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## PREFACE

Owing to the short period of observation covered by the data on Vital Statistics for Canada as a whole, this study is intended to be fundamental to future studies rather than a means of arriving at conclusions about the trend and incidences of fertility. Consequently, the great part of it is a collection, arrangement and summary of facts covering this period that have not yet appeared in print. It was found necessary to draw some conclusions tentatively at least. These will be found in the Summary, page 15.

The monograph is divided into two parts, Part I dealing with the general trend of fertility and Part II with differential fertility as incidental to racial, birthplace and regional distributions.

Owing to the death of Mr. W. R. Tracey, Chapter VII and parts of the other chapters were written by M. C. MacLean, M.A., the general director of these monographs and by Miss M. E. Fleming, B.A., and Miss M. MacGillivray who also assisted Mr. Tracey throughout. Chapter I on completeness of birth registrations was written by Mr. N. Keyfitz. The material was prepared for press by Miss B. Stewart, B.A., and the charts were drawn by Mr. J. W. Delisle.

R. H. COATS,<br>Dominion Statistician.

April 26, 1939.
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## SUMMARY

## COMPLETENESS OF BIRTH REGISTRATION

Chapter I, which investigates the completeness of the registration of births, establishes a conviction that the registration of births is satisfactorily completc. By "satisfactorily" is meant that such incompleteness as exists is not sufficient to cause any serious misinterpretation of the data. This is illustrated in Statement VIII which shows the consequences of certain (assumed) degrees of incompleteness. The evidence collected elsewhere in the chapter, while not exactly measuring the degree of completeness, points strongly to the conclusion that it is within the limits of serious consequences. Two criteria were used in the investigation: (1) a sample of children appearing in the census at ages suitable for comparison with Vital Statistics records was traced through these records; (2) the total number alive at the census was compared with the number expected for the record period. It is obvious that the case of any child shown in the census as being born in the province while in reality he was born in a hospital in another province and recorded as born in that province would not be found in the Vital Statistics records; moreover, misstatement of age at the census would prevent his appearance in the records where he was expected to appear. Furthermore, any change in the name or habitat of the parent or child might make it impossible to trace back from the census to the registration records. Furthermore, it is impossible to make the search through the records exhaustive. It follows that the degree of completeness ascertained by this method is well below the degree actually achieved. This becomes more apparent when it is actually found that the more exhaustive the search the greater the degree of completeness ascertained.

## THE TREND OF THE CANADIAN BIRTH RATE IN THE POST-WAR PERIOD

Chapter II shows that in Canada as a whole and in each of the nine provinces there has been a marked decline in the number of births over the last ten years. The decline persists after allowances are made by means of recognized methods of standardization for age of mother and the conjugal condition of the population. However, any conclusions as to future trends should be expressed with reservations. The necessity for such reservations is implicit in the complexities revealed in the next chapter in the data on order of birth. Some important conclusions, however, are arrived at in Chapter II. A period of definite decline, viz., from 1921 to 1936, was established. Although this cannot be regarded as a prognostication of the future, it is a point in history, and the history also is one of depression. It is impossible to establish the effect of this depression fully but its direct influence is clearly seen. A calculation of the effect of different factors upon the crude birth rates during this period shows that the age distribution of married mothers within the child-bearing age range becomes more and more unfavourable; also, the proportion illegitimate of the total births increased (this may be an outcome of the depression). However, a favourable factor emerged, viz., the proportion of females of child-bearing age increased. The specific birth rate of married women declined 15 p.c. in the decade.

## ORDER OF BIRTH

Chapter III on order of birth is highly illuminating, as containing data which deal with the past records of the mothers appearing in the birth statistics of each year. There are many trends appearing in these data, some of which are complicated too much by unavailable factors to measure. However, some points stand out quite clearly. The increases and decreases in the number of births occurring each year are closely associated with types of mother. In the decade for which orders of births are tabulated (1927-1936), the first and second births bave, on the whole, shown increases, and yearly increases and decreases have been closely associated with the trend of marriages. Beginning with the third there has been a progressive decline in the importance of each order, the greatest decline is reached in the fifth order after which there is a progressive lessening of this decline until after the tenth order when a stationary condition is reached. This is illustrated in Chart 12, page 80 . The trend of decline, then, affects chiefly mothers with
moderately large families, the extremely large and extremely small showing increases. This trend is present in more or less modified form in the different age groups of mother. What seems to be a very important feature in the decline is the disappearance of the unusual type of mother. Thus the modal ages in 1927 for the first and second orders are 20-24, for the third, fourth and fifth are $25-29$, for the sixth, seventh and eighth are $30-34$, for the ninth to the thirteenth are $35-39$, for the fourteenth and over are 40-44. It is remarkable that on the whole (except slightly in the case of first births or orders higher than fourteenth) the modes remained rather steadier than the remainder, but showed a trend of increasing importance relative to the whole as time went on. This is shown in the statement below. It would seem to indicate that for the third to the thirteenth orders of birth, the changes that are taking place are in the unusual elements, i.e., where a high or a low order of birth occurs at an unusual age, e.g., it is very uncommon for a mother 20-24 years of age to show an order of birth higher than the sixth. In 1927, mothers in this group showed 248 births higher than the sixth order, in 1936 they showed only 173, a decrease of more than 30 p.c. If it is true that the disappearance of unusual types of mothers is an important element in the decline in births, this may have an important bearing on stabilizing future birth rates. Once the unusual is eliminated, the usual may not only show a steady birth rate but even a possible increase.

| Order of Birth | Modal Birthis |  |  | Numerical Increase, 1927-36, in |  | Percentage Increase, 1927-36, in |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Age of Mother | Number |  | Modal <br> Births | Total Births of Order | Modal <br> Births | Total Births of Order |
|  |  | 1927 | 1936 |  |  |  |  |
| All orders. |  | 94,474 | 88,424 | $-6,050$ | $-16,669$ | $-6.4$ | $-7.1$ |
| 1st-2nd | 20-24 | 38,794 | 40,760 | 1,966 | 6,212 -11.702 | 5.1 | 6.9 -14.7 |
| 3rd- 5 th. | 25-29 | 29,496 | 25,679 | -3.817 | -11,702 | -12.9 | -14.7 |
| 6th- 8th. | 30-34 | 14,242 | 11,741 | -2,501 | - 7,304 | -17.6 | $-18.2$ |
| 9th-13th. | 35-39 | 10,090 | 8.681 | -1.409 | - 3.408 | -14.0 | $-15 \cdot 2$ |
| 14th and over. | 40-44 | 1,852 | 1,563 | - 289 | - 467 | -15.6 | -13.4 |

## GROSS AND NET REPRODUCTION RATES

Chapter IV shows gross and net reproduction rates, i.e., the number of female children expected from the individual female in the population on the basis of current birth rates. Except in one province, British Columbia, the reproduction rates are sufficiently high to maintain a steady increase in population, while the province of New Brunswick shows a very high rate, indeed sufficiently high to give a population which would be large even in the whole of Canada in ten generations-if, of course, this reproduction rate is maintained. Even for the other provinces, unless the birth rate continues to decline, there is very little danger of shortage. Ontario, the lowest except British Columbia, shows a net reproduction rate of 1.13 in a generation. In ten generations (about 240 years) this would mean more than trebling the present population.

## RAGIAL DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY

Chapter V studies differential fertility from the standpoint of racial origin. Three conclusions on the basis of this study would seem to be outstanding: (1) that declines are characteristic of all races; . (2) that the race differential is not very large, and (3) this differential is not particularly due to the same races occupying the same position in the scale of decline. This last is seen particularly in studying the orders of birth by race. The British, although showing low rates and steady declines are exchanging places with certain other races in the scale of low rates.

One particularly interesting feature is disclosed by a study of race fertility. Although up to the present the different races have not intermingled to a great extent; yet when the process is studied over the 16 years from 1921 to 1936, it is seen' that the rate of intermingling has been becoming increasingly rapid, the percentage of total births having the mother of one origin and the father of another nearly doubling in the period. Of course, it is easy to understand this, since the period 1921-36 was as long as from 1906 to 1921 and during the earlier period these races were coming in. Such of them as were married before they came would naturally be of the
same origin, man and wife, while the earlier marriages in Canada when their races were stronger would naturally be among themselves. The intermingling of French and other races does not seem to be nearly as rapid but this is also easily understood. It is not necessarily a question of propensity at all but a question of propinquity. The French are largely in Quebec and a Frenchman would have to go out of his way to find a wife of a racial origin other than French. This is probably due to the growth of cities with the consequent conjugation of different races as well as to immigration to the newer towns of Quebec. There has been an actual increase in the last ten years in the proportion of French mothers with fathers of a different race.

## differences in fertility according to birthplace of parents

From the differential fertility by birthplace we have revealed a feature not shown in race fertility; at least, not directly, i.e., the effects of immigration. Chapter VI shows the proportion of births due to immigration is becoming rapidly smaller. It is amazing how rapidly the process of becoming indigenous proceeds. The Prairie Provinces are an outstanding example. In the case of Canada as a whole, the proportion with father and mother from the same province is increasing rapidly. The number of cases where the father is born in one province of Canadaand the mother in another has also increased rapidly, e.g., we have the case of 1,749 births to Alberta-born mothers in 1936 as compared with 543 in 1926. The number of births to immigrant parents decreased from 70,573 (in the Registration Area) in 1921, to 35,999 in 1936; while the births to Canadian-born parents increased from 95,549 to 108,885 in the same period. The increase in proportion of births where both parents are born in the province indicates a static condition of the population. We do not know whether or not this is a temporary phase arising from the depression; and we can only surmise its bearing upon the recent decline in total births.

## REGIONAL DIFFERENGES IN FERTILITY

Chapter VII shows from four points of view the birth rates of the different regions of Canada: (1) as between different sized cities and rural or small city parts; (2) as between 227 divisions of Canada when all urban centres are included; (3) as between the same divisions when cities and towns of 5,000 and over are excluded; (4) as between the divisions of (3) corrected for the influence of race and religion. Three maps illustrate or locate the regional differences shown in 2, 3 and 4. This regional study seems to point to definite conclusions. The influences of race ( French ) and religion (Roman Catholic) are strong but not nearly as strong as might be expected. The major influence would seem to be age of settlement and density of population, the older and denser settlements showing the low, and the new and sparsely settled the high birth rates. Dividing the birth rates into seven classes in descending order, as shown on the maps there is a marked continuity to each class from the standpoint of latitude. There seems to be a graduation from the higher classes in the higher to the low in the lower latitudes. Special cases arpearing as exceptions are usually, if high, associated with sparsity of settlement and if low, with age of settlement or emigration. Thus an almost continuous block of counties (exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and over )-Kings, P.E.I., Inverness, Victoria, Richmond, Antigonish and Pictou, N.S.-when corrected for race and religion, are in the lowest class. Emigration and especially recent emigration from these places has been exceptionally heavy. Emigration takes place at the most marriageable ages, especially for females, and female emigration from these places has been very heavy. Indeed, in other exceptionally low places such as Divisions Nos. 9 and 10, B.C., another phase of the same thing is seen. There the masculinity of the population is particularly great and there is throughout the divisions a correlation between high masculinity and low birth rates. Now that emigration is no longer heavy it will be interesting to watch the birth rates in these regions of exceptionally low rates.

Taking rural and urban centres, it is noticeable that there is a graduation of birth rates from $24 \cdot 1$ in rural parts and urban centres under $5,000,24 \cdot 7$ in cities and towns $5,000-10,000$ and 23.3 for cities and towns $10,000-40,000$, to 20.8 in the cities of 40,000 and over. In spite of this graduation, it is noticeable (see Maps 3 and 4) that the exclusion of cities over 5,000 does not usually cause a raising of the birth rates in the counties where they are excluded. Wentworth county exclusive of cities over 5,000 shows a lower birth rate than when these cities
are. neluded. It should be mentioned that the suburban parts of cities are tabulated as "rural" and if the suburbs happened to be more sterile than the main city, the results shown in Maps 3 and 4 in this respect would be at least partly explained. The crude birth rates to which reference is made almost exclusively in this chapter are calculated on the basis of the total population. Consequently, if it happened that older and retired persons tend to go to the suburbs and the small towns and villages, the birth rate would be lowered thereby. There is little doubt that in many of the smaller cities, towns and villages we have the situation that has just been described in connection with the counties of the Maritimes, viz., heavy emigration to the large cities and elsewhere and probably a replacement of a young marriageable population by retired and ipso facto old population.

## GENERAL COMMENTS

It will be interesting to watch the effect on the general birth rate of Canada as or if the people spread out more and more in the newer and more sparsely settled areas from the old and thickly settled. There is at least a suggestion that the last word has not yet been said about the process of declining birth rates. The economic conditions that led to a decline in marriage during the depression would seem to be reflected in first and second births; the elimination of the unusual was reflected in the other orders of birth; the process of passing through periods of very high to moderately low rates on the part of certain races; the false high points created by postponed marriages due to immigrants after years of pioneering marrying en masse-all these factors contributed in the direction of causing recent heavy decline in total births, some of them affecting even the specific age rates and consequently not allowed for by standardizing the birth rates. Whether the present situation is a passing through a cycle or a permanent trend remains to be seen when the period of observation by means of reliable vital statistics has been considerably lengthened.

## PART I

GENERAL STATEMENT OF RATES AND TREND IN FERTILITY

## CHAPTER I

## COMPLETENESS OF BIRTH REGISTRATION

There is no available direct approach to the problem of the completeness of birth registrations and all the information that can be used for an indirect check is itself open to the charge of incompleteness. It should be understood that the findings of this chapter are not intended to give a final statement but, owing to the obvious bias of unmeasured factors, only to find the maximum of incompleteness. Setting an upper limit is, however, an important step.

Two ways of treating the problem present themselves. The first is to compare the census aggregates of persons aged $0,1,2,3$, etc., with the births of the preceding years, after making allowance for infant deaths. The second is to take a sample (since the amount of labour required for checking individual registrations is very great) of the persons alive at a given moment and find how many of the persons in the sample were registered at birth. Both of these methods have been used for each section of Canada and their results will be considered in this chapter.

## COMPARISON OF VITAL STATISTICS AND CENSUS IN THE AGGREGATE

The more refined an analysis involving the census, the more such census inaccuracies as exist will tend to obscure the results. An analysis of the deficiencies of the birth records is perhaps the most delicate job the census may be called on to do.

Errors in the statement of age by the enumerated which result in a concentration on even numbers are indicated in Statement I below.
I.-RATIO OF THREE TIMES THE NUMBER OF PERSONS REPORTING AGE X TO THE TOTAL NUMBER REPORTING AGES $X-1, X$ AND $X+1$, BY SEX, CANADA, 1931

| Units Digit | Tens Digit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0. |  | 2 | 3. | 6 |
|  | - | 1.03 | 0.97 | 1.08 | $1 \cdot 18$ | - | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1:12 | 1.25 |
| 1... | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1:00 | 0.93 | 0.81 |
| 2. | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.05 |
| 3. | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| 4. | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 1.01 | $0 \cdot 99$ | $0 \cdot 97$ | 0.94 |
| 5............. | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.06 | $1 \cdot 15$ | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.06 0.09 | 1.14 |
| 6............. | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.99 0.93 | 0.92 0.95 |
| 7. | 1.00 | $1 \cdot 00$ | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.99 | ${ }^{0} \cdot 098$ | 0.97 1.09 | ${ }^{0.93}$ | 0.95 1.09 |
| 8. | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.06 0.82 | 1.01 0.99 | 1.03 0.98 | 1.03 0.89 | 1.11 0.88 | 1.09 0.86 |
| 8. | 1.00 | $1 \cdot 00$ | 0.92 | $0 \cdot 90$ | $0 \cdot 89$ | 0.99 | 0.98 | $0 \cdot 89$ | $0 \cdot 88$ | $0 \cdot 86$ |

It is plain that the concentration at multiples of 2 and 5 shown in the ages 30-40 and 60-70 is relatively unimportant at ages $0-10$. We may roughly say, in fact, that for both males and females this type of error increases with age. Concentration at even digits is probably the least harmful of the various types of errors for it can be largely removed by suitable graduation, since the excessive frequency at the even age consists of as many overstatements as understatements. This has been shown by a study of individual changes of age in a sample from two consecutive censuses.*.

But, on the other hand, a phenomenon to be found in no other part of the statement makes its appearance at the youngest ages. Consider, for example, the 1931 population of Canada. The number given as age zero is $202,668 . \dagger$ The number three years of age is 224,131 . Now, since immigration at very young ages is not an important factor, we must attribute this striking exicess of those stated as 3 years old to one of two causes, (a) a decrease in the birth rate or (b) misstatements by the parents of the children enumerated in the census returns. These are discussed below.

[^0](a) Since the death rate of the early years of life is heavy, there tends to be a sharply decreasing number alive from age to age in the first five years of life. Consider Canadian Life Table No. 1*, for example, where the population is assumed to be stationary at the level of 1931 deaths and a number of births just sufficient to balance those deaths, as quoted in columns 1 and 2 below.
II.-LIFE TABLE AND ACTUAL POPULATION, MALES AND FEMALES, CANADA, 1931


A very rapid dropping in the birth rate must be postulated to explain the divergence between the figures of columns 1 and 2 on the one hand and 3 and 4 on the other. The figures below show the population at the various ages and the birth and infant mortality rates of the corresponding calendar years. Since the population at age 0 on June 1., 1931, is the result of births for the period June 1, 1930-May 30, 1931, the applicable birth rate is somewhere between the 1930 and the 1931 figure, and similarly for the other years.
III.-BIRTHS, BIRTH RATES AND DEATHS UNDER ONE YEAR OF AGE, CANADA, 1920-1931

| Age | Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calendar } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Births | Birth <br> Rate | Deaths under One Year of Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0. | 202,668 | 1931 | 240,473 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 20,360 |
| 1. | 204,365 | 1930 | 243,495 | $23 \cdot 9$ | 21,742 |
| 2. | 221,578 | 1929 | 235,415 | 23.5 | 21,674 |
| 3... | 224,131 | 1928 | 236,757 | $24 \cdot 1$ | 21,195 |
| 4. | 221,673 | 1927 | 234,188 | $24 \cdot 3$ | 22.010 |
| 5. | 222,607 | 1926 | 232,750 | 24.7 | 23,692 |
| 6. | 226.402 | 1925 | 242,388 | 26.1 | 22,310 |
| 7. | 225,715 | 1924 | 244,525 | 26.8 | 22,709 |
| 8. | 228,847 | 1923 | 240,476 | 26.7 | 24,833 |
| 9. | 229,178 | 1922 | 252,571 | 28.4 | 25.553 |
| 10. | 232,180 | 1921 | 257,728 | 29.4 | 20,280 |
|  |  | 1920 | 253,069 | $29 \cdot 6$ | 30,829 |

While the birth rate is seen to be dropping in the years 1926-31 the absolute number of births increases and infant mortality falls off. The increasing number of births and the falling infant mortality should intensify an age-to-age decrease in the 1931 population for the first five years of life. For the rise shown in the population from ages 5 to 10 , however, there is at least a partial explanation in the fall of the births from 1920 to 1926 -that fall being only partially counteracted by declining infant mortality.
(b) Mr. George King comments on the error of the census at younger ages in England, in the Supplement to the 75th Report of the Regis'rar-Gensral for England and Wales. The procedure used for the construction of English Life Tables Nos. 6 and 7 was based on the assumption that the population enumerated in the census as ages $0-4$ inclusive was correct in total, being merely wrongly distributed. The percentage distribution between the ages $0,1,2,3,4$ used, therefore, was that obtained by calculating the number alive from the births and deaths of the immediately preceding years; the total to which this distribution was applied was that of the census.

[^1]But Mr. King did not think that this assumption was supported by facts. Says he,* "In each of the two tables relating to males and females, respectively, for the two Censuses of 1901 and 1911, and in each of the two similar tables for the single Census of 1911 there is a great deficiency in the infants enumerated in each of the first two years of life, and there is no corresponding excess in the young children aged from 2 to 4 last birthday, the number of such children being in close agreement with the numbers estimated from the births and deaths. It is true that emigration** disturbs alittle the statistics based upon the births and deaths, and the effect of that disturbance is cumulative with increasing age." After showing that the census defect is not explained by emigration, he finishes, ". . . . the conclusion seems to be inevitable that a large number of infants under two years of age escaped enumeration at both the Censuses of 1901 and 1911, more especially so in 1911, although why that should be it is difficult to understand."

In 1916 Dr. J. C. Dunlop, Superintendent of the Statistical Department of the RegistrarGeneral for Scotland, investigating deficiencies at ages $0-4$ in the Scottish Census of 1911 by checking from census to birth certificatest, found that of the cases where identification was achieved ( 84 p.c. and 81 p.c., respectively, of the number enumerated in Paisley and Haddington, the two registration districts of the investigations), $\mathbf{7 \cdot 5}$ p.c. showed misstatement of age. Of 898 incorrectly reported ages, 789 were overstated and 109 understated. In only 47 of the 898 instances were the errors more than one year in amount, however.

The census number of children, age 0 , instead of being 2,780 was 2,646 , i.e., too small by 134 or $4: 8$ p.c. The census number at age 1 was 2.9 p.c. short; at age $2,0 \cdot 7$ p.c. in excess; at age $3,2.7$ p.c. in excess. Dr. Dunlop's "Table A" $\ddagger$ is interesting, as showing the extent of distortion that existed in a census generally considered to be very accurate.
DR. DUNLOP'S TABLE A.-SHOWING NUMBERS OF CHILDREN WHOSE AGES WERE TESTED BY REFERENCE TO BIRTH REGISTERS

|  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ages Found by Reference to |
| Birth Registers |

Dunlop's method of enquiry, i.e., tracing individuals from the census to the Birth Registers, is obviously unable to show the existence of omissions from the census. But evidence presented in Appendix 1, page 192, on the basis of comparisons made between consecutive censuses, show that actual omissions at the younger ages of life are not of a magnitude great enough to affect materially the calculations to be made below.

There are two ways in which we may make comparisons between the birth registrations and the census using available tabulations.

Method 1.-Taking the figures for the number of births (both sexes) in each month and using a special table giving the number of deaths out of these births month ${ }^{\S}$ by month, we can find the number attaining one year of age. Then we may use a life table with an $l x$ graduated by months to find the probability that a child of one year will survive to the census.date. By adding up the numbers of those who were born in the appropriate months and who live to the census date we arrive at a figure that can be compared with the number of age 1, 2, 3 and 4 living at the census date. To compare births in the year June 1, 1930-June 1, 1931, with the population under one year of age at the latter date we merely subtracted from the births of the appropriate months the deaths among those births up to June 1.

Method 2.-Taking the figures for the numbers of births (both sexes) in each calendar year, we deduct an estimate of the number of deaths among those births constructed thus:-

[^2]For each province the number of persons dying in the calendar year of birth is found as a percentage of the total number dying under one year of age. This turns out to be somewhat between 70 and 80 p.c. in most cases. We take this percentage of the deaths of the first calendar year and the complementary percentage of those of the subsequent year. For the second year of life it is assumed in all cases that 60 p.c. of the deaths of children aged 1-2 in a given calendar year refer to children who reached their first birthday in that calendar year; for the third and subsequent years of life the deaths are assumed to be equally spread and 50 p.c. is taken.

Using one or both of these methods, the number of persons to be expected in the census was found for each of the first five years of age, the ratios were tabulated for the 1931 Census for the five regional divisions of Canada. It will be seen that the two methods of calculation give essentially similar results.
IV.-COMPARISON OF THE CENSUS POPULATION AGED $0,1,2,3,4$, WITH THE NUMBER CALCULATED AS ALIVE AT THE CENSUS DATE AT THE SAME AGES FROM BIRTH REGISTRATIONS BY METHODS 1 AND 2, CANADA AND REGIONAL DIVISIONS, 1931

| Regional Division | Census <br> Year of Birth (June-June) <br> (1) | Age Last Birthday at June 1, 1031 <br> (2) | Number Alive June 1; 1931 (Census) <br> (3) | Number Surviving June 1, 1931, Calculated from Births Registered |  | Ratio (Col. 4 <br> : Col. 3) <br> (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Method 1 <br> (4) | Method 2 (5) |  |
| CANADA. | . | years |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1926-1931 | 0-4 | 1,072,730 | 1,066, 157 |  | 0.99 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 202,400 | 224,693 |  | 1:11 |
|  | 1929-1930 | - 1 | 204,048 | 217,480 |  | 1.07 |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 221,207 | 210,014 | 209,462 | 0.85 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 223,760 | 210,720 | 209,606 | 0.84 |
| - | 1926-1927 | 4 | 221,315 | 203,250 | 202,226 | 0.92 |
| Maritime Provinces. | 1926-1931 | 0-4 | 109,990 | 104,080 |  | 0.85 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 21,561 | 21,988 |  | 1.02 |
|  | 1029-1930 | 1 | 20,569 | 20,809 | . | 1.01 |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 22,370 | 20,306 | 20,365 | 0.91 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 22,901 | 20,901 | 20,706 | 0.91 |
|  | 1926-1927 | 4 | 22,589 | 20,076 | 19,982 | 0.89 |
| Quebec. | 1926-1931 | - 0-4 | 352,895 | 357,835 |  | 1.01 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 66.439 | 75,661 |  | $1 \cdot 14$ |
|  | 1929-1930 | 1 | 65,541 | 72,410 |  | $1 \cdot 11$ |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 73,759 | 70,497 | 70,039 | 0.96 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 74,427 | 71,027 | 70,537 | 0.85 |
|  | 1926-1927 | 4 | 72,729 | 68,240 | 67,388 | 0.94 |
| Ontario. | 1926-1931 | 0-4 | 307,669 | 317,069 |  | 1.03 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 58,392 | 66,467 |  | $1 \cdot 14$ |
|  | 1929-1930 | 1 | 58,887 | 64,624 |  | 1.10 |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 62,803 | 62.306 | 62,196 | 0.99 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 63,931 | 62,709 | 62,657 | 0.98 |
| Prairie Provinces... | 1926-1027 | 4 | 63,656 | 60,963 | 60,587 | $0 \cdot 96$ |
|  | 1926-1931 | 0-4 | 250,197 | 238,168 |  | 0.96 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 46,489 | 50,278 |  | 1.08 |
|  | 1929-1930 | 1 | 49,034 | 49,559 |  | 1.01 |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 51,387 | 47,279 | 47,235 | 0.92 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 51,721 | 46,550 | 46, 274 | 0.90 |
|  | 1326-1927 | 4 | 51,560 | 45,502 | 45,005 | 0.88 |
| British Columbia. | 1920-1931 | 0-4 | 51,979 | 48,770 |  | 0.94 |
|  | 1930-1931 | 0 | 9,519 | 10,299 |  | 1.08 |
|  | 1929-1930 | 1 | 10,017 | 10,071 |  | 1.01 |
|  | 1928-1929 | 2 | 10,888 | 9,637 | 9,627 | 0.89 |
|  | 1927-1928 | 3 | 10,780 | 9,471 | 9,432 | 0.88 |
|  | 1926-1927 | 4 | 10,775 | 9,302 | 9,264 | 0.88 |

For all of the five regional divisions the ratios for ages 0 and 1 are greater than $1 \cdot 00$, and for the subsequent ages less. This is a reflection of the overstatement of age in the census to which reference has been made in the foregoing pages. Though considerable regional variation appears in the ratios of column 6 for the total of ages $0-4$, the 0.99 obtained for all of Canada appears to show satisfactorily the amount by which birth registrations are below the census, on the average. throughout the country.

Therefore, 0.99 is a maximum figure for completeness of birth registrations throughout the country. But, though this figure takes account of overstatements within the age group 0-4, it would be too high if there was a tendency for the ages of children to be stated as over 5 when they were actually less than 5. Such a tendency is indicated in the discussion in Appendix 1, page 192, hence it would be desirable to calculate the number to be expected at the sensus date at ages $5-9$ on the basis of birth registrations. To do this for the 1931 Census would be unsatisfactory, in that it would require going back in the birth registration record to a period in which there was a registration area of only eight of the provinces, and further it would involve using registrations less complete than those of the more recent period. Hence, we have confined our calculations to the Prairie Provinces, making use of the 1936 Census. The statement below gives the results, which are graphed in Chart 1.
V.-COMPARISON OF THE CENSUS POPULATION AGED $0,1,2,3$, 4, WITH THE NUMBER CALCULATCD AS ALIVE AT THE CENSUS DATE AT THE SAME AGES FROM BIRTH REGISTRATIONS BY METHOD 1, 1931 AND 1936, AND OF AGES 5, 6, 7, 8, 0,1931 , PRAIRIE PROVINCES

| Province | Census <br> Year of Birth (June-June) | Age Last Birthday at June 1, 1931 <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Alive } \\ \text { June 1,1931 } \\ \text { (Census) } \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | Number Surviving Jime 1, 1931, Calculated from Births Registered (Method 1) <br> (4) | Ratio (Col. 4 <br> :Col. 3 <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

AGES 0-4, 1931

V.-COMPARISON OF THE CENSUS POPULATION AGED $0,1,2,3,4$, WITH THE NUMBER CALCULATED AS ALIVE AT THE CENSUS DATE AT THE SAME AGES FROM BIRTH REGISTRATIONS BY METHOD 1, 1931 AND 1936, AND OF AGES 5, 6, 7, 8,9 , 1931, PRAIRIE PROVINCES-Con.

| Province | $\begin{gathered} \text { Census } \\ \text { Year of } \\ \text { Birth } \\ \text { (June-June) } \end{gathered}$ <br> (1) | Age Last Birthday at June 1, <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Alive } \\ \text { June } 1,1931 \\ \text { (Census) } \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | Number Surviving June 1. 1931 , Calculated from Births Registered (Method 1) <br> (4) | Ratio <br> (4:3) <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

AGES 0-4, 1936

| Prairie Provinces. . |  | years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1931-1936 | 0-4 | 231,134 | 234,251 | 1.01 |
|  | 1935-1936 | 0 | 44, 190 | 46,649 |  |
|  | 1934-1935 | 1 | 42,167 | 45,649 | $1 \cdot 00$ |
|  | 1933-1934 | $2$ | 46,822 | 45,729 | 0.98 |
|  | 1932-1933 | $\overline{3}$ | 48,373 | 47,624 | 0.98 |
|  | 1931-1932 | 4 | 49.582 | 48,430 | 0.98 |
| Manitoba. | 1931-1936 | 0-4 | 61.380 | 63,276 | 1.03 |
|  | 1935-1936 | 0 | 11,684 | 12,614 |  |
|  | 1934-1935 | 1 | 11,167 | 12,614 | 1.08 1.11 |
|  | $1933-1934$ $1932-1933$ | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | 12,349 | 12,076 | 0.98 |
|  | $1932-1933$ $1931-1932$ | 3 4 | 12.826 13.354 | 12,962 | 1.01 |
|  | 1931-1932 | 4 | 13,354 | 13.242 | 0.89 |
| Saskatchewan.. | 1931-1936 | 0.4 | 93,731 | 93.916 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0 | 17,803 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1934-1935 \end{array}$ | 1 | 17,174 | 18,371 | 1.03 1.07 |
|  | 1933-1934 | 2 | 18,996 | 18,517 | 1.07 0.97 |
|  | 1932-1933 | 3 4 | 19,670 | 19,105 | $0 \cdot 97$ |
|  | 1931-1932 | 4 | 20,088 | 19.454 | 0.87 |
| Alberta.. | 1931-1936 | 0-4 | 76,023 | 77,059 | 1.01 |
|  | 1935-1936 | 0 | 14,703 | 15,626 |  |
|  | $1934-1935$ $1933-1934$ | 1 | 13,828 | 15,066 | 1.09 |
|  | 1933-1934 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 15,477 | 15,136 | 0.98 |
|  | -1932-1933 | 3 4 | 15,877 16,140 | 15,497 15,734 | 0.98 0.97 |
|  |  |  | 16,140 | 15,734 | 0.97 |

AGES 5-9, 1931

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{Prairie Provinces.} \& \& years \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1926-1931 \& 5-9 \& 249,867 \& 235,402 \& 0.94 <br>
\hline \& 1930-1931 \& 5 \& 49,576 \& 48,681 \& 0.98 <br>
\hline \& 1929-1930

$1928-1929$ \& ${ }_{6}$ \& 50,565 \& 48,783 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.98}$ <br>
\hline \& 1928-1929
$1927-1928$ \& 7
8 \& 49,359
50,584 \& 46,719 \& 0.95 <br>
\hline \& 1926-1927 \& 9 \& 50,584
49,783 \& 46,097
45,122 \& 0.91
0.91 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Manitoba..} \& 1926-1931 \& 5-9 \& 67,410 \& 65,295 \& 0.97 <br>
\hline \& 1930-1931 \& 5 \& 13,136 \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1929-1930
$1928-1929$ \& ${ }_{7}^{6}$ \& 13,472 \& 13, 195 \& 0.98 <br>
\hline \& $1928-1929$

$1927-1928$ \& $\stackrel{7}{8}$ \& | 13,313 |
| :--- |
| 13,893 | \& 12,911 \& 0.97 <br>


\hline \& 1926-1927 \& 9 \& | 13,596 |
| :--- |
| 1 | \& 13,135

13,021 \& 0.95
0.96 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Saskatchewan..} \& 1926-1931 \& 5-9 \& 102,394 \& 96,926 \& 0.95 <br>
\hline \& 1930-1931 \& \& 20,074 \& \& <br>

\hline \& 1929-1930 \& ${ }_{7}^{6}$ \& 20,672 \& | 19,683 |
| :--- |
| 19 |
| 1985 | \& 0.98

0.96 <br>

\hline \& ${ }_{\text {- }}^{1927-1928}$ \& 8 \& 20, 2781 \& | 19,421 |
| :---: |
| 19 |
| 19 | \& 0.96

0.92
0.9 <br>

\hline \& 1926-1927 \& 9 \& 20,619 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 19,147 \\
& 18,890
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\stackrel{0.92}{0.92}$ <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Alberta.} \& 1926-1931 \& 5-9 \& 80,063 \& 73.183 \& 0.98 <br>
\hline \& 1930-1931 \& 5 \& 16,366 \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1929-1930 \& ${ }_{7}$ \& 16,421 \& 15,783 \& 0.96 <br>
\hline \& (19720-1928 \& 8 \& 15,768
15.940 \& 14,387
13,815 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.91} 0$ <br>
\hline \& 1926-1927 \& 8 \& 15,568 \& 13,213 \& 0.85 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Ratio of Census Population 0-4, I93l and 0-9, 1936 to Number calculated from Birth Registrations as allive at Census dates, Prairie Provinces


Chart 1

From the statements and chart the following results stand out:-
(1) At the ages $0-4$ a striking improvement ( 0.96 to 1.01 ) with time is shown from the comparison of $1926-31$ births with the 1931 Census and the comparison of 1931-36 births with the 1936 Census. This improvement extends into every age group and through all three provinces. The only ways in which this would be explained away is by the 1936 Census being less complete than the 1931, a ridiculous supposition, or by migration being important in 1931. This will be considered later.
(2) Using comparisons based on the 1936 Census alone there is a much closer approximation between births and census of the earlier ages than at the later. In fact the age-5-9 comparisons of 1936 seem a replica of the age- $0-4$ comparisons of 1931 . Further, in the figures at the later ages 7,8 and 9 , sloping so sharply downwards, we have an indication that the migration may be upsetting the calculations. Such balance of immigration as existed would obviously act in the direction of lowering the births in comparison with the census.

The Effect of Migration on the Foregoing Comparisons.-It is, of course, plain that the comparison of the births with the census should take immigration into account. Unfortunately, the immigrants are not recorded by single years of age and, in any case, there are no direct statistics of the movement from province to province within the Dominion. But we can find the effect of migration at least roughly by ascertaining what percentage of the population of age 0-4 in each province in 1931 was not born in that province, being born either in another province or abroad. Following are the percentages so calculated:-
VI.-CHILDREN -4 YEALRS OF AGE SHOWING NUMBER BORN IN PROVINCE AND PERCENTAGE NOT BORN IN PROVINCE, CANADA, BY PROVINCES, 1931


Of course, the percentages in column 3 of. Statement VI should not be deducted from the number the census gives as living at ages 0-4 for purposes of comparison with the births of the preceding years, since the birth registrations include cases of infants who were born in the given province and moved elsewhere before the taking of the census and who, therefore, should rightly be deducted from the births. These two corrections would partly balance one another though the first mentioned is undoubtedly the more important. Some idea of the extent of movement is given by the ratio to the number of persons $0-4$ living in one province of the number born in that province but living elsewhere in Canada (column 3 below).
VII.-RATIO OF NUMBER 0-4 YEARS OF AGE BORN IN PROVINCE BUT LIVING ELSEWHERE IN CANADA TO. THE NUMBER 0-4 YEARS OF AGE LIVING IN THE PROVINCE,

CANADA, BY PROVINCES, 1931


The net correction by which the ratios of completeness given in Statements IV and V must be increased on account of the balance of migration is thus something between zero and the percentages of column 3 of Statement VI.

It will be noted that throughout this section we have compared the numbers of children at the census date with the numbers to be expected on the basis of births and deaths in the appropriate years previous to the census, instead of calculating back from the census date to the year of birth and comparing directly with the total of births. The latter method would apparently render the results more exact but they would differ from the figures given in this section by less than 0.5 p.c. It was felt that no object would be served by calculating percentages of incompleteness closer than to the nearest unit for it was not desired to facilitate comparisons, such as between provinces, to which the data seemed unsuited.

## SEARCH FROM THE CENSUS TO BIRTH REGISTRATIONS

Recognizing the difficulties of making a direct comparison between the census and the records of births and deaths, a sample of children was taken from the census records of 1931 and for these a search was made through the registration files to ascertain in what percentage of cases for each province a record of registration could be found. No infants were included in the search unless the census gave their birthplace as the province of residence on June 1, 1931.

Prince Edward Island.-In the case of Prince. Edward Island, where a previous rough survey had indicated serious deficiency in reporting, the search was fairly thorough. Every child reported as under one year of age in the census of June 1, 1931, was searched for in the registration files. Out of the total of about $1,500,357$ or 20 p.c. were not found.

Nova Scotia.-The sample for Nova Scotia was obtained by the counting out of every fifth census book, taking districts in numerical order and sub-districts within the district likewise in numerical order. The comparison here too was between children under one year enumerated in the Census of 1931 and birth registration for births occurring from June, 1930, to May, 1931. The result was as follows for the province as a whole and three municipalities:-

| Item | Total <br> Cards Taken <br> from Census <br> Schedules | Matched with Births <br> Transcripts |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

The search was conducted first in the county in which the child was resident at the time of the census and then in the entire province after the birth certificates for the province had been arranged in numerical order.

New Brunswick:-The sample chosen for New Brunswick was a random one for cities and purposive for towns, villages and parishes. In the cities of Moncton, Saint John and Fredericton, one-fifth of the books were counted out. For the rest of the province, one town or village out of five was taken in order to secure even geographical distribution and a proportion of French to English speaking families equal to that in the province as a whole. Out of 1,865 cases thus abstracted from the census and written down on cards, 1,668 were matched with birth certificates, giving a completeness of 89 p.c. Cities showed a deficiency of 6 p.c., towns and villages 3 p.c., and rural parishes 13 p.c., though of course these figures should be interpreted with the smallness of the total sample in mind.

The 1,100 infants who had died before the census date were sampled in the proportion of one-fifth, and among the 169 of the sample who were born before June 1, it was found that 163 had been registered, leaving a deficiency of less than 4 p.c.

Quebec.-The sample for Quebec was obtained by arranging the books in the numerical order of the electoral districts in three separate series, for cities, towns and rural parts respectively, and selecting every twelfth book in order in each series. Owing to the size of the province the search had to be limited in each case to the county concerned, except that for any child in Montreal
and Jesus Islands the search was conducted throughout the whole of the islands. However, about 99.5 p.c. of births were found to take place in the county of residence. The results were as follows:-

| Item | Total Cards Taken from Census Schedules | Matched with Birth Transcripts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | P.C. |
| Quebec.. | 5,473 | 4,974 |  |
| Montreal Island. | 1,557 | 4,324 1,324 | 85 |
| Remainder of province- |  | 1,324 | 85 |
| Cities. | 731 | 679 | 93 |
| Rural. | 260 2,925 | 242 | 93 |
|  |  | 2,72, | 93 |

A search was likewise made for the birth certificates corresponding to 1,151 death returns and 1,099 were found, making 95 p.c. completeness. Here Montreal Island was conspicuously poorer than the rest of the province. From Indian Reserves 227 names were taken from census schedules and only 130 were found. Among religious denominations on Montreal Island the Roman Catholic was by far the most complete, showing 91 p.c. against the 85 p.c. of the island as a whole. Registrations of French children were likewise high, being 94 p.c. for the province.

These figures, like the ones given for other provinces, are the result of search among birth certificates undertaken in the office of the Dominion Bureau of Statistics. But in the case of Quebec, Dr. Parrot, the Provincial Registrar, assisted in the search for the 499 cards which the Bureau was unable to find. He was able to find 115 cards out of the 266 cards for the province other than Montreal Island, of which the Bureau verified 104, and he found 47 for Montreal Island. These bring the provincial registration to 94 p.c. of completeness.

Ontario.-In Hamilton, Ottawa, London and Windsor every fifth book in numerical order was taken from the census. In the remainder of the province every tenth book in numerical order was taken. The figures for the four above-named cities were halved before aggregating for the provincial completeness of registration. As in Quebec, searches were limited to the county of residence at the time of the census, but a test was made of the percentage of births which are registered elsewhere than in county of regular residence, and a factor applied to the cards matched, which brought the provincial average from 89 p.c. (as shown below) to 92 p.c.

| Item |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Manitoba.-In the cities of Manitoba every fifth book was taken. For the rest of the province the sample was obtained by a counting out of every fifth town, every fifth village, and every fifth rural municipality when arranged by order of census divisions. The results were as follows:-


Saskatchewan.-For the cities and towns of Saskatchewan every fifth book was taken and, in rural parts, including villages, every seventh book was taken after the schedules were arranged by census divisions.

| Item | Total Cards Taken from Census Schedules | Matched withBirth Transcripts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | P.C. |
| Saskatchewan ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 2,806 | 2,454 | 88 |
| Cities......... | 573 | 541 | 94 |
| Towns...... | 149 | ${ }_{1}^{130}$ | 87 |
| Rural municipalities.... | 2,248 | 1,938 | 86 |

${ }^{1}$ Cities reduced by $2 / 7$.
Alberta.-The sample for Alberta was obtained by taking every fifth book in the group of cities, Calgary, Edmonton, Lethbridge and Medicine Hat; one book from each of the cities Drumheller, Red Deer and Wetaskiwin; and every seventh book in towns and rural municipalities. The results were as follows:-

| . Item | Total Cards Taken from Census Schedules | Matched with Birth Transcripts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | P.C. |
| Alberta ${ }^{1}$ | 2,203 | 1,986 | 90 |
| Citics.. | 762 | 700 | 92 |
| Towns................... | +142 | +135 | 85 |
| Itural (including villages). | 1,516 | , 1,351 | 89 |

## ${ }^{1}$ Cities roduced by $2 / 7$.

Mr. Mackie, Deputy Registrar-General of Alberta, studied the 21 cases that could not be matched for the city of Edmonton and was able to account for 15 of them as misspelled names, adopted children, etc. Mr. Mackie expressed the opinion that the check from the census gave a minimum far below the actual level of completeness. He gave the experience in the search among the 8,851 school children in the year 1932-33 (according to Alberta regulations teachers report the names of all children born in Alberta when the latter first enter school), and approximately 97 p.c. of the school children born in Alberta were thus found to be registered-which constitutes a very important piece of evidence.

British Columbia.-The sample for Vancouver, Victoria and New Westminster was obtained by taking one-fifth of the census books. In Vancouver and Victoria they were chosen to represent, as far as could be ascertained, the different elements in the population of these cities. In New Westminster the books for the sample were obtained by counting out. For the remainder of the province there were two samples taken-one purposive according to racial origin and the other random. The random sample was obtained by counting out one-fifth of all the books that had not been included in the purposive sample.


[^3]Searches were carried out, first throughout the county of residence at the time of the census, and then throughout the entire province.

Omissions from the Census.-In order to find out how many young infants were omitted from the census returns when a census happened to be taken shortly after their birth, samples were collected from the census returns of 1931 and 1936 for the province of Alberta. A description of the method of collecting these samples is given in Appendix 1, page 192. In a sample of 1,231 males $0-9$ years old there were 14 of stated age 5 in the 1936 Census who were omitted from the $1931^{\circ}$ Census, two of stated age 6 , one of stated age 7, (whose families were located in 1931). In a similar manner, out of 1,220 females $0-9$ years old, 9 who were stated age 5 in 1936 were omitted in 1931 and two stated age 6 . The ratio of the omission of males to the number $0-9$ in the sample is 0.014 and for the females it is 0.009 , or 0.012 for both sexes.

Estimation of Non-Measurable Factors Affecting Sample Investigation.-The foregoing percentages of completeness of birth registrations must be taken as absolute minima. There is only one way in which they could be overestimates, viz., through the existence of a tendency for infants to be missed entirely both in the census and in the Vital Statistics. In practice this is unlikely to amount to a great deal as the evidence of the preceding paragraph shows. There is strong reason to believe that a good many of the 1.2 p.c. above referred to were really only 4 years of age in 1936 and therefore would not have been born in 1931; but let us assume that there are enough other children missed out in both 1931 and 1936 to bring the total omissions from the census (not including overstatements) at age zero to 2 p.c. which is a high figure in the light of every test that has been performed. Further assume that in this specially select group of infants which the census enumerator misses there is a deficiency of registration of 50 p.c.which is higher than any group of infants investigated. Even on these exaggerated assumptions, omissions in the census could only conceal an incompleteness of registrations of 1 p.c. in the tests performed.

Consider, on the other hand, the number of ways in which the figures for completeness in birth returns given above could be understatements. First, there is the occurrence very frequently noted in the revision of the census that persons who have migrated to this country from the United States show children with birthplace Canada whose age indicates that they were born previous to the date of migration. Where this happens in the case of immigrants from the United States it is usually corrected in the revision of the census, but where it happens in the case of Canadians born outside of their province of residence there is no way of correcting it. Mr. Mackie states in correspondence that out of the 8,851 school pupils for which registrations were searched in Alberta, all of whose parents stated that they were born in Alberta, fully 308 on later investigation were found to have been born out of the province. With the same ratio for errors in statement to the census enumerator, about 4 p.c. of the deficiency in the sample survey of completeness would be accounted for, or from one-third to one-half of the unmatched cards.

The misspelling of names by the census enumerators is a factor of unknown weight. Illegitimate children and children adopted subsequent to registration and before the census were difficult to trace. Errors on the part of clerks in making out the cards from the census schedules (understandable in view of the indistinct writing of many of the enumerators), incomplete search by the clerks seeking to match the transcripts-in fact, any kind of clerical error from beginning to end-would result in an underestimate of the completeness of registrations in the sample investigation.

In all, some 26,205 names were searched from census schedules to birth transcripts, and the aggregate percentage matched was 88 (see Table 1, Part III, page 132). In view of the considerations above outlined, however, we think it not unreasonable to put the deficiency of birth registrations at not over half the percentage unmatched.

## CONTINUATION OF CANADIAN LIFE TÁBLES, 1931, BACK TO AGE ZERO

In Tables 2 and 3 Part III, pages 133 and 134, are given the completions to age zero of the Life Tables, males and females, for Canada and each of its regional divisions. They are obtained in the following manner:-

The deaths during the years 1930-32 are taken as arising from the births of the same period. This is not strictly accurate, but brings about a very considerable simplification in arithmetic. The amount of error it introduces will be considered below. Deducting successively from these births the deaths of less than 1 day, of 1 to 2 days, etc., we obtain numbers proportiona to $l_{a}$, $l_{3} \frac{1}{8}, l_{3} \frac{2}{6} 5$, etc. The $l_{1}$ was determined from the 100,000 assumed at age 5 by working backward using the following values of $q$ :-

$$
q_{1}=\frac{d_{1}}{\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1928}+\beta_{1929}+\beta_{1930}+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1031}-\left(d_{o(1929)}+d_{o(1930)}+d_{o(1931)}\right)}, \text { etc. }
$$

To obtain $l_{\frac{1}{1 \frac{1}{2}}}$ the figure for $\beta_{1930-32}-d_{o-\frac{11}{12}}$ was multiplied by the factor $\frac{l_{1}}{\beta_{1830-82}-d_{o}}$. similarly $l_{1 \frac{1}{12}}$ was given by $\left(\beta_{1930-32}-d_{o-\frac{10}{12}}\right)\left(\frac{l_{1}}{\beta_{1930-32}-d_{o}}\right)$, etc. $L_{x}$ was taken as $\frac{l_{x}+l_{x}+}{2}$ from $x=1$ to $x=4$ and as $\frac{l_{x}+l_{x}+\frac{1}{12}}{2}$ for $x$ from $\frac{1}{12}$ to $\frac{11}{12}$; as $\frac{l_{x}+l_{x}+\frac{1}{B_{2}}}{2}$ for $x$ from $\frac{5^{2} 2}{}$ to $\frac{2}{\delta^{2}}$ and as $l_{x}+l_{x+\frac{1}{52}}+\left(\frac{1}{12}-\frac{4}{52}\right)$ for $x=\frac{3}{62}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{x}$ was taken as $\frac{1}{2} l_{x}+{ }_{i=o}^{\omega-x} l_{x+t+1}=\sum_{t=0}^{\omega-x} \mathrm{~L}_{x+t}=\mathrm{L}_{x+} \sum_{i=0}^{\omega-x} \mathrm{~L}_{x+t+1}$ for ages 1 to 4.
Between 1 and 12 months $\mathrm{T}_{x}$ was taken as $\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{t}{12}}=\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{t+1}{12}}+\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~L}_{x+\frac{t}{12}}$;
for 1 and 2 weeks as $\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{t}{6^{2}}}=\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{t+1}{\delta^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\delta_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{~L}_{x+\frac{t}{1^{2}} \text {; }}$;
for 3 weeks as $\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{3}{B^{2}}}=\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{1}{12}}+\left(\frac{1}{12}-\frac{3}{B^{2}}\right) \mathrm{L}_{x+\frac{3}{\delta^{2} 2}}$;
for 0 to 6 days as $\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{1}{365}}=\mathrm{T}_{x+\frac{t+1}{365}}+\frac{1}{365} \mathrm{~L}_{x+\frac{t}{365}}$.
The more precise formulæ for the $q$ 's would be:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\right|_{\frac{1}{365}} q_{o}=\frac{d_{1930-32}^{\left(0-\frac{1}{385}\right)}}{\beta_{1930-32}-\frac{1}{730}\left(\beta_{1939}-\beta_{1929}\right)} \\
& \Gamma_{\frac{1}{585}} q_{\frac{1}{365}}=\frac{d_{1930-32}^{\left(\frac{1}{365}-\frac{2}{2}\right)}}{\beta_{1030-32}-\left(\frac{1}{365}+\frac{1}{730}\right)\left(\beta_{1032}-\beta_{1929}\right)} \\
& \left.\right|_{\frac{1}{B 2}} q_{\frac{1}{52}}=\frac{d_{1930-32}^{\left(\frac{1}{52}-\frac{2}{\mathrm{~B} 2}\right)}}{\beta_{1930-32}-\left(\frac{1}{52}+\frac{1}{104}\right)\left(\beta_{1932}-\beta_{1929}\right)} \\
& \left.\right|_{\frac{1}{B 2}} q_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{d_{1930-32}^{\left(\frac{2}{82}-\frac{3}{B_{2}}\right)}}{\beta_{1930-32}-\left(\frac{2}{52}+\frac{1}{104}\right)\left(\beta_{1932}-\beta_{1929}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas, actually, $\beta_{1930-32}$ was used as the denominator in every case.
But since the births for Canada numbered 235,666 in 1932 and 235,415 in 1929, the difference is small. Even for the last month of the year the theoretically correct denominator (for males where the difference is greater) is 369,556 against 369,373 as actually used-a difference of 0.05 p.c. This would barely affect the fifth place of decimals in $q_{x}$, and the method actually employed has the very great advantage in convenience of a constant denominator for all the $q_{x}$ 's less than 1 year.

Though the investigations of incompleteness methods and results of which are shown on the preceding pages do not give entirely compatible results, and though they show rather wide differences between provinces, they indicate that the understatement of births is certainly not greater than 6 or 7 p.c. and, on the other hand, that it is probably not very much less than 3 or 4 p.c. We do not believe that the methods used are sufficiently refined to take precise account of differences between provinces and therefore it would seem best to assume for the Dominion as a whole, and for each part of it separately, for purposes of construction of a completion to age zero of Canadian Life Table No. 1, a deficiency of registrations of 5 p.c. This will be more reliable than the table constructed without an allowance for incompleteness as long as there is an actual deficiency of more than $2 \cdot 5$ p.c. Tables on this basis are shown on pages 139 and 140 .

It may be interesting, in view of the fact that births are almost universally favoured for the computation of the exposed to risk in the first years of life in mortality tables based on the general population, to find the difference in the expectation of life at age zero on the two bases. If we assume no deficiency in birth registrations the expectation at birth of a Canadian male is 59.62 years; assuming 5 p.c. deficiency it is $60 \cdot 00$ years and assuming 10 p.c. deficiency, $60 \cdot 37$ years.

- We find evidence that the increase in calculated expectation which results from the assumption of a deficiency in births is a linear function of that deficiency. The statement below shows that this is also true of $l_{0}$, when we take $l_{5}$ as fixed at 100,000 .

VIII-RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ASSUMPTION OF A DEFICIENCY IN BIRTH REGISTRATIONS AND THE VALUES OF THE EXPECTATION OF LIFE AND THE NUMBER LIVING, LIFE TABLE FOR CANADA, MALES, 1930-1932

| Item | Value $\text { of } \varepsilon_{0}$ | First Difference | Value of $l_{0}$ | First Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assuming no deficiency in birth registrations.. | 59.62 |  | 113,035 | 71 |
| Assuming 5 p.c. deficiency in birth registrations. | 60.00 | 0.37 | 112,318 | -704 |
| Assuming 10 p.e. deficiency in birth registrations. . | $60 \cdot 37$ | 0.37 | 111,614 |  |
| Average difference per assumption of 1 p.c. deficiency. |  | 0.075 |  | -142 |

## CHAPTER II

## THE TREND OF THE CANADIAN BIRTH RATE IN THE POST-WAR FERIOD

## INTRODUCTION

World Trend.-The trend of mortality, and particularly of mortality at the younger ages, the reduction in which produced such important effects in the increase of population during the nincteenth century in the European countries and those with which they came in contact, has received a great deal of attention by students of population.

This decline in mortality at the younger ages has been continued in the post-War period in the countries of western civilization at an even augmented rate. While on humanitarian grounds and from the standpoint of human happiness this is a fact over which to exult, one of the most important tasks of Vital Statistics is to measure the success which has been attained in this respect by various public health measures, higher standards of living and the other factors which affect mortality. The effect on the increase in population of saving life has been checked by another factor which has revealed itself to an astonishing degree in the post-War period in English speaking countries and the countries of Northern and Western Europe in general. This is the decline in the birth rate.

A declining birth rate was by no means unknown before the Great War. The birth rate of France had long been notoriously low. That of England and Wales was falling noticeably and steadily from the late 1870 's and the birth rate of Germany commenced to fall from the turn of the century. But the increase.in the rate of decline in the post-War period throughout the countries mentioned above has been so notable as to attract special attention; it has given rise to more intensive methods of measuring the decline and the factors which produced it.

As examples of the extent of the decline, the English birth rate, which was 22.4 per thousand in 1921 and 20.4 in 1922, had declined to 14.4 in 1933 and appeared to stabilize itself between 14 and 15 during the following years. The Italian rate was in the neighbourhood of 30 in the years 1921-23 but had fallen to 23.8 by 1932 and, in spite of a tendency to stabilize, showed further slight declines until it reached 22.4 in 1936. The German birth rate, which was $25 \cdot 3$ in 1921 and 23.0 in 1922, had fallen to 14.7 by 1933 but from this point showed a surprising rally which may be largely due to State encouragement of marriage and parenthood. This rally brought the rate to $18 \cdot 9$ in 1935 and 19.0 in 1936. The similarity of these figures indicates, perhaps, the upper limit of effectiveness.

It might be held that under post-War conditions in Europe, with opportunities of supporting large populations in the manufacturing of products from whose exchange they would obtain the surplus of raw materials and food supplies required for the maintenance of such an economy, a decline in birth rate was the easiest and most natural means of removing the pressure on the standard of living which an excessive population under these conditions would produce. But, if we look at the newer countries of the British Empire where it must be held that the optimum of population has by no means yet been reached, we find a similar trend in the post-War birth rate. New Zealand's rate fell from $23 \cdot 3$ in 1921 and $23 \cdot 2$ in 1922 to $16 \cdot 1$ in 1935, the year 1936 showing a slight recovery to $16 \cdot 6$. These slight recoveries of 1935 and 1936 appear most probably to be reactions from the economic depression of the preceding years. Australia showed a rate of about 25 per thousand in 1921 and 1922. In the years 1932-35 it was between 16 and 17 , although 1936 showed a slight increase to $17 \cdot 1$. The birth rate of the white population of the Union of South Africa declined from $28 \cdot 4$ in 1921 and 27.5 in 1922 to reach its lowest point, $23 \cdot 4$ in 1934, the two following years showing a slight increase to $24 \cdot 4$ in 1936.

Finally, Canada, which had a rate of 29.4 in 1921 and 28.4 in 1922, showed a decline which, though apparently hurried some by the depression, has indicated no reaction since and registered the lowest rate of any of the years between 1921 and 1936 in the last named year, when it stood at 20.0 per thousand.

The United States (Registration Area) showed a birth rate which declined from 24.2 in 1921 and $22 \cdot 3$ in 1922 to $16 \cdot 6$ in 1933 and, although 1934 and 1935 showed slightly higher rates, the year 1936 registered $16 \cdot 6$ again.

The rates for the countries which have been mentioned are shown, year by year, in Statement IX, from which it will be noted that the decline manifested itself throughout the whole period and was by no means a mere reflection of the recent great economic depression. The statement contains, for purposes of comparison, a few countries which are neither English speaking nor European. It will be seen that in some of these, as in the case of Japan, there is evidence of a downward movement although the Japanese birth rate at the end of the period shown in the statement was slightly higher than the Canadian birth rate at the beginning of the period.
IX.-BIRTH RATES² IN VARIOUS COUNTRIES, 1921-1936

| Country | 1921 | 1922 | 1923 | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 | 1829 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1036 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada (nine provinces) | 29.4 | 28.4 | $26 \cdot 7$ | 26.8 | $26 \cdot 1$ | 24-7 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 5$ | 23.9 | $23 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 0$ |
| Australia | $25 \cdot 0$ | 24.7 | 23.8 | $23 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $21 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | 19.9 | 18.2 | $10 \cdot 9$ | 16.8 | 16.4 | 16.6 | $17 \cdot 1$ |
| Austria | $23 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | 17.8 | 17.5 | $10 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 9$ | 15-2 | $14 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 2$ | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| Belgium | 21.8 | 20.4 | $20 \cdot 4$ | 19.9 | 19.8 | 19.0 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 18.4 | 18.1 | $18 \cdot 7$ | 18.2 | $17 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 5$ | $16 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 4$ | 15-1 |
| Bulgaria | $40 \cdot 2$ | $40 \cdot 5$ | $37 \cdot 7$ | $39 \cdot 8$ | 36.9 | 37.4 | $33 \cdot 2$ | $33 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 6$ | 31.4 | $29 \cdot 4$ | 31.5 | $29 \cdot 2$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 6$ |
| Ceylon | $40 \cdot 7$ | $39 \cdot 1$ | $38 \cdot 7$ | 37.5 | $39 \cdot 9$ | $42 \cdot 0$ | $41 \cdot 0$ | $41 \cdot 9$ | 38.3 | $39 \cdot 0$ | 37.4 | $37 \cdot 0$ | 38. 6 | $37 \cdot 2$ | $34 \cdot 4$ | $33 \cdot 5$ |
| Chile. | $39 \cdot 2$ | 38.7 | $39 \cdot 5$ | $40 \cdot 0$ | $39 \cdot 8$ | $40 \cdot 1$ | $42 \cdot 8$ | $43 \cdot 6$ | 41.9 | $39 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 2$ | $33 \cdot 4$ | $33 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 1$ |
| Czechoslov | 29.2 | 28.2 | $27 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 1$ | $24 \cdot 6$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | 22.7 | $21 \cdot 5$ | $21 \cdot 0$ | $19 \cdot 2$ | 18.7 | 17.9 | $17 \cdot 4$ |
| Denma | 24.0 | $22 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | 21.8 | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 5$ | 19-6 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | 18.7 | $18 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | 17.8 | $17 \cdot 7$ | $17 \cdot 8$ |
| Egypt | $42 \cdot 3$ | $43 \cdot 2$ | $43 \cdot 0$ | $43 \cdot 3$ | $42 \cdot 8$ | $43 \cdot 2$ | 44.0 | $43 \cdot 3$ | $43 \cdot 7$ | $44 \cdot 6$ | $43 \cdot 2$ | 41.1 | $42 \cdot 1$ | $40 \cdot 3$ | 39.4 | 1 |
| Eire | 19.7 | 19.5 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.5 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | 19.5 | $19 \cdot 6$ | 19.6 |
| England and Wales | 22.4 | 20.4 | 19.7 | $18 \cdot 8$ | $18 \cdot 3$ | 17.8 | 16.7 | $16 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 3$ | $15 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 4$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | 14.7 | 14.8 |
| Estonia | $20 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 19.2 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 17.9 | $17 \cdot 7$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | 17-1. | $17 \cdot 4$ | 17.4 | 17-6 | $16 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 4$ | 15.9 | $16 \cdot 1$ |
| Finland | $24 \cdot 3$ | 23.4 | 23.7 | 22.4 | $22 \cdot 3$ | 21.7 | $21 \cdot 1$ | 21.5 | $20 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 5$ | 18.7 | 17.4 | $18 \cdot 1$ | 18.5 | 18.1 |
| France | $20 \cdot 7$ | 19.3 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | 18.8 | 18.2 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 17.7 | 18.0 | 17.5 | -17.3 | $16 \cdot 2$ | 16.2 | $15 \cdot 3$ | $15 \cdot 0$ |
| Germany | $25 \cdot 3$ | 23.0 | 21.2 | $20 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | 19.6 | 18.4 | $18 \cdot 6$ | 18.0 | 17.6 | 16.0 | 15.1 | $14 \cdot 7$ | 18.0 | $18 \cdot 9$ | 19.0 |
| Greece. | 24.5 | $22 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 9$ | $21 \cdot 2$ | 26.9 | 30.7 | $29 \cdot 3$ | $30 \cdot 5$ | 29.0 | 31.4 | $30 \cdot 9$ | $28 \cdot 5$ | 28.8 | 31.2 | $28 \cdot 3$ | $28 \cdot 1$ |
| Hungary | 31.8 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $29 \cdot 2$ | 26.8 | 28.4 | 27.4 | $25 \cdot 8$ | 26.4 | $25 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | 21.9 | 21.2 | $20 \cdot 0$ |
| Iceland | $27 \cdot 1$ | $26 \cdot 1$ | $26 \cdot 5$ | $25 \cdot 3$ |  | $26 \cdot 6$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | 24-8 | 24.9 | $25 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 7$ | 24.4 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 1$ |
| India (British) | $32 \cdot 2$ | 31.9 | $35 \cdot 1$ | 34-4 | $33 \cdot 6$ | 34.8 | $35 \cdot 3$ | 36.8 | 35.5 | 36.0 | $34 \cdot 4$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | $35 \cdot 5$ | $33 \cdot 6$ | 34.9 | $35 \cdot 4$ |
| Italy | 29.2 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | $29 \cdot 0$ | 28.4 | 27.7 | 27.5 | $26 \cdot 7$ | $25 \cdot 6$ | 26.7 | 24.9 | $23 \cdot$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 4$ |
| Japan. | $35 \cdot 1$ | 34.2 | $34 \cdot 9$ | $33 \cdot 8$ | 34-9 | $34 \cdot 8$ | $33 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 4$ | $33 \cdot 0$ | $32 \cdot 4$ | $32 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | 29.9 |
| Jamaica | 34.9 | 37.3 | $38 \cdot 2$ | $36 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 6$ | 38.5 | $34 \cdot 8$ | $35 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 2$ | $37 \cdot 0$ | $34 \cdot 8$ | $32 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | 31.2 | $33 \cdot 4$ | $32 \cdot 4$ |
| Latvia. | $19 \cdot 7$ | 21.8 | 21.9 | $22 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | 22.0 | $22 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 7$ | $18 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 8$ | 19-3 | $19 \cdot 4$ | 17.8 | 17.2 | $17 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 1$ |
| Netherlands | 27.7 | 26.1 | $26 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 1$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | 23.8 | $23 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | 20.8 | $20 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| Newfoundland | 27. | 27.8 | 27.8 | $25 \cdot 6$ | 26.0 | 27.0 | $25 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 6$ | 24.2 | $23 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | $25 \cdot 2$ |
| New Zealand | $23 \cdot 3$ | 23.2 | 21.9 | $21 \cdot 6$ | 21.2 | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 19-6 | $19 \cdot 0$ | 18.8 | 18.4 | 17.1 | $16 \cdot 6$ | 16.5 | $16 \cdot 1$ | 16.6 |
| Northern Ireland | $23 \cdot 6$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | 23.9 | $22 \cdot 7$ | 22.0 | 22.5 | $21 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 9$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | 19.8 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| Norway | $24 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | 22.8 | $21 \cdot 3$ | 19.7 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 9$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | 17.0 | $16 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 0$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | 14.6 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| Poland | $32 \cdot 8$ | $35 \cdot 3$ | $35 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 5$ | 35.2 | $33 \cdot 1$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | $32 \cdot 3$ | $32 \cdot 0$ | $32 \cdot 5$ | $30 \cdot 2$ | $28 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 5$ | 26.5 | 26. | 26.2 |
| Portugal | $32 \cdot 6$ | $33 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | 34-2 | 34.9 | $32 \cdot 3$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | 32.3 | $32 \cdot 8$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | $29 \cdot 8$ | 28.9 | $28 \cdot 4$ | 28.5 | $28 \cdot 2$ |
| Roumania | 38.2 | $37 \cdot 2$ | 36.4 | 36.7 | $35 \cdot 2$ | 34.8 | $34 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 7$ | $33 \cdot 0$ | $34 \cdot 6$ | $33 \cdot 3$ | $35 \cdot 9$ | $32 \cdot 0$ | $32 \cdot 4$ | $30 \cdot 7$ | $31 \cdot 5$ |
| Scotland | $25 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 5$ | $22 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $21 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | $19 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | 17.8 | $17 \cdot 9$ |
| Spain. | $30 \cdot 3$ | $30 \cdot 5$ | $30 \cdot 5$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | 29.4 | $30 \cdot 0$ | $28 \cdot 5$ | 29.7 | 28.9 | 29.0 | $27 \cdot 6$ | 28.4 | 27.8 | 26.2 | 25.7 |  |
| Sweden | 21.5 | 19.6 | $18 \cdot 9$ | $18 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 8$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 4$ | 14.8 | $14 \cdot 5$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 8$ |  |
| Switzerland............... | $20 \cdot 8$ 28.4 | $19 \cdot 7$ 27.5 |  | $18 \cdot 9$ <br> 26.3 | 18.5 26.5 | $18 \cdot 3$ 26.2 | $17 \cdot 5$ 26.0 | 17.4 | $17 \cdot 1$ $26-2$ | 17.2 26.4 | 16.7 <br> 25 <br> 1 | $16 \cdot 7$ 24.2 | 16.4 23 | 16.2 23.4 | $16 \cdot 0$ 24.2 | $15 \cdot 6$ $24 \cdot 4$ |
| Union of South Africa (White) <br> United States (Registration Area) | $28 \cdot 4$ $24 \cdot 2$ | $27 \cdot 5$ $22 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 7$ 22.2 | $26 \cdot 3$ $22 \cdot 4$ | 26.5 21.5 | 26.2 20.7 | $26 \cdot 0$ 20.6 | $25 \cdot 8$ 19.8 | $26-2$ 18.9 | 26.4 18.9 | $25 \cdot 4$ 18.0 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 6$ $16 \cdot 6$ | 23.4 17.1 | 24.2 16.9 | 24.4 16.6 |
| Uruguay. | 26.2 | $26 \cdot 0$ | 25.4 | $25 \cdot 8$ | 25.4 | 25.4 | 24.6 | $25 \cdot 0$ | 24-2 | 24.4 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | 19.9 |

1 Not available.
${ }^{2}$ Rates per 1,000 population.
Organization of Vital Statistics in Canada.-The purpose of the present monograph is to deal with the decline in the Canadian birth rate over the period 1921-36, taking advantage especially of the Censuses of 1921 and 1931 and, in the Prairie Provinces, the Censuses also of 1926 and 1936 to measure the effect of some of the factors which contributed to this falling birth rate. No attempt is made, however, to go further than the factors which can be measured quantitatively.

At the outset it may be explained that the National System of Vital Statistics in Canada, under which compilations are centrally made in the Dominion Bureau of Statistics from transcripts of birth, death and marriage certificates furnished by the Provincial Registration Offices,
was established in 1920 and detailed statistics were first compiled under this system for the year 1921. This is the reason why the year 1921 has been selected as the first year of the comparisons made in the report, although, in any case, the years 1920 and 1919 might be subject to the disadvantage that their birth rates reflect, to some extent at least; the accumulation of delayed marriages when the War ended. This objection may in some measure even apply to 1921 from the marriages of 1920 but it could hardly have existed in 1922.

The province of Quebec did not enter the National System until the beginning of the year 1926 and, although in Statement IX rates for the total of the nine provinces of Canada were presented, the Quebec figures for the years 1921-25 were obtained from the reports of the Provincial Bureau of Health of that province. In the remaining statements of the monograph we have confined ourselves to the results of the compilations made in the Bureau of Statistics in order that the figures might not be subject to the objection that they were drawn from more than one source and that these sources might not have attained equal completeness.

The question of completeness of registration must, of course, be considered in connection with any comparison of birth rates. The results of investigations into the completeness of birth registration in Canada appeared in Chapter I. For the present it is sufficient to say that the birth registration is complete enough throughout the period and throughout the various provinces to justify comparisons within reasonable limits. The completeness of registration was at least not worse, and probably was better, at the end of the period than at the beginning, so that the decline in the birth rates has not been exaggerated but has even to a slight extent been masked by the changes in completeness of registration.

## SUMMARY OF TREND IN BIRTHS, DEATHS AND NATURAL INCREASE IN CANADA

Live Births.-Statement X presents, by provinces, the number of live births over the period 1921-36. The full comparison in time is made only for the eight provinces for which figures for the whole period were compiled in the Bureau of Statistics, and for the total area comprised in these provinces which is termed "the Registration Area of 1921" and will hereafter be referred to as "the Registration Area." Figures for the province of Quebec and for the total of the nine provinces of Canada are given from 1926.
X.-NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS, CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotin | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Aren ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 1 | 2,156 | 13,021 | 11,465 | 1 | 74,152 | 18,478 | 22.493 | 16.561 |  |  |
| 1922 | 1 | 2,160 | 12,693 | 11,564 |  | 71,430 | 17,679 | 22,339 | 16, 103 | 10,166 | 108,979 |
| 1923 | 1 | 1,977 | 11,680 | 10,704 |  | 70,056 | 16, 472 | 20,947 | 15,060 | 10,001 | 156,897 |
| 1924 | 1 | 1,858 | 11,801 | 10,717 | 1 | 71,510 | 15,454 | 21,539 | 14,597 | 10,119 | 157,595 |
| 1925 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1,675 | 11,400 | 10,049 | ${ }^{1}$ | 70,122 | 14,867 | 20,582 | 14,924 | 10,342 | 154.861 |
| 1027 | 232,750 | 1,752 | 10,980 | 10,340 | 82,165 | 67,617 | 14, 661 | 20,716 | 14,456 | 10,063 | 150.585 |
| 1928. | 236,767 | 1,697 | 11, 134 | 10,479 | 83,064 | 67,671 | 14,147 | 21,015 | 14,897 | 10,084 | 151, 124 |
| 1929 | 235,415 | 1,670 | 10,688 | 10,047 | 83.621 | 68,510 | 14,504 | 21,261 | 15,692 | 10,385 | 153, 136 |
| 1930 | 243.495 | 1,749 | 11.346 | 10, 233 | 81,380 | 68,458 | 14,236 | 21,446 | 16,924 | 10,378 | 154,035 |
| 1931. | 240.473 | 1,879 | 11,615 | 10,834 10,801 | 83, 820 | 71,263 | 14,411 | 22,051 | 17,64.9 | 10,867 | 159.870 |
| 1932 | 235, 666 | 2,027 | 11,629 | 10,810 | 82,216 | 66.842 | -4,376 | 21,331 | 17,252 | 10.404 | 156.867 |
| 1933. | 222.868 | 1,946 | 11,164 | 10,037 | 76,920 | 63.646 | 13,304 | 20,814 | 16,990 | 10.214 | 153.450 |
| 1934. | 221,303 | 1,943 | 11,407 | 10,164 | 76, 432 | 62,234 | 13,310 | 19,764 | 16, 236 | 9,583 | 145.948 |
| 1935. | 221,451 | 2,010 | 11,617 | 10,388 | 75, 267 | 63,069 | 13,335 | 19,569 | 10,238 16,183 | 9,813 10,013 | 144.871 |
| 1936. | 220,371 | 1,977 | 11,808 | 10,513 | 75,285 | 62,451 | 12,855 | 19,125 | 15,786 | 10,571 | 146,184 145,086 |

iQuebec not in National System.
${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
For the eight provinces exclusive of Quebec the total number of live births in 1921 was 168,979 . The general trend up to 1926 was downward, the low being reached in that year with 150,585 births. From this point slight increases were shown year by year up to 1929 and a larger increase in 1930 brought the total to 159,870 births. From 1930 a second decline in the number set in, the low being reached in 1934 with 144,871 births. The year 1935 showed a slight increase but 1936 manifested a recession almost to the level of 1934 . It may, therefore, be said that for the three years 1934-36 a condition of stabilization had been reached. Though the returns for 1937 are not quite complete at the time of writing, the indications are for a further slight recession.

Among the individual provinces, there were, as might be expected, greater fluctuations in the annual number of births than for the total of the eight provinces but the trend in every case was downward over the period and in every province from Ontario west a decline was evident during the years following 1930 .

The province of Quebec showed 82,165 live births in 1926 , the first year for which its statistics were compiled under the National System and, with minor fluctuations taking place, the number for the year 1931 somewhat exceeded this, being 83,606 . The year 1932 showed a slight decline but in the following year the number was more than 5,000 less and this loss was not recovered in subsequent years. For 1936 Quebec registered about 7,000 fewer births than in 1926.

Provincial Birth Rates.-As the population of Canada and of each province was increasing during the period under review, with the exceptions of Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia, between the Censuses of 1921 and 1931, the declines in the rates per thousand population will, with these exceptions, be greater than the decline in the absolute figures for births. This is exemplified in Statement XI.

XI-CRUDE BIRTH RATES3, CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | Manitoba | Susk-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 1 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 9$ | 30.2 | 1 | $25 \cdot 3$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | 29.7 | 28.1 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 26.4 |
| 1922. | 1 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 3$ | $29 \cdot 7$ | 1 | $24 \cdot 0$ | 28.7 | $29 \cdot 0$ | 27.3 | $18 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 3$ |
| 1023. | 1 | 22.7 | $22 \cdot 5$ | 27.5 | 1 | $23 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | 26.9 | $25 \cdot 4$ | 18.0 | $23 \cdot 9$ |
| 1924 | 1 | 21.6 | $22 \cdot 9$ | 27.4 | 1 | $23 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 2$ | 24-5 | 17.7 | 23.7 23.0 |
| 1925. | 1 | 19.5 | $22 \cdot 1$ | 27.9 | 1 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $23 \cdot 5$ | $25 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | 0 |
| 1926. | $24 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | $21 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 1$ | 31.6 | 21.4 | $22 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 16.6 16.2 | 22.0 21.7 |
| 1927. | $24 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 5$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | 31.3 | 21.0 20.9 | 21.7 21.8 | 25.0 | $23 \cdot 5$ $23 \cdot 8$ | 16.2 16.2 | 21.7 21.5 |
| 1928. | $24 \cdot 1$ | 20.5 | 21.2 | ${ }_{25}^{25} \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 8$ 29.4 | $20 \cdot 9$ 20.5 | 21.8 21.0 | $\stackrel{24 \cdot 7}{24 \cdot 3}$ | 24.7 | $16 \cdot 2$ 15.7 | 21.5 21.3 |
| 1929. | $23 \cdot 5$ | 19.0 | $20 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 3$ 25.9 | $\stackrel{29 \cdot 4}{29}$ | $20 \cdot 5$ 21.0 | $21 \cdot 0$ 20.9 | $24 \cdot 3$ 24.4 | $24 \cdot 9$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | ${ }_{21}^{21.7}$ |
| 1930. | $23 \cdot 9$ | $19 \cdot 9$ | ${ }_{22}^{22 \cdot 6}$ | $25 \cdot 9$ 26.5 | $29 \cdot 6$ $29-1$ | 21.0 20.2 | $20 \cdot 9$ $20 \cdot 5$ | $\stackrel{24 \cdot 4}{23 \cdot 1}$ | $23 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 0$ | 21.7 20.9 |
| 1931. | $23 \cdot 2$ | $21 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 6$ 22.4 | 26.5 26.2 | $\stackrel{29 \cdot 1}{29}$ | $20 \cdot 2$ 19.2 | $20 \cdot 5$ 19.9 | $22 \cdot 1$ $22 \cdot 3$ | 23.0 | 14.5 | 20.2 |
| 1932. | $22 \cdot 5$ 20.9 | $22 \cdot 8$ | 21.4 | 23.9 | 25.9 | $17 \cdot 9$ | 18.7 | $21 \cdot 6$ | 21.6 | $13 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 0$ |
| 1934 | 20.5 | 21.8 | 21.7 | $23 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | 21.2 | 21.5 | $13 \cdot 5$ | $18 \cdot 6$ |
| 1935. | $20 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 6$ | 17.2 | $18 \cdot 8$ | $21 \cdot 0$ | 21.2 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $18 \cdot 6$ |
| 1936. | 20.0 | 21.5 | $22 \cdot 0$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 3$ | 16.9 | 18.1 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $14 \cdot 1$ | 18.3 |

1 Quebec not in National System.
${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
${ }^{3}$ Rates per 1,000 population.
For the Registration Area the rate was $26 \cdot 4$ in 1921 and from this level every year showed a decline down to 1929, though sometimes, as between 1927 and 1928 or between 1928 and 1929 , the lowering of the rate was very slight. The 1929 rate was $21 \cdot 3,5 \cdot 1$ per thousand below the initial rate of 1921 . The year 1930 showed an increase to 21.7 but from this point each succeeding year gave, a smaller rate until $18 \cdot 6$ was reached in 1934 . This rate was again maintained in 1935 but the year 1936 showed a further decline to $18 \cdot 3$, a loss of $8 \cdot 1$ per thousand as compared with 1921.

Considering the individual provinces, Prince Edward Island with the fluctuations which might be expected from so small a province, showed its highest rate, $24 \cdot 3$, in 1921 and its lowest, $\mathbf{1 9 \cdot 0}$, in 1929. The rate for 1936 was $21 \cdot 5$. There is reason to believe, however, that the registration of births in the last few years has been somewhat better in Prince Edward Island than around the period 1929-31 and the recovery indicated in the birth rate is to that extent doubtful.

In Nova Scotia, also, the decline in the rate over the period was small in comparison with that of the total of the eight provinces and the lowest rate, $20 \cdot 8$, was reached in 1929.

The province of New Brunswick, which in 1921 had the comparatively high rate of $30 \cdot 2$, reached its low of 23.9 in 1933 and 1934, the succeeding two years showing a slight improvement. The net loss over the period was $6 \cdot 0$.

Ontario, as might be expected of the largest province, closely corresponded in the direction of the movement of its rate with the total of the eight provinces. The net loss between 1921 and 1936 was, however, slightly greater, being 8.4 per thousand.

The birth rate of Manitoba showed a more startling decline than that of any other province during the post-War period. In 1921 the rate was $30 \cdot 3$-higher than that of any other province
in the Registration Area. Declines were shown year by year ranging from 0.6 per thousand to $2 \cdot 1$, until the low of 21.7 was reached in 1927 . The next year showed a very slight recovery to 21.8 , but at that point the downward trend recommenced and, although a condition of stability was reached in $1933-35$ with rates of $18 \cdot 7$ and $18 \cdot 8$, the year 1936 saw a further fall to $18 \cdot 1$. The net loss over the period was thus no less than $12 \cdot 2$ per thousand.

Saskatchewan at the beginning of the period had a rate slightly lower than Manitoba but by 1930 it was 3.5 per thousand higher. From this point, however, the unfavourable conditions which existcd in that province during the last few years of the period may be assumed to have produced an influence on the birth rate and by 1936 the net loss over the period was $9 \cdot 2$.

Alberta, which in 1921 had a rate lower than that of Saskatchewan, declined more rapidly in the early years of the period but reached a condition of stability and, to some extent, of recovery from 1927 to 1930. The secondary decline from that year eventually brought the rate to $20 \cdot 4$ in 1936, almost identical with that of Saskatchewan, giving a net loss of $7 \cdot 7$ over the period.

British Columbia had throughout the period the lowest rate of any province. Even in 1921 the rate was only $20 \cdot 3$ per thousand, and had fallen from this point to $15 \cdot 7$ in 1929 . In this province, also, the year 1930 showed a slight recovery succeeded by further declines until the rate stabilized around $13 \cdot 5$ and $13 \cdot 6$ in 1933-35 and advanced a little to $14 \cdot 1$ in 1936 .

The rate of the province of Quebec was $31 \cdot 6$ in 1926 when it entered the Registration Area. Declines were registered in every successive year with the exception of 1930 which showed a very slight increase over the preceding year; but all of these declines were slight with the exception of that between 1932 and 1933 when the rate fell from $28 \cdot 3$ to $25 \cdot 9$, a loss of $2 \cdot 4$. The final rate of Quebec in 1936 was $24 \cdot 3$ and the net loss was $7 \cdot 3$, greater in absolute magnitude and proportion than that of any other province in the Dominion during this period of ten years.

It is natural to associate the secondary decline, which was in evidence in Canada and most of the provinces from the year 1930, with the economic depression and to suppose that it was largely due to a falling off in the number of marriages. This relationship will be examined later but in the meantime attention may be called to the fact that when the number of marriages and the marriage rate, which reached their low in 1932 and 1933, showed a movement of recovery, this movement failed to reflect itself in any recovery in the birth rate of Canada as a whole.

Synchronization of Death and Birth Trends.-At this juncture it may be well to see the effect which the changing birth rate produced on the rate of natural increase in Canada. The death rates by provinces over the period 1921-36 are shown in Statement XII.

XII-DEATH RATES, ${ }^{3}$ CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1021. | 1 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 2$ | 1 | 11.8 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 6$ |
| 1922. | 1 | 12.5 | $12 \cdot 8$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 11.4 | $9 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | 10.6 |
| 1923. | 1 | $13 \cdot 2$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 9$ | 1 | 11.8 | $8 \cdot 6$ | 7.9 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 7$ |
| 1924. | 1 | $11 \cdot 1$ | 12.8 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 1 | $10 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 10.0 |
| 1925. | 1 | 11.6 | 11.7 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 1 | 10.9 | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | 9.9 |
| 1926. | 11.4 | $10 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 14.3 | $11 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| 1927. | $10 \cdot 9$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 9.9 |
| 1928. | 1.1-1 | $10 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 5$ | $11 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | 7.2 | $8 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 10.2 |
| 1929. | $11 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 9$ | $12 \cdot 9$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | 11.4 | $8 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 5$ |
| 1930. | 10.7 | 10.9 | $12 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 7$ | 11.0 | 8.3 | $7 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 5$ | 10.0 |
| 1931. | 10.1 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 11.6 | 11.4 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 4$ |
| 1932. | $9 \cdot 9$ | 11.8 | 11.9 | 11.0 | $11 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 4$ |
| 1933 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | 11.7 | $10 \cdot 7$ | 9.9 | 7.7 | $6 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 1$ |
| 1934. | $9 \cdot 4$ | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.0 | $10 \cdot 6$ | 9.7 | 7-3 | $6 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 1$ | 8-8 | $8 \cdot 9$ |
| 1935. | $9 \cdot 7$ | 11.0 | $11 \cdot 7$ | $11 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | 9.9 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | 9-3 |
| 1036. | 9-7 | $11 \cdot 1$ | $11 \cdot 0$ | $11 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | 9.5 |

[^4]Considering the Registration Area for which the rates derived from one source are available throughout the whole period, it will be observed that the death rates of 1921-23 stood at 10.6 and $10 \cdot 7$. From this level there was a decline continuing to the lowest rate of the period in

1934, 8-9 per thousand, each year between 1923 and 1934 showing a decline from the preceding with the exception of 1926,1928 and 1929 . All three exceptions may be assigned to influenza epidemics of unusual severity, the epidemic of $1928-29$, culminating in the early months of the latter year, being particularly noteworthy in this respect. The low and declining death rate through the worst period of the economic depression, as in the United States and other countries, was a phenomenon which attracted much attention. The extraordinarily low death rate of 1934, however, could hardly have been expected to be maintained and 1.935 and 1936 each in turn showed some advance.

Death rates which, on the whole, declined throughout the period were the rule in the individual provinces with the exception of Manitoba and British Columbia. In the former case no definite trend is seen and in the latter case the trend appears to be slightly upward, though with rather violent fluctuations. All provinces, however, from Ontario west showed lower rates in 1933 and 1934 than in 1935 and 1936.

The province of Quebec had a death rate of $14 \cdot 3$ per thousand in its first year under the National System of Vital Statistics. 'This rate was almost 2 per thousand above the next provincial rate in order of size, viz., that of New Brunswick, which was 12.6 per thousand in the same year. During the period 1926-36 Quebec failed in only one year, 1935, to register a lower rate than in the preceding year and the 1936 death rate, $10 \cdot 3$ per thousand, was actually lower than that of any of the Maritime Provinces and only slightly above that of Ontario. The reduction of infant and child mortality in the province of Quebec has undoubtedly had a very important effect on the general death rate.
, Trends in Natural Increase. - The rates of natural increase, which, of course, result from the difference between birth rates and death rates, are shown in Statement XIII.
XIII.-RATES ${ }^{3}$ OF NATURAL INCREASE, CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 1 | $10 \cdot 7$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 10.0 | 1 | $13 \cdot 5$ | 21.5 | $22 \cdot 3$ | 19.7 | $12 \cdot 3$ | 15.8 |
| 1022. | 1 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 16.4 | 1 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 19.4 | 21.0 | 18.4 | 9.7 | $14 \cdot 7$ |
| 1923 | 1 | $9 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | 1 | 11.5 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 9.0 | $13 \cdot 2$ |
| 1924. | 1 | 10.5 | $10 \cdot 1$ | 14.8 | 1 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 16.7 | 19.9 | 16.4 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $13 \cdot 7$ |
| 1925. | 1 | 7.9 | $10 \cdot 4$ | $15 \cdot 3$ | 1 | $11 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | 18.5 | 17.0 | 9.2 | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| 1926. | $13 \cdot 3$ | 9.8 | 8.9 | $13 \cdot 5$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | 17.8 | $15 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | 11.7 |
| 1927. | 13.4 | $9 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 14.0 | 17.7 | $10 \cdot 2$ | $13 \cdot 5$ | $17 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 5$ | 7.0 | 11.8 |
| 1928. | $13 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 7$ | 17.3 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $17 \cdot 5$ | $15 \cdot 1$ | 7.0 | 11.3 |
| 1929. | $12 \cdot 2$ | 6.2 | 7.9 | $12 \cdot 4$ | 16.0 | $9 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | 6.0 | $10 \cdot 8$ |
| 1930.. | $13 \cdot 2$ | 9.0 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | 16.9 | 10.0 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 17.4 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 6.6 | 11.7 |
| 1931. | $13 \cdot 1$ | 10.9 | 11.0 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 17.1 | 9.8 | 12.9 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 6.2 | 11.5 |
| 1932 | $12 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | 16.9 | 8.7 | 12.4 | $15 \cdot 8$ | 15.5 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $10 \cdot 8$ |
| 1933 | $11 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | 9.8 | $12 \cdot 2$ | 15.2 | 8.0 | 11.0 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 14.5 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 9$ |
| 1934... | 11.1 | $10 \cdot 2$ | 10.2 | $12 \cdot 9$ | 14.7 | $7 \cdot 4$ | 11.4 | 14.8 | 14.4 | $4 \cdot 7$. | $9 \cdot 7$ |
| 1935... | $10 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | 13.9 | $7 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | 14.4 | $13 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 3$ |
| 1936...... | $10 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | 11.0 | $13 \cdot 2$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | 13.7 | 12.4 | $4 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 8$ |

[^5]Considering the Registration Area, it is seen that, in spite of the generally declining death rates, the rate of natural increase, which was 15.8 in 1921 and 14.7 in 1922, showed in nearly every year a decline from the preceding year, the only exceptions following "influenza" years, 1923, 1926 and 1929. As a result of this almost uninterrupted decline the rate had fallen to $8 \cdot 8$ per thousand in 1936.

With the exception of the Maritime Provinces, which showed, in general, a downward and then an upward movement throughout the period, all provinces of the Registration Area underwent heavy declines in the rate of natural increase. The outstanding instance is that of Manitoba, which from a rate of 21.5 in 1921 and 19.4 in 1922 fell very rapidly to 13.5 in 1927 and from this point moved slowly and with more fluctuation until it reached a low of 9.4 in 1936 . As against this province, which showed the largest decline in the rate, it may be noted that British Columbia showed the largest percentage decline, though the considerable difference between the 1921 rate of $12 \cdot 3$ and the 1922 rate of 9.7 shows that the fall would be much less if the rate were smoothed for trend.

The province of Quebec showed a rather substantial decline in the rate of natural increase which was more than 17 per thousand in the years 1926-28 and again in 1931 but which reached a low of $13 \cdot 9$ in 1935 with a very slight recovery to $14 \cdot 0$ in the next year. Among the provinces of Canada, in some years Saskatchewan's natural increase was greater than Quebee's and in the remaining years was always second to it; the Saskatchewan natural increase, however, resulted from both birth and death rates considerably lower than those of Quebec.

## SPEGIFIC FERTILITY RATES

Specific Fertility Rates of All Women 15-49 Years of Age for Census and Adjacent Years.-The heavy decline in the rate of natural increase of the eight provinces forming the Registration Area during the period 1921-36 renders it important to examine in detail the factors which produced the decline in the birth rate from which this lowered rate of natural increase sprang, so far as these factors can be measured quantitatively.

Statement XIV presents the specific fertility rates of women of all conjugal conditions in the Registration Area for the census years 1921 and 1931 and for the years adjacent to these with the exception of 1920 for which data are lacking, as the first detailed tabulations of vital statistics, centrally compiled, were for the year 1921. These rates give the number of children born to mothers in a specified age group per 1,000 women in that age group.
XIV.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATEŚ OF WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE (ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS), BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1922 AND 1930-1932

| Year | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Registration Area² } \\ 1921 \ldots . . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ | 37.9 | $165 \cdot 1$ | 186.7 | $155 \cdot 3$ | 109.9 | $46 \cdot 6$ | 0.5 |
| 1022. | $37 \cdot 1$ | $154 \cdot 9$ | 179.2 | $149 \cdot 7$ | 106.4 | 46.7 | 5.4 |
| 1030. | $33 \cdot 6$ | 140.7 | $163 \cdot 1$ | 131.8 | 89.4 | $37 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 0$ |
| 1931. | $33 \cdot 6$ | $137 \cdot 1$ | $158 \cdot 9$ | $125 \cdot 7$ | $85 \cdot 0$ | $34 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 0$ |
| 1932. | $32 \cdot 4$ | $132 \cdot 0$ | 154.9 | $120 \cdot 1$ | $81 \cdot \theta$ | 34-6 | $4 \cdot 1$ |

${ }_{2}$ Rates per 1,000 women of age specified.
${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, cxelusive of Quebec.
It may be noted that the rates for 1922 have been computed on the assumption that the officially estimated population of that year was, as regards sex and age composition, exactly proportionate to the Census population of 1921 . For the years 1930 and 1932 a similar assumption was made in relation to the Census of 1931.

Such an assumption evidently involves some degree of error and is not in accordance with the observed fact that the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population showed a slight change between the two censuses or that the relative proportions of five-year . age groups among these women also showed some change. It did not, however, appear necessary to make corrections for these facts in the case of years immediately adjacent to the census year.

It will be observed from Statement XIV that in each of the five-year age groups, with the exception of the group 40-44 years, the rate for 1922 is somewhat lower than that for 1921; that in every case the rates of 1930,1931 and 1932 are definitely lower than those of 1921 and 1922, and that among the years 1930,1931 and 1932 the rates also showed some decline in almost every case. The exceptions are in the 15-19 group between 1930 and 1931, in the $40-44$ group between 1931 and 1932 and in the 45-49 group between 1930 and 1931 and, also, between 1931 and 1932. The only advance is in the last case when 1932 shows a rate of $4 \cdot 1$ as against $4 \cdot 0$ for 1931 .

Thus, it appears that the ten-year period was one of decline in the fertility of women at the different age groups, most of these age groups showing considerable decline. Further, this secular trend was reflected over the single year periods, 1921-22 and 1930-31-32.

Specific Fertility Rates of All Women for the Average of 1921-1922 and of 1931-1932. -Statement XV contains specific fertility rates for women of all conjugal conditions averaged for the two years 1921-22 and also for the two years, 1931-32. In computing these rates the assumption has again been made that the estimated population of 1922 and of 1932 were divided, by sex and age, in the same proportions as for the Census years 1921 and 1931.
XV.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES2 OF WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE (ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS), BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1921-1922 AND OF 1931-1932


[^6]It will be noted that two factors which would not normally affect the trend may to some extent reflect in the rates for 1921-22 as against those of 1931-32. The absence of a large number
of single men of marriageable age during the Great War and particularly during its latter part caused a very noticeable decline in the number of marriages, culminating in the year 1918 and the early part of 1919 . There followed, of course, in the latter part of 1919, an accumulation of delayed marriages which to some extent proceeded into the latter part of 1920. It will be shown later that, so far as the conjugal condition of the women of child-bearing ages was concerned, this accumulation of delayed marriages fully made up for the marriages which were prevented by war conditions so that at the Census of 1921 the conjugal condition of the women of Canada, i.e., of the eight provinces composing the Registration Area, presented a more favourable condition for high fertility than was true in 1911 or 1931 and probably more favourable than in either 1901 or 1891 . The question will naturally arise, however, whether the fertility rates of 1921 were still affected by this accumulation of marriages after the end of the War. Probably they were, but by averaging 1921 with the year 1922 it is thought that this effect is reduced to comparatively small proportions.

Neither can it be ignored that the years 1931 and 1932 -coming during the recent economic depression and after the decline in marriages which set in in 1930 had already had time to produce some effect on the births-will, in comparison with 1921-22, represent not only the effect of a general secular trend but also the effect of fluctuation downward due to this depression.

Keeping these facts in mind, we may proceed to compare specific fertility rates for the Registration Area and the cight provinces which it comprises.

In the total of the eight provinces every age group shows a definite decline, even that of the 15-19 group being in the neighbourhood of 11 p.c. Attention is attracted to this group because its behaviour is sometimes contrary to that of the other groups when a general decline in fertility takes place. In the first place, the births to unmarried mothers play a larger part in the fertility of this group than in any other and, secondly,-what is another aspect of the same idea-even when marriage takes place it is more apt than at a later age to be ad causam and, consequently, cannot be regarded as reflecting a national or sectional tendency. Attention is called to these facts in order to explain why in some of the provinces the movement in this group is in an opposite direction to that of all other or most other groups.

Coming to the individual provinces, the only exceptions to declines throughout were in Prince Edward Island in the age groups 15-19 years and 20-24 years and in Nova Scotia in the same groups and also in the $45-49$ group which gave the same rate in both periods. The decline in New Brunswick and Ontario in the 15-19 group was too slight to have significance. Outside of these cases the deelines in specific fertility rates were, in general, rather considerable.

In the Registration Area as a whole the $45-49$ group showed the greatest percentage decline between 1921-22 and 1931-32, the percentage decline being 32. In the 40-44 group we have a decline of 26 p.c.; in the $35-39$ group, 23 p.c.; in the $30-34$ group, $19 \cdot 5$ p.c.; in the $25-29$ group, 14 p.e.; in the $20-24$ group, 16 p.c.; and in the $15-19$ group, 12 p.c. Thus the extent of the decline lessens with comparative regularity from 32 p.c. in the oldest age group to 12 p.c. in the youngest, with the exception that while the $20-24$ group showed a decline of 16 p.c. the $25-29$ group declined by only 14 p.c.

This trend from age group to age group may possibly be another aspect of a phenomenon to be mentioned later in connection with Order of Births and discussed also in a monograph, The Canadian Family, wiz., a tendency to have smaller families rather than no families. Obviously, if this is the real tendency, the age group fertility rates would behave in this way.

