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The Canadian labour force was estimated at 7,123,000 in the week ended May 22, 1965. This total included $6,858,000$ persons employed for all or part of the week and 265,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. From April, the labour force increased by 155,000; the number of employed persons increased by 261,000, while the number of unemployed decreased by 106,000 . Compared with May 1964, the labour force was up 209,000, the employed having increased by 237,000 and the unemployed having decreased by 28,000 .

Of the total increase in employment from April, 92,000 was in agriculture and 169,000
in nonagricultural industries. Employment in nonagricultural industries was 256,000 higher than in May 1964 and agricultural employment was 19,000 lower. Men accounted for 220,000 of the increase in employment between April and May and women, for 41,000. Employment was higher than in May 1964 by 130,000 among men and by 107,000 among women.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in May was 3.7, compared with rates of 5.3 in April this year and of 4.2 in May last year. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in May 1965 was 4.2.


## The Labour Force

The number of persons in the Canadian labour force averaged 6,933,000 in 1964, an increase of 691,000 , or 11.1 per cent from 1959. The male labour force at $4,961,000$ was 5.8 per cent and the female labour force at $1,972,000$ was 26.9 per cent larger than in 1959. In 1964, the proportion of women in the total labour force was 28.4 per cent as compared with 24.9 per cent in 1959.


Changes in the age composition of the male labour force between 1959 and 1964 were small. Among men, the largest relative increase in the labour force was in the group $14-19$ years of age.

> Men in the Labour Force

1964 1959

| Age Group | Number <br> $\left(000^{\prime} s\right)$ | $\%$ | Number <br> $\left(000^{\prime} s\right)$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Al1 ages | 4,961 | 100.0 | 4,687 | 100.0 |
| $14-19$ | 398 | 8.0 | 350 | 7.5 |
| $20-24$ | 547 | 11.0 | 495 | 10.6 |
| $25-44$ | 2,297 | 46.3 | 2,257 | 48.2 |
| $45-64$ | 1,542 | 31.1 | 1,391 | 29.7 |
| $65+$ | 178 | 3.6 | 194 | 4.1 |

The number of women in the labour force continued to expand rapidly. All age groups experienced substantial increases between 1959 and 1964, ranging between 19.0 per cent for those 14 19 years of age and 44.5 per cent for the group 45-64 years of age. This latter group has shown the most dynamic rate of growth since 1953 and the women in this group now comprise 26.7 per cent of the total female labour force.

Women in the Labour Force
1964
1959

| Age Group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al1 ages | 1,972 | 100,0 | 1,554 | 100.0 |
| 14-19 | 301 | 15.3 | 253 | 16.3 |
| 20-24 | 331 | 16.8 | 268 | 17.2 |
| 25-44 | 767 | 38.9 | 636 | 40.9 |
| 45-64 | 526 | 26.7 | 364 | 23.4 |
| $65+$ | 46 | 2.3 | 34 | 2.2 |

All regions contributed to the increase in the total labour force during the period 1959 1964, relative gains ranging between 8.7 per cent for the Atlantic region and 14.9 per cent for British Columbia. The regional distribution of the labour force did not change appreciably during the period. Ontario and Quebec together continued to account for almost two-thirds of the total labour force.

The Regional Labour Force

|  | 1964 |  | 1959 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | \% | Number $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | \% |
| Canada | 6,933 | 100.0 | 6,242 | 100.0 |
| Atlantic | 588 | 8.5 | 541 | 8.7 |
| Quebec | 1,951 | 28.1 | 1,758 | 28.2 |
| Ontario | 2,556 | 36.9 | 2,301 | 36.9 |
| Prairies | 1,199 | 17.3 | 1,084 | 17.4 |
| B. C. | 639 | 9.2 | 556 | 8.9 |

The Canadian population 14 years of age and over rose by $1,212,000$ between 1959 and 1964.
This increase of 10.4 per cent was only slightly less than the corresponding increase in the total labour force. Consequently, there was little change in the total participation rate which fluctuated between 53.8 per cent and 54.2 per cent. The increase in the male population ( 9.8 per cent), however, was relatively much higher than the increase in the male labour force. As a result the percentage of the male population in the labour force declined from 81.0 in 1959 to 78.1 in 1964. On the other hand, the increase in the female population ( 11.1 per cent) was considerably lower than the growth of the female labour force. The participation rate for for women rose substantially from 26.7 per cent to 30.5 per cent during the period.

