## CANADA



# DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTICS INTERNAL TRADE BRANCH 

WHOLESALE PRICE

INDEX NUMBERS

## OF

## CANADIAN FARM PRODUCTS

1890-1933



Puhlished hy huthorfty of Hon，H，it Stevens，MiP。 Minister of Trade and Commerce

CEPARTIUENT OF TRADE AND COMMEFCE DOMTMTOS BUJEAU OF STATTSTIES－CANADA INTEKNAL TRADE BKANGH

Dominj on Statistician：
Chief，Tnternal．Trade Branch：
Prices Statistician．

F．H．Coats，Bo．．F．F．S．S．（Hon．），F．K．S．C．
Herbert Marshall，BoAo，F．SoS。
H．F．Greenway，MoA。

## 

It is tha purposa aP this sxoohura to provice a record of monthly and annual． doventats in wholesale prices of Canadlan farm procuats from 1890 to 1933 ．No such data have been available previously for years prior to 1913 ，while annual indexes only were available for the years 1913 to 1918 inclusive．Brief notes on the growth of agricul－ tural production，the economic history of the period，and problems of index number con－ stmiction are given in adation to an outline of price movements．

The Grawth of Agricultural Production

Agriculture＇s position in the national economy since 1890 has been any thing but atatise for this reason a brjef reference to changes in production in．egriculture and in other branches of industry is germane to a study of farm product prices particularly with reference to their relation to the general price structure．In this period the rate of increase for field crop production has far outstripped that for animal productsi．Procuction of wheat，the great staple erop，mounted from 42 million bushels in 1390 to over 4 c 0 million buskels in 1930，or tenfold．The increase in oat harvests from 83 million to 423 million bushels was over fivefold．other grains although grown on a maller scale have shown even greater relative advances；Production of livestock and animal products has roughly doubled in the same space of time cattle sold and slaughtered have increased from 958,000 to over $2,000,000$ and swine sold and slaughtered Dave mounted from $1,800,000$ to $3,800,000$ ，$P_{r}$ oduction of milk and eggs has likewise been doubled．

The value of all agricultural nutpit，in 1890 has been placed at $\$ 294$ mililions， which oompured with mineral output．valued at $\$ 17$ millions，and manufactures amounting to $\$ 476$ millions mpurts of twelve？leading agricultural products in that year con－ stituted roughly 17 per cent of the value of all export trade Agricultural production An 1930 had risen to $\$ 1,268$ millinns mineral production to $\$ 280$ millions，and the value al manufactures to $\$ 3,429$ milions．Although the value of agricultural products relative to manufactured products fell from $6 \%$ per cent to 37 per cent as industrialization zradually proceeded，the growth of manidacturing depended in no small part upon expansion In agrinulture．In 1931 the value of Canadian farm products used by manufacturers was ever 30 per cent of the value of all materials used；and roughly 25 per cent of the gross value of products manufactured was accounted for by manufactures of Canadian furm crigin．Exports of the same thelve products referred to above formed 25 per cent of the value of al exports in 1930

[^0]( to nearest million)

$\frac{\text { THE VALUE OF PRODUCTION IN AGRICULTURE AND OTHEK INDUSTRIES, 1890-1930 }}{}{ }^{\text {X }}$


1890-1896
These years witnessed the close of a prolonged period of depression Foreign trade grew larger but the improved transportation facilities which contributed to this expansion were chiefly responsible for the flooding of markets with goods from hitherto inaccessible areas and for the consequent recession in prices. This was intensified by the failure of gold supplies to increase in keeping with industrial production . is in more recent years (1930-1933) comnercial opportunities seemed rare and supplies of money tor investment purposes were more than adequate. Agrarian protection in Europe was on the increase and state aid to agriculture became more common. In the words of Dr. Skelton, "It was a time of crippling competition, of vanishing profits, of slow and painful readjustment. to msw sonlitions." 2

## 1897-1913

Polioving :pon the Klonkike eld mush of 1896, the tide of prices turned and wijth it moved comnerce and industry. Large "unfavourable" trade balances bore witness to inavy capital imports, attracted by the possibilities of industrial and agricultural development. Fruit farming prospered in British Columbia and settlers dotted the western prairies with grain fields with the result that Canada became the world's second largest exporter of wheat during this period. In Eastern Canada the development of mixed farming advanced with incressing strides.

$$
1914-1926
$$

The war deeponed taporarily a brief period of depression which began in 1913, but, thi.is gave way rapidly to feverish activity motivated by the need for materials and supplies overseas. Prices soared, with farm products in the van. Vhen the war ended energies were turned to supplying normal wants which for over four years had been of necessity unfilled, and prices rose further to unprecedented heights. The historic crash of prices in 1920 necessitated painful readjustments by industry and governments. Industrial production schedules were geared down and currencies were in many cases revalued and stabilized. The Ganaiian dollar returned to its prewar parity on July 1, 19*6。

$$
2987-1933
$$

Thea tollowed a dew brief years of increasing prosperity which culminated with the fateful stock market crash of October, 1929. From that time until March 1933, indic~ ators of economic well being pointed almost steadily downard. Supplies of many industrial raw materials assumed record proportions; currencies were forced from their gold moorings; trade dwindled rapidly; and the purchasing power of various economic groups was painfully disturbed. Only in the last three quarters of 1933 did indications of gradual rocowery begin to epprat.

## PRIOE BMEMEMTS - GRMEKM

Index numbers of wholesale prices of farm products and general wholesale price tadex numbers for Canada have seldom been far apart during the past forty years. The Ructuations of the farm product index have been wider than those of the general index partly because it contained fewer price series and therefore responded more readily to individual price changes; and partly because it was composed mostly of price series of more than average sensitiveness. The most noticeable divergences between farm products and general wholesale prices in early years occurre in periods centering around 1898 . 2 Canada and Tts Provinces - Vol. 9, pace 173.

1908, 1912 and 1923. In those years general price indexes were 71.3, 91..4, 10\%. 2 and 153.0 respectively, while corresponding indexes for farm products were 76.2, 101.0, 116.0 and 127.6. In each case vegetable produnts were responsible for these differences, and in three of the four instances it was a pronounced change in grain prices which caused them. A sharp rjse in potato prices from less than $50 \%$ per hushel in 1910 to $\$ 1.45$ in 1912, swung the farm products index upward sharply at that time. In 1923 grain prices fell while the general run of commodities moved higher, marking the only other occasion since 1890 , excepting the recent prolonged decltne, when farm product price indexes on a 1913 base dipped appreciably below the general level of wholesale prices,

Canadian indexes bear out the widely accepted premise that farm product prices react more quickly to broad influences than do those of commodeties in general. From the beginning of the war untll 1920, the farm produrt index was steadijy above the index of all commodities, and subsequently its decllne was more precipitate, From 1929 to 1932, when practically all prices were falling, farm product prices dropped much more rapidly than the average of all commodities, and this has given rise to an unusually serious dis. location in purchasing power between the agricultural community and other economic groups There is no record in Canadian annals of another instance when a disparity of such magnitude existed for so protracted a period.

