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# The System of National Accounts 

In Canada, the National Accounts have been developed since the close of the Second World War in a series of publications relating to their constituent parts. These have now reached a stage of evolution where they can be termed a "System of National Accounts". For purposes of identification, all publications (containing tables of statistics, descriptions of conceptual frameworks and descriptions of sources and methods) which make up this System carry the term "System of National Accounts" as a general title.

The System of National Accounts in Canada consists of several parts. The annual and quarterly Income and Expenditure Accounts (included with Catalogue Nos. carrying the prefix 13) were, historically speaking, the first set of statistics to be referred to with the title "National Accounts" (National Accounts. Income and Expenditure). The Balance of International Payments data (Catalogue Nos. with prefix 67), are also part of the System of National Accounts and they, in fact, pre-date the Income and Expenditure Accounts.

Greatly expanded structural detail on industries and on goods and services is portrayed in the Input-Output Tables of the System (Catalogue Nos. with prefix 15). The Catalogue Nos. carrying the prefix 15 also provide measures of the contribution of each industry to total Gross Domestic Product at factor cost as well as Productivity Measures.

Both the Input-Output tables and estimates of Gross Domestic Product by industry use the establishment as the primary unit of industrial production. Measures of financial transactions are provided by the Financial Flow Accounts (Catalogue Nos. with prefix 13). Types of lenders and financial instruments are the primary detail in these statistics and the legal entity is the main unit of classification of transactors. Balance sheets of outstanding assets and liabilities are published annually.

The System of National Accounts provides an overall conceptually integrated framework in which the various parts can be considered as interrelated sub-systems. At present, direct comparisons amongst those parts which use the establishment as the basic unit and those which use the legal entity can be carried out only at highly aggregated levels of data. However. Statistics Canada is continuing research on enterprise-company-establishment relationships; it may eventually be feasible to reclassify the data which are on one basis (say the establishment basis) to correspond to the units employed on another (the company or the enterprise basis).

In its broad outline, the Canadian System of National Accounts bears a close relationship to the international standard as described in the United Nations publication: A System of National Accounts (Studies in Methods, Series F, No. 2 Rev. 3, Statistical Oftice, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations, New York, 1968).

## Notes to Users

Productivity data reported here incorporate revisions affecting some of the underlying data. These revisions are as follows:

1. Input output tables for 1987 were revised and data from the preliminary 1988 input-output tables were used for the first time. This resulted in revisions to compensation data and real GDP (excluding government royalties on natural resources and rents of owner-occupied dwellings) data for the years 1987 to 1991.
2. Re-basing of the Labour Force Survey to the 1986 population census resulted in changes to employment data.
3. Change of employment sources in the urban transit system industry, interuman and rural transit system and postal service industry which affects the employment data of the transportation and storage industry, communications industry and construction industry from 1961.
4. Reconciliation of the provincial and the national capital stock estimates resulted in revisions to the capital stock of most industries with minor changes at the aggregate level.
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## Introduction

This issue of Aggregate productivity measures is introducing a new format of presentation of the productivity and related statistics. It is, as last year, divided into two parts. Part 1 presents the labour productivity, labour compensation and unit labour cost estimates. Part 2 presents the multifactor productivity estimates. However, the highlights follow immediately this introduction and are based on an analysis of both labour and multifactor productivity estimates. Many graphics have been added to the data tables in order to help the readers assess the evolution through time. These graphs contain complete time series from 1961 on. It is hoped that these editing changes will improve the presentation of the productivity and related statistics.

In addition, this issue includes preliminary estimates for both 1990 and 1991 while last year issue, released in July, included 1989 preliminary estimates. Multifactor productivity estimates were released in CANSIM last year at the same time as the publication was released. This year, preliminary multifactor productivity estimates for 1990 were released in CANSIM in February in order to improve timeliness. These estimates were since then revised and updated in CANSIM along with some other revisions to 1989 figures.

The labour productivity estimates have limitations which have been emphasized in each issue of this publication and which have lead to the development of experimental multifactor productivity estimates. The major limitation is that labour productivity measures output per unit of labour input instead of oufput per unit of all inputs combined. Consequently the growth in labour productivity reflects the growth in output that results from two sources: 1) the growth of other productive factors relative to the growth in labour; and 2) the improved efficiency of all inputs, including labour. Multifactor productivity estimates take into account the contribution of all inputs so that they can be interpreted, in principle, as measures of increased efficiency only.

The relationship between labour productivity and multifactor productivity is depicted on the figure next page where both measures are shown for the Canadian business sector. Production is measured by real value added and factor inputs are comprised of capital and labour. Labour productivity grew faster than multifactor productivity over the last decades as it resulted both from the increased contribution of capital to output growth and the increased efficiency of combined capital and labour. Indeed, the capital intensity of production increased over that period as indicated by the positive growth, in most years, of the capital labour ratio, which is depicted on the same figure. This means that, even though there would have been no increase in efficiency, labour productivity would have grown as a result of the larger quantities of equipment used per worker. It is normal to expect an increase in production when workers are better equipped, that is, to expect an increase in their productivity. But this does not mean necessarily an increase in efficiency as more equipments have been used. Efficiency increases only when production increases without increase in inputs. The efficiency component of labour productivity, which is also the efficiency component of the capital productivity, is precisely multifactor productivity. The latter indicates how quickly production could grow each year without increased use of capital and labour.

Part 2 presents experimental multifactor productivity indices for the business sector of the Canadian economy. This is the third consecutive years that multifactor productivity estimates are released. Readers who are not familiar with multifactor productivity measures would benefit from reading the accompanying technical appendices as they explain the basic concepts with which they must be familiar to interpret
correctly the statistical tables. Appendix 1, in particular, describes several multifactor productivity measures. All these multifactor productivity measures use the same mathematical formula but they differ with respect to the outputs and the inputs to which they are applied. Distinct productivity measures are defined for industries, group of industries and for the aggregate business sector. Also of interest, the two feature articles of the 1989 issue of this publication which provide extensions to the basic concepts and deeper insight into multifactor productivity measures.

Figure 1
Indices of business sector labour productivity, multifactor productivity and capital-labour ratio, 1961-1991


The experimental character of these estimates mainly originate from the multiplicity of the indices produced so far. Alternative indices by industry have been produced on the basis of gross output, of gross output net of intra-industry sales and, this year, on the basis of value-added as well as interindustry indices which bear on products groups rather than industries. At the aggregate level, alternative measures have been produced on the basis of the value-added of the business sector and on the basis of its deliveries to the final demand. All these indices do not have the same utility although they show reality on different angles which are of interest. A choice will eventually be done for the regular release of the indices. Yet still other indices are considered and will eventually be developed as explained in the second feature anticle of the last year issue of this publication which presented an overall framework under the unifying concept of integration. Alternative measures of capital stock, capital services and depreciation formula are being
analysed. Research is also under way on the comparability of Canadian productivity estimates with estimates produced in United-States and other countries.

Multifactor productivity estimates, if they come closer to estimates of efficiency gains, are not exempt of problems of their own. Productivity index estimates, in principle, measure increases in efficiency associated with technical progress and scale economies but, in practice, they also measure the impact of underutilization of productive resources over the slack periods of the business cycle as well as many potential biases due to errors in the data. For instance, some inputs are not accounted for. This is the case presently of natural resources whose quantity and quality, which are not yet available, are potentially crucial for primary industries' productivity estimates. This explains why productivity estimates for important industries such as forestry and mining are not made available at the present time. As research on the measurement of these resources proceeds, more primary industry estimates will become available. Research is also under way on the measurement of the labour input. In particular, it is hoped that disaggregated estimates of hours worked by industry will be produced next year so that multifactor productivity estimates based on hours worked rather than employment as is now the case will be produced.

Similarly, the deflation of output in many service industries appears to be inadequate, at least for productivity studies, and their estimates are withheld until progress is made on that front. Over-deflation of output in service industries on the basis of input prices, as is often the case, leads to an underestimation of their productivity growth. To the extent that service industries supply goods producing industries, the service inputs of the latter are underestimated. This tends to bias upwards the productivity gains of the goods producing industries. At the aggregate level, these biases compensate as aggregate productivity is related only to final demand deliveries which are net of intermediate inputs (provided that final sales of services are not biased).

Estimates for other industries have biases which have changed over the historical record as the methods used to estimates their outputs and inputs have changed. For the non-residential construction industries, output has been deflated with an average of input prices before 1971, whipping out productivity gains from the productivity estimates. After 1971, a larger number of construction activities have been deflated with improved deflators, contributing to an improvement of their productivity estimates. Still, much progress have to be made in the measurement of the output deflators of the construction industries.

Table I

## FOR FURTHER READING

## Selected publications from Statistics Canada

The labour and multifactor productivity indexes presented in this publication are obtained mainly from a set of integrated industry and commodity statistics within the System of National Accounts (SNA). The integration ensures consistency of dafinition over time and across industry and commodity classifications and the information may therefore differ from other Statistics Canada data. Publications with a catalogue number prefix 15 contain SNA integrated data and are available under the following tites:

- Gross Domestic Product by Industry, cat. 15.001.
- The Input.Output Structure of the Canadian Economy, cal. 15-201.
- The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy in Constant Prices, cat. 15-202.
- The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy, 1961-81, cat. 15-510, occasional.
- The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy in Constant Prices, 1961-81, cat. 15.511, occasional.


## HIGHLIGHTS

# Recent Productivity Developments in Canada: Are They Indicating a Persistent Slowdown? 


#### Abstract

Recent trends have raised some concerns about Canada's ability to compete in world markets, especially with its largest trading partner, the United States. Among these, the productivity performance of domestic industries and their unit labour costs are the major ones. These highlights address the productivity side of the competitiveness issue by analyzing the short and long term productivity performance of Canadian industries with special reference to manufacturing. It also focuses on factors underlying productivity growth so as to provide some insights into its future prospects.


Past issues of this publication contained Canada-US productivity comparisons which unfortunately are not meaningtul at the present time pending major historical revisions of U.S. data. We expect to resume such comparisons in future issues.

## The Canadian Business Sector

Multifactor productivity of Canadian business enterprises fell by $2.1 \%$ in 1990 and $1.7 \%$ in 1991 as the economy reached the end of a long expansionary cycle that began in 1982 and evolved into a recession. Such a fall in productivity is characteristic of business cycle downturns, reflecting in part additional productive capacity built during the expansion but made temporarily unnecessary by unexpected reductions in the growth of demand.

Labour productivity in the business sector as measured by real GDP per person-hour worked increased by $1.5 \%$ in 1991 and a meagre $0.1 \%$ in 1990. The positive labour productivity growth in 1991 contrasts with the marked decline in multifactor productivity, as a result of an increased contribution of capital to output growth. Labour productivity grew at an average annual rate of $1.6 \%$ for the business cycle 19821991, which is marginally higher than the annual average rate of $1.5 \%$ for the previous 1975-1982 cycle, and much lower than the $3.3 \%$ average annual productivity gains of the 1961-1975 period. Thus, there has been a deceleration in the labour productivity performance of the Canadian business sector in the second half of the 1961-1991 period (see Figure 1). This result was somewhat unexpected, given the relatively favourable economic conditions which prevailed from 1982 to 1988. Will labour productivity continue on its downward trend? Is this a sign that rapid improvement in technical progress is gone forever? Not necessarily, as labour productivity reflects both changes in the capital-labour ratio and changes in productive efficiency.

Growth in business sector mulifactor productivity over the last business cycle, a more comprehensive measure of productive efficiency, does not support the notion of a long-term decline in efficiency growth. Rather, it indicates that overall productive efficiency growth has in fact improved over the 1982-91 period when compared to the 1975-1982 period, contrary to the indications given by the labour productivity measure (see Figure 1). Between 1982 and 1991, multifactor productivity grew at an average annual rate

Figure 1
Indices of business sector labour productivity, multifactor productivity and capital-labour ratio, 1961-1991


of $0.8 \%$, which is better than the performance attained over the previous 1975-1982 business cycle, when it declined on average by $0.3 \%$ per year. Nevertheless, it is still short of the achievement of the 1961-1975 period when it reached a high of $1.5 \%$ growth per year on average. The recovery in the 1980 s placed the 1982-1991 productivity growth on par with the historical 1961-1991 average.

The different trends between labour and multifactor productivity over these last two business cycles may be explained by a change in the contribution of capital to output growth. Over the current business cycle, there has been a deceleration in the rate of growth of productive capital stock from an average growth of $4.3 \%$ per year in 1975-82 to $2.6 \%$ in 1982-91, while annual employment growth decreased slightly from $1.9 \%$ in 1975-82 to $1.8 \%$ in 1982-91. As a result, the growth rate of the capital-labour ratio decelerated from an average of $2.3 \%$ in 1975-82 to $0.8 \%$ in 1982-91 inducing a convergence of the two productivity growth rates in this period. However, for most of the past 30 years, the capital-labour ratio has increased, causing labour productivity to grow faster than mullifactor productivity.

Despite the productivity record, there is a widely held belief that the Canadian business sector became less competitive over recent years, both at home and abroad. In part, this stems from the path followed by Canadian net exports of goods and services over the last few years. Figures 2 to 4 show a strong deterioration of both the goods and services trade balance between 1988 and 1991, although the goods trade balance recovered somewhat in 1990 and 1991. A substantial proportion of the overall decline in the net trade of goods is due to an increase in the machinery and equipment deficit, while the deterioration

Figure 2
Net exports of goods and services in constant 1986 prices - billion of dollars, 1961-1991


Figure 3
Merchandise trade balance and selected components in constant 1986 prices - blllion of dollars, 1961-1991


Figure 4
Net exports of services and selected components In constant 1986 prices - blllion of dollars, 1961-1991

of the services account also contributed significantly to the overall decline, primarily as a result of an increase in the tourism deficit.

Figure 5
Ranking of selected Industrles according to gross output multifactor productivity, average annual growth rates, 1961-1988
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There are potentially many reasons behind the deterioration of Canadian competitiveness over the last few years. Among these, the approciation of $21.3 \%$ between 1986 to 1991 of the value of the domestic currency is certainly one. In addition, competitiveness was strained by an increase in the interest rate differential between Canada and the United States. The central bank's rate differential climbed from 0.6 percentage points in 1981 to 4.2 percentage points in 1991. This, combined with the world-wide slowdown in economic activity and a recession in the U.S.A., made it difficult for producers to sustain the growth of output and, therefore, the productivity growth of the earlier stages of the expansion.

More fundamentally, one may ask the question: is there an emerging downward trend in productivity growth that may impair competitiveness of the Canadian economy over the long run or is the recent performance of productivity only cyclical in nature? Although it is difficult to answer such a question, the next sections focus on recent developments that put productivity growth into perspective by looking at the manufacturing industries which are the largest contributors to aggregate productivity growth in Canada and by presenting other associated indicators of performance for Canadian manufacturing industries which may be indicative of future developments.

Figure 6
Average annual contribution of industries to business sector multifactor productivity growth, 1961-1973


## The Importance of Manufacturing in the Canadian Business Sector

Productivity growth at the business sector level is hardly meaningful without looking at the performance of industries at a more disaggregated level and the contribution of these industries to the aggregate. Figure 5 illustrates this by showing average annual productivity growth rates for individual manufacturing industries and large non-manufacturing industries. These growth rates, which are computed from industry multifactor productivity indices defined in terms of gross output, show large differences in productivity performance across business sector industries. For example, productivity grew at a much faster rate in the telecommunications industries than in any other industry. Wholesale and retail trade and the transportation and storage industries have enjoyed a better productivity performance than most manufacturing industries except plastic products.

Figure 7
Average annual contribution of Industries to business sector muitifactor productivity growth, 1973-1988


However, the above productivity gains do not take into account the relative importance of each industry in the total business sector. This importance can be measured by the ratio of each industry's output to aggregate output which is far more important for manufacturing industries than for other industries. The weighted productivity performance of industries, or what amounts to the same, their contribution to business sector productivity growth, therefore, appears quite different as shown by the set of the following figures for three different time periods, 1961-1973, 1973-1988 and 1961-1988 (see Figures 6, 7 and 8).

Figure 8
Average annual contribution of industries to business sector multifactor productivity growth, 1961-1988


While in Figure 5, telecommunications industries were by far the dominant industry group, it is now manufacturing which plays the dominant role. This is the case for the entire 1961-88 period as well as for the $1961-73$ and $1973-88$ sub periods. Overall, between 1961 to 1988 , manufacturing industries contributed $55 \%$ of aggregate productivity gains. While the contribution of manufacturing was stable in the two periods, the contribution of other industries changed significantly. By comparing the two periods, one can observe the increasingly depressing role played by the Mining industries on aggregate productivity. Mining, Finance, Insurance and Real Estate as well as Community, Business and Personal Services slowed down the growth rate of aggregate productivity atter 1973. The rise of telecommunications in the post 1973
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period was not sufficient to offset the increased number of industries with negative contribution to Business Sector productivity growth. The relative contribution of non-manufacturing industries increased compared to manufacturing during that latter period partly because overall productivity gains as well as manufacturing productivity gains were both substantially lower.

Again, the contribution of individual manufacturing industries varies widely. Outstanding are the transportation equipment industries and the electrical and electronic products groups (see Figure 9). Among the weakest components, one finds tobacco and furniture and fixtures products industries.

Figure 9
Average annual contribution of industries to business sector multifactor productivity growth, 1961-1988


The conclusion to be drawn from this is that aggregate productivity has been consistently dependent on the behaviour of manufacturing productivity in the past. Manufacturing productivity is also important in that it is a sector of the economy which is subject to fierce international competition and we now furn to a more detailed look at this sector.

## Factors Behind the Recent Manufacturing Productivity Slowdown

Canadian manufacturing multifactor productivity declined for three consecutive years in 1989, 1990 and $1991(-2.9 \%,-4.9 \%$ and $-3.7 \%$ respectively). The decline resulted, in part, from the rapid slowdown in economic activity in 1989 (manufacturing real GDP decelerated from a $5.2 \%$ increase in 1988 to a $0.3 \%$ increase in 1989) and the reduction in economic activity in 1990 and 1991 (manufacturing real GDP declined by $5.3 \%$ and $6.5 \%$ respectively). By the end of 1991, the productivity losses in the preceding three years eliminated most of the gains that took place since 1983. Over the course of the 1982-1991 business cycle, multifactor productivity improved slightly, with an average annual growth rate of $1.0 \%$, which is higher than $0.6 \%$ registered during the preceding business cycle but much lower than the $2.5 \%$ recorded during the 1961-1975 period. The recovery in the 1982-1991 business cycle was not sufficient to bring multifactor productivity gains at a level of the 1961-1991 average of 1.6\%.

Figure 10
Real business Investment In machinery and equipment, real gross domestic product (GDP) and capacity utillzation rate In manufacturing, 1961-1991


Multifactor productivity is sensitive over the short run to capacity utilization rates. For manufacturing industries, the capacity utilization index reached peaks in 1974 and 1979 with respective values of $90.8 \%$ and $88.7 \%$ (see Figure 10). The index fell to as low as $69.8 \%$ in the trough of the 1982 recession and it moved up during the following expansionary phase to $85.9 \%$ in 1988 . However, it fell sharply in the last three years to $83.5 \%, 76.9 \%$ and $70.8 \%$ respectively. Machinery industries were the most affected by this decline. The sharp drop in the utilization index in Canadian manufacturing industries is probably a major explanatory factor underlying their poor productivity performance over the last years. This tends to explain the recent productivity decline as a cyclical phenomenon rather than as a long run development.

Investment in machinery and equipment is another key indicator of technical change in that new technologies are, to a large extent, embedded in new capital equipment. Since 1984, real investment in machinery and equipment in the manufacturing industries has proceeded, on average, at a rapid rate, indicating that fechnical progress could have followed a healthy long term path. As shown in figure 10, growth in machinery and equipment investment over that period indicates an improvement over the rest of the historical period. In the last three years, real investment per unit of value-added increased even though absolute investment declined slightly. This may be another indication that the relatively poorer productivity performance of Canadian manufacturing industries over the last few years may be cyclical in nature. Indeed, looking at both the capacity utilization rate and real investment growth in machinery and equipment, it is clear that the fall in productivity in manufacturing industries was accompanied by a fall in their output at a time they were increasing capital stock. With a resumption of output growth in Canada, productivity advance could proceed at a rapid pace. This would turn the recent Canadian losses of competitiveness into longer term gains. Nevertheless, high exchange rates and higher interest costs as mentioned above, and relatively higher growth in wages could hinder an expansion in output.

A strong correlation may not be established between short run movements in research and development (R\&D) expenditure and productivity growth particularly for the Canadian economy in which many foreign subsidiaries benefit from R\&D expenditures made abroad. However, it is interesting to notice that the recovery of manufacturing multifactor productivity growth in the 1980 s may have been aided by large increases in R\&D expenditures. In relative terms, current R\&D expenditures increased from a low of $0.8 \%$ of revenue in 1975 to an all time high of $1.4 \%$ in 1989, the last year for which this ratio can be computed (see Figure 11). In absolute terms, manufacturing R\&D expenditures in current prices in 1991 were almost twice that of 1981, and seven times that of 1973. The path of R\&D expenditures among manufacturing industries has varied. Industries with high R\&D to revenue ratio such as telecommunications equipment and other electronic equipment manufacturers increased their ratio between 1982 and 1989, although most of the increase took place in the first two years of this period. In contrast, aircraft \& parts manufacturers, pharmaceutical and medicine products, which are also industries carrying intensive research activities, had an almost constant R\&D to revenue ratio over the period. The $15 \%$ total manufacturing increase in the ratio of R\&D to revenue between 1982 to 1989 reflect some marginal increases by industries not highly involved in research activities, notably in the other manufacturing industries. Similar to increased investment spending, increased expenditures in research and development hold the promise of future gains in manufacturing productivity.

Figure 11
Expenditure on research and deveiopment (R\&D) and multifactor productivity growth in manufacturing Industries, 1973-1991


## Efficiency by Product Groups

Another way of looking at performance is to look at the overall efficiency of the Canadian economy for selected groups of products and services, taking into account all industries contributing directly or indirectly to their production, that is to look at productivity by product across industries rather than on a strictly industry basis. Such an efficiency measure is provided by the interindustry multifactor productivity index which is a weighted average of the industry productivity indices discussed above ${ }^{\dagger}$. The weights are provided by the value-added of the contributing industries over the specific commodity groups ${ }^{2}$. The commodity groups are the same commodity output of industries as above except that the measure now focuses on the joint efficiency of the immediate suppliers and all of their own upstream suppliers. Figure 12 shows the ranking of productivity performance on the output of industries measured by their interindustry productivity. It can be observed that the ranking of industries change in relation to those of Figure 5, in some cases significantly. In particular, the output commodities of the wood and non-metallic mineral products industries increased their ranking as the result of productivity gains of all direct and indirect

[^0]suppliers. The opposite case can be observed in the case of the outputs of transportation and wholesale \& retail trade. The direct and indirect productivity of these services decreased in relation to the productivity of the transportation and trade industries due to lower productivity gains in upstream industries.

Figure 12
Ranking of selected industries according to inter-industry productivity, average annual growth rates, 1961-1988
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Comparing the industry and the interindustry performance ranking reveals how important the interindustry linkages are in determining the overall performance of the economy. Low productivity growth industries which have high interindustry productivity growth are indirectly supporting aggregate productivity growth by providing a market to their more efficient suppliers. The latter can be seen as channelling their productivity gains to the final demand through their industrial customers. This is the case for the wood products industry whose own productivity was $34 \%$ higher in 1988 in relation to 1961 and whose interindustry productivity in 1988 was $88 \%$ higher than in 1961. The higher interindustry productivity reflects in part the productivity gains of the logging and forestry industry. On the other hand, the converse situation occurs in the refined petroleum and coal industry caused in part by lower productivity in the oil and gas industry. It shows that the latter are not weli supported by their suppliers to face intemational competition.

Indeed, suppliers that have experienced low productivity gains supply, ceteris paribus, more expensive intermediate inputs over the long run as increases in primary input prices (wages and capital costs) are not compensated by productivity gains. Moreover, higher intermediate input prices induce higher final demand commodity prices for tradeable commodities and uttimately impair the ability to compete.

## Conclusion

Canadian competitiveness seems to have deteriorated in recent years as indicated by the external balance figures and the rise in the costs of capital and labour, the exchange rate and the interest rate differential with the United States. These factors, the fierce competition from abroad and the onset of the 1990-1991 recession with the accompanying fall in capacity utilization contributed to the Canadian negative multifactor productivity growth in that period. This indicates that the recent poor productivity performance is potentially strongly related to unfavourable cyclical phenomena.

The manufacturing sector, which plays a predominant role in the economy-wide productivity performance, suffered declines in multifactor productivity during these recession years. However, unlike other difficult times, the business reaction was swift and positive. Employment and labour costs were finally contained within bounds. As a result, inflation in unit labour costs declined and output per person-hour actually increased in both 1990 and 1991.

The underlying potential for long-term performance may have been more favourable than that suggested by the performance of the last few years as investment in machinery and equipment and in R\&D indicate. Even though the historically high productivity gains of the 1960 s were not repeated in the 1980 s, business sector productivity recovered from the low levels suffered in the 1970s to a level close to the long-term average of the last 30 years. There is some evidence that a recovery in potential productivity growth has been taking place in Canada since 1982. This means that actual productivity could rebound in Canada if output expands in the next recovery.

## PART 1

## Labour productivity

## Labour compensation

## Unit labour cost

Table 1. Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, business sector industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 33.4 | 55.7 | 64.6 | 8.7 | 60.0 | 51.7 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 25.9 |
| 1962 | 36.0 | 56.9 | 66.0 | 9.2 | 63.2 | 54.5 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 25.5 |
| 1963 | 38.1 | 58.2 | 67.0 | 9.8 | 65.4 | 56.8 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 25.6 |
| 1964 | 40.9 | 60.2 | 69.0 | 10.6 | 67.9 | 59.3 | 17.7 | 15.4 | 26.0 |
| 1965 | 44.2 | 63.0 | 71.6 | 11.9 | 70.1 | 61.6 | 18.8 | 16.6 | 26.9 |
| 1966 | 47.3 | 65.1 | 73.2 | 13.3 | 72.6 | 64.6 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 28.1 |
| 1967 | 48.3 | 66.2 | 74.1 | 14.5 | 72.9 | 65.2 | 21.9 | 19.6 | 30.0 |
| 1968 | 51.0 | 66.2 | 73.2 | 15.5 | 77.0 | 69.6 | 23.4 | 21.1 | 30.3 |
| 1969 | 53.8 | 68.1 | 74.6 | 17.1 | 78.9 | 72.0 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 31.8 |
| 1970 | 54.3 | 68.0 | 73.7 | 18.3 | 79.8 | 73.6 | 26.8 | 24.8 | 33.6 |
| 1971 | 57.7 | 69.4 | 74.6 | 20.0 | 83.1 | 77.3 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 34.7 |
| 1972 | 61.2 | 71.6 | 76.5 | 22.2 | 85.5 | 80.0 | 31.1 | 29.1 | 36.3 |
| 1973 | 66.7 | 75.3 | 80.5 | 25.9 | 88.6 | 82.8 | 34.4 | 322 | 38.9 |
| 1974 | 69.0 | 79.0 | 83.9 | 30.8 | 87.3 | 82.2 | 38.9 | 36.7 | 44.6 |
| 1975 | 69.3 | 80.2 | 84.6 | 35.4 | 86.4 | 81.9 | 44.1 | 41.8 | 51.0 |
| 1976 | 74.0 | 81.5 | 85.3 | 40.7 | 90.8 | 86.7 | 50.0 | 477 | 55.0 |
| 1977 | 76.4 | 83.3 | 85.9 | 45.1 | 91.7 | 88.9 | 54.2 | 525 | 59.1 |
| 1978 | 78.9 | 85.9 | 88.9 | 49.2 | 92.0 | 88.8 | 57.3 | 554 | 62.3 |
| 1979 | 82.4 | 89.5 | 92.1 | 55.5 | 92.1 | 89.5 | 62.1 | 60.3 | 67.4 |
| 1980 | 83.8 | 91.4 | 93.5 | 62.8 | 91.7 | 89.7 | 68.7 | 67.2 | 74.9 |
| 1981 | 87.5 | 94.2 | 95.4 | 72.4 | 92.8 | 91.7 | 76.8 | 75.9 | 82.8 |
| 1982 | 82.6 | 91.3 | 90.9 | 75.8 | 90.4 | 90.9 | 83.0 | 83.5 | 91.8 |
| 1983 | 85.5 | 91.3 | 90.4 | 79.1 | 93.7 | 94.6 | 86.6 | 87.5 | 92.5 |
| 1984 | 91.5 | 93.7 | 93.4 | 85.9 | 97.7 | 98.0 | 91.7 | 92.0 | 93.9 |
| 1985 | 96.6 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 93.6 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 95.5 | 95.4 | 96.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.0 | 103.2 | 104.0 | 109.9 | 101.7 | 101.0 | 106.5 | 105.7 | 104.7 |
| 1988 | 110.4 | 107.2 | 108.2 | 121.6 | 103.0 | 102.1 | 113.4 | 112.4 | 110.2 |
| 1989 | 113.1 | 110.1 | 110.1 | 131.7 | 102.7 | 102.7 | 119.6 | 119.6 | 116.4 |
| 1990 | 112.5 | 109.8 | 109.3 | 137.5 | 102.4 | 102.9 | 125.2 | 125.8 | 122.3 |
| 1991 | 110.0 | 107.1 | 105.4 | 139.3 | 102.7 | 104.4 | 130.0 | 132.1 | 126.6 |



Table 2 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, business sector-excluding agricultural and related services industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 32.7 | 50.8 | 57.2 | 8.4 | 64.2 | 57.1 | 16.5 | 14.6 | 25.6 |
| 1962 | 34.9 | 52.4 | 59.2 | 8.9 | 66.5 | 58.9 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 25.6 |
| 1963 | 36.8 | 53.9 | 60.6 | 9.5 | 68.2 | 60.6 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 25.8 |
| 1964 | 39.9 | 56.3 | 63.3 | 10.4 | 70.9 | 63.1 | 18.4 | 16.4 | 26.0 |
| 1965 | 43.1 | 59.6 | 66.8 | 11.6 | 72.3 | 64.6 | 19.5 | 17.4 | 27.0 |
| 1966 | 46.0 | 62.5 | 69.3 | 13.1 | 73.7 | 66.5 | 21.0 | 18.9 | 28.4 |
| 1967 | 47.6 | 63.5 | 70.0 | 14.3 | 75.0 | 68.0 | 22.5 | 20.4 | 30.0 |
| 1968 | 50.2 | 63.7 | 69.5 | 15.3 | 78.9 | 72.2 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 30.4 |
| 1969 | 52.9 | 65.8 | 71.2 | 16.9 | 80.4 | 74.4 | 25.7 | 23.7 | 31.9 |
| 1970 | 53.8 | 66.0 | 70.6 | 18.1 | 81.5 | 76.1 | 27.5 | 25.7 | 33.7 |
| 1971 | 56.8 | 67.4 | 71.6 | 19.9 | 84.3 | 79.3 | 29.5 | 27.7 | 35.0 |
| 1972 | 60.9 | 70.1 | 74.3 | 22.2 | 86.8 | 82.0 | 31.6 | 29.8 | 36.4 |
| 1973 | 66.3 | 74.2 | 78.6 | 25.8 | 89.3 | 84.4 | 34.7 | 32.8 | 38.8 |
| 1974 | 68.9 | 78.1 | 82.1 | 30.6 | 88.3 | 83.9 | 39.2 | 37.3 | 44.5 |
| 1975 | 68.9 | 79.0 | 82.3 | 35.2 | 87.3 | 83.7 | 44.6 | 42.8 | 51.2 |
| 1976 | 73.6 | 80.5 | 83.4 | 40.7 | 91.4 | 88.2 | 50.5 | 48.8 | 55.3 |
| 1977 | 76.1 | 82.5 | 84.5 | 45.1 | 92.2 | 90.0 | 54.7 | 53.4 | 59.3 |
| 1978 | 78.8 | 85.0 | 87.6 | 49.1 | 92.6 | 90.0 | 57.7 | 56.0 | 62.3 |
| 1979 | 82.6 | 88.8 | 90.9 | 55.5 | 93.0 | 90.9 | 62.5 | 61.1 | 67.2 |
| 1980 | 83.9 | 90.9 | 92.7 | 62.8 | 92.3 | 90.5 | 69.1 | 67.8 | 74.9 |
| 1981 | 87.4 | 93.8 | 94.7 | 72.3 | 93.2 | 92.3 | 77.1 | 76.4 | 82.8 |
| 1982 | 82.0 | 90.9 | 90.1 | 75.7 | 90.2 | 91.1 | 83.3 | 84.1 | 92.3 |
| 1983 | 85.2 | 90.6 | 89.6 | 79.0 | 94.0 | 95.1 | 87.1 | 88.2 | 92.7 |
| 1984 | 91.6 | 93.2 | 92.8 | 85.9 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 92.1 | 92.5 | 93.7 |
| 1985 | 97.1 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 93.5 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 95.6 | 95.7 | 96.3 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.5 | 103.5 | 104.4 | 110.2 | 101.9 | 101.0 | 106.4 | 105.5 | 104.5 |
| 1988 | 111.3 | 107.9 | 109.3 | 121.9 | 103.1 | 101.8 | 112.9 | 111.5 | 109.6 |
| 1989 | 113.8 | 111.2 | 111.6 | 132.1 | 102.4 | 102.0 | 118.8 | 118.4 | 116.1 |
| 1990 | 112.8 | 110.9 | 110.7 | 138.0 | 101.7 | 101.9 | 124.4 | 124.7 | 122.3 |
| 1991 | 110.3 | 107.8 | 106.2 | 139.5 | 102.3 | 103.9 | 129.4 | 131.4 | 126.5 |



