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## THE LABOUR FORCE JULY 1967

In the week ended July 22, 1967, the Canadian labour force was estimated at $8,125,000$. This total included $7,841,000$ persons employed for all or part of the week and 284,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. From June, the labour force increased by 266,000 , the employed by 274,000 and the unemployed showed little change. The labour force was 338,000 higher than in July 1966, the employed being 298,000 higher and the unemployed 40,000 higher.

Of the total increase in employment from June, nonagricultural industries accounted for 198,000 and agriculture for 76,000 . Nonagricul-
 tural employment was up 271,000 over July 1966 and employment in agriculture was up 27000 . Between June and foll, there were increases of 212,000 among employed -men and 62,000 mong emplayed women. There were 148,000 more men and 150,000 more women employed in July 1967 than a year earlier.

The unemployment rate in July was 3.5 per cent of the total labour force, compared with rates of 3.7 in June this year and 3.1 in July 1966. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in July this year was 4.3.


# Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1966-1967 

In the second quarter of 1967, the labour force was 299,000 greater than in the second quarter of 1966; employment and unemployment were higher by 237,000 and 62,000 , respectively.

| Second Quarter Averages |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  |
|  | $\underline{1967}$ | $\underline{1966}$ |
| Labour Force | 7,687 | 7,388 |
| Employed | 7,367 | 7,130 |
| Unemployed | 320 | 258 |

The total labour force was 4.0 per cent higher in the second quarter of 1967 than in the corresponding quarter of 1966 . This marked the fourth consecutive quarter that year-to-year geins have been 4.0 per cent or more. British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec experienced substantial percentage gains of $7.3,4.8$ and 4.7, respectively. In the Atlantic and Prairie regions there was little change.

Men and women shared almost equally in the absolute increase in total labour force. For men, the increase of 3.0 per cent was the highest year-to-year increase since the fourth quarter of 1957 and was almost twice the average annual rate of 1.6 per cent of the past ten years. The year-toyear gain of 6.6 per cent for women marked the sixth consecutive quarter in which the rate of increase exceeded 6.0 per cent.

The highest year-to-year percentage gains in the labour force were anong men under twentyfive years of age and among women in the age groups 20-24 and 45 and over.

The Labour Force

|  | Second Quarter <br> Averages <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year-to-Year <br> Increase <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |

Employment continued to expand but somewhat less rapidly than the labour force. Total employment in the second quarter of 1967 was 237,000 , or 3.3 per cent, higher than in the corresponding quarter of 1966 . For both men and women, however, the year-to-year percentage increases of 2.2 and 6.0 , respectively, remained greater than the ten-year average annual rate.

The Employed

|  | Second Quarter <br> Averages <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year-to-Year <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1967}$ | $\underline{1966}$ |

All of the increase in total employment between the second quarters of 1966 and 1967 was in the service-producing sector. In the goodsproducing sector, the increase in manufacturing employment compensated for most of the decline in other primary industries and construction. For both men and women, the largest employment gains were in community, personal and other services.

## Employment by Industry

|  | Second Quarter $\frac{\text { Averages }}{(000 ' s)}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-Year } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Change } \\ (00 \text { 's) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1967 | 1966 |  |  |
| All industries $\quad 7,367 \quad 7,130+237+3.3$Goods-producing |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 3,004 | 3,004 | - |  |
| Agriculture | 570 | 561 | + 9 | $+1.6$ |
| Other primary |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 200 | 218 | - 18 | $-8.3$ |
| Manufacturing | 1,764 | 1,728 | + 36 | + 2.1 |
| Construction | 470 | 497 | - 27 | - 5.4 |
| Service-producing |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation \& other utilities | 657 | 604 | + 53 | + 8.8 |
| Trade | 1,201 | 1,176 | + 25 | + 2.1 |
| Finance | 310 | 300 | + 10 | + 3.3 |
| Community, personal \& other services | 1,750 | 1,618 | + 132 | + 8.2 |
| Public adminis tration | 445 | 428 | + 17 | $+4.0$ |

Comparing second quarters, employment was substantially higher in 1967 than in 1966 in British Columbia, Quebec and Ontario; in the Atlantic and Prairie regions there was little change.

