Federal Environmental Assessment and Review Process

Register of Panel Projects

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN
FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REVIEW OFFICE
HULL, QUEBEC K1A OH3
SEPTEMBER, 1981

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND REVIEW PROCESS:	3
INFORMATION ON PANEL PROJECTS	
Alaska Highway Gas Pipeline Project	5
Arctic Pilot Project (Northern Component)	6
Arctic Pilot Project (Nova Scotia Component)	7
Arctic Pilot Project (Quebec Component)	7
Banff National Park Highway Project	8
Beaufort Sea Hydrocarbon Development	8
Eastern Arctic Offshore Drilling - North Davis Strait Project	9
Fraser River Shipping Channel	10
Grand Banks — Possible Oil Production	11
Quebec Port Expansion Project	11
Shoal Lake Cottage Lot Development	12
Slave River Hydro Project	12
LIST OF DORMANT PROJECTS	
Bay of Fundy Tidal Power Generation Project	13
Dempster Pipeline Project	13
Mackenzie Delta Gas Gathering System	13
Polar Gas Project	14
South Yukon Transportation Study	14
Vancouver International Airport — Expansion of Air Traffic Capacity	14
LIST OF REVIEWED PANEL PROJECTS	15

INTRODUCTION

This publication provides public and private agencies, interest groups, and members of the general public with information on the projects submitted to the Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office for formal review, under the Environmental Assessment and Review Process (EARP).

The contents are arranged as follows:

1. The Federal Environmental Assessment and Review Process: Brief Summary

2. Information on Panel Projects

This section contains either the project title, the identification of the proponent and/or initiator, the project description, its status under EARP, the Panel Members and the future events, or, when the review is completed, the project title, the identification of the proponent and/or initiator and the summary of the Panel Report to the Minister of the Environment.

3. List of Dormant Projects

This section contains the project title, the identification of the proponent and/or the initiator, the project description and the name of the person to contact for further information.

4. List of Reviewed Projects

This section lists those projects that have been reviewed under the federal Environmental Assessment and Review Process and on which an Environmental Assessment Panel has submitted its report to the Minister of the Environment.

FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND REVIEW PROCESS: BRIEF SUMMARY

The decision to institute a federal Environmental Assessment and Review Process for federal projects, programs and activities was made by Cabinet on December 20, 1973 and further amended on February 15, 1977.

By the 1973 Decision, the Minister of the Environment was directed to establish, in cooperation with other ministers, a process to ensure that federal departments and agencies:

- -take environmental matters into account throughout the planning and implementation of new projects, programs and activities;
- carry out an environmental assessment for all projects which may have adverse effect on the environment before commitments or irrevocable decisions are made; projects which may have significant effects have to be submitted to the Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office for formal review;
- -use the results of these assessments in planning, decision-making and implementation.

Further the role of the Minister of the Environment in this area is also cited in the Government Organization Act, 1979. The Act states that the Minister "... shall initiate, recommend and undertake programs and coordinate programs of the Government of Canada, that are designed... to ensure that new federal projects, programs and activities are assessed early in the planning process for potential adverse effects on the quality of the natural environment and that a further review is carried out of those projects, programs and activities that are found to have probable significant adverse effects, and results thereof taken into account..."

The Process established by the Minister of the Environment, through the Interdepartmental Committee on the Environment, is based essentially on the self-assessment approach. Departments and agencies are responsible for assessing the environmental consequences of their own projects and activities or those for which they assume the role of initiator, and deciding on the environmental significance of the anticipated effects.

As early in the planning phase as possible, the initiating department screens all projects for potential adverse environmental effects. One of the following four decisions is possible from this procedure:

(a) No adverse environmental effects, no action needed:

- (b) Environmental effects are known and are not considered significant. Effects identified can be mitigated through environmental design and conformance to legislation/regulations. The initiator is responsible for taking the appropriate action but no further reference to the procedures of the Environmental Assessment and Review Process is required.
- (c) The nature and scope of potential adverse environmental effects are not fully known. A more detailed assessment is required to identify environmental consequences and to assess their significance. The initiator therefore prepares or procures an *Initial* Environmental *Evaluation* (IEE). A review of the IEE will indicate to the Initiator whether alternative (b) above or (d) below should be followed.
- (d) The initiator recognizes that significant environmental effects are involved and requests the Executive Chairman, Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office, to establish a Panel to review the project.

