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## SECTION 1 - FISCAL YEAR STATISTICS

This section presents data on a fiscal year basis and is inclusive of ongoing installments.
Figure 1 Expenditures in the Discovery Grants Program Elements, 2010-11 to 2016-17**

*Includes additional funding received resulting from Federal Budget 2014
**Projected Expenditures for 2016-17

Figure 2 Number of Grants Funded through Individual and Team Discovery Grants (including those in Subatomic Physics) and Subatomic Physics Projects, 2010-11 to 2016-17**

*Reflects additional funding resulting from Federal Budget 2014
**Projected Numbers of Grants for 2016-17

Figure 3 Average Grant for Individual and Team Discovery Grants (including those in Subatomic Physics) and Subatomic Physics Projects, 2010-11 to 2016-17**

*Reflects additional funding resulting from Federal Budget 2014
**Projected Average for 2016-17

## SECTION 2 - COMPETITION STATISTICS

For the 2016 DG competition, the total awarded amount for Discovery and Subatomic Physics (Individual and Team) Grants was $\$ 70.1$ million. The number of DG applications in 2016 was 3,191 . Going into the competition, there were 1,641 renewal applicants who held grants of, on average, $\$ 32,719$; after the competition, there are 2,115 funded researchers, at an average grant level of \$33,155.

## Table 1 Overall Comparative Statistics 2016 Discovery Grants Competition ${ }^{1}$

| Data $^{1}$ | Success <br> Rate | Average <br> Grant (\$) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Early Career Researchers (ECR) | $75 \%$ | $\$ 26,741$ |
| Established Researchers (ER) |  |  |
| $\quad$ Renewing their grant (ER-R) | $82 \%$ | $\$ 36,471$ |
| $\quad$ Not Holding a Grant ${ }^{2}$ (ER-NHG) | $37 \%$ | $\$ 27,814$ |

1. Includes Discovery and Subatomic Physics (Individual and Team) Grants, but excludes the Subatomic Physics Projects. It cannot therefore be compared with data presented in Figure 3.
2. Includes returning established unfunded applicants and experienced researchers submitting a first application.

Figure 4 Distribution of Grant Levels to Successful Applicants, 2016 Competition


Figure 5 Change in Grant Level, 2016 Competition
a) All Established Researchers



Figure 6 Percentage Change in Grant Level for Established Researchers Renewing a Grant, 2016 Competition


Figure 7 Success Rate ${ }^{1}$ by Category of Individual Applicants, Competition Years 2010-16

${ }^{1}$ Only includes Discovery Grant Individual
Note: Success rate for 2014 has not been adjusted to reflect additional funding resulting from Federal Budget.

## Table 2 Number of Applications and Awards by Category of Applicants, 2010-16

| Competition Year | Number of Applications ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Number of Awards ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ECR | ER-R | $\begin{gathered} E R- \\ N H G \end{gathered}$ | Total | ECR | ER-R | $\begin{gathered} \text { ER- } \\ \text { NHG } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| 2010 | 513 | 1,864 | 902 | 3,279 | 305 | 1,348 | 264 | 1,917 |
| 2011 | 457 | 1,835 | 1,137 | 3,429 | 250 | 1,363 | 373 | 1,986 |
| 2012 | 480 | 1,848 | 1,102 | 3,430 | 298 | 1,438 | 399 | 2,135 |
| 2013 | 474 | 1,853 | 1,128 | 3,455 | 284 | 1,404 | 338 | 2,026 |
| 2014 | 427 | 1,647 | 1,060 | 3,134 | 280 | 1,324 | 401 | 2,005 |
| 2015 | 489 | 1,632 | 1,038 | 3,159 | 317 | 1,342 | 400 | 2,059 |
| 2016 | 494 | 1,622 | 1,051 | 3,167 | 369 | 1,333 | 392 | 2,094 |