Employment by Region

| Second Quarter <br> Averages <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year-to-Year <br> Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1967}{\%}$ | $\frac{1966}{}$ |  |
| Atlantic | 583 | 585 | -0.3 |
| Quebec | 2,077 | 1,996 | +4.1 |
| Ontar10 | 2,752 | 2,650 | +3.8 |
| Prairies | 1,239 | 1,227 | +1.0 |
| B.C. | 716 | 672 | +6.5 |


|  | Secon Ave | arter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to-Year } \\ \frac{\text { Change }}{\%} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1967 | 1966 |  |
| Atlantlc | 583 | 585 | $-0.3$ |
| Quebec | 2,077 | 1,996 | $+4.1$ |
| Ontario | 2,752 | 2,650 | + 3.8 |
| Prairies | 1,239 | 1,227 | $+1.0$ |
| B.C. | 716 | 672 | $+6.5$ |

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labout Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Intervlews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Aclantic region, $1.6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c} . ;$ Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{c} . ;$ Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Prairie region, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervais until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing In Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, immates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling exrors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics


#### Abstract

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

For Canada only: 1. Age and sex distributions. 2. Marital status and sex distributions. 3. Employed - (a) Reasons for working less than full-time. (b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture. (c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers. 4. Persons not in the labour force by category.


## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural. Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Pralife regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex. Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.
published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the clvilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the run-
ning of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persuns who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without :rork and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did no work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the Eull week, 1.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid of $f$ for less than 30 days.
Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | S.D. <br> (1) | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July $22$ | June 17 | July 23 | June 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | June 19 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 13,899 | 13,856 | 13,493 | 13,453 | 13,146 | 13,113 |
| Labour forc | a | 8,125 | 7,859 | 7,787 | 7,533 | 7,495 | 7,306 |
| Employed | a | 7,841 | 7,567 | 7,543 | 7,303 | 7,251 | 7,049 |
| Agriculture | d | 682606 |  | 655 | 575 | 706 | 649 |
| Non-agriculture | a | $7,159 \quad 6,961$ |  | 6,888 | 6,728 | 6,545 | 6,400 |
| Unemployed | d | 284292 |  | 244 | 230 | 244 | 257 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 5,774 | 5,997 | 5,706 | 5,920 | 5,651 | 5,807 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | $58.5$$56.7$ |  | $57.7 \quad 56.0$ |  | $57.0$ |  |
| Unemployment rate (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual 1 | d | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 4.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.9^{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 4.0^{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 6,889 | 6,868 | 6,688 | 6,667 | 6,515 | 6,498 |
| Labour forceEmployed | a | 5,661 | 5,448 | 5,485 | 5,279 | 5,353 | 5,185 |
|  | a | 5,449 | 5,237 | 5,301 | 5,110 | 5,171 | 4,993 |
| AgricultNon-agrUnemployed | d | 582 | 518 | 563 | 493 | 603 | 557 |
|  | a | 4,867 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,719 \\ 211 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,738 \\ 184 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,617 \\ 169 \end{array}$ | $182$ | 4,436 |
|  | d | 212 |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| Not in the labour force | b | 1,228 | 1,420 | 1,203 | 1,388 | 1,162 | 1,313 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 82.2 | 79.3 | 82.0 | 79.2 | 82.2 | 79.8 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,010 | 6,988 | 6,805 | 6,786 | 6,631 | 6,615 |
| Labour force | b | 2,464 | 2,411 | 2,302 | 2,254 | 2,142 | 2,121 |
| Employed | b | 2,392 | 2,330 | 2, 242 | 2,193 | 2,080 2,056 |  |
| Agriculture | e | 100 | 88 |  | 82 | 103 | 92 |
| Non-agriculture | b | 2,29272 | $2,242$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,150 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | 2,111 | 1,977 | 1,964 |
| Unemployed | e |  |  |  | 61 | 62 | 65 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,546 | 4,577 | 4,503 | 4,532 | 4,489 | 4,494 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 35.1 | 34.5 | 33.8 | $33.2$ | $32.3 \quad 32.1$ |  |
| Unemployment race (4) | e | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 |

(1) "S.D." standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on rtsarves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territoxies.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population la yaars of age fud ovet
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.

