NOTE

This is a preliminary narrative and should not be regarded as authoritative. It has not been checked for accuracy in all aspects, and its interpretations are not necessarily those of the Historical Section as a whole.

Ce texte est préliminaire et n'a aucun caractère officiel. On n'a pas vérifié son exactitude et les interpretations qu'il contient ne sont pas nécessairement celles du Service historique.

Directorate of History National Defence Headquarters Ottawa, Canada K1A OK2

July 1986



DECLASSIFIED _FOR DHIST NDHQ DETE: JUN -9 1989

SECRET

REPORT NO. 13

DIRECTORATE OF HISTORY CANADIAN FORCES HEADQUARTERS

Table of Contents

CONTENTS	PARAGRAPHS	PAGE
Purpose and Services Used	1	1
The Dispute	2 - 3	1
United Nations Interest	4 - 8	2
United Nations Planning	9 - 13	5
Approval for the Mission	14 - 18	8
R.C.A.F. Planning	19 - 20	10
Advance and Main Party to Yemen	21 - 25	11
Helicopters	26 - 27	14
During Formation	28 - 30	15
Implementation of UNYOH and	31 - 36	17
First Mandate		
Additional Otters	37	21
Second Mandate	38 - 43	22
Third Mandate	44 - 49	24
The New Year	50 - 62	28
R.C.A.F. Consideration for the	63 - 65	32
Future		
In Retrospect	66 - 69	34

SECRET



SECRET

R E P C R T NC. 13 DIRECTORATE OF HISTORY CANADIAN FORCES HEADQUARTERS

19 December 1966

Canada and Peace-Keeping Operations Yemen - U.N.Y.C.M.

Purpose and Sources Used

Canadian contribution to the United Nations Yemen Observer Mission (UNYCM) in the Yemen from 4 July 1963 to 4

Beptember 1964. The United Nations supplied an "umbrella" under which it was intended the United Arab Republic and Saudi Arabia would cease military confrontation in the Yemen and would commence political discussions leading to a solution of their differences. The sources used in the compilation of this report include open publications and departmental headquarters files. The only papers of the Secretary of State for External Affairs that have been utilized are those appearing on the departmental files.

The Dispute

2. Two of the monarchist governments that remained in the eastern Arab world, Saudi Arabia and Yemen, were involved in 1962 in a rift with Egypt, which was under the rule of President Nasser. Nasser's policy included an intensive radio propaganda campaign against both Saudi Arabia and Yemen.

Yemen, the most backward of the Arab monarchist 3. states, was racked by rebellion on 17 September 1962. The rebel leader, Colonel Abdullah al-Salal, overthrew the monarchy of the Imam Mohammed al Badr and became president of the new republic. Masser promptly recognized the Yemen Arab Republic. By the end of December 1962 the new republic was recognized by the United Nations and the United States of America. The revolutionary government controlled the cities and towns in the centre, the south and on the western sea coast, but the Yemeni tribesmen, who were intensely royalist, or anti-Salal, still resisted the new regime and controlled the north-west and north-east mountains and the deserts of the east. In order to contain the republican holdings and possibly overthrow Salal's government, Saudi Arabia was supporting the Yemeni tribesmen by the shipment of arms and other military supplies. Nasser's United Arab Republic (U.A.R.) sent arms, military personnel and supplies to consolidate the Salal regime.

United Nations Interest

4. In early 1963 U Thant, the Secretary General of the United Mations, became disturbed about the situation in Yemen and the external forces at work. The intervention of Jaudi Arabia and the U.A.R. constituted a threat to the peace of the entire area. U Thant consulted with the representatives of the U.A.R., Yemen and Jaudi Arabia and with their approval sent Ralph Bunche, the United Nations Under-secretary, to Yemen and the U.A.R. on a fact-finding mission in February and March 1963. Bunche was given authority to proceed to Saudi Arabia if he considered it

necessary. The government of the U.S.A. sent Ellsworth
Bunker, a retired U.S. diplomat, who had contributed to
the solution of the problems in New West Guinea (West Irian),
to Saudi Arabia on a "somewhat similar but unconnected
2
mission". Both Bunche and Bunker reported to the
Secretary-General. As a result of the talks that they had
with the heads of state of Yenen, U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia,
agreement was reached on the terms of a disengagement.

U Thant reported to the Security Council on 29 April 1963 that each of the three governments confirmed "their acceptance of identical terms of disengagement in This agreement was to terminate all support and aid from Saudi Arabia to the royalist forces in Yemen and to deny the use of Saudi Arabian territory to the royalist leaders; the U.A.R. undertook to begin a phased withdrawal of its troops from Yemen. During the withdrawal phase U.A.R. troops were to be held at their bases and were not to take part in field activities. In addition to the specific commitments of the U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia it was agreed that a demilitarized zone would be established 20 kilometres on each side of the Yemen-Saudi Arabian border, and impartial observers would be stationed in this demilitarized zone to check on the observance of the terms of disengagement. The observers were to have authority to travel beyond the limits of the demilitarized zone to certify the actions of Saudi Arabia and the U.A.R. in conformance with the cessation of support and the troop withdrawals respectively.

- At the end of April Major-General Carl Von Horn, Chief of Staff of the United Mations Truce Supervisory Organization (UNTSO) in Jerusalem, was selected to proceed to the Yemen area for consultation and consideration of the size of the group that would be needed to fulfill the projected United Nations role. In this reconnaissance and planning mission General Von Horn was accompanied by a Canadian Army officer, Major E.R. Sharpe. However the Permanent Mission in New York was asked to inform the U.N. Secretariat that approval, given by the Canadian government, for Major Sharpe to accompany General Von Horn did not imply approval of Canadian participation in Yemen operations. Such further approval would only be given after consideration by the Canadian government of a formal request from the Secretary-General.
- 7. The Secretary-General reported to the Security Council that in his preliminary assessment a requirement existed for "not more than fifty observers" and that they "will be needed for three or four months, at the most". He also considered that for transportation and patrol purposes three or four helicopters, and the same number of aircraft of the Otter type, and some jeeps and lorries "should suffice".
- 8. On 3 May 1963 the Department of External Affairs advised Air Chief Marshall F.R. Miller, Chairman, Chiefs of Staff (C.C.C.), that Canada should anticipate being asked to supply (tter aircraft, with officers and crew, for the Yemen operation. He suggested that preliminary studies

be made to ascertain the number of air and ground crew required and the time it would take to convey the aircraft and personnel to Yemen. Air Force Headquarters (A.F.H.Q.) responded by seeking advice from the Chief Of Operations (C.Ops.), the Air Member for Technical Services and from Air Transport Command (A.T.C.).

United Nations Planning

- 9. By 2 May 1963 General Von Horn arrived in Cairo to begin the formulation of his plans and the U.A.R. press announced that the "vanguard of the U.A.R. troops in Yemen 10 is enroute back to Suez". This announcement was encouraging and undoubtedly created an optimistic atmosphere.
- 10. Von Horn had completed his initial consultations by the end of May and reported to the Secretary-General.

 U Thant presented a Second Report to the United Nations on 11
 27 May 1963. This report was commented upon by the Permanent Mission in New York (PERMISNY). The Secretary-General had stated that he had made a decision to dispatch observers with the "least possible delay" and that the mission was not to exceed two hundred men, including a ground patrol unit of one hundred men and the necessary air crews and supporting units. On the basis of an "uninterrupted application of agreement between U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia", the duration of the Yemen operation was estimated at four months, but the way was left open for a lengthier stay.

