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## AN ANALYSIS OF DETALLED FHMLY BUDGETAKY EXPENDITUKLS <br> REPOFTED BY 53 DOMINION CIVIL SEFVIUE EMPLOYEES

FACTS RELATING TO DATA OBTAINED
The period covered by this investigation extended from May lst，1931，to April 30th，1932．Schedules were sent to 341 civil servants in all parts of the Dominion， of whom it was known that they kept expense accounts，and 53 of the returns gave sufficient detail to be of use．This was considered a favourable response for voluntary returns involving a great amount of labour．At the same time such a sample is far from being adeçuate for an authoritative budgetary study，and the following results are published only because of the complete lack of any comprehensive statistical investigations of this type based upon Canadian data．

Of the 53 schedules used， 34 showed expenditures ranging from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 2,499$ ， and centering around $\$ 1,900$ ．The number of persons per family related to different income groups is shown in Table＂A＂following：
TABLE＂A＂＝SIZE OF FAMILY FELAATED TO AUNONT OF INCONE


In all except seven cases, families of more than two persons have been composed solely of parents and children. There were eight dependents such as fathers, sisters, etco, of parents in these seven families. In 11 families from one to three sons and daughters had reached maturity and were not members of the household, although in eight of the 11 there were still one or two children left at home, of which account was taken in this investigation. Details regarding the composition and size of families follow in Table "B".

TABLE "B" - COMPOSITION OF 53 FAMLLIES INCLUDED IN THE INVESTIGATION

| Number of Persons | Families with no Dependents | Families with one Lependent | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 3 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| 4 | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| 5 | 4 | 0 | . 4 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 x | 2 |
| 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 46 | 7 | 53 |

$x$ Family with two dependents and three children past maturity, no longer members of the household.

The above table covers a total of 186 persons, made up of 106 parents, 72 children, and 8 dependents. The ages of the children are shom in Table "C" following, which also indicates the relation of family income to the number of children. This is somewhat misleading, since the incomes of families reporting were concentrated for the most part between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$, so that there could not be a very large percentage of children in the higher income groups, simply because very few cases fell within them. The number of children in these groups, however, was roughly proportional to the number of cases, as will be seen from the percentage distribution of families and children according to income ranges which follows:

| Income Group | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000- \\ & \$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500- \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & \$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,500 and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of families included | 19 | 38 | 26 | 17 |
| Percentage of children included | 24 | 37 | 22 | 17 |

TABLE "C" - AGE DISTELBUTION OF CHLLDFEN FELATED TO FARILY INCOME GROUPS

| Income Group | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000- \\ & \$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500- \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & \$ 2,439 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 2,500$ and over | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | 9 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 5 |
| 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| 6 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |
| 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 9 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 10 | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 |
| 13 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| 14 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 17 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 19 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 20 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Total | 17 | 27 | 16 | 12 | 72 |

It has been possible to obtain for 41 of the 53 families reporting, a comparison of income and expenditure, which unfortunately does not cover quite the sume period as the present investigation. These data are given in Table "D" $\hat{\text { rollowing, which despite the meagre number of cases included, shows }}$ fairly clearly the difference in financial position between the low and moderate income groups. Only 10 out of 24 families with an income of less than $\$ 2,000$ have balanced budgets, while in the $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,999$ group 14 out of 17 families apparently were able to show credit balances for the year.

TABLE "D" - INCUIE EXPIESSED AS A PERCENTAGE OF EXPENDITURE, CLASSIFILD ACCOKDING TO INCOWE GROUPS ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$

| $\$ 1,000$. <br> \$1,499 | $\$ 1,500$ <br> \$1. 999 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000 \\ & \$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500 \\ & \$ 2,999 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 persons | 2 persons | 2 persons | 3 persons |
| 92,0 | 97.1 | 96.7 | 1.03 .0 |
| 98.8 | 105.7 | 114.5 | 4 persons |
| 100,2 | 1.07 .6 | 3 persons | 110,8 |
| 3 persons | 3 persons | 100.1 | 116.6 |
| 94.2 | 86.8 | 100.8 | 5 persons |
| 100.0 | 90.7 | 112.9 | 1.01 ? |
| 4 persons | 95.5 | 113.9 |  |
| 87.7 | 105.5 | 11.6 .5 |  |
| 5 persons | 4 persons | 4 persons |  |
| 97.8 | 80, 4 | 891 |  |
|  | 97. ? | 100.0 |  |
|  | 94.3 | 100. 1 |  |
|  | 98,2 | 100.6 |  |
|  | 98,6 | 116.5 |  |
|  | 100, 0 | 5 persons |  |
|  | 100.0 | 88.1 |  |
|  | 100.0 |  |  |
|  | 100.1. |  |  |
|  | 109,8 |  |  |

x Each percentage figure represents an individual family.

