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In the week ended February 19, 1966, the Canadian labour force was estimated at $7,122,000$. This total included 6,766,000 persons employed for all or part of the week and 356,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. Between January and February, there were increases of 29,000 in the labour force and of 32,000 in the employed; there was little change over the month in the number of unemployed. Compared with February 1965, the labour force was higher by 265,000 , the employed having increased by 306,000 and the unemployed having decreased by 41,000 .

Employment in nonagricultural industries increased by 23,000 between January and February;
there was little change in agriculture over the month. Nonagricultural employment was up 350,000 from February 1965; employment in agriculture was down 44,000 from a year earlier. Among men, employment increased by 36,000 from January, while there was little change in the number of women employed. There were 182,000 more men and 124,000 more women employed in February this year than in February 1965.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in February was 5.0, compared with rates of 5.1 In January 1966 and of 5.8 in February 1965. Seasonally adjusted, the February 1966 unemployment rate was 3.5 .


The Unemployed: Famlly Data(1)<br>January 1965 - January 1966

Estimates relating to families in which someone was unemployed during the week ended January 15,1966 , are presented in the following tables. Comparable data referring to the weeks ended Janusry 16, April 17, July 24 and October 16, 1965, are also included. Comments on the most recent estimates and on seasonal patteras follow.

Out of the 359,000 persons unemployed in January 1966 , 174,000 (48\%) were heads of family units, 151,000 (43\%) were sons, daughters or other relatives of family heads, and 34,000 (9\%) were not members of family units.

The 325,000 members of familles were distributed throughout 298,000 family units. In 55 per cent of these units, at least one person was employed.

Strong seasonal patterns are recognizable in the data, which now covers five and one-half years. The proportion of
the unemployed who were family heads has been highest in January and April (ranging between 45 and 51 per cent) and lowest in $J_{u l y}(32-39 \%)$. Conversely, the percentage of the unemployed who were sons and daughters of heads of $£$ amilies has been highest in July ( $40-49 \%$ ), when many students are available for sumer jobs, and lowest in January and April $(30-33 \%)$. In the proportion of family unics having one or more employed members, the seasonal variation was from a little more than one-half in January and April to about twothirds in July and October.

Further detail for January 1966 is presented in the following tables, along with estimates for the four preceding reference periods. Table 1 refers to unemployed persons. Tables 2 and 3 refer to family units in which one or more persons were unemployed.

Themployed Persons

| Table 1 <br> Classified as heads, other members, or non-members of family unics, Canada | Unemployed persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total unemployed | Members of family units(1) |  |  |  | ```Non-members of family units(1)``` |
|  |  | Total | Head of unfts | Single sons or daughters | Other relatives |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 16, 1965 | 171 | 156 | 68 | 61 | 27 | 15 |
| July 24, 1965 | 244 | 226 | 77 | 120 | 29 | 18 |
| Apr 11 17, 1965 | 371 | 337 | 179 | 109 | 49 | 34 |
| January 16, 1965 | 407 | 374 | 184 | 131 | 59 | 33 |
| Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 15, 1966 | 100 | 91 | 48 | 30 | 13 | 9 |
| October 16, 1965 | 100 | 91 | 40 | 35 | 16 | 9 |
| July 24, 1965 | 100 | 93 | 32 | 49 | 12 | 7 |
| April 17, 1965 | 100 | 91 | 48 | 30 | 13 | 9 |
| January 16, 1965 | 100 | 92 | 45 | 32 | 15 | 8 |

