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## Preface

Population ageing is now widely recognised as one of the most salient long-term developments in the countries of Europe and North America, which has profound economic and social implications, and poses unique public policy challenges. Although differences in demographic history and in current social, economic, and political realities create different priorities across countries and sub-regions, there is a common understanding of the need to address these issues through a coherent system of policy measures based on sound research on its social and economic consequences. The increasing importance of population ageing is reflected in the attention paid to its opportunities and challenges by various international and national forums. In recognition of that, the United Nations has designated 1999 as the International Year of Older Persons. It has also developed several instruments on ageing, including the International Plan of Action on Ageing, the United Nations Principles for Older Persons and the United Nations Targets on Ageing for the Year 2001.

The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), with the financial support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) and the United States National Institute on Aging (NIA), las long been active in addressing issues related to population ageing. Its work in the field is guided, among other things, by the understanding that older persons are a heterogeneous and rapidly changing group and that they make social and economic contributions, which makes population ageing not only a challenge, but also an opportunity for social and economic development. Recognising the urgent need for better information and data collection as well as research on which to base well-informed and effective policy-making, the UN/ECE has been co-ordinating various datacollection and research activities in the field of population ageing.

Most of the UN/ECE's work in the field is performed as part of a programme carried out by its Poputation Activities Unit (PAU). One of the two main objectives of the programme were to assemble a set of cross-nationally comparable micro-data samples based on the 1990 -round of population and housing censuses in countries of Europe and North America. The samples are designed so as to allow research on a wide range of issues related to ageing (most of them include oversampling with age), as well as on other social phenomena. A common set of nomenclatures and classifications, derived from a study of census data comparability in Europe and North America, were adopted as standards for recoding. Fifteen countries have so far contributed datasets as part of this programme. The second main objective of the PAU's programme is to use the assembled data collection and study the social and economic conditions of older persons. Two types of studies will be produced within the programme's research component: country studies, like the present one, that focus on the process of population ageing and the status of older persons in individual participating countries; and regional comparative studies that focus on specific issues related to the status of older persons from a cross-country comparative perspective. The aim of both types of studies is to enhance national capacities to formulate and evaluate programmes and policies targeted towards older people, through increased knowledge of the determinants of the social and economic status of older personis, and through better understanding of the existing range of policy instruments in this field.

The list of persons and institutions that have contributed to the success of the PAU's programme on population ageing is a long one. The work would not have been possible without the financial assistance of UNFPA and NIA, nor without the active co-operation and support of the national statistical offices and other institutions in the participating countries. The programme also benefited greatly from the guidance and counsel provided by the members of its Advisory Board (Ms. J. Gierveld, Mr. A. Klinger, Mr. G. C. Myers, Mr. S. Preston, and Mr. R. Suzman).

This report is one of the outcomes of these activities. It is the first in a series of country studies to be published. We take this opportunity to also thank the authors of this monograph, Messrs. Jacques Légaré, Laurent Martel, Leroy O. Stone and Hubert Denis, for their efforts and patience whilst working on it.

Miroslav Macura<br>Chief, Population Activities Unit<br>Economic Analysis Division<br>United Nations Economic<br>Commission for Europe

D. Bruce Petrie<br>Assistant Chief Statistician<br>Social, Institutions and<br>Labour Statistics Field<br>Statistics Canada
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## Executive Summary

Between 1950 and 1990 the number of older persons in Canada trebled, from $1,054,000$ to $3,118,000$. The most recent demographic projections show that this number will treble again in 40 years, reaching almost eight million in 2030 (United Nations, 1997). Most of this expected new growth will be evident after 2011, when the large cohorts of the baby boom, (the period between 1946 and 1965 in Canada), will reach old age. In 2030, more than one in four Canadians will be aged 65 or over.

Thus the number of persons aged 80 and over has multiplied more than four-fold between 1950 and 1990 , from 149,000 individuals to more than 643,000. By 2030 it will triple again, reaching $1,922,000$. In terms of proportion, persons aged 80 years and over will represent some six per cent of Canada's population in 2030, compared with 2.4 per cent today. It was one per cent in 1950, a six-fold increase in only 80 years. By way of comparison it should be remembered that the proportion of persons aged 65 years and over will have only tripled during the same period.

Although the economic situation remains worrying, Canada has a wealth of natural resources, a skilled labour force and modern production equipment. The current economic estimates predict an imminent upsurge in growth, due mainly to recent efforts aimed at controlling the deficit.

In 1991, more than one out of four persons aged 65 or over lived alone, that is, around 800,000 individuals. The majority of those living in private households lived with one or more members of their family (6I. 3 per cent). Very few lived with persons to whom they were not related (4.6 per cent). Over age 80 , one person out of three lived alone with almost half of those living in private households. Globally, more than 90 per cent of seniors in Canada live in a private household. However a significant proportion of this population still live in collective households (8.1 per cent). At age 85 and over, one man out of two was still living with a spouse, whereas this situation applied to only one woman out of ten.

The majority of older persons living in family households (more than 60 per cent of the population aged 65 and over) live with persons belonging to their own generation or to adjacent generations (more than 90 per cent of cases).

Family households of three generations or more relatively rare - most frequently consist of children and grandchildren, but at oldest ages, with only one grandparent.

As in 1971 and 1981, about eight per cent of Canada's population aged 65 and over lived in institutions in 1991. This represented approximately 255,460 individuals, of which 180,220 were women ( 70.6 per cent). Therefore, 9.8 per cent of all women aged 65 and over lived in institutions, whereas this proportion was only 5.7 per cent for men.

In 1991 almost 76 per cent of men aged 60 and over were no longer employed, compared with almost 90 per cent of women. Although the change in labour force participation begins at age 50 for both sexes, it is really only after 55 that a sharp decrease occurs. Only 45 per cent of men aged between 60 and 64 stated that they were still employed in 1991, and an equivalent proportion was already retired. Therefore the mean age of retirement is a little before age 65, and there has been a downward trend since the 1970s. Although 88 per cent of women aged 60 and over were inactive in Canada in 1991, barely half received an income from the Canada Pension Plan (CPP) or the Québec Pension Plan (QPP). Thus, levels of activity among women aged between 50 and 55 have increased over the last 20 years in Canada. Declared unemployment among Canadians aged 50 and over was low in 1991 (less than seven per cent for men and less than five per cent for women, representing 118,833 men and 80,800 women respectively), compared with that experienced by other age groups, particularly young adults.

In 1991, there were some 20 inactive men aged 55 and over for every 100 active men aged 15 and over. This ratio is twice as high as in I961, and estimates based on average scenarios show that this will increase by 50 per cent by 20II. Subsequently, these indicators are expected to increase even more rapidly with the gradual departure of the baby boomers from the labour force.

Very few men aged 50 to 64 had no income (less than three per cent) in comparison with women ( 17 per cent). There are more women than men who can only count on one source of income once they reach 65, although more than half have at least two sources of income.

Furthermore, the majority of men can count on at least three sources of income once they reach 65. In general, about 50 per cent of older persons can count on at least three sources of income. These results confirm that Canada is progressively conforming to the "three pillars" theory, and even, in the case of some individuals, to the "four pillars". However, some I 5 per cent of those aged 65 and over and more than 20 per cent of those aged 80 and over have only the minimum pension paid by the government through its old age security programme as their single source of income. Twice as many individuals aged 65 and over have only the State pension when they live in large households than when they live alone.

The substantial increase in persons living alone after age 65 in Canada during the last two decades should probably be seen as evidence of an improvement in the economic situation of older persons.

In the future, it is expected that the proportion of older persons having only one source of income will decrease as worker's retirement programmes (the CPP and QPP) progressively mature and the number of women in paid labour increases. Furthermore, private retirement programmes - the Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP) in particular - are becoming increasingly popular and also help to diversify sources of income in retirement.

For those aged 60 and over the average number of rooms per old person varies little by age or sex, lying somewhere between 2.5 and 2.9. The more generations living together, the more the average number of rooms per person decreases. All in all, persons living in single generation households (many of whom are couples or persons living alone) have twice as many rooms as those cohabiting with at least three generations. There are therefore very few individuals, (around three per cent), living in dwellings that do not allow them to have at least one room per person in the household. Marital status and, above all, the number of generations present in a household affects the number of rooms per person more than age or sex.

## Chapter One

## Introduction

Ageing is a slow, insidious and unavoidable process, which will affect all countries to a greater or lesser extent half a century hence. As a result of the final stage of the Demographic Transition, the current social balance, especially in industrialised countries, is scrutinised. Thus, the future of social security systems in these countries, which have until now been essentially based on a principle of pay-as-you-go, are now directly challenged by this change in age structure. Will the working age population, (which is likely to decrease in the near future) be able to meet the needs of the labour force as well as those of an ever increasing number of older persons? In addition to these economic consequences, demographic ageing also challenges solidarity between generations. How will the needs and means expressed by individuals at the beginning, middle or end of their life cycle be managed, in both the public and private fields? Finally, from a political point of view, the increase in the number of seniors could result in stronger claims for their interests on political decision-makers in the near future. Should the outcome of a "grey power" be expected in western democracies, as some have already predicted?

In Canada, population ageing has still not yet reached levels observed in most European countries. Nevertheless, future ageing will occur in a demographic and economic context that is fundamentally different than that currently observed in Europe. In fact, the main feature of this phenomenon in Canada will be that from 2011 onwards, large cohorts resulting from the baby boom will reach age 65. Furthermore, these cohorts will be followed by smaller ones (albeit significantly smaller numbers) due to the below replacement fertility observed in the last two decades.

The addition of these various effects of population ageing suggests that this worldwide phenomenon will be one of the major challenges for Canada in the 21 st century. This is why Canada has agreed to collaborate in the international programme set up by the United Nations and more particularly the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) through its Population Activities Unit (PAU),' whose purpose is to investigate the economic and social conditions of seniors. This project has two complementary dimensions. The first is to create an original database that will provide a better idea of seniors' living arrangements,
based on census data. The second will be a monograph on a country by country basis to compare one country with another. The present document is the Canadian monograph.

For the sake of comparing results with other countries taking part in the project, two major age groups have been chosen: 60 years old and over and 80 years old and over. In Canada, a person receives a government pension at age 65, but increasing numbers of individuals are now stopping their main professional activity well before 65 , reducing the retirement threshold to about age 60 . Likewise, the age of 80 has been adopted as the threshold for very old age. Analysis of the results will show whether this choice corresponds to changes that suggest that this age truly represents a threshold, particularly in terms of health or social support. The choices affecting these thresholds remain arbitrary - and therefore arguable - but they are vital in the context of an international project.

It is not the first time in Canada that demographic ageing has been the subject of a monograph based on data collected since 1991, in particular the data from the census which took place in that same year. Within Statistics Canada catalogue, mention should be made of Population aging and the elderly (Desjardins, 1993) and Profile of Canada's Seniors (Norland, 1994). In addition to this, the chapter by Ellen M. Gee, titled Families in Later Life part of R. Beaujot Family over the Life Course (Gee, 1995) provides a more precise description of family life of older persons in Canada. Finally, two studies have been published more recently: Growing Old in Canada: Demographic and Geographical Perspectives (Moore and Rosenberg, 1997) which takes into account the spatial dimension of ageing; and $A$ Portrait of Seniors in Canada (Lindsay, 1997), which is a more general work describing the detailed socio-demographic characteristics of older persons in comparison with those aged 45-64, with a view to anticipating transitions in progress within cohorts moving into old age.

[^0]Given the quantity of good monographs on the subject, one can ask why is it necessary to write a new one on demographic ageing in Canada? The present one differs from those above by the original data that has been specially computed for this study. ${ }^{2}$ Although several data sources in Canada - mainly surveys - have provided more knowledge about older persons and their living arrangements (General Social Survey (GSS) since 1985; Health and Activity Limitations Surveys (HALS), 1986 and 199I; Aging and Independence Survey, 1991; National Population Health Survey (NPHS), 1995, etc.), Canadian censuses have so far not provided an accurate picture of persons who live with them. Census provides a wealth of information on the characteristics of these individuals. By creating a new database that can identify individuals belonging to the same household as seniors, this report will provide a new understanding of these people, by describing with hitherto unequaled accuracy the relationships existing between them. The unit of analysis however remains the senior, and not the household to which the person belongs, as is normally the case. This approach will allow us to show some life strategies of Canadian seniors in relation to their socio-demographic characteristics and those of the persons living with them.

Consequently, the purpose of this monograph is to provide a general picture of the economic and social conditions of seniors in Canada today, taking their living arrangements into account. The present report has been divided into five chapters. The first relates to the demographic, economic and social trends, the second to the living arrangements of older persons, the third to work and retirement, the fourth to their sources of income and the fifth to their housing conditions. Finally, readers will find the technical annex and detailed tables drawn up in accordance with PAU standards that have been used for the preparation of this report and a few extra figures in the Appendix.

[^1]
## Chapter Two

# Demographic, Economic and Social Trends 

### 2.1 Introduction

Canada, the world's second largest country after Russia in terms of territory, is still relatively sparsely populated. In 1991, it had 27.3 million inhabitants, a number comparable to the populations of Argentina, Morocco or Romania, which have territories five to 42 times smaller. Although the total population doubled between 1950 and 1990, demographic growth has slowed considerably for the last three decades and is presently at levels comparable to many industrialised countries. While it is unlikely that this growth will become negative due to immigration, the most recent projections of the United Nations show that the total population could vary between 32.6 million and 39.5 million in 2030 , according to different scenarios (United Nations, 1997)'.

### 2.2 Changes in the age structure

As a result of relatively high fertility, the age pyramid of the Canadian population in 1950 had a broad base, but also very few old and very older persons - a characteristic of a still young population (Figure 2.1). This age structure changed rapidly between 1950 and 1990, mainly because of a significant fall in fertility of Canadian women ${ }^{2}$ that occurred towards the late 1960s, shown in the narrowness of the pyramid base in 1990. This pyramid also illustrates another special phenomenon - the baby boom. Those aged between 25 and 45 in 1990 ("baby boomers") are particularly numerous, comprising more than a third of Canada's population. This characteristic of the age pyramid in Canada will still be seen in 2030, for ages between 65 and 85. By that time, the expression age pyramid will have lost its meaning. It would then be more accurate to call it an age cylinder, as there will be little difference between one age group and another, except at the top of the cylinder where there will be more individuals than ever before.

[^2]Since 1950, the growth in the number of persons aged 65 and over has been faster than the growth of the total population. Between 1950 and 1990, the number of older persons trebled, from $1,054,000$ to $3,118,000$. The most recent demographic projections show that this number will treble again during the next 40 years, amounting to almost eight million in 2030 (United Nations, 1997). Most of this expected new growth will be concentrated after 2011, when large cohorts of the baby boom (occurring between 1946 and 1965 in Canada) will reach advanced ages. Until then demographic ageing will be more moderate as generations born during the Economic Crisis in the 1930s and the Second World War reach 65 . The change in the mean age of Canada's population is evidence of this situation (Table 2.2). Relatively stable until now, the median age will increase by 10 years over the next 40 years. By 2030, half the Canadian population will be over age 42.

Changes in the growth rates of certain age groups is not sufficient to illustrate the significance of demographic ageing. The ageing of Canada's population began at the tum of the century. Figure 2.2 shows that after 25 years of stability due to the baby boom effect, the number of older persons compared to the total population rapidly accelerated after 1975. In 20 years, the proportion of older persons increased from 8.4 per cent to 12 per cent, while a similar increase previously took more than half a century.

Between now and 2030, this proportion will double, increasing from 12 per cent to almost 25 per cent. ${ }^{3}$ By comparison, the proportion of young persons aged below 20 will be reduced by a third during the same period. Although demographic ageing is not a new phenomenon, its magnitude will be unprecedented. In 2030, more than one Canadian out of four will be aged 65 or over.

It is dangerous to put forward any projections for the proportion of older persons in the middle of next century as it is difficult to foresee the demographic behaviour at that time. How, for example, can one foresee the fertility of women not yet born? However, most demographers, using the stable population theory suggest that this proportion can only stabilise at around 25 per cent. A new

[^3]

Note : Age group 80 and over has been split according to Canadian data.
Source : United Nations, 1997.

Figure 2.2
Proportion of persons aged 65 and over in the total population, Canada, 1950-2030


Source: United Nations, 1997
post-transitional equilibrium will then be established between mortality and fertility. However, if present demographic behaviour persists over the long term, particularly below replacement fertility, the natural increase will inevitably become negative. However, the migration balance, which has been traditionally largely positive in Canada, would make it possible to maintain very slightly positive demographic growth.

### 2.3 The oldest old

Life expectancy at birth and at age 65 have increased, so that not only do a continually increasing number of persons reach the age of 65 , but they also live longer after this age (Table 2.1). This has created a new period in the
in the future. More and more persons will survive to very advanced ages, giving birth to a "more and more oldest old" effect. Age groups at the very end of the life cycle will be of increasing importance among the aged population in the future. Thus the number of persons aged 80 and over multiplied more than four-fold between 1950 and 1990, from 149,000 individuals to more than 643,000 . By 2030, it will triple again, reaching $1,922,000$. Disabilities and handicaps are mainly concentrated among the very advanced ages. As a result, the growth in the number of individuals over 80 has raised many questions and concerns about the ability of our societies to face the likely increase in demand for care and specialist health services linked with the rapid growth of this population.

Table 2.1
Number of survivors at age $65\left(\mathrm{I}_{65}\right)$ and life expectancy at age 65 ( $\mathrm{e}_{65}$ ) by sex for selected cohorts born between 1801 and 1941, Canada

| Cohorts | $\mathrm{I}_{65}($ per 100,000) |  | $\mathrm{e}_{65}$ (in years) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 1}$ | 31,092 | 27,448 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 2 1}$ | 34,115 | 29,640 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 4 1}$ | 37,017 | 31,959 | 12.5 | 11.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 6 1}$ | 40,968 | 35,901 | 13.8 | 12.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 8 1}$ | 49,331 | 44,909 | 15.7 | 13.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 0 1}$ | 59,715 | 51,561 | 18.7 | 14.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 1}$ | 74,131 | 63,984 | 21.2 | 15.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 4 1}$ | 83,380 | 74,502 | 22.4 | 18.0 |

Source: Bourbeau et al., 1997.
life cycle which extends from retirement to old age, and which the British sociologist Peter Laslett has called the third age. The fourth age then becomes the period of old age implying limitations, handicaps or loss of independence (Laslett, 1989).

Although decreasing fertility is the dominant factor in explaining past ageing, the progressive reduction in mortality at older ages will become the determining factor

In terms of proportion, the change speaks for itself: the group aged 80 years and over will represent some six per cent of Canada's population in 2030, instead of 2.4 per cent today (Table 2.2). It was one per cent in 1950, a six-fold increase in only 80 years. By way of comparison it should be remembered that the proportion of persons aged 65 years and over will have only tripled during the same period.

### 2.4 Composition of the old and older population

A description of the changes in the number and proportion of the old and very old population is obviously not sufficient to reflect the demographic changes currently taking place. The specific composition of these population subgroups, particularly sex ratios, must also be considered.

For more thản a century, female mortality has been lower than male mortality. This is reflected in the over representation of women among seniors. Although there were still slightly more older men than older women in Canada in 1950 (sex ratio $=104.3$, Table 2.2), the trend reversed rapidly from the end of the 1950s. There are now
smoking and alcohol consumption, and an increased involvement in the paid labour market), will result in greater equality between the sexes in relation to death (Waldron, 1993). This trend is shown in Table 2.2, with the projected male to female ratio increasing during the next century, reaching 80.6 in 2030. This development will allow couples to live together longer than in the past, thus improving the possibilities for mutual assistance should one spouse lose his or her independence. If the increasing popularity of divorce - even among the aged population - counterbalances this positive effect, some authors still believe that cohabitation after the age of 65 will become more frequent (Marcil-Gratton, 1990). Finally, these changes could also improve the financial

Table 2.2
Selected demographic indicators, Canada

| Year | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | $13,737,000$ | $27,791,000$ | $36,633,000$ |
| Growth (in per cent) <br>  <br> ${ }^{(b)}$ | 1.8 | 0.7 | -- |
| Overall fertility index <br> children per woman) | 3.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 (c) |

Life expectancy at birth (in years)

| women <br> men | 71.6 | 80.2 | 84.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 66.8 | 73.4 | 78.8 |
| Urban population (per cent) | 60.8 | 76.6 | 83.7 (d) |
| 60 years and over (per cent) | 11.3 | 15.5 | 29.0 |
| 65 years and over (per cent) | 7.7 | 11.2 | 22.9 |
| 80 years and over (per cent) | 1.1 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| Median age (in years) | 27.7 | 32.8 | 42.8 |
| Sex ratio, age 65 and over | 104.3 | 72.1 | 80.6 |

Saurce: United Nations 1996 and 1997.
(a): These are the results of the median scenario as prepared by the United Nations for the year 2030.
(b): This is the annual average grow th rate. or the mean annual geometric growth rate during the 40 year periad beginning with the Year mentioned, for example (ln (1990 pop / 1950 pop)) / 40.
(c): The median scenario for the most recent projections from Statistics Canada use 1.7 children per waman.
(d): Data refer 102025 (United Nations, 1995).

72 older men for every 100 older women, and this ratio should remain appreciably below 100 (which would imply the same number of men and women aged 65 and over) between now and the middle of the next century. Old age is therefore a condition primarily affecting women, who in addition will also very frequently be widows, because of their preference for marrying oider men who also have a shorter life expectancy. This has both economic and social repercussions. These will be discussed in subsequent chapters.

In the long term, demographers foresee a decrease in the difference between male and female life expectancy. The progressive adoption by women of behaviours hitherto attributed to men (for example, an increase in
situation of future seniors.
The ageing of Canada's population is therefore unavoidable as it is already reflected in the current age pyramid, particularly due to the baby-boom effect. However, this phenomenon, and its consequences must be considered in relation to factors such as the Canada's economic and social situation, which has varied over time.

### 2.5 The economic and social context

Social programmes in Canada were initiated when demographic and economic growth were high, thus guaranteeing a large working population which could subsidise the needs of older persons. When Canada's

Table 2.3
Selected economic and social indicators, Canada

| Year | $\mathbf{1 9 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| GDP per inhabitant (US \$) | 7,710 | 13,250 | 20,270 |
| GDP (thousand million CAN \$) | 167.57 | 460.01 | 657.04 |
| Government deficit (thousand million CAN \$) | -5.70 | -28.68 | $-24.58{ }^{\left({ }^{\text {a }}\right.}$ |
| Index of consumer prices (1987=100) | 42.29 | 91.98 | -122.67 |
| Labour force (in thousands) | $10,160.7$ | $12,723.3$ | $13,579.8$ |
| Public health expenditures (per cent GDP) | .. | 3.2 | $7.3^{\text {(b) }}$ |

Source: World Bank. 1995.
(a): In 1991. (b): In 1990.
economy deteriorated (as has been the case for all G7 countries ${ }^{4}$ over more than the last ten years), the annual deficit increased, while international competition became more and more acute because of globalisation. As demographic growth slowed, giving rise to significant ageing, the social contract previously established between generations was called into question. This section is devoted to the economic and social situation, as a background to population ageing in Canada.

Canada's biggest economic problem is its large accumulated deficits. This gives rise to major budgetary constraints in an attempt to balance the country's finances and is partly responsible for Canada's difficult economic situation. Unemployment remains high (in the order of 11.2 per cent in 1996) when compared with the United States. The purchasing power of Canadians has also stagnated to some extent, if not regressed, over a number of years. The Canadian dollar is no longer a strong currency on international currency markets.

The Canadian production system has however been transformed over the last 30 years. The tertiary sector has experienced very high growth whereas the primary and secondary sectors have remained relatively stable. Although the economic situation remains worrying, Canada has a wealth of natural resources, a skilled labour force and modern production equipment (telecommunications for example). The most recent economic estimates predict an imminent upsurge in growth, primarily due to major efforts aimed at controlling the deficit.

### 2.6 The Canadian Pension System

The Canadian pension system, set up in 1952, includes three levels that guarantee men and women a minimum income once they reach the age of 65 .

First, the Canadian Government guarantees an income to all those who have reached age 65 on the condition that they fulfill certain requirements of
4. The group of the seven most industrialised countries in the world (Conada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, United Kingdom and United Stares).
residence. This programme for security in old age - old age pension - is essentially on a pay-as-you-go basis, costs being mostly covered by income taxes.

However, given the country's economic difficulties, the government is considering restricting the availability of the old age security system in order to provide better protection for the least well-off older persons (Canada, 1996).

In addition to this initial programme, every retired Canadian worker receives a retirement pension under the Canada Pension Plan (CPP). This system, which falls under federal jurisdictions, also operates on a pay-as-yougo basis. Every worker, like his or her employer, must contribute to the programme during his or her working life. Once retired, the employee has access to a quarter of his or her average income based on contributions, up to a maximum that is regularly reviewed. If a worker dies, the surviving spouse can receive 60 per cent of this sum. Here again, the minimum age has been fixed at 65 years, but individuals who wish to continue working beyond that age can do so by increasing the amount of their future pension, up to age 71 . If retirement is taken before 65 , certain penalties apply depending upon how early retirement from work takes place.

Finally, there is a third source of income for seniors: private pension schemes. These programmes are not compulsory, but the Canadian Government has been providing incentives for several years. These incentives include the Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP) which allows those in the scheme to put money aside for their retirement without paying tax on that portion of income. In addition to this, several companies have their own pension schemes.

All these systems ensure that most seniors have a very decent standard of living (Statistics Canada, 1996). There are however some older persons who face more difficult situations. Chapters Four and Five will clarify the financial situation of older persons and identify those who

[^4]are most exposed to poverty.

### 2.7 The Canadian Health Care System

In 1990, public health expenditures represented 7.3 per cent of the Gross Domestic Product (Table 2.3). Although guiding Canadian health policy is the responsibility of the federal government, application of the rules and management of the programme is the responsibility of individual provinces. In general, sickness cover in Canada remains one of the most complete and most universal among industrialised countries. Recently, however, a number of reforms have been undertaken with a view to rationalising costs and making the various services offered to the public more effective. For example, several hospitals have become more specialised in the treatment of senescence and diseases associated with the final stage of the life cycle.

### 2.8 Conclusion

This rapid overview of the state of past, present and future demographic ageing in Canada has provided an idea of all the chatlenges facing Canadian society. In the following chapters, a more thorough analysis of the economic and social conditions of older persons by living arrangements will identify the challenges facing Canada for successful ageing.

## Chapter Three

## Living Arrangements

### 3.1 Introduction

Similar to the population as a whole, living arrangements of Canadian seniors have changed greatly since the 1960s. Although, traditionally, older persons were cared for by their children, frequently the eldest in the family, they now prefer to remain autonomous from their kin. Thus, the number of older persons living alone in Canada has increased rapidly over three decades. In 1991, more than one out of four persons aged 65 or over lived alone, that is around 800,000 individuals (Table 3.1). The majority of those living in private households' resided with one or more members of their family ( 61.3 per cent); very few lived with persons to whom they were not related ( 4.6 per cent). Over age 80 , one person out of three lived alone, almost one out of two of those living in private households.
proportion of this population still living in collective households (8.1 per cent). These are divided into two categories: institutions - which include specialized health establishments, orphanages, hospitals, penitentiaries and correctional establishments, religious communities; and service houses - including bed \& breakfast, hotels, boarding houses, the armed forces, non-religious communities, etc.

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the living arrangements of seniors in the context of the household to which they belong. The first part of this chapter is devoted to population residing in private households. It should be remembered that the data used in this study focus on all seniors, making it possible for the first time to provide a good indication of the living arrangements of all seniors in Canada. Now all the households in which at least one old

Table 3.1
Living arrangements of persons aged 50 and over, Canada, 1991

|  | Institutionalised | Private households |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alone | Family households | Non-family households |
| $50-59$ | 1.1 | 10.1 | 81.8 | 7.0 |
| $60-69$ | 1.8 | 17.0 | 75.1 | 6.1 |
| $70-74$ | 3.5 | 25.7 | 66.3 | 4.5 |
| $75-79$ | 7.2 | 31.3 | 57.4 | 4.1 |
| $80-84$ | 15.3 | 35.6 | 45.3 | 3.8 |
| $85+$ | 36.1 | 28.8 | 32.3 | 2.8 |
| $60+$ | 6.3 | 23.0 | 65.6 | 5.1 |
| $65+$ | 8.1 | 26.0 | 61.3 | 4.6 |
| $80+$ | 24.2 | 32.7 | 39.7 | 3.4 |

As a result, more than 90 per cent of Canadian seniors live in a private household. There is however a significant

[^5]individual is living can be identified and not, as is normally the case, only those households in which they are the "head". ${ }^{2}$ The second part of this chapter is focused on the institutionalised population depending mostly on a formal govemmental support system.

[^6]
### 3.2 Living arrangements of older persons residing in private households in Canada

As mentioned previously, the aim of new health policies put forward in Canada and elsewhere (Glaser et al., 1997) over the last few years is to encourage keeping older persons at home. This objective, which appears to be an effective and, from the human point of view, desirable means of coping with rising health care costs, relies on increased involvement of the informal support system for older persons. The task is however already considerable. It has been estimated, for example, that 80 per cent of help given to older persons is provided by families and friends (Angus et al., I995; Speare and Avery, 1993; Spitze and Logan, I992). A kin network can also reduce risks of disease and mortality by inducing protective effects which are beneficial to health, according to other studies (Cafferata, 1987; Chappell, 199I; Stinner et al.; 1990). By attempting to stimulate mutual assistance within the informal support system, the State encourages the aged population to live autonomously, and thus postpone the time when they have to be sustained by the formal care system.

However, all those reaching age 50 cannot count on a large informal support system (MarteI, 1998). Beyond this
age, the proportion of older persons living alone increases constantly, essentially to the detriment of life in family households (Table 3.1). Thus the proportion of individuals living alone increases from 10 per cent between 50 and 54 to more than 35 per cent between 80 and 84 , while the proportion of individuals living in family househoids decreases by half in the same age groups, falling from over 81 per cent to 45 per cent. Relatively few individuals choose to live with other unrelated persons and, once over 80 , less than four per cent of older persons are found in this situation. These changes, which suggest that there is a threshold around the age of 80 , are directly linked to marital status because it has already been shown that this factor is the most determining in the lifestyle of older persons.

