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## THE LABOUR FORCE

APRIL 1969

The Canadian labour force was estimated at 8,061,000 in the week ended Apri1 19, 1969. There were $7,629,000$ persons employed for all or part of the week and 432,000 unemployed for the whole week. Between March and April, the labour force increased by 142,000, the employed having increased by 158,000 and the unemployed having decreased by 16,000 . The labour force was 349,000 higher than in April 1968; employment was 353,000 higher and unemployment was virtually unchanged.

From March to April, employment rose by 103,000 in nonagricultural industries and by 55,000 in agriculture. Nonagricultural employment increased by 365,000 from April last year,
while employment in agriculture decreased by 12,000. Men and women shared almost equally in the increase in employment between March and April. Compared with April 1968, the number of employed men was 159,000 higher and the number of employed women was 194,000 higher.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in April was 5.4 per cent, compared with 5.7 per cent in March this year and 5.7 per cent in April 1968. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate was 4.4 in April 1969.


1968-1969

The number of persons in the labour force averaged $7,907,000$ in the first quarter of 1969 , an increase of 320,000 from the corresponding quarter of 1968. Employment increased by 335,000 during this period and unemployment decreased by 15,000 .

## First Quarter Averages <br> (000's)

| Labour Force | $\frac{1969}{1,907}$ | $7, \underline{1968}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Employed | 7,444 | 7,109 |
| Unemployed | 463 | 478 |

The rapid expansion of the labour force during the fourth quarter of 1968 continued into the first quarter of 1969. On average, the labour force in the first quarter was 4.2 per cent higher than the corresponding quarter of 1968. This was one of the highest year-to-year increases of any quarter in the post-war period. The male labour force was 163,000 , or 3.1 per cent, higher than a year earlier and the female labour force was 157,000 , or 6.7 per cent, higher. Eor both men and women, these percentage gains were higher than the average annual rates of increase for the past five years of 2.2 per cent and 5.6 per cent, respectively.

In the first quarter of 1969, total emplcyment was 4.7 per cent higher, male employment 4.0 per cent higher and female employment 6.3 per cent higher than in the first quarter of 1968. The average annual rates of increase for the past five years were 3.4 per cent, 2.4 per cent and 5.6 per cent, respectively.

The largest percentage gains in employment from a year earlier were among men $20-24$ years of age and women 20-44. There was little change in employment among teenage girls.

## The Employed

|  | First Quarter$\frac{\text { Averages }}{\left(000^{\prime} s\right)}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-Year } \\ & \left(000 \frac{\text { Change }}{\mathrm{s})} \%\right. \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1969 | 1968 |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 5,022 | 4,830 | + 192 | $+4.0$ |
| 14-19 | 338 | 324 | + 14 | $+4.3$ |
| 20-24 | 621 | 568 | + 53 | + 9.3 |
| 25-44 | 2,317 | 2,250 | + 67 | $+3.0$ |
| 45 \& over | 1,746 | 1,687 | + 59 | $+3.5$ |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 2,423 | 2,279 | $+144$ | $+6.3$ |
| 14-19 | 295 | 302 | 7 | $-2.3$ |
| 20-24 | 470 | 435 | + 35 | $+8.0$ |
| 25-44 | 941 | 871 | + 70 | $+8.0$ |
| 45 \& over | 717 | 671 | + 46 | + 6.9 |

In the service-irocitiag industribs, senployment was higher in the first quarter of $295 \%$ than in the first quarter of 1968 by 202,000 ( 108,000 men, 94,000 women). Community, personal and other service together with trade and public administration accounted for almost all of the increase in this sector. In the goods-producing industries, employment was higher than a year earlier by 133,000 ( 84,000 men, 49,000 women). Manufacturing and construction accounted for most of the increase in this sector.

