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In the wesk eaded July 18, 1970, the Canadian labour force was estimated at 8,819,000. This total included 8,301,000 persons employed for all or part of the week and 518,000 persons unemployed for the whole week. From Tune, the labour force increased by 142,000 , employment having increased by 153,000 and the unemployed javing decreasad by 11,000. The labour force, the employed and the unemployed were higher than in July 1969 by $269,000,100,000$ and 169,000 , respectively.

Of the increase in total employment from June, nonagricultural industries accounted
for 103,000 and agriculture for 50,000 . Compared to July 1969, nonagricultural employment was 125,000 higher and employment in agriculture was 25,000 lower. Almost all the increase in total employment between June and July was among men. There were 36,000 more men and 64,000 more women employed in July 1970 than a year earlier.

The unemployment rate in July was 5.9 per cent of the total labour force, compared with rates of 6.1 in June this year and 4.1 in July 1969. Seasonally adjusted, the unemployment rate in July this year was 6.7 .


## Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1969-1970

In the second quarter of 1970 , the labour force was 210,000 greater than in the second quarter of 1969; employment and unemployment were higher by 81,000 and 129,000 respectively

## Second Puarter Averages <br> (000's)

|  | $\underline{1970}$ | $\underline{1969}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Labour Force | 8,447 | 8,237 |
| Employed | 7,918 | 7,837 |
| Unemployed | 529 | 400 |

In the second quarter of 1970, the total labour force continued to expand at a faster rate than total employment and unemployment remained substantially higher than a year earlier. At 2.5 per ceat, however, the rate of increase of the labour force was somewhat lower than the average annual rate of 3.3 per cent of the past five years. Employment in the second quarter of 1970 was only 1.0 per cent higher than a year earlier.

The male labour force in the second quarter of 1970 was 110,000 or 2.0 per cent higher and the female labour force 99,000 or 3.8 per cent higher than the corresponding quarter of 1969. For both men and women the rate of growth was lower than the five-year average of 2.3 per cent and 5.5 per cent respectively. Among men, the largest percentage gain was in the 20-24 age group; the gains were highest for women 25 years of age and over.

## The Labour Force

| Second Quarter <br> Averages <br> $\left(000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year-to-year <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1970}$ | $\underline{1969}$ |

Men

| A11 ages | 5,721 | 5,611 | +110 | +2.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $14-19$ | 507 | 488 | +19 | +3.9 |
| $20-24$ | 785 | 751 | +34 | +4.5 |
| $25-44$ | 2,517 | 2,474 | +43 | +1.7 |
| 45 and over | 1,911 | 1,898 | +13 | +0.7 |
| Nomen |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages | 2,726 | 2,627 | +99 | +3.8 |
| $14-19$ | 369 | 371 | - | 2 |
| $20-24$ | 533 | 522 | +11 | +2.5 |
| $25-44$ | 1,052 | 1,001 | +51 | +5.1 |
| 45 and over | 771 | 733 | +38 | +5.2 |

Employment gains in the first half of 1970 were relatively very small. Total employment averaged $7,918,000$ in the second quarter of 1970 , a rise of 81,000 , or 1.0 per cent, from the corresponding quarter of 1969. In the first quarter the year-to-year increase was only 0.9 per cent.
Year-to-year gains of one per cent or less have not occurred since the first quarter of 1961.

Fenale employment rose by 75,000 or 3.0 per cent between the second quartex of 1969 and the
second quarter of 1970 , an unusually small 10 crease; male employment was virtually unchangen.

Employment in the service-producing sector was higher in the second quarter of 1970 than in the second quarter of 1969 by 143,000 or 3.0 per cent. Of the total increase in the serviceproducing industries, men accounted for 40,000 and women for 103,000. Community, business and personal service accounted for almost all of year-to-year gain.

Eraployment in the goods-producing sector was lower than a year earlier by 62,000 ; it was lower by 35,000 for men and 27,000 5O: women. There was a decline in employment from the second quarter of 1969 of 31,000 in agriculture and 29,000 in manufacturing. In other primary industries and in construction there was little change.


Enploymeat in Ontario was 75,000 or 2.5 per cent highe than in the second quarter of 1959. In the other regions there was little change.

