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## HOMICIDE IN CANADA by Holly Johnson.

The homicide rate in Canada has gone through several distinct phases in the past twenty-five years. Between 1962 and 1977 , the number of homicides per 100,000 population increased steadily from 1.4 to 3.1. In the period 1978-1985, however, the homicide rate was relatively stable, fluctuating between 2.5 and 2.8. Then, in 1986, the rate dropped sharply to 2.2 , the lowest level since 1971

The actual number of homicides followed a similar pattern. Between 1962 and 1977, the number of homicides almost tripled, increasing from 265 to 711 . Then, in the period 1978-1984, the number of homicides stabilized, ranging from a high of 682 in 1983 to a low of 593 in 1980. In 1985, there were 704 homicides in Canada, but the number fell sharply to just 561 in 1986.

Although homicides attract a great deal of publicity, they make up only a small proportion of all reported violent crimes. Between 1962 and 1985, homicides ranged between $0.4 \%$ and $0.6 \%$ of all reported violent crimes. In 1986, this figure dropped even lower to $0.3 \%$.

The incidence of homicide is also relatively low compared with other forms of non-natural death. In 1985, there were 2.8 homicides per 100,000 population; the same year, there were 16.2 deaths per 100,000 population as a result of traffic accidents, 14.9 as a result of other accidents, and 12.9 suicides.

## Homicide Rates Increase from Rast to West

As with other violent offences, homicide rates in Canada are generally highest in the western provinces and lowest in the Atlantic region. In 1986, Manitoba had the highest provincial homicide rate, with 4.4 homicides for every 100,000 residents. British Columbia (3.1) and Alberta (2.7) were also characterized by relatively high homicide rates. On the other hand, there were no homicides in Prince Edward Island in 1986 , and just 0.7 per 100,000 residents in Newfoundland.

The Northwest and Yukon Territories both had homicide rates far in excess of the national rate. In 1986 , there were 27.5 homicides per 100,000 residents in the Northwest Territories, while in the Yukon Territory, the rate was 13.1.

## Firearms and Other Methods of Homicide

From 1976 to 1978 , about $38 \%$ of homicides annually involved firearms. In 1979, the year after gun control legislation came into
 force, the proportion declined to $33 \%$. Since then, the proportion remained at about that level, except for 1982 , when the figure climbed to $37 \%$. In $1986,31 \%$ of homicides were the result of shooting. The proportion of homicides involving handguns, though, remained relatively stable. Throughout the 1976-1985 period, about $10 \%$ of homicides involved handguns each year. In 1986, however, the proportion fell to $7 \%$.

Between 1976 and 1986, the percentage of all homicides caused by stabbing increased from $20 \%$ to $29 \%$, while the percentage due to beatings remained at $22 \%$.

## Homicides Committed during Another Crime

In 1986, $17 \%$ of all homicides occurred during the commission of another crime. This figure, however, fluctuated somewhat in the last decade. It rose from $13 \%$ in 1976 to about $20 \%$ in 1981 and 1982 , and then fell back to $14 \%$ in 1984.

Robbery, theft, and break and enter were the criminal acts that most often resulted in homicide. Homicides that took place during the commission of one of these offences increased from $8 \%$ of all homicides in 1976 to $13 \%$ in 1985, but dropped to $11 \%$ in 1986 . Homicides committed during a sexual assault made up $2 \%$ of all homicides in $1976,6 \%$ in 1981, and $4 \%$ in 1986.

The types of crimes resulting in homicide differ according to the sex of the victim. The most common type of offence resulting in the death of a woman was sexual assault, while for men the most common were robbery, theft, and break and enter.
was sexual assault, while for men the most common were robbery, theft, and break and enter.

## Homicides and Alcohol and Drug Consumption

In a substantial proportion of homicides, either the suspect or the victim had consumed alcohol or drugs. Between 1976 and 1986, the proportion of homicides involving these substances ranged from one-quarter to one-third. Most involved alcohol. In 1986, for example, $22 \%$ of homicides were classified as alcoholrelated, while $3 \%$ involved drug usage

## A High Proportion of Homicides Solved

Relative to other crimes, the police solve a high proportion of homicides. In 1986. $76 \%$ of homicides resulted in charges

## Homicide and the Criminal Code

Homicide currently includes three categories: murder, manslaughter and infanticide. According to poLice records, most homicides, about $91 \%$ annually, are murders. Another $8 \%$ are classified as manslaughter, while fewer than $1 \%$ are infanticides.

Murder is further broken down into first- and second-degree murder. First-degree murder includes planned and deliberate murder; murder of a police or custodial officer killed in the line of cluty; murder committed in the course of certain other criminal acts such as hijacking, kidnapping or sexual offences; and murder committed by someone previously convicted of either first- or second-degree murder. All other murder is considered second degree.

The penalty for both first- and second-degree murder is life imprisonnment. Persons convicted of first degree murder are eligible for parole after 25 years; those convicted of second-degree murder must serve at least 10 years before they are eligible for parole.

Manslaughter generally is the killing of someone without intent The maximum sentence for manslaughter is life. Infanticide is the killing of a newborn child by its mother; it carries a maximum sentence of five years in)prisonment.
being laid against an accused. In another $9 \%$ of cases, a suspect was identified but not charged. In most of these cases the suspect either committed suicide immediately after the incident, confessed and subsequently died, or was committed to a mental hospital. Fifteen percent of homicides committed in 1986 were unsolved.

Incomparison, police laid charges in only $40 \%$ of other violent crimes in 1986, while another $30 \%$ were cleared otherwise. Almost $30 \%$ of wiolent crimes onthGichan hombek were no wolved.

## Homicide Suspects

The majority of homicide suspects are youngmen. In $1986,57 \%$ of all homicide suspects were men aged 29 or under. Overall, men made up $85 \%$ of homicide suspects that year. The age and sex profile of homicide suspects remained relatively constant over the last decade.

Berween 1976 and 1986 , the annual percentage of homicide suspects of native origin fluctuated berween 18\% and $23 \%$. In $1986,20 \%$ of homicide suspects were native, a proportion con-



## Homicide Rates in Canada and Other Countries

Historically, Canada's homicide rate has been between one-third and-one-cjuarter of that in the l'nited states. In 1986 . the homicide rate in

Canada was 2.2 compared with 86 in the LiS

Relative to other countries with comparable measures of
homicide, the 1985 Canadian
homicide rate of 2.8 was higher than in Scotland (1.1), England and Wales
(1.2), and sweden ( 1.5 ), but kower than in Italy (4.4) and France (4.6)
tion of native people in the general population.

## Homicide Victims

llistorically, fust over one-third of homicide vietims have been women and about two-thirds men. In 1986, 36\% were female and $6.4 \%$ male. About half of all victims have been between the ages of 18 and 39

The pereentage of homicide victims who were of native origin fluctuated during the last decade, ranging from a low of $12 \%$ to a high of $19 \%$. In 1986, 14\% of homicide victims were of native origin.

## Victim-Suspect Relationship and Location of Homicide

A popular image of homicide is that of a stranger-to-stranger attack in a park or dark alley. While such incidents do occur, the majority of homicides involved people who knew each other, and most took place in a home setting.

In $40 \%$ of homicides solved in 1986 , suspects and victims were domestically related, while another $35 \%$ involved social or business acquaintances. In only $25 \%$ of cases did the victim and suspect not know each other

Domestic homicides were predomi-
nanly those in which vietims and suspects were immediate narital or common-law family members: $37 \%$ involved wives killed by husbands: $29 \%$ were children killed by parents; 10\% were parents killed by children; $10 \%$ were husbands killed by wives; and $4 \%$ involved siblings Other family relationships such as grandparent, uncle, aunt or cousin made up the remaining $9 \%$ of domestic homicides.

The largest proportion of homicides occurred in the victim's home. In 1986, $47 \%$ of all homicides took place in the home of the victim, which may also have
been the suspect's home. Another 9\% occurred in the suspect's residence.

Only $17 \%$ of homicides happened in a public place. An additional $10 \%$ occurred in a private place, such as another residence, while $2 \%$ took place in a correctional institution, and $5 \%$ in otherlocations. The actual location of $9 \%$ of homicides was not known.

Homicides in the victim's home are most likely to involve people who share a domestic relationship. Over half $(53 \%)$ of homicides in the victim's home in 1986 involved relatives. white $17 \%$ involved acquaintances. A substantial proportion $(12 \%)$, however, occurred during the commission of another crime and involved non-relatives. Anadditional $4 \%$ of offenders were unknown to the victims and $14 \%$ of cases were unsolved.

The nature and circumstances of homicides vary depending on the sex of the victims. Women are more likely than men to be killed in their own homes, and at the hands of someone domestically related to them. In 1986,61\% of female homicide victims were killed in their homes compared with $40 \%$ of male victims. At the same time, $62 \%$ of female homicide victims compared with $27 \%$ of male victims were killed by someone related to them through kinship, marriage or common-law union. As well, the overwhelming majority ( $79 \%$ ) of victims of spousal homicide were women.

## Police and Correctional Officers Murdered

The number of police officers reported murdered while on duty in Canada each year has not chang. ed substantially over the past twenty-five years. Between 1962 and 1986 , a total of 92 police officers, or about 4 per year, were murdered. The annual number ranged from a low of 1 to a high of 11. Four officers were murdered in 1986.

Between 1962 and 1986,16 staff members of correctional institutions were killed in the line of duty. The highest annual number of such homicides in a year was 3 in 1975, 1978, and 1982. In 1986. no correctional staff members were murdered.

Holly Johnson is an analyst utth the Cancdian Cemare for Justice Siatistics, Statistics caprada.


For most people homicide statistics stop here. But others need to know more and that Fomtcide in ormat. suspects, victims, thetr age datal More detail on suships with each other, the and sex, their relations crime, and even the circumstances of the crime, and even the methods used.
Covering a 10 -year period, this historical perspective examines at both the national and provincial levels:

- the development of the Canadian criminal law on homicide
- fluctuations in homicide offences and total crimes of violence in Canada - alcohol or drug consumption and other forms of unnatural death such as suicide.
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# WOMEN IN MALE-DOMINATED PROFESSIONS 

by Katherine Marshall

0ne of the most significant social trends in Canada over the past severall decades has been the dramatic increase in the labour force participation of women. Concerns have been raised, however, that white the number of working women has increased, most are still employed in so-called women's occupations which are often characterized by poor pay and low status.

There is evidence, however, that in the period 1971-1981, women made substantial in-roads into what have traditionally been male-dominated professionat occupations. These professions are of particular interest because they are generally among the best paid occupations in Canada, and most carry high levels of social status.

For the purposes of this report, professionall occupations were those in

Which $45 \%$ or more of those employed in that occupation in 1981 had at least a bachetor's degree. For comparability, the same occupations were considered professional in 19-1. A profession was classified as male-dominated if $65 \%$ or more of the people employed in it in 1971 were men. Overall, 46occupational groups met the criterion for being professional; 34 of these were classified as maledominated.

While women did make substantial gains in male-dominated professions in the 1970 s, they were still significantly under-represented in these professions in
1981. As well, because these occupations often involve considerable commitment (1) the workforce and a demanding workload (elements not generally compatible with a woman's conventional fanily role), many women entering these fields had to adopt new patterns of behaviour. Women in male-dominated professions, for example, were more likely than women in other occupations to have never marricd, or if married, to have had fewer children or to be childless.

The toral number of women employed in male-dominated professions in Canada rose from 30,410 in 1971 to 83,340 in 1981 ; this increase accounted for $29 \%$ of the overall growth in these occupations cluring this period. As a result, in 1981, women made up $19 \%$ of all those employed in make-

Women in Non-Male-Dominated Professions, 1971 and 1981

|  | Total number of women |  |  | Woman as a \% of total growth in profession 1971-1981 | Women as a $\%$ of total employment in profession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1971$ | 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { increase } \\ \text { i971-1981 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1971 | 1981 |
| Psychologists | 2,035 | 4,600 | 126.0 | 56.1 | 48.7 | 52.6 |
| Social workers | 7,230 | 21,020 | 190.7 | 68.8 | 55.4 | 63.5 |
| Supervisors in library, museum and archival |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sciences | 600 | 1,440 | 140.0 | 79.2 | 47.4 | 62.1 |
| Educational and vocational |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary and kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| teachers | 140,500 | 152,335 | 8.4 | 60.6 | 83.9 | 81.5 |
| Secondary school teachers | 56,615 | 63,320 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 47.2 | 43.8 |
| Postsecondary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers of exceptional |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiotherapists, occupational and other therapists | 5,895 | 12,525 | 112.5 | 86.9 | 82.9 | 85.0 |
| Dieticians and nutritionists | 2,010 | 3,280 | 63.2 | 91.7 | 95.9 | 94.3 |
| Translators and interpreters | 1,395 | 4,340 | 211.1 | 64.4 | 57.1 | 61.9 |
| Totai | 234,240 | 299,250 | 27.8 | 62.3 | 67.4 | 66.2 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada.

