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In the week ended September 21, 1968 , the Canadian labour force was estimated at 7,971,000. Of this total, 7,709,000 persons were employed for all or part of the week and 262,000 were unemployed for the entire week. Reflecting the return to school of students from summer employment, the labour force decreased by 313,000 between August and September. Employment in September was 256,000 lower and unemployment 57,000 lower than in August. In September 1968, the labour force was $241,000 \mathrm{higher}$ than a year earlier, employment and unemployment being higher by 198,000 and 43,000, respectively.

Between August and September, employment declined by 208,000 in nonagricultural

Industries and by us, in agriculture.
Compared to September 1967, nonagricultural employment was 228,000 higher and employment in agriculture 30,000 lower. Men accounted for virtually all of the decrease in total employment between August and September. From September 1967, the number of men employed increased by 75,000 and the number of women by 123,000.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in September was 3.3 compared with 3.9 in August this year and 2.8 in September last year. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in September 1968 was 4.8 .


## The Unemployed by Occupation and Industry

## 3rd Ouarter 1968

The Labour Force Survey provides information about the occupation and industry attachments of members of the labour force. For the employed, current occupation and industry are reported. The unemployed are identified with the industries in which they last worked and the occupations which they last followed. From these data, unemployment rates for broad occupa tion and industry groups are calculated by expressing the number unemployed as a percentage
of the blroek corce chassiliad in accin gronp. In addition, percentage distributions have been made according to occupation and industry groups. Unemployment rates and percentage distributions for the third quarter of 1968 , with comparative data for the first two quarters of 1968 and the two last quarters of 1967 , ere shown in the fol lowing tables - in Table 1 by occupation group, and in Table 2 by industry group.


| Table 2ndustry $\mathrm{gr}^{\text {r }}$ | Percentage distribution of the unemployed (quarterly averages) |  |  |  |  | Unemployment tates (1) (quarterly averages) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 |  |  | 1967 |  | 1968 |  |  | 1967 |  |
|  | $3 \pi d$ quar. | 2nd quar. | 16t quar. | 4th quar | 3 rd quar. | 3 rd quar. | 2nd quar. | 1st quat. | 4th quar. | 3 rd quar. |
| All industries | $100$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| Primary industries(6) | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 1.8 |
| Manufacturing | 20 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 3.2 |
| Construction | 12 | 17 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 6.8 | 12.6 | 21.8 | 10.1 | 6.5 |
| Transportation and other utilities(7) | 7 | B | 10 | 8 | 7 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| Trade | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Service(8) | 23 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| Never worked(5) | 19 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 17 | N/A | N/A | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | N/A |
| Total unemployed(000's) | 317 | 399 | 478 | 299 | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) The unemployed in an occupation group or an industry group as a percentage of the labour forca in that gronp.
(2) Includes managerial, professional and technical, clerical, sales, and communication occupilions.
(3) Includes farming, Fishing, trapping, logging, and mining occupations.
(4) Includes labourers and unskilled workers not farming, fishing, logging, or mining.
(5) Comprises unemployed persons who never had a full-ifme civilian job lasting two weeks or inoted
(6) Includes agriculture, forestry, fishing and trapping, and mines, quarries, ail wells.
(7) Includes transportation, storage, communication, and electric power, ges and wacer utilitiks.
(8) Includes commanity, business and personal setvice, public administration, and finance, insurzme rea! estata.

Note: The statistics in these tables are based on the 1961 Census Classification of Occupations anci ine igu sianda: Industrial Classification.

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are bused on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, 0.5 p.c.; Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Prairie region, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons $1 \pi$ the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detall on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detall on unemployment.

Reglons, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.

