Catalogue No.
71-001
TL-OO1
MONTHLY

Vol. 25 -No. 5

DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTICS

## OTTAWA - CANADA

 OFST.jJTAES

Price: $\$ 2.00$ per year

## THE LABOUR FORCE

 MAY 1969In the week ended May 24, 1969, the Canadian labour force was estimated at 8,248,000. This total included 7,862,000 persons employed for all or part of the week and 386,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. The labour force increased by 187,000 from April, the employed having increased by 233,000 and the unemployed having decreased by 46,000 . Compared with May 1968 , the labour force was higher by 377,000; the number of employed was up by 357,000 and the number of unemployed was higher by 20,000 .

Between April and May, employment increased by 162,000 in nonagricultural in-
dustries and by 71 , 00o !operictAReY Nonagricultural employment accounted for matntyel all of the year-to-year increase in total employment. Employment increased from April by 207,000 for men and by 26,000 for women. Compared with May 1968, there were 175,000 more men and 182,000 more women in employment.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate was 4.7 in May, compared with 5.4 in April this year and 4.6 in May 1968. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate was 4.9 in May, 1969.


## The Labour Force, 1963-196s (annual averages)

The number of persons in the Canadian labour force averaged $7,919,000$ in 1968, an increase of $1,171,000$, or 17.4 per cent from 1963. The growth in the total labour force during this interval was faster than any previous consecutive five-year period.

The male labour force at $5,443,000$ in 1968 , was 11.6 per cent larger and the female labour force at $2,476,000$ was 32.4 per cent larger than in 1963. Women's share of the total labour force continues to rise. In 1968, the proportion of women in the labour force was 31.3 per cent compared with 27.7 per cent in 1963.

The Labour Force

|  | 1968 |  | 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nunher } \\ & \text { (000's) } \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| Total | 7,919 | 100.0 | 6,748 | 100.0 |
| Men | 5,443 | 68.7 | 4,879 | 72.3 |
| Women | 2,476 | 31.3 | 1,870 | 27.7 |

Shifts occurred in the age composition of the male labour force between 1963 and 1968. The relative increase in the labour force during the period was much greater among younger men, particularly for the group 20-24. Cons equently the proportion of those under 25 years of age rose from 18.5 per cent to 21.4 per cent. There was little change in the number of men 65 years of age and over in the labour forse.

| Age Group | 1968 |  | 1963 |  | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number $(000 \text { 's })$ | $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nuraber } \\ & \left(000{ }^{\prime}\right. \text { 's) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| All ages | 5:443 | 100.0 | 4,879 | 100.0 | + 11.6 |
| 14-19 | 471 | 8.7 | 386 | 7.9 | + 22.0 |
| 20-24 | 690 | 12.7 | 519 | 10.6 | + 32.9 |
| 25-44 | 2,432 | 44.7 | 2,288 | 45.9 | + 6.3 |
| 45-64 | 1,682 | 33.9 | 1,512 | 31.0 | + 11.2 |
| $65+$ | 170 | 3.1 | 174 | 3.6 | - 2.3 |

The number of women in the labour force continued to expand between 1963 and 1968 , but even more rapidly. All age groups experienced substantial increases. The dynamic rate of growth of 51.3 per cent among women 20-24 years of age, during the five-year interval, is a recent development.

| Age Group | 1968 |  | $\underline{1963}$ |  | Percentage Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \left(0.00^{\prime} 5\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| All ages | 2,476 | 100.0 | 1,870 | 100.0 | 32.4 |
| 14-19 | 363 | 14.7 | 286 | 15.3 | 26.9 |
| 20-24 | 475 | 19.2 | 314 | 16.8 | 51.3 |
| 25.44 | 923 | 37.3 | 734 | 39.3 | 25.7 |
| 45-64 | 665 | 25.9 | 494 | 26.4 | 34.6 |
| $65+$ | 48 | 1.9 | 42 | 2.2 | 14.3 |

For both men and women in the 20-24 age group, the relatively large increase in the latest force is attributable, in part, to the high birth rates following World War II. Women 65 years of age and over now account for less than 2 per cent of the total female labour force.

