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## THE LABOUR FORCE

JULY 1966

In the week ended July 23, 1966, the number of persons in the Canadian labour force was estimated at 7,787,000. Included in this total were 7,543,000 persons employed for all or part of the week and 244,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. Between June and July, the labour force increased by 254,000, employment having increased by 240,000 and unemployment by 14,000 . The labour force and employment were higher than in July 1965 by 292,000 and unemployment was the same as a year earlier.

Employment in nonagricultural industries rose by 160,000 and in agriculture
by 80,000 from June. cultural employment was 343 , 000 higher
a year earliter while employme in agfculture was 51,000 lower. The June-to-kuly Ancrease In employment was 191,000 for mencand 49,000 for women. In July 1966, there were 130,000 more men and 162,000 more women employed than in July 1965.

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 3.1 in July 1966, 3.1 in June 1966 and 3.3 in July 1965. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in July 1966 was 4.1 .


In the second quarter of 1966 , the labour force was 256,000 greater than in the second quarter of 1965; employment was 295,000 higher and unemployment 40,000 lower.

| $\frac{\text { Second Quarter Averages }}{\left(000^{1} s\right)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{y y y}$ |  |
|  | $\underline{1966}$ | $\underline{1965}$ |
| Labour Force | 7,388 | 7,132 |
| Employed | 7,130 | 6,835 |
| Unemployed | 258 | 298 |

During the second quarter of 1966 , the labour force continued to expand at a rapid rate. The year-to-year increase in the total labour force of 3.6 per cent followed rates of $3.6,3.2,3.2$ and 3.1 for the four preceding quarters.

The number of women in the labour force increased by 136,000 , and accounted for more than half of the total labour force gain between the second quarter of 1965 and the second quarter of 1966. In percentage terms, the increase amounted to 6.6 per cent, which was well above the average annual increase of 4.9 per cent during the past ten years.

The male labour force also rose substantially between the second quarter of 1965 and the second quarter of 1966 . The rate of increase of 2.4 per cent compares with an average annual increase of 1.5 per cent for the previous ten years.

In relative as well as in absolute terms, the largest increase, for both men and women, was among those $20-24$ years of age.

The Labour Force

|  | Second Quarter Averages |  | Year-to-year Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000's) |  | (000's) | \% |
|  | 1966 | 1965 |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 5,178 | 5,059 | 119 | 2.4 |
| 14-19 | 417 | 410 | 7 | 1.7 |
| 20-24 | 626 | 580 | 46 | 7.9 |
| 25-44 | 2,343 | 2,316 | 27 | 1.2 |
| 45 and over | 1,792 | 1,753 | 39 | 2.2 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 2,210 | 2,074 | 136 | 6.6 |
| 14-19 | 329 | 305 | 24 | 7.9 |
| 20-24 | 401 | 359 | 42 | 11.7 |
| 25-44 | 836 | 803 | 33 | 4.1 |
| 45 and over | 644 | 607 | 37 | 6.1 |

Employment has continued to expand somewhat more rapidly than the labour force. Total employment in the second quarter of 1966 was

295,000 , or 4.3 per cent, higher than in the corresponding quarter of 1965 . For both men and women, the year-to-year percentage increases of 3.2 and 6.9 were considerably greater than the ten-year average.

## The Employed

$\frac{$|  Second Quarter  |
| :---: |
|  Averages  |}{$\left(000^{\prime} s\right)$}


| Year-to-Year |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Increase |  |  |
| $\left(000^{\prime} s\right)$ | $\%$ |  |
| 295 | 4.3 |  |
| 156 | 3.2 |  |
| 139 | 6.9 |  |

In the service-producing industries employment was 192,000 higher in the second quarter of 1966 than in the corresponding quarter of 1965. Community, personal, other service and public administration accounted for almost 80 per cent of this increase. Although there was a drop of 61,000 in agricultural employment from a year earlier, the goods-producing sector as a whole showed a gain of 103,000 .