The index of Canadian farm products, after rising from 79.5 in 1890 to 83.4 in 1891, dropped back to 65.1. in 1894. It then rose gradualy to 86.0 in 1904. From that time until the present, farm product, prices have approached equiliorium only once; viz., in the years 1925-1929. The index mounted from 86.0 in 1904 to 13.1 in 1909 , fell to 96.5 in 1910, and had climbed to 116.0 hy 1912. From 100.0 in 191.3, it soared to 258.2 in 1920, and then dropped to 127.6 in 1923. A suk sequent rise in prices brought the farm products index up to 161.1 in 1929 , prior to the long decline which terminated early in 1933. The indexes for 1932 and $193 \%$ were 75.1 and 79.9 respectively

## PRTCE MOVEMENTS OF FIELD PKODUCTS

Due largely to the importance of grain, field crops exert, a dominant influence upon the movement of price indexes of Canadian farm products. This is revealed at a glance by the accompanying graph which shows field and animal products in relation to farm product prices in general. It is likewise apparent that the price levels of these tro groups have followed broadly the same course during the past forty years on numerous occasions fielć produnt, prices have soared sharply, leaving prices for animal nroducts far behind, but these movements have as a rule been of only a few months duracion. In the years from 190? to 1912, however, a noteworthy divergence from this condition occurred. Field product prices reached successive peaks in January 1908, July 1909, and June 1912, and despite severe intervening reactions, beld fairly steadily above animal product price inclexes for this period. The latter series, on a 1913 base, nevec since 1890 has been above field product price indexes for more than a few months, pitor t,O the years 1930 and 1931. The wider fluctmetions of the field product group and attributable chiefly to the occasional precipitnus fluctuations of grain and potsto prices. Changes of 20 per cent to 30 per cent vithin one yoar for tiose items iveve been common; wheat prices have been doubled, or cut in half, withia tha space of oas yses, while potato price movements have been still more vinlant..

The index for field products after rising from 84.7 in 1800 to 90.4 in 1891 dropped to $6 ? .2$ in 1894, is sracual rise then curried it up to 914 in 1904, after Whica price fluctuations became more pronounced. A crop failure was chjefly responsible for a sudden increase in field products from 85.0 in $1906+0.0105 .3$ in 1907, and prices climbed even higher in the next few years, al though nmps then were said to be good.

[^1]During the war years field product prices advanced more rapidly than other major commodity groups, and in 1920 their index reached an all time peak of 295.3 . Within three years i.t had drcpped back to 130.0 , but by 1.926 , recovery had carried it upward again to 177.4. From that point the index declined gradually at first and then more rapidly to 65.7 in 1932. For 1933 it was 81. I.

## NOTES ON PFICES OF LEADTNG FIELD PFODUCTS

Wheat .. No, 1 Manitoba Northem wheat prices averaged 84.6 cents per bushel in 1890, and declined irregularly to 61.3 cents in 1894. The secular movement was then persistently upward until 1920 , when this grade sveraged $\$ 2.51$ per bushel. From that point it fell with occasional interruptions to 55.6 cents in 1932 and averaged 61.0 cents per bushel in 1933.

Oats ~Price movements for oats were much less spectacular than those for wheat. After dropping from 42.3 cents in 1890 to 20.3 cents per bushel in 1896 , No. 2 C.W. oat prices seldom mounted above 40 cents or dropped below 30 cents per bushel until 1915. The 1920 peak price for No. C C. W, oats was $93^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ cents per bushel. Unlike wheat, oats has never broken through old lnw level.s. It averaged 29.0 cents in 1930, 29.1 cents in 1931, and 29.5 cents in 1933.

Potatces - Ontario potatoes dropped from 61,6 cents per bag in 1890 to 30.2 cents in 1896, Cycles of from three to five years in potate prices have been quite mirked with peaks cocurring in 1891, 1893, 1899, 1903, 1907, 1912, 1917, 1920, 1927, and 1930. At their high point in 1920, Ontarin potatoes ommanded $\$ 3.91$ per bag, which mey be compared with 60,0 cents in $193 \%$ and 87.0 cents in 1933.

## PRICE MOVEVENTS OF ANIMAL PKODUCTS

The stability of yearly average price index numbers for anjmal products shows up in marked contrast to the wide swings of field product indexes。 Such averages hide, however, regular and pronounced seasonal movements of considerable magnitude. It is of interest that these movements are less distinct in post-war indexes. Milk prices have exerted a powerfol influence upon the animal product group, particularly in pre-war years, but an index series for this group exclusjve of milk, did not vary widely, except for short intervals, from the one shown in the tables which follow. The seasonal movement of milk prices has been more regular than for other animal products.

The animal product index dropped from 63.3 in 1890 to 56.3 in 1896. It then weved almost steadily upward to 100.0 in 1913. During the war years the rate of increase accelerated sharply, carrying animal products up to 197,9 in 1919. The subsequent decline was even more abrupt as revealed by the 1923 index of 123.5 . For the next five Faars an irregular advance occurred, culminating in 1928 at 148,4 . A second pronounced deoline carried tife index down to 770 in 7953 , although there were indications of a revival in prices during the latter part, of that yaar.

## ROTES OiN PMCES AE LBADMG MUNM PRDUCTS

Milk (at (cronto) - Milk prices are probably the most stable among those of the more important, animal products. They averaged 14.0 cents per gallon for the ten years begiming 1830, and 15.5 cents for setren years beginning 1900. A later prolonged rise reached a peak in 1920 when milk sol. for 35,6 cents per gallon. It was 14,4 cents in 1932, and 13.8 cents in 1.93\%.

Steers (Toronto - prices are only roughly comparable) - Average prices for steers dropped from $\$ 4.18$ in 1890 to $\$ 3.02$ per cwt. in 1896. Steer prices rose with minor interruptions from then until 1918 when they averaged 12.89 per $\mathrm{cw} \mathrm{t}_{0}$, the 1920 comparable figure being $\$ 12.74$. In 1933 good and choice steers over 1,050 pounds averaged $\$ 4.61$ per cwt.

Hogs (Toronto - prices are only roughly comparable) - Prices for hogs have behaved similarly to those for cattle except that they rose much higher in the years immediately following the war and did not subsequently decline as far. Only in the last two jears ( 1932 and 1933) have hog prices sunis to levels upon which cattle were sold. Hogs fell from $\$ 4.63$ per cwt , in 1890 to $\$ 3.87$ in 1896 , after a short intervening rise. At their post-war peak they averaged 18.66 per cwt. in 1919. Quotations for bacon hogs averaged 4.70 in 1932 and $\$ 5.66$ in 1933.