In the individual provinces also and particularly in the groups over 25 years, the general tendency was towards heavier percentage declines in the older groups. There were, however, certain irregularities in regard to this rule. The decline in the rate for the youngest age group, 15-19, which took place in only six of the eight provinces was rather insignificant in Ontario, slight in New Brunswick and moderate in British Columbia. In all of these provinces the decline in the rate of the age group 20-24 years was much more marked. But in the three Prairie Provinces, while both the $15-19$ and $20-24$ groups showed very substantial declines, in each instance they were greater in the younger group.
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It has already been mentioned that comparison of the years 1921-22 with the years 1931-32 has certain drawbacks as a measurement of the secular trend during the decade of which these two-year periods formed the beginning and the end. Crude rates have already been presented over the whole period 1921-36 and have been given a brief examination but these rates suffer from the fact that they are affected not only by the trend in fertility, but also by changes in the sex and age composition of the population. Such changes are occurring to a noticeable degree in Canada and a number of the provinces.

## BIRTH RATES STANDARDIZED FOR AGE

In order to give a summary view of the changing tendencies in fertility over the period 192136 which is largely free from the influence of changes in sex and age composition and at the same time has the advantage over the fertility rates of Statement XV that it is not confined to particular pairs of years each of which may have been subject to influences of a temporary nature, standardized birth rates have been computed and are presented in Statement XVI. For the Registration Area and the eight provinces which compose it, these rates are given for the whole period 1921-36; for Quebec and the total of the nine provinces they are given for the period 1926-36. The standard population on which these standardized rates are based is the population of all Canada as at the Census of 1931.

Method of Standardization.-To illustrate briefly the method of their computation, let us consider first the Registration Area. For the years 1921, 1922, 1930, 1931 and 1932, the rates were computed direct from the specific fertility rates of Statement XIV, i.e., the specific rates were applied to the corresponding female age groups of the population of Canada in 1931, the resultant numbers of computed births in the various age groups were added and the total births thus computed at all ages between 15 and 50 years were divided by the total population of Canada to obtain a rate. Standardized rates for the years intervening between 1922 and 1930 were computed on the assumption that the proportion of the standardized to crude rate was moving in an arithmetical progression between the average of 1921-22 and the average of 1930-31, a distance of nine years. Rates for the years following 1932 were computed on the assumption that this proportion of standardized to crude rate continued to move in the same arithmetical progression. This assumption cannot, of course, be regarded as necessarily true but it seems as good as can be made in the absence of more frequent enumerations of the population by age and sex and tends to indicate in a rough manner at least the extent to which the changes in the crude rate are influenced by the change in sex and age composition of the population.

Specific fertility rates similar to those of Statement VI, though not published in this monograph, are available for the individual provinces of Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Ontario and British Columbia and the computations for these provinces were made in the same manner as for the Registration Area. For the Prairic Provinces the Censuses of 1926 and 1936 were also used, not merely for these years but for the direct computation of rates in the adjacent years.

The specific fertility rates of 1921 and 1922 were not available for Quebec nor for the total of the nine provinces. To obtain standardized rates for these units commencing with 1926, specific fertility rates of $1930-32$ were applied to the corresponding female populations of the Census of 1921 and the Census of 1931 and in each case a rate was thus obtained on the total population. The proportion of the standardized birth rate to the crude for the year 1931 was then obtained by direct computation. From this data it was possible to compute the proportion of standardized rate to crude in the year 1921 on the assumption that this proportion would be wholly dependent on the sex and age composition of the population.

It will be observed from the above that the detailed computations of the standardized rates show some variation as between the different units but that the same principle is followed in every case. As already stated, it can only be claimed that the assumption we are making is as good as any that can be made according to the information available. For the very reason of the degree of uncertainty about the assumption made, it was not considered worth while to smooth out the minor roughnesses in the methods which have been indicated above.
XVI.-STANDARDIZED BIRTH RATES,4 CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mani- } \\ & \text { toba } \end{aligned}$ | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Area ${ }^{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 1 | 26.8 | 26.0 | $31 \cdot 6$ | 1 | $23 \cdot 6$ | 29.5 | 31.6 | ${ }^{2}$ | 20.5 | $25 \cdot 9$ |
| 1922. | 1 | 26.8 | $25 \cdot 5$ | 31.2 | 1 | 22.4 | 27.9 | 30.9 | $28 \cdot 3$ | 18.9 | $24 \cdot 8$ |
| 1023. | 1 | $25 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 29.0 | 1 | 21.8 | $26 \cdot 2$ | $29 \cdot 1$ | $26 \cdot 7$ | $18 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 6$ |
| 1924. | 1 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 3$ | $29 \cdot 0$ | 1 | $22 \cdot 0$ | $24 \cdot 5$. | $29 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 5$ |
| 1925. | 1 | $22 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 6$ | $\cdots 9 \cdot 6$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $21 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 5$ | 28.4 | 26.9 | $18 \cdot 2$ | 22.9 |
| 1020. | $24 \cdot 5$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 9$ | 27.9 | $31 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | 22.9. | $28 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 0$ |
| 1927. | $24 \cdot 2$ | 22.4 | $23 \cdot 3$ | 28.3 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | 21.8 | $27 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 6$ | 16.9 | 21.7 |
| 1928. | $24 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 0$ | $30 \cdot 2$ | 20.0 | 21.8 | $27 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | 21.7 |
| 1929. | $23 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $27 \cdot 4$ | $28 \cdot 7$ | 19.7 | 21.0 | 26.8 | $26 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | 21.5 |
| 1930. | $23 \cdot 8$ | 23.5 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $28 \cdot 3$ | 28.9 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 7$ | $26 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 0$ |
| 1931. | $23 \cdot 2$ | 25.2 | 24.9 | $28 \cdot 8$ | 28.9 | $19 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $21 \cdot 3$ |
| 1932. | $22 \cdot 5$ | 27.2 | $24 \cdot 6$ | $28 \cdot 5$ | 27.4 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 24.4. | $24 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| 1933. | $20 \cdot 9$ | $26 \cdot 2$ | 23-7. | $26 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 1$ | 17.4 | $18 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | 14.6 | $19 \cdot 4$ |
| 1934. | $20 \cdot 6$ | 26.4 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | 24.4 | $16 \cdot 7$ | 17.9 | $22 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | 14.7 | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| 1935. | 20.4 | 27.4 | $24 \cdot 7$ | 26.8 | $23 \cdot 6$ | 16.8 | $17 \cdot 6$ | 21.7 | $21 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 9$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| 1933. | $20 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | 24.8 | 26.8 | $23 \cdot 3$ | 16.6 | 16.9 | 21.3 | 20.0 | $15 \cdot 5$ | $18 \cdot 9$ |

Comparison of Standardized with Crude Rates.-For the Registration Area the standardization of rates reduced the difference between the first year, 1921, and the last year, 1936, from $8 \cdot 1$ per thousand to 7.0 per thousand, not a very large difference but indicating that the composition of the population as at the Census of 1931 was less favourable to a high birth rate than that of the census taken ten years earlier. This was true in every one of the eight provinces for which we were dependent on these two censuses alone. In Prince Edward Island the difference between 1921 and 1936 in the crude rates was $2 \cdot 8$; in the standardized, $0 \cdot 5$. In Nova Scotia crude rates showed a difference of 2.9; standardized rates, $1 \cdot 2$; in New Brunswick the difference was 6.0 in the crude rate and 4.8 in the standardized. Ontario showed a decline of 8.4 in the crude rate and of 7.0 in the standardized. British Coltrmbia, 6.2 in the crude and $5 \cdot 0$ in the standardized.

For the Prairie Provinces, as already indicated, we have the advantage of four censuses, pertaining to the years 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936. The comparison of the differences between the crude rates of census years with the differences between the standardized rates of the same years brings out some rather peculiar facts. The Prairie Provinces enjoyed a comparatively large immigration for some years, the numbers increasing gradually to 1.929 and declining sharply thereafter. This is illustrated in Statement XVII.
XVII.-TOTAL IMMIGRANT ARRIVALS DESTINED TO PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1021 AND 1923-1037

| Destination | Fiscal Year Ended March 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1923 | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1033 | 1034 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 |
| Manitoba..... | 12,649 | 6,037 | 21,451 | 11,772 | 19,079 | 36,739 | 43,596 | 57,651 | 39,132 | 17,524 | 1,022 | 707 | 553 | 414 | 682 | 1,007 |
| Saskatchewan. | 13,392 | 8.186 | 13,200 | 14.041 | 13,816 | 20,085 | 15,331 | 14,789 | 11.003 | 5,057 | 1,177 | 955 | 690 | 493 | 414 | -525 |
| Alberta. | 17,781 | 8,708 | 10,430 | 10,952 | 12,540 | 16,367 | 15,473 | 16,243 | 14,970 | 6,441 | 2,041 | 1,635 | 1,254 | 1,012 | 768 | 923 |

It would naturally be expected that, as an immigrant population is, to a large extent, in the early adult ages, the falling off of immigration in its proportion to the total population and the ageing of the earlier immigrants would produce a population less favourable to a heavy birth rate. But an examination of the figures does not indicate a development of the age composition as constantly growing more unfavourable to a heavy birth rate. The comparison of 1921 with 1926, it is true, shows what might be expected. In Manitoba the crude rate declined by 7.4 per thousand, the standardized by only $6 \cdot 6$; in Saskatchewan the crude by 4.5 , the standardized by 3.5 ; in Alberta the crude by $3 \cdot 5^{*}$, the standardized by $2 \cdot 5$. In each case the smaller decline of the standardized rate indicates that part of the drop in the crude rate was due to an age composition which was less favourable in the later year. But, if we compare 1926 with 1931 we find in Manitoba a fall of 2.4 in the crude and 2.5 in the standardized; in Saskatchewan a fall of $2 \cdot 1$ in the crude and 2.8 in the standardized; in Alberta a fall of 0.2 in the crude and 1.0 in the standar-

[^7]dized. Again, as between 1931 and 1936 Manitoba shows a fall of $2 \cdot 4$ in the crude and $3 \cdot 5$ in the standardized; Saskatchewan a fall of $2 \cdot 6$ in the crude and $4 \cdot 0$ in the standardized; Alberta a fall of 3.2 in the crude and 4.2 in the standardized. Thus, it is evidenced that while between
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1921 and 1926 the population of each of the Prairie Provinces was becoming less favourably constituted for a high birth rate, a development in the opposite direction took place between 1926 and 1931 and between 1931 and 1936.


Chart 2-Con.

## TRENDS IN FERTILITY AS AFFEGTED BY CONJUGAL CONDITION

Specific Fertility Rates of Married Women for Census and Adjacent Years.-So far our analysis has considered only the age composition of the female population and the specific fertility rates and standardized birth rates based on this distribution. It is evident, however, that the conjugal condition of the female population is an important factor in the birth rate and it is necessary to consider to what extent the decline has been due to changes in this respect and to what extent fertility within marriage has lessened. Statement XVIII gives the specific fertility rates of married women in the Registration Area for the census years and years adjacent to the censuses. For 1922, 1930 and 1932 these rates have been computed on the assumption that not only the age composition of females but the composition by conjugal condition in each age group was similar to that of the adjacent census years.
XVIII.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES: OF MARRIED WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1922 AND 1930-1032

| Year | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921.............. | $461 \cdot 0$ | 363.0 | $260 \cdot 7$ | 190.4 | $130 \cdot 9$ | 55.9 | 8.0 |
| 1922. | $446 \cdot 2$ | $340 \cdot 5$ | $250 \cdot 2$ | 183.5 | $126 \cdot 6$ | $56 \cdot 1$ | 6.7 |
| 1930. | $486 \cdot 7$ | $339 \cdot 8$ | 231.4 | $160 \cdot 4$ | $105 \cdot 1$ | $44 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 8$ |
| 1931. | $477 \cdot 4$ | $330 \cdot 3$ | $225 \cdot 0$ | $153 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $40 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 8$ |
| 1932. | $463 \cdot 2$ | . $316 \cdot 8$ | 218.8 | 146.0 | 96.4 | $40 \cdot 7$ | 5.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 married women of age specified.
${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
It will be noted in the first place that between 1921 and 1922 the fertility of each group under 40 years of age showed a measurable decline varying from $3 \cdot 3$ p.c. at ages $35-39$ to $6 \cdot 2$ p.c. at ages 20-24. The group 40-44 years showed a very slight increase and the group $45-49$ years the heaviest decline of all, 16 p.c. Of course, the number of births in the age group $45-49$ years is comparatively small, being only 843 in 1921 and 789 in 1922.

The decline in fertility in all the younger groups between 1921 and 1922 is probably in part due to the secular trend of which the figures a decade later give evidence but it is probably also due in part to a somewhat augmented fertility in 1921 owing to the accumulation of marriages in the immediate post-War period.

Comparing 1930 with 1922, we have, in every age group over 25 years, a marked decline ranging from $7 \cdot 5$ p.c. at $25-29$ years to 28 p.c. in the oldest group, $45-49$ years. The age group 20-24 years showed practically no decline in fertility and in the group 15-19 years there was an increase of 9 p.c.

A comparison of the fertility rates of married women in the three years 1930,1931 and 1932 is of particular interest. The lowering of the birth rate from 21.7 in 1930 to $20 \cdot 2$ in 1932, a movement not so notable by reason of its extent as because it marked a departure from the stability of the period 1927-30, may with some reason be attributed largely to the economic depression. The question naturally arises whether the effect of the depression was manifested solely in the reduction of marriages or whether it acted also through a lessening of the fertility within marriage. The figures of Statement XVIII show that in nearly every instance the specific fertility rates of married women were less in 1931 than in 1930 and less in 1932 than in 1931. The sole exception comes in the oldest age group, 45-49 years, the fertility of which in 1930 had shown the greatest decline from 1921 and 1922.

Specific Fertility Rates of Married Women for the Average of 1921-1922 and of 1931-1932.-Keeping in mind what has been shown in Statement XVIII regarding the specific fertility rates for the individual years 1921, 1922, 1930, 1931 and 1932, we may now consider the figures of Statement XIX which presents specific fertility rates for the. Registration Area and for sach province contained in it averaged for the years 1921-22 and 1931-32.
XIX.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES2 OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1921-1922 AND OF 1931-1932

| Province and Year | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| Registration Area ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averago 1921-22.. | $453 \cdot 8$470.3 | $351 \cdot 9$323 | $255 \cdot 5$ 221.9 | 187.0 149.5 | ${ }^{128.8}$ | 56.0 40.7 | 7.44.9 |
| A verage 1931-32... |  |  | 221.9 | 149.5 | 98.2 | 40.7 |  |
| Prince Edward Island- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average 1921-22.. | 487.5 495.1 | $\stackrel{423 \cdot 2}{399 \cdot 4}$ | $317 \cdot 6$ $290 \cdot 6$ | ${ }_{231.5}^{252.5}$ | $182 \cdot 2$ $154 \cdot 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.5 \\ & 66.6 \end{aligned}$ | 9.7 5.9 |
| Nova Scotia- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1921-22. | $494 \cdot 3$$568 \cdot 6$ | 373.0$377 \cdot 1$ | $\stackrel{272 \cdot 9}{254 \cdot 0}$ | $200 \cdot 1$$177 \cdot 2$ | $144 \cdot 9$126.9 | 66.257.5 | 7.27.1 |
| Average 1931-32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1021-22 | $495 \cdot 4$ $543 \cdot 0$ | $407 \cdot 5$ $402 \cdot 4$ | $324 \cdot 2$ $299 \cdot 6$ | $249 \cdot 1$ 219 | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \cdot 6 \\ & 158 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \cdot 1 \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $10 \cdot 6$ 9.8 |
| Ontario- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1921-22. | $\begin{aligned} & 493.1 \\ & 493 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $353 \cdot 5$314.5 | ${ }_{209}^{251 \cdot 3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \cdot 3 \\ & 139 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ | $119 \cdot 5$$88 \cdot 2$ | $48 \cdot 3$34.0 | $5 \cdot 6$3.7 |
| Average 1931-32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manitoba- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1921-22. | $449 \cdot 2$419.9 | ${ }_{328 .}^{372.5}$ | ${ }_{223 \cdot 4}^{275}$ | $199 \cdot 2$153 | 147.3 <br> 98.7 | 66.441.9 | 10.65.9 |
| Average 1931-32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saskntchewan- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1921-22, | $\begin{aligned} & 402 \cdot 3 \\ & 422 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $348 \cdot 1$328.7 | $256 \cdot 8$239 | 198.4194.1 | 146.8 <br> -117.2 | 71.953.4 | 11.97.3 |
| A verage 1931-32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19224. | $402 \cdot 8$412.3 | ${ }_{310}^{320 \cdot 3}$ | ${ }_{230 \cdot 2}^{236}$ | $180 \cdot 7$ <br> 157 | ${ }_{102.5}^{12.5}$ | $62 \cdot 2$45.2 | 11.06.3 |
| 1932. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British ColumbiaA verage 1921-22. A verage 1931-32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 339 \cdot 5 \\ & 393 \cdot 7 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 283 \cdot 0 \\ & 265 \cdot 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \cdot 9 \\ & 175 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1141 \cdot 3$ | 89.4 | ${ }^{35.5}$ | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  | $110 \cdot 1$ | $63 \cdot 5$ | 23.7 | 2.6 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote to Statement XV, page 42.
2 Rates per 1,000 married women of age specified.
${ }^{3}$ Eight provinces, oxclusive of Quebec.
In the youngest age group, 15-19 years, every province except Manitoba showed a higher rate in 1931-32, though the difference in Ontario was insignificant and in Prince Edward Island and Alberta very slight. In all other age groups, with the exception of ages 20-24 in Nova Scotia, declines were registered in the later year, varying from a very slight and rather insignificant percentage loss in Alberta in the $25-29$ group to a falling off of 44 p.c. in Manitoba in the oldest age group, 45-49 years.

For the Registration Area, the decline increased with increasing age, from 8 p.c. at ages $20-24$ to 34 p.c. at ages $45-49$. This was also the general tendency throughout the individual provinces, though with some exceptions.
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The effect of the different rates of decline in the various age groups for the total of the eight provinces may be seen in an altered relationship between the relative fertility of these groups. Taking the fertility in the age group 20-24 years as 100, the relative fertility of the other groups in 1921-22 and in 1931-32 is shown in the following comparison:-
XX.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES 2 OF MARRIED WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP,

EXPRESSED AS PERCENTAGES OF THE RATE OF THE 20-24 YEAR GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1921-1922 AND OF 1931-1932

${ }^{1}$ See footnote to Statement XV, page 42.
${ }^{2}$ Rates per 1,000 married women of age specified.
${ }^{3}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.

The age group 20-24 years was chosen as the base for this index of relative fertility for the reason that, as already stated, the fertility within marriage of women $15-19$ years of age has a somewhat doubtful interpretation. In general, it tends to be lower when marriage at these ages is of comparatively normal occurrence.

It may, therefore, briefly be stated that the differential decline in the fertility of married women at the different ages resulted in a greater superiority of the fertility in the younger age groups in 1931-32 than in 1921-22 (see Chart 4 below). This recalls an observation made on page 43 in regard to an apparent tendency to have small families rather than no families.


## Chart 4

Fertility of Unmarried Women.-The fertility of unmarried women has comparatively small effect on the birth rate in Canada. The ratio of illegitimate births to all live births in the eight provinces composing the Registration Area was 1.97 p.c. in $1921,2 \cdot 70$ p.c. in 1926, $3 \cdot 77$ p.c. in 1931 and 4.25 p.c. in 1936. This ascending proportion is also noticeable in the province of Quebec over the period commencing with 1926 and in the total of the nine provinces for the same period.
XXI.-PERCENTAGE ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS FORM OF TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS, CANADA, PROVINCES AND THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edwurd Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia | Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 1 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | 1 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | 1.97 |
| 1922. | 1 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 1.9 | 1 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 05$ |
| 1923. | 1 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | 1 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 17$ |
| 1924. | 1 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 1 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 36$ |
| 1925. | 1 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | J | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 1.7 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 62$ |
| 1926. | $2 \cdot 63$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| 1927. | - 2.87 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 91$ |
| 1928. | - $3 \cdot 07$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 17$ |
| 1029. | $3 \cdot 19$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 35$ |
| 1930. | $3 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 47$ |
| 1031. | $3 \cdot 48$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 3.0 | $3 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 77$ |
| 1932. | $3 \cdot 59$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | 3.93 |
| 1933. | $3 \cdot 78$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 4-11 |
| 1934. | $3 \cdot 65$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 96$ |
| 1935. | $3 \cdot 77$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 99$ |
| 1936. | $3 \cdot 92$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 25$ |

${ }^{1}$ Quebec not in National System. ${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
In the matter of illegitimate births it is probable that the increase is not wholly true but is in part attributable to better registration of these births. It is not merely a question of ensuring that the birth is registered but also the checking on false registration as legitimate. It is known that efforts in this direction have produced some results, though their extent is not measurable. Nevertheless, it would appear that there has also been a steady increase in the proportion of births to unmarried women as compared with all live births. In part, again, this increase may be attributed to the decline in the legitimate birth rate.

The illegitimate birth rate computed as for Statement XXI has importance as indicating what proportion of the generation which is being produced will suffer from the disadvantages
attending on illegitimacy, disadvantages which, however, have been lessened by statutory provisions in every province for the support of such children by the mother and the putative father.

We may, however, compute a rate of births to unmarried mothers in the same manner as the specific fertility rates which have already been presented for married women. Such rates for unmarried women are given in Statement XXII for the Registration Area and for each province contained in it. The rates are for the avcrage of 1921-22 and of 1931-32.

XXII--SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES ${ }^{3}$ OF UNMARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1021-1922 AND OF 1931-1932

| Province and Year | Ago of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| Registration Area ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average 1921-22..... | $4 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | 6.0 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 3$ |  |
| - Average 1931-32 | $6 \cdot 4$ | 11.8 | $10 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | 2.4 | $0 \cdot 3$ |
| Prince Edward Island- |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 3$ |
| A verage 1921-22....... | $4 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | 1 | - | - |  |
| A verage 1931-32. | $7 \cdot 4$ | 11.7 | 12.7 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nova Scotia- |  |  | 12 |  |  | - | - |
| A verage 1921-22 | $6 \cdot 9$ | 12.5 | 8.0 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | , 1 | 1 |
| Average 1931-32........................... | 10.5 | $17 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 7.9 | 1 | - |
| New Brunswick- . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage 1921-22. | $4 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Average 1931-32. | $7 \cdot 7$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1 |
| Ontario- Average 1921-22. | $4 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | 0.8 |  |
| A verage 1931-32. | $7 \cdot 2$ | 11.3 | $9 \cdot 2$ | 6.7 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 2.8 |  |
| Manitoba- |  | $1 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 1 |
| A verage 1921-22. | $5 \cdot 7$ | $11 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 1 |
| Average 1931-32. | $5 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | 1 | 1 |
| Saskatchewan- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average 1921-22. | $4 \cdot 0$ | 6.4 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | 1 | - |
| Average 1931-32........................... | $4 \cdot 7$ | 12.5 | 12.5 | 11.7 | $11 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | 1 |
| Alberta- | $5 \cdot 4$ | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932.... | $5 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 4$ | 9.3 15.6 | 9.2 10.7 |  | 1 |  |
| British Columbia- |  |  |  | 10.7 | 12.0 | 1 | - |
| Average 1921-22.. | $3 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $\cdot 1$ | 1 |  |
| Average 1931-32........................... . . | $3 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | 1 | 1 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Absolute figure less than 20. <br> ${ }^{2}$ See footnote to Statement XV, page 42. |  | ${ }^{5}$ Rate <br> ${ }^{4}$ Eigh | er 1,000 rovinces, | married clusive | men of Quebec. | specifie |  |

It will be observed that whereas the specific fertility rates for married women were highest for ages 15-19, these for unmarried women were generally highest for ages 20-24.

Considering the Registration Area every age group shows a pronounced advance in the rate for 1931-32 over that of 1921-22. The greatest increase was in the $40-44$ group; absolute figures are small, the aggregate of 1921-22 being 76 births and of 1931-32, 171 births. The increase next in magnitude was in the 25-29 group where the rate for 1931-32 was 70 p.c. more than in 1921-22. Rates for age group 20-24 years and for those between 30 and 40 years increased between 40 and 50 p.c. and the increase in the youngest age group of all was but slightly over 30 p.c.

Every province except Manitoba showed increased rates in almost all age groups. Manitoba, however, showed a definite decline in the rate for each age group.

## OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING TREND IN FERTILITY

It has been seen from Statement XXI that births to unmarried women play a comparatively small part in determining the birth rate of Canada. Statement XX has shown that during the decade between 1921-22 and 1931-32 an important decline took place, in general, in the specific fertility rates of married women. It will now be appropriate to consider other factors which affected the decline in the crude birth rate during this decade. It is proposed to consider the following factors:-
(1) The proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population;
(2) The proportion of women of child-bearing ages who were married;
(3) The age distribution of the married women of child-bearing ages;
(4) The specific fertility rates of married women of child-bearing ages. (This has already been dealt with as an isolated fact.)
Proportion of Women of Child-Bearing Ages to the Total Population.-Considering, first, the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population, it may be interesting to examine the proportions which have been shown at recent censuses of various countries. These, are given in Statement XXIII.

XXIII-PERCENTAGE PROPORTION OF WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE TO TOTAL POPULATION IN VARIOUS COUNTRIES AT RECENT CENSUSES

| Country | Proportion of Women 15-49 to Total Population | Year of Census | Country | Pro- portion of Women $15-49$ to Total Popu- lation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Switzerland. | $28 \cdot 2$ | 1930 | Australia | $26 \cdot 3$ | 1933 |
| England nnd Wales. | $28 \cdot 0$ | 1931 | Greece... | $26 \cdot 3$ | 1928 |
| Germany........... | $28 \cdot 0$ | 1933 | Northern Ireland | $26 \cdot 2$ | 1926 |
| Belgium..... | 27.8 | 1920 |  | $26 \cdot 2$ | 1930 |
| Austria... | 27.4 | 1934 | Union of South Africa (Whites). | $26 \cdot 0$ $25 \cdot 9$ | 1931 |
| France. | 27.2 | 1026 | The Netherlands | $25 \cdot 9$ $25 \cdot 5$ | 1931 |
| Scotland. | $27 \cdot 0$ | 1931 | Italy..... | $25 \cdot 5$ 24.8 | 1927 |
| Finland. | ${ }_{26}^{26 \cdot 7}$ | 1930 1930 | Bulgaria. | $24 \cdot 7$ | 1934 |
| Sweden...... | 26.7 | 1930 | Canada (Registration Area) | $24 \cdot 7$ | 1931 |
| Poland........ | 26.5 | 1931 | Eire.......................... | 23.8 | 1926 |
| New Kealand. | 26.4 | 1926 |  |  |  |

For this purpose the child-bearing period has been taken, as in the other computations in this monograph, from the 15 th to the 50 th birthday. It will be observed that for the countries selected in the statement the proportion varies from a low of 23.8 p.c. in Eire to a high of 28.2 p.c. in Switzerland. Obviously, this proportion is affected by several factors. Where fertility rates are heavy there will be an obvious tendency toward an increase in the proportion of children in the population and a corresponding decrease in the proportion of adults at the reproductive ages. The war losses have had considerable effect on the sex proportion of some countries, tending to raise the proportion of women to the total population and thus of women of childbearing ages. Again, the lengthening of human life must to some extent tend towards a decrease in the proportion shown in the statement by increasing the relative number of aged persons. Obviously, if sex proportions, tendency to marry, age distribution of females in the child-bearing ages and their fertility within marriage were equal in two countries, the one with a proportion of 28 p.c. of women of child-bearing ages should have a crude birth rate one-sixth greater than that of a country with the corresponding proportion only 24 p.c.

This proportion may also be of some service as giving a rough but definite meaning to a crude birth rate of a given size. If, say, 25 p.c. of the total population consists steadily of women between the ages of 15 and 50 and if, on the average, each of these women gave birth to one living child every five years during the period, making seven births in all, then the crude birth rate should be about 50 per thousand, a figure considerably above that recorded for any of the countries in Statement I.

Statement XXIV shows the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population in the Registration Area and the eight provinces contained in it, as shown by the Census of 1921 and the Census of 1931. For 1921, the proportion ranges from 22.0 in Saskatchewan to $25 \cdot 7$ in Ontario.
XXIV,-PERCENTAGE PROPORTION OF WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE TO TOTAL POPULATION, REGISTRATION AREA, CANADA AND PROVINCES, 1921 AND 1931

| Province | 1021 | 1931 | Province | 1821 | 1931 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registration Area | 24.4 | $24 \cdot 7$ | Registration Area-Con. | $22 \cdot 0$ |  |
| Prince Edward Island | $22 \cdot 8$ | 21.9 | Saskatchewan. | 22.0 22.9 | $23 \cdot 7$ |
| Nova Scotia. | $\stackrel{23 \cdot 6}{23} 4$ | $23 \cdot 0$ $23 \cdot 1$ | Alberta...... | $24 \cdot 1$ 24 | $24 \cdot 3$ |
| New Brunswick | 23.4 25.7 | $25 \cdot 7$ | British Columb | $24 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 0$ |
| Ontario. | 24.2 | $25 \cdot 4$ | CANADA | 24-3 | $24 \cdot 8$ |

Comparing the two censuses, it is observed that the total of the eight provinces showed a slightly higher proportion in 1931 and that the individual provinces varied in the direction of the change. The change in the decade shows an interesting East to Middle West trend, setting out with a rather heavy decline in Prince Edward Island and ending with a somewhat heavier increase in Saskatchewan. This trend is slightly interrupted by the fact that Quebec and Ontario interchange positions. The latter is the pivot point between decrease and increase while Quebec shows the western tendency. This trend is all the more interesting in that it is consistent with the behaviour observed in other attributes of the population, even to the slight fading away in Alberta and British Columbia. The second greatest proportional change was in Manitoba,
where the proportion increased from 24.2 p.c. to $25 \cdot 4$ p.c. but, while the movement of the crude birth rate in Manitoba during the period was markedly downward, the change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages would not of itself have affected the crude birth rate by more than about 5 p.c. Examination of the figures, therefore, leads to the conclusion that a change in the proportion of the women of child-bearing ages to the total population had little effect in either accelerating or retarding the fall in the crude birth rate during the decade.


Chart 5

Proportion of Women of Child-Bearing Ages Who Were Married.-We must next consider the change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages who were married in 1921 and 1931. The figures are given in Statement XXV. For convenience of reference in connection with certain remarks which will be made, the proportions for 1911 are also included.
XXV.-PERCENTAGE OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE TO ALL WOMEN, BY AGE GROUP. REGISTRATION AREA, 1911, 1921 AND 1931

| Age Group | 1911 | 1921 | 1931 | Age Group | 1911 | 1921 | 1931 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-49., | 56.7 | 61.0 | $58 \cdot 6$ | 15-49-Con. |  |  |  |
| 15-19.. | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | 5.7 3 | 35-39. | 80.6 80.7 | 83.5 82.9 | $84 \cdot 1$ 84.0 |
| 20-24... | $40 \cdot 1$ $66 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 2$ $70 \cdot 9$ | 39.4 69.3 | 45-49. | 79.0 | 80.6 | 82.2 |
| 25-29-34. | $77 \cdot 1$ | 81.0 | $81 \cdot 3$ |  |  |  |  |

In spite of the effect of the War in delaying or preventing marriages and of the loss of a considerable number of men eligible for marriage, the Census of 1921 presented a picture of the conjugal condition of the female population more favourable to high fertility not only than that of 1931 but also, and in still greater degree, than the Census of 1911. This may be contrary to the general opinion which perhaps holds that, decade by decade, the tendency to marry late and in some cases to remain celibate is increasing. This tendency is certainly evinced for the female population between 1921 and 1931, the former census showing higher proportions married in the three age groups under 30, almost equal in the age group $30-34$ years and somewhat inferior proportions in the three highest age groups. But the comparison with 1911 has already shown that the conjugal condition of the women of 1921 was more favourable than ten years before and, as the comparison between 1911 and 1931 is, on the whole, in favour of the latter, though not in the two first age groups, we must avoid considering the change between 1921 and 1931 as part of a long time trend.*


Chart 6
Statement XXVI shows for provinces the data that Statement XXV shows for the whole Registration Area. It will be readily seen that the comments on trend in the latter statement apply to the former as well.

[^8]XXVI.-PERCENTAGE OF MARRIED WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE TO ALL WOMEN, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, 1921 AND 1931

| Age Group | Registration Areal | Prince Edward Island | $\underset{\text { Nova }}{\text { Scotia }}$ | New $\begin{gathered}\text { Bruns- } \\ \text { wick }\end{gathered}$ | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask- $\begin{gathered}\text { atche- } \\ \text { wan }\end{gathered}$ | Alberta | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49. | 61.0 | $51 \cdot 1$ | 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19. | $7 \cdot 3$ | 3.8 | 54.8 5.7 | 56.4 8.0 | 58.2 6.1 | $62 \cdot 8$ 8.0 | $69 \cdot 3$ $10 \cdot 6$ | 69.2 | 66.3 |
| 20-24 | $44 \cdot 2$ | 30.8 | $\stackrel{5}{3 \cdot 5}$ | $82 \cdot 9$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ 39.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $8 \cdot 0$ 46.6 | $10 \cdot 6$ 58.2 | $10 \cdot 5$ 56.8 | 6.6 46.2 |
| 20-29 | $70 \cdot 9$ | $60 \cdot 4$ | 66.8 | 68.9 | 66.7 | $43 \cdot 6$ | $88 \cdot 2$ | $56 \cdot 8$ 81.5 | 46.2 73.4 |
| 35-39. | 883.5 | $73 \cdot 1$ | $78 \cdot 1$ | 78.4 | $77 \cdot 3$ | $83 \cdot 4$ | $90 \cdot 0$ | 888 | 73.4 83.9 |
| 40-44. | $83 \cdot 5$ 82.9 | 77.1 78.5 | 81.7 80 | 81.9 | $79 \cdot 9$ | $85 \cdot 9$ | 91.7 | 90.7 | $83 \cdot 9$ $86 \cdot 0$ |
| 45-49. | $80 \cdot 6$ | $81 \cdot 1$ | 81.8 78.7 | $81 \cdot 6$ | $79 \cdot 6$ | $85 \cdot 8$ | $90 \cdot 8$ | 89.4 | $85 \cdot 9$ |
|  | 80.6 | $8 \cdot 1$ |  |  | $77 \cdot 6$ | $85 \cdot 4$ | 88.8 | $87 \cdot 0$ | $82 \cdot 9$ |
| 1931 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49.. | $58 \cdot 6$ | $53 \cdot 4$ | 55.0 | $55 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19. | $5 \cdot 7$ | 4.7 | 55.0 | 55.2 | 58.0 | 56.3 | $61 \cdot 1$ | $63 \cdot 1$ | 60.9 |
| 20-24. | $39 \cdot 4$ | $34 \cdot 7$ | ¢ $38 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 6$ 38.6 | $5 \cdot 6$ 37.5 | 4.8 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 6.8 | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| 25-29. | $69 \cdot 3$ | $62 \cdot 4$ | ${ }_{65} \mathbf{7}$ | $38 \cdot 6$ $67 \cdot 2$ | 37.5 66.5 | 35.0 | $45 \cdot 1$ 77.6 | $47 \cdot 4$ | $39 \cdot 3$ |
| 30-34. | $81 \cdot 3$ | 76.9 | 78.5 | 78.8 | 78.7 | $68 \cdot 0$ 81.8 | 77.6 88.8 | $78 \cdot 7$ 88.4 | $70 \cdot 3$ |
| 35-39. | $84 \cdot 1$ | $82 \cdot 7$ | 82.0 | $83 \cdot 3$ | 81.4 | $81 \cdot 8$ 85.7 | $88 \cdot 8$ 91.6 | 88.4 | 82.5 |
| 40-44. | 84.0 | 79.9 | $82 \cdot 2$ | 82.9 | 81.1 81 | 88.5 | 91.6 91.2 | $90 \cdot 1$ 90.0 | 84.9 84.9 |
|  | $82 \cdot 2$ | 79.9 | 79.9 | $80 \cdot 8$ | $79 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 1$ | 89.9 | 88.2 | 84.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
It is impossible to carry comparisons back farther than 1911 for individual age groups or for the total of the child-bearing ages. It may be interesting, however, to compare the proportion of married women in the total population in the years 1891, 1901, 1911 and 1931 with the corresponding proportion in 1921. As the census reports of 1891 and 1901 do not show conjugal condition by age, a fair comparison can only be effected by using the method of expected numbers. That is to say, working with the results of the Census of 1921 as the standard, we apply the percentage of married women in each age group to the corresponding numbers of women in the same age groups at the other censuses to determine how many in each group we should expect to find married if conditions in this respect were exactly as in 1921. Adding the expected numbers in the various age groups together, we obtain the total number of females we might expect to find married on this basis and compare the actual total number at each census with this expected total number. By this method, of course, the computation can be made only for the total of females, not merely for those of child-bearing ages.

XXVII--ACTUAL NUMBER OF MARRIED WOMEN IN THE KEGISTRATION AREA, 1891, 1901, 1911 AND 1931, BY QUINQUENNIAL AGE GROUPS, COMPARED WITH THE NUMBER EXPECTED FROM THE PROPORTION MARRIED IN EACH AGE GROUP, 1921

| Age Group | Female Population, All Conjugal Conditions |  |  |  | Proportion Married at Census of 1921 | Expected Number Married at Census of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1891 | 1901 | $1911{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 1931 |  | 1891 | 1901 | 1911 | 1831 |
| 15 and over: | 1,021.187 | 1,180,912 | 1,592,236 | 2,456,895 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19. | 173.902 | 187,054 | -229,030 | $\begin{array}{r}2,461,437 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $7 \cdot 26$ | 583,877 12,625 | 687,771 13.580 | 948,706 | 1,464,648 |
| 20-24 | 164,328 | 174,597 | 228,690 | 310,618 | $44 \cdot 17$ | 12,625 | 13,580 77,119 | 16,028 101.012 | 20,240 137,200 |
| 25-29 | 134,075 | 144,058 | 210,903 | 262,595 | 70.95 | 95,126 | 102,209 | 149,636 | 137,200 186,311 |
| 35-39 | 106,182 88,494 | 123.117 | 180,114 154,491 | 244.273 | 81.03 | 86.039 | 99, 762 | 145,946 | 197,934 |
| 40-44 | 77,133 | +97, 168 | 154,491 <br> 130,431 | 244, 28.014 | $83 \cdot 53$ 82.89 | 73,919 | 93,629 | 129,046 | 203,888 |
| 45-49. | 64,897 | 79,275 | 112,310 | 224,014 | $82 \cdot 89$ | 63,936 | 80,543 | 108, 114 | 185,685 |
| 50-54. | 58,358 | 68,411 | 12,310 96,670 | 168,413 | 80.61 75.97 | 52,313 | 63,904 | 90,533 | 101,584 |
| 55-59 | 42,622 | 54,602 | 71,706 | 125,814 | $75 \cdot 97$ 71.38 | 44,335 <br> 30,424 | 51,972 | 73,440 | 127,943 |
| 60-64 | 40,049 | 48,440 | 59,755 | 103,556 | 71.38 62.06 | 30,424 | 38,975 | 51,184 | 89,806 |
| 65-69 | 27,177 | 35,537 | 45,402 | 83,076 | 52.67 | 24,854 | 30.062 | 37,084 | 64,267 |
| 70-74 | 20,530 | 26,135 | 33,367 | 62,845 | 40.25 | 14,314 | 18, 10.519 | 23,913 | 43,756 |
| 75-79. | 12,146 | 16,318 | 21,044 | 36,216 | 28.30 | 3,437 | 1.619 4.618 | 13,430 5,955 | 25,295 10 |
| 80 and over | 7,023 | 9,125 | 11,563 | 18,696 | $18 \cdot 10$ | 1,271 | 1,652 | 2,093 | 10,249 3,384 |
| Actual number of women married |  | 4,985 | 6,760 | 10,802 | $10 \cdot 24$ | 437 | 510 | -692 | 1,106 |
| Proportion of actual to |  |  |  |  |  | 528,899 | 625,132 | 911,205 | 1,456,401 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.58 | $90 \cdot 89$ | 96.05 | 99.44 |

As already indicated, the results of this comparison are somewhat surprising in view of the opinion generally held that larger proportions of women are unmarried in recent years than a. generation or two ago. The comparison is limited to the Registration Area in view of the fact that this is the area with which we are dealing in the analysis of fertility. The Census of 1891 shows the number of married women in this area forming only $90 \cdot 6$ p.c. of the number which would be expected if the ratios of 1921 held true in the various five-year groups commencing with the 15-19 group. For the Census of 1901 the actual number was very slightly larger in proportion to the expected, 90.9 p.c. The year 1911 showed the actual number married as 96 p.c. of the expected. While the year 1931 showed a number of married women smaller than the expected number based on the ratios of 1921, the difference between actual and expected was very much less than in the censuses carlier than 1921, the ratio of actual to expected in 1931 being 99.4 p.c.

From the closeness of the actual to the expected number in 1931, on the basis of 1921 ratios, it might seem at first glance as though conjugal condition of the female population was a very slight factor in the decline of the birth rate during the decade. It must be considered, however, in the first place that the computation just given was for women of all ages whereas only the conjugal condition of the women of child-bearing ages can have any effect on the birth rate. Statement XXV shows that at all ages between the 15 th and the 50 th birthday, $61 \cdot 0$ p.c. of the women were married in 1921 and only $58 \cdot 6$ p.c. in 1931. Moreover, if we examine the figures of Statement XXV by age groups, it will be observed that the two youngest age groups, 15-19 years and 20-24 years, show a substantial decline in the proportion of women married, that the $25-29$ group shows a comparatively slight decline and the four older age groups show increases, ranging from very slight in the $30-34$ group to moderate in the oldest age group.

A result of this decrease in the proportion of women married in the younger groups and the increase in the older groups has been to alter the age distribution of the married women of childbearing ages between 1921 and 1931 in a way that is less favourable to high fertility, since the younger groups are more fertile. This fact is brought out in Statement XXVIII which shows, for the Registration Area and for the eight provinces which it contains, the percentage distribution in 1921 and 1931 of the married women between the 15th and 50th birthdays according to age within these limits.
XXVIII--PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIED WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES, 1921 AND 1931

| Age Group | Registration Area ${ }^{1}$ | Prince Edward Island | $\underset{\text { Scotia }}{\text { Nova }}$ | New Brunswick | Ontario | Manitoba | Sask-atchewan | Alberta | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $103 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ 1.5 |
| 15-19 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 1.6 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 3.0 | 1.8 11.2 | ${ }_{12}^{2 \cdot 3}$ | $\stackrel{2 \cdot 8}{13.6}$ | 2.6 12.9 | 9.8 |
| 20-24. | 11.8 | $10 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 8$ | 11.2 | 12.0 19.5 | $13 \cdot 0$ 19.9 | 12.9 19.2 | 10.7 |
| 25-29 | $18 \cdot 6$ | 18.0 | $18 \cdot 6$ | 18.8 | 18.2 19.5 | $19 \cdot 5$ 20.4 | ${ }_{20} 2.7$ | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| 30-34, | 19.7 | 17.5 18.9 | 18.1 18.4 | 18.0 17.9 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 21.5 |
| 35-39. | 19.3 15.9 | 18.9 17.1 | 18.4 15.8 | 15.3 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 17.5 |
| $40-44$ $45-40$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 16.5 | $14 \cdot 3$ | 13.2 | $13 \cdot 7$ | 11.2 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 8$ |
| 1931 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $130 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| 15-19 | 100.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.7 | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 1.5 |
| 20-24. | 11.3 | 10.8 | $12 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | 10.5 | $11 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 2$ |
| 25-29 | 16.8 | $15 \cdot 3$ | 16.4 | $17 \cdot 0$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 8$ | 17.5 | $18 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| 30-34 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 17.9 | 17.9 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | 17.7 | $17 \cdot 8$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | 17.4 |
| 35-39 | 19.0 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 19.0 | 19.0 | $19 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 3$ | 18.4 | 17.9 | 19.0 |
| 40-44 | $17 \cdot 4$ | $17 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 8$ | 16.2 | 17.5 | 17.9 15.4 | $16 \cdot 8$ 14.2 | 16.5 | 18.8 17.7 |
| 45-49. | $15 \cdot 2$ | $16 \cdot 6$ | 15.4 | $14 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 4$ | 14.2 | 14.2 | 17.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
Considering the Registration Area, the age groups under 40 show a smaller proportion to the total in the later year while the converse is true for the older age groups. Thus the age group 45-49 years which has very little importance in relation to fertility contained 12.6 p.c. of the married women of child-bearing ages in 192! and $15 \cdot 2$ p.c. in 1931. Throughout the province the tendency has been in general the same with occasional exceptions for certain age
groups and in some cases a much more pronounced change in the proportion of the older groups.
Thus, in Saskatchewan the least fertile age group contained only $9 \cdot 6$ p.c. of the total in 1921 and 14.2 p.c. in 1931.


## SUMMARY OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE GANADIAN BIRTH RATE

We are now in a position to consider the individual and joint effect of five factors affecting the crude birth rates of 1921-22 and 1931-32. -It will be noted that the factors which result from different proportions at the Census of 1921 and the Census of 1931 are quite applicable to the birth rates for the average of two years, 1921-22 and 1931-32 because specific fertility rates have been computed on the assumption that the proportions by age and conjugal condition were the same in 1922 as in 1921 and in 1932 as in 1931.

The factors are as follows:-
A-the change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population;

B-the change in the proportion of married women to all women within the childbearing ages;

C-the change in the age distribution of married women of child-bearing ages;
D-the change in the fertility of married women of child-bearing ages;
E-the change in the proportion of total births to legitimate births.
The proportion of women of child-bearing ages in 1921 and 1931 has been shown in Statement XXIV.

The proportion of married women to all women within child-bearing ages and to all women within each age group of the child-bearing ages has been shown in Statement XXVI for the Censuses of 1921 and 1931.

The age distribution of married women by age groups within the child-bearing ages in 1921 and 1931 has been shown in Statement XXVIII.

The specific fertility rates of married women of the child-bearing ages in 1921-22 and 1931-32 have been shown in Statement XIX.

The proportion of total live births to legitimate births for 1921-22 and 1931-32 has been computed directly from the births of these years.

Before considering the relationship of each factor to the total decline in the birth rate, we shall discuss the total fertility of married women between the 15 th and 50 th birthdays as affected, (1) by the change in their specific fertility rates and (2) by the change in their age distribution. The figures of Statement XXIX contain the results of such an analysis. The specific fertility rates of 1921-22 are applied first to the age distribution of the married women of child-bearing ages in 1921 and give a total fertility rate for the Registration Area of 170.2 per thousand. The same fertility rates, however, when applied to the age distribution of 1931 give a total fertility rate for all women of child-bearing ages of $160 \cdot 9$ per thousand. ' In similar manner, the
specific fertility rates of 1931-32, applied to the age distribution of 1921, give a total fertility rate of 144.8 for the women of child-bearing ages whereas, applied to the actual age distribution of 1931, they give a total fertility of only $136 \cdot 8$. The lower total fertility in the second column in the statement is, of course, due to the more unfavourable age distribution in 1931 than in 1921.
XXIX.-TOTAL FERTILITY RATES FOR THE CHILD-BEARING AGES, 1921 AND 1931, BASED ON
(A) FERTIIITY RATES OF 1921-1922 AND (B) FERTILITY RATES OF 1931-1932 REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES

| Province | With Fertility Rates of 1921-22 and |  | With Fertility Rates of 1931-32 and |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { Distribution } \\ \text { of } 1921 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { Distribution } \\ \text { of } 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Distribution } \\ \text { of } 1921 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Distribution } \\ \text { of } 1931 \end{gathered}$ |
| Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ | $170 \cdot 152$ | $160 \cdot 872$ | 144.810 | 136.810 182.118 |
| Prince Edward Island | $204 \cdot 032$ 184.236 | 202.871 178.900 | 184 <br> $173 \cdot 140$ | 182.85 167 |
| Nowa Scotia. | $223 \cdot 268$ | 213.007 | 209.526 | 199.594 |
| Ontario......... | 160.755 | 154.088, | ${ }^{132 \cdot 287}$ | $126 \cdot 455$ <br> $136 \cdot 258$ |
| Manitoba...... | 189.478 190.477 | - $172 \cdot 92$ | $150 \cdot 157$ 169.90 | 136.258 154 |
| Soskatchewan. | 169.313 | 161.071 | 155.664 | 147.727 |
| British Columbia. | 120.877 | 114.099 | 101.529 | 96.348 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 married women 15-49 years of age.
${ }_{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
Individual and Joint Effects of Factors.-We may now consider the individual and joint effects of factors A to E as shown in Statement XXX.
XXX-ANALYSIS OF PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN CRUDE BIRTH RATES BETWEEN 1921-1922 AND 1931-1932, REGISTRA'TION AREA AND PROVINCES

| Province | Crude Rates of 1031-32 as Percentage of Rates of 1921-22 | Effect of Each Factor Contributing to Change in Percentage of Crude Rates, if Working Alone |  |  |  |  |  |  | Product of Factors $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |  | D |  | E |  |
|  |  |  |  | First Method | Second Method | First Method | Second Method |  |  |
| Registration Area ${ }^{2}$ | 79.8 | 101.19 | 96.07 | 94.48 | 94.55 | 85.11 | 85.04 | 101.91 | 79.7 90.9 |
| Prince Edward Island. | $90 \cdot 8$ | $96 \cdot 14$ | $104 \cdot 50$ | $98 \cdot 87$ | 99-43 | $90 \cdot 28$ | 89.77 93.82 | $102 \cdot 31$ 1026 | 90.9 91.4 |
| Nova Scotia.. | 91.6 | 97.79 | 100.36 07.87 | 96.94 | $97 \cdot 10$ 95.40 | ${ }_{93} 93.85$ | $93 \cdot 82$ 93 | 101.64 | $87 \cdot 8$ |
| New Brunswick | 87.9 | 98.76 99.73 | 97.87 99.60 | $95 \cdot 26$ <br> 95.59 | 95.40 95.85 | $93 \cdot 85$ 82.29 | 82.07 | 102.07 | 79.8 |
| Ontario.. | $80 \cdot 0$ | 99.73 105.17 | $99 \cdot 66$ $89 \cdot 65$ | 90.59 | 95.85 91.27 | 79.25 | 78.80 | 101.32 | $68 \cdot 7$ |
| Manitoba.. | 68.6 77.4 | $105 \cdot 17$ 105.83 1 | $89 \cdot 65$ 88.17 | -90.74 | $91 \cdot 23$ | $88 \cdot 77$ | 88.29 | 101.99 | 77. |
| Saskatchewan. | 77.4 84.1 | $105 \cdot 83$ 103.89 | $98 \cdot 18$ | 94.91 | $95 \cdot 13$ | 91.94 | 91.72 | 101.82 | 84. |
| Albertal...... | \$4.1 75.5 | $100 \cdot 95$ 100 | 91.86 | $94 \cdot 90$ | $94 \cdot 39$ | 83.99 | 84.44 | 101.90 | $75 \cdot 3$ |

${ }^{1}$ 1922-32 used for Alberta (see footnote to Statement XV, page 42.
${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
${ }^{3}$ First method of calculating factors C and D used.
A-Change in proportion of women of child-bearing ages ( $15-49$ years) to total population.
13-Change in proportion of married women to all women within child-bearing ages.
13-Change in proportion of married women to all women within child-bearing ages.
C-Change in age-distribution of married women of child-bearing ages (second method used for
C-Change in age-distribution of married women of child-bearing ages (second method used for product).
$\mathrm{D}-$ Chnnge in specific fertility rates of married women of ehild-bearing ages (second method used for product).
E-Change in proportion of total births to legitimate births.
Taking again the Registration Area as an example, we observe first that the crude birth rate of 1931-32 was 79.8 p.c. of the crude birth rate of 1921-22.

Factor A, the change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population, would, if acting alone, have accounted for an increase of $1: 19$ p.c. in the crude birth rate since this proportion was slightly greater in 1931 than in 1921.

Factor B, if acting alone, would have reduced the crude birth rate of $1931-32$ to $96 \cdot 07$ p.c. of what it was in 1921-22 since the proportion of married women to all women within the childbearing ages declined between 1921 and 1931.

The effect of factor C , the change in the age distribution of married women of child-bearing ages, can be obtained in two ways, each equally legitimate: either by dividing $160 \cdot 9$ by $170 \cdot 2$ or by dividing 136.8 by $144 \cdot 8$. (For the purpose of division the figures of Statement XXIX were carried to three decimal places.) In the first instance we have a quotient of 94.48 p.c.; in the second, of 94.55 p.c.

Factor D, the change in specific fertility of married women of child-bearing ages, is also obtained in two ways, each equally legitimate, from the figures of Statement XXIX. We may in the second case, of 85.04 p.c.

Factor $\mathbf{E}$, the effect of the change in proportion of total births to legitimate births, is obtained directly from the aggregate of legitimate and illegitimate births for the two years 1921-22 and the two years 1931-32. For the Registration Area in 1921-22 illegitimate births formed 2.05 p.c. of legitimate births; in 1931-32 they formed 4.00 p.c. of the legitimate. The division of 104.00 by 102.05 gives a quotient of 101.91 p.c., the figure shown in Statement XXX. Thus, if the factors contributing to the legitimate birth rate had remained unaltered, the increase in the proportion of illegitimate births to legitimate births during the decade would have resulted in an increase of 1.91 p.c. in the crude birth rate of 1931-32 as compared with the crude birth rate of 1921-22.

The weak point in the analysis is, of course, that factors $C$ and $D$ can be computed by two methods, each equally legitimate. Examination of the statement, however, for the Registration Area and for each province composing it, shows that in all cases the results of the two methods are reasonably close and in some almost identical. In combining these two factors, it may be observed that either the results of the two first methods or the results of the two second methods must be used since these have been selected in such a way that they complement each other.

If, now, we take the percentages for the Registration Area which represent the single effect of each factor and multiply these percentages together, we should expect to obtain as a result the percentage which the crude birth rate of 1931-32 forms of the crude birth rate of 1921-22. The products are shown in the last column. If we take the Registration Area, the product of $101 \cdot 19$, $96 \cdot 07,94 \cdot 48,85 \cdot 11$ and 101.91 equals $79 \cdot 7$ p.c. The difference between this and the actual proportion, 79.8 p.c., which the crude birth rate of $1931-32$ formed of the crude birth rate of 1921-22, is negligible due merely to the inexactitude of the decimals or such slight factors as "not stated" ages. It will be observed that in obtaining this product we could have taken, instead of $94 \cdot 48$ times $85 \cdot 11$, the alternative $94 \cdot 55$ times $85 \cdot 04$.

This analysis shows the important part which the decline of fertility within marriage played in the reduction of the birth rate. Two of the factors, the change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages and the change in the proportion of total to legitimate births, would by themselves actually have accounted for a slight increase. The reduced proportion of married women to all women within the child-bearing ages would in itself have accounted for a reduction of about 4 p.c. in the birth rate. The more unfavourable distribution of married women in the childbearing ages in the later census would have accounted for a reduction of about 5.5 p.c. but the decline in specific fertility without the aid of any other factor would have brought about a reduction of about 15 p.c. out of a total reduction of about 20 p.c.

Directing attention to the individual provinces, this decline in specific fertility would have accounted for a reduction of about 10 p.c. in the birth rate of Prince Edward Island, about 6 p.c. in Nova Scotia, over 6 p.c. in New Brunswick, about 18 p.c. in Ontario, about 21 p.c. in Manitoba, about 11.5 p.c. in Saskatchewan, about 8 p.c. in Alberta, and about 16 p.c. in British Columbia.

The change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population worked unfavourably for the four eastern provinces and favourably for the four western. Prince Edward Island suffered the most, with a decline which alone would have effected a reduction of about 4 p.c. in the birth rate. On the other hand, from this cause acting alone, both Manitoba and Saskatchewan would have gained over 5 p.c. in the birth rate.

The proportion of married women to all women of child-bearing ages was more favourable in 1931 in only Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia and the change in the latter province was trivial. It was most unfavourable in Saskatchewan and Manitoba in both of which it alone would have accounted for a reduction of more than 10 p.c. in the birth rate.

The change in the age distribution of married women within child-bearing ages was unfavourable throughout all provinces, but mostly so in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, where its effect would have accounted for a decline of 8 to 9 p.c.

In brief, this analysis indicated that of all the factors which contributed to a decline in the crude birth rate of the Registration Area between the years 1921-22 and 1931-32, the change in the age distribution of married women of child-bearing ages was unfavourable throughout all provinces, but the major operating cause in every province was the decline in the specific fertility rates of married women.

## CHAPTER III

## ORDER OF BIRTH

## INTRODUCTORY AND EXPLANATORY

In Chapter II most of the analysis, especially that which concerned trends, referred to the Registration Area of 1921. Chapter III, on the other hand, refers mainly to all Canada except Yukon and Northwest Territories. This is because the entire nine provinces were in the National System of Registration by the time the order of birth was first tabulated.

Commencing with the year 1927, regular tabulations of the order of birth of children have been made annually. Stillbirths are included with live births in these tabulations which apply only to legitimate children.

The questions on the birth certificate on which the tabulations are based are as follows:-
Children of this mother (including the present birth) -
(a) Number born alive;
(b) Number now living;
(c) Number stillborn (born dead after twenty-eight weeks' pregnancy).

Where a twin birth occurs, both children are tabulated as of the order of birth of the later twin. It. will be noted that this follows from the form of the questions. However, as children who are twins form, on the average, only about 1 in 43 of the total number of children born, this fact has little significance. The application of the same rule for triplets is, of course, altogether without significance owing to their very small number.

Though only available from the year 1927, the tabulations of order of birth afford a useful indication of the general trend in size of family and bave, also, a special value in relation to the effect of the economic depression of 1930 and following years on the birth rate of Canada. We will consider this special value first.

As a background to analysis of births by order of birth in relation to the part of the population responsible for these births, Statement XXXI and Cbart 8 show (a) the proportion of married women to all women 15-49 and (b) the proportion of women at the same age groups who were represented in the legitimate births of 1931.
XXXI.-PERCENTAGES OF ALL WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE WHO WERE (A) MARRIED, (B) REPRESENTED BY THE LEGITIMATE BIRTHS, BY QUINQUENNIAL AGE GROUPS, CANADA, 1931

| Age Group | $\underset{\text { Married }}{\text { P.C. }}$ of Women in Age Group | P.C. of Women in Age Group Repre- sented by Legitimate Births | Age Group | P.C. <br> Married of Women in Age Group | P.C. of Women in Age Group Represented by Legitimate Births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19. | $5 \cdot 04$ | 2.51 | 35-39. | $82 \cdot 66$ | $10 \cdot 55$ |
| 20-24. | 36.51 | 13.39 | 40-44.. | 82.77 | $4 \cdot 56$ |
| 25-29. | $66 \cdot 65$ | 17.62 | 45-49. | 81.43 | $0 \cdot 56$ |
| 30-34.. | $79 \cdot 25$ | 14.77 |  |  |  |



Chart 8

Births during the Period of Observation of Order of Birth.-Statement XXXII gives the order of birth of legitimate children born in Canada in each year over the period 1927-36.
XXXII.-NUMERICAL DISTRIBUTION OF LEGITIMATE CHILDREN: ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIRTH, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1828 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All orders. | 234,507 | 236,722 | 235,065 | 242,710 | 239,294 | 234, 097 | 220,914 | 219,331 | 219,20S | 217,755 |
| 1st child. | 49,612 | 52,107 | 54,372 | 57,736 | 55,486 | 52,067 | 48,396 | 49,165 | 52,051 | 55,386 |
| 2nd | 40,927 | 41,847 | 42,965 | 45,271 | 45,710 | 45,053 | 42,274 | 41,294 | 41,027 | 41,365 |
| 3rcl " | 32,694 | 32,649 | 32,380 | 33,157 | 33, 233 | 33,037 | 32,006 | 31,429 | 30,544 | 29,139 |
| 4th " | 26,135 | 25,302 | 24,595 | 24,889 | 24,905 | 24,559 | 23,600 | 23,330 | 23,111 | 22,120 |
| 5th " | 20,898 | 20,417 | 19,122 | 19,097 | 18,573 | 18,597 | 17,690 | 17,451 | 17,185 | 10.766 |
| 6th " | 15,951 | 16,093 | 15,351 | 15,367 | 14,530 | 14,354 | 13,799 | 13,551 | 13,180 | 12,756 |
| 7th | 12,316 | 12,407 | 12,031 | 12,161 | 11,930 | 11,606 | 10,703 | 10,536 | 10,254 | 10,112 |
| 8th " | 9,721 | 9,678 | 9,200 | 9,442 | 9.457 | 9,370 | 8,593 | 8,436 | 8,122 | 7,816 |
| 9th " | 7,460 | 7,379 | 6,945 | 7,243 | 7,099 | 7,312 | 6,710 | 6,816 | 6,132 | 6,065 |
| 10th " | 5,760 | 5,682 | 5,496 | 5,536 | 5,525 | 5,523 | 5,323 | 5,327 | 4,941 | 4,813 |
| 11th | 4,188 | 4,132 | 3,966 | 4,001 | -3,939 | 3,984 | 3,846 | 3,794 | 3,803 | 3.628 |
| 12th " | 2,994 | 3,191 | 2,841 | 2,944 | 3,022 | 2,971 | 2,759 | 2,763 | 2,724 | 2,710 |
| 13th | 2,058 | 2,075 | 2,050 | 2,085 | 1,978 | 2,054 | 1,936 | 1,828 | 1,868 | 1.836 |
| 14th | 1,358 | 1,291. | 1,291 | 1,381 | 1,356 | 1,385 | 1,193 | 1,279 | 1,224 | 1,222 |
| 15th | 895 | 864 | 870 | 810 | 834 | 868 | 803 | 843 | 789 | 771 |
| 10th " | 534 | 505 | 515 | 518 | 483 | 480 | 481 | 481 | 435 | 455 |
| 17th " | 329 | 312 | 282 | 303 | 207 | 304 | 274 | 248 | 296 | 275 |
| 18th | 175 | 201 | 168 | 162 | 172 | 143 | 160 | 105 | 144 | 129 |
| 19th | 87. | 96 | 104 | 84 | 82 | 92 | 65 | 78 | 77 | 82 |
| 20 th and over. | 101 | 119 | 85 | 102 | 100 | 96 | 98 | 106 | 92 | 78 |
| Not stated. | 314 | 375 | 430 | 421 | 313 | 242 | 205 | 302 | 289 | 231 |

1 Including stillbirths.
It will be observed from the absolute figures that the total number of legitimate births (including stillbirths) varied little between the years 1927 and 1929. The year 1930 showed a substantial increase in the number amounting to more than 7,500 . With 1931 a decline commenced which lasted till 1936, though from 1933 the differences were small. The total number of legitimate births (including stillbirths) in 1930, the highest year in our order of birth series, was 242,710 , while for 1936 it had fallen to 217,755 , a decline in all of about 25,000 . On account of the comparatively small number of illegitimate live births (which are excluded) and of legitimate stillbirths (which are included) this decline is fairly representative of the decline in the total number of live births, which amounted to about 23,000 between 1930 and 1936.

A study of Statement XXXII, Table 9, Part III, page 146, and the material to follow will help the reader to understand the incidence of the various orders of birth upon these increases and declines.

## TREND IN ORDER OF BIRTH DURING THE PERIOD

Relation of Increase or Decrease in Marriages to Order of Birth.-A brief analysis of the table of order of birth will be of great assistance in establishing the effect of the decline in marriages during the depression on the number of births and the influence of other factors which, while possibly related to the depression, were not due to the decline in the number of marriages.

Statement XXXIII shows separately the increase or decline in first births, second births and higher orders of birth between 1927 and 1928 and each further pair of successive years ending with 1936. The statement also shows, on the same line as the increase or decrease in the number of first births, the increase or decrease in the number of marriages for the twelve-month period
for which new marriages may be assumed to have most directly affected the number of first births. For each year of birth this twelve-month period extends from April of the preceding year to March of the year under review.

XXXIII-INCREASE OR DECREASE IN MARRIAGES, BY YEAR OF MARRIAGE, AND CORRESPOND ING INCREASE OR DECREASE IN BIRTHS, BY YEAR AND ORDER OF BIRTH, CANADA, BY SINGLE YEARS, APRIL, 1927-MARCH, 1936

| Year of Marriage |  |  |  | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Birth } \end{gathered}$ | Total. Births | First <br> Births | Births of Other Orders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  | Second | Higher Orders |
| April 1927-March 1928. |  |  |  |  | +2,532 | 1928 | + 2,215 | +2,405 | - 341 | + 920 | -1,261 |
| " | 1028 | " | 1929. | +4,387 | 1929 | - 1,657 | +2,265 | -3,983 | +1,118 | -5,101 |
| " | -1929 | " | 1930. | +3,717 | 1930 | + 7,645 | +3,364 | +4,296 | +2,306 | $+1,980$ |
| " | 1930 | " | 1931. | -7,535 | 1931 | - 3,416 | -2,250 | -1,058 | + 439 | -1,497 |
| " | 1931 | " | 1932. | -3,630 | 1932 | $-5,197$ | -3,419 | -1,707 | - 657 | -1,050 |
| " | 1932 | " | 1933. | $-4,649$ | 1933 | -13,183 | $-3,671$ | -9,475 | -2,779 | -6.696 |
| " | 1933 | " | 1934. | +2,379 | 1934 | - 1,583 | a $+\quad 769$ | -2,449 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { a } \\ -\quad 980 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -1,469 |
|  | 1934 | '" | 1935. | +9,403 | 1935 |  | +3,786 | $-3,896$ | - 267 | -3.629 |
|  | 1935 | ، | 1936. | +3,142 | 1936 | $-1,453$ | +2,435 | -3,830 | + 338 | -4,168 |

Examining the first column of the statement, which gives the marriages of these successive twelve-month periods, it is observed that the first period which would most directly affect the first births of 1928, i.e., April, 1927-March, 1928, showed an increase of 2,532. The next two twelve-month periods showed more substantial increases but were followed by three periods of decline, of which the first was considerably the greatest and which, by their joint action, produced a total decline from the peak number amounting to more than 15,000 . The last three twelvemonth periods show recovery in each case, the greatest occurring in the second period when the number of marriages increased by 9,403 .

Turning now to the total births of the calendar years 1928-36, it is observed that only the first and third years show increases. The last three years, corresponding to marriage periods in which the changing number of marriages should have affected the first births favourably, all show declines in total births though none are large.

The most outstanding example in the statement of relationship between the change in the number of total births and the change in the number of marriages is for the year 1933, in which total births showed a decline of 13,183 . The twelve months ending in March, 1933, showed a decline in marriages of 4,649 , following on two preceding twelve-month periods with declines in marriages of 7,535 and 3,630 , respectively.

The fourth column of the statement shows increases or decreases in the number of first births corresponding to increases or decreases in the number of marriages for the twelve-month period affecting most directly the first births of each calendar year. As might be expected, the proportion of the change in number of first births to the change in number of marriages is least when the movement in the latter changes direction and greatest when the movement in the number of marriages has been in the same direction for the maximum number of years, which in the statement never exceeds three.

Second births might be most directly affected by a change in the number of marriages for the twelve-month period preceding that which most directly affects the first births. The sixth column of the statement shows some such relationship for the years 1929-34 but the decline in second births continued into the year 1935 and a slight recovery was not apparent until 1936. As might have been expected, therefore, the second births reflect, more weakly than first births and with less exactitude, any increase or decrease in the number of marriages.

For higher orders of birth than the second the relationship is, of course, rather small and undetermined over such a small period of years. With the exception of the year 1930, every year of the period showed a decline in the number of births in higher orders than the second.

The statement demonstrates clearly that the decline in marriages during the depression and the consequent decline in the number of first births accounted for only a fraction of the decline in the total number of births. The failure of the Canadian birth rate to rise again with the increasing number of marriages year by year which commenced with 1933 is easily understood when the downward trend of orders of birth higher than the second is observed to have manifested itself almost without exception during the whole period 1928-36.

Statements XXXIV and XXXV, showing the number of females married in each age group and their average age for the years 1927-36 should be studied for further elucidation.
XXXIV.-NUMBER OF BRIDES $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, CANADA, 1927-1036́

| Age Group | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-49 | 67,961 | 72,707 | 75.722 | 70.054 | 65,140 | 61,088 | C2,441 | 71,591 | 75,376 | 79,407 |
| 15-19 | 15.746 | 16.968 | 17.403 | 15.996 | 15,327 | 14,570 | 14,265 | 15, 294 | 15,265 | 15,503 |
| $20-24$ | 29,755 | 32.075 | 33.934 | 31.249 | 29,104 | 27,372 | 27,978 | 32,405 | 34,218 | 35.714 |
| 25.29 | 12.888 | 13.714 | 14,425 | 13,527 | 12,294 | 11,439 | 12,525 | 15.165 | 16.455 | 17,988 |
| 30-34. | 4,706 | 4,958 | 4,931 | 4,711 | 4,156 | 3,818 | 3,94? | 4.805 | 5.353 | 5,780 |
| 35-39 | 2.511 | 2,550 | 2,530 | 2.360 | 2.102 | 1.953 | 1,866 | 2,00S | 2,083 | 2.342 |
| $40-44$ $45-49$ | 1.382 973 | 1.447 | 1.495 1.004 | 1,379 | 1,254 | 1,127 | 1,096 | 1,131 | 1,207 | 1.237 |
|  |  |  | 1.004 | 92 | 903 | 809 | 764 | 783 | 795 | 843 |

XXXV.-AVERAGE AGE OF BRIDES 15-49 YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Age Group | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years |
| 15-49 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.7 | 23.8 | $23 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 6$ | 23.6 | $23 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 23.9 |
| 15-19 | $18 \cdot 0$ | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | $18 \cdot 0$ | 18.0 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 1$ | 18.0 |
| 20-24. | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | $21 \cdot 9$ | 21.9 | 21.9 |
| 25-29. | 26.6 | 26.6 | 20.6 | $26 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | . $26 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | 26.6 | 26.6 |
| 30-34. | $31 \cdot 6$ | $31 \cdot 7$ | $31-6$ | 31.6 | $31 \cdot 6$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | $31-6$ | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| 35-39. | 36.8 41.7 | $36 \cdot 8$ 41.8 | 36.8 41.8 | $36 \cdot 8$ 41.8 | $36 \cdot 8$ 41.8 | 31.8 41.8 | $36 \cdot 8$ 41.5 | 36.7 41.8 | 36.7 | 36.7 |
| 45-49. | $46 \cdot 8$ | 46.8 | 46.8 46 | 41.8 46.7 | $41 \cdot 8$ 46.8 | $41 \cdot 8$ <br> 46 | 41.8 40.8 | 41.8 46.9 | $41 \cdot 8$ $46 \cdot 8$ | 41.7 46.9 |

## DIFFERENTIAL TREND IN ORDER OF BIRTH

First Births.-Statement XXXVI is based on the absolute figures of Statement XXXI, and shows the percentage distribution of legitimate children according to order of birth over the period 1927-36.
XXXVI.-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF LEGITIMATE CHILDREN ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIITII, NOT ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1032 | 1933 | 1934 | 1835 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All orders | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | 100.00 | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ |
| lat. child. | 21.18 | 22.05 | $23 \cdot 17$ | 23.83 | 23.22 | $22 \cdot 26$ | 21.93 | $22 \cdot 45$ | $24 \cdot 19$ | 25.46 |
| 2nd | 17.48 | 17.71 | 18.31 | $18 \cdot 68$ | $19 \cdot 13$ | 19.27 | 19.15 | 18.85 | 18.74 | 19.02 |
| 3rd " | 13.96 | 13.81 | 13.80 | $13 \cdot 68$ | 13.91 | $14 \cdot 13$ | 14.50 | $14 \cdot 35$ | 13.95 | 13.40 |
| 4th " | $11 \cdot 16$ | 10.71 | $10 \cdot 48$ | $10 \cdot 27$ | $10 \cdot 42$ | 10.50 | 10.69 | $10 \cdot 66$ | 10.56 | $10 \cdot 17$ |
| 5th " | 8.92 | $8 \cdot 64$ | $8 \cdot 15$ | 7.88 | $7 \cdot 90$ | 7.95 | S. 02 | 7.97 | 7.85 | 7.71 |
| 7th " | 6.81 | ${ }^{6} \cdot 81$ | 6.54 | $6 \cdot 34$ | $6 \cdot 08$ | $6 \cdot 14$ | 6.25 | $6 \cdot 19$ | $6 \cdot 02$ | $5 \cdot 86$ |
| 7th " | $5 \cdot 26$ 4.15 | $5 \cdot 25$ 4.09 | 5.13 | $5 \cdot 02$ 3.90 | 4.99 | $4 \cdot 96$ | $4 \cdot 85$ | $4 \cdot 81$ | 4.68 | $4 \cdot 65$ |
| 9 th " | $4 \cdot 19$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | 3.92 2.96 | 3.90 2.99 | 3.96 2.97 | $4 \cdot 01$ $3 \cdot 13$ | $3 \cdot 89$ $3 \cdot 94$ | $3 \cdot 85$ $3 \cdot 11$ | 3.71 2.80 | $3 \cdot 59$ 2.79 |
| 10th " | $2 \cdot 46$ | $2 \cdot 40$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 28$ | $2 \cdot 31$ | $3 \cdot 36$ $2 \cdot 15$ | $2 \cdot 41$ | $3 \cdot 11$ $2 \cdot 43$ | $2 \cdot 26$ $2 \cdot 26$ | $2 \cdot 21$ |
| 11th " | 1.79 | 1.75 | 1.69 | 1.65 | $1 \cdot 65$ | 1.70 | 1.74 | 1.73 | 1.74 | $1 \cdot 67$ |
| 12th " | - 1.28 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.26 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.25 | 1.26 | 1.24 | 1.25 |
| 13th | 0.88 | $0 \cdot 88$ | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 86$ | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.88 | - 8.88 | 0.85 | 0.84 |
| 14th | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.55 | $0 \cdot 57$ | $0 \cdot 57$ | $0 \cdot 59$ | $0 \cdot 54$ | 0.58 | $0 \cdot 56$ | 0.56 |
| 15 th and over. | $0 \cdot 35$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 35$ | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.35 |
| 1 th and over. | $0 \cdot 52$ | 0.62 | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.48 | $0 \cdot 46$ | $0 \cdot 48$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.47 |

[^9]It will be observed that the proportion of first births to all births was increasing up to 1930 and that, with the effect of the decline in marriages on first births which has just been considered above, this increase was arrested and during the next three years first births show a declining proportion of the total number. Commencing with the year 1934 and corresponding to an increase in the number of marriages during the twelve-month period, April, 1933-March, 1934, the proportion of first births again starts to mount and this upward movement continues throughout the remaining years. The net effect of these changes was that the proportion of first births increased from $21 \cdot 18$ p.c. of the total in 1927 to $25 \cdot 46$ p.c. in 1936 .

Second Births.-The proportion of second births also shows an upward trend throughout the period, interrupted only during the three years 1933-35. This interruption does not, of course, correspond regularly to the movement of second births as shown in Statement XXXIII because the proportion of second births is affected both by the number of first births and the births of a higher order than the second.

Third and Higher Orders.-The change in the proportion of third births during the period was smaller than in either of the other cases, but the general tendency was evidently towards a decline and this decline was only interrupted in the three years during which the proportion of first births was decreasing. The same remark applies to the proportion of fourth births. Here the net decline during the period was greater than in the case of third births and the extent of the interruption during the years $-1931-33$ was less. With fifth births the interruption is still smaller and the net decline over the whole period greater than for fourth births. The trends discussed in the last three paragraphs, after being adjusted for the influence of age of mother, are shown in Chart 10, page 70.

Summary.-The percentage of decline between 1927 and 1936 in the proportion of each order of birth to the total is shown in Statement XXXVII.
XXXVII.-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF LEGITIMATE CHILDREN ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIRTH, CANADA, 1936, NOT ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION

OF MOTHERS, FXPRESSED AS AN INDEX OF THAT OF 1927

| Order of Birth of Child | Index | Order of Birth of Child | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st child. | 120-2 | 9th child. | 87.5 |
| 2nd " | $108 \cdot 8$ | 10th | 89.8 |
| 3rd " | 96.0 | 11th | $92 \cdot 3$ |
| 4th " | 91-1 | 12th | 97.7 |
| 5th " | 86.4 | 13th " | $95 \cdot 5$ |
| 6th " | 86.0 | 14th " | 96.5 |
| 7 th " | 88.4 | 15th | $92 \cdot 1$ |
| 8th " | $85 \cdot 5$ | 16th and ove | $90 \cdot 4$ |

The upward trend of the proportion of first and second births over so short a period as shown in Statement XXXVI bas much more significance from the fact that the order of birth reflects not merely the tendency existing during the period under review but during the whole married life of each woman whose latest child helps to form the picture presinted by this statement. It is evident also that the decline in marriages during the depression reduced to an appreciable degree the extent of the upward movement between the first and last year.

## INFLUENCE OF AGE OF MOTHER

Importance of Adjustment. - The absolute figures of Statement XXXII and the proportionate figures of Statement XXXVI which were based upon them, take no account of any changes in the age distribution of mothers during the period under review. The tabulations from which these figures are derived, and which have been published in the annual reports of Vital Statistics, show order of birth by age of mother in five-year age groups and this detailed information enables us to make an adjustment for age.

Method of Adjustment.-The method of adjustment for differences in age distribution was to take, for a given year and a given age group, the distribution into first births, second births, etc., and to multiply these individual orders of birth for the given age group by a factor whose numerator was the percentage which the given age group formed of all married mothers for the standard period and whose denominator was the percentage which the given age group formed of all married mothers in the year for which adjustment was being made.

The standard age distribution adopted for this purpose was the average of the three years 1930-32 as shown in Statement XXXVIII. This period of three years practically centres on the date of the Census of 1931 and the Census population of Canada in 1931 has been adopted as the standard in certain other statements.
XXXVIII.-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARTRIED MOTHERS; BY AGE GROUP, CANADA, AVERAGED FOR 1939-1932


Age Data Used in Adjustment.-The age distribution of married mothers of live and stillborn children on which the adjustment of the figures of Statement XXXII were based are shown in Statement XXXIX.
XXXIX.-PETRENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIED MOTHERS, BY AGE GROUP, CANADA, 1927-1930

| Year | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | Under 20 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 and over |
| 1927. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $4 \cdot 91$ | 23.57 | $27 \cdot 16$ | 21.86 | 15.64 | $6 \cdot 17$ | 0.68 |
| 1928. | 100.00 | $5 \cdot 14$ | 24.05 | 27.07 | 21.62 | 15.32 | $6 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 66$ |
| 1929. | 100.00 | $5 \cdot 34$ | 24.80 | 27.47 | 21.09 | 14.75 | $5 \cdot 94$ | 0.61 |
| 1930. | 100.00 | $5 \cdot 39$ | 25.13 | 27.28 | 21.03 | 14.67 | $5 \cdot 89$ | $0 \cdot 62$ |
| 1931. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | $25 \cdot 04$ | 27.71 | 21.02 | 14.52 | $5 \cdot 69$ | $0 \cdot 61$ |
| 1932. | 100.00 | $5 \cdot 34$ | $24 \cdot 65$ | 27.92 | $20 \cdot 95$ | 14.59 | $5 \cdot 89$ | $0 \cdot 66$ |
| 1933. | 100.00 | $5 \cdot 25$ | $24 \cdot 45$ | 28.21 | 21.11 | $14 \cdot 61$ | $5 \cdot 71$ | $0 \cdot 67$ |
| 1934. | 103.00 | $5 \cdot 13$ | $24 \cdot 29$ | 28.29 | 21.48 | $14 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 83$ | $0 \cdot 63$ : |
| 1935. | 100.00 | 5-20 | 24.71 | 28.49 | 20.98 | $14 \cdot 31$ | $5 \cdot 62$ | $0 \cdot 66$ |
| 1036. | 120.00 | $5 \cdot 14$ | 25.08 | 28.49 | 21.08 | 14.05 | $5 \cdot 58$ | $0 \cdot 591$ |

It will be noted that the proportion of married mothers under 20 years moved upward from 4.91 in 1927 to $5 \cdot 40$ in 1931, that there was a retrogression in the proportion to 1934 when the figure was $5 \cdot 13$ p.c. and that in 1936 it was almost identical with this, i.e., 5•14.

The next age group, 20-24 years, commenced with $23 \cdot 57$ p.c. in 1927 and, increasing each year, reached 25.13 p.c. in 1930 . The retrogression which followed lowered it to 24.29 p.c. in 1934 but a subsequent recovery made the figures for the final year, $1936,25 \cdot 08$ p.c. The movement of the age group 25-29 years was more irregular, yet, in this group also, the final years were higher than the initial ones, 1935 and 1936 showing 28.49 p.c. of all married mothers in this group whereas 1927 and 1928 had $27 \cdot 16$ p.c. and $27 \cdot 07$ p.c., respectively.

## PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

BY
aGE GROUPS OF MARRIED MOTHERS
FOR YEARS
1927 and 1936
PER CENT


Chart 9

In all of the age groups over 30 years of age the movement was definitely downward, the decline being interrupted in those years where age groups under 30 years showed a temporary downward trend.' The extent of the decline between the years about the beginning of the period and those about the end was generally greater for the higher age groups. Chart 9 gives a graphic description of the change in age distribution over the period.

Order of Birth Adjusted for Age of Mother.-Statement XL shows the order of birth of legitimate children after adjustment was made for differences in age distribution of mothers.
XL.-NUMERICAL DISTRIBUTION OF LEGITIMATE CHILDREN ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIRTH, ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All orders | 233,747 | 235, 909 | 234,338 | 242,062 | 238, 875 | 233,741 | 220,585 | 218,896 | 218,887 | 217,402 |
| Ist child | 51,516 | 53,308 | 54,532 | 57,631 | - 55, 289 | 52,262 | 48,756 | 49,762 | 53,077 | 55, 223 |
| 2nd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41.827 | 42,467 | 43.060 | 45,247 | 45,584 | 45, 121 | 42.338 | 41,384 | 40,882 | 41,025 |
| 3 rd | 32,869 | 32,803 | 32,395 | 33,172 | 33,170 | 33,020 | 31,910 | 31,304 | 30.366 | 28,889 |
| 4 th | 25,958 | 25,214 | 24,561 | 24,922 | 24.875 | 24.504 | 23,457 | 23,132 | 22,954 | 21,960 |
| 5th " | 20,522 | 20,183 | 19,007 | 19,125 | 18,869 | 18,533 | 17, 554 | 17,249 | 17,083 | 16,694 |
| 6th " | 15, 496 | 15,791 | 15,281 | 15,373 | 14,548 | 14,303 | 13,703 | 13,402 | 13,149 | 12,760 |
| 7 th | 11,844 | 12,076 | 11,965 | 12,150 | 11,957 | 11,575 | 10,647 | 10,439 | 10.287 | 10,188 |
| 8th " | 9,266 | 9,348 | 9,126 | 9,413, | 9,495 | 9.344 | 8,564 | 8,380 | 8.182 | 7,930 |
| 9 th | 7,079 | 7,092 | 6.883 | 7,211 | 7,138 | 7,292 | 6,699 | 6.798 | 6,202 | 6,196 |
| 10th | 5.436 | 5,439 | 5,441 | 5,506 | 5.566 | 5.501 | 5,323 | 3.328 | 5.014 | 4,943 |
| 11th | 3.931 | 3.949 | 3,921 | 3.978 | 3.975 | 3.964. | 3.847 | 3.801 | 3,871 | 3,743 |
| 12th | 2.813 | 3,043 | 2.808 | 2,925 | 3,056 | 2,952 | 2,765 | 2.774 | 2.775 | 2.806 |
| 13th | 1,931 | 1,976 | 2.025 | 2.072 | 2,003 | 2.039 | 1.941 | 1.935 | 1.906 | 1,906 |
| 14 th | 1,272 | 1,228 | 1,274 | 1,372 | 1,377 | 1,372 | 1.196 | 1,283 | 1.249 | 1.271 |
| 15th | \$39 | 821 | 860 | 804 | 848 | 859 | 806 | 846 | 806 | 803 |
| 10th | 500 | 480 | 508 | 515 | 492 | 474 | 483 | 482 | 464 | 475 |
| 17th | 308 | 297 | 278 | 301 | 272 | 300 | 275 | 248 | 302 | 287 |
| 18th | 163 | 199 | 166 | 164 | 175 | 141 | 159 | 165 | 147 | 135 |
| 19th | 82 | 91 | 103 | 83 | 84 | 91 | 65 | 78 | 78 | 86 |
| 20 th and over | 95 | 113 | 84 | 101 | 102 | 94 | 97 | 106 | 93 | 82 |

The percentage distribution of order of birth after adjustment is shown in Statement XLI. As compared with Statement XXXVI, the figures of Statement XII reduced the tendency which has been noted of showing in the later years higher proportions of the lower orders of birth and lower proportions of the higher orders. However, the tendency is still apparent, modified, of course, by the reduction in first and second births which resulted from the decline in marriages during the depression years.
XLI.-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF LEGITIMATE CHILDREN ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIRTH, ADJUSTEED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All orders. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | 100.00 | $100 \cdot 00$ | $109 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | 130.00 |
| tst child | 22.04 | $22 \cdot 69$ | $23 \cdot 27$ | 23.81 | $23 \cdot 15$ | 22.36 | $22 \cdot 10$ | 22.73 | $24 \cdot 25$ | 25.40 |
| 2nd " | 17.89 | $18 \cdot 00$ | 18.38 | $18 \cdot 69$ | 19.08 . | 19.30 | 19-19 | 18.91 | 18.68 | 18.87 |
| 3rd " | $14 \cdot 06$ | 13.90 | 13.82 | $13 \cdot 70$ | $13 \cdot 89$ | $14 \cdot 13$ | 14.47 | $14 \cdot 30$ | 13.87 | 13.29 |
| 4 th | $11 \cdot 10$ | $10 \cdot 69$ | 10.48 | $10 \cdot 30$ | 10.41 | 19.48 | $10 \cdot 63$ | 10.57 | $10 \cdot 49$ | $10 \cdot 10$ |
| 5 th | 8.78 | 8.56 | $8 \cdot 14$ | 7.90 | 7.90 | 7.93 | 7.96 | 7-88 | $7 \cdot 80$ | $7 \cdot 68$ |
| 6 th | $6 \cdot 63$ | 6.69 | $6 \cdot 52$ | 6.35 | $6 \cdot 09$ | $6 \cdot 12$ | 6.21 | $6 \cdot 12$ | $6 \cdot 01$ | $5 \cdot 87$ |
| 7 th " | $5 \cdot 07$ | $5 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 11$ | 5.02 | $5 \cdot 01$ | 4.95 | 4.83 | $4 \cdot 77$ | $4 \cdot 70$ | $4 \cdot 69$ |
| 8 th " | $3 \cdot 96$ | $3 \cdot 96$ | 3.89 | $3 \cdot 89$ | 3.97 | $4 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | $3 \cdot 83$ | $3 \cdot 74$ | $3 \cdot 65$ |
| 9 th | $3 \cdot 03$ | $3 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 98$ | $2 \cdot 99$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 04$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | $2 \cdot 83$ | $2 \cdot 85$ |
| 10th | $2: 33$ | $2 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 32$ | 2.26 | $2 \cdot 33$ | $2 \cdot 35$ | 2.41 | 2.43 | $2 \cdot 29$ | $2 \cdot 27$ |
| 11th | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.64 | 1.66 | 1.70 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 1.77 | 1.79 |
| 12th " | 1.20 | $1 \cdot 29$ | 1.20 | $1 \cdot 21$ | $1 \cdot 28$ | $1 \cdot 26$ | 1.25 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.27 | 1.29 |
| 13th " | 0.83 | $0 \cdot 84$ | $0 \cdot 86$ | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 84$ | $0 \cdot 87$ | $0 \cdot 88$ | 0.88 | $0 \cdot 87$ | 0.88 |
| 14th " | $0 \cdot 54$ | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.54 | $0 \cdot 59$ | $0 \cdot 57$ | 0.58 |
| 15th " | 0.36 | $0 \cdot 35$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.37 | 0.37 | $0 \cdot 39$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | $0 \cdot 37$ |
| 16th and over. | $0 \cdot 49$ | $0 \cdot 50$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.48 | $0 \cdot 47$ | 0.47 | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.49 | $0 \cdot 50$ | 0.49 |

The effect which adjustment for differences in age distribution of mothers over the period 1927-36 had on figures shown in Statement XLI indicates that, in general, the later years showed larger proportions of younger mothers who thus had completed less of their total period of fertility at the time when the birth of a child brought them into the picture presented by these statements (see, also, Chart 10).


* Adjusted for differences in age distribution of mothers.


## TREND IN ACCUMULATED ORDERS OF BIRTH

Total at and over Each Order.-Statement XLII is based on the figures of Statement XLI and shows, after adjustment for age, the proportion of mothers of each year having more than one child (including the present birth), more than two children, more than three, etc. The statement shows that the proportion of mothers having more than one child varied between 77.95 p.e. in 1927 and $74 \cdot 60$ p.c. in 1936 , the proportion having more than two children between 60.06 p.c. in 1927 and 55.73 p.c. in 1936 , having more than three children between 46.00 p.c. in 1927 and 42.44 p.c. in 1936, and having more than four children between 34.90 p.c. in 1927 and 32.34 p.c. in 1936 . Thus, in the final year of the period, less than three-quarters of the mothers of the year were having a birth of higher order than the first and less than one-third were having a birth of higher order than the fourth.

XLII-PERCENTAGES OF MARRIED MOTHERS HAVING MORE THAN A GIVEN NUMBER OF
CHILDREN, ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Number of Children Born | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One child or more.............. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 100.00 | 100.00 | $100 \cdot 00$ | 100.00 | 100.00 | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $100 \cdot 00$ |
| More than 1 chil | 77.95 | $77 \cdot 41$ | 76.73 | 76-19 | 76.86 | 77.64 | 77-89 | 77.28 | $75 \cdot 76$ | 74-60 |
| " " 2 children. | 60.00 | 59.41 | 58.35 | 57.50 | 57.7 | 58 | $58 \cdot 70$ | $58 \cdot 37$ | 57.08 | 55.73 |
| " " 3 " | $46 \cdot 00$ | 45.51 | 44.53 | 43.80 | 43.8 | $44 \cdot 21$ | $44 \cdot 23$ | $44 \cdot 07$ | $43 \cdot 21$ | $42 \cdot 44$ |
| " " 4 | 34.90 | 34.82 | $34 \cdot 05$ | 33.50 | 33.48 | 33.73 | $33 \cdot 60$ | 33 | $32 \cdot 72$ | $32 \cdot 34$ |
| " " 5 " | $20 \cdot 12$ | $20 \cdot 26$ | 25.91 | $25 \cdot 60$ | 25.58 | $25 \cdot 80$ | 25.64 | 25-62 | $24 \cdot 92$ | $24 \cdot 66$ |
| " " 0 | 19-49 | $19 \cdot 57$ | $19 \cdot 39$ | 19.25 | 19.49 | 19.68 | 19.43 | 19.50 | $18 \cdot 91$ | 18.79 |
| " " 7 " | 14.42 | 14.45 | -14.28 | 14-23 | 14.48 | 14.73 | 14.60 | 14.73 | 14.21 | $14 \cdot 10$ |
| ". ${ }^{\text {c }} 8$ | $10 \cdot 46$ | 19.49 | $10 \cdot 39$ | 10.34 | $10 \cdot 51$ | 10.73 | 10.72 | 10.90 | 10.47 | 10.45 |
| " " 9 " | $7 \cdot 43$ | $7 \cdot 48$ | $7 \cdot 45$ | $7 \cdot 36$ | 7.52 | $7 \cdot 61$ | $7 \cdot 68$ | $7 \cdot 79$ | $7 \cdot 64$ | $7 \cdot 60$ |
| " " 10 " | $5 \cdot 10$ | 5.17 | $5 \cdot 13$ | 5.09 | $5 \cdot 19$ | $5 \cdot 26$ | $5 \cdot 27$ | $5 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 35$ | $5 \cdot 33$ |
| " 11 " | 3.42 | 3.50 | $3 \cdot 46$ | $3 \cdot 45$ | $3 \cdot 53$ | $3 \cdot 56$ | $3 \cdot 53$ | $3 \cdot 62$ | 3-58 | $3 \cdot 61$ |
| " " 12 " | 2.22 | 2.21 | 2.26 | $2 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 30$ | $2 \cdot 28$ | $2 \cdot 35$ | $2 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 32$ |
| " " 13 " | 1.39 | $1 \cdot 37$ | 1.40 | 1.38 | 1.41 | 1.43 | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.44 | 1.44 |
| " " 14 * | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.860.48 |
| " " 15 " | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.47 | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.49 | 0.50 |  |

# TREND IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIED MOTHERS, REGISTRATION 

AREA, 1921-1936

The fact observed in Statement XXXIX regarding the age distribution of married mothers suggests such a statement over the whole period 1921-36. This can, however, be given only for the eight provinces composing the Registration Area and which entered the National System at its inception. The proportions in question are shown in Statement XLIII. As this statement was not constructed for the same purpose as Statement XXXIX, viz., to apply to an order of birth statement for purposes of adjustment, it has been confined to mothers of live-born children, but this fact has little importance because of the small number of stillbirths as compared with live births.

## XLIII.-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIED MOTHERSI, BY AGE GROUP, REGISTRATION

 AREA, 1921-1936| Year | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | Under 20 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 and over |
| 1921. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 55$ | 24-79 | 27-79 | 21.57 | $14 \cdot 64$ | $5 \cdot 07$ | 0.59 |
| 1922. | $100 \cdot 00$ | . $5 \cdot 63$ | $24 \cdot 21$ | 27-89 | 21.69 | 14.71 | 5.35 | 0.52 |
| 1923. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 25$ | 23.92 | 27.90 | 21.96 | 15.01 | $5 \cdot 41$ | $0 \cdot 55$ |
| 1924 | $100 \cdot 00$ | 5.41 | $23 \cdot 97$ | 27.63 | 22.05 | 14.84 | $5 \cdot 57$ | $0 \cdot 53$ |
| 1925. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 5.67 | 23.77 | 27.52 | 21.71 | 15-13 | $5 \cdot 64$ | 0.57 |
| 1926. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 57$ | 24.04 | $27 \cdot 15$ | 21.96 | 14.96 | $5 \cdot 74$ | 0.58 |
| 1927. | $100 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 85$ | 24.58 | 26.77 | 21:63 | 14.88 | $5 \cdot 57$ | $0 \cdot 62$ |
| 1928. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 6.08 | $25 \cdot 25$ | $26 \cdot 61$ | 21.31 | $14 \cdot 60$ | 5.59 | 0.57 |
| 1999 | $100 \cdot 00$ | 6.44 | $26 \cdot 23$ | 26.94 | 20.56 | 13.96 | $5 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 55$ |
| 1930. | 100.00 | 6.47 | 26.59 | 26.92 | $20 \cdot 36$ | $13 \cdot 80$ | $5 \cdot 35$ | $0 \cdot 51$ |
| 1931. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 6.58 | 26.83 | $27 \cdot 18$ | $20 \cdot 16$ | $13 \cdot 63$ | $5 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 54$ |
| 1932. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 6.61 | $26 \cdot 66$ | 27.38 | 19.92 | $13 \cdot 60$ | $5 \cdot 26$ | $0 \cdot 57$ |
| 1933 | 100.00 | 6.58 | 26.79 | $27 \cdot 65$ | 20.01 | 13.34 | $5 \cdot 06$ | $0 \cdot 56$ |
| 1934. | $100 \cdot 00$ | 6.51 | 27.00 | 27.82 | $20 \cdot 15$ | 12.87 | $5 \cdot 08$ | 0.50 |
| 1935. | 100.09 | 6.53 | 27.55 | 28-09 | $19 \cdot 59$ | $12 \cdot 80$ | 4.88 | $0 \cdot 55$ |
| 1936. | 100.00 | 6.43 | 27.87 | 28.21 | $19 \cdot 67$ | 12.57 | 4.79 | $0 \cdot 47$ |

' Live births only.
It will be observed that the age groups under 30 show higher proportions of mothers at the end of the period than at the beginning, while the contrary is true for the age groups over 30 . The trend is not uninterrupted; there are certain irregularities. It is evident that the decline in marriages during the depression would reduce the proportion of first births, thereby affecting unfavourably the proportion of younger mothers, but the effect of other factors prevents this from standing out as clearly as it might.

In general, the most pronounced trend in the ages of married mothers is observed in the age groups $20-24$ and $35-39$. The former group provided 24.79 p.c. of married mothers in 1921 and, with only one slight interruption in 1924 , declined to a low of $23 \cdot 77$ p.c. in 1925 . This decline is presumably related to a downward trend in the number of marriages which continued uninterruptedly over the period 1921-25, with the exception of the year 1923. Commencing with 1926, the proportion moved upward year by year to 1931. The year 1932 showed a slight retrogression but the upward movement recommenced in 1933 and continued to 1936 , the last year shown in the statement. Between the first and last year there was an increase in the proportion of more than 12 p.c. The age group $35-39$ showed in the first year, 1921, a proportion of $14 \cdot 64$ p.c. of all married mothers. This proportion increased year by year up to 1925 , with the exception of 1924, which showed a set-back from the previous year. Commencing with 1926, a decline set in which continued without interruption during the remainder of the period under review. Between the first and last year, this age group showed a reduction of 14 p.c. in its proportion of all married mothers. It will be noted that the upward movement between 1921-25, even to the extent of its one interruption, corresponded to the downward movement of the age group 20-24 but that it differed from that age group in showing no interruption to the trend between 1925 and 1936. It will easily be understood that the decline in marriages during the depression, through its influence on the proportion of first births, would produce a more direct result on the age group 20-24 than on the age group 35-39 as its influence on the older age groups would be dispersed.

