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## THE LABOUR FORCE OCTOBER 1969

The Canadian Labour Force was estimated at $8,142,000$ in the week ended October 18, 1969. Included in this total were $7,828,000$ persons employed for all or part of the week and 314,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. The labour force increased by 16,000 from September; there was a decrease of 19,000 in the employed and an increase of 35,000 in the unemployed. Compared with October 1968, the labour force, the employed and unemployed were higher by $147,000,121,000$, and 26,000 , respectively.

Between September and October, employment in agriculture decreased by 33,000 , while employment in nonagricultural industries increased by 14,000. Compared with October 1968,
agricultural employment was lower by 29,000 and nonagricultural employment was higher by 150,000. The number of employed men decreased from September this year by 31,000 , while the number of employed women increased by 12,000 . Male employment was higher by 54,000 than in October 1968, and female employment was up by 67,000.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate was 3.9 in October this year, compared with rates of 3.4 in September 1969 , and 3.6 in October last year. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate was 5.3 in October 1969.


Comparing third quarters, the labour force and employment were higher in 1969 than in 1968 by 195,000 and 198,000 , respectively. The number unemployed was virtually unchanged.

## Third Quarter Averages ( 000 's)

|  | $\underline{1969}$ | $\underline{1968}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Labour Force | 8,388 | 8,193 |
| Employed | 8,073 | 7,875 |
| Unemployed | 315 | 317 |

There was a slowing down in labour force growth in the third quarter of 1969. The year-to-year increase for this quarter of 2.4 per cent was substantially lower than for the first two quarters when the rate of growth was better than four per cent.

Labour force participation rates for men were lower in the third quarter 1969 than in the corresponding quarter of 1968 for all age groups, particularly for those $14-19$ years of age. Arong women, participation rates were higher than a year earlier for the age groups $20-24$ and $25-44$ but lower for those 45 years of age and over. There was no change in the rate for those $14-19$ years of age.

## Labour Force Participation Rates <br> Specified Age-Sex Groups Third Quarter Averages

|  | All <br> Ages | $\begin{aligned} & 14-19 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { Years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-44 \\ & \text { Years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 45 Years \& Over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 78.8 | 46.3 | 88.7 | 97.1 | 73.1 |
| 1968 | 79.6 | 48.5 | 89.6 | 97.5 | 73.6 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 35.8 | 36.7 | 60.0 | 37.9 | 25.9 |
| 1968 | 35.2 | 36.7 | 59.4 | 35.9 | 26.5 |

As for the labour force, the year-to-year gain in total employment in the third quarter of 1969 was substantially lower than in the first half of the year. Total employment was higher than a year earlier by 2.5 per cent, male employment by 1.7 per cent and female employment by 4.4 per cent. These rates of increase compare with annual average rates for the past five years of 3.4, 2.4 and 5.6 , respectively.

|  | $\frac{\text { Third Quarter Averages }}{\left(000^{t} \mathrm{~s}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> Áges | $\begin{aligned} & 14-19 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-44 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { Years } \\ & \& ~ O v e r \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 5,515 | 521 | 732 | 2,418 | 1,844 |
| 1968 | 5,424 | 525 | 697 | 2,379 | 1,823 |
| \% change | + 1.7 | - 0.8 | + 5.0 | $+1.6$ | +1.2 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 2,558 | 399 | 500 | 953 | 705 |
| 1968 | 2,451 | 389 | 467 | 893 | 702 |
| \% change | + 4.4 | + 2.6 | + 7.1 | + 6.7 | + 0.4 |

For men, the largest relative increase from a year earlier was among those $20-24$ years of age and for women it was in the age groups 20-24 and 25-44。

Employment was higher in the third quarter of 1969 than in the corresponding quarter of 1968 by 145,000 in the service producing industries; men accounting for 65,000 and women for 80,000 of this increase. Employment was higher than a year earlier in all industry groups within this sector. In the goods-producing industries, employment was higher by 68,000 in manufacturing ( 52,000 men, 16,000 women) and by 15,000 in construction. There was a decline of 30,000 in the primary industries.

## Employment by Industry Sector and Sex

|  | Third Quarter <br> Averages <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year-to-Year <br> (000's) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{1969}$ | $\underline{1968}$ |

Employment declined by 28,000 in the primary occupations between the third quarter of 1968 and the third quarter of 1969. In transjortation and communication occupations there was virtually no change. Moderate to substantial gains occurred in all other groups ranging between 2.2 per cent for managerial occupations and 5.6 per cent for clerical workers.