If the Initiator decides to submit a project for Panel review, that project may not proceed until this review is completed and recommendations are made to the Minister of the Environment.

The Panel established by the Executive Chairman, Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office, issues guidelines for the preparation of an *Environmental Impact Statement* (EIS), by the Initiator or associated proponent, reviews the EIS, obtains the public response to the EIS and acquires additional information deemed necessary. It then advises the Minister of the Environment on the acceptability (or otherwise) of the residual environmental effects identified.

The Minister of the Environment and the Minister of the initiating department decide on the action to be taken on the report submitted by the Panel. These are implemented by the appropriate Ministers and associated proponents.

Further information may be obtained from:

Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office 13th Floor, Fontaine Bldg., Hull, Quebec K1A OH3

and

Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office 700 – 789 West Pender Street Vancouver, British Columbia V6C1 H2

ALASKA HIGHWAY GAS PIPELINE

Proponent: Foothills Pipe Lines (South Yukon) Ltd. **Contact:** 1600 – 205, 5th Avenue S.W., Box 9083,

Calgary, Alberta, T2P2W4

Initiator: Northern Pipeline Agency

Contact: Mr. A.B. Yates, Deputy Administrator, 400 -

4th Avenue S.W. Calgary, Alberta

Description

Construction and operation of a buried gas transmission line in the Southern sector of the Yukon Territory, to initially transport Alaska gas to U.S. markets in the lower 48 states. The proposed Yukon section of the line runs from Beaver Creek in the western corner of the Yukon, along the existing Alaska Highway for 512 miles to Watson Lake in the southeast Yukon. At its northern end the pipeline is proposed to connect to 732 miles of pipeline in Alaska, and at its southern end to 1500 miles of proposed line in British Columbia, Alberta and Saskatchewan. The system will tie in at the 49th parallel with the U.S. system. The projected cost of the Beaver Creek to Watson Lake line is \$1.24 billion (1976 dollars).

Status under EARP

The project was referred for formal Panel review in March 1977, and the Panel was formed in May, 1977. Panel members are:

Ewan Cotterill, Chairman FEAR0 Hull, Quebec

W. Klassen Yukon Territorial Government Whitehorse, Yukon

0. Hughes Energy, Mines and Resources Calgary, Al berta

D.S. Lacate Environment Canada Vancouver, B.C.

P. H. Beau bier Indian Affairs and Northern Development Whitehorse, Yukon

C.E. Wykes Environment Canada Whitehorse, Yukon

Executive Secretary to the Panel:
P. J.B. Duffy, FEARO, Hull, Quebec
K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

The Panel held a preliminary meeting in May 1977 in Whitehorse to inform the public of the project and to

obtain public feedback on the procedures for the substantive hearings. The first part of the hearings were held June 13 to 17 in Whitehorse and dealt with the identification of environmental concerns. Community meetings along the proposed pipeline route were also held in May and June. The Panel conducted the second phase of the hearings, commencing July 5 in Whitehorse. This phase concentrated on obtaining further information from the public and from technical experts assigned to assist the Panel on the concerns raised in the June meeting.

The Panel delivered its preliminary report to the Minister in early August, 1977. The Governments of Canada and of the U.S.A. agreed in September, 1977 to use the Alaska Highway route for the southern transport of Alaska gas. Guidelines for a detailed environmental impact statement were issued to the proponent and the initiating department in December, 1977. The guidelines are available to interested parties on request.

An Environmental Impact Statement was prepared by the Proponent and distributed for public and technical review in January, 1979. Public hearings were held in Yukon communities, including Whitehorse, in March and April of 1979. The Panel concluded (on April 28, 1979) that the Proponent had not provided sufficient information, on certain aspects of the project, to enable the Panel to complete its environmental review at that time.

