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## FOREWORD

This report contains Norms and tables derived from the second consecutive administration of the Metropolitan Achievement Test Battery to pupils in selected grades in schools in the Northwest Territories during November 1966.

The tables contained on this report should help principals, teachers and superintendents add a degree of objectivity and northern relevance to the measurement of the achievement of the pupils in their care.

A project of this size and complexity is successful because of the full cooperation of the superintendents, principals and teachers it is designed to help.

Handing such a large mass of data is possible only by using the most advanced Electronic Data Processing equipment. Our thanks are due to Mr. Macdonald the project co-ordinator, Mr. A.H. Netherton, M. A. (Axon) of the Computer Information Systems Division of the Department, who designed the computer program and advised on the statistical analysis of the data and to Mr. P.E. Elmhurst who also worked on the computer program.

D. W. Simpson, Chief, Education Division
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## INTRODUCTION

During November 1966, 1,788 pupils in Grades II, IV AND VI in the schools in the Northwest Territories were tested using the Metropolitan Achievement Test Battery. From the results of this testing project a series of stanine tables have been calculated.

## NOTE ON NORMS

Measurements of behavioural characteristics (in this case "achievement") lack both an absolute zero (there is not likely to be NO achievement) and any guarantee that the units are equal throughout the range of the scale. For example, a gain of from 30 to 40 items correct on a test does not necessarily reflect the same change in underlying performance ability as does an increase in score from 90 to 100 items correct on the same test. Because of these conditions, the test score achieved by any one person has no meaning until it is interpreted in terms of the performance of other individuals. These "other individuals" should have characteristics similar to those of the tested person and it is for the purpose of this type of comparison with an appropriate group that these tables have been produced. Remember that it is also appropriate to compare an individual's score not only with that of a group to which he already belongs but with that of a group to which he may aspire.

## STANINES

The norms are reported on a nine-point scale called a stanine scale, with the approximate percentage of the total norm group in each stanine as follows:

| Stanine |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |

Percentage of Cases
Lowest
$\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$
7
12
17
17
20
20
17
12
7
4 Highest

Technically, stanines are normalized standard scores having a mean of five and a standard deviation of two (almost). The stanine scale is a nine-point scale extending along the baseline of a normal distribution, with stanine five centred on the mean. Each stanine is one-half a standard deviation in width, except that stanine one includes all cases below a standard score of -1.75 , and stanine nine includes all cases above a standard score of $+1.75$.

Notes on the method of calculating stanines are published in Curriculum News Vol. 5 No. 1, January 1967. This publication should be available in the school or can be obtained from the Curriculum Section.

## PROJECT DESIGN

## AIMS

The purposes of this aspect of the testing program are:
(1) To give teachers a diagnostic tool to aid in their teaching.
(2) To establish a pattern of regular evaluation of the achievement of pupils in the schools in N.w.T.
(3) To give teachers and supervisors a standard for comparisons of levels of achievement of pupils throughout the Territories and in the two Districts.

This report is an aid to fulfilling the last of the above objectives. Standard comparisons are contained in the tables of norms which are reported for administrative regions in stanine form, by sub-test, grade and by ethnic origin and for a group of Eskimo pupils in selected schools.

These norms can be used to compare pupils' performance on the Metropolitan Achievement Test Battery with the performance of pupils of similar ethnic origin, geographic location, and grade. They are a supplement to the publisher's norms
NOTE: The results of the tests may encourage speculation on the causes of differences. Great care should be taken to ensure that this speculation does not result in ill-founded conclusions. The results may suggest hypothesis which may be tested later.

## BACKGROUND

This report and set of tables is not a technical report of a formal norming study. It is a summary of the results of an administration of the Metropolitan Achievement Test Battery to all pupils in selected grades in the schools in
the Northwest Territories.

This is the second consecutive year a large-scale testing program has been conducted in the schools in the Northwest Territories. Several significant changes in the design of the project were made as a result of last year's experience.

1) Raw score norms have been calculated.
2) The tests were administered only to Grades II,IV AND VI.
3) An age cut-off was established for each grade
4) The minimum size of norm group was changed from 100 to 70 pupils.
5) It was found that the differences between the average scores of pupils of different ethnic origin made norm groups containing all of the pupils (general norms) meaningless, and these are discontinued.
6) An analysis was made of a group of schools selected because they are of similar size (six rooms or less) and have similar, largely Eskimo populations

## ANALYSIS

The scores made by each pupil on each sub-test were coded in the Education Division and punched on computer cards by Dominion Bureau of Statistics. The computer programming was done by Mr. A.H. Netherton, M.A. (Oxon) of the Computer Information System Division of this Department. Mr. Netherton was assisted by Mr. P.E. Elmhurst.

It was agreed at a meeting of senior Education officers of the Department that the analysis of this data would be more meaningful if an age grade cut-of $f$ was applied. This was done and the scores of pupils who were older than the following age limits were not included in the first part of the analysis:

Grade II - 11 years;
Grade IV - 13 years;
Grade VI - 15 years.

Frequency distributions were produced. That is, a count was made of the number of pupils making each score on each sub-test, in each of the selected grades and ethnic origins, in the Northwest Territories as a whole and for the Districts and Regions.

The distribution of scores on each sub-test was tested for skewness and kurtosis and all distributions which appeared non-normal were noted. A distribution of pupils by age group was made and average scores were calculated for each age group.
The scores made by pupils in 1966 were compared with the scores made by pupils of similar ethnic origin, region and grade in 1965.

A comparison was made between the mean scores of different ethnic groups in each grade and Region on each sub-test.

To make these comparisons of mean scores, the appropriate "t" test statistical technique was used and the five per cent level of confidence was accepted as significant. (Such differences may be expected to happen by chance only once in 20 times.)

On the basis of this preliminary analysis it was decided to calculate stanines and average scores on the sub-test from the 1966 Raw Scores of pupils on each of the sub-tests for each of the sub-groups.

## Small Schools

Because there are some unique aspects of education associated with small schools in the North it was decided to analyse the results of this group separately. As an experiment, schools having no more than six rooms and having a population almost all Eskimo were selected. It was hypothesized that pupils in these schools would produce similar results regardless of their geographic location; that the average score of the group containing all Eskimos in the Northwest Territories, and similarly the component "small school" sample from the two Districts, would be different from the "all Eskimo" sample for the two Districts.

