A SURVEY QI B. FIELTI REPORT C. SEGMENTATION b. DETAILED TABLE 1. gender 2. clusters 3. upban rural

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Technical Appendices

September 28, 2001

Submitted to:

Kirsten Kozolanka
Strategic Planning
Communications Branch
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
10 Wellington Street, Room 1900
Ottawa Ontario
K1A 0H4

LIBRARY INDIAN AND NORTHERN AFFAIRS CANADA

NOV 14 2005

AFFAIRES INDIENNES ET DU NORD CANADA BIBLIOTHÉQUE

E92 C303 2001 Appendices c. 1

Submitted by:

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

Head Office: 99 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1100, Ottawa, Ontano K1P 6L7 – Tel: (613) 235-7215 – Fax: (613) 235-8498

Toronto Branch: 480 University Avenue, Suite 1006, Toronto, Ontano M5G 1V2 – Tel: (416) 598-8002 – Fax: (416) 598-2543

Edmonton Branch: 9925 109 Street NW, Suite 606, Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2J8 – Tel: (780) 408-5225 – Fax: (780) 408-5233

E-mail: pobox@ekos.com

E92 2001 Apportion

LIBRARY INDIAN AND NORTHERN AFFAIRS CANADA

NOV 14 2005

AFFAIRES INDIENNES ET DU NORÐ CANADA BIBLIOTHÉQUE

APPENDIX A

Questionnaire

1

Hello, my name is...and I'm calling from a research company hired to listento the views of Aboriginal people across Canada. We are speaking to people 18 years of age or older, who are living on reserves to get their opinions on a number of issues facing-First Nations communities today. The information collected will be used for research purposes only, and no comments will be attributed to any individual. Your participation is completely voluntary, and all of your responses will be kept completely confidential.

It is really important that we talk to as many residents of reserves as possible, so can I take a few minutes of your time now?

***IF ASKED: "We are doing this work on behalf of the federal government"

"The interview will take about 20 minutes"

@intro

1- Continue, SHIFT +? to terminate

ROT1 rot for O21C accountability......1 ROT5 rot for Q3 economic future ______1 quality of life......2 ROT8 rot for O24 replaced1 abolished2 ROT9 rot for Q20E democracy......1 ROT10 for q25c Democratic Principles......1 Aboriginal values and traditions.....2

2

SEX	
DO NOT ASK	
Record gender of respondent	
Male	1
Female	2
SCR2	
Are you a registered Indian, a member of an Indian Band or a	First Nation?
Yes - continue	
No thank and terminate	2
SCR3	
Do you live on a reserve or First Nation for at least some part	of the year?
Yes - continue	
No thank and terminate	2
Q2	
Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the ne	xt 12 months
do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worse	
worsen a lot?	
Get much better	
Get slightly better	2
Worsen slightly	
Worsen a lot	
DK/NR	9
Q3	
Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5>, do you thi</rot5>	ink it will get
much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?	Ü
Get much better	1
Get slightly better	2
Worsen slightly	3
Worsen a lot	4
DV/ND	

$\begin{array}{c} \text{INAC On-Reserve Survey} \\ 3 \end{array}$

Q 4	
DO NOT PROMPT	
Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one	would you say
the Government of Canada should focus on most?	
Response	
DK/NR	99
HEALTH CARE	02
ECONOMY	03
UNEMPLOYMENT	04
EDUCATION	05
POVERTY	06
TAXES	07
DEBT	08
ENVIRONMENT	09
TRADE	10
CANADIAN UNITY	11
IMMIGRATION	12
SOCIAL SERVICES	13
CRIME AND JUSTICE	
LAND CLAIMS	
HOUSING	
NATIVE/ABORIGINAL TREATY RIGHTS	
SELF GOVERNMENT	
Q5	
~	at the
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor	
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher	
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7 1
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7123
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7123
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7123
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 71
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7
Generally speaking, how would you rate the perfor government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, wher is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad. 1 Terrible	e 1 is terrible, 7

4

TRD1A

am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and ike you to tell me which one you think should be given highest p	
the Government of Canada.	none, o,
Jnemployment	01
Education	
The environment	
Health care	
mproving job skills	05
Housing	06
Regional economic development	
Settling Aboriginal land claims	
Supporting Aboriginal self-government	
Managing natural resources	
Managing the economy	
Children	
Ik/nr	
1K/ III	
ГRD1В	
And between and, which priority would you choose?	
Jnemployment	01
Education	
The environment	
Health care	
mproving job skills	
Housing	05
Regional economic development	
Settling Aboriginal land claims	
Supporting Aboriginal self-government	
Managing natural resources	10
Children	
lk/nr	99
ΓRD1C	
	01
UnemploymentÉducation	
The environment	
Health care	
mproving job skills	
Housing	
Regional economic development	
Settling Aboriginal land claims	
Supporting Aboriginal self-government	
Managing natural resources	
Managing the economy	
Children	12

5

TRD1D
Unemployment01
Education02
The environment
Health care04
lmproving job skills
Housing06
Regional economic development07
Settling Aboriginal land claims
Supporting Aboriginal self-government09
Managing natural resources10
Managing the economy11
Children 12
dk/nr99
<u>un, in</u>
TRD1E
Unemployment01
Education02
The environment
Health care04
Improving job skills05
Housing06
Regional economic development07
Settling Aboriginal land claims
Supporting Aboriginal self-government09
Managing natural resources10
Managing the economy11
Children12
dk/nr99
PREQ7
How would you rate the government of Canada's performance in each of
the same areas? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means terrible, 7 means
excellent and the midpoint, 4 means neither good nor bad.
excellent and the marpoint, 4 means neither good not bad.
Q7A
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of
Unemployment
1 Terrible1
22
33
4 Neither good nor bad4
55
66

6

O7B How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of... 2......2 33 4 Neither good nor bad......4 6......6 DK/NR9 O7C How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of... The environment 5 _____5 6......6 DK/NR9 O7D How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of... Health care 5......5 6......6 Q7E How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of... Improving job skills 4 Neither good nor bad......4 5_____5 DK/NR9

Q/1	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Housing	
1 Terrible	-
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	4
5	
6	
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	9
Q7G	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Regional economic development	
1 Terrible	1
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	
5	5
6	<i>6</i>
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	
2.7.11	
Q7H	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Settling Aboriginal land claims	-
1 Terrible	
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	4
5	5
6	
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	
DIX/ INI	
,	
Q7I	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Supporting Aboriginal self-government	_
1 Terrible	
2	
3	_
4 Neither good nor bad	
4 Neither good not bad	
5	4
5	4 5
5	
5	5 6

Q/)	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Managing natural resources	
1 Terrible	1
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	
5	
6	
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	
Q7K	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Managing the economy	
1 Terrible	1
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	
5	
6	
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	9
Q7L	
How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of	
Children	
1 Terrible	1
2	
3	
4 Neither good nor bad	
5	
6	
7 Excellent	
DK/NR	
Q8	
In the past three months, have you contacted the government of Canada	a?
Yes	
No	
DK/NR	
DIX/ 131X	

Q9

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

1 Very poor	1
2	2
	3
4 Neither good nor poor	
5	5
6	
7 Very good	7
7 Very good DK/NR	9

PRQ13

I'd like to ask you a few questions about information that you receive from the government of Canada.

COMM

READ LIST; ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

Television	01
Radio	02
Weekly newspapers	03
Daily newspapers	
Mail	
The Internet	06
Posters	07
Other (specify)	97
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	99
TELEPHONE/FAX	
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	
GENERAL MEETINGS	10
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERSON	11

PREF1

ACCEPT ONLY ONE

INAC On-Reserve Survey \$10\$

PREF2
ACCEPT ONLY ONE
And of(READ LIST), which one is the second MOST useful?
Television01
Radio02
Weekly newspapers03
Daily newspapers04
Mail05
The Internet06
Posters07
Other (specify)
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR99
TELEPHONE08
PERSONAL VISITS09
PREF3
ACCEPT ONLY ONE
And of(READ LIST), which one is the third MOST useful?
Television01
Radio02
Weekly newspapers03
Daily newspapers04
Mail05
The Internet06
Posters07
Other (specify)97
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR99
TELEPHONE
PERSONAL VISITS09
TV
Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada
, .
through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal television?
Aboriginal1
Non-Aboriginal
DK/NR9
D. DIO
RADIO
Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada
through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal radio?
Aboriginal1
Non-Aboriginal2
DK/NR9
NEWS
Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada
through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal newspapers?
Aboriginal1
Non-Aboriginal2

11

Q15	
In what language would you like to receive information from the	he
Government of Canada?	
English	.01
French	
Aboriginal language (specify)	.98
DK/NR	.99
OJIBWAY	.03
CREE	.04
MONTAGNAIS	
MIC MAC	
MOHAWK	
OTHER ABORIGINAL LANGUAGES	
OTHER ABORIGINAL LANGUAGES	.09
PRE17	
I am going to read you a series of statements about information ar	
advertisements from the government of Canada. Please rate the extent	to
which you agree or disagree with each statement, using a 7-point sca	ıle
where 1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree and the mi-	d-
point 4 means neither agree nor disagree.	
Q17A	
I think the information from the Government of Canada is generally eas	SV
to understand.	9
1 Strongly disagree	1
2	
3	
4 Neither	
5	
6	6
7 Strongly agree	
DK/NR	9
O150	
Q17B	
I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada.	he
1 Strongly disagree	1
2	
3	
~ ········	,

12

Q17C	
I generally believe the information I get from the Government of Car	nada.
1 Strongly disagree	
2	
3	3
4 Neither	4
5	5
6	
7 Strongly agree	
DK/NR	9
Q10A	
Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aw	are, or
not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations gover	
*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****	
Very aware	1
Somewhat aware	
Not very aware	
Not at all aware	
DK/NR	9
Q10B	
Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aw	are, or
not at all aware of the toll-free number of the Government of Ca	anada?
*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-O-CANADA*****	
Very aware	1
Somewhat aware	
Not very aware	
Not at all aware	
DK/NR	9
Q11	
T	
Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aw	vare or
not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal p ***IF ASKEDThe site address is "www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?**	eople?
Very aware	
Somewhat aware	
Not very aware	
Not at all aware	
DK/NR	9

PRQ18

Now I would like to turn to a number of other issues affecting First Nations people.

13

Q18

How democratic would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

1 Not at all	
2	
3	
4 Somewhat	
5	
6	6
7 Extremely	7
7 Extremely	9
= = -7 =	

Q19

Read List...Rotate Order of Reading Best/Worst

Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

Much worse	1
Somewhat worse	
The same	
Somewhat better	
Much better	
DK/NR	

PRE20

How much would you say each of the following improve the standard of living on reserve? Please respond using a 7- point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means a great deal, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat.

Q20A

 $How\ much\ would... improve\ the\ standard\ of\ living\ on\ reserve$

Improving the level of education

I Not at all	I
2	
3	
4 Somewhat	
5	
5	
7 A great deal	7
7 A great deal DK/NR	9

14

Q20B

How much wouldimprove the standard of living on reserve	
Increasing the amount of lands and resources available to First N	ation
people	
1 Not at all	
2	
3	
4 Somewhat	
5	!
6	
7 A great deal	
DK/NR	
0.000	
Q20C	
How much wouldimprove the standard of living on reserve	
Eliminating racism against First Nations people	
1 Not at all	
2	
3	3
4 Somewhat	4
5	
6	
7 A great deal	
DK/NR	
0200	
Q20D	
How much wouldimprove the standard of living on reserve	
Reducing isolation from the mainstream economy	
1 Not at all	
2	
3	
4 Somewhat	
5	
6	
7 A great deal	
DK/NR	
·	
O20E	
How much wouldimprove the standard of living on reserve	
Improving the level of <rot9> of governments on reserves</rot9>	
1 Not at all	
2	
3	
4 Somewhat	
5	
6	
7 A great deal	
DK/NR	(

O20F How much would...improve the standard of living on reserve Increasing the level of self-government on reserves 2......2 3......3 55 6......6 DK/NR......9 **O20G** How much would...improve the standard of living on reserve Improving community services, such as housing, water and sewers 1 Not at all......1 2......2 4 Somewhat 4 6......6 DK/NR......9 **Q20H** How much would...improve the standard of living on reserve Reducing alcohol and drug abuse 2......2 6......6 DK/NR......9

PRE21

Please rate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements, using a 7-point scale where 1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree and the mid-point 4 means neither agree nor disagree.

16

Q21A

Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves 2......2 55 6......6 DK/NR.....9 Q21B I think that Aboriginal people need to do more to help themselves 22 55 6......6 DK/NR......9 **Q21C** Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves. 22 4 Neither4 55 6......6 DK/NR......9 Q21D I have absolutely no say in how things are run in my community 1 Strongly disagree......1 6......6 DK/NR......9

17

I get the help I need from my community 1 Strongly disagree......1 2......2 3......3 4 Neither......4 55 6......6 DK/NR......9 **Q21F** I feel safe in my community 1 Strongly disagree......1 2......2 6......6 DK/NR......9 **O21H** I feel confident that I have the skills to move easily in today's job market 2......2 6......6 **O21I** My community has a good future 1 Strongly disagree......1 2......2 4 Neither......4 6......6 DK/NR......9

O21E

Q21J

My community is well run	
1 Strongly disagree	1
2	2
3	3
4 Neither	
5	
6	
7 Strongly agree	
DK/NR	
Q21K	
I think that Aboriginal self-government is a good is	
1 Strongly disagree	
2	2
3	3
4 Neither	4
5	5
6	6
7 Strongly agree	7
DK/NR	9
Q22 Would you know where to go to get information Canada about a service, such as getting an Indian S	Status Card?
Yes	
No	
DK/NR	9
Q23	
Would you know where to go to get information	from the government of
Canada about an issue like the proposed chang	
progress on land claim settlements?	
Yes	
No,	
DK/NR	9
Q23B	
Thinking about the information you receive fr	om the government of
Canada, would you say that you receive(REAI	-
Too much information	
Too little information	
About the right amount of information	
DV /ND	

19

O24 READ LIST Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act? It works very well and needs no change......1 It works reasonably well, but needs some changes2 It does not work well and needs major changes3 It does not work at all and needs to be < rot8 >4 (DO NOT READ) DK/NR9 PRE25 How important are each of the following when it comes to making changes to the Indian Act, using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all important, 7 means extremely important, and the mid-point 4 means moderately important? Q25A How important is...in making changes to the Indian Act? Greater accountability of First Nations governments on reserve to community members 2......2 3......3 4 Somewhat important4 5......5 66 DK/NR9 **O25B** How important is...in making changes to the Indian Act? Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms 6......6

DK/NR......9

O25C How important is...in making changes to the Indian Act? Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect < rot10 > 1 Not at all important......1 2......2 33 6......6 DK/NR9 Q25D How important is...in making changes to the Indian Act? Giving ordinary band members a direct voice in how the Indian Act is changed 2......2 5......5 6......6 DK/NR......9 Q28A Have you ever heard of National Aboriginal Day? Yes......1 DK/NR......9 **Q28B** Have you ever participated in any of the activities associated with National Aboriginal Day? Yes......1 **DEMOS** These last questions are only used for research purposes and all of your answers are completely confidential. NET1 Do you have access to the Internet? DK/NR9

NET2	
Have you used the Internet in the past three months?	
Yes	
No	
DK/NR	9
NET3	
Do not read list; accept as many as apply	
Where do you currently use the Internet most often?	
At home	01
At work	
At school	
At friends/family	
At the band office	
At a community centre or library on the reserve	
Somewhere elsewhere on the reserve	
At a community centre or library off of the reserve	
Do not have access anywhere	
DK/NR	
NET4	
On average, how many hours per week do you use the Internet?	11
Less than one hour/week	
DK/NR	99
INVL	
Which of the following best describes your past involvement	t in your
community?	
Very involved	1
Somewhat involved	2
Rarely involved	3
Never involved	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	9
EMPLO ·	
Which of the following categories best describes your C	URRENT
employment status?	
Self-employed	01
Employed full-time	
Employed part-time	
Seasonal employment	
Term employment	
Unemployed	
Unemployed but looking for work	
Student/Attending school full-time	
Retired	
Not in work force/Full-time Homemaker	
Disability / sick leave	
Maternity / paternal leave	
Other (please specify)	
DK/NR	

22

ONOFF Would that be on or off the reserve? Off-reserve 2 Both......3 DK/NR9 **SECT** Which of the following categories best describes your job? Labourer01 Semi-skilled......02 Other (please specify)98 **KIDS** Do you have children under the age of 18 years of age living in your household? Yes ______1 DK/NR......9 **AGE** READ CATEGORIES IF NECESSARY What is your age, please? 25-34 years......3 65+ years......7 (DO NOT READ) DK/NR9 LANG What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand? English......01 Aboriginal language (specify)98 CREE04

EDUC	
What is the highest level of formal education that you have compl	leted?
Grade 8 or less	
Some high school	
High school graduate	
Technical/Vocational/College/CEGEP	
Some university	
Undergraduate university degree (e.g., BA, BSc)	06
Graduate or post graduate university degree (e.g., M.A., MSc., Ph.D.)	07
Professional certification (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.)	
Other (specify)	
DK/NR	
INCME	
What is your annual household INCOME from all sources?	04
Less than \$10,000	
\$10-\$19K	
\$20-\$29K	
\$30-\$39K	
\$40-\$49K	
\$50-\$59K	
\$60-\$69K	
\$70-\$79K	
\$80K or above	
DK/NR	99
HUNT	
To what extent do you hunt, fish and trap to support you	r family:
completely, mostly, some, or not at all?	- 1411112y ·
Completely	1
Mostly	
Some	
Not at all	
DK/NR	
THNK2	
Thank you for your cooperation! Based on the information you ha	ave given
me, unfortunately you are not eligible to complete the remainded survey. NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: CODE THIS SURVEY IG	
Terminate interview	1
THNK	
End of Interview	
Thank you for your cooperation and time!	
Completion	1

APPENDIX B
Field Report

Survey Logistics and Response Rate

The fieldwork for this project involved a customized survey of 1427 respondents from across Canada. The following are technical details of the data collection.

- The sample was distributed from across Canada and came from an exhaustive database of all telephone number from a specified set of postal codes. These postal codes were identified from either Statistics Canada as "reserves" or from a listing of all band offices across the country. The sample frame of telephone numbers included approximately 120,000 numbers. Numbers for the survey were drawn randomly, within a specified (stratified) proportion by province.
- A first round of pretests were conducted with 10 respondents on August 1^{st h}, 2001. The pretest was conducted to ensure that the clarity and flow of the survey instrument were reasonable. It also allowed a chance to check that the CATI programming of the instrument was free of errors in logic or content, and to check the average length of the questionnaire. Pretesting indicated a number of changes which were then implemented.
- Data collection proper began on August 7th, 2001 and was complete by August 21st, 2001.
- Ekos assembled a team of 80 fluently bilingual interviewers between our 2 call centers, to conduct the surveys. Every shift was supervised by 2 survey supervisors. Both facilities had a survey manager present on all shifts. Training included a review of the study issues, the survey questionnaire items, as well as telephone interviewing techniques and survey administration procedures.
- Daily records were kept of all calls made, whether successful (i.e., interviews completed or appointments made), or not. Supervisors were on hand at all times to monitor the progress of all work including interviewer performance, contact records and data quality. The supervisor was also available to any respondents to legitimize the survey, by providing the telephone numbers of the Project Manager at Ekos for further information if required. The survey supervisor reported to the survey manager on a daily basis.
- In calculating response rates, sample attrition considers all numbers not in service, cases of duplicate numbers, and business numbers. Screened out cases were largely individuals who were not living on reserve or who did not have status. Total eligible cases comprised all of the unused cases, all refusals, retired cases (cases called a total of 8 times without success) and cases where the only person able to respond to the survey was unavailable for the duration of the study. The response rate was 52 per cent of the functional sample contacted at the conclusion of the survey.

Exhibit 1
Call Results and Response Rates Table

Total Sample	32119
Numbers not in service	5690
Duplicates	17
Non-residential numbers	900
Numbers blocked by Phone companies	66
Total functional sample	25446
No answers	7829
Unavailable	24
Language difficulty	127
Other	80
Referred to Client	7
Total Asked	17379
Refusals	4112
Cooperative Callbacks	13267
Completes	1427
Non-status respondent	3916
Non-reserve resident	7128
Ineligible	796
Response Rate	52%

low

APPENDIX C
Segmentation

Factor analysis – description of underlying dimensions

The purpose of the factor analysis is to uncover the underlying dimensions (called factors) and limit the redundancy of the measurement variables. All scale-based variables from the survey were included in a factor analysis. During the initial factor analysis, 25 composite variables were identified for the further simplification of data, minimization of measurements and elimination of redundancy. Through additional factor analysis, a final set of six different dimensions was created:

SCALES	VARIABLES INCLUDED	Reliability Coefficient
Scale 1 Assessment of the Government of Canada in general areas	How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of: Q7L Children Q7G Regional economic development Q7E Improving job skills Q7B Education Q7J Managing natural resources Q7A Unemployment Q7D Health Care Q7K Managing the economy Q7F Housing Q7E Environment Q5 How would you rate the performance of the government of Canada Q5b How would you rate the quality of services provided by the government of Canada	α=0.88 (+0.2)
Scale2 Assessment of the Government of Canada in regard to Aboriginal issues	How well is the government of Canada doing in the area of: Q7I Supporting Aboriginal Self-government Q7H Settling Aboriginal land claims	α=0.63
Scale5 Views on Government communications	Q17c I generally believe the information I get from the government of Canada Q17a I think that information from the government of Canada is generally easy to understand Q17b I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada	α=0.59 (6)
Scale6 Support for Aboriginal self-government (SG)	Q21K I think that Aboriginal self-government idea is a good idea Q20F Increasing the level of self-government on reserves would improve the standard of living on reserve	α=0.64
Scale7 Feeling about community:	Merged q21i and q21j: Q21i My community has a good future	α=0.66

safe/ helps, run well/good	Q21j My community is run well	
future	Merged q21e and q21f:	
	Q21e I get the help I need from my community	
	Q21f I feel safe in my community	
Scale8	Q2 Overall, thinking about your economic future in the	α=0.63
Economic outlook	next 12 months, do you think it will get better, slightly	
	better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?	
	Q3 Overall, thinking about your children's economic	
	future/quality of life, do you think it will get better,	
	slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?	

The second step involved a reliability analysis of these five factors. Alpha coefficients for all of the factors (noted in the chart) turned out to be 0.59 or higher, suggesting that the scales computed on the basis of the listed dimensions are statistically reliable.

Cluster analysis – segmenting the population

The third step involved cluster analysis, the purpose of which was to identify mutually exclusive, homogenous and interpretable groups of individuals defined by their attitudes and opinions. Six dimensions were applied in the cluster analysis: Assessment of the Government of Canada in General Performance; Assessment of the Government of Canada in Performance on Aboriginal Issues; Assessment of Communications by the Government of Canada; Support for Aboriginal Self-Government; Feeling about On-Reserve Community; and Economic Outlook. These dimensions came together to define five distinct clusters. This five group typology is summarized in the next chart in terms of their loading on each of the six dimensions. More detailed profiling is described in the main body of the report and banner results are featured in the detailed tabular results section of these Appendices.

Summary of Segments

GROUPS	Scale1	Scale2	Scale5	Scale6	Scale7	Scale8	SES
1. Middle of the Road	₹(+)	⊼(+)	⊼(+)	₹(+)	⊼-	⋝	+
(24%)							
2. Super Content (23%)	++	++	++	++	++	++	<u></u>
3. Concerned-Insecure	₹(+)	₹(+)	₹(+)				
(17%)							
4. Activists (22%)			-	++	++	+	+
5. Super Discontent (15%)							+

^{*} \bar{x} average; ++ much higher than \bar{x} ; -- much lower than \bar{x} ; + slightly higher than average; - slightly lower than average;

APPENDIX D
Detailed Tables

					P:	rovince				Age Group					ation	Income				
		Total	ВC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
SEX																				
	Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Male		51%	45%	53%	57%	56%	46%	51%	51%	53%	47%	52%	50%	54%	52%	44%	38%	50%	54%	53%
			-		+		-							+++						
Female		49%	55%	47%	43%	44%	54%	49%	49%	47%	53%	48%	50%	46%	48%	56%	62%	50%	46%	47%
			+		_		+									++	+++			
	Chi²:		. 10							-				.01				-		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5.	6

			Mother Tongue			Children Gender Under 18? Emplo				oloymer	nt Sect	or	-	l. On/Off eserve? Employment Type				Level of Community Involvement			
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
SEX	Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Male		51%	49%	60%	52%	100%	0%	45%	59% ++++	75% ++++	50 % -	73 % ++++	44%	50%	67% ++++	51%	60% ++++	45%	52%	50%	51%
Female		49%	51%	40%	48%	0%	100% ++++	55 % ++++	41%	25%	50 % +	27%	56% ++++	50% ++++	33%	49%	40%	55% ++++		50%	49%
	Chi':		-			.01		.01		. 01	_	• • •	_	.01		.01	-		-		_
±po1r	nts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 1

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of Federal Government			Contact Last 3	-		First Nations Standard of Living			
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	-	Somewt Worse	Same	Better	
SEX	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226	
Male		51%	54%	47%	47%	48%	41%	50%	52%	48%	49%	49%	57 %		52%	50%	49%	52%	54%	
Female		49%	46%	53∜	53%	52%	59%	50%	48%	52%	51%	51%	43%	51%	48%	50%	51%	48%	46%	
	Chi:			-		.10	**		_		. 05			_		_				
$\pm \dots$ points around 50%: 3		4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5 5	3	5	5	5	7,		

			Locat:	ion	Cluster									
		Total			Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt					
SEX	_													
Unweight	ed n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197					
Male		51%	52%	41%	49%	58%	44%	57%	45%					
			++			+++		++	-					
Female		49%	48%	59%	51%	42%	56%	43%	55%					
				++			++		+					
	Chi':		. 05		.01									
±points around	1 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7					

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 2

Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the next 12 months, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?

		Province								Age Group				Education				Income		
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+	
Q2																				
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251	
Get much better	19%	18%	20%	21%	18%	14%	24%	23%	23%	22%	14%	16%	19%	20%	16%	21%	15%	20%	21%	
							++		++										+	
Get slightly better	48%	46%	51%	48%	45%	50%	47%	51%	55%	49%	49%	32%	46%	55%	49%	39%	478	51%	49%	
3 3									+++				-	+++						
Worsen slightly	13%	16%	17%	11%	10%	14%	10%	10%	11%	12%	15%	13%	14%	11%	14%	15%	16%	12%	11%	
			+								+						++			
Worsen a lot	9%	9%	7%	8%	12%	98	6%	6%	4 %	68	11%	15%	11%	5%	6%	11%	11%	7%	6%	
					++						+++	++++	+++		_		+++			
DK/NR	12%	11%	5%	12%	15%	13%	13%	10%	7%	11%	11%	24%	11%	98	15%	14%	10%	10%	14%	
21,7111					+							++++			+					
Chi²:		.10			•				.01				.01				.01			
+ points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7 `	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 3

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the next 12 months, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Gen	ıder	Child Under		Emj	ployme	nt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Co	mmunity ent
	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo		Some	Rare/ Never
Q2																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Get much better	19%	20%	19%	18%	20%	17%	18%	20%	16%	19%	17%	228	20%	18∜	19%	19%	18%	25∜	18%	16%
																		+++		-
Get slightly better	48%	51%	47%	45%	48%	48%	51%	448	54%	53%	49%	49%	51%	55%	50%	52%	44%	43%	52%	46%
		++					++									+			++	
Worsen slightly	13%	14%	98	12%	11%	15%	14%	11%	11%	11%	13%	13%	12%	98	12%	11%	15%	12%	11%	16%
						++														++
Worsen a lot	98	68	11%	12%	98	8%	88	10%	8%	7%	98	68	7₺	7%	8 %	5%	11%	98	7%	11%
				++++													+++		-	++
DK/NR	12%	98	14%	14%	12%	12%	10%	15%	12%	10%	11%	11%	10%	11%	10%	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%
•				+++				+++												
Chi²:		(.01)			-		. 01		-				-		. 05			.01		
+points around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the next 12 months, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?

:		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			First Na andard o		1g
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q2																		
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	3 5 7	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Get much better	19%	16%	20%	248	20%	27%	21%	28%	0%	13%	17%	28%	19%	19%	15%	14%	23%	278
						+		++++				++++					++	+++
Get slightly better	48%	44%	52%	54%	53%	48%	54%	72%	0%	44%	52%	48%	48%	48%	41%	53%	49%	50%
								++++			++					++		
Worsen slightly	13%	15%	11%	12%	11%	14%	11%	0%	60%	18%	13%	7 %	17%	12%	18%	14%	10%	10%
		++							++++	++++			++		+++			-
Worsen a lot	9%	11%	8 %	3 %	7%	5%	68	0%	40%	15%	7%	5 %	10%	88	13%	8%	68	7%
		+++	++						++++	++++					++++			
DK/NR	12%	15%	98	8 %	88	68	8%	0%	0%	11%	12%	12%	68	13%	13%	11%	13%	78
		++++												++++				
Chi²:			-		-			. 01		.01			.01		.01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5 `	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the next 12 months, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?

:		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q2								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Get much better	19%	19%	20%	15%	34%	17%	19%	8%
					++++			-
Get slightly better	48%	48%	51%	58%	51%	51%	50%	35%
				+++				
Worsen slightly	13%	13%	14%	11%	6%	14%	14%	25%
								++++
Worsen a lot	9%	98	6%	5%	2%	10%	9%	24%
								++++
DK/NR	12%	12%	9%	11%	88	8%	8%	7%
Chi:		-		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	` 6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

economic future

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q3																			
Unweighted n:	686	103	91	95	106	93	104	94	135	169	278	103	327	161	131	63	288	195	112
Get much better	24%	25%	32%	26%	23%	17%	23%	32%	24%	25%	22%	29%	23%	26%	26%	25%	23%	26%	24%
			++			-		+											
Get slightly better	40%	38%	28%	44%	45%	46%	41%	32%	44%	398	42%	33%	43%	448	34%	28%	45%	38%	38%
								-				-					+		
Worsen slightly	12%	15%	15%	13%	11%	10%	98	98	98	15%	12%	14%	12%	10%	16%	11%	12%	12%	13%
Worsen a lot	11%	10%	14%	88	13%	12%	10%	88	4 %	10%	17%	11%	10%	98	10%	25%	11%	15%	13%
											++++					++++			
DK/NR	12%	12%	11%	98	7%	15%	17%	19%	18%	12%	7%	13%	12%	11%	14%	11%	10%	98	13%
								+	+++										
Chi ² :		-							.01				-				-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	6	10	5	8	9	12	6	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

economic future

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. (yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q3 Unweighted n: Get much better	686 24%	332	23	331 25%	291 28%	395 20%	432	254 24%	67 25%	128 27%	49 19%	157 28%	307 28%	73 22%	253 24%	158 29%	273 22%	184 28%	306 22%	196 25%
Get slightly better	40%	40%	56%	40%	38%	42%	41%	40%	48%			34%		40%			41%		44%	
Worsen slightly Worsen a lot	12%	12%	8% 15%	13%	11% 9%	13%	13%	11% 9%	8% 3%		10%	12% 16%		12% 8%				16%	14%	
DK/NR	12%	12%	19%	11%	14%	10%	10%	16% ++	16%	9%	12%	++ 9%	9%	18%	11%	11%	13%	10%	12%	13%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	- 5	20	5	. 05 6	5	5	6	12	9	14	8	- 6	11	6	8	6	7	6	7

vac On-Reserve Survey

28

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

economic future

1.		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover:		Contact v			First Na andard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q3																		
Unweighted n:	686	357	213	101	170	94	144	466	145	232	278	163	163	522	183	166	215	112
Get much better	24%	25%	21%	26%	24%	30%	21%	30%	12%	248	23%	27%	25%	24%	21%	18%	24%	39%
								++++										++++
Get slightly better	40%	43%	35%	40%	41%	39%	35%	42%	32%	36%	448	42%	34%	428	33%	37%	52 %	34%
								++		-			-	+			++++	
Worsen slightly	12%	11%	15%	10%	15%	10%	13%	9%	21%	13%	14%	88	15%	11%	12%	22%	5%	11%
									++++			-				++++		
Worsen a lot	11%	11%	12%	10%	98	17%	11%	7%	26%	16%	10%	7%	18%	98	22%	10%	88	2 %
						+			++++	++			+++		++++		-	-
DK/NR	12%	98	17%	13%	11%	5 %	20%	11%	98	11%	98	15%	8 %	13%	12%	12%	11%	15%
		+ -					+++											
Chi²:			-		. 05			. 01		.10			. 01		. 01			
+points around 50%:	4	5	7	10	8	10	8	5	8	6	6	8	8	4	7	8	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

economic future

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q3								
Unweighted n:	686	630	56	166	136	100	126	111
Get much better	24%	25%	19%	24%	35%	24%	25%	13%
					+++			
Get slightly better	40%	41%	38%	39%	51%	48%	45%	29%
					++			
Worsen slightly	12%	12%	16%	18%	4%	12%	11%	17%
				+++				
Worsen a lot	11%	11%	11%	68	3%	13%	12%	27%
								++++
DK/NR	12%	12%	17%	12%	7%	2%	88	14%
								++
Chi ² :		-		. 01				
<pre>+points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	13	8	8	10	9	9

INAC On-Reserve Survey 01707,28
Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

quality of life

:		Province						Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	<u> </u>		
1	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q3 Unweighted n: Get much better	721 25%	100 24%	105 24%	105 29%	102 28%	103 22%	99 26%	107 27%	145	178 27%	296 19%	99 23%	317 28%	176 29%	142 15%	83 23%	290 21%	193 24%	135 33% ++
Get slightly better	40%	41%	35%	35%	45%	43%	36%	43%	*** 38%	42%	43%	35%	38%	40%	44%	41%	35%	43%	39%
Worsen slightly	14%	6%	17%	18%	12%	19%	14%	6%	14%	13%	16%	14%	15%	12%	18%	13%	20%	12%	11%
Worsen a lot	8%	11%	9%	8%	6%	5%	11%	8%	3%	7%	10%	12%	7%	8 %	9%	8*	10%	7%	6%
DK/NR	13%	18%	16%	10%	9%	10%	14%	16%	13%	11%	++ 12%	16%	13%	11%	15%	15%	13%	14%	11%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	. 05 8	7	6	10	- 6	7	8	11	.10 6	7	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

quality of life

<u>:</u>		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	nder	Child	-	Emp	oloymer	nt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Cor olvem	mmunity ent
1	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q3 Unweighted n: Get much better	721 25%	352 29%	15 9%	351 22%	313 26%	408	451 26%	270 24%	82 23%	116 19%	47 16%	191 25%	346 25%	64 21%	284 24%	175 23%	261 28%	189 36%	297 23%	232
Get slightly better	40%	++ 39%	74%	39 %	38%	42%	44%	33%	52 %	44%	48%	38%	43%	43%	42%	42%	37%	**** 37%	41%	41%
Worsen slightly	14%	12%	98	17% +	15%	14%	15%	14%	8%	16%	17%	16%	13%	14%	13%	15%	15%	8 %	16%	17%
Worsen a lot	88	5%	0%	11%	7%	98	7%	9%	7%	5%	11%	7%	6%	6%	9%	4 %	9%	10%	8 %	7%
DK/NR	13%	15 % +	8%	10%	14%	11%	7 %	21% ++++	10%	16%	8%	15%	13%	15%	12%	16% +	11%	10%	12%	15%
Chi?: ±points around 50%:	4	(.01) 5	25	5	- 6	5	. 01 5	6	11	9	14	7	- 5	12	- 6	7	6	.01 7	6	6

Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

quality of life

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3	•		irst Na		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q3																		
Unweighted n:	721	366	227	117	181	105	136	478	156	200	312	181	177	542	187	192	210	113
Get much better	25%	24%	26%	28%	27%	28%	30%	32%	12%	17%	22%	39%	25%	25%	18%	21%	28%	36%
								++++			-	++++						+++
Get slightly better	40%	40%	40%	43%	40%	43%	37%	43%	25%	33%	46%	37%	40%	40%	37%	45%	41%	37%
								++++			+++							
Worsen slightly	14%	15%	16%	8%	13%	11%	17%	98	31%	14%	17%	10%	12%	15%	14%	18%	11%	14%
<i>3</i> ,			++						++++		+	-				+		*
Worsen a lot	8 %	10%	6%	6%	7%	5%	48	3%	25%	18%	5%	3%	11%	7%	16%	5%	6%	6%
		++							++++	++++					++++			
DK/NR	13%	12%	12%	15%	13%	13%	11%	12%	6%	17%	10%	10%	13%	13%	15%	11%	14%	7%
Dicy inc	130	120	100	-50	130	13 0		++		+++	200		-5-					_
Chi 2			_		_								_		0.1			
	4	E	7	۵	7	10	۰		۰	. 01	6	7	7	4	.01	7	7	a
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	5	- 7	9	7	10	8	. 01 4	8	. 01 7	6	7	7	4	.01 7	7	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

quality of life

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q3								
Unweighted n:	721	663	58	140	162	119	150	83
Get much better	25%	25%	21%	11%	51%	23%	23%	10%
					++++			
Get slightly better	40%	39%	50%	48%	37%	43%	46%	30%
•				+				
Worsen slightly	14%	15%	5%	25%	7%	15%	10%	23%
5 .		++		++++			-	++
Worsen a lot	8%	8%	11%	48	0%	7%	11%	26%
				-				++++
DK/NR	13%	13%	13%	12%	6%	12%	11%	11%
Chi ² :				. 01				
tpoints around 50%:	4	4	13	. 01	8	9	8	11
tpoints around 50%:	4		13	, ο	0	9	0	11

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

•				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q4 Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Health care	13%	15%	10%	7%	13%	19%	6%	13%	8 %	13%	15%	17%	11%	16%	14%	12%	12%	15%	16%
Economy	4%	4 %	3%	5%	4 %	+++ 4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	+ 5%	2 ¥	3%	++ 2%	6%	8%	4%	3 %	7%
Unemployment	5%	6%	6%	5%	5∜	2 %	. 2%	6%	2%	6%	++ 5%	6%	5%	4%	++ 3%	+++ 6%	5%	4 %	++ 3%
Education	12%	12%	15%	13%	12%	10%	11%	12%	16%	+ 12%	12%	6%	9%	16%	15%	13%	12%	13%	14%
Poverty	3%	2%	6%	4%	2 %	3%	2%	3 %	1%	4%	5%	3%	4%	*+ 3*	4 %	4%	3%	4 %	4%
Taxes	1%	0%	1%	2 %	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	++ 1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%
Debt	1%	2%	1%	+++ 0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Environment	4%	7%	2%	3%	1%	++ 6%	5%	7%	3 %	3%	4%	++ 8*	4%	3%	5%	6%	5%	4%	1%
Trade	1%	*+ 3*	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+ 2%	1%	1%	1%	+++ 0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Canadian unity	2 %	1%	1%	2%	3 %	3%	1%	++ 2%	1%	2%	3 %	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2 %	3%
Immigration	1%	1%	1%	0%	0 %	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Social services	7%	3%	6%	98	6 %	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%	5%	6%	8%	5%	8%	7%	8%	6%	5%
Crime and justice	2 %	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3 %	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2 %	+ 3%	2 %	1%
Land claims	5%	10%	++ 2*	2%	4 %	7% +	5%	4 %	1%	4%	 9% ++++	4%	3%	6%	7% +	6%	4%	4 %	11%

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 15 (1/ 2)

INAC On-Reserve Survey 01/09/28
Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Unweighted n: Housing	1427 3%	206	200 2%	200 2%	214 8% ++++	201 3%	203 3%	203 3%	289 1%	352 4%	579 4%	203 6%	652 4%	342 2%	279 4%	147 3%	582 2%	394 5%	251 4%
Aboriginal treaty right	88	8%	11%	4%	9%	7%	12%	11%	88	6 % -	9%	12%	8 %	8%	8%	13%	8%	9%	10%
Aboriginal self-government	2%	1%	3%	3 % +	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0 % -
Other	2%	1%	3 %	3 % +	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3 %	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
DK/NR	26%	20%	23%	32 % ++	29%	21%	32% ++	23%	41% ++++ (.01)	24%	18%	18%	32% ++++ (.01)	24%	16%	10%	26% ++ (.01)	23%	15%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 7	7	7	7	7	7	7	(.01)	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 16 (2/ 2)

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	ıder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	it Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q4 Unweighted n: Health care	1427 13%	698 15%	39 4%	687	609	818	887	540 12%	151 11%	250 11%	97 18%	353 13%	663 12%	140 14%	545 14%	339 10%	540 12%	378 12%	612 14%	433
Economy	4 %	3%	7%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4 %	3%	3 %	3%	7%	5%	3 %	6%	2%	3 %	4 %	3 %	4 %
Unemployment	5%	4 %	6%	5*	4%	- 5%	5%	4 %	6%	5%	6%	4 %	4 %	8%	+++ 3%	7%	4 %	4 %	5%	5%
Education	12%	15%	5%	10%	10%	15%	14%	9%	10%	14%	8%	15%	14%	11%	13%	13%	11%	14%	13%	11%
Poverty	3%	+++ 3%	0%	4%	3%	4%	+++ 4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3 %	4%	3%	3%	4 %	3 %	4 %
Taxes	1%	1%	0%	1%	2% +++	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3 % +++	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Debt	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Environment	4 %	4 %	7%	4 %	5%	4 %	3 %	6% ++	++ 5%	4 %	4 %	3 %	4%	++ 6%	4 %	3%	5%	4 %	6 % ++	2%
Trade	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Canadian unity	2%	+++ 2%	4%	2%	3 %	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3 %	2%	++ 4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%
Immigration	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
Social services	7%	6%	7%	7%	++ 5%	8%	7%	++ 6*	11%	5%	+++ 1%	7%	7%	3%	5%	88	++ 7%	7%	6%	8%
Crime and justice	2%	2%	3%	2 %	2%	++ 3%	3 %	2%	++ 1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%
Land claims	5%	7% +++	0%	3 %	6%	4%	5%	6%	, 9%	6%	4%	8%	6%	9%	8 % ++++	6%	2%	8 % +++	6%	2%

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 17 (1/ 2)

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

:		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Emp1. Rese	On/Of rve?		oyment	Туре		of Co olvem	mmunity ent
# 1	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Unweighted n: Housing	1427	698 3%	39 0%	687 4%	609 3%	818	887 3%	540 3%	151 2%	250 3%	97 4%	353 4%	663 3%	140 3%	545 4%	339 2%	540 3%	378 6%	612	433 2%
Aboriginal treaty right	8%	7%	11%	10%	9%	8%	8%	9%	3%	9%	12%	9%	9%	8%	10%	6%	8%	88	10%	7%
Aboriginal self-government	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Other	2%	2 %	3%	1%	2% +	1%	2%	1%	3% +	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
DK/NR	26%	22%	43%	29% ++	27%	25%	25%	27%	26%	26% +	25%	17% 	24%	16%	19%	28%	30% +++	17%	24%	34% ++++
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 4	16	4	(.01) 4	3	(.10)	4	(.05) 8	6	10	5	(.01) 4	8	(.01) 4	5	4	(.01) 5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 18 (2/ 2)

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			irst Na Indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q4 Unweighted n: Health care	1427 13%	730 12%	447 12%	223 16%	357 13%	203	285	954 12%	308 14%	438 13%	599 13%	348 13%	345 12%	1079 13%	372 16%	368 12%	431 11%	226 10%
Economy	4 %	3 %	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4 %	6%	4 %	3%	6%	6%	3%	5%	7%	2%	2 %
Unemployment	5%	6%	2%	4 %	2%	2%	3 %	5%	4%	3 %	7%	* 3*	+++ 2%	5%	4%	+++ 6%	4%	5%
Education	12%	++++ 11%	14%	10%	13%	15%	12%	13%	11%	9%	++++ 14%	14%	12%	++ 12%	10%	14%	14%	10%
Poverty	3 %	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4 %	3%	5%	4%	+ 3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%
Taxes	1%	0%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Debt	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%`	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Environment	4%	5%	3%	3%	++ 3%	2 %	3%	4%	3%	7%	3%	* 3*	++ 5%	4%	6%	4 %	3 %	4%
Trade	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	+++ 0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Canadian unity	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	++ 2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Immigration	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	++ 1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Social services	7%	7%	7%	5%	4%	8%	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	6%	- 5%	7*	6%	7%	7%	6%
Crime and justice	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%		1%	3 %	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Land claims	5%	5%	4 %	7% +	8%	8%	4 %	48	6%	8 % ++++	4%	3% 	9 % ++++	4%	9 % ++++	6%	2%	4%

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 19 (1/ 2)

INAC On Reserve Survey
Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ :			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Unweighted n: Housing	1427	730 5%	447	223	357 2%	203	285 2%	954 3*	308 3%	438 4%	599 3%	348 2%	345 5%	1079 3%	372 4%	368 3%	431 2%	226 3%
Aboriginal treaty right	8%	7%	9%	10%	10%	11%	11%	7%	10% +	14%	6%	6 % 	11%	8%	15% ++++	8%	6%	5 % -
Aboriginal self-government	28	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	3 % + +	1 % 	1%	2%	1%	28
Other	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0 *	1%	3 % + + +	1%	2 %	1%	2%	1%	+++
DK/NR	26%	27%	24%	26%	24%	17% 	28%	++	20% 	16%	29% +++	29 % ++	16%	29% ++++	10%	19%	38% ++++	36% ++++
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	4	- 5	7	5	7	6	(.05) 3	6	(.01) 5	4	5	(.01) 5	3	(.01) 5	5	- 5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 20 (2/2)

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q4	1407	1212	114	310	302	219	281	197
Unweighted n: Health care	1427 13%	1313 13%	114 11%	13%	302 8%	13%	16%	12%
	-50						+	
Economy	4 %	4%	7%	7%	1%	5%	2 %	7%
				+++		4.0	 5%	++ 3%
Unemployment	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	516	3 8
Education	12%	12%	10%	10%	16%	16%	9%	14%
				-	+		-	
Poverty	3 %	3 %	2%	2%	2%	5%	3 %	6%
						1.0	2.0	++
Taxes	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	9.8
Debt	1%	1%	1%	` 2%	1%	90	0%	1%
				+++				
Environment	4 %	4 %	6%	5%	3 %	4%	5%	4%
Trade	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Canadian unity	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%
canadian unity	2.0	2.0				++		-
Immigration	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Social services	7%	7%	2%	8%	5%	5%	4%	9%
		++	5.7	+				
Crime and justice	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Land claims	5%	5%	10%	++ 3%	3%	3%	12%	6%
Daily Claims	20		++	-		-	++++	0.0

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 21 (1/ 2)

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

•		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Housing	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%
Aboriginal treaty right	8 %	88	12%	8%	48	6%	148	
Aboriginal self-government	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Other	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
DK/NR	26%	26%	28%	24%	41%	25%	14%	18%
Chi²:		_		(.01)	++++			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9		6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 22 (2/ 2)

I am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and I would like you to tell me which one you think should be given highest priority by the Government of Canada.

	Unemplo yment	Educati on	The environ ment	Health care	·	-	1	g Aborigi nal land	ing Aborigi	g	Managin g the economy	n	
Unemployment	39%	19%	56%	30%	24%	45%	36%	30%	60%	43%	67%	25%	
Education	80%	65%	60%	48%	56%	67%	79%	48%	71%	80%	81%	38%	
The environment	44%	39%	43%	30%	57%	40%	51%	36%	52%	43%	59%	18%	
Health care	70%	49%	70%	65%	58%	57%	79%	66%	76%	81%	73%	38%	
Improving job skills	76%	42%	43%	41%	50%	42%	63%	36%	57%	55%	59%	39%	
Housing	53%	31%	58%	43%	58%	49%	55%	34%	68%	56%	61%	16%	
Regional economic dev't	61%	21%	44%	19%	•37%	38%	36%	23%	40%	31%	49%	25%	
Aboriginal land claims	64%	52%	55%	31%	58%	66%	74%	58%	73%	60%	72%	30%	
Aboriginal self-gov't	37%	24%	42%	22%	39%	31%	54%	23%	35%	36%	47%	22%	
Managing nat. resources	52%	20%	56%	19%	44%	41%	60%	34%	57%	41%	58%	15%	
Managing the economy	31%	19%	37%	25%	41%	35%	48%	27%	45%	36%	34%	29%	
Children	71%	61%	80%	60%	58%	84%	73%	67%	75%	84%	65%	71%	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and I would like you to tell me which one you think should be given highest priority by the Government of Canada.

:				Pr	covince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
!	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Unemployment	39%	40%	50%	39%	39%	42%	28%	36%	41%	39%	37%	40%	37%	45%	39%	35%	41%	36%	40%
Education	65%	64%	69%	71%	59%	64%	64%	61%	66%	66%	63%	66%	64%	60%	68%	73%	63%	68%	63%
The environment	43%	38%	45%	40%	31%	51%	45%	50%	47%	44%	42%	36%	40%	43%	50%	43%	44%	42%	42%
Health care	65%	66%	65%	61%	70%	57%	62%	71%	61%	59%	68%	71%	64%	63%	68%	63%	63%	68%	64%
Improving job skills	50%	58%	53%	53%	49%	48%	39%	49%	53%	48%	51%	45%	53%	54%	49%	26%	50%	55%	41%
Housing	49%	52%	44%	57%	55%	53%	39%	42%	46%	52%	47%	56%	49%	50%	45%	54%	48%	44%	54%
Regional economic dev't	36%	37%	34%	35%	29%	40%	48%	27%	28%	38%	36%	41%	35%	34%	32%	49%	37%	33%	40%
Aboriginal land claims	58%	50%	62%	50%	53%	69%	64%	59%	56%	53%	63%	53%	56%	62%	63%	53%	55%	60%	64%
Aboriginal self-gov't	35%	32%	21%	32%	38%	31%	44%	51%	42%	43%	29%	31%	33%	37%	32%	42%	36%	34%	32%
Managing nat. resources	41%	39%	39%	46%	49%	37%	38%	39%	45%	42%	39%	40%	41%	41%	41%	43%	41%	43%	43%
Managing the economy	34%	40%	35%	25%	34%	28%	40%	34%	28%	29%	37%	37%	35%	27%	37%	35%	32%	37%	40%
Children	71%	66%	75%	74%	71%	66%	72%	71%	72%	76%	67%	70%	73%	65%	70%	71%	69%	71%	70%

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

I am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and I would like you to tell me which one you think should be given highest priority by the Government of Canada.

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Children Under 18?		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. (oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Unemployment	39%	39%	41%	39%	38%	39%	36%	44%	44%	26%	49%	44%	40%	35%	37%	41%	40%	33%	44%	38%
Education	65%	63%	70%	67%	68%	63%	67%	62%	70%	71%	57%	64%	65%	69%	67%	64%	63%	68%	66%	60%
The environment	43%	48%	42%	38%	43%	43%	45%	40%	37%	45%	46%	43%	43%	44%	44%	43%	42%	47%	44%	37%
Health care	65%	61%	69%	67%	61%	67%	64%	66%	63%	63%	72%	62%	63%	68%	64%	62%	67%	70%	61%	65%
Improving job skills	50%	52%	27%	49%	49%	51%	50%	49%	5 6 %	49%	50%	40%	44%	50%	47%	48%	53%	46%	49%	54%
Housing	49%	48%	24%	51%	47%	51%	47%	52%	42%	48%	40%	55%	49%	46%	52%	46%	48%	50%	51%	46%
Regional economic dev't	36%	36%	44%	34%	37%	35%`	35%	37%	28%	27%	38%	41%	35%	31%	35%	33%	37%	35%	37%	35%
Aboriginal land claims	58%	56%	48%	61%	60%	57%	55%	62%	58%	65%	58%	55%	61%	50%	57%	62%	56%	61%	58%	56%
Aboriginal self-gov't	35%	37%	30%	33%	34%	35%	35%	34%	27%	39%	26%	35%	34%	35%	33%	37%	36%	37%	36%	32%
Managing nat. resources	41%	41%	38%	41%	43%	40%	43%	38%	47%	44%	58%	38%	42%	49%	41%	43%	40%	41%	37%	47%
Managing the economy	34%	32%	38%	35∜	40%	29%	32%	36%	30%	40%	34%	36%	34%	42%	40%	29%	29%	39%	30%	32%
Children	71%	72%	85%	68%	65%	75%	74%	65%	68%	70%	60%	75%	73%	72%	72%	68%	71%	70%	69%	73%

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and I would like you to tell me which one you think should be given highest priority by the Government of Canada.

		Int	ernet t	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ.			ormance 1 Gover		ontact w Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Unemployment	39%	39%	38%	40%	37%	41%	34%	37%	46%	38%	44%	34%	39%	39%	39%	40%	37%	41%
Education	65%	65%	68%	57%	61%	65%	64%	66%	63%	65%	64%	66%	68%	64%	65%	68%	63%	63%
The environment	43%	36%	50%	49%	53%	45%	49%	42%	43%	48%	38%	44%	45%	42%	49%	39%	42%	42%
Health care	65%	64%	67%	63%	62%	63%	72%	65%	64%	64%	66%	62%	61%	66%	65%	56%	67%	74%
Improving job skills	50%	51%	47%	51%	51%	42%	49%	51%	48%	49%	50%	50%	47%	51%	43%	53%	53%	47%
Housing	49%	51%	47%	49%	44%	41%	49%	50%	47%	50%	48%	49%	55%	47%	48%	53%	46%	49%
Regional economic dev't	36%	32%	39%	40%	38%	42%	40%	34%	42%	38%	32%	38%	36%	36%	38%	34%	36%	32%
Aboriginal land claims	58%	59%	53%	64%	59%	61%	56%	59%	57%	67%	56%	50%	54%	59%	61%	62%	55%	52%
Aboriginal self-gov't	35%	32%	37%	44%	42%	37%	37%	37%	28%	33%	35%	37%	37%	34%	33%	38%	32%	41%
Managing nat. resources	41%	44%	37%	38%	41%	40%	39%	42%	45%	36%	45%	42%	43%	40%	43%	39%	41%	44%
Managing the economy	34%	34%	33%	35%	28%	44%	34%	35%	28%	30%	34%	38%	37%	33%	38%	30%	31%	38%
Children	71%	72%	73%	61%	70%	74%	64%	70%	71%	67%	72%	73%	69%	71%	66%	77%	73%	66%

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

I am going to read you a series of two possible priority areas and I would like you to tell me which one you think should be given highest priority by the Government of Canada.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
_	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Unemployment	3 9%	38%	48%	41%	33%	46%	35%	39%
Education	65%	65%	58%	67%	66%	68%	66%	60%
The environment	43%	43%	43%	44%	42%	40%	46%	46%
Health care	65%	65%	61%	66%	66%	74%	56%	63%
Improving job skills	50%	49%	59%	49%	51%	54%	44%	51%
Housing	49%	49%	44%	48%	42%	53%	47%	58%
Regional economic dev't	36%	37%	23%	`32%	34%	40%	34%	40%
Aboriginal land claims	58%	57%	70%	53%	57%	46%	80%	61%
Aboriginal self-gov't	35%	34%	42%	35%	45%	12%	55%	27%
Managing nat. resources	41%	41%	35₩	44%	44%	44%	28%	45%
Managing the economy	34%	34%	32%	33%	33%	35%	36%	28%
Children	71%	70%	74%	72%	74%	74%	65%	67%

01/09/28 INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the performance of the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q5 Unweighted n: Poor	1427 29%	206 35%	200 26%	200 23%	214 28%	201 35%	203 26%	203 31%	289 18%	352 27%	579 37% ++++	203 36%	652 24%	342 28%	279 37% +++	147 47%	582 25%	394 35% ++	251 40% ++++
Average	43%	43%	45%	43%	47%	39%	43%	33%	47%	43%	43%	34%	47%	42%	39%	30%	47%	41%	36%
Good	25%	18%	28%	28%	25%	24%	27%	32%	31%	28%	18%	25%	26%	27%	21%	21%	25%	23%	23%
DK/NR	3 %	4%	1%	6%	18	3%	3%	4 %	4 %	3 %	2%	5%	3%	3%	3 %	2%	3%	2%	1%
l Terrible	12%	14%	11%	88	13%	15%	11%	15%	6%	8 %	17%	++ 20%	11%	11%	13%	22%	11%	15%	13%
2	68	6%	6%	5%	5%	8\$	2%	5%	4 %	5 %	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	9%	5%	7%	7%
3	12%	15%	9%	10%	10%	12%	13%	12%	9%	13%	13%	10%	9%	11%	19%	15%	9%	13%	20%
4 Neither good nor bad	43%	43%	45%	43%	47%	39%	43%	33%	47%	43%	43%	34%	47%	42%	39%	30%	47%	41%	36%
5	15%	12%	15%	16%	15%	16%	16%	20%	20%	21%	10%	11%	12%	23%	16%	11%	16%	16%	15%
6	4%	3%	8%	3 %	3%	3%	6%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4 %	2%	3%	7%	2%	4%	5%
7 Excellent	6%	3 %	5%	10%	7%	4%	5%	8%	6%	4%	5%	9%	9%	28	2%	2%	7%	4%	3%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1384 3.80 1.52	(.01) 7 212 3.56 1.44 .01	7 205 3.93 1.51	7 190 4.07 1.52	7 264 3.83 1.51	7 288 3.62 1.54	7 161 3.92 1.46	7 64 7 3.86 1.68	.01 6 387 4.16 1.33	5 307 3.90 1.35	4 486 3.53 1.55	7 200 3.65 1.83	(.01) 4 661 3.98 1.57	5 353 3.77 1.36	6 243 3.61 1.40	8 119 3.30 1.65	.01 4 573 3.91 1.50	5 374 3.62 1.51 .05	6 240 3.61 1.47

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Generally speaking, how would you rate the performance of the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

:		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment			of Com	munity
;	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q5 Unweighted n: Poor	1427 29%	698 29%	39 21%	687 30%	609 28%	818 31%	887 28%	540 31%	151 19%	250 28%	97 42% ++	353 37% +++	663 31%	140 29%	545 36% ++++	339 24%	540 27%	378 39% ++++	612 26%	433
Average Good	43% 25%	43% 25%	60% ++ 17%	42% 25%	41% 28%	45% 22%	44% 25%	40% 25%	54% +++ 26%	44% 26%	32% 23%	37% 24%	42% 25%	44% 25%	42% 20%	42% 31%	44% 25%	33% 25%	47% +++ 25%	44% 25%
DK/NR	3 %	3%	2%	3%	*** 3%	3%	2%	4 %	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	*** 3%	4%	2%	3%	4%
1 Terrible 2	12 % 6 %	12% 6%	12% 2%	13% 5%	12% 5%	13% 6%	11% 5%	15% 7%	7% 2%	13%	18%	14% 8%	13% 5%	10% 8%	15 % 6 %	8 % 6 %		19 % 6 %	8% 5%	12% 5%
3	12%	12%	7%	12%	11%	12%	13%	9%	11%	11%	13%	15%	12%	11%	15%	98	10%	13%	12%	10%
4 Neither good nor bad 5	43% 15%	43%	60% 9%	42%	41%	45% 13%	16%	40%	54% 17%	44%	32% 15%	37% 15%	42% 17%	44% 15%	42%	42% 21%	13%	33%	47% 17%	14%
6	4%	3 %	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4 %	3%
7 Excellent Chi ² :	68	5%	5%	7%	6% .05	68	5 % -	7%	7% (.01)	2%	68	4%	4%	6%	.01	6%	8%	6 % .01	3%	8%
<pre>tpoints around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1384 3.80 1.52	705 3.80 1.48	16 35 3.84 1.40	4 639 3.81 1.57	704 3.87 1.52	3 679 3.73 1.51 .10	3 835 3.85 1.44	4 548 3.73 1.62	8 156 4.08 1.29	6 240 3.74 1.40	10 90 3.48 1.67	5 312 3.63 1.52 .10	4 606 3.73 1.49	8 146 3.82 1.48	4 497 3.57 1.48	5 329 4.00 1.43 .01	4 554 3.91 1.57 .05	5 338 3.58 1.72	595 3.87 1.33	5 447 3.89 1.58

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the performance of the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

:		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q5 Unweighted n: Poor	1427 29%	730 26%	447 32%	223 35%	357 36%	203	285 31%	954 25%	308 44%	438 100%	599 0%	348	345 44% ++++	1079 25%	372 54%	368 27%	431	226 16%
Average	43%	44%	43%	39%	38%	40%	44%	44%	398	0%	100%	0%	33%	46%	28%	49% +++	48%	47%
Good	25%	27%	23%	24%	24%	23%	23%	28%	14%	0%	0%	100%	22%	26%	17%	21%	30%	33%
DK/NR	3 %	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4 %
1 Terrible	12%	13%	11%	12%	12%	11%	11%	9%	26%	42%	0%	0%	20%	10%	26%	9%	7%	6%
2	6%	4 %	7%	5%	6%	7%	8%	5%	6%	19%	0%	0%	88	5%	10%	4%	4%	4%
3	12%	8%	14%	17%	18%	15%	12%	11%	13%	39%	0%	0%	16%	10%	17%	14%	8%	6%
4 Neither good nor bad	43%	44%	43%	39%	38%	40%	44%	44%	39%	0%	100%	0%	33%	46%	28%	49%	48%	47%
5	15%	16%	13%	19%	17%	17%	13%	18%	7%	0%	0%	61%	15%	16%	12%	15%	17%	19%
6	4%	3 %	6%	3%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	0%	0%	16%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4 %	5%
7 Excellent	6%	8%	4%	2%	2%	3%	5%	6%	4%	0%	0%	23%	4%	6%	2%	3%	10%	98
Chi': ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1384 3.80 1.52	4 712 3.89 1.58	441 3.77 1.47	7 209 3.67 1.38	325 3.65 1.42	7 187 3.68 1.40	6 303 3.76 1.50	.01 3 926 3.98 1.44	6 299 3.21 1.63	.01 5 419 1.98 0.90	4 609 4.00 0.00	5 356 5.62 0.84 .01	.01 5 333 3.41 1.63	3 1047 3.92 1.46	.01 5 361 3.06 1.58	5 357 3.81 1.30	5 432 4.18 1.45	7 214 4.27 1.41

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Generally speaking, how would you rate the performance of the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q5	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 29%	29%	37%	23%	10%	20%	51%	56%
1001	250	-	+				++++	++++
Average	43%	43%	36%	52%	46%	48%	35%	31%
3				++++				
Good	25%	25%	26%	23%	42%	28%	13%	11%
		2.0			++++	4.0		
DK/NR	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%
1 Terrible	12%	12%	19%	6%	3%	6%	19%	37%
1 Terribic	120	120	100	0.0	3.0	0.0	-50	3.4
2	6%	6%	6%	. 2%	1%	5%	13%	8%
3	12%	12%	12%	15%	6%	10%	18%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	43%	43%	36%	52%	46%	48%	35%	31%
5	15%	15%	19%	17%	22%	16%	10%	7%
6	4 %	4%	3%	3%	7%	4%	1%	2%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	4%	4%	13%	8%	1%	2%
Chi?:		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9		6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384	1290	94	317	312	207	258	193
Mean:	3.80	3.82	3.55	3.97	4.58		3.13	
Std dev:	1.52	1.51	1.61	1.19	1.31	1.40	1.41	1.62
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10	. 01	.01	. 01	. 01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of service provided by the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

					P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	ВС	A1ta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q5B Poor Average	Unweighted n:	1427 32% 36%	206 40% +++ 32%	200 25% 36%	200 27% 34%	214 35% 37%	201 33% 39%	203 27% 39%	203 34%	289 23% 33%	352 278 - 398	579 41% ++++ 37%	203 33% 37%	652 28% 38%	342 30% 40%	279 37% ++ 33%	147 528 ++++ 228	582 29% 38%	394 35% 36%	251 41% +++ 34%
Good DK/NR		29% 3%	24% - 4%	37% +++ 2%	33% 6% ++	25% 3%	26% 2%	30% 4%	34%	40% ++++ 3%	30%	20% 3%	26% 3%	31%	27% 4%	29% 1%	25% 1%	29% 4% +++	278° 28	24%
1 Terribl	le .	12% 7%	16% 10%	8% 8%	8% 5%	16% 5%,	11%	10% 3%	12% 6%	5% 6%	9% 6%	16% 7%	18% 10%	11% 7%	12 % 6%	10% 9%	22%	11% 8%	12% 8%	13% 9%
3 4 Neither	good nor bad	13% 36%	14% 32%	10% 36%	15% 34%	14% 37%	10% 39%	14% 39%	17% 30%	12% 33%	12% 39%	17% 37%	6% 37%	10% 38%	12% 40%	19% 33%	20% 22%	10% 38%	16% 36%	18% 34%
5 6		16% 7%	11% 9%	19% 8%	20% 7%	14% 4%	17% 5%	16% 8%	20% 7%	22% 10%	18% 7%	12%	10% 9%	14% 8%	17% 5%	20% 7%	17% 6%	16% 7%	16% 7%	17% 5%
7 Excelle	ent Chi::	6%	3%	10%	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	8% . 01	5%	4%	7%	9% (.01)	4%	2%	3%	6 % . 01	4 %	3%
	nts around 50%: ted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1384 3.85 1.58	7 213 3.56 1.63	7 204 4.18 1.59 .01	7 191 4.06 1.49 .05	7 258 3.71 1.66	7 292 3.72 1.50	7 160 4.00 1.50	7 65 7 3.91 1.62	6 389 4.28 1.47	5 305 3.97 1.47	4 482 3.50 1.54 .01	7 205 3.70 1.79	4 661 4.00 1.64 .01	5 349 3.80 1.48	6 248 3.74 1.42	8 121 3.31 1.67 .01	4 568 3.88 1.58 .05	5 374 3.76 1.54	6 240 3.57 1.49 .05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of service provided by the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment			of Com	munity nt
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q5B Unweighted n: Poor Average	1427 32% 36%	698 32%	39 21% 26%	687 32%	609 33%	818 31%	887 31%	540 33% 35%	151 248 448	250 35% 34%	97 36% 31%	353 40% ++ 34%	663 35% 36%	140 35% 32%	545 39* ++++ 35*	339 28% 37%	540 28% 37%	378 40% ++++ 32%	612 32%	433 26% 39%
Good DK/NR	29%	29%	36% 17%	28%	30%	+ 28% 3%	29%	28%	++ 30%	29%	28%	24%	27%	31%	25% 2%	31%	32% + 4%	25% - 3%	30%	+ 31%
1 Terrible	12% 7%	10% 8%	++++ 6% 0%	14% 6%	12% 7%	12% 7%	10% 7%	14% 8%	5% 3%	11% 7%	++ 15% 7%	14% 10%	12% 8%	11%	13%	8% 4%	12% 7%	17% 8%	10% 8%	11% 6%
3 4 Neither good nor bad	13% 36%	14% 37%	15% 26%	12% 36%	14%	12%	13% 37%	12% 35%	16% 44%	17% 34%	13% 31%	16% 34%	14% 36%	20% 32%	16% 35%	16% 37%	9% 37%	15% 32%	15% 36%	9% 39%
5	16% 7%	17% 6%	19% 8%	15% 8%	17% 8%	15% 6%	17% 7%	15% 7%	17% 7%	18% 8%	16% 7%	16% 4%	16% 6%	19% 5%	16% 5%	17% 9%	16% 8%	15% 5%	18% 7%	14% 8%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	8%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	4 %	5%	8%	5%	4%	9%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1384 3.85 1.58	(.01) 4 711 3.87 1.53	16 29 4.32 1.51	4 638 3.81 1.64	704 3.85 1.58	3 680 3.85 1.58	3 834 3.88 1.53	550 3.80 1.65	(.05) 8 156 4.12 1.34 .01	6 240 3.78 1.48	10 88 3.70 1.66	5 313 3.59 1.55 .01	606 3.73 1.53	8 146 3.89 1.55	.01 498 3.62 1.54	5 327 4.00 1.45 .05	4 556 3.97 1.66	.01 5 336 3.58 1.64 .01	598 3.86 1.48	5 446 4.05 1.64

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of service provided by the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na Indard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q5B	••	1.00				357	202	205	254	200	430		240	245	1070	372	368	431	226
Poor	Unweighted n:	1427 32%	730 30%	447 34%	223 33%	357 3 7 %	203 38%	285 29%	954 28%	308 51%	438 65%	599 22%	348 11%	345 44%	1079 28%	56%	33%	19%	17%
Average		36%	39%	31%	39%	33%	33%	34%	38%	31%	22%	50%	28%	**** 28%	39%	++++ 29%	36%	41%	
Good		29%	27%	33%	++ 27%	27%	26%	35%	++ 33%	15%	12%	++++ 25%	57%	28%	++++ 29%	14%	28%	+++ 35%	43%
DK/NR		3%	4 %	2%	1%	3%	2%	+++ 2%	++++ 2%	4%	1%	3%	**** 3%	0%	4 %	1%	3%	++++ 4%	
1 Terribl	le	12%	13%	9%	11%	12%	13%	8 %	8%	24%	28%	6%	3%	19%	10%	24%	10%	++ 6%	
2		7%	6%	8%	8%	9%	98	7%	6%	13%	16%	4 %	2%	10%	6%	14%	7%	4%	2 %
3		13%	11%	16%	14%	16%	17%	14%	13%	14%	21%	11%	6%	15%	12%	18%	15%	9%	10%
4 Neither	r good nor bad	36%	39%	31%	39%	33%	33%	34%	38%	31%	22%	50%	28%	28%	39%	29%	36%	41%	38%
5		16%	14%	20%	17%	18%	20%	18%	18%	8%	6%	17%	27%	17%	16%	8%	20%	17%	22%
6		7%	7%	8%	5%	6%	3%	10%	8%	3%	3%	3%	18%	5%	7%	5%	4%	10%	9%
7 Excelle	ent	6%	7%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	7%	3 %	3%	4 %	13%	6%	6%	1%	4 %	9%	12%
	Chi ² :			(.10)		_			.01		.01			.01		. 01			
	nts around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	
Weight	ted n for mean:	1384	707	443	212	32 2	189	303	936		414	593	346	334	1047	362	356	424	
	Mean:	3.85	3.85	3.90	3.79	3.70	3.63	4.09		3.09	2.82	3.97	4.85	3.53	3.95	3.03	3.79	4.27	
	Std dev: Student's t:	1.58	1.63	1.54	1.49	1.52 .05	1.49 .05	1.55 .01	1.51 .01	1.63	1.57	1.26	1.38	1.72 .01	1.52 .01	1.55	1.46	1.47	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of service provided by the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