## Women in Non-Male-Dominated Professions

By far, the vast majority of professional women work in the 12 occupational groups that were not male-dominated. In 1981, 78\% of professional women, compared with just $30 \%$ of male professionals. were employed in one of these oecupations. In fact, in 1981, $62 \%$ of all professional women were in teaching-related positions; however. this Dromortion was
down from $79 \%$ in 1971 . The female component of non-maledominated prolessions ranged from $94 \%$ of dieticians and nutritionists, to $44 \%$ of secondary school teachers.

Between 1971 and 1981, the representation of women increased in 8 of the 12 non-male dominated professions and declined in the others. Overall.
while female representation dropped in only 5 of the 46 professions, because cleclines oc cured in the two largest
female-dominated occupations, elementary and kindergarten. and secondary school teachers, the percentage of women in all professional occupations actually fell slightly from $43.1 \%$ in 1971 to $42.5 \%$ in 1981
dominated professions, up from $11 \%$ in 1971

During the 1971-1981 period, the proportional representation of women increased in all but 1 of the 34 professions identified as male-dominated. In addition, women accounted for the major share of the overall growth in cmployment in several of these occupations. In fact, women accounted for more than half of the total increase in employment in 6 of the 34 maledominated professions. The largest increase occurred among pharmacists; women accounted for $78 \%$ of total employment growth in this profession over the 1971-1981 period.

The other professions in which women made up more than half of total employment growth were university teaching and related occupations ${ }^{1}$ ( $55 \%$ ), mathematicians, statisticians and actuaries ( $55 \%$ ), management occupations in the social sciences and related fields ( $54 \%$ ), optometrists ( $52 \%$ ), and chemists ( $51 \%$ ).

Women also accounted for more than $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ of the total increase in employment among community co'lege and vocational school teachers ( $45 \%$ ), university teachers' ( $44 \%$ ), sociologists, anthropologists and other social scientists ( $43 \%$ ), and administrators in teaching and related fields ( $41 \%$ ).

As a result of this growth, the prosportional representation of women in many of these professions also increased dramatically. The percentage of all pharmacists who were women, for example, rose from $25 \%$ in 1971 to $42 \%$ in 1981 . In the same period, women as a proportion of all those employed in university teaching and related occupations increased from $30 \%$ to $46 \%$, while for management positions in the social sciences and related fields, the increase was from $34 \% 1048 \%$

1 Unjversity teachers include tenured professors. University teaching and related occupations inclucle ron-tenured professors and lecturers, seaching and laboratory assistants, and other insuructors.

Women in Male-Dominated Professions, 1971 and 1981

|  | Total number of women |  |  | Woman as : \% of total growth in profession 1971-1981 | Women as a \% of total employment in profession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1981 | Percentage increase |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1971-1981 |  | 1971 | 1981 |
| Management occupations, natural sciences and engineering | 70 | 800 | 1,042.9 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 6.6 |
| Management occupations, social |  |  |  |  |  | 48.2 |
| Administrators in teaching and related fields | 6,445 | 9,120 | 41.5 | 41.2 | Administrators in teaching | 25.0 |
| Chemists | 895 | 1,975 | 120.7 | 50.8 | 11.8 | 20.4 |
| Geologists | 145 | 795 | 448.3 | 23.2 | 2.9 | 10.3 |
| Physicists | 45 | 65 | 44.4 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| Meteorologists | 40 | 90 | 125.0 | 27.0 | 4.9 | 9.0 |
| Agriculturists and related scientists | 330 | 1.220 | 269.7 | 31.8 | 5.1 | 13.2 |
| Biologists and related scientists | 830 | 2,330 | 180.7 | 36.4 | 26.1 | 31.9 |
| Architects | 125 | 560 | 348.0 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 7.7 |
| Chemical engineers | 65 | 340 | 423.1 | 12.9 | 1.8 | 5.9 |
| Civil engineers | 235 | 980 | 317.0 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 3.0 |
| Electrical engineers | 205 | 1.000 | 387.8 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 3.7 |
| Mechanical engineers | 100 | 380 | 280.0 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 |
| Metallurgical engineers | 15 | 50 | 233.3 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Mining engineers | 20 | 105 | 425.0 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| Petroleum engineers | 15 | 225 | 1,400.0 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| Nuclear engineers | - | 40 | - | 6.9 | - | 4.8 |
| 0 Oher architects and engineers | 140 | 1,640 | 1,071.4 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 12.2 |
| Mathematicians, statisticians |  |  |  |  |  | 34.7 |
| Economists | 640 | 2.570 | 301.6 | 28.8 | 11.0 | 20.5 |
| Sociologists, anthropologists |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Judges and magistrates | 75 | 220 | 193.3 | 18.6 | 5.7 | 10.5 |
| Lawyers and notaries | 860 | 5.390 | 526.7 | 24.9 | 5.2 | 15.5 |
| Ministers of religion | 900 | 1,785 | 98.3 | 26.9 | 4.5 | 7.6 |
| University teachers | 5,190 | 9,785 | 88.5 | 43.7 | 19.7 | 26.5 |
| Other university teaching and related occupations | Other university teaching and |  |  |  |  | 45.8 |
| Community college and |  |  |  | 45.3 | 33.0 | 41.6 |
| Physicians and surgeons | 3,150 | 7.255 | 130.3 | 33.4 | 10.7 | 17.4 |
| Dentists | 330 | 860 | 160.6 | 13.6 | 4.9 | 8.1 |
| Veterinarians | 75 | 605 | 706.7 | 30.2 | 4.3 | 17.2 |
| Osteopaths and chiropractors | 80 | 340 | 325.0 | 22.0 | 7.3 | 14.9 |
| Pharmacists | 2,540 | 6,090 | 139.8 | 78.3 | 25.3 | 41.8 |
| Optometrists | 105 | 365 | 247.6 | 52.0 | 6.7 | 17.7 |
| Total | 30,410 | 83,340 | 174.1 | 29.0 | 11.0 | 18.6 |
| Source: Statistics Canada. Census at Canada. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The proportional representation of women among optometrists, veterinarians, and lawyers and notaries also rose by 10 percentage points or more. However, even with these increases, women still made up fewer than one in five people employed in these professions in 1981.

Women also accounted for onethird of the total growth in the number of physicians and surgeons, the single,
largest male-dominated professional group. As a result, the percentage of doctors who were women increased from $11 \%$ in 1971 to $17 \%$ in 1981

On the other hand, women accounted for less than $10 \%$ of total employment growth in 9 of the 34 maledominated professions during the 1971-1981 period. Women made up only $4 \%$ of the increase in the number of physicists, and just $8 \%$ of the increase in
management (ocupations in engineering and the natural sciences. As well, about 8\% of all employment growth in the various engineering professions in the 1971-1981 period was due to the increasing number of female engineers.

Because of these relatively slow growth rates, increases in the proportional representation of women in these professions were relatively small. In fact, the percentage of all physicists who were
women actually fell slightly, from $6 \%$ in 1971 to 5\% in 1981. This, however, was the only mate-dominated profession in which the proportional representation of women declined.

The proportion of engineers who were women did increase; however, in 1981, only $+4 \%$ of engineers, compared with $1 \%$ in 1971. were women. At the same time, the proportion of femate managers in engineering and the natural sciences increased from $3 \%$ in $19^{71}$ to $7 \%$ in 1981

Younger women were responsible for much of the increase in femalle participation in male-dominated professions. For example, women aged 25-34 accounted for almost half of the overall increase in female employment in these professions during the 1971-1981 period. In this period, the number of women aged $25-34$ in mate-dominated protessions increased $274 \%$. This com pared with increases of $128 \%$ for women in allother ages groups and $57 \%$ for men aged 25-34.

The relative grow th in employment of younger women in male-dominated professions was particularly strong in the prestigious categories of doctors, judges and lawers, and university professors. Over the 19? $1-1981$ period, the increase in the number of 25 - to 34 -year-old women in these professions was actually slightly greater than that for men in the same age group. Yet, despite this growth, women still made up just $20 \%$ of all doctors, judges, lawyers, and university teachers in 1981, and only 276\% of those aged $25-34$.


## Socio-Economic Characteristics of Women in Male-Dominated Professions

Many of the social and economic characteristics of women employed in male-dominated professions differ from those of both men working in these professions and women employed in other occupations.

Compared with women in other occupations, those in male-dominated
professions had the most education, the highest employment rate, and the greatest income. For example, women aged 25 and over employed full-time in male-dominated professions carned an average of $\$ 24,100$ in 1980 , compared with $\$ 21.100$ for other professional women and \$13,400 for women in monprotessional occupations

The average employment income of women in male-dominated professions, however. was considerably below that of

Selected Family Indicaters, 1981

|  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maledominated protessions | Other professians | Nonprotessionals | male. dominated professions |
| \% never married |  |  |  |  |
| - 25.44 years | 24.8 | 19.6 | 13.1 | - |
| - 45 years and over | 22.0 | 15.4 | 7.4 | - |
| \% who were spouses in husband-wife families | 61.9 | 69.1 | 71.4 | 80.0 |
| \% of spouses in husband-wife families with children at home | 59.8 | 67.9 | 69.0 | 69.9 |
| \% employed full-time |  |  |  |  |
| - spouses in husband |  |  |  |  |
| wife families with children under 19 |  |  |  |  |
| at home | 50.8 | 46.2 | 47.8 | 93.2 |
| - spouses in husbandwife families |  |  |  |  |
| without children | 73.6 | 70.3 | 62.6 | 82.0 |

## - not available

Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Canada.

Average 1980 Employment Income of Men and Women in MaleDominated Professions, by Age
\$


Source: Slatustics Canada, 1981 Cemsus of Ciamoan
men in these occupations. The average earnings of women working full-time in male-dominated occupations were just $71 \%$ of those of comparable men in 1980. Part of this difference is explained by the relatively high proportion of women in these professions who were in the younger age groups; these women tend to have less seniority and lower average employment income than the older age groups. Also, women aged $25-34$ in these professions had average employment incomes that were only $77 \%$ those of comparable men.

The family characteristics of women in male-dominated professions also differ from those of other groups. Women in these professions were the least likely of any occupational category, either male or female. to be in a husband-wife family. They were also more likely than other women to have never marricd. Among employed women aged 45 and over, for example, $22 \%$ of those in maledominated professions had never married, compared with $15 \%$ of those in other professions and just $7 \%$ of nonprofessionals. As well, women in maledommated professions had fewer children at home than other women and were more likely than other women not to have had children at all.

The differences in family characteristics also extend 10 a comparison of women and men in maledominated professions. Women in these occupations were much less likely than men to be a spouse in a husband-wife family: In $1981,62 \%$ of women in these occupations were married, compared with $80 \%$ of men. As well, those women in male-dominated professions who were in husband-wife families were less likely than comparable men to have children: $60 \%$ of married women, compared with $70 \%$ of married men, had chikdren at home. In addition, only $51 \%$ of professional women in husband-wife families with children had full-time jobs, compared with $93 \%$ of similar men.

These figures indicate that It is still far easier for men to maintain both a professional career and a family. For many women, unlike men, the decision to pursue such a career may mean limiting marital or parentat options.

Katherine Marshall is can canclyst uitb the Goneral Sinelal swrey. Shatistics Canada.

- More detail on thes topic is avaibable in the repore by the same author. Wbrs Are the Projessional Women?. Statistles Canada. Catalogue 9y-951.


## WHO ARE THE PROFESSIONAL WOMEN?

Women are breaking through professional barriers, making gains. In fact, in 1981 women accounted for $15.5 \%$ of all lawyers, an increase from $5.2 \%$ in 1971

New opportunities, changing attitudes, growing participation in the work force. Women in Canada are moving up and moving on but occupationat segregation persists.

Who are the professional women? examines women's entry into the ranks of traditional professions and determines, through a number of family and demographic characteristics, whether there are differences (i.e. socio-economic, educational, marital) bet ween women in makedominated professions, other professional women and non-professional women.

Tables, graphs and descriptive analytical text witl give a good general understanding of how women have adapted to the demands of professional careers.
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# URBAN CANADA 

by Mary Anne Burke



Transformation of Canada from a predominantly rural society to an urban one has occurred in a little over one hundred years. The pace of urbanization has varied by province, as have the factors at work behind the process. While the growth rate of the Canadian population is slowing, concentration in a few urban areas continues to increase.

Urban life is now reality for the majority of Canadians. In 1986, threequarters of the population lived in urban areas, primarily in Ontario. Quebec and British Columbia. Increasingly, this means living in large metropolitan areas. In $1986,60 \%$ of Canadians lived in one of 25 census metropolitan areas (CMAs), up from $54 \%$ five years carlier. In fact,

Toromos, Montreal and Vancouver together accounted for $31 \%$ of the Canadian population in 1986, up from $29 \%$ in 1981.