The mean scores were compared using the " t " test of the significance of the differences. The following table summarizes the analysis:

## Comparison of Mean Scores

$\frac{\text { Small Schools vs Other Schools }}{\text { Eskimo - MACKENZ IE }}$
Eskimo - MACKENZ IE

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Small } \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Other | Ns | No | 't' | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sig. } \\ \text { Level } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word Knowledge | 18.5 | 22.3 | 50 | 46 | 3.212 | . 01 |
| Word Discrimination | 25.8 | 30.9 | 50 | 46 | 5.421 | . 01 |
| Reading: Sentences | 24.0 | 30.7 | 49 | 46 | 3.462 | . 01 |
| Reading: Stories | 19.5 | 24.8 | 44 | 46 | 4.586 | . 01 |
| Spelling | 16.2 | 19.3 | 49 | 43 | 2.797 | . 01 |
| Arithmetic Part A | 21.8 | 27.9 | 49 | 46 | 5.127 | . 01 |
| Arithmetic Part B | 38.0 | 45.9 | 49 | 46 | 3.622 | . 01 |

## GRADE IV

| Word Knowledge | 22.7 | 28.4 | 44 | 65 | 4.416 | .01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Word Discrimination | 20.8 | 25.2 | 44 | 65 | 3.696 | .01 |
| Reading | 18.0 | 22.9 | 44 | 65 | 4.198 | .01 |
| Spelling | 27.3 | 33.7 | 44 | 65 | 3.869 | .01 |
| Language Part A | 14.5 | 19.2 | 43 | 65 | 4.291 | .01 |
| Language Part B | 23.8 | 27.8 | 45 | 65 | 3.328 | .01 |
| Total (A+B) | 12.5 | 15.0 | 45 | 65 | 3.265 | .01 |
| Arithmetic Computation | 21.5 | 26.0 | 45 | 65 | 5.501 | .01 |
| Arithmetic Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solving and Concepts | 34.0 | 41.0 | 45 | 65 | 5.299 | .01 |

It should be noted that in this comparison no age cut-off was applied. There was no statistical differences between groups in grade VI. Based on this analysis stanine tables were produced for the small school samples for Grades II and IV only. It is recommended that Eskimo pupils in small schools in both Districts be compared with this group.

Comparison of Mean Scores
Small Schools vs. Other Schools Eskimo - ARCTIC

GRADE II

|  | Mean <br> Small | Mean <br> Other | Ns | No | 't', | Sig. <br> Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Word Knowledge | 11.9 | 8.8 | 233 | 89 | 4.689 | .01 |
| Word Discrimination | 20.2 | 15.8 | 238 | 91 | 4.739 | .01 |
| Reading: Sentences | 16.0 | 13.7 | 233 | 92 | 2.470 | .05 |
| Reading: Stories | 14.8 | 9.5 | 230 | 90 | 5.618 | .01 |
| Spelling | 19.9 | 14.9 | 209 | 92 | 5.628 | .01 |
| Arithetic Part A | 20.7 | 15.2 | 220 | 92 | 5.605 | .01 |
| Arithmetic Part B | 39.1 | 30.1 | 237 | 92 | 5.222 | .01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| GRADE IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Word Knowledge | 18.9 | 18.1 | 184 | 54 | 0.821 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Word Discrimination | 15.6 | 16.0 | 184 | 54 | 0.463 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 16.3 | 17.0 | 183 | 54 | 0.791 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spelling | 25.1 | 24.2 | 183 | 53 | 0.601 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Language Part A | 14.4 | 13.8 | 182 | 53 | 0.617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Language Part B | 28.1 | 24.7 | 182 | 53 | 2.750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (A+B) | 12.5 | 12.1 | 181 | 53 | 0.664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arithmetic Computation | 20.9 | 21.4 | 181 | 53 | 0.528 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arithmetic Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solving and Concepts | 32.9 | 33.1 | 181 | 53 | 0.185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## POPULATION:

The population for which these tables is applicable is defined as all pupils who are within three years of the usual age for Grade in Grades II, IV and VI in the schools in the Northwest Territories.

The special small school population is defined as all Eskimo pupils in Grades II, IV and VI in schools having six rooms or less in the Northwest Territories.

Examination of the tables of age distributions included in this report indicate that the range of ages in any one grade in the Northwest Territories is larger than that usually found in corresponding grades in southern Canada. This very often is not due to lack of achievement on the part of the children but is caused by factors beyond their control such as the relative recency of the establishment of schools and irregular attendance caused by the cultural environment. Teachers are in the best position to judge the appropriateness of comparing the scores on the tests of over-age pupils with those of pupils whose age is within the limits set in this study. Note again that the small school sample has no age limit applied.

Seventy-three per cent of the Mackenzie District schools and eighty-three per cent of the Arctic District schools are represented. (See map).

The failure to send in results by four of the participating schools in the Mackenzie District has seriously reduced the effectiveness of the analysis of the data for that District.


Despite the reduced returns from the Mackenzie, norms will be reported for the regions and districts where there are sufficient numbers of pupils.

Number in Profect

Northwest Territories

| Grade |  | Actual September 30 |  | Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Percentage |
| :---: |
| II |

Analysis of the distribution of scores on the sub-tests indicate that the "general" group is not normally distributed. The comparisons of the mean scores of the different ethnic groups indicate significant differences, For these reasons, it has been decided not to report "general" norms as was done last year but to continue to report "Ethnic" norms.

1966-67 Stanines are Available for the following groups:

| Norm Groups | Ethnic Origin | Grades |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northwest Territories | Indian | II, IV, VI |
|  | Eskimo | II, IV, VI |
|  | White | II, IV, VI |
|  |  | Eskimo |
| Arctic District | Eskimo | II, IV |
| Arctic Quebec Region | Eskimo | II |
| Frobisher Bay Region | Eskimo | IV |
| Keewatin Region | Eskimo | II, IV |
| Mackenzie District | Indian | II, IV, VI |
|  | White | II, IV, VI |
|  | Eskimo | IV |
| Inuvik Region | Indian | II, IV |
| Fort Smith Region | Eskimo | II, IV |

## DATE OF TESTING

The testing was carried out between November 1 and November 30, 1966. The norms are applicable only to test results obtained during this period.

## ADMINISTRATION

The Test Batteries were administered to the following grades:

| Grade II | Primary I I Battery |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade IV | Elementary Battery |
| Grade VI | Intermediate Battery - Partial |

## STANDARD IZATION

For the following reasons it was decided to ask the teacher to be involved in the administration and scoring of the tests:
(1) Teachers have the necessary rapport with the pupils to create a natural and relaxed testing situation.
(2) The battery of tests are primarily of use in the classroom situation. Having administered and scored the tests, the teachers are made aware of areas requiring remedial work.
(3) Because of the vast distances involved, it is impractical for a team of testers to travel from school to school to administer the tests.

In trying to assure that the administration of the tests was standardized, the Testing and Evaluation Specialist of the Education Division had meetings with the district and regional superintendents of schools, the principals-at-large, teachers-at-large and with many of the principals of the schools. At these meetings, a common method of administration was agreed upon and everyone understood the importance of adhering to sound

## (3) continued

testing practices. The classroom administration and scoring was under the supervision of the principals of the larger schools. Whenever possible either the testing and evaluation specialist, a principal-at-large or a teacher-at-large was available to assist and supervise the administration and scoring of the tests in the smaller schools.