All regions contributed to the increase in the total labour force during the period 19631968, the largest relative gain occurring in British Columbia. The regional distribution of the labour force did not change appreciably during the period. Ontario and Quebec together continued to account for almost two-thirds of the total labour force.


The Canadian population 14 years of age an: over rose by $1,728,000$ between 1963 and 1968 . This increase of 13.8 per cent was considerably lower than the corresponding increase in the total labour force. Consequently, the total participation rate rose from 53.8 per cent to 55.5 per cent. The increase in the male population of working age (13.8 per cent) was relatively higher than in the male labour force. As a result, the percentage of the male population in the labour force declined from 78.5 in 1963 to 77.0 in 1968. On the other hand, the increase in the female population ( 13.8 per cent) was substantially lower than the growth of the female labour force, resulting in a very substantial increase in the participation rate for women from 29.6 per cent to 34.4 per cent, during the period.

Labour Force Participation Rates (1)

|  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 55.5 | 77.0 | 34.4 |
| 1967 | 5:. 5 | 77.5 | 33.8 |
| 1966 | 55.1 | 77.8 | 32.8 |
| 1965 | 54.4 | 71.9 | 31.3 |
| 1.964 | 54.1 | 73.1 | 30.5 |
| 1963 | 53.8 | 78.5 | $2 \% .6$ |

The participation rate for men dropped froll 78.5 to 77.0 between 1963 and 1968 . This is a continuation of an almost uninterrupted decline in evidence since the beginning of the post-was
(continued on page 8)

## Te:hnical Notes <br> Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in chis report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, 0.5 p.c.; Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Prairie region, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of insticutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Rellabllity of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labous force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 :0 6 contain further detall on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force dat for the regions.

Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material avallable.

For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of At lantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour: force: by sex. Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of: bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are included in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, i.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily 111, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was available in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, i.e., were wailing to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) Who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classifled as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Canoda, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)
Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 1}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 24 \end{array}$ | April 19 | May 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | May | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14,592 | 14,557 | 14,213 | 14,184 | 13,824 | 13,790 |
|  | a | 3,248 | 8,061 | 7,871 | 7,712 | 7,713 | 7,490 |
|  | a | 7,862 | 7,629 | 7,505 | 7,276 | 7,409 | 7,125 |
|  | d | 592 | 521 | 584 | 533 | 600 | 504 |
|  | a | 7,270 | 7,108 | 6,921 | 6,743 | 6,809 | 6,621 |
|  | d | 386 | 432 | 366 | 436 | 304 | 365 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 6,344 | 6,496 | 6,342 | 6,472 | 6,111 | 6,300 |
| Participation rate (3) | a | 56.5 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 54.4 | 55.8 | 54.3 |
| Unemployment rate (4) Actual | d | 4.7 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 4.9 |
| Seasonally adjusted |  | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,233 | 7,215 | 7,045 | 7,029 | 6,852 | 6,835 |
| Labour force | a | 5,622 | 5,467 | 5,444 | 5,322 | 5,349 | 5,198 |
| Employed | a | 5,333 | 5,126 | 5,158 | 4,967 | 5,113 | 4,904 |
| Agriculture | d | 502 | 452 | 511 | 473 | 526 | 451 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 4,831 | 4,674 | 4,647 | 4,494 | 4,587 | 4,453 |
| Unemployed | d | 289 | 341 | 286 | 355 | 236 | 294 |
| Not in the labour force | b | 1,611 | 1,748 | 1,601 | 1,707 | 1,503 | 1,637 |
| Particlpation rate (3) | a | 77.7 | 75.8 | 77.3 | 75.7 | 78.1 | 76.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 5.1 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) |  | 7,359 | 7,342 | 7,168 | 7,155 | 6,972 | 6,955 |
| Labour force | b | 2,626 | 2,594 | 2,427 | 2,390 | 2,364 | 2,292 |
| Employed | b | 2,529 | 2,503 | 2,347 | 2,309 | 2,296 | 2,221 |
| Agriculture | e | 90 | 69 | 73 | 60 | 74 | 53 |
| Non-agriculture | b | 2,439 | 2,434 | 2,274 | 2,249 | 2,222 | 2,168 |
| Unemployed | e | 97 | 91 | 80 | 81 | 68 | 71 |
| Not in the labour force | a | 4,733 | 4,748 | 4,741 | 4,765 | 4,608 | 4,663 |
| Participation rate (3) | b | 35.7 | 35.3 | 33.9 | 33.4 | 33.9 | 33.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | e | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and wer.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.

Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5 , all statistics refer to a specffic week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 ,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| Table 2 <br> Annual everages, 1946-1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | 1967 | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 | 1962 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) |  | 14,264 | 13,874 | 13,475 | 13,128 | 12,817 | 12,536 | 12,280 | 12,053 | 11,831 | 11,605 | 11,388 |
| Labour force | a | 7,919 | 7,694 | 7,420 | 7,141 | 6,933 | 6,748 | 6,615 | 6,521 | 6,411 | 6,242 | 6.137 |
| Employed | $a$ | 7,537 | 7,379 | 7,152 | 6,862 | 6,609 | 6,375 | 6,225 | 6,055 | 5,965 | 5,870 | 5,706 |
| Agriculture | d | 546 | 559 | 544 | 594 | 630 | 649 | 660 | 681 | 683 | 700 | 718 |
| Non-agriculture | $a$ | 6,992 | 6,820 | 6,609 | 6,268 | 5,979 | 5,726 | 5,565 | 5,374 | 5,282 | 5,170 | 4,988 |
| Unemployed | d | 382 | 315 | 267 | 280 | 324 | 374 | 390 | 466 | 446 | 372 | 432 |
| Not in the labour force | $a$ | 6,344 | 6,179 | 6,055 | 5,986 | 5,884 | 5,787 | 5,665 | 5,531 | 5,420 | 5,363 | 5,250 |
| Participation rate(3) | a | 55.5 | 55.5 | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | d | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.71 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
|  | 1957 | 1.956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 | 1948 | 1947 | 1946 |
| Population 14 years of age and over (2) | 11,123 | 10,807 | 10,597 | 10,391 | 10,164 | 9,956 | 9,732 | 9,615 | 9,268 | 9,141 | 9,007 | 8,779 |
| Labour force | 6,008 | 5,782 | 5,610 | 5,493 | 5,397 | 5,324 | 5,223 | 5,163 | 5,055 | 4,988 | 4,942 | 4,829 |
| Employed | 5,731 | 5,585 | 5,364 | 5,243 | 5,235 | 5,169 | 5,097 | 4,976 | 4,913 | 4,875 | 4.832 | 4,666 |
| Agriculture | 748 | 777 | 819 | 878 | 858 | 891 | 939 | 1,018 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,186 |
| Non-agriculture | 4,983 | 4,808 | 4,546 | 4,365 | 4,377 | 4,278 | 4,158 | 3,958 | 3,837 | 3,779 | 3,711 | 3,480 |
| Unemployed | 278 | 197 | 245 | 250 | 162 | 155 | 126 | 186 | 141 | 114 | 110 | 163 |
| Not in the labour force | 5,115 | 5,025 | 4,987 | 4,898 | 4,767 | 4,632 | 4,509 | 4,453 | 4,213 | 4,153 | 4,065 | 3,950 |
| Participation rate(3) | 54.0 | 53.5 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.0 |
| Unemployment rate (4) | 4.6 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 44.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \& \& 20-64 \& years \& \& <br>
\hline Age, sex, and marital status \& Total \& years \& Me \& \& Wom \& \& and over <br>
\hline \& \& persons \& Married \& Other \& Married \& Other \& persons <br>
\hline Population 14 years of age and over(2) \& 14,592 \& 2:419 \& 4,136 a \& 1,157 b \& 4,220 a \& 1,128 c \& 1,532 <br>
\hline Labour force \& 8,248 a \& 829 c \& 3,979 a \& 996 c \& 1,410 c \& 807 c \& 227 d <br>
\hline Employed \& $7,862 ~ a ~$
386 \& 739
90 \& 3,845
134
a \& 910
86
e \& $1,372 \mathrm{c}$
38 f \& 780
27
f \& 216 d <br>
\hline Unemployed \& 386 d \& 90 e \& 134 d \& 86 e \& 38 E \& 27 f \& <br>
\hline Not in the labour force \& 6,344 a \& 1,590 b \& 157 d \& 161 d \& 2,810 b \& 321 d \& 1,305 a <br>
\hline Participation rate (3) - 1969, May