## Employment by Industry

|  | First Quarter$\frac{\text { Averages }}{(000 ' s)}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-Year } \\ & \text { Increase } \\ & \left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1969 | 1968 |  |  |
| All industries | 7,444 | 7,109 | 335 | 4.7 |
| Goods-producing |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 2,854 | 2,721 | 133 | 4.9 |
| Agriculture | 457 | 452 | 5 | 1.1 |
| Other primary industries | 201 | 198 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Manufacturing | 1,774 | 1,678 | 96 | 5.7 |
| Construction | 422 | 393 | 29 | 7.4 |
| Service-producing |  |  |  |  |
| industries | 4,590 | 4,388 | 202 | 4.6 |
| Transportation \& other utilities | 653 | 645 | 8 | 1.2 |
| Trade | 1,262 | 1,213 | 49 | 4.5 |
| Finance | 334 | 325 | 9 | 2.8 |
| Community, personal \& |  |  |  |  |
| other service | 1,870 | 1,772 | 98 | 5.5 |
| Rublic administration | 471 | 433 | 38 | 8.8 |

Employment was higher in the first quarter of 1969 than in the first quarter of 1968 in all regions. Employment gains ranged between 2.4 per cent in the Atlantic region and 5.8 per cent in Ontario.

|  | First Quarter <br> Averages | Year-to-Year <br> (000's) | Increase <br> $(000 ' s)$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{1969}$ | $\underline{1968}$ |  |  |
| Atlantic | 559 | 546 | 13 | 2.4 |
| Quebec | 2,032 | 1,960 | 72 | 3.7 |
| Ontario | 2,846 | 2,689 | 157 | 5.8 |
| Prairies | 1,261 | 1,200 | 61 | 5.1 |
| British Columbia | 746 | 713 | 33 | 4.6 |

Total unemployment averaged 463,000 in the first quarter of 1969 , a reduction of 15,000 from a year earlier. Unemployment among men was 29,000 lower while unemployment among women was 14,000 higher.

## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survev

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, 0.5 p.c.; Ontario, $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$.; Prairie region, $0.8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. ; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the papulation 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to abou: three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject ro sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Rellability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Eorce (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 :0 6 contain further detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contalns further detail on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7), Table 8 contains labour force data for che regions.

Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour Force or 1 ts components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes avallable, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and

 Prairie regions:6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, 1.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

No: in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour Eorce include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classifled as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | March 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | March <br> 23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | March 18 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 14,557 | 14,528 | 14,184 | 14,151 | 13,790 | 13,748 |
| Labour force | a | 8,061 | 7,919 | 7,712 | 7,608 | 7,490 | 7,489 |
| Employed | a | 7,629 | 7,471 | 7,276 | 7,120 | 7,125 | 7,089 |
| Agriculture | d | 521 | 466 | 533 | 462 | 504 | 461 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,108 | 7,005 | 6,743 | 6,658 | 6,621 | 6,628 |
| Unemployed | d | 432 | 448 | 436 | 488 | 365 | 400 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,496 | 6,609 | 6,472 | 6,543 | 6,300 | 6,259 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 55.4 | 54.5 | 54.4 | 53.8 | 54.3 | 54.5 |
| Unemployment rate (4) Actual | d | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Seasonally adjusted |  | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.7 r | 4.7 | $4.0^{2}$ | 3.9 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,215 | 7,201 | 7,029 | 7,012 | 6,835 | 6,813 |
| Labour force | a | 5,467 | 5,400 | 5,322 | 5,241 | 5,198 | 5,175 |
| Employed | a | 5,126 | 5,038 | 4,967 | 4,831 | 4,904 | 4,845 |
| Agriculture | d | 452 | 412 | 473 | 422 | 451 | 415 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 4,674 | 4,626 | 4,494 | 4,409 | 4,453 | 4,430 |
| Unemployed | d | 341 | 362 | 355 | 410 | 294 | 330 |
| Not in the labour force | b | 1,748 | 1,801 | 1,707 | 1,771 | 1,637 | 1,638 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 75.8 | 75.0 | 75.7 | 74.7 | 76.0 | 76.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 6.4 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,342 | 7,327 | 7,155 | 7,139 | 6,955 | 6,935 |
| Labour force | b | 2,594 | 2,519 | 2,390 | 2,367 | 2,292 | 2,314 |
| Employed | b | 2,503 | 2,433 | 2,309 | 2,289 | 2,221 | 2,244 |
| Agriculture | e | 69 | 54 | 60 | 40 | 53 | 46 |
| Non-agriculture | b | 2,434 | 2,379 | 2,249 | 2,249 | 2,168 | 2,198 |
| Unemployed | e | 91 | 86 | 81 | 78 | 71 | 70 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,748 | 4,808 | 4,765 | 4,772 | 4,663 | 4,621 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 35.3 | 34.4 | 33.4 | 33.2 | 33.0 | 33.4 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | e | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 |