A five per cent sample of the test papers was checked and the scoring found to be satisfactory.

## HOW TO USE THE NORMS

It is assumed on the basis of last year's analysis, that the small differences observed between the average scores of boys and girls on the Test Battery are not significant and therefore both sexes should be referred to the same set of norms.

The choice of an appropriate group with which to compare a pupil's score can only be done by the teachers, principals and superintendents who know the background, aspirations, age in relation to grade, number of years in school and other pertinent data which will affect the pupils' future. For example: it may be useful for some purposes to compare the score of an Indian or Eskimo pupil with the Norms of the sample of White pupils.

* Note
* This year the norms are calculated from the Raw Scores on the tests.
* Teachers simply score the tests, record the scores and consult the appropriate * * table in this publication.
* 


## Here are some examples.

I. Simonie - Age 15 - Eskimo - Grade IV - Sir Alexander Mackenzie School, Inuvik - Reading Score 19.

The choice of an appropriate norm group for Simonie is difficult and can best be done by his teacher or principal. Sir Alexander Mackenzie School is a large school, Simonie is above the age-grade cut-off applied to the norms in this report. It is possible that Simonie's home is in a small community on the coast. It could well be that the "small school" norm tables (there was no age-cut-off applied) would be the most appropriate in this case. The small school norms are on Page 46 and Simonie is in stanine 6. when compared to Eskimo pupils in the Inuvik Region Simonie is in stanine
hen compared to Eskimo pupils in the Northwest Territories Simonie is in stanine
II. John - Age 15 - Indian Grade VI - Thomas Simpson School Reading Score 20.
The teacher may consider that the most appropriate group with which to
compare John's score is "NWT Indian" - the table appears on Page $\qquad$ John scores in the 5 th stanine.
It may be known that John plans to attend secondary school and for this purpose it may be informative to compare his score with White pupils in the Northwest Territories - John places in the _ 3 stanine.
III. Davidee - Age 10 - Eskimo Grade II - Whale Cove School -

Reading Score 18.
Since Whale Cove is a small school, the teacher would probably wish to compare Davidee's score with other Eskimo pupils in small schools. The table of norms is on Page $\quad 45$.
A score of 18 places Davidee in the 5 th stanine in this group.



N.W.T.

INDIAN
GRADE 2

## STANINES CORRESPONDING TO RAW SCORES

N.W.T.

INDIAN
GRACE 4

| STA- | WORO | WORO | KEAC- | SPELL- | ARITHMETIC |  | LANGUAGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NINE | KNOW. | DISC. | ING | ING | CONCEPT | COMPUT. | A | $B$ | TOTAL |
| 9 | $-36$ | -34 | -32 | -41 | $-27$ | -37 | $-19$ | $-30$ | $-48$ |
| 8 | 35-32 | 33-31 | 31-30 | 40-39 | 26-25 | 36-35 | 13-18 | 29-28 | 47-43 |
| 7 | 31-28 | 30-28 | 29-27 | 38-37 | 24-22 | 34-33 | 17-17 | 27-26 | 42-39 |
| 6 | 27-24 | 27-23 | 26-22 | 36-32 | 21-18 | 32-30 | 16-14 | 25-24 | 38-37 |
| 5 | 23-21 | 22-19 | 21-18 | 31-24 | 17-15 | 29-26 | 13-12 | 23-22 | 36-34 |
| 4 | 20-18 | 18-15 | 17-15 | 23-18 | 14-12 | 25-23 | 11-10 | 21-19 | 33-31 |
| 3 | 17-1t | 14-13 | 14-13 | 17-12 | 11-10 | 22-18 | 9-9 | 18-17 | 30-27 |
| 2 | 15-11 | 12-9 | 12-11 | 11-8 | 9-7 | 17-13 | 8-8 | 16-11 | 26-20 |
| 1 | 10- | 8- | 10- | $7-$ | $6-$ | 12- | 7- | $10-$ | $19-$ |

STANINES CORRESPONDING TO RAW SCORES
N.W.T.

INCIAN
GRADE 6 N.W.I. INDIAN NORMS
NOVEMBER 1966

| STA- | WORD | READ- | SPELL- |  | LANGUAGE |  |  |  | LANG. | ARITHMETIC |  | SOCIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NINE | KNOW. | ING | ING | A | B |  | C | TOTAL | STUDY | CONPT | COMP. | STUDY |
| 9 | -44 | -36 | - 52 | -28 | - | 9 | -33 | -65 | -22 | -34 | -34 | -21 |
| 8 | 43-39 | 35-32 | 51-50 | 27-27 |  | 8 | 32-31 | 64-63 | 21-19 | 33-32 | 33-31 | 20-19 |
| 7 | 38-34 | 31-28 | 49-46 | 26-25 |  | 7 | 30-29 | 62-57 | 18-17 | 31-29 | 30-28 | 18-17 |
| 6 | 33-30 | 27-24 | 45-40 | 24-22 |  | 6 | 28-26 | 56-52 | 16-16 | 28-26 | 27-26 | 16-16 |
| 5 | 29-27 | 23-21 | 39-37 | 21-20 |  | 5 | 25-24 | 51-49 | 15-13 | 25-23 | 25-23 | 15-14 |
| 4 | 26-22 | 20-19 | 36-33 | 19-18 | 4- | 4 | 23-22 | 48-45 | 12-11 | 22-19 | 22-19 | 13-12 |
| 3 | 21-18 | 18-17 | 32-29 | 17-16 | 3- | 3 | 21-20 | 44-40 | 10-9 | 18-15 | 18-14 | 11-11 |
| 2 | 17-12 | 16-15 | 28-23 | 15-14 | 2- | 2 | 19-17 | 39-32 | $8-5$ | 14-13 | 13-11 | 10-10 |
| 1 | 11- | 14- | 22- | $13-$ | 1- |  | $16-$ | $31-$ | 4- | 12- | 10- | $9-$ |

$N \cdot W \cdot T$.
INDIAN
GRADE 6

| Stanines corresponding to raw scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.W.T. } \\ & \text { WHITE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STA- } \\ & \text { NINE } \end{aligned}$ | WORD <br> KNOW. | WURD <br> DISC. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | SPELL- <br> I NG | ARITH. CONCEPT | ARITH. <br> cumputation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARITH. } \\ & \text { TUTAL } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| s | -33 | -35 | -46 | -30 | $-38$ | -25 | -60 |  |
| 8 | 32-30 | 34-34 | 45-43 | 29-28 | 37-36 | 24-22 | 59-57 |  |
| 7 | 29-26 | 33-32 | 42-36 | 27-24 | 35-33 | 21-19 | 56-52 |  |
| 6 | 25-20 | 31-30 | 35-27 | 23-20 | 32-30 | 18-17 | 51-46 |  |
| 5 | 19-14 | 29-26 | 26-20 | 19-16 | 29-25 | 16-14 | 45-39 |  |
| 4 | 13-11 | 25-22 | 19-14 | 15-11 | 24-20 | 13-10 | 38-31 |  |
| 3 | 10-7 | 21-17 | 13-9 | 10-7 | 19-17 | 9-8 | 30-25 |  |
| 2 | 6-4 | 16-11 | 8-4 | 6-3 | 16-13 | 7-4 | 24-17 |  |
| 1 | 3- | 10- | 3- | $2-$ | 12- | 3- | 16- |  |

STANINES CORRESPONDING TO RAW SCORES

N.W.T.