If the proportion of persons who have never been married is the same for all ages and sexes, the differences are essentially centered on married persons and widows (Table 3.2). While only 5.8 per cent of men aged 50 and over are widowers, this proportion is over 26 per cent for the women of the same age. For women aged 60 and over, more than 37 per cent are widowers, and at 85 and over, 80 per cent are in this situation. These differences between men and women will have important repercus-

Table 3.2
Marital status of persons aged 50 and over by age and sex, Canada, 1991

|  | Never married | Married | Widowed | Separated or divorced | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 4.9 | 78.2 | 5.1 | II. 8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 5.0 | 74.8 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 4.9 | 68.9 | 17.5 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5.2 | 60.7 | 27.2 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 5.5 | 49.1 | 40.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 6.1 | 36.3 | 54.1 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 6.7 | 22.8 | 67.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 7.2 | I 1.6 | 80.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| $50+$ | 5.3 | 60.3 | 26.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 |
| $60+$ | 5.6 | 51.2 | 37.4 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
|  | MEN |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5.8 | 85.1 | I. 1 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 5.4 | 85.5 | I. 8 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 5.7 | 84.1 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5.7 | 83.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 4.7 | 82.0 | 8.9 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 4.6 | 78.5 | 13.7 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 4.6 | 70.8 | 2I. 4 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 5.3 | 58.0 | 34.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| $50+$ | 5.4 | 82.7 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| $60+$ | 5.3 | 80.9 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 |

FIGURE 3.1A
Distribution of women by household size and age, Canada, 1991.


FIGURE 3.1B
Distribution of men by household size and age, Canada, 1991.

sions on living arrangements. The reverse trend is observed among married individuals with men more often living with a spouse than women. Almost 83 per cent of men aged 50 and over still had their spouses while only 60 per cent of women do so. At the age of 85 and over, one man out of two was still living with a spouse, whereas this situation applied to one woman out of ten.

It should be emphasised once again that the proportion of separated or divorced individuals decreases as age increases. A large part of this explanation must lie in a cohort effect, divorce becoming more popular only recently. A little more than six per cent of the population aged 50 and over in Canada lived separated or divorced in 1991. It should, however, be envisaged that with younger cohorts reaching age 65 , this proportion will increase rapidly as divorce has become much more frequent among the younger cohorts.

As a final comment, it should be noted that kin networks are highly correlated to past fertility trends. Around 15 per cent of older persons in 1995 never had children (Lindsay, 1997). This proportion however fluctuates from cohort to cohort. Thus, among older persons aged between 65 and 74, 13 per cent never had any children, against 21 per cent for those aged 85 and
over. As the next cohorts (essentially the individuals who gave rise to the baby-boom in Canada) reach the old age threshold, it should be expected that they will have more children who could help them in old age. A recent study showed that only seven per cent of the cohorts giving rise to the baby boom (the parents of the baby-boomers) could not count on children once they reached age 65 (Martel, 1998). On the other hand, large families are disappearing, and although more individuals can count on the presence of children - in particular as a result of the increase in life expectancy - the latter will have fewer brothers or sisters to share the burden of parent-care.

The data used in this research can be used to further knowledge about the living arrangements of older persons who do not live in institutions in Canada. Large differences have been revealed between the size of the households in which older men and women live. However, at first sight and distinguishing only two types of households (one to three persons, four and more), there is no obvious distinction. Change after the age of 50 remains the same for both sexes (Figures 3.1A and 3.1B). On the other hand, distribution within the first category (one to three person households) is highly dependent on the sex of the old person. Twice as many women live alone than men, who are most often found within two person house-

FIGURE 3.2A
Proportion of women living alone by age and marital status, Canada, 1991.


FGURE 3.2B
Proportion of men living alone by age and marital status, Canada, 1991.

holds. Once again this reflects the indirect effect of the different marital status of men and women in old age. The greater longevity of women, who also generally marry men who are older than themselves, places them more frequently in widowhood than men once they reach 65 . As older women remarry far less than older men, this usually results in their living alone. Over the age of 75 , around one woman out of every two lives alone, whereas one man out of five is in this situation.

Changes in households of four persons or more are evidence of several events in the life cycle. Below age 65 these households are many, being essentially nuclear families with children still living at home (Figures 3.5 and 3.6). Gradually, the latter leave the family home. Thus, the proportion of households containing four individuals or more falls progressively to reach less than 10 per cent between the ages of 65 and 80 . The unexpected increase once past this age would be due to a reverse movement, that is, the reconstitution of the family as a result of very old parents joining for care their children who are now adults. This may also arise from a strategy of the very old, who would tend to live more with other relatives or other unrelated persons. in order to prevent loneliness and/or fulfill any needs they might have for care and/or to share living costs.

As the type and amount of care vary greatly according to the helper involved, ${ }^{3}$ it is interesting to look at the composition of households in which there are one or more older persons. As a logical spin-off of the small number of married older persons living in health establishments as it will be shown later, very few married men or women live alone, even at very old ages (Figures 3.2A and 3.2B). Living alone, which increases progressively from 50 to 70 per cent as age increase, invariably affects only the widowed, the separated or the divorced, and people who have never been married. The latter are also found more frequently within non-family households, particularly for women (Figures 3.3 A and 3.3 B ). Although life in non-family households is relatively rare (the proportion of this household type varies between 6 and 8 per cent over the age of 50 , without any great variations according to age and sex), it must also be emphasized that separated or divorced men are to be found in this living arrangement much more than women of the same marital status. These results, which corroborate other research carried out on the same topic, suggest that women who have lived through a separation or a divorce

[^7]RGURE 3.3A
Proportion of women living with other unrelated persons by age and marital status, Canada, 1991.


RGURE 3.3B
Proportion of men living with other unrelated persons by age and marital status, Canada, 1991.

and who do not live alone more easily find compassion among their children than men in the same situation (de Jong-Gierveld and Dykstra, 1997).

Among older persons who live in non-family households (essentially individuals who have never been married, and separated or divorced men) it is interesting to see if they share their daily lives with individuals belonging to the same generation. If this is the case, it is likely that these older persons live with friends or neighbours. The results obtained show that non-family households are distributed almost uniformly by number of generations: approximately two per cent contain only one generation, two per cent contain two, and two per cent contain three (Table 2.3 in Appendix). Other research will be necessary in order to determine precisely who these older persons share their lives with.

The majority of older persons living in family households (more than 60 per cent of the population aged 65 and over - see Table 3.1) tive together with persons belonging to their own generation or to adjacent generations (more than 90 per cent of cases) (Figures 3.4A and 3.4 B ). Between the age of 50 and 65 , there is virtually no
difference between sexes, but at age 70, men are mostly found within single generation family households. This suggests, as previous analyses have shown, that they live more frequently with their spouse than do women. However, more women are living in family households containing two or even three generations. To a large extent, this undoubtediy means they live with their children and grandchildren.

In other words, older men essentially live with their spouse (one generation family households), or less frequently alone. A much larger proportion of women live alone, and when they live with others, it is in multi-generational family households. In future, due to constant progress of life expectancy, the number of families in which several generations coexist will increase considerably (Pennec, 1996). It would, however, be premature to conclude that mutual care and cohabitation between generations will become more common because seniors prefer their own independence and not to live with their close relatives.

Figures 3.5 and 3.6 clearly identify which individuals live in the family households of older persons. As

Figure 3.4A
Distribution of women living in family households by age and number of generations present, Canada, 1991.


Figure 3.4B
Distribution of men living in family households by age and number of generations present, Canada 1991.

suggested previously, men enjoy the presence of their spouses much more frequently than women. Likewise, Figures 3.5A and 3.5B show that, in general, cohabitation between older persons and children occurs when the spouse is present in the case of men, which is not the case for women. On the other hand, a lot of women, in particular the most aged, share their lives only with their children, or even with their grandchildren (Figures 3.6A and 3.6 B ).

Obviously, the relatively rare family households of three generations or more most frequently consist of children, grandchildren and, at oldest ages, only one grandparent

Finally, it should be noted that living with other members of the family or other individuals not related to the old person remains rare in one or two generation households (around 10 per cent), regardless of the age or sex of the old person. This type of living arrangements seems to be more frequent among three or more generation households (Figures 3.6A and 3.6B). This is the case for almost 25 per cent of older persons, but slightly less between ages 60 to 69 .

The possibility to identify persons living within the family households of seniors can therefore be used to
show to what extent men, when compared with women, do not easily share life with their children or grandchildren in the absence of a spouse. This suggests that when an aged parent joins a nuclear family (an old person going to live with a son or a daughter) it is probably easier for women than for men, who are generally less autonomous at home. Thus, children can regard the arrival of an old father as more of a burden than the arrival of an old mother (alone or even with her husband) who can still assist her child in everyday home activities.

### 3.3 Older persons living in institutions in Canada ${ }^{4}$

As in 1971 and 1981, about eight per cent of Canada's population aged 65 and over lived in institutions in 1991. This represented approximately 255,460 individuals, of which 180,220 were women ( 70.6 per cent). Therefore, 9.8 per cent of all women aged 65 and over lived in institutions, whereas this proportion is only 5.7 per cent for men. The longer life expectancy of women and their matrimonial behaviour, which differs from those of men, partly explains this feminisation of the

[^8]Figure 3.5A
Living arrangements of women aged 50 and over living in family households consisting of one or two generations, Canada, 1991


Figure 3.5B
Living arrangements of men aged 50 and over living in family households consisting of one or two generations, Canada, 1991


Figure 3.6A
Living arrangements of women aged 50 and over living in family households
consisting of three or more generations, Canada, 1991


Figure 3.6B
Living arrangements of men aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and over living in family households consisting of three or more generations, Canada, 1991

$\square$ Lives with other members of the family

FIGURE 3.7

## Prevalence of institutionalised persons by age, sex and

 marital status, Canada, 1991.

Table 3.3
Prevalence of institutionalisation among persons aged 70 and over by age and sex, Canada, 1991

|  | Women | Men | Both sexes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $70-74$ | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| $75-79$ | 8.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 |
| $80-84$ | 17.6 | 11.5 | 15.3 |
| $85-89$ | 33.0 | 22.1 | 29.5 |
| $90+$ | 54.0 | 37.3 | 49.6 |
| $80+$ | 27.9 | 17.2 | 24.2 |

institutionalised population.
It has now been well shown that age is an excellent predictor of lifestyles (Stone and Fletcher, 1987) and institutionalisation, independently of disability status, social support and income levels (Dotty, 1992). Institutionalisation, in fact, increases with age (Table 3.3).

Whereas very few individuals live in an institution before age 80 , the proportion rapidly increases beyond this age, reaching 37.3 per cent in the case of men, against 54.0 per cent - more than one out of two - in the case of very older women (aged 90 or over). The 80 -year threshold therefore appears to be a determining factor in the development of institutionalisation in Canada. It also corresponds to an age where disability and health problems become more frequent, suggesting that this increase is strongly correlated with the health of the very old population (Carrière and Pelletier, 1995).

Although there are large disparities between sexes when this variable is considered alone, these vanish when marital status is taken into account. In fact Figure 3.7 shows few differences between men and women with the same marital status. Thus, persons who have never been married are those most frequently in institutions. This is so for all ages, almost regardless of sex (more than half of these individuals live in institutions after the age of 90 ).

This is followed by persons who have lost their spouse either by death, separation or divorce. In general, marriage plays an important protective role because very few married men or women under 80 live in collective households (Palmore, 1976).

All the results presented here apply to the institutionalised population, with little regard to the type of establishment. Part of the explanation of the results obtained in Figure 3.7 lies in the religious or community commitment of a certain group of the population in which there is a high proportion of individuals who have never been married. In a context of accelerated population ageing and major budgetary restrictions due to public finances crisis as described in Chapter One, the present study should focus more on older persons living in health establishments. It is these individuals who represent a major cost to the Canadian public health system. How many of the institutionalised population tive in such specialised establishments? Who are they exactly?

Figures 3.8 A and 3.8 B show that there are very few sex differences for all ages over 50 regarding the proportion of institutionalised individuals living in health establishments. More than half reside in this type of establishment before the age of 65 . Many women are found in religious communities whereas men tend to concentrate more in service establishments. Once they reach the age of 65 , the proportion of institutionalised persons living in health establishments increases progressively to constitute almost the total once the age of 80 has been reached. In the light of these results, some popular beliefs have to be moderated as, although a number of older persons aged under 80 still live in collective househoids, these are very often others than health establishments.

Part of the aged population can only count on the formal support system (health professionals and paraprofessionals) to meet their needs when they suffer limitations, handicaps or disabilities. This is particularly the case for persons for whom the informal support system of the spouse, children, family, friends and neighbours is small. Figure 3.9 shows that individuals who have never

RGURE 3.8A
Distribution of type of establishment in which institutionalised women live by age, Canada, 1991.


FGURE 3.8B
Distribution of type of establishment in which institutionalised
men live by age, Canada 1991.


Figure 3.9
Distribution of persons in health establishments by age, sex and marital status, Canada, 1991

been married, and, to a lesser extent, widowed and separated or divorced, are more often found in these establishments than married persons, in Canada as elsewhere (Johnson, 1983). As in Figure 3.7, there are no major differences between sexes when marital status is identical. Finally, the determining age once again appears to be 80 , suggesting that true old age as it use to be, or the fourth age as a more modern concept, lies more around this threshold than at age 65 .

For a number of years now, the Canadian Government has oriented its health and social services policies towards prevention, information and less caring
for older persons through the formal system. In doing so, the government is attempting to keep older persons in their family and neighbourhood as long as possible, and to encourage mutual help relationships within an informal support network. However, no one doubts that the statistics presented here show the extent to which some older persons - essentially those suffering health problems or living alones - remain dependent on the facilities provided by the formal health care system, being the only

[^9]system that can meet their needs. Marital status, more than sex, is the key variable to be considered here.

In the future, two opposite phenomena will shape demand for care and health services. On one hand, the progressive improvement in the health of older persons will increasingly delay their entry into health establishments (Manton et al, 1997). On the other hand, the increasing frequency of divorce and lower fertility since the 1970s in Canada will reduce the kin networks of those that will enter old age. Thus, it can be foreseen that the number of older persons without anybody close to them to provide assistance will increase between now and half way through the next century, and that friends and neighbours will become more important than today in providing support in old age. As the best support comes primarily from those who are closest to individuals essentially spouses and children - it can also be foreseen that the formal support system will be particularly stressed by having to cope with personal caring for the future aged population.

One important comment at this stage of the analysis is that the prevalence of institutionalisation should not under any circumstances be regarded as an indicator of the status of health of an aged population. In fact, many previous studies have shown that the number of older persons in health establishments is strongly correlated to the number of beds available. The greater the availability, the more the proportion of individuals living in health establishments increases. It would be wrong to conclude, based on the increase of the prevalence of institutionalisation, that the health status of a very old population improves or deteriorates (de Jong-Gierveld and van Solinge, 1995).

It should be emphasised that the data provided by Canadian censuses do not take into account how long persons stay in health establishments. In fact, the breakdown is generally between short or long term establishments (Jamshidi et al., 1992). For longer-term establishments, population ageing has an indirect effect on the duration of stay: as the population living in health establishments has an increasingly higher average age, it can be deduced that the average stay will be less than it was during the 1960s or 1970s in Canada. This situation has an effect on the type of care and services which these establishments must offer, because it is likely they will no longer house individuals having the same disease profile as previously, as diseases of oldest ages are different from those of old age.

Furthermore, the length of stay of persons with a similar age does not depend exclusively on their health status, but also on their lifestyle. Individuals who have never been married or have lived alone are at a greater risk than others.

### 3.4 Conclusion

This chapter has shown to what extent the ageing profile of men and women differs in old age, and in particular for oldest age. These differences, which are emphasised in relation to sex and, particularly, marital status, suggest that the needs of older persons are various and require approaches specific to their situations. The analysis is centered on the older persons, not on the households in which they reside, making it possible to provide a more precise image than other monographs produced hitherto on living arrangements of older persons, particularly with respect to intergenerational cohabitation. More research will however be necessary to obtain a better knowledge of the individuals living in nonfamily households of older persons. Even though the improvement in life expectancy will increasingly allow children to better know their grandparents, cohabitation between these generations will remain rare.

## Chapter Four

## Work and Retirement

### 4.1 Introduction

Nowadays, the period spent in retirement in all western countries is considerably longer than at the turn of the century. Two factors underlie this situation. The first, mainly demographic, is the lengthening of life. Between cohorts 1801 and 1941 , the number of survivours at age 65 has more than doubled in Canada, as has life expectancy at that age for both sexes (see Table 2.1). However, the time spent in retirement has also been extended for economic reasons. Many policies, mainly early retirement plans, have been implemented during the last 10 to 15 years to allow persons to leave work earlier in order to reduce company payrolls and social security burdens, or, as often said, to better deal with youth unemployment. As a result, around 60 per cent of individuals aged 60 to 64 are now no longer engaged in paid work.

This significant lengthening of the period spent in retirement gives rise to some problems because of the underlying demographic and economic contexts (World Bank, 1994). Workers have to support an increasingly heavier burden of dependent individuals, either in retirement or unemployment (Kinsella and Gist, I995). In addition to this, working age people are becoming progressively fewer in number because of the large fertility decrease that has occurred in Canada since the 1970s. Numbers of births in these cohorts are on average 20 per cent fewer than in the case of their parents who were bom during the period of the baby boom. Will increased participation of women in the labour force compensate for the expected decline of individuals making contributions to retirement funds, as was expected in the mid 1980s (Fellegi, 1988)? This question of dependency ratios will be developed in more detail once we have analysed the labour force participation of individuals aged 50 and over and their transition to retirement. The situation of these workers will be analysed by taking into account several variables to illustrate the strategies adopted by individuals according to their living arrangements with regard to the paid labour market. For example, it should be possible to show whether people without kin networks stay in the labour force longer than those who have large ones. By doing this, these analyses should provide a better knowledge of the transition from work to retirement in Canada.

### 4.2 A few words about concepts

It is becoming increasingly difficult to define work and retirement precisely because there are now many ways in which it is possible to be involved in the labour market or to enter retirement. In this chapter, a Canadian who is involved in the paid labour market will be either working full-time or part-time, or will be searching for a job. Among inactive persons, retired refers to individuals who were previously active and are now receiving a pension income linked to their previous involvement in the paid labour market.

When public pension systems started in Canada, the government set 65 years as the age access to an old age pension is given, making every Canadian a pensioner from that age. Unlike some European countries, this age is the same for both men and women. Therefore, age 65 is often labeled as the normal retirement age unfortunately implying that the boundary between work and retirement is located around this age.

It is however possible for a paid worker to receive a retirement pension before this normal retirement age but an actuarial penalty then applies, depending on how early retirement takes place. Despite these penalties, labour force participation rates before age 65 have fallen considerably in Canada for two decades (Légaré et al., 1991). Canadian men and women choose - or sometimes are forced - to leave work much earlier, often for economic reasons such as government budgetary cut-backs, staff reductions in companies, or because of the attractiveness of certain early retirement plans.

### 4.3 Labour force participation after age 50

Data from the 1991 Canadian census have been used to classify individuals into four categories regarding involvement in paid work over age 60 (Table 4.1). The first category, identified as employed, groups together all those who declared that they were working either full-time or part-time at the time of the census ${ }^{1}$. The second category, called unemployed, includes all individuals who were still active but were without a paid job. Finally, those who are inactive have been divided into two categories, although most of the men are in the category of retired persons.

Table 4.1
Labour force participation rates by age and sex, Canada, 1991

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employed Unemployed |  | Retired | Others |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60+$ | 10.3 | 0.9 |  | 45.7 | 43.1 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 5.0 | 0.4 |  | 51.4 | 43.2 | 100.0 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60+$ | 24.3 | 3.1 |  | 58.8 | 13.8 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 13.2 | 0.7 |  | 71.3 | 14.8 | 100.0 |

In 1991, almost 76 per cent of men aged 60 and over were no longer employed, and this proportion reached almost 90 per cent for women (Table 4.1). Does this mean that all these individuals were retired? Although in the case of men the great majority are relired, this is not the case for women because most of them have not participated in the paid labour force during their life cycle. On the other hand, unemployment is negligible beyond age 60 , for both men and women.
all ages, at least for the cohorts included in Table 4.1.
Figure 4.1 provides a more accurate estimate of the boundary between paid work and retirement. Although the change in labour force participation begins at age 50 for both sexes, it is really only after age 55 that a sharp decrease occurs. Only 45 per cent of men aged between 60 and 64 stated that they were still employed in 1991, an equivalent proportion were already retired. These data tend to show that the mean age of retirement is therefore a little before age 65 and that there has been a downward trend since the 1970s. A recent study by Statistics Canada (Gower, 1997) confirmed this because it was shown that, on average, the effective retirement age was now 62 in Canada, against 65 in 1976. The difference between the age of access to old age security programmes as fixed by government and the actual age at which people cease their main activity has been increasing in Canada, as in other industrialised countries (Henretta, 1994).

It is more difficult to assess the situation for women because many of them have never been employed. Although 88 per cent of women aged 60 and over were inactive in Canada in 1991, barely half received an income from the CPP or the QPP. These women were labeled as being retired in Figure 4.1. The other half, the other inactives, could be housewives who had not been regularly involved in the paid labour market. The General

Figure 4.1
Proportion of active and retired persons by age and sex, Canada, 1991


Once age 65 is reached, the proportion of inactive increases considerably (more than 86 per cent are not active, an increase of a little more than 13 percentage points). This is less among women (almost 95 per cent are inactive, an increase of six percentage points). This hardly surprising situation is evidence of the reduced participation of women in the paid labour force and it applies to

[^10]Social Survey (GSS) of 1994, in which half of older women declared that their main activity was house keeping, and not retirement, corroborates these results. Furthermore, 20 per cent of women in these cohorts had never been members of the active population in their life cycle (Lindsay, 1997).

The two curves for both sexes in Figure 4.1 are in fact complementary: the lower labour force participation among women make them more likely to have lower retirement rates. The lowering in the curves for retired persons once age 75 has been reached can be observed for both men and women. That situation is linked to the definition used for this concept: some very older persons who worked during their life cycle are unable to receive

Figure 4.2
Proportion of active women by age for different cohorts, Canada


Source: Statistics Canada, special tabulations from Labour Force Suneys
incomes from the Canada or Québec Pension Plans because these programmes were only introduced relatively recently (see Chapter One).

Whatever the situation, it must be recognised that in the case of paid work around the age of 65 there is a great difference between men and women. This is partly brought about by an important cohort effect: the younger female cohorts are increasingly adopting male behaviour regarding the paid labour market (Figure 4.2). Thus, levels of activity among Canadian women aged between 50 and 55 have increased over the last 20 years. This trend is, however, impossible to detect in an analysis with only one census, a comparison with historical data being required here. This should be seen as a limitation of the present analysis.

The same cohort effect is probably the cause of a rather strange situation that can be observed in Figure 4.1. That is, the propensity of very old persons ( 85 and over) to declare themselves active more frequently than those aged $80-84$. A large proportion of very old individuals worked in the primary sector, essentially agriculture. Although at the end of their lives, these persons tend to say that they are still active, even if their work participation has become progressively symbolic for the majority.

Before age 65, some individuals are found in the unemployed category (see Table 4.1 in Appendix). These old unemployed pose an interpretation problem because it is possible they have been underestimated. Some individuals without paid jobs and approaching the age of 65 may in fact regard themselves as being retired although they receive unemployment insurance benefits from the Canadian Government. On the other hand, it is likely that some old unemployed are not seeking work because, for example, of the difficulties which may be presented by professional retraining. They should therefore be regarded as being retired (because they do not want to find new paid jobs), even though in fact they
are unemployed. However the case may be, declared unemployment among persons aged over 50 was low in Canada in 1991 (less than seven per cent for men, less than five per cent for women, representing 118,833 men and 80,800 women respectively), compared with that experienced by other age groups, mainly young adults.

Paid work over the age of 65 is difficult to determine precisely, as it will be seen in Chapter Five. Certainly the trend shown in Figure 4.1 clearly indicates that work ceases quite early, although a few individuals, particularly men, continue to work beyond the age of 65 .

Figures 4.A and 4.B (shown in Appendix), which take into account the marital status variable, show another factor in this phenomenon, namely that labour force participation is probably linked to the health and independence of individuals, at least below age 65 . Once this age has been reached, there is almost no difference between sexes and different marital status.

It is essentially separated or divorced women and, to a lesser extent, those who never married, who show the highest levels of activity. In their case, the hypothesis of independence can be put forward. Having no-one on whom to rely, these women must work in order to support their needs and perhaps those of their children. It is also interesting to note that levels of activity among women who have never been married are similar to those of men with the same marital status, suggesting that health also plays a role. From the opposite point of view, it is married or widowed women who, particularly in the case of the latter, can frequently count on their husband's retirement pensions or on subsidies, and who show the lowest levels of activity.

The opposite happens in the case of men. Those who are least involved in the labour force are, respectively, those who have never been married and those who are widowers, separated or divorced. For the first ones, it is likely that health plays a role - which has perhaps never allowed these individuals to marry or to participate in the
labour force. Likewise, it is possible that the instability brought about through loss of employment is reflected in the life of individuals as couples, explaining why levels of activity among those that are separated or divorced are lower than the average.

Whereas there are no significant differences by marital status once age 65 is reached, never married persons, particularly men, tend to remain slightly longer in the labour force. Is this a strategy by the latter to overcome possible boredom or loneliness?

Labour force participation rates vary greatly and systematically, according to the level of education achieved. Appendix figures 4.C and 4.D show that the lower the level of education, the lower the levels of activity beyond age 50 . Thus, only about 70 per cent of men with little education aged between 50 and 55 are still active, while this proportion reaches 95 per cent among the most highly educated. Such differences can also be seen among women of the same age group. These lower rates of activity for persons with less education can, in some respects, raise some questions. As the level of income is frequently directly proportional to the level of education achieved, what are the sources of income of these individuals during retirement?

Unlike marital status, there is only little difference (except in levels) between men and women when the education variable is considered. On the other hand, differences in levels of education achieved persist beyond age 65. Thus, both men and women with the highest levels of education still show levels of activity higher than those of other less educated individuals after the age of 65.

Levels of activity taking into account the education variable must however be interpreted with some precautions. There are, in fact, strong cohort effects. For example, it is known that among individuals aged between 45 and 64 there are twice as many persons with university degrees as among those aged 65 and over and twice fewer persons with less education (Lindsay, 1997). However, it should not be asserted that, given the fact that cohorts reaching age 65 will be increasingly better educated, they will enter retirement increasingly later!

Whereas the marital status and education variables are undoubtedly appropriate to the study of labour force participation, the link between them and a person's kin network is less obvious. The data used in this project allow this original type of analysis to be performed (Figures 4.3A and 4.3B).

Figure 4.3A
Activity rates of women by age and number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.3B
Activity rates of men by age and number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Table 4.2
Percentage of the active population working part-time, by age and sex, Canada, 1991

|  | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50-54$ | 25.0 | 5.2 |
| $55-59$ | 28.5 | 7.6 |
| $60-64$ | 35.5 | 13.0 |
| $65-69$ | 49.8 | 28.5 |
| $70-74$ | 57.7 | 38.4 |
| $75-79$ | 65.2 | 41.3 |
| $80-84$ | 60.1 | 50.3 |
| $85+$ | 42.3 | 31.1 |
| $60+$ | 41.8 | 20.6 |
| $65+$ | 53.2 | 33.2 |
| $80+$ | 51.5 | 43.1 |

These figures show that both men and women living alone have relatively equivalent levels of activity, confirming the results obtained from the analysis of activity by marital status. It should however be noted that men who live alone lie above the average, while the opposite is true in the case of women. An explanation for this has already been put forward previously.

Individuals living in two generation households have above average levels of activity at all ages. These persons, who for the most part share their lives with their siblings who are probably still dependent, may have to continue working in order to support the household's needs.

### 4.4 Part-time working

Although men have levels of full-time working which are always higher than those for women, the latter more frequently work part-time (Table 4.2), reflecting a situation which begins well before the age of 50 . Thus, among the active population, more than 35 per cent of women aged 60 to 64 are engaged in part-time paid work, against only 13 per cent of men in the same age group. A sharp increase in part-time employment after the age of 55 is noted for both sexes, suggesting that this could be a strategy for progressive entry into retirement (Quinn and Burkhauser, 1994). In fact, when moving from labour force to retirement, many individuals prefer to progressively reduce their work-load by working only part-time. In general, the percentage of women working part-time is 20 per cent higher than for men, and this occurs up to age 80.

Hypotheses put forward previously concerning the independence of individuals are confirmed in Appendix Figures 4.E and 4.F because women who never married, like those who are separated or divorced, work part-time less frequently than others. Although no relationship can be seen when education is taken into account (Appendix, Figures 4.G and 4.H), there are signs of greater
independence among women in Appendix, Figure 4.1 because those who live alone are less frequently engaged in part-time work than others. These results underlie their obligation to work in order to fulfill their needs. There is no obvious relationship for these variables in the case of men (Appendix Figure 4.J).

### 4.5 Retirement

In this section, the transition from labour force to retirement will be looked at not so much from the worker perspective as previously, but from the retiree perspective. It has been already mentioned that labour force participation and retirement rate curves (see Figure 4.1) strongly complement each other. Thus, the proportion of retired persons among the aged population increases greatly between ages 60 and 64 in the case of men, and in the previous age group in the case of women.

At age 75 , approximately 75 per cent of men can count on an income from the Canada or Québec Pension Plans, against barely 50 per cent of women. This is a direct result of the lower level of participation of women in paid work during their life course. Widows, who then benefit from their husband's half pensions, and women who have never been married and who have had to continue working longer than others, are the ones who most often benefit from retirement incomes. For men the opposite is the case because those who never married receive a retirement income less frequently than others (Appendix, Figures 4.K and 4.L). As suggested before, it is possible that a poor health or a handicap results in this situation.