${ }^{1}$ Only includes Discovery Grant Individual
Note: Number of award for 2014 has not been adjusted to reflect additional funding resulting from Federal Budget.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table } 3 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Discovery Grants }{ }^{1} \text { Competition Results by University (including Affiliated } \\ \text { University Research Centers), } 2016 \text { Competition }\end{array}\end{array}$

|  | Early Career Researchers |  |  | Established Researchers - Renewing |  |  | Established Researchers - Not Holding a <br> Grant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universities | Success <br> Rate (\%) | Total Awarded (\$) | Average Grant (\$) | Success <br> Rate (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Awarded (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Average Grant (\$) | Success Rate (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Awarded (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Average Grant (\$) |
| Acadia University | * | * | * | 50\% | 70,000 | 23,333 | * | * | * |
| Algoma University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Athabasca University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Bishop's University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Brandon University | 67\% | 107,000 | 26,750 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| British Columbia Institute of Technology | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Brock University | * | * | * | 69\% | 294,000 | 32,667 | 17\% | 29,000 | 29,000 |
| Cape Breton University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Carleton University | 75\% | 244,900 | 27,211 | 73\% | 961,400 | 30,044 | 29\% | 148,500 | 24,750 |
| Centre de recherche informatique de Montréal | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Centre for Cold Ocean Resources Engineering | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Concordia University | 92\% | 314,000 | 28,545 | 70\% | 747,000 | 32,478 | 52\% | 236,000 | 21,455 |
| Dalhousie University | 60\% | 245,000 | 27,222 | 74\% | 882,000 | 31,500 | 47\% | 416,000 | 26,000 |
| École de technologie supérieure | 100\% | 246,000 | 24,600 | 89\% | 476,000 | 28,000 | 40\% | 145,000 | 24,167 |
| École Polytechnique de Montréal | 86\% | 148,000 | 24,667 | 89\% | 1,118,000 | 32,882 | 36\% | 98,000 | 24,500 |
| Grant MacEwan University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| HEC Montréal | * | * | * | 100\% | 183,000 | 26,143 | * | * | * |
| Institut national de la recherche scientifique | 67\% | 121,000 | 30,250 | 92\% | 444,000 | 40,364 | * | * | * |
| Lakehead University | * | * | * | 50\% | 81,000 | 27,000 | 18\% | 72,000 | 24,000 |
| Laurentian University | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% | 74,000 | 24,667 |
| McGill University | 87\% | 753,000 | 27,889 | 87\% | 2,929,320 | 40,128 | 45\% | 942,363 | 32,495 |
| McMaster University | 71\% | 145,000 | 29,000 | 88\% | 1,940,900 | 36,621 | 36\% | 242,000 | 26,889 |
| Memorial University of Newfoundland | 87\% | 350,000 | 26,923 | 76\% | 589,700 | 31,037 | 38\% | 274,000 | 22,833 |
| Mount Allison University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mount Royal University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mount Saint Vincent University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Nipissing University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| OCAD University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Queen's University | 57\% | 124,000 | 31,000 | 92\% | 1,454,720 | 40,409 | 41\% | 325,000 | 36,111 |
| Royal Military College of Canada | * | * | * | 50\% | 120,000 | 40,000 | 9\% | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| Royal Roads University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Ryerson University | * | * | * | 71\% | 401,000 | 26,733 | 24\% | 151,000 | 25,167 |
| Saint Mary's University | * | * | * | 67\% | 142,000 | 35,500 | * | * | * |