Table 3 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, business sector-services, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen. sation per person hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person. hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 28.3 | 38.8 | 45.6 | 6.8 | 72.8 | 62.0 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 24.2 |
| 1962 | 29.5 | 40.2 | 47.0 | 7.3 | 73.3 | 62.7 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 24.7 |
| 1963 | 31.2 | 41.7 | 48.4 | 7.8 | 74.9 | 64.6 | 18.8 | 16.2 | 25.1 |
| 1964 | 33.7 | 43.6 | 50.2 | 8.6 | 77.3 | 67.1 | 19.8 | 17.2 | 25.6 |
| 1965 | 36.1 | 46.2 | 52.8 | 9.7 | 78.2 | 68.3 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 26.8 |
| 1966 | 39.0 | 48.5 | 54.6 | 10.8 | 80.4 | 71.5 | 22.3 | 198 | 27.7 |
| 1967 | 40.8 | 50.2 | 56.5 | 12.1 | 81.3 | 72.3 | 24.0 | 21.4 | 29.6 |
| 1968 | 42.7 | 50.9 | 56.4 | 13.0 | 83.9 | 75.7 | 25.5 | 230 | 30.4 |
| 1969 | 45.2 | 53.6 | 58.7 | 14.6 | 84.3 | 76.9 | 27.3 | 24.9 | 32.4 |
| 1970 | 47.5 | 54.8 | 59.3 | 15.9 | 86.7 | 80.2 | 29.1 | 26.9 | 33.6 |
| 1971 | 50.5 | 56.4 | 60.7 | 17.5 | 89.5 | 83.2 | 31.0 | 28.8 | 34.6 |
| 1972 | 54.2 | 59.6 | 63.6 | 19.8 | 91.0 | 85.2 | 33.2 | 31.1 | 36.5 |
| 1973 | 58.3 | 63.4 | 67.7 | 22.9 | 92.1 | 86.2 | 36.1 | 33.8 | 39.2 |
| 1974 | 61.8 | 67.7 | 71.8 | 27.4 | 91.2 | 86.0 | 40.4 | 38.2 | 44.3 |
| 1975 | 64.4 | 70.1 | 73.8 | 32.0 | 91.9 | 87.3 | 45.7 | 43.4 | 49.7 |
| 1976 | 68.0 | 71.6 | 74.8 | 37.0 | 94.9 | 90.8 | 51.6 | 49.4 | 54.4 |
| 1977 | 70.0 | 74.9 | 77.0 | 41.2 | 93.5 | 91.0 | 55.0 | 53.6 | 58.9 |
| 1978 | 73.7 | 78.1 | 80.8 | 45.2 | 94.4 | 91.2 | 57.9 | 56.0 | 61.4 |
| 1979 | 77.9 | 81.7 | 83.8 | 51.5 | 95.3 | 92.9 | 63.0 | 61.4 | 66.1 |
| 1980 | 81.3 | 84.9 | 86.8 | 59.1 | 95.7 | 93.7 | 69.6 | 68.0 | 72.6 |
| 1981 | 84.8 | 88.9 | 90.0 | 67.6 | 95.4 | 94.2 | 76.1 | 75.1 | 79.7 |
| 1982 | 81.0 | 88.5 | 88.2 | 73.3 | 91.6 | 91.9 | 82.9 | 83.2 | 90.5 |
| 1983 | 83.3 | 89.1 | 88.0 | 77.2 | 93.4 | 94.7 | 86.6 | 87.7 | 92.6 |
| 1984 | 89.2 | 92.3 | 91.7 | 84.9 | 96.6 | 97.2 | 92.0 | 92.6 | 95.2 |
| 1985 | 94.6 | 97.6 | 97.2 | 93.0 | 97.0 | 97.3 | 85.3 | 95.7 | 98.3 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.8 | 103.6 | 104.2 | 110.9 | 102.1 | 101.5 | 107.0 | 106.5 | 104.8 |
| 1988 | 111.7 | 107.7 | 108.5 | 122.7 | 103.7 | 102.9 | 113.9 | 113.1 | 109.8 |
| 1989 | 115.7 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 133.9 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 120.3 | 120.3 | 115.7 |
| 1990 | 117.1 | 112.8 | 112.7 | 142.7 | 103.8 | 103.9 | 126.5 | 126.6 | 121.8 |
| 1991 | 116.2 | 111.8 | 110.1 | 148.0 | 104.0 | 105.5 | 132.4 | 134.4 | 127.3 |

Annual \% Change


Table 4-Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, business sector-goods, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person-hour | Unit <br> labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person. hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 39.2 | 82.4 | 91.7 | 11.0 | 47.6 | 42.8 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 28.0 |
| 1962 | 43.2 | 83.4 | 93.1 | 11.6 | 51.8 | 46.4 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 26.8 |
| 1963 | 45.8 | 84.4 | 93.7 | 12.2 | 54.3 | 48.9 | 14.5 | 13.1 | 26.7 |
| 1964 | 49.0 | 86.7 | 95.8 | 13.2 | 56.6 | 51.2 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 27.0 |
| 1965 | 53.2 | 89.7 | 98.5 | 14.7 | 59.3 | 54.0 | 16.4 | 14.9 | 27.6 |
| 1966 | 56.5 | 91.3 | 99.7 | 16.4 | 61.9 | 56.7 | 18.0 | 16.5 | 29.1 |
| 1967 | 56.7 | 91.5 | 99.1 | 17.6 | 61.9 | 57.2 | 19.2 | 17.8 | 31.1 |
| 1968 | 60.3 | 90.5 | 97.2 | 18.6 | 66.6 | 62.0 | 20.6 | 19.2 | 30.9 |
| 1969 | 63.4 | 91.2 | 97.3 | 20.3 | 69.5 | 65.2 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 31.9 |
| 1970 | 61.9 | 88.9 | 94.2 | 21.2 | 69.6 | 65.7 | 23.9 | 22.5 | 34.3 |
| 1971 | 65.7 | 89.8 | 94.6 | 23.2 | 73.2 | 69.5 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 35.3 |
| 1972 | 69.1 | 90.7 | 94.9 | 25.4 | 76.2 | 72.8 | 28.0 | 26.8 | 36.8 |
| 1973 | 76.2 | 94.3 | 98.9 | 29.8 | 80.8 | 77.0 | 31.6 | 30.2 | 39.1 |
| 1974 | 77.0 | 96.9 | 101.2 | 35.1 | 79.4 | 76.1 | 36.2 | 34.7 | 45.6 |
| 1975 | 74.6 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 77.5 | 74.6 | 41.2 | 39.7 | 53.2 |
| 1976 | 80.6 | 97.1 | 100.3 | 45.5 | 83.0 | 80.4 | 46.9 | 45.4 | 56.4 |
| 1977 | 83.5 | 96.7 | 98.8 | 50.2 | 86.3 | 84.5 | 51.9 | 50.8 | 60.1 |
| 1978 | 84.6 | 98.1 | 100.3 | 54.3 | 86.2 | 84.3 | 55.3 | 54.1 | 64.1 |
| 1979 | 87.3 | 101.9 | 104.0 | 60.7 | 85.7 | 83.9 | 59.6 | 58.4 | 69.6 |
| 1980 | 86.2 | 101.8 | 102.9 | 67.5 | 84.7 | 83.8 | 66.4 | 65.6 | 78.3 |
| 1981 | 90.0 | 102.7 | 103.2 | 78.5 | 87.6 | 87.2 | 76.5 | 76.1 | 87.3 |
| 1982 | 84.0 | 95.9 | 94.7 | 79.0 | 87.7 | 88.8 | 82.4 | 83.4 | 94.0 |
| 1983 | 87.5 | 94.6 | 93.8 | 81.5 | 92.5 | 93.3 | 86.1 | 86.9 | 93.1 |
| 1984 | 93.7 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 87.3 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 91.0 | 91.1 | 93.1 |
| 1985 | 98.5 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 94.5 | 99.7 | 99.0 | 95.6 | 95.0 | 95.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 104.1 | 102.6 | 103.6 | 108.6 | 101.5 | 100.4 | 105.9 | 104.8 | 104.4 |
| 1988 | 109.0 | 106.5 | 107.6 | 120.2 | 102.4 | 101.3 | 112.9 | 111.7 | 110.3 |
| 1989 | 110.3 | 108.1 | 108.4 | 128.8 | 102.0 | 101.7 | 119.1 | 118.8 | 116.8 |
| 1990 | 107.5 | 105.2 | 104.5 | 130.9 | 102.2 | 102.9 | 124.5 | 125.3 | 121.8 |
| 1991 | 103.4 | 99.8 | 98.7 | 128.2 | 103.6 | 104.8 | 128.4 | 129.8 | 123.9 |



Table 5 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, agricultural and related services Industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour sation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 57.8 | 134.8 | 161.6 | 20.1 | 42.9 | 35.8 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 34.7 |
| 1962 | 71.0 | 130.7 | 155.6 | 19.7 | 54.4 | 45.7 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 27.8 |
| 1963 | 79.9 | 128.2 | 150.8 | 20.2 | 62.3 | 53.0 | 15.8 | 13.4 | 25.3 |
| 1964 | 73.1 | 124.4 | 144.2 | 20.7 | 58.8 | 50.7 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 28.3 |
| 1965 | 76.7 | 117.9 | 134.8 | 20.6 | 65.1 | 56.9 | 17.5 | 15.3 | 26.8 |
| 1966 | 86.4 | 107.6 | 125.1 | 21.0 | 80.3 | 69.1 | 19.5 | 16.8 | 24.3 |
| 1967 | 70.1 | 110.5 | 126.7 | 22.7 | 63.4 | 55.3 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 32.3 |
| 1968 | 75.2 | 107.9 | 121.1 | 23.3 | 69.7 | 62.1 | 21.6 | 19.2 | 30.9 |
| 1969 | 80.9 | 105.8 | 119.6 | 24.2 | 76.4 | 67.6 | 22.9 | 20.3 | 30.0 |
| 1970 | 71.6 | 101.5 | 113.7 | 23.4 | 70.5 | 62.9 | 23.0 | 20.6 | 32.7 |
| 1971 | 84.8 | 101.6 | 114.1 | 24.5 | 83.5 | 74.4 | 24.1 | 21.5 | 28.9 |
| 1972 | 72.2 | 95.6 | 105.7 | 25.0 | 75.5 | 68.3 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 34.6 |
| 1973 | 79.3 | 92.9 | 105.7 | 32.4 | 85.4 | 75.0 | 34.9 | 30.6 | 40.8 |
| 1974 | 69.6 | 94.1 | 107.5 | 35.3 | 74.0 | 64.8 | 37.6 | 32.9 | 50.8 |
| 1975 | 81.3 | 100.3 | 114.5 | 40.1 | 81.0 | 71.0 | 40. | 35.0 | 49.3 |
| 1976 | 88.5 | 97.9 | 110.3 | 41.8 | 90.4 | 80.2 | 42.7 | 37.9 | 47.3 |
| 1977 | 87.5 | 96.8 | 105.0 | 46.1 | 90.4 | 83.3 | 47.6 | 43.9 | 52.6 |
| 1978 | 83.8 | 99.1 | 105.8 | 53.5 | 84.6 | 79.2 | 54.0 | 50.6 | 63.9 |
| 1979 | 77.0 | 100.8 | 108.7 | 56.9 | 76.3 | 70.8 | 56.4 | 52.4 | 73.9 |
| 1980 | 81.5 | 100.3 | 103.9 | 60.3 | 81.3 | 78.5 | 60.2 | 58.0 | 74.0 |
| 1981 | 88.9 | 101.9 | 105.2 | 75.3 | 87.2 | 84.5 | 73.9 | 71.6 | 84.8 |
| 1982 | 94.5 | 97.5 | 101.0 | 80.0 | 96.9 | 93.5 | 82.1 | 79.2 | 84.7 |
| 1983 | 91.7 | 101.7 | 101.1 | 82.9 | 90.2 | 90.7 | 81.5 | 82.0 | 90.4 |
| 1984 | 88.8 | 101.5 | 100.9 | 88.6 | 87.4 | 88.0 | 87.3 | 87.8 | 99.8 |
| 1985 | 85.1 | 101.4 | 103.2 | 98.7 | 83.9 | 82.5 | 97.3 | 95.7 | 116.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 90.1 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 99.1 | 91.9 | 92.1 | 100.9 | 101.2 | 109.9 |
| 1988 | 85.4 | 95.4 | 92.7 | 109.9 | 89.5 | 92.1 | 115.2 | 118.5 | 128.7 |
| 1989 | 92.5 | 92.5 | 91.0 | 114.9 | 100.0 | 101.6 | 124.3 | 126.4 | 124.3 |
| 1990 | 103.7 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 120.6 | 112.7 | 112.7 | 131.0 | 131.0 | 116.3 |
| 1991 | 103.8 | 96.3 | 95.6 | 131.9 | 107.7 | 108.5 | 136.9 | 137.9 | 127.1 |



Table 6 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, manufacturing industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Aeal gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 35.9 | 74.8 | 77.7 | 10.8 | 47.9 | 46.1 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 30.1 |
| 1962 | 40.0 | 77.1 | 81.0 | 11.6 | 51.8 | 49.4 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 29.1 |
| 1963 | 42.6 | 79.0 | 83.3 | 12.4 | 54.0 | 51.2 | 15.7 | 14.9 | 29.2 |
| 1964 | 46.9 | 82.6 | 87.5 | 13.6 | 56.7 | 53.6 | 16.5 | 15.5 | 29.0 |
| 1965 | 51.6 | 86.9 | 92.0 | 15.1 | 59.4 | 56.1 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 29.2 |
| 1966 | 54.9 | 91.1 | 95.8 | 17.0 | 60.3 | 57.4 | 18.6 | 17.7 | 30.9 |
| 1967 | 56.2 | 91.8 | 96.0 | 18.1 | 61.2 | 58.5 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 32.2 |
| 1968 | 59.9 | 91.1 | 95.5 | 19.4 | 65.7 | 62.7 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 32.3 |
| 1969 | 64.1 | 93.0 | 97.0 | 21.2 | 69.0 | 66.1 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 33.1 |
| 1970 | 61.4 | 90.8 | 94.1 | 22.2 | 67.6 | 65.2 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 36.2 |
| 1971 | 65.3 | 90.7 | 93.4 | 23.8 | 72.0 | 69.9 | 26.2 | 25.4 | 36.4 |
| 1972 | 70.6 | 93.5 | 96.3 | 26.3 | 75.5 | 73.3 | 28.2 | 27.3 | 37.3 |
| 1973 | 78.2 | 97.8 | 100.3 | 29.7 | 79.9 | 77.9 | 30.4 | 29.6 | 38.0 |
| 1974 | 80.5 | 99.8 | 101.7 | 34.6 | 80.7 | 79.2 | 34.7 | 34.1 | 43.0 |
| 1975 | 75.1 | 97.5 | 98.3 | 38.3 | 77.1 | 76.5 | 39.3 | 38.9 | 50.9 |
| 1976 | 80.6 | 97.9 | 98.6 | 43.9 | 82.3 | 81.8 | 44.8 | 44.6 | 54.5 |
| 1977 | 83.6 | 95.9 | 96.8 | 47.7 | 87.1 | 86.3 | 49.8 | 49.3 | 57.1 |
| 1978 | 87.4 | 98.9 | 100.1 | 53.2 | 88.3 | 87.3 | 53.7 | 53.1 | 60.8 |
| 1979 | 90.6 | 102.5 | 102.9 | 60.2 | 88.4 | 88.1 | 58.7 | 58.5 | 66.4 |
| 1980 | 86.6 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 66.2 | 84.7 | 84.7 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 76.4 |
| 1981 | 89.8 | 102.2 | 101.0 | 75.3 | 87.8 | 88.9 | 73.7 | 74.5 | 83.9 |
| 1982 | 78.2 | 94.3 | 92.2 | 75.9 | 82.9 | 84.8 | 80.6 | 82.4 | 97.1 |
| 1983 | 83.2 | 92.4 | 91.5 | 79.9 | 90.1 | 91.0 | 86.6 | 87.4 | 96.1 |
| 1984 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 87.2 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 91.6 | 91.5 | 92.8 |
| 1985 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 94.1 | 101.7 | 101.6 | 96.4 | 96.3 | 94.8 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 104.8 | 103.0 | 103.9 | 107.0 | 101.7 | 100.9 | 103.8 | 103.0 | 102.0 |
| 1988 | 110.3 | 107.6 | 108.7 | 116.8 | 102.5 | 101.5 | 108.6 | 107.5 | 106.0 |
| 1989 | 110.6 | 108.9 | 108.8 | 123.7 | 101.5 | 101.6 | 113.6 | 113.7 | 111.9 |
| 1990 | 104.7 | 103.0 | 101.6 | 122.6 | 101.6 | 103.0 | 119.0 | 120.6 | 117.1 |
| 1991 | 97.9 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 119.9 | 102.3 | 103.8 | 125.3 | 127.1 | 122.5 |



Table 7-Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, construction industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compen. sation | Labour productivity |  | Compen. sation per person | Compensation per person hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP | Real GDP |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | per person | per person. |  |  |  |


| 1961 | 49.2 | 70.1 | 75.5 | 10.6 | 70.1 | 65.1 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 21.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 51.3 | 70.4 | 77.7 | 10.8 | 72.8 | 66.0 | 15.4 | 13.9 | 21.1 |
| 1963 | 51.4 | 71.8 | 79.1 | 11.3 | 71.6 | 65.0 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 21.9 |
| 1964 | 54.2 | 75.8 | 83.8 | 12.3 | 71.5 | 64.6 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 22.6 |
| 1965 | 59.2 | 83.4 | 92.0 | 14.3 | 71.1 | 64.4 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 24.1 |
| 1966 | 60.5 | 86.5 | 95.6 | 16.3 | 69.9 | 63.2 | 18.8 | 17.1 | 27.0 |
| 1967 | 60.9 | 83.9 | 91.5 | 17.6 | 72.6 | 66.5 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 28.9 |
| 1968 | 63.2 | 83.4 | 89.8 | 18.2 | 75.7 | 70.3 | 21.8 | 20.3 | 28.8 |
| 1969 | 60.6 | 83.8 | 88.7 | 19.5 | 72.3 | 68.3 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 32.2 |
| 1970 | 59.4 | 80.9 | 85.3 | 20.5 | 73.4 | 69.7 | 25.4 | 241 | 34.6 |
| 1971 | 61.7 | 83.9 | 87.1 | 24.0 | 73.5 | 70.8 | 28.7 | 276 | 39.0 |
| 1972 | 61.7 | 85.8 | 89.4 | 26.2 | 71.9 | 69.0 | 30.5 | 29.3 | 42.5 |
| 1973 | 63.5 | 91.4 | 95.6 | 32.7 | 69.5 | 66.5 | 35.8 | 34.2 | 51.5 |
| 1974 | 65.5 | 96.4 | 100.8 | 39.6 | 68.0 | 65.0 | 41.1 | 393 | 60.5 |
| 1975 | 72.7 | 94.8 | 98.5 | 47.1 | 76.7 | 73.8 | 49.7 | 478 | 64.8 |
| 1976 | 81.9 | 99.9 | 102.8 | 54.6 | 82.0 | 79.6 | 54.7 | 53.1 | 66.7 |
| 1977 | 86.1 | 101.4 | 101.7 | 60.5 | 84.9 | 84.6 | 59.7 | 595 | 70.3 |
| 1978 | 81.8 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 59.7 | 83.0 | 81.8 | 60.6 | 597 | 73.0 |
| 1979 | 82.6 | 103.2 | 105.4 | 63.7 | 80.1 | 78.4 | 61.7 | 604 | 77.0 |
| 1980 | 86.8 | 101.5 | 104.3 | 72.7 | 85.5 | 83.3 | 71.7 | 69.8 | 83.8 |
| 1981 | 96.7 | 103.2 | 105.0 | 88.4 | 93.7 | 92.1 | 85.6 | 84.2 | 91.4 |
| 1982 | 96.8 | 96.7 | 93.0 | 84.9 | 100.1 | 104.0 | 87.9 | 91.3 | 87.8 |
| 1983 | 95.1 | 93.3 | 91.0 | 83.4 | 101.9 | 104.4 | 89.4 | 91.7 | 87.8 |
| 1984 | 89.1 | 91.4 | 90.6 | 84.6 | 97.5 | 98.3 | 92.6 | 93.4 | 95.0 |
| 1985 | 96.0 | 98.4 | 99.3 | 92.0 | 97.6 | 96.7 | 93.5 | 92.7 | 95.8 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.7 | 105.8 | 109.5 | 117.6 | 99.9 | 96.5 | 111.1 | 107.4 | 111.2 |
| 1988 | 111.7 | 113.5 | 118.7 | 134.9 | 98.4 | 94.1 | 118.8 | 113.6 | 120.8 |
| 1989 | 116.8 | 119.5 | 124.2 | 150.8 | 97.7 | 94.0 | 126.2 | 121.4 | 129.2 |
| 1990 | 117.5 | 121.9 | 123.1 | 157.5 | 96.3 | 95.4 | 129.2 | 127.9 | 134.1 |
| 1991 | 113.3 | 111.6 | 110.6 | 146.5 | 101.5 | 102.4 | 131.3 | 132.4 | 129.4 |



Table 8 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit iabour cost, transportation and storage industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour sation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 34.2 | 70.8 | 78.4 | 10.1 | 48.3 | 43.6 | 14.3 | 12.9 | 29.7 |
| 1962 | 34.1 | 69.4 | 76.4 | 10.5 | 49.2 | 44.7 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 30.6 |
| 1963 | 37.8 | 71.0 | 78.3 | 11.1 | 53.2 | 48.3 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 29.5 |
| 1964 | 41.6 | 71.5 | 79.0 | 12.0 | 58.2 | 52.7 | 16.8 | 15.2 | 28.8 |
| 1965 | 44.1 | 75.6 | 83.2 | 13.3 | 58.3 | 53.0 | 17.6 | 16.0 | 30.1 |
| 1966 | 47.4 | 77.7 | 82.8 | 14.5 | 61.0 | 57.3 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 30.6 |
| 1967 | 48.4 | 79.4 | 85.2 | 15.9 | 60.9 | 56.8 | 20.1 | 18.7 | 32.9 |
| 1968 | 50.3 | 77.5 | 81.9 | 17.1 | 64.9 | 61.4 | 22.0 | 20.8 | 34.0 |
| 1969 | 54.9 | 78.4 | 82.4 | 18.8 | 70.1 | 66.7 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 34.2 |
| 1970 | 59.8 | 77.8 | 80.9 | 19.8 | 76.9 | 73.9 | 25.4 | 24.4 | 33.1 |
| 1971 | 62.3 | 79.9 | 82.6 | 21.4 | 77.9 | 75.4 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 34.3 |
| 1972 | 66.2 | 81.7 | 83.7 | 24.1 | 81.0 | 79.1 | 29.5 | 28.8 | 36.4 |
| 1973 | 70.6 | 84.5 | 86.8 | 27.1 | 83.6 | 81.3 | 32.1 | 31.2 | 38.4 |
| 1974 | 73.7 | 89.6 | 91.8 | 32.4 | 82.3 | 80.3 | 36.2 | 35.3 | 44.0 |
| 1975 | 72.6 | 88.6 | 89.4 | 37.7 | 81.9 | 81.2 | 42.5 | 42.1 | 51.9 |
| 1976 | 72.1 | 87.8 | 88.6 | 42.1 | 82.1 | 81.4 | 48.0 | 47.5 | 58.4 |
| 1977 | 75.2 | 93.2 | 93.0 | 47.9 | 80.7 | 80.9 | 51.4 | 51.5 | 63.7 |
| 1978 | 79.0 | 95.2 | 96.1 | 53.0 | 83.0 | 82.2 | 55.7 | 55.2 | 67.1 |
| 1979 | 88.4 | 98.2 | 98.4 | 59.3 | 90.0 | 89.8 | 60.4 | 60.2 | 67.1 |
| 1980 | 85.3 | 102.7 | 103.7 | 66.9 | 83.0 | 82.3 | 65.1 | 64.5 | 78.4 |
| 1981 | 84.3 | 104.2 | 103.0 | 75.8 | 80.9 | 81.8 | 72.8 | 73.6 | 89.9 |
| 1982 | 79.6 | 98.7 | 96.8 | 79.8 | 80.6 | 82.2 | 80.6 | 82.4 | 100.2 |
| 1983 | 85.5 | 94.1 | 90.7 | 81.9 | 90.8 | 94.2 | 87.0 | 90.3 | 95.8 |
| 1984 | 95.6 | 96.4 | 95.3 | 89.3 | 99.1 | 100.3 | 92.7 | 93.8 | 93.5 |
| 1985 | 97.6 | 97.0 | 96.5 | 95.3 | 100.6 | 101.1 | 98.2 | 98.7 | 97.6 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 106.9 | 102.5 | 105.9 | 104.9 | 104.3 | 101.0 | 102.3 | 99.1 | 98.1 |
| 1988 | 111.9 | 102.5 | 106.4 | 111.6 | 109.1 | 105.2 | 108.8 | 104.9 | 99.7 |
| 1989 | 111.4 | 105.3 | 108.6 | 119.3 | 105.7 | 102.5 | 113.2 | 109.8 | 107.1 |
| 1990 | 111.2 | 106.5 | 109.0 | 125.6 | 104.4 | 102.0 | 118.0 | 115.2 | 113.0 |
| 1991 | 107.4 | 105.4 | 106.6 | 129.5 | 101.9 | 100.8 | 122.8 | 121.4 | 120.5 |



Table 9 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, communication industries, 1961-1990, (1986=100)


| 1961 | 14.4 | 52.0 | 57.4 | 6.8 | 27.8 | 25.1 | 13.1 | 11.9 | 47.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 15.5 | 53.9 | 59.2 | 7.2 | 28.8 | 26.3 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 46.3 |
| 1963 | 16.7 | 56.2 | 61.8 | 7.8 | 29.7 | 27.0 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 46.7 |
| 1964 | 18.3 | 59.1 | 65.1 | 8.3 | 31.0 | 28.2 | 14.0 | 12.7 | 45.0 |
| 1965 | 19.9 | 58.7 | 64.5 | 9.0 | 33.9 | 30.9 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 45.3 |
| 1966 | 21.7 | 64.1 | 67.9 | 10.3 | 33.8 | 31.9 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 47.6 |
| 1967 | 23.9 | 65.4 | 69.9 | 11.7 | 36.6 | 34.2 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 48.7 |
| 1968 | 25.9 | 63.9 | 67.3 | 12.7 | 40.5 | 38.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 | 49.2 |
| 1969 | 27.8 | 67.2 | 70.5 | 14.0 | 41.3 | 39.4 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 50.3 |
| 1970 | 30.4 | 68.9 | 71.5 | 15.1 | 44.1 | 42.5 | 21.9 | 21.1 | 49.5 |
| 1971 | 32.8 | 73.0 | 75.2 | 17.0 | 44.9 | 43.6 | 23.2 | 22.6 | 51.7 |
| 1972 | 35.8 | 75.4 | 76.8 | 19.1 | 47.5 | 46.6 | 25.3 | 24.9 | 53.3 |
| 1973 | 39.8 | 80.5 | 82.2 | 22.5 | 49.4 | 48.4 | 28.0 | 27.4 | 56.6 |
| 1974 | 44.9 | 86.4 | 88.0 | 26.8 | 51.9 | 51.0 | 31.0 | 305 | 59.8 |
| 1975 | 50.6 | 86.6 | 86.7 | 31.5 | 58.4 | 58.4 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 62.3 |
| 1976 | 55.7 | 93.2 | 93.1 | 38.2 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 41.0 | 410 | 68.6 |
| 1977 | 59.1 | 96.3 | 95.3 | 44.6 | 61.4 | 62.0 | 46.4 | 468 | 75.5 |
| 1978 | 64.8 | 85.0 | 95.5 | 49.1 | 68.3 | 67.9 | 51.7 | 51.4 | 75.7 |
| 1978 | 71.2 | 96.7 | 96.6 | 55.5 | 73.6 | 73.7 | 57.4 | 57.5 | 78.0 |
| 1980 | 77.9 | 99.3 | 99.8 | 62.4 | 78.4 | 78.1 | 62.9 | 62.6 | 80.2 |
| 1981 | 84.0 | 102.0 | 101.0 | 73.4 | 82.3 | 83.2 | 72.0 | 72.7 | 87.4 |
| 1982 | 83.9 | 103.8 | 101.7 | 81.5 | 80.8 | 82.5 | 78.5 | 80.1 | 97.1 |
| 1983 | 86.1 | 102.3 | 99.0 | 86.3 | 84.1 | 86.9 | 84.3 | 87.2 | 100.3 |
| 1984 | 90.2 | 101.4 | 100.2 | 93.6 | 88.9 | 90.0 | 92.2 | 93.3 | 103.7 |
| 1985 | 95.4 | 101.3 | 100.7 | 98.4 | 94.1 | 94.8 | 97.1 | 97.8 | 103.2 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 106.7 | 102.7 | 102.1 | 106.2 | 103.9 | 104.5 | 103.4 | 104.0 | 99.5 |
| 1988 | 114.3 | 101.2 | 100.7 | 111.8 | 112.9 | 113.5 | 110.4 | 110.9 | 97.8 |
| 1989 | 128.0 | 106.1 | 105.3 | 120.5 | 120.6 | 121.5 | 113.6 | 114.4 | 94.2 |
| 1990 | 139.2 | 107.3 | 106.8 | 130.0 | 129.7 | 130.3 | 121.1 | 121.7 | 93.4 |
| 1991 | 145.3 | 106.9 | 106.5 | 136.3 | 135.9 | 136.5 | 127.5 | 128.1 | 93.9 |

Annual *6 Change


Table 10 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, wholesale and retail trade industries, 1961-1991, ( $1986=100$ )

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 32.5 | 46.6 | 54.5 | 8.3 | 69.7 | 59.6 | 17.8 | 15.3 | 25.6 |
| 1962 | 34.8 | 47.5 | 55.4 | 8.8 | 73.2 | 62.8 | 18.6 | 15.9 | 25.4 |
| 1963 | 36.4 | 49.1 | 56.7 | 9.4 | 74.1 | 64.2 | 19.2 | 16.6 | 25.8 |
| 1964 | 39.3 | 51.1 | 58.7 | 10.4 | 77.0 | 67.0 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 26.4 |
| 1965 | 42.2 | 53.5 | 61.2 | 11.4 | 78.8 | 68.9 | 21.3 | 18.6 | 27.0 |
| 1966 | 45.6 | 55.9 | 63.3 | 12.7 | 81.6 | 72.1 | 22.7 | 20.1 | 27.9 |
| 1967 | 47.9 | 57.1 | 64.5 | 13.9 | 84.0 | 74.3 | 24.4 | 21.6 | 29.0 |
| 1968 | 49.5 | 58.3 | 64.8 | 14.9 | 84.9 | 76.4 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 30.2 |
| 1969 | 52.5 | 61.5 | 67.4 | 17.0 | 85.4 | 77.8 | 27.6 | 25.2 | 32.4 |
| 1970 | 54.7 | 62.7 | 68.0 | 18.6 | 87.3 | 80.5 | 29.7 | 27.4 | 34.0 |
| 1971 | 57.3 | 64.2 | 69.0 | 20.2 | 89.2 | 83.1 | 31.5 | 29.3 | 35.3 |
| 1972 | 61.5 | 67.6 | 72.3 | 22.7 | 91.0 | 85.1 | 33.6 | 31.4 | 36.9 |
| 1973 | 65.1 | 71.4 | 76.3 | 25.7 | 91.2 | 85.3 | 36.0 | 33.6 | 39.5 |
| 1974 | 67.0 | 75.5 | 79.9 | 30.7 | 88.7 | 83.9 | 40.6 | 38.4 | 45.8 |
| 1975 | 69.8 | 77.8 | 81.6 | 36.7 | 89.7 | 85.6 | 47.2 | 45.1 | 52.6 |
| 1976 | 74.0 | 78.7 | 81.6 | 41.7 | 94.0 | 90.7 | 53.0 | 51.2 | 56.4 |
| 1977 | 73.5 | 80.2 | 82.2 | 45.6 | 91.6 | 89.4 | 56.8 | 55.5 | 62.0 |
| 1978 | 74.9 | 84.1 | 86.0 | 49.0 | 89.1 | 87.1 | 58.2 | 56.9 | 65.3 |
| 1979 | 77.0 | 86.8 | 88.3 | 55.6 | 88.8 | 87.2 | 64.0 | 62.9 | 72.1 |
| 1980 | 78.8 | 88.5 | 89.7 | 62.2 | 89.0 | 87.9 | 70.3 | 69.4 | 79.0 |
| 1981 | 81.4 | 93.0 | 93.7 | 70.4 | 87.5 | 86.8 | 75.7 | 75.1 | 86.5 |
| 1982 | 76.8 | 90.0 | 89.0 | 74.2 | 85.3 | 86.3 | 82.5 | 83.4 | 96.7 |
| 1983 | 82.1 | 89.1 | 87.1 | 77.4 | 92.1 | 94.2 | 86.8 | 88.8 | 94.3 |
| 1984 | 87.6 | 94.1 | 92.9 | 85.7 | 93.1 | 94.3 | 91.0 | 92.2 | 97.8 |
| 1985 | 95.0 | 98.1 | 97.3 | 93.2 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 95.0 | 95.8 | 98.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 106.7 | 102.3 | 102.1 | 109.6 | 104.3 | 104.5 | 107.1 | 107.4 | 102.7 |
| 1988 | 112.7 | 106.2 | 105.8 | 120.5 | 106.1 | 106.5 | 113.5 | 113.9 | 106.9 |
| 1989 | 112.7 | 108.8 | 107.7 | 130.3 | 103.6 | 104.6 | 119.8 | 121.1 | 115.7 |
| 1990 | 110.4 | 108.2 | 107.5 | 136.5 | 102.0 | 102.6 | 126.2 | 127.0 | 123.7 |
| 1991 | 108.1 | 104.8 | 102.4 | 137.5 | 103.1 | 105.6 | 131.2 | 134.3 | 127.2 |