## NOTES ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF CANADIAN F'ARM PFOLUCT PFICE INDEXES, 1890-1913

The formula used in constructing the Bureau's farm product price indexes was the weighted arithmetic aggregative type usually credited to Laspeyres.

$$
\frac{\sum}{K} \frac{P_{1}-Q_{0}}{P_{0} Q_{0}}
$$

Instead of weights being calculated from data for the base year, 1913, they have been computed from decennial census of production figures for the years 1890, 1900 and 1910. It was deemed advisable to use 1913 as the base period in order to facilitate comparisons with other indezes which are related to prices in that year, but due to rapld changes in agriculture, 1913 production figures were not representative of the period 1890-1913. liestern Canadian wheat production, for example, increased from $27,000,000$ bushels in 1890 to $112,000,000$ in 1910, while sheep sold, declined from $1,500,000$ to 949,000 in the same interval.

Percentage Felation of Canadian Farm Production in 1890 , 1900 and $1910^{x}$ (Production in $1890=100.0$ )

|  | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apples .................................... | 100.0 | 230.0 | 240.0 |
| Barley, Eastern Canada | 100.0 | 128.1 | 168.8 |
| Barley, Western Canada .. | 100.0 | 133.3 | 171.4 |
| Oats | 100.0 | 186.8 | 296.7 |
| Peas | 100.0 | 82.2 | 34, 2 |
| Fye | 100.0 | 170.0 | 110.0 |
| Wheat, Eastern Canada | 100.0 | 157.1 | 142.9 |
| Wheat, Western Canada | 100.0 | 125.0 | 420.0 |
| Potatoes .0.0.0.0.0.0.0................. | 100.0 100.0 | 105,9 160.0 | 111.8 |
| Turnips .0.0...................................... | 100.0 100.0 | 160.0 100.0 | 180.0 |
| Heans ....... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 130.8 |
| Cattle, Eastern Canada | 100.0 | 111.5 | 142.3 |
| Cattle, Wiestern Canada | 100.0 | 125.0 | 150.0 |
| Hogs ................. | 100.0 | 140.0 | 156.0 |
| Sheep .... | 100.0 | 85.7 | 57.1 |
| Milk | 100.0 | 126.7 | 158.5 |
| Eggs | 100.0 | 150.0 | 250.0 |
| Fowl | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 113.3 \\ & 109: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 118.7 |

[^2]In order to determine the actual eirect of agricultural development apon price levels of farm products, three experimental sets of weights were computed from census data for 1890, 1900 and 1910. Fiesultant index numbers calculated for these three years show substantial differences as may be seen from the table which follows:

$$
\frac{\text { Index Numbers of Wholesule Prices of Farm Products }}{(1913=100)}
$$

|  | Vege table Products |  |  | inimal Products |  |  | Combined Index |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 |
| 1890 Neights | 80.5 | 78.1 | 96.1 | 63.5 | 68.3 | 89.2 | 76.3 | 75.7 | 94.4 |
| 1900 Weights | 84.4 | 71. 4 | 97.8 | 63.3 | 68.3 | 89.3 | 79.1 | 70.6 | 95.7 |
| 1910 Weights .0.000000000000 | 87.9 | 75.6 | 109.0 | 63.1 | 67.8 | 89.2 | 81.7 | 73.7 | 204.1 |
| Average Weights | 84.9 | 73.2 | 98.9 | 63.3 | 67.8 | 89.2 | 79.5 | 71.9 | 96.5 |

The importance of the weighting system is at once revealed by the divergent results which have been obtained in this test. There is nothing to choose between the three sets of weights so far as reliability of basic data and care of construction are concerned. It would appear, therefore, that accurate index number series for farm product prices covering this period should have weight adjustments made each year, but unfortunately lack of data makes this impossible. The most satisfactory alternative seems to be that which has been acopted; vizo, basing weights upon an average of production figures which were available for 1890, 1900 and 1910. Although this may introduce a small error in indexes, particularly in the years around 1910, it makes possible the use of the fixed base aggregative type of index which is superior in several important respects to chain indexes of the type that changing weights would make necessury.

It will be observed that differing weights affected vegetable product indexes more than those for animal products. The dominant position of milk in all sets of weights calculated was chiefly responsible for the close uniformity of animal product indexes. The lack of uniformity of vegetable product indexes can be traced fairly definitely to the growing importance of grain production in this period.

Indexes from 1890 to 1913 have been based upon 24 price series which repressat 10 principal field products and 9 important animal products; two sub-indexes have been computed showing animal and vegetable products separately.

This is exactly the same plan as adopted for the construction of the Bureau's farm procucts index covering years subsequent to 1913. For this period, however, it nas possible to obtain a more inclusive sample of 33 price series from 1913 to 1926, and 56 price series from that thime to the presont.