The Panel prepared a second report requiring that the Proponent complete its assessment of the project. This report was transmitted to the Minister of the Environment and authorized for public release in September, 1979. The Panel has recommenced the public review after receiving new information from Foothills in early 1981. The first item is the pipeline routing question in the Ibex/Whitehorse area. Following technical and public review (June, 1981) of this matter, the Panel prepared a report to the Minister of the Environment. The Panel recommended against the Ibex Pass route which was favored by Foothills. In order to avoid problems of increased access to the Ibex Pass area and to retain options for the tie-in with the proposed Dempster Lateral Pipeline, the Panel recommended the First Whitehorse Route with the West Whitehorse Cut-off route.

The Panel report is available from FEAR0 offices on request.

Several packages of documents will be mailed to interested parties for public and technical review up to autumn, 198 1. Following the review, the Panel will report to the Federal Minister of the Environment on the adequacy of environmental planning on the project.

ARCTIC PILOT PROJECT

(Northern Component)

Initiators: Department of Indian and Northern

Development and Petro-Canada

Proponent: Petro-Canada

Summary of the Panel report to the Minister of the Environment (Report no. 14, October 1980)

The Arctic Pilot Project would involve the production and liquefaction of 6.4 million cubic metres (225 million cubic feet) of natural gas per day from the Drake Point field on Melville Island and its shipment to eastern Canadian markets in icebreaking tankers. The Environmental Assessment Panel has reviewed the northern component of the project which includes the facilities on Melville Island, and shipment of liquified natural gas by two icebreaking carriers through Parry Channel, and south through Baffin Bay and Davis Strait to the approaches to a southern Canadian terminal.

In January, 1979, an Environmental Statement was issued by the Arctic Pilot Project. This document along with a Socio-economic Statement supplementary information requested by the panel served as input to the review of the project.

The Panel solicited comments on the project from the public and from government agencies and in April, 1980, held public meetings in the communities of Arctic Bay, Pond Inlet, Grise Fiord and Resolute which are located in the area of the proposed shipping route. The Panel considered issues relating to the project rationale, long-term implications, the development on Melville Island, the shipping aspects and the overall impact on the human environment. After carefully considering the information presented, the Panel reached a number of conclusions and has formulated certain recommendations which are contained in this report.

The Panel's review has led to the conclusion that the project as presented is en vironmentally acceptable provided certain conditions are met.

The Panel recognizes that this project would be a "pilot" project in the sense that it would pioneer year-round arctic transportation and develop in Canada a greater arctic expertise within industry and government. It also recognizes that year-round shipping of oil or gas on a much larger scale is being considered by others and that there is a paucity of information on potential impact in some areas in spite of the effort made by the Proponent in preparation for this review.

The Panel believes that the relatively small-scale shipping proposal by the Arctic Pilot Project would permit further study and allow more accurate assessment of potential impacts and ways to minimize or determine more fully the effects of large scale shipping.

Parry Channel supports a biologically rich community of birds and mammals, many of which are considered to be of national and international importance. Traditional harvesting of natural resources by Inuit for home-use and income is still important in this region. It is essential, therefore, that ships be routed to avoid environmentally sensitive areas in Parry Channel and that advantage be taken of the pilot nature of this project to monitor and research the effects of yearround shipping in the Arctic. In the Panel's opinion, this can only be achieved through the formation and effective operation of a control authority by the Minister of Transport. The authority would monitor ship movements and enforce good seamanship and appropriate environmental regulations such as those now in existence under the Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act.

Advantage should be taken of the long lead time required for the project to become operational to establish the control authority with a view to having an integrated routing system in place to deal with future ship traffic. To assist the control authority further, the Panel recommends that the Departments of Environment and Fisheries and Oceans establish an advisory committee which would recommend and approve studies necessary to allow biological information to be effectively integrated into the route selection process. Membership on this committee should include the Proponent, Inuit, the territorial government and other federal departments. Without further research on marine mammals, guided by the advice of Inuit and of government scientists and without a monitoring and control mechanism for the selection of the shipping routes, the Panel is unable to recommend that the project is environmentally acceptable.