;		Locat:	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q5B								105
Unweighted n:	1427 32%	1313 31%	114 40%	310 29%	302 9%	219 25%	281 48%	197 63%
Poor	326	314	++	238		25%	++++	++++
Average	36%	37%	31%	44%	35%	36%	36%	29%
		-		+++				
Good	29%	29%	24%	26%	52%	36%	14%	7%
					++++	+++		
DK/NR	3 %	3%	5%	2%	4%	3 %	1%	1%
1 Terrible	12%	11%	16%	4%	++ 2%	10%	16%	36%
1 Terribic	12 0	110	100	**		100	101	300
2	7%	7%	10%	, 8%	1%	3%	14%	12%
3	13%	13%	14%	17%	6%	13%	18%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	37%	31%	44%	35%	36%	36%	29%
5	16%	16%	12%	19%	27%	17%	9%	4%
6	7%	7%	9%	5%	14%	98	2%	2%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	4%	2%	11%	11%	3%	1%
Chi:				(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384	1292	91	314	306	210	260	195
Mean:	3.85	3.87	3.56	3.90	4.77		3.28	2.64
Std dev:	1.58	1.57	1.66	1.20	1.27	1.62	1.49	1.53
Student's t:	*	.10	.10	-	.01	.01	.01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
:	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7A Gov't of Canada's per							202	202	200	353		202	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 48%	206 50%	200 47%	200 45%	214 57%	201 47%	203 41%	203 41%	289 38%	352 45%	579 56%	203 54%	44%	50%	55%	56%	46%	49%	251 55%
Average	29%	32%	28%	26%	26%	28%	36%	26%	30%	30%	298	26%	31%	26%	28%	27%	30%	29%	29%
Good	20%	16%	25%	26%	13%	21%	22%	31%	29%	22%	13%	18%	22%	23%	16%	15%	21%	21%	15%
DK/NR	3 %	2 %	1%	3%	4 %	4 %	2%	2%	2%	3 %	2%	3 %	3 % ++	1%	2%	3%	3 %	18	1%
1 Terrible	21%	20%	26%	22%	26%	18%	18%	16%	14%	20%	26%	28%	22%	19%	20%	27%	23%	20%	20%
2	10%	12%	7%	7%	12%	11%	11%	11%	8%	10%	12%	10%	9%	9%	12%	16%	10%	12%	14%
3	16%	17%	14%	17%	18%	18%	12%	14%	16%	14%	18%	15%	12%	21%	23%	12%	13%	17%	21%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	32%	28%	26%	26%	28%	36%	26%	30%	30%	29%	26%	31%	26%	28%	27%	30%	29%	29%
5	12%	11%	15%	16%	6%	14%	11%	19%	17%	15%	8%	11%	12%	16%	98	12%	14%	13%	10%
6	4 %	4 %	4 %	6%	2%	4 %	7%	6%	8%	4%	2%	5%	5%	4%	4 %	2%	4%	5%	3 %
7 Excellent	4 %	1%	6%	3 %	5%	3%	4 %	6%	5%	4 %	3%	2%	5%	3 %	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%
Chi ³ :		(.01)							.01				(.05)				-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4 25	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1390 3.29	218 3.20	206 3.33	197 3.41	255 2.99	287 3.33	164 3.48	65 3.65	392 3.73	304 3.36	485 3.00	205 3.05	662 3.37	359 3.33	245 3.17	119 2.90	574 3.29	374 3.29	240 3.14
mean: Std dev:	1.65	1.51	1.78	1.68	1.67	1.58	1.65	1.72	1.63	1.65	1.59	1.67	1.74	1.57	1.52	1.55	1.67	1.59	1.50
Student's t:	*	-		-	.01	-		.01	.01		.01	.05	.10	-	-	.01			-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

	Mothe	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Com	munity
Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off			Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q7A Gov't of Canada's performa																			
Unweighted n: 1427 Poor 48%	698 47%	39 37%	687 49%	609 45%	818 51%	887 49%	540 47%	151 39%	250 51%	97 45%	353 54%	663 51%	140 43%	545 52%	339 45%	540 47%	378 50%	612 48%	433 47%
Average 29%	30%	41%	27%	30%	28%	29%	28%	38%	25%	28%	27%	27%	37%	28%	30%	29%	29%	28%	30%
Good 20%	20%	17%	21%	22%	18%	20%	22%	21%	22%	23%	15%	20%	18%	17%	22%	22%	18%	22%	20%
DK/NR 3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2 %	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
1 Terrible 21%	18%	19%	26%	19%	24%	23%	20%	16%	22%	20%	20%	21%	19%	20%	19%	24%	25%	16%	25%
2 10%	11%	7%	10%	8%	13%	11%	10%	5%	13%	7%	14%	12%	6%	12%	10%	9%	10%	11%	10%
3 16%	19%	11%	14%	18%	14%	15%	18%	18%	16%	18%	20%	18%	18%	19%	16%	14%	15%	20%	12%
4 Neither good nor bad 29%	30%	41%	27%	30%	28%	29%	28%	38%	25%	28%	27%	27%	37%	28%	30%	29%	29%	28%	30%
5 12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	12%	12%	14%	11%	15%	16%	10%	13%	14%	11%	15%	12%	12%	13%	11%
6 4%	4 %	4%	4 %	5%	4%	5%	4 %	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3 %	5%	4%	5%	4 %
7 Excellent 4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	5%	2%	3 %	2%	3%	2%	2%	4 %	5%	3%	3%	5%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: 3 Weighted n for mean: 1390 Mean: 3.29 Std dev: 1.65	1.59	16 34 3.41 1.57	4 644 3.21 1.72	.10 4 709 3.44 1.64	3 682 3.14 1.65	3 833 3.24 1.66	4 557 3.37 1.64	(.05) 8 156 3.58 1.57	6 239 3.23 1.63	10 88 3.39 1.62	5 310 3.11 1.52	(.10) 4 604 3.23 1.62	8 147 3.38 1.48	- 4 491 3.19 1.55	5 330 3.39 1.62	4 567 3.32 1.75	340 3.16 1.65	4 597 3.41 1.58	5 450 3.24 1.74
Student's t: *	.10	-	.10	.01	.01	-	-	<.01	-	-	. 05	-	-	.10	-	-	.10	. 05	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey Unemployment

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	net Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7A Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n: Poor	rformance 1427 48%	e on 730 46%	447	223 53%	357 51%	203 55%	285 47%	954 43%	308 64%	438 69%	599 44%	348 31%	345 52%	1079 47%	372 70%	368 54%	431 34%	226 33%
Average	29%	30%	29%	25%	26%	30%	28%		++++ 23%	++++ 21%	37%	27%	26%	30%	16%	*+ 28%	36%	37%
Good	20%	20%	21%	20%	20%	15%	23%	24%	11%	8%	17%	40%	20%	21%	12%	15%	28%	30% ++++
DK/NR	3 %	3 %	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3 % + +	2%	0%
1 Terrible	21%	24%	18%	20%	19%	23%	17%	18%	35%	35%	19%	10%	26%	20%	38%	21%	12%	
2	10%	9%	12%	10%	11%	12%	13%		14%	13%	9%	88	12%	10%	14%	11%	8%	
3	16%	14%	17%	23%	21%.		18%	16%	15%	20%	16%	13%	15%	17%	18%	21%	14%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	30%	29%	25%	26%	3 0%	28%	31%	23%	21%	37%	27%	26%	30%	16%	28%	36%	37%
5	12%	11%	13%	15%	14%	12%	13%		6%	6%	11%	23%	13%	12%	88	11%	17%	
6	4 %	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%		2%	1%	3%	9%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	
7 Excellent	4%	5%	3%	2%	2%	0%	4%		3%	1%	3%	8%	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	8%
Chi2: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1390 3.29 1.65	4 714 3.28 1.71	5 442 3.34 1.60	7 211 3.25 1.55	5 323 3.27 1.54	7 193 3.06 1.45	6 303 3.41 1.63	935 3.50 1.63	6 300 2.66 1.60	.01 5 410 2.56 1.48	596 3.35 1.54	5 349 4.07 1.66 .01	329 3.12 1.67	3 1059 3.34 1.64	(.01) 5 360 2.56 1.57	5 356 3.09 1.48	5 434 3.78 1.56	7 223 3.82 1.72 .01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

÷

0.1	70072	8

	= Total	Location		Cluster				
		Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7A Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	48%	48%	46%	41%	21%	41%	67%	85%
							++++	++++
Average	29%	29%	29%	40%	32%	32%	24%	13%
- 1			000	++++	4.59	25%	 7%	1%
Good	20%	20%	22%	17%	46%	251		1.0
DK/NR	3%	3 %	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
DR/ NR	3.0	3 *	2.6	10		2.0	20	
1 Terrible	21%	22%	19%	10%	8%	17%	29%	58%
2	10%	10%	9%	9%	4 %	10%	14%	15%
3	16%	16%	18%	, 21%	9%	13%	23%	11%
					200	208	24%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	29%	29%	40%	32%	32%	24%	136
5	12%	12%	13%	12%	24%	15%	6%	1%
3	128	120	138	12 0	2.40	130	0.0	
6	4 %	4%	9%	2%	12%	4%	1%	0%
•								
7 Excellent	4 %	4 %	1%	2 %	98	6%	0%	0%
				(01)				
Chi ² :	2	- 3	9	(.01) 6	6	7	6	7
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1390	1297	93	317	314	211	257	
weighted h for mean:	3.29	3.28	3.38	3.51	4.36	3.55	2.66	
Std dev:	1.65	1.66	1.59	1.32	1.56	1.68	1.37	
Student's t:	*	-	1.55	. 01	. 01		.01	
beddene s c.		_		.01	.01	.05		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7B Gov't of Canada's pe	rformanc	e on										_							
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Poor	30%	37%	26%	20%	35%	34%	25%	26%	20%	27%	38%	33%	24%	34%	37 % +++	44%	26%	34%	37% ++
Average	29%	++ 29%	26%	31%	30%	29%	35%	18%	29%	27%	31%	28%	30%	28%	28%	32%	29%	32%	29%
Good	39%	33%	48%	47%	35%	35%	38%	56%	50%	44%	29%	37%	45%	37%	33%	23%	43%	33%	33%
			+++	++				++++	++++	+			++++				++++		-
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	28	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
1 Terrible	11%	16%	11%	7 %	12%	11%	8%	5%	6%	88	14%	15%	10%	10%	10%	16%	10%	12%	9%
2	7%	8%	5%	4 %	9%	9%	5%	7%	5%	6%	8%	88	5%	6%	9%	13%	6%	7%	11%
3	13%	13%	10%	10%	14%,	15%	11%	14%	9%	13%	16%	10%	8%	17%	18%	15%	10%	15%	18%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	29%	26%	31%	30%	29%	35%	18%	29%	27%	31%	28%	30%	28%	28%	32%	29%	32%	29%
5	19%	19%	21%	18%	17%	21%	19%	22%	22%	25%	16%	15%	18%	22%	21%	17%	20%	18%	22%
6	8%	7%	12%	11%	6%	5%	8%	18%	12%	7%	5%	11%	11%	7%	7%	5%	10%	7%	6%
7 Excellent	12%	7%	14%	18%	12%	8%	10%	16%	16%	12%	9%	11%	16%	9%	6%	1%	13%	9%	5%
Chi²:		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	
Weighted n for mean:	1411	219	208	198	266	292	163	66	399	312	489	207	675	360	248	120	583	377	242
Mean:	4.13	3.79	4.37	4.57	3.98	3.92	4.19	4.62	4.58	4.26	3.77 1.70	3.98 1.85	4.40 1.78	4.02 1.64	3.85 1.56	3.40	4.27 1.72	3.92	3.84
Std dev:	1.72	1.72 .01	1.77 .05	1.68	1.75	1.64 .05	1.60	1.70	.01	1.64	.01	1.85	. 01	1.64	.01	1.49	.01	1.66	1.52
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 05	.01	-	. 05	_		. 01	-	.01	-	. 01	_	. 01	.01	. 01	. 05	. 01

		Moth	Mother Tongue			nder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese			yment			of Con	mmunity
•	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7B Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n:		ce on.		687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Poor	30%	33%	20%	27%	26%	34%	30%	30%	22%	29%	34%	41%	32%	33%	38 % ++++	24%	26%	37 % ++++	29%	27%
Average	29%	28%	35%	30%	27%	32% ++	31%	27%	30%	32 % +	16%	28%	30%	25%	29%	27%	30%	26%	29%	31%
Good	39%	38%	44%	41%	478	32%	38%	41%	48%	37%	49%	30%	36%	42%	32%	478	41%	35 % -	41%	41%
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	2 % +	1%	2%	0% 	2% +++	0%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
1 Terrible	11%	11%	2%	11%	9%	13%	10%	12%	6%	7%	15%	14%	10%	13%	13%	7%	10%	15%	8%	11%
2	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	3%	6%	6%	10%	7%	5%	7%	6%	7%	10%	6%	6%
3	13%	15%	11%	10%	12%	14%	14%	11%	12%	16%	12%	18%	15%	15%	18%	11%	9%	13%	15%	10%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	28%	35%	30%	27%	32%	31%	27%	30%	32%	16%	28%	30%	25%	29%	27%	30%	26%	29%	31%
5	19%	21%	24%	18%	24%	15%	18%	22%	24%	17%	29%	19%	19%	28%	18%	24%	18%	13%	26%	15%
6	8%	9%	7%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	11%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	10%	10%	9%	6%	11%
7 Excellent	12%	8%	13%	15%	13%	10%	11%	12%	13%	12%	13%	5%	11%	7%	8%	13%	14%	13%	9%	15%
Chi²:	-	(.10)	16		. 01	2	.05	4	(.01)	6	1.0	-	-		(.01)	5	4	(.10)		-
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1411	4 722	16 35	4 648	4 720	3 691	3 851	4 559	8 158	241	10 91	5 318	616	8 149	502	338	568	343	4 606	459
Mean:	4.13	4.02	4.47	4.24	4.34	3.91	4.13	4.13	4.49	4.19	4.10	3.69	4.04	3.98	3.83	4.39		3.93	4.14	4.27
Std dev:	1.72	1.66	1.46	1.79	1.69	1.72	1.69	1.76	1.58	1.62	1.89	1.62	1.68	1.64	1.66	1.66		1.88	1.54	1.80
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	.05	.01	.01	-	-	/.01	.10	-	.01	-	-	.01	.01	. 05	. 05	-	. 05

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance l Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7B Gov't of Canada's per						•••						2.40	2.45	1070	250	250	431	226
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 30%	730 29%	447 31%	223 32%	357 32%	203 33%	285 28%	954 26%	308 46%	438 52%	599 23%	348 15%	345 42%	1079 26%	372 51%	368 32%	18%	17%
Average	29%	29%	30%	30%	30%	32%	33%	31%	++++ 27%	++++ 25%	38% ++++	21%	**** 28%	30%	++++ 25%	32%	33%	27%
Good	39%	41%	39%	37%	37%	35∜	38%	43%	26%	22%	38%	64%	30%	42%	24%	35%	49%	55%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	++++ 1%	1%	1%	1%	++++ 0%	1%	++++ 1%	18	2 %	++++ 0%	18
1 Terrible	11%	12%	9%	9%	8%	11%	9%	7%	22%	21%	8%	3%	15%	9%	23%	+++ 9%	 6*	4%
2	7%	68	7%	9%	9%	8%	4 %	5%	9%	13%	4%	3%	9%	6%	12%	8 %	3%	4%
3	13%	11%	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%	13%	14%	19%	11%	9%	18%	11%	16%	15%	9%	9%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	29%	30%	30%	30%	32%	33%	31%	27%	25%	38%	21%	28%	30%	25%	32%	33%	27%
5	19%	16%	22%	22%	25%	24%	18%	21%	14%	12%	21%	27%	18%	20%	14%	24%	20%	21%
6	8%	9%	7%	9%	5%	6%	9%	9%	4%	5%	6%	17%	5%	10%	6%	6%	11%	10%
7 Excellent	12%	15%	9%	5%	6%	5%	11%	13%	88	6%	11%	20%	7%	13%	4%	5%	18%	24%
Chi ² :			-		-			(.01)		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)			
±points around 50%:	3 1411	4 726	5 451	7 211	5 327	7 193	6 307	3 9 4 7	6 302	5 416	4 605	5 355	5 334	3 1074	5 364	5 360	5 442	7 221
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4.13	4.22	4.08	3.99	3.97	3.88	4.17	4.32	3.47	3.31	4.22	4.96	3.67	4.27	3.28	3.94	4.63	4.84
Std dev:	1.72	1.83	1.60	1.55	1.52	1.52	1.63	1.63	1.83	1.71	1.56	1.53	1.67	1.71	1.71	1.49	1.61	1.64
Student's t:	*	.05	-	-	-	-	. 05	/ .01	.01	.01	. 10	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7B Gov't of Canada's perfe	ormance on.							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	30%	30%	33%	25%	8%	22%	48%	59%
							++++	++++
Average	29%	30%	25%	37%	23%	32%	30%	26%
Good	39%	39%	41%	+++ 38%	68%	45%	22%	14%
Good	331	391	412	30%	++++	4.		
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
1 Terrible	11%	10%	13%	3%	3%	8%	16%	32%
2	7%	7%	6%	6%	1%	4%	11%	12%
3	13%	13%	13%	17%	4%	10%	21%	15%
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	30%	25%	37%	23%	32%	30%	26%
5	19%	19%	21%	26%	24%	20%	13%	9%
6	8%	8%	9%	7%	17%	10%	4 %	1%
7 Excellent	12%	12%	10%	5%	27%	15%	5%	4%
Chi²:		_		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1411	1317	94	320	316	214	261	195
Mean:	4.13	4.14	4.05	4.19	5.23	4.43	3.44	2.87
Std dev:	1.72	1.71	1.78	1.26	1.48	1.68	1.58	
Student's t:	*	-		-	.01	.01	. 01	. 01

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7C Gov't of Canada's pe	rformance	e on																	
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 41%	206 47% ++	200 36%	200 36%	214 44%	201 44%	203 38%	203 41%	289 30%	352 37%	579 49% ++++	203 51% +++	652 37%	342 39%	279 49% +++	147 58% ++++	582 36%	394 46%	251 54% ++++
Average	31%	26%	35%	33%	31%	33%	34%	23%	32%	36%	31%	26%	31%	34%	32%	26%	34%	36%	24%
Good	25%	25%	28%	28%	21%	21%	23%	34% +++	35%	25%	18%	19%	29 % ++++	25%	17%	148	26%	18%	21%
DK/NR	3%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	4 %	2%	3%	2 %	2%	4 %	3%	3%	2%	1%	4 %	1 %	1%
1 Terrible	17%	18%	13%	16%	16%	21%	17%	18%	9%	13%	22%	27%	18%	12%	18%	28%	17%	18%	18%
2	7%	11%	7%	6%	8%	5%	7%	9%	6%	6%	9%	10%	6%	98	10%	7%	7%	7%	10%
3	17%	18%	15%	15%	19%	18%	14%	14%	15%	19%	18%	14%	13%	19%	21%	23%	12%	21%	26%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	26%	35%	33%	31%	33%	34%	23%	32%	36%	31%	26%	31%	34%	32%	26%	34%	36%	24%
5	13%	15%	16%	15%	98	13%	12%	20%	17%	16%	10%	9%	13%	16%	12%	11%	16%	10%	13%
6	6%	4%	7%	5%	6%	4 %	5%	98	8%	4 %	4%	7%	7%	4%	4 %	3%	6%	4 %	4 %
7 Excellent	6%	6%	4%	8%	7%	4%	6%	6%	10%	4%	4 %	3%	98	4%	1%	1%	5%	3%	5%
Chi²:		-							.01				(.01)				.01		
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1389 3.57 1.66	7 218 3.45 1.68	7 206 3.74 1.57	7 197 3.75 1.70	7 255 3.55 1.69	7 290 3.41 1.62	7 159 3.60 1.69	7 65 3.68 1.77	6 390 4.09 1.63 .01	310 3.69 1.51	4 483 3.27 1.64	7 202 3.15 1.75	4 662 3.74 1.78	353 3.65 1.50	6 245 3.27 1.46 .01	8 120 2.95 1.52	569 3.63 1.65	376 3.40 1.52	6 241 3.34 1.62 .10
Student's t:	•	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	.01	_	.01	. 01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.10

:

		Moth	Mother Tongue			der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7C Gov't of Canada's pe	rforman	ce on.	• •																	
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 41%	698 41%	39 44%	687 41%	609 44%	818 38%	887 39%	540 45%	151 36%	250 39%	97 47%	353 50%	663 42%	140 48%	545 50%	339 36%	540 37%	378 45%	612 42%	433 37%
FOOL	410	410	710	110	++			++		-	1,0	+++	120	100	++++			+		
Average	31%	33%	39%	30%	30%	33%	34%	28%	38%	30%	27%	30%	33%	29%	30%	32%	32%	30%	31%	33%
Good	25%	24%	16%	26%	25%	24%	++ 26%	23%	++ 25%	28%	23%	18%	23%	22%	18%	30%	27%	23%	24%	27%
	230	2.0	100	200	230	210				++						++	+		_	
DK/NR	3%	2%	0%	3 %	1%	4%	2%	3%	0%	4 %	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3 %	3%
1 Terrible	17%	15%	16%	19%	16%	18%	15%	20%	16%	17%	24%	19%	18%	20%	23%	13%	15%	22%	15%	17%
2	7%	7%	12%	7%	9%	6%	6%	9%	5%	88	3%	10%	7%	5%	88	7%	7%	88	8%	7%
3	17%	19%	17%	14%	19%	14%	17%	16%	15%	14%	20%	21%	17%	22%	19%	16%	15%	16%	19%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	33%	39%	30%	30%	33%	34%	28%	38%	30%	27%	30%	33%	29%	30%	32%	32%	30%	31%	33%
5	13%	14%	3%	14%	13%	14%	14%	12%	12%	16%	16%	10%	13%	13%	11%	16%	14%	11%	14%	14%
6	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	9%	6%	3 %	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	6%	5%	6%	6%
7 Excellent	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	68	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	6%	7%	7%	4%	7%
Chi2:					.01		. 05		(.05)				_		.01			_		
±points around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	. 0 3	4	(.03)	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5
Weighted n for mean:	1389	710	35	638	716	674	837	552	158	235	90	314	607	147	496	332	558	343	592	451
Mean:	3.57	3.59	3.51	3.56	3.57	3.58	3.67	3.43	3.68	3.63	3.34	3.29	3.53	3.40	3.25	3.82	3.72	3.44	3.58	3.67
Std dev:	1.66	1.59	1.68	1.74	1.66	1.67	1.62	1.72	1.60	1.68	1.66	1.59	1.64	1.63	1.62		1.68	1.76	1.58	1.70
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	<.10	.10	-	.01	~	-	.01	.01	.01	.10	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inter	met Ac	cess	Next 12			ormance l Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7C Gov't of Canada's pe															2			
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 41%	730 39%	447 44%	223 40%	357 45%	203 49%	285 39%	954 36%	308 56%	438 64%	599 34%	348 27%	345 50%	1079 39%	372 66%	368 43%	431 29%	226 24%
			2	200		+			++++	++++			++++		++++ 22%	32%		
Average	31%	32%	32%	30%	29%	31%	34%	33%	27%	23%	41%	27%	27%	33% ++		32*	37% +++	34%
Good	25%	25%	22%	28%	25%	20%	24%	29%	14%	12%	22%	44%	22%	25%	10%	21%	33%	39%
DK/NR	3%	3%	- 2%	+ 1%	1%	0%	3%	++++ 2%	3%	1%	 3%	++++ 2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	++++ 1%	++++ 3%
		++					+++						-	+		++		
1 Terrible	17%	19%	15%	12%	15%	15%	13%	13%	31%	33%	12%	88	25%	15%	34%	14%	10%	10%
2	7%	6%	8%	9%	9%	13%	7%	6%	11%	11%	7%	4%	7%	8%	11%	10%	5%	2%
3	17%	14%	21%	19%	22%	21%	19%	17%	14%	20%	15%	15%	18%	16%	20%	19%	15%	12%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	32%	32%	30%	29%	31%	34%	33%	27%	23%	41%	27%	27%	33%	22%	32%	37%	34%
5	13%	13%	11%	19%	16%	14%	12%	15%	10%	8%	14%	20%	14%	13%	7%	14%	17%	16%
6	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	2%	6%	6%	2%	2%	3%	14%	4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	10%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	6%	3%	3%	4%	6%	7%	2%	3%	5%	10%	5%	6%	1%	2%	9%	12%
Chi?:			_		(.05)			.01		.01			.01		(.01)			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1389 3.57	711 3.58	444 3.55	210 3.66	328 3.53	193 3.37	299 3.67	935 3.81	297 2.86	413 2.77	594 3.70	350 4.33	331 3.28	1055 3.66	358 2.66	354 3.47	439 4.05	217 4.29
Std dev:	1.66	1.74	1.60	1.51	1.54	1.51	1.59	1.62	1.60	1.59	1.48	1.63	1.70	1.64	1.52	1.48	1.58	1.66
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	. 10	.10	/ .01	.01	.01	. 05	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

^		-	0
0	7 U	772	

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
:	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7C Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	41%	41%	45%	40%	16%	27%	60%	75%
3	219	32%	29%	36%	34%	41%	++++ 28%	++++ 18%
Average	31%	326	238	301	348	41.2	20%	10*
Good	25%	25%	25%	23%	48%	30%	10%	6%
3002					++++	+		
DK/NR	3 %	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
1 Terrible	17%	17%	17%	8%	3%	11%	29%	46%
2	7%	78	11%	8%	1%	3%	13%	15%
3	17%	17%	18%	€ 25%	12%	13%	18%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	32%	29%	36%	34%	41%	28%	18%
5	13%	13%	14%	16%	20%	17%	9%	5%
6	6%	6%	4%	4%	12%	7%	1%	0%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	6%	3%	15%	7%	0%	0%
Chi²:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6		6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1389	1295	94	318	314		259	
Mean:	3.57	3.58	3.51	3.69	4.69		2.80	
Std dev:	1.66	1.66	1.67	1.34	1.44		1.45	1.39
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	. 01	. 01	. 01

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7D Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Poor	39%	47%	32%	29%	41%	47%	34%	33%	22%	36%	51 % ++++	49%	36%	35% -	49% ++++	50% +++	36%	43%	50% ++++
Average	27%	28%	28%	27%	31%	24%	22%	25%	29%	29%	26%	22%	27%	26%	28%	29%	27%	28%	24%
Good	33%	24%	40%	42%	26%	28%	42%	40%	48%	35%	23%	26%	36 % +++	38%	22%	19%	36% +++	29%	25%
DK/NR	1%	1%	++ 1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	28	1%	18
1 Terrible	16%	20%	12%	10%	18%	17%	18%	10%	7%	10%	22%	26%	16%	13%	17%	23%	14%	18%	18%
2	9%	9%	8 %	3%	13%	13%	4%	8%	4 %	11%	12%	7%	9%	7%	13%	11%	8%	9%	13%
3	14%	18%	12%	15%	11%,	17%	12%	15%	10%	15%	17%	15%	11%	16%	20%	16%	14%	16%	20%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	28%	28%	27%	31%	24%	22%	25%	29%	29%	26%	22%	27%	26%	28%	29%	27%	28%	24%
5	14%	12%	17%	15%	11%	13%	18%	16%	19%	16%	10%	9%	12%	20%	12%	8%	16%	13%	12%
6	10%	88	13%	12%	5%	9%	14%	10%	15%	8%	7%	88	11%	11%	6%	6%	10%	98	8%
7 Excellent	9%	4%	10%	15%	11%	6%	10%	15%	14%	10%	6%	9%	14%	7%	3%	5%	10%	7%	5%
Chi ² :	_	(.01)	_	_	_	_	_	_	(.01)			_	(.01)		_	_	(.01)	_	_
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1408	7 219	7 206	7 199	7 260	7 295	7 163	65	6 395	5 313	4 490	7 206	4 673	5 358	6 248	8 121	4 582	5 375	6 242
Mean:	3.82	3.43	4.09	4.31	3.61	3.55	4.03	4.20	4.52	3.95	3.34	3.41	3.98	3.94	3.39	3.27	3.94	3.64	3.46
Std dev: Student's t:	1.81	1.69 .01	1.75 .05	1.78 .01	1.84	1.76 .05	1.91 .10	1.81	1.64 .01	1.71	1.76 .01	1.94 .01	1.92 .01	1.69	1.59 .01	1.71	1.81	1.77	1.72 .01

ŧ

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	oloymen	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Com	mmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7D Gov't of Canada's pe																		2.70	610	422
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 39%	698 40%	39 43%	687 37%	609 35 %	818 43%	887 38%	540 41%	151 23%	250 38%	97 36%	353 54%	663 40%	140 42%	545 46%	339 32%	540 36%	378 45%	612 40%	433 34%
Average	27%	27%	22%	27%	28%	+++ 25%	27%	26%	31%	26%	34%	++++ 24%	28%	29%	28%	25%	27%	+++ 25%	26%	29%
Good	33%	32%	31%	34%	36%	30%	34%	32%	45%	34%	28%	22%	30%	29%	24%	42%	36%	30%	33%	35%
DK/NR	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	++++ 2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	++++ 1%	1%	0%	2%	2 %
1 Terrible	16%	15%	14%	17%	13%	19%	15%	17%	11%	18%	15%	17%	15%	18%	17%	14%	15%	17%	15%	16%
2	9%	10%	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	4 %	7%	7%	16%	10%	10%	11%	7%	8%	12%	8%	8%
3	14%	15%	20%	12%	14%	14%	14%	15%	8%	13%	14%	21%	15%	13%	18%	10%	13%	15%	17%	10%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	27%	22%	27%	28%	25%	27%	26%	31%	26%	34%	24%	28%	29%	28%	25%	27%	25%	26%	29%
5	14%	15%	15%	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%	18%	16%	12%	12%	15%	12%	11%	20%	13%	13%	16%	12%
6	10%	10%	9%	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	17%	10%	13%	4 %	9%	10%	7%	12%	10%	8%	11%	10%
7 Excellent	9%	8%	7%	11%	10%	8%	10%	9%	9%	9%	4 %	5%	7%	8%	5%	10%	12%	9%	6%	13%
Chi²:		-			. 05		-		(.01)				-		(.01)			(.05)		_
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1408	718	16 34	4 651	4 718	3 690	3 846	4 562	8 156	6 237	10 91	5 319	611	8 149	4 499	5 336	4 570	5 346	601	5 457
Mean:	3.82	3.77	3.74	3.88	3.99	3.63	3.87	3.74	4.32	3.81	3.75	3.32	3.73	3.65	3.49	4.07	3.95	3.65	3.78	3.98
Std dev: Student's t:	1.81	1.76	1.76	1.88	1.78 .01	1.83	1.81	1.82	1.70	1.84	1.67	1.65 .01	1.73	1.81	1.68 .01	1.83	1.87 .05		1.73	1.90 .05

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7D Gov't of Canada's pe															2.50	3.60		225
Unweighted n:	1427 39%	730 37%	447 39%	223 41%	357 43%	203 48%	285 34%	954 34%	308 54%	438 63%	599 34%	348 19%	345 50%	1079 36%	372 60%	368 44%	431 25%	226 24%
Average	27%	28%	26%	26%	26%	22%	28%	29%	21%	19%	36%	23%	++++ 24%	28%	22%	25%	33%	26%
Good	33%	33%	34%	32%	31%	30%	38%	36%	24%	17%	30%	56%	26%	35%	17%	29%	41%	50%
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	++ 1%	++++ 1%	1%	1%	1%	++++ 1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	18
1 Terrible	16%	18%	14%	13%	12%	17%	14%	12%	28%	30%	13%	5%	20%	15%	28%	18%	7%	9%
2	9%	7%	9%	14%	12%	13%	7%	8%	12%	15%	6%	5%	12%	8%	15%	11%	4 %	7%
3	14%	12%	17%	15%	18%	18%	12%	14%	14%	18%	15%	9%	19%	13%	18%	16%	14%	9%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	28%	26%	26%	26%	22%	28%	29%	21%	19%	36%	23%	24%	28%	22%	25%	33%	26%
5	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	18%	14%	15%	10%	88	14%	21%	11%	15%	10%	15%	14%	18%
6 .	10%	8%	12%	10%	9%	5%	15%	12%	6%	6%	8%	17%	7%	10%	4 %	10%	12%	14%
7 Excellent	9%	11%	88	7%	7%	7%	8%	10%	8%	3 %	8%	18%	7%	10%	3%	4 %	15%	18%
Chi²:			-		(.10)			(.01)		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)			
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1408 3.82 1.81	724 3.83 1.87	5 449 3.87 1.76	7 212 3.77 1.73	5 329 3.74 1.70	7 193 3.54 1.75	6 306 4.01 1.79	3 946 4.01 1.75	6 302 3.23 1.90	5 415 2.91 1.70	4 605 3.90 1.65	5 351 4.76 1.66	334 3.46 1.78	3 1071 3.92 1.81	363 2.96 1.67	5 364 3.56 1.71 .01	439 4.40 1.68 .01	7 222 4.50 1.81 .01
Student's t:	*	-	-	_	-	. 05	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7D Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	3 0 2	219	281	197
Poor	39%	39%	38%	37%	13%	28%	60%	72%
. 70				220		240	++++	++++
Average	27%	27%	29%	33%	25%	34%	22%	18%
Good	33%	33%	31%	+++ 29%	60%	+++ 38%	18%	10%
9000	338	220	318	2,76	++++	+		
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
,				+				
1 Terrible	16%	16%	12%	7%	4 %	14%	25%	43%
2	9%	9%	12%	8 %	3%	4%	16%	18%
3	14%	14%	14%	22%	6%	10%	20%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	27%	29%	33%	25%	34%	22%	18%
5	14%	14%	14%	16%	20%	14%	11%	5%
6	10%	10%	9%	8%	20%	9%	5%	3%
7 Excellent	9%	9%	7%	6%	21%	15%	2 %	1%
Chi²:		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1408	1314	94	318	316	215	263	197
Mean:	3.82	3.82	3.81	3.88	4.98	4.18	3.00	
Std dev:	1.81	1.82	1.70	1.46	1.58	1.83	1.60	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7E Gov't of Canada's pe	rformanc	e on																	
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200 34%	214 43%	201 32%	203 31%	203 29%	289 25 %	352 30%	579 41%	203 44%	652 33%	342 36%	279 34%	147 40%	582 35%	394 36%	251 35%
Poor	35%	37%	30%	348	43*	328	31%	298	256	30%	415	+++	336	366	348	406	354	306	356
Average	32%	26%	32%	34%	33%	36%	33%	32%	33%	36%	33%	27%	31%	33%	36%	30%	31%	33%	37%
Good	30%	30%	37%	30%	23%	28%	33%	37%	40%	33%	22%	25%	32%	28%	28%	24%	31%	28%	25%
			++					++	++++				++						
DK/NR	3 %	6%	1%	28	2%	4%	2%	2 %	2%	2%	4%	4 %	3 %	2%	2%	6%	3 %	3 ₺	2 %
1 Terrible	14%	+++ 14%	13%	13%	17%	12%	12%	10%	6%	11%	++ 18%	22%	16%	11%	10%	17%	15%	13%	10%
	7%	9%	5%	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	8%	8%	6%	9%	10%	10%	8%	6%	9%
2	78	98	5 %	/ 18	88	8 %	/ %	6.6	6.8	/ 16	8 %	8 %	6.6	98	104	104	8 6	6.5	98
3	14%	14%	12%	14%	17%	12%	12%	13%	13%	11%	15%	14%	12%	17%	14%	13%	13%	17%	16%
4 Neither good nor bad	32%	26%	32%	34%	33%	36%	33%	32%	33%	36%	33%	27%	31%	33%	36%	30%	31%	33%	37%
_							1.00	254	100		149	140	1.69		108	109	1.00	1.00	170
5	16%	18%	22%	13%	11%	16%	16%	25%	18%	19%	14%	14%	16%	15%	18%	19%	16%	16%	17%
6	8%	7%	9%	11%	4%	8%	10%	5%	12%	8%	4 %	7%	8 %	7%	88	5%	9%	7%	6%
7 Excellent	6%	5%	7%	7%	8%	4%	7%	7%	10%	6%	4 %	4 %	88	6%	2%	1%	6%	5%	3 %
Chi ² :		(.01)							.01				_				~		
tpoints around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean:	1385	208	206	199	260	285	162	65	394	309	474	204	665	354	244	115	575	367	238
Mean:	3.80	3.71	3.99	3.87	3.56	3.78	3.93	4.00	4.30	3.93	3.48	3.42	3.87	3.80	3.75	3.44	3.79	3.74	3.72
Std dev: Student's t:	. 1.65	1.68	1.64	1.66	1.71 .05	1.55	1.66	1.55	1.57	1.57	1.61 .01	1.73	1.75	1.57	1.45	1.54	1.68	1.58	1.43
scudenc s c:	-	-	.10	_	.05	_		. 10	.01	. 10	.01	.01			_	.01	_	_	_