The net movement of the other age groups over the period is proportionately less and, as might be expected the trend shows more irregularities.

## TYPE OF MOTHER AS INDICATED BY ORDER OF BIRTH

Average Age of Married Mothers in the Different Orders of Birth.—Statement XLIV shows the average age of married mothers as they fall in the different orders of birth for the years 1927-36.
XLIV.-AVERAGE AGE OF MARRIED MOTHERS ACCORDING TO ORDER OF BIRTH OF CHILDREN, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years | years |
| 1st child. | 29.45 | 29.30 | 29.30 | 29.30 | $29 \cdot 30$ | 29.30 | 29.30 | 29.40 | 29.45 | 29.55 |
| 2nd " | 31.49 | 31.45 | 31.35 | $31 \cdot 35$ | 31.35 | 31.25 | $31 \cdot 35$ | 31.45 | 31.55 | 31.55 |
| 3rd " | 33.40 | 33.40 | 33.35 | 33.35 | $33 \cdot 20$ | 33.15 | $33 \cdot 15$ | $33 \cdot 15$ | 33.25 | $33 \cdot 40$ |
| 4th " | 34.90 | 34.95 | 34.90 | 34.95 | $34 \cdot 85$ | $34 \cdot 80$ | $34 \cdot 80$ | 34.80 | . $34 \cdot 80$ | $34 \cdot 85$ |
| 5 th " | 36-30 | 36.30 | 36.40 | 36.40 | $36 \cdot 35$ | 36.40 | 36.25 | 36.15 | $36 \cdot 20$ | 36.20 |
| 6th " | 37.55 | 37.55 | 37.60 | 37.70 | 37.65 | 37.70 | $37 \cdot 60$ | $37 \cdot 65$ | 37-60 | 37-50 |
| 7th " | 38.80 | 38.80 | 38.75 | 38.85 | 38.85 | 38.90 | 38.95 | 38.85 | 39:00 | 38.90 |
| Sth " | $40 \cdot 05$ | $40 \cdot 00$ | 39.95 | 40.00 | $40 \cdot 00$ | $40 \cdot 00$ | 40.10 | $40 \cdot 00$ | $40 \cdot 15$ | $40 \cdot 10$ |
| 9th " | $41 \cdot 00$ | 41-15 | $41 \cdot 08$ | 41.25 | $41 \cdot 10$ | 41-10 | $41 \cdot 10$ | $41 \cdot 10$ | $41 \cdot 15$ | 41.25 |
| 10th " | 42-20 | $42 \cdot 20$ | $42 \cdot 13$ | 42-20 | 42.15 | 42.20 | $42 \cdot 15$ | $42 \cdot 15$ | $42 \cdot 30$ | $42 \cdot 25$ |
| 11th " | $43 \cdot 15$ | $43 \cdot 15$ | 43.00 | $43 \cdot 05$ | $43 \cdot 05$ | $43 \cdot 30$ | $43 \cdot 15$ | $43 \cdot 00$ | $43 \cdot 15$ | $43 \cdot 20$ |
| 12th " | $43 \cdot 95$ | 43.85 | 43.90 | 43.90 | 43.95 | $44 \cdot 09$ | $44 \cdot 05$ | 43.95 | $44 \cdot 05$ | $43 \cdot 90$ |
| 13th " | 44.55 | 44.80 | 44.50 | $44 \cdot 75$ | $44 \cdot 45$ | $44 \cdot 65$ | $44 \cdot 65$ | 44.65 | $44 \cdot 70$ | $44 \cdot 65$ |
| 14th " | $45 \cdot 35$ | $45 \cdot 15$ | $45 \cdot 25$ | $45 \cdot 30$ | $45 \cdot 40$ | $45 \cdot 40$ | $45 \cdot 40$ | 45.40 | $45 \cdot 45$ | $45 \cdot 40$ |
| 15th " | 45.90 | $45 \cdot 80$ | 45:75 | 45.75 | 45.90 | $45 \cdot 85$ | 45.95 | $45 \cdot 70$ | $45 \cdot 83$ | $45 \cdot 80$ |
| 16th and over. | $46 \cdot 70$ | $46 \cdot 60$ | $46 \cdot 35$ | 46.55 | $46 \cdot 65$ | $46 \cdot 65$ | $46 \cdot 75$ | $46 \cdot 80$ | $46 \cdot 85$ | 46.90 |

We observe an exceptional degree of constancy over the period in the average age of mother for any given order of birth. Consequently, the average age for each order over the ten-year period would seem to be significant. These figures are shown in Statement XLV.
XLV.-AVERAGE AGE OF MARRIED MOTHERS, BY ORDER OF BIRTH, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth | Average Age of Mother, 1927-36 | Order of Birth | Average Age of Mother. 1927-36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st child. | 29.37 | 9th child. | 41-13 |
| 2nd " | 31.41 | 10th " | $42 \cdot 19$ |
| 3rd " | 33.28 | 11th " | $43 \cdot 12$ |
| 4th " | 34.86 | 12th " | $43 \cdot 95$ |
| 5th " | 36.30 | 13th " | 44.64 |
| 6th " | 37.61 | 14th " | $45 \cdot 35$ |
| 7th " | 38.87 | 15th | $45 \cdot 82$ |
| 8th '* | $40 \cdot 04$ | 16th and over. | $46 \cdot 68$ |

Beginning with an average age of 29.37 for the first order, 31.41 (or 2.04 years older) for the second order and so on, we observe that there is a progressive lessening of the interval between births as we ascend the scale of orders. This fact is illustrated in Chart 11 which shows the age at each order.


Chart 11

This could happen in several ways, of course. Although the influence of twin and multiple births might be expected to be very influential, the number of such births is so small that this could hardly be a major cause of the decreasing interval of age for each order. The same may be said of the influence of stillbirths. One conclusion must be avoided, viz., that in any one family the interval is decreasing with every additional child. There is no doubt that the lessening interval is a matter of the larger family having a smaller interval of time between births than the smaller family-in other words, the distinction is between different types of families, not between births in the same family. In whatever way we look at it, it has an important bearing upon fertility; for if the same interval obtained between each order as between the first and second, viz., 2.04 years, it is seen that mothers of the fifteenth child would be 58 years old instead of 45.52 , i.e., there would be no fifteenth child. This leads us to what may be the most important element entering into this decreasing interval. Observe that the average age at the birth of the first child is 29.37 years-a high age. This is probably because the first order is weighted strongly by mothers who will have only one child as a result of late marriage; this type of mother is eliminated in the second order which in turn contains the type of mother who will have only two children as the result of marrying late but not quite so late. This sort of elimination progresses through the successive orders. In other words, it is probable that the lessening interval reflects strongly differential age at marriage and the differential number of births resulting therefrom. If this explanation is as important as it seems to be it gives additional value to Statement XXXV already given. This statement shows for the same period of years (1927-36) the average age of females at marriage.

The age of 29 for the first order appears high considering that the average age at marriagesimilarly constant over the ten-year period-is 24 . This would seem to be an excellent illustration of the importance of deviations from an average as compared with the average itself. It is obvious that while the age of the first order is 29 , the mothers giving birth to a large number of children were much younger than this at the time of giving the first birth, i.e., all the large familics and even the moderate size families come from mothers younger than the average.

Average Order of Birth in Different Age Groups of Mothers.-Since the average thus conceals the rule it is necessary to show the converse side of the situation, viz., the average order of birth in the different age groups of mothers. This is shown in Statement XLVI.
XlVI.-AVERAGE ORDER OF BIRTH TO MARRIED MOTHERS, BY AGE GROUP, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Age of Mother | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20. | 1.31 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.30 | 1.31 | 1.31 | 1.31 | 1.29 | 1.29 |
| 20-24. | 2.09 | $2 \cdot 05$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | 1.98 | $2 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 03$ | $2 \cdot 05$ | 2.04 | 1.99 | 1.95 |
| 25-20. | $3 \cdot 38$ | $3 \cdot 36$ | 3.29 | 3.22 | $3 \cdot 20$ | $3 \cdot 23$ | $3 \cdot 23$ | 3.21 | $3 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 09$ |
| 30-34.. | $4 \cdot 91$ | 4.92 | 4.88 | $4 \cdot 85$ | $4 \cdot 89$ | $4 \cdot 89$ | $4 \cdot 88$ | 4.86 | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 67$ |
| 35-30. | 6.74 | $6 \cdot 73$ | 6.71 | $6 \cdot 72$ | 6.74 | 6.83 | 6.82 | 6.86 | 6.77 | 6.75 |
| 40-44. | 8.06 | 8.73 | $8 \cdot 65$ | $8 \cdot 65$ | $8 \cdot 74$ | 8.70 | $8 \cdot 78$ | 8.78 | $8 \cdot 85$ | 8.79 |
| 45-40.. | 9.98 | 10.03 | 9.84 | 9.88 | 9.96 | 10.29 | 10.26 | $10 \cdot 29$ | 10.40 | $10 \cdot 45$ |

In Statement XLVI a trend of a certain kind is noticeable in the average order of births. It exemplifies a point shown later in Chart 12 (page 80), viz., that the ages of $25-29$ and $30-34$ show a definite decline in the ten years while the other ages show a certain degree of constancy. The averages show that the orders of birth most representative of these ages centre around the fourth and fifth and it will be seen in Chart 12 that the decline in births is conspicuously large in these orders. Statement XLVI, therefore, would seem to show that the decline in births is in some way connected with certain age groups and this in time brings up the possibility that the decline in births is connected with certain types of mothers whether these types are generated by the individuality of the person or by the period of time through which these persons have passed.
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- This trend of decline in average order must be considered in conjuncture with the fact that the number of births in a given year is also declining, i.e., the number of mothers appearing in the birth statistics of the year is declining. Thus, 1,000 mothers averaging $3 \cdot 39$ births would represent 3,390 total births. If the 1,000 were reduced to, say, 900 and the orders were reduced to $3 \cdot 09$, the total births would be reduced to 2,781 ; in other words, a double process is involved in this decline in the average order. According to such a process the population represented in families of this size would rapidly decline.

Total Potential Number of Children Represented by Disappearing Types of Mothers.-The double process is illustrated in Statement XLVII which shows the number of legitimate births and the average order of births in each year.

XIVII.-TOTAL AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN BORN TO FAMILIES REPRESENTED BY LEGITIMATE BIRTHS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| - Year | Families Represented by Legitimate Births | Children Born to Families Represented by Legitimate Births |  | Year | Families Represented by Legitimate Births | Children Born to Families Represented by Legitimate Births |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | A verage |  |  | Total | Average |
| 1927. | 234,193 | 985, 151 | $4 \cdot 21$ | 1932. | 233, 855 | 953,547 | 4.08 |
| 1928. | 236,347 | 984,062 | $4 \cdot 16$ | 1933. | 220,709 | 899,640 | $4 \cdot 08$ |
| 1929. | 234,629 | 954,046 | 4.07 | 1934. | 219,029 | 892,800 | 4.08 |
| 1930. | 242,289 | 974, 121 | 4.02 | 1935. | 218,919 | 871,421 | 3.98 |
| 1931. | 238,081 | 961,799 | $4 \cdot 02$ | 1936. | 217,524 | 852,770 | 3.92 |

Taking the end years, 1927 and 1936 , it is seen that the number of births declined by $7 \cdot 1$ p.c. and the average order by 6.9 p.c. Taking now the total number of children represented by these two figures, as found in the third column of this statement, it is seen that it declined by 13.4 p.c. In other words, the 16,669 mother types that appear in 1927 and failed to appear in 1936 represented 132,381 children. If there is a real trend in the disappearance of mothers of this type, it is obvious that this disappearance will mean a greater difference in the reproduction rate than is represented in calculations already made in these rates. Again, it is possible that such a difference will be only temporary because, if it is only a certain type of mother that is disappearing, viz., the one with the large family ( $5-10$ children), then once she disappears completely a stationary or upward trend would possibly result.

Misleading Features of the Mean Ages and Orders.-It would seem that the ordinary average (the mean) is a rather unsatisfactory statistic as a means of describing features of the orders of birth. Statements XLIV and XLVI, the one showing the average age of mother for each order of birth and the other the average order of birth at each age group of mother, are cases in point. It is baffling to find the averages in each statement apparently constant from year to year, but this apparent constancy is misleading since a very small variation is significant. Still more baffling is it to find that the average age of mother of the first order of birth is 29 while the average order of birth of a mother of 29 is about 3. If we put these averages as probabilities, the point will be clearer. The probability is that the mother of the first child is 29 years of age whereas if we find a mother giving birth at the age of 29 the probability is that this is her third child. In other words, the probabilities from the point of view of the child and from the point of view of the mother are far apart and it is difficult to see what this means. Indeed, it would seem to suggest the advisability of questioning these averages. Now, there are methods of examining the validity of averages and in this case the method will be simple. Taking the average (mean) age of the first birth, viz., $29 \cdot 4$, it has a standard deviation of $2 \cdot 3$ years which would mean that in the case of normal distribution it would be easily possible that a first birth would occur to mothers at ages all the way from 23 to 36 ; but it is decidedly not a normal distribution because the median age at first birth is found to be $24 \cdot 1$, i.e., as many mothers of first births are under as over $24 \cdot 1$. There is a distance of $5 \cdot 3$ years between the mean and the median and a much greater distance between the mean and the age of most common occurrence of first births. This makes the average of 29 practically meaningless except as a measure of the manner in which a few first births at later and uncommon ages raise the mean age to a point of absurdity.

Modal Orders and Ages.-But, it is necessary to find some average by means of which the behaviour of the orders of birth may be examined. There is an average which is never misleading provided it can be found but it is not always possible to do so. It so happens that in the order of births this average actually does exist and stands out quite clearly. Statement XLIX will show that the common occurrence of the different orders of birth falls definitely into age groups. Thus, 43 p.c. of the first and second crders fall in the age group 20-24 and this varies very little throughout the decade 1927-36. Similarly, 37 p.c. of the third to the fifth orders fall in the age group $25-29,38$ p.c. of the sixth to the eighth orders fall in the group $30-34,45$ p.c. of the ninth to the thirteenth orders fall in the group $35-39$ and 53 p.c. of the orders fourteen and over fall in the group 40-44. While these modes have not been obtained by refined methods, the fact that such a large propertion of the orders occur within them and occur so constantly justifies us in designating them as the age of common occurrence of the different orders. The number of each order which oecurs outside these ages may be described as "unusual" or occurring at unusual ages. Thus, a very useful concept is suggested in ecnnestion with orders of birth-the occurrence of the usual as contrasted with that of the unusual. Statement XLVIII, then, shows the number of births occurring during the decade 1927-36 at usual ages and at unusual ages with the index of each set using 1927 as a base. Statement XLIX shows the percentage that the usual form of the total number of births in the stated orders. We are enabled, thus, to examine the behaviour of the usual and of the unusual throughout the decade.
XLVIII,-BIRTHS OCCURRING AT USUAL AND UNUSUAL AGES WITH THE INDEX OF EACH SET USING 1927 AS BASE, BY SINGLE YEARS, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Year | Births of Orders Modal in Age Groyp |  |  |  |  | Births of Orders Other Than Modal in Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tst and 2nd Orders in Age Group 20-24 | 3rd-5th Orderz in Age Group 25-29 | Cth-Eth Crders in Age Group 30-34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9th-13th } \\ & \text { Orders } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Group } \\ & 35-39 \end{aligned}$ | 14th Order and over Age Group 40-44 | Orders <br> Than <br> 1st and 2nd in Age Group 20-24 | Orders Other Than 3 rd in ane Group 25-29 | Orders <br> Than <br> 6th-8th. <br> Age <br> Group <br> 30-34 | Orders Other Than 9th-13th in Age Group $35-39$ | Orders Other Thณn 14th and over in Age Group 40-44 |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1027. | 38.794 | 29.496 | 14,242 | 10,090 | 1,852 | 51.745 | 50,231 | 23,746 | 12.3.0 | 1.627 |
| 1928. | 40,697 | 28,804 | 14,409 | 9.934 | 1.785 | 53,257 | 49,564 | 23.769 | 12,525 | 1,603 |
| 1929. | 42,281 | 28,149 | 13,673 | 9,425 | 1,769 | 55,056 | 47,948 | 22,909 | 11.873 | 1,546 |
| 1030. | 44,999 | 28,393 | 14,118 | 9.790 | 1,775 | 58,008 | 48,750 | 22,852 | 12,019 | 1,585 |
| 1931. | 43.614 | 28.803 | 13,876 | 9,601 | 1,744 | 57,582 | 48,148 | 22,041 | 11,962 | 1,550 |
| 1032. | 41,752 | 29,036 | 13,384 | 9,700 | 1,737 | 55,368 | 47,157 | 21.946 | 12,145 | 1.631 |
| 1933. | 38.547 | 28,142 | 12,653 | 9,331 | 1,599 | 52, 123 | 45,154 | 20,442 | 11,243 | 1,475 |
| 1934. | 37.993 | 27.621 | 12,584 | 0.324 | 1,713 | 52,466 | 44,598 | 19.939 | 11,304 | 1,487 |
| 1935. | 39.530 | 27,160 | 11,976 | 8,980 | 1,660 | 54,44S | 43,680 | 19.580 | 10,48s | 1,417 |
| 1936. | 43,760 | 25,679 | 11,741 | 8,681 | 1.563 | 55.991 | 42,346 | 18.943 | 10.371 | 1,4;8 |

INDEX USING 1927 AS BASE

| 1927. | $100^{\circ} \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 00 |  | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1928. | $104 \cdot 9$ | 97-7 | 101.2 | 98.5 | 96.4 | $102 \cdot 9$ | 98.7 | $130 \cdot 1$ | 101-3 | 98.5 |
| 1929. | 109.0 | 95.4 | $93 \cdot 0$ | 93.4 | 96.5 | 196.4 | 95.5 | 96-5 | 93.0 | 95. |
| 1830.. | $116 \cdot 0$ | 96-3 | 99.1 | - 1.0 | 95.8 | $112 \cdot 1$ | $97 \cdot 1$ | 9**2 | 97.2 | 97. |
| 1931. | 112.4 | 97.9. | 97-4 | 95.2 | 94-2 | $111 \cdot 3$ | $95 \cdot 9$ | 92.8 | 90.7 | $95 \cdot 3$ |
| 1932. | 107.6 | 98.4 | 94.0. | 96.1 | $93 \cdot 8$ | 107.0 | 93.9 | $92 \cdot 4$ | 98.2 | $100 \cdot 2$ |
| 1933. | 99.4 | 95.4 | 88.8. | $92 \cdot 5$ | $86 \cdot 3$ | 100.7 | 89.8 | $86 \cdot 1$ | 90.9 | 90.7 |
| 1834. | 97.9 | 93:6 | 88.4 | 92.4 | 92-5 | 101.4 | 88.8 | 84.0 | 91.4 | 91.4 |
| 1935. | 101.9 | $92 \cdot 1$ | $84 \cdot 1$ | 89.0 | S0.6 | 105.2 | 87.0 | 82.5 | 84.8 | Si.1 |
| 1936. | 105.1 | 87.1 | 82.4 | S6.0 | 84.4 | 108.2 | $84 \cdot 3$ | 79-8 | 83.8 | 89.1 |

XLIX.-PERCENTAGES WHICH BIRTHS AT USUAL AGES FORM OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS OF STATED ORDERS, BY SINGLE YEARS, CANADA, 1927-1936

|  | Year | 1st and 2nd Orders in Age Group 20-24 | 3rd-5th Orders in Age Group 25-29 | 6th-8th Orders in Age Group 30-34 | 9th-13th Orders in Age Group 35-39 | 14th Order and over in Age Group 40-44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1927. |  | $42 \cdot 8$ | $37 \cdot 0$ | 37.5 | $44 \cdot 9$ | 53.2 |
| 1928. |  | $43 \cdot 3$ | 36.8 | 37.7 | 44.2 | $52 \cdot 7$ |
| 1929. |  | $43 \cdot 4$ | 37.0 | 37.4 | $44 \cdot 3$ | $53 \cdot 4$ |
| 1930. |  | $43 \cdot 7$ | 36.8 | 38.2 | $44 \cdot 9$ | $52 \cdot 8$ |
| 1931. |  | $43 \cdot 1$ | $37 \cdot 5$ | $38 \cdot 6$ | $44 \cdot 5$ | 52.9 |
| 1932. |  | $43 \cdot 0$ | $38 \cdot 1$ | 37.9 | $44 \cdot 4$ | 51.6 |
| 1933. |  | $42 \cdot 5$ | 38.4 | $38 \cdot 2$ | $45 \cdot 4$ | $52 \cdot 0$ |
| 1934. |  | $42 \cdot 0$ | $38 \cdot 2$ | $38 \cdot 7$ | $45 \cdot 2$ | 53.5 |
| 1935. |  | $42 \cdot 1$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | $38 \cdot 0$ | $46 \cdot 1$ | 53.9 |
| 1936. |  | $42 \cdot 1$ | $37 \cdot 7$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | $45 \cdot 6$ | 51.9 |

The most important of the above two statements seems to be the second showing the percentages which the births of each set of orders falling in usual age groups form of the total number of births in these orders. The high degree of constancy gives these percentages at least an appearance of reliability. However, a certain variability does exist and it is easy to see that this variability has a time trend. The behaviour of the first and second orders is different from that of the subsequent orders. The time trend that exists seems to be partly obscured by increase and decrease in the number of births falling in each order from year to year during the decade. Accordingly, the percentages were examined to ascertain whether there was any system in the variability from year to year and how far this interfered with the trend. If we take the percentages the usual forms of all births as $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, the first ten natural numbers describing the yearly trend as $X_{2}$ and the index of the number of the different births falling at usual ages, year by year, as $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and use the equation $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{BX}_{2}+\mathrm{CX}_{3}$ for each set of orders, we obtain very interesting results which are summarized as follows:-

| Order of Birth | Correlation of P.C. Usual with Yearly Trend and Index of Usual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yearly } \\ & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { of P.C. } \\ & \text { Usual } \end{aligned}$ | Order of Birth | Correlation of P.C. <br> Usual with Trendand Yearly Index of Usual | Yearly Increase ${ }^{1}$ of P.C. Usual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st and 2nd orders. | .96 | 0.062 | 9th-13th orders. | . 76 | -0.028 |
| $3 \mathrm{rd}-5 \mathrm{th}$ " | .93 | 0.143 | 14th order and over. | . 52 | $0 \cdot 169$ |
| 6th-8th " | . 86 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ Independent of fluctuations caused by casual decline or jncrease in the number of births occurring in the order.
Concepts Suggested by the Modes.-A fair description of the findings would seem to be as follows:-
(1) In the case of all orders, except one set, an increase in the number of births throughout the decade led to a larger proportion of each order being found at usual ages (of mother) while a decrease led to a smaller proportion being found, i.e., it was the usual ages that benefitted or suffered most.
(2) When (1) is allowed for, there was an upward trend throughout the decade in the proportion of births of the different orders falling at usual ages. In other words, there has been a gradual elimination of the unusual-except in the first and second orders of births.
These are concepts that should be quite easy to understand and these findings may have an exceedingly important bearing upon future birth rates. If the declining trend of the total number of births thus consists, partly at least, in the weeding out of the unusual, is it not probable that a point of stability will be reached when the unusual is eliminated?

Again, the first and second births (probably particularly the first births) behave quite differently as to time trend for the other orders. The tendency for these orders to occur at
unusual ages seems to be growing, after allowing for the other tendency, viz., that as they increase and decrease greater or less proportions of them fall at usual ages. It was observed earlier in the chapter that first and second births were closely associated with current marriage rates and the latter in turn with economic conditions. This, of course, would suggest an explanation of the behaviour of first and second births, but there is another association that is very important. The orders under observation refer to legitimate births. By far the greater proportion of illegitimate births are probably of the first order and nearly all in the first and second orders. Illegitimate births form nearly 10 p.c. of the births of the first order. Thus, the figures of the first and second orders representing only legitimate births are very incomplete as representing the total number of births in these orders. Illegitimacy seems to be sensitive to economic conditions and to occur largely at the ages usual for first and second births. If illegitimate births were included there is little doubt that first and second births would be found to behave similarly to later orders.

Thus, a common factor in the behaviour of the birth rate would seem to be established, viz., a line trend eliminating the unusual. It is unusual for a mother 15-19 to be giving birth to her fourth child or a motber 40-44 to her first child and this is becoming more unusual. Conversely, it is becoming more usual for the third child to have a mother in the age group 25-29, for the fourth child to have a mother 30-34, for the sixth child a mother $35-39$ and for the fourteenth child to have a mother $45-49$. If mothers $45-49$ drop out of the picture, it is likely that the fourteenth child will also.

## GENERAL SUMMARY OF ORDER OF BIRTH

Statements L and LI and Chart 12 are by way of summary and further elucidation of comments and data already presented in this chapter. Going back to Statement XXXII, we see in a general way that there is an upward trend from 1927-36 in the proportion falling in the first order, meaning, of course, that there is a downward trend in one or more of the higher orders. Similarily, but with more interruption, we see an upward trend in the second order. The order at which the upward trend ceases and the downward begins cannot be easily detected from the figures as they stand because of the interruptions mentioned; consequently, it was necessary to resort to some kind of measurement, as the matter is important. The trend of each order was measured by the line of best fit to the percentages of each year. So long as the slope of this line was positive the trend was upward. Thus, considering the unadjusted figures in the first order of birth, our line tells us that the proportion falling in the first order increases 0.262 per year on an average; in the second order, 0.153 per year and so on, the average increase per year becoming smaller until we reach the fourth order when the trend begins to be downward, decreasing 0.047 per year. This decrease becomes greater until we reach the fifth order which shows 0.102 decrease. As we ascend the orders from this point, the decreases become less and less until we reach the fourteenth order when the proportion becomes stationary.

The adjusted figures show slightly less increase in the number falling in the first and second orders of birth. The first decrease, 0.013 , appears in the third order of birth and the decrease becomes greater until we reach the fifth, which also showed the greatest decrease in the unadjusted figures. From this point, $0 \cdot 111$ in the fifth order, the decreases gradually diminish until the tenth order and the remaining orders of birth show slight increases. The above results are shown in Statement $L$ and Chart 12.
L-AVERAGE ANNUAL INCREASE OR DECLINE IN PROPORTION FALLING IN EACH ORDER OF BIRTH, CANADA, 1927-1936

| Order of Birth | Increase or Decline in |  | Order of Birth |  | Increase or Decline in |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unadjusted Orders of Birth | Adjusted Orders of Birth |  |  | Unadjusted Orders of Birth | Adjusted Orders of Birth |
| 1st order of birth. | $+0.262$ | $+0.201$ |  | ${ }_{4}$ der of birth | -0.029 | -0.010 |
| 3nd ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ | +0.153 +0.008 | ${ }_{-0.013}$ | 11 th | " " | -0.014 | +0.012 +0.011 |
| 4 th | $-0.047$ | -0.054 | 12th | " " | -0.004 | +0.007 |
| 5th " | -0.102 | -0.111 | 13 th | " " | $-0.003$ | +0.005 |
| 6th " " | -0.097 | -0.085 | 14 th | " | $0 \cdot 000$ | +0.005 |
| 7th " " | -0.070 | -0.053 |  | " " | -0.001 | +0.003 |
| 8th " " | -0.049 | -0.028 |  |  |  |  |



* A verage $=$ the slope of the line of best fit for each order during the decade.

In general, we see that the first two orders of birth show increases over the ten-year period, the orders from the third to the ninth register decreases and the orders frem the tenth on are fairly stationary. Statement LI-the distribution for Canada and the provinces-shows that this was no regional tendency but the general trend over the nine provinces.
LI.-PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL BIRTHS OF (A) LOWER ORDER THAN THIRD, (B) THIRD TO NINTH ORDER AND (C) TENTH ORDER AND GVER, CANADA AND PROVINCES,

1927, 1930, 1933 AND 1936

| Province | Percentage of Total Births of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower Order than Thircl |  |  |  | Third to Ninth Order |  |  |  | Tenth Order and Over |  |  |  |
|  | 1927 | 1930 | 1933 | 1936 | 1927 | 1930 | 1933 | 1936 | 1927 | 1930 | 1933 | 1936 |
| Canada. | $38 \cdot 66$ | $42 \cdot 51$ | 41.08 | 44.48 | 53.45 | $50 \cdot 09$ | $51 \cdot 25$ | $48 \cdot 17$ | $7 \cdot 89$ | $7 \cdot 40$ | 7-67 | $7 \cdot 36$ |
| Prince Edward Island. | 36.90 | 37.97 | 38.84 | 39.56 | $56 \cdot 66$ | 55.87 | 53.46 | 52.94 | 6.44 | $6 \cdot 16$ | $7 \cdot 70$ | 7.50 |
| Nova Scotia | 36.39 | 39.65 | 41-37 | 44.05 | $56 \cdot 63$ | 53.55 | 51.95 | 50.11 | 6.98 | 6.80 | 6.68 | $5 \cdot 85$ |
| New Branswick | $33 \cdot 16$ | $35 \cdot 25$ | 34.58 | 38.26 | 58.01 | $55 \cdot 16$ | $54 \cdot 86$ | 51.63 | 8.83 | $9 \cdot 60$ | $10 \cdot 55$ | $10 \cdot 11$ |
| Quebes. | $30 \cdot 18$ | 33.28 | 30.86 | 33.93 | 56.35 | 54.04 | $56 \cdot 16$ | 53.42 | $13 \cdot 46$ | $12 \cdot 68$ | 12.98 | $12 \cdot 65$ |
| Ontario. | 46.81 | 50.76 | 49.82 | 53.42 | 49-40 | 45.91 | $46 \cdot 53$ | $43 \cdot 13$ | 3.73 | 3.33 | $3 \cdot 65$ | $3 \cdot 45$ |
| Manitoba | 40.84 | 46.09 | $46 \cdot 61$ | 49-92 | 53.59 | $48 \cdot 35$ | 47.99 | $45 \cdot 30$ | $5 \cdot 57$ | $5 \cdot 56$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | 4.79 |
| Saskatchewan | 37.69 | 42.77 | 41.57 | $44 \cdot 56$ | $55 \cdot 82$ | 50.97 | 52.24 | $49 \cdot 53$ | 6.49 | 6.27 | $6 \cdot 19$ | $5 \cdot 91$ |
| Alberta. | 43.08 | 47.56 | $46 \cdot 25$ | 49.26 | $52 \cdot 47$ | 48.26 | 49.87 | $46 \cdot 87$ | 4.47 | $4 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | $3 \cdot 87$ |
| British Columbia | 53.73 | 57-00 | $55 \cdot 54$ | 61.49 | 44.63 | 41.01 | 42.35 | 36.50 | 1 -64 | 1.99 | $2 \cdot 11$ | 2.01 |

Thus the orders of birth which suffered in the period from 1927-36 were the fourth to the tenth orders. The very large family ( 10 and upwards) did not suffer. The family which would he large for Inglish speaking people, city people, etc., did suffer.

## CHAPTER IV

## GROSS AND NET REPRODUCTION RATES

Introduction.-The interest taken in the downward trend of birth rates during the postWar period which has formed a noteworthy feature of the vital statistics of so many countries has led to the application of methods of measuring the decline in fertility. These are the gross and net reproduction rates.

Reproduction rates are often used as a stock-taking of the rather complicated issues of statistics of birth. These calculations are introduced to show the number of female children produced by each female in the population throughout the child-bearing period, assuming the birth and death rates of any given year. As the latter rates change from year to year it is obvious that the reproduction rates as calculated are subject to the same changes and, consequently, do not present a permanent picture such as would be presented if they were calculated on the data of a generation instead of the data of a single year. Nevertheless they are indicative, especially when a time series of such reproduction rates can be calculated. In the present chapter a series of gross reproduction rates are calculated for 1921 and 1.931 in the case of the Registration Area and for 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936 in the case of the Prairie Provinces. Obviously, the rates can be calculated only for the years when data for the total population are available, i.e., census years. In the absence of data for calculating net reproduction rates, gross rates are valuable as having a fairly constant degree of approximation to the net rates, i.e., subject only to as much variation in death rates as is seen by comparison of various life tables.

Gross Reproduction Rates.-The gross reproduction rates of Statement LII show in concise form the combined effect on the average fertility of all women of postponement of or abstention from marriage and of differences in fertility within marriage. The rate is subject to the criticism that it is based on the replacement of one sex by offspring of the same sex. For example, it is affected, though in comparatively slight degree, by differences in the masculinity rate of births. In spite of this fault, however, it presents a very significant measure of fertility and, though of comparatively recent development, is generally recognized as a very valuable method of summarizing specific fertility rates.

From the specific fertility rates of Statement XV for the average of 1921-22 and of 1931-32, gross reproduction rates have been computed for these two periods for the Registration Area considered as a whole and for each province which it contains.

The gross reproduction rate is intended to show how many female children each woman would produce during the child-bearing period, given a certain set of specific fertility rates, if no deaths occurred in the cohort of women while passing through this period. The steps which have been taken in the computation of these rates are as follows:-

Method of Convputing.-1. The specific fertility rates of Statement XV have been added over the set of age periods, commencing with 15-19 and ending with 45-49 years and the sum has been multiplied by five because each age group comprises a five-year period. The result then represents the number of children born to each thousand women passing through the childbearing period, assuming that no deaths take place during their passage through this period. For the Registration Area this "total fertility rate"* was 3,470 per thousand women or $3 \cdot 47$ per woman for 1921-22 and 2,848 per thousand women or 2.85 per woman for 1931-32.
2. The masculinity rate has been applied to this total fertility rate in order to obtain the number of female children born to each woman (instead of the number of children of both sexes) under these conditions. For the Registration Area the aggregate of the years 1921-22 gave a masculinity rate for births of 1.057 . To obtain the gross reproduction rate the total fertility rate is divided by 2.057 , giving for each woman an average of 1.69 female children. For 1931-32 the masculinity rate was 1.054 , so that the total fertility rate is divided by $2 \cdot 054$, giving a gross reproduction rate of 1.39 .

[^10]Trend in Gross Reproduction Rates, 1921-1931.- Examination of the gross reproduction rates in Statement LII shows that not only the total of the eight provinces but each individual province suffered a decline in its gross reproduction rate between 1921-22 and 1931-32. The most substantial proportionate decline was in Manitoba where the rate fell from 1.94 for 1921-22 to $1 \cdot 36$ in 1931-32, a decline of $29 \cdot 90$ p.c. Next in order were Saskatchewan and British Columbia with proportionate declines of 19.71 p.c. and 19.38 p.c., respectively. The falling-off of the gross reproduction rate was least in the Maritime Provinces and, amongst these, least in Nova Scotia. In this province the decline was only from 1.71 to 1.63 or 4.7 p.c.

LII-GROSS REPRODUCTION RATES, 1921-1922 AND 1931-1932 AND PERCENTAGE DECLINE OVER DECADE, REGISTRATION AREA AND PROVINCES

| Province |  | Gross <br> Reproduction Rate |  | $\underset{\text { Decline }}{\text { P.C. }}$ over <br> Decad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1921-22 | 1931-32 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prince Edward Island... |  | 1.71 | $1 \cdot 63$ | $4 \cdot 68$ |
| Nova Scotia.... |  | $2 \cdot 10$ | 1.93 | $8 \cdot 10$ |
| New Brunswick. |  | 1.53 | $1 \cdot 26$ | 17.65 |
| Ontario... |  | 1.94 | $1 \cdot 36$ | 29.90 |
| Manitoba...... |  | 2.08 1.89 | 1.67 1.60 | 19.71 15.34 |
| Alberta. |  | 1.29 | 1.04 | 19.38 19 |
| British Columbia. |  | - |  |  |

Trend in Gross Reproduction Rates in the Prairie Provinces, 1921-1936.-In the case of the Prairie Provinces it is possible to calculate gross reproduction rates for four periods, viz., 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936. The rates of total fertility and gross reproduction as based upon these years are shown in Statement LIII.
LIII-TOTAL FERTILITY AND GROSS REPRODUCTION, SHOWING RATE AND PERCENTAGE EACH yEAR FORMS OF 1921, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936

| Province and Year |  | Total Fertility |  | Gross Reproduction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rate | $\underset{i 921}{\text { P.C. of }}$ | Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.C. of } \\ & 1921 \end{aligned}$ |
| Prairic Provinces- |  | $4 \cdot 13$ | $100 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | $100 \cdot 00$ |
| 1921....... |  | 3.54 | 85.71 | 1.72 | 85.57 |
| 1926....... |  | $3 \cdot 24$ | 78.45 | 1.58 | $78 \cdot 61$ |
| 1936........ |  | $2 \cdot 71$ | $65 \cdot 62$ | $1 \cdot 32$ | $65 \cdot 67$ |
| Manitoba- |  | 4.05 | 100.00 | 1.98 | $100 \cdot 00$ |
| 1921. |  | $3 \cdot 17$ | 78.27 | 1.53 | 77.27 |
| 1931. |  | 2.82 2.34 | $69 \cdot 63$ <br> 57.78 | 1.40 1.13 | $70 \cdot 71$ 57.07 |
| 1936...... |  | $2 \cdot 34$ | 57.78 | $1 \cdot 13$ | 57 |
| Saskatchewan- |  | $4 \cdot 32$ | 100.00 | $2 \cdot 09$ | 100.00 |
| 1921.... |  | $3 \cdot 88$ | 89.81 | 1.89 | 90.43 |
| 1926..... |  | 3.48 | 80.56 | 1-69 | $80 \cdot 80$ |
| 1930.... |  | $2 \cdot 95$ | $68 \cdot 29$ | $1 \cdot 43$ | 68.42 |
| Alberta- |  | $3 \cdot 85$ | 100.00 | 1.89 | $100 \cdot 00$ |
| 1926. |  | $3 \cdot 52$ | 91.43 | 1.72 | 91.01 85.71 |
| 1931. |  | $3 \cdot 37$ $2 \cdot 82$ | $87 \cdot 53$ 73.25 | 1.38 1.38 | $85 \cdot 71$ 73.02 |
| 1936 |  |  |  |  |  |

The gross reproduction rate shows a progressive decline over the four periods in the case of each province and, of course, for the total of the provinces. Thus it will be observed that according to their fertility rates, women of all conjugal conditions in 1921 in the Prairie Provinces would, on the average, bear 2.01 female children if there were no deaths amongst the women in passing through this period. By 1926 the figure had come down to $1 \cdot 72$, by 1931 to 1.58 and by 1936 to

1-32. By comparison with Statement LII it is seen that the 1936 rate for Manitoba was lower than for any province of Canada in 1931-32 except British Cclumbia. The statement helps to explain what has already been said about Manitoba's decline. However, in general, the most serious decline in these three provinces tock place between 1931 and 1936. This can readily be seen from the index in the last column of Statement LIII which expresses the reproduction rate of each year as an index of the rate of 1921.

Net Reproduction Rates.-As already stated, the gross reproducticn rate takes no account of the possibility of a woman dying during the child-bearing period. Not only that but it also makes no allowance for the possibility of a female dying before attaining child-bearing age. Such prssibilities are not, as a matter of fact, within the scope of fertility but they do affect the extent to which females of one generation are being replaced by an equal or greater number of female offspring in the next. A measure has therefore come somewhat widely into use in recent years which, together with the fertility of women of all conjugal conditions, takes into account the mortality rates from birth to the end of the child-bearing period. This measure is called the net reprcduction rate.

Methot of Compu ing.-In crder to present net repreducticn rates for 1921-22 and 1931-32, i.e., fer the same pericds as those of the gress repreductic $n$ rates in Statement I.II, it was necessary to have life tables showing the number of survivcrs from a anit number of female births in each of the five-year age groups for which fertility rates have been computed. These figures of survivors were furnished by the Social Enalysis Rranch of the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reau of Statistics but this work has only been carried out for the Registration Area as the survivorshif, to apply to the fertility rates of 1921-22, required the computation of a special table. The steps in the computation of the net reproduction rates were as follows:-

1. From a given number of female births the life tables supplied by the Social Analysis Branch gave the number of survivors in each five-year group between the 15 th and toth birthdays.
2. The specific fertility rates of all women she wn in Statement XV were respectively applied to the number of stirvivors in each age group. This gave the tctal number of children born to the survivers during the whole child-bearing jeriod. (As the total number of survivers in cach five-year age group was used instead of the average number in the five-year age group, the multiplication by five which was performed in computing the gross reproduction rate was unnecessary.)
3. The masculinity rates of 1921-22 and 1931-32 were applied in the same manner as described above in connection with the gross repreducticn rate in order to obtain the number of female children of the total number born (i.e., both sexes).
4. The total number of female children born through the whole child-bearing period to the survivors of a given number of females at birth was divided by this given number to find the number of female offspring who would, on the average, replace each female child born under the conditions of survivorship and fertility existing at the period for which the computation was made.

Trend in Net Reproduction Rates.-The net reproduction rate for the Registration Area computed in this manner was 1.41 for 1921-22 and 1.21 for 1931-32. The decline was $14 \cdot 18$ p.c. as against a decline of 17.75 p.c. shown in Statement LII for the gross reproduction rate. This smaller decline is, of course, the result of improved survivorship at the later period partly counteracting the effect of decreased fertility.

Although the decline of $14 \cdot 18$ p.c. in the net reproduction rate was substantial, it will be observed in Statement LIV to follow that the population of the eight provinces as a whole had still, in 1931-32, sufficiently high fertility to dò more than reproduce itself, since five female children born would, on the average, under the existing conditions of fertility and mortality, be replaced by more than six female offspring.

As already explained, it was not considered feasible to compute the net reproduction rate by provinces for a period around 1921. This has ${ }^{\text {T}}$-en done, however, for the three years 1930-32, life tables connputed in the Social Analysis Branch being used to obtain the number of survivors for these rates. , The results, together with the gross reproduction rates by provinces for the same period, are given in Statement LIV.
LIV.-GROSS AND NET REPRODUCTION RATES, CANADA, REGIONAL DIVISIONS AND PROVINCES, 1930-1932

| Province or Region | Gross Reproduction Rate 1930-32 | Net <br> Reproduction Rate 1930-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada. | 1.55 | $1 \cdot 32$ |
| Maritime Provinces. | 1.76 | $1 \cdot 47$ |
| Princo Edward Island.. | 1.66 1.63 | 1.41 1.37 |
| Nova Scotia........... | 1.63 1.93 | 1.37 1.61 |
| Now l3runswick. | 1.93 1.93 | $1 \cdot 64$ |
| 'Quebec.. | 1.93 1.28 | 1-134 |
| Ontario.......... | 1.58 | 1.39 |
| Prairic Provinces. | 1.37 | 1.21 |
| Manitoba....... | 1.70 | 1.50 |
| Alberta........ | 1.65 <br> 1.07 | 1.45 0.94 |
| British Columbia... | $1 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 94$ |
| Registration Area. | 1.41 | $1 \cdot 231$ |

1 The life table on which the net reproduction rate of the Registration Area has been computed was for 1931 only instead of $1930 \cdot 32$. The difference thus produced would be very slight.

For Canada as a whole, the gross reproduction rate for these three years was 1.55 , the net reproduction rate, $1 \cdot 32$. Among the provinces, Quebec and New Brunswick stood highest in the gross reproduction rate with the same figure, 1-93. In the net reproduction rate, however, although they were still the first two provinces, better survivorship rates in New Brunswick gave that province a figure of $1 \cdot 61$ while Quebec stood at 1.54. Only one province, British Columbia, showed a net reproduction rate below unity, the figure being 0.94 . In other words, under the fertility and mortality conditions existing in British Columbia for the period 1930-32 the female population was not reproducing itself. Of the remaining provinces, Ontario showed the narrowest margin, its net reproduction rate being $1 \cdot 13$.

Mean Length of One Generation.-Since the unit represented by the reproduction rates is obviously a generation, it is necessary to state the mean length of a generation. Following a method described by Dublin and Lotka this was calculated on the basis of the specific fertility rates of 1930-32 and Canadian Life Tebles, 1981. The mean length of one gencration thus caiculated was 29.76 years in the case of females and 34.38 in the case of males referring to Canada as a whole.

## PART II <br> DIFFERENTIAL FERTILITY

## INTRODUCTION

Limitations of Introduction of Differential Fertility in Study of Post-War Trend.It would add to the value of study of the post-War trend in fertility if it could be considered in relation to differential fertility, i.e., if we could examine and compare the extent of the trend for the different categories of the population under such classifications as rural and urban and regional divisions, according to economic position as indicated, say, by the occupation of the father, or for the various categories under such headings as racial origin and birthplace. For such study, however, the material is either not available or available but in an imperfect form.

So far as a classification of births by rural or urban residence is concerned, or a division of urban births into classes according to size, this is rendered impossible by the fact that from the first the assignment of births was made according to the locality of occurrence, not according to the residence of parents. The reasons underlying this choice were of a practical nature, mainly the difficulties surrounding assignment to place of residence on account of the inexact manner in which this was frequently given on the certificate. These difficulties, while still existing, have been at least partially overcome and the first classification of births by place of residence was made for the purpose of this monograph for the years 1930-32. Full details of the classifications will appear in Chapter VII. The routine year-by-year classification on this basis commenced only with the year 1936. To differentiate rural and urban trend on the basis of a classification of births by place of occurrence might be very misleading owing to the fact that there appears to be, in general, a tendency more and more for the event to take place in an institution and this would introduce a definite and quite important bias; the fact that many births in large urban institutions are to mothers residing in smaller urban units or in rural communities puts such an analysis out of the question.

Since the institutionalization of births is in itself an interesting subject apart from its importance as a disturbing factor in analysing regional birth rates, a brief summary of births in institutions is given in Statement LV.
LV.-PERCENTAGE BIRTHS IN INSTITUTIONS FORM OF TOTAL BIRTHS, CANADA, 1926-1936

| Year |  | Live Births |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | In Institutions |  |
|  |  | No. | P.C |
|  |  |  | 232,750 | 41.521 | $17 \cdot 8$ |
| 1927. |  | 234.188 | 45.148 | 19.3 |
| 1928. |  | 236,757 | 50.979 57 | 21.5 |
| 1929. |  | 235,415 | 57.730 | ${ }^{24 \cdot 5}$ |
| 1930. |  | 243,495 | ${ }_{64}^{64,850}$ | 26.6 20.8 |
| 1931. |  | ${ }_{2}^{245,473}$ | 64,524 | $20 \cdot 8$ 27.5 |
| 1932. |  | 222,868 | 64, 63.54 | 28.5 |
| 1933. |  | 221, 303 | 66,441 | 30.0 |
| 1034. |  | 221,451 | 71,567 | $32 \cdot 3$ |
| 1935. |  |  |  | $34 \cdot 5$ |
| 1936. |  | 220,371 | 76.047 |  |

Material for any analysis by occupation is also lacking for the early part of the period. The National System of Vital Statistics having been initiated only in the year 1920, it was natural that the tabulations of the early years should be less minute than at a later stage and no classification of births by occupation of the father was made for years sufficiently close to the Census of 1921 to allow of a comparison with a period close to the Census of 1931.

Dating from the first detailed report (for the year 1921), racial origin of parents and birthplace of parents have been tabulated year by year and province by province; but. for the period in the neighbourhood of the Census of 1921, neither the classification of births by racial origin nor the census classification by racial origin or birthplace is available by suitable age groups for detailed analysis. In the two next chapters, therefore, dealing respectively with racial origin and birthplace, the rates which are compared at the time of the two censuses are merely crude rates.

## CHAPTER V

## RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY

## BIRTHS AND BIRTH RATES BY RACIAL ORIGIN

Trend in the Registration Area.-Statement LVI shows, for the Registration Area, the annual number and index (based on 1921) of live births for certain racial origins over the period 1921-36, with crude rates for each of the specified origins for the average of 1921-22 and of 1931-32. In computing these rates it was assumed that in the estimates of population for 1922 and 1932 each racial origin bore the same proportion to the total as at the Censuses of 1921 and 1931, respectively. It might be disputed whether the gain in having the births of two years in each case for the purpose of stability is not offset by this assumption but an additional reason for basing the rates in each case on the births of two years was that the number of births to parents of unstated origin was much greater in 1921 than in subsequent years.

The births have been listed according to the racial origin of the father in the case of legitimate births and of the mother in the case of illegitimate births.

In addition to the racial origins which have been selected on account of their considerable numbers, the statement includes Indian, Negro, Chinese and Japanese because of special interest which might be attached to these origins. Indian, for the purpose of this statement, includes also half-breeds stated as such. With Chinese, Japanese and Negro births are included also those for which one parent was of one of these origins, but, if one parent belonged to one of these origins and the other parent to another, the origin of the father was given the preference.

Disposing.first of these origins, it will be noted that the statement shows a marked upward trend for Indian birthis which, however, may be mainly attributed to constantly improving registration of Indians. At the beginning of the period one province, Manitoba, would not accept Indian registrations while in some other cases no adequate provision had been made for obtaining them. Through the efforts of the Provincial Registrars, the Department of Indian Affairs and the Dominion Bureau of Statistics, this condition was gradually remedied, so that the registration of Indian births at the end of the period, as evidenced by a crude birth rate of 32.90 per thousand, was well on its way to a satisfactory condition. Japanese births during the first half of the period showed an upward trend which was reversed during the last half. It is probable that the upward movement was, in the main, merely an apparent one due to improved birth registration as Japanese parents came to find the advantages arising from registration. Chinese births also showed some upward movement in the early part of the period but it was much more sligbt and uncertain and the gerieral tendency has been downward. The crude birth rate for 1921-22 was only $8 \cdot 92$ and fell to $5 \cdot 73$ for 1931-32. These rates compare with 38.98 and $33 \cdot 72$, respectively for Japanese births but the disparity between these two sets of figures is very largely accounted for by the much more favourable age and sex distribution of the Japanese population of Canada. Negro births showed no very definite trend either upward or downward. Their birth rate was 23.99 for 1921-22 and 22.42 for 1931-32.

Looking at the absolute figures for the chief racial origins, it will be observed that out of a decline of some 24,000 births between the first and last year of the period, births to British stocks alone accounted for almost the full decline, the difference between 1921 and 1936 being more than 23,000. The birth rate of these origins for 1921-22 was $22 \cdot 63$ and for 1931-32 was 18.13. As among Enlgish, Irish and Scottish, the English birth rate showed the heaviest decline, the Irish the least. The English rate was still, however, the highest of the three for 1931-32.

French births showed a fluctuating movement of small extent over the period and were somewhat higher at the end than at the beginning but the crude rate declined from 33.51 in 1921-22 to 29-59 in 1931-32. In other words, the births to this racial stock did not arpear to increase during this ten years in any proportion commensurate with the increase in population.

LVI- NUMBER AND INDEX (BASED ON 1921) OF LIVE BIRTHS, BY SPECIFIED RACIAL ORIGIN2, REGISTRATION AREA,
1921-1936, WITH CRUDE RATES FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1921-1922 AND OF 1931-1932

| Year | All Races | British | English | Irish | Scottish | French | Belgian | Central and Eastern European | Chinese | Dutch | Hebrew | Indian | Italian | Japanese | Negro | Scandinavian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIRTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921.............. | 168,979 | 106,528 | 60.462 | 20,566 | 24,664 | 19,06418,886 | 560518 |  | 321 |  | 1,615 | 1,224 | 2,252 |  | 409 4 | 4.148 3.878 |
|  |  |  |  | 19,715 | 23,327 |  |  | 21,571 | 347 | 1,587 | 1,642 | 1,529 | 2,145 | 613 | 423419 | 3,8783.893 |
| 1922............. | 164, 194 | 98,813 | 54,893 | 19,715 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,656 | 1,605 | 1,618 | 2,202 |  |  |  |
| 1923. | 156,897 | 101,403 | 56,102 | 20,219 | 24,282 | 18,622 | 481 | 21,831 | 358 |  |  |  |  | 689 715 | 419 426 | $3,991$ |
| 1924.............. | 157,595 | 100,112 | 54,853 | 20,682 | 23,728 | 19,120 | 479 | 22,687 | 345 | 1,800 | 1,476 | 2,134 | 2,292 | $753$ | 421 | 3,091 3,934 |
| 1925. | 154,861 | 97,966 | 53,229 | 20,529 | 23,387 | 19,032 | 488 | 22,484 | 350 | 1,865 | 1,465 | 2,413 | 2,178 | 753 801 | 392 | 3,992 |
| 1926. | 150,585 | 93,975 | 51,128 | 19,467 | 22,522 | 18,838 | 509 | 22,827 | 324 | 1,944 | .1,366 | 2,391 | 2,061 | 801 821 | 392 433 |  |
| 1927. | 151, 124 | 93,252 | 50,119 | 19,664 | 22,632 | 18,820 | - 528 | 23,345 | 299 | 2,099 | 1,287 | 2,554 | 2,126 | - 872 | 433 | 4,071 |
| 1928. | 153,136 | 93,622 | 49,954 | 19,813 | 22,968 | 18,694 | 4544 | 24,371 | 254 | 2,267 | 1,400 | 2,538 | 2,093 |  | 437 | 4.293 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 22,137 | 18,889 | 9590 | 25,673 | 277 | 2,337 | 1,472 | 2,930 | 1,976 | 890 | 370 | 4,544 |
| 1929. | 154,035 | 92,277. | 49,679 | 19,556 |  |  | 004 | 28,001 |  | 2,433 | 1,495 | 3,071 |  | 853 | 394 | 4,843 |
| 1930............. | 159,870 | 94,984 | 50,903 | 20,411 | 22,782 | 19,176 | 6604 | 28.001 | 276 | 2,433 | 1,495 | 3,071 | 2,001 |  |  |  |
| 1931. | 156,867 | 91,771 | 48,290 | 20,372 | 22,128 | 19,508 | $8 \quad 605$ | 28,188 | 257 | 2,594 | 1,499 | -3,690 | 1,976 | 842 <br> 735 | 391 | 4,501 |
| 1932. | 153,450 | 88,668 | 46,527 | 19,751 | 21,510 | 19,639 | - 548 | 27,763 | 247 | 2,551 | 1,453 |  | 1,885 | 735 | 412 | 4,607 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,773 | $3 \quad 498$ | 26,460 | 227 | 2,474 | 1,369 | 3,708 | 1,679 | 668 | 433 | 4,363 |
| 1933. | 145,948 | 84,018 | 44, 174 | 18,952 | 20,072 | 18,773 |  |  |  | 2.535 |  | 3,990 | 1,576 | 648 | 421 | 4,422 |
| 1934. | 144, 871 | 83,170 | 43,314 | 18,868 | 20,063 | 18,766 |  | 26,091 | 212 | 2.535 | 1,283 | 3,950 | 1,5761 |  |  | 4,451 |
| 1935. | 146,184 | 83,314 | 43,116 | 18,979 | 20,273 | 19,764 |  | 25,995 | 193 | -2,712 | 1,336 |  | 1,641 | 563 | 450 |  |
| 1936. | 145,086 | 83,210 | 43,199 | 19, 103 | 19,967 | 19,685 | 546 | 25,227 | - 202 | 2,700 | 1,324 | 3,982 | 1,536 | 575 | 477 | 4,477 |
| Crude birth rate$1921-22 . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.9922.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 19 \\ & 20 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $25 \cdot 81$ | $22 \cdot 63$ | 24.42 | 19.77 | 21.51 | 33.51 | $51 \quad 31.63$ | $30 \cdot 66$ | 8.925.73 | 13.8317.39 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 20 \cdot 70 \\ & 15 \cdot 18\end{aligned}\right.$ | $14 \cdot 56$$32 \cdot 90$ | $43 \cdot 18$26.18 | 38.98 <br> 33.72 |  |  |
| 1931-32. | $20 \cdot 60$ | 18.13 | 18.81 | 17.77 | 17.24 | 29.59 | 24.65 | 25.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^11]LV1.-NUMBER AND INDEX (BASED ON 1921) OF LIVE BIRTHS, BY SPECIFIED RACIAL ORIGIN2, REGISTRATION AREA,
1921-1936, WITH CRUDE RATES FOR THE AVERAGE OF 1921-1922 AND OF' 1931-1932-Con.

| Year | All Races | British | English | Irish | Scottish | French | Belgian | Central and Eastern European | Chinese | Dutch | Hebrew | Indian | Italian | Japanese | Negro | Scandinavian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDEX OF BIRTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921.. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $103 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | , $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| 1922.. | 97.2 | 92.8 | 90.8 | 95.9 | $94 \cdot 6$ | $99 \cdot 1$ | $92 \cdot 5$ | 96-2 | $108 \cdot 1$ | 96.7 | 101.7 | 124.9 | 95.2 | 97.8 | 103.4 | 93.5 |
| 1923... | 92.8 | 95.2 | 92.8 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 85.9 | 97.3 | 120.9 | $100 \cdot 9$ | 99.4 | $132 \cdot 2$ | 97.8 | 109.9 | 102.4 | 93.9 |
| 1924.... | $93 \cdot 3$ | 94.0 | 90.7 | $100 \cdot 6$ | 96.2 | $100 \cdot 3$ | $85 \cdot 5$ | $101 \cdot 1$ | 107.5 | 109.6 | 91.4 | 174-3 | 101.8 | 114.0 | 104.2 | 96.2 |
| 1925. | 91.6 | $92 \cdot 0$ | 88.0 | $99 \cdot 8$ | 94.8 | 99.8 | $87 \cdot 1$ | 100.2 | 109.0 | $113 \cdot 6$ | 90.7 | $\bigcirc 197.1$ | 101.8 96.7 | 120.1 | 104.2 | 94.8 |
| 1926. | 89.1 | $88 \cdot 2$ | $84 \cdot 6$ | 94.7 | 91-3 | 98.8 | $90 \cdot 9$ | 101.8 | $100 \cdot 9$ | 118.4 | 84.6 |  | $90 \cdot 7$ 91.5 | $120 \cdot 1$ 127.8 | $102 \cdot 9$ | $94 \cdot 8$ |
| 1927... | 89.4 | $87 \cdot 5$ | $82 \cdot 9$ | $95 \cdot 6$ | 91.8 | 98.7 | $94 \cdot 3$ | $104 \cdot 1$ | 93.1 | 127.8 | 84.6 79.7 | 195.3 208.7 | $91 \cdot 5$ | 127.8 | $95 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | 96.2 |
| 1928. | 90.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79.7 | 208.7 | 94-4 | $130 \cdot 9$ | $105 \cdot 9$ | 98.1 |
|  | $90 \cdot 6$ | 87.9 | $82 \cdot 6$ | $96 \cdot 3$ | 93-1 | 98.1 | 97-1 | 108.6 | $79 \cdot 1$ | $138 \cdot 1$ | $86 \cdot 7$ | 207-4 | 92.9 | $139 \cdot 1$ | 106.8 | $103 \cdot 5$ |
| 1929. | $91 \cdot 2$ | $86 \cdot 6$ | $82 \cdot 2$ | $95 \cdot 1$ | 89.8 | 99-1 | 105-4 | 114.4 | $86 \cdot 3$ | 142-3 | 91.1 | $239 \cdot 4$ | 87.7 | 141.9 | 90.5 | 109.5 |
| 1930. | $94 \cdot 6$ | 89.2 | $84 \cdot 2$ | $99 \cdot 2$ | 92.4 | 100.6 | 107.8 | $124 \cdot 8$ | 86.0 | 148.2 | $92 \cdot 6$ | $250 \cdot 9$ | 91.5 | 136.0 | 98.3 | 116.8 |
| 1931. | 92.8 | $86 \cdot 1$ | 79.9 | 99.1 | 89.7 | $102 \cdot 3$ | 108.0 | 125-6 | $80 \cdot 1$ | $158 \cdot 0$ | $92 \cdot 8$ | 266.9 | 91.5 87.7 | $134 \cdot 3$ | ${ }^{96} \cdot 3$ | 116.8 |
| 1932. | $90 \cdot 8$ | 83.2 | 77.0 | 96.0 | $87 \cdot 2$ | 103.0 | 97.9 | 123.8 | 76.9 | 155.4 | 00.8 |  | 87.7 | $134 \cdot 3$ | $95 \cdot 6$ | $110 \cdot 0$ |
| 1933. | 86.4 | 78.9. | 73.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0 | 301.5 | 83.7 | 117-2 | $1 \mathrm{CO} \cdot 7$ | $111 \cdot 1$ |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{7} \cdot 1$ |  | $81 \cdot 4$ | 98.5 | 88.9 | 117.9 | 70.7 | $150 \cdot 7$ | 84.8 | $302 \cdot 9$ | 74.6 | $106 \cdot 5$ | 105.9. | $105 \cdot 2$ |
| 1034. | 85.7 | $78 \cdot 1$ | $71 \cdot 6$ | 91.7 | $81 \cdot 3$ | $98 \cdot 4$ | 97.3 | 116.3 | 66.0 | $154 \cdot 4$ | 79-4 | 326.0 | 70.0 | $103 \cdot 3$ | $102 \cdot 9$ | $106 \cdot 6$ |
| 1935.. | 86.5 | 78.2 | $71 \cdot 3$ | $92 \cdot 3$ | $82 \cdot 2$ | 103.7 | 102.5 | 115.9 | $60 \cdot 1$ | $165 \cdot 2$ | 82.7 | 322.7 | 72.9 | 89.8 |  |  |
| 1936... | 85.9 | $78 \cdot 1$ | $71 \cdot 4$ | 92.9 | 81.0 | $103 \cdot 3$ | 97.5 | $112 \cdot 4$ | $62 \cdot 9$ | $164 \cdot 4$ | $82 \cdot 0$ | $325 \cdot 3$ | 68-2 | 89.8 91.7 | 110.0 116.6 | 107.3 107.9 |

The number of births of Dutch racial origin showed a considerable increase during the period. There were 1,642 in 1921 and only 1,587 in 1922, but in 1935 and 1936 the number of births of this racial origin was in the neighbourhood of $2,700 .^{\circ}$ A mere increase in the Dutch population between 1921 and 1931 did not by any means account for the increase in Dutch births during the decade, since the rate for 1921-22 was only $13 \cdot 83$ and increased to $17 \cdot 39$ for 1931-32. Both rates have an artificial appearance, the first one particularly so. This may be attributed to the confusion of Dutch racial origin with German, of which there is evidence at the beginning of the period under review. It would produce its effect on the birth rate, of course, by increasing the number of births returned as Dutch in less proportion than the increase in the census population returned as Dutch.

Italian births showed, on the whole, a well-marked downward trend during the period, though fluctuations were frequent. The rate for 1921-22 was the highest of any racial origin listed in the statement, $43 \cdot 18$, but after a lapse of ten years it had declined to $26 \cdot 18$ for 1931-32.

In spite of'a very substantial increase in the Hebrew population between 1921 and 1931, the number of births during the period showed a tendency to fall off. The rate for 1921-22 was 20.70; for 1931-32, $15 \cdot 18$. The downward trend continued, in the main, through the remaining years of the period with the result that Hebrew births, which in 1921 numbered 1,615 and in 1922 numbered 1,642 , gave a total of only 1,324 in 1936 . This was not the lowest year of the period, for 1927 had shown only 1,287 births and 1934 only 1,283 .

Scandinavian racial origins, which include Danish, Icelandic, Norwegian and Swedish, showed a slight upward trend in numbers with a downward fluctuation in certain years. Between 1921-22 and 1931-32 the rate fell from $24 \cdot 19$ to $20 \cdot 45$ and declined during the period somewhat less proportionately than th.at of "all races."

Owing to the difficulty in tringing tcgether figures frem vital statistics records and from census compilations for the races of Central and Eastern Europe treated separately, these origins have been combined in the statement. They include German, Russian, Finnish, Polish, Ukrainian, "Austrian" and the crigins of the Balkan states, was well as those racial origins from the smaller states which were fermed after the War in territory formerly belongiag to Russia. The inclusion of Cerman is duc to the fact that many inhabitants of the territory forming the old AustroHisngarian Empire were of Germanic origin and speech and an unknown number of those returned as Austrian were in the same category. Some confusion must also be expected between Ukrainian and Russian, though probably ecnfined, in the main, to the beginning of the period. Ukrainians from the old Austro-Hungarian Empire ate frequently returned as "Austrian."

In absolute numbers the racial origins of Central and Eastern Europe show, in general, an upward movement during the period but the highest number of births for these origins was in 1930 and 1931 and from this point a decline of nearly 3,000 took place before the end of the year 1936. The birth rate of these origins was $30 \cdot 66$ in 1921-22 and $25 \cdot 18$ in 1931-32. This decline, it may be observed was proporticnately somewhat smaller than that of all racial origins con:bined.

Trend in Canada as a Whole.-Statement LVII presents by racial origin for Canada (nine provinces) the amual number and index (based on 1926) of births for the years 1926-36.

In 1920 we have 232,750 births and then an upward trend to 1930 , when the number was 243,495. From this point there were yearly reductions until 1936, with the exception of 1935 which showed an increase of 148 over the previous year. The 1936 figure, 220,371 births, showed a marked decrease from that of the beginning cf the pericd. This decrease of 12,379 is almost wholly accounted fcr by the decrease in births to British stocks of 11,774 , a fall from 100,612 in 1926 ta 88,838 in 1936. The fall in births of English crigin was 8,386 and of Scottish origin, 2,742. Irish make up the remaining derline of 729 .

Births of French origin varied irregularly throughout the whole period, reaching their high of 92,305 in 1928 and their low of 85,551 in 1934 and showing slight recoveries in 1935 and 1936.

Of the cther main origins we find Dutch with the large percentage increase of 37.3 . In 1926 there were 1,977 Dutch births, increasing not uninterruptedly to 2,714 in 1936 . The number of Italian births was 2,823 in 1926 and 2,919 in 1927 but gradually declined to reach a low of

| Year | All Races | British | English | Irish | Scottish | French | Belgian | Central and Eastern European | Ohinese | Dutch | Hebrew | Indian | Italian | Japanese | Negro | Scandinavian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| BIRTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926............ | 232,750 | 100,612 | 54,405 | 21,614 | 23,713 | 91,131 | 580 | 23,441 | 337 | 1,977 | 2,051 | 2,621 | 2,823 | 802 |  |  |
| 1927. | 234,188 | 99,949 | 53,335 | 21,866 | 23, 890 | 92,136 | 604 | 23, 895 | 308 | 2,123 | 1,970 | 2,757 | 2,919 | 821 | 417 458 | 4,026 4,128 |
| 1928. | 236,757 | 100,283 | 53,194 | 22,064 | .24.129 | 92,305 | 627 | 24,906 | 265 | 2.299 | 2,155 | 2,747 | 2,871 | 873 | 466 | 4,128 |
| 1929. | 235,415 | 98,627 | 52,869 | 21,577 | 23,257 | 90,361 | 655 | 26,325 | 290 | 2,368 | 2,188 | 3,116 | 2,743 | 891 | 466 | 4,343 |
| 1930. | 243,495 | 101,850 | 54,312 | 22.601 | 24,022 | 91,877 | 680 | 28,852 | 287 | 2,462 | 2,209 | ${ }_{3,296}$ | 2,768 | 853 | 401 | 4.620 |
| 1931. | 240,473 | 98,500 | .51,697 | 22.461 | 23,342 | 92,241 | 678 | 29.154 | 270 | 2,615 | 2,173 | 3,459 | 2.687 | ${ }_{843} 853$ | 438 | 4,926 |
| 1932. | 235,666 | 95.182 | 49,804 | 21,797 | 22,691 | 91,470 | 609 | 28,814 | 261 | 2,581 | 2,204 | 3,891 | 2,509 | 735 | 414 | 4,647 4,696 |
| 1933. | 222,868 | 89,923 | 47, 212 | 20,773 | 21,104 | 85,917 | 559 | 27,401 | 246 | 2,506 | 2,136 | 3,972 | 2.269 | 670 | 4 | 4,696 4.440 |
| 1934. | 221,303 | 88,934 | 46,297 | 20,675 | 21,023 | 85,551 | 604 | 26,980 | 223 | 2,554 | 2,105 | 4,266 | 2,143 | 649 | 434 | 4,440 |
| 1935. | 221,451 | 89,129 | 46,081 | 20,835 | 21,255 | 85,606 | 639 | -26,751 | 215 | 2,735 | 2,171 | 4,237 | 2,195 | 548 | 434 470 | 4,513 4.518 |
| 1936 | 220,371 | 88,838 | 46,019 | 20,885 | 20,971 | 85,707 | 633 | 26.018 | 210 | 2,714 | 2,147 | 4,289 | 2,048 | 575 | 490 | 4,518 4,558 |
| Crude birth rate 1931-32 | 22.83 | 17-89 | 18.41 | 17.88 | 17.01 | $31 \cdot 19$ | $23 \cdot 20$ | 24.98 | 5.68 | 17.34 | 13.88 | $30 \cdot 81$ | 26.31 | $33 \cdot 68$ | 21.65 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $20 \cdot 31$ | 33.68 | $21 \cdot 65$ | 20.39 |


| 1926. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1927. | $100 \cdot 6$ | $99 \cdot 3$ | 98.0 | 101.2 | $100 \cdot 7$ | $101 \cdot 1$ | $104 \cdot 1$ | 101.9 | 100.0 91.4 | 107.4 | $100 \cdot 0$ $96 \cdot 1$ | $100 \cdot 0$ <br> $105 \cdot 2$ | 100.0 103.4 | $100 \cdot 0$ 102.4 | $100 \cdot 0$ 109.8 | $100 \cdot 0$ 102.5 |
| 1928. | 101.7 | 99.7 | $97 \cdot 8$ | $102 \cdot 1$ | 101.8 | 101.3 | $108 \cdot 1$ | 106.2 | $78 \cdot 6$ | 116.3 | 105.1 | $104 \cdot 8$ | 101.7 | 108.9 | $109 \cdot 8$ | 102.5 107.9 |
| 1930. | $101 \cdot 1$ $104 \cdot 6$ | 98.0 101.2 | 97.2 99.8 | $99 \cdot 8$ $104 \cdot 6$ | $98 \cdot 1$ 101.3 | 99.2 | $112 \cdot 9$ | 112.3 | $86 \cdot 1$ | $119 \cdot 8$ | 106-7 | 118.9 | 97.2 | $111 \cdot 1$ | 96-2 | 114.8 |
| 1931. | 103.3 | 97.9 | $95 \cdot 0$ | 103.9 <br> 100.8 | $101 \cdot 3$ 98.4 | $100 \cdot 8$ 101.2 | 117.2 116.9 | $124 \cdot 4$ | $85 \cdot 2$ 80.1 | $124 \cdot 5$ <br> 132.3 <br> 10 | 107-7 | $125 \cdot 8$ | $98 \cdot 1$ | 106.4 | 105.0 | 122.4 |
| 1932. | 101.3 | $94 \cdot 6$ | 91.5 | $100 \cdot 8$ | $95 \cdot 7$ | 100.4 | 105.0 | 122.9 | $80 \cdot 1$ 77 | $132 \cdot 3$ <br> 130.6 | $105 \cdot 9$ 107.5 | $132 \cdot 0$ | $95 \cdot 2$ | $105 \cdot 1$ | $99 \cdot 3$ | 115.4 |
| 1933. | $95 \cdot 8$ | 89.4 | 86.8 | 96.1 | 89.0 | 94.3 | ${ }_{96.4}$ | 116.9 | 73.0 | 126.8 126 | $104 \cdot 1$ | $148 \cdot 5$ | 88.9 80.4 | 91.6 83.5 | $103 \cdot 8$ | $116 \cdot 6$ |
| 1934. | $95 \cdot 1$ | 88.4 | 85-1 | $95 \cdot 7$ | 88.7 | 93.9 | 104.1 | 115.1 | 66.2 | 129.2 | $102 \cdot 6$ | ${ }_{162.8}$ | 80.4 75.9 | 83.5 80.9 | $108 \cdot 9$ | 110.3 |
| 1935. | $95 \cdot 1$ | $88 \cdot 6$ | $84 \cdot 7$ | $96 \cdot 4$ | $89 \cdot 6$ | 93.9 | 110.2 | $114 \cdot 1$ | $63 \cdot 8$ | 138.3 | $105-9$ | 161.7 | 77.8 | 80.9 70.2 | $104 \cdot 1$ | 112.1 112.2 |
| 1936. | $94 \cdot 7$ | $88 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 6$ | $96 \cdot 6$ | 88.4 | $94 \cdot 0$ | 104-0 | 111.0 | $62 \cdot 3$ | $137 \cdot 3$ | 104-7 | 163.6 | $72 \cdot 5$ | 71.7 | $117 \cdot 5$ | 112.2 113.2 |

[^12]2,048 in 1936. Scandinavian births showed considerable fluctuation from a low of 4,026 in 1926 to 4,558 in 1936 but over the whole period had a percentage increase of $13 \cdot 2$. Births to Central and Eastern European origins had an increase of some 5,700 births from 1926 to 1931 and, although declining gradually from 1931 to 1936 , showed a percentage increase of 11.0 for the whole period.

Beginning with 2,051 in 1926, births to Hebrew origin reached a high of 2,209 in 1930. Considerable fluctuation was in evidence but the tendency was to increase and in 1936 we have 2,147.

Indian births, showing an almost uninterrupted increase from 1926, reached 4,260 in 1934 and maintained that level, showing 4,289 in 1936. The absolute figures for births to Japanese show an upward trend reaching a high of 891 in 1929, gradually declining to 563 in 1935 and then increasing very slightly to 575 in 1936. At the beginning of the period, Chinese births show a tendency to decrease and, although in 1929 a small increase is shown, the general tendency is downward, giving a percentage decrease of 37.7 over the whole period. Births of Negro origin fluctuated over the period but, on the whole, showed an increase of some 17 p.c.

Statement LVII shows also rates for the average of 1931-32 which have been computed using the population figures of 1931, the only decennial census year in this period. For "all races' the rate is $22 \cdot 83$. This, however, is surpassed by Japanese with $33 \cdot 68$, French with 31-19, Indian with $30 \cdot 81$, Italian with $26 \cdot 31$, Central and Lastern European with 24.98 and Belgian with $23 \cdot 20$. For all British stocks the rate for the total is somewhat lower than for "all races." Individually, these range from English, 18.41 to Scottish, 17.01. The lowest rate of all races is shown by Chinese, $5 \cdot 68$, due to the unfavourable sex distribution of the population. Others under the average were: Scandinavian, 20.39; Negro, 21.65; Dutch, 17.34; Hebrew, 13.88.

Trend in Quebec.-With her entry into the National System of registration in 1926, Quebec contributed 82,165 births to the total for Canada, this figure increasing to 83,621 in 1928. Although in the year 1929 some 2,200 less than the 1928 births were registered, the years 1930 and 1931 regained the former level. From these figures, 83,625 and 83,606 , the following years showed a gradual falling off to 75,267 in 1935 and 75,285 in 1936, a decline of 6,880 births for the decade.

Births to the French origin, decreasing from 72,293 in 1926 to 66,022 in 1936, account for 6,271 of the total decline. This is the greatest decrease in absolute figures but is lower in percentage than the decrease in births of British origin. The percentage decline for French was 8.7 and for the British, $15 \cdot 2$. French births reached a peak of 73,611 in 1928 (probably this increase over the years 1926 and 1927 was partially due to better registration) and their lowest point was 65,842 in 1935 . Births to British stock, contributing only 8 p.c. of the births in the province of Quebec, were around 6,600 for the first three years, fluctuated from 6,350 in 1929 to 6,866 in 1930 which was the peak year and then declined year by year with the exception of 1935 to their lowest figure, 5,628 births in 1936.

The other origins contributing any appreciable number of births were Italian, Hebrew, Central and Eastern European and Indian. Of these only the Italian showed a decrease. Starting with 762 in 1926 and 793 in 1927, the Italian births declined with one exception to 512 in 1936. Hebrew births numbered 685 at the beginning of the period and 755 in 1928; after showing a slight downward trend to 1931 with a low in that year of 674 , they recovered gradually to 835 in 1935 and 823 in 1936. Central and Eastern European with 614 birtbs in 1926 had their low of 535 in 1928 and from this point improved to 1,051 in 1932. From then on they showed a decrease to 756 in 1935 with a small recovery to 791 in 1936. The Indian births fluctuated from 230 in 1926 to 192 in 1931. From here they showed slight but steady increases to a high of 307 in 1936.

The census year, 1931, is the only one in this period for which we have population by racial origin, so we are unable to make any comparisons of the beginning and the close of the period. However, we have computed the crude rates for the average of 1931-32 (see Statement LVIII).

The French birth rate, 31•65, is the only one higher than the rate for "all races" which was 28.68. Italian comes next with a rate of 26.71 and Central and Eastern European third with 20.54 . Among the British races with a rate for the total of 15.21 we find the Irish with $18 \cdot 98$, the English with $14 \cdot 15$ and the Scottish with $13 \cdot 64$. The Hebrew, rate for this period was 11.79 and the Indian rate $14 \cdot 50$.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Races } \end{gathered}$ | British | English | Irish | Scottish | French | Belgian ${ }^{\circ}$ | Central and Eastern European | Chinese | Dutch | Hebrew | Indian | Italian | Japanese | Negro | Scandinavian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

BIRTHS

${ }_{2}^{1}$ See footnote 1 to Statement LVI.
${ }^{2}$ See page 90

## ORDER OF BIRTH BY RACIAL ORIGIN

Statement LIX shows the average number of children (1)' born alive, (2) now living (i.e., at date of report of latest birth), (3) born dead and (4) born alive or dead to mothers of stated racial origin, an extract from Table 10, Part III, page 148, which shows this same information by age group of mother.
LIX.-AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN (1) BORN ALIVE, (2) NOW LIVING, (3) BORN DEAD AND (4) BORN ALIVE OR DEAD, BY RACIAL ORIGIN OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1930

| Racial Origin of Mother | Average No. of Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| All races. | $3 \cdot 92$ | $3 \cdot 47$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | : $4 \cdot 02$ |
| British. | 3.08 | $2 \cdot 86$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| English. | $3 \cdot 05$ | $2 \cdot 83$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 15$ |
| Irish..... | $3 \cdot 27$ | $3 \cdot 01$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 39$ |
| Scottish. | $3 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 3-12 |
| French. | $4 \cdot 97$ | $4 \cdot 23$ | 0.09 | $5 \cdot 06$ |
| Belgian. | 3.16 | 2.88 | 0.08 | . $3 \cdot 24$ |
| Central and Eastern European. | $3 \cdot 71$ | $3 \cdot 33$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 3.80 |
| Austrian.. | $4 \cdot 30$ | $3 \cdot 83$ | 0.13 | $4 \cdot 43$ |
| Bulgarian . Si..... | 1.56 | $1 \cdot 37$ | 0.11 | $1 \cdot 67$ |
| Czeeh and Slovak. | $2 \cdot 80$ | $2 \cdot 54$ | $0 \cdot 07$ | $2: 87$ |
| German............ | 2.22 3.78 | $2 \cdot 04$ | 0.10 | $2 \cdot 32$ |
| Greek.... | 3.01 | $2 \cdot 68$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 88$ $3 \cdot 16$ |
| Hungarian. | $3 \cdot 35$ | $2 \cdot 89$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 44$ |
| Polish.. | $3 \cdot 42$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| Rounmanian. | $4 \cdot 37$ | $3 \cdot 75$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | - 4.51 |
| Russian....... | $4 \cdot 03$ | $3 \cdot 62$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 4.13 |
| Serb and Croat. | $2 \cdot 92$ | $2 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 02$ |
| Ukrainian. | 3.92 | $3 \cdot 48$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 01$ |
| Chineso.. | 4.59 | $4 \cdot 37$ | 0.05 | $4 \cdot 64$ |
| Dutch... | $3 \cdot 82$ | $3 \cdot 47$ | $0 \cdot 09$ | 3.91 |
| Hebrew.. | $2 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 23$ | 0.08 | $2 \cdot 41$ |
| Indian.. | $4 \cdot 43$ | $3 \cdot 46$ | 0.08 | $4 \cdot 51$ |
| Italian... | $3 \cdot 71$ | $3 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 83$ |
| Japanese..... | $3 \cdot 57$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | 0-07 | $3 \cdot 64$ |
| Negro......... | $4 \cdot 29$ | $3 \cdot 74$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $4 \cdot 49$ |
| $\underset{\text { Scandinavian.. }}{\text { Danish }}$ | $3 \cdot 21$ | $3 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 30$ |
| Danish..... | $2 \cdot 77$ | 2.58 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 87$ |
| Icelandic... | $3 \cdot 49$ | $3 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 61$ |
| Norwegian. | $3 \cdot 31$ <br> $3 \cdot 20$ | $3 \cdot 11$ 2.99 | 0.09 0.08 | $3 \cdot 40$ 3.28 |
|  | $3 \cdot 20$ | $2 \cdot 99$ | $0 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 28$ |

Statement LX contains a summary of the same data adjusted for differences in ages of mothers. There is a striking lack of variation in the proportion now living of the number born alive, ranging from 95 p.c. in the case of the Chinese to 78 p.c. in the case of Indians as compared with a range of 4.77 children born alive in the case of the French to 1.22 in the case of the Bulgarian. The average number born dead ranges from 0.20 in the case of Negro to 0.05 in the case of Chinese mothers. The number of births alive or dead is highest for Roumanian mothers (4.88) and lowest for Hebrew mothers (2-67). There seems to be no evidence in the data of a clear-cut division along racial lines. This would seem to make the data of Statements LIX and LX none the less valuable in showing the differential number of births to a race. The standard deviation of the average number born alive as in Statement LX is 0.78 in an average of 3.57 . The differential in the birth rates shown in Statement LVI should not be attributed exclusively to racial differences which may be in fact subordinate to associated differentials of age and sex distribution, urbanization, etc.
LX.-AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN (1) BORN ALIVE, (2) NOW LIVING, (3) BORN DEAD AND (4) BORN ALIVE OR DÉAD, ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, BY RACIAL ORIGIN OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1930

| Racial Origin of Mother | Average No. of Children |  |  |  | Proportion of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born Dead | Born Alive or Dead | Children Now Living to Children Born Alive | Children Born Dead to Children Born Alive or Dead |
| All races.......................... | $3 \cdot 92$ | $3 \cdot 47$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 02$ | $88 \cdot 52$ | 2.40 |
| British. | $3 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 89$ | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 23$ | 92.63 | 3.41 |
| English. | $3 \cdot 15$ | $2 \cdot 92$ | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 26$ | 92.70 | $3 \cdot 37$ |
| Irish.. | $3 \cdot 21$ | ${ }_{2}^{2 \cdot 95}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.12}$ | 3.32 3.08 | 91.90 | $3 \cdot 61$ 3.57 |
| Scottish.. | $2 \cdot 98$ | 2.77 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 08$ | 02.95 | $3 \cdot 57$ |
| French................... | 4.77 | $4 \cdot 07$ | 0.09 | $4 \cdot 85$ | $85 \cdot 32$ | 1.86 |
| Belgian.......................... | $3 \cdot 26$ | 2.96 | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 34$ | 90.80 | 2.69 |
| Central and Eastern European. | 3.97 | $3 \cdot 56$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 08$ | $89 \cdot 67$ | 2.45 |
| Austrian...................... | $4 \cdot 42$ | 3.93 | 0.14 | $4 \cdot 55$ | 88.91 | 3.08 |
| Bulgarian. | $1 \cdot 22$ | 1.06 | 0.08 | $1 \cdot 30$ | 86:89 | $6 \cdot 15$ |
| Czech and Slovak | $3 \cdot 17$ | $2 \cdot 89$ | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 25$ | $91 \cdot 17$ | $2 \cdot 46$ |
| Finnish.. | $2 \cdot 63$ | $2 \cdot 38$ | 0.12 | $2 \cdot 75$ | $90 \cdot 49$ | $4 \cdot 36$ |
| German. | $3 \cdot 88$ | $3 \cdot 53$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 98$ | 90.98 | $2 \cdot 51$ |
| Greek. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $3 \cdot 02$ | $2 \cdot 68$ | 0.16 | $3 \cdot 18$ | 88.74 | 5.03 |
| Hungarian. | $3 \cdot 75$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 84$ | $85 \cdot 87$ | $2 \cdot 34$ |
| Polish. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | $3 \cdot 83$ | $3 \cdot 41$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 3.93 | 89.03 | 2.54 |
| Roumanian. | 4.73 | $4 \cdot 04$ | 0.15 | 4.88 | 85.41 | $3 \cdot 07$ |
| Russiani. | 4.07 | $3 \cdot 66$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 17$ | $89 \cdot 93$ | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| Serb and Croat. | $3 \cdot 26$ | $2 \cdot 89$ | ${ }_{0}^{0 \cdot 12}$ | $3 \cdot 38$ 4.59 | 88.65 | $3 \cdot 55$ |
| Ukrainian.... | $4 \cdot 48$ | $3 \cdot 94$ | 0.11 | $4 \cdot 59$ | 87.95 | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| Chinese. | $4 \cdot 34$ | $4 \cdot 14$ | 0.05 | $4 \cdot 39$ | $95 \cdot 39$ | 1-14. |
| Dutch.. | $3 \cdot 88$ | $3 \cdot 52$ | $0 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 97$ | $90 \cdot 72$ | $2 \cdot 27$ |
| Hebrew. | 2. 59 | $2 \cdot 45$ | 0.08 | $2 \cdot 67$ | 94.59 | $3 \cdot 00$ |
| Indian.. | 4.75 | $3 \cdot 69$ | 0.08 | $4 \cdot 84$ | $77 \cdot 68$ | 1.65 |
| Italian. | 3.83 | $3 \cdot 39$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 95$ | 88.51 | $3 \cdot 04$ |
| Japanese... | $3 \cdot 47$ | $3 \cdot 26$ | 0.07 | $3 \cdot 54$ | 93.95 | 1.98 |
| Negro. | $4 \cdot 42$ | $3 \cdot 95$ | 0.20 | $4 \cdot 62$ | 87-10 | $4 \cdot 33$ |
| Scandinavian. | $3 \cdot 24$ | $3 \cdot 03$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 33$ | $93 \cdot 52$ | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Danish. | $2 \cdot 99$ | $2 \cdot 77$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 09$ | $92 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 24$ |
| Icelandic. | $3 \cdot 26$ | 3.06 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 3-36 | 93.87 | $2 \cdot 98$ |
| Norwegian. | 3.29 3.28 | 3.08 3.07 |  | $3 \cdot 37$ $3 \cdot 36$ | $93 \cdot 62$ 93.60 | $2 \cdot 67$ $2 \cdot 38$ |
| Swedish.... | $3 \cdot 28$ | 3.07 | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 36$ | $93 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 38$ |

## ACGUMULATED BIRTHS BY RACIAL ORIGIN OVER THE PERIOD OF RECORDS

While importance is usually attached to differential rates in considering births by racial origin, it is obvious from the foregoing statement of trend that these differential rates lose a great deal of their significance because of their rapid changes; e.g., one race may to-day show a rate quite different from that of another but if its rate declines more rapidly it is obvious that in time it will not show this difference. It would be valuable, if it were possible to do so, to measure the comparative rates of increase and decline in order to arrive at some conclusion as to when such situations should arise but, obviously, this cannot be done owing to the facts that (1) we have no yearly population figures for precise rates and (2) the period of observation covered by the vital statistics records is so short. Furthermore, as will be seen in a later section, there is a process going on which seriously complicates a study of this kind, viz., the amalgamation of races, to say nothing of a fact already observed, viz., that there is evidence of some confusion in reporting races. For these reasons, and principally that the amalgamation of races seems to be proceeding rapidly, it will be useful to take stock of the total contribution of the different races to the births during the period of observation. These were not exactly contributions to the population since deaths occurring among these births cannot be differentiated by race and since differential infant mortality is probably a very important factor, but they are roughly proportional to contributions to the population. Accordingly, Statement LXI shows the total number of births appearing in the nine provinces over the eleven-year period, 1926-36, differentiating twelve individual racial origins and two groups which could not be shown as individual origins, viz., the Scandinavians and the Central and Eastern Europeans. In this statement the British races are counted as one race and thus the changing percentages are not influenced by intermarriage among English, Irish, Scottish and Welsh.

In spite of the risk of doing so, an attempt is shown in the statement to estimate the probable number of these births alive in 1936 on the assumption of uniform infant and child mortality, viz., that of the nine provinces. This is merely to give a rough idea of the net contributions, since, as already mentioned, differential mortality may be an important factor.

## LXI.-NUMERICAL AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF CHILDREN BORN OVER THE PERIOD 1926-1836. WITH THE PROBABLE NUMBER ALIVE IN 1936; BY RACIAL ORIGIN, CANADA

| Racial Origin ${ }^{1}$ | Children Born 1926-36 |  | Probable <br> No. Alive in 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | P.C. |  |
| All races. | 2,544,737 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 2,303,150 |
| British. | 1,051,827 | $41 \cdot 3$ | 951,545 |
| English. | - 555,225 | 21.8 | 502, 144 |
| Irish Scottish, | 237,148 249,397 | 9.3 9.8 | 214,678 225,609 |
| French. | 984,302 | 38.7 | 880,885 |
| Belgian. | 6,838 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 6,192 |
| Central and Eastern European. | 292,537 | 11.5 | 264,966 |
| Chineso......... | 2,912 | 0.1 | 2,629 |
| Hebrew.................... | 26, 23,509 | 1.1 0.9 | 24,438 21.296 |
| Indian... | 38,651 | 1.5 1.5 | -31,137 |
| Italian.... | 27,975 | 1.1 | 25,263 |
| Japanese... | 8,275 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 7,469 |
| Negro.......... | 4,875 40 | $0 \cdot 2$ | 4,419 |
| Scandinavian.. | 49,415 | $1 \cdot 9$ | 44,771 |

${ }^{1}$ See page 00.
During the 11 years there were $2,544,737$ births to all origins. The estimate of probable survivors of these in toto is not complicated by the difficulties mentioned and amount to $2,303,150$ who should be 10 years of age and under in 1936, a very small number being 11 years of age. 'This number can be compared with the number 10 years and under in the nine provinces in 1931, viz., 2,439,344, from whom should be deducted a few in Yukon and Northwest Territories but to whom should be added some at 11 years of age. The probability that some of the $2,300,000$ left the country need not be great since during the period emigrants and immigrants practically balanced. This means, then, a decline of considerably more than 100,000 in the population at these ages.

The contributions of the different races and racial groups to the total of $2,544,737$ births were as follows: British, $41 \cdot 3$ p.c., consisting of English, $21 \cdot 8$ p.c., Irish, 9.3 p.c. and Scottish, 9.8 p.c.; French, 38.7 p.c.; Belgian, 0.3 p.c.; Central and Eastern European, 11.5 p.c.; Chinese, 0.1 p.c.; Dutch, 1.1 p.c.; Hebrew, 0.9 p.c.; Indian, 1.5 p.c.; Italian, 1.1 p.c.; Japanese, 0.3 p.c.; Negro, 0.2 p.c.; Scandinavian, 1.9 p.c.; or, to summarize, 41.3 p.c. British, $38 \cdot 7$ p.c. French and 20.0 p.c. other races. The composite of the population under 10 years of age (not strictly comparable with distribution of accumulated births but the nearest the census data will permit) in 1931 was $44 \cdot 3$ p.c. British, $34 \cdot 9$ p.c. French and $20 \cdot 8$ p.c. other races. It is probable that if differential infant mortality were taken into consideration the proportions would be found not to have undergone very considerable changes.