Uneducated men, like uneducated women, are frequently without any retirement income once they become old. More exposed to financial difficulties, and having probably experienced more ups and downs than others in their careers, they are obviously less well provided for when they are very old. However, in the case of men the relationship between education and retirement is limited to those who have had no schooling, whereas for others the proportion of retired persons is similar, suggesting that the labour market has leveled out the differences. This is not the case among women as the proportion of retired women progressively increases with the level of education achieved. For them, their willingness to work after long periods of schooling may partly explain these results (Appendix, Figures 4.M and 4.N).

Finally, Figures 4.4 A and 4.4 B show a relatively clear trend. The larger the number of generations present in the household, the smaller the proportion of retired persons. It is likely that this relationship is explained by a strategy of less fortunate older persons being forced to live with children and grandchildren to share living costs. This hypothesis is confirmed to some extent by the high proportion of retired persons living alone. These women who are more independent than others are better provided for on retirement than others.

Figure 4.4A
Proportion of retired men by age and number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.4B
Proportion of retired women by age and number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


### 4.6 Dependency ratios

Conventional dependency ratios frequently used in studies announcing the imminent bankruptcy of social security systems in Western countries like Canada are not reliable indicators for showing the growing burden on the labour force.

In fact, where they are calculated on the basis of simple age group ratios (the most well known being the proportion of age 65 and over with the age 20-64 old population regarded as the labour force), they do not take into account a number of factors that are nevertheless fundamental. The first of these is unemployment which has become unavoidable in Canada over the last 20 years, hitting particularly hard young adults. However, conventional dependency ratios would suggest that all individuals aged between 20 and 64 make contributions to social security funds. This is obviously not the case as a number draw from them rather than contribute to them, some as a result of early retirement programmes, others as a result of the unemployment insurance benefits they
receive. In addition to this, these dependency relationships neglect paid work beyond age 65 .

For these reasons, it is preferable to use a dependency ratio that is not only based on the age of individuals, but also on their employment status. Thus, the ratio of unemployed persons aged 55 and over to employed persons aged 15 and over would provide a more accurate approach to the effect of population ageing. These relationships have the advantage of taking into account such things as youth unemployment, labour force participation of older persons, increasingly earlier retirement, etc.

Figure 4.5 shows dependency ratios for men only, as the evolution of labour force participation of women is subject to strong cohort effects. For this reason, only the dependency ratio for men is presented here.

In Canada, in 1991, there were some 20 inactive men aged 55 and over for every 100 active men aged 15 and over. This ratio is already twice as high as in 1961, and estimates based on average scenarios show that it will

Figure 4.5
Dependency ratios for non-employed men aged 55 and over per 100 employed men aged 15 and over, Canada, 1976-2031


Source: Statistics Canada, special tabulations from Labour Force Surveys
have increased by 50 per cent by 2011 . Subsequently, it is expected that these indicators will increase even more rapidly with the gradual departure of the baby-boomers from the labour force to retirement.

The levels expected in Canada in the future were already reached in some European countries even before the 1980 s. However, these situations arose in a very different economic and demographic background than that prevailing now at the end of the century and that foreseeable for the beginning of the next century. The change in these dependency ratios has certainly led some analysts to fear that the social security systems currently in place in the fields of retirement and health will no longer be viable in the longer term. It has therefore been suggested that in order to retain dependency ratios comparable to those now observed, the access age to old age security programmes should be progressively raised from 65 to over 70 in Canada (Kinsella and Gist, 1995). A number of industrialised countries have already undertaken policies of this type.

### 4.7 Conclusion

The trend today is clearly to quit one's main professional activity increasingly earlier. Meanwhite, future cohorts will be progressively better and better educated. The analysis presented in this chapter has clearly shown that the best-educated older persons stay longer in the labour force than others at all ages. As a result, it may be thought that policies aiming to increase the retirement age would be quite logical and could be achieved in future, provided that adults become aware of the need to do so. Having had few children, today's adults will probably have no other choices than to accept this calling into question of the trend towards lowering the age of retirement which has been in place for two decades. It is only at this price that some equity between the generations can be maintained.

Older persons, by providing services to their kin and remaining at work, will contribute to a country's output. This labour force cannot be ignored in a context of population ageing. As the health of seniors improves, they will increasingly wish to retain some activities that are more appropriate to their aims. In future, it is tikely that voluntary or professional activity by seniors will gain in importance, not only because of their increasing numbers, but also because of their desire to remain active. Their contribution therefore can no longer be ignored as far as economic activity is concerned.

## Chapter Five

## Sources of Income

### 5.1 Introduction

The most usual indicator of the wealth or living standards of individuals is income. In the context of an international project aiming to compare the different countries involved, this indicator is awkward due to the various exchange rates between nations. Furthermore, censuses are not always the best sources for taking into account incomes. In addition, some international organisations having good financial resources and proven international expertise have been able to prepare excellent comparative studies, such as for example the Luxembourg Income Study: using surveys data as a basis. The World Bank has also recently studied the economic situation of older persons in different countries in the context of population ageing (World Bank, 1994).

The present study however differs from the analysis done by the World Bank by using the variable source of income instead of income itself and linking it with households size in order to take into account senior's living arrangements. By doing this, older persons most at risk of facing difficult situations can be better identified.

The economic conditions of older persons in Canada have considerably improved for severa! decades. Some studies have shown that although the purchasing power of older persons was on average less than that of workers after the Second World War, it is now higher or at least equivalent (Oja and Love, 1988; Lesemann, 1990). This major improvement in the economic situation of older persons is partly due to the establishment of income security systems by various levels of government, but also by the compulsory inclusion of all workers in a public retirement scheme. Finally, private saving has been strongly fostered in Canada for almost 20 years.

However, the economic situation of older persons is also intimately linked with other variables such as their labour force participation, the number of years contributing to a retirement fund - and therefore the time when retirement was taken - and attitudes towards retirement itself.

Finally, the standard of living on retirement is strongly linked to the number of people coresiding in an older person's household. Seniors can in fact benefit from the wealth of other individuals, particularly if that person
does not have access to sufficient retirement income. As data used in this project makes it possible to take into account older persons' living arrangements, it is also possible to provide a particularly accurate image of their financial situation. What are the different income sources for Canadian men and women once they have retired? Do they differ for older persons living alone? Are older persons with few sources of retirement income more likely to live with others, to share living costs? This chapter will provide answers to these questions.

### 5.2 A few words about concepts

For some time, it has been suggested that older persons in industrialised countries should have access to not three sources of income, but four, commonly referred to as "The Four Pillars" (The Geneva Papers, 1996).

In the present study, it is possible to take into account four sources of income for Canadian seniors. First of all, they may remain in the labour force and thus continue to receive a salary; this is the first possible source of income (work) which extends their labour force life cycle. Secondly, for those having participated in the paid labour market, access to a retirement pension (pension) will be provided by Government Pensions Plans (essentially a pay-as-you-go system) and/or others from previous employers retirement plans (based essentially on funded system). They also receive old age pensions distributed by the federal government (State) on the only condition that they are at least 65 years old and meet certain Canadian residence requirements. This is the third source of income. Finally, a fourth source consists of private savings (others) in which one of the main components, in addition to conventional saving, is private retirement schemes (in particular the RRSP): such a strategy requires that older persons make provision for retirement several years before reaching it and have sufficient income to invest a proportion of that provision in such plans. Even if many older persons did not invest in private savings in the past, various incentives provided by governments are currently yielding initial results. ${ }^{1}$

[^11]Table 5.1
Number of sources of income for persons aged 50 and over by age and sex, Canada, 1991

|  | None | One | Two | Three or more | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-64$ | 17.0 | 40.5 | 31.8 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| $65-79$ | 1.1 | 21.8 | 34.6 | 42.6 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.4 | 27.8 | 36.7 | 35.2 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 1.0 | 22.9 | 34.2 | 41.1 | 100.0 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-64$ | 2.4 | 38.6 | 42.7 | 16.3 | 100.0 |
| $65-79$ | 0.6 | 9.7 | 31.7 | 58.0 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.4 | 14.6 | 32.3 | 52.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.6 | 10.4 | 31.8 | 57.3 | 100.0 |

### 5.3 Number of sources of income on retirement

Table 5.1 shows that very few men aged 50 to 64 have no income (less than three per cent) in comparison with women ( 17 per cent). In fact, a large majority of men are engaged in paid work between the ages 50 and 64, unlike women (see previous chapter). Non-working women in the paid labour market cannot hope to receive an income before the age of 65 . Once they have reached this age, they become eligible to old age pensions, which improves the economic situation of many of them. It is however likely that some women who do not draw any income before age 65 (probably because they have chosen not to work outside the home in order to raise their families) will not be exposed to poverty because their spouse has provided for the needs of the household.

As a result, once age 65 has been reached, it is extremely unusual in Canada to find a man or a woman without any income. Unlike men, for whom retirement almost always results in a relatively large loss of income, the financial situation of some women improves on their 65 th birthday. As an unavoidable result of the situation prevailing up to age 65 , there are more women than men who can only count on one source of income once they reach 65, although more than half have at least two sources of income. Furthermore, the majority of men can count on at least three sources of income once they reach 65. Universal access to govemment old age pension once age 65 has been reached places older persons in a situation where they have a greater diversity of sources of income than was the case when they were still in the labour force. Thus, Table 5.1 shows that about 50 per cent of older persons can count on at least three sources of income: these results confirm that the Canadian situation is progressively conforming to the three pillars theory, and even, in the case of some individuals, to the four pillars.

Up to now, the situation of individuals has been analysed without regard to their living arrangements. This must now be taken into consideration, and also the strategies used by older persons to ensure economic security during their retirement must also be identified (Table 5.2).

Although a large number of women do not receive any income before age 65 , women living alone stand out because very few of them have none ( 2.6 per cent). The great majority of women living alone are economically independent and must therefore have a job in order to fulfill their needs.

Beyond age 65, it should be noted that female behaviour is the same as male behaviour, as no significant difference is visible between women and men living alone. For individuals who share their lives with others, men more often than women can rely on at least two sources of income.

Having been engaged in paid work, they can in fact rely on an old age pension and a retirement pension provided for retired workers. In the case of men or women who have only one source of income, their circumstances are likely to change before the age of 65 , salary and, afterwards, old age pension.

Individuals aged 65 or more and living with at least four persons with no source of income occurs much more frequently than other scenarios. This situation, which arises because cohabitation with several others enables them to share living costs, nevertheless remains surprising to see because old age income security system is universal. These may be individuals living in a group administered by a third party who is responsible for the management of their retirement incomes, or others who do not yet meet the requirements of these programmes, in particular because of their recent immigration to Canada.

Finally, older persons often have only one source of income, and this applies to both sexes. It should probably be concluded that a cohort effect is acting here, as these people have been in the labour market at a time when contributions to workers' retirement schemes were still not compulsory. Usually, such persons can only count on income provided by governmental old age pensions.

### 5.4 Sources of income on retirement

As an old age pension is universal, most older persons who have only one source of income depend on government. For those who benefit from two sources of income, most add a worker's pension to that provided by the government. For people who benefit from at least three sources of income, it is expected that they receive a retirement pension and investment income in addition to their old age pension. It would be however interesting to find out how many of these individuals are still engaged in the labour force. This analysis has not been performed because the work variable has not been identified within the category three or more sources of income.

Table 5.2
Number of sources of income for persons aged 50 and over by age and household size, Canada, 1991

| Living arrangement | Number of sources of income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One | Two | Three or ${ }^{+}$ | Total |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.6 | 38.1 | 38.9 | 20.4 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.1 | 13.7 | 32.9 | 53.4 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 0.0 | 20.4 | 36.1 | 43.5 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.1 | 15.4 | 33.7 | 50.8 | 100.0 |
| Living with 1-2 persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 18.4 | 40.4 | 31.6 | 9.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 1.0 | 24.4 | 35.8 | 38.8 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 0.4 | 33.2 | 38.4 | 28.1 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 1.0 | 25.6 | 36.1 | 37.4 | 100.0 |
| Living with 3 pers. or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 23.0 | 42.4 | 27.1 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 6.1 | 37.9 | 33.3 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 2.5 | 46.2 | 34.0 | 17.3 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 5.3 | 39.8 | 33.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.4 | 48.3 | 37.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.2 | 12.5 | 36.2 | 51.1 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 0.0 | 15.6 | 34.7 | 49.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.2 | 13.2 | 35.9 | 50.8 | 100.0 |
| Living with 1-2 persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.1 | 40.2 | 42.5 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 30.2 | 61.6 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 0.2 | 11.9 | 30.5 | 57.4 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.3 | 8.4 | 30.2 | 61.0 | 100.0 |
| Living with 3 pers. or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 3.1 | 30.5 | 45.4 | 21.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 4.2 | 21.3 | 38.2 | 36.4 | 100.0 |
| 80 and over | 2.7 | 31.2 | 39.4 | 26.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 3.9 | 22.9 | 38.4 | 34.8 | 100.0 |

As social programmes for old age start at age 65 in Canada, there is a sharp contrast to be found before and after this threshold (Figures 5.1A and 5.1B). Over 65, and for both sexes, there is no major distinction among sources of income by age. Over 85 , the role of govemment becomes more predominant as the only source of income, probably as a result of a cohort effect and a selection effect through institutionalisation.

Data shown in Table 5.3 provide a better basis for identifying older persons assumed to be in a precarious economic situation. For example, some 15 per cent of those aged 65 and over and more than 20 per cent of those aged 80 and over have only the minimum pension paid by
government through its old age security programme as their single source of income. In addition to this, a number of individuals attempt to improve this small income by engaging in paid work (around two per cent). These indicators, which reflect a difficult economic situation for some older persons, affects women twice as frequently as men. Analysis on the basis of household size however shows that this precarious situation does not apply to older persons regardless of their living arrangements, whether they live alone or with other people who may or may not be relatives (Table 5.3).

Twice as many individuals aged 65 and over have only the State pension when they live in large households

Figure 5.1A
Sources of income of women by age, Canada, 1991


Figure 5.1B
Sources of income of men by age, Canada, 1991

than when they live alone (Table 5.4). This suggests that living with others is one way of retaining some standard of living by sharing costs. In households consisting of at least two persons, women are appreciably more numerous than men in only benefiting from an old age pension.

However, the picture varies by marital status (see Standard Tables 6.2 and 6.3 in Appendix). Couples show a very different behaviour for men and women, which in the case of these cohorts probably has its origins in the sexual division of paid work. Thus, almost half married

Table 5.3
Sources of income for older persons by age and sex, Canada, 1991


Table 5.4
Sources of income for older persons by age, sex and household size, Canada, 1991

|  | No income | A single source |  |  |  | Several sources of income |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Work | Pens. | State | Others | Work. + Pens. | Work + State | State + Pens. | Two others | Three or ${ }^{+}$ |  |
| LIVING ALONE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.6 | 18.6 | 3.9 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 24.0 | 20.4 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 24.8 | 6.7 | 53.4 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 43.5 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 23.4 | 9.0 | 50.8 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.4 | 28.0 | 4.8 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 22.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 5.0 | 51.1 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 24.1 | 9.1 | 49.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 27.5 | 6.0 | 50.8 | 100.0 |
| LIVING WITH ONE OR TWO PERSONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 18.9 | 15.2 | 38.8 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 15.4 | 21.5 | 28.1 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 24.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 18.5 | 16.0 | 37.4 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64 | 2.1 | 30.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 27.7 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 24.4 | 3.6 | 61.6 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 23.4 | 5.8 | 57.4 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 24.3 | 3.9 | 61.0 | 100.0 |

LIVING WITH THREE PERSONS OR MORE
Women

| $50-64$ | 23.0 | 26.7 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 16.3 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65-79$ | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 35.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 45.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 17.2 | 12.8 | 17.3 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 5.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 37.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 20.3 | 9.6 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-64$ | 3.1 | 22.9 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 28.6 | 1.7 | 14.1 | 21.0 | 100.0 |
| $65-79$ | 4.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 17.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 27.6 | 5.3 | 36.4 | 100.0 |
| $80+$ | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 26.0 | 7.4 | 26.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 19.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 27.3 | 5.7 | 34.8 | 100.0 |

women only received the old age pension, having never been involved in paid work. This situation does not however mean that they are particularly exposed to poverty over age 65, because most of them can undoubtedly rely on the numerous incomes of their spouses.

The case of widows, separated or divorced women is very much more disturbing because an equivalent proportion depend only on old age pension. Being no longer able to rely on the presence of a spouse, these women are probably more exposed to financial difficulties once they turn 65. In fact, for these cohorts, many pension plans did not protect the surviving or previous
spouse (Joshi and Davies, 1992), which means that Canadian women who have not participated in the labour force can only receive old age pensions.

### 5.5 Conclusion

The diversity of sources of income allows unmarried older persons greater freedom of choice in their living arrangements, the wealthiest being in a position to live alone more frequently than others. The substantial increase in people living alone after age 65 in Canada during the last two decades should probably be seen as a consequence of the improvement of the economic situation of older persons.

In the future, it is to be expected that the proportion of older persons having only one source of income will decrease as worker's retirement programmes (the CPP and QPP) progressively mature and the number of women in the paid labour market increases. Furthermore, private retirement programmes - the RRSP in particular - are becoming increasingly popular and also help to diversify sources of income in retirement.

## Chapter Six

## Housing Conditions

### 6.1 Introduction

Before the Welfare State, the socio-economic condition of seniors was substantially worse than for the rest of the population. Thus old age was long associated with loneliness, sickness and poverty. The housing conditions of older persons were often difficult, and a large number went to live with their children to ensure a more decent standard of living. It might be thought that dwellings' of independent older persons would be old fashioned and less well equipped, particularly from the sanitary point of view, than for the rest of the population.

This unenviable situation of older persons has changed greatly. Now they have more comfortable incomes (particularly after the establishment of old age security systems), they are in better health, and as a result they live differently from how they did before. For example, it has become usual for them to enjoy more amenities (central heating, deep freezers, washing machines, dishwashers etc.), even becoming, for some authors, a threat to the environment like others in society (Misiti et al, 1997).

Many choose to live independently from their family, even preferring to live alone than live with their children (see Chapter Two). As a result of this differentiation of living arrangements after age 50 , it might be thought that there is a link with housing conditions. Do people living alone have the same housing conditions as those living in households in which several generations cohabit? How many of them own their dwelling?

This chapter will provide a partial answer to these questions. Although the housing conditions of Canadian seniors in 1991 were relatively similar to those of the rest of the population, there may still be great disparity from one country to another. In the context of an intemational project like this one, it is pertinent to devote a chapter dealing with this dimension of life after age 50 .

[^12]
### 6.2 Average number of rooms per person

The project as prepared by the PAU initially suggested that consideration should be given to the average size of the dwellings occupied by older persons, expressed in square metres. However, this way of calculating the size of dwellings is not widespread in Canada. The indicator used is instead the number of rooms. ${ }^{2}$ This variable, available in the 1991 census, represents the first indicator analysed in this chapter.

Table 6.1 shows that for those aged 60 and over the average number of rooms per old person hardly varies by age or sex, because it always lies somewhere between 2.5 and 2.9. When marital status is factored in (Appendix, Figures 6.A, 6.B, 6.C and 6.D) an obvious economy of scale law comes into play because married individuals are generally those having the smallest number of rooms per person. It is indeed obvious that couples do not need a dwelling that is precisely twice as large as that of one occupied by a person living alone.

The same results of this law of economy of scale are observed when the number of generations is included (Figures 6.1A and 6.IB). The more generations living together, the more the average number of rooms per person decreases. All in all, people living in single generation households (many of which are couples or persons living alone) have twice as many rooms as those cohabiting with at least three generations. Here again, it should be noted that no important difference can be seen according to sex and age.

### 6.3 Present housing conditions in Canada

Canada, a country that has now been industrialised for several decades, has excellent housing conditions to offer its population because of its rapid and recent urbanisation. There are very few individuals (around three per cent) living in dwellings that do not allow them to have at least one room for each person living in the household (Table 6.1). There is very little variation by age or sex (except for a slight fall between 60 and 79) corresponding to the

[^13]
## Table 6.1

Two indicators of dwelling size for individuals aged 50 or more by age and sex, Canada, 1991

| Age group | Mean number of rooms per person | Percentage of households whose occupants have <br> one room per person or less |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| $50-54$ | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 4.2 |
| $55-59$ | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| $60-64$ | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| $65-69$ | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| $70-74$ | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| $75-79$ | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| $80-84$ | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| $85+$ | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| $60+$ | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| $65+$ | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| $8+$ | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 |  |

period during which older persons, no longer having any children in the house, live as couples or alone for most of the time. Despite the small numbers, unmarried men appear to live in less spacious dwellings more frequently than women having the same marital status, for reasons which are difficult to discern (Appendix, Figures 6.C and 6.D). Likewise, another trend appears to emerge from this
indicator by the number of generations cohabiting within a household. Individuals sharing their lives with persons belonging to more than two other generations much more frequently live in dwellings that do not allow them to have at least one room per person (Figures 6.2A and 6.2 B ). Apart from a still present law of economy of scale, this indicator suggests that this living arrangement is, for

Figure 6.1A
Mean number of rooms per person in the dwellings of older women by the number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 6.1B
Mean number of rooms per person in the dwellings of older men by the number of generatlons present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 6.2A
Proportion of older women living in dwellings with less than one room per occupant, by the number of generations present in the household,

Canada, 1991


Figure 6.2B
Proportion of older men living in dwellings with less than one room per occupant, by the number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991

some people, an expression of financial precariousness for all the members in the household.

### 6.4 Conclusion

Marital status and, above all, the number of generations present in a household, seem to affect the indicators used in this chapter more than age or sex. This very brief analysis includes a few gaps, one of these being the lack of an income variable as an explanatory factor. It is known that the size of an occupied dwelling varies greatly according to income levels. In general, the higher the income, the larger the dwelling.

In Canada, access to property increased at the same time as housing conditions improved. As a result, persons who are old today had increasing possibilities in their life cycle to become the owners of their dwelling. However, several, once retired, chose to rent again without seeing their standard of living deteriorate. This was because they often had a significant income originating from the sale of their property. An indicator based on the ownership of their dweliing is not necessarily a good indicator of the financial situation and housing conditions of older persons.

Does age 60 constitute a perceptible threshold when analysing housing conditions? It seems that above this age, individuals have a larger number of rooms per person, a logical effect of children leaving the family home. Age 80 also seems to constitute another threshold, that of the entry into older age. At this time, the proportion of households whose occupants have one room or less begins to increase, suggesting that very old noninstitutionalised persons then go back to living with others, very often their children (see Chapter Two).

Finally, in order to take into account trends in the age structure, future censuses in Canada - and elsewhere - will need to include more questions relating to the conditions under which older persons maintain their autonomy, even if they are handicapped to a greater or lesser extent. The presence of access ramps to the dwelling, lifts, cordless telephones, direct access to health services, etc. variables which are already often found in surveys - will become factors that censuses will have to incorporate to allow further studies on population ageing.

## Chapter Seven

## Closing Remarks

### 7.1 Closing remarks

The purpose of this project was to review the socioeconomic situation of senior citizens based on data from the 1991 Canadian census, which contains rich information about this subject. Indeed, most prior research, in Canada and elsewhere in the world, about the socioeconomic situation of older persons has been based on data from surveys, and few have used census data. The uniqueness of this study is mostly due to the fact that the analysis was carried out to take into account the household environment of older people, i.e. taking into consideration variables such as the number of individuals or generations living in the same household of an older Canadian, when he or she is not necessarily the head of that household.

In analysing the results, it was shown that marital status, perhaps more than age or gender, is a determining factor of the living conditions of persons age 60 years or over. Still today, the spouse remains the best source of support in old age, and emerging new behaviours, such as the prevalence of divorce, might have an impact on the independence and the autonomy of future senior citizens.

This study also demonstrates that life in non-family households remains relatively uncommon during old age, suggesting that while generations might co-exist more and more in the future owing to longer life expectancy, it is still rare to see different generations cohabiting. Older persons, particularly women, clearly choose to be autonomous and independent from their relatives, since one in two Canadian women lives alone at age 75 years and over, while only one in five Canadian men does so.

In Canada, as in other developed countries, there is a trend toward earlier retirement from the main professional activity, even though the most educated persons still leave the paid work force later than the others. Canadian income security programs obviously meet the minimum needs of older people, but there is still a significant group (about 15 $\%$ ) of the aged that experiences difficult economic situations, particularly women. Sharing accommodations with others, whether they are relatives or not, seems to be a strategy selected by some low income older people to share the costs of living.

While this study sheds new light on life from age 60 and on, there is still much to do to better understand the
interactions between senior citizens and their household environment or their informal support network. What will be the real impact of behavioural changes, particularly lower birth rate, for older persons in the future? Some Canadian provinces started a few years ago reforming their health care system to better take advantage of the contribution of the family circle. If current and future senior citizens in the next few years still have solid family circles, what will happen with baby-boomers, many of whom will not have a spouse, or even children, to help them with their handicaps and disabilities? Some important questions remain unanswered today regarding equity within and between generations. Further studies are necessary to understand how solidarity works whether in terms of giving or receiving assistance, financial or other, and this in both the public and private sectors.

The greying of the Canadian population, just like the ageing of individuals, cannot be avoided, but its consequences are not necessarily catastrophic. In this field, as in many others, it seems that quickness to react and to implement adaptation processes to this phenomenon is the best guarantee of ensuring a smooth transition from a demographically young society to an older one. Maintaining the autonomy of the older persons seems to be an effective and desirable means of controlling the expected rise of costs related to the ageing of Canadians, but this goal must be reached while taking into account diversity among the older persons.

## Chapter Eight

## Technical Annex

### 8.1 Notes on organisation of the census

Statistics Canada is Canada's national statistics agency. Under the Statistics Act, the agency gathers, analyses and publishes statistical information on all aspects of Canadian society and the Canadian economy. One of Statistics Canada's many tasks is to conduct a census every five years (in years ending in 1 and 6 ).

Since 1971, the census has been done primarily by means of self-enumeration. Census enumerators distribute questionnaires that respondents are required by law to complete and return by mail. A telephone information service is available for respondents who have questions.

Not all respondents complete the same questionnaire. Four out of five households receive a short questionnaire (2A), while the fifth receives a long questionnaire (2B). This method of sampling makes it possible to gather detailed information about the Canadian population and makes the task of compiling data easier.

In 1991, the short questionnaire comprised nine questions designed to gather basic information such as relationship to the reference person, age, sex, marital status and mother tongue. The long questionnaire contained 44 additional questions on demographic, sociological and economic characteristics, as well as on housing conditions. The following subjects were covered: linguistic knowledge, socio-cultural characteristics, mobility, schooling, economic activity and income.

Census results are published in a variety of forms. Statistics Canada provides access to some results in the form of printed documents. To enable researchers to aggregate and manipulate census variables based on their data and research requirements, Statistics Canada has also developed public use microdata files (PUMFs) based on samples (three per cent) of anonymous responses to the long questionnaire. PUMFs are powerful research tools and unique among census products because they alone provide access to non-aggregated data. Three files are available: the individuals file, the households file and the families file. Statistics Canada provides another service as well, namely producing special tables that at present address research needs directly.

### 8.2 Description of the sample

Owing to the strict constraints designed to protect the confidentiality of the information provided by Canadians, it was not possible to obtain from Statistics Canada a microdata file containing the information needed to produce this study. We therefore had to create a virtual file of individuals based on products available to the general public. Following a review of the available information, it was decided to use two public microdata files, namely the individuals file and the households and dwellings file, and to combine through imputations (deterministic and stochastic) the information from the two microdata files.

While the initial objective was to follow the PAU's directives and create a single file containing both the individual and the household information, financial and time constraints limited us in preparing the PAU standard tables required for drafting the Country Report. We opted to present two separate files compiled on the basis of the two microdata files.

The first file, UDEM1, based primarily on the households and dwellings microdata file, was produced for the sole purpose of meeting the requirements of Chapter Three of the Country Report. It contains the core of what was to be the file sent to the PAU because it includes both levels of information (individuals and households). In other words, if each line in the file represents an individual, the household can be recreated by combining the various individuals that make up the household. To make the task easier, each individual is numbered according to his or her household and position in the household.

For the other chapters, it was decided to develop UDEM2, a modified version of the individual microdata file. The advantage of that file was that it contained the variables needed to prepare these chapters. The only important addition to the original file was a "number of generations present in the household" variable that was imputed on the basis of UDEM1.

This exchange of information and these transformations and transfers of variables are in theory simple. However, many obstacles had to be overcome. First of all, we had to conform with the regulations, legitimate of course, set out by Statistics Canada to protect the confidentiality of the information provided by Canadian
citizens. Statistics Canada ensured that the files were independent of one another. Not only were they created that way, but the definition of variables also makes it hard to match the data. The variables pertaining to households in the individuals microdata file are not the same as those in the households and dwellings microdata file or have a different framework.

There were two major problems to overcome. The first was the relationship between individuals and the reference person, a concept fundamental to Chapter Three of the Country Report. First, where the households and dwellings microdata file uses the concept of relationship to the principal income earner in the household, the individuals microdata file uses the concept of relationship to person 1 , who is the first person listed in the census. The second obstacle stemmed from the fact that the househoids and dwellings microdata file basically uses the concept of economic family, ${ }^{1}$ while the individuals file is based primarily on the concept of census family, ${ }^{2}$ which makes it difficult to compare variables related to household structure. The challenge, as we will see later, was to overcome these obstacles and create variables that would be common to both files.

The Canadian Country Report is thus based in part on virtual data. This document looks to explain the various operations applied to the variables and demonstrate the validity and quality of those operations.

### 8.3 Basic data: Public Use Microdata Files

### 8.3.1 Households and dwellings microdata file

This file, the basic unit of which is the household and which includes more than 100 variables, contains information on the composition of households and some of their socio-economic characteristics, as well as the socioeconomic characteristics of the principal income eamer and, if applicable, his or her spouse and family. The file includes all non-institutionalised househoids, but not households on Indian reserves.