Table 11 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, community, business and personal services Industries, 1961-1991, (1986=100)

|  | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour | roductivity | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |


| 1961 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 29.2 | 5.0 | 104.0 | 84.1 | 21.3 | 17.2 | 20.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 31.7 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 21.5 |
| 1963 | 27.3 | 26.9 | 32.7 | 6.0 | 101.3 | 83.6 | 22.3 | 18.4 | 22.1 |
| 1964 | 29.2 | 28.9 | 34.6 | 6.7 | 100.8 | 84.2 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 23.1 |
| 1965 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 37.6 | 7.8 | 99.7 | 84.7 | 24.6 | 20.9 | 24.6 |
| 1966 | 34.6 | 34.3 | 39.6 | 8.9 | 100.8 | 87.3 | 26.1 | 22.6 | 25.9 |
| 1967 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 41.8 | 10.4 | 99.9 | 87.0 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 28.5 |
| 1968 | 36.1 | 36.6 | 41.3 | 11.0 | 98.8 | 87.6 | 30.0 | 26.6 | 30.4 |
| 1969 | 38.5 | 39.1 | 43.5 | 12.3 | 98.6 | 88.5 | 31.5 | 28.3 | 32.0 |
| 1970 | 39.2 | 40.8 | 44.7 | 13.8 | 95.9 | 87.5 | 33.7 | 30.8 | 35.2 |
| 1971 | 43.9 | 42.3 | 46.2 | 15.4 | 103.8 | 94.9 | 36.5 | 33.4 | 35.1 |
| 1972 | 47.4 | 45.3 | 49.0 | 17.4 | 104.7 | 96.6 | 38.4 | 35.5 | 36.7 |
| 1973 | 52.7 | 49.0 | 53.3 | 20.4 | 107.7 | 98.9 | 41.7 | 38.3 | 38.8 |
| 1974 | 57.2 | 53.0 | 57.1 | 24.4 | 108.0 | 100.2 | 46.0 | 42.7 | 42.6 |
| 1975 | 59.9 | 56.1 | 60.5 | 27.6 | 106.8 | 99.0 | 49.1 | 45.5 | 46.0 |
| 1976 | 64.6 | 58.6 | 62.8 | 33.0 | 110.1 | 102.8 | 56.3 | 52.6 | 51.1 |
| 1977 | 66.3 | 62.4 | 65.0 | 36.3 | 106.2 | 102.0 | 58.1 | 55.8 | 54.7 |
| 1978 | 70.9 | 65.9 | 69.7 | 40.4 | 107.6 | 101.7 | 61.3 | 57.9 | 56.9 |
| 1979 | 73.6 | 70.7 | 73.9 | 45.6 | 104.0 | 99.5 | 64.5 | 61.7 | 62.0 |
| 1980 | 81.0 | 75.4 | 78.0 | 54.2 | 107.3 | 103.8 | 71.8 | 69.5 | 66.9 |
| 1981 | 87.6 | 80.2 | 82.5 | 62.8 | 109.2 | 106.2 | 78.2 | 76.1 | 71.7 |
| 1982 | 86.3 | 82.9 | 83.5 | 70.1 | 104.1 | 103.4 | 84.5 | 83.9 | 81.1 |
| 1983 | 85.1 | 86.6 | 86.4 | 74.3 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 85.7 | 85.9 | 87.2 |
| 1984 | 90.1 | 88.6 | 88.7 | 82.1 | 101.7 | 101.6 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 91.1 |
| 1985 | 93.6 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 91.7 | 96.5 | 96.1 | 94.5 | 94.2 | 98.0 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.7 | 105.2 | 106.3 | 113.0 | 100.5 | 99.4 | 107.4 | 106.3 | 106.9 |
| 1988 | 113.6 | 110.4 | 112.5 | 127.5 | 102.9 | 101.0 | 115.5 | 113.4 | 112.2 |
| 1889 | 119.9 | 116.2 | 117.0 | 141.2 | 103.2 | 102.4 | 121.6 | 120.7 | 117.8 |
| 1990 | 124.5 | 119.7 | 120.8 | 154.5 | 104.0 | 103.1 | 129.0 | 127.9 | 124.1 |
| 1991 | 119.5 | 120.5 | 119.7 | 162.8 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 135.1 | 136.0 | 136.3 |



Table 12- Indexes of labour productivity and unlt labour cost, food industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person |  | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person. hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 53.9 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 13.2 | 57.3 | 53.9 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 24.5 |
| 1962 | 58.0 | 94.1 | 100.6 | 13.9 | 61.7 | 57.7 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 23.9 |
| 1963 | 58.2 | 93.8 | 99.9 | 14.4 | 62.1 | 58.3 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 24.8 |
| 1964 | 62.1 | 95.7 | 102.6 | 15.4 | 64.8 | 60.5 | 16.1 | 15.0 | 24.8 |
| 1965 | 66.2 | 98.1 | 105.2 | 16.5 | 67.5 | 63.0 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 25.0 |
| 1966 | 66.4 | 101.0 | 107.5 | 18.2 | 65.8 | 61.8 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 27.4 |
| 1967 | 71.6 | 101.3 | 108.2 | 19.5 | 70.7 | 66.2 | 19.2 | 18.0 | 27.2 |
| 1968 | 73.3 | 99.9 | 107.9 | 20.6 | 73.4 | 68.0 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 28.1 |
| 1969 | 73.0 | 98.7 | 105.0 | 22.0 | 73.9 | 69.5 | 22.3 | 20.9 | 30.1 |
| 1970 | 72.3 | 97.8 | 103.7 | 23.5 | 73.9 | 69.7 | 24.0 | 22.6 | 32.5 |
| 1971 | 78.0 | 96.1 | 101.3 | 24.9 | 81.1 | 77.0 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 31.9 |
| 1972 | 79.3 | 97.6 | 101.5 | 27.1 | 81.2 | 78.1 | 27.8 | 26.7 | 34.2 |
| 1973 | 83.0 | 98.4 | 101.8 | 29.5 | 84.3 | 81.5 | 30.0 | 29.0 | 35.6 |
| 1974 | 82.2 | 96.9 | 100.2 | 33.8 | 84.8 | 82.0 | 34.8 | 33.7 | 41.1 |
| 1975 | 76.3 | 96.6 | 100.2 | 39.4 | 79.0 | 76.2 | 40.8 | 39.4 | 51.6 |
| 1976 | 84.6 | 96.4 | 99.9 | 44.9 | 87.8 | 84.7 | 46.6 | 45.0 | 53.1 |
| 1977 | 89.3 | 98.0 | 100.6 | 49.6 | 91.2 | 88.8 | 50.7 | 49.3 | 55.6 |
| 1978 | 90.6 | 100.1 | 102.6 | 54.4 | 90.5 | 88.3 | 54.3 | 53.0 | 60.0 |
| 1979 | 93.7 | 101.1 | 103.4 | 60.5 | 92.7 | 90.7 | 59.8 | 58.5 | 64.5 |
| 1980 | 91.3 | 102.4 | 103.5 | 67.2 | 89.1 | 88.1 | 65.6 | 64.9 | 73.6 |
| 1981 | 92.0 | 101.1 | 101.1 | 75.9 | 90.9 | 91.0 | 75.0 | 75.1 | 82.5 |
| 1982 | 91.9 | 98.2 | 97.5 | 80.7 | 93.6 | 94.3 | 82.2 | 82.8 | 87.8 |
| 1983 | 90.3 | 95.9 | 97.4 | 84.9 | 94.2 | 92.7 | 88.5 | 87.2 | 94.0 |
| 1984 | 94.4 | 96.0 | 97.9 | 88.4 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 92.1 | 90.4 | 93.7 |
| 1985 | 100.6 | 98.6 | 99.0 | 93.8 | 102.1 | 101.6 | 95.2 | 94.7 | 93.2 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 100.7 | 101.1 | 102.2 | 106.1 | 99.6 | 98.6 | 104.9 | 103.9 | 105.3 |
| 1988 | 101.2 | 102.8 | 104.8 | 113.0 | 98.4 | 96.5 | 109.9 | 107.9 | 111.8 |

Annual \% Change


Table 13. Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, beverage industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

|  | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour p | roductivity | Compensation per person | Compen. sation per person hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |


| 1961 | 55.5 | 88.4 | 94.4 | 11.4 | 62.8 | 58.8 | 12.9 | 12.1 | 20.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1962 | 58.4 | 88.2 | 94.6 | 11.7 | 66.2 | 61.7 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 20.1 |
| 1963 | 64.2 | 87.8 | 93.8 | 12.3 | 73.2 | 68.5 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 19.1 |
| 1964 | 66.5 | 88.8 | 95.5 | 13.0 | 74.9 | 69.7 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 1.6 |
| 1965 | 71.7 | 91.0 | 97.9 | 14.2 | 78.8 | 73.2 | 15.6 | 14.5 | 19.8 |
| 196 | 83.1 | 94.6 | 101.0 | 16.0 | 87.9 | 82.3 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 19.2 |
| 1967 | 88.3 | 98.0 | 105.0 | 17.3 | 90.1 | 84.1 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 19.6 |
| 1968 | 84.1 | 98.5 | 106.7 | 18.7 | 85.3 | 78.8 | 19.0 | 17.5 | 22.2 |
| 1969 | 93.0 | 98.5 | 105.1 | 20.3 | 94.4 | 88.4 | 20.6 | 19.3 | 21.9 |
| 1970 | 98.4 | 96.1 | 102.2 | 21.6 | 102.4 | 96.3 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 22.0 |
| 1971 | 106.0 | 97.4 | 103.0 | 23.5 | 108.8 | 103.0 | 24.1 | 22.8 | 22.2 |
| 1972 | 109.5 | 97.1 | 101.3 | 25.3 | 112.8 | 108.2 | 26.1 | 25.0 | 23.1 |
| 1973 | 119.6 | 99.1 | 102.8 | 28.1 | 120.7 | 116.4 | 28.4 | 27.4 | 23.5 |
| 1974 | 121.0 | 102.7 | 106.5 | 33.1 | 117.9 | 113.7 | 32.2 | 31.0 | 27.3 |
| 195 | 116.3 | 103.0 | 107.2 | 38.4 | 112.9 | 108.5 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 33.1 |
| 1976 | 112.7 | 103.3 | 107.3 | 44.2 | 109.1 | 105.0 | 42.8 | 41.2 | 39.3 |
| 1977 | 118.3 | 104.4 | 107.5 | 48.9 | 113.3 | 110.1 | 46.9 | 45.5 | 41.4 |
| 1978 | 115.7 | 103.2 | 106.0 | 52.0 | 112.2 | 109.2 | 50.4 | 49.1 | 45.0 |
| 1979 | 118.3 | 105.0 | 107.6 | 58.4 | 112.7 | 109.9 | 55.6 | 54.2 | 49.3 |
| 1980 | 114.0 | 102.0 | 103.4 | 64.0 | 111.7 | 110.2 | 62.8 | 61.9 | 56.2 |
| 1981 | 113.4 | 103.1 | 103.3 | 72.0 | 110.0 | 109.8 | 69.8 | 69.7 | 63.5 |
| 1982 | 103.3 | 100.6 | 100.1 | 78.5 | 102.7 | 103.2 | 78.0 | 78.4 | 76.0 |
| 1983 | 99.3 | 98.7 | 98.9 | 84.2 | 100.6 | 100.4 | 85.3 | 85.1 | 84.8 |
| 1984 | 103.8 | 99.9 | 97.5 | 89.7 | 103.9 | 106.5 | 89.8 | 92.0 | 86.4 |
| 1985 | 105.4 | 100.6 | 100.9 | 94.8 | 104.9 | 104.5 | 94.2 | 93.9 | 89.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.7 | 98.8 | 100.1 | 103.7 | 102.9 | 101.5 | 104.9 | 103.6 | 10.0 |
| 1988 | 107.1 | 99.2 | 102.1 | 106.5 | 108.0 | 104.9 | 107.3 | 104.2 | 99.4 |

Annual \% Change


Table 14 - Indexes of labour productivity and unlt labour cost, tobacco products indusirles, 1961. 1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person. hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 92.9 | 147.2 | 181.1 | 15.8 | 63.1 | 51.3 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 17.0 |
| 1962 | 92.7 | 159.2 | 191.5 | 17.3 | 58.2 | 48.4 | 10.9 | 9.1 | 18.7 |
| 1963 | 100.0 | 157.3 | 184.6 | 17.6 | 63.5 | 54.2 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 17.6 |
| 1964 | 105.8 | 155.1 | 178.8 | 18.2 | 68.2 | 59.2 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 17.2 |
| 1965 | 111.5 | 146.5 | 174.7 | 18.7 | 76.1 | 63.8 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 16.8 |
| 1966 | 103.1 | 145.4 | 176.1 | 19.9 | 70.9 | 58.6 | 13.7 | 11.3 | 19.3 |
| 1967 | 100.0 | 150.0 | 179.8 | 22.0 | 66.6 | 55.6 | 14.7 | 12.3 | 22.1 |
| 1968 | 96.9 | 144.5 | 169.0 | 23.6 | 67.1 | 57.4 | 16.3 | 13.9 | 24.3 |
| 1969 | 111.8 | 142.1 | 162.4 | 24.6 | 78.6 | 68.8 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 22.0 |
| 1970 | 116.9 | 141.6 | 162.9 | 27.2 | 82.6 | 71.8 | 19.2 | 16.7 | 23.3 |
| 1971 | 131.1 | 137.1 | 154.5 | 28.5 | 95.6 | 84.8 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 21.7 |
| 1972 | 138.8 | 135.5 | 151.3 | 30.4 | 102.5 | 91.7 | 22.5 | 20.1 | 21.9 |
| 1973 | 142.1 | 133.7 | 146.7 | 32.6 | 106.3 | 96.9 | 24.4 | 22.2 | 22.9 |
| 1974 | 152.9 | 136.5 | 147.6 | 36.4 | 112.0 | 103.6 | 26.7 | 24.7 | 23.8 |
| 1975 | 154.4 | 138.2 | 151.0 | 43.9 | 111.7 | 102.2 | 31.8 | 29.1 | 28.5 |
| 1976 | 146.8 | 129.7 | 142.1 | 47.2 | 113.2 | 103.3 | 36.4 | 33.2 | 32.1 |
| 1977 | 168.4 | 127.4 | 136.0 | 52.2 | 132.2 | 123.9 | 41.0 | 38.4 | 31.0 |
| 1978 | 142.6 | 124.8 | 133.7 | 53.8 | 114.3 | 106.7 | 43.2 | 40.3 | 37.8 |
| 1979 | 147.5 | 123.7 | 133.0 | 58.3 | 119.2 | 110.9 | 47.2 | 43.9 | 39.6 |
| 1980 | 149.6 | 120.8 | 127.2 | 63.9 | 123.8 | 117.6 | 52.9 | 50.3 | 42.7 |
| 1981 | 153.4 | 124.2 | 132.5 | 77.4 | 123.5 | 115.7 | 62.3 | 58.4 | 50.4 |
| 1982 | 149.6 | 123.7 | 128.7 | 84.0 | 121.0 | 116.2 | 67.9 | 65.3 | 56.1 |
| 1983 | 135.2 | 115.0 | 120.0 | 89.2 | 117.6 | 112.6 | 77.6 | 74.3 | 66.0 |
| 1984 | 128.3 | 109.1 | 113.3 | 91.9 | 117.6 | 113.2 | 84.2 | 81.1 | 71.6 |
| 1985 | 105.9 | 101.5 | 107.6 | 96.2 | 104.3 | 98.4 | 94.7 | 89.4 | 90.8 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 106.5 | 85.1 | 87.5 | 94.8 | 125.1 | 121.6 | 111.4 | 108.3 | 89.1 |
| 1988 | 103.3 | 78.7 | 81.3 | 89.3 | 131.2 | 127.0 | 113.5 | 109.9 | 86.5 |

Annual \% Change


Table 15 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, rubber products Industries, 19611988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 30.4 | 66.1 | 67.9 | 9.7 | 46.0 | 44.8 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 31.7 |
| 1962 | 38.7 | 67.2 | 71.1 | 10.6 | 57.6 | 54.5 | 15.8 | 14.9 | 27.4 |
| 1963 | 41.3 | 72.5 | 76.1 | 11.5 | 57.0 | 54.2 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 27.8 |
| 1964 | 44.5 | 76.1 | 82.0 | 12.7 | 58.4 | 54.2 | 16.7 | 15.5 | 28.7 |
| 1965 | 46.9 | 80.6 | 85.2 | 14.2 | 58.3 | 55.1 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 30.3 |
| 1966 | 53.7 | 86.7 | 92.4 | 16.0 | 61.9 | 58.1 | 18.5 | 17.3 | 29.8 |
| 1967 | 58.0 | 88.2 | 94.6 | 17.1 | 65.7 | 61.3 | 19.4 | 18.1 | 29.5 |
| 1968 | 55.9 | 82.6 | 88.3 | 17.3 | 67.7 | 63.3 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 30.9 |
| 1969 | 58.3 | 84.4 | 89.6 | 19.2 | 69.1 | 65.1 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 33.0 |
| 1970 | 54.4 | 80.5 | 84.5 | 19.8 | 67.5 | 64.3 | 24.5 | 23.4 | 36.3 |
| 1971 | 58.3 | 80.2 | 83.5 | 21.0 | 72.7 | 69.8 | 26.2 | 25.2 | 36.0 |
| 1972 | 64.2 | 87.6 | 91.1 | 25.0 | 73.4 | 70.6 | 28.6 | 27.5 | 38.9 |
| 1973 | 74.5 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 76.8 | 74.5 | 30.1 | 29.2 | 39.2 |
| 1974 | 66.9 | 95.2 | 96.1 | 31.2 | 70.3 | 69.6 | 32.8 | 32.4 | 46.6 |
| 1975 | 64.0 | 96.4 | 97.0 | 35.9 | 66.4 | 66.0 | 37.3 | 37.1 | 56.2 |
| 1976 | 79.3 | 100.8 | 102.1 | 41.9 | 78.6 | 77.6 | 41.6 | 41.0 | 52.8 |
| 1977 | 90.9 | 101.1 | 102.0 | 45.9 | 89.8 | 89.1 | 45.4 | 45.0 | 50.5 |
| 1978 | 94.6 | 102.9 | 104.0 | 49.9 | 92.0 | 91.0 | 48.6 | 48.0 | 52.8 |
| 1979 | 107.6 | 105.7 | 109.6 | 60.1 | 101.8 | 98.2 | 56.9 | 54.9 | 55.9 |
| 1980 | 92.7 | 102.2 | 103.1 | 63.4 | 90.7 | 90.0 | 62.0 | 61.5 | 68.3 |
| 1981 | 88.0 | 103.3 | 105.1 | 73.5 | 85.2 | 83.7 | 71.2 | 70.0 | 83.6 |
| 1982 | 76.7 | 97.3 | 98.5 | 76.4 | 78.8 | 77.9 | 78.5 | 77.6 | 99.6 |
| 1983 | 89.6 | 97.6 | 99.0 | 81.4 | 91.8 | 90.5 | 83.4 | 82.3 | 90.9 |
| 1984 | 112.9 | 99.3 | 100.5 | 90.6 | 113.7 | 112.3 | 91.2 | 90.1 | 80.3 |
| 1985 | 114.5 | 98.4 | 99.9 | 93.4 | 116.3 | 114.6 | 94.8 | 93.4 | 81.5 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 104.7 | 94.1 | 94.6 | 97.0 | 111.3 | 110.8 | 103.1 | 102.6 | 92.6 |
| 1988 | 110.4 | 101.6 | 103.4 | 108.7 | 108.7 | 106.8 | 107.0 | 105.1 | 98.4 |

## Annual \% Change



Part 1

Table 16 - Indexes of labour productlvity and unit labour cost, plastic products industries, 1961 . 1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compen sation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 7.6 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 3.7 | 31.7 | 31.3 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 48.9 |
| 1962 | 9.5 | 25.5 | 26.6 | 4.1 | 37.2 | 35.7 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 43.6 |
| 1963 | 11.2 | 27.7 | 28.7 | 4.7 | 40.3 | 38.9 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 41.9 |
| 1964 | 14.0 | 30.8 | 32.7 | 5.5 | 45.4 | 42.6 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 39.2 |
| 1965 | 15.8 | 32.9 | 34.4 | 6.2 | 48.0 | 45.9 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 39.2 |
| 1966 | 18.9 | 38.1 | 40.1 | 7.7 | 49.6 | 47.1 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 40.5 |
| 1967 | 20.1 | 39.8 | 42.1 | 8.5 | 50.5 | 47.7 | 21.4 | 20.2 | 42.4 |
| 1968 | 28.6 | 44.4 | 46.9 | 10.1 | 64.4 | 60.9 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 35.3 |
| 1969 | 32.1 | 45.5 | 47.7 | 11.0 | 70.6 | 67.3 | 24.3 | 23.2 | 34.4 |
| 1970 | 32.5 | 47.4 | 49.1 | 12.2 | 68.6 | 66.2 | 25.7 | 24.8 | 37.4 |
| 1971 | 36.9 | 50.4 | 51.9 | 13.9 | 73.3 | 71.2 | 27.6 | 26.9 | 37.7 |
| 1972 | 46.9 | 57.5 | 59.1 | 17.1 | 81.4 | 79.3 | 29.7 | 28.9 | 36.5 |
| 1973 | 54.4 | 63.9 | 65.1 | 20.3 | 85.1 | 83.5 | 31.7 | 31.2 | 37.3 |
| 1974 | 52.7 | 66.7 | 66.6 | 24.3 | 79.0 | 79.1 | 36.4 | 36.5 | 46.1 |
| 1975 | 47.9 | 65.5 | 65.1 | 26.7 | 73.1 | 73.6 | 40.8 | 41.0 | 55.7 |
| 1976 | 53.5 | 68.7 | 68.8 | 32.1 | 77.9 | 77.8 | 46.7 | 46.6 | 59.9 |
| 1977 | 56.2 | 69.6 | 69.3 | 35.7 | 80.7 | 81.0 | 51.3 | 51.5 | 63.6 |
| 1978 | 63.7 | 76.1 | 76.0 | 42.0 | 83.7 | 83.8 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 65.9 |
| 1979 | 73.7 | 80.0 | 82.0 | 48.1 | 92.1 | 90.0 | 60.2 | 58.7 | 65.3 |
| 1980 | 73.5 | 82.4 | 82.1 | 54.6 | 89.2 | 89.5 | 66.2 | 66.5 | 74.3 |
| 1981 | 75.5 | 81.6 | 82.0 | 61.6 | 92.5 | 92.0 | 75.5 | 75.1 | 81.6 |
| 1982 | 68.8 | 76.4 | 76.4 | 62.6 | 90.1 | 90.1 | 82.0 | 82.0 | 91.0 |
| 1983 | 78.7 | 76.3 | 77.2 | 67.4 | 103.1 | 101.9 | 88.3 | 87.3 | 85.6 |
| 1984 | 90.1 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 77.9 | 105.5 | 105.3 | 91.2 | 91.1 | 86.5 |
| 1985 | 99.6 | 92.3 | 93.4 | 89.1 | 107.9 | 106.7 | 96.5 | 95.4 | 89.4 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 112.3 | 108.0 | 108.8 | 111.8 | 104.0 | 103.2 | 103.5 | 102.7 | 99.5 |
| 1988 | 113.9 | 122.2 | 123.5 | 132.8 | 93.2 | 92.2 | 108.8 | 107.5 | 116.7 |

## Annual \% Change



Table 17. Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, leather and alled products industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons al work | Person. hours | Labour compen. sation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP <br> per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 83.1 | 153.9 | 162.7 | 25.8 | 54.0 | 51.1 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 31.0 |
| 1962 | 90.6 | 155.4 | 167.0 | 27.0 | 58.3 | 54.2 | 17.4 | 16.2 | 29.8 |
| 1963 | 91.1 | 154.6 | 165.4 | 27.7 | 58.9 | 55.1 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 30.3 |
| 1964 | 97.0 | 154.2 | 164.5 | 29.0 | 62.9 | 59.0 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 29.9 |
| 1965 | 95.6 | 154.5 | 163.1 | 30.1 | 61.9 | 58.6 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 31.5 |
| 1966 | 94.2 | 154.5 | 162.4 | 32.5 | 61.0 | 58.0 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 34.5 |
| 1967 | 90.4 | 147.0 | 154.2 | 33.0 | 61.5 | 58.6 | 22.5 | 21.4 | 36.5 |
| 1968 | 91.3 | 145.2 | 154.9 | 34.9 | 62.9 | 58.9 | 24.0 | 22.5 | 38.2 |
| 1969 | 90.8 | 142.5 | 150.2 | 36.4 | 63.7 | 60.4 | 25.6 | 24.2 | 40.1 |
| 1970 | 84.8 | 129.7 | 136.5 | 34.8 | 65.3 | 62.1 | 26.8 | 25.5 | 41.0 |
| 1971 | 85.4 | 127.5 | 134.7 | 36.7 | 67.0 | 63.4 | 28.8 | 27.2 | 42.9 |
| 1972 | 82.5 | 124.7 | 131.8 | 38.2 | 66.1 | 62.6 | 30.6 | 29.0 | 46.3 |
| 1973 | 83.8 | 124.0 | 129.2 | 41.0 | 67.6 | 64.8 | 33.1 | 31.7 | 48.9 |
| 1974 | 86.8 | 121.0 | 128.2 | 46.6 | 71.7 | 67.7 | 38.5 | 36.4 | 53.7 |
| 1975 | 87.2 | 121.7 | 125.2 | 52.6 | 71.7 | 69.7 | 43.2 | 42.0 | 60.3 |
| 1976 | 95.9 | 120.4 | 124.9 | 59.7 | 79.6 | 76.8 | 49.6 | 47.8 | 62.3 |
| 1977 | 88.9 | 107.7 | 112.0 | 58.6 | 82.5 | 79.3 | 54.4 | 52.3 | 65.9 |
| 1978 | 101.7 | 110.9 | 114.5 | 66.0 | 91.7 | 88.8 | 59.5 | 57.6 | 64.9 |
| 1979 | 103.1 | 115.8 | 120.4 | 75.6 | 89.0 | 85.6 | 65.3 | 62.8 | 73.4 |
| 1980 | 98.5 | 113.2 | 115.9 | 78.6 | 87.0 | 84.9 | 69.4 | 67.8 | 79.8 |
| 1981 | 103.5 | 117.3 | 120.1 | 91.5 | 88.2 | 06.2 | 78.0 | 76.2 | 88.4 |
| 1982 | 90.2 | 101.2 | 104.6 | 85.2 | 89.1 | 86.2 | 84.2 | 81.5 | 94.5 |
| 1983 | 95.2 | 101.9 | 102.5 | 89.3 | 93.5 | 92.8 | 87.7 | 87.2 | 93.8 |
| 1984 | 104.3 | 104.1 | 105.6 | 96.7 | 100.2 | 98.7 | 92.9 | 91.5 | 92.7 |
| 1985 | 100.1 | 98.6 | 99.9 | 97.0 | 101.6 | 100.2 | 98.5 | 97.1 | 97.0 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 92.6 | 92.9 | 91.1 | 96.1 | 99.7 | 101.6 | 103.4 | 105.5 | 103.8 |
| 1988 | 86.6 | 86.3 | 85.5 | 91.7 | 100.3 | 101.3 | 106.3 | 107.3 | 105.9 |

Annual \% Change


Table 18 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, primary textlle and textlle products Industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person-hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Iabour } \\ & \text { cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 28.3 | 110.8 | 118.1 | 16.2 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 14.6 | 13.7 | 57.3 |
| 1962 | 33.9 | 116.2 | 123.8 | 17.7 | 29.2 | 27.4 | 15.2 | 14.3 | 52.1 |
| 1963 | 37.8 | 119.4 | 129.8 | 19.0 | 31.6 | 29.1 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 50.4 |
| 1964 | 41.1 | 126.5 | 138.7 | 21.2 | 32.5 | 29.6 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 51.6 |
| 1965 | 42.2 | 129.3 | 140.9 | 22.9 | 32.6 | 29.9 | 17.7 | 16.2 | 54.2 |
| 1966 | 42.1 | 127.4 | 137.7 | 24.5 | 33.0 | 30.5 | 19.2 | 17.8 | 58.2 |
| 1967 | 43.6 | 129.6 | 139.1 | 26.3 | 33.6 | 31.3 | 20.3 | 18.9 | 60.3 |
| 1968 | 48.4 | 122.1 | 130.8 | 26.5 | 39.7 | 37.1 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 54.7 |
| 1969 | 53.9 | 123.9 | 132.0 | 28.7 | 43.5 | 40.8 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 53.3 |
| 1970 | 51.3 | 118.2 | 124.4 | 29.3 | 43.4 | 41.2 | 24.8 | 23.5 | 57.1 |
| 1971 | 56.6 | 116.0 | 121.7 | 30.9 | 48.8 | 46.5 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 54.5 |
| 1972 | 67.0 | 123.8 | 129.4 | 34.9 | 54.1 | 51.8 | 28.2 | 27.0 | 52.1 |
| 1973 | 71.4 | 128.8 | 133.7 | 38.7 | 55.5 | 53.4 | 30.1 | 29.0 | 54.2 |
| 1974 | 72.1 | 128.7 | 132.4 | 43.9 | 56.0 | 54.4 | 34.1 | 33.1 | 60.9 |
| 1975 | 70.8 | 121.0 | 123.9 | 46.3 | 58.5 | 57.2 | 38.2 | 37.3 | 65.3 |
| 1976 | 72.0 | 113.3 | 115.3 | 50.4 | 63.5 | 62.4 | 44.5 | 43.7 | 70.0 |
| 1977 | 75.8 | 106.2 | 107.2 | 52.6 | 71.4 | 70.8 | 49.5 | 49.0 | 69.3 |
| 1978 | 83.4 | 108.1 | 109.3 | 58.3 | 77.2 | 76.3 | 53.9 | 53.3 | 69.9 |
| 1979 | 90.6 | 112.1 | 113.2 | 67.0 | 80.8 | 80.0 | 59.8 | 59.2 | 74.0 |
| 1980 | 88.1 | 111.3 | 111.1 | 73.5 | 79.1 | 79.3 | 66.0 | 66.1 | 83.4 |
| 1981 | 91.8 | 109.6 | 110.3 | 80.9 | 83.8 | 83.2 | 73.8 | 73.3 | 88.1 |
| 1982 | 71.2 | 96.4 | 97.7 | 75.7 | 73.9 | 72.9 | 78.5 | 77.5 | 106.3 |
| 1983 | 91.6 | 102.7 | 103.1 | 86.8 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 84.5 | 84.2 | 94.7 |
| 1984 | 91.1 | 101.5 | 101.1 | 90.3 | 89.7 | 90.1 | 89.0 | 89.3 | 99.2 |
| 1985 | 90.4 | 97.8 | 96.2 | 93.9 | 925 | 94.0 | 96.1 | 97.7 | 103.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 102.9 | 102.6 | 103.0 | 108.2 | 100.3 | 99.9 | 105.5 | 105.0 | 105.2 |
| 1988 | 102.2 | 104.5 | 105.4 | 113.3 | 97.8 | 96.9 | 108.4 | 107.5 | 110.9 |

