

# UNIVERSITY STUDENT EXPENDITURE AND INCOME IN CANADA 1956-57 

Reference Paper
378.71

Phe raily motath
Slbe

# UNIVERSITY STUDENT EXPENDITURE AND INCOME IN CANADA <br> 1956-57 

## Reference Paper

Published by Authority of<br>The Honourable Gordon Churchill, Minister of Trade and Commerce

# CURRENT PUBLICATIONS OF THE EDUCATION DIVISION <br> Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Ottawa, Spring 1959 

## GENERAL

Patterns of Schooling in Canada. Reprinted from the annual handbook, Canada 1957. This 16 -page leaflet includes sketches of nine imaginary Canadians representing typical pattems of education. English and French editions
Statistical Review of Canadian Education, Census 1951. Provides tables on, and an analysis of demographic data, data on school enrolment, and data on years of schooling for the population at school and not at school. English edition. Reference Paper 84
A Bibliographical Guide to Canadian Education. Presents a brief account of Canadian education, diagrams of the English-language and French-language systems, and a select bibliography. Bilingual edition

## 1-ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

Survey of Elementary and Secondary Education, 1950-54. Part I of the Biennial Survey of Education. Presents statistics of pupils, teachers and finance for public and private schools. Includes a bibliography of current literature on Canadian education. English and French editions
Salaries and Qualifications of Teachers in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, 1956-57. Classifies teachers in all provinces except Quebec, according to salary level, certificate, and experience, separately for city, town and rural schools. English edition (also 1954-55 at \$1.00)
Statistics of Special Education for Exceptional Children, 1953-54. Presents data on special schools, classes, teachers and pupils for Canada and the provinces. Lists special schools and public schools With special classes, interested voluntary organizations and a bibliography on special education in Canada, Bilingual edition. Reference Paper

## 2-HIGHER EDUCATION

Survey of Higher Education, 1952-54. Part II of the Biennial Survey of Education. Presents statistics on enrolment, graduates, staff and finances of universities and colleges. Includes a bibliography of current literature on Canadian higher education. Bilingual edition
Fall Enrolment in Universities and Colleges, 1958. Presents enrolment fgures collected at opening of year, by faculties, institutions and provinces, with comparative figures for the two preceding years. Bilingual edition

Salaries and Qualifications of Teachers in Universities and Colleges, 1957-58. The second of a series of annual reports showing salaries by rank, field, region, size and control of institution, subject, age, years since award of first degree, and higbest degree. Bilingual edition
Awards for Graduate Study and Research,1959.(Formerly titled Post-graduate Scholarships and Fellowships Open to Canadian Students). List of fellowships, scholarships and other awards offered by Canadian and outside organizations showing values and conditions. English edition. Reference Paper ....

University Entrance Awards, 1958. Formerly titled Undergraduate Scbolarships and Bursaries (Open to Students Entering Canadian Universities). Bilingual edition. Reference Paper 55
Canadian Institutions of Higher Education, 1958-59. Includes names, addressas, courses offered, and general information on entrance requirements and fees. Bilingual edition. Reference Paper
University Student Expenditure and Income in Canada, 1956-57. Presents data on expenditure and income, indicating where students usually get the money to go to college and on what it is spent. Some of the items are given for male and female, year in course and selected areas and faculties. English edition. Reference Paper

## 3-ADULT EDUCATION AND OTHER CULTURAL ACTIVITIES

Survey of Libraries, 1954-56. Part III of the Biennial Survey of Education. Presents statistics on holdings, circulation, staffs and finances, and lists public, university, govemmentand special libraries. Bilingual edition
Free Urban and Regional Public Libraries, 1954. Presents statistics on holdings, circulation, staffs and finances, and lists free public libraries in urban centres of over 10,000 population and regional libraries. Bilingual edition
Museums and Art Galleries, 1951-52. Presents statistics on staff, attendance, accommodation and extension activities. Includes a list of institutions. Bilingual. Reference Paper 57

> Orders should be sent to the Dominion Bureau of Statistics, or to the Department of Public Printing and Stationery, Ottawa with remittance payable to the Receiver General of Canada

Persons wishing notice of future publications are invited to write to the Education Division, D.B.S., indicating in whicb of the three types (Nos. 1, 2, 3 above) they are interested.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
Chapter I. The Study in Brief ..... 6
Scope of the study ..... 6
Highlights of the Survey ..... 7
Student Expenditure ..... 7
Student Income ..... 8
Trends in Cost of Attending College ..... 8
Chapter II. The Students, Their Background and Characteristics ..... 10
Faculties Represented ..... 10
Year in Course ..... 10
Age ..... 12
Sex and Marital Status ..... 13
Parental Family - Size and Income ..... 15
Occupation of Chief Wage-eamer ..... 19
Place of Home Residence ..... 20
Residence at College ..... 21
Meals ..... 22
Summer Jobs ..... 24
Summer Job Salary or Wages ..... 26
Part-time Jobs ..... 28
Married Students with Spouse Working ..... 30
Postponement, Withdrawal and Part-time Attendance ..... 30
Ownership and Use of an Automobile ..... 31
Chapter III. University Student Expenditures, 1956-57 ..... 33
Student Expenditure and Family Income ..... 38
Educational and Living Costs ..... 39
Major Items of Expenditure ..... 39
Student Expenditure and City Family Expenditure ..... 41
Expenditure of Arts and Science Students ..... 42
Fees, Books and Supplies ..... 44
Room and Board ..... 45
Fratemity or Sorority Dues ..... 45
Snacks, Recreation and Entertainment ..... 45
Health ..... 46
Grooming, Haircuts, Permanents, Cosmetics, etc. ..... 46
Clothing ..... 46
Laundry and Dry Cleaning ..... 46
Transportation ..... 47
Church and Charitable Donations ..... 48
Other Current Expenditures ..... 48
Capital Costs ..... 48
Total Expenditure ..... 50

## TABLE OF CONTENTS - Concluded

Page
Chapter IV. Sources and Amount of University Student Income, 1956-57 ..... 51
Scholarships ..... 58
Scholarships and Age ..... 58
Scholarships and Year in Course ..... 58
Regional Differences in Scholarships ..... 60
Scholarships and Expenditure ..... 60
Scholarships and Funds from Family ..... 61
Scholarships and Family Income ..... 61
Bursaries ..... 62
Bursaries and Year in Course and Age ..... 63
Regional Differences in Bursaries ..... 64
Bursaries, Funds from Family and Total Expenditure ..... 64
Bursaries and Family Income ..... 65
Contributions from National Defence, Department of Veterans Affairs, R.O.T.P., etc. ..... 65
Leave of Absence with Pay (or Part Pay) ..... 66
Other Grants in Aid ..... 66
Funds from Family and Gifts from Relatives and Friends ..... 67
Savings from Summer Jobs ..... 69
Proceeds from Part-time Jobs ..... 69
Sums from Accumulated Funds ..... 70
Loans ..... 71
Debts ..... 72
Other Sources of Income ..... 73
Self supporting students ..... 74
Summary ..... 74
Chapter V. Special Groups and Conclusions ..... 75
Graduates ..... 75
Family Income and Father's Occupation ..... 75
Expenditure ..... 76
Income ..... 77
Students from Other Countries ..... 77
Summer Jobs and Salary ..... 79
Expenditure ..... 80
Classical Colleges ..... 82
Junior Colleges ..... 82
Expenditure and Income ..... 83
Agriculture ..... 84
Expenditure and Income ..... 85
Conclusions ..... 86
Appendix A. Students with Summer and Part-time Jobs, by Faculty ..... 87
Appendix B. Sampling Procedures Used ..... 90
Appendix C. Statistical Analysis of Certain Data ..... 92
Appendix D. Schedule Used ..... 93

## INTRODUCTION

This survey of college and university student's income and expenditure covers the academic year 1956-57. It was designed to collect certain socioeconomic information on college and university students. It provides data on income and expenditures indicating where students usually get their money to go to college and on what it is spent. For some of the items data are given for male and female, year in course, college and university according to area and for selected faculties.

The main limitations to the data are that they refer to a specific year, whereas those items which make up students' income and expenditure are seldom static, but each move slowly or rapidly up and down from year to year. Since the data were collected on a sample basis, those for married students and students from other countries are the least firm and need to be covered with a complete survey.

A summary of the main statistical data found is given at the end of Chapter I. It was found that there were generally fairly wide differences in income and expenditures for regions, faculties, male and female, and for year in course as well as a wide range for each institution.

Single students at home spent $\$ 936$, on the average, for the college year; or 50 p.c. of them spent between $\$ 700$ and $\$ 1,218$. The average amount spent by those away from home was $\$ 1,293$ and half of them spent between $\$ 1,077$ and $\$ 1,690,25$ p.c. more and 25 p,c. less.

If we consider as self-supporting all students who reported receiving less than $\$ 100$ from relatives and friends or loans, then 32 p.c. of Graduates, 18
p.c. of Engineers, 15 p.c. in Arts and Science, 12 p.c. in Education, 9 p.c. in Law and 4 p.c. in Medicine earned as they went.

From summer savings and part-time Jobs students eamed two-fifths of the total expenditure. Pay for the central 50 p.c. of those working ranged from $\$ 146$ to $\$ 273$ a month and a verage summer savings were $\$ 449$.

To help finance their year 59 p.c. received cash donations from their parents averaging $\$ 552$. Many of these were among the 31 p.c. who received free room and board at home. In addition, 17 p.c. borrowed money from members of their family.

Student aid of some sort was received by 32 p.c. of the students. If we consider $\$ 325$ as average for fees then $54 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}$. of those receiving aid did not recelve enough to pay such fees; or only $15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the students received sufficient money from scholar= ships and bursaries for fees. Receipts from scholarships and bursaries accounted for $64 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the total expended.

This study was made possible because of the co-operation of universities and colleges across Canada and much of the success in the undertaking is due to the efforts of those in charge of counselling, registrars, professors and all others who took charge of the survey on the campus, distributing and collecting the forms, and encouraging the 10,000 students, without whose efforts nothing could have been accomplished.

The survey was conducted from the Education Division under the direction of Dr. F.E. Whitworth assisted by Dr. Sumitra Bhargava, Mr. R. Mitchener and Miss. Marian Helman.

WALTER E. DUFFETT,<br>Dominion Statisticion.

Dominion Bureau of Statistics
December, 1958.

## CHAPTER 1

## The Study in Brief

This statistical report on college and uni versity students adds something to our knowledge of where the students come from, where they get their income and how they spend it. It is one of many which need to be undertaken if we are to be in a position to assess many of the current problems conceming the selection of students, providing scholarships, bursaries and loan funds, etc. It should be of interest to parents, professors, administrators, and governments alike.

Concem in Canada's colleges and universities is perhaps greater now than at any previous time in our history. This interest is in both quantity and quality. It is shared by laymen and those who make education a profession. It affects administrators, members of parliament, professors, parents and students. The quantitative aspects relate to estimates showing greatly increased enrolments for years to come, the need for greatly increased numbers of instructors, and buildings and a corresponding increase in revenue. The qualitative aspects are concerned with entrance requirements and standards, failures and drop-outs, selection of courses, the calibre of university staffs, availability of graduate courses, and related problems. All of these are tied in with discussions of scientific advance, automation, cultural developments and national survival.

There is also considerable interest in seeing that all youth with the ability to profit from attendance at college have the opportunity to attend, although there is not too much agreement on how such youth should be selected, and how many scholarships, bursaries and loans should be provided. The present statistical report is one of many required to help clarify the situation and should provide some needed information on those who go to college and what happens to them there.

The last decade and a half has witnessed a major economic trend upward throughout Canada paralleling a snowball increase in population, rapid industrialization and urbanization. Elementary and secondary schools have been affected for some years and now the Canadian universities are feeling the first shocks. Enrolment has already filled some to overflowing and the next decades will see the main surge of entrants from children of earlier marriages and larger families which began in the forties, increased costs and probably increased fees. The present report deals only with the cost of a year at college, a phase of university information which has been somewhat neglected. Requests for data on costs, which have been multiplying in number for some years, could not be met. A survey was conducted by N.F.C.U.S. and tabulated and published by the D.B.S., in 1949, entitled "Students Cost of a Year at Canadian Universities". This publication, now out of date and out of print, has only comparative value.

With support from the National Conference of Canadian Universities, the University Counselling and Placement Assoctation, the Canadian Association of University Teachers and the National Federation of Canadian University Students, this survey of university students' income and expenditures was undertaken for the year 1956-57 by the Education Division, D.B.S. A pilot study had been carried out with the co-operation of Carleton University, Ottawa, the previous year which provided data of value in designing the final questionnaire and the sample design for the study.

## Scope of the Study

In addition to seeking information on the income and expenditure of the students, questions were asked relating to their background, since it was considered that such factors as family income, place of residence and such would have a bearing on the way students budget their money. Among the questions on which it was hoped some light would be thrown were the following: Were there more students going to college from "college towns" than from other towns and cities? From what walks of life did most students come? Were there socioeconomic differences between boys and girls going to college, and between students of different faculties? What per cent of the students were selfsupporting? Where do the students live and where do they have their meals? How many have the use of an automobile? How many had brothers and sisters and were these below, at, or above college age? How many had found it necessary to interrupt their college course for economic reasons? How many were married and if married did their spouse work? Where did their money come from and what part of it went for fees, room and board, clothing, etc?

There were many other interesting questions which were left unasked since they might have made the questionnaire form too long and probably would have cut down the response.

Usable returns were received from 9,922 stur dents which represents more than $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of all fulltime students. The tables in the report are based on these, and represent returns from 25 universities or colleges, 6 classical and 2 junior colleges, and with representation from all of the ten provinces of Canada. The sample included undergraduates and graduates; the undergraduates coming from several selected faculties: Arts and Science, Law, Medicine, Engineering and Education and a sample from the Classical Colleges. About half of the students were from the faculty of Arts and Science, in part, because this faculty is by far the largest in Canada and, in part, because it was decided that any differences between male and female, year in course, and such would show up here better than elsewhere.

## Highlights of the Survey

A wide variation was found for both the income and the expenditure of the students. If total ex;enditure is divided into education costs and living sosts, the education costs, which were fairly constant for faculty and region, varied far less than living costs which showed about as much variation is far people at large.

Returns were received from male and female soilage students in about the ratio of 76 to 23 which is about the same as for students in Canadian universities. One-third were in the first year of sourse, and there were more students of age 19 than any other, although the median age was 20. About 7.5 p.c. were below 18 and 3.4 P.c. were 30 and over. Slightly more than half of the students came from cities of 30,000 and over although only about 31.4 p.c. of the population lives in such cities; and 3.2 p.c. came from farms whereas 17.1 p, co of the Gamadian population dwells on farms.

About 31 p.c. Hved at home and annther 26.5 p.c. lived in college-operated dormitor:n. More than she-half had 20 or more meals per week at their residence and nearly 80 p.c. had 13 or more meals there.
shout 12 p.c. owned automobiles and another 18 ;ic. hat the use of an automobile for from 1 to 7 dinye to week.

Ot the stitents, 2t a.c. hate brothers and sisters who had attended college before the time of the survey and $20 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}$. had brothers and sisters attending college in 1956-57. About 38 p.c, had older brothers and sisters who had never been to college and 49 p.c. had younger brothers and sisters not yet of college age.

More than half came from families whose income Has below $\$ 5,000$ while $15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. came from families whase income was above $\$ 10,000$. Half the male students reported family income between $\$ 3,190$ and $\$ 6.921$ and female students between $\$ 3.865$ and \$4.468.

There was considerable variation in average expunditure from one institution to another, the range for those staying at home was from $\$ 511$ to $\$ 1,075$ and for those away from home from $\$ 820$ to $\$ 1,619$.

About 44 p.c. of all students came from families where the head was of the proprietor and managerial or professional groups. One-tenth came from farms. Nearly one in nine of those reporting were married and 63.4 p.c. of these reported that their spouse worked,

Of those responding, 15 p.c. had delayed entrance to college, 6 p.c. had withdrawn at some time to earn money, and 3 p.c. had found it necessary to attend only part-time because of lack of funcs.

To help pay their way through college, 87 p.c. worked during the summer at an average wage of $\$ 216$ a month; and 27 D.c. had part-time jobs during the regular session, which required about 7 hours per week of their time on the average.

## Student Expenditure

1. There were significant differences between the total amount spent by those living at home and those living away from home. Few of those who lived at home contributed towards room and board, and they spent very little on such items as health, laundry, and transportation. The average student at home spent $\$ 993$, compared with $\$ 1,326$ spent by those away from home. However, this does not mean that it did not cost the parents money to support the student at home. The median expenditure for all students was $\$ 1,215$.
2. As might be expected, married students, on the average, spent more than single students. For the undergraduates the median of total expenditure for single students was $\$ 1,191$ and for married students, $\$ 2,134$. For the graduates, medians were $\$ 1,381$ and $\$ 2,295$, respectively.
3. For all students, the living costs were twice the educational costs, but for the graduates, living costs represented 81.5 p.c. of the outlay.
4. The average woman spent less than the wrage mar. The median amounts spent by male and female students in Arts and Science were $\$ 1,149$ and $\$ 1.072$, respectively, but in the classical colleges, males and females spent $\$ 870$ and $\$ 1,028$, respectively, on the average.
5. Women spent less on all items except clothing and grooming than did the men.
6. The students in the central region reported the highest expenditure, whether living at home or not. Those in the western region reported higher total expenditure than those in the eastern region when living at home and lower when living away from home.
7. Average expenditure increased for each advance of a year in course; the difference between the first and the last year was $\$ 210$.
8. The medians for faculties ranged from $\$ 949$ in education to $\$ 1,712$ in medicine with the graduates spending $\$ 1,649$ on the average.
9. Several items were mentioned under the heading of capital costs (items which had use for more than the current year) such as professional equipment in medicine or engineering, musical instruments, cameras, hi-fi sets and so on. Only about one-third of the students reported spending money on these. The median was $\$ 77$. This accountert for 5 p.c. of the totill aspenditure.

## Student Income

1. Students' eamings provided about two-fifths of their college income. Family and friends contributed an additional quarter of the total. The rest of the money came from loans, previous savings, scholarships, and such.
2. To help pay their way through college, 87.4 p.c. of the students worked during the summer; 50 p.c. earned from $\$ 146$ to $\$ 273$ a month, 25 p.c. less than $\$ 146$, and 25 p.c. more than $\$ 273$ a month. About 26.7 p.c. had part-time jobs during the regular session, which required about 7 hours per week of their time, on the average. Summer savings averaged $\$ 507$. Of the faculties, the students in medicine and law. and the graduates, eamed and saved more than the others.
3. To help finance their year, 59 p.c. received cash donations from their parents, averaging $\$ 552$ : 29 p.c. paid no room rent and 21 p.c. no board. Many who lived at home also received laundry and such benefits as come from being a resident member of a family.
4. Scholarships and bursaries accounted for 6.4 p.c. of the money provided. The median scholarship and bursary awards were $\$ 317$ and $\$ 227$, respectively. There were 29.4 p.c. of graduates who recelved scholarships with a median of $\$ 995+$, and 12.1 p.c. of them received bursaries with a median of $\$ 344$. More undergraduates in education, than elsewhere, received scholarships and bursaries, but on the average these were not large.
5. Female students earned less from summer jobs than male students and received more from their families and relatives.
6. Although scholarship awards were lower for women, a significantly larger percentage of women than men in arts and science received them.
7. Amounts received from National Defence, Reserve Officers' Training Plan and the Department of Veterans' Affairs were in many cases substantial. The first two together averaged $\$ 272$ and the last $\$ 577$.
8. About one-tenth of the total income came from loans. A smaller percentage of women than men borrowed money, but the median amount borrowed was higher.
9. About one-quarter of the students used some or all of their personal savings, accumulated before the summer of 1956 ; and 6.6 p.c. used amounts from money investments, trust funds, endowments, insurance policies, etc. The income from these sources amounted to 8 p.c. of the total.
10. About 4.2 p.c. of total income came from other sources and this in many cases included the earnings of spouses of the married students.
11. About 9 p.c. of students reported debts outstanding at the end of the session. The median debt was $\$ 400$.
12. The percentage of students who were able to provide for themselves through scholarships, bursaries, and earnings from summer and part-time jobs varied from faculty to faculty. If we consider as independent, all who received less than $\$ 100$ from their families and friends and from loans, 32 p.c. of the graduates, $15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. from arts and science, 12 p.c. from education, 9 p.c. in law and 4 p.c. in medicine, paid their own way.

## Trends in Costs of Attending College

In any study of college and university income and expenditure there are many factors which rise and fall independently of one another, sometimes slowly, sometimes fluctuating rapidly from year to year. As a result, a figure that is accurate for any one year is probably too high or too low for all previous and succeeding years. However, over the past fifty years the general trend has been upward, while fluctuations up and down have reflected the lean thirties, war and post-war years and such. The figures given in this report are for the academic year 1956-57. Most of them are too low for the current year, a few are probably too high.

Since this survey was conducted tuition and other fees have been increased in most institutions but the rate of increase has varied widely from institution to institution and from faculty to faculty. A rough estimate might be 10 D.c. per year for all categories, with a range of from no increase to as much as 50 p.c. During the past two years the consumer price index has increased about 5 points. Both of these will affect average student costs to the extent that the average expenditure quoted is $\$ 100$ or more too low.

A somewhat similar survey conducted in the U.S.A. in 1952-53 reported $\$ 1,293$ for capital and current expenditures by à college student and estimated that the amount would be $\$ 200$ higher by 1957 or $\$ 1,493$ ( $\$ 2,047$ in private colleges). The present survey indicated that the students themselves provided a little less than half of the cost of their years at college from their summer savings, part-time earnings and previously accumulated savings. The family provided about one-third of the total expended. The remainder came from scholarships, bursaries, other grants, loans, etc.

With a large increase in university enrolment, there is some question as to whether there will be adequate part-time and summer employment. Both of these respond rather rapidly to upward or downward trends in the general prosperity of the country. To judge by income reported, many families could not add to the amount of support they are presently providing unless their income was increased. There is also some relationship between general prosperity and the number of scholarships made avail-
able from corporation profits. Scholarships and bursarles presently provide about one-sixteenth of the total, and comparatively few benefit from them. The trend towards an increase in both number and amount is praiseworthy, but may have to be stimulated to keep pace with an increase in university population and rising costs. Figures for student expenditure include fees, but fees represent only a small part of the cost of educating a student at college for one year. Fees will rise or fall according to the percentage of the cost of operating the university, that it is determined the fees should take care
of: and this can be affected by numbers attending, present fees charged, changes in policy, etc., considerations outside this report.

Age of marriage and the number of married students in attendance is a factor which is unpredictable at present. It ralses problems related to residences, meals, income tax, scholarships and bursaries, etc. The number of students entering Canadian universities from abroad is another factor which will affect costs, facilities needed, number of professors needed, graduate work, etc.

## CHAPTER 2

## The Students, Their Background and Characteristics

The present survey is based on a sample of 9,922 students from selected universities and colleges in Canada. Among the respondents were graduates, undergraduates and students from junior and- classical colleges. An overall picture of all these students is given in the following pages, with more detailed information for certain groups since it is of interest to know something about the students in this study, who they are, where they come from, where they live and eat, and other such information. Composition and adequacy of the sample is discussed in Appendix B.

## Faculties Remresented

In designing the study, it was decided to include representative faculties, and to supplement these with a sample from junior colleges, the last four years at classical colleges, and graduate students irrespective of the faculty in which they were studying, students from the faculties of Arts and Science form the bulk, with almost half the students
in the study belonging to this faculty. The other faculties represented were Engineering, Medicine, Law and Education. The faculty of Agriculture was represented by only one university, on request of the university, and has been treated in a separate section in Chapter V.

The word "faculty" in this report is used rather loosely. Graduates have not been separated into faculties and are treated as one group, as have the classical college students. In the discussion and in the tables, both groups have been included under the word "faculty".

For certain of the items data for the universities and colleges are shown for regions. The eastern region includes colleges from the Atlantic Provinces; the central region includes those of Quebec and Ontario; and the western includes those of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia. Data are not shown for individual institutions.

TABLE 1. Per Cent of Student Sample in Each Faculty, by Region


## Year in Course

There is always some question as to just how one can determine year in course for university students in Canada. Entrance to college varies somewhat from province to province and university to university. In Manitoba and British Columbia in contrast with Alberta and Saskatchewan, for example, students may either enter first year university after high school completion or take the last year offered in high school and enter the university in the second year. In Quebec the majority of students in the French-speaking schools enter the classical colleges after seven years of elementary school, for eight years, the last four leading to the baccalaureate. Then there are funior colleges which usually cover
the last high school year and one or two years of college work. Otherwise the undergraduate courses normally vary from four to seven years depending on university, course and faculty. The graduates may spread their work over a number of years depending on their convenience and on university regulations.

In this study, almost one-third of the total number of undergraduates were in their first year. The numbers decreased for each succeeding year with the number of students in the fourth year being half the number of the first year, in part reflecting failures and drop-outs. Table 2 gives the percentage of undergraduate students in junior colleges, classical colleges and universities by year in course.

## COMPOSITION OF THE STUDENTS IN THE SAMPLE BY FACULTY



CHART - 2


TABLE 2. Per Cent of Undergraduates in the Sample, by Year in Course


CHART - 3


Age
The average arts and science student usually spends from three to live years at university and students from other faculties take up to seven years in their courses, some taken after completion of a bachelor's degree. The age range of the sample should reflect this; and since age of entrance varies and some students have to miss years, provision was made for tabulating students from below 18 to over 30.

The median age of the students was 20 although more were 19 than any other age. As was to be expected, percentage of students in the various age groups differed from faculty to faculty. Among arts and science students, almost two-thirds were 20 or under. In engineering there were more at age 21
than in any other year and 62 p.c. were 21 or under. In medicine the largest number were from 25 to 29 and 59 p.c. were 23 years and over. In law 59 p.c. were 24 years and over, and no student was below 18.

Classical college students formed the youngest group, with a little over one-third below age 18. Actually about half of the students from the classical colleges were 18 years old or less and only 1 p.c. of them were 23 or over.

