## A prognosis <br> for hospitals

- The effects of population change on the need for hospital space

1967-2031
L.A. Lefebvre, Z. Zsigmond, M.S. Devereaux


## Note

This and other government publications may be purchased from local authorized agents and other community bookstores or by mail order.

Mail orders should be sent to Publications Distribution, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, K1A 0T6, or to Publishing Centre, Supply and Services Canada, Ottawa, K1A OS9.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:
Health Division,
Research and Analysis Section,
Statistics Canada, Ottawa, K1A 0T6 (telephone: 995-7808) or to a local office of the bureau's User Advisory Services Division:

| St. John's (Nfld.) | $(726-0713)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Halifax | $(426-5331)$ |
| Montréal | $(283-5725)$ |
| Ottawa | $(992-4734)$ |
| Toronto | $(966-6586)$ |
| Winnipeg | $(949-4020)$ |
| Regina | $(569-5405)$ |
| Edmonton | $(425-5052)$ |
| Vancouver | $(666-3695)$ |

Toll-free access to the regional statistical information service is provided in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island by telephoning 1-800-565-7192. Throughout Saskatchewan, the Regina office can be reached by dialing 1-800-667-3524, and throughout Alberta, the Edmonton office can be reached by dialing 1-800-222-6400.

# A prognosis for hospitals 

The effects of population change on the need for hospital space

1967-2031
L.A. Lefebvre, Z. Zsigmond, M.S. Devereaux

The responsibility for the analysis and interpretation of the data is that of the authors and not of Statistics Canada

Published under the authority of the President of the Treasury Board

- Minister of Supply
and Services Canada 1979
November 1979
4-2303-555
Price: Canada, $\$ 7.00$
Other Countries, $\$ 8.40$


## Catalogue 83-520E

Ottawa
Version française de cote publication disponible sur demande ( $n^{\circ} 83-520 \mathrm{~F}$ au catalogue)

The following standard symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:
.. figures not available.
... figures not appropriate or not applicable.

- nil or zero.
-- amount too small to be expressed.
p preliminary figures.
${ }^{r}$ revised figures.
$x$ confidential to meet secrecy requirements of the Statistics Act.


## PREFACE

A major concern in Canada today is the rising public expenditure on health care. Hospitals are the most costly sector in the health care delivery system, representing just under $50 \%$ of total health expenditures in 1975. In the mid-1970's, the respective capital (excluding land) and operating costs were $\$ 50,000$ per bed and $\$ 125$ per patient-day. Furthermore, with more than four million hospital admissions each year, at an average stay of almost 11 days, hospital services in 1975 amounted to $\$ 5.3$ billion or $3.3 \%$ of G.N.P. It is important, therefore, to gain some appreciation of how these costs might change in the future.

In this study, projections are made of the demand for hospital space from the year 1976 to 2031. Statistics Canada fully recognizes that projections of any variable more than 50 years into the future are tenuous, no matter how reasonable the historical trends on which they are based. Although it is possible that unexpected developments in health care or new cures for disease may reduce the need for hospitalization, it will be seen that demand for hospitalization is in a large measure dependent upon the age structure of the population and the health status of the population reflected by that age distribution.

The extent of this demand for hospital resources depends primarily upon the number of people who are ill enough to require institutional care. While the number of times people are admitted to and their length of stay in, a hospital vary, it is a fact that the frequency of admission and the number of days patients spend in hospital increase with age. (In 1975, the 65 and over age group represented $8.6 \%$ of the population, but utilized almost $38 \%$ of total hospital bed capacity) Since population forecasts indicate that the proportion of people in the age group 65 and over will climb from $8.7 \%$ (1976) to about $20 \%$ by 2031 , there is certain to be a significant increase in the demand for institutional care. Furthermore, if medical technology results in the discovery of several major cures in the next few decades, thus increasing life expectancy and lowering death rates, the elderly may represent an even larger proportion of the total population than present forecasts suggest.

In addition to the expected increase in the number of elderly people requiring hospital care, current life styles and environment factors may result in an increase in the occurrence of illness which specifically requires hospital care, both generally and with respect to the elderly. While the requirements for hospitalization have increased, the reasons for debilitation have changed. Improvements in living conditions, e.g., better housing and sanitation, and the development of antibiotics and immunization, have virtually eliminated infectious disease as a major health problem in Canada.

Yet, the economic growth that facilitated technological breakthroughs in both preventive medicine and primary care, has produced heightened levels of stress, and consequently, has threatened health. In our efforts to reach new levels of affluence and achieve more economic growth, we subject ourselves to the pressures of a highly urbanized society: we eat, drink and smoke too much, and we destroy and maim
with our automobiles. Even the eradication of a single cause of death may give rise to another, unless the general level of health improves. This observation may be complicated by iatrogenic disease, whereby the cure for one disease becomes the cause of another, a tragic example of which has been the deformed children born to women who took the drug thalidomide.

These phenomena, the expected geriatric boom and the inevitable increase in episodes of illness associated with aging, have profound effects on the future demand for hospital services and the concomitant costs.

The purpose of this study is to determine these demands and costs, insofar as this is feasible given our existing knowledge. This monograph also shares an objective stated in a recent publication of the Hudson Institute, Canada Has a Future: namely, to "create reasonable and useful images of the future, images which will be helpful in the planning process".

Throughout the early phases of the preparation of this study the authors were assisted by a number of individuals who collected, assembled and calculated data, and in the later phases, by those who reviewed the preliminary draft manuscripts.

Special thanks are owed to the Population Estimates and Projections Division who produced the population projections on which the study is based.

Significant contributions were made by Statistics Canada officials namely Dr. L.0. Stone, Senior Advisor, Population Studies and M. Douglas E. Angus, Chief of Research and Analysis Section, Health Division.
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## Population

- Despite low fertility, the Canadian population is projected to grow from 23 million in 1976 to 31 million in 2031.
- The age composition is shifting rapidly because the postwar baby boom was followed by a steep decline in births, and because of increased life expectancy.
- Since the end of the Second World War, Canada's population has been "young". The 0-24 age group dominated, reaching a peak of almost $50 \%$ of the total during the last decade. But at the turn of the century it will constitute less than onethird, and by 2031, only $28 \%$. Thus, the population is "aging".


## Older People

- Between 1976 and 2031 the total population will increase $35 \%$, while the 65+ component will triple from two million to six million.
- The 65+ age group, which comprised less than $7 \%$ of the population in the early forties, had risen to only $8.7 \%$ by 1976. By 2001 it could make up $12 \%$, and in 2031 when the bulk of the baby boom has retired, $20 \%$ or every fifth person will be in the elderly component, if current low birth rates and fertility trends persist.
- At 1979's rate of growth tomorrow at this time there will be 178 more older people, and by 2021 the daily increase will be 365.
- In the future the 75+ age group will grow even faster than those $65+$.
- Because female life expectancy is more than seven years longer than male, in 1976 women $65+$ outnumbered men by 251,000 . This figure is anticipated to more than double to 685,000 in 2001 , and by 2031 will be in excess of one million.


## Hospitalization

- In 1975 about 18 in every 100 persons were institutionalized in general and allied special hospitals (excluding mental and tuberculosis), hereinafter referred to as "hospitals". This rate is expected to fall somewhat in the next two decades, but rise again (around $10 \%$ ) during the first 30 years of the next century.
- About one-third more females than males require institutional care, a ratio that may be weighted more heavily toward women in the future, because of the projected growth of the female population and their longer life expectancy.
- Hospitalization increases with age: children 5-14 are relatively infrequent patients, but at 75+ the need increases six- to sevenfold over the 5-14. Almost half of the $75+$ men and more than one-third of the women are hospitalized each year.
- In 1975 males who were hospitalized stayed about 11 days, and females about 10 days. Average stays increased with age from one week for children to six weeks for the $75+$ group. Up to 75 , the length of time for males and females differed little. But over that age males averaged 27 days in contrast to 35 for their female contemporaries - a disparity of more than a week.
- Periods of hospitalization in acute care institutions were longer in the past, but with medical advances there has been a decline for almost every age group, a trend that is expected to continue but at a decreasing rate.
- Annual patient-days increased between 1967 and 1975 from 36.9 million to 43.1 million (one and a half times the rate of population growth).


## Older People in Hospital

- Older people have always needed a large share of hospital space and time. In 1975 they made up only $8.6 \%$ of the population but accounted for $38 \%$ of all patientdays.
- From 1976 to 2031 the population of people $65+$ is expected to more than triple, and their demand for patient-days is expected to rise accordingly.
- If 1975 participation rates persist, by the second decade of the next century it would require all of today's capacity to take care of older people alone.


## How Long Will 1975 Capacity Last?

- Immediate expansion of hospital facilities may not be necessary if present capacity were more fully used. Utilization (patient-days related to capacity) dropped from $81.3 \%$ in 1971 to $76.8 \%$ in 1975. For reasons of economic conditions and the necessity to use existing capacity more fully, a return to the $80 \%$ level that prevailed throughout the sixties could forestall the opening of new beds for several years.
- Furthermore, if enough less-acute care facilities like nursing homes or noninstitutional care were made available, present hospital capacity would likely be sufficient until the mid-1990s.


## Projected Requirements

- Requirements for hospital care are expected to grow before the turn of the century beyond what present facilities can accommodate, and after 2000 the demand likely will accelerate.
- Planning will have to be initiated in the next decade to meet the anticipated increase in the demand for hospital space at the beginning of the next century as a result of a substantially larger proportion of the population in the older age groups.
- By 2031 total hospital patient-days are projected to rise to 84 million - not quite double the present 43 million. But those occupied by elderly people could more than triple from 16 million to 55 million, representing $38 \%$ and $65 \%$, respectively, of all patient-days.


## Cost

- Expenditures in constant (1976) dollars could rise from $\$ 5.4$ billion to over $\$ 11$ billion by 2031. This $109 \%$ increase is due to overall population growth, but most notably, to the increasing number of older people.


## Option

- The current scarcity of secondary health facilities compels many patients, particularly elderly women, to stay in hospital for extended periods simply because they have nowhere else to go. This expenditure could be gradually reduced if patients whose condition does not dictate full hospital services were transferred to less sophisticated facilities or provided with home care.


## Savings

- Establishment of less-acute level care implies a potential saving on hospital operating costs of $\$ 27$ billion over the next 50 years.
- For instance, if from the early 1980 s efforts to build nursing homes were intensified, perhaps $30 \%$ of projected patient-days could be spent in nursing homes rather than in hospital. A nursing home patient-day is about one-fifth as expensive as one in a hospital ( $\$ 25$ versus $\$ 125$ ).
- Not only are nursing homes cheaper to operate, but they are also less expensive to construct. In the mid-seventies the capital cost per bed of a general hospital ranged from $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 80,000$ (excluding land). Available data suggest that the 1975 average was well over $\$ 50,000$. To build nursing homes, the capital investment per bed is about $60 \%$ of that for hospitals.


## Background

When I get older, losing my hair
Many years from now, Will you still be sending me a Valentine, Birthday greetings, bottle of wine?
If I'd been out till quarter to three, Would you lock the door?
Will you still need me, will you still feed me,
When I'm sixty-four.(1)
These are questions that an ever-larger proportion of Canada's population will be asking in the next 50 years. The baby boom generation, who gave rise to a "youth revolution" in the sixties, may create a "geriatric revolution" after the tarn of the century. Between now and then, they are going to pass through all the intermediate stages from young adulthood to late middle age. The size of this cohort accentuates the concerns, needs and problems of whatever age group they happen to occupy.

An unprecedented expansion of obstetric services was necessary from the late 1940 s to the early 1960s to bring the baby boom into the world. Shortly thereafter, educational facilities had to grow to accommodate them. The baby boom is now leaving school, and their entry into the labour force is contributing to the highest levels of unemployment in forty years. Inevitably, this generation will reach retirement, and when they do, more and more will require hospitalization. Just as the educational enterprise had to increase in the middle of the twentieth century, so will health care facilities in the early decades of the twenty-first.

Chart 1 encapsulates the situation. In 1975 people 65 and older made up $8.6 \%$ of the population, but accounted for $38 \%$ of hospital occupancy. At the current rate of utilization, and as the age group grows, by 2022 every hospital bed now available to the total population would be filled by an elderly person. In 2031 they alone would take up $127 \%$ of the facilities currently occupied.

The emerging divergence between the supply and demand for hospital space prompted this study. Statistics for 1975 show that after age 65 , people are twice as likely to be hospitalized, and their average length of stay rises from around two weeks to about a month.

The composition of the population will shift progressively toward the older extremity. A decline in fertility that began in 1960 has resulted in fewer young people to replace the baby boom generation. Because this is a demographic fact, it
(1) The Beatles. When I'm Sixty-Four. England: Northern Songs Ltd., 1967.

Chart - 1
Patient-days Required by Elderly, 1975 to 2031

is possible to project some of its consequences, a squeeze on hospital resources being a prime example. An aging population creates a demand that grows in two ways: more people require admission to hospital, and they stay there longer.

Hospital services are expensive, amounting to an estimated 3.34\% of the 1975 Gross National Product. (2) Furthermore, hospital costs are the single largest component of the total amount spent for health care - an estimated $\$ 125$ per pa-tient-day in 1976.(3) Recent efforts to restrain the rise of these costs have made it important to demonstrate how demographic developments will affect future needs.

Patient-day expenditures tend to be concentrated on older age groups. The problem is unavoidable when the baby boom generation reaches old age, more elderly people than ever before will require health services, and expenditures will rise. Notwithstanding the fact that we may live longer by 2020 and that we may die of different causes, the growing pressure on hospitals can be foreseen, and this lends itself to long-range planning.

## Purpose and Scope

The report has two major purposes:
(1) To show how population could affect Canada's need for hospital services to 2031 if present trends (low fertility, increasing life expectancy) persist, and medical technology does not radically curb hospitalization. The intention is not to calculate exact numbers, but rather to suggest relative magnitudes. By assuming that authorities could adopt different means of handling the effects of changes in population distribution, four projections of hospital patient-days have been produced.
(2) To demonstrate in constant (1976) dollars the cost implications of these alternatives.

The topic - the effect of Canada's increasing elderly population on the need for hospital space - has been explored before by Health and Welfare Canada.(4) This report updates and extends the findings of those earlier studies.

The period examined extends from 1967 to 2031. Historical data up to 1975 were reviewed to establish patterns of supply and demand on which the projections were based. The projections cover more than 50 years - a long time considering the detail and specificity of some calculations.
(2) Estimate from Health Economics and Statistics Division, Health and Welfare Canada.
(3) After this study was completed, actual data became available. The average cost per hospital day in 1976 was $\$ 124.30$.
(4) J.A. Clark and N.E. Collishaw, Canada's Older Population, Staff Papers, Long Range Health Planning, Health and Welfare Canada, Ottawa, 1975, and Mary K. Rombout, Hospitals and the Elderly: Present and Future Trends, Staff Papers, Long Range Planning, Health and Welfare Canada, Ottawa, 1975.