Pamily Unite (1)

| Table 2 <br> By number of employed persons in unit, Canada | Family units with one or more persons unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total units | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No person } \\ & \text { In unit } \\ & \text { employed } \end{aligned}$ | One or more persons in unit employed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\frac{1}{\text { employed }}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\text { employed }}$ | 3 or more employed |
| Number (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 15, 1966 | 298 | 135 | 163 | 103 | 41 | 19 |
| October 16, 1965 | 143 | 51 | 92 | 54 | 25 | 13 |
| July 24, 1965 | 201 | 57 | 144 | 73 | 43 | 28 |
| Apr11 17, 1965 | 299 | 140 | 159 | 101 | 38 | 20 |
| January 16, 1965 | 336 | 137 | 199 | 120 | 54 | 25 |
| Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 15, 1966 | 100 | 45 | 55 | 35 | 14 | 6 |
| October 16, 1965 | 100 | 36 | 64 | 38 | 17 | 9 |
| July 24, 1965 | 100 | 28 | 72 | 36 | 22 | 14 |
| Apr11 17, 1965 | 100 | 47 | 53 | 34 | 13 | 6 |
| January 16, 1965 | 100 | 41 | 59 | 36 | 16 | 7 |

(1) The family unit used in these tables is defined as "a group of two or more persons who are living together in the same dwelling and who are related by blood, marriage or adoption". A person living alone or who is related to no one else i: the dwelling where he lives is classified under "Non-members of fanily units".
The head of a family unit is defined generally as the person who is mainly responsible for the maintenance of the unit. However, in families consisting of husband and wife (with or without unmarried children), the husband is always designateil as the head. In families composed of parent and unmarried child (or cbildren), the parent invariably is recorded as the head of the unit.
(continued on page $\begin{gathered}\text { i) }\end{gathered}$

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follaws: Atlantic region, $1.6 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{c}$. ; Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. ; Ontario, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c} . ;$ Prairie region, $0.8 \mathrm{p.c.;}$ British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarter iy intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons In the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territarles, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemplayment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains Eurther detail on unemployment Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time,
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For reqions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

> For individual provinces of Atlantic and Praitie regions:
6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the househald; at
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were tempararily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or belleved no suitable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the Eull week, i.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes thase: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work: and voluntarlly idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who warked part-time are classifled as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Canada, Labour Force
(Estimales in thousands)

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | S.D. <br> (1) | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | Jan. 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 13,334 | 13,305 | 13,002 | 12,977 | 12,705 | 12,680 |
| Labour force | a | 7,122 | 7,093 | 6,857 | 6,868 | 6,703 | 6,709 |
| Employed | a | 6,766 | 6,734 | 6,460 | 6,461 | 6,236 | 6,243 |
| Agriculture | d | 469 | 460 | 513 | 518 | 576 | 581 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 6,297 | 6,274 | 5,947 | 5,943 | 5,660 | 5,662 |
| Unemployed | d | 356 | 359 | 397 | 407 |  |  |
| Not in labour force | 8 | 6,212 | 6,212 | 6,145 | 6,109 | 6,002 | 5,971 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 53.4 | 53.3 | 52.7 | 52.9 | 52.8 | 52.9 |
| Unemployment rate (4) Actual | d | 5.0 | 5.1 |  | 5.9 |  | 6.9 |
| Seasonally adjusted |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | $4.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.2 | $4.8{ }^{r}$ | 4.9 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 6,608 | 6,593 | 6,441 | 6,429 | 6,296 | 6,284 |
| Labour force | a | 5,032 | 4,998 | 4,887 | 4,895 | 4,802 | 4,811 |
| Employed | a | 4,732 | 4,696 | 4,550 | 4,558 | 4,400 | 4,416 |
| Agriculture | d | 424 | 412 | 472 | 479 | 532 | 535 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 4,308 | 4,284 | 4,078 | 4,079 | 3,868 | 3,881 |
| Unemployed | d | 300 | 302 | 337 | 337 | 402 | 395 |
| Not in labour force | c | 1,576 | 1,595 | 1,554 | 1,534 | 1,494 | 1,473 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 76.2 | 75.8 | 75.9 | 76.1 | 76.3 | 76.6 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 8.2 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 6,726 | 6,712 | 6,561 | 6,548 | 6,409 | 6,396 |
| Labour force | , | 2,090 | 2,095 | 1,970 | 1,973 | 1,901 | 1,898 |
| Employed | b | 2,034 | 2,038 | 1,910 | 1,903 | 1,836 | 1,827 |
| Agriculture | e | 45 | 48 | 41 | 39 | - 44 | 46 |
| Non-agriculture | c | 1,989 | 1,990 | 1,869 | 1,864 | 1,792 | 1,781 |
| Unemployed | e | 56 | 57 | 60 | 70 | 65 | 71 |
| Not in labour force | a | 4,636 | 4,617 | 4,591 | 4,575 | 4,508 | 4,498 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 31.1 | 31.2 | 30.0 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | E | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians liviag on Thsa:ves wati residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 24 yaans of ags and ova: .
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
$r$ Revised.
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statiscic: tetes co a apacific wonk, che lasc disy of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended February 19, 1966 | Total | 14-19 <br> years <br> all <br> persons | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 13,334 | 2,192 | 3,795 a | 1,023 c | 3,905 a | 984 c | 1,435 |
| Labour force | 7,122 a | 659 c | 3,643 a | 848 c | 1,063 c | 694 c | 215 d |
| Employed | 6,766 a | 594 c | 3,483 a | 768 c | 1,042 c | 675 c | 204 d |
| Unemployed | 356 d | 65 e | 160 e | 80 e | 21 f |  | 118 |
| Not in the labour force | 6,212 a | 1,533 b | 152 d | 175 d | 2,842 b | 290 d | $1,220 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| Participation rate (3) - 1966, Feb. 19 | 53.4 a | 30.1 c | 96.0 a | 82.9 c | 27.2 c | 70.5 c | 15.0 d |
| Jan. 15 | 53.3 a | 30.0 c | 95.8 a | 82.1 c | 27.2 c | 71.1 c | 14.9 d |
| Onemployment rate (4) - 1966, Feb. 19 | 5.0 d | 9.9 e | 4.4 e | 9.4 e | 2.0 f | 2.7 f | 5.18 |
| Jan. 15 | 5.1 d | 9.9 e | 4.5 e | 9.3 e | 2.1 f | 2.4 f | 6.18 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