 In addition to these statements by U Thant, the discussions revealed that the Secretary-General had been urged by the

U.S.A. to act speedily "to prevent deterioration of situation in Yemen". U.A.R. willingness to pay half the cost of the mission was discussed and the constitutional authority of A precis of the the Secretary-General was questioned. constitutional position of the Secretary-General's political authority to establish a peace-keeping operation had been made by the Department of External Affairs. It recommended that some organ of the United Nations - either the Security Council or the General Assembly - should at least concur with the U.N. "presence" in Yemen. However, the U.S.S.R. called for a Security Council meeting to approve the Secretary-General's plans to send the observer group to Yemen and insisted that the Council considerations be concluded The Jecurity Council did by the passing of a resolution. not meet on this subject until 10 June 1963.

Von Horn was named the Head of the Mission and an outline of the tasks of the mission, as foreseen at that time, was given. Immediately following the report, U Thant instructed the Indian General I.J. Rikhye, Military Adviser of the Secretary General, to obtain the informal reaction of the Canadian Government to supplying an air unit to operate and maintain three Otters, three H19 helicopters and two Caribous for the Yemen mission. The Otters and helicopters were to be supplied from the United Nations force in the Congo (UNOC) and the Caribous from the R.C.A.F. unit at Gaza. The main base of the air unit was to be El Arish with an advance base at Al Hudaida. Sanaa was proposed as the headquarters of the Yemen operation and a Canadian Air

Adviser was to be stationed there. The R.C.A.F. informed the Minister of National Defence that there were no kCAF pilots currently trained in flying H19 helicopters and estimated the cost of the operation for the first year at 5836,000, involving a manpower total of 77, not including the helicopter requirement.

- General Von Horn's plan on which the Secretary-General had based his request to Canada envisaged the U.N. presence as being 'primarily symbolic" and requiring the surveillance of critical areas of the border by light fixed-wing aircraft and the surveillance of the communications network in Yemen by armoured car squadrons and fixed observer sites. Teams of observers would be stationed at 17 key communication centres and ports of entry. Von Horn's planning staff was augmented by the transfer of Canadians, 18 Major E.J. Amirault and Major H.A. McLellan, from UNTSC.
- advance party to Yemen as soon as the Security Council 19 approved the U.N. presence in Yemen, some arrangements were made in advance. Major A.V. Hortie was assigned to 20 the advance party and Canada was requested to allow General Von Horn the use of one Caribou for the transportation and support of this advance party. The use of this Caribou was approved, but it was again stipulated that this would not prejudice the decision that Canada would make if it was officially requested to participate. In approving the request for the Caribou, Canada considered it an opportunity to assess the soundness of the complete 22 unit. Wing Commander S. Olsen, Air Liaison Officer of

as Air Observer during the initial Yemen planning period.

As it was considered that the Caribou for the advance party would become fully committed, General Von Horn requested that an Otter with flying and maintenance crew be based at Sanaa for his personal use. The U.N.

Secretariat also asked that Captain R. Thorne, R.C.O.C., be detached from UNEF to become the Logistics Officer, 25

Yemen. The United Nations Yemen Observer Mission (UNYOM) had still not received official status.

Approval for the Mission

- June 1963 to hear a statement by the Secretary-General.

 He announced that there would be no cost to the United

 Nations for the mission in Yemen since the U.A.R. and

 Saudi Arabia had agreed to cover the costs for a period

 of two months. The meeting for consideration of U.N.

 participation in Yemen was scheduled for the following day.
- 15. Canadian officials in Cairc reported that neither protaganist was adhering to the terms of the disengagement agreement and that the U.A.R. had returned the exact number of troops that had been retated out. The observer team, when established, could only be considered a symbolic presence and provide a face-saving device to allow the Saudis and the Egyptians to withdraw.
- 16. The Security Council met on 11 June 1963 and passed a resolution authorizing the Secretary-General to establish the observer mission in Yemen. The Secretary-

General spoke in support of the resolution, and stressed that refusal or delay was not in the interests of the Middle East or the U.N. After approval of the resolution, it was decided that the advance party should leave for the Yemen on 12 June 1963.

On 13 June 1963 the Canadian Cabinet confirmed 17. the Canadian contribution on a continuing basis. This meant that two officers of UNTSC were to be posted to the Yemen planning staff at Bierut and one officer from UNTSO to the advance party in Yemen; and that one Caribou and one Otter, with air and maintenance crews, would be lent to the advance party. In response to a specific request from the Secretary-General, the Cabinet also agreed that one officer of the planning staff should proceed to Yemen with the advance party; that an R.C.C.C. officer with UNEF should be Logistics Officer, Yemen; that R.C.A.F. personnel be supplied for the operation and maintenance of an air unit of three Otters, in addition to the one being used by the advance staff, three H19 helicopters, and two Caribous, including the one being used by the advance party. The Cabinet also agreed to the provision of additional personnel and equipment, if they were requested by the United Nations and approved by the S.S.E.A. and the Minister of National Defence. Although the Cabinet gave approval to man the three helicopters, the information passed to the Permanent Mission in New York left the provision of air and maintenance crews for the three helicopters open to further confirmation.

18. Canadian approval for participation was valid for six months but the situation would be re-examined by the Secretary of State for External Affairs and the 31 Minister of National Defence after four months. The Secretary-General had predicted a maximum duration of only four months and had thought that the operation "might possibly end in two months". The U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia had agreed to pay the costs for two months, a fact which was to prove an embarrassment throughout the life of the mission, since future extensions by the paying nations were also limited to two-month periods.

R.C.A.F. Planning

Air Transport Command [A.T.C.] submitted a plan to Air Force Headquarters covering R.C.A.F. support of U.N. operations in Yemen. This plan was based on an anticipated duration of six months and the requirement to replace the Caribous, which had been withdrawn from 115 A.T.U., El Arish, and assigned to Yemen, by "one or two Dakotas from either 1 Air Division or from Canada". The plan covered operations, logistics, administration, accounts and finance and proposed an R.C.A.F. staff of 14 officers and 42 other ranks plus an additional six other ranks to augment 115 A.T.U. if second line maintenance of the proposed Dakota was to be carried out at El Arish. It assumed the U.N. would provide the necessary messing and quartering but made special mention of the need to make the unit self-accounting, to issue passports to R.C.A.F. personnel and to determine, prior to their departure, the

special allowances to be paid to them. Eventually it became apparent that the messing and quartering supplied by the U.N. were inadequate and that the allowances were discriminatory.

The A.T.C. plan was discussed at Air Force Headquarters on 17 June 1963 and resulted in Organization Order 8.24 being drawn up. This order designated the unit as 134 A.T.U. and included the self-accounting proposal. On 18 June it was reported by the Permanent Mission New York that Group Captain H.V. Lupton and Squadron Leader A.I. Umback had held discussions with Dr. Bunche and General Rikhye at United Nations Headquarters on 17 June. Group Captain Lupton presented a complete plan for R.C.A.F. participation in Yemen and supported his plan with a detailed brochure on the Yemen problem "including key areas, terrain conditions, water supply and so forth". The United Mations Secretariat was extremely impressed with the efficiency of R.C.A.F. pre-planning and a copy of the brochure was left with the U.N. Secretariat. It would seem probable that the A.T.C. plan submitted to A.F.H.Q. and the plan discussed at the U.N. were the same, since Group Captain Lupton and Squadron Leader Umback were at that time on the staff of A.T.C. Unfortunately, the copy of that plan which is now on file does not mention the interesting brochure considered so valuable by the U.N.