| Simon Fraser University | * | * | * | 88\% | 1,233,913 | 35,255 | 33\% | 164,000 | 32,800 |
| St. Francis Xavier University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| TTéé-université | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| The King's University College (Edmonton) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| The University of British Columbia | 92\% | 589,000 | 26,773 | 88\% | 4,652,400 | 42,683 | 44\% | 530,000 | 31,176 |
| The University of Western Ontario | 60\% | 156,000 | 26,000 | 86\% | 2,133,002 | 37,421 | 33\% | 472,000 | 33,714 |
| The University of Winnipeg | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% | 78,000 | 26,000 |
| Thompson Rivers University | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17\% | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| Trent University | * | * | * | 75\% | 187,000 | 31,167 | * | * | * |
| Trinity Western University | * | * | * | * | , | , | * | * | * |
| TRIUMF | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université de Moncton | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université de Montréal | 63\% | 334,000 | 27,833 | 85\% | 1,571,400 | 35,714 | 45\% | 501,000 | 27,833 |
| Université de Sherbrooke | 83\% | 146,000 | 29,200 | 84\% | 927,000 | 34,333 | 42\% | 357,000 | 27,462 |
| Université du Québec à Chicoutimi | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université du Québec à Montréal | 40\% | 88,000 | 22,000 | 80\% | 643,000 | 32,150 | 33\% | 107,000 | 26,750 |
| Université du Québec à Rimouski | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières | * | * | * | 60\% | 151,000 | 25,167 | 29\% | 49,000 | 24,500 |
| Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université du Québec en Outaouais | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Université Laval | 70\% | 407,000 | 25,438 | 78\% | 1,641,000 | 35,674 | 44\% | 433,000 | 25,471 |
| University of Alberta | 66\% | 507,000 | 26,684 | 85\% | 3,103,500 | 37,848 | 36\% | 655,000 | 27,292 |
| University of Calgary | 93\% | 370,000 | 26,429 | 75\% | 1,415,000 | 37,237 | 50\% | 639,000 | 29,045 |
| University of Guelph | 82\% | 248,900 | 27,656 | 79\% | 1,593,400 | 38,863 | 28\% | 189,000 | 27,000 |
| University of Lethbridge | * | * | , | 100\% | 375,000 | 46,875 | * | + | + |
| University of Manitoba | 82\% | 357,000 | 25,500 | 95\% | 1,226,200 | 32,268 | 36\% | 336,000 | 25,846 |
| University of New Brunswick | 57\% | 87,000 | 21,750 | 79\% | 568,000 | 29,895 | 41\% | 148,000 | 21,143 |
| University of Northern British Columbia | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| University of Ontario Institute of Technology | * | * | * | 77\% | 309,000 | 30,900 | 20\% | 71,000 | 35,500 |
| University of Ottawa | 87\% | 539,000 | 26,950 | 87\% | 1,796,260 | 38,218 | 41\% | 373,214 | 28,709 |
| University of Prince Edward Island | * | * | * | 67\% | 95,000 | 23,750 | 30\% | 77,000 | 25,667 |
| University of Regina | 67\% | 87,000 | 21,750 | 36\% | 154,200 | 38,550 | 8\% | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| University of Saskatchewan | 100\% | 293,000 | 26,636 | 76\% | 1,084,000 | 33,875 | 49\% | 452,000 | 26,588 |
| University of the Fraser Valley | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| University of Toronto | 86\% | 1,261,800 | 28,677 | 85\% | 4,692,098 | 42,655 | 47\% | 807,000 | 31,038 |
| University of Victoria | 86\% | 151,000 | 25,167 | 84\% | 1,187,200 | 37,100 | 63\% | 142,000 | 28,400 |
| University of Waterloo | 91\% | 546,000 | 27,300 | 94\% | 3,285,360 | 36,504 | 62\% | 514,000 | 28,556 |
| University of Windsor | * | * | * | 76\% | 464,000 | 35,692 | 10\% | 41,000 | 20,500 |
| Vancouver Island University | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Wilfrid Laurier University | * | * | * | 71\% | 262,000 | 26,200 | * | * | * |
| York University | 63\% | 120,000 | 24,000 | 76\% | 731,000 | 33,227 | 20\% | 94,000 | 31,333 |
| Grand Total | 75\% | 9,947,800 | 26,741 | 82\% | 49,272,673 | 36,471 | 37\% | 10,903,077 | 27,814 |