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gender Children Under 18?				Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment			of Com	munity ent
:	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7E Gov't of Canada's per Unweighted n: Poor		ce on. 698 33%	39 26%	687	609 32%	818	887 35%	540 34%	151 29%	250 35%	97 26%	353 38% ++	663 35%	140 27%	545 36%	339 32%	540 35%	378 37%	612 34%	433
Average	32%	33%	39%	32 %	35%	30%	32%	33%	35%	35%	36%	30%	32%	38%	33%	32%	33%	33%	33%	32%
Good	30%	31%	27%	29%	30%	30%	31%	29%	35%	28%	33%	28%	30%	33%	28%	34%	29%	29%	30%	31%
DK/NR	3%	3%	88	2%	3%	3%	2%	4 %	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3 %	3%	3%	3 %	1%	3%	3%
1 Terrible	14%	12%	++ 7%	16%	12%	15%	14%	13%	11%	10%	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%	11%	16%	16%	11%	15%
2	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	4%	7%	5%	9%	8%	3 %	7%	8%	8%	9%	88	6%
3	14%	13%	10%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	17%	8%	17%	16%	10%	16%	14%	11%	13%	14%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	32%	33%	39%	32%	35%	30%	32%	33%	35%	35%	36%	30%	32%	38%	33%	32%	33%	33%	33%	32%
5	16%	19%	10%	14%	14%	18%	17%	15%	16%	17%	24%	18%	18%	23%	17%	20%	13%	15%	18%	14%
6	8%	8%	15%	7%	9%	6%	8%	7%	12%	6%	3%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%
7 Excellent	6%	5%	3%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	4 %	6%	4 %	6%	3%	4%	7%	7%	7%	4 %	8%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1385 3.80 1.65	704 3.84 1.59	16 32 3.99 1.50	4 643 3.76 1.72	707 3.90 1.63	3 677 3.70 1.66	3 837 3.80 1.64	547 3.81 1.66	8 157 4.06 1.63	6 238 3.79 1.49	10 87 3.90 1.57	5 310 3.68 1.57	607 3.81 1.58	8 145 3.90 1.51	4 491 3.74 1.56	5 331 3.94 1.60	4 560 3.77 1.74	342 3.71 1.69	4 590 3.80 1.55	5 449 3.87 1.73

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			First Na andard o		ng
*	Tota1	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7E Gov't of Canada's per																		
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 35%	730 36%	447 33%	223 34%	357 33%	203 38%	285 32%	954 30%	308 53% ++++	438 52% ++++	599 31%	348 20%	345 41% +++	1079 33%	372 53% ++++	368 36%	431 25%	226 22%
Average	32%	32%	34%	31%	35%	33%	31%	35%	23%	30%	38%	27%		34%	28%	35%	36%	29%
Good	30%	28%	32%	31%	29%	29%	35%	33%	19%	14%	29%	51%		30%	15%	26%	36%	48%
DK/NR	3%	4 %	1%	4%	3%	0%	+ 2%	++++ 2%	 5%	4%	2%	++++ 2%		3 %	3%	3%	++++ 2%	18
1 Terrible	14%	17%	10%	+ 9%	10%	11%	9%	10%	++++ 26%	22%	12%	5%	16%	13%	22%	11%	10%	10%
2	7%	7%	88	10%	10%	9%	7%	7%	10%	12%	6%	4 %	9%	7%	13%	8%	4 %	4 %
3	14%	13%	15%	15%	14%	18%	16%	13%	17%	18%	12%	11%	15%	13%	18%	17%	10%	9%
4 Neither good nor bad	32%	32%	34%	31%	35₺	33%	31%	35%	23%	30%	38%	27%	29%	34%	28%	35%	36%	29%
5	16%	14%	18%	20%	17%	21%	17%	18%	11%	8%	15%	28%	15%	16%	10%	18%	16%	21%
6	8 %	8%	88	6%	6%	3%	10%	8%	5%	3%	7%	14%	8%	8%	3%	5%	11%	12%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	8%	7%	4%	3 %	6%	10%	5%	6%	2%	2%	9%	16%
Chi:: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1385 3.80 1.65	4 709 3.71 1.72	5 446 3.92 1.56	7 206 3.84 1.53	322 3.82 1.55	7 193 3.70 1.48 .10	6 303 4.04 1.63 .05	.01 3 937 3.99 1.59	6 290 3.12 1.73	.01 5 402 3.12 1.57	4 598 3.87 1.60	5 349 4.52 1.48 .01	327 3.62 1.69	3 1054 3.85 1.63	.01 5 354 3.08 1.53	5 355 3.68 1.43	5 433 4.15 1.63	7 221 4.46 1.73

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7E Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	35%	35%	37%	32%	10%	30%	44%	70%
3	32%	33%	27%	42%	30%	30%	++++ 36%	++++ 23%
Average	3216	336	2/6	++++	200	308	500	
Good	30%	30%	27%	25%	59%	38%	17%	5%
0000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				++++	+++		
DK/NR	3 %	3%	9%	0%	1%	1%	3 %	3%
			++++					
1 Terrible	14%	14%	10%	7%	4 %	12%	16%	39%
2	7%	7%	16%	7%	1%	4%	11%	17%
3	14%	14%	11%	. 17%	5%	14%	17%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	32%	33%	27%	42%	30%	30%	36%	23%
5	16%	16%	15%	17%	23%	23%	14%	2%
6	8%	8%	7%	6%	18%	8%	2 %	1%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	6%	3%	17%	8%	1%	1%
Chi:		(.01)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6		6	
Weighted n for mean:	1385	1297	87	320	314	213	256	
Mean:	3.80	3.81	3.71	3.84	4.93		3.33	
Std dev:	1.65	1.65	1.66	1.33	1.45		1.41	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.05	.01	.01

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ		20-39	\$40K+
Q7F Gov't of Canada's pe	rformanc	e on																	
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Poor	50%	52∜	53%	54%	59 % +++	45%	34%	40%	38%	52∜	56% ++++	55%	46%	47%	56% ++	69 % ++++	48%	52%	60% +++
Average	27%	27%	29%	18%	24%	30%	36%	26%	31%	28%	25%	25%	28%	33%	22%	17%	29%	27%	25%
	2	2					+++		++		-			+++					
Good	21%	20%	17%	26₺	16%	23%	25%	31%	29%	19%	18%	17%	24%	19%	20%	11%	22%	19%	14%
DIL AND	0.9		0.9	+ 2%	1%	2%	5%	+++ 3%	++++ 2%	1%	 2%	3%	+++ 2%	1%	2%	3%	+ 2%	2%	1%
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	28	1.6	28	216 ++++	31	28	1.2	28	31	28	1.0	28	38	28	28	1.4
1 Terrible	23%	23%	22%	23%	30%	20%	17%	18%	11%	23%	28%	31%	23%	18%	23%	33%	23%	21%	24%
2	11%	11%	13%	9%	15%	11%	6%	6₺	7%	12%	15%	9%	9%	11%	12%	21%	11%	11%	15%
3	16%	18%	18%	22%	14%	14%	11%	16%	20%	17%	13%	15%	14%	18%	21%	16%	14%	20%	21%
3	100	100	100						2										
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	27%	29%	18%	24%	30%	36%	26%	31%	28%	25%	25%	28%	33%	22%	17%	29%	27%	25∜
5	10%	8%	10%	7%	3%	17%	12%	19%	13%	9%	9%	9%	8%	12%	12%	9%	10%	11%	7%
5	10%	0.6	104	/ 15	3 %	1/6	123	13%	130	71	78	28	0.0	128	128	216	10%	114	/ 6
6	5%	7%	3 %	8%	4%	3₺	6%	5%	7%	5%	4 %	5%	6%	3 %	68	1%	5%	5%	5%
7 Excellent	6%	5 %	4 %	11%	98	3%	7%	7%	9%	6%	5%	4 %	10%	4 %	1%	1%	7%	4 %	2%
Chi²:		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.10)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean:	1401	218	207	198	264	291	158	64	394	312	485	205	673	359	244	119	582	372	242
Mean:	3.32	3.26	3.18	3.45	3.03	3.36	3.68	3.67	3.89	3.26	3.03	3.02	3.47	3.36	3.14	2.54	3.35	3.26	3.00
Std dev:	1.76	1.75	1.64	1.96	1.87	1 . 61	1.70	1.75	1.65	1.74	1.77 .01	1.76	1.90	1.57	1.62	1.47	1.79	1.63	1.56
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.10	.01	. 10	-	.01

:

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese			yment			of Com	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7F Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n: Poor		698 48%	39 26%	687 53%	609 45%	818	887 51%	540 48%	151 36%	250 47%	97 47%	353 62%	663 53%	140	545 56%	339 42%	540 49%	378 58%	612 47%	433
Average	27%	29%	31%	25%	27%	27%	27%	27%	32%	29%	30%	19%	25%	31%	24%	28%	30%	20%	30%	29%
Good	21%	22%	35%	20%	26%	17%	20%	23%	28% ++	22%	21%	18%	21%	25%	18%	28%	20%	22%	21%	21%
DK/NR	2%	2%	9%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2*	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
1 Terrible	23%	18%	+++ 6%	28%	18%	27%	24%	20%	16%	19%	16%	27%	23%	18%	25%	16%	24%	27%	20%	22%
2	11%	11%	12%	11%	10%	12%	12%	9%	6%	10%	12%	16%	12%	10%	14%	9%	10%	14%	10%	10%
3	16%	19%	8%	14%	16%	16%	15%	18%	14%	18%	19%	19%	18%	17%	17%	18%	14%	16%	16%	16%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	29%	31%	25%	27%	27%	27%	27%	32%	29%	30%	19%	25%	31%	24%	28%	30%	20%	30%	29%
5	10%	12%	16%	7%	11%	98	98	11%	11%	11%	98	11%	10%	12%	9%	12%	9%	8%	10%	10%
6	5%	5%	11%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	8 %	3%	6%	4 %	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%
7 Excellent	6%	5%	8%	7%	8%	4 %	6%	8%	10%	8%	6%	3%	7%	6%	4%	10%	6%	8%	6%	6%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01)	16	4	. 01	3	- 3	4	(.01)	6	10	5	(.10)	8	.01	5	4	. 01 5	4	5
Weighted n for mean:	1401	715	32	648	713	688	842	559	153	238	91	317	610	149	496	333	569	345	598	455
Mean:	3.32	3.42	4.13	3.17	3.56	3.07	3.24	3.44	3.80	3.43	3.48	2.96	3.27	3.54	3.09	3.70	3.30	3.16	3.39	3.35
Std dev:	1.76	1.66	1.65	1.86	1.79	1.70	1.76	1.77	1.79	1.74	1.67	1.67	1.78	1.71	1.69		1.77	1.89	1.70	1.75
Student's t:	*	. 05	.01	.01	.01	.01	. 05	. 05	.01	-	-	.01	.10	.10	.01	. 01	-	.10	~	-

	_	Int	ernet U	se	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. E			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			First Na andard o		ng
To	otal	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7F Gov't of Canada's perfo	rmance	on																
	427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Poor	50%	48%	54% +	47%	47%	60% +++	49%	45%	65% ++++	69% ++++	45%	36%	60% ++++	46%	72%	55%	40%	25%
Average	27%	27%	25%	31%	31%	26%	27%	31%	19%	19%	33%	27%	20%	29%	++++ 19%	++ 30%	31%	
-								++++			++++			+++			++	
Good	21%	23%	20%	20%	20%	14%	22%	23%	14%	10%	21%	35%	18%	22%	8 %	14%	28%	43%
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	 0%	3%	++++ 1%	2%	2%	1%	++++ 2%	1%	+ 2%	1%	2%	++++ 2%	++++ 3%
,				3.		-	+		2.0	2.0	-	2.0	- 0	2.0	-	2.6	2.6	3.0
1 Terrible	23%	25%	22%	17%	18%	24%	20%	18%	36%	35%	20%	12%	30%	20%	38%	19%	18%	12%
2	11%	10%	14%	11%	12%	15%	11%	10%	14%	14%	10%	9%	12%	11%	17%	15%	5%	6%
2	110	10.	2.10	110	126	130	110	100	110	170	108	,	120	11.0	1,0	134	34	0.6
3	16%	14%	19%	19%	18% \	21%	18%	17%	15%	20%	15%	15%	18%	16%	17%	21%	16%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	27%	25%	31%	31%	26%	27%	31%	19%	19%	33%	27%	20%	29%	19%	30%	31%	29%
_																		
5	10%	8%	11%	12%	12%	7%	12%	11%	6%	6%	9%	16%	10%	10%	5%	10%	11%	16%
6	5%	5%	5%	4 %	4 %	5%	4 %	6%	3%	2%	5%	7%	2%	6%	2%	2%	88	8%
7 Excellent	6%	9%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	7%	5%	2%	6%	11%	6%	6%	1%	2%	9%	18%
Chi':			_		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)			0.1		/ 07 \			
tpoints around 50%:	3	4	- 5	7	(.05)	7	6	(.01)	6	(.01)	4	5	.01 5	3	(.01) 5	5	5	7
	1401	723	448	208	326	193	301	941	300	410	604	350	331	1066	364	362	435	
	3.32	3.36	3.21	3.38	3.36	2.98	3.35	3.50	2.73	2.61	3.43	3.95	2.98	3.42	2.47	3.09	3.72	4.33
Std dev: Student's t:	1.76	1.89	1.66	1.56	1.57	1.54	1.68	1.72	1.74	1.56 .01	1.72	1.78	1.77	1.75	1.48	1.44	1.80	1.89
beddene b c.		_	_	_	-	.01	_	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

		Locat:	ion			Cluster		
:	== Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7F Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	50%	50%	53%	48%	23%	43%	68%	79%
	0.77	0.74	249	259	220		++++ 20%	++++ 16%
Average	27%	27%	24%	35% +++	32%	32%	206	104
Good	21%	21%	19%	16%	43%	25%	11%	5%
Good	210	210	170		++++	250		
DK/NR	2%	2%	48	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
,			++		+			
1 Terrible	23%	22%	24%	12%	7%	22%	32%	54%
_				110		7.0	1.00	169
2	11%	11%	14%	11%	6%	7%	16%	16%
3	16%	16%	15%	25%	10%	14%	20%	9%
	200			•				
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	27%	24%	35%	32%	32%	20%	16%
5	10%	10%	11%	8%	15%	13%	8%	3 %
3	100	100		0.0	130			
6	5%	5%	4 %	4 %	12%	4 %	2%	1%
7 Excellent	6%	7%	4 %	4%	15%	9%	2%	1%
/ Excellent	0.0	7 6	30	30	130	7 6	2 0	
Chi2:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1401	1309	91	320	313	214	262	
Mean:	3.32	3.33	3.11		4.42	3.51	2.67	
Std dev:	1.76	1.77	1.70	1.47	1.70	1.81	1.51	
Student's t:	*	-	-		.01	.10	.01	.01

1

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7G Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n:	rformance	on	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Poor	31%	36%	26%	28%	32%	33%	27%	30%	19%	25%	39%	39%	25%	29%	42%	49%	26%	33%	46%
Average	36%	+ 34%	37%	30%	41%	36%	40%	31%	35%	40%	++++ 36%	*** 33%	38%	39%	**** 32%	28%	36%	38%	**** 32%
Good	26%	21%	34%	35%	21%	22%	28%	35%	38%	30%	18%	21%	29%	30%	19%	18%	29%	25%	19%
DK/NR	7%	9%	++ 4%	+++ 7%	6%	9%	5%	++ 4%	**** 8*	5%	7%	6%	+ 9% ++++	2%	 7%	48	++ 8* ++++	4 %	3%
1 Terrible	10%	12%	11%	8%	13%	8%	9%	10%	4%	88	13%	17%	98	88	11%	22%	98	10%	10%
2	7%	9%	5%	4%	7%	10%	4%	5%	3%	6%	98	8%	5%	6%	10%	10%	5%	8%	13%
3	14%	15%	10%	16%	12%	16%	15%	15%	13%	11%	17%	14%	11%	15%	21%	17%	12%	14%	23%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	34%	37%	30%	41%	36%	40%	31%	35%	40%	36%	33%	38%	39%	32%	28%	36%	38%	32%
5	16%	15%	18%	19%	14%	14%	18%	21%	23%	21%	10%	14%	15%	19%	15%	16%	17%	18%	12%
6	5%	4%	11%	5%	4%	3%	5%	8%	10%	4%	4 %	2%	6%	6%	3%	2%	5%	5%	5%
7 Excellent	5%	3 %	5%	10%	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	7%	5%	1%	0 %	6%	2 %	2 %
Chi ² :		(.01)		_	_	_	_	_	.01	_		_	.01	_	_	_	.01	_	
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1331	7 201	200	7 188	7 250	7 271	7 158	7 63	6 371	5 300	4 460	7 198	4 621	5 354	6 233	8 117	4 544	5 364	6 237
Meighted in 101 mean:	3.83	3.58	4.02	4.14	3.66	3.73	3.92	3.98	4.31	3.96	3.51	3.49	4.03	3.94	3.47	3.14	3.97	3.72	3.46
Std dev:	1.50	1.48	1.56	1.58	1.47	1.42	1.40	1.54	1.31	1.42	1.51	1.62	1.54	1.42	1.34	1.48	1.52	1.41	1.39
Student's t:	*	.05	.10	.01	.10	-	-	-	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	-	.01

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Cor	mmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7G Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n: Poor Average		698 30%	39 26% 32%	687 32%	609 30%	818 32% 39%	887 30%	540 31%	151 24% 37%	250 29% 34%	97 31%	353 45% ++++ 31%	663 34% 35%	140 36% 35%	545 41% ++++ 34%	339 24% 35%	540 25% 39%	378 44% ++++ 31%	612 27%	433 26% 38%
Good DK/NR	26% 7%	+ 25% 7%	38%	28%	31% ++++ 5%	++ 21% 8%	25% 7%	28%	32% ++ 7%	29 % + 8 %	18% 9%	20% 3%	25% 6%	22% 7%	20% 5%	33% +++ 8%	+ 29% 7%	22% 4%	29% 7%	
1 Terrible	10%	9% 7%	2% 9%	12%	10%	++ 10%	10%	10%	7% 3%	10% 5%	11%	12%	10%	11% 7%	12% 11%	7% 5%	10%	15% 10%	7% 6%	
3	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14% 39%	14%	14%	14%	14%	12%	21%	16%	17% 35%	19%	12%	11%	19%	13%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad 5	16%	16%	26%	17%	19%	14%	15%	18%	20%	19%	8%	13%	15%	15%	13%	19%	18%	12%	19%	16%
6 7 Excellent	5 %	5% 4%	2% 10%	6% 5%	6 %	4% 3%	6% 4%	5% 6%	7% 5%	6% 4%	7% 4%	4% 3%	6% 4%	4%	4% 3%	8% 6%	5% 6%	4% 5%	7% 3%	
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1331 3.83 1.50	- 4 675 3.84 1.44	16 34 4.22 1.42 .10	4 617 3.81 1.57	.01 4 686 3.95 1.54	3 644 3.70 1.44 .01	3 793 3.83 1.48	4 538 3.83 1.53	.01 8 148 4.06 1.40	6 224 3.90 1.49	10 84 3.69 1.50	5 310 3.49 1.49	585 3.76 1.50	8 139 3.65 1.47	.01 480 3.51 1.47	5 312 4.10 1.47	535 3.96 1.48	3.51	571 3.92 1.37	424 3.96 1.55

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Next 12			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			rirst Na Indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7G Gov't of Canada's pe		on																
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447 36%	223 35%	357 36%	203 43%	285 30%	954 26%	308 47%	438 54%	599 24%	348 15%	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Poor	31%	26%	364	354	368	436	30 €	201	4/6	++++	248	124	43%	27%	55% ++++	33%	19%	13%
Average	36%	38%	34%	34%	37%	33%	34%	39%	29%	28%	46%	30%	32%	37%	27%	35%	41%	42%
01	260	274	254	200	224	0.20	200	+++ 30%	160	100	++++		-	+		050	++	++
Good	26%	27%	25%	28%	22%	23%	29 % ++	++++	16%	12%	24%	49%	21%	28%	14%	25%	33%	38%
DK/NR	7%	8%	5%	3%	4 %	1%	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	6%	4%	7%	4 %	7%	8%	6%
1 massadhila	100	++		110		11%	++ 9%	- 6*	+	224	6%	3%	168	++		0.0	+	
1 Terrible	10%	11%	98	11%	98	114	91	6.8	22%	22%	6.4	3 8	16%	8 %	24%	8 %	5%	1%
2	7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	10%	7%	6%	9%	13%	4 %	3%	10%	6%	15%	4 %	4 %	4 %
3	14%	10%	19%	17%	20%	22%	14%	14%	16%	19%	13%	98	16%	13%	16%	21%	10%	8 %
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	38%	34%	34%	37%	33%	34%	39%	29%	28%	46%	30%	32%	37%	27%	35%	41%	42%
5	16%	15%	16%	21%	15%	17%	20%	18%	12%	8 %	16%	28%	15%	17%	10%	19%	19%	20%
6	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	7%	7%	2%	3%	4 %	10%	3%	6%	3%	3 %	8%	9%
7 Excellent	5%	7%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	6%	2%	1%	4 %	10%	3 %	5%	1%	3%	6%	10%
Chi ² :			_		.01			.01		.01			.01		.01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7
Weighted n for mean:	1331 3.83	676 3.92	429 3.73	206 3.72	317 3.68	191 3.57	288 3.86	906 4.04	283 3.17	393 3.01	569 3.95	336 4.59	322 3.42	1006 3.96	352 2.96	343 3.80	407	209
Mean: Std dev:	1.50	1.56	1.41	1.42	1.36	1.43	1.44	1.41	1.55	1.48	1.28	1.39	1.53	1.46	2.96 1.51	1.34	4.22	4.52 1.28
Student's t:	*	. 05	_		-	.10	.05	/ .01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7G Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	31%	31%	29%	25%	98	22%	48%	65%
							++++	++++
Average	36%	36%	43%	47%	34%	45%	33%	24%
	2.11	1		++++		+++		
Good	26%	27%	19%	24%	54%	27%	13%	5%
per (sep		+	-		++++			
DK/NR	7%	7%	9%	4%	4%	7%	6%	7%
1 Terrible	10%	10%	8%	3 %	0%	5%	18%	33%
2	7%	7%	2%	6%	2%	5%	10%	15%
3	14%	14%	18%	. 17%	6%	11%	21%	17%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	36%	43%	47%	34%	45%	33%	24%
5	16%	16%	15%	17%	30%	13%	10%	4%
6	5%	6%	2%	4%	12%	7%	2%	0%
7 Excellent	5%	5%	3%	4%	11%	7%	1%	1%
Chi²:		_		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1331	1243	87	310	305	202	246	184
Mean:	3.83	3.83	3.77	3.99	4.80	4.09	3.19	
Std dev:	1.50	1.51	1.28	1.15	1.19	1.40	1.40	
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 05	.01	.01	. 01	.01

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7H Gov't of Canada's Unweighted r Poor Average Good		e on 206 61% 19%	200 56% 26% 15%	200 44% 30% ++ 19%	214 55% 27% 12%	201 66% +++ 21%	203 58% 21%	203 59% 17% 15%	289 48% 26% 19%	352 55% 26% 12%	579 63% +++ 22%	203 65% ++ 19% - 8%	652 48% 28% ++++ 17% ++++	342 61% 23% 13%	279 71% ++++ 17% 6%	147 72% ++++ 17% 9%	582 53% 27% +++ 12%	394 648 ++ 218	251 66% ++ 19% 15%
DK/NR 1 Terrible	6% 29%	7% 35%	3% 25%	7% 16%	6% 26%	4% 37%	7% 30%	8% + 37%	7% 18%	6% 28%	3% 34%	7% 42%	78 ++ 278	3% 28%	6% 33%	2% 39%	8% ++++ 27%	3% 31%	1% 31%
2 3	12% 16%	15% 10%	14%	11%	13% 16%,	12% 17%	10% 18%	10%	10% 20%	12% 15%	16% 13%	9% 14%	9% 13%	17% 16%	15% 23%	17% 16%	10% 16%	16% 16%	18% 17%
4 Neither good nor bac	d 24% 7%	19%	26% 6%	30% 7%	27% 6%	21% 6%	21% 5%	17% 8%	26% 10%	26% 5%	22% 7%	19% 5%	28% 8%	23% 7%	17% 4%	17% 6%	27% 5%	21% 8%	19% 9%
6 7 Excellent	3% 4%	2 % 1 %	5% 4%	5% 8%	2% 4%	1% 1%	5% 4%	3% 4%	5% 4%	2% 5%	2% 3%	2% 1%	3% 6%	4% 2%	1% 1%	3% 1%	3% 4%	2% 3%	5% 1%
Chi ±points around 50' Weighted n for mea Mean Std de Student's (k: 3 n: 1348 n: 2.89 v: 1.67	(.01) 7 205 2.61 1.61	7 201 3.04 1.69	7 189 3.48 1.73	7 250 2.97 1.64	7 286 2.56 1.51	7 155 2.90 1.73	7 60 2.73 1.82	.01 6 374 3.37 1.64	5 297 2.95 1.71	4 478 2.69 1.64	7 196 2.43 1.56	.01 4 637 3.14 1.77	5 351 2.82 1.59	6 234 2.48 1.39	8 120 2.43 1.50	.01 4 543 2.98 1.66	5 367 2.72 1.58 .10	6 242 2.77 1.60

		Moth	er Tor	gue			Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity
*	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7H Gov't of Canada's pe	rforman	ce on.																		
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Poor	57%	61%	34%	55%	57%	57%	56%	60%	54%	60%	64%	64%	60%	58%	63%	57%	52%	64%	59%	51%
Average	24%	+++ 22%	17%	26%	23%	24%	25%	+ 21%	25%	24%	18%	20%	22%	26%	21%	22%	27%	18%	23%	29%
Average	210	220	-, -	+	250	210	+	-			-0.				-		++			++++
Good	13%	12%	34%	14%	15%	12%	14%	13%	17%	98	11%	13%	13%	11%	12%	14%	14%	15%	13%	12%
(a			++++		+	-			+	-		2.0			4.0	70	C 0	20	6%	0.8
DK/NR	6%	5%	15% +++	6%	5₩	7%	5∜	6%	4 %	7%	7%	3%	5∜	5%	4 %	7%	6%	2%	6.8	8 ¥ ++
1 Terrible	29%	30%	10%	30%	28%	30%	27%	32%	26%	28%	37%	33%	30%	30%	33%	26%	28%	37%	26%	29%
2	12%	15%	4 %	11%	13%	12%	12%	13%	9%	14%	14%	17%	13%	17%	16%	13%	9%	15%	14%	9%
-	1.50	1.50	0.00	1.40	1.00	1.50	1.00	1.50	1.00	18%	120	14%	17%	11%	15%	18%	15%	12%	19%	13%
3	16%	16%	20%	14%	16%	15%	16%	15%	19%	184	13%	148	1/6	114	124	194	134	128	196	134
4 Neither good nor bad	24%	22%	17%	26%	23%	24%	25%	21%	25%	24%	18%	20%	22%	26%	21%	22%	27%	18%	23%	29%
3																				
5	7%	6%	15%	7%	7%	68	7%	7%	7%	7%	4 %	98	8%	6%	7%	8 %	6%	7%	8*	5%
6	3%	3%	16%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3 %	2%	2%	3%	3%	4 %	3%	3%
6	3.6	30	104	2 6	7.0	2 6	36	20	7.0	1.0	3 0	2 6	, ,	20	2 0	50	50	10	30	3.0
7 Excellent	4 %	3 %	3%	5%	4 %	3 %	3%	4 %	5%	2 %	4 %	28	3 %	3∜	2 %	3 %	5%	5%	2 %	5%
Chi:	-	(.01)	1.0		-	,	-		8	6	10	-	-		.05	5	4	. 01	4	-
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1348	4 692	16 30	620	692	3 655	811	536	152	227	10 86	310	592	142	487	317	540	339	578	429
weighted h for mean:	2.89	2.80	3.97	2.95	2.94	2.84	2.97	2.77	3.12	2.75	2.62	2.67	2.81	2.80	2.69	2.94	3.05	2.73	2.91	2.99
Std dev:	1.67	1.61	1.67	1.72	1.70	1.64	1.66	1.69	1.74	1.49	1.74	1.58	1.63	1.63	1.61	1.63	1.73	1.77	1.56	
Student's t:	*	.05	.01	-	-		.05	.05	.01			-	-	-	.01	-	.01	. 05	-	-

	_	Int	ernet (Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7H Gov't of Canada's per																		
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 57%	730 52%	447 62%	223 65%	357 65%	203 73%	285 61%	954 54%	308 69%	438 82%	599 49%	348 43%	345 69%	1079 54%	372 80%	368 65%	431 39%	226 45%
Average	24%	27%	19%	21%	21%	12%	20%	26%	20%	11%	32%	26%	16%	26%	12%	20%	36%	27%
Good	13%	14%	14%	11%	11%	12%	14%	16%	5%	4 %	14%	25%	10%	14%	6%	98	19%	22%
DK/NR	6%	7% ++	5%	3%	3%	4%	5%	++++ 4%	6%	3%	6%	++++ 6%	5%	++ 6%	2%	6%	++++ 6%	++++ 7%
1 Terrible	29%	29%	28%	31%	33%	35%	28%	26%	42%	52%	20%	16%	38%	27%	49%	30%	17%	22%
2	12%	10%	14%	16%	15%	21%	12%	13%	12%	14%	12%	12%	16%	11%	17%	16%	7%	11%
3	16%	13%	20%	18%	17%	17%	20%	15%	15%	15%	17%	15%	15%	16%	13%	20%	16%	12%
4 Neither good nor bad	24%	27%	19%	21%	21%	12%	20%	26%	20%	11%	32%	26%	16%	26%	12%	20%	36%	27%
5	7%	6%	88	7%	7%	7%	9%	8%	3 %	2%	7%	13%	5%	7%	2%	6%	10%	9%
6	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3 %	1%	1%	3 %	5%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4%	6%
7 Excellent	4 %	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4 %	1%	1%	3 %	7%	3%	4 %	2%	2 %	5%	7%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1348 2.89 1.67	4 686 3.00 1.74	5 431 2.85 1.60	7 207 2.72 1.58	.10 5 321 2.68 1.56	7 186 2.50 1.56	293 2.86 1.60	.01 3 912 3.06 1.70	6 287 2.34 1.47	.01 5 405 2.00 1.32	4 573 3.17 1.57	5 334 3.56 1.75	.01 5 318 2.48 1.61	3 1026 3.01 1.67	.01 5 359 2.13 1.47	5 347 2.66 1.48	5 415 3.50 1.61	208 3.38 1.83
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	-	-	. 05	. 05	01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	. 01

		8

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7H Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	3 0 2	219	281	197
Poor	57%	57%	65%	57%	21%	49%	92%	89%
Average	24%	24%	13%	32%	34%	34%	++++ 7%	++++ 10%
Average	246	+++	~	++++	++++	++++		
Good	13%	14%	11%	9%	39%	13%	0%	0%
					++++			
DK/NR	6%	5%	10%	1%	5%	4 %	1%	1%
			++		++++	+		
1 Terrible	29%	29%	36%	12%	5%	21%	61%	66%
2	12%	12%	15%	15%	5%	11%	19%	17%
3	16%	16%	14%	30%	12%	17%	12%	68
4 Neither good nor bad	24%	24%	13%	32%	34%	34%	7%	10%
5	7%	7%	7%	6%	18%	6%	0%	0%
6	3%	3%	2%	1%	11%	2%	0%	0%
7 Excellent	4 %	4%	2%	2%	10%	5%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		. 05		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	
Weighted n for mean:	1348	1262	86	318	302	207	261	
Mean:	2.89	2.92	2.49	3.15	4.36	3.21	1.65	
Std dev:	1.67	1.67	1.60	1.26	1.51 .01	1.60	0.96 .01	1.00
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	. 01	.01	.01	.01

		Province								Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	<u> </u>
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7I Gov't of Canada's pe	rformanc	e on																	
Unweighted n:	1427 41%	206 40%	200 36%	200 36%	214 42%	201 50%	203 39%	203 44%	289 34%	352 37%	579 46%	203 52%	652 34%	342 44%	279 51%	147 58%	582 38%	394 41%	251 58%
POOI	416	4.016	306	308	428	+++	398	448	346	3/16	++	++++		445	++++	++++		418	++++
Average	31%	26%	29%	32%	38%	30%	35∜	29%	33%	34%	33%	22%	35%	33%	26%	22%	33%	34%	26%
Good	20%	25%	27%	26%	++ 17%	11%	16%	18%	28%	21%	14%	15%	++ 22%	19%	15%	14%	21%	17%	14%
		++	+++	++					++++				+++		-		++		-
DK/NR	7%	10%	8%	5%	4%	8%	9%	9%	5%	88	7%	11%	9%	5%	8 %	6%	9% ++	8 %	2%
1 Terrible	18%	21%	14%	13%	19%	21%	18%	22%	98	17%	23%	25%	15%	18%	20%	32%	18%	16%	23%
2	10%	11%	6%	8%	10%	14%	7%	9%	8%	9%	12%	11%	8%	11%	13%	15%	7%	11%	17%
3	13%	8%	16%	15%	13%	15%	14%	13%	17%	11%	11%	16%	11%	15%	17%	11%	13%	14%	18%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	26%	29%	32%	38%	30%	35%	29%	33%	34%	33%	22%	35%	33%	26%	22%	33%	34%	26%
5	11%	18%	15%	10%	10%	7%	10%	7%	13%	15%	88	10%	12%	12%	9%	9%	13%	11%	8%
6	3%	3%	5%	5₺	2%	3%	1%	5%	6%	2%	2%	1%	3∜	3 %	4%	3%	3 %	4%	3 %
7 Excellent	5%	4%	7%	11%	5%	2%	5%	6%	8%	4 %	4 %	3 %	7%	3 %	2%	2%	5%	3 %	3%
Chi':		(.01)							.01				.01				.01		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1322 3.42	200 3.36	191 3.73	191 3.81	256 3.38	272 3.03	151 3.40	60 3.31	381 3.91	290 3.48	461 3.15	188 2.98	625 3.65	345 3.36	231 3.12	115 2.77	541 3.50	349 3.35	238 3.02
Std dev:	1.67	1.73	1.67	1.76	1.61	1.51	1.61	1.78	1.61	1.60	1.66	1.63	1.69	1.56	1.57	1.67	1.65	1.53	1.59
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.01	-	.01	-	-	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01