## - Rates:

- crude birth - birth rates per 1,000 population.
- age-specific fertility - birth rate per 1,000 women in the respective age period.
- total fertility - sum of female age-specific fertility rates multiplied by five.
- general fertility - birth rate per 1,000 women 15-49.
- crude death - death rates per 1,000 population.
- A hospital is an institution operated for the medical, surgical and/or obstetrical care of inpatients, and which is licensed or approved as a hospital by the federal and/or provincial government, or by a municipality duly authorized under the laws of the province. Specifically excluded are institutions primarily for tuberculosis or psychiatric disorders.
- Nursing homes are homes in which nursing care is the predominant function. Establishments that provide only living quarters and meals are excluded.
- Length of stay is the number of days a patient spends in hospital.
- A separation is the discharge or death of an inpatient. The frequency counts show individual cases separated, not persons separated.
- The participation rate expresses the number of separations as a rate per 100 population in each age group.
- A newborn is an infant inpatient born alive in the hospital or postnatal newborn admitted with mother for maternal care.
- Patient-days are the number of separations, including newborns, during a calendar year multiplied by their length of stay.
- Utilization is the ratio between theoretical hospital bed capacity and patientdays. All levels of utilization cited in this report exclude bassinets and newborns.
- The elderly and older people are used synonymously to refer to everyone 65 and older.


## Limitations

One of the limitations of this report is that it uses only one population projection. Nevertheless, the people who will make the greatest claims on hospital services early in the next century - the aging baby boom generation - have already been born. Thus, to a significant extent, the magnitude of future demand is predetermined. A comparison with high and low growth population projections of the Economic

Council of Canada(5) and an earlier projection(6) that incorporated a very low fertility rate (1.5) reveals little difference in the numbers of elderly people in 2001 and 2031, although their proportion of the total varies (Table 1):

TABLE 1. Alternative Population Projections, Total and 65+ Age Group, Canada, 2001 and 2031

|  | 2001 |  |  | 2031 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total population | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 65+ \end{aligned}$ | Total population | $65+$ | \% $65+$ |
|  | '000 |  |  | '000 |  |  |
| Social Security - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { National Programs, } \\ & \text { 1978: } \end{aligned}$ | 28,250.7 | 3,358.1 | 11.9 | 28,838.6 | 6,406.0 | 22.2 |
| Economic Council of Canada: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - low growth | 28,346.0 | 3,390.3 | 12.0 | 30,757.6 | 6,501.0 | 21.1 |
| - high growth | 31,634.0 | 3,451.8 | 10.9 | 40,618.3 | 6,894.8 | 17.0 |
| A Prognosis for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitals | 28,793.0 | 3,425.0 | 11.9 | 30,935.0 | 6,240.1 | 20.2 |

A potential influence on the outcome of these projections which could not be incorporated in the assumptions, is medical advances. It is impossible to evaluate the impact of technological developments in the next five years, let alone fifty. A breakthrough tomorrow or several decades from now could reduce the need for hospitalization and/or the length of stay for particular illnesses. Notwithstanding this inevitable limitation, it has been judged important to carry out this study for reasons discussed in the Foreword.

Similarly, a shift in societal attitudes could reduce hospitalization of the elderly. If values revert so that the extended family rather than the nuclear family becomes the typical household unit, older people may be cared for, to a greater extent, by their family instead of public institutions. But again, while such a change in lifestyle is possible, it was not feasible to include it in the Projections.
(5) Jac-André Boulet and Gilles Grenier, Health Expenditures in Canada and the Impact of Demographic Changes on Future Government Health Insurance Program Expenditures, Economic Council of Canada Discussion Paper No. 123 (Ottawa, 1978), 40.
(6) Statistics Canada, Institutions and Public Finance Branch, Social Security National Programs, 1978, Catalogue number 86-201 annual (Ottawa, 1978), 7-8.

Another limitation of this report is that calculations of future hospital demand incorporate only three variables: population, participation rate and length of stay. National averages of the last two from 1972 to 1975 are used, although they may be affected by unique conditions. Participation and length of stay vary between teaching and non-teaching institutions, between urban and rural areas, and from province to province. Government can apply pressure to reduce admissions and length of stay; contrariwise, universal medical coverage may incline those who pay premiums to make greater use of facilities. Hospitals with sophisticated technology or staff specializing in certain kinds of care may draw patients from outside the immediate vicinity, while those with lower levels of technology and less specialized staff may primarily serve people within their own area. These intervening variables can have a strong local influence, and may even affect national trends.

Perhaps a more important shortcoming is that the nursing home is the sole alternative to hospitalization that is explored here. This is counter to recent moves to avoid institutionalization and expand home care. The authors are aware of these trends and use nursing homes merely to demonstrate possible savings.

The data contain some technical deficiencies as well:

- Projections refer to general and allied special hospitals, but the historical statistics from 1967 include a small number of private and mental hospitals that could not be isolated.
- When the research was conducted (1977-78), 1975 hospital morbidity data were the latest available by age and sex.

Nonetheless, the extent and strength of the change in demographic trends override the effect these minor limitations in the historical data would have on the overall results.

To reiterate the message in the Foreword, projections are not predictions. They indicate the direction and magnitude of future developments, based on past and present circumstances. They must be evaluated in the light of when they were made and conditions at that time. Every projection must be updated as trends change. Its value lies not in its predicative ability, but in its usefulness in helping us to understand forces which are shaping the future.

## Layout

The report proper is divided into five sections:
Population. An explanation of the components of population change; a review of past developments, and a projection for the future with special attention to the elderly.

Hospital Retrospective. An examination of trends in participation, length of stay, patient-days, and utilization.

Projections. Four Projections of the number of patient-days that will be needed in the future and the operating cost of each.

Options. A comparison of participation rates, length of stay and patient-days in each Projection, and an assessment of relative costs.

## Conclusion

Several appendices at the end of the report contain detailed tables and charts and a bibliography.

## Health Services in Canada

Canada's health care sector does not operate by the rules of the marketplace. The supply of medical services is regulated not only by demand and government policy, but also by many other factors. Supply and demand are difficult, therefore, to project.

Today virtually all residents of Canada are covered by hospital and medical insurance programs. Major government involvement in the provision of health services dates back at least a generation. In 1946 Saskatchewan introduced a universal, compulsory hospital insurance plan. However, not until 1957 did the government of Canada pass the Hospital Insurance and Diagnostic Services Act. Under this Act the federal and provincial governments share the cost of providing specified hospital services - some mandatory across the country, others supplied at the discretion of particular provinces. By 1961 all provinces and territories had entered into the cost-sharing scheme.

Meanwhile, in 1966 the Medical Care Act was passed, empowering the federal government to contribute to provinces that ran medical care insurance programs in accord with certain criteria. By 1972 all provinces and territories were participating.

## Introduction

To estimate future demand for hospital patient-days, it is first necessary to project the size of the population. No one is safe from accident and disease. Hence, all are potential patients, and demand for hospital services is closely related to their numbers. However, everyone is not equally likely to require such services.

Although individual states of health differ, patterns of hospitalization vary predictably with the patient's age and sex. Consequently, the size and age structure of the population allow some speculation to be made about the number of patient-days required.

Future demand has been calculated on the basis of projections made by the Population Estimates and Projections Division of Statistics Canada(7) incorporating results of the 1976 quinquennial Census. Of many projections available, the one selected for this study starts with 1977, assumes a drop in the fertility rate from 1.83 in 1976 to 1.7 by 1991, constant annual net migration of 75,000 , and a small reduction in mortality. These assumptions were selected arbitrarily to demonstrate the growing number of older people and changes that will occur in age distribution if current population trends continue. Figures after 2001 are merely mechanical extensions, not official population projections.

The purpose is not to predict exact numbers but to show the impact of the baby boom generation as they advance through the life cycle to constitute the bulk of the $65+$ age group early in the twenty-first century.

Any long-range projection cannot be more than a "ball park" estimate, even for that part of the population living during the 1976 Census. And of course, different demographic assumptions, particularly about fertility, would change future numbers and age structure.

As it has for at least two decades, and will into the twenty-first century, the postwar baby boom dominates Canadian demography. The baby boom children "inflate" whatever age group they happen to occupy, and demands for the goods and services appropriate to that phase of life increase as never before. This has happened with obstetricians, toys, schools, rock music and university professors. The current clamour is for jobs and apartment space. When the baby boom reaches middle age, plastic surgeons may be hard-pressed to keep up with requests for face-lifts and hair transplants.

In the future a new term may have to be devised. It is somewhat incongruous to think of the "baby boom children" requiring geriatic care, but that is the shape of things to come. When this generation reaches retirement early in the next century, an unprecedented strain may be placed on facilities and services for the elderly, many of which have traditionally been furnished by hospitals.
(7)Statistics Canada, Census and Household Surveys Field, Population Estimates and Projections Division, Population Projections for Canada and the Provinces 1976-2001, Catalogue 91520 occasional (Ottawa, 1979).

Planning for the health needs of a large number of older people is a relatively recent issue. But it is one that will remain and intensify in the foreseable future.

## Components of Population Change

A population projection indicates what would result if the underlying assumptions were correct. The objective is always to select the most reasonable assumptions about the components of population change: fertility (births), net migration, and mortality.

## Fertility

Simply stated, the total fertility rate is the number of children each woman is expected to have.

Projecting the fertility rate and the annual number of births, even in the short run, is difficult because the social, economic and other factors that contribute to it are neither fully understood nor easily predicted. In order to grasp how the age profile of the population could change if fertility remains at its current low level, it is necessary to examine past developments, especially since the end of the Second World War.

Chart 2 shows the fertility rate and annual births from 1921 to 1976, and projections to the turn of the century. From 3.54 in 1921, fertility dropped gradually to a low of 2.65 in 1937 (about midway through the Depression), and did not exceed 3.0 until 1943. Subsequently, it rose to a high of 3.94 in 1959. In 1960 the rate began a steep, continuous drop, hitting 1.83 in 1976 , well below the 2.1 replacement level.

Annual births reflected these fertility trends. From 264,879 in 1921 the number fell to 227,869 in 1937 - a $14 \%$ loss. Births then rose almost every year to a high of 479,275 in 1959 - a $110 \%$ gain in just over two decades. The ensuing fertility turnaround produced a decline almost as precipitous as the rise. Births fell to 343,373 in 1973 - the lowest total since 1945 ( 300,587 ). The next three years saw a slight gain to an estimated 360,340 in 1977. Meanwhile, the fertility rate has continued to slide.

The projection of annual births to 2001 shows a $10 \%$ rise to 396,600 in 1985, and then a drop to 338,400 at the turn of the century. An unexpected upturn in fertility could increase young age groups, but it can have no major effect on the number of elderly people before the middle of the next century. It would, however, reduce their percentage of the total population. On the other hand, a further decline in the fertility rate would skew the future population distribution even more toward the older ages.

## Migration

Because the primary focus of this study is the size of the older population after the turn of the century, migration in the 1980 s and 1990 s may be as significant a

## Chart - 2

Total Fertility Rate ${ }^{(1)}$, 1921 to 1975, and Projected to 2001,

(1) Average number of children per 1,000 women born through the childbearing ages (15-49).

Live Births, 1921 to 1976, and Projected to 2001,

variable as fertility. About two-thirds of all immigrants to Canada are younger than 30. Thus, most of those who may come in the next few years would be part of the elderly population in the twenty-first century.

A leading influence on immigration, aside from government policy, has been the Canadian economy. Immigration has tended to be negatively correlated with the unemployment rate: when unemployment rose, immigration dropped, and vice versa. However, predicting economic conditions, even in the short term, is very difficult; decades ahead, foolhardy. Hence, variations between actual future net migration and what is assumed here could affect not only Canada's total population, but also the $65+$ age group.

The migration assumptions for the projection are: entering, 150,000 ; leaving, 75,000; net, 75,000.

## Mortality

Canada's crude death rate was 7.3 per 1,000 in 1977 . It has declined gradually but continuously over the past hundred years, but will rise as the population ages. Average male life expectancy at birth rose from 66.3 in 1951 to 69.6 in 1976, and is projected to be 70.2 in 1986. Female life expectancy is greater, and the gap is projected to widen: the corresponding figures are 70.8 in 1951, 76.9 in 1976 and 78.3 in 1986.

However, a breakthrough in the control of major causes of death (i.e., cardiovascular disease and cancer) could lead to reductions in mortality rates at the older ages. This would result in longer life expectancy, and hence, a larger, older population than projected here.

Possible improvement is suggested by the experience of other countries, principally in Northwestern Europe. For instance, Sweden's 1976 life expectancy at birth for females was almost 78; for males, more than 72. Implicit in these figures is the prospect that the male-female gap will remain, as differences are substantial even in countries with long life expectancy.

## Population Projections (8)

Total
Despite a low total fertility assumption of 1.7 and annual net migration of only 75,000 , the projection indicates that Canada's population will increase. However, the rate of growth will decline.
(8) The population projections presented here are based on the general assumption that there will be no large-scale war, widespread epidemic, or other major catastrophe.

Between 1961 and 1971 the population went from $18,238,247$ to $21,568,311$ - an $18.2 \%$ gain. Projected totals and ten-year growth rates are:

Year Population $\quad$| Percentage increase |
| :--- |
| over previous decade |

| $24,338,200$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $26,974,600$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| $28,793,300$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $30,068,000$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| $30,876,700$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| $30,935,000$ | $0.2 \%$ |

## Age Composition

This consistent, if slow, growth projected for the total population hides significant shifts in the relative proportions of various age groups. Primarily because of past fluctuations in the annual number of births, the rates of change of different ages will not be the same as the total. Chart 3 and Table 2 show the projected age composition to 2031.

The postwar rise in births and the succeeding drop produced a population bulge that will eventually work its way through all ages. This bulge is followed by a cohort diminished in size by the fertility turnaround. Thus, the rate of change for each age group is different. In any decade the group that contains the baby boom generation will be inflated. As a proportion of the population in 2001, persons aged 0-24 will have declined to $33 \%$ compared with $45 \%$ in 1976 . Adults $25-44$ and $45-64$ will have increased from $27 \%$ and $19 \%$ to $31 \%$ and $24 \%$. Persons $65+$ will constitute nearly $12 \%$, compared with $8.7 \%$ in 1976 .

After the turn of the century the proportion of young people will likely continue to fall. Young adults also begin to decline, but the middle-aged and elderly increase in absolute and relative numbers.

In 2011 the first baby boom children (born in 1946) will turn 65. That year the $65+$ age group will make up $13 \%$ ( 3.9 million) of the population. A decade later they will comprise $17 \%$ ( 5.1 million), and by $2031,20 \%$ ( 6.2 million); meanwhile, the number under 65 will actually drop from 26.2 million to 24.7 million.

## The Elderly Population

Numbers and Growth Rate
A large elderly population is a phenomenon new to this century. In 1921 there were 420,000 older persons, every twentieth Canadian; in 1976, 2,002,400 - nearly every eleventh. The elderly are now 4.8 times as numerous as in 1921; the under 65 's, only 2.5 times. If the previously outlined population assumptions materialize, by 2001 every eighth Canadian will be 65 or older; by 2031, every fifth.