The graduates, who had already spent three or four years in college, were somewhat older. The youngest of them was 19. About one-third were in the 25 to 29 group and one-fifth were 30 or over. Table 3 shows a distribution percentage in age groups for the selected faculties.

TABLE 3. Percentage Distribution of Students in Each Faculty by Age with Median Age by Faculty

| Age Groups | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arts } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Science } \end{gathered}$ | Engneering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | All Undergraduates | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 18 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | - | 12.6 | 36.2 | 8.0 |  | 7.5 |
|  | 17.2 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 18.7 | 24.2 | 14.1 | - | 13.2 |
| 19 | 21.2 | 16. 4 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 18.7 | 17.6 | 16. 9 | 0.2 | 15.8 |
| 20 | 19.0 | 15.7 | 6. 6 | 2.1 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 1.6 | 14.2 |
| 21 | 13.7 | 17.4 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 13.2 | 6. 9 | 12. |
| 22 | 8.2 | 15.8 | 15. 9 | 11.9 | 6. 3 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 10.1 |
| 23 | 4. 6 | 7.3 | 16.2 | 17.9 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 6. 8 | 11.8 | 7. 1 |
| 24 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 3.6 | - | 5.3 | 11.5 | 5.7 |
| 25-29 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 22.6 | 37.2 | 6. 3 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 35. 7 | 10.2 |
| 30 and up | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | - | 2.2 | 20.7 | 3.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age ....... | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 26 | 20 |

CHART-4
PER CENT OF MALE AND FEMALE STUDENTS IN THE SAMPLE

EACH SYM 8 OL REPRESENTS 10 PER CENT

## Sex and Marital Status

There were 7,557 men and 2,365 women in the survey, a ratio of almost three to one, which is about what one would expect to find in universities across the country. The proportion varied from faculty to faculty. Among the classical colleges, the ratio was about three to two which was the highest proportion of women in any group. On the other hand few women enter law, medicine and engineering. in
fact the number is so small that calculations comparing male and female for the most part were made only for those in arts and sctence. Distribution annong graduate students was aboit the same as for the undergraduates.

About one out of every ten students in the survey was married. It was found that the percentage of students who were married increased with age as might be expected. Just as age distribution varied
from faculty to faculty so did number married. Medicine had the highest percentage of married students, law came next and was followed by education, engineering, and arts and science. There were no married students in the group representing classical colleges.

A number of students, most of whom were married, reported dependents. More than half of those reporting dependents had only one person dependent on them but 1.7 p.c. reported five or more. The greatest percentage with dependents was found among the graduate students.


TABLE 4. Per Cent of Students with Dependents, by Faculty

| Faculty | Per cent with dependents | Per cent by number of dependents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 or more |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| Arts and Science | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Engineering | 6. 4 | 3. 5 | 2. 0 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Medicine | 18.3 | 11.3 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Law ........ | 21. 2 | 14.0 | 6. 1 | 0. 9 | 0.2 | - 0 |
| Education .. | 7.3 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Classical Colleges | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 2.8 |  |
| Graduates .............. | 39.0 | 18.7 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 0.8 |
| Total | 8.8 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 0. 9 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

## TABLE 5. College Attendance or Non-Attendance of Brothers and sisters of students in sample

| Na. of brothers or stisters | Attended college in 1956-57 | Attended college previously | No. of brothers or sisters | Never attended college | Below college age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | per cent |  |  | per cent |  |
| Nane | 79.6 | 71.3 | None | 62.3 | 51.5 |
| 1 | 16.9 | 18.5 |  | 18.6 | 22.9 |
| $?$ | 2. 7 | 5.9 | 2-4 | 14.7 | 21.8 |
| 3 or more | 0.8 | 4.3 | 5 or more | 4.4 | 3.8 |

## Parental Family - Size and Income

Table 5 shows that one-fifth of the students had brothers or sisters also attending college, while slightly more than a quaster had brothers or sisters who had attended college previously. This meant that one-sixth of the families had two offspring at college at the time of the survey and about one per sent had three or more children enrolled; and 4.3 p.c. had several children who had attended college prevously. It Indicated that 5 p.c. of the students came from families with four or more children.

Altogether, 37.7 p.c. of the students had brothers or sisters who had never attended college but were at of above college age. About half of them had no brothers or sisters below college age. Many of these would be the youngest in the family. This is of interest when considering the amount of family support for college education.

Family income is an important factor in this discussion since it is closely related to the amount of financial assistance a student can get from his family. One-quarter of the total money spent by all the students came from the family in the form of cash donations. Table 6 shows that there are marked differences in the percentages in selected family income groups for the student as for the nation.

About 51.3 p.c. of the students reported parental family income below $\$ 5,000$ whereas 69.6 p.c. of all Canadian families received $\$ 5,000$ or less. For higher income brackets the percentage of students reporting was considerably above that for families at large. For those with income of $\$ 10,000$ and over students reported $15.2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of their families compared with 3.3 p.c. for Canadian families.

## TABLE 6. Percentage Distribution of student Families and All Canadian Families, by Family Income Groups

|  | Student families | All Canadian familles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undut \$2.000) | 7. 4 | 14. 0 |
| \$2,000-\$2.999 | 11.6 | 17.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 17.5 | 22. 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 14.8 | 15.7 |
| \$5,000-\$6,999 | 21.3 | 18.7 |
| \$7,000-\$9,999 | 12.2 | 8.4 |
| \$10.000 and over | 15.2 | 3.3 |
| Total | 109. 0 | 100.0 |
| 35 th percentile | 3,338 | 2,684 |
| soth percentile | 4,908 | 3,829 |
| FSta percentile | 7.467 | 5,577 |

[^0]From Table 7 it appears that single undergraduate students staying at home came from families whose income, on the average, is $\$ 535$ nigher than the families of those who did not live at home while attending university. Of those at home the central $50 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. reported family incomes of from $\$ 3,642$ to $\$ 8,000$, and those away from home between $\$ 3,190$ and $\$ 6,962$. This would indicate that family income is only one of the factors which determines whether or not youth go to college. If it is kept in mind that most universities are located in cities where a higher percentage of people have relatively higher incomes than elsewhere, it will, in part, account for differences in income reported.

Table 8 gives similar information for male and female arts and science students. Income of families of female students was on the average $\$ 829$ higher than for male students for those at nome and $\$ 1,264$ for those away from home. The lowest family income was reported for male students, not staying at home; 25 p.c. of them reported family incomes of $\$ 3,120$ or less and the highest 25 p.c. reported were
$\$ 6,554$ and up with 10.4 p.c., $\$ 10,000$ and over. Next came the male students who lived at nome while attending college. There was little difference between the female students at home and not at home, both of which reported higher family incomes, on the average, than the men. It is interesting to note that for girls the highest percentage in each case was found in the $\$ 10,000$ and over group where almost 22 p.c. were found. While 10.4 p.c. of the men away from home and 14.8 p.c. of those at home reported family incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and up, larger percentages reported incomes in all categories from $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 6,000$.

Table 9 throws further light on family income by age groups. On the average all of the female groups, with the exception of those 22 to 24 living at home, reported higher family incomes than the male groups. But no significant positive or negative relationship is discernible between increase in age and family income, although the medians were somewhat smaller for females of the higher age groups.

## TABLE 7. Per Cent of Single Undergraduate Students, by Family Income Groups, At Home and Not at Home (Excluding Classical Colleges)

| Family income groups | Place of residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At home | Not at home |
| Under \$2,000 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 ........................................................................................ | 8.4 | 12.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 .................................................................................................. | 17.3 | 17. 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 15.4 | 14.2 |
| \$5,000-\$5,999 | 13.6 | 12.0 |
| \$6,000-\$6,999 ............................................................................................ | 8.5 | 8.0 |
| \$7,000-\$7,999 | 5.5 | 4.2 |
| \$8,000-\$8,999 | 4. 9 | 3.7 |
| \$9,000-\$9,999 | 3.2 | 3. 0 |
| \$10,000 and over | 16.6 | 12.9 |
| Not reported | 1.5 | 3.6 |
| Total | 100. 0 | 100.0 |
| 25th percentile ....................................................................................... $\$$ | 3,642 | 3,190 |
| 50th percentile ...................................................................................................... | 5,221 | 4,686 |
| 75th percentile ..................................................................................................... | 8,000 | 6,962 |



TABLE 8. Percentage Distribution of Single, Male and Female Students of Arts and Science, at Home and Not at Home, by Family Incomes

| Family income groups | Place of residence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At home |  | Not at home |  |
|  | M | F | M | F |
| Under \$2,000 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 7.9 | 5.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2.999 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 13.8 | 9.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 17.8 | 15.6 | 19.5 | 11.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 17.3 | 12.5 | 14.7 | 13.3 |
| \$5,000-\$5,999 | 14.9 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 12.7 |
| \$6,000-\$6,999 | 8.0 | 10.6 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| \$7,000-\$7,999 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 |
| \$8,000-\$8.999 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 4.8 |
| \$9,000-\$9,999 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| \$10,000 and over | 14.8 | 21.8 | 10.4 | 21.7 |
| Not reported | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25th percentile ..................................................... \$ | 3.629 | 3,961 | 3,120 | 3, 865 |
| 50th percentile ..................................................... \$ | 5. 053 | 5, 882 | 4,468 | 5, 732 |
| 75th percentlle ..................................................... \$ | 7,461 | 9,184 | 6,554 | 9, 468 |

TABLE 9. Family Income for Arts and Science Students, Single, Male and Female, at Home and Not at Home for Ages 18 to 29

|  | Percentile | Age groups |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 18 | 18-21 | 22-24 | 25-29 |
| At home, single, male |  | dollars |  |  |  |
|  | 25th | 3,500 | 3,741 | 3,212 | 3, 666 |
|  | 50th | 4,909 | 5,157 | 4,630 | 5, 000 |
| At home, single, female | 75 th | 6, 714 | 7,670 | 7,000 | 7,000 |
|  | 25th | 4,307 | 4, 004 | 3.250 | 1 |
|  | 50th | 6, 250 | 5,908 | 4,600 | 1 |
| Not at home, single, male . | 75th | 10, 000+ | 9, 044 | 6,500 | 1 |
|  | 25th | 3, 088 | 3,242 | 2,954 | 2,366 |
|  | 50th | 4,411 | 4,671 | 4,116 | 3,667 |
| Not at home, single, female | 75th | 6, 583 | 6,804 | 6,077 | 5, 071 |
|  | 25th | 4, 203 | 3,967 | 3,229 | 3,374 |
|  | 50th | 5.950 | 5,850 | 4,938 | 4. 750 |
|  | 75th | 9,392 | 9,741 | 8,416 | 5,750 |

[^1]Median fainily income for the faculties ranged from $\$ 3,537$ in Education, to $\$ 4,500$ for Graduates, $\$ 4.636$ in Engineering, $\$ 4.994$ in Arts and Science, $\$ 5,500$ for Classical Colleges, $\$ 5,663$ in Medicine and $\$ 6,293$ in Law. Considered by region, the central colleges reported the highest medians for family income in all faculties, the eastern colleges
came next in order and the western last, with the exception of Education in the eastern region. However, since most students of Education in the eastem region received fairly large grants of money to help pay their way through college, comparatively poorer families couldafford to send their children to college.

TABLE 10. Median Family Income and Percentage Distribution of Students,
by Family Income Groups in Each Faculty

| Fraculty | Median | Family income groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -\$3,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000- \\ & \$ 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000- \\ & \$ 6,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,000- \\ & \$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 10.000$ and over | Total |
|  | \$ |  |  | pe | cent |  |  |
| Arts and Sclence .............................................. | 4,994 | 17.2 | 32.9 | 22.2 | 12.4 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
| Engineering ..................................................... | 4.636 | 20.5 | 35.1 | 24.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 5,663 | 14.7 | 27.9 | 20.1 | 15.6 | 21.7 | 100.0 |
| Law ................................................................. | 6, 293 | 12.9 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 16.3 | 27.8 | 100.0 |
| Education ........................................................... | 3,537 | 36.5 | 40.9 | 13.0 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Classical Colleges ......................................... | 5,500 | 16. 7 | 26.7 | 20.7 | 13.6 | 22.3 | 100.0 |
| Graduates ................................................................. | 4,500 | 25.5 | 29.3 | 19.8 | 10.8 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
| Total ....................................s.e....................... | 4.908 | 19.0 | 32.2 | 21.4 | 12. 1 | 15. 3 | 100. 0 |

## Occupation of Chief Wage-earner

The married students were not asked to complete the question on fathers occupation, however some of them did. Altogether about 94 p.c. of the students provided such information. Of these 4.3 p.c. reported their father deceased, 3 p.c. were on pension and a few were permanently disabled, ill or out of work. It was decided to classify father's occupation under: proprietors and managers; professionals; clerical and sales occupations; skilled and semi-skilled; agriculture and labour.

The largest number fell into the proprietors and managerial group, closely followed by professional and skilled and semi-skilled. The other groups had less than half as many, with labour represented only by 5.1 p.c. Table 11 gives the percentages repre-
sented by these groups and provides a comparison with percentage in these groups for the nation at large, for example the professional group comprises 7 p.c. for the population at work but their offspring represent 25 p.c. of all attending college.

Interesting relationships between parents' occupation and course followed at college were noticed. In engineering about $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the students reported parents' occupation as engineers, draftsmen, train engineers, etc. In medicine, about 16 p.c. reported parents* occupation as physician, surgeon, dentist, nurse, veterinarian, osteopath and such. And 15.5 p.c. of law students had parents who practiced law. Table 12 shows the percentage in each faculty whose parents fell into the occupation groups selected or were unemployed, etc.

TABLE 11. Per Cent of Parents of Students and Total Labour Force, by Occupational Groups

| Occupational groups | Students' parents | Totr 1 <br> labour force |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proprietors and managers | 25.7 | 8. 3 |
| Professionals | 24.9 | 7.1 |
| Clerical and sales | 12.3 | 16.5 |
| Skilled and semi-skilled | 21.1 | 30.6 |
| Agriculture | 10.9 | 15.7 |
| Labour | 5,1 | 20. 5 |
| Not stated | - | 1.3 |
| Total | 100. 0 | 100. 0 |

# TABLE 12. Per Cent of Parents of Students of Each Faculty by Occupational Groups and Other Categories for Those Not Employed 

|  | Arts and science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proprietors and managers | 23.2 | 19.4 | 24.6 | 29.5 | 12.3 | 29.5 | 16.2 | 22.2 |
| Professionals | 21.8 | 17.1 | 29.1 | 25.3 | 13.0 | 20.4 | 27.8 | 21.6 |
| Clerical and sales | 12.0 | 12.6 | 7.8 | 4. 2 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 10.7 |
| Skilled | 8.3 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 8.4 |
| Semi-skilled | 10.3 | 13.4 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 9.9 |
| Agriculture | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 14.2 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 9.5 |
| Labour | 3.8 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 14.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 4. 4 |
| Pensioners | 2.8 | 3. 8 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 2. 6 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| $\underline{1}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 0.1 |
| Unemployed | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 |
| Deceased | 3. 2 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 4.3 |
| Not reported | 5.4 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 9.8 | 6. 9 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 5.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 |

## Place of Home Residence

About half of the students came from cities of 30,000 and over; just under one-sixth from cities and towns of 5,000 to 29,999; one-eighth from towns and villages of $1,000-4,999$; about one out of 16 from centres of 250-999; one out of 30 from hamlets, etc. under 250 and one-tenth from farms.

Two-thirds of the graduates came from cities of 30,000 and over, and one-tenth from farms. Students in education and classical colleges were more evenly distributed among centres from 1,000 up. Dis-
tribution in the other faculties was similar to that for the total.

Families of one-quarter of the students lived within five miles of the campus, one-eighth were between five and 14 miles, and somewhat more than half were from 15 to 1,000 miles leaving one out of 12 coming from 1,000 miles or more. From 24 to 30 p.c. of students of all faculties except Engineering had homes under five miles from the campus. A number of these, particularly in law and graduates refer to their own homes rather than homes of their parents.

TABLE 13. Percentage Distribution of Students in Each Faculty on Farms. and Rural and Urban Centres

| Faculty | Farm | Rural and urban centres |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | 250-999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000- \\ & 4.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,000- \\ 29,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Arts and Science | 9.3 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 11.6 | 17.1 | 52.8 | 100.0 |
| Engineering | 9.2 | 3. 6 | 4.7 | 12.2 | 21.1 | 49.2 | 100. 0 |
| Medicine | 6. 8 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 16.4 | 60.4 | 100.0 |
| Law | 3. 7 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 69.5 | 100.0 |
| Education | 12.4 | 6.1 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
| Classical Colleges | 7. 7 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 22.5 | 23.4 | 40.3 | 100.0 |
| Graduates | 10.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 67.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 8.2 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 12.6 | 17.6 | 51.8 | 100.0 |

## TABLE 14. Percentage distribution of Students in Each Faculty, by Distance of Students' Homes from Campus

| Faculty | miles from campus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 5 | 5-14 | 15-99 | 100-299 | 300-999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Arts and Science | 27.9 | 12.0 | 17.2 | 21.7 | 14.0 | 7. 2 | 100.0 |
| Engineering | 17.0 | 12.3 | 15.7 | 28.7 | 17.9 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
| Medicine | 24.0 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 25.8 | 21.7 | 14.5 | 100.0 |
| Law | 29.5 | 13.7 | 14.7 | 22.1 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
| Education | 20.5 | 9.4 | 17.4 | 26.9 | 24.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Classical Colleges | 28.6 | 21.2 | 29.1 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Graduates | 30.5 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 22.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 25.4 | 12.1 | 16. 6 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 8.3 | 100.0 |

## Residence at College

The students dwelling place created major differences in total expenditure. The 31 p.c. who could attend college while staying with their parents saved a considerable amount of money. The others lived in a variety of places such as "own or shared house", "apartment or flat", "other private home or boarding house", "college operated dormitory", "students co-op, clubs, fraternity or sorority house", etc. Of these about 26.5 p.c. of the students lived in college operated dormitories and 22 p.c. boarded at private homes. Table 15 gives place of residence by faculties.

Classical college students had both the highest percentage living in college operated dormitories and at home. More than one-third of Arts and Science students lived with their parents. For the students of engineering and education, private homes and
boarding houses were most common. The students of medicine and law, who were comparatively older, lived largely in their own or shared house, rooming houses or apartments or flats, as did a majority of the graduates, many of whom were married.

Table 16 gives a distribution for male and female students in arts and science according to age groups. More than half of the girls and 41.7 p.c. of the boys under 18 lived at home. Percentage of those living at home decreased with age. Many female students up to the age of 29 lived in college operated domitories. Older ones more often lived in their own or a shared house, apartment or flat. Male students most commonly dwelt with their parents and female students in college-operated dormitories. However, there was still a larger percentage of women than men living at home. The men were more widely distributed among different types of dwelling than women.

TABLE 15. Per Cent of Students in Each Faculty, by Place of Residence

| Place of residence | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' home | 36.5 | 25.2 | 19.4 | 29.5 | 23.2 | 41.6 | 20.8 | 30. 8 |
| Own or shared house, rooming house, apartment or flat $\qquad$ | 9. 2 | 17.2 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 17.0 | - | 52.4 | 16. 5 |
| Other private home or boarding house | 18.5 | 34.0 | 28.5 | 19.8 | 43.6 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 22. 2 |
| College operated dormitory ............... | 33.5 | 21.2 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 12. 9 | 56.8 | 9.3 | 26. 5 |
| Students co-op, club, fraternity or sorority house | 1.1 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Others | 1. 2 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 |

Note: Highest percentage in each faculty shown in bold face.

## TABLE 16. Per Cent of Male and Female Students in Arts and Science in Specified Age Groups, by Place of Residence

| Places of residence | Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 18 |  | 18-21 |  | 22-24 |  | 25-29 |  | 30 and up |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Parents' home | 41.7 | 51.4 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 29.2 | 28.6 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 14.8 | 35.5 | 38.9 |
| Own or shared house, rooming house, apartment or flat | 4.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 19.9 | 13.3 | 39.8 | 23.5 | 53.7 | 40.8 | 10.5 | 6.2 |
| Other private house or boarding house | 20.9 | 4.9 | 21.9 | 8.9 | 23.3 | 14.3 | 28.7 | 17.7 | 27.8 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 9.1 |
| College-operated dormitory | 31.3 | 40.5 | 31.0 | 45.2 | 25.2 | 36.2 | 21.6 | 52.9 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 29.0 | 43.4 |
| Students co-op, fraternity or sorority house Other $\qquad$ | 1.2 | - | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 4.8 2.8 | 1.1 | - | 3.7 1.8 | 11.1 | 1.0 1.4 | 1.5 0.9 |
| Total ......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: Highest percentage in each faculty shown in bold face.

Considering residence and year in course, no marked differences were noticed for students of arts and science. A little over one-third of the students in each year (except the fourth) lived with their parents and next in order came college operated dormitories. Among the fourth year students, this order was reversed, and for the fifth year students,
the second most common response was own or shared house, rooming house, apartment or flat.

Irrespective of type of residence, most students lived close to the campus. Of the total, 62.8 p.c. reported living within one mile and only 5.5 p.c., 10 miles or more from the campus.

TABLE 17. Per Cent of Students in Arts and Science, by Year in Course and Place of Residence

| Places of residence | Year in course |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Parents' home | 38.3 | 38.3 | 35.4 | 29.9 | 36.6 | 36.5 |
| Own or shared house, rooming house, apartment or flat $\qquad$ | 6.1 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 26.8 | 9.2 |
| Other private home or boarding house .................. | 19.6 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 16.3 | 17.1 | 18.5 |
| College-operated dormitory ................................ | 34.2 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 37.5 | 17.1 | 33.5 |
| Students co-op, club, fraternity of sorority house. | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | - | 1.1 |
| Other ................................................................... | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| Total ............................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Meals

Since all students must eat, it was decided to ask for the number of meals eaten per week and the place where these were obtained. Most students ate three meals a day and an occasional lunch. A few managed to eat more than 21 a week, while a larger number had two meals most days and possibly a snack. Many students ( 44.8 p.c.) ate 20 or more, and
nearly 80 p.c. 13 or more meals at their place of residence. The median number of meals eaten where they resided by men and women was 19 and 18 , respectively. A higher percentage of females than males ate where they dwelt.

Meals eaten away from their dwelling place were taken at college dining halls or cafeterias, student co-ops, restaurants, etc. Choice was

probably determined by nearness, cost, variety of food or companionship. About half of the students ( $49.2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}_{\text {. }}$ ) has no meals out, and since only $44.8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. ate 20 or more meals in residence, it follows that 4.4 p.c. ate fewer than 20 meals per week. The most common places for meals out were college dining rooms or cafeterias. There was little difference between male and female students in this regard.

The median number of meals eaten out, for all students, was 6. The median was highest for the faculty of Engineering and lowest for Education.

Of the single undergraduates living at home 44.7 p.c. had one to elght meals out and only 2.1 p.c. had more than eight meals away from home. Of those living away from home, 18.6 p.c. had 20 or more
meals and 25 p.c. had one to eight meals out. When all of the students in the survey were considered, 10.9 p.c. had 20 or more meals and one-third had one to eight meals out.

Among the male and female students in arts and science, although the percentage of those eating no meals out was very close, a higher percentage of women than men had one to eight meals out. The median number of meals eaten out by men was six and by women only four.

Besides eating all meals at their place of residence or elsewhere, some students reported extra lunches. About 59.4 p.c. did not report extra lunches, and 2.9 p.c. reported nine or more lunches a week. The average student ate four a week.

TABLE 18. Meals Eaten at Place of Residence, by Male and Female Undergraduates

| Meals per week | Stngle undergraduates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At home | Not at home | Male | Female | Total |
| None | 0.3 | 23.2 | 15.2 | 8.1 | 13.0 |
| 1-4.......................................................... | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 5-8 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| 9-12 ,.............................................................. | 5.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| 13-16 ..................................................................... | 36.7 | 12.8 | 19.3 | 28.2 | 22.1 |
| 17-19 ................................................................................ | 13.3 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 15.2 | 12.4 |
| 20 os more .................................................................................... | 43.1 | 44.0 | 45.7 | 41.6 | 44.4 |
| Tocal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Tible 19. Per Cent of Meals Eaten Out, by Faculty

| Meals eaten out | Arts and <br> Science | Engi- <br> neering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical <br> Colleges | Graduates |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total

TABLE 20. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and Science Students and Single Undergraduates by Place of Meals Eaten Out

| Place of meals | Arts and Science |  | Single Undergraduates |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { students } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | At home | Not at home |  |
| College dining hall or cafeteria. | 21.2 | 24.8 | 22.4 | 18.9 | 19.3 |
| Student co-operative.. | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Other cafeteria or restaurant | 13.4 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 16.9 | 16.3 |
| Other... | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Combinations of 1,2,3, and 4 | 11.1 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 14.1 | 10.6 |
| None eaten out | 50.8 | 49.1 | 53.2 | 45.3 | 49.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 21. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and Science Students and Single Undergraduates by Number of Meals Eaten Out

| Number of meals | Arts and Science |  | Single Undergraduates |  | All students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | At home | Not at home |  |
| None | 50.8 | 49.1 | 53.2 | 45.3 | 49.2 |
| 1-4 | 19.7 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 16.5 | 19.1 |
| 5-8 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 19.0 | 8.5 | 13.3 |
| 9-12 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 13-16 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 3.6 |
| 17-19 | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| 20 or more | 11.9 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 18.6 | 10.9 |

## Summer Jobs

Summer employment is an important part of college life in Canada. A large majority of university students count on employment during the summer vacation to earn money for the following college year. For some this represents additional spending money, for the majority it determines whether or not they can attend college. In the summer of 1956, almost seven-eighths of the students had jobs of some sort with widely dispersed wages or salaries. A fairly detailed list of the jobs is given in Table 1 of Appendix A. These jobs have been grouped rather arbitrarily in Table 22. Positions rather closely related to the students' course work are in one category and include medical intern, articled law
student, assistant engineer, draftsman, assistant geologist, surveyor, teacher, research technician, etc. Jobs requiring special skills included those of machine repairman, carpenter, plumber, typist, stenographer, radio announcer, etc. Casual and miscellaneous jobs include students working as truck driver, bus driver, cook, baker, golf caddie, porter, janitor, etc.

