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## THE LABOUR FORCE

JULY 1968

In the week ended July 20, 1968, the number of persons in the Canadian labour force was estimated at 8,323,000. Included in this total were $7,952,000$ persons employed for all or part of the week and 371,000 unemployed for the whole week. Between June and July, the labour force increased by 165,000 , employment having increased by 189,000 and unemployment having decreased by 24,000 . The labour force, employment and unemployment were higher than in July 1967 by $198,000,111,000$ and 87,000 , respectively.

Employment in nonagricultural industries rose by 124,000 and in agriculture by

65,000 from June LIBR A M Y , nonagicultural employment was 137,000 higher than a year earlier and employment in agriculture was 26,000 lower. The June-to-July increase in employment was 151,000 for men and 38,000 for women. In July 1968, there were 51,000 more men and 60,000 more women employed than in July 1967.

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 4.5 in July 1968, 4.8 in June 1968 and 3.5 in July 1967. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in July 1968 was 5.3 .


## Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force

## 1967-1968

In the second quarter of the 1968, the labour force was 227,000 greater than in the second quarter of 1967; employment was 148,000 higher and unemployment 79,000 higher.

## Second Quarter Averages

| Second Quarter Averages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |
|  | $\underline{1968}$ | $\underline{1967}$ |
| Labour Force | $\mathbf{7 , 9 1 4}$ | 7,687 |
| Employed | 7,515 | 7,367 |
| Unemployed | 399 | 320 |

In the second quarter of 1968 , the total labour force continued to expand at a faster rate than total employment and consequently unemployment remained substantially higher than a year earlier. At 3.0 per cent, the rate of increase of the labour force was equal to the average annual rate of the past five years. The year-to-year increase in employment of 2.0 per cent, however, was the lowest of any second quarter since 1963.

The male labour force in the second quarter of 1968 was 136,000 , or 2.6 per cent, higher and the female labour force 90,000 , or 3.8 per cent, higher than in the corresponding quarter of 1967. For men, the rate of growth was higher and for women it was lower than the five-year average ( 2.0 per cent and 5.5 per cent, respectively). Among men, the largest percentage gains were for those under twenty-five years of age; for women it was in the age group 20-24.

The Labour Force

|  | Second Quarter <br> $\frac{\text { Averages }}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}$ | Year-to-year <br> Increase |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (000's) |  |  |  |

Total employment averaged $7,515,000$ in the second quarter of 1968 , a rise of 148,000 , or 2.0 per cent, from the corresponding quarter of 1967. Men and women contributed almost equally to the absolute gain in total employment. The rates of increase of 1.4 per cent for men and 3.3 per cent for women, however, were considerably lower than the average annual rates of the preceding five years, which were 2.5 and 5.6 , respectively.

Employment in the service-producing secta: was higher in the second quarter of 1968 than in the second quarter of 1967 by 151,000. This increase of 3.5 per cent was the lowest year-to-year increase of any second quarter since 1963. Of the total increase in the serviceproducing industries, men accounted for 65,000 and women for 86,000 . As for total employment, the percentage gains in this sector for both men (2.5) and women (4.8) were well below the five-year average.

Employment in the goods-producing sector in the second quarter of 1968 was virtually unchanged from a year earlier. This marked the fifth consecutive quarter in which there has been no year-to-year increase in employment in the goods-producing sector.

## Employment by Industry Sector and Sex

|  | Second Quarter $\frac{\text { Averages }}{(00015)}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-year } \\ & \left(000^{\left.\frac{C h}{1}\right)} \%\right. \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 | 1967 |  |  |
| Goods-producing | 3,001 | 3,004 | 3 | -0.1 |
| Men | 2,525 | 2,517 | + 8 | +0. 3 |
| Women | 476 | 487 | - 11 | -2.3 |
| Service-producing | 4,514 | 4,363 | +151 | +3.5 |
| Men | 2,633 | 2,568 | $+65$ | +2. |
| Women | 1,881 | 1,795 | $+86$ | +4.8 |

Among the service-producing industries, che largest year-to-year percentage increase was in finance (4.5) followed by trade (3.9), transportation and other utilities (3.8), community, personal and other services (3.3) and public administration (1.8). Employment in agriculture remained unchanged from the second quarter of 1967. In the other goods-producing industries, the modest gain in other primary industries offset slight declines in construction and manufacturing.