### 8.3.2 Individuals microdata file

This file contains 119 variables related to the demographic and socio-economic characteristics (ethnic origin, languages spoken, income, economic activity, etc.) of the Canadian population. It also contains information about the individual's household and family (size and structure) and the relationship between the individual and the reference person of the household of which he or she

[^14]is part. The file covers the entire population other than residents of an institution. To improve the comparability of this file with the households and dwellings file, we excluded persons living on Indian reserves.

### 8.3.3 Creation of file UDEM 1

Chapter Three of the Country Report, which deals with "Living Arrangements", required the development of a file in which, for each household selected, every member of the household and his or her characteristics would be present. The first of our files, UDEMI, contains that type of information.

For each individual, we therefore had to know age and sex, marital status and relationship to the reference person for the household of which he or she was part. Because they are all connected, these four variables were imputed at the same time based on a series of rules the content of which, if presented in full, would surely require a larger document than the analytical report! We will therefore limit ourselves here to stating the general rules that guided us in developing UDEM1.

The imputations were done using a deterministic approach. We used the maximum information contained in the households and dwellings microdata file, which meant we had:

- size of household
- age and sex distribution of members
- age, sex and marital status of principal income earner in household and his or her spouse, if applicable
- structure of household:
- number of economic families in household
- composition of principal income earner's family
- status of individuals in principal income earner's economic family

The study of the structure of households led to the development of a typology that included 45 types of household ranging from a person living alone through a household made up of at least two economic families to a couple with unmarried children. This typology enabled us to identify for each household the number, if any, of individuals not related to the principal income earner, whether there were any married or unmarried children and, by deduction, the number of related individuals of a different type.

For each of these types of household, a series of constraints was established limiting imputations of two variables: marital status and relationship to the principal income earner. For example, in the case of a traditional nuclear family (married couple with unmarried children), the deterministic imputation was simple: the first two individuals in the household were married and the others were their unmarried children. Added to this series of constraints was one controlling age differences depending
on the relationship to the principal income earner. In the previous example, the age difference between the mother and the children had to be, based on the constraints of human physiology, between 15 and 49 years.

Once the deterministic imputations were complete, the next step was to carry out the stochastic imputations. We used as a reference the distributions provided by the individuals microdata file. For each variable not imputed deterministically, various multidimensional distributions (normally comprising at least four variables) yielded a value that was assigned to the individual if he or she passed the battery of constraint tests. Needless to say, this step kept our computers working for hours. At this stage, a number of households were rejected because they presented information that did not comply with our rules. There are two reasons for this: incorrect statements made at the time of the census, and Statistics Canada's manipulation of the data in the microdata files to protect the confidentiality of the individuals enumerated.

Given that the vast majority of households in Canada are simple households (such as single-family households with unmarried children, single persons and married couples living alone), the entire operation was made easier by the fact that the deterministic approach resolved 85 per cent of the imputations.

Not until the four basic variables were assigned were we able to select the households in which there was a person aged 50 years and over. We were unable to do so earlier because the reference distributions used for the stochastic imputations pertained to the entire population. Of the 298,960 households in the households and dwellings microdata file, we selected 126,551 households for a total of 281,299 individuals, 189,286 of them being at least 50 years of age.

### 8.3.4 Creation of file UDEM2

For chapters Four, Five and Six of the Country Report, we decided to use the individuals microdata file directly. However, we had to create a "number of generations present in the household" variable that did not appear in the file. We did so using the information in UDEMI and imputing the variable in question to create what we called file UDEM2, which contained 198,788 individuals.

Imputation was initially done deterministically using information on household structure (size and composition) and families in the household, as well as the relationship between the individual and the reference person. Once again, the operation was made easier by the relatively simple structure of Canadian households; 85 per cent of the imputations were done this way.

The other cases were completed by means of stochastic imputation using UDEM1 as a reference. Six control variables (age, sex, marital status, relationship to reference person, and size and structure of household) were used for imputation.

### 8.4 Quality of data

### 8.4.1 Calculating weights for UDEM1

Obviously, because each imputation produces its share of random results, the totals from UDEMI are not a perfect reflection of the actual situation in Canada. Since the households and dwellings microdata file is a three per cent self-weighted sample, it ought to have been expected, in the best of worlds, that UDEM1 would contain that proportion of individuals. Moreover, because the two files, although independent, represent the same population, each individual should have had a weight of 33.33 per cent. ${ }^{3}$ However, since that was not the case, we had to apply a series of corrective weights to the individuals in UDEMI.

This was done using the following six variables: age, sex, marital status, relationship to the principal income eamer in the household, size of the household and structure of the household. However, as we explained earlier, two of these variables (relationship to the reference person and structure of the household) were hard to use because of conceptual differences between the two files we used.

To get around the problem, we created two new variables, one in UDEMI, the other in the individuals microdata file. Let us look first at the concept of "relationship to the reference person". Two decisions were made in this regard. First, it was decided to stay away from the PAU's directives and instead of that concept use the concept of "relationship to principal income earner in the household". We then created in the individuals microdata file a "relationship to principal income earner in the household" variable based on the existing "relationship to reference person" variable and the study of other variables in that file. This made it possible to determine whether, for each household, the reference person was or was not the principal income earner.

It was therefore possible in 85 per cent of cases to accurately determine the relationship of each individual in the individuals microdata file to the principal income earner in the household. In the remaining cases, we imputed the variable stochastically by taking UDEM1 as a benchmark and using five variables (age, sex, marital status, size of household and structure of household) as control variables.

As for the problem of variables pertaining to household structure, we recreated the census families of the households in UDEMI and applied to that file the 11 conditions of the "structure of household" variable from the individuals microdata file.

[^15]Now that the six variables selected could be compared from file to file, the weight of each individual could be easily calculated. The steps used to calculate that weight are simple. First, we measured for both files (UDEM1 and UDEM2) the frequency of the various combinations of the six variables. Then, by comparing the frequencies, we were able to apply an adjustment factor to each combination in UDEM1 so that its table of combination frequencies would match perfectly the table from the individuals microdata file.

However, we then had to solve the problem of cases where combinations were not found in both files; it should be noted that these problem cases represented only 5 per cent of all combinations. First, in order to ensure that all the combinations present in UDEM1 occurred in UDEM2, we redid one round of imputation of the basic variables in UDEM1. We then had to solve the problem of cases where a combination of variables existed in the individuals microdata file but not in UDEM1. We did this by calculating a new series of weights with four variables, (age, sex, marital status and size of household) and applied the values to the small number of problem cases ( 1.2 per cent).

Finally, probably because of the severity of our constraints, UDEM1 contains only 189,826 individuals aged 50 years and over, 4.7 per cent less than the individuals microdata file, which contains 198,822 . To compensate for the difference, the average weight of our individuals was not 33.33, but 34.91 . However, the fact that 75 per cent of individuals have a weight that is within 10 per cent of this central value of 33.33 per cent assures us of the good quality of the imputations performed in that work. Further information on the technical aspects of Canada's census can be found in the Statistics Canada publications referred to in the bibliographical references (Statistics Canada, 1992, 1993, 1994a and 1994b).
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## APPENDIX ONE

Figures 4.A : Activity rates of women by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.B : Activity rates of men by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.C: Activity rates of women by educational attainement, Canada, 1991


Figures 4.D : Activity rates of men by educational attainement, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.E : Proportion of women working part-time among those in the labour force by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.F : Proportion of men working part-time among those in the labour force by marital status, Canada, 1991



Figure 4.H : Proportion of men working part-time among those in the labour force by educational achieved, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.I: Proportion of women working part-time among those in the labour force by the number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.J : Proportion of men working part-time among those in the labour force by the number of generations present in the household, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.K : Proportion of retired women by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.L: Proportion of retired men by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 4.M : Proportion of retired women by educational attainement, Canada, 1991


| $-\boxminus-$ No education | - Primary level |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdot$ High School level | $-\cdots-$ High School achieved or college level |
| $\cdots \cdots$ University level | - All levels |

Figure 4.N : Proportion of retired men by educational attainement, Canada, 1991


| $-\boxminus-$ No education | $\cdots-$ Primary level |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdot$ High School level | $\cdots-\cdots$ High School achieved or college level |
| $\cdots \cdots-$ University level |  |

Figure 6.A : Mean number of rooms per person in the dwellings of old women by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 6.B : Mean number of rooms per person in the dwellings of old men by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 6.C : Proportion of old women's dwellings in which the occupants have one room or less per person by marital status, Canada, 1991


Figure 6.D : Proportion of old men's dwellings in which the occupants have one room or less per person by marital status, Canada, 1991


APPENDIX TWO

$$
\text { TABLE } 1.1
$$


TABLE 1.2
Selected demographic indicators related to the papulation of older persons in Canada

|  |  | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population growth rale by age group': (percentage) | 50-59 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.4 | -1.4 | 0.4 |
|  | 60-69 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.4 | -1.4 |
|  | 70-79 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
|  | $80+$ | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Proportion of total population: | $50+$ | 20.3 | 19.3 | 20.6 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 27.8 | 33.2 | 37.1 | 38.0 |
|  | 70+ | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 14.7 |
| Proportion of $60+$ population: | $75+$ | 21.4 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 26.4 | 29.1 | 33.1 | 31.2 | 28.5 | 34.2 |
| Sex ratio by age group: (Men/women)* 100 | 50-59 | 106.7 | 106.0 | 98.4 | 96.2 | 100.3 | 99.7 | 99.3 | 101.0 | 101.0 |
|  | 60-74 | 109.8 | 98.1 | 91.8 | 86.1 | 85.7 | 89.6 | 91.4 | 91.4 | 93.3 |
|  | $75+$ | 93.6 | 90.4 | 74.9 | 63.1 | 60.1 | 58.3 | 59.6 | 61.2 | 64.5 |

1. Growth rate are detined us the average annual geometric rate of growth daring the 10 years periox leginning in the years shown at the top column. In column 1950, the growth rate is delined as $(\ln (1960 \mathrm{pop} / 1950 \mathrm{pop})) / 10$.
TABLE 1.3


|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Billions of current Canadian \$) |  |  |  |  |
| Government Deficit or Surplus | -5.7 | -10.7 | -28.7 | -20.8 | n.a. |
| Gross Domestic Saving | 40.8 | 78.3 | 108.0 | 136.2 | 118.5 |
| (Billions of 1987 Canadian \$) |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDP at factor cost | 329.5 | 401.4 | 457.8 | 517.7 | 506.3 |
| -Agriculture | 11.9 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 16.6 | 15.6 |
| -Industry | 118.2 | 136.4 | 154.8 | 168.7 | 160.7 |
| -Services etc. | 199.3 | 252.3 | 289.3 | 332.4 | 330.0 |
| Gross Domestic Investment | 70.4 | 89.9 | 101.2 | 129.6 | 121.3 |
| (Index 1987=100) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall (GDP) Deflator | 46.5 | 69.8 | 93.3 | 113.2 | 117.8 |
| Consumer Price Index | 42.3 | 64.3 | 92.0 | 114.4 | 122.7 |
| Manufacturing Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Real Eaming per Employee | 93.3 | 99.8 | 102.2 | 100.8 | 101.6 |
| (Canadian \$ per U.S. \$) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conversion Factor ( Annual Average) | 1.017 | 1.169 | 1.365 | 1.167 | 1.209 |
| Labour Force (in thousands) | 10160.7 | 11848.6 | 12723.3 | 13359.6 | 13579.8 |
| Labour Force Female (in per cent) | 36.4 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 |
| Public Expenditure on Health (as a per | GDP) | 7.3 | 3.2 | 7.3 | . |

Source: World Bank World Tables (1995). Jolin Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London. pp. 188-191.
TABLE 2.1
Househald size of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age and sex

TABLE 2.1 (cont.)
Distribution of houschald size of persons aged 50 and over in Cilladal in 1991 by age and sex

|  |  | Non-Institutionalised Population |  |  |  |  |  |  | Institutionalised Population | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One Person | Two Persons | Three Persons | Four Persons | Five Persons | Six Persons | Seven or more Persons |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 11.0 | 37.5 | 24.8 | 15.9 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 55.59 | 12.9 | 49.3 | 21.7 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 18.9 | 53.5 | 15.3 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 25.8 | 53.7 | 10.5 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 34.0 | 47.3 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 42.2 | 36.0 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 44.8 | 25.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 17.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 85+ | 38.9 | 20.9 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 24.5 | 44.3 | 14.4 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 8.6 | 30.4 | 25.6 | 21.3 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 8.9 | 43.6 | 24.2 | 13.4 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 10.6 | 54.3 | 19.7 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 11.6 | 61.2 | 14.2 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 12.8 | 66.6 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 15.8 | 62.5 | 8.8 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 21.0 | 55.4 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 11.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 85+ | 20.3 | 46.5 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 11.4 | 50.2 | 18.3 | 10.2 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 100.0 |

「1A1HII: 2.2


|  |  | One generatiout |  |  | Two geneations |  | 7hrce bineations |  | Four or munctacierations |  | Todal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living aluzk | 1 luusctuld no "uther" | Housciond with "olker" | Honsellold no "olloer" | Houschutd wilh "ollke" | Houschold nu "omlet" | Houselwhd with "other" | Ifouscilushd nu "oltke" | $\begin{gathered}\text { Houselaold } \\ \text { will "olther" }\end{gathered}$ |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.54 | 19396 | 946 | 2693 | 11583 | 2197 | 747 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 37669 |
|  | 55-59 | 14801 | 2159 | 24.37 | 5718 | $2 \times 17$ | 571 | 158 | 0 | 74 | 28735 |
|  | 60.64 | 16882 | 61697 | 2914 | gros6 | $7 \times 9$ | 3611 | 128 | $1)$ | 29 | 33855 |
|  | 65.69 | 16646 | 5939 | 2249 | 2893 | 846 | 323 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 29039 |
|  | 70-74 | 16746 | 5213 | 16.3 | 1869 | 561 | 27 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 26171 |
|  | 75.79 | 14351 | 3k60 | 646 | 1203 | 27.4 | 459 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 22964 |
|  | 80.84 | 9303 | 3851 | 465 | 353 | 135 | 2.4 | 90 | 74 | 0 | Hests |
|  | $85+$ | 572R | 3648 | 101 | 40.3 | 206 | 124 | 52 | 115 | 35 | 10402 |
|  | Total | 113847 | 14313 | 13140 | 30078 | 782.1 | 2895 | 975 | 179 | 138 | 203349 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.54 | 1006 | 186059 | 1848 | 244966 | 8178 | 15475 | 1934 | 369 | 0 | 459995 |
|  | 55-59 | 1276 | 266,826 | 2.33 | 169807 | 646.1 | 16220 | 1388 | 367 | 104 | 464784 |
|  | 60.64 | 1763 | 279323 | 2147 | 96896 | 3717 | 17.377 | 792 | 148 | 0 | 412163 |
|  | 65.69 | 2624 | 272259 | $2 \cdot 102$ | 490613 | 21197 | 11758 | 956 | 282 | 31 | 311411 |
|  | 70.74 | 2821 | 191101 | 1167 | 291425 | 11.3 | 9121 | 859 | 187 | 0 | 235412 |
|  | 75.79 | 2367 | 100704 | 730 | 8811 | 754 | 1415 | 610 | 16.4 | 18 | 118600 |
|  | 80.84 | 1902 | 38413 | 487 | 364.1 | 107 | 2529 | 314 | 188 | 51 | 47635 |
|  | $85+$ | 1066 | 13.473 | 314 | 1865 | 92 | 854 | 242 | 25 | 0 | 17931 |
|  | Total | 14825 | 1348158 | 11428 | 604017 | 22739 | 77769 | 7095 | 1727 | 233 | 2087931 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.54 | 10049 | 158 | 1096 | 15428 | 2082 | 1434 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 30562 |
|  | 55.59 | 28270 | 1177 | 1.104 | 23275 | 2435 | 2629 | 383 | 85 | 0 | 59598 |
|  | 60.64 | 60618 | 33.45 | 2481 | 29170 | 2370 | 8578 | 319 | 29 | 0 | 1166930 |
|  | 65.69 | 112144 | 5214 | 36411 | 33076 | 3059 | 15799 | 769 | 77 |  | 173778 |
|  | 70.74 | 119915 | 4587 | 2450 | 23533 | 1931 | 14134 | 842 | 72 | 0 | 167464 |
|  | 75-79 | 131415 | 4331 | 2297 | 27411 | 1620 | 18241 | 943 | $3 \times 1$ | 123 | 186762 |
|  | 80-84 | 93562 | 2310 | 1346 | 17961 | i0ss | 13214 | 1598 | 673 | 49 | 131728 |
|  | 854 | 53444 | 3162 | 693 | 16581 | 813 | 8214 | 949 | 88.3 | 106 | 84848 |
|  | Total | 609437 | 24284 | 15307 | 186438 | 1536s | 82243 | 6078 | 2200 | 278 | 941630 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.54 | 37949 | 22.1 | 3273 | 37747 | 5514 | 2244 | 214 | 0 | 43 | 87867 |
|  | 55.59 | 36426 | 1283 | 2084 | 19807 | 3462 | 1712 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 65376 |
|  | 60.64 | 34704 | 1393 | 1963 | 10348 | 892 | 2742 | 156 | 42 | 0 | 52582 |
|  | 65.69 | 20249 | 1091 | 1659 | 5377 | 1011 | 2999 | 213 | 19 | 0 | 32620 |
|  | 70-74 | 22237 | 9.9 | 1133 | 2964 | 500 | 1424 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 29417 |
|  | 75-79 | 7586 | 50 | 512 | 1401 | 274 | 842 | 313 | 139 | 0 | 11117 |
|  | 80.84 | $27 \times 5$ | 300 | 139 | 664 | ${ }^{69}$ | 539 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 4577 |
|  | $85+$ | 1319 | 316 | 54 | 375 | 57 | 208 | 29 | 180 | 0 | 2538 |
|  | Total | 163249 | 6297 | 10779 | 78683 |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |
| Al | 50.54 | 68400 | 188086 | 8876 | 309724 | 18171 | 19860 | 2576 | 369 | 43 |  |
|  | 55.59 | 80767 | 271445 | 8158 | 218607 | 15177 | 21132 | 2537 | 452 | 178 | 616093 618453 |
|  | 60.64 | 113987 | 290758 | 9507 | 142470 | 7768 | 29057 | 1735 | 219 | 29 | 618453 595930 |
|  | 65.69 | 151663 | 2845015 | 9950 | 90149 | 7013 | 310879 | 2081 | 378 | 30 | 5768.48 |
|  | 70.74 | 161713 | 201840 | 6385 | 57191 | 4122 | 24706 | 2047 | 259 | 0 | 458463 |
|  | 75.79 | 155719 | 110945 | 4185 | $3 \mathrm{R826}$ | 2922 | 23957 | 2037 | 681 | 171 | 339443 |
|  | $80-84$ $85+$ | 107552 <br> 61557 <br> 6 | 44874 21599 | 2437 1162 | 22622 | 1366 | 16926 | 1962 | 1016 | 100 | 198459 |
| Toul Wormen |  | 61557 9911358 | 201499 1413052 | 1162 50654 | 19227 899216 | 1168 57707 | 9400 175517 | 1272 16241 | 1193 4567 | 141 692 |  |
|  |  | 201358 | 1413052 | 50654 | 899216 | 57707 | 175517 | 16241 | 4567 | 692 | 3519004 |

TABLE 2.2 (cont.)
Generations present in the household of the sampled person in Canadia in 1991 by age, sex and marltal status (nan-tustitutionalised population)

|  | One generation |  |  | Two generatious |  | Three generations |  | Four of more generations |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I.iving ulone | Housihold no "other" | Itouschold wihh "other" | llouselwold no "other" | Ilouschold with "other" | Itousehold no "other" | llouschold with "orker" | Ifouschold no "other" | Houschold with "other" |  |
| Men. Never maried |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 22958 | 1902 | 4096 | 11805 | 2783 | 574 | 157 | 0 | 36 | 44311 |
| 55.54 | 18269 | 2295 | 2594 | 8351 | 2819) | 818 | 403 | 0 | 41 | 35570) |
| 60.64 | 21518 | 4702 | 3362 | 3543 | 1324 | 192 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 34853 |
| 65.69 | 15723 | 4995 | 2020 | 1999 | 1168 | 225 | 303 | 0 | 0 | 26343 |
| 70.74 | 12593 | 2846 | 1408 | 1036 | 534 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18516 |
| 75.79 | 6879 | 1917 | $6 \%$ | 561 | 4u8 | 249 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 10888 |
| 80.84 | +335 | 1222 | 397 | 300 | 149 | 163 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 6601 |
| $85+$ | 2429 | 1023 | 307 | 197 | 222 | 150 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 4392 |
| Total | 104704 | 20902 | 148\%0 | 27702 | 9397 | 2460 | 1288 | 64 | 77 | 181474 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 1083 | 169834 | 2833 | 343027 | 13742 | 15314 | 1785 | 148 | 69 | 547825 |
| 55.59 | 1777 | 244940 | 5146 | 230153 | 11176 | 17921 | [S0S' | 589 | 109 | S13306 |
| 60.64 | 2070 | 291165 | 5130 | 150509 | 7016 | 17149 | 1040 | 333 | 0 | 474412 |
| 65-69 | 2064 | 288712 | c 5337 | 83723 | 4211 | 18 (0) 8 | 1506 | 148 | 0 | 403709 |
| 70-74 | 1262 | 228321 | 3625 | 42055 | 2153 | 7727 | 1038 | 210 | 6 | 286307 |
| 75.79 | 1144 | 151446 | 2087 | 19518 | 1547 | $6 \times 06$ | 160) | 62 | 0 | 184519 |
| 80.84 | 1167 | 71629 | 819 | 6817 | 486 | 3048 | 426 | 61 | 142 | 84565 |
| $85+$ | 965 | 31786 | 398 | 3996 | 174 | 1513 | 329 | 97 | 96 | 39354 |
| Total | 11832 | 1477833 | 25375 | 879798 | dicsus | 87445 | 9238 | 1648 | 413 | 2534087 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 1748 | 241 | 456 | 3822 | 677 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7569 |
| 55.59 | 5936 | 24 | 576 | 5008 | 875 | 1363 | 99 | 42 | 0 | 13863 |
| 60.64 | 11707 | 452 | 1552 | 4850 | 802 | 1719 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 21263 |
| 65.69 | 22664 | 369 | 1723 | 6069 | 846 | 3015 | 225 | 31 | 0 | 34942 |
| 70.74 | 18772 | 469 | 1102 | 3689 | 429 | 1714 | 349 | 0 | 0 | 26524 |
| 75.79 | 24253 | 465 | 872 | 4870 | 442 | 4408 | 156 | 45 | 0 | 35511 |
| 80.84 | 20933 | 270 | 904 | 4101 | 379 | 2649 | 237 | 183 | 0 | 29656 |
| 85+ | 10637 | 128 | 277 | 2587 | 102 | 1781 | 236 | 383 | 108 | 16239 |
| Total | 116650 | 2418 | 7462 | 35036 | 4522 | 17244 | 1443 | 684 | 108 | 185567 |
| Divorced/Separaled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 31487 | 933 | 4998 | 18551 | 5564 | 392 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 62128 |
| SS.59 | 29004 | 593 | 3404 | 8005 | 3889 | 1103 | 440 | 0 | 27 | 46465 |
| 60-64 | 25996 | 528 | 3807 | 4027 | 1117 | 897 | 126 | 49 | 0 | 36547 |
| 65.69 | 17833 | 621 | 2439 | 2126 | 1244 | 885 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 25182 |
| 70.74 | 13668 | 386 | 1349 | 1388 | 556 | 569 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 18066 |
| 75.79 | 7932 | 217 | 811 | 663 | 335 | 493 | 323 | 80 | 0 | 10854 |
| 80.84 | 3232 | 132 | 220 | 208 | 216 | 368 | 66 | 64 | 0 | 4506 |
| $85+$ | 1275 | 89 | 69 | 216 | 0 | 288 | 60 | 84 | 0 | 2081 |
| Tolal | 130427 | 3499 | 17097 | 35184 | 12921 | 4995 | 1402 | 277 | 27 | 205829 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 57276 | 172910 | 12383 | 377205 | 22736 | 16925 | 2145 | 148 | 105 | 661833 |
| 55.59 | 54986 | 247852 | 11720 | 251517 | 18749 | 21134 | 2447 | 631 | 168 | 609204 |
| 60.64 | 61291 | 296847 | 1385i | 162069 | 10259 | 19057 | 1519 | 382 | 0 | 567075 |
| 65.69 | 58284 | 294697 | 11519 | 93827 | 7469 | 22133 | 2068 | 179 | 0 | 490176 |
| 70.74 | 46295 | 232022 | 7484 | 48168 | 3672 | 10109 | 1537 | 210 | 6 | 349503 |
| 75.79 | 40508 | 154045 | 4466 | 25612 | 2732 | 11056 | 2266 | 187 | 0 | 241772 |
| $80-84$ | 29667 | 13253 | 2340 | 11426 | 1231 | 6198 | 764 | 308 | 142 | 125328 |
| $85+$ | 15306 | 33026 | 1051 | 6996 | 498 | 3732 | 625 | 628 | 204 | 62066 |
| Total | 363613 | 1504652 | 64814 | 977720 | 67345 | 112144 | 13371 | 2673 | 625 | 3106057 |



|  | One gencration |  |  | Tive gencialions |  | Thace gencrations |  | Fiour or more gencralions |  | Toual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iiving aluac | Ilouschwld no "ahar" | Jluoschatif wilh "other" | Houscifold no "owher" | Ilotisctuld wilh "ollaer" | lionscluld ns "olhes" | Ilouschald wilh "other" | Ilousctiold nu "oher" | Jlonselould with "other" |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 51.5 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 30.7 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 51.5 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 19.9 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 49.9 | 19.8 | 8.6 | 17.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 57.3 | 20.5 | 7.7 | 10.t) | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 180.0 |
| 70.74 | 64.0 | 19.9 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 62.5 | 25.5 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 64.1 | 26.5 | 3.2 | 2.4 | H. 9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 55.1 | 35.1 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 56.0 | 16.9 | 6.5 | 14.8 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 0.2 | 40.4 | 0.4 | 53.3 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 0.3 | 57.4 | 0.5 | 36.5 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 0.4 | 69.5 | 0.5 | 24.1 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $65 \cdot 69$ | 0.8 | 79.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 .4 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.2 | 81.2 | 0.5 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 2.0 | 84.9 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 4.0 | 80.6 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 5.9 | 75.1 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 0.7 | 64.6 | 0.5 | 28.9 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 32.9 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 50.5 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 47.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 39.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 56.7 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 27.3 | 2.2 | 8.15 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 64.5 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 19.0 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 71.6 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 14.1 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 70.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 14.7 | 0.9 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 71.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 13.6 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 63.0 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 19.5 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 64.7 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 19.8 | 1.6 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Sequated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 43.2 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 43.4 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 55.7 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 30.3 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 66.0 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 19.7 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 62.1 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 16.5 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 75.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 68.2 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 12.6 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $80-84$ | 60.8 | 6.6 | 3.t) | 14.5 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 52.0 | 12.5 | 2.1 | 14.8 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Tolal | 57.1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 27.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 11.1 | 30.5 | 1.4 | 50.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 13.1 | 43.9 | 1.3 | 35.3 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 19.1 | 48.8 | 1.6 | 23.9 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 26.3 | 49.3 | 1.7 | 15.7 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 35.3 | 44.0 | 1.4 | 12.5 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 45.9 | 32.7 | 1.2 | 11.4 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| $8 \mathrm{Ct}-84$ | 54.2 | 22.6 | 1.2 | 11.4 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 85. | 53.2 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 16.6 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total Women | 25.6 | 40.2 | 1.1 | 25.6 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 2.2 (\%) (cont.)

|  | Dne beneration |  |  | Two generations |  | Thues generations |  | four or mose generitions |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living alone | 1 louschold no "utlier" | (Juuscholl with "other" | IIouschold no "other" | Houtschuld with "other" | Houschuld nn "other" | Housethold with "uther" | ilousehuld no "other" | Househuld with "other" |  |
| Men Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 51.8 | 4.3 | 9.2 | 26.6 | 63 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 100 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 51.4 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 23.5 | 7.9 | 23 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| (1).64 | 61.7 | 13.5 | 96 | 10.2 | 3.8 | 06 | 0.6 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 59.7 | 19.0 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 00 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 68.0 | 15.4 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 63.2 | 12.6 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $80-84$ | 65.7 | 18.5 | 615 | 4.5 | 23 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 854 | 55.3 | 23.3 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | i. 5 | 0.1 | 1000 |
| Tutal | 57.7 | $1 i .5$ | 8.2 | 15.3 | 52 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Marricd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 0.2 | 31.0 | 0.5 | 62.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 03 | 47.7 | 1.0 | 44.8 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 03 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 0.4 | 61.4 | 1.1 | 31.7 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1000 |
| 65-69 | 0.5 | 71.5 | 1.3 | 20.7 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 00 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.4 | 79.7 | 1.3 | 14.7 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.8 | 82.1 | 1.1 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| $80-8.4$ | 1.4 | 84.7 | 1.0 | 8.1 | - 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 85 | 2.5 | 80.8 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 02 | 100.0 |
| Total | 0.5 | 58.3 | 1.0 | 34.7 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 23.1 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 50.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 42.8 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 36.1 | 6.3 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1000 |
| 60-64 | 55.1 | 2.1 | 7.3 | 23.0 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 64.9 | 1.1 | 49 | 17.4 | 2.4 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1000 |
| 70.74 | 71.8 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 13.9 | 1.6 | - 65 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 68.3 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 706 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 13.8 | 1.3 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 06 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 65.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 15.9 | 0.6 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1000 |
| Total | 62.9 | 1.3 | 40 | 18.9 | 2.4 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Separaled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 50.7 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 29.9 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 62.4 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 17.2 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 00 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 71.1 | 1.4 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 00 | 1000 |
| 65.69 | 70.8 | 2.5 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 75.7 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 73.1 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 00 | 100.0 |
| $80-84$ | 71.7 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 851 | 61.3 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 40 | 0.0 | 1000 |
| Tolat | 63.4 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 17.1 | 63 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 8.7 | 26.1 | 1.9 | 57.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 9.0 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 41.3 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 00 | 1000 |
| 60.64 | 10.8 | 52.3 | 2.4 | 28.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 11.9 | 60.1 | 2.3 | 19.1 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 13.2 | 66.4 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 1.1 | 29 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 00 | 1000 |
| 75-79 | 16.8 | 63.7 | 1.8 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 01 | 1000 |
| $80-8.4$ | 23.7 | 58.4 | 1.9 | 9.1 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 85 | 24.7 | 53.2 | 1.7 | 11.3 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 11.7 | 48.4 | 2.1 | 31.5 | 22 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 00 | 1000 |

