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from April and agriculture accounted for 96,000. Virtually all of the year-to-year increase in employment was in nonagricultural industries. Between April and May, the number of employed men went up by 209,000 ; in the same period the number of employed women increased by 75,000. Employment in May 1967 was greater than in May 1966 by 125,000 among men and by 148,000 among women.

In May, 3.9 per cent of the labour force were unemployed. This compares with unemployment rates of 4.9 in April this year and of 3.3 in May last year. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in May 1967 was 4.3.


The number of persons in the Canadian labour force averaged $7,420,000$ in 1966, an Increase of 899,000 , or 13.8 per cent, from 1961. The male labour force at $5,193,000$ was 411,000 , or 8.6 per cent, higher and the female labour force at $2,227,000$ was 488,000 , or 28,1 per cent, higher than in 1961. In 1966, the proportion of women in the total labour force was 30.0 per cent as compared to 26.7 per cent five years earlier.

## The Labour Force

|  | 1966 |  |  | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000's) | \% | $(00013)$ |  |
| Total | 7,420 | 100.0 | 6,521 | 100.0 |
| Hen | 5,193 | 70.0 | 4,782 | 73.3 |
| Women | 2,227 | 30.0 | 1,739 | 26.7 |

Between 1961 and 1966, the total labour force continued to expand rapidly. The increase of 13.8 per cent was the second highest increase of any consecutive five-year interval in the post-war period. The percentage gain for women was more than three times as great as for men.

Percentage Increase in the Labour Force by Sex Five-Year Intervals 1946-66

|  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1946^{(1)} 51^{(1)}$ | 6.1 | 6.5 | 4.5 |
| 1951-56 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 17.3 |
| 1956-61 | 12.8 | 7.8 | 29.2 |
| 1961-66 | 13.8 | 8.6 | 28.1 |

(1) Excludes Newfoundland.

There was an actual decline in the number of women in the labour force between 1946 and 1948, as men left the armed forces to return to civilian employment. Since then, with the exception of 1952-53, percentage gains have been substantially greater for women than for men. For the whole twenty-year period, the average annual rate of increase has been 3.6 per cent for the former and 1.6 per cent for the latter.

|  |  | 66 |  | 61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(000 ' s)$ | $\%$ | (000's) | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5,193 | 100.0 | 4,782 | 100.0 |
| Single | 1,244 | 24.0 | 1,161 | 24.3 |
| Married | 3,851 | 74.2 | 3,520 | 73.6 |
| Other | 98 | 1.9 | 102 | 2.1 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,227 | 100.0 | 1,739 | 100.0 |
| Single | 863 | 38.8 | 738 | 42.4 |
| Married | 1,160 | 52.1 | 824 | 47.4 |
| Other | 204 | 9.2 | 178 | 10.2 |

$\therefore$ is important Factor in the rapid expension of the female labour force has been the entry in large numbers of married women. Their number rose by 336,000 between 1961 and 1966 and they now constitute more than half the female labour force. Among men, the proportions of married, single and other has varied very little.

With the exception of older persons, the number in the labour force was substantially higher in 1966 than in 1961 for all age groups. For men, the highest percentage gains were among those under twenty-flve years of age who accounted for about half of the increase in the total male labour force. For women, increases were relatively highest for those 20-24 and 45-64 years of age.


The number of persons in the labour force was greater in 1966 than in 1961 for all regions, the highest percentage gains occurring in British Columbia and Quebec. The regional distribution of the labour force did not change appreciably during the period. Ontario and Quebec together continued to account for about two-thirds of the cotal labour force.

The Labour Force by Region

|  | $\frac{1966}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}$ | $\frac{1961}{\left(000^{1} \mathrm{~s}\right)}$ | Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 7,420 | 6,521 | 899 | 13.8 |
| Atlantic | 626 | 571 | 55 | 9.6 |
| Quebec | 2,116 | 1,820 | 296 | 16.3 |
| Ontario | 2,719 | 2,401 | 318 | 13.2 |
| Prairies | 1,248 | 1,154 | 94 | 8.1 |
| B.C. | 710 | 575 | 135 | 23.5 |

In the 1961-1966 interval, the total labour force participation rate declined initially and then rose to 55.1 per cent in 1966. This was the highest rate of any ysar in the post-war period.