## Labour Force Participation Rates (1)

|  | Total |  | Men | Women |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1964 | 54.1 |  | 78.1 | 30.5 |
| 1963 | 53.8 | 78.5 | 29.6 |  |
| 1962 | 53.9 | 79.1 | 29.0 |  |
| 1961 | 54.1 |  | 79.8 | 28.7 |
| 1960 | 54.2 | 80.7 | 27.9 |  |
| 1959 | 53.8 | 81.0 | 26.7 |  |

(1) The percentage of the population in each group in the labour force.

The pattern of male labour force participation is a continuation of an almost uninterrupted deciine in evidence since the beginning of the post-war period. Men $14-19$ years of age and 05 years of age and over continued to contribute to this decrease. On the contrary, labour force participation rates for women continued the rapid
(continued on page 8)

## Technical Notes <br> Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in about 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all
persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and other kinds of error. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates' on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain futther detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detall on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7).
Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be released through occasional supplements inserted in or attached to regular issues of this report.

Other Data Avallable - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marita? status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for pald workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

For regions:

1. Labour force -
(a) By age.
(b) Agriculture and non-agriculture by sex.
2. Employed by age.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons. Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were walting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarlly idle or retired. Housewlves, students and others who worked parttime are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

(1) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(2) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(3) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.

Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended May 22, 1965 | Total | $\begin{gathered} \nu_{1}-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Ken |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | larried | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(1) | 13,086 | 2,129 | 3,734 | 998 | 3,857 | 952 | 1,416 |
| Labour force | 7,123 | 690 | 3,612 | 867 | 1,060 | 665 | 229 |
| Employed | 6,858 | 629 | 3,507 | 808 | 1,045 | 649 | 220 |
| Unemoloyed | 265 | 61 | 105 | 59 | 15 | 16 | * |
| Not in labour force | 5,963 | 1,439 | 122 | 131 | 2,797 | 287. | 1,187 |
| Participation rate (2) - 1965, May 22 | $54.4$ | $32.4$ | $96.7$ | $86.9$ | $27.5$ | 69.9 | $16.2$ |
| Apr. 17 | $53.4$ | 30.6 | 96.1 | 82.8 | 26.8 | 69.8 | $15.5$ |
| Inemployment rate (3) - 1965, May 22 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | * |
| Apr. 17 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 4.6 |

(1)(2)(3) See footnotes on opposite page.

* Less than 10,000 unemployed.

Note: Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Sumary }}$ | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apri1 } \\ & 17(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 23(1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | May | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } 11 \\ & 20(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total employed | 6,858 | 6,597 | 6,621 | 6,373 | 6,323 | 6,107 |
| Agriculture | 655 | 563 | 674 | 602 | 671 | 617 |
| Non-agriculture | 6,203 | 6,034 | 5,947 | 5,771 | 5,652 | 5,490 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | 6,203 | 6,034 | 5,947 | 5,771 | -5,652 | $\overline{5,490}$ |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | 5,611 | 5,450 | 5,431 | 5,255 | 5,191 | 5,043 |
| At work 35 hours or more | 5,267 | 2,725 | 3,291 | 4,956 | 4,893 | 4,209 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | 344 | 2,725 | 2,140 | 299 | 298 | 834 |
| Due to economic reasons (2) | 59 | 81 | 63 | 62 | 67 | 66 |
| Due to other reasons (3) | 285 | 2,644 | 2,077 | 237 | 231 | 768 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | 592 | 584 | 516 | 516 | 461 | 447 |


| $\frac{1 e 5}{1 s t r y}$ | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May | Average <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. | Average Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May | Average <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. | Average Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May | Average Feb. Mar. Apr. |
| Total employed | 6,659 | 6,526 | 6,427 | 6,298 | 6,134 | 6,014 |
| Agriculture | 585 | 538 | 613 | 580 | 617 | 573 |
| Other primary industries | 139 | 199 | 172 | 160 | 153 | 151 |
| Manufacturing | 1,607 | 1,602 | 1,598 | 1,587 | 1,508 | 1,486 |
| Construction | 399 | 376 | 373 | 357 | 370 | 338 |
| Transportation and other utilities | 606 | 586 | 581 | 571 | 587 | 581 |
| Trade | 1,131 | 1,109 | 1,063 | 1,048 | 1,010 | 1,007 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | 267 | 270 | 267 | 264 | 255 | 253 |
| Community, personal, other service | 1,470 | 1,474 | 1,393 | 1,364 | 1,277 | 1,267 |
| Public administration | 395 | 372 | 367 | 367 | 357 | 358 |