Apr \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 55.5 \mathrm{a} \\
& 55.4
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 34.3 \mathrm{c} \\
& 31.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 96.2 \mathrm{a} \\
& 95.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 86.1 \text { a } \\
& 80.8
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33.4 \mathrm{c} \\
& 33.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 71.5 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 70.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 14.8 \mathrm{~d} \\
& 14.3
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unemployment rate (4) - 1969, May 24 \& 4.7 d \& 10.9 e \& 3.4 d \& 8.6 e \& 2.7 £ \& 3.3 £ \& 4.8 g <br>
\hline Apr. 19 \& 5.4 \& 11.5 \& 4.4 \& 10.8 \& 2.8 \& 2.7 \& 5.0 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfound land included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 , small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total employed | a | 7.362 | 7,629 | 7,505 | 7,276 | 7,409 | 7,125 |
| Agriculture | d | 592 | 521 | 584 | 533 | 600 | 504 |
| Other primary industries | d | 223 | 185 | 220 | 183 | 195 | 171 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1.927 | 1,809 | 1,742 | 1,715 | 1,767 | 1,741 |
| Construction | c | 486 | 436 | 458 | 416 | 472 | 441 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 722 | 694 | 680 | 659 | 659 | 637 |
| Trade | c | 1.274 | 1,277 | 1,244 | 1,239 | 1,201 | 1,184 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 342 | 333 | 325 | 320 | 311 | 304 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1.,922 | 1,908 | 1,806 | 1,772 | 1,759 | 1,715 |
| Public administration | c | 474 | 466 | 446 | 439 | 445 | 428 |