There was little change in the number of persons employed in Quebec from the second quarter of 1967. For the other regions, moderate to substantial percentage gains were recorded as follows: Atlantic (1.7), Ontario (2.4), Prairies (3.3) and British Columbia (3.6).

Total unemployment averaged 399,000 in the second quarter of 1968 , a rise of 79,000 from the corresponding quarter of 1967. Of the total increase, men accounted for 63,000 and women for 16,000.

| The Unemployed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Second Quarter Averages |  |
|  | $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |
| Total | $\frac{1968}{399}$ | $\frac{1967}{326}$ |
| Men | 310 | 24 |
| Women | 89 | 75 |

## Teshnical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample suryey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 35,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, $1.6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$.; Quebec, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c} . ;$ Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Pralrie region, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwes Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the toral population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling ercors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Rellability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Stat18tics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Eorce (page 4 and 5).
Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contalns further detall on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.

Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour Zorce or its components will be obtalned. Such material, as it becomes avallable, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

For regions:
5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force 18 composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are Included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, chrough the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, 1.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the communicy; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, 1.e., were walting to be called back to a fob from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classifled as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.
(Estimates in thousands)
Note: Due to the introduction of revised welghting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates pubilshed before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
$r$ Revised
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5 , all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended July 20, 1968 | Total | 14-19 <br> years <br> all <br> persons | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 14,281 | 2,368 | 4,055 a | 1,121 b | 4,171 a | 1,062 c | 1,504 |
| Labour force | 8,323 a | 1,174 c | 3,906 a | 996 c | 1,281 c | 743 c | 223 d |
| Employed | 7,952 a | 1,031 c | 3,804 a | 925 c | 1,257 c | 718 c | 217 d |
| Unemployed | 371 d | 143 e | 102 d | 71 e | 24 f |  | 68 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,958 a | 1,194 b | 149 d | 125 d | 2,890 b | 319 d | 1,281 a |
| Participation rate (3)-1968, $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 20 \\ & \text { June } 22\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.3 \text { a } \\ & 57.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.6 \mathrm{c} \\ & 41.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.3 \text { a } \\ & 96.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.8 \mathrm{a} \\ & 87.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \mathrm{c} \\ & 31.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 70.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Snemployment rate (4)-1968, fuly 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 d \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \text { e } \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \mathrm{e} \\ & 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \mathrm{f} \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \mathrm{f} \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ |

$(1)(2)(3)(4)$ See footnotes on opposite page.
Yote: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965. small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (\mathrm{D}) \end{aligned}$ | 1968 |  | 1967 |  | 1966 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July 20 | June 22 | July 22 | June 17 | July 23 | June 18 |
| Total employed | a | 7,952 | 7,763 | 7,841 | 7,567 | 7,543 | 7,303 |
| Agriculture | d | 656 | 591 | 682 | 606 | 655 | 575 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,296 | 7,172 | 7,159 | 6,961 | 6,888 | 6,728 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 7, -296 | $\overline{7,172}$ | 7, -159 | -6,961 | 6, 8 -88 | 6,728 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | 3 | 6,665 | 6,472 | 6,562 | 6,298 | 6,377 | 6,141 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 5,269 | 5,935 | 5,178 | 5,767 | 4,909 | 5,720 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | $c$ | 1,396 | 537 | 1,384 | 531 | 1,468 | 421 |
| Due to economic reasons (2) | e | 75 | 70 | 60 | 78 | 52 | $64$ |
| Due to other reasons (3) | c | 1,321 | 467 | 1,324 | 453 | 1,416 | 357 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 631 | 700 | 597 | 663 | 511 | 587 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 1968 |  | 1967 |  | 1966 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | June 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | June $18$ |
| Total employed | a | 7,952 | 7,763 | 7,841 | 7,567 | 7,543 | 7,303 |
| Agriculture | d | 656 | 591 | 682 | 606 | 655 | 575 |
| Other primary industries | d | 257 | 245 | 251 | 233 | 239 | 245 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,788 | 1,810 | 1,818 | 1,784 | 1,814 | 1,755 |
| Construction | c | 537 | 503 | 528 | 497 | 576 | 535 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 726 | 707 | 692 | 677 | 646 | 621 |
| Trade | c | 1,307 | 1,262 | 1,285 | 1,216 | 1,216 | 1,177 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 330 | 327 | 322 | 316 | 308 | 303 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,862 | 1,844 | 1,773 | 1,776 | 1,637 | 1,645 |
| Public administration | c | 489 | 474 | 490 | 462 | 452 | 447 |