## TREND IN INTERMINGLING OF RAGES AS SHOWN BY BIRTHS

The last section suggests the all-important subject of the trend in intermingling of races. The birth statistics show the racial origin of the father cross-classified by the racial origin of the mother. In this cross-classification it is easy to see where the races are intermingling by the fact that the two parents are of different origins. Statement LXII shows the percentage of the total births that have parents of different origins, the data being for the Registration Area from 1921 to 1936, for the total of the nine provinces from 1926 to 1936 and also for Quebec alone from 1926 to 1936. It shows also the number of births to parents of the same origin and the number to parents of different origins.

```
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LXII.-TOTAL BIRTHS, BIRTHS TO PARENTS OF THE SAME RACIAL ORIGIN AND NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE BIRTHS TO PARENTS OF DIFFERENT RACIAL ORIGINS FORM OF TOTAL BIRTHS, REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936, CANADA AND QUEBEC, 1926-1936

${ }^{1}$ Parents of stated origin.
Taking first the Registration Area over the 16-year period, 1921-36, it is seen that in 1921 the percentage of exogenous (i.e., where the two parents are of different racial origins) was $10 \cdot 37$ while in 1936 it was $19 \cdot 31$, i.e., the process of intermingling had almost doubled. Furthermore, when the rates of increase of the percentages are compared at the beginning and at the end there is evidence of acceleration in the process. Thus, during the first eight years it went from 10.37 to $14 \cdot 16$, i.e., moved up 3.79 points; during the last eight years it moved from 14.81 to 19.31 or 4.50 points. It would seem then that the intermingling began slowly but is proceeding at an accelerating pace as time goes on. This is the case in the Registration Area. When the case of the nine provinces over the eleven-year period is studied, it is found that the movement was not so rapid, proceeding from $10 \cdot 03$ in 1926 (as compared with $13 \cdot 30$ in the Registration Area) to 14.14 in 1936 -only 4.11 points compared with 6.01 in the Registration Area.. In Quebec in 1926 it was 4.07 , moving up to 4.31 in 1936 . Of course, this is readily explained by the fact that Quebec is mainly one race. -In elaboration of the foregoing, Statement LXIII shows for specified races the number of births where (1) the mother is of stated origin, (2) both parents are of the same stated origin.

LXIII,-BIRTHS TO MOTHERS OF STATED ORIGIN AND TO PARENTS OF THE SAME STATED ORIGIN, BY SPECIFIED RACIAL ORIGIN, CANADA, 1926-1936

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

The statement refers to the accumulated births over the period 1926-36 in the nine provinces. It really shows that there is something more than the mere propensity to in-marriage in the proportions of births to the parents in the same origins, e.g., the English show much greater proportions than the Irish or Scottish and there is little doubt that this is at least partly because there are more English women that (1) English men, (2) Irish or Scottish men, can marry; similarly with the French. It would be difficult for a French man in Quebec to marry a woman of origin other thạ French because the proportion of the latter to the former is small. It is, of course, different'with the other races and from their point of view the propensity to in-marriage is understated instead of being overstated by the figures while probably it is very much overstated in the case of the English and the French. In Quebec in 1931 there were 504,011 men of French origin between the ages of 20 and 60 ; for the women there were, between the ages, say, of 15 and 50, of French origin, 557,630, of other origins, 162,223. Supposing that all these men wanted wives and had no choice in the matter of origin, 78 p.c. of the wives they chose would have to be . French. If, however, the men of other racial origins showed propensity to pick out wives of the same race as themselves, the French would have to choose more than 78 p.c. of their wives from among the French women. These things have to be considered in interpreting the data of Statement LXIII.

## FERTILITY RATES BY RACIAL ORIGIN

Specific Rates of Women of All Conjugal Conditions, 1930-1932.—Statement LXIV presents the specific fertility rates and the total fertility rates of women of all conjugal conditions in Canada for the different races for the average of the three years 1930-32. This period centres around the Census of 1931.

I_XIV.-SPECIFIC FERTIIITY RATES' OF WOMEN 15-49'YEARS OF AGE OF ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS, BY AGE AND RACIAL ORIGIN OF MOTHER; WITH TOTAL FERTILITY RATES2, BY RACIAL ORIGIN OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1930-1932

| Racial Origin of Mother | Specific Fertility Rates for Mothers in Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| All races. | 29.5 | 136.7 | 174.4 | 144.9 | $103 \cdot 2$ | $44 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| British | 28.7 | $115 \cdot 4$ | $136 \cdot 5$ | $108 \cdot 1$ | $70 \cdot 1$ | $27 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 2.44 |
| English | $33 \cdot 4$ | 127.3 | $143 \cdot 3$ | $107 \cdot 1$ | $68 \cdot 2$ | 26.4 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 54$ |
| Irish. | 24.2 | $102 \cdot 9$ | $128 \cdot 8$ | $112 \cdot 9$ | $74 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 38$ |
| Scottish | $23 \cdot 4$ | $103 \cdot 4$ | $130 \cdot 5$ | 107.0 | $70 \cdot 6$ | 26.8 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 32$ |
| French. | 26.9 | 157.9 | $233 \cdot 0$ | $218 \cdot 0$ | 178.8 | $87 \cdot 2$ | $11 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 57$ |
| Belgian. | $33 \cdot 3$ | $143 \cdot 4$ | 156.4 | 112.0 | S3.6 | $35 \cdot 0$ | 6.3 | $2 \cdot 85$ |
| Central and Eastern European. | 36.4 | $169 \cdot 2$ | $190 \cdot 0$ | $150 \cdot 8$ | 109.0 | $51 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 57$ |
| Austrian.. | $22 \cdot 9$ | $128 \cdot 4$ | $159 \cdot 0$ | $133 \cdot 6$ | $105 \cdot 2$ | $59 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | 3.08 |
| Bulgarian: | $42 \cdot 3$ | $216 \cdot 7$ | 93.0 | $87 \cdot 0$ | $45 \cdot 5$ | - | - | $2 \cdot 42$ |
| Czech and Slovak. | $45 \cdot 5$ | $184 \cdot 8$ | 218.8 | 164.5 | 131.0 | $35 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 9.5$ |
| Finnish. | 38.9 | $110 \cdot 3$ | $97 \cdot 9$ | 71.0 | $46 \cdot 6$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | 1.97 |
| German | $33 \cdot 6$ | $164 \cdot 0$ | $193 \cdot 1$ | $149 \cdot 3$ | $110 \cdot 5$ | 53.9 | 6.6 | $3 \cdot 55$ |
| Greek. | $17 \cdot 9$ | $134 \cdot 8$ | $241 \cdot 1$ | 122.4 | 90.5 | $42 \cdot 4$ | 20.0 | $3 \cdot 35$ |
| Hungarian. | $64 \cdot 7$ | $222 \cdot 3$ | $218 \cdot 3$ | 159.4 | 119.9 | $54 \cdot 1$ | 10.9 | $4 \cdot 25$ |
| Polish.. | $34 \cdot 0$ | $152 \cdot 2$ | $186 \cdot 6$ | $145 \cdot 2$ | $100 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 36$ |
| Roumanian | 37.5 | $157 \cdot 2$ | 168.0 | 129.3 | 86.3 | $45 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 15$ |
| Russian. | $23 \cdot 3$ | 115.4 | 141.1 | $151 \cdot 6$ | 112.0 | $50 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | 3.01 |
| Serb and Croat. | 78.5 | $286 \cdot 7$ | 290.4 | $214 \cdot 0$ | $167 \cdot 3$ | $51 \cdot 1$ | 8.9 | $5 \cdot 48$ |
| Ukrainian. | $45 \cdot 3$ | 226.9 | 226.6 | 186.6 | 123.7 | 58.5 | 13.5 | $4 \cdot 41$ |
| Chinese. | $35 \cdot 7$ | 206.5 | $235 \cdot 0$ | 222.2 | $210 \cdot 0$ | $97 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 21$ |
| Dutch.. | 21.5 | $108 \cdot 9$ | $137 \cdot 6$ | $107 \cdot 0$ | $76 \cdot 5$ | $35 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 46$ |
| Hebrew. | $4 \cdot 3$ | $59 \cdot 3$ | $108 \cdot 1$ | $80 \cdot 6$ | $39 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 9$ | 0.7 | $1 \cdot 51$ |
| Indian.. | 79.8 | 204.8 | $199 \cdot 6$ | 173.7 | 143.7 | $72 \cdot 0$ | 16.3 | 4.45 |
| Italian. | $34 \cdot 2$ | $173 \cdot 8$ | $195 \cdot 5$ | 159.9 | $123 \cdot 8$ | 55.5 | $8 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 75$ |
| Japanese. | $33 \cdot 2$ | $284 \cdot 6$ | $297 \cdot 1$ | $217 \cdot 9$ | $158 \cdot 6$ | 78.7 | $10 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 40$ |
| Negro... | 58.2 | 137.2 | $153 \cdot 0$ | $101 \cdot 5$ | 80.8 | $36 \cdot 6$ | 4.3 | $2 \cdot 86$ |
| Scandinavian | $27 \cdot 6$ | $136 \cdot 6$ | $162 \cdot 2$ | 123.9 | $93 \cdot 0$ | 41.8 | $5 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 95$ |
| Danish | $28 \cdot 4$ | $135 \cdot 2$ | 157. 1 | $117 \cdot 5$ | 78.4 | $35 \cdot 1$ | 1.8 | 2.77 |
| Icelandic.. | 16.1 | $109 \cdot 7$ | 145.1 | 124•6 | 92.2 106.3 | $49 \cdot 3$ | 6.9 | $2 \cdot 72$ 3.25 |
| Norwegian Swedish... | 29.5 27.8 | 150.4 128.5 | $175 \cdot 3$ $154 \cdot 4$ | 134-3 | $106 \cdot 3$ 83.3 | $47 \cdot 2$ <br> 35.5 | 6.7 5.8 | $3 \cdot 25$ 2.75 |
| Swedish.. | $27 \cdot 8$ | $128 \cdot 5$ | $154 \cdot 4$ | $114 \cdot 4$ | $83 \cdot 3$ | $35 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | 2.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 women of age and race specified.
2 For method of calculation, see page 82.
Looking at the specific fertility rates for the chief racial origins, it will be observed that the rates for the British are below average in each age group. Individually, English are the lowest in the age groups $35-39$ and $40-44$, Irish in the groups $20-24,25-29$ and $45-49$ while Scottish are lowest in the groups 15-19 and 30-34.

The specific fertility rates for French are higher than "all races" in every group except the 15-19 group. Dutch rates are all quite low, though in no case do they reach the extreme. Among the races showing the highest rates are Japanese, Chinese, Italian and Indian. In the group 15-19 Indian shows the highest rate, 79.8. In the four oldest age groups Chinese show the highest rates with $222 \cdot 2,210 \cdot 0,97 \cdot 6$ and $34 \cdot 8$. Hebrew show extremely low rates; they are the lowest of all races in the 15-19 group with $4 \cdot 3$, in the $20-24$ group with $59 \cdot 3$ and in the $35-39$ group with $39 \cdot 3$.

Considering the Scandinavian group as a whole, in all the age groups the specific rates are closer to the average than any other group or individual race.

Central and Eastern European, including several races which vary irregularly from the average in the different age groups, show rates higher than average in each age group. In the age group 15-19 the rate is $36 \cdot 4$; in the groups $20-24$ and $25-29,169 \cdot 2$ and $190 \cdot 0$. Among the twelve races in this racial grouping Serbs and Croats show the highest rates in these two age groups. Ukrainian are highest in the oldest age group and Austrian highest in the 40-44 group. In all age groups the Germans are slightly better than average.

Total Fertility Rates.-The total fertility rates have been computed from the specific fertility rates and range from a high of 5.48 for Serbs and Croats to a low of 1.51 for Hebrew. The total fertility for all races is $3 \cdot 19$.

In the different racial groups shown, British and Scandinavian are below average with 2.44 and 2.95, respectively, and Central and Eastern European somewhat higher with 3.57. Origins
with rates very much higher than average are Serbs and Croats, 5•48; Japanese, 5•40; Chinese,' $5 \cdot 21$; French, 4.57 ; Indian, 4.45 ; Ukrainian, 4.41 ; Hungarian, 4.25 . Finnish has a rate of 1.97 which is very low though somewhat higher than Hebrew, the lowest as already mentioned.

Fertility Rates within Marriage.-Such rates as have already been used in this chapter were based upon the total population and as such do not fully measure the true fertility of the different origins. For the purpose it is necessary to consider the rates within marriage, taking into consideration the age composition of married women. Table 11, Part III, page 153 , shows for the three years 1930-32 the number of births by age of (married) mother to the different races in the nine provinces; also the number of married women at ages 15-49 in 1931. Based upon the specific fertility obtained in this table, Statement LXV shows the total rates obtained when these specific rates are applied to the standard population of married females*. It will be seen that the highest thus computed is for French, $242 \cdot 55$; the next highest was for Chinese and Japanese, 201.31. 'The lowest is Hebrew; $84 \cdot 41$, a little lower than the Finnish, $93 \cdot 70$. The British with 128.88 occupy eleventh place in eighteen origins, i.e., is somewhat less than average. There is no marked racial grouping in these rates, i.e., the Ukrainians are high and the Russians are low; the Italians are high and the Roumanians are low; the Germans are high and the Austrians are low; the Scandinavians are a good average but the Dutch and Belgians are quite low. A great deal of this is doubtless due to confusion in reporting race.
LXV.-TOTAL FERTILITY RATES FOR THE CHILD-BEARING AGES, BY RACIAL ORIGIN OF MOTHER, BASED ON STANDARD POPULATION OF MARRIED FEMALES, CANADA, 1930-1932

|  | Racial Orıgin of Mother | Standardized Total Fertility Rate (per 1,000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British. |  | 128.88 |
| French. |  | 242.55 |
| Austrian. |  | 121.35 |
| Belgian. |  | 122.66 |
| -Chinese and Japane |  | 201-31 |
| - Czech and Slovak. |  | $150 \cdot 63$ |
| Dutch. |  | 115.81 |
| Finnish. |  | 93-70 |
| German. |  | 163.06 |
| Hebrew. |  | 84.41 |
| Hungarian. |  | $153 \cdot 14$ |
| Indian. |  | $155 \cdot 66$ |
| Italian. |  | 152.91 |
| Polish. |  | $130 \cdot 45$ |
| Roumanian. |  | 113.38 |
| Russian. |  | 121.00 |
| Scardinavian. |  | 137.09 |
| Ukrainian. |  | $162 \cdot 20$ |

Specific Fertility in the Prairie Provinces, 1926, 1931 and 1936.-The probable confusion in reporting races which interfered with the interpretation of the fertility rates of the nine provinces is largely avoided in data compiled for the Prairie Provinces for 1926, 1931 and 1936. As these provinces contain a very large proportion of the different races other than French, the data are consequently fairly representative of Canada as a whole, except for the British and French. Table 12, Part III, page 153, shows the specific fertility rates during these years by age of mother. Statement LXVI shows a computation of the total fertility, i.e., the number of children of both sexes expected to be born to a mother in passing through the child-bearing period as based upon the rates shown in Table 12.

[^13]LXVI.-TOTAL FERTILITY RATES ${ }^{1}$ OF WOMEN OF ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS, BY RACIAL ORIGIN. OF MOTHER, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1926, 1931 AND 1936

| Racial Origin of Mother | 1926 | . 1931 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All races. | 3.54 | $3 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 71$ |
| British. | $2 \cdot 88$ | $2 \cdot 54$ | 2.08 |
| English. | $2 \cdot 93$ | $2 \cdot 59$ | $2 \cdot 00$ |
| Irish. | $2 \cdot 75$ | $2 \cdot 50$ | $2 \cdot 21$ |
| Scottish. | $2 \cdot 89$ | $2 \cdot 51$ | $2 \cdot 10$ |
| French. | $4 \cdot 38$ | 4.05 | $3 \cdot 67$ |
| Belgian... | $3 \cdot 99$ | $3 \cdot 29$ | 3-54 |
| Central and Eastern European. | $5 \cdot 00$ | $4 \cdot 26$ | $3 \cdot 33$ |
| Austrian,. | $4 \cdot 83$ | $3 \cdot 62$ | $3 \cdot 43$ |
| Bulgarian. | $2 \cdot 80$ | $1 \cdot 25$ | 1.71 |
| Czech and Slovak. | $4 \cdot 20$ | 3.68 | $3 \cdot 18$ |
| Finnish. | $4 \cdot 06$ | $3 \cdot 01$ | $3 \cdot 05$ |
| German. | $5 \cdot 92$ | $4 \cdot 70$ | 3.41 |
| Greek... | $4 \cdot 24$ | $3 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 41$ |
| Hungarian. | 4.11 | $4 \cdot 65$ | $3 \cdot 71$ |
| Polish..... | 3-97 | 3.49 | $2 \cdot 93$ |
| Roumanian | $5 \cdot 71$ | $3 \cdot 66$ | $3 \cdot 03$. |
| Russian.... | $3 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 20$ | $3 \cdot 45$ |
| Serb and Croat. | $6 \cdot 73$ | 6.91 | $4 \cdot 94$ |
| Ukrainian........ | 5.14 | $4 \cdot 63$ | $3 \cdot 34$ |
| Chinese. | 11.59 | $6 \cdot 12$ | $4 \cdot 50$ |
| Dutch... | $2 \cdot 73$ | $3 \cdot 41$ | $3 \cdot 74$ |
| Hebrew. | $2 \cdot 55$ | $1 \cdot 59$ | $1 \cdot 23$ |
| Indian.. | $4 \cdot 41$ | $5 \cdot 97$ | $8 \cdot 71$ |
| Italian. | 3-87 | $2 \cdot 94$ | 1.88 |
| Japanese.. | 6.74 | 5. 67 | $5 \cdot 51$ |
| Negro.. | $2 \cdot 68$ | $1 \cdot 79$ | $3 \cdot 38$ |
| Scandinavian | $3 \cdot 51$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 77$ |
| Danish. | $3 \cdot 22$ | ${ }^{3.03}$ | 2.79 2.48 |
| Icelandic.. | $3 \cdot 00$ | 2.78 | 2.48 2.93 |
| Swedish.... | 3.38 | $2 \cdot 83$ | $2 \cdot 93$ $2 \cdot 65$ |

${ }^{1}$ For method of calculation, see page 82 .
In 1926 the highest total fertility was shown by Chinese with 11.59 , Japanese with 6.74 and Serbs and Croats with $6 \cdot 73$; the lowest was shown by the Hebrews with $2 \cdot 55$, Negroes with $2 \cdot 68$ and Dutch with $2 \cdot 73$. The British showed 2.9.

In 1931 the Serbs and Croats were highest with $6 \cdot 91$, the Chinese next with $6 \cdot 12$ and the Indian third with 5.97; the lowest were the Bulgarians with 1.25 and the Hebrew with 1.59. The British rate was $2 \cdot 54$.

In 1936 the Indian race was highest with $8 \cdot 71$, the Japanese and Serbs and Croats next with 5.51 and 4.94 , respectively; the lowest were the Hebrew with 1.23 and the Bulgarians with $1 \cdot 71$. The British were fourth lowest with $2 \cdot 08$.

It should be mentioned that in all cases several races have rates based upon very small numbers. These are the Bulgarians, Chinese, Greeks, Japanese, Negroes and Serbs and Croats.

The figures show remarkable differential changes, sufficient to convince us that it is impossible to envisage the future distribution of races in Canada.

Miscellaneous Phases of Racial Fertility.-A monograph, Racial Origin and Nativity of the Canadian People, by Professor W. B. Hurd, contains a chapter on intermarriage of races (Chapter VII). This chapter goes into the matter in a great deal more detail than do the foregoing paragraphs, especially into comparisons between the sexes of individual races and race groups. Some of the conclusions are as follows:-
"Colour and the cultural differences associated therewith again appear as the greatest of all barriers to intermarriage. The parentage of children born in 1931 indicates that some $92 \cdot 2$ p.c. of the males and $96 \cdot 2$ p.c. of the females in the average coloured race were married to persons of the same origin, as against 93.8 p.c. and 94.7 p.c. in 1921 , the percentages in both cases being based on figures for the Chinese, Japanese, Negroes and Indians." This trend in coloured races between 1921 and 1931 is quite different from the trend noticed above in the case of all races.
"The high proportion of endogamous marriages for the women of Latin and Greek origin is still an outstanding characteristic of the figures." Perhaps the most important phase discussed
in the monograph that has not already been commented on in this chapter is the extent of intermarriage as between other races and the two basic stocks of Canada. Statement LXII above reflects increasing intermarriage but does not indicate whether this is between allied stocks or foreign stocks and native stocks. Professor Hurd concludes that "after making all reasonable allowance . . . . it still seems apparent that many of the ingredients in Canada's 'melting pot' have as yet'scarcely begun to dissolve in so far as intermarriage with the basic Anglo-Saxon stocks is a criterion." He also notes that those who have married least with the British have married to the greatest extent with the French and vice versa. By making certain measurements he ascertains that the factors in the way of intermarriage, are in order of importance: (1) segregation (geographical); (2) short duration of residence; (3) size of group; (4) percentage rural (probably another phase of segregation; Professor Hurd uses percentage urban which he finds favourable to intermarriage), and (5) surplus males, the last mentioned being very unimportant per se. External factors influence males to a much greater extent than females and, strangely enough, percentage urban seems to be unfavourable to female intermarriage. Furthermore, such external factors as have been examined affect different races quite differently.

As regards intermarriage of foreign stocks with British races, length of residence seems to be the greatest determinant. On the whole, however; most of the external factors seem to be concomitant and probably merely incidental to another factor more important than all, viz., religion.

## CONCLUSIONS

Two important points seem to have been brought to light in the study of the trend of births by racial origins: (1) one and all have shared in a gencral decline and owing to the difference in the time over which this decline has been operating for different races, no one can say whether it is proceeding faster for one race than another. (2) The births really indicate an increasing trend in the intermingling of races. This may not be an intermingling of foreign races with the dominant stocks but probably is none the less important for all that. If foreign races mingle with one another in a new country where they have failed to do so in an old the situation is hopeful. Moreover, racial ideologies in matters political are apt to be toned down in proportion as this process advances.

## CHAPTER VI

## DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY ACCORDING TO BIRTHPLACE OF PARENTS

Introduction.-The objectives of a study of births, birth rates and other phases of fertility according to the birthplace of parents are necessarily different from those of a study according to racial origin. In the latter it is concerned chiefly with the contribution to our population made by different stocks, the rates at which these contributions proceed and, chiefly, the extent to which the different stocks are intermingling. In the case of birthplace of parents these phases seem to be only of secondary importance, e.g., it is not particularly valuable to known how much Russia is contributing' to our population as people from Russia may be Russians, Germans, Hebrews, etc. These people differ in race, religion, education and probably somewhat even in customs. What seems to be the phase of chief importance to Canada arises from the fact that the great part of the country and the largest cities are populated largely by people who have changed their habitat-have moved and are still moving. This motion brings about an interchange of peoples and provides opportunity to persons born many miles apart to meet and marry. This certainly is a very different situation from that in which a stationary people marry among themselves. Interchange of culture, ideas and ideals must have important influences upon the progeny. If one parent of a child born in British Columbia was raised in Alberta, the other in Prince Edward Island and he himself lives to manhood in British Columbia, this should provide that child with an opportunity to know both his own province and the rest of the Dominion better than if both his parents had been born in British Columbia. At any rate, whether for good or bad, the influences should be different. It would be, of course, interesting to know in addition the comparative rates at which people from different countries are reproducing-for scientific purposes as well as for general interest. This is far more difficult to measure statistically than data on race because we do not know in how many places the parents have lived in the interval between their own birth and the birth of their children. It is also important, at least as a matter of scientific interest, to obtain for the data on births the extent to which intermarriage is influenced by proximity of residence, e.g., is a woman who has been brought up in a certain locality more apt to marry a man brought up (1) in that locality, (2) in other parts of that province, (3) in a neighbouring province or (4) elsewhere? Do the groups of people living on either side of the United States border or of the border of two provinces intermarry or, with such opportunities for becoming acquainted, are there barriers political or cultural? It is impossible to do this thoroughly and it would be a big study in itself but some attention will be paid to the trend of births to parents both born in the same province compared with births to parents born in different provinces. An illustration of one of the phases of such a study may be useful. Taking Alberta which of all the provinces in 1931 had the smallest proportion of persons over 20 years of age born in the province, it is interesting to know from year to year the number of births to mothers born in Alberta, where the father was born either in (1) Alberta, (2) British Columbia or Saskatchewan, (3) elsewhere in Canada, (4) in the United States or (5) elsewhere.

In Alberta in 1926 there were 14,052 births. Of these, 2,330 had mothers born in that province and 776 had both parents born in Alberta. In 1936 there were 15,179 births in Alberta of which 6,208 had mothers Alberta-born and 2,682 had both parents Alberta-born, i.e., in 1926, $16 \cdot 6$ p.c. of mothers and 5.5 p.c. of both parents were born in Alberta. These proportions had risen in 1936 to 40.9 p.c. of the mothers and 17.7 p.c. of both parents born in that province. Statement LXVII shows these features for the three Prairie Provinces for the years 1926 to 1936 as well as the same data for children born in any of the nine provinces of Canada whose mother had been born in one of the Prairie Provinces:


Trend in. Births by Birthplace of Mother, Registration Area, 1921-1936, and Crude Rates, 1921-1922 and 1931-1932.-Statement LXIX shows, for the Registration Area, the number and index (based on 1921) of live births by birthplace of mother with crude rates for the average of 1921-22 and 1931-32. We might mention here that this statement could have been made using birthplace of father but, as birth certificates of illegitimate children show only birthplace of mother, the method we chose gives about 4 p.c. more complete information. One interesting feature of this is summarized in Statement LXVIII, viz., that though the number of births to Canadian-born mothers fluctuated year by year over the period they formed a steadily increasing proportion of total births. In 1921 they formed 56.5 p.c. of the births and in $1936,75 \cdot 0$ p.e. Births to British-born mothers showed an opposite tendency; from 21.7 p.c. in 1921 they fell yearly until they contributed only $10: 2$ p.c. in 1936 . This was likewise true of births to foreignborn mothers though the decrease was neither steady nor as great, from 20 -1. p.c. in 1921 to 14.7 p.c. in 1936.

LXVIII-PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, BY BIRTHPLACE, REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936, AND CANADA AND QUEBEC, 1926-1936

| Year' | All Birthplaces | Canada | British Isles and Possessions | United States. | Other Countries | Not Stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registration Area- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 56.5 | 21.7 | $7 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 1.7 |
| 1922. | 100.0 | - 57.5 | $20 \cdot 9$ | 7.5 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 1.5 |
| 1923. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $59 \cdot 0$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | 12.7 | 0.7 |
| 1924. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $59 \cdot 9$ | 19.6 | 7.4 | $12 \cdot 7$ | 0.4 |
| 1925. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 60.7 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 0.2 |
| 1926. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $61 \cdot 3$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 7$ | 0.2 |
| 1927. | 100.0 | $61 \cdot 8$ | 18.1 | $7 \cdot 0$ | 12.9 | 0.2 |
| 1928. | 100.0 | $61 \cdot 8$ | 17.8 | $7 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 2$ | 0.2 |
| 1929. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $62 \cdot 1$ | 17.2 | 6.8 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 3$ |
| 1930. | 100.0 | $62 \cdot 3$ | 16.8 | $6 \cdot 5$ | 14.2 | 0.2 |
| 1931. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $63 \cdot 9$ | $15 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 1$ | 0.2 |
| 1932. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $66 \cdot 0$ | 14.4 | $6 \cdot 2$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| 1933. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $68 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $-$ |
| 1934. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $70 \cdot 7$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | 11.3 | 0.2 |
| 1935. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $72 \cdot 9$ | $11 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| 1936. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $75 \cdot 0$ | 10.2. | $5 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Canada- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1926. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 71.8 | $13 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | 0.4 |
| 1927. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $72 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| 1928. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $72 \cdot 2$ | 12.4 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| 1929. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 72.4 | $12 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | 9.7 | 0.6 |
| 1930. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 72.4 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| 1931. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 73.7 | 11.0 | $4 \cdot 7$ | 10.0 | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| 1932 1933. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $75 \cdot 3$ | 10.2 9.5 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 0.5 0.5 |
| 1934. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $78 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 8$ 8.2 | 0.5 0.5 |
| 1935. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 80.0 | $8 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| 1936. | 100.0 | $81 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | 6.8 | 0.4 |
| Quebec- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1926. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $91 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 1.0 |
| 1927. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 91.2 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | 1.9 | $1 \cdot 3$ |
| 1928. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 91.4 | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 3$ |
| 1929. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 92.0 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| 1930. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 91.7 | $2 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 5$ |
| 1931. | 100.0 | $92 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| 1932. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 92.7 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| 1933. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $92 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 1.2 1.3 |
| 1934. | $100 \cdot 0$ | $93 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $\stackrel{2.2}{1.9}$ | 1.3 1.2 |
| 1935. | 100.0 100.0 | $93 \cdot 7$ $94 \cdot 1$ | 1.9 1.8 | $1 \cdot 3$ $1 \cdot 2$ | 1.9 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 |

Of the 168,979 children born in 1921 in the Registration Area, Canadian-born mothers were the largest contributors with 95,549 children, British-born second with 36,619 and United Statesborn next with 12,668 children. Mothers born in Russia, Austria and Poland were next in importance, each group contributing around 4,000. Italian-born mothers accounted for 1,672 . Going down the scale we have the following numbers of children with corresponding birthplace of mother: Sweden, 838; Norway, 754; Germany, 631; Japan, 591; France, 555; Belgium, 507 ; Hungary, 409; Finland, 377; and China, 301.


In 1936 Canadian-born mothers contributed the main portion, 108,885 births; British-born . mothers were still second with the diminished total of 14,731 births and United States-born mothers a low third, 7,661. Of the other foreign-born mothers, Poland, having the least percentage loss over the period, now precedes Russia and Austria.

Apart from births to Canadian-born mothers the general trend in the yearly number of births over the sixteen-year period was definitely upward to 1930 and 1931 and from then on showed a remarkable decrease. This corresponds, to a large extent, with the flow of immigration for the period. Hungary, beginning with 409 births in 1921, scarcely held its own till 1925, showed marked yearly increases from then to 1930 when it registered 1,089 and in the next five years declined to 604; Hungarian immigration for the first five-year period was 1,500 , for the second, 26,000 and for the last five-year period, 4,700. German births were 631 in 1921, fell to 528 in 1924 and then rose to 1,014 in 1931 but in 1936 scarcely bettered their 1921 figure; there were 4,500 German immigrants in the first five-year period, 60,900 from 1926 to 1930 but in the last period only 10,000 . Others that reached their peak in either 1930 or 1931 were Finland, Poland and Russia.

Statement LXIX shows also crude rates for the average of the years 1921-22 and 1931-32 computed on the female population for the various birthplaces. As the masculinity of the population from the different birthplaces varies greatly, it was felt that the rates computed on female population would give a truer picture of the fertility. The masculinity for 1931 varies from 103 males per 100 females in the Canadian-born population to 2,785 males per 100 females born in China: The latter is, of course, extreme and the next highest is for those born in Denmark, 251 males per 100 females.

The 1931-32 birth rate for German-born females is the only one showing an increase over 1921-22. No doubt this is partly due to misrepresentation of birthplace in the 1921 Census. The female population born in Austria, France and the United States are the only ones showing a decrease over the ten-year period. However, these three as well as the other birthplaces, with the above-mentioned exception of Germany, show decreased birth rates for 1931-32. The percentage decrease ranges from $8 \cdot 0$ in the case of Japanese-born females to 58.4 for those born in China. This seems quite plausible when one considers the diminishing of immigration and the ageing of the population.

In 1921-22 women born in China had a fertility rate of $267 \cdot 49$, women born in Italy, 194.46. Other birthplaces with high fertility rates were: Japan; 179.00; Austria, 174.49; Poland, 151.69; Hungary, 124.25; Belgium, 104•09. In 1931-32 women born in Japan had a fertility rate of $164 \cdot 64$; Austria, 154•18; Hungary, 112.21; China, $111 \cdot 37$; Italy, 100.16. Any comparison between the fertility rates for women of the various birthplaces would be fruitless because of the marked differences in the proportion of women 15-49 to all women. As in 1921 birthplace was not classified by sex and age, this figure can only be obtained for the population of 1931 and is shown in Statement LXX.

Considering the foreign born we find that in 1931 the percentage of women 15-49 to all women was 88.9 for women born in Japan, 82.0 for Finland, 78.0 for Italy, 77.8 for China and $75 \cdot 2$ for Austria. This proportion dropped through the different birthplaces to $62 \cdot 3$ p.c. for Sweden and $57: 4$ p.c. for Germany.

It will be seen that the fertility rates of Canadian-born women are low. However, a comparison of the fertility rates both of the Canadian born and of the population as a whole with the fertility rates of immigrants is unsound owing to an unusual factor which has nothing to do with true fertility rates:" Children born to other than Canadian-born mothers would automatically appear in the denominator of the equation for the Canadian fertility rate and the higher

| Birthplace | P.C. of All Females in the Age Group 15-49 Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Registration Area | Canada | Quebec |
| All birthplaces.. | $51 \cdot 8$ | 51.4 | 50.4 |
| Canada. | $46 \cdot 2$ | 47.0 | $48 \cdot 6$ |
| British Isles and Possessions. | 66.4 | 66.7 | $69 \cdot 0$ |
| Austria... | $75 \cdot 2$ | $75 \cdot 6$ | 79.8 |
| Belgium........... | 73.0 68.3 | $72 \cdot 5$ 69.9 | $70 \cdot 3$ |
| Finland... | $82 \cdot 0$ | 83.1 | $84 \cdot 1$ |
| France.... | 64.9 | $63 \cdot 8$ | 61.7 |
| Germany. | 57.4 | 58.2 | 69.4 |
| Holland. | 68.2 | $68 \cdot 3$ | 70.4 |
| Hungary.. | $69 \cdot 8$ | $70 \cdot 3$ | 74.8 |
| Italy..... | 78.0 | $76 \cdot 9$ | 73.3 |
| Norway.. | $62 \cdot 6$ | $63 \cdot 1$ | 81.4 |
| Poland. | $74 \cdot 0$ | 74-4 | $79 \cdot 0$ |
| Roumania. . . . | 74.0 69.9 | $74 \cdot 1$ 70.7 | 74.6 74.8 |
| Russia.... | 69.9 | $70 \cdot 7$ | 74.8 |
| Sweden. | $62 \cdot 3$ | 62.5 | 69.0 |
| China. | $77 \cdot 8$ | $77 \cdot 6$ | 75.0 |
| Japan......... | 88.9 | 88.9 | 42.9 |
| United States.. | $71 \cdot 2$ | 70.8 | 68.7 |

the fertility rate for foreign-born females the lower the fertility rates for Canadian-born would appear. In 1921-22 the fertility rate for Canadian-born females was $41 \cdot 16$ and in 1931-32, $37 \cdot 42$. The proportion of Canadian-born women 15-49 to all women was $46 \cdot 2$ p.c. for 1931.

Trend in Births, by Birthplace of Mother, Canada, 1926-1936, and Crude Rates, 1931-1932.-Statement LXXI gives for Canada, 1926-36, the same set of figures as Statement LXIX gives for the Registration Area. Births to Canadian-born women in 1926 formed 71.8 p.c. of the total births and with slight yearly increases this proportion rose to 81.6 p.c. in 1936 . While the absolute figures for all birthplaces fell from 232,750 at the beginning of the period to 220,371 at the end, the births to Canadian-born mothers rose from 166,999 to 179,757. Births to Britishborn females contributed 13.0 p.c. in 1926 and then decreased gradually, reaching $7 \cdot 3$ p.c. in 1936. Foreign-born had a larger percentage at both the beginning and end of the period than that of British-born and decreased only 31.6 p.c. while British-born decreased 46.9 p.c. over the whole period.

Births to females born in Denmark increased in the first four years of the period but then gradually declined until 1936 when there were 230 , a number slightly higher than in 1926 . Other birthplaces showing increased numbers in 1936 were Germany, Hungary and Poland. As in the case of the Registration Area, several countries showed increases up to the period 1930-31 and every birthplace showed a decline from that period on to the end of the decade.

Japan with the favourable proportion of its women between the ages 15 and $49,88 \cdot 9$ p.c., had a birth rate of $164 \cdot 37$. The proportion of women 15-49 to all women born in Austria was also high; the country does not rank next to Japan, yet we find their fertility rate next in size, 143.40. Other countries whose favourable proportion of women in the child-bearing ages was reflected in high fertility rates were Hungary, China, Italy and Poland. Their rates were 111.53, $107 \cdot 42,98 \cdot 19$ and $82 \cdot 30$, respectively. Finland, second only to Japan with $83 \cdot 1$ p.c. of all women in the age group 15-49, had this advantage offset by having only $63 \cdot 5$ p.c. of all women married. The birth rate for Finland was $53 \cdot 47$. The only foreign-born women whose birth rate did not exceed that for all birthplaces were those born in France. Their rate, 39•80, was even lower than the rate for Canadian-born women. The rate for British-born, $45 \cdot 31$, was slightly higher than that for Canadian-born and about 4 p.c. less than that for all birthplaces.

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 to Statement LVI.

Ganadian-Born Mothers , by Province of Birth.-Statement LXXII shows by the province of their birth the Canadian-born mothers appearing in the annual birth.statistics. It is interesting to note that only three provinces, Prince Edward Island, Quebec and Ontario showed decreases between 1926 and 1936; Prince Edward Island had a small decrease of 68 births, Ontario, 561 and Quebec the largest decrease, 3,845 . The other six provinces showed increases ranging from 217 births in New Brunswick to 7,935 in Saskatchewan. The increases in Saskatchewan and Alberta are especially noteworthy, the number of mothers born in these provinces having almost tripled over the period. In 1926 the mothers born in Saskatchewan numbered 4,087 and mothers born in Alberta, 2,853; ten years later these figures had changed to 12,022 for Saskatchewan and 7,922 for Alberta.
LXXII. - BIRTHS TO CANADIAN-BORN MOTHERS, BY゙ -PROVINCE OF BIRTH OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1926-1936

| Year | Canada | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Quebec ${ }^{\circ}$ | Öntario | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926. | 168,999 | 2,108 | 10,465 | 9,698 | .77,439 | 47,890 | 8,408 | 4,087 | 2,853 | 2,220 |
| 1927. | 169,178 | 2.036 | 10,546 | 9,825 | 78,668 | 48,001 | 8,758 | 4,658 | 3,182 | 2,292 |
| 1028. | 171,027 | 2,090 | 10,348 | 9,484 | 79,386 | 48,019 | 9,227 | 5,308 | -3,512 | 2,467 |
| 1929. | 170,442 | 1,954 | 10,152 | 9,401 | 78,051 | 47,046 | 9,511 | 6,113 | 4,215 | 2,700 |
| 1930. | 176,235 | 1,982 | 10.675 | 9,816 | 79,944 | 48,683 | 9,960 | 6,949 | 4.701 | 2,947 |
| 1031. | 177, 197 | 2,103 | 10,815 | 9,861 | 80,053 | 48,253 | 10,098 | 7,536 | 5,104 | 2,745 |
| 1932. | 177,556 | 2,172 | 10,964 | 9,921 | 79,335 | 47,180 | 10,554 | 8,485 | 5,406 | 3,207 |
| 1033. | 170,978 | 2,112 | 10,470 | 9,299 | 74,095 | 46,097 | 10,293 | 9,121 | 5,927 | 3,279 |
| 1034. | 173,647 | 2,020 | 10.811 | 9,487 | 73,956 | 45,872 | 10,789 | 10,141 | 6,646 | 3,654 |
| 1035. | 177,077 | 2,098 | 10,910 | 9,849 | 73,354 | 47,029. | 11,152 | 11,143 | 7,385 | 3.879 |
| 1936... | 179,757 | 2,040 | 11,088 | 9,915 | 73,594 | 47,329 | 11,265 | 12,022 | 7,922 | 4,320 |

For the province of Quebec absolute figures for live births, 1926-36 with an index based on 1926 and crude rates for the average of 1931-32 are shown in Statement LXXIII.

Births to Canadian-born women comprised 91.0 p.c. of all births for the province while for Canada the percentage was only $71 \cdot 8$. However, over the decade this percentage increased by 10 in the case of Canada and by only 3 in Quebec. In 1926 British- and foreign-born females in Quebec contributed the small percentages of 2.8 and $5 \cdot 2$, respectively and the 1936 percentages were even smaller. United States-born females contributed a large proportion of the births to foreign-born, 2,491 of the 4,234 in 1936 and 870 of the 2,176 in 1936. Next to the United Statesborn females were those born in Italy, Russia and Poland with 468, 467 and 208 births respectively in 1926. In 1936 the order was changed to Poland 351, Russia 275 and Italy 164.

Contrary to what was found when considering the birth rates for Canada by birthplace of mother, in Quebec only 3 of the foreign birthplaces, Hungary, Italy and Poland, had rates higher than that for the Canadian born, 58.08 . The rate for the United States-born was slightly lower, 53.07 , and the rate for British-born, 37.42 was followed by Holland with 32.96 , Russia with $31 \cdot 41$, Sweden with $28 \cdot 16$, Austria with $26 \cdot 16$ and France, the lowest, with $23 \cdot 68$.

Average Order of Birth by Birthplace.-Statement LXXIV, an extract from Table 13, Part III, page 158, shows the average number of children (1) born alive, (2) now living (i.e., at date of report of latest birth), (3) born dead and (4) born alive or dead. to mothers of stated birthplaces in 1930.

| Year | All Birthplaces | Canada | British Isles and British Posses- sions | Austria | Belgium | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Den- } \\ \text { mark } \end{array}\right\|$ | Finland | France | Germany | Holland | Hun. gary | Italy | Norway | Poland | Roumania | $\underset{\text { sia }}{\substack{\text { Rus- }}}$ | Sweden. | China | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ja} \\ & \text { pan } \end{aligned}$ | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIRTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1926. | 82,165 | 74,750 | 2,288 | 50 | 54 | 2 | 14 | 116 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 468 | 3 | 208 | 136 | 467 | 3 | 6 |  |  |
| 1927. | 83,064 | 75,730 | 2,176 | 32 | 61 | 5 | 21 | 100 | 18 | 10 | 17 | 464 | 6 | 174 | 118 | 381 | 3 | 6 |  | 2,491 2,495 |
| 1928. | 83,621 <br> 81,380 | 76,464 | 2,081 | 44 | 74 | - 6 | 17 | 85 | 16 | 13 | 39 | 461 | 10 | 192 | 108 | 373 | 4 | 5 |  | 2,410 |
| 1930. | 83,625 | 76,671 | 2,291 | ${ }_{35}$ | 48 | 18 | 46 | 80 | 23 | 8 | 59 | 427 | 6 | 280 | 117 | 345 | 8 | 6 |  | 1,582 |
| 1931. | 83,606 | 76,917 | 2,160 | 38 | 54 | 22 | 68 | 69 | 34 42 | 11 4 | 120 | 389 | 17 | 315 | 141 | 303 | 9 | 5 |  | 1,518 |
| 1932. | 82,216 | 76,239 | 1,950 | 29 | 49 | 23 | 49 | 64 | 50 | $\stackrel{4}{9}$ | 117 | ${ }_{285}$ | 13 | 349 | 117 | 283 | 8 | , |  | 1,469 |
| 1933. | 76,020 | 71,362 | 1,734 | 31 | 36 | 28 | 52 | 51 | 35 | 9 | 118 85 | 258 | 14 | 425 | 142 | $\stackrel{288}{285}$ | 8 | 5 | , | 1,324 |
| 1934. | 76,432 | 71,185 | 1,596 | 30 | 35 | 24 | 50 | 51 | 40 | 6 | 85 | 248 | 8 | 397 335 | 119 | 285 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 1,116 |
| 1935. | 75,267 | 70;546 | 1,464 | 34 | 31 | 17 | 34 | 45 | 33 | 1 | 70 | 204 | 8 | 335 359 | ${ }^{1} 87$ | 2726 | 6 | ${ }_{7}^{4}$ | 1 | 1,025 |
| 1936. | 75,285 | 70,872 | 1,329 | 34 | 25 | 17 | 25 | 43 | 28 | 2 | 69 | 164 | 11 | 351 | 71 | 275 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 966 870 |
| 1931-32. | 57.75 | 58.08 | $37 \cdot 42$ | 26.16 | 38-70 | 47.48 | 47.82 | 23.68 | 40.04 | 32.96 | $105 \cdot 59$ | 91.83 | 50.83 | 68.91 | 39-94 | 31.41 | 28.16 | $48 \cdot 62$ | - | 53.07 |
| INDEX OF BIRTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1926. | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1927. | $101 \cdot 1$ | $101 \cdot 3$ | 95.1 | 64.0 | 113.0 | $250 \cdot 0$ | $150 \cdot 0$ | 86.2 | 112.5 | 100.0 | 130.8 | ${ }_{99} 1$ | $200 \cdot 0$ | ${ }_{83.7} 8$ | $100 \cdot 0$ 86.8 | 100.0 81.6 |  |  |  | 100.0 |
| 1928. | 101.8 | $102 \cdot 3$ | 91.0 | 88.0 | 137.0 | $300 \cdot 0$ | $121-4$ | $73 \cdot 3$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $130 \cdot 0$ | $300 \cdot 0$ | 98.5 | $333 \cdot 3$ | 83.7 | 86.8 79 | 81.6 79 | 133.3 | $100 \cdot 0$ <br> 83.3 | - | 100.2 92.7 |
| 1929. | 99.0 | $100 \cdot 1$ | 92.2 | 102.0 | 88.9 | $750 \cdot 0$ | $178 \cdot 6$ | 69.0 | $143 \cdot 8$ | 80.0 | 453.8 | 91.2 | 200.0 | 134.6 | $8{ }^{76.0}$ | 73.9 | ${ }_{266.7}$ | $83 \cdot 3$ $100 \cdot 0$ | - | 92.7 63.5 |
| 1930. | $101 \cdot 8$ | $102 \cdot 6$ | $100 \cdot 1$ | $70 \cdot 0$ | 85.2 | $900 \cdot 0$ | $328 \cdot 6$ | 75.0 | 212.5 | 110.0 | $923 \cdot 1$ | 83.1 | 566:7 | 151.4 | 103.7 | 73.9 | 300.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ <br> 83.3 | - | 63.5 60.9 |
| 1931. | 101.8 | $102 \cdot 9$ | 94.4 | 76.0 | $100 \cdot$ | $1100 \cdot 0$ | 485.7 | 59.5 | 262.5 | 40.0 | 753 -8 | 81.6 | $433 \cdot 3$ | $167 \cdot 8$ | 86.0 | 60.6 | 300.0 | $83 \cdot 3$ <br> 66.7 |  | 60.9 59.0 |
| 1932. | $100 \cdot 1$ | 102.0 | $85 \cdot 2$ | 58.0 | 90.7 | $1150 \cdot 0$ |  | 55.2 | 312.5 | 90.0 | $900 \cdot 0$ | 60.9 | 466.7 | 204-3 | 104-4 | 61.7 | 266.7 | $63 \cdot 3$ <br> 83 |  | 59.0 53.2 |
| 1933. | ${ }_{93}^{93.6}$ | 95.5 | 75.8 | 62.0 | $66 \cdot 7$ 64.8 | $1400 \cdot 0$ | $371 \cdot 4$ | $44 \cdot 0$ | 218.8 | 90.0 | $653 \cdot 8$ | 55.1 | $233 \cdot 3$ | $190 \cdot 9$ | 87.5 | 61.0 | 400.0 | 116.7 |  | 44.8 4 |
| 1935. | .91.6 | ${ }_{94}^{95 \cdot 2}$ | $69 \cdot 8$ 64.0 | 60.0 68.0 | $64 \cdot 8$ 57 | 1200.0 850.0 | $357 \cdot 1$ <br> 242 <br> 1 | 48.0 38.8 | $250 \cdot 0$ 206.3 | 60.0 10.0 | 653.8 538.5 | 53.0 43.6 | $266 \cdot 7$ $200 \cdot 0$ | $161 \cdot 1$ 172.6 | 77.9 | 69.8 | 200.0 | 66.7 | - | 41.1 |
| 1936. | 91.6 | 94.8 | 58.1 | 68.0 | $46 \cdot 3$ | $850 \cdot 0$ | 178.6 | 37.1 | 175.0 | 20.0 | 530.8 | $45 \cdot 0$ | 366.7 | 168.8 <br> 1 | 64.0 52.2 | 58.7 58.9 | 100.0 133.3 | 116.7 <br> 83.3 | - | 38.8 34.9 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 to Statement LVI.
LXXIV.-AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN (1) BORN ALIVE, (2) NOW LIVING, (3) BORN DEAD, (4) BORN ALIVE OR•DEAD, BY BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1930

| Birthplace of Mother | Average Number of Children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| All birthplaces... | 3.92 | $3 \cdot 47$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 02$ |
| Canada.. | 4.08 | 3.57 | 0.10 | $4 \cdot 18$ |
| Prince Edward Island. | $4 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 73$ | 0.08 | 4.21 |
| Nova Scotia. | $3 \cdot 84$ | 3.48 | 0.12 | 3.96 |
| New Brunswick. | $4 \cdot 40$ | $3 \cdot 82$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 4.50 |
| Quebec... | $4 \cdot 93$ | $4 \cdot 20$ | 0.09 | $5 \cdot 02$ |
| Ontario... | $3 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 98$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 35$ |
| Manitoba. | $3 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 96$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 34$ |
| Saskatchewan.. | $2 \cdot 71$ | $2 \cdot 44$ | 0.06 | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| Alberta.. | $2 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | 0.06 | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| British Columbia. | $2 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 31$ | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| British Isles.. | $3 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 79$ | 0.11 | 3-10 |
| England.. | $3 \cdot 11$ | 2.89 | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 21$ |
| Ireland. . | $2 \cdot 92$ | $2 \cdot 72$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 3.03 |
| Scotland. | $2 \cdot 76$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 87$ |
| Wales. | 3.06 | $2 \cdot 79$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 17$ |
| British Possessions..... | $3 \cdot 74$ | $3 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 86$ |
| Newfoundland..... | $4 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 61$ | 0.12 | $4 \cdot 22$ |
| Europe.. | 3.88 | $3 \cdot 45$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 3.98 |
| Austria. | $5 \cdot 31$ | $4 \cdot 66$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $5 \cdot 44$ |
| Belgium.. | $3 \cdot 25$ | 2.94 | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 34$ |
| Denmark. | $2 \cdot 62$ | $2 \cdot 39$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 73$ |
| Finland. | $2 \cdot 20$ | $2 \cdot 02$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 30$ |
| France.... | $4 \cdot 10$ | 3.75 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $4 \cdot 20$ |
| Germany. . | 2.91 | $2 \cdot 69$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 00$ |
| Holland. | $3 \cdot 23$ | 3.05 | 0.07 | $3 \cdot 30$ |
| Hungary....... | $3 \cdot 50$ | 3.02 | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| Italy... | $4 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $4 \cdot 22$ |
| Norway... | . $3 \cdot 40$ | $3 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 50$ |
| Poland. | $3 \cdot 61$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 3.71 |
| Roumania. | $4 \cdot 53$ | $3 \cdot 89$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $4 \cdot 68$ |
| Russia, | $4 \cdot 35$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 44$ |
| Sweden. | 3.68 | $3 \cdot 41$ | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 76$ |
| Asia. | $3 \cdot 96$ | $3 \cdot 68$ | 0.07 | 4.03 |
| China. | $5 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 85$ | 0.04 | $5 \cdot 13$ |
| Japan. | 3-65 | $3 \cdot 43$ | 0.07 | $3 \cdot 72$ |
| United States... | $3 \cdot 82$ | -. 3.49 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 3.93 |

The average for children born alive ranges from 5.31 for mothers born in Austria to 2.20 for mothers born in Finland giving a rate of 3.92 for all birthplaces. Mothers born in China with an average of $5 \cdot 10$ children, in Quebec with 4.93 , in Roumania with 4.53 and in the province of New Brunswick with 4.40 are among the highest. Alberta and British Columbia are quite low with $2 \cdot 60$; Denmark with $2 \cdot 62$, Saskatchewan with 2.71 and Scotland with 2.76 are next. For children now living, the order of birthplaces of mothers is practically the same as for children born alive except that China and Austria are interchanged; the highest average was 4.85 , the lowest 2.02. The average number of children born dead for all birthplaces is $0 \cdot 10$. Below this we find five provinces of Canada, five countries of Europe and Asia as a whole, as well as China and Japan individually.

The averages in Statement LXXIV, adjusted for differences in age distribution of mothers, are shown in Statement LXXV.

| LXXV:-AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN (1) BORN ALIVE, (2) NOW LIVING, (3) BORN DEAD, (4) |
| :---: |
| BORN ALIVE•OR DEAD, BY BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER, ADJUSTED FOR DIFFERENCES IN |
| -AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MOTHERS, AND SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF CHILDREN |
| NOW LIVING TO THOSE BORN ALIVE AND OF CHILDREN BORN DEAD |
| $!\quad$ TO THOSE BORN ALIVE OR DEAD, CANADA, 1930 |



The highest average for children born alive is for Quebec, $4 \cdot 69$ (Austria with $4 \cdot 66$ almost equals Quebec), and the lowest is Finland with 2.47 . This is a considerably narrower range than the range for the unadjusted figures which was from $5 \cdot 31$ to $2 \cdot 20$. The adjusted averages for children now living show Austria highest with $4 \cdot 10$ and Finland lowest with $2 \cdot 24$. The proportion of children now living to children born alive ranges from 95 p.c. in the case of mothers born in China and Norway to 85 p.c. for those born in Quebec. This seems like a small range and suggests that there are no distinctive variations among birthplaces. The average number born dead ranges from 0.15 in the case of Roumania-born mothers to 0.03 in the case of Chinaborn. The average number of births (born alive or dead) is highest for mothers born in Quebec, $4 \cdot 78$, and lowest for Finland, $2 \cdot 59$. The proportion of children born dead to children born alive or dead ranges from 4.25 for Finland to 0.70 for China. Other high proportions of children born
dead to all children born alive or dead are found for women born:in Denmark, Ontario and the British Isles with $3 \cdot 86,3 \cdot 48$ and $3 \cdot 39$, respectively. This is perhaps contrary to expectation. On the other side of the picture we find these same birthplaces among those with higher percentages of children now living to children born alive.

The standard deviation for the average number of children born alive by individual countries of birth of mother was computed and found to be 0.58 in an average of 3.55 . Compare this with the standard deviation of the average number of children born alive by racial origin of mother (page 000 ), 0.66 in an average of 3.80 , which was considered not large. It would seem, therefore, that birthplace has no great influence on the fertility of the women of Canada. The standard deviation, of course, does not tell us definitely how much the average number of children born to a mother varies because of differences in birthplace, and without a standard with which to compare it does not tell us anything very definite. As standard deviations go, however, it seems low in itself. Furthermore, there are other features correlated with birthplace, e.g., racial origin, religion and, to some extent, region, which would be responsible for some of this standard deviation. Consequently, it would seem that birthplace per se cannot be responsible for a significant differential in fertility as measured by average number of children,' especially since the figures are adjusted for differences in age of mother.

Accumulated Births.-While trends in the number of births and crude and standardized rates are the customary methods by which the fertility of the population and the changes in fertility are presented, there is another point of view that should not be overlooked. Population is a very dynamic thing even when its dynamic properties are not accentuated by migration. The fact that older people are dying off and their place taken by younger people means that the population is continually changing its content. In 1931 out of a total of $10,359,165$ persons with stated ages, $2,203,774$ were under the age of 10 years, i.e., born since the previous census, a proportion of one to four (neglecting the number under 10 years of age coming in through migration). If we take the Canadian-born population, there were $8,054,526$ with stated ages and $2,119,703$ under 10 years of age, i.e., one born since the census to every three previously living. This impresses upon our minds the extent to which the content of our population is changing and that (except for the by-no-means-complete control of the old over the actions, thought and desires of the new) we have here a state of flux that is probably more important than any one other attribute of our population. The current births enable us to give a rough measurement of this flux and were it not for the complications caused by deaths and migration they would give us a perfect measurement of this and of the additions to our population. As it is, however, it may serve a useful purpose to cast up the accumulated births over a period of years (especially ten years to compare with an inter-censal period) to see how the accumulation for this period compares with the number 11 years and under at the censuses. In order to have a more definite picture we need a calculation of the survivors of these births but here it is impossible to be exact, especially when we are calculating survivors of different sections of the population. The expectations of a life table may be used for the population as a whole with fairly satisfactory results but when this is applied to races, birthplaces and so on we are apt to go far afield. Even so, a calculation of this nature serves a useful purpose so long as it is understood that it is only a rough estimate.

Statement LXXVI below shows the accumulated births over the period 1926-36 in the nine provinces with the survivors of these by age in 1936. The latter is obtained by using life table expectations. It is important to observe the comparison of these accumulated survivors with the accumulated natural increase of the whole population over the period by which we can estimate. the change in personnel.

LXXVI--TOTAL CHILDREN BORN, 1920-1936, AND PROBABLE SURVIVORS IN 1036, BY BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER, CANADA

| Birthplace of Mother | Total Children Born, 1926-36 | Probable <br> Survivors <br> in 1030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All birthplaces. | 2,544,737 | 2,303,150. |
| Canada...................... | 1,910,093 | 1,730,822 |
| British Isles and Possessions. | 1,271,392 | -244,508. |
| Austria. | 23,860 | 21,463 |
| Belgium. | 4.878 | 4,398: |
| Denmark. | 3,298 | 2,979 |
| France... | 6,104 | 5,511. |
| Germany.... | 9,182 | 8,305 |
| Holland. | 2,939 | 2,651 |
| Itangary. | 9,168 | 8,293 |
| Norway. | 16,494 6,499 | 14.843 5.859 |
| Poland. | 50,641 | 5.889 45,813 |
| Roumania. | 10,309 | 9,284 |
| Russia. | 46,464 | 41,907 |
| Sweden. | 5,728 | 5,155 |
| China. | 1,883 | 1,692 |
| Japan.. | 7,487 | 6,724 |
| United States.. | 122,332 | 110,394. |

The statement shows that out of $2,303,150$ estimated survivors of the children born from 1926 to 1936 Canadian-born mothers contributed $1,730,822$ or $75 \cdot 2$ p.c.; British-born mothers contributed 244,508 or $10 \cdot 6$ p.c.; United States-born, 110,394 or $4 \cdot 8$ p.c.; Chinese- and Japanese-, born, 8,416 or 0.4 p.c., and European-born, 179,770 or 7.8 p.c. Among the European countries, mothers born in Poland, Russia and Austria were the main contributors with $45,813,41,907$ and 21,463 births, respectively. The birthplace of the father should also be taken into consideration but some idea of the relationship of the two is given in the marriage statistics which show a general correspondence of birthplace of bride and groom, e.g., in 193180 p.c. of the marriages gave both parties as being of the same birthplace.

The accumulated survivors of the births in Canada give us $2,303,150$ at and under the age of 10 with a few at the age of 11 . The accumulated natural increase of the population from 1926 to 1936 was $1,375,052$. The accumulated survivors of the births over the period are, roughly, the number who have come into the population; the amount by which they exceed the natural increase is, roughly, the number who have gone out of the population by death or emigration. The two together represent the total change in the personnel, viz., 3,678,202 or about one-third of the population.

Trend in Births Associated with Migration.-Statement LXXVII shows the births in Canada as a whole to (1) parents born in the same province as the child, (2) all other parents appearing in the births statistics of the given year as principals, for the purpose of showing the trend in the ratio of births associated with migration to other births. While the total births in the Registration Area at the end of the period 1921-36 showed a decided decrease from the total births at the beginning, the number of births where parents and child were all born in the same province showed a substantial gain, 7,762 , so that the full decrease was in births associated, with migration. The same is true for Canada over the period 1926-36 but in Quebec, while total births decreased by 8,924 , the births where parents were.born in the same province as the. child also decreased some 2,229 and births associated with migration made up the remaining. decrease, 6,065 .
LXXVII.-TOTAL BIRTHS, BIRTHS TO PARENTS BORN IN THE SAME PROVINCE AS THE CHILD AND OTHER BIRTHS, WITH PROPORTION BIRTHS TO MIGRATING PARENTS FORM OF ALL BIRTHS, REGISTRATION AREA, 1921-1936, CANADA AND QUEBEC, 1926-1936

| Year |  | Births |  |  | Proportion Births to Migrating Parenta Form of Total Births (Col. 3 Col. 1) (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total <br> (1) | Both Parents Born in Same Province as Child (2) | Other (3) |  |
| Registration Area- 68.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921.......... |  | 168,979, | 55, 938 | 113,040 |  |
| 1922. |  | 160,823 <br> 153,489 | 55,541 $\mathbf{5 5 , 0 2 2}$ | 105,282 98,467 | $65 \cdot 46$ $64 \cdot 15$ |
| 1923. |  | 153,489 153,880 | 55,022 <br> $\mathbf{5 6 , 0 5 1}$ | 98,467 97 | $64 \cdot 15$ $63 \cdot 57$ |
| 1824....... |  | 153,880 150,809 | 55, ${ }^{571}$ | 94,938 | 62.95 |
| 1926. |  | 145,519 | 54,535 | 90,984 | $62 \cdot 52$ |
| 1927. |  | 146,728 | 54,943 | 91,785 | 62.55 |
| 1928. |  | 148, 275 | 55, 006 | 93,269 | 62.90 |
| 1929. |  | 148,878 | 54, 876 | 94,002 | $63 \cdot 14$ |
| 1930. |  | 154,330 | 57,587 | 96,743 | $62 \cdot 69$ |
| 1931. |  | 150,952 | 57,927 | 93,025 | 61.63 |
| 1932. |  | 147,423 | 58,797 | 88,626 | $60 \cdot 12$ 58.64 |
| 1933. |  | 139.955 | 59,905 | 79,231 | 56.95 |
| 1935. |  | 140,346 | 62,267 | 78,079 | $55 \cdot 63$ |
| 1930. |  | 138,922 | 63,601 | 75,321 | $54 \cdot 22$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  | 226,629 227,473 | 121,663 | 104,303 | 45.85 |
| 1927. |  | 229,477 | 123,949 | 105,528 | 45.99 |
| 1928. |  | 227,899 | 123.068 | 104,831 | 46.00 |
| 1930. |  | 235,436 | 127,997 | 107,439 | $45 \cdot 63$ |
| 1931. |  | 232,108 | 128,676 | 103,432 | $44 \cdot 56$ |
| 1932. |  | 227,206 | 128,598 | 98,608 | $43 \cdot 40$ |
| 1933. |  | 214,442 | 123.310 | 91,132 | $42 \cdot 50$ |
| 1934. |  | 213, 233 | 125,316 | 87,917 | 41.23 |
| 1935. |  | 213,107 | 126,677 | 86,430 | $40 \cdot 56$ |
| 1936. |  | 211,738 | 128,500 | 83,238 | $39 \cdot 31$ |
| Queber-  <br> P  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1926. |  | 81,110 80,745 | 67,128 68.227 | 13,982 12,518 | 17.25 |
| 1927.. |  | 81,745 81,202 | 68,227 | 12,518 12,259 | $15 \cdot 10$ |
| 1929. |  | 79,021 | 68,192 | 10,829 | $13 \cdot 70$ |
| 1930. |  | 81,106 | 70.410 | 10,696 | $13 \cdot 19$ |
| 1931. |  | 81,156 | 70,749 | 10,402 | $12 \cdot 82$ |
| 1932. |  | 79,783 | 69,801 | 9,982 | $12 \cdot 51$ |
| 1933. |  | 74,487 | 65.431 | 9,056 | 12.16 |
| 1934. |  | 74,097 | 65,411 | 8.686 | 11.72 |
| 1935. |  | 72,761 | 64,410 64,899 | 8,351 7,917 | 11.48 10.87 |
| 1936. |  | 72,816 | 64,89 | 7,017 |  |

It will be seen that the ratio of children born to migrating parents has declined in the case of the Registration Area from $66 \cdot 9$ in 1921 to $54 \cdot 2$ in 1936 and in the case of the nine provinces from $46 \cdot 3$ in 1926 to $39 \cdot 3$ in 1936. Between the years 1921 and 1928 in the Registration Areathe proportion of births associated with migration decreased 4.0 p.c. and for the seven-year period 1929-36 the proportion decreased 8.92 p.c. It would appear to be an accelerating process. In Canada over the first five-year period the decrease was 1.76 and over the last five-year period, $5 \cdot 25$. However, in Quebec where migration played a much smaller part, from $17 \cdot 24$ p.c. of all births in 1926 the proportion fell to $12 \cdot 82$ p.c. in 1931 and slowed up over the last five-year period to 10.87 p.c. in 1936 . This is probably the best measure that can be obtained of the rate at which our population is becoming indigenous and static although, of course, it leaves out of account migration within the province and, consequently, does not fully measure the contribution of migrants to the births.

## Specific Fertility Rates for Women of All Conjugal Conditions, by Birthplace, 1930-

 1932.-As has already been stated, no classification was made of the sex and age distribution of the population by birthplace for the Census of 1921. This classification was made, however, for the Census of 1931. Taking advantage of this data, specific fertility rates have been computed. for the three-year period 1930-32 which centres around the date of the 1931 Census. From these specific fertility rates, total.fertility rates have been computed and both are shown in Statement LXXVIII.
## LXXVIII.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES ${ }^{1}$ OF WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE OF ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS, BY AGES AND BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER, WITH TOTAL FERTILITY RATES, BY BIRTHPLACE, OF MOTHER, CANADA, 1930-1932

| Birthplace of Mother | Specific Fertility Rates for Mothers in Ago Group |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| All birthplaces. | $20 \cdot 5$ | 136.7 | 174.4 | 144.9 | 103.2 | $44 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| Canada. | 28.0 | $132 \cdot 2$ | 178.1 | 154.9 | 114.4 | 51-6 | $6 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 33$ |
| Prince Edward Island | 28.5 | $131 \cdot 0$ | $172 \cdot 4$ | $161 \cdot 1$ | $115 \cdot 1$ | 48.8 | $4 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 31$ |
| Nova Scotia... | 43.4 | 147.4 | $162 \cdot 3$ | $135 \cdot 8$ | $100 \cdot 7$ | $44 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| New Brunswick Quebec........ | $40 \cdot 7$ 21.0 | $152 \cdot 1$ $142 \cdot 3$ | $190 \cdot 6$ | $104 \cdot 9$ | $122 \cdot 1$ | ${ }^{60 \cdot 8} 8$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 69$ |
| Ontario.. | $32 \cdot 1$ | $116 \cdot 8$ | $223 \cdot 3$ $135 \cdot 8$ | 109.3 | $170 \cdot 9$ 71.8 | 81.8 28.5 | $10 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 29$ |
| Manitoba. | 25.0 | $120 \cdot 5$ | 158.8 | $134 \cdot 3$ | 95.9 | 28.5 <br> 49.1 | 2:6 | $2 \cdot 48$ $2 \cdot 95$ |
| Saskatchewan. | 27.9 | $142 \cdot 1$ | 180.2 | $152 \cdot 3$ | $110 \cdot 4$ | $62 \cdot 9$ | 14.8 | 2.95 3.45 |
| Alberta. | 29.6 | $146 \cdot 4$ | 182.8 | $154 \cdot 7$ | $113 \cdot 6$ | $62 \cdot 9$ 57 | 14.8 13.8 | 3.45 3.49 |
| British Columbia. | $20 \cdot 6$ | 94-4 | 118.0 | 92.2 | 59.7 | 33.1 | 7.2 | $2 \cdot 13$ |
| British Isles. | $35 \cdot 5$ | $127 \cdot 8$ | $139 \cdot 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| England. | 36.2 | $127 \cdot 8$ 126.0 | $139 \cdot 3$ 136 | $105 \cdot 6$ | 67.3 66.8 | $24 \cdot 8$ 25.3 | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 51$ |
| Ireland. | 33.9 | $139 \cdot 6$ | $150 \cdot 8$ | $120 \cdot 8$ | $60 \cdot 8$ 73 | $25 \cdot 3$ $25 \cdot 3$ | 2.7 | 2.48 2.76 |
| Scotland | $33 \cdot 5$ | 125.9 | $138 \cdot 6$ | 109.2 | ${ }_{66 \cdot 6}$ | 22.9 | $2 \cdot 15$ | $2 \cdot 50$ |
| Wales. | $5 \cdot 1$ | 159.8 | $164 \cdot 1$ | $124 \cdot 4$ | 73.9 | $35 \cdot 2$ | 2.5 | $\stackrel{2 \cdot 80}{2.82}$ |
| British Possessions | 37.8 | 148.7 | $181 \cdot 4$ | $133 \cdot 1$ | 91.3 | $35 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 1$ $5 \cdot 3$ | 2. |
| Newfoundland. | 44.9 | 179.9 | $212 \cdot 5$ | $160 \cdot 0$ | 117.7 | 46.5 | $7 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 84$ |
| Europe. | 50.4 | $173 \cdot 2$ | $189 \cdot 9$ | 148.4 | 104•4 | $46 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| Austria. | $124 \cdot 0$ | 318.9 | $320 \cdot 0$ | 268.0 | 204-1 | 94.4 | 16.8 | $6 \cdot 73$ |
| Belgium. | 67.9 | $177 \cdot 7$ | 147.6 | $110 \cdot 4$ | 78.4 | $33 \cdot 2$ | 6.0 | $3 \cdot 11$ |
| Denmark | 40.6 | $155 \cdot 9$ | $171 \cdot 0$ | 131.0 | 81.8 | $38 \cdot 6$ | - | $3 \cdot 09$ |
| - Finland. | $47 \cdot 1$ | $100 \cdot 9$ | $98 \cdot 6$ | $74 \cdot 7$ | $44 \cdot 3$ | 22.2 | $4 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 86$ |
| Germany | 21.7 | $127 \cdot 5$ | $131 \cdot 9$ | $95 \cdot 5$ | 74.0 | 27.7 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 41$ |
| Holland. . | 29.9 29.9 | $183 \cdot 5$ $167 \cdot 2$ | $181 \cdot 2$ $200 \cdot 4$ | 131.4 149.5 | 86.5 115.7 | $46 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 45$ |
| Hungary | $101 \cdot 6$ | $167 \cdot 2$ $252 \cdot 5$ | $200 \cdot 4$ 237 | $149 \cdot 5$ <br> 168.0 | $115 \cdot 7$ $132 \cdot 1$ | 44.8 58.3 | ${ }_{18.1}^{6}$ | 3.57 |
| Italy... | 80.5 | $245 \cdot 0$ | $235 \cdot 0$ | 168.0 180.9 | $132 \cdot 1$ 134 | $58 \cdot 3$ 58.9 | $\begin{array}{r}12.2 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.72 |
| Norway | $40 \cdot 4$ | $165 \cdot 7$ | 184.5 | 144.8 | 114.8 | 50.8 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 4.72 3.55 |
| Poland. | $43 \cdot 3$ | 157.9 | 180.7 | $138 \cdot 0$ | $85 \cdot 2$ | 36.7 | $7 \cdot 6$ | 3.25 |
| Roumania. | 58.8 | $154 \cdot 4$ | $159 \cdot 1$ | $105 \cdot 3$ | 76.6 | $33 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 97$ |
| Russia. | 31.6 | 162.8 | $200 \cdot 7$ | $170 \cdot 1$ | $115 \cdot 3$ | 53.9 | $8 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 71$ |
| Sweden. | 62.0 | $154 \cdot 5$ | $163 \cdot 5$ | $127 \cdot 5$ | $98 \cdot 4$ | $35 \cdot 6$ | 6.6 | $3 \cdot 24$, |
| Asia | 59.8 |  |  |  | 147.2 | 64.8 | 11.2 | $5 \cdot 05$ |
| China. | 9.0 | $142 \cdot 0$ | $220 \cdot 1$ | $232 \cdot 2$ | $208 \cdot 1$ | 88.8 | $23 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 62$ |
| Japan. | $135 \cdot 8$ | $370 \cdot 5$ | $296 \cdot 7$ | 218.1 | 156.0 | $78 \cdot 1$ | 10.4 | 8.33 |
| United States. | 47-1 | 156.8 | $162 \cdot 0$ | 122.9 | $83 \cdot 0$ | $37 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 3.08 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1.000 women of age and birthplace specified.
${ }^{2}$ For method of calculation, see page 82.

Considering first the specific rates for Canadian-born women, it will be observed that while the rates for the two youngest age groups are below those for "all birthplaces", in the group 25-29 the rate for Canadian women is higher and becomes proportionately higher and higher in each consecutive age group. Among the provinces of Canada there are only two that differ very much from the rate for Canadian-born women. These are Quebec, which is considerably higher in all but the 15-19 age group, and British. Columbia, which is considerably lower in all groups except the oldest.

The women born in the British Isles, with one exception, Wales, have higher specific rates than those of all birthplaces in the age group 15-19; but in all the groups over 20 years their rates are lower with two exceptions, both in the age group 20-24. Newfoundland shows higher rates in all groups.

Among the European countries, Hungary and Austria show high specific fertility rates throughout all age groups while Finland and France show comparatively low ones. France is the only country lower than average in all age groups. The specific fertility rates of women born in Asia as a whole, China and Japan are higher than for "all birthplaces" (except China in the age group 15-19) and in most cases considerably so. However, it must be remembered that the se rates result from small female population and a small number of births. Specific fertility rates for women born in the United States are slightly better than the average in the two young age groups and slightly lower in the other five age groups. This is just the reverse of the rates for Canadian-born women.

Total Fertility Rates, by Birthplace, 1930-1932.-Turning now to the total fertility rate (the number of children born to a woman passing through the whole child-bearing period), we find a rate of $3 \cdot 19$ children for all women in Canada. This varies through the individual birthplaces from 6.73 children for women born in Austria to 1.96 children for women born in Finland (Statement LXXVIII).

While the rates for women born in Canada as a whole and six of the provinces are higher than the rate for "all birthplaces"-Quebec being the highest with a rate of 4.29 -women born in Ontario, Manitoba and British Columbia are lower. The last-named province is the lowest with a rate of $2 \cdot 19$ children. Foreign birthplaces whose women have a higher rate than that of Quebec are Austria with $6 \cdot 73$, Japan with $6 \cdot 33$, Asia as a whole with $5 \cdot 05$, Hungary with $4 \cdot 81$, Italy with 4.72 and China with $4 \cdot 62$. The birthplaces with the lowest fertility rates are Finland and British Columbia; next are France with $2 \cdot 41$, Ontario and England with 2.48, Scotland with $2 \cdot 50$, the British Isles as a whole with $2 \cdot 51$, Ireland with $2 \cdot 76$, Wales with $2 \cdot 82$, Manitoba with 2.95 and Roumania with $2 \cdot 97$.

Conclusions.-Some of the important features brought out in this chapter are: (1) there was a definite increase in the proportion of children born to Canadian-born parents; (2) birthplace has no significant influence on the fertility of women as measured by the average number of children; (3) although 13 out of 100 estimated survivors of the births over the period 1926-36 were to foreign-born mothers and 39 out of 100 births in Canada were still associated with migration, the births associated with migration decreased continually and rapidly over the period 192636 ; (4) the rapid decrease in births associated with migration indicates that our population is fast becoming static. The consequences of this are difficult to forecast. From one point of view it should mean that the population is apt to become more attached to home life and probably grow less sporadically than it has done in the past thirty years. Again, since we know that in the immediate past a very large part of the population represented different countries, this rapid approach to indigenuity indicates that this differentiation in birthplace has not proved as serious a barrier to intermarriage as seemed probable in the early part of the period. However, there may be other points of view, including the possibility that the tendency to become static is merely a cyclical matter due to depressed economic conditions and also that a static condition may be, partly at least, responsible for the decline in births.

## CHAPTER VII

## REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN FERTILITY

Introduction.-The value for Canada or any large country as a whole of a statistic șuch as crude birth rate is manifestly limited. It is an average from which, knowing the size of the population, the total number of births may be calculated; also, this average for the whole country in one year can be compared with that in another. But in a country as large, from point of view of geographical area, as Canada, a rate like this cannot be compared with a rate in another and smaller country or a country with a more homogeneous population. Furthermore, this average rate has no meaning unless it is representative of the birth rates of the different sections of the country, so that the general rate may be said to be typical of the individual areas or a large number of them. Conceivably, the rates of the individual regions of Canada tend to settle down to or stabilize at this central point; if not, i.e., if the individual rates are independent, there is no meaning to the general rate. It follows that it is of first importance to examine the birth rates of the different types of regions of Canada. The types of regions that will be examined in this chapter are: (1) urban municipalities grouped by size; (2) counties and census divisions exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and over; (3) the 220 counties or census divisions and a few subdivisions into which the census divisions are divided (227 in all). Obviously, before a thorough study of the incidences of birth rates in this threefold classification could be made, it was necessary to obtain figures of births by place of residence of mothers.in contradistinction to births by place of occurrence. These, tabulated for the first time for the purpose of this monograph, are shown in Tables 14 and 15, Part III, pages 164 and 170.

Provincial Birth Rates by Size Groups of Urban Municipalities and "Remaining Parts''.-In Table 14, Part III, page 164, the births by residence of mother for each city, town or "remaining part" of county or census division have been averaged for the three years 1930-32 and crude birth rates have been computed on the census population as of June 1, 1931.

Standardized* birth rates have also been computed for each of these units in the following manner:-
(1) Expected birth rates have been computed by listing the female population of each unit between the 15 th and 50th birthday by five-year age groups and applying to each age group the average birth rate for that group obtaining in the Dominion as a whole over the three years 1930-32, then summing the births thus computed for the various age groups and dividing the sum by the total population of the unit.
(2) The standardized rates have been computed from the crude and expected rates by the following equation:-

$$
\text { S.R. (for a given unit) }=\frac{\text { E.R. for Canada }}{\text { E.R. for the given unit }} \times \text { C.R. for the given unit }
$$

where S.R. means standardized rate, E.R. means expected rate and C.R. means crude rate.
Statement LXXIX presents a summary of Table 14 for size groups of urban municipalities classified according to population and for the "remaining parts". For this purpose the following groups have been distinguished:-
(a) cities of 100,000 population and over;
(b) cities of $40,000-100,000$ population;
(c) cities and towns of $10,000-40,000$ population;
(d) cities and towns of 5,000-10,000 population;
(e) "remaining parts", consisting of towns under 5,000 population, all villages and all rural parts.

In addition to the grouping for Canada as a whole the figures for these different classes are also summarized for the Maritime Provinces as a unit, Quebec, Ontario, the Prairie Provinces as a unit and British Columbia. In these regional groups, however, the figures for cities of 40,000 and over are given singly without class totals.
*Standardized for age.

IXXIX.-POPULATION, BIRTHS AND CRUDE, EXPECTED AND STANDARDIZED BIRTH RATES', BY SIZE GROUPS OF URBAN MÚNICIPALITIES AND "REMAINING PARTS."

CANADA AND PROVINCES, 1931


1 Exclusive of Yukon and the Northwest Territories.
${ }^{2}$ Comprising towns under 5,000 , all villages and all rural parte.
${ }^{3}$ See page 122 for method of computation.
*The standardized rates were computed from the crude and expected rates carried to two places of decimals.
Canada as a whole had a bisth rate averaging $23 \cdot 1$ per thousand population over the threeyear period. The lowest rate (both crude and standardized) in its constituent parts is shown for cities of 100,000 and over, the crude rate for this group being 20.8 per thousand and the standardized rate only $17 \cdot 1$ per thousand. Cities of $40,000-100,000$ stand next in order in both erude and standardized rates, with $21 \cdot 1$ and $17 \cdot 7$ per thousand, respectively. The highest group crude rate, 24.7 per thousand, is for cities and towns of $5,000-10,000$, but standardization gives the highest rate to the small towns, villages and rural units which make up "remaining parts", the standardized rates for this group for all Canada being 27.5 per thouand as against 23.6 for the cities and towns of $5,000-10,000$. Not only do "remaining parts" show the highest standard-"
ized group rate for Canade as a whole, but also for each section for which the summary has been made, with the exception of British Columbia in which the cities of $5,000-10,000$ show the highest rate, whether crude or standardized.

## Effect on Birth Rates of Conjugal Condition of Women at Child-Bearing Ages.-

 It will be observed that the method of standardization described above is based on the comparison. of the actual number of births in a given unit or group of units with the number which might be expected from the proportion of females, whether married or unmarried, in each of the childbearing groups of ages, and takes no account of the conjugal condition of these females. Had the Canadian rates (specific fertility) which were used as an index been only those for legitimate births, and had these been applied only to the number of married women of child-bearing ages in each unit or group, we would have an expected rate measuring the fertility within marriage. However. we want a rate which, while based only on married women, includes all births. Each expected rate obtained by this second method was, therefore, multiplied by 1.036 to make allowance for illegitimate births on the basis of the proportion in Canada as a whole before using it in the second part of the formula for obtaining the standardized rate.The census data of age, by conjugal condition, which is required for such computation, was available only for cities of 30,000 and over. This second method of standardization has, therefore, only been applied to such cities, and the expected and standardized birth rates so obtained. are shown in Statement LXXX hereunder.
LXXX.-CRUDE, EXPECTED AND STANDARDIZED BIRTH RATES ALLOWING FOR FERTILITY. WITHIN MARRIAGE, CITIES OF 30,000 POPULATION AND OVER, 1931


Wherever the standardized rate of a city in Statement LXXX is above the standardized rate for the same city in Statement LXXIX it indicates that the conjugal condition of the women: of child-bearing ages in that city is more unfavourable from the standpoint of births than in Canada as a whole. Thus the city of Ottawa shows a standardized rate of only $15 \cdot 8$ in Statement LXXIX but this rate is raised to $21 \cdot 2$ in Statement LXXX. The difference between these rates reflects the fact that Ottawa contains a very unusual proportion of unmarried women at. the child-bearing ages, due to the large proportion of female employees in the Civil Service. A similar pronounced relationship between the two rates exists in the city of Quebec, where the standardized rate in Statement LXXIX is $27 \cdot 4$ and in Statement LXXX, $40 \cdot 8$. On the other hand, the city of Hamilton, which has a standardized rate of $17 \cdot 1$ in Statement LXXIX shows: a standardized rate of 17.0 in Statement LXXX. Here evidently the conjugal condition of the
female population of child-bearing ages is about as favourable to high fertility as in the country taken as: a whole. It may be interesting to compare the proportion of married females at the child-bearing' ages in the cities of Hamilton, Ottawa and Quebec with the corresponding proportion in Canada taken as a whole.

LXXXI-PROPORTION OF FEMALES $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE MARRIED, BY QUINQUENNIAL AGE GROUPS, CANADA, HAMILTON, OTTAWA AND QUEBEC CITY, 1931

| Age Group | Canada | Hamilton | Ottawa | Quebec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' . | p.c. | p.c. | p.c. | p.c. |
| 15-49. | 56.11 | $58 \cdot 89$ | 45.68 | 40.63 |
| 15-19. | $5 \cdot 03$ | $5 \cdot 20$ | 3.23 | 1.78 |
| 20-24. | $36 \cdot 47$ | $37 \cdot 42$ | $23 \cdot 31$ | 18.74 |
| 25-29 | 66.57 | 67-40 | 48.34 | 47-07 |
| 30-34. | $79 \cdot 14$ | $78 \cdot 86$ | 63.84 | $62 \cdot 48$ |
| 35-39. | $82 \cdot 57$ | 81.28 | 69.06 | 68.55 |
| 40-44. | $82 \cdot 68$ | 81.42 | 70.78 | 68.82 |
| 45-49. | 81-34 | 78.82 | 69.81 | 69.34 |

Geographical Regions.-By way of a. general picture, Statement LXXXII shows the variety of resident birth rates occurring in the 227 divisions and in the cities and towns of 5,000 population and over. For this purpose the birth rates were arranged in order of size and divided into seven classes. The highest birth rate recorded was $48 \cdot 6$ in Drummondville, Que., and the lowest was 3.0 in Division No. 10A, B.C. To enable the reader to grasp more readily the significance of the classes, a scale of. reference is given at the foot of the statement showing which countries of the world (where birth rates are known) fall into each class. The highest class in the arrangement of Statement LXXXII is. " 40 and over" in which is found only one country, Egypt, but contains seven cities and towns of Canada, and the rural parts of three counties, viz., Lac-St-Jean, Chicoutimi and Matane, all in Quebec. The lowest class is "under 15". This class is also represented by only one country, Sweden, and contains, for Canada, five counties, six cities and towns with population of 5,000 and over and the rural parts of seven counties, viz., Divisions Nos. 2. 4, 5A, 9A, 10A and 10B, all in British Columbia and Wentworth, rural parts, in Ontario. The cities which fall in the highest class are Drummondville, Jonquiere, Chicoutimi, Thetford Mines, Shawinigan Falls, Rimouski, all in Quebec, and Edmundston in New Brunswick.
LXXXII.-NUMBER IN EACH BIRTH RATE CLASS (CRUDE AND STANDARDIZED) OF COUNTIES TAKEN AS A WHOLE, "REMAINING PARTS'" AND CITIES AND TOWNS OF 5,000 POPULATION AND OVER, 1931, AND SHOWING A SCALE OF

REFERENCE OF THE COUNTRIES OF THE WORLD


Map I shows che regional distribution of crude birth rates for counties as a whole and Map II shows the same thing for counties exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 population and over.. Owing to exigencies of space, the counties are not shown in the maps but the Index Map* and the key to it should obviate any inconvenience on this score. What is really important in a regional presentation of data is to ascertain whether there is any regional clustering, i.e., whether the aspect of one county is a reflection of the aspects of the surrounding counties or of the zone in which it is found. If not, i.e., if the counties behave individually, we cannot say that there is a regional tendency.

Regional Tendencies of Counties as a Whole.-With Map I in front of him the reader can see that there is a definite clustering. The members of the highest class ( 40 and over, corresponding in birth rate to Egypt) are found in two adjoining counties and another county that is close by. The second highest ( $35-39$, corresponding to Ceylon), with the exception of one group, occur in northern and thinly settled or new parts of Quebec. New Brunswick and Alberta. The counties in the exceptional group are Frontenac, Beauce and Dorchester, Que. These and other exceptions will be dealt with further on, but it should be noticed that they occur in a group instead of individually. The next highest ( $30-34$, corresponding to countries such as Chile) follows the same general tendency, spreading, however, to the new parts of Ontario, Manitoba, the northern parts of Saskatchewan and a part in Alberta south of the higher class already mentioned. An apparent exception is Kent, N.B. One more class (25-29, corresponding to countries such as Bulgaria) may be regarded as high. This class, on the whole, forms clusters south of the higher classes already mentioned. Apparent exceptions appear in Cape Breton, N.S., Prince, P.E.I., Division No. 2, Man., Queen Charlotte Island and Division No. 9B, B.C. The next class (20-24, corresponding to Italy) is what might be termed the average, i.e., the middle of it corresponds to the Canada rate of $23 \cdot 1$. It is remarkably continuous and seems to be connected with latitude. Coming now to the classes which may be regarded as low, the 15-19 class (corresponding to France) has definite localities, uiz., the Pacific Slope, southern Manitoba, the Ontario peninsula, apparent exceptions being one division in Alberta, four counties in Quebec and sections of the Maritime Provinces. It will be noticed tbat, on the whole, this class covers either the most thickly settled or the oldest parts, the Pacific Slope coming under the category of thickly settled because its population is found mainly in urban centres. Inverness, Victoria, Pictou, Antigonish, Annapolis and Lunenburg in Nova Scotia, and Kings in Prince Edward Island are well known to be not only old regions but also parts that have suffered measurable depopulation from emigration of both sexes, which undoubtedly affected the birth rate. The lowest class (under 15, corresponding to Sweden) is obviously exceptional as a class occurring in the north and extreme southwest of British Columbia.

The Canadian Birth Rate (23.1) as the Regional Average.-In some respects the Canadian birth rate of $23 \cdot 1$ in 1930-32 is typical as a regional average. It covers a large central territory in which is found the centres of Canada's population and which contains 40 p.c. of the population. It is also the predominant class in the Maritime Provinces. If the average had been merely a balance between a small area with a very large population and extremely low birth rate and a large area with a small population and a very high birth rate, the $23 \cdot 1$ could not be regarded as typical and, to this extent, a fair picture of the true birth rate could not be given by one figure unaccompanied by supplementary figures showing the incidences of area and population. Table 16, Part III, page 184, shows the 227 divisions of Canada in seven classes in order of size and names the members of these classes with their resident crude birth rates, their population in 1931 and their area in square miles. A summary of tbis data is contained in Statement LXXXIIII and shows the proportion each class forms of the total, both as regards population and land area. The two classes below average contain 34 p.c. of the population of Canada and 21 p.c. of the land area; the average class contains 40 p.c. of the population and 32 p.c. of the land area; the four classes above average contain almost 26 p.c. of the population and 47 p.c. of the land area. All this seems to show that the average of $23 \cdot 1$ is good; however, we cannot regard other than significant that nearly half of the land area is in the highest classes.

[^14]

LXXXIII.-PERCENTAGE ACCOUNTED FOR BY COUNTIES AND CENSUS DIVISIONS IN BIRTH RATE CLASS OF (1) POPULATION OF CANADA, 1931, AND (2) LAND AREA OF CANADA

|  | P.C. Accounted for by Counties and Divisions in Class of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birh Rate Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { of Canada } \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | Land Area of Canads |
| Under $15 .$. | 4.78 | 5.80 |
| 15-19........... | ${ }^{29} 9.60$ | $15 \cdot 37$ |
| ${ }^{20-24 .}$ | ${ }_{6}^{39} \cdot 79$ | $\xrightarrow{31.60}$ |
| ${ }_{30-34 .}$ | ${ }_{10.32}$ | ${ }_{16.32}$ |
| 35-39. | 4.88 | 18.25 |
| 40 and over. | $1 \cdot 46$ | 2.74 |

## ${ }^{1}$ Crude rate.

Regional Tendencies for Rural and Small Urban Centres.-Map II shows the resident birth rates in counties and census divisions excluding cities and towns of 5,000 population and over. The points of interest are the changes effected by the exclusion of the cities. It is really remarkable that the exclusion raised only five counties, while it lowered nineteen. The two rates and the cities and towns which brought about the change are shown for these counties in Statement LXXXIV.

Probably small towns and rural non-farm population, particularly the part of it found in suburban areas, are at least partly responsible for the fact that the exclusion of large cities (i.e., Quebec in Quebec county) has lowered rather than raised the birth rate.