Annual \% Change


Table 19- Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, clothing industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen. sation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 56.6 | 100.4 | 102.3 | 17.0 | 56.3 | 55.3 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 30.0 |
| 1962 | 60.3 | 98.9 | 103.4 | 17.6 | 60.9 | 58.3 | 17.8 | 17.0 | 29.2 |
| 1963 | 62.8 | 99.1 | 104.3 | 18.4 | 63.4 | 60.2 | 18.6 | 17.7 | 29.3 |
| 1964 | 65.1 | 103.0 | 108.2 | 20.0 | 63.2 | 60.2 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 30.7 |
| 1965 | 68.1 | 105.6 | 110.0 | 21.6 | 64.5 | 61.9 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 31.7 |
| 1966 | 68.9 | 106.2 | 109.7 | 23.1 | 64.9 | 62.8 | 21.7 | 21.0 | 33.5 |
| 1967 | 66.7 | 104.1 | 108.8 | 23.8 | 64.0 | 61.2 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 35.8 |
| 1968 | 68.4 | 104.5 | 109.5 | 25.6 | 65.5 | 62.5 | 24.5 | 23.4 | 37.4 |
| 1969 | 68.7 | 106.5 | 111.4 | 28.0 | 64.5 | 61.7 | 26.3 | 25.1 | 40.7 |
| 1970 | 67.3 | 104.2 | 109.1 | 28.8 | 64.6 | 61.7 | 27.6 | 26.4 | 42.8 |
| 1971 | 68.3 | 105.7 | 108.1 | 31.3 | 64.7 | 63.2 | 29.6 | 28.9 | 45.7 |
| 1972 | 73.0 | 109.4 | 111.6 | 34.7 | 66.8 | 65.5 | 31.7 | 31.1 | 47.5 |
| 1973 | 78.3 | 111.7 | 112.0 | 38.1 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 34.1 | 34.0 | 48.6 |
| 1974 | 78.9 | 109.0 | 109.9 | 42.9 | 72.4 | 71.8 | 39.4 | 39.0 | 54.3 |
| 1975 | 81.8 | 107.9 | 109.1 | 49.4 | 75.8 | 74.9 | 45.7 | 45.2 | 60.4 |
| 1976 | 87.2 | 109.4 | 110.2 | 56.7 | 79.7 | 79.1 | 51.9 | 51.5 | 65.1 |
| 1977 | 85.7 | 101.9 | 102.0 | 58.4 | 84.2 | 84.1 | 57.3 | 57.2 | 68.1 |
| 1978 | 92.9 | 102.6 | 102.5 | 64.1 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 68.9 |
| 1979 | 99.7 | 103.8 | 103.9 | 71.7 | 96.1 | 96.0 | 69.1 | 69.0 | 71.9 |
| 1980 | 94.1 | 99.9 | 98.3 | 75.7 | 94.1 | 95.7 | 75.8 | 77.1 | 80.5 |
| 1981 | 96.9 | 99.7 | 96.9 | 82.2 | 97.3 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 84.8 | 84.8 |
| 1982 | 86.1 | 94.0 | 89.9 | 80.3 | 91.6 | 95.7 | 85.5 | 89.3 | 93.3 |
| 1983 | 86.2 | 96.6 | 95.8 | 85.3 | 89.2 | 90.0 | 88.3 | 89.1 | 99.0 |
| 1984 | 92.8 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 90.1 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 97.1 |
| 1985 | 95.8 | 97.5 | 96.9 | 93.3 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 95.7 | 96.3 | 97.4 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 103.6 | 98.5 | 102.2 | 105.9 | 105.2 | 101.4 | 107.5 | 103.6 | 102.2 |
| 1988 | 102.4 | 101.6 | 103.2 | 112.4 | 100.8 | 99.2 | 110.7 | 108.9 | 109.8 |

Annual \% Change


Table 20-Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, wood Industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compen. sation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 33.0 | 75.9 | 78.5 | 9.8 | 43.4 | 42.0 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 29.8 |
| 1962 | 37.1 | 78.4 | 83.3 | 10.6 | 47.4 | 44.6 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 28.5 |
| 1963 | 41.8 | 81.1 | 86.8 | 11.5 | 51.5 | 48.2 | 14.2 | 13.3 | 27.6 |
| 1964 | 44.8 | 83.3 | 89.6 | 12.5 | 53.8 | 50.0 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 27.8 |
| 1965 | 46.7 | 84.9 | 81.5 | 13.5 | 55.0 | 51.0 | 15.9 | 14.8 | 29.0 |
| 1966 | 47.2 | 85.2 | 90.6 | 14.7 | 55.4 | 52.0 | 17.3 | 16.3 | 31.2 |
| 1967 | 48.5 | 82.6 | 89.7 | 15.3 | 58.7 | 54.1 | 18.5 | 17.0 | 31.5 |
| 1968 | 52.5 | 82.5 | 88.0 | 16.5 | 63.7 | 59.7 | 20.0 | 18.8 | 31.5 |
| 1969 | 53.6 | 84.4 | 89.2 | 18.2 | 63.5 | 60.2 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 34.0 |
| 1970 | 53.7 | 79.8 | 83.4 | 18.6 | 67.3 | 64.3 | 23.3 | 22.2 | 34.6 |
| 1971 | 55.0 | 83.6 | 87.8 | 21.4 | 65.8 | 62.6 | 25.6 | 24.4 | 39.0 |
| 1972 | 55.6 | 93.5 | 96.8 | 25.9 | 59.5 | 57.5 | 27.7 | 26.8 | 46.6 |
| 1973 | 61.3 | 101.5 | 105.0 | 31.3 | 60.3 | 58.4 | 30.8 | 29.8 | 51.1 |
| 1974 | 63.5 | 97.2 | 99.4 | 35.0 | 65.3 | 63.9 | 36.0 | 35.3 | 55.1 |
| 1975 | 56.4 | 89.3 | 90.9 | 36.6 | 63.2 | 62.1 | 41.0 | 40.3 | 64.9 |
| 1976 | 68.4 | 97.6 | 100.1 | 46.8 | 70.1 | 68.4 | 47.9 | 46.7 | 68.3 |
| 1977 | 75.9 | 100.0 | 101.8 | 54.1 | 75.9 | 74.6 | 54.1 | 53.1 | 71.2 |
| 1978 | 76.2 | 107.3 | 108.5 | 62.3 | 71.0 | 70.2 | 58.1 | 57.4 | 81.7 |
| 1979 | 76.4 | 110.2 | 111.5 | 70.9 | 69.4 | 68.5 | 64.4 | 63.6 | 92.8 |
| 1980 | 81.5 | 106.0 | 106.4 | 75.7 | 76.8 | 76.6 | 71.4 | 71.1 | 92.9 |
| 1981 | 78.3 | 101.7 | 97.0 | 79.4 | 77.0 | 80.7 | 78.1 | 81.9 | 101.4 |
| 1982 | 63.3 | 87.8 | 80.2 | 72.4 | 72.1 | 79.0 | 82.5 | 90.3 | 114.4 |
| 1983 | 78.3 | 92.0 | 89.0 | 83.6 | 85.0 | 88.0 | 90.9 | 94.0 | 106.9 |
| 1984 | 87.8 | 92.9 | 91.8 | 88.0 | 94.5 | 95.6 | 94.7 | 95.8 | 100.2 |
| 1985 | 99.7 | 97.0 | 96.8 | 95.3 | 102.8 | 103.0 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 95.6 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 115.5 | 109.4 | 110.0 | 116.3 | 105.6 | 105.0 | 106.4 | 105.8 | 100.8 |
| 1988 | 116.2 | 111.5 | 114.2 | 122.9 | 104.2 | 101.8 | 110.2 | 107.7 | 105.8 |

Annual \% Change


Table 21. Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, furniture and fixture Industries, 1961-1988, ( $1986=100$ )

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compen. sation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 40.5 | 57.7 | 61.0 | 9.6 | 70.1 | 66.4 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 23.8 |
| 1962 | 43.6 | 59.6 | 63.9 | 10.4 | 73.1 | 68.2 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 23.8 |
| 1963 | 47.9 | 62.0 | 66.2 | 11.2 | 77.2 | 72.4 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 23.3 |
| 1964 | 50.8 | 65.7 | 70.3 | 12.4 | 77.4 | 72.3 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 24.4 |
| 1965 | 58.6 | 69.9 | 74.3 | 13.7 | 83.8 | 78.9 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 23.5 |
| 1966 | 65.4 | 75.3 | 80.1 | 16.0 | 86.8 | 81.6 | 21.3 | 20.0 | 24.5 |
| 1967 | 68.0 | 75.9 | 79.8 | 17.0 | 89.6 | 85.3 | 22.3 | 21.3 | 24.9 |
| 1968 | 69.6 | 74.6 | 78.0 | 17.8 | 93.2 | 89.2 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 25.5 |
| 1969 | 75.8 | 76.4 | 79.5 | 19.6 | 99.2 | 95.4 | 25.6 | 24.6 | 25.8 |
| 1970 | 68.1 | 72.7 | 75.3 | 19.7 | 93.7 | 90.3 | 27.1 | 26.2 | 29.0 |
| 1971 | 72.3 | 74.3 | 77.1 | 21.3 | 97.4 | 93.8 | 28.7 | 27.6 | 29.4 |
| 1972 | 88.2 | 81.1 | 84.3 | 25.2 | 108.7 | 104.6 | 31.0 | 29.8 | 28.5 |
| 1973 | 97.3 | 84.3 | 87.4 | 28.3 | 115.4 | 111.3 | 33.6 | 32.4 | 29.1 |
| 1974 | 85.2 | 88.6 | 92.2 | 33.8 | 96.1 | 92.4 | 38.2 | 36.7 | 39.7 |
| 1975 | 80.6 | 86.5 | 89.4 | 37.1 | 93.2 | 90.2 | 42.9 | 41.4 | 46.0 |
| 1976 | 88.2 | 83.7 | 87.2 | 41.7 | 105.4 | 101.2 | 49.8 | 47.9 | 47.3 |
| 1977 | 81.9 | 76.5 | 79.3 | 41.6 | 107.1 | 103.3 | 54.4 | 52.4 | 50.7 |
| 1978 | 89.7 | 78.7 | 81.1 | 45.8 | 114.0 | 110.6 | 58.2 | 56.5 | 51.1 |
| 1979 | 88.5 | 85.9 | 89.5 | 53.0 | 103.0 | 98.9 | 61.7 | 59.2 | 59.9 |
| 1980 | 82.3 | 85.6 | 87.7 | 58.4 | 96.2 | 93.9 | 68.2 | 66.6 | 70.9 |
| 1981 | 91.7 | 88.5 | 90.2 | 69.8 | 103.6 | 101.6 | 78.8 | 77.3 | 76.1 |
| 1982 | 69.9 | 79.8 | 80.8 | 64.9 | 87.6 | 86.5 | 81.4 | 80.4 | 92.9 |
| 1983 | 79.0 | 78.8 | 77.7 | 69.4 | 100.3 | 101.6 | 88.2 | 89.3 | 87.9 |
| 1984 | 85.0 | 81.6 | 81.4 | 76.0 | 104.2 | 104.5 | 93.1 | 93.4 | 89.4 |
| 1985 | 94.7 | 89.9 | 89.5 | 87.1 | 105.4 | 105.9 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 92.0 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.8 | 110.9 | 111.4 | 111.8 | 90.0 | 89.5 | 100.9 | 100.4 | 112.1 |
| 1988 | 98.7 | 112.1 | 112.6 | 121.5 | 88.0 | 87.7 | 108.3 | 107.9 | 123.1 |

Annual \% Change


Table 22 - Indexes of labour productlvity and unlt labour cost, paper and allied products Industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { labour } \\ & \text { cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 62.6 | 82.0 | 88.4 | 11.1 | 76.4 | 70.8 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 17.6 |
| 1962 | 63.4 | 83.8 | 90.9 | 11.7 | 75.7 | 69.7 | 13.9 | 12.8 | 18.4 |
| 1963 | 65.9 | 84.6 | 91.3 | 12.2 | 77.8 | 72.1 | 14.4 | 13.3 | 18.5 |
| 1964 | 72.0 | 88.4 | 96.4 | 13.3 | 81.4 | 74.7 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 18.4 |
| 1965 | 74.3 | 91.5 | 98.9 | 14.4 | 81.1 | 75.1 | 15.7 | 14.5 | 19.3 |
| 1966 | 79.5 | 97.2 | 104.5 | 16.7 | 81.8 | 76.1 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 21.0 |
| 1967 | 77.1 | 99.3 | 105.7 | 18.2 | 77.6 | 72.9 | 18.3 | 17.2 | 23.6 |
| 1968 | 80.1 | 98.7 | 104.6 | 19.4 | 81.1 | 76.6 | 19.7 | 18.6 | 24.3 |
| 1969 | 87.7 | 102.0 | 108.7 | 21.6 | 86.0 | 80.7 | 21.2 | 19.8 | 24.6 |
| 1970 | 86.5 | 102.1 | 107.4 | 22.9 | 84.6 | 80.5 | 22.5 | 21.4 | 26.5 |
| 1971 | 85.3 | 100.3 | 104.2 | 24.2 | 85.0 | 81.9 | 24.1 | 23.2 | 28.4 |
| 1972 | 92.8 | 101.1 | 105.6 | 26.4 | 91.7 | 87.8 | 26.1 | 25.0 | 28.5 |
| 1973 | 100.3 | 103.1 | 106.7 | 28.8 | 97.2 | 94.0 | 27.9 | 27.0 | 28.7 |
| 1974 | 108.6 | 109.9 | 113.1 | 35.6 | 98.8 | 96.0 | 32.4 | 31.5 | 32.8 |
| 1975 | 77.3 | 106.5 | 99.6 | 36.6 | 72.5 | 77.6 | 34.3 | 36.7 | 47.4 |
| 1976 | 95.3 | 109.1 | 107.6 | 45.9 | 87.4 | 88.6 | 42.1 | 42.7 | 48.2 |
| 1977 | 94.2 | 104.0 | 106.0 | 49.3 | 90.6 | 88.8 | 47.5 | 46.5 | 52.4 |
| 1978 | 104.1 | 105.5 | 113.2 | 54.3 | 98.7 | 91.9 | 51.4 | 47.9 | 52.1 |
| 1979 | 102.8 | 106.9 | 108.1 | 59.3 | 96.2 | 95.1 | 55.4 | 54.8 | 57.6 |
| 1980 | 100.7 | 107.8 | 115.0 | 66.1 | 93.4 | 87.6 | 61.3 | 57.4 | 65.6 |
| 1981 | 96.7 | 107.6 | 108.1 | 75.4 | 89.9 | 89.5 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 78.0 |
| 1982 | 82.9 | 100.5 | 100.2 | 78.0 | 82.5 | 82.7 | 77.7 | 77.9 | 94.2 |
| 1983 | 92.8 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 82.1 | 95.0 | 94.9 | 84.1 | 84.0 | 88.5 |
| 1984 | 96.1 | 98.9 | 99.2 | 86.6 | 97.2 | 96.9 | 87.6 | 87.3 | 90.1 |
| 1985 | 94.9 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 92.8 | 97.3 | 96.9 | 95.1 | 94.8 | 97.7 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 106.0 | 102.0 | 101.7 | 105.4 | 104.0 | 104.3 | 103.4 | 103.7 | 99.4 |
| 1988 | 108.4 | 103.1 | 103.8 | 111.7 | 105.1 | 104.5 | 108.3 | 107.6 | 103.0 |

Annual \% Change


Table 23 - Indexes of labour productlvity and unit labour cost, printing, publlshing and allied industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person hour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 44.7 | 63.2 | 67.2 | 10.1 | 70.7 | 66.5 | 15.9 | 15.0 | 22.6 |
| 1962 | 46.3 | 63.1 | 67.5 | 10.5 | 73.4 | 68.6 | 16.7 | 15.6 | 22.7 |
| 1963 | 47.2 | 63.7 | 68.3 | 11.0 | 74.1 | 69.2 | 17.3 | 16.1 | 23.3 |
| 1964 | 47.5 | 64.1 | 68.3 | 11.5 | 74.1 | 69.5 | 17.9 | 16.8 | 24.2 |
| 1965 | 49.7 | 67.1 | 71.5 | 12.6 | 74.0 | 69.5 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 25.4 |
| 1966 | 52.4 | 70.0 | 74.3 | 14.0 | 74.9 | 70.6 | 20.0 | 18.9 | 26.7 |
| 1967 | 53.7 | 71.4 | 75.8 | 14.9 | 75.3 | 70.9 | 20.9 | 19.7 | 27.8 |
| 1968 | 54.6 | 71.7 | 76.3 | 16.1 | 76.2 | 71.5 | 22.4 | 21.1 | 29.4 |
| 1969 | 55.8 | 72.3 | 77.5 | 17.4 | 77.2 | 71.9 | 24.0 | 22.4 | 31.1 |
| 1970 | 54.2 | 71.6 | 76.7 | 18.3 | 75.6 | 70.6 | 25.5 | 23.8 | 33.7 |
| 1971 | 54.6 | 71.9 | 76.2 | 19.6 | 75.9 | 71.7 | 27.2 | 25.7 | 35.8 |
| 1972 | 58.8 | 73.3 | 77.5 | 21.5 | 80.2 | 75.9 | 29.3 | 27.7 | 36.5 |
| 1973 | 65.0 | 77.4 | 80.9 | 24.2 | 84.0 | 80.4 | 31.3 | 30.0 | 37.3 |
| 1974 | 65.5 | 78.4 | 81.3 | 27.9 | 83.5 | 80.5 | 35.6 | 34.3 | 42.6 |
| 1975 | 66.4 | 78.7 | 81.2 | 31.6 | 84.3 | 81.7 | 40.1 | 38.9 | 47.6 |
| 1976 | 72.9 | 79.3 | 81.1 | 35.9 | 92.0 | 89.9 | 45.3 | 44.2 | 49.2 |
| 1977 | 76.5 | 78.1 | 79.3 | 38.7 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 49.5 | 48.7 | 50.6 |
| 1978 | 82.3 | 81.7 | 83.7 | 43.2 | 100.7 | 98.4 | 52.8 | 51.6 | 52.5 |
| 1979 | 84.1 | 85.4 | 86.6 | 48.7 | 98.4 | 97.1 | 57.0 | 56.2 | 57.9 |
| 1980 | 88.8 | 89.3 | 91.6 | 56.2 | 99.4 | 96.9 | 62.9 | 61.4 | 63.3 |
| 1981 | 91.0 | 89.7 | 90.2 | 64.2 | 101.3 | 100.8 | 71.6 | 71.2 | 70.6 |
| 1982 | 83.4 | 89.4 | 90.1 | 69.2 | 93.2 | 92.5 | 77.4 | 76.8 | 83.0 |
| 1983 | 86.3 | 89.3 | 89.1 | 75.5 | 96.6 | 96.8 | 84.5 | 84.7 | 87.5 |
| 1984 | 93.2 | 92.1 | 92.5 | 82.1 | 101.2 | 100.7 | 89.2 | 88.8 | 88.2 |
| 1985 | 97.6 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 90.3 | 102.7 | 102.8 | 95.0 | 95.1 | 92.5 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.8 | 103.4 | 103.7 | 107.2 | 96.5 | 96.2 | 103.6 | 103.3 | 107.4 |
| 1988 | 104.4 | 108.2 | 109.5 | 120.8 | 96.4 | 95.3 | 111.6 | 110.4 | 115.8 |



Table 24 - Indexes of labour productlvity and unit labour cost, primary metal industrles, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Iabour } \\ & \text { cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | \$2.2 | 86.5 | 92.0 | 11.4 | 60.3 | 56.7 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 21.9 |
| 1962 | 56.6 | 88.4 | 94.6 | 12.0 | 64.0 | 59.8 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 21.2 |
| 1963 | 60.1 | 90.9 | 97.6 | 12.9 | 66.1 | 61.6 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 21.4 |
| 1964 | 67.4 | 97.1 | 104.8 | 14.2 | 69.4 | 64.3 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 21.1 |
| 1965 | 77.2 | 104.1 | 112.0 | 16.0 | 74.1 | 68.9 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 20.8 |
| 1966 | 79.4 | 109.6 | 116.3 | 17.9 | 72.5 | 68.3 | 16.3 | 15.4 | 22.5 |
| 1967 | 76.7 | 109.4 | 115.6 | 18.9 | 70.1 | 66.4 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 24.7 |
| 1968 | 84.6 | 109.3 | 114.9 | 20.2 | 77.4 | 73.6 | 18.5 | 17.6 | 23.9 |
| 1969 | 85.9 | 107.5 | 112.5 | 21.2 | 80.0 | 76.4 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 24.6 |
| 1970 | 87.3 | 112.6 | 117.9 | 24.1 | 77.5 | 74.0 | 21.4 | 20.4 | 27.6 |
| 1971 | 86.5 | 110.5 | 114.9 | 25.6 | 78.3 | 75.3 | 23.1 | 22.3 | 29.6 |
| 1972 | 91.4 | 110.0 | 115.4 | 27.8 | 83.1 | 79.2 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 30.4 |
| 1973 | 100.3 | 112.9 | 118.9 | 31.0 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 27.4 | 26.0 | 30.9 |
| 1974 | 107.6 | 118.4 | 124.9 | 36.9 | 90.9 | 86.1 | 31.1 | 29.5 | 34.3 |
| 1975 | 98.0 | 116.6 | 118.1 | 41.4 | 84.1 | 83.0 | 35.5 | 35.0 | 42.2 |
| 1976 | 90.2 | 113.7 | 115.0 | 45.4 | 79.3 | 78.4 | 39.9 | 39.5 | 50.3 |
| 1977 | 98.9 | 115.5 | 117.4 | 50.5 | 85.6 | 84.2 | 43.7 | 43.0 | 51.0 |
| 1978 | 104.1 | 118.3 | 120.6 | 55.9 | 88.0 | 86.3 | 47.3 | 46.4 | 53.7 |
| 1979 | 94.8 | 122.9 | 126.8 | 63.7 | 77.2 | 74.8 | 51.8 | 50.2 | 67.2 |
| 1980 | 87.3 | 124.5 | 128.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 67.9 | 58.0 | 56.2 | 82.7 |
| 1981 | 94.5 | 120.9 | 122.7 | 81.2 | 78.2 | 77.0 | 67.2 | 66.2 | 85.9 |
| 1982 | 71.0 | 109.8 | 110.0 | 84.1 | 64.7 | 64.5 | 76.6 | 76.4 | 118.4 |
| 1983 | 80.1 | 102.5 | 102.5 | 85.0 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 82.9 | 82.9 | 106.1 |
| 1984 | 98.0 | 105.3 | 109.4 | 95.6 | 93.1 | 89.5 | 90.8 | 87.3 | 97.5 |
| 1985 | 103.7 | 103.2 | 102.6 | 98.9 | 100.5 | 101.1 | - 95.9 | 96.5 | 95.4 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 110.5 | 100.7 | 101.0 | 104.6 | 109.8 | 109.4 | 103.8 | 103.6 | 94.6 |
| 1988 | 120.3 | 105.1 | 107.4 | 113.9 | 114.5 | 112.1 | 108.4 | 106.1 | 94.7 |

Annual \% Change


Table 25 - Indexes of labour productlvity and unit iabour cost, fabricated metal products industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 40.4 | 68.9 | 70.8 | 11.7 | 58.6 | 57.1 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 29.1 |
| 1962 | 47.2 | 74.8 | 77.5 | 13.1 | 63.1 | 60.9 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 27.8 |
| 1963 | 50.8 | 77.3 | 81.4 | 18.1 | 65.7 | 62.4 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 27.7 |
| 1964 | 57.9 | 82.6 | 87.1 | 15.6 | 70.1 | 66.4 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 27.0 |
| 1965 | 67.2 | 91.4 | 96.1 | 18.0 | 73.5 | 69.9 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 26.8 |
| 1966 | 73.2 | 97.7 | 102.3 | 21.0 | 74.9 | 71.5 | 21.5 | 20.5 | 28.7 |
| 1967 | 73.6 | 94.7 | 99.1 | 21.4 | 77.7 | 74.3 | 22.6 | 21.6 | 29.1 |
| 1988 | 77.4 | 93.5 | 98.0 | 22.6 | 82.8 | 79.0 | 24.2 | 23.1 | 29.2 |
| 1969 | 81.0 | 96.0 | 100.2 | 25.1 | 84.3 | 80.8 | 26.2 | 25.1 | 31.0 |
| 1970 | 77.7 | 94.7 | 98.5 | 26.5 | 82.0 | 78.9 | 28.0 | 26.9 | 34.1 |
| 1971 | 81.1 | 93.9 | 97.4 | 27.9 | 86.3 | 83.2 | 29.7 | 28.7 | 34.4 |
| 1972 | 85.1 | 95.2 | 98.7 | 30.4 | 89.5 | 86.3 | 32.0 | 30.8 | 35.7 |
| 1973 | 92.5 | 99.9 | 102.9 | 34.5 | 92.6 | 89.9 | 34.6 | 33.5 | 37.3 |
| 1974 | 100.4 | 106.1 | 107.8 | 41.7 | 84.6 | 93.1 | 39.3 | 38.7 | 41.5 |
| 1975 | 91.4 | 104.7 | 106.2 | 46.7 | 87.3 | 86.1 | 44.6 | 44.0 | 51.1 |
| 1976 | 97.6 | 106.1 | 107.5 | 53.1 | 92.0 | 90.8 | 50.0 | 49.4 | 54.4 |
| 1977 | 95.9 | 103.1 | 104.5 | 56.4 | 93.0 | 91.7 | 54.7 | 53.9 | 58.8 |
| 1978 | 99.0 | 105.8 | 108.0 | 61.9 | 93.6 | 91.7 | 58.5 | 57.3 | 62.5 |
| 1979 | 102.3 | 110.4 | 110.9 | 70.4 | 82.6 | 92.2 | 63.8 | 63.5 | 68.9 |
| 1980 | 1024 | 109.0 | 109.6 | 76.7 | 93.8 | 93.5 | 70.3 | 70.0 | 74.9 |
| 1981 | 100.6 | 106.1 | 106.4 | 84.3 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 79.4 | 79.2 | 83.8 |
| 1982 | 85.5 | 94.2 | 93.1 | 82.2 | 90.8 | 91.8 | 87.2 | 88.2 | 96.1 |
| 1983 | 80.7 | 87.6 | 86.0 | 81.2 | 92.1 | 93.8 | 92.7 | 94.4 | 100.6 |
| 1984 | 86.9 | 87.4 | 86.8 | 83.9 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 96.7 | 96.6 |
| 1985 | 97.6 | 94.5 | 95.1 | 93.3 | 103.3 | 102.7 | 98.8 | 98.2 | 95.6 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.8 | 106.5 | 106.8 | 108.2 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 101.6 | 101.3 | 102.1 |
| 1988 | 108.7 | 114.0 | 115.0 | 122.3 | 95.3 | 94.5 | 107.3 | 106.3 | 112.5 |

Annual \% Change


Table 26 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, machinery industries, 1961-1988 (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 35.3 | 54.2 | 55.1 | 8.9 | 65.1 | 64.0 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 25.3 |
| 1962 | 40.6 | 58.6 | 60.7 | 10.0 | 69.3 | 66.9 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 24.7 |
| 1963 | 45.1 | 63.4 | 66.3 | 11.3 | 71.1 | 68.0 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 25.1 |
| 1964 | 54.4 | 69.7 | 73.5 | 13.0 | 78.1 | 74.0 | 18.6 | 17.6 | 23.8 |
| 1965 | 62.4 | 77.4 | 82.4 | 15.2 | 80.6 | 75.8 | 19.6 | 18.4 | 24.3 |
| 1966 | 68.8 | 83.1 | 87.9 | 17.5 | 82.7 | 78.2 | 21.0 | 19.9 | 25.4 |
| 1967 | 69.0 | 85.7 | 89.5 | 18.9 | 80.5 | 77.1 | 22.1 | 21.1 | 27.4 |
| 1968 | 66.3 | 81.2 | 84.6 | 19.1 | 81.7 | 78.4 | 23.5 | 22.6 | 28.8 |
| 1969 | 73.6 | 86.7 | 89.4 | 22.1 | 84.9 | 82.3 | 25.5 | 24.7 | 30.0 |
| 1970 | 68.6 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 22.6 | 82.7 | 80.7 | 27.3 | 26.6 | 33.0 |
| 1971 | 71.4 | 80.5 | 82.6 | 23.6 | 88.8 | 86.5 | 29.3 | 28.6 | 33.0 |
| 1972 | 77.5 | 87.2 | 89.4 | 27.2 | 88.9 | 86.8 | 31.2 | 30.4 | 35.1 |
| 1973 | 85.0 | 91.8 | 93.5 | 30.6 | 92.6 | 90.9 | 33.3 | 32.7 | 36.0 |
| 1974 | 96.7 | 100.9 | 101.6 | 38.1 | 95.8 | 95.1 | 37.8 | 37.5 | 39.4 |
| 1975 | 96.2 | 107.7 | 108.0 | 45.3 | 89.4 | 89.0 | 42.1 | 41.9 | 47.1 |
| 1976 | 97.2 | 104.0 | 104.4 | 49.1 | 93.4 | 93.1 | 47.2 | 47.0 | 50.5 |
| 1977 | 99.5 | 103.5 | 102.3 | 53.7 | 96.2 | 97.3 | 51.9 | 52.5 | 54.0 |
| 1978 | 105.0 | 105.7 | 105.9 | 59.8 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 56.6 | 56.5 | 57.0 |
| 1979 | 120.6 | 114.7 | 114.4 | 71.2 | 105.1 | 105.4 | 62.1 | 62.2 | 59.0 |
| 1980 | 122.4 | 121.4 | 120.5 | 83.2 | 100.8 | 101.6 | 68.5 | 69.0 | 68.0 |
| 1981 | 118.4 | 118.7 | 116.9 | 93.5 | 99.7 | 101.3 | 78.7 | 80.0 | 78.9 |
| 1982 | 88.2 | 100.4 | 98.1 | 86.2 | 87.9 | 89.9 | 85.9 | 87.9 | 97.8 |
| 1983 | 78.0 | 89.1 | 87.4 | 78.7 | 87.6 | 89.3 | 88.4 | 90.1 | 100.9 |
| 1984 | 94.5 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 86.3 | 101.5 | 102.0 | 92.8 | 93.2 | 91.4 |
| 1985 | 96.5 | 95.5 | 95.2 | 92.3 | 101.0 | 101.3 | 96.6 | 96.9 | 95.7 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 98.0 | 105.5 | 106.7 | 106.5 | 92.9 | 91.9 | 101.0 | 99.9 | 108.7 |
| 1988 | 109.4 | 116.7 | 116.8 | 122.5 | 93.8 | 93.7 | 105.0 | 104.9 | 111.9 |

Annual \% Change


Table 27 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, transportation equipment industries, 1961-1988 (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compen. sation per person | Compen. sation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 15.1 | 46.6 | 45.2 | 6.7 | 32.4 | 33.4 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 44.4 |
| 1962 | 18.0 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 7.5 | 36.3 | 36.4 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 41.8 |
| 1963 | 21.2 | 52.7 | 53.4 | 8.5 | 40.1 | 39.6 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 40.2 |
| 1964 | 23.8 | 58.4 | 58.4 | 9.8 | 40.7 | 40.6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 41.1 |
| 1965 | 29.9 | 64.4 | 64.9 | 11.6 | 46.4 | 46.0 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 38.8 |
| 1966 | 31.7 | 70.2 | 69.8 | 13.1 | 45.1 | 45.4 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 41.4 |
| 1967 | 37.3 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 13.9 | 51.7 | 53.3 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 37.3 |
| 1968 | 43.1 | 72.9 | 72.5 | 15.9 | 59.1 | 59.4 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 36.8 |
| 1969 | 51.2 | 77.6 | 76.6 | 17.8 | 66.0 | 66.9 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 34.7 |
| 1970 | 42.6 | 72.1 | 69.7 | 17.5 | 59.1 | 61.1 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 41.0 |
| 1971 | 52.6 | 74.1 | 71.9 | 19.4 | 71.0 | 73.2 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 36.9 |
| 1972 | 59.9 | 78.3 | 77.4 | 22.1 | 76.5 | 77.5 | 28.2 | 28.6 | 36.9 |
| 1973 | 70.5 | 86.2 | 85.2 | 26.1 | 81.8 | 82.8 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 37.0 |
| 1974 | 70.7 | 85.0 | 82.6 | 28.8 | 83.2 | 85.7 | 33.9 | 34.9 | 40.8 |
| 1975 | 72.4 | 79.1 | 77.1 | 30.1 | 91.6 | 94.0 | 38.1 | 39.1 | 41.6 |
| 1976 | 78.4 | 82.0 | 79.0 | 35.7 | 95.6 | 99.1 | 43.5 | 45.1 | 45.5 |
| 1977 | 81.5 | 83.0 | 81.5 | 40.4 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 48.7 | 49.6 | 48.5 |
| 1978 | 84.2 | 88.6 | 84.8 | 46.7 | 95.0 | 99.3 | 52.7 | 55.0 | 55.4 |
| 1979 | 84.3 | 93.7 | 87.6 | 52.3 | 90.0 | 96.3 | 55.9 | 59.8 | 62.1 |
| 1980 | 65.3 | 87.9 | 81.6 | 53.4 | 74.2 | 80.0 | 60.8 | 65.4 | 81.8 |
| 1981 | 72.0 | 87.9 | 82.3 | 62.3 | 81.9 | 87.5 | 70.9 | 75.7 | 86.5 |
| 1982 | 66.0 | 80.2 | 73.9 | 61.0 | 82.3 | 89.3 | 76.1 | 82.6 | 92.5 |
| 1983 | 75.7 | 80.9 | 77.2 | 67.5 | 93.6 | 98.1 | 83.5 | 87.5 | 89.2 |
| 1984 | 95.9 | 91.3 | 89.9 | 82.7 | 105.0 | 106.7 | 90.6 | 92.0 | 86.2 |
| 1985 | 102.6 | 98.4 | 97.4 | 94.6 | 104.2 | 105.3 | 96.1 | 97.2 | 92.2 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.6 | 101.9 | 103.2 | 105.5 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 103.6 | 102.2 | 106.0 |
| 1988 | 117.2 | 108.6 | 108.9 | 116.6 | 108.0 | 107.6 | 107.4 | 107.1 | 99.5 |