Pholesale Price Tndex Numbert of Farin Ayimal Products 1890 1035
$(1913-100)$

| Year |  | J | Feb | Mar | Apr. | Nay | e | y | Aug | Sept, | Oct, | N | Decio | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 68.5 | 67.8 | 66,8 | 66 |  | 61.5 | 59.6 | 57 | 57, 6 | 56.9 | 62.9 | 62. 9 | 62.3 |
| 92 |  | 65.1 | ?? | 67.4 |  |  |  | 56,8 |  |  | ? 4 | 68,1 | 68.3 | 62, 2 |
| 893 |  | 69.8 | 72.1 | ?2.4 | 67.3 |  | 59.3 | 58.5 | 57 | 5 ? | 58,1. | 65.3 | 64.4 | 64.8 |
| 1894 |  | 63.8 | , 0 | 9 | 60.7 |  |  | 53.1 | 53, 2 | 52.5 | 55.8 | 62. | 61.2 | 58.8 |
| 95 |  |  | 61.5 | 63.9 | 64.3 |  |  | 55.4 | 5,1 | 55.8 | , | 63,3 | 61.3 | 59.2 |
| 96 |  | 62.7 | 62.3 | 59.9 | 61.3 | 51.4 | 51.1 | 48.9 | 5] 2 | 52? | 2.8 | 1. | 62.5 | 56.3 |
| 97 |  | 62.5 | 63.4 | 83 | 61.8 | 55 | 54.3 | 55.4 | 55.6 | 56.1 | 57,1. | 63.4 | 64.1 | 59,6 |
| 898 |  | 66.1 | 68.0 | 67.1 | 63.5 | 56.1 |  | 59.0 | 59,8 | 55, ? | 56.8 | 65.6 | 64.9 | 61.6 |
| 99 |  | 67.3 | 67.1 | 66,2 | 67.8 | 3 | , 1 | 58,3 | 58.9 | .. 4 | 8. | 6.3 | 71.0 | 61.8 |
| 00 |  |  | 72.5 | 72.3 | 74.5 | 60. 1 | , 5 | 64.8 | 60.2 | 60.0 | 74.0 | 7.1 .3 | 74.? | 67.8 |
| 01 |  | 75 | ? 4.1 | 73.? | 1 |  | 62.3 | . 5 | 61.7 | 62.6 | 75.4 | 73.7 | 75.2 | 69.3 |
| 1.902 |  | 76.8 | 77, 7 | 76, 2 | 73.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | $65 . ?$ | 65.1 | 52. | 74 | 74. | 76,8 | 71.4 |
| 1903 |  |  | 74.2 | 7 | , 9 | 62.6 | 63.2 | . 5 | 531 | 62.1 | 74.5 | 74 | 73.2 | 69.4 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 79.9 | 74.3 |  |  | 59.8 | 60 | , 0 | 4,6 | 74.5 | 75 | 69.8 |
| 1905 |  | 75.4 | 75,6 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 2 | 64.1 | 5.4 | 54.5 | 64.6 | 78 | 78 | 79.8 | ?2. 4 |
| 6 |  | 80.2 | 78.9 | 78.8 | 9 | 65.7 | 66.7 | . 3 | 68.3 | 65.9 |  | 78.0 | 81.2 | 74.3 |
| 1907 |  | $8]$ | 83.2 | 84 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 77.5 | 69.1 | 87.5 | 89.2 | 84 | 9.0 |
| 88 |  |  | 83,8 | 8 | 84.1 |  |  | - | 73, 4 | 723 | 88.0 | 8? | 8 ? | 79.9 |
| 1.909 |  | 86.1 | 89.9 | 89.0 | 1 |  | 81.0 | 81.1 | 82.1 | 87.9 | 937 | 93. | 92.8 | 2 |
| 1910 |  | 92.6 | 93.5 | 9 | 94.0 | 82.7 | 84.9 | 5 | 82 | 83.4 | 94.1 | 92.6 | 91.9 | 89.2 |
| 1911 |  | 91.8 | 87.6 |  | 86.4 |  |  |  | . 1 | 78.8 | 7 | 89.9 | 91.3 | 84.5 |
| 2 |  | 92 |  |  | 94.9 |  | 88.1 |  |  |  | 8 | 100.5 | 101 | 92.9 |
| 1913 |  | 10 | 98 | 98 | 98,3 |  |  |  | 93.9 |  | 106.? | 11 | 119.6 | 100.0 |
| 1914 |  | 115 | 1.0 | 10 |  |  |  | 91.8 | 95 | 95.4 | 103.5 | 108.7 | 113.1 | 1.01 .7 |
| 191.5 |  | 11 | 70 |  |  |  |  | 93.4 | 94 | 98 | 113.5 | 116.5 | 120.3 | 103.2 |
| 1916 |  | 11 | II |  | 10 |  | 1.0 | 112.8 | 1.33 .4 | 119.1 |  | 137.3 | 150.8 | 18.7 |
| 191.7 |  |  | 151.6 |  |  | $1.48=1$ | 1.44 .5 | 1.46.2 | 1.57, 7 | , 4 | 163.7 | J 67 | 3 | 153.8 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170,0 | 1748 |  | 175.5 | 185,9 | 189,3 | 3. 5 |
| 19 |  |  | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 |  | 198.2 | 21 | ? | 21 | 218,9 | 22] 5 | 9 |
| 20 |  | 21 |  |  |  | 1.87.3 |  | 184.9 |  |  | 199. | 195.8 | 199.1 | 194.6 |
| 1927 |  | 198 | 170.9 |  | 1.39 .5 | 1.23 .5 | 12 | 1.84 .9 |  | 12 | 137.8 | 140.4 | 15 | 140 |
| 1922 |  | 136.4 |  | 1.20 .5 | 122.5 | 11.6,9 |  | $1.20,8$ | 了 2 ? 1 | 120.5 | 137 | 145.6 | 145.5 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 114.1 |  |  | 134,9 | 144.9 | 123.5 |
|  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  | 6 | 4 | 126:2 |
| 1925 |  | 14 | 142.0 |  | 124.0 |  |  | 124.8 | 125 | 1.3 | 141 | 152. | ]54.2 | 137.2 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.23, | 1: |  |  | 132 | 136,3 | 129:8 |
| 192 ? |  | 141. 7 |  |  | 137.5 |  |  |  | 1312 | $7.38,1$ |  | 146 | 152.9 | 137.2 |
| 1928 |  | 152.0 | 14 | 1.52.4 | J.50,? |  | 142.5 | 145.5 | 14R,7 | 15 | 15 | 1.50,7 | 151.9 | 148 |
| 1929 |  | 146.1 | 143.4 | 146,8 | 144 | 1.43, 8 |  | 142 , | 143.8 | 146.3 | 15. | 147,5 | 154.7 | 146 |
| 1930 |  | 157.1 | 153.2 | 145.8 | 145 , 1 | 1423 |  | 120.5 |  | 120 | 127 | 124.5 | 1.20,8 | 133.6 |
| 1931 |  | 119.5 | J13,6 | 109.9 | 106.2 | 99.4 |  | , 9 |  | 促 | 93:8 | 93.8 | 92, 4 | 100.? |
| 1932 |  | 88.9 | 85.8 | 84.6 | 80.1 | 75, 3 |  | 75,0 | 75.9 | 78. | 76.1 | 74.2 | 75 | 73-8 |
| 1933 |  | 75,2 | 71.0 | 72.? | 732 | 75.8 | 75.2 | 76,6 | 78.5 | 82,3 | 81.5 | 85.4 | 86.4 | 77.9 |

* Subject to revision.