Advance and Main Party to Yemen

21. The Caribou and the Otter assigned to the advance party from 115 A.T.U. departed for Yemen from El Arish on 37 ll and 12 June respectively. The Caribou went into

immediate service and General Von Horn reported that it suffered light damage, but with no personnel injured, while enroute from Sada to Sanaa on 17 June 1963. Secretariat requested that R.C.A.F. personnel take side arms and ammunition when assigned to the Yemen area. However, only occupants of aircraft regularly carried side arms; ground crews wore them only when it was considered that their bases were threatened. This limitation had a two-fold purpose; it emphasized the peaceful nature of the U.N. mission and it lessened the temptation to attack U.N. personnel for the purpose of securing much-sought-after weapons. During this period Cairo reported that "the military situation appears to have considerably deteriorated?. The U.A.R. had bombed recalcitrant Yemeni villages and on 17 June "almost certainly used phosphorous bombs against Saudi towns".

the airstrips at Quizan and Najran had been bombed by U.A.R. aircraft on 15 June led to the fear that this operation would be different from any that Canada had undertaken in the past. The risk of casualties was greater. A firm agreement was needed that a cease-fire would exist in areas where aircraft were to be based and that missions would be planned to avoid exposure to ground fire. The fear of casualties was not confined to the Air Officer Commanding, A.T.C.; PERNISNY reported that a basic operational plan for UNYCM had been drawn up. In commenting on the basic plan, the Mission stated that the Secretariat was "investigating use of Aden for...treatment of possible casualties".

- 23. By 18 June 1963 it had been decided that UNYCM would be organized as follows:
 - a. a headquarters at Sanaa for the mission and
 Von Horn's planning staff;
 - b. a Yugoslavian unit from UNEF, comprising three troops and a headquarters section, to be dispersed with one troop at Najran, one at Sada and one at 'uizan; (As there is no road connection between these points each troop was to act in isolation, using 5-cwt. cars to travel in its own area. The plan envisaged a total Yugoslavian contingent of 100 to 125.);
 - c. six observers, with three at Sanaa and three at Al Hudaida;
 - d. a headquarters for the air unit at Al Hudaida, with detachments at (uizan and Najran and helicopters to operate along the coast; and
 - e. a major logistic base at Gaza.
- 24. The advance party of 134 A.T.U., comprising the Commanding Officer, Squadron Leader A.I. Umback, and four others, departed from Trenton on 18 June 1963 and arrived at Aden on 20 June. The main party, consisting of 40 all ranks, was prepared to leave Trenton 20 June but was held back until 22 June at the request of the United Nations due partly to the lack of confirmation of accommodation at 44 Hudaida and partly to the delay of the U.S.A.F. in transporting the Otters from UNOC.
- 25. The airlift of the main party from Canada to Aden was authorized by A.F.H.Q. to commence 22 June. This air-

lift was to be carried out by a Yukon aircraft to Pisa,
45
then by Cl30 to Sanaa and Aden. Arrangements had been
made for Aden Airways to provide the necessary services to
assemble the Ctters when they arrived. The basic
operational plan was changed and the main air base became
Sanaa instead of Al Hudaida.

Helicopters

26. The R.C.A.F. had originally doubted the effectiveness of the H19 helicopters. The retraining of pilots and maintenance crew to provide properly equipped personnel was going ahead, but the R.C.A.F. considered it necessary to check the feasibility of using H19s provided from the Air Transport Command sent a small technical team (one officer and three N.C.Os.) to the Congo to assess the airworthiness of the H19 helicopters on the UNCC inventory. By 3 July the A/CAS was able to report that the R.C.N. (which operated a similar aircraft, the HO4S-3) had agreed to provide the necessary helicopter training for R.C.A.F. personnel. Three pilots and eight helicopter ground crew underwent training at H.M.C.S. shearwater in preparation for the mission in Yemen. The air force, therefore, was able to accept the operation from a personnel standpoint. The helicopters had been subjected to a cursory examination at the Congo and appeared to be technically sound, but the problem of spares' supply was not resolved. The suitability of the aircraft for the role envisaged was considered doubtful however, due to the "extreme climatic conditions and topographical features" of the area in which they would operate.

One H19 helicopter was transported from the Congo to Aden and a naval technician accompanied the R.C.A.F. contingent to Aden to help in its assembly. It was intended to carry out trials to verify the Hl9's ability to carry out the role for which it was proposed. Many delays were encountered in carrying out these trials; "H19 in Aden now serviceable after approximately 14 days unserviceable for voltage regulator". It was considered at that time -18 August 1963 - that the operation of the H19 "may be feasible but will be marginal in some areas such as range and reserve fuel. Maintenance appears to present the greatest obstacle. On the concept of operating two A/C per day from an unsheltered, sandy, humid and salty area, elaborate GSE (Ground Support Equipment) will have to be The final H19 trials were carried out on 28 and 29 August 1963, and they proved conclusively that the H19 was totally unsuitable. The helicopter was unable to execute a hover take-off with crew, plus one passenger, at temperatures which would normally be encountered at Quizam. On 3 September 1963 UNYOM abandoned the plan to use helicopters and proposed the use of three additional Utters which were to be obtained from UNCC. This decision was made one day before the first two-month mandate was scheduled to end.

During Formation

28. In the latter part of June 1963, a most critical period as the Yemen force was just being built up, General

Von Horn was reported as saying that the Yemen mission was the "most dangerous, worst equipped and least politically realistic" of the missions he had had. Canadian officials at Cairo stated to External Affairs that they had thought from the very beginning that the United Nations task would be "ungrateful, costly, protracted and hazardous". July it was estimated that the U.A.R. had 32,000 troops in Yemen - the largest number they had ever had. General Von Horn's reports were depressing and contradictory and the U.S. Ambassador was inclined to think that the choice of Von Horn was unfortunate. There was also some doubt whether the observers would be in place before the two months, for which there was financing, expired. The S.S.L.A. felt compelled to send a telegram to Washington stating: "You should make it clear it is the Canadian Government's considered judgment that until such time as this operation is feasible UNYUM should not repeat not proceed with observer operations or engage in air reconnaissance. PERMISNY is being instructed to request Secgen to issue instructions to Von Horn in that sense..."

29. By 2 July General Von Horn had formally established his headquarters at Sanaa and named Colonel Branko Pavlovic, a Yugoslavian, as his second-in-command. Accommodation and living conditions at Sanaa were "primitive but acceptable" and the biggest problem was water which could not be purified by boiling at the 7200-foot altitude. The staff at Sanaa was reported to be "approximately 50" and in the whole area "approximately 96" and was expected to reach 200. The planned aircraft deployment was: two Caribou at Sanaa, two Otters and one helicopter at Najran, and two

helicopters and one Otter at Hudaida. The estimated flying time for the Caribou was 200 hours per month, Otters 75 60 hours per month and helicopters 25 hours per month.

Transport Command relayed two reports to A.F.H.Q.: one from an A.T.C. officer who had accompanied the main contingent from Trenton to Yemen and one from the Commanding Officer 134 A.T.U. These reports were recapitulated by the A/A.O.C. A.T.C. as "...it is sufficient to say that 134 A.T.U. is established in Yemen, that its personnel are housed as comfortably as can be expected in a backward country, and that they are anxious to begin patrol operations as soon as the second Caribou aircraft is received and the Ctter aircraft have been assembled".