Since the range in expenditure and size of family was considerable, it was decided to use the data obtained to build up budgets for two and four yerson families with expenditures typical of the $\$ 1,500-2.499$ group mentioned above。

The basic material has been furnished from family expense accounts, and where these had not been organized along the same lines as the schedule used in the inquiry, estimates have been made from them where possible by the families reporting。

## EXPLANATION OF PROCEDURE IN CALCULATING BUDGETS

A. FOOD

In order that all data available might be used, the food budget was constructed from estimates of consumption per person, and cost per unit of each item. This made possible the use of $k l l$ schedules, instead of the number actually returned by two and four person families with expenditures between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,499$. The average age of the eldest child was found to be slightly over eight yeals, and that of the average second child, about six years This information was considered necesstry since children at different ages consume varying amounts of food. The U.S. Buretu of Labor Statistics has reckned the food consumption of children from 7 to 10 years of age at an average of $75 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}$, of the consumption of a typical adult male, and has made a similar estimatie of $40 \mathrm{p}: \mathrm{c}$, for children of 4 to 6 years, In tr $\exists$ light of these figures and calculations made by $D_{r}$. Graham lusk in his treatise on
"The Fundamental Basis of Nutrition" the first child has been credited with consuming 60 poc 。 of an average adult's food allowance, and the second child's consumption has been placed at $40 \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{c}$. This made the food consumption of the four person family equivalent to that for three adults, so that the food items in Table "F" are only 50 p.cogreater for the four person family than for the two person family.
B. GHLLTEP

This amount has been based upon the more comprehensive data of a rachut investigation which showed results for 264 families of two persons, 361 Pumilies of three persons, and 170 families of four persons with incomes ranging from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 2,499$.

C。 FUEL AND LICHT
In view of the numerous combinations of fuels used, consumption ingure: of average amounts of all fuels listed would be meaningless. Calculations Lave been made for each major heating fuel plus average amounts of electricity and gas used. They indicate that costs in the same area vary between small limits for beating by means of hard coal, soft coal, and coke.

## D. OLOMING

The two person fumily budget consists of annusl cost figures for husbandand wife. In the four person budget average costs shown for first and second children are added. To obtain these, boys and girls are given equal weight. Figures shown for boys and girls were quite similar, so that differing weights would have affected final results very little. All clothing date were used, as no correlation between clothing expenditure and size of income was discernible, due no doubt to the limited range of incomes covered.

## R. MISCGMLMEOUS ITEAS

Since there wias an appreciable difference between outlays under this heudirig for the various expenditure groups, only those cases where incomes ranged between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,499$ were used. (That is, the results were based on 32 schedules, of which 7 were for two person families and 25 were for three and four person families.) The correspondence between expenditures for three and four person fimilies was considered sufficiently close to warrant placing them together in order to broaden the sample available. Average costs for each item were determined by dividing aggregate expenditure by the total representing all cases in the sub-group?

TABIE＂E＂－BUDGETAFY EXPENDITUKE BY GROUYS FOR TWO PERSON AND FOUR PEESON FAMILIES


The most noticeable feature of the above table is the large proportion of the two person budget devoted to miscellaneous items．The figures for food and clothing seem very small，but are reasonable for two people．In the four person family budget，it will be seen that food and clothsag ratiog are lurger，and that the miscellaneons utowne des suffored as a onssequence．