Total unemployment averaged 529,000 in the second quarter of 1970 , a rise of 129,000 from the corresponding quarter of 1969. Men accounted for 105,000 of chis increase.

|  | The Unemployed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Second Quarter Averages ( $0000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | Year-to-Year Change (000's) |  |
|  | $\underline{1970}$ | 1969 |  |  |
| Total | 529 | 400 | + 129 | + 32.2 |
| Men | 406 | 301 | $+105$ | + 34. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Women | 122 | 99 | + 23 | + 23.2 |

[^0]
## Technical Notes

## Scope of Labour Force Survey

The statistics contalned in this report are based on information obtained through a sample survey of households. Interviews are carried out in about 30,000 households chosen by area sampling methods across the country(1). The Labour Force Survey, started in November 1945, was taken at quarterly intervals until November 1952. Since then it has been carried out monthly. Estimates of employment, unemployment and non-labour force activity refer to the specific week covered by the survey each month.

The sample used in the surveys of the labour force has been designed to represent all persons in the population 14 years of age and over residing in Canada, with the exception of: residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, Indians living on
reserves, inmates of institutions and members of the armed forces. These excluded categories amount to about three per cent of the total population 14 years of age and over.

Estimates derlved from a sample survey are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Aspects of this subject in relation to the Labour Force Survey are reviewed under "Reliability of Estimates" on page 8.
(1) For a comprehensive description of the design of the Labour Force Survey, see Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Canadian Labour Force Survey - Methodology, Catalogue No. 71-504, Ottawa, 1965.

## Labour Force Statistics

Contents of the Tables - The results of the survey are presented in the tables on pages 4 to 7 of this report.

## Canada, Labour Force (pages 4 and 5).

Tables 1 to 3 refer to the labour force, employment and unemployment and contain labour force participation and unemployment rates.

Canada, Employed (page 6). Tables 4 to 6 contain further detail on employment.

Canada, Unemployed (page 7). Table 7 contains further detail on unemployment.

Reglons, Labour Eorce (page 7). Table 8
contains labour force data for the regions.
Supplementary Data - From time to time, additional data on particular aspects of the labour force or its components will be obtained. Such material, as it becomes avallable, will be either included in this report or released in separate reports.

Other Data Avallable - In addition to the published statistics, there is a considerable amount of data which can be obtained on request. Following is a list of material available.

## For Canada only:

1. Age and sex distributions.
2. Marital status and sex distributions.
3. Employed -
(a) Reasons for working less than full-time,
(b) Hours worked by sex for total employed, agriculture and non-agriculture, and for paid workers, non-agriculture.
(c) Industry and occupation groups, by sex for total employed and for paid workers.
4. Persons not in the labour force by category.

## For regions:

5. Labour force: by age; by sex, agricultural and nonagricultural.
Employed: by age.

## For individual provinces of Atlantic and Prairie regions:

6. Population 14 years of age and over and labour force: by sex.
Total employed, total unemployed, and total persons not in labour force.

## Definitions and Explanations

Labour Force - The civilian labour force is composed of that portion of the civilian noninstitutional population 14 years of age and over who, during the reference week, were employed or unemployed.

Employed - The employed includes all persons who, during the reference week:
(a) did any work for pay or profit;
(b) did any work which contributed to the running of a farm or business operated by a related member of the household; or
(c) had a job, but were not at work, because of bad weather, illness, industrial dispute, or vacation, or because they were taking time off for other reasons.
Pisrsons who had jobs but did not work during the Fixference week and who also looked for work are licluded in the unemployed as persons without wirk and seeking work.

Unemployed - The unemployed includes all persons who, through the reference week:
(a) were without work and seeking work, 1.e., did not work during the reference week and were looking for work; or would have been looking for work except that they were temporarily ill, were on indefinite or prolonged layoff, or belleved no suitable work was avallable in the community; or (b) were temporarily laid off for the full week, 1.e., were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off for less than 30 days.
Not in the Labour Force - Those not in the labour force include all civilians 14 years of age and over (exclusive of institutional population) who are not classified as emploved or unemployed. This category includes those: going to school: keeping house; too old or otherwise unable to work; and voluntarily idle or retired. Housewives, students and others who worked part-time are classified as employed. If they looked for work they are classified as unemployed.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965 small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the armed services, Indians living on reserves and residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories.
(3) The labour force as a percentage of the population 14 years of age and over.
(4) The unemployed as a percentage of the labour force.
r Revised.
Note: With the exception of Table 2 all statistics rater to a specific woak, whe lest day of which is indicated. The sums of individual items may not always equal the totals because of rounding.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965,
small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.