Note: Since late 1962, statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3-month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthiy reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are avallable on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended May 24, 1969 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 7,862 a \\ 592 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,270 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,751 \mathrm{a} \\ 105 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,646 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 552 c \\ & 289 d \\ & 263 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350 \mathrm{c} \\ 52 \mathrm{f} \\ 298 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209 \mathrm{~d} \\ 145 \mathrm{e} \\ 63 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,333 a \\ 502 \mathrm{~d} \\ 4,831 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,444 a \\ 88 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,356 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 475 \mathrm{c} \\ & 282 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 193 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322 \mathrm{c} \\ 51 \mathrm{f} \\ 271 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 81 \mathrm{e} \\ & 11 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,529 \mathrm{~b} \\ 90 \\ 2,439 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,307 \mathrm{~b} \\ 17 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,290 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \mathrm{e} \\ 7 \mathrm{~g} \\ 70 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \mathrm{e} \\ 1 \mathrm{~g} \\ 27 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \mathrm{~d} \\ 65 \mathrm{e} \\ 52 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8. (2) In Kay 1969, an unusually large number worked less than 35 hours due to the Queen's Birthday being in the reference week. (3) Ficonomic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week. (4) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimales in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1769 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | d | 386 | 432 | 366 | 436 | 304 | 365 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 362 | 404 | 347 | 403 | 285 | 334 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 339 | 383 | 327 | 387 | 268 | 320 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 23 | 21 | 2. | 16 | 17 | 14 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | f | 24 | 28 | 19 | 33 | 19 | 31 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 362 | 404 | 347 | 403 | 285 | 334 |
| Seeking under 1 month | d | 117 | 91 | 100 | 87 | 97 | 93 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | d | 103 | 125 | 108 | 140 | 91 | 121 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 71 | 116 | 77 | 119 | 57 | 85 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | ?: | 72 | 62 | 57 | 40 | 35 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions Week ended May 24, 1969 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 14,592 \\ 7,233 \\ 7,359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,357 \\ 672 \\ 685 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,189 \\ & 2,067 \\ & 2,122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,211 \\ & 2,572 \\ & 2,639 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,367 \\ & 1,193 \\ & 1,174 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,468 \\ 729 \\ 739 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 8,248 a \\ & 5,622 a \\ & 2,626 \quad b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 666 b \\ & 462 b \\ & 204 c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,294 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1,590 \mathrm{a} \\ & 704 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |  | $1,384 \mathrm{~b}$ 949 a 435 c | $\begin{aligned} & 848 \text { b } \\ & 573 \text { b } \\ & 275 \text { d } \end{aligned}$ |
| Employed | 7,862 | 614 b | 2,128 b | 2,959 a | 1,351 b | 810 b |
| Men | 5,333 a | 419 b | 1, 459 b | 1,983 a | 926 b | 546 b |
| Women | 2,529 b | 195 c | 669 c | 976 c | 425 c | 264 d |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 592 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,270 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} 28 & e \\ 586 & b \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,008 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \mathrm{e} \\ 2.320 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 279 \mathrm{~d} \\ 1,072 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \mathrm{f} \\ 784 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ |
| Paid workers Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,751 \text { a } \\ & 4,444 a \\ & 2,307 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 534 c \\ & 357 c \\ & 177 c \end{aligned}$ | $1,844 \mathrm{~b}$ $1,240 \mathrm{~b}$ 604 c | $\begin{array}{r} 2,539 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1,724 \mathrm{~b} \\ 915 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,007 \mathrm{~b} \\ 640 \mathrm{~b} \\ 367 \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 727 \mathrm{c} \\ & 483 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 244 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| Unemployed Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 386 \\ 289 \\ 97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \mathrm{e} \\ 43 \mathrm{e} \\ 9 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \mathrm{e} \\ 131 \mathrm{e} \\ 35 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 e \\ & 65 e \\ & 32 e \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \mathrm{e} \\ & 23 \mathrm{e} \\ & 10 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \mathrm{e} \\ & 27 \mathrm{e} \\ & 11 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Not in the labour force Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 6,344 a \\ & 1,611 a \\ & 4,733 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 691 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 210 \mathrm{c} \\ & 481 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,895 \mathrm{~b} \\ 477 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,418 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,155 \mathrm{~b} \\ 524 \mathrm{c} \\ 1,631 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 983 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 244 \mathrm{c} \\ & 739 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 620 \mathrm{c} \\ & 156 \mathrm{c} \\ & 464 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed 1969 May 24 | $7,362 \text { a }$ | 614 574 | 2,128 2,091 | $2,959 \text { a }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,351 \\ & 1,290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1968 May 18 | 7,505 | 599 | 2,079 | 2,798 | 1,292 | 737 |
| April 20 | 7,276 | 553 | 2,013 | 2,741 | 1,246 | 723 |
| 1967 May 20 | 7,409 | 584 | 2,087 | 2,750 | 1,265 | 723 |
| April 22 | 7,125 | 345 | 2,012 | 2,687 | 1,183 | 698 |
| Unemployed 1969 May 24 | 386 d | 52 e | 166 | 97 e |  | 38 e |
| April 19 | 432 | 67 | 182 | 100 | 42 | 41 |
| 1968 May 18 | 366 | 44 | 134 | 104 | 36 | 48 |
| April 20 | 436 | 63 | 175 | 107 | 40 | 51 |
| 1967 May 20 | 304 | 45 | 123 | 74 | 26 | 36 |
| April 22 | 365 | 60 | 131 | 100 | 33 | 41 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellability of Estimates", page 8.
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## a) Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if a complete census had been caken using the same questionnaires, enumerators, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the Labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all estimates; of two estimatea the larger one whll likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more reliable than other estimates of comparable size.
(b) Non-gampling Errors

