained that if it were not for these difficulties.

a schooner of this tennage could be leaded to her capacity

in a very few minutes. As it is, it takes from 5 to 7 hours.

their records, that the average time of leading these cailing vessels this year at Sydney had been two days seven hours; at Louisburg, 3 days 20 hours, and at Olsee Bay, 3 days 1 hour, exclusive of Sundays and holidays, and the maximum time taken to losd any vessel was claimed to be one week. At the same time, the Company admitted that preference was given to the loading of steamers, as these vessels are always on charter to carry cargo, or for bunkers, and their detention might entail heavy loss through demarrage charges, and also an accumulation of expenses, which sailing vessels were not liable for unless in much smaller proportions. Steamers applying for bunker coal were given preference in leading over cargo steamers, and sailing vessels came next in order of booking.

towage to move sailing vessels from any part of the harbour to any part of the piers at any time of the day or night, and that they considered no vessel booked for sarge until she had discharged her carge, or, if in ballast, until she had discharged the latter. It was ascertained that the Company's regular chartered steamers, carrying coal to large ports, etc., took from 12 to 15 hours to load if trimming were necessary, and from 4 to 6 hours, if trimming were unnecessary,

normal output, owing to conditions previously mentioned caused by the passent World War.

The Commissioners consider that, with the record of the figures produced as before given, it is reasonable to contend that the despatch in leading vessels before the beginning of the war and since the special facilities show mentioned

for this class of vessel were provided, was oven botter than the record the company claims for this year. In conjunction with the phortogo in their output they have had to furnish bunker coal for many more vessels then usual, the greatest demand being for the bunkering of trensports and naval vascels,the necessatty for the expedition of which is easily apparent. The company claims that after war conditions have coased there can be no reasonable complaint of the despatch obtained by all this close of vessels that are able to come to Cape Breton for cargo. This Company has more than one port of shipmont. these being at Sydney, Touisburg and Olace Bay. In many instances, when schooners call at Sydney for cargoos and complain of delay, they could be expeditiously leaded if they had gone to Class Bay or Louisburg, and this sireumstance will show the advisability of some system or regularity in the application for their corgoes by sailing vessels.

The Nove Scotia Steel & Cool Company, North Sydney.

A large part of the coal business of this company is handled by Agents and Brokers, who take the orders from the vessels and transmit them to the coal company. As before explained, if the vessel is then ready to load, the order is booked by the Coal Company, but if the vessel is not yet discoharged when carge is applied for, the order is not booked until the is discharged whanksarge and ready to load.

The company has no special provisions for loading smaller vessels, except that they are usually sent to a particular portion of the leading pier.

This company is affected by the same conditions, due to the present war; as complained of by the other companies; but it would appear in an even more extreme manner, for they claim they have been able to supply only a small portion of the cargoes applied for by sailing vessels during the period of the

wer. Buch records as were available show that the despatch to sailing vessels in previous years has been similar to that obtained by these vessels at the other coal-leading ports. There have been delays due to various causes, clways, according to the claims of the Coal Company, unavoidable.

The Acadia Coal Company, Stellarton,

The conditions at the shipping piers of this Company are similar to those at the piers of the previous Company, and the same conditions due to the war were complained of as with the other companies, so that, for the period since the beginning of the war, these can not be judged as representative of the general results there, any more than at the other companies piers. The leading facilities are similar to those of the other two companies before mentioned, except not on quite as large a scale.

This Company admitted that there were frequent complaints on the part of vessel owners as to delays in obtaining coal corgoes, but put forth the seme claim as the other companion; that they did their best to give quick despatch and that only such circumstances as the shortege of cars, the necessity for filling previous contracts of furnish vessels with bunker, or the feet that the vescal would take only a cortain grade of coal, hot at the time in stock, prevented all versols being promptly leaded. They claim that there was no discrimination against any class of vessel, but that it was their effort to take care of all the business offering to the bust of their ability. They further claimed that no twithstending the shortege in their output, they have supplied more coal to Prince Edward Island this year than in the provious year, having treated this Proxince in this regard more generously than any other portion of the Maritime Provinces.

Berg Martines control of the Contr

The Intercolonial Cosl Company, Wentville.

This Company furnishes a grade of coal that is popular only for commercial purposes as atom eval and it has extensive contracts with the Canadian Government Railways as well as other railways. It makes the same claim as other companies, that it has always done its best to supply cargo to all vessels without discrimination, but, as is the case with the other companies, it gives preference to steamers; first, those applying for bunker and second, those corrying coal cargoos, after which soiling vescels were loaded without discrimination in their turn. Loading feeilities are similar to these of other companies, except on a smaller scale than those of the Companies at the Sydneys. This company since the beginning of the war is in the same resition as the others as regards shortage of output, and such records as were available of provious-to-the-war shipments show that despatch at their minos had been on a par with that obtained at the minos of the other companies investigated.

The Inverses Coal & Reilway Co., Inverses.