Implementation of UNY(M and First Mandate

31. The official date of the implementation of 62 UNYUM was established as 4 July 1963. The Yugoslav reconnaissance troops and the Canadian air contingent of two Caribou and three Otters were all in place by 20 July and by 27 July 134 A.T.U. was able to report that it was operating six aircraft. Two Caribou were based at banaa, two Otters at Quizan and two Otters at Najran. The Caribou were those from 115 A.T.U., three Otters were from UNOC and the fourth Otter was on loan from 115 A.T.U. pending the arrival of the helicopters. The Caribou were "providing transport and liaison in the mission area as well as to Ade.", Asmara and Jedda". The Otters had started preliminary

patrols to familiarize the pilots with the area but were forced to fly in pairs due to lack of communications. It was planned to set up a beacon at both Quizan and Najran because of the "featureless terrain and low visibility". The living conditions at Quizan and Najran were described as primitive. At Quizan the men were billeted in a former meteorological office and subjected to intense heat. At Najran tents were used for accommodation and the men suffered from "constantly blowing sand and dust". The Yugoslavs provided the messing for both units and the food was "reported to be good". The headquarters contingent and crews of the Caribou were billeted in a former hotel at Sanaa in crowded conditions. Their messing was hard 64 rations supplemented by soft rations.

- The Chief of the Air Staff reported to the Chairman, Chiefs of Staff on 7 August that the R.C.A.F., 134 A.T.U. comprised 12 officers and 41 airmen including one officer and eight airmen assigned to the proposed 65 helicopter role. In addition, a naval technician was in the Yemen area from mid-July to mid-August to aid in 66 preparing the helicopter for the assessment trials.
- 33. The first UNYCM observer report was optimistic and indicated that the U.A.R. had withdrawn troops thought 67 to total up to 2000. However, this was not followed by news of any further withdrawals and by 24 July 1963 Canada was informed of a 'recent' off-loading, from a Russian ship, of heavy equipment including 30 medium tanks and a considerable number of anti-tank guns, heavy mortars and vehicles. It

was considered that this equipment was too sophisticated for the use of the Yemeni forces and the implication was that the equipment was destined for the use of U.A.R. The aircraft were also bearing testimony to the troops. lack of disengagement. The Otters based at Majran were fired on on 16 and 17 August, and one of the Otters had its starboard wing creased by a bullet from hostile rifle-On 23 August both Otters based at Majran were "struck by ground fire". The Commanding Officer 134 A.T.U. ordered the aircraft to avoid known hostile areas and fly above 3000 feet. However, since he could obtain no guarantee from the 'locals' that the firings would cease, he suggested that the Otters might have to grounded if there were further incidents. (ne of the Caribou was creased by small arms fire on 26 August.

34. General Von Horn resigned at the end of August* because of insufficient air support, the lack of logistical support, the U.N. leave policy, discriminatory allowances and the lack of medical facilities. The Secretary-General accepted General Von Horn's resignation effective 31 August 1963 and named Colonel Pavlovic as Commander UNYCM on a temporary basis. His appointment on a permanent basis was 74 resisted by Saudi Arabia.

^{*}The Beirut, described on its banner as an independent political daily newspaper, ran a story on 31 August 1963 in which it said; 'Authoritative United Nations sources in Beirut yesterday levelled charges of 'betrayal and maladministration' against their New York Headquarters staff over the U.N. Observer Mission in Yemen". The sources, of course, are not identified. Also see Reference 75.

SECRET

- 20 -

- 35. At the end of the two-month period, on 4 September 1963, the Secretary-General reported to the Security Council. It was not an optimistic report and indicated that, while UNYCM was working to the best of its ability under difficult circumstances, neither Saudi Arabia nor the U.A.R. was living up to the disengagement agreement. The enforcement of the agreement was beyond the responsibility of UNYCM. However, both Saudi Arabia and the U.A.R. had agreed orally to defray the expenses of the mission for two months beyond 4 September. In the report the Secretary-General attempted to explain the situation which led to General Von Horn's resignation but U Thant did say: "The mission in Yemen encounters unusual hardships. Physical conditions are severe. The terrain is rugged. Local supplies and facilities are meagre. Funds are limited. UNYCM personnel and aircraft have been subjected to gunfire and are frequently in danger".
- Military Adviser, and Colonel Pavlovic inspected the mission and made suggestions for a different deployment of the Yugoslav contingent. It was suggested that the Yugoslav troop in Quizan move to Maydi and the troop in Sada move to Zahran. The new permanent commander, when appointed, was to consider the suggestion that the Yugoslav patrols be reduced from fifteen to six or eight; the offer of Saudi Arabia to establish check points in the buffer zone and to man them with joint Saudi and United Nations teams would be accepted. This was an offer that Von Horn had rejected. Cn the basis of the mandate's terminating on 4 November 1963

the Secretary-General decided to appoint Major-General D.S. 79
Gyani of India as Commander, UNYOM.

Additional Otters

When it was decided that H19 helicopters were not suitable for use in Yemen the United Nations Secretariat decided to deploy three operational Otters from the Congo to Yemen. Canada was requested to provide the necessary air and maintenance crews to operate these sircraft. Otter on loan from UNEF was to be returned on the arrival of the three Ctters from the Congo. Canada was also asked to supply a senior N.C.O. to supervise the loading of the Otters for the flight to the Yemen area. Canada suggested that the loading supervision was beyond the capacity of one man and the Secretariat agreed that the H.C.A.F. dispatch "personnel they consider required to carry out Canada officially undertook to operate and this taskit. maintain the three additional Otters and sent a special technical party comprising one officer and three technicians to the Congo, departing Trenton 9 September and arriving at Leopoldville on 11 September. By 2 Cctober the three Otter aircraft had been disassembled, flown by USAF C130 aircraft to Aden, assembled and made serviceable for local test flights. They were not completely operational due to the non-arrival of some parts. It is interesting to note that a recommendation was made regarding the fuselage stands used in loading and transporting the Utters: "It is understood that the stands used in the New Guinea operation are at Calgary and it is recommended these be used on all The special party departed Aden for future operations".

return to Canada on 2 October, "there being no further work to be done pending the arrival of parts and as the party from 134 A.T.T. would be sufficient to desnag after test 85 flight".

Second Mandate

- October 1963. As the time approached for approval of continued United Nations participation in Yemen the Secretary-General made a report to the Security Council.

 Because of the lack of a firm commitment by Saudi Arabia to continue financial support, U Thant stated his intention of maintaining a civilian United Nations presence under the authority of the Secretary-General relating to the maintenance 86 of peace and security. The U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia finally agreed to pay for a further two months and the Secretary-General did not have to seek refuge in his own 87 authority. Canada agreed to continue its contribution.
- General stated that there had been no decisive change in the situation during September and October. Checks, since 10 September, had shown that there was no military assistance from Saudi Arabia to the Yemeni royalists and that U.A.R. air activity had decreased. Although UNYOM had become more efficient, its limitations, said the Secretary-General, "[make] it virtually impossible...to play a really helpful role in Yemen". He also stated that the settlement in Yemen was primarily political and required a political solution.

- 40. The functions of UNYCM were repeated as 'observing, certifying and reporting'. During this second mandate the mission had been deployed:
 - a. in Yemen:
 - (i) Sanaa UNYCM headquarters and headquarters of 134 ATU, with Caribou aircraft,
 - (ii) Hadaida one United Nations Military Observer (UNMO) and a logistic detachment,
 - (iii) Sada one section of Yugoslav troops;
 - b. in the demilitarized zone;
 - (i) Harad two UNMOs and one platoon of Yugoslav troops,
 - (ii) Najran two platoons (less one section) of Yugoslav troops, four UNMOs and a 134 A.T.U. detachment of two Otters.
 - c. in Saudi Arabia;
 - (i) Guizan two UNMOs and a 134 A.T.U. 89
 detachment of two Ctters.
- 41. The Caribou were used principally on logistic, liaison flights and reconnaissance; the Otters were used on daily patrols in the Guizan and Najran areas. The two Caribou jointly logged 109 hours in September and 193 hours in October. The combined Otter force logged 126 hours in September and 111 hours in October. It was noted that the aircraft had proved to be effective in spotting convoys and reporting them to the military observations posts.