Note: Since late 1962, statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3 -month average basis. However since January 1966 , it has been possible to provide monthiy estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the Eebruary 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthiy reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are available on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended July 20, 1968 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,952 a \\ 656 d \\ 7,296 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,825 \mathrm{a} \\ 148 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,677 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 516 c \\ & 272 d \\ & 244 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 358 \mathrm{c} \\ 63 \mathrm{f} \\ 295 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253 \mathrm{~d} \\ 173 \mathrm{e} \\ 80 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,500 \mathrm{a} \\ 560 \mathrm{~d} \\ 4,940 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,593 \mathrm{a} \\ 125 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,468 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 453 \mathrm{c} \\ & 266 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 187 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 330 \mathrm{c} \\ 61 \mathrm{f} \\ 269 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \mathrm{~d} \\ 108 \mathrm{e} \\ 16 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,452 \mathrm{~b} \\ 96 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,356 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,232 \mathrm{~b} \\ 23 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,209 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \mathrm{e} \\ 6 \mathrm{~g} \\ 57 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \mathrm{e} \\ 2 \mathrm{~g} \\ 26 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \mathrm{~d} \\ 65 \mathrm{e} \\ 64 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the wock and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial disputa, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimales in thousonds)


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions Week ended July 20, 1968 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontar 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prairie } \\ \text { region } \end{gathered}$ | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men <br> Women | $\begin{array}{r} 14,281 \\ 7,079 \\ 7,202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,335 \\ 651 \\ 674 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,109 \\ & 2,027 \\ & 2,082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,089 \\ & 2,511 \\ & 2,578 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,324 \\ & 1,173 \\ & 1,151 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,424 \\ 707 \\ 717 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 8,323 a \\ & 5,771 \\ & 2,552 \end{aligned}$ | 688 b 488 b 200 c | $\begin{array}{r} 2,338 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,648 \mathrm{a} \\ 690 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,077 a \\ 2,091 a \\ 986 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,383 \mathrm{~b} \\ 972 \mathrm{a} \\ 411 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 837 b \\ & 572 \text { b } \\ & 265 \text { d } \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed Men Women | 7,952 в 5,500 a 2,452 b | 651 b 457 b 194 c | $\begin{array}{r} 2,26,8 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,549 \mathrm{~b} \\ 659 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,957 \\ 2,008 \\ 949 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,342 \mathrm{~b} \\ 943 \mathrm{~b} \\ 399 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | 794 b 543 b 251 d |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 656 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,296 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \mathrm{e} \\ 616 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,049 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | 163 e $2,794 \mathrm{~b}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \mathrm{f} \\ 751 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,825 a \\ & 4,593 a \\ & 2,232 b \end{aligned}$ | 564 c <br> 387 c <br> 177 c | $\begin{array}{r} 1,899 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,309 \mathrm{~b} \\ 590 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,630 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,753 \mathrm{~b} \\ 877 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,033 \mathrm{~b} \\ 676 \mathrm{~b} \\ 357 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 699 \mathrm{c} \\ & 468 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 231 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 271 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 100 \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \mathrm{e} \\ 31 \mathrm{e} \\ 6 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \mathrm{e} \\ 99 \mathrm{e} \\ 31 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \mathrm{e} \\ 83 \\ 37 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \mathrm{e} \\ & 29 \mathrm{e} \\ & 12 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \mathrm{e} \\ & 29 \mathrm{e} \\ & 14 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Not in the labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 5,958 a \\ & 1,308 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 4,650 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 647 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 173 \mathrm{c} \\ & 474 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,771 \mathrm{~b} \\ 379 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,392 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,012 b \\ 420 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,592 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | 941 b 201 c 740 b | $\begin{aligned} & 587 \mathrm{c} \\ & 135 \mathrm{c} \\ & 452 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed -1968, July 20 <br>  June 22 <br>  1967,July 22 <br>  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,952 \text { a } \\ & 7,763 \\ & 7,841 \\ & 7,567 \\ & 7,543 \\ & 7,303 \end{aligned}$ | 651 b 628 649 620 634 612 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,208 \\ & 2,151 \\ & 2,222 \\ & 2,132 \\ & 2,122 \\ & 2,047 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,957 \text { a } \\ & 2,915 \\ & 2,896 \\ & 2,819 \\ & 2,767 \\ & 2,715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,342 \quad b \\ & 1,302 \\ & 1,312 \\ & 1,269 \\ & 1,295 \\ & 1,249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 794 b \\ & 767 \\ & 762 \\ & 727 \\ & 725 \\ & 680 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { linemployed }-1968, \begin{array}{l} \text { July } 20 \\ \text { June } 22 \\ 1967, \end{array} \text { July } 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \\ & 395 \\ & 284 \\ & 292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \text { e } \\ & 37 \\ & 29 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \text { e } \\ & 143 \\ & 106 \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \text { e } \\ 1293 \\ 93 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \text { e } \\ & 36 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \text { e } \\ & 50 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1966 \text {, July } 23$ $\text { June } 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 244 \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | $77$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $28$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