Supplementary Data - From time to time, alditional data on particular aspects of the labour lorce or its comporents will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes avallable, will be elther included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Avallable - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material avallable.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the 1 abour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultursl.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed Lncludes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seekirg work, l.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the communtty; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, l.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1968 |  | 1967 |  | 1966 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sept. 21 | August $24$ | Sept. 16 | August <br> 19 | Sept. 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 14,349 | 14,316 | 13,963 | 13,931 | 13,555 | 13,524 |
| Labour force | a | 7,971 | 8,284 | 7,730 | 8,101 | 7,516 | 7,805 |
| Employed | $\stackrel{ }{\text { a }}$ | 7,709 | 7,965 | 7,511 | 7,854 | 7,311 | 7,577 |
| Agriculture | d | 605 | 653 | 635 | 705 | 603 | 649 |
| Non-agriculture | d | 7,104 | 7,312 | 6,876 | 7,149 | 6,708 | 6,928 |
| Unemp loyed | d | 262 | 319 | 219 | 247 | 205 | 6, 228 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,378 | 6,032 | 6,233 | 5,830 | 6,039 | 5,719 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 55.6 | 57.9 | 55.4 | 58.2 | 55.4 | 57.7 |
| Unemployment rate (4) <br> Actual <br> Seasonally adjusted | d | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 4.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 4.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,113 | 7,096 | 6,921 | 6,905 | 6,719 | 6,703 |
| Labour force Employed |  | 5,440 5,258 | 5,741 | 5,335 5,183 | 5,652 | 5,204 | 5,477 |
| Agriculture | a | 5,258 505 | 5,515 | 5,183 | 5,470 | 5,061 | 5,315 |
| Non-agriculture | a | r 4,753 | 558 4,957 | 532 4,651 | 596 4,874 | 510 4,551 | 563 4,752 |
| Unemployed | d | 182 | 226 | ${ }^{1} 152$ | -182 |  |  |
| Not in the labour force | b | 1,673 | 1,355 | 1,586 | 1,253 | 1,515 | 1,226 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 76.5 | 80.9 | 77.1 | 81.9 | 77.5 | 81.7 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,236 | 7,220 | 7,042 | 7,026 | 6,836 | 6,821 |
| Labour force Employed |  | 2,531 2,451 | 2,543 2,450 | 2,395 2,328 | 2,449 2,384 | 2,312 | 2,328 |
| Agriculture | b | 2,451 100 | $\begin{array}{r}2,450 \\ \hline 95\end{array}$ | 2,328 103 | 2,384 109 | 2,250 93 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,262 \\ 86 \end{array}$ |
| Non-agriculture | b | 2,351 | 2,355 | 2,225 | 2,275 | 2,157 | 2,176 |
| Unemployed | e | 80 | 93 | 67 | 65 | 62 | 2, 66 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,705 | 4,677 | 4,647 | 4,577 | 4,524 | 4,493 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 35.0 | 35.2 | 34.0 | 34.9 | 33.8 | 34.1 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | e | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
r Revised
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5 , all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
Emall adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended September 21,1968 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 14,349 | 2,379 | 4,092 a | 1,110 b | 4,180 a | $1,078 \mathrm{c}$ | 1,510 |
| Labour force | 7,971 | 764 c | 3,936 a | 903 c | 1,384 c | 755 c | 229 d |
| Employed | 7,709 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 699 c | 3,849 a | 851 c | 1,355 c | 733 c | 222 d |
| Not in the labour force | 6,378 a | 1,615 b | 156 d | 207 d | 2,796 b | 323 d | 1,281 a |
| Participation rate (3) - 1968, Sept. 21 | 55.6 \& 57.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \quad c \\ & 46.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.2 \text { a } \\ & 96.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.4 \text { a } \\ & 88.4 \end{aligned}$ | $33.1 \mathrm{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployment rate (4) - 1968, Sept. 21 | 3.3 d | 8.5 e | 2.2 d | 5.8 e | 2.1 f | 2.9 f | 3.18 |
| Aug. 24 | 3.9 | 8.1 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbl following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Canada, Employed
(Estimates in thousands)
Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 . small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | $\underset{\text { S. D. }}{\substack{\text { S. }}}$ | 1968 |  | 1967 |  | 1966 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sept. <br> 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Sept. 17 | August <br> 20 |
| Total employed | a | 7,709 | 7,965 | 7.511 | 7,854 | 7,311 | 7,577 |
| Agriculture | d | 605 | 653 | 635 | 705 | 603 | 649 |
| Other primary industries | d | 235 | 249 | 237 | 243 | 231 | 249 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,805 | 1,835 | 1,749 | 1,828 | 1,778 | 1,839 |
| Construction | c | 513 | 546 | 527 | 538 | 526 | 575 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 682 | 702 | 669 | 698 | 643 | 656 |
| Trade | c | 1,238 | 1,297 | 1,206 | 1,295 | 1,159 | 1,217 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 317 | 335 | 314 | 312 | 299 | 310 |
| Communty, personal, other services | c | 1,858 | 1,860 | 1,733 | 1,761 | 1,659 | 1,639 |
| Public administration | c | 456 | 488 | 441 | 474 | 413 | 443 |