- 42. Canada was beginning to doubt the value of Otter aircraft in this operation. It was stated by A.O.C.

 A.T.C. that "the Yemen is no place for a single-engine aircraft". Concern about the rotation of personnel was also voiced, since the planning for Canadian participation in Yemen had been based on four or possibly six months' duration. The A.O.C., who had recently visited the Yemen, reported that "...the Yemen is a primitive country which has an inhospitable climate. For the most part personnel live at and fly at oxygen altitude but do not have oxygen equipment". He was convinced "that four months is already too long a period for some on this operation. There has been a high sickness rate and by normal standards severe living and operating conditions prevail".
- 43. Canada was requested to continue the air unit
 and three staff officers for two months beyond 4 November,
 93
 and agreed but wafned the Secretary-General that there
 were certain adjustments which would have to be made.
 The C.A.S. informed C.C.C.S. of the necessity of training
 Caribou replacement pilots by contract with DeHavilland
 and of the desirability of providing additional Caribou
 to the UNYCM/UNEF complex, replacing the Otters in Yemen
 94
 with two Caribou.

Third Mandate

44. From the beginning of November there was a definite change in the complexion of UNYOM. In keeping with his report to the Security Council the Secretary-General named Pier Spinelli, the Director of the European

Office of the United Nations, to succeed General Gyani as 95 head of UNYOM and also as Special Representative of the 96 Secretary-General. The Secretary-General would not admit that the appointment was a 'prelude' to a more civilian character for UNYOM but did state that during the period, which was to end 4 January 1964, there would have to be a review of the situation - as further continuation of UNYOM would have to be on a different basis.

- November and this caused serious concern in Canada. A changed role for 134 A.T.U. was envisaged and was complicated by the growing conviction that the Otters were not suitable for conditions in Yemen. The Otters were also becoming a burden due to their continuing and extensive 99 maintenance requirements. It was considered that the Canadian unit was not properly equipped for a reconnaissance 100 function.
- The Secretary-General received a report from Mr. Spinelli and General Gyani in which they made recommendations that would allow UNYOM to assume a more political and less military stance. These recommendations included the remarks: "the Yugoslav unit had become superfluous"; "the observer corps had to be significantly increased"; "if the Canadian government were to insist, UNYOM could do without otters after mid-December, but...the removal of the air unit would be at cost of effectiveness of UNYOM". U Thant himself "made it clear that he could not dispense with the air unit and that its total withdrawal would oblige him to find an

alternative source...or to close down the operation". He also stated that with the increase in number and quality of observers there would be no change in the role of 134 A.T.U. 101 and that "only token reconnaissance" would continue.

It later evolved that one Yugoslav unit was to remain at 102 Najran.

A visit to Quizan was made by Flight-Lieutenant 47. P. belly, a medical officer from A.T.C., Trenton, to investigate the living conditions and health of the Canadian contingent. After his visit the 134 A.T.U. detachment at Quizan was withdrawn with the concurrence of UNYCM headquarters. The patrols that had been carried out by the Otters based at Quizan were taken over by Caribou aircraft. The Caribou were able to take on this responsibility due to the reduction in their flying requirements after the departure of the Yugoslavs. By 4 December the Caribou flying consisted of two circuit flights and one flight to Aden each week. It was suggested that it would also be possible to fly the Najran patrols with a Caribou, thus eliminating the Otters and ending all R.C.A.F. outpost operations. This would permit a personnel reduction to "approximately 25" in 134 A.T.U. and, if the unit were made a detachment of 115 A.T.U., El Arish, a further reduction of five could be accomplished. These suggestions went forward from UNYCM Headquarters, Sanaa, to the United Nations in New York and the military staff of the Secretary-General agreed with the proposal. By 6 December Canada was officially informed of the Secretary-General's agreement to withdraw the Utters from

Yemen and allow the Caribou to be attached to the R.C.A.F.

106
unit in UNEF. Subsequently A.T.C. reported to A.F.H...
that 134 A.T.U. had become a detachment of 115 A.T.U.
effective 15 December 1963 and that an establishment of
107
28 positions was required. A.F.H... had been preparing
a new Organization Order showing the official date of
this transfer as 2 January 1964. It was agreed to let the
108
official date of 2 January 1964 stand without amendment.

- 48. The six Otters, as of 23 December 1963, were deployed with two at El Arish, two at Guizan and two at Najran. One aircraft at each location was unserviceable. They were all subsequently made serviceable and gathered 109 at El Arish to be returned to the United Nations. The R.C.A.F. unit in UNEF, after the aircraft had been accepted by United Nations officials, provided maintenance 110 for the Ctters while disposal arrangements were made.
- 49. Knowing that U Thant intended to change the emphasis of UNYCN, Canada requested to be informed of lill his intentions for the mission from 4 January 1964.

 This information was necessary to Canada since the original Cabinet approval extended to 4 January; any further Canadian participation would have to considered and approved by the Cabinet. The Permanent Mission in New York relayed the information that the Secretary-General considered it essential to continue in Yemen but that the continuation in its existing form would again depend on the provision of funds by U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia. While the Secretariat could not announce its intention to prolong UNYCM for a

period longer than two months Canada was to expect a further eight or nine months' participation. The level of Canada's activities would be the manning of two Caribou - flying from Sanaa but based at El Arish for administration and 112 maintenance. The aircraft would be expected to make one supply flight and "one or two supporting reconnaissance 113 flights weekly". The Secretary-General reiterated his intention to maintain UNYOM even if the U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia refused to underwrite the costs. In that event, however, the mission would be on a reduced basis, but in 114 either case the R.C.A.F. detachment was essential.

The New Year

- Canadian Cabinet decided on 3 January 1964 to continue in Yemen after 4 January "alon, the lines and to the extent already outlined by the Secretary-General of the United Nations". The Cabinet also decided to continue to participate as long as the S.S.E.A., in consultation with the Minister of National Defence, considered it desirable but subject to review every six months by the government. The Secretary-General was not to appraised of this latter 115 approval.
- 51. The report made to the Security Council by the Secretary-General was far from optimistic. He outlined the changes that had taken place in the November-December phase. The total Yugoslav contingent had been withdrawn; the final group had left the Najran area on 25 November. Military observers had been increased to 22 and had been

deployed with three at Sanaa, ten at Najran, five at Quizan, two at Sada, one at Hudaida, and at Jeddah one liaison officer. The air unit had been changed by the withdrawal of the Otters from Quizan and Najran but the Caribou had been retained at Sanaa.

- General to comment on the progress of disengagement in the following words: "...while developments are, in a limited way, encouraging...I reiterate the belief that the solution of the problem lies beyond the potential of UNYOM under its original mandate". However, he also stated that without UNYOM the situation would deteriorate and he sought and gained the approval from members of the Security Council to continue. Saudi Arabia and the U.A.R. agreed to continue their support for a further two months.
- 53. The observers had reported to the U.N. that the U.A.R. troops in Yemen had been reduced by 4000 in the 117

 November-December phase. However Ralph Bunche, reporting at the end of the next month, estimated that "if anything numbers had been increased in January...U.A.R. force was somewhere between 20,000 and 30,000" but UNYOM itself had not come under attack. U Thant was reported as saying that he expected UNYOM to remain in Yemen at least until 118 the middle of 1964.
- 54. By the end of January living conditions at Sanaa caused the R.C.A.F. to send a construction engineer there to investigate the accommodation being used by the 119 Canadians. He was to select a new site if desirable.

