# CAREY'S POINT-DYKING PROPOSAL

BENEFIT STUDY

Planning Division Water Planning and Operations Branch

> Report to the Fraser River Joint Program Committee March 24, 1971



## Carey's Point Dyking Proposal

## Stage One Preliminary Feasibility Study

#### Summary

Objective:

To evaluate flood control alternatives to obtain maximum net benefits in the Carey's Point area and to provide benefitcost comparisons of alternatives to assist in indicating the relative ranking of the alternatives.

## Results of Study

Since the area is entirely agricultural and flood damage is fairly uniformly dispersed over the entire area, only one alternative has been examined. This alternative is that the area be dyked according to the alignment depicted in Figure 1a. The benefit-cost ratio associated with this alignment is shown in table 1.

### Table 1

Benefit-Cost Relationships - Carey's Point Flood Control Proposal

Alternative	Benefits	Cost	B/C Ratio
Dyke Entire Area	\$112,000	\$990,328	0.1
Do not Dyke Area	-	-	

. .

Benefit Study - Carey's Point Dyking Proposal

A) <u>Objective</u>: To examine possible benefits that might be derived from flood control projects in the Carey's Point area.

B) <u>Scope</u>: Since the area is entirely agricultural and since there is no section of the area with a high flood damage potential, this report includes only the estimates of the costs of one dyke alignment and its derived benefits.

## C) <u>Alternatives:</u>

1) Dyke the area according to the alignment given in Fig. 1.

2) Maintain the existing dyke alignment and leave Carey's Point unprotected.

## D) Assumptions

- 1) The area has limited growth potential. It offers no residential or industrial advantages. It will remain under agricultural use whether or not it is protected.
- 2) The discount rate is 8% per annum
- 3) The economic life of the dyke is 25 years (Within 25 years, some 87% of the possible benefits are realized if an 8% discount rate is assumed).

### E) Damage Criteria

1) Damage to Houses and Loss of Use:

Houses in the Carey Point area are second class houses. Flood damage to contents and structure are estimated as follows on the basis of Acres Ltd. Report (Acres Ltd., <u>Guidelines For Analysis</u>, Vol. 2 Flood Damages, Niagra Falls, 1968)

Damage \$		Flood Level Above Main Floor
1.100	• • • • • •	7 - 44
1,600	• 1.2	1 10
1,700		3 ft.
1,800	· ·	4 ft.
2,600		5 ft. 6 ft

- 2

The average value of houses on Carey's Point is estimated at \$16,000 -

Assumptions: a) Houses have main floors over 2 ft. above ground level.

- b) Floods of return periods of 10 years cause
- disoccupation of flooded houses for 15 days.
- c) Floods of return periods of 50 and 150 years cause disoccupation of flooded houses for 30 days.
- d) The loss of use of the houses is estimated to be
- 1% of the market value of the house per month.

#### 2) Crop Damage:

On the basis of cost estimates prepared by the Chilliwack District Agriculturalist (Pea Crop - 1970) flood damage to vegetable crops is estimated to be \$180/acre. The flood damage to 'pasture - hayland' crops is estimated at \$55 per acre, based on an updating of flood damage data included in the 1961 Benefit Study (by A.R.D. Robertson).

The Carey's Point area is estimated to have a mixture of 70% pasture-hayland and 30% vegetables. Thus, it is estimated that, on the average, one flooded acre in the area represents a loss of \$90.

3) Damage to Barns and Outbuildings

As in the 1961 report, damage to barns is estimated at \$100 per barn and damage to outbuildings is \$25 per building.

#### 4) Livestock Losses

Estimations: a) There are 200 beef cattle in the area that will have to be evacuated in floods with return periods of 50 and 150 years.

- b) They will have to be evacuated for 45 days each flood
- (1961 Benefit Study)
- c) Cattle lose 1.5 lbs. per day per animal (1961 Benefit Study).
- d) Since average beef in 1970 was sold at 54¢ per lb. (Fed. Dept. of Agric.), the total loss for each flood would be \$5,300.

## 5) Damage to Roads and Utilities

Average damage to roads is estimated at \$1,500 per mile (Figure from Engineering Division Report on Squamish).

#### F) Flood Stages, Frequency, and Damage Estimates

Flood stages and frequency were supplied by the Engineering Division and are included in Appendix 2.

Damage for floods of return periods of 2.5, 10, 50 and 150 years was calculated on the basis of the flood stage charts found in the appendix. The information is shown in Appendix 1.

On the basis of this data, a Frequency-Damage Curve was constructed (Fig. 1a).

G) Flood Protection Benefits

The average annual damage that could be prevented with the construction of the dyke proposed in Appendix 3 is \$10,500 (see Fig. 1a). The present value of \$10,500 annually at 8% over 25 years is \$112,000.

H) Costs of Dyke Construction

Dyking costs are given in Appendix 3. It should be noted that these costs do not include annual maintenance or land acquisition costs and represent only total construction costs.