About one-third of the students in the classical colleges had no summer jobs and half of those who worked reported casual and other miscellaneous jobs. The smallest proportions without work were found in the faculties of Law and Engineering, and next in order came medical students. One-eighth of the students in the sample had no summer jobs, and
two-fifths of those working were engaged at some job closely or remotely related to college courses. A few had regular jobs or worked for their parents. Comparisons of males and females show that a higher percentage of males were doing jobs related to college courses. On the other hand, higher percentages of women were found doing jobs that required special skills and casual and miscellaneous jobs. Almost one-quarter of the women in arts and science had no summer jobs, whereas only 6.4 p.c. of the men did not work during the summer of 1956.

Considering the jobs in greater detail, it was found that almost one-tenth, mostly women, were employed as office worker, typist, stenographer. secretary, etc. This was the largest single group in the classification. The second largest number were grouped under "armed forces". which included R.O.T.P. camps, etc. Third was the group, assistant geologist, surveyor and weather station worker. About 5 p.c. of those employed were working as student assistants and research workers and trainees. Many male students were employed as labourers, and many women as waitresses, chamber maids, soda-fountain employees and recreation workers.

The graduate students had the largest percentage ( 64.1 p.c.) whose summer jobs were related to college work. Many of these were actually working under grants for research, etc. However, 19 p.c. of them had no jobs in some cases because they were working at summer school, on their thesis, etc. About
one-tenth worked at their regular jobs and a somewhat larger number were student research workers and trainees.

Among arts and science students, the largest igroup, a little over two-fifths of the students were engaged in casual and miscellaneous jobs. The second largest group included office workers,typists stenographers and secretaries.

Among engineering students, the largest number were working as assistant geologist, surveyor or weather station worker, and the second largest, as assistant engineer of draftsman. These two groups accounted for more than one-quarter of the engineering students with summer employment.

The largest number of medical students wurked in the summer as interns in medicine and the second largest group was enrolled in the C.O.T.C.

About 36 p.c. of the law students worked as articled law students during the summer vacation.

Many education students worked as typist, stenographer, secretary etc. and about half of them worked at casual and miscellaneous jobs. The classical college students showed a similar pattern.

Among arts and science students, the largest proportion with summer employment was found in the age group 22 to 24 followed by those 18 to 21 years of age. The percentage fell off for the older groups. Only half of the girls under 18 years of age had summer jobs.

TABLE 22. Students in Each Faculty with Summer Jobs Showing Percentages in Specified Work Situations

| Occupational <br> group | Arts and <br> Science | Engi- <br> neering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical <br> Colleges | Graduates |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total

TABLE. 23. Arts and Science Students with Summer Jobs, Showing Percentages in Specified Work Situations by Sex

| Occupational group | Male | Fernale | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs closely or remotely related to college work | 36.3 | 15.6 | 30.8 |
| Jobs requiring special skills ................................... | 20.0 | 36.4 | 24.4 |
| Regular job ............................................................... | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Worked for parents ..................................................... | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Casual and miscellaneous jobs .................................... | 39.8 | 45.6 | 41.4 |
| Not stated ................................................................. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Per cent without summer Jobs | 6.4 | 23.4 | 16.5 |

# TABLE 24. Per Cent of Single and Married, Male and Female Arts and Science Students having Summer Jobs, by Age Groups 

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Single | Married |  |
| Under 18. | 81.0 | - | 50.3 | - | 64.7 |
| 18-21 | 94.4 | 94.7 | 81.5 | 87.5 | 90.1 |
| 22-24 | 94.9 | 98.2 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 92.8 |
| 25-29 | 88.0 | 94.6 | 46.2 | 75.0 | 86.9 |
| 30 and up | 91.3 | 87.1 | 70.0 | 14.3 | 77.8 |

## Summer Job Salary or Wages

Salary or wages received for summer jobs ranged from a few dollars to a maximum of $\$ 750$ per month. About 1.2 D.c. with summer jobs, received $\$ 495$ or over; 4.4 p.c. of graduate students were in this category. Table 25 shows that among the undergraduates, the students of engineering received the most and students of the classical colleges, the least on the average.

Table 26 shows that male students generally eamed higher salaries than female students of the
same age. It further shows that married students up to age 29 had higher median salaries than single students, but after that the situation was reversed for both men and women. For all students median earnings increased with age.

Median monthly salaries of male and female arts and science students are given in Table 27 by year in course. Average earnings of the male students were higher than those of the female students in all cases. Also the median salary increased with every advanced year in course except for fifth year male students.

TABLE 25. Percentiles of Monthly Summer Job Salaries, by Faculty

| Faculty | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Arts and Science | 100 | 141 | 206 | 264 | 307 |
| Engineering | 134 | 204 | 249 | 293 | 374 |
| - Medicine | 110 | 170 | 234 | 287 | 369 |
| Law | 99 | 144 | 214 | 278 | 357 |
| Education ... | 54 | 111 | 160 | 224 | 287 |
| Classical Colleges. | 14 | 96 | 147 | 200 | 263 |
| Graduates ........ | 120 | 177 | 246 | 326 | 425 |
| Total | 100 | 146 | 216 | 273 | 334 |

TABLE 26. Median Monthly Summer Salaries of Single and Married, Male and Female Arts and Science Students for Selected Age Groups

| Age groups | Males |  | Females |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Married | Single | Married |  |
|  |  |  | dollars |  |  |
| Under $18 .$. | 156 | - | 135 | - | 146 |
| 18-21. | 222 | 249 | 147 | 178 | 195 |
| 22-24 | 249 | 255 | 180 | 195 | 242 |
| 25-29 | 255 | 273 | 195 | 220 | 259 |
| 30 and up ...... | 303 | 272 | 225 | 145 | 263 |



# TABLE 27. Median Monthly Summer Salaries of Male and Female Arts and Science Students, by Year in Course 

| Year in course | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 205 | 130 | 175 |
| 2 | 223 | 147 | 200 |
| 3 ................................................................................. | 240 | 155 | 203 |
| 4 | 249 | 166 | 231 |
| 5 ................................................................................... | 247 | 195 | 242 |
| Total | 228 | 148 | 206 |

## Part-time Jobs

More than one-quarter of the students were engaged in part-time jobs during the scnool year. There was a wide variety of jobs reported as most students accepted any job available. Nearly one-half of the students were engaged in casual and miscellaneous jobs of a temporary nature. These included baby-sitting, housekeeping, bar tending, truck driving, clerking in stores, butchering, barbering, working in restaurants, odd labouring jobs, etc. A number were working for the university in the college library, cafeteria, offices, laboratories, etc. A number reported jobs related to their course work both on the campus and off the campus, such as nurse, surveyor, engineer, mechanic, law clerk, teacher, coach, school psychologist, industrial psychologist, etc. In addition a few students were working for their parents or for room and board only. A few others were able to continue at their regular jobs.

More than one-half of the graduates were employed and two-thirds of them had work in some way related to the college or their college courses.

In arts and science, a larger percentage of male than female students had part-time jobs. Approximately one-eighth of each group worked at jobs related to their courses in the college. A number of male and a few female students were members of the C.O.T.C. or other related groups of the armed forces. Many of the women either worked in offices and stores or were baby-sitters. Of the students in arts
and science with part-time jobs, 57.5 p.c. of the men and 66.4 p.c. of the women were occupied at casual and miscellaneous jobs. A very small number of them continued at their regular jobs, $(15$ men and 6 women). Only two men and two women reported working for room and board.

The percentage of male and female arts and science students with part-time jobs increased with each advanced year in course. In the first year only 18.6 p.c. of the students worked but by the final year the percentage had increased to 63.4.

In all age groups, higher percentages of male than female students reported working on part-time jobs. The percentages for male students increased year by year, but the trend was not so uniform for the female groups. For those 30 and over, more than one-half of the arts and science students had parttime jobs.

Students with part-time jobs generally worked from four to 16 hours per week or a median of seven hours. Hours worked varied considerably from faculty to faculty. Law students averaged 16 hours per week, followed by graduates with nine, and the others had medians of from four to seven. The law students not only had the highest median they also had the highest percentage of those working for 37 or more hours per week. Actually 2.8 p.c. of those working worked as much as 37 or more hours a week. Among the classical college students, none reported working more than 16 hours per week.

TABLE 28. Per Cent of Students in Each Faculty with Part-time Jobs, by Occupational Groups

| Occupational group | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs related to college work ........ | 12.7 | 8.9 | 28.1 | 50.5 | 18.3 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 16.7 |
| Work for college ........................... | 17.0 | 23.0 | 16.0 | 2.4 | 15.4 | 12.3 | 58.4 | 21.9 |
| Regular job .................................. | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | - | 12.3 | 3.1 |
| Board and room ............................ | 0.3 | - | 0.4 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 0. 3 | 0.2 |
| Officer training ............................ | 7.1 | 13.3 | 14.9 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 15.1 | 2.1 | 8.4 |
| Work for parents Casual and miscellaneous jobs ............. | 1.0 59.9 | 1.5 49.6 | 39.9 | 35.8 | 55.8 | 58.9 | 15.1 | 48.6 |
| Not reported ................................ | 0.4 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  | - | 0.3 |
| Total ...................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Per cent with part-time jobs .......... | 28.1 | 17.2 | 26.6 | 47.4 | 15.2 | 10.0 | 57.4 | 26.7 |

TABLE 29. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and Science Students with Part-time Jobs, by Specified Work Situations

| Occupational group | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs related to college work | 12.6 | 13.2 | 12.7 |
| Work for college | 17.8 | 14.6 | 17.0 |
| Regular job | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Board and foom | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Officer training | 8.9 | 2.3 | 7.1 |
| Work for parents | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Casual and miscellaneous jobs | 57.5 | 66.4 | 59.9 |
| Not reported........................... | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 |
| Per cent with part-time Jobs | 29.9 | 24.1 | 28.1 |

TABLE 30. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and science Students with Part-time Jobs, by Year in Course

${ }^{1}$ There were only four girls in this group, all of whom had part-time jobs.

TABLE 31. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and Science Students with Part-time Jobs, by Age Groups


TABLE 32. Per Cent of Students with Part-time Jobs and Hours Per Week

| Faculty | Per cent with parttime jobs | Hours per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -3 | 3-8 | 9-16 | 17-24 | 25-36 | $37+$ | Total |
| Arts and Sclence | 28.0 | 20.6 | 47.8 | 22.6 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| Engineering. | 17.2 | 21.5 | 57.8 | 15.9 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Medicine | 25.9 | 15.3 | 47.3 | 22.8 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Law | 47.2 | 5.9 | 23.6 | 21.2 | 13.3 | 28.6 | 7.4 | 100.0 |
| Education | 15. 2 | 18.3 | 52.9 | 20.2 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Classical Colleges | 9.7 | 37.0 | 43.8 | 19.2 | - | - | - | 100.0 |
| Graduates | 56.9 | 5.0 | 42.8 | 21.5 | 13.3 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 26.5 | 17.5 | 46.1 | 21.4 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 |

## Married Students with Spouse Working

For all faculties, married students reported 50 to 75 p.c. of their spouses working; and 80 p.c. of these were working full-time. This provided an important source of income for them.

## Postponement, Withdrawal and Part-time Attendance

The survey attempted to discover the number of students at college in 1956-57 who had postponed their entrance to college to earn money, how many had attended college part-time during any year because they could not afford to enroll full-time. Students in education reported the largest percentage, more than one-quarter, who had postponed en-
trance to college to earn money. One out of every five graduates also had had to postpone college entrance for financial reasons.

The students in education also reported the largest percentage who had withdrawn or attended college part-time because of lack of funds. Few students in the classical college reported having had any of these difficulties.

The percentage of female students who reported postponement, withdrawal and part-time attendance was much lower than that for men. It should be remembered that the girls generally came from families with higher incomes; postponement most likely becaine permanent.

TABLE 33. Per Cent of Married Students by Faculty with Spouse Working Full-time or Part-time

| Faculty | Married students | Spouse working | Full-time | Part-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Science | 3.9 | 67.5 | 54.4 | 13.1 |
| Engineering | 6.5 | 56.2 | 45.8 | 10.4 |
| Medicine | 27.1 | 75.5 | 63.3 | 12.2 |
| Law | 21.6 | 71.4 | 64.8 | 6.6 |
| Education | 7.1 | 60.0 | 46.7 | 13.3 |
| Classical Colleges | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates | 38.1 | 51.8 | 36.5 | 15.3 |
| Total | 8.7 | 63.4 | 51.0 | 12.4 |

TABLE 34. Per Cent of Students in Each Faculty who Postponed, Withdrew or Attended College Part-time Due to Lack of Funds

|  | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postponed entrance | 13.9 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 20.2 | 27.3 | 1.5 | 21.9 | 14.6 |
| Withdrew | 4. 1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 10.2 | 12.3 | 1.3 | 17.5 | 5.6 |
| Attended part-time ........................ | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 12.6 | 2.9 |

TABLE 33. Per Cent of Students, Male and Female in Arts and Science who Postponed, Withdrew or Attended College Part-time Due to Lack of Funds

|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postponed entrance | 16. 8 | 7.5 | 13.9 |
| Withdrew | 5.2 | 1.7 | 4.1 |
| Attended part-time | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.5 |

## Ownership and Use of an Iutomobile

A comparatively small number of the students had a private automobile at their disposal from one to seven days each week. About three-quarters of the total did not have the use of an automobile, 14.7 p.c. had one every day. Very few of the classical college students had use of a car. More than onequarter of the law students and of the graduates had the use of a car for seven days a week. Of the arts and science students $30.1 \mathrm{p.c}$. of the men and 13.8 p.c. of the women had the use of an automobile from one to seven days each week and of these, more than half ( 56.1 p.c.) of the male and 32.6 p.c. of the female students had the car every day.

Some students owned their own cars. The majority of these had 1950 to 1952 models. About 90 p.c. of classical college, arts and science and education students did not own a car. Graduate
students reported the largest percentage of car owners and the law undergraduates had the largest percentage of latest model cars.

There was little difference in percentage of car owners among undergraduate students in the eastem, central and westem regions by faculty. Except for Law, the colleges in the westem region had the highest percentage of car owners in all faculties and the eastern the lowest. In the central region, no education student owned a car.

Comparisons of male and female, single and married students in arts and science showed a positive correlation between age and percentage of car owners and a higher percentage of male and married students owning cars than female and single students. Only one male and two female students under 18 owned cars.

TABLE 36. Per Cent of Students in Each Faculty Having Use of Automobile, by Days Per Week

| Number of days | Arts and Sclence | Enctneering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduales | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 75.0 | 78.2 | 69.8 | 62.9 | 84.8 | 94.5 | 65.2 | 75.8 |
| 1 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| 2 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2. 2 | 2.6 |
| 3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 13.1 | 14.1 | 22.2 | 25.8 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 28.5 | 14.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 37. Per Cent of Students in Each Faculty Owning an Automobile, by Aato year

| Auto year | Arts and Sclence | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 90.1 | 88.0 | 81.2 | 77.2 | 90.9 | 99.5 | 71.5 | 87.8 |
| 1945 or older | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| 1946-1949 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.8 | - | 4.1 | 2.4 |
| 1950-1952 | 3.4 | 3. 8 | 6. 2 | 6. 5 | 2.5 | , | 9.9 | 4.1 |
| 1953-1955 | 2.0 | 2. 9 | 5.6 | 7. 7 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 10.7 | 3.3 |
| 1956-1957 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 38. Per Cent of Male and Female Single and Married Arts and Science Students Owning Cars, by Age Groups

| Age groups | Single |  | Martied |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |
| Under 18 | 0.6 | 1.1 | - | - | 0.9 |
| 18-21. | 9.6 | 2.1 | 47.4 | 25.0 | 7.3 |
| 22-24 | 15.0 | 2.9 | 50.0 | - | 15.9 |
| 25-29. | 23.2 | 7.7 | 55.4 | 25.0 | 31.3 |
| 30 and up | 39.1 | 15.0 | 61.3 | 28.6 | 40.1 |
| Total | 10.9 | 2.2 | 53.7 | 23.8 | 9.8 |



## CHAPTER 3

## University Student Expenditures, 1956-57

The students surveyed were asked to enter their annual expenditures on specific items and to provide a total for the college year. The amounts shown differed from student to student, in part because of spending habits, availability of funds, and educational requirements, but also because of such factors as place of residence. The 30.8 p.c. of students who lived at home reported spending less, on the average, than those who lived away from home. Their median total expenditure was $\$ 933$ as compared with $\$ 1,326$ for those away from home. On the average there was a difference of $\$ 393$ between those living at home and away from home. Medical students spent more than other undergraduates which might be accounted for by higher fees and other educational costs. On the average classical college
students spent the least, although the average education student living at home spent only $\$ 688$, which was lowest of all. The average graduate away from home spent the most.

Table 39 gives the median total expenditure for married and unmarried undergraduates by faculty and place of residence. Unmarried students living with their parents spent the least. Those who lived away from home required more money. But expenses for married students were considerably higher, for example, married medical students spent on the average $\$ 2,572$ or more than twice as much as single medical students living at home. The same was true for other faculties. In all categories shown in Table 39. education was the lowest and medicine the highest.

TABLE 39. Median Expenditure of Single and Marted Undergraduates at Home and Not at Home, by Faculty

| Faculty | Single |  | Married | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At home | Not at home |  |  |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |
| Arts and Sclence |  | 1,211 | 1.758 | 1,126 |
| Engineering ...... |  | 1,386 | 2,169 | 1,346 |
| Medicine ..... | 1. | 1.717 | 2.572 | 1,712 |
| I sw |  | 1.621 | 2,286 | 1,640 |
| Educatlon |  | 968 | 1,477 | 949 |
| Total |  | 1,293 | 2,134 | 1. 209 |
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TABLE 40. Median Expenditure of Undergraduates ${ }^{1}$, at Home and Not at Ilome, by Faculty and Region

| Faculty | At home |  |  | Not at home |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastern | Central | Western | Eastern | Central | Western |  |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arts and Science ................................... | 642 |  |  |  | 1. 186 | 1,075 | 1,126 |
| Engineering .............................................. | 1,001 | 1. 120 | 990 | 1.355 | 1. 540 | 1. 212 | 1,346 |
| Medicine | 1,173 | 1,311 | 1. 261 | 1.739 | 1.867 | 1.706 | 1,712 |
| Law .................................................... | 944 506 | 1.317 | 1. 244 | 1,599 | 2, 007 | 1, 474 | 1, 640 |
| Education ........................................... | 506 | 1,094 | 731 | 916 | 1. 244 | 1.042 | 949 |
| Total ................................................ | 681 | 1,038 | 847 | 1,225 | 1,553 | 1,031 | 1,209 |

## ${ }^{1}$ Excluding Classical Colleges.

There were marked regional differences in the expenditure pattern of students. Table 40 shows that the highest medians for all the faculties were in the central region, whether the students lived at home or not. Students of the eastern region generally spent less than those of the westem region, if they lived at home, and more when they lived in other residences. There were two exceptions to this. Engineering students in the eastern region spent more than those in the westem, whether at home or away from home, while the education students spent more in the westem region. Differences from one region to the other for the same faculty were in many cases very marked.

The average female student in arts and science spent less than the average man in each year in
course. The difference ranged from $\$ 61$ to $\$ 128$. Both men and women increased their expenditure for each succeeding year in course with the exception of the last year for women where the number was small and the median not very reliable.

Table 41 gives median expenditure for single male and female students of arts and science by age groups. The average female student under 18 spent more than the average male whether at home or away from home. Sut for the succeeding age groups, women spent less than men, so much so that the difference was as high as $\$ 275$ for those 30 and up in favour of the men. There was a difference of $\$ 332$ between males at home and away from home and $\$ 366$ between females in these two age groups.

TABLE 41. Median Expenditure of Single, Male and Female Arts and Science Students, at Home and Not at Home, by Age Groups

|  | Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 18 | 18-21 | 22-24 | 25-29 ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 30 and $u p^{1}$ | Total |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male, at home $\qquad$ <br> Male, not at home $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 673 \\ 1,028 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 884 \\ 1,214 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,026 \\ & 1,315 \end{aligned}$ | 1. 236 | 1,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 895 \\ 1.227 \end{array}$ |
| Female, at home $\qquad$ <br> Female, not at home $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 763 \\ 1,083 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 820 \\ 1,193 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 895 \\ 1.176 \end{array}$ | 1,045 | 995 | $\begin{array}{r} 817 \\ 1.183 \end{array}$ |

${ }^{3}$ Figures in the 25-29 and $30+$ age groups are for all males and all females.

TABLE 42. 10 th, 25 th, 50 th, 75 th and 90 th Percentiles of Total Expenditures of
Students Living at Ilome, by Faculty Students Living at IIome, by Faculty

| Faculty | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Arts and Science | $\begin{aligned} & 511 \\ & 741 \\ & 901 \\ & 697 \\ & 415 \\ & 435 \\ & 609 \end{aligned}$ | 669 | $\begin{array}{r} 868 \\ 1,086 \\ 1,275 \\ 1,231 \\ 688 \\ 798 \\ 1,107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,119 \\ 1,325 \\ 1,606 \\ 1,690 \\ 1,937 \\ 1,088 \\ 1,435 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,458 \\ & 1,669 \\ & 1,914 \\ & 2,525 \\ & 1,330 \\ & 1,362 \\ & 1,951 \end{aligned}$ |
| Engineering ...................................................... |  | 901 |  |  |  |
| Medicine ........................................................ |  | 1,078 |  |  |  |
| Law ............................................................ |  | 947 |  |  |  |
| Education .o................................................ |  | 515 |  |  |  |
| Classical Colleges ..................................... |  | 683 |  |  |  |
| Graduates ....................................................................... |  | 823 |  |  |  |
| Total | 523 | 900 | 933 | 1,218 | 1.812 |

TABLE 43. 10 th, 25 th, 50 th, 75 th and 90 th Percentiles of Students Not Living at Home, by Faculty


Tables 42 and 43 give the 10 th , 25th, 50 th, 75 th and 90 th percentiles of total expenditure of students living at home and away from home. In many cases the differences from one faculty to another were large, For example the lower 10 p.c. of the education students living at home spent $\$ 415$ or less and the comparative figure for medicine was $\$ 901$. Similar differences can be seen at other percentiles among the faculties. Further, it might be of interest to note that 2 p.c. of all students living with their parents spent less than $\$ 395$ and 0.1 p.c. of those living away from home did likewise. No student in the faculties of Law or Medicine spent less than $\$ 395$. Altogether 2.4 p.c. of the total, 3.3 p.c. of
those not living at home and only 0.5 p.c. of those living at home spent $\$ 2.995$ or more including a few exceptional students who spent $\$ 5,000$ or more, These were mostly married students with dependents who spent much of this money on capital cost outlay. Higher living and educational costs as well as the habits of the student himself made budgets higher. In such faculties as Medicine, Law and Engineering, tuition fees were higher and more expensive books and equipment were needed as compared with students of other faculties. On the same campus and in the same faculty, some students spent twice as much as others. Budgets were without doubt also related to the amount of money available.
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FAMILY INCOME RELATED TO EXPENDITURE OF STUDENTS, AT HOME AND NOT AT HOME


## Student Expenditure and Family income

Chart 12 shows the relationship between amount expended and family income. Students in the category reporting no family income were mainly married students who were not asked to report their parental family's total income since their expenditure would not be related closely to such, and a few who reported having no idea of parental income bracket. Students checking family income as "under $\$ 2,000$ " represented a variety of circumstances such as "father deceased, mother working part-time". "father on pension", "crop failure on farm", etc. as well as families where the father actually earned
$\$ 2,000$ or less. This category provided the only exception to a consistent rise of median expenditure with the fise in family income for both those living at home and away from home.

Looking at the problem the other way, Table 44 shows that median family income generally became higher as expenditure rose. The largest percentage of students fell in the \$1,395-\$1,794 group and onequarter of the students in this group lived away from home. For those living at home the highest percentage, i.e. 20.1 p.c.. fell in the $\$ 595-\$ 794$ group and the second largest percentage in the $\$ 395-\$ 594$ group.

TABLE 44. Per Cent of Students in Selected Expenditure Categories Showing Median Family Income


CHART- 14


## Educational and Living Costs

The questionnaire requested students to list their expenditure for such different items as books, fees, board, room rent, etc. For the purpose of this study, these items were classified under educational costs and living costs. Fees, books, fraternity and somority dues, transportation from home town to dwelling in college town and from living quarters to college, and other current expenses related to college were included under educational costs and the rest under living costs. For the total and for undergraduate students excluding classical colleges, living costs were double educational costs. Living costs were more than twice educational costs for students of Classical College and four times as much for Graduates.

A graduate student on the average spent $\$ 1,980$ of which $\$ 1,613$ went for living and $\$ 367$ for education expenses. Table 47 shows that the highest medians for all items of expenditure were for the graduates except fees, books, fraternity or sorority dues and clothing. Many graduate students were married and had dependents which would account for their higher living costs.

## Major Items of Expenditure

Table 45 gives percentage of the total expended for each item. Fees accounted for almost one-quarter of the total expenditure of undergraduates. For the graduates the food item was highest and for the classical colleges clothing, which was about onefifth of the total money spent. The graduates spent 14 p.c. of their money on items not related to college attendance and 8.2 p.c. on capital costs. The
undergraduates, but especially those from the classical colleges, spent relatively less on these items but more on refreshments and recreation, clothing and grooming, and books and fees. Chart 15 illustrates differences in the pattem of spending for undergraduates and graduates.