;

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gender		Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Cor	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7I Gov't of Canada's pe																				
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 41%	698 43%	39 23%	687 41%	609 43%	818 40%	887 40%	540 43%	151 37%	250 38%	97 48%	353 51%	663 44%	140 39%	545 49%	339 34%	540 39%	378 49%	612 40%	433 38%
Average	31%	31%	35%	31%	30%	33%	33%	29%	39 % ++	34%	26%	+++ 29%	32%	40%	++++ 29%	37% ++	31%	+++ 26%	33%	34%
Good	20%	19%	25%	21%	23%	17%	19%	21%	19%	22%	20%	15%	19%	19%	16%	24%	21%	21%	19%	20%
DK/NR	7%	7%	17%	7%	+++ 5%	10%	8%	7%	5%	6%	7%	5%	6%	2%	6%	++ 5%	9%	4 %	8%	9%
1 Terrible	18%	16%	++ 5%	21%	17%	++++ 19%	18%	18%	16%	18%	23%	21%	+ 19%	18%	22%	15%	17%	23%	15%	18%
2	10%	11%	2 %	98	10%	10%	9%	11%	88	9%	10%	15%	11%	98	14%	7%	8%	13%	11%	7%
3	13%	15%	17%	11%	16%	11%	13%	14%	13%	11%	15%	15%	13%	12%	13%	13%	14%	13%	14%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	31%	35%	31%	30%	33%	33%	29%	39%	34%	26%	29%	32%	40%	29%	37%	31%	26%	33%	34%
5	11%	12%	12%	10%	13%	9%	11%	11%	12%	15%	14%	98	12%	13%	10%	16%	10%	12%	11%	11%
6	3 %	3 %	2%	4 %	3 %	3 %	3 %	4 %	1%	4 %	2 %	2 %	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2 %	4%	3 %
7 Excellent	5%	4%	10%	7%	6%	4 %	5%	6%	6%	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	5%	4 %	5%	7%	7%	4%	6%
Chi': ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1322 3.42 1.67	4 677 3.38 1.57	16 29 4.15 1.48	4 611 3.43 1.77	.01 4 690 3.49 1.68	3 632 3.34 1.65	791 3.40 1.63	531 3.44 1.73	.10 8 151 3.52 1.59	6 229 3.47 1.62	10 86 3.21 1.67	5 303 3.13 1.61	586 3.34 1.63	8 145 3.44 1.58	.01 475 3.12 1.62	5 323 3.65 1.58 .01	4 523 3.54 1.72 .05	.01 5 333 3.26 1.78 .05	4 563 3.45 1.59	5 424 3.49 1.68

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

	Internet	Use	Internet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance 1 Govern		Ontact Last 3			First Na andard o		ng
Tota	Non- User Ligh	t Heavy	Home Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7I Gov't of Canada's performa Unweighted n: 1427 Poor 41	730 447		357 203 45% 50%	285 44%	954 38%	308 53%	438 64%	599 34%	348 28%	345 49%	1079 39%	372 64%	368 49%	431 25%	226 25%
Average 31	-	- ++	33% 27%	29%	34%	*+++ 26% 	++++ 19% 	44%	28%	30%	32%	19%	*** 30%	40%	39%
Good 20 DK/NR 7			17% 18% 5% 5%	21% 6%	23% ++++ 5%	12% 9%	10% 7%	15% 7%	38% ++++ 6%	16% 6%	21% ++ 8%	10% 7%	13% 8%	28% ++++ 7%	31% ++++ 5%
1 Terrible 18			18% 22%	17%	14%	** 32*	37%	10%	11%	26%	16%	37%	16%	10%	9%
2 10 3 13			14% 15% 13% 14%	11% 16%	10%	11%	13%	10%	6% 12%	11%	10%	15% 12%	14%	5% 10%	5% 11%
4 Neither good nor bad 31			33% 27%	29%	34%	26%	19%	44%	28%	30%	32%	19%	30%	40%	39%
5 11 6 3			10% 13% 3% 3%	11% 5%	13%	8 1 3 1	78, 28	9% 2%	19% 6%	12%	11% 4%	7% 2%	10%	16% 4%	12 % 8 %
7 Excellent 5			4% 1%	68	6%	2 %	2%	3%	13%	3%	68	2%	2%	8%	11%
Chi': ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: 132 Mean: 3.4 Std dev: 1.6 Student's t:	673 42 2 3.48 3.3	5 7 1 205 7 3.33	5 7 313 184 3.29 3.11 1.61 1.56 05	6 290 3.45 1.68 .10	.01 3 903 3.62 1.61	6 279 2.80 1.63 .01	.01 5 388 2.55 1.60	568 3.57 1.37	5 334 4.14 1.75 .01	.05 5 316 3.06 1.64 .01	3 1002 3.52 1.66 .01	.01 5 342 2.53 1.58	5 339 3.18 1.43 .01	5 412 3.98 1.56 .01	7 211 4.13 1.65 .01

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
:	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7I Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	41%	41%	41%	39%	8%	27%	79%	74%
							++++	++++
Average	31%	31%	32%	40%	39%	46%	18%	17%
				+++	+++	++++		
Good	20%	20%	15%	19%	51%	21%	1%	1%
					++++			
DK/NR	7%	7%	11%	2%	2%	6%	2%	8%
			+	_1		++		++++
1 Terrible	18%	18%	16%	5%	1%	13%	38%	49%
2	10%	9%	18%	10%	2%	5%	24%	14%
3	13%	14%	8%	25%	5%	9%	17%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	31%	32%	40%	39%	46%	18%	17%
5	11%	11%	9%	14%	23%	15%	1%	0%
6	3%	3%	4%	2%	10%	2%	0%	1%
7 Excellent	5%	5%	3%	2%	17%	4%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		_		. 01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1322	1237	85	314	313	203	257	182
Mean:	3.42	3.43	3.26	3.67	4.85		2.18	1.99
Std dev:	1.67	1.67	1.58	1.20	1.33	1.46	1.17	
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 01	.01/	.01	. 01	.01

1

				Pı	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7J Gov't of Canada's pe			200		214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 37%	206 46%	32%	200 27%	34%	41%	203 37%	39%	27%	34%	42%	45%	29%	40%	46%	54%	34%	39%	50%
Average	34%	*++ 31%	27%	41%	39%	34%	35%	26%	36%	38%	+++ 32%	+++ 32%	38%	31%	++++ 29%	**** 31%	36%	37%	++++ 26%
Good	24%	20%	36% ++++	++ 28%	20%	18%	22%	30%	34%	23%	21%	14%	+++ 26% ++	25%	21%	12%	24%	20%	23%
DK/NR	5%	3 %	5%	4%	7%	68	68	5%	3%	5%	6%	9%	7%	4%	4 %	3%	6%	4 %	2%
1 Terrible	14%	15%	10%	9%	14%	17%	16%	17%	8%	12%	17%	21%	13%	12%	14%	24%	++ 15%	14%	12%
2	9%	16%	7%	5%	8%	9%	7%	9%	4%	8%	11%	12%	7%	10%	10%	12%	7%	10%	15%
3	14%	15%	15%	13%	11%,	16%	13%	13%	15%	14%	14%	12%	9%	18%	22%	18%	12%	15%	23%
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	31%	27%	41%	39%	34%	35%	26%	36%	38%	32%	32%	38%	31%	29%	31%	36%	37%	26%
5	13%	11%	18%	10%	9%	12%	16%	22%	18%	12%	12%	8%	11%	15%	15%	10%	12%	13%	15%
6	5%	5%	9%	8%	4%	2%	2%	4%	6%	5%	5%	3%	6%	4 %	4 %	1%	5%	4 %	4 %
7 Excellent	6%	4 %	98	10%	7%	4%	4%	5%	10%	6%	4%	3%	9%	6%	2%	1%	7%	4 %	4%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1353 3.67 1.63	(.01) 7 215 3.40 1.60	7 198 4.05 1.68	7 193 4.07 1.60	7 247 3.66 1.65	7 280 3.42 1.55	7 157 3.53 1.57	7 63 3.61 1.68	.01 6 390 4.15 1.56	5 300 3.73 1.57	4 467 3.44 1.63	7 193 3.17 1.61	.01 4 638 3.89 1.69	5 348 3.66 1.59	6 241 3.43 1.46 .01	8 119 2.98 1.45	.01 4 556 3.70 1.67	5 364 3.53 1.51	6 240 3.44 1.52

		Moth	er Ton	gue			Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment	Type		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7J Gov't of Canada's pe	rforman	ce on.																		
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 37%	698 38%	39 45%	687 34%	609 38%	818 35%	887 34%	540 41%	151 27%	250 31%	97 49%	353 46%	663 36%	140 45%	545 42%	339 30%	540 35%	378 45%	612 36%	433 32%
2001				-				++			++	++++	-	+	++++			++++		
Average	34%	34%	37%	35%	33%	35%	36* ++	31%	45% +++	36%	33%	31%	35%	37%	31%	40%	33%	30%	34%	37%
Good	24%	23%	13%	25%	25%	23%	25%	22%	27%	26%	15%	19%	24%	18%	21%	26%	26%	22%	25%	24%
DK/NR	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	7%	4%	6%	2%	+ 7%	3%	4%	5%	1%	 5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	7%
	_					+++			-	++			++							++
1 Terrible	14%	13%	14%	15%	15%	13%	13%	16%	10%	10%	17%	15%	13%	15%	14%	11%	15%	17%	13%	13%
2	9%	10%	12%	7%	8%	98	8%	10%	5%	8%	17%	11%	98	98	12%	7%	7%	11%	9%	7%
3	14%	16%	19%	12%	15%	13₹	14%	15%	11%	12%	15%	19%	14%	21%	16%	12%	13%	16%	14%	12%
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	34%	37%	35%	33%	35%	36%	31%	45%	36%	33%	31%	35%	37%	31%	40%	33%	30%	34%	37%
5	13%	14%	8%	12%	12%	14%	13%	12%	16%	14%	98	12%	15%	8%	13%	15%	12%	13%	16%	9%
6	5%	4%	2%	6%	6%	4%	6%	3%	7%	6%	2%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%	4 %	5%	6%
7 Excellent	6%	5%	3%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	9%	5%	5%	10%
Chi ² :		-			.01		.05		(.01)				.05		.01			.01		
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1353	4 692	16 34	4 622	4 701	3 652	3 818	4 535	8 156	6 227	10 90	5 306	4 588	8 147	4 479	5 327	4 543	5 334	4 584	_
Weighted if for mean:	3.67	3.63	3.32	3.73	3.69	3.65	3.75	3.53	3.87	3.85	3.22	3.39	3.65	3.47	3.47	3.82	3.75	3.43	3.68	3.82
Std dev: Student's t:	1.63	1.57	1.43	1.70	1.68	1.57	1.60	1.67	1.43	1.55	1.53	1.54	1.56	1.52	1.55	1.54	1.73	1.64	1.55	1.71 .05
scudenc's c:	_	-	_	-	-	-	.05	.05	.05	.01	.05	.01	_	_	.01	.03	_	.01	_	- 03

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Managing natural resources

		Internet Use			Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of (Federal Government		Contact w/ Gov' Last 3 Mos?		t First Nations Standard of Living				
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7J Gov't of Canada's pe																		
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223 39%	357 45%	203 52%	285 37%	954 31%	308 55%	438 60%	599 30%	348 21%	345 53%	1079 32%	372 59%	368 41%	431 22%	226 22%
Poor	37%	32%	43%	398	45%	⊃∠₹ +++	3/1	314	++++	++++	3016	216	++++	321	++++	++	228	228
Average	34%	37%	31%	32%	29%	26%	32%	36%	29%	23%	44%	32%	28%	36%	23%	36%	41%	36%
3 -		++						++			++++			+++			++++	
Good	24%	24%	23%	26%	22%	20%	28%	28%	13%	13%	23%	40%	16%	27%	14%	18%	32%	
DV /ND	5 0	7%	3%	3%	3%	2%	++ 3%	++++ 5%	3%	4%	3%	++++ 7%	3%	++++ 6%	4%	4%	++++ 5%	++++ 5%
DK/NR	5%	/ T	3 %	3 16	3 %	25	316	24	3.5	4.5	J 16	+++	J 16	+	2.0	40	34	2.0
1 Terrible	14%	13%	15%	13%	17%	17%	12%	10%	27%	28%	9%	6%	23%	11%	28%	12%	6%	10%
2	9%	8%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%	7%	13%	16%	7%	3%	12%	8%	16%	9%	4%	5%
3	14%	11%	19%	16%	19%	24%	16%	14%	15%	17%	14%	11%	18%	13%	15%	21%	11%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	37%	31%	32%	29%	26%	32%	36%	29%	23%	44%	32%	28%	36%	23%	36%	41%	36%
5	13%	12%	13%	16%	14%	14%	12%	15%	6%	8%	11%	24%	9%	14%	8%	14%	16%	14%
6	5%	5%	4 %	5%	4%	2%	7%	6%	2%	2%	5%	9%	2%	6%	3%	3%	7%	7%
7 Excellent	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	3%	8%	7%	5%	3%	7%	8%	5%	7%	3%	1%	9%	15%
Chi':			_		.10			.01		.01			.01		.01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	
Weighted n for mean:	1353	684	441	206	320	189	299	907	296	403	589	331	324	1026	353	352	421	
Mean:	3.67	3.73	3.56	3.64	3.46	3.31	3.80	3.89 1.57	3.00	2.85	3.86 1.48	4.31	3.13 1.64	3.84 1.59	2.89 1.64	3.48 1.35	4.19	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.63	1.63	1.62	1.60	1.60	1.53	1.67	7 .01	1.67	1.58	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.48	
Student's t:	-	_	-	-	-	. 05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Locat	ion	Cluster						
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q7J Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on									
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197		
Poor	37%	36%	44%	34%	11%	25%	59%	65%		
		5	+				++++	++++		
Average	34%	34%	40%	42%	35%	44%	26%	28%		
				+++		+++				
Good	24%	25%	12%	21%	49%	27%	11%	5%		
(n	5%	+++ 5%	4%	3%	++++ 5%	4%	4%	1%		
DK/NR	518	51	4.6	316	3 t	48	4.0	1.9		
1 Terrible	14%	14%	12%	6%	3%	9%	25%	34%		
1 Terrible	110	140	126	0.	5.6	, ,	250	3.0		
2	9%	8%	15%	8%	1%	5%	17%	17%		
3	14%	14%	17%	, 20%	7%	10%	17%	13%		
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	34%	40%	42%	35%	44%	26%	28%		
5	13%	13%	7%	15%	21%	15%	7%	2%		
6	5%	5%	3%	4 %	11%	5%	2%	1%		
7 Excellent	6%	7%	2%	3%	17%	7%	2%	2%		
Chi ² :		(.05)		.01						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7		
Weighted n for mean:	1353	1261	92	313	302	207	253			
Mean:	3.67	3.69	3.33	3.77	4.80		2.86			
Std dev:	1.63	1.65	1.37	1.25	1.44		1.50			
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	-	.01	. 01	. 01	.01		

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
•	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7K Gov't of Canada's pe														240	0.00		500	304	053
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 32%	206 38%	200 26%	200 27%	214 31%	201 35%	203 32%	203 30%	289 18%	352 28%	579 41 %	203 40%	652 29%	342 28%	279 38%	147 44%	582 27%	394 38%	251 39%
Average	36%	*+ 35%	38 %	39%	41%	31%	34%	36%	43%	39%	++++ 33% -	+++ 27%	37%	42%	*+ 35%	21%	40%	34%	*+ 32* -
Good	27%	22%	34%	28%	23%	26%	28%	29%	34%	27%	21%	26%	28%	27%	22%	29%	28%	23%	28%
DK/NR	5%	5%	+++ 2%	6%	4%	8%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	6 % ++	3%	5%	6%	6 % +	5%	1%
1 Terrible	11%	15%	8%	11%	13%	9%	12%	11%	4%	7%	16%	20%	12%	8%	10%	20%	10%	13%	10%
2	7%	9%	5%	4%	7%	8%	5%	7%	3%	8%	9%	6%	5%	6%	9%	10%	6%	7%	10%
3	14%	14%	12%	12%	12%.	18%	15%	12%	11%	13%	16%	15%	12%	14%	19%	15%	12%	18%	18%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	35%	38%	39%	41%	31%	34%	36%	43%	39%	33%	27%	37%	42%	35%	21%	40%	34%	32%
5	17%	12%	18%	18%	14%	21%	15%	20%	21%	17%	15%	13%	14%	21%	15%	22%	17%	16%	20%
6	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	2%	7%	6%	7%	5%	3%	7%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	5%	6%
7 Excellent	5%	3%	9%	6%	4%	3₩	6%	4 %	6%	5%	3%	6%	7%	3%	3%	1%	6%	3%	3%
Chi²: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1350 3.80 1.52	(.05) 7 211 3.56 1.57	7 203 4.12 1.56	7 190 3.89 1.52	7 255 3.73 1.51	7 274 3.71 1.39	154 3.85	7 63 3.82 1.51	.01 6 380 4.23 1.30	5 297 3.91 1.42	4 472 3.48 1.53	7 197 3.58 1.77	.01 4 640 3.89 1.62	5 352 3.85 1.31	6 238 3.63 1.41 .05	8 115 3.39 1.63 .01	.01 4 559 3.92 1.49	5 362 3.62 1.47	6 241 3.70 1.47

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7K Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n: Poor		ce on. 698 33%	39 28%	687	609	818	887 32%	540 32%	151 20%	250 31%	97 39%	353 37%	663	140	545 36%	339 26%	540 31%	378	612 28%	433 31%
Average	36%	37%	33%	36%	35%	38%	37%	35%	43%	40%	34%	++ 32%	37%	41%	+++ 34%	40%	36%	++++ 28%	41%	36%
Good	27%	25%	29%	29%	31%	22%	26%	27%	34%	24%	22%	26%	27%	20% - 2%	25%	29% 5%	27% 6%	27%	26%	27%
DK/NR 1 Terrible	5% 11%	6% 10%	10%	5% 13%	4% 10%	7% +++ 12%	5% 10%	7% 12%	2% 11%	5% 10%	5% 10%	5% 11%	5% 10%	16%	5% 10%	11%	12%	5% 16%	8%	6% 12%
2	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	3%	6%	7%	9%	7%	3%	8%	5%	6%	8%	7%	5%
<pre>3 4 Neither good nor bad</pre>	14% 36%	16% 37%	17% 33%	12% 36%	14% 35%	13% 38%	14% 37%	14% 35%	6% 43%	16% 40%	23%	17% 32%	14% 37%	17% 41%	18%	10%	13% 36%	16% 28%	13%	14% 36%
5	17%	16%	11%	17%	19%	14%	17%	16%	24%	13%	10%	19%	17%	15%	15%	20%	16%	17%	18%	15%
6	5%	5%	4%	5%	7%	3%	5%	6%	7%	7%	10%	2%	6%	1%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%
7 Excellent	5%	3%	14%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3 %	5%	.10	4%	.10	4 %	6%	5%	4%	7%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1350 3.80 1.52	4 686 3.73 1.45	16 32 4.22 1.63	4 627 3.85 1.58	.01 4 699 3.92 1.52	3 651 3.67 1.51	3 816 3.80 1.49	4 534 3.79 1.57	8 155 4.03 1.43	6 231 3.82 1.46	10 88 3.69 1.47	5 303 3.66 1.50	4 590 3.84 1.46	8 146 3.57 1.48	4 481 3.72 1.47	5 324 3.88 1.49	4 542 3.82 1.56	.01 5 330 3.59 1.65	4 582 3.88 1.37	5 436 3.85 1.59
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	√ .05	-	-	. 05	.10	.10	-	-	-	.01	.10	-

		Int	ernet U	Ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7K Gov't of Canada's pe																		
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 32%	730 30%	447 34%	223 30%	357 33%	203 40%	285 30%	954 27%	308 49%	438 56%	599 25%	348 16%	345 43%	1079 28%	372 52%	368 34%	431 20%	226 17%
Average	36%	37%	33%	39%	37%	31%	36%	38%	30%	30%	45%	31%	29%	38%	27%	36%	42%	41%
Good	27%	27%	28%	26%	25%	25%	28%	31%	15%	10%	26%	48%	23%	28%	17%	24%	32%	38%
DK/NR	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%	++++ 4%	5%	4%	5%	++++ 5%	5%	5 *	3%	6%	+++ 5%	++++ 5%
1 Terrible	11%	12%	11%	10%	12%	11%	9%	8%	22%	23%	8%	4%	18%	9%	24%	10%	5%	5%
2	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	10%	6%	5%	12%	13%	4%	3%	9%	6%	12%	7%	3%	3%
3	14%	12%	16%	14%	15%	19%	16%	14%	15%	20%	13%	9%	16%	13%	17%	17%	12%	8%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	37%	33%	39%	37%	31%	36%	38%	30%	30%	45%	31%	29%	38%	27%	36%	42%	41%
5	17%	15%	18%	21%	20%	20%	17%	19%	10%	7%	17%	27%	17%	17%	11%	19%	17%	25%
6	5%	5%	7%	2%	4 %	2%	7%	6%	3%	2%	4 %	11%	2%	6%	2%	3%	9%	5%
7 Excellent	5%	6%	4 %	3%	2%	3%	4 %	6%	2%	1%	4 %	10%	4%	5%	4 %	3%	7%	8%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1350 3.80 1.52	4 692 3.84 1.58	5 432 3.78 1.50	7 203 3.75 1.38	5 315 3.70 1.41	7 187 3.59 1.43	6 292 3.89 1.43	.01 3 914 4.00 1.45	6 289 3.13 1.55	.01 5 401 2.96 1.44	4 580 3.94 1.34	5 337 4.56 1.40	319 3.43 1.60	3 1029 3.91 1.48	.01 5 354 3.12 1.63	5 347 3.70 1.39	5 419 4.22 1.38	211 4.30 1.36
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	_	.10	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

		Locat:	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7K Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	32%	32%	33%	27%	9%	26%	49%	67% ++++
Average	36%	37%	30%	44%	37%	40%	36%	25%
	300	•	• • •	+++				
Good	27%	27%	25%	27%	50%	29%	12%	5%
		- 0		2.0	++++	5%	3%	2%
DK/NR	5%	5%	11%	3 %	4 %	58	31	2 6
1 Terrible	11%	11%	14%	4%	1%	10%	19%	32%
2	7%	7%	6%	6%	1%	5%	10%	16%
3	14%	14%	12%	, 17%	7%	10%	19%	19%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	37%	30%	44%	37%	40%	36%	25%
5	17%	17%	15%	21%	23%	22%	98	4 %
6	5%	5%	3%	2%	16%	2%	1%	0%
7 Excellent	5%	5%	7%	3%	11%	5%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		. 05		. 01				
±points around 50%:	3	. 05	9		6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1350	1265	85		306	206	255	193
Mean:	3.80	3.80	3.72		4.78	3.90	3.17	
Std dev:	1.52	1.51	1.70		1.25	1.46	1.41	1.40
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

				P:	rovince				_	Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q7L Gov't of Canada's pe	rformanc	e on																	
Unweighted n:	1427	206 38%	200 27%	200 25%	214 30%	201 34%	203 26%	203 26%	289 15%	352 27%	579 39%	203 44%	652 26%	342 26%	279 44%	147 45%	582 26%	394 32%	251 43%
Poor	30%	38 8 +++	2/8	2516	30%	346	201	201	154	2/8	++++	++++	201	201	++++	++++		328	++++
Average	31%	26%	28%	29%	34%	32%	35%	26%	31%	30%	33%	25%	31%	35%	25%	31%	33₺	35%	26%
Good	35%	33%	42%	41%	32%	30%	34%	43%	49%	39%	25%	27%	39%	++ 36%	29%	22%	36%	30%	29%
			++	++	_	-		++	++++	+			+++				+++		
DK/NR	4 %	3 %	3∜	5∜	4%	4%	5%	4 %	5%	4 %	3%	5%	5%	3%	2 %	2%	5 % + +	2%	2 %
1 Terrible	11%	12%	12%	9%	11%	12%	11%	7%	4 %	8%	13%	22%	11%	8%	11%	19%	10%	11%	12%
2	8%	11%	5%	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	4 %	8 %	10%	8%	7%	5%	11%	15%	7%	8%	12%
3	12%	15%	10%	9%	12%	16%	8%	13%	8%	11%	15%	13%	8%	13%	23%	11%	98	14%	19%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	26%	28%	29%	34%	32%	35%	26%	31%	30%	33%	25%	31%	35%	25%	31%	33₺	35%	26%
5	18%	20%	17%	18%	16%	17%	18%	20%	24%	23%	11%	13%	15%	22%	20%	15%	17%	18%	18%
6	8%	5%	15%	10%	6%	6%	8%	10%	13%	6%	6 %	7%	10%	7%	7%	5%	9%	6%	7%
7 Excellent	9%	7%	11%	13%	10%	6%	8%	13%	12%	10%	7%	7%	14%	6%	2%	2 %	11%	5%	4 %
Chi ² :		(.10)							.01				(.01)				.01		
±points around 50%:	3 1373	7 215	7 202	7 193	7 2 54	7 286		7 63	6 383	5 303	4 481	7 202	4 653	5 350	6 244	8 119	4 566	5 371	6 238
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4.02	3.78	4.23	4.29	3.98	3.84	4.03	4.34	4.63	4.15	3.69	3.49	4.23	4.10	3.63	3.33	4.13	3.85	3.63
Std dev:	1.69	1.69	1.76	1.73	1.68	1.59		1.69	1.46	1.63	1.67	1.85	1.82	1.47	1.49	1.62	1.70	1.55	1.59
Student's t:	*	.05	.10	. 05	-	. 10	-	.05	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01

;

0 17	03	77	

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q7L Gov't of Canada's pe Unweighted n: Poor	rforman 1427 30%	ce on. 698 30%	39 34%	687 31%	609	818	887	540 34%	151 14%	250 32%	97	353	663	140 29%	545 38%	339	540 27%	378 40%	612 27%	433
Average	31%	32%	38%	30%	30%	*+ 31%	33%	28%	42%	30%	27%	++++ 27%	30%	35%	**** 31%	30%	31%	**** 28%	31%	
Good DK/NR	35% 4%	35% 4%	24% 5%	36% 4%	38% ++ 4%	32% 4%	36% 3%	33% 5%	41% +++ 3%	34%	35% 7%	26% 4%	33% 4%	34% 2%	28% 3%	41% +++ 5%	+	30% 2%	37% 4%	
1 Terrible	11%	9%	18%	12%	10%	12%	9%	13%	4 %	98	11%	13%	10%	9%	13%	6%		15%	8%	
3	8% 12%	7% 14%	7% 8%	8% 11%	8% 11%	7% 13%	8% 12%	8% 13%	5 % 6 %	8% 15%	8% 11%	11%	8%	8% 12%	9% 16%	8% 10%	6% 9%	10%	7% 12%	
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	32%	38%	30%	30%	31%	33%	28%	42%	30%	27%	27%	30%	35%	31%	30%	31%	28%	31%	
5 6	18%	19% 8%	15% 6%	16% 8%	19% 9%	16% 7%	18% 9%	17% 6%	23% 7%	18% 9%	23% 6%	15% 5%	18% 7%	18% 8%	15% 7%	23% 8%	17% 9%	13%	21% 9%	
7 Excellent	98	8%	3%	11%	10%	9%	9%	10%	12%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	6%	10%	12%	10%	7%	12%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1373 4.02 1.69	700 4.04 1.61	16 34 3.57 1.62 .10	4 634 4.03 1.78	.10 4 697 4.12 1.68 .05	3 675 3.92 1.70	.01 3 832 4.09 1.64 .10	4 541 3.92 1.76 .10	(.01) 8 154 4.46 1.41	6 234 3.98 1.61	10 86 3.93 1.64	5 309 3.62 1.64	598 3.94 1.63	8 146 4.03 1.57	.01 490 3.72 1.62	5 323 4.27 1.57	4 556 4.15 1.77	.01 5 339 3.77 1.80	4 585 4.11 1.56	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. H			ormance l Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ıg
:	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q7L Gov't of Canada's per																		
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 30%	730 30%	447 31%	223 32%	357 34%	203 37% +	285 27%	954 25%	308 47% ++++	438 55% ++++	599 25%	348 13%	345 43% ++++	1079 27%	372 59% ++++	368 31%	431 16%	226 13%
Average	31%	30%	35%	27%	30%	31%	33%	32%	30%	26%	39%	23%	25%	33%	22%	32%	37% +++	32%
Good	35%	36%	32%	40%	33%	30%	39%	40%	21%	16%	33%	62%	30%	36%	18%	33%	43%	52%
DK/NR	4%	5 % ++	2 %	1%	4%	2%	1%	**** 3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4 %	4+++	**** 3%
1 Terrible	11%	13%	8%	10%	10%	13%	6%	8%	22%	23%	7%	3%	14%	10%	24%	10%	5%	3%
2	8%	7%	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%	6%	10%	13%	7%	2%	10%	7%	16%	6%	4 %	3%
3	12%	10%	15%	14%	16%	18%	13%	11%	15%	19%	10%	8%	18%	10%	19%	15%	7%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	30%	35%	27%	30%	31%	33%	32%	30%	26%	39%	23%	25%	33%	22%	32%	37%	32%
5	18%	16%	18%	22%	21%	20%	20%	20%	11%	9%	18%	26%	18%	17%	12%	22%	17%	21%
6	8%	8%	6%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%	4%	4 %	7%	16%	3%	10%	3%	6%	12%	11%
7 Excellent	9%	11%	7%	7%	6%	3%	10%	11%	5%	3 %	8%	19%	9%	10%	3%	4 %	14%	20%
Chi²:			-		(.10)			. 01		.01		_	.01	-	.01	_		_
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:</pre>	3 1373 4.02 1.69	701 4.04 1.79	5 442 3.98 1.54	7 210 4.05 1.63	5 319 3.90 1.56	7 190 3.73 1.53	6 304 4.19 1.56	3 926 4.24 1.62	296 3.33 1.70	5 404 3.08 1.59	592 4.10 1.52	5 346 4.99 1.48	5 327 3.67 1.72	3 1043 4.12 1.66	360 3.03 1.63	354 3.89 1.49	5 424 4.55 1.55	7 215 4.84 1.51
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	.05	. 01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01

0	E7 057 28	

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
1	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7L Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance on							
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	30%	30%	35%	27%	6%	24%	46%	68%
							++++	++++
Average	31%	31%	26%	38%	23%	33%	35%	23%
and.	259	35%	36%	+++ 33%	68%	39%	+ 15%	8%
Good	35%	35%	308	338	++++	398	154	016
DK/NR	4%	4 %	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	1%
Dity Mix	10		30	2.0	3.0	+		
1 Terrible	11%	11%	11%	3 %	1%	10%	14%	37%
2	8%	7%	9%	6%	1%	4%	14%	18%
3	12%	12%	15%	. 17%	4%	10%	19%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	31%	26%	38%	23%	33%	35%	23%
5	18%	18%	17%	21%	25%	19%	11%	5%
6	8%	8%	10%	7%	19%	8%	2%	2%
7 Excellent	9%	9%	8%	5%	24%	11%	2%	1%
Chi ² :		_		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9		6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1373	1280	93	316	309	207	254	
Mean:	4.02	4.03	3.97		5.28	4.23	3.31	
Std dev:	1.69	1.69	1.71	1.30	1.34	1.67	1.42	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.05	.01	.01

					P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q8																				
	Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Yes	•	24%	29%	19%	21%	20%	27%	25%	21%	16%	29%	29%	18%	14%	25%	37%	49%	17%	30%	37%
			++	_							+++	++++				++++	++++		++	++++
No		76%	71%	81%	79%	79%	72%	75%	79%	84%	70%	71%	82%	86%	75%	63%	51%	83%	70%	62%
			_	+						++++			++	++++				++++		
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	1%
															++				1	++
	Chi 2:		_							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
+poi	nts around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

			Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der .	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Cor olveme	mmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q8															110		220	540	270		
Yes	Unweighted n:	1427 24%	698 24%	39 22%	687 23%	609 22%	818 25%	887 25%	540 21%	151 11%	250 20%	97 26%	353 39%	663 26%	140 28%	545 29%	339 20%	540 20%	378 40%	612 20%	433 15%
No		76%	76%	78%	77%	77%	75%	+ 75%	- 79%	88%	80%	74%	++++ 61%	73%	72%	++++ 71%		79%	++++ 59%	 79%	85%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	+ 0%	++++ 0%	+++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++ 0%	0%	++ 0%	
	Chi²:		_			_		(.10)		(.01)				-		(.01)			(.01)		
±po:	ints around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	/ 8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey
In the past three months, have you contacted the government of Canada?

			Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ.			ormance 1 Govern		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q8																			
	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Yes		24%	16%	28%	41%	39%	41%	24%	24%	30%	35%	18%	21%	100%	0%	39%	22%	12%	22%
					++++	+++	++			++	++++			++++		++++			
No		76%	84%	71%	59%	60%	598	76%	76%	70%	65%	82%	78%	0%	100%	61%	77%	88%	78%
			++++	++++				++++	++			++++		~ ~	++++			++++	
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	08	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
						+											+++		·
	Chi²:			(.01)		(.01)			(.10)		(.01)			.01		(.01)			
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

		Locat	ion			Cluster		<u></u>
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q8								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Yes	24%	23%	35%	20%	18%	24%	29%	32%
			+++	-			++	+++
No	76%	77%	65%	79%	81%	76%	71%	68%
		+++			++			
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0 %	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.05)		(.05)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6′	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey 01/09/28

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q9		59	39	46	45	59	53	43	46	100	162	36	92	82	105	65	105	115	97
Unweighted n: Poor	344 42%	49%	39%	26%	38%	49%	44%	33%	30%	31%	49%	60%	38%	46%	44%	37%	41%	44%	45%
Average	23%	25%	23%	27%	20%	22%	20%	22%	23%	20%	++ 25%	21%	14%	23%	25%	33%	20%	20%	30%
Good	35%	25%	39%	47%	42%	30%	36%	45%	47%	49%	26%	19%	47%	31%	31%	* + + 30*	39%	36%	++ 25%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	+++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Very poor	19%	26%	22%	98	20%	18%	18%	10%	17%	13%	23%	24%	21%	20%	15%	19%	19%	23%	14%
2	8%	7%	12%	6%	9%	8%	5%	3%	4%	9%	7%	98	2%	7%	13%	7%	7%	8%	11%
3	15%	16%	5%	11%	9%	23%	21%	19%	9%	9%	19%	27%	15%	18%	16%	11%	15%	14%	20%
4 Neither good nor poor	23%	25%	23%	27%	20%	22%	20%	22%	23%	20%	25%	21%	14%	23%	25%	33%	20%	20%	30%
5	10%	3%	9%	16%	13%	8%	12%	19%	10%	11%	11%	4%	12%	6%	9%	12%	11%	7%	12%
6	11%	10%	11%	13%	12%	12%	10%	8%	12%	24%	5%	4%	8%	13%	11%	16%	13%	14%	6%
7 Very good	14%	12%	19%	18%	17%	10%	14%	17%	25%	15%	10%	10%	27%	12%	11%	2%	15%	15%	7%
	146	128	198	10%	1/0	10%	140	1/8		134	10*	100		123	110	23	13*	130	, ,
Chi: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	5 334 3.88 2.00	13 64 3.51 2.03	16 39 3.93 2.19	14 43 4.46 1.83	15 53 3.99 2.12	13 81 3.69 1.88	13 41 3.90 1.97	15 14 4.31 1.86	.01 14 63 4.42 2.12	10 93 4.36 1.98	8 141 3.49 1.87	16 37 3.26 1.87	.10 10 94 4.26 2.24	11 89 3.74 2.00	10 92 3.76 1.87	12 59 3.67 1.73	10 102 3.97 2.03	9 113 3.82 2.12	10 90 3.62 1.64

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Ol. 02, 28

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

		Moth	Mother Tongue			der	Child Under	-	Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment			of Com olveme	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q9 Unweighted n: Poor	344 42%	166 39%	9 16%	168 46%	144	200	230	114 45%	17 52%	53 49%	23 35%	135 43%	179 43%	40 45%	160 44%	72 45%	112 37%	149 44%	129 41%	66 38%
Average	23%	23%	33%	22%	23%	22%	22%	24%	7%	21%	32%	26%	27%	15%	27%	16%	22%	25%	26%	13%
Good	35%	38%	51%	32%	36%	35%	38%	31%	42%	31%	32%	31%	30%	40%	29%	40%	41%	31%	33%	49% +++
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0 %
1 Very poor	19%	16%	0 %	23%	17%	21%	18%	20%	26%	12%	29%	17%	15%	25%	19%	17%	20%	19%	17%	22%
2	8%	9%	0%	7%	8\$	8 %	6%	10%	6%	14%	0 %	8%	10%	3%	7%	11%	6%	7%	10%	3 %
3	15%	15%	16%	17%	16%	15%	16%	15%	20%	22%	6%	18%	17%	18%	18%	17%	11%	18%	14%	12%
4 Neither good nor poor	23%	23%	33%	22%	23%	22%	22%	24%	7%	21%	32 %	26%	27%	15%	27%	16%	22%	25%	26%	13%
5	10%	11%	31%	8 %	11%	88	10%	9%	18%	8%	3%	12%	11%	8%	10%	11%	9%	13%	8%	8%
6	11%	12%	8%	10%	10%	13%	12%	10%	5%	9%	14%	12%	10%	14%	11%	11%	12%	8%	14%	15%
7 Very good	14%	15%	12%	13%	15%	14%	15%	12%	19%	14%	16%	8%	9%	17%	8%	17%	20%	10%	12%	27%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	5 334 3.88 2.00	174 4.00 1.98	33 8 4.68 1.27	8 151 3.69 2.03	8 163 3.94 1.96	7 171 3.82 2.03	213 3.98 2.01	9 121 3.71 1.98	24 18 3.75 2.26	13 47 3.81 1.91	20 24 3.84 2.21	8 125 3.74 1.81	7 163 3.75 1.80	15 41 3.91 2.20	8 147 3.68 1.83	12 69 3.96 2.07	9 118 4.09 2.14	.10 8 140 3.69 1.86	9 124 3.84 1.94	12 71 4.32 2.30

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

:		Int	ernet U	ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E Next 12			ormance l Gover		Contact w Last 3 l			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q9 Unweighted n: Poor	344 42%	122 48%	125 45%	93 29 %	135 37%	83 44%	70 40%	236	87 55%	149 60%	117 30%	76 23%	344 42%	0	144 54%	90 31%	56 39 %	48 30%
Average	23%	23%	22%	23%	27%	23%	21%	22%	24%	24%	28%	14%	23%	0%	22%	28%	21%	15%
Good	35%	29%	33%	48%	35∜	33%	40%	42%	21%	16%	41%	63%	35%	0%	24%	40%	40%	54% +++
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
1 Very poor	19%	25%	18%	12%	15%	21%	15%	15%	28%	26%	15%	12%	19%	0%	26%	11%	14%	18%
2	8%	8%	8\$	6%	7%,	6%	6%	7%	9%	12%	3 %	6%	8%	0%	11%	5%	3%	8%
3	15%	14%	19%	12%	16%	17%	18%	15%	18%	23%	13%	5%	15%	0%	17%	15%	23%	5%
4 Neither good nor poor	23%	23%	22%	23%	27%	23%	21%	22%	24%	24%	28%	14%	23%	0%	22%	28%	21%	15%
5	10%	7%	98	14%	12%	10%	8%	11%	6%	68	12%	15%	10%	0%	9%	10%	14%	7%
6	11%	5%	14%	17%	14%	13%	13%	13%	7%	5%	16%	16%	11%	0%	9%	18%	4%	10%
7 Very good	14%	17%	10%	17%	9%	10%	18%	17%	8%	68	13%	32%	14%	0%	6%	12%	22%	37%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	5 334 3.88 2.00	9 118 3.63 2.11	.05 9 126 3.77 1.91	10 88 4.40 1.90	8 129 3.94 1.83	11 79 3.74 1.94	12 73 4.13 2.02	.01 6 224 4.17 1.98 .01	11 92 3.24 1.89	.01 8 148 3.09 1.72	9 109 4.21 1.88	11 75 4.91 2.08	5 334 3.88 2.00	* 0 * *	.01 8 144 3.28 1.84	10 81 4.24 1.80	13 55 4.18 1.97	47 4.64

PAGE: 83

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q9								
Unweighted n: Poor	344 42%	307 42%	37 38%	68 36%	54 17%	51 28%	85 56%	63 63 %
5001	428	420	30%	30%		201	+++	++++
Average	23%	21%	35%	27%	24%	15%	25%	22%
Good	35%	36 %	+ 27%	37%	59%	56%	20%	14%
					++++	++++		
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Very poor	19%	19%	20%	7%	8%	17%	20%	41%
2	8%	8%	0%	, 10%	2%	3%	9%	98
3	15%	15%	18%	19%	7%	8%	26%	13%
4 Neither good nor poor	23%	21%	35%	27%	24%	15%	25%	22%
5	10%	10%	8%	14%	15%	8%	5%	9%
6	11%	12%	7%	11%	19%	15%	10%	3%
7 Very good	14%	14%	13%	13%	25%	34%	5%	2%
Chi':		_		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	6	16	12	13	14	11	
Weighted n for mean:	334	301	34	65	59	51	76	
Mean:	3.88	3.89	3.81	4.15	4.95		3.33	
Std dev:	2.00	2.01	1.88	1.71	1.78	2.24	1.70	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
COMM																			
Unweighted n: Television	1427 77%	206 73%	200 83%	200 74%	214 84%	201 73%	203 77%	203 79%	289 75%	352 78%	579 79%	203 77%	652 78%	342 79%	279 77 %	147 72%	582 77%	394 78%	251 798
Radio	66%	59%	68%	75% +++	++ 69%	63%	64%	66%	68%	70%	66%	60%	67%	70%	62%	59%	68%	67%	618
Weekly newspapers	64%	63%	72% +++	60%	66%	62%	58%	61%	72% ++++	64%	59%	57%	64%	65%	58%	65%	67%	60%	659
Daily newspapers	59%	53%	71%	57%	65%	53%	54%	68 %	68%	62%	55%	50%	60%	61%	58%	53%	60%	56%	598
Mail	66%	65%	65%	74%	67%	64%	63%	67%	65%	70% +	65%	64%	68%	69%	60%	63%	67%	66%	658
The Internet	40%	45%	44%	41%	28%	43%	40%	53 %	50% ++++	45% +	39%	20%	33%	46% ++	51% ++++	48%	36%	39%	578 ++++
Posters	48%	44%	62%	51%	51%	41%	41%	43%	47%	52 %	48%	42%	47%	51%	48%	44%	48%	48%	488
Telephone/Fax	3%	3%	1%	5% ++	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3 9
Pamphlets/newsletters	2%	5% ++++	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	6% ++++	1%	2%	35
General meetings	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	15
Personal visits/In person	2%	2 %	3%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3 % ++	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	38
Other	1%	2 % +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2 % +++	0%	1%	0%	19
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	2%	4 % ++++	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2% ++	3%	2%	1%	2%	2 %	1%	2%	19
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01)	7	7	7	7	7	7	(.01) 6	5	4	7	(.01) 4	5	6	8	(.10) 4	5	ϵ

01703728

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect	or	Emp1. Rese	On/Ofi		oyment	Туре		of Cor olvem	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
COMM	1407		3.0		609	818	887		151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Unweighted n: Television	1427 77%	698 75%	39 86%	687 80%	78%	77%	77%	540 78%	151 82%	250 78%	72%	77%	78%	80%	78%	77%	77%	77%	78%	778
Radio	66%	65%	73%	67 %	65%	67%	70%	61%	73%	65%	70%	66%	69%	68%	64%	72%	64%	67%	65%	68%
Weekly newspapers	64%	64%	57%	64%	62%	65%	63%	65%	67%	66%	51%	62%	64%	64%	58%	70%	65%	65%	64%	63%
Daily newspapers	59%	60%	50%	59%	58%	61%	59%	60%	63%	59%	51%	57%	59%	59%	56%	61%	61%	59%	60%	58%
Mai1	66%	65%	64%	68%	62%	70%	68%	63%	67%	71%	65%	63%	68%	63%	65%	67%	67%	66%	65%	69%
The Internet	40%	43%	37%	38%	41%	40%	42%	37%	36%	40%	39%	50%	41%	50%	42%	44%	38%		43%	32%
Posters	48%	45%	40%	52%	42%	54%	50%	45%	41%	51%	39%	52%	49%	47%	48%	49%	47%	+++ 50%	46%	49%
Telephone/Fax	3%	3 %	0%	3%	2%	3%	3 %	1%	3%	1%	4 %	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%
Pamphlets/newsletters	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3 %	2%	2%	1%	2 %	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
General meetings	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Personal visits/In pers	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	3%	2%	++ 2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	1%
on Other	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0 %	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0 %	1%	1%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	++ 2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Chi?: ±points around 50%:	3	- 4	16	4	(.10) 4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	- 4	8	- 4	5	4	(.05) 5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

01/09/28 INAC On-Reserve Survey

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			First Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
COMM								1119										
Unweighted n: Television	1427 77%	730 80%	447 74%	223 77%	357 7 2 %	203 72%	285 77%	95 4 78%	308 78%	438 75%	599 80%	348 77%	345 73%	1079 79%	372 73%	368 77%	431 80%	226 81%
Radio	66%	++ 68%	66%	63%	62%	63%	69%	67%	65%	60%	68%	72%	66%	66%	65%	61%	70% +	
Weekly newspapers	64%	60%	68%	71%	63%	68%	74%	65%	61%	59%	68%	63%	65%	63%	61%	63%	67%	
Daily newspapers	59%	57%	63%	62%	59%	61%	66%	61%	56%	57%	60%	63%	58%	60%	60%	598	60%	599
Mail	66%	66%	68%	67%	66%	64%	68%	68 % ++	61%	61%	67%	71% ++	65%	67%	62%	63%	71%	
The Internet	40%	22%	55%	75% ++++	73% . ++++	62%	56%	43%	35%	43%	37%	44%	52% ++++	37%	44%	40%	40%	
Posters	48%	46%	50%	50%	47%	59% ++	53%	49%	49%	43%	49%	54% ++	48%	48%	45%	45%	52 % ++	
Telephone/Fax	3%	2 %	4 %	4%	3%	6% ++	3%	3%	3 %	2%	2%	4%	5% ++++	2%	3%	3 %	2%	
Pamphlets/newsletters	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3 % +	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3 %	2%	1%	19
General meetings	1%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2 %	1
Personal visits/In pers	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3 % ++	2%	1%	3%	3 % +++	1%	3% ++	1%	1%	3 5
Other	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1% ++	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	1% ++	1%	0%	0 9
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	2%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	18	3% ++	3 8 ++++	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	04
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	4	- 5	7	- 5	7	6	(.05) 3	6	(.01) 5	4	5	(.01) 5	3	- 5	5	5	;

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

ac-on-Reserve Survey

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

	_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
COMM								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Television	77%	78%	74%	81%	81%	74%	77%	72%
Radio	66%	67%	56%	72%	73%	61%	61%	60%
		++		++	+++	_		
Weekly newspapers	64%	63%	68%	69%	71%	57%	57%	62%
				++	+++			
Daily newspapers	59%	59%	60%	63%	69%	53%	55%	57%
					++++			
Mail	66%	67%	57%	69%	76%	61%	59%	63%
		++			++++	-		
The Internet	40%	40%	43%	₹42%	49%	34%	42%	37%
					+++			
Posters	48%	48%	42%	49%	56%	48%	44%	43%
					+++			-
Telephone/Fax	3%	3%	3 %	2%	4%	2%	2 %	3 %
Pamphlets/newsletters	28	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
General meetings	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%
Personal visits/In pers	2%	2%	1%	2%	 0%	2%	2%	5%
on .								++++
Other	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
							++	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	2%	1%	68	1%	1%	0%	1%	5%
			++++		,			++++
Chi ² :		(.10)		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey $\text{And of } \ldots \ldots \text{(READ LIST), which one is the MOST useful?}$

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
1	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
PREF1				100		101	125	191	270	333	525	177	580	327	262	133	538	354	239
Unweighted n: Television	1309 42%	185 43%	190 41%	187 36%	200 43%	181 43%	175 40%	46%	40%	38%	42%	50%	43%	42%	41%	34%	42%	42%	38%
Radio	10%	98	9%	16%	98	7%	13%	7%	8%	12%	12%	6¥	12%	8%	8%	10%	12%	10%	7%
Weekly newspapers	6%	7%	5%	+++ 6 %	5%	6%	7%	2%	5%	6*	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4 %	++ 5%	7%	5%
Daily newspapers	15%	9%	23%	11%	20%	11%	16%	19%	19%	13%	13%	16%	16%	14%	13%	21%	15%	15%	16%
Mail	12%	13%	+++ 6*	15%	13%	13%	14%	11%	10%	13%	12%	15%	15%	10%	11%	98	14%	10%	10%
The Internet	11%	14%	11%	98	48	15%	10%	14%	15%	12%	10%	2%	++ 6%	15%	14%	17%	8%	13%	18%
Posters	2%	2%	2%	18	 5 % (1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	* + 3 *	2%	2%	++++ 2%
Telephone	0%	0%	0%	2%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0 %	0%	1%	++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Personal visits	2%	2%	2 %	++++ 2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Other	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.10)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On Reserve Survey
And of(READ LIST), which one is the MOST useful?

		Mother Tongue			Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of		oyment	Туре		of Cor volveme	mmunity ent
: :	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
PREF1																				
Unweighted n: Television	1309 42%	642 38%	36 36%	628 46%	548 42%	761 41%	822 39%	487 45%	141 48%	228 39%	86 39*	327 37%	606 43%	133 30%	496 40%	314 39%	496 44%	343 37%	568 41%	396 45%
Radio	10%	10%	21%	+++ 9%	9%	11%	11%	8 ¥	++ 6*	12%	17%	10%	10%	12%	11%	11%	8%	12%	9%	10%
Weekly newspapers	6%	5%	++ 9%	7%	6%	5%	6 %	- 5%	4%	8%	++ 9*	5%	6%	9%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	7%
Daily newspapers	15%	16%	14%	15%	16%	15%	14%	18%	18%	17%	10%	15%	17%	14%	15%	17%	15%	15%	15%	15%
Mail	12%	14%	8%	10%	9%	15%	14%	10%	10%	14%	7%	12%	11%	15%	12%	10%	13%	12%	13%	12%
The Internet	11%	14%	5%	8%	12%	+++ 9%	12%	98	9%	8%	12%	14%	10%	16%	12%	10%	10%	13%	13%	7%
Posters	2%	3%	0%	1%	2*	- 2₹	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	* * * *	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3 *	2%
Telephone	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Personal visits	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	+++ 1%	_	1%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0 %	0%	5%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0 *	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Chi ² :	_	(.01)	++++		(.05)		(.05)		(.01)	_	++++	_	(.10)	_	-	_		(.05)	-	+
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	4	3	4	8	6	11	5	4	8	4	6	4	5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

INAC On-Reserve Survey And of (READ LIST), which one is the MOST useful?

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance l Gover		Contact C Last 3		-	rirst Na andard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
PREF1	 .																	
Unweighted n:	1309	657	416	216	338 32%	194 29%	266 38%	889 41%	273 43%	396 39%	553 45%	325 40%	321 30%	985 45%	341 37%	334 41%	399 45%	210 44%
Television	42%	49%	36%	31%	328	298	301	418	438	398	456	408	3016	425 ++++	3/16	419	*10*	***
Radio	10%	12%	10%	4%	7%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	11%	8%	11%	9%
Weekly newspapers	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	4%	7%	6%	5%	6%	4 %	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%
Daily newspapers	15%	16%	15%	15%	15%	21%	15%	15%	14%	15%	15%	15%	13%	16%	20%	10%	15%	15%
Mail	12%	12%	14%	8%	10%	13%	12%	12%	13%	13%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	16%	11%	13%
The Internet	11%	2%	13%	32%	28%	19%	15%	12%	9%	12%	9%	11%	19%	8%	11%	14%	9%	8%
Posters	2%	2%	2%	++++ 2%	**** 1%	2%	3 %	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Telephone	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Personal visits	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	*** 3%	1%	3%	2%	0%	2%
Other	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :			(.01)		(.10)			_		++			(.01)		(.05)			
±points around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

01/09/28

And of (READ LIST), which one is the MOST useful?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
PREF1 Unweighted n: Television	1309 42%	1206 41%	103 45%	298 45%	284 39%	194 38%	249 42%	177 39%
Radio	10%	10%	8%	98	9%	12%	7%	10%
Weekly newspapers	6%	6%	7%	7%	5%	4%	4%	8%
Daily newspapers	15%	15%	12%	12%	17%	16%	15%	19%
Mail	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%	15%	15%	11%
The Internet	11%	11%	12%	11%	14%	11%	13%	6%
Posters	2%	2%	0%	. 2%	+ 2%	2%	2 %	3%
Telephone	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Personal visits	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	++ 0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	- 3	10	- 6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
And of(READ LIST), which one is the second MOST useful?

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
PREF2 Unweighted n: Television	1171 25%	162 25%	180 24%	172 28%	183 23%	156 27%	154 25%	164 25%	250 25%	300 25%	466 25%	151 27%	517 24%	291 25%	239 26%	119 29%	478 26%	321 24%	210 24%
Radio	23%	15%	23%	27%	28%	21%	26%	24%	24%	26%	22%	22%	25%	26%	19%	18%	23%	26%	20%
Weekly newspapers	10%	8%	7%	8%	10%	14%	17 % +++	6 % -	11%	8%	10%	14%	11%	9%	11%	10%	11%	98	8 %
Daily newspapers	18%	24%	21%	12%	21%	17%	9%	20%	18%	19%	17%	18%	19%	18%	16%	16%	17%	19%	18%
Mail	11%	10%	12%	11%	10%	13%	9%	9%	11%	10%	11%	13%	12%	8%	12%	13%	11%	11%	12%
The Internet	7%	12%	9%	8%	5%	4%	9%	10%	9%	8%	8%	3%	5%	10%	10%	98	7%	7%	12%
Posters	4 %	+ + 4 %	4%	4 %	4%	3%	3%	4 %	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4 %	5%	4%	4%	
Telephone	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Personal visits	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	1 % ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	8	7	7	7	8	8	8	6	6	5	8	4	6	6	9	4	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

And of (READ LIST), which one is the second MOST useful?

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Ger	der	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Cor olveme	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
PREF2 Unweighted n:	1171	570	32	567	477	694	741	430	126	214	70	298	552	120	450	284	434	309	517	344
Television	25%	28%	22%	22%	27%	23%	25%	25%	28%	26%	24%	27%	25%	35%	26%	27%	23%	26%	25%	24%
Radio	23%	20%	23%	27 %	23%	24%	25%	21%	26%	26%	20%	22%	24%	21%	22%	26%	23%	21%	23%	25%
Weekly newspapers	10%	10%	19% +	9%	11%	10%	9%	13%	14%	9%	12%	7%	10%	8%	8%	12%	11%	9%	10%	12%
Daily newspapers	18%	19%	13%	17%	18%	18%	19%	17%	19%	18%	13%	16%	20% +++	7%	18%	16%	19%	17%	19%	17%
Mail	11%	10%	16%	12%	10%	12%	10%	13%	9%	6%	10%	11%	9%	11%	11%	7%	13% ++	13%	11%	10%
The Internet	7%	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%	2%	10%	13%	10%	7%	13%	8%	9%	6%	9%	7%	7%
Posters	4 %	3∜	0%	4%	3%	5 %	4%	3 %	1%	4 %	8%	6%	5%	5%	5%	4%	2%	3 %	4%	4%
Telephone	1%	0%	2 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Personal visits	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:		-			-		(.10)		-				(.05)		(.10)			-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	17	4	4	4	4	5	9	7	12	6	4	9	5	6	5	6	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

	Internet	Use	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. R			ormance 1 Govern		Contact Contact 3			irst Na ndard o		ıg
: Total	Non- User Light	: Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
PREF2 Unweighted n: 1171 Television 25%	573 387 23% 24%		310 27%	179 27%	248 26%	806 25%	238	344 26%	501 23%	298 26%	290 24%	878 26%	296 26%	295 25%	368 26%	190 21%
Radio 23% Weekly newspapers 10%	27% 21% +++ 12% 8%		20% 9%	20% 7%	20% 9%	24% 10%	23% 13%	23% 10%	24% 11%	23% 8%	20% - 12%	25% + 10%	24% 9%	19% 10%	23% 8%	31% ++ 15%
Daily newspapers 18%	++ 19% 18%	15%	15%	16%	18%	18%	19%	17%	19%	17%	19%	18%	19%	18%	20%	+++ 14%
Mail 11% The Internet 7%	11% 12% 3% 11%		9% 14%	11%	12%	11% 9%	12%	11%	12% 5%	10%	12% 7%	11% 7%	10% 7%	14% + 7%	11% 8%	7% 7%
Posters 4%	3% 4%		3%,	5%	4 %	3%	6% ++	4 %	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%	5% ++	3%	3%
Telephone 1% Personal visits 1%	0% 1% 1% 0%		. 0% 1%	1% 0%	1% 1%	0% 1%	1%	1% 0%	0% 0%	1% 1%	1% 1%	0% 0%	1% 1%	1% 0%	0 % - 0 %	0% 1%
Other 0%	- 9 ه	. 0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+ 1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR 0%	0% 09	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: 3	4 5	- 5 7	6	7	6	3	6	5	4	6	6	3	6	. 6	5	7

0 7 1197 28

And of (READ LIST), which one is the second MOST useful?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
:	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
PREF2	· ·							
Unweighted n:	1171	1081	90	270	271	167	217	156
Television	25%	26%	16%	23%	26%	27%	29%	24%
Radio	23%	+ 24%	15%	20%	28%	24%	21%	23%
		+	-		++			
Weekly newspapers	10%	10%	13%	11%	10%	11%	7%	10%
Daily newspapers	18%	17%	33%	21%	17%	19%	19%	15%
Mail	11%	11%	++++ 5%	10%	11%	9%	10%	14%
The Internet	7%	7 %	- 7%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%
Posters	4 %	3%	7%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%
m-1		-	+	1.0			0.0	
Telephone	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Personal visits	1%	0%	2%	++ 1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
							+	1.0
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
on in		(01)	++++	+				
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 3	10	- 6	6	8	7	8
fbornes around 50%:	3	3	10	0	0	0	,	•

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
And of(READ LIST), which one is the third MOST useful?

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
PREF3		 -																	
Unweighted n:	970	132	157	144	150	125	130	132	211	253	389	113	430	237	199	101	402	263	182
Television	10%	98	12%	10%	12%	6%		98	8%	13%	12%	7%	11%	10%	11%	8 %	88	15% ++	13%
Radio	24%	24%	27%	24%	21%	24%	++ 18%	32%	27%	19%	21%	32%	25%	25%	22%	19%	23%	24%	22%
								++				++	• • • •		1-0	100	100	1.50	1 20
Weekly newspapers	16%	16%	15%	21%	17%	15%	13%	13%	18%	14%	16%	17%	18%	14%	15%	19%	19%	15%	13%
Daily newspapers	14%	11%	14%	10%	16%	19%	10%	18%	12%	14%	15%	13%	13%	14%	17%	14%	15%	14%	14%
Mail	16%	19%	14%	13%	21%	17%	12%	13%	14%	18%	16%	17%	16%	17%	14%	17%	16%	14%	18%
The Internet	10%	9%	10%	13%	4%	11%		5%	11%	11%	10%	5%	10%	7%	11%	17%	8%	10%	10%
Posters	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	+++ 10%	6%	98	88	5%	- 7%	7%	11%	5%	++ 3%	9%	7%	7%
					•						-			++		-			
Telephone	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
		++++	• •		7.0			1.0	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	+++ 1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Personal visits	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0 %	1.4	1.4	0.8	0 8	1.4	7.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	1.6
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
							20	10	0%	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	++ 0%	0%	1%	09
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2% +++	1%	Uŧ	1%	1.4	28	1.5	13	0.8	0.6	0.6	15	
Chi ² :		(.10)					777		_				(.10)				_	**	
±points around 50%:	3	9	8	8	8	9	9	9	7	6	5	9	5	6	7	10	5	6	7

		Mother Tongue		Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Com	munity	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
PREF3 Unweighted n: Television	970 10%	463	27 21%	479 12%	403	567 11%	611	359 8%	104	177	55 8%	253 12%	463 10%	96 11%	376 12%	234 9%	357 10%	257 11%	425 11%	288
Radio	24%	24%	15%	24%	27%	20%	23%	24%	26%	16%	26%	22%	22%	22%	21%	22%	27%	23%	24%	24%
Weekly newspapers	16%	17%	20%	15%	++ 17%	16%	15%	19%	13%	17%	21%	15%	16%	17%	17%	16%	++ 16%	17%	16%	16%
Daily newspapers	14%	14%	7%	14%	12%	16%	14%	14%	12%	12%	8%	19%	15%	13%	14%	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%
Mail	16%	17%	9%	16%	16%	16%	17%	14%	27%	17%	19%	11%	16%	20%	17%	15%	16%	12%	17%	18%
The Internet	10%	11%	26%	98	88	12%	9%	12%	14%	12%	8%	11%	11%	10%	10%	13%	8%	11%	10%	8%
Posters	88	7%	2%	98	68	98	98	6%	4 %	10%	3%	7%	6%	5%	7%	*+ 8*	8%	98	7%	8%
Telephone	18	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	18	1%	0%	1%	4%	2%	1%	18	28	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Personal visits	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	++ 1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	08	08	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	08	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	0 %	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	- 5	19	4	(.05) 5	4	4	5	(.05) 10	7	13	6	- 5	10	- 5	6	5	- 6	5	6

INAC On-Reserve Survey
And of(READ LIST), which one is the third MOST useful?

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E Next 12			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
PREF3														700			206	160
Unweighted n: Television	970 10%	459 10%	325 10%	176 11%	260 12%	154 13%	226 7%	672 12%	198 8%	283 9%	416 12%	251 8%	248 15% ++	720 9%	250 11%	236 12%	306 8%	160 11%
Radio	24%	27%	23%	16%	19%	18%	23%	22%	24%	25%	22%	25%	21%	24%	23%	22%	28 % ++	18%
Weekly newspapers	16%	15%	19%	14%	16%	16%	15%	17%	14%	14%	20%	13%	15%	17%	14%	16%	18%	
Daily newspapers	14%	12%	14%	18%	16%	15%	16%	14%	13%	14%	14%	14%	17%	13%	14%	17%	11%	14%
Mail	16%	17%	17%	13%	15%	9 % 	18%	16%	16%	14%	17%	18%	12%	18% ++	15%	16%	16%	
The Internet	10%	8 %	10%	16%	14%	16%	13%	10%	13%	13%	8%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	9%	
Posters	8%	9%	5%	9%	6 % .	8%	5%	7%	8%	7%	6 % -	10% +	6%	8%	10%	5% -	8 %	
Telephone	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2 % ++	1%	0%	2%	1%	2% ++	1%	0%	
Personal visits	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3 % +	1%		1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	5	- 5	7	6	8	7	4	7	6	5	6	(.10) 6	4	6	6	6	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

And of (READ LIST), which one is the third MOST useful?

÷

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
PREF3 Unweighted n: Television	970 10%	893 10%	77 12%	224 6%	236 13%	131	175 11%	132 11%
Radio	24%	23%	27%	24%	24%	27%	26%	20%
Weekly newspapers	16%	17%	11%	16%	14%	22%	15%	18%
Daily newspapers	14%	14%	14%	16%	13%	+ 10%	12%	18%
Mail	16%	16%	12%	18%	19%	12%	11%	18%
The Internet	10%	10%	13%	10%	9%	8%	15%	7%
Posters	8%	8 %	7%	, 7%	7%	10%	++ 7%	6%
Telephone	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%
Personal visits	1%	18	1%	1%	0%	1%	+++ 0%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	0%	2% ++	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	3	11	7	6	9	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal television?

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
:	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	Ls Hs	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
TV		151		151	122	146	150	162	220	274	457	158	510	266	218	112	453	312	198
Unweighted n: Aboriginal	1112 54%	151 56%	166 56%	151 55%	177 58%	146 47%	159 47%	59%	56 %	51%	53%	54%	61%	50%	43%	46%	59%	52%	42%
Non-Aboriginal	34%	31%	33%	30%	32%	38%	43%	30%	34%	37%	33%	35%	30%	34%	45%	41%	31%	37%	40%
DK/NR	12%	12%	11%	15%	10%	15%	10%	11%	98	12%	15%	11%	10%	16%	13%	14%	10%	11%	19%
Chi ² :		_							-		++		.01	++			.01		+++
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	6	5	8	4	6	7	9	5	6	7

		Moth	Mother Tongue ===			der	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment	Туре		of Com	nmunity
	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
TV																				
Unweighted n:	1112	526	34	550	473	639	691	421	122	197	69	275	517	110	421	265	423	290	485	335
Aboriginal	54%	498	35%	60%	53%	54%	52%	56%	56%	56%	46%	50%	56₺	45%	50%	57%	55%	51%	51%	58%
				++++									++		-					++
Non-Aboriginal	34%	38%	55%	29%	33%	35%	35%	33%	33%	34%	30%	35%	32%	38₺	35%	32%	34%	35%	37%	30%
•		+++	+++																+	
DK/NR	12%	13%	10%	12%	13%	11%	13%	12%	11%	10%	24%	15%	11%	17%	15%	11%	11%	14%	11%	12%
										-	+++				+					
Chi²:		(.01)			-		-		-				.10		-			-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	17	4	5	4	4	5	9	7	12	6	4	9	5	6	5	6	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

01/09/28 Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal television?

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Govern		Contact 1	•		irst Na ndard o		ng
:	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
TV																		
Unweighted n:	1112	586	337	173	260	152	222	745	240	330	480	273	255	855	273	284	347	187
Aboriginal	54%	57%	52%	45%	48%	47%	52%	53%	59%	56%	53%	52%	47%	55%	51%	51%	54%	62%
		+++												++				++
Non-Aboriginal	34%	31%	35%	45%	42%	35%	37%	35%	29%	32%	35%	36%	39%	33%	37%	34%	34%	31%
				++									+	-				
DK/NR	12%	12%	13%	10%	11%	18%	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	12%	14%	12%	12%	15%	12%	7%
						++										+		
Chi ² :			-		-			-		_			.10		-			,
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	6	8	7	4	6	5	4	6	6	3	6	6	5	7

	_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
TV								
Unweighted n:	1112	1026	86	251	246	164	218	143
Aboriginal	54%	54%	51%	52%	54%	45%	60%	62%
_							+	+
Non-Aboriginal	34%	34%	35%	36%	37%	40%	26%	32%
DK/NR	12%	12%	14%	12%	98	16%	14%	6%
						+		-
Chi ² :		-		. 01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	11	6	6	8	7	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal radio?

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25~34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
RADIO																			
Unweighted n:	948	120	136	154	147	124	132	135	197	246	382	123	444	238	173	88	405	266	149
Aboriginal	60%	51%	69%	69%	70%	44%	65%	49%	64%	60%	62%	51%	65%	58%	55%	54%	65%	59%	50%
3			++	++	+++								+++				+++		
Non-Aboriginal	31%	38%	25%	23%	23%	41%	29%	45%	29%	32%	30%	37%	27%	35%	35%	33%	30%	31%	38%
		+				+++		++++						+					+
DK/NR	9%	11%	5%	8%	7%	14%	6%	68	8 %	88	9%	12%	8%	7%	10%	13%	5%	10%	12%
211, 2111	-					+++													+
Chi²:		(.01)							-				-				.01	4	
tpoints around 50%:	3	9	8	8	8	9	9	8	7	6	5	9	5	6	7	10	5	6	8

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	der .	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect		Empl. (oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
RADIO																				
Unweighted n:	948	456	28	462	398	550	607	341	111	169	64	232	456	96	352	245	348	245	404	298
Aboriginal	60%	53%	39%	70% ++++	62%	59%	61%	59%	63%	63%	52%	57%	62%	55%	59%	60%	62%	56%	61%	62%
Non-Aboriginal	31%	37 % ++++	55%	22%	31%	30%	30%	32%	31%	27%	38%	35%	30%	36%	32%	31%	30%	34%	30%	29%
DK/NR	9%	9%	6%	8 %	7%	11%	9%	8%	7%	10%	10%	88	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%
Chi²:		(.01)			_	·	_		_				_		-			-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	5	19	5	5	4	4	5	9	8	12	6	5	10	5	6	5	6	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

01/09/28

Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal radio?