Note: Since late 1962 , statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3 -month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthly reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are avallable on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended September 21, 1968 | Total | Pald <br> workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed <br> Agriculture <br> Nor-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,709 a \\ 605 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,104 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,631 a \\ 128 \\ 6,503 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 522 c \\ 271 & d \\ 251 & d \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \mathrm{c} \\ 62 \mathrm{f} \\ 285 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209 \\ 144 \\ 65 \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,258 a \\ 505 d \\ 4,753 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,398 \mathrm{a} \\ 103 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,295 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 457 & c \\ 266 & d \\ 191 & d \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 318 \mathrm{c} \\ 59 \mathrm{f} \\ 259 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \mathrm{~d} \\ 77 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,451 \mathrm{~b} \\ 100 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,351 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,233 \mathrm{~b} \\ 25 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,208 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \mathrm{e} \\ 5 \mathrm{~B} \\ 60 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 3 \mathrm{~g} \\ 26 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \mathrm{~d} \\ 67 \mathrm{e} \\ 57 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as statutory holiday in the weak.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised welghting factors in March 1965,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)

| Table 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Regional Tistributions \\
Week ended September 21, 1968
\end{tabular} \& Canada \& Atlantic region \& Quebec \& Ontario \& Prairle region \& \begin{tabular}{l}
British \\
Columbia
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Population 14 years of age and over Men \\
Women
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,349 \\
7,113 \\
7,236
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,340 \\
664 \\
676
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 4,127 \\
\& 2,036 \\
\& 2,091
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 5,115 \\
\& 2,524 \\
\& 2,591
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2,333 \\
\& 1,177 \\
\& 1,156
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,434 \\
712 \\
722
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Labour force

Men

Women \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 7,971 \quad a \\
& 5,440 \mathrm{a} \\
& 2,531 \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 658 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 456 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 202 \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,250 \mathrm{~b} \\
1,559 \mathrm{a} \\
691 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,932 a \\
1,968 a \\
964 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $1,337 \mathrm{~b}$

916 a
421 c \& 794 b 541 b 253 d <br>

\hline Employed Men Women \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 7,709 \mathrm{a} \\
& 5,258 \mathrm{a} \\
& 2,451 \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 630 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 433 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 197 \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,151 \mathrm{~b} \\
1,482 \mathrm{~b} \\
669 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,859 a \\
1,923 a \\
936
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 1,311 b 901 b 410 c \& 758 b 519 b 239 d <br>

\hline Agriculture Non-agriculture \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
605 \mathrm{~d} \\
7,104 \mathrm{a}
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
35 \mathrm{e} \\
595 \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
139 \mathrm{e} \\
2,012 \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
160 \mathrm{e} \\
2,699 \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
244 \mathrm{~d} \\
1,067 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
27 \mathrm{f} \\
731 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Paid workers Men Women \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 6,631 \quad a \\
& 4,398 \\
& 2,233 \\
& b
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 549 c \\
& 370 c \\
& 179 \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,871 \mathrm{~b} \\
1,268 \mathrm{~b} \\
603 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,529 \mathrm{~b} \\
1,669 \mathrm{~b} \\
860 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,006 \mathrm{~b} \\
638 \mathrm{~b} \\
368 \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | 676 c |
| :--- |
| 453 b |
| 223 d | <br>