WHITE
GRADE \&

| STANINES CORRESPONOING TO RAN SCORES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N•H.T. } \\ & \text { WHITE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRADE | 6 |  | N.W.T. |  |  | WHITE | NORMS |  | NOVEMBER |  |  |
| STA- | WORC | REAC- | SPELL- |  | LANGUAGE |  |  | LANG. | ARITHMETIC |  | SOCIAL |
| NINE | KNOW. | ING | ING | A | $B$ | $C$ | TUIAL | STUOY | COnPT. | CUMP. | STUDY |
| 5 | $-53$ | -42 | -52 | -31 | -11 | $-34$ | -69 | -24 | $-43$ | $-37$ | $-28$ |
| $\varepsilon$ | 52-52 | 41-40 | 51-50 | 30-29 | 10-10 | 33-32 | 68-67 | 23-23 | 42-39 | 36-34 | 25-24 |
| 7 | 51-50 | 39-38 | 49-48 | 28-27 | 9-9 | 31-31 | $66-62$ | 22-21 | 38-36 | 33-32 | 23-21 |
| $\epsilon$ | 49-45 | 37-34 | 47-45 | 26-25 | 8-8 | 30-28 | 61-59 | 20-19 | $35-32$ | 31-29 | 20-19 |
| 5 | 44-37 | 33-29 | 44-40 | 24-2.3 | 7-6 | $27-26$ | 58-54 | 18-17 | 31-28 | 28-26 | 18-17 |
| 4 | 36-31 | 28-24 | 35-34 | 22-21 | 5-5 | 25-24 | 53-50 | 16-14 | 21-24 | 25-21 | 16-15 |
| 3 | $30-25$ | 23-20 | 33-28 | 20-18 | $4-4$ | 23-22 | 49-45 | 13-11 | 23-19 | 20-17 | 14-13 |
| 2 | 24-15 | $19-15$ | 27-24 | 17-16 | $3-2$ | 21-20 | 44-37 | 10-9 | 18-17 | 16-15 | 12-11 |
| 1 | 14- | $14-$ | $23-$ | 15- | $1-$ | 19- | $36-$ | $8-$ | 16 | $14-$ | 10- |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARCTIC Q. } \\ & \text { ESKINO } \\ & \text { GRADE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ARCTIC Q. | ESKIMO | RMS |  | NUVEMBER 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STA- } \\ & \text { MINE } \end{aligned}$ | WORD <br> KNOW. | WORD <br> DISC. | REAO- <br> ING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | ARITH. CUNCEPT | ARIIH. COMPUTATION | ARITH. <br> total |
| S | -19 | -32 | -28 | -27 | -36 | -29 | -6.5 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 18-17 | 31-29 | 27-24 | 20-25 | 35-33 | 28-27 | 64-58 |
| 7 | 16-14 | 28-20 | 23-20 | 24-21 | 32-29 | 26-25 | 57-51 |
| $t$ | 13-12 | 25-22 | 19-18 | 20-16 | 28-24 | 24-22 | 50-46 |
| 5 | 11-10 | 21-18 | 17-14 | 15-12 | 23-15 | 21-18 | 45-34 |
| 4 | $9-7$ | 17-15 | 13-11 | 11-7 | 14-12 | 17-13 | 33-24 |
| 3 | 6-5 | 14-13 | 10-8 | 6-4 | 11-10 | 12-4 | 23-18 |
| 2 | 4-2 | 12-10 | 7-6 | 3-3 | 9-9 | 8-4 | 17-15 |
| 1 | 1- | $9-$ | $5-$ | $2-$ | 8- | 3- | $14-$ |

ARCTIC 0.
ESKIMO
GRADE 2


STANINES CORRESPUNDING TO RAW SCORES
FRGB ISHER
ESKIMO

| GRAD | 2 |  | FROEISHER | ESKIMO | NORMS |  | NOVEMBER 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STA- } \\ & \text { NINE } \end{aligned}$ | WURD <br> KNUW. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WOKD } \\ & \text { DISC. } \end{aligned}$ | READ- <br> ING | SPELL- <br> I NG | ARITH. CONCEPT | ARITH. COMPUTATION | ARITH. total |
| 9 | -22 | -34 | -26 | -28 | -32 | -28 | -57 |
| 8 | 21-19 | 33-31 | 25-23 | 27-23 | 31-29 | 27-26 | 56-52 |
| 7 | 18-16 | 30-26 | 22-20 | 22-19 | 28-26 | 25-24 | 51-47 |
| 6 | 15-12 | 25-21 | 19-16 | 18-13 | 25-21 | 23-20 | 46-39 |
| 5 | 11-10 | 20-16 | 15-12 | 12-9 | 20-16 | 19-16 | 38-32 |
| 4 | 9-6 | 15-11 | 11-9 | 8-5 | 15-13 | 15-12 | 31-23 |
| 3 | 5-3 | 10-t | 8-5 | 4-2 | 12-10 | 11-9 | 22-21 |
| 2 | $2-2$ | 5-2 | 4-3 | 1-2 | 9-7 | 8-7 | 20-14 |
| 1 | 1- | $1-$ | 2- | 1- | 6- | $6-$ | $13-$ |

FROB ISHER
ESKIMO
GRADE 2


| Stanines corresponding to raw scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MACKENZIE ESKIMC GRADE 4 |  |  | Mackenzie |  | ESKIMO NORMS |  |  |  | NOVEMBER | 1966 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STA- | WORD | WURD | READ- | SPELL- |  |  | ARITHiM | METIC. |  | LANGUAGE |  |  |
| NINE | KNOW. | DISC. | ING | ING | CONCEPT | comput. | A | B | total |  |
| ¢ | -39 | -33 | -34 | -41 | -28 | $-37$ | -22 | -32 | -52 |  |
| 8 | 38-36 | 32-32 | 33-30 | 40-40 | 27-25 | 36-34 | 21-21 | 31-30 | 51-49 |  |
| 7 | 35-32 | 31-30 | 29-27 | 39-39 | 24-23 | 33-31 | 20-19 | 29-28 | 48-46 |  |
| $\epsilon$ | 31-29 | 29-27 | 26-24 | 38-37 | 22-20 | 30-29 | 18-17 | 27-26 | 45-42 |  |
| 5 | 28-26 | 26-24 | 23-21 | 36-34 | 19-16 | 28-20 | 16-14 | 25-24 | 41-38 |  |
| 4 | 25-22 | 23-20 | 20-18 | 33-30 | 15-14 | 25-23 | 13-12 | 23-22 | 37-35 |  |
| 3 | 21-20 | 19-17 | 17-15 | 29-23 | 13-11 | 22-19 | 11-9 | 21-20 | 34-31 |  |
| 2 | 19-17 | 16-14 | 14-11 | 22-17 | 10-8 | 18-17 | $8-7$ | 19-17 | 30-26 |  |
| 1 | 16- | 13- | $10-$ | 16- | $7-$ | 16- | 0- | 16- | 25- |  |