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact v	•	-	irst Nat Indard of		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
RADIO																		
Unweighted n:	948	503	296	139	221	129	193	645	198	261	409	252	229	716	242	226	301	162
Aboriginal	60%	64%	55%	59%	52%	47%	63%	62%	58%	63%	59%	61%	52%	63%	59%	58%	59%	67%
		++					+++							+++				+
Non-Aboriginal	31%	298	36%	27%	37%	39%	29%	30%	33%	30%	31%	34%	40%	28%	32%	30%	33%	27%
			+	-									++++					
DK/NR	98	7%	9%	14%	11%	14%	88	88	98	88	10%	68	88	98	98	11%	88	68
		-									+	-				+		
Chi ² :			-		.05			_		-			.01		-			•
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	6	8	7	9	7	4	7	6	5	6	6	4	6	7	6	8

		Locat	ion	•		Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
RADIO								
Unweighted n:	948	885	63	220	220	135	172	122
Aboriginal	60%	61%	45%	64%	59%	48%	70%	60%
		+++					+++	
Non-Aboriginal	31%	30%	40%	30%	35%	40%	21%	30%
		-	+			++		
DK/NR	98	88	15%	68	6%	12%	9%	10%
		-	+			++		
Chi²:		(.05)		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	12	7	7	8	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

•				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<2 5	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
NEWS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
Unweighted n:	1044	144	165	138	163	146	137	151	242	257	397	144	476	263	194	106	443	267	183
Aboriginal	55%	53%	58%	56%	63%	50%	51%	54%	54%	51%	60%	54%	58%	53%	53%	51%	57%	56%	48%
3					++						++		+						
Non-Aboriginal	36%	41%	35%	39%	28%	38%	39%	35%	40%	40%	29%	38%	34%	37%	37%	38%	37%	34%	39%
DK/NR	9%	7%	7%	6%	9%	12%	10%	11%	6%	9%	10%	7%	7%	10%	10%	11%	6%	10%	13%
						+			-		+								++
Chi ² :		_							. 05				-				. 05		
+points around 50%:	3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	5	8	4	6	7	10	5	6	7

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Cor olveme	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
NEWS			26					400		106	61	250	482	104	374	262	405	277	457	308
Unweighted n: Aboriginal	1044 55%	516 53%	26 43%	500 58%	442 53%	602 58%	636 55%	408 56%	116 53%	186 56%	55%	52%	57%	49%	-			55%	57%	
Non-Aboriginal	36%	38%	54%	32%	37%	35%	36%	35%	42%	33%	34%	36%	34%	39%	38%	34%	36%	35%	34%	39%
DK/NR	9%	8%	3%	10%	10%	88	9%	8%	5 %	11%	11%	12%	98	12%	11%	9%		10%	9%	7%
Chi²:		(.10)			_		_						_		-			-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	19	4	5	4	4	5	/ 9	7	13	6	4	10	5	6	5	6	5	6

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal newspapers?

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact (•		irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
NEWS																		
Unweighted n:	1044	516	338	175	265	154	228	709	214	313	441	267	251	791	271	263	328	165
Aboriginal	55%	59 %	51%	53%	49%	43%	57% ++	55%	58%	62% +++	51%	56%	57%	55%	57%	51%	56%	58%
Non-Aboriginal	36%	34%	40%	35%	42%	44%	32%	36%	36%	28%	40%	38%	35%	37%	30%	39%	39%	39%
DK/NR	9%	7%	9%	11%	9%	13%	10%	9%	6%	10%	++ 9%	6%	9%	8%	13%	11%	5%	4%
Oh i a												-			+++			
Chi ² :	_		_	_	.10	_	_	-		. 01			-		. 01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	6	8	6	4	7	6	5	6	6	3	6	6	5	8

	_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NEWS								
Unweighted n:	1044	955	89	237	238	153	192	144
Aboriginal	55%	55%	57%	52%	56%	50%	64%	59%
						-	++	
Non-Aboriginal	36%	36%	36%	39%	37%	43%	28%	34%
						+		
DK/NR	9%	9%	7%	98	7%	7%	9%	7%
Chi ² :		_		-				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	10	6	6′	8	7	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
In what language would you like to receive information from the Government of Canada?

:				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
!	Total	ВC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q15 Unweighted n: English	1391 84%	194 98%	194 89%	196 77%	211 87%	195 91%	198 52%	203 83%	285 85%	340 86%	565 82%	198 84%	637 82%	334 87%	269 88%	144 84%	563 81%	381 84%	248
French	4 %	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	34%	0%	3 %	3%	5%	6%	48	4 %	4%	4%	5%	5%	*++ 3%
Ojibway	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5% ++++	++++ 0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3% ++	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Cree	6%	0%	10%	22%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	7%	3%	8 % +++	6%	3%	3 %	8%	6%	3%
Montagnais	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7% ++++	0%	1%	2% ++	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Mic Mac	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	16%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Mohawk	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3 %	7% ++++	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3 %	2 %	1%	1%
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	2*	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2 % +	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01)	7	7	7	7	7	7	(.05) 6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

INAC On-Reserve Survey
In what language would you like to receive information from the Government of Canada?

	:		Moth	ner Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Co	mmunity ent
	1	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q15																					
English	Unweighted n:	1391 84%	686 94%	38 15%	664 778	595 80%	796 88%	864 85%	527 83%	149 84%	243 82%	93 90%	339 86%	640 84%	137 92%	523 85%	334 85%	531 83%	367 84%	596 85%	425 83%
French		4%	++++ 0%	85%	4%	4%	4 * 4 *	3%	5%	4%	- 6%	48	3%	4 %	++ 3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%
Ojibway		2%	1%	++++ 0%	3%	2%	2%	2%	+ 1%	1%	+ 1%	0%	- 2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2 %	2%	1%	2%
Cree		6%	2%	0%	++ 11%	98	4%	7%	5%	9%	4%	5%	4 %	5%	3 %	4%	78	8%	4%	- 6*	8%
Montagnai	is	1%	0%	0%	++++ 2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	++ 1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	++ 1%	1%	1%	1%
Mic Mac		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	+++ 1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Mohawk		1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	28	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Dakota		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	++ 1%	1%
+ noir	Chi ² :	3	(.01)	16	++	(.01)	2	(.10)	4	(.10)	6	10	5	-	8	-	+		- 5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
In what language would you like to receive information from the Government of Canada?

			Int	ernet (Ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3	w/ Gov'		First Na andard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	- No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q15				422	210	247	105	278	930	300	421	591	339	333	1055	358	364	420	221
English	Unweighted n:	1391 84%	713 83%	433 85%	218 85%	347 87%	195 88%	81%	84%	83%	85%	84%	83%	86%	83%	86%	85%	86%	77%
French		4 %	3 %	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4 %	4%	2%	6 % +++	4 %	3%	4 %	1%	5%	5%	7 % ++
Ojibway		2%	2%	2 % ++	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2 %	2%	1%	
Cree		6%	8% ++	5%	3%	2%	2%	98 ++++	7%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7 %	6%	4 %	6%	12%
Montagnai	s	1%	1%	1%	2%	2% ++	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Mic Mac		1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Mohawk		1%	1%	1 % 	3% +++	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2*	2%	1%	3 % +++	1%	0%	
Dakota		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% +++	0%	
DK/NR		1%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0 % -	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
±poin	Chi ² : ts around 50%:	3	4	(.10) 5	7	(.01) 5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	- 5	3	(.01) 5	5	5	7

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q15								
Unweighted n:	1391	1280	111	300	297	216	271	194
English	84%	84%	85%	85%	82%	88%	82%	84%
French	4%	4%	8%	5%	5%	+	2.0	2.0
riench	4.5	4.4	01	216	51	1%	3%	3%
Ojibway	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	5%
-37			0.0	-	-	2 0	1.0	++++
Cree	6%	7%	0%	8%	88	6%	5%	
		+++					-	7.
Montagnais	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Mic Mac	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%
			++				++	
Mohawk	1%	1%	0%	` 1%	1%	0%	4 %	1%
						-	++++	
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	1%	0%
P.V. (177)							++	
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi²:		(01)	+	(01)				
±points around 50%:	3	(.01)	9	(.01)	_	-	_	_
I Politica atound 304:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey I think the information from the Government of Canada is generally easy to understand.

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q17A Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Disagree	27%	24%	23%	26%	31%	298	26%	33%	23%	24%	30%	33%	29%	24%	26%	29%	26%	29%	27%
Neither	22%	27%	26%	26%	20%	20%	18%	18%	20%	21%	24%	25%	23%	20%	23%	25%	24%	22%	22%
Agree	49%	47%	50%	47%	49%	49%	56%	48%	55 % ++	55 %	45%	40%	47%	55 %	50%	46%	49%	48%	50%
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	10%	6%	10%	9%	14%	10%	11%	12%	9%	88	10%	15%	12%	8%	10%	10%	10%	12%	9%
2	6%	9%	68	5%	6%	68	3%	8%	3 %	5%	8%	9%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	8%
3	11%	9%	6%	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	11%	11%
4 Neither	22%	27%	26%	26%	20%	20%	18%	18%	20%	21%	24%	25%	23%	20%	23%	25%	24%	22%	22%
5	18%	18%	15%	15%	20%	19%	17%	17%	20%	21%	15%	15%	16%	19%	19%	19%	14%	20%	25%
6	12%	13%	16%	11%	8%	11%	16%	15%	12%	14%	12%	8%	11%	15%	13%	9%	11%	13%	12%
7 Strongly agree	19%	16%	19%	22%	21%	18%	23%	17%	24%	20%	17%	17%	19%	21%	17%	18%	23%	16%	13%
Chi2:		-							(.01)				-				-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean:	1411	216	205	201	266	2 92	166	65	396	313	491	208	674	358	248	122	585	375	242
Mean:	4.48	4.49	4.56	4.54	4.34	4.43	4.68	4.32	4.73	4.63	4.34	4.10	4.39	4.66	4.47	4.36	4.55	4.33	4.36
Std dev:	1.87	1.71	1.87	1.84	2.00	1.85	1.91	1.95	1.83	1.81	1.87	1.96	1.91	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.89	1.86	1.73
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.10	. 05	.01	-	. 05	-	-	. 05	+	-

÷

INAC On-Reserve Survey I think the information from the Government of Canada is generally easy to understand.

			Moth	ner Tor	ngue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo		Some	Rare/ Never
Q17A	University by and an	1407		39	687	600	010	007	540												
Disagree	Unweighted n:	1427 27%	698 27%	26%	27%	609 28%	818 26%	887 27%	540 27%	151 31%	250 31%	97 27%	353 27%	663 29%	140 27%	545 30%	339 27%	540 25%	378 29%	612 26%	433 27%
Neither		22%	21%	14%	25%	22%	23%	21%	24%	20%	27%	23%	21%	23%	25%	24%	21%	22%	23%	21%	23%
Agree		49%	51%	60%	46%	49%	50%	51%	46%	49%	++ 41%	48%	51%	47%	48%	45%	51%	52%	47%	52%	48%
DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	+ 2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
1 Strongl	y disagree	10%	8%	6%	12%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	8%	9%	11%	10%	8%	11%	10%	8%	13%
2		6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%
3		11%	12%	13%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	15%	15%	10%	12%	13%	10%	13%	14%	8%	11%	12%	9%
4 Neither		22%	21%	14%	25%	22%	23%	21%	24%	20%	27%	23%	21%	23%	25%	24%	21%	22%	23%	21%	23%
5		18%	19%	22%	15%	18%	17%	18%	18%	19%	14%	20%	23%	18%	20%	19%	19%	16%	20%	20%	13%
6		12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	14%	9%	10%	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	13%	11%	12%	11%	13%	13%
7 Strongl	y agree	19%	20%	26%	19%	19%	20%	19%	20%	20%	15%	17%	14%	17%	16%	14%	21%	24%	17%	19%	22%
	Chi²:		-			-		.10		-				-		-			(.05)		
	ts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5
Weight	ed n for mean:	1411	717	35	653	716	695	850	561	158	243	91	315	614	149	501	335	571	345	608	454
	Mean:	4.48	4.54	4.78	4.40	4.43	4.52	4.52	4.42	4.43	4.26	4.39	4.46	4.40	4.38	4.29	4.57	4.60		4.55	4.48
	Std dev:	1.87	1.83	1.88	1.91	1.89	1.85	1.87	1.88	1.88	1.81	1.86	1.74	1.82	1.82	1.79	1.83	1.94		1.79	2.00
	Student's t:	*	_	~	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	.01	-	.10	_	_	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think the information from the Government of Canada is generally easy to understand.

			Int	ernet (se	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
•	:	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q17A	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Disagree	onweighted in	27%	29%	26%	23%	23%	24%	26%	24%	38%	42%	23%	17%	29%	26%	36%	28%	23%	20%
Neither		22%	25%	20%	19%	21%	20%	19%	21%	++++ 27% ++	21%	26%	18%	22%	23%	22%	24%	22%	24%
Agree		49%	+++ 45%	53%	57%	56%	56%	54%	54%	35%	36%	51%	64%	49%	49%	42%	47%	55%	
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	++++	1%	1%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	+++ 1%	18
1 Strongly	y disagree	10%	11%	10%	8%	8%	8%	10%	98	14%	17%	8%	6%	98	10%	16%	9*	9%	5%
2		6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	9%	5%	5%	9%	9%	5%	4%	6%	6%	98	7%	4%	5%
3		11%	11%	11%	9%	10%	7%	12%	10%	14%	15%	10%	7%	14%	10%	11%	13%	10%	10%
4 Neither		22%	25%	20%	19%	21%	20%	19%	21%	27%	21%	26%	18%	22%	23%	22%	24%	22%	24%
5		18%	13%	23 %	21%	24%	28%	16%	18%	12%	14%	17%	23%	20%	17%	21%	16%	17%	16%
6		12%	10%	11%	22%	15%	14%	15%	13%	8%	9%	12%	16%	12%	12%	11%	13%	11%	17%
7 Strongly	y agree	19%	21%	19%	14%	16%	14%	22%	22%	15%	12%	22%	25%	18%	20%	11%	18%	27%	22%
	Chi ² :			-					(.01)		(.01)			-		(.01)	_		
	ts around 50%: ed n for mean:	3 1411	4 726	5 4 50	7 211	5 3 2 9	7 192	6 307	3 946	6 303	5 414	4 606	5 355	5 335	3 1072	5 363	5 362	5 439	
weighte	Mean:	4.48	4.41	4.50	4.64	4.61	4.50	4.63	4.65	3.97	3.84	4.64	4.98	4.44	4.49	3.97	4.46	4.76	4.81
	Std dev:	1.87	1.91	1.85	1.74	1.73	1.75	1.90	1.84	1.89	1.92	1.81	1.72	1.81	1.89	1.88	1.83	1.90	1.73 .01
	Student's t:	*	_	_	-	-	-	-	, .01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	_	.01	-	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

I think the information from the Government of Canada is generally easy to understand.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
:	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q17A								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	27%	27%	28%	22%	9%	22%	37%	59%
Neither	22%	228	24%	26%	16%	1.09	++++	++++
Neither	226	22%	246		104	19%	25%	24%
Agree	49%	50%	46%	+ 52%	74%	58%	37%	15%
9200	1,70	500	100	520	++++	+++		
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	10%	10%	6%	- 5%	2%	9%	16%	26%
2	6%	6%	11%	3%	2%	5%	8%	17%
3	11%	11%	12%	14%	5%	8%	13%	17%
4 Neither	22%	22%	24%	26%	16%	19%	25%	24%
5	18%	18%	18%	21%	22%	15%	17%	7%
6	12%	12%	9%	13%	17%	19%	8%	2%
7 Strongly agree	19%	20%	19%	18%	35%	25%	12%	5₺
Chi²:		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1411	1316	94	322	317	215	259	
Mean:	4.48	4.48	4.43	4.67	5.46	4.82	3.91	
Std dev:	1.87	1.88	1.78	1.61	1.52	1.88	1.86	1.69
Student's t:	*	-	_	. 05	.01/	. 01	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada.

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	.Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q17B Unweighted n: Disagree Neither Agree	1427 15% 27% 55%	206 15% 21% 62% ++	200 19% + 23%	200 18% 34% ++ 46%	214 16% 34% ++ 49%	201 11% 27% 57%	25%	203 19% 20% 56%	289 14% 29% 55%	352 13% 23% 63%	579 15% 26% 57%	203 21% +++ 32% + 40%	652 17% ++ 32% ++++ 47%	342 16% 26% 57%	279 11% 17% 70%	147 11% 23% 65%	582 16% 31% +++ 50%	394 12% 27% 59%	251 15% 20% 63%
DK/NR	2%	2 %	1%	2%	1% - 6%	6% ++++	3%	4 % 9 %	2% 5%	1%	2 % 6 %	68 ++++ 98	4 % +++ 6 %	1% 7%	28	1%	3% + 7%	1% 5%	2% 5%
<pre>1 Strongly disagree 2</pre>	6% 4%	6 % 3%	10% 4%	6% 4%	4%	3%		5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
3	6%	6%	6%	98	7%	4%	2%	5%	5%	4%	6%	8%	7%	5%	3%	4 %	4%	4 %	7%
4 Neither	27%	21%	23%	34%	34%	27%	25%	20%	29%	23%	26%	32%	32%	26%	17%	23%	31%	27%	20%
5	16%	16%	18%	13%	15%	18%	15%	20%	18%	16%	17%	11%	16%	17%	17%	13%	17%	16%	17%
6	14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%	15%	13%	10%	17%	15%	12%	13%	12%	18%	15%	12%	18%	15%
7 Strongly agree	25%	33%	24%	19%	22%	24%	32%	24%	28%	30%	24%	17%	18%	27%	35%	36%	22%	26%	31%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1392 4.92 1.72	(.01) 7 218 5.12 1.79 .10	7 206 4.78 1.86	7 199 4.66 1.67	7 264 4.74 1.69	7 280 5.07 1.57	5.24	7 63 4.77 1.87	.01 6 394 4.95 1.69	5 310 5.17 1.69	4 484 4.92 1.72	7 199 4.45 1.78	(.01) 4 659 4.66 1.67	5 359 4.91 1.79	6 245 5.39 1.66	8 121 5.33 1.66 .01	.01 4 575 4.74 1.73	5 374 5.05 1.67	6 240 5.14 1.73

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada.

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Com	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q17B Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 15%	698 12%	39 6 %	687 19%	609 15%	818 15%	887 15%	540 16%	151 19%	250 15%	97 12%	353 12%	663 15%	140 13%	545 14%	339 16%	540 16%	378 16%	612 15%	433
Neither	27%	26%	20%	29%	26%	29%	27%	28%	27%	32%	21%	22%	25%	30%	25%	28%	29%	28%	26%	28%
Agree	55%	60%	70%	49%	58%	53%	56%	54%	53%	+++ 50%	60%	65%	58%	55%	59%	55%	53%	55%	58%	53%
DK/NR	2%	2%	5%	3 %	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	5%
1 Strongly disagree	6%	4 %	2%	8%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%	+++ 7%	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	7%	4%	++++ 7%
2	4%	3%	2%	4 %	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3 %	3%	3%	4%	4 %	3 %	6%	1%
3	6%	5%	2%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	98	6%	4%	68	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%
4 Neither	27%	26%	20%	29%	26%	29%	27%	28%	27%	32%	21%	22%	25%	30%	25%	28%	29%	28%	26%	28%
5	16%	17%	18%	15%	17%	16%	17%	15%	24%	18%	17%	16%	19%	14%	15%	22%	14%	18%	15%	17%
6	14%	14%	13%	13%	15%	13%	14%	13%	12%	13%	14%	16%	14%	15%	16%	12%	13%	13%	16%	11%
7 Strongly agree	25%	29%	39%	21%	26%	24%	25%	26%	17%	19%	29%	32%	24%	26%	28%	20%	26%	24%	26%	25%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1392	(.01) 4 716	16 34	4 637	.10 4 714	3 678	- 3 834	4 557	(.01) 8 157	6 237	10 86	5 317	- 4 608	8 146	- 4 493	5 333	4 562	.05 5 341	4 603	5 443
Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4.92 1.72 *	5.12 1.65 .01	5.56 1.51 .05	4.67 1.78 .01	4.97 1.72	4.86 1.72	4.93 1.70	4.89 1.76	4.68 1.60	4.71 1.65 .01	5.13 1.76	5.27 1.61 .01	4.95 1.66	4.97 1.71	5.06 1.69 .05	4.80 1.62	4.87 1.79	4.85	4.98 1.71	4.90 1.74

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada.

			Int	ernet U	ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E Next 12			ormance l Govern		ontact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ıg
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q17B	Jnweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Disagree	mweighted II.	15%	18%	12%	11%	9%	10%	14%	14%	20%	21%	12%	13%	13%	16%	19%	13%	14%	15%
Neither		27%	32%	26%	15%	21%	17%	25%	27%	26%	23%	33%	24%	21%	29%	21%	26%	33%	28%
Agree		55%	46%	61%	74%	69%	73%	60%	57 % ++	51%	53∜	53%	63% ++++	64%	52%	59 %	58%	50%	55%
DK/NR		2%	4 %	1%	9	0%	0%	2%	2%	3 %	3%	2%	1%	1%	3 % ++	1%	3 %	3 %	2%
1 Strongly	disagree	6%	+++ 7%	4%	5%	3%	4%	++ 6%	5%	9%	10%	4 %	4 %	4%	6%	9%	4 %	6%	5%
2		4 %	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	4 %	3 %	4 %	3 %	3%	4 %	4 %	4%	3 %	4 %
3		6%	8%	4 %	3%	4%.	4%	3 %	5%	7%	8%	4 %	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%
4 Neither		27%	32%	26%	15%	21%	17%	25%	27%	26%	23%	33%	24%	21%	29%	21%	26%	33%	28%
5		16%	13%	18%	23%	19%	17%	21%	16%	14%	14%	18%	16%	15%	17%	16%	15%	15%	19%
6		14%	13%	14%	16%	16%	19%	11%	14%	14%	14%	13%	16%	20%	12%	16%	13%	12%	14%
7 Strongly	agree	25%	20%	29%	34%	34%	37%	28%	27%	23%	25%	22%	31%	29%	24%	27%	30%	22%	22%
	Chi ² :			(.01)	_	(.05)	_	_	.05		.01		-	.01	2	(.01)	_	-	-
	around 50%: In for mean:	3 1392	4 709	5 446	7 212	5 330	7 193	6 303	3 939	6 298	5 407	4 596	5 354	5 333	3 1056	5 362	5 358	5 431	219
3	Mean: Std dev:	4.92 1.72	4.67	5.09 1.67	5.33 1.69	5.35 1.59	5.45 1.63	4.99	5.01 1.68	4.71	4.74	4.87	5.19 1.64	5.20 1.63	4.83	4.90 1.87	5.11 1.68	4.81	4.86
	Student's t:	1./2	.01	.10	.10	1.59	.05	.01		.05	.05	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	.10	-

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I can definitely tell if an advertisement or publication is sponsored by the Government of Canada.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q17B			· · · · · ·					
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	15%	15%	14%	8%	10%	12%	22%	30₺
Neither	27%	28%	15%	33%	21%	25%	++++ 29%	++++ 32%
110101101	2,0	+++	130	++	218	238	298	348
Agree	55%	54%	66%	58%	69%	59%	48%	36%
			++		++++			
DK/NR	2 %	2%	6%	1%	0%	3%	1%	3%
1.05			++			++		
1 Strongly disagree	6%	6%	6₩	2%	1%	6%	7%	16%
2	4 %	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%	3%
3	6%	6%	4%	. 4%	6%	3%	9%	11%
4 Neither	27%	28%	15%	33%	21%	25%	29%	32%
5	16%	16%	13%	18%	19%	19%	14%	12%
6	14%	13%	17%	15%	15%	14%	12%	7%
7 Strongly agree	25%	25%	35%	25₺	36%	26%	22%	16%
Chi ² :		(.01)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1392	1301	90	319	318	209	259	192
Mean:	4.92	4.89	5.31	5.09	5.40	5.02	4.62	4.13
Std dev:	1.72	1.71	1.83	1.47	1.52	1.71	1.80	1.90
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	. 05	.01/	· -	. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q17C Unweighted n: Disagree Neither	1427 29% 31%	206 29% 30%	200 22% 34%	200 25% 34%	214 32% 34%	201 32% 29%	203 29% 28%	203 28% 27%	289 23% 30%	352 26% 27%	579 32% ++ 35% +	203 35% ++ 34%	652 28% 33%	342 27% 31%	279 30% 29%	147 38% ++ 29%	582 25% 34%	394 32% 29%	251 33% + 29%
Agree DK/NR	39%	38%	43% 1%	40%	34% - 0%	39%	41% 1%	44% 0%	46%	47% +++ 1%	32% 1%	31% 1%	38% 1%	41% 1%	1%	33%	39%	37% 1%	38%
1 Strongly disagree 2 3	12% 7% 10%	12% 8% 9%	8% 4% 10%	9% 4% 12%	16% 6% 11%	11% 11% 10%	14% 6% 9%	10% 9% 9%	10% 5% 8%	9% 7% 11%	13% 8% 10%	14% 10% 11%	11% 7% 9%	12% 5% 9%	10% 9% 11%	14% 9% 15%	10% 7% 8%	14% 8% 11%	11% 8% 15%
4 Neither	31%	30% 16%	34% 17%	34% 15%	34% 12%	29% 15%	28% 18%	27% 17%	30% 18%	27% 17%	35% 12%	34%	33% 15%	31% 16%	29% 18%	29% 14%	34% 16%	29% 16%	29% 15%
6 7 Strongly agree	11% 13%	11%	12%	12% 13%	9% 13%	11% 13%	11% 12%	14% 13%	14% 13%	15% 15%	8% 12%	6% 10%	10% 14%	13% 12%	12% 10%	7% 12%	10% 13%	11% 11%	10%
Chi': ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1412 4.17 1.78	7 215 4.10 1.78	7 207 4.41 1.68 .05	7 200 4.31 1.70	7 265 3.99 1.84	7 295 4.10 1.80	7 164 4.13 1.83	7 66 4.28 1.81	(.01) 6 399 4.38 1.74 .05	5 313 4.40 1.77	4 488 3.98 1.79	7 210 3.85 1.76	4 676 4.18 1.79	5 358 4.22 1.78	6 248 4.14 1.72	8 122 3.90 1.82	(.10) 4 585 4.23 1.73	5 375 4.02 1.80	6 244 4.10 1.76

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect	.or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Cor	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q17C Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 29%	698 29%	39 35%	687 27%	609 29%	818 28%	887 26%	540 33% +++	151 28%	250 28%	97 32%	353 30%	663 27%	140 33%	545 31%	339 26%	540 28%	378 31%	612 29%	433
Neither Agree	31% 39%	29% - 40%	15% 49%	35% ++ 37%	32% 38%	30% 40%	31% 42% +++	32%	24% 48% +	31% 40%	28* 39*	30% 39%	30% 41%	26% 41%	29% 38%	28% 45% +++	35% ++ 36%	33% 35%	28% 42% ++	34% + 38%
DK/NR 1 Strongly disagree	1% 12%	1% 11%	0% 20%	1% 12%	1% 14%	1% 10%	1% 9%	2% 15%	0% 12%	2% 13%	0% 16%	1% 9%	1% 10%	0% 19%	1% 13%	1% 11%	1% 11%	1% 13%	1% 11%	2% 12%
2	7% 10%	7% 11%	6% 9%	7 % 9 %	6% 10%	9% 10%	7% 10%	7% 10%	7% 8%	6% 9%	7% 9%	8% 13%	7% 10%	6% 8%	7% 12%	6% 9%	8 % 9 %	9% 10%	7% 11%	6% 9%
4 Neither 5	31% 15%	29% 18%	15% 26%	35% 12%	32% 15%	30% 15%	31% 15%	32% 16%	24% 21%	31% 18%	28% 20%	30% 16%	30% 19%	26% 19%	29% 17%	28% 19%	35% 12%	33% 14%	28% 19%	34% 12%
6 7 Strongly agree	11% 13%	10% 12%	9% 15%	11% 14%	10% 12%	11% 13%	13% 14%	8% 10%	14% 12%	10% 12%	6% 14%	12% 11%	10% 12%	12% 11%	10% 11%	12% 15%	11% 13%	10% 12%	12% 12%	10% 15%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1412 4.17 1.78	718 4.15 1.76	16 35 4.07 2.04	4 653 4.19 1.79	721 4.11 1.79	3 692 4.23 1.77	.01 3 850 4.33 1.76	4 563 3.92 1.79	8 158 4.26 1.83	6 240 4.16 1.78	10 92 4.02 1.88	5 316 4.18 1.72	4 615 4.22 1.74	8 149 3.98 1.91	(.10) 4 500 4.07 1.76	5 338 4.33 1.79	4 572 4.15 1.78	(.10) 5 345 4.03 1.79 .10	4 607 4.21 1.75	5 457 4.22 1.81

ı

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I generally believe the information I get from the Government of Canada.

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q17C Unweighted n: Disagree	1427	730 29%	447	223 28%	357 28%	203 25%	285 28%	954 26%	308 35%	438 47% ++++	599 23%	348 17%	345 31%	1079 28%	372 45% ++++	368 27%	431 19%	226 24%
Neither	31%	32%	31%	29%	30%	33%	32%	30%	37%	27%	38%	26%	30%	32%	28%	31%	35% ++	30%
Agree	39%	37%	40%	43%	42%	42%	40%	44%	25%	25%	39%	57 %	39%	39%	26%	40%	45%	46%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	12%	12%	12%	10%	10%	13%	10%	10%	17%	20%	8%	7%	12%	++ 12%	19%	10%	8%	9%
2	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%	6%	8%	14%	5%	3 %	8%	7 %	11%	7%	4 %	7%
3	10%	9%	10%	12%	12%	98	11%	10%	11%	12%	10%	6%	11%	10%	15%	10%	7%	8%
4 Neither	31%	32%	31%	29%	30%	3 3%	32%	30%	37%	27%	38%	26%	30%	32%	28%	31%	35%	30%
5	15%	13%	17%	20%	21%	198	14%	18%	8%	10%	16%	21%	17%	15%	11%	20%	15%	14%
6	11%	9%	14%	10%	10%	14%	13%	12%	10%	6%	10%	18%	13%	10%	78	11%	11%	17%
7 Strongly agree	13%	15%	98	12%	11%	9%	13%	14%	7%	9%	12%	18%	9%	14%	8%	98	19%	15%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	3 1412 4.17	4 727 4.15	- 5 449 4.15	7 213 4.23	5 329 4.19	7 193 4.20	6 306 4.25	(.01) 3 949 4.30	6 301 3.72	(.01) 5 414 3.47	4 604 4.29	5 353 4.76	5 335 4.07	3 1074 4.19	(.01) 5 363 3.53	5 362 4.16	5 441 4.56	7 223 4.43
Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	1.78	1.83	1.72	1.72	1.69	1.70	1.75	1.76	1.75	1.86	1.63	1.69	1.74	1.79	1.80	1.68	1.72	1.77

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I generally believe the information I get from the Government of Canada.

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q17C								
Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 29%	1313 28%	114 33%	310 23%	302 9%	219 20%	281 45%	197 55%
Disagree	296	20%	330	238		200	++++	++++
Neither	31%	31%	29%	32%	25%	35%	37%	32%
							++	
Agree	39%	39%	37%	44%	66%	44%	18%	13%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	++ 0%	**++ 0%	1%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	12%	12%	9%	5%	3%	7%	17%	31%
2	7%	7%	11%	4%	3%	7%	14%	11%
3	10%	10%	13%	, 14%	3%	6%	13%	12%
4 Neither	31%	31%	29%	32%	25%	35%	37%	32%
5	15%	16%	13%	24%	19%	13%	11%	5%
6	11%	11%	11%	11%	19%	14%	2%	5%
7 Strongly agree	13%	13%	13%	9%	28%	17%	5%	3%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1412	1318	95	321	318	215	262	196
Mean:	4.17	4.17	4.12		5.21	4.51	3.35	
Std dev:	1.78	1.78	1.79	1.46	1.56	1.73	1.57 .01	1.67
Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations governance?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q10A Unweighted n: Very aware	694	98 11%	96 14%	105 7%	100 13%	99 10 %	92 7%	104 8%	146 12%	177 7%	271 8%	97 17%	317	170 8%	129 7%	73 5%	288 12%	191 9%	122 9%
Somewhat aware	16%	18%	12%	13%	14%	19%	18%	14%	13%	18%	15%	++ 20%	16%	18%	15%	11%	19%	15%	13%
Not very aware	17%	16%	13%	18%	22%	15%	16%	17%	17%	18%	17%	13%	18%	13%	18%	16%	17%	14%	17%
Not at all aware	57%	55%	61%	62%	51%	54%	59%	60%	57%	57%	59%	50%	52%	60%	61%	67%	51%	62%	61%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0 %
Chi ² :	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	- 8	7	6	10	-	8	9	11	-	7	9

INAC On-Reserve Survey

01/09/28

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations governance?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Gen	nder	Child		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. (oyment	Туре		of Co olvem	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q10A					-															
Unweighted n:	694	339	22	331	300	394	422	272	83	116	42	168	328	62	263	164	265	169	289	234
Very aware	10%	88	2 %	14%	13%	7%	98	12%	78	28	14%	5%	5%	11%	68	68	16%	12%	88	12%
				+++	++					-	++			++			++++		_	
Somewhat aware	16%	15%	20%	16%	18%	14%	13%	19%	18%	13%	15%	17%	18%	5%	16%	15%	16%	20%	14%	15%
								++					++					+		
Not very aware	17%	16%	28%	17%	16%	17%	18%	15%	18%	17%	22%	15%	19%	15%	15%	21%	16%	16%	18%	16%
Not at all aware	57%	60%	49%	53%	53%	60%	59%	53%	55%	67%	48%	62%	59%	69%	62%	59%	52%	52%	59%	57%
		+			-	+	+	-		+	-				+					
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0 %	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
		+		-			-	+											++	
Chi²:		(.10)			(.05)		(.05)		-				(.05)		(.01)			-		
±points around 50%:	4	5	21	5	6	5,	5	6	11	9	15	8	5	12	6	8	6	8	6	6

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations governance?
*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q10A Unweighted n: Very aware	694	361 12%	216 8%	103 10%	166 6%	101 6%	129	466 11%	147 8%	224 8%	268 11%	175 13%	155 11%	537 10%	171 10%	182 7%	212 11%	115 16%
Somewhat aware	16%	14%	16%	23%	15%	22%	16%	17%	14%	19%	14%	14%	22%	14%	14%	12%	18%	20%
Not very aware	17%	17%	18%	15%	17%	16%	17%	17%	16%	14%	18%	19%		17%	15%	17%	18%	18%
Not at all aware	57%	57%	57%	53%	60%	55%	55%	55%	61%	57%	57%	54%	52%	58%	59%	64%	52%	46%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	2 %	2 %	0%	1%	0%	18	0%	0%	0%	1%	1% ++	0%	0%	08
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	5	- 7	10	8.	10	9	- 5	8	- 7	6	7	8	4	(.10) 7	7	7	9

NAC On-Reserve Survey 01/09/28

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations governance?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****

:		Locat	ion			Cluster		
1 1	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q10A Unweighted n: Very aware	694 10%	633 10%	61 10%	145 7%	149 12%	111	128 11%	97 7%
Somewhat aware	16%	16%	18%	17%	20%	+ 8%	19%	14%
Not very aware	17%	17%	14%	19%	23%	10%	14%	17%
Not at all aware	57%	56%	58%	56%	45%	67% ++	56%	62%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²: ±points around 50%:	4	4	13	(.05) . 8	8	9	9	10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number of the Government of Canada?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-O-CANADA*****

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q10B																			
Unweighted n:	733	108	104	95	114	102	111	99	143	175	308	106	335	172	150	74	294	203	129
Very aware	15%	15%	26 % ++++	12%	17%	9 % 	11%	14%	14%	17%	16%	10%	13%	12%	21%	20%	17%	16%	18%
Somewhat aware	19%	16%	18%	24%	19%	17%	24%	15%	15%	23%	20%	14%	16%	23%	21%	18%	18%	22%	20%
Not very aware	17%	14%	16%	19%	24%	12%	21%	15%	19%	16%	17%	17%	18%	18%	15%	16%	16%	20%	14%
Not at all aware	49%	55%	41%	45%	40%	61 %	44%	56%	51%	43%	46%	56 %	53% ++	47%	40%	46%	49%	43%	48%
DK/NR	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	2 % +++	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)							(.01)				(.05)				_		
±points around 50%:	4	9	10	10	9.	10	9	10	8	7	6	10	5	7	8	11	6	7	9

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number of the Government of Canada?
*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-O-CANADA*****

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Em	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment		Level Inv	of Com	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q10B																				
Unweighted n:	733	359	17	356	309	424	465	268	68	134	55	185	335	78	282	175	275	209	323	199
Very aware	15%	14%	88	16%	12%	18%	17%	12%	13%	20%	18%	15%	17%	15%	15%	19%	12%	21%	14%	10%
Somewhat aware	19%	17%	21%	20%	20%	17%	20%	16%	12%	26%	24%	20%	20%	18%	21%	21%	15%	16%	21%	17%
Not very aware	17%	13%	29%	21%	19%	16%	18%	16%	24%	13%	17%	16%	16%	18%	16%	19%	17%	18%	17%	17%
Not at all aware	49%	54%	42%	43%	48%	49%	45%	55%	51%	42%	41%	48%	47%	49%	48%	41%		44%	47%	
DK/NR	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	++ 0%
		+		-				++									++			
Chi ² :		(.05)			-		(.01)		-				-		(.05)			(.10)		
+points around 50%:	4	5	24	5	6	5	5	6	12	8	1.3	7	5	11	6	7	6	7	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

01/09/28

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number of the Government of Canada?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-O-CANADA*****

		Int	ernet t	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q10B																		
Unweighted n:	733	369	231	120	191	102	156	488	161	214	331	173	190	542	201	186	219	111
Very aware	15%	13%	15%	21%	18%	26₺	11%	16%	14%	18%	13%	16%	29%	10%	20%	11%	14%	16%
						+++					-		++++		++			
Somewhat aware	19%	17%	19%	21%	22%	19%	18%	19%	19%	20%	18%	18≹	21%	18%	18%	24%	17%	16%
Not very aware	17%	16%	20%	16%	17%	18%	22%	17%	17%	13%	20%	18%	17%	18%	13%	15%	21%	21%
Not at all aware	49%	53%	46%	42%	42%	37%	48%	48%	49%	49%	47%	47%	33%	53%	49%	48%	49%	48%
NOC dc dii aware	470	++	100	12.	120	3						•		++++				
DK/NR	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%
DR/ NR	0.	+	0.0	• •	0.0	•										+++	* -	
Chi ² :		•	_		.10			_		_			(.01)		(.05)			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	5	6	9	7	10	8	4	8	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number of the Government of Canada?