I) Benefit-Cost Analysis

is:

The benefit-cost ratio, based upon parts G and H above,

Present Value of Benefits= 112,000= 0.1Additional Cost of Carey Point Dykes990,328



APPENDIX 1

Possible Flood Damage - 1971: Carey's Point Area

				+		1		1		1	
	Total Damage			ो, <b>∂</b> -व	\$17,050		\$26,190		\$47,830		\$54,300
	Loss to Livestock \$			1		i		5300		5300	
	<u> </u>		Roads	\$	009 00 <del>1</del> 7			600		600	
	(\$) Damage		Out Bldg.	No/\$ Damage			001\$/ħ		5/\$120		5/\$120
			Barns No/\$ Damage		1		1/\$100		2/\$200		3/\$300
				ġ			-			c	480/7800 8280
	-	a		(ft) 4					3 480/7200 7680		
		wert Damag	(\$)	Main Floor 3							3 480/5100 5580
	Houses	Number	otal Damage	epth Above 2							2 320/3200 3520
				Flood De	1		3 240/3300	3540	3 480/3300 3780	2000	
			land	Loss		000 OT		21,850	30.150		30,600
			Farm		6940 <b>0</b>	ς <sub>ΩT</sub>		255	335		340
			. –	_		~					

A. 5. 5.

Report on Flood Elevations and Frequencies in the Carey's Point

#### area of Chilliwhack.

- <u>Objective</u> to provide data on water surface elevations for floods of various return periods in the Carey's Point area to facilitate evaluation of the possible benefits of flood protection.
- Available Data Flood elevation recorded in the area in 1948, 1964, 1967 and 1968 were available, together with profiles derived from these data. The Engineering Division reports "Fraser River Flood Profiles", May 1969, and "Flood Frequencies of the Lower Fraser River", June 1969, were also used. Various contour maps and air photographs were available.
- Results Elevations resulting from a flood with a return period of 150 years, equivalent to the 1894 flood in this area, are shown on Figure 1. Elevations resulting from 50, 10 and 2.5 year floods are shown on Figures 2,3 and 4 respectively. These figures are drawn to a small scale for convenience of illustration. Rough working data plotted to a scale of 400 feet to one inch on maps showing ground contours at 5 foot intervals are available for detailed study.

In view of the preliminary nature of the benefit study no stage-duration data was prepared.

Engineering Division, Pacific Region water Planning and Operations Branch 24 March, 1971









.

# APPENDIX 3

16 March 1971

DYKE WORK GROUP REPORT - PROJECT NO. 5 - TOWNSHIP OF CHILLIWHACK CAREY'S POINT - ENGINEERING COST ESTIMATE

- 1. The Dyke Work Group has estimated the additional cost to construct Carey's Point dykes, bank protection and drainage as \$990,328.00. Attached in support of this estimate is the typical design and the schedule of approximated quantities and unit prices.
- The cost to rehabilitate the dykes on the existing alignment was supplied by Willis, Cunliffe, Tait & Co. Ltd. at \$113,175.00.
- 3. The cost of rip rap was estimated by the Bank Protection Work Group as \$150,000.00.
- 4. Basic assumptions were as follows:
  - a. The new dyke is close to the river and therefore the relief ditch should be continuous and the relief wells at sloughs should be at 25 ft. intervals.
  - b. Only three sectors will be treated as sloughs demanding relief wells.
  - c. Passing places will be required at 2,000 ft. intervals.
  - d. No alternative alignments need be considered.
  - e. No costs for increasing other dyke grades due to narrowing of the river need be considered at this time.
- 5. Calculations of any particular quantity estimate can be made available on request.

A....A. McPherson, P.Eng. Member, Dyke Work Group.

AMP/fg encls. (a)



ALONG TOL (SD 1000)

3 PASSING AREA - 8'X100' @ 2000' INTERVALS.

# PROJECT NO 5

# CAREY POINT DYKES (0+00 to 140+00)

# Schedule of Approximate Quantities and Unit Prices

ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	RATE	AMOUNT	
NO					\$	¢ 
1.	Clearing and grubbing (heavy brush or treed areas	Acre	10.25	600.00	6,150	0(
2.	Stripping	s.y.	41,120	0.30	12,336	0(
3.	Relief pit excavation & com- paction of excavated material on dyke	on & com- d material c.y. 11,260 2.5				0(
4.	Fill compacted in place: (a) Bulk fill of dirty gravel (b) Relief pit (c) Drainage blanket (d) Slough drainage blanket	с.у. с.у. с.у. с.у.	229,000 11,260 9,400 9,860	2.00 2.40 2.00 3.00	458,000 27,024 18,800 29,580	0( 0( 0( 0(
5.	Common excavation ditches and culverts	c.y.	3,100	1.00	3,100	00
6.	Gravel surfacing	c.y.	3,200	4.00	12,800	0(
7.	Fencing	LF	14,000	0.60	8,400	0(
8.	Supply & install gates	each	5	100.00	500	0(
9.	Installation of relief wells	LF	2,850	8.00	22,800	0(
10.	Culverts, intake, outlet, supply, installation backfill	22,000	0(			
11.	Rip rap protection	150,000	0(			
12	Contingency @ 20%				159,928	0(
	Engineering	959,568 143,935	0( 0(			
•	Less the cost of rehabilitating th	\$ 1,103,503 113,175	0(			
	Additional cost to construct the C	\$ 990,328	0(			