## Urban Growth

The rate of urban growth varied by census period, province, and by city size. With the exception of 1981 , the percentage of the Canadian population classified as urban has increased with every census since 1871 , when $18.3 \%$ of the population was considered urban. By 1931. $50 \%$ of the Canadian population was urban, and by 1976 the figure had climbed to $76.1 \%$. By 1981 , however, the urban population had dropped half a percentage point to $75.6 \%$. By 1986, the urban component again increased to $76.3 \%$.

## Definitions

Urban Area: An area having a population concentration of 1,000 or more and a population density of 400 or more per square kilometre.
Census Metropolitan Area (CMA): The main labour market of an urlan area (the core) with a population of at least 100,000 based on the previous census.
Urban Province: A province with at least $50 \%$ of its population living in centres of at least 10,000 people.
Rural Area: All territory lying outslde urban areas.
Rural Mon-farm Population: All people living in rural arcas whe are not members of the households of farm operators living on their tarms for any length of time cluring the 12 -month period prior to the Census.

The clecline of the urban population recorded in the 1981 Census reflected a short-term increase in the proportion of the population residing in rual non-farm areas and commuting to large urhan areas (0) work, as well as a blurring of urban/suburhan/rural borders around metropolitan areas such as T oronto. The recent resurgence of urban grow th may signal a movement back to urban core areas. Preliminary 1986 Census data indicate, for example, that since the previous census, population had increased in the urban cores of the C.MAs, Toron1o. Nomureal and Vancouver.

## By Province

Except for Prince Edward 1sland and New Brunswick, each province was

predominantly uitanin 1986 . That foar. the urbath proportion of the population storod at $82 \%$ in Ontario; $79 \%$ in British Columbia and Alberta; 78\% in Quebec; $62 \%$ in Manitoba; $61 \%$ in Saskatchewan; $59 \%$ in Newfoundland; and $54 \%$ in Nova Scotia.

Prince Edward Island remained predominantly rural with only $38 \%$ of its population in urban areas. New Brunswick was the only other province in which a majosity of the population did
nox live in urtatiate.as in 1986 , only $49 \%$ of the population of New Brunswick was urban; this was down from $57 \%$ in 1971.

Urbanization was most rapid in British Columbia, Ontario, Quebec and Alberta. Ontario and British Columbia met the urban criteria in 1911, Quebec in 1921, and Alberta 1956. By 1971, all the provinces except Prince Edward Island were more than $50 \%$ urban, with Ontario and Quebec as high as $80 \%$, and British Columbia, $75 \%$.

## By City Size

The average growth rate for all urban areas has stowed considerably since 1971. In part, this reflects the overall decline in Canada's population growth. For the 1981-1986 period, the national growth rate was $4.2 \%$, the lowest fiveyear growth rate in the last 25 years. As well, the urban population is now so large that even substantial absolute numbers of migrants seem small in comparison with the population already
in urban arcas. From 1981 to 1986, urban areas 10,000 and over had an average growth rate of $6.0 \%$ compared with $12.2 \%$ during the $1966-1971$ period. The average growth of CMAs was $5.9 \%$ for the 1981-1986 period, far below the $27.6 \%$ increase from $1966(01971$. The comparable figures for CMAs of 500,000 population and over were $6.2 \%$ for the period 1981-1986 and $19.2 \%$ for the earlier period.

Since CMAs were first defined, their number has increased from 15 in 1951 to 25 in 1986. CMAs have grown in size at a faster rate than urban areas under 100,000 population. In fact, since 1951. CMAs have accounted for $77 \%$ of Canada's total population growth.

During the 1981-1986 period, the three fastest growing CMAs were Saskatoon (which increased by $14.6 \%$ or 46,455 people). Ottawa-Hull ( $10.1 \%$ or 101,385 ), and Toronto ( $9.5 \%$ or 428,221). Calgary and Edmonton, the fastest growing CMAs during the previous five years, had much lower percentage increases during the 1981-1986 period, reflecting a downturn in local economic conditions (see accompanying article on Calgary). Calgary's population increased $7.2 \%(78,583)$ over the period 1981-1986 compared with $25.7 \%$ for 1976-1981. Over the same period, Edmonton's population growth rate fell to $6.0 \%(128,408)$ from $18.1 \%$. During the 1981-1986 period, Montreal's population grew $2.1 \%(269,880)$, up from $0.9 \%$, and Vancouver's by $8.9 \%$ ( 112,546 ), up from $8.7 \%$. Sudbury was the only CMA to lose population, declining by $4.6 \%$ ( 1,046 ) between 1981 and 1986. Movement out of Sudbury had started during the 1971 -1976 period, when the population fell $0.4 \%$.

During the years 1988 to 8986, the CMAs Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver accounted for $69 \%$ of urban growth in Canada, up from $60 \%$ during the period 1966 -1971. Calgary and Edmonton accounted for $22.5 \%$ of urban growth from 1981-1986.

## Population Movement Key to Urban Growth

Urban growth in Canada has resulted from a combination of natural increase, internal migration, and immigration from outside the country. With the decline of fertility rates, internal migration and immigration have become increasingly more importans factors in urban growth.

In general, in the earlier decades of this century, the main flow of internal migration was from rural to urban areas.

However, as the size of the rural farm population decreased to current levels, urban-bound migrants tended to be rural non-farm residents, or people moving from one urban area to a larger one.

Since the 1950), the flow tended to be from smaller urban areas to large metropolitan areas. During the period 1956-1961, $75 \%$ of all urban migrants moved to another urban area, less than
$20 \%$ moved to a rural non-farm area, and only $4 \%$ moved to a rural area. This pattern remained basically the same for the period 1976-1981

During the period 1956-1961, 55\% of rural migrants moved to urban areas, increasing to $70 \%$ for the period 1976-1981.

For the 1976-1981 period, CMAs were points of origin or destination for

## Percentage of Urban Population, by Province, 1851-1986

185118611871188118911901191119211931194119511961197119811986

| Nfid. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 43 | 51 | 57 | 59 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.E.I. |  | 9 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 32 | 38 | 37 | 38 |
| N.S. | 8 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 19 | 28 | 37 | 45 | 47 | 52 | 55 | 54 | 57 | 55 | 54 |
| N.B. | 14 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 27 | 35 | 35 | 39 | 43 | 47 | 57 | 51 | 50 |
| Que. | 15 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 29 | 36 | 45 | 52 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 74 | 81 | 78 | 78 |
| Ont. | 14 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 59 | 63 | 68 | 73 | 77 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Man. |  |  |  | 15 | 24 | 25 | 39 | 42 | 45 | 46 | 56 | 64 | 70 | 71 | 62 |
| Sask. |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 30 | 43 | 53 | 58 | 61 |
| Alta. |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 48 | 63 | 74 | 77 | 79 |
| B.C. |  |  | 9 | 18 | 43 | 46 | 51 | 51 | 62 | 64 | 69 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 79 |
| Canada | 13 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 30 | 35 | 42 | 47 | 53 | 56 | 62 | 70 | 76 | 76 | 76 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada.

Percentage of Canadian Population in Census Metropolitan Areas, 1951-1986

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | 1986 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toronto | 9.0 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 13.5 |  |
| Montreal | 11.0 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 11.5 |  |
| Vancouver | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.5 |  |
| Ottawa-Hull | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 |  |
| Edmonton | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.1 |  |
| Calgary | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.7 |  |
| Winnipeg | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 |  |
| Quebec | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 |  |
| Hamilton | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| St. Catharines-Niagara | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 |  |
| London | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 |  |
| Kitchener | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |  |
| Halifax | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Victoria | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Windsor | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |
| Oshawa | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 |  |
| Saskatoon | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 |  |
| Regina | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |
| St. John's | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |
| Chicoutimi-Jonquiere | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |
| Sudbury | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |
| Sherbrooke | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |  |
| Trois-Rivieres | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |
| Thunder Bay | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Saint John | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Total - CMAs | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7}$ | 48.2 | 50.9 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 1}$ | 54.7 | 54.4 | 59.8 |  |
| Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

more than 2.5 million migrants, according to a recent study ${ }^{1}$. This movement of people profoundly affects the nature of Gamdenn nemopotatan ac: Mger
tion was clearly the major contributor to the growth of Calgary and Edmonton. Over the past thirty years, these two CMAshad amman! gromehrates of 43 N


Percentage Population Change of Census Metropolitan Areas,
$1951-1986$

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1951 . \\ 1956 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1956- \\ 1961 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1961 . \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1966- \\ 1971 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1971 . \\ 1976 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1976 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 . \\ 1986 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toronto | 21.1 | 21.4 | 18.3 | 14.8 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 9.5 |
| Montreal | 18.6 | 20.8 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| Vancouver | 18.3 | 18.8 | 12.9 | 16.0 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| Ottawa-Hull | 18.1 | 24.3 | 15.0 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 10.1 |
| Edmonton | 44.1 | 32.5 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 11.7 | 18.1 | 6.0 |
| Calgary | 41.2 | 38.8 | 18.4 | 22.0 | 16.5 | 25.7 | 7.2 |
| Winnipeg | 15.5 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 5.6 |
| Quebec | 12.8 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 3.3 |
| Hamilton | 20.6 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| St. Catharines-Niagara | 26.3 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| London | 19.7 | 17.3 | 14.4 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| Kitchener | 19.7 | 20.3 | 24.1 | 18.0 | 14.1 | 5.7 | 8.1 |
| Halifax | 22.6 | 12.0 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 6.6 |
| Victoria | 18.2 | 15.1 | 12.5 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 5.8 |
| Windsor | 13.5 | 4.0 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 0.5 | -0.6 | 1.2 |
| Oshawa | 25.1 | 28.8 | 23.8 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 9.2 |
| Saskatoon | 36.7 | 31.1 | 23.7 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 15.3 | 14.6 |
| Regina | 25.8 | 24.9 | 16.8 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 7.7 |
| St. John's | 15.3 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| Chicoutimi-Jonquiere | 19.6 | 15.4 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 0.2 |
| Sudbury | 32.6 | 13.0 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Sherbrooke | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 3.8 |
| Trois-Aivieres | 14.4 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 2.8 |
| Thunder Bay | 17.3 | 16.6 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| Saint John | 9.8 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada
$5 \%$, despite rates of natural increase of no more than $2 \%$ per year.

Other fast-growing (MAs like Satskafoon. Kitchener. Toronto, Regina, Ottawa-Hull, Vancouver, and Victoria also had positive net migration. In C.MAs witl below-average or negative growth rates during the $1976-1981$ period -Chicoutimi-Jonquière, St. CatherinesNiagara, Saint John, Thunder Bay, Windsor. Winnipeg, and Montreal - net migration was negligible or negative.

Immigration can moderate the eflects of internal migration. Por example. without the addition of $64,0(0) \mathrm{im}$ migrants between 1976 and 1981 , Montreal's net out-migration would have been 105,000 instead of 1,000 . In Toronto, the arrival of $153,000 \mathrm{im}$ migrants during this period more than offset the loss of 9,000 residents to oth er parts of Camada and contributed to the owcrall growih of the CMA.

## Heartland/Hinterland

Migration and immigration trencls thave reinforced and helped shape the disparate distribution of population in Canada. A Canadian heartland and hinterland have developed, reflecting the very uneven distribution of urban areas. Ontario, Quebec and the far western provinces dominate the other regions of Canada by sheer volume of population, number of CMAs, CMAs over 500,000 , and in turn, economically.
in 1986 , almost $70 \%$ of Canada's 165 urban areas with more than 10,000 residents were in Ontario, Quebec, and British Columbia. Ontarionaccounted for $30 \%$ of the total, Quebec for $22 \%$, and British Columbia for $17 \%$. The next largest concentrations of urban areas with at least 10,000 population were in Alberta $(8 \%)$ and Saskatchewan $(6 \%)$. The remainder were distributed relatively evenly among the other provinces.

The population is further contenfrated in several large CMAs. Toronto. Montreal and Víncouver iccounted lor $31 \%$ of the Canadian population in 1986. In fact. most of the population is located in a corridor from Windsor wo Quchec City. This area represents $5 \%$ of Cathada's land surface and, in 1986, accounted for two-thirds of the Canadian population. The situation is similar in British Colmmbia, where most of the population is concentrated in and around Vancouver in the Fraser River Valley.

[^1]Immigration has reinforced the existing distribution of the urban population. Immigrants tend to go to Ontario, Quebec, British Columbiaand Alberta. In 1986, half of all immigrants to Canada went to Ontario; $19 \%$ to Quebec; $13 \%$ to British Columbia: $10 \%$ to Alberta; $4 \%$ to Manitoba; $2 \%$ to Saskatchewan; and $2 \%$ to the Atlantic region. Most immigrants scttle in large metropolitan areas, In 1986, Toronto received $30 \%(29,000)$ of the total; Montreal, $17 \%$ ( $17,00(0)$ ) Vancouver, $9 \%(8,700)$; Calgary, $4 \%$ ( 4.000 ); Edmeston, $4 \%(3,800)$; Winnipeg, $3 \%$ (3.300): and Ottawa-Hull, $3 \%(3,400)$.