Chart - 3
Total Population and Percentage Distribution in Censal Years, by Selected Age Groups, 1941 to 1976, and Projected to 2031

TABLE 2. Percentage Distribution of Population, by Age Group, Canada, 1921-2031

|  | Historical |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
|  | number in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 8.8 | 10.4 | 11.4 | 14.0 | 18.2 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 30.1 | 30.9 | 31.0 |
|  | per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than 1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 1-4 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| 5-14 | 22.3 | 21.3 | 18.7 | 18.0 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 18.1 | 15.2 | 14.4. | 14.6 | 14.3 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.2 |
| 15-24 | 17.3 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 15.3 | 14.3 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 16.7 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 12.0 |
| Sub total $(0-24)$ | 51.6 | 50.4 | 46.5 | 45.8 | 48.3 | 48.1 | 45.1 | 42.1 | 38.7 | 35.9 | 34.5 | 33.5 | 30.8 | 29.0 | 28.4 |
| 25-44 | 28.5 | 27.3 | 28.2 | 28.8 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 27.0 | 29.5 | 32.4 | 33.9 | 32.8 | 31.0 | 27.7 | 27.5 | 26.2 |
| 45-64 | 15.0 | 16.7 | 18.6 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 21.1 | 23.6 | 28.5 | 27.0 | 25.3 |
| Sub total $(25-64)$ | 43.5 | 44.0 | 46.8 | 46.4 | 44.1 | 43.8 | 46.2 | 48.4 | 51.1 | 53.0 | 53.9 | 54.6 | 56.2 | 54.5 | 51.4 |
| 65-74 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 11.7 |
| $75+$ | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 8.5 |
| Sub total $(65+)$ | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 20.2 |

Assumptions: 1.7 total fertility rate by 1991, constant thereafter;
$+75,000$ annual net migration.

The elderly increased rapidly in the 1961-71 period ( $25 \%$ ), much faster than the population as whole ( $18 \%$ ). Their growth is projected to accelerate slightly in the seventies ( $32 \%$ ), but then fall off in the eighties ( $29 \%$ ) and nineties ( $15 \%$ ) as the small cohort born during the Depression reaches 65 .

However, the births of the postwar baby boom will ultimately have an impact. In the first part of the twenty-first century (2001 to 2031), the 65+ age group will rise from $3,425,000$ to $6,240,100$ - an increase of $2,815,100$ or $82 \%$.

After about 2040 their ranks may be expected to fall, primarily as a result of the diminishing birth cohorts of the 1960s and 1970s.

The probability that numbers of older people will be as projected is greater than for young people because the former are unaffected by future fertility. The people who will be over 65 in the year 2001 or even 2031 are now all living. Mortality and immigration, however, might alter the size of the elderly population, deaths reducing the initial cohorts and immigration typically increasing them. Future reductions in death rates could mean a somewhat larger elderly population than projected. The high immigration of the fifties and early sixties will add to the number of older people in the first decades of the twenty-first century. But the drop in the late sixties and seventies, which coincided with falling annual births, will accentuate the decline in the growth rate of the elderly after 2040.

## Proportion

Older people have made up a steady increasing share of the total population. From 1921 to 1976 the proportion 65t nearly doubled from $4.6 \%$ to $8.7 \%$. The low fertility rate used for this projection means that by 2001 about $12 \%$ of the population will be $65+$; by $2031,20 \%$.

A rise in the $75+$ component is even more probable, because the elderly population itself has been aging. In 1921 the percentage of the 65+ age group who were $75+$ was $31 \%$; by 1976 this proportion had risen to $37 \%$ and will be about $44 \%$ in 2001. After the turn of the century the trend should reverse, ( $43 \%$ in $2011 ; 38 \%$ in 2021) reflecting the low fertility levels of the Depression years. But the group will "age" again as the baby boom generation advances into this part of their life cycle. About $42 \%$ of 2031 's elderly will be 75+; around 2040 the proportion will rise above $50 \%$. Greater concentration of the elderly at the higher ages has important implications for planning for their medical needs because of the different health conditions that characterize various segments of the older population. Chronic diseases and impairments increase rapidly with age.

Compared with some other industrial countries, the proportion 65+ in Canada, as in the United States, is relatively small. The $12 \%$ level projected for Canada in 2001 was reached in 1965 by Austria, Belgium, Sweden, France and the United Kingdom. By 1970 several other countries contained this percentage of elderly people: Denmark, West Germany, Luxembourg, and Norway. Today the proportion $65+$ in some of these nations exceeds $15 \%$.

Sex Composition
A large majority of older persons are women, whereas at younger ages there is an excess of males or only a small excess of females. There are now 78 males for every 100 females 65+. Only 20 years ago their numbers were almost equal, a result of the heavy, predominantly male immigration before World War I. It is anticipated that the proportion of men will continue to fall, reaching 67 males per 100 females in 2001, and then remain around that level throughtout the projection period.

The sex ratio of older people in 1976 corresponded to an excess of a quarter million women. By 2001 the excess is expected to more than double to 685,000 , and by 2031 it will be more than one million.

The cumulative effect of higher death rates for males over the entire age range explains the sex ratio of the older population. In explanation of the drop in this ratio over time, males have benefited less than females from declines in death rates in recent years. Female life expectancy is now about seven years greater; the gap is projected to widen to about eight years by 1986. The progressive imbalance in the number of elderly men and women has obvious implications for health care planners: there will be many more older women than men in need of service, and this entails an increase in patient-days attributable to disease in which females predominate.

## Introduction

To lay the groundwork for the Projections in the study, historical patterns are given for the following variables:

- separations
- participation rate
- length of stay
- patient-days
- utilization

All historical hospital data were taken from published and unpublished material collected by the Health Division of Statistics Canada.

## Separations

Every patient discharged from hospital is a "separation". Of course, the same person may be hospitalized several times in one year, each instance being counted as a separation.

Table 3 and Chart 4 show separations by sex for selected age groups from 1967 to 1975. The total rose steadily from $3,342,700$ in 1967 to $4,070,300$ in 1974 , a $22 \%$ increase. Then for the first time, the number declined, to 4,036,400 in 1975.

Overall, more women than men were hospitalized, their share of the total hovering around $57 \%$. In only two age groups, $0-14$ and $65-74$, did males outnumber females. Some drop over the nine years occurred for the younger ages; by contrast, there was a significant rise in separations among those 45 and older.

Admittedly, the number of separations alone is not very meaningful. To put the number in context, it must be related to the corresponding population. The resultant proportion is the "participation rate".

## Participation Rate

Participation rates from 1967 to 1975 are shown by sex in Chart 5. The rates are quite consistent. Female participation always exceeded male by five to six percentage points. An upward trend climaxed in 1973 and was succeeded by a moderate but definite decline. Nonetheless, 1975 participation was still higher than in any year from 1967 to 1969.

Table 4 gives the breakdown of participation rates by age group and sex. Rates for everyone but the 5-14 age group peaked between 1971 and 1974, and have since fallen.
Number of Separations, by Age Group and Sex, 1967 to 1975
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TABLE 3. Number of Hospital Separations, by Age Group and Sex, 1967-75

|  |  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | $M$FT | thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 238.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 249.4 \\ & 220.4 \\ & 469.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252.9 \\ & 223.8 \\ & 476.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259.9 \\ & 228.4 \\ & 488.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261.0 \\ & 228.3 \\ & 489.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 248.8 \\ & 217.8 \\ & 466.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 248.7 \\ & 217.6 \\ & 466.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 249.1 \\ & 219.8 \\ & 468.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 251.8 \\ & 222.1 \\ & 473.9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 211.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 450.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140.4 \\ & 105.7 \\ & 246.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148.6 \\ & 111.0 \\ & 259.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140.6 \\ & 104.7 \\ & 245.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141.7 \\ & 104.5 \\ & 246.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136.6 \\ & 100.8 \\ & 237.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142.8 \\ & 104.6 \\ & 247.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136.5 \\ 98.2 \\ 234.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134.4 \\ 96.4 \\ 230.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125.8 \\ 89.6 \\ 215.4 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-14 | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190.8 \\ & 162.6 \\ & 353.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 205.4 \\ & 173.8 \\ & 379.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197.0 \\ & 166.1 \\ & 363.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195.8 \\ & 166.5 \\ & 362.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191.5 \\ & 165.2 \\ & 356.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 178.2 \\ & 151.0 \\ & 329.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176.5 \\ & 147.1 \\ & 323.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172.0 \\ & 142.2 \\ & 314.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.3 \\ & 132.2 \\ & 292.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.9 \\ & 362.7 \\ & 487.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 138.0 \\ & 394.7 \\ & 532.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141.4 \\ & 402.2 \\ & 543.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151.7 \\ & 427.0 \\ & 578.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.7 \\ & 446.1 \\ & 606.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165.7 \\ & 439.9 \\ & 605.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174.0 \\ & 444.0 \\ & 618.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173.4 \\ & 441.5 \\ & 614.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170.8 \\ & 440.0 \\ & 610.8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-44 | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 220.9 \\ & 567.2 \\ & 788.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239.7 \\ & 609.3 \\ & 849.0 \end{aligned}$ | 242.5 <br> 618.1 <br> 860.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.7 \\ & 648.7 \\ & 901.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263.0 \\ & 677.6 \\ & 940.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272.6 \\ & 692.3 \\ & 964.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 281.6 \\ & 705.4 \\ & 987.0 \end{aligned}$ | 284.7 | 279.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 706.7 | 705.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 991.4 | 984.8 |
| 45-64 | $M$$F$T | $\begin{aligned} & 284.1 \\ & 300.3 \\ & 584.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 312.4 \\ & 336.4 \\ & 648.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330.0 \\ & 348.6 \\ & 678.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 345.0 \\ & 363.1 \\ & 708.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 364.9 \\ & 376.3 \\ & 741.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380.7 \\ & 391.8 \\ & 772.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 393.2 \\ & 401.1 \\ & 794.3 \end{aligned}$ | 401.0 405.9 806.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 399.4 \\ & 404.9 \\ & 804.3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-74 | $M$$F$$T$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.4 \\ & 111.7 \\ & 232.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131.7 \\ & 123.9 \\ & 255.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140.8 \\ & 129.9 \\ & 270.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148.0 \\ & 137.3 \\ & 285.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154.6 \\ & 142.5 \\ & 297.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166.4 \\ & 150.2 \\ & 316.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172.3 \\ & 154.1 \\ & 326.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179.3 \\ & 161.8 \\ & 341.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183.2 \\ & 165.2 \\ & 348.4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75+ | $M$FT | $\begin{array}{r} 101.5 \\ 99.4 \\ 200.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111.7 \\ & 113.3 \\ & 225.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.4 \\ & 122.4 \\ & 241.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125.5 \\ & 130.9 \\ & 256.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130.6 \\ & 138.8 \\ & 269.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137.7 \\ & 148.2 \\ & 285.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140.7 \\ & 154.6 \\ & 295.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141.9 \\ & 160.2 \\ & 302.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143.1 \\ & 163.2 \\ & 306.3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $M$FT | $\begin{aligned} & 1,421.4 \\ & 1,921.3 \\ & 3,342.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,536.9 \\ & 2,082.8 \\ & 3,619.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,564.5 \\ & 2,115.7 \\ & 3,680.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,620.2 \\ & 2,206.3 \\ & 3,826.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,662.6 \\ & 2,275.5 \\ & 3,938.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,692.8 \\ & 2,295.8 \\ & 3,988.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,723.8 \\ & 2,322.2 \\ & 4,046.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,735.8 \\ & 2,334.5 \\ & 4,070.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,714.0 \\ & 2,322.4 \\ & 4,036.4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Chart - 5
Total Hospital Participation Rate, by Sex, 1967 to 1975


TABLE 4. Hospital Participation Rates, by Age Group and Sex, 1967-75

|  |  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Infants' participation always exceeds $100 \%$ because most births now occur in hospital. Rates decline to the early teens, but then begin to rise. Although participation increases with age, the trend among females is not apparent from statistics alone. Fifteen-44-year-old women have a rate higher than 45-64-year-old women -almost as high as that of the 65-74 age group. The reason for this seeming anomaly is that 15-44 covers the prime child-bearing years. Thus, many of the women in this age range are hospitalized to give birth. As a result, the largest gap between male and female participation has been, is now, and will remain among 15-44-year-olds. Child-bearing inevitably raises the female rate far above that of men. By contrast, male participation in the $0-14$ and $65+$ age groups has always surpassed female. Sex differences in participation by 45-64 year-olds are not significant.

Chart 6 displays the rates by age group and sex for 1975. The patterns accord with earlier trends. Such consistency over nearly a decade suggests that trends in participation rates, applied to the projected population, may be an acceptable indicator of the number of cases (separations) hospitals will have to handle in the future. Yet this alone does not establish demand, which also depends on the length of time patients are hospitalized.

## Length of Stay

Length of stay is the number of days patients spend in hospital. Like participation, it is related to age and sex. Table 5 shows that patterns in the average length of stay for the various age-sex groups have also been consistent from 1967 to 1975.

Overall, length of stay has gradually fallen, particularly during the seventies. Steady improvements in medical technology may have contributed to this decline. The 1967 average was 11.0 days; by 1975, 10.7, although a high of 11.4 had been reached in 1969.

Stays increase with age: children averaged less than a week; people $75+$, around five weeks. In fact, the oldest age group (75+) was the only one to experience an increase since 1967.

Men generally stayed longer than women, but the difference (about one day) owes more to the causes of hospitalization than sex per se. The time spent by boys and girls $0-14$ is almost the same. Between the ages of 15 and 44 , women have a noticeably shorter average stay. This is because a large proportion of the hospitalized women are having children - a standardized procedure that takes a relatively short time. On the other hand, accidents, which usually mean an average stay of close to two weeks, are the leading cause of hospitalization for men of these ages. From 45-64, with the effect of maternity removed, lengths of stay equalize. However, after 65, and especially after 75 , women spend considerably more time in hospital. A major reason is that women have a longer life expectancy, so at advanced ages there are many widows. With no one at home to assist them during convalescence, they may have to remain in hospital longer than necessary for medical reasons.

The 1975 data in Chart 7 indicate variations that prevail between the groups.
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TABLE 5. Length of Stay in Hospital, by Age Group and Sex, 1967-75


TABLE 6. Hospital Patient-Days, by Age Group and Sex, 1967-75

|  | 0-24 |  |  | 25-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | $75+$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub-total } \\ & 65+ \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
|  | millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 16.6 | 20.3 | 36.9 |
| 1968 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 11.9 | 17.9 | 22.0 | 39.9 |
| 1969 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 11.4 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 41.9 |
| 1970 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 4.9 . | 5.1 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | . 8.0 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 14.0 | 19.1 | 23.9 | 42.9 |
| 1971 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 14.4 | 19.4 | 24.3 | 43.7 |
| 1972 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 10.8 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 15.3 | 19.8 | 24.6 | 44.3 |
| 1973 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 15.5 | 19.6 | 24.2 | 43.8 |
| 1974 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 10.1 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 16.2 | 19.6 | 24.5 | 44.1 |
| 1975 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 3.3 | $\therefore 3.3$ | 6.6 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 16.3 | 19.1 | 24.0 | 43.1 |
|  | per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 31.0 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 13.5 | 22.8 | 18.6 | 24.9 | 21.1 | 22.8 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 30.5 | 27.8 | 29.0 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1968 | 29.9 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 13.4 | 22.6 | 18.5 | 25.3 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 14.9 | 12.1 | 13.3 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 31.4 | 28.6 | 29.8 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1969 | 28.4 | 26.2 | 27.2 | 12.9 | 21.5 | 17.7 | 25.9 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 15.4 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 32.8 | 31.0 | 31.9 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1970 | 27.8 | 25.2 | 26.4 | 12.8 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 25.7 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 15.6 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 33.6 | 32.0 | 32.7 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1971 | 27.1 | 24.9 | 25.9 | 12.7 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 26.2 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 14.0 | 18.1 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 33.9 | 32.2 | 32.9 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1972 | 25.8 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 12.8 | 20.9 | 17.3 | 26.4 | 21.4 | 23.7 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 14.4 | 19.0 | $21.0^{\prime}$ | 20.1 | 35.0 | 34.2 | 34.5 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\because$ |
| 1973 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 23.9 | 12.8 | 20.9 | 17.3 | 26.4 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 19.3 | 21.9 | 20.7 | 35.7 | 35.0 | 35.3 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1974 | 24.4 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 12.7 | 20.3 | 16.9 | 26.5 | 20.8 | 23.3 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 15.0 | -19.6 | 23.4 | 21.7 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 36.7 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |
| 1975 | 23.6 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 12.5 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 26.3 | 20.7 | 23.2 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 20.3 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 37.6 | 38.0 | 37.8 | $\leftarrow$ | 100.0 | $\rightarrow$ |

Note: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

## Patient-Days

If the number of separations in any year is multiplied by the length of stay, the result is patient-days, a measure of hospital occupancy. Although many elements come into play, patient-days are basic in determining the need for facilities. Naturally, because patient-days are a product of separations and length of stay, trends since 1967 reflect patterns of the two latter variables. The total rose consistently from 36.9 million in 1967 to 44.3 million in 1972 , but then fluctuated. In 1975 the number was 43.1 million (Table 6).