The largest absolute increases in employment for men were 87,000 in manufacturing, 61,000 in service and 51,000 in construction. For women, employment in the service industry was 91,000 higher than a year earlier, 25,000 higher in finance and 20,000 higher in manufacturing.

## Employed by Industry



## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. ; Ontario, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c} . ;$ Prairie region, $0.8 \mathrm{p.c.;}$ British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quar terly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5).
Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6
contain further detall on employment.
Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 con-
tains further detail on unemployment.
Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8
contains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data . From time to time, Autitional data on particular aspects of the labour furce or its components will be obtained. Such material, as ic becomes avallable, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material avallable.

For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Eersons not in the labour force by category. For regions:
5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural. Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the runring of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a fob, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are Encluded in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or belleved no sultable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were waiting to be called back to a fob from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classifled as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | June 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 13,493 | 13,453 | 13,146 | 13,113 | 12,829 | 12,806 |
| Labour force | a | 7,787 | 7,533 | 7,495 | 7,306 | 7,245 | 7,055 |
| Employed | a | 7,543 | 7,303 | 7,251 | 7,049 | 6,980 | 6,773 |
| Agriculture | d | 655 | 575 | 706 | 649 | 731 | 679 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 6,888 | 6,728 | 6,545 | 6,400 | 6,249 | 6,094 |
| Unemployed | d | 244 | 230 | 244 | 257 | 265 | 282 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 5,706 | 5,920 | 5,651 | 5,807 | 5,584 | 5,751 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 57.7 | 56.0 | 57.0 | 55.7 | 56.5 | 55.1 |
| Unemployment rate (4) Actual | d | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| Seasonally adjusted |  | 4.1 | 3.7 | $4.2^{5}$ | 4.3 | $4.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.9 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 6,688 | 6,667 | 6,515 | 6,498 | 6,357 | 6,346 |
| Labour force | a | 5,485 | 5,279 | 5,353 | 5,185 | 5,226 | 5,058 |
| Employed | a | 5,301 | 5,110 | 5,171 | 4,993 | 5,023 | 4,847 |
| Agriculture | d | 563 | 493 | 603 | 557 | 636 | 591 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 4,738 | 4,617 | 4,568 | 4,436 | 4,387 | 4,256 |
| Unemployed | d | 184 | 169 | 182 | 192 | 203 | 211 |
| Not in the labour force | c | 1,203 | 1,388 | 1,162 | 1,313 | 1,131 | 1,288 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 82.0 | 79.2 | 82.2 | 79.8 | 82.2 | 79.7 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 6,805 | 6,786 | 6,631 | 6,615 | 6,472 | 6,460 |
| Labour force | b | 2,302 | 2,254 | 2,142 | 2,121 | 2,019 | 1,997 |
| Employed | b | 2,242 | 2,193 | 2,080 | 2,056 | 1,957 | 1,926 |
| Agriculture | e | 92 | 82 | 103 | 92 | 95 | 88 |
| Non-agriculture | $c$ | 2,150 | 2,111 | 1,977 | 1,964 | 1,862 | 1,838 |
| Unemployed | e | 60 | 61 | 62 | 65 | 62 | 71 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,503 | 4,532 | 4,489 | 4,494 | 4,453 | 4,463 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 33.8 | 33.2 | 32.3 | 32.1 | 31.2 | 30.9 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | f | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
$r$ Revised.
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, mall adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Age, sex, $\frac{\text { Table } 3}{\text { and marital status }}$Week ended July 23,1966 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 13,493 | 2,228 | 3,824 a | 1,053 c | 3,922 a | 1,021 c | 1,445 |
| Labour force | 7,787 a | $1,101 \mathrm{c}$ | 3,702 a | 951 c | 1,087 c | 719 c | 227 d |
| Employed | 7,543 a | 1,009 c | 3,634 a | 901 c | 1,073 c | 705 c | 221 d |
| Unemployed | 244 d | 92 e | 68 e | 50 e | 14 f | 14 f | 6 g |
| Not in the labour force | 5,706 a | 1,127 b | 122 d | 102 d | $2,835 \mathrm{~b}$ | 302 d | 1,218 b |
| Participation rate (3) - 1966, July 23 | $57.7 \mathrm{a}$ | $49.4 \mathrm{c}$ | $96.8 \text { a }$ | 90.3 c | 27.7 c | $70.4 \mathrm{c}$ | $15.7 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| June 18 | $56.0 \mathrm{a}$ | 36.8 c | 96.9 a | 89.6 c | 28.6 c | 71.7 c | 16.2 d |
| Sinemployment rate (4) - 1966, July 23 | 3.1 d | 8.4 e | 1.8 e | 5.3 e | 1.3 f | 1.9 f | 2.6 g |
| ( June 18 | 3.1 d | 10.8 e | 1.6 e | 5.1 e | 1.4 f | 2.0 E | 2.18 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.
fote: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estlmates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Sumary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,543 \\ 655 \\ 6,888 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,303 \\ 575 \\ 6,728 \end{array}$ | 7,251 706 6,545 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,049 \\ 649 \\ 6,400 \end{array}$ | 6,980 731 6,249 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,773 \\ 679 \\ 6,094 \end{array}$ |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 6,888 | 6,728 | 6,545 | 6,400 | 6,249 | 6,094 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 6,377 | 6,141 | 6,041 | 5,824 | 5,784 | 5,590 |
| At work 35 hours or more | b | 4,909 | 5,720 | 4,767 | 5,437 | 4,803 | 5,211 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 1,468 | 421 | 1,274 | 387 | 981 | 379 |
| Due to economic reasons(2) | e | 52 | 64 | 50 | 65 | 48 | 62 |
| Due to other reasons(3) | c | 1,416 | 357 | 1,224 | 322 | 933 | 317 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 511 | 587 | 504 | 576 | 465 | 504 |