| Table 3 <br> Age, sex, and marital status Week ended July 18, 1970 | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14-19 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 20-64 years |  |  |  | 55 years and over all persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |
|  |  |  | Married | Other | Married | Other |  |
| Population 14 years of age and over(2) | 15,030 | 2,490 | 4,213 a | 1,242 b | 4,321 a | 1,191 c | 1,572 |
| Labour force | 8,819 a | 1,219 c | 4,041 a | 1,098 c | 1,436 c | 819 c | 207 d |
| Employed | 8,301 a | 1,037 c | 3,899 a | 984 c | 1,395 c | 786 c | 200 d |
| Unemployed | 518 d | 182 e | 142 d | 113 e | 41 f | 33 f | 6 g |
| Not in the labour force | 6,211 a | 1,271 b | 172 d | 145 d | 2,885 b | 372 d | 1,365 a |
| Participation rate (3) - 1970, July 18 | $58.7 \mathrm{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.0 \mathrm{c} \\ & 40.9 \end{aligned}$ | $95.9 \text { a }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.4 \text { a } \\ & 87.7 \end{aligned}$ | $33.2 \mathrm{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.8 \text { b } \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ | $13.2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| June 20 | 57.8 | 40.9 | 96.1 | 87.7 | 34.6 |  |  |
| Unemployment rate(4) - 1970, July 18 | 5.9 d | 14.9 e | 3.5 d | 10.3 e | 2.9 f | 4.0 f | 2.9 g |
| June 20 | 6.1 | 17.7 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 2.9 |

(1)(2)(3)(4) See footnotes on opposite page.

Note: a) The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 3 Indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
b) Newfoundland included in estimates only from 1950.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time. See the March 1965 edition of this report, page 8.

| $\frac{\text { Table } 4}{\text { Summary }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | 1970 |  | 1969 |  | 1968 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | June 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | June 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June 22 |
| Total employed | a | 8,301 | 8,143 | 8,201 | 8,020 | 7,952 | 7,763 |
| Agriculture | d | 619 | 569 | 644 | 580 | 656 | 591 |
| Non-agriculture | a | 7,682 | 7,579 | 7,557 | 7,440 | 7,296 | 7,172 |
| Employed, non-agriculture | a | 7,682 | 7,579 | $\overline{7,557}$ | -7,440 | -7,296 | $\overline{7,172}$ |
| Usually work 35 hours or more | a | Ú,911 | 6,705 | 6,862 | 6,665 | 6,665 | 6,472 |
| At work 35 hours or more | a | 5,282 | 6,142 | 5,374 | 6,049 | 5,269 | 5,935 |
| At work less than 35 hours, or not at work | c | 1,629 | 563 | 1,488 | 616 | 1,396 | 537 |
| Due to economic reasons (2) | e | 70 | 89 | 0.5 | 103 | 75 | 70 |
| Due to other reasons (3) | c | 1,558 | 474 | 1,423 | 513 | 1,321 | 467 |
| Usually work less than 35 hours | c | 771 | 873 | 695 | 775 | 631 | 700 |


| $\frac{\text { Table } 5}{\text { Industry }}$ | $\underset{(1)}{S . D .}$ | 1970 |  | 1969 |  | 1968 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | June 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June 22 |
| Total employed | a | 8,301 | 8,148 | 8,201 | 8,020 | 7,952 | 7,763 |
| Agriculture | d | 619 | 569 | 644 | 580 | 656 | 591 |
| Other primary industries | d | 242 | 238 | 245 | 257 | 257 | 245 |
| Manufacturing | c | 1,845 | 1,842 | 1,904 | 1,851 | 1,788 | 1,810 |
| Construction | c | 542 | 518 | 550 | 502 | 537 | 503 |
| Transportation and other utilities | c | 720 | 710 | 732 | 736 | 726 | 707 |
| Trade | c | 1,373 | 1,328 | 1,342 | 1,299 | 1,307 | 1,262 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate | d | 362 | 357 | 359 | 354 | 330 | 327 |
| Community, personal, other services | c | 2,076 | 2,083 | 1,896 | 1,944 | 1,862 | 1,844 |
| Public administration | c | 523 | 502 | 529 | 497 | 489 | 474 |

Note: Since late 1962, statistics in Table 5 have been published on a 3 -month average basis. However since January 1966, it has been possible to provide monthly estimates of the employed by industry. Accordingly, beginning with the February 1968 report, the statistics in Table 5 refer to the monthly reference periods. Industry estimates on the 3 -month average basis are available on request from the Special Surveys Division.

