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In the week ended July 24, 1965, the Canadian labour force was estimated at 7,495,000. Of the total labour force, 7,251,000 persons were employed for all or part of the week and 244,000 were unemployed for the whole week. The labour force increased by 189,000 from June; the employed in $^{\prime}$ creased by 202,000 while the unemployed decreased by 13,000. Compared with July last year, the labour force was 250,000 higher, the number of employed was 271,000 higher and the number of unemployed was 21,000 lower.

Of the total increase in employment between June and July, 145,000 occurred in nona-
gricultural industries and 57,000 in agriculture. Nonagricultural employment was 296,000 higher than in July 1964; employment in agriculture was 25,000 lower. Men accounted for 178,000 of the employment increase from June; the number of employed women increased by 24,000 over the month. Employment was higher in July 1965 than in July last year by 148,000 for men and by 123,000 for women.

The unemployment rate in July was 3.3 per cent of the labour force, compared with rates of 3.5 in June 1965 and 3.7 in July last year. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in July 1965 was 4.3.


## Second Quarter Review of thi Labour Forcs 1964-1965

The labour force was 217,000 higher in the second quarter of 1965 than in the same period in 1964, employment was 246,000 higher and unemployment 28,000 lower.

Second Quarter Averages
( $000^{\prime}$ s)

|  | $\underline{1965}$ | $\underline{1964}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Labour Force | 7,132 | 6,915 |
| Employed | 6,835 | 6,589 |
| Unemployed | 298 | 326 |

After slowing down for three quarters, the labour force resumed the rapid rate of growth experienced in the first half of 1964. In the second quarter 1965, the labour force was 3.1 per cent greater than in the corresponding quarter of 1964. This compares with rates of increase of $2.4,2.0$ and 2.6 per cent of the three preceding quarters. The year-to-year increases of 2.2 per cent for men and 5.7 per cent for women were both greater than the average annual rate of increases of the past ten years. Among regions, !uebec experienced the highest growth rate ( 4.3 per cent) and Ontario the lowest ( 2.2 per cent).

The highest relative gains in the labour force from a year earlier were among those 14-19 and 20-24 years of age. Of the total increase of 217,000 , these two groups together accounted for almost half.

## The Labour Force

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Second } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uarter } \\ & \text { ges } \\ & \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | Year-to-Year Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 | 1964 |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages | 5,059 | 4,952 | $+107$ | $+2.2$ |
| 14-19 | 410 | 384 | + 26 | $+6.8$ |
| 20-24 | 580 | 547 | + 33 | $+6.0$ |
| 25-44 | 2,316 | 2,299 | $+17$ | $+0.7$ |
| 45 \& over | 1,753 | 1,723 | $+30$ | $+1.7$ |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 2,074 | 1,963 | $+111$ | $+5.7$ |
| 14-19 | 305 | 284 | + 21 | $+7.4$ |
| 20-24 | 359 | 334 | + 25 | $+7.5$ |
| 25-44 | 803 | 765 | + 38 | $+5.0$ |
| 45 \& over | 607 | 581 | + 26 | $+4.5$ |

In the second quarter of 1965, total employment was 3.7 per cent higher, male employment 2.8 per cent higher and Female employment 6.0 per cent higher than in the second quarter of 1964. Corresponding average annual rates of Increase for the period 1955-1964 were 2.3 per cent, 1.4 per cent and 4.8 per cent, respectively.

Employnent sains froft a year earlier were relatively higher for young persons. For those 14-19 years of age, employment increased by 52,000 or 8.8 per cent and for those $20-24$ years of age, it increased by 64,000 or 7.7 per cent.

In all regions, employment rose substantially between the second quarter of 1964 and the second quarter of 1965. Employment gains ranged between 2.7 per cent in Ontario to 5.3 per cent in Quebec.

Employment by Region

|  | Second Quarter Averages |  | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000's) |  | \% |
|  | 1965 | 1964 |  |
| Atlantic | 562 | 545 | + 3.1 |
| Quebec | 1,903 | 1,807 | + 5.3 |
| Ontario | 2,538 | 2,471 | + 2.7 |
| Prairies | 1,198 | 1,161 | + 3.2 |
| B. C. | 634 | 605 | +4.8 |

Industrially, substantial year-to-year increases in employment were recorded for service, trade, construction, transportation, public administration and primary industries other than agriculture. In finance and manufacturing, there was little change. In agriculture, employment declined by 30,000 .