The Panel has also recommended a number of specific conditions relating to the Drake Point facilities, Melville Island pipeline, Bridport Inlet facilities, shipping and the human environment as a result of its assessment of the Arctic Pilot Project.

ARCTIC PILOT PROJECT

(Nova Scotia Component)

Proponents: Petro-Canada and TransCanada Pipelines

Contact: D. Bruchet, Petro-Canada, P.O. Box 2844, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 3E3

Description

As part of the review of the Arctic Pilot Project a joint federal/ provincial Panel reviewed the proposed terminal and regasification facilities at **Melford** Point, Nova Scotia, as well as the shipping route leading to it.

Status under EARP

The Panel was formed in February, 1981. Members

C.A. Campbell, Chairman Consulting Engineer Sydney, N.S.

W.L. Ford Oceanographic Consultant Halifax, N.S.

B. Lynch St. Francis Xavier University Antigonish, N.S.

J.S. Klenavic
Federal Environmental Assessment
Review Office
Hull, Que.

R. H. Bailey Nova Scotia Dept. of Environment Halifax, N.S.

Future Events

Public meetings were held in Mulgrave in April and June to receive submissions and views from the public and government agencies. The Panel's report is expected shortly.

ARCTIC PILOT PROJECT

(Quebec Component)

Proponents: Petro-Canada and TransCanada Pipelines

Contact: D. Bruchet, Petro-Canada, P.O. box 2844, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 3E3

Description

As part of the review of the Arctic Pilot Project a joint federal/provincial Panel reviewed the proposed terminal and regasification facilities at Gros Cacouna, Quebec, as well as the shipping route leading to it.

Status under EARP

Panel formed November 1980. Members were:

M. Lamontagne, ChairmanBureau d'audiences publiques sur l'environnementQuebec, Quebec

G. Drapeau Oceanology Research Centre Rimouski, Quebec J. Klenavic
Federal Environmental
Assessment Review Office
Hull, Quebec

L. Ouimet

Bureau d'audiences publiques sur l'environnement Montreal, Quebec

M. YergeauBureau d'audiences publiques sur l'environnementMontreal, Quebec

Public meetings were held in January and February in Rivière du Loup. The Panel's report, available from Bureau d'audiences pu bliques sur l'environnement, was made public in May 1981. The Panel endorsed the proposal, subject to certain conditions.

BANFF NATIONAL PARK HIGHWAY PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

Proponent: Federal Department of Public Works

Contact: F. Thompson, Public Works Canada, 9925 -

109 St., Edmonton, Alta.

Description

The proposal is for improvements to the existing Trans-Canada Highway in Banff National Park from the eastern gate to Healy Creek (27 kilometres) to resolve traffic flow problems including increase to 4 lanes and interchange modifications.

Status under EARP

The project was referred for Panel review in May 1978. Following receipt of an EIS for km 0 to km 13, the Panel prepared a report on this section to the Minister of the Environment (Report no. 11, Banff Highway Project, East Gate to km 13, October 1979).

Panel members are:

P. J. Paradine, Chairman FEAR0 Hull, Quebec W.R. Binks Professional Engineer Ottawa, Ontario

J. Hartley

Parks Canada Western Region

Calgary, Alberta

W. Ross

University of Calgary, Alberta

J.S Tener Ottawa, Ontario

Executive Secretary to the Panel: Guy Riverin, FEARO, Hull, Quebec K1A 0H3 (819) 997-1000

Future Events

An EIS on phase II (km 13 to 27) was received in August 1981. Comments from technical agencies and the public are being sollicited. Public meetings are anticipated for the fall 198 1.

BEAUFORT SEA HYDROCARBON DEVELOPMENT

Proponents: Dome Petroleum Limited, ESSO

Resources Canada Ltd., Gulf Canada

Resources Ltd.