- Department of External Affairs on 13 February 1964 that R.C.A.F. activity in support of UNYOM consisted of "two Caribou aircraft on continuous duty and one North Star 120 supply flight weekly, El Arish to Sanaa". In addition, an Air Adviser still remained on the staff of UNYOM 121 headquarters at Sanaa.
- The L.C.A.F. unit complained that security of 56. the aircraft and personnel accommodation was inadequate but was informed that security could only be obtained with an additional 30 people and increased cost to the mission. These could not be supplied. The 115 A.T.U. proposed to the Commander UNYUM that all R.C.A.F. aircraft and personnel, with the exception of the Air adviser, be removed from Yemen to El Arish. This movement would relieve the problem of suitable accommodation for the personnel in Sanaa and still allow air transport requirements to be met. It would also permit better utilization of the Caribou fleet in 115 A.T.U. The Air staff Officer of UNYOM at first opposed this proposal but reversed his stand due to increased royalist strength in the Sanaa area. He did "not feel that the U.N. will get any warning of impending revolt...nor...expect that the U.N. will enjoy any immunity in the blood bath likely to occur in a general uprising in Sanaa, since the U.N. operation has worked mainly to the benefit of the U.A.R. *
- 57. The 115 A.T.U. detachment in Yemen informed the A.G.C. A.T.C. on 21 February that United Nations
 Headquarters, UNYCM and UNEF had accepted the move of the

detachment to El Arish and that only the Air Staff Officer 123 and visiting air crews would be accommodated at Sanaa.

From 1 March the air activity on a weekly basis would be: 1st day, El Arish to Jeddah; 2nd day, Jeddah to Cuizan, Sada, Ugd and Sanaa; 3rd, 4th and 5th days, as required by UNYCM from Sanaa; 6th day, Sanaa to Ugd, Sada, Cuizan and Jeddah; 7th day, Jeddah to El Arish. The North Star flights from El Arish were to be limited to two flights in 125 March and two flights in April, but this service was discontinued entirely by 7 April.

- 58. At the beginning of Earch, Canada received a further request to stay in Yemen for yet another two month 127 128 extension, until 4 May 1964, and agreed.
- 59. In April, and in anticipation of another request to extend UNYCM for the two months from 4 May to 4 July, Canada informed the Secretary-General of its willingness 129 to continue.
- 60. In May the situation on the southern border of Yemen became more inflammatory. Discussions were held as to the possibility of extending UNYOM to cover any activity in that area. The Air Staff Officer, UNYOM, drew up a report of the air requirements that would be necessary if UNYOM were to be so expanded. This extension of interest 130 did not develop however.
- 61. At a Cabinet meeting on 25 June it was agreed that Canada would continue in Yemen to "the extent of its present participation, and providing that financing continues

- to be available". The situation in Yemen remained relatively unchanged except that it was reported: "U.A.R. 132 strength remains between 30,000 to 40,000" an estimate that exceeded the 20,000 to 30,000 estimated by Ralph Bunche in February. Saudi Arabia and U.A.A. were again asked to provide the funds for the extension and the nations supplying the observers were requested to continue their 133 contributions.
- 62. UNYOM continued at this level until 4 September 1964. The Secretary-General announced its end in a report 134 to the Security Council on 2 September 1964 and expressed his appreciation and gratitude to the Government of Canada. The last R.C.A.F. aircraft left UNYOM 15 September and 135 returned to Trenton. This ended Canada's active participation in a most frustrating peace-keeping operation.

R.C.A.F. Considerations for the Future

had become very concerned about the conditions under which R.C.A.F. personnel were being forced to live and operate in the Yemen. Until that time, and as it transpired for an additional eight months, the mission operated on successive two month mandates. Conditions that could be accepted for a two month period became increasingly difficult to condone as consecutive renewals extended the life of UNYCM. An R.C.A.F. Medical Officer, sent to the Yemen by Air Transport Command, reported on his return to Canada. The A.C.C. then submitted his comments to Air Force Headquarters:

most helpful to me in formulating my opinions of the Yemen and United Nations operations anywhere. I am disposed towards the belief that there is a feeling in United Nations circles that Canadians are an easy touch and much more malleable than other nationalities...we seem to them to be capable of existing on promises and...we begin operations with less than adequate United Nations provided facilities and equipments. The worst example of this is Yemen where living conditions of R.C.A.F. personnel are simply described as atrocious. The degradation of Canadian standards of hygiene, health, sleeping and eating is too much to expect of Canadian servicemen in peacetime operations...we in the R.C.A.F. have reached the peak of our endurance in the filthy living environment of Yemen... 136

64. These comments were received in Air Force Headquarters and instigated discussions which it was considered would lead to "organized preparations to acquire and hold, in the F.C.A.F., a capability for operations at short notice in any of the parts of the world where U.N. type of troubles seem likely...". The A.O.C. A.T.C. followed up his message report with a lengthy letter report: in which he summarized the difficulties encountered in both. UNTEA and UNYCM - and the difficulties were almost identical: little or no U.N. advance planning; inadequate quarters and rations; no sound 'allowance' policy; dangerous operations due to lack of knowledge of the terrain and poor or nonexistent navigational aids; and, in Yemen, inadequate health and hygienic standards. A 'Planning Guide for Air Transport' Command Participation in U.N. Operations' was forwarded for ' the consideration of A.F.H.Q. and it was suggested that a similar guide for future operations might be considered desirable at the Headquarters level. It was proposed that in future participation the responsibility for assuring

adequate personnel accommodation, messing, health and hygiene standards and tactical communication and navigational aids pass from the United Nations to Canada.

taken in hand by the Chief of Operations and he addressed the other sub-divisional chiefs on 31 January 1964 outlining the problem and suggesting a series of meetings. It was hoped that their joint proposals could be consolidated into a submission for the approval of the Air Council.

There is no indication in the files perused in the preparation of this report that such a meeting was convened.

In Retrospect

- that the Secretary-General of the United Nations felt the need to use the machinery of the organization at the time that the U.N. intervention in West New Guinea was drawing to a successful close. There are a few superficial similarities in the two episodes but the differences are fundamental. It would seem that U Thant suggested a somewhat similar solution for the Yemen problem, based on the superficial similarities but without due regard to the political difference of the situation.
- 67. In West New Guinea the native population was not actively involved in the political machinations that engulfed it. The Netherlands was quite prepared to withdraw from West New Guinea and the entire area and was fighting a rearguard action that would give the Papuans a chance to become

independent. The United Nations, by installing a temporary executive authority, provided for the Netherlands to withdraw somewhat gracefully. In essence the Dutch turned over West New Guinea to the United Nations who, in turn, allowed the Indonesians to take charge.

- In Yemen, however, two external powers have a continuing interest in the type of government in control. Their conflict had not been on a first person basis but had been through factions warring within Yemen. Regardless of the initial intent of the United Arab Republic and Saudi Arabia, civil war continued throughout the period of Canada's involvement. Neither U.A.R. nor Saudi Arabia was willing to stand aside and see its particular way of political life, and its influence, become submerged in an area so close to its own borders. What was required was for one of the protagonists to accept political defeat. The United Nations intervention then could have fulfilled the role of face-saving so necessary in international politics. The United Nations, without a force large enough, strong enough and willing enough to go to war, cannot solve a problem such as that in Yemen without the virtual surrender of one of the belligerents or the full cooperation of both.
- A more ill-conceived or disorganized mission than that in Yemen cannot be visualized. The Secretary-General was forced to use every political subterfuge to gain approval of the Security Council to establish the mission.