A certain number of students reported no expenditure on some of the items. As shown in Table $46,0.2$ p.c. of them paid no fees and 0.9 p.c. in the faculty of education did not pay fees. Almost onethird of the students had no expenditure for room rent and a smaller percentage none for board. Table 46 shows further that more than three-fourths of the students did not belong to a fraternity. The faculty of Engineering had the highest percentage of those who reported no expenditure on clothing and the classical college students had the lowest average. This is perhaps one of the most difficult items to control. Many students enter college with sufficient clothing for the year purchased previously by their parents. Others, who spent considerable, may not need new clothes for a year after they leave college. It is hoped that on the average the figures are fairly good although probably low. A high percentage of students in all faculties reported no transportation costs to and from college. About two-thirds had no additional current expenses related to college attendance beyond those recorded in the separate items and about one-half reported none for items other than those related to college attendance. No capital purchases uere reported by two-thirds of the students. The highest percentage of those who reported capital costs were medical students; the graduates were next in order. Only $16.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the students of education reported such purchases.

TABLE 45. Per Cent of Expenditure on Various Items for Undergraduates, Classical College Students and Graduates

| Items of expenditure | Undergraduates ${ }^{1}$ | Classical colleges | Gradustes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) | 24.1 | 17.3 | 11.2 | 22.5 |
| Books and supplies. | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 4.6 |
| Room rent for school year | 10.9 | 6.9 | 17.7 | 11.3 |
| Board: regular meals for school year | 17.8 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 17.9 |
| Fraternity or sorority dues | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Snacks, refreshments, cigarettes and tobacco ............... | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| Recreation and entertainment | 6.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6. 4 |
| Health | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc............ | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Clothing - including footwear ...................................... | 8.9 | 19.6 | 6. 6 | 9.2 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning................................................ | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Transpoftation: <br> (1) from home town to dwelling in college town | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| (ii) from living quarters to college ........................... | 1.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| (iii) all other transportatlon...................................... | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| Church and charitable donations .................................... | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Other curtent expenses: <br> (i) related to college attendance | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 |  |
| (ii) not related to college attendance | 4.2 | 2.9 | 14.0 | 5. 1.1 |
| Capital costs ............................................................. | 4.7 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 4.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^2]

TABLE 46. Per Cent Who Did Not Report Expenditures On Various Items, by Faculty

| Items of expenditure | Arts and <br> Sclence |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Engl- <br> neering | Medicine | Law | Edu- <br> cation | Classi- <br> cal <br> Colleges | Gradu- <br> ates | Total |

TABLE 47. Median Expenditure on Various Items, for Undergraduates, Classical College Students and Graduates

| Items of expenditure | Undergraduates ${ }^{1}$ | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | dol |  |  |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) | 324 | 153 | 258 | 309 |
| Books and supplies | 58 | 40 | 42 | 55 |
|  | 194 | 98 | 339 | 198 |
| Board: regular meals for school year .............................. | 298 | 221 | 339 | 296 |
| Fraternity or sorority dues ............................................... | 32 | 7 | 25 | 26 |
| Snacks, refreshments, cigarettes and tobacco................ | 50 | 42 | 53 | 50 |
| Recreation and entertainment ........................................... | 70 | 40 | 80 | 69 |
| Health | 16 | 21 | 33 | 18 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. ........... | 18 | 19 | 21 | 19 |
| Clothing - including footwear ........................................... | 108 | 145 | 115 | 112 |
|  | 16 | 17 | 23 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) from home town to dwelling in college town | 43 40 | 36 | 59 55 | 44 |
| (ii) from living quarters to college $\qquad$ <br> (1i1) all other tansportation | 40 | 21 | 55 11 | 42 4 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 14 | 11 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| (i) related to college attendance $\qquad$ <br> (ii) not related to college attendance | 18 | 16 | 36 163 | 18 |
| (ii) not related to college attendance <br> Capital costs | 52 75 | 36 | 163 168 | 77 |

${ }^{1}$ Excluding Classical Colleges.

## Student Expenditure and City Family Expenditure

Table 48 provides some data comparing the $1 i$ ving costs of a student and a member of a city family. Expenditures for members of a city family are based on the average of the seven largest cities in Canada. In comparing the two sets of figures, the reader should keep in mind that many of the colleges included in the survey were not located in these cities. It is interesting to note that the two sets of percentages for most of the items parallel one another. Room rent and board accounted for about the same percentage of the total for a student as that for a member of a city family. The students spent a considerably higher per cent of their money
on such items as snacks, recreation and clothing than did the city dweller.

As might be expected, since the student spends $\$ 850$ compared with $\$ 1,013$ for the city dweller, the medians for selected items of expenditure had more marked differences than the percentages. Except for recreation, all other items had higher medians for the city family member. The table indicates that college students on the average spent about 85 p.c. as much as the average city resident; that their housing and board was below average for the city; that they spent less on charity and health, although some of the costs might have heen defrayed by their parents; that they spent about as much on snacks and entertainment, clothing and for capital outlay.

TABLE 48. A Comparison of Major Items of Living Costs of a Student and a Member of a City Family

| Student |  |  | Member of a cily family |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items of expenditure | Per cent of total expenditure | Median | Items of expenditure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { expendl- } \\ & \text { ture } \end{aligned}$ | Median |
|  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |
| Room fent for school year ............................ | 16.9 | 198 | Housing, fuel, Hght, water, etc. .......... | 17.1 | 234 |
| Board: regular meals for school year ......... | 26.7 | 296 | Food | 25.3 | 348 |
| Snacks, refteshinents, cigarettes and tobacco | 6.8 | 50 | Smoking and alcoholic drinks ............. | 3. 9 | 53 |
| Recreation and entertainment ............................. | 9.5 | 69 | Recreation ........................................... | 4.0 | 55 |
| Health ...................................................................... | 3.6 | 18 | Medical care ......................................... | 4.4 | 60 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. | 2.2 | 19 | Personal care ...................................... | 1.9 | 26 |
| Clothing - including footwear ....................... | 13.8 | 112 | Clothing ............................................... | 8.6 | 118 |
| Church and charitable donations ................. | 1.7 | 11 | Gifts and contributions ...................... | 2.3 | 32 |
| Capital costs ................................................. | 7.3 | 77 | Furnishings and equipment ................. | 6.3 | 87 |

## Expenditure of Arts and Science Students

Medians for the major items of expenditure of male and female arts and science students are given in Table 49. In most cases the males spent the most. Exceptions were amounts spent for sorority and fraternity dues, grooming, clothing and health. A marked difference was found in clothing costs where the average for men was $\$ 96$ and for women, $\$ 149$, a difference of more than 50 p.c. Items where the medians for men were higher such as snacks, recreation, laundry and capital costs showed marked differences. It was not surprising that the male students spent more on snacks and recreation. As for laundry and dry cleaning the girls save money by doing most of it for themselves. Articles mentioned under capital costs included household furnishings and things bought for the family, expenditures more likely incurred by men than women. Furthermore, Table 50 shows that not only did male students spend more on capital items, but a significantly higher percentage of them bought such items. About 18 p.c. of females and twice as many, or 36 p.c. of the male students reported such expenditure.

Differences between the two percentages on other items are not so large. Sorority and fraternity dues presented a different pattern as 10.7 p.c. of women and 14.9 p.c. of men paid dues, but the average woman paid almost 25 p.c. more than the average man. Alarger percentage of women than of men spent money on clothing and generally spent more. Other differences in percentage were not particularly noticeable.

Table 51 gives median expenditure for certain major items for students by place of residence. Students who lived in the college dormitorles spent more on books and supplies than those living elsewhere. Those who lived at home spent more on recreation, health, clothing and transportation. For clothing the lowest median was for those who lived in college dormitories. Those who resided for the school year at some other private home or boarding house spent the least on travel from their living quarters to college. One consideration in selecting place of residence is neamess to the college campus, in part to save cost of transportation, in part as a matter of convenience.

TABLE 49. Median Expenditure of Arts and Science Students on Major Items, by Sex

| Items of expenditure | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | dollars |  |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) | 298 | 298 | 298 |
| Books and supplies | 54 | 50 | 53 |
| Room rent for school year | 162 | 158 | 161 |
| Board: regular meals for school year | 289 | 275 | 285 |
| Fraternity or sorority dues | 33 | 43 | 34 |
| Snacks, refreshments, ci garettes and tobacco ................... | 54 | 35 | 45 |
| Recreation and entertainment | 78 | 35 | 62 |
| Health | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. .............. | 16 | 18 | 17 |
| Clothing - including footwear | 96 | 14.9 | 109 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning | 17 | 10 | 14 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |
| (i) from home town to dwelling in college town............. | 42 | 40 | 41 |
| (ii) from living quarters to college ....... | 38 | 37 | 38 |
| (iii) all other transportation ......................................... | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Church and charitable donations | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |  |
| (i) related to college attendance................................. | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| (ii) not related to college attendance ............................ | 53 | 42 | 49 |
| Capital casts ................................................................... | 72 | 41 | 64 |
| Total expenditure | 1,449 | 1,072 | 1, 125 |

TABLE 50. Per Cent of Male and Female Arts and Science Students Who Did Not Report Expenditure on Various Items

| Items of expenditure | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Room rent for school year | 33.3 | 37.7 | 34. 7 |
| Board: regular meals for school year | 26.7 | 26.9 | 26.8 |
| Fraternity or sorotity dues ............................................. | 85.1 | 89.3 | 86.4 |
| Clothing - including footwear | 6.5 | 3.0 | 5.4 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |
| (i) from home town to dwelling in college town | 47.6 | 44.9 | 46.8 |
| (ii) from living quarters to college.... | 63.2 | 63.4 | 63.2 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |  |
| (i) related to college attendanc | 70.0 | 65.7 | 68.8 |
| (ii) not related to college attendance | 48.7 | 51.3 | 49.5 |
| Capital costs................................................................... | 64.0 | 82.0 | 69.6 |

TABLE 51. Median Expenditure of Arts and Science Students on Some Major Items, by Place of Residence

| Items of expenditure | Parents' home | Other private home or boardifig house | College dormit tory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | dollars |  |
| Books and supplies | 58 | 57 | 71 |
| Snacks, refreshments, clgarettes and tobacco | 44 | 50 | 52 |
| Recreation | 69 | 54 | 56 |
| Healut | 32 | 24 | 26 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. .-.............. | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Clothing | 128 | 102 | 77 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning | 19 | 23 | 22 |
| Transportation from living quarters to college ..................... | $39^{1}$ | 24 | $34^{1}$ |

[^3]

## Fees, Books and Supplies

Tuition fees accounted for 22.5 p.c. of the average student's budget and 24.1 p.c. of the budget of undergraduates. Fees differed not only from faculty to faculty but also from college to college for the same faculty. The highest fees were reported by the students of medicine, of whom 96.3 p.c. paid $\$ 395$ or more in tuition fees. Students of engineering paid average fees of $\$ 382$ and nearly one-half of them paid $\$ 395$ or more. Considered regionally, the medians for engineering were $\$ 354, \$ 395+$ and $\$ 297$ for the eastern, central and western regions, respectively. The students in Law reported the third highest fees with a median of $\$ 342$. The medians for the eastern, central and western regions were $\$ 332$, $\$ 395+$ and $\$ 233$, respectively. The median fees were highest in the central and lowest in the western
region for all faculties except Fducation, where they were lowest in the eastern region and where comparison is unfair. Fees were lower in the classical colleges than in other colleges.

Table 52 gives median expenditure on fees, books and supplies. For all faculties, the east had the highest median forbooks and supplies regionally and medical students had the highest median among the faculties, followed by engineering students. Students of education spent the least in all three regions. The maximum amount of money spent on books and supplies by any student was $\$ 380$ in medicine, $\$ 300$ in law, $\$ 250$ in arts and science, $\$ 225$ in engineering, $\$ 145$ in education. The maximum figures for the graduates and the students of classical colleges were $\$ 275$ and $\$ 130$, respectively.

TABLE 52. Median Expenditure on Fees and Books by Region for Each Faculty

|  | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees (tuition, etc.), total .................. | 299 | 382 | $395+$ | 342 | 192 | 324 |
| Eastern Region $\qquad$ <br> Central <br> Western | $\begin{aligned} & 272 \\ & 354 \\ & 222 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 395+ \\ & 297 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 395+ \\ & 395+ \\ & 395+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 332 \\ & 395+ \\ & 233 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 344 \\ & 216 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \\ & 384 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ |
| Books and supplies, total ................. | 53 | 82 | $85+$ | 51 | 50 | 58 |
| Eastern Region <br> Central $\qquad$ <br> Western | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 56 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 85+ \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85+ \\ & 85+ \\ & 85+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 53 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | 46 50 52 | 53 67 54 54 |

## Room and Board

Next to fees, food was the largest single item of expense in the sturlent budget. It accounted for 17.9 p.c. of the total money spent. The graduate students, many of whom were married, spent more on this item than did the undergraduates. A little less than one-quarter of the students reported no expenditure on this item as they lived at home.

Only 11.3 p.c. $\alpha$ the total expenditure was for room rent and 28.8 p.c. of the boys and girls paid no rent. The medians for room rent were low for the students of classical colleges and high for the graduates.

Table 53 gives median expenditure on room and board regionally by faculty. Both the medians for room and board were highest for the central region, and lowest for the west.

The room rent medians for the arts and science students of participating colleges ranged from $\$ 98$ to $\$ 270$ for the males and $\$ 98$ to $\$ 267$ for the females and the medians for board ranged from $\$ 212$ to $\$ 344$ for men and from $\$ 160$ to $\$ 354$ for women. Most of the female students spent less than male students on these items, but the differences were not very marked.

TABLE 53. Median Expenditure on Room and Board, by Region and Faculty

|  | Arts and <br> Sclence | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

TABLE 54. Median Expenditure on Room and Board and Per Cent of Students Reporting, by Faculty

| Faculty | Room rent |  | Board |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent reporting | Median | Per cent reporting | Median |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Arts and Sclence | 65.3 | 161 | 73.2 | 285 |
| Engineering .... | 77.5 | 195 | 83.7 | 314 |
| Medicine.. | 81.0 | 260 | 87.4 | 361 |
| Law | 74.7 | 274 | 80.0 | 332 |
| Educstion........... | 77.2 | 188 | 81.4 | 251 |
| Classical Colleges... | 63.3 | 98 | 77.5 | 222 |
| Graduates .... | 80.8 | 339 | 81.1 | 339 |

## Fraternity ar Sorority Dues

About one-fifth ( 21.9 p.c.) of the students reported paying fraternity or sorority dues, with a median of $\$ 26$. The highest amounts were reported by the law students and the lowest by students of classical colleges, $\$ 36$ and $\$ 7$, respectively. Median dues for the graduates was $\$ 25$. There was little difference between male and female students with the female students paying out a little more on this item.

## Snacks, Hecreation and Entertainment

Most students reported expenditures on snacks, refreshments, recreation, entertainment, etc. Out of every dollar 11 cents was spent on these. Male
students spent more than females. The average amounts spent by males and females for snacks, refreshments, etc. were $\$ 54$ and $\$ 35$ and for recreation and entertainment $\$ 78$ and $\$ 35$, respectively. The average amount spent on snacks, refreshments, etc. was $\$ 50$ for undergraduates, $\$ 42$ for classical colleges and $\$ 53$ for the graduates. For recreation and entertainment the medians for the above three groups were, in order, $\$ 70, \$ 40$ and $\$ 80$. The amount spent on personal items such as cosmetics, snacks, cigarettes, tobacco, etc. was about two-thirds of the amount spent on recreation and entertainment. About 10 p.c. of all students spent $\$ 195$ or more on recreation and entertainment, and only 7 p.c. spent less than $\$ 5$.
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There were noticeable regional differences in the amounts spent on these items. The medians for eastern, central and westem regions on snacks, refreshments, etc. were $\$ 60, \$ 51$ and $\$ 40$ and on recreation and entertainment $\$ 54, \$ 86$ and $\$ 64$, respectively. Among all the faculties, law students had the highest median, and considering regions law students in the central region had a median of $\$ 106$ which was the highest of all groups.

## Health

Most students reported spending money on health but usually the amounts were small. The median expenditure for the total group was $\$ 18$. Graduate students spent more money on this item than undergraduates; the medians were $\$ 33$ and $\$ 16$, respectively, and $2 \mathrm{p.c}$. of the students spent $\$ 195$. Male and female arts and science students spent $\$ 15$ and $\$ 17$, respectively on health.

Grooming (Haircuts, Permanents, Cosmetics, etc.)
Expenditure on this item was reported by nearly all of the students. The median for the total group was $\$ 19$, for the graduates $\$ 21$, and for the undergraduates $\$ 18$. About 5 p.c. of the students spent less than $\$ 5$, and about 3 p.c. spent more than $\$ 55$. The medians for male and female students in arts and science were $\$ 16$ and $\$ 18$, respectively. Of the total expended $1.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. went for grooming.

## Clothing

Only 5.3 p.c. of the students reported no expenditures on clothing. Students from the classical colleges spent more on clothing than other undergraduates. The medians for classical college undergraduates, other undergraduates and graduates respectively were $\$ 145, \$ 108$ and $\$ 115$. Although the average for the graduates was higher than for the undergraduates it formed a smaller proportion of their total expenditure which was generally higher. As might be expected women spent more money on clothing than did men. The medians were $\$ 149$ and $\$ 96$, respectively. A few female students reported spending as much as $\$ 800$ on clothing.

Regional differences for this item were not marked. Medians for the eastem, central and westem regions were $\$ 102$, $\$ 112$ and $\$ 106$, respectively. But in the eastem region students in education had the highest median, and in the central and western regions the law students. For this iten, engineering was the lowest in all the three regions.

## Laundry and Dry Cleaning

About one-fifth of the students spent less than $\$ 5$ on laundry and dry cleaning, and 6.7 p.c. spent $\$ 55$ or more. The students of medicine and law spent more than those of other faculties. Their medians
were $\$ 28$ and $\$ 31$, respectively, whereas the median for all the students was $\$ 16$ or out of a total expenditure of $\$ 100, \$ 1.60$ went for cleaning. The classical college sturents spent almost 2 p.c. of their money
on laundry and dry cleaning. Male students spent more than female students probably because the majority of females did most of their own. The medians were $\$ 17$ and $\$ 10$, respectively.

TABLE 55. Per Cent Reporting and Median Expenditure on Fraternity Dues, Snacks, Recreation, Health, Grooming, Clothing and Laundry, by Faculties

| Items of expenditure | Arts and Sclence |  | Engineering |  | Medicine |  | Law |  | Education |  | Classical Colleges |  | Graduates |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \$ | $\%$ | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | $\%$ | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ |
| Fraternity or sororlty dues | 14 | 34 | 21 | 27 | 41 | 34 | 30 | 36 | 10 | 9 | 60 | 7 | 18 | 25 | 22 | 26 |
| Snacks, pefreshments, clgarettes and tobacco ......... | 93 | 45 | 94 | 57 | 95 | 64 | 96 | 91 | 91 | 36 | 91 | 42 | 90 | 53 | 93 | 50 |
| Recreation and entertainment | 97 | 62 | 98 | 82 | 99 | 106 | 97 | 123 | 92 | 35 | 97 | 40 | 95 | 80 | 97 | 69 |
| Health | 70 | 16 | 73 | 16 | 72 | 17 | 76 | 21 | 62 | 12 | 84 | 21 | 80 | 33 | 72 | 18 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. | 95 | 17 | 96 | 17 | 97 | 22 | 97 | 23 | 91 | 13 | 95 | 19 | 97 | 21 | 95 | 19 |
| Clothing - including footwear | 95 | 109 | 92 | 80 | 96 | 112 | 94 | 134 | 97 | 112 | 98 | 145 | 95 | 115 | 85 | 112 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning .... | 76 | 14 | 84 | 20 | 88 | 28 | 84 | 31 | 69 | 10 | 78 | 17 | 84 | 23 | 79 | 18 |

TABLE 56. Median Expenditure on Fraternity Dues, Snacks, Recreation, Health, Grooming, Clothing and Laundry, by Regions

| Items of expenditure | Eastern | Central | Western |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |
| Fraternity or sorority dues ${ }^{2}$ | 24 | 35 | 34 |
| Snacks, refreshments, cigarettes and tobacco......... | 60 | 51 | 40 |
| Recreation and entertainment................................... | 54 | 86 | 64 |
| Health | 13 | 17 | 18 |
| Grooming, heircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc.... | 14 | 20 | 16 |
| Clothing - including footwear ................................ | 102 | 112 | 106 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning ...................................... | 19 | 18 | 12 |

[^4]
## Transportation

In the questionnalre the item on transportation was divided into three sub-categories: transportation from home town to dwelling in college town; transportation from living quarters to college; and all other transportation. Transportation from home town to college concerned only those students whose home was not in the college town but was at such a distance that they could not commute to college
every day. A number of students from foreign lands also fell in this group. Of the total, 58 p.c. reported expenditure on this item with a median of $\$ 44$. The graduates reported $\$ 59$ and the students of classical colleges $\$ 36$. The male students of arts and science reported an average expenditure of $\$ 42$ and the female students, $\$ 40$. About $1.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{C}$. of the students spent $\$ 295$ or more on this item. The medians for the eastern, central and western regions were $\$ 45$. $\$ 45$ and $\$ 36$, respectively.
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Almost two-thirds of the students reported no expenditure on transportation from living quarters to college. Median expenditure for the others was $\$ 42$. The undergraduates had a median of $\$ 40$, the graduates $\$ 55$ and the classical colleges $\$ 21$. The medians for the eastern, central and westem regions were $\$ 32, \$ 41$ and $\$ 42$.

A small amount was expended as "all other transportation", more than half of the students spending less than $\$ 5$.

Transportation was not a major item of expenditure. There were exceptional students who came from distant lands and spent considerable on transportation. On the average, however, out of every hundred dollars spent, transportation took $\$ 5.40$ of which $\$ 3.10$ was used for transportetion from home dwelling to college town. Graduates and undergraduates spent about the same proportion of their money on transportation of all kinds.

## Church and Charitable Donations

This item formed about one per cent of the total student expenditure. The graduate students contributed a little more than the undergraduates, $\$ 14$ compared with $\$ 10$ on the average. Among undergraduates the law students contributed the most. education students the least. About one-quarter of all students reported less than $\$ 5$ for church and charitable donations. Some of the students living at home would consider this as family expenditure.

## Other Current Expenditures

Since items of current expenditure listed might not have included all of the money spent by the students an additional item covering other current expenses was included. It was divided into current expenses related to college attendance and those not related to college attendance. Almost twothirds of the students did not report any other current expenses related to attendance at college. Those who did, had a median of $\$ 18$ and about 60 p.c. of them spent less than $\$ 50$. The graduate students reported a median of $\$ 36$ for this item.

Current expenses not related to college attendance accounted for 5.1 p.c. of the total money spent with a median of $\$ 53$. Items such as payment on insurance policies, income tax, vacations, etc., were included here. Median for the graduates was \$163. Regional differences in this regard were not very marked. A slightly larger percentage of men than women reported expenditures here and spent more. The medians were $\$ 53$ and $\$ 42$ for men and women, respectively. About 46.6 p.c. of the students reported no additional expense for items not related to college attendance, but 1.1 p.c. reported $\$ 795$ or more.

## Capital costs

This item included money spent for capital purchases including payments on such inexpensive objects as ash trays, bulbs and plugs, cushions,
etc.: larger expenditures on musical instruments, radio and television sets, fur coats, engagement and wedding rings, jewellery, etc.; and payments on furniture, houses and automobiles. Articles of educational value such as slide rules, microscopes, typewriters, etc. were not reported frequently. Expenses incurred on a honeymoon trip were also reported as capital costs.

About two-thirds of the students did not mention any such purchases, and 40 p.c. of those who reported spent less than $\$ 50$. Only 6 students reported spending $\$ 3,000$ or more. None spent more than $\$ 9,000$. Table 57 gives the median expenditure on this item by faculty and also by region. On the average the graduates spent the most and the students of classical colleges the least. There was no consistency among the medians for region or faculty. Among the faculties law was the highest,
but medicine was highest in the east. In the west medical students spent less than one-half of the average for law students. Among the undergraduates (excluding the classical colleges) education and engineering had the lowest medians.

In the faculty of Arts and sclence $36 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the men and only 18 p.c. of the women spent money on capital purchases. Not only did a higher percentage of men make such purchases, but they also spent more money than women. Their medtans were $\$ 72$ and $\$ 41$ for men and women, respectively. Not one woman spent more than $\$ 1,200$, whereas two men spent over $\$ 3,000$.

On the whole about 5 p.c. of the total money was spent on capital purchases, but the graduates spent 8.2 p.c. of their money on these and the students of classical colleges only 2.4 p.c.


TABLE 57. Median Expenditure and Per Cent Reporting Capital Purchases by Faculties and Regions

| Region | Arts and Sclence | Englneering | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical <br> Colleges ${ }^{1}$ | Graduates ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Per cent reporting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern.. | 46 | 56 | 134 | 94 | 29 | - | - | 58 | 30.2 |
| Central... | 75 | 58 | 145 | 261 | 64 | - | - | 86 | 35.9 |
| Western....... | 69 | 72 | 83 | 194 | 75 | - | - | 75 | 34.1 |
| Total. | 64 | 59 | 133 | 173 | 59 | 38 | 168 | 77 | 33.6 |

[^5]
## Total Expenditure

The students' total expenditure for the college year was discussed at the beginning of this chapter. Chart 19 shows the division of a dollar into the major items of expenditure for a college student. Room and board required about $29 \$$ out of the dollar, and to a large extent this item did not apply to many of those whose parents dwelt in a college town. For them fees and books were the items of expenditure which required the largest amount of money and which were fixed according to field of study and
college selected. The expenditure on the other items could be decreased in most cases, to a minimum by those who wanted to live on economy budgets and increased for those able to spend more. A number of students spent literally nothing or a bare minimum on recreation, clothing, transportation, etc. The amount of money spent by a student was not always positively related to the quality of education, since it depended how and where he spent what he had. Some students did wi thout books, others spent $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ on them.

## CHAPTER 4

## Amount and Sources of University Student Income, 1956-57

One section of the questionnaire form was planned so that students could show the sources from which they received money for their college education. In completing the form a few students, mostly graduates, reported more incone than they spent, so the median shown for total income, $\$ 1,226$, was $\$ 11$ ahove the inedian for total expenditure, $\$ 1,215$. Those who reported debts at the end of the year did so in another section. No attempt was made to arrive at a bookkeeping balance.

