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## THE LABOUR FORCE

In the week ended February 18, 1967, the Canadian labour force was estimated at 7,376,000. This total included 6,980,000 persons employed for all or part of the week and 396,000 unemployed for the whole week. Changes in these estimates from January were small: increases of 15,000 in the unemployed and 12,000 in the total labour force, while the employed estimate was virtually unchanged. Compared with February 1966, the estimates for February this year were higher by 254,000 for the labour force, by 214,000 for the employed and by 40,000 for the unemployed.

Between January and February, employment increased by 11,000 in nonagricultural industries
and decreased by 14,000 in agriculture. Nonagricultural employment was higher by 246,000 than in February last year and agricultural employment was 32,000 lower. The number of employed men declined by 27,000 from January, while employed women increased by 24,000 . Of the total increase in employment from February 1966, women accounted for 142,000 and men for 72,000.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in February was 5.4 , compared with rates of 5.2 in January this year and of 5.0 in February 1966. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in February this year was 3.8.


Beginning in July 1960, labour force data relating to familes in which someone was unemployed have been tabulated at quarterly intervals and published usually twice a year. Since the most recent publication (in the August 1966 report), data referring to the weeks ended October 15,1966 , and January 14 , 1967, have been compiled. These statistics are included in the following tables along with previously pub11 ished data for January, April and July 1966. Comments on the Januery 1967 estimates and on seasonal patterns follaw.

Of the 381,000 persons unemployed in January 1967, 179,000 (47\%) were heads of family units, $168,000(44 \%)$ were sons, daughters or other zelatives of family heads, and 34,000 ( $9 \%$ ) were not members of family units.

The 347,000 unemployed members of familles were diseributed throughout 315,000 family units. In 184,000 ( $58 \%$ ) of these units, the membership of the unit included, in addition to the unemployed member or members, at least one person who was employed.
 for which the data are available. Notably, the proportion of the unemployed who were heads of households has been lowest in July ( $31-39 \%$ ) and highest in January and April (45-51\%). On the other hand, the proportion of the unemployed who were single sons and daughters of heads of families has been highest in July ( $40-50 \%$ ), when many students are available for summer fobs, and lowest in January and April ( $30-33 \%$ ). The proportion of families having one or more employed members ranged from 49 to 59 per cent in January and Aprill and from 59 to 73 per cent in July and October.

Further detail for January 1967 is presented in the following tables, along with estimates for the four preceding reference periods. Table 1 refers to unemployed persons. Tables 2 and 3 refer to family units in which one or more persons were unemployed.

Unemployed Persons

| Table 1 <br> Classified as heads, other members, or non-members of family units, Canada | Unemployed persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Members of family unizs(1) |  |  |  | ```Non-members of family units(1)``` |
|  | unemployed | Total | Head of units | Single sons ot daughters | Other relatives |  |
| Number (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 14. 1967 | 381 | 347 | 179 | 114 | 54 | 34 |
| October 15, 1966 | 195 | 177 | 69 | 76 | 32 | 18 |
| July 23, 1966 | 244 | 230 | 75 | 123 | 32 | 14 |
| April 23, 1966 | 298 | 274 | 135 | 99 | 40 | 24 |
| January 15, 1966 | 359 | 325 | 174 | 106 | 45 | 34 |
| Yercent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Januery 14, 1967 | 100 | 91 | 47 | 30 | 14 | 9 |
| October 15, 1966 | 100 | 91 | 35 | 39 | 17 | 9 |
| July 23, 1966 | 100 | 94 | 31 | 50 | 13 | 6 |
| April 23, 1966 | 100 | 92 | 45 | 33 | 14 | 8 |
| January 15, 1966 | 100 | 91 | 48 | 30 | 13 | 9 |