## Annual \% Change



Table 28 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, electrical and electronic products industries, 1961-1988 (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compen. sation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 19.1 | 72.1 | 75.9 | 11.4 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 15.9 | 15.1 | 60.0 |
| 1962 | 23.4 | 77.5 | 81.6 | 12.7 | 30.2 | 28.7 | 16.3 | 15.5 | 54.1 |
| 1963 | 24.6 | 81.5 | 85.7 | 13.7 | 30.2 | 28.8 | 16.9 | 16.0 | 55.8 |
| 1964 | 28.1 | 84.3 | 89.5 | 14.9 | 33.3 | 31.4 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 53.0 |
| 1965 | 31.3 | 90.8 | 95.8 | 16.6 | 34.5 | 32.7 | 18.3 | 17.3 | 53.0 |
| 1966 | 34.9 | 99.4 | 106.1 | 19.4 | 35.1 | 32.9 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 55.4 |
| 1967 | 34.3 | 104.4 | 108.7 | 21.0 | 32.9 | 31.6 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 61.2 |
| 1968 | 37.4 | 102.4 | 106.1 | 22.1 | 36.6 | 35.3 | 21.6 | 20.8 | 59.1 |
| 1969 | 40.6 | 105.3 | 108.9 | 24.3 | 38.5 | 37.3 | 23.1 | 22.3 | 60.0 |
| 1970 | 38.5 | 101.0 | 103.8 | 25.6 | 38.1 | 37.1 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 66.4 |
| 1971 | 36.9 | 98.9 | 101.0 | 25.9 | 37.3 | 36.6 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 70.0 |
| 1972 | 41.5 | 98.8 | 101.3 | 27.5 | 42.0 | 40.9 | 27.9 | 27.2 | 66.4 |
| 1973 | 47.5 | 104.6 | 107.5 | 31.0 | 45.4 | 44.2 | 29.6 | 28.8 | 65.2 |
| 1974 | 49.4 | 109.1 | 111.5 | 36.7 | 45.3 | 44.3 | 33.6 | 32.9 | 74.3 |
| 1975 | 44.6 | 102.4 | 104.1 | 39.3 | 43.5 | 42.8 | 38.4 | 37.7 | 88.1 |
| 1976 | 47.4 | 99.4 | 100.2 | 43.1 | 47.7 | 47.3 | 43.3 | 43.0 | 90.8 |
| 1977 | 47.5 | 90.8 | 91.3 | 43.3 | 52.3 | 52.0 | 47.6 | 47.4 | 91.1 |
| 1978 | 47.7 | 92.9 | 94.1 | 47.6 | 51.3 | 50.6 | 51.3 | 50.6 | 99.9 |
| 1979 | 57.4 | 98.6 | 99.3 | 56.5 | 58.3 | 57.9 | 57.3 | 56.9 | 98.4 |
| 1980 | 64.2 | 101.9 | 101.9 | 63.9 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 62.7 | 62.7 | 99.6 |
| 1981 | 72.2 | 107.7 | 107.6 | 75.7 | 67.1 | 67.1 | 70.3 | 70.4 | 104.8 |
| 1982 | 66.6 | 99.3 | 99.0 | 77.9 | 67.1 | 67.3 | 78.5 | 78.7 | 116.9 |
| 1983 | 66.9 | 94.6 | 94.8 | 80.7 | 70.8 | 70.6 | 85.4 | 85.2 | 120.6 |
| 1984 | 86.3 | 100.5 | 99.7 | 90.0 | 85.8 | 86.5 | 89.5 | 90.3 | 104.3 |
| 1985 | 95.7 | 101.4 | 102.7 | 96.5 | 94.4 | 93.2 | 95.2 | 94.0 | 100.8 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 110.7 | 106.4 | 107.4 | 111.0 | 104.1 | 103.1 | 104.3 | 103.4 | 100.2 |
| 1988 | 120.5 | 111.3 | 111.2 | 120.2 | 108.3 | 108.4 | 108.0 | 108.1 | 99.7 |

## Annual \% Change



Table 29 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, non-metallic mineral products Industrles, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compensation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 46.7 | 81.0 | 87.2 | 11.7 | 57.7 | 53.6 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 25.0 |
| 1962 | 55.3 | 85.3 | 92.5 | 12.9 | 64.8 | 59.8 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 23.3 |
| 1963 | 56.8 | 86.2 | 92.6 | 13.4 | 65.9 | 61.3 | 15.6 | 14.5 | 23.6 |
| 1964 | 63.3 | 90.8 | 98.9 | 14.8 | 69.7 | 64.0 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 23.4 |
| 1965 | 69.4 | 95.2 | 105.0 | 16.5 | 72.9 | 66.1 | 17.4 | 15.7 | 23.8 |
| 1966 | 74.0 | 98.8 | 107.0 | 18.4 | 74.9 | 69.1 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 24.8 |
| 1967 | 68.3 | 96.3 | 104.0 | 18.9 | 70.9 | 65.7 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 27.7 |
| 1968 | 74.5 | 97.0 | 103.9 | 20.5 | 76.9 | 71.7 | 21.1 | 19.7 | 27.4 |
| 1969 | 76.5 | 97.2 | 104.3 | 22.4 | 78.7 | 73.3 | 23.1 | 21.5 | 29.3 |
| 1970 | 72.6 | 94.0 | 99.0 | 22.9 | 77.2 | 73.3 | 24.4 | 23.2 | 31.6 |
| 1971 | 86.3 | 97.4 | 102.7 | 25.7 | 88.5 | 84.0 | 26.4 | 25.0 | 29.8 |
| 1972 | 98.3 | 101.0 | 106.1 | 29.1 | 97.4 | 92.7 | 28.8 | 27.4 | 29.6 |
| 1973 | 107.1 | 106.6 | 110.8 | 32.9 | 100.5 | 96.7 | 30.9 | 29.7 | 30.7 |
| 1974 | 109.4 | 110.2 | 113.5 | 38.8 | 99.3 | 96.4 | 35.2 | 34.1 | 35.4 |
| 1975 | 101.9 | 107.5 | 110.7 | 43.5 | 94.8 | 92.1 | 40.5 | 39.3 | 42.7 |
| 1976 | 104.8 | 106.4 | 108.4 | 49.1 | 98.4 | 96.6 | 46.1 | 45.3 | 46.8 |
| 1977 | 100.8 | 102.0 | 104.0 | 52.5 | 98.8 | 96.9 | 51.4 | 50.4 | 52.1 |
| 1978 | 108.1 | 104.6 | 106.4 | 57.9 | 103.4 | 101.6 | 55.3 | 54.4 | 53.5 |
| 1979 | 111.8 | 106.6 | 108.0 | 64.8 | 104.9 | 103.5 | 60.8 | 60.0 | 58.0 |
| 1980 | 98.2 | 105.0 | 104.0 | 69.2 | 93.5 | 94.4 | 65.9 | 66.6 | 70.5 |
| 1981 | 94.5 | 104.5 | 102.9 | 77.9 | 90.4 | 91.8 | 74.6 | 75.7 | 82.5 |
| 1982 | 72.4 | 90.7 | 88.2 | 73.8 | 79.8 | 82.1 | 81.4 | 83.7 | 102.0 |
| 1983 | 80.2 | 88.9 | 88.0 | 77.1 | 90.2 | 91.1 | 86.7 | 87.6 | 96.1 |
| 1984 | 87.8 | 91.4 | 91.2 | 82.6 | 96.0 | 96.3 | 90.4 | 90.6 | 94.1 |
| 1985 | 95.8 | 94.6 | 94.2 | 90.9 | 101.2 | 101.7 | 96.1 | 96.6 | 94.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 109.6 | 106.2 | 107.8 | 109.7 | 103.2 | 101.7 | 103.3 | 101.7 | 100.1 |
| 1988 | 112.4 | 108.1 | 110.5 | 116.2 | 104.0 | 101.7 | 107.5 | 105.1 | 103.4 |



Table 30 - Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, refined petroleum and coal products industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compensation per person | Compen sation per person-hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per person. |  |  |  |


| 1961 | 40.2 | 88.0 | 88.7 | 10.6 | 45.7 | 45.4 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 26.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 50.5 | 87.8 | 89.3 | 11.0 | 57.5 | 56.5 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 21.8 |
| 1963 | 52.8 | 84.7 | 86.7 | 11.0 | 62.3 | 60.9 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 20.8 |
| 1964 | 57.6 | 84.2 | 86.4 | 11.5 | 68.4 | 66.6 | 13.6 | 13.3 | 19.9 |
| 1965 | 60.8 | 78.8 | 80.2 | 11.4 | 77.2 | 75.8 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 18.7 |
| 1966 | 66.6 | 81.7 | 85.6 | 13.0 | 81.5 | 77.8 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 19.5 |
| 1967 | 60.3 | 100.9 | 105.6 | 17.3 | 59.7 | 57.1 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 28.8 |
| 1968 | 68.0 | 98.4 | 103.3 | 18.2 | 69.1 | 65.8 | 18.5 | 17.6 | 26.8 |
| 1969 | 64.5 | 101.7 | 103.2 | 20.7 | 63.4 | 62.5 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 32.1 |
| 1970 | 66.4 | 102.3 | 102.8 | 22.0 | 65.0 | 64.6 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 33.2 |
| 1971 | 72.7 | 101.3 | 102.5 | 23.6 | 71.8 | 70.9 | 23.3 | 23.0 | 32.5 |
| 1972 | 70.3 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 25.2 | 70.7 | 70.5 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 35.8 |
| 1973 | 103.2 | 104.3 | 103.1 | 28.4 | 98.9 | 100.1 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| 1974 | 105.0 | 115.0 | 113.2 | 35.4 | 91.3 | 92.8 | 30.8 | 31.3 | 33.7 |
| 1975 | 113.4 | 113.0 | 108.4 | 41.6 | 100.4 | 104.7 | 36.8 | 38.4 | 36.7 |
| 1976 | 106.0 | 112.4 | 107.0 | 46.5 | 94.3 | 99.1 | 41.3 | 43.5 | 43.9 |
| 1977 | 132.2 | 119.9 | 113.7 | 54.6 | 110.3 | 116.3 | 45.5 | 48.0 | 41.3 |
| 1978 | 118.9 | 137.2 | 131.1 | 64.6 | 86.6 | 90.6 | 47.0 | 49.2 | 54.3 |
| 1979 | 97.9 | 126.5 | 122.2 | 65.6 | 77.3 | 80.1 | 51.8 | 53.7 | 67.0 |
| 1980 | 96.1 | 131.8 | 125.9 | 75.4 | 72.9 | 76.3 | 57.2 | 59.9 | 78.5 |
| 1981 | 111.3 | 153.1 | 146.9 | 100.7 | 72.7 | 75.8 | 65.8 | 68.5 | 90.5 |
| 1982 | 103.2 | 146.4 | 137.5 | 116.1 | 70.5 | 75.0 | 79.3 | 84.5 | 112.6 |
| 1983 | 102.7 | 125.7 | 126.5 | 111.6 | 81.6 | 81.2 | 88.8 | 88.3 | 108.8 |
| 1984 | 103.5 | 114.5 | 116.1 | 107.7 | 90.4 | 89.2 | 94.1 | 92.8 | 104.0 |
| 1985 | 100.8 | 111.9 | 114.9 | 107.5 | 90.1 | 87.8 | 96.0 | 93.6 | 106.6 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.3 | 98.4 | 100.5 | 104.8 | 107.1 | 104.8 | 106.6 | 104.3 | 99.5 |
| 1988 | 106.8 | 101.8 | 100.4 | 107.3 | 104.9 | 106.4 | 105.4 | 106.9 | 100.5 |

Annual \% Change


Table 31- Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, chemical and chemical products industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compen. sation per person | Compensation per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 28.1 | 73.1 | 74.7 | 10.5 | 38.5 | 37.7 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 37.5 |
| 1962 | 30.8 | 74.2 | 75.6 | 11.1 | 41.5 | 40.8 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 36.1 |
| 1963 | 33.3 | 76.1 | 77.9 | 11.9 | 43.8 | 42.8 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 35.7 |
| 1964 | 37.1 | 78.2 | 80.3 | 12.7 | 47.5 | 46.3 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 34.1 |
| 1965 | 40.7 | 81.3 | 85.7 | 13.7 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 16.9 | 16.0 | 33.8 |
| 1966 | 44.7 | 85.1 | 86.9 | 15.5 | 52.5 | 51.4 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 34.6 |
| 1967 | 45.8 | 86.7 | 87.8 | 16.5 | 52.8 | 52.1 | 19.1 | 18.8 | 36.1 |
| 1968 | 48.3 | 88.7 | 90.6 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 53.3 | 20.5 | 20.1 | 37.7 |
| 1969 | 52.5 | 90.5 | 93.4 | 20.1 | 58.0 | 56.2 | 22.2 | 21.5 | 38.3 |
| 1970 | 51.7 | 91.4 | 93.6 | 21.6 | 56.6 | 55.3 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 41.8 |
| 1971 | 54.8 | 89.9 | 91.2 | 22.8 | 60.9 | 60.0 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 41.6 |
| 1972 | 56.6 | 87.0 | 88.0 | 23.8 | 65.1 | 64.3 | 27.3 | 27.0 | 42.0 |
| 1973 | 64.3 | 90.2 | 91.2 | 26.3 | 71.3 | 70.5 | 29.2 | 28.9 | 41.0 |
| 1974 | 65.3 | 93.1 | 93.5 | 30.7 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 33.0 | 32.9 | 47.1 |
| 1975 | 58.5 | 93.6 | 94.3 | 34.9 | 62.5 | 62.0 | 37.3 | 37.0 | 58.6 |
| 1976 | 64.7 | 92.8 | 89.0 | 38.7 | 69.7 | 72.7 | 41.6 | 43.5 | 59.8 |
| 1977 | 70.5 | 95.3 | 96.0 | 44.1 | 74.0 | 73.5 | 46.3 | 46.0 | 62.5 |
| 1978 | 78.7 | 96.7 | 97.6 | 48.4 | 81.3 | 80.6 | 50.1 | 49.6 | 61.6 |
| 1979 | 84.4 | 99.9 | 99.2 | 54.7 | 84.4 | 85.0 | 54.8 | 55.2 | 64.9 |
| 1980 | 79.4 | 99.5 | 98.5 | 61.4 | 79.8 | 80.6 | 61.7 | 62.4 | 77.4 |
| 1981 | 85.9 | 102.6 | 101.1 | 72.5 | 83.8 | 85.0 | 70.6 | 71.7 | 84.3 |
| 1982 | 76.4 | 101.3 | 98.7 | 78.5 | 75.4 | 77.4 | 77.5 | 79.5 | 102.8 |
| 1983 | 89.9 | 100.1 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 89.8 | 89.9 | 82.8 | 82.9 | 92.2 |
| 1984 | 98.4 | 100.2 | 100.4 | 89.1 | 98.2 | 98.0 | 88.9 | 88.7 | 90.5 |
| 1985 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 99.5 | 93.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 94.1 | 94.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 107.1 | 101.7 | 101.1 | 106.4 | 105.2 | 105.9 | 104.6 | 105.3 | 99.4 |
| 1988 | 108.4 | 107.3 | 108.1 | 115.1 | 101.0 | 100.3 | 107.2 | 106.5 | 106.2 |

Annual \% Change


Table 32. Indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost, other manufacturing industries, 1961-1988, (1986=100)

| Year | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Labour productivity |  | Compen sation per person | Compensation per person-hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { labour } \\ & \text { cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Real GDP per person | Real GDP per personhour |  |  |  |


| 1961 | 45.2 | 68.9 | 72.4 | 11.5 | 65.6 | 62.5 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 25.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 48.5 | 70.4 | 75.2 | 12.2 | 68.8 | 64.4 | 17.3 | 16.2 | 25.1 |
| 1963 | 49.0 | 71.9 | 76.9 | 13.1 | 68.2 | 63.8 | 18.2 | 17.0 | 26.6 |
| 1964 | 55.1 | 74.5 | 80.6 | 14.2 | 74.0 | 68.4 | 19.1 | 17.7 | 25.8 |
| 1965 | 57.3 | 76.9 | 82.9 | 15.4 | 74.4 | 69.1 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 26.8 |
| 1966 | 63.6 | 81.2 | 87.0 | 17.2 | 78.3 | 73.1 | 21.2 | 19.8 | 27.0 |
| 1967 | 62.8 | 81.0 | 86.1 | 18.2 | 77.5 | 73.0 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 29.0 |
| 1968 | 68.8 | 81.4 | 85.5 | 19.5 | 84.5 | 80.5 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 28.4 |
| 1969 | 74.9 | 85.0 | 89.8 | 21.9 | 88.1 | 83.3 | 25.7 | 24.3 | 29.2 |
| 1970 | 73.9 | 83.7 | 88.4 | 22.9 | 88.2 | 83.5 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 31.0 |
| 1971 | 76.0 | 82.9 | 87.2 | 24.4 | 91.7 | 87.1 | 29.5 | 28.0 | 32.1 |
| 1972 | 84.6 | 86.8 | 90.7 | 26.6 | 97.5 | 93.3 | 30.7 | 29.4 | 31.5 |
| 1973 | 88.7 | 90.2 | 93.4 | 29.3 | 98.3 | 94.9 | 32.5 | 31.4 | 33.1 |
| 1974 | 92.5 | 94.0 | 97.8 | 34.5 | 98.4 | 94.6 | 36.7 | 35.3 | 37.3 |
| 1975 | 88.3 | 94.2 | 97.3 | 38.2 | 93.7 | 90.7 | 40.6 | 39.3 | 43.3 |
| 1976 | 98.7 | 95.9 | 97.7 | 42.9 | 102.9 | 101.1 | 44.8 | 44.0 | 43.5 |
| 1977 | 96.2 | 89.9 | 91.2 | 45.3 | 107.0 | 105.4 | 50.4 | 49.6 | 47.1 |
| 1978 | 99.3 | 92.0 | 93.2 | 50.3 | 108.0 | 106.6 | 54.6 | 54.0 | 50.6 |
| 1979 | 105.1 | 94.3 | 95.8 | 56.8 | 111.5 | 109.7 | 60.3 | 59.3 | 54.1 |
| 1980 | 93.0 | 94.3 | 95.2 | 63.6 | 98.6 | 97.8 | 67.4 | 66.8 | 68.3 |
| 1981 | 100.9 | 97.8 | 98.6 | 74.8 | 103.2 | 102.3 | 76.6 | 75.9 | 74.2 |
| 1982 | 93.9 | 91.2 | 90.8 | 76.1 | 102.9 | 103.4 | 83.4 | 83.8 | 81.1 |
| 1983 | 91.0 | 90.4 | 90.7 | 81.6 | 100.7 | 100.3 | 90.3 | 90.0 | 89.7 |
| 1984 | 103.7 | 93.2 | 94.4 | 87.5 | 111.3 | 109.9 | 93.9 | 92.6 | 84.3 |
| 1985 | 109.4 | 95.9 | 98.1 | 93.1 | 114.1 | 111.5 | 97.2 | 94.9 | 85.2 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 104.6 | 99.4 | 98.0 | 101.3 | 105.2 | 106.6 | 101.9 | 103.3 | 96.9 |
| 1988 | 110.1 | 106.9 | 105.3 | 115.0 | 103.1 | 104.6 | 107.6 | 109.1 | 104.4 |

Annual \% Change


## APPENDIX 1

## About the measures

## 1- Labour Productivity

Ideally, a productivity index would take into account all resources that are used as inputs to the production process. A comprehensive measure, such as this, is called a total factor, or, altematively, a multifactor productivity index. This is the focus of Part 2 of this publication. The only resource, that is measured in producing a labour productivity index is labour input. Atthough labour input is an important determinant in the level of output it is not the only one. Therefore, labour productivity is considered to be a partial productivity measure.

Although the partial productivity indexes described above are appropriate for many analytical uses, they do not describe the sources of economic growth. This is the case because measured changes in output per unit of labour input are not necessarily attributable to the contribution of labour alone, but also to the contribution of other productive resources and the effectiveness with which all are combined and organized for production. In other words, changes in technology, capital investment, returns to scale, capacity utilization, work flow, managerial skills and labour management relations each has a bearing on movements in what is termed the "labour productivity" series. In contrast, the multifactor productivity index would be quite suitable for analysis concerned with the various sources of economic growth.

Due to the fact that there are two altemative measures of labour input, there are, correspondingly, two measures of labour productivity. When labour input is measured in terms of persons at work. the labour productivity measure is real GDP per person at work, when it is measured in terms of hours worked the labour productivity measure is real GDP per person-hour. Both of these partial productivity indicators are based on a ratio of output to labour input, and are produced and presented in index number form. The interpretation of real GDP per person at work is straightforward. Real GDP per person-hour, however, may be a more appropriate measure for most applications since it incorporates changes in the average number of hours worked per week, which has a tendency to decline.

## 2- Output

The concept of output used in labour productivity measurement is constant price Gross Domestic Product at factor cost by industry (excluding Govemment royalties on natural resources and rents of Owner occupied dwellings). The output measures are calculated with a 1961 price base for the period 1961 to 1971, a 1971 price base for the years 1971 to 1981 and a 1981 price base for the years 1981 to 1986. The price base that applies to subsequent years is 1986. These series were then rescaled to correspond to a 1986 reference year (i.e. $1986=100$ ) for convenience, as 1986 is the base year currently in effect. The rates of growth in the original series were protected in the process. A more complete description of the output measures is found in The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy 1961-1981 (Catalogue

15-510) and in The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy in Constant Prices, 1961-1981 (Catalogue 15-511).

The productivity measures pertain to business sector industries only ${ }^{3}$. The output of non-business sector industries, because it is not normally marketed, presents some difficulties in measurement. The conventional measure of output for non-business sector industries is labour input or labour input plus depreciation. Such an approach does not yield a meaningful measurement of productivity.

## 3-Labour Input

In principle, labour input should cover all labour services expended to bring about a given output. This report presents two measures of labour services: persons at work, and person-hours worked. Neither of these measures, however, takes into account the changing quality of labour input.

Persons at work denote all paid and other-than-paid persons engaged in the production of output. The other-than-paid workers include self-employed workers and unpaid family workers.

Person-hours worked are the sum of person-hours spent at the place of employment by persons at work, and therefore differ from a measure of "person-hours paid" by excluding time used on vacation, holiday, illness, accident, etc.

## 4 - Labour Compensation

Labour compensation is a measure of the value of labour services engaged in the production process. It includes all payments in cash or in kind by domestic producers to persons at work as remuneration for work, including wages, salaries and supplementary labour income of paid workers, plus an imputed labour income for self-employed workers. Statistics of labour compensation in this report represent the most comprehensive labour cost data available for all industries at the present time since they include both cash payments and supplements, and cover all persons at work for gain.

The value of labour services of self-employed persons is an imputed value. The basis of the imputation is the assumption that the value of labour of an hour of a self-employed person's working time is the same as the value of an hour of an average paid worker in the same industry division. This recognizes that labour services are essentially contracted for on a time basis, and a measure of labour compensation should not reflect returns on investment or to risk taking. An adjustment is made in the case of selfemployed persons such as doctors, dentists, lawyers, accountants and engineers. These occupations are largely self-employed, but the average earnings of paid workers in the same industry division underrepresent the earnings of these occupations. In this case direct evidence on average labour income is introduced.

Unpaid family workers, while not directly recompensed for their services, are not a free resource, and their contribution is subsumed in the net income of the firm where they are employed. However no labour

[^1]income is imputed to unpaid tamily workers. There is no valid basis for measuring the value of their services, and it is judged that less error is generated by their exclusion from measures of labour compensation than by imputing labour income to them at the same rate as paid workers. The number of unpaid family workers is insignificant in most industries.

## 5 - Unit Labour Cost

Unit labour cost is the ratio of labour compensation to real GDP. It is a measure of the cost of labour per unit of real output. Unit labour cost can also be viewed as the ratio of average compensation to labour productivity; thus, unit labour cost will increase when average compensation grows more rapidly than labour productivity.

## 6 - Absolute Values

All time series in this report are presented in index number form. This form emphasizes relative change as the objective in constructing the productivity and related measures. The indexes are constructed from absolute values of persons at work, person-hours, real gross domestic product and labour compensation, and there is some interest in the absolute values underlying these indexes.

There are some caveats to be observed in the use of absolute values, and these account in part for the choice of an index number presentation. The measurement of employment, output, etc., is subject to some, usually indeferminate, margin of error. While such statistical error will have some effect on measures of relative change, it can be expected that, both for individual sectors and their aggregations, the effect of such error will be more serious when intersectoral comparisons of absolute levels are attempted. It is also worth noting that the relative values of output, because they are adjusted for price change, can change depending on the choice of the base year. Prices do not always change by the same amount, or even necessarily in the same direction, and the choice of a different base year would yield different relative prices for output.

Text table 1 gives the absolute values underlying the indexes for the year 1986. To calculate the absolute values corresponding to the published indexes the following procedure can be followed:

Index $\times 1986$ value from Text table 1. 100

## Text table 1

Absolute values of labour productivity and unit labour cost, 1986

| Industry Title | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Person. hours | Labour compen. sation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$000,000 | '000 | 000,000 | \$000,000 |
| Business sector industries | 335,673 | 8,553 | 15,298 | 225,727 |
| Business sector - excluding agricultural and related senvices industries | 324,616 | 8,059 | 14,216 | 220,196 |
| Business sector - services | 173,374 | 5,244 | 8,993 | 126,868 |
| Business sector - goods | 162,299 | 3,309 | 6,305 | 98,859 |
| Agricultural and related services industries | 11.057 | 493 | 1.082 | 5.531 |
| Manufacturing industries | 86,789 | 1,804 | 3,341 | 56,919 |
| Construction industries | 28,082 | 673 | 1,242 | 23,449 |
| Transportation and storage industries | 20,254 | 459 | 856 | 14.857 |
| Communication industries | 13,248 | 200 | 372 | 7,628 |
| Wholesale and retail trade industries | 51,581 | 1,991 | 3.409 | 41,443 |
| Community, business and personal services industries | ( 52.119 | 1.990 | 3,286 | 41,921 |

## APPENDIX 2

## Sources of data

1-Output

The output data used to calculate the indexes of labour productivity and unit labour cost are the estimates of constant price Gross Domestic Product at factor cost by industry. The following sources are utilized: Indexes of Real Domestic Product by Industry, 1961 Base, (Catalogue 61-506), for the years 1946-1961. For these years, only index values of output are available. For the years 1961 to 1981, The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy in Constant Prices 1961-1981 (Catalogue 15-511) is used. For the years 1982 to 1988, The input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy in constant prices (Catalogue 15-202) is used. For the years 1989, 1990 and 1991 Gross Domestic Product by Industry (Catalogue 15001 ) is used. The data on real GDP in the finance, insurance and real estate industries excludes real GDP of Govt. royalties on natural resources and rents of Owner occupied dwellings.

## 2. Labour Input

This appendix presents two measures of labour input: the annual average number of persons at work and the number of person-hours worked by these persons at work. The data sources for both of these measures are given below.

An explanation of the data sources for the labour input measures for the years 1946 to 1961 can be found in: Indexes of Output Per Person Employed and Per Man-hour in Canada, Commercial Non-agricultural Industries, 1947-1963 (Catalogue 14-501).

Persons at work. Persons at work are made up of two groups: paid workers and other-thar-paid workers. The other-than-paid workers include self-employed and unpaid family workers.

Paid workers. The number of paid workers in agriculture, fishing and trapping industries for all years is taken from the Labour Force Survey (Catalogue 71-001). Multiple job holders are added from 1975.

Estimates of Employees by Province and Industry, 1961-1976 (Catalogue 72-516), and monthly Catalogue 72-008 are the sources for the years up to 1982 for the following industries:

Logging and forestry industries; Construction industries;
Transportation and storage industries;
Communication industries;
Other utility industries;
Wholesale and retail trade industries;

Finance, insurance and real estate industries; Community, business and personal services.

For the period after 1982 up to 1987, the publication Employment Earnings and Hours (Catalogue 72-002) was the data source used for the above industries. In addition, other sources of information are used as follows:

In transportation and storage industries the following publications were used to derive the number of paid workers ${ }^{4}$ : Air Carrier Operations in Canada (Catalogue 51-002), Rail Transport (Catalogue 52-212; 52-215 and 52-216), Gas Utilities: transportation and distribution systems (Catalogue 57-205) and Oil Pipeline Transport (Catalogue 55-201), Passenger Bus and Urban Transit Statistics (Catalogue 53-215).

In communication industries paid workers data were obtained from: Radio and Television Broadcasting (Catalogue 56-204); Cable Television (Catalogue 56-205), Canada Post Corporation Annual.

For 1988 the data source for logging and forestry industries, other utility industries and finance, insurance and real estate industries remained Employment, Eamings and Hours (Catalogue 72-002) while year-toyear change from Labour Force Survey was applied to 1987 absolute values for construction industries, wholesale and retail trade industries and community, business and personal services (excluding educational service industries and hospitals). The data sources for transportation and storage industries, communication industries remained unchanged.

For the years 1989, 1990 and 1991 the year-to-year change from Labour Force Survey (LFS) and Survey of Employment Payroll and Hours (SEPH) was applied to the 1988 absolutes values.

Out of the above list of industries, the construction industries need a special mention. In Input-Output concept all paid workers in construction activity taking place in other sector or industry is rerouted to the construction industries of the Business Sector. Thus, the number of paid workers engaged in construction activity in these other industries is calculated as the ratio between own-account construction and the average wage of the industry in which the activity took place.

The mining, quarrying and oil well industries are broken down into four major groups according to the 1980 SIC:

1. Mining industries;
2. Crude petroleum and natural gas industries;
3. Quarry and sand pit industries;
4. Sevice industries incidental to mineral extraction.

The primary data source used for the first three groups for 1961-1989 is the General Review of the Mineral Industries, (Catalogue 26-201). The only exception to this is the oil sands industry, which falls into the second major group, crude petroleum and natural gas industries. This industry is not covered in the General Review of the Mineral Industries, and therefore the data used for this industry are taken from the Survey of Employment Payroll and Hours. The last major group, service industries incidental to mineral extraction, includes three industries according to the 1970 SIC: Contract Drilling for Petroleum, Other Contract Drilling and Miscellaneous Services Incidental to Mining. For the years up to 1976 the number

[^2]of paid workers in the first two industries is obtained from Contract Drilling for Petroleum and Other Contract Drilling (Catalogue 26-207). Beginning in 1977 the number of paid workers in other contract drilling is published in Catalogue 26-201 and the number of paid workers in contract drilling for petroleum is estimated from other information pertaining to the industry up to the year 1982. After that, Catalogue $72-002$ has been used. The remaining part of the mining, quarrying and oil wells industries is measured using decennial census and the Catalogue 72-002 from 1983-1989. For the years 1990 and 1991 the year-to-year change from SEPH was applied to 1989 absolute values.

The source of the number of paid workers in manufacturing for 1961-1989 is Manufacturing Industries of Canada: National and Provincial Areas (Catalogue 31-203) a publication from the annual survey of manufactures. These data are adjusted for improved coverage in the 1970's. For 1990 and 1991 the year-to-year change from Labour Force Survey was applied to 1989 absolutes values.

Other-than-paid workers. For manufacturing industries the number of other-than-paid workers is derived from the series on working owners and partners in Manufacturing Industries of Canada: National and Provincial Areas (Catalogue 31-203). The numbers reported for the 1970's were adjusted to effect consistency with output data. For all other industries Labour Force Survey (Catalogue 71-001) is used. The number of self-employed doctors and dentist (Homes for personal and nursing care and other health and social services, part of community business and personal services) are obtained from Taxation Statistics, Revenue Canada Taxation (Catalogue no. RV 44-1990) back to 1961.

Person-hours worked. With the exception of manufacturing industries the number of person-hours worked in each industry is obtaired as the product of the number of persons at work and the average number of hours worked in each year.

In manufacturing, the basic source is the Annual Survey of Manufactures, supplemented by other survey results as noted. Distinct calculations are made for production workers and for salaried employees, total person-hours worked being obtained as the sum of the two elements. The adjustments effected to the published levels of persons at work in the 1970's also operate on person-hours worked. For production workers, the number of person-hours worked is obtained from tabulations of returns to the Annual Survey of Manufactures. For salaried employees, the methodology for estimating hours worked is slightly different in the early part of the period, up to 1969. The discontinuance of the survey Earnings and Hours of Work in Manufacturing at that time necessitated a different technique in the later period. This survey yielded a value of average hourly earnings applicable to the earnings of salaried employees. With hourly earnings, payroll values are converted into estimated hours paid. The survey of Labour Costs in Canada covers the manufacturing industry in selected years, and this provides a basis for converting hours paid to hours worked. For the years after 1969, the occasional surveys of Labour Costs in Canada provide the basis for estimating hours worked by salaried employees. From 1983 onwards the Annual Survey of Manufactures provides tabulations from which it is possible to estimate average hours worked per week for salaried employees.

Due to the fact that the 1987 entries on person-hours worked in the Annual Survey of Manufactures were captured but were not edited, in-house estimates of person-hours were made in order to maintain the continuity of the labour productivity time series. These estimations cover the major group level ( M level). The estimates of person-hours by industry were derived either from the Survey of Labour Force (LFS) or the Survey of Employment, Payroll and Hours (SEPH) for each of the 21 manufacturing major groups. The resulting hours estimates for the total of manufacturing were reconciled with average hours worked from the LFS for total manufacturing since, historically, the level of hours of the Annual Survey of Manufactures is very close to the level of hours given by LFS at this level of aggregation. Hours worked by working owners and partners were estimated for 1987 at the M level on the assumption that its growth rate with
respect to 1986 equals that for paid workers. For 1988 person-hours worked for the paid workers were derived mostly from the Survey of Manufacturers (15 major groups) from SEPH (4 major groups) and (2 major groups) from L.F.S. For all years up to 1986 and the year 1988 average hours worked by working owners and partners in manufacturing are based on the hours worked of salaried employees.

For recent years, when the Annual Sunvey of Manufactures is not yet available, the average hours worked for the paid workers and working owners and partners in manufacturing is based on the growth rate of average hours worked from LFS, calculated as explained below.

Average hours worked for industries other than manufacturing are calculated from tabulations of the Labour Force Survey. Estimates are made independently for paid workers and other-than-paid workers; from 1975 the latter class is further divided into self-employed workers and unpaid family workers. Multiple job holders are included from 1975.