Wholesale Price Tndex Numbers of Farm Field Products
$1890-1933$
$(1913=100)$

| Year | Jan | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 78.4 | 78. | 77.2 | 80.4 | 83,2 | 93.3 | 90.9 | 96.6 | 95.2 | 85.7 | 80, 8 | 80.2 | 84.9 |
| 91 | 84, 8 | 86.9 | 90.5 | 96,8 | 99.0 | 97.6 | 100.1 | 99.0 | 87.8 | 80.4 | 81.7 | 81.4 | 90.4 |
| 1892 | 80.7 | 77,0 | 82.4 | 82.4 | 78.8 | 77.0 | 77.6 | 76.9 | 77.1 | 75.0 | 75.6 | 79.2 | 78.3 |
| 93 | 76.2 | 74. | 78.0 | 83.1 | 86.2 | 86.5 | 81.2 | 89.3 | ?5.1 | 69.3 | 69.5 | 67.0 | 77.8 |
| 1.894 | 66.5 | 68.1 | 69.9 | 70, 4 | 70.1 | 71.8 | 71.1 | 68.3 | 64.3 | 61.0 | 63.5 | 63.1 | 67.2 |
| 95 | 67.1 | 67.3 | 72.4 | 74.3 | 77.1 | 88.4 | 86.5 | 87.2 | 65.9 | 66.0 | 67.1 | 66.2 | 73.0 |
| 1896 | 65.7 | 70.5 | 73.2 | 73.7 | 72.2 | 70.6 | 69.2 | 70.5 | 64.1 | 66.8 | 70.9 | 72.7 | 70.0 |
| 189? | 72.3 | 66.0 | 59.4 | 60.0 | 66.2 | 67.0 | 65.1 | 68.5 | 72.5 | 73.5 | 77.0 | 77.3 | 67.6 |
| 1898 | 83.1 | 84.2 | 87.5 | 86.7 | 100.3 | 97.8 | 80.2 | 80.1 | 71.2 | 64.9 | 68.6 | 68.0 | 81.0 |
| 1899 | 69.9 | 69.9 | 67.0 | 72.5 | 72.0 | 73.3 | 79.6 | 77.6 | 70.8 | 70.1 | 67.9 | 69.9 | 72. 2 |
| 1900 | 69.1 | 71.7 | 71. 9 | 72.4 | 71,9 | 72.7 | 77.6 | 74.2 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 73.2 | 73.3 | 73.2 |
| 1901 | 78.3 | 80.0 | 80.2 | 79.8 | 81,3 | 82.0 | 76.0 | 78.2 | 77.3 | 74.3 | 80.7 | 84.8 | 79.7 |
| 1902 | 89.2 | 85.0 | 83.4 | 82.8 | 86.0 | 87.2 | 87.5 | 86.4 | 82.7 | 73.? | 77.1 | 79.6 | 83.3 |
| 1903 | 8 C .6 | 84.3 | 82. 5 | 82.4 | 88.7 | 91.0 | 97.8 | 97.3 | 91.6 | 88.8 | 88.3 | 85.0 | 87.7 |
| 1904 | 85.9 | 86.8 | 98.7. | 94.5 | 92.3 | 95.5 | 91.0 | 93.6 | 93.5 | 90.1 | 87.6 | 86.6 | 91.4 |
| 1905 | 91.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 92.1 | 90.7 | 92.3 | 93.4 | 89.5 | 77.5 | 76.4 | 82.6 | 83.0 | 88.1 |
| 1906 | 82.3 | 82.9 | 78.6 | 79,2 | 85,8 | 86,6 | 91.0 | 87.8 | 83.7 | 86.9 | 88.2 | 88.4 | 85.0 |
| 1907 | 92.6 | 90,2 | 90.1 | 94.3 | 96.? | 114.4 | 1.14 .4 | 111.8 | 110.5 | 113.4 | 121.0 | 118.1 | 105.3 |
| 1908 | 124.2 | 114.7 | 116.7 | 11.3 .4 | 114.9 | 113.3 | 1.04. 4 | 105.4 | 102.0 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 99.2 | 108.0 |
| 1909 | 108,? | 111.3 | 117.3 | 123.9 | 3.28.7 | 137.2 | 139.3 | 124.4 | 11.0 .3 | 111.5 | 11.0.6 | 110,8 | 119,4 |
| 1.910 | 100,? | 103.5 | 104.5 | 105.0 | 101.2 | 99.0 | 95.7 | 101. $\mathrm{E}^{\text {k }}$ | 98.4 | 94.6 | 90. 2 | 93.0 | 98.9 |
| 1911 | 93.? | 95.1 | 93:, 6 | 92.3 | 100.8 | 103.7 | 111.0 | 115.8 | 115.0 | 115.4 | 115.4 | 118.2 | 105,8 |
| 1.912 | 121.0 | 127.5 | 128.0 | 130,8 | 13? 4 | 149.1 | 134,0 | 122.? | 116.0 | 108.6 | 106.0 | 105.0 | 123.7 |
| 1913 | 100,8 | 98,9 | 95.6 | 97.6 | 101.3 | 102. 5 | 101.6 | 105.8 | 99.6 | 96.0 | 99.3 | 100.5 | 100.0 |
| 1914 | 100.5 | 104.5 | 106.0 | 105.5 | 113,0 | 113.4 | 11.8.3 | 124.0 | 124.0 | 122. 2 | 127.8 | 127.4 | 116.0 |
| 1915 | 143.1 | 157.5 | 1.52,9 | 158.2 | 158,8 | 134,1 | 140,6 | 125,6 | 106.2 | 112. 8 | 120.4 | 126.9 | 136.4 |
| 1916 | 142.8 | 144.4 | 136.3 | 147., 1 | 143.6 | 138,1 | 142.3 | 161.5 | 168.0 | 179.5 | 206.1 | 187.9 | 157.5 |
| 1917 | 196.3 | 198.6 | 215.4 | 245,8 | $291 . ?$ | 273.7 | 267.8 | 256.1 | 226.0 | 223.9 | 232.1 | 233.3 | 238.4 |
| 1918 | 237.2 | 240,0 | 238.8 | 233.7 | 228.5 | 227.5 | 230.5 | 230.6 | 234.8 | 234.2 | 238.7 | 234.7 | 234.0 |
| 1919 | 232.5 | 229.9 | 232.8 | 239.6 | 25.1, 2 | 250.9 | 236.4 | 244,8 | 271.0 | 266.4 | 274.1 | 281.9 | 252.7 |
| 1920 | 298.2 | 307.4 | 311. 5 | 324.3 | 345,6 | 344.? | 327.4 | 303.0 | 282. 5 | 254. 4 | 235,0 | 219.7 | 295,3 |
| 1921 | 21.2 .5 | 197.1 | 1948 | 183, 8 | 186.4 | 181. 4 | 180.5 | 194.0 | 172.0 | 144.8 | 141.6 | 139,3 | 177,9 |
| 1922 | 141.0 | 1.58.0 | 163.1 | 164.6 | 167.1 | 157.5 | 158.5 | 140.9 | 119.2 | 118.3 | 126,2 | 125.7 | 144,3 |
| 1923 | 124.3 | 1.28 .5 | 330.6 | 139,9 | 140, 6 | 139,3 | 132.7 | 1.37 .3 | 134.0 | 122.3 | 119.7 | 11.6.6 | 130.0 |
| 1924 | 123.3 | 125:4 | 126.6 | 124.7 | 132-4 | 142.8 | 158,0 | 166.4 | 157.2 | 165.9 | 168.5 | 175.4 | 146.6 |
| 1925 | 195.2 | 195.6 | 177, ? | 1.60 .4 | 131.0 | 173. 7 | 169.6 | 174:0 | 151.7 | 148:6 | 174.5 | 184.4 | 174.1 |
| 1926 | 186.4 | 182.5 | 176.2 | 188.4 | 183.6 | 179.7 | 184.9 | 176.0 | 163.8 | 171.9 | 163.9 | 165.5 | 177.4 |
| 1.92 ? | 163.9 | 1.67 .1. | 169.4 | 173.1. | 189.1 | 1.97 : 1 | $195 . ?$ | 189,1. | 174.0 | 171.2 | 170.5 | 167.8 | 177.8. |
| 1928 | 168.7 | 170,1 | 179.2 | 189,3 | 188.9 | 174.0 | 162.5 | 148.8 | 145.5 | 150.8 | 149.3 | 146. 5 | 164.3 |
| 1929 | 151.3 | 160.9 | 15?,9 | 153.3 | 146.2 | 148.5 | 189.1 | 191.9 | 185,7 | 176.5 | 167.3 | 169.3 | 166.4 |
| 1.930 | 162.9 | 1.51, 1. | 139.1. | 145,6 | 1.44.2 | 140.1 | 127.9 | 118.9 | 103.2 | 95.4 | 86.2 | 76.5 | 124.2 |
| 1931 | 74.9 | 79,8 | 78.6 | 81.6 | 82. 3 | 81. 6 | 77.2 | 73.8 | 71,8 | 74.2 | 83.2 | 77.0 | 77.3 |
| 32 | 75.? | 78.6 | 80,0 | 80.5 | 80.7 | 73.6 | 75.6 | 75,0 | 70.1 | 65.3 | 64.4 | 59.3 | 72.9 |
| 1933 ${ }^{\text {x }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 62,3 | 63.9 | 67.4 | 72.9 | 83.2 | 87.6 | 107.9 | 97.4 | 87,8 | 79.1 | 82.8 | 80.4 | 81.1 |

x. Subject to revision.