Farily Units (1)

| Table 2 <br> By number of employed persons in unit, Canada | Family units with one or more persons unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Totel units | No person in unit employed | One or more persons in unit employed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { employed } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\text { employed }}$ | 3 or more employed |
| Nusber (in thousends) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 14, 1967 | 315 | 131 | 184 | 117 | 45 | 22 |
| October 15,1966 | 165 | 47 | 118 | 75 | 28 | 15 |
| July 23, 1966 | 205 | 55 | 150 | 75 | 49 | 26 |
| April 23, 1966 | 246 | 111 | 135 | 80 | 38 | 17 |
| January 15, 1966 | 298 | 135 | 163 | 103 | 41 | 19 |
| Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 14, 1967 | 100 | 42 | 58 | 37 | 14 | 7 |
| October 15, 1966 | 100 | 28 | 72 | 46 | 17 | 9 |
| July 23, 1966 | 100 | 27 | 73 | 36 | 24 | 13 |
| April 23, 1966 | 100 | 45 | 55 | 33 | 15 | 7 |
| January 15, 1966 | 100 | 45 | 55 | 35 | 14 | 6 |

(1) The family unit used in these tables is defined as "a group of two or more persons who are living together in the same dwelling and who are related by blood, marriage or adopilon". A persun living alone or who is related to no one else in the dwelling where he lives is classified under "Non-members of family units".
The head of a family unit is defined generally as the person who is mainly responsible for che maintenance of the unit. However, in families consisting of husband and wife (with or without unmarried children), the husband is always designatei as the head. In families composed of patent and umatried child (or children), the parent invariably is recorded as the head of the unit.
(continued on page is)

## Technical Notes <br> Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, $1.6 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{c}_{0}$; Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{co}^{\prime} ;$ Ontario, $0.5 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$; Prairie region, $0.8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}}$; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Sur vey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Rellability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5).
Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment. Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment. Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions. Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.
For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total emplayed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex. Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.
Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
r Revised.
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual averages, $1946-1966$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) |  | 13,475 | 13,128 | 12,817 | 12,536 | 12,280 | 12,053 | 11,831 | 11,605 | 11,388 | 11,123 |
| Labour force | a | 7,420 | 7,141 | 6,933 | 6,748 | 6,615 | 6,521 | 6,411 | 6,242 | 6,137 | 6,008 |
| Employed | a | 7,152 | 6,862 | 6,609 | 6,375 | 6,225 | 6,055 | 5,965 | 5,870 | 5,706 | 5,731 |
| Agriculture | d | 544 | 594 | 630 | 649 | 660 | 681 | 683 | 700 | 718 | 748 |
| Non-agriculture | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6,609 | 6,268 | 5,979 | 5,726 | 5,565 | 5,374 | 5,282 | 5,170 | 4,988 | 4,983 |
| Unemployed | d | 267 | 280 | 324 | 374 | 390 | 466 | 446 | 372 | 432 | 278 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,055 | 5,986 | 5,884 | 5,787 | 5,665 | 5,531 | 5,420 | 5,363 | 5,250 | 5,115 |
| Participation rate(3) | a | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.71 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.11 | 7.0 | 6.01 | 7.0 | 4.6 |
|  | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 10,807 | 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 5,782 | 2 5,610 | 5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,585 | 5,364 | 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4,832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 777 | -819 | 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,808 | 4,546 | 4,365 | 4,377 | 4,278 | 4,158 | 3,958 | 3,837 | 3,779 | 3,711 | 3,480 |
| Unemployed | 197 | 245 | 250 | 162 | 155 | 126 | 186 | 141 | 114 | 110 | 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,025 | 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate(3) | 53.5 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended February 18, 1967 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 13,717 | 2,271 | 3,914 8 | 1,047 b | 4,005 a | 1,019 c | 1,461 |
| Labour force | 7,376 a | 666 c | 3,738 a | 856 c | 1,179 c | 729 c | 208 d |
| Smployed | 6,980 a | 591 c | 3,561 a | 772 84 | 1,152 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 709 c 20 f | 1958 138 |
| Unemp loyed | 396 d | 75 e | 177 e | 84 e | 27 f | 20 f |  |
| Not in the labour force | 6,341 a | 1,605 b | 176 d | 191 d | 2,826 b | 290 d | 1,253 a |
| Particlpation rate (3) - 1967, Feb. 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.8 \mathrm{a} \\ & 53.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 c \\ & 29.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.5 \mathrm{a} \\ & 95.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.8 \quad a \\ & 82.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.4 \mathrm{c} \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 70.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployment rate (4) - 1967, Feb. 18 | 5.4 d | 11.3 e | 4.7 e | 9.8 e | 2.3 f | 2.7 f | 6.2 g |
| Jan. 14 | 5.2 | 11.0 | 4.5 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 5.2 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see 'Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.