Monthly data from the Labour Force Survey refer only to the survey week. The survey week can be taken as representative of other weeks in the month except for holidays and strikes. The procedure is to first adjust the survey weeks for the effect of strikes and holidays falling in that week. This yields a nominal value of the hours worked in that week if there were no strikes or holidays. The survey generates the data required to make these corrections. Corresponding nominal values for non-survey weeks are estimated by interpolation. These nominal values for each week of the year are then adjusted by the known impact of strikes and/or holidays on that week. The necessary data on strikes are tabulated by Labour Canada. Only the paid worker series is adjusted for strikes. The holiday adjustment is based on statutory holidays and studies of employment practices in industries. Average annual hours worked per week are calculated as the average of the weekly values adjusted for strikes and holidays. The number of hours worked per year is simply the weekly average multiplied by the number of weeks in the year. The number of weeks in the year is not taken as constant, but reflects the vagaries of the calendar. A calendar year encompasses 52 complete weeks plus one, or in leap years, two extra days. If these extra day(s) fall on a normal day of rest the year is considered to have 52 weeks even. If not, the number of weeks is greater. There can be a slight variation in the year-to-year change in hours worked on this account.

## 3 - Labour Compensation

There are two components to labour compensation: labour income of paid workers and an imputed labour income of self-employed workers. The labour income of paid workers is taken from the following sources: The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy 1961-1981 (Catalogue 15-510), the same publication for 1982 and following years (Catalogue 15-201) except for the two most recent years where it is taken from the National Income and Expenditure Accounts (Catalogue 13-201) (Table 28). Adjustments are made to exclude non-business industries and reroute own-account construction industries.

Labour income of other-than-paid workers. In addition to the labour income of paid workers, labour compensation includes an imputed labour income for all other-than-paid workers except unpaid family workers. The imputation is based on the assumption that the hourly income for the labour of self-employed persons is the same as that of paid worker in the same year and the same industry.

For the years to 1975 the hours worked of self-employed workers were estimated as the ratio of sellemployed persons to other-than-paid workers times the hours worked by other-than-paid workers. From 1975, as noted above, the hours worked by self-employed persons are estimated directly.

An adjustment is made in the case of some protessional persons, such as doctors, dentists, lawyers, accountants and engineers. These occupations are largely self-employed, but the average earnings of paid workers in the same industry division underrepresent the earnings of these occupations. In these cases data on the number of self-employed professional persons and their average labour income back to 1961 are obtained from Taxation Statistics. Revenue Canada Taxation, (Catalogue No. RV 44-1990), for the year 1988 and similar publications for other years.

## APPENDIX 3

## Aggregation parameters for labour productivity

The statistics in this publication refer to business sector industries, as defined in the Canadian System of National Accounts (SNA). Corresponding statistics for the non-business sector industries are not published due to difficulties in the measurement of output. There is indeed, no clear basis for valuing production given that the goods and services they produce are generally not marketed. As measures of the inputs of labour or labour and capital services are taken as estimates of the output of these industries, their productivity ratios have little meaning.

The most detailed account of the business sector is in terms of individual industries classified as per the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC). Aggregation of SIC industries generates 154 link (L) level industries (excluding the fictive industries), 47 medium ( $M$ ) level industries and 13 small ( $S$ ) level industries.

There are a total of 32 statistical tables on labour productivity appearing in Pant 1 of this publication. Tables 1-4 are produced for special aggregates of business sector industries. Tables 5-11 correspond to selected $S$ level business sector industries (except for Table 10 for which two $S$ level industries have been combined). The remaining tables, $12-32$, are associated with the $M$ level industries that belong to manufacturing. The industry content for each of the tables in this publication is outlined below.

The following tables show the concordance between the classification of industries of the Canadian System of National Accounts and the Canadian Standard Industrial Classification.

Text table 2
Concordance between "S" level Industry codes, standard Industrial classification codes (SIC's) and link codes


## Text table 3

Concordance between " $M$ " ievel industry codes, standard Industrial classification codes (SIC's) and link codes


## Text table 3

Concordance between "M" level Industry codes, standard Industrial classification codes (SIC's) and link codes (concluded)


## APPENDIX 4

## Quality assurance and rating of the estimates for labour productivity

Like other components of the System of National Accounts (SNA), the labour productivity and unit labour cost measures presented in this publication are derived from a variety of sources and subjected to various adjustments. Assessing the quality of the data thus raises difficulties similar to those pointed out in other SNA publications. The labour productivity and related data presented in this publication are derived from:
(1) input-output tables, income and expenditure accounts, and the real domestic product accounts of the SNA, and,
(2) various surveys and censuses containing information on employment and hours worked.

Quality ratings presented in text tables 4 and 5 are provided for the latest benchmark year as noted on the table. Data sources are different for past periods than for more recent periods and data for the period following the benchmark year are deemed to be of lesser quality although no quality rating is provided.

In rating various data our main interest lies more in year-to-year changes than in the levels of various constructs. No attempt will be made to establish a cardinal rating of various constructs used in productivity. However, based on an informed opinion, an ordinal rating will be attempted. Also, as stated above, only benchmark data is rated. The rank of 1 means most reliable, the rank of 2 means reliable and the rank of 3 means acceptable. Any series which do not support a rank of 3 is not published. Ratings are provided for the following series:
(i) Real GDP at factor cost;
(ii) Persons at work:
(iii) Person-hours worked;
(iv) Labour compensation;
(v) Real GDP per person at work;
(vi) Real GDP per person-hour;
(vii) Unit labour cost.

Real GDP. The quality ratings of real GDP have been taken from Appendix $A$ of the publication: The InputOutput Structure of the Canadian Economy, 1988 (Catalogue 15-201).

Persons at work. For these data the rankings have been determined as follows: In general, a rank of 1 has been assigned to the most reliable estimates that are based completely on censuses ${ }^{5}$, surveys or

[^3]administrative records with minimum adjustments for coverage, valuation and classification. A rank of 2 has been assigned to less reliable census and survey data with adjustments for coverage. A rank of 3 has been assigned to all other sources, for example, household surveys (Labour Force Survey), and decennial censuses, unless experience indicates otherwise. The main reason that household surveys or decennial censuses have been assigned this ranking is because of lack of precision in the industrial classification of the responses in household surveys or population censuses as compared to establishment based censuses or surveys. However, the quality rating of series taken from sample surveys, like the Labour Force Survey, also depends on the size of the sample. Aggregate series may, therefore, have higher ratings than disaggregated series. Likewise, at a given level of aggregation, large industries may have a better quality rating than small industries.

By these criteria, the employment data from the Annual Survey of Manufactures at the $S$ level of aggregation in 1988 have the ranking of 2. The reason it has been assigned a ranking of 2 and not 1 as in the previous year is because in $1988,19.2 \%$ of the paid workers data are taken from tax returns and the small forms. Out of that percentage $13.3 \%$ are estimated from tax files where employment is not reported: data on wages and salaries are used to estimate the number of paid workers in this portion of the universe. For 1988, the following criteria has been used for ranking the employment data for various industries at $M$ level of aggregation in Manufacturing. A ranking of 1 has been assigned where less than $10.0 \%$ of the employment data are taken from the tax returns. A ranking of 2 has been assigned to data where more than $10.0 \%$ but less than $20.0 \%$ of the data is from the tax returns. A ranking of 3 has been assigned where more than $20.0 \%$ data are from the tax retums.

The employment data for the agriculture industry are taken from Labour Force Survey, which is a household survey. For this industry it is the only source of employment. Also, in the agriculture industry $61.9 \%$ of the workers are "other-than-paid" where the quality of data is expected to be slightly lower than the "paid workers". The employment data for agriculture industry, therefore, has been assigned a ranking of 3. For the remaining industries making up business sector of the economy, the employment data for paid workers originates from either establishment-based surveys (Estimates of employees up to 1982 and SEPH from 1983 onwards) or from a variety of other surveys. The employment data for the other-than-paid workers is obtained from the LFS. Therefore, for all remaining industries for which productivity and unit labour cost data are published at the $S$ level of aggregation, the quality rating of the employment data is determined as follows: A ranking of 1 has been assigned to the industry where up to $10.0 \%$ of the persons at work are other-than-paid. For industries where this ratio is between $10.0 \%$ and $20.0 \%$, the ranking is 2. For industries where this ratio is greater than $20.0 \%$ the ranking of 3 has been assigned to the employment data. However, at the aggregate business sector level, errors are compensating and it is felt that a quality rating of 1 could be attributed to the data.

Person-hours worked. The number of person-hours worked in each industry except Manufactuning is obtained as the product of the number of person at work and the average number of hours worked in each year. Average hours data from the Labour Force Survey are good quality data and where comparisons are possible e.g. in manufacturing, average hours from both sources show very similar year-to-year changes. As a separate construct, the average hours worked data have a quality rating of 2 . Since person-hours worked data are a product of the number of persons at work and the average number of hours worked, the quality rating of person-hours is the rounded average of the two variables. In Manufacturing the person-hours worked data come from the Annual Survey of Manufactures where distinct calculations are made for production workers and for salaried employees, total person-hours worked being obtained as the sum of two elements. However, even for production workers the person-hours worked are mostly estimated from person-hours paid. For salaried employees it is derived using average standard work week and vacation weeks paid. Since the hours worked data at the $S$ level of aggregation in manufacturing is simply a sum of the hours worked data at the $M$ level of aggregation (there being no
compensating errors) the quality rating of person-hours worked data at both $S$ and $M$ level of aggregation has bee put at 2. Aggregate business sector hours have been attributed a rating of 1 because of compensating errors.

Labour compensation. Labour compensation is the sum of labour income of paid workers and the imputed labour income of self-employed workers. Since the estimates of labour income in the benchmark year come from tax data and have been subjected to various Input-Output adjustments (for example, ownaccount construction), these have a rating of one. However, in some industries (for example Agriculture, Construction, Retail Trade) there is a large number of self-employed workers for whom there is no direct measure of labour income and an imputation is made on the assumption that the hourly compensation of self-employed workers equals that of paid workers. Therefore, at aggregation level S the following rating criteria has been used. For industries, where the ratio of self-employed workers to persons at work is less than $10.0 \%$ the rating of labour compensation data is 1 , where this ratio is more than $10.0 \%$ but less than $20.0 \%$ the rating is 2 . For a ratio greater than $20.0 \%$ a rating of 3 has been assigned. By these criteria compensation data for all manufacturing industries at M level of aggregation have been assigned a quality rating of 1 .

Labour productivity and other ratios. The quality ratings of ratios like real GDP per person at work, real GDP per person-hour and unit labour cost have been set at the weakest rounded average rating of the two variables. For example, if the rating for real GDP is 1 , and employment is 2 , then the rating for real GDP per person at work is 2 .

## Text table 4

Quality ratings of labour productivity and related data at aggregation level S and business sector, 1988

| Industry titie | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour Compen. sation | Real gross domestic product per person | Real gross domestic product per person-hour | Unit Labour Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural \& related services ind. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Manufacturing industries | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Construction industries | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Transportation and storage industries | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Communication industries | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Wholesale and retail trade industries | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Community, business and persanal services industries | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Business sector | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Text table 5

Qually ratings of labour productlvity and related data for manufacturing Industrles at aggregation level M, 1988

| Industry title | Real gross domestic product | Persons at work | Personhours | Labour compensation | Real gross domestic product per person | Real gross domestic product per person-hour | Unit labour cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Beverage | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tobacco | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Rubber | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Plastic | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Leather \& allied | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Primary textile \& text. prod. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Clothing | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Wood | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Fumiture \& fixture | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Paper \& allied | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Printing, publishing \& allied | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Primary metal | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Fabricated metal | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Machinery | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Transp. equip. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Electrical \& electronic | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Non-metallic mineral | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Refined peroleum \& coal | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Chemical \& chemical prod. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Other manufacturing | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |

## APPENDIX 5

## Algebraic Presentation of Indexes

## 1- Productivity index

The basic formula of labour productivity used throughout this repart may be expressed as follows:

$$
\text { Index of productivity }=\frac{\text { Real GDP Index }}{\text { Labour Input Index }} \times 100
$$

or, in algebraic form:

$$
P_{t}=\left[\frac{Q_{t} / Q_{0}}{L_{t} / L_{0}}\right] \times 100
$$

Where $P$ is the index of labour productivity, and $Q$ and $L$ are constant price output (Real Domestic Product) and the volume of labour input respectively, at the appropriate level of aggregation, and the subscripts o and $t$ refer to the base year and any other year.

## 2. Unit labour cost index

Similarly, the index of unit labour cost may be expressed as follows:

$$
\text { Unit labour cost index }=\frac{\text { Labour compensation Index }}{\text { Real GDP Index }} \times 100
$$

or, in algebraic form:

$$
U_{t}=\left[\frac{C_{t} / C_{0}}{Q_{t} / Q_{0}}\right] \times 100
$$

By dividing both the numerator and the denominator of the unit labour cost expression by the labour input index, the unit labour cost index can also be expressed as a ratio of the average labour compensation index to the labour productivity index. That is:

$$
U_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\text { Average labour compensation Index }}{\text { Productivity Index }} \times 100
$$

Where $\boldsymbol{U}$ is the unit labour cost index, $\boldsymbol{C}$ is labour compensation; $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $L$ and the subscripts were defined above.

## 3 - Labour productivity, unit labour cost and average labour compensation

The definitions of $P, Q, L, U$ and $C$ were given above, but expressed here as absolutes. If $W$ is denoted as average labour compensation, then by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=Q / L \\
& W=C / L \\
& U=C / Q \text { or } \\
& U=W P
\end{aligned}
$$

The growth in these variables can be presented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\mathrm{f}}=P_{0}(1+p)^{n} \\
& W_{\mathrm{t}}=W_{0}(1+w)^{n} \\
& U_{\mathrm{f}}=U_{0}(1+u)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where the lower case letters refer to the rates of growth and the subscripts $\mathbf{o}$ and $\boldsymbol{t}$ and superscript $\boldsymbol{n}$ refer to time. $\boldsymbol{P}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{0}$ and $U_{0}$ represent the values in the initial year $\boldsymbol{o}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{U}_{1}$ represent the values of $\boldsymbol{P}, W$ and $U$ in the year $t$ with $n$ being the time interval in years between the year $t$ and the year $\boldsymbol{o}$. In the year $t$ :

$$
U_{t}=W_{t} \mid P_{t}
$$

Substituting the preceding three relationships into the above equation yields

$$
U_{0}(1+u)^{n}=\frac{W_{0}(1+w)^{n}}{P_{0}(1+p)^{n}}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}(1+u)^{n}=U_{0}\left[\frac{1+w}{1+p}\right]^{n} \\
& 1+u=\frac{1+w}{1+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, solving for $u$

$$
u=\frac{w-p}{1+p}
$$

Thus the growth rate in unit labour cost is inversely related to the labour productivity growth rate. The last equation can be expressed as

$$
p=\frac{w-u}{1+u}
$$

If unit labour cost grows more quickly than average labour compensation, the labour productivity growth rate is negative.

## APPENDIX 6

## Labour Productivity, unit labour cost and related data in CANSIM

Labour Productivity
Indexes since 1946
Persons at work ..... 7922
Paid workers ..... 7923
Person-hours worked of persons at work ..... 7924
Person-hours worked of paid workers ..... 7925
Real GDP per person at work ..... 7926
Real GDP per person-hour worked of persons at work ..... 7927
Labour compensation of persons at work ..... 7934
Labour compensation per person at work ..... 7935
Labour compensation per person-hour worked of persons at work ..... 7936
Unit labour cost ..... 7937
Real GDP ..... 7938
Absolute values since 1961
Number of persons at work ..... 7916
Number of paid workers ..... 7917
Number of person-hours worked of persons at work ..... 7918
Number of person-hours worked of paid workers ..... 7919
Real GDP per person at work ..... 7920
Real GDP per person-hour worked of persons at work ..... 7921
Average hours worked per week of persons at work ..... 7928
Average hours worked per week of paid workers ..... 7929
Labour compensation of persons at work ..... 7930
Labour compensation per person at work ..... 7931
Labour compensation per person-hour worked of persons at work ..... 7932
Unit labour cost ..... 7933

## PART 2

## Multifactor Productivity

## Experimental Data

Table 1 - indexes of industry gross output multifactor productivity for selected Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Business sector | Agricultural \& related services industries | Manufacturing industries | Construction industries | Transportation \& storage industries | Telecommunication industries | Wholesale \& retail trade industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 75.0 | 72.9 | 81.3 | 90.2 | 67.9 | 40.6 | 71.8 |
| 1962 | 78.3 | 84.5 | 84.0 | 91.7 | 67.9 | 41.4 | 74.6 |
| 1963 | 80.7 | 91.7 | 85.3 | 91.6 | 72.1 | 42.1 | 75.9 |
| 1964 | 83.0 | 86.6 | 87.0 | 92.1 | 76.0 | 43.3 | 78.5 |
| 1965 | 84.5 | 88.9 | 88.2 | 91.8 | 76.9 | 45.7 | 80.3 |
| 1966 | 85.5 | 95.6 | 88.2 | 90.8 | 79.1 | 46.0 | 82.8 |
| 1967 | 83.6 | 84.1 | 87.3 | 91.7 | 79.0 | 47.8 | 84.4 |
| 1968 | 86.1 | 87.3 | 88.9 | 93.4 | 80.8 | 50.4 | 84.8 |
| 1969 | 87.9 | 91.1 | 90.3 | 92.2 | 84.5 | 53.0 | 85.7 |
| 1970 | 87.9 | 86.2 | 89.4 | 92.8 | 87.6 | 55.7 | 87.3 |
| 1971 | 90.9 | 95.1 | 90.8 | 93.6 | 88.1 | 56.7 | 88.8 |
| 1972 | 93.4 | 89.3 | 92.7 | 92.9 | 90.2 | 59.3 | 91.0 |
| 1973 | 96.6 | 92.9 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 91.6 | 62.0 | 91.7 |
| 1974 | 93.9 | 83.4 | 94.7 | 91.1 | 90.5 | 65.2 | 90.3 |
| 1975 | 91.9 | 88.9 | 92.5 | 94.9 | 89.6 | 69.8 | 90.8 |
| 1976 | 95.3 | 93.9 | 94.5 | 97.7 | 89.6 | 71.7 | 93.7 |
| 1977 | 95.5 | 91.3 | 96.2 | 98.5 | 90.2 | 72.8 | 92.3 |
| 1978 | 95.7 | 89.5 | 96.9 | 97.1 | 92.3 | 76.8 | 91.2 |
| 1979 | 95.9 | 85.4 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 96.6 | 81.4 | 91.8 |
| 1980 | 94.8 | 87.0 | 95.7 | 98.0 | 93.2 | 87.3 | 92.1 |
| 1981 | 94.9 | 92.1 | 96.6 | 101.3 | 92.4 | 89.6 | 91.9 |
| 1982 | 90.0 | 94.8 | 94.0 | 103.5 | 90.8 | 86.5 | 89.6 |
| 1983 | 93.6 | 93.8 | 96.7 | 103.6 | 95.2 | 88.3 | 95.0 |
| 1984 | 97.9 | 94.1 | 99.6 | 101.3 | 99.0 | 92.9 | 96.1 |
| 1985 | 99.0 | 92.3 | 100.6 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 96.2 | 98.4 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.4 | 98.1 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 103.1 | 103.9 | 102.8 |
| 1988 | 101.9 | 97.9 | 100.3 | 99.9 | 105.9 | 106.7 | 103.9 |
| 1989 | 100.7 | , | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1990 | 98.6 |  | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1991 | 96.9 | . | * | .. | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{.}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |

## Averege Annuel \% Chande

1961-1901
1901-1098


Table 2 - Indexes of Industry net-gross output multifactor productlvity for selected industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Business sector | Agricultural \& related services industries | Manufacturing industries | Construction industries | Transportation \& storage industries | Telecommunication industries | Wholesale \& retail trade industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 78.7 | 70.9 | 76.0 | 90.1 | 65.2 | 39.5 | 71.4 |
| 1962 | 81.7 | 82.6 | 79.3 | 91.7 | 65.3 | 40.4 | 74.1 |
| 1963 | 83.8 | 89.8 | 81.0 | 91.6 | 69.6 | 41.1 | 75.5 |
| 1964 | 85.9 | 84.7 | 83.2 | 92.1 | 73.8 | 42.3 | 78.1 |
| 1965 | 87.2 | 87.0 | 84.7 | 91.8 | 74.7 | 44.7 | 80.0 |
| 1966 | 88.0 | 93.8 | 84.7 | 90.8 | 77.0 | 45.0 | 82.5 |
| 1967 | 86.4 | 82.2 | 83.6 | 91.7 | 76.9 | 46.8 | 84.2 |
| 1968 | 88.6 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 93.4 | 78.9 | 49.4 | 84.6 |
| 1969 | 90.1 | 89.2 | 87.5 | 92.2 | 82.8 | 52.1 | 85.5 |
| 1970 | 90.1 | 84.3 | 86.2 | 92.8 | 86.2 | 54.8 | 87.1 |
| 1971 | 92.7 | 93.4 | 88.0 | 93.6 | 86.7 | 55.8 | 88.6 |
| 1972 | 94.8 | 87.4 | 90.5 | 92.9 | 89.1 | 58.4 | 90.9 |
| 1973 | 97.4 | 91.1 | 93.3 | 92.0 | 90.5 | 61.1 | 91.6 |
| 1974 | 95.2 | 81.4 | 93.2 | 91.0 | 89.4 | 64.4 | 90.2 |
| 1975 | 93.5 | 87.0 | 90.3 | 94.9 | 88.4 | 69.0 | 90.6 |
| 1976 | 96.4 | 92.1 | 92.9 | 97.7 | 88.4 | 71.0 | 93.6 |
| 1977 | 96.5 | 89.5 | 95.1 | 98.4 | 89.0 | 72.1 | 92.1 |
| 1978 | 96.7 | 87.7 | 96.0 | 97.1 | 91.4 | 76.2 | 91.0 |
| 1979 | 96.9 | 83.4 | 96.0 | 95.7 | 96.3 | 80.9 | 91.7 |
| 1980 | 95.9 | 85.0 | 94.5 | 98.0 | 92.3 | 87.0 | 92.0 |
| 1981 | 96.0 | 90.3 | 95.6 | 101.3 | 91.4 | 89.3 | 91.7 |
| 1982 | 92.0 | 93.6 | 92.3 | 103.5 | 89.6 | 86.2 | 89.4 |
| 1983 | 95.0 | 92.3 | 95.7 | 103.6 | 94.5 | 87.9 | 94.9 |
| 1984 | 98.5 | 92.7 | 99.5 | 101.3 | 98.9 | 92.7 | 96.0 |
| 1985 | 99.2 | 90.5 | 100.7 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 96.0 | 98.3 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.1 | 97.6 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 103.5 | 104.1 | 102.8 |
| 1988 | 101.5 | 97.4 | 100.4 | 99.9 | 106.7 | 106.9 | 104.0 |
| 1989 | 100.6 | - | - | - | . | .. | * |
| 1990 | 98.9 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| 1991 | 97.5 | -• | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | .. |

## Average Annual \% Ohange

1901-1991


Table 3-Indexes of Industry value added multifactor productivity for selected industries, (1986=100)

|  | Business Sector |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Year |  | Manufacturing |
|  |  |  |
|  | 75.0 | 56.0 |
| 1961 | 78.3 | 61.1 |
| 1962 | 80.7 | 63.7 |
| 1963 | 83.0 | 67.1 |
| 1964 | 84.5 | 69.6 |
| 1965 | 85.5 | 69.6 |
| 1966 | 83.6 | 67.8 |
| 1967 | 86.1 | 71.1 |
| 1968 | 87.9 | 74.3 |
| 1969 | 87.9 | 72.1 |
| 1970 | 90.9 | 75.3 |
| 1971 | 93.4 | 79.7 |
| 1972 | 96.6 | 84.9 |
| 1973 | 93.9 | 84.7 |
| 1974 | 91.9 | 78.8 |
| 1975 | 95.3 | 84.0 |
| 1976 | 95.5 | 88.6 |
| 1977 | 95.7 | 90.6 |
| 1978 | 95.9 | 90.5 |
| 1979 | 94.8 | 87.1 |
| 1980 | 94.9 | 89.7 |
| 1981 | 90.0 | 82.2 |
| 1982 | 93.6 | 89.8 |
| 1983 | 97.9 | 98.7 |
| 1984 | 99.0 | 101.8 |
| 1985 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 101.4 | 101.0 |
| 1987 | 101.9 | 100.8 |
| 1988 | 100.7 | 97.9 |
| 1989 | 98.6 | 93.1 |
| 1990 | 96.9 | 89.6 |
| 1991 |  |  |



Table 4 - Indexes of interindustry multifactor productivity for selected Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Business sector | Agricultural \& related services industries | Manufacturing industries | Construction industries | Transportation \& storage industries | Telecommunication industries | Wholesale \& retail trade industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 78.7 | 63.8 | 71.2 | 77.2 | 65.6 | 39.0 | 68.6 |
| 1962 | 81.7 | 75.0 | 75.5 | 80.7 | 66.2 | 40.0 | 71.7 |
| 1963 | 83.8 | 82.3 | 78.2 | 82.0 | 70.6 | 40.7 | 73.5 |
| 1964 | 85.9 | 78.6 | 80.4 | 84.7 | 75.4 | 42.0 | 76.4 |
| 1965 | 87.2 | 81.3 | 82.2 | 85.6 | 76.4 | 44.7 | 78.5 |
| 1966 | 88.0 | 88.3 | 82.8 | 85.0 | 78.9 | 45.0 | 81.4 |
| 1967 | 86.4 | 76.8 | 81.1 | 84.5 | 78.2 | 46.5 | 82.2 |
| 1968 | 88.6 | 80.8 | 83.6 | 87.7 | 80.8 | 49.3 | 83.2 |
| 1969 | 90.1 | 84.8 | 86.4 | 87.4 | 84.8 | 52.0 | 84.4 |
| 1970 | 90.1 | 80.1 | 85.1 | 87.8 | 88.3 | 54.7 | 86.1 |
| 1971 | 92.7 | 89.6 | 87.8 | 89.9 | 89.2 | 55.9 | 88.1 |
| 1972 | 94.8 | 84.7 | 90.7 | 90.8 | 92.4 | 58.7 | 90.8 |
| 1973 | 97.4 | 88.2 | 95.0 | 91.1 | 94.8 | 61.7 | 92.1 |
| 1974 | 95.2 | 79.4 | 93.3 | 89.1 | 93.0 | 64.9 | 90.3 |
| 1975 | 93.5 | 84.7 | 89.7 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 69.4 | 90.1 |
| 1976 | 96.4 | 90.1 | 93.3 | 94.8 | 91.2 | 71.6 | 93.5 |
| 1977 | 96.5 | 87.3 | 95.0 | 95.8 | 91.8 | 72.6 | 92.0 |
| 1978 | 96.7 | 85.3 | 95.7 | 95.1 | 93.8 | 76.8 | 91.1 |
| 1979 | 96.9 | 81.2 | 95.8 | 94.1 | 98.5 | 81.5 | 91.9 |
| 1980 | 95.9 | 82.3 | 93.4 | 95.6 | 94.1 | 87.6 | 91.9 |
| 1981 | 96.0 | 87.4 | 93.6 | 98.5 | 92.8 | 89.9 | 91.5 |
| 1982 | 92.0 | 89.1 | 89.5 | 97.3 | 89.7 | 86.0 | 87.9 |
| 1983 | 95.0 | 89.8 | 93.5 | 99.7 | 95.3 | 87.8 | 93.7 |
| 1984 | 98.5 | 91.7 | 98.7 | 100.2 | 99.3 | 93.0 | 95.7 |
| 1985 | 99.2 | 90.1 | 100.2 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 96.0 | 98.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.1 | 98.5 | 101.1 | 101.3 | 103.7 | 108.1 | 103.0 |
| 1988 | 101.5 | 98.6 | 101.6 | 101.2 | 106.9 | 106.7 | 104.1 |
| 1989 | 100.6 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1990 | 98.9 | .. | .. | . | - | - | .. |
| 1991 | 97.5 | .. | . | . | .. | .. | .. |

## Average Annual \% Change

 1981-1991 1861-1989

Table 5 - Indexes of industry gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Fear | Food <br> industries | Beverage <br> industries | Tobacco products <br> industries | Plastic products <br> industries |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | |  |
| ---: |
| allied products ind. |


| 1961 | 91.2 | 86.7 | 94.2 | 65.6 | 74.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 92.5 | 88.5 | 93.0 | 66.4 | 80.2 |
| 1963 | 92.3 | 92.4 | 95.5 | 69.0 | 80.9 |
| 1964 | 93.1 | 93.8 | 97.2 | 72.0 | 82.8 |
| 1965 | 94.0 | 95.8 | 99.4 | 73.2 | 82.7 |
| 1966 | 93.4 | 101.8 | 96.3 | 74.5 | 84.0 |
| 1967 | 94.4 | 103.9 | 94.3 | 73.9 | 83.5 |
| 1968 | 94.6 | 100.7 | 93.3 | 84.2 | 84.1 |
| 1969 | 94.5 | 103.3 | 96.8 | 87.7 | 84.8 |
| 1970 | 94.8 | 104.5 | 98.5 | 85.3 | 83.7 |
| 1971 | 97.1 | 105.2 | 102.5 | 87.9 | 84.7 |
| 1972 | 97.2 | 105.7 | 104.9 | 92.9 | 84.1 |
| 1973 | 98.1 | 110.2 | 106.3 | 94.7 | 86.5 |
| 1974 | 97.9 | 108.5 | 109.1 | 89.9 | 84.3 |
| 1975 | 96.4 | 106.1 | 107.7 | 86.0 | 82.4 |
| 1976 | 99.1 | 105.9 | 106.7 | 87.0 | 88.3 |
| 1977 | 100.0 | 108.6 | 114.3 | 88.8 | 93.3 |
| 1978 | 99.9 | 107.8 | 109.0 | 92.4 | 96.2 |
| 1979 | 100.0 | 108.3 | 109.9 | 96.3 | 98.3 |
| 1980 | 98.8 | 107.8 | 110.7 | 93.9 | 95.4 |
| 1981 | 98.4 | 107.2 | 110.2 | 97.9 | 94.8 |
| 1982 | 98.7 | 104.2 | 109.8 | 96.6 | 92.3 |
| 1983 | 98.4 | 103.6 | 106.8 | 101.1 | 96.1 |
| 1984 | 99.3 | 103.8 | 105.4 | 103.3 | 103.1 |
| 1985 | 100.5 | 102.2 | 100.6 | 103.8 | 104.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.8 | 101.6 | 105.6 | 99.2 | 102.5 |
| 1988 | 98.1 | 104.3 | 107.6 | 95.9 | 103.1 |

## Averege Annual \% Ohange, 19e1-19es



Table 5 - Indexes of industry gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Textile, textile prod. \& clothing industries | Wood industries | Furniture \& fixture industries | Paper and allied products ind. | Printing. publishing and allied ind. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 69.6 | 75.2 | 87.6 | 97.4 | 83.5 |
| 1962 | 72.8 | 77.9 | 89.8 | 97.7 | 85.1 |
| 1963 | 74.8 | 80.8 | 92.1 | 98.7 | 85.3 |
| 1964 | 75.3 | 82.0 | 91.9 | 101.0 | 84.8 |
| 1965 | 74.8 | 82.4 | 94.5 | 99.5 | 84.4 |
| 1966 | 74.5 | 82.3 | 95.8 | 98.9 | 85.0 |
| 1967 | 74.0 | 83.8 | 95.2 | 95.0 | 85.1 |
| 1968 | 76.7 | 86.2 | 96.5 | 95.5 | 85.8 |
| 1969 | 77.9 | 86.2 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 86.6 |
| 1970 | 77.4 | 86.2 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 85.6 |
| 1971 | 79.5 | 85.2 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 86.0 |
| 1972 | 82.1 | 82.6 | 103.8 | 99,9 | 88.6 |
| 1973 | 83.2 | 83.1 | 107.0 | 102.4 | 91.5 |
| 1974 | 83.2 | 83.0 | 97.9 | 104.2 | 90.9 |
| 1975 | 84.2 | 81.4 | 96.3 | 91.1 | 91.8 |
| 1976 | 86.3 | 84.7 | 101.5 | 98.3 | 96.5 |
| 1977 | 88.5 | 87.1 | 102.4 | 99.0 | 99.6 |
| 1978 | 92.0 | 85.9 | 106.4 | 102.3 | 101.9 |
| 1979 | 94.3 | 85.9 | 104.2 | 101.6 | 101.0 |
| 1980 | 93.9 | 88.7 | 102.3 | 101.6 | 101.3 |
| 1981 | 95.3 | 89.0 | 103.4 | 99.9 | 101.3 |
| 1982 | 91.4 | 86.9 | 93.5 | 94.2 | 96.7 |
| 1983 | 95.0 | 92.1 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.8 |
| 1984 | 96.6 | 96.5 | 101.0 | 99.7 | 101.6 |
| 1985 | 97.6 | 100.0 | 101.8 | 99.8 | 101.2 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 100.9 | 102.5 | 95.5 | 101.3 | 97.8 |
| 1988 | 99.2 | 100.8 | 93.7 | 100.2 | 97.5 |