## Employment by Occupation

| Third Quarter | Year-to-Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Averages | Change |

$\frac{\text { Averages }}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)} \quad\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$

$$
1969 \quad 1968
$$

| All occupations | 8,073 | 7,875 | $+$ | 198 | + | 2.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Managerial | 747 | 731 | + | 16 | + | 2.2 |
| Professional and technical | 1,010 | 974 | + | 36 | + | 3.7 |
| Clerical | 1,185 | 1,122 | + | 63 | + | 5.6 |
| Sales | 541 | 523 | + | 18 | + | 3.4 |
| Service and recreation | 960 | 937 | + | 23 | + | 2.5 |
| Transport and communication | 434 | 433 | $+$ | 1 | + | 0.2 |
| Primary ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 771 | 799 | - | 28 | - | 3.5 |
| Craftsmen, production process and related workers | 2,061 | 2,001 | + | 60 | + | 3.0 |
| Labourers and unskilled workers(2) | 364 | 355 | + | 9 | + | 2.5 |

(1) Includes farming, fishing, trapping, logging, and mining occupations.
(2) Includes labourers and unskilled workers not farming, fishing, logging or mining.

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are ased on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country(1). The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on
reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.
(1) For a comprehensive description of the design of the Labour Force Survey, see Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Canadian Labour Force Survev - Methodology, Catalogue No. 71-504, Ottawa, 1965.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5).
Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 :0 6 contain Eurther detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contalns further detall on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8
con tains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data $=$ From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour Eorce or its components will be obtalned. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material avallable.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than Eull-cime.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the :eference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking For work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or belleved no sultable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, 1.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

No: in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.
(Estimates in thousands)
Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1985 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct. 18 | Sept. $20$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Sept. 21 | Oct. 14 | Sept. 16 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 14,748 | 14,719 | 14,378 | 14,349 | 13,997 | 13,963 |
| Labour force | a | 8,142 | 8,126 | 7,995 | 7,971 | 7,691 | 7,730 |
| Employed | a | 7,828 | 7,847 | 7,707 | 7,709 | 7,437 | 7,511 |
| Agriculture | d | 548 | 581 | 577 | 605 | 603 | 635 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,280 | 7,266 | 7,130 | 7,104 | 6,834 | 6,876 |
| Unemployed | d | 314 | 279 | 288 | 262 | 254 | 219 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,606 | 6,593 | 6,383 | 6,378 | 6,306 | 6,233 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 55.2 | 55.2 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 54.9 | 55.4 |
| Unemployment rate (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | d | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Seasonally adjusted |  | 5.3 | 5.0 | $5.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.8 | $4.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.1 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,309 | 7,295 | 7,127 | 7,113 | 6,938 | 6,921 |
| Labour force | a | 5,510 | 5,513 | 5,443 | 5,440 | 5,305 | 5,335 |
| Employed | a | 5,292 | 5,323 | 5,238 | 5,258 | 5,117 | 5,183 |
| Agriculture | d | 467 | 483 | 489 | 505 | 515 | 532 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 4,825 | 4,840 | 4,749 | 4,753 | 4,602 | 4,651 |
| Unemployed | d | 218 | 190 | 205 | 182 | 188 | 152 |
| Not in the labour force | b | 1,799 | 1,782 | 1,684 | 1,673 | 1,633 | 1,586 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 75.4 | 75.6 | 76.4 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 77.1 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,439 | 7,424 | 7,251 | 7,236 | 7,059 | 7,042 |
| Labour force | b | 2,632 | 2,613 | 2,552 | 2,531 | 2,386 | 2,395 |
| Employed | b | 2,536 | 2,524 | 2,469 | 2,451 | 2,320 | 2,328 |
| Agriculture | e | 81 | 98 | 88 | 100 | 88 | 103 |
| Non-agriculture | b | 2,455 | 2,426 | 2,381 | 2,351 | 2,232 | 2,225 |
| Unemployed | e | 96 | 89 | 83 | 80 | 66 | 67 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,807 | 4,811 | 4,699 | 4,705 | 4,673 | 4,647 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 35.4 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 35.0 | 33.8 | 34.0 |
| Unenm? noymerit rate (4) | e | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians livime on reserves ard residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.

(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.