Initiator: Department of Indian and Northern Affairs

(DIN A)

Contact: Dr. O. Løken, DINA, Les Terrasses de la

Chaudiere, Hull, Quebec. Mailing address:

DINA, Ottawa, K1 A OH4

Description

Possible oil and gas production from the Beaufort Sea and subsequent transportation to southern markets via ice-breaker. tanker through the Northwest Passage and/or an overland pipeline route. The proposal under review is still at the preliminary design stage with project specific details such as location of development fields, development methods, tanker routes and tanker design, pipeline routes and details of ancillary and support facilities yet to be decided upon.

Status under EARP

The project was referred for a Panel review on July 22, 1980. Panel members are:

J.S. Tener, Chairman Ottawa, Ontario

F. J. Carmichael Inuvik, N. W.T.

D.R. Craig Carbon. Alberta

L. Ivvalu

Igloolik, N. W.T.

A. Lueck

Whitehorse, Y.T.

J. R. Mackay Vancouver, B.C.

M. Stutter Dawson, Y.T.

Executive Secretary to the Panel:

D.W.I. Marshall, 700-789 West Pender St. Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1 H2 (604) 666-2431

The Panel circulated draft EIS guidelines to the public in June, 1981, requesting written comments by September 7, 1981. Requests have been made by the Panel to various departments and agencies for departmental impact statement by the end of 1961. The Minister provided the Panel with terms of reference in June, 1981.

Future Events

The Panel plans to hold public meetings on the draft EIS guidelines in the near future. Dates and location for the meetings shall be announced in the near future.

EASTERN ARCTIC OFFSHORE DRILLING - NORTH DAVIS STRAIT PROJECT

Initiator: Department of Indian and Northern Affairs

Contact: A. Jones, DINA, Les Terrasses de la Chau-

dière, Hull, Quebec Mailing address: DINA,

Ottawa, Ontario K1A OH4

Proponent: Petro-Canada

Contact: Gerry Glazier, P.O. Box 2844, Calgary,

Alberta, T2P2M7

Description

Exploratory drilling for hydrocarbons in the waters off the north-eastern coast of Baffin Island in the Eastern Arctic.

Status under EARP

The project was referred for Panel review in July, 1977. A task force has developed guidelines for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. The Environmental Impact Statement is presently being prepared.

Panel members are:

R.G. Connelly, Chairman

Federal Environmental Assesment

Review Office Hull, Quebec

E. J. Sandeman

Department of Fisheries and Oceans

St. John's, Newfoundland

Executive Secretary to the Panel: R.L. Greyell, FEARO, Hull, Quebec K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

Future Events

It is expected that additional members will be added to the Panel and that supplementary guidelines will be issued dealing with social impact.

n

FRASER RIVER SHIPPING CHANNEL

Initiator: Federal Department of Public Works

Contact: E.O. Isfeld, Marine and Civil Engineering,

Public Works Canada, 11 10 West Georgia

Street, Vancouver, B.C. V6E3W5

Description

Upgrading of the channel of the Fraser River Estuary, New Westminster to Georgia Strait (Vancouver, B.C.), to a standard enabling safe passage on a year round basis for the current types of vessels in common usage. The proposed method of achieving this objective is by installation of training works to enable the river to become primarily self-scouring in specific areas of the main shipping channel. The original proposal called for sufficient training works to be installed to provide a maximum 40' draft. Recent cost-benefit studies have indicated that this proposal is not economically viable at this time and a reduced scheme providing for a somewhat shallower draft is now under consideration.

Status under EARP

Panel formed July 1976. Members are:

D. W. I. Marshall, Chairman FEAR0 Vancouver, B.C.

F.C. Boyd Fisheries and Oceans Canada Vancouver, B.C. K. Kupka Environment Canada West Vancouver, B.C.

S.O. Russell University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C.

J. P. Secter, Manager British Columbia Ministry of Environment Victoria. B.C.

J. W. Wilson Simon Fraser University Burnaby, B.C.

Executive Secretary to the Panel: P.F. Scott, FEARO, 700-789 West Pender St., Vancouver, B.C. V6C1H2 (604) 666-243 1

Guidelines for the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the original proposal have been issued by the Panel. Public Works Canada, through a consultant, has almost completed the EIS for the full scheme.