Table 4 Statistics by University Size, 2016 Competition

| Category of Applicants | Data | University Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large | Medium | Small |
| Early Career Researchers | Number of Applications | 340 | 87 | 71 |
|  | Number of grants | 273 | 64 | 35 |
|  | Success Rate | 80\% | 74\% | 49\% |
|  | Total Amount | \$7,441,700 | \$1,666,900 | \$839,200 |
|  | Average Grant | \$27,259 | \$26,045 | \$23,977 |
| Established Researchers - Renewing | Number of Applications | 1231 | 251 | 159 |
|  | Number of grants | 1,052 | 193 | 106 |
|  | Success Rate | 85\% | 77\% | 67\% |
|  | Total Amount | \$39,866,673 | \$6,144,800 | \$3,261,200 |
|  | Average Grant | \$37,896 | \$31,838 | \$30,766 |
| Established Researchers - Not Holding a Grant | Number of Applications | 693 | 184 | 175 |
|  | Number of grants | 296 | 61 | 35 |
|  | Success Rate | 43\% | 33\% | 20\% |
|  | Total Amount | \$8,587,577 | \$1,445,500 | \$870,000 |
|  | Average Grant | \$29,012 | \$23,697 | \$24,857 |

Table 5 Success Rate by Category of Applicants and University Size, 2012-16

|  | Large |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | Small |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Early Career Researchers | 66\% | 64\% | 70\% | 67\% | 80\% | 64\% | 58\% | 62\% | 76\% | 74\% | 48\% | 43\% | 48\% | 47\% | 49\% |
| Established Researchers Renewing | 81\% | 80\% | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 72\% | 69\% | 74\% | 75\% | 77\% | 63\% | 57\% | 63\% | 72\% | 67\% |
| Established Researchers - Not Holding a Grant | 42\% | 34\% | 42\% | 44\% | 43\% | 33\% | 24\% | 37\% | 32\% | 33\% | 19\% | 21\% | 23\% | 24\% | 20\% |

Note: Success rate for 2014 has not been adjusted to reflect additional funding resulting from Federal Budget.
As a result of peer review, applications are placed in 16 "quality bins" based on their merit against the three selection criteria using a six point scale - Excellence of Researcher (EoR), Merit of Proposal (MoP), and Contribution to the Training of High Quality Personnel (HQP). Figure 8 shows the distribution of applications for Early Career Researchers (ECR), Established Researchers Renewing their grant (ER-R), and Established Researchers Not Holding a Grant (ER-NHG) at the time of application between 2011 and 2015, and the same distribution for the 2016 competition. Budget permitting, NSERC aims to support Established Researchers to Bin J (which corresponds to ratings of Strong on three criteria or equivalent) and ECR to Bin K or Bin L.

Figure 8 Distribution of Applications ${ }^{1}$ by Quality Bin
a) 2016 Competition
b) 2011-2015 Competitions


${ }^{1}$ Does not include results for Subatomic Physics

Note: Distribution of Applications for b) has not been adjusted to reflect additional funding resulting from Federal Budget in 2014.

Table 6 Number of applications ${ }^{1}$ by Quality Bins by University Size, 2016 Competition

|  | University Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bin | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| A | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| B | 12 | $0.5 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| C | 20 | $0.9 \%$ | 1 | $0.2 \%$ | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| D | 41 | $1.8 \%$ | 7 | $1.4 \%$ | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| E | 91 | $4.0 \%$ | 9 | $1.7 \%$ | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |
| F | 170 | $7.6 \%$ | 11 | $2.1 \%$ | 7 | $1.7 \%$ |
| G | 199 | $8.9 \%$ | 26 | $5.0 \%$ | 15 | $3.7 \%$ |
| H | 229 | $10.2 \%$ | 46 | $8.9 \%$ | 16 | $4.0 \%$ |
| I | 322 | $14.3 \%$ | 74 | $14.3 \%$ | 30 | $7.4 \%$ |
| J | 389 | $17.3 \%$ | 97 | $18.8 \%$ | 71 | $17.6 \%$ |
| K | 334 | $14.9 \%$ | 103 | $20.0 \%$ | 60 | $14.9 \%$ |
| L | 215 | $9.6 \%$ | 76 | $14.7 \%$ | 77 | $19.1 \%$ |
| M | 154 | $6.9 \%$ | 49 | $9.5 \%$ | 70 | $17.4 \%$ |
| N | 40 | $1.8 \%$ | 10 | $1.9 \%$ | 27 | $6.7 \%$ |
| O | 17 | $0.8 \%$ | 4 | $0.8 \%$ | 14 | $3.5 \%$ |
| P | 14 | $0.6 \%$ | 3 | $0.6 \%$ | 8 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | 2248 | $100.0 \%$ | 516 | $100.0 \%$ | 403 | $100.0 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Does not include results from Subatomic Physics