The percentage taken by young age groups ( $0-24$ ) dropped steadily from $30 \%$ to $22 \%$, illustrating the decline in births that followed the baby boom. Twenty-five-44-year-olds also accounted for a diminishing share: $17 \%$ in 1975 as opposed to $19 \%$ in 1967. Patients in the 45-64 age range maintained a nearly constant level of $23 \%$.

In contrast, older people comprised an ever-growing share. Those 65-74 occupied $13 \%$ of 1967's patient-days; $15 \%$ of 1975's. The proportion allotted to the $75+$ age group went from $16 \%$ to $23 \%$. Thus, patient-days taken by everyone $65+$ increased about nine percentage points from $29 \%$ to $38 \%$. Much of this gain was attributable to the 75+. Of the 10.7 million patient-days assigned to persons $65+$ in 1967, the 75+ segment accounted for $55 \%$; by 1975 their portion had risen to $60 \%$.

Overall, females occupied about $55 \%$ of patient-days in any of the nine years. Yet there was some variation by age. Females $0-24$ were only slightly overrepresented, while about two-thirds of all patient-days allotted to 25-44-year-olds were taken by women, undoubtedly because of child-bearing. Contrariwise, patient-days were distributed almost equally between 45-64 and 65-74-year-old men and women. However, females constituted the majority of the $75+$ patient-days, and their share $)$ grew from 54\% in 1967 to $60 \%$ in 1975.

## Utilization

Although the number of patient-days rose between 1953 and 1975 from 22.6 million to 43.1 million, this increase was not as steep as that of hospital capacity. Consequently, the percentage utilization of hospital beds declined, most notably in the last few years (Table 7).(9)
(9) Lefebvre, Louis A., Public General and Allied Special Hospitals in Canada - Historical Summary of Inputs and Utilization of Facilities 1953-1973, Research Paper. Statistics Canada. Health Division, Ottawa, 1976.

TABLE 7. Hospital Utilization, 1953-75*

## per cent

| $1953-81.2$ | $1959-80.4$ | $1965-82.3$ | $1971-81.3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1954-79.2$ | $1960-82.0$ | $1966-81.4$ | $1972-78.7$ |
| $1955-78.7$ | $1961-83.2$ | $1967-80.2$ | $1973-78.8$ |
| $1956-78.9$ | $1962-82.3$ | $1968-81.2$ | $1974-77.5$ |
| $1957-80.2$ | $1963-81.8$ | $1969-80.7$ | $1975-76.8$ |
| $1958-80.1$ | $1964-81.1$ | $1970-80.9$ |  |
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Chart 8 illustrates how utilization fluctuates. For nearly 20 years it hovered around $80 \%$. But since the early seventies it has fallen almost steadily: from $81.3 \%$ in 1971 to $76.8 \%$ in 1975, a clear downward trend. Preliminary 1976 data suggest that the trend may now be changing and that the utilization rate is now on the increase.

Nonetheless, utilization is essential for planning future hospital requirements, as it can relieve or add to the pressure on space, independent of the size of the population at risk.

## Conclusion

Analysis of historical data shows consistent trends in hospital participation and length of stay, both varying predictably by age and sex. Thus, these variables can be applied to a population projection to estimate the potential number of patientdays in the future. Impending changes in the age distribution mean that requirements must be calculated separately for each age group. Higher participation and longer stays are characteristic of the elderly, who now occupy a proportionately large share of hospital space than younger age groups. As the population's age profile shifts toward the older end, this imbalance is projected to become more pronounced.

## Introduction

Population, participation, and length of stay were examined historically and projected to 2031. Different assumptions about these three variables resulted in a range of possible patient-day demands and concomitant costs.

Participation and length of stay implicitly encompass a number of elements such as medical technology, general health of the population, supply of medical personnel and facilities, preventive health programs, public propensity to use medical services, "third party" (government) payment of costs, judgement of individual physicians, and availability of alternative kinds of health care. Significant changes in one or any combination of these factors could reduce or increase length of stay and participation. Without attempting to specify the exact implications of such changes, a "band" (or range) of alternative projections is presented.

At the "upper end" of the range of projected patient-days, length of stay and participation rates for the various age-sex groups are maintained at the 1975 level, with the result that population change alone determines demand. The "lower end" of the range results from the following assumptions: (a) on the basis of the 1972-75 interval, trends in length of stay and participation rates of age groups up to 64 are continued until 1985, and held constant thereafter; (b) the length of stay of age groups over 65 undergoes a gradual $40 \%$ decrease of the 1980 value between 1981 and 2001, and (c) the participation rate of the $65+$ group declines $10 \%$ of the 1980 value, starting in 1981 and ending in 2001. The latter two assumptions are based upon two suppositions: i.e., some elderly people do not require hospital-level of care, but are there because they have nowhere else to go, and alternatives to hospitalization for older people will be developed soon.

Customarily, long-range projections stem from trends that extend back more than four years. However, the pattern in hospital participation rates and average length of stay that emerged in the early 1970s may mark a turning point and the beginning of a decline. Although one cause of less hospitalization may be decreased morbidity, further reductions can be induced by decisions of policy makers, as well as related developments such as greater utilization of out-patient care. Such developments reflect public concern over government expenditures in times of economic uncertainty.

The range of projections shows that population growth and shifts in age structure will increase the need for hospitalization, and demonstrates the significant savings that could be made if alternatives were provided. Operating costs are calculated using 1976 constant dollars so that the different alternatives can be compared. For convenience, the cost per hospital patient-day was rounded to $\$ 125$ from the $\$ 124.30$ reported in 1976.

## Upper Boundary of the Projection Range

By 2031 at the upper extremity of the projection range, where length of stay and participation rates remain the same, the population's overall growth and changing age composition have raised the patient-day requirement to about 91 million, more than double the 1975 figure. Table 8 indicates how the distribution of patient-days would shift among the age groups.

TABLE 8. Hospital Fatient-Days, Upper Boundary, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1975-2031

|  | 1975 | 1986 | 2001 | 2016 | 2031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75+ | 22.5 | 25.5 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 38.6 |
| 65-74 | 15.3 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 19.3 | 21.6 |
| Sub total (65+) | 37.8 | 41.7 | 46.4 | 49.5 | 60.2 |
| 45-64 | 23.2 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 25.9 | 19.9 |
| 25-44 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 16.1 | 13.0 | 10.6 |
| 0-24 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 13.7 | 11.5 | 9.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number ( ${ }^{\prime} 000$ ) | 43,096 | 53,219 | 66,112 | 76,715 | 90,801 |
| Index of growth | 100 | 123 | 153 | 178 | 211 |

Generally, younger groups claim a decreasing proportion of total patient-days, whereas the relative need of the elderly rises steadily. By the end of the projection period, the overall increase would be around 48 million patient-days, of which $80 \%$ are attributable to older people.

On the basis of the 1976 cost per patient-day of $\$ 125$, expenditures would more than double from $\$ 5.4$ billion in 1976 to about $\$ 11$ billion in 2031. The significant change, however, is a proportionate one among the age groups. There is a net decline in the percentage of expenditures devoted to patients younger than 65. Yet the elderly, who in 1976 accounted for $38 \%$ of costs ( $\$ 2.1$ billion), are projected to require $60 \%$ ( $\$ 7$ billion) by 2031 - an increase of over $200 \%$ (Table 9).

TABLE 9. Estimated Hospital Patient-day Costs, Upper Boundary, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1976-2031

| Age <br> Group | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1976 constant dollars - millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | \$ | 391 | 426 | 443 | 422 | 378 | 391 | 373 | 356 |
|  | \% | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| 1-4 | \$ | 149 | 159 | 169 | 168 | 148 | 150 | 147 | 138 |
|  | \% | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 5-14 | \$ | 196 | 174 | 175 | 185 | 182 | 166 | 170 | 165 |
|  | \% | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 15-24 | \$ | 474 | 494 | 452 | 403 | 428 | 420 | 386 | 393 |
|  | \% | 8.6 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 25-44 | \$ | 926 | 1,071 | 1,242 | 1,360 | 1,328 | 1,237 | 1,260 | 1,201 |
|  | \% | 16.8 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 16.1 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 10.6 |
| 45-64 | \$ | 1,271 | 1,332 | 1,391 | 1,494 | 1,965 | 2,477 | 2,408 | 2,258 |
|  | \% | 23.1 | 21.9 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 23.8 | 27.0 | 23.8 | 19.9 |
| 65-74 | \$ | 852 | 982 | 1,082 | 1,207 | 1,289 | 1,509 | 2,141 | 2,453 |
|  | \% | 15.5 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 21.6 |
| 75+ | \$ | 1,252 | 1,440 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 2,548 | 2,810 | 3,227 | 4,381 |
|  | \% | 22.6 | 23.7 | 25.6 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 31.8 | 38.6 |
| $65+$ | \$ | 2,104 | 2,422 | 2,782 | 3,207 | 3,837 | 4,319 | 5,368 | 6,834 |
|  | \% | 38.1 | 39.9 | 41.8 | 44.3 | 46.3 | 47.2 | 53.0 | 60.2 |
| Total | \$ | 5,511 | 6,079 | 6,653 | 7,240 | 8,264 | 9,160 | 10,113 | 11,350 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Lower Boundary of the Projection Range

While participation rates and length of stay at the top of the range were held constant throughout the projection period, at the lower boundary these variables were allowed to fall to levels that according to some members of the medical profession, seem reasonable, given present knowledge and expected changes in technology.

The purpose of setting this limit on the projection band is to illustrate how costs may be reduced if certain proportions of elderly hospital patients were placed in nursing homes. From the individual's perspective this may not be a happy outcome. Less expensive, indeed, than a hospital ( $\$ 25$ per patient-day), the nursing home is still an institution. Lengthy or permanent stays in one or the other may be contrary to both the desires and needs of the elderly. The intention is not to recommend a wholesale transfer from one type of institution to another, but simply
to show savings that might accrue to a less expensive mode of care. The costs stated for nursing homes are greater than those that "would be incurred by a home care program.(10) "Hence, demonstrated savings might be even greater if large-scale home health services were established.

Nonetheless, home care has limitations. Its advocates "... should not expect this service to radically reduce the proportions of elderly in long-term care facilities".(11) As the population projection indicates, Canada's elderly are increasing, both numerically and proportionately, and the $75+$ cohort is growing even faster. When people reach such an advanced age, illness and disability, often necessitating institutional care, are inevitable. "No country has yet discovered a magic formula which will enable people to live to their eighties, nineties, and hundreds without their growing old."(12)

For elderly patients, the lower projection limit assumes that length of stay will undergo a gradual $40 \%$ (of the 1980 value) drop between 1981 and 2001 and remain constant thereafter; their participation rate is assumed to decrease $10 \%$ (of the 1980 value) between 1981 and 2001, and then stay at that level to 2031. This translates into fewer hospital patient-days, but requires more nursing home beds for those who otherwise would have been treated in hospitals.

In 2031 the projected hospital patient-day total is almost 59 million, about onethird more than in 1975 (Table 10). The noticeable decline in elderly patient-days results in a less lopsided distribution among the various age-sex groups.
(10) Preliminary analysis of home care in Manitoba, conducted by Carol Motuz of Health and Welfare Canada, suggests that daily costs average about $\$ 5.00$.
(11) Ethel Shanas, "Health status of older people: cross-national implications", American Journal of Public Health, LXỊV (March 1974), 263.
(12) Ibid.

TABLE 10. Hospital Patient-days, Lower Boundary, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1975-2031

|  | 1975 | 1986 | 2001 | 2016 | 2031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75+ | 22.6 | 27.4 | 25.5 | 25.3 | 33.8 |
| 65-74 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 16.2 |
| Sub total (65+) | 37.9 | 42.4 | 36.5 | 39.2 | 50.0 |
| 45-64 | 23.2 | 21.4 | 29.0 | 32.0 | 25.7 |
| 25-44 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 15.1 | 12.8 |
| 0-24 | 22.3 | 18.4 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 11.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number ( ${ }^{\prime} 000$ ) | 43,096 | 43,371 | 46,131 | 51,689 | 58,563 |
| Index of growth | 100 | 101 | 105 | 120 | 136 |

TABLE 11. Estimated Patient-Day Costs of Hospitals and Additional Nursing Homes, Lower Boundary, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1976-2031

| Age <br> Group | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1976 constant dollars - millions

| <1 | \$ | 382 | 371 | 386 | 369 | 330 | 342 | 318 | 312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| 1-4 | \$ | 137 | 116 | 117 | 117 | 103 | 104 | 102 | 96 |
|  | \% | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 5-14 | \$ | 192 | 146 | 140 | 149 | 146 | 133 | 136 | 130 |
|  | \% | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 15-24 | \$ | 455 | 399 | 352 | 308 | 332 | 328 | 301 | 307 |
|  | \% | 8.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 25-44 | \$ | 888 | 856 | 969 | 1,062 | 1,036 | 965 | 984 | 937 |
|  | \% | 16.5 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 11.8 |
| 45-64 | \$ | 1,225 | 1,113 | 1,157 | 1,243 | 1,635 | 2,062 | 2,005 | 1,880 |
|  | \% | 22.8 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 27.4 | 31.0 | 27.8 | 23.6 |
| 65-74 |  | 835 | 856 | 834 | 831 | 717 | 840 | 1,192 | 1,366 |
|  | \% | 15.5 | 16.1 | 15.1 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 16.5 | 17.2 |
| 75+ |  | 1,262 | 1,467 | 1,555 | 1,641 | 1,701 | 1,877 | 2,178 | 2,927 |
|  | \% | 23.4 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 28.7 | 28.3 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 36.8 |
| $65+$ | \$ | 2,097 | 2,323 | 2,389 | 2,472 | 2,418 | 2,717 | 3,370 | 4,293 |
|  | \% | 38.9 | 43.7 | 43.3 | 43.2 | 40.3 | 40.8 | 46.7 | 54.0 |
| Total | \$ | 5,374 | 5,323 | 5,513 | 5,719 | 6,001 | 6,651 | 7,215 | 7,956 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Total costs for both hospitals and new nursing homes are projected to increase from $\$ 5.4$ billion to $\$ 8$ billion. Patient-day costs for the elderly rise from $39 \%$ of the 1976 total to $44 \%$ in 1986, and then decline to $40 \%$ at the turn of the century. Thereafter, the rise is gradual, to about $54 \%$ of all spending in 2031 (Table 11). Overall, expenditures for older people alone, in both hospitals and additional nursing homes, are projected to grow from about $\$ 2$ billion to a little more than $\$ 4$ billion.