TABLE 2.3
Kin present in one- or two-generation households in Canada in 1991 by age and sex of the sampled person

|  | One generation houscholds willout persons classified as having "other" relationship to CRP |  |  |  | Two generations households without persons classified as having "other" relationship to CRP |  |  |  |  | Persons <br> living in one or two generation houselzolds with "other" persons present | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individual living alone | Individual living with spouse | Individual living with other relatives of the same generation | Individual living with spouse and other relatives of the same generation | Individual living wilh clild(ren) | Individua! living with spouse and child(ren) | Individual <br> living with parent(s) or paren1(s)-in-law | Individual living with spouse and parent(s) or parent(s)-in-law | Individual living with other relatives in two-gener. households |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 68400 | 184018 | 1968 | 1759 | 51085 | 229890 | 9093 | 3573 | 16526 | 27041 | 593353 |
| 55-59 | 80767 | 263867 | 4420 | 2480 | 38112 | 154191 | 4868 | 5310 | 16804 | 23334 | 594153 |
| 60-64 | 113986 | 275060 | 11021 | 3692 | 32945 | 86339 | 5527 | 2472 | 16232 | 17276 | 564550 |
| 65-69 | 151663 | 268487 | 11034 | 3528 | 30059 | 43929 | 2854 | 457 | 14552 | 16963 | 543526 |
| 70-74 | 161713 | 188958 | 9860 | 1655 | 22153 | 25312 | 1309 | 285 | 9727 | 10506 | 431478 |
| 75-79 | 155719 | 98960 | 8909 | 1545 | 25389 | 7778 | 446 | 29 | 6715 | 7109 | 312599 |
| 80-84 | 107552 | 38062 | 6159 | 307 | 17626 | 3162 | 148 | 0 | 2032 | 3803 | 178851 |
| 85+ | 61557 | 13294 | 6159 | 151 | 16404 | 1578 | 36 | 0 | 2241 | 2329 | 103749 |
| Total | 901357 | 1330706 | 59530 | 15117 | 233773 | 552179 | 24281 | 12126 | 84829 | 108361 | 3322259 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 57276 | 167962 | 2848 | 1562 | 19682 | 325334 | 13282 | 3788 | 15858 | 35119 | 642711 |
| 55-59 | 54986 | 242884 | 2851 | 1832 | 11945 | 214523 | 7441 | 4437 | 13456 | 30470 | 584825 |
| 60-64 | 61291 | 287917 | 5164 | 3051 | 8023 | 137860 | 2716 | 4254 | 10880 | 24110 | 545266 |
| 65-69 | 58284 | 284442 | 5411 | 3589 | 7436 | 75457 | 1290 | 1428 | 9470 | 18988 | 465795 |
| 70.74 | 46296 | 225990 | 3206 | 2073 | 4657 | 39147 | 565 | 352 | 4199 | 11157 | 337642 |
| 75.79 | 40509 | 149566 | 2461 | 1634 | 5306 | 17610 | 205 | 158 | 2774 | 7200 | 227423 |
| 80.84 | 29667 | 70724 | 1703 | 681 | 4434 | 6242 | 0 | 0 | 894 | 3570 | 117915 |
| 85+ | 15306 | 31204 | 1346 | 445 | 2780 | 3736 | 0 | 0 | 511 | 1549 | 56877 |
| Total | 363615 | 1460689 | 24990 | 14867 | 64263 | 819909 | 25499 | 14417 | 58042 | 132163 | 2978454 |

TABLE 2.3 (cont.)
Distribution of kin present in one- or two-generation households in Canada in 1991 by age and sex of the sample person

|  | One generation households without persons classified as having "other" relationship to CRP |  |  |  | Two generations households without persons classified as having "other" relationship to CRP |  |  |  |  | Persons <br> living in <br> one or two <br> generation <br> households <br> with "other" <br> persons <br> present | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individual living alone | Individual living with spouse | Individual living with other relatives of the same generation | Individual fiving with spouse and other relatives of the same generation | Individua! <br> living wilh child(sen) | Individua <br> living with spouse and cliild(ren) | Individual living wilh parants parent $(s)$ in-law | Individual living with spouse and parent(s) or parent(s)-in-law | Individual living with olher relatives in two-gener. houscholds |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 11.5 | 31.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 38.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 13.6 | 44.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 26.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 20.2 | 48.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 15.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 27.9 | 49.4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 37.5 | 43.8 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 49.8 | 31.7 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 60.1 | 21.3 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 59.3 | 12.8 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 27.1 | 40.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 16.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 8.9 | 26.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 50.6 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 9.4 | 41.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 36.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 11.2 | 52.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 25.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 12.5 | 61.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 16.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 13.7 | 66.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 17.8 | 65.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 25.2 | 60.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 26.9 | 54.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 12.2 | 49.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 27.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 100.0 |

TABLE 2.4

|  | Individual living with child(ren) \& parent(s) | Individual living with spouse, child(ren) \& parent(s) | Individual living with child(ren) \& grand-child(ren) | Individual living <br> with spouse, <br>  <br> grand-child(ren) | Individual <br> living with <br>  <br> grand-parent(s) | Individual living with spouse, parent(s) \& grand-parent(s) | Individual living in other three or more generation households | Persons living in three or more <br> generation houscholds with "other" persons present | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 845 | 8748 | 502 | 2652 | 35 | 0 | 4090 | 2250 | 19122 |
| 55-59 | 438 | 6511 | 2670 | 6041 | 0 | 0 | 6102 | 2615 | 24377 |
| 60-64 | 276 | 2857 | 4282 | 9523 | 0 | 0 | 3352 | 1520 | 21810 |
| 65-69 | 74 | 1021 | 4246 | 12962 | 0 | 0 | 4008 | 2068 | 24379 |
| 70-74 | 67 | 220 | 2176 | 4996 | 0 | 44 | 2815 | 1543 | 11861 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 4831 | 4433 | 0 | 0 | 2823 | 2266 | 14353 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 2961 | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 1573 | 905 | 7411 |
| $85+$ | 0 | 0 | 2103 | 906 | 0 | 0 | 1351 | 828 | 5188 |
| Total | 1700 | 19357 | 23771 | 43485 | 35 | 44 | 26114 | 13995 | 128501 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1487 | 6585 | 1820 | 4321 | 0 | 0 | 5915 | 2614 | 22742 |
| 55.59 | 1119 | 4268 | 2367 | 7838 | 0 | 0 | 5992 | 2715 | 24299 |
| 60-64 | 436 | 694 | 11824 | 11269 | 0 | 35 | 4956 | 1763 | 30977 |
| 65-69 | 209 | 425 | 16671 | 7855 | 0 | 0 | 6050 | 2111 | 33321 |
| 70-74 | 59 | 187 | 13585 | 6342 | 0 | 0 | 4766 | 2048 | 26987 |
| 75-79 | 129 | 0 | 16817 | 2518 | 0 | 0 | 5173 | 2209 | 26846 |
| 80-84 | 39 | 0 | 12288 | 940 | 0 | 0 | 4274 | 2062 | 19603 |
| 85+ | 0 | 0 | 7092 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 3036 | 1414 | 11972 |
| Total | 3478 | 12159 | 82464 | 41513 | 0 | 35 | 40162 | 16936 | 196747 |

TABLE 2.4 (cont.)

|  | Individual living with child(ren) \& parent(s) | Individual living with spouse, ehild(ren) \& parent(s) | Individual living with child(ren) \& grand-child(ren) | Jndividual living with spouse, child(ren) \& grand-clild(ren) | lindividual living wilth parent(s) \& grand-parent(s) | Individual living with spouse, parent(s) \& grand-parent(s) | Individual living in other three or more generation houscholds | Persons living in three or more generation households with "other" persons present | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 4.4 | 45.7 | 2.6 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 1.8 | 26.7 | 11.0 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 19.6 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 17.4 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 8.5 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 18.3 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 23.7 | 13.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.7 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.3 | 15.1 | 18.5 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 6.5 | 29.0 | 8.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 11.5 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 4.6 | 17.6 | 9.7 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 38.2 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 16.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 50.0 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 50.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 7.6 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 62.6 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 62.7 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 10.5 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.8 | 6.2 | 41.9 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 8.6 | 100.0 |


|  | $50+$ |  |  | $60+$ |  |  |  | $70+$ |  |  |  | $80+$ |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One Person | Two Persons | Three ${ }^{+}$ | Zero | One Petson | Two Persons | Three + | Zeto | One Person | Two Persons | Thice + | Zero | One Person | Two Persons | Three + |  |
| Wornen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never manied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 <br> 55 <br> 5.59 | 27815 | 6615 5767 | 3240 2048 | 28924 22206 | 6071 5387 | 2646 952 | 28 270 | 31834 24353 | 3026 3781 | 809 600 | 0 | 35403 26794 | 2083 1791 | 183 148 | 0 | 150677 114937 |
| $55-59$ $60-64$ | 20920 | 5767 10131 | 2048 3694 | 22206 | 5387 21620 | 952 9452 | 270 2782 | 24353 27307 | 3781 6122 | 600 425 | - $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 26794 30301 | 3791 | 240 | 0 | 114937 13416 |
| 65.69 | 17634 | 8162 | 3243 | 0 | 18888 | 7972 | 2258 | 23271 | 5159 | 608 | 0 | 26914 | 2124 | 0 | 0 | 116153 |
| 70.74 | 17304 | 6817 | 2056 | 0 | 17996 | $65: 1$ | 1663 | 0 | 21494 | 4442 | 234 | 24005 | 2079 | 44 | 12 | 104681 |
| 75.79 | 15199 | 4759 | 3007 | 0 | 25362 | 5226 | 2376 | 0 | 18221 | 4227 | 516 | 20587 | 2377 | 0 | 0 | 91857 |
| 80.84 | 9575 | 3950 | 990 | 0 | 9691 | 4133 | 690 | 0 | 10424 | 3825 | 266 | 0 | 12372 | 2143 | 0 | 58059 |
| BS ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 6011 | 3830 | 561 | 0 | 6164 | 3881 | 437 | 0 | 6542 | 3709 | is2 | 0 | 8152 | 2210 | 41 | 41610 |
| Total | 134187 | 50025 | i8839 | 51130 | 101019 | 40693 | tos0s | 106765 | 76769 | 18645 | 1168 | 164004 | 34291 | 4968 | 83 | 813390 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 35003 | 408171 | 16823 | 360451 | 93678 | 5579 | 287 | 437052 | 21074 | 1851 | 19 | 452791 | 7050 | 156 | 0 | 1839985 |
| 55-59 | 21142 | 423517 | 17126 | 220570 | 236074 | 7612 | 528 | 426590 | 36375 | 1785 | 33 | 456669 | 7561 | 554 | 0 | 1859136 |
| 60-64 | 7570 | 382419 | 12173 | 0 | 43049 | 349865 | 9247 | 351569 | 88112 | 2362 | 120 | 395260 | 6557 | 345 | 0 | 1608648 |
| 65-69 | 5870 | 325065 | 10478 | 0 | 18760 | 324002 | 5651 | 189703 | 150206 | 1482 | 22 | 330888 | 10418 | 107 | 0 | 1365652 |
| 70.74 | 5100 | 222255 | 8057 | 0 | 8002 | $223 \% 64$ | 3447 | 0 | 83815 | 150227 | 1371 | 211842 | 23493 | 77 | 0 | 941651 |
| 75-79 | 3650 | $1091{ }^{12}$ | 5840 | 0 | 4999 | i 11537 | 2065 | 8 | 16657 | 100591 | 1353 | 77502 | 40941 | 158 | 0 | 474405 |
| 80.84 | 2870 | 41756 | 3007 | 0 | 3713 | 43054 | 867 | 0 | 6457 | 40930 | 246 | 0 | 16922 | 30680 | 31 | 190533 |
| 85 + | 1239 | 14938 | 2754 | 0 | 1345 | 15715 | 871 | ${ }^{0}$ | 2165 | 15373 | 393 | 0 | 4820 | 13111 | 0 | 71724 |
| Total | 85445 | 1927233 | 75258 | 581021 | 402620 | 2081328 | 22963 | 1364914 | 404861 | 314601 | 3557 | 1924952 | 117762 | 45188 | 31 | 8351734 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 122249 |
| 50-54 | 28574 | 2483 | 505 | 29118 | 1247 | 197 | 0 | 29410 | 1086 | 66 | 0 |  | 376 | 66 | 0 | 122249 |
| 55-59 | 55151 | 3855 | 552 | 56728 | 2684 | 112 | 35 | 58077 | 1481 | 0 | 0 | 58768 | 790 | 0 | 0 | 238233 |
| 60.64 | 98858 | 7076 | 996 | 0 | 101047 | 5345 | 538 | 103654 | 3220 | 57 | ${ }^{17}$ | 105230 | 1700 | - | 0 | $42772 i$ 695107 |
| 65-69 | 157922 | 13337 | 2518 | 0 | 164042 | 8233 | i502 | 168344 | 4799 | 617 | 17 | 171426 | 2038 | 312 | 0 | 695107 669852 |
| 78.74 | i 51362 | 13657 | 2444 | 0 | 159779 | 6674 | 1010 | 0 | 163263 | 3626 | 574 | 165623 | 1717 | 123 | 0 | 669852 |
| 75.79 | 159236 | 19013 | 8514 | 0 | 175507 | 9760 | $14 \%$ | 0 | 181671 | 4844 | 247 | 184020 | 2656 | 87 | 0 | 747051 |
| B0-84 | 109602 | 14713 | 7413 | 0 | 119254 | 10695 | 1779 | 0 | 127833 | 3768 | 127 | 0 | 129655 | 2073 | 0 | 526912 |
| $85+$ | $621 i 1$ | 14616 | Bi20 | 0 | 69023 | 11763 | 4061 | 0 | 78108 | 6166 | 573 | 0 | 82454 | 2357 | 36 | 339388 |
| Totai | 822816 | 87750 | 31062 | 85846 | 792583 | 52779 | 10421 | 359485 | 561461 | 19144 | 1538 | 715188 | 221386 | 5018 | 36 | 3766513 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | B1475 | 5586 | 805 | 82848 | 4569 | 421 | 29 | 84537 | 3126 | 205 | 0 | 86647 | 1195 | 25 | 0 | 351468 |
| 55.59 | 59266 | 5070 | 1041 | 61102 | 3659 | 591 | 26 | 62341 | 2883 | 153 | - 0 | 64006 | 1319 | 53 | 0 | 261510 |
| 60.64 | 47329 | 454i | 712 | 0 | 48385 | 3920 | 358 | S0104 | $22 \%$ | 182 | 0 | 51361 | 1111 | 111 | 0 | 210330 |
| 65.69 | 28207 | 3405 | 1008 | 0 | 29138 | 2976 | 507 | 31091 | 1496 | 33 | 0 | 31953 | 634 | 33 | 0 | 130481 |
| 70.74 | 25948 | 267i | 807 | 0 | 27062 | 1877 | 478 | 0 | 28215 | 1203 | 0 | 28859 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 117671 |
| 75.79 | 9477 | 909 | 732 | 0 | 10156 | 761 | 201 | 0 | 10620 | 497 | 0 | 10989 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 44470 |
| 80-34 | 3385 | 798 | 395 | 0 | 3662 | 714 | 202 | 0 | 4158 | 357 | 64 | 0 | 4465 | 183 | 0 | 18313 |
| $85+$ | 1509 | 581 | 448 | 0 | 1634 | 742 | 162 | 0 | 2086 | 369 | 83 | 0 | 2322 | 215 | 0 | 10151 |
| Total | 256588 | 23561 | 5948 | 143950 | i28185 | 12002 | 1963 | 228073 | 54880 | 2999 | 147 | 273815 | 11733 | 550 | 0 | 1144394 |
| Alt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 172867 | 421855 | 21373 | S01341 | 105565 | 8843 | 344 | 582833 | 30312 | 2931 | 19 | 604962 | 10704 | 430 | 0 | 2464379 |
| 55-59 | 159479 | 438209 | 20767 | 360606 | 247724 | 9267 | 859 | 57i36i | 44520 | 2538 | 33 | 606237 | 11461 | 755 | 0 | 2473816 |
| 60-64 | 173786 | 404167 | 17575 | 0 | 214021 | 368582 | 12925 | 492634 | 99750 | 3026 | 120 | 582152 | 12681 | 6\% | 0 | 2382115 |
| 65.69 | 20963 | 349969 | 17247 | 0 | 223748 | 343183 | 9918 | 412489 | 161660 | 2740 | 39 | 561181 | 15214 | 452 | 0 | 2307393 |
| 70-74 | 199707 | 245394 | 13364 | 0 | 212839 | 239026 | 6598 | 0 | 296787 | 159498 | 2179 | 430329 | 27848 | 244 | 42 | 1833855 |
| 75.79 | 187562 | 133793 | 18093 | 0 | 206024 | 127284 | 6138 | 0 | 227169 | 110159 | 2196 | 293098 | 46102 | 245 | 0 | 1357783 |
| 80-84 | 125432 | 6i2i7 | i1805 | 0 | 136320 | 58596 | 3538 | 0 | 148872 | 48880 | 703 | 0 | 163414 | 35009 | 31 | 793817 |
| $85+$ | 70870 | 33965 | 10883 | 0 | 78166 | 32021 | $553 i$ | 0 | 8890; | 25617 | 1201 | 0 | 97748 | 17893 | 77 | 462873 |
| Total Women | 1299336 | 2088569 | 131107 | 861947 | 1424407 | i 186802 | 45851 | 2059237 | 1097971 | 355389 | 6410 | 3077959 | 385172 | 55724 | 150 | 14076031 |

Number of persons aged 50 and over living in the same household in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and maritul status of the sampled person (non-institutionatised population)

|  | $50+$ |  |  | $60+$ |  |  |  | $70+$ |  |  |  | $80+$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One Person | Two Persons | Thute + | Zero | One Person | Two Persons | Three * | Zero | One Petison | Two Persons | Three + | Zero | One Person | Two Persons | Thee + | Total |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 55.59 | 30081 23574 | 9326 7692 | 4904 4304 | 31311 25204 | 8957 7357 | 3898 2670 | 146 340 | 34831 27275 | 6745 | $1521^{\circ}$ | 30 | 31930 | 3382 | 258 | 0 | 142282 |
| 60.64 | 23920 | 8596 | 2338 | 0 | 26226 | 6\%64 | 1663 | 30468 | 4107 | 212 | 67 | 32110 | 2666 | 78 | 0 | 339415 |
| 65-69 | 16782 | 7171 | 2390 | 0 | 17630 | 6727 | 1985 | 21389 | 4409 | 626 | 119 | 24378 | 1778 | 187 | 0 | 105371 |
| 70.74 | 13135 | 4057 | 1324 | 0 | 13460 | 4066 | 990 | 0 | 15630 | 2610 | 275 | 17238 | 1215 | 63 | 0 | 74063 |
| 75.79 | 7227 | 2334 | 1328 | 0 | 7403 | 2439 | 1047 | 0 | 8802 | 2028 | 59 | 10034 | 855 | 0 | 0 | 43556 |
| 80.84 | 4601 | 1441 | 560 | 0 | 4669 | 1373 | 560 | 0 | 5188 | 1252 | 161 | 0 | 6053 | 549 | 0 | 26407 |
| $85+$ | 2679 | 1163 | 549 | 0 | 2724 | 1166 | 500 | 0 | 3010 | 1140 | 240 | 0 | 3576 | 782 | 33 | 37562 |
| Total | 121999 | 41780 | 17697 | S6515 | 88.26 | 29303 | 7231 | 113763 | S5407 | 1:310 | 995 | 356448 | 22805 | 2390 | 33 | 725902 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 363309 | 175242 | 9274 | 525236 | 59013 | 3524 | 209 | 495030 | 16220 | 2021 | 36 | 505623 | 7136 | 547 | 0 | 2053226 |
| 55.59 | 93871 | 402947 | 16488 | 454010 | 55346 | 3742 | 209 | 495030 | 24051 | 879 | 0 | 467774 | 6124 | 516 | 0 | 2053226 1897655 |
| 60.64 | 29938 | 430710 | 13766 | 0 | 256220 | 213033 | 5160 | 449484 | 83004 | 1011 |  |  | 6826 | 115 | 0 | 1897655 1614836 |
| 65.69 | 9523 | 380155 | 14031 | 0 | 60427 | 336427 | 6855 | 318757 | 88 | 108828 | 1277 | 396768 281159 | 58046 | 192 | 0 | 113485888 |
| 70.74 | 4066 | 274006 | 8325 | 0 | 31882 | 250688 | 3827 | 0 | 176292 | 108828 | 3017 | 173204 | 11137 | 181 | 0 | 1345888 738087 |
| 75.79 | 2840 | 174883 | 6798 | 0 | 7529 | 174383 | 2610 | 0 | 85916 | 9758 | 453 | 17320 | 55096 | 29468 | 0 | 738087 338257 |
| 80.84 | 2089 | 78326 | 4149 | 0 | 3174 | 79973 | 1417 | 0 | 11039 | 73073 | 453 | 0 | 5509 | 14615 | 37 | 338257 157418 |
| $85+$ | 1805 | 34371 | 3179 | 0 | 2481 | 35478 | 1395 | 0 | 52\% | 33394 | 664 | 2366220 |  |  |  | 10136366 |
| Total | $50744!$ | 1950640 | 76010 | 979246 | 436072 | 1097248 | 21524 | 1796293 | 415161 | 319139 | 349 | 236620 | 121974 | 45862 | 37 | 10136366 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  | 577 | 86 | 0 | 7076 | 407 | 86 | 0 | 7415 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 30277 |
| 50.54 | 6677 | 640 | 253 | 6906 | s71 | 86 | 0 | 13186 | 627 |  | 0 | 13724 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 55449 |
| 55.59 | 12485 | 1161 | 216 | 12793 | 961 | 109 | 0 | 13186 | 627 | 46 | 0 | 20972 | 247 | 43 | 0 | 85049 |
| 60-64 | 19114 | 1343 | 805 | 0 | 19621 | 1185 | 456 | 20330 | 670 | 263 | 0 | 20972 | 421 | 0 |  | 139768 |
| 65.69 | 31276 | 3100 | 566 | 0 | 32514 | 2063 | 365 | 33742 | 1022 | 178 | \% | 34521 | 421 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 70.74 | 23383 | 2532 | 609 | 0 | 24951 | 1310 | 263 | 0 | 25776 | 673 | 75 | 26246 | 278 326 | 0 | 0 | 106096 |
| 75.79 | 30728 | 3324 | 1458 | 0 | 33989 | 1469 | 52 | 0 | 34851 | 659 | 0 | 35184 | 326 | 5 | 0 | 142040 |
| 80.84 | 25345 | 3108 | 1202 | 0 | 27320 | 2117 | 218 | 0 | 28850 | 806 | 0 | 0 | 29304 | 352 | 0 | 118622 |
| 85+ | 12903 | 2291 | 1044 | 0 | 14455 | 1225 | 559 | 0 | 15457 | 690 | 92 | 0 | 16124 | 114 | 0 | 64954 |
| Total | 161911 | 17499 | 6153 | 1\%699 | 154388 | 9564 | 1913 | 74334 | 107660 | 3404 | 167 | 138062 | 46992 | 509 | 0 | 742255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 55845 | 5435 | 848 1224 | 43289 | 2479 | 637 | 60 | 44424 | 1939 | 101 | 0 | 45842 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 185858 |
| 55.59 60.64 | 41971 | 3270 | 1224 | 43289 0 | 32684 | 3632 | 230 | 34867 | 1620 | 58 | 0 | 35682 | 864 | 0 | 0 | 146182 |
| 60.64 | 31473 | 4299 | 773 | 0 | 21689 | 3036 | 457 | 23+11 | 1658 | 113 | 0 | 24663 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 100729 |
| 65.69 | 21087 | 3404 | 691 | 0 | 15936 | 1861 | 269 | 0 | 16732 | 1206 | 128 | 17779 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 72264 |
| 70.74 | 15479 | 2165 | 422 | 0 | 15936 | 1861 | 208 | 0 | ${ }^{16867}$ | 989 | 1 | 10545 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 43422 |
| 75.79 | 8759 | 1577 | 519 | 0 | 9436 | 1211 | 208 | 0 | 9867 | 989 | 0 | 18 | 4404 | 102 | 0 | 18023 |
| 80.84 | 3697 | 584 | 225 | 0 | 3927 | 433 | 145 | 0 | 4224 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 4404 | 102 | 0 |  |
| $85+$ | 1506 | 326 | 251 | 0 | 1671 | 265 | 147 | 0 | 1921 | 105 3035 | 56 184 | 195309 | $\underline{10331}$ | 135 | 56 | 8330 82319 |
| Total | 179817 | 21060 | 4953 | 100950 | 94889 | 31475 | 1516 | 161564 | d,04 | 3035 | 184 | 195309 | 10331 | 135 | 56 | 823319 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 455912 | 190643 | 15279 | 62174 | 32614 66143 | 7158 | 609 | 579915 | 25531 | 3692 | 66 | 597119 | 11278 | 805 | 0 | 2436815 |
| 55.59 | 171901 | 415070 | 22232 | 5352\% 0 | 334751 | 224814 | 7509 | 535149 | 30448 | 1412 | 67 | 556538 | 9901 | 637 | 0 | 226830! |
| 60-64 | 104445 | 444948 | 17682 | 0 | 334751 13220 | 348253 | 9662 | 397099 | 90993 | 1928 | 156 | 480330 | 9545 | 302 | 0 | 1960704 |
| 65-69 | 78668 | 393830 | 17678 | 0 | 132260 86229 | 358253 257925 | 5349 |  | 234430 | 113317 |  | 342422 | 6826 | 255 | 0 | 1398011 |
| 70.74 | 56063 | 282760 | 10680 | 0 | 88229 | 257925 | 5349 | 0 | 2394336 | 101265 | 1076 | 228967 | 12629 | 181 | 0 | 967105 |
| 75.79 | 49554 | 182118 | 10103 | 0 | 58357 | 179502 | 3917 | 0 | 139436 | 101265 | 1076 | 2286 |  | 30471 | 0 | 501309 |
| 80.84 | 35732 | 83459 | 6136 | 0 | 39090 | 83896 38134 | 2340 | 0 | - 293684 | 75413 35329 | 1052 | 0 |  |  | 126 |  |
| $85+$ | 18893 | 3815! | 5023 | 0 | 21331 | 38134 | 2601 | 2145954 | 25684 619273 | 336888 | 48.45 | 2856039 | 202102 | 486\% | 126 | 12427842 |
| Toral Men | 971168 | 2030979 | 104813 | 1156410 | 770775 | 1147590 | 32184 | 2145954 | 619273 | 336888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2.5 (\%)
Number af persons aged 50 and over living in the same househald in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and maritat status of the sampled person (non-lnstitutionalised poputation)

TABLE 2.5 (\%) (cont.)
Number of persons aged 50 and uver living in the same household in Conada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status of the sampled person (aon-institutionalised poputation)

|  | S0 + |  |  | $60+$ |  |  |  | $70+$ |  |  |  | B0 + |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One Person | Two Persons | Three + | Zero | One Pason | Two Pcrsons | Three + | Zeso | One Person | Two Persons | Three + | Zero | One Person | sons | Three + |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 17.0 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 17.7 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 19.7 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 16.6 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 17.7 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 19.2 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 17.2 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 21.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 15.9 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 20.1 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 17.7 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 23.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 16.6 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 17.4 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $\mathrm{BS}+$ | 15.3 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 20.4 | . 4.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 16.8 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 40 | 1.0 | 15.7 | 76 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 16.6 | B. 0 | 0.4 | 24.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 4.6 | 19.6 | 0.8 | 22.1 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 1.6 | 22.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 23.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 0.6 | 23.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 19.7 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.4 | 23.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 24.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.4 | 23.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 23.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 13.2 | 0.1 | 23.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| B0.84 | 0.6 | 23.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 23.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 21.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 1.1 | 21.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 22.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 21.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 5.0 | 19.2 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 4.3 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 17.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 22.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 22.8 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 22.5 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 22.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 23.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 22.4 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 24.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 22.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 21.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 21.4 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 19.9 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 21.8 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 20.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 22.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 23.2 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 22.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 23.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 21.5 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 23.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 20.9 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 23.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 21.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 20.2 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 20.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 18.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 21.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 19.6 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 17.2 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 23.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 7.1 | 17.0 | 0.9 | 22.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 4.6 | 19.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 23.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.0 | 20.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 17.8 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 4.0 | 20.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 18.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 5.1 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 18.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 7.1 | 16.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 16.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| BS + | 7.6 | 15.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 15.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total Men | 7.8 | 16.3 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 3.1
Persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex, marital status, and institutionalisation status

|  | Institutionalised |  | Non-Insitutionalised |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute number | per cent | Absolute number | per eent | Absolute number | per eem |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2975 | 8.0 | 34425 | 92.0 | 37400 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 3670 | 10.9 | 29910 | 89.1 | 33580 | 100.0 |
| 601-64 | 4420 | 12.6 | 30580 | 87.4 | 35000 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5380 | 15.2 | 30005 | 84.8 | 35385 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 6370 | 19.8 | 25855 | 80.2 | 32225 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 7840 | 25.8 | 22535 | 74.2 | 30375 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 7910 | 35.0 | 14710 | 65.0 | 22620 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 6220 | 47.5 | 6875 | 52.5 | 13095 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 4730 | 63.4 | 2725 | 36.6 | 7455 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 38450 | 27.2 | 102705 | 72.8 | 141155 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 10950 | 53.3 | 9600 | 46.7 | 20550 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1090 | 0.2 | 507650 | 99.8 | 508740 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 1335 | 0.3 | 452640 | 99.7 | 453975 | 100.0 |
| $60-64$ | 1495 | 0.4 | 408700 | 99.6 | 410195 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 2040 | 0.6 | 341660 | 99.4 | 343700 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 2870 | 1.3 | 216770 | 98.7 | 219640 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4315 | 3.5 | 118820 | 96.5 | 123135 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 4810 | 9.6 | 45325 | 90.4 | 50135 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 3345 | 22.9 | 11280 | 77.1 | 14625 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 1305 | 33.2 | 2620 | 66.8 | 3925 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 18685 | 2.5 | 736475 | 97.5 | 755160 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 4650 | 25.1 | 13900 | 74.9 | 18550 | 100.0 |
| Widowed/Separated/Divorced |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1190 | 1.0 | 114845 | 99.0 | 116035 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 1330 | 1.0 | 125955 | 99.0 | 127285 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 2405 | 1.5 | 157165 | 98.5 | 159570 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4425 | 2.2 | 197160 | 97.8 | 201585 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 8440 | 4.0 | 202640 | 96.0 | 211080 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 17440 | 8.4 | 191290 | 91.6 | 208730 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 28855 | 17.6 | 135050 | 82.4 | 16.3905 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 32790 | 32.6 | 67730 | 67.4 | 100520 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 31135 | 54.2 | 26280 | 45.8 | 57415 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 123085 | 13.0 | 820150 | 87.0 | 943235 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 63925 | 40.5 | 94010 | 59.5 | 157935 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5255 | 0.8 | 656920 | 99.2 | 662175 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 6335 | 1.0 | 608505 | 99.0 | 614840 | 100.0 |
| 610.64 | 8320 | 1.4 | 596445 | 98.6 | 6144765 | 100.0 |
| $65-69$ | 11845 | 2.0 | 568825 | 98.0 | 580670 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 17680 | 3.8 | 445265 | 96.2 | 462945 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 29595 | 8.2 | 332645 | 91.8 | 362240 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 41575 | 17.6 | 195085 | 82.4 | 236660 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 42355 | 33.0 | 85885 | 67.0 | 128240 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 37170 | 54.0 | 31625 | 46.0 | 68795 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 180220 | 9.8 | 1659330 | 90.2 | 1839550 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 79525 | 40.4 | 117510 | 59.6 | 197035 | 100.0 |