Future Events

The full scheme EIS is expected to be submitted to the Panel in 1981 along with an outline of the partial scheme proposal. This material will be made available to the public. The Panel will then prepare new guidelines for the completion of an EIS for the partial scheme. Public input will be solicited in the preparation of these new guidelines.

n

Proponent: Mobil Oil of Canada Ltd. **Contact:** R. **Stacey**, Mobil Oil, Calgary

Initiator: Federal Department of Energy, Mines &

Resources

Description

Possible oil production on the northeast Grand Banks, east of Newfoundland. (Mobil Oil of Canada Ltd. is currently carrying out exploration drilling for hydrocarbons in the "Hibernia" field).

Status under EARP

Panel members appointed in 1980 are:

Philip J. Paradine, Chairman FEAR0 Hull, Quebec

Raoul Andersen

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's Newfoundland

Irene Baird

St. John's Hospital Council St. John's, Newfoundland

Alfred W.H. Needler

Former Deputy Minister of Fisheries St. Andrews. New Brunswick

G. Ross Peters

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's, Newfoundland

Executive Secretary to the Panel:
Guy Riverin, FEARO, Hull, Quebec
K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

The Panel has issued guidelines to Mobil Oil for its use in the preparation of an EIS.

Future Events

Upon receipt of the EIS (probably in spring 1982) public and technical agency comments will be sought on the project and the EIS itself, prior to public meetings.

QUEBEC PORT EXPANSION

Proponent: Port of Quebec

Initiator: National Harbours Board

Contact: Mr. Yvon Bureau, Director of Operations, Port of Quebec, 10 Quercy Street, Quebec,

(Quebec), G1K7P7

Description

The Port of Quebec would like to extend its facilities in order to be able to accommodate increased demands for space from its clients. In a development plan published in 1978 the Port authorities indicated that they were hoping in the next 20 years to landfill and develop 330 hectares on the Beauport tidal flats. They are now proposing to proceed with the first phase of their project which consists in building new docks and stocking areas. They would extend their facilities on area of approximately 60 hectares.

Status under EARP

The membership of the Panel which was formed in 1978, was modified after the departure of two members. The membership is currently as follows:

Mr. Marcel Lortie, Chairman Department of Forestry and Geodesy Laval University Quebec, Quebec Mr. Fernand Tremblay, Architect St. Gelais, Trem blay, Belanger Quebec, Quebec

Mr. Vincent Lemieux

Department of Political Science

Laval University Quebec, Quebec

Mr. Raymond Dufour, Economist

Major et Martin Quebec, Quebec Mr. Gabriel Filteau Laval University Quebec, Quebec

The Government of Quebec has been invited to appoint a Panel member

Executive Secretary to the Panel:

Carol Martin, FEARO, Hull, Que. K1 A OH3 (819) 997-1000

Future events

The Panel will resume its activities in September 1981. It is anticipated that the impact study will be submitted to the Panel in October 1981. The public and government agencies will then be invited to review the study and the proposed project. They will be able to present their views at public hearings which will probably be held early in 1982.

SHOAL LAKE COTTAGE LOT DEVELOPMENT

Initiator: Department of Indian & Northern Affairs

Contact: C. Holbrow, District Planner, Indian & Northern Affairs 100 – 4th Avenue South,

Kenora, Ontario P9N1Y6

Proponent: Shoal Lake Band No. 40

Description

The proposed project is located at the northwest corner of Shoal Lake on the Manitoba-Ontario border (Shoal Lake Indian Reserve 40). The proponent has proposed construction of approximately 350 cottage lots on the peninsula between Snowshoe Bay and Indian Bay. Shoal Lake is the source of water for the city of Winnipeg.

Status under EARP

The project was referred to the Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office on March 3 1, 1980.

Panel formed January 198 1:

R.G. Connelly, Chairman

FEAR0 Hull, Quebec

Lance Roberts

University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Manitoba

William Ward

Winnipeg, Manitoba

David Wittv

Hilderman, Feir, Witty and Associates

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Executive Secretary to the Panel:

R.L. Greyell, FEARO, Hull, Quebec

K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

Future Events

An Environmental Impact Statement is expected by the end of 1981 in accordance with guidelines for the preparation of an EIS, issued by the Panel in March.