| Table 6 <br> Class of worker, agriculture and non-agriculture, and sex Week ended July 18, 1970 | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paid } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ | Own account workers | Employers | Unpaid <br> family <br> workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total employed } \\ & \text { Agriculture } \\ & \text { Non-agriculture } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,301 a \\ 619 \mathrm{~d} \\ 7,682 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,181 a \\ 158 a \\ 7,023 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \mathrm{c} \\ & 252 \mathrm{~d} \\ & 272 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 356 \mathrm{c} \\ 65 \mathrm{f} \\ 301 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229 \mathrm{~d} \\ 143 \mathrm{e} \\ 86 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ |
| Men <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 5,649 a \\ 526 \mathrm{~d} \\ 5,123 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,760 \mathrm{a} \\ 132 \mathrm{e} \\ 4,628 \mathrm{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 453 c \\ & 246 d \\ & 207 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 333 \mathrm{c} \\ 63 \mathrm{f} \\ 270 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \mathrm{~d} \\ 85 \mathrm{e} \\ 19 \mathrm{f} \end{array}$ |
| Women <br> Agriculture Non-agriculture | $\begin{array}{r} 2,652 \mathrm{~b} \\ 93 \mathrm{e} \\ 2,559 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,421 \mathrm{~b} \\ 26 \mathrm{f} \\ 2,395 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \mathrm{e} \\ 6 \mathrm{~g} \\ 66 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \mathrm{e} \\ 2 \mathrm{~g} \\ 31 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | 125 d 58 e 67 e |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.
(2) Economic reasons for not working 35 hours or more include short time, layoff for part of the week and termination or start of employment during the week.
(3) Other reasons for not working 35 hours or more include 111 ness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, and reasons such as a statutory holiday in the week.
Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 6 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Rellability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: Due to the introduction of revised weighting factors in March 1965, small adjustments have been made to estimates published before that time.
See the March 1965 edition of this repart, page 8.
Regions, Labour Force

| $\frac{\text { Table } 7}{\text { Unemployed }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1970 |  | 1969 |  | 1968 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | June 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | June 22 |
| Total unemployed | d | 518 | 529 | 349 | 383 | 371 | 395 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 490 | 507 | 329 | 357 | 351 | 381 |
| Seeking full-time work | d | 442 | 462 | 304 | 338 | 312 | 339 |
| Seeking part-time work | f | 48 | 44 | 25 | 29 | 39 | 42 |
| On temporary layoff up to 30 days | f | 27 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 14 |
| Without work and seeking work | d | 490 | 507 | 329 | 367 | 351 | 381 |
| Seeking under 1 month | d | 128 | 187 | 102 | 150 | 103 | 170 |
| Seeking 1-3 months | d | 205 | 157 | 131 | 104 | 147 | 109 |
| Seeking 4-6 months | e | 69 | 75 | 35 | 50 | 45 | 46 |
| Seeking more than 6 months | e | 88 | 88 | 61 | 63 | 56 | 56 |