## GROUP BTIMNTS

## FOOD

TABLE＂F＂－FOOD BUDGET：

| Item | Family of Two Persons |  | Family of Four Persons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Cost | Amount | Cost |
| Beef，fresh ．．000．0．．．．．．．． | 68.4 lbs 。 | \＄15，25 | 102，6 lbs。 | \＄ 22.80 |
| Pork，｜ $00.0 . .$. | 33.8 | 6.39 | 50.7 ＂ | 9.58 |
| Veal，＂ 0.000 .000000 ． | 20.4 | 4.00 | 30.6 | 6.00 |
| Muttion，＂．．．．．．．．．．．0．0．0．0．0．0． | 44.2 | 11.18 | 66.3 | 16.77 |
| Bacon 000000000000000000000 | 17.2 | 4.39 | 25.8 | 6.58 |
| Ham 00000000000000000000000 | 17.6 | 4.03 | 26.4 | 6.04 |
|  | 16.8 | 3.28 | 25.2 | 4.91 |
| Prepared meats 0000000300000 | 8.6 | 3.43 | 12.9 | 5.15 |
| Fish，fresh 0000000000000 | 20.2 | 3，78 | 30.3 | 5.67 |
| Salmon，canned 00000000000 | 7.4 | 1.96 | 11.1 | 2.94 |
| Fish，dried or cured ．0．0．0．0． | 10.0 | 1.58 | 15.0 | 2.37 |
| Poultry 0000000000000000 | 13.4 | 4.02 | 20.1 | 6.03 |
| Eggs，fresh 0000000000000 | 52.0 doz ． | 1.3 .36 | 78.0 doz ． | 20.05 |
| Hilk，fresh 0000000000000000 | 363.4 qts． | 36.70 | 545.1 qts． | 55.05 |
| Lard and shortening ．0．0．0．0． | 21.0 1bso | 2.56 | 31.51 bs 。 | 3． 84 |
| Butter 0000u0000000000000000 | 88.8 | 23.62 | 133.2 | 35.43 |
| Cheese 00000000000000000000 | 13.6 | 3.58 | 20.4 | 5.36 |
| Flolur，wheat 000000000000000 | 68.6 | 2，61 | 102．9＂ | 3.91 |
| Froll ed oats ．．．0．0．0．0．0．0．0 | 18．8＂ | 1.39 | 28.2 ＂ | 2.09 |
| Oth r breakfast foods 00000 | 18.8 | 5.36 | 28.2 | 8.04 |

