Errata Antimicrobial Drug Resistance paragraph, 6-7th sentences (Page 37)

The sentences: "Resistance to daptomycin was detected in less than 1% (1/150) of both Ontario and Québec isolates. Both daptomycin resistant isolates were *Enterococcus* spp." should be read: "Non-susceptibility to daptomycin was detected in less than 1% (1/150) of both Ontario and Québec isolates. Both daptomycin non-susceptible isolates were *Enterococcus* spp." Results related to daptomycine in Figure 17 (page 38) should be interpret as "non-susceptibility" instead of "resistance" because there is no resistance breakpoint defined for this antimicrobial.