TABLE 3.1 (Cont.)
Persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991, by age, sex, marital status and institutionalisation status


TABLE 3.1 (cont.)
Persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex, marital status, and institutionalisation status


TABLE 3.1 (Cont.)
Persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991, by age, sex, marital status and institutionalisation status

|  | Institutionalised |  | Non-Institutionalised |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute number | per cent | Absolute number | per cent | Absolute number | per cent |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 6945 | 8.5 | 75045 | 91.5 | 81990 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 7995 | 10.8 | 65880 | 89.2 | 73875 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 9150 | 12.2 | 65930 | 87.8 | 75080 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 10190 | 14.7 | 59125 | 85.3 | 69315 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 10705 | 19.2 | 44990 | 80.8 | 55695 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 12150 | 25.5 | 35520 | 74.5 | 47670 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 11300 | 34.5 | 21500 | 65.5 | 32800 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 8340 | 46.0 | 9790 | 54.0 | 18130 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 5830 | 58.7 | 4110 | 41.3 | 9940 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 58515 | 25.1 | 175035 | 74.9 | 233550 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 14170 | 50.5 | 13900 | 49.5 | 28070 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2480 | 0.2 | 1058570 | 99.8 | 1061050 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 2830 | 0.3 | 957555 | 99.7 | 960385 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 3335 | 0.4 | 876695 | 99.6 | 880030 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4575 | 0.6 | 737635 | 99.4 | 742210 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 6225 | 1.2 | 496375 | 98.8 | 502600 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 9110 | 2.9 | 300290 | 97.1 | 309400 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 10385 | 7.3 | 131415 | 92.7 | 141800 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 7705 | 16.3 | 39535 | 83.7 | 47240) | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 3525 | 25.8 | 10150 | 74.2 | 13675 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 41525 | 2.4 | 1715400 | 97.6 | 1756925 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 11230 | 18.4 | 49685 | 81.6 | 60915 | 100.0 |
| Widowed/Separated/Divorced |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 3245 | 1.8 | 179170 | 98.2 | 182415 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 3520 | 1.9 | 185145 | 98.1 | 188665 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 4970 | 2.2 | 216625 | 97.8 | 221595 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 7475 | 2.9 | 254175 | 97.1 | 261650 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 12140 | 4.6 | 251465 | 95.4 | 263605 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 22890 | 8.9 | 234815 | 91.1 | 257705 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 35930 | 17.8 | 166255 | 82.2 | 202185 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 39830 | 32.1 | 84290 | 67.9 | 124120 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 37155 | 52.9 | 33075 | 47.1 | 70230 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 155420 | 13.2 | 1024075 | 86.8 | 1179495 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 76985 | 39.6 | 117365 | 60.4 | 194350 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 12670 | 1.0 | 1312785 | 99.0 | 1325455 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 14345 | 1.2 | 1208580 | 98.8 | 1222925 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 17455 | 1.5 | 1159250 | 98.5 | 1176705 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 22240 | 2.1 | 1050935 | 97.9 | 1073175 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 29070 | 3.5 | 792830 | 96.5 | 821900 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 44150 | 7.2 | 570625 | 92.8 | 614775 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 57615 | 15.3 | 319170 | 84.7 | 376785 | 100.0 |
| 85-89 | 55875 | 29.5 | 133615 | 70.5 | 189490 | 100.0 |
| $90+$ | 46510 | 49.6 | 47335 | 50.4 | 93845 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 255460 | 8.1 | 2914510 | 91.9 | 3169970 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 102385 | 36.1 | 180950 | 63.9 | 283335 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.1
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 20439 | 2895 | 1567 | 1433 | 7767 | 34100 |
| 55-59 | 14343 | 2890 | 1400 | 3867 | 8733 | 31233 |
| 60-64 | 7800 | 2467 | 1033 | 10500 | 8900 | 30700 |
| 65-69 | 2006 | 1161 | 300 | 19600 | 7900 | 30967 |
| 70.74 | 513 | 953 | 33 | 17433 | 6700 | 25633 |
| 75-79 | 295 | 472 | 100 | 14967 | 5900 | 21733 |
| 80-84 | 238 | 95 | 67 | 9033 | 4500 | 13933 |
| 85+ | 263 | 38 | 100 | 5333 | 3800 | 9533 |
| Total | 46067 | 10799 | 4600 | 82167 | 54200 | 197833 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 220619 | 84548 | 24500 | 11733 | 168500 | 509900 |
| 55-59 | -136297 | 64003 | 19600 | 29467 | 206867 | 456233 |
| 60-64 | 59163 | 38103 | 8867 | 110500 | 192667 | 409300 |
| 65-69 | 14212 | 15921 | 1833 | 167867 | 148433 | 348267 |
| 70.74 | 4224 | 5710 | 467 | 107733 | 101233 | 219367 |
| 75-79 | 1167 | 1867 | 367 | 53733 | 64700 | 121833 |
| 80.84 | 278 | 556 | 67 | 14800 | 28200 | 43900 |
| 85+ | 857 | 410 | 33 | 3867 | 9267 | $1+433$ |
| Total | 437397 | 210536 | 55733 | 499700 | 919867 | 2123233 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 15154 | 4279 | 2067 | 6000 | 7233 | 34733 |
| 55.59 | 21148 | 7085 | 2833 | 16000 | 16167 | 63233 |
| 60-64 | 15821 | 8445 | 2167 | 44067 | 34433 | 104933 |
| 65-69 | 6123 | 6010 | 700 | 94200 | 49000 | 156033 |
| 70-74 | 2824 | 3609 | 800 | 109800 | 64233 | 181267 |
| 75-79 | 1436 | 2831 | 433 | 100733 | 76500 | 181933 |
| 80.84 | 622 | 1078 | 233 | 64833 | 64133 | 130900 |
| 85+ | 350 | 650 | 167 | 33667 | 60100 | 94933 |
| Total | 63980 | 33487 | 9400 | 469300 | 371800 | 947967 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 45399 | 8634 | 5400 | 2033 | 17167 | 78633 |
| 55-59 | 30071 | 6529 | 3367 | 4667 | 20033 | 64667 |
| 60-64 | 14757 | 4543 | 1500 | 12300 | 19833 | 52933 |
| 65-69 | 3163 | 2170 | 700 | 21767 | 12233 | 40033 |
| 70-74 | 455 | 645 | 33 | 13767 | 8000 | 22900 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 267 | 67 | 6667 | 5067 | 12067 |
| 80-84 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 2500 | 2433 | 5000 |
| 85+ | 89 | 44 | 0 | 567 | 1033 | 17.33 |
| Total | 94092 | 22742 | 11067 | 64267 | 85800 | 277967 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 301611 | 100356 | 33533 | 21200 | 200667 | 657367 |
| 55-59 | 201859 | 80507 | 27200 | 54000 | 251800 | 615367 |
| 60-64 | 97541 | 53559 | 13567 | 177367 | 255833 | 597867 |
| 65-69 | 25505 | 25262 | 3533 | 303433 | 217567 | 575300 |
| 70.74 | 8017 | 10917 | 1333 | 248733 | 180167 | 449167 |
| 75-79 | 2897 | 5436 | 967 | 176100 | 152167 | 337567 |
| 80-84 | 1171 | 1762 | 367 | 91167 | 99267 | 193733 |
| 85+ | 1558 | 1142 | 300 | 43433 | 74200 | 120633 |
| Total Women | 641605 | 277495 | 80800 | 1115433 | 1431667 | 3547000 |

TABLE 4.1 (cont)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Reired | Others |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 22078 | 2489 | 4133 | 1500 | 9300 | 39500 |
| 55-59 | 15235 | 2099 | 2700 | 3233 | 10567 | 33833 |
| 60-64 | 10568 | 2332 | 1567 | 8867 | 10400 | 33733 |
| 65.69 | 3882 | 1418 | 300 | 15800 | 7600 | 29000 |
| 70.74 | 1937 | 596 | 100 | 10767 | 4467 | 17867 |
| 75-79 | 697 | 369 | 0 | 6833 | 3633 | 11533 |
| 80.84 | 463 | 337 | 67 | 3800 | 1833 | 6500 |
| 85+ | 391 | 142 | 67 | 1767 | 1500 | 3867 |
| Total | 55354 | 9679 | 8933 | 52567 | 49300 | 175833 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 453878 | 22455 | 33300 | 12167 | 33133 | 554933 |
| 55-59 | 350694 | 27773 | 31133 | 48000 | 49900 | 507500 |
| 60-64 | 209216 | 30217 | 22900 | 145500 | 59500 | 467333 |
| 65.69 | 59699 | 23701 | 5200 | 266467 | 43833 | 398900 |
| 70-74 | 20561 | 13306 | 1467 | 219933 | 31200 | 286467 |
| 75-79 | 8098 | 6036 | 533 | 145800 | 26233 | 186700 |
| 80-84 | 2059 | 2174 | 200 | 67733 | 14800 | 86967 |
| 85+ | 1731 | 769 | 100 | 24633 | 8467 | 35700 |
| Total | 1107992 | 124375 | 94833 | 930233 | 267067 | 2524500 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5016 | 351 | 633 | 500 | 967 | 7467 |
| 55-59 | 6202 | 665 | 1033 | 1567 | 2200 | 11667 |
| 60-64 | 6352 | 1248 | 1133 | 8133 | 3833 | 20700 |
| 65.69 | 3574 | 1526 | 67 | 19300 | 5367 | 29833 |
| 70.74 | 1595 | 1305 | 100 | 24133 | 4867 | 32000 |
| 75.79 | 1156 | 678 | 67 | 25333 | 6600 | 33833 |
| 80.84 | 517 | 517 | 33 | 19000 | 7100 | 27167 |
| 85+ | 350 | 250 | 0 | 12333 | 8867 | 21800 |
| Total | 24892 | 6408 | 3067 | 110300 | 39800 | 184467 |
| Divorced'Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 35805 | 3028 | 5633 | 2133 | 7667 | 54267 |
| 55-59 | 24935 | 1898 | 3600 | 5233 | 9133 | 44800 |
| 60.64 | 14151 | 2016 | 1900 | 11367 | 9167 | 38600 |
| 65.69 | 2789 | 1211 | 600 | 16967 | 4233 | 25800 |
| 70-74 | 1356 | 678 | 133 | 10833 | 2867 | 15867 |
| 75-79 | 533 | 300 | 67 | 5833 | 1733 | 8467 |
| 80-84 | 44 | 89 | 33 | 3100 | 1033 | 4300 |
| 85+ | 100 | 0 | 33 | 1267 | 667 | 2067 |
| Total | 79737 | 9196 | 12000 | 56733 | 36500 | 194167 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 516777 | 28323 | 43700 | 16300 | 51067 | 656167 |
| 55-59 | 397066 | 32434 | 38467 | 58033 | 71800 | 597800 |
| 60-64 | 240286 | 35814 | 27500 | 173867 | 82900 | 560367 |
| 65-69 | 69943 | 27857 | 6167 | 318533 | 61033 | 483533 |
| 70-74 | 25448 | 15885 | 1800 | 265667 | 43400 | 352200 |
| 75-79 | 10484 | 7382 | 667 | 183800 | 38200 | 240533 |
| 80-84 | 3084 | 3116 | 333 | 93633 | 24767 | 124933 |
| 85+ | 2572 | 1161 | 200 | 40000 | 19500 | 63433 |
| Total Men | 1268110 | 149523 | 118833 | 1149833 | 392667 | 3078967 |

TABLE 4.1
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status
(percentage)

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fuli-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 59.9 | 8.5 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 22.8 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 45.9 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 12.4 | 28.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 25.4 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 34.2 | 29.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 63.3 | 25.5 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 68.0 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 68.9 | 27.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 64.8 | 32.3 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 2.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 55.9 | 39.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 23.3 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 41.5 | 27.4 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 43.3 | 16.6 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 29.9 | 14.0 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 45.3 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 14.5 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 27.0 | 47.1 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 48.2 | 42.6 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 49.1 | 46.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 44.1 | 53.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 33.7 | 64.2 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 5.9 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 26.8 | 64.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 20.6 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 23.5 | 43.3 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 43.6 | 12.3 | 6.0 | 17.3 | 20.8 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 33.4 | 11.2 | 4.5 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 15.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 42.0 | 32.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 60.4 | 31.4 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 60.6 | 35.4 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 55.4 | 42.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 49.5 | 49.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 35.5 | 63.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 6.7 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 49.5 | 39.2 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 57.7 | 11.0 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 21.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 46.5 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 31.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 27.9 | 8.6 | 2.8 | 23.2 | 37.5 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 54.4 | 30.6 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 60.1 | 34.9 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 55.2 | 42.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 48.7 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 32.7 | 59.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 33.9 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 23.1 | 30.9 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 45.9 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 30.5 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 32.8 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 40.9 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 29.7 | 42.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 52.7 | 37.8 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 55.4 | 40.1 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 52.2 | 45.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 47.1 | 51.2 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 36.0 | 61.5 | 100.0 |
| Total Women | 18.1 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 31.4 | 40.4 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.1 (cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status (percentage)

|  |  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 55.9 | 6.3 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 23.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 45.0 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 31.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 31.3 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 26.3 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 13.4 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 54.5 | 26.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 10.8 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 60.3 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 59.2 | 31.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 58.5 | 28.2 | 100.0 |
|  | $85+$ | 10.1 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 45.7 | 38.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31.5 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 29.9 | 28.0 | 100.0 |
| Married | 50-54 | 81.8 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 69.1 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 44.8 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 31.1 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 15.0 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 66.8 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 76.8 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 78.1 | 14.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 77.9 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 85+ | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 69.0 | 23.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 43.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 36.8 | 10.6 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 67.2 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 53.2 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 13.4 | 18.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 30.7 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 39.3 | 18.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 12.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 64.7 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 75.4 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 74.9 | 19.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 69.9 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 85+ | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 56.6 | 40.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 13.5 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 59.8 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 66.0 | 5.6 | 10.4 | 3.9 | 14.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 55.7 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 11.7 | 20.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 36.7 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 29.4 | 23.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 10.8 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 65.8 | 16.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 68.3 | 18.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 68.9 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 72.1 | 24.0 | 100.0 |
|  | $85+$ | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 61.3 | 32.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 41.1 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 29.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 78.8 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 55-59 | 66.4 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 60-64 | 42.9 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 14.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 65-69 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 65.9 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 70-74 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 75.4 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 75-79 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 76.4 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 80-84 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 74.9 | 19.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 85+ | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 63.1 | 30.7 | 100.0 |
| Total Men |  | 41.2 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 37.3 | 12.8 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.2
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and educational level

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fuil-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5821 | 1746 | 1733 | 1133 | 11533 | 21967 |
| 55.59 | 6851 | 1216 | 1900 | 2167 | 18167 | 30300 |
| 60.64 | 3901 | 966 | 1067 | 6367 | 24500 | 36800 |
| 65.69 | 591 | 709 | 167 | 13700 | 27333 | 42500 |
| 70.74 | 400 | 400 | 33 | 11700 | 24100 | 36633 |
| 75.79 | 80 | 520 | 67 | 10133 | 23067 | 33867 |
| 80-8. 4 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 7067 | 19400 | 26600 |
| $85+$ | 140 | 93 | 0 | 3667 | 16333 | 20233 |
| Total | 17860 | 5706 | 4967 | 55933 | 164433 | 248900 |
| Level I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 32683 | 11950 | 6400 | 4700 | 53833 | 109567 |
| 55-59 | 28438 | 11729 | 6267 | 11300 | 75533 | 133267 |
| 60-64 | 14197 | 8970 | 2833 | 40533 | 86767 | 153300 |
| 65-69 | 4996 | 4437 | 833 | 70300 | 80233 | 160800 |
| 70-74 | 1600 | 2133 | 300 | 59400 | 67867 | 131300 |
| 75.79 | 871 | 1162 | 233 | 42833 | 58567 | 103667 |
| 80.84 | 267 | 400 | 100 | 24467 | 37133 | 62367 |
| $85+$ | 171 | 429 | 200 | 11767 | 29200 | 41767 |
| Total | 83553 | 40880 | 17167 | 265300 | 489133 | 896033 |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 65861 | 23172 | 9000 | 5767 | 54533 | 158333 |
| 55-59 | 50321 | 21546 | 6700 | 14967 | 68267 | 161800 |
| 60.64 | 24921 | 13946 | 3500 | 51900 | 66767 | 161033 |
| 65-69 | 6043 | 6190 | 767 | 91567 | 56267 | 160833 |
| 70.74 | 2054 | 2546 | 533 | 72200 | 48233 | 125567 |
| 75.79 | 803 | 1164 | 333 | 50133 | 37467 | 89900 |
| 80.84 | 321 | 179 | 167 | 23700 | 23267 | 47633 |
| $85+$ | 193 | 241 | 33 | 10200 | 14833 | 25500 |
| Total | 150857 | 68643 | 21033 | 320433 | 369633 | 930600 |
| Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 134398 | 44269 | 13133 | 7300 | 64833 | 263933 |
| 55-59 | 82323 | 33611 | 9933 | 17400 | 73033 | 216300 |
| 60.64 | 40098 | 20502 | 4367 | 58567 | 62833 | 186367 |
| 65-69 | 9665 | 9701 | 1267 | 99500 | 44200 | 164333 |
| 70-74 | 2958 | 3375 | 333 | 79467 | 32367 | 118500 |
| 75-79 | 638 | 1829 | 233 | 54433 | 26500 | 83633 |
| 80-8. | 385 | 615 | 100 | 26800 | 15500 | 43400 |
| $85+$ | 849 | 185 | 33 | 13367 | 11467 | 25900 |
| Total | 271769 | 113631 | 29400 | 356833 | 330733 | 1102367 |
| Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 62547 | 19186 | 3267 | 2300 | 15933 | 103233 |
| 55.59 | 33779 | 12421 | 2400 | 8167 | 16733 | 73500 |
| 60.64 | 14353 | 914 | 1800 | 20000 | 14967 | 60233 |
| 65-69 | 4217 | 4217 | 500 | 28233 | 9500 | 46667 |
| 70.74 | 987 | 2413 | 133 | 25867 | 7600 | 37000 |
| 75.79 | 499 | 768 | 100 | 18533 | 6533 | $26+33$ |
| 80-8.4 | 120 | 480 | 0 | 9133 | 3967 | 13700 |
| $85+$ | 222 | 178 | 33 | 4433 | 2333 | 7200 |
| Toual | 116958 | 48542 | 8233 | 116667 | 77567 | 367967 |
| All levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 301310 | 100323 | 33533 | 21200 | 200667 | 657033 |
| 55.59 . | 201712 | 80521 | 27200 | 54000 | 251733 | 615167 |
| 60.64 | 97469 | 53497 | 13567 | 177367 | 255833 | 597733 |
| 65-69 | 25513 | 25254 | 3533 | 303300 | 217533 | 575133 |
| 70.74 | 7999 | 10868 | 1333 | 248633 | 180167 | 449000 |
| 75-79 | 2891 | 5442 | 967 | 176067 | 152133 | 337500 |
| 80.84 | 1093 | 1807 | 367 | 91167 | 99267 | 193700 |
| $85+$ | 1575 | 1125 | 300 | 43433 | 74167 | 120600 |
| Total | 640997 | 277403 | 80800 | 1115167 | 1431500 | 3545867 |

TABLE 4.2 (cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and educational level

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 10759 | 1108 | 2300 | 933 | 5667 | 20767 |
| 55-59 | 13626 | 1607 | 2667 | 3100 | 7267 | 28267 |
| 60-64 | 10282 | 1251 | 2267 | 9133 | 11667 | 34600 |
| 65-69 | 2066 | 967 | 333 | 23000 | 9667 | 36033 |
| 70.74 | 1114 | 653 | 100 | 19467 | 7533 | 28867 |
| 75-79 | 467 | 467 | 67 | 17000 | 8000 | 26000 |
| 80-84 | 143 | 357 | 100 | 12100 | 6433 | 19133 |
| 85+ | 58 | 408 | 33 | 7000 | 5300 | 12800 |
| Total | 38750 | 6584 | 7867 | 91733 | 61533 | 206467 |
| Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 76426 | 5174 | 11400 | 4000 | 16267 | 113267 |
| 55.59 | 77104 | 6829 | 10133 | 12833 | 23767 | 130667 |
| 60-64 | 53500 | 7700 | 7600 | 47867 | 28133 | 144800 |
| 65-69 | 16192 | 6674 | 1200 | 90233 | 21433 | 135733 |
| 70-74 | 6455 | 3479 | 333 | 76933 | 14467 | 101667 |
| 75-79 | 3197 | 1737 | 200 | 57067 | 12400 | 74600 |
| 80-84 | 1180 | 787 | 67 | 31133 | 8600 | 41767 |
| 85+ | 589 | 211 | 33 | 14000 | 7500 | 22333 |
| Total | 235251 | 31982 | 30967 | 334067 | 132567 | 764833 |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 103633 | 5501 | 10033 | 4100 | 11000 | 134267 |
| 55.59 | 82695 | 6538 | 7733 | 14033 | 15833 | 126833 |
| 60-64 | 51662 | 8138 | 5500 | 39100 | 17933 | 122333 |
| 65-69 | 16375 | 5325 | 1500 | 75067 | 13700 | 111967 |
| 70-74 | 5955 | 3445 | 267 | 65867 | 10067 | 85600 |
| 75-79 | 2546 | 1254 | 100 | 41800 | 8367 | 54067 |
| 80-84 | 516 | 584 | 0 | 21067 | 4367 | 26533 |
| 85+ | 702 | 165 | 67 | 6767 | 3267 | 10967 |
| Total | 264447 | 30586 | 25200 | 267800 | 84533 | 672567 |
| Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 204627 | 9340 | 15200 | 5633 | 12833 | 247633 |
| 55-59 | 147424 | 10876 | 13867 | 19633 | 18667 | 210467 |
| 60-64 | 81204 | 11196 | 9367 | 55367 | 19133 | 176267 |
| 65.69 | 19780 | 7920 | 1967 | 90467 | 11967 | 132100 |
| 70.74 | 7212 | 4388 | 667 | 73733 | 7733 | 93733 |
| 75-79 | 2722 | 2244 | 167 | 47300 | 6700 | 59133 |
| 80-84 | 565 | 602 | 133 | 20100 | 3467 | 24867 |
| 85+ | 792 | 241 | 33 | 8200 | 2533 | 11800 |
| Total | 465078 | 46055 | 41400 | 320433 | 83033 | 956000 |
| Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 120990 | 7143 | 4767 | 1600 | 5300 | 139800 |
| 55-59 | 75857 | 6576 | 4067 | 8433 | 6233 | 101167 |
| 60-64 | 43278 | 7456 | 2767 | 22367 | 6000 | 81867 |
| 65-69 | 15479 | 6987 | 1167 | 39700 | 4267 | 67600 |
| $70-74$ | 4757 | 3876 | 433 | 29633 | 3600 | 42300 |
| 75-79 | 1566 | 1601 | 133 | 20533 | 2667 | 26500 |
| 80.84 | 712 | 754 | 33 | 9167 | 1900 | 12567 |
| 85+ | 356 | 178 | 33 | 4033 | 900 | 5500 |
| Total | 263325 | 34242 | 13400 | 135467 | 30867 | 477300 |
| All levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 516435 | 28265 | 43700 | 16267 | 51067 | 655733 |
| 55-59 | 396706 | 32427 | 38467 | 58033 | 71767 | 597400 |
| 60-64 | 239926 | 35740 | 27500 | 173833 | 82867 | 559867 |
| 65.69 | 69894 | 27873 | 6167 | 318467 | 61033 | 483433 |
| 70-74 | 25492 | 15841 | 1800 | 265633 | 43400 | 352167 |
| 75.79 | 10498 | 7302 | 667 | 183700 | 38133 | 240300 |
| 80-84 | 3115 | 3085 | 333 | 93567 | 24767 | 124867 |
| 85+ | 2497 | 1203 | 200 | 40000 | 19500 | 63400 |
| Total | 1266851 | 149449 | 118833 | 1149500 | 392533 | 3077167 |

TABLE 4.2 (Cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and educational level (percentage)

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 26.5 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 52.5 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 22.6 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 60.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 10.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 17.3 | 66.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 32.2 | 64.3 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 31.9 | 65.8 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 29.9 | 68.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 72.9 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 80.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 7.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 22.5 | 66.1 | 100.0 |
| Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 29.8 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 49.1 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 21.3 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 56.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 9.3 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 26.4 | 56.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 43.7 | 49.9 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 45.2 | 51.7 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 41.3 | 56.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 39.2 | 59.5 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 28.2 | 69.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 9.3 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 29.6 | 54.6 | 100.0 |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 41.6 | 14.6 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 31.1 | 13.3 | 4.1 | 9.3 | 42.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 15.5 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 32.2 | 41.5 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 56.9 | 35.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 57.5 | 38.4 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 55.8 | 41.7 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 49.8 | 48.8 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 40.0 | 58.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 16.2 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 34.4 | 39.7 | 100.0 |
| Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 50.9 | 16.8 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 24.6 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 38.1 | 15.5 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 33.8 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 21.5 | 11.0 | 2.3 | 31.4 | 33.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 60.5 | 26.9 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 67.1 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 65.1 | 31.7 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 61.8 | 35.7 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 51.6 | 44.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 24.7 | 10.3 | 2.7 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 100.0 |
| Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 60.6 | 18.6 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 15.4 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 46.0 | 16.9 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 22.8 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 23.8 | 15.1 | 3.0 | 33.2 | 24.8 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 60.5 | 20.4 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 69.9 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 70.1 | 24.7 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 29.0 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 61.6 | 32.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31.8 | 13.2 | 2.2 | 31.7 | 21.1 | 100.0 |
| All levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 45.9 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 30.5 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 32.8 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 40.9 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 29.7 | 42.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 52.7 | 37.8 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 55.4 | 40.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 52.2 | 45.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 47.1 | 51.2 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 36.0 | 61.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 18.1 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 31.4 | 40.4 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.2 (cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and educational level (percentage)

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemployed | Retired | Others |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 51.8 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 48.2 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 25.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 29.7 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 26.4 | 33.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 63.8 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 67.4 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 65.4 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 63.2 | 33.6 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 54.7 | 41.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 18.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 44.4 | 29.8 | 100.0 |
| Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 67.5 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 14.4 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 59.0 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 18.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 36.9 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 33.1 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 11.9 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 66.5 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 75.7 | 14.2 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 76.5 | 16.6 | 100.0 |
| 80-8.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 74.5 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 62.7 | 33.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 30.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 43.7 | 17.3 | 100.0 |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 77.2 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 65.2 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 42.2 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 32.0 | 14.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 67.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 76.9 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 77.3 | 15.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 79.4 | 16.5 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 6.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 61.7 | 29.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 39.3 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 39.8 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 82.6 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 70.0 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 46.1 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 31.4 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 68.5 | 9.1 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 78.7 | 8.3 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 80.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 80.8 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 6.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 69.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 48.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 33.5 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
| Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 86.5 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 75.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 52.9 | 9.1 | 3.4 | 27.3 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 22.9 | 10.3 | 1.7 | 58.7 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 70.1 | 8.5 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 77.5 | 10.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 72.9 | 15.1 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 6.5 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 73.3 | 16.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 55.2 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 28.4 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| All levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 78.8 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 66.4 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 42.9 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 14.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 65.9 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 75.4 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 76.4 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 74.9 | 19.8 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 3.9 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 63.1 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 41.2 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 37.4 | 12.8 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.3
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and number of generations present in the household