Note: From October 1962 to February 1966, the threemonth averages in this table have been based on one-third of the records enumerated each month for the Labour Force Survey. Beginning with the threemonth averages for January to March 1966, all of the records have been coded by Industry each month and the averages are based on the full monthly surveys. Monthly estimates of employment by industry and occupation are avallable on request in the Special Tables serfes mentioned on page 3, "Other Data Available".

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended February 18, 1967 | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pald } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed | 6,980 a | 6,027 a | 517 c | 296 c | 140 d |
| Agriculture | 437 d | 68 e | 264 d | 27 e | 78 e |
| Non-agriculture | 6,543 a | 5,959 a | 253 d | 269 d | 62 e |
| Men. | 4,804 a | 4,035 a | 441 c | 265 c | 63 e |
| Agriculture | 397 d | 57 e | 261 d | 26 f | 53 e |
| Non-agriculture | 4,407 a | 3,978 a | 180 d | 239 d | 10 g |
| Women | 2,176 b | 1,992 b | 76 e | 31 e | 77 d |
| Agriculture | 20 e | 11 f | 3 g | 1 g | 25 e |
| Non-agriculture | 2,136 b | $1,981 \mathrm{~b}$ | 73 e | 30 e | 52 e |

(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the wesk and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Feb. 18 | Jan. 14 | Feb. 19 | Jan. 15 | Feb. 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 396 | 381 | 356 | 359 | 397 | 407 |
| Without work and seeklng work | d | 359 | 342 | 327 | 320 | 368 | 382 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 344 | 330 | 312 | 306 | 349 | 367 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 15 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 15 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | f | 37 | 39 | 29 | 39 | 29 | 25 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 359 | 342 | 327 | 320 | 368 | 382 |
| Seeking under 1 month | e | 96 | 127 | 76 | 111 | 83 | 127 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | e | 177 | 153 | 171 | 151 | 179 | 167 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 57 | 36 | 53 | 34 | 59 | 44 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 29 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 47 | 44 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Table 8 \\
Regional distributions \\
Week ended February 18, 1967
\end{tabular} \& Canada \& Atlantic region \& Quebec \& Ontario \& Prairle region \& \begin{tabular}{l}
British \\
Columbia
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Population 14 years of age and over
Men
Women \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,717 \\
6,798 \\
6,919
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,300 \\
645 \\
655
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 3,963 \\
\& 1,953 \\
\& 2,010
\end{aligned}
\] \& 4,860
2,396
2,464 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2,255 \\
\& 1,139 \\
\& 1,116
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,339 \\
665 \\
674
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Labour force \(\begin{aligned} \& \text { Men } \\ \& \\ \& \\ \& \text { Women }\end{aligned}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 7,376 a \\
\& 5,127 a \\
\& 2,249 \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
597 b \\
425 b \\
172 c
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,118 \mathrm{~b} \\
1,485 \mathrm{a} \\
633 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
\] \& 2,711
1,859
852 \& 1,222
851

371 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 728 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 507 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 221 \mathrm{~d}
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline Employed \& 6,980 a \& 535 b \& 1,969 b \& 2,610 b \& 1,183 b \& 683 b <br>
\hline Men \& 4,804 a \& 370 b \& 1,360 b \& 1,782 8 \& 818 b \& 474 b <br>
\hline Women \& 2,176 b \& 165 c \& 609 \& 828 c \& 365 c \& 209 d <br>