[^0]Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual averages, 1946-1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | 1967 | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) |  | 14,2641 | 3,874 | 13,475 | 13,128 | 12,817 | 12,536 | 12,280 | 12,053 | 11,831 | 11,605 | 11,388 |
| Labour force | $a$ | 7,919 | 7,694 | 7,420 | 7,141 | 6,933 | 6,748 | 6,615 | 6,521 | 6,411 | 6,242 | 6.137 |
| Employed | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,537 | 7,379 | 7,152 | 6,862 | 6,609 | 6,375 | 6,225 | 6,055 | 5,965 | 5,870 | 5,706 |
| Agriculture | d | 546 | 559 | 544 | 594 | 630 | 649 | 660 | 681 | 683 | 700 | 718 |
| Non-agriculture | $a$ | 6,992 | 6,820 | 6,609 | 6,268 | 5,979 | 5,726 | 5,565 | 5,374 | 5,282 | 5,170 | 4,988 |
| Unemployed | d | 382 | 315 | 267 | 280 | 324 | 374 | 390 | 466 | 446 | 372 | 432 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,344 | 6,179 | -055 | 5,986 | 5,884 | 5,787 | 5,665 | 5,531 | 5,420 | 5,363 | 5,250 |
| Participation rate(3) | a | 55.5 | 55.5 | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
|  | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 11,123 | 10,807 | 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 6,008 | 5,782 | 5,610 | 5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,731 | 5,585 | 5,364 | 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4.832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 748 | 777 | 819 | 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,983 | 4,808 | 4,546 | 4,365 | 4,377 | 4,278 | 4,158 | 3,958 | 3,837 | 3,779 | 3,711 | 3,480 |
| Unemployed | 278 | 197 | 245 | 250 | 162 | 155 | 126 | 186 | 141 | 114 | 110 | 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,115 | 5,025 | 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate(3) | 54.0 | 53.5 | $5 \quad 52.9$ | $9 \quad 52.9$ | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 4.6 | 3.4 | 4 4.4 | 4.4 .6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |


| Age, sex, and marital status <br> Week ended <br> April 19, 1969 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 65 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over( 2 ) | 14,557 | 2,413 | 4,109 a | 1,171 b | 4,209 a | $1,127 \mathrm{c}$ | 1,528 |
| Labour force | 8,061 a | 763 c | 3,925 a | 946 c | 1,416 c | 792 c | 219 d |
| Employed | 7,629 a | 675 c | 3,754 a | 844 c | 1,377 c | 771 c | 208 d |
| Unemployed | 432 d | 88 e | 171 d | 102 e | 39 f | 21 f | 11 g |
| Not in the labour force | 6,496 a | 1,650 b | 184 d | 225 d | $2,793 \mathrm{~b}$ | 335 d | 1,309 a |
| Participation rate (3) - 1969, April 19 | 55.4 a | 31.6 c | 95.5 a | 80.8 a | 33.6 c | 70.3 b | 14.3 d |
| March 22 | 54.5 | 30.2 | 95.0 | 79.9 | 32.4 | 70.1 | 14.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) - 1969, April 19 | 5.4 d | 11.5 e | 4.4 d | 10.8 e | 2.8 f | 2.7 f | 5.0 g |
| March 22 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 11.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 6.1 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | March 23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | March 18 |
| Total employed | a | 7,629 | 7,471 | 7,276 | 7,120 | 7,125 | 7,089 |
| Agriculture | d | 521 | 466 | 533 | 462 | 504 | 461 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,108 | 7,005 | 6,743 | 6,658 | 6,621 | 6,628 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 7,108 | 7,005 | 6,743 | $\overline{6,658}$ | 6,621 | -6,628 |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | 6,252 | 6,214 | 6,015 | 5,936 | 5,950 | 5,953 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 5,802 | 5,668 | 5,180 | 5,364 | 5,560 | 5,506 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 450 | 546 | 835 | 572 | 390 | 447 |
| Due to economic reasons(2) | e | 72 | 74 | 86 | 79 | 63 | 77 |
| Due to other reasons (3) | $c$ | 378 | 472 | 749 | 493 | 327 | 370 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 856 | 791 | 728 | 722 | 671 | 675 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | March 23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | March 18 |
| Total employed | a | 7,629 | 7,471 | 7,276 | 7,120 | 7,125 | 7,089 |
| Agriculture | d | 521 | 466 | 533 | 462 | 504 | 461 |
| Other primary industries | d | 185 | 198 | 183 | 182 | 171 | 189 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,809 | 1,773 | 1,715 | 1,683 | 1,741 | 1,751 |
| Construction | c | 436 | 416 | 416 | 394 | 441 | 407 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 694 | 659 | 659 | 644 | 637 | 637 |
| Trade | c | 1,277 | 1,268 | 1,239 | 1,228 | 1,184 | 1,202 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 333 | 337 | 320 | 323 | 304 | 306 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,908 | 1,886 | 1,772 | 1,767 | 1,715 | 1,711 |
| Public administration | c | 465 | 468 | 439 | 437 | 428 | 425 |