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contalned in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}_{\text {. }}$; Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Prairie reglon, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Sur vey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out morthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions
Supplementary Data - From time to time, diditional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be abtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtaired on request Following is a list of material available.

For Canada only
I. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex. Total employed, total unemployed, and cotal persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the teference week and who also looked for work are Included in the unemployed as persons without wirk and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all oersons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exciusive of institutional population) who are not classifled as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised welghting factors in March $196^{5}$, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians iving an reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 yeacs of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour forcz.

Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statiatics refer to a specific weak, cha laat day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
Eee the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual averages, $1946-1966$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) |  | 13,475 | 13,128 | 12,817 | 12,536 | 12,280 | 12,053 | 11,831 | 11,605 | 11,388 | 11,123 |
| Labour force | a | 7,420 | 7,141 | 6,933 | 6,748 | 6,615 | 6,521 | 6,411 | 6,242 | 6,137 | 6,008 |
| Employed | a | 7,152 | 6,862 | 6,609 | 6,375 | 6,225 | 6,055 | 5,965 | 5,870 | 5,706 | 5,731 |
| Agriculture | d | 544 | 594 | 630 | 649 | 660 | 681 | 683 | 700 | 718 | 748 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 6,609 | 6,268 | 5,979 | 5,726 | 5,565 | 5,374 | 5,282 | 5,170 | 4,988 | 4,983 |
| Unemployed | d | 267 | 280 | 324 | 374 | 390 | 466 | 446 | 372 | 432 | 278 |
| Not in the labour force |  | 6,055 | 5,986 | 5,884 | 5,787 |  | 5,531 | 5,420 | 5,363 | 5,250 | 5,115 |
| Participation rate(3) | a | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.9] | 54.1 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4. | 5.5 | 5.91 | 7.1 | 7. | 6.01 | 7.0 | 4.6 |
|  | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 10,807 | 7 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 5,782 | -5,610 | -5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,585 | 5,364 | 4 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4,832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 777 | 819 | 9 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,808 | 4,546 | 4,365 | 4,377 | 4,278 | 4,158 | 3,958 | 3,837 | 3,779 | 3,711 | 3,480 |
| Unemployed | 197 | 245 | 250 | 162 | 155 | 126 | 186 | 141 | 114 | 1.10 | 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,025 | 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate(3) | 53.5 | 52.9 | 9 52.9 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 3.4 | 4.4 | 44.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |


(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see 'Reliability of Estimates', page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that tithe. See the Match 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri11 } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apri1 } \\ & 17(2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,409 \\ 600 \\ 6,809 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,125 \\ 504 \\ 6,621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,136 \\ 591 \\ 6,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,950 \\ 517 \\ 6,433 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,858 \\ 655 \\ 6,203 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,597 \\ 563 \\ 6,034 \end{array}$ |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 6,809 | 6,621 | 6,545 | 6,433 | 6,203 | 6,034 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 6,131 | 5,950 | 5,945 | 5,811 | 5,611 | 5,450 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 5,697 | 5,560 | 5,511 | 5,413 | 5,267 | 2,725 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work <br> Due to economic reasons( 3) <br> Due to other reasons(4) | c e c | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 74 \\ 360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390 \\ 63 \\ 327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 55 \\ 379 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398 \\ 53 \\ 345 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 344 \\ 59 \\ 285 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,725 \\ 81 \\ 2,644 \end{array}$ |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 678 | 671 | 600 | 622 | 592 | 584 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Mar. /May | Average Feb. /Apr. | Average Mar./May | Average Feb./Apr. | Average Mar./May | Average Feb. /Apr. |
| Total employed | a | 7,208 | 7,065 | 6,969 | 6,846 | 6,659 | 6,526 |
| Agriculture | d | 522 | 467 | 528 | 487 | 585 | 538 |
| Other primary industries | d | 185 | 189 | 202 | 198 | 199 | 199 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,753 | 1,747 | 1,704 | 1,686 | 1,607 | 1,602 |
| Construction | c | 440 | 421 | 468 | 447 | 399 | 376 |
| Iransportation and other utilities | c | 644 | 634 | 590 | 582 | 606 | 586 |
| Trade | c | 1,196 | 1,185 | 1,168 | 1,157 | 1,131 | 1,109 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | c | 307 | 303 | 299 | 299 | 267 | 270 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,728 | 1,694 | 1,600 | 1,586 | 1,470 | 1,474 |
| Public administration | c | 433 | 425 | 410 | 404 | 395 | 372 |