MACKENZIE
ESKIMO
GRADE 4

SIANINES CORRESPONOING TO RAW SCORES

MACKENZIF
INCIAN
GRADE 2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIA- } \\ & \text { NINE } \end{aligned}$ | WORD <br> KNOW. | WURO <br> UISC. | READING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | ARITH. CONCEPT | AKITH. <br> cumputation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARITH. } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | -26 | -34 | -39 | -28 | -37 | -26 | -60 |
| 8 | 25-22 | 33-33 | 38-34 | 27-26 | 36-35 | 25-23 | 59-54 |
| 7 | 21-19 | 32-30 | 33-27 | 25-22 | 34-31 | 22-20 | 53-47 |
| 6 | 18-16 | 29-26 | 26-21 | 21-17 | 30-27 | 19-17 | 46-42 |
| 5 | 15-13 | 25-22 | 20-16 | 16-13 | 26-24 | 16-13 | 41-37 |
| 4 | 12-10 | 21-17 | 15-12 | 12-9 | 23-20 | 12-10 | 36-31 |
| 3 | 9-8 | 16-14 | 11-8 | 8-6 | 19-15 | 9-8 | 30-22 |
| 2 | 7-6 | 13-11 | 7-6 | 5-4 | 14-13 | 7-6 | 21-18 |
| 1 | $5-$ | 10- | 5- | 3- | 12- | $5-$ | 17- |


| STANINES CORRESPONDING TO RAW SCORES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mackenzie INCIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRADE | 4 |  |  | CKENZIt | INDIAN | NORMS |  |  | NOVEMBER | 1966 |
| STA- | WCRD | WGRD | READ- | SPELL- | AR I THM | METIC |  | language |  |  |
| NINE | KNOW. | DISC. | ING | ING | CONCEPT | comput. | A | B | TOTAL |  |
| S | -37 | -34 | -32 | -41 | -27 | -37 | -19 | -30 | -48 |  |
| 8 | 36-33 | 33-31 | 31-30 | 40-39 | 26-25 | 3t-35 | 18-18 | 29-28 | 47-43 |  |
| 7 | 32-29 | 30-28 | 29-27 | 38-37 | 24-22 | 34-33 | 17-17 | 27-26 | 42-39 |  |
| 6 | 28-25 | 27-23 | 26-23 | 36-33 | 21-18 | 32-30 | 10-14 | 25-24 | 38-37 |  |
| 5 | 24-21 | 22-19 | 22-19 | 32-25 | 17-15 | 29-26 | 13-12 | 23-22 | 36-34 |  |
| 4 | 20-18 | 18-15 | 18-15 | 24-18 | 14-12 | 25-23 | 11-10 | 21-19 | 33-31 |  |
| 3 | 17-16 | 14-13 | 14-13 | 17-12 | 11-9 | 22-18 | 9-9 | 18-17 | 30-28 |  |
| 2 | 15-11 | 12-9 | 12-11 | 11-8 | 8-7 | 17-13 | $8-8$ | 16-11 | 27-20 |  |
| 1 | 10- | 8- | 10- | 7- | $6-$ | 12- | $7-$ | 10- | $17-$ |  |

MACKENZIE
INDIAN GRAOE 4


| STANINES CORRESPONDING TO RAW SGORES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MACKENZIE <br> WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRA |  |  | MACKENLIE WH |  | NORMS |  | NOVEMBER | 1966 |
| Sta- | WORD | WORD | READ- | SPELL- | ARITH. | ARITH. | ARITH. |  |
| NINE | KNOW. | DISC. | ING | ING | CONCEPT | COMPUTATIUN | total |  |
| s | -33 | -35 | -46 | -30 | -39 | -25 | -60 |  |
| 8 | 32-30 | 34-34 | 45-43 | 29-29 | 38-36 | 24-22 | 59-56 |  |
| 7 | 29-26 | 33-32 | 42-36 | 28-25 | 35-33 | 21-19 | 55-51 |  |
| $t$ | 25-20 | 31-29 | 35-27 | 24-20 | 32-30 | 18-17 | 50-45 |  |
| 5 | 19-14 | 28-25 | 26-19 | 19-16 | 29-25 | 16-14 | 44-38 |  |
| 4 | 13-11 | 24-21 | 18-13 | 15-11 | 24-20 | 13-10 | 37-31 |  |
| 3 | 10-7 | 20-17 | 12-8 | 10-7 | 19-17 | 9-8 | 30-25 |  |
| 2 | 6-4 | 16-11 | 7-4 | 6-3 | 16-13 | 7-4 | 24-17 |  |
| 1 | 3- | 10- | $3-$ | $2-$ | 12- | $3-$ | 16- |  |

mackenzie
WHITE
gRADE 2

| Stanines correspunoing to raw scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MACKENZIE WHITE GRACE 4 |  | mackenzie |  |  | WHITE NORMS |  |  |  | NOVEMBER | 1966 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STA- } \\ & \text { NINE } \end{aligned}$ | WURU KNUW. | WURD <br> OISC. | REAC- <br> ING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | ARITH CONCEPT | ```METIC comput.``` | A | language B | total |  |
| 9 | -47 | -36 | -41 | -41 | -30 | -37 | -22 | -33 | -54 |  |
| 8 | 46-44 | 35-35 | 40-38 | 40-40 | 29-27 | 36-34 | 21-21 | 32-31 | 53-52 |  |
| 7 | 43-41 | 34-33 | 37-36 | 39-39 | 26-23 | 33-32 | 20-20 | 30-29 | 51-48 |  |
| $t$ | 40-35 | 32-31 | 35-31 | 38-36 | 22-20 | 31-30 | 19-19 | 28-27 | 47-45 |  |
| 5 | 34-29 | 30-27 | 30-26 | 35-30 | 19-17 | 29-28 | 18-16 | 26-25 | 44-41 |  |
| 4 | 28-23 | 26-22 | 25-22 | 29-23 | 16-15 | 27-26 | 15-13 | 24-23 | 40-36 |  |
| 3 | -22-18 | 21-17 | 21-16 | 22-16 | 14-12 | 25-22 | 12-11 | 22-21 | 35-32 |  |
| 2 | 17-13 | 16-12 | 15-13 | 15-9 | 11-9 | 21-19 | 10-9 | 20-18 | 31-29 |  |
| 1 | 12- | 11- | 12- | $8-$ | $8-$ | 18- | 8- | 17- | 28- |  |