| Table 8 <br> Regional distributions Week ended July 18, 1970 | Canada | Atlantic region | Quebec | Ontario | Prairie region | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 14 years of age and over Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 15,030 \\ 7,448 \\ 7,582 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,384 \\ 686 \\ 698 \end{array}$ | 4,290 2,116 2,174 | 5,401 2,665 2,736 | 2,420 1,218 1,202 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,535 \\ 763 \\ 772 \end{array}$ |
| Labour force Men Women | 8,819 a | 713 b | 2,455 b | 3,269 a | 1,463 b | 919 b |
|  | 6,020 a | 499 b | 1,702 a | 2,197 a | 1,001 a | 621 b |
|  | 2,799 b | 214 c | 753 c | 1,072 c | 452 c | 298 d |
| Employed | 8,301 a | 665 b | 2,271 b | 3,116 a | 1,406 b | 843 b |
| Men Women | 5,649 a | 462 b | 1,567 b | 2,093 a | 962 b | 565 b |
|  | 2,652 b | 203 c | 704 c | 1,023 c | 444 c | 278 d |
| Agriculture Non-agriculture | 7,682 a | 631 b | 2,139 b | 2,959 b | 1,145 c |  |
| Paid workersMenWomen | 7,181 a | 582 c | 1,967 b | 2,795 b | $1,093 \mathrm{~b}$ | 744 c |
|  | 4,760 a | 397 c | 1,338 b | $1,840 \mathrm{~b}$ | 694 b | 491 b |
|  | 2,421 b | 185 c | 629 c | 955 c | 399 c | 253 d |
| Unemployed | 518 d | 49 e | 184 e | 153 e |  | 76 e |
| Men Women | 371 d | 37 e | 135 e | 104 e | 39 e | 56 e |
|  | 147 e | 11 f | 49 f | 49 e | 18 g | 20 f |
| Not in the labour force | 6,211 a | 671 b | 1,835 b | 2,132 b | 957 b | 616 c |
| MenWomen | 1,428 b | 187 c | 414 c | 468 c | 217 c | 142 c |
|  | 4,783 a | 484 b | 1,421 b | 1,664 b | 740 b | 474 c |
| Employed $\begin{array}{ccc}1970 & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 18 } \\ \text { June 20 }\end{array} \\ & 1969 & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 19 } \\ \text { June 21 }\end{array} \\ & 1968 & \text { July 20 } \\ & & \text { June 22 }\end{array}$ | 8,301 a | 665 b | 2,271 b | 3,116 a | 1,406 b | 843 b |
|  | 8,148 | 642 | 2,215 | 3,10; | 1,370 | 814 |
|  | 8,201 | 655 | 2,269 | 3,074 | 1,373 | 830 |
|  | 8,020 | 633 | 2,204 | 3,001 | 1,348 | 834 |
|  | 7,952 | 651 | 2,208 | 2,957 | 1,342 | 794 |
|  | 7,763 | 628 | 2,151 | 2,915 | 1,302 | 767 |
| $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Unemployed } & 1970 & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 18 } \\ \text { June 20 }\end{array} \\ 1969 & \text { July 19 } \\ & \text { June 21 } \\ 1968 & \text { July 20 } \\ & \text { June 22 }\end{array}$ | 518 d | 48 e | 184 e | 153 e | 57 e |  |
|  | 529 | 38 | 188 | 158 | 58 | 87 |
|  | 349 | 42 | 139 | 96 | 38 | 34 |
|  | 383 | 41 | 152 | 121 | 33 | 36 |
|  | 371 | 37 | 130 | 120 | 41 | 43 |
|  | 395 | 37 | 143 | 129 | 36 | 50 |

(1) "S.D." = Standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Note: The alphabetic symbol following each estimate in table 8 indicates its standard deviation. For explanation, see "Reliability of Estimates", page 8.

Erreur due au sondage
Les estfations concenues dans le présent rapport sont fondees sur des renseignements obtenus au moyen d'un sondage des ménages. On aurait peut-être obtenu des chiffres quelque peu differents $s i$ on avait fait un recensement integral en se fervant des mêmes questionnaires, enquêteurs, sur veillanta, analyses, etc. qu'on utilise présentement pour ie Relevé de 1s main-d'osuvre. Cette différence s'appelle erreur d'estimation due au sondage. Dans I'arganisation et le fonctionnement du Relevé de la main-d'oeuvre, on s"est efforcé de réduire l'erreur due su sondage uinimum. L'erreur due au sondage (exprimée en pourcentage de l'estimation visée) n'est pas la meme pour touter les estimations; entre deux estimations, is plus Eorte aura vraisemblablement le plus falble pourcentage derreur due au sondage eq, entre deux estimations de la même grosseur, celle qui porte sur une caractéristiqque plus également répartie à travsrs le pays sera suaceptibis d'avoir un pourcentage moins élsvé de variabilite due au sondage. De plus, les estimations concernant l'ảge et le sexe sont habituellement plug sûres que d'autres estimations de meme tallie.

## (b) Exreurs non dues au sondage

Des erreurs, indépendantes du sondage, peuvent se pro duste ô presque toutes les phases d'une enquête. Les enquêteurs peuvent mal comprendre les directives, les répondants peuvent faire erreur en repondant mum questions, les réponses peuvent être mal inscrites dans le questionnalre of des erreurs peuvent se glisser dans le depoullement et le calcul des données. Toutes ces erreurs sont appelées erreurs non dues su sondage. Certaines des erreurs non dues au sondage s'annulent spres un grand nombre d'observations, mass les erreurs qui se produlsent systématiquement engen drent des biais. On peut réduire les erreurs non dues su sondsge par une préparation solgnée des questionnalres, par une instruction approfondie et une burvellance serrée des enquêteurs et par un contrôle â fond du dépouillement. En général, plus les questions prennent un caractere personnel ou subjectif, plus les réponses sont sujettes a erreur. De plus, les données concernant les personnes dont la situation est noins stabls dans la main-d'oeuvre contlendront un nombre relativement plus élevé d'erreurs non dues au ondage.
(c) Indicateurs alphabétiquee de l'écart type