The rating pattern of applications in Bin K, which usually is the first bin not funded for Established Researchers is presented in Figure 9. Bin K generally corresponds to receiving two ratings of Strong and one Moderate. Other combinations - such as a Very Strong, a Strong, and an Insufficient; or two ratings of Moderate and a Very Strong make up the rest of the cases.

Figure 9 Percentage of Occurrences of Various Combinations of Ratings ${ }^{1}$ in Bin K by University Size for the 2016 Competition
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## SECTION 3 - STATISTICS BY EVALUATION GROUP

## Table 7 Success Rate, Average Grant and Total Amount Awarded by

 Category of Applicant for Each Evaluation Group, 2016 Competition| 1501 - Genes, Cells and Molecules | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 73\% | 73\% | 37\% |
| Average Grant | \$33,236 | \$38,312 | \$33,659 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$2,393,000 | \$5,555,260 | \$3,063,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1502 - Biological Systems and Functions | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 74\% | 85\% | 36\% |
| Average Grant | \$26,942 | \$37,673 | \$28,600 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$1,427,930 | \$7,270,940 | \$1,744,577 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1503 - Evolution and Ecology | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 67\% | 87\% | 46\% |
| Average Grant | \$26,611 | \$39,068 | \$25,235 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$479,000 | \$3,359,880 | \$429,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1504 - Chemistry | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 78\% | 91\% | 38\% |
| Average Grant | \$25,833 | \$51,955 | \$29,000 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$465,000 | \$5,818,918 | \$609,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1505 - Physics* | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 86\% | 92\% | 42\% |
| Average Grant | \$25,350 | \$41,692 | \$21,533 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$760,500 | \$5,128,082 | \$323,000 |

*Includes Subatomic Physics Discovery Individual and Group, but not Projects

|  |  | Established Researchers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Career |  | E Geosciences |  | Researchers |
|  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |  |
| Success Rate | $72 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Average Grant | $\$ 23,100$ | $\$ 34,735$ | $\$ 24,063$ |
| Total Amount Awarded | $\$ 531,300$ | $\$ 2,500,902$ | $\$ 770,000$ |


| $\mathbf{1 5 0 7}$ - Computer Science | Early Career | Established Researchers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Researchers | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
|  | $64 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Average Grant | $\$ 24,480$ | $\$ 32,237$ | $\$ 25,545$ |
| Total Amount Awarded | $\$ 612,000$ | $\$ 4,061,831$ | $\$ 562,000$ |


| 1508 - Mathematics and Statistics | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 74\% | 88\% | 48\% |
| Average Grant | \$19,338 | \$21,937 | \$18,240 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$502,800 | \$2,522,740 | \$456,000 |
| 1509-Civil, industrial and Systems Engineering | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 75\% | 84\% | 43\% |
| Average Grant | \$22,953 | \$28,220 | \$21,414 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$987,000 | \$3,076,000 | \$621,000 |
| 1510 - Electrical and Computer Engineering | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 83\% | 72\% | 36\% |
| Average Grant | \$31,600 | \$42,149 | \$33,183 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$790,000 | \$4,004,120 | \$995,500 |
| 1511 - Materials and Chemical Engineering | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 71\% | 79\% | 34\% |
| Average Grant | \$28,189 | \$37,013 | \$32,857 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$338,270 | \$2,961,000 | \$690,000 |
| 1512 - Mechanical Engineering | Early Career Researchers | Established Researchers |  |
|  |  | Renewing | Not Holding a Grant |
| Success Rate | 82\% | 82\% | 38\% |
| Average Grant | \$24,481 | \$31,716 | \$22,857 |
| Total Amount Awarded | \$661,000 | \$3,013,000 | \$640,000 |

The distribution of applications by quality bins is presented in Figure 10 for each Evaluation Group (EG). The bin value illustrated represents the value of the bin for the normal cost of research for Establish Researchers (ER). For Early Career Researchers (ECR), the bin value is $\$ 5000$ more than the bin amount.

