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## THE LABOUR FORCE

JUNE 1969

In the week ended June 21, 1969, the Canadian labour force was estimated at 8,403,000. Of this total, 8,020,000 persons were employed for all or part of the week and 383,000 were unemployed for the whole week. The labour force increased by 155,000 from May and the employed by 158,000 . There was virtually no change in the number of persons unemployed. Compared with June 1968, the labour force and the employed were higher by 245,000 and 257,000 , respectively, and the number of unemployed was 12,000 lower.

Between May and June, employment in nonagricultural industries increased by 170,000;
agricultural employment decreased by 12,000 . Nonagricultural employment was 268,000 higher than in June 1968, while employment in agriculture was 11,000 lower. Men accounted for 136,000 of the increase in employment between May and June and women, for 22,000. Employ. ment was higher than in June 1968 by 120,000 among men and 137,000 among women.

Expressed as a percentage of the labour force, the unemployment rate in June was 4.6 per cent, compared with 4.7 per cent in May this year and 4.8 per cent in June 1968. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate was 5.1 in June 1969.


## Employment in Canada <br> <br> 1963-1968

 <br> <br> 1963-1968}Total employment rose by $1,162,000$ between 1963 and 1968. In absolute numbers, the increase in employment was about the same for men as for women but the percentage gain for women was two and one half times as large as for men.

The Employed

$$
\frac{1968}{\left(000^{1} \mathrm{~s}\right)} \quad \frac{1963}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)} \quad \frac{\text { Increase Erom } 1963}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}
$$

| Total | 7,537 | 6,375 | 1,162 | 18.2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men | 5,146 | 4,567 | 579 | 12.7 |
| Women | 2,391 | 1,808 | 583 | 32.2 |

Among women, the largest percentage gain in employment between 1963 and 1968 was among those 20-24 years of age. For all age groups, the increase in employment was greater than the increase in the population, In 1968, women 45 years of age and over accounted for almost 30 per cent of the total employed women. The largest relative gains in male employment were among those $14-19$ and $20-24$ years of age who also experienced the most rapid growth in population.

Percentage Increase in Population and Employment 1963-1968

|  | Population |  |  | Employment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Men | Women |  | Men | Women |
| A11 ages | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 12.7 | 32.2 |
| $14-19$ | 22.4 | 21.3 |  | 23.5 | 26.1 |
| $20-24$ | 39.8 | 30.4 | 35.8 | 51.7 |  |
| $25-44$ | 7.0 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 25.8 |  |
| $45+$ | 10.3 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 33.6 |  |

During the 1963-1968 interval, the percentage increase in employment was only slightly higher for single than for married men. The increase was considerably greater for married women than for single and other women. In $1968,75.3$ per cent of all employed nen and 55.4 per cent of all employed women were married.

## Employment by Marital Status

|  | 1968 | 1963 | Increase <br> from 1963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\overline{00015}$ ) | (000's) | (030's) | \% |
| Men | 5,146 | 4,567 | 579 | 12.7 |
| Single | 1,175 | 1,032 | 143 | 13.9 |
| Married | 3,877 | 3,448 | 429 | 12.4 |
| Other (1) | 94 | 87 | 7 | 8.0 |
| Women | 2,391 | 1,808 | 583 | 32.2 |
| Single | 855 | 717 | 138 | 19.2 |
| Married | 1,324 | 907 | 417 | 46.0 |
| Other(1) | 212 | 184 | 28 | 15.2 |

(1) Includes widowed, divorced and separated

In the goods-producing sector, employment was 7.4 per cent higher in 1968 than in 1963. Substantial gains occurred in mining, manufarturing
and construction. During the perlod, agricultural employment continued to decline while in foresiry and fishing there was little or no change. In the service-producing sector, employment was 26.7 per cent higher. Employment was higher in all industry groups in this sector, increases ranging between 12.7 per cent for transportation and other utilities and 40.1 per cent for community, busi = ness and personal service.