\hline | Agriculture |
| :--- |
| Non-agriculture | \& 437 d

$6,543 \mathrm{a}$ \& 22 e
513 c \& 77 e
$1,892 \mathrm{~b}$ \& 115

2,495 \& 199 d \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
24 \mathrm{f} \\
659 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Paid workers \& 6,027 a \& 467 c \& 1,711 b \& 2,332 b \& 914 b \& 603 c <br>
\hline Men \& 4,035 a \& 318 b \& 1,161 b \& 1,559 b \& 583 b \& 414 c <br>
\hline Women \& 1,992 b \& 149 d \& 550 c \& 773 c \& 331 c \& 189 d <br>
\hline Unemployed \& 396 d \& 62 e \& 149 e \& 101 e \& \& 45 e <br>
\hline Men \& 323 d \& 55 e \& 125 e \& 77 e \& 33 £ \& 33 e <br>
\hline Women \& 73 e \& 7 f \& \& 24 f \& 6 g \& <br>
\hline Not in the labour force \& 6,341 a \& 703 b \& 1,845 b \& 2,149 b \& 1,033 b \& 611 c <br>
\hline Men \& 1,671 b \& 220 c \& 468 c \& 537 c \& 288 c \& 158 c <br>
\hline Women \& 4,670 a \& 483 b \& 1,377 b \& 1,612 b \& 745 b \& 453 c <br>

\hline Employed 1967, February 18 \& $6,980 \mathrm{a}$ \& 535 \& $1,969 \mathrm{~b}$ \& \[
\overline{2}, \overline{6} 10 \bar{b}

\] \&  \& \[

683 \bar{b}
\]

$$
671
$$ <br>

\hline January 14 \& 6,983 \& 538 \& 1,981 \& $$
2,616
$$ \& \[

1,177

\] \& \[

671
\] <br>

\hline 1966, February 19 \& 6,766 \& 533 \& 1,922 \& $$
2,521
$$ \& 1,152 \& \[

638
\] <br>

\hline January 15 \& 6,734 \& 537 \& 1,903 \& 2,523 \& 1,146 \& $$
625
$$ <br>

\hline 1965, February 20 \& 6,460 \& 497 \& 1,812 \& 2,434 \& 1,113 \& 604
598 <br>
\hline January 16 \& 6,461 \& 503 \& 1,782 \& 2,457 \& 1,121 \& <br>
\hline Unemployed 1967, February 18 \& 396 d \& 62 e \& 149 e \& 101 e \& \& <br>
\hline January 14 \& 381 \& 59 \& 133 \& 102 \& 38 \& 49 <br>
\hline 1966, February 19 \& 356 \& 65 \& 134 \& 82 \& 41 \& 34 <br>
\hline January 15 \& 359 \& 63 \& 127 \& 86 \& 42 \& 41 <br>
\hline 1965, February 20 \& 397 \& 76 \& 144 \& 93 \& 47 \& 37 <br>
\hline January 16 \& 407 \& 62 \& 159 \& 98 \& 48 \& 40 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
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## Reliabllity of Estimates

## (a) Sampling Brtor

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the ampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling errar. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all astimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more reliable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non-sampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors will usually balance out over a large number of obaer vations but systematically occuring ercors will contribute to blases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of enumerstors and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, datareferring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The ampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect of non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are ahout 68 out of 100 that the difference between a ample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be lesa than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" tndicates that the standard deviation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the eatimate, the letter "b" indicatea that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \pi$ and 1.07 of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations

| Alphebetic indicetor | Per cent standerd devistion |
| :---: | :---: |
| b | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| c | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| d | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| E | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate ia not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not avallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicated using the cable above. For most characteristics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent standard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", 1.e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The differenc: between the May and June estimates $(112,000)$ would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of $513,000,1, \mathrm{e}$. it would 11 kely be smaller than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Bgtimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are available usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

The Unemployed: Family Data(1) - continued from page 2
Family Units(1)

| $\text { By size } \frac{\text { Table } 3}{\text { of family unit, }}$ | Family units with one or more persona unemployed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total units | Size of family unit |  |  |  |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 3$ persons | 4 - 5 persons | 6-7 persons | 8 or more |
| Total (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenuary 14, 1967 | 315 | 115 | 110 | 58 | 32 |
| October 15, 1966 | 165 | 57 | 58 | 32 | 18 |
| July 23, 1966 | 205 | 61 | 70 | 44 | 30 |
| April 23, 1966 | 246 | 85 | 83 | 47 | 31 |
| January 15, 1966 | 298 | 111 | 97 | 54 | 36 |

(1) See foutnote page 2.