```
LXXXIV.-COUNTIES WHOSE CRUDE BIRTH RATES WERE AFFECTED BY THE EXCLUSION OF
    CITIES AND TOWNS OF 5,000 POPULATION AND OVER, SHOWING CRUDE RATES
                FOR THE COUNTIES AS A WHOLE AND FOR THE "REmAINING PARTS," 1931
```



Correlation between Regional Birth Rates and Types of People.-In Chapter V the birth rate was examined for racial differentiation. A considerable differentiation was discovered and the French element of the population was observed to show conspicuously high birth rates. This and the fact that they are the second dominant element in our population suggests the question of how their preponderance in certain regions influences the regional distribution of
birth rates. It is true that regional distribution measured on a county basis should take into consideration other races as well as French, e.g., certain divisions in the Prairie Provinces are predominantly races other than British and French. However, it does not seem necessary to show the influence of each separate race. It is almost patent that the French as a race and Roman Catholic as a religion are two powerful elements entering into the birth rate. It will be useful to know the regional differentiation once these two elements are removed and, accordingly, in Table 17, Part III, page 186, we show certain correlations.

Incidental to the main purpose, these correlations investigate whether the correlation varies in any way with types of localities differentiated as rural and size groups of urban. It is remarkable and difficult to explain that the rural shows a lower correlation than the different size groups of urban centres (except one, the case of cities and towns of $10,000-30,000$ ). There is something peculiar in the behaviour of this particular type of urban centre, observable in other phases of fertility besides this correlation. As to the lower correlation in the case of rural, indeed the correlation is not at all high and it is true both of the racial and the religious elements. It would seem to indicate that rural birth rates are less dependent upon types of people than are urban birth rates.

Table 17 shows the standardized birtb rate and percentage French for a sample of the "remaining parts" of the counties or census divisions and for the complete number of cities and towns falling into each of the four size groups of urban municipalities. These two items were correlated for each group. The number of separate units represented in the cities of 30,000 population and over is only 20 and for this reason and because of their type of distribution the correlation may not be as reliable as the others. The real story would seem to be that the correlation does not vary significantly as between different types of communities and this makes the coefficient of about 70 running through all the correlations the more reliable. Since the table is given only to show and measure the extent of correlation, no use is made of the regression equation.

Table 17 shows, also, the percentage Roman Catholic and the correlation for each group of this item with the standardized birth rate. A summary of the correlations of Table 17 is given in Statement LXXXV.
LXXXV.-CORRELATION OF STANDARDIZED BIRTH RATE WITH (1) PERCENTAGE FRENCH AND (2) PERCENTAGE ROMAN CATHOLIC, FOR SIZE GROUPS OF URBAN MUNICIPALITIES AND "REMAINING PARTS"


It is seen that the correlations with the percentage Roman Catholic are somewhat higher than with the percentage lirench. As before, the same type of correlation (around $\cdot 75$ ) persists. There may be some significance, however, in the fact that the highest coefficients are shown for the largest and the smallest urban units, particularly in view of a fact observed elsewhere in the behaviour of birth rates in the middle sized cities.

Two points should be mentioned in connection with these correlations. The first is that the birth rates used are standardized and as such are free from the influence of age; they are not the actual birth rates. It has been observed elsewbere that the age distribution is not particularly favourable to the French race and that the standardized rates are somewhat higher than the crude. The second point is connected with the significance of a correlated coefficient. The typical coefficients, $\cdot 70$ for French and $\cdot 75$ for Roman Catholic, are not remarkably high since it is clear from Maps I and II that there is also a certain regional influence entering into these correlations, e.g., the northern parts of Quebec, Ontario, Saskatchewan and Alberta, where the Indians are largely Roman Catholic. The crude birth rate of Indians is very high, vizu., 30.8 in 1931-32: A large French element also is found in these northern parts. Since the influences of race and religion are thus intermingled with the regional influences, it becomes very desirable

to ascertain what regional influences exist independently of race and religion. To ascertain this, a multiple correlation was measured taking the "remaining parts" of the counties and census divisions and correlating the crude birth rate $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ as dependent variable with percentage French $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ and percentage Roman Catholic ( $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ). The correlation was $\cdot 71$ in which the two elementsFrench and Roman Catholic-had almost equal weights. (The equation is seen in the footnote.) The square of the standard deviation of the crude birth rate was $45 \cdot 1$ (the standard deviation being 6.5). The correlation thus means that French and Roman Catholic, with whatever regional influences they reflected, were responsible for 22.6 out of the $45 \cdot 1$ leaving 22.5 or a standard deviation of 4.8 still to be accounted for by regional influences independent of race and religion.

To show the birth rate independent of race and religion the following device was used. The birth rate was calculated by means of the regression equation $X_{1}=A+B X_{2}+\mathrm{CX}_{3}$. This calculation, shown in Table 18, Part III, page 188, was then reduced to an index with A (i.e., 18.9) as a base. This index was then divided into the actual birth rates of the counties or divisions, the result being regarded as the birth rate independent of race and religion. This process is justified on the basis of the motive of the data and the results rather than on the score of strict mathematical precision, since to be mathematically accurate we should have subtracted the calculation from the actual instead of dividing. If the latter had been done, the results could not be intelligibly shown on a map, and it was ascertained satisfactorily that the difference in this case was not sufficiently significant to justify using plus and minus signs on a map with all the confusion that would ensue.

Map III shows the regional distribution of crude birth rates independent not only of race and religion but of such regional influences as were inseparably associated with race and religion. It will be observed that only the two highest classes have disappeared (comparing Map III with Map II), and that the lowest class was increased or introduced only in Ontario, Quebec and the Maritimes. Statement LXXXVI showing the comparative number in each class on Maps II and III summarizes the changes brought about.
LXXXVI.-COMPARATIVE NUMBER OF COUNTIES IN BIRTH RATE CLASS FOR MAP II (CRUDE RATES) AND MAP III (RATES INDEPENDENT OF INFLUENCE OF FRENCH AND ROMAN CATHOLIC)

| Birth Rate Class | No. of Counties in Class on |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Map II | Map III |
| Under 15.... | 7 | 23 |
| 15-19... |  |  |
| 20-29....... | ${ }_{78}^{58}$ | 129 64 |
| 30-34..... | 38 | 10 |
| $35-39 . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 28 15 | 1 |
| 40 and over.... | 15 3 | - |

Map III unmistakeably shows that the regions of high birtb rates are the regions of low population densities and those of low birth rates regions either of high population density or old regions which also suffered from emigration of young people. The exceptions mentioned in British Columbia still exist. It is interesting to find on Map III certain places standing out conspicuously that would not be noticed on the other maps, e.g., Haliburton, Ont. Here we bave an area of 1,486 square miles with a density in 1931 of only 4.04 and no urban population, quite close to counties with comparatively high densities. The very lowest class is still an exceptional class and the average is still predominant although, of course, the 15-19 class, that of France, England and Wales, etc., has increased.

Conclusion.-The conclusion from a regional study would seem to be quite definite, viz., that there is a regional trend of low to high birth rates corresponding to areas from high to low population densities; also, from the old to the new or, what is about the same thing, from the south to the north. When the influences of race and religion are removed there would seem to be a general tendency of the birth rates for old parts to correspond to birth rates in the British Isles and Northwestern Europe. Very low birth rates would seem to have special causes, such as a history of very heavy emigration (especially of females) and low proportions in the married state as a consequence. There is no doubt that the surplus of males is one of the influences but this itself is partly regional.
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PART III

TABLE 1. Number and percentage of census schedules and infant death returns matched with birth transcripts for (1) total population exclusive of Indians and (2) Indian population, Canada and provinces, 1931

| Province | Total | Matched with Birth Transcripts |  | Not Matched with Birth Transcripts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | P.C. | No. | P.C. |

CHECK FROM CENSUS SCHEDULES TO BIRTH TRANSCRIPTS

| For total population, exclusive of Indians- | 26,205 | 23,187 | 88 | 3,018 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | , 764 | 1,407 | 80 | 357 | 20 |
| Prince Edward Island. | 1,764 |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia. | 2,067 | 1,774 | 86 | 293 | 14 |
| New Brunswick. | 1,865 | 1,668 | 89 | 197 | 11 |
| Quebec.. | 5,473 | 4,974 | 91 | 498 | 9 |
| Ontario. | 5,763 | 5,138 | 89 | 625 | 1 |
| Manitoba. | 2,402 | 2,164 | 90 | 238 | 10 |
| Saskatchewan.. | 2,806 | 2,454 | 87 | 352 | 13 |
| Alberta.. | 2,203 | 1,988 | 90 | 217 | 10 |
| British Columbia. | 1,862 | 1,622 | 87 | 240 | 13 |
| For Indian population- |  |  |  |  |  |
| canada. | 2,019 | 1,281 | 63 | ${ }^{738}$ | 37 |
| Prince Edward Island. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nova Scotia. | - | - | - |  |  |
| New Brunswick | - | - | - | - |  |
| Quebec.... | 227 | 130 | 57 | 97 | 43 |
| Ontario. | 453 | 256 | 57 | 197 | 43 |
| Manitoba. | 366 | 240 | 66 | 126 | 34 |
| Saskatchewan. | 238 | 163 | 68 | 76 | 32 |
| Alberta.. | 310 | 229 | 74 | 81 | 26 |
| British Columbia. | 424 | 263 | 62 | 161 | 38 |

CHECK FROM INFANT DEATH RETURNS TO BIRTH TRANSCRIPTS

| For total population, exclusive of Indians- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CANADA. | 2,721 | 2,591 | 95 | 130 | 5 |
| Prince Edward Island..... | 97 | 75 | 77 | 22 | 23 |
| Nova Scotia. | 157 | 141 | 80 | 16 | 10 |
| New Brunswick. | 169 | 163 | 96 | 6 | 4 |
| Quebec. | 1,146 | 1,094 | 95 | 52 | 5 |
| Ontario.. | 444 | 438 | 99 | 6 | 1 |
| Manitoba. | 154 | 142 | 92 | 12 | 8 |
| Saskatchewan.. | 250 | 237 | 95 | 13 | 5 |
| Alberta...... | 210 | 209 | 100 | 1 | - |
| British Columbia. . | 94 | 92 | 98 | 2 | 2 |
| For Indian population- |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANADA. | 211 | 184 | 87 | 27 | 13 |
| Prince Edward Island. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nova Scotia. | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Brunswick. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Quebec.. | 5 | 5 | 100 | - | - |
| Ontario.. | 28 | 24 | 86 | 4 | 14 |
| Manitoba. | 60 | 48 | 80 | 12 | 20 |
| Saskatchewan. | 76 | 74 | 97 | 2 | 3 |
| Alberta. . | 21 | 10 | 90 | 2 | 10 |
| British Columbia. | 21 | 14 | 67 | 7 | 33 |

TABLE 2. Canadian Life Table for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1030-1932 and births 1926-1932, taking births as published


FEMALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1... | 110,449 | 1,415 | . 98719 | . 01281 | 109,742 | 6,824,702 | 61.79 |
| 1-2 | 109,034 | 415 | -09619 | . 00381 | 108, 826 | 6,824,401 | 62.59 |
| 23 | 108,619 | 314 | -99711 | -00289 | 108.462 | 6.824,103 | 62.82 |
| 3-4. | 108,305 | 220 | -99797 | -00203 | 108,195 | 6.823,623 | 63.00 |
| 4-5 | 108.085 | 146 | -99865 | . 00135 | 108,012 | 6,823,327 | $63 \cdot 13$ |
| 5-6. | 107.939 | 112 | -99896 | . 00104 | 107.883 | 6,823,031 | 63.21 |
| 6. | 107, 827 | 96 | -98911 | . 00089 | 107,779 | 6,822,735 | $63 \cdot 28$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 107,731 | 488 | -99547 | -00453 | 107,487 | 6, 822,440 | $63 \cdot 33$ |
| 2. | 107, 243 | 356 | -99668 | . 00332 | 107,065 | 8, 820,379 | 63.60 |
| 3. | 106,887 | 323 | -99698 | . 00302 | 106, 726 | 6,818,325 | 63.79 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 106.564 | 748 | -99298 | . 00702 | 106, 100 | 6,815,802 | 63.96 |
| 2. | 105,816 | 695 | -99343 | . 006657 | 105,468 | 6, 806, 953 | 64.33 |
| 3. | 105.121 | 502 | -99522 | . 00478 | 104,870 | 6,798,164 | $64 \cdot 67$ |
| 4. | 104,619 | 421 | - 99598 | - 00402 | 104,408 | 6,789,425 | 64.90 |
| 5 | 104, 198 | 365 | -99650 | . 00350 | 104,016 | 6,780.724 | 65.08 |
| 6. | 103, 833 | 323 | -99689 | -00311 | 103,672 | 6.772.050 | 65.22 |
| 7. | 103,510 | 281 | -99729 | -00271 | 103,370 | 6, 703,417 | 65.34 |
| 8. | 103,229 | 252 | -99756 | -00244 | 103, 103 | 6,754,803 | 65.44 |
| 9. | 102.977 | 234 | -90773 | -00227 | 102,860 | 6,740,211 | 65.51 |
| 10. | 102,743 | 192 | -99813 | -00187 | 102,647 | 6,737,639 | 65.58 |
| 11. | 102,551 | - 162 | . 99842 | -00158 | 102,470 | 6,729,085 | $65 \cdot 62$ |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102.389 | 1,169 | . 98858 | . 01142 | 101, 804 | 6,720,546 | $65 \cdot 64$ |
| 2. | 101,220 | 531 | -99475 | . 00525 | 100,954 | 6, 618,742 | 65.39 |
| 3. | 100.689 | 398 | -99605 | -00395 | 100.490 | 6,517,788 | 64.73 |
|  | 100.291 | 291 | $\cdot 99710$ | -00290 | 100, 146 | 6,417,298 | 63.99 |
|  | 100,000 | - |  |  |  | 6,317,152 | $63 \cdot 17$ |

TABLE 3. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932,
taking births as published

.- FEMAIES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1... | 110,585 | 1,265 | -98856 | - 01144 | 109,952 | 6,805, 875 | 61.54 |
| 1-2 | 109,320 | 407 | -99628 | -00372 | 109, 116 | 6,805,574 | 62.25 |
| $2-3$ | 108,913 | 322 | -99704 | -00296 | 108,752 | 6,805,275 | 62.48 |
| 3-4. | 108,591 | 253 | -99767 | -00233 | 108.464 | 6, 804,977 | 62.67 |
| 4-5. | 108,338 | 167 | -99846 | -00154 | 108,254 | 6,804,680 | 62.81 |
| 5-6 | 108, 171 | 104 | -99904 | -00096 | 108, 119 | 6.804,383 | 62.90 |
|  | 108,067 | 85 | -90921 | -00079 | 108,024 | 6,804,087 | $62 \cdot 96$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 107,982 | 547 | -99493 | . 00507 | 107,708 | 3, 803,791 | 63-01 |
| 2. | 107,435 | 323 | - 99699 | . 00301 | 107,274 | 6,801,725 | 63.31 |
|  | 107,112 | 325 | - 09697 | . 00303 | 106,050 | 6,790,668 | 63.48 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 106,787 | 718 | -99328 | . 00672 | 106,428 | 6,797,139 | 63.65 |
| 2. | 106, 669 | 632 | -99404 | -00596 | 105,753 | 6,788.270 | 64.00 |
| 3. | 105,437 | 544 | . 99484 | -00516 | 105,165 | 6,779,458 | $64 \cdot 30$ |
| 4. | 104,893 | 465 | -99557 | . 00443 | 104,660 | $6,770,684$ | 64.35 |
| 5. | 104,428 | 368 | -99648 | -00352 | 104,244 | 6,761,972 | 64.75 |
| 6. | 104, 060 | 279 | . 99732 | -002P8 | 103,020 | $6,753,285$ | 64.90 |
| 7. | 103,781 | 349 | - 99664 | -00336 | 103.606 | 6,744,625 | 64.99 |
| 8. | 103,432 | 301 | -99709 | -00291 | 103,282 | 6,735, 991 | 65.12 |
| 9 | 103,131 | 263 | -99745 | -00255 | 103,000 | 6,727,384 | $65 \cdot 23$ |
| 10. | 102,868 | 149 | . 99855 | . 00145 | 102,794 | 6,718,801 | $65 \cdot 31$ |
| 11. | 102,719 | 190 | - 99815 | -00185 | 102,605 | 6,710.235 | $65 \cdot 33$ |
| <ears- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102,529 | 1,291 | -98741 | . 01259 | 101,884 | 6,701,685 | 65.36 |
| 2. | 101,238 | 560 | -99447 | -00553 | 100,958 | 6,599,801 | 65.19 |
| 3. | 100,678 | 423 | . 99580 | -00420 | 100;466 | 6,498,843 | $64 \cdot 55$ |
| 4. | 100, 255 | 255 | -99746 | -00254 | 100, 128 | 6,398,377 | 63.82 62.98 |
| 5. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,298,249 | 62.98 |

TABLE 3. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932,
taking blrths as published-Con.


MALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1$. | 118,329 | 2,275 | -98077 | . 01923 | 117,192 | 6,588,676 | $55 \cdot 68$ |
| 1-2. | 116,054 | 634 | -99454 | -00546 | 115,737 | 6,588,355 | 56.77 |
| $2-3$ | 115,420 | 510 | -99558 | -00442 | 115, 165 | $6,588,038$ | 57.08 |
| 3-4 | 114,910 | 340 | . 99704 | -00296 | 114,740 | 6,587,722 | 57.33 |
| 4-5. | 114,570 | 215 | - 99812 | - 00188 | 114,462 | 6,587,408 | 57.50 |
| 5-6. | 114,355 | 18 C | -99837 | -00163 | 114,262 | 6,587,094 | 57-60 |
|  | 114,169 | 161 | . 98859 | -00141 | 114,088 | 6,586,781 | 57.69 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 114,008 | 873 | . 99234 | . 00768 | 113,572 | 6,585,469 | 57.77 |
| 2. | 113,135 | 615 | . 99456 | . 00544 | 112,828 | 6,584,290 | 58.20 |
| 3. | 112,520 | 572 | -99492 | -00508 | 112,234 | 6,582,126 | 58.50 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1...... | 111,948 | 1,569 | -68599 | -01401 | 111,164 | 6,579,473 | 58.77 |
| 2. | 110,379 | 1,353 | -98774 | -01226 | 109,702 | 6,570,209 | 59.52 |
| 3. | 109,026 | 935 | -99142 | -00858 | 108,558 | 6,561,067 | 60.18 |
| 4. | 108,091 | 777 | -99281 | -00719 | 107,702 | 6,552,021 | $60 \cdot 62$ |
| 5. | 107, 314 | 700 | -99348 | -00652 | 106,964 | 6,543,046 | 60.97 |
| 6. | 106,614 | 583 | -99453 | -00547 | 106,322 | 6,534,132 | 61.29 |
| 7. | 106,031 | 506 | -99523 | -00477 | 105,778 | 6,525,272 | 61.54 |
| 8. | 105,525 | 460 | . 99564 | -00436 | 105, 295 | 6,516,457 | 61.75 |
| 9. | 105, 065 | 434 | -99587 | -00413 | 104,848 | 6,507,082 | 61.94 |
| 10. | 104,631 | 343 | -99672 | -00328 | 104,460 | 6,498,945 | 62.11 |
| 11. | 104,288 | 299 | -99713 | -00287 | 104,138 | 6,490,240 | 62.23 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 103,989 | 1,969 | -98107 | -01893 | 103,004 | 6,481,562 | 62-33 |
| 2. | 102,020 | 954 | -98065 | -00935 | 101,543 | 6,378,558 | 62.52 |
| 3. | 101,066 | 614 | -99392 | -00608 | 100,759 | 6,277,015 | $62 \cdot 11$ |
| 4. | 100,452 | 452 | -99550 | -00450 | 100, 226 | 6,176,256 | 61.48 |
| 5. | 100,000 | - | - | - | . - | 6,076,030 | 60.76 |

FEMALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1........................... | 114.659 | 1,563 | - 98637 | -01363 | 113,878 | 6,579,912 | 57.38 |
| 1-2........................... | 113,096 | 521 | -99539 | -00461 | 112,836 | 6,579,600 | 58.18 |
| 2-3. | 112,575 | 368 | -99673 | -00327 | 112,391 | 6,579,290 | 58.44 |
| 3-4. | 112,207 | 266 | -99763 | -00237 | 112,074 | 6,578,982 | $58 \cdot 63$ |
| 4-5. | 111,941 | 156 | -99861 | -00130 | 111,863 | 6,578,675 | 58.77 |
| 5-6. | 111,785 | 125 | -99888 | -00112 | 111,722 | 6,578,369 | 58.85 |
| 6. | 111,660 | 109 | . 99902 | -00098 | 111,606 | 6,578, 063 | 58.91 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1........................... | 111,551 | 666 | -99403 | -00597 | 111,218 | 6,577,757 | 58.96 |
| 2. | 110,885 | 532 | -99520 | -00480 | 110,619 | 6,575,624 | 59.30 |
| 3. | 110,353 | 469 | -98575 | -00425 | 110,118 | 6,573,502 | 59.57 |
| Monthe- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 109,884 | 1,136 | -98966 | . 01034 | 109,316 | 6,570,899 | 59.80 |
| 2. | 108,748 | 1;150 | -98942 | -01058 | 108,173 | 6,561,789 | $60 \cdot 34$ |
| 3. | 107,598 | 735 | -99317 | -00683 | 107,230 | 6,552,775 | $60 \cdot 90$ |
| 4. | 106,863 | 627 | -99413 | -00587 | 106,550 | 6,543,839 | 61.23 |
| 5. | 106, 236 | 548 | -99484 | -00516 | 105,962 | 6,534,960 | 61.51 |
| 6. | 105,688 | - 489 | -99537 | -00463 | 105,444 | $6,526,130$ | 61.75 |
| 7. | 105,199 | 389 | -99630 | -00370 | 105,004 | 6,517,343 | $61 \cdot 95$ |
| 8. | 104,810 | 367 | -99650 | -00350 | 104,626 | 8,508,592 | $62 \cdot 10$ |
| 9. | 104,443 | 334 | - 99680 | -00320 | 104,276 | 6,499, 874 | $62 \cdot 23$ |
| 10. | 104,109 | - 297 | -99715 | . 00285 | 103,960 | 6,491,184 | $62 \cdot 35$ |
| 11. | 103,812 | 248 | -99761 | .00239 | 103,688 | 6,482,521 | $62 \cdot 44$ |
| Yeara- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1... | 103,564 | 1,784 | . 98277 | . 01723 | 102,672 | 6,473,880 | 62.51 |
| 2. | 101,780 | 778 | . 89236 | . 00764 | 101,391 | 6,371,208 | 62.60 |
| 8. | 101,002 | 567 | -99439 | -. 00561 | 100,718 | 6,269,817 | 62.08 |
| 4. | 100.435 | 435 | -99567 | -00433 | 100,218 | 6,169,099 | 61.42 |
| 5. | 100,000 | - |  |  | - | 6.068.881 | 60-69 |

TABLE 3. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to flve, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932, taking births as published-Con.

| $\underset{x}{\text { Age }}$ | Ontario |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l x$ | $d_{x}$ | $p_{x}$ | $q_{\text {I }}$ | $L_{x}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{x}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1.. | 110,231 | 1,823 | . 98346 | -01654 | 109,320 | 6,726,019 | 61.02 |
| 1-2. | 108,408 | 526 | -99515 | - 00485 | 108,145 | 8,725,720 | 62.04 |
| 2 23. | 107,882 | 409 | -99621 | -00379 | 107,678 | 6,725,423 | $62 \cdot 34$ |
| 3-4. | 107,473 | 300 | -99721 | -00279 | 107,323 | 6,725,128 | $62 \cdot 57$ |
| 4-5. | 107,173 | 185 | -99827 | -00173 | 107,080 | 6,724,834 | 62.75 |
| 5-6. | 106,988 106,852 | 136 89 | -99873 | . 00127 | 106,920 | 6,724,541 | 62.85 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 106,763 | 474 | . 99556 | . 00444 | 106,526 | 6,723, 955 | $62 \cdot 98$ |
| 2. | 106,289 | 334 | -99686 | -00314 | 106,122 | 6,721,912 | 63.24 |
| 3. | 105,955 | 295 | -99721 | -00279 | 105,778 | 6,719,877 | 63.42 |
| Monthe- 105.660 - 105020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1....... | 105,660 | 676 | -99360 | . 00640 | 105,322 | 6.717,376 | 63.58 |
| 2. | 104,984 | ${ }_{455} 5$ | -99474 | -00526 | 104,708 | 6,708,599 | 63.90 |
| 4. | 104,432 <br> 103,977 | 455 373 | . 999564 | . 004336 | 104,204 | 6,699,874 | $64 \cdot 16$ |
| 5. | 103,604 | 345 | -99667 | -00333 | 103,432 | $6,691,190$ $6,682,541$ | $64 \cdot 35$ 64.50 |
| 6. | 103,259 | 325 | - 99685 | - 00315 | 103,096 | 6,673,821 | $64 \cdot 63$ |
| 7. | 102,934 | 268 | -99740 | -00260 | 102,800 | 6,655,330 | 64.75 |
| 8. | 102,666 | 231 | -99775 | -00225 | 102,550 | 6,656,763 | 64.84 |
| 9. | 102,435 | 226 | -99779 | . 00221 | 102,322 | 6,648,218 | 64.90 |
| 10. | 102,209 | 159 | - 98844 | -00156 | 102,130 | 6,639,691 | 64.96 |
| 11. | 102,050 | 148 | -99855 | -00145 | 101,976 | 6,631,180 | , 4 -98 |
| Years - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 101,902 | 919 | -99098 | -00902 | 101,442 | 6,622,682 | 64.99 |
| 2. | 100,983 | 439 | -99565 | -00435 | 100,764 | 6,521,240 | 64.58 |
| 3. | 100,544 | 315 | - 99687 | - 00313 | 100,386 | 6,420,476 | 63.86 |
| 4. | 100,229 | 229 | -09772 | -00228 | 100,114 | 6,320,090 | 63.06 |
| 5. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,219,976 | 62.20 |

FEMALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1$. | 108,214 | 1,415 | . 98692 | . 01308 | 107,506 | 6,891,281 | 63.68 |
| 1-2. | 106,799 | 399 | -99626 | -00374 | 106,600 | 6,880,986 | $64 \cdot 52$ |
| 2-3. | 106,400 | 298 | - 99720 | -00280 | 106,251 | 6,890,694 | 64.76 |
| 3-4 | 106,102 | 205 | -99807 | -00193 | 106,000 | 6.890,403 | 64.94 |
| 4-5. | 105,897 | 141 | -99867 | -00133 | 105,826 | 6,890,113 | 65.08 |
| 5-6. | 105,756 | 116 | -99890 | -00110 | 105,698 | 6,889,823 | $65 \cdot 15$ |
| 6.. | 105,640 | 90 | -98915 | -00085 | 105,595 | 6,880,533 | $65 \cdot 22$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 105,550 | 378 | . 99642 | -00358 | 105,361 | 6,889,244 | 65.27 |
| 2. | 105,172 | 258 | - 09755 | -00245 | 105,043 | 6,887,223 | 65.48 |
| 3. | 104,914 | 251 | -99761 | -00230 | 104,788 | 6,885, 209 | $65 \cdot 63$ |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 104,663 | 525 | . 99498 | -00502 | 104,400 | 6,882,731 | $65 \cdot 76$ |
| 2. | 104,138 | 442 | -99576 | -00424 | 103,917 | 6,874,031 | 60.01 |
| 3. | 103,696 | 353 | -99660 | -00340 | 103,520 | 6,865,372 | 66.20 |
| 4. | 103,343 | 307 | -99703 | -00297 | 103,190 | 6,856,745 | 66.35 |
| 5 | 103,036 | 277 | - 99730 | -00269 | 102,898 | $6,848,146$ | 66.46 |
| 6 | 102,759 | 268 221 | -99739 | . 002611 | 102,625 | 6,839,571 | 66.56 |
| 8. | 102,270 | 195 | -99809 | -00191 | 102,172 | $6,831,019$ $6,822,487$ | $66 \cdot 65$ 66.71 |
| 8. | 102,075 | 178 | . 99826 | . 00174 | 101,986 | 6,813,973 | 66.75 |
| 10 | 101,897 | 141 | - 99862 | . 00138 | 101,826 | 6,805,474 | 66.79 |
| 11. | 101,756 | 120 | . 99882 | -00118 | 101,696 | 6,796,989 | 68.80 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 101,636 | 810 | . 99203 | -00797 | 101,231 | 6,788,514 | 66.79 |
| 2. | 100,826 | 368 | - 99635 | -00365 | 100,642 | 6,687,283 | 66.32 |
| 8. | 100,458 | 257 | -99744 | -00256 | 100,330 | 6,586,641 | $65 \cdot 56$ |
| 4. | 100,201 | 201 | . 99799 | -00201 | 100,100 | 6,486.311 | 64.73 |
| b. | 100,000 |  |  |  | - | 6,386,211 | $63 \cdot 86$ |

TABLE 3. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to flve, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1982,
taking births as published-Con.


FEMALES

| Daye- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1. | 107,925 | 1,339 | -98759 | . 01241 | 107,256 | 7,042,172 | 65.25 |
| $1-2$ | 108,586 | 312 | -99707 | . 00293 | 106,430 | 7,041,878 | 66.07 |
| $2-3$ | 106,274 | 261 | -99754 | -00246 | 106,144 | 7,041,587 | 66.26 |
| 3-4. | 106,013 | 170 | -99840 | -00160 | 105,928 | 7,041,296 | 66.42 |
| 4-5 | 105,843 | 133 | - 09874 | -00126 | 105,776 | 7,041,006 | $60 \cdot 52$ |
| 5-6. | 105,710 | 100 | - 99905 | -00095 | 105,660 | 7,040,716 | 66.60 |
| 6. | 105,610 | 95 | - 99910 | -00090 | 105,562 | 7,040,426 | 66.68 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 105,515 | 405 | - 89816 | . 00384 | 105,312 | 7,040,137 | 66.72 |
| 2. | 105, 110 | 293 | -99721 | -00279 | 104,864 | 7,038,117 | 66.96 |
|  | 104,817 | 242 | -99769 | . 00231 | 104,696 | 7,036,104 | $67 \cdot 13$ |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104,575 | 548 | -99476 | -00524 | 104,301 | 7,033,629 | 67.26 |
| 2. | 104,027 | 456 | -99562 | . 00438 | 103,799 | 7,024,937 | 67.53 |
| 3. | 103,571 | 396 | -99618 | -00382 | 103,373 | 7,016.287 | 67.74 |
|  | 103,175 | 299 | -99710 | . 00290 | 103,026 | 7,007,673 | 67.92 |
| 5. | 102,876 | 254 | -99753 | - 00247 | 102,749 | 6,999,087 | 68.03 |
| 6 | 102,622 | 201 | - 998804 | . 00196 | 102,522 | 6,990,525 | $68 \cdot 12$ 68.17 |
| 8. | 102,238 | 155 | -99848 | -00152 | 102,160 | $6,981,981$ $6,973,454$ | $68 \cdot 17$ 68.21 |
| 9. | 102,083 | 165 | -99838 | - 00162 | 102,000 | 6,964,940 | $68 \cdot 23$ |
| 10. | 101,918 | 135 | -99867 | -00133. | 101,850 | 6,956,440 | 68.26 |
| 11. | 101,783 | 96 | -99806 | -00094 | 101,735 | 6,947,953 | 68.26 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 101,687 | 764 | -99249 | . 00751 | 101,305 | 6,939,475 | 68.24 |
| 2. | 100, 923 | 404 | -99600 | -00400 | 100,721 | 6,838,170 | 67.76 |
| 3. | 100,519 | 312 | -99690 | -00310 | 100,363 | 6,737,449 | 67.03 |
|  | 100,207 100,000 | $\stackrel{207}{-}$ | -99793 | $\cdot 00207$ | 100, 104 | 6,637,086 | 66.23 |
| 5. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,536,982 | $65 \cdot 37$ |

TABEE 3. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females; based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932, taking births as published-Con.


MALES


FEMALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 0-1.. | 106,535 | 1,046 | . 99018 | -00982 | 106,012 | 6,940,150 | $65 \cdot 14$ |
| 1-2. | 105,489 | 291 | -99724 | -00276 | 105,344 | 6,039,860 | 65.79 |
| $2-3$ | 105,198 | 263 | -99750 | -00250 | 105.067 | 6,939,571 | $65 \cdot 96$ |
| 3-4 | 104,935 | 146 | -99861 | -00139 | 104,862 | 6,939,283 | - $66 \cdot 13$ |
| 4-5. | 104;789 | 132 | -99874 | -00126 | 104,723 | 6,938,996 | $66 \cdot 22$ |
| 5-6 | 104,657 | 55 | -99947 | -00053 | 104,630 | 6,938,708 | 66.30 |
| 6. | 104,602 | 63 | . 99940 | -00060 | 104,571 | 6,838,422 | $66 \cdot 33$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104,539 | 193 | . 99815 | -00185 | 104,443 | 6,938,136 | $66 \cdot 37$ |
| 2. | 104,345 | 90 | -99914 | -00086 | 104,301 | 6,836,133 | $66 \cdot 47$ |
| 3. | 104,256 | 131 | -99874 | -00126 | 104,191 | 6,934, 132 | .66.51 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104,125 | 408 | -99608 | -00392 | 103,921 | 6,931,669 | . 66.57 |
| 2. | 103,717 | 353 | -99660 | -00340 | 103,541 | 6,923,009 | $66 \cdot 75$ |
| 3. | 103,364 | 227 | - 99780 | - 30220 | 103,251 | 6,914,381 | 66.89 |
| 4. | 103,137 | 172 | - 99833 | -00167 | 103,051 | 6,905,777 | 66.96 |
| 5. | 102,965 | 151 | -99853 | -00147 | 102,890 | 6,897,189 | 66.98 |
| 6. | 102,814 | 173 | -99832 | -00168 | 102,728 | 6,888,615 | -67.00 |
| 7. | 102,641 | 213 | -99792 | -00208 | 102,535 | 6,880,054 | 67.03 |
| 8. | 102,428 | 166 | - 99838 | -00162 | 102.345 | 6,871,510 | 67.09 |
| 9. | 102, 262 | 144 | - 99859 | -00141 | 102,190 | 6,802,981 | $67 \cdot 11$ |
| 10. | 102,118 | 138 | -99865 | -00135 | 102,049 | 6,854,465 | -67.12 |
| 11. | 101,980 | 83 | :99919 | -00081 | 101,939 | 6,845,961 | $67 \cdot 13$ |
| Years- | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1.. | 101,897 | 791 | -99224 | -00776 | 101,502 | 6,837,466 | ... $67 \cdot 10$ |
| 2. | 101,106 | 368 | -99636 | -00364 | 100,922 | 6,735,964 | - 66.62 |
| 3. | 100.738 | 439 | -99564 | -00436 | 100,519 | 6,635,042 | - . $65 \cdot 86$ |
| 4. | 100,299 | 299 | -99702 | -00298 | 100, 150 | 6,534,523 | $\cdots \quad .65 \cdot 15$ |
| 6. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,434,373 | ..... $64 \cdot 34$ |

TABLE 4. Canadian Life Table for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932, adding five p.e. to births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration

| $\underset{x}{\text { Age }}$ | Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l_{x}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $p_{x}$ | $q=$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {\% }}$ | T \% | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ |

MALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 112.318 | 1,818 | . 98381 | . 01619 | 111,409 | 6,738,607 | 60.00 |
| -1-2 | 110,500 | 491 | . 99556 | -00444 | 110,254 | 6,738,302 | 60.98 |
| $2-3$ | 110,009 | 413 | -99825 | -00375 | 109,802 | 6,738,000 | 61.25 |
| 3-4. | 109,596 | 283 | -99742 | -00258 | 109,454 | 6,737,099 | 61.48 |
| 4-5 | 109,313 | 190 | -99826 | -00174 | 109,218 | 6,737,399 | 61.63 |
| 5-6 | 109,123 | 142 | -99870 | -.00130 | 109,052 | 6,737,100 | 61.74 |
| 6. | 108,981 | 113 | -99896 | .00104 | 108,924 | 6,736,801 | 61.82 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 108,868 | 583 | . 99464 | -09536 | 108,576 | 6,736,503 | 81.88 |
| 2. | 108,285 | 414 | -99618 | . 00382 | 108,078 | 6,734,415 | $62 \cdot 19$ |
| 3. | 107,871 | 367 | . 99660 | -00340 | 107,688 | 6,732,337 | $62 \cdot 41$ |
| Monthe- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 107,504 | ${ }_{860}^{811}$ | .99107 .99239 | .00893 .00761 | 107,024 100,138 | $6,729,681$ $6,720,762$ | 62.60 63.08 |
| 3 . | 105, 733 | 608 | -99425 | .00575 | 105.429 | 6,711,917 | 63.48 |
| 4. | 105, 125 | 496 | - 99528 | -00472 | 104,877 | 6,703, 131 | 63.76 |
| 5. | 104,629 | 431 | -99588 | -00412 | 104,414 | 6,694,391 | 63.98 |
| 6 | 104. 198 | 368 | -99647 | -00353 | 104,014 | 6,685,690 | 64.16 |
| 7. | 103,830 | 323 | . 99688 | -00311 | 103,668 | 6,677,022 | $64 \cdot 31$ |
| 8. | 103,507 | 280 | -99729 | -00271 | 103,367 | 6,668,383 | 64.42 |
| 9. | 103,227 | 273 | . 99736 | -00264 | 103,090 | 6. 659,769 | 64.52 |
| 10 | 102,954 | 212 | -99793 | -00206 | 102.848 | 6.651,178 | $64 \cdot 60$ |
| 11. | 102,742 | 190 | . 99815 | -00185 | 102,647 | 6,642,607 | $64 \cdot 65$ |
| Years- |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102,552 | 1,217 | . 98813 | -01187 | 101,944 | 6. 634,053 | $64 \cdot 69$ |
| 2. | 101,335 | 604 | -99404 | -00596 | 101,033 | 6,532,109 | 64.46 |
| 3. | 100,731 | 414 | -99589 | -00411 | 100,524 | 6,431,076 | 63.84 |
| 4. | 100,317 100,000 | 317 | -99684 | . 00316 | 100, 158 | 6, 330.552 | 63.11 |
|  | 100,000 |  |  |  | - | 6,230,394 | $62 \cdot 30$ |

FEMAILES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1. | 100,891 | 1,337 | . 98783 | - 01217 | 109, 223 | 6,824,290 | $62 \cdot 10$ |
| 1-2. | 108.554 | 394 | -99637 | - 00363 | 108,357 | 6,823,991 | $62 \cdot 86$ |
| 2-3. | 108,160 | 296 | -98726 | -00274 | 108.012 | 6,823,694 | 63.09 |
| 3-4. | 107.864 | 208 | -99807 | -00193 | 107,760 | 6,823,398 | $63 \cdot 26$ |
| $4-5$ | 107,656 | 138 | -99872 | -00128 | 107,587 | 6,823, 103 | 63.38 |
| 5-6. | 107,518 | 105 | -99902 | -00098 | 107,466 | 6, 822, 808 | 63.46 |
| 6 | 107,413 | 91 | . 99915 | -00085 | 107,368 | 6,822,514 | 63.52 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 107,322 | 462 | . 99570 | - 30430 | 107,091 | 3, 822,220 | 63-57 |
| 2. | 106,860 | 336 | -99686 | -00314 | 106,692 | 6,820,161 | 63.83 |
| 3. | 106,524 | 305 | . 99714 | -00286 | 106,372 | 6,818,109 | 64.01 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 106,219 | 707 | -99343 | -00666 | 105,866 | 6,815,486 | $64 \cdot 17$ |
| 2. | 105,512 | 657 | -99377 | -00623 | 105,184 | 6, 806,664 | 64.51 |
| 3. | 104,855 | 475 | -99547 | -00453 | 104,618 | 6,797, 899 | 64.83 |
| 4. | 104,380 | 398 | -99619 | -00381 | 104, 181 | 6,789,181 | 65.04 |
| 5 | 103,982 | 345 | -99668 | -00332 | 103,810 | 6,780,500 | $65 \cdot 21$ |
| 6. | 103,637 | 305 | -99706 | -00294 | 103,485 | 6,771,850 | $65 \cdot 34$ |
| 8. | 103,332 103,067 | 265 239 | -99744 | . 002256 | 103,200 | $6,763,227$ | 65.45 |
| 0. | 102,828 | 220 | -99786 | -00214 | 102,718 | $6,774,048$ 6,748 | $65 \cdot 54$ $65 \cdot 61$ |
| 10. | 102,608 | 182 | - 99823 | -00177 | 102,517 | 6,737,489 | $65 \cdot 68$ |
| 11. | 102,426 | 152 | . 99852 | -00148 | 102,350 | 6,728,946 | $65 \cdot 70$ |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102,274 | 1,105 | - 98920 | -01080 | 101,722 | 6,720,417 | 65.71 |
| 2. | 101,169 | 502 | -99504 | -00496 | 100,918 | 6,618,695 | 65.42 |
| 3. | 100,667 | 376 | -99626 | -00374 | 100,479 | 6,517,777 | $64 \cdot 75$ |
|  | 100.291 | 291 | -99710 | -00290 | 100,146 | 6,417,298 | 63.99 |
| 5. | 100.000 | - |  |  | - | 6,317,152 | $63 \cdot 17$ |

TABLE 5. Life Tables for reglonal divislons of Canada for ages zero to flve, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932, adding five p.c. to births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration
Age
$x$

MALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1.......................... | 112,117 | 1,621 | . 98554 | . 01446 | 111,306 | 6,749,798 | 60.20 |
| 1-2............................ | 110,406 | 448 | . 99595 | . 00405 | 110.272 | 6,749,493 | 61.08 |
| 2-3. | 110,048 | 471 | . 99572 | . 00428 | 109,812 | 6,749,191 | 61.33 |
| 3-4. | 109,577 | 316 | . 99712 | - 00288 | 109,419. | 6,748,890 | 61.59 |
| 4-5. | 109,261 | 246 | . 99775 | -00225 | 109,138 | 6,748,590 | 61.77 |
| 5-6. | 109,015 | 131 | . 99880 | -00120 | 108,950 | 6,748,291 | $61 \cdot 90$ |
| 6..... | 108,884 | 131 | . 99880 | . 00120 | 108,818 | 6,747,992 | 61.97 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 108,753 | 508 | . 99533 | - 00467 | 108,490 | 6,747,694 | 62.05 |
| 2. | 108,245 | 362 | . 99666 | . 00334 | 108,064 | 6,745. 608 | 62-32 |
| 3. | 107,883 | 317 | . 99706 | . 00294 | 107,724 | 6,743,530 | $62 \cdot 51$ |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 107,566 | 998 | . 99071 | -00929 | 107,066 | 6,740,874 | ${ }^{02} \cdot 67$ |
| 2. | 106,567 | 856 | . 99197 | -00803 | 106,139 | 6,731,952 | $63 \cdot 17$ |
| 3. | 105,711 | 662 | . 99374 | -00626 | 105,380 | $6,723,107$ | $63 \cdot 60$ |
| 4. | 105,049 | 476 | . 99547 | -00453 | 104,811 | 6,714,326 | $63 \cdot 92$ |
| 5. | 104,573 | 417 | . 99601 | -00399 | 104,364 | 6,705,592 | $64 \cdot 12$ |
| 6. | 104,156 | 316 | -99697 | . 00303 | 103,098 | 6,696,895 | 64.30 |
| 7. | 103,840 | 303 | . 99708 | - 00292 | 103,688 | 6,688,229 | 64.41 |
| 8. | 103,537 | 257 | . 99752 | . 00248 | 103,408 | 6. 679,589 | 64.51 |
| 9. | 103,280 | 262 | -99746 | -00254 | 103,149 | 6, 670,972 | 64.59 |
| 10. | 103,018 | 220 | . 99786 | . 00214 | 102,908 | 6,662,377 | 64.67 |
| 11. | 102,798 | 191 | . 99814 | -00186 | 102,702 | 6,653,802 | 64.73 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102,607 | 1,265 | . 98767 | . 01233 | 101,074 | 6, 645,244 | 64.76 |
| 2. | 101,342 | 1,602 | . 98406 | . 00594 | 101,041 | 6,543,270 | $64 \cdot 57$ |
| 3. | 100,740 | 410 | -99593 | . 00407 | 100,535 | 6,442,229 | 63.95 |
| 4. | 100,330 | 330 | . 896671 | -00329 | 100,165 | 6,341,694 | 63.21 |
| 5.......... | 100,000 | - |  | - | - | 6,241,529 | 62-42 |

FEMALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1.. | 109,025 | 1,194 | . 98914 | - 01086 | 109,328 | 6,805,580 | 61.91 |
| 1-2. | 108,731 | 384 | -99847 | -00353 | 108,539 | 6,805,280 | 62.59 |
| 2-3. | 108,347 | 304 | - 09719 | -00281 | 108,195 | 6,804,983 | $62 \cdot 81$ |
| 3-4. | 108,043 | 239 | . 99779 | -00221 | 107,924 | 6,804,687 | 62.98 |
| 4-5. | 107,804 | 157 | . 99854 | -00146 | 107,726 | 6,804, 391 | $63 \cdot 12$ |
| 5-6. | 107,647 | 99 | . 99908 | . 00092 | 107,598 | B, 804,098 | 63.21 |
| 6. | 107,548 | 80 | . 99926 | . 00074 | 107,508 | 6,803, 801 | $63 \cdot 26$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |
| 1. | 107,468 | 516 | . 98520 | . 00480 | 107,210 | 6,803,506 | 63.31 |
| 2. | 106,952 | 303 | . 99717 | -00283 | 106,800 | 6,801,444 | $63 \cdot 60$ |
| 3. | 106,649 | 304 | .99715 | -00285 | 106,497 | 6,799,390 | 63.76 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 106,345 | 670 | . 99370 | . 00630 | 106,010 | 6,794,764 | 63.91 |
| 2. | 105,675 | 590 | . 99442 | -00558 | 105,380 | 6,787,930 | $64 \cdot 24$ |
| 3. | 105,085 | 507 | . 99518 | -00482 | 104,832 | 6,779, 149 | 64.51 |
| 4. | 104,578 | 431 | . 99588 | -00412 | 104,362 | 6,770,413 | 64.74 |
| 5. | 104, 147 | 340 | . 99674 | -00326 | 103,977 | 6,761,717 | 64.93 |
| 6. | 103,807 | 256 | -99753 | -00247 | 103,679 | 6,753,053 | $65 \cdot 05$ |
| 7. | 103,551 | 322 | . 99689 | -. 00311 | 103,390 | 6,744,413 | $65 \cdot 13$ |
| 8. | 103,229 | 277 | . 99732 | -00268 | 103,090 | 6,735,798 | $65 \cdot 25$ |
| 9. | 102,952 | 241 | -99766 | -00234 | 102.832 | 6,727,208 | $65 \cdot 34$ |
| 10. | 102,711 | 134 | . 99870 | . 00130 | 102,644 | 6,718,639 | $65 \cdot 14$ |
| 11. | 102,577. | 173 | . 99831 | -00169 | 102,490 | 6,710,086 | $65 \cdot 42$ |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 102,404 | 1,220 | .98809 | . 01191 | 101.794 | 6,701,546 | $65 \cdot 44$ |
| 2. | 101,184 | 529 | -99477 | .00523 | 100,920 | 6,599,752 | $65 \cdot 23$ |
| 3. | 100,655 | 400 | -99603 | -00397 | 100,455 | 6,498,832 | 64.57 |
| 4. | 100,255 | 255 | -99746 | . 00254 | 100,128 | 6,398,377 | 63.82 |
| 5. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,298,249 | 62.98 |

TABLE 5. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932 and births 1926-1932, adding five p.c. to births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration-Con.


FEMALES

| Daye- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1.. | 113,835 | 1,473 | . 98706 | . 01294 | 113,098 | 6,579,482 | 57.80 |
| 1-2. | 112,362 | 492 | -09562 | -00438 | 112,116 | 6,579,182 | 58.55 |
| 23. | 111,870 | 346 | -99691 | -00309 | 111,097 | 0,578,875 | 58.81 |
| 3-4. | 111,524 | 251 | -99775 | -00225 | 111,398 | 6,578,568 | 58.69 |
| 4-6............................ | 111,273 | 147 | -99868 | -00132 | 111,200 | 6,578,264 | 59.12 |
| 6-6............................................. | 111,128 | 118 | -99894 | -00106 | 111,067 | 6,577,959 | 59.19 |
|  | 111,008 | 103 | -99907 | $\cdot 00003$ | 110,956 | 6,577,655 | 59.25 |
| Weako- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1............................. | 110,005 | 628 | . 99434 | . 00566 | 110,591 |  |  |
| 3. | 110,277 | 601 | . 99546 | . 00454 | 110,026 | 8,575,224 | $69 \cdot 30$ 59.62 |
| 3. | 109.776 | 441 | . 99598 | . 00402 | 109,556 | 6,573, 108 | 59.88 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 109,335 | 1,071 | -99020 | . 00980 |  |  |  |
| 2............................... | 108,264 | 1,084 | . 98999 | .01001 | 107,722 | $6,570,406$ $6,561,340$ | 60.09 60.60 |
| 3............................ | 107, 180 | 691 591 | -. 998355 | - 000645 | 106,834 | 6,552, 364 | 61.13 |
| 5.......:....................... | 106,489 105,898 | 591 516 | -99445 | -00555 | 106, 194 | 6,543,462 | 61.45 |
| 6................................. | 105,382 | 461 | -.995563 | . 000487 | 105,640 | 6,534, 613 , | 61.71 |
| 7............................. | 104,922 | 366 | -99651 | -00349 | 104, 739 | $6,525,810$ $6,517,048$ | 61.92 |
| 8. | 104,556 | 345 | . 99670 | -00330 | 104,384 | 6,508, 320 | $62 \cdot 11$ $62 \cdot 25$ |
| 9............................ | 104,211 | 315 | -99698 | -00302 | 104,054 | 6,499,622 | $62 \cdot 25$ $62 \cdot 37$ |
| 10. | 103,896 | 279 | -99731 | -00269 | 103,756 | 6,490,951 | $62 \cdot 37$ 62.47 |
| 11. | 103,617 | 233 | -99775 | -00225 | 103,500 | 6,482,305 | 62.56 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1............................ | 103,384 | 1,682 | -98373 | -01627 | 102,543 | 6,473,680 |  |
| 2............................. | 101,702 | 733 | -99279 | -00721 | 101,336 | 6,371,137 | $62 \cdot 64$ |
| 3.... | 100,969 | 534 | -99471 | -00529 | 100.702 | 6,269,801 | $62 \cdot 10$ |
|  | 100,435 100,000 | 435 | -99567 | -00433 | 100,218 | 6,169,099 | 61.42 |
|  | 100,000 | - |  | - |  | 6,068,881 | $60 \cdot 69$ |

TABLE 5. Life Tahles for regional divisions of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population.1931, deaths $1930-1932$ and births 1926-1932, adding five p.c. to births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration-Con.

|  | Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l x$ | $d^{\prime}$ | $p_{3}$ | $q x$ | $\underline{L}$ | T ${ }_{x}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { e }}$ |

MALES

| Days-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1. | 109,722 | 1,724 | -98429 | . 01571 | 108;860 | 6,725,865 | $61 \cdot 30$ |
| $1-2$ | 107,998 | 488 | -99539 | . 00461 | 107;749 | 6,725,567 | $62 \cdot 28$ |
| 2-3. | 107,500 | 386 | -99641 | -00359 | 107;307 | 6,725,272 | $62 \cdot 56$ |
| 3-4. | 107,114 | 284 | -99735 | -00265 | 106,972 | 6,724,978 | 62.78 |
| 4 -5. | 106,830 | 176 | -99835 | - 00165 | 106,742 | 6,724,685 | 62.95 |
| 5-6. | 106,654 | - 129 | -99879 | -00121 | 106,590 | 6,724,393 | 63.05 |
| 6. | 106,525 | 83 | -99922 | -00078 | 106,484 | 6,724,101 | $63 \cdot 12$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1... | 106,442 | 449 | . 99578 | -00422 | 106,218 | -6,723,809 | $63 \cdot 17$ |
| 2. | 105,993 | 316 | . 99702 | -00298 | 105, 835 | -6,721,766 | $63 \cdot 42$ |
| 3. | 105,677 | 280 | :99735 | -00265 | 105,537 | 6,719,731 | $63 \cdot 59$ |
| Montho- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1..... | 105,397 | 642 | . 99391 | - 00609 | 105,076 | 6,717,128 | -63.73 |
| 2. | 104,755, | - 525 | -99499 | -00501 | 104,492 | 6,708,372 | 64.04 |
| 3. | 104,230 | 434 | -99584 | -00416 | 104;013 | 6,699, 665 | - 64:28 |
| 4. | 103,796 | - 356 | ':99657 | -00343 | 103,618 | 6,690,098 | . 64.46 |
| 5 | 103,440 | - 329 | -99682 | - 00318 | 103,276 | 6,682,364 | - $64 \cdot 60$ |
| 6. | 103,111 | 311 | -99698 | -00302 | 102,956 | 6,673,758 | $64 \cdot 72$ |
| 7. | 102,800 | 256 | -99751 | -00249 | 102,672 | 6,665,179 | 64.84 |
| 8. | 102,544 | 221 | -99784 | -00216 | 102;434 | 6,656,623 | 64.92 |
| 9. | 102,323 | 217 | :99788 | -00212 | 102,214 | 6,648,087 | 64.97 |
| 10. | 102,106 | 154 | . 09848 | . 000151 | 102,029 | $6,639,570$ $6,631,068$ | 65.03 65.04 |
| 11. | 101,852 | 142 | -99861 | -00139 | 101;881 | 6,631,068 | 65.04 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 101,810 | 868 | - 99147 | . 00853 | 101;376 | 6,622,578 | 65.05 |
| 2. | 100,942 | - -415 | -99589 | -.00411 | 100,734 | -6,521,202 | . $64 \cdot 60$ |
| 3. | 100,527 | - 298 | -99704 | -00296 | 100,378 | -6,420,468 | $\cdots \quad 63.87$ $\cdots \quad 63.06$ |
| 4. |  |  | -99772 |  | 100,114 |  | . $\cdot . \quad 63.00$ |
|  | 100,000 | - | - |  |  | 6,219,876 | . $\cdot 662.20$ |

FEMALES

| Days- | 107,803 | 1,340 | - 98757 | :01243 | 107,133 | 6,891,167 | $63.92$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ - 1-2... | 106,463 | -377 | -99646 | -00354 | 106,275 | 6,890,873 | 64.73 |
| 2-3. | 106,086 | 283 | -99733 | -00267 | 105,944 | 6,890,582 | 64.95 |
| 3-4.............................. | 105,803 | 193 | -99818 | - 00182 | 105,706 | 6,890.292 | 65.12 |
| 4-5........................... | 105,610 | 134 | -99873 | -00127 | 105,543 | 6,880, 002 | $65 \cdot 24$ |
| 5-6. | 105,476, | - 110 | - 98896 | . 000104 | 105,421 | $6,889,713$ $6,889,424$ | $65 \cdot 32$ 65.38 |
| 6. | 105,366 |  | -99918 | -00081 | 105,324 | 6,889,424 | $65 \cdot 38$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 .$. | 105,281 | 359 | -99659 | -00341 | 105,102 | 6,889, 135 | $65 \cdot 44$ |
| 2. | 104,922 | 244 | -99767 | -00233 | 104,800 | $6,887,114$ | $65 \cdot 64$ 65.77 |
| 3. | 104,678 | 238 | -99773 | -00227 | 104,550 | 6;885,099 | $65 \cdot 77$ |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1............................ | 104,440 | 499 | -99522 | . 00478 | 104,190 | 6, 882, 521 | $65 \cdot 80$ |
| 2. | 103,941 | 419 | -99597 | . 00403 | 103,732 | 6,873,839 | 68.13 $\cdots 66.32$ |
| 3. | 103,522 | 335 | - 99976 | -00324 | 103,354 | 6,865,195 | - 66.32 68.45 |
| 4. | 103,187 | 292 | -99717 | -00283 | 103,041 | 6,856,583 | 68.45 66.55 |
| 5. | 102,895 | 264 | -. 997743 | . 002248 | 102,504 | $6,847,497$ $6,839,434$ | 60.55 66.64 |
| 6. | 102,631 | 255 | -99752 | . 0002068 | 102, ${ }^{102} \mathbf{2 7 0}$ | 6, 6380,892 | 66.72 66.78 |
| 8. | 102,165 | 186 | -99818 | -00182 | 102,072 | -6;822;370 | 66.78 |
| 9. | 101,079 | 170 | -99833 | . 00167 | 101,894 | $\cdot 6,813,864$ | $66 \cdot 82$ 66.84 |
| 10. | 101,809 | - 135 | -99867 | -00133 | 101,742 | 6,805,373 | $66 \cdot 84$ 66.85 |
| 11..... | 101,674 | - 115 | -99887 | -00113 | 101,616 | 6,796,895 | 66.85 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 101,559 | 767 | -99245 | $\bigcirc 00755$ | 101,176 | - 6;788,427 | 66.84 |
| 2. | 100,792 | 348 | -99855 | -00345 | 100,618 | 6,687;251 | 66.35 |
| 3. | 100,444 | - 243 | -99758 | -00242 | 100,322 | 6;586;638 | ${ }_{64.73}^{65}$ |
| 4. | 100,201 100,000 | 201 | $i^{99709}$ | -00201 | 100,100 | 6,$486 ; 311$ $-6,386,211$ | 64.73 .$\quad 63.86$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | --n... | $\cdots$ |

TABLE 5. Life Tablesfor regional divislons of Canada for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths 1930-1932. and births 1926-1932, adding five p.c. to births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration-Con.
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TABLE 5. Life Tables for regional divisions of Canada, for ages zero to five, males and females, based on population 1931, deaths $1930-1932$ and births $1926-1932$, adding five p.c. births as published to allow for incompleteness of registration-Con.

| $\underset{x}{\text { Age }}$ | British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l_{x}$ | $d z$ | $p_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $9{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | Ta | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { e }}$ |

MALES

| Days- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-1.. | 107,557 | 1,160 | . 98922 | . 01078 | 106,977 | 6,684,487 | 62.15 |
| 1-2. | 106,397 | 368 | -98654 | -00346 | 106,213 | 6,684, 194 | $62 \cdot 82$ |
| 2-3. | 106,029 | 323 | -99685 | -00305 | 105,868 | 6,683,903 | .63.04 |
| 3-4. | 105,706 | 216 | -99796 | -00204 | 105,598 | 6,683, 613 | 63.23 |
| 4-5. | 105,480 | 120 | -98886 | -00114 | 105,430 | 8,683,324 | 63.36 |
| 5 -6 | 105,370 | 89 | -99916 | -00084 | 105,326 | 6,683,035 | -63.42 |
| 6. | 105,281 | 83 | - 99921 | -00078 | 105,240 | 6,682,746 | 63.48 |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 105,188 | 291 | -99723 | . 00277 |  |  |  |
| 2. | 104,907 | 172 | .99836 .99813 | . 00164 | 104,821 104,637 | $6,680,438$ $6,678,422$ | $63 \cdot 68$ 63.77 |
| 8. | 104,735 | 198 | -99813 | . 00187 | 104,637 | 6,678,422 | 63.77 |
| Months- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104,539 | 387 | -99630 | . 00370 | 104,346 | 6,675,842 | 63.86 |
| 2. | 104,152 | 330 | -99883 | . 00317 | 103,087 | 6,667,147 | 64.01 |
| 8. | 103,822 | 399 | -99816 | . 00384 | 103,628 | 6,658,482 | 64.13 |
| 4. | 103,423 | 305 | - 99705 | -00295 | 103,276 | 6,649,847 | $64 \cdot 30$ |
| 5. | 103,118 | 228 | -99779 | -00221 | 103,004 | 6,641,241 | 64.40 |
| 6. | 102,890 | 241 | - 99766 | -00234 | 102,770 | 6,632,658 | 64.46 |
| 7. | 102,649 | 133 | -98870 | . 00130 | 102,582 | 6, 624,094 | 64.53 |
| 8. | 102,516 | 114 | -98889 | -00111 | 102,459 | 6,615,546 | 64.53 |
| 9. | 102,402 | 203 | - 98802 | -00198 | 102,300 | 6,607,008 | 64.52 |
| 10. | 102,199 | 108 | -99894 | -00106 | 102,145 | 6,598,483 | 64.56 |
| 11. | 102,091 | 127 | -98878 | -00124 | 102,028 | 6,589,971 | 64.55 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 .$. | 101,964 | 789 | -98226 | -00774 | 101,570 | 6,581,469 | 64.55 |
| 2. | 101,175 | 468 | -99537 | -00463 | 100,941 | 6,479,899 | 64.05 |
| 3. | 100,707 | 392 | -99611 | -00389 | 100,511 | 6,378,958 | 63.34 |
| 4. | 100,315 | 315 | -99886 | -00314 | 100,158 | $6,278,447$ $6,178,289$ | 62.59 61.78 |
| 5. | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,178,289 | 61.78 |

FEMALES

| Day9- |  |  |  |  |  | $6,040,023$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 106,218 | 275 | -99079 | .0093 | 105.088 | 6,040, 733 | ${ }_{65}^{65 \cdot 35}$ |
| 1-2........................ | 104, 951 | 250 | -99762 | . 00238 | 104,826 | 6,939,445 | ${ }_{68.12}$ |
| $3-4$ | 104,701 | 138 | -99868 | -00132 | 104,632 | 6,939,158 | 66.28 |
|  | 104,563 | 124 | -99881 | . 001119 | 104, 501 | 6,938,871 | ${ }_{68}^{68} \cdot 3$ |
| 5-6 | 104,439 | 53 | -99949 | .00051 | 104,412 | 6,933,685 | 66.44 66.47 |
| 6. | 104,386 | 58 | -99943 | -00057 | 104,356 | 6,938,299 | $66 \cdot 47$ |
| Weeks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104,327 | 184 | -99824 | -00176 | 104,235 | 6,938,013 | 60.50 |
| 2.... | 104,143 | 85 | .99918 | .00082 | 104,100 | 6,936,009 | 66.60 66.64 |
| 3. | 104,058 | 125 | -99880 | -00120 | 103,996 | 6,934,007 | 66.64 |
| Monthe- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1............................ | 103,033 | 387 | -99628 | -00372 | 103,740 | 6,031,442 | ${ }^{66} \cdot 69$ |
| 2 | 103,646 <br> 103,211 | ${ }_{217}^{335}$ | -99979 | -.00210 | 103,102 | 6,914, 83 | 66.98 |
| 4 | 102,094 | 164 | -99841 | -00159 | 102,912 | 6,905,592 | 67.05 |
|  | 102,830 | 144 | -99860 | . 00140 | 102,758 | 6,897,016 | 67.07 |
| 6. | 102.686 | 164 | -99840 | -00160 | 102,604 | 6,888,453 | 67.08 |
| 7. | 102,522 | 204 | -99801 | -00199 | 102,420 | 6,879,903 | 67.11 |
| 8. | 102,318 | 157 | -99847 | -00153 | 102,240 | 6,871,388 | ${ }^{67.16}$ |
| 9. | 102,161 | ${ }_{131}^{138}$ | -. 99888 | -.00135 | 102,092 | 6,862, 648 | ${ }_{67} 67.18$ |
| 11...... | 101,892 | ${ }_{79}$ | . 99922 | .00078 | 101,852 | 6,845,845 | 67.19 |
| Years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 101,813 | 749 | -99264 | -00734 | 101,438 | ${ }_{6,735,920}^{6,83}$ | ${ }_{66}^{67.165}$ |
| 3................................ | 100,715 | 416 | -99587 | .00413 | 100,507 | 6, 635,030 | 65.88 |
| 4. | 100, 299 | 299 | -99702 | -00298 | 100, 150 | 6,534, 523 | $65 \cdot 15$ 64.34 |
|  | 100,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,434,373 |  |

TABLE 6. Comparison of Canadian Life Table (ages 0-5) with most recent official tables of England and the United States

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\underset{x}{\text { Age }}
\]} \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Probability of Dying Within One Year ( \(q_{x}\) )} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Probability of Living 10 Years (10px)} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Males} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Females} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Males} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Females} \\
\hline \& (eana- \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Eng- } \\
\text { lish } \\
\text { Life } \\
\text { Table } \\
\text { No. } 10
\end{gathered}
\] \& Unit-
Sed
States
Life
Tifble
Table
1930 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Cana- } \\
\text { dian } \\
\text { Life } \\
\text { Table } \\
\text { Ages } \\
0-5
\end{gathered}
\] \&  \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Unit- } \\
\text { ed } \\
\text { States } \\
\text { Life } \\
\text { Table } \\
1930
\end{gathered}
\] \& Cana-
dian
Life
Table
Ages
\(0-5\) \& \begin{tabular}{|c|} 
Eng- \\
lish \\
Life \\
Labl \\
Table \\
No. 10
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Unit- } \\
\text { Und } \\
\text { States } \\
\text { Lifie } \\
\text { Table } \\
\text { 1930 }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Cana-
dian
Life
Table
Ages
\(0-5\) \& Eng-
lish
Life
Table
No. 10 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Unit- } \\
\text { eed } \\
\text { States } \\
\text { Life } \\
\text { Table } \\
1930
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 0. \& -0915 \& -07186 \& . 06232 \& . 07297 \& . 05455 \& 04963 \& . 87512 \& -89023 \& \& \({ }^{89729}\) \& . 91082 \& \({ }^{92466}\) \\
\hline 1. \& -01257 \& -01530 \& -00993 \& . 01142 \& . 01345 \& . 00879 \& -98177 \& . 95775 \& . 96704 \& .96657 \& . 967308 \& \({ }_{97935}^{97184}\) \\
\hline 2. \& -00631 \& -00657 \& . 00520 \& -00525 \& . 00603 \& .00457 \& .97253 \& . 977238 \& . 97888 \& .988033 \& . 973844 \& 97935

88267 <br>
\hline 3. \& -00436 \& .00441 \& -00359 \& -00395 \& . 00407 \& . 003268 \& . 977792 \& . 97916 \& . 988889 \& ${ }_{98235} 98$ \& .988994 \& .988460 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5...........} \& -003262 \& . 000343 \& -00266 \& -.00232 \& . 002388 \& . 00220 \& . 98122 \& .98103 \& . 98186 \& 98349 \& . 98257 \& . 98582 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Number Alive at Each Age Out of 100,000 Alive at Age $5\left(l_{a}\right)$} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Complete Expectation of Life (ex)} <br>
\hline 0. \& 113,035 \& 111,026 \& 109,006 \& 110,449 \& 108,667 \& 107,278 \& 59.621 \& 58.74 \& ${ }^{59.124}$ \& 61.79 \& ${ }^{62.88}$ \& ${ }_{64.67}$ <br>
\hline 1. \& 102,687 \& 103,048 \& 102, 213 \& 102,389 \& 102, 7398 \& 101,954 \& 64.61 \& 62.25 \& 62.04
61.65 \& 65.64
65.39 \& 65.48
65.37 \& 64.93
64.50 <br>

\hline $$
\frac{2}{3} .
$$ \& 100,756 \& 100,805 \& 101, 108

10081 \& $\xrightarrow{101,220}$ \& 101,357
100,746 \& 100,587 \& 63.83 \& ${ }_{61.62}^{621}$ \& 60.97 \& ${ }_{64} \cdot 73$ \& 64.76 \& 63.79 <br>
\hline 4. \& 100,317 \& 100,361 \& 100,311 \& 100,291 \& 100,337 \& 100,268 \& 63.11 \& 60.89 \& $60 \cdot 19$ \& 63.99 \& 64.03 \& ${ }^{63} \cdot 00$ <br>
\hline 5... \& 100,000 \& 100,000 \& 100,000 \& 100,000 \& 100,000 \& 100,000 \& $62 \cdot 30$ \& 60.11 \& 59.38 \& $63 \cdot 17$ \& 63.24 \& 62.17 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{2}$ Table 2, Page 133.
TABLE 7. Recent rates of mortality in various countrles (ages 0-5)
$1,000 \mathrm{q}=$

| $\underset{x}{. A g e}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sweden } \\ 1921-30 \end{gathered}$ |  | Norway 1921-30 |  | $\underset{1926-30}{\text { Denmark }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Finland } \\ & 1921-30 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\cdot \underset{1824-26}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Netherlands } \\ & 1921-30 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & 1920-23 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ males | Males | Fe- | Males | $\underset{\text { males }}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | Males | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe}- \\ \text { males } \end{gathered}$ | Males | Females | Males | $\underset{\text { males }}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | Males | Fomales |
|  | 64.72 | 50.52 | $55 \cdot 10$ | 44-10 | $91 \cdot 30$ | $71 \cdot 12$ | 99.83 | 93.92 | 115.38 | 93.92 | $65 \cdot 28$ | 50.62 | 108.23 | 88.21 |
|  | 11.39 | 9.69 | 9.01 | $8 \cdot 11$ | $10 \cdot 56$ | 8.98 | $25 \cdot 73$ | 14.93 | 16.18 | 14.93 | 14.83 | $13 \cdot 12$ | $20 \cdot 70$ | $19 \cdot 18$ |
|  | 4.90 | $4 \cdot 50$ | $4 \cdot 54$ | 3.94 | 3.91 | $3 \cdot 72$ | 11.26 | $5 \cdot 74$ | $6 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 74$ | $6 \cdot 25$ | $5 \cdot 33$ | $8 \cdot 89$ | $8 \cdot 38$ |
|  | $3 \cdot 28$ | $3 \cdot 04$ | $3 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 64$ | $2 \cdot 56$ | $2 \cdot 12$ | 7.51 | $3 \cdot 62$ | 4.04 | $3 \cdot 62$ | $3 \cdot 99$ | $3 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 85$ | $5 \cdot 88$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 89$ | $2 \cdot 59$ | $2 \cdot 54$ | $2 \cdot 11$ | 2.06 | 1.80 | 5.08 | $2 \cdot 86$ | $3 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 86$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | $4 \cdot 54$ | $4 \cdot 71$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 28$ | $2 \cdot 24$ | 1.78 | 1.68 | 1.571 | $4 \cdot 57$ | 2.19 | $2 \cdot 42$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | $2 \cdot 46$ | $2 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 48$ | $3 \cdot 78$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Age } \\ x}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Switzerland } \\ 1921-30 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Italy } \\ 1930-32 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Japan } \\ & 1921-25 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{1921-30}{\text { India }}$ |  | South Africa 1925-27 |  | $\underset{1932-34}{\text { Australia }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 1930-32 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Males | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ males | Males | Females | Males | $\underset{\text { males }}{\text { Fe- }}$ | Males | $\underset{\substack{\text { Fe- } \\ \text { males }}}{ }$ | Males | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ males | Males | $\underset{\text { males }}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | Males | Females |
| 0. | $66 \cdot 65$ | $52 \cdot 45$ | $115 \cdot 32$ | 102.25 | 162.04 | 144.00 | $248 \cdot 7$ | $232 \cdot 3$ | 74-44 | 62.76 | 45.43 | 36.42 | 91.55 | 72.97 |
| 1. | $10 \cdot 13$ | $9 \cdot 13$ | 38.97 | 39.05 | 48.45 | 47-57 | 91.8 | 86.5 | 18.70 | 18.38 | 7.75 | 6.45 | 12.57 | 11.42 |
| 2. | 4.96 | $4 \cdot 59$ | 13-24 | 13.18 | $26 \cdot 11$ | 26.27 | 56.4 | $50 \cdot 6$ | 7.36 | $7 \cdot 70$ | $3 \cdot 78$ | $3 \cdot 29$ | $6 \cdot 31$ | $5 \cdot 25$ |
|  | $3 \cdot 42$ | $3 \cdot 28$ | 7.42 | $7 \cdot 18$ | 16.55 | 17.41 | $39 \cdot 2$ | $34 \cdot 0$ | 4.71 | $4 \cdot 14$ | $2 \cdot 87$ | 2.41 | $4 \cdot 36$ | $3 \cdot 95$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 88$ | $2 \cdot 56$ | $5 \cdot 12$ | 4.89 | $10 \cdot 50$ | 11.46 | 27.4 | $23 \cdot 3$ | 3.46 | 3.43 | $2 \cdot 14$ 1.84 | $2 \cdot 08$ 1.58 | $3 \cdot 16$ | 2.90 2.32 |
|  | $2 \cdot 44$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 65$ | $3 \cdot 66$ | $7 \cdot 04$ | $7 \cdot 76$ | 19.3 | 10.5 | $2 \cdot 92$ | $2 \cdot 37$ | $1 \cdot 84$ | 1.58 | $2 \cdot 62$ | $2 \cdot 32$ |

TABLE 8. Canadian Life Table (ages $0-5$ ) (1) males, ( 2 ) females, 3 p.c. commutation columns

| $\underset{z}{\text { Age }}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{x}$ | $\mathbb{N}_{x}$ | $\mathbb{S}_{x}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 .$. | 113,035.00\| | 2,894,241-78 | 68,676,229-71 | 10,046-6010 | 28,736-6949 | 893,963.2358 |
| 1... | 99,696.11 | 2,781,206.78 | 65,781,987.93 | 1,216.8913 | 18,690.0949 | 865,226.5409 |
| 2... | 95,575-45 | 2,681,510-67 | 63, $000,782 \cdot 15$ | $585 \cdot 6906$ 390.0458 | 17,473.2036 | $846,536.4460$ $829,063.2424$ |
| $3 .$. | 92, $206 \cdot 01$ | 2,585,935-22 | $60,319,271 \cdot 48$ <br> 57 <br> 733,336 | 390.0458 273.4470 | $16,887 \cdot 5130$ $16,497 \cdot 4672$ | $829,063 \cdot 724$ $812,175 \cdot 7294$ |
| 4... | $89,130 \cdot 35$ $86,260 \cdot 88$ | $2,493,729 \cdot 21$ <br> $2,404,598 \cdot 86$ | $57,733,336-26$ <br> $55,239,607-05$ | $273 \cdot 4470$ 219 | 16,224-0202 | 795,678-2622 |

## FEMALES

| 0. | 110,449.00 | 2,902,456-20 | 69,381,334.77 | 7,825-2427 | 25,011-4415 | 881,640-6692 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 99,406.79 | 2,792,007-20 | $66,478,878 \cdot 57$ | 1,101.8946 | 18,086.1988 | 855,720-2277 |
| 2. | 95,409-55 | 2,692,600-41 | 63,686, 871-37 | 485.9402 | 16,984-3042 | 837,643.0289 |
| 3. | 92, 144-69 | 2,597, 190.86 | 60,984,270-96 | $353 \cdot 6178$ | 16,498.3640 | $820,658.7247$ |
| 4. | 89, 107-23 | 2,505,046•17 | 58,387,080-10 | 251.0192 | 16,144-7462 | 804,160-3607 |
| 5. | 86,260-88 | 2,415, 938.94 | 55,892,033.93 | 194-2963 | 15,893-7270 | 788,015-6145 |

TABLE 9. Order of birth of legitimate children (Including stillbirths) born in Canada, 1927:
1936, by age group of mother

| Age Group of Möther and Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | - 1933 | 1934 | $1935$ | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages. | 234, 507 | 36,72 | 235,065 | 242,710 | 239,294 | 234,097 | 220,914 | 219,331 | 219,208 | 217,755 |
| 1st child | 49,612 | 52,107 | 54, 372 | 57,736 | 55,486 | 52,067 | 48,396 | 49,165 | 52,951 | 65,386 |
| ${ }_{3 \text { 2nd }}$ | 40; 327 | 41,847 | 42,965 | 45, 271 | 45,710 | 45;053 | 42, 274 | 41,294 |  | 41,365 |
| 4th " | ${ }_{26,135}$ | 32,302 20 | 24,595 | 34,157 24,889 | - $\begin{array}{r}34,233 \\ 24,905\end{array}$ | 33,037 24,559 | 32,006 23,600 | 31,429 23,339 | 30,544 | 29, 139 |
| - 5th " | 20,898 | 20,417 | 19,122 | 19,097 | 18, 873 | 18,597 | 17,690 | - 17,451 | 17:185 | 22,120 16768 |
| $\because 6 \mathrm{th}$ | 15,951 | 16,093 | 15,351 | 15,367 | 14,530 | 14,354 | 13,799 | 13,55 | 13,180 | 12.756 |
| $7 \mathrm{7h}$ " ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12,316 | 12,407 | 12,031 | 12,161 | 11,930 | 11,606 | 10,703 | 10,53 | 10,254 | 10,112 |
| 8th " | 9,721 7460 | 9,678 | ${ }^{9,200}$ | 9,442 | -9,457 | 9,370 | 8,593 | 8,436 | .8;122 | 7,816 |
| $\cdots$ 10th ${ }^{\text {ath }}$ | 7,460 5,760 | 7,379 $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 2}$ | 6,945 | 7,243 | 7.099 | 7,312 | 6,710 | 6,816 | 6,132 | 6;065 |
| 11th | 4,188 | 4,132 | 3,966 | 4,001 | 3,525 3,939 | $\mathbf{5 , 5 2 3}$ <br> 3,984 | - ${ }_{3,846}$ | 5,5,327 <br> 3 <br> 794 | 4,041 | 4,813 |
| 12th | 2,994 | 3,191 | 2,841 | 2,944 | ( ${ }^{3,022}$ | - ${ }^{3,984}$ | 3,759 | 2,763 | 2, 2,724 | 3,628 2,710 |
| 13th | 2,058 | 2,075 | 2,050 | 2,085 | 1,978 | 2,054 | 1,936 | 1,828 | 1,868 | 1,836 |
| ; 14th " | 1,358 | 1,291 | 1,291 | 1,381 | 1,356 | 1,385 | 1,193 | 1,279 | 1,224 | 1,222 |
| - 16 16th | 895 <br> 534 | 864 <br> 505 | 870 | 810 | 834 | 868 | 803 | 843 | 789 | 771 |
| 17th | 329 | 312 , | 282 | 303 | ${ }_{267}^{483}$ | 304 |  <br> $-\quad 274$ | ${ }_{248}$ | 455 | ${ }^{4} 455$ |
| 18th | 175 | 201 | 168 | 162 | 172 | 143 | $\because \quad 160$ | 165 | 144 | $12{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 19th " | 87 | ${ }^{96}$ | 104 |  | 82 | 92 | 65 | 78 | ${ }^{7} 7$ | ${ }^{82}$ |
| 20 2th and | 101 | 119 | 85 | 102 | 100 | 96. | 98 | 06 | 92 | 78 |
| Not state | 314 | 375 | 436 | 421 | 313 | 242 | 205 | 302 | 289 | 231 |
| Under 20 years | 11,47 | 12,128 | 12,523 | 13,053 | 12,911 | 12,477 | 11,589 | 11,216 | 11,393 | 11,172 |
| 1stchild | 8.52 | 9,219 | 9,471 | 81 | 9,653 | 9,205 | 8,576 | 8,344 | 8,618 |  |
| 2nd | 2,460 | 2,381 | 2,557 | 2;609 | 2,727 | 2,742 | 2,508 | 2,353 | 2,314 | 2,193 |
| 3rd - ". | 408 | 453 | 428 | -. 476 | - 458 | 455 | 451 | 442 |  | 397. |
| 5th * ${ }^{\text {4th }}$ | 61 14 | 61 | 48 | 70 |  | 62 | 42 | 67 | 57 | 54 |
| 6 th " |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | $\begin{array}{r}89 \\ \times \quad 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| $\cdots$ Not stat |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| -24 years | 65,112 | 763 | 58,137 | 60,876 | -59,846 | 57,650 | ;97 | ,200 | 54,13i | 54,561 |
| $\therefore$ 1st child | 22,400 | 23,798 | 24,986 | 26,672. | 25,224 | 23, | 21, | 21, | 23, | 22 |
| -2nd | 16,394. | 16,899 | $\cdots 17,295$ | 18,327 | 18,390 | 18,248 | 16,871 |  | 15,645. | 15,908 |
| 3rd " | 9,256 | 9,297 | 9,353 | 9,431 | 9,750 | 9,589 | 9,327 | 9,122 |  | $10{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | ${ }^{4,472}$ | 4,257 1,703 | 4,201 1,482 | 4,221 | 4,257 | 4,213 |  | 4,021 | 3,967 | 3.725 |
| 6th | ${ }^{\text {. } 567}$. | ${ }^{+} 554$ | 1,628 | 1;0163 | -1;550 | 1,460 | 1,379 442 | 1,446 | 1,411 | 1,362 |
| 7th " | -165 | --153 | 176 | 150 | $\therefore \quad \therefore 123$ | 119 | - 112 | - ${ }^{121}$ |  | 122 |
| 8th " ${ }_{\text {9th }}$ | 46 <br> 27 | 56 | 52 | ${ }^{38}$ | 40 | 35 | ${ }^{31}$ |  | . 40 | 31 |
| 9th " | 27 | 19 | 13 | $\therefore \quad \therefore 22$ | 15 | 10 | 14 |  | 12 | 10 |
| 11th |  | $\cdots{ }^{7}$ | 18 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated |  |  | 22 | 36 | 22 | 32 | 24 | 28 | ${ }_{29}$ | 31 |
| 25-29 years: | 63,51 | 63,883 | 64,397 | 088 | 21 | 65,297 | c2,265 | 61;901 | 62,397 | 61,977 |
| 1 stchild |  |  | 13,185 | 14,135 | 13,826 | 13,007 | 12,162 | 12,635 |  |  |
|  | 12;680 | 13,144 | 13,853 | 14,635 | 14,977 | 14, 735 | 14,051 | 13,889 | 13,907 | 13;980 |
| ${ }_{4 t h}^{3 \text { rd }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{11,823}$ | 11, 691 | 11,743 | 12,048 | 12,363 | 12.627 | 12,18 | 11,78 |  |  |
| 4th | 10,036 | 9,706 | 9,414 | 9 ;46 | 9,703 | 9,67 | 9,30 | 9,22 |  |  |
| 56 | 7,637 | 7,407 | 6,992 | 6,87 | 6,797 | 6;834 | 6,662 | 6,615 | 6,413 | 8,294 |
| 7th " |  | $\xrightarrow{4,587}$ | $\xrightarrow{4,653}$ | 4,48 2,538 1 | $\begin{array}{r}4,258 \\ 2,407 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{2}^{4,266}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4}, 134$ | 3,987 | 3.9 | 72 |
| 8th " | 1,156 | 1,182 | 1,168 | 1,130 | 1.152 | 1,168 | ${ }^{2}, 888$ | 2,142 1,002 | 1,988 | 2,033 |
| 9th " | 534 | 500 | 465 | 14 | 424 | 425 | 407 | 407 | 375 | 337 |
| 10th | 196 | 212 | - 204 | 177 | 181 | 154 | 146 | 150 | 144 | 143 |
| 11th " | 72 | 67 | 78 | 69 |  | 66 | 48 | 55 |  |  |
| 12th |  | 49 | 30 | 21 |  | 27 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 21 |
| 14th " | $\underline{12}$ | 11 | ${ }_{7}$ | 10 | 13 | 6 | . 5 | 10 | 11 | 6 |
| 15th " | - | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 3 |
| 10th " | - |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Not st | 17 | 15 | 21 | 41 | 29 | 20 | . 25 | 33 | 37 | 36 |
| 30-34 years. | 51,121 | 51,021 | 40,440 | 50,941 | 50,242 | 48,996 | 46,58 | 47,041 | 45,965 | 45,869 |
| ${ }^{1 \text { st chil }}$ | 4,531 |  |  | 4,949 | 4,802 |  | 4,229 |  | 4,823 | 5,291 |
| 3rd. ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  | 7,402 | 8,8 | 6,671 | ${ }_{6,617}^{6}$ | ${ }^{6,576}$ | 6,174 | 6,426 | 6,497 | ${ }^{8,525}$ |
| 4 th | 6,854 | 6,716 | 6,662 | 6,685 | 6,616 | -6,380 | - 6,246 | 6, 6,161 | 6,528 | 6,438 5,974 |
| 5th | ${ }^{6,578}$ | 6,503 | 6,043 | 6,124 | 6,064 | 5,814 | 5,643 | 5,565 | 5,501 | 5,349 |
| ${ }_{7}^{6 \text { th }}$ | 5, 679 4,836 | 5, 5 | 5,462 | 5,692 | 5,363 | 5.225 | 5,046 | 5.027 | 4,800 | 4,720 |
| 8th: | 3 3,727 | 3,705 | 4,653 3,518 | 3,677 <br>  <br> 1,49 | 4,801 8,712 | 4,548 3,611 | 4,292 3,315 | $\stackrel{4}{4,347}$ | 4,068 | ${ }_{3}^{4,012}$ |
| 9th | 2,446 | 2,407 | 2,379 | 2,356 | 2,438 | 2,539 | 2,345 | 2,316 | 2,024 | 2,030 |
| 11th | 1,486 | 1,452 | 1,456 | 1,457 | 1,469 | 1,464 | 1,431 | 1,458 | .1,259 | 1,236 |
| 12th | 380 | 413 | 373 | 370 | 825 | 763 | 721 | 765 | 670 | 661 |
| 13th | 181 | 175 | 175 | . 166 | 181 | 178 |  | 167 | 144 | 150 |
| -14th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |  |
| 15th " ............ | 83 | 43 | 40 | 31 | 80 | 28 |  | 33 | 24 | 25 |

TABLE 9. Order of birth of legltimate children (Including stillbirths) born in Canada, 19271936, by age group of mother-Con.


TABLE 9. Order of birth of legitimate children (Including stillbirths) born in Canada, 192\%1936, by age group of mother-Con.

| Age Group of Mother and Order of Birth of Child | 1927 | 1928 | 1829 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1834 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age not stated-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4th child............ | 43 | 37 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5th "........... | 36 | 33 | - 22 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 8 |  | ${ }^{9}$ | 4 |
| 6th " | 32 | 23 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 7 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | 8 | 11 | 4 |
| 7th " | 29 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 8th " | 23 | 20 | 12 | . 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 9th " | 9 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| 10th " | 10 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | $-$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ |  |
| 11th " | 16 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 12th " | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 13th " | 3 | - | 1 | - | $-$ | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 14th " . | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 15th " | 1 | 1. | , | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| 16th " ${ }^{\text {17t.......... }}$ | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 17th "، ............ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 20th and over......... | - | - | - | $\pm$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not stated............ | 235 | 819 | 357 | 279 | 208 | 137 | 120 | 200 | 170 | 113 |

TABLE 10. Married mothers by raclal origin and age, and total and average number of thelr children born alive, now living, born dead and born alive or dead, Canada, 1930

| Racial Origin and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now <br> Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead | Born Alive | Now | Born Dead | Born Alive or Alive or Dead Dead |
| All races. <br> Under 20 <br> 20-24 <br> 25-29 <br> $30-34$ <br> 40-44 <br> 45 and over <br> Age not stated | 242,289 | 049,926 | 839,836 | 24,299 | 974,225 | 3.92 | 3.47 | 0.10 | 4.02 |
|  |  | 16,323 | 15,686 |  |  | 1.25 | 1.20 | 0.04 | 1.29 |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{117,197}$ | $\begin{array}{r}109.149 \\ 187 \\ \hline 188\end{array}$ | 3,248 | 120,445 | 1.93 | 1.79 | 0.05 | 1.98 |
|  | ${ }^{66,046}$ | 240,734 | 187,878 <br> 212,499 | ${ }_{6}^{5,1077}$ | ${ }_{246}^{212,537}$ | 3.14 | ${ }_{4}^{2 \cdot 84}$ | 0.08 | 3.22 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 50,915 \\ & 35,518 \end{aligned}$ | 232,976 | 200, 853 | 5,896 | 238,872 | ${ }_{6} 4.75$ | 4.17 5.65 | 0.12 0.17 | 4.85 6.73 |
|  | - | 120,251 14,434 | 101,303 | 3,010 | 123,261 | 8.44 | $7 \cdot 11$ | 0.21 | 8.65 |
|  |  | 14,434 | 11,978 492 | 392 30 | 14,826 581 | 9.62 <br> 3.17 | $7 \cdot 98$ 2.83 | 0.26 0.17 | 9.88 3.34 |
| British................ | 100,920 | 311,245 | 288,451 | 10,932 | 322,178 | 3.08 | 2.86 | 0.11 | 3.19 |
| Under 20. 20-24 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,073 \\ 25,55 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,385 \\ 44.730 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7.169 \\ 42,504 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{1}^{263}$ | 7,648 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \\ & 1.75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.18 | 0.04 | 1.261.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 46, 218 |  | 1.68 <br> 2.44 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  |
| ${ }_{30-34}^{20-\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots}$ | 27.136 <br> 21.754 |  | 66,118 73,306 | 2,310 2,830 | 72, 7846 | ${ }_{2}^{1 \cdot 60}$ |  | -0.06 | ${ }_{2} \cdot 68$ |
| 35-39.. | 21,754 14.383 | $\begin{aligned} & 79,256 \\ & 70,736 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 73,306 64,556 | 2,830 | ${ }_{73,288}$ | 3.64 4.92 | 3.37 | 0.13 | 3.775.106.82 |
| 40-44................... | 14,383 5,493 481 | $\begin{aligned} & 70,736 \\ & 35,051 \end{aligned}$ | 31,566 | 1,291 | 36,342 |  | 4.4.75 5 |  |  |
| ${ }^{45}$ and over.... | 48143 | 3,518$\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | 31,1183,114 | ${ }^{1212}$ | 3,640 3 | 7.81 | ( 6.48 | 0.25 | 7.57 <br> 3.35 <br> .5 |
|  |  |  |  | 14 | 144 | 3.02 | 2.65 | 0.33 |  |
| English. | 65,544 | 169,136 | 156,989 | 5,904 | 175,040 | 3.05 | 2.83 | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 15$ |
| Under 20. | $\begin{array}{r} 3,745 \\ 14,884 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,586 \\ 26,318 \end{array}$ | 4,442 | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \\ & 889 \end{aligned}$ | 4,765 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 0.05 <br> 0.06 | 1.271.832.74 |
| ${ }_{25-29 .}$ |  |  |  |  | 27,207 |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$. | $\begin{aligned} & 14,95 \\ & 11,457 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,687 \\ & 42,5528 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,274 1,603 | $40,961$ | $2 \cdot 65$ | $2 \cdot 49$ |  |  |
| 35-39.. | + $\begin{array}{r}7,386 \\ .2880 \\ 269 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 39,393 \\ & 33,26 \end{aligned}$ | 1,356 | 37,699 | 3.71 <br> 4.91 | 3.44 4.49 | 0.13 | 2.74 <br> 3.84 |
| 45 and over. |  |  |  | 626688 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,280 \\ 2,001 \\ 91 \end{array}$ |  | $5 \cdot 67$ |  | $\stackrel{5 \cdot 10}{6 \cdot 53}$ |
| Age not stated......... |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 17,659 \\ 1,932 \\ 83 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 1,708 \\ 74 \end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{7 \cdot 18}$ | 6.35 <br> 2.85 | $\stackrel{0.26}{0.31}$ | 7.44 3.50 |
| Irish... | 21,117 | 69,060 | 63,585 | 2,453 | 71,513 | 3.27 | 3.01 | 0.12 | 3.39 |
| Under 20.. | 1,1244,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,342 \\ & 8,624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,316 \\ 8 \\ 13,148 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 279 \end{array}$ | 1,374 | 1.19 <br> 1.75 | 1.17 | 0.030.060 | ¢ $\begin{gathered}1.22 \\ 1.81 \\ 2\end{gathered}$ |
| 25-29... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-34.. | 5,521 4,847 | -14,493 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,548 \\ & 16,626 \\ & \hline, 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ 676 \\ 676 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,677 \\ & 18,785 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.26 \\ & 0.31 \end{aligned}$ | 2.71 |
| ${ }^{35-39 .}$ | 3,304 |  |  |  |  | 3.74 <br> 5.14 |  |  | 3.885.336.97 |
| 45 and | 1,301 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,780 \\ 830 \\ 738 \\ 738 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,140 \\ 7,840 \\ 668 \\ \hline 9 \end{gathered}$ | 342294 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,072 \\ 767 \\ 87 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{7} \mathbf{7} .94$ | 6.037.18 |  |  |
| Age not stated......... | (103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.25 3.70 |

TABLE 10. Married mothers by racial origin and age, and total and average number of their chlldren born allve, now living, born dead and born allve or dead, Canada, 1930-Con.

| Racial Origin and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | A verage |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born <br> Alive or <br> Dead | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
|  | 23,427 | 70,565 | 65,587 | 2,493 | 73,058 | 3.01 | 2.80 | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 18$ |
|  | $1,161$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,405 \\ & 9,436 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1,456 | 1.21 | 1.171.62 | 0.040.06 | 1.25 |
|  | 5,557 |  |  |  | 16,282 | 1.70 |  |  | 1.75 |
|  | 6,425 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,400 \\ 15,779 \end{array}$ | 14,820 | 543 |  | $2 \cdot 45$ | 2.31 | 0.08 | 2.53 |
|  | 5,255 | 17,923 | 16,656 | 627 | 18,650 | 3.41 | $3 \cdot 17$ | 0.12 | 3.534.90 |
|  | 3,560 | 16,826 | 15,405 | 627 | 17,453 | 4.73 | $4 \cdot 33$ | 0.18 0.23 |  |
|  | 1,348 | 8, 823 | 7,601723 |  |  | 6.23 7.16 | 5.64 <br> 6.29 |  | 4.90 0.46 7.37 |
|  | 115 |  |  | 24 | 847 14 | $7 \cdot 16$ $2 \cdot 17$ | 6.29 1.83 | 0.21 0.17 | $7 \cdot 37$ $2 \cdot 33$ |
| French................ | 93,974 | 466,777 | 397,512 | 8,845 | 475,622 | $4 \cdot 97$ | $4 \cdot 23$ | 0.09 | 5.06 |
| Under 20. | 3,916 | 5,200 | 4,898 | 160 | 5,360 | 1.33 | 1.25 | $0 \cdot 04$ | $1 \cdot 37$ |
| 20-24. | 21,867 | 47,373 | 43,188 | 1,054 | 48,427 | $2 \cdot 17$ | .1.98 | 0.05 | 1.21 3.83 |
| 25-29. | 25,705 | 96,667 | 85,053 | 1,751 | 98,418 | 3.76 | 3.31 | $0 \cdot 07$ | $3 \cdot 83$ |
| $30-34$. | 20,307 | 120,684 | 103,037 | 2,224 | 122,908 | 5.94 | $5 \cdot 07$ | 0.11 | 6.05 8.33 |
| 35-39. | 15,028 | 122,918 65,886 | 101,765 53,137 | 2,289 | 125,207 67,075 | $\begin{array}{r}8.18 \\ 10.27 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.788 8.28 | 0.19 0.19 | 10.45 |
| 45 and over........... | 6,713 | 7,964 | 6,353 | 176 | 8,140 | $11 \cdot 17$ | $8 \cdot 91$ | $0 \cdot 25$ | 11.42 |
| Age not stated. . . . . . . | 22 | 85 | 81 | 2 | 87 | $3 \cdot 86$ | $3 \cdot 68$ | $0 \cdot 09$ | 3.95 |
| Belglan. | 646 | 2,041 | 1,801 | 54 | 2,095 | $3 \cdot 16$ | 2.88 | 0.08 | 3.24 |
| Under 20. | 33 | 36 | 35 | , | 37 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 0.03 | $1 \cdot 12$ |
| 20-24. | 150 | 258 | 245 | 6. | 264 | 1.72 | $1 \cdot 63$ | 0.04 | 1.76 |
| 25-29. | 213 | 569 | 529 | 11 | 580 | $2 \cdot 67$ | 2.48 | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 72$ |
| 30-34. | 129 | 479 | 425 | 15 | 494 | $3 \cdot 71$ | $3 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | 3.83 |
| 35-39. | 85 | 457 | 404 | 10 | 467 | $5 \cdot 38$ | $4 \cdot 75$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 49$ |
| 40-44. | 33 | 211 | 195 | 7 | 218 | 6.39 | 5.91 | 0.21 | 6.61 |
| 45 and over... | 2 | 30 | 27 | 2 | 32 | 15.00 | 13.50 | 1.00 | 16.00 |
| Age not stated........ | 1 | , | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 |
| Central and Eastern European........... | 29,500 | 109,331 | 98,091 | 2,867 | 112,198 | 3.71 | $3 \cdot 33$ | 0.10 | 3.80 |
| Under 20. | 1,920 | 2,333 | 2,252 | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \\ 474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,405 \\ 16,572 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 22 \\ & 1.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 17 \\ & 1.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | 1.251.91 |
| 20-24. | 8,656 | 16,098 | $\begin{aligned} & 15,049 \\ & 23,783 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-29. | 8,350 |  |  | 632 | 28,830 | $3 \cdot 14$ | 2.85 | 0.08 | 3.21 4.91 |
| $30-34$ | 5,250 | 25,120 | 22,212 | 674 | 25,794 | 4.78 | $4 \cdot 23$ | 0.13 | 4.817.01 |
| 35-39. | 3,672 | 25,130 | 22, 253 | 606 | 25,736 | 6.848.72 | 6.067.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 40-44. | 1,435 | 12,507 | 10,844 1,593 | 348 55 | 12,855 |  |  |  |  |
| 45 and over. | 182 35 | 1,844 | 1,593 | 55 6 | $\begin{array}{r}1,898 \\ \hline 107\end{array}$ | $10 \cdot 13$ 2.89 | $8 \cdot 75$ 2.71 | 0.30 0.17 | 10.43 3.08 |
| Age not stated | 3 | 101 | 4,899 | 168 | 5,672 |  |  |  | 4.43 |
| Austrian............... | 1,280 | 5,504 |  |  |  | 4.30 | 3.83 | 0.13 |  |
| Under 20. | 65360360 | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 723 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 662 \end{array}$ | 230 | 87 | 1.31 | 1.25 | 0.03 | $1 \cdot 34$ |
| 20-24. |  |  |  |  | 753 | 2.01 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 2.09 |
| 25-29. | 360 | 1,341 | 1,181 | 30 | 1,371 | $3 \cdot 73$ |  | 0.08 | 3.81 <br> $\mathbf{5 . 6 9}$ <br> 7 |
| 30-34. | 221 | 1,216 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,072 \\ & 1,293 \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 1,257 | 5.50 | 4.856.70 | $0 \cdot 18$ |  |
| 35-39. | 193 | 1,446 |  | 34 | 1,480 | $7 \cdot 49$ |  | $0 \cdot 18$ | 7.67 |
| 40-44. | 72 | $\begin{array}{r}634 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 55551 | 29 | 1,463 | 8.81 | $7 \cdot 71$ | 0.400.29 | 9.218.142.00 |
| 45 and over. | 7 |  |  | 2 | 57 | 7.86 | 7.29 |  |  |
| Age not stated........ | 2 | , | $\checkmark 4$ | - | 4 | $2 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 00$ |  |  |
| Bulgarian............... | 27 | 42 | 37 | 3 | 45 | 1.56 | 1.37 | 0.11 | 1.67 |
| Under $20 .$. | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 |
| 20-24... | 14 | 22 |  | 2 | 24 | $1 \cdot 57$ | 1.43 | 0.14 | 1.71 |
| 25-29. | 7 | 8 | 7 | 1 | - | $1 \cdot 14$ | $1 \cdot 00$ | 0. | 1.14 2.40 |
| 30-34. | 5 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 12 | $2 \cdot 20$ | 1.80 | 0.20 | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| $35-39$. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40-44. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | - | - |
| Age not stated.... | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Czech and Slovak...... | 778 | 2,181 | 1,977 | 54 | 2,235 | 2.80 | $2 \cdot 54$ | 0.07 | 2.87 |
| Under 20. | 36 | 40 | 39 | 1 | 41 | 1.11 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 1.14 |
| 20-24.. | 222 | 367 | 342 | 13 | 380 | $1 \cdot 65$ | 1.54 | 0.06 | 1.71 |
| 25-29.. | 281 | 732 | 651 | 16 | 748 | $2 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 32$ | 0.06 | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| 30-34. | 149 | 530 | 474 | 12 | 542 | $3 \cdot 56$ | $3 \cdot 18$ | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 64$ |
| 35-39. | 69 | 358 | 329 | 8 | 366 | 5-19 | $4 \cdot 77$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 30$ |
| 40-44.. | 18 | 138 | 127 | 4 | 142 | $7 \cdot 28$ | 6.68 | 0.21 | 7.47 |
| 45 and over.......... | 2 | 16 | 15 | - | 16 | $8 \cdot 00$ | $7 \cdot 50$ | - | 8.00 |
| Age not stated........ |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |

TABLE 10. Married mothers by racial origin and age, and total and average number of their children born alive, now.living, born dead and born alive or dead, Canada, 1930-Con.

| Racial Origin and Age of Mother | Mothers | $\because$.: Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | To | tal |  |  | $\frac{1}{i v}$ | - | : $\quad$ d |
| $\because \underbrace{\prime}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Born } \\ & \text {. Alive } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Niving }}{\text { Now }}$ | $\because \text { Born }$ | Born Alive or Dead | Born | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Now } \\ & \text { Living } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Born } \\ & \text { Dead } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bbrn } \\ & \text { Alive or } \\ & \text { Dead } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | - ! |  | - |  | , | - $\quad$ ¢ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 784295233393601871511 | $\therefore 1,779$ | 85 | 2,027 | 2.22 | $\begin{array}{ll} 22 \\ 15 \end{array}\left\|\begin{array}{ll} : & 2.04 \\ . & 1.12 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0 .10 \\ -\quad 0.03 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 410 | 13 | 442 | 1-47 | - 1.41 |  | 1.52 |
|  |  |  | 485 | 19 | 542 | 1.94 | 1.80 | 0.07 | 2:01 |
|  |  |  | 298 | 20 | 359 | $2 \cdot 39$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.14 | 2:53 |
|  |  |  | 313 | 20 | 380 | 5.37 | 4.67 | $0 \cdot 30$ | 5.67 |
|  |  |  | 173 | 11 |  | 5.84 | $5 \cdot 41$ | 0.34 | . $6 \cdot 19$ |
|  |  |  | [13 | - | 15 11 | $5 \cdot 00$ 5.50 | $4 \cdot 33$ $5 \cdot 50$ |  | 5.00 |
| German................ | 11,969 | 45,263 | -41,207 | $\cdots 1,147$ | 46,410 | 3.78 | 3.44 | 0.10 | - 3.88 |
| Under 20:............. | 670 | 808 | 788 | 30 | 838 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 0.04 | 1.25 |
|  | 3,309 | 6.151 | $\cdots 5,826$ | 175 | -6,326 | 1.86 | 1.76 | 0.05 | 1.91 |
| 25-29 | 3,289 | 10,182 | - 9,420 | 244 | 10,426 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 2.86 | 0.07 | $3 \cdot 17$ |
| 30-34 | 2,315 | 10,757 | -9,722 | 290 | -11,047 | $4 \cdot 65$ | 4.20 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 4.77 |
| 35-39. | 1,568 | 10.242 | 9.216 | ${ }^{227}$ | 10,469 | 6. 53 | 5.88 | 0.14 | 6.68 |
| $40.44 \ldots$ | 729 82 | 6,251 ${ }_{850}$ | 5,453 | 156 .23 | 6,407 873 | 8.57 10.37 3 | 7.48 9.29 | 0.21 0.28 | 8.79 10.65 3 |
| Age not stated. |  | 22 | 20 | 2 | 24 | $3 \cdot 14$ | 2.86 | . 0.20 | 10.65 3.43 |
| Greelk. | 189 | 569 | 507 | 28 | 597 | 3.01 | 2.68 | 0.15 | 3.16 |
| Under 20. | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| 20-24 | 40 | 66 | -61 | 5 | 71 | ${ }_{2}^{1.65}$ | 1.53 | 0.13 | $1_{178}^{1: 78}$ |
| $30-34$ | 27 | 189 92 | 176 .81 | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r}195 \\ \hline 98\end{array}$ | 2.45 3.41 | $2 \cdot 29$ 3.00 | 0.08 0.26 0 | 2.53 3.67 |
| \| 35-39....................... | 27 | 131 | 110 | 10 | 141 | 4.85 | 4.07 | $\therefore \quad 0.37$ | $5 \cdot 22$ |
| 40-44................... | 10. | 72 | 62 | - | 72 | 7.20 | 6.20 | $\cdots$ | . 7.20 |
| 45 and over | 3 | ${ }^{14}$ | 12 | - | 14 | 4.67 | 4.00 | - | 4.67 |
| un | 1,323 | 4,437 | 3,824 | 115 | 4,552 | 3.35 | 2.89 | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 44$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under | . 73 | 87 | 85 |  |  | 1.19 | $1 \cdot 16$ | 0.07 | 1.26 |
| 20-24. | 373 | 655 | 611 | 18 | 673 | 1.76 | 1.64 | 0.05 | 1.80 |
| 25-29 | 428 | 1,305 | 1,136 | 34 | 1.339 | 3.05 | $2 \cdot 65$ | 0.08 | 3.13 |
| ${ }_{35-39}$ | ${ }_{132}^{275}$ | 1,207 | 990 684 |  | 1,242 | 4.39 <br> 6.20 | 3.60 <br> 5.18 | 0.13 0.13 | 4.52 6.33 |
| 40-44. | 38 | 340 | 296 | 6 | 346 | 8.95 | ${ }_{7} 7.79$ | - 0.16 | ${ }_{9.11}$ |
| 45 and over. | 3 | 23. | 21 |  | 23 | $7 \cdot 67$ | 7.00 |  | $7 \cdot 67$ |
| Age not state |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1:00 |
| Polish. | 3,517 | 12,041 | 10,887 | 313 | 12,354 | 3.42 | 3.07 | 0.09 | 3.51 |
| Under 20. | 228. | 265 | 257 | 12 | 277 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 0.05 | 1.21 |
| , 20-24. | 1,090 | 1,914 | 1,772 |  | 1,970 | ${ }_{1} \cdot 76$ | 1.63 |  | 1.81 |
| - ${ }^{25-2939}$. | 1,077 ${ }_{534}$ | - ${ }_{2,152}^{1,14}$ | 2,879 2,110 | 76 61 | 3,228 <br> 2 <br> 175 | 2.93 4.52 | $2 \cdot 67$ <br> 3.95 | - $\begin{array}{r}0.07 \\ 0.11\end{array}$ | $3 \cdot 00$ $4 \cdot 63$ |
| 35-39. | 429 | 2,926 | 2,566 | 60 | ${ }_{2}^{2,986}$ | ${ }_{6} 6.82$ | 5.98 | ${ }_{0} 14$ | 6.96 |
| 40-44. | 134 | 1,161 | 1,020 |  | 1,196 | 8.66 | 7.61 | $0: 26$ | 8:93 |
| 45 and over | 17 | 175 | ${ }^{151}$ | 13 | 188 | 10.29 | 8.88 4.00 | 0.76 | ${ }^{11 \cdot 06}$ |
| Roumanian. | 601 | 2,626 | 2,254 | 85 | 2,711 | 4.37 | 3.75 | 0.14 | 4.51 |
| Under 20. | 54 | 64 | 62 | 2 | : 66 | $1 \cdot 19$ | 1.15 | 0.04 | 1.22 |
|  | 163 | 380 | 349 | 19 | 398 | 2.33 | $2 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 45$ |
| - 25-29. | 168 | 614 | 534 | 14 | 628 | 3.65 | 3.18 | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 74$ |
| 30-34. | 114 | 665 | 560 | 33 | 698 | $5 \cdot 83$ | 4.91 | 0.29 | 6.12 |
| 3539. | 71. | 603 | 516 | 7 | 610 | 8.49 | 7.27 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 8.59 |
| -40-44 | 30 | 294 | 228 | 10 |  |  | 7.60 | 0:33 | 10.13 |
| -45 and over. <br> -Age not stated |  | 6 | 5 | - | - 6 | 6.00 | $5 \cdot 0$ |  | 6.00 |
| Rustan |  | 8,086 | 7,263 | 204 | 8,290 | 4.03 | $3 \cdot 62$ | 0.10 | 4.13 |
| Russlan............... | 2,005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 20. | 115 | 149 | 143 | 2 | 151 | -1.30 | 1.24 | 0.02 | 1.31 |
| - 20.20 | -536 | ${ }_{1}^{1,049} 1,654$ | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9,599 } \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | ${ }_{53}^{20}$ | 1,069 1,707 | 1.96 3.13 | 1.86 2.87 |  <br> $\cdots$ <br> $\cdots$ <br> $\cdots$ <br> 0.040 | ${ }^{1} .93$ |
| - 3034 | ${ }_{392}$ | 1,968 | 1,772 | ${ }_{43}$ | 2,011 | $\stackrel{5}{5.02}$ | 4.52 | $\cdots$ | ${ }_{5.13}$ |
| 35-39 | 305 | 2,111 | 1,851 781 | $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 28 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,165 | 6.92 | 6.07 | 0.18 | $7 \cdot 10$ |
| : 40-44.................. | + ${ }^{104} \times 1$. | 904 <br> 240 <br> 10 |  |  |  | 10.91 <br> 3.67 | 7.51 | ${ }^{0.21}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8.90 \\ 11.27 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |
| 4Age not stated.......... |  |  | 194 9 | , 8 | 248 13 |  | ${ }_{3} 8.00$ | - ${ }^{0}$ | 11.274.33 |
|  | - | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 10." Married mothers by racial origin and age, and total and average number of their children born alive, now living, born dead and born allve or dead, Canada, 1930-Con.

| Racial Origin and Age of Mother | Mothers | Childrena <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | To | 1 : $\cdot$ : |  |  | $\therefore \mathbf{A}_{1}$ | $\because$ | $\because \square$ |
|  | $\because:$ | $\bigcirc$ Born | Now Living | $\cdots$ Born | Born <br> Alive or -Dead | $\begin{array}{r} \cdot \text { Borń } \\ \text { Alive } \end{array}$ | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| Serb and Croat. | 531 | 1,553 | 1,382 | 53 | 1,606 | 2.92 | $2 \cdot 60$ | 0;10 | $3 \cdot 02$ |
| - Under 20. | 30 ; |  | - ${ }^{1} 35$ |  |  | 1.20 | 1:17 |  | $1 \cdot 20$ |
| 20-24........ | 147. | 237 | 221 | 10 | 247 | $1 \cdot 61$ | $1 \cdot 50$ | . 0.07 | $\cdots 1.68$ |
| 25-20.................. | 187. | 510. | 455 | 16 | 526 | $2 \cdot 73$ | 2.43 | -0.09 | . 2.81 |
| 30-34. | 99 | 385 | 332 | 12 | 397 | $3 \cdot 89$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | 0.12 | 4.01 |
| 35-39. | 54. | 296 | 261 | 6 | 302 | $5 \cdot 48$ | $4 \cdot 83$ | . 0.11 | 5.59 |
| 40-44. | 12 | 87 | . 76 | 8 | 95 | $7 \cdot 25$ | $6 \cdot 33$ | . $0 \cdot 67$ | $7 \cdot 92$ |
| 45 and over.. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age not stated........ | 2 | $\cdots 2$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\cdots 0.50$ | $1 \cdot 50$ |
| Ukrainian. | 6,406 . | 25,087 | 22,175 | 612 | 25,699 | 3.92 | $3 \cdot 46$ | 0.10 | 4:01 |
| Under | 575 | 715 | 680 | 16 | 731 | 1.24 | 1.18 | 0.03 | 1.27 |
| 20-24. | 2,111 | 4,105 | 3,776 | 113 | 4,218 | 1.94 | 1.79 | . . 0.05 | $2 \cdot 00$ |
| 25-29. | 1,679 | 5,988 | 5,355 | 124 | 6,112 | 3-57 | 3.19 | 0.07 | 3:64 |
| - 30-34 | 977. | 5,536 | 4,792 | 118 | 5,655 | $5 \cdot 67$ | 4.90 | . $0 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 79$ |
| . 35-39 | 757. | 5,838 | 5,114 | 163 | 6,001 | 7.71 | 6.76 | $\ldots 0 \cdot 22$ | .7.93 |
| 40-44. | 255 | 2,439 | 2,073 | 67 | 2,506 | 9.56 | $8 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 26$ | 9.83 |
| - 45 and over. | : 42 | 450 | 369 | 9 | 459 | $10 \cdot 71$ | $8 \cdot 79$ | 0.21 | 10.83 |
| Age not stated. | 10 | 16. | 16 | 1 | 17 | 1.60 | $1 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 1:70 |
| Chinese..' | 242 | 1,110 | 1,057 | 12 | 1,122 | 4.59 | $4 \cdot 37$ | 0.05 | 4.64 |
| - Under 20 | 17. | 21 | 21 | - | 21 | 1.24 | 1.24 | $\cdots{ }^{-}$ | 24 |
| : 20-24. | 56 | 127 | 121 | $\because 1$ | 128 | $2 \cdot 27$ | $2 \cdot 16$ | 0.02 | 2:29 |
| 25-29. | 42 | 175 | 169 | 2 | 177 | $4 \cdot 17$ | 4.02 | 0.05 | $4 \cdot 21$ |
| . $30-34$ | 59 | 328 | 313 | 4 | 333 | 5.58 | $5 \cdot 31$ | 0.07 | $5 \cdot 64$ |
| 35-39 | 46 | 307 | 293 | : 2 | 309 | 6.67 | $6 \cdot 37$ | 0.04 | 6.72 |
| 40-44 | 15 | 92 | 86 | $\cdots$ | 95 | $6 \cdot 13$ | $5 \cdot 73$ | 0:20 | 6.33 |
| 45 and over. | 7 | 59 | 54 | - | 59 | 8.43 | $7 \cdot 71$ |  | . 8.43 |
| Age not stated. |  |  |  | :- |  | , - | $1-$ |  |  |
| Dutch. | 2,299 | 8,782 | 7,987 | 206 | 8,988 | $3 \cdot 82$ | 3-47 | 0,09 | 3:91 |
| Under 20. | 125 | 152 | 150 | 3 | 155 | 1.22 | 1.20 | . 0.02 | 1:24 |
| 20-24. | 592 | 1,167 | 1,113 | 21 | 1,188 | $1 \cdot 97$ | 1.88 | 0.04 | 2.01 |
| 25-29 | 640 | 1,983 | 1,849 | 46 | 2,029 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 2.89 | 0.07 | 3:17 |
| $30-34$ | 476 | 2,267 | 2,038 | 49 | 2.316 | $4 \cdot 76$ | 4.28 | - $0 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 87$ |
| 35-39. | 330 | 2,097 | 1,855 | 54 | 2,151 | $6 \cdot 35$ | $5 \cdot 62$ | $\therefore 0.16$ | 6.52 |
| 40-44. | 119 | 957 | 846 | 33 | 990 | $8 \cdot 04$ | $7 \cdot 11$ | , . $0 ; 28$ | 8.32 |
| 45 and over.... | 14 3 | 148 | 126 | - | 148 | $10 \cdot 57$ $3 \cdot 67$ | 9.00 3.33 |  | $10 \cdot 57$ $3 \cdot 67$ |
| Age not stated... | 3 | 11 |  | - | 11 | 3.67 | $3 \cdot 33$ |  | 3.67 |
| Hebrew. | 2,220 | 5,185 | 4,944 | 168 | 5,353 | $2 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 23$ | 0.08 | 2.41. |
| - Under | 42 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 42 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 1.00 |
| 20-24. | 659 | 830 | 812 | 31 | 861 | 1.26 | 1.23 | . $\quad 0.05$ | - 1.31 |
| 25-29 | 732 | 1,403 | 1,361 | 55 | 1,458 | 1.92 | 1.86 | . 0.08 | . 1.99 |
| 30-34 | 475 | 1,430 | 1,373 | - 32 | 1,462 | 3.01 | 2.89 | 0.07 | 3.08 |
| 35-39 | 257 | 1,163 | 1,076 | 37 | 1,200 | $4 \cdot 53$ | $4 \cdot 19$ | . 0:14 | $4 \cdot 67$ |
| $\therefore 40-44$ | 49. | 297 | 261 | . 8 | 305 | 6.06 | 5.33 | 0:16 | - 6.22 |
| . 45 and over. | 3. | 21 | 20 | 1 | 22 | 7.00 | $6 \cdot 67$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | 7.33 |
| Age not stated........ | - 3 | . 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 67$ | 1:00 |
| Indlan... | 2,872 | 12,717 | 9,948 | 239 | 12,956 | $4 \cdot 43$ | 3.40 | 0:08 | $4 \cdot 51$ |
| Under 20. | 322 | 421 | 401 | . 23 | 444 | 1 -31 | 1.25 | 0.07 | 1.38 |
| 20-24. | 773 | 1,928 | 1,676 | 41 | 1,969 | $2 \cdot 49$ | $2 \cdot 17$ | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 55$ |
| 25-29. | 661 | 2,834 | 2,306 | 42 | 2,876 | $4 \cdot 29$ | $3 \cdot 49$ | . 0.06 | 4.35 |
| 3034 | 523 | 3,129 | 2,424 | 54 | 3,183 | 5.98 | $4 \cdot 63$ | - 0:10 | 6.09 |
| 35-39. | 359 | 2,576 | 1,834 | 44 | 2,620 | $7 \cdot 18$ | $5 \cdot 11$ | 0.12 | 7.30 |
| 40-44. | 152 | 1,350 | 1955 | 27 | 1,377 | 8.88 | 6.28 | 0.18 | 9.06 |
| 45. and over | 38 | 325 | 223 | 7 | 332 | 8.55 | $5 \cdot 87$ | 0.18 | - 8.74 |
| Age not stated........ | 44 | 154 | 129 | 1 | 155 | $3 \cdot 50$ | 2,93 | . 0.02 | $3 \cdot 52$ |
| Itallan. | 2,439 | 9,049 | 8,020 | 280 | - 9,335 | 3.71 | 3,29 | 0-12 | $3 \cdot 83$. |
| Under 20. | 198. | 246 | 244 | 16 | 252 | 1.24 | 1.23 | 0.03 | 1.27 |
| 20-24. | 646 | 1,301 | 1,218 | 42 | 1,343 | $2 \cdot 01$ | 1.89 | 0.07 | $\therefore \quad 2.08$ |
| 25-29. | 587 : | 1,861 | 1,677 | 61 | 1,922 | $3 \cdot 17$ | 2.86 | 0 : 10 | $\therefore$ $\therefore \quad 327$ |
| $30-34$ | 510 | 2,297 | 2,043 | 53 | 2,350 | $4 \cdot 50$ | 4.01 | $\cdots 0.10$ | - 4.61 |
| 35-39 | 351 | 2,142 | 1,849 | 83 | 2,225 | $6 \cdot 10$ | 5.27 | 0.24 | 6.34 |
| 40-44 | 124 | 1,028 | 843 | 29 | 1,057 | 8.29 | $6 \cdot 80$ | - 0.23 | :8.52 |
| . 45;and over. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 15 | 150 | 125 | 11 | 161 | 10,00 | 8.33 | .0.73 | 10.73 |
| `Age not stated......... | 8 | 24 | 21 | 1 | 25 | 3:00 | $2 \cdot 6$ | -0.13 | . $3 \cdot 13$ |

TABLE 10. Married mothers by racial origin and age, and total and average number of thelr children born alive, now living, born dead and born alive or dead, Canada, 1930-Con.

| Racial Origin and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born Dead | Born Alive or Dead | Born Alive | Now Living | ¢ ${ }_{\text {Born }}^{\text {Dead }}$ | Born Alive or Dead |
| Japanese. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } 20 . \\ & 20-24 \ldots \ldots \\ & 25-29 . \ldots \\ & 30-34 \ldots \ldots \\ & 35-39 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 . \\ & 40-44 \end{aligned}$ <br> 45 and over <br> Age not stated | 864 | 3,084 | 2,893 | 60 | 3,144 | 3.57 | $3 \cdot 35$ | 0.07 | 3.64 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 200 \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 384 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 367 \end{array}$ | - | 31 390 | 1.29 | 1.25 <br> 1.84 | - | 1.29 <br> 1.95 <br> $\mathbf{3}$ <br> 101 |
|  |  | 743 <br> 859 <br> 8 | 714 800 | 18 | 761 | 1.92 2.94 | 1.84 2.82 | 0.03 0.07 |  |
|  | 140 | 796288 | 733 | 18 | 811 | 4.30 5.69 |  | 0.08 | 3.01 4.39 |
|  | 40 |  | 208 | 15 <br> 3 |  | $5 \cdot 69$ 5.70 | 5.24 <br> 5.20 | 0.11 0.08 | 5.79 5.78 |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ | 41 | 392 |  | 41 | 6.83 | 6.50 | - | 6.832.00 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 2.00 |  |  |
| Negro................. | 360 | 1,546 | 1,348 | 72 | 1,618 | $4 \cdot 29$ | $3 \cdot 74$ | 0.20 | 4.49 |
| $\text { Under } 20 .$ $20-24 .$ | ${ }_{88}^{29}$ | 40 200 | 38 183 188 | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}42 \\ 213 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 1.38 <br> 2.27 | +1.31 <br> 2.08 | 0.07 0.15 0 | 1.45 2.42 |
| 25-29....... | 100 | 393 | 354 | 15 | 408 | 3.93 | 3.54 | 0.15 | 4.08 |
| 30-34....... | 76 | 414 | 368 | 20 | 434 | $5 \cdot 45$ | 4.84 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 5.71 |
| 35-39.......... | 44 | 206 | 247 137 | 11 | 307 | 6.73 | $5 \cdot 61$ | 0.25 | 6.98 |
| 45 and over. | 20 <br> 3 | 167 36 | 137 21 | 8 | 175 39 | 8.35 | 6.85 | 0.40 | 8.75 |
| Age not stated.. |  | 36 | 21 | 3 | 39 | 12.00 | $7 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 00$ | 13.00 |
| Scandinarlan. | 4,531 | 14,544 | 13,605 | 407 | 14,951 | $3 \cdot 21$ | 3.00 | 0.09 | 3.30 |
| Under 20.... | 251 | 298 | 292 | 5 | 304 | 1.19 | 1.16 | 0.02 | 1.21 |
| ${ }_{20-29}^{20 .}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,203}$ | ${ }^{2}, 2067$ | 1,976 | 53 | ${ }_{2}^{2,120}$ | 1.72 | 1.64 | 0.04 | 1.76 |
| 30-34. | ${ }^{1} 2086$ | ${ }_{3,348}$ | - ${ }^{\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 2 5 3}}$ | ${ }^{99}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3,332}$ | 2.58 | 2.44 | 0.08 | ${ }_{3}^{2 \cdot 66}$ |
| 35-39.. | 643 | 3,358 | 3,102 | 186 | - | 3.82 5.22 | 3.62 <br> 4.82 | 0.12 0.13 | 3.98 5.36 |
| 40-44..... | 282 | 1,969 | 1,796 | 51 | 2,020 | 6.98 | 6.37 | 0.18 | $7 \cdot 16$ |
| 45 and over...... Age not stated. | ${ }^{33}$ | 270 | 251 | 13 | 283 | $8 \cdot 18$ | $7 \cdot 61$ | 0.39 | 8.58 |
| Danlsh.. | 689 | 1,906 | ,775 | 68 | 1,974 | 2.77 | 2.58 | 0.10 | 2.87 |
| Under $20 .$. | 421922901447729- | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 292 \\ 292 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}49 \\ 285 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 1 | 51 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 0.02 |  |
| ${ }_{25-20 .}^{20.2}$ |  |  |  |  | 300519 | 1.52 |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.21}$ |
| 25-29.... |  | 495 | 461 |  |  |  | 1.48 2.20 | 00401 | 2.473.52 |
| ${ }_{35-39}^{30-34}$ |  | 485 388 | 455 | ${ }_{22}^{24}$ | 507 | 3.37 | $3 \cdot 16$ | 0.15 |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{216}^{368}$ | 185 | 6 | 222 | 7-45 | 6.38 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 5.21 |
| 45 and over... |  | 216 |  | $-6$ |  |  |  | 0.21 | ${ }^{7}$-68 |
| Age not stated......... |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Icelandic. | 388 | 1,356 | 1,272 | 43 | 1,399 | 3.49 | 3.28 | 0.11 | 3.61 |
| Under 20. | 1179116858161333 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 128 \\ 298 \\ 346 \\ 337 \\ 225 \\ -9 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 13120 | - | 13 | 1.18 | 1.18 | - |  |
| 20-24.. |  |  |  | 7 | 135 | 1.62 | 1.52 | 0.07 | 1.781.642.64 |
| ${ }_{30-34}^{25-\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .}$ |  |  | 286 327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 327 313 | 8 | 334 <br> 345 | 4.07 5.52 | 3.85 <br> 5 <br> 5 <br> 13 | 0.09 | 2.64 4.16 |
| 40-44.................... |  |  | 313 204 | 8 | $\stackrel{343}{23}$ | - ${ }_{6}^{5.52}$ | $\stackrel{5 \cdot 13}{6.18}$ | 0.13 0.24 1 | 5.66 7.06 |
| 45 and over........... |  |  | 9 | 4 | 13 | ${ }_{3.00}$ | ${ }_{3} \cdot 00$ | 1.33 | ${ }_{4} \cdot 33$ |
| Age not stated........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Norweglan............. | 1,977 | 6,552 | 6,140 | 179 | 6,731 | 3.31 | $3 \cdot 11$ | 0.09 | 3.40 |
| Under 20.. | $\begin{array}{r\|} 113 \\ 518 \\ 518 \\ 374 \\ 298 \\ 138 \\ 18 \\ -18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 873 \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 844 \end{aligned}$ | 416 | 138880 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 1.22 <br> 1.72 |
| 20-24. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.69 | 1.63 | 0.03 |  |
| 25-29................ |  | 1,3951,401 | 1,317 <br> 1,387 | 44 | 1,439 | $2 \cdot 69$ | $2 \cdot 54$ | 0.08 | 2.78 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{49}^{44}$ | 1.535 | 3.99 | 3.71 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $4 \cdot 10$ |
| 40-44................... |  | 1,544 | 1,426 | 39 26 | 1,583 ${ }_{994}$ | \%.18 7.01 | 4.78 6.52 | 0.13 0.19 |  |
| 45 and over. |  | 147 | 137 | 6 | ${ }_{153}$ | 8.17 | ${ }_{7} \cdot 61$ | ${ }_{0.33}$ | 8.50 |
| Age not stated........ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swedish. | 1,477 | 4,730 | 4,418 | 117 | 4,847 | 3.20 | 2.99 | 0.08 | 3.28 |
| Under 20. | 85 | 102 | 101 | - | 102 | 1.20 | 1.19 | - 0 |  |
| 20-24.... | 414 409 |  | 727 989 | 22 <br> 23 | ${ }_{1}^{7968}$ | 1.87 | 1.76 | ${ }_{0}^{0.05}$ | 1.92 |
| 3034.. | 263 | 1,026 | ${ }_{966}^{989}$ | 26 | 1,052 | 390 | $\stackrel{2 \cdot 42}{3.87}$ | 0.06 0.10 | $2 \cdot 61$ 4.00 |
| 35-39.. | 212 | 1,109 | 1,023 | 32 | 1,141 | $5 \cdot 23$ | $\stackrel{+}{4.83}$ | 0.15 | ${ }_{5} \cdot 38$ |
| 40-44........ | 82 | 560 | 507 | 11 | 571 | 6.83 | 6.18 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 6.96 |
| 45 and over...... | $\underline{12}$ | 114 | 105 | ${ }^{-}$ | $\underline{117}$ | 9.50 | 8.75 | 0.25 | ${ }^{9.75}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

TABLE 11. Specific fertility rates of married women $15-49$ years of age, by racial origin, Canada, 1930-1932

| Item | Total | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50 and over | Not Stated |
| British- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Births, 1930. | 97,512 | 7 | 5,898 | 24,895 | 26,339 | 20,971 | 13,744 | 5,176 | 428 | 13 | 41 |
| Births, 1931.................. | 93,562 | 7 | 5,809 | 24,222 | 25,292 | 19,917 | 13,114 | 4,743 | 429 | 5 | 24 |
| Births, 1932................... | 90,397 | 2 | 5,717 | 23,475 | 24,706 | 18,792 | 12,612 | 4.635 | 429 | 2 | 27 |
| Total. | 281,471 | 16 | 17,424 | 72,592 | 76,337 | 59,680 | 39,470. | 14,554 | 1,286 | 20 | 92 |
| Average | 93,824 | 5 | 5,808 | 24,197 | 25,446. | 19,893 | 13,157 | 4,851 | 429 | 7 | 31 |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931.. | 788,291 | - | 11,478 | 75,919 | 123,464 | 144, 005 | 155,200 | 147,030 | 131,186 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate. . | 119.02 | - | 506.01 | 318.72 | $206 \cdot 10$ | 138.14 | $84 \cdot 77$ | 32.99 | $3 \cdot 27$ | - | - |
| French- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13. |  |
| Births, 1930. | 91,493 | 4 | 3,808 | 21; 367 | 25,125 | 19,800 | 14,544 | 6,147 | ${ }_{6}^{655} 6$ | 111 | 24 |
| Births, 1931. | 92,072 90,893 | 2 6 | 3,694 3,411 | 20,910 20,068 | 25,923 | 20,194 20,128 | 14,571 14,458 | 6,067 6,185 | 676 <br> 692 | 11. | 24 |
| Total | 274,458 | 12 | 10,913 | 62,345 | 76,860 | 60,122 | 43,573 | 18,399 | 2,023 | 35 | 76 |
| Average. | 91,486 | 4 | 3,638 | 20,782 | 25,653 | 20,041 | 14,524 | 6,133 | 674 | 12 | 25 |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931.. | 360,814. | - | 6,774 | 44,894 | 70,071 | 62,263 | 64,980 | 56,251 | 48,581 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate.. | $253 \cdot 55$ | - | 537.05 | 462.91 | 366-10 | $289 \cdot 35$ | $223 \cdot 51$ | 109.03 | 13.87 | - | - |
| Austrian, n.0.s.- |  |  |  |  | 350 | 213 | 179 | 67 | 5 | - | 2 |
| Births, 1930 | 1,222 | - | 62 <br> 54 | 343 <br> 274 | 303 | 198 | 138 | 46 | 7 | - | 1 |
| Births, 1932. | , 855 | - | 45 | 220 | 247 | 154 | 119 | 64 | , | - | - |
| Total. | 3,098 | 1 | 161 | 837 | 900 | 565 | 436 | 177 | 18 | - | 3 |
| Average. | 1,033 | - | 54 | 279 | 300 | 188 | 145 | 59 | 6 | - | 1 |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931. | 7,385 | - | 220 | 1,260 | 1,564 | 1,382 | 1,297 | 930 | 732 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate. . | 139.88 | - | 245-45 | 221.43 | 191.82 | 136.03 | 111.80 | 63.44 | $8 \cdot 20$ | - | - |
| Belglan- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Births, 1930. | 631 578 | - | 32 32 | 147 | 209 | 127 125 | 83 | 31 32 | 2 5 | - | - |
| Births, Births, 1932 | 588 | - | 37 | 145 | 154 | 146 | 75 | 27 | 4 | - | - |
| Total. | 1,797 | - | 101 | 426 | 536 | 398 | 235 | 90 | 11 | - | - |
| A verage. | 598 | - | 34 | 142 | 179 | 133 | 78 | 30 | 4 | - |  |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931. . | 4,841 | - | 71 | 481 | 913. | 1,121 | 868 | 790 | 597 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate.. | 123.73 | - | $478 \cdot 87$ | $295 \cdot 22$ | 196.06 | 118.64 | 89.86 | 37.97 | 6.70 | - | - |
| Chinese and JapaneseBirths, 1930 | 1,085 | - | 41 | 252 | 289 | 256 | 180 | 53 | 13 | - | 1 |
| Births, 1931. | 1,065 | - | 36 | 262 | 276 | 200 | 172 | 57 | 2 | - |  |
| Births, 1032. | 928 | - | 24 | 198 | 248 | 208 | 179 | 62 | 7 | 2 |  |
| Total. | 3,078 | - | 101 | 712 | 813 | 724 | 531 | 172 | 22 | 2 | 1 |
| Average................ | 1,026 | - | 34 | 237 | 271 | 241 | 177 | 57 | 7 | 1 | - |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931. . | 4,734 | - | 65 | 601 | 825 | 1,138 | 993 | 661 | 451 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate. . | 216.73 | - | 523.08 | 394.34 | 328.48 | 211.78 | $178 \cdot 25$ | 86.23 | $15 \cdot 52$ | - | - |
| Czech and Slovak- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Births, 1930.................. | 758 | - | 35 | 212 | 277 | 146 | 67 | 18 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Births, 1931.................... | 825 | - | ${ }^{37}$ | 227 | 295 | 170 | 83 | 13 | 3 | - | 2 |
| Births, 1832.................... | 820 | - | 40 | 197 | 298. | 179 | 86 | 16 | 4 | - | - |
| Total................... | 2,403 | - | 112 | 631 | 870 | 495 | 236 | 47 | 9 | - | 3 |
| Avernge. | 801 | - | 37 | 210 | 290 | 165 | 78 | 16 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Married women, 15-49, 1931. | 4,239 | - | 101 | 677 | 1,134 | 1,019 | 565 | 419 | 324 | - | - |
| Specific fertility rate. . | 188.96 | - | 366.34 | $310 \cdot 19$ | $255 \cdot 73$ | 161.92 | 139.82 | 38-19 | 9.26 | -1 | - |
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TABLE 12. Specific fertility rates ${ }^{1}$ of women $15-49$ years of age (all conjugal conditions), by racial origin, Prairie Provinces, 1926, 1931 and 1936

| Racial Origin of Mother | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |

1926

| All races. | $32 \cdot 6$ | 161.9 | 189.8 | 156.2 | $109 \cdot 5$ | $51 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British. | $23 \cdot 6$ | $123 \cdot 6$ | $163 \cdot 3$ | 134.2 | 89.9 | 37.0 | $4 \cdot 5$ |
| English. | $25 \cdot 1$ | $129 \cdot 3$ | $163 \cdot 1$ | $134 \cdot 7$ | 91.4 | 37.7 | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| Irish.... | 21.0 | $113 \cdot 2$ | 161.7 | 134.9 | $83 \cdot 0$ | $32 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| Scottish. | 22.9 | $122 \cdot 3$ | 164.6 | $133 \cdot 1$ | 91.0 | $30 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 6$ |
| French. | $42 \cdot 0$ | $190 \cdot 4$ | $229 \cdot 2$ | $188 \cdot 8$ | $142 \cdot 2$ | 74.7 | $8 \cdot 1$ |
| Belgian. | 38.9 | 217-3 | $195 \cdot 0$ | 143.2 | $137 \cdot 5$ | $50 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 3$ |
| Central and Eastern European. | $46 \cdot 1$ | 237.0 | 249.8 | 206.9 | 158.9 | 87.2 | $14 \cdot 7$ |
| Austrian. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $54 \cdot 3$ | 228-1 | 219.8 | 215.1 | $153 \cdot 9$ | $80 \cdot 0$ | 14.6 |
| Bulgarian. . . . . . | - | $416 \cdot 7$ | - | , | 142.9 | - | - |
| Czech and Slovak. | $43 \cdot 8$ | 186.4 | 223.2 | 244.6 | 87.2 | $47 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 4$ |
| Finnish. | 37.0 | $179 \cdot 0$ | 207.8 | $201 \cdot 6$ | 101.8 | $70 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 6$ |
| German. | $41 \cdot 4$ | $270 \cdot 2$ | 306.8 | $245 \cdot 7$ | 193.9 | $112 \cdot 3$ | 14.5 |
| Greek... | 71.4 | 137.9 | 179-5 | $160 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | - | $200 \cdot 0$ |
| Hungarian. | $42 \cdot 7$ | 226.5 | 194.9 | $166 \cdot 7$ | $130 \cdot 3$ | $56 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 7$ |
| Polish.. | $42 \cdot 6$ | 190.2 | 205.2 | 151-8 | $128 \cdot 8$ | $59 \cdot 6$ | 14.9 |
| Roumanian. | $63 \cdot 2$ | $318 \cdot 7$ | $276 \cdot 8$ | $168 \cdot 1$ | $185 \cdot 5$ | 115.4 | 14.5 |
| Russian. | $23 \cdot 7$ | $132 \cdot 5$ | $175 \cdot 3$ | $182 \cdot 0$ | $133 \cdot 3$ | $70 \cdot 7$ | 9.9 |
| Serb and Croat. | - | 307.7 | 348.8 | $312 \cdot 5$ | 216.2 | 107.1 | 52.6 |
| Ukrainian...... | $60 \cdot 8$ | 277.9 | $250 \cdot 2$ | $193 \cdot 1$ | $148 \cdot 8$ | 78.0 | 18.1 |
| Chinese.. | 136.4 | 312.5 | 583.3 | $450 \cdot 0$ | $419 \cdot 4$ | 263.2 | $153 \cdot 8$ |
| Dutch.. | 10.8 | 99.8 | $142 \cdot 5$ | $151 \cdot 0$ | $86 \cdot 5$ | 53.9 | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| Hebrew. | $2 \cdot 6$ | $98 \cdot 6$ | 188.3 | $150 \cdot 5$ | $52 \cdot 9$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | - |
| Indian. | 81.1 | $213 \cdot 1$ | $186 \cdot 0$ | $170 \cdot 8$ | $127 \cdot 9$ | $84 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 4$ |
| Italian. . | 25.8 | $160 \cdot 2$ | $177 \cdot 3$ | 189.8 | $131 \cdot 6$ | 88.2 | 10 |
| Japanese. | $125 \cdot 0$ | $300 \cdot 0$ | $461 \cdot 5$ | $370 \cdot 4$ | -- | $90 \cdot 0$ | - |
| Negro........ | 71.4 | $136 \cdot 4$ | 107.1 | 90.2 | 65-6 | $60 \cdot 0$ | - |
| Scandinavian. | 27.6 | $153 \cdot 2$ | $177 \cdot 8$ | $150 \cdot 1$ | $120 \cdot 3$ | $63 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 5$ |
| Danish... | $21 \cdot 1$ | $153 \cdot 8$ | 188.6 | $149 \cdot 0$ | 93.0 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| Icelandic... | $19 \cdot 7$ | 106.6 | $113 \cdot 7$ | $163 \cdot 7$ | $120 \cdot 2$ | $60 \cdot 0$ | 16.6 |
| Norwegian. | $30 \cdot 1$ | $175 \cdot 8$ | $196 \cdot 6$ | $150 \cdot 8$ | $136 \cdot 1$ | $73 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 8$ |
| Swedish... | 29.2 | 148.1 | $180 \cdot 1$ | $142 \cdot 8$ | $106 \cdot 6$ | $59 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 1$ |

1931

| All races.. | 30.5 | 149.3 | 179.7 | 142.0 | 98.6 | 41.8 | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British. | 22.7 | 116.4 | 145.2 | $115 \cdot 8$ | $75 \cdot 1$ | 29.9 | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| English. | $24 \cdot 6$ | 120.6 | 147.5 | 116.2 | $75 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| Irish... | 22.0 | 112.9 | 141.8 | $114 \cdot 1$ | $73 \cdot 6$ | 31.4 | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| Scottish | 19.6 | 113.5 | 143.8 | 118.0 | $78 \cdot 7$ | 27.9 | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| French. | 41.2 | 189.4 | $204 \cdot 3$ | $174 \cdot 4$ | 134.8 | 59.5 | $6 \cdot 6$ |
| Belgian. | 27.7 | 152.7 | $185 \cdot 6$ | 130.8 | 112.3 | $38 \cdot 4$ | 10.4 |
| Central and Eastern European. | 37.8 | 191.6 | 223.8 | 185.0 | 137.1 | $65 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 7$ |
| Austrian. | 23.5 | 138.0 | 179.1 | $176 \cdot 5$ | $130 \cdot 5$ | 64.5 | $12 \cdot 0$ |
| Bulgarian. | - | 125.0 | 125.0 | 170 | - | - |  |
| Czech and Slovak. | $25 \cdot 5$ | 165.2 | 197.9 | 178.7 | 131.1 | $32 \cdot 4$ | . 4.7 |
| Finnish. | 47.9 | 128.0 | 187.0 | 74.1 | 95.8 | 63.7 | - $5 \cdot 7$ |
| German. | 39-3 | $209 \cdot 9$ | 255.7 | $196 \cdot 6$ | $156 \cdot 6$ | $73 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 5$ |
| Greek..... | - | $100 \cdot 0$ | $354 \cdot 8$ | $54 \cdot 1$ | 64.5 | 58.8 | - |
| Hungarian. | 67.0 | 244.4 | $238 \cdot 4$ | 177.7 | $139 \cdot 1$ | 51.9 | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| Polish...... | 33.5 | $151 \cdot 3$ | 193.4 | $157 \cdot 5$ | 101.4 | 49.9 | $10 \cdot 1$ |
| Roumanian. | $33 \cdot 2$ | $195 \cdot 0$ | $180 \cdot 2$ | $127 \cdot 1$ | $107 \cdot 3$ | 79.2 | 9.1 |
| Russian....... | $20 \cdot 4$ | 118.1 | 141.8 | $163 \cdot 1$ | 129.7 | 57.3 | $10 \cdot 0$ |
| Serb and Croat. Ukrainian...... | 61.11 | 253.8 | $396 \cdot 4$ | 324-3 | 250.0 | $69 \cdot 0$ | 26.3 |
| Chinese..: | 43.7 23.8 | $225 \cdot 3$ $235 \cdot 3$ | $238 \cdot 7$ 361.1 | 201.8 | $134 \cdot 3$ 173.9 | 67.2 160.0 | $14 \cdot 0$ |
| Dutch... | 19.0 | $128 \cdot 7$ | $201 \cdot 0$ | $152 \cdot 3$ | 118.9 118.9 | 160.0 57.3 | 3.9 |
| Hebrew. | $2 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 3$ | 111.1 | 89.3 | 43.2 | 20.3 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Indian. | 114.5 | 283.4 | $265 \cdot 7$ | 211.4 | 180.9 | 115.0 | 23.6 |
| Italian.. | $31 \cdot 7$ | $137 \cdot 2$ | 119.3 | 174.7 | 79.5 | 40.0 | $5 \cdot 9$ |
| Japanese. | $100 \cdot 0$ | 312.5 | $400 \cdot 0$ | 166.7 | 153.8 | - | - |
| Negro... | 11.4 | $92 \cdot 3$ | 102-0 | 98.0 | $36 \cdot 4$ | $18 \cdot 2$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Scandinavian. | 27.0 | 142.2 | $172 \cdot 4$ | 129-3 | 104.3 | 41.4 | $6 \cdot 4$ |
| Danish.. | 26.8 | $145 \cdot 5$ | 158.4 | 146.5 | 94.0 | 31.0 | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| Icelandic... | 17.0 | 113.2 | $156 \cdot 1$ | 128.2 | $90 \cdot 6$ | 46.5 | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| Norwegian | 28.2 | 154.7 | $188 \cdot 2$ | $143 \cdot 6$ | 123.0 | $43 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 1$ |
| Swedish.. | 28.8 | 134.5 | $164 \cdot 4$ | $102 \cdot 3$ | 87.3 | 39.6 | 8.2 |

[^15]TABLE 12. Specific fertility rates ${ }^{1}$ of women 15-49 years of age (all conJugal conditions), by racial
origin, Prairie Provinces, 1926,1931 and $1936-$ Con.

| Racial Origin of Mother | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| 1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races. | 24.2 | 117-4 | $148 \cdot 1$ | 126.2 | 86.1 | 36.5 | 4.2 |
| British. | 17.6 | 90-9. | 119.2 | 99.1 | $62 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | 2.0 |
| English. | $17 \cdot 3$ | $88 \cdot 1$ 1015 | 114.9 | $95 \cdot 9$ | 59.5 | 23.3 | 1.7 |
| Irish... | $\stackrel{20.6}{18.0}$ | $101 \cdot 5$ | 126.0 | $100 \cdot 1$ $103 \cdot 8$ | 66.0 65.4 | 26.9 23.2 | 1.8 2.5 |
| Scottish. | 18.0 | 87.3 | 121-4 | 103.8 | $65 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| French. | 33.7 | $147 \cdot 7$ | $190 \cdot 2$ | $172 \cdot 7$ | $119 \cdot 1$ | $63 \cdot 2$ | $6 \cdot 8$ |
| Belgian..................... | 24.6 | 171.7 | $217 \cdot 1$ | $142 \cdot 2$ | $125 \cdot 0$ | 20.2 | 6.6 |
| Central and Eastern European.. | 27.9 | $143 \cdot 1$ | 172.7 | $149 \cdot 8$ | 111.8 | ${ }_{52}^{52.6}$ | 8.6 |
| Austrian.. | 14-1 | $120 \cdot 5$ | 196.1 | $145 \cdot 0$ | $144 \cdot 6$ | $52 \cdot 4$ | 13.8 |
| Bulgarian Czech and Slova. | 28.9 | $100 \cdot 0$ $139 \cdot 6$ | $142 \cdot 8$ <br> $150 \cdot 5$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $120 \cdot 4$ | 41.0 | 7.8 |
| Finnigh......... | 29.6 | $125 \cdot 8$ | 139.9 | 144.3 | $122 \cdot 4$ | 41.1 | $7 \cdot 6$ |
| German. | 24-2 | $144 \cdot 6$ | $180 \cdot 5$ | 154.5 | 113.7 | 57.5 | $7 \cdot 7$ |
| Greek........ | - | $156 \cdot 3$ | $172 \cdot 4$ | $83 \cdot 3$ | 29.4 | 40.0 | . 7 |
| Hungarian......... | 41.7 | $195 \cdot 7$ | $165 \cdot 8$ | 153.7 | 112.1 | 58.6 45.2 | 13.7 7.5 |
| Polish...... | 28.6 31.2 | 118.5 125.7 | 150.0 123.1 | 143.9 | 93.0 98.8 | 45.2 56.9 | 7.5 12.9 |
| Roumanian... | 31.2 19.5 | $125 \cdot 7$ $121 \cdot 4$ | 123.1 172.0 | 157.0 165.8 | $\begin{array}{r}98.8 \\ 134.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 56.8 68.0 | 12.9 9.2 |
| Sussian. ${ }_{\text {S }}$ C..... | 15.0 | 219.2 | $273 \cdot 8$ | $274 \cdot 5$ | 120.5 | 55.6 | $28 \cdot 6$ |
| Ukrainian...... | $33 \cdot 3$ | $152 \cdot 5$ | $174 \cdot 5$ | 141.9 | $110 \cdot 1$ | $45 \cdot 8$ | 8.9 |
| Chinese..... | 39.2 | $173 \cdot 8$ | $381 \cdot 0$ | $125 \cdot 0$ | 181.8 | ${ }^{-}$ | $8 \cdot 7$ |
| Dutch.. | 17.0 | 141.2 | 186.7 | $176 \cdot 0$ | 141.8 | 67.5 | 8.7 |
| Hebrew. | $0 \cdot 8$ | 33-0 | 94.5 | 69•1 | $41 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 3$ 143.8 | 17.8 |
| Indian. | 163.9 | $409 \cdot 5$ | $380 \cdot 3$ | $343 \cdot 5$ | $276 \cdot 6$ | $143 \cdot 8$ | $17 \cdot 8$ |
| Italian.. | $11 \cdot 5$ | 116.9 | $91 \cdot 2$ | 71.4 | 75.1 | ${ }^{9} 9 \cdot 8$ | - |
| Japanese............. | 38.5 | 181.8 | $421 \cdot 1$ | $125 \cdot 0$ | 181.8 | 163.8 42.6 | 20.4 |
| Negro............ | $65 \cdot 2$ 23.2 | $148 \cdot 6$ $120 \cdot 5$ | 101.7 156.0 | $204 \cdot 5$ 126.5 | 92.6 83.8 | $42 \cdot 6$ 39.9 | 20.4 4.8 |
| Scandinavian.... Danish....... | $23 \cdot 2$ $22 \cdot 1$ | $130 \cdot 5$ | $144 \cdot 1$ | 135.2 135.2 | $95 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 9$ |  |
| Icelandio.. | 14.7 | $105 \cdot 5$ | 149.7 | 105.2 | $84 \cdot 2$ | $37 \cdot 6$ | - |
| Norwegian | 25.8 | $123 \cdot 1$ | $166 \cdot 6$ | $133 \cdot 5$ | $84 \cdot 1$ | $46 \cdot 2$ | 6.7 |
| Swedish.... | 22.4 | 118.7 | 147-9 | 122.8 | 77.9 | 34.8 | $5 \cdot 8$ |
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| Birthplace and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | A verago |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born <br> Alive or <br> Dead | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| Canada-Con. Ontario-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-39......... | 6,687 | 34,779 | 31,424 | 1,387 | 36,166 | $5 \cdot 20$ | 4.70 | 0.21 | 5.41 |
| 40-44. | 2,623 | 18,039 | 16,069 | 625 | 18,664 | 6.88 | $6 \cdot 13$ | 0.24 | $7 \cdot 12$ |
| 45 and over | 227 | .1,802 | 1,565 | 85 | 1,887 | 7.94 | 6.89 | 0.37 | $8 \cdot 31$ |
| Age not stated. | 45 | 162 | 154 | 10 | 172 | $3 \cdot 60$ | $3 \cdot 42$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 82$ |
| Manitoba. | 9,840 | 31,941 | 29,080 | 339 | 32,880 | 3.25 | 2.96 | 0.10 | 3.34 |
| Under 20. | 787 | 944 | 918 | 32 | 976 | 1.20 | $1 \cdot 17$ | 0.04 | 1.24 |
| 20-24... | 3,159 | 5,867 | 5,520 | 180 | 6,047 | $1 \cdot 86$ | 1.75 | 0.06 | 1.91 |
| 25-29. | $\cdot 2,823$ | 8,618 | 7,934 | 242 | 8,860 | 3.05 | $2 \cdot 81$ | 0.09 | $3 \cdot 14$ |
| 30-34. | 1,666 | 7,262 | 6,617 | 237 | 7,499 | $4 \cdot 36$ | ${ }^{3.97}$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | $4 \cdot 50$ |
| $35-39$. | 1,010 | 6,059 | 5,329 | 160 | 6,219 | 6.00 | $5 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | 6.16 |
| 40-44. | 361 | 2,879 | 2,496 | 70 | 2,958 | 7.98 | 6.91 | 0.22 | $8 \cdot 19$ |
| 45 and over. | 34 | 312 | $\cdot 265$ | $\theta$ | 321 | $9 \cdot 18$ | $7 \cdot 79$ | 0.26 | 0.44 |
| Age not stated........... | - |  | . - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Saskatchewain. | 6,687 | 18,133 | 16,339 | 425 | 18,558 | $2 \cdot 71$ | $2 \cdot 44$ | 0.06 | 2.78 |
| Under 20. | 1,051 | 1,302 | 1,262 | 44 | 1,346 | 1.24 | 1.20 | 0.04 | 1.28 |
| 20-24...... | 3,067 | 5,818 | 5,468 | 131 | 5,949 | 1.90 | 1.78 | 0.04 | 1.94 |
| 25-29. | 1,521 | 5,013 | 4,517 | 117 | 5,130 | $3 \cdot 30$ | 2.97 | $0 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 37$ |
| 30-34. | 625 | 3,089 | 2,684 | 62 | 3,151 | 4.94 | $4 \cdot 29$ | 0.10 | $5 \cdot 04$ |
| 35-39. | 281 | 1,784 | . 1,502 | 39 | 1,823 | 8.35 | $5 \cdot 35$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | 6.49 |
| 40-44..................... | 123 | 967 | . 785 | 28 | 995 | 7.86 | $6: 38$ | 0.23 | 8.09 |
| 45 and over............... | 15 | 141 | - 105 | 4 | 145 | 9.40 | $7 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 27$ | $9 \cdot 67$ |
| Age not stated............ |  | 10 | 16 | - | 19 | $4 \cdot 75$ | $4 \cdot 00$ | - | $4 \cdot 75$ |
| Alberta., | 4,534 | 11,781 | 10,608 | 280 | 12,061 | $2 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | 0.06 | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| Under 20. | 722 | 851 | 824 | 25 | 876 | 1.18 | 1.14 | 0.03 | 1.21 |
| 20-24...................... | 2,064 | 3,835 | 3,567 | 115 | 3,950 | $1 \cdot 86$ | 1.73 | 0.06 | 1.91 |
| 25-29. | 1,090 | 3,484 | 3,164 | 77 | 3,571 | $3 \cdot 21$ | $2 \cdot 90$ | 0.07 | 3.28 |
| 30-34. | 408 | 1,922 | 1,688 | 35 | 1,957 | $4 \cdot 71$ | $4 \cdot 14$ | 0.09 | $4 \cdot 80$ |
| $35-39$. | 202 | 1,319 | 1,079 | 22 | 1,341 | 6.53 | $5 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $6 \cdot 64$ |
| 40-44...................... | 39 | 321 | 254 | 4 | 325 | $8 \cdot 23$ | 6.51 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 8.33 |
| 45 and over............... Age not stated......... | 4 | 20 | 15 | - | 20 | $5 \cdot 00$ $3 \cdot 80$ | 3.75 3.40 | 0.40 | $5 \cdot 00$ 4.20 |
|  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 18 | 17 | 2 | 2 | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | 0.40 | 4.2 |
| British Columbla. | 2,865 | 7,460 | 6,628 | 150 | 7,610 | 2.60 | $2 \cdot 31$ | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| Under 20................. | 358 | 426 | 417 | 16 | 442 | 1.19 | $1 \cdot 16$ | 0.04 | 1.23 |
| 20-24...................... | 1,035 | 1,810 | 1.719 | 33 | 1,843 | 1.75 | $1 \cdot 66$ | 0.03 | $1 \cdot 78$ |
| 25-29...................... | 727 | 1,848 | 1,672 | 33 | 1,881 | $2 \cdot 54$ | $2 \cdot 30$ | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 59$ |
| 30-34...................... | 427 | 1,658 | 1,427 | 42 | 1,700 | $3 \cdot 88$ | $3 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 3.98 |
| 35-39. | 228 | 1,163 | 977 | 16 | 1,179 | $5 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 29$ | 0.07 | $5 \cdot 17$ |
| 40-44... | 50 | 305 | 310 | 6 | 401 | $7 \cdot 90$ | 6.20 | 0.12 | 8.02 |
| 45 and over............... | 9 | 76 | 44 | 1 | 77 | 8.44 | $4 \cdot 89$ | 0.11 | $8 \cdot 56$ |
| Age not stated............ | 31 | 84 | 62 | 3 | 87 | $2 \cdot 71$ | $2 \cdot 00$ | 0.10 | $2 \cdot 81$ |
| British Isles. | 27,833 | 83,475 | 77,744 | 2,945 | 86,420 | 3.00 | 2.79 | 0.11 | $3 \cdot 10$ |
| Under 20................. | 693 | 801 | 784 | 27 | 828 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 0.04 | 1.19 |
| 20-24..................... | 5,789 | 8,298 | 8,915 | 318 | 9,617 | $1 \cdot 61$ | 1.54 | 0.05 | $1 \cdot 66$ |
| 25-29....................... | 7,979 | 18,477 | 17,475 | 641 | 19,118 | $2 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | 0.08 | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| $30-34$. | 6,868 | 23,347 | 21,752 | 800 | 24,147 | $3 \cdot 40$ | $3 \cdot 17$ | 0.12 | $3 \cdot 62$ |
| $35-39$. | 4,565 | 20,467 | 18,852 | 762 | 21, 229 | 4.48 | $4 \cdot 13$ | 0.17 | $4 \cdot 65$ |
| $40-44 . . . . . . .$. | 1,764 | 10,034 | 9,015 | 367 | 10,401 | $5 \cdot 69$ 6.26 | 5:11 | 0.21 | 5.90 6.42 |
| 45 and over.............. Age not stated......... | 160 15 | 1,001 49 | 907 44 | 26 4 | 1,027 | $6 \cdot 26$ $3 \cdot 27$ | $5 \cdot 67$ $2 \cdot 93$ | 0.16 0.27 | 6.42 3.53 |
| England.................... | 17,248 | 53,621 | 49,906 | 1,831 | 55,452 | $3 \cdot 11$ | $2 \cdot 89$ | 0.11 | . $3 \cdot 21$ |
| Under 20................. | 442 | 511 | 505 | 18 | 529 | 1.16 | 1.14 | 0.04 | $1 \cdot 20$ |
| 20-24. | 3,484 | 5,739 | 5,508 | 181 | 5,920 | 1.65 | 1.58 | 0.05 | 1.70 |
| 25-29. | 4,780 | 11,593 | 10,948 | 383 | 11,976 | $2 \cdot 43$ | $2 \cdot 29$ | 0.08 | 2.51 |
| $30-34$. | 4,300 | 15,112 | 14,081 | 521 | 15,633 | $3 \cdot 51$ | $3 \cdot 27$ | 0.12 | $3 \cdot 64$ |
| 35-39. | 2,948 | 13,315 | 12,284 | 494 | 13,809 | 4.52 | $4 \cdot 17$ | 0.17 | $4 \cdot 69$ |
| 40-44..... | 1,174 | 6,649 | 5,854 | 210 | 6,859 | $5 \cdot 66$ | $5 \cdot 07$ | 0.18 | $5 \cdot 84$ |
| 45 and over.............. | 110 | 663 | 591 | 20 | 683 | 6.03 | $5 \cdot 37$ | 0.18 | 6.21 |
| Age not stated........... | 12 | 38 | 35 | 4 | 43 | 3.25 | $2 \cdot 82$ | 0.33 | $3 \cdot 58$ |
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| Birthplace and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | $\xrightarrow{\text { Now }}$ | Born Dead | Born Alive or Alive or Dead | Born | Now | Born Dead | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Born } \\ \text { Alive or } \\ \text { Dead }\end{gathered}\right.$ |
| British Isles-Con. <br> Ireland | 2,624615677796244251589 |  |  |  |  | $2.92$ |  | 0.11 | 3.03 |
|  |  |  |  | $296$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{828}^{66}$ | ${ }_{23}^{2}$ | 70 888 | 1.11 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & 1.46 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 <br> 0.04 | 1.15 1.57 |
|  |  |  | 1,639 | 70 | 1, 802 | 2.22 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.09 | 2.31 |
|  |  | 2,111 | 1,950 | 69 | 2,180 | 3.38 | 3.13 | 0.11 | 3.49 |
|  |  | 1,934 <br> 907 <br> 39 <br> 2 | 1,781 | 8150-1 |  | 4.55 5.74 | 4.195.21 | 0.190.32 | 4.74 6.06 |
|  |  |  | 382 |  | 957 40 | $5 \cdot 74$ 4.33 |  |  | 6.06 4.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - ${ }^{-1} \quad 40$ | 2.00 | 4.22 2.00 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2. } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Scotland............... | 7,310 | 20,193 | 18,887 | 351 | 20,944 | 2.76 | 2.68 | 0.10 | 2.87 |
| Under 20. | 170 | 195 | 193 | 7 | 206 | 1.17 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 1.21 |
| ${ }_{25-29}^{20 .}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1,540}$ | 2,452 <br> 4,770 | 2,352 4.520 | 104 | ${ }_{4}^{2,556}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1.54}$ | 1.47 | 0.07 0.08 | 1.60 |
| $30-34$ | 1,779 | 5,519 | 5 5,177 | 188 | 5,707 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 2.91 | 0.11 | 3.21 |
| 35-39. | 1,090 | 4,732 | 4,361 | 165 | 4,897 | 4.34 | 4.00 | 0.15 | 4.49 |
| 40-44.... | 394 | 2,229 | 2,013 | 88 | 2,327 |  | $5 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 25$ | 5.91 |
| 45 and over. Age not stated. | 39 <br> 2 | 284 8 | 264 7 | 5 | $\begin{array}{r}289 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $7 \cdot 28$ 4.00 | 6.77 3.50 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 7.41 4.00 |
| Wales.... | 58020201321571458888362 | 1,772 | 1,621 | 64 | 1,836 | 3.06 | 2.79 | 0.11 | 3.17 |
| Under 20... |  | ${ }^{23}$ | 20 | - | 23 | 1.15 | 1.00 |  | 1.15 |
| 20.24. |  | ${ }_{328}^{227}$ | 211 | 10 | ${ }_{332} 23$ | $1 \cdot 72$ | $1 \cdot 60$ | 0.08 | $1 \cdot 80$ |
| 25-29. |  | ${ }_{597}$ | 316 | 4 | ${ }^{332}$ | 2.09 | 2.01 | 0.03 | ${ }_{3}^{2 \cdot 11}$ |
| 3034........ |  | 537 | 489 | 22 | 559 | $3 \cdot 70$ | $3 \cdot 37$ | 0.15 | $3 \cdot 86$ |
| $35-39$. $40-44$. |  | ${ }_{226}^{416}$ | 361 210 | 19 | ${ }_{235}^{435}$ | 4.73 6.28 | 4.10 5.83 | 0.22 0.25 | 4.94 6.63 |
| 45 and over. |  | 15 | 14 | - | 15 | ${ }_{7} .50$ | $7 \cdot 00$ |  | 7.50 |
| Age not stated. |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| British Possessions.. | 1,503 | 5,619 | 4,985 | 186 | 5,805 | 3.74 | 3.32 | 0.12 | 3.86 |
| Under 20... | 39 | 47 | 46 | ${ }^{2}$ | 49 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 0.05 | 1.26 |
| ${ }^{20-24 .}$ | 319 | 573 | 545 | 15 | 588 | 1.80 | 1.75 | 0.05 | $1 \cdot 84$ |
| ${ }_{30-34}^{29}$ | 451 344 | ${ }_{1}^{1,260}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,144}$ | $\stackrel{41}{54}$ |  | 2.79 4.41 | ${ }^{2} \cdot 54$ | 0.09 | 2.88 4.67 |
| 35-39. | 259 | 1,541 | 1,352 | 46 | 1,587 | 4.418 5 | $\stackrel{3}{5 \cdot 20}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0.18}$ | ${ }_{6.13}$ |
| 40-44....... | 77 | 562 | 455 | 26 | 588 | $7 \cdot 30$ | $5 \cdot 91$ | $0 \cdot 34$ | $7 \cdot 64$ |
| 45 and over.... | 12 | 112 | ${ }^{94}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 114 | $9 \cdot 38$ $3 \cdot 50$ | 7.83 3.00 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 9.50 3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland. | 1,087 | 4,415 | 3,891 | 133 | 4,548 | $4 \cdot 10$ | 3.61 | 0.12 | 4.22 |
| Under 20... | 30 | 37 | 36 | 2 | 39 | 1.23 | 1.20 | 0.07 | $1 \cdot 30$ |
| 20-24. | 232 | 429 | 408 | 8 | 437 | 1.85 | $1 \cdot 76$ | 0.03 | 1.88 |
| 25-29. | 309 | 941 | ${ }^{843}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{967}$ | 3.05 | $2 \cdot 73$ | $0 \cdot 08$ | 3.13 |
| $30-34$. | 240 | 1,173 | 1,031 | ${ }^{43}$ | 1,216 | 4.89 | $4 \cdot 30$ | 0.18 | $5 \cdot 07$ |
| 35.30. | 196 | 1,261 ${ }_{474}$ | 1.106 | 37 15 | ${ }^{1} 2988$ | 6.43 8.03 |  | 0.19 0.25 | 6.62 8.29 |
| $40.44 . . . . .$. | 10 | ${ }_{94}$ | ${ }_{84}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{96}$ | ${ }_{9.40}$ | 8.40 | 0.20 | ${ }_{9.60}$ |
| Age not stated............ | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 6 | 6.00 | $5 \cdot 00$ |  | $6 \cdot 00$ |
| Europe...................... | 23,570 | 91,386 | 81,381 | 2,493 | 93,879 | 3.88 | 3.45 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 3.08 |
| Under 20. |  | 801 | 772 | 19 | 820 | 1.19 | $1 \cdot 15$ | 0.03 | 1.22 |
| 20-24. | 5,392 | 9,344 | 8,783 | 274 | 9,618 | 1.73 | $1 \cdot 63$ | 0.05 | 1.78 |
| 25-29. | ${ }^{6,973}$ | 18,789 | 17,993 | 552 | ${ }^{20,321}$ | 2.84 | $2 \cdot 58$ | 0.08 | $2 \cdot 91$ |
| 30-34. | 5,136 | 22,909 | 20,214 | 603 | ${ }_{2}^{23,512}$ | $4 \cdot 46$ | 3.94 | 0.12 | 4.58 |
| 30-49. | 1,446 | ${ }_{12,315}^{24,31}$ | 21,409 10,569 | 641 326 | ${ }_{12,641}^{24,93}$ | 6.52 8.52 | 5.74 7.31 | 0.17 0.23 | 6.69 8.74 |
| 45 and over................. | ${ }^{183}$ | 1,845 | 1,578 | 70 | 1,915 | 10.08 | $8 \cdot 62$ | 0.38 | $10 \cdot 46$ |
| Age not stated........... | 37 | 91 | 83 | 8 | 99 | $2 \cdot 46$ | $2 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $2 \cdot 68$ |
| Austria.. | 2,604 | 13,833 | 12,132 | 337 | 14,170 | 31 | 4.66 | 0.13 | 5.44 |
| Under 20. |  |  | 69 | 2 | 75 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 0.04 | 1.34 |
| 20-24. | 505 | 1,001 | 927 | 23 | 1,024 | ${ }^{1} \cdot 88$ | $1 \cdot 84$ | $0 \cdot 05$ | 2.03 3.83 |
| ${ }_{30-34 . \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}^{\text {a }}$, | 604 | 3,601 | 3,129 | ${ }_{89} 88$ | ${ }_{3,690}$ | $\stackrel{3}{5 \cdot 66}$ | $\underset{\substack{3 \cdot 18}}{\substack{3 \\ 5}}$ | ${ }_{0.15}$ | ${ }_{6.11}$ |
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| Birthplace and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now Living | Born Dead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Born } \\ & \text { Alive or } \\ & \text { Dead } \end{aligned}$ | Born Alive | Now Living | Born Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| Europe-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hungary................. | 1,215 | 4,258 | 3,668 | 113 | 4,371 | 3.50 | 3.02 | 0.09 | 3.60 |
| Under 20 20 | 44 <br> 284 | 49 468 | 47 432 | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}51 \\ 481 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1.11 | ${ }_{1}^{1.07}$ | 0.05 <br> 0.05 <br> 0. | 1.16 1.69 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 . \\ & 25-29 . \end{aligned}$ | 284 <br> 415 | 1,208 | 432 1,061 | ${ }_{38}^{13}$ | 1.286 | ${ }_{2 \cdot 91}^{1 \cdot 65}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1 \cdot 56}$ |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 281 | 1,212 | 1,003 | 41 | 1,253 | ${ }_{4} \cdot 31$ | $3 \cdot 57$ | 0.15 | $4 \cdot 46$ |
| 35-39,....... | 139 |  | 709 |  | 865 | 6.11 | $5 \cdot 10$ | 0.12 | 6.22 0.39 |
| 40.44.............. | 46 5 | 429 42 | 378 37 | ${ }^{3}$ | 432 42 | 9.33 8.40 | 8.22 <br> 7.40 | $\stackrel{0.07}{-}$ | 9.39 8.40 |
| Age not tated............ |  |  | 1 | - | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | $1 \cdot 00$ |
| Italy...................... | 1,822 | 7,453 | 6,561 | 235 | 7,688 | 4.09 | 3.60 | 0.13 | $4 \cdot 22$ |
| Under $20 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 72 | 89 | ${ }_{79}^{89}$ | 1 | ${ }^{90}$ | 1.24 | 1.24 | 0.01 | ${ }_{2.12}^{1.25}$ |
| 20-24................. | 369 459 | 759 1461 | 700 1.314 | 250 | 784 1.511 | 2.06 $3 \cdot 15$ | $\stackrel{1}{2.86}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.11}$ |  |
| ${ }_{30-34}^{25-\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | 451 | 1,989 | 1,767 | 43 | 4.032 | $4 \cdot 41$ | 3.92 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 4.51 |
| 35-39. | 330 | 1.972 | 1,718 | 76 | 2,048 | 5.98 | $5 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | ${ }^{6.21}$ |
| $40-44$. | 121 | 1,015 | 833 <br> 125 | ${ }_{11}^{29}$ | 1,044 | $\begin{array}{r}8.39 \\ 10.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.88 8.33 | ${ }_{0}^{0.24} 0$ | 8.63 10.73 |
| 45 and over Age not stated. | 15 5 | 150 18 | 125 | $-$ | 18 | ${ }_{3 \cdot 60}$ | 3.00 |  | 3.60 |
| Norway.. | 726 | 2,470 | 2,321 | 71 | 2,541 | 3.40 | 3.20 | 0.10 | 3.50 |
| Under 20.. | 11 | 13 | 13 | - | 13 | $1 \cdot 18$ | 1.18 | - | 1.18 |
| $20-24$ | 118 | 193 | 186 | 1 | 194 | $1 \cdot 64$ | 1.58 | 0.01 | ${ }_{1}^{1.64}$ |
| 25-29. | ${ }_{166} 207$ | ${ }_{563}^{486}$ | 467 | 23 18 | 509 581 | 2-35 $3 \cdot 39$ | $\xrightarrow{2 \cdot 26}$ | 0.11 | ${ }_{3} \cdot 50$ |
| 35-39. | 136 | ${ }_{636}$ | 588 | 16 | 652 | 4.68 | 4.32 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 4.79 |
| 40-44.... | 77 | 493 | 458 | 5 | 501 | -6.40 | 5.95 7.09 | 0.10 0.45 | 6.51 8.27 |
| 45 and over. <br> Age not stated | $\underline{11}$ | $8_{-}^{86}$ | 78 | 5 | 91 |  | 7.09 | -4. |  |
| Poland. | 5,325 | 19,212 | 17,124 | 513 | 19,730 | 3.61 | 3.22 | 0.10 | 3.71 |
| Under $20 .$. | 154 | 187 | 177 | 6 | 193 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 0.04 | 1.25 |
| 20-24...... | 1,396 | 2,265 | 2,118 | 76 | 2.341 | ${ }^{1} \cdot 62$ | ${ }^{1} 5.52$ | 0.05 | ${ }^{1.68}$ |
| ${ }_{20-29}^{25-\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,673}$ | 4,513 4.639 | 4,119 4,049 | 124 | 4,637 <br> 4,754 | $2 \cdot 70$ 4.48 | $2 \cdot 46$ 3.91 | ${ }_{0}^{0.07}$ | 4.59 |
| ${ }_{35-39}{ }^{30-14 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | ${ }^{1} .0370$ | 5 | 4,462 | 118 | 5,175 | 6.57 | 5.79 | 0.15 | 6.72 |
| 40-44...... | 245 | 2,117 | 1,819 | ${ }_{18}^{53}$ | 2,170 | 8.64 <br> 10.59 | $7 \cdot 42$ <br> 9.15 <br> 15 | ${ }_{0}^{0.22}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8.86 \\ 11.05 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 45 and over. | 39 <br> 13 | 413 26 | ${ }_{23} 5$ | ${ }_{3}^{18}$ | ${ }_{29}{ }^{49}$ | 10.59 2.00 | + 1.77 | 0.23 | ${ }_{2.23}$ |
| Roumania................ | 1,124 | 5,088 | 4,367 | 177 | 5,265 | 4.53 | 3.89 | 0.16 | 4.68 |
| Under 20.............. | 38 | 49 | 45 | 1 | 50 | 1.29 | 1.18 | 0.03 | $1 \cdot 32$ |
| 20-24...................... | 229 | 465 | 429 | 22 | 487 | 2.03 | 1.87 | $0 \cdot 10$ | ${ }_{3}^{2 \cdot 13}$ |
| 25-29...................... | 323 | 1,028 | 907 | 29 | 1,057 | $3 \cdot 18$ | 2.81 | 0.09 | 3.27 5.30 |
| $30-34$ | 281 | 1,429 | 1,211 | 59 | 1,488 | $5 \cdot 09$ | 4.31 | 0.21 | 5.30 8.08 |
| ${ }_{40-44}^{35 \cdot 3 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | 178 65 | 1,414 |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{7.94}$ | ${ }^{6} 7.438$ | - 0.54 | 10.06 10.08 |
| 40-44 <br> 45 and over |  |  | ${ }_{488}^{48}$ | 3 <br> 7 | 655 85 | ${ }_{9}^{9 \cdot 75}$ | 8.38 | 0.88 | 10.63 |
| Age not stated............. | 2 |  | 6 | - | 6 | $3 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 00$ |  | $3 \cdot 00$ |
| Russia...... | 4,971 | 21,611 | 19,265 | 484 | 22,093 | 4.35 | 3.88 | 0.10 | 4.44 |
| Under 20. | 114 | 136 | 132 | 5 | 141 | 1.19 | 1.16 |  |  |
| 20-24...................... | 1,095 | 1,928 | 1,814 | 46 | 1,974 | 1.76 | $1 \cdot 66$ | 0.04 | 1.80 |
| 25-29.................... | 1,358 | 4, 109 | ${ }^{3} 7.781$ | 889 | 5, ${ }^{4,206}$ | 3.03 4.81 | 2.78 4.32 | 0.07 0.08 | $3 \cdot 10$ 4.89 |
| ${ }_{35-34}^{30}$ | 1,085 | 5,222 6.200 | 4,688 5.419 | 89 152 | $\stackrel{5,315}{6,358}$ | 4.81 6.97 | ${ }_{6}$ | 0.17 | $7 \cdot 14$ |
| $35-39$ $40-44$ | ${ }_{377}^{87}$ | ${ }_{3,496}^{6,496}$ | 2,995 | 81 | 3.577 | $9 \cdot 27$ | 7.94 | $0 \cdot 21$ | $9 \cdot 69$ |
| 45 and over | 45 | 492 | 416 | 12 | ${ }_{204}$ | $\underset{\substack{10.93 \\ 3.14}}{ }$ | $9 \cdot 24$ 2.86 | 0.27 0.29 | $\underset{3}{11 \cdot 20}$ |

TAELE 13. Married mothers by birthplace and age, and total and average number of their children born alive, now living, born dead and born alive or dead, Canada, 1930-Con.

| Birthplace and Age of Mother | Mothers | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  |  | Born Alive | Now <br> Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead | Born Alive | Now Living | Born <br> Dead | Born Alive or Dead |
| Europe-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden | 630 | 2,320 | 2,146 | 50 | 2,370 | $3 \cdot 68$ | 3-41 | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 76$ |
| Under 20................. | 17 | 19 | 19 | - | 19 | $1 \cdot 12$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | - | $1 \cdot 12$ |
| 20-24..................... | 125 | 232 | 214 | 5 | 237 | 1.86 | 1.71 | 0.04 | 1.90 |
| 25-29. | 177 119 | 452 | 432 | 9 | 461 | $2 \cdot 55$ | $2 \cdot 44$ | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 60$ |
| 35-39. | 128 | ${ }_{668} 68$ | 430 | 4 | 472 | $3 \cdot 93$ | $3 \cdot 61$ | $0 \cdot 03$ | $3 \cdot 97$ |
| 40-44. |  | 608 <br> 375 | 617 330 | 22 | 690 383 | $5 \cdot 22$ | $4 \cdot 82$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $5 \cdot 30$ |
| 45 and over. | 11 | 106 | 330 98 | 8 | 383 108 | 7.08 | $6 \cdot 34$ 8.91 | 0.15 0.18 | 7.23 9.82 |
| Age not stated. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 108 | $9 \cdot 64$ | $8 \cdot$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}-$ | ${ }^{9} 82$ |
| Asla. | 1,233 | 4,878 | 4,536 | 91 | 4,969 | $3 \cdot 96$ | $3 \cdot 68$ | 0.07 | 4.03 |
| Under 20. | 30 | 42 | 40 | - | 42 | 1.40 | $1 \cdot 33$ | - | 1.40 |
| 20-24.. | 252 | 504 | 480 | 11 | 515 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 1.90 | 0.04 | $2 \cdot 04$ |
| 25-29. | 336 | 1,039 | 993 | 24 | 1,063 | $3 \cdot 09$ | $2 \cdot 96$ | 0.07 | $3 \cdot 16$ |
| 30-34. | 305 | 1,383 | 1,288 | 23 | 1,406 | $4 \cdot 53$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | 0.08 | $4 \cdot 61$ |
| 35-39. | 222 | 1,340 | 1,223 | 26 | 1,366 | 6.04 | $5 \cdot 51$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $6 \cdot 15$ |
| 45 and over. | 71 15 | ${ }_{113}^{45}$ | 401 | 7 | 459 | 6.37 | $5 \cdot 65$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 6.46. |
| Age not stated............. | 15 | 113 | 106 | - | 113 | 7.53 2.50 | 7.07 <br> 2.50 | - | 7.53 2.50 |
| China.. | 193 | 984 | 036 | 7 | 991 | $5 \cdot 10$ | 4.85 | 0.04 | $5 \cdot 13$ |
| Under $20 .$. | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 20-24..... | 32 | 67 | 63 | - | 67 | 1.00 2.09 | 1.97 | - | 1.00 2.09 |
| 25-29. | 35 | 143 | 137 | -1 | 144 | $2 \cdot 09$ 4.09 | 1.97 3.91 | 0.03 | $2 \cdot 09$ $4 \cdot 11$ |
| 30-34. | 56 | 310 | 297 | 1 | 144 311 | 4.09 5.54 | $3 \cdot 91$ $5 \cdot 30$ | 0.03 0.02 | $4 \cdot 11$ $5 \cdot 55$ |
| 35-39. | 46 | 311 | 297 | 2 | 313 | $6 \cdot 76$ | $6 \cdot 46$ | 0.04 | 6.55 6.80 |
| $40-44 . . . . .$. | 15 | 92 | 86 | 3 | 95 | $6 \cdot 13$ | $5 \cdot 73$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 6.33 |
| Age not stated............. | -7 | $\stackrel{59}{-}$ | 54 | - | 59 | 8.43 | 7.71 | - | 8.43 |
| Japan. | 821 | 2,994 | 2,812 | 59 | 3,053 | $3 \cdot 65$ | $3 \cdot 43$ | 0.07 | 3.72 |
| Under 20.................. | 19 | 25 | 24 | - | 25 | 1.32 | $1 \cdot 26$ | - | 1.32 |
| 20-24................... | 175 | 343 | 327 | 6 | 349 | $1 \cdot 96$ | 1.87 | 0.03 | 1.92 |
| 25-29..................... | 240 | 708 | 684 | 17 | 725 | $2 \cdot 95$ | $2 \cdot 85$ | 0.07 | 3.02 |
| 30-34...................... | 201 | 857 | 800 | 18 | 875 | $4 \cdot 26$ | 3.98 | 0.09 | 4.35 |
| 35-39.................... | 130 | 790 | 728 | 15 | 805 | 5-68 | $5 \cdot 24$ | 0.11 | 5.79 |
| 40-44.................... | 40 | 228 | 208 | 2 | 231 | $5 \cdot 70$ | $5 \cdot 20$ | 0.07 | $5 \cdot 77$ |
| Age not stated............. | 6 1 | 41 2 | 39 2 | - | 41 | 6.83 2.00 | 6.50 |  | 6.83 |
| Age not stated........... | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | $2 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 00$ | - | $2 \cdot 00$ |
| United States.... | 11,964 | 45,747 | 41,701 | 1,305 | 47,052 | 3.82 | $3 \cdot 49$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 93$ |
| Under 20................. |  | 712 | 687 | 22 | 734 | $1 \cdot 26$ | $1 \cdot 21$ |  |  |
| 20-24...................... | 2,994 | 5.726 | 5,414 | 157 | 5,883 | 1.91 | 1.21 1.81 | 0.04 | 1.30 1.96 |
|  | 3,256 | 10.159 | 9,393 | 257 | 10,416 | $3 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 88$ | 0.08 | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| 30-34................... | 2,552 | 11.633 | 10.577 | 337 | 11.970 | $4 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 14$ | 0.13 | $4 \cdot 69$ |
| 35-39.................. | 1,837 | 11,458 | 10.352 | 318 | 11.776 | $6 \cdot 24$ | $5 \cdot 64$ | 0.17 0.17 | $4 \cdot 61$ |
| $40-44 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 691 | 5,391 | 4,815 | 194 | 5,585 | $7 \cdot 80$ | 6.97 | 0.28 | 8.08 |
| 45 and over.............. | 64 4 | 653 15 | 539 14 | 20 | 673 | $10 \cdot 20$ | $8 \cdot 42$ | $0 \cdot 31$ | 10.52 |
| Age not stated........... | 4 | 15 | 14 | - | 15 | $3 \cdot 75$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | - | 3.75 |

TABLE 14. Live births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remalning parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932

| County or Census Division and City, Town, etc. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1931 | Birth Rates per 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1931. | 1932 | Average, 1930-32 |  | Crude | Expected ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Standardized ${ }^{1}$ |
| CANADA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 243,495 | 240,473 | 235,666 | 239,878 10 | 0,362,833 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 23.1 |
| Prince Edward Island | 1,752 | 1,879 | 2,028 | 1,586 | 88,038 | 21.4 | 19.4 | 25-4 |
| Kings. | 315 | 334 | 363 | 337 | 19,147 | 17.6 25.5 | 17.1 19.2 | 23.7 30.5 |
| Prince. | ${ }_{6} 758$ | 783 762 | 875 | 803 <br> 746 | ${ }_{37}{ }^{31.591}$ | 20.0 | 19.1 20.8 | 22.0 |
| Queens ${ }_{\text {Charlotetown, }}$ | ${ }_{241}^{683}$ | ${ }_{263}$ | ${ }_{284}$ | 263 | 14, 101 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 16.9 |
| Remaining parts. | 444 | 499 | 506 | 483 | 23,290 | 20.7 | 18.0 | 26.4 |
| Nova Scotia. | 11,333 | 11,614 | 11,630 | 11,526 | 512,846 | 5 | 20.8 | 24.8 |
| Annapolis. | 324 | 298 | 329 | 317 | 16,297 | $19 \cdot 5$ | 18.8 | 7 |
| Antigonish. | 164 | 182 | 168 | ${ }_{2} 1751$ | ${ }_{92}^{10,073}$ | 17.0 26.5 | 17.6 <br> 20.5 <br> 1 | ${ }_{29.7}^{22.2}$ |
| - Cape Breton | 2,472 | 2,492 | 2,396 | 2,453 | ${ }^{92,419}$ | 24.5 | ${ }_{22.7}^{20.5}$ | ${ }_{24 \cdot 9}$ |
| Sydney, c... | ${ }_{601} 606$ | ${ }_{616}$ | ${ }_{610}$ | 609 | 20,706 | 29.4 | 21.3 | 31.7 |
| New Waterford, | 293 | 307 | 262 | 287 | 7,745 | 37.1 | ${ }_{21}^{21.0}$ | 40.5 28.4 |
| North Sydney, | 171 | 170 <br> 244 | 147 230 | ${ }_{231}^{163}$ | 6,769 | ${ }_{29.7}^{20.6}$ | 21.5 20.0 | ${ }_{34}^{23.3}$ |
| Sydney Mines, t | ${ }_{621}^{220}$ | 244 563 | ${ }_{606}^{230}$ | 597 | 26,971 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 28.3 |
| Colchester... | 568 | 572 | 573 | 571 | 25.051 | 22.8 | 21.7 | $\stackrel{24.2}{ }$ |
| Truro. t. | 160 | ${ }_{410}^{162}$ | 176 | ${ }^{166}$ | 17,150 | 21.0. | 28.2 18.7 | ${ }_{29.1}^{17}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {Remaining }}$ p | 408 <br> 812 | ${ }_{793} 4$ | 827 | 811 | 36,366 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 24.8 |
| Cumberiand | $\stackrel{8109}{ }$ | 123 | 128 | 120 | 7,450 | 16.1 | 23.0 | 16.1 |
| Springlijl, 0 | 193 | 184 | 172 | 508 | ${ }^{6,355}$ | 28.8. | 21.6 19.6 | $30 \cdot 7$ 26.4 |
| Remaining parts | 510 | ${ }_{432}$ | ${ }_{416} 5$ | ${ }_{411}$ | 18, 253 | ${ }_{22} \cdot 4$ | 17.8 | 29.0 |
| Digby Guyborough | ${ }_{369}^{386}$ | ${ }_{374}^{432}$ | 384 | 376 | 15,443 | $24 \cdot 3$ | 17.7 | 31.6 |
| Halifax. | 2,257 | 2,386 | 2,411 | 2.351 | $\begin{array}{r}100,204 \\ 59 \\ \hline 9.275\end{array}$ | 23.5 23.8 | 25.2 28.2 | 21.4 19.4 |
| Halifax, c. | 1,380 | 1,429 ${ }^{197}$ | 1,421 | 1,410 | 59,275 9,100 | ${ }_{21.4}^{23}$ | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | 19.4 |
| Dartmouth, t.... | ${ }_{683}$ | 760 | 797 | 747 | 31,82y | 23.5 | 19.6 | 27.6 |
|  | 459 | 489 | 498 | 482 | 19,393 | 24.9 | 19.5 |  |
| In verness | 372 | 415 | 433 | 407 | ${ }_{21}^{21,055}$ | 19.3 | ${ }^{15} 5$ | 22.4 |
| Kings. | 496 | ${ }^{484}$ | 499 | 593 | 31,674 | 18.9 | 20.5 | ${ }_{21} \cdot 2$ |
| ${ }_{\text {P }}$ I, anenburg | ${ }_{767}$ | 773 | 757 | 766 | 34,018 | 19.6 | 21.0 | 21.4 |
| Pew Clasgow | 193 | 168 | 171 | 177 | 8,858 | ${ }_{20}^{20.0}$ | 24.6 | 18.7 |
| Stellarton, t . | ${ }_{453}^{121}$ | ${ }_{478}$ | 134 <br> 452 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ \text { 25, } 158 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{18.3}^{25.4}$ | 19.6 | ${ }_{21.5}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Remaining pa }}$ | ${ }_{225}^{453}$ | ${ }_{262}$ | ${ }_{230}$ | ${ }_{239}$ | 10,012 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 25.2 |
| Queens.... | 213 | 242 | 239 | 231 | 11.098 | 20.8 | 16.4 18.7 | 29.2 27.8 |
| Shelburno, | 275 | 287 | ${ }_{148}^{286}$ | 283 133 | 12,485 <br> 8,004 |  | ${ }_{16.1}$ | 23.7 |
| Victoria | ${ }_{422}$ | 435 | 437 | 431 | 20, 839 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 23.6 |
| Yarmouth ${ }^{\text {armouth, }}$ t | 135 | 162 | 149 | 149 | ${ }^{7} \mathbf{7}, 005$ | $\stackrel{21 \cdot 1}{20.4}$ | $\stackrel{25.1}{17.4}$ | 14.4 26.9 |
| Remaining parts.. | 287 | 273 | 288 | 283 | 13,884 | 20.4 |  |  |
| New Brunswtck. | 10,500 | 10,756 | 10,784 | 10,677 | 408,219 | 26.2 | 21.1 | 28.5 |
| Albert. | 170 | 169 | 160 | 168 | 7.679 | 21.6 | 19.3 | 25.8 <br> 23.7 |
| Carleton. | 415 | 440 | 429 | 428 | 20,796 | ${ }_{20}^{20 \cdot 6}$ | 21.0 |  |
| Charlotte. | $\stackrel{469}{1,47}$ | 1,559 | $\begin{array}{r}1.611 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1,572 | 41,914 | 37.5 | 18.7 | 46.2 |
| Gloucester | 1, 698 | 1,729 | -754 | 727 | 23,478 | 31.0 | 17.3 | - 41.3 |
| Kint. | 351 | 378 | 357 | 362 | 19,807 | ${ }^{18-3}$ | - $19 \cdot 4$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 42.2\end{aligned}$ |
| Madawaska | 948 | 896 | 935 | ${ }_{926} 9$ | 24,527 | 37.8. | ( ${ }_{26 \cdot 6}^{20 \cdot 6}$ | 32.2 |
| Edmundston, t. | 280 | ${ }_{627}^{264}$ | ${ }_{692}^{243}$ | ${ }_{662}$ | 18, 097 | ${ }_{36}{ }^{41} 6$ | 18.5 | 43.4 |
| Remaining parts | 668 932 | 627 948 | 692 890 | ${ }_{923}$ | 34,124 | 27.0 | - 19.3 | 32.2 |
| Northumberland. | $\stackrel{10}{ }$ | 232 | 214 | 219 | 11,219 | 19.5 | - $\quad 18.4$ | ( $\quad \begin{aligned} & 24.4 \\ & 34.8\end{aligned}$ |
| Queens...iguche. | 1,021 | 1,142 | 1,044 | 1,069 | $\begin{array}{r}29,859 \\ 6.505 \\ \hline 8 .\end{array}$ | $35 \cdot 8$ 32.0 | ( $\quad \begin{aligned} & 26.7 \\ & 26.0\end{aligned}$ | ( ${ }_{28.3}^{34.8}$ |
| Campbelliton, |  |  | ${ }_{857}^{187}$ | ${ }_{861}^{208}$ | 23,354 | 36.9 | 19.3 | - 44.0 |
| St. Johnining parte. | \% <br> 1,254 <br> 1,254 | 1,272 | 1,347 | 1,291 | 61,613 | 21.0 | - $\quad 25 \cdot 4$ | $4 \begin{aligned} & 19.0 \\ & 19.6\end{aligned}$ |
| Saint John, c | 1,053 | 1,049 | 1,094 | 1,065 | - 414.099 | ${ }_{16}{ }^{2} \cdot 0$ | 22.1 | 16.7 |
| Remaining parts | 2015 | ${ }_{173}^{22}$ | 189 | 171 | 6,999 | 24.4 | $4 \quad 20.0$ | 28.1 |
| Sunbury. | 450 | 434 | 421 | $1{ }^{435}$ | 14,907 | $29 \cdot 2$ | - 19.1 | 1- <br> 25.1 <br> 1.9 |
| Westmorland | 1,214 | 1,277 | 1,280 | 1,257 | 20,689 | 22.9 | - ${ }_{29 \cdot 0}$ | $0 \quad 18.2$ |
| Moncton, c. | 476 738 | 492 <br> 785 | ${ }_{826}^{484}$ | - 783 | 36,817 | 21.3 | - 19.6 | $6 \quad 24.9$ |
| York........... | 660 | 693 | ${ }_{7} 12$ | ( ${ }^{691}$ | 32,454 | 21.3 17.8 | $22 \cdot 1$ <br> 26.6 | - $\quad 15 \cdot 4$ |
| Fredericton, c . | ${ }_{499}$ | 546 | 557 | - 534 | 23,624 | $22 \cdot 6$ | 6 20.4 | $4 \quad 25 \cdot 4$ |

1 The standardized rates were computed from the crude and expected rates carried to two places of decimals.
${ }^{2}$ Exclusive of Yukon and the Northwest Territories.

TABLE 14. Live births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932-Con.

| County or Census Division und City, Town, etc. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1031 | Birth Rates par 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A verage, } \\ & 1930-32 \end{aligned}$ |  | Crude | Expected | ${\underset{i z e d}{S t a n d}}_{\text {ind }}$ |
| Quebec. | 83,926 | 83,859 | 82,424 | 83,403 | 2,874,255 | 29.0 | 23.9 | 27.9 |
| Abitibi. | 907 | 907 | 975 | 930 | 23,692 | $39 \cdot 3$ | 18.4 | 49.1 |
| Argentcuil. | 404 | 404 | 427 | - 412 | 18,976 | 21.7 | 20.1 | 24.9 |
| Arthabaska | 858 | 854 | 897 | 870 | 27.159 | 32.0 | 21.0 | $35 \cdot 1$ |
| Victoriaville, $t$ | 218 | 221 | 218 | 219 | 6.213 | $35 \cdot 2$ | 26.4 | $30 \cdot 7$ |
| Remuining parts | 640 | 633 | 679 | 651 | 20,946 | $31 \cdot 1$ | 19.4 | 36.9 |
| Bragot........ | 497 | 493 | 552 | 514 | 16,914 | $30 \cdot 4$ | 20.9 | $33 \cdot 5$ |
| 及eauce. | 1,635 | 1,680 | 1,674 | 1,663 | 44.793 | $37 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 42.5 |
| Beauharnnis | 537 | 665 | 671 | 624 | 25,163 | $24 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $25 \cdot 3$ |
| Valley field, c. | 338 | 350 | 385 | 358 | 11,411 | 31.4 | $24 \cdot 9$ | 29.0 |
| Remaining parts. | 199 | 315 | 236 | 267 743 | 13.752 22.006 | 19.4 33 | 20.7 18.7 | 21.6 41.5 |
| Bellechasse. | 719 | 775 | 735 | 743 534 | 22,006 | $33 \cdot 8$ | 18.7 21.4 | 41.5 20.4 |
| T3erthier..... | 527 1.089 | 1. 554 | 521 1.141 | 534 1.049 | 19,506 | 27.4 33.9 | 21-4 | 29.4 |
| Bonaventure 13 rome . | $\begin{array}{r}1,089 \\ 194 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,068 | $\begin{array}{r}1,141 \\ 224 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1.049 \\ 208 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 32,432 12.433 | $33 \cdot 9$ 16.7 | 18.0 19.1 | $43 \cdot 3$ 20.1 |
| Chambly | 194 512 | 495 | 493 | 500 | 26,801 | $18 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | 18.5 |
| longavail, c | 139 | 140 | 119 | 133 | 5,407 | $24 \cdot 6$ | 23.9 | 23.7 |
| St-Lambert, c | 90 | 84 | ${ }^{(65}$ | 80 | 6.075 | $13 \cdot 2$ | 26.8 | 11.3 |
| Remaining parts. | 283 | 271 | 309 | 288 | 15.319 | 18.8 | $21 \cdot 6$ | 20.0 |
| Champlain... | 2,071 | 2.147 | 2,034 | 2.084 | 59,935 | $34 \cdot 8$ | 20.8 | 38.4 |
| Cap-de-la-Madeleine, | 359 | 347 | 293 | 333 | 8.748 | $38 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | 39.5 |
| Grand'Mere, | 221 | 219 | 212 | 217 | 6.461 | $33 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | 34.2 |
| Ja Tuque t. | 305 | 347 | 284 | 312 | 7.871 | 39.6 | $22 \cdot 1$ | 41-2 |
| Remaining parts | 1,186 | 1,234 | 1,245 | 1,222 | 36.855 | $33 \cdot 2$ | 19.9 | $38 \cdot 3$ |
| Charlevoix. | 835 | 798 | 830 | 821 | 22,940 | $35 \cdot 8$ | $21 \cdot 4$ | 38.5 |
| Chateauguay | 303 2.601 | 310 2,357 | 300 2,418 | 304 2.459 | 13, 5125 | $23 \cdot 2$ $44 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 3$ $21 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 2$ 47.7 |
| Chicoutimi.... | 2.601 498 | 2,357 493 | 2,418 | 2,459 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 55, } \\ 11,874 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | $44 \cdot 1$ $43 \cdot 5$ | $21 \cdot 3$ 23.1 | $47 \cdot 7$ $43 \cdot 4$ |
| Jonquière | 496 | 413 | 414 | 441 | 9,448 | $46 \cdot 7$ | 21.6 | $49 \cdot 7$ |
| Remaining parts | 1,607 | 1,451 | 1,444 | 1,501 | 34.399 | $43 \cdot 6$ | 20.6 | 48.8 |
| Compton ${ }^{3}$. | 537 | 555 | 527 | 540 | 21.917 | 21.6 | $19 \cdot 6$ | 28.9 |
| Deux-Montagnes. | 377 | 379 | 374 | 377 | 14,284 | 26.4 | $20 \cdot 8$ | $29 \cdot 2$ |
| Dorchester. | 1.028 | 1.031 | 1,022 | 1.027 | 27,994 | 36.7 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $43 \cdot 9$ |
| Drummond. | 781 | 845 | 926 | 851 | 26.179 | $32 \cdot 5$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $33 \cdot 1$ |
| Drammondville, t | 319 | 295 | 349 | 321 | 6.609 | $48 \cdot 6$ | $29 \cdot 8$ | $37 \cdot 5$ |
| Rematining parts. | 462 | 550 | 577 | 530 | 19.570 | $27 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | 30.9 |
| Frontemate. | 967 | 1,014 | 925 | 969 | 25.681 | 37.7 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $45 \cdot 1$ |
| Gaspe. | 1,405 | 1,451 | 1,438 | 1.431 | 37.675 | 38.0 | 18.7 | 46.7 |
| Hull. | 2,103 | 2,061 | 1,948 | 2,037 | 63.870 | 31.9 | 21.5 | $34 \cdot 1$ |
| Hull, e. | 1,065 | 1,009 | 894 | 989 | 29,433 | $33 \cdot 6$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 2$ |
| Remaining parts. | 1.038 | 1.052 | 1,054 | 1.048 | 34,437 | 30.4 | 20.0 | $35 \cdot 0$ |
| Muntingdon. | 274 | 266 | 245 | 262 | 12.345 | $21 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 5$ |
| Iberville. | 239 | 248 | 210 | 234 | 9.402 | $24 \cdot 9$ | 21.0 | 27.3 |
| Iles-de-la-Madeleines | 276 | 300 | 335 | 304 | 7.942 | $38 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $44 \cdot 8$ |
| Joliette. | 856 | 880 | 888 | 875 | 27.585 | 31.7 | 22.0 | $33 \cdot 1$ |
| Joliette, c. | 329 | 344 | 346 | 340 | 10.765 | $31 \cdot 6$ | 25.5 | 28.4 |
| Remaining parts | 527 | 536 | 542 | 535 | 16.820 | 31.8 | $!9 \cdot 8$ | 36.9 |
| Kamouraska. | 790 | 786 | 755 | 777 | 23.954 | 32.4 | $19 \cdot 0$ | 39.4 |
| Labello.... | 707 | 752 | 739 | 753 | 20.140 | 37.4 | $19 \cdot 2$ | 44-8 |
| Lac-St-Jean | 2.214 | 2.240 | 2.343 | 2,266 | 50.253 | $45 \cdot 1$ | 20.0 | 51.8 |
| Laprairie.. | 357 | 349 | 349 | 352 | 13.491 | $26 \cdot 1$ | 20.8 | 28.9 |
| L'Assomption | 424 | 481 | 436 | 447 | 15,323 | 29.2 | 21.3 | 31.4 |
| Levis. | 1.012 | 986 | 966 | 988 | 35.656 | $27 \cdot 7$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | 28.5 |
| Levis, c. | 298 | 282 | 275 | 285 | 11.724 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 6$ |
| Lauzon, t | 196 | 221 | 182 | 200 | 7,084 | $28 \cdot 2$ | 24.4 | $26 \cdot 6$ |
| Remaining parts | 518 | 483 | 509 | 503 | 16,848 | $29 \cdot 9$ | 20.5 | $33 \cdot 5$ |
| L'Islet... | 643 | 622 | 648 | 638 | 19,404 | 32.9 | 20.0 | 37.7 |
| Lotbiniere | 746 | 734 | 806 | 762 | 23,034 | $33 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 2$ | $39 \cdot 6$ |
| Maskinonge | 509 | 483 | 548 | 513 | 16,039 | $32 \cdot 0$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 1$ |
| Matane. | 1,980 | 1.854 | 1,799 | 1.878 | 45,272 | $41 \cdot 5$ | 19.7 | $48 \cdot 3$ |
| Mexantic.: | 1,282 | 1,167 | 1.188 | 1.212 | 35,492 | $34 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 7$ | 37.9 |
| Thelford Mines, | 530 | 421 | 371 | 443 | 10,701 | 41.4 | $23 \cdot 6$ | 40.3 |
| Remaining parts | 746 | 746 | 817 | 770 | 24.791 | 31.1 | 19.4 | 36.7 |
| Missisquoi.... | 458 | 447 | 460 | 455 | 19,636 | $23 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $23 \cdot 6$ |
| Montcalm. | 395 | 410 | 413 | 406 | 13.805 | $29 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | 33.2 |
| Montmagny. | 629 | 661 | 651 | 647 | 20,239 | $32 \cdot 0$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 36.5 |
| Montmoreney | 577 | 566 | 545 | 563 | 16,955 | $33 \cdot 2$ | 21.5 | $35 \cdot 6$ |
| Montreal and Josus Islands ${ }^{5}$ | 24,218 | 23,791 | 22,845 | 23.618 | 1,020,018 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 28.2 | 18.9 |
| Lachine, c. | 399 | 461 | 393 | - 418 | 18,630 | 22.4 | 24.9 | 20.7 |
| Montral, c. | 20,646 | 20,068 | 19,191 | 19,968 | 818,577 | $24 \cdot 4$ | 28.0 | 20.0 |
| Outremont, | 260 | 211 | 251 | 241 | 28,641 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $35 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| Verdun, c.. | 1.463 | 1,552 | 1,506 | 1,507 | 60, 745 | $24 \cdot 8$ | 28.9 | 19.7 |
| Westmount, c. | 199 | 156 | 165 | 173 | 24,235 | $7 \cdot 1$ | 37.8 | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| St-Luturent, t . | 149 | 146 | 138 | 144 | 5,348 | - 20.9 | 28.0 | $22 \cdot 5$ |
| Rernaining parts. | 1,102 | 1,197 | 1,201 | 1,167 | 63, 842 | 18.3 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 3$ |
| Napiervilie. | 210 | 220 | 185 | 205 | 7,600 | 27.0 | $19 \cdot 6$ | 31.6 |
| Nicolet.. | 857 | 894 | 868 | 873 | 28.673 | $30 \cdot 4$ | 21.0 | $33 \cdot 4$ |
| Papinean. | 876 | 921 | 896 | 898 | 29.240 | $30 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | $37 \cdot 0$ |
| Pontiac...... | 551 | 531 | 556 | 546 | 21,241 | $25 \cdot 7$ | 18.2 | $32 \cdot 5$ |

[^16]TABLE 14. Live births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932-Con.

| County or Census Division and City, Town, cte. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1931 | Birth Rates per 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1031 | 1932 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average, } \\ & { }_{1930-32} \end{aligned}$ |  | Crude | Expected | Standardized |
| Quebec-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portneuf... | 1,218 | 1,158 | 1,147 | 1,174 | 35,890 | $32 \cdot 7$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 8$ |
| Quebec. | 5,354 | 5,551 | 5,280 | 5,395 | 170,915 | 31.6 | 26.9 | 27.0 |
| Quebec, c | 4,348 | 4,385 | 4,194 | 4,309 | 130,594 | $33 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 4$ |
| Remaining parts. | 1,006 | 1,166 | 1,086 | 1,086 | 40.321 | 26.9 | $24 \cdot 0$ | $25 \cdot 8$ |
| Richelieu. | 585 | 629 | 572 | 595 | 21,483 | 27.7 | $22 \cdot 6$ | 28.2 |
| Sorel, c. | 306 | 316 | 279 | 300 | 10,320 | $29 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | 28.9 |
| Remaining part | 279 | 313 | 293 | 295 | 11,163 | 26.4 | $22 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 6$ |
| Richmond. | 774 | 809 | 709 | 764 | 24.956 | $30 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $34 \cdot 3$ |
| Rimouski. | 1,022 | 1,204 | 1,269 | 1,165 | 33,151 | $35 \cdot 1$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | 38.4 |
| Rimouski, t. | 246 | 241 | 237 | 241 | 5.589 | $43 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 6$ | $38 \cdot 7$ |
| Remaining parts. | 776 | 963 | 1,032 | 924 | 27,562 | $33 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 38.3 |
| Rouville. | 324 748 | 351 719 | 350 774 | 342 747 | 13,776 | 24.8 38.2 | $21 \cdot 7$ 19 | 26.3 $45 \cdot 4$ |
| Shefford. | 844 | 894 | 856 | 865 | 28,262 | $30 \cdot 6$ | 21.9 | $45 \cdot 4$ 32.1 |
| Granby, c | 341 | 389 | 382 | 371 | 10,587 | $35 \cdot 0$ | $26 \cdot 4$ | 30.5 |
| lemaining parts | 503 | 505 | 474 | 494 | 17,675 | 27.9 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $33 \cdot 4$ |
| Sherbrooke ${ }^{\text {P }}$. | 954 | 939 | 890 | 928 | 37,386 | $24 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | 21.4 |
| Sherbrooke, c. | 775 | 728 | 698 | 734 | 28,933 | $25 \cdot 4$ | $28 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 7$ |
| Remaining part | 179 | 211 | 192 | 194 | 8,453 | 23.0 | $21 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 6$ |
| Soulanges..... | 242 | 224 | 223 | 230 | 9.099 | $25 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | 28.7 |
| Stanstead. | 652 | 643 | 612 | 636 | 25,118 | $25 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | 26.0 |
| Magog, t. | 222 | 202 | 242 | 222 | 6.302 | $35 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts. | 430 | 441 | 370 | 414 | 18,816 | $22 \cdot 0$ | $21 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 6$ |
| St-Hyacinthe.. | 656 | 624 | 619 | 633 | 25,854 | $24 \cdot 5$ | $25 \cdot 7$ | 21.9 |
| St-Hyacinthe, c | 371 | 362 | 356 | 363 | 13,448 | 27.0 | 29.1 | $21 \cdot 3$ |
| Remaining parts | 285 | 262 | 263 | 270 | 12,406 | 21.8 | 22.0 | $22 \cdot 7$ |
| St-Jean.. | 471 | 457 | 444 | 457 | 17,649 | 25.9 | $24 \cdot 1$ | $24 \cdot 7$ |
| St-Jean, c. | 312 | 309 | 296 | 306 | 11,256 | $27 \cdot 2$ | 26.7 | 23.5 |
| Remaining par | 159 | 148 | 148 | 152 | 6.393 | $23 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 7$ |
| St-Maurice. | 2,606 | 2,459 | 2.363 | 2,476 | 69,095 | $35 \cdot 8$ | $24 \cdot 4$ | $33 \cdot 8$ |
| Shawinigan Falls. | ${ }^{652}$ | 620 | 624 | 632 | 15,345 | 41.2 | $24 \cdot 7$ | 38.3 |
| Trois-Rivicres, $\mathbf{c}$ | 1,355 | 1,324 | 1,226 | 1,302 | 35,450 | $36 \cdot 7$ | 26.8 | $31 \cdot 5$ |
| Remaining parts. | 599 | 515 | 513 | 542 | 18,300 | 29.6 | $19 \cdot 4$ | $35 \cdot 1$ |
| Temiskaming. | 755 | 814 | 853 | 807 | 20,609 | $39 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | 44-6 |
| Témisconats. | 1,812 | 1,836 | 1,776 | 1.808 | 50,294 | $35 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | $41 \cdot 2$ |
| Rivière-du-Loup, c. | 237 | 237 | 231 | 235 | 8,499 | $27 \cdot 7$ | $24 \cdot 6$ | 25.9 |
| Remaining parts. | 1,575 | 1,599 | 1,545 | 1,573 | 41,795 | $37 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | $45 \cdot 2$ |
| Terrebonne. | 1,219 | 1,173 | 1,171 | 1,188 | 38,611 | $30 \cdot 8$ | 22.8 | 31.0 |
| St. Jérôme, t. | 356 | 320 | 292 | 323 | 8,967 | 36.0 | $26 \cdot 8$ | 30.9 |
| Remaining parts | 863 | 853 | 879 | 865 | 29,644 | $29 \cdot 2$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $31 \cdot 1$ |
| Vaudreuil. | 267 | 288 | 276 | 277 | 12.015 | $23 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 1$ |
| Vercheres. | 352 | 348 | 362 | 354 | 12,603 | 28.1 | 21.5 | $30 \cdot 0$ |
| Wolfe. | 593 | 564 | 581 | 579 | 16,911 | 34-2 | $18 \cdot 8$ | $41 \cdot 9$ |
| Yamaska. | 511 | 538 | 505 | 518 | 16,820 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 4$ |
| Ontarto. | 71,029 | 69,017 | 60,678 | 68,908 | 3,431,683 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 19.3 |
| Addington | 159 | 168 | 145 | 157 1 148 | 6,879 | 22.8 | 18.9 | 27.8 |
| Algoma. | 1,113 | 1,129 | 1,201 | 1,148 | 46,444 23.082 | 24.7 25.0 | $21: 0$ 23.7 | $27 \cdot 0$ 24.3 |
| Remaining parts.. | 521 | 553 | 634 | 569 | 23,362 | 24.4 | 18.4 | $30 \cdot 5$ |
| Brant............ | 1,021 | 990 | 920 | 977 | 53,476 | 18.3 | $23 \cdot 0$ | 18.3 |
| Brantford, c. | 635 | 607 | 537 | 593 | 30,107 | $19 \cdot 7$ | $24 \cdot 9$ | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Remaining parts | 386 | 383 | 383 | 384 | 23,369 | 16.4 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $18 \cdot 4$ |
| Bruce.... | 780 | 833 | 846 | 820 | 42,286 | 19.4 | 19.9 | $22 \cdot 4$ |
| Carleton. | 3,392 | 3,439 | 3,428 | 3,420 | 170,040 | $20 \cdot 1$ | $26 \cdot 7$ | $17 \cdot 3$ |
| Ottawa, c. | 2,486 | 2,508 | 2,514 | 2,503 | 126,872 | 19.7 | $28 \cdot 7$ | $15 \cdot 8$ |
| Eastview, t | 233 | 201 | 227 | 220 | 6,686 | $32 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $34 \cdot 4$ |