Vholesale Price Index Numbers of Canadian Farm Products
$1890-1933$
( $191.3=100$ )

| Year |  | Feb | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890 | 75.5 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 77.4 | 77.2 | 85.4 | 83.5 | 87.4 | 86.6 | 79.5 | 77.0 | 76.9 | 79.5 |
| 1891 | 80.7 | 82.1 | 84.6 | 89.2 | 89.3 | 88.6 | 90,0 | 88.7 | 80.3 | 74.5 | 77.0 | 76.8 | 83.4 |
| 1892 | 76.8 | 74.4 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 74.0 | 72.1 | 72.4 | 72.2 | 72.0 | 70.6 | 73.7 | 76.5 | 74.3 |
| 1893 | 74.6 | 73.8 | 76.6 | 79,2 | 79.7 | 79.7 | 75.5 | 81.4 | 70.6 | 66.5 | 68.5 | 66.4 | 74.6 |
| 1894 | 65.8 | 67.1 | 67.9 | 68.0 | 65.9 | 67.2 | 66.6 | 64.5 | 61.4 | 59.7 | 63.2 | 62.6 | 65.1 |
| 1895 | 65.8 | 65.9 | 70.3 | 71.8 | 71.8 | 80.4 | 78.7 | 79.4 | 63.2 | 62.7 | 66.2 | 65.0 | 69.6 |
| 1896 | 65.0 | 68.5 | 69.9 | 70.6 | 67.0 | 65.7 | 64.1 | 65.7 | 61.1 | 63, 3 | 68.5 | 70.2 | 66.6 |
| 1897 | 69.9 | 65.4 | 60.3 | 80.5 | 63.5 | 63.8 | 62.7 | 65.5 | 68.4 | 69.4 | 73.6 | 74.0 | 65.6 |
| 1898 | 78.9 | 80.2 | 82.4 | 80.9 | 89.3 | 87.5 | 74.9 | 75.0 | 67.3 | 62.9 | 67.9 | 67.2 | 76.2 |
| 1899 | 69.3 | 69.2 | 66.8 | 71.3 | 68.3 | 69.8 | 74.3 | 72.4 | 67.5 | 67.3 | 67.5 | 70,2 | 69.6 |
| 1900 | 69.8 | 71.9 | 72.0 | 72.9 | 69.0 | 70.7 | 74.4 | 70.7 | 72.5 | 76.1 | 72.7 | 73.7 | 71.9 |
| 1901 | 77.5 | 78.5 | 78.6 | 78.4 | 76.2 | 77.1 | 72.6 | 74.1 | 73.6 | 74.6 | 79.0 | 82.4 | 77.1 |
| 1.902 | 86.1 | 83.0 | 81.6 | 80.5 | 80.9 | 81., 9 | 82.1 | 81.3 | 77.7 | 74.0 | 76.5 | 78.9 | 80.3 |
| 1903 | 79.9 | 81.8 | 80.1 | 80.3 | 82.2 | 84.1 | 89.0 | 88.8 | 84.2 | 85.' | 84.8 | 82.1 | 83.1 |
| 1904 | 83.4 | 84.6 | 93.6 | 89.5 | 84.4 | 87.1 | 83.2 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 86.2 | 84.3 | 83.7 | 86.0 |
| 1905 | 87.1 | 89.3 | 89.5 | 88.2 | 84.6 | 85.3 | 86.4 | 33.3 | 74.3 | 76.9 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 84.2 |
| 1906 | 81.8 | 81.9 | 78.7 | 79.4 | 80.8 | 81.06 | 85.6 | 82.9 | 73.3 | 85.0 | 85.7 | 86.6 | 82. 3 |
| 1907 | 89.9 | 88.5 | 88.6 | 91.0 | 90.3 | 104.4 | 103.9 | 101.7 | 100.2 | 106.9 | 113.1 | 109.7 | 98.7 |
| 1908 | 114.2 | 107.0 | 108.8 | 106.1 | 104.2 | 102.8 | 96.5 | 97.4 | 94.6 | 96.5 | 95.0 | 96.3 | 102.0 |
| 1909 | 103.1 | 106.0 | 110.2 | 113.2 | 116.2 | 123.2 | 124.8 | 113.8 | 103.2 | 107.1 | 106.3 | 106.3 | 111.1 |
| 1910 | 38.7 | 101.0 | 102.0 | 10\%\% 3 | 96.6 | 95.5 | 92.7 | 36.6 | 34.7 | 34.6 | 90.8 | 32.7 | 96.5 |
| 1911 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 91.9 | 90.8 | 94.3 | 97.3 | 102.7 | 106.6 | 106.0 | 108,7 | 109.0 | 111.5 | 100.5 |
| 1912 | 113.9 | 119.8 | 119.5 | 121.8 | 125.1 | 133,9 | 122.1 | 113.6 | 108.7 | 106.4 | 104.6 | 104.1. | 116,0 |
| 1913 | 101.0 | 98, 6 | 96.0 | 97.4 | 97.5 | 99,5 | 98,7 | 10\%, 1 | 98.6 | 99.5 | 103.8 | 107.2 | 100.0 |
| 1914 | 105.9 | 106.4 | 106.2 | 102.9 | 105.0 | 105.1 | 108.6 | 113.6 | 113.5 | 115.4 | 120,8 | 122.R | 110.8 |
| 1915 | 131.9 | 139,6 | 133.7 | 136.0 | 134.2 | 118,4 | 123, 4 | 114.3 | 103.4 | 113.0 | 118.9 | 124.5 | 124.3 |
| 1916 | 133.7 | 132,6 | 126.9 | 129.0 | 129.1 | 126,8 | 131.. 5 | 143.9 | 150.1 | 161.7 | 181.0 | 174.4 | 143.3 |
| 1917 | 181.6 | 181.4 | 187.3 | 207.1 | 239.2 | 226.5 | 223.4 | 220.1 | 201.7 | 201.9 | 208.4 | 211.0 | 207.5 |
| 1918 | 215.4 | 216.9 | 211.2 | 207.0 | 205.2 | 204.4 | 208, 4 | 210.2 | 213.3 | 212.8 | 219.5 | 218.1 | 211.9 |
| 1919 | 218.6 | 212.9 | 213.1 | 220.8 | 227.0 | 2え8.0 | $2 k \% .4$ | 232.9 | 246.9 | 245.7 | 253.8 | 259.7 | 232.5 |
| 1920 | 268.9 | 272.1 | 271.3 | 277.3 | 287.4 | 281.7 | 275,1 | 259.2 | 253.1 | 234.1 | 220.6 | 212.1 | 258.2 |
| 1921 | 207.5 | 187.5 | 180,3 | 167.5 | 163.3 | 161.2 | 160.1 | 171.7 | 156.1 | 14:\% 3 | 141.2 | 145.1 | 164.2 |
| 1922 | 139.3 | 149.4 | 147.4 | 149.1 | 148,6 | 147.5 | 144.6 | 133.2 | 119.7 | 123.0 | 133.3 | 133.0 | 138.5 |
| 1923 | 128.2 | 128.5 | 127.4 | 132.4 | 132.4 | 128.3 | 123.9 | 128.8 | 128.8 | 123.0 | 125.3 | 127.0 | 127.5 |
| 1924 | 128.2 | 128.? | 12\%.8 | 119.7 | 122.3 | 129.4 | 139.7 | 148.4 | 142.9 | 153.5 | 158.6 | 165.1 | 139.2 |
| 1925 | 178.4 | 175.8 | 160,0 | 146.8 | 157.1 | 153.7 | 153.0 | 156.0 | 144.5 | 146.1 | 166.7 | 173,2 | 160.5 |
| 1926 | 167.9 | 164.3 | 161.4 | 166.7 | 160.3 | 157.9 | 161.1 | 155.5 | 154.5 | 157.6 | 156.6 | 156.1 | 159.8 |
| 1927 | 157.4 | 157.9 | 159.2 | 160.9 | 167.2 | 171.0 | 170.3 | 167.0 | 161.7 | 161.9 | 163.3 | 165.1 | 163.2 |
| 1928 | 165.1 | 164.6 | 171.1 | 176.1 | 171,8 | 163.8 | 158.7 | 151. 5 | 151.8 | 154.2 | 153.6 | 152.6 | 160.3 |
| 1929 | 152.8 | 156,8 | 156.6 | 153.1 | 148.6 | 148.8 | 171.9 | 174.5 | 172.1 | 169.2 | 16:.2 | 167.0 | 161.2 |
| 1930 | 164.1 | 155.8 | 14.5 .6 | 148.9 | 146,9 | 137.6 | 127.5 | 121,0 | 113, 8 | 112.5 | 105.9 | 98.8 | 131.5 |
| 1931 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 92.2 | 89.5 | 87.3 | 86.1 | 84.1 | 85.0 | 90.1 | 86.0 | 30.6 |
| 1932 | 80.6 | 81.3 | 81.7 | 80.4 | 78.7 | 74.1 | 75.4 | 75.3 | 73.2 | 69.3 | 68.1 | 65.3 | 75.1 |
| 7.933 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 67.1 | 66.6 | 69.4 | 73.0 | 80.4 | 83.0 | 96.2 | 90.3 | 85.7 | 80.0 | 83.8 | 32.6 | 79.8 |