## Employment by Industry

$$
\frac{1968}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)} \quad \frac{1963}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)} \frac{\text { Change from } 1963}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}
$$

| All industries | 7,537 | 6,375 | $+$ | 1,162 | $+$ | 18.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goods-producing | 2,991 | 2,784 | + | 207 | + | 7.4 |
| Agriculture | 546 | 649 | - | 103 | - | 15.9 |
| Forestry | 80 | 80 |  | - |  | - |
| Fishing and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trapping | 24 | 25 | - | 1 | - | 4.0 |
| Mining | 117 | 72 | + | 45 | + | 62.5 |
| Manufacturing | 1,754 | 1,552 | + | 202 | + | 13.0 |
| Construction | 470 | 406 | + | 64 | + | 15.8 |
| Service-producing | 4,543 | 3,590 | $+$ | 958 | + | 26.7 |
| Transportation and other utilities | 673 | 597 | $+$ | 76 | $+$ | 12.7 |
| Trade | 1,260 | 1,06? | $\pm$ | 108 | + | 18.6 |
| Finance | 327 | 254 | + | 73 | $+$ | 28.7 |
| Community, business mad personal sarvice | 1.830 | 1,306 | $+$ | 524 | $\pm$ | 40.1 |
| Public administration | 458 | 371 | + | 87 | + | 23.5 |

Note: The indicated increase for employment in the mining industry is substantially exaggerated due to very high sampling variability associated with estimates of employment in this industry before the introduction, during 1954 and 1965 , of a new sample of improved design.
For both men and women, the absolute as well as percentage increases in employment between 1963 and 1968 were considerably larger for the serviceproducing than for the goods-producing sector. In 1968, more than half of all employed men and four out of five employed women worked in the serviceproducing industries.

## Employment by Industry Sector and Sex

|  | $1968$ |  | $\left(000^{1963}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { (rom } 1963 \\ & \left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 5,146 | 100.0 | 4,567 | 100.0 | 579 | 12.7 |
| Goods producing | 2,509 | 48.8 | 2,365 | 51.8 | 144 | 6.1 |
| Serviceproducing | 2,636 | 51.2 | 2,202 | 48.2 | 434 | 19.7 |
| Women | 2,391 | 100.0 | 1,808 | 100.0 | 583 | 32.7 |
| Goodsproducing | 480 | 20.1 | 418 | 23.1 | 62 | 14.8 |
| Serviceproducing | 1,910 | 79.9 | 1,388 | 76.8 | 522 | 37.6 |

(continued on page 8 )

## Teshnical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contained in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in nearly 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country. Percentages of total households selected for the sample were as follows: Atlantic region, 1.6 p.c.; Quebec, 0.5 p.c.; Ontario, 0.5 p.c.; Prairie region, 0.8 p.c.; British Columbia, 0.7 p.c. The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthiy. Estimates of employment, unemployment and nor-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derived from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The resultes of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5). Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain laboux force participation and unemployment rates.

Carada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detall on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment.

Regions, Labour Force (page 7). Table 8 contains labour force data for the regions.

Supplementary Data - From time to time, aiditional data on particular aspects of the labour lorce or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes available, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Available - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time.
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Persons who had jobs but did not work during the reference week and who also looked for work are ancluded in the unemployed as persons without work and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all versons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, 1.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or believed no suitable work was avallable in the community; or
(b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, 1.e., were walting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.

Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as employed or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school; keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classlfied as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
$r$ Revised.
Note: With the exception of Tables 2 and 5, all statistics refer to a specific week, the last day of which is indicated.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Hote: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965. small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that tiad. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$$
\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Summary }}
$$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S.D. } \\
& \text { (i) }
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1969} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1968} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1967} <br>
\hline \& \& June
$$
21
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { May } \\
24(2)
\end{gathered}
$$ \& June 22 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 18
\end{aligned}
$$ \& June 17 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 20
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture \& a
d

a \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{rr}
3,020 \& 7,862 <br>
580 \& 592 <br>
7,440 \& 7,270

} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

7,763 \& 7,505 <br>
591 \& 584 <br>
7,172 \& 6,921

} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

7,567 \& 7,409 <br>
505 \& 500 <br>
6,961 \& 6,809
\end{tabular}} <br>

\hline Employed, $\overline{\text { non-agriculture }}$ \& a \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$-_{7,172}--_{6,921}$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline Usually work 35 hours or more \& a \& 6,665 \& 6,463 \& 5,472 \& 6,177 \& 6,298 \& 6,131 <br>
\hline At work 35 hours or more \& a \& 6,049 \& 3,512 \& 5,935 \& 5,7+1 \& 5,767 \& 5,697 <br>
\hline At work less than 35 hours, or not at work \& c \& 616 \& 2,951 \& 537 \& 436 \& 531 \& 434 <br>
\hline Due to economic reasons(3) \& e \& 103 \& \& 70 \& 79 \& 78 \& 74 <br>
\hline Due to other reasons(4) \& c \& 513 \& 2,868 \& 467 \& 357 \& 453 \& 360 <br>
\hline Usually work less than 35 hours \& c \& 775 \& 807 \& 700 \& 74 ' \& 563 \& 678 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1968 |  | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | June 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | June 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total employed | a | 8,020 | 7,862 | 7,763 | 7,505 | 7,567 | 7,409 |
| Agriculture | d | 580 | 592 | 591 | 584 | 606 | 600 |
| Other primary industries | d | 257 | 223 | 245 | 220 | 233 | 195 |
| Manufacturing | $=$ | 1,851 | 1,827 | 1,810 | 1,742 | 1,784 | 1,767 |
| Construction | c | 502 | 486 | 503 | 458 | 497 | 472 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 736 | 722 | 707 | 680 | 677 | 659 |
| Trade | $c$ | 1,299 | 1,27' | 1,262 | 1,244 | 1,216 | 1,201 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 354 | 342 | 327 | 325 | -316 | 311 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 1,944 | 1,922 | 1,844 | 1,806 | 1,776 | 1,759 |
| Public administration | c | 497 | 474 | 474 | 446 | 462 | 445 |

Note: Since late 1962 , statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3 -month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthly reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are avallable on request from the
Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended June 21, 1969 | Total | Paid workers | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total employed Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 8,020 \mathrm{a} \\ 580 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,440 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,892 \mathrm{a} \\ 110 \mathrm{e} \\ 6,782 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 565 \mathrm{c} \\ & 283 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 282 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 355 \mathrm{c} \\ 53 \mathrm{f} \\ 302 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 208 \mathrm{~d} \\ 134 \mathrm{e} \\ 74 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,469 a \\ 493 \mathrm{~d} \\ 4,976 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,558 \mathrm{a} \\ 92 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,476 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 491 c \\ & 277 d \\ & 214 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322 \mathrm{c} \\ 50 \mathrm{f} \\ 272 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 74 \mathrm{e} \\ & 14 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,551 \mathrm{~b} \\ 87 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,464 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,324 \mathrm{~b} \\ 18 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,306 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \mathrm{e} \\ 6 \mathrm{~g} \\ 68 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \mathrm{e} \\ 3 \mathrm{~g} \\ 30 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \mathrm{~d} \\ 60 \mathrm{e} \\ 60 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8. (2) in Has 1969, an unusually large number worked less than 35 hours due to the Queen's Birthday being in the reference week. (3) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, la\%off for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week. (4) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and rassons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation.
For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, amall adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.

Regions, Labour Force
(Estimates in thousands)