* (e: a) The alphabetic symbol folloving each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8 .
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Sumary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Jan. 15 | Feb. $20$ | Jan. 16 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Feb. } \\ 22 \end{array}$ | Jan. 18 |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | a | 6,766 469 6,297 | 6,734 460 6,274 | 6,460 513 5,947 | 6,461 518 5,943 | 6,236 576 5,660 | 6,243 581 5,662 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 6,297 | 6,274 | 5,947 | 5,943 | 5,660 | 5,662 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 5,702 | 5,689 | 5,366 | 5,386 | 5,172 | 5,166 |
| At work 35 hours or more | b | 5,312 | 5,272 | 5,018 | 5,004 | 4,853 | 4,838 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 390 | 417 | 348 | 382 | 319 | 328 |
| Due to economic reasons (2) | e | 54 | 67 | 58 | 66 | 59 | $62$ |
| Due to other reasons (3) | c | 336 | 350 | 290 | 316 | 260 |  |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 595 | 585 | 581 | 557 | 488 | 496 |


| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | S.D. (1) | Average <br> Dec. 1965 <br> Jan. 1966 <br> Feb. | Average <br> Nov. 1965 <br> Dec. <br> Jan. 1966 | Average Dec. 1964 Jan. 1965 Feb. | Average <br> Nov. 1964 <br> Dec. " <br> Jan. 1965 | Average <br> Dec. 1963 <br> Jan. 1964 <br> Feb. | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6,811 | 6,869 | 6,516 | 6,599 | 6,306 | 6,397 |
| Agriculture | d | 466 | 484 | 519 | 543 | 582 | 597 |
| Other primary industries | e | 231 | 240 | 205 | 204 | 181 | 190 |
| Manufacturing | d | 1,643 | 1,641 | 1,628 | 1,660 | 1,561 | 1,573 |
| Construction | e | 449 | 473 | 378 | 414 | 359 | 375 |
| Transportation and other utilities | d | 599 | 603 | 593 | 598 | 583 | 579 |
| Trade | d | 1,145 | 1,153 | 1,115 | 1,118 | 1,079 | 1,119 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | e | 303 | 298 | 278 | 270 | 255 | 262 |
| Community, personal, other services | d | 1,571 | 1,576 | 1,436 | 1,426 366 | $\begin{array}{r}1,341 \\ \hline 365\end{array}$ | 1,338 |
| Public administration | e | 404 | 401 | 364 | 366 | 365 | 364 |