Figure 10 Distribution of the Fraction of Applications by Quality Bin for Each Evaluation Group, 2016 Competition
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## SECTION 4 - STATISTICS BY GENDER

As part of NSERC's commitment to gender equality, processes and competitions are monitored to ensure that no potential bias affects the evaluation of any submission.
Analysis of the outcome of the 2016 Discovery Grants competition is presented in this section.

Table 8 Proportion of Applicants by Gender and Career Stage, 2016 Competition

|  | Gender |  | NotIndicated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| Assistant Professor | 21\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Associate Professor | 29\% | 36\% | 28\% |
| Professor | 45\% | 31\% | 36\% |
| Adjunct, Emeriti \& Other | 5\% | 3\% | 6\% |

Figure 11 Discovery Grant Success Rate and Average Awarded Amount by Career Stage and Gender, 2016 Competition


Figure 12 Discovery Grant Success Rate and Average Grant by Applicant Status and Gender, 2016 Competition


Figure 13 Discovery Grant Success Rate by Gender and Evaluation Group, 2016 Competition


## SECTION 5 - RESEARCH TOOLS AND INSTRUMENTS

Table 9 Overall Comparative Statistics, 2014-2016 Research Tools and Instruments Competitions

|  | 2014* | 2015 | 2016 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requested Amount | $\$ 51,330,842$ | $\$ 73,990,321$ | $\$ 79,110,834$ |
| Awarded Amount | $\$ 19,542,330$ | $\$ 25,207,106$ | $\$ 26,133,975$ |
| Funding Rate | $38 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Number of Applications | 468 | 666 | 657 |
| Number of Awarded Applications | 176 | 218 | 215 |
| Success Rate | $38 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

* Includes additional funding received resulting from Federal Budget 2014

Table 10 Research Tools and Instruments 2016 Competition Results by Evaluation Group

| $\begin{gathered} \text { RTI } \\ \text { EG \# } \end{gathered}$ | RTI EGs | Requested | Awarded | Funding Rate | Applied | Awarded | Success Rate | Average Requested | Average Awarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1601 | Genes, Cells and Molecules (RTI) | \$10,042,322 | \$3,260,972 | 32.47 \% | 87 | 29 | 33.33 \% | \$115,429 | \$112,447 |
| 1602 | Biological Systems and Functions (RTI) | \$9,926,973 | \$3,398,531 | 34.24 \% | 87 | 29 | 33.33 \% | \$114,103 | \$117,191 |
| 1603 | Environmental Sciences (RTI) | \$8,898,201 | \$3,032,482 | 34.08 \% | 82 | 28 | 34.15 \% | \$108,515 | \$108,303 |
| 1604 | Chemistry (RTI) | \$10,585,492 | \$3,552,178 | 33.56 \% | 87 | 26 | 29.89 \% | \$121,672 | \$136,622 |
| 1605 | Physics (RTI) | \$7,488,067 | \$2,438,480 | 32.56 \% | 56 | 19 | 33.93 \% | \$133,715 | \$128,341 |
| 1607 | Computer, Mathematical and Statistical Sciences (RTI) | \$2,562,796 | \$858,974 | 33.52 \% | 24 | 7 | 29.17 \% | \$106,783 | \$122,711 |
| 1609 | Engineering (RTI) | \$29,606,983 | \$9,592,358 | 32.40 \% | 234 | 77 | 32.91 \% | \$126,526 | \$124,576 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ MSS: Moderate for EoR, Strong for MoP, Strong for HQP SMS: Strong for EoR, Moderate for MoP, Strong for HQP
    SSM: Strong for EoR, Strong for MoP, Moderate for HQP