mackenzie
MACKENZ
WHITE
GRADE 4

| mackenzie WHITE |  |  | Stanines | Corke | Sporn | to raw | , Storts |  | NOVEMBER 196 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | 6 |  |  | MACKE | NZIE | WHITE | NORMS |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STA- } \\ & \text { NINE } \end{aligned}$ | WORD KNOW. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REAC- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LANGU } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{C}{U A G E}$ | total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LANG. } \\ & \text { STUDY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARIT } \\ & \text { CONPT. } \end{aligned}$ | HMETIC Comp. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOCIAL } \\ & \text { STUOY } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | -54 | -42 | -52 | -32 | -11 | -35 | -70 | -25 | -43 | -38 | -26 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 53-52 | 41-40 | 51-50 | 31-29 | 10-10 | 34-32 | 69-67 | 24-24 | 42-39 | 37-35 | 25-24 |
| 7 | 51-49 | 39-37 | 49-48 | 28-27 | 9-9 | 31-31 | 66-62 | 23-22 | 38-36 | 34-33 | 23-21 |
| 6 | 48-45 | 36-33 | 47-45 | 26-25 | 8-8 | 30-28 | 61-59 | 21-19 | 35-32 | 32-29 | 20-19 |
| 5 | 44-36 | 32-27 | 44-41 | 24-23 | 7-6 | 27-26 | 58-54 | 18-17 | 31-28 | 28-26 | 18-17 |
| 4 | 35-31 | 26-22 | 40-35 | 22-21 | 5-5 | 25-24 | 53-50 | 16-14 | 27-24 | 25-21 | 16-15 |
| 3 | 30-25 | 21-18 | 34-28 | 20-17 | 4-4 | 23-22 | 49-45 | 13-11 | 23-19 | 20-17 | 14-13 |
| 2 | 24-21 | 17-14 | 27-24 | 16-15 | 3-2 | 21-19 | 44-36 | 10-8 | 18-16 | 16-15 | 12-11 |
| 1 | 20- | 13- | $23-$ | $14-$ | $1-$ | $18-$ | $35-$ | $7-$ | 15- | 14- | 10- |

mackenzif
WHITE
GRADE 6



FORT SMITH




APPENDIX A
AVERAGE SCORES ON SELECTED SUB-TESTS AND
DISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS BY GRADE, ETHNIC ORIGIN AND AGE GROUP
Differences in average scores should be interpreted with great
care.These averages are reported to indicate trends and to allowteachers to see how older pupils perform in a given gradethroughout the system.
48.

AVERAGE RAN SCORES AND CISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS,BY AGE

## NORTHWESI TERRITOKIES

GRACE 2 INDIAN

| AGE GROUP YEAR/MONTH | NG. PUPILS | hORD KNOW. | READINE | ARITH. CONCEPT | ARITH. TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.6-6.11 | 4 | 14.8 | 27.3 | 8.7 | 36.7 |
| 7.0-7. 5 | 14 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 11.4 | 34.5 |
| 7.6-7.11 | 18 | 14.3 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 43.2 |
| 8.0-8. 5 | 26 | 13.9 | 19.2 | 15.4 | 36.9 |
| 8.6-8.11 | 20 | 17.5 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 43.8 |
| 9.0-9. 5 | 25 | 13.6 | 21.8 | 12.6 | 36.3 |
| 9.6-9.11 | 13 | 13.2 | 18.4 | 11.8 | 34.2 |
| 10.0-10. 5 | 8 | 11.7 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 33.5 |
| 10.6-10.11 | 9 | 18.6 | 26.2 | 15.1 | 42.0 |
| 11.0-11. 5 | 3 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 49.0 |
| 12.0-12. 5 | 2 | 19.0 | 17.5 | 15.0 | 37.0 |
| 13.0-13. 5 | 1 | 9.0 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 56.0 |
| total | 143 | 14.4 | 19.6 | 14.4 | 38.6 |


$\qquad$
$\qquad$ - Montats IfakITURIts

aVERAGE RAW SCORES AND CISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS,BY age

average raw sceres ano cistridution of pupils, by age

|  |  |  | GRADE 4 | INDIAN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GRCUP YEAR/MONTH | NO. <br> PUPILS | WCRE <br> KNCW. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | LANG. ICTAL | ARITH. COMPT. | ARIIH. CONCEPI |
| 8.6-8.11 | 2 | 38.0 | 28.0 | $4 \mathrm{C}$. | 29.5 | 18.0 |
| 9.0-S. 5 | 8 | 25.4 | 26.3 | 33.9 | 30.3 | 19.0 |
| 9.6-9.11 | 17 | 24.4 | -20.4 | 38.1 | 25.9 | 17.5 |
| 10.0-10. 5 | 18 | 24.3 | 21.7 | 33.8 | 28.5 | 17.2 |
| 10.6-10.11 | 10 | 22.5 | 24.1 | -37.4 | 21.0 | 13.9 |
| 11.0-11. 5 | 21 | 23.0 | 18.6 | 33.0 | 27.2 | 15.9 |
| 11.6-11.11 | 15 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 3C. 8 | 25.7 | 14.4 |
| 12.0-12. 5 | 7 | 19.3 | 16.9 | 32.9 | 23.6 | 15.1 |
| -12.6-12.11 | 10 | 20.5 | -20.7 | 32.8 | 32.3 | 17.1 |
| 13.0-13. 5 | 3 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 19.7 |
| 13.6-13.11 | 7 | 23.5 | 18.6 | - 35.1 | 27.3 | 13.7 |
| -14.0-14. 5 |  | 13.5 | 9.5 | 21.0 | 17.5 | -8.5 |
| 14.6-14.11 | 2 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 34.0 | 19.5 | 9.5 |
| 15.0-15. 5 |  | 21.0 | 14.0 | 24.0 | 25.0 | 9.0 |
| IOTAL | 123 | 22.4 | -19.8 | -33.9 | 26.7 | 15.9 |