I Revised.
 which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual averages, 1946-1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | 1967 | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) <br> Labour force Employed Agriculture Non-agriculture Unemployed <br> Not in the labour force <br> Participation rate (3) <br> Unemployment rate (4) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,2641 \\ 7,919 \\ 7,537 \\ 546 \\ 6,992 \\ 382 \\ \\ 6,344 \\ \hline-. \\ 55.5 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 13,874 \\ 7,694 \\ 7,379 \\ 559 \\ 6,820 \\ 315 \\ \\ 6,179 \\ 25.5 \\ 4.1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,475 \\ 7,420 \\ 7,152 \\ 544 \\ 6,609 \\ 267 \\ \\ 6,055 \\ -25.1 \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,128 \\ 7,141 \\ 6,862 \\ 594 \\ 6,268 \\ 280 \\ \\ 5,986 \\ -94.4 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,817 \\ 6,933 \\ 6,609 \\ 630 \\ 5,979 \\ 324 \\ \\ 5,884 \\ 54.1 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,536 \\ 6,748 \\ 6,375 \\ 649 \\ 5,726 \\ 374 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r} 5,787 \\ 53.8 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 12,280 \\ \\ 6,615 \\ 6,225 \\ 660 \\ 5,565 \\ 390 \end{array}\right.$ <br> 5,665 <br> 53.9 <br> 5.9 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 12,053 \\ 6,521 \\ 6,055 \\ 681 \\ 5,374 \\ 466 \\ \\ 5,531 \\ -2,-1 \\ 54.1 \\ 7.1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,831 \\ 6,411 \\ 5,965 \\ 683 \\ 5,282 \\ 446 \\ \\ 5,420 \\ 54.2 \\ 7.0 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 11,605 \\ 6,242 \\ 5,870 \\ 700 \\ 5,170 \\ 372 \\ \\ 5,363 \\ \hline 53.8 \\ 6.0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,388 \\ 6.137 \\ 5,706 \\ 718 \\ 4,988 \\ 432 \\ \\ 5,250 \\ -23.9 \\ 7.0 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 11,123 | 10,807 | 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 6,008 | 5,782 | 5,610 | 5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,731 | 5,585 | 5,364 | 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4.832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 748 | 777 | 819 | 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture Unemployed | 4,983 278 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,808 \\ 197 \end{array}$ | 8 4,546 | 4,365 250 | 4,377 162 | 4,278 155 | 4,158 126 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,958 \\ 186 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,837 \\ 141 \end{array}$ | 1,779 114 | 3,711 110 | 3,480 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,11 | 5,025 | 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate (3) | 54.0 | 53.5 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 4.6 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |


| Age, sex, and marital status Week ended October 18, 1969 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 14,748 | 2,443 | 4,189 | $1,161 \mathrm{~b}$ | 4,282 a | 1,126 c | 1,547 |
| Labour force | 8,142 a | 774 c | 3,999 a | 926 c | 1,463 c | 770 c | 210 d |
| Employed | 7,828 a | 702 c | 3,902 a | 855 c | 1,427 c | 740 c | 202 d |
| Unemployed | 3141 | 72 e | 97 d | 71 e | 36 f | 30 f | 88 |
| Not in the labour force | 6,606 a | 1,669 b | 190 d | 235 d | 2,819 b | 356 d | 1,337 a |
| Participation rate (3) = 1969, $\begin{array}{lll} & \text { Oct. } & 18 \\ & \text { Sept. } & 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.2 \text { a } \\ & 55.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \mathrm{c} \\ & 31.7 \end{aligned}$ | 95.5 a 95.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \mathrm{a} \\ & 79.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.2 \mathrm{c} \\ & 34.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.4 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 67.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 13.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployment rate (4) - 1969, Oct. 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \mathrm{e} \\ & 8.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \mathrm{e} \\ & 6.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 f \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \mathrm{f} \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct. <br> 18(2) | Sept. <br> 20 | 0ct. $19(2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 14(2) \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 16 |
| Total employed | a | 7,828 | 7,847 | 7,707 | 7,709 | 7,437 | 7,511 |
| Agriculture | d | 548 | 581 | 577 | 605 | 603 | 635 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,280 | 7,265 | 7,130 | 7,104 | 6,834 | 6,876 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 7,280 | 7,266 | 7,130 | 7,104 | 6,834 | 6,876 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 6,431 | 6,482 | 6,340 | 6,381 | 6,161 | 6,267 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 3,450 | 5,961 | 3,508 | 5,884 | 3,473 | 5,745 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 2,981 | 521 | 2,832 | 497 | 2,688 | 521 |
| Due to economic reasons(3) | e | 83 | 68 | 69 | 65 | 73 | 71 |
| Due to other reasons(4) | c | 2,898 | 453 | 2,763 | 432 | 2,615 | 450 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 849 | 784 | 790 | 723 | 673 | 609 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Sept. 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | Sept. $16$ |
| Total employed | a | 7,828 | 7,847 | 7,707 | 7,709 | 7,437 | 7,511 |
| Agriculture | d | 548 | 581 | 577 | 605 | 603 | 635 |
| Other primary industries | d | 208 | 218 | 225 | 235 | 228 | 237 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,829 | 1,821 | 1,769 | 1,805 | 1,731 | 1,749 |
| Construction | c | 505 | 531 | 520 | 513 | 494 | . 527 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 685 | 702 | 665 | 682 | 658 | 669 |
| Trade | c | 1,280 | 1,260 | 1,272 | 1,238 | 1,222 | 1,206 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | $d$ | 365 | 362 | 327 | 317 | 315 | 314 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,961 | 1,927 | 1,885 | 1,858 | 1,741 | 1,733 |
| Public administration | c | 447 | 445 | 467 | 456 | 445 | 441 |