Most students depended on a number of sources for their money, but the majority received a variety of amounts from such common sources as summer and part-time earnings, family funds, scholarships and grants in aid, loans and gifts. Smaller percentages reported using suins from investments, trust funds, endowments, insurance policies, savings, earnings of spouse, etc.

The survey showed that students received more from eamings than from any other specified source. Undergraduate students other than those in the classical colleges derived 31.1 p.c. of their income from summer earnings and 8.8 p.c. from part-time jobs, or two-fifths of the total from earnings. Graduates received 15.3 p.c. from summer job sav-
ings and 23.6 p.c. from part-time employment. Sums from their families and gifts accounted for 27.6 p.c. of undergraduate income and $7.1 \mathrm{p.c}$. of graduate income. Scholarships, bursaries, contributions from employers and other grants in aid accounted for 30.5 p.c. of the graduates' income and 9.8 p.c. of that of the undergraduates. Loans from all sources provided 10.7 p.c. for undergraduates and 4.6 p.c. for graduates. The remaining 12 p.c. for undergraduates and 18.9 p.c. for graduates came from such other sources as savings, investments, spouse's eamings, etc. Table 58 shows this in greater detail. Whereas Table 58 distributes total income of undergraduate and graduate students by various sources Table 59 gives the percentage of students in the various faculties who received some money from each of these sources irespective of amount received. Table 60 gives the median amount received by those who received any amount from each of these sources for the various faculties. Tables 59 and 60 should be read together, e.g., they show that 16.0 p.c. of arts and science students received scholarships, the median being $\$ 286,11.3$ p.c. of the arts and science students received bursaries with a median value of $\$ 192$; and $14.9 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of all students received scholarships half above and half below $\$ 317$.

T\BLE 58. Per Cent of Student Income, by Source

| Sources | Undergraduates ${ }^{1}$ | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scholarshlps, prizes | 3.5 | 0.9 | 14.6 | 4.4 |
| Bursaries | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affalrs | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| Other grants in aid | 1.4 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 2.0 |
| Loans (Incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): <br> (I) from callege |  |  |  |  |
| (ii) from benk .................................................................................... | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 0.6 |
| (ili) from parental family ......................................... | 5.9 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 5. 2 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives ..................................... | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| (v) from other sources ........ | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Punds from parental family | 25.6 | 51.2 | 6.2 | 25.5 |
| Gifts from relatives and friends | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Sa vings - (proceeds from): |  |  |  |  |
| (i) summer jobs (net savings) ........... | 31.1 | 34.6 | 15.3 |  |
| (ii) part-ume Jobs during school y ear ....................... | 8.8 | 3.0 | 23.6 | 9.8 |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated <br> before summer 1956 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 5. 2 | 6.0 |
| endowment, insurance policies, etc. | 1.7 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.9 |
| Other sources. | 3.8 | 1.0 | 9.6 | 4.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

${ }^{2}$ Excluding Classical Colleges.
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TABLE 59. Per Cent of Students Receiving Income from Various Sources, by Faculty

| Sources | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edu- } \\ & \text { cation } \end{aligned}$ | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scholarships, prizes | 16.0 | 13.6 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 17.4 | 7.2 | 29.4 | 14.9 |
| Bursaries | 11.3 | 15.6 | 13.2 | 4.2 | 16.2 | 19.0 | 12.1 | 12.6 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affairs | 1.6 | 1. 3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 5.9 | 8.1 | 4. 1 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) .... | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0.6 |
| Other grants in aid | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1. 2 | 29.4 | 2.9 | 17.9 | 5.5 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): <br> (i) from college | 2.3 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 |  |
| (ii) from bank ........................................ | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| (iii) from parental family ....................... | 15.7 | 21.6 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 17.5 | 5.2 | 10.8 | 16.7 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives ................ | 6.3 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| (v) from other sources ............................ | 4.8 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 6.5 |
| Funds from parental family ....................... | 62.9 | 51.7 | 61.4 | 47.2 | 41.8 | 93.4 | 23.7 | 58.5 |
| Gifts from relatlves and friends ................ | 22.9 | 19.1 | 25.0 | 14.0 | 18.6 | 24.8 | 14.6 | 21.4 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): <br> (i) summer jobs (net savings) | 79.4 | 89.9 | 86.1 | 78.8 | 60.7 | 55.4 | 51.7 | 76.9 |
| (ii) part-time Jobs during school year ... <br> (111) amount used from personal saving | 30.2 | 19.8 | 27.1 | 49.1 | 15.1 | 12.6 | $51.9$ | $28.2$ |
| accumulsted before summer 1956 (iv) amount used from money invest- | 25. 2 | 26.5 | 23.5 | 25.1 | 36.7 | 7.3 | 24.4 | 24.6 |
| ments, trust funds, endowment, insurance policies, etc. $\qquad$ | 6.6 | 6.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 6.6 |
| Other sources | 9.3 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 22, 3 | 12. 1 |

TABLE 60. Median Income from Various Sonrces, by Faculty

| Sources | Arts and Science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Engi- } \\ \text { neering } \end{gathered}$ | Medicine | Law | Education | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scholarships, prizes | 286 | 288 | $320 \quad 232$ |  | 320 | 126 | $995+$ | 317 |
| Bursaries | 192 | 276 | 286 | 238 | 178 | 170 | 344 | 227 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affairs | 505 | 578 | 985 | 1 | 470 | 1 | 811 | 577 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. . | 160 | $985+$ | 244 | 334 | 76 | 207 | 394 | 272 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) ...... | 326 | 323 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 250 | 1,494 | 392 |
| Other grants in aid .................................. | 286 | 252 | 258 | 244 | 519 | 154 | $995+$ | 460 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) from college <br> (ii) from bank | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \\ & 302 \\ & 324 \\ & 194 \\ & 246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263 \\ & 336 \\ & 335 \\ & 258 \\ & 268 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 286 \\ & 376 \\ & 578 \\ & 416 \\ & 328 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \\ & 544 \\ & 564 \\ & 322 \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 306 \\ & 395 \\ & 297 \\ & 183 \\ & 356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 354 \\ 170 \\ 166 \\ 72 \\ 221 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \\ & 308 \\ & 312 \\ & 266 \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | 255330355245276 |
| (iii) from parental family ............................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (iv) from friends of relatives ...................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (v) from other sources ............................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funds from parental family ........................ | 508 | 488 | 864 | 708 | 320 | 683 | 421 | 552 |
| Gifts from relatives and friends .................. | 46 | 63 | 121 | 147 | 50 | 68 | 58 | 62 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): <br> (i) summer jobs (net savings) | 486169 | $\begin{aligned} & 655 \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 554 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 235 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 533 \\ & 427 \end{aligned}$ | 507217 |
| (ii) part-time jobs during school year ...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956. | 238 | 262 | 294 | 339 | 344 | 72 | 329 | 266 |
| (iv) amount used from money investments, trust funds, endowment, insurance policies, etc. | 206 | 184 | 282 | 272 | 254 | 88 | 320 | 226 |
| Other sources. | 118 | 190 | 826 | 928 | 147 | 64 | 577 | 258 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of students in these categories is too small to calculate reliable medians.

A casual study of Tables 59 and 60 indicates that a higher percentage of graduates than of any other group received scholarships, 29.4 p.c. with a median of $\$ 1,000$ or better, but that for bursaries the highest percentage was found among the classical college students, 19.0 p.c. with a median value of $\$ 170$. "Other grants in aid" were provided most often for students in education, 29.4 p.c., and secondly to graduates, 17.9 p.c. with medians of $\$ 519$ and $\$ 1,000+$, respectively.

A comparatively small number of students borrowed money during the year. Of these the largest number, 16.7 p.c. of the students, borrowed from their parents, and 7 p.c. from other relatives. Only 3.4 p.c. borrowed from the college and 2.2 p.c. from banks. The usual amounts borrowed were from $\$ 245$ to $\$ 355$.

Under the general heading, savings, students were asked to report the amounts saved from summer employment, part-time jobs, personal savings used, and amounts from trust funds, etc. The percentage with summer jobs varied from faculty to faculty as did the amounts saved.A ge, experience and specialized training were factors favoring certain faculties although demand for such varied rather widely from
year to year. The percentage by faculty using savings of from $\$ 238$ to $\$ 344$, on the average, was quite consistent except for the classical colleges where only 7.3 p.c. used savings with a median of $\$ 72$. Comparatively few, some 6.6 p.c. for all faculties, used amounts from trust funcis, etc. Of these, more medical and law students made use of such sources and received slightly more on the average than the others.

Regional differences shown in Table 61 did not range widely for most items and were not consistently high or low for any region.

Scholarships and other grants in aid were 'highest in the eastern region. Bursaries, grants from National Defence, Regular Officers Training Plan, etc. and Department of Veterans Affairs were highest in the central region, and leave of absence with pay was highest in the western region. Medians for funds from family, gifts, savings from summer jobs and part-time job earnings were highest in the central region. Western region students had the highest medians for amounts from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956 and investments and insurance policies.

## TABLE 61. Median Income of Undergraduates from Various Sources, by Region

| Sources | Eastern | Central | Western | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | dol |  |  |
| Scholarships, prizes ................................................... | 327 | 284 | 240 | 290 |
| Bursarles | 160 | 282 | 167 | 230 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affairs .............................................. | 558 | 629 | 380 | 574 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. ....................................... | 244 | 320 | 250 | 270 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) | 350 | 216 | 449 | 329 |
| Other grants in ald ......................................................... | 488 | 197 | 300 | 430 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): |  |  |  |  |
| (1) from college ................................................. | 248 | 244 | 292 | 258 |
| (ii) from bank... | 358 | 336 | 290 | 336 |
| (iii) from parental family | 382 | 372 | 323 | 362 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives | 257 | 264 | 213 | 249 |
| (v) from other sources .............................................. | 278 | 269 | 298 | 278 |
| Funds from parental family | 517 | 606 | 386 | 532 |
| Gifts from relatives and frlends ...................................... | 60 | 68 | 46 | 61 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): |  |  |  |  |
| (1) summer jobs (net savings) ................................. | 490 | 546 | 530 | 526 |
| (ti) part-time jobs during school year ....................... | 170 | 213 | 186 | 195 |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956 | 256 | 262 | 288 | 266 |
| (iv) emount used from money investments, trust funds endowment, insurance policies, etc. | 221 | 210 | 251 | 220 |
| Other sources .............................................................. | 197 | 320 | 249 | 261 |

There were some marked differences found between the percentages of men and women students receiving money from various sources and the amounts received. A significantly larger percentage of female than male arts and science students received scholarships. However the median amount of money recelved by women was smaller, $\$ 278$ compared with $\$ 293$ for men. Of those receiving scholarships, 50 p.c. or more received at least sufficient to pay tuition fees. The average amounts received as bursaries were smaller than the scholarships and a larger per cent of male students received them, but they received a little less on the average than the women. The average amounts received from other grants were higher for men.

More than one-half of the men and threefourths of the women received some financial assistance from their families. On the average
the female students received larger amounts as shown in Table 62.

About 85 p.c. of male and $68 \mathrm{p.c}$. of female arts and science students reported summer savings. The medians were larger for the males; 25 p.c. of the males saved $\$ 794$ or more whereas the same percentage of women saved $\$ 461$ or more. Part-time work provided help for a higher percentage of men than women. Table 62 further shows that a smaller percentage of women borrowed money, but in most cases they borrowed more, e.g. 75 p.c. of the male students who borrowed from their parents received $\$ 565$ or less, while the corresponding figure for the female students was $\$ 740$.

The data shows that the men eamed more, saved more and got more by way of grants whereas the women recelved more from their families and borrowed more.


TABLE 62. Per Cent Involved and 25th, 50 th and 75 th Percentiles of Income from Various Sources for Male and Female Arts and Science Students

| Sources | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent reporting | Percentiles |  |  | Per cent reporting | Percentiles |  |  |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th |  | 25th | 50th | 75th |
| Scholarships, prizes | 13.8 | 142 | 293 | 500 | 20.9 | 135 | 278 | 495 |
| Bursaries | 11.6 | 127 | 189 | 301 | 10.5 | 126 | 208 | 345 |
| Dept, of Veterans Affairs | 1.6 | 348 | 533 | 695 | 1.7 | 245 | 459 | 592 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 8.0 | 63 | 185 | 866 | 1.2 | 34 | 64 | 94 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) .... | 0.4 | 159 | 324 | 477 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Other grants in aid | 3.4 | 137 | 289 | 477 | 1.9 | 132 | 277 | 448 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) from college $\qquad$ <br> (ii) from bank | 2.6 | 123 | 222 | 370 | 1.7 | 134 | 245 | 355 |
| (iii) from parental family .......................................... | 18.1 | 160 | 321 | 565 | 10.2 | 155 | 340 | 740 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives | 7.5 | 68 | 181 | 331 | 3.9 | 100 | 250 | 413 |
| (v) from other sources ........................................ | 5.6 | 111 | 238 | 422 | 3.0 | 169 | 283 | 395 |
| Funds from parental family | 56.2 | 208 | 455 | 862 | 78.2 | 318 | 664 | $995+$ |
| Gifts from relatives and friends | 21.8 | 24 | 39 | 152 | 25.3 | 26 | 54 | 145 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) summer jobs (net savings) ................................. | 84.6 | 358 | 559 | 794 | 67.8 | 194 | 303 | 461 |
| (ii) part-time jobs during school year ................. | 32.3 | 73 | 203 | 378 | 25.4 | 40 | 84 | 278 |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956 | 26.6 | 165 | 253 | 416 | 22.1 | 67 | 193 | 366 |
| (iv) amount used from money investments, trust funds, endowment, insurance policies, etc. | 6.5 | 60 | 167 | 357 | 6.9 | 102 | 285 | 537 |
| Other sources ......................................................... | 20.7 | 54 | 142 | 423 | 16.1 | 45 | 86 | 277 |

${ }^{2}$ The number of students in these categories is too small to calculate percentiles.
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## Scholarships

The students were asked to include all scholarships, bursaries and prizes awarded to them for academic achievements under scholarships. About 14 p.c. of the undergraduates and 29.4 p.c. of the graduates reported such awards. The medians, as shown in Chart 23 have a range of from $\$ 126$ for classical colleges to $\$ 995$ for graduates. Education students had the highest percentage of scholarship recipients among the faculties and their median, along with that for medical students was also highest.

## Scholarships and Age

It was found that the younger students were awarded more scholarships than the older ones, e.go, 63.5 p.c. of the students were 21 years of age and
under, and received 71.4 p.c. of the total. However, except for the first age groups, the median amounts received increased with age. Median awards for those 30 years and up were $\$ 995$ or more.

## Scholarships and Year in Course

A larger percentage of first year students than of any other year received scholarships. Median amounts received were about the same for all years except the fifth where many of the students were specializing and hence showed a disproportionate increase.

Of the 14.9 p.c. of students who received scholarships, 5.5 p.c. went to first year students, 4.2 p.c. to the second, 2.7 p.c. to the third, 1.9 p.c. to the fourth and 0.6 p.c. to the fifth year students.

TABLE 63. Medians and Per Cent of Scholarship Awards, by Age Groups


TABLE 64. Medians and Per Cent of Scholarship Awards, hy Year of Course
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PER CENT OF STUDENTS WITH SCHOLARSHIP AWARDS BY YEAR IN COURSE
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## Regional Differences in Scholarships

Regional differences in scholarship awards are given in Table 65. The eastem region had the highest medians for all the faculties and also for the total undergraduates. The central region came next and the westem last. Some of the differences were
more marked than others. The median for law students in the westem region was only $\$ 94$, and for the eastern region $\$ 294$, a difference of $\$ 200$. The highest for any region and any faculty was $\$ 457$ for education students in the eastern region, reflecting in part Newfoundland's scholarship plan for teachers.

TABLE 65. Median Scholarships, by Faculty and Region

| Region | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 317 | 293 | 394 | 294 | 457 | 327 |
| Central | 274 | 290 | 325 | 244 | 320 | 284 |
| Western..... | 244 | 244 | 223 | 94 | 236 | 240 |

## Scholarships and Expenditure

Table 66 shows a positive relationship between increase in the scholarship amount and increase in expenditure. It is likely that those who got more money from scholarships could afford to spend more
than those who received smaller awards. As was previously noticed, students without scholarship awards generally reported higher median amounts from family funds, higher family incomes, and higher inedians for expenditure as well.

TABLE 66. Median Total Expenditure and Scholarships as Related to Each Other

| Scholarships | Median expenditure | Expenditure | Median scholarships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Under \$95. | 1,070 | Under \$495 ......................................... | 239 |
| \$95-\$394.......................................... | 1,108 | \$495-\$794 ................................... | 246 |
| \$395-\$994 ........................................... | 1,239 | \$795-\$1,194 | 271 |
| \$995 and up ...................................... | 1,495+ | \$1,195-\$1,494 .................................. | 325 |
| None | 1,222 | \$1,495 and up .................................... | 598 |

TABLE 6\%. Amount of Schol arship Awards and 25 th, 50 th and 75 th Percentiles of Total Expenditures

| Scholarships | Percentiles of Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25th | 50 th | 75th |
|  |  | dollars |  |
| Under \$95 | 836 | 1,070 | 1,356 |
| \$95-\$394 | 870 | 1,108 | 1,395 |
| \$395-\$994 | 954 | 1,239 | 1,495 + |
| \$995 and up. | 1,440 | 1,495 + | 1.495 + |
| None.. | 978 | 1,222 | 1. 478 |

## Scholarships and Funds from Family

About one-third of the students in the sample received neither scholarships nor contributions from their family, and $17.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of those with scholarship awards received no funds from family. A little over 21 p.c. of scholarship recipients received less than $\$ 95$ from their families, 4.4 p.c. of them received $\$ 995$ or more, the rest fell between. Generally those who reported receiving more money from their family had scholarships of lesser value, most often given in recognition of scholarship and not need. The
median for scholarship awards decreased as funds from family increased and vice versa. The figures showed a negative relationship between those. This might lead to the conclusion that many scholarships were based on both academic achievement and need, except for those of $\$ 1,000$ and $u p$. It also appears as if students receiving fairly substantial scholarships did not get as much from home. It may also indicate that scholarships are a determining factor for those students whose parents are unable to contribute much assistance.

TABLE 68. Funds from Family and Scholarship Iwards

| Scholarships | Funds from family |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median funds from family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | -\$95 | \$95-\$394 | \$395-\$694 | \$695-\$994 | \$995 + | Total |  |
|  | per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Under \$95.. | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2. 5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 430 |
| \$95-\$394 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 364 |
| \$395-\$994 ........................... | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 4. 1 | 302 |
| \$995 and up .......................... | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 245 |
| None .................................... | 82.5 | 78.4 | 80.8 | 85.9 | 91.6 | 95.6 | 85.1 | 589 |
| Total ................................. | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 |  |
| Median scholarships .......... \$ | 393 | 311 | 283 | 253 | 210 | 129 | 317 |  |

## Scholarships and Family Income

Tables 69 and 70 and Chart 27 show the relationship between scholarship awards and family income. Median family income for all students surveyed was $\$ 4,908$. Those receiving scholarships reported average family income $\$ 1,000$ below those who did not receive them, and the students with scholarships of $\$ 94$ or less reported higher incomes than those with higher scholarships, e.g. scholarships of \$95-\$394, \$395-\$994 and \$995 and up were reported by students whose average family incomes averaged $\$ 4,104, \$ 3,951$ and $\$ 4,214$, respectively. Similarly the percentage receiving scholarships decreased as family income increased ranging from $81.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. for incomes from two to four thousand to 92.3 p.c. for those reporting family incomes of ten thousand or more.

Almost 4.6 p.c. more of the female than male students received scholarships and except for scholarships of $\$ 1,000$ and up, where the percentage of males was twice that of females, scholarships for females were as high or higher at all family income levels.

Married students were not asked to report their parental family income. The 7.9 p.c. of students who did not report this item were for the most part married or older students away from home. Almost 7.2 p.c. of students receiving scholarships did not state farnily income, but reported by far the highest median scholarships, $\$ 890$. Among those reporting family income, the highest scholarships were reported by those with widowed mothers, retired parents and others with incomes under $\$ 2,000$. For the other income categoties median scholarships dropped regularly as income increased.

TABLE 69. Relation of Family Income to Size of Scholarships

| Family income | Per cent of total scholarships | Median scholarship | Scholarships | Median family income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Under \$2,000 | 8.6 | 353 | Under $\$ 95$ | 4,812 |
| \$2,000-\$3,999.. | 10.5 | 306 | \$ 95-\$394 | 4,104 |
| \$4,000-\$6,999 ... | 33. 3 | 297 | \$395-\$994 | 3, 951 |
| \$7,000 - \$9,999 ... | 32. 2 | 282 | \$995 and up ................................. | 4, 214 |
| \$10,000 and up ....... | 8.2 | 265 | No scholarship ........................... | 5, 273 |
| Not reported................ | 7.2 | 890 |  |  |
| Total... | 100. 0 | 317 | Total ....................................... | 4,908 |
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TABLE 70. Per Cent of Students Receiving Scholarships,by Family Income Group, and Sex

|  | Family income group |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent of males | Per cent of temales | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & \$ 3,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4,000- \\ & \$ 6,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,000= \\ & \$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 10,000$ and up | Not stated |  |  |  |
| Under \$95 | 2. 6 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1. 1 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2. 5 |
| \$95-\$394 ......................... | 10.1 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 4. 6 | 5.9 | 9.3 | 6.7 |
| \$395-\$994 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 4.1 |
| \$995 and up ....................... | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| None | 77.2 | 81.5 | 85. 5 | 89.0 | 92.3 | 83.7 | 86.2 | 81.6 | 85. 1 |
| Total .......................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 |

## Bursaries

Bursaries are generally awards granted to able students because of need. This survey found that they carried lesser amounts of money and were fewer in number than the scholarships. About 12.6 p.c. of the students received bursaries to supplement their income from other sources. The amount received accounted for 2 p.c. of the total income for all students. Chart 28 shows the 25 th, 50 th and 75th
percentiles of bursary awards by faculty. It seemed that about 50 p.c. of the students who receive bursaries in some faculties received enough to pay their tuition fees.

Although 23.8 p.c. of those reporting were women, only 22.1 p.c. of the bursary awards went to women. The percentage of women getting bursaries became smaller as the awards increased; no women recelved a bursary of $\$ 995$ or over.

TABLE 71. Amount of Bursary Awards and $25 t h$, 50 th and 75 th Percentiles of Total Expenditure

| Bursaries | Expenditure percentiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25th | 50th | 75th |
|  | dollars |  |  |
| Under \$95 | 657 | 936 | 1,147 |
| \$95-\$394 | 870 | 1. 128 | 1,443 |
| \$395-\$994 | 1,082 | 1. 334 | 1.495 + |
| \$995 and up | 1,345 | 1.495 + | 1.495* |
| None | 929 | 1. 225 | 1.495 + |



## Bursaries and Year in Course and Age

Of the total, 87.4 p.c. had no bursaries as compared with 85.1 p.c. with no scholarships. More bursaries were given to first year students, where most of the students were found. Charts 28 and 29 show the relationship between the percentage of the students in the survey by year in course and bursary awards. Only in the $5-6-7$ year group was the percentage receiving bursaries larger than their percentage in the survey and even there the differ-
ence was small. It would seem that bursaries had been distributed proportionably among the students of each year in course.

The median amount from bursaries increased with each increase in student age, but this was not true for number recelved. The 30 and over age group received fewest bursaries proportionably. No student under 18 received a bursary valued at $\$ 995$ or up.

TABLE 72. Median Bursaries by Year in Course and by Age Groups

| Year in course | Medians | Age Rroups | Medians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |
| 1 | 286 | Below 18 | 220 |
| 2 | 269 | 18-21 | 267 |
| 3 | 259 | 22-24 | 276 |
| 4 | 256 | 25-29 | 284 |
| 5,6 and 7 | 285 | 30 and up | 350 |

## Regional Differences in Bursaries

Regional differences with regard to bursary awards were not consistent. In education the highest median for bursaries (\$244) was found in the eastern region while in the central and western regions the medians were $\$ 187$ and $\$ 176$ respectively. The
highest medians for the faculties of Engineering, Law, and Arts and Science were in the central region and the highest for medical students in the westem. For all undergraduates the medians were $\$ 160, \$ 282$, and $\$ 167$ for eastern, central and westem regions, respectively.

CHART-29

## PER CENT OF STUDENTS WITH BURSARIES BY YEAR IN COURSE



## Bursaries, Funds from Family and Total Expenditure

Table 73 shows that students who had no bursaries received more from their families, and as the bursaries increased the family contribution decreased. Since a student who received money as a bursary award would not need as large an amount of money from his parents we find this reflected in the second part of Table 73. The figures in the first
part of Table 73 showed an inverse relationship between bursary grants and funds from family, except for those receiving $\$ 995$ and more from their family.

Total expenditure and amount of bursary grant seemed to be positively related since medians for bursaries increased regularly with each higher step of total expenditure.