AVERAGE RAW SCCRES AND CISIRIBUTION OF PUPILS,BY AGE

## NOKTHEES TERKLIORIES

|  |  | GRADE 4 WHITE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GROUP <br> YEAR/MCNTH | NC. PUPILS | WCRC KNUW. | READ- <br> ING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LANG. } \\ & \text { TCTAL } \end{aligned}$ | ARITH. COMPI. | ARITH. CONCEPT |
| 8.0-8. 5 | 1 | 44.C | 37.0 | 51.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 |
| $8.6-8.11$ | 25 | 32.5 | 29.2 | 43.0 | 27.2 | 17.5 |
| 9.0- 5. 5 | 53 | 35.9 | 31.5 | 44.1 | 30.7 | 21.4 |
| 9.6-9.11 | 43 | 33.2 | 28.4 | 41.7 | 28.0 | 20.6 |
| 10.0-10. 5 | 19 | 28.5 | 23.2 | $3 E .4$ | 25.9 | 16.2 |
| 10.6-10.11 | 17 | 24.6 | 21.4 | 35.6 | 26.4 | 15.4 |
| 11.0-11. 5 | 9 | 24.0 | 18.9 | 32.6 | 23.1 | 12.9 |
| 11.6-11.11 | 4 | 18.5 | 23.0 | 35.2 | 28.0 | 16.8 |
| 12.6-12.11 | 2 | 15.0 | 12.5 | $3 C .5$ | 24.5 | 12.0 |
| 13.6-13.11 | 1 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 9.0 |
| ICTAL | 174 | 31.6 | 27.4 | 4C. 9 | 28.0 | 18.8 |

average ran sccres and distribltion of pupils,by age

|  |  | GRACE 6 ITDIAN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age lrcup YEAR/MCNTH | NU. PUPILS | hORD KNCW. | KEADING | LANG. tctal | ARITH. COMPT. | ARITH. CONCEPT |
| 10.6-10.11 | 7 | 30.5 | 25.9 | 53.1 | 24.1 | 27.4 |
| 11.0-11. 5 | 15 | 30.2 | 26.4 | 53.9 | 23.1 | 24.8 |
| 11.6-11.11 | 14 | 31.4 | 25.1 | $4 t .4$ | 25.1 | 24.3 |
| 12.0-12. 5 | 11 | 30.1 | 25.7 | 51.8 | 21.5 | 21.9 |
| 12.6-12.11 | 10 | 29.5 | 23.2 | 5 C .4 | 20.5 | 23.7 |
| 13.0-13. 5 | 14 | 25.9 | 23.1 | 47.4 | 25.2 | 22.9 |
| 13.6-13.11 | 10 | 25.1 | 20.0 | 5C. 0 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| 14.0-14. 5 | 10 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 45.0 | 20.5 | 22.8 |
| 14.0-14.11 | 4 | 27.3 | 19.2 | 43.2 | 19.3 | 21.7 |
| 15.0-15. 5 | 10 | 20.2 | 16.3 | 4C. 4 | 20.4 | 20.1 |
| 15.6-15.11 | 3 | 22.0 | 16.7 | 44.7 | 25.0 | 22.7 |
| 17.6-17.11 | 1 | 10.C | 18.0 | 4C.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| not given | 1 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 36.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| total | 110 | 27.2 | 22.6 | 48.3 | 22.3 | 22.9 |

 $\qquad$ $-$

| ACt LDUF <br> YEAK/iNGIVIt |  | GKALF 0 ESKIMO |  |  |  | ARITH. CONCEPT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ne. PLPILS | $\begin{aligned} & \because \alpha \mathrm{L} \\ & \because \text { cing } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  1NG | LANG. ILIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARITH. } \\ & \text { CSNPI. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11. $-11 . \pm$ |  | $5 \cdot \mathrm{C}$ | 27.0 | SE.O | 29.0 | 33.5 |
| 11.t-11.11 | 4 | 34.7 | 27.2 | 57.7 | 24.5 | 26.7 |
| 12.0-1<. | $y$ | 3 3.t | 25.0 | 54.0 | 25.7 | 26.4 |
| 12.1-1<.11 | $t$ | 11.6 | 2.5 | 24.2 | 27.0 | 26.8 |
| 13.0-13. 5 | $1 t$ | $\therefore 1.2$ | 21.1 | 47.1 | 22.7 | 22.6 |
| 13.t-13.11. | $1 t$ | 24.5 | $<2.9$ | c. 7 | $<4.3$ | 25.2 |
| 14.0-14. | 15 | $\geq 4.5$ | 21.7 | 47.1 | 23.5 | 22.3 |
| 14.t-14.11 |  | $<4.3$ | 1.4 | 45.6 | 24.1 | 23.2 |
| 15.0-15. 5 | 7 | $\ldots .1$ | 18.4 | 51.5 | 29.3 | $2 t .3$ |
| 15.0-15.11 | $1 ?$ | 21.5 | 17.7 | $4 \varepsilon \cdot 8$ | 23.6 | 23.5 |
| 16.0-1t. 5 | 2 | 14.1) | 15.4 | 42.6 | 12.4 | 15.2 |
| 10.t-1t. 11 | 4 | 22.2 | 23.1 | 51.3 | 26.3 | 29.5 |
| 17.1-17. | 1 | <1. 1 | 21.0 | 42.0 | 12.0 | 15.0 |
| 17.0-17.11 | 2 | 1\%.C | 2C.0 | 44.0 | 27.0 | 23.5 |
| 18.0-18. 5 |  | 7.6 | 12.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 21.0 |
| 1r.t-15.11 |  | 17.C | 10.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 20.0 |
| MLI LIVEA | 2 | a<.t | 18.6 | 41.0 | 17.9 | $1 t .0$ |
| llial | $1 \times 3$ | 620 | 21.3 | 45.2 | 23.8 | 23.7 |

average ran sceres and cistribution of pupils.ay age northwest terkiturites
grace 6 Gifite

| AGE GROUP <br> YEAR/MUNTE | AC. PUPILS | nuric KNUK. | READ- ING | LANG. <br> tLJAL | ARITH. CUMPI. | AKITH. CCNCEPT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.6-9.11 | 1 | 49.0 | 38.0 | 53.0 | 28.0 | 34.0 |
| 10.0-10. 5 | 5 | 42.6 | 33.2 | 03.0 | 31.4 | 33.6 |
| 10.t-10.11 | 10 | 43.b | 33.4 | 55.3 | 28.2 | 32.7 |
| 11.0-11. 5 | 40 | 41.5 | 31.5 | $5 \varepsilon .6$ | 27.3 | 30.8 |
| 11.6-11.11 | 30 | 40.2 | 30.4 | $5 t .1$ | 26.6 | 24.1 |
| 12.0-12. 5 | 12 | 33.7 | 25.0 | 53.7 | 24.5 | 23.5 |
| 12.6-12.11 | 11 | $32 . \mathrm{C}$ | 24.8 | 51.4 | 23.7 | 26.5 |
| 13.0-13. 5 | 3 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 45.0 | 22.7 | 25.0 |
| 13.0-13.11 | 2 | 32.6 | 28.0 | 45.0 | 22.0 | 26.5 |
| 14.0-14. 5 | $t$ | 29.8 | 25.8 | 45.9 | 26.1 | 27.6 |
| 14.0-14.11 | 2 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 43.5 | 22.5 | 21.5 |
| 15.0-15. 5 | 1 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 45.0 | 29.0 | 28.0 |
| ictal | 125 | 38.2 | 25.5 | 55.8 | 26.5 | 25.1 |

## APPENDIX B

## AVERAGE SCORES ON SUB-TESTS BY

 DISTRICT AND ETHNICThese tables indicate average scores on the sub-tests grouping the pupils first of all by District then by grade then by ethnic origin. Again it should be stressed that differences in average score should be interpreted very carefully. Care should be taken not to reach conclusions which cannot be verified. These data could be used to construct hypothesis which may be tested by experiment later.