STATISTICS CANADA LIBRAR



## a) Sampling i.rror

The estmates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different flgures might have been obtained if a complece census had been taken using the same questionnalres, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used In the Labour Force Survey. Ihis difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same far all estimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sarapling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more reliable than other estimatea of comparable stze.

## (b) Non-sampling Errors

Errors, which ate not related to ampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Linumerators may misunderstand inctructions, respondents may make errors in answiring questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnalres and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called man-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors w111 usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnalres, incensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, date referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling errar, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling ertor. It also partially measures the effect on non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the correspanding census flgure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be leas than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviatlons of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the standard deviation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter "b" Indicates that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standerd deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| d | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| $f$ | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not avallable at the Eime when this report is published, the alphabetfc indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefort, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-co-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics pubilshed in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two eatimates is $11 k+1 y$ to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smallet of the two estimates or in the fmmediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hyporhetical estimate In May and Jure was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent standard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", 1 .e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimates (112,003) would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller chan $2.5 \%$ of $513,000,1$.e. It would 11kely be smaller than 12,800 .
(e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviationa

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are avallable usually in a few weeks after the publication of this repart and can be obtained on request. Beginming with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

## Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1967-1968 (continued from page 2)

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 5.0 in the second quarter of 1968 compared with 4.2 in the corresponding quarter of 1967. For men, the unmployment rate rose from 4.6 to 5.7 and for women, it rose from 3.1 to 3.6. For both men and women, the unemployment rate was higher than a year earlier in all age groups except among older women. Rates remained highest among teenagers.

|  | $\underbrace{\frac{\text { Une }}{}}$ | ploym | nt Ra | Les <br> rage | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Men |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 | 1967 | $\underline{1968}$ | 196: |
| All ages | 5.0 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| 14-19 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 4.0 |
| 20-24 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| 25-44 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 45 and | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |

The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force was higher than a year earlier in all regions.

| Unemployment Rates by Region <br>  <br>  <br> Second Quarter Averages |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | $\frac{1968}{5.0}$ | $\frac{1967}{4.2}$ |
| Atlantic | 7.5 | 7.2 |
| Quebec | 6.8 | 5.4 |
| Ontario | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| Prairies | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| British Columbia | 6.3 | 5.0 |