TABLE＂F＂－FOOD BUDGETS（Cont＇d．）

| Item | Family of Two Persons |  | Family of Four Persons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Cost | Amount | Cost |
| Bread 0000000000000000000000 | 284.2 lbs 。 | \＄ 18.19 | 426.3 lbs 。 | \＄ 27.28 |
| Other bakery products ．0．0．0．0． | － | 4.96 | － | 7.44 |
| Soda biscuits ．0．0．0．0．0．0．0．0． | 9.2 | 1.32 | 13.8 | 1.99 |
| diacaroni，Vermicelli，etc．．．． | 2.8 | ． 32 | 4.2 ＂ | ． 48 |
| Rice ．00000000000000000000000 | 9.4 | ． 79 | 14.1 ＂ | 1.18 |
| Tapioca ．000000000．0．0．0．0．0．0． | 3.4 | ． 39 | 5.1 ＂ | ． 59 |
| Corn Starch ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 3.6 | ． 45 | 5.4 | ． 68 |
| Sugar，granulated ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 114.4 | 5.83 | 171.6 ＂ | 8.75 |
| Sugar，yellow ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 15.8 | ． 93 | 23.7 | 1.40 |
| Salt ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．0． | 13.4 ＂ | ． 79 | 20.1 | 1.18 |
| Pepper ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | ． 7 ＂ | ． 42 | 1.05 ＂ | ． 63 |
| Chocolate ．0．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 3.2 | 1.15 | 4.8 | 1.72 |
|  | 10.2 | 5.44 | 15.3 ＂ | 8.15 |
| Coffee ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5.8 ＂ | 2.88 | 8.7 ＂ | 4.31 |
|  | 2.4 ＂ | ． 88 | 3.6 ＂ | 1.32 |
| Vinegar ．0．0．0．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．0． | 6.4 qts． | 1.23 | 9.6 qts． | 1.84 |
| Potatoes ．0．0．0．0．0．0．0．0．．．0． | 7.4 bush． | 3.38 | 11.1 bush． | 5.07 |
| Onions ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．0．0． | 19.8 lbs 。 | 1.21 | 29.7 lbs 。 | 1.81 |
| Other fresh vegetables ．．．．．．．． | 82.2 ＂ | 7.56 | 123.3 ＂ | 11.34 |
| Beans，dried ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．0．0．0． | 8.0 | ． 43 | 12.0 | ． 65 |
| Beans，canned 0000000000000 | 19.6 | 1.82 | 29.4 | 2.73 |
| Corn，canned ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．0．0． | 23.0 | 1.86 | 34.5 | 2.79 |
| Peas，canned ．．．．．．．．．．．．0．0．0．0． | 20.6 | 1.69 | 30.9 ＂ | 2.53 |
| Tomatoes，canned $\ldots .000000000$ | 58.4 ＂ | 3.97 | 87.6 | 5.96 |
| Soup，canned ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 74.4 tins | 7.66 | 111.6 tins | 11.49 |
| Peaches，canned ．．．．．．．．0．0．0．0． | 16.4 lbs 。 | 2.48 | 24.6 lbs ． | 3.71 |
| Syrup and Honey ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 16.0 ＂ | 1.94 | 24.0 ＂ | 2.90 |
| Other fruit canned．o．．．．．．．．．． | 16.8 | 2.20 | 25.2 | 3.30 |
|  | 4.2 | ． 47 | 6.3 | ． 70 |
| Jam 0000000000000000000000000 | 12.8 | 1.92 | 19.2 ＂ | 2.88 |
| Karmalade 0000000000000000000 | 8.2 | 1.15 | 12.3 | 1.72 |
| Currants 0000000000000000u0000 | 5.2 | ． 77 | 7.8 | 1.15 |
| Fraisins 000000000000000000000 | 11.2 | 1.57 | 16.8 ＂ | 2.35 |
| Prunes 00000000000000000000000 | 11.04 | 1.20 | 17.1 ＂ | 1.79 |
| Apples，fresh 000000000000000 | 15.0 gals． | 3.81 | 22.5 gals． | 5.71 |
| Oranges 0000000000000000000000 | 20,0 doz． | 7.04 | 30.0 doz． | 10.56 |
| Brnanas ．00000000000000000．0．0． | $14.8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.77 | 22.2 ＂ | 5.66 |
| Other fresh fruit 00000000000 | － | 6.56 | － | 9.84 |
| Ice 00000000000000000000000000 | 2000 lbs． | 10.00 | 2000 lbs 。 | 10.00 |
|  | － | 3.12 | － | 4.68 |
| Confectionery 0000000000000000 | － | 6.30 | － | 9.45 |
| Meals away from home 100000000 | － | 5.94 | － | 8.91 |
|  | － | 8.50 | － | 12.75 |
| Total | － | \＄296．76 |  | \＄440．06 |

The above budgets give a very large place to fruits and vegetables．A combined total of meats and fish amounts to 21.6 p．c．of these budgets，while fruits and vegetables account for 21.8 p．c．Dairy products make up a further 21.8 p．c．of the total．Other expenditure percentages are：Eggs 4．6，bread and bekery proucts 7．9， groceries 13.1 ，and miscellaneous items 9.3 ．It is of interest to note the importrnce
of canned goods indicated. The percentage spent on canned vegetables is shown as 5.9 against 4.1 for fresh vegetables, and corresponding figures for fruits are 4.5 (canned) and 7.2(fresh)。

## SHELTER

The proportion of total expenditure devoted to shelter depends more upon the personal factor than possibly that of any other budget group. Emphasis placed upon comfort, location, etcog make it difficult to arrive at a representative shelter cost figure. There is undoubtedly a concentration for families of moderate income, however, between 20 p.c. and $25 p_{0} c_{0}$ of total exenditure. The figures of 22.62 poc , for a two person family, and 22.95 poc 。 for a four person family as shown above, are therefore, quite reasonable.