5 Revised.
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5 , all statistics veßar co moectiac watic, tian indk day of which is indicated.
(Estimales in thousands)
Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
mall adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual averages, 1946-1.966 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) |  | 13,475 | 13,128 | 12,817 | 12,536 | 12,280 | 12,053 | 11,831 | 11,605 | 11,388 | 11,123 |
| Labour force | a | 7,420 | 7,141 | 6,933 | 6,748 | 6,615 | 6,521 | 6,411 | 6,242 | 6,137 | 6,008 |
| Employed | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,152 | 6,862 | 6,609 | 6,375 | 6,225 | 6,055 | 5,965 | 5,870 | 5,706 | 5,731 |
| Agriculture | d | 544 | 594 | 630 | 649 | 660 | 681 | 683 | 700 | . 718 | 748 |
| Non-agricultu | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6,609 | 6,268 | 5,979 | 5,726 | 5,565 | 5,374 | 5,282 | 5,170 | 4,988 | 4,983 |
| Unemployed | d | 267 | 280 | 324 | 374 | 390 | 466 | 446 | 372 | 432 | 278 |
| Not in the labour force |  | 6,055 | 5,986 | 884 | 5,787 | -665 | 5,531 | 5,420 | 5,363 | 5,250 | 5,115 |
| icipation rate (3) | a | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.0 |
| loyment rate (4) | d | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.71 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.1 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.6 |
|  | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 10,807 | 7 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 5,782 | 2,610 | 5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,585 | 5,364 | 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4,832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 777 | -819 | 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,808 | 4,546 | 4,365 | 4,377 | 4,278 | 4,158 | 3,958 | 3,837 | 3,779 | 3,711 | 3,480 |
| Unemployed | 197 | 245 | 250 | 162 | 155 | 126 | 186 | 141 | 114 | 11. | 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,025 | - 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate(3) | 53.5 | 52.9 | . 52.9 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 3.4 | 4.4 .4 | 4.4 .6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |

Table 3
Age, sex, and marital status Week ended July 22, 1967
Population 14 years of age and over(2)

## Labour force

Employed
Unemp loyed
Not in the labour force

Participation rate (3) - 1967, July 22 June 17

Unemployment rate (4) - 1967, July 22 June 17

| Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  | Married | Other | Married | 0ther |  |
| 13,899 | 2,302 | 3,971 в | 1,061 b | 4,066 a | 1,025 c | 1,474 |
| 8,125 a | 1,179 c | 3,831 a | 954 c | 1,211 c | 729 c | 221 d |
| 7,841 a | 1,076 c | 3,743 a | 905 c | 1,192 c | 712 c | 213 d |
| 284 d | 103 e | 88 e | 49 e | 19 f | 17 f | 88 |
| 5,774 a | 1,123 b | 140 d | 107 d | 2,855 b | 296 d | 1,253 в |
| 58.5 a | 51.2 c | 96.5 a | 89.9 a | 29.8 c | 71.1 b | 15.0 d |
| 56.7 | 38.5 | 96.7 | 89.0 | 30.5 | 72.6 | 14.9 |
| 3.5 d | 8.7 e | 2.3 e | 5.1 e | 1.6 f | 2.3 f | 3.6 g |
| 3.7 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.2 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see 'Rellability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

## Canada, Employed

(Estimates in thousands)

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Sumary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July 22 | June 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | June 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | June 19 |
| Total employed | a | 7,841 | 7,567 | $7,543$ | $7,303$ | 7,251 | 7,049 |
| Agriculture | d | 682 | $606$ | $655$ | $575$ | 706 | 649 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,159 | 6,961 | 6,888 | 6,728 | 6,545 | 6,400 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | 3 | 7,159 | 6,961 | 6,888 | 6,728 | 6,545 | 6,400 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 6,562 | 6,298 | 6,377 | 6,141 | 6,041 | 5,824 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 5,178 | 5,767 | 4,909 | 5,720 | 4,767 | 5,437 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 1,384 | 531 | 1,468 | 421 | 1,274 | 387 |
| Due to economic reasons(2) | e | 60 | 78 | 52 | 64 | 50 | 65 |
| Due to other reasons (3) | c | 1,324 | 453 | 1,416 | 357 | 1,224 | 322 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 597 | 663 | 511 | 587 | 504 | 576 |


|  |  |  | 967 |  |  |  | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | Average May/July | Average Apr./June | Average May/July | Average Apr./June | Average <br> May/July | Average Apr./June |
| Total employed | a | 7,606 | 7,367 | 7,327 | 7,130 | 7,053 | 6,835 |
| Agriculture | d | 629 | 570 | 607 | 561 | 670 | 622 |
| Other primary industries | d | 226 | 200 | 235 | 218 | 239 | 211 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,790 | 1,764 | 1,762 | 1,728 | 1,631 | 1,621 |
| Construction | c | 499 | 470 | 536 | 497 | 504 | 447 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 676 | 657 | 624 | 604 | 646 | 628 |
| Trade | c | 1,234 | 1,201 | 1,187 | 1,176 | 1,171 | 1,144 |
| Pinance, insurance, real estate | c | 317 | 310 | 303 | 300 | 278 | 268 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,769 | 1,750 | 1,633 | 1,618 | 1,492 | 1,484 |
| Public administration | c | 466 | 445 | 440 | 428 | 422 | 410 |

Note: From October 1962 to February 1966, the three-month averages in this table have been based on one-third of the records enumerated each month for the Labour Force Survey. Beginning with the threemonth averages for January to March 1966, all of the records have been coded by industry each month and the averages are based on the full monthly surveys. Monthly estimates of employment by industry and occupation are available on request in the Special Tables series mentioned on page 3, "Other Data Avallable".