[^3]
## Price Sartige and Mangty af Canation Farm Prulucts Index

$2830-1913$

| Series ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veuctable Products |  |  |
| Apples, good seasonable, Toronto .o.0................. | 3,160,000 | bbls. |
| 3 urley, Ontario, No, 2, Toronto | 8,530,000 | bus. |
| Warley, Western malting, Toronto | 2,840,000 | bus. |
| Oats, No. 2 white, Ontario, Toronto ................. | 35,510,000 | bus. |
| Dats, No. 2 Viestern, Winnipeg 0000.0 .00000000 | 17,750,000 | bus. |
| Peas, No. 2 white, Ontario, Toronto .............. | 5,310,000 | bus. |
| Iye, No. 2, Ontario, Toronto .................... | 1,300,000 | bus. |
| Wheat No, 1 Manitoba Northern, Winnipeg .............. | 43,180,000 | bus. |
| Wheat No. 2 Ontario, Toronto .................... | 14,270,000 | bus. |
| Potatnes, Ontario, Toronto $0000000000000 \ldots 0.000$ | 18,000,000 | 90 1b bags |
| lurnips, Ontario, Toronto ...0.0.0............................. | 4,850,000 | 75 1b.bags |
| Beans, handpicked, Toronto | 620,000 | bus, |
|  | 2,880,000 | tons |
| Animat Procuatis |  |  |
| Cattle, prime Western, Winnipeg 000000000000000000000 | 1,010,000 | cwt。 |
| Cattle, butchers choice steers, Toronto | 3,040,000 | cwt |
| Hogs, choice selects, Toronto | 3,340,000 | cwt. |
| Sheep, export ewes, Toronto 0000000000000000000000 | 560,000 | cwt. |
| Hides, cattle, No. 1 inspected, Toronto $\ldots \ldots .$. | 75,000,000 | 1bs. |
| Calfskins, green, No, 1, Toronto 0000000000000000000. | 500,000 | 1 bs 。 |
|  | 380,000,000 | gals. |
| Eggs, storage, Toronto 000000000000000000000000000 | 79,360,000 | doz. |
| Fowl, Montreal 0,0001000000000000000000000000000000 | 34,490,000 | Ibs. |
| Wool, Ontario, washed, Toronto $00200 \ldots \ldots$ | 4,390,000 | Ibs. |
| Wool, Ontario, unwashed, Toronto .0.0.0............. | 7,180,000 | lbs. |

8 A11 these price series appear in "Wholescle Prices, Canada, 1890-1909," published by the Dominion Department of Labour in 1910.

# Irice Pertes and Wesghts of Sanadian Ramproducte Index $1913-1926$ 

Series ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$

## Weight

## Vegetable Products

Apples, Spies, No. 1, Torento $\qquad$ Barley, Ontario, No, 3, Good Malting, Toronto ., 2000 Barley, No, 3, Cow , Fort William and Port, Arthur .0 Oats, No, 2, White, Ontario, Toronto 100000000000.
Oats, No. 2, CoW., Fort William and Port Arthur
Peas, No, 2, Mhite, Toronto $\qquad$
Fye, No, 2, Ontario, Toronto $\qquad$
Wheat, No, I, Manitoba Northern, Fort William and Port Arthur
Wheat, No, 2, Ontario, Toronto
Potatoes, Ontario, Composite $\qquad$
Turnips, Toronto
Hey, Timothy, Baled No, I, Toronto

## Animal Products

Cattle, Western, Winnipeg $\qquad$
Cattle, Choice steers, Toronto $\qquad$
Hogs, Selects, F.O.B. Toronto
Sheep, Choice, Toronto $\qquad$
Beef Hides, No, 1 City Cured (all weights), Toronto. Calfskins, No, I City Cured (all weights), Toronto . Milk, fresh, Producers price, Toronto Milk, fresh, Wholesale price, Halifax Milk, fresh, Producers price, Montreal
$\qquad$ Eggs, storage No. 1, Toronto $\qquad$
Fowl, dressed, Toronto Wool, Ontario, unwashed, Toronto

2,000,000 bel.s.
2,000,000 bua.
6,500,000 bu:s.
$8,000,000$ bus.
$45,000,000$ bus.
1,000,000 bus. 750,000 bus.