TABL. 73. Relation of Bursaries to Funds Received from Family

| Funds from family | Median bursaries | Bursaries | Median funds from family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Under $\$ 95$ $\qquad$ <br> . \$95-\$394 $\qquad$ <br> \$395-\$694 $\qquad$ <br> \$695-\$994 $\qquad$ <br> $\$ 995$ and up $\qquad$ <br> None | $\begin{aligned} & 263 \\ & 262 \\ & 251 \\ & 225 \\ & 252 \\ & 285 \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | Under $\$ 95$ $\qquad$ $\$ 95-\$ 394 .$ $\qquad$ <br> \$395-\$994 $\qquad$ <br> $\$ 995$ and up $\qquad$ <br> None $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \\ & 304 \\ & 285 \\ & 245 \\ & 591 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 74. Relation of Bursaries to Expenditure

| Total expenditure | Median bursaries | Bursaries | Median total expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Under \$495........... | 125 | Under \$95 ........................................... | 936 |
| \$495- $\$ 794$ | 225 | $\$ 95-\$ 394$ | $1.128$ |
| $\qquad$ | 256 | \$995-\$994 - .-................................. | $1.334$ |
| \$1,195-\$1,494.................................................................. | 301 | \$995 and up $\qquad$ <br> None | $\begin{aligned} & 1,495+ \\ & 1,225 \end{aligned}$ |

## Bursaries and Family Income

Table 75 distributes bursary awards and relates them to family income. The distribution of the students receiving no bursaries varied little from that of the total. About 41.6 p.c. of all students reported family incomes under $\$ 4,000$, but two-thirds of this group received bursaries, one-quarter more than for all students. The percentage of bursary awards and their amount got smaller for each increase in famtly income. Only 2 p.c. of bursaries were awarded to 14 p.c. of students coming from families with an income of $\$ 10.000$ and over. Not a
single bursary of $\$ 995$ and up was awarded to a student whose family income was higher than $\$ 7,000$. There was a difference of $\$ 2,158$ between the medlan family income of those who had no bursaries and those who received the highest bursary grants.

Bursary award medians were $\$ 274$ and $\$ 256$ for men and women, respectively. No woman student had an award of $\$ 995$ and over whereas 18 men students received that much. Also the percentage of men receiving bursaries was slightly higher than their percentage in the sample, and the reverse was true for women, but these differences were not significant.

TABLE 75. Relation of Family Income to Size of Bursaries


## Contributions from National Defence, Department of Veterans Affairs, R.O.T.P., etc.

Altogether 147 or 1.5 p.c. of the students received contributions from the Department of Veterans Affairs. Of these 15 were graduates, 2 were from the classical colleges and the rest were other undergraduates. More than one-third of these received $\$ 985$ or over. The median amounts received by undergraduates and graduates were $\$ 574$ and
\$811. The medians for the eastern, central and western regions were $\$ 558, \$ 629$ and $\$ 380$, respecttvely. Very few students in the western region recelved any contribution from D.V.A.

Grants or other receipts from National Defence, R.O.T.P. and others in varying amounts were available to $5.4 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of those particlpating. About onethird of them received less than $\$ 95$ and a quarter
recelved $\$ 985$ or more. Graduates on the average received $\$ 394$ and the undergraduates $\$ 270$. Of the undergraduates, the engineering students received the most, a median amount of $\$ 985$. The median for students of arts and science was only $\$ 160$. Comnarative medians for the three regions were central $\$ 320$, eastern $\$ 244$ and western $\$ 250$. The total received amounted to $2 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}$. of the total income.

## Leave of Absence with Pay (or Part Pay)

Only 64 students reported leave of absence with full or part pay. About two-thirds of these received less than $\$ 500$, while another one-eighth
received $\$ 2,000$ or more. The median amount received was $\$ 392$. Students in the western region reported the highest amounts.

## Other Grants in Aid

Other grants in aid were reported by 5.5 D.c. of the students. Of these 27.1 p.c. were women, compared with the 23.8 p.c. they formed of the total. Similarly students of the first year in course who represented 33.4 p .c. of the total received 51.2 p.c. of these grants. The median grant of $\$ 603$ was also highest for the first year students compared with $\$ 460$ for the total group.

TABLE 76. Median Grants from Scholarships, Bursaries, DVA, National Defence, Leave of Absence with Pay and Other Grants, by Regions

| Source of grants | Eastern | Central | Western | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |  |  |
| Scholarships | 327 | 284 | 240 | 317 |
| Bursaries | 160 | 282 | 167 | 227 |
| DVA | 558 | 629 | 380 | 577 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 244 | 320 | 250 | 272 |
| Leave of absence with pay | 350 | 216 | 449 | 392 |
| Other grants in aid | 488 | 197 | 300 | 460 |
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## Funds from Family and Gifts from Relatives and Friends

The amount of money contributed by the family towards the education of its offspring probably depended on the need of the particular student and the availability of money. Those who lived at home did not need as much cash as those who lived away from home and the contribution of the parents of those going to college while living at home was not converted into dollars and cents. The total cash contributed by the family was second only to the students' own earnings. Funds from family amounted to a little over one-quarter of the total income of the students. About 59 p.c. received family funds averaging $\$ 552$ and 21.4 p.c. received gifts from relatives and friends averaging $\$ 62$. In the classical colleges $93.4 \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{c}$. of the students received family funds. Among other undergraduates the highest percentage receiving family funds were arts and science students. However, students of medicine received the highest median amount. Less than one-quarter of the graduate students received funds from the home; the average amount received was $\$ 421$. Regional averages by faculty are given in Table 78. For the family funds and also for gifts the central region was highest. The median amount in gifts for the eastern, central and western regions were $\$ 60$, $\$ 68$ and $\$ 46$, respectively.

Table 79 shows that median family contribution increased with family income. Families with an income of $\$ 7,000$ and more contributed $\$ 995$ or more towards their children's college education for the year. Students residing away from home received more money from home than those living at home, even where the family income was the same. This does not take into consideration that those living at home would not need as much cash and since most of them received free room and board and other extras which a resident family member normally gets. Some families provided all of the money required for the education of the student, even when their level of income was relatively low. Table 80 shows that about 41.5 p.c. of the students received no funds from their family; their median family income was $\$ 3,962$ which was lower than that for any other group. Median family income increased as funds from home increased. One-eighth of the students who received $\$ 995$ or more from their families came from families whose income was $\$ 9.211$.

In arts and science 56.2 p.c. of the men and 78.2 p.c. of the women received $\$ 455$ and $\$ 664$ from home, respectively. Gifts from relatives and friends benefitted some 21.8 p.c. of the male and 25.3 p.c. of the female students with median amounts of $\$ 39$ and $\$ 54$.

TABLE 77. Percentiles of Funds from Family, Median Gifts and Per Cent of Student Recipients, by Faculty

| Faculty | Funds from family |  |  |  | Gifts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent of students | Percentiles |  |  | Per cent of students | Medians |
|  |  | 25th | 50 th | 75th |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |
| Arts and Science ............................... | 62.9 | 246 | 508 | 881 | 22.9 | 46 |
| Engineering........................................ | 51.7 | 238 | 488 | 814 | 19.1 | 63 |
| Medicine ........................................... | 61.4 | 457 | 864 | $995+$ | 25.0 | 121 |
| Law ................................................... | 47.2 | 329 | 708 | 995 + | 14.0 | 147 |
| Education ......................................... | 41.8 | 166 | 320 | 594 | 18.6 | 50 |
| Classical Colleges ........................... | 93.4 | 416 | 683 | $995+$ | 24.8 | 68 |
| Graduates ......................................... | 23.7 | 217 | 421 | 838 | 14.6 | 58 |

TABLE 78. Median Funds from Family, by Faculty and Region

| Faculty | Eastern | Central | Western | All students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | dol |  |  |
| Arts and Science ............................................ | 522 | 567 | 371 | 508 |
| Engineering | 529 | 491 | 350 | 488 |
| Medicine | 870 | 907 | 634 | 864 |
| Law .................................................................. | 857 | 685 | 526 | 708 |
| Education ........................................................ | 252 | 720 | 375 | 320 |
| Total ............................................................. | 517 | 606 | 386 | 338 |

TABLE 79. Income from Family Funds for Those at Home and Not at Home, by Income Groups


TABLE 80. Per Cent Reporting Various Amounts from Parents and Median Family Income

| Funds from family | Per cent reporting | Median family income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ |
| \$5-\$94 | 4. 5 | 3.970 |
| \$95-\$394 | 17.4 | 4,761 |
| \$395-\$694 | 14.1 | 5,532 |
| \$695-\$994 | 9.8 | 6.328 |
| \$995 and up | 12.7 | 9.211 |
| None | 41.5 | 3,962 |

## Savings from Summer Jobs

Savings from summer jobs accounted for a greater part of the income of university students than any other single item. Out of every $\$ 100$ spent $\$ 29.80$ came from summer savings. An average saving of $\$ 507$ was reported. The summer jobs of the students and other related matters have been discussed in Chapter 2.

Of all students 76.9 p.c. reported summer savings ranging from a few dollars to $\$ 3,500$. Engineering students reported the highest percentage working and the highest median for summer savings. The classical college students reported the lowest average.

On the average undergraduates in the central region saved more than the students in the other two regions. However students in engineering, medicine and law from the western region saved more money than those in the central and eastern regions. In arts and science and education the central region had the highest medians.

As reported in an earlier chapter the women reported a lower median salary for summer jobs and a comparatively smaller percentage had summer jobs than the men and saved less. In arts and science 84.6 p.c. of men and 67.8 p.c. of women students reported savings averaging $\$ 559$ and $\$ 303$, respectively.

TA3LE 81. Per Cent with, and 25th, 50 th and 75 th Percentiles of Summer Savings, by Faculty

| Faculty | Per cent reporting | Percentiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th |
|  |  | dollars |  |  |
| Arts and Sclence | 79.4 | 277 | 486 | 691 |
| Engineering | 89.9 | 452 | 655 | 911 |
| Medicine | 86.1 | 362 | 554 | 775 |
| Law | 78.8 | 336 | 562 | 846 |
| Education | 60.7 | 166 | 300 | 507 |
| Classical Colleges | 55.4 | 119 | 235 | 352 |
| Graduates | 51.7 | 299 | 533 | 828 |
| Trital | 76. 9 | 286 | 507 | 748 |

TABLE 82. Median Summer Saving of Undergraduates, by Region and Faculty

| Faculty | Eastern | Central | Western | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Proceeds from Part-time Jobs

More than 25 p.c. of the students reported part-time jobs and median earnings of $\$ 217$. Altogether these accounted for 9.8 p.c. of the total student income and this combined with summer savings amounted to 39.6 p.c. of all income reported. For the graduates earnings from pant-time employment accounted for 23.6 p.c. of their income while for the classical college students it was only 3 p.c. The average earnings of the undergraduates with
part-time jobs was $\$ 195$ and for graduates, $\$ 427$. The two were significantly different, but the regional differences were not so marked. The medians for the eastern, central and western regions were $\$ 170$, $\$ 213$ and $\$ 186$, respectively for the undergraduates. Table 83 gives median earnings and the percentage of students having part-time Jobs. The average amount earned by the men was more than twice that of the women. The women worked fewer hours and for lower wages.

TABLE 83. Per Cent Reporting Proceeds from Part-time Employment and Median Amounts,by Faculty

| Fraculty | Per cent reporting | Medians |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Sums from Accumulated Funds

In addition to amounts used from summer savings or part-time jobs the students were asked to report the amount used from personal savings accumulated before the summer of 1956 and the amount used from money investments, trust funds, endowments, insurance policies, etc. The former contributed 6 p.c. of total student income. Of the total, $24.6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of students had used savings and 6.6 p.c. had used money from investments, etc. The highest percentage using personal savings was reported by students of Education and the highest
investment savings by students of Medicine. Classical colleges had the lowest percentage of students using both types of savings and also the lowest medians as can be seen from Table 84. The average amount used from personal savings was $\$ 266$ and from investment savings, $\$ 226$. Law students reported using the most from savings and graduates the most from investments. Proportionately more men used personal savings than women and the median amount used from savings was higher while the situation was reversed for investment savings. Regional differences were not very marked.

TABLE 84. Per Cent of Students using Personal Savings, Investments, Trust Funds, etc. and Medians, by Faculty

| Faculty | Personal savings |  | Investment funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent of students | Medians | Per cent of students | Medians |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Arts and Sclence | 25.2 | 238 | 6.6 | 206 |
| Male | 26.6 | 253 | 6.5 | 167 |
| Female | 22.1 | 193 | 6.9 | 285 |
| Engineering | 26.5 | 262 | 6.7 | 184 |
| Medicine | 23.5 | 294 | 9.6 | 282 |
| Law | 25. 1 | 339 | 8.6 | 272 |
| Education | 36.7 | 344 | 4.2 | 254 |
| Classical Colleges .. | 7.3 | 72 | 1.7 | 88 |
| Graduates | 24. 4 | 329 | 7. 9 | 320 |
| Total | 24.6 | 266 | 6.6 | 226 |



## Loans

In the present study just under one-tenth of total income came from loans from various sources. More than half of this was borrowed from the parental family as 16.7 p.c. of the students borrowed from home. There is no way of knowing how many of these loans are genuine and will be paid back. The next largest amount from loans came from friends and relations who provided 1.6 p.c. of the total income for the $7 \mathrm{p.c}$. of the students who borrowed this way. Various other sources were also utilized.

Table 85 gives the percentage of student borrowers and median loans for the various faculties.

Table 86 shows that fewer of those students of arts and science who lived at home than of those who lived away from home needed to bortow money. For all columns except the last those who lived in college dormitories came second and the highest percentages of borrowers came from those who lived in other private homes or boarding houses. Since most of the students living at home had the advantage of free room and board plus other extras, they would not need to borrow as much as would the others.

Table 87 gives median loans for male and female students of arts and science, percentage of those who borrowed and sources of loans. While more men than women borrowed money, women who made loans generally borrowed more.

TABLE 85. Median Loans and Per Cent of Undergraduates, Classical College Students, and Graduate Students with Loans

| Source of loans | Undergraduates ${ }^{1}$ |  | Classical Colleges |  | Graduates |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Median | Per cent | Median | Per cent | Median | Per cent | Median |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| College | 3.6 | 258 | 2.2 | 140 | 2.7 | 268 | 3.4 | 255 |
| Bank | 2.3 | 336 | 1.1 | 170 | 1.9 | 308 | 2.2 | 330 |
| Family | 18.2 | 362 | 5.2 | 166 | 10.8 | 312 | 16.7 | 355 |
| Relatives and friends | 8.1 | 249 | 2.9 | 72 | 7.5 | 266 | 7.0 | 245 |
| Other | 6.9 | 278 | 3.6 | 221 | 4.9 | 280 | 6.5 | 276 |

${ }^{2}$ Excluding Classical Colleges.

TABLE 86. Per Cent of Students in Arts and Science with Loans Related to Place of Residence


TAble 87. Median Loans and Per Cent of Male and Female Students of Arts and Science with Loans

| Source of loans | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Per cent | Median | Per cent | Median | Per cent |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| College | 222 | 2.6 | 245 | 1.7 | 226 | 2.3 |
| Bank . | 301 | 2.5 | 320 | 1.6 | 302 | 1.9 |
| Family | 321 | 18.1 | 340 | 10.2 | 324 | 15.7 |
| Relatives and friends | 181 | 7.5 | 250 | 3.9 | 194 | 6.3 |
| Others .. | 238 | 5.6 | 283 | 3.0 | 246 | 4.8 |

## Debts

In the survey, students were asked to report all outstanding debts at the end of the college year. There were 8.9 p.c. who reported such debts of varying amounts. In Medicine 15.0 p.c. and in Law 15. I p.c. of the students had debts. The lowest percentage was reported for the classical colleges. Table 88 shows that among the students of arts and
science $8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the men and $2.8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the women had debts. The medical students had the highest median debt. $\$ 701$, and the classical colleges the lowest, $\$ 68$. The median debt for those reporting debts was $\$ 400$. One-quarter of those who borrowed had loans of less than $\$ 151$ and one-quarter borrowed $\$ 911$ or more. One-quarter of graduates, medical and law student borrowers borrowed $\$ 1,436, \$ 1,729$ and $\$ 1,265$ or more, respectively.

TABLE 88. Per Cent with, and 25 th, 50 th and 75 th Percentile of Debts, by Faculty

| Faculty | Per cent of students | Percentiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75 th |
|  |  | dollars |  |  |
| Arts and Science | 6.3 | 96 | 302 | 551 |
| Male | 8.0 | 101 | 300 | 519 |
| Female | 2.8 | 79 | 321 | 775 |
| Engineering | 11.8 | 366 | 444 | 981 |
| Medicine | 15.0 | 258 | 701 | 1.729 |
| Law | 15.1 | 312 | 572 | 1,265 |
| Education | 8.8 | 150 | 372 | 483 |
| Classical Colleges | 1.6 | 1 | 68 | 1 |
| Graduates | 14.2 | 245 | 484 | 1,436 |
| Total | 8.9 | - 151 | 400 | 911 |

[^6]

## Other Sources of Income

Over 12 D.C. of the students reported sources of income other than those specified in the questionnaire. These sources provided about 4 p.c. of all student income. There were 22.3 p.c. of graduate students who reported such sources with 9.6 p.c. of their income coming from them. Most of the married ones reported that their spouse was working to help them pay their way through college and reported their income here. Among the undergraduates the law students had the highest percentage with income
from other sources and the highest median income. In arts and science anly 6.1 p.c. of the women had additional sources with a median of $\$ 86$ as compared with 10.7 p.c. of men students with a median of $\$ 142$.

A higher percentage of students reported with higher medians in the central than in the eastem and westem regions. Some $10.4,12.3$ and 11.2 p.c. of students from the eastem, central and westem regions had additional sources of income with median amounts of $\$ 197, \$ 320$ and $\$ 249$, respectively.

TABLE 89. Per Cent of students with Other Sources of Income and Medians, by Faculty

| Faculty | Per cent | Medians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 |
| Arts and Sclence | 9.3 | 119 |
| Male | 10.7 | 142 |
| Female | 6. 1 | 86 |
| Engineering | 11.1 | 190 |
| Medicine | 18.3 | 826 |
| Law | 20.0 | 928 |
| Education | 10.4 | 147 |
| Classical Colleges | 11.3 | 64 |
| Graduates .. | 22.3 | 577 |
| Total | 12.1 | 258 |

## Self-supporting Students

There is considerable interest in knowing the number of students who pay their own way through college. In order to get some idea of the percentage in this category a special hand count was made of those cards where less than $\$ 100$ was received or used from parents, friends, loans and savings, and an amount equal to or greater than the amount expended for the year was received from part-time jobs, summer earnings and grants in aid. This allows for differences in expenditure and gifts from parents and friends which are not essential; and it yields a rough measure of those students who are earning their way through college. Altogether 1,399 or 14 p.c. of the students were found who eamed or received as grants more than they spent during the year, on the average $\$ 1,246$.

Table 90 shows that of the 1,399 students 556 were single and lived at home while attending callege, 736 were single but not at home and 107 were married. The average amount spent by these students varied widely from student to student and from faculty to faculty, e.g. the average independent single student in the classical colleges only eamed $\$ 534$ whereas the average independent married student earned \$2,482.

The column headed "Grants exceed cost" and "Earnings exceed cost" show the number of students who received more from grants or earnings than they spent, e.g. of the 358 arts and science students who spent $\$ 776$ on the average 30 received grants-in-aid in excess of what they spent and 197 earned more than they spent.

TABLE 90. Number of Students Receiving from Grants, or from Summer Savings and Part-time Earnings as much as, or more than they Spent

| Faculty | Single |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Married |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At home |  |  |  | Not at borae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Average a mount spent | Grants exceed cost | Earnings exceed cost | Number | Average amount spent | Grants exceed cost | Farnlings exceed cost | Number | Average a mount spent | Grants exceed cost | Earnings exceed cost |
|  |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Arts and Science .... | 358 | 776 | 30 | 197 | 348 | 1.116 | 37 | 99 | 16 | 1. 508 | - | 7 |
| Engineering ............. | 86 | 887 | 8 | 39 | 189 | 1. 362 | 39 | 44 | 9 | 2, 246 | - | 2 |
| Medicine ................ | 17 | 1, 036 | - | 10 | 20 | 1,495 | - | 2 | 6 | 1,910 | 1 | 2 |
| Law ....................... | 20 | 812 | 1 | 13 | 17 | 1,280 | - | 9 | 2 | 1,278 | 2 | - |
| Education .............. | 35 | 528 | 13 | 7 | 46 | 935 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 2, 497 | - | 3 |
| Classical and Junior Colleges $\qquad$ | 14 | 534 | - | 4 | 10 | 704 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates ............... | 26 | 962 | 10 | 10 | 106 | 1. 547 | 27 | 21 | 71 | 2. 482 | 18 | 29 |
| Total .................. | 556 | 791 | 62 | 279 | 736 | 1. 205 | 115 | 191 | 107 | 1,987 | 21 | 43 |

## Summary

Data regarding the sources and amount of income of the students shows that to a great extent the students depended on their summer and part-time earnings. Funds from parents, friends and relatives was second, although the students of classical colleges depended more on money from their parents
than on their earnings. A little over one-tenth of student income came from scholarships, bursaries, National Defence and other grants, and about onetenth from loans. The percentage of students who were able to provide for themselves through scholarships, bursaries, and earnings from summer and parttime jobs varied from faculty to faculty.

## CHAPTER 5

## Special Groups and Conclusions

This chapter provides some data for certain groups which were passed over hurriedly or treated inadequately in previous chapters. Among these
groups are: Graduates, Students from other countries, Classical Colleges, Junior Colleges and a small sample in Agriculture.

## Graduates

Graduate students are privileged to organize and plan their work in part according to courses offered but in part according to their convenience. Some spread their work over several years, working part-time, or even taking much of it in evening classes. Many of them had withdrawn from the college for a number of years after completing their undergraduate work, and started graduste work when they felt they could undertake it.

There were 636 graduate students in the present. survey. Of these, 394 were single and 242 married. Male students comprised 77.7 p.c. of the total and 90.9 p.c. of the married graduates. Of those unmarried, 12.8 p.c. of the men and 7.5 p.c. of the women came from farms, and 64.6 p.c. of the men and $64.2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of the women came from cities of 30,000 and over. Median age of the graduates was 26, compared with 20 for the undergraduates. About one-fifth of them lived with their parents and a little more than one-half lived in their own, a shared house, a rooming house, an apartment or a flat. About one-quarter of the graduate students came from foreign countries.

## Family Income and Father's Occupation

Table 91 gives data on family income for single male and female graduate students. Here as among the graduates, female students came from comparatively higher income families. Only 11.6 p.c. of single male graduates came from families with incomes of $\$ 8,000$ and over, as compared with 39.2 p.c. of the single female graduates. Twice as large a percentage of men as women came from income groups placed in the $\$ 2,000$ and under group and 27.5 p.c. of single women graduates reported family income of $\$ 10,000$ and over.

Table 92 shows that the largest percentage of male and female students were classed as professionals. For the males, 41.1 p.c., and for the females, 55.6 p.c., reported their parents as either proprietors and managers or as professionals. The percentage from the labour group is lowest of all. Among the total group there were 33 engineers, 22 physicians and surgeons, 28 teachers and principals, and 21 civil servants and members of Parliament and 10 judges, magistrates and lawyers.

TABLE 91. Per Cent of Male and Female, Single Graduate Students, by Family Income Groups


TABLE 92. Per Cent of Male and Female Graduate Students, by Parental Occupation

| Occupational groups | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proprietors and Managers | 15.8 | 18.3 | 16.2 |
| Professionals ....................................................... | 25.3 | 37.3 | 27.8 |
|  | 8. 7 | 5.7 | 7.9 |
|  | 5. 3 | 8.5 | 6. 0 |
| Agriculture ........................................................................................... | 6.7 12.1 | 4.2 7.0 | 6.5 |
| Labour ................................................................... | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Pensloners | 5. 9 | 2.1 | 5.0 |
| Ull, Disabled ............................................................. | - | - | - |
| Unemployed ........................................................................................ | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9. 9 |
| Not stated | 10.1 8.7 | 9.8 4.3 | 9.9 8.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Expenditure

A smaller percentage of graduates than undergraduates lived at home and a larger percentage of them were married. As a result their expenditure was higher with a median expenditure of $\$ 1,649$. The median for single undergraduates was $\$ 1,381$ and for the married, $\$ 2,295$. About 28.5 p.c. of married graduates spent $\$ 3,000$ or more as did 3.3 p.c. of single graduates. A larger percentage of the single women than men spent $\$ 2,295$ or more, but 28.3 p.c. of the women and 12.1 D.c. of the men spent less than $\$ 1,000$.

Single female graduates on the average spent $\$ 167$ less than single male graduates.

It has been noted earlier that the "living costs" of the graduates were much higher than their "educational costs". The percentages were 81.5 p.c. and 18.5 p.c., respectively. Room rent and board
constituted 35.7 p.c. of their total expenditure. They spent less than the average undergraduates on fees, books, fraternity and sorority dues, recreation and entertainment, snacks, grooming and clothing. Their current expenses not related to college attendance and capital expenses were much higher than those of the undergraduates. For graduates the medians for room and board were $\$ 339$ and $\$ 339$, for current expenditure not related to college attendance $\$ 163$, and for capital costs $\$ 168$. For the undergraduates these medians were, respectively, \$194, \$298, \$52 and \$75. The average (mean) expenditures for the graduates on these items were considerably higher, $\$ 434, \$ 404, \$ 376$ and $\$ 366$, respectively, indicating a fair number with relatively high expenditures on these items. Many of these students were married. The average (mean) expenditure was $\$ 1,980$ compared with the median of $\$ 1,649$ reported above, similarly indicating a number of students with relatively high expenditures.

TABLE 93. Per Cent of Single, Male and Female, and Married Graduates, by Expenditure Categories and Their Medians

| Expenditure | Single |  |  | Married | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Femsle | Total |  |  |
| Under \$395 | - | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| \$395- \$594 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| \$595-\$794 ................................................................ | 2.9 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 2.8 |
| \$795-\$894 ............................................................ | 4.4 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| \$895- \$994 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| \$995-\$1,094 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0. 8 | 4.6 |
| \$1.095-\$1.194 ....a................................................................. | 9.9 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 7.4 |
| \$1.195-\$1.394 | 17.9 | 19.2 | 18.3 | 3.7 | 12.7 |
| \$1.395-\$1.794 | 28.8 | 21.6 | 26.6 | 11.2 | 20.7 |
| \$1.795-\$2.294 | 16.4 | 6.7 | 13.5 | 25.6 | 18.1 |
| \$2.295-\$2.994 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 21.5 | 11.5 |
| \$2,995 and up | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 28.5 | 12.9 |
| Total ...................................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1.440 | 1,272 | 1.381 | 2.295 | 1.649 |

## Income

The median income reported by the graduate students in the survey was $\$ 1,735$ as compared with a total expenditure of $\$ 1,649$. Earnings accounted for two-fifths of their total income, which was the largest single source. More than half of the gradvates worked during the summer and at part-time work during the school year. About one-third of them were awarded scholarships worth $\$ 1,103$ on the average. This provided them with 14.6 p.c. of their total income. Less than one-quarter received funds from home, those who did averaged $\$ 580$. Income from sources other than those specified in the
questionnaire was reported by 22.3 p.c. and the amount received amounted to nearly one-tenth of their total income. Many of them reported that this was the salary of their spouse and on the average it amounted to $\$ 961$.