## Employment by Industry

|  | Second Quarter$\frac{\text { Averages }}{(000 ' s)}$ |  | Year-to-Year <br> Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (000' |  | \% |
|  | 1965 | 1964 |  |  |  |
| A11 industries | 6,835 | 6,589 | $+246$ | $+$ | 3.7 |
| Goods-producing |  |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 2,901 | 2,875 | + 26 | $+$ | 0.9 |
| Agriculture | 622 | 652 | - 30 | - | 4.6 |
| Non-agriculture | 2,279 | 2,223 | + 56 | + | 2.5 |
| Other primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 211 | 192 | $+19$ | $+$ | 9.9 |
| Manufacturing | 1,621 | 1,632 | - 11 | - | 0.7 |
| Construction | 447 | 399 | + 48 | + | 12.0 |
| Service-producting |  |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 3,934 | 3,714 | $+220$ | $+$ | 5.9 |
| Transportation \& |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade | 1,144 | 1,086 | + 58 | + | 5.3 |
| Finance | 2.68 | 272 | 4 | - | ?. 5 |
| ```Community, per- sonal, other service 1,48% 1,397+87+6.2``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public adminis- |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |
|  |  | (Conti | ued on | pag | e 8) |

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Intervlews are carrled out in about 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-1abour force activity refer to the spectific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all
persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Ierritories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and other kinds of error. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliablifty of Estimates ${ }^{11}$ on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain
labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment. Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7).
Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or 1 ts components w 111 be obtained. Such materlal, as it becomes avallable, will be released through occasional supplements inserted in or attached to regular issues of this report.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material avallable.

For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for pald workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category. For regions:
5. Labour force -
(a) By age.
(b) Agriculture and non-agriculture by sex.
6. Employed by age.

Defintitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civillan labour force in composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons. Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or belfeved no suitable work was available in the commity; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were walting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewlves, students and others who worked parttime are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Suntnary }}$ | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | June $19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June 22 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population $1_{4}$ years of age and over (1) | 13,146 | 13,113 | 12,829 | 12,806 | 12,549 | 12,526 |
| Labour force | 7,495 | 7,306 | 7,245 | 7,055 | 7,047 | 6,851 |
| Employed | 7,251 | 7,049 | 6,980 | 6,773 | 6,753 | 6,546 |
| Agriculture | 706 | 649 | 731 | 679 | 782 | 695 |
| Non-agriculture | 6,545 | 6,400 | 6,249 | 6,094 | 5,971 | 5,851 |
| Unemployed | 244 | 257 | 265 | 282 | 294 | 305 |
| Not in labour force | 5,651 | 5,807 | 5,584 | 5,751 | 5,502 | 5,675 |
| Participation rate (2) | 57.0 | 55.7 | 56.5 | 55.1 | 56.2 | 54.7 |
| Unemployment rate (3) Actual | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| Seasonally adjusted | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over (1) | 6,515 | 6,498 | 6,357 | 6,346 | 6,222 | 6,211 |
| Labour force | 5,353 | 5,185 | 5,226 | 5,058 | 5,111 | 4,968 |
| Employed | 5,171 | 4,993 | 5,023 | 4,847 | 4,880 | 4,729 |
| Agriculture | 603 | 557 | 636 | 591 | 677 | 609 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,568 | 4,436 | 4,387 | 4,256 | 4,203 | 4,120 |
| Unemployed | 182 | 192 | 203 | 211 | 231 | 239 |
| Not in labour force | 1,162 | 1,313 | 1,131 | 1,288 | 1,111 | 1,243 |
| Participation rate (2) | 82.2 | 79.8 | 82.2 | 79.7 | 82.1 | 80.0 |
| Unemployment rate (3) | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population $U_{4}$ years of age and over (1) | 6,631 | 6,615 | 6,472 | 6,460 | 6,327 | 6,315 |
| Labour force | 2,142 | 2,121 | 2,019 | 1,997 | 1,936 | 1,883 |
| Bmployed | 2,080 | 2,056 | 1,957 | 1,926 | 1,873 | 1,817 |
| Agriculture | 103 | 92 | 95 | 88 | 105 | 86 |
| Non-agriculture | 1,977 | 1,964 | 1,862 | 1,838 | 1,768 | 1,731 |
| Unemployed | 62 | 65 | 62 | 71 | 63 | 66 |
| Not in labour force | 4,489 | 4,494 | 4,453 | 4,463 | 4,391 | 4,432 |
| Participation rate (2) | 32.3 | 32.1 | 31.2 | 30.9 | 30.6 | 29.8 |
| Unemployment rate (3) | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 |

(1) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living an resarvas and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(2) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(3) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.

Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5 , all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Age, sex, $\frac{\text { Table } 3}{}$ Week ended July 24, 1965 | Total | 14-19 <br> years <br> all <br> persons | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | lien |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | larried | Other | Varried | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(1) | 13,146 | 2,145 | 3,757 | 996 | 3,885 | 942 | 1,421 |
| Labour force | 7,495 | 1,060 | 3,636 | 901 | 1,022 | 659 | 217 |
| Imployed | 7,251 | 968 | 3,567 | 853 | 1,008 | 646 | 209 |
| Unemployed |  |  |  | 48 |  | 13 |  |
| Not in labour force | 5,651 | 1,085 | 121 | 95 | 2,863 | 283 | 1,204 |
| Participation rate (2) - 1965, July 24 | 57.0 | 49.4 | 96.8 | 90.5 | 26.3 | 70.0 | 15.3 |
| June 19 | 55.7 | 37.8 | 97.0 | 89.8 | 27.7 | 70.9 | 15.9 |
| Unemployment rate (3) - 1965, July 24 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | * |
| June 19 | 3.5 | 12.4 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | * |

(1) (2)(3) See footnotes on opposite page.

* Less than 10,000 unemployed.

Note: Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average May June July | Average April May June | Average May June July | Average <br> April <br> May <br> June | Average <br> May <br> June <br> July | Average <br> April <br> May <br> June |
| Total employed | 7,053 | 6,835 | 6,791 | 6,589 | 6,541 | 6,325 |
| Agriculture | 670 | 622 | 695 | 652 | 716 | 661 |
| Other primary industries | 239 | 211 | 211 | 192 | 190 | 174 |
| Manufacturing | 1,631 | 1,621 | 1,659 | 1,632 | 1,563 | 1,548 |
| Construction | 504 | 447 | 432 | 399 | 455 | 410 |
| Transportation and other utilities | 646 | 628 | 597 | 581 | 609 | 603 |
| Trade | 1,171 | 1,144 | 1,122 | 1,086 | 1,057 | 1,021 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | 278 | 268 | 270 | 272 | 252 | 252 |
| Community, personal, other services | 1,492 | 1,484 | 1,408 | 1,397 | 1,314 | 1,292 |
| Public administration | 422 | 410 | 397 | 378 | 385 | 364 |

Note: Statistics in Table 5 are based on the 1960 Standard Industrial Classification, introduced with March 1965 data. Estimates by industry published previously to March 1965 were based on the 1948 Standard Industrial Classification. Estimates by industry from January 1961 to date based on the new classification are available on request.

| Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex: Week ended July 24, 1965 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,251 \\ 706 \\ 6,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,078 \\ 151 \\ 5,927 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 559 \\ & 316 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376 \\ 71 \\ 305 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238 \\ 168 \\ 70 \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,171 \\ 603 \\ 4,568 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,232 \\ 129 \\ 4,103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 483 \\ & 308 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 346 \\ 69 \\ 277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 97 \\ 13 \end{array}$ |
| Women Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,080 \\ 103 \\ 1,977 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,846 \\ 22 \\ 1,824 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ * \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ * \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 71 \\ 57 \end{array}$ |

(1) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the wank and termination or start of employment during the week.
(2) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.

* Less than 10,000 .