As indicated in Table " $G$ " below, a large prouortion of families were living in six room single houses, with 44 premises possessing garages, although only 16 automobiles were indicated by motor operating costs data, Hot air heating systems were prevalent, while heating by stoves appeared to be still common in smaller centres. It is interesting to note that approximately 70 poc. of the homes covered had radios, which were almost as numerous: as phonographs and pianos combined, and actually in excess of the number of telephones reported. Electric stoves and electric refrigerators were comaratively few in number.

TABLE "G" - PANTICULARS REGAFDING TYPE AND SIZE OF HOME AND HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT OF 5\% Fhiallies. ${ }^{*}$

$x$ One schedule failed to show the type of dwelling and two omitted the number of rooms.

## FUEL AND LIGHT

Such a large proportion of families for which returns were received, lived in six room single houses, that this type of dwelling was used as the basis for all heating calculations. Three fuel and light budgets are presented below in Table "H". The items for electricity and gas are identical in all three and show the uverage expenditure for electricity and gas for all families, and not only for those families using these fuels. A small amount of this represents gas and electricity used for water heaters with the balance used for cooking and lighting. The gas bill is too small for families using gas alone for cooking, but it gives an idea of the ratio of expenditure for gas as compared with that for electricity used for all purposes. The heating fuel in the first budget is anthracite coal, which is typical of consumption in Ontario and Quebec. Coke is also used considerably in this area, and the amount specified in the third budget would be applicable to climatic conditions there. Soft coal is burned extensively in the Maritimes and British Columbia, and the second budget includes an anount of it normally sufficient for a six room house in those parts. For the Prairies another two tons of anthracite coal, or from three to four more tons of soft (domestic) coal would be necessary.

Returns actually did not indicate any rigid adherence to one heating fuel, but one fuel generally predominated in each case and the budgets shown below are closely in line with acturl expenditures reported for fuel. Many families in addition to coal or coke, burned from one half cord to two cords of wood during the year.

TABLE "H" - FUEL AND LIGHT BILLLS
Anthracite (Typical of Ontario and Quebec)


Sof Coal (Typical of the Maritines and British Columbia)
8 tons at \$11.11
Gas

Electricjity | 88.88 |
| :--- |
| 14.25 |
| 35.22 |

Coke (Doke is used extensively in eastern Canada)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6.tons at } 11.79 \\
& \text { \$ } 70.74
\end{aligned}
$$

> 14.25
> Electricity 35.22
> * 120,21

Two sets of clothing budgets were compiled, one based on actual expenditures in the year under review, und the other calculated from prices reported during the year, weighted by average annual replacement figures. The first represents actual parchases, while the second may be expected to approximate closely to jurchases under normal or average conditions. The proportions of these figures, both as to amounts bought and average cost per item were very moderate. It may be seen that husbands averaged only one overcoat every three years, whlle they usually bought but one suit and one pair of leather shoes a year. Annual consumption of socks at six pairs, underwear at three set,s, three shirts, and three ties, also appears far from extravagant. The wife likewise averaged one top coat every three years, but was able to procure annually two house dresses, and two street dresses, and an evening dress almost every year for a little more than the husband's suit cost. It will be noted that the average cost of evening dresses reported was very low ( $\$ 20,94$ ). Two hats, six pairs of hose, and two pairs of shoes were other major items listed in the wife's average annual replacement budget.

Summaries of these budgets for two and four person fumilies follow:
THBLE "I" - CLOTHING BUDGETS