Table 94 gives per cent of single male and female students receiving income from various sources and medians.

Except for family contributions and savings male graduates generally reported higherpercentages for all sources of income and twice as many proportionately received scholarships and bursaries.

TABLE 94. Per Cent of Single Male and Female Graduate Students Reporting Income from Various Sources, and Medians

| Sources | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Median | Per cent | Medis $n$ |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Scholarships ...o............................................................. | 33.6 | - 1.085 | 17.5 | 383 |
|  | 17.2 | 300 | 8.3 | 267 |
| Other grants in ald .......co.co........................................ | 15.7 | 979 | 10.0 | 1,000 |
| Total loans ............oco.................................................. | 24.5 | 312 | 15.0 | 400 |
| Funds from family ..no.............................................. | 26.3 | 329 | 50.0 | 654 |
| Summet job savingr ...ue...en.....ase................................ | 64.2 | 550 | 56.7 | 380 |
| Part-time jobs ................o.....o....................................... | 58.4 | 362 | 41.7 | 363 |
|  | 26.6 | 305 | 36.7 | 276 |

## Students from Other Countries

Data on students from other countries is probably more subject to sampling error than other data since there is a tendency for such students to cluster in various courses or universities depending on their home land, language spoken, etc. This should be kept in mind in noting the data in this report.

In the survey 775 or 7.8 p.c. of the total number of students were from other countries such as U.S.A., British Isles, Hong Kong, British West Indies, India, Nigeria, etc. About 40 p.c. of these, the largest percentage for any one country, came from the United States. The second largest group represented the British Caribbean Area, Bermuda and Cuba.

One-fifth of these students were graduates. Of the students from India, Pakistan and Ceylon 71.4 p.c. were doing graduate work as were 50 p.c. of the students from Europe and the Far East. The other
countries reported fewer graduates than undergraduates. Of the graduates 89.1 p.c. Were males and about half of these were married. The pattern of these students when distributed by year in course was similar to that of the Canadian students.

A comparison of median ages between students from other countries attending Canadian universities and Canadian students is interesting only to report students at college for the year. Since a larger proportion about three-quarters of the former are graduate students one would expect them to be older on the average. In the survey only 5.8 p.c. of students from outside Canada were 18 or younger and 40.2 p.c. were 24 or older. Students from Central America were youngest with a median age of 20 . The highest median, 28, was for students from India, Pakistan and Ceylon. Students from Europe had a median age of 26 years.

# TABLE 95. Students from Other Countries Showing Per Cent of All Students and Graduates from Various Countries or Areas 

| Country or area | Students from other countries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Graduates |
| United States | 40.5 | 18.4 |
| British Caribbean area, Bermuda and Cuba | 17.0 | 7.0 |
| Europe | 16.3 | 40.3 |
| Far East | 11.2 | 10.4 |
| British Isles, Australia | 5.2 | 2.0 |
| India, Pakistan, Ceylon | 3.6 | 12.9 |
| Africa. | 2.6 | 6. 0 |
| South America. | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Central America | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Near East | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |

About 70 p.c. of students from other countries came from cities of 30,000 and over and about 4 p.c. from farms. Nearly 52 p.c. came from distances of 1,000 miles or more.

The largest group of students from outside Canada lived in their own or a shared house, apartment or flat, the second most common place was college operated dormitories and private home or boarding house came third. Less than 10 p.c. lived elsewhere.

More than three-fourths of these students dwelt within one mile of the campus, and only 2.9 p.c. as far as 10 miles or more.

There were 37.2 p.c. of these students who had 20 or more meals at their place of residence and 14.8 p.c. who had none there. Another 15.9 p.c. had from five to eight meals per week in residence, or roughly one meal a day. Some 40.6 p.c. did not eat any meals out, and 20.3 p.c. ate at college dining halls or cafeterias. The others ate at other cafeterias, restaurants, including 4 p.c. at student cooperatives. Two-thirds did not report extra lunches.

Among these students 77.9 p.c. did not have the use of a car, 18.6 p.c. of them had access to a private car seven days a week and another 3.5 p.c. had use of one at times. On the average their cars were comparatively newer cars than those of the rest of the students.

The percentage of students from other lands who reported having brothers or sisters in attendance at college in 1956-57 or previously was higher than for Canadians. Table 96 shows that more outside than Canadian students had postponed entrance to college, withdrawn or attended college part-time due to lack of funds. The highest percentage (53.0) of those who postponed entrance was for the British Caribbean Area, Bermuda and Cuba, (42.1 p.c.) from Europe, and the lowest ( 9.1 p.c.) was from the Far East and Central America. Of those who attended part-time, the highest per cent $(20.0)$ came from the British Isles, Australia group. From South and Central America groups, there was none who mentioned doing so.

TABLE 96. Per Cent of Students from Other Countries and Canada who Postponed Entrance Withdrew or Attended College Part-time Due to Lack of Funds


# TABLE 97. Per Cent of Students from Other Countries and Canada with Summer Jobs, 

 by Specified Work Situations| Occupational groups | Students from other countries | Canadian students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs closely or remotely related to college work | 49.7 | 39.6 |
| Jobs requiring special skills ................................. | 14.1 | 20.0 |
| Regular job | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| Worked for parents | 1. 1 | 2.1 |
| Casual and miscellaneous jobs | 32.0 | 35.6 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Per cent of students without summer jobs | 21.0 | 11.9 |

## Summer Jobs and Wages

Table 97 gives per cent of students from other countries and Canada who had summer johs and tabulates them in occupational groups. There were 79 p.c. of these as compared to 88.1 p.c. of Canadian students with summer fobs. Table 98 gives per cent of those with summer jobs and median salary by countries. The students of Central America had the lowest percentage of those with summer jobs; the highest was for students from U.S.A. who had the same percentage employed as for Canadians. Various reasons could be assigned to differences in percentages found in Table 98. As most students from other countries were graduates, many continued their work during summer months unless they were in desperate need of money. Secondly, a number of them had scholarships, fellowships and other grants and did not need summer work and may have spent their time in other useful pursuits. Like Canadians,
it was possible that some could not locate a suitable job particularly since they did not know the ways of a new country. This does not apply to students from the United States since many of them returned to their own country to take up a summer job.

Of these students employed during the summer, 12.3 p.c. worked as student assistants, research workers and trainees. The second most common occupation was that of medical intern, and the third was laboratory technician, and research technician.

The median salaries for summer jobs are given in Table 98. Herein students from the Near East seem to have earned the most, those from Central America the least, but since the number of students involved in these two is small and the differences were not large these figures are not very reliable.

TABLE 98. Per Cent of Students from Other Countries with Summer Jobs and Their Median Salary

| Countries | Per cent | Median salary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

A larger percentage of students from other countries than of Canadians had part-time jobs. The largest group, of two-fifths of them, worked for the college and another 36.6 p.c. did casual jobs. Percentage of these students with regular jobs is almost twice as large as for Canadians. Only one of
them was working for room and board and another worked for his parents. The median number of hours worked per week was seven. Table 99 gives a comparative view of the two groups considering parttime jobs.

# TABLE 99. Per Cent of Students from Other Countries and Canada, by Specified Work Situations for Part-time Jobs 

| Occupational groups | Students from other countries | Canadian students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs related to college work | 15.0 | 16.9 |
| Working for college... | 40.3 | 20.0 |
| Regular job | 5.3 | 2.9 |
| Board and room | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Officer training | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| Working for parent. | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Casual jobs | 36.6 | 49.8 |
| Not stated | 0.8 | 0.2 |

## Expenditure

The percentage for each type of expenditure for students from other countries and for Canada are given in Table 100. The former on the whole, spent more than the latter. There were 40.8 p.c. of Canadians who spent less than $\$ 1,095$ and only 12.1
p.c. of those from other countries, and no nonCanadian student spent less than \$395. Median expenditure was $\$ 1,612$. One-third as many Canadians spent $\$ 2,995$ or more as compared with the others. The following are the percentiles for total expenditure of students of various countries:

|  | Percentiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25th | 50th | 75th |
| United States | 1.327 | 1,788 | 2,401 |
| British Caribbean Area, Bermuda and Cuba ............. | 1.219 | 1,434 | 1,755 |
| Europe .................................................................. | 1,182 | 1.570 | 2,076 |
| Far East ................................................................ | 1. 109 | 1.443 | 1,743 |
| British Isles, Australia | 1,095 | 1.561 | 1.961 |
| India, Pakistan, Ceylon......................................... | 1,294 | 1.795 | 2. 183 |
| Africa | 1,439 | 1,661 | 2,044 |
| South America | 1 | 1,919 | 1 |
| Central America .................................................... | 1 | 1,761 | 1 |
| Near East | 1 | 1,395 | 1 |
| Total................................................................ | 1. 263 | 1,612 | 2,124 |

${ }^{1}$ Numbers too small to calculate 25th and 75th percentiles.

The medians for various items of expenditure for Canadians and others are given in Table 101. Among major items, clothing is the only item where the median for Canadians is higher. For the rest (except church and charitable donations), the
students from other countries spent more. Only 4 p.c. of these students reported no expenditure on room and board. Chart 34 gives a comparative view of medians of some of the major items of expenditure for Canadians and others.


TABLE 100. Per Cent of Students from Other Countries and Canada, by Amount of Expenditure

| Expenditure | Students from other countries | Canadian students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$395 | - | 0.7 |
| \$395-\$594 ......................................................................................... | 0.7 | 4.3 |
| \$595-\$794 ........................................................................................... | 1.0 | 9.9 |
| 8795-\$894 ........................................................................................... | 1.3 | 7.7 |
| 8895-8994 ........................................................................................ | 3.6 | 8.5 |
| \$995-\$1,094 ........................................................................................ | 5.5 | 9.7 |
| \$1,095-\$1,194 ......................................................................................... | 7.1 | 10.0 |
| \$1.195-\$1.394 ........................................................................................ | 16.8 | 17.0 |
| \$1,395-81,794 ........................................................................................ | 25.8 | 19.7 |
| \$1,795-82,294 ........................................................................................ | 20.0 | 7.3 |
| \$2,295-\$2,994 ...................................................................................... | 11.9 | 3.1 |
| \$2.995 and up ........................................................................................... | 6.3 | 2.1 |
| Total .................................................................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Medim expenditure ....................................................a.t.................................... | 1,612 | 1.187 |

TABLE 101. Medians of Major Items of Expenditure for Students from Other Countries and Canada

| Items of expenditure | students from other countries | Canadian students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dollars |  |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) | 322 | 304 |
| Books and supplies | 59 | 55 |
| Room rent for school year | 245 | 181 |
| Board: regular meals for school year | 368 | 290 |
| Fraternity or sorority dues. | 33 | 25 |
| Snacks, refreshments, cligarettes and tobacco | 60 | 49 |
| Recreation and entertainment | 75 | 68 |
| Health | 17 | 17 |
| Grooming, halrcuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc | 20 | 18 |
| Clothing - including footwear | 67 | 113 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning | 30 | 16 |
| Ttansportation: <br> (i) from home town to dweiling in college town |  |  |
| (i) from home town to dweiling in college town <br> (ii) from living quarters to college $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 136 50 | 41 |
| (iii) all other transportation............ | 7 | 1 |
| Church and charitable donations | 9 | 10 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |
| (i) related to college attendance $\qquad$ <br> (ii) not related to college attendance $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | 22 52 |
| Capital costs | 122 | 74 |
| Total ................................................................................................... | 1,612 | 1,187 |

## Classical Colleges

Classical colleges are a Quebec institution offering eight years of schooling to pupils who have completed the seven-year elementary course. The first four years correspond to high school years and the last four are college years leading to a baccalaureat. Only the last four years were sampled.

Six classical colleges represented by 755 students were included in the survey. Students of these colleges had a relatively different pattem of income and expenditure from undergraduates of other colleges. They were younger in age and came from comparatively higher income families. Among them, 93.4 p.c. received funds from home. This was their major source of income, and more than onehalf of their funds came from this source. They earned the same percentage of their income as
others. The remaining sources of income, such as scholarships, bursaries, etc., were not important means.

The median for fees for classical colleges was less than one-half that of the other students. For most other items, their expenditures were equal to or less than the others. Clothing was an exception with a median of $\$ 145$ which was above the $\$ 108$ spent by other undergraduates. The median total expenditure for the two groups was $\$ 902$ and $\$ 1,123$, respectively.

Most of the classical college students lived at college-operated dormitories or at home.

Other data for them were included in the previous chapters.

## Junior Colleges

Two junior colleges were included in the survey: Victoria College, Victoria, B.C., and Notre Dame College, Nelson, B.C. They were represented in the survey by 1.5 p.c. of the total students.

Both offer a two-year course in arts and science with a larger number of students in the first than the second year. The median age of their students was 19 years, with one student out of the 151 over 25
years. One male student of 19 was maried and had two dependents. His wife was not working.

More than one-half of the students of these colleges came from cities with a population of 30,000 or over. Permanent homes of about two-fifths of them were within five miles of the campus, and only 14.6 p.c. came from more than 100 miles with a median distance of 42 miles.

As might be expected, a large percentage ( 63.6 p.c.) of them lived at home or in collegeoperated dormitorles. About 16 p.c. were in other private homes.

About 68.9 p.c. of these students reported eating no meals out, and one-hall of those who did eat out ate their meals at college dining hall or cafeteria.

About 5 p.c. did not report any family income and another 5 p.c. reported incomes of $\$ 10,000$ or over. The median family income was $\$ 4,865$.

A few of the students of these colleges, like others, reported postponing entrance to college, withdrawing or doing part-time course work, due to lack of funds, 9.2 p.c. postponed entrance, 2 D.c. withdrew to eam money and 2 p.c. attended college part-time.

About 6 p.c. of the students of these colleges were unemployed during the summer of 1956. The median monthly salaries for the males, females and
the total for these two colleges were $\$ 242, \$ 152$ and $\$ 217$. Their summer jobs were similar to those of the other stuctents.

About 30.4 p.c. were earning while leaming and averaged six hours per week at part-time jobs.

## Expenditure and Income

The median expenditure for the junior colleges was $\$ 817$. For those at home it was $\$ 712$ and for those living away from home, $\$ 933$.

Medians of the major items of expenditure for the junior colleges and other arts and science students are given in Table 102.

Table 103 gives the median income for the two groups of students. In most cases, medians for the junior colleges are lower than medians for the total. The medians for all loans, and for funds from parental family were lower.

## TABLE 102. Medians of Major Items of Expenditure of Students of Arts and Science in Junior Colleges and Other Colleges

| Items of expenditure | Arts and Science students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Junior colleges | All colleges |
|  | dollars |  |
| Fees (tuition, etc.) .......................................................................................... | 211 | 298 |
| Books and supplies | 50 | 53 |
| Room rent for school y ear | 100 | 161 |
| Board: regular meals for school year ............................................................ | 218 | 285 |
| Eraternity or sorority dues ............................................................................ | 10 | 34 |
| Snacks, refreshments, cigarettes and tobacco............................................ | 40 | 45 |
| Recreption and entertainment ..................................................................... | 72 | 62 |
| Health ............................................................................................................ | 11 | 16 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. ........................................ | 14 | 17 |
| Clothing - including Pootwear ..................................................................... | 101 | 109 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning ........................................................................... | 12 | 14 |
| Transportation: |  |  |
| (i) from home town to dwelling in college town .............................................. | 42 | 41 |
| (ii) from living quarters to college .......................................................... | 39 | 38 |
| (Iii) all other transportation ........................................................................ | 3 | 4 |
| Church and chariteble dongtions .................................................................. | 5 | 10 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |
| (1) related to college attendance ............................................................. | 23 | 22 |
| (11) not related to college attendance ........................................................ | 49 | 49 |
| Capital costs ................................................................................................. | 64 | 64 |
| Totel ........................................................................................................... | 817 | 1.125 |

## TABLE 103. Median Income from Various Sources for Arts and Science Students in Junior Colleges and Other Colleges

| Sources of income | Arts and Science students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Junior colleges | All colleges |
|  | dollars |  |
| Scholarships, prizes | 215 | 286 |
| Bursaries | 120 | 192 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affairs | 1 | 505 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 144 | 160 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) | - | 326 |
| Other grants in aid. | 1 | 286 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): |  |  |
| (i) from college .................................................. | - | 226 |
| (ii) from bank.... | 1 | 302 |
| (iii) from parental family. | 218 | 324 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives | 75 | 194 |
| (v) from other sources ........................................................................... | 144 | 246 |
| Funds from parental family .......................................................................... | 277 | 508 |
| Gifts from relatives and friends................................................................ | 29 | 46 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): |  |  |
| (i) summer jobs (net savings) ................................................................ | 518 | 486 |
| (ii) part-time jobs during school year ..................................................... | 72 | 169 |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956. (iv) amount used from money investments, trust funds, endowment, insurance | 244 | 238 |
| policies, etc. | 244 | 206 |
| Other sources ............................................................................................... | 1 | 119 |
| Total income........................................................................................ | 817 | 1,136 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of students in this category was very small.

## Agriculture

It was assumed in planning the survey that there would be little difference between income and expenditure of agriculture and arts students and that data for arts and science would suffice. On request, one western faculty of Agriculture was sampled and the data are discussed here. They are probably representative of the one university, but may not represent all agricultural faculties.

There were 69 students in the sample. None of these was under 18 years of age and $2.9 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. of them were 30 and up. Their average age was 21 . Of the total, 8.7 p.c. were married and about one-tenth of them had one dependent and a few had more than one.

Their median family income was $\$ 3,950$ and only 2.9 p.c. reported family incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over. Since these were essentially courses for
people in agriculture, the relationship between parent's occupation and student's course was high. About two-thirds of the students' parents were in agriculture, another 18.8 p.c. were proprietors, managers and professionals.

Nearly half of the agriculture students lived in college-operated dormitories, 18.8 p.c. Lived with their parents, and 56.5 p.c. of them had all their meals in residence. The average number of meals eaten out was three per week, which was low when compared with other faculties.

All of the respondents in agriculture had summer Jobs in 1956 and 88.4 p.c. were working in agriculture. Another 7.3 p.c. were doing jobs requiring special skills and 2.9 p.c. were at their regular
johs. The 25 th, 50 th and 75 th percentiles of their suminer monthly eamings were $\$ 100, \$ 227$ and $\$ 270$. respectively.

About half the agriculture students had partlime jobs during the college year averaging two hours per week. Two-thirds of whom were doing casual and miscellaneous jobs and 22.2 p.c. were working for the college. The average number of hours worked was two per week.

About 29 p.c. of the agriculture students reported postponing entrance to college because of lack of funds, 5.8 p.c. withdrew and 2.9 p.c. attended part-time for the same reason. The spouses of half the married students were working full-time.

Ahout 42 D.c. had the use of a car for from one $w$ seven days a week, 27.5 p.c. had a car for dil seven days, and 23.1 p.c. owned their own cars, which was more than for any other undergraduate taculis.

## Expenditure and Income

Median expenditure for all students was $\$ 1.215$, and for the agriculture students, \$1,090. No agriculture student spent less than $\$ 595$, and only one reported spending $\$ 2,995$ or more. Table 104 gives the medians for major items of expenditure as compared to those of other undergraduates. Almost one out of six of the students did not pay rent or board. Only one student belonged to a fraternity. About half did not report expenditures on transportation from home town to college dwelling or from living quarters to college. About 72.5 p.c. reported no capital costs.

Table 105 gives the per cent of undergraduate students in agriculture and other faculties receiving income from various sources and the median amounts. There was a higher percentage of scholarship and bursary reciplents among agriculture stur dents than others. Their median summer savings was higher and funds from family lower than for other undersraduates.

## HABLE 104. Medians for Major Items of Expenditure by Students of Agriculture and Other Undergraduates

| Items of expenditure | Agriculture students | Other undergraduates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Fees (tuition, ele.) ...................................................................................... | 226 | 324 |
| Hooks and supplies ..................................................................................... | 53 | 58 |
| fioom rent for school year | 114 | 194 |
| Sitard: regular meals for school year | 230 | 298 |
| Pratemity or sorority dues ............................................................................. | 2 | 32 |
| Siscks, refreshments, clgarettes and tobacco ............................................. | 42 | 50 |
| Fipcrestion and entertainment ....................................................................... | 91 | 70 |
| Health. | 25 | 16 |
| Grooming, haircuts, permanents, cosmetics, etc. ........................................ | 18 | 18 |
| Clothing - including footwear ...................................................................... | 91 | 108 |
| Laundry and dry cleaning .......................................................................................... | 13 | 16 |
| Trumsportation: |  |  |
| (i) from home town to dwelling in college town...................................... | 29 | 43 |
| (1) from living quarters to college .... | 53 | 40 |
| (1:1) all other transportation ....................................................................... | 14 | 4 |
|  | 10 | 10 |
| Other current expenses: |  |  |
| (i) related to college attendance............................................................. | 16 | 18 |
| (1i) not related to college attendance ........................................................ | 53 | 52 |
|  | 70 | 75 |

[^7]TABLE. 105. Per Cent of Undergraduates in Agriculture and Other Faculties Tapping Various Sources of Income and Median Amounts Received

| Sources of income | Agriculture |  | Other faculties |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Per cent | Median | Per cent |
|  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Scholarships, prizes | 282 | 20.3 | 290 | 14.5 |
| Bursaries | 294 | 14.5 | 230 | 12.3 |
| Dept. of Veterans Affairs | - | - | 574 | 1.5 |
| National Defence, ROTP, etc. | 1 | 2.9 | 270 | 5.8 |
| Leave of absence with pay (or part pay) ......................... | 1 | 1.4 | 329 | 0.5 |
| Other grants in aid ....................................................... | - | - | 430 | 6.1 |
| Loans (incurred during school year and outstanding at end of year): |  |  |  |  |
| (i) from college <br> (ii) from bank | 1 | 5.8 2.9 | 258 336 3 | 3. 5 |
| (iii) from parental family .......................................... | 574 | 14.5 | 362 | 18. 2 |
| (iv) from friends or relatives $\qquad$ <br> (v) from other sources | 274 | 8.7 4.3 | 249 278 | 7.3 6.9 |
| Funds from parental fanily ............................................ | 338 | 43.5 | 532 | 58.1 |
| Gifts from relatives and friends | 36 | 11.6 | 61 | 21.6 |
| Savings - (proceeds from): |  |  |  |  |
| (i) summer jobs (net savings) <br> (ii) part-time jobs during school year | $\begin{aligned} & 739 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.2 \\ & 46.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 526 \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.1 \\ & 24.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| (iii) amount used from personal savings accumulated before summer 1956 | 285 | 23.2 | 266 | 26. 2 |
| (iv) amount used from money investments, trust funds, endowment, insurance policies, etc. | 244 | 7.2 | 220 | 6.9 |
| Other sources .............................................................. | 244 | 15.9 | 261 | 11.4 |
| Total income | 1,150 |  | 1,235 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Number very small.

## Conclusions

The major points which need to be emphasized by way of conclusions are the following:

1. Single students who lived at home while going to college spent on the average $\$ 350$ less than those living away from home. Room, board and transportation were major items, which brought about a substantial difference in the total expenditure of the two groups. Whereas 51.8 p.c. of the students lived in cities of 30,000 population and over, for all Canada only 31.4 p.c. of the people lived in such cities in 1956. Most of the colleges are located in these cities.
2. Family income for one-half of the students was less than $\$ 5,000$. Some of them were fortunate enough to get scholarship awards, or other grants, besides their own earnings. Since the average student spent $\$ 1,215$ and earned $\$ 450$, sending a son or daughter to college must have involved considerable sacrifice on the part of many families.
3. Some 5 to 10 p.c. of the students earned enough to pay their way through college. This included earnings during summer months and part-time jobs during the college year. In most cases, the jobs
were not related to college work. In regard to parttime johs, about half of the students resorted to all kinds of odd or casual jobs, such as that of parking lot attendant, delivery boy, baby-sitter, ni ght clerk, janitor, kennel keeper, labourer, truck driver. etc. There is a question of how far this kind of work was a strain or the student and affected his course work, but for some students it was probably necessary if they were to remain at college.
4. Besides awards and grants, earnings and funds from family, a number of students borrowed money from various sources; and some of them reported outstanding debts at the end of the college year.
5. With regard to budgets, there were as many patterns as students. Those with modest budgets spent little of this money on recreation, snacks, transport, capital purchases, etc. Some of them spent very little on books. Apparently they kept their expenses as low as they could. A few students reported extremely low outlay for food, clothing and shelter and managed by hitch-hiking when they had to travel for any distance. Some, who lived at home, reported the lowest expenditures.