Note: Statistics in Table 5 are based on the 1960 Standard Industrial Classification, introduced with March 1965 data. Estimates by industry published prevlously to March 1965 were based on the 1948 Standard Industrial Classification. Estimates by industry from January 1961 to date based on the new classification are avallable on request.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture <br> and non-agriculture, and sex <br> Week ended May 22, 1965 | Total | Paid <br> workers | Own <br> account <br> workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed | 6,858 | 5,723 | 571 | 354 | 210 |
| Agriculture | 655 | 124 | 319 | 65 | 147 |
| Non-agriculture | 6,203 | 5,599 | 252 | 289 | 63 |
| Men | 4,844 | 3,933 | 493 | 327 | 91 |
| Agriculture | 569 | 112 | 312 | 64 | 81 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,275 | 3,821 | 181 | 263 | 10 |
| Women | 2,014 | 1,790 | 78 | 27 | 119 |
| Agriculture | 1,928 | 1,778 | 71 | 26 | 26 |
| Non-agriculture | 1,928 | 53 |  |  |  |

(1) A large number of persons worked less than 35 hours because of a holiday in the reference week
(April 20, 1963 - Easter Monday; May 23, 1964 - The Queen's Birthday; April 17, 1965 - Good Friday).
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.

* Less than 10,000 .

Nte: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, swall adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
Sse the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Unemployed | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | April 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } 11 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } 11 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | 265 | 371 | 293 | 403 | 347 | 463 |
| Without work and seeking work | 250 | 345 | 281 | 380 | 330 | 441 |
| Seeking full-time work | 235 | 330 | 263 | 365 | 317 | 422 |
| Seeking part-time work | 15 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 19 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | 15 | 26 | 12 | 23 | 17 | 22 |
| Without work and seekinf work | 250 | 345 | 281 | 380 | 330 | 441 |
| Seeking under 1 month | 75 | 81 | 82 | 72 | 74 | 68 |
| Seelirg 1-3 months | 79 | 111 | 83 | 123 | 92 | 140 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | 52 | 101 | 57 | 123 | 95 | 157 |
| Seekirg more than 6 months | 44 | 52 | 59 | 62 | 69 | 76 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions <br> Week ended May 22, 1965 | Canada | Atlantic region | Suebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British <br> Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Nen Women | 13,086 6,484 6,602 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,268 \\ 629 \\ 639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,788 \\ & 1,866 \\ & 1,922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,592 \\ & 2,260 \\ & 2,332 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,207 \\ & 1,118 \\ & 1,089 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,231 \\ 611 \\ 620 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 7,123 \\ & 5,056 \\ & 2,067 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 615 \\ & 449 \\ & 166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,005 \\ 1,436 \\ 569 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,595 \\ 1,809 \\ 786 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,247 \\ 892 \\ 355 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 661 \\ & 470 \\ & 191 \end{aligned}$ |
| Enployed Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,858 \\ & 4,844 \\ & 2,014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 570 \\ & 408 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,900 \\ 1,352 \\ 548 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,536 \\ 1,766 \\ 770 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,217 \\ 867 \\ 350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 635 \\ & 451 \\ & 184 \end{aligned}$ |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 655 \\ 6,203 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 533 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131 \\ 1,769 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 2,378 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & 910 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 613 \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 5,723 \\ & 3,933 \\ & 1,790 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 477 \\ & 333 \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,613 \\ 1,122 \\ 491 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,218 \\ 1,514 \\ 704 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 861 \\ & 577 \\ & 284 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 554 \\ & 387 \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed Hen Women | $\begin{array}{r} 265 \\ 212 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 41 \\ * \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 84 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 43 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 25 \\ * \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 19 \\ * \end{array}$ |
| Not in labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 5,963 \\ & 1,428 \\ & 4,535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 653 \\ & 180 \\ & 473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,783 \\ 430 \\ 1,353 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,997 \\ 451 \\ 1,546 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 960 \\ & 226 \\ & 734 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 570 \\ & 141 \\ & 429 \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed 1965, May 22 <br>  April 17  <br>  1964, May 23 <br>  April 18  <br>  1963, May 18  <br>   April 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,858 \\ & 6,597 \\ & 6,621 \\ & 6,373 \\ & 6,323 \\ & 6,107 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 570 \\ & 516 \\ & 550 \\ & 499 \\ & 502 \\ & 477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,900 \\ & 1,853 \\ & 1,806 \\ & 1,764 \\ & 1,734 \\ & 1,670 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,536 \\ & 2,468 \\ & 2,471 \\ & 2,409 \\ & 2,373 \\ & 2,308 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,217 \\ & 1,146 \\ & 1,188 \\ & 1,109 \\ & 1,143 \\ & 1,098 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 635 \\ & 614 \\ & 606 \\ & 592 \\ & 571 \\ & 554 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed 1965, May 22 <br> April 17  <br> 1964, May 23  <br> 1903, April 18  <br> 19y 18  <br>  April 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 265 \\ & 371 \\ & 293 \\ & 403 \\ & 347 \\ & 463 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 76 \\ & 40 \\ & 70 \\ & 59 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 133 \\ & 113 \\ & 156 \\ & 131 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 90 \\ 81 \\ 109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 52 \\ & 32 \\ & 54 \\ & 41 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 30 \\ & 38 \\ & 33 \\ & 35 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |

* Less than 10,000 .
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## Keliabillty of Estimates

## (a) Non-sampling Variablilty

The data obtalned by enumeration, elther of the entire population or of a sample of it, will differ in some degree from the true data as outlined by the definitions because of enumerator and respondent variations. The amount of varlance assoclated with these factors differs with the asture of the inquiry. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to greater error.

## (b) Sampling Varisbility

In addition to non-sampling error, mentioned in subsection (a), the survey results are subject to sampling varlability, While for the non-sampling component of error, statistical estimates of its magnitude are not available, estimates of the probable range of the sampling component of error have been calculated. Estimates of the sampling variability for specified sizes of estimatas in any month are given in the table in the next column.

The measure of sampling variability as shown is twice the standard deviation; thus the odds are about 19 to 1 that the range (Labour Force Estimate + Sampling Variabi11ty) contains the true estimates.

These estimates are averages since sampling variability differs from characteristic to characteristic and from month to monch. In partlcular, for the unemployed and for persons working from 1 to 34 hours, the sampling variability is about 40 per cent higher than the general average and this higher varlability is shown in the table also.

These estimates of sampling variability cannot be applied directly to estimates of month-to-month change. However, studies indicate that the sampling variability of a difference over a period of one month can be approximated for the employed and for the unemployed using the following formula: ( 0.6 ) times (the square root of the sum of the squares of the sampling variabilities associated with the two estimstes).

For example, suppose the estimates of employed perSons in June and July, were $6,139,000$ and $6,262,000$
respectively, with sampling variabilities of sbout 61,000 each. The difference between these two estimates ( 123,000 ) would have a sampling variability of approximately:

$$
0.6 \sqrt{61,000^{2}+61,000^{2}} \text { or about } 52,000
$$

For "the unemployed", suppose the corresponding estimates for June and July were 315,000 and 330,000 with sampling varlabilities of about 28,000. The difference between these two estimates ( 15,000 ) would have a sampling variability of approximately:

$$
0.6 \sqrt{28,000^{2}+28,000^{2}} \text { or about } 24,000 .
$$

Estimated sampling variability for the major labour force characteristics by gize of estimate


The Labour Force, 1959-1964 (continued from page 2)
and uninterrupted expansion which began about 1953. Women 25-44 years and particularly those 45-64 years are mostly responsible for this expansion.

## Labour Force Participation Rates <br> Selected Age and Sex Groups

| Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-19 | 65 years | 25-44 | 45-64 |
| years | and over | years | years |
| 38.3 | 26.8 | 31.7 | 31.6 |
| 39.2 | 26.4 | 30.5 | 30.5 |
| 39.6 | 28.5 | 29.8 | 29.4 |
| 40.3 | 29.3 | 29.2 | 28.5 |
| 42.8 | 30.3 | 28.3 | 26.7 |
| 43.6 | 31.0 | 27.0 | 25. |

The growth of the labour force exceeded the growth of the population in Ontario, the Prairies and British Columbia resulting in small increases in their participation rates. In Quebec, the participation rate was lower in 1964 than in 1959 and in the Atlantic region it was unchanged.

Labour Force Participation Rates

|  | 1964 | $\underline{1959}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atlantic | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Quebec | 52.6 | 53.5 |
| Ontario | 57.0 | 56.2 |
| Prairies | 55.1 | 54.2 |
| B.C. | 53.6 | 51.7 |

For both men and women, participation rates continued to be the highest in Ontario and the lowest in the Atlantic region.

## Labour Force Participation Rates

 by Region and Sex|  | Men |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1964 |  | 1959 |  | $\underline{1964}$ | $\underline{1959}$ |
| Atlantic | 70.5 |  | 74.0 |  | 23.9 | 20.2 |
| Quebec | 78.0 |  | 81.9 |  | 28.1 | 25.9 |
| Ontario | 80.6 |  | 83.6 |  | 34.0 | 29.6 |
| Prairies | 78.6 |  | 80.7 |  | 30.7 | 26.5 |
| B.C. | 76.2 |  | 77.1 |  | 31.2 | 25.8 |