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | 1965 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{24}{ }$ | June 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June $22$ |
| Total unemployed | 244 | 257 | 265 | 282 | 294 | 305 |
| Without work and seeling work | 231 | 241 | 249 | 269 | 279 | 295 |
| Seeking flll-time work | 208 | 222 | 227 | 246 | 259 | 272 |
| Seeking nart-time work | 23 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 23 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | 13 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 10 |
| Without work and seeking work | 231 | 241 | 249 | 269 | 279 | 295 |
| Seek:ing under 1 month | 87 | 111 | 97 | 115 | 92 | 110 |
| Seelinit 1-3 months | 81 | 64 | 86 | 73 | 95 | 76 |
| Seeking $4_{4}-6$ months | 30 | 30 | 26 | 38 | 33 | 46 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | 33 | 36 | 40 | 43 | 59 | 63 |


| Table 8 Regional distributions Week ended July $24, \quad 1965$ | Canada | Atlantic region | uebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men <br> Women | $\begin{array}{r} 13,146 \\ 6,515 \\ 6,631 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,270 \\ 631 \\ 639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,806 \\ & 1,875 \\ & 1,931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,618 \\ & 2,273 \\ & 2,345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,212 \\ & 1,120 \\ & 1,092 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,240 \\ 616 \\ 624 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 7,495 \\ & 5,353 \\ & 2,142 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 654 \\ & 479 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,101 \\ 1,536 \\ 565 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,734 \\ 1,910 \\ 824 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,299 \\ 933 \\ 366 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 707 \\ & 495 \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed !en Women | $\begin{aligned} & 7,251 \\ & 5,171 \\ & 2,080 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624 \\ & 455 \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,003 \\ 1,459 \\ 544 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,669 \\ 1,864 \\ 805 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,274 \\ 914 \\ 360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 681 \\ & 479 \\ & 202 \end{aligned}$ |
| Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 706 \\ 6,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 582 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 146 \\ 1,857 \end{array}$ | 179 2,490 | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & 967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 649 \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers :'en Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,078 \\ & 4,232 \\ & 1,846 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 527 \\ & 375 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | 1,707 1,227 480 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,332 \\ 1,594 \\ 738 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 912 \\ & 619 \\ & 293 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & 417 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemnloyed <br> $\because$ en <br> Women | $\begin{array}{r} 244 \\ 182 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 24 \\ * \end{array}$ | 98 77 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 46 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 16 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Not in labour force lien Women | $\begin{aligned} & 5,651 \\ & 1,162 \\ & 4,489 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 616 \\ & 152 \\ & 464 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,705 \\ 339 \\ 1,366 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,884 \\ 363 \\ 1,521 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 913 \\ & 187 \\ & 726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 533 \\ & 121 \\ & 412 \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed $1965,$July 24 <br> June 19 <br>  $1964,$July 18 <br> June 20 <br>  $1963,$July 20 <br> June 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,251 \\ & 7,049 \\ & 6,980 \\ & 6,773 \\ & 6,753 \\ & 6,546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624 \\ & 599 \\ & 607 \\ & 586 \\ & 575 \\ & 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,003 \\ & 1,955 \\ & 1,917 \\ & 1,851 \\ & 1,877 \\ & 1,807 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,669 \\ & 2,609 \\ & 2,588 \\ & 2,532 \\ & 2,478 \\ & 2,428 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,274 \\ & 1,232 \\ & 1,228 \\ & 1,187 \\ & 1,223 \\ & 1,173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 681 \\ & 654 \\ & 640 \\ & 617 \\ & 600 \\ & 585 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed 1965, July 24 <br> June 19  <br> 1964, July 18 <br>  June 20 <br> 1963, July 20 <br> June 22  | $\begin{aligned} & 244 \\ & 257 \\ & 265 \\ & 282 \\ & 294 \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 33 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ 100 \\ 103 \\ 106 \\ 113 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 74 \\ & 75 \\ & 88 \\ & 82 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 23 \\ & 28 \\ & 25 \\ & 31 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 33 \\ & 35 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |

[^0]
## Reliabllity of Estimates

## (a) Non-sampling Variability

The data obtained by enumeration, efther of the entire population or of a sample of it, will differ in some degree from the true data as outlined by the definitions because of enumerator and respondent variations. The amount of variance associated with these factors differs with the nature of the inquity. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to greater error.

## (b) Sampling Varisb114ty

In addition to non-sampling arror, mantioned in subsection (a), the survey resulte are subject to sampling variabllity. While for the non-sampling component of error, statistical estimates of its magnitude are not available, estimates of the probable range of the sampling component of error have been calculated. Estimates of the sampling variabllity for specified sizes of estimates in any month are given in the table in the next column.