APPENDIX A
TABLE 1. Number of Students with Summer Jobs, by Faculty

| Jobs | Arts and Science | Engineering | Medicine | Law | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edu- } \\ & \text { cation } \end{aligned}$ | Agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Classi- } \\ & \text { cal } \\ & \text { Colleges } \end{aligned}$ | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machine repairman, machinist, welder, mechanic, blacks mith $\qquad$ | 22 | 25 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 57 |
| Truck driver, bus driver, teamster, chautfeur $\qquad$ | 66 | 17 | 24 | 8 | 4 | - | 13 | 4 | 136 |
| Painter, carpenter, plumber, plasterer, construction worker $\qquad$ | 148 | 73 | 31 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 26 | 7 | 304 |
| Delivery service ...................................... | 21 | 7 | 14 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 46 |
| Sepvice station and carwash attendants, repairman $\qquad$ | 34 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 8 | - | 9 | - | 61 |
| Xray technician | 7 | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| Lab and research technicians ................. | 193 | 77 | 74 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 372 |
| Farmer, and other agricultural worker, spray operator, landscape and truck gardener $\qquad$ | 105 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 30 | 13 | 1 | 192 |
| Office worker, secretary, stenographer and typist $\qquad$ | 548 | 37 | 39 | 17 | 97 | 1 | 56 | 38 | 833 |
| Telephone and switch board operators and telegraph and telephone linemen and other workers $\qquad$ | 29 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | 5 | 2 | 52 |
| Recreation worker, playground supervisor, guide, usher, lifeguard | 205 | 18 | 53 | 14 | 19 | - | 42 | 17 | 368 |
| Store clerk | 177 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 57 | - | 32 | 4 | 311 |
| Cashier, receptionist, desk clerk, ticket agent $\qquad$ | 45 | 5 | 8 | - | 17 | - | 3 | 5 | 83 |
| Stock room worker, shipper, purchaser .. | 79 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 10 | - | 2 | 5 | 130 |
| Timekeeper, payroll clerk ...................... | 19 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | 41 |
| Fiactory worker .............................................. | 165 | 81 | 30 | 10 | 16 | - | 21 | 7 | 330 |
| Labourer | 359 | 152 | 48 | 22 | 24 | 2 | 52 | 11 | 670 |
| Civil servant .......................................... | 144 | 18 | 24 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 229 |
| Credit collector, salesman, buyer ......... | 54 | 4 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 101 |
| R.O.T.P., armed forces personnel .......... | 331 | 128 | 116 | 53 | 18 | 3 | 31 | 18 | 698 |
| Miner, prospector ................................... | 38 | 35 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 84 |
| Railway worker, porter, highway worker. | 67 | 27 | 28 | 5 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | 136 |
| Waiter, waitress, chambermaid, bell boy, bus boy, bartender, soda fountain and bowling alley attendants, barber | 228 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 42 | - | 22 | 2 | 333 |
| Radioand television programme planners, news editor, script writer, announcer and newspaper reporter $\qquad$ | 29 | 3 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 50 |
| Instructor, teacher, tutor ......................... | 17 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 13 | - | - | 7 | 49 |
| Assistant engineer, draftsman ............... | 37 | 197 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 284 |
| Assistant geologist, surveyor, weather stabion attendant $\qquad$ | 270 | 214 | 27 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 39 | 583 |
| Seaman, dock worker, deck hand ........... | 15 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | 33 |

TABLE 1. Number of Students with Summer Jobs, by Faculty - Concluded

| Jobs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arts } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Science } \end{gathered}$ | Engineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Agriculture | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Library worker | 23 | - | - | - | 4. | - | 1 | 2 | 30 |
| City employee (garbage, lawns, etc.) and meter reader. $\qquad$ | 41 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 70 |
| Forester, observation tower attendant, fisherman, logger, fire ranger, tree surgeon $\qquad$ | 27 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 80 |
| Semi-skilled worker, radio and electronic technician $\qquad$ | 101 | 101 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 245 |
| Houseman, porter, policeman, janitor .... | 21 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 45 |
| Nurse, dietitian | 19 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 24 |
| Bookkeeper, accountant .......................... | 66 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | - | 7 | 2 | 91 |
| Housekeeper, governess, dormitory supervisor $\qquad$ | 21 | - | - | - | 9 | - | 4 | 1 | 35 |
| Nurse's helper, dental assistant, orderly, psychiatric aid | 36 | - | 11 | - | 6 | - | 6 | 3 | 62 |
| Pressman, printer ................................. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 6 |
| Musician ............................................... | 9 | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 17 |
| Cook, baker .......................................... | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 7 | 1 | 21 |
| Religious worker ................................... | 47 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 51 |
| Odd jobber ............................................ | 21 | 5 | 7 | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | 40 |
| Air navigator, bush pilot, commercial pilot | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 |
| Eskimo project field officer, radar line worker, Frontier college teacher ........ | 15 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Golf caddie, golfer, ball player, etc. ...... | 11 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| Industrial relations and timestudy personnel, demonstrator, radio and market surveyor $\qquad$ | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Student assistant, research worser and trainer $\qquad$ | 51 | 74 | 85 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 185 | 415 |
| Oll field and pipeline workers ............... | 9 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 33 |
| Worsed for parent .................................. | 67 | 23 | 13 | 2 | 13 | - | 54 | 6 | 178 |
| Manager, inspector, adjustor .................. | 34 | 36 | 14 | 18 | 3 | - | 1 | 10 | 116 |
| Regular job ........................................... | 20 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 39 | 71 |
| Medical intern | 2 | - | 163 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 173 |
| Mail clerk, postman .............................. | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 8 |
| Family counsellor, social worker ........... | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 12 | 18 |
| Articled law student ................................ | 1 | - | - | 150 | - | - | - | 2 | 153 |
| Model, photographer .............................. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Not stated ............................................ | 22 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 48 |
| Total .................................................... | 4,145 | 1,516 | 1,020 | 415 | 494 | 69 | 495 | 515 | 8,669 |

TABLE 2. Number of Students by Part-time Job and Faculty

| Jobs | Arts and Science | Fingineering | Medicine | Law | Education | Agriculture | Classical Colleges | Graduates | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baby-sitter, wheeling crippled student on campus | 41 | - | 6 | - | 9 | - | 15 | - | 71 |
| Post office worker | 60 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 90 |
| Store clert | 125 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 174 |
| Office worker | 84 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 4 | - | 1 | 7 | 126 |
| Librarian ................................................... | 44 | 1 | 21 | 9 | 9 | - | - | 4 | 88 |
| Worker for college ..................................... | 224 | 62 | 46 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 213 | 581 |
| Odd jobber ................................................. | 71 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 120 |
| R.O.T.P., etc. .......................................... | 94 | 36 | 43 | 19 | 10 | - | 11 | 10 | 223 |
| Bartender, soda fountain attendant........... | 11 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| Service station and parking lot attendants | 10 | 6 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 22 |
| Typist, stenographer .................................. | 12 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| Salesman, insurance adjuster, collector, selling college notes | 50 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 78 |
| Teacher, coach, music teacher, school and industrial psychologist .............................. | 68 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 134 |
| Nurse, clinical clerk at hospital, nurses helper, psychiatric ald | 6 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 13 |
| Truck driver, chauffer, taxi driver, driving school instructor $\qquad$ | 15 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 30 |
| Labourer .................................................... | 16 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | - | 1 | 37 |
| Semi-skilled factory worker ....................... | 10 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 17 |
| Delivery boy | 9 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| Recreation worker, guide, usher ............... | 60 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 90 |
| Musician, dancing instructor .................... | 35 | 6 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 54 |
| Religious helper, social service worker .. | 40 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 48 |
| Housekeeper, cook, etc. ........................... | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 13 |
| Waitress ..................................................... | 68 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 97 |
| Bookkeeper, accountant ............................. | 17 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Worked for parent ....................................... | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 22 |
| Newspaper reporter, writer, radio announcer, news editor, radio reporter .............. | 20 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 32 |
| Poolroomattendant, night clerk, watchman janitor, kennel keeper. | 12 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 26 |
| Lab worker (outside of college) ............... | 12 | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 26 |
| Surveyor, engineer, power utility worker, porter, longshoreman ................................ | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 12 |
| Photographer ............................................ | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Machine-repaiman, mechanic .................. | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Professional hockey player, boxer, ball player, ski instructor, lifeguard ............ | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 11 |
| Manager ...................................................... | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Regular job................................................ | 19 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 44 | 75 |
| Stock room clerk, shipper, timekeeper ..... | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 19 |
| Hospital intern, X-ray technician and emergency calls | - | - | 53 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 54 |
| Law clerk .................................................... | - | - | - | 92 | - | - | - | 2 | 94 |
| Butcher, baker, barber ............................... | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Tejephone operator, demonstrator, cashier, receptionist, switchboard, telephone operator | 27 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | 48 |
| Carpenter, painter, construction worker... | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 13 |
| Worked for room and board ........................ | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 |
| Not stated.................................................. | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 8 |
| Total ........................................................ | 1,321 | 270 | 288 | 204 | 104 | 27 | 73 | 365 | 2,652 |

## APPENDIX B

## Sampling Procedures Used

Before settling on the design for the Canadian study of students' costs it was thought wise to undertake a pilot study with the co-operation of Carleton University, since their enrolment was not large enough to be unwieldy, was easily accessible, and the executive personnel were interested in having the data. While Carleton is not typical, data from the study were valuable in determining adequacy of the items and size of sample needed. After studying the completed data it was decided to conduct the survey on a sample basis. However, instead of collecting a $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$. (or some other figure) random sample it was thought that more valid results would be obtained if representative faculties were selected from various universities and colleges to ensure that the sample was representative of large and small universities, junior and classical colleges and geographic distributions. The faculties selected were: Arts, Science and Commerce; Engineering: Law: Medicine; Education; the last four years of Classical Colleges; and Juniar Colleges. Upon request a sample was added in Agriculture from one Western university.

Data from the preliminary survey indicated that groups of 200 would ensure significance at the 5 p.c. level for most of the items included. The universities and colleges were directed to use all of the students in a faculty or some specified fraction thereof such as $1 / 3,1 / 5$ or $1 / 10$. selecting the samples in a random fashion.

Response by the university students varied from 100 p.c. for one university to a rather low response in some cases. This may have introduced certain biases, but there is no indication that this occurred. Certain checks were made in the preliminary study since considerable additional data were available from Carleton, and all indications were that the sample was representative.

The following tables give the distribution of reports received from the selected faculties and regions.

TABL.E. 3. Number and Per Cent of Students in the Survey, by Faculty and Region


TABLE 4. Number and Per Cent of Students in the Sample by Faculty Compared with Number of Pupils Enrolled in Full-time University Courses

| Faculty | Number in sample | Per cent of sample | Fstimated number enrolled in fill-time university courses ${ }^{1}$ | Ratio of sample to total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 9,922 | 100,0 | 78, $100{ }^{2}$ | 12.7 |
| Arts and Sclence | 4.693 | 47.3 | 33,000 | 14.2 |
| Engineering | 1,572 | 15.9 | 13,050 | 12.0 |
| Medicine. | 1,083 | 10.9 | 4,494 | 24.0 |
| Law | 430 | 4.3 | 2,651 | 16.2 |
| Education. | 684 | 6.9 | 4.387 | 15.6 |
| Agriculture .......................................................... | 69 | 0.7 | 1,302 | 5.3 |
| Classical Colleges... | 755 | 7.6 | 7,500 | 10.0 |
| Graduates ................................................................ | 636 | 6.4 | 3, 364 | 18.9 |

[^8]
## Biases and Limitations in the Study

The following biases and limitations of the study may be borne in mind while interpreting the data of the report:

1. While co-operation in the study was good, the number of questionnaires not returned was great enough to affect the validity of the study statistically.
2. A few students did not complete the information asked and this may have introduced some bias in the data.
3. Since the students were asked to estimate some of their expenses, those likely to be incurred to the end of the year, and since comparatively few of them had kept books, errors due to estimation were introduced. Whether or not these compensated one another is unknown.
4. Some items more than others were prone to error where estimates were made, e.g. laundry, snacks, recreation and entertalnment, miscellaneous transportation, etc. Items such as room rent and fees had less chance of being reported incorrectly. Clothing is likely under-reported since many students probably came from home outfitted for the year. Others undoubtedly bought clothes that would do for more than the year.
5. In the report students at various points have been separated into those living at home and away from home. The differences between the expenditures of the two were noted but no account of the fact that parents spend money on the children living at home could be taken as it does not involve a cash outlay just for the purpose of college attendance. Just what the difference between the total expenditures of the two groups is remains unknown.
6. Since it was intended to have a cross section of the college students in the survey no effort was made to exclude married students or students from other countries. In the report married students have sometimes been separated from the single students, but not always. This will create some bias. Parttime students entered the picture for craduate students in some cases.
7. It was difficult for the married students to separate their own expenses from those for the rest of the family and for the purpose of completing the questionnaire they made estimates.
8. It was necessary for some students to lump certain items together, such as room and board. Where this occurred the sum was separated according to proportions determined from other returns. Situations such as these may have introduced certain limitations in the analysis of the data.
9. A limited number of students did not know their family income, and omitted the item of estimated the income. How many of the others reported total income correctly is not known. However grouping of the classes was in units of $\$ 1,000$ or more.
10. Whether it is proper to interpret the loans from the parental family in the same terms as loans from bank or college or not is a question which remains unanswered. It is of interest, however, that many students borrow from their parents.
11. It is probable that certain items of expendlture omitted, or misinterpreted by the students in a variety of ways, has affected the results somewhat.

None of these discount the value of the forms as completed by the students who, for the most part. took the matter quite seriously and have contributed to our knowledge of how the students manage financially.

## APPENDIX C

## Statistical Analysis of Certain Data

A number of relationships were observed during the analysis of data in the study, and it was considered worthwhile to run a test of significance for them. The data related to the following variables was tested:

1. Family income and total student expenditure.
2. Family income and amount of family funds a vailable to student.
3. Amount of scholarship awards and total student expenditure.
4. Amount of scholarship awards and amount of family funds available to student.
5. Amount of scholarship awards and student family income.

In every case null hypothesis of no relationship was set up, and $\chi^{2}$ test of simificance was applied. $x^{2}$ was definied as follows:

$$
x^{2}=\Sigma \frac{(0-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

which shows that $X^{2}$ is equal to the sum of the squared discrepancies between observed and expected frequencies each divided by expected frefrequency.

Each of the above five situations are discussed below:

1. It was observed that there was some kind of positive relationship between family income and total student expenditure. A square table of $5 \times 5$ categories was set up. $\chi^{2}$ calculated was 428.6. For 16 degrees of freedom and .001 level of confidence $\chi^{2}$ required is 39.25 . So the obtained $X^{2}$ was highly significant. The null hypothesis was rejected and it was inferred that these two variahles are related.
2. A similar situation to that above was discemed with regard to family income and amount of family funds available to students. A similar square table of $5 \times 5$ categories was set up to test the null hypothesis. $X^{2}$ calculated was 992.7 . For 16 degrees of freedom it is highly significant. Similar inference was drawn that these two variables are related.
3. The probability of students getting higher scholarship awards and spending more and vice versa was discemed. The data was distributed in a $4 \times 5$ table and $X^{2}$ calculated. It was found to be 240.3. For 12 degrees of freedom and at .001 level of confidence $\chi^{2}$ required is 32.91 . Hence, the obtained $X^{2}$ was highly significant and the hypothesis of no relationship was rejected and it was inferred that the two variables are related.
4. It was observed that the amount from scholarship awards and the amount from family funds were negatively related. For $\chi^{2}$ test of significance a $4 \times 5$ table was set up. $X^{2}$ found was 30.6. For .01 level of confidence and 12 degrees of freedom $X^{2}$ required is 26.22 . Hence, $X^{2}$ obtained for this test was found significant and the null hypothesis of no relationship was rejected. The inference was that the two variables tested were related.
5. The last item to be tested in this group was the relationship between scholarship and family income. Scholarships are given to deserving students and it was questioned whether family income has any relationship with the amount of scholarship award, a negative relationship if any. The data was distributed in a $4 \times 5$ table and null hypothesis of no relationship was set up. $X^{2}$ calculated was 21.2 , which is not significant even at .05 level of confidence for 12 degrees of freetom. The inference was that the two variables are independent of each other.

There was another set of data which lent itself to similar testing. It was observed that there was a higher percentage of women than men who received scholarships. For bursaries the situation was reverse. To find out if the differences were significant null hypothesis of no difference was set up and the data in both cases was distributed in $2 \times 2$ tables, and $x^{2}$ test of significance was applied. In reference to scholarships $x^{2}$ calculated was 29.3 which was significant for one degree of freedom at .001 level of confidence. This led to the inference that the percentage of women scholarship recipients was significantly higher than men, which could be interpreted to mean that women are less likely to attend unless they receive scholarships or that they are generally better students and hence receive more scholarships. In the case of bursaries, $\chi^{2}$ was 2.4 , which was not significant for one degree of freedom and . 01 level of confidence. The differences observed were not significant.

A third set of data regarding summer and parttime employment of male and female students of Arts and Science was similarly tested. The observed data showed a higher percentage of men than women employed in summer as well as during the college year on part-time jobs. Null hypothesis of no difference was set up and $\chi^{2}$ test of significance applied to the raw score distributed in $2 x 2$ tables. $X^{2}$ nbtained for the summer employment was 276.0 and for part-time employment 16.1 . Both are significant at less than .01 level of confidence for one degree of freedom. It can be inferred that a significantly larger percentage of male than female students of Arts and Science had summer and part-time employment.

## APPENDIX D

Schedule of Information DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTKCS EDUCATION DIVISION

1956-57
$1956-57$
$\qquad$
College Address

## SURVEY OF INCOMES AND EXPENDITURES OF UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGE STUDENTS

## Why this survey is being undertaken.

There is general concern in Canada about the increasing cost of higher education, the extent to which financial aid is available to students and the numbers of students likely to be graduated during the next twenty years. To determine the pattern of student expenses and the sources from which funds for these expendirures are obtained this survey is being undertaken by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics with the explicit approval and support of the National Conference of Canadian Universities, the University Counselling and Placement Association and the Nacional Federation of Canadian University Students.

The head of your institurion is keenly interested in the results of this scudy. To co-ordinate the project on your campus he has appointed a staff member whom you are free to consult about any items or about the study as a whole.

## How you were chosen to complete this schedule.

You have been selected either by random choice as representative of a larger group, or because you are a member of a smaller selected group, in a nation-wide survey of college students' sources of income and expenditures. Those selected include a representative sample from the classical colleges and samples of undergraduare and graduate studenes in selected faculties and colleges from Newfoundland to British Columbia.

## Why it is important that you return a completed schedule.

The sample groups have been selected according to carefully planned stacistical procedure. The success of the study depends largely on a high rate of response by the students selected. Each questionnaire returned adds to the reliability of the results.

The D.B.S., universicies and colleges across the country, including your own, are counting on you to take time to complete all questions on the form and to return a completed schedule promptly. We hope you will consider this an opportunity to perform a valuable public service for higher education in Canada.

How to complete the questionnoire.
Please complete each item to the best of your ability. For the most part you will have to use estimates (not guesses). In some cases, e.g. family income, you may have to consult your parents. The responses to this and all other items will be kept confidential. The published data will preserve the anonymity of all participants and their families. If for any reason your family does not wish the co-ordinator or college to know its income you may put the information in a sealed envelope and pin or staple it to the schedule before returning it to your co-ordinator.

If you are an unmarried student who supports or assists dependants try to separate or estimate expenditures connecred with these dependans (whether living, medical or recreational costs) and include them in items 33 (ii) and 49 . Encries in allother items should be for yourself only.

If you pay board and room, rent or the equivalent at home treat it as if paying the same elsewhere.

While the schedule has been designed to cover most cases, if for some reason yours is different please make the necessary entries adding notes of explanation so that the picture is correct and clear.

## When to complete the questionnaire.

The best time to complete this schedule is today. In any case complete each item and return the schedule to the co-ordinator in your college not later than the date indicated.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE SCHEDULE

Item 20. Include all college fees except student activity fee which goes in item 26; and student health fee which belongs in item 27.
21. Include cost of all reference and text books and sundries for your classes but not capital costs, e.g. equipment to outfit a professional office later, as in 34.

22-23. If room and board are obtained together bracket the two items. If you live at home and contribute something towards board and room please enter the amount here. Under 23 include cost of all regular meals obtained at residence or elsewhere, cost of food for meals, etc. for the year.
26. Include expenditures for shows, dances, skating, skiing, spectator sports, night clubs, flowers, tips and such, and student activity fees.
27. Include expenditures for medicine, doctors, dentists, laboratory tests, hospitalization, and health insurance premiums.
28. For purposes of this study include all clothing and footwear purchases made or to be made during the school year.
31. (i) Include all expenditures on transportation from your home to college and from college to your home for the school year.
(ii) Include costs of streetcar or bus, or gasoline for your automobile to and from classes.
(iii) Include only transportation used in connection with attendance at college and college functions. All other travel costs should be included in 33 (ii).
33. Include here all expenditures made during the year which you have not entered previously, except those for capital costs (section 34), and try to divide them between those incurred as a part of going to college 33 (i) and extras for other purposes such as life and other insurance, holiday trips, income tax 33 (ii).
34. Under capital costs include money spent for capital purchases including payments made on such items as radios, record-players, television sets, microscopes, professional equipment as in medicine or engineering, automobiles, cameras, expensive jewellery and musical instruments.
35. Include all scholarships, bursaries and prizes awarded to you for academic achievement.
36. Include all bursaries based, at least in part, on demonsurated need. (If they must be paid back include the amount under loans).

37-40. Include all sums received from D.V.A. National Defence and all or part salary received from employer while on leave of absence whether paid directly to you or to the college.
41. Include here all loans, e.g. insurance policies incurred during the college year, which will not have been repaid by the end of this school year. Give the amount outstanding only.
44. (i) Under proceeds from summer jobs include only the net amount left after paying all expenses, including transportation to and from the job.
(ii) Similarly under part-time jobs include the amount left after deducting expenses connected with the job. Include the cost equivalent of room, board or other items received as part of your earnings. Include money received from university officer training camps.
(iii) Include the amount used from investments, insurance policies or endowments specifically set aside for your college education.

The totals, for expenditure and income should be equal. The total expenditure is the amount you spent during the school year, the income (by source) shows where the money came from to meet your expenditures. If you are one of an unfortunate few who will still have to find some new source to tap to meet your expenditures, please explain the situation.

3. Fally or course $\qquad$ UndergraduateGraduace
4. Year in this course

1. $\square$ first
2. $\qquad$
3. 
4. $\qquad$ third
5.fourth
6.fifth
7.seventh
5. Age
6. $\square$ under 18
7. $\square 18$
8. $\square 19$
9. $\square 20$
10. $\square 21$
11. $\square 22$
12. $\square 23$
B. $\square 24$
13. $\square 25$ - 29
14. $\square 30$ and up.
15. Sex
16. $\square$ male
17. $\square$ femule.
18. Maritel stetus
19. $\square$ single
20. $\square$ married
21. $\square$ widowed, separated, divoeced.
22. Home residence
23. $\square$ farm of centre
with population
24. $\square$ under 250
25. $\square$ 250-999
26. $\square 1,000 \cdot 4,999$
27. $\square 5,000-29,999$
28. $\square 30,000$ and over.
29. Distance to permanent home from campus in miles
30. $\square$ under 5
31. $\square 5$-14
32. $\square 15-99$
33. $\square 100$ - 299
34. $\square 300.999$
35. $\square 1,000$ or more.

If you eatered thit college from another country piease name councry
10. Check your place of residence for the major portion of shis shool year; give distance in miles from the campus and che average number of meals per week enten at your residence. (If you live in college town during the week but go home for week-ends check your college residence).

|  | Miles from cempus | Average number of meals per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perents' home ...................................................................................................... 1. |  |  |
| Own or shared house, rooming house, apartment or flat ........................................... 2. |  |  |
| Other private home or boarding house ...................................................................... 3. [] |  |  |
| College-operated dormitory ...................................................................................... |  |  |
| Students co-op, club, fraternity or sorority house ................................................... 5. [ |  |  |
| Other (specify) ..................................................................................................... 6. $\square$ |  |  |

11. On the average how many meals and extra lunches per week do you buy away from your own residence in each of: (Do not count lunches taken from home or meals accounted for in 10 ).

|  | Meals | Extra lunches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College dining hall or calereria |  |  |
| Student co-operative |  |  |
| Other cafereria or restaurans. |  |  |
| Other (speeify) |  |  |
| Torel............... |  |  |

12. While a your college address how many days or parts of days per week do you normally have a privare auromobile ar your disposal?
13. How many "brothers and sisters" do you have in each of the following cacegories?

14. Did you postpone entrance so college to earn money for college ....................................................................................................................... No $\square$.
15. Did you at any cime withdraw from college to earn money for college ................................................................................................................ $\square$. No $\square$.
16. Did you attend college part-time during any year because you could nor afford to enfol full-time ........................................... Yes $\square$. No $\square$.
17. What job did you work at during the summer of 1956 ?

## How much were you paid per month? ? <br> - (This will probably nor agree with 44(i).).

28. What regular part-tiox jobs, if any, have you worked ar dusing this school year?
29. Average No. of hours per week worked, hes.

## YOUR BUDGET FOR THE CURRENT COLLEGE YEAR

The totals of this statement should balance. Before completing please refer to instructions on Page 2. Estimates are required for the full college year.

46. Debes (other than loans shown in 41) ourstanding at end of school year
47. Please state specifically what is, or was, the occupation of che chief wage earner in your parental family? (e.g. physician, dairy farmer, accountant in civil service, etc,
48. (Unmarried respondents). Indicate the bracket within which your parental family's total yearly income falls.

1. $\square$ Under $\$ 2,000$
2. $\square \$ 2,000-\$ 2,999$
3. $\square \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999$
4. $\square \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$
5. $\square \$ 5,000-\$ 5,999$
6. How many dependants, including spouse, have you?
7. (Married respondents). Does your spouse work?

No. $\square$
$6 . \square$
$96,000-\$ 6,999$
7.
\$7,000-\$7,999
8. $\square \$ 8,000-\$ 8,999$
9. $\square$ \$9,000-\$9,999
10.$\$ 10,000+$
$\qquad$ .

- See Instructions.



[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes people on penston, out of wotk, father deceased and mother working, etc.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Too few students to present meaningful percentiles.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Excluding Classical Colleges.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ About one-half of the students reported this expenditure.
    ${ }^{2}$ About one-quarter of the students reported this expenditure.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expenditures on fraternity and sorority dues was reported by only $15.2,22.7$ and 16.3 p.c. of the students from eastern, central and western regions, respectively.

[^5]:    2 Not compiled by regions.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers too small to calculate 25 th and 75 th percentiles.

[^7]:    ' Number very small.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on enrolment at December, 1956, as reported in the D.B.S. publication Fall Fnrolment in l/niversities and Colleges, 1956.

    Includes some faculties (e.g. Dentistry, Nursing, Theology) not included in the sample.