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1969 |  | 1958 |  | - 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 21 | $M_{a y}$ $24$ | June 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | June 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total unemployed | 1 | 383 | 386 | 395 | 366 | 292 | 304 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 367 | 362 | 381 | 347 | 279 | 285 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 338 | 339 | 339 | 327 | 256 | 268 |
| Seeking part-time work | £ | 29 | 23 | 42 | 20 | 23 | 17 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | f | 16 | $3 \cdot$ | 14 | 19 | 13 | 19 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 367 | 362 | 381 | 347 | 279 | 285 |
| Seeking under 1 month | , | 150 | 117 | 170 | 100 | 131 | 97 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | d | $10^{\prime} 4$ | 103 | 109 | 108 | 77 | 91 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 50 | 71 | 46 | 77 | 34 | 57 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 63 | 71 | 56 | 62 | 37 | 40 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions <br> Week ended June 21, 1969 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over | 14,619 | 1,360 | 4,195 | 5,222 | 2,370 | 1,472 |
| Men | 7,246 | 674 | 2,070 | 2,577 | 1,194 | 731 |
| Women | 7,373 | 686 | 2,125 | 2,645 | 1,176 | 741 |
| Labour force | 8,403 a | 674 b | 2,356 b | 3,122 a | 1,381 b | 870 b |
| Men | 5,743 a | 473 is | 1,634 a | 2,099 a | 950 a | 587 b |
| Women | 2,660 b | 201 c | 722 c | 1,023 c | 431 c | 283 d |
| Employed | 8,020 a | 633 b | 2,204 b | 3,001 a | 1,348 b | 834 b |
| Men | 5,469 a | 441 b | 1,523 b | 2,014 a | 928 b | 563 b |
| Women | 2,551 b | 192 c | 681 c | $987=$ | 420 c | 271 d |
| Agriculture | 580 d | 28 e | 110 e | 148 e | 268 d | 26 f |
| Non-agriculture | 7,440 a | 605 b | 2,094 b | 2,853 b | 1,080 c | 808 c |
| Paid workers | 6,892 a | 550 c | 1,908 b | 2,673 b | 1,011 b | 750 c |
| Men | 4,508 a | 375 c | 1,296 b | 1,753 b | 644 b | 500 b |
| Women | 2,324 b | 175 c | 612 c | 920 c | 367 = | 250 d |
| Unemp loyed | 383 d | 41 e | 152 e | 121 e | 33 e | 36 e |
| Men | 274 d | 32 e | 111 e | 85 e | 22 e | 24 e |
| Women | 109 e | 9 f |  | 36 e | 11 g | 12 f |
| Not in the labour force | 6,216 a | 686 b | 1,839 b | 2,100 b |  |  |
| Men | 1,503 b | 201 c | . 436 c | 478 c | 244 c | 144 c |
| Women | 4,713 a | 485 b | 1,403 b | 1,622 b | 745 b |  |
| Employed 1969 June 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,020 \text { a } \\ & 7,862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 633 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,204 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 2,128 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,-001{ }^{-} \text {a } \\ & 2,959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{1,348} \overline{\mathrm{~b}} \\ & 1,351 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -734-\overline{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 810 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1968 May 24 | $7,862$ | 614 | $2,128$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,959 \\ & 2,915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,351 \\ & 1,302 \end{aligned}$ | 810 767 |
| 1963 June 22 | 7,763 | 528 | 2,079 | 2,9198 2, | 1,292 | 737 |
| 1967 June 17 | 7,567 | 620 | 2,132 | 2,819 | 1,269 | 727 |
| May 20 | 7,409 | 584 | 2,087 | 2,750 | 1,265 | 723 |
| Unemployed 1969 June 21 | 383 d | 41 e | 152 e | 121 e |  |  |
| May 24 | 386 | 52 | 166 | 97 | 33 | 38 |
| 1968 June 22 | 395 | 37 | 143 | 129 | 36 | 50 |
| May 18 | 366 | 44 | 134 | 104 | 36 | 48 |
| 1967 June 17 | 292 | 30 | 103 | 102 | 21 | 36 |
| May 20 | 304 | 45 | 123 | 74 | 26 | 36 |

[^0]Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

## (a) <br> Sampling Error

The estimates in this report are based on a sample of households. Somewhat different figures might have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, enumeracors, supervisors, processing, etc. as those actually used in the Labour Force Survey. This difference is called the sampling error of the estimates. In the design and processing of the labour Force Survey extensive efforts have been made to minimize the sampling error. The sampling error (expressed as a per cent of the estimate it refers to) is not the same for all estimates; of two estimates the larger one wll likely have a smaller per cent sampling error and of two estimates of the same size the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed across the country will tend to have a smaller per cent sampling variability. Also, estimates relating to age and sex are usually more relisble than other estimates of comparable size.