Note: Since late 1962, statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3 -month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry, Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthly reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are avallable on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended April 19, 1969 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid family workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total employed } \\ & \text { Agriculture } \\ & \text { Non-agriculture } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,629 \mathrm{a} \\ 521 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,108 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,572 \mathrm{a} \\ 80 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,492 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 540 \mathrm{c} \\ & 284 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 256 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 329 \mathrm{c} \\ 35 \mathrm{f} \\ 294 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | 188 d 122 e 66 e |
| Men <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & 5,126 \mathrm{a} \\ & 452 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 4,674 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,282 \mathrm{a} \\ 68 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,214 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 466 \mathrm{c} \\ & 279 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 187 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 299 \mathrm{c} \\ 34 \mathrm{f} \\ 265 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \mathrm{~d} \\ 71 \mathrm{e} \\ 8 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture <br> Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,503 \mathrm{~b} \\ 69 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,434 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,290 \mathrm{~b} \\ 12 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,278 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \mathrm{e} \\ 5 \mathrm{~g} \\ 59 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \mathrm{e} \\ 1 \mathrm{~g} \\ 29 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \mathrm{~d} \\ 51 \mathrm{e} \\ 58 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the wewt and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8 .
Regions, Labour Force

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { U:zemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | March 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | Marc! 23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | March $18$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 432 | 448 | 436 | 488 | 365 | 400 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 404 | 409 | 403 | 441 | 334 | 362 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 383 | 387 | 387 | 423 | 320 | 345 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 21 | 22 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 17 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | $f$ | 28 | 39 | 33 | 47 | 31 | 38 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 404 | 409 | 403 | 441 | 334 | 362 |
| Seeking under 1 month | d | 91 | 87 | 87 | 90 | 93 | 91 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | d | 125 | 152 | 140 | 189 | 121 | 159 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 116 | 107 | 119 | 114 | 85 | 81 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 72 | 63 | 57 | 48 | 35 | 31 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions <br> Week ended <br> Apri 1 19, 1969 | Canada | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ \text { region } \end{gathered}$ | Quebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British <br> Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 14,557 \\ 7,215 \\ 7,342 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,355 \\ 671 \\ 684 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,179 \\ & 2,052 \\ & 2,117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,196 \\ & 2,564 \\ & 2,632 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,363 \\ & 1,191 \\ & 1,172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,464 \\ 727 \\ 737 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{array}{ll} 8,061 & a \\ 5,467 & a \\ 2,594 & b \end{array}$ | 641 b 444 b 197 c | $\begin{array}{r} 2,273 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,557 \mathrm{a} \\ 716 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,994 \text { a } \\ 2,004 \text { a } \\ 990 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,332 \mathrm{~b} \\ 912 \mathrm{a} \\ 420 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 821 b \\ & 550 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 271 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed Men Women |  | $\begin{aligned} & 574 \text { b } \\ & 386 \text { b } \\ & 188 \text { c } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,091 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1,407 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 684 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,894 \text { a } \\ & 1,933 \text { a } \\ & 961 \text { c } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,290 \mathrm{~b} \\ 879 \mathrm{~b} \\ 411 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 780 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 521 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 259 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 521 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,108 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \mathrm{e} \\ 549 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | 104 e $1,987 \mathrm{~b}$ | 127 e $2,767 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \mathrm{~d} \\ 1,048 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \mathrm{f} \\ 757 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,572 a \\ & 4,282 a \\ & 2,290 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \mathrm{c} \\ & 331 \mathrm{c} \\ & 169 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,817 b \\ 1,199 \mathrm{~b} \\ 618 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,585 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,684 \mathrm{~b} \\ 901 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $975 \text { b }$ <br> 613 b <br> 362 c | $\begin{aligned} & 695 \mathrm{c} \\ & 455 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 240 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemp loyed Men Women | 432 d 341 d 91 e | 67 e <br> 58 e <br> 9 f | $\begin{array}{r} 182 \mathrm{e} \\ 150 \mathrm{e} \\ 32 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | 100 71 29 | 42 e 33 e 9 g | 41 e <br> 29 e <br> 12 f |
| Not in the labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,496 a \\ & 1,748 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 4,748 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 714 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 227 \mathrm{c} \\ & 487 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,906 \mathrm{~b} \\ 505 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,401 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,202 \mathrm{~b} \\ 560 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,642 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $1,031 \mathrm{~b}$ 279 c 752 b | $\begin{aligned} & 643 \mathrm{c} \\ & 177 \mathrm{c} \\ & 466 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed 1969 April 19 | 7,629 a | $\begin{aligned} & 574 \text { b } \\ & 555 \end{aligned}$ | $2,091 \mathrm{~b}$ 2,041 | 2,894 2,856 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,290 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1,261 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 780 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 758 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1968 April 20 | 7,276 7,120 | $\begin{aligned} & 553 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | 2,013 1,957 | 2,741 2,691 | 1,246 | 723 724 |
| 1967 April 22 | 7,125 | 545 | 2,012 | 2,687 | 1,183 | 698 |
| March 18 | 7,089 | 540 | 1,984 | 2,660 | 1,204 | 701 |
| Unemployed 1969 April 19 | 432 d | 67 e | 182 e | 100 e | 42 e | 41 e |
| March 22 | 448 | 67 | 190 | 99 | 48 | 44 |
| 1968 April 20 | 436 | 63 | 175 | 107 | 40 | 51 |
| March 23 | 488 | 65 | 189 | 133 | 50 | 51 |
| 1967 April 22 | 365 | 60 | 131 | 100 | 33 | 41 |
| March 18 | 400 | 64 | 153 | 107 | 34 | 42 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanstion, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## Reliability of Estimater