The measure of sampling variability as shown is twice the standard deviation; thus the odds are about 19 to 1 that the range (Labour Force Estimate + Sampling Variability) contains the true estimates.

These estimates are sverages since sampling variability differs from characteristic to characteristic and from month to month. In particular, for the unemployed and for persons working from 1 to 34 hours, the sampling variability is about 40 per cent higher than the genaral average and this higher variability is shown in the table also.

These estimstes of sampling variability cannot be applied directly to estimates of month-to-month change. However, atudies indicate that the sampling variability of a difference over a period of one month can be approximated for the employed and for the unemployed using the following formula: ( 0.6 ) times (the square root of the sum of the squares of the sampling variabilities assoclated with the two estimates).

For example, suppose the estimates of employed persons in June and July, were $6,139,000$ and $6,262,000$
respectively, with sampling variabilities of about 6l,000 each. The difference between these two estimates ( 123,000 ) would have a sampling variability of approximately:

$$
0.6 \sqrt{61,000^{2}+61,000^{2}} \text { or about } 52,000 \text {. }
$$

For "the unemployed", suppose the corresponding estimates for June and July were 315,000 and 330,000 with sampling variabilities of about 28,000 . The difference between these two estimates ( 15,000 ) would have a sampling variability of approximately:

$$
0.6 \sqrt{28,000^{2}+28,000^{2}} \text { or sbout } 24,000
$$

Estimated sampling variability for the major labour force characteristics by size of estimate

| Slze of estimate | General sampling variability |  | ```General sampling varlability + 40% (1)``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size | Per cent | Size | Per cent |
| 10,000 | 3,500 | 35.0 | 5,000 | 50.0 |
| 25,000 | 5,500 | 22.0 | 7,500 | 30.0 |
| 50,000 | 8,000 | 16.0 | 11,000 | 22.0 |
| 75,000 | 9,500 | 12.7 | 13,500 | 18.0 |
| 100,000 | 11,000 | 11.0 | 15,500 | 15.5 |
| 250,000 | 17,500 | 7.0 | 24,500 | 9.8 |
| 500,000 | 24,000 | 4.8 | 33,500 | 6.7 |
| 750,000 | 28,500 | 3.8 | 40,000 | 5.3 |
| 1,000,000 | 33,000 | 3.3 | 46,000 | 4.6 |
| 2,500,000 | 49,000 | 2.0 |  |  |
| 5,000,000 | 58,000 | 1.2 |  |  |
| 6,000,000 | 60,000 | 1.0 |  |  |

Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1964-1965 (continued from page 2)

For both men and women, almost all the increase in employment from the second quarter 1964 was in the service-producing sector. The relatively small increase in the goods-producing sector is related to the decline in agricultural employment. The goods-producing sector, excluding agriculture, shows a year-to-year gain of 2.5 per cent.

Employment by Industry Sector and Sex

| Industry Sector | The Employed <br> 2nd Quarter $\qquad$ 1965 | Change from 2nd Quarter 1964 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Men }}{(000} \text { 's } \frac{\text { Women }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Men }}{(000} \frac{\text { Women }}{5}$ |
| Total | 4,821 2,014 | $+132+114$ |
| Goods-producing | 2,449 452 | $+15+11$ |
| Service-producing | 2,372 1,562 | $+117+103$ |


|  | Second Quarter Averages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Unemployment }}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}$ |  | Unemployment$\frac{\text { Rates }}{\%}$ |  |
|  | 1965 | 1964 | 1965 | 1964 |
| Total | 298 | 326 | 4.2 | 4.7 |
| Men | 238 | 263 | 4.7 | 5.3 |
| Women | 59 | 63 | 2.8 | 3.2 |

Comparing second quarters, unemployment rates were lower in 1965 than in 1964 in all reglons except the Atlantic region.

## Unemployment Rates by Region <br> Second Quarter Averages

$1965 \quad 1964$

| Atlantic | 8.3 | 7.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Ontario | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| Prairies | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| B.C. | 4.2 | 5.5 |

ployment averaged 298,000 as compared total unemin the second quarter of 1964 . The unemployed as a percentage of the Canadian labour force dropped from 4.7 to 4.2. Unemployment rates were lower than a year earlier for both men and women.


[^0]:    * Less than 10,000 .