| Remaining parts. | 673 | 730 | 687 | 697 | 36,482 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 6$ | $21 \cdot 3$ |
| Cochrane. | 1,677 | 1,790 | 1,820 | 1,762 | 58,033 | $30 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | $33 \cdot 5$ |
| Timmins, t | 496 | 489 | 491 | 492 | 14,200 | $34 \cdot 6$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | $32 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts. | 1,181 | 1,301 | 1,329 | 1,270 | 43,833 | 29.0 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 0$ |
| Dufferin.. | 276 | 254 | 254 | 261 | 14,892 | 17.5 | $20 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 9$ |
| Dundas. | 284 | 295 | 272 | 284 | 16,098 | 17.6 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 7$ |
| Durham | 471 | 436 | 409 | 434 | 25,782 | 17.0 | $19 \cdot 7$ | 19.9 |
| Elgin. | 662 | 663 | 656 | 660 | 43,436 | $15 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $17 \cdot 0$ |
| Et. Thomas. c. | 263 | 236 | 216 | 238 | 15,430 | 15.4 | $23 \cdot 4$ | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts. | 399 | $4{ }^{427}$ | 440 | ${ }^{422}$ | 28,006 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 19.0 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Essex.......... | 4,068 | 3,584 | 3,126 | 3,593 | 159,780 | 22.5 | $25 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| East Windsor, c. | 475 | 376 | 332 | 394 | 14,251 | $27 \cdot 6$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | 24.7 |
| Windsor, c. | 1,603 | 1,393 | 1,177 | 1,391 | 63,108 | $22 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 4$ | 18.5 |
| Sandwich, t. | 310 | 277 | 199 | 262 | 10,715 | $24 \cdot 5$ | 26.7 | 21.1 |
| Walkerville, t. | 229 | 185 | 165 | 193 | 10,105 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $27 \cdot 7$ | $15 \cdot 8$ |
| Remaining parts. | 1,451 | 1,353 | 1,253 | 1,352 | 61.601 | $21 \cdot 9$ | $21 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 1$ |
| Frontenac... | 878 | 886 | 938 | 901 | 45,756 | $19 \cdot 7$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | 20.2 |
| Kingston, c. | 467 | 469 | 479 | 472 | 23,439 | $20 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Remaining parts. | 411 | 417 | 459 | 429 | 22,317 | 19.2 | $19 \cdot 2$ | 23.0 |
| * Glengarry | 392 | 421 | 421 | 411 | 18,666 | $22 \cdot 0$ 16.8 | $18 \cdot 5$ | 27.4 10.0 |
| Grenville. . | 278 | 267 | 276 | 274 | 16,327 | 16.8 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 0$ |

[^17]${ }^{7}$ Not including Compton township.

TABLE 14. Live births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932--Con.

| County or Census Division and City, Town, etc. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1931 | Brth Rates per 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Average, } \\ 1830-32 \end{array}$ |  | Crude | Expected | $\left.\right\|_{\text {ized }} ^{\text {Standard- }}$ |
| Ontarlo-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey...... | 1,095 | 1,079 | 1,035 | 1,070 | 57,699 | 18.5 | $20 \cdot 8$ | 20.5 |
| Owen Sound, c.. | 247 | 253 | 237 | 246 | 12,839 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 0$ | 18.4 |
| Remaining parts | 848 | 826 | 798 | 824 | 44,860 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 21.3 |
| Haldimand........ | 390 | 395 | 374 | 386 | 21,428 | 18.0 | $20 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| Haliburton. | 152 | 146 | 167 | 155 | 5,997 | $25 \cdot 8$ | 19.5 | 30.5 |
| Halton. | 432 | 415 | 419 | 422 | 26,558 | $15 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | 16.3 |
| Hastings. | 1,310 | 1,367 | 1,275 | 1,317 | 58,846 | $22 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | $24 \cdot 6$ |
| Belleville, | 255 | 280 | 259 | 265 | 13,790 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | 17.6 |
| Trenton, t . | 162 | 136 | 160 | 153 | 6,276 | $24 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | 25.5 |
| Remaining parts | 893 | 951 | 856 | 900 | 38,780 | $23 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 3$ | 27.7 |
| Huron... | 802 | 728 | 683 | 738 | 45,180 | $16 \cdot 3$ | 19.4 | $19 \cdot 4$ |
| Kenorn. | 471 | 483 | 456 | 470 | 21,946 | $21 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 3$ |
| Kenora t. | 135 | 148 | 130 | 138 | 6,766 | $20 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | 19.5 |
| Remaining parts | 336 | 335 | 326 | 332 | 15,180 | 21.9 | $19 \cdot 8$ | 25.4 |
| Kent. . | 1,338 | 1,289 | 1,268 | 1,298 | 62,865 | $20 \cdot 6$ | 21.8 | 21.8 |
| Chatham, c. | 356 | 285 | ${ }^{287}$ | 309 | 14,569 | $21 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | 19.3 |
| Remaining parts | 982 | 1,004 | 981 | 989 | 48,296 | 20.5 | 20.8 | $22 \cdot 6$ |
| Lambton. | 1,024 | 1,076 | 940 | 1,013 | 54,674 | $18 \cdot 5$ | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 3$ |
| Sarnia, e. | ${ }_{626} 39$ | 406 670 | 348 592 | 384 | 18,191 36,483 | $\stackrel{21.1}{17}$ | 24.4 19.3 | 19.9 20.5 |
| Remaining parts | 626 660 | 670 | 69 | 639 | 36,483 32.856 | 17.2 19.2 | 19.3 21.3 | 20.5 20.8 |
|  | ${ }^{660}$ | 120 | 103 | 125 | 7,108 | 17.6 | 22.9 | 20.8 17.6 |
| Remaining par | 509 | 504 | 507 | 507 | 25,748 | $19 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | 21.7 |
| Leeds.. | 693 | 614 | 648 | 652 | 35,157 | 18.5 | $21 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| 13rockville, t. | 205 | 197 | 170 | 191 | 9,736 | $19 \cdot 6$ | 24.8 | 18.2 |
| Remaining parts | 488 | 417 | 478 | 481 | 25,421 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 8$ | 21.0 |
| Lennox. | 222 | 209 | 190 | 207 | 12,004 | $17 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 6$ | 20.2 |
| Lincoln. | 1,037 | 991 | 931 | 986 | 54,199 | 18.2 | $23 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 7$ |
| St. Catharines, c | 545 | 535 | 467 | 516 | 24,753 | 20.8 | $26 \cdot 1$ | 18.4 |
| Remaining parts | 482 | 456 | 464 | 471 | 29,446 | 16.0 | $21 \cdot 6$ | 17.0 |
| Manitoulin. | 237 | 282 | 263 | 261 | 10,734 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | 26.6 |
| Middlesex. | 1,907 | 1,906 | 1,898 | 1,904 | 118.241 | 16.1 | $24 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 4$ |
| Jondon, c | 1,187 | 1,172 | 1,151 | 1,170 | 71,148 | 10.4 | 26.9 | $14 \cdot 0$ |
| Remaining parts | 720 | 734 | 747 | 734 | 47,093 | $15 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 6$ | 18.3 |
| Muskoka.. | 457 | 416 | 450 | 441 | 20.985 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 22.5 |
| Nipissing. | 1,195 | 1,208 | 1,175 | 1,193 | 41;207 | 29.0 | $20 \cdot 4$ | $32 \cdot 6$ |
| North Bay, c. | 380 | 378 | 361 | -373 | 15,528 | $24 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | 23.8 |
| Remaining parts | 815 | 831 | 814 | 820 | 25,679 | 31.9 | $18 \cdot 7$ | $30 \cdot 2$ |
| Norfolk. | 627 | 615 | 654 | 632 | 31,359 | $20 \cdot 2$ | $21 \cdot 2$ | 21.8 |
| Simcoe, t | 104 | 90 | 115 | 103 | 5,226 | $19 \cdot 7$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 0$ |
| Remaining parts | 523 | 525 | 538 | 529 | 26.133 | 20.2 | $20 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 1$ |
| Northumberland. | 555 | 551 | 557 | 554 | 31.452 | $17 \cdot 6$ | 19.9 | $20 \cdot 3$ |
| Cobourg, t. | 118 | 112 | 108 | 113 | 5,834 | 19.4 | $23 \cdot 7$ | 18.8 |
| Remaining parts | 436 | 439 | 449 | 441 | 25,618 | $17 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | 20.8 |
| Ontario... | 1,277 | 1,156 | 1,049 | 1,161 | 59,667 | $19 \cdot 5$ | $23 \cdot 1$ | 19.4 |
| Oehawa, c | . 663 | , 577 | 470 | , 570 | 23,439 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $27 \cdot 3$ | 20.5 |
| Whitby, t . | 55 | 47 | 58 | 53 | 5,046 | 10.5 | $22 \cdot 8$ | 10.6 |
| Remaining parts | 559 | 532 | 521 | 537 | 31.182 | $17 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | 19.8 |
| Oxford.... | 923 | 796 | 821 | 847 | 47,825 | 17.7 | 21.5 | 18.9 |
| Woodstock, | 206 | 175 | 174 | 185 | 11,395 | $16 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | 14.8 |
| Ingersoll, t . | 106 | 70 | 92 | 89 | 5,233 | $17 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 6$ |
| Remaining parts | 611 | 551 | 555 | 572 | 31.197 | $18 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | $21 \cdot 1$ |
| Parry Sound. | 609 | 628 | 691 | 643 | 25,900 | 24.8 | 19.4 | 29.5 |
| Peel.......... | 476 | 445 | 483 | 485 | 28,156 | $17 \cdot 2$ | 21.5 | $18 \cdot 4$ |
| Brampton, t . | 107 | 96 | 89 | 97 | 5.532 | $17 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | 16.6 |
| Remaining parts | 369 | 309 | 394 | 387 | 22.624 | 17.1 | $20 \cdot 8$ | 18.9 |
| Perth. | 907 | 928 | 841 | 842 | 51.392 | 17.4 | $20 \cdot 9$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| Stratiord, c. | 350 | 336 | 281 | 322 | 17,742 | 18.1 | $23 \cdot 8$ | 17.5 |
| Remaining parts | 557 | 592 | 560 | 570 | 33.650 | 16.9 | $19 \cdot 3$ | 20.2 |
| Peterborough.. | 901 | 861 | 804 | 875 | 43.958 | $19 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| Peterborough, c | 476 | 458 | 452 | 462 | 22,327 | 20.7 | $24 \cdot 9$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts. | 425 | 403 | 412 | 413 | 21,631 | 19.1 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 4$ |
| - Prescott....... | 695 | 686 | 648 | 676 | 24,596 | 27.5 | 19.6 | $32 \cdot 2$ |
| Hawkesbury, t | 180 | 158 | 152 | 163 | 5,177 | 31.5 | $21 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 4$ |
| Remaining parts | 515 | 528 | 496 | 513 | 19.419 | $26 \cdot 4$ | $19 \cdot 3$ | 31.5 |
| Prince Edward. | 319 | 311 | 299 | 310 | 16,693 | 18.6 | $19 \cdot 6$ | 21.8 |
| Rainy River... | 382 | 388 | 390 | 387 | 17,359 | 22.3 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 2$ |
| Fort Frances, t . | 161 | 138 | 122 | 140 | 5,470 | $25 \cdot 6$ | $24 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 2$ |
| Remaining parts | ${ }^{221}$ | +250 | + 268 | 246 | 11.889 | $20 \cdot 7$ | 18.5 | $25 \cdot 7$ |
| Renfrew..... | 1,275 | 1,159 | 1,192 | 1,209 | 52,227 | 23.1 | 21.0 | $25 \cdot 3$ |
| Pembroke, | 247 | 225 | 254 | 242 | 9.368 | $25 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 9$ | 22.9 |
| Renfrew, t.. | 103 | 125 | 116 | 115 | 5,296 | 21.7 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 7$ |
| Remaining parts. | 925 | 809 | 822 | 852 | 37,563 | $22 \cdot 7$ | 19.4 | 26.9 |
| Russell.. | 519 | 532 | 542 | 531 | 18,487 | $28 \cdot 7$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | $35 \cdot 5$ |
| Simarrie. t . | 1,534 | 1,519 | 1,502 | 1,518 | 83,667 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| Collingwood, $\ddot{t}$ | 109 | - 139 | 131 98 | 141 | 7,770 | 18.1 17.4 | 23.1 20.7 | 18.0 10.4 |
| Midland, t... | 146 | 170 | 123 | 146 | 6,920 | 21.1 | $23 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 8$ 20.8 |
| Orillia, t. | 170 | 156 | 176 | 167 | 8,183 | 20.4 | 24.4 | 19.2 |
| Remaining parts. | 956 | 959 | 974 | 963 | 54,979 | 17.5 | 19.4 | 20.8 |
| Stormont | 840 | 849 | 815 | 835 | 32,524 | $25 \cdot 7$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | 26.8 |
| Cornwall, t | 386 | 354 | 341 | 360 | 11,126 | $32 \cdot 4$ | 24.4 | $30 \cdot 4$ |
| Remaining parts. | 454 | 495 | 474 | 474 | 21,398 | $22 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 7$ | 24-6 |

TABLE 14. Live births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932--Con.

| County or Census Division and City, Town, etc. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1931 | Birtn Rates per 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | A verage, 1930-32 |  | Crude | Expected | $\int_{\text {ized }}^{\text {Standard- }}$ |
| Ontario-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sudbury. | 1,767 | 1,841 | 1,818 | 1,809 | 58.251 | 31.1 | 21.0 | 34.0 3.9 |
| Sudbury, c. | 1,635 | , 748 | , 673 | 685 | 18,518 | 37.0 | $25 \cdot 1$ | 33.9 |
| Remaining parts | 1,132 | 1,093 | 1,145 | 1,123 | 39,733 | 28.3 20.9 | $19 \cdot 1$ | 34.0 21.3 |
| Thunder Bav...... | 1,385 | 1,357 | 1,348 | 1,363 | 65,118 | 20.9 | $22 \cdot 6$ $23 \cdot 7$ | 21.3 20.6 |
| Fort William, c | 553 431 | 585 361 | 537 403 | 558 398 | 26,277 19.818 | $21 \cdot 2$ 20.1 | $23 \cdot 7$ $24 \cdot 9$ | 20.6 18.6 |
| Port Arthur, c.. Remaining parts | 4311 | 361 411 | 403 408 | 398 407 | 19,818 19,023 | 20.1 21.4 | $24 \cdot 9$ 18.7 | 18.6 26.4 |
| Timiskaming..... | 921 | 969 | 1,078 | 989 | 37,043 | $26 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | $29 \cdot 4$ |
| Victoria...... | 462 | 425 | 442 | 443 | 25,844 | $17 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 19.6 |
| Lindsay, t | 156 | 134 | 126 | 139 | 7,505 | $18 \cdot 5$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | 18.4 |
| Remaining parts | 306 | 291 | 316 | 304 | 18,339 | $16 \cdot 6$ | 18.9 | 20.1 |
| Waterloo....... | 1,882 | 1.888 | 1,708 | 1,826 | 89,852 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 0$ | 18.7 |
| Galt, c. | 242 | 251 | 236 | 243 | 14,006 | $17 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | 15.7 |
| Kitchener, | 723 | 719 | 608 | 683 | 30.793 | $22 \cdot 2$ | $28 \cdot 6$ | 17.8 |
| Preston, t. | 118 | 112 | 112 | 114 | 6,280 | $18 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | 16.8 |
| Waterloo, | 165 | 168 | 144 | 159 | 8,095 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 8$ | 16.8 |
| Remaining parts | 634 | 638. | 608 | 627 | 30,678 | 20.4 | $20 \cdot 8$ | 22.6 |
| Welland...... | 1,756 | 1,722 | 1,561 | 1,680 | 82.731 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 19.7 |
| Niagara Falls, c | 423 | 437 | 384 | 415 | 19.046 | 21.8 | 26.6 | 18.8 |
| Welland, c. | 250 | 244 | 221 | 238 | 10,709 | $22 \cdot 2$ | 26.0 | 19.7 |
| Fort Eric, t | 108 | 104 | 95 | 102 | 5.904 | $17 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $15 \cdot 6$ |
| Port Colborne, t | 215 | 192 | 150 | 186 | 6,503 | 28.6 | $24 \cdot 8$ | 26.6 |
| Thorold, t . | 117 | 90 | 90 | 99 | 5,092 | $19 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts | 643 | 655 | 621 | 640 1.111 | 35.477 58.164 | 18.0 19.1 | $21 \cdot 3$ 22 | 19.5 $19.8:$ |
| Wellington.. | 1,162 |  | 1,051 419 | 1,111 443 | 58,164 21,075 | 19.1 21.0 | $22 \cdot 2$ 26.3 | 18.8 18.4 |
| Guelph, c... | 481 | ${ }_{691} 6$ | 619 | 4688 6 | 27,089 | 18.0 18.0 | $26 \cdot 8$ | 18.4 20.9 |
| Wentworth ..... | 3,748 | 3,662 | 3,361 | 3.590 | 190,019 | 18.9 | 25.8 | 16.8 : |
| Hamilton, | 3,204 | 3,139 | 2,884 | 3,076 | 155,547 | $19 \cdot 8$ | 26.5 | $17 \cdot 1$ |
| Dundas, $t$ | 97 | 87 | 78 | 87 | 5,026 | 17.3 | $23 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 8$ |
| Remaining parts | 447 | 436 | 399 | $42 \overline{7}$ | 29,446 | $14 \cdot 5$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | 15.0 |
| York........... | 17,234 | 16,174 | 15,469 | 16,292 | 856, 955 | $19 \cdot 0$ | $28 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| Toronto, | 12,446 | 11,421 | 10,954 | 11,607 | 631,207 | 18.4 | $29 \cdot 1$ | 14.5 |
| Mimico, t | 146 | 164 | 122 | 144 | 6.800 | $21 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 9$ | 18.8 |
| New 'Toronto, t | 187 | 162 | 167 | 172 | 7.146 | $24 \cdot 1$ | 26.7 | 20.7 |
| Remaining parts | 4,455 | 4,427 | 4,226 | 4,369 | 211.802 | $20 \cdot 6$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | 18.4 |
| Manitoba. | 14,257 | 14,278 | 14,028 | 14,188 | 700,139 | 20-3 | 23.1 | 20.2 |
| Division No. 1 | 704 | 755 | 749 | 736 | 22,817 | $32 \cdot 3$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | 39.7 |
| Division No. 2 | 1.141 | 1,116 | 1.17i | 1,145 | 35.810 | 29.5 | 21.3 | 31.9 . |
| Division No. 3 | 622 | 584 | 554 | 587 | 26.753 | 21.9 | 21.2 | $23 \cdot 8$ |
| Division No. 4 | 367 | 334 | 361 | 354 | 18.253 | 19.4 | $21 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| Division No. 5. | 989 | 974 | 945 | 969 | 46,228 | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 23.9 |
| Transcona, t . | 107 | 109 | 101 | 106 | 5.747 | 18.4 | $23 \cdot 3$ | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Remaining part | 882 | 865 | \$44 | 864 | 40.481 | 21.3 | $19 \cdot 7$ | 24.9 |
| Division No. 6. | 5.098 | 5,023 | 4,776 | 4,966 | 283,828 | 17.5 | $27 \cdot 3$ | 14.7 |
| Portage la Prairie, | 115 | 135 | 103 | 118 | 6.597 | 17.9 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 17.7 |
| St.. Boniface, c.... | 348 | 350 | 306 | 335 | 16.305 | 20.5 | 26.9 | 17.5 |
| Winnipeg, c. | 3.680 | 3.618 | 3,361 | 3.553 | 218.785 | 16.2 | 28.5 | $13 \cdot 1$. |
| Remaining parts | 955 | 920 | 1.006 | 960 | 42.141 | $22 \cdot 8$ | $21 \cdot 8$ | 24.0 17.5 |
| Brandon, c. | 304 | 300 | 244 | 283 | 17,082 | 16.6 | $24 \cdot 7$ | 15.4 |
| Remaining parts | 335 | 349 | 348 | 344 | 19,830 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 19.5 |
| Division No. 8... | 361 | 361 | 332 | 351 | 19.846 | 17.7 | $20 \cdot 4$ | 19.9 |
| Division No. 9. | 815 | 761 | 768 | 781 | 45.414 | 17.2 | $21 \cdot 1$ | 18.7 |
| Division No. 10 | 362 | 384 | 367 | 371 | 17.916 | $20 \cdot 7$ | 193 | 24.7 |
| Division No. 11. | 585 | 544 | 600 | 576 | 28.100 | 20.5 | $20 \cdot 1$ | 23.4 |
| Division No. 12. | 556 | 614 | 577 | 582 | 24,344 | $23 \cdot 9$ | 17.4 | 31.6 |
| Division No. 13. | 527 | 566 | 572 | 555 | 24.263 | $22 \cdot 9$ | 19.5 | 26.9 |
| Division No. 14. | 613 | 593 | 575 | 594 | 25,978 | $22 \cdot 9$ | 19.4 | 27.0 |
| Division No. 15. | 254 | 232 | 243 | 243 | 10,008 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | 27.9 |
| Division No. 16. | 624 | 788 | 840 | 751 | 30,669 | $24 \cdot 5$ | 18.7 | $30 \cdot 1$ |
| Saskatchewan | 22,215 | 21,442 | 20,912 | 21,523 | 921,785 | $23 \cdot 3$ | 21.0 | 25.5 |
| Division No. 1. | 905 | 921 | $83 i$ | 888 | 41.544 | 21.4 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 24.2 |
| Division No. 2. | 994 | 954 | 856 | 935 | 42,831 | 21.8 | $20 \cdot 4$ | 24.6 |
| Weyburn, c. | 77 | 95. | 72 | 81 | 5,002 | $16 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | 14.7 |
| Remaining parts | 917 | 859 | 784 | 853 | 37,829 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | $26 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 3. | 1,171 | 1,068 | 1.032 | 1.090 | 46,881 | $23 \cdot 3$ | 19.9 | 26.9. |
| Division No. 4. | 681 | 626 | 554 | 620 | 28,126 | 22.0 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 25.0 |
| Division No. 5. | 1,294 | 1,167 | 1,219 | 1,227 | 53,948 | 22.74 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 25.7 20.0 |
| Division No. 6. | 2,543 | 2,419 | 2,086 | 2,349 | 109,906 | 21.4 22.6 | 24.6 29.2 | 20.0 17.8 |
| Regina, c.. | 1,353 | 1.237 | 1.023 | 1,204 | 53.209 | $22 \cdot 6$ 20.2 | 29.2 | 17.8 23.0 |
| Remaining parts | 1,190 1,380 | 1,182 | 1,063 1,217 | 1.145 1.297 | 56.697 63.230 | 20.2 20.5 | $20 \cdot 2$ 21.3 | 23.0 22.2 |
| Division No. 7. Moose Jaw, | 1,380 | 1,293 | 1,217 343 | 1,297 | 63.230 21,299 | 20.5 17.5 | $21 \cdot 3$ $24 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 2$ 16.5 |
| Remaining parts. | 969 | 932 | 874 | 925 | 41.931 | $22 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | 25.7 |
| Division No.8.... | 1,228 | 1,165 | 1,071 | 1,155 | 49,361 | 23.4 | $20 \cdot 3$ | 26.5 |
| Swift Current, c | 132 | 107 | 87 | 109 | 5,296 | $20 \cdot 6$ | 23.4 | 20.2 |
| Remaining parts. | 1,096 | 1,058 | 984 | 1.046 | 44.065 | $23 \cdot 7$ | 19.9 | 27.4 |
| Division No. 9. | 1,473 | 1,431 | 1,504 | 1.469 | 60.539 | $24 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | 27.8 |
| Yorkton, c. | 123 | 105 | 111 | 113 | 5,027 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 9$ |
| Remaining parts. | 1,350 | 1,326 | 1,393 | 1,356 | 55,512 | 24.4 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $28 \cdot 6$ |

TABLE 14. Llve births in Canada by residence of mother, and birth rates (crude, expected and standardized) for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining
parts of counties or census divisions, 1930-1932-Con.

| County or Census Division and City, Town, etc. | No. of Births by Residence of Mother |  |  |  | Population, 1931 | Birth Rates per 1,000 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average, } \\ 1930-32 \end{gathered}$ |  | Crude | Expected | Standardized |
| Saskatchewan-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division No. 10. | 1.071 | 995 | 1,028 | 1,031 | 41,890 | $24 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | 29.9 |
| Division No. 11. | 1,973 | 1,744 | 1,616 | 1,778 | 87,976 | 20.2 | $23 \cdot 8$ | 19.5 |
| Saskatoon, c..... | 957 | 887 | $\bigcirc 789$ | 878 | 43, 291 | 20.3 | 28.1 | $16 \cdot 6$ |
| Remaining parts Division No. | 1,016 869 | 857 | 827 | ${ }_{9} 900$ | 44,685 | $20 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | 23.5 |
| Division No. $12 \ldots$ Division No. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (3... | 1.869 1.120 | 902 1,050 | 787 1.036 | 853 1.069 | 40,612 | 21.0 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 8$ |
| Division No. ${ }^{\text {Dis }}$ Dision No. 14. | 1,120 1.092 | 1,050 1,256 | 1,036 1,363 | 1,069 1,237 | 42,632 | $25 \cdot 1$ 26.8 | 19.8 19.4 | 29.2 |
| Division No. 15. | 2,345 | 2.305 | 2,381 | 2,344 | 83,697 | 28.0 | 19.4 20.5 | 31.7 31.4 |
| Prince Albert, e | 232 | 217 | , 227 | 225 | 9.905 | $22 \cdot 7$ | 25.6 | 20.4 |
| Remaining parts | 2.113 | 2,088 | 2,154 | 2,118 | 73,792 | 28.7 | 19.8 | $33 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 16. | 1,234 | 1,177 | 1. 285 | 1,232 | 48,736 | $25 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | 29.5 |
| North Battloford, c | 147 | 121 | 114 | 127 | 5,986 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 19.7 |
| Remaining parts. | 1,087 | 1,056 | 1,171 | 1,105 | 42,750 | 25.8 | $19 \cdot 0$ | 31.2 |
| Division No. $17 .$. | 673 | 752 | 784 | 736 | 27,315 | 26.9 | 19.4 | 31.9 |
| Division No. 18. | 169 | 217 | 256 | 214 | 6,339 | $33 \cdot 8$ | 21.5 | 36.2 |
| Alberta. | 17,632 | 17,197 | 16,966 | 17,265 | 731,605 | 23.6 | 21.8 | 24.9 |
| Division No. 1. | 717 | 696 | 641 | 685 | 28,849 | $23 \cdot 7$ | 21.2 | $25 \cdot 7$ |
| Medicine Hat, c | 209 | 172 | 179 | 187 | 10,300 | 18.2 | $23 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 7$ |
| Remaining parts | 508 | 524 | 462 | - 498 | 18.549 | 26.8 | 19.9 | 30.9 |
| Division No. 2. | 1,420 | 1,353 | 1,331 | 1,368 | 57.186 | 23.8 | 21.8 | $25 \cdot 2$ |
| Tethbridge, e. | 328 | 317 | , 276 | 307 | 13,489 | $22 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| Remaining part | 1,092 | 1.036 | 1,055 | 1.061 | 43,697 | $24 \cdot 3$ | 20.7 | 26.9 |
| Division No. 3 . | 354 | - 329 | 334 | 339 | 15,060 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 6$ | 26.4 |
| Division No. 4 | 712 | 570 | 530 | 604 | 29.067 | 20.8 | 21.4 | $22 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 5. | 584 | 539 | 459 | 527 | 26.651 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 23-7 |
| Division No. 6. | 3.040 | 2,780 | 2,670 | 2.830 | 140,624 | 20.1 | 24.5 | 18.9 |
| Calgary, c.... | 1,681 1,359 | 1.573 | 1,469 | 1,574 | 83,761 | 18.8 | 26.4 | 16.4 |
| Remaining par | 1,359 | 1,207 | 1,201 | 1,256 | 56,863 | $22 \cdot 1$ | 21.6 | 23.5 |
| Division No. 7. | 883 | 817 | 872 | 857 | 38, 106 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 26.4 |
| Division No. 8. | 1.374 | 1,296 | 1,271 | 1,314 | 61,016 | 21.5 | 21.3 | $23 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 9. | 437 | 472 | 512 | 474 | 24,503 | $19 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 10. | 1,655 | 1,536 | 1,464 | 1,552 | 58,049 | 26.7 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $30 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 11. | 2,938 | 2,987 | 2,815 | 2,913 | 126,832 | 23.0 | $24 \cdot 2$ | 21.8 |
| Edmonton, c... | 1,694 | 1,692 | 1,552 | 1,646 | 79, 197 | 20.8 | $26 \cdot 8$ | 17.8 |
| - Remaining part | 1.244 | 1,295 | 1,263 | 1,267 | 47,635 | 20.6 | 19.9 | $30 \cdot 7$ |
| Division No. 12. | 311 | 340 | 420 | 357 | 13,815 | $25 \cdot 8$ | 19.8 | $30 \cdot 1$ |
| Division No. 13. | 804 | 872 | 830 | 835 | 24,936 | 33.5 | 19.0 | $40 \cdot 4$ |
| Division No. 14. | 1,085 | 1,228 | 1.275 | 1,196 | 39,508 | $30 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 2$ | 36.2 |
| Division No. 15. | 385 | 409 | 503 | - 432 | 13,664 | 31.6 | $19 \cdot 8$ | 36.8 |
| Division No. 16. | 683 | 775 | 818 | 759 | 27,945 | 27.2 | 19.8 | 31.6 |
| Division No. 17. | 250 | 198 | 221 | 223 | 5,788 | $38 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 5$ | 45.4 |
| British Columbia ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 10,851 | 10,431 | 10,226 | 10,503 | 694,263 | 15.1 | 21.7 | 16.1 |
| Division No. 1 | 490 | 444 | 411 | 448 | 22,566 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 22.9 |
| Division No. 2 | 678 | 711 | 717 | 702 | 40,455 | $17 \cdot 4$ | 21.3 | 18.7 |
| Nelson, c. | 102 | 130 | 105 | 112 | 5,992 | 18.7 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 18.5 |
| 'Trail, c.. | 209 | 205 | 239 | 218 | 7,573 | $28 \cdot 8$ | 24.4 | $27 \cdot 1$ |
| Remaining parts | 367 | 376 | 373 | 372 | 26, 890 | $13 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | 15.9 |
| Division No. 3. | 717 | 724 | 740 | 727 | 40,523 | 17.9 | $20 \cdot 1$ | 20.5 |
| Division No. 4. | 5,606 | 5,389 | 5,058 | 5,371 | 379, 858 | 14.1 | $22 \cdot 9$ | 14.2 |
| New Westminster, c. | 331 | 363 | 322 | 339 | 17,524 | $19 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| North Vanoouver, c.. | 148 | 116 | 134 | 133 | 8,510 | $15 \cdot 6$ | 21.9 | 16.4 |
| Vincouver, c... | 3.631 | 3,368 | 3,096 | 3,365 | 246,593 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $24 \cdot 4$ | 12.9 |
| Remaining parts. | 1,556. | 1,542 | 1,506 | 1,535 | 107.231 | $14 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | 16.7 |
| Division No. 5 A. | 1,627 | 1,451 | 1,471 | 1,516 | 114,338 | $13 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | 14.6 |
| Nanaimo, e. | 104 | 123 | 108 | 132 | 6,745 | 19.6 | $22 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 7$ |
| Victoria, c.. | 518 | 494 | 460 | 491 | 39,082 | 12.6 | 22.3 | 12.9 |
| Remaining parts. | 945 | 834. | 903 | 894 | 68,511 | $13 \cdot 0$ | 19.9 | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| Division No. 5 B.. | 112 | 92 | 120 | 108 | 6,595 | 16.4 | $18 \cdot 7$ | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 6 A. | 434 | 429 | 418 | 427 | 25,030 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 19.5 | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| Kamloops, c.. | 115 | 116 | 103 | 111 | 6,167 | 18.0 | 20.8 | 19.9 |
| Remaining parts | 319. | 313 | 315 | 316 | 18,863 | $16 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| Jjvision No. 6 B . | 102 | 103 | 114 | 106 | 4,995 | 21.2 | $19 \cdot 2$ | 25.4 |
| Division No. 7... | 209 | 215 | 236 | 220 | 12,658 | 17.4 | 20.9 | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| Jivision No. 8 A. | 211 | 218 | 248 | 226 | 11,626 | 19-4 | $17 \cdot 2$ | 26.0 |
|  | 158 | 174 | 214 | 182 | 9,908 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 22.0 |
| Division No. 9 A. | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 69 | 718 | 7.9 | 13.9 | 13.1 |
| Division No. 9 B. | 16 268 | $\begin{array}{r}17 \\ 284 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 20 232 | $1{ }^{181}{ }^{9}$ | [ 6388 | 27.7 16.6 | 17.2 | 37.0 |
| Division No. 9 Prince Rupert, | 114 | 284 | 232 83 | 261 | 15,676 6,350 | 16.6 16.7 | 18.6 21.3 | 20.5 18.0 |
| Remaining parts | 154 | 164 | 149 | 156 | 9,326 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 23.0 |
| Division No. 9 D | 32 | 56 | 45 | 44 | 1,666 | $26 \cdot 4$ | 17.4 | 34.9 |
| Division No. 10 A. | 5 | - | 1 | 9 | 100 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 10.0 | 6.9 |
| Division No. 10 B . | 5 | ${ }_{16}{ }^{2}$ | 1 | $13{ }^{3}$ | 228 | 11.8 | $13 \cdot 2$ | 20.7 |
| Division No. 10 C | 119 | 116 | 176 | 137 | 0,685 | 20.5 | $17 \cdot 4$ | 27.2 |
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TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions,

Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| No | County or Census Division and City, Town, etc. | Births, 1930 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Place of } \\ \text { Occurrence } \end{gathered}$ | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By } \\ & \text { Residence } \\ & \text { of Mother } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | New Brunswisk-Con. | 1,214 | 31 | 31 | 1,214 |
| 1 | Westmorland......... | 1, 214 | 67 | 18 | 1.214 476 |
| $\cdot 2$ | Moncton, c.... . . . . . . . . . . . . | 529 | 8 | 57 | 738 |
| 4 | Remaining parts..................... | 668 | 25 | 26 | 669 |
| . 5 | Fredericton, c. | 205 | 40 | 5 | 170 |
| 16 | Remaining parts. | 463 | 3 | 39 | 499 |
|  | Quebec. | 83,62: | 68 | 359 | 83,926 |
| 7 | Abitibi. | 905 | 9 | 11 | 907 |
| 8 | Argenteuil. | 398 | 5 | 11 | 404 |
| 9 | Arthabaska | 850 | 2 | 10 | 858 |
| 10 | Victoriaville, t . | 214 | - | 4 | 218 |
| 11 | Remaining parts. | 636 | 2 | 6 | 640 |
| 12 | Bagot.............. | 495 | 2 | ${ }_{4}^{8}$ | 497 |
| 13 | Beauce.... | 1,63] | 4 | 8 | 1,635 |
| 14 | Beauharnois. | 534 | 6 | 9 | 537 |
| 15 | Valleyfield, c. | 340 194 | 5 | 3 | 338 199 |
| 16 | Remaining parts. | 194 | 1 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 8 |
| 17 | Bellechasse......... | 713 | - | 6 | 719 |
| 18 | Berthier... | 528 | 4 | 3 | . 527 |
| 19 | Bonaventure. | 1,072 | 2 | 19 | 1.089 |
| 20 | Brome.. | 198 | 12 | 8 | 194 |
| 21 | Chambly .... | 490 | 6 | 28 | 512 |
| 22 | Longueuil, e. | 137 | $\stackrel{4}{8}$ | 6 24 | 139 |
| 23 | St-Lambert, c.. | 74 979 | 8 | 24 10 | 90 283 |
| 24 | Remaining parts. | \% 279 | ${ }_{13}^{6}$ | 10 | 283 2.071 |
| 25 | Champlain........ | 2,065 | 13 | 19 | 2,071 |
| 26 | Cap-de-la-Madeleine, | 3571 | 1 | 3 | 359 |
| 27 | Grand'Mère, c......... | 221 | 1. | 1 | 221 |
| 28 | La Tuque, t........ | 312 | 8 | 2 | 305 |
| 29 | Remaining parts.. | 1, 1.75 | 8 | 19 | 1,186 |
| 30 | Charlevoix......... | 831 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 6 | 835 |
| 31 | Chateaugray... | - 289 | 2 | 16 | , 303 |
| 32 | Chicoutimi.... | 2,595 | 1 | 7 | 2,601 498 |
| 33 | Chicoutimi, c. | 498 | 4 | 4 | 498 |
| 34 | Jonquiere, t.... | +499 | 7 | 4. | +496 |
| 35 | Remaining parts. | 1,598 | 5 | 14 | 1,607 |
| 36 | Compton ${ }^{3}$......... | 523 | 3 | 17 | 537 |
| 37 | Deux-Montagnes. | +371 | 3 | 6 3 | 377 1,028 |
| 38 | Dorchester... | 1,028 | 5 | 3 10 | 1,028 781 |
| 39 | Drummond........ | 776 314 | 5 8 | 10 13 | 781 319 |
| 40 | Drummondville, t....... | 314 | 8 8 | 13 | 319 462 |
| 41 | Fromtenac............ | 402 961 | 10 2 3 | 10 | 462 967 |
| 43 | Gaspe......... | 1,405 | 3 16 | $13{ }^{3}$ | 1,405 |
| 44 | Hull ......... | 1.984 | 16 | 135 | 2.103 |
| 45 | Hull, c. | 1,019 | 12 | 58 | 1,065 |
| 46 | Remaining parts.. | 965 | 9 | 82 | 1,038 |
| 47 | Huntingdon.......... | 267 | 2 | - 8 | 274 239 |
| 48 | Iberville............. | 233 | 3 | - | 276 |
| 49 | Iles-de-la-Madeleine ${ }^{4}$ | 879 | 10 | -5 | 276 |
| 50 | Joliet te............. | 861 332 | 10 | 4 | 829 |
| 51 | Joliette, c............... | 332 <br> 529 | 7 6 | 4 | 329 |
| 52 | Remaining parts....... | 529 786 | - 1 | 5 | 790 |
| 53 | Kamouraska.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 786 702 | - $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 3\end{array}$ | 8 | 707 |
| 54 55 | Labelle. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,206 | $-$ | 8 | 2,214 |
| 56 | Laprairic................. . . . . . | 360 | 6 | 3 | 357 |
| 57 | I'Assompton. . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 425 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 424 |
| 58 | Levis .......... . . . . . . . . . | 1,007 | 5 | 10 | 1,012 |
| 59 | İevis, c........... | 309 | 15 | 4 | 298 |
| 60 | Lauzon, t......... | 197 501 | 3 | ${ }_{18}^{2}$ | 5196 |
| 61 | Remaining parts....... | 501 645 | 4 | 18 2 | 56 |
| 62 | L'Islet. . . . . . . . . . . | 645 748 | 3 | 1 | 740 |
| 63 | Lotbinierre....... | 748 <br> 512 | 3 | 1 | 740 509 |
| 64 | Maskinonge.................. | 512 1.970 1.281 | 4 | 16 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1,980 } \\ \hline, 989\end{array}$ |
| 65 | Matane ......... . . . . . . | $\begin{array}{r}1.970 \\ 1.281 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9 | 10 | 1,282 |
| 66 67 | Megantic. Thetiord Mines, ${ }^{\text {c }}$. . . . . . . . . . . | 1,281 | 4 | 10 9 | 1,282 536 |
| 67 68 | Thetiord Mines, c....... | 750 | 8 | 4 | 746 |
| 69 | - Missisquoi......... | 456 | 10 | 12 | 458 |
| 70 | Montcalm.. | 396 | 5 | 4 | 305 |
| 71 | Montmagny.... | 628 | 5 | 16 | 629 |

TABLE 15. Lite births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for citles and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1931 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Place of Occurrence - | To NonResideni Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | By Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | No. |
| 1. 295 | 40 | 22 | 1,277 | 1.284 | 24 | 20 | 1.280 | 1 |
| 557 | 79 | 14 | 1. 492 | 1,511 | 68 | 11 | 1,280 | 1 |
| 738 | 14 | 61 | 785 | 773 | 7 | 60 | 826 | 3 |
| 705 | 28 | 16 | 693 | 725 | 36 | 23 | 712 | 4 |
| 192 | 51 | 6 | 147 | 199 | 52 | 8 | 155 | 5 |
| 513 | 13 | 46 | 546 | 526 | 8 | 39 | 557 | 6 |
| 83, cot | 50 | 308 | 83,854 | 82,211 | $4{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 250 | 82,424 |  |
| 909 | 14 | 12 | 907 | 967 | $\varepsilon$ | 16 | 975 | 7 |
| 398 | 2 | $\varepsilon$ | 404 | 409 | 5 | 23 | 427 | 8 |
| 855 | 2 | 1 | 854 | 895 | 1 | 3 | 897 | 9 |
| 220 | , | 1 | 221 | 213 | - | 5 | 218 | - |
| 635 | 2 | - | 633 | 682 | 6 | 3 | 679 | 11 |
| 491 1,678 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | ${ }_{7}^{4}$ | 493 1.680 | , 549 | 1 | 4 | 552 | 12 |
| 1647 | 3 | 21 | 1,680 | 1,6701 | 4 | 8 | , 674 | 13 14 |
| 352 | 5 | 3. | 350 | 387 | 5 | 14 3 | 385 | 15 |
| 295 | 2 | 22 | 315 | 274 | 3 | 15 | 286 | 16 |
| 774 | 3 | 4 | 775 | 731 | - | 4 | 735 | 17 |
| ${ }^{551}$ | 1 | 4 | 554 | 520 | 3 | 4 | 521 | 18 |
| 1.046 | 4 | 26 | 1,068 | 1,128, | 5 | 18 | 1.141 | 19 |
| 201 | $?$ | 11 | 205 | 230 | 14 | 8 | 224 | 20 |
| 455 <br> 136 | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | 42 | 495 | 456 | 6 | 43 | 493 | 21 |
| 136 71 | 8 | ${ }_{20}^{12}$ | 140 | 106 | 9 | 22 | 119 | 22 |
| 248 | - | 23 | 271 | 289 | 5 | 11 25 | -658 | $\stackrel{23}{24}$ |
| 2,120 | 2 | 29 | 2.147 | 2,025 | 7 | 16 | 2.034 | 24 |
| 346 | 3 | 4 | 2.347 | 293 | 3 | 10 <br> 3 | 2,093 | 26 |
| 218 | 2 | 3 | 219 | 211 | 2 | 3 | 212 | 27 |
| 347 1209 | 6 | 6 | 347 | 283 | 1 | 2 | - 284 | 28 |
| 1, 209 | - | 25 | 1,234 | 1,238 | 5 | 12 | 1,245 | 29 |
| 797 <br> 302 | 1 | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | 798 310 | ${ }^{833}$ | 5 | 2 | 830 | 30 |
| 2,353 | - 3 | 8 7 | $\begin{array}{r}310 \\ 2,357 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2, 2971 | 1 2 | 4 | 300 2.418 | 31 32 |
| 490 | 5 | 8 | -493 | 2,558 | 3 | 5 | 2.560 | 33 |
| 438 | 29 | 4 | 413 | 416 | 5 | 3 | 414 | 34 |
| 1,425 | 6 | 32 | 1,451 | 1,442 | 7 | 9 | 1,444 | 35 |
| ${ }^{533}$ | - | 22 | 555 | 505 | 2 | 24 | 527 | 36. |
|  | -5 | 10 | 379 | ${ }^{371}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 5 | 374 | 37 |
| 1.084 | 5 3 | 6 6 | 1,031 | $\begin{array}{r}1,021 \\ \hline \quad 919\end{array}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | $1{ }^{3}$ | 1,022 | 38. |
| . 290 | 2 | 7 | 295 | - 344 | 4 | 10 | 926 349 | ${ }_{6} 39$. |
| 552 | 4 | 2 | 550 | 575 | 1 | 3 | 577 | 41 |
| 1,009 | 1 | 6 | 1,014 | 920 | - | 5 | 925 | 42 |
| 1,450 | 2 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 1,451 | 1,437 | 2 | 3 | 1,438 | 43 |
| 1,970 | 25 | 116 | 2.061 | 1,863 | 11 | 96 | 1,948 | 44 |
| 985 | 20 | 44 | 1,009 | 874 | 15 | 35. | 1. 894 | 45 |
| 985 <br> 262 | 13 | 80 | 1,052 | 989 | 8 | 73 | 1.054 | 46 |
| 244 | 3 2 | 6 | 248 | ${ }_{212}^{24}$ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 5 5 | 245 | 47 |
| 302 | 2 | - | 300 | 336 | 1 | -5. | 216 | 48 |
| 879 | 4 | 5 | 880 | 892 | 6 | 2 | 888 | 50 |
| 343 536 | 4 | 5 | 344 | 352 | 7 | 1. | 346 | 51 |
| 536 786 | 1 | 1 | 536 | 540 756 | 2 | 4 | 542 | 52 |
| 750 | 1 | 3 | ${ }_{756}^{781}$ | ${ }_{791}^{756}$ |  | 1 | 755 | 53 |
| 2,237 | 1 | 4 | 2,240 | 791 2,336 | 1. | $\stackrel{9}{8}$ | $\begin{array}{r}799 \\ \hline 243\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 348 | 3 | 4 | - 349 | 2446 | - | $3{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,349 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 56 |
| 484 | 4 | 1. | 481 | 434 | 3 | 5 | 436 | 57 |
| 983 | 2 | 5 | 986 | 956 | 7 | 17. | 966 | 58: |
| 285 | 9 | - ${ }^{6}$ | 282 | 283 | 19 | 11. | 275 | 59 |
| 473 | 1 | $11^{2}$ | 221 | 180 493 | 7 1 | ${ }^{9} 7{ }^{\prime}$ | 182 | 60 |
| 620 | 2 | 4 | 622 | 645 | $-$ | 17. | ${ }_{6} 698$ | 61. |
| 728 | - | 6 | 734 | 805 | 4 | 5 | 806 | 63 |
| ${ }^{482}$ | - | 1 | 483 | 547 | 1 | 2. | 548 | 64 |
| 1,849 | 3 | 8 | 1,854 | 1,791 | 1. | 9 | 1, 799 | 65. |
| 1,164 | 4 | 7 | 1,167 | 1,196 | 10 | 2. | l, 1,188 | 66. |
| 418 746 | -5 | 3 | 421 | 376 | 5 | - | 371 | 67 |
| 434 | ${ }^{5}$ | [ 5 | 746 | 820 | 5 | 2 | 817 | 68 |
| 408 | 1 | ${ }_{3}$ | 410 | 455 | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ | 8 4. | 46 | 69. |
| 658 | - | 3 | 661 | 643 | 1 | 9 | 051 | 71 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions,

Canada, 1930-1932-Con.


TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remalning parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1931 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By <br> Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By } \\ & \text { Residence } \\ & \text { of Mother } \end{aligned}$ | By Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Residence } \\ \text { of Mother } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 558 |  | 8 | 566 | 543 | 4 | 6 | 545 | 1 |
| 23.884 | 193 | 110 | 23,791 | 22,941 | 207 | 111 | 22, 345 | 2 |
| 20,482 | 34 710 | 296 | 20,068 | 19,651 | 770 | 12 310 | 19.191 | 3 4 4 |
| ${ }^{2} 113$ |  | 98 |  | 130 | 1 | 122 | 19.121 | $\stackrel{4}{5}$ |
| 1.179 | 5 | 378 | 1,552 | 1,183 | 11 | 334 | 1,506 | 6 |
| ${ }_{137}{ }^{181}$ | 287 | 82 10 | 156 <br> 146 | 333 127 | $\stackrel{265}{-}$ | ${ }_{11}^{97}$ | 165 138 1 | 7 8 |
| 1.116 | 9 | 90 | 1,197 | 1,108 | 11 | 104 | 1,201 | ${ }_{9}$ |
| ${ }^{218}$ | 1 | 3 | 220 | 182 |  | 3 | 185 | 10 |
| 894 <br> 900 | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | 29 <br> 29 | 894 <br> 924 <br> 1 | 866 888 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 5 5 | 868 | 11 |
| 503 | 2 | 30 | ${ }_{531}$ | 538 | 10 | ${ }_{32}^{18}$ | ${ }_{556} 8$ | 13 |
| 1,146 | 2 | 14 | 1,158 | 1,131 | 3 | 19 | 1,147 | 14 |
| 4,462 | 97 | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | 5.585 <br> 4.385 | 5,347 4.290 | 105 | $\stackrel{11}{9}$ | 5.280 | 15 |
| 1,140 | 3 | 29 | 1,166 | 1,057 | 6 | 35 | 1,086 | 17 |
|  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{629}^{629}$ | 573 | 2 | 1 | 572 | 18 |
| 315 314 | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | ${ }_{313}^{316}$ | 279 294 | 1 | 1. | ${ }_{293}^{279}$ | 19 |
| 800 | 6 | 15 | 809 | 693 | - | 16 | 709 | 21 |
| 1,206 | 14 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 1,204 | 1,272 | 5 | 2 | 1,269 | 22 |
| ${ }_{965}^{24}$ | 1 3 | 1 | 241 963 | ${ }_{1,032}^{240}$ | 3 4 4 | 4 | 1.237 | ${ }^{23}$ |
| 349 | 3 | 5 | 351 | ${ }^{1} 346$ | 2 | $\stackrel{4}{6}$ | 1, 350 | 25 |
| 722 | 4 | 1 | 719 | 771 | - | 3 | 774 | 26 |
| 880 388 | 4 | ${ }^{18}$ | 894 389 | ${ }_{8}^{837}$ | 7 | ${ }^{26}$ | 856 | 27 |
| 492 | 3 | 16 | 505 | 378 459 | 4 | ${ }_{19}^{8}$ | ${ }_{474}$ | 29 |
| 999 | 66 | 6 | 939 | 957 | 72 | 5 | 890 | 30 |
| 799 | 76 | 5 | 728 | 769 | 76 | 5 | 698 | 31 |
| ${ }_{226}$ | ${ }_{4}^{6}$ | 17 2 | 211 224 | 188 ${ }_{217}$ | $-^{7}$ | 11 <br> 6 | 192 | ${ }_{33}^{32}$ |
| 631 | 6 | 18 | 643 | 594 | 3 | 21 | 612 | 34 |
| ${ }_{429}^{202}$ | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{18}$ | ${ }_{441}$ | ${ }_{358}^{236}$ | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 242 | ${ }^{35}$ |
| 632 | 10 | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{624}$ | ${ }_{626}$ | $14_{4}^{4}$ | ${ }_{4}^{16}$ | 370 619 | ${ }_{37}^{36}$ |
| 371 | 11 | 2 | 362 | 363 | 10 | 3 | 356 | 38 |
| ${ }_{462}^{261}$ | $-13$ | 1 | $\stackrel{262}{ } 457$ | ${ }_{448}^{263}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | ${ }_{444}^{263}$ | 39 |
| 316 | 13 | 8 | 309 | ${ }_{310}^{448}$ | ${ }_{16}^{9}$ | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | ${ }_{296}^{444}$ | ${ }_{41}^{40}$ |
| 146 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 148 | 138 |  | 10 | 148 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}2,464 \\ \hline 625\end{array}$ | 12 5 5 | $-7$ | 2,459 | 2,369 | 12 | 6 | 2,363 | 43 |
| 1,327 | 11 | -8 | 1,324 | 1,232 <br> 18 | [ ${ }^{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | 1,624 1,226 | 44 |
| ${ }_{799}^{512}$ | 1 | 4 | 515 | ${ }^{513}$ | 1 | 1 | 513 | 46 |
| 1989 1,896 | 5 | 20 | 814 1836 | ${ }^{840}$ | 5 | 18 | ${ }^{853}$ | 47 |
| 1240 | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }^{1,836}$ | 1,734 | $\stackrel{1}{3}$ | - | ${ }^{1,776}$ | 48 |
| 1.596 | 3 | $6_{6}^{6}$ | 1.599 | 1.536 | 1 | 10 | 1,545 | 50 |
| 1,164 | 5 | 14 | 1,173 | 1,158 | 8 | 21 | 1,171 | 51 |
| ${ }_{846}$ | - | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | 320 <br> 853 | 286 872 | $\stackrel{1}{7}$ | 14 | ${ }_{879}^{292}$ | ${ }_{53}^{52}$ |
| 279 | 2 | 11 | 288 | 271 | 1 | 16 | 276 | ${ }_{54}$ |
| 340 560 | 2 | 8 | 348 | 355 | , | 7 | 362 | 55 |
| 535 | $-$ | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | 564. | 570 | 1 | 12 | 581 | 56 |
|  |  |  | 538 | 503 |  | 2 | 505 | 57 |
| 69,209 | 310 | 118 | 69,017 | 60,842 | 251 | 87 | 66,678 |  |
| 147 | 11 | 32 | 168 | 135 | 7 | 17 | 145 |  |
| 1.100 | ${ }_{73}^{12}$ | 41 <br> 14 | 1,129 | 1,181 | ${ }_{87}^{13}$ | 33 | 1.201 | ${ }_{5}^{59}$ |
| ${ }^{465}$ | 9 | 97 | 553 | 533 | 10 | 111 | 634 | ${ }_{61}^{60}$ |
| 1,014 68 | ${ }_{100}^{53}$ | ${ }_{21}^{29}$ | ${ }^{990}$ | 970 | 69 | 19 | 920 | 62 |
| 688 328 | 100 28 | 21 83 | ${ }_{6}^{607}$ | ${ }_{6}^{641}$ | 120 | 16 | 537 | 63 |
| 780 | 28 19 | 83 72 | ${ }_{833}^{383}$ | 329 801 | 39 | ${ }_{63} 9$ | ${ }_{846} 38$ | 64 |
| 3,707 | 317 | 49 | 3,439 | 3,679 | 280 | ${ }_{29}$ | +846 | ${ }_{68}^{65}$ |
| 3,047 | 572 | 33 | 2,508 | 3,027 | 538 | 25 | 2,514 | 67 |
| 174 486 | 12 | ${ }_{256}{ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{730}^{201}$ | ${ }^{189}$ | 4 | 42 | 227 | 68 |
|  | 12 | 256 | 730 | 4631 | 14 | 238 | 687 | 69 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for eities and towns of $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}$ and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.


TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions,

Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1931 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Place of Oceurrenco | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring <br> Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | By Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | No. |
|  | 19 | 38 | 1,790 | 1,791 | 17 | 46 | 1,520 | 1 |
| 1.531 | 48 | ( 6 | 1,489. | 1,519 | 45 | 17 | 491 | 2 |
| 1,240 | 16 | 77 | 1,301 | 1,272 | 13. | 70 | 1.329 | 3 |
| 243 | 10 | 21 | 254 | 254 | 19 | 19 | 254 | 4 |
| 275 | 7 | 27 | 295 | 264 | 3 | 11 | 272 | 5 |
| 433 | 29 | 32 | 436 | 416 | 34 | 27 | 409 | 0 |
| 648 | 14 | 29 | ${ }^{663}$ | 650 | 17 | 23 | 656 | 7 |
| 300 | 73 | 9 | 236 | 300. | 93 | 9 | 216 | 8 |
| 348 | 3. | 82 | 427 | 350 | 5 | ${ }_{95}^{95}$ | - 440 | ${ }_{10}^{8}$ |
| 3.558 | 28 | 54 | 3,584 | 3,113 | 26 | 39 | 3, 126 | 11 |
| 302 | 3 | 73 | 376 <br> 1.393 | $\begin{array}{r}287 \\ \hline 1099\end{array}$ | 146 | ${ }_{21}^{51}$ | 1, 177 | 12 |
| 1.242 | 185 4 | 336 | 1.393 277 | 1,099 132 | 146 6 | 73 | 1,199 | 1 |
| 168 643 | 481 | 113 23 | 185 | 459 | 308 | 14 | 165 | 14 |
| 1,203 | 13 | 163 | 1,353 | 1,136 | 12 | 129 | 1,253 | 15 |
| 057 | 108 | 37 | 886 | 1,010. | 96 | 24 | 938 | 16 |
| 045 | 190 | 14. | - 469 | 658 | 187 | 8 | 479 | 17 |
| 312 | 2 | 107 | 417 | 352 | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | 111 | 459 | 18 |
| 359 | 4 | 66 | 421 | 362 | 3 | 62 49 | ${ }_{276}$ | ${ }_{20}^{19}$ |
| 232 | 5 | 40 | 267 | 1,039 | 57 | 53 | 1,035 | 21 |
| 1,097 | 60 | 48 | $\begin{array}{r}1,079 \\ \hline 253\end{array}$ | 1,039 296 | 67 | 8 | -237 | 22 |
| 338 | 04 | 109 | ${ }_{826}$ | 743 | 48 | 103 | 798 | 23 |
| 759 351 | 35 8 | 52 | 395 | 329 | 10 | 55 | 374 | 24 |
| 145 | 2 | 3 | 146 | 166 | $\bigcirc 3$ | 4 | 167 | 25 |
| 319 | 9 | 105 | 415 | 331 | 15 | 103 | 419 | 26. |
| 1,380 | 56 | 43 | 1,367 | 1,279 | 43 | 39 | 1,275 | 27 |
| 424 | 155 | 11 | 250 | 365 | 121 | 15 | 259 | 28 |
| 115 | 3 | 24 | 136 | 140 | ${ }^{6}$ | 20 | 160 | 29 |
| 841 | 16 | 126 | 951 | 774 | 13 | 95 | 856 | 30. |
| 732 | 30 | 26 | 728 | 705 | 42 | 20 | 683 | 31 |
| 465 | 11 | 29 | 483 | 455 | 19 | 20 | 456 130 | 32 |
| 192 | 48 | 45 | 148 | 180 | 57 | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 130 | 33 |
| ${ }^{273}$ | 3 | 65 | - 338 | - ${ }_{1}^{275}$ | $\stackrel{12}{21}$ | 63 32 | 326 1.268 | 34 35 |
| 1,270 456 | 13 179 | 32 8 | $\begin{array}{r}1,289 \\ 285 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1,257 461 | [181 | 32 10 | 1,268 287 | 35 |
| 814 | 8 | 198 | 1,004 | 796 | 8 | 193 | 981 | 37 |
| 1,051 | 16 | 41 | 1,076 | 924 | 17 | 33 | 940 | 38 |
| 1.464 | 69 | 11 | 406 | 398 | 62 | 12 | 348 | 39. |
| 587 | 13 | 96 | 670 | 526 | 17 | 83 | 592 | 40 |
| 645 | 41 | 20 | 624 | - 619 | 34 | $\stackrel{25}{8}$ | 6,10 103 | 41 |
| 150 | 37 | 7 | 120 | - 128 | 33 14 | 8 30 | 103 | 42 |
| 495 | 24 | 33 | 504 | 491 637 | 14 | 30 59 | 607 | 4 |
| 608 247 | 49 58 | 55 8 | 614 197 | 637 <br> 242 | 78 | 59 | 170 | 44 |
| 301 | 16 | 72 | 417 | 395 | 7 | 90 | 478 | 46. |
| 177 | 5 | 37 | 209 | 169. | 9 | 30 | 190 | 47 |
| 999 | 65 | 57 | 991 | 935. | 53 | 49 | 931 | 48 |
| 627 | 121 | 29 | 535 | 591 | 141 | 17 | 407 | 49 |
| 372 | 19 | 103 | 456 | 344 | 12 | 132 | 404 | 50. |
| 275 | 1 | 8 | 282 | - ${ }_{1}^{259}$ | ${ }_{109}^{2}$ | 54 | $\begin{array}{r}1,898 \\ \hline 1.15\end{array}$ | 51 |
| 1,992 | 134 | 48 | 1,900 | 1,953 <br> 1,397 | 109 | 34 | 1,151 | 53 |
| 1,452 540 | 300 7 | 201 | 1,172 | 1,397 | 17 | 208 | 747. | 54 |
| 378 | 9 | 47 | 416 | 402 | 8 | 56 | 450 | 55 |
| 1,206 | 37 | 40 | 1.209 | 1, 161 | 32 | 46 | 1,175 | 56 |
| 408 | 45 | 15 | 378 | 398 | 53 | 16 | 861 | 57 |
| 798 | 22 | 55 | 831 | 763 | 18 | 69 | 814 | 58 |
| 621 | 30 | 24 | 615 | 654 | 36 | 30. | 654 | 59 |
| 218 | 129 | 1 | 90 | 257 | 149 | 7 | 115 | 60. |
| 403 | 12 | 134 | 525 | 397 | 12 | 154 | 539 | 61 |
| 532 | 14 | 33 | 551 | 522 | 18 | 53 | 557 | 62 |
| 134 | 26 | 4 | 112 | 136 | 36 | 8 | 108 | 63 |
| 398 | 12 | 53 | $\begin{array}{r}439 \\ \hline 156\end{array}$ | ${ }^{386}$ | 11 | 74 87 | 449 1.049 | ${ }_{65}^{64}$ |
| 1,112 | 438 | 87 <br> 38 | 1, 156 | 1,000 516 | 38 | 87 32 | 1,049 470 | 65 66 |
| 607 39 | 68 8 | 38 16 | $\begin{array}{r}577 \\ 47 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}516 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 32 <br> 22 <br> 1 | 470 | 66 67 |
| 466 | 23 | 89 | 532 | 446 | 19 | 94 | 521 | 68 |
| 810 | 55 | 41. | 796 | 811 | 37 | 47 | 821 | 69 |
| 259 | 89 | 5 | 175 | 242 | 79 | 11 | 174 | 70 |
| 116 | 48 | 2 | 70 | 125 | 36 | 14 | 92 | 71 |
| 435 | 33 | 149 | 551 | 444 | 30 | 141 | 555 | 72 |
| 615 | 25 | 38 | 628 | 676 | 28 | 43 | 691 | 73 |
| 422 | 25 | 98 12 | 495 96 | 410 | 23 71 | 96 5 | 483 89 | 74 75 |
| 263 | 4 | 140 | 399 | 255 | 2 | 141 | 394 | 76 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.


TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of countles or census divisions,

Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1831 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By <br> Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | By Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring <br> Elsewhicre to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Residence } \\ \text { of Mother } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 38 | 41 | 928 | 836 | 25 | 30 | 841 | 1 |
| 925 392 | ${ }^{38}$ | 12 | ${ }_{336}$ | 330 | 56 | 7 | 281 | 2 |
| 533 | 21 | 80 | 592 | 506 | 15 | 69 | 560 | 3 |
| 861 | 28 | 28 | 861 | 802 | 23 | 25 | 864 | 4 |
| 612 | 175 | 21 | 458 | 592 | 161 | 21 | 452 | 5 |
| 249 | 6 | 160 | 403 | 270 | 7 | 149 | 412 | 6 |
| 701 | 21 | 6 | 686 | 658 | 21 | 11 | 648 | 7 |
| 173 | 15 | - | 158 | 164 | 14 | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | 152 | 8 |
| 528 | 12 | 12 | 528 | 494 | 11 | 13 | 496 | , |
| 299 | 12 | 24 | 311 | 287 | 7 | 19 | 298 | 10 |
| 382 | 6 | 12 | 388 | 382 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 10 | 390 | 11 |
| 151 | 20 | 7 | 138 | 146 | 26 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 122 | 12 |
| 231 | 4 | 23 | 250 | 1.196 | $4{ }_{4}^{4}$ | 35 | +1,192 | 13 |
| 1,164 | 42 | 37 | 1,159 | 1,196 313 | 45 | 41 | 1,192 | 14 15 |
| $\begin{array}{r}172 \\ \hline 174 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 57 <br> 54 <br> 1 | 10 5 | 225 125 | 313 162 | 65 51 | 5 | 1254 | 15 |
| 174 718 | 54 21 | 5 112 | 125 809 | 162 721 | 51 15 | 110 | 116 | 176 |
| 718 519 | 10 | $\underline{112}$ | 532 | 520 | 3 | 25 | 542 | 18 |
| 1,575 | 118 | 62 | 1,519 | 1,559 | 106 | 49 | 1,502 | 19 |
| 186 | 58 | . 11 | 139 | 207 | 83 | 7 | 131 | 20 |
| 125 | 38 | - 8 | 170 | 127 | 31 59 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | $\begin{array}{r}98 \\ 123 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 21 |
| 224 | 61 84 | - $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | 170 156 | 177 268 | 59 98 | 6 | 176 | 23 23 |
| 812 | 30 | 177 | 959 | 780 | 20 | 214 | 974 | 24 |
| 907 | 74 | 16 | 849 | 862 | 60 | 13 | 815 | 25 |
| 460 | 118 | 12 | 354 | 452 | 121 | 10 | 341 474 | 26 |
| 447 | 11 | 59 | -495 | ${ }^{410}$ | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 65 59 | 1.474 | 27 28 |
| 1,822 | 39 118 | 58 | 1,841 74 | 1,796 | 142 | 19 19 | 1,818 673 | 29 |
| 830 | 116 | 34 | $\begin{array}{r}748 \\ 1.093 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1. 7900 | 142 16 | 161 | 1,145 | 30 |
| - 992 | 16 24 | 117 24 | 1,093 1,357 | 1,000 | $\underline{16}$ | 1619 | 1,348 | 31 |
| 1.657 | 95 | 23 | -585 | ${ }^{5} 533$ | 85 | 29 | 537. | 32 |
| 504 | 151 | 8 | 361 | 534 | 140 | 9 | 403 | 33 |
| 196 | 3 | 218 | 411 | 224 | ${ }^{6}$ | 190 | + 408. | 34 |
| 957 | 18 | 30. | 909 | 1,086 | 33 | 25 | 1,078 | 35 |
| 418 | 14 | 21 | 425 <br> 134 | 450 201 | $\stackrel{23}{82}$ | 15 | 442 126 | 36 37 |
| ${ }_{238}^{180}$ | 51 | 5 55 | 134 291 | 201 249 | 82 | $7{ }^{7}$ | 126 | 37 38 |
| 1.238 1.006 | 2 42 | 55 24 | 1,881 1,881 | 1,719 | 48 | 37 | 1,708 | 39 |
| 1,906 321 | 42 70 | 24 9 | 1,888 ${ }_{251}$ | 1,719 <br> 309 | 88 | 16 | 1, 236 | 40 |
| 851 | 143 | 11 | 719 | 729 | 134 | 13 | 608 | 41 |
| 95 | 8 | 25 | 112 | 77 | 3 | 38 | 112 | 42 |
| 110 | 1 | 59 | 168 | ¢ 90 | -8 | 54 102 | 144 | 43 |
| 529 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 113 | ${ }^{638}$ | - 514. | 88 | 102 69 | 1,561 | 44 |
| 1,671 | 28 | 79 | 1.722 437 | 1,512 402 | 20 | 17 | 1,384 | 46 |
| 303 | 72 | 13 | 244 | 275 | 62 | 8 | 221 | 47 |
| 106 | 12 | 10 | 104 | 109 | 17 | 3 | 95 | 48 |
| 178 | 8 | ${ }_{24}^{22}$ | 192 90 | 134 | 1 6 | 17 <br> 24 | 150 90 | 48 |
| 75 | ${ }^{9} 1$ | ${ }^{24}$ | $\begin{array}{r}90 \\ 655 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 72 520 | ${ }_{10}^{6}$ | 111 | 621 | 51 |
| + 546 | 11 60 | 120 45 | - 1,121 | 1,073 | 63 | 41 | 1,051 | 52 |
| - 303 | 74 | 141 | 430 | 366 | 69. | 122 | 419 | 53 |
| 773 | 155 | 73 | 691 | 707 | 148 | 73 | 632 | 54 |
| 3,708 | 124 | 78 | 3,662 | 3,444 | 143 | 60 | 3.361 | 55 |
| 3,320 | 245 | 64 | 3,139 | 3,111 | 273 | 46 | 2,884 | 56 |
| 83 | 15 | 19 | 87 436 | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ \hline 83\end{array}$ | 11 | 131 | 78 399 | 57 58 |
| 305 | 9 | 140 | + ${ }^{436}$ | 15. 273 | 5 431 | 131 |  | 58 59 |
| 16,475 | 493 | 192 | 16, 174 | 15,702 | 431 1.714 | 198 573 | 15,469 10,954 | 59 60 |
| 12,077 | 1,850 61 | 594 | 11,421 | 12,095 | 1,714 58 | 573 35 | 10,954 122 1 | 60 61 |
| 164 129 | 61 17 | 61 50 | 164 162 | 145 104 | 58 | 69 | 167 | 62 |
| 3,505 | 454 | 1,376 | 4,427 | 3,358 | 450 | - 1,318 | 4,226 | 63 |
| 14,376 | 165 | 67 | 14,278 | 14,124 | 139 | 43 | 14,028 |  |
| 651 | 4. | 108 | 755 | 667 | 12 | 94 | 749 | 64 |
| 1,011 | 29. | 134 | 1,116 | 1,064 | 33 | 146 | 1,177 | 65 |
| 523 | 6 | 67 | 584 | 493 | 3 | 64 | 554 | 66 |
| 316 | 7 | 25 | 334 | 349 | 10 | 22 | 361 | 67 |
| 665 | 16 | 325 | 974 | 601 | 18. | 362 | 945 | 68 68 |
| 60 | - | 49 | 109 | ${ }^{45} 5$ | 17 | 588 | 8844 | 68 70 |
| 605 | 10 | 276 | 865 | 556 | 17 | 305 | 849 | 70 |
| 6.198 | 1,236 | 61 | 5,023 | 5.931 | 1,193 | 38 | 4,776 | 71 |
| . 205 | 72 |  | 135 | 186 | 88 877 | - ${ }^{5}$ | 103 | -72 |
| 1.015 | 722 | 57 | 350 | 1,147 | 877 | . 36 | 300 | + 73 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and: towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.


TTABLE 15. Live births by plàce of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1931 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Place of } \\ \text { Occurrence } \end{gathered}$ | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are lesidents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By } \\ & \text { Residence } \\ & \text { of Mother } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Olace of } \\ \text { Occurrence } \end{gathered}$ | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By } \\ & \text { Residence } \\ & \text { of Mother } \end{aligned}$ | No. |
|  | 1,243 | 411 | 3.615 | 4.087 | 1,170 | 444 | 3.361 |  |
| 4,528 | 1,42 | 434 | ${ }_{820}$ | 511 | 26 | 521 | 1.006 | 2 |
| 631 | 60 | 78 | 649 | 581 | ${ }_{8}^{47}$ | 58 | 592 | 3 |
| 369 | 100 | 31 | 300 | 314 | 82 | 12 | ${ }_{248}^{244}$ | 4 5 |
| 202 352 | ${ }_{28}^{22}$ | 109 37 | 349 361 | ${ }_{312}^{267}$ | 25 | ${ }_{45}^{87}$ | 348 <br> 332 | ${ }^{5}$ |
| 472 | 45 | 334 | 761 | 501 | 52 | 319 | 768 | 7 |
| 372 | 20 | 32 | 384 | 359 589 | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | ${ }_{39}^{32}$ | 367 | 8 |
| 525 530 | 16 1 1 | 35 <br> 85 | 544 614 | ${ }_{491} 582$ | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | 99 | 600 <br> 578 | $1{ }^{9}$ |
| 596 | 48 | 15 | 566 | 598 | 43 | 17 | 572 | 11 |
| $\begin{array}{r}571 \\ 231 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 16 | 38 | 593 23 | ${ }_{5}^{548}$ | $21^{6}$ | $\stackrel{33}{7}$ | ${ }_{243}^{575}$ | ${ }_{13}^{12}$ |
| 732 | ${ }_{7}$ | 6.3 | 788 | 790 | 15 | 65 | 840 | 14 |
| 21,331 | 94 | 205 | 21,442 | 20,814 | 87 | 185 | 20,912 |  |
| 895 | 24 | 47 | 921 | 813 | 14 | 38 | 837 | 15 |
| ${ }_{9}^{913}$ | 482 | $\begin{array}{r}73 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 954 95 | 839 <br> 116 | 38 47 | $\stackrel{55}{3}$ | 856 <br> 72 | 16 |
| ${ }_{78} 7$ | ${ }_{26}^{48}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | 859 | 723 | 30 | 91 | 784 | 18 |
| 1.023 | 30 | 75 | 1.068 | 989 | 30 | 73 | 1,032 | 19 |
| fil2 | 34 | 58. | ${ }_{1} 626$ | ${ }^{547}$ | 32 | 39 <br> 54 <br> 8 | 1554 | 20 |
| 1,140 <br> 2,537 | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ 169 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 55 51 | 1,167 2,419 | 1,159 ${ }^{1,201}$ | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 163 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -54 | ${ }_{2}^{1.219}$ | ${ }_{22}^{21}$ |
| 1,511 | 293 | 19 | 1,237 | 1,262 | 262 | 23 | 1,023 | 23 |
| 1,026 | 25 | 181 | 1,182 | ${ }^{\text {939 }}$ | 26 | 150 | 1.063 | 25 |
| 1,319 | 90 162 | ${ }_{11}^{64}$ | 1,293 <br> 61 | 1,246 492 | 158 | ${ }_{9}^{40}$ | 1.213 | 26 |
| 802 807 | 168 | 133 | $\stackrel{39}{932}$ | 754 | 5 | 125 | 874 | 27 |
| 1,102 | 51 | 114 | 1,165 | 1,020 | 42 59 | ${ }_{3} 9$ | 1,071 | ${ }_{29}^{28}$ |
| 171 931 1 | 68 28 | 155 | 1,058 | ${ }_{8}^{146}$ | $3{ }_{31}$ | 139 | 984 | 30 |
| 1,424 | 28 | 35 | 1,431 | 1,493 | 24 | 35 | 1.504 | 31 |
| 1, 181 | 82 | ${ }^{6}$ | 105 | 1168 | 71 14 | 14 | 111 | ${ }_{33}^{32}$ |
| 1, 992 | ${ }_{49}$ | 52 | ${ }_{995}^{1,385}$ | 1,993 | 29 | 56 | - 1.028 | 34 |
| 1,923 | 226 | 47 | 1,744 | 1,736 | 176 | ${ }^{56}$ | 1,616 | 35 |
| 1,144 | 275 | 18 |  | 1,009 | ${ }^{236}$ | 16 | 789 | ${ }_{37}^{36}$ |
| 779 812 | 18 <br> 41 | $\begin{array}{r}96 \\ 131 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 857 902 | $\begin{array}{r}727 \\ 704 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 17 25 | 117 108 | ${ }_{787}^{82}$ | ${ }_{38}^{37}$ |
| 1.044 | 59 | 65 | 1,050 | 1,023 | 68 | 82 | 1.036 | 39 |
| 1.232 | 49 | 73 | 1,256 | 1,341 | 42 | ${ }^{64}$ | 1.363 | 40 |
| 2,2\%4 | $\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 154 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 109 | 2,305 | 2,357 359 | 76 142 | 100 10 | 2.387 | 42 |
| 1,915 | 33 | 206 | 2.088 | 1.998 | 38 | 194 | 2.154 | 43 |
| 1, 194 | 79 113 | ${ }^{62}$ | 1,177 | 1,316 | 100 132 | ${ }^{69}$ | 1,285 114 | 44 |
| ${ }_{967}^{227}$ | 113 5 | 94 | 121 1,056 | 1.077 | 132 19 | 113 | 1, 114 | 45 46 |
| 698 | 29 | 83. | 752 | 767 | 40 | 57 | 784 | 47 |
| 204 | 1 | 14 | 217 | 240 | 1 | 17 | 250 | 48 |
| 17,252 | 156 | 101 | 17,197 | 16,990 | 121 | 97 | 16,966 |  |
| 717 | 103 | 82 | 696 | 640 | 72 | 73 | 641 | 49 |
| ${ }_{316}^{401}$ | 234 12 | $\begin{array}{r}520 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 172 524 | ${ }_{282}^{358}$ | 183 | 187 | 179 | 5 |
| 1,496 | 177 | 34 | 1,353 | 1,453 | 154 | 32 | 1.331 | 52 |
| 572 | 260 | 5 | 317 | 526 | 259 | 9 | 276 | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ |
| 024 | 36 | 148 | 1,036 | 927 | 29 | 157 | 1,055 | 5 |
| 310 414 | 64 12 | $\begin{array}{r}83 \\ 168 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 329 570 | 305 426 | 52 | 81 121 | 334 530 | 55 56 |
| ${ }_{394}^{414}$ | ${ }_{25}^{12}$ | 170 |  | 323 | 14 | 150 | 459 | 57 |
| 2.964 | 276 | 92 | ${ }^{2}, 780$ | 2, 822 | 243 | 91 | ${ }^{2} .670$ | ${ }_{59}^{58}$ |
| 1,081 | 332 124 | 250 | 1,207 | 1,096 | 122 | 227 | 1.201 | 60 |
| 808 | 61 | 70 | 817 | 835 | 32 | 69 | 872 | 61 |
| 1,276 | $9_{83}$ | 113 | -1,296 | 1,303 | 125 | ${ }^{93}$ | 1.271 | ${ }_{63}^{62}$ |
| 1,478 | ${ }_{42}^{18}$ | 100 | 1.536 | 1,398 | 53 | 119 | 1.464 | ${ }_{64}$ |
| 3,359 | 409 | 37 | 2,987 | 3.235 | 465 | 45 | 2.815 | ${ }^{65}$ |
| 2.400 859 | 728 21 | 20 357 | -1,692 | 2.320 <br> 915 | 787 23 | 19 371 | 1. 1.253 | 66 67 |
| ${ }_{292}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | 54 | ${ }_{340}$ | ${ }_{362}$ | 10 | ${ }_{68}$ | ${ }_{420}$ | 68 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| No. | County or Census Division and City, Town, ete: | Births, 1930 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | By <br> Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | $\stackrel{\mathrm{By}}{\text { Residence }}$ of Mother |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Alberta-Con. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Division No. 13.. | 797 | 33 | 40 | 804 |
|  | Division No. $15 . .$. | 979 373 | $\stackrel{28}{18}$ |  | 1,085 |
|  | Division No. 16....... | 677 | 22 | 28 | ${ }_{683}$ |
|  | Division No. 17. | 225 |  | 31 | 250 |
|  | British Columbias.. | 10,867 | 60 | 4.4 | 10,851 |
| 1 | Division No. 1. | 481 | 8 | 17 | 490 |
|  | Division No. ${ }^{\text {Nelson, } \text { c...... }}$ | ${ }^{664}$ | 12 | 26 | 678 |
|  | Trail, c. | 213 | 11 |  | 209 |
|  | Remaining parts. | 318 | 8 | 57 | 367 |
|  | Division No. 3........ | 717 | 24 | 24 | ${ }^{217}$ |
| 11 12 12 | Division No. 4...... | 5,789 | 178 | 55 | 5,666 |
| 13 14 14 | New Westminster, c. | ${ }_{195}^{555}$ | 238 64 | 14 | 148 |
| 151617 | Vancouver, c..... | 4,003 | 446 | 74 | 3,631 |
|  | Remauning parts | 1,036 | 37 | 557 |  |
| 1718 | Division No. 5 A | 1,632 | 53 | 48 | 1,627 |
|  | Vanamo, c. | ${ }_{734}^{206}$ | 53 | 11 | 164 |
| 19 20 | Remaining parts. | 692 |  |  | 518 |
| 2122 | Division No. 5 B . | 91 | 5 | 26 | ${ }_{112}^{945}$ |
|  | Division No. 6 A. | 440 | 31 | 25 | 434 |
| $\stackrel{22}{23}$ | Ramloops, e... | 201 | 91 | 5 | 115 |
| $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Remaining parts | 238 | 13 | 93 | 319 |
|  | Division No. 6 B. | $\begin{array}{r}77 \\ 189 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 1 | ${ }_{2 S}^{26}$ | 102 209 |
| 26 27 | Division No. 8 A. | ${ }_{202}$ | 13 | $\stackrel{28}{28}$ | 209 |
| 28 | Division No. 8 B. | 147 | 4 | 15 | 158 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | Division No. 9 A |  | - | - | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | Division No. 9 B. | 15 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
|  | Prince Rupert, c | 266 <br> 143 | 16 | 18 | 268 |
| ${ }_{33} 3$ | Remaining parts | 123 | 5 | 36 | 154 |
| $\begin{array}{r}34 \\ \hline 35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Division No. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{D}$. | 29 | - | 3 | 32 |
|  | Division No. 10 A . | . | - | - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | Division No. 10 B . | 5 | - | - | 5 |
|  | Division No. 10 C . | 110 | 5 | $\delta$ | 119 |

TABLE 15. Live births by place of occurrence and place of residence of mother, for cities and towns of 5,000 and over, and for the remaining parts of counties or census divisions, Canada, 1930-1932-Con.

| Births, 1931 |  |  |  | Births, 1932 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Py <br> Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | By Place of Occurrence | To NonResident Mothers | Occurring Elsewhere to Mothers Who Are Residents | By Residence of Mother | No. |
| 871 | 35 | 36 | 872 | 828 | 45 | 47 | 830 | 1 |
| 1,115 | 27 | 140 | 1,228 | 1,150 | 23 | 148 | 1,275 | 2 |
| 419 | 37 | 27 | 409 | 496 | 27 | 34 | 503 | 3 |
| 742 | 21 | 54 | 775 | 806 | 19 | 31 | 818 | 4 |
| 194 | 5 | 9 | 198 | 203 | 10 | 28 | 221 | 5 |
| 10,404 | 47 | 74 | 10,431 | 10,214 | 38 | 50 | 10,225 |  |
| 431 | 11 | 24 | 444 | 394 | 6 | 23 | 411 | 6 |
| 698 | 14 | 27 | 711 | 712 | 15. | 20 | 717 | 7 |
| 191 | 70 | 9 | 130 | 151 | 50 | 4 | 105 | 8 |
| 217 | 16 | 4 | 205 | 240 | 7 | 6 | 239 | 9 |
| - 290 | 8 | 94 | 376 | 321 | 12 | 64 | 373 | 10 |
| 720 | 20 | 24 | 724 | 741 | 22 | 21 | 740 | 11 |
| 5,502 | 162 | 49 | 5.389 | 5,181 | 157 | 34 | 5.058 | 12 |
| 588 | 255 | 30 | 363 | 565 | 268 | 25 | 322 | 13 |
| 150 | 50 | 16 | . 116 | 3. 195 | 68 | 7 | 134 3.096 | 14 15 |
| 3,730 | 407 | 45 | 3.368 | 3,450 | 404 | 50 | 3.096 | 15 |
| 1,034 | 38 | 546 | 1,542 | ,971 | 35 | 570 | 1.506 | 16 |
| 1,447 | 52 | 56 | 1.451 | 1,472 | 50 | 49 | 1,471 | 17 |
| 154 | 38 | 7 | 123 | 154 | 53 | ${ }^{7}$ | 108 | 18 |
| 688 | 201 | 7 | 494 | 700 | 257 | 17 | 460 | 19 |
| 605 | 21 | 250 | 834 | 618 | 33 | 318 | 903 | 20 |
| 86 | 12 | 18 | 92 | 101 | 45 | 23 | 120 | 21 |
|  | -37 | 37 | 429 | 416 | ${ }_{1}^{25}$ | 27 | 418 | 22 |
| 215 | 105 | ${ }_{118}^{6}$ | 116 313 | 210 | 113 | 116 | 103 | $\stackrel{23}{24}$ |
| 214 | 19 | 118 39 | 313 103 | 206 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 116 44 | 315 114 | 24 25 |
| 184 | 9 | 40 | 215 | 212 | 7 | 31 | 236 | 26 |
| 193 | 15 | 40 | 218 | 246 | 21 | 23 | 248 | 27 |
| 176 | 4 | 2 | 174 | 201 | 4 | 17 | 214 | 28 |
| 5 | - | 1 | 6 | ${ }^{4}$ | - | - | 4 | 29 |
| 17 | - | $-$ | 17 | 18. | - | ${ }^{2}$ | 20 | 30 |
| 286 | 13 | 11 | 284 | 233 | 13 | 12 | 232 | 31 |
| 140 | 22 | 2 | 120 | 112 | 31 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 83 | 32 |
| 146 | 4 | 22 | 164 | 121 | ${ }_{-6}$ | 34 | 149. | 33 |
| 52 | - | 4 | 56 | 41 | - | $-^{4}$ | 45 | 34 35 |
| -2 | - | - | -2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 35 36 |
| 112 | 9 | 15. | 116 | 17 C | 4 | 10 | 170 | 37 |

TABLE 16. Cr : de birth rate, population and land area in square miles, for counties and census

| Counties and Census Divisions ${ }^{3}$ in Birth Rate Class | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Crude } \\ \text { Brith } \\ \text { Rate, } \\ 1930- \\ 32\end{array}\right\|$ | Population, 1931 | Land Area (square miles) mines) | Countics and Census Divisions ${ }^{8}$ in Birth Rate Class | $\|$Crude <br> Birth <br> Rate, <br> $1930-$ <br> 32 | PopuIation, 1931 | Land Area squaro miles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 15 |  | 495,242 | 95,209 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yarmouth, N.S. | $20 \cdot 6$ | 20,939 | 838 |
| Division No. 4. B.C. | $14 \cdot 1$ | 379, 858 | 9.764 | Albert, N.B. | 21.6 | 7.679 | 687 |
| Division No. 5A, B.C | 13.3 | 114,338 | 5,374 | Carleton, N.B. | $20 \cdot 6$ | 20.790 | 1,311 |
| Division No. 9A, B.C | $7 \cdot 8$ | 718 | 20,668 | Charlotte, N. 3 | 20.5 | 21,337 | 1,254 |
| Division No. 10A, B.C | $3 \cdot 0$ | 100 | 38,016 | St. John, N.B. | 21.0 | 61,613 | 616 |
| Division No. 10B, B.C | 11.8 | 228 | 21,387 | Sunbury, N.13. | 24.4 | 67,999 | 1,088 |
|  |  |  |  | Westmorland, N.B | 21.9 | 57.5003 | 1,442 |
|  |  |  |  | York, N.B... | 21.3 | 32.454 | 3,576 |
| 15-19. |  | 3,065,818 | 252,219 | Argenteuil, Que. | 21.7 | 18.976 | 783 |
|  |  |  |  | Beauharnois, Qu | 24.8 | 25,163 | 147 |
| Kinge, P.E. | $17 \cdot 6$ | 19,147 | 641 | Châteauguay, Que | 23.2 | 13.125 | 265 |
| Annapolis, N.S | 19.5 | 16.297 | 1,283 | Compton, Que. ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. | 24.6 | 21,917 | 933 |
| Antigonish, N.S | 17.0 | 10,073 | 541 | Huntingdon, Que. | 21.2 | 12,345 | 361 |
| Inverness, N.S. | $19 \cdot 3$ | 21,055 | 1,409 | Iberville, Que. | 24.9 | 9.402 | 198 |
| Junenburg, N.S | 18.9 19.6 | 31,674 39,018 | 1,169 | Missisquoi, Que. | 23.2 | 19,636 | 375 |
| Victoria. N.S. | 16.6 | 8,009 | 1,105 | Que.5... | 23.2 | 020,018 | 294 |
| Kings, N. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 18.3 | 19,807 | 1,386 | Rouville, Que | $24 \cdot 8$ | 13,776 | 243 |
| Queens, N.B | $19 \cdot 5$ | 11,219 | 1,385 | Sherbrooke, Que | $24 \cdot 8$ | 37,386 | 238 |
| Brome, Que | $16 \cdot 7$ | 12,433 | 488 | St-Hyacinthe, Que | 24-5 | 25,854 | 278 |
| Chambly, Que | 18.7 | 26.801 | 138 | Vaudreuil, Que. | $23 \cdot 1$ | 12,015 | 201 |
| Prant, Ont. | $18 \cdot 3$ | 53.476 | 421 | Addington, Ont. | $22 \cdot 8$ | 6,879 | 873 |
| Bruce, On | 19.4 | 42.286 | 1,650 | Algoma, Ont.. | $24 \cdot 7$ | 46.444 | 19.320 |
| Dufferin, Ont | 17.5 | 14,892 | 557 | Carleton, Ont. | $20 \cdot 1$ | 170,040 | 947 |
| Dundas, Ont. | $17 \cdot 6$ | 16,098 | 384 | Essex, Ont. | $22 \cdot 5$ | 159.780 | 707 |
| Durham, Ont | 17.0 | 25,782 | 629 | Glengarry, Ont | $22 \cdot 0$ | 18,666 | 478 |
| Elgin, Ont. | $15 \cdot 2$ | 43,436 | 720 | Hastings, Ont. | 22.4 | 58,846 | 2.323 |
| Frontenac. O | 19.7 | 45,756 | 1.599 | Kenora, Ont. | 21.4 | 21.946 | 18,150 |
| Grenville, O | $16 \cdot 8$ | 16.327 | 463 | Kent, Ont. | $20 \cdot 6$ | 62,805 | 918 |
| Grey, Ont | $18 \cdot 5$ | 57,699 | 1,708 | Manitoulin, On | $24 \cdot 3$ | 10.734 | 1.588 |
| Haldimand, O | 18.0 | 21,428 | 488 | Muskoka, Ont | 21.0 | 20,985 | 1,585 |
| Halton, Ont | $15 \cdot 9$ | 26,558 | 363 | Norfolk, Ont. | $20 \cdot 2$ | 31.359 | 634 |
| Huron, Ont. | $16 \cdot 3$ | 45, 180 | 1,295 | Parry Sound, On | $24 \cdot 8$ | 25.900 | 4,336 |
| Jambton. On | $18 \cdot 5$ | 54,674 | 1,124 | Rainy River, Ont | $22 \cdot 3$ | 17.359 | 7,276 |
| I anark. Ont | J 9.2 | 32,856 | 1,138 | Renfrew, Ont. | $23 \cdot 1$ | 52,227 | 3,009 |
| Leeds. Ont. | 18.5 | 35, 157 | 900 | Thunder Bay, | 20.9 | 65, 118. | 52,471 |
| Lennox, Ont. | 17.2 | 12,004 | 297 | Watcrloo, Ont | $20 \cdot 3$ | 89, 852 | 516 |
| Jincoln, Ont | 18.2 | 54.199 | 332 | Welland, Ont. | $20 \cdot 3$ | 82.731 | 387 |
| Middlesex, Ont | $16 \cdot 1$ | 118,241 | 1,240 | Division No. 3, Man. | 21.9 | 26,753 | 2,577 |
| Northumberlan | $17 \cdot 6$ | 31,452 | 734 | Division No. 5, Man. | 21.0 | 46,228 | 5,256 |
| Ontario, Ont. | 19.5 | 59,667 | 853 | Division No. 10, Man. | 20.7 | 17,916 | 2,377 |
| Oxford, On | 17.7 | 47,825 | 765 | Division No. 11, Man. | 20.5 | 28, 100 | 2,914 |
| Peel Ont. | $17 \cdot 2$ | 28.156 | 469 | Division No. 12, Man. | 23.9 | 24,344 | 3,240 |
| Perth, On | $17 \cdot 4$ | 51,392 | 840 | Division No. 13, Man. | 22.9 | 24.263 | 3,324 |
| Peterborough | $19 \cdot 9$ | 43,958 | 1,415 | Division No. 14, Man. | $22 \cdot 9$ | 25.978 | 3.636 |
| Prince Edward | $18 \cdot 6$ | 16,693 | 390 | Division No. 15, Man. | $24 \cdot 3$ | 10,008 | 2,304 |
| Simeoe, Ont... | 18.1 | 83, 667 | 1,663 | Division No. 16, Man. | 24.5 | 30.669 | 176,637 |
| Victoria, Ont | $17 \cdot 1$ | 25, 844 | 1,348 | Division No. 1, Sask. | 21.4 | 41.544 | 5,944 |
| Wellington, Ont | $19 \cdot 1$ | 58,164 | 1,019, | Division No. 2, Sask. | 21.8 | 42,831 | 6,686 |
| Wentworth, On | 18.9 | 190.019 | 458 | Division No. 3, Sask. | $23 \cdot 3$ | 46.881 | 7,646 |
| York, Ont. | 19.0 | 856,955 | 882 | Division No. 4, Sask. | 22.0 | 28.126 | 7,579 |
| Division No. 4, Man | 19.4 | 18,253 | 2.466 | Division No. 5, Sask. | $22 \cdot 7$ | 53,948 | 5.760 |
| Division No. 6, Man | 17.5 | 283.828 | 2,436 | Division No. 6, Sask | 21.4 | 109,906 | 6,787 |
| Division No. 7, Man. | 17.0 | 36,912 | 2,578 | Division No. 7, Sask | $20 \cdot 5$ | 63.230 | 7,471 |
| Division No. 8, Man. | 17.7 | 19,846 | 2,160 | Division No. 8, Sask | $23 \cdot 4$ | 49,361 | 9,264 |
| Division No. 9, Man. | $17 \cdot 2$ | 45.414 | 1.217 | Division No. 9, Sask. | $24 \cdot 3$ | 60.539 | 5.010 |
| Division No. 5, Alta. | $19 \cdot 8$ | 26,651 | 7,681 | Division No. 10, Sask. | $24 \cdot 6$ | 41.890 | 4,860 |
| Division No. 9, Alta | $19 \cdot 3$ | 24,503 | 14,415 | Division No. 11, Sask. | $20 \cdot 2$ | 87.976 | 5,979 |
| Division No. 1, B.C. | $19 \cdot 9$ | 22.566 | 15,984 | Division No. 12, Sask. | 21.0 | 40.612 | 5,982 |
| Division No. 2, B.C | 17.4 | 40.455 | 13,343 | Division No. 1, Alta. | $23 \cdot 7$ | 28.849 | 7.323 |
| Division No. 3, B.C. | $17 \cdot 9$ | 40,523 | 10.729 | Division No. 2, Alta. | 23.9 | 57,186 | 0.342 |
| Division No. 5B. B.C | 15.4 | 6.595 | 7.832 | Division No. 3, Alta. | $22 \cdot 5$ | 15,066 | 7.018 |
| Division No. 6A, B.C | $17 \cdot 1$ | 25,030 | 16.357 | Division No. 4, Alta. | 20.8 | 29.067 | 6.119 |
| Division No. 7, B.C. | 17-4 | 12,658 | 22,187 | Division No. 6, Alta. | $20 \cdot 1$ | 140.624 | 10.595 |
| Division No. 8A, B.C | $19 \cdot 4$ | 11,626 | ${ }^{39} .621$ | Division No. 7, Alta. | $22 \cdot 5$ | 38.106 | 6,684 |
| Division No. 8B, B.C | $18 \cdot 4$ | 9,908 | 32,364 | Division No. 8, Alta. | 21.5 | 61.016 | 0.510 |
| Division No. 9C, B.C. | 16.6 | 15,676 | 24,034 | Division No. 11, Alta. | $23 \cdot 0$ | 126,832 | 4,753. |
|  |  |  |  | Division No. 63, B.C. | 21.2 | 4,905 | 15,063 |
|  |  |  |  | Division No. 10C, B.C. | $20 \cdot 5$ | 6.685 | 23,130 |
| 0-24. |  | 4,120,949 | 518,481 |  |  |  |  |
| Queens, P.E.I. | $20 \cdot 0$ | 37.391 | 765 | 25-29. |  | 949,247 | 162,671 |
| Colchester, N:S | $22 \cdot 8$ | 25,051 | 1.451 |  |  |  |  |
| Cumberland, N.S | 22.3 | 36.366 | 1, 683 | Prince, P.E.I. | 25.5 | 31,500 | 778 |
| Gigby, N.S.... | $22 \cdot 4$ $24-3$ | 18,353 15,443 | 1.611 | Cape Breton, N | 26.5 27.0 | 92,419 <br> 34,124 <br> 1 | 4,711 |
| Halifax. N.S.' | $23 \cdot 5$ | 100,204 | 2.063 | Victoria, N.B. | 29.2 | 14,907 | 2,092 |
| Hants, N.S. | 24.9 | 19,393 | 1,229 | Berthier, Que. | $27 \cdot 4$ | 19,506 | 1,816 |
| Kings, N.S. | $20 \cdot 2$ | 24,357 | 842 | Deux-Montagnes, Que | $26 \cdot 4$ | 14.284 | 279 |
| Queens, N.S. | $22 \cdot 5$ | 10.612 | 983 | Laprairie, Que. | 26.1 | 13,491 | 170 |
| Richmond, N.S. | 20.8 | 11,098 | 489 | L'Assomption, Qu | $29 \cdot 2$ | 15,323 | 247 |
| Shelburne, N.S. | $22 \cdot 7$ | 12,485 | 979 | Lévis, Que. | 27-7 | 35,656 | 272 |

For footnotes, see those of corresponding number on pages 165, 166 and 169.

TABLE 16. Cride birth rate, population and land area in square miles, for countles and census divisions, Canada, 1931-Con.

| Counties and Census Divisions ${ }^{8}$ in Birth Rate Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crude } \\ \text { Rirth } \\ \text { Rate. } \\ 1930- \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | Population, 1931 | ```Land Area (square miles)``` | Counties and Census Divisions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in Birth Rate Class | Crude Birth Rate, $1930-$ 32 | Pop:ilation, 1931 | Land <br> Area (square miles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-29-con. |  |  |  | 30-34-Con. |  |  |  |
| Montealm, Que | $29 \cdot 3$ | 13.865 | 3.894 | Montmorency, Que. | $33 \cdot 2$ | 16.955 | 2.137 |
| Napierville, Que. | $27 \cdot 0$ | 7.600 | 149 | Nicolet, Que.... | 30.4 | 28,673 | 626 |
| Pontiac, Que.... | $25 \cdot 7$ | 21.241 | 0.500 | Papineau, Que. | $30 \cdot 7$ | 29,246 | 1,581 |
| R,ichelieu, Que. | 27.7 | 21.483 | 221 | Portneuf, Que. | 32.7 | 35.890 | 1.440 |
| Soulanges, Quc. | 25.3 | 9.099 | 136 | Quebec, Que. | $31 \cdot 6$ | 170.915 | 2,745 |
| Stanstead, Quo. | $25 \cdot 3$ | 25,118 | 432 | Richmond Que. | $30 \cdot 6$ | 24.956 | 544 |
| St-Jean, Que... | $25 \cdot 9$ | 17.649 | 205 | Shefford, Que. | $30 \cdot 6$ | 28,262 | 567 |
| Vercheres, Que | $28 \cdot 1$ | 12.603 | 199 | Terrebonne, Que. | 30.8 | 38.611 | 782 |
| Haliburton, Ont | $25 \cdot 8$ | 5,907 | 1.486 | Wolfe, Que. | $34 \cdot 2$ | 16.911 | 680 |
| Nipissing, Ont. | 29.0 | 41.207 | 7,560 | Yamaska, Que. | $30 \cdot 8$ | 16.820 | 365 |
| Preseotit, Ont. | $27 \cdot 5$ | 24,596 | 494 | Cochrane, Ont. | $30 \cdot 4$ | 58.033 | 52.237 |
| Ruasell, Ont.. | $28 \cdot 7$ | 18.487 | 407 | Sudbury, Ont. | 31.1 | 58.251 | 18.058 |
| Stormont, Ont | $25 \cdot 7$ | 32,524 | 412 | Jivision No. 1, Man. | $32 \cdot 3$ | 22.817 | 4,281 |
| Temiskaming, Ont. | $26 \cdot 7$ | 37.043 | 5.896 | Divicion No. 18, Sask. | $33 \cdot 8$ | $6.33{ }^{9}$ | 114.833 |
| Division No. 2, Man. | $29 \cdot 5$ | 38.810 | 2,320 | Division No. 13, Alta. | 33-5 | 24.936 | 8. 103 |
| Diviaion No. 13, Man. | $25 \cdot 1$ | 42, 632 | 6.848 | Division No. 14, Alta. | $30 \cdot 3$ | 39.508 | 8.731 |
| Division No. 14, Man. | $26 \cdot 8$ | 46.222 | 13.419 | Division No. 15, Alta. | $31 \cdot 6$ | 13,664 | 22,845 |
| Division No. 15, Man. | $28 \cdot 0$ | 83.697 | 8.082 |  |  |  |  |
| Division No. 16, Man. | $25 \cdot 3$ | 48.736 | 8.912 |  |  |  |  |
| Division No. 17, Man. | $26 \cdot 9$ | 27.315 | 6.913 | 35-39. |  | 505,671 | 299,384 |
| Division No. 10, Alta. | 26.7 | 58.049 | 6.180 |  |  |  |  |
| Divicion No. 12, Alta. | 25.8 | 13.815 | 13.083 | Glnucester, N.B. | 39.31 | 23.693 | 76.725 |
| Division No. 16, Alta. | 27.2 | 27,045 | 11.100 | Madawaska, N.J. | 37.1 | 44.793 | 1,128 |
| Division No. 9R, B.C | $27 \cdot 7$ | 638 | 39.456 |  | $35 \cdot 8$ | 22.040 | 2,273 |
| Division No.9D, 13.C......... | $26 \cdot 4$ | 1,666 | 3,970 | Abitibi, Que. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $30 \cdot 7$ | 27.984 | 842 |
|  |  |  |  | Beauce, Que... | 37.7 | 25,681 | 1.370 |
|  |  |  |  | Charlevoix, Que. | $38 \cdot 0$ | 45,617 | 4,551 |
|  |  |  |  | Dorchester, Que................ | $38 \cdot 3$ |  |  |
| 30-34. |  | 1,068,507 | 267,814 | Frontenac, Que.................. | 37.4 | 20,140 | 2.392 |
|  |  |  |  | Gaspé, Que.................... . | $35 \cdot 1$ | 33.151 | 2.089 |
| Kent, N.J3. | 31.0 | 23.478 | 1,749 | Iles-de-la-Madeleine, Que4. | $38 \cdot 2$ | 19.577 | 87.680 |
| Arthabaska, Que | $32 \cdot 0$ | 27,159 | 666 | Jabelle, Que... | $35 \cdot 8$ | 69.095 | 1.820 |
| Bagot, Quc. .... | $30 \cdot 4$ | 16,914 | 346 | Rimouski, Que.. | $39 \cdot 2$ | 20.609 | 8,977 |
| Bellechasse, Que. | 33.5 | 22.006 | 653 | Saguenay, Que. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $35 \cdot 9$ | 50.224 | 1,806 |
| Bonnventure, Que | 33.9 | 32,432 | 3.464 | St-Marrice, Qie. | 37.5 | 41,914 | 1.870 |
| Champlain Que. | 34.8 | 58,935 | 8.886 | Temiskaming, Que. | 378 | 24.527 | 1,273 |
| Drummond, Que | 32.5 | 26,179 | 532 | Témiscounta, Que.... | 3.5 .8 | 29.859 | 3.270 |
| Hull, Que.. | $31 \cdot 9$ | 63.870 | 2,432 | Division No. 17, Alta. | $38 \cdot 5$ | 5,788 | 101,318 |
| Jolictte, Que. | 31.7 | 27.585 | 2,506 |  |  |  |  |
| Kamouraska, Que. | $32 \cdot 4$ | 23.954 | 1,038 |  |  |  |  |
| İIslet, Que. | $32 \cdot 9$ | 19.404 | 773 | 40 and over. |  | 151,249 | 4,886 |
| Tothiniere, Que. | $33 \cdot 1$ | 23.034 | 726 |  |  |  |  |
| Mnaskinonge, Que | $32 \cdot 0$ | 16.039 | 2,378 | Chicoutimi, Que. | 44.1 | 55.724 | 17, 800 |
| Megantic, Que. | $34 \cdot 1$ | 35, 492 | 780 | Lac-St-Jean, Que | 45-1 | 50.253 | 23.590 3.496 |
| Montmagny, Que. : . . . . . . . . . . . | $32 \cdot 0$ | 20,239 | 630 | Matane, Que... | 41-5 | 45,272 | 3,496 |

TABLE 17. Corredation of standardized birth rates with percentage French and with percentage Roman Catholic for (1) a sample of the counties or census divisions exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and over, (2) cities and towns of $5,000-10,000$, (3) cities and towns of $10,000-30,000$ and (1) cities of 30,000 and over

| County or Census Division | Standardized Birth Rate, 1930-32 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { P.C. } \\ \text { French, } \\ 1931 \end{array}\right\|$ | P.C. Roman Catholic, 1931 | City or Town | Standardized Birth Rate, 1930-32 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prench } \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | P.C. <br> Roman Catholic, 1931 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLE OF COUNTIES AND CENSUS DIVISIONS EXCLUSIVE OF CITIES AND TOWNS OF 5,000 AND OVER |  |  |  | CITIES AND TOWNS OF 5,000-10,000 |  |  |  |
| Chicoutimi, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Jonquiere, Que. | 49.7 | 97.0 | 99.3 |
| Que.......................... | $48 \cdot 8$ | 94-3 | 97.5 | La Tuque, Que. | 41.2 | $90 \cdot 6$ | $94 \cdot 7$ |
| Restigouche, remaining parts, |  |  |  | New Waterford, N.S. | $40 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $71 \cdot 7$ |
| N.13..................... | 44.0 | 68.7 | $81-0$ | Cap-de-la-Madeleine, Que | 39.5 | $96 \cdot 6$ | 98.9 |
| Bellechasse, Que | 41.5 | 99.6 | $100 \cdot 0$ | Rimouski, Que........... | 38.7 | 96.8 | $99 \cdot 6$ |
| Division No. 1, Man | $30 \cdot 7$ | 21.2 | $46 \cdot 5$ | Drummondville, Que.. | 37.5 | $86 \cdot 2$ | $90 \cdot 1$ |
| Kamouraska, Que | $39 \cdot 4$ | 99.4 | 99.9 | Edmunston, N.B... | 35-9 | $82 \cdot 4$ | 88.4 |
| Rimouski, remaining parts, Que. | $38 \cdot 3$ | 97-4 | 99.9 | Eastview, Ont.. | 34.4 | $71 \cdot 0$ | , 82.0 |
| Arthabaska, remaining parts, Que. | 36.9 | 98.5 | 99.5 | Hawkesbury, Ont. | $34 \cdot 4$ $34 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 6$ $3 \cdot 1$ | 88.2 48.2 |
| Division No. 1 | 36.8 | $27 \cdot 4$ | $55 \cdot 4$ | Grand Mere, Que. | $34 \cdot 3$ $34 \cdot 2$ | $90 \cdot 7$ | 48.2 92 |
| Russell, Ont. | 35.5 | $79 \cdot 2$ | 82.0 | Magog, Que. | $32 \cdot 1$ | $83 \cdot 6$ | 85.4 |
| Portneuf, Qu | 34.8 | $96 \cdot 6$ | 98.7 | St-Jérôme, Qu | 30.9 | 97.3 | 98.7 |
| Yamaska, Que | $34 \cdot 4$ | 98.2 | 99.7 | Springhill, N.S. | $30 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 1$ |
| Maskinonge, Qu | $34 \cdot 1$ | 98.8 | 99.7 | Victoriaville, Qu | 30-7 | 97.4 | 99.5 |
| Montcalm, Que | $33 \cdot 2$ | 92.7 | 96.3 | North Sydney, N.S | 28.4 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 39.4 |
| Division No. 17; S | 31.9 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | Campbellton, N.B. | $28 \cdot 3$ | $39 \cdot 1$ | $52 \cdot 6$ |
| Napierville, Que. | 31.6 | 98.1 | 99.2 | Trail, B.C. | $27 \cdot 1$ | 1.6 | $30 \cdot 1$ |
| Prescott, remaining parts, Ont. | 31.5 | 77.5 | $84 \cdot 1$ | Lauzon, Que. | 26.6 | $97 \cdot 0$ | $99 \cdot 7$ |
| L'Assomption, Que............. | $31 \cdot 4$ | 96.4 | 97-7 | Port Colborne, | 26.6 | $5 \cdot 1$ | $41 \cdot 6$ |
| Terrebonne, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Stellarton, N.S. | 26.1 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 28.7 |
| Que........................ | $31 \cdot 1$ | 91.2 | 93.4 | Rivière-du-Loup, Que. | $25 \cdot 9$ | 97-5 | $99 \cdot 1$ |
| Drummond, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Trenton, Ont. | $25 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | 16.9 |
| Division No.11, remaining | $30 \cdot 9$ | $92 \cdot 2$ | 93.8 | Fort Frances, | 24-2 | $13 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 9$ |
| Alta. | $30 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 29.6 | Pembroke, Ont | $23 \cdot 7$ $22 \cdot 9$ | $74 \cdot 8$ 26.1 | 81.3 46.2 |
| Parry Sound, Ont. | 29.5 | $9 \cdot 4$ | 19.8 | St-Laurent, Que | $22 \cdot 1$ | 78.9 | $85 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 13, Sask. | 29.2 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 31.7 | Yorkton, Sask | $20 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | $18 \cdot 9$ |
| Colchester, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Midland, Ont. | 20.8 | 18.9 | 26.4 |
| N.S.................. | $29 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | New Toronto, | 20.7 | $4 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 9, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Renfrew, Ont. | $20 \cdot 7$ | $15 \cdot 8$ | $48 \cdot 5$ |
|  | $28 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | 34.0 | Prince Albert, Sask | $20 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 9$ | $24 \cdot 1$ |
| Shelburne, N.S................. | 27.8 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.5 | Swift Current, Sask | $20 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 9$ |
| St-Jean, remaining parts, Que... | $27 \cdot 7$ | $89 \cdot 1$ | 90.4 | Thorold, Ont. | $20 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $40 \cdot 9$ |
| Halifax, remaining parts, N.S... | 27.6 | $8 \cdot 5$ | 23.8 | Kamloops, B.C | $19 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | 16.3 |
| Division No. 10C, B.C | 27.2 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | Nanaimo, B.C. | $19 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | 11.5 |
| Division No. 13, Man. | 26.9 | $9 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 9$ | North Battleford, Sask | $19 \cdot 7$ | 4.8 | 18.4 |