This company claimed that the demand at its leading piers for earge by sailing vessels was so irregular and infrequent that it was necessary for the company to purchase its own stemmers and to develop a retail market in order to take eare of its output. This market was supplied on contract with the resultant necessity of the company fulfilling its contractual obligations within a certain period; that contingent on these contracts the company did its best to supply seiling vessels as promptly as possible with coal cargoos.

This company also, in common with one or two other companies, made the claim that the demands from the soiling vessels all came with a rush and that the three closing menths

of the season of navigation were always those selected for corrying coal cargoes by this class of vessel; that they, like the other companies operating on Northumberland Straits, made frequent efforts to induce the sailing vessels to take their cargoes earlier, but that they had met with very little buccess and it would appear that these vessels only applied for coal cargoes when there was no other charter available, as during the summer months they were occupied with transporting lumber and in fishing.

They further claim that they have made it clear in the past to all soiling vessels applying for coal, that the Company could make no inducements for them to woit for cargoes if there were none available at the time they applied, but that it was entirely a matter that was in their own hands; in fact, they have gone so far as to urge vessels to go to other piers where there was more coal available.

It is also claimed that in some cases these vessels were chartered for the month of May to load a cargo of coal at their piers, but that they flid not arrive for the cargo until October or November, and in some cases not at all, if a better charter offered classhers,— citing that forty cases of this kind had occurred in one year.

OUNDITIONS CONTRIBUTORY TO DRIAYS
IN LOADING SCHOONERSY AS ADDUCED BY GOAL
PRODUCERS.

The contributory conditions, therefore, to the delays complained of by the schooner interests in regard to their obstaining coal cargoes, judged from a consideration of the statements of the coal companies' officers and their records, may be summarised as follows:

1. Irregularity in the applications from vessels of this character for cargoes, and the tendency to crowd all the business into the final port of the sesson of navigation.

The bound of the second section is a second of the second second section in the second section is a second second

- 2. The necessity claimed by the coal companies for giving first consideration and despatch to steemers applying for bunker coal, or under charter for carrying coal for supplying contracts.
- 3. The difficulty of loading schooners expeditionaly on account of the small size of their hatches and the inconvenient cargo spaces, into which soal has to be conveyed by hand.
- 4. The tendency of this class of vessel to await favourable weather conditions for making the run from Prince Edward Island ports to ports in Nova Scotia, for coal cargoos,—this resulting in a great many of these vessels arriving at the coal ports at about the same time.

In relation to the latter condition, it was developed by enquiry that the greater portion of the vessels employed in the trade between Prince Edward Island and the Nove Scotia coal ports, were generally very old vessels, many of them hardly safe to take far from sheltered waters, or where they would be subject to heavy weather.

An exemination of the Shipping Register in the Department of Marine, with relation to the date of building of the different schooners whose captains or owners gave evidence before the Commission, partially confirms this statement and it was found that the average age of these 19 vessels was 26 years,— several of them being much older than this; but three of these most recently built making an average of about 11 years, while three of the older vessels have an average of 42 years.

The claim was made by the Cool Companies of Northumberland Strait that on account of this condition, these vessels would swait a period of fair weather, when they would all start for a cool carge at once,— one mine manager stating that as many as twenty would arrive at their leading port in one day, and without notice; as a consequence, it was naturally impossible to give them all quick despatch in leading.

It may be noted here that the facilities for loading at all the ports where the companies ship their output are similar. A long treatle pier is built out into the harbour, ever which the loaded coal cars of the hopper-bottom type can be run, dumping their load of coal into a bin undermeath,—from which bin chutes, at frequent intervals, extend out from the side of the pier, chuteing the coal into the holds of the vessel lying alongside of the pier.

THE COMMISSION'S CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

After considering all the different phones of the matter as brought out by the Enquiry, the Commissioners arrive at the following conclusions:-

- 1. That there is ressonable ground for some of the complaints on the part of the captains and owners of sailing
 vessels as to unusual delay in obtaining cargoes of coal of
 the various coal shipping ports.
- Purpose of the Cool Lining Companies, but rather to a combination of circumstances, some of which may be considered possible of remady if action were taken by both parties with a view to arriving at an understanding to obtain a working agreement which would be equitable to both parties and would lead to the correction of some of the conditions, and a consequent improvement, in some of the matters complained of.
- 3. That some permanent organization should be effected by the sailing vessel owners for the purpose of negotiating with the Coal Mining Companies to obtain the above result, and to effectually represent to the Companies any point in relation to conditions affecting their interests when at any time thought necessary.
- 1stich to correct the conditions complained of by the first mentioned interests and that, if any legislation were to be considered for the purpose, the necessary action belongs to the Provincial Legislature, from which body the leases to the coal areas worked by the Companies are issued, and not to the Federal Government; and that the action requested in the Petition of the Prince Edward Island Legislature to the Dominion

Government

Government is not a matter to be dealt with by that Government, but, if at all, by the Provincial Government of the Province of Hove Scotia.

Respectfully submitted.

(8gd) W. E. Tuppor, Chairman.

- " J. Fred McDonald.
- " A. R. Tibbita,

Commissionors.