| Members of Family | Budget from Actual Expenditure Reported | Average Annual Replacement Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{2}$ persons 4 persons | 2 persons | 4 persons |
| Husband | \$ 99,60 \$ 99,60 | \$ 92. 66 | \$ 92.66 |
|  | 132.53132 .53 | 135.93 | 1.35 .93 |
| lst Child | - 52.24 |  | 52.24x |
| 2nd Child | 35.33 |  | $35.33 x$ |
| Total. | \$232.13 \$ 319.70 | \$228.59 | \$316.16 |
| x No replacement figures were procured for children. |  |  |  |
| Details of budgets <br> given below. | for husband and wife | lculated by | methods are |
| TABLE "J" - CLOTHING BUDGET, HUSBAND (FFOM ACTUAL EXPENDITUKES) |  |  |  |
| Item | Number per year | Average Cost | Total Cost |
| Overcoats | . 63 | \$ 24.46 | \$ 15.41 |
| Suits | 1.03 | 28.18 | 29.02 |
| Raincoats | . 26 | 9.05 | 2.35 |
| Sweaters | -34 | 3.58 | 1.22 |
| Overalls | - 28 | 2. 25 | . 63 |
| Socks and Stockings | 6.70 | 58 | 3.88 |
| Underwear, Summer 010000000000 | 2.00 | 1.18 | 2.36 |
| Underwear, Winter 0000000000 | 1.37 | 2.45 | 3.36 |
| Pyjamas | 1.17 4.06 | $\begin{array}{r}1.74 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.03 7.02 |
| Collars 0000000000000000000 | 3.11 | . 29 | . 90 |
| Ties ou. | 3.40 | - 72 | 2.45 |
| Hanckerchiefs | 5.98 | 21 | 1.25 |
| Scarves and Mufflers | . 43 | 2.28 | -98 |
| Gloves and Mitts 0000000000000 | 1. 28 | 1.96 | 2.51 |
| Hats 000 - .0.00......00.00000000 | 1.03 | 4.1 .2 | 4.24 |
| Caps | 46 | 1. 61 | . 74 |
| Shoes, leather 000000000000000 | 1.43 | 5.80 | 8.29 |
| Shoes, canvas Rubhers | - 37 | 1. 85 | .68 1.08 |
| Shoe Repairs Prene000000000000 | 1.11 | . 9 | 2.31 |
| Cleaning and Pressing 0000000 |  | - | 3.72 |
|  | - | - | 2.57 |
| Total |  |  | ¢ 99.60 |

TABLE "K" - CLOTHING BUDCET, VIFE (FHOM ACTUAL EXPENDITULES)

| Item | Number per year | Average Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suits 00000000000000000000000000 | . 50 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 10.70 |
| Top loats .0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0. | . 79 | 24.25 | 19.16 |
| Raincoats .0.000000000000000000 | . 12 | 5.25 | . 63 |
| House Dresses | 2.68 | 1.68 | 4.50 |
| Street and Aftermoon Dresses .... | 2.12 | 8.89 | 18.85 |
| Evening Dresses 000000.0.000000000 | . 53 | 20.94 | 11.10 |
| Sweaters 0.000000000000000000000 | . 59 | 2.99 | 1.76 |
| Aprons ...0.000000000000000000000 | 2.44 | .72 | 1.76 |
| Blauses and Smocks 00000000000 | . 68 | 2.54 | 1.73 |
| Skirts .0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0000 | . 29 | 4.13 | 1.20 |
| Hats | 2.50 | 3.41 | 8.52 |
| Stockings .0.0000000000000000000 | 6.53 | 1.11 | 7.25 |
| Nightgowns ...........0.0.0.0.0.0.0. | 1.23 | 1.42 | 1.75 |
| Py jumas 000000000000000000000000 | 41 | 1.41 | . 58 |
| Vests 0.30000000000000000000 | 1.62 | . 82 | 1.33 |
| Bloomers 00000000000000000000:0 | 2.56 | . 94 | 2.41 |
| Slips | 1.73 | 1.38 | 2.39 |
| Gloves ..0000000.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0 | 1.91 | 1.57 | 3.00 |
| Scarves 0000000000000000000.0.0. | . 70 | 1.78 | 1.25 |
| Handkerchiefs | 6.03 | . 18 | 1.08 |
| Purses 0000000000000000000000000 | . 76 | 2.83 | 2.15 |
|  | - | - | 2.48 |
| Dress Shoes 0000000000000000000 | 1.68 | 5.29 | 8.89 |
| Spherts Shoes 00000000000000000000 | . 44 | 4.29 | 1.89 |
| Overshoes 0000000000000000000000 | . 65 | 2.84 | 1.85 |
| Rubbers | . 73 | . 90 | . 66 |
| Shoe Kepairs ....0.0.0.0,000000000 | - | - | 1.75 |
| Goods by the yard for Clothing .. | 6.45 | . 52 | 3.35 |
| Other Items .0.en.........0.0.0.0. | - | - | 8.56 |
| Total |  |  | \$ 132.53 |