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerators may misunderatand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questiona, the anawers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaites and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errora. Some of the non-sampling errors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will contribute to biabes. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of enumeratore and a thorough control of the pracessing operation. in general, the more personal and more subjective inquiries are subject to larget errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have re latively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standerd deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard deviation of an estimate is a statistical measure of its sampling error. It also partially measures the effect on non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic blases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be leas than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference wald be less then twice the standard devistion and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letters. The letter " $a$ " Indicates that the standard deviation is smaller
than $0.5 \%$ of the estimate, the letter " $b$ " indicates that the standard devistion is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and so on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent standard deviations
Alphabetic indicator per cent standard deviation

| a | $0.0 \%=0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| c | $1.1 \%=2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%=5.0 \%$ |
| f | $5.1 \%=10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard deviations of the current estimates are not avallable at the time when this report is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-to-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two months up to a year apart may also be indicsted using the table above. For most characterlatics published in this re. port the standard devistion of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat amallet than the standard deviation of the amaller of the two estimates or in the 1 m mediately preceding range.

For example, suppose chat a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent atandard deviation of both estimates was indicated by the letter "c", i.e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimates ( 112,000 ) would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of $513,000,1 . e$. It would likely be smaller than 12,800 .
(e) Current Estimates of Standard Deviations

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are avaitable usually $\ln$ a few weeks after the publication of chis repart and can be obtained on request. Beginning with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year wlll be released.

## The Labour Force, $1963-1968$ (continued from page 2)

period. Participation rates were substantially lawer for the 20-24 and 65+ age groups; the decrease was less pronounced in the $25-44$ and 45-64 age groups and there was virtually no change in the participation rate for the $14-19$ group.

The labour force participation rate for women has been rising rapidly since approximately 1953. In the period under review, there was a further acceleration, the rate increas. ing from 29.6 to 34.4 . With the exception of women 65 years of age and over, participation rates were higher in 1968 than in 1963 for all age groups.
$\frac{\text { Labour Force Participation Rates }}{\text { Selected Age Groups }}$
Selected Age Groups

|  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 1968 | 1963 | 1968 | 1963 |
| 14-19 | 39.1 | 39.2 | 31.3 | 29.9 |
| 20-24 | 84.4 | 88.7 | 58.4 | 50.3 |
| 2门-44 | 97.0 | 97.7 | 36.4 | 30.5 |
| 45-64 | 91.1 | $9 \mathrm{i}, 9$ | 35.4 | 30.5 |
| $65+$ | 24.4 | 26.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 |

The increase in labour force participation of women 20-24 years of age is a recent development. Between 1948 and 1959 their rate fluctuated between 45.4 and 47.4 . In

1959, the participation rate was 46.5 and began to rise steadily to 50.3 in 1963. It continued to rise even more rapidly reaching 58.4 in 1958.

In all regions, the growth of the labour force exceeded the growth of the population 14 years of age and over and consequently participation rates were higher in 1968 than in 1963.

With the exception of British Columbia, male participation rates declined in all regions during the period. Rates for women, however, were substantially higher in 1968 than in 1963, in all regions.

For both men and women, participation rates remained the highest in Ontario and the lowest in the Atlantic region.

## Labour Force Participation Rates <br> \section*{b: Region and Sex}

## Atlantic

Quebec Ontario Praíies B.C.

| Both Sexes |  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | $\underline{1963}$ | 1968 | 1963 | 1968 | 1193 |
| 48.2 | 45.8 | 68.1 | 71.1 | 28.5 | 22.9 |
| 54.3 | $5 \% .6$ | 76.7 | 78.3 | 32.4 | $2^{73} .8$ |
| 57.7 | 55.5 | 79.2 | 81.2 | 36.9 | 3\%. |
| 56.8 | 5\%.0 | 77.8 | 79.0 | 35.4 | 30.2 |
| 55.0 | $5 \% .8$ | 77.1 | 73.9 | 35.3 | 2\%.9 |