## Avorage Annuel \% Cmanas. 19e1-19es



Table 5 - Indexes of industry gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Primary metal industres | Fabricated metal products ind. | Machinery industries | Transportation equipment ind | Electrical \& electronic products ind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 89.0 | 79.6 | 82.2 | 70.7 | 66.7 |
| 1962 | 91.0 | 83.5 | 86.4 | 73.9 | 71.6 |
| 1963 | 91.9 | 85.3 | 88.9 | 76.8 | 72.1 |
| 1964 | 93.6 | 88.5 | 92.9 | 77.3 | 74.9 |
| 1965 | 95.4 | 91.1 | 93.7 | 80.2 | 76.6 |
| 1966 | 94.8 | 91.2 | 95.0 | 78.7 | 77.4 |
| 1967 | 92.4 | 90.0 | 93.6 | 81.3 | 73.7 |
| 1968 | 95.3 | 91.8 | 92.7 | 83.4 | 75.7 |
| 1969 | 95.9 | 92.3 | 95.2 | 87.2 | 77.9 |
| 1970 | 95.3 | 90.7 | 94.2 | 83.7 | 77.1 |
| 1971 | 94.9 | 92.8 | 96.1 | 88.1 | 73.6 |
| 1972 | 96.6 | 94.6 | 97.1 | 91.1 | 77.4 |
| 1973 | 98.6 | 96.9 | 98.9 | 94.7 | 80.9 |
| 1974 | 99.3 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 80.6 |
| 1975 | 96.2 | 94.4 | 96.5 | 97.0 | 79.0 |
| 1976 | 93.7 | 96.2 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 82.0 |
| 1977 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 98.5 | 99.1 | 84.8 |
| 1978 | 98.3 | 97.3 | 100.7 | 98.8 | 84.1 |
| 1979 | 94.8 | 94.4 | 104.1 | 98.2 | 89.9 |
| 1980 | 92.7 | 95.5 | 102.5 | 92.5 | 93.3 |
| 1981 | 95.3 | 97.2 | 99.8 | 94.0 | 94.3 |
| 1982 | 89.8 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 92.7 | 90.9 |
| 1983 | 94.6 | 96.0 | 90.8 | 95.9 | 91.2 |
| 1984 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 98.2 | 99.9 | 97.1 |
| 1985 | 100.8 | 101.4 | 99.6 | 101.0 | 99.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 102.4 | 99.5 | 97.9 | 98.6 | 101.2 |
| 1988 | 103.8 | 99.0 | 99.8 | 100.4 | 103.0 |



Table 5 - Indexes of industry gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Non-metallic mineral products industries | Refined petroleum and coal products | Chemical \& chemical products ind. | Other manufacturing industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 83.4 | 85.2 | 73.6 | 87.3 |
| 1962 | 88.9 | 89.7 | 75.7 | 89.4 |
| 1963 | 89.7 | 90.6 | 77.9 | 88.4 |
| 1964 | 93.0 | 92.6 | 80.7 | 91.8 |
| 1965 | 94.7 | 94.4 | 82.5 | 91.7 |
| 1966 | 94.9 | 96.1 | 82.6 | 93.6 |
| 1967 | 89.5 | 92.2 | 81.0 | 91.4 |
| 1968 | 92.9 | 94.1 | 81.7 | 94.3 |
| 1969 | 94.6 | 92.4 | 83.2 | 96.1 |
| 1970 | 92.9 | 92.7 | 82.6 | 94.1 |
| 1971 | 99.0 | 93.1 | 85.5 | 95.5 |
| 1972 | 105.7 | 92.9 | 87.5 | 99.3 |
| 1973 | 100.2 | 96.4 | 91.3 | 101.1 |
| 1974 | 96.3 | 95.9 | 91.2 | 100.5 |
| 1975 | 93.6 | 96.4 | 86.3 | 98.7 |
| 1976 | 94.6 | 95.8 | 88.8 | 103.5 |
| 1977 | 93.7 | 98.8 | 89.3 | 104.2 |
| 1978 | 95.2 | 96.6 | 91.7 | 104.9 |
| 1979 | 95.7 | 95.3 | 93.5 | 103.5 |
| 1980 | 90.0 | 95.7 | 91.0 | 101.2 |
| 1981 | 89.6 | 97.8 | 93.7 | 102.6 |
| 1982 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 102.1 |
| 1983 | 89.7 | 101.7 | 95.5 | 101.6 |
| 1984 | 94.4 | 102.3 | 98.6 | 105.4 |
| 1985 | 98.3 | 101.2 | 99.5 | 106.1 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 102.2 | 100.8 | 101.5 | 101.0 |
| 1988 | 102.4 | 100.7 | 100.9 | 99.2 |



Table 6 - Indexes of industry net-gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Food industries | Beverage industries | Tobacco products industries | Plastic products industries | Rubber, leather \& allied products ind. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 89.9 | 86.3 | 92.5 | 64.7 | 73.2 |
| 1962 | 91.3 | 88.2 | 90.9 | 65.5 | 78.9 |
| 1963 | 91.1 | 92.2 | 94.1 | 68.2 | 79.7 |
| 1964 | 92.0 | 93.7 | 96.1 | 71.3 | 81.8 |
| 1965 | 93.1 | 95.7 | 98.7 | 72.5 | 81.7 |
| 1966 | 92.4 | 102.0 | 95.0 | 73.8 | 83.1 |
| 1967 | 93.4 | 104.2 | 92.5 | 73.2 | 82.5 |
| 1968 | 93.7 | 100.9 | 91.3 | 83.7 | 83.2 |
| 1969 | 93.6 | 103.5 | 95.6 | 87.3 | 83.9 |
| 1970 | 94.0 | 104.8 | 97.6 | 84.9 | 82.7 |
| 1971 | 96.6 | 105.5 | 102.5 | 87.6 | 83.7 |
| 1972 | 96.7 | 106.1 | 105.4 | 92.6 | 83.2 |
| 1973 | 97.8 | 110.9 | 107.1 | 94.5 | 85.7 |
| 1974 | 97.6 | 109.0 | 110.6 | 89.6 | 83.4 |
| 1975 | 95.7 | 106.5 | 108.9 | 85.5 | 81.3 |
| 1976 | 98.9 | 106.2 | 107.7 | 86.6 | 87.6 |
| 1977 | 100.0 | 109.1 | 117.0 | 88.4 | 92.8 |
| 1978 | 99.9 | 108.3 | 110.6 | 92.1 | 96.0 |
| 1979 | 100.0 | 108.8 | 111.7 | 96.1 | 98.2 |
| 1980 | 98.5 | 108.2 | 112.6 | 93.7 | 95.1 |
| 1981 | 98.1 | 107.6 | 112.0 | 97.8 | 94.5 |
| 1982 | 98.4 | 104.4 | 111.5 | 96.5 | 91.8 |
| 1983 | 98.1 | 103.8 | 107.9 | 101.1 | 95.8 |
| 1984 | 99.1 | 104.1 | 106.3 | 103.5 | 103.3 |
| 1885 | 100.6 | 102.4 | 100.7 | 103.9 | 104.3 |
| 1886 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.8 | 101.8 | 106.4 | 99.2 | 102.6 |
| 1988 | 97.8 | 104.6 | 108.7 | 95.7 | 103.3 |



Table 6 - Indexes of industry net-gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Textile, textile prod. \& clothing industries | Wood industries | Fumiture \& fixture industries | Paper and allied products ind | Printing, publishing and allied ind. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 62.0 | 72.9 | 87.3 | 97.2 | 82.4 |
| 1962 | 65.9 | 75.9 | 89.6 | 97.4 | 84.1 |
| 1963 | 68.4 | 79.0 | 91.9 | 98.6 | 84.4 |
| 1964 | 68.9 | 80.3 | 91.7 | 101.2 | 83.8 |
| 1965 | 68.4 | 80.8 | 94.4 | 99.6 | 83.4 |
| 1966 | 68.0 | 80.7 | 95.7 | 98.8 | 84.1 |
| 1967 | 67.4 | 82.3 | 95.1 | 94.4 | 84.2 |
| 1968 | 70.7 | 85.1 | 96.4 | 95.0 | 84.9 |
| 1969 | 72.3 | 85.0 | 98.9 | 97.9 | 85.8 |
| 1970 | 71.5 | 85.0 | 96.1 | 97.3 | 84.7 |
| 1971 | 74.2 | 83.9 | 97.5 | 96.7 | 85.1 |
| 1972 | 77.5 | 81.0 | 104.0 | 100.0 | 87.9 |
| 1973 | 78.9 | 81.5 | 107.3 | 102.9 | 90.9 |
| 1974 | 78.9 | 81.5 | 97.8 | 105.0 | 90.3 |
| 1975 | 80.1 | 79.7 | 96.2 | 90.0 | 91.3 |
| 1976 | 82.7 | 83.3 | 101.6 | 98.1 | 96.3 |
| 1977 | 85.5 | 86.0 | 102.5 | 98.9 | 99.6 |
| 1978 | 89.9 | 84.7 | 106.6 | 102.6 | 102.0 |
| 1979 | 92.7 | 84.7 | 104.4 | 101.8 | 101.1 |
| 1980 | 92.3 | 87.8 | 102.4 | 101.8 | 101.5 |
| 1981 | 94.0 | 88.0 | 103.6 | 99.8 | 101.4 |
| 1982 | 89.1 | 85.8 | 93.3 | 93.4 | 96.5 |
| 1983 | 93.7 | 91.4 | 98.5 | 98.3 | 98.7 |
| 1984 | 95.7 | 96.2 | 101.0 | 99.6 | 101.8 |
| 1985 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 99.8 | 101.3 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.1 | 102.8 | 95.3 | 101.4 | 97.6 |
| 1988 | 98.9 | 100.9 | 93.5 | 100.2 | 97.2 |



Table 6 - Indexes of industry net-gross outpuf multifactor productivity for manufacturing industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Primary metal industries | Fabricated metal products ind. | Machinery industries | Transportation equipment ind. | Electrical \& electronic products ind. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 87.2 | 77.9 | 81.5 | 67.5 | 64.1 |
| 1962 | 89.5 | 82.1 | 85.9 | 71.2 | 69.3 |
| 1963 | 90.5 | 84.1 | 88.4 | 74.5 | 69.9 |
| 1964 | 92.5 | 87.6 | 92.6 | 75.0 | 72.9 |
| 1965 | 94.5 | 90.4 | 93.4 | 78.4 | 74.8 |
| 1966 | 93.8 | 90.6 | 94.8 | 76.7 | 75.7 |
| 1967 | 91.1 | 89.2 | 93.3 | 79.7 | 71.6 |
| 1968 | 94.4 | 91.2 | 92.4 | 82.0 | 73.9 |
| 1969 | 95.1 | 91.7 | 95.0 | 86.2 | 76.2 |
| 1970 | 94.5 | 90.0 | 93.9 | 82.4 | 75.3 |
| 1971 | 93.9 | 92.3 | 96.0 | 87.1 | 71.6 |
| 1972 | 95.9 | 94.2 | 97.0 | 90.3 | 75.6 |
| 1973 | 98.1 | 96.8 | 98.9 | 94.2 | 79.4 |
| 1974 | 99.0 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 79.1 |
| 1975 | 95.5 | 94.0 | 96.3 | 96.6 | 77.3 |
| 1976 | 92.7 | 96.0 | 96.8 | 97.8 | 80.6 |
| 1977 | 96.2 | 96.6 | 98.4 | 98.9 | 83.6 |
| 1978 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 100.8 | 98.6 | 82.8 |
| 1979 | 93.8 | 94.0 | 104.4 | 98.0 | 89.2 |
| 1980 | 91.5 | 95.2 | 102.7 | 91.9 | 92.8 |
| 1981 | 94.4 | 97.0 | 99.8 | 93.5 | 93.9 |
| 1982 | 88.1 | 94.4 | 91.5 | 92.1 | 90.2 |
| 1983 | 93.6 | 95.8 | 90.2 | 95.6 | 90.6 |
| 1984 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 98.1 | 99.8 | 96.9 |
| 1985 | 100.9 | 101.5 | 99.6 | 101.1 | 99.0 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 102.8 | 99.5 | 97.7 | 98.5 | 101.2 |
| 1988 | 104.5 | 98.9 | 99.7 | 100.5 | 103.2 |



Table 6-Indexes of industry net-gross output multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Non-metallic mineral products industries | Refined petroleum and coal products | Chemical \& chemical products ind. | Other manufacturing industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 81.8 | 85.0 | 70.0 | 86.9 |
| 1962 | 87.8 | 89.5 | 72.4 | 89.0 |
| 1963 | 88.7 | 90.4 | 74.7 | 88.0 |
| 1964 | 92.3 | 92.4 | 77.9 | 91.5 |
| 1965 | 94.2 | 94.3 | 80.0 | 91.4 |
| 1966 | 94.4 | 96.0 | 80.0 | 93.4 |
| 1967 | 88.4 | 92.1 | 78.3 | 91.1 |
| 1968 | 92.2 | 94.0 | 79.0 | 94.1 |
| 1969 | 94.1 | 92.3 | 80.6 | 95.9 |
| 1970 | 92.2 | 92.5 | 80.1 | 93.9 |
| 1971 | 99.0 | 93.0 | 83.2 | 95.3 |
| 1972 | 106.7 | 92.8 | 85.4 | 99.3 |
| 1973 | 100.5 | 96.3 | 89.6 | 101.2 |
| 1974 | 96.1 | 95.8 | 89.5 | 100.5 |
| 1975 | 93.1 | 96.3 | 83.9 | 98.6 |
| 1976 | 94.1 | 95.7 | 86.8 | 103.7 |
| 1977 | 93.2 | 98.7 | 87.3 | 104.4 |
| 1978 | 94.9 | 96.5 | 90.1 | 105.2 |
| 1979 | 95.4 | 95.2 | 92.3 | 103.7 |
| 1980 | 89.0 | 95.6 | 89.3 | 101.3 |
| 1981 | 88.5 | 97.7 | 92.6 | 102.7 |
| 1982 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 102.1 |
| 1983 | 88.7 | 101.8 | 94.5 | 101.7 |
| 1984 | 93.8 | 102.4 | 98.3 | 105.7 |
| 1985 | 98.1 | 101.2 | 99.4 | 106.4 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 102.4 | 100.8 | 101.9 | 101.1 |
| 1988 | 102.6 | 100.7 | 101.1 | 99.2 |

## Average Annual \% Change. 18e1-18es



Table 7 - Indexes of interindustry multifactor productivity for manufacturing Industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Food <br> industries | Beverage <br> industries | Tobacco products <br> industries | Plastic products <br> industries |  <br> allied products ind. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| 1961 | 72.8 | 78.1 | 76.6 | 56.1 | 65.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 79.1 | 80.9 | 80.1 | 58.5 | 71.5 |
| 1963 | 82.1 | 85.2 | 85.7 | 61.8 | 73.0 |
| 1964 | 81.9 | 87.5 | 87.3 | 66.5 | 76.1 |
| 1965 | 84.3 | 90.0 | 90.7 | 67.7 | 76.4 |
| 1966 | 86.3 | 96.5 | 89.9 | 69.2 | 77.8 |
| 1967 | 82.5 | 97.4 | 82.9 | 68.2 | 76.9 |
| 1968 | 84.7 | 95.3 | 83.9 | 78.8 | 78.5 |
| 1969 | 86.6 | 98.7 | 89.9 | 83.1 | 79.9 |
| 1970 | 85.7 | 99.9 | 90.3 | 80.7 | 78.8 |
| 1971 | 92.0 | 101.7 | 98.6 | 84.1 | 80.7 |
| 1972 | 91.1 | 103.8 | 100.8 | 90.6 | 81.4 |
| 1973 | 94.9 | 110.3 | 104.4 | 94.6 | 84.8 |
| 1974 | 89.5 | 107.1 | 104.2 | 89.0 | 82.1 |
| 1975 | 88.5 | 103.0 | 103.3 | 82.2 | 78.7 |
| 1976 | 94.6 | 105.0 | 104.8 | 84.3 | 85.6 |
| 1977 | 95.1 | 107.9 | 113.3 | 85.6 | 90.7 |
| 1978 | 94.5 | 107.9 | 107.1 | 90.2 | 94.4 |
| 1979 | 92.5 | 108.1 | 107.2 | 96.2 | 97.7 |
| 1980 | 90.8 | 106.6 | 108.1 | 91.8 | 93.3 |
| 1981 | 92.4 | 106.4 | 109.0 | 96.1 | 93.2 |
| 1982 | 92.5 | 101.1 | 107.0 | 91.2 | 88.1 |
| 1983 | 93.5 | 102.2 | 105.3 | 98.5 | 93.6 |
| 1984 | 96.1 | 104.4 | 105.2 | 103.3 | 102.6 |
| 1985 | 97.3 | 102.9 | 99.4 | 103.5 | 104.0 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 99.5 | 102.1 | 106.4 | 100.5 | 103.2 |
| 1988 | 97.4 | 105.1 | 108.7 | 97.5 | 104.1 |



Table 7 - Indexes of interindustry multifactor productivity for manufacturing industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Textile, textile prod. \& clothing industries | Wood industries | Furniture \& fixture industries | Paper and allied products ind. | Printing, publishing and allied ind. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 60.1 | 55.3 | 74.2 | 83.6 | 76.1 |
| 1962 | 64.0 | 58.7 | 77.8 | 84.1 | 77.8 |
| 1963 | 66.7 | 62.5 | 81.1 | 86.5 | 78.7 |
| 1964 | 67.6 | 64.8 | 82.5 | 90.2 | 79.1 |
| 1965 | 67.3 | 65.0 | 85.1 | 88.3 | 78.7 |
| 1966 | 67.4 | 65.0 | 86.4 | 88.0 | 79.4 |
| 1967 | 66.5 | 65.5 | 85.5 | 82.8 | 78.5 |
| 1968 | 69.9 | 69.8 | 88.3 | 84.9 | 80.0 |
| 1969 | 71.4 | 71.0 | 91.6 | 88.9 | 81.6 |
| 1970 | 71.1 | 71.9 | 89.1 | 88.7 | 80.8 |
| 1971 | 74.0 | 71.2 | 91.2 | 88.6 | 81.5 |
| 1972 | 77.2 | 70.4 | 98.6 | 93.4 | 85.3 |
| 1973 | 79.0 | 71.1 | 103.0 | 97.3 | 89.2 |
| 1974 | 78.9 | 71.0 | 93.6 | 98.6 | 88.6 |
| 1975 | 79.7 | 67.0 | 89.8 | 81.3 | 86.4 |
| 1976 | 82.8 | 72.0 | 96.4 | 91.0 | 93.1 |
| 1977 | 85.3 | 74.7 | 97.8 | 92.0 | 96.4 |
| 1978 | 90.0 | 74.2 | 102.5 | 96.1 | 99.9 |
| 1979 | 93.0 | 74.3 | 101.0 | 95.7 | 99.2 |
| 1980 | 92.2 | 77.9 | 98.6 | 95.3 | 99.3 |
| 1981 | 93.6 | 77.7 | 99.7 | 92.9 | 98.8 |
| 1982 | 87.6 | 75.2 | 87.2 | 84.9 | 91.8 |
| 1983 | 92.3 | 84.1 | 95.0 | 92.6 | 96.3 |
| 1984 | 95.5 | 93.2 | 99.8 | 97.3 | 100.9 |
| 1985 | 96.9 | 97.9 | 101.7 | 98.6 | 100.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 101.6 | 105.7 | 96.2 | 103.5 | 98.4 |
| 1988 | 99.3 | 104.3 | 94.4 | 102.7 | 98.1 |

Average Annual \% Ohange, 1081-1988


Tabie 7. Indexes of Interindustry muitifactor productivity for manufacturing industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Primary metal industries | Fabricated metal products ind. | Machinery industries | Transportation equipment ind. | Electrical \& electronic products ind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 83.4 | 71.6 | 73.9 | 61.3 | 54.7 |
| 1962 | 84.3 | 77.2 | 79.0 | 65.7 | 60.4 |
| 1963 | 85.1 | 79.8 | 83.1 | 69.5 | 61.0 |
| 1964 | 90.2 | 84.5 | 88.4 | 70.8 | 64.2 |
| 1965 | 92.2 | 87.7 | 89.6 | 74.6 | 66.4 |
| 1966 | 90.1 | 87.8 | 91.0 | 73.2 | 67.7 |
| 1967 | 88.6 | 85.9 | 88.6 | 75.9 | 63.6 |
| 1968 | 90.7 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 78.8 | 65.8 |
| 1969 | 91.0 | 90.3 | 91.9 | 83.4 | 68.6 |
| 1970 | 89.5 | 88.8 | 91.1 | 79.6 | 67.4 |
| 1971 | 85.9 | 90.6 | 93.4 | 84.5 | 65.1 |
| 1972 | 88.4 | 93.1 | 95.4 | 88.6 | 70.7 |
| 1973 | 95.8 | 97.8 | 99.1 | 93.5 | 75.1 |
| 1974 | 91.0 | 98.2 | 100.1 | 93.6 | 75.2 |
| 1975 | 86.2 | 91.9 | 94.5 | 94.4 | 72.7 |
| 1976 | 85.6 | 94.0 | 95.7 | 96.0 | 76.5 |
| 1977 | 88.5 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 79.2 |
| 1978 | 91.9 | 96.0 | 99.9 | 97.3 | 78.6 |
| 1979 | 87.5 | 92.9 | 103.6 | 96.8 | 86.0 |
| 1980 | 86.2 | 92.3 | 100.9 | 90.4 | 90.3 |
| 1981 | 85.7 | 93.9 | 98.4 | 92.0 | 91.4 |
| 1982 | 81.2 | 88.1 | 88.1 | 89.1 | 87.2 |
| 1983 | 87.3 | 92.5 | 88.3 | 93.9 | 88.5 |
| 1984 | 97.0 | 99.8 | 97.5 | 99.5 | 96.9 |
| 1985 | 100.9 | 102.5 | 99.5 | 101.1 | 98.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 107.1 | 100.2 | 98.4 | 98.9 | 101.9 |
| 1988 | 109.6 | 99.5 | 100.8 | 101.2 | 104.3 |

## Average Annual 96 Change. 1961 -t9ee



Table 7 - Indexes of interindustry multifactor productivity for manufacturing industries, (1986=100)

| Year | Non-metallic mineral products industries | Refined petroleum and coal products | Chemical \& chemical products ind. | Other manulacturing industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 63.2 | 99.3 | 63.9 | 77.8 |
| 1962 | 68.4 | 105.6 | 66.6 | 80.2 |
| 1963 | 69.7 | 108.3 | 69.9 | 80.2 |
| 1964 | 74.5 | 113.0 | 73.3 | 84.5 |
| 1965 | 77.8 | 116.1 | 76.0 | 84.7 |
| 1966 | 77.7 | 120.1 | 77.2 | 86.8 |
| 1967 | 75.4 | 116.2 | 75.1 | 83.6 |
| 1968 | 78.7 | 121.3 | 77.0 | 87.7 |
| 1969 | 81.3 | 120.8 | 79.7 | 90.3 |
| 1970 | 79.0 | 124.6 | 80.3 | 88.4 |
| 1971 | 84.0 | 126.2 | 84.8 | 90.6 |
| 1972 | 91.5 | 131.4 | 87.2 | 96.2 |
| 1973 | 95.2 | 139.9 | 92.3 | 99.5 |
| 1974 | 93.8 | 135.6 | 92.2 | 97.7 |
| 1975 | 90.8 | 128.6 | 86.2 | 94.1 |
| 1976 | 93.4 | 123.3 | 89.5 | 100.3 |
| 1977 | 91.8 | 124.1 | 91.0 | 100.5 |
| 1978 | 94.8 | 115.3 | 93.3 | 101.9 |
| 1979 | 95.8 | 115.8 | 95.6 | 101.0 |
| 1980 | 87.8 | 107.3 | 91.3 | 98.7 |
| 1981 | 86.0 | 103.3 | 94.6 | 100.1 |
| 1982 | 78.4 | 101.9 | 87.0 | 97.6 |
| 1983 | 87.0 | 104.1 | 93.8 | 98.8 |
| 1984 | 94.2 | 105.7 | 98.4 | 105.0 |
| 1985 | 97.5 | 105.0 | 100.2 | 105.9 |
| 1986 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 105.1 | 104.7 | 102.6 | 101.9 |
| 1988 | 106.7 | 109.6 | 101.8 | 101.3 |

Average Annuel \% Change. 1est-19es


## APPENDIX 1

## Basic concepts and methods

## 1 - Multifactor productivity in a nutshell

The basic idea standing behind the development of the multifactor productivity accounts is to define and apply to the Canadian economy a measure of performance in production activities. It is assumed that resources are optimally allocated between the various production activities so that the object of the performance indicators is solely to reveal the technical efficiency with which the available resources are used in each of these production activities or groups of these activities.

These indicators, in contrast to the labour productivity indices regularly published in this publication, take into account the contribution of all productive factors (inputs) to the growth of outputs. For this reason, they are called multifactor or total factor productivity indices. The labour productivity measures presented in this publication take into account only the contribution of labour input to the growth of output and, for this reason, constitute partial measures of productivity.

In general, productivity gains are defined in a residual fashion as the growth in output not accounted for by the growth in production factors explicitly listed in the chosen formula. Multifactor productivity measures output per unit of all factors of production combined (such as labour, capital, materials and services used as inputs in the production of goods and services). Hence, the growth in multifactor productivity reflects the growth in output not accounted for by the growth of all productive factors. Consequently, multifactor productivity does not reveal the contribution of the production factors but the joint effects of economies of scale, technical progress and other influences not explicitly taken into account.

At the industry level, two alternative but complementary indices of multifactor productivity are proposed. One takes into account only the direct productivity gains made by an industry without considering the indirect productivity gains made by its suppliers. The other looks at the productivity gains made in the production of the goods and services of an industry by taking into account the productivity gains made by all industries which contributed directly and indirectly to that production.

The first index, based on the most usual concept of mullifactor productivity, measures the productivity gains taking place within a business industry, from the point of view of that industry taken in isolation from the rest of the business sector of the economy. The index measures the growth in the gross output of an industry unaccounted for by the growth in all of its factors of production; that is, both the ones called primary, which are the labour and capital inputs, and the intermediates, which are the material and service inputs purchased from other industries. This index does not take account of the productivity gains which take place in the industries which produce these intermediate inputs ${ }^{6}$. We will refer to this index as the industry index.

[^4]The alternative productivity index presented here does. It is based on the interindustry concept ${ }^{7}$ of multifactor productivity which is relatively new. This index takes into account the productivity gains realized within an industry as well as within all industries directly or indirectly supplying that industry. The index measures the growth in the output of an industry unaccounted for by the growth in all its primary inputs as well as by the growth in the primary inputs used in the production of its intermediate inputs by its direct and indirect industry suppliers. In that sense, the interindustry productivity index takes into account all the primary inputs which have been used in the business sector of the economy as a whole to produce the goods and services of a given industry. In other words, each industry is viewed as an integrated component of the production sector of the economy rather than as an isolated entity.

At the aggregate business sector level, i.e., when considering the productivity of all business sector industries combined, both indices refer to the same outputs and inputs. They consequently give the same results for the total business sector gross domestic product.

Measuring the performance of an economy at producing the output coming out of a given industry using the interindustry concept, is quite different from measuring the performance of that same industry in producing that output, in the traditional way. Both measures are useful. For instance, in an effort to increase the performance of an economy it could be inappropriate to support declining industries with low productivity gains without considering the performance of the industries supplying them with goods and services. The latter industries, which may benefit from important productivity gains, may also be strongly dependent on the low performance industries for the sale of their output.

## 2- The concept and measurement of productivity

The level of productivity is a ratio between the level of production of some economic units and the quantity of inputs they use. Although there may be altemative ways to compute the productivity ratio, all of these consist in combining all the goods and services produced into a single aggregate output index and, likewise, all of the production factors used into a single aggregate input index. The aggregation of the goods and services produced or used in the production process requires that these goods and services be measured in some common units. These units in economics, similarly to the weight and size units of physics, are naturally taken to be the relative values of the goods and services on the market at some specific point(s) in time. Each quantity of a commodity is therefore attributed a weight according to its contribution to the value of the aggregate of which it is a part of. Thus, the larger the quantity produced (used) of a commodity and/or the higher its price relatively to other commodities, the larger will be its importance in the value of all goods and services produced (used) and the larger will be its importance in the aggregate output (input) index ${ }^{8}$. The multifactor productivity index level is computed as the ratio of the aggregate output index level to the aggregate input index level. Productivity growth is positive it the aggregate output index grows faster than the aggregate input index. Productivity decreases in the opposite case.

[^5]For empirical applications, some choices have to be made on how to actually measure inputs and outputs. One criterion which we have used is inclusiveness of all production activity occurring in the business sector of the economy. This implies that the indices, at the industry level, had to be defined on a gross output measure of their activities. The gross output of an industry is the aggregate volume of all goods and services produced and work done by the industry. Gross output can be defined as either including or excluding intraindustry sales as will be discussed further below. Other investigators have used different definitions of output such as, gross output net of depreciation of the capital stock. The labour productivity indices presented in this publication use a real value added measure of output.

Correspondingly, on the input side, the measure of the index had to be inclusive of all purchased (and measurable) inputs which can basically be classified into two broad categories: (1) intermediate inputs which are comprised of the many goods (raw materials) and services purchased by the industries, and (2) primary inputs including labour inputs, capital inputs and natural resources. More formally, we consider as intermediate inputs those inputs which are produced and are consumed during the same period (usually a year) by the business sector of the economy. The primary inputs ${ }^{9}$ are supplied from other sectors of the economy such as the household sector. As discussed further below, in an open economy context, imports and a few other variables can as well be included in the set of primary inputs.

In the actual implementation of the multifactor productivity indices, a more detailed breakdown of both the inputs and outputs by commodity were used as described in Appendix 3. The more disaggregated (and consequently more homogeneous) set of commodities used improves the quality of the measured productivity indices and presents a definite advantage over the more aggregated (and more heterogeneous) set of commodities usually used by some other investigators.

The mullifactor productivity indices have an important advantage over the partial labour productivity indices. This advantage stems from the inclusiveness of all the major factors contributing to the growth of output in the economy. Output growth is accounted for by increases in productive capacity, the use of increased amount of various services and goods purchased by industries (including energy) and by labour. Output growth which is not accounted for by the growth of inputs is what we call productivity. Therefore, the more detailed and inclusive ${ }^{10}$ is the list of production factors entering into the estimates, the more growth in output can be "explained".

The explanation is cast, it is true, only in descriptive terms in that it shows the apportionment of output growth between the major contributing factors. But it may be pursued much further. One may attempt, for instance, to relate the increasing efficiency of labour to various factors such as basic education, on the job training, improvements in working conditions, changes in managerial style, etc. Such an attempt has not been undertaken here as our main purpose is to focus on the development of the database and on measurement issues, in order to provide to the user community the basic elements necessary to cary the analysis further.

[^6]The inclusion of all production factors in the computation of productivity indices does not preclude the computation of meaningful indices of partial productivity. However, in order to analyze and attempt to explain the partial productivity of any contributing production factor, one must first express its productivity in relation to the contribution of the other production factors. For instance, the index of partial labour productivity may have increased because the quantity of equipment, raw materials and energy used per unit of labour have all increased. Only when the contribution of these other factors have been netted out can the pantial labour productivity be meaningfully related to factors such as education and experience. Multifactor productivity presents a net advantage on this count compared to the labour productivity, in that it precisely allows the decomposition of increased labour productivity between the portion which comes from the contribution of the other production factors, and the portion which comes from other factors explaining the increased efficiency of labour such as education. The labour productivity indices regularly published in this publication do not allow such a decomposition.

## 3 - Which production activifies?

In the application of the concept of productivity, inputs and outputs must be clearly identified. They may refer to the entire Canadian economy and/or to various components of the economy. These components, in the System of National Accounts, are either sectors or industries. The productivity indices refer only to the productivity of the resources used by the business sector of the economy. In the System of National Accounts, the business sector "encompasses that group of transactors who produce goods and services for sale at a price which is calculated to cover costs and yield a profit... ${ }^{m 11}$. An industry is defined, in the System of National Accounts, "as a group of operating units [establishments] engaged in the same or similar kind(s) of economic activity, e.g., coal mines, clothing factories, department stores, laundries ${ }^{112}$. Industries include both business and non business establishments but can be sectored to include only business establishments. The productivity indices presented in this publication refer only, either explicitly or implicitly, to business establishments.

The productivity of the government sector is not covered as it cannot be computed at the present time within the framework of the System of National Accounts. The latter adopts indeed as a convention (for lack of a better alternative) to measure the output of the government sector as being equal to its primary input use. As a consequence, the growth in outputs cannot diverge from the growth in inputs as required for a meaningful productivity measure.

The productivity indices, therefore, provide an accounting record of the effectiveness with which business establishments make use of the economy's resources through time. To make the interpretation of these indices more precise, we still need to clarify further how they are actually derived. Basically, we need to define more precisely the sets of inputs and outputs used in their compilation both conceptually ${ }^{13}$ and empirically (see Appendix 2).

[^7]
## 4. Which resources and how they are measured

Unemployed resources are excluded from the computation of productivity. Thus, for example, the labour input is measured by employment (and will eventually be measured by hours worked) rather than by the available labour force. The productivity indices, consequently, do not measure the performance of the economy as a whole which is often reduced by the waste of available resources. Rather, the productivity indices presented here intend to track the evolution of the technical performance of the production processes which would obviously not be well captured if unemployed resources were taken into account.

Secondly, employed resources may not be fully utilized as is often the case in the downturn phase of the business cycle. Labour hoarding is a classical example. The productivity indices presented here do not correct for the short run under-utilization of employed resources and, consequently, do not track perfectly the evolution of the technological possibilities (potential efficiency). Over the short run, the indices will reveal, in addition to improvement in technical possibilities, a loss of efficiency, it any, related to the underutilization of the employed resources. This sensitivity of the productivity indices to business cycle fluctuations is not without its own advantages. Many would argue that what counts is the measure of the actual efficiency with which business firms use production factors at a given time rather than the potential (maximum) efficiency of the production factors, were they fully utilized. Only over the long run, that is from peak to peak use of employed resources, will the indices reveal the increased productivity associated with the existing technological possibilities in either the form of change in that technology (technical progress) or a better use of all of the available technologies (scale economies).