|  | S.D. | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average May/July | Average Apr. / June | Average May/July | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Apr. / June } \end{aligned}$ | Average May/July | Average Apr. / June |
| Total employed | a | 7,327 | 7,130 | 7,053 | 6,835 | 6,791 | 6,589 |
| Agriculture | d | 607 | 561 | 670 | 622 | 695 | 652 |
| Other primary industries | e | 235 | 218 | 239 | 211 | 211 | 192 |
| Manufacturing | d | 1,762 | 1,728 | 1,631 | 1,621 | 1,659 | 1,632 |
| Construction | e | 536 | 497 | 504 | 447 | 432 | 399 |
| Transportation and other utilities | d | 624 | 604 | 646 | 628 | 597 | 581 |
| Trade | d | 1,187 | 1,176 | 1,171 | 1,144 | 1,122 | 1,086 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | e | 303 | 300 | 278 | 268 | 270 | 272 |
| Community, personal, other services | d | 1,633 | 1,618 | 1,492 | 1,484 | 1,408 | 1,397 |
| Public administration | e | 440 | 428 | 422 | 410 | 397 | 378 |

Note: From October 1962 to February 1966, the three-month averages in this table have been based on one-third of the records enumerated each month for the Labour Force Survey. Beginning with the threemonth averages for January to March 1966, all of the records have been coded by industry each month and the averages are based on the full monthly surveys. Monthly estimates of employment by industry and occupation are available on request in the Special Tables series mentioned on page 3, "Other Data Available".