L'erreur due au sondege, telle que décrite t'aliné (a), $n^{\prime}$ est pas connue. Toutefois, une quantité, appelée écart type, peut être entimée des données mêmes du sondage. fíécart type d'une estimation aat une mesure statistique da son erreur due au andsge. Il mesure sussi partifellement l'effet des erreurs nos dueb au sondage, mais 11 ne reflete aucun bials systematique dans les données, Les chancea mont d'environ 68 sur 100 que la difference entre une entimation fandée sur un sondage et le chiffre comparable tiré d'us recensement serait moins que l'écart type. Les chancea sont d'environ 95 sur 100 que la différence solt inférleure au double de l'écart type, et d'environ 99 sur 100, qu'elle soit inferieure à deux fois et demi l'ecart type.
 tage des estimations, surl indiques par des lettres. La bestrs "a" indique que d'écart type est de moins d'un dem: P. 100. La lettrs "b" indique que l'écart type varie entro 0.6 et 1 p .100 de l'estimation et ainal de suite, tel qua l'Indique le lableau ci-apres.

Désignation slphabétique de l'écart type procentuel

| Indicateur alphabétıque | Ecart type procentuel |
| :---: | ---: |
| a | $0.0 \%=0.5 \%$ |
| b | $0.6 \%-1.0 \%$ |
| c | $2.1 \%-2.5 \%$ |
| d | $2.6 \%-5.0 \%$ |
| e | $5.1 \%-10.0 \%$ |
| f | $10.1 \%-15.0 \%$ |
| g | $15.1 \%-$ |

W'écart type réel d'une eatimation varle d'un mois à L'sutre. Vu que lee écarts types des estimations courantes ne sont pas disponibles i la publication du présent rapport, les indicateurs alphabétiques sont fondés sur les écarts typea moyens de l'année précédsnte, Il告 ne devraient donc Atra considérés que corme des indices de l'amplitude des écatte types.

## (d) Varlations mensuellos de lecart type

Le tableau cl-haut peut ausi indiquer, en gros, la 11 mite supérieure de l'écart type de la différence (variation) entre deux estimations concernant deux mois séparés par un an au plus. Er ce qui concerns la plupart des caractérletiques publiées dans is présent rapport, l'écart zype de la différence entre deux eitimations peut être un peu moins considerable que l'ecart sype de la plus fibibe des deux estimations ou de la classe précédente.

Par exeraple, supposon qu'une eatimation hypothétique, en mai et en juin, était de 513,000 et de 625,000 , respec tivement, et que l'écart type procentuel des deux estims tionsétalt indiqué par la lettre "c", c-d-d. entre 1.1 e: 2.5 p. 100; 1a différence $(112,000)$ entre les estimations ife mai et juin aurait donc un écart type moins élevé que $2.5 \%$. 100 de 513,000 , c-k-d. moindre que 12,800 .

## (e) Estiantions courentes des écerts types

Les écsrts types sont calculés mensuellement pour plu bieurs estimations et variations mensuelles. Ces chiffres sont habituellement disponibles quelques ersaines aprea la publication du présent rapport et peuvent être obtenua sur demande. A partir de 1960 , us rapport annuel sera publie sur les écarts types au cours de l'année précédence.

Second Quarter Review of the Labour Force 1969-1970 (continued from page 2)

The percentage of the labour force unemployed was 6.3 in the second quarter of 1970 compared with 4.9 in the corresponding quarter of 1969. For men, the unemployment rate rose from 5.4 to 7.1 and for women, it rose from 3.8 to 4.5. For both men and women, the unemployment rate was higher than a year earlier in all age groups except among older women, whose rate was virtually unchanged. Unemployment rates remained highest among teenagers.

|  | Unempioymmat Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Second Quarter Averages |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Men |  | Women |  |
|  | 1970 | 1969 | 1970 | 1969 | 1970 | 1969 |
| All Ages | 6.3 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| 14-1.9 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 10.5 |
| 20-24 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 11.6 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 3.6 |
| 25-44 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 45 and over | 4.3 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 |

## Unempioymant Rates Second Quarter Averages


[^0]:    (continued on page 8 )