Selected Mid-Range Outcomes
In the previous two sections the upper and lower bounds of the range of possible outcomes to 2031 were delineated. Within this range a number of estimates could be presented. However, only two have been chosen for comment here.

## Projection I

In addition to structural population change, Projection I incorporates 1972-1975 trends in length of stay and participation rates for each age-sex group. Specifically, the net direction (up or down) of the length of stay of each age-sex group was extended until it reached $\pm 15 \%$ of the 1975 value, an acceptable allowance for variability, according to some members of the medical profession. This level was then maintained throughout the projection period.

The four-year trend in participation of each age-sex group was extended to 1985, and then held constant. The increase or decline from 1976 to 1980 amounts to onehalf the percentage change between 1972 and 1975; from 1981 to 1985, one-quarter of the 1972-1975 percentage change. These estimates, too, appeared reasonable to some medical personnel who were consulted.

Projection I is considered a "likely" estimate of future demand, for it permits some variation suggested by recent trends. By the end of the projection period the total patient-day requirement would be about 84 million, not quite double the 1975 figure (Table 12). The relative number of patient-days needed by younger people would decline, while those for the elderly would rise. The overall increase to 2031 is some 41 million patient-days, of which the elderly would account for $93 \%$.

TABLE 12. Hospital Patient-Days, Projection I, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1975-2031

|  | 1975 | 1986 | 2001 | 2016 | 2031 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | percentage distribution |  |  |  |  |
| $75+$ | 22.6 | 31.3 | 37.1 | 36.3 | 45.3 |
| $65-74$ | 15.3 | 15.7 | 14.7 | 18.2 | 20.0 |
| Sub total (65+) | 37.9 | 47.0 | 51.8 | 54.5 | 65.3 |
| $45-64$ | 23.2 | 19.7 | 22.0 | 23.9 | 17.9 |
| $25-44$ | 16.7 | 16.5 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 8.9 |
| $0-24$ | 22.3 | 17.0 | 12.3 | 10.3 | 8.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number ('000) | 43,096 | 47,033 | 59,507 | 69,189 | 83,985 |
| Index of growth | 100 | 109 | 138 | 161 | 195 |

Costs, of course, reflect rising numbers, but more important, the skewed distribution of patient-days. From $\$ 5.4$ billion in 1976 the total nearly doubles to $\$ 11$ billion in 2031. In 1976, $\$ 2.1$ billion ( $38 \%$ of the total) were spent to hospitalize the elderly. By 2001 older people would require more than half of the projected total of more than $\$ 7$ billion. In 2031 their hospitalization bill is projected to be about two-thirds of the $\$ 11$ billion total (Table 13).

## Projection II

In Projection II, older people's hospital participation rate and length of stay decrease between 1981 and 2001, the rates of decline being $5 \%$ and $20 \%$, respectively. As in the case of the lower boundary of the range, this projection assumes that alternatives to hospitalization could soon be developed. The ultimate effect is to lower the elderly's demand for hospital patient-days, so that by 2031 they would take about $58 \%$ of the total compared with some $65 \%$ in Projection I (Table 14).

TABLE 13. Estimated Hospital Patient-Day Costs, Projection I, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1976-2031

| Age | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1976 constant dollars - millions

| $<1$ | $\$$ | 382 | 371 | 387 | 369 | 330 | 342 | 318 | 312 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-4$ | $\$$ | 137 | 116 | 117 | 117 | 103 | 104 | 102 | 96 |
|  | $\%$ | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5-14$ | $\$$ | 192 | 146 | 140 | 149 | 146 | 133 | 136 | 130 |
|  | $\%$ | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-24$ | $\$$ | 455 | 399 | 352 | 308 | 332 | 328 | 301 | 307 |
|  | $\%$ | 8.5 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-44$ | $\$$ | 888 | 856 | 969 | 1,062 | 1,036 | 965 | 984 | 937 |
|  | $\%$ | 16.5 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 8.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $45-64$ | $\$$ | 1,225 | 1,113 | 1,157 | 1,243 | 1,635 | 2,062 | 2,005 | 1,880 |
|  | $\%$ | 22.8 | 20.7 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 25.0 | 21.8 | 17.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $65-74$ | $\$$ | 835 | 859 | 920 | 1,025 | 1,095 | 1,282 | 1,820 | 2,085 |
|  | $\%$ | 15.5 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 19.8 | 19.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $75+$ | $\$$ | 1,262 | 1,510 | 1,836 | 2,163 | 2,761 | 3,047 | 3,547 | 4,750 |
|  | $\%$ | 23.4 | 28.1 | 31.2 | 33.2 | 37.1 | 36.8 | 38.3 | 45.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $65+$ | 2,097 | 2,369 | 2,756 | 3,188 | 3,856 | 4,329 | 5,367 | 6,835 |  |
|  | $\%$ | 38.9 | 44.1 | 46.9 | 49.1 | 51.8 | 52.3 | 58.1 | 65.1 |

$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrr}\text { Total } & \$ & 5,374 & 5,369 & 5,879 & 6,436 & 7,439 & 8,264 & 9,212 & 10,498 \\ & \% & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0\end{array}$

TABLE 14. Hospital Patient-days, Projection II, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1975-2031

| 1975 |  | 1986 | 2001 | 2016 | 2031 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | percentage distribution |  |  |  |  |
| $75+$ | 22.6 | 28.9 | 31.2 | 30.7 | 39.5 |
| $65-74$ | 15.3 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 19.0 |
| Sub total (65+) | 37.9 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 47.6 | 58.5 |
| 45-64 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 25.2 | 27.6 | 21.3 |
| $25-44$ | 16.7 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 10.6 |
| $0-24$ | 22.3 | 17.6 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 9.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number ('000) | 43,096 | 45,256 | 51,842 | 59,937 | 70,483 |
| Index of growth | 100 | 105 | 120 | 139 | 164 |

As well, overall demand is reduced. About 70 million hospital patient-days would be required by 2031, as opposed to the 90 million in Projection I. At $\$ 125$ and $\$ 25$ per day in hospitals and nursing homes, respectively, costs rise from $\$ 5.4$ billion in 1976 to an estimated $\$ 9$ billion in 2031 (Table 15), which is about $\$ 1$ billion less than in Projection I.

## Discussion

The elderly now account for close to $40 \%$ of all hospital patient-days and concomitant costs. At one end of the projection range (upper boundary and Projection I), on the basis of trends in population distribution and hospitalization, the percentage could rise to about $60 \%$.

Many health problems of older people are multiple chronic conditions and functional impairments, yet they are locked into a system designed to provide acute care. The medical effort on their behalf is strongly oriented towards diagnosis, treatment and recovery (or death) in a crisis. Nearly a decade ago a task force appointed by the Committee on Costs of Health Services reported that "there are in acute general hospital beds a significant number of patients who could be handled at other levels of care, thereby reducing the cost to the community in the long run".(13)

As mentioned earlier, within the range of possible outcomes, Projection $I$ is considered a "likely" approximation of future requirements. The financial implication is that even in constant (1976) dollars, costs would double by the end of the period. Is there an alternative to this escalation of expenditures?

TABLE 15. Estimated Patient-day Costs of Hosqitals and Additional Nursing Homes, Projection II, by Age Group, Selected Years, 1976-2031

| Age | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1976 constant dollars - millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | \$ | 382 | 371 | 387 | 369 | 330 | 342 | 318 | 312 |
|  | \% | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| 1-4 | \$ | 137 | 116 | 117 | 117 | 103 | 104 | 102 | 96 |
|  | \% | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 5-14 | \$ | 192 | 146 | 140 | 149 | 146 | 133 | 136 | 130 |
|  | \% | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 15-24 | \$ | 455 | 399 | 352 | 308 | 332 | 328 | 301 | 307 |
|  | \% | 8.5 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| 25-44 | \$ | 888 | 856 | 969 | 1,062 | 1,036 | 965 | 984 | 937 |
|  | \% | 16.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 10.2 |


| $45-64$ | $\$$ | 1,225 | 1,113 | 1,157 | 1,243 | 1,635 | 2,062 | 2,005 | 1,880 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | 22.8 | 20.8 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 24.5 | 27.8 | 24.7 | 20.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $65-74$ | $\$$ | 835 | 871 | 901 | 953 | 921 | 1,078 | 1,530 | 1,753 |
|  | $\%$ | 15.5 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 18.8 | 19.2 |


| $75+$ | $\$$ | 1,262 | 1,486 | 1,677 | 1,877 | 2,169 | 2,394 | 2,757 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | 23.4 | 27.7 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 33.8 |


| $65+$ | $\$$ | 2,097 | 2,357 | 2,578 | 2,830 | 3,090 | 3,472 | 4,287 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | 38.9 | 44.0 | 45.2 | 46.5 | 46.3 | 47.0 | 52.6 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Total } & \$ & 5,374 & 5,357 & 5,701 & 6,079 & 6,672 & 7,406 & 8,132 & 9,147 \\ & \% & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0\end{array}$

One potential solution is to treat patients who do not require the highly sophisticated technology of modern hospitals in lower level care facilities. A patientday in a nursing home, for example, is about one-fifth as expensive as in a hospital: $\$ 25$ versus $\$ 125$. It is reasonable, then, to surmise that transferring greater porportions of older people from hospitals to nursing homes could result in significant savings.

The Canadian health care delivery system is centred on institutional care. Compared with other countries, a large segment of Canada's older population, about $9 \%$, (14) lives in institutions. This is high relative to the United States, where the proportion is $5 \%(15)$. In both countries there is a shortage of home care. According to current estimates, Canada has one home-help (homemaker) for every 4,300 persons; in the United States there is one for every 5,000 people. Sweden has the highest ratio with approximately one aide for each 121 persons; Norway has one to 173 ; the Netherlands, one to 247 , and the United Kingdom, one to 726.(16)
(14) Cope W. Schwenger, "Health Care for Aging Canadians" Canadian Welfare (JanuaryFebruary 1977), p. 11. It should be noted that agreement on this figure is not universal.
(15) United States, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Health Resources Administration, Health U.S. 1976-77, (Washington, D.C. 1977).
(16) Anne R. Somers and Florence M. Moore, "Homemaker Services. Essential Option for the Elderly", Public Health Reports, XCI (July-August 1976), 355.

The extent of the difference within the range of projections becomes apparent when the upper and lower boundaries and the two mid-range estimates are compared. The general trend is an upturn with ever-widening divergences.

## Participation Rate

Chart 9 shows, within the projection range, the proportion of the population that can expect to be hospitalized. The participation rate is highest at the upper limit because 1975 rates were held constant throughout, and because the population is "aging", i.e., the average Canadian is getting older, and consequently, is more likely to be hospitalized. Projection II and the lower limit of the range assume that the overall participation rate could be reduced by transferring some elderly patients from acute care hospitals to less expensive facilities. In Projection I, considered "most likely", participation decreases slightly in the mid-eighties, and then, rises gradually (about two percentage points) by 2031. Participation increases after the turn of the century because of the "aging" of the population. Future rates could hover between $17 \%$ and $20 \%$.

## Length of Stay

Because 1975 values were held constant at the upper boundary of the Projections, average stay is longest there (Chart 10). In the rest of the range, average stays decline until the 1980s and then level off. From the early eighties to 2001, the overall average is projected to decrease to nine days and eventually rise to 10 or 11 .

After the turn of the century each Projection indicates an increase, especially in the second and third decades when the baby boom generation reaches old age. By the end of the projection period, the average could range between 10 and 14 days, which suggests that some prolongation of hospital stays may be inevitable.

The projection range of length of stay is wide. The assumed decrease of the elderly's length of stay has a particularly strong effect on the patient-day projections. Since people 65+ account for a proportionately larger share of patient-days, a $20 \%$ or $40 \%$ reduction in their hospital stays lowers overall averages.

## Patient-Days

Chart 11 shows the projection range for patient-days. The pattern, not unexpectedly, resembles those of participation rate and length of stay. By the end of the period some 30 million hospital patient-days separate the upper and lower boundaries.

It is estimated that, eventually, a substantial number of patient-days will have to be added to the present 43 million. By 2031 all the surviving baby boom generation will be over 65 . At the lower limit of the projection range, about 58 million hospital patient-days would be needed, representing an increase of about 15 million. The eventual total at the upper limit is 90 million days, more than double the 1975 figure.

Chart - 9
Total Hospital Participation Rate, Projection Range, 1967 to 2031


Chart - 10
Average Length of Stay in Hospital, Projection Range, 1967 to 2031


Patient-Days and the Elderly
As mentioned earlier, in 1975 the $65+$ age group constituted $8.6 \%$ of the population but accounted for $37.8 \%$ of all patient-days.

Taking Projection I as an illustration, the situation in Table 16 might be expected to develop. By 2031 elderly people alone are projected to occupy more hospital patient-days than the 1975 total ( 55 million versus 43 million). Equally significant is the possibility that their share would rise to $65 \%$, although even by then they would comprise only about $20 \%$ of the total population.

Table 17 shows the effect of transfers from acute care hospitals to chronic care facilities, on which the lower boundary and Projection II are based. Under Projection II, by 2001 nearly one-quarter of the patient-days taken by the 65+ age group in Projection $I$ would be spent in facilities other than acute care hospitals. At the lower boundary of the range the proportion rises to almost one-half.

## Utilization

Speculation about when facilities have to be increased depends, in the first instance, on utilization. To illustrate its potential significance, Chart 12 relates 1975 capacity to the anticipated demand. The left side of the chart represents the projected range of patient-days, and the right, levels of utilization. Between 1971 and 1975 utilization declined from $81.3 \%$ to $76.8 \%$. The supply of hospital patient-days is determined by the number of beds available and their utilization. Therefore a greater demand for hospital patient-days and a further overall decline in utilization would call for an increase in the number of beds. At the upper boundary of the range, a substantial addition would be necessary even before 1980. Yet if utilization were raised to only $80 \%$, the current number would be adequate until the early 1980s. At the lower boundary of the projection range, existing of hospital beds would suffice for more than a decade.

It would, however, be difficult to raise the national level of utilization to $80 \%$ overnight. Many hospitals have long waiting lists, while some in other areas may have empty beds. Even if distance were not a factor, a patient's physician may not have admitting privileges with the under-used hospital. It appears that the level of utilization likely will rise in the future, particularly as governments and administrators face greater financial constraints brought about by economic uncertainty.