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended July 23, 1966 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,543 \mathrm{a} \\ 655 \mathrm{~d} \\ 6,888 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,419 \mathrm{~b} \\ 149 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,270 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \mathrm{c} \\ & 283 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 242 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 383 \mathrm{~d} \\ 72 \mathrm{f} \\ 311 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216 \mathrm{~d} \\ 151 \mathrm{e} \\ 65 \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,301 a \\ 563 d \\ 4,738 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,382 \mathrm{~b} \\ 126 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,256 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 464 \mathrm{c} \\ & 275 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 189 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 352 \mathrm{~d} \\ 69 \mathrm{f} \\ 283 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \mathrm{e} \\ 93 \mathrm{e} \\ 10 \mathrm{~g} \end{array}$ |
| Wo nen Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,242 \mathrm{~b} \\ 92 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,150 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,037 \mathrm{c} \\ 23 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,014 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \mathrm{e} \\ 8 \mathrm{~g} \\ 53 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \mathrm{e} \\ 3 \mathrm{~g} \\ 28 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \mathrm{~d} \\ 58 \mathrm{e} \\ 55 \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the waek and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation ee "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemp loyed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 |  | 1965 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | June 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | June 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 244 | 230 | 244 | 257 | 265 | 282 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 226 | 220 | 231 | 241 | 249 | 269 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 205 | 201 | 208 | 222 | 227 | 246 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 21 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 23 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | £ | 18 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 13 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 226 | 220 | 231 | 241 | 249 | 269 |
| Seeking under 1 month | e | 94 | 99 | 87 | 111 | 97 | 115 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | e | 85 | 68 | 81 | 64 | 86 | 73 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 19 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 38 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 28 | 25 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 43 |


(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## (a) Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures ofight heve been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed es a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smallet per cent sampling variability. Also, estimetes relating to age and sex are usually more rellable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non-sampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operstion. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answerting quesetons, the answers may be incorecetly entered on the questionnatres and ertors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling ercors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will coneribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionalres, intensive trafning and supervision of enumerstors and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective in= quiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Devietion

The sampling error, as described under (s) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a stacistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect of non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the dsta. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard devistion. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be lesa than twice the atandard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimstes, are indicated by letters. The letter " ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ " Indicates that the standard devtation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter "b" indicates that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations
Alphabetic indicator Per cent standard deviation

| s | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| c | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| d | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| e | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| f | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |
| g | $15.1 \%-$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not svaliable at the time when this report is published, the slphabetic indicstors are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Monch Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up so a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characterlacics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimstes or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent standard deviation of both eatimates was indicated by the letter "c", i.e. It was between 1.1\% and 2.5\%. The difference between the May and June estimates $(112,000)$ would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , i.e. it would likely be smaller than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Estimates of Stendard Deviattone

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several escimates and month-to-month changes. These are avallable usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Begtaning vith 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force $1965=1966$ (continued from page 2)

Employment was higher than a year earlier In all regions. Rates of increase ranged between 2.4 per cent in the Prairies and 6.0 per cent in British Columbia.

## Employment by Region

|  | Second Quarter Averages |  | Percent $\frac{\text { Change }}{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000's) |  |  |
|  | 1966 | 1965 |  |
| Canada | 7,130 | 6,835 | $+4.3$ |
| Atlantic | 585 | 562 | $+4.1$ |
| Quebec | 1,996 | 1,903 | +4.9 |
| Ontario | 2,650 | 2,538 | + 4.4 |
| Prairies | 1,227 | 1,198 | $+2.4$ |
| B. C. | 672 | 634 | +6.0 |

Total unemployment averaged 258,000 in the second quarter of 1966 , a reduction of 40,000
from a year earlier. Unemployment among men was 36,000 lower; men $25-44$ accounted for 17,000 of this decline.

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 3.5 in the second quarter of 1966 compared with 4.2 in the corresponding quarter of the previous year. For men, the unemployment rate was reduced from 4.7 to 3.9 and for women from 2.8 to 2.5.

The unemployment rate in the second quarter of 1966 was lower than a year earlier for all regions except British Columbia where there was IIttle change.

Regional rates for the second quarter of 1966 follow, with those for the second quarter of 1965 in brackets: Atlantic 5.9 (8.3), Quebec 4.9 (5.6), Ontario 2.4 (2.7), Prairies 1.8 (2.8), British Columbia 4.4 (4.2).