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemp. | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 37761 | 6672 | 4167 | 4000 | 12700 | 65300 |
| 55-59 | 35938 | 8829 | 3900 | 13467 | 20967 | 83100 |
| 60-64 | 23057 | 9710 | 2700 | 44100 | 32267 | 111833 |
| 65-69 | 6862 | 6305 | 1100 | 98033 | 38400 | 150700 |
| 70.74 | 2269 | 3731 | 567 | 109667 | 47833 | 164067 |
| 75.79 | 1100 | 2200 | 233 | 96167 | 54133 | 153833 |
| 80-84 | 457 | 876 | 133 | 59467 | 43933 | 104867 |
| 85+ | 205 | 328 | 100 | 27967 | 33367 | 61967 |
| Total | 108068 | 38232 | 12900 | 452867 | 283600 | 895667 |
| One Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 101705 | 35095 | 11167 | 7633 | 72133 | 227733 |
| 55.59 | 80080 | 38053 | 11067 | 21733 | 119633 | 270567 |
| 60-64 | 41387 | 28279 | 5900 | 94567 | 132067 | 302200 |
| 65-69 | 12042 | 13425 | 1467 | 153700 | 118133 | 298767 |
| 70-74 | 3752 | 5081 | 400 | 104467 | 87333 | 201033 |
| 75-79 | 1066 | 1968 | 433 | 55067 | 58667 | 117200 |
| 80-84 | 428 | 506 | 133 | 17067 | 26600 | 44733 |
| 85+ | 417 | 250 | 0 | 5533 | 10033 | 16233 |
| Tutal | 241322 | 122211 | 30507 | 459767 | 624600 | 1478467 |
| Two Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 150500 | 55133 | 16367 | 8667 | 104867 | 335533 |
| 55-59 | 77392 | 31408 | 10500 | 16467 | 97067 | 232833 |
| 60-64 | 28225 | 13842 | 3500 | 33233 | 72933 | 151733 |
| 65-69 | 5156 | 4377 | 767 | 40633 | 41467 | 92400 |
| 70-74 | 1300 | 1567 | 100 | 23700 | 27433 | 54100 |
| 75.79 | 501 | 866 | 167 | 15767 | 20500 | 37800 |
| 801-8.4 | 217 | 217 | 33 | 8700 | 15133 | 24300 |
| 85+ | 668 | 432 | 133 | 6633 | 18433 | 26300 |
| Total | 264286 | 107514 | 31567 | 153800 | 397833 | 955000 |
| Tluree or more Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 11693 | 3407 | 1833 | 900 | 10967 | 28800 |
| 55-59 | 8519 | 2148 | 1733 | 2333 | 14133 | 28867 |
| 60-64 | 4902 | 1698 | 1467 | 5467 | 18567 | 32100 |
| 65-69 | 1460 | 1140 | 200 | 11067 | 19567 | 33433 |
| 70-74 | 699 | 534 | 267 | 10900 | 17567 | 29967 |
| 75.79 | 238 | 396 | 133 | 9100 | 18867 | 28733 |
| 80-84 | 47 | 187 | 67 | 5933 | 13600 | 19833 |
| 85+ | 350 | 50 | 67 | 3300 | 12367 | 16133 |
| Total | 27962 | 9505 | 5767 | 49000 | 125633 | 217867 |
| All households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 301659 | 100308 | 33533 | 21200 | 200667 | 657367 |
| 55.59 | 201929 | 80438 | 27200 | 54000 | 251800 | 615367 |
| 60-6.4 | 97571 | 53529 | 13567 | 177367 | 255833 | 597867 |
| 65-69 | 25520 | 25247 | 3533 | 303433 | 217567 | 575300 |
| 70.74 | 8020 | 10913 | 1333 | 248733 | 180167 | 449167 |
| 75-79 | 2904 | 5429 | 967 | 176100 | 152167 | 337567 |
| 80).84 | 1148 | 1785 | 367 | 91167 | 99267 | 193733 |
| 85+ | 1640 | 1060 | 300 | 43433 | 74200 | 120633 |
| Total | 641638 | 277462 | 80800 | 1115433 | 1431667 | 3547000 |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and number of generations present in the household

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemp. | Retired | Others |  |
| $\overline{\text { Men }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 34562 | 3538 | 5600 | 2433 | 8633 | 54767 |
| 55-59 | 27620 | 2946 | 3900 | 6167 | 11900 | 52533 |
| 60-64 | 19803 | 3931 | 2833 | 19533 | 13533 | 59633 |
| 65-69 | 7350 | 3150 | 567 | 36800 | 9433 | 57300 |
| 70-74 | 2787 | 1980 | 233 | 34233 | 7467 | 46700 |
| 75-79 | 1550 | 983 | 100 | 28067 | 7500 | 38200 |
| 80-84 | 656 | 744 | 67 | 19033 | 5733 | 26233 |
| 85+ | 305 | 262 | 33 | 10867 | 5867 | 17333 |
| Total | 94879 | 17287 | 13333 | 157133 | 70067 | 352700 |
| One Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 147694 | 9273 | 12533 | 6433 | 15000 | 190933 |
| 55-59 | 166547 | 14720 | 15600 | 29567 | 26867 | 253300 |
| 60-64 | 126020 | 19813 | 13200 | 107533 | 39100 | 305667 |
| 65-69 | 41752 | 17582 | 3233 | 214667 | 29133 | 306367 |
| 70-74 | 16308 | 11259 | 867 | 188400 | 22800 | 239633 |
| 75-79 | 7173 | 4694 | 400 | 128967 | 20200 | 161433 |
| 80-84 | 1809 | 1924 | 233 | 60633 | 11800 | 76400 |
| $85+$ | 745 | 522 | 100 | 22133 | 6600 | 30100 |
| Total | 509106 | 78727 | 46167 | 758333 | 171500 | 1563833 |
| Two Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 316609 | 14691 | 24000 | 6967 | 24800 | 387067 |
| 55.59 | 187659 | 13241 | 17033 | 19967 | 28733 | 266633 |
| 60-64 | 85519 | 10781 | 9733 | 41933 | 24867 | 172833 |
| 65-69 | 18231 | 6102 | 2000 | 56900 | 15633 | 98867 |
| 70.74 | 4987 | 2246 | 367 | 33967 | 8000 | 49567 |
| 75-79 | 1319 | 1281 | 100 | 18700 | 5300 | 26700 |
| 80-84 | 368 | 332 | 33 | 8433 | 3267 | 12433 |
| $85+$ | 1125 | 241 | 33 | 4133 | 3100 | 8633 |
| Total | 616458 | 48276 | 53300 | 191000 | 113700 | 1022733 |
| Three or more Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 17923 | 810 | 1567 | 467 | 2633 | 23400 |
| 55-59 | 15216 | 1550 | 1933 | 2333 | 4300 | 25333 |
| 60-64 | 8921 | 1312 | 1733 | 4867 | 5400 | 22233 |
| 65-69 | 2616 | 1017 | 367 | 10167 | 6833 | 21000 |
| 70-74 | 1383 | 384 | 333 | 9067 | 5133 | 16300 |
| 75-79 | 433 | 433 | 67 | 8067 | 5200 | 14200 |
| 80-84 | 244 | 122 | 0 | 5533 | 3967 | 9867 |
| 85+ | 410 | 123 | 33 | 2867 | 3933 | 7367 |
| Total | 47248 | 5652 | 6033 | 43367 | 37400 | 139700 |
| All households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 516789 | 28311 | 43700 | 16300 | 51067 | 656107 |
| 55-59 | 397042 | 32458 | 38467 | 58033 | 71800 | 597800 |
| 60-64 | 240263 | 35837 | 27500 | 173867 | 82900 | 560367 |
| 65-69 | 69949 | 27851 | 6167 | 318533 | 61033 | 483533 |
| 70-74 | 25465 | 15869 | 1800 | 265667 | 43400 | 352200 |
| 75-79 | 10475 | 7392 | 667 | 183800 | 38200 | 240533 |
| 80-84 | 3078 | 3122 | 333 | 93633 | 24767 | 124933 |
| 85+ | 2586 | 1147 | 200 | 40000 | 19500 | 63433 |
| Total | 1267691 | 149942 | 118833 | 1149833 | 392667 | 3078967 |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and number of generations present in the household
(percentage)

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-ime | Part-Time | Unemp. | Retired | Others |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 57.8 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 43.2 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 16.2 | 25.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 20.6 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 39.4 | 28.9 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 65.1 | 25.5 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 66.8 | 29.2 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 62.5 | 35.2 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 56.7 | 41.9 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 45.1 | 53.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 12.1 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 50.6 | 31.7 | 100.0 |
| One Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 44.7 | 15.4 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 31.7 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 29.6 | 14.1 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 44.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 13.7 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 31.3 | 43.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 51.4 | 39.5 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 52.0 | 43.4 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 47.0 | 50.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 38.2 | 59.5 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 61.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 16.3 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 31.1 | 42.2 | 100.0 |
| Two Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 44.9 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 31.3 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 33.2 | 13.5 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 41.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 18.6 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 21.9 | 48.1 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 44.0 | 44.9 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 43.8 | 50.7 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 35.8 | 62.3 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 25.2 | 70.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 27.7 | 11.3 | 3.3 | 16.1 | 41.7 | 100.0 |
| Three or more Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 40.6 | 11.8 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 38.1 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 29.5 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 49.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 15.3 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 17.0 | 57.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 33.1 | 58:5 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 36.4 | 58.6 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 31.7 | 65.7 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 29.9 | 68.6 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 20.5 | 76.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 12.8 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 22.5 | 57.7 | 100.0 |
| All households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 45.9 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 30.5 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 32.8 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 40.9 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 29.7 | 42.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 52.7 | 37.8 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 55.4 | 40.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 52.2 | 45.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 47.1 | 51.2 | 100.0 |
| 85+ | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 36.0 | 61.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 18.1 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 31.4 | 40.4 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4.3 (cont.)
Labour Force Participation of persons aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and number of generations present in the household
(percentage)

|  | Active |  |  | Inactive |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-Time | Unemp. | Retired | Others |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living alone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 63.1 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 4.4 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 52.6 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 11.7 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 33.2 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 32.8 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 12.8 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 64.2 | 16.5 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 73.3 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 73.5 | 19.6 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 72.6 | 21.9 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 62.7 | 33.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 26.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 44.6 | 19.9 | 100.0 |
| One Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 77.4 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 65.8 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 11.7 | 10.6 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 41.2 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 35.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 70.1 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 78.6 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 79.9 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 79.4 | 15.4 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 73.5 | 21.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 32.6 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 48.5 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
| Two Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 81.8 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 70.4 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 49.5 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 24.3 | 14.4 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 18.4 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 57.6 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 68.5 | 16.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 70.0 | 19.9 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 67.8 | 26.3 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 13.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 47.9 | 35.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 60.3 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 18.7 | 11.1 | 100.0 |
| Three or more Generation Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 76.6 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 60.1 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 40.1 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 21.9 | 24.3 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 48.4 | 32.5 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 8.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 55.6 | 31.5 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 56.8 | 36.6 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 56.1 | 40.2 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 5.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 38.9 | 53.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 33.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 31.0 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
| All households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 78.8 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 66.4 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 42.9 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 14.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 65.9 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 75.4 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 76.4 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 74.9 | 19.8 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 63.1 | 30.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 41.2 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 37.3 | 12.8 | 100.0 |

TABLE 5.1
Number of rooms per person aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status

|  | Average number of rooms (per capita) | Proportion of dwelling units with one room or less per person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| 55-59 | 2.7 | 4.5 |
| 60-6.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| 65-69 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| 70.7.4 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| 75.79 | 2.9 | 4.9 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 85+ | 2.8 | 6.3 |
| Total | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| Married | - |  |
| 50-54 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 55-59 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| 60-64 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| 65-69 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| 70.74 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| 75.79 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 80.84 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| $85+$ | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| Total | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Widowed |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| 55-59 | 2.8 | 4.4 |
| 60-64 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 65-69 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 70.74 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| 75.79 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| 85* | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| Total | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |
| 50.54 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 55.59 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| 65-69 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| 70-74 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| 75-79 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| 80-8- | 2.7 | 7.3 |
| 85+ | 2.2 | 7.7 |
| Total | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| All |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 55.59 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 65-69 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 70-74 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| 75.79 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 80-84 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 85+ | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| Total Women | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| $60 \div$ | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| $65+$ | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| $80+$ | 2.8 | 3.3 |

TABLE 5.1 (cont.)
Number of rooms per person aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and marital status

|  | Average number of rooms (per capita) | Proportion of dwelling units with one room or less per person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.8 | 4.8 |
| 55-59 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| 65-69 | 2.9 | 5.3 |
| 70-74 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| 75.79 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| 80-84 | 2.9 | 8.2 |
| 85+ | 2.6 | 8.6 |
| Total | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| Married | . |  |
| 50-54 | 2.1 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| 60-64 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 65-69 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| 70-74 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 75-79 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| 80-84 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| 85+ | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Total | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| Widowed |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| 55-59 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| 60-64 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| 65.69 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 70-74 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 75.79 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| $85+$ | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| Total | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |
| 50.54 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| 55-59 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| 60-64 | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| 65-69 | 3.2 | 5.4 |
| 70.74 | 3.0 | 8.0 |
| 75.79 | 2.9 | 8.7 |
| 80-84 | 2.6 | 10.9 |
| $85+$ | 2.5 | 8.1 |
| Total | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| All |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| 60-64 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 65-69 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 70-74 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 75-79 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 80-84 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.5 | 3.8 |
| Total Men | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| $60+$ | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| $65+$ | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| $80+$ | 2.6 | 3.3 |

TABLE 5.2
Number of rooms per person aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991
by age, sex and number of generations present in the household

|  | Average number of rooms (per capita) | Proportion of dwelling units with one room or less per person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women |  |  |
| One Generation households |  |  |
| 50-54 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 55.59 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 60-64 | 3.3 | 0.8 |
| 65-69 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| 70-74 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| 75.79 | 3.5 | 1.6 |
| 80.84 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| 85+ | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| total | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| Two Generation households |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.0 | 4.3 |
| 55.59 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| 60-64 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 65-69 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 70-74 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| 75-79 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| 80-8.4 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| 85+ | 2.5 | 0.9 |
| total | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Three Generation households |  |  |
| 50.54 | 1.4 | 18.4 |
| 55-59 | 1.4 | 22.7 |
| 60-64 | 1.4 | 22.2 |
| 65.69 | 1.4 | 19.1 |
| 70.74 | 1.5 | 17.5 |
| 75-79 | 1.6 | 11.8 |
| 80-84 | 1.7 | 7.2 |
|  | 1.6 | 8.3 |
| total | 1.5 | 16.9 |
| All households |  |  |
| 50.54 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 55-59 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 65-69 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 70-74 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| 75.79 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 80-84 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| $85+$ | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| total | 2.6 | 2.8 |

TABLE 5.2 (cont.)
Number of rooms per person aged 50 and over in Canada in 1991 by age, sex and number of generations present in the household

|  | Average number of rooms (per capita) | Proportion of dwelling units with one room or less per person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |
| One Generation households |  | - |
| 50-54 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| 55-59 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| 60-64 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| 65-69 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| 70.74 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| 75-79 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
| $85+$ | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| total | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Two Generation households |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1.9 | 5.1 |
| 55-59 | 2.0 | 4.9 |
| 60.64 | 2.0 | 4.4 |
| 65-69 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| 70-74 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| 75-79 | 2.1 | 4.5 |
| 80-84 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| $85+$ | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| total | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| Three Generation households |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1.4 | 18.4 |
| 55-59 | 1.5 | 17.9 |
| 60-64 | 1.4 | 23.2 |
| 65-69 | 1.4 | 21.3 |
| 70-74 | 1.4 | 19.4 |
| 75-79 | 1.5 | 19.2 |
| 80-84 | 1.5 | 14.2 |
| 85+ | 1.5 | 14.0 |
| total | 1.4 | 19.2 |
| All households |  |  |
| 50.54 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| 60.64 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 65-69 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 70.74 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 75-79 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 80-84 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| 85+ | 2.5 | 3.8 |
| Total | 2.5 | 3.2 |

TABLE 6.1
Number and type of income sources of persons aged 50 and over living in single person households in Canada in 1991 by age, sex, marital status

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Income } \end{array}$ | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labour + Pension | Labour + State | State + <br> Pension | Other 2 | Three or more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 333 | 5433 | 167 | 1467 | 533 | 133 | 733 | 167 | 5233 | 1033 | 15233 |
| 55-59 | 700 | 4267 | 700 | 2200 | 633 | 133 | 667 | 167 | 4833 | 1900 | 16200 |
| 60-64 | 333 | 2733 | 1233 | 1500 | 367 | 367 | 433 | 733 | 5967 | 2800 | 16467 |
| 65.69 | 100 | 233 | 233 | 1700 | 67 | 133 | 133 | 3067 | 1433 | 10733 | 17833 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 3600 | 1000 | 10000 | 16000 |
| 75.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1400 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2433 | 933 | 8367 | 13167 |
| 80-8.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1667 | 833 | 5233 | 8733 |
| 85- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 967 | 467 | 2933 | 5233 |
| Total | 1467 | 12667 | 2333 | 11133 | 1600 | 767 | 2400 | 12800 | 20700 | 43000 | 108867 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-5.4 | 133 | 500 | 33 | 100 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 400 | 67 | 1367 |
| 55.59 | 167 | 433 | 33 | 67 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 1100 |
| 60-64 | 67 | 67 | 167 | 300 | 167 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 200 | 167 | 1233 |
| 65-69 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 367 | 633 | 1667 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 500 | 567 | 1300 | 3233 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 433 | 700 | 1033 | 2767 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 267 | 167 | 500 | 1300 |
| 85+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 200 | 200 | 233 | 933 |
| Total | 400 | 1033 | 233 | 2667 | 333 | 67 | 367 | 1667 | 2900 | 3933 | 13600 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-5.4 | 500 | 1600 | 600 | 933 | 200 | 1133 | 600 | 867 | 1867 | 3933 | 12233 |
| 55-59 | 967 | 3067 | 2500 | 2133 | 667 | 2800 | 800 | 2400 | 5500 | 8600 | 29433 |
| 60-64 | 633 | 2167 | 1733 | 5267 | 433 | 1800 | 2733 | 11667 | 8900 | 24733 | 60067 |
| 65.69 | 100 | 200 | 133 | 10933 | 267 | 133 | 1533 | 27567 | 4433 | 56800 | 102100 |
| 70.74 | 133 | 0 | 33 | 15600 | 100 | 0 | 1767 | 34567 | 6067 | 69600 | 127867 |
| 75.79 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 19467 | 33 | 0 | 1200 | 29367 | 10933 | 67633 | 128767 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 15967 | 0 | 0 | 867 | 19333 | 11667 | 43333 | 91233 |
| 85+ | 33 | 33 | 33 | 14433 | 33 | 0 | 433 | 8933 | 11933 | 18867 | 54733 |
| Total | 2400 | 7100 | 5167 | 84733 | 1733 | 5867 | 9933 | 134700 | 61300 | 293500 | 606433 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 1033 | 12933 | 200 | 5000 | 1567 | 200 | 2733 | 533 | 9667 | 2600 | 36467 |
| 55.59 | 1100 | 10200 | 900 | 6067 | 2200 | 367 | 1767 | 633 | 10133 | 3000 | 36367 |
| 60.64 | 867 | 4967 | 1900 | 6667 | 1933 | 667 | 867 | 2333 | 9567 | 4300 | 34067 |
| 65-69 | 67 | 733 | 333 | 4567 | 233 | 200 | 667 | 7533 | 2633 | 12133 | 29100 |
| 70.74 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 3133 | 33 | 0 | 267 | 4500 | 1167 | 7833 | 16967 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1667 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 2300 | 1167 | 3933 | 9133 |
| 80.8. 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 1067 | 467 | 1100 | 3600 |
| 85 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 233 | 333 | 1067 |
| Total | 3100 | 28833 | 3367 | 28267 | 5967 | 1433 | 6433 | 19100 | 35033 | 35233 | 166767 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.5.4 | 2000 | 20467 | 1000 | 7500 | 2367 | 1500 | 4100 | 1567 | 17167 | 7633 | 65300 |
| 55.59 | 2933 | 17967 | 4133 | 10467 | 3600 | 3300 | 3233 | 3200 | 20767 | 13500 | 83100 |
| 60-64 | 1900 | 9933 | 5033 | 13733 | 2900 | 2867 | 4067 | 14767 | 24633 | 32000 | 111833 |
| 65-69 | 300 | 1200 | 700 | 17567 | 567 | 467 | 2333 | 38400 | 8867 | 80300 | 150700 |
| 70-74 | 167 | 0 | 33 | 20633 | 133 | 0 | 2400 | 43167 | 8800 | 88733 | 164067 |
| 75-79 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 23000 | 33 | 0 | 1433 | 34533 | 13733 | 80967 | 153833 |
| 80-8.4 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 18200 | 0 | 0 | 967 | 22333 | 13133 | 50167 | 104867 |
| 85- | 33 | 33 | 67 | 15700 | 33 | 0 | 600 | 10300 | 12833 | 22367 | 61967 |
| Tutal | 7367 | 49633 | 11100 | 126800 | 9633 | 8133 | 19133 | 168267 | 119933 | 375667 | 895667 |
| 50-64 | 6833 | 48367 | 10167 | 31700 | 8867 | 7667 | 11400 | 19533 | 62567 | 53133 | 260233 |
| 65-79 | 500 | 1233 | 800 | 61200 | 733 | 467 | 6167 | 116100 | 31400 | 250000 | 468600 |
| 80 - | 33 | 33 | 133 | 33900 | 33 | 0 | 1567 | 32633 | 25967 | 72533 | 166833 |
| $65 \div$ | 533 | 1267 | 933 | 95100 | 767 | 467 | 7733 | 148733 | 57367 | 322533 | 635433 |

TABLE 6.1 (Cont.)
Number and type of income sources of persons aged 50 and over living in single person households in Canada in 1991 by age, sex, marital status

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Income } \end{array}$ | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labour + Pension | Labour + State | State + <br> Pension | Other 2 | Three or more |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 300 | 6800 | 367 | 3333 | 667 | 100 | 2333 | 233 | 5267 | 1067 | 20467 |
| 55-59 | 500 | 4533 | 433 | 3233 | 1067 | 100 | 1133 | 333 | 4533 | 1833 | 17700 |
| 60-64 | 533 | 3067 | 1233 | 2700 | 800 | 567 | 933 | 1367 | 5467 | 2667 | 19333 |
| 65-69 | 67 | 500 | 233 | 2500 | 33 | 100 | 200 | 4767 | 1567 | 7833 | 17800 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1867 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 3000 | 733 | 5800 | 11600 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1133 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 1667 | 733 | 3133 | 6867 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1000 | 500 | 1867 | 3933 |
| 85- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 200 | 700 | 1800 |
| Total | 1400 | 14900 | 2267 | 15533 | 2567 | 867 | 5167 | 12900 | 19000 | 24900 | 99500 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 100 | 967 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 433 | 133 | 1967 |
| 55-59 | 67 | 600 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 200 | 0 | 167 | 267 | 1533 |
| 60-64 | 33 | 433 | 333 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 133 | 200 | 367 | 100 | 1733 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 333 | 33 | 1000 | 1767 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 33 | 933 | 1400 |
| 75-79 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 467 | 0 | 867 | 1667 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 67 | 600 | 1200 |
| 85+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 133 | 433 | 867 |
| Total | 233 | 2067 | 467 | 967 | 100 | 67 | 733 | 1933 | 1233 | 4333 | 12133 |
| widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 167 | 1267 | 67 | 200 | 0 | 100 | 267 | 67 | 267 | 433 | 2833 |
| 55-59 | 100 | 967 | 333 | 567 | 33 | 333 | 233 | 133 | 1267 | 1367 | 53.33 |
| 60-64 | 33 | 1500 | 433 | 1200 | 167 | 533 | 900 | 1567 | 2100 | 3967 | 12400 |
| 65-69 | 33 | 200 | 100 | 1367 | 33 | 100 | 833 | 4933 | 667 | 10800 | 19067 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 6433 | 500 | 12800 | 22167 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2600 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 6167 | 1033 | 13533 | 23767 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2267 | 33 | 0 | 333 | 4300 | 867 | 10333 | 18167 |
| 85+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 2867 | 1933 | 6000 | 13367 |
| Total | 333 | 3933 | 967 | 12600 | 433 | 1067 | 3433 | 26467 | 8633 | 59233 | 117100 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 733 | 12200 | 567 | 2767 | 400 | 400 | 2767 | 367 | 7267 | 2033 | 29500 |
| 55-59 | 700 | 8933 | 1367 | 3833 | 600 | 767 | 2700 | 667 | 5500 | 2900 | 27967 |
| 60-64 | 700 | 5400 | 2733 | 3800 | 400 | 1000 | 1067 | 2467 | 5333 | 3267 | 26167 |
| 65-69 | 133 | 467 | 367 | 1567 | 33 | 0 | 533 | 6600 | 1167 | 7800 | 18667 |
| 70-74 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1333 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 4233 | 467 | 5233 | 11533 |
| 75.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 1667 | 233 | 2967 | 5900 |
| 80.84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 833 | 200 | 1300 | 2933 |
| $85+$ | 0 | 0 | 33 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 400 | 67 | 433 | 1300 |
| Total | 2300 | 27000 | 5067 | 14900 | 1433 | 2167 | 7700 | 17233 | 20233 | 25933 | 123967 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 1300 | 21233 | 1000 | 6367 | 1100 | 600 | 5600 | 667 | 13233 | 3667 | 54767 |
| 55-59 | 1367 | 15033 | 2200 | 7700 | 1767 | 1233 | 4267 | 1133 | 11467 | 6367 | 52533 |
| 60-64 | 1300 | 10400 | 4733 | 7800 | 1367 | 2133 | 3033 | 5600 | 13267 | 10000 | 59633 |
| 65-69 | 233 | 1233 | 700 | 5633 | 100 | 200 | 1700 | 16633 | 3433 | 27433 | 57300 |
| 70.74 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 5233 | 0 | 0 | 867 | 14033 | 1733 | 24767 | 46700 |
| 75.79 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 4733 | 0 | 0 | 933 | 9967 | 2000 | 20500 | 38200 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 3333 | 33 | 0 | 600 | 6500 | 1633 | 14100 | 26233 |
| 85+ | 0 | 0 | 33 | 3200 | 167 | 0 | 33 | 4000 | 2333 | 7567 | 17333 |
| Total | 4267 | 47900 | 8767 | 44000 | 4533 | 4167 | 17033 | 58533 | 49100 | 114400 | 352700 |
| 50-64 | 3967 | 46667 | 7933 | 21867 | 4233 | 3967 | 12900 | 7400 | 37967 | 20033 | 166933 |
| 65.79 | 300 | 1233 | 767 | 15600 | 100 | 200 | 3500 | 40633 | 7167 | 72700 | 142200 |
| 80 - | 0 | 0 | 67 | 6533 | 200 | 0 | 633 | 10500 | 3967 | 21667 | 43567 |
| $65+$ | 300 | 1233 | 833 | 22133 | 300 | 200 | 4133 | 51133 | 11133 | 94367 | 185767 |

TABLE 6.1 (\%)
Number and type of income sources of persons aged 50 and over living in single
person households in Canada in 1991, by age, sex, marital status

|  | No <br> Income | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Labour + } \\ \text { Pension } \end{array}$ | Labour + State | State + Pension | Other 2 | Three or more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-5. 4 |  | 35.7 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 34.4 | 6.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 4.3 | 26.3 | 4.3 | 13.6 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 29.8 | 11.7 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.0 | 16.6 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 36.2 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 17.2 | 8.0 | 60.2 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 22.5 | 6.3 | 62.5 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 63.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 9.5 | 59.9 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 18.5 | 8.9 | 56.1 | 100.0 |
| Toul | 1.3 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 11.8 | 19.0 | 39.5 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-54 | 9.8 | 36.6 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| 35-59 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 24.3 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 16.2 | 13.5 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 38.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 15.5 | 17.5 | 40.2 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 15.7 | 25.3 | 37.3 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 20.5 | 12.8 | 38.5 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2.9 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 19.6 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 28.9 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 4.1 | 13.1 | 4.9 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 32.2 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 8.2 | 18.7 | 29.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 27.0 | 4.3 | 55.6 | 100.0 |
| 70-7¢ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 27.0 | 4.7 | 54.4 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 22.8 | 8.5 | 52.5 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 21.2 | 12.8 | 47.5 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 26.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 16.3 | 21.8 | 34.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 14.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 22.2 | 10.1 | 48.4 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 2.8 | 35.5 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 26.5 | 7.1 | 100.11 |
| 55.59 | 3.0 | 28.0 | 2.5 | 16.7 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 27.9 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.5 | 14.6 | 5.6 | 19.6 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 28.1 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 25.9 | 9.0 | +1.7 | 100.0 |
| 710.74 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 26.5 | 6.9 | \$6.2 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 25.2 | 12.8 | 43.1 | 100.0 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 29.6 | 13.0 | 30.6 | 100.0 |
| $85-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 21.9 | 31.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.9 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 16.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 11.5 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 3.1 | 31.3 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 26.3 | 11.7 | 100.0 |
| 35.59 | 3.5 | 21.6 | 3.0 | 12.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 25.0 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 13.2 | 22.0 | 28.6 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 25.5 | 5.9 | 53.3 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 26.3 | 5.4 | 54.1 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 22.4 | 8.9 | 52.6 | 100.0 |
| $80-84$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 21.3 | 12.5 | 47.8 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 16.6 | 20.7 | 36.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 0.8 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 14.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 18.8 | 13.4 | 41.9 | 100.0 |
| $50-64$ | 2.6 | 18.6 | 3.9 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 24.0 | 20.4 | 100.0 |
| 65.79 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 24.8 | 6.7 | 53.4 | 100.0 |
| $80-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 43.5 | 100.0 |
| 65 - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 23.4 | 9.0 | 50.8 | 100.0 |