AVERAGE SCURES BY ETHNIC URIGIN
NORTHWEST TERKITORIES
GRADE II

| ETHNIC URIGIN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WCRE } \\ & \text { KNOW. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wilko } \\ & \text { UISC. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | ARITH. <br> CONCEPT | ARITH. COMPUTATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARITH. } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | NO. PUPIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL | 14.6 | 22.8 | 20.2 | 15.7 | 22.9 | 15.7 | 38.1 | 666 |
| INDI AN | 14.3 | 22.6 | 19.6 | 15.3 | 24.3 | 14.2 | 38.3 | 137 |
| ESKIMO | 13.1 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 20.1 | 17.1 | 36.3 | 330 |
| wHITE | 17.4 | 25.0 | 24.2 | 16.9 | 26.4 | 14.6 | 41.1 | 198 |

GRADE IV


## AVERAGE SCORES BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

| ETHNIC GRIGIN | WORD <br> KNUW. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REAO- } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPELL } \\ & \text { ING } \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $C^{G}$ | tatal | LANG STUOY | ARIT <br> CONPT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIC } \\ & \text { COMP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUC IAL } \\ & \text { STUOY } \end{aligned}$ | NI. PUPIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL | 32.2 | 25.7 | 39.0 | 21.8 | 5.5 | 25.3 | 52.3 | 15.3 | 26.0 | 24.7 | 16.0 | 307 |
| INOIAN | 2B. 3 | 23.6 | 38.0 | 20.5 | 5.0 | 24.6 | 49.4 | 13.8 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 14.8 | 95 |
| ESKIMO | 27.2 | 22.5 | 37.6 | 21.4 | 4.9 | 24.2 | 50.2 | 14.3 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 15.4 | 84 |
| WHITE | $38 \cdot 3$ | 29.5 | 40.7 | 23.1 | 6.3 | 26.7 | 55.9 | 17.0 | 29.1 | 26.4 | 17.4 | 128 |

## AVERAGL SCORES BY ETHNIC GRIGIN <br> AKCTIC DISTPICT <br> GRADE II

| tTHNIC <br> URIGIN | WURE <br> KNUW. | wore <br> UISC. | READ- <br> ING | SPLLL- <br> I Nr; | ARITH. <br> CONCEPT | ARITH. computation | ARITH. <br> TUTAL | NU. <br> PUPIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UENEFAL | 11.0 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 35.1 | 268 |
| INDIAN | 11.3 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 13.2 | 19.7 | 17.5 | 37.2 | 6 |
| -SKIMC | 10.5 | 13.7 | 14.8 | $1<$. | 18.4 | 16.3 | 34.3 | 244 |
| WHITE: | 16.9 | 23.4 | 23.9 | 12.2 | 26.6 | 18.8 | 45.2 | 18 |


| ETHNIC | wURD | worle | KEAJ- | SPELL- | AkIthint I IC |  | L | NGU | A | G 1 | NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URIGIN | KNOW. | OISC. | ING | ING | CONCEPT | compur. | A | B |  | total | PUPIL |
| GENEKAL | 20.3 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 25.4 | 14.1 | 20.3 | 12.9 | 21.4 |  | 33.9 | 168 |
| INDIAN | 20.0 | 15.5 | 17.5 | 22.0 | 15.0 | 27.5 | 9.0 | 18.0 |  | 27.0 | 2 |
| ESKIMU | 19.1 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 24.5 | 13.4 | 28.1 | 12.6 | 21.2 |  | 33.2 | 151 |
| White | 32.9 | 25.7 | 26.6 | 30.2 | 20.6 | 28.9 | 16.7 | 24.5 |  | 41.1 | 15 |

AVERAGE SCORES BY ETHNIC ORIGIV ARCTIC DISTRICT

GRADE VI

| ETHNIC | WUKU | READ- | SPELL |  | $N$ | A |  | LANG | ARITHMETIC |  | SUCIAL | NO. <br> PUPIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URIGIN | KNOW. | ING | ING | A | $B$ | C | TUTAL | STUOY | CONP | CUMP. | Or |  |
| GENERAL | 26.5 | 23.5 | 34.7 | 20.6 | 4.4 | 24.2 | 49.6 | 13.9 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 15.1 | 57 |
| ESKIMO | 22.8 | 21.0 | 34.0 | 20.1 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 23.7 | 47.9 | 12.9 | 21.5 | 22.9 | 15.2 | 43 |
| WHITE | 38.0 | 31.1 | 37.5 | 22.4 | 6.7 | 25.5 | 24.6 | 17.0 | 28.2 | 25.4 | 18.6 | 14 |


| -THNIC | WCRD | wik! | KLAij- | SPELL- | ARITH. | ARITH. | ARITH. | NH. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URIGIV | KNOM. | U1Sく。 | ind | ING | C.Jnetr | computation | Total | Pupil |
| GENERAL | 17.1 | 22.3 | 23.3 | 17.8 | 2?.4 | 14.7 | 40.2 | 393 |
| INGIAN | 14.5 | 22.1 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 24.5 | 14.1 | 38.3 | 131 |
| ESKIMU | 20.4 | 23.4 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 24.0 | 17.1 | 42.1 | 80 |
| WHITE | 17.4 | 23.4 | 24.2 | 17.4 | 26.4 | 14.3 | 40.7 | 18) |

GRADE IV


AVERAGE SCORES BY ETHNIC ORIGIN
MACKEVZIE OISTKICT
SRADE VI

| t THNLC | WURD | ktab- | $\triangle P+L L$ |  | $N$ | A 0 |  | LANG | ARITHMEIIC |  | SUCIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NU. } \\ & \text { PUPIL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URIGIN | KNUW. | ING | 1NG | A | 4 | C | TUTAL | STuny | CUNP | CUMP. | Uoy |  |
| GENEKAL | 33.3 | 26.3 | 34.4 | 22.1 | 5.7 | 25.0 | 32.9 | 15.6 | 26.6 | 24.4 | 10.0 | 250 |
| INLIAN | 28.3 | 23.6 | 38.0 | 20.5 | 5.0 | 24.6 | 49.4 | 13.8 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 14.8 | 95 |
| ESKIMU | 31.7 | 24.2 | 41.4 | $22 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 24.7 | 32.5 | 15.9 | 27.0 | 25.6 | 15.6 | 41 |
| WHITE | 38.4 | 29.3 | 41.1 | 23.2 | 6.2 | 26.3 | 56.0 | 17.0 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 17.3 | 114 |