200,000,000 bus.
$10,500,000$ bu:.
11,250,000 bage
4,500,000 75 1b。bags
2,000,000 tons

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
562,500 & \text { cwt. } \\
1,687,500 & \text { cwt. } \\
2,500,000 & \text { cwt. } \\
80,000 & \text { cwt. } \\
100,000,000 & \text { lbs. } \\
1,000,000 & \text { lbs. } \\
20,312,500 & 8 \text { gal cans } \\
29,800,000 & \text { gals. } \\
78,500,000 & \text { gals. } \\
70,000,000 & \text { dos. } \\
28,000,000 & \text { lbs. } \\
28,000,000 & \text { lbs. }
\end{array}
$$

[^4]
## Price Series and Weights of Canadian Farm Products 1926-1933

| Series ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Weights for } \\ & \text { Individual } \\ & \text { Price Series } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group } \\ & \text { Weights } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apples 0.0.0.cen000.00000000.0.0.0.0......... 2.5 |  |  |  |
| Grains 0.00000000000000000000.0000.0.0.0. 84.7 |  |  |  |
| Barley, No, 3, C.W., Fort William andPort' irthur, No.Barley, No, 4, C.Fi, Fort William andPort Arthur,Barley, Feed, Toronto |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Barley, Ontario, Good Malting, Toronto .... 3,000,000 bus. |  |  |  |
| Corn, American Yellow, No. 2, Toronto ..... <br> Corn, American Yellow, No. 3, Toronto ..... | 50\% 5 | 12,000,000 |  |
| Flax, No. I, NoW.C., Fort William and Port Arthur Flax, No, 2, N.W.C., Fort Villiam and |  |  |  |
| Port Arthur |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Oats, No, 2, GoWo, Fort Villiam and Port Arthur <br> Oats, No, 3, CoW., Fort Villliam and Port Arthur <br> Oats, No, 1, Feed (Western), Fort Filliam and Port Arthur <br> Oats, No, 2, Feed (Vestern), Fort Fillium and Port Arthur |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Oats, Ontario, Good, Sound, Heavy, Toronto.$10,000,000$ |  |  |  |
| Peas, No. 2, white, Ontario, Toronto ...... 2,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Fye, No, 2, C.lio, Fort William and Port <br>  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Fye, No, 2, Ontario, Toronto ............. 2,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Wheat, No. 1, Manitoba Northern, Fort <br> William and Port Arthur ................... 35\% ) |  |  |  |
| Wheat, Na.2, Manitoba Northern, FortWiliam and Port Arthur |  |  |  |
| Wheat, No. 3, Manitoba Northern, Fort William and Port Arthur | 40\% |  |  |
| Wheat No, 2, Ontario, Toronto ............. |  | 20,000,000 |  |

## Price Series and Veights of Canadien Furm Products 1926 - 1933 (Continued)

| Series ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Weights for Individual Price Series |  | Group Weight $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco ............................................... |  |  | 1.9 |
| Tobacco, Burley, High grade, Producers Price | 16,000,000 | 1bs. |  |
| Tobacco, harne or Flue Cured, Producers <br> Price (average of 4 grades) | 5,000,000 | lbs. |  |
| Tobacco, Dark alr cured, Producers Price ... | 4,000,000 | lbs. |  |
| Tobacco, Dark fired, Producers Price ....... | 2,000,000 | lbs . |  |
| Tobacco, Quebec Cigar Leaf, Producers Price. | 3,000,000 | lbs. |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |
| Potatoes, composite price .................. |  |  | 6.8 |
| Turnips, Toronto | 4,500,000 | bags |  |
| Carrots, Toronto .............................. | 1,000,000 |  | 0.8 |
| Parsnips, Toronto .......................... | 1,000,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.2: |
| Onions, Vancouver | $150,000$ | cwt. | 0.2 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Hay, composite price ........................ | 1,000,000 | tons | 2.7 |
| Straw, baled, composite price .............. | 300,000 | tons | 0.4 |
| GROUP VEIGHT - VEGETABLE PRODUCTS |  |  | 62.6 |
| Livestock ............................................... |  |  | 24.1 |
| Cattle, Steers, good and choice (over 1,050 lbs.) Toronto |  |  |  |
| Cattle, Steers, good and choice (over 1,050 lbs.) Winnipeg | 1 1) 60\% |  |  |
| Calves, good veal, Toronto | 5) 5\% |  |  |
| Calves, good veal, Winnipeg | 3 ) 5\% |  |  |
| Hogs, thick, smooth, Toronto ................ | 2) |  |  |
| Hogs, thick, smooth, Finnipeg ............... | 2) 30\% |  |  |
| Hogs, thick, smooth, Montreal .............. | $1)$ |  |  |
| Lambs, good handy weights, Toronto ......... | 6 ) |  |  |
| Lambs, good handy Veights, Hinnipeg ......... | 1) 5\% |  |  |
| Lambs, good hancty weights, Montreal ........ | 3) |  |  |

# Price Series and Weights of Canadian Farm Products 1926-1933 (Concluded) 

| Series ${ }^{\text {X }}$ | Weights for Individual Price Series | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group } \\ & \text { Weights } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hides and skins |  | 5.7 |
| Cattle Hides, country cured, Toronto ....... Cattle Hides, native steers (packer) Toront Calf Skins, city cured, Toronto ............. Sheep Skins, city cured, Toronto ............. Sheep Skins, country cured, Toronto ........ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,000,000 \\ 40,000,000 \\ 5,000,000 \\ 500,000 \\ 500,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| Poultry |  | 4.2 |
| Fowl | 65,000,000 |  |
| Milk, Fresh, composite price ........ | 1,000,000,000 | 52.1 |
| Eggs, Composite price ............................. |  | 12.8 |
|  | \$45,000,000 |  |
| Kool |  | 1.1 |
| Raw Wool, Eastern bright, $\frac{1}{4}$ blood, Toronto Haw Hool, Western Kange, $1 / 2$ blood, Toronto Raw hool, Domestic bright, $3 / 8$ blood, Toronto | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| GROUP WEIGHT - ANIMAL |  | 37.4 |

[^5]


[^0]:    1 monat，barloy，onta，yy，seeds，vegetroblas，xrutts，tohacon，hay，cattle，hides and 3xins，milk enc osearn，

[^1]:    3 bugines: fnnale - Thorpe and Mitriell. Face 302

[^2]:    x Amounts sold instead of amountsproduced are shown for Animal Products.

[^3]:    $x$ Subject to revision.

[^4]:    * Price series for these items appear in Department of Labour annual reports, "Hholesale Prices" - 1913-1917, and "Prices and Price Indexes" - 1918-1926.

[^5]:    x Prices for these items published in Prices and Price Indexes, 1928-1933.