Saudi Arabia and the U.A.R. created much difficulty by withholding more than two months' financial support at one time, and by naming three different Heads of the Mission in the space of seven months the United Nations contributed to the confusion. To blame the Secretary-General for the failure would be unjust. The fault lies with basic international politics and with the U.A.R. and Saudi Arabia, neither of which desired the intervention of the United Nations and neither of which fulfilled the terms of disengagement to which they had given reluctant acceptance. In the frustrating months during which UNYCN was in existence the number of U.A.P. troops in Yemen actually increased and the southern border of Yemen become an additional problem. The U.N. then withdrew. Whether or not the existence of UNYCM averted a major conflict, it certainly did not succeed in attaining any degree of peace in Yemen. The same problems, at approximately the same degree of intensity, still remain.

70. This report was prepared by L/Cmdr F.W. Bryan.

S.F. Wise pirector of History.

SLURLT

REFERENCES

- 1. Encyclopedia Britannica Book of the Year, 1963, 557, 807.
- Unnumbered Tel., TCR 301520Z, Permanent Mission New York (Permisny) to External, 30 April 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- 3. <u>Ibid.</u>, 3.
- 4. Ibid., 3-4.
- Tel. No. 592, Permisny to External, 30 Sep 63.
 C.C.C.S. to U.S.S.E.A., 1 May 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- 6. Tel. No. DL618, External to Permisny, 2 May 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3126, vol 1.
- 7. Unnumbered Tel., TCR 301520Z, Permisny to External, 30 Apr 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- 8. U.S.S.E.A. to C.C.C.S., 3 May 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- 9. V.C.A.S. to CCps, 8 May 63, V.C.A.S. to A.M.T.S., 8 May 63, Msg. No. CCP104, Canairhed to Canairlift, S 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- 10. Tel. No. 191, Cairo to External, 2 May 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3129, vol 1.
- 11. Tel. No. 750, Permisny to External, 28 May 63, (copy not on dept. files but noted in Tel. No. 758, Permisny to External, 29 May 63).
- 12. Tel. No. 758, Permisny to External, 29 May 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3151, vol 1.
- 13. Paper presenting Canadian View on Yemen United Nations
 Operations. Letter to Acting Assistant Under-Secretary,
 United Nations Division, 2 May 63, 653.003 (D12).
- 14. Tel. No. 807, Permisny to External, 10 June 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3163, vol 1.
- 15. Tel. No. 767, Permisny to External, 31 May 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.
- C.A.S. to Minister, 3 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol. 1.

- 17. Tel. No. 703, Permisny to External, 15 May 63, TD 3137, vol 1.
- 18. Tel. Mo. NE97, External to Permisny, 4 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3162, vol 1.
- Tel. No. 807, Permisny to External, 10 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3163, vol 1.
- 20. Tel. No. NE97, External to Permisny, 4 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3162, vol 1.
- 21. Tel. No. 789, Permisny to External, 6 June 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 1.
- 22. Tel. No. NE102, xternal to Permisny, 7 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3165E, vol 1.
- 23. Tel. No. 792, Permisny to External, 7 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3162P, vol 1.
- 24. Tel. No. 808, Permisny to External, 10 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3162P, vol 1.
- 25. Tel. No. 1 E97, External to Permisny, 4 June 63, \$ 003-100-85/735, TD 3162, vol 1. Tel. No. 805, Permisny to External, 8 June 63, \$ 003-100-85/735, TD 3163, vol 1.
- 26. Tel. No. 812, Permisny to External, 10 June 63 S 003-100-85/735, TD 3165A, vol 1.
- 27. Tel. No. 260, Cairo to External, 11 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3168A, vol 1.
- 28. Tel. No. 818, Permisny to External, 11 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3164A, vol 1.
- 29. Record of Cabinet Decision, 13 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 1. Tel. No. 829, Permisny to External, 13 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3165C, vol 1. Tel. No. DL839, External to Permisny, 13 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3165C, vol 1.
- 30. Tel. No. DL839, External to Permisny, 13 June 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 31650, vol 1.
- 31. Ibid.
- 32. Tel. No. 217, Permisny to External, 11 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3164A, vol 1.
- 33. Ibid.
- 34. DPA/PA2-2 to DPA, 17 June 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 1.

- 35. DTRO to Distribution List, 20 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 1.
- 36. Tel. No. 846, Permisny to External, 18 June 63, 3 003-100-85/735, TD 3170, vol 1.
- 37. CAS to CCCS, 14 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3165 vcl 1.
- 38. Tel. No. 845, Permisny to External, 18 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3170, vol 1.
- 39. Tel. No. 847, Permisny to External, 18 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3170, vol 1.

 Msg. No. TRO266, Canairhed to Canairlift, 26 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3170, vol 1.
- 40. Tel. No. 273, Cairo to External, 19 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3177, vol 2.
- Msg. No. Al4, Canairlift to Canairhed, 19 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 1.
 Tel. No. ME139, External to Permisny, 19 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3175A, vol 1.
- 42. Ibid.
- 43. Tel. No. 852, Permisny to External, 18 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3172A, vol 1.
- 44. Msg. No. Al9, Canairlift to Canairhed 19 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3175, vol 2.
- 45. Msg. No. VCAS 43, Canairhed to Canairlift, 21 June 63, 3 003-100-85/735; vol 2.
- 46. Tel No. 864, Permisny to External, 20 June 63, 3 003-100-85/735, TD 3172P, vol 2.
- 47. COps to A/CAS, 20 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3171E, vol 1. A/CAS to CNS, 21 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3171E, vol 1. CCCS to USSEA, 5 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3171E, vol 1. COps to A/CAS, 25 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3178 vol 2.
- 48. A/CAS to CCUS, 24 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3172P, vol 2.
- 49. COps to A/CAS, July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3183, vol 2.
- 50. A/CAS to CCOS, 3 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3183, vol 2.

- 51. CNS to CCCS, 25 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3226P, vol 3.

 Tel. No. DL 958, External, to Permisny, 9 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3226P, vol 3.

 Msg. No. CCP158, Canairhed to Canairlift, 12 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3191, vol 3.

 CAS to CNS, 10 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3191, vol 3.

 CCps to VGAS, 10 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3191, vol 3.

 Msg. No. A33, Canairlift to Canairhed, 9 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3191, vol 3.
- 52. Msg. No. F13, 134 ATU to Canairhed, 18 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 53. Ibid.
- 54. Msg. No. AC2, 134, ATU to Canairlift, 30 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3246, vol 3. Msg. Nc. CCP180, Canairhed to Canairlift, 4 Sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 55. <u>Ibid.</u>, Tel. No. 1128, Permisny to External, 3 Sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3246, vol 3.
- 56. Tel. No. 1410, Nato Paris to External, 26 June 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3172P, vol 2.
- 57. Tel. No. 279, Cairo to External, 21 June 63 S 003-100-85/735, TD 3177C, vol 2.
- 58. Tel. No. 297, Cairo to External, 5 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3197B, vol 2.
- 59. Tel. No. ME154, External to Washington, 28 June 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3189, vol 2.
- 60. Tel. No. 927, Permisny to External, 2 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3189A, vol 2.
- 61. ACC ATC to CAS, 5 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 2.
- 62. Secretary-General's Report, S/5412, 4 Sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3256, vol 3.
- 63. Tel. No. 971, Permisny to External, 18 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3204, vol 2.
- 64. Msg. No. K8, 134 ATU to Canairhed, 27 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3212, vol 2.
- 65. CAS to CCCS, 7 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3219, vol 3.