Growth Rate by Size of
Urban Area, 1951-1986

|  | Urtan <br> area <br> $10,000+$ <br> and over | CMAs <br> $100,000+$ <br> and over | CMAs <br> $500,000+$ <br> and over |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1951 \cdot 1956$ | 12.6 | 21.6 | 18.5 |
| $1956 \cdot 1961$ | 12.9 | 19.4 | 9.2 |
| $1961 \cdot 1966$ | 13.6 | 14.0 | 16.1 |
| $1966 \cdot 1971$ | 12.2 | 27.6 | 19.2 |
| $1971 \cdot 1976$ | 0.9 | 8.5 | 6.1 |
| $1976-1981$ | 2.8 | 7.7 | 5.0 |
| $1981-1986$ | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 |

Source: Statistics Canada. Census of Canada.

## Urban Issues

Present-day urban society in Canada is a reflection of past and present individual choices and policy decisions concerning the cconomy, technology, the environment, and populaion. For example, carly decisions concerning investments in the exploitation of Canada's natural resources - fur. lumber, wheat, and minerals - influenced population growth, movement and distribution. Likewisc, decisions concerning the development of technology (for example, the decisions made by entrepreneurs to invest in the development of the railways, and along specific routes) also contributed to current urban patterns. Decisions to develop and use the Great Lake Waterway System as a major transportation route have also had an impact on the configuration of present-day urhan Canada. More recently, the point system, adopted to select immigrants to Canada, favours applicants with skills suited to a high-tech, urban environ ment, rather than those with skills geared to a rural environment

Differences in the timing and nature of economic developments and policies


Distribution of Urban Areas by Province, 1986

|  | Number of urban |  | \% distribution <br> of urban areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 or more population | 10,000 or more population | or more population |
| Newfoundland | 57 | 7 | 4.2 |
| Prince Edward Island | 7 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Nova Scotia | 39 | 7 | 4.2 |
| New Brunswick | 39 | 6 | 3.6 |
| Quebec | 241 | 36 | 21.8 |
| Ontario | 250 | 49 | 29.7 |
| Manitoba | 41 | 5 | 3.0 |
| Saskatchewan | 68 | 10 | 6.1 |
| Alberta | 99 | 13 | 7.9 |
| British Columbia | 92 | 28 | 16.9 |
| Northwest Territories | 1 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Yukon | 6 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Total | 940 | 165 | 100.0 |
| Source: Statistics Canada. 1986 Census of Canada. |  |  |  |

Migration To and From Census Matropolitan Areas (CWAs), 1966-1971 and 1976-1981

|  |  | Other CMAs |  |  | Non-metropolitan areas |  |  | Immi. gration | Totalnetinternalmigrationplusimmi-gration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | in | Out | Net | In | Out | Net |  |  |
| Calgary | $\begin{aligned} & 1966-71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,110 \\ & 88,735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,026 \\ & 33,710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,085 \\ & 55,025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,300 \\ & 69,175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,620 \\ & 58,640 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,680 \\ & 10,535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,040 \\ & 30,440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,805 \\ & 96,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| ChicoutimiJonquiere | $\begin{aligned} & 1966-71 \\ & 1976-81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,615 \\ & 3,335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,505 \\ & 6,430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4,890 \\ -3,095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,920 \\ & 6,245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,215 \\ & 5,960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -295 \\ 285 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,340 \\ 445 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3,845 \\ & -2,365 \end{aligned}$ |
| Edmonton | $\begin{aligned} & 1966-71 \\ & 1976-81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,430 \\ 63,085 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,900 \\ 35,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3,470 \\ 27,325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52,020 \\ & 72,390 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,165 \\ 65,930 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,885 \\ 6,460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,510 \\ & 27,735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,895 \\ 61,520 \end{array}$ |
| Halifax | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,755 \\ & 15,125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,785 \\ & 19,510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5,030 \\ -4,385 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,580 \\ & 24,455 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,530 \\ & 24,720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,320 \\ -265 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,105 \\ & 3,865 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,205 \\ -785 \end{array}$ |
| Hamilton | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976-81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,870 \\ & 31,975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,205 \\ & 29,660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,665 \\ & 2,315 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,885 \\ & 18,370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,190 \\ 23,810 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,695 \\ -4,810 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,530 \\ & 10,730 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,890 \\ 8,235 \end{array}$ |
| KitchenerWaterloo | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,210 \\ 17,525 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,880 \\ & 18,885 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,330 \\ -1,330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,680 \\ & 18,675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,390 \\ & 18,730 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,290 \\ -55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.125 \\ 6.850 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,745 \\ 5,465 \end{array}$ |
| London | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,565 \\ & 20,340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,060 \\ & 23,525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,505 \\ -3,185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,000 \\ & 23,755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,010 \\ & 22,380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,990 \\ & 1,375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,055 \\ 5,860 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,550 \\ 4,050 \end{array}$ |
| Montreal | $\begin{aligned} & 1966-71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,925 \\ & 41,925 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78,875 \\ 120,115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -33,950 \\ -78,190 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,465 \\ 97,420 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,780 \\ 124,830 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,685 \\ -27,410 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.345 \\ 64,495 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108,080 \\ -41,105 \end{array}$ |
| Ottawa-Hull | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,480 \\ & 58,380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,060 \\ & 66,530 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,420 \\ -8,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,080 \\ & 41,030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,580 \\ & 40,505 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,500 \\ 525 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,605 \\ & 18,740 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.525 \\ & 11,115 \end{aligned}$ |
| Quebec City | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,265 \\ & 15,035 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,145 \\ & 23,095 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1,880 \\ -8,060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,885 \\ & 32,430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,510 \\ & 25,755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,375 \\ 6,675 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,930 \\ & 4,425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,425 \\ 3,040 \end{array}$ |
| Regina | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,000 \\ 10,630 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,005 \\ & 11,755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9,005 \\ & -1,125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,465 \\ & 17,325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,590 \\ & 14,430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,875 \\ & 2,895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,080 \\ & 3,255 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 950 \\ 5,025 \end{array}$ |
| St. Catharines- <br> Niagara | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,330 \\ & 14,520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,700 \\ & 17,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,370 \\ -2,880 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,915 \\ & 10,015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,145 \\ & 12,290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 770 \\ -2,275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,825 \\ 4,560 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,225 \\ -595 \end{array}$ |
| St. John's | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.730 \\ 4,575 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,595 \\ & 7,395 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3,865 \\ & -2,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,705 \\ 9,640 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,390 \\ & 9,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,315 \\ -180 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,965 \\ & 1,360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,415 \\ -1,640 \end{array}$ |
| Saint John | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,220 \\ & 4,405 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,775 \\ & 5,020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -555 \\ & -975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,630 \\ & 7,475 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,670 \\ & 9,180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -40 \\ -1,705 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,400 \\ & 1,095 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 805 \\ -1,585 \end{array}$ |
| Saskatoon | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976-81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,650 \\ 12,110 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,010 \\ & 11,180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8,360 \\ 930 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,590 \\ & 23,005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,060 \\ & 16,180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,530 \\ & 6,825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,370 \\ & 3,765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.540 \\ 11.520 \end{array}$ |
| Sudbury | $\begin{aligned} & 1966-71 \\ & 1976-81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,810 \\ & 4,070 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,755 \\ 10,990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -965 \\ -6,926 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,015 \\ 8,215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,375 \\ & 13,975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,640 \\ -5,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,410 \\ 850 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,085 \\ -11,830 \end{array}$ |
| Thunder Bay | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,605 \\ & 4,685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,650 \\ & 7,260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1,585 \\ & -2,565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,555 \\ & 7,715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,815 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,740 \\ & 1,715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,955 \\ 1,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -370 \\ 695 \end{array}$ |
| Toronto | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95,330 \\ 127,435 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,770 \\ 109,095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,770 \\ & 18,340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90,200 \\ & 96,350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120,885 \\ & 123,660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -30,685 \\ & -27,310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262,280 \\ & 152,890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242,280 \\ & 143,920 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vancouver | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69,220 \\ & 78,575 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,625 \\ & 40,245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40,595 \\ & 38,330 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62,335 \\ & 65,320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56,475 \\ & 85,365 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,860 \\ -20,045 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71,670 \\ & 61,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,125 \\ 79,535 \end{array}$ |
| Victoria | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,760 \\ & 25,080 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,280 \\ & 16,185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,480 \\ & 8,895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,890 \\ & 20,115 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,700 \\ & 20,415 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,190 \\ -300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,570 \\ & 6,560 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,240 \\ & 15,155 \end{aligned}$ |
| Windsor | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,895 \\ & 7,060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,390 \\ & 14,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -495 \\ -7,190 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,705 \\ & 7,565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,140 \\ 12,630 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -435 \\ -5,065 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,250 \\ 5,780 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,320 \\ -6,475 \end{array}$ |
| Winnipeg | $\begin{aligned} & 1966.71 \\ & 1976.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,830 \\ 22,005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,070 \\ & 42,295 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18,260 \\ -20,290 \end{array}$ | 38,760 <br> 35,210 | $\begin{array}{r} 29,380 \\ 37,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,380 \\ -2,290 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,780 \\ & 19,135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,920 \\ -3,445 \end{array}$ |

Source: Shaw, A. Paul, Intermetropolitan Migration in Canada, Changing Determinants over Three Decades, Statistics Canada. Catalogue 89.504.

Distribution of Immigrants to Canada, by Province and Selected Metropolitan Areas, 1981-1986

|  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1984 |  | 1985 |  | $1986^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 480 | 0.4 | 406 | 0.3 | 275 | 0.3 | 299 | 0.3 | 325 | 0.4 | 266 | 0.3 |
| Prince Edward Island | 126 | 0.1 | 165 | 0.1 | 105 | 0.1 | 109 | 0.1 | 113 | 0.1 | 164 | 0.2 |
| Nova Scotia | 1,403 | 1.1 | 1,254 | 1.0 | 833 | 0.9 | 1,034 | 1.2 | 974 | 1.2 | 1.082 | 1.1 |
| New Brunswick | 988 | 0.8 | 751 | 0.6 | 554 | 0.6 | 600 | 0.7 | 609 | 0.7 | 619 | 0.6 |
| Quebec | 21,118 | 16.4 | 21,331 | 17.6 | 16,374 | 18.4 | 14,641 | 16.6 | 14,884 | 17.7 | 18,826 | 19.3 |
| Ontario | 54,890 | 42.7 | 53.031 | 43.8 | 40,036 | 44.9 | 41,527 | 47.1 | 40.730 | 48.3 | 48.340 | 50.0 |
| Manitoba | 5.359 | 4.2 | 4,931 | 4.1 | 3.978 | 4.5 | 3.903 | 4.4 | 3.415 | 4.1 | 3,685 | 3.8 |
| Saskaichewan | 2,401 | 1.9 | 2.125 | 1.8 | 1.735 | 2.0 | 2.150 | 2.4 | 1,905 | 2.3 | 1.824 | 1.9 |
| Alberta | 19,294 | 1.5 | 17,948 | 14.8 | 10.688 | 12.0 | 10,670 | 12.1 | 9,001 | 10.7 | 9.478 | 9.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia | 22,007 | 17.0 | 18,996 | 15.7 | 14,447 | 16.2 | 13,190 | 15.0 | 12.239 | 14.5 | 12,227 | 12.5 |
| Yukon | 119 | 0.1 | 69 | 0.1 | 73 | 0.1 | 41 | 0.1 | 36 | 0.1 | 44 | 0.1 |
| Northwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 128,618 | 100.0 | 121,147 | 100.0 | 89,157 | 100.0 | 88,239 | 100.0 | 84,302 | 100.0 | 97,474 | 100.0 |
| Clies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toronto | 29,338 | 22.8 | 28,163 | 23.3 | 22,216 | 24.9 | 27.197 | 30.8 | 23.622 | 28.0 | 28,603 | 29.3 |
| Montreal | 16,352 | 12.7 | 16.341 | 13.5 | 13,052 | 14.6 | 11,883 | 13.5 | 12,862 | 15.3 | 16,647 | 17.1 |
| Vancouver | 14,810 | 11.5 | 12,526 | 10.3 | 10,015 | 11.2 | 9,385 | 10.6 | 8.935 | 10.6 | 8.701 | 8.9 |
| Otlawa-Hull | 3,208 | 2.5 | 3,243 | 2.7 | 2,508 | 2.8 | 3,126 | 3.5 | 3,316 | 3.9 | 3,394 | 3.5 |
| Edmonton | 7,308 | 5.7 | 7,159 | 5.9 | 4,493 | 5.0 | 4.276 | 4.9 | 3,694 | 4.4 | 3,801 | 3.9 |
| Calgary | 8,389 | 6.5 | 7.694 | 6.4 | 4,360 | 4.9 | 4,540 | 5.2 | 3,669 | 4.4 | 4,071 | 4.2 |
| Winnipeg | 4.104 | 3.2 | 3.891 | 3.2 | 3.318 | 3.7 | 3.210 | 3.6 | 2.947 | 3.5 | 3,338 | 3.4 |

1 Preliminary data.
Source: Department of Employment and Immigration, Landed Immigrants: CLPR by Selected Areas of Destination and Province, 1981-1986

cross the regions of the country have profoundly affected the economic potential of these regions and subsequent policy development. Regional disparitics in income and economic opportunities, and the concentration of population in a few metropolitan centres have been some of the outcomes of these differences.