## 5 - Alternative measures of multifactor productivity

5.1 Two concepts of Industry. Basically, two distinct notions of an industry are considered which include different groups of production activities. The first notion corresponds to the traditional view and is based on the definition of an industry as the set of establishments producing similar goods and services. Such an industry transforms purchased goods and services (intermediate inputs) by using its own capital and labour services (primary inputs).

Stanting with the industry, as traditionally defined, the latter rarely carries all of the transformations from basic minerals to final products. The automobile industry, for instance, uses steel as an intermediate input, which has been produced by the steel industry. Rarely are automobile producers involved in steel manufacturing. The production of steel is part of the total transtormation processes involved in the production of automobiles but it is not part of the transformation processes of the automobile industry itself. If one is interested in the productivity of all the production processes involved in the production of the output of the automobile industry, one would integrate ${ }^{16}$ the productivities of activities of all industries having participated in such production. This would embrace the industry directly involved in the manufacture of automobiles (the automobile industry) as well as those industries indirectly involved in supplying the automobile industry with all the necessary parts, materials and services (all the "upstream" industries, such as the steel industry). The interindustry productivity estimates presented here are based on this notion of

[^8]industries and, therefore, refer to the productivity of groups of industries linked to each other by the flow of intermediate goods and services.

The vertically integrated industry produces the same output bundle as the traditional industry (say automobiles) but, as it comprises an enlarged group of activities, it uses a different set of inputs. Its inputs also comprise own capital and purchased labour services. However, it looks behind the purchase of goods and services from other industries at the inputs used by these upstream industries to produce the goods and services purchased.

In the example of the automobile industry, the inputs are the capital and labour inputs of this industry and the intermediate inputs it purchases, say steel. The inputs of the steel industry are its own capital and labour inputs and the intermediate inputs it purchases, say steel ingots. In turn, the steel ingot industry has as inputs its own capital and labour and iron ore from a mine it owns. In considering the interindustry set of inputs, we know that it takes capital and labour in the ingot industry to extract the ore and to produce ingots, and that it takes the capital and labour of the steel industry to transform the ingots into steel. Downstream, it takes the capital and labour of the automobile industry to transform the steel into automobiles. Thus, the set of inputs in the interindustry measure of productivity now includes the capital and labour services used directly and indirectly in the production of automobiles. In this sense, the interindustry concept integrates the contribution of upstream industries to the production of its output bundle.

As just mentioned, if one adopts the restricted point of view of an industry's participants, the sources of the industry's inputs, whether intermediate or primary, do not matter. From that point of view, inputs are considered as given to the industry although for the economy as a whole these resources had to be either (1) produced by other industries, (2) imported or (3) supplied by households in the form of capital and labour. From that point of view, the industry, as an isolated entity, is the universe over which productivity is computed. This is the essence of the traditional view on productivity.

The new interindustry perspective on productivity is equivalent to the perspective of an observer whose concerns lie in the efficiency with which the scarce resources of the economy as a whole are being used. One may, in particular, be interested in the efficiency with which an industry, as a component of the business sector rather than as an isolated entity, uses the scarce primary resources available to the business sector of the economy, whether directly or indirectly, by purchasing goods and services from other industries. The latter industries use both primary and intermediate inputs but the intermediate inputs they use also originate from upstream industries so that, going through all interindustry transactions, all intermediate inputs can uhtimately be accounted for by uses of primary inputs. In an open economy context, primary inputs can as well include imports and non-business supplies. Intermediate inputs, at the industry level as well as at the aggregate business sector level, do not count in the appraisal of productivity gains. Intermediate inputs are only important in that they provide a bridge-measure of the indirect usage of primary inputs by industries. The usage of the latter can only be computed from the intermediate input usage through the interindustry links. The interindustry productivity indices thus refer to a group of industries which are computationally vertically integrated.

The real degree of vertical integration of industries is constantly changing through the years. It is also quite different from one country to another. Therefore, the comparisons of productivity growth through time or across countries based on the conventional industry indices are always limited by the changing degree of integration through time or the varying degree of integration across countries. At a very disaggregated level, this statistical instability of the traditional productivity measures may become important. Indeed, the industries' establishments may not only integrate more or less vertically but also migrate from one industry to another as their output mix changes through time. By artificially fully integrating all industries vertically,
the interindustry productivity indices become insensitive to such "statistical" influences. Indeed, they measure the productivity of the same production processes.

From the point of view of the economist interested in the global performance of the business sector as a whole in the production of some group of commodities, in particular for international trade studies, the interindustry measure may prove to be more interesting than the traditional industry measure. Indeed, it takes into account not only the efficiency with which various inputs are combined within some industry to produce a given group of outputs but also the efficiency of the industries supplying the intermediate inputs. Thus, to take the example of the motor vehicle industry, this measure takes into account not only the efficiency of the assembly plants, but also the efficiency of the plants producing the auto parts and other raw materials, including up to the production of basic minerals and other industries' output located far upstream in the chain of production. The national economy may possess very efficient assembly plants as compared to foreign plants but still remain handicapped on the international automobile market because of the relative inefficiency of the industries which "feed" its motor vehicle industry.

It is, in fact, advantageous to use both measures of productivity as they provide complementary information. The industry measure isolates the efficiency of the motor vehicle industry segment in the production of automobiles. The joint use of both measures allows the analysis of the overall efficiency of production processes (vertically integrated industries) as well as the efficiency of each of its (isolated industry) segments.
5.2 Two concepts of gross output. As mentioned above, in addition to the standard gross output measure derived from the input-output tables, one may adopt another production concept for the purpose of estimating multifactor productivity: the gross output net of all intraindustry flows. According to Gullickson and Harper ${ }^{15},{ }^{n}$....removing intraindustry transactions assures that vertical integration or disintegration through time in the Census data do not bias the estimates." This advantage refers only to intraindustry integration while the interindustry measure introduced above possesses the same advantage over both intra- and interindustry sales.

The concept of net gross output ${ }^{16}$ has the further advantage of smoothing the aggregation process. With the traditional view, the concept of gross output is maintained at all levels of aggregation except at the total business sector level. This means that productivity of broad aggregates such as goods industries and services industries are defined on gross output while productivity of the business sector is defined on value added. Therefore, a switch is made abruptly from gross output on broad aggregates to value added at the business sector level. With the altemative measure of net gross output, the output measure converges gradually toward value added as, when moving to broader aggregates, intermediate inputs are progressively reclassified from interindustry sales to intraindustry sales and subtracted from gross output.

If the economic structure were simple, one industry producing one good or service, it would be easy to remove intraindustry transactions from inputs and outputs; however, in rectangular input-output tables, industries are producing many commodities and each commodity may be produced by many industries. In addition, imports and other non-business sources of supply must first be removed from commodity uses. For a given industry, it is therefore not trivial to identify the amount of an intermediate input being produced by that same industry. The only way to derive net gross output is to bring in an assumption about who

[^9]produces the inputs of a given industry net of imports and other leakages. For this, we assume that the commodities used in an industry originate from all producing industries according to their production shares ${ }^{17}$

As an example, let's assume that the fabricated metal products industry makes $80 \%$ of total fabricated structural metal products and that $20 \%$ of it is being produced by the primary metal industries. Therefore, only $80 \%$ of the former industry's input, net of leakages, in fabricated metal products will be subtracted from inputs and total output in order to balance the input-output productivity database according to this concept of net gross output.

There is still an advantage in deriving productivity growth estimates based on gross output instead of net gross output. By doing so, it is possible to compare individual industries' productivity growth to the productivity growth of some aggregate they are part of since the latter is a weighted average of the former with weights summing to one. This is, however, not possible when using the net gross output concept since the productivity gain of the aggregate is a weighted average of the individual industries' productivity gains with weights summing to more than one.

## 6 - Aggregate business productivity

When considering the business sector as a whole, only primary inputs are given, as mentioned above. Intermediate inputs must be produced and, consequently, can be looked at equally as outputs of the production process. From that point of view, what counts is the amount of primary resources used by the business sector and, as a counterpart, the amount of goods and services delivered by the business sector for final consumption. Therefore, at the aggregate business sector level, output must be netted out of intermediate goods and services used as inputs. This also corresponds and is equal to the gross output net of intraindustry sales. But aggregate output may also be defined as gross output minus intermediate and primary commodity inputs, that is as real value added.

Correspondingly, on the input side, only primary inputs must be taken into account. These include principally capital, labour, natural resources and, in an open economy, imported inputs. To that list, all other inputs not produced by the domestic business sector may be added, that is government supply of goods and services, inventory depletion and other leakages, including the commodities produced by industries which have been reclassitied as non business for the purpose of productivity analysis (see Appendix 3 of Part 2). The universe over which productivity indices are computed is then the entire business sector. From that point of view, intermediate inputs are just intermediate outputs, that is, an intermediate step in the production process rather than a final end as it was the case from the point of view of the isolated industry.

It is easy to see, from what precedes, that net final demand for commodities is equivalent to business value added, that is, to the value of total business output (gross output) minus the consumption of all commodity inputs. Similarly, final demand net of imports of final goods and other final uses of non-business supplies is equivalent to tinal demand deliveries of the business sector to which correspond all business primary inputs, including imports of raw materials. Hence, the altemative aggregate productivity indices can be

[^10]seen as the index of productivity on net final demand ${ }^{18}$ or the index of productivity on final demand originating from the business sector.

Relating the disaggregated productivity indices to their common aggregate counterpart for the whole business sector leads to the establishment of aggregation weights. The aggregation weights for the industry and the interindustry indices differ. Given that the interindustry indices integrate the productivity of all the industries associated directly and indirectly with the production of final demand deliveries, it follows that the aggregation weights are simply equal to the ratios of industries' final demand sales to the total business sector's final demand sales. These weights sum to one.

Similarly, for the industry productivity indices, both the productivity gains of the industries selling directly and those of the upstream industries selling indirectly to final demand have to be considered and weighted. But the productivity gains of the industries associated with final demand deliveries correspond, in this case to the productivity gains associated with the gross deliveries of all industries. It follows that the aggregation weights are given by the ratios of the value of industries' gross outputs (gross output net of intraindustry sales) to the business sector's value added (value of final demand deliveries). These weights sum to more than one.

To conclude, the productivity indices refer to a gross output (or net gross output) measure at the industry level and to value added (final demand deliveries) at the aggregate business sector level. Value added here is the sum of value added at factor cost (as defined in the System of National Accounts) and Other Indirect Taxes. The latter, which include mostly property taxes, are considered as part of gross capital income. Taxes paid on other primary inputs are also included such as import duties on imported imports. This is the case for both the traditional (isolated) industry and the altemative interindustry measures. Productivity in the government sector is not covered as it cannot presently be meaningtully computed.

## 7 - Usefulness of productivity indices in economic analysis

As indicated earlier, a principal role of multifactor productivity measures is to separate the observed growth in industrial production into increases in the economic resources employed by industries and increases in overall efficiency. This step permits a more complete accounting of the sources of economic growth than the existing partial measures within the framework of the System of National Accounts. Time series of multifactor productivity by industry also allow analysts to measure trends and detect shitts in competitive advantages among various Canadian industries vis-a-vis similar industries in the rest of the global economy. By showing how industries' evolution has been influenced by their technical performance, multifactor productivity assessments help analysts and policy makers address such issues as domestic industrial policy and international industrial strategy. Similarly, businesses and other private organizations observe productivity movements to evaluate the long-term viability of various industries and formulate more informed investment decisions.

In addition, proper growth accounting opens the way to a better understanding of the sources of productivity growth. The latter can be conceptually decomposed into three components: economies of scales, technical progress and measurement errors due to omitted factors. Growth accounting paves the way to further analysis of the sources of scale economies and technical progress. Taking technical progress as an example, it could be defined as the general advance in knowledge. If we accept this definition, then, over

[^11]the long run, technical progress is the only source of permanent and sustained improvement in productivity. Indeed, at any point in time, the level of education of workers may be raised only to a certain limit through investments in education. Similarly, the diffusion of the best known technologies through investments in physical equipment has a limit as well as the best use of existing technical possibilities through scale economies. Only investments in fundamental research in both human and natural sciences and investments in applied research and development can lead to a better and more educated labour force and better equipment over the very long run. Measuring the contribution of technical progress to the growth in output helps in understanding the importance of society's investment in such research.

## APPENDIX 2

# Multifactor productivity database description 

## 1-Introduction

In order to derive multifactor productivity indices, prices and volumes of outputs and inputs are estimated from various sources. For outputs and intermediate inputs by industry, the data are obtained from the current and constant prices Canadian input-output tables ${ }^{18}$. Some transtormations of these data are required to obtain better conceptual measures for the purpose of estimating multifactor productivity. They are summarized in this appendix. Some of these transformations were suggested by Rymes and Cas in an earlier study ${ }^{20}$. Primary input costs are also taken from input-output tables while their volumes are estimated from other sources. Labour input data are taken from the labour productivity program and their sources are described in Appendix 2 of Part 1 of this publication. Capital input data are described in a technical note which is summarized below". The industry coverage of the "business sector" used for multifactor productivity estimates differs slightly from the usual definition of the national accounts in both Canada and United-States as explained in further detail in Appendix 3.

## 2 - Input-output commodity data

The input-output tables are estimated at both producers' and purchasers'prices. Producers' prices are the prices received by the sellers at the boundary of their establishment. Purchasers' prices correspond to the market prices at the point of delivery and include various margins which are not included in the producers' prices. Some of these margins are paid to business sector enterprises in exchange of real services such as retail and wholesale services and transportation services. Commodity indirect tax margins, on the other hand, represent a pure transfer without any real counterpart.

As the proposed productivity measures are derived under the assumption of competitive market behaviour, it can be argued that outputs of industries should be valued at producers' prices while their inputs should be valued at purchasers' prices. The Divisia index of productivity growth, which is used here, rests, on the assumption of profit maximization behaviour of firms in competitive markets. This implies that the marginal product of each input be equated to its real price defined as the purchasing cost of the input including all margins divided by the net selling price of the output, excluding all margins. But as real margins represent

[^12]real inputs which can be substituted for other inputs over the long run, they were considered as distinct inputs rather than included in the physical volumes of the other inputs. Tax margins were excluded from the input set. All commodity input and output volumes were therefore taken from the producers' prices input-output tables. In current prices, commodity taxes paid were added to the value of commodities purchased.

Conceptually, operating subsidies can be considered as negative indirect taxes. Therefore, they were distributed over the input and output commodities to which they apply. Some subsidies, however, could not be attributed to specific commodities and were treated as non commodity indirect taxes (see below).

Royalties were considered as taxes levied on industries' outputs in the productivity accounts. They were subtracted from the producers' prices of outputs to estimate the net prices received by producers. Royalties are considered as a rental income on natural resources received by the business sector industry Government Royalies on Natural Resources in the regular input-output tables. However, this is an improperly defined industry for productivity analysis as it has no inputs except the Other operating surplus which is equated to the royalties perceived. The industry was also excluded on the grounds that it appeared doubtful that governments act as a real monopoly in natural resources industries.

Since govemment goods and services cannot be substituted by other business industry supplies, they are added to primary inputs. As well, unallocated imports and exports of commodities are considered as part of the primary inputs. In general, all commodities which are not produced by the business sector as defined for productivity analysis (see section 5 below) are considered as primary commodities. This is the case. for instance, of postal services. For neoclassical productivity estimates, this classification of inputs is immaterial. It does have an incidence, however, on the interindustry estimates.

Dummy industries have been removed from the input-output tables. Corresponding dummy commodity inputs have been transformed into real inputs on the basis of the input structure of dummy industries.

## 3. Labour Input at Current and Constant Prices

The measure of labour input volumes includes employment of paid employees and employment of other-than-paid employees (self-employed and unpaid family workers). These series have the same sources as the ones used for the labour productivity measure described in this publication. Although hours-worked by type of employment would constitute a better conceptual measure of labour input, they are not currently available for all industries ${ }^{22}$. In order to allow for comparison of productivity estimates between industries, we are thus confined to use employment count as labour input volume estimates. Labour costs are the current dollar values of wages and salaries, supplementary labour income and labour income of the selfemployed.

The labour income of self-employed is an imputation based on the assumption that, in most industries, selfemployed workers earn the same hourly rate as the paid workers. However, in the case of industries where professional self-employed workers are numerous (doctors, dentists, lawyers, accountants, engineers), since the average earnings of paid workers in the same industry division underrepresent the eamings of these occupations, direct evidence on average labour income was introduced. Consequently,

[^13]labour income of self-employed is afterward deducted from net income of unincorporated business of industries to keep the system accounting balance.

## 4 - Capital Input at Current and Constant Prices

The input of capital services for a given year is assumed to be proportional to net capital stock in constant prices at the end of the previous year. The choices of a net rather than a gross capital stock measure or of a convex rather than a concave depreciation curve are still open issues which will require further research ${ }^{23}$. The capital stock excludes investment done during the current year as the latter are not yet, in general, productive.

Two particular problems occur when using the net capital stock figures from the Investment and Capital Stock Division: first, these data are based on the 1970 SIC while the input-output tables are on the 1980 SIC; secondly, these data are estimated for industries including business and non business establishments, not only for the business industries like in the case of input-output tables. Capital assets for industry segments have been estimated, removed from some industry groups and reclassified to others so as to maximize the number of concordant industry classes. Non-business industry capital stocks were estimated and removed from the industries where significant sectoring differences were known to exist: namely, nonmetal mines, chemical and chemical products industries, miscellaneous manufacturing industries, railway transport and related service industries, and other utility industries.

The principal difficulty in estimating the price of capital input is that, unlike intermediate commodities, it cannot be observed from market transactions except in the case of leases. The price is therefore imputed on the basis of what the industry would charge itself for using its own capital assets, which is the income generated from capital services: the sum of other operating surplus and net income of unincorporated business net of labour income of self-employed. Non-commodity indirect taxes (subsidies) are also added (subtracted) to the capital cost as they are associated with the industry's ownership and use of capital assets. Prices are obtained by dividing the generated income by net capital stock of the previous year in constant dollars of the productivity database.

[^14]
## APPENDIX 3

## Aggregation parameters for multifactor productivity measures

For the purpose of deriving multifactor productivity growth rates, the inputs in goods and services were taken from the input-output tables in their most disaggregated level ${ }^{24}$ (about 600 commodities). However, it was not possible to use the industries' outputs or inputs at their most disaggregated level ( 154 industries for the business sector at the link level of the input-output tables) mainly because capital stock series were not available for some industries. Input-output tables have been aggregated to a special level of aggregation -- identified as PL -- required for the multifactor productivity measures which consists of 109 business sector industries (excluding Postal Services for which no capital data are yet available). For analytical purposes, two other aggregation levels were built: 30 industries (level PM) and 12 industries (level PS). These levels were determined to be as close as possible to the $M$ and $S$ levels of industry classification of input-output tables. It is hoped that further developments of the capital database will evenfually allow a full reconciliation of the PM and PS aggregation levels with the corresponding M and S levels and that these developments will extend the PL level closer to the L level.

The industrial coverage of the business sector in both Canada and United-States departs slightly from the current definition of the System of National Accounts as some components were excluded. In Canada, these are Owner Occupied Dwellings (industry L 141), Postal Service (industry number L 131), Other Utility Industries nec (L 134) and Government Royalties on Natural Resources (industry number L 140). Owner Occupied Dwellings and Government Royalties on Natural Resources were considered as improperly defined industries for productivity analysis while capital stock data were not available for the Postal Service Industry and Other Utility Industries. In United States, capital stock data are also responsible for the exclusion of all government enterprises as well as owners occupied dwellings from the aggregate measure of multifactor productivity. The business sector excluding these components is called the private business sector in the U.S. accounts.

Text tables 1 through 3 establish the concordance between the input-output $L$ level and the multifactor productivity database PL, PM and PS levels of aggregation. In a few cases, and again because of capital stock data limitations, mulifactor productivity estimates refer to a somewhat different group of industries from those regularly published in the labour productivity section: as showed in Text table 2, at the PS level, Wholesale and Retail Trade Industries were grouped together; as shown in Text table 3, at the PM level for Manufacturing Industries, Leather \& Allied Products Industries were grouped with Rubber Products Industries, Clothing Industries were grouped with Primary Textile \& Textile Products Industries.

[^15]
## Text table 1

Concordance between the PL aggregation level and the Ilnk level of aggregation of industries of input-output tables


| page 116 | Aggregate Productivity Measures | Part 2 |
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Concordance between the PL aggregation level and the link level of aggregation of Industries of input-output tables


## Text table 2

Concordance between the PS aggregation level and the input-output link aggregation level.

| PS Level Indusiries |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSCodes Industry Title | Link | PL |
|  | Code | Code |
| 1 Agricultural \& related services ind. | 1 | 1 |
| 2 Fishing \& trapping industries | 2 | 2 |
| 3 Logging \& forestry industries | 3 | 3 |
| 4 Mining, quarying \& oil well ind. | 4-13 | 4.7 |
| 5 Manufacturing industries | 14-108 | 8-90 |
| 6 Construction industries | 109-117 | 91 |
| 7 Transportation \& storage industries | 118-123 | 92-99 |
|  | 125-128 |  |
| 8 Telecommunication industries | 129,130 | 100.101 |
| 9 Electric power \& gas dist. ind. | 132,133 | 102,103 |
| 10 Wholesale and retail trade industries | 135,136 | 104 |
| 11 Finance, insurance \& real est. ind. | 137-139 | 105 |
| 12 Community, business, person. serv. ind. | 124,142-154 | 106.109 |

## Text table 3

Concordance between the PM aggregation level and the Input-output link aggregation level.

| PM Level Industries |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |
| PM |  |  |  |
| Codes Industry Title | Link | PL |  |
|  | Code | Code |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Food industries |  |  |
| 6 | Beverage industries | $14-24$ | $8-14$ |
| 7 | Tobacco products industries | $25-28$ | 15 |
| 8 | Plastic products industries | 29 | 16 |
| 9 | Rubber, leather \& allied prod. ind. | 31 | 18 |
| 10 | Textile, textile products \& clothing ind. | $30,32-34$ | $17,19,20$ |
| 11 | Wood industries | $35-42$ | $21-26$ |
| 12 | Fumiture \& fixture industries | $43-47$ | $27-31$ |
| 13 | Paper \& allied products industries | $48-50$ | $32-34$ |
| 14 | Printing, publishing \& allied ind. | $51-54$ | $35-38$ |
| 15 | Primary metal industries | 55,56 | 39,40 |
| 16 | Fabricated metal products industries | $57-63$ | $41-47$ |
| 17 | Machinery industries | $64-71$ | $48-55$ |
| 18 | Transportation equipment industries | $72-74$ | $56-58$ |
| 19 | Electrical \& electronic products | $75-81$ | 59 |
| 20 | Non-metallic mineral products ind. | $82-89$ | $66-72$ |
| 21 | Refined petroleum \& coal products | $90-95$ | $73-78$ |
| 22 | Chemical \& chemical products industries | 96 | 79 |
| 23 | Other manufacturing industries | $97-103$ | $80-86$ |

## APPENDIX 4

# Quality ratings of multifactor productivity and related data. 


#### Abstract

The multifactor productivity estimates presented in this publication are assigned quality ratings in order to provide an overall assessment of their relative quality. Data quality assessment is a subjective process which depends on a large number of factors. One is whether the basic data are obtained from a census or survey obtained by sampling. The quality of these sources is affected by factors such as questionnaire design, response rate, editing and the degree of imputations. In the case of sampled data, quality is further dependent on sample design and sample size. In addition, some statistical information is derived residually while some other is estimated.


The quality rating for multifactor productivity relies on the quality rating for gross output, intermediate inputs and the primary inputs of capital and labour.

The quality ratings of the productivity data sources coincide with the data quality ratings of the source. Thus, inputs and outputs in current and constant prices from the Input-Output tables carry the quality ratings of the tables as described in Appendix A of The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Economy, Catalogue 15-201. Capital stock data quality is based on the ratings of business investment as given by the Input-Output tables. The quality ratings at the aggregation level L are given for outputs, inputs and GDP in current and constant dollars in the above publication. The quality ratings for various levels of capital expenditures are also given in current and constants dollars in the same publication. The quality ratings of employment and labour compensation are discussed in Appendix 4 of part 1 in the Labour Productivity part of this publication.

The quality ratings of basic data at the aggregation level PS and PM are obtained by weighted ratings using value shares as weights. The quality assessment of multifactor productivity estimates is based on the combined quality ratings of input of labour, capital and intermediate inputs. The final quality rating of multifactor productivity is calculated for 109 business sector industries and aggregated to the PS and PM levels. When applicable, ratings shown in text tables 4 and 5 of this appendix are rounded to the nearest highest quality rating to account for the quality increasing effect of aggregation.

Quality ratings in 1988 show an improvement over those of 1987. This is due to quality improvements of output, capital and intermediate inputs. The new method of establishing quality ratings of labour input based on the relative quality of data components (as opposed to rating the data source as in previous years) does affect labour input ratings at disaggregated levels.

Text table 4
Quality ratings of the components of multifactor productivity estimates by industry at aggregation level PS and for the business sector industries, 1988

| Industry Title | Gross Output |  | Labour Inputs |  | Capital Inputs |  | Intermediate Inputs |  | GDP | MFP Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K\$ |  | pers.* | C\$ | K\$ |  | K\$ | C\$ K\$ |  |
| Agricultural \& related services ind. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 2 |
| Manutacturing industries |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| Construction industries |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 33 | 3 |
| Transportation \& storage ind. |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 22 | 2 |
| Telecommunication industries |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 12 | 1 |
| Wholesale and retail trade |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 | 22 | 2 |
| Business sector | ... |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 11 | 1 |

## Text table 5

Quallty ratings of the components of multifactor productivity estimates by manufacturing Industry at aggregation Level PM, 1988

| Industry Title | Gross Output |  | Labour Inputs |  | Capital Inputs |  | Intermediate Inputs |  | MFP <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c\$ | KS |  | pers.* | c\$ | k\$ | c\$ |  |  |
| Food industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Beverage industries | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tobacco products industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Plastic products industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rubber \& leather | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Textile, textile prod. \& clothing ind. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Wood industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Fumiture \& fixture industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Paper \& allied products industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Printing, publishing \& allied ind. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Primary metal industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Fabricated metal product industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Machinery industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Transportation equipment industries | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | , | 2 | 1 |
| Electrical \& electronic products |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Non-metallic mineral products ind. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Refined petroleum \& coal products | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Chemical \& chemical products ind. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Other manufacturing industries |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## APPENDIX 5

## Multifactor productivity and related data in CANSIM

CANSIM
Matrices
Index since 1961
Gross output productivity ..... 7900
Net-gross output productivity ..... 7901
Value-added productivity ..... 7902
Interindustry productivity ..... 7903
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## Let us Make Productivity Work for You

Through various means of disseminating the data contained in this publication, Statistics Canada is able to accommodate the specific, yet differing needs of users. Productivity and related data are available in a variety of formats and at different times during the year.

## The Daily

It you want the information at the earliest possible date, and you only require summarized data, then you probably would like to receive the two issues of The Daily publication each year that contain productivity data. They are generally available about March 31st and September 31st.

Call toll free 1-800-267-6677 to order The Daily, at the price of $\$ 1.60$ for 2 issues (or $\$ 105.00$ for all issues).

## CANSIM

CANSIM (Canadian Socio-Economic Information Management System) is the Registered Trade Mark for Statistics Canada's machine-readable database. You can have immediate access to Statistics Canada's most current productivity data, in its fullest detail via CANSIM. You can obtain access to the CANSIM database directly, through your computer terminal (or, we can extract the required information for you on print-outs, or in machine-readable form). Productivity data is released to CANSIM twice a year, concurrently with the relevant releases of The Daily.

## Call (613) 951-8200 to place CANSIM requests.

## Annual Publication

In the annual publication Aggregate Productivity Measures (catalogue 15-204), productivity and related measures by industry are presented, illusirated, and analyzed. Canada's relative performance is also examined, through comparisons with the United States. A documentation is also included in this publication describing the concepts, sources, and methods underlying the construction of these measures.

Call toll free 1-800-267-6677 to order the publication at a price of $\$ 40.00$.

## Special Requests

For those of you who have more exclusive data needs we also process customized requests, the results of which can be produced either on print-outs or on diskettes. Requests can be processed as soon as the data are released and therefore the results can be obtained months in advance of the annual publication.

Call R. Rioux, Customer Services, at (613) 951 -3697 to place your special request.

## Special Studies

We also offer the service of carrying out, on request, special studies addressing current economic issues. For example, some recent studies include: 1) the derivation of effective tax rates by commodity; 2) price determination using an input-output price model; and 3) economic impact analysis with national and interprovincial input-output models.

We, at Statistics Canada, are best equipped to carry out these kinds of studies as we have the expertise and the access to a uniquely comprehensive set of information detailing the many interrelationships that exist within the Canadian economy. These studies may be of particular interest to policy makers since important implications are typically derived as a result.

Call A. Diaz, Productivity Section, at (613) 951-3687 to discuss special studies.
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[^0]:    1 See appendix 1, part 2 for an explanation of the interindustry index of productivity.
    2. The larger is the walue which is added directly or indirectly by an industry to the value of a product group, the larger is its weight.

[^1]:    3 Further detail on the industry coverage of the productivity measures in this publication can be fourd in Appendix 3 of Part 1 .

[^2]:    * For further details the reader is referred to 'Sources and Methads of Estimating Employment by Jmput-Output Industries 1961-1988' by KS. Gill and Monique Larose.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Appendix 2 of Part 1 for a full description of daca sowrces.

[^4]:    - Except passibly for intermediate imputs originating from the indusary itself as will be explained below.

[^5]:    7. The concept with empirical estimares was first introduced by TK. Rymes in a previous study done for Statistics Carada. See TK. Rymes and A. Cas, "On the Feasibility of Measwing Mulajactor Productivity in Canada", Suatistics Canada, Inpul-Output Division, 1985. However, contrary to Rymes and Cas, we include the capital stock in she primary inputs rather than in intermediate inpuss.

    8 This can be established more formally as the Divisia aggregation formula for a wice differentiable linearly homogeneous production function under competitive market conditions and profis maximisation.

[^6]:    9 Capilal goods are commodities produced by the business sector like intermediate inpuks. However, they are accumulated only if savings occur. In addition, they are excluded from the intermediaxe input ses on the ground that they are, by definition, not totally conswmed during the period in which they have been produced. Extending the interindustry measure over many periods to cover capital goods kads to the dynamic index number formula suggested in R. Durand and M. Salem, "On a Dynamic Productivity Index Number Formula", Inpur-Ourpus Division. Saatistics Conada, November 1987 (revised February 1990).

    10 All inpus casts are kaken into accouns but the quantities of these inpuls are not broken down into perfectly homogenous cavegories through sime. Some inpuls are simply omined and their cosks reported under the capital costs which are computed residually. Externalities are also neglected.

[^7]:    11 Rober B. Crozier, National Income and Expendisure Accounts, Volume 3, A Guide to the National Income and Expendifure Accounts, Definitions-Concepls-Sources-Methods (catalogue 13-549, 1975, p. 101).

    12 The Input-Output Structure of the Canadian Bconomy, 1961-1981 (catalogue 15-510, p. 18).
    13 A more precise though more sechnical description of the conceptual aspects may be found in $R$. Durand and M. Salem, op. cit.

[^8]:    14 For a full discussion of the concept of integration in relation to productivity measurement, see Durand R., "Aggregation, Integration and Productivity Analysis: An Overall Framework", Aggregase Praductivity Measure, 1989, Statistics Canada, (catalogue 15-204), pp. 107.118.

[^9]:    15 W. Gullickson and M. J. Harper, "Mulaijactor Productivity Measurement for Two.Digis Manufacturing Industries", paper presented at the 1986 meeting of the Western Economic Association in San Francisco, July 1-5. 1986
    16. For a full discussion of the net-gross outpus concept of productivity, see Diaz, A. "Alternative Concepts of Outpus and Productivity". Aggregate Productivisy Measures 1989, Statistics Canada, catologme 15-201. pp. 97-106.

[^10]:    17 For technical details, see René Durand, "Productivity Analysis and the Measurement of Gross Outpur Net of Intraindustry Sales", Statistics Canada, Imput.Output Division, January 1991.

[^11]:    18 Final demand productivity indices by commodity could be compured but they are nor presented here.

[^12]:    19. For informations on data sources and concepts, refer to The Input-0wtpur Structures of the Camadian Economy, 1961-1981 (Revisad Dava), Saristics Canada, Calalogue 15-510, Input-Outpui Division, 1987, pp. 1-127.

    30 Rymes T.K. and A, Cas, "On the Feasibility of Measuring Mulajactor Praductivity in Canada", Inpus-Output Division, Statistics Canada, 1985.
    ${ }^{11}$ For a delailed documentation on capital input, see Documentation of Capial Input and Capital Cost Time Series for Mulifactor Productivify Moasures, by M. Salem, R. Fortin and Y. Sabourin, Slatistics Canada, Inpul-Outpur Division. December 1990

[^13]:    22 In United-Slates, person-hours are used.

[^14]:    23 In Canada US. comparisons, one must note that, in the Canadian measure of the capital stock, a more accelerased depreciation pattern is being used. For a more technical description of the new capial assel series, see Fixed Capital Flows and Slocks, Methodology. Investment and Capisal Srack Division. Srauistics Corada, May 1990.

[^15]:    2 Empirically. it was impossible, ar this stage, to include a measure of natural resources such as land used as inpuds. Nabural resources are important mostly for primary industries but play only a minor role in other indusmies.