\hline Unemployed Men Women \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
262 \mathrm{~d} \\
182 \mathrm{~d} \\
80 \mathrm{e}
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
28 \mathrm{e} \\
23 \mathrm{e} \\
5 \mathrm{f}
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | 99 |
| :--- |
| 77 |
| 22 |
| 22 | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 73 \mathrm{e} \\
& 45 \mathrm{e} \\
& 28 \mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26 \mathrm{e} \\
& 15 \mathrm{e} \\
& 11 \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 36 \mathrm{e} \\
& 22 \mathrm{e} \\
& 14 \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Not in the labour force Men Women \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 6,378 a \\
& 1,673 a \\
& 4,705 a
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 682 b 208 c 474 b \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,877 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 477 \mathrm{c} \\
& 1,400 \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,183 \\
556 \\
1,627
\end{array}
$$

\] \& 996 b 261 c 735 b \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 640 c \\
& 171 c \\
& 469 \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | Bmployed -1968, | September | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | August | 24 |
|  | 1967, | September |
|  | 16 |  |
|  | August | 19 |
|  | 1966, | September |
|  | 17 |  |
|  |  | August |
|  | 20 |  | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7,709 \text { a } \\
& 7,965 \\
& 7,511 \\
& 7,854 \\
& 7,311 \\
& 7,577
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 630 b \\
& 647 \\
& 622 \\
& 645 \\
& 615 \\
& 638
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,151 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 2,203 \\
& 2,124 \\
& 2,237 \\
& 2,062 \\
& 2,129
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,859 \quad 8 \\
& 2,981 \\
& 2,763 \\
& 2,903 \\
& 2,675 \\
& 2,794
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,311 \quad b \\
& 1,346 \\
& 1,262 \\
& 1,309 \\
& 1,262 \\
& 1,292
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 758 \text { b } \\
& 788 \\
& 740 \\
& 760 \\
& 697 \\
& 724
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | Unemployed | 1968, | September | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | August | 24 |
|  | 1967, | September | 16 |
|  |  | August | 19 |
|  | 1966, | September | 17 |
|  |  | August | 20 | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 262 \mathrm{~d} \\
& 319 \\
& 219 \\
& 247 \\
& 205 \\
& 228
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 28 \text { e } \\
& 35 \\
& 24 \\
& 26 \\
& 27 \\
& 26
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
99 \text { e } \\
124 \\
84 \\
86 \\
75 \\
83
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 73 \\
& 89 \\
& 64 \\
& 86 \\
& 58 \\
& 75
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26 \text { e } \\
& 31 \\
& 20 \\
& 19 \\
& 16 \\
& 17
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 36 \mathrm{e} \\
& 40 \\
& 27 \\
& 30 \\
& 29 \\
& 27
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

(1) "S.D." - Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## a) Sampling Error

The estimates $4 n$ this report are based on a gample of households. Somewhat different Eigures might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, procesaing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling errot of the estimates. In the design and processing of the labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling errot (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers tol is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distri. buted across the country will tend to have a smallex per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are uaually mote reliable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non-sampling Errots

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answerlng questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaizes and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errars are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling etrors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring ertors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaltes, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personsl and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, calted the standard deviation, can however be escimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect on non-sampling exrors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimatesp are indicated by letters. The leter "a" indicates that the standard daviation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter "b" indicates that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent atandard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standard deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| d | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| f | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |
|  | $15.1 \%=$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not avallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators ste based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted onty as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-ta-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is liktly to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviacion of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent atandard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter " $c$ ", $1 . e$. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimates $(112,000)$ would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , i.e. It would likely be smaller chan 12,800 .

## (e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for aeveral estimates and month-to-month changes. These are avallable usually in a few weeks aftet the publication of this report and car be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be released.