While urbanization has benefitted Canadian society in many ways, both urban growth and the concentration of the population in a handful of large metropolitan areas have not been without social costs. Rural depopulation and breakdown of local communities, escalating land and housing costs, fiscal burdens on regional governments, environmental pollution and decay, and traffic congestion, for example, have all accompanied the development of an urban society.

Awarencss of the growth, movement and distribution of today's urban society will aid in the understanding of the role policy decisions play in the shaping of Canadian society.

Mary Anne Burke is Associate liditor of Canadian social Trends.

# CALGARY: A STATISTICAL PROFLE 

## by Nat Stone



The long-term trend in Canada has been toward greater urbanization, but growth rates of different Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) have varied widely since 1971. Some experienced rapid growth; others increased, but at a much slower rate; still others have actually declined. Calgary is an example of a Census Metropolitan Area where growth has been particularly rapid.

Long famous as the home of the stampede and the headquarters of Canada's oil and natural gas industry, Calgary is about to gain further world attention as the site of the 1988 Winter Olympics.

## A Growing Population

In 198(). Calgary was Canada's sixth largest Census Metropolitan Area; in
1971. it hat ranked minth. During the 1971-1986 period, the population of Calgary grew from 403,300 to 671,300, a $66 \%$ increasel. In the same period, Edmonton grew $58 \%$, while the two largest Census Merropolitan Areas. Toronto and Montreal, increased $30 \%$ and $6 \%$, respectively. The total population of Canada rose $17 \%$ in this period.

The overall increase in Calgary's population since 1971 masks several dramatic fluctuations in the rate of growth during this period; these were associated with the ups and downs of the oil and gas industry. In fact, the price of crucle oil has been the most important factor in the citys growth and economic health. When oil prices skyrocketed in the 1970 s, forexample, so did the growth rate of Calgary's population, but as prices slumped in the 1980 s, the rate of increase dropped precipitously.

During the tate 197us. when the oil and gas industry was booming, Calgary grew faster than any other metropolitan area in Canada. Between 1976 and 1981, Calgary's population rose $26 \%$, from 469,900 to 592.700. Edmonton also grew at a substantial rate ( $18 \%$ ), but Toronto increased just $-7 / \%$, and Montreal only $1 \%$ in this period. The population of Canada as a whole rose $6 \%$.

Population growth in Calgary slowed in the 1980s after the downturn in the oil and gas industry. Between 1981 and 1986 , the population increased, but by just $7 \%$. Although this was still above the national growth rate of $4 \%$, Calgary fell to eighth place among Census Metropolitan Areas in terms of population growth cturing this period.
${ }^{1}$ Percentage changes are calculated using the boundaries at the end of the period.


## A Destination for Migrants

Migration has been the primary component of Calgary's growth. The net in-flow of migrants was particularly heary during the late 1970s and early 1980s. Between 1976 and 1981, for example, almost three-quarters of the population increase was attributable to migration. In each of the three years from 1979 to 1981, net migration to Calgary totalled more than 20,000

This massive influx ended in 1982. After a net gain of almost 24,000 migrants in 1981, Calgary suffered net losses of 6,300 in 1982, 12.300 in 1983, and 4,600
in 1984. Net migration returned to the positive side in 1985 when 5,200 more people moved to the city than left

## A Young City

Calgary has a relatively high concentration of young adults. In $1986,23 \%$ of residents were aged $25-34$, compared with $18 \%$ for Canada. Conversely, the proportion of elderly people was much lower in Calgary than in Canada as a whole. In 1986, only $7 \%$ of the population of Calgary, as opposed to $11 \%$ of all Canadians, were aged 65 and over. The percentage of Calgary residents under
age 25 (39\%) was slightly above the national figure $(38 \%)$

## Family Patterns

The high proportion of young adults in Calgary is reflected in the marital status and lamily characteristics of the city's inhabitants. While the proportions of the population aged 15 and over who were married or single were similar to those for Canada as a whole in 1986, a some what

higher proportion of the adult popula(ion in Calgary was divorced, and a smaller percentage was widowed. The proportion of Calgarians living alone was also slightly above the level for all Canada. As well, families in Calgary rended whave younger children than was the case for Canada overall.

## Language, Ethnicity and Religion ${ }^{2}$

English wats the mother tongue of $82 \%$ of Calgary's population in 1986; another $3 \%$ had learned both English and another language simultancously. Chinese, German and French were each the mother tongue of about $2 \%$ of the population.

As the figures on language suggest, Calgary's cthnic composition was

- Continned fugse?

[^2]Calgary was originally established as a North-West Mounted Police post in 1875; it was incorporated as a town in 1884, and as a city in 1893. The 1891 Census, the first to include Calgary, counted 4,000 residents.

Calgary's early growth was associated with development of the livestock industry and with the city's position as the chief transpor tation centre in Alberta. Bv 1911, the population had grown to 43.700 .

The most crucial element in Calgary's growth has been the oil and natural gas industry. Oil was
first discovered at Turner Valley, a
few kilometres southwest of the
city, in 1914. Alberta's first oil refinery opened in Calgary in 1923 Subsequent discoveries at Turner Valley in 1924 and 1936, and especially the major find at Leduc in 1947, further established Calgary as the industry's administrative centre in Canada.
predominantly Anglo-Saxon. In 1981. $49 \%$ of the population claimed British roots, while $9 \%$ reportedacombination of British and some other ethnic origin. The next largest ethnic groups were German $(8 \%)$ and French ( $4 \%$ )

More than half of Calgary's population ( $55 \%$ ) indicated religious affiliation with a Protestant denomination in 1981; another quarter were Roman Catholics. The proportion claiming no religious preference was $14 \%$, double the percentage for all Canada.

## Educational Attainment High

The population of Calgary is relatively well-educated. In 1981, $14 \%$ of the population aged 15 and over notattending school full-time were university graduates: the corresponding percentage for Canada was $8 \%$. On the other hand. just $9 \%$ of adults in Calgary had less than a Grade 9 education, compared with 22\% for Canada.

## An Uncertain Labour Market

Labour force paticipation has grown

significantly in Calgary since the mid1970 s . In $1986,76 \%$ of the eligible population in Calgary was in the labour force, up from $6 . \%$ in 1975 . By comparison, the labour force participation rate for Canada as a whole rose from $61 \%$ $1066 \%$ during the same period.

Labour force participation in Calgary has been the highest of any Census Merropolitan Area since the late 197(0s. The second highest participation rate in 1986 was $73 \%$ in Oshawa.

Unemployment has increased substantially in Calgary since the beginning of the economic recession in the carly 1980s. In 1980, Calgary's unemployment rate was $3.6 \%$, less than hall the national level of $7.5 \%$. But in both 1983 and 1984 . over $12 \%$ of the city's lahour force was unemployed; this was higher than the national rate. By 1986 . Calgary's unemployment rate had fatten (0) $9.9 \%$, though this was still slighty above the national rate of $9.6 \%$

Reflecting its position as the atbministrative centre of Canada's oil and gas inclusery, a relatively high proportion of the workforce in Calgary is engaged in managerial and administrative occupations, and in occupations related to the natural sciences, engincering and mathematics. In 1981, those employed in management and administration made up $12 \%$ of Calgary's labour force, compared with $9 \%$ for Canada. Occupations in scientific fields accounted for $8 \%$ of the Calgary workforce, compared with $3 \%$ for canada

## Incomes Above the Norm

Over the las decade, incomes in Calgary have been well abowe those for Catada as a whole. The extent of the difference, however, has saried with fluctuations in the oil inctustry. The median income ${ }^{3}$ of Calgary residents in 1976 wals $\$ 9,200$, about $10 \%$ above the median for all Canada. By 1982, the median income in Calgary had risento $\$ 17.0(\%)$, almost $24 \%$ above the nationall figure. The next year. however, the difference began (o) nar row. In 1985, the median income in Calgary of $\$ 1.900$ wats still higher than the median for all Canada, but only by $16 \%$.

[^3]Nat Stone is a sperial contributor bo Canadian Sucial Trends.

## by Susan McMillan

In an effort to improve the bousing standards of the least well off, governments in Canada bave constructed and currently operate bousing developments of varying pbysical types. It bas now been almost 40 years since the first of the massive low rental public bousing projects was buill in Canada. When it was constructed in the late 1940s, the initial development - Regent Park North in Toronto - was considered a progressive venture in social engineering. This and other public bousing projects wbich soon followed beld out the promise, not only of an urban renaissance for the decayed cores of Canadian cities, but also of a new and less impoverished life for residents. Altbough these initial goals bave not been fully acbieved, the quality of the bousing stock has been improved.



The characteristics of today's public housing residents in Toronto not only differ from those of the city's residents in general, but they have also changed considerably since the first large-scate projects were built. In many instances, these changes reflect broader shifts occurring in Toronto or in Canadian society as a whole.

One feature that has consistently characterized these projects, and set them apart from the city as a whole since their construction, has been the persistent gap in employment and income levels between the residents of the projects and Toronto residents gencrally. In order to qualify as a project resident, an applicant must have a sufficiently low income. Projects thus tend to concentrate lowincome persons in limited geographical arcas. The outcome of such a process is that projects have higher-than-average numbers of femate tone parents, dependent children and recent immigrants. They are also characterized by higher unemployment rates and lower-thanaverage labour force participation rates and incomes.

Nevertheless, the projects differ from each other in their physical characteristics (for example, urban or suburban location, and high-rise versus low-rise construction) and in the social characteristics of current groups of project residents. The four major projects described here were built in different time periods and take different physical shapes in distinctive Toronto locations Each is unique.

## Regent Park: The Original Slum Clearance Project, 1948

Regont Park has changed consjderably
since the days when it was first buikt. Some shifts in ethnic composition, age profile and family structure have followed patterns akin to those in the City of Toronto. However, other patterns, particularly the marked decline in the labour force participation rate for men between 1951 and 1981, are unique to Regent Park. In 1981, the percentage of Regent Park families headed by a lone parent was much higher than in Toronto as a whole. Also, that year, the percentage of Regent Park residents living below Statistics Canada's Low-lncome Cut-Offs was considerably higher than for Toronto.

With few exceptions, the ethnic composition of Regent Park has reflected that of the city. In 1951, just over twothirds of people in both the city and the project were of British origin. At the same time, a much larger percentage of Regent Park residents claimed French origin than did Toronto residents: 9\% compared with $3 \%$

Thirty years later, the percentage of both Regent Park and Toronto residents claiming British origin had dropped significantly. In 1981, the percentage of those living in Regent Park claiming British origin had dropped to $52 \%$, down from $67 \%$ in 1951. But for Toronto as a whole, the 1981 percentage was much lower at $42 \%$, down from $69 \%$ in 1951 Visible minorities, on the other hand, had a much stronger presence in the project. In 1981, the percentage of Regent Park residents of the North and South American (including Caribbcan) and African (including Black) origin was much higher than for the City of Toronte) - $11 \%$ compared with $2 \%$. Those of Chinese origin formed a significant
minority in both the project ( $9 \%$ ) and in the city as a whole ( $6 \%$ ).

Despite the greater multicultural nature of Regent Park in 1981, the proportion of residents born outside Canada was smaller than in the City of Toronto ( $38 \%$ versus $43 \%$ ), perhaps reflecting a large number of Canadian-born dependent children in the project. However, immigrants living in Regent Park tended to be more recent arrivals than those living in Toronto generally: $1.3 \%$ of Regent Park residents came to Canada between 1979 and 1981, compared with $9 \%$ of those in the city.

An aging trend, apparent in both Regent Park and Toronto between 1951 and 1981, was not as strong in the project as in the city as a whole. Over the three decades, the percentage of people aged 65 and over climbed from $6 \%$ to $8 \%$ in Regent Park. In Toronto, the percentage rose from $8 \%$ to $13 \%$. In $1951,12 \%$ of Regent Park residents were under age 5 , compared with $10 \%$ of Toronto residents; by 1981, these percentages had dropped to $10 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively. Also in 1981, almost one-third of people living in Regent Park were under age 15. comprared with only about one-sixth of Toronto residents.