Note: Statistics in Table 5 are based on the 1960 Standard Industrial Classification, introduced with March 1965 data. Estimates by industry published previously to March 1965 were based on the 1948 Standard Industrial Classification. Estimates by industry from January 1961 to date based on the new classification are available on request.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended February 19, 1966 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} \text { Total employed } \\ \text { Agriculture } \\ \text { Non-agriculture } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,766 a \\ 469 \mathrm{~d} \\ 6,297 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,746 \mathrm{~b} \\ 64 \mathrm{e} \\ 5,682 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 530 c \\ 278 & d \\ 252 d \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 340 \mathrm{~d} \\ 38 \mathrm{f} \\ 302 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \mathrm{~d} \\ 89 \mathrm{e} \\ 61 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 4,732 a \\ 424 d \\ 4,308 a \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 459 \mathrm{c} \\ 271 \mathrm{~d} \\ 188 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 313 & d \\ 36 & \mathrm{f} \\ 277 & \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \mathrm{e} \\ 58 \mathrm{e} \\ 9 \mathrm{~g} \end{array}$ |
| Women | $2,034 \mathrm{~b}$ | 1,853 c | 71 e | 27 e | 83 d |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \mathrm{e} \\ 1,989 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \mathrm{f} \\ 1,848 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \mathrm{~g} \\ 64 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \mathrm{~g} \\ 25 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ |  |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the weak and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Jan. 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | Feb. 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 356 | 359 | 397 | 407 | 467 | 466 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 327 | 320 | 368 | 382 | 438 | 428 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 312 | 306 | 349 | 367 | 423 | 410 |
| Seeking part-time work | £ | 15 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 18 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | $f$ | 29 | 39 | 29 | 25 | 29 | 38 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 327 | 320 | 368 | 382 | 438 | 428 |
| Seeking under 1 month | e | 76 | 111 | 83 | 127 | 86 | 126 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | e | 171 | 151 | 179 | 167 | 213 | 202 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 53 | 34 | 59 | 44 | 89 | 54 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 27 | 24 | 47 | 44 | 50 | 46 |


(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## (a) Semoling Errar

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the habour Force Survey. Ihis difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all astimates; of two ettimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same siae the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a amaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more rellable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non- Bampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almont every phase of aurvey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errars in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and ertors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these ertors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will cantribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect of non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are sbout 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard devidetions of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the standard deviation is 8 maller

## Reliability of Estimates

than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter "b" indicates that the standard deviation is between $0,6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standard deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| c | $0.6 \%=1.0 \%$ |
| d | $1.1 \%=2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| f | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| B | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual atandard deviation of an estlmate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviation of the current estimatea are not avallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should cherefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two monchs up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the scandard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediarely preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent atandard deviation of both estimates was kndicated by the letter "c", L.e. 1t was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimatea ( 112,000 ) would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , 1.e. It would likely be smaller than 12,800 ,

## (e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are available usually in a Few weeks after the publication of chis report and can be abtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the tandard deviations during the last year will be released.

The Unemployed: Family Data(1) = continued from page 2
Family Unit8 (1)

| Table 3 <br> By size of family unit, with and without employed members, Canada | Family units with one or more persans unemployed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total units | Size of famlly unit |  |  |  |
|  |  | $2-3$ persons | 4-5 persons | 6-7 persons | 8 or more |
| Total (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 15, 1966 | 298 | 111 | 97 | 54 | 36 |
| October 16, 1965 | $243$ | 57 | 41 | 25 | 20 |
| July 24, 1965 | $201$ | $65$ | 65 | 41 | 30 |
| Apri1 17, 1965 | $299$ | $109$ | 94 | 52 | 44 |
| January 16, 1965 |  |  |  | 62 | 44 |

(1) Ser footnote page ?