## (b) Non-Bampling Errora

Errors, which are not related to sampling, may occur at almost every phase of a survey operation. Enumerstors may misunderstand instructions, respondents may make errors in answering questions, the answers may be incorrectly entered on the questionnaires and errors may be introduced in the processing and tabulations of the data. All these errors are called non-sampling errors. Some of the non-sampling errors will usually balance out over a large number of observations but systematically occuring errors will contribute to biases. Non-sampling errors can be reduced by a careful design of questionnaires, intensive training and supervision of enumerators and a thorough control of the processing operation. In general, the more personsl and more subjective in. quirles are subjece to larger errors. Also, data referring to persons with less stable labour force status will have relatively large non-sampling errors.

## (c) Alphabetic Indicators of Standard Deviation

The sampling error, as described under (a) is not known. A quantity, called the standard deviation, can however be estimated from sample data itself. The standard devistion of an estimate is a etatistical measure of its sampling error. It 180 partially measures the effect on non-sampling errors, but does not reflect any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the corresponding census figure would be less than the standard deviation. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard deviation and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $21 / 2$ times as large.

The standard deviations of the estimates, expressed as a per cent of the estimates, are indicated by letcers. The letter " $s$ " indicates that the standard deviation is smaller
than 0.5\% of the estimate, the better "b" indicates that the standard deviation is between $0.6 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ of the estimate and $s 0$ on as shown in the table below.

Alphabetic designation of per cent atandard deviations

| Alphabetic indicator | Per cent standard deviation |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | $0.0 \%-0.5 \%$ |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| d | $1.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| e | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| $£$ | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| g | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |

The actual standard deviation of an estimate is not the same each month. Since the standard devistions of the current estimates are not avallable at the time when this teport is published, the alphabetic indicators are based on the average standard deviations during the last year. They should, therefore, be interpreted only as indications of the order of magnitude of the standard deviations.

## (d) Standard Deviation of Month-50-Month Changes

A rough upper limit for the standard deviation of the difference (change) between two estimates referring to two manths up to a year apart may also be indicated using the table above. For most characteristics published in this report the standard deviation of the difference between two estimates is likely to be somewhat amaller than the standard deviation of the smaller of the two estimates or in the immediately preceding range.

For example, suppose that a hypothetical estimate in May and June was 513,000 and 625,000 respectively and the per cent standard deviation of boch estlmates was indicated by the letter "c", I.e. it was between $1.1 \%$ and $2.5 \%$. The difference between the May and June estimates ( 112,000 ) would, therefore, have a standard deviation which would likely be smaller than $2.5 \%$ of 513,000 , 1.e. it would likely be smaller than 12,800 .

## (e) Current Estimates of Standard Devistions

Standard deviations are computed monthly for several estimates and month-to-month changes. These are available usually in a few weeks after the publication of this report and can be obtained on request. Beginnlng with 1966, an annual report on the standard deviations during the last year *⿴囗 11 be released.

## Employment in Canada 1963-1968 (continued from page 2)

## Employment by Occupation

$$
\frac{1968}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}\left(\frac{1963}{\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)}\right.
$$

Change
from 1963
$\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \quad \%$

Office and professional
Transportation
Service and recreation
Primary

| 3,379 | 2,639 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 344 | 355 |
| 908 | 708 |
| 685 | 778 |

Craftsmen, production process and related workers
labourers

| 1,910 | 1,585 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 312 | 308 |$+325+20.5$

Occupationally, the largest percentage increases in employment between 1963 and 1968 were in the service and recreation occupations, and in the office and professional occupations (which include managerial, professional and technical, clerical, sales, and communication). There was also a substantial increase in employment among craftsmen and production process workers. In the primary occupations, the decrease was entirely attributable to farmers and farm workers.


[^0]:    (1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