- Msg. No. A33, Canairlift to Canairhed, 9 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3191, vol 3. CNS to CCCS, 25 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3226P, vol 3. Msg. No. K13, 134 ATU to Canairhed, 18 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 67. Tel. No. 971, Permisny to External, 5 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3197B, vol 2.
- 68. Tel. No. 992, Permisny to External, 24 July 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3212A, vol 3.
- 69. Msg. No. K13, 134 ATU to Canairhed, 18 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 70. Msg. No. M.16, 134 ATU to Canairlift, 24 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 71. Tel. No. 1134, Permisny to External, 4 sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3253A, vol 3.
- 72. Tel. No. 375, Cairo to External, 26 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3246A, vol 3.
- 73. Tel. No. 1107, Permisny to External, 28 Aug 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3246B, vol 3.
- 74. Tel. No. 382, Cairo to External, 28 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3246B, vol 3. Tel. No. 374, Cairo to External, 26 Aug 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3246A, vol 3.
- 75. Major-General Carl C. Von Horn, Soldiering for Peace, McKay David Co., (New York, 1966).
- 76. Secretary-General's Report, S/5412, 4 Sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3256, vol 3.
- 77. Ibid., 3.
- 78. Tel. No. 1155, Permisny to External, 9 Sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 79. Ibid.
- 80. Tel. No. 1137, Permisny to External, 4 sep 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3253P, vol 3.
- 81. Tel. No. 1144, Permisny to External, 5 Sep 63, 3 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 82. Msg. No. DMP 197, Canairhed to Canairmat, 1 Gct 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 3.
- 83. ACC ATC to CAS, 9 Dec 63, (attached report dated 24 Oct 63), S 003-100-85/735, TD 3345A, vol 5.

- 84. Ibid., 3.
- 85. Ibid., 4.
- 86. Tel. No. 1645, Permisny to External, 30 Oct 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3305, vol 3.
- 87. Tel. No. 1571, Candelny to External, 24 Cct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3. Tel. No. 1659, Permisny to External, 31 Cct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3309, vol 4. Tel. No. 1682, Permisny to External, 1 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3. Tel. No. 1E 293, External, to Permisny, 2 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 88. Tel. No. ME 293, External to Permisny, 2 Nov £3, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 89. Tel. No. 1645, Permisny to External, 30 Cct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3305, vol 3.
- 90. Tel. No. 1855, Permisny to External, 14 Nov 63, 3 003-100-85/735, TD 3323, vol 4.
- 91. CAS to CCCS, 30 Cct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vcl 3. Msg. No. A 100, Canairlift to Canairhed, 28 Cct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 92. Tel. No. 1682, Permisny to External, 1 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 93. Tel. No. ME 2°3, External to Permisny, 2 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 94. CAS to CCCS, 30 Uct 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3301, vol 3.
- 95. Tel. No. 1717, Permisny to External, 4 Nov 63, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 4.
- 96. Tel. No. 1820, Permisny to External, 12 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3323A, vol 4.
- 97. Tel. No. 1717, Permisny to External, 4 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 4.
- 98. Tel. No. ME 306, External to Permisny, 13 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 4. Tel. No. 3723, Washington to External, 14 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3322, vol 4. Tel. No. ME 308, External to Permisny, 15 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3322, vol 4. Tel. No. 1820, Permisny to External, 12 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3323A, vol 4.
- 99. Tel. No. 1138, and amendment, Geneva to External, 17 Dec 63, 3 003-100-85/735, TD 3358A, vol 5.

- 100. Tel. No. ME 308, External to Permisny, 15 Nov 63, 5 003-100-85/735, TD 3322, vol 4.
- 101. Tel. No. 1900, Candelny to External, 19 Nov 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3325, vol 4.
- 102. Tel. No. 3851, Washington to External, 22 Nov 63, S 003-160-85/735, TD 3331, vol 4.
- 103. CCps to VCAS, 4 Dec 63, 3 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 104. Msg. No. A99, Canairlift to Canairhed, 4 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 105. Tel. No. 2050, Permisny to External, 4 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 106. Tel. No. 2087, Permisny to External, 6 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 107. Msg. No. A33, Canairlift to Canairhed, 23 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 108. Minute G2 to CG3, 3 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 109. Msg. No. A33, Canairlift to Canairhed, 23 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 110. Tel. No. 157, Permisny to External, 5 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4043, vcl 5. A/CAS to CCCS, 21 Feb 64, S 003-10C-85/735, TD 4043, vcl 5.
- 111. Tel. No. 2284, Permisny to External, 20 Dec 63,
 S 003-100-85/735, TD 3364, vol 5.
 Tel. No. DL 1750, External to Permisny,
 20 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3364, vol 5.
 Tel. No. 2287, Permisny to External, 23 Dec 63,
 S 003-100-85/735, TD 3365, vol 5.
- 112. Tel. No. 2284, Permisny to External, 20 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3364, vol 5. Tel. No. DL 1750, External to Permisny, 20 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3364, vol 5. Tel. No. 2287, Permisny to External, 23 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 3365, vol 5.
- 113. Ibid.
- 114. Tel. No. 2295, Permisny to External, 31 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4006A, vol 5.
- 115. Tel. No. 7, Permisny to External, 3 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 5. Tel. No. 1, Permisny to External, 2 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4006C, vol 5. Tel. No. G 201, External to Permisny, 4 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4008, vol 5. Record of Cabinet Decision, 3 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4015, vol 5.

- 116. Ibid.
- 117. Tel. No. 7, Permisny to external, 3 Jan 64, 3 003-100-85/735, vol 5.
- 118. Tel. No. 202, Permisny to External, 13 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4048, vol 5.
- 119. Tel. No. DL 181, External to Permisny, 24 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4028, vol 5.
- 120. Tel. No. 204, Permisny to External, 13 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4048A, vol 5.
- 121. Tel. No. 189, Permisny to External, 11 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4044, vol 5. Tel. No. DL 296, External to Permisny, 11 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4044, vol 5.
- 122. Tel. No. 204, Permisny to External, 13 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4048A, vol 5. ACC ATC to CAS, 17 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4050, vol 5.
- 123. Msg. No. A 33, Canairlift to Canairhed, 21 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4050, vol 5.
- 124. Tel. No. 268, Permisny to External, 24 Feb 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4048A, vol 5.
- 125. Unnumbered Tel., Permisny to External, 5 Mar 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 6.
- 126. Unnumbered Tel., Permisny to Canairhed, 7 Apr 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 6.
- 127. Tel. No. 324, Permisny to External, 3 Mar 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4059, vol 6. Tel. No. 336, Permisny to External, 5 Mar 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4069, vol 6.
- 128. Tel. No. ME 89, External, to Permisny, 6 Mar 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4072, vol 6.
- 129. Tel. No. 663, Permisny to External, 30 Apr 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4125, vol 6. CCCS to USSEA, 4 May 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4125, vol 6.
- 130. ASC UNEF to CAS, 26 May 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 6.
 Minute DTRO/TRO2 to DTRO, 2 July 64, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 6.

- 131. Record of Cabinet Decision, 25 June 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4184, vol 6.
- 132. Tel. No. 1010, Permisny to External, 30 June 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4185, vol 6.
- 133. Ibid.
- 134. Tel. No. 1290, Permisny to External, 8 Sep 64, S 003-100-85/735, vol 6.
- 135. Msg. No. TRC 120, Canforcehed to Canairlift, 10 Sep 64, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 6.

 Msg. No. F 86, Canairlift to Canforcehed, 9 Sep 64, 5 003-100-85/735, vol 6.
- 136. Msg. No. A 30, Canairlift to Canairhed, 20 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4031B, vol 6.
- 137. VCAS to CCps, 30 Dec 63, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4031B, vol 6.
- 138. ACC ATC to CAS, 27 Jan 64, 5 003-100-15/735, TD 4031B, vol 6.
- 139. CCps to Distribution List, 31 Jan 64, S 003-100-85/735, TD 4031B, vol 6.