SLAVE RIVER HYDRO PROJECT

Initiator: Parks Canada, Department of the Environ-

ment

Contact: Mr. W. Douglas Harper, Director, Prairie

Region, Parks Canada, 114 Garry Street,

Winnipeg, Manitoba. R3C1G1

Description

- (a) At the border of Alberta and Northwest Territories, near Fort Smith, N.W.T. and adjacent to Wood Buffalo National Park.
- (b) Transmission line from the Fort Smith area to Fort **McMurray**, Al berta.

The proposed project focuses on a hydro-electric installation at or near Fort Smith, N.W.T. to develop the potential of the Slave River.

Status under EARP

This project was referred by Parks Canada to the Federal Environmental Assessment Review Office in January, 1980. Panel formation is proceeding. Appointed to date is:

P. J. B. Duffy, Chairman

FEAR0

Hull, Quebec

Executive Secretary to the Panel:

R.L. Greyell, FEARO, Hull, Quebec

K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

Future Events

The Government of Alberta has announced a two year feasibility study of developing hydroelectric power on the Slave River between Fort Smith and Fitzgerald. Panel appointments will be made shortly following discussions with the Governments of Alberta and of the Northwest Territories.

BAY OF FUNDY TIDAL POWER GENERATION PROJECT

Dormant

Initiator: Bay of Fundy Tidal Power Review Board, Mr.

R.H. Clark 997-2108

Description

A study entitled "Reassessment of Fundy Tidal Power" dated November 1977 has been released by the initiator and provides a detailed description of the proposed project Chignecto Bay and Minas Basin sites, (upper Bay of Fundy, New Brunswick/Nova Scotia) which would involve a tidal barrier, generating plant and transmission lines. Discussions are taking place between the Federal and Provincial governments on cost-sharing of detailed engineering environmental studies.

For information:

Phil Paradine, FEARO, Hull, Que. K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

DEMPSTER PIPELINE PROJECT

Dormant

Proponent: Foothills Pipe Lines (Yukon) Limited.

Contact: 1600 - 205, 5th Avenue, S.W., Box 9083,

Calgary, Alberta. T2P 2W4

Initiator: Department of Indian and Northern Affairs.

Contact: 0. Løken, DINA, Les Terrasses de la Chau-

dière, Hull, Quebec Mailing address: DINA,

Ottawa, Ontario K1A OH4

Gas Pipeline. The route will follow closely the Dempster Highway and the Klondike Highway.

For information:

R.L. Greyell, FEARO, Hull, Que. K1A OH3

(819) 997-1000

Description

Construction and operation of a gas pipeline for transmission of Mackenzie Delta Gas in the Northwest Territories to a point at or near Whitehorse in the Yukon Territory to link up with the projected Alaska Highway

MACKENZIE DELTA GAS GATHERING SYSTEM

Dormant

Proponents: Imperial Oil, Gulf Oil and Shell Oil
Initiator: Department of Indian and Northern Affairs
Contact: Dr. 0. Løken, DINA, Les Terrasses de la
Chaudiere, Hull, Quebec

Description

Construction and operation in the Mackenzie River Delta Region (N. W.T.) of three gas processing plants and transportation facilities by the above oil companies to supply a Dempster pipeline moving gas south to market in southern Canada. In the summer of 1977 these three projects were suspended. However, an Environmental Impact Statement for the Imperial Oil plant (Taglu) has been prepared for review. The estimated cost of the Taglu development (Imperial Oil) is \$500 million (1975 dollars).

For information:

R.L. Greyell, FEARO, Hull, Que. **K1**A OH3 (819) 997-1000

POLAR GAS PROJECT

Dormant

Proponents: Polar Gas Consortium and Panarctic Gas Ltd.

Contact: J. Riddick, Polar Gas Project, P.O. Box 90, Commerce Court West, Toronto, Ontario.