## Chart - 11

Total Hospital Patient-days, Projection Range, 1967 to 2031


TABLE 16. The 65+ Age Group's Share of Hospital Patient-Days, Projection I, by Sex, Selected Years, 1975-2031

|  | 1975 | 1986 | 2001 | 2031 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands |  |  |  |
| Total patient-days: |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 19,087 | 19,840 | 24,304 | 33,909 |
| Females | 24,009 | 27,193 | 35,203 | 50,076 |
| Total | 43,096 | 47,033 | 59,507 | 83,985 |
| Total 65+ patient-days: |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 7,170 | 8,633 | 11,254 | 20,266 |
| Females | 9,126 | 13,410 | 19,595 | 34,414 |
| Total | 16,296 | 22,043 | 30,849 | 54,680 |
| Percentage of total patient-days required by | per cent |  |  |  |
| elderly: | $37.6 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Males | $38.0 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
| Females | $37.8 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 17. Patient-Days Transferred from Acute Care Hospitals to Nursing Homes, Projection II and Lower Boundary, by Sex, Selected Years, 1968, 2001 and 2031

|  | Projection II |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 2001 | 2031 |
|  | thousands |  |  |
| Number transferred: |  |  |  |
| Males | 379 | 3,432 | 4,352 |
| Females | 1,398 | 5,233 | 9,150 |
| Total | 1,777 | 7,665 | 13,502 |
| Transferrals related to number of elderly patient-days in Projection I: | per ce |  |  |
| Males | 4.4 | 21.6 | 21.5 |
| Females | 10.4 | 26.7 | 26.6 |
| Total | 8.1 | 24.8 | 24.7 |
|  | Lower Boundary |  |  |
|  | thousands |  |  |
| Males | 1,147 | 4,986 | 8,959 |
| Females | 2,515 | 9,390 | 16,463 |
| Total | 3,662 | 14,376 | 25,422 |
| Transferrals related to number of elderly patient-days in Projection I: | per cent |  |  |
| Males | 13.3 | 44.3 | 44.2 |
| Females | 18.8 | 47.9 | 47.8 |
| Total | 16.6 | 46.6 | 46.5 |

Chart - 12
How Long Will 1975 Hospital Capacity Last?
(by Level of Utilization)

| Patient-days | Utilization |
| :--- | ---: |
| of 1975 |  |
| (millions) | Capacity |
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## Financial Considerations

If the supply of resources were adequate, this study would be useful merely as a demonstration of how demography could affect the need for hospital services. However, should current tight financial conditions persist, it is likely that alternatives will have to be developed. If the estimates near the bottom of the projection range are feasible, then this report may have more than academic value.

An average hospital patient-day cost about $\$ 125$ in 1976 . With this amount as a constant for hospitals, and $\$ 25$ for a day in a nursing home, Chart 13 compares operating costs in constant (1976) dollars(17) of the estimates in the projection range.

The top of the projection band shows the effect that structural population changes alone could have on annual patient-day expenditures: they more than double from about $\$ 5$ billion to $\$ 11$ billion. Similarly, under Projection I costs nearly double by the end of the period. Yet by 1980, because of the projected decrease in patient-days, the annual cost in this projection would decline slightly from $\$ 5.4$ billion in 1976. It then would rise steadily to over $\$ 10$ billion by 2031.

The two lower estimates include nursing home costs as well as acute care hospital expenditures, a breakdown of which is given in Table 18. While total patient-day costs, as estimated in Projection II, would rise above $\$ 9$ billion, most of this would be accounted for by hospitals alone ( $96 \%$ ). The costs associated with nursing homes would be about $\$ 300$ million.

At the lower boundary, overall costs are projected to be about $\$ 8$ billion, $92 \%$ of which would be incurred by hospitals. Total expenditures are about $13 \%$ less than in Projection II. Of course, with the assumption of greater use of nursing homes, costs of these facilities would be about $\$ 600$ million, some $\$ 300$ million more than estimated in the previous projection.

Theoretically then, an alternate mode of responding to anticipated patient-day needs could result in annual savings of $\$ 2$ to $\$ 3$ billion by 2031, i.e., the difference between Projection I (one of the mid-range estimates) and the lower boundary of the range. If hospital expenditures alone are considered, the difference would be more than $\$ 3$ billion.

Table 19 displays the total annual and aggregate savings that could be made if the conditions characterizing the lower end of the projection range prevailed instead of those in Projection I. For instance, in 2031 the potential difference between Projections I and II is more than $\$ 1$ billion, and by then aggregate savings would total about $\$ 14$ billion. Between Projection I and the lower boundary of the range, the annual saving in 2031 would be more than $\$ 2$ billion, and over the whole period this translates into an aggregate of about $\$ 27$ billion.
(17) The method of estimating current dollar costs is explained in Appendix B.

Chart - 13
Total Patient-day Costs in 1976 Constant Dollars, Projection Range; 1976 to 2031

(1) This represents only transferees from hospitals. It should not be interpreted as total nursing home costs since transferees could stay longer in the nursing home than they would have in the hospital.

TABLE 18. Patient-Day Costs in Hospitals and Nursing Homes, Projection II and Lower Boundary, Selected Years, 1976-2031

| Year | Projection II |  |  | Lower Boundary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hospitals | Nursing <br> Homes | Total | Hospi- <br> tals | Nursing <br> Homes | Total |

1976 constant dollars - millions

| 1976 | $\$ 5,374$ | - | $\$ 5,374$ | $\$ 5,374$ | - | $\$ 5,374$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 5,354 | - | 5,354 | 5,354 | - | 5,354 |
| 1978 | 5,329 | - | 5,329 | 5,329 | - | 5,329 |
| 1979 | 5,313 | - | 5,313 | 5,313 | - | 5,313 |
| 1980 | 5,294 | - | 5,294 | 5,294 | - | 5,294 |
| 1981 | 5,349 | 8 | 5,357 | 5,311 | 12 | 5,323 |
| 1982 | 5,403 | 14 | 5,417 | 5,327 | 26 | 5,353 |
| 1983 | 5,460 | 20 | 5,480 | 5,345 | 41 | 5,386 |
| 1984 | 5,517 | 27 | 5,544 | 5,363 | 57 | 5,420 |
| 1985 | 5,579 | 36 | 5,616 | 5,385 | 75 | 5,460 |
| 1986 | 5,657 | 44 | 5,701 | 5,421 | 92 | 5,513 |
| 1987 | 5,734 | 53 | 5,787 | 5,456 | 109 | 5,565 |
| 1988 | 5,806 | 62 | 5,868 | 5,485 | 126 | 5,611 |
| 1989 | 5,877 | 71 | 5,947 | 5,511 | 144 | 5,655 |
| 1990 | 5,941 | 80 | 6,021 | 5,532 | 161 | 5,695 |
| 1991 | 5,989 | 89 | 6,078 | 5,540 | 179 | 5,719 |
| 1992 | 6,050 | 90 | 6,140 | 5,560 | 197 | 5,757 |
| 1993 | 6,096 | 108 | 6,204 | 5,566 | 214 | 5,780 |
| 1994 | 6,139 | 117 | 6,256 | 5,570 | 231 | 5,801 |
| 1995 | 6,193 | 128 | 6,321 | 5,583 | 250 | 5,832 |
| 1996 | 6,245 | 138 | 6,383 | 5,594 | 268 | 5,863 |
| 1997 | 6,301 | 149 | 6,450 | 5,611 | 288 | 5,898 |
| 1998 | 6,351 | 160 | 6,511 | 5,619 | 307 | 5,926 |
| 1999 | 6,396 | 171 | 6,567 | 5,627 | 324 | 5,951 |
| 2000 | 6,438 | 181 | 6,619 | 5,634 | 342 | 5,975 |
| 2001 | 6,480 | 192 | 6,672 | 5,641 | 359 | 6,001 |
| 2006 | 6,851 | 203 | 7,054 | 5,965 | 380 | 6,345 |
| 2011 | 7,191 | 214 | 7,406 | 6,248 | 403 | 6,651 |
| 2016 | 7,492 | 231 | 7,724 | 6,461 | 438 | 6,899 |
| 2021 | 7,862 | 270 | 8,132 | 6,699 | 516 | 7,215 |
| 2026 | 8,376 | 279 | 8,654 | 7,034 | 547 | 7,581 |
| 2031 | 8,810 | 338 | 9,147 | 7,320 | 636 | 7,956 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These sums, however, do not represent outright "savings", since an initial capital investment is necessary to construct nursing homes. It is possible that if secondary facilities are not built, significantly more resources will have to be allocated toward construction of new hospitals. The lack of "hard" data makes it difficult (but not impossible) to compare capital costs of hospitals and nursing homes. In 1975 the cost per hospital bed ranged between $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 80,000$ (excluding land); the average was about $\$ 50,000$. No information has been systematically assembled about nursing homes, but sources(18) estimate that construction costs per bed are about $60 \%$ of those for hospitals. Nursing homes do not require the myriad of facilities and equipment essential to modern acute care hospitals. If capital costs were included in the overall financial considerations, the savings illustrated might be much greater.
(18) Personal communication from an official of the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation.

TABLE 19. Patient-Day Costs and Savings, Projections II and Lower Boundary Versus Projection I, Selected Years, 1976-2031


1976 constant dollars - millions

| 1976 | \$ 5,374 | \$5,374 | - | - | \$5,374 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 5,354 | 5,354 | - | - | 5,354 | - | - |
| 1978 | 5,329 | 5,329 | - | - | 5,329 | - | - |
| 1979 | 5,313 | 5,313 | - | - | 5,313 | - | - |
| 1980 | 5,294 | 5,294 | - | - | 5,294 | - | - |
| 1981 | 5,369 | 5,357 | 12 | 12 | 5,323 | 46 | 46 |
| 1982 | 5,459 | 5,417 | 42 | 54 | 5,354 | 105 | 151 |
| 1983 | 5,552 | 5,480 | 72 | 126 | 5,387 | 165 | 316 |
| 1984 | 5,648 | 5,544 | 104 | 230 | 5,420 | 228 | 544 |
| 1985 | 5,761 | 5,616 | 145 | 375 | 5,460 | 301 | 845 |
| 1986 | 5,879 | 5,701 | 178 | 553 | 5,513 | 366 | 1,211 |
| 1987 | 5,998 | 5,787 | 211 | 764 | 5,564 | 434 | 1,645 |
| 1988 | 6,114 | 5,868 | 246 | 1,010 | 5,611 | 503 | 2,148 |
| 1989 | 6,229 | 5,947 | 282 | 1,292 | 5,655 | 574 | 2,722 |
| 1990 | 6,341 | 6,021 | 320 | 1,612 | 5,720 | 621 | 3,343 |
| 1991 | 6,436 | 6,079 | 357 | 1,969 | 5,719 | 717 | 4,060 |
| 1992 | 6,543 | 6,148 | 395 | 2,364 | 5,756 | 787 | 4,847 |
| 1993 | 6,635 | 6,204 | 431 | 2,795 | 5,780 | 855 | 5,702 |
| 1994 | 6,725 | 6,256 | 469 | 3,264 | 5,801 | 924 | 6,626 |
| 1995 | 6,831 | 6,321 | 510 | 3,774 | 5,832 | 999 | 7,625 |
| 1996 | 6,936 | 6,383 | 553 | 4,327 | 5,863 | 1,073 | 8,698 |
| 1997 | 7,047 | 6,450 | 597 | 4,924 | 5,898 | 1,149 | 9,847 |
| 1998 | 7,152 | 6,511 | 641 | 5,565 | 5,926 | 1,226 | 11,073 |
| 1999 | 7,249 | 6,541 | 708 | 6,273 | 5,926 | 1,323 | 12,396 |
| 2000 | 7,344 | 6,619 | 725 | 6,998 | 5,976 | 1,368 | 13,764 |
| 2001 | 7,439 | 6,672 | 767 | 7,765 | 6,001 | 1,438 | 15,202 |
| 2006 | 7,866 | 7,054 | 812 | 8,577 | 6,345 | 1,521 | 16,723 |
| 2011 | 8,264 | 7,406 | 858 | 9,435 | 6,651 | 1,613 | 18,336 |
| 2016 | 8,649 | 7,724 | 925 | 10,360 | 6,899 | 1,750 | 20,086 |
| 2021 | 9,212 | 8,132 | 1,080 | 11,440 | 7,215 | 1,997 | 22,083 |
| 2026 | 9,768 | 8,654 | 1,114 | 12,554 | 7,581 | 2,187 | 24,270 |
| 2031 | 10,498 | 9,147 | 1,351 | 13,905 | 7,956 | 2,542 | 26,812 |

This study starts with demography and ends with dollars. The goals have been to examine the impact of population growth and changing age distribution on future hospital needs, and to suggest the financial considerations.(19) By 2031 the total population is projected to have increased only $34 \%$, but the elderly, who are the predominant users of hospitals, would more than triple. They now make up nearly 9\% of the population; in 2031 they could account for more than $20 \%$, and consequently, place a greater demand on hospitals.

Several points about hospital patient-days have emerged:

1. The total could be expanded without adding beds if utilization were to rise.
2. The need for patient-days will likely grow continuously. By 2001 the minimum requirement could be more than 45 million, the maximum 66 million. By 2031 the total could vary between 58 and 91 million.
3. Establishment of secondary facilities would make current hospital space adequate for years to come.
4. Not all patients now in hospital need that level of service. A number of chronic cases, specifically older people, could be treated elsewhere.
5. Hospitals are the most expensive health care facilities to build and operate.

A final word of caution is necessary. It appears probable that between now and 2031 health care facilities will have to be extended. However, before a massive building program is undertaken, some lessons could be learned from other sectors of society that have tried to accommodate the baby boom generation. Such endeavours must be tempered with restraint because the baby boom is a demographic trap for the unwary. As the education system has belatedly discovered, the boom was followed by a bust. Now that enrolment is declining, the problem of what to do with empty schools left over from the era of expansion looms ever larger.

Fifty years hence, when the baby boom generation starts to die, hospitals and nursing homes could face similar difficulties. It would not be economical to close hundreds of beds in either type of institution.

This is an added reason for encouraging home care or day care rather than going the institutional route and overbuilding. Furthermore, one might consider attempting to design new facilities which could be converted easily to other uses. Decisions, then, should involve not only health authorities and social planners, but also architects, engineers, and contractors.

A statistical increase in the demand on hospitals has been illustrated along with a concomitant rise of costs. A more economical alternative has been discussed, but that is as far as this report can go. Governments and hospital administrators, seeking ways to reduce expenditures, may be able to use these findings. However, the ultimate policy decisions are theirs to make.
(19) Financial implications for the entire health sector are considered by Jac-André Boulet and Gilles Grenier in the Economic Council of Canada discussion paper Health Expenditures in Canada and the Impact of Demographic Changes on Future Government Health Insurance Program Expenditures (1978).

## APPENDIX A

## POPULATION AND PATIENT-DAYS

The following five tables contain detailed population and patient-day data. The first shows the population by age group and sex from 1967 to 2031. The next four tables give the same breakdown for each patient-day projection.