| Manitoulin, Ont. | $26 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | Kenora, Ont. | 19.5 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $28 \cdot 5$ |
| Division No. 3, Alta. | $26 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 16.2 | Collingwood, Ont | $19 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| Division No. 7, Alta. | 26.4 | 4.5 | 18.8 | Dartmouth, N.S. | 19.4 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 26.5 |
| Queens, remaining parts, P.E.I. | 26.4 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 31.9 | Yarmouth, N.S. | $19 \cdot 4$ | $26 \cdot 8$ | 37.0 |
| Thunder Bay, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Orillia, Ont.. | $19 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 11.4 |
|  | 26.4 | 6.4 | 31.8 | Cobourg, Ont | 18.8 | 1.7 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 8A, | 26.0 | 4.91 | 24.8 | Mimico, Ont.. | 18.8 | $1 \cdot 4$ | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| Division No. 5, S | 25.7 25.5 | 2.4 47.9 | 23.8 62.4 | New Glasgow, | $18 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $25 \cdot 2$ |
| Huntingdon, Que............... Division No. 5 , remaining parts, | $25 \cdot 5$ | 47.9 | $62 \cdot 4$ | Nelson, B.C. | 18.5 18.4 | $3 \cdot 4$ 2.5 2.5 | $15 \cdot 7$ 17 |
| Man. | $24 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | 46.0 | Brockville, Ont | 18.2 | $6 \cdot 8$ | 18.3 |
| Westmorland, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Transcona, Man | $18 \cdot 2$ | 10.3 | $43 \cdot 9$ |
| N.B..................... | $24 \cdot 9$ | $44 \cdot 4$ | 48.9 | Barrie, Ont. | $18 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 4$ |
| Sherbrooke, remaining parts, |  |  |  | Prince Rupert, B.C.. | 18.0 | $2 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 6$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \cdot 6 \\ 24 \cdot 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}58.8 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $62-9$ 21 | Portage la Prairie, Man. | 17.7 | $3 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 7$ |
| Carleton. N.B.,..... | $\stackrel{24 \cdot 2}{23} 7$ | 1.1 | 21.8 9 | Ingersoll, Ont. | 17.6 | $1 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| Norfolk, remaining parts, Ont... | $23 \cdot 1$ | 1.9 | $11 \cdot 3$ | Truro, N.S.... | 17.1 17.1 | 4.0 | $15 \cdot 5$ $7 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 9C, remaining |  |  |  | Simcoe, Ont. | 17.0 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| parts, B.C.................. | 23.0 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 11.2 | Dundas, Ont. | 16.8 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 6$ |
| Frontenac, remaining parts, Que. | 23.0 | $5 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 3$ | Preston, Ont. | 16.8 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $27 \cdot 3$ |
| Bruce, Ont.. | 22.4 | 1.7 | 14.9 | Waterloo, Ont. | 16.8 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $26 \cdot 9$ |
| Kings, N.B. | 21.7 | 1.5 | 9.7 | Brampton, Ont. | 16.6 | 0.5 | 4.5 |
| Lanark, remaining parts, Ont.. | 21.7 | $3 \cdot 6$ | 16.7 | North Vancouver, | $16 \cdot 4$. | 1.8 | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| Lunenburg, N.S | $21 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 1.9 | Amherst, N.S. | $16 \cdot 1$ | 19.7 | $27 \cdot 4$ |
| Dundas, Ont. | 20.7 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 10.0 | Fort Eric, Ont. | $15 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 15.8 |
| Division No. 5B, B.C | $20 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | 15.5 | Fredericton, N.B | 15.4 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 6$ |
| Haldimand, Ont............... | $20 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | 6.7 | Weyburn, Sask... | 14.7 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 8$ |
| Chambly, remaining parts, Que. | 20.0 | 69.6 | 75.8 | St.-Lambert, Que. | $11 \cdot 3$ | 30.7 | $38 \cdot 3$ |
| Welland, remaining parts, Ont.. | 19.5 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 21.9 | Whitby, Ont | $10 \cdot 6$ | 1 | $13 \cdot 0$ |
| Huron, Ont...... | 19.4 18.7 | $2 \cdot 3$ $3 \cdot 6$ | 8.7 19.4 | Total |  |  |  |
| Division No. 2, remaining parts, |  |  |  | A verage | 23.5 | 26.4 | 2, 40.5 |
| B.C............... | $15 \cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 16.4 | Standard d |  | $36 \cdot 0$ | $31 \cdot 9$ |
| Total (57 cases) <br> Average........ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,612 \cdot 4 \\ 28 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1,988 \cdot 0 \\ 34 \cdot 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,641 \cdot 1 \\ 46 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ | Correlation with stan- |  |  | . 80 |
| Standard deviation | 6.8 | $40 \cdot 0$ | ${ }^{1} 35 \cdot 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| Correlation with standardized birth rate. |  | . 67 | . 71 |  |  |  |  |

For footnotes, see those ol corresponding number on pages 166 and 169.

TABLE 17. Correlation of standardized birth rates with percentage French and with percentage Roman Catholic for (1) a sample of the counties or census divisions exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and $\mathbf{o v e r , ~ ( 2 ) ~ c i t i e s ~ a n d ~ t o w n s ~ o f ~} 5,000-10,000$, (3) cities and towns of $10,000-30,000$ and (4) cities of 30,000 and over-Con.


TABLE 18. Correlation of crude birth rates with percentage of population French and percentage of population Roman Catholic, showing the correcting factor for these influences and the crude birth rate independent of them for counties and census divisions of Canada exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and over

| County or Census Division ${ }^{8}$ | Crude <br> Birth <br> Rate, $1930-32$ | P.C. of Population. French, 1931 | P.C. of Population, Roman Catholic, 1931 | Correcting Factor ${ }^{1}$ for <br> French and Roman Catholic | Crude Birth Rate Independent of French and Roman Catholic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division No. 10A, B.C. | $3 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $53 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 199$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| Division No. 9A, B.C. | $7 \cdot 9$ | 2.5 | 28.4 | $1 \cdot 115$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Division No. 10B, B.C. | 11.8 | 0.0 | $82 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 311$ | $9 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 5A, remaining parts, B.C. | $13 \cdot 0$ | 1.5 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 1.044 | 12.5 |
| Division No. 2, remaining parts, B.C... | 13.8 | 2.6 | 16.4 | 1.070 | 12.9 |
| Division No. 4, remaining parts, B.C | $14 \cdot 3$ | 2.7 | 11.7 | 1.053 | $13 \cdot 6$ |
| Wentworth, remaining parts, Ont | 14.5 | 1.5 | $9 \cdot 3$ | 1.040 | $13 \cdot 9$ |
| Elgin, remaining parts, Ont. | $15 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | 1.020 | $14 \cdot 8$ |
| Middlesex, remaining parts, Ont. | $15 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 1.029 | 15.2 |
| Halton, Ont. | $15 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | 6.1 | 1.026 | 15.5 |
| St. John, remaining parts, N.B | 16.0 | $6 \cdot 6$ | 27.9 | $1 \cdot 126$ | 14.2 |
| Jincoln, remaining parts, Ont.. | 16.0 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 11.2 | 1.048 | $15 \cdot 3$ |
| Huron, Ont. | 16.3 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | 1.040 | 15.7 |
| Brant, remaining parts, Ont | 16.4 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | 1.025 | 16.0 |
| Division No. 513, B.C. | 16.4 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 15.5 | 1.062 | $15 \cdot 4$ |
| Victoria, N.S. | 16.6 | 1.8 | 32.8 | $1 \cdot 129$ | 14.7 |
| Victoria, remaining parts, Ont | 16.6 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 10.8 | 1.044 | 15.9 |
| Brome, Que.. | 16.7 | $45 \cdot 3$ | $45 \cdot 0$ | 1.315 | 12.7 |
| Division No. 9C, remaining parts, B.C | 16.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 11.2 | 1.049 | 15.9 |
| Grenville, Ont............ | 16.8 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | 1.073 | $15 \cdot 7$ |
| Division No. 6A, remaining parts, B.C. | 16.8 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 20.7 | 1.086 | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| Perth, remaining parts, Ont. | 16.9 | 1.0 | 9:2 | 1.038 | 16.3 |
| Antigonish, N.S. | 17.0 | 21.7 | 86.7 | 1-396 | 12.2 |
| Durham, Ont. | 17.0 | $0 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | 1.015 | 16.7 |
| Peel, remaining parts, Ont | 17-1 | 0.4 | $9 \cdot 7$ | 1.038 | 16.5 |
| Lambton, remaining parts, Ont | 17.2 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 7.8 | 1.037 | 16.6 |
| Lennox, Ont. | 17.2 | $1 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | 1.039 | 16.6 |
| Northumberland, remaining parts, Ont. | 17.2 | 2.4 | $9 \cdot 1$ | 1.042 | 16.5 |
| Ontario, remaining parts, Ont. | $17 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 1.035 | 16.6 |
| Division No. 9, Man. | $17 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 19.4 | 1.084 | $15 \cdot 9$ |
| Division No. 7, remaining parts, Man. | $17 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | 10.4 | 1.054 | 16.4 |
| Division No. 7, B.C. | 17.4 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 3$ | 1.072 | 16.2 |
| Dufferin, Ont. | 17.5 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.008 | 17.4 |
| Simcoe, remaining parts, Ont | 17.5 | 11.7 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 114$ | $15 \cdot 7$ |
| Kings, P.1.I.. | 17.6 | $7 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 5$ | 1.209 | $14 \cdot 6$ |
| Dundas, Ont. | 17.6 | $7 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 1.082 | 16.6 |
| Division No. 8, Man | 17.7 | 2.7 | $10 \cdot 1$ | 1.047 | $1 \mathrm{f} \cdot 9$ |
| Division No. 3, B.C. | 17.9 | 2.5 | $15 \cdot 7$ | 1.067 | 16.8 |
| Haldimand, Ont. | 18.0 | $1 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 030$ | 17.5 |
| Welland, remaining parts, Ont. | 18.0 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 21.9 | 1-091 | 16.5 |
| Wellington, remaining parts, Ont | 18.0 | 1.0 | $12 \cdot 9$ | 1.052 | 17.1 |
| Leeds, remaining parts, Ont... | $18 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | 16.7 | 1.079 | 16.8 |
| Pictou, remaining parts, N.S | $18 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 8$ | 1.076 | 17.0 |
| Kings, N.B................. | $18 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | 9.7 | 1.041 | 17.6 |
| Montreal and Jesus Islands, remaining parts, | $18 \cdot 3$ | $70 \cdot 2$ | 78.2 | 1.520 | $12 \cdot 0$ |
| Oxford, remaining parts, Ont.............. | 18.3 | 0.9 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 1.019 | 18.0 |
| Grey, remaining parts. Ont | 18.4 | 0.7 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 1.024 | $18 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 8B, B.C. | 18.4 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $30 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 125$ | 16.4 |
| Prince Edward, Ont.. | 18.6 | 1.4 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 1.021 | 18.2 |
| Chambly, remaining parts, Que | 18.8 | 69.6 | $75 \cdot 8$ | 1.509 | $12 \cdot 5$ |
| Lumenburg, N.S..... | 18.9 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 1.030 | $18 \cdot 3$ |
| Carleton, remaining parts, Ont. | $19 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $31 \cdot 3$ | 1.170 | 16.3 |
| Peterborough, remaining parts, Ont. | $19 \cdot 1$ | 2.0 | 17.5 | 1.072 | 17.8 |
| Frontenac, remaining parts, Ont. | $19 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 3$ | 1.085 | 17.7 |
| Inverness, N.S... | $19 \cdot 3$ | 23.0 | 71.0 | 1.341 | 14.4 |
| Division No. 9, Alta. | $19 \cdot 3$ | 2.9 | $13 \cdot 9$ | 1.052 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Beauharnois, remaining parts, Que. | $19 \cdot 4$ | $79 \cdot 3$ | $88 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 587$ | $12 \cdot 2$ |
| Bruce, Ont. | 19.4 | 1.7 | $14 \cdot 9$ | 1.061 | 18.3 |
| Division No. 4, Man. | 19.4 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 9.7 | 1.044 | 18.6 |
| Division No. 8A, B.C. | $19 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | 24.8 | 1-109 | 17.5 |
| Annapolis, N.S. | $19 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | 1.024 | 19.0 |
| Queens, N.B.. | 19.5 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | 1-0.01 | 18.6 |
| Lanark, remaining parts, Ont. | 19.7 | $3 \cdot 6$ | 16.7 | 1.074 | 18.3 |
| Division No. 5, Alta. | 19.8 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | 1.054 | 18.8 |
| Division No. 1, B.C.................... | 19.9 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 124$ | 17.7 |
| Division No. 11, remaining parts, Sask. | 20.1 20.2 | $2 \cdot 6$ $2 \cdot 3$ | 10.9 5.6 11 | 1.083 1.028 | $18 \cdot 6$ 19.6 |
| Kings, N.S. | $20 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 1.028 | $19 \cdot 6$ |
| Norfolk, remaining parts, Ont. | 20.2 | $1 \cdot 9$ | $11 \cdot 3$ | 1.049 | 19.3 |
| Division No. 6, remaining parts, Sask. | 20.2 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | 1.127 | 17.9 |
| Yarmouth, remaining parts, N.S..... | 20.4 | 48.7 | 50.8 | 1.348 | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| Waterloo, remaining parts, Ont. | $20 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 3$ | 1.063 | 19.2 |
| Charlotte, N.B........... | $20 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | 11.7 | 1.049 | 19.5 |
| Kent, remaining parts, Ont | 20.5 | 12.5 | $26 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 138$ | $18 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 11, Man. | 20.5 | $2 \cdot 3$ $5 \cdot 3$ | 18.3 | 1.076 1.101 | 19.1 |
| Division No. 10C, B.C. | 20.5 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | 1-101 | $18 \cdot 6$ |
| Carleton, N.B... | 20.6 |  | $9 \cdot 6$ | 1.040 | $19 \cdot 8$ |

[^20]TABLE 18. Correlation of crude birth rates with percentage of population French and percentage of population Roman Catholic, showing the correcting factor for these influences and the crude birth rate independent of them for counties and census divisions of Canada exclusive of cities and towns of 5,000 and over-Con.

| County or Census Division. | Crude Birth Rate, | P.C. of PopuIntion, French, 1931 | P.C. of Population, Roman Catholic, 1931 | Correcting <br> Factor ${ }^{1}$ for <br> French and Roman Catholic | Crude Birth Rate Independent of French and Roman Catholic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | 8.0 | 1.034 | 19.9 |
| Qork, remaining parts, remaining parts, P.E.E.I | 20.7 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 31.9 | $1 \cdot 145$ | $18 \cdot 1$ |
| laainy River, remaining parts, | 20.7 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | 1.118 | $18 \cdot 5$ |
| Division No. 10, Man...... | 20.7 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 7$ | 1.094 | $18 \cdot 9$ |
| Richmond, N.S. | 20.8 | 58.7 | $79 \cdot 3$ | 1.487 | $14 \cdot 0$ |
| Division No. 4, Alta. | $20 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | 1.048 | $19 \cdot 8$ |
| Muskoka, Ont.. | 21.0 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | 1.055 | $19 \cdot 9$ |
| Division No. 12, Sask | 21.0 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $15 \cdot 3$ | 1.075 | 19.5 |
| Huntingdon, Que...... | 21.2 | 47.9 | $62 \cdot 4$ | 1-389 | 15.3 |
| Division No. 6B, B.C | $21 \cdot 2$ | 3-1. | 54.0 | 1.213 | 17.5 |
| Westmorland, remaining parts, N.B. | $21 \cdot 3$ | 44.4 | 48.9 | 1.327 | 16.1 |
| Division No. 5, remaining parts, Man. | 21.3 <br> 21.4 | $4 \cdot 1$ <br> 6.4 | 46.0 31.8 | $1 \cdot 180$ $1 \cdot 140$ | 18.0 18.8 |
| Thunder Bay, remaining parts, Ont. | 21.4 <br> 21.4 | 6.4 6.8 | 31.8 21.8 | $1 \cdot 104$ | 19.4 |
| Division No. 8, Alta | 21.5 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 14.1 | 1.061 | $20 \cdot 3$ |
| Albert, N.B.. | 21.6 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 1.030 | 21.0 |
| Argenteuil, Que | 21.7 | $58 \cdot 1$ | 61.0 | 1.417 | $15 \cdot 3$ |
| St-Hyacinthe, remaining parts, Que. | 21.8 | $90 \cdot 4$ | 99.7 | 1-695 | 12.9 |
| Essex, remaining parts, Ont......... | $21 \cdot 9$ | 27.9 | 41.0 | 1.244 | 17.6 |
| Kenora, remaining parts, Ont. | $21 \cdot 9$ | 5.9 | ${ }_{2}^{24.0}$ | 1.109 | 19.7 19.6 |
| Division No. 3, Man.. | $21 \cdot 9$ | 12.0 | ${ }^{21.7}$ | $1 \cdot 120$ | 19.6 15.4 |
| Stanstead, remaining parts, Que | 22.0 | $60 \cdot 3$ 49.3 | 68.3 | 1.416 | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| Glengarry, Ont. | $22 \cdot 0$ | $\begin{array}{r} \\ 8.7 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 21.8 | $1 \cdot 110$ | 19.8 19.8 |
| Division No. 4, Sask....... | $22 \cdot 1$ | ${ }_{9} \cdot 7$ | $58 \cdot 5$ | 1-250 | 17.7 |
| Cape Breton, remaining parts, N.S.. Division No. | $22 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | 9.1 | 1.044 | 21.2 |
| Division No. 6, remaining parts, Alta. | $22 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 6$. | $16 \cdot 6$ | 1-07] | $20 \cdot 6$ |
| Stormont, remaining parts, Ont.. | $22 \cdot 2$ | $38 \cdot 6$ | $50 \cdot 6$ | 1-315 | 16.9 |
| Digby, N.S................. | 22.4 | 50.0 | $53 \cdot 5$ | 1.362 | $16 \cdot 4$ |
| Cumberland, remaining parts, N.S. | 22.5 | 6.4 | 11.1 | 1.062 | 21.2 |
| Queens, N.S....... | $22 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | 6.7 | 1.038 | 21.7 |
| Division No. 2, remaining parts, Sask | $22 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | 21.7 | 1.097 | 20.5 |
| Division No.3, Alta.................. | 22.5 | $2 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 2$ | 1.070 | 21.0 |
| Division No. 7, Alta. | 22.5 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 18.8 | 1.085 | $20 \cdot 7$ |
| York, remaining paris, N.B. | 22.6 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 10.2 | 1.045 | 21.6 |
| Shelburne, N.S. | 22.7 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.5 <br> 14.4 | 1.011 1.192 | 22.5 19.0 |
| Renfrew, Ont. | $22 \cdot 7$ | 11.3 2.4 | 14.4 | ${ }_{1}^{1 \cdot 192}$ | 19.0 20.7 |
| Division No. 5, Sask | $22 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | ${ }_{23}^{23.8}$ | 1.097 | 20.7 20.6 |
| Addington, Ont...... | 22.8 22.8 | 5.7 18.2 | $23 \cdot 3$ $30 \cdot 2$ | 1.108 1.172 | 19.5 |
| Division No. 6, remaining parts, Man | 22.8 | $\begin{array}{r}18.2 \\ 9.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $30 \cdot 2$ 50.9 | 1.222 | 18.7 |
| Division No. 13, Man.. | $\underline{22.9}$ | $\stackrel{9}{1 \cdot 2}$ | $34 \cdot 7$ | 1.134 | 20.2 |
| Sherbrooke, remaining parts, Que. | $23 \cdot 0$ | $58 \cdot 8$ | 62.9 | 1.426 | $16 \cdot 1$ |
| Vaudreuil, Que......... | $23 \cdot 1$ | $86 \cdot 7$ | $90 \cdot 3$ | 1.619 | 14.3 |
| Chateauguay, Que. | $23 \cdot 2$ | $74 \cdot 9$ | 79.0 | 1.539 | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| Missisquoi, Que. | $23 \cdot 2$ | $67 \cdot 9$ | 71.9 | 1.489 | 15.6 |
| Hastings, remaining parts, Ont. | $23 \cdot 2$ | 6.5 | 17.3 | 1.086 | 21.4 19.8 |
| Division No. 3, Sask........ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 176$ | 19.8 |
| Halifax, remaining parts, N.S. | $23 \cdot 5$ | 8.5 | 23.8 | 1.117 | 21.0 |
| Colchester, remaining parts, N.S.. | $23 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 2$ 20.1 | 1.021 | 21.9 |
| Division No. 8, remaining parts, Sask. | $23 \cdot 7$ 23.8 | 2.1 89.1 | 20.1 90.4 | $\stackrel{1}{1.627}$ | $21 \cdot 9$ 14.6 |
| St-Jean, remaining parts, Que. | $23 \cdot 8$ <br> 23 | $89 \cdot 1$ $7 \cdot 6$ | $90 \cdot 4$ $52 \cdot 4$ | 1.221 1.21 | 19.6 |
| Guysborough, N.S... | $24 \cdot 3$ | 11.2 | 36.0 | $1 \cdot 171$ | 20.8 |
| Manitoulin, Ont..... | $24 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | 1-109 | 21.9 |
| Division No. 15, Man. | $24 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $16 \cdot 4$ | 1.090 | $22 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 2, remaining parts, Alta | $24 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 101$ | $22 \cdot 1$ |
| Sunbury, N.B.................... | $24 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | 18.1 | $1 \cdot 101$ | $22 \cdot 2$ |
| Algoma, remaining parts, Ont... | 24-4 | 19.5 | $36 \cdot 2$ $34 \cdot 0$ | 1.199 1.130 | $20 \cdot 4$ |
| Division No. 9, remaining parts, Sask. | $24 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 0$ $35 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 130$ | 21.6 21.2 |
| Division No. 16, Man...... | $24 \cdot 5$ | 77.9 | $35 \cdot 1$ 69.0 | 1.157 | $21 \cdot 2$ 16.7 |
| Compton, Que.3.... | $24 \cdot 6$ | $\begin{array}{r}67.0 \\ \hline 1.9\end{array}$ | $69 \cdot 0$ $31-3$ |  | 16.7 21.9 |
| Division No. 10, Sask. | $24 \cdot 6$ 24.8 | 1.9 95.2 | $31-3$ <br> 95.4 | 1.666 | 16.9 14.9 |
| Rouville, Que. | $24 \cdot 8$ | $95 \cdot 2$ | 95.4 | $1 \cdot 666$ 1.105 | 14.9 22.4 |
| Parry Sound, Ont | $24 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $19 \cdot 8$ | 1.105 | $22 \cdot 4$ 14.8 |
| Iberville, Que... | $24 \cdot 9$ | $95 \cdot 6$ | 98.2 | 1.677 | 14.8 24.3 |
| Hants, N.S...... | 24.9 | 1.6. | $5 \cdot 5$ 31.7 | 1.026 | $24 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 13, Sask. | $25 \cdot 1$ 25 | 94.64 | 31.7 96.8 | 1.668 | 22.3 15.2 |
| Soulanges, Que... | 25.3 | $94 \cdot 4$ 26.7 | $96 \cdot 8$ $49 \cdot 3$ | 1.271 | $20 \cdot 1$ |
| Prince, P.E.I... | $25 \cdot 5$ 25.7 | 26.7 41.2 | $43 \cdot 8$ 63 | 1.373 |  |
| Pontiac, Que..... | 25.7 25.8 | $\begin{array}{r}41 \cdot 2 \\ 2 \cdot 3 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 63.8 3.0 | 1.373 1.019 | $18 \cdot 7$ $25 \cdot 3$ |
| Division No. 16, remaining parts, Sask | $25 \cdot 8$ | 11.7 | $33 \cdot 6$ | 1.164 | $22 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 12, Alta................... | $25 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 109$ | $23 \cdot 3$ |
| Laprairie, Que........ | $26 \cdot 1$ | $75 \cdot 1$ | $95 \cdot 0$ | 1-599 | $16 \cdot 3$ |
| Deux-Montagnes, Que. | 26.4 | $94 \cdot 0$ | $96 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 666$ | $15 \cdot 8$ |
| Richelieu, remaining parts, Que.. | 26.4 | 99.5 | $99 \cdot 8$ | 1.696 1.566 | 15.6 <br> 16.9 |
| Prescott, remaining parts, Ont... | $26 \cdot 4$ | $77 \cdot 5$ | $84 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 566$ | 16.9 |

TABLE 18. Correlation of crude birth rates with percentage of population French and percentage of population Roman Catholic, showing the correcting factor for these influences and the crude birth rate independent of them for counties and census divisions of

Canada exclusive of citles and towns of 5,000 and over-Con.

| County or Census Division | Crude Birth Rate, 1930-32 |  | P.C. of Population, Roman ${ }_{1931}{ }^{\text {Catholic, }}$ | Correcting Factor ${ }^{\text {t }}$ for French and Roman Catholic | Crude <br> Birth Rato Independent of French and Roman Catholic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division No. 9D, B.C. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division No. 11, remaining parts, Alta | 26.6 | 10.0 | $\stackrel{30.6}{29.6}$ | $\xrightarrow{1.025} 1.143$ | ${ }_{23.3}^{25.8}$ |
| Timiskaming, Ont. | 26.7 | $2 \mathrm{~L} \cdot 1$ | 37.2 | ${ }_{1}^{1 \cdot 208}$ | ${ }_{22 \cdot 1}^{23 \cdot 1}$ |
| Division No. 10, Alta. | 26.7 | 1.7 | 38.1 | $1 \cdot 149$ | 23.2 |
| Division No. 14, Sask.... | 26.8 | $7 \cdot 1$ | 22.0 | $1 \cdot 106$ | $24 \cdot 2$ |
| Division No. 1, remaining part | 26.8 | 1.7 | 19.6 | 1.079 | 24.8 |
| Quebec, remaining parts, Que. | 26-9 | ${ }_{92}{ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{96.7}$ | $1 \cdot 662$ | 16.2 |
| Division No. 17, Sask. | $26 \cdot 9$ | 12.0 | 26.6 | $1 \cdot 139$ | 23.6 |
| Northumberland, N.B | 27.0 | 25.0 | $54 \cdot 6$ | 1.286 | ${ }_{21} \cdot 0$ |
| Napierville, Que................ | 27.0 | 98.1 | 99.2 | 1.689 | 16.0 |
| Drummond, remaining parts, Que | 27.1 | $92 \cdot 2$ | 93:8 | $1 \cdot 650$ | 16.4. |
| Division No. 16, Alta. Berthier, Quc.... | 27.2 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 23.5 | $1 \cdot 105$ | 24.6 |
|  | 27.4, | 98.8 | 99.6 | 1.693 | 16.2 |
| Sheftord, remaining parts, Que. | 27.7 27.9 | 1.1 86.8 | 87.8 | ${ }_{1}^{1 \cdot 1610}$ | $\stackrel{24.2}{ }$ |
| Vercheres, Que.. |  | ${ }_{95 \cdot 6}$ | ${ }_{97.2}$ | 1.674 | 17.3 16.8 |
| Sudbury, remaining parts, Ont | ${ }_{28 \cdot 3}$ | 43.4 | 62.5 | ${ }_{1}^{1.375}$ | 退 17.8. |
| Russell, Ont.. | 28.7 | 79.2 | $82 \cdot 0$ | 1.564 | 18.4. |
| Division No. 15, remaining parts, Sask | 28.7 | 10.6 | $42 \cdot 3$ | 1.193 | 24.1 |
| Cochrane, remaining parts, On | 29.0 | $40 \cdot 4$ | $58 \cdot 8$ | 1-352 | 21.4 |
| L'Assomption, Que. | $29 \cdot 2$ | 30.7 | 41.8 | $1 \cdot 256$ | 23.2 |
| Terrebonne, remaining parts, Que. | ${ }_{29}^{29.2}$ | ${ }_{91.2}^{96.4}$ | ${ }_{93}^{97.7}$ | 1.678 | 17.4. |
| Montcalm, Que. | ${ }_{29} 9$ | ${ }_{92.7}$ | ${ }_{96} 93.4$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.645}$ | 17.8. |
| Division No. 2, Man.. | 29.5 | 13.5 | 18.8 | $1 \cdot 114$ | 26.5 . |
| St-Maurice, remaining parts, Que | $29 \cdot 6$ | 97.8 | 99.5 | 1.689 | 17.5 |
| Levis. remaining parts, Que. | $29 \cdot 9$ | $97 \cdot 3$ | 99.0 | 1.686 | 17.7 |
| Division No. 14, Alta.. | $30 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | 39.3 | 1.191 | 25.4 |
| Bagot, Que. Hull , remaining parts, Que. | 30.4 | 99-2 | 99.5 | 1.694 | 17.9. |
| Hicolet, Que. | 30.4 | $68 \cdot 0$ | $82 \cdot 9$ | 1.531 | $19 \cdot 9$ |
| Rieohmond Que. Cu | $30 \cdot 4$ | 99.3 | 100.0 | $1 \cdot 696$ | 17.9. |
| Rimhmond, Que | 30.6 | $78 \cdot 6$ |  | 1.562 | 19.6 |
| Papineau, Que. | 30.7 | 80.8 | 88.5 | 1.593 | 19.3: |
| Yamaska, Que. | 30.8 | 98.2 | 99.7 | ${ }^{1.691}$ | 18.2. |
| Arthabaska, remaining parts, Quel | 31.0 | $77 \cdot 3$ | $83 \cdot 7$ | 1.564 | 19.8 |
| Arthabaska, remaining parts, Que | $31 \cdot 1$ | 98.5 | 99.5 | 1.692 | 18.4 |
| Megantic, remaining parts, Que | ${ }^{31 \cdot 1}$ | 90.9 | ${ }^{93} \cdot 3$ | 1.644 | 18.9 |
| Dolisision No. 15 , Alta...... | ${ }^{31 \cdot 6}$ | 27.4 | $55 \cdot 4$ | 1.297 | 24.4 |
| Nipissing, remaining parts, Of | 31.8 | $97 \cdot 6$ | 98.0 | 1.687 | 18.9 |
| Maskinonge, Que. | 31.9 | ${ }_{98}^{62.8}$ | 99.7 | 1.488 | 21.5. |
| Montmagny, Que. | 32.0 | 99.2 | 99.7 | 1.695 | 18.9 |
| Division No. I, Man. | $32 \cdot 3$ | 21.2 | 46.5 | $1 \cdot 243$ | 26.0 . |
| Kamouraska, Que. | 32.4 | 99.4 | 98.9 | 1.686 | $18 \cdot 1$ |
| Portneuf, Que. | $32 \cdot 7$ | 96.6 | 98.7 | 1.683 | 19.4. |
| Lotbiniere, Quc. | $32 \cdot 9$ | 99.3 | 99.4 | 1.694 | 19.4 |
| Champlain, remaining | $33 \cdot 1$ | 97.8 | 99.7 | 1.690 | $19 \cdot 6$ |
| Champlain, remaining parts, | 33.2 | 97.3 | 99.3 | 1.687 | 19.7 |
| Mimouski, remaining parts, Que | ${ }_{3} 3 \cdot 2$ | 97.9 | 99.0 | 1.688 | 19.7 |
| Division No. 13, Alta......... | ${ }_{33} 3$ | $87 \cdot 4$ | $95 \cdot 9$ | 1.690 | 19. |
| Bellechasse, Que. | 33.8 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 1.697 |  |
| Division No. 18, Sask | 33.8 | 7.3 | 61.9 | 1.65 | $19 \cdot 9$ |
| Bonaventure, Que | 33.9 |  | ${ }_{8}{ }^{2} 8$ | 1.256 | 8 |
| Wolfe, Que | 34.2 | $95 \cdot 2$ | 95.5 | 1.666 | . |
| Charlevoix, Que.. | 35.8 . | 97.1 | $99 \cdot 4$ | 1.687 | 21.2 |
| Madawaska, rema | $36 \cdot 6$ | 96.1 | 89.1 | 1.682 | 21.8 |
| Dorchester, Que. | 36.7 | 96.0 | $99 \cdot 2$ | 1.682 | 21.8 |
| Restigouche, remaining parts, N.B. | 36.9 | 68.7 | 81.0 | 1.526 | 24.2 |
| Leabace, Que. | ${ }^{37 \cdot 1}$ | 99.0 | $99 \cdot 7$ | 1.694 |  |
| Laballe, Que. | 37.4 | $96 \cdot 0$ | 98.9 | 1.683 |  |
| Gloucester, N.B................. | 37.5 | $83 \cdot 2$ | $92 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 616$ | 23.2 |
| Tromiscouata, remaining parts, Que | 37.6 | 88.7 | ${ }^{89} \cdot 6$ | 1.693 | 22.2 |
| Grontenac, Que. | 37.7 | 96.4 | 96.9 | 1.675 | 22.5 |
| Saspe, Que...... | 38.0 | 77.6 | 89.8 | 1.588 | 23.9 |
|  | 38.2 | 79.2 | 94.1 | 1.609 | ${ }^{23.7}$ |
|  | 38.3 | 90.0 | $91 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 633$ | 23.5 |
| Temiskaming, Que.... | 38.5 | 6.4 | 67.6 | 1.275 | 30.2 |
| Abitibi, Que....... | 39.2 <br> 39.3 | 88.7 | ${ }_{83}^{87.0}$ | 1.636 | 24.1 |
| Matane, Que. | 41.5 | 97.5 | 99.1 | 1.687 | $24 \cdot 6$ |
| Chicoutimi, remaining parts, | $43 \cdot 6$ | 94.3 | 97.5 | 1.671 | 26.1 |
| Lac-St-Jean, Que......... | 45.1 | 96.3 | 98.8 | 1.682 | 26.3 |

## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX I

## MISSTA'TEMENT OF AGE IN THE CANADIAN CENSUS

The aim of this appendix is to provide at least a limited approach to the problem of the extent of misstatement of age by the population enumerated in the Canadian census; to find whether the misstatement has decreased or increased since the early censuses; and to ascertain the effect of age and sex and rural or urban residence on the accuracy of reporting. The study was circumscribed in that, since the census is the only source of information on the ages of the entire population, testing was confined to comparing one census with another. Several samples were used and all the censuses from 1871 to 1936 were the material sampled.

The first of the several samples was obtained from the Old Age Pension search files. These record the ages of the applicants for Old Age Pensions and the ages of their parents, brothers and sisters as given in the censuses of 1871, 1881, 1891 and 1901. A total of 4,474 cases were found where reported ages could be compared as at two consecutive censuses. In addition to these 337 cases for these years were obtained where the ages could be matched over a 20 -year interval, but not for a 10 -year one.

The average number of years aged during the inter-censal period for males and females separately and the standard deviations of the distributions of "years aged" are shown below.

|  | Age Group | - | Sample from Old Age Pension Search Files (10-year period), 1871, 1881, 1891 and 1901 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  |  |  | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9. |  |  | 9.81 | $0 \cdot 89$ | 9.81 | 1.01 |
| 10-19. |  |  | $9 \cdot 62$ | 1.40 | $9 \cdot 38$ | 1.55 |
| $20-29$ |  |  | $9 \cdot 62$ | $2 \cdot 28$ | 9.54 | $2 \cdot 57$ |
| 30-39. |  |  | $10 \cdot 10$ | 2.76 | 10.05 | $2 \cdot 76$ |
| 40-49. |  |  | $10 \cdot 35$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | $9 \cdot 38$ | $3 \cdot 06$ |
| 50-59. |  |  | $10 \cdot 04$ | $2 \cdot 88$ | $10 \cdot 56$ | $2 \cdot 50$ |
| 60-69. |  |  | $0 \cdot 38$ | $2 \cdot 04$ | $10 \cdot 42$ | $2 \cdot 44$ |

It is seen that the standard deviation is smallest at the first 10-year age group (comprising persons who were $0-9$ years of age according to the first of two consecutive censuses), standing at 0.89 years for males and 1.01 years for females. A gradual increase with age in the standard deviation brings them to a maximum for both males and females at $40-49$, where the spread is measured by a standard deviation of more than three years for both sexes. Thus, at these ages, about one-third of the population gave ages at two consecutive censuses which differed by less than 7 or more than 13 years. Here, as elsewhere throughout this appendix, it may be seen that overstatements balance understatements to a very considerable degree and the average error is 0.35 years.

The 337 individuals who were traced between two censuses twenty years apart, but not found in the intervening census, are shown below. The numbers in each sex-age group were so small that the sexes have been combined.


Though the sample is very small it is interesting to note that the result is essentially similar to that of the previous statement, the standard deviations proceeding to a maximum at 40-49 and declining somewhat at the very oldest age. As is to be expected from the longer span of years, the standard deviations are greater than those of the 10 -year comparison and the means diverge more widely from the true.

The above conclusions are based on information collected from censuses prior to 1911. For a comparison with the most recent period a sample was taken of those persons who could be traced through the censuses of 1931 and 1936. The search was conducted for one province only, Alberta being chosen for this purpose.

However, before proceeding with the province as a whole, it was considered advisable to test whether the results would differ greatly from one district to another. A total of 1,038 persons, including 577 males and 461 females, were collected from the books of the urban district of Lethbridge and 1,059, including 585 males and 474 females, from the books of the largely rural district of Acadia.

| Age Group | Sample from Lethbridge, Alta., 1931-36 |  |  |  | Sample from Acadia, Alta., 1931-36 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Deviation of Distribution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard <br> Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard <br> Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9. | $5 \cdot 01$ | 0.45 | $4 \cdot 99$ | 0.43 | 5.04 | 0.58 | 4.93 | 0.56 |
| 10-19. | $5 \cdot 01$ | 0.53 | $5 \cdot 05$ | $0 \cdot 79$ | $4 \cdot 88$ | $0 \cdot 57$ | $4 \cdot 95$ | 0.40 |
| 20-29. | $5 \cdot 18$ | 0.94 | $5 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 40$ | 4.80 | 1.00 | $5 \cdot 14$ | 1.46 |
| 30-39. | $5 \cdot 15$ | 1.64 | $4 \cdot 81$ | 1.57 | $5 \cdot 07$ | $1 \cdot 61$ | $5 \cdot 23$ | 1.74 |
| 40-49. | $5 \cdot 24$ | 1.31 | $5 \cdot 21$ | 1.00 | $5 \cdot 42$ | $1 \cdot 34$ | $5 \cdot 03$ | 1.77 |
| 50-59. | $5 \cdot 05$ | 1.08 | $4 \cdot 91$ | 1.81 | $5 \cdot 36$ | $1 \cdot 03$ | $5 \cdot 19$ | 1.37 |
| 60-69. | $5 \cdot 06$ | $0 \cdot 80$ | $4 \cdot 88$ | 1.25 | $5 \cdot 39$ | $1 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 86$ | 0.82 |

It was considered that the two districts were not too dissimilar to justify averaging for the whole province. About 700 names were then matched between the two censuses ( 1931 and 1936) in each of the sixteen districts of Alberta, with the exception of Peace River and Athabaska where some 400 only were matched. Subdistricts for search were chosen so that they were distributed fairly evenly throughout the main district.

In all, 11,196 cases were tabulated, of which 6,109 were males and 5,087 were females. This is a representative sample as regards the proportion of the sexes, since 0.01526 of the male population of Alberta in 1931 are included against 0.01535 of the female population. In regard to age distribution it seemed moderately similar to that of the population as a whole. The very early ages of life are somewhat over-represented and those from 15 to 35 slightly under-represented. From age 35 until the end of life the age distribution of the sample is very close to that of the population as a whole. This can be easily explained. Children at home are easily traced from one census to another, but in the late teens and twenties, when new families are being formed and new households organized, addresses change and the tracing is very difficult. After age 40 people are more likely to have a fixed abode. (It may be said generally that the ages of greatest population movement are 20-40.)

The sample is displayed by single years of age in the scatter diagram, pages 194-196.
Following is a summary in terms of mean increase in reported age between the two censuses and the standard deviation of the increases as reported.

|  | Age Group | Sample from the Province of Alberta, 1931-36 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Ago } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Difference } \\ \text { in Age } \end{gathered}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9. |  | $4 \cdot 96$ | $0 \cdot 58$ | $4 \cdot 99$ | -0.59 |
| 10-19.. |  | 4.92 | $0 \cdot 72$ | $4 \cdot 94$ | 0.67 |
| 20-29.. |  | $5 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | $5 \cdot 14$ | 1.28 |
| 30-39.. |  | $5 \cdot 13$ | 1.49 | $5 \cdot 04$ | 1.71 |
| 40-49.. |  | $5 \cdot 18$ 5.06 | 1.48 | 5.02 5.03 | 1.57 |
| 50-59.. |  | 5.06 5.08 | 1.56 1.63 | $5 \cdot 03$ 4.97 | 1.65 1.88 |
| 70-79.. |  | 4.92 | 1.34 | 4.97 4.96 | 1.88 1.32 |

77601-3-13

COMPAR1SON BETWEEN AGES AS STATED IN 1931 AND 1936 FOR A SAMPLE OF 11,196 PERSONS TAKEN FROM THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA

| Age as Stated in 1931 |  | Age as Stated in 1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 1 2 3 4 4 5 |  | 2 | 252 | \|r|r|r 225 | \|r|r ${ }^{2}$ |   <br> 4 2 <br> 25  | 2 ${ }^{2} \mathbf{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 2 \\ 29 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 11 <br> 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 <br> 7 <br> 8 <br> 9 <br> 10 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|r\|} \hline 3 & 35 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 259 \\ 38 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 228 \\ 33 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 25 231 33 6 | $\begin{array}{c\|c} 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 25 \\ 3 & 272 \\ 6 & 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 25 \\ 257\end{array}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 12 13 13 15 15 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | $1$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 24 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 237 \\ 36 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 26 \\ 230 \\ 24 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 31 \\ 203 \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 24 \\ 203 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 20 | 1 |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 17 18 18 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 6 | 19 | $\begin{array}{r}158 \\ 17 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 138 \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 14 113 26 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 10 \\ 99 \\ 92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 15 \\ 79 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 2 9 9 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 22 7 2 | 84 20 5 3 1 1 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 75 \\ 23 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 13 \\ 75 \\ 21 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ 76 \\ 20 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 20 \\ 79 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ |
| 26 27 28 29 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 11 2 2 |
| 31 32 33 34 35 35 | $30 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. <br> $31 \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. <br> $32 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. <br> $34 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1. |  | 1 |
| 36 37 38 39 40 | \| $35 \ldots \ldots . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 42 43 44 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 47 48 49 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 52 53 54 54 54 | $50 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> $51 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. <br> $52 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. <br> $53 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. <br> $54 \ldots \ldots . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 56 57 58 59 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 <br> 62 <br> 63 <br> 64 <br> 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 67 68 69 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 72 73 74 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 77 78 79 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 82 | 80 and over.... Total........ |  | 279 | ${ }^{282}$ | 273 | 308 | \| 300 | \|336 | ${ }^{281}$ | 1299 | 9340 | 333 | 320 | 313 | 286 | 270 | 250 | 198 | 176 | 162 | 135 | 127 | 125 | 120 | 120 | 133 | 120 |
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COMPARISON BETWEEN AGES AS STATED IN 1931 AND 1936 FOR A SAMPLE OF 11,196 PERSONS TAKEN FROM THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA-CON.


In a few cases children of 5,6 and 7 years were found in the 1936 Census and not recorded in 1931. Omissions of this type encountered in the sample described above numbered 14 males of age 5 in 1936, 2 of age 6 and 1 of age $7 ; 9$ females of age 5 and 2 of age 6.

Partly to determine the importance of the part played by the length of the inter-censal period, two samples of data from the 1921 and 1931 Censuses were then taken. The first was from Kings County, N.S., where the population is largely rural and contained 580 males and 489 females. The second was from the City of Westmount, Que., and contained 488 males and 580 females.

| Age Group | Sample from Kings County, N.S., 1921-31 |  |  |  | Sample from Westmount, Que., 1921-31 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard <br> Deviation of Distribution | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Difference } \\ \text { in Age } \end{gathered}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9..... | 9.92 | 0.71 | 9.99 | 0.48 | 9.98 | 0.46 | - 9.06 | 0.46 |
| 10-19......... | $9 \cdot 83$ | 0.71 | $9 \cdot 83$ | $0 \cdot 58$ | $9 \cdot 74$ | $1 \cdot 40$ | $9 \cdot 84$ | 1.02 |
| 20-29.. | $9 \cdot 99$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | 9.90 | 1.53 | $9 \cdot 66$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | 9.23 | $2 \cdot 93$ |
| 30-39. | $9 \cdot 76$ | 1.47 | 9.78 | 1.83 | $9 \cdot 50$ | $1 \cdot 19$ | $9 \cdot 72$ | $2 \cdot 76$ |
| 40-49. | $9 \cdot 57$ | 1.71 | $10 \cdot 19$ | 1.92 | 10.29 | $2 \cdot 24$ | $9 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 37$ |
| 50-59. | $10 \cdot 13$ | 1.81 | $10 \cdot 44$ | $2 \cdot 26$ | $10 \cdot 48$ | $2 \cdot 93$ | 10.03 | $2 \cdot 77$ |
| 80-69. | $10 \cdot 07$ | 1.93 | $9 \cdot 50$ | 1.86 | 10.15 | $1 \cdot 35$ | $9 \cdot 68$ | $2 \cdot 89$ |

Both of these places show higher standard deviations over the 10 -year period than Alberta in 1931-36 and, also, the urban was decidely higher than the rural. It was thought of interest to compare Alberta 1931-36 with another urban sample for those years in order to discover if the high deviation were an urban characteristic. Therefore, the cases already collected from Calgary were tabulated separately and the deviations calculated. There were 547 males and 532 females in this sample. It is seen that the following results follow closely those given for the province of Alberta as a whole.

| Age Group | Sample from Calgary, Alta., 1931-36 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mear. } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { In Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9. | $4 \cdot 95$ | 0.48 | $4 \cdot 02$ | $0 \cdot 30$ |
| 10-19.. | $4 \cdot 83$ | 0.68 | $4 \cdot 89$ | $0 \cdot 50$ |
| 20-29.. | $5 \cdot 11$ | 1.47 | 5-09 | 1.05 |
| 30-39.. | 5.34 | 1.08 | $5 \cdot 20$ | 1.72 |
| 40-40. | $5 \cdot 23$ | $1 \cdot 61$ | $4 \cdot 84$ | $2 \cdot 02$ |
| 50-59.. | $5 \cdot 45$ | $1 \cdot 63$ | $4 \cdot 96$ | 1.96 |
| 60-69.. | $5 \cdot 06$ | 1.85 | $5 \cdot 33$ | $2 \cdot 34$ |

As a check on the representativeness of the Old Age Pension files two samples were collected directly from the census schedules. The first was from the 1871 and 1881 censuses of Bothwell, Ont. ( 624 males and 458 females), the second from the 1881 and 1891 censuses of Huntingdon, Que. ( 575 males and 508 females). The standard deviations are decidedly lower than for the Old Age Pensioners, particularly for males indicating that the Old Age Pensioners are not a representative group for this purpose.

| Age Group | Sample from Bothwell, Ont., 1871-81 |  |  |  | Sample from Huntingdon, Que., 1881-91 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Doviation of Distribution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Difference } \\ & \text { in Age } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard <br> Deviation of Distribution | Mean Difference in Age | Standard Deviation of Distribution |
| 0-9. | 9.97 | 0.63 | $10 \cdot 05$ | 0.71 | $9 \cdot 87$ | $0 \cdot 62$ | $9 \cdot 96$ | $0 \cdot 60$ |
| 10-19. | $9 \cdot 81$ | 1.00 | $9 \cdot 37$ | 1.38 | $9 \cdot 63$ | $0 \cdot 99$ | $9 \cdot 55$ | $1 \cdot 63$ |
| 20-29. | 10.00 | $1 \cdot 33$ | $9 \cdot 56$ | 1.86 | 9.80 | 1.94 | $9 \cdot 68$ | 1.55 |
| 30-39. | 10.00 | $2 \cdot 45$ | 9.70 | $1 \cdot 53$ | 10.04 | 1.45 | $9 \cdot 35$ | 2.22 |
| 40-49. | 10.03 | 1.59 | 9.46 | $2 \cdot 29$ | 10.03 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 10.05 | 2.05 |
| 50-59. | $9 \cdot 56$ | 1.90 | $10 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 63$ | $10 \cdot 30$ | $1 \cdot 85$ | $10 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 66$ |
| 60-69. | $10 \cdot 42$ | 2.25 |  |  | $9 \cdot 96$ | 2.62 | $9 \cdot 67$ | $3 \cdot 35$ |

The standard deviation for "all ages" is a convenient means of comparing the results from the different samples. However, the proportion of young children is much greater in some samples than in others and this would tend to decrease the standard deviation for "all ages." Therefore, it was necessary to standardize the standard deviations in order to eliminate the effect of age distribution.

The standardization was effected by the following process: the sum of the products of the squares of the deviations and total male or female population of each age was divided by the total population of the sample. This result gives the square of a standardized standard deviation.

| Sample | Standardized Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |
|  |  |  |
| Both well, Ont., 1871-81. | 1.38 | 1.53 |
| Huntingdon, Que., 1881-91. | 1.39 | 1.81 |
| Old Age Pension Search Files, 1871-1901 (10-year period) | 1.89 | $1 \cdot 93$ |
| Old Age Pension Search Files, 1871-1901 (20-year period) | $2 \cdot 22$ (b | th sexes) |
| Kings County, N.S., 1921-31. | 1.32 | 1.49 |
| Westmount, Que., 1921-31.... | 1.84 | $2 \cdot 39$ 1.23 |
|  | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 23$ |

## APPENDIX II

## TREND OF THE BIRTH RATE IN THE PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1936

Introduction.--The facts that a census of the three Prairie Provinces, Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta, is taken at five-year intervals instead of ten-year, and that census com pilations for 1926 and 1936 have been made in detail by sex, age and conjugal condition, allow an analysis of the change in the crude birth rate not merely as between the two census periods of 1921 and 1931 but for the four census periods 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936. In this connection it was thought well to consider these provinces as a group, not individually.

Trend in Rates of Birth, Death and Natural Increase.-Statement A gives the live births of each province over the period 1921-36 and contains also the annual totals for the three provinces combined. As was seen in considering the births in the Registration Area, the trend over the period, with the exception of the years 1927-30, was definitely downward. During the short period 1927-30 the births showed moderate increases. These were most noticeable in the province of Alberta.
A.-NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, i921-1936

| Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 57,532 | 18,478 | 22,493 | 16.561 |
| 1922. | 56,181 | 17,679 | 22,339 | 16,163 |
| 1923. | 52,479 | 16,472 | 20.947 | 15,060 |
| 1024. | 51,590 | 15,454 | 21,539 | 14,597 |
| 1925. | 50,373 | 14,867 | 20,582 | 14,924 |
| 1026. | 49,833 | 14,661 | 20,716 | 14,456 |
| 1927. | 50, 059 | 14.147 14.504 | 21,015 | 14,897 |
| 1928. | 51,457 <br> 52 | 14,504 14.236 | 21,261 21,46 | 15,692 <br> 16.924 |
| 1929. | 52,606 54.111 | 14,236 14.411 | 21,446 22,051 | 16.924 17,649 |
| 1930. | 54, 111 | 14,411 14,376 | 22,051 <br> 21,331 | 17,649 17,252 |
| 1932. | 51,928 | 14, 124 | 20.814 | 16,990 |
| 1933. | 49.572 | 13.304 | 20.145 | 16,123 |
| 1934. | 49,310 | 13,310 | 19,764 | 16,236 |
| 1935. | 49, 087 | 13,385 | 19,569 | 16.183 |
| 1936. | 47.766 | 12,855 | 19,125 | 15,786 |

Statement $B$ shows the birth rates corresponding to the absolute figures of Statement A. It will be observed that for the Prairie Provinces as a group, the rate fell from 29.4 in 1921 to $23 \cdot 6$ in 1927 , and between 1927 and 1930 showed a tendency to stabilize itself at about this latter level. As in the case of the Registration Area, a new decline commenced with 1931 and the rate dropped steadily year by year until it reached the level of 19.8 per thousand in 1936-a fall in fifteen years of about 10 births per thousand population.
B.-CRUDE BIRTH RATES', PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1936

|  | Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskat. chewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921.. |  | 29.4 | $30 \cdot 3$ | 29.7 | 28.1 |
| 1922.. |  | $28 \cdot 4$ | 28-7 | 29.0 | $27 \cdot 3$ |
| 1923.. |  | 26.4 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 25.4 |
| 1924. |  | $25 \cdot 6$ | 24.7 | $27 \cdot 2$ | 24.5 |
| 1925.. |  | $24 \cdot 7$ | 23.5 | $25 \cdot 5$ | 24.8 |
| 1926. |  | $24 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | 23.8 |
| 1927.. |  | $23 \cdot 6$ | $21 \cdot 7$ | $25 \cdot 0$ | 23.5 |
| 1928. |  | $23 \cdot 6$ | 21.8 | 24.7 | 23.8 |
| 1929. |  | $23 \cdot 4$ | 21.0 | $24 \cdot 3$ | 24.7 |
| 1930.. |  | $23 \cdot 5$ | 20.9 | 24.4 | 24.8 |
| 1931.. |  | $22 \cdot 5$ | 20.5 | $23 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 6$ |
| 1932. |  | 21.8 | 19.9 | $22 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 0$ |
| 1933. |  | $20 \cdot 7$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| 1934. |  | $20 \cdot 6$ | 18.7 | 21.2 | 21.5 |
| 1935. |  | $20 \cdot 4$ | 18.8 18.1 | $21 \cdot 0$ | 21.2 20.4 |
| 1836. |  | $19 \cdot 8$ | $18 \cdot 1$ | 20.5 | $20 \cdot 4$ |

[^21]- Throughout the period the death rate of this group of provinces, always low, owing partly to the age composition of the population and partly to other factors, was highest in 1922 , when it stood at $8 \cdot 7$, and lowest in 1934, when it fell to $6 \cdot 8$. In the initial year, 1921, the rate was $8 \cdot 1$ and in 1936 it was 7.7. These rates are shown in Statement C below.

> C.-DEATH RATES', PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1936

|  | Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1922. |  | $8 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| 1923. |  | $8 \cdot 7$ $8 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 3$ 8.6 | 8.0 | $8 \cdot 9$ |
| 1924.. |  | $8 \cdot 3$ $7 \cdot 8$ | 8.6 8.0 | 7.9 | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| 1925. |  | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 0$ $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | 8.1 |
| 1926. |  | $8 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 3$ $8 \cdot 3$ | 7.0 | $7 \cdot 8$ 8.5 |
| 1928. |  | $7 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 0$ |
| 1929. |  | $7 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | 8.7 |
| 1930. |  | $8 \cdot 4$ | 8.6 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 1$ |
| 1931.. |  | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 7.8 |
| 1932.. |  | $7 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 2$ |
| 1933.. |  | $7 \cdot 1$ | 7.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 |
| 1934.. |  | 7.0 6.8 | 7.7 | $6 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| 1935. |  | 6.8 7.3 | 7.3 8.1 | $6 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| 1936.. |  | $7 \cdot 7$ | 8.1 8.7 | $6 \cdot 6$ 6.8 | 7.5 8.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 population.
As a result of the large decline in the birth rate and the comparatively small and irregular movement of the death rate, the rate of natural increase for the Prairie Provinces showed a decline in every year throughout the period with the exceptions of 1930 and 1934. At the beginning of the period the rate was $21 \cdot 3$; for 1936 it was $12 \cdot 1$. The rates of natural increase are shown in Statement D for the period 1921-36.
D.-RATES ${ }^{1}$ OF NATURAL INCREASE, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1936

|  | Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1922 |  | $21 \cdot 3$ 19 | 21.5 19.4 | $22 \cdot 3$ $21 \cdot 1$ | 19.7 18.4 |
| 1924. |  | 18.1 | 18.0 | $19 \cdot 0$ | 1.70 |
| 1925. |  | 17.8 | 16.7 | $19 \cdot 9$ | 16.4 |
| 1926. |  | $17 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | 18.5 | 17.0 |
| 1027. |  | $16 \cdot 1$ | 14.6 | $17 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 3$ |
| 1828. |  | 15.9 | 13.5 | $17 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| 1929. |  | 15.7 15.0 | 13.7 | $17 \cdot 5$ | $15 \cdot 1$ |
| 1930. |  | 15.0 15.9 | 12.4 | 16.7 17.4 | $15 \cdot 6$ |
| 1931. |  | $15 \cdot 9$ <br> 15 | $12 \cdot 6$ 12.9 | 17.4 16.5 | 17.1 |
| 1932. |  | $15 \cdot 4$ 14.7 | 12.9 12.4 | 16.5 15.8 | 16.4 |
| 1934 |  | $13 \cdot 7$ | 11.0 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 14.5 |
| 1035. |  | 13.8 | 11.4 | $14 \cdot 8$ | 14.4 |
| 1936. |  | $13 \cdot 1$ | 10.7 | $14 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 7$ |
|  |  | $12 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | 13.7 | $12 \cdot 4$ |

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 population.
Specific Fertility Rates of All Women.-Statement E shows the specific fertility rates of women of all conjugal conditions for the four individual census years, 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936. Considering the provinces as a group, it will be noted that each census year showed a lower fertility rate than the previous, not only for the group of women of child-bearing ages considered as a whole but for each five-year period within these limits. The decline was smallest between 1926 and 1931. Between 1921 and 1926 and again between 1931 and 1936 the movement was quite pronounced.
E.-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES ${ }^{1}$ OF WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE (ALL CONJUGAL CONDITIONS), BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936

${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 women of age specified.
${ }^{2}$ Rates for Alberta are for 1922.
Standardized Birth Rates.-Standardized rates were computed for the Prairie Provinces (method explained in Chapter II, page 44) by applying the above specific fertility rates of all women to the corresponding age group of the female population of Canada, 1931, and interpolating for the intervening years. Statement $F$ gives the standardized birth rates of Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta and for the three provinces as a group.
F.-STANDARDIZED BIRTH RATEŚ, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1936

|  | Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1922. |  | $30 \cdot 1$ 29 | $29 \cdot 5$ | $31 \cdot 6$ |  |
| 1923. |  | $29 \cdot 1$ 27 | $27 \cdot 9$ | $30 \cdot 9$ | 28.3 |
| 1924. |  | 27.4 26.9 | $26 \cdot 2$ $24 \cdot 5$ | 29.1 29.8 | $26 \cdot 7$ |
| 1925. |  | $26 \cdot 9$ | $\stackrel{24 \cdot 5}{23} 5$ | 29.8 28.4 | 26.0 26.9 |
| 1926. |  | $25 \cdot 7$ | $22 \cdot 9$ | $28 \cdot 1$ | 26.9 25.8 |
| 1927. |  | 25.2 | 21.8 | $27 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 8$ 25.6 |
| 1929. |  | $25 \cdot 0$ | 21.8 | 27.3 | $25 \cdot 6$ |
| 1030. |  | $24 \cdot 8$ | 21.0 | $26 \cdot 8$ | 26.3 |
| 1931. |  | 24.7 | 20.8 | 26.7 | 26.1 |
| 1932. |  | $23 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 8$ |
| 1933. |  | 22•9 | 19.8 | $24 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 1$ |
| 1934. |  | $21 \cdot 3$ | $18 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 3$ |
| 1935. |  |  | $17 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 0$ |
| 1936.. |  | $20 \cdot 3$ 19.7 | 17.6 16.9 | $\stackrel{21.7}{ }$ | 21.3 |
|  |  | $19 \cdot 7$ | 16.9 | $21 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 6$ |

## 1 Per 1,000.

Standardization (which eliminates the influences of differences in the age composition of females in the child-bearing age groups) increased the fall in the birth rate over the period. This decline is now, in the Prairie Provinces as a whole, 10.4 births per thousand in the standardized rates and $9 \cdot 6$ births per. thousand in the crude rates. Further, we observe that in 1921 the
standardized rate was $30 \cdot 1$ as against a crude rate of $29 \cdot 4$. Standardization having been effected on the basis of the population of all Canada in 1931, this indicates that the Prairie Provinces as a whole bad, in 1921, a population more unfavourably composed by sex and age for a high birth rate than had the country as a whole ten years later.

In 1926 the standardized rate was $25 \cdot 7$ as against a crude rate of $24 \cdot 1$. The absolute and percentage differences were, therefore, greater than in 1921 and indicated that the population of these provinces in 1926 was less favourable to a high birth rate than in the earlier year.

In 1931 a standardized rate of 23.6 as against a crude rate of 22.5 indicated a diminishing difference as compared with 1926 and, therefore, a more favourably constituted population.

In 1936 the standardized rate was 19.7 and the crude rate 19.8 . At this period, therefore, the composition of the population bad become still more favourable to a high birth rate than in 1931 and practically corresponded with that of Canada as a wbole in 1931.

Factors Affecting the Grude Birth Rate.-Factors A-E affecting the Canadian birth rate, summarized on page 58 of Chapter II, will now be discussed in connection with the Prairie Provinces.

Factor A, the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population, was increasing with each census both in the three provinces as a group and in each province individually. The change between 1921 and 1936 was most noticeable in Saskatcbewan where the proportion improved by more than 10 p.c. In the Prairie Provinces as a whole there was an improvement of over 8 p.e. Thus, had every other factor which affects the crude birth rate remained constant, this change in proportion should have increased the rate for the Prairie Provinces by about 8.5 p.c. during the period 1921-36. Statement $G$ shows the percentage proportion of women 15-49 years of age to the total population for the years 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936.
G.-PERCENTAGE PROPORTION OF WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE TO TOTAL POPULATION, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936

| Province | 1921 | 1926 | 1931 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $22 \cdot 9$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | $24 \cdot 9$ |
| Prairie Provinces. Manitoba.... | $24 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $26 \cdot 2$ |
| Saskatchewan. | $22 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 3$ 24.3 |
| Alberta..... | $22 \cdot 9$ | $23 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | $24 \cdot 3$ |

The effect of factor B , the change in the proportion of married women to all women within the child-bearing ages, is in sharp contrast to that of factor A. In relation to this factor each census shows a more unfavourable condition than the preceding one and between 1921 and 1936 the proportion of married women to all women between the ages of 15 and 50 years had declined by about 15 p.c. Statement $H$ shows the percentage proportion of married women 15-49 years of age to all women by age group for the years 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936.

H--PERCENTAGE PROPORTION OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE TO ALL WOMEN, BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936

H.-PERCENTAGE PROPORTION OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE TO ALL WOMEN, BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936-Con.

| Province and Age Group | 1921 | 1926 | 1931 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saskatchewan- <br> 15-49 years |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 years .... | $69 \cdot 3$ | 64.8 | 61.1 | 56.9 |
| 15-19 years. | ${ }^{10 \cdot 6}$ | 7.0 | $5 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 6$ |
| ${ }_{25-29}^{20-24}$ " | ${ }_{82.5}^{58.2}$ | 48.5 80.7 | $45 \cdot 1$ 77.6 | 37.1 70.9 |
| 30-34 " | 82.5 90.0 | 80.7 89 | 878.8 | 70.9 85.7 |
| 35-39 " | 91.7 | ${ }_{91-9}$ | ${ }_{91.6}$ | ${ }_{90.1}$ |
| 40-44 " | 90.8 | 91.5 | 91-2 | 90.8 |
| 45-49 " | 88.8 | 89.1 | 89.9 | 89.4 |
| Alberta- |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 years. | $69 \cdot 2$ | 65.4 | $63 \cdot 1$ | 60.0 |
| ${ }_{20-24}^{15-19}$ years | 10.5 | 7.3 | 6.8 |  |
| 20.24 | 56.8 | 48.8 | $47 \cdot 4$ | $40 \cdot 1$ |
| ${ }^{25-29}$ | 81.5 | 79.5 | 78.7 | 73.9 |
| ${ }^{30-34}$ " | 88.5 | 88.4 | 88.4 | 86.4 |
| ${ }^{35-39}$ " | 90.7 | ${ }^{90} 6$ | 90.1 | 89.4 |
| ${ }_{45-49}{ }^{40-44}$ | 889.4 | 889.9 | 90.0 | 89.4 |
|  | 87.0 | 87.7 | 88.2 | 87.9 |

Statement I shows factor C, the percentage distribution of married women, 15-49 years of age, by age groups for the years 1921, 1926, 1931 and 1936, for the Prairie Provinces as a group and individually. Considering them as a group, declines over the fifteen-year period are shown in the proportion of married women in the age groups under 40 and increases in the age groups over 40. That is to say, the age distribution in 1936 was less favourable to a high fertility rate than was the distribution of 1921, as a smaller proportion of the married women were in the age groups of high fertility and a greater proportion in the age groups of low fertility.

Among the five-year periods the greatest changes appear between 1921 and 1926. In 1926 the proportion in the age group 15-19 had fallen 19 p.c., the groups 20-24 and 25-29 had each dropped 12 p.c. and the proportion in the two oldest groups had increased 14 and 25 p.c., respectively. Between 1926 and 1931 the changes were not as pronounced and were in some cases of an opposite trend. During this period the proportion of married women in the 15-19 group did not change; in the age group 20-24 it increased 11 p.c. and in the age group $25-29$ it increased 1 p.c. While it still decreased in the age group 30-34, it also decreased in the age group 35-39. The two higher age groups showed smaller increases, 2 p.c. for the $40-44$ group and 12 p.c. for the oldest. Between the years 1931 and 1936, the proportion of married women increased in two of the groups, 5 p.c. in $25-29$ group and 8 p.c. in the $45-49$ group. The greatest decrease, 14 p.c., took place in the youngest group and the decreases in the other groups were small-all under 5 p.c. Thus the census years, arranged in order of favourability of the distribution of married women to a high birth rate, would be 1921, 1931, 1926 and 1936.
I.-PERCENTAGE DISTRTBUTION OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1926, 1931 AND 1936


I.--PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIED WOMEN $15-49$ YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PRÓVINCES, 1921; 1926, 1931 AND 1936-Con.

|  | Province and Age Group | 1921 | 1926 | 1931 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saskatchewan- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 years.. |  | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| 15-19 years. |  | $2 \cdot 8$ | 2.3 11.9 | $2 \cdot 2$ $13 \cdot 1$ | 1.9 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}20-24 & \text { " } \\ 25-29\end{array}$ |  | 13.6 19.9 | 11.9 17.7 | $13 \cdot 1$ 17.5 | 12.6 18.4 |
| 30-34 " |  | 20.7 | $19 \cdot 3$ | 17.8 | 17.4 |
| 35-39 " |  | 19.3 | $20 \cdot 1$ | 18.4 | $17 \cdot 6$ |
| 40-44 " |  | $14 \cdot 2$ | 16.4 | 16.8 | $16 \cdot 5$ |
| 45-49 " |  | $9 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | 14.2 | $15 \cdot 7$ |
| Alberta- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49 years... |  | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| 15-19 years. |  | 2.6 12.9 | 2.2 11.6 | $2 \cdot 3$ 13.2 | 2.0 12.5 |
| 20-29 " |  | 19.2 | $17 \cdot 0$ | 18.0 | 18.8 |
| 30-34 " |  | $20 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | 18.1 |
| 35-39 " |  | 19.4 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 9$ | $17 \cdot 6$ |
| 40-44 " |  | $14 \cdot 9$ | 17.0 | $16 \cdot 5$ | 16.0 |
| 45-49 " |  | $10 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 9$ | $14 \cdot 2$ | $15 \cdot 0$ |

Statement J gives the specific fertility rates of the married women of child-bearing ages for the four census years (factor D). Considering the provinces as a group it will be observed that each census year shows a lower fertility rate than the preceding one, not only for the whole group of women of child-bearing ages but also for each five-year age group, with the exception of the group 15-19 years, which moves irregularly. It has already been remarked (Chapter II, page 43) that the fertility within marriage of this age group has not the same significance as that of other age groups.
J.-SPECIFICFERTILITY RATES' OF MARRIED WOMEN 15-49 YEARS OF AGE, BY AGE GROUP, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921, 1920, 1931 AND 1936


[^22]There has been a steady increase in the proportion of illegitimate births to total births (factor E) in the Prairie Provinces as a group and in each individual province. The greatest increase was in Saskatchewan, where in 1921 they formed 1.1 p.c. of total births and in $1936,3.7$ p.c. For the Prairie Provinces as a group the percentage was 1.7 in 1921 and 3.8 in 1936 . As already stated in connection with the analysis for the Registration Area, the increase in the illegitimate births may be affected by better registration of such births and the proportion is also slightly affected by the decline in legitimate births over the period. Statement $K$ shows the yearly proportions of the illegitimate births to the total birtbs for the Prairie Provinces over the period 1921-36.
K.-PERCENTAGE ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS FORM OF TOTAL BIRTHS, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, 1921-1036

|  | Year | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1922. |  | 1.7 |  | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 8$ |
| 1923. |  | 1.7 1.8 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | 1.9 |
| 1924. |  | 1.8 2.0 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| 1925. |  | - $\begin{array}{r}2 \cdot 2 \\ -\quad 2\end{array}$ | 2.7 | 1.5 1.7 1.7 | 2.0 2.6 |
| 1926. |  | - $2 \cdot 5$ | 3.2 | 1.7 1.9 | $2 \cdot 6$ 2.8 |
| 1927. |  | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 2.8 2.8 |
| 1928.. |  | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | ${ }_{3}^{2 \cdot 8}$ |
| 1929. |  | 3.0 | 3.6 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 3.2 3.2 |
| 1930. |  | $3 \cdot 2$ | 3.7 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| 1932.. |  | 3.4 3.4 | $3 \cdot 6$ $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| 1033.. |  | $3 \cdot 4$ $3 \cdot 6$ | 3.6 3.8 | $3 \cdot 1$ $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 6$ |
| 1934.. |  | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 8$ $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 9$ |
| 1935.. |  | 3.5 | 3.8 3.5 | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 6$ 3.8 |
| 1936.. |  | 3.8 | 3.8 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 3.8 3.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Combined Effect of Factors Affecting Crude Birth Rates.-In order to effect an analysis of the change in the crude birth rate between successive census years on a similar basis to that which was made for the Registration Area in Statement XXX, page 59, we have first of all made "computations which will show the extent to which the total fertility rate of all married women of child-bearing ages depends on the specific fertility rates of such women in five-year age groups and how much it depends on their age distribution. These preliminary computations are contained in Statement L. The figures in this statement have been carried to three decimal places as these figures were to be used in further computations.

Thus, the total fertility rate of married women of child-bearing ages in 1921 was 187.8 for the group (three provinces). In 1926 it was $160 \cdot 3$ but this decline was partly effected by changes in the specific fertility rates and partly by changes in the age distribution of the married women of child-bearing ages. The two intermediate figures between those quoted above indicate, respectively, what the total fertility rate would have been with the age distribution of 1921 and the specific rates of 1926 and what it would have been with the age distribution of 1926 and the specific rates of 1.921 .

## L.-TOTAL FERTILITY RATES FOR THE CHILD-BEARING AGES, PRAIRIE PROVINCES,

| Item | Prairie Provinces | Manitoba | Saskatchewan | Alberta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age distribution of 1921 and specific fortility rates of 1921. | 187.816 | 194.714 |  |  |
| Ago distribution of 1921 and specifie fertility rates of 1926. | 173.389 | $194 \cdot 103$ | $192 \cdot 780$ 185.238 | $170 \cdot 346$ 164.577 |
| Age distribution of 1926 and specific fertility rates of 1921 . | 174.375 | 180.408 <br> 153 | $185 \cdot 238$ $179 \cdot 176$ | $164 \cdot 577$ 158.189 |
| Ago distribution of 1926 and specific fertility rates of 192 | $160 \cdot 272$ | 153.047 | 171.416 | 153.172 |
| Age distribution of 1931 and specific fertility rates of 1926... | $149 \cdot 520$ $160 \cdot 104$ | $139 \cdot 888$ | $159 \cdot 163$ | 147.947 |
| Age distribution of 1931 and specific fertility rates of 1931. | $160 \cdot 104$ $150 \cdot 163$ | $151 \cdot 182$ $138 \cdot 357$ | $170 \cdot 103$ $157 \cdot 955$ | 156.960 |
| Age distribution of 1931 and specific fertility rates of 1936... | $135 \cdot 644$ | $123 \cdot 357$ $123 \cdot 03$ | $157 \cdot 955$ $145 \cdot 621$ | $151 \cdot 643$ $136 \cdot 681$ |
| Ago distribution of 1936 and specific fertility rates of 1931... | $148 \cdot 445$ | $137 \cdot 884$ | 155.545 | 149.638 |
| Age distribution of 1936 and specific fertility rates of 1936. | 134.303 | $122 \cdot 587$ | 143.020 | 134.819 |
| Age distribution of 1921 and specific fertility rates of 1936. | $172 \cdot 522$ 147 | $177 \cdot 209$ $136 \cdot 012$ | $174 \cdot 891$ $159 \cdot 205$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159.090 \\ & 143.952 \end{aligned}$ |

[^23]As in the case of Statement XXX, the effect of factor C, the change in age distribution of married women of child-bearing ages can be computed in two ways, i.e., to observe the effect of this change in the age distribution of married women on the total fertility rates of the married women of child-bearing ages we can take the age distribution of 1921 and the age distribution of 1926 with either the fertility rates of 1921 or 1926 . Between 1921 and 1926 , the first method accounts for a reduction of $7 \cdot 57$ p.c. in the Prairie Provinces as a whole, the second method for a reduction of $7 \cdot 16$ p.c. The two methods, each of which appears equally valid, are close enough for reasonable conclusions. They give in some cases almost identical results and do not differ by as much as 1 p.c. in any instance. It will be noted that for the whole period 1921-36 this factor accounted for a reduction of between 8 and 9 p.c. in the crude birth rate of the Prairie Provinces as a whole.

The effects of factor $D$, the change in the specific fertility rates of married women of childbearing ages, can likewise be computed in two ways, each of equal validity. Thus, as between 1921 and 1926, when we have measured the effect of the change in age distribution of the married women of child-bearing ages (factor C) using the specific fertility rates of 1926 as a basis, as in method 1 we must measure the effect of the change in specific fertility rates between 1921 and 1926 on the basis of the age distribution of 1921. Here again the results of the two methods are always reasonably close. The difference never exceeds 1 p.c. and in some cases the two methods produce almost identical results.

Over the whole period in the Prairie Provinces taken as a whole, the change in the specific fertility rates of married women between the years 1921 and 1936 would in itself have accounted for a reduction in the crude birth rate of between 22 and 23 p.c.

The preparatory computations in Statement $L$ having been made, we may now proceed to the analysis shown in Statement M which corresponds to that shown for the Registration Area in Statement XXX. Each five-year period is given a separate section and the last section shows the effect of the total change between 1921 and 1936.
M.-ANALYSIS OF PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN CRUDE BIRTH RATES, PRAIRIE PROVINCES, $1921-$

1926, 1026-1931 AND 1931-1936

| Province and Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.C. } \\ & \text { Latter } \\ & \text { Year of } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Forms } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Former } \end{aligned}$ | Effect of Each Factor Contributing to P.C. Change of Crude Rates, if Working Alone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |  | D |  | E | Product of Factors A- $\mathrm{C},{ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  | First Method | Second Method | First Method | Second Method |  |  |
| 1921-1926 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prairic Provinces | $81 \cdot 95$ | 101.66 | $93 \cdot 60$ | 92.43 | $92 \cdot 84$ | $92 \cdot 32$ | 91.91 | 100.86 | 81.9 |
| Prain Manitoba....... | 75.75 | 102.56 | 93.15 | 92.14, | $92 \cdot 66$ | $85 \cdot 31$ | 84.83 95.67 | $100 \cdot 93$ 100.78 | $75 \cdot 8$ 85.1 |
| Saskatchewan. | 85.01 | $101 \cdot 50$ | $93 \cdot 51$ | 92.54 | 92.95 | 96.09 | $95 \cdot 67$ 96.83 | 101.02 |  |
| Alberta.: | 87.14 | 101.01 | $94 \cdot 51$ | 93.07 | $92 \cdot 86$ |  | 96.83 | 101.02 | $86 \cdot 7$ |
| 1926-1931 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prairie Provinces. | $93 \cdot 35$ | $103 \cdot 17$ | 95-71 | $100 \cdot 43$ | 99.89 | 93-29 | 93.79 | $100 \cdot 88$ | 93.3 |
| Pranitoba...... | 89.50 | $102 \cdot 54$ | 96.24 | 98.91 | 98.78 | 91.40 | 81.52 | $100 \cdot 41$ | $89 \cdot 6$ |
| Saskatchewan... | 91.68 | $104 \cdot 26$ | $94 \cdot 29$ | 99.24 | $99 \cdot 23$ | $92 \cdot 85$ | $92 \cdot 86$ | $101 \cdot 14$ | 91.6 |
| Alberta........ | $99 \cdot 11$ | 102.86 | $96 \cdot 48$ | $102 \cdot 49$ | $102 \cdot 47$ | 96.59 | 96.61 | 100.91 | $99 \cdot 1$ |
| 1931-1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prairie Provinces. | 87.88 | $103 \cdot 41$ | 94.68 | -99.01 | 98.85 | $90 \cdot 33$ | $90 \cdot 47$ | $100 \cdot 42$ | 87.9 |
| Manitoba....... | 88.03 | $103 \cdot 11$ | 96.09 | 99.67 | 99.73 | 88.90 | 88.85 | $100 \cdot 28$ | $88 \cdot 0$ 88.8 |
| Saskatchewan.. | 88.72 | 104.56 | $93 \cdot 13$ | $98 \cdot 22$ | 98.47 | $92 \cdot 19$ | 91.96 | $100 \cdot 72$ | 888.8 |
| Alberta........ | $86 \cdot 62$ | 102.36 | 85.09 | 98.64 | $98 \cdot 68$ | $90 \cdot 13$ | $90 \cdot 10$ | $100 \cdot 1$ | $86 \cdot 7$ |
| 1221-1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 108.46 | 84.82 | 91.08 | 91.85 | 78.51 | 77.85 | $102 \cdot 16$ | $67 \cdot 2$ |
| Prairie Provinces. | 67.23 59.68 | 108.43 | $86 \cdot 15$ | $90 \cdot 13$ | 91.01 | 69.85 | 69.18 | 101.62 | 59.8 |
| Saskatchewan. | -69.14 | $110 \cdot 65$ | $82 \cdot 11$ | $89 \cdot 84$ | $90 \cdot 72$ | 82.59 | 81.78 | 102.66 | $69 \cdot 2$ 74.5 |
| Alberta........ | 74.82 | $106 \cdot 35$ | 86.71 | 93.89 | $93 \cdot 39$ | 84.29 | 84.74 | 102.12 | $74 \cdot 5$ |

${ }^{1}$ First method of calculating factors C and D used.
A-Change in proportion of women of child-bearing ages ( $15-49$ ) years) to total population.
B-Change in propartion of married women to all women within child-bearing ages.
C-Change in age distribution of married women of child-bearing ages.
D-Change in specific fertility rates of married women of child-bearing ages.
E-Change in nroportion of total births to legitimate births.

To sum up for the Prairie Provinces taken as a whole, between 1921 and 1936:-
The change in the proportion of women of child-bearing ages to the total population would have accounted for an increase of 8.5 p.c. in the crude birth rate.

The change in the conjugal condition of women in the child-bearing age groups would have accounted for a reduction of over 15 p.c. in the crude birth rate.

The change in the age distribution of married women in the child-bearing age groups would have accounted for a reduction of between 8 and 9 p.c. in the crude birth rate.

The lowering of specific fertility rates within marriage would have accounted for a reduction of between 21.5 and 22.5 p.c.

The increase in the proportion of illegitimate births would have accounted for an increase of slightly more than 2 p.c. in the crude birth rate.

As a result of the operation of these varying factors, the crude birth rate of the Prairie Provinces declined during the fifteen years by almost one-third. It will be noted that the percentage, $67 \cdot 2$, can be obtained by multiplying the percentages represented by the various factors, i.e., $108 \cdot 46,84 \cdot 82,91 \cdot 08,78 \cdot 51$ and $102 \cdot 16$. For the two factors, C and D, $91 \cdot 85$ and 77.85 could be substituted for 91.08 and $78 \cdot 51$.
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[^0]:    - See Appendix 1, page 192.
    $\dagger$ The consus procedure is to take all ages in completed years.

[^1]:    * 1931 Census Monograph No. 13.

[^2]:    * Loc cit. p. 15.
    ** In Canada the correspondang force, immigration, would act in the opposite direction.
    $\dagger$ Journal of the Royal Statistical Socicty, May 1916, p. 309
    $\ddagger$ Loc. cit., p. 315.
    \& An unpublished table is made up in the Vital Statistics Branch of the Bureau, giving for the infant deaths of each year the distribution by month of birth and month of death.

[^3]:    1 Purposive samples of smaller cities and rural parts reduced by $4 / 5$.

[^4]:    1 Quebec not in National System.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
    s Rates per 1,000 population.

[^5]:    1 Quebec not in National System.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.
    ${ }^{3}$ Rates per 1,000 population.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures for Alberta, 1921, are not available by age group; to complete the ten-year period, 1932 figures are uscd instead of the average for 1931-32. For the Registration Area figures of 1921, the births for Alberta were distributed by age group of mother proportionately to their distribution in 1922.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rates per 1,000 women of age specified.
    's Eight provinces, exclusive of Quebec.

[^7]:    * For Alberta the comparison is between 1922 and 1926 (see footnote to Statement XV).

[^8]:    * See also Volume I, Census of Canada, 1931, Chapte! LV.

[^9]:    77601-3-5

[^10]:    * Note the distinction from the more common meaning of the term as used on pages 59, 103 and 205.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Crude rates for $1921-22$ were computed as follows: the total births were divided by twice the female population of 1921 . This gave a rate for "all races" of $54 \cdot 22$. To make an adjustent fur the rates for $1921-22$ were computed as follows. the total bition by a.factor $\frac{2}{2}$ (population ig22) rates for each racial origin were obtained by the same method. Rates for $1931-32$ were computed in a similar manner.
    .2 See page 0 .

[^12]:    See footnote 1 to Statement LV1.
    ${ }^{2}$ See page 90.

[^13]:    *As of Canada, 1931.

[^14]:    *Opposite page 14.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 women of age specified.

[^16]:    ${ }^{3}$ Including Compton township of Sherbrooke County.

    - Usually considered as part of Gaspé County.
    - Includes Laval and Hochelaga.

[^17]:    ${ }^{6}$ Exclusive of New Quebec from which no vital statistics returns were received for the years 1930-32.

[^18]:    ${ }^{8}$ Divisions in British Columbia are census divisions, and the correspondence of their subdivisions with those in census publications is as follows:-5A=5a,b,c,d;5B=50,f;6A=6a,b,c;6B=6d,e,f;8A=8a,b,c,d;8B=8e,f,g; $9 \mathrm{~A}=9 \mathrm{a} ; 9 \mathrm{~B}=9 \mathrm{~b} ; 9 \mathrm{C}=9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e} ; 9 \mathrm{D}=9 \mathrm{f} ; 10 \mathrm{~A}=10 \mathrm{a} ; 10 \mathrm{~B}=10 \mathrm{~b} ; 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=10 \mathrm{c}$, d.
    , Crude rates worked on average births carried to cne decimal place.
    77601-3-12

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ No adjustments have been made for births in Canada to mothers resident in other countries or for births in other countries to mothers resident in Canada.

    For footnotes 2-8, see those of corresponding number on pages $164,165,166$ and 169.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based upon equation $\mathrm{X}_{1}=18.9+0.031 \mathrm{X}_{2}+0.071 \mathrm{X}_{3}$. The expected rates from this equation converted into an index based on 18.9 appear as ahove.

    For rema'nder of footnotes, see those of corresponding number on pages 165, 160 and 169.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 population.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 married women of age specified.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rates for Alberta are for 1922.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates per 1,000 married women $15-49$ years of age.