Lone-parent families mate up the majority of Regent Park familics in 1981. Fully $53 \%$ of families were headed by a lone parent. The figure for Toronto was $15 \%$

In 1951, $30 \%$ of Regent Park households consisted of more than one family. The comparable figure for Toronto as a whole was $21 \%$. But by 1981, multi-family households were a very small minority in both Regent Park and Toronto. Only $1 \%$ of Regent Park
households consisted of more than one family, slightly lower than the $2 \%$ for Toronto. This decline signals a large reduction in overcrowding over the period in Toronto. By 1981, the average number of persons per room was 0.5 for the city of Toronte as a whole and no more than 0.7 in any of the four developments discussed here

Male participation in the labour force dropped markedly in Regent Park berween 1951 and 1981. By 1981, just over half $(54 \%$ ) of the men in Regent Park compared with $79 \%$ of men in Toronto were in the labour force. For hoth groups, the labour force participation rate had heen $85 \%$ in 1951 .

Over the same period, female labour force participation increased in Regent Park. although not as quickly as in the city as a whole. For female Regent Park residents, the labour force participation rate rose from $28 \%$ to $38 \%$, compared with a rise of $23 \%$, from $38 \%$ to $61 \%$, for Toronto women.

Unemployment levels remained at least twice as high in Regent Park as in Toronto hetween 1951 and 1981 . In $1981.12 \%$ of the Regent Park labour force was unemployed, compared with $5 \%$ in Toronto.

Median houschold incomes in Regent Park were less than half those in the city of Toronto in 1980. Median household incomes were $\$ 9,400$ in Regent Park South and just $\$ 5.900$ in Regent Park North. The comparable income figure for loronto was $\$ 19,900$.

As well, in 1980, a much larger proportion of people in Regent Park were living below Statistics Canada's LowIncome Cut-Offs than was the case in the city as a whole. That year, $79 \%$ of unattached individuals in Regent Park were below the Low-Income Cut-Offs, compared with $34 \%$ in Toronto. The discrepancy among families was even wider: 69\% of families in Regent Park lived helow the L.ow-Income Cut-Offs, compared with $17 \%$ of Toronto families.

## Lawrence Heights: Suburban High-Density, 1957

Lawrence Heighas, a suburban development built as a compound of low-rise apartment buildings on 96 acres of farmland in North York, presents a slightly different pattern both architecturally and in terms of the characteristics of residents. Especially in terms of birth outside of Canada. Lawrence Heights, resiclents were distinctive. Abour half the population of Lawrence Heights was born outside Canada, with most of these people immigrating between 1955 and $19^{77}$. Just over half of Lawrence Heights residents reported a non-British ethnic origin in 1981. A variery of origins made up this component: North and South American (including Caribbean), African (including Black), Greek, and Italian

There was a smaller proportion of elderly persons in Lawrence Heights than in the City of Toronto. In 1981,9\% of Lawrence Heights residents were aged 65 and over. compared with $13 \%$ in


Toronto. On the other hand, $30 \%$ of Lawrence Heights residents were under age 15 , compared with $16 \%$ for Toronto. Families headedby a lone parent made up the majority of Lawrence Heights families in 1981: $55 \%$ compared with $15 \%$ for Toronto.

As in the case of Regent Park, labour force participation for both men and women was lower in Lawrence Heights than in Toronto. Fifty-nine percent of Lawrence Heights men were in the labour force in 1981, compared with $79 \%$ in Toronto. The percentage for Lawrence Heights women was $48 \%$, compared with $61 \%$ for Toronto women. Unemployment rates were also higher in Lawrence Heights than in Toronto. In the public housing project. the unemployment rate was $7 \%$ for men and $8 \%$ for wonken. The comprarable rate in Toronto for both men and women was $5 \%$

The median income of households in Lawrence Heights in 1980 is not available but in that vear the average income was $\$ 12,900$. The comparable figure for Toronto was $\$ 25,600$. Thus, even when huilt in a suhurban setting, public housing has tended toconcentrate lone-parent families and visible minorities, as hate the older downtown projects

## Moss Park: Downtown High Rise, 1960

Moss Park is a high-rise apartment complex. It is thus architectually less suited to the needs of families with young chiddren. In 1981, Moss Park differed from Toronto as a whole as well as from other public housing projects, both in age and family structure. In Moss Park, there were proportionally fewer young children and many more edderly persons than in the other developments. The proportion of Mosss Park's population under age 15 was $9 \%$. less than Torento with $16 \%$ and much less than other developments. The proportion of elderly aged 65 and over was $18 \%$ in Moss Park. compared with just $1.3 \%$ for Toronto.

In 1981. Moss Park had $29 \%$ of famities headed by a lone parent, double the Toronto percentage of lone-parent families, but less than half the Regent Park proportion ( $69 \%$ ).

The median household income in Moss Park, at $\$ 8,700$, was less than hatf that for Torontes in 1980. Hewever. Moss Park residents who did work had employment incomes similar to those in Toronto. For men, the Moss Park figure was lower than for Toronto - $\$ 12,000$ compared with $\$ 14,500$. But for women, employment income was actually thigher
in Moss Park (\$10,700) than for female Workers in the city as a whole $(\$ 9,800)$.

The pereentages of unattached individuals and families in Moss Park living below the Low-Income Cut-Offs were both considerably higher than those for Toronto as a whole. In 1981, $58 \%$ of unattached indivicuals and $37 \%$ of families in Moss Park were below the Low-!ncome Cut-offs

## Alexandra Park: Mixed Renovation and Replacement, 1965

Alexandra park is a downown development in which total clemolition did not occur. Rather, some old housing was renovated and new dwellings added to it. The 1981 profile of this project differed considerably from Toronto as a whole, as welt as from Regent Park. The percentage of Alexandra Park residents claming British origin was much lower than for Toronto in 5981 . That vear, only $28 \%$ of those living in Alexandra Park were of British origin compared with $20 \%$ in 1961. There were also large numbers of people living in Alexandra Park claiming Chinese and Portuguese origin - $23 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively

As well, in $1981.59 \%$ of Alexandra Park residents had been born outside Cantada. Of those living in Alexandra Park Wholadimmigrated to Canada, $12 \%$ had done so since $\mathbb{1 0}^{-9}$ ).

The project s multicultural nature is also reflected in the relatively high proportion of residents who speak a language other than English or French at home. In $1981.41 \%$ spoke neither English nor French at home; in Toronto. the percentage was $25 \%$ and in Regent Park, only $15 \%$.

The age profile of Alexandra Park is quite similar $w$ that of Toronto. For example. in I981, 14\% of Alexandra Park residents were aged 65 and over, compared with $13 \%$ of those in Toronto. At the other end of the age range, $6 \%$ of the projects residents were under 5 years of age, compared with $5 \%$ for the city.

The proportion of lone-parent families in Alexandra Park was double that in Toronto as a whole. In $1981.29 \%$ of families in this public housing project were headed by a lone parent.

The percentage of multifamily houscholds in Alexandra Park dropped sharply between 1961 and 1981 . yet remained higher than in Toronto. In $1981.4 \%$ of the project's households contained more than one family, down from $21 \%$ in 1961 in the area where the development was subsequently built.

- Comtinued page 30
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## Cabbagetown: Private Renovation Adjacent to Regent Park

Gerrard Street is the northern boundary of Regent Park North North of that thoroughfare lies Cabbagetown, a stylish neighbourhood of renovated Victorian threc-storey detached and semi-detached homes which, athough run-down in the [940s, were not included in the Regent Park demolition area. Alchough physically adjacent, the social profiles of Regent Park and Cabbagecown are substantially different.

Before the days of Regent Park. the two areas were more or less identical in dwelling conditions and characteristics of residents. But, as has been the case for three decades, the residents of Regent Park are now dissimilar, both economically and socially, from their near neighbours. Thus public housing of the Regent Park type, while offering better quality housing, has not fundamentally altered the social gulf Which Gerrard Street has come to physically represent. Residents of the (wo communities still stand apart.

While Regent Park is a community of lone parent families and many children, Cabbagetown residenes tend to be chilelless couples. More than half the housing project families were headed by a lone parent in 1981 , compared with
only about $18 \%$ north of the Gerrard Street boundary. Consistent with jts lone-parent profile, Regent Park was characterized by considerably more adult women than men. In Cabloagelown, the opposite was true. In $1981,30 \% / \%$ of Regent Park residents were under the age of 15 . while in Cabbagetown the young macte up only about $12 \%$. In fact, more than $40 \%$ of Cabbagetown families were childless, compared with $12 \%$ in Regent Park

The labour force participation rates of both men and women in Cabbagetown were higher than in Regent Park and unemployment was much lower - kess than $5 \%$ Median household incomes in 1980 were at least $\$ 14,00()^{1}$ higher than in Regent Park.

In terms of ethnic origit, those of British extraction predominate in both communities. But with the exception of French and Chinese origins, nos other ctimic group) affiliations were recorded in significant numbers in Cabbagetown in 198I. By contrast, there were large Black and Asian communjties in Regent Park at the time of the 1981 Census.

Cabbagetown is divicked into two areas: one had a median houschold incumte of $\$ 19,-(0)$; the other. $\$ 28.1100$.

Official recognition of inferior housing conditions in the Municipality of Toronto came in 1934 in an Ontario government report (the 'Bruce Repors' - named after the then lietuenant-Governor of Onkari(). However, the Depression and wartinue stringencies that followed precluded any immediate remedial action. But rapid urban population growth after World War II which reflected migration to the cities, high levels of immigration, and increased levels of child-bearing ontce again nrade the decayed state of the housing stock a public issue Overcrowding. deterioration of inner-city dwellings, and suburban subdivision development each played a part in fostering demands for slum clearance and for low coss rental housing.

To) legin meeting these needs, the first major development project in Canada, Regent Park North, was initiated as a city-financed slum clearance and construction project. Between 1948 and 1957, existing housing was razed, and a complex of low-rise apartment blocks and rowhouses concaining over $1,(0(X)$ units was built.

The project was hailed as a milestone in slum clearance, and in 1958, the Toronto Board of Trade journal concluded optimistically that "experience in Regent Park North has now been sufficient to indicate very clearly that a new environment can do much for aid people in developing their individual strengths as well as their family ties".

Later projects were of a comsiderably higher density than Regent Park Nonth in order to reduce costs. Regent Park Sousb, for example, built in 1957.58 directly south of the first project, consists of high-rise apartment buildings containing almost 500 units. Another 253 units of row housing constructed nearby were intended primarily for families with young children.
lawrence Heights. developed berween 1957 and 19(6) and swned by the Ontario Housing Corporation, differed from other public housing projects of the era. It was built in a newly developed suburban area, so no process of slum clearance preceded its construction. This project consists of just over 1,000 units
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in nineteen $31 / 2$-storey walk-ups and 66 blecks of rowhouses, as well as some semi-detached and detached homes. (An additional 127 senior eitizen units in the area are owned by Metropolitan Toronto.) By design, Laurence Heigbts was spatially and socially isolated from the surrounding neightourhores, a feature that drew criticism in its carly stages.

Between $19(x)$ and $19(9)$, Mass Park was buile just stuthwest of Regent Park. This high-density public housing project consists of three 15 -storey buildings containing about 900 units in otal. Before the project was constructed, the area th now occupies was a neighbourhood of private homes, rooming houses, and small inclustrial buildings. Residences in the area were, in the main, not structurally dilapidared. Nonctheless, clearance was seen as preferable to rehabilitation and maintenance.

Work began on Alexandra Park in southwest Toronte in 1965. Instead of removal and replacement of all dwellings, this project entailed broth rehabilitition of some houses and complete replacement of others. Full demolition. a feature of early developments, had fallen from favour by 1965 .

The original plan for Alexandra Park called for clearance of 39 of the total of 72 acres. Fonur hundred and ten housing units were eventually built in two high-rise buildings and a number of rowhouses. The remaining area was devoted to new and rehabilituted private dwellings, commercial buiktings, new non-profit co-operative housing units, and senior citizen units. Other space was devoted to industrial and institutional uses. This mixture has given Alexandra Park a very different l(x)k from other public housing complexes.

During the 19601s, after several major public housing projects had
been completed, attitudes toward this type of housing began to shiff, and criticism of major projects in principle became prevalent. Opponents pointed to the disruption imposed on comnunities for which clearance was being considered, as well as to the hardship of inlividual houscholds whose homes were expropriated. This was particularly true for families not relocared in the new project. Some homeowners were unable to purchase a replacement home elsewhere with the proceeds of the expropriation. Other unatached residents and lodgers, not cligible to relocate had to search for ine expensive accommodation outside the area Many cligible families never relecated in the projects, but simply lelit the area, thereby coneriburing to) the dissolution of an established community: The complexes also lacked social support systems such as community centres, chaycare facilities, accessible parking, and playgrounds.

In the 1980s, public housing has taken on a new and broader meaning: nlammoth public housing developments are no tonger built such projects were primarily concentrated in Toronto, but public housing on a much smaller scale has been butt throughout the country: Over $2(0),(k) 0$ units have been buils in Canatla.