TABLE A-1 Historical and Projected* Population, by Age Group and Sex, Canada, 1967 to 2031

| Years | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 45-64 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $75+$ |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | Male | Female | Total |
|  | in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 190 | 182 | 896 | 849 | 2,275 | 2,177 | 1,741 | 1,718 | 2,558 | 2,526 | 1,823 | 1,826 | 459 | 516 | 267 | 332 | 10,208 | 10,125 | 20,333 |
| 1968 | 184 | 175 | 856 | 814 | 2,306 | 2,205 | 1,821 | 1,791 | 2,597 | 2,553 | 1,864 | 1,881 | 465 | 528 | 270 | 346 | 10,364 | 10,292 | 20,656 |
| 1969 | 181 | 173 | 814 | 775 | 2,327 | 2,222 | 1,891 | 1,856 | 2,636 | 2,583 | 1,907 | 1,937 | 475 | 542 | 274 | 360 | 10,506 | 10,448 | 20,953 |
| 1970 | 183 | 176 | 774 | 739 | 2,332 | 2,229 | 1,956 | 1,922 | 2,685 | 2,618 | 1,948 | 1,990 | 486 | 558 | 277 | 373 | 10,642 | 10,604 | 21,246 |
| 1971 | 181 | 173 | 744 | 710 | 2,327 | 2,224 | 2,011 | 1,983 | 2,739 | 2,662 | 1,983 | 2,034 | 501 | 575 | 280 | 387 | 10,767 | 10,748 | 21,514 |
| 1972 | 178 | 168 | 731 | 699 | 2,296 | 2,192 | 2,046 | 2,008 | 2,805 | 2,739 | 2,017 | 2,077 | 514 | 588 | 282 | 399 | 10,871 | 10,874 | 21,745 |
| 1973 | 174 | 164 | 730 | 694 | 2,250 | 2,148 | 2,089 | 2,049 | 2,873 | 2,810 | 2,050 | 2,118 | 530 | 610 | 283 | 410 | 10,979 | 11,004 | 21,983 |
| 1974 | 172 | 163 | 727 | 691 | 2,207 | 2,106 | 2,147 | 2,105 | 2,960 | 2,898 | 2,083 | 2,161 | 545 | 631 | 285 | 422 | 11,127 | 11,177 | 22,304 |
| 1975 | 178 | 168 | 717 | 681 | 2,168 | 2,069 | 2,202 | 2,160 | 3,046 | 2,986 | 2,118 | 2,204 | 561 | 650 | 289 | 436 | 11,281 | 11,354 | 22,635 |
| 1976 | 178 | 169 | 711 | 675 | 2,131 | 2,033 | 2,262 | 2,217 | 3,138 | 3,079 | 2,154 | 2,243 | 580 | 675 | 296 | 452 | 11,450 | 11,543 | 22,993 |
| Projected: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 184 | 175 | 709 | 674 | 2,080 | 1,982 | 2,308 | 2,254 | 3,217 | 3,163 | 2,179 | 2,269 | 597 | 700 | 301 | 465 | 11,575 | 11,682 | 23,257 |
| 1978 | 186 | 177 | 718 | 683 | 2,023 | 1,925 | 2,352 | 2,287 | 3,299 | 3,251 | 2,204 | 2,298 | 612 | 7.22 | 308 | 479 | 11,702 | 11,822 | 23,524 |
| 1979 | 189 | 179 | 733 | 697 | 1,965 | 1,870 | 2,383 | 2,308 | 3,395 | 3,350 | 2,221 | 2,317 | 629 | 747 | 315 | 495 | 11,830 | 11,963 | 23,793 |
| 1980 | 191 | 182 | 744 | 707 | 1,923 | 1,828 | 2,394 | 2,312 | 3,503 | 3,459 | 2,236 | 2,334 | 645 | 771 | 323 | 512 | 11,959 | 12,105 | 24,064 |
| 1981 | 194 | 184 | 757 | 721 | 1,895 | 1,801 | 2,387 | 2,301 | 3,612 | 3,566 | 2,255 | 2,356 | 658 | 791 | 331 | 529 | 12,089 | 12,249 | 24,338 |
| 1982 | 196 | 186 | 767 | 730 | 1,884 | 1,790 | 2,365 | 2,276 | 3,728 | 3,678 | 2,274 | 2,376 | 667 | 809 | 339 | 548 | 12,220 | 12,393 | 24,613 |
| 1983 | 198 | 188 | 777 | 740 | 1,887 | 1,791 | 2,327 | 2,238 | 3,845 | 3,791 | 2,295 | 2,398 | 674 | 824 | 349 | 568 | 12,352 | 12,538 | 24,890 |
| 1984 | 200 | 190 | 786 | 748 | 1,894 | 1,798 | 2,282 | 2,195 | 3,963 | 3,902 | 2,319 | 2,422 | 681 | 840 | 358 | 589 | 12,483 | 12,684 | 25,167 |
| 1985 | 201 | 191 | 795 | 756 | 1,897 | 1,801 | 2,236 | 2,151 | 4,085 | 4,017 | 2,335 | 2,438 | 696 | 865 | 369 | 610 | 12,614 | 12,829 | 25,443 |
| 1986 | 201 | 191 | 802 | 763 | 1,902 | 1,806 | 2,187 | 2,103 | 4,204 | 4,129 | 2,358 | 2,457 | 709 | 889 | 380 | 635 | 12,743 | 12,973 | 25,716 |
| 1991 | 192 | 182 | 798 | 759 | 2,017 | 1,917 | 1,954 | 1,873 | 4,616 | 4,518 | 2,546 | 2,625 | 779 | 1,005 | 435 | 759 | 13,337 | 13,638 | 26,975 |
| 1996 | 178 | 170 | 748 | 712 | 2,055 | 1,953 | 1,961 | 1,878 | 4,659 | 4,532 | 2,902 | 2,997 | 835 | 1,067 | 475 | 870 | 13,813 | 14,179 | 27,992 |
| 2001 | 171 | 163 | 704 | 670 | 1,979 | 1,881 | 2,075 | 1,988 | 4,551 | 4,386 | 3,335 | 3,465 | 844 | 1,059 | 526 | 996 | 14,185 | 14,608 | 28,793 |
| 2006 | 174 | 165 | 696 | 662 | 1,866 | 1,773 | 2,112 | 2,025 | 4,365 | 4,196 | 3,861 | 3,998 | 863 | 1,085 | 560 | 1,067 | 14,497 | 14,971 | 29,468 |
| 2011 | 178 | 169 | 712 | 678 | 1,809 | 1,719 | 2,037 | 1,953 | 4,252 | 4,079 | 4,216 | 4,360 | 989 | 1,238 | 576 | 1,103 | 14,769 | 15,299 | 30,068 |
| 2016 | 176 | 167 | 717 | 683 | 1,824 | 1,733 | 1,925 | 1,845 | 4,297 | 4,121 | 4,234 | 4,364 | 1,214 | 1,526 | 594 | 1,137 | 14,981 | 15,576 | 30,557 |
| 2021 | 170 | 161 | 700 | 666 | 1,847 | 1,755 | 1,868 | 1,791 | 4,332 | 4,159 | 4,118 | 4,218 | 1,392 | 1,772 | 670 | 1,258 | 15,097 | 15,780 | 30,877 |
| 2026 | 164 | 156 | 674 | 641 | 1,826 | 1,735 | 1,883 | 1,805 | 4,258 | 4,087 | 3,957 | 4,040 | 1,550 | 1,945 | 796 | 1,480 | 15,108 | 15,889 | 30,997 |
| 2031 | 162 | 154 | 659 | 627 | 1,771 | 1,682 | 1,906 | 1,827 | 4,130 | 3,962 | 3,876 | 3,939 | 1,615 | 2,006 | 911 | 1,708 | 15,030 | 15,905 | 30,935 |

[^1][^2]TABLE A-2 Historical and Projected Patient-Days, by Age Group and Sex, Upper Boundary, Canada, 1967 to 2031

| Years | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 45-64 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | 75+ |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | Male | Female | Total |
|  | in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 2,027 | 1,726 | 950 | 739 | 1,158 | 944 | 1,030 | 2,323 | 2,240 | 4,618 | 4,150 | 4,281 | 2,403 | 2,432 | 2,684 | 3,191 | 16,644 | 20,254 | 36,898 |
| 1968 | 1,940 | 1,678 | 1,040 | 786 | 1,240 | 996 | 1,133 | 2,533 | 2,408 | 4,980 | 4,529 | 4,738 | 2,665 | 2,655 | 2,952 | 3,628 | 17,908 | 21,994 | 39,902 |
| 1969 | 2,042 | 1,835 | 934 | 726 | 1,183 | 966 | 1,143 | 2,553 | 2,398 | 5,000 | 4,832 | 4,941 | 2,882 | 2,881 | 3,245 | 4,314 | 18,659 | 23,215 | 41,874 |
| 1970 | 1,977 | 1,706 | 942 | 709 | 1,152 | 944 | 1,224 | 2,660 | 2,445 | 5,159 | 4,899 | 5,068 | 2,977 | 3,050 | 3,435 | 4,580 | 19,050 | 23,875 | 42,925 |
| 1971 | 1,968 | 1,684 | 905 | 678 | 1,128 | 953 | 1,276 | 2,728 | 2,475 | 5,252 | 5,099 | 5,153 | 3,074 | 3,064 | 3,519 | 4,758 | 19,444 | 24,269 | 43,713 |
| 1972 | 1,805 | 1,554 | 934 | 710 | 1,060 | 847 | 1,292 | 2,640 | 2,529 | 5,147 | 5,223 | 5,265 | 3,163 | 3,244 | 3,751 | 5,175 | 19,756 | 24,583 | 44,339 |
| 1973 | 1,739 | 1,510 | 823 | 634 | 1,017 | 826 | 1,334 | 2,588 | 2,512 | 5,068 | 5,175 | 5,142 | 3,215 | 3,170 | 3,784 | 5,303 | 19,600 | 24,240 | 43,840 |
| 1974 | 1,725 | 1,485 | 787 | 582 | 966 | 808 | 1,298 | 2,480 | 2,495 | 4,957 | 5,192 | 5,091 | 3,296 | 3,331 | 3,843 | 5,732 | 19,603 | 24,465 | 44,068 |
| 1975 | 1,675 | 1,447 | 692 | 513 | 889 | 709 | 1,253 | 2,441 | 2,383 | 4,809 | 5,024 | 4,964 | 3,303 | 3,283 | 3,867 | 5,843 | 19,087 | 24,009 | 43.096 |
| Projected: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 1,677 | 1,451 | 686 | 508 | 873 | 695 | 1,285 | 2,505 | 2,452 | 4,960 | 5,112 | 5,052 | 3,413 | 3,404 | 3,949 | 6,066 | 19,447 | 24,641 | 44,088 |
| 1977 | 1,734 | 1,500 | 684 | 507 | 852 | 678 | 1,311 | 2,547 | 2,513 | 5,256 | 5,171 | 5,110 | 3,513 | 3,530 | 4,021 | 6,231 | 19,799 | 25,359 | 45,158 |
| 1978 | 1,759 | 1,522 | 692 | 514 | 828 | 658 | 1,336 | 2,584 | 2,578 | 5,236 | 5,230 | 5,175 | 3,603 | 3,644 | 4,113 | 6,425 | 20,139 | 25,758 | 45,897 |
| 1979 | 1,784 | 1,543 | 707 | 525 | 805 | 640 | 1,354 | 2,608 | 2,652 | 5,396 | 5,272 | 5,219 | 3,699 | 3,767 | 4,214 | 6,636 | 20,487 | 26,334 | 46,821 |
| 1980 | 1,806 | 1,563 | 718 | 533 | 787 | 625 | 1,360 | 2,612 | 2,737 | 5,572 | 5,306 | 5,257 | 3,797 | 3,890 | 4,314 | 6,862 | 20,825 | 26,914 | 47,739 |
| 1981 | 1,829 | 1,582 | 731 | 543 | 776 | 616 | 1,356 | 2,600 | 2,822 | 5,744 | 5,351 | 5,305 | 3,869 | 3,991 | 4,421 | 7,100 | 21,155 | 27,481 | 48,636 |
| 1982 | 1,850 | 1,601 | 740 | 550 | 771 | 612 | 1,343 | 2,572 | 2,912 | 5,925 | 5,395 | 5,351 | 3,928 | 4,082 | 4,530 | 7,349 | 21,469 | 28,042 | 49,511 |
| 1983 | 1,870 | 1,618 | 750 | 557 | 764 | 612 | 1,322 | 2,529 | 3,004 | 6,107 | 5,446 | 5,401 | 3,966 | 4,158 | 4,659 | 7,620 | 21,781 | 28,602 | 50,383 |
| 1984 | 1,886 | 1,633 | 759 | 563 | 775 | 615 | 1,296 | 2,480 | 3,096 | 6,286 | 5,503 | 5,454 | 4,007 | 4,238 | 4,786 | 7,900 | 22,108 | 29,169 | 51,277 |
| 1985 | 1,895 | 1,640 | 767 | 569 | 777 | 616 | 1,270 | 2,430 | 3,191 | 6,470 | 5,542 | 5,491 | 4,093 | 4,365 | 4,926 | 8,186 | 22,461 | 29,767 | 52,228 |
| 1986 | 1,898 | 1,642 | 774 | 575 | 779 | 617 | 1,242 | 2,376 | 3,284 | 6,651 | 5,594 | 5,533 | 4,174 | 4,484 | 5,078 | 8,518 | 22,823 | 30,396 | 53,219 |
| 1991 | 1,810 | 1,567 | 769 | 571 | 826 | 655 | 1,110 | 2,116 | 3,606 | 7,277 | 6,042 | 5,912 | 4,586 | 5,069 | 5,817 | 10,184 | 24,566 | 33,351 | 57,917 |
| 1996 | 1,683 | 1,458 | 722 | 536 | 841 | 668 | 1,114 | 2,122 | 3,639 | 7,300 | 6,887 | 6,749 | 4,913 | 5,385 | 6,342 | 11,663 | 26,141 | 35,881 | 62,022 |
| 2001 | 1,619 | 1,401 | 679 | 504 | 810 | 643 | 1,179 | 2,247 | 3,555 | 7,065 | 7,914 | 7,804 | 4,967 | 5,343 | 7,028 | 13,354 | 27,751 | 38,361 | 66,112 |
| 2006 | 1,642 | 1,420 | 671 | 499 | 764 | 606 | 1,200 | 2,288 | 3,410 | 6,759 | 9,156 | 9,004 | 5,079 | 5,473 | 7,484 | 14,313 | 29,406 | 40,362 | 69,768 |
| 2011 | 1,677 | 1,451 | 687 | 510 | 741 | 588 | 1,157 | 2,207 | 3,322 | 6,571 | 9,997 | 9,819 | 5,823 | 6,246 | 7,696 | 14,791 | 31,100 | 42,183 | 73,283 |
| 2016 | 1,661 | 1,437 | 692 | 514 | 747 | 593 | 1,093 | 2,085 | 3,357 | 6,638 | 10,042 | 9,829 | 7,142 | 7,700 | 7,934 | 15,251 | 32,668 | 44,047 | 76,715 |
| 2021 | 1,600 | 1,384 | 675 | 501 | 756 | 600 | 1,061 | 2,024 | 3,385 | 6,698 | 9,766 | 9,500 | 8,194 | 8,939 | 8,947 | 16,872 | 34,384 | 46,518 | 80,902 |
| 2026 | 1,548 | 1,339 | 650 | 483 | 748 | 600 | 1,070 | 2,040 | 3,326 | 6,583 | 9,383 | 9,099 | 9,124 | 9,811 | 10,632 | 19,050 | 36,481 | 49,005 | 85,486 |
| 2031 | 1,531 | 1,324 | 635 | 472 | 743 | 575 | 1,083 | 2,064 | 3,226 | 6,382 | 9,192 | 8,872 | 9,507 | 10,122 | 12,173 | 22,900 | 38,090 | 52,711 | 90,801 |

TABLE A-3 Historical and Projected Patient-Days, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, Canada, 1967 to 2031


Note: Figures may not add due to rounding.