Note: From October 1962 to February 1966, the three month averages in this table have been based on one-third of the records enumerated each month for the Labour Force Survey. Beginning with the threemonth averages for January to March 1966, all of the records have been coded by industry each month and the averages are based on the full monthly surveys. Monthly estimates of employment by industry and occupation are avallable on request in the Special Tables serfes mentioned on page 3, "Other Data Avallable".

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended May 20, 1967 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,409 \mathrm{a} \\ 600 \mathrm{~d} \\ 6,809 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,297 a \\ 105 a \\ 6,192 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 583 c \\ & 309 d \\ & 274 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 336 c \\ 54 e \\ 282 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 193 \mathrm{~d} \\ 132 \mathrm{e} \\ 61 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,113 a \\ 526 d \\ 4,587 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,222 a \\ 93 \mathrm{a} \\ 4,129 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 496 c \\ & 302 d \\ & 194 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307 \mathrm{c} \\ 53 \mathrm{f} \\ 254 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \mathrm{e} \\ & 78 \mathrm{e} \\ & 10 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-s.griculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,296 b \\ 74 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,222 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,075 \mathrm{~b} \\ 12 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,063 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \mathrm{e} \\ 7 \mathrm{~g} \\ 80 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \mathrm{e} \\ 1 \mathrm{~g} \\ 28 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \mathrm{~d} \\ 54 \mathrm{e} \\ 51 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

[^0]Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Fstimates in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 304 | 365 | 247 | 298 | 265 | 371 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 285 | 334 | 235 | 274 | 250 | 345 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 268 | 320 | 221 | 263 | 235 | 330 |
| Seeking part-time work | £ | 17 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 15 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | £ | 19 | 31 | 12 | 24 | 15 | 26 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 285 | 334 | 235 | 274 | 250 | 345 |
| Seeking under 1 month | e | 97 | 93 | 79 | 71 | 75 | 81 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | e | 91 | 121 | 77 | 95 | 79 |  |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 57 | 85 | 47 | 75 | 52 | 101 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 40 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 44 | 52 |