In Metropoditan Torenter textay, emphasis is placed on assisted housing particularly through mixed income programmes in which tenants pay rent according to their incomes, but with the majority paying market rents. There are a nuniber of mixed income programmes; units may be leased by housing authorities in privately-owned buikdings; nonprofit hulding projects are also operated by some municipalities; and church, service, and other non-profit groups buid and operate private non-profit housing such co(iperative housing allows residents to participate in the managenent and maintenance of their own facilities. while subsidized housing in mixed buildings pernits those with low incones to blend in and live on an cqual footing with unsulssidized tenants, thereby avoiding the stigna often attached to public housing residents.

## Comparison of Selected Public Housing Projects, Cabhagetown and Toronto, 1981

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Not available.

Too small to report.
${ }^{1}$ Average household income.
${ }^{2}$ For median income, Cabbagetown is divided into two areas.
Source: Statistics Canada, 1981 Census of Canada.

Labour force participation dropped somewhat for men in Alexandra Park between 1961 and 1981, while for women, it remained stable. In $1981,63 \%$ of adult male residents of Alexandra Park were in the labour force, compared with $79 \%$ in 1961. Throughout the same perioct, just under half of adult women living in this project participated in the labour force. At the same time, unemployment rates for booh men and women dropped quite sharply:

Unemployment among men in Alexandra Park fell from $16 \%$ in 1961 to $6 \%$ in 1981. The comparable rate for men in Toronto in 1981 was lower, at $5 \%$. The rate for female Alexandra Park residents also declined ower the two decades, from $5 \%$ to $2 \%$. In 1981, the unemployment rate of these women was actually lower than for women in Toronto as a whole ( $5 \%$ ).

Median household income in Alexandra Park was just over half that in Toronto, at $\$ 10,400$ in 1980. That year, two-thirds of unatached individuals and almost half of families lived below the Low-Income Cut-Offs, despite the relatively high levels of employment characteristic of this clevelopment.

## Conclusion

While there is considerable variety in the social characteristics of the residents of these four public housing projects, where are underlying uniformities. All of the project residents taken together differ from Torontonians in general.

All four developments have a much higher percentage of both families and unattached individuals living below the Low-Income Cut-Offs; labour force participation for both men and women is

Lower than in Toronte) as a whole, and in most cases, unemplosment is higher: and the percentage of project families headed by a lone parent is at least double that in Toronto. Thus, public housing projects continue to concentrate the less well off in specific geographic areas where significant redevelopment efforts were made in the 1950s and 19(0)s.

Susan McMitlan is Actimg Assiveme I Dencerer of
 of Shatisticis Earmeta

# WOMEN PARENTING ALONE 

by Maureen Moore

The number of lone-parent families. most of which are headed by women, has increased sharply in Canadia in the last several decades. At the sante time, the number of children being raised in these families has also risen dramatically. In fact, over one million Canadian children are currently living in families: with just one parent

Growth in the number of loneparent families is of special concern because these families, particularly those headed by women, face a variety of economic disadvantages. For example, over half of lone-parent families headed by women, have incomes that fall below official Statistics Canada's Low - Income Cut-Off lines. As well, lone-parent families headed by women generally have less desirable living accommodations and fewer basic houschold facilities than other families.

## The Growth of Lone-Parent Families

Between 1931 and 1986 , the number of lone-parent families in Canada grew from 291,900 10 853,600. The increase, however, has been particularly rapid since the mid-1960)s. Over the period $19(66-1986$, the number of lone-parent families rose $130 \%$, while husband-wife families increased only $42 \%$. As a result, by 1986 , families headed by lone parents represented $13 \%$ of all families. This was up from $8 \%$ in $19(6)$, but was still below the level recorded in $1931(14 \%)$.

The percentage of all children under age 25 living in lone-parent families alsos increased substantially in the past (wo decades. In 1986, about 1.2 miltion children - more than $14 \%$ of all children in Canada - lived in lone-parent families.


In comparison, in 1906 fewer than $7 \%$ of all Canadian children lived in lone-parent families.

## Lone-Parent Families Headed by Women

Most lence parents are women. In I98(6). more than 700,000 lone-parent families, or $82 \%$ of the total, were headed by women. Women also constituted the majority of lone parents in every age group. The predominance of women as Ione-parent family-heads was particularly great in younger age brackers.

Women made up $94 \%$ of all lone parents aged $15-24$ in 1986, compared with $85 \%$ of those aged $25-44$, and $77 \%$ of lone parents aged 45 and over.

## Changing Paths to Lone Parenthood

The principal circumstances that result in women becoming lone parents have changed over the last few decades. Specifically, the percentage of female lone parents who are widowed has declined, while the proportions who are divorced or who have never married have increased.

In 1951, about two-thirds of female lone parents were widowed. By 1986. however, over half $(57 \%)$ of female lone parents were either separated or divorced, while the percentage who were widowed had fallen to $28 \%$

The increase in the proportion of divorced female lone parents was especially sharp after the Divorce Act was passed in 1968 . In fact, divorced mothers made up the largest single component of all lone-parent mothers $(30 \%)$ in 1986; in comparison, in 1951 just $3 \%$ of loneparent mothers had been divorced. In the same period, the percentage of female lone parents who were separated actually declined slightly, from $29 \%$ to $28 \%$.

The proportion of lone-parent mothers who have never married has also increased substantially. Never-married women made up $15 \%$ of all femate Ione parents in 1986, up from just $1 \%$ in 1951. The markedgrow'th in the proportion of never-married female lone parents reflects the overall rise in the number of out-of wedlock births. These births more than cuadrupled, from about 14.000 in 1951 to 59, 600 in 1985 . In this period. out-of-wedlock births as a percentage of total births increased from less than 4\% to more than $16 \%$.

The marital status of female lone parents is associated with their ages. Three-quarters of those who had never married, for example, were younger than age 35 , while separated and divorced

Lone Parents by Age and Sex, 1986

| Age Group | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 15-24 | 49,670 | 94 | 3.005 | 6 | 52,665 | 100 |
| 25-44 | 363,950 | 85 | 63,050 | 15 | 427.005 | 100 |
| $45 \cdot 64$ | 209.570 | 76 | 65,890 | 24 | 275,460 | 100 |
| 65 and over | 78,715 | 80 | 19,800 | 20 | 98.510 | 100 |
| Total | 701,900 | 82 | 151,745 | 18 | 853,645 | 100 |


lone parents were clustered in their thirties and forties. Widows tended to be somewhat older; in 1986, about twothirds of widowed lone parents were aged 55 or over.

## Family Histories of Female Lone Parents and Wives ${ }^{1}$

The mapority of temale lone parents at one time were either married or lived in a common-law relationship). However, according to statistics Canada's 1984 Family History Survey, their marital and Ghidestring patterns differedsomewh:a
from those of women who were married or partners in a common-law relationship at the time of the survey.

Female lone parents tended to have entered their first marital or common-law union at a younger age than wives: $28 \%$ of lone parents had been in a union before they were 19, compared with $24 \%$ of wives. This difference was most noticeable among young women. Of women aged $20-24,80 \%$ of lone parents had been in a union before they were 19 , compared with $53 \%$ of wises.

Female Jonc parens w : re tse more
likely than wives to have lived commonlaw. Twenty-two percent of female lone parents had been in a common-law partnership, compared with $17 \%$ of wives.

As well, a higher percentage of female tone parents than wives entered a marital or common-law union around the time they gave hirth. More than a quarter $(26 \%)$ of female lone parents gave hirth before or during the year they entered the union, compared with $16 \%$ of wives.
' W'ives refers to women whorare spouscosor



Female lone parents also tended to have had a child earlier in life than did wives. Whereas $26 \%$ of female lone parents had their first child before they were 20, the comparable proportion for wives was $20 \%$.

## Education and Labour Force Characteristics of Female Lone Parents

Female lone parents generally had less formal education than wives. Just $24 \%$ of female lone parents, as opposed to $31 \%$ of wives, had at least some postsecondary training. In every age group, the percentage of wives who had attended a postsecondary institution exceeded the corresponding proportion for female lone parents.

On the other hand, a higher proportion of female Ione parents than wives were in the labour force. For example, the 1984 labour force participation rate among women aged 18-24, was 45\% for lone parents and $37 \%$ for wives. Labour force participation peaked for both groups among those aged $40-44$, but at $83 \%$, the participation rate for female lone parents was much greater than that for wives $(64 \%)$. However, for women aged $45-64$, the labour force participation rate for wives slightly exceeded that for lone parents.

A higher percentage of female lone parents began working only after the birth of their first child. Of those women who had ever worked, $30 \%$ of lone parents, compared with $17 \%$ of wives, started working after they first gave birth. As well, unce in the labour force, female lone parents were more likely than wives to work continuously

## Income

The average income of female headed lone-parent families was tess than half that of husband-wife families with children. In 1985, lone-parent families headed by women had an average income of just over $\$ 20,000$, compared with almost $\$ 44,000$ for husband-wife families with children.

The sources of income of loneparent families and husband-wife families with children also differ significantly. While earnings were the main source of income for both, female lone-parent families derived only $64 \%$ of their total income from earnings, compared with $87 \%$ for husband-wife families. On the other hand, government assistance, including family and youth allowances, unemployment insurance, social assistance, and pension benefits, made

Living Accommodations and Houschold Facilities of Female Lone-Parent Households and Husband-Wife Households with Children, 1986

|  | Female lone-parent households | Husband-wife households with children under age 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Accommodations |  |  |
| Renting | 72 | 27 |
| Single-detached dwelling | 30 | 66 |
| Built 1960 or before | 40 | 35 |
| Vehicles |  |  |
| Automobiles |  |  |
| -One | 49 | 55 |
| - Two or more | 6 | 31 |
| Vans and trucks | 5 | 29 |
| Comfort and sately |  |  |
| Air conditioner | 11 | 17 |
| Smoke detector | 63 | 80 |
| Portable fire extinguisher | 18 | 43 |
| Cooking and cleaning |  |  |
| Microwave oven | 22 | 43 |
| Freezer | 40 | 70 |
| Dishwasher | 24 | 50 |
| Automatic washing machine | 64 | 86 |
| Clathes dryer | 60 | 84 |
| Entertainment |  |  |
| Record player | 71 | 84 |
| Tape recorder | 60 | 72 |
| Home computer | 9 | 17 |
| Videocassette recorder | 22 | 49 |
| Pay television | 9 | 11 |
| Black and white television only | 8 | 3 |

[^4] Household Surveys Division, unpublished data.

up almost one-quarter of the cotal income of lone-parent families headed by women. In comparison, government transfers accounted for only $7 \%$ of the income of husband-wife families with children.

Lone-parent families headed by women had the highest incidence of low income of all family types. As well, the percentage of these families with low incomes increased in the early 1980s. In $1985,60 \%$ of all lone-parent families headed by women had incomes that fell below Statistics Canada's Low-Income Cut-Offs. This was up from $53 \%$ in 1981 . In contrast, just $11 \%$ of husband-wife families with children had incomes below lhe Iow-hneome Cim Olls in 1085.

## Family Expenditures

A survey of 17 major Canadian cities showed that in 1984, female-headed lone-parent families spent almost half ( $48 \%$ ) of their before-tax income on basic necessities such as food, shelter and household operations. For husband-wife families with chitdren, these expenditures accounted for just over a third (34\%) of pre-tax income.

Relative income levels were also reflected in differences between the living accommodations and household facilities of lone-parent and other families. In 1986 , just $30 \%$ of femaleheaded lone-parent families were living in single-detached houses; the corresponding ligute for other families with


Sclected Characteristics of Female Lone Parents and Wives, 1984

|  | Female <br> lone parents | Wives¹ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than age 19 at first union <br> Ever in a common law union <br> Less than age 20 at birth <br> of lirst child | 28 | 4 |
| Union belore or in same year <br> as birth of first child <br> At least some postsecondary <br> education | 22 | 4 |
| Less than age 20 at labour <br> force entry2 | 26 | 17 |
| Started working only alter <br> birth of first child2 | 26 | 20 |
| Labour force participation rate <br> Work interruptions lasting at <br> least one year2 | 24 | 16 |

[^5]children was $66 \%$. As well. $72 \%$ of female-headed lone-parent families were renters, compared with $27 \%$ of other families. The dwellings of lone-parent families were also somewhat older than those of other families.

Virtually every houschold in Canadla, whether fone-parent or mot, had major houschold appliances and communications equipment such as refrigerators, telephones, radios and television sets. Lone-parent families headed by women, however, were less likely than other families with children to have appliances such as freezers, dishwashers and clothes dryers. Only $40 \%$ of lone-parent households, compared with $70 \%$ of other family houschoids, for example, had a freezer. The proportions with a dishwasher were $24 \%$ for fonc-parent familiesand $50 \%$ for other families.

This article combines information from several statistics Canada sources. Long-term trends in both the number of lone-parent families and the number of children in these families are from the Census.
Derails on lone parents' marital and childbearing histories, as well as their education and tabour force experience, are from the 1984 Family History Survey. Information about spending patterns is derived from the Survey of Family Expenditures. Data on dwelling characteristics and household facilities are from the Survey of Houschold Facilities and Equipment. and income figures are from the Survey of Consumer Finances.