M5L 1H3

Co-Initiators: Department of Indian and Northern

Affairs (N.W.T. portion)

Contact: A. Jones, DINA, Les Terrasses de la Chaudière, Hull. Quebec

Department of Energy, Mines and Resources (area south of 60th parallel).

Contact: H.C. Rothschild, Science and Technology, EMR, 580 Booth St., Ottawa, Ontario. K1A 0E4

n

Description

The project includes extraction and purification of gas from fields in the High Arctic Islands, and construction of a large diameter pipeline for natural gas transmission through the Northwest Territories and one or more provinces to a junction with an existing pipeline in southern Canada.

For information:

R.G. Connelly, FEARO, Hull, Que. K1A OH3 (819) 997-1000

SOUTH YUKON TRANSPORTATION STUDY

Dormant

Initiator: Federal Department of Transport

Contact: D. W. Bachynski, Railway Transportation

Directorate, Transport Canada, 2760-200

Granville Street, Vancouver, B.C. V6C1S4

Description

The study includes consideration of alternatives within the Yukon Territory principally between Whitehorse and Ross River with possible links to British Columbia, Alaska or the Northwest Territories. Improvement of transportation systems in the Yukon involving the

study of several alternate railway and one road development strategies. The ultimate purpose of the project is to aid in the development of the natural resource potential of the Yukon. The alternates range in capital costs from \$35 million to \$370 million (1974 dollars).

For information:

P. Scott, FEARO, 700-789 West Pender St., Vancouver, B.C. V6C1 H2 (604) 666-243 1

EXPANSION OF AIR TRAFFIC CAPACITY OF VANCOUVER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT Dormant

Initiator: Federal Department of Transport (Canadian Air Transportation Administration)

Contact: Mr. C. Heed, Pacific Regional Manager, Air-

port Branch, Transport Canada, 739 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, B.C. V6C1A2

Description

Improvement to the aircraft handling capability of Vancouver International Airport, Sea Island, Richmond, south of Vancouver, to provide for the demand projected by the initiator. The initiator's preferred alternative is the proposed construction of a parallel runway and related facilities inside the dyke at Vancouver International.

For information:

P. Scott, FEARO, 700-789 West Pender St., Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1 H2 (604) 666-243 1

LIST OF REVIEWED PROJECTS UNDER THE FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REVIEW **PROCESS**

- Point Lepreau, New Brunswick Nuclear Power Station Report to the Minister, May 1975
- Wreck Cove, Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia, Hydro Electric Power Project Report to the Minister, August 1976
- Alaska Highway Gas Pipeline project, Yukon Territory
 Interim report to the Minister, August 1, 1977
- Eldorado Nuclear Limited, Uranium Refinery, Port Granby, Ontario Report to the Minister, May 12, 1978
- Shakwak Highway Project, Northern B.C. and Yukon Report to the Minister, June 1978
- Eastern Arctic Offshore Drilling, South Davis Strait Project. N.W.T. Report to the Minister, November 1, 1978
- Lancaster Sound Offshore Drilling Project, Northwest Territories Report to the Minister, February, 1979
- **8.** Eldorado Uranium Hexafluoride Refinery, Ontario Report to the Minister, February, 1979
- Roberts Bank Port Expansion, Roberts Bank, B.C. Report to the Minister, March 1979
- Alaska Highway Gas Pipeline Project. Yukon Public Hearings (March-April 1979)
 Report to the Minister, August 1979
- Banff Highway Project (East Gate to km 13)
 Report to the Minister, October 1979
- Boundary Bay Airport Reactivation
 Report to the Minister, November 1979
- 13. Eldorado Uranium Refinery, R.M. of Corman Park, Saskatchewan Report to the Minister, July 1980
- Arctic Pilot Project, (Northern Component) N. W.T.
 Report to the Minister, October 1980
- Lower Churchill Hydroelectric Project
 Report to the Minister, December 1980
- Norman Wells Oil Field Development and Pipeline Report to the Minister, January 198 1
- 17. Alaska Highway Gas Pipeline project, Yukon Territory Routing Alternatives, Whitehorse/Ibex Region Report to the Minister. July 1981