TABLE A-4 Historical and Projected Patient-Days, by Age Group and Sex, Projection II, Canada, 1967 to 2031


TABLE A-5 Historical and Projected Patient-Days, by Age Group and Sex, Lower Boundary, Canada, 1967 to 2031

| Years | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 45-64 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | 75+ |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | Male | Female | Total |
|  | in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 2,027 | 1,726 | 950 | 739 | 1,158 | 944 | 1,030 | 2,323 | 2,240 | 4,618 | 4,150 | 4,281 | 2,403 | 2,432 | 2,684 | 3,191 | 16,644 | 20,254 | 36,898 |
| 1968 | 1,940 | 1,678 | 1,040 | 786 | 1,240 | 996 | 1,133 | 2,533 | 2,408 | 4,980 | 4,529 | 4,738 | 2,665 | 2,655 | 2,952 | 3,628 | 17,908 | 21,994 | 39,902 |
| 1969 | 2,042 | 1,835 | 934 | 726 | 1,183 | 966 | 1,143 | 2,553 | 2,398 | 5,000 | 4,832 | 4,941 | 2,882 | 2,881 | 3,245 | 4,314 | 18,659 | 23,215 | 41,874 |
| 1970 | 1,977 | 1,706 | 942 | 709 | 1,152 | 944 | 1,224 | 2,660 | 2,445 | 5,159 | 4,899 | 5,068 | 2,977 | 3,050 | 3,435 | 4,580 | 19,050 | 23,875 | 42,925 |
| 1971 | 1,968 | 1,684 | 905 | 678 | 1,128 | 953 | 1,276 | 2,728 | 2,475 | 5,252 | 5,099 | 5,153 | 3,074 | 3,064 | 3,519 | 4,758 | 19,444 | 24,269 | 43,713 |
| 1972 | 1,805 | 1,554 | 934 | 710 | 1,060 | 847 | 1,292 | 2,640 | 2,529 | 5,147 | 5,223 | 5,265 | 3,163 | 3,244 | 3,751 | 5,175 | 19,756 | 24,583 | 44,339 |
| 1973 | 1,739 | 1,510 | 823 | 634 | 1,017 | 826 | 1,334 | 2,588 | 2,512 | 5,068 | 5,175 | 5,142 | 3,215 | 3,170 | 3,784 | 5,303 | 19,600 | 24,240 | 43,840 |
| 1974 | 1,725 | 1,485 | 787 | 582 | - 966 | 808 | 1,298 | 2,480 | 2,495 | 4,957 | 5,192 | 5,091 | 3,296 | 3,331 | 3,843 | 5,732 | 19,603 | 24,465 | 44,068 |
| 1975 | 1,675 | 1,447 | 692 | 513 | 889 | 709 | 1,253 | 2,441 | 2,383 | 4,809 | 5,024 | 4,964 | 3,303 | 3,283 | 3,867 | 5,843 | 19,087 | 24,009 | 43,096 |
| Projected: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 1,642 | 1,414 | 632 | 464 | 841 | 692 | 1,249 | 2,388 | 2,354 | 4,748 | 4,954 | 4,845 | 3,360 | 3,318 | 3,946 | 6,148 | 18,978 | 24,017 | 42,995 |
| 1977 | 1,654 | 1,420 | 580 | 423 | 792 | 657 | 1,235 | 2,324 | 2,319 | 4,674 | 4,859 | 4,723 | 3,404 | 3,349 | 4,019 | 6,397 | 18,862 | 23,967 | 42,829 |
| 1978 | 1,632 | 1,396 | 537 | 388 | 745 | 622 | 1,220 | 2,259 | 2,282 | 4,596 | 4,766 | 4,603 | 3,433 | 3,364 | 4,112 | 6,683 | 18,727. | 23,911 | 42,638 |
| 1979 | 1,609 | 1,376 | 537 | 387 | 698 | 588 | 1,196 | 2,178 | 2,252 | 4,528 | 4,652 | 4,459 | 3,468 | 3,378 | 4,214 | 6,990 | 18,626 | 23,884 | 42,510 |
| 1980 | 1,582 | 1,343 | 536 | 384 | 659 | 560 | 1,164 | 2,085 | 2,224 | 4,461 | 4,526 | 4,310 | 3,498 | 3,389 | 4,316 | 7,319 | 18,505 | 23,851 | 42,356 |
| 1981 | 1,604 | 1,361 | 540 | 386 | 629 | 537 | 1,127 | 2,061 | 2,280 | 4,571 | 4,565 | 4,340 | 3,462 | 3,376 | 4,295 | 7,353 | 18,502 | 23,985 | 42,487 |
| 1982 | 1,625 | 1,380 | 542 | 386 | 622 | 527 | 1,084 | 2,024 | 2,342 | 4,685 | 4,603 | 4,367 | 3,412 | 3,353 | 4,274 | 7,392 | 18,504 | 24,114 | 42,618 |
| 1983 | 1,646 | 1,398 | 543 | 387 | 620 | 516 | 1,061 | 1,977 | 2,402 | 4,795 | 4,643 | 4,398 | 3,346 | 3,317 | 4,268 | 7,442 | 18,529 | 24,230 | 42,759 |
| 1984 | 1,662 | 1,412 | 544 | 386 | 620 | 507 | 1,036 | 1,924 | 2,464 | 4,904 | 4,695 | 4,432 | 3,283 | 3,283 | 4,258 | 7,493 | 18,562 | 24,341 | 42,903 |
| 1985 | 1,673 | 1,420 | 545 | 386 | 619 | 498 | 1,011 | 1,873 | 2,525 | 5,015 | 4,728 | 4,451 | 3,256 | 3,284 | 4,256 | 7,540 | 18,613 | 24,467 | 43,080 |
| 1986 | 1,675 | 1,423 | 550 | 389 | 621 | 499 | - 989 | 1,831 | 2,599 | 5,155 | 4,773 | 4,486 | 3,225 | 3,276 | 4,261 | 7,619 | 18,693 | 24,678 | 43,371 |
| 1991 | 1,598 | 1,357 | 547 | 387 | 658 | 530 | 833 | 1,631 | 2,853 | 5,641 | 5,154 | 4,793 | 3,059 | 3,198 | 4,215 | 7,866 | 18,917 | 25,403 | 44,320 |
| 1996 | 1,486 | 1,263 | 513 | 363 | 671 | 540 | 887 | 1,635 | 2,880 | 5,659 | 5,875 | 5,471 | 2,830 | 2,934 | 3,968 | 7,779 | 19,110 | 25,644 | 44,754 |
| 2001 | 1,430 | 1,213 | 482 | 342 | 646 | 520 | 928 | 1,731 | 2,813 | 5,476 | 6,751 | 6,326 | 2,471 | 2,513 | 3,797 | 7,692 | 19,318 | 25,813 | 45,131 |
| 2006 | 1,450 | 1,231 | 477 | 338 | 609 | 490 | 955 | 1,763 | 2,698 | 5,239 | 7,817 | 7,299 | 2,527 | 2,575 | 4,043 | 8,244 | 20,576 | 27,179 | 47,755 |
| 2011 | 1,481 | 1,257 | 488 | 346 | 591 | 475 | 921 | 1,700 | 2,628 | 5,094 | 8,535 | 7,960 | 2,897 | 2,938 | 4,158 | 8,519 | 21,699 | 28,289 | 49,988 |
| 2016 | 1,466 | 1,245 | 492 | 348 | 595 | 479 | 870 | 1,606 | 2,656 | 5,145 | 8,573 | 7,968 | 3,553 | 3,622 | 4,287 | 8,784 | 22,492 | 29,197 | 51,689 |
| 2021 | 1,342 | 1,199 | 480 | 340 | 603 | 485 | 845 | 1,560 | 2,678 | 5,192 | 8,338 | 7,701 | 4,076 | 4,205 | 4,834 | 9,717 | 23,196 | 30,399 | 53,595 |
| 2026 | 1,366 | 1,160 | 462 | 327 | 596 | 485 | 852 | 1,572 | 2,632 | 5,103 | 8,011 | 7,376 | 4,539 | 4,616 | 5,745 | 11,435 | 24,203 | 32,074 | 56,277 |
| 2031 | 1,351 | 1,147 | 451 | 320 | 578 | 465 | 862 | 1,591 | 2,553 | 4,947 | 7,848 | 7,192 | 4,730 | 4,762 | 6,577 | 13,189 | 24,950 | 33,613 | 58,563 |

Note: Figures may not add due to rounding.

## APPENDIX B

PATIENT-DAY COSTS IN CURRENT DOLLARS

The advantage of showing constant dollars is that it is a comparable year-to-year measurement, which clearly illustrates magnitudes and trends. Nonetheless, it is not an entirely satisfactory indicator. Some inflation is inevitable, so projected costs in constant dollars are almost certainly too low. Therefore, it is useful to indicate total amounts in current dollars. Because the extent of inflation is in question, readers interested in current dollars costs in a particular year must make an assumption about the future rate of inflation. An inflation table is provided for this purpose.

For example, a determination of the current dollar cost of Projection I in 1986 involves the following steps:

- average annual inflation is assumed to be $8 \%$ (row 8 on the table)
- between 1976 and 1986 there are 10 years (column 10 on the table)
- the inflation factor is the cell on the table where row 8 and column 11 intersect
- the value of this cell is 2.159
- the constant dollar cost of Projection I in 1986 ( $\$ 5.9$ billion) multiplied by 2.159 yields the cost in current dollars ( $\$ 12.7$ billion)

TABLE B-1 Inflation Factors

| Inflation <br> Rate (\%) | Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 1 | 1.010 | 1.020 | 1.030 | 1.041 | 1.051 | 1.062 | 1.072 | 1.083 | 1.094 | 1.105 | 1.116 | 1.127 | 1.138 | 1.149 | 1.161 |
| 2 | 1.020 | 1.040 | 1.061 | 1.082 | 1.104 | 1.126 | 1.149 | 1.172 | 1.195 | 1.219 | 1.243 | 1.268 | 1.294 | 1.319 | 1.346 |
| 3 | 1.030 | 1.061 | 1.093 | 1.126 | 1.159 | 1.194 | 1.230 | 1.267 | 1.305 | 1.344 | 1.384 | 1.426 | 1.469 | 1.513 | 1.558 |
| 4 | 1.040 | 1.082 | 1.125 | 1.170 | 1.217 | 1.265 | 1.316 | 1.369 | 1.423 | 1.480 | 1.539 | 1.601 | 1.665 | 1.732 | 1.801 |
| 5 | 1.050 | 1.102 | 1.158 | 1.216 | 1.276 | 1.340 | 1.407 | 1.477 | 1.551 | 1.629 | 1.710 | 1.796 | 1.886 | 1.980 | 2.079 |
| 6 | 1.060 | 1.124 | 1.191 | 1.262 | 1.338 | 1.419 | 1.504 | 1.594 | 1.689 | 1.791 | 1.898 | 2.012 | 2.133 | 2.261 | 2.397 |
| 7 | 1.070 | 1.145 | 1.225 | 1.311 | 1.403 | 1.501 | 1.606 | 1.718 | 1.838 | 1.967 | 2.105 | 2.252 | 2.410 | 2.579 | 2.759 |
| 8 | 1.080 | 1.166 | 1.260 | 1.360 | 1.469 | 1.587 | 1.714 | 1.851 | 1.999 | 2.159 | 2.332 | 2.518 | 2.720 | 2.937 | 3.172 |
| 9 | 1.090 | 1.188 | 1.295 | 1.412 | 1.539 | 1.677 | 1.828 | 1.993 | 2.172 | 2.367 | 2.580 | 2.813 | 3.066 | 3.342 | 3.642 |
| 10 | 1.100 | 1.210 | 1.331 | 1.464 | 1.611 | 1.772 | 1.949 | 2.144 | 2.358 | 2.594 | 2.853 | 3.138 | 3.452 | 3.797 | 4.177 |
| 11 | 1.110 | 1.232 | 1.368 | 1.518 | 1.685 | 1.870 | 2.076 | 2.305 | 2.558 | 2.839 | 3.152 | 3.498 | 3.883 | 4.310 | 4.785 |
| 12 | 1.120 | 1.254 | 1.405 | 1.574 | 1.762 | 1.974 | 2.211 | 2.476 | 2.773 | 3.106 | 3.479 | 3.896 | 4.363 | 4.887 | 5.474 |
| 13 | 1.130 | 1.277 | 1.443 | 1.630 | 1.842 | 2.082 | 2.353 | 2.658 | 3.004 | 3.395 | 3.836 | 4.335 | 4.898 | 5.535 | 6.254 |
| 14 | 1.140 | 1.300 | 1.482 | 1.689 | 1.925 | 2.195 | 2.502 | 2.853 | 3.252 | 3.707 | 4.226 | 4.818 | 5.492 | 6.261 | 7.138 |
| 15 | 1.150 | 1.322 | 1.521 | 1.749 | 2.011 | 2.313 | 2.660 | 3.059 | 3.518 | 4.046 | 4.652 | 5.350 | 6.153 | 7.076 | 8.137 |
| 16 | 1.160 | 1.346 | 1.561 | 1.811 | 2.100 | 2.436 | 2.826 | 3.278 | 3.803 | 4.411 | 5.117 | 5.936 | 6.886 | 7.988 | 9.266 |
| 17 | 1.170 | 1.369 | 1.602 | 1.874 | 2.192 | 2.565 | 3.001 | 3.511 | 4.108 | 4.807 | 5.624 | 6.580 | 7.699 | 9.007 | 10.539 |
| 18 | 1.180 | 1.392 | 1.643 | 1.939 | 2.288 | 2.700 | 3.185 | 3.759 | 4.435 | 5.234 | 6.176 | 7.288 | 8.599 | 10.147 | 11.974 |
| 19 | 1.190 | 1.416 | 1.685 | 2.005 | 2.386 | 2.840 | 3.379 | 4.021 | 4.785 | 5.695 | 6.777 | 8.064 | 9.596 | 11.420 | 13.590 |
| 20 | 1.200 | 1.440 | 1.728 | 2.074 | 2.488 | 2.986 | 3.583 | 4.300 | 5.160 | 6.192 | 7.430 | 8.916 | 10.699 | 12.839 | 15.407 |

APPENDIX C

AVERAGE LENGTHS OF STAY AND PARTICIPATION RATES, PROJECTIONS I, II, AND LOWER BOUNDARY

The following charts show how average length of hospital stay and participation rates were allowed to change for each age-sex group in Projections I, II, and the lower boundary.

Chart - C-1
Average Length of Stay in Hospital, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031


Chart - C-1
Average Length of Stay in Hospital, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031
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Average Length of Stay in Hospital, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031
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Chart - C-2
Hospltal Participation Rate, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031


Chart - C-2
Hospital Participation Rate, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031




Chart - C-2
Chan - $\mathrm{C}-2$
Hospital Participation Rate, by Age Group and Sex, Projection I, 1967 to 2031



Chart - C-3
Average Length of Stay in Hospital, 65-74 and 75+ Age Groups, by Sex, Projection II, 1967 to 2031



Chart - C-4
Hospital Participation Rate, 65-74 and 75 + Age Groups, by Sex, Projection II, 1967 to 2031


Chart - C-5
Average Length of Stay in Hospital, 65-74 and 75+ Age Groups, by Sex, Lower Boundary, 1967 to 2031



Chart - C-6
Hospital ParticIpation Rate, 65-74 and 75+ Age Groups, by Sex, Lower Boundary, 1967 to 2031
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[^0]:    ※Excludes newborns.

[^1]:    Note: Figures may not add due to rounding

[^2]:    $\rightleftharpoons$ Projection 3 in Statistics Canada, Census and Household Surveys Field, Population Estimates and Projections Division, Population Projections for Canada and the Provinces 1976-2001, Catalogue 91-520 occasional (Ottawa, 1979). See text page 23.