Because these sources use different methodologies and definitions, the results are not strictly comparable. All sources definc lone parents as people who do not live with a spouse or cominon-law partner, but who have at least one child still at home. However, the 1984 Family History Survey included only tone parents aged 18 -05, while the other sources included lone parents of all ages. In the Census. children at home included those of any age (unless otherwise specified) provided they were unmarried. The Family History Survey, however, had an upper age limit of 24 on children at home, while the Survey of Household Facilities and Equipment and the Survey of Consumer Finances included only children under age 18

Conveniences and entertainment equipment such as microwave ovens, home computers and videocassette recorders, were also found less frequently in the homes of female lone parents than in other family households with children. While just $22 \%$ of loneparent homes were equipped with a microwave oven, the proportion for other homes was $43 \%$. Nine percent of lone-parent households had a home computer, and $22 \%$ had a videocassette recorder. The proportions for other family households were $17 \%$ and $49 \%$, respectively.

Safety devices were also not as common in lone-parent homes as in households occupied by other families. Smoke detectors were installed in $63 \%$ of lone-parent homes, compared with $80 \%$ of other homes. The proportions with a fire extinguisher were $18 \%$ for toneparent families and $43 \%$ for other households.

Lone-parent families headed by women were also less likely than other families to have an automobile. In 1984. $55 \%$ of female-headed lone-parent families had a car; the corresponding figure for other families with children was $86 \%$.

## Conclusion

Women of all ages are lone parents. While the characteristics of these women and the circumstances that produced their situations differ, it is possible to outline a general profile of female lone parents. Typically, the majority are either separated or divorced women who entered unions and started childbearing at relatively young ages. They also tend to have less education, but are more likely to be in the labour force than are wives. Thus, Jone-parent mothers must raise children while facing a double disadvantage: they lack support from a spouse, yet have fewer job skills by which to gain an income appropriate to the task.

The income of lone-parent families headed by women is substantially below that of other families. This is reflected in the high percentage of such families with incomes below official Low-Income CutOffs and with living accommodations and houschold ressurces that are inferior to those of other families.

Maureen Moore is an amalyst with the labour and Housebold Surveys Amalysis Division, Stalistics Canada.

# AGING OF THE CANADIAN POPULATION 

by Mary Sue Devereaux

1986 Census figures indicate that the rate of population growth in Canada continued to decline in the 1980s. Between 1981 and 1986 , the population grew by only $4 \%$, the fowest five-year increase in the last 25 years. Nevertheless, large-scale changes in the age distribution have continued. In particular, there has been considerable growth in the elderly segments of the population and in the proportion of people in their prime working years. On the other hand, the number of young adults has fallen dramatically.

These shifts in the age structure of the population reflect both increases in life expectancy and changing birth rates in the past, particularly the baby boom of the 1950 s and early $19(0)$ s and the babybust of the late 1960 sand 1970 s . As people born in those periods move through their life-cycle, they have had, or will have, profound effects on the school system, the labour force, family and housing services, health care institutions. and many other aspects of society.

The aging of the population is reflected in the median age, which in 1986 was the highest ever recorded. That year, the median age of Canadians was 31.6, up from 29.6 in 1981. Barring radical changes in fertility patterns or immigration levels, the median age is expected to continue to rise throughout the rest of the century.

## Elderly Population Increasing

The population aged 65 and over has been the fastest-growing age group in Candala in the 1980s. The number of elderly Canadians rose $14 \%$ from 2.4 million in 1981 to 2.7 million in 1986 , a rate of growth more than three times that of the population as a whole ( $4 \%$ ). As a
consequence of this growth, the proportion of the population aged 65 and over rose from $9.7 \%$ in 1981 to $10.7 \%$ in 1986.

Growth in the number of Canadians aged 75 and over was particularly rapid. In 1986, more than one million people were at least age 75 , an increase of almost $19 \%$ since 1981. During this periud, the share of the population accounted for by those aged 75 and over rose from 3.6\% to $4.1 \%$.

In most age groups under 60, the number of men and women is roughly cqual. Women, however, make up the majority of those aged 60 and over. As well, the predominance of women increases at successively older ages. in 1986. there were 138 women for every 100 nengiget 65 maciot viaticamons
those aged 85 and over, women outnumbered men by more than two to one. This imbalance is largely due to differences in longevity, with women outliving men an average of seven years.

The high grow th rate of the population aged 65 and over is expected to continue well into the next century. In all probability, this will increase the demand for health and social services oriented to the elderly

## Working-Age Population Growing

The mumber of Canadans aged 25.4.4 has also increased substantially in the 1980 s. Berween 1981 and 1986, the population in this age group increased almost $1+\%$. As 7 resuri, the peoportion of aii Cam:


Population Distribution by Age Group, 1981 and 1986

|  | Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under age 5 | 5.14 | 15-24 | 25-34 | $35-44$ | 45.64 | 65.74 | 75 and over | Total |
| Total population (000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 1,783.4 | 3,697.7 | 4,658.7 | 4,216.2 | 2,968.2 | 4,658.1 | 1,477.7 | 883.2 | 24,343.2 |
| 1986 | 1,810.2 | 3,581.8 | 4,178.2 | 4,527.2 | 3,640.9 | 4,873.5 | 1.650 .1 | 1,047.5 | 25,309.3 |
| Percentage of total population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 7.3 | 15.2 | 19.1 | 17.3 | 12.2 | 19.1 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 7.1 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 19.3 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Percentage change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981.1986 | 1.5 | -3.1 | -10.3 | 7.4 | 22.7 | 4.6 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 4.0 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, Catalague 92.901. Population: Age, Sex and Marital Status, and 1986 Census of Canada.


dians aged $25-7+$ rose from $295 \%$ in 1981 to $32.3 \%$ in 1986

The size of the population aged 45-64 has been relatively stable. The number of Canadians in this age range rose by just under 5\% in the 1981-1986 period. Their share of the total population remained almost unchanged alalitte over 19:

## Young Adult Population Declining

There has been a marked dectine in the pupulation aged $15-24$, most of whom were born during the so-called haby-bust of the late 1960 )s and 1970)s. Between 1981 and 1986 , the number of $15-2+$-year-s)des fell $10 \%$. Consequently. the share of the population accounted for by this age group declined from $19.1 \%$ to $16.5 \%$

One effect of the shrinking population aged $15-24$ has been that the number of new labour market entrants has fallen dramatically. This contrasts sharply with

Whe 1970s, when baberboomens towned into the labour kece in record numbers.

## Child Population Stable

Compared with the rapicl growth in the number of children during the baby: boom, ant the sharp decline during the subsequent baby-bust, the population aged 14 and under has been relatively stable in the 1980s.

From 1981 to 1986, the number of children under age 5 rose $2 \%$, while the populationaged $5-14 \mathrm{fell} 3 \%$. As a result. the overall share of the total population decounted for by these age groups dropped from $22.5 \%$ to $21.3 \%$. The retative stability of this poputation suggests that enrolment in elementary and scoondary schools should be stable into the carly 1990 s .

Mary Sue Devercaux is Asseriale M/dhag ing fideor of Canadian Social Trends.
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## SOCIAL INDICATORS

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POPULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada, June I (0)0s) | 23,747.3 | 24,042.5 | 24,341.7 | 24,631.3 | 24,883.6 | 25,122.8 | 25,358.2 | 25,588.3 |
| Annual growth (\%) | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Immigration | 82,939 | 138,079 | 129,466 | 134,920 | 105,286 | 87.504 | 84.062 | $87.794{ }^{5}$ |
| Emigration | 63,559 | 51,060 | 43,609 | 45.338 | 50,249 | 48,826 | 46,252 | 50,358 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| FAMILY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth rate (per 1,000$)$ | 15.5 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.8 | $14.8{ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| Marriage rate (per 1,000) | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.58 |
| Divorce rate (per $1,0 \mathrm{KK}$ ) | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | - |
| Framilies experiencing unemployment (000)s) | 652 | 671 | 694 | 986 | 1,072 | 1,037 | 991 | 918 |
| LABOUR FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total employment (000s) | 10,395 | 10,708 | 11.006 | 10,644 | 10.734 | 11,000 | 11,311 | 11.634 |
| - gends sector (0)00s) | 3.474 | 3.514 | 3,581 | 3,260 | 3,209 | 3.309 | 3.348 | 3,417 |
| - services sector (OMOs) | 6,921 | 7.194 | 7.425 | 7.384 | 7,525 | 7.692 | 7.963 | 8.217 |
| Total unemployment (000s) | 836 | 865 | 898 | 1.314 | 1,448 | 1,399 | 1,328 | 1,236 |
| Enempleswent rate | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| Part-time employment \% | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 15.6 |
| Women's participation rate | 49.0 | 50.4 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 52.6 | 53.5 | 54.3 | 55.1 |
| Unionization rate - \% of paid workers | 30.3 | 32.2 | 32.9 | 33.3 | 35.7 | 35.1 | * | * |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median family income - 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,076 | - |
| \% of families with low income | 13.1 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 13.2 | $14.0$ | 14.5 | 13.3 | * |
| W omen's full-time earnings as a \% of men's | 63.3 | 12.2 | 63.6 | 64.0 | . | 65.5 | 64.9 | - |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silementary and secondary enrolment (000s) | 5,184.7 | 5,106.3 | 5,024.2 | 4,994.0 | 4,974.9 | 4.946 .1 | $4,927.9$ | 4,941.78 |
| Full-time postsecondary enrolment (000s) | 623.5 | 643.4 | 675.3 | 722.0 | 766.7 | 782.8 | 789.8 | 797.48 |
| Doctoral degrees awarded | 1,803 | 1,738 | 1,816 | 1.713 | 1,821 | 1,878 | 2,000 | * |
| (ios)ernment expenditure un education <br>  | 22,598.2 | 22.512 .7 | 23,082.3 | 23,180.8 | 24,031.6 | 23,208.1 | 24,122.6 | 23,581.2 |

## HEALTH

Suicide rate (per 100,000)

| - men | 21.4 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 23.4 | 21.4 | 20.5 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - women | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.4 | * |
| \% of population $15+$ who are regular cigarette smokers - men | 38.6 | - | 36.7 | - | 34.0 | - | 33.1 | 30.8 |
| - women | 30.1 | - | 28.9 | - | 28.3 | - | 27.8 | 25.8 |
| Government expenditure on health <br> (1982 8000 (06K)) | 18.456.1 | 19.564 .9 | 20,831.2 | 21,672.2 | 22,745.3 | 23,846.0 | 24,078.1 | 24,942.0 |

## JUSTICE

Crime rates (per 100,000)

| - vielent | 623 | 648 | 666 | 685 | 692 | 714 | 749 | 801 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - property | 5,013 | 5,551 | 5.873 | 5,955 | 5.717 | 5,607 | 5,560 | 5,660) |
| - homicide | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 |

government
Expenditures on social programes ${ }^{1}$

| (1982 \$000,000) | 91, 126.7 | 95,340.7 | 97.499 .7 | 104,289.8 | 110,095.4 | 111,700.9 | 114,838.2 | 116,467.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - as a \% of total expenditures | 59.3 | 57.7 | 57.3 | 58.1 | 59.9 | 58.4 | 58.4 | 58.9 |
| - as a \% of (il)P | 24.0 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 27.8 | 27.7 | 27.5 |
| 11 beneficiaries (OM)s) | 2,332.9 | 2,274.1 | 2,432.4 | 3.123 .1 | 3,396.1 | 3,221.9 | 3,181.5 | 3,136.7 |
| ( AS/Gils leneficiaries ${ }^{\text {m }}$ (000s) | 2,145.4 | 2,236.0 | 2,302.8 | 2,368,6 | 2,425.7 | 2,490.9 | 2,560.5 | 2,652.2 |
| Canada Assistance Plan beneficiaries ${ }^{m}$ (OOMS) | 1.547 .6 | 1,334.3 | 1,418.4 | 1,502.8 | 1,832.9 | 1,894.9 | $1,923.3$ | 1,892.9 |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (GI)P (1981 \$) - annual \% change | +3.9 | + 1.5 | + 3.7 | $-3.3$ | +3.1 | + 5.5 | $+4.0$ | +3.1 |
| Annual inflation rate (\%) | 9.2 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| froan housing starts | 151,717 | 125,013 | 142,441 | 104,792 | 134,207 | 110,874 | 139,408 | 170,863 |
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## A JOURNEY OF 1000 MILES BEGINS WITH A SINGLE STEP



## A CANADIAN RESOURCE <br> A CANADIAN TRADITION

## THE CANADA YEARBOOK - 1988

The Camada Year Book recorded Canada' first steps in 1867. It's still the only book that gives you the most complete, up o-date facts on every aspect of Canada's growth as a nation.
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