| Regional distributions Week ended May 20, 1967 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontario | Prairle region | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men <br> Women | $\begin{array}{r} 13,824 \\ 6,852 \\ 6,972 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,306 \\ 647 \\ 659 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,991 \\ & 1,968 \\ & 2,023 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,904 \\ & 2,419 \\ & 2,485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,265 \\ & 1,144 \\ & 1,121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,358 \\ 674 \\ 684 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force | 7,713 a | 629 b | 2,210 b | 2,824 a | 1,291 b | 759 |
| Men | 5,349 a | 447 b | 1,547 a | 1,924 a | 903 a | 528 b |
| Women | 2,364 b | 182 c | 663 c | 900 c | 388 c | 231 d |
| Employed | 7,409 a | 584 b | 2,087 b | 2,750 b | 1,265 b | 723 b |
| Men | 5,113 a | 407 b | 1,450 b | 1,873 \& | 882 b | 501 b |
| Women | 2,296 b | 177 c | 637 c | 877 c | 383 c | 222 d |
| Agriculture | 600 d | 28 e | 126 e | 144 e | 277 d | 25 f |
| Non-agriculture | 6,809 a | 556 c | 1,961 b | 2,606 b | 988 c | 698 c |
| Pald workers | 6,297 a | 502 c | 1,791 b | 2,438 b | 933 b | 633 c |
| Men | 4,222 a | 343 b | 1,222 b | $1,623 \mathrm{~b}$ | 600 b | 434 c |
| Women | 2,075 b | 159 d | 569 c | 815 c | 333 c | 199 d |
| Unemployed | 304 d | 45 e | 123 e | 74 e |  | 36 e |
| Men | 236 d | 40 e |  | 51 e | 21 f | 27 e |
| Women | 68 e | 5 f |  | 23 f | 5 g | 98 |
| Not in the labour force | 6,111 a | 677 b | 1,781 b | 2,080 b | 974 b | 599 c |
| Men | 1,503 b | 200 c | 421 c | 495 c | 241 c | 146 c |
| Women | 4,608 a | 477 b | $1,360 \mathrm{~b}$ | 1,585 b | 733 b | 453 c |
| Employed 1967, May 20 | 7,409 a | 584 b | 2,087 b | 2,750 b | 1,265 b | 723 |
| April 22 | 7,125 | 545 | 2,012 | 2,687 | 1,183 | 698 |
| 1966, May 21 | 7,136 | 589 | 1,986 | 2,638 | 1,244 | 679 |
| April 23 | 6,950 | 553 | 1,954 | 2,597 | 1,189 | 657 |
| 1965, May 22 | 6,858 | 570 | 1,900 | 2,536 | 1,217 | 635 |
| April 17 | 6,597 | 516 | 1,853 | 2,468 | 1,146 | 614 |
| Unemployed 1967, May 20 | 304 d | 45 e | 123 e | 74 e |  | 36 |
| April 22 | 365 | 60 | 131 | 100 | 33 | 41 |
| 1966, May 21 | 247 | 35 | 105 | 57 | 22 | 28 |
| April 23 | 298 | 52 | 117 | 62 | 32 | 35 |
| 1965, May 22 | 265 | 45 | 105 | 59 | 30 | 26 |
| April 17 | 371 | 76 | 133 | 80 | 52 | 30 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.


## Rellability of Estimates

## (a) Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different flgures might have been obtained if complete census had been taken using the same questionnalres, enmerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling ertor of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey ex ${ }^{\text {m }}$ tensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling ertor (expressed ats a per cent of the estimate if refers $t o$ ) is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly discributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variabilicy. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more reliable than other eatimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non- Bampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the nonmsampling errors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systemstically accuring errors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with lebs stable labour force status will have relatively laxge non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The aampling ertor, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect of non-sampling ecrors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the scandard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less chan $21 / 2$ times as large.

The scandard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indfcsted by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the scandard deviation is amaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the eacimate, the letter " $b$ " indicated that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and $s o$ on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standard deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| $b$ | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| $c$ | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| $d$ | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| $e$ | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| $\&$ | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |
| $g$ | $15.1 \%=$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current catimates are not available at the time when this repart is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this re⿻ port the standard deviation of the difference between two eatimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent: standard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", i.e. 1t was between $1.1 \%$ end $2.5 \%$. The differenco between the May and June estimates $(112,000)$ would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , 1.e. it would likely be smaller than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-tomonth changes. These are available usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be abtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

The Labour Force, 1961-1966 (continued from page 2)

Labour Force Participation Rates(1)

|  | Total |  | Men |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(1) The percentage of the population in each group in the labour force

In the period under review the participation rate for men dropped from 79.8 to 77.8 . This is a continuation of an almost uninterrupted decline in evidence since 1946. For women, the increase in labour force participation from 28.7 in 1961 to 32.8 in 1966 is a continuation of the rapid and uninterrupted expansion that has taken place since 1953.


[^0]:    (1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8. (2) $\mathbb{1}$ : the April 1965 reference week, an unusually large number of persons worked less thar 35 hours due to Good Friday. (3) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoks for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week. (4) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
    Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