| Item | Number per year | Average Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overcoats ........................ | . 33 | \$ 24.46 | \$8.15 |
| Suits | 1.00 | 28.18 | 28.18 |
| Raincoats ....................... | .33 | 9.05 | 3.02 |
| Sweaters ........................ | . 42 | 3.58 | 1.50 |
| Overalls ......................... | 1.00 | 2,25 | 2.25 |
| Socks and Stockings ........... | 6.00 | . 58 | 3.48 |
| Underwear, Summer ............. | 2.00 | 1.18 | 2.36 |
| Underwear, Winter .............. | 1.00 | 2.45 | 2.45 |
| Pyjamas ........................ | 2.00 | 1.74 | 3.48 |
| Shirts .......................... | 3.00 | 1.73 | 5.19 |
| Collars .........0.0.0.000000000 | 4.00 | . 29 | 1.16 |
|  | 3,00 | .72 | 2.16 |
| Handkerchiefs ................. | 6.00 | 21 | 1.26 |
| Scarves and Mufflers ........... | . 50 | 2,28 | 1.14 |
| Gloves and Mitts ............... | 1.00 | 1.96 | 1.96 |
| Hats ............................. | 1.00 | 4,12 | 4.12 |
| Caps .............................. | 1:00 | 1.61 | 1.61 |
| Shoes, Leather ................. | 1.00 | 5.80 | 5.80 |
| Shoes, canvas .................. | . 75 | 1.85 | 1.39 |
| Rubbers .......................... | 1.00 | . 97 | . 97 |
| Shoe Rtepairs .................... | - | - | 2.87 |
| Cleaning and Pressing ........0. | - | - | 4,83 |
| Other Items ............ | - | . | 3.33 |

TABLLE "in" - CLO'RHING BUDGET, WIFE (FKOM ANNUAL FEPLACEMENT DATA)

| Item | Number per year | Average Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suits 0000ucou0.0.030000060000 | . 50 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 10.70 |
|  | . 33 | 24.25 | 8.08 |
| Kaincoats 00.0.0.0.000004000000 | , 33 | 5.25 | 1.75 |
| House Lresses 000000000000000.0u | 2.00 | 1.68 | 3.36 |
| Street and Afternmon Dresses .o. | 2.00 | 8.89 | 17.78 |
| Evening D'resses .0.0.0.0.0.0.0. | .75 | 20.94 | 15.70 |
| Swraters 0000000000000.0.000000 | . 50 | 2.99 | 1.49 |
| Aprons .0.00.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0. | 3.00 | . 72 | 2.16 |
| Blouses and Smocks ........... | 1.00 | 2.54 | 2.54 |
| Skirts 000000000000.000.0000000 | 1.00 | 4.13 | 4.13 |
|  | 2.00 | 3.41 | 6.82 |
| Stockings ..................0.0. | 6.00 | 1.11 | 6.66 |
| Nightgowns 0.0.00000000000000000 | 1.00 | 1.42 | 1.42 |
|  | . 50 | 1.41 | . 70 |
| Vests unvoz.0.0.0.0.0.u.0.0.000 | 2.00 | . 82 | 1.64 |
| Bloomers ...0.0.0.0.00000000000 | 3.00 | . 94 | 2.82 |
| Slips 0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.00 | 2.00 | 1.38 | 2.76 |
| Gloves 0400000000000000000000000 | 2.00 | 1.57 | 3.14 |
| Scarves 0000.00000000000000000 | 1.00 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
| Handkerchiefs .. | 6.00 | . 18 | 1.08 |
| Purses \%0.0.0.0.00000.0.0.0000000 | .50 | 2.83 | 1.41 |
| Dry Cleaning | - | - | 3.65 |
| Dress Shoes ......u.0.0.0.0.0.0. | 2.00 | 5.29 | 10.58 |
| Sport Shoes 00000000000000000000 | .75 | 4.29 | 3.22 |
|  | . 50 | 2.84 | 1.42 |
|  | 1.00 | . 90 | . 90 |
| Shoe Repairs .0.uuuc.0000000000 | - | - | 1.80 |
| Goods by the yard for clothing . | - | - | 7.10 |
| Other items 50w.0.0u0.0.u.0.0.0.0. | - | - | 9.34 |

Total.

## MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

The relation of sub-group expenditures listed under miscellaneous items affords a valuable sidelight upon living standards. A summary of such expenditures for two and four person families is given below (Table "N") in the form of percentages of total expenditure. It will be noted that figures for necessitjes such as household equipment, and heulth maintenance are large when compared with luxuries. The item for insurance stands as the largest single item of the 4 person family group, every schedule having indicated an expenditure for insurance. A less desirable feature of the returns is the small amount spent upon educational requirements as compared with expenses for amusement and luxuries.

It is necessary to keep in mind when considering figures listed in Table "O", that they represent the average expenditure for all families in the group, and not only for those reporting expenditures under each separate heading. Thus the average expenditure for motor operating costs shown for all (eight) two yerson families is $\$ 59.55$, but an averuge based on the number of families possessing cars (four) is $\$ 119.10$. As noted above, only 16 of the 53 families reporting, owned motor cars.

Expenditures by two person families for items which may be broadly classed as luxuries, exceed those indicated for four person families in the majority of instences. This is so for tobacco and spirits, amusements and recreation, holidays and travelling costs, books and magazines, and gifts outside the family. The larger amount spent by two person fumilies upon household equipment appears to be due to the establishment of new homes. Four person family expenses are relatively large for doctors' fees, inedicine, casual service, and cleaning supplies. It is of interest that only three families in 53 employed a domestic servant regularly. Payment of fees to dentists was reported by nearly all families, which makes difficult an explanation of the greater amount for two person than for four person families. It is possible, however, that in the latter case, children, on account of their low average age, required little costly attention, while parents were forced to forego the best of care due to other demands upon the family purse. Hospital expenses given cunnot be taken as typical because of the limited sample. Only nine families listed hospital bills among their expenses, six of these being of four persons, and one of two persons.

TAOLE "N" - SUMMAKY PERCENTRGES OF MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITULE FELATED TO TOTAL EXPENSLE

| Sub-group | Two Person Family | Four Person Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Equipment ........0.0.0.0. | 7.08 p.c. | $4.79 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{c}$ 。 |
| Health Maintenance ...........0.0.0. | 2.45 | 3.07 |
| Educational Expenditure | 1. 15 | 1,25 |
| Amusement and Recreation 000000000 | 5.84 | 2.64 |
| Luxuries | 8.17 | 5.62 |
| Taxes (other than on property) .. | 1.41 | 1.01 |
| Gifts, Charity, Dues, etc, | 4.97 | 3.60 |
| Insurance ....... | 7.85 | 7.47 |
| Miscellaneous | 2.50 | 1.71 |
|  | 41.32 p.c. | $31.16 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}$ 。 |

TABLE "O" - DETALLED MTSCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURES

Item \begin{tabular}{cc}
Two Person <br>
Family

 

Four Person <br>
Family $x$
\end{tabular}


Household Furnishings .0.0.0.0.0.0.0........
Carfare
Dentists' Fees
Doctors' Fees
Hospital Bills
Medicine
Tobacco and Spirits
Amusement and Kecreation
Amusements (Toys, sporting goods
Holldays and Travelling Costs .o........
Stationery and Postage
Newspapers
Books and Magezines

(Music, etc.)
Telephone
Motor Operating Costs (Cash only) 000
Domestic Service (Kegular (Casual 0000000000000
arber 2000000000000000006000000000000000
Beauty Parlour 000,500000000000000000
Leundry sent out
Toilet. Supplies and Cosmetics 000000000
Cleaning Supplies 000000000000000000
Taxes (other than on property) 0.0.0.0.00
Vater rates
Insurance (Life (Other

2000000000000000000002000


Gifts outsice family
Church

Charity
Organization
lization dues 000400000.000000000
Other items $000000000000: 0000000000000$
Total
$x$ Includes also results given by nine families of three persons.
\$ 47.09
30.84
18.81
13.71
18.28
8.87
19.28
14.75
15.44
8.98
36.40
5.14
10.84
5.16
8.52
24.54
24.05
6.52
10.62
8.20
4.33
8.10
6.47
9.52
9.57
10.19
137.32
9.13
21.38
23.16
15.86
10.16
9.52
610.45


## newter