Note: Since late 1962, statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3-month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthly reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are avallable on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended October 18, 1969 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,828 a \\ 548 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,280 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,753 \mathrm{a} \\ 99 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,654 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 541 c \\ & 268 \\ & 273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339 \mathrm{c} \\ 49 \mathrm{f} \\ 290 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195 \mathrm{~d} \\ 132 \mathrm{e} \\ 63 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,292 \mathrm{a} \\ 467 \mathrm{~d} \\ 4,825 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,437 \mathrm{a} \\ 81 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,356 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462 \mathrm{c} \\ & 264 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 198 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 312 \mathrm{c} \\ 48 \mathrm{f} \\ 264 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | 81 d 74 e 7 f |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,536 \mathrm{~b} \\ 81 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,455 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,316 \mathrm{~b} \\ 18 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,298 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \mathrm{e} \\ 4 \mathrm{~g} \\ 75 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \mathrm{e} \\ 1 \mathrm{~g} \\ 26 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 \mathrm{~d} \\ 58 \mathrm{e} \\ 56 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S. $D_{\circ}$ " = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8. (2) In October 1967, 1968 and 1969, an unusually large number worked less than 35 hours due to Thanksgiving iay being in the reference week. (3) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short timw layoff for part of the week and temination or start of employment during the week. (4) Other reason? for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasuns such as a statutory holiday in the week. Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct. $18$ | Sept. $20$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Sept. 21 | Oct. $14$ | Sept. 16 |
| Total unemployed | d | 314 | 279 | 288 | 262 | 254 | 219 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 298 | 265 | 275 | 249 | 232 | 206 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 275 | 249 | 256 | 234 | 219 | 194 |
| Seeking part-time work | £ | 23 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | £ | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 13 |
| Without work and seeking work | , | 298 | 265 | 275 | 249 | 232 | 206 |
| Seeking under 1 month | d | 98 | 88 | 95 | 83 | 91 | 76 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | d | 112 | 100 | 96 | 91 | 77 | 73 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 42 | 30 | 39 45 | 38 37 | 35 20 | 29 28 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 46 | 47 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 28 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions Week ended October 18, 1969 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontario | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairie } \\ & \text { region } \end{aligned}$ | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 14,748 \\ 7,309 \\ 7,439 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,369 \\ 678 \\ 691 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,227 \\ & 2,085 \\ & 2,142 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,273 \\ & 2,602 \\ & 2,671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,388 \\ & 1,203 \\ & 1,185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,491 \\ 741 \\ 750 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 8,142 \mathrm{a} \\ & 5,510 \mathrm{a} \\ & 2,632 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | 659 b <br> 450 b <br> 209 c | $\begin{array}{r} 2,290 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,571 \mathrm{a} \\ 719 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,002 a \\ & 2,000 a \\ & 1,002 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,342 \mathrm{~b} \\ 919 \mathrm{a} \\ 423 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 849 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 570 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 279 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 7,828 \quad a \\ & 5,292 a \\ & 2,536 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 625 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 425 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 200 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,155 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,473 \mathrm{~b} \\ 682 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,929 \mathrm{a} \\ 1,954 \mathrm{a} \\ 975 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,310 \mathrm{~b} \\ 899 \mathrm{~b} \\ 411 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 809 & b \\ 541 & b \\ 268 & d \end{array}$ |
| Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 548 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,280 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \mathrm{e} \\ 594 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,046 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,795 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250 \mathrm{~d} \\ 1,060 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \mathrm{f} \\ 785 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers <br> Men <br> Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,753 a \\ & 4,437 a \\ & 2,316 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 546 c \\ & 366 c \\ & 180 c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,881 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,265 \mathrm{~b} \\ 616 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,619 b \\ 1,702 \mathrm{~b} \\ 917 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 980 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 625 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 355 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 727 \mathrm{c} \\ & 479 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 248 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemp loyed Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \mathrm{~d} \\ 218 \mathrm{~d} \\ 96 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \mathrm{e} \\ 25 \mathrm{e} \\ 9 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | 135 e 98 e 37 £ | 73 e 46 e 27 e | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \mathrm{e} \\ & 20 \mathrm{e} \\ & 12 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \mathrm{e} \\ & 29 \mathrm{e} \\ & 11 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Not in the labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,606 \mathrm{a} \\ & 1,799 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 4,807 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | 710 b 228 c 482 b | $\begin{array}{r} 1,937 \mathrm{~b} \\ 514 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,423 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,271 \mathrm{~b} \\ 602 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,669 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,046 \mathrm{~b} \\ 284 \mathrm{c} \\ 762 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | 642 c 171 c 471 c |
| Employed 1969, October 18 <br>   September 20 <br>  1968, October 19 <br>   September 21 <br>  1967, October 14 <br>   September 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,828 a \\ & 7,847 \\ & 7,707 \\ & 7,709 \\ & 7,437 \\ & 7,511 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 625 \text { b } \\ & 624 \\ & 616 \\ & 630 \\ & 626 \\ & 622 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,155 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 2,153 \\ & 2,128 \\ & 2,151 \\ & 2,085 \\ & 2,124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,929 \mathrm{a} \\ & 2,934 \\ & 2,886 \\ & 2,859 \\ & 2,743 \\ & 2,763 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,310 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1,330 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,311 \\ & 1,242 \\ & 1,262 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 809 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 806 \\ & 757 \\ & 758 \\ & 741 \\ & 740 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed 1969, October 18 <br> September 20   <br>  1968, October 19 <br>   September 21 <br>  1967, October 14 <br>   September 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 314 d \\ & 279 \\ & 288 \\ & 262 \\ & 254 \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \text { e } \\ & 32 \\ & 34 \\ & 28 \\ & 27 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \text { e } \\ 124 \\ 113 \\ 99 \\ 96 \\ 84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \text { e } \\ & 68 \\ & 75 \\ & 73 \\ & 72 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 22 \\ & 24 \\ & 26 \\ & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { e } \\ & 33 \\ & 42 \\ & 36 \\ & 40 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
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## (a) Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on s sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, interviewers, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the latger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more rellable than other estimstes of compsrable size.