## (a) Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figurea might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnal res, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labout Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling ertor. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers tol is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the larger one will likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distri. buted across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more relfable than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non-sampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at alnost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errora will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will contribute to blases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnalres, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personsl and more subjective inquiries are subject to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling exrors.

## (c) Alphabelic Indicatots of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, se described under (a) is not known. A quanticy, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling extor. It also partially measures the effect on non-sampling errora, but does not reflect any sybtematic blases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that 1 t would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter "a" indicates that the standard deviation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter "b" indicates that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standard deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| c | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| d | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| e | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| f | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |
| $g$ | $15.1 \%-$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not svallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates refering to two months up to year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics pubilshed in this report the stardard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat smaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the inmediately preceding range.

Fot example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent standard deviation of both emtimates was indicated by the letter "c", 1.e. It was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimates ( 112,000 ) would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , i.e. it would $15 k$ ly be smaller than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are available usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year Will be released.

## First Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1968-1969- (continued from page 2)

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 5.9 per cent in the first quarter of 1969 compared with 6.3 per cent in the corresponding quarter of the previous year. For men, the unemployment rate was reduced from 7.6 to 6.9 but for women the rate rose slightly from 3.4 to 3.7 .

## Unemployment and Unemployment Rates by Sex First Quarter Averages

|  | $\frac{\text { Unemployment }}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}$ |  | Unemployment Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1969}$ | $\underline{1968}$ |  | $\underline{1969}$ | $\underline{1968}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 463 | 478 |  | 5.9 | 6.3 |
| Men | 370 | 399 |  | 6.9 | 7.6 |
| Women | 93 | 79 |  | 3.7 | 3.4 |

Comparing first quarters, unemployment rates were lower in 1969 than in 1968 in the Atlantic region, Ontario and British Columbia. In Quebec and the Prairies there was little change.

## Unemployment and Unemployment Rates by Region

 First Quarter AveragesUnemployment

(000's)
$\frac{\text { Unemployment Rates }}{\%}$

|  | $\underline{1969}$ |  | $\underline{1968}$ |  | $\underline{1969}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


[^0]:    (1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
    (2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
    (3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years af age and aver.
    (4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
    $r$ Revised.
    Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statistlcs refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