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended July 22, 1967 | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paid } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,841 \mathrm{a} \\ 682 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,159 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,668 \mathrm{a} \\ 149 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,519 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 566 c \\ & 300 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 266 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 366 c 65 e 301 d | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \mathrm{~d} \\ 168 \mathrm{e} \\ 73 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,449 a \\ 582 d \\ 4,867 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,510 \mathrm{a} \\ 127 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,383 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \mathrm{c} \\ & 294 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 201 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 332 \mathrm{c} \\ 63 \mathrm{f} \\ 269 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \mathrm{e} \\ 98 \mathrm{e} \\ 14 \mathrm{~g} \end{array}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,392 \mathrm{~b} \\ 100 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,292 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,158 \mathrm{~b} \\ 22 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,136 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \mathrm{e} \\ 6 \mathrm{~g} \\ 65 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \mathrm{e} \\ 2 \mathrm{~g} \\ 32 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \mathrm{~d} \\ 70 \mathrm{e} \\ 59 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellabllity of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the weals and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table? Unemployed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1967 |  | 1966 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | June 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | June 18 | July 24 | June 19 |
| Total unemployed | d | 284 | 292 | 244 | 230 | 244 | 257 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 262 | 279 | 226 | 220 | 231 | 241 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 239 | 256 | 205 | 201 | 208 | 222 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 23 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 19 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | f | 22 | 13 | 18 | 10 | 13 | 16 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 262 | 279 | 226 | 220 | 231 | 241 |
| Seeking under 1 month | e | 94 | 131 | 94 | 99 | 87 | 111 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | e | 105 | 77 | 85 | 68 | 81 | 64 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 30 | 34 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 30 |
| seeking more than 6 months | e | 33 | 37 | 28 | 25 | 33 | 36 |


(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## Reliability of Estimates

## (a) Sampling Exror

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if complete census had been taken using the some questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actusily used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey ex tensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling exror (exptessed sa per cent of the estimate it refers $c o$ ) is not the same for all astimates; of twa estimates the larger one will likely have amallet per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to s characceristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling voriability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more reliable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non=8ampling Errors

Brrors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors will usually balance out over a 1 srge number of observationa but systematically occuring errors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionmaires, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective in= quirles are subject to larger errors. Alsa, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have rem latively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Scandard Devistion

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quartity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard devistion of an estimate in statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect of non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any syatematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less chan $21 / 2$ times as large.

The tandard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the standard deviation is amaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the 1 etter "h" indies:es that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ a? the estimati and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent atandard deviations
Alphabetic indicator Per cent standard deviation

| 8 | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| c | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| d | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| f | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimtes are not uvaliable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-ta-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be omewhet smaller than the standard deviation of the smallet of the two estimater or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in Mall and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cat a tandard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", i.e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The differnu: between the May and June estimates $(112,000)$ would, thereforis, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , $1 . e$. it would likely be smallet than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Batimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are available usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

## Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force (continued from page 2)

Total unemployment averaged 320,000 in the second quarter of 1967 , an increase of 62,000 from the second quarter of 1966. Of the total increase, men accounted for 45,000 and women for 17,000. The unemployed as a percentage of the Canadian labour force rose from 3.5 to 4.2.

## Unemployment Rates

Second Quarter Averages

## Total

Men
Women

|  | 1967 | 1966 |  | 1967 | 1966 |  | 1967 | $\underline{1966}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A11 ages | 4.2 | 3.5 |  | 4.6 | 3.9 |  | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| $14-19$ | 10.6 | 8.8 |  | 12.0 | 10.6 |  | 9.0 | 6.7 |
| $20-24$ | 5.2 | 4.2 |  | 6.3 | 5.4 |  | 3.2 | 2.2 |
| $25-44$ | 3.1 | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 2.7 |  | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| $45+$ | 3.1 | 2.9 |  | 3.7 | 3.3 |  | 1.7 | 1.6 |

age groups among men; among women they were higher for those under twenty-five years of age. For both men and women, rates continue to be highest for teenagers.

The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force was higher than a year earlier for all regions.

## Unemployment Rates by Region <br> Second Quarter Averages

|  | 1967 | 1969 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic | 7.2 | 3.9 |
| Quebec | 5.4 | 4.2 |
| Ontario | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| Erairies | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| B.C. | 5.0 | 4.4 |

In the period under review, unemployment rates were higher than a year earlier for all