## (b) Non-sampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Interviewers may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionalres and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors will uwally balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of interviewers and a thorough control of the processing opera= tion. In general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have re. latively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect on non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample eatimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than 2 1/2 times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the standard deviation is smaller
 standard Jeviation is butween $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

| $\frac{\text { Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations }}{\text { Alphabetic indicator }}$ |
| :---: |
| a |
| ber cent standard deviation |
| b |
| d |
| e |
| f |
| g |

The actual standard deviation of an ertimate is not the game each month. Since the standard devistions of the current estimates are not avallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this re. port the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June waa 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent btandard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", 1.e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimatea $(112,000)$ would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , 1.e. 1 t would likely be smallet than 12,800 , (e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are avallable usually in a few weeks afeer the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year will be releaaed.

## Third Quarter Review of the Labour Force; 1968-1969 (continued from page 2)

Total unemployment averaged 315,000 in the third quarter of 1969 , practically unchanged from the corresponding quarter of 1968 . The unemployment rate, at 3.8 was also virtually unchanged.

Unemployment and Unemployment. Rates by Sex Thind Quarter Averages

Uriemployment Unemployment Rates (000's) $1969 \quad 1968 \quad 1969 \quad 1768$

| Total | 315 | 317 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men | 223 | 226 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Women | 93 | 91 | 3.5 | 3.6 |

rate was higher in 1969 than in 1968 for Quebec and the Atlantic region. For both Quebec and the Atlantic region the unemployment rate remains substantially higher than the national average.

## Unemployment and Unemployment Rates by Region

 Third Quarter Averages
## Unemployment (000's)

| 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1969 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37 |  | 33 | 5.4 |  |
| 134 |  | 118 | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| 82 | 94 | 2.6 | 3.1 |  |
| 29 | 33 | 2.1 | 3.1 |  |
| 33 | 40 | 3.9 | 2.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4.3 |