Number and type of income sources of persons aged 50 and over living in single
person households in Canada in 1991, by age, sex, marital status

|  | No <br> Income | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labour + Pension | Labour + State | State + Pension | Other 2 | Three or more |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 1.5 | 33.2 | 1.8 | 16.3 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 25.7 | 5.2 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 2.8 | 25.6 | 2.4 | 18.3 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 25.6 | 10.4 | 100.0 |
| 60-6.4 | 2.8 | 15.9 | 6.4 | 14.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 28.3 | 13.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 26.8 | 8.8 | 44.0 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 25.9 | 6.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 24.3 | 10.7 | 45.6 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 47.5 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.4 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 15.6 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 19.1 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5.1 | 49.2 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 4.3 | 39.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 1.9 | 25.0 | 19.2 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 11.5 | 21.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 18.9 | 1.9 | 56.6 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 2.4 | 66.7 | 100.0 |
| 75.79 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 100.0 |
| 80-8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 5.6 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.9 | 17.0 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 15.9 | 10.2 | 35.7 | 100.0 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 5.9 | 44.7 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 1.9 | 18.1 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 23.8 | 25.6 | 100.0 |
| 60.64 | 0.3 | 12.1 | 3.5 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 16.9 | 32.0 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 25.9 | 3.5 | 56.6 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 29.0 | 2.3 | 57.7 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 25.9 | 4.3 | 56.9 | 100.0 |
| 80.84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 23.7 | 4.8 | 56.9 | 100.0 |
| 85 | $0.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 14.5 | 44.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 22.6 | 7.4 | 50.6 | 100.0 |
| Divorced'Separaced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-5.4$ | 2.5 | 41.4 | 1.9 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 1.2 | 24.6 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
| 55.59 | 2.5 | 31.9 | 4.9 | 13.7 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 19.7 | 10.4 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.7 | 20.6 | 10.4 | 14.5 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 9.4 | 20.4 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| 65.69 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 8.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 35.4 | 6.3 | 41.8 | 100.0 |
| 70-74 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 36.7 | 4.0 | 45.4 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 28.2 | 4.0 | 50.3 | 100.0 |
| $811-8.4$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 28.4 | 6.8 | 44.3 | 100.0 |
| $85+$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 30.8 | 5.1 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.9 | 21.8 | 4.1 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 6.2 | 13.9 | 16.3 | 20.9 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 | 2.4 | 38.8 | 1.8 | 11.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 1.2 | 24.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| 55-59 | 2.6 | 28.6 | 4.2 | 14.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 21.8 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
| 60-64 | 2.2 | 17.4 | 7.9 | 13.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 9.4 | 22.2 | 16.8 | 100.0 |
| 65-69 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 29.0 | 6.0 | 47.9 | 100.0 |
| 70.74 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 30.0 | 3.7 | 53.0 | 100.0 |
| 75-79 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 26.1 | 5.2 | 53.7 | 100.0 |
| 80-8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 24.8 | 6.2 | 53.7 | 100.0 |
| 85- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 23.1 | 13.5 | 43.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.2 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 16.6 | 13.9 | 32.4 | 100.0 |
| 50.64 | 2.4 | 28.0 | 4.8 | 13.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 22.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| 65-79 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 28.6 | 5.0 | 51.1 | 100.0 |
| 80. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 24.1 | 9.1 | 49.7 | 100.0 |
| $65+$ | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 27.5 | 6.0 | 50.8 | 100.0 |


|  | No Income | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Persion | State | Other | Labour + Pension | Labour + State | Stute + <br> Pension | Other ? | Threo or more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never maried |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 6.31 | 2967 | 100 | 1500 | 400 | 0 | 933 | 0 | 2333 | 500 | 9367 |
| Two Recipiens | 100 | 1667 | 33 | 500 | 167 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 1607 | 333 | 4600 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 33 | 200 | 33 | 100 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 67 | 733 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 1933 | 133 | 867 | 333 | 133 | 300 | 100 | 1967 | 600 | 6767 |
| Two Recipients | 133 | 1167 | 300 | 633 | 200 | 33 | 167 | 33 | 1500 | 300 | 4467 |
| Threc or more Recipients | 0 | 100 | 33 | 133 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 200 | 67 | 607 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 500 | 967 | 467 | 1267 | 467 | 100 | 233 | 133 | 1900 | 667 | 6700 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 167 | 0 | 133 | 167 | 1833 | 467 | 3731 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 100 | 33 | 07 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 67 | 467 |
| 65.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1000 | 33 | 33 | 133 | 1033 | 633 | 2700 | 5867 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 100 | 33 | 633 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 733 | 267 | 1767 | 3800 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 33 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 167 | 0 | 233 | 633 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1033 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 833 | 300 | 1600 | 3900 |
| Two Recipiens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 667 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 567 | 267 | 1607 | 3200 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 267 | 467 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 33 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 600 | 467 | 1633 | 3567 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 233 | 200 | 1800 | 2800 |
| Three or more Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 200 | 33 | 367 | 733 |
| $80-84$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 667 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 300 | 433 | 1033 | 2467 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 167 | 100 | 1167 | 1700 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 267 | 400 |
| 85. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 533 | 667 | 2133 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 300 | 533 | 1100 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 133 | 233 |
| Tutal | 2167 | 9533 | 1567 | 11900 | 1967 | 300 | 2933 | 5800 | 15433 | 18900 | 70500 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 61967 | 67037 | 2967 | 6433 | 20467 | 1700 | 13467 | 1000 | 44100 | 13300 | 232433 |
| Two Recipients | 7633 | 50400 | 900 | 2300 | 4833 | 1067 | 9000 | 267 | 37767 | 10200 | 124367 |
| Three or more Recipients | 200 | 1367 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 367 | 200 | 2600 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 81800 | 52800 | 6367 | 64.33 | 33800 | 1567 | 10867 | 1867 | 47433 | 14767 | 257600 |
| Two Recipients | 10867 | 32933 | 3133 | 2487 | 10733 | 1167 | 5500 | 733 | 33467 | 10900 | 111900 |
| Three or More Recipients | 200 | 933 | 67 | 100 | 200 | 0 | 333 | 33 | 467 | 33 | 2367 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 5583] | 23400 | 21233 | 24733 | 29200 | 3567 | 4833 | 11400 | 54333 | 21433 | 249967 |
| Two Recipients | 8067 | 14700 | 6867 | 7233 | 8033 | 2600 | 2700 | 5107 | 38133 | 14300 | 107800 |
| Three or more Recipients | 300 | 300 | 100 | 367 | 33 | 33 | 100 | 133 | 3 b 7 | 167 | 1900 |
| 69-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 5233 | 2233 | 2733 | \$2400 | 2900 | 233 | 3833 | 39067 | 33800 | 69533 | 211967 |
| Two Recipients | 900 | 1167 | 1167 | 16167 | 967 | 167 | 1500 | 19000 | 15000 | 52463 | 108500 |
| Three or more Recipients | 3.3 | 33 | 0 | $4^{43}$ | 0 | 0 | 67 | 333 | 233 | 400 | 1533 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 300 | 0 | 33 | 36300 | 200 | 0 | 1767 | 23600 | 25367 | 49933 | 137500 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 0 | 67 | 12033 | 33 | 0 | 607 | 11567 | 10267 | 31367 | 66067 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 67 | 400 | 700 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 100 | 0 | 33 | 21967 | 0 | 0 | 1067 | 12100 | 17433 | 23867 | 76567 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 33 | 7900 | 33 | 0 | 433 | 6333 | 713.3 | 14367 | 36233 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 367 |
| 80.8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 67 | 0 | 0 | 10107 | 33 | 0 | 300 | 3900 | 6933 | 6800 | 28200 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 1433 | 3233 | 3533 | 11400 |
| Three or mota Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 200 |
| 85+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 967 | 2633 | 1700 | 8700 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 833 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 333 | 933 | 933 | 3200 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 233567 | 247300 | 45607 | 215233 | 111567 | 12100 | 57067 | 139307 | 379567 | 340067 | 1782100 |


|  | Sinile source |  |  |  |  | Multipie sourres |  |  |  |  | Tota! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labour ${ }^{+}$ Pension | Labour ${ }^{+}$ State | State + <br> Pension | Other 2 | Three of more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 467 | 1600 | 733 | 1000 | 200 | 1033 | 900 | 1200 | 1467 | 5833 | 14433 |
| Two Recipients | 167 | 333 | 33 | 233 | 100 | 233 | 200 | 167 | 200 | 267 | 1933 |
| Three or more Recipicnts | 0 | 167 | 33 | 33 | - | 33 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 67 | 467 |
| 35-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 733 | 2200 | 1767 | 1700 | 300 | 1567 | 1000 | 1567 | 3533 | 6467 | 20833 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 233 | 267 | 367 | 67 | 167 | 233 | 233 | 400 | 533 | 2567 |
| Three or more Recipients | 67 | 100 | 100 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 567 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 700 | 1400 | 467 | 3900 | 300 | 600 | 1633 | 5333 | 3400 | 9633 | 27367 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 200 | 200 | 900 | 33 | 167 | 200 | 800 | 267 | 1333 | 4200 |
| Three or more Recipients | 100 | 100 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 33 | 233 | 767 |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 200 | 133 | 0 | 5300 | 167 | 100 | 533 | 8367 | 1400 | 14700 | 30900 |
| Two Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1067 | 33 | 0 | 133 | 1533 | 367 | 2500 | 5667 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 200 | 700 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 367 | 67 | 0 | 5000 | 67 | 0 | 400 | 7833 | 1600 | 11900 | 28133 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 0 | 33 | 1400 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1967 | 467 | 2267 | 6233 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 100 | 133 | 667 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 233 | 0 | 100 | 6700 | 67 | 0 | 233 | 6767 | 2100 | 9433 | 25633 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 0 | 33 | 1800 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 1667 | 533 | 2967 | 7133 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 333 | 633 |
| $80-84$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipienı | 133 | 0 | 0 | 5833 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 4467 | 2467 | 6633 | 19800 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1367 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1267 | 767 | 1633 | 5133 |
| Threc or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 133 | 67 | 167 | 700 |
| 85+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 0 | 33 | 8400 | 33 | 0 | 433 | 3167 | 4500 | 5033 | 21700 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 900 | 1267 | 1733 | 6500 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 167 | 67 | 433 |
| Total | 3733 | 6533 | 3800 | 49600 | 1433 | 3900 | 6533 | 48200 | 25233 | 84133 | 233100 |

Divorced/Separated
50.54

| One Recipienı |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two Recipients | 667 | 7367 | 100 | 3733 | 733 | 267 | 4300 | 200 | 6000 | 5233 | 28600 |
| Three or more Recipients | 200 | 2100 | 33 | 667 | 167 | 0 | 533 | 67 | 767 | 300 | 4833 |
|  | 0 | 433 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 667 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 800 | 4833 | 167 | 3300 | 600 | 133 | 1967 | 267 | 3733 | 1967 | 17767. |
| Two Recipients | 233 | 1333 | 167 | 700 | 200 | 33 | 367 | 33 | 1033 | 333 | 4433 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 133 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 100 | 33 | 467 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipierı | 667 | 2467 | 600 | 2100 | 633 | 100 | 433 | 467 | 2433 | 1367 | 11267 |
| Two Recipients | 300 | 533 | 200 | 533 | 167 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 800 | 333 | 3033 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 133 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 400 |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipiem | 133 | 133 | 333 | 1200 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 1333 | 533 | 2300 | 6233 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 33 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 367 | 133 | 900 | 1767 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 100 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 667 | 300 | 1100 | 2733 |
| Two Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 233 | 33 | 367 | 867 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 33 | 200 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dne Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 267 | 367 | 1433 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 100 | 467 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 80-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dne Recipient | 33 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 200 | 533 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 333 |
| Three or more Reeipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| 85+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onc Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 233 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toul | 3067 | 19500 | 1633 | 14733 | 2533 | 633 | 8267 | 4467 | 16467 | 15200 | 86500 |
| Tota! Femoles | 242533 | 282867 | 52667 | 291467 | 117500 | 16933 | 74800 | 197833 | 436700 | 458900 | 2172200 |


|  | No <br> Income | Single sxurre |  |  |  | Muluple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labour + Pension | Labour + State | State + <br> Pension | Other 2 | Three of more |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 667 | 3233 | 133 | 2200 | 467 | 200 | 1333 | 100 | 2067 | 867 | 11267 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 1367 | 0 | 533 | 133 | 0 | 333 | 100 | 967 | 367 | 3800 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 67 | 33 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 233 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 533 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 633 | 2300 | 367 | 1533 | 433 | 100 | 700 | 200 | 2400 | 600 | 9267 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 200 | 200 | 633 | 233 | 33 | 333 | 33 | 833 | 200 | 3300 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 133 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 433 |
| 60.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 467 | 1267 | 433 | 1300 | 300 | 133 | 500 | 313 | 2033 | 533 | 7300 |
| Two Recipiems | 267 | 43, | 207 | 3,3 | 233 | 100 | 133 | 100 | 1133 | 367 | 3367 |
| Three of more Recipients | 33 | 07 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 33 | 467 |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 200 | 267 | 100 | 1200 | ;3 | 33 | 267 | 1000 | 467 | 2267 | 5833 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 67 | 0 | 367 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 600 | 67 | 1233 | 2400 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 233 | 400 |
| 70-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 700 | 133 | 1167 | 2900 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 233 | 67 | 1000 | 1633 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 233 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 33 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 500 | 167 | 1300 | 2831 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 133 | 0 | 467 | 867 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 133 |
| 80.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 333 | 67 | 600 | 1400 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 467 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 85+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 367 | 1100 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 133 | 367 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Totat | 2433 | 9900 | 1567 | 10933 | 1967 | 600 | 4767 | 4800 | 11067 | 12367 | 60400 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 3567 | 78067 | 1900 | 8433 | 2600 | 3000 | 32033 | 1400 | 51033 | 24167 | 204800 |
| Two Recipients | 1367 | 50233 | 1500 | 2300 | 1833 | 2067 | 17167 | 467 | 32633 | 15133 | 124700 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 233 | 233 | 1731 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0167 | 81.567 | 7633 | 8133 | 4500 | 6067 | 23813 | 3833 | 68567 | 35800 | 246100 |
| Two Recipients | 1800 | 44533 | 433] | 2900 | 2900 | 3367 | 11767 | 1833 | 36367 | 19233 | 129033 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 0 | 1033 | 3.3 | 100 | 33 | 33 | 200 | 0 | 667 | 267 | 2367 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 5933 | 57200 | 23400 | 8867 | 4400 | 12033 | 12733 | 11000 | 83267 | 50800 | 269633 |
| Two Recipients | 1933 | 2393.1 | 9100 | 3467 | 2233 | 4967 | 0167 | 3900 | 41133 | 24967 | 121800 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 200 | 73.1 | 23.3 | 133 | 33 | 100 | 100 | 33 | 567 | 267 | 2400 |
| -55-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 1300 | 5233 | 3333 | 11200 | 1033 | 1533 | 5033 | 55507 | 12233 | 143600 | 240967 |
| Two Recipients | 367 | 2300 | 133 | 4567 | 467 | 667 | 1933 | 23967 | 6067 | 71400 | 113067 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 67 | 13 | 167 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 400 | 100 | 667 | 1000 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 267 | 167 | 100 | 10200 | 400 | 07 | 3033 | 46333 | 3167 | ${ }^{115033}$ | 178767 |
| Two Recipients | 73 | 67 | 67 | 4207 | 167 | 0 | 867 | 22300 | 1400 | 55667 | 84833 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 33 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 933 | 1700 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 167 | 0 | 33 | 9000 | 67 | 0 | 1713 | 29733 | 3500 | 73133 | 117367 |
| Two Recipiens | 33 | 0 | 33 | 340) | 67 | 0 | 767 | 12900 | 1767 | 35300 | 54267 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 133 | 67 | 467 | 800 |
| 80-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 33 | ;3 | 5300 | 33 | 0 | 367 | 12333 | 2067 | 33867 | 54733 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 5767 | 1200 | 16367 | 25367 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 67 | 300 |
| $\mathrm{BS}+\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2533 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 5367 | 1500 | 10767 | 20733 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1467 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 2667 | 633 | 5367 | 10367 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 167 |
| Total | 23367 | 346200 | 51133 | B6733 | 20833 | 33933 | 120333 | 240700 | 348767 | 733600 | 2007600 |



Number and type of income sources of persons aged 58 and over living in four-or more person
housebolds to Canada in 1991, by age. sex, msrital status nod number of bousehoid income Recipients

|  | Single source |  |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Latour | Pension | State | Other | Labour * Pension | Labour * State | State + <br> Pension | Other 2 | Three of more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevet married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 433 | 1067 | 33 | 500 | 33 | 0 | 200 | 67 | 333 | 67 | 2733 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 467 | 0 | 167 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 1000 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 233 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 433 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 167 | 567 | 67 | 667 | 67 | 33 | 67 | 33 | 167 | 100 | 1933 |
| Two Recepients | 33 | 233 | 33 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 633 |
| Three of mure Recipients | 67 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 567 |
| 60.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 267 | 467 | 67 | 567 | 33 | 33 | 133 | 67 | 107 | 67 | 1867 |
| Two Recipients | 133 | 133 | 67 | 167 | 33 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 867 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 100 | 100 | 600 |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 0 | 67 | 43.3 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 533 | 167 | 533 | 1967 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 133 | 0 | 133 | 500 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 167 | 367 |
| 70-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipiens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 367 | 200 | 367 | 1400 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 167 | 307 |
| Thiree or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 133 | 300 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | $3: 3$ | 0 | 0 | 33 | 333 | 33 | 133 | 867 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 233 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 133 | 367 |
| $80-\mathrm{B4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 67 | 0 | 467 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 167 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 633 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Tolal | 1367 | 3567 | 400 | 5100 | 233 | 167 | 1133 | 2067 | 1933 | 2500 | 18467 |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipiest | $26+33$ | 20.567 | 600 | 2900 | 7900 | 367 | 7900 | 100 | 16367 | 4900 | 94033 |
| Two Recipiems | 4400 | 20233 | 400 | 800 | 1633 | 100 | 6167 | 67 | 10567 | 4867 | 49233 |
| Three or more Recipients | 867 | 2467 | 33 | 167 | 200 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 1100 | 333 | 5867 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 19700 | 11800 | 833 | 2007 | 6033 | 167 | 3133 | 300 | 8067 | 2200 | 54300 |
| Two Recipients | 4233 | 8233 | 467 | 8.33 | 1067 | 100 | 2367 | 67 | 4533 | 2033 | 24533 |
| Three or more Recipients | 933 | 1500 | 67 | 200 | 267 | 33 | 433 | 33 | 567 | 400 | 4433 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 10200 | 4200 | 1300 | 4533 | 2667 | 267 | 933 | 900 | 4867 | 1833 | 31700 |
| Two Recipients | 3300 | 2633 | 800 | 1567 | 93.3 | 167 | 667 | 333 | 2133 | 900 | 13433 |
| Three or more Recipients | 907 | 567 | 133 | 600 | 133 | 33 | 167 | 67 | 467 | 133 | 3267 |
| 65.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 143, | 133 | 267 | 4733 | 367 | 33 | 300 | 2333 | 2100 | 2567 | 14267 |
| Two Recipients | 900 | 167 | 67 | 2635 | 200 | 33 | 400 | 1267 | 567 | 1933 | 8167 |
| Three or more Recipients | 167 | 233 | 33 | 733 | 67 | 0 | 167 | 207 | 167 | 333 | 2167 |
| 70.74 ( 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 200 | 0 | 35 | 2833 | 33 | 0 | 167 | 1367 | 733 | 1100 | 6467 |
| Twis Recipients | 267 | 3.3 | 100 | 1307 | 0 | 33 | 200 | 900 | 567 | 1100 | 4567 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 200 | 263 | 833 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 0 | 8 | 1767 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 667 | 333 | 600 | 3600 |
| Two Recipients | 133 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 333 | 400 | 2000 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 300 |
| H0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 133 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 167 | 233 | 267 | 1600 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 233 | 1033 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 167 |
| $85+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipien: | 67 | 8 | 0 | 23. | 0 | 0 | 167 | 133 | 167 | 133 | 900 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 33 | 0 | 167 | 600 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Total | 74500 | 78767 | 5133 | 30833 | 22133 | 1333 | 24300 | 9500 | 54267 | 26767 | 327533 |


|  | No <br> Income | Single souree |  |  |  | Mutiple sources |  |  |  |  | Toual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | Stxie | Other | Labour * Pension | Labour + State | Slate + <br> Pension | Other ? | Three or more |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 200 | 700 | 100 | 367 | 67 | 133 | 433 | 367 | 233 | 1067 | 3667 |
| Two Reeipients | 200 | 133 | 33 | 167 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 167 | 1033 |
| Three or more Recipients | 100 | 233 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 200 | 133 | 67 | 100 | 967 |
| 55.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 667 | 967 | 333 | 600 | 133 | 200 | 533 | 733 | 733 | 967 | 5867 |
| Two Recipients | 600 | 300 | 267 | 367 | 13 | 133 | 300 | 133 | 133 | 400 | 2767 |
| Three or more Recipienis | 200 | 367 | 67 | 167 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 0 | 167 | 167 | 1200 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 767 | 500 | 13 | 13j3 | 133 | 100 | 467 | 1400 | 533 | 1500 | 6867 |
| Two Recipiens | 300 | 267 | 33 | 935 | 100 | 100 | 233 | 600 | 300 | 467 | 4033 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 233 | 167 | 67 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 200 | 133 | 433 | 1633 |
| 65-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 633 | 233 | 0 | 2633 | 167 | 0 | 533 | 2433 | 533 | 2135 | 9300 |
| Two Recipiens | 667 | 100 | 0 | 1633 | 100 | 0 | 300 | 933 | 367 | 1800 | 5900 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 100 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 67 | 333 | 1467 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 367 | 67 | 33 | 3167 | 67 | 33 | 333 | 3033 | 533 | 2333 | 9967 |
| Two Recipients | 367 | 67 | 0 | 2135 | 67 | 0 | 167 | 1367 | 400 | 2000 | 6567 |
| Three or more Recipients | 23 | 67 | 0 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 400 | 200 | 400 | 1833 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 333 | 0 | 0 | 4767 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 2567 | 600 | 2667 | 11133 |
| Two Recipients | 300 | 33 | 0 | 3067 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1533 | 533 | 1767 | 7333 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 333 | 100 | 333 | 1300 |
| 30-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onc Recipient | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3500 | 0 | 0 | 200 | :000 | 967 | 1867 | 8633 |
| Twa Recipients | 100 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1000 | 367 | 733 | 4733 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 67 | 233 | 667 |
| $85+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 367 | 0 | 67 | 3607 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1367 | 1i3) | 1133 | 7700 |
| Two Recipiens | 67 | $\bigcirc$ | 67 | 1635 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 207 | 700 | 467 | 3400 |
| Threc or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 153 | 3 | 467 |
| Total | 7300 | 4100 | 1433 | 34667 | 1000 | 867 | +400 | 21567 | 9100 | 23500 | 108433 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S0-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 167 | 1367 | 67 | 63 | 100 | 0 | 1033 | 100 | 700 | 633 | 4800 |
| Twa Recipients | 133 | 600 | 33 | 300 | 33 | 67 | 467 | 0 | 333 | 367 | 2333 |
| Three or more Recipients | 67 | 233 | 33 | $33 ;$ | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 133 | 933 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 167 | 800 | 100 | 935 | 100 | 0 | 467 | 33 | 500 | 200 | 3300 |
| Two Recipients | 200 | 733 | 33 | 200 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 267 | 67 | 1667 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 33 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 667 |
| $60-94$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 400 | 200 | 435 | 0 | 67 | 133 | 67 | 333 | 133 | 2100 |
| Two Recipients | 23 | 300 | 267 | 133 | 67 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 267 | 133 | 1433 |
| Three or more Recipients | 33 | 133 | 0 | 133 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 167 | 33 | 633 |
| 69-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 167 | 0 | 67 | 563 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 100 | 233 | 1533 |
| Two Recipients | 133 | 67 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 33 | 67 | :00 | 67 | 200 | 1067 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 233 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 200 | 67 | 167 | 1300 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 67 | 133 | 600 |
| Three or more Recipiencs | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 167 | 67 | 67 | 633 |
| Two Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 267 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | $\bigcirc$ | 33 |
| s0.8-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | $16 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 67 | 333 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 167 |
| Threc or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 167 |
| Twa Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 200 |
| Three of more Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Total | 173] | 4800 | 300 | 6100 | 533 | 267 | 2633 | 1800 | 3167 | 2867 | 24700 |
| Total Women | 84900 | 9633 | 7767 | 76:00 | 23000 | 2633 | 32967 | 34933 | 68467 | 55633 | 479133 |



Number and type of income sources of persons aged 50 and over living in four-or more person
households in Canada in 1991. by age, sex, marital status and oumber of household income Recipients

|  | Income | Single source |  |  |  | Multiple sources |  |  |  |  | Tota! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Labour | Pension | State | Other | Labout Pension | Labour * State | State * <br> Pension | Othet 2 | Three or more |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onc Recipient | 0 | 133 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 300 | 0 | 100 | 233 | 833 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 07 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| Thrce or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 133 | 167 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 100 | 233 | 300 | 1400 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 200 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 133 |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 233 | 33 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 100 | 267 | 200 | 1267 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 33 | 67 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 100 | 33 | 67 | 567 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 200 |
| 65.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 33 | 0 | 567 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 400 | 133 | 367 | 1767 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 3 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 233 | 0 | 267 | 1133 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 67 | 233 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 633 | 33 | 600 | 1667 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 33 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 67 | 367 | 1400 |
| Three or more Recipiens | 33 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 33 | 23.3 |
| 75-79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 133 | 0 | 33 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 1000 | 233 | 400 | 2367 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 133 | 33 | 500 | 1100 |
| Three or more Reeipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 67 | 207 |
| 80.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 33 | 0 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 667 | 200 | 667 | 2367 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 67 | 400 | 1267 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 133 |
| 85- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1167 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 467 | 333 | 333 | 2333 |
| Two Recipients | 100 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 167 | 100 | 300 | 1033 |
| Three or more Recipiénts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 67 |
| Total | 833 | 833 | 200 | 5767 | 0 | 100 | 1733 | 5567 | 1967 | 5233 | 32333 |
| Divorced/Separated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 200 | 867 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 667 | 67 | 567 | 133 | 3000 |
| Two Recipients | 33 | 431 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 833 |
| Three of more Recipients | 67 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 267 |
| 55-59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 100 | 733 | 133 | 367 | 33 | 33 | 433 | 33 | 233 | 167 | 2267 |
| Two Recipients | 67 | 33 | 0 | 167 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 100 | 867 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 267 |
| $60-84$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 67 | 267 | 133 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 67 | 200 | 233 | 1400 |
| Two Recipients | 3 | 233 | 13) | 100 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 13 | 133 | 0 | 733 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 133 |
| 65.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 07 | 0 | 33 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 267 | 0 | 333 | 1000 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 233 | 0 | 67 | 467 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 70.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 33 | 300 | 733 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 33 | 67 | 367 |
| Threc or morc Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 67 |
| 75.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onc Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 67 | 100 | 433 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 200 |
| Three or more Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 80.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 33 | 167 | 467 |
| Two Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 167 |
| Three or mote Recipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One Recipient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 100 | 300 |
| Two Recipients | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Three or more Reeipients | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 067 | 3067 | 467 | 2467 | 133 | 67 | 1733 | 1800 | 1633 | 2067 | 14100 |
| Total Men | 18300 | 104100 | 9367 | 41633 | 6600 | 5300 | 133067 | 37667 | 69000 | 132000 | 557033 |
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[^0]:    1. Through its project the "Dynamics of Population Ageing", in which the participating countries are Bulgaria, Canada. Czcch Republic, Estonia. Finland. Hungary. Latvia, Lithuania, Romania, Russia, Switzerland. Turkey. United Kingdom and United States.
[^1]:    2. For a detailed description of the database used in the present study, see the Technical Annex.
[^2]:    I. For the purpose of comparison between the different counlries taking part in the PAU project, the data used in this chapter come from intemational organisations such as the United Nations or the World Bank. For some analyses, national data have been preferred to international ones because, in the authors' view, they better reflect reality in Canada.
    2. $\ln 1959$, the total fertility rate was 4.0 children per woman. $\ln 1991$, it was well below the replacement level, around 1.6 , and this had been the case for around two decades.

[^3]:    3. Projections made by Statistics Canada use a lower total fertility rate ( 1.7 children per woman) in their median scenario than the one used in the United Nations projections ( 2.1 children per woman).
[^4]:    5. There is a single exception, Québec, a province which administrates its own programme - The Québec Pension Plan (QPP).
[^5]:    I. Refers to a person or group of persons (oller than foreign residents), who occupied the same dwelling and do not have a usual place of residenec elscwhere in Canada. It may consist of a family group (census tamily) with or without other non-family persons, two or more lamilies sharing a dwelling, a group of unrelated persons, or one person living alone (Statistics Canada, 1992).

[^6]:    2. On this subject, see the Technical Annex.
[^7]:    3. Many studies have for example shown that the thorough care is provided hy spouses and then by children (Shamas, 1979: Chappell. 1990), the one provided by more distant fanzily, triends and neighbours being less.
[^8]:    4. The data used in this section come from special tabulations prepared by Statistics Canada for a sludy on Canadian population living in collective households (Smith, 1996).
[^9]:    5. In 1991, nearly six per cent of the aged population in Canada could not rely on an informal support system (Martel, 1998).
[^10]:    I. The 1991 Canadian Census has among active individuals a category "other" which includes individuals who were part of the employed population bul were lemporarily absent from work, for health reasons or occupational training for example. In the present analysis, these individuals, who can be found in the standard PAU tables for Canada in the Appendix, have been distributed proportionately between "full-time" and "part-time".

[^11]:    1. See Chapter Two for a more detailed description of the latter three sources of income.
[^12]:    I. Refers to a set of living quarters in which a person or group of persons resides or could reside (Statistics Canada, 1992).

[^13]:    2. Refers to the number of rooms in a dwelling. A room is an enclosed area which is finished and can be lived in throughoul the year (Statistics Canada, 1992).
[^14]:    1. An Economic Family is composed of individuals of the same household who are related to each other by blood, marriage, adoption or common law. (Statistics Canada, 1992).
    2. The Census Family concept is directly linked to the Nuclear Family concept. Such a family can be composed of a couple with or without unmarried children or of a single parent with unmarried children. Children can be related to their parent(s) through blood, alliance or adoption. (Statistics Canada, 1992).
[^15]:    3. Note that weights were calculated only for individuals aged 50 and over.