*****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-O-CANADA*****

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
-	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q10B	-							
Unweighted n:	733	680	53	165	153	108	153	100
Very aware	15%	15%	7%	18%	16%	18%	11%	15%
Somewhat aware	19%	19%	11%	17%	22%	24%	17%	19%
Not very aware	17%	18%	9%	21%	16%	20%	17%	13%
Not at all aware	49%	47%	73%	43%	47%	38%	55%	52%
			++++			~ ~	++	
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	2 %	0%	0%	0%	0%
				++				
Chi ² :		(.05)		-				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	13	. 8	8	9	8	10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal people?

IF ASKED----The site address is " www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?*

			Province						Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income		
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<2 5	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q11																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Very aware	98	12%	11%	88	13%	68	7%	98	98	11%	98	88	9%	7%	12%	13%	88	98	15%
•		+			+												-		+++
Somewhat aware	17%	17%	19%	15%	15%	17%	19%	12%	20%	14%	18%	98	14%	20%	17%	20%	17%	16%	20%
									++					+					
Not very aware	17%	13%	15%	23%	16%	18%	15%	18%	17%	18%	15%	17%	19%	13%	15%	14%	17%	17%	11%
				+++									+++	-				1	
Not at all aware	56%	57%	55%	52%	56%	58%	58%	61%	53%	57%	56%	63%	56%	60%	56%	52%	56%	57%	54%
not at all anale	300	3.0	334		-							++							
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
DR/ IIR									•			++	+	-			+		_
Chi::		_							(.05)				(.05)				(.05)		
+points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7.	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal people?
IF ASKED----The site address is " www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?*

•		Moth	er Tor	ngue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment	Туре		of Co olvem	mmunity ent
1	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo		Some	Rare/ Never
Q11																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Very aware	9%	9%	98	10%	11%	8%	98	98	6%	8%	13%	12%	9%	12%	11%	10%	8%	14%	7 %	9%
Somewhat aware	17%	18%	21%	14%	++ 15%	18%	18%	14%	11%	18%	13%	19%	17%	14%	17%	16%	17%	++++ 17%	21%	11%
		+					+	-	-			+							++++	
Not very aware	17%	15%	8%	19%	16%	17%	16%	18%	15%	16%	18%	14%	16%	14%	14%	16%	19%	15%	15%	19%
Not at all aware	56%	57%	57%	55%	56%	5 7 %	55%	58%	68%		54%	55%	58%	60%	58%	57%	54%	52%	57%	58%
DK/NR	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	+++ 0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
DR/ NR	1.9	1.0	++	1.0	20	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	20	0.0	0.6	1.0	0.0	20	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
Chi²:		(.05)	**		. 10		_		(.10)		т.		-		-			(.01)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	. 8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal people?

IF ASKED----The site address is " www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?*

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na andard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q11										0 0								
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Very aware	98	7%	10%	17%	15%	17%	10%	10%	88	98	8 %	13%	16%	7%	10%	98	88	11%
•				+++							-	+++	++++					
Somewhat aware	17%	10%	21%	30%	25%	23%	23%	19%	14%	18%	15%	18%	20%	16%	17%	13%	17%	23%
				+++				+	-				+	-				+++
Not very aware	17%	19%	16%	11%	14%	12%	14%	17%	15%	13%	19%	18%	15%	17%	13%	14%	20%	18%
1		++	+	_							+				-		++	
Not at all aware	56%	63%	53%	41%	45%	468	53%	54%	61%	59%	57%	50%	48%	59%	59%	63%	54%	46%
		++++	+++				++		++					++++		+++		
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Chi?:			(.01)		_			_		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)			
±points around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5,	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

On-Reserve Survey

01/09/28

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal people?

IF ASKED----The site address is " www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?*

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q11								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Very aware	9%	98	10%	88	14%	7%	98	88
					+++			
Somewhat aware	17%	17%	11%	17%	23%	19%	16%	11%
		+	_		+++			
Not very aware	17%	17%	12%	18%	21%	17%	14%	11%
•					++			
Not at all aware	56%	56%	66%	5 7%	42%	55%	61%	70%
			++				+	++++
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
						+++		
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
+points around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey

How democratic would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q18 Unweighted n: Low	1427 21%	206 23%	200 23%	200 20%	214 22%	201 20%	203 15%	203 17%	289 13%	352 23%	579 24% +++	203 23%	652 19%	342 17%	279 22%	147 40%	582 21%	394 19%	251 27% ++
Moderate	61%	55 %	56%	65₩	58%	64%	72% +++	61%	67 % ++	61%	61%	53%	59%	66 %	64%	54%	61%	68%	58%
High	13%	15%	18%	10%	15%	12%	8%	17%	16%	13%	11%	16%	15% ++	13%	12%	5 %	13%	11%	14%
DK/NR	4%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	3%	8 8	7% ++++	3 %	1%	0%	5 %	2%	1%
1 Not at all	14%	15%	16%	13%	17%	12%	12%	13%	8 %	16%	16%	17%	13%	12%	14%	29%	15%	12%	15%
2	7%	9%	7%	7%	5%,	8%	4%	4 %	5 %	7%	8%	68	5%	6%	9%	12%	6%	6%	12%
3	8%	7%	11%	88	11%	68	8%	9%	8 %	9%	10%	5∜	7%	7%	14%	11%	7%	12%	11%
4 Somewhat	40%	30%	37%	44%	41%	42%	51%	39%	42%	38%	42%	38%	43%	43%	35%	31%	45%	40%	34%
5	12%	18%	8%	13%	6%	16%	13%	13%	17%	14%	9%	98	10%	16%	16%	12%	98	16%	14%
6	5%	9%	5%	2%	4%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%	5%	6%	4 %	5%	5%	4%	9%
7 Extremely	8%	6%	13%	8%	11%	7%	4 %	11%	10%	7%	7%	11%	11%	7%	8 %	0%	9%	7%	5%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1364 3.83 1.67	- 7 207 3.85 1.74	7 202 3.84 1.84	7 193 3.78 1.61	7 254 3.73 1.78	7 286 3.88 1.59	7 160 3.82 1.41	7 62 4.01 1.73	.01 6 384 4.18 1.54	5 305 3.74 1.70	4 479 3.62 1.65	7 194 3.81 1.83	.01 4 638 3.92 1.70	5 350 3.94 1.56	6 247 3.76 1.66	8 122 3.02 1.60	.05 4 564 3.79 1.68	5 371 3.83 1.58	6 240 3.65 1.68

01/09/28

How democratic would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	nder	Child		Emp	loymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of		oyment	Туре		of Com	nmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q18 Unweighted n: Low	1427	698	39 6%	687 22%	609 20%	818 22%	887 21%	540 20%	151 12%	250 16%	97 29%	353 22%	663 17%	140 24%	545 21%	339 17%	540 23%	378 22%	612 20%	433
Moderate	61%	62%	77%	60%	60%	62%	62%	60%	70%	75%	+++ 49%	62 %	68%	++ 59%	63%	69%	56%	59%	65%	59%
High	13%	14%	14%	13%	17%	10%	12%	15%	16%	++++ 7% 	14%	14%	11%	15%	13%	11%	15%	17%	12%	13%
DK/NR	4 %	3%	3%	6% ++	3%	6% +++	4%	4%	1%	2%	8 8	2%	4 %	1%	3%	3%	68 +++	3 %	3 % -	7% +++
1 Not at all	14% 7%	13%	0% 6%	16% 6%	13%	15% 7%	14% 7%	14%	7% 5%	11%	20% 9%	15% 7%	11%	15% 9%	15% 6%	11%	15% 7%	15% 6%	12% 7%	16% 6%
3	8%	10%	7%	7%	9%	8%	9%	8%	6%	13%	10%	11%	11%	9%	11%	10%	6%	8%	10%	7%
4 Somewhat	40%	37%	56%	43%	38%	43%	41%	39%	46%	49%	29%	37%	44%	34%	39%	44%	40%	37%	42%	41%
5	12%	15%	14%	98	13%	11%	13%	12%	18%	14%	10%	14%	14%	16%	13%	15%	10%	14%	13%	11%
6	5%	6%	5%	4 %	7%	3%	5%	6%	3 %	3%	5%	7%	4 %	6%	6%	2%	6%	7%	6%	3%
7 Extremely	8%	8%	9%	9%	10%	6%	8%	10%	13%	4%	98	7%	7%	9%	7%	9%	10%	10%	6%	10%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1364 3.83 1.67	702 3.85 1.66	16 34 4.35 1.20	4 622 3.77 1.71	.01 4 705 3.97 1.72	3 660 3.68 1.61	3 818 3.78 1.65	4 547 3.90 1.71	(.01) 8 156 4.26 1.54	6 238 3.76 1.38	10 85 3.54 1.87	5 313 3.79 1.68	(.05) 4 599 3.87 1.53	8 147 3.84 1.75	.01 4 490 3.77 1.64	5 330 3.93 1.56	4 542 3.83 1.76	.05 5 336 3.88 1.76	4 592 3.82 1.58	5 434 3.79 1.74

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

How democratic would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inte	met Ac		Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Govern		ontact Last 3	w/ Gov' Mos?		irst Na ndard o		g
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q18 Unweighted n: Low	1427 21%	730 21%	447	223 19%	357 20%	203 22%	285 18%	954 17%	308 33%	438 34%	599 15%	348	345 27%	1079 19%	372 38%	368 18%	431	226
Moderate	61%	60%	62%	67%	62%	62%	64%	65 %	50%	51%	698	62%	57%	63%	49%	66%	67%	67%
High	13%	12%	15%	12%	16%	14%	16%	14%	13%	11%	12%	20%	15%	13%	11%	11%	15%	18%
DK/NR	4 %	7% ++++	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	4 %	4%	5%	28	5% +++	3%	4%	5%	3 %
1 Not at all	14%	16%	12%	13%	11%	17%	11%	11%	24%	24%	10%	98	18%	13%	27%	11%	98	8%
2	7%	5%	98	6%	9%,	6%	6%	6%	98	11%	5%	5%	9%	68	10%	7%	4 %	4%
3	8%	7%	9%	11%	11%	13%	11%	8%	9%	10%	10%	5%	9%	88	10%	10%	7%	5%
4 Somewhat	40%	43%	37∜	40%	34%	37%	40%	43%	33%	32%	49%	36%	36%	42%	28%	43%	45%	47%
5	12%	10%	15%	16%	17%	12%	13%	14%	88	9%	10%	20%	11%	13%	10%	12%	15%	14%
6	5%	4 %	7%	6%	88	5%	7%	6%	3 %	3%	5%	8%	7%	4 %	4 %	6%	5%	6%
7 Extremely	88	98	88	6%	8%	9%	10%	8%	10%	88	7%	12%	88	9%	68	6%	10%	12%
Chi ² : 2points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1364 3.83 1.67	4 688 3.77 1.70	- 5 444 3.89 1.67	7 210 3.84 1.57	- 5 3 24 3.95 1.65	7 191 3.74 1.72	6 303 3.97 1.65	.01 3 921 3.98 1.59	6 293 3.40 1.88	.01 5 403 3.34 1.80	4 585 3.90 1.49	5 340 4:32 1.62	.01 5 330 3.68 1.76	3 1031 3.87 1.64	.01 5 356 3.23 1.83	5 351 3.81 1.52	5 419 4.14 1.55	7 215 4.24 1.56
Student's t:	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.10	.10	.01	-	.01	.01

INAC On-Reserve Survey

How democratic would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where 1 means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

:		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q18								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Low	21%	20%	30%	16%	7%	21%	17%	55%
Moderate	61%	62%	++ 51%	71%	64%	64%	66%	++++ 36%
Moderace	014	028	21.0	++++	04.9	043	00%	30%
High	13%	14%	13%	9%	26%	10%	14%	5%
11-311					++++	-		
DK/NR	4 %	4 %	7%	4 %	3 %	6%	3 %	3%
						++		
1 Not at all	14%	14%	17%	8*	4 %	13%	11%	45%
2	7%	6%	13%	. 8%	3%	7%	6%	10%
3	8%	9%	5%	12%	3%	9%	11%	9%
4 Somewhat	40%	41%	33%	47%	42%	42%	43%	25%
5	12%	12%	12%	12%	19%	12%	12%	3%
6	5%	5%	11%	4%	11%	2 %	6%	0%
7 Extremely	8%	9%	2%	5%	15%	8 %	8%	5%
Chi²:		(.10)		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9		6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1364	1275	89	310	310	203	255	192
Mean:	3.83	3.85	3.55		4.65		3.90	
Std dev:	1.67	1.67	1.69	1.40	1.47	1.62	1.58	1.73
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10	-	.01	-	-	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

			Province						Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income		
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q19																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Much worse	26%	27%	298	16%	31%	30%	17%	22%	13%	28%	33%	28%	17%	26%	35%	55%	20%	30%	44%
					++	+					++++				++++	++++			++++
Somewhat worse	26%	28%	21%	21%	21%	33%	30%	24%	24%	29%	25%	26%	20%	30%	34%	28%	25%	25₺	30%
				-	-	+++								+	++++				+
The same	31%	31%	35%	43%	30%	23%	28%	30%	42%	27%	27%	27%	40%	27%	20%	10%	36%	29%	13%
				++++					++++				++++	-			++++		
Somewhat better	10%	10%	10%	11%	98	88	14%	14%	12%	10%	98	11%	13%	11%	6%	2∜	12%	10%	7%
							++						+++				++		
Much better	5%	3 %	4 %	7%	6%	4%	9%	98	88	4 %	4 %	5%	8%	4 %	3 %	3 %	5%	4 %	4 %
							++	+	+++				++++						
DK/NR	2%	2 %	1%	2%	3%	1%	3 %	2%	1%	3%	2%	3 %	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		(.01)			+				(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
tpoints around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q19							-													
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Much worse	26%	26%	10%	26%	25%	26%	26%	25%	12%	24%	27%	40%	29%	23%	33%	22%	21%	37%	24%	19%
												++++			++++			++++		
Somewhat worse	26%	31%	30%	20%	25%	27%	25%	27%	28%	25%	22%	27%	25%	30%	30%	21%	25%	21%	30%	24%
The game	219	++++	200	228	2.20	2.00	210	210	200	2.00	210	210	2.00	250	++	270	220	250	+++	400
The same	31%	29%	39%	33%	32%	30%	31%	31%	38%	36%	31%	21%	30%	35%	25%	37%		25%	28%	
Somewhat better	10%	9%	88	12%	11%	10%	11%	9%	12%	++ 11%	10%	5%	98	6%	6%	+++ 12%	12%	11%	12%	++++ 8%
Somewhat Detter	100	20	0.0	123	112	100	11.0	90	123	112	10%		98	0.5		120	123	112	128	0.5
Much better	5%	4 %	12%	7%	68	5%	5%	6%	10%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%		4%	4%	9%
			+	+	•	3.0	5.0	0.0	++++	, ,		20				0.0	+			++++
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	28	2%	2₹	2%	2%	0%	2%	68	2%	28	28	28	28		28	28	
						•			_		+++									_
Chi²:		(.01)			-		-		(.01)				-		.01			.01		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1:			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3		-	irst Na indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q19																		
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Much worse	26%	22%	30%	31%	32%	40%	25%	21%	37%	47%	17%	17%	43%	20%	100%	0%	0%	0%
						+++			++++	++++			++++		++++			
Somewhat worse	26%	23%	29%	248	27%	33%	25%	26%	27%	24%	29%	22%	24%	26%	0%	100%	0%	0%
						++					+++					++++		
The same	31%	34%	29%	248	25%	18%	35%	33%	22%	20%	35%	38%	16%	36%	0%	0%	100%	0%
		+++					++++	++++			+++	+++		++++			++++	
Somewhat better	10%	12%	68	14%	98	7%	98	12%	7%	6%	12%	12%	98	11%	0%	0%	0%	65%
		+		++++				++	==		_+							++++
Much better	5%	7%	4 %	5%	4%	18	5%	68	5 %	3%	5%	9%		5%	0%	0%	0%	35∜
		++					+					++++						++++
DK/NR	28	2%	28	2%	2%,	2%	18	2%	1%	1%	18	2%	28	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :			(.05)		(.01)			.01		(.01)			.01		. 01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q19								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Much worse	26%	26%	25%	19%	9%	14%	42%	55%
							++++	++++
Somewhat worse	26%	25%	36%	36%	18%	20%	29%	24%
			++	++++				
The same	31%	31%	28%	28%	48%	448	16%	15%
					++++	++++		
Somewhat better	10%	10%	7%	12%	13%	12%	9%	4 %
					+			
Much better	5%	6%	4 %	3%	10%	9%	4 %	18
					++++	++		
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	. 2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Chi²:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20A Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve 741	SOL on	reserve	76	118	129	100	96	109	192	325	111	242	190	189	119	258	214	186
Low extent	88	7%	8*	8%	5%	14%	4 %	8%	7%	5%	88	16%	12%	5%	8 %	8 %	8%	8 %	7%
Moderate extent	22%	19%	21%	32%	22%	19%	27%	16%	20%	23%	22%	23%	26% ++	24%	14%	21%	28%	19%	17%
High extent	69%	74%	70%	58₺	70%	66%	67%	75%	74%	71%	68%	60%	61%	70%	77%	72%	63%	72%	75% ++
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	3 % ++	1%	2 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0 %	1%
1 Not at all	4 %	4 %	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	88	7%	3%	2 %	4 %	5%	1%	4 %
2	1%	3 %	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2 %	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	4 %	0%
3	3 %	0%	4 %	2%	0%.	7%	2 %	4 %	4 %	2%	2 %	5%	3%	2 %	4 %	1%	3 %	3₺	3%
4 Somewhat	14%	11%	13%	18%	14%	15%	15%	12%	13%	11%	15%	18%	19%	14%	8%	11%	20%	12%	9%
5	8%	8%	9%	15%	8%	4%	11%	4%	7%	12%	8 %	4 %	7%	10%	6%	98	8 %	8%	8 %
6	15%	22%	10%	8%	13%	16%	14%	17%	20%	15%	14%	88	12%	18%	15%	14%	17%	14%	14%
7 A great deal	54%	53%	60%	51%	57%	51%	53%	58%	54%	56%	54%	52%	49%	52%	62%	58%	46%	58%	60%
Chi::		_							(.10)				(.05)				(.10)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	9	10	11	9	9	10	10	151	7 175	201	9 115	6 254	7 202	7 170	9 102	6 261	7 207	7 180
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	727 5.83	121 5.91	104 5.93	73 5.64	135 5.95	187 5.63	76 5.93	30 6.00	151 5.97	6.01	281 5.80	5.41	5.53	5.91	6.12	5.93	5.61	5.96	6.04
Std dev:	1.65	1.58	1.62	1.71	1.60	1.80	1.45	1.56	1.47	1.43	1.68	2.01	1.85	1.47	1.47	1.63	1.69	1.53	1.56
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-	:05	.01	-	.01	-	.01	-	.05

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. Rese			yment			of Cor	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20A Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 8%	re SOL 397 9%	on res	324 8%	308 8%	433 9%	458 7%	283	57 11%	125 6%	45 12%	234	355 9%	73 7%	337 7%	144	259 9%	224 8%	331 9%	184 9%
Moderate extent	22%	20%	23%	24%	24%	20%	22%	22%	29%	25%	24%	16%	20%	19%	21%	22%	23%	18%	21%	28%
High extent	69%	71%	70%	65%	67%	70%	70%	66%	60%	69%	61%	75% ++	71%	72%	71%	65%	67%	73%	69%	63%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3% ++	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
1 Not at all	4 %	3%	0%	++ 6%	4%	5%	3%	7%	6%	1%	2%	5%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%
2	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	6%	1%	1%	3 %	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%
3	3 %	3 %	6%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4%	2 %	3%	0%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%
4 Somewhat	14%	12%	19%	16%	16%	12%	14%	14%	23%	14%	14%	9%	12%	13%	13%	14%	16%	10%	14%	18%
5	8%	88	4%	98	8%	8%	98	7%	6%	11%	11%	7%	7%	6%	8%	8%	8%	9%	7%	10%
6	15%	17%	27%	11%	15%	14%	14%	15%	25%	98	12%	15%	15%	19%	14%	16%	15%	14%	14%	16%
7 A great deal	54%	54%	43%	55%	52%	56%	56%	51%	35%	59%	49%	60%	56%	54%	58%	49%	51%	59%	54%	47%
Chi:: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 727 5.83 1.65	5 410 5.87 1.61	23 14 5.82 1.38	5 299 5.78 1.72	- 6 360 5.79 1.64	5 367 5.87 1.66	5 435 5.93 1.53	6 292 5.68 1.80	13 64 5.34 1.77	9 118 6.00 1.41	15 44 5.66 1.70	6 216 5.99 1.64	5 332 5.89 1.63	11 77 5.92 1.60	5 315 5.97 1.55 .05	8 145 5.65 1.76	6 265 5.75 1.69	7 201 5.96 1.64	5 327 5.84 1.63	7 196 5.65 1.68

		Int	ernet U	se	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. B Next 12			ormance l Govern		Contact 1 Last 3			irst Nat indard of		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20A Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve 741	SOL on	reserv 268	re 126	216	149	143	454	199	317	275	134	234	504	372	368	1	0
Low extent	8%	9%	8%	7%	5%	7%	10%	78	14%	13%	6%	6%	10%	8%	10%	7%	0%	0%
Moderate extent	22%	24%	22%	14%	20%	14%	21%	25%	18%	16%	26%	25%	15%	25 %	19%	24%	100%	0%
High extent	69%	64%	69%	79 % ++	75%	80%	69%	68%	68%	71%	67%	66%	75% +++	66%	70%	67%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	2 % ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
1 Not at all	4 %	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%	6%	3%	8%	7%	2%	3%	3%	5%	6%	3%	0%	0%
2	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%
3	3 %	4%	2%	3%	1%,	1%	3%	3%	4 %	3%	4%	2%	5%	2 %	3 %	3%	0%	0%
4 Somewhat	14%	16%	13%	88	10%	6%	15%	14%	14%	10%	18%	13%	8%	17%	11%	17%	100%	0%
5	8%	8%	9%	7%	98	7%	6%	10%	4 %	6%	8%	13%	7%	9%	8%	8%	0%	0%
6	15%	13%	16%	18%	15%	15%	22%	16%	10%	11%	17%	18%	14%	15%	10%	19%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	54%	52%	53%	61%	60%	65%	47%	52%	58%	60%	51%	49%	62%	51%	60%	48%	0%	0%
Chi²:		_	-	_	-			(.05)	7	(.01)	6		(.05) 6	4	-	-	98	
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 727	5 326	6 267	9 119	7 197	8 142	8 153	5 447	196	6 296	279	8 137	224	501	364	5 363	98	0
Mean:	5.83	5.74	5.80	6.11	6.07	6.15	5.70	5.85	5.67	5.78	5.85	5.87	6.02	5.74	5.89	5.77	4.00	*
Std dev:	1.65	1.67	1.70	1.46	1.49	1.53	1.71	1.54	1.93	1.88	1.46	1.49	1.60	1.66	1.73	1.55	*	*
Student's t:	*	-	.10	.10	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 05	-	~	*	*

		Locatio	on			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20A Extent thatwould	improve SOL							
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	8%	9%	5%	3%	2%	15%	6%	
	228	23%	12%	 25%	19%	++ 30%	16%	++++ 25%
Moderate extent	22%	236	126	250	13%	+		230
High extent	69%	68%	81%	71%	76%	55%	79%	53%
night excent	0,50		++		+		++++	
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%
•					++		-	
1 Not at all	4%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	14%
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%
3	3%	3%	3%	. 2%	1%	6%	2%	5%
4 Somewhat	14%	14%	8%	15%	9%	21%	8%	19%
5	8%	8%	4%	10%	10%	9%	7%	6%
6	15%	14%	18%	17%	22%	10%	16%	8%
7 A great deal	54%	53%	62%	54%	53%	45%	62%	45%
Chi²:		(.10)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	7	11	11	7	. 8
Weighted n for mean:	727	670	56	176	84	74	186	
Mean:	5.83	5.79	6.25		6.19		6.15	
Std dev:	-1.65	1.67	1.29		1.12		1.41	
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.05	.01	.05	.01	. 01

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20B Extent thatwould	improve	SOL on				•													
Unweighted n:	741	119	103	76	118	129 15%	100 11%	96 5%	109 8%	192 7%	325 14%	111 18%	242 15%	190 9%	189 10%	119 11%	258 12%	214 13%	186 12%
Low extent	12%	8%	9%	17%	12%	154	114	516	8.8	7 6	148	19.2	124	916	10%	114	128	134	128
Moderate extent	26%	22%	24%	40%	26%	27%	25%	23%	30%	31%	22%	27%	29%	26%	26%	23%	28%	21%	30%
				+++															
High extent	60%	67%	68%	42%	58%	58%	61%	72%	61%	61%	63%	52%	55%	63%	61%	65%	57%	66%	58%
DK/NR	2%	3%	0%	1%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3 %	2%	2%	28	0%	3 %	1%	0%
·					+												++		
1 Not at all	6%	2%	5%	5%	8%	88	5%	1%	2%	3%	8 %	10%	6%	5%	5%	8%	6%	5∜	5%
2	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	5%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	6%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%
3	3%	5 %	0%	10%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4 %	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4 %	2%	5%
4 Somewhat	14%	11%	16%	21%	14%	12%	14%	9%	14%	15%	11%	16%	18%	13%	10%	10%	13%	13%	14%
5	13%	11%	8%	19%	13%	15%	10%	13%	16%	16%	10%	11%	10%	13%	17%	14%	15%	7%	16%
6	11%	13%	12%	16%	5%	14%	9%	12%	14%	13%	10%	7%	10%	13%	12%	10%	9%	14%	16%
6	110	136	128	100	٠,	110	, ,	14.		130	100		100	130		200	, ,	-10	100
7 A great deal	49%	54%	55%	26%	52%	45%	52%	60%	47%	48%	52%	45%	44%	50%	49%	55%	49%	52%	42%
Chi ² :		(.10)							(.05)				_				(.10)		
±points around 50%:	4	9	10	11	9	9	10	10	9	7	5	9	6	7	7	9	6	7	7
Weighted n for mean:	721	118	104	73	133	188	75	30	149	177	278	112	251	200	167	102	256	206	182
Mean:	5.59	5.91	5.77	5.03	5.58	5.41	5.66	6.11	5.72	5.72	5.60	5.22	5.36 1.87	5.72 1.70	5.70 1.69	5.71	5.57	5.65	5.51
Std dev:	1.78	1.51	1.74	1.69	1.91	1.92	1.78	1.34	1.55	1.56	1.90			1.70	1.69	1.85	1.81	1.83	1.72
Std dev: Student's t:		1.51	1.74	.01	1.91	1.92	1.78	· .01	1.55		1.90	.05	. 05		1.69	1.85	1.81	1.83	1.72

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Increasing the amount of lands and resources available to First Nations people

		Mother Tongue			Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		yment	Туре		of Cor olvem	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20B Extent thatwould	improv		on res																	
Unweighted n:	741	397	18	324	308	433	458	283	57	125	45	234	355	73	337	144	259	224	331	184
Low extent	12%	10%	0%	14%	11%	12%	11%	13%	10%	11%	21%	9%	11%	13%	11%	12%	12%	98	12%	13%
Moderate extent	26%	26%	39%	26%	30%	23%	25%	29%	31%	29%	28%	23%	25%	28%	25%	30%	27%	23%	28%	27%
High extent	60%	63%	53%	57%	59%	61%	64%	55%	57%	58%	51%	68%	63%	58%	63%	58%	57%	67%	59%	56%
9		+					++					++						++		
DK/NR	2%	1%	7%	3%	0%	3%	1%	3 %	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4 %	0%	1%	4 %
				+		+++	_	+		++		~				-	+++	-		++
1 Not at all	68	4 %	0%	8 %	5%	68	4 %	88	7%	28	11%	68	6%	3%	6%	5∜	68	6%	5%	68
2	3 %	2%	0%	3 %	3₺	2 %	3 %	2 %	2%	2%	3%	1%	2 %	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%
_														-	3%		2.0	3%	4.0	4.0
3	3 %	4 %	0%	3 %	4%	3 ₺	4 %	3%	2%	68	6%	2%	3 %	9%	38	4 %	3 %	3 %	4 %	4 %
4 Somewhat	14%	11%	33%	16%	14%	13%	11%	17%	13%	17%	10%	13%	14%	13%	13%	16%	13%	14%	12%	14%
4 Somewhat	146	114	336	104	144	134	11.0	1/6	134	1/8	104	130	140	134	134	108	136	140	128	140
5	13%	15%	68	11%	15%	11%	13%	12%	19%	13%	18%	10%	11%	15%	12%	14%	13%	98	15%	13%
,	130	130	0.0		-50		200			-30	-0.									
6	11%	11%	16%	11%	11%	12%	12%	10%	17%	9%	0%	16%	14%	12%	15%	98	98	10%	12%	12%
7 A great deal	49%	51%	37%	46%	48%	49%	51%	45%	39%	49%	51%	51%	50%	46%	49%	49%	48%	58%	46%	44%
Chi ² :		(.05)			.01		.10		-				-		(.10)	_	_	(.10)	_	_
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	5	23	5	6	5	5	6	13	9	15	6	5	11	5	8	6		5	
Weighted n for mean:	721	408	13	296	364	357	432	289	63	116	45	217	333	79		146	258		327	
Mean:	5.59	5.72	5.62	5.40	5.58	5.60	5.72	5.40	5.48	5.62	5.25	5.75	5.63	5.57		5.55	5.56		5.55	
Std dev:	1.78	1.68	1.38	1.93	1.74	1.83	1.69	1.90	1.75	1.65	2.13	1.71	1.79	1.67	1.77	1.76	1.82		1.77	1.84
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	.05	-	-	. 05	. 05	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20B Extent thatwould										_								_
Unweighted n:	741	331	268	126	216	149	143	454	199	317	275	134	234	504	372	368	1	0
Low extent	12%	13%	12%	9%	7%	98	12%		17%	12%	13%	98	10%	12%	10%	13%	0%	0%
Moderate extent	26%	28%	27%	21%	25%	17%	27%	29%	20%	17%	30%	42%	23%	28%	26%	27%	0%	0%
High extent	60%	56%	60%	70%	67%	73%	60%		62%	70%	55%	50%	67%	57%	63%	58%	100%	0%
night execute	000		-	+	0,0	++			-	++++			++	- +				
DK/NR	2%	3 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3 %	0%	0%	3%	28	28	0%	0%
,		++									++			++				
1 Not at all	68	6%	68	3%	3%	5%	6%	3%	13%	7%	5%	5%	5%	68	68	68	0%	0%
2	3 %	4 %	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%
3	3%	2%	4 %	3%	3%.	28	5%	4%	3 %	2%	5%	28	2%	4 %	28	5%	0 %	0%
4 Somewhat	14%	16%	13%	9%	11%	98	10%	14%	12%	9%	16%	19%	12%	14%	15%	12%	0%	0 %
5	13%	12%	14%	11%	14%	88	17%	15%	7%	7%	14%	23%	11%	13%	10%	16%	0%	0%
6	11%	9%	14%	14%	17%	17%	13%	13%	8%	11%	12%	11%	14%	11%	10%	13%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	49%	47%	47%	56%	50%	55%	47%	47%	54%	59%	42%	39%	53%	47%	52%	45%	100%	0%
Chi:			_		_			(.01)		(.01)			(.05)		_			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	5	6	9	7	8	8	5	7	6	6	8	6	4	5	5	98	*
Weighted n for mean:	721	323	266	119	196	141	152	443	194	293	274	141	225	492	360	360	0	0
Mean:	5.59	5.47	5.58	5.90	5.83	5.88	5.58	5.67	5.44	5.80	5.44	5.40	5.74	5.53	5.66	5.53	7.00	*
Std dev:	1.78	1.87	1.76	1.60	1.54	1.69	1.77	1.61	2.14	1.86	1.77	1.66	1.73	1.81	1.78	1.78	*	*
Student's t:	*	.10	.10	.10	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.10		-	-	-	-	*	*

INAC On-Reserve Survey Increasing the amount of lands and resources available to First Nations people

		Locati	ion			Cluster		
* 1	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20B Extent thatwould	improve SOL	on reserve	=					
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	12%	13%	0%	11%	4 %	19%	8%	19%
		+++				++	. 23	+++
Moderate extent	26%	27%	26%	32%	37%	35%	17%	21%
	4-0	= 0.0	m 4 6	+	++	+	75%	508
High extent	60%	59%	74%	56%	58%	42%	/56 ++++	
DK/NR	2%	2%	++ 0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	
DK/ NR	28	28	0.8	1.9	0.5	++	0.8	1.0
1 Not at all	6%	6%	0%	4%	2%	3%	4%	15%
1 NOC ac all	0.8	0.0	0.0	10	2.0	3.0		
2	3%	3%	0%	4%	0%	6%	2%	1%
3	3%	4%	0%	, 3%	2%	10%	2%	3%
4 Somewhat	14%	14%	13%	10%	21%	22%	10%	13%
5	13%	13%	13%	22%	17%	14%	7%	7%
6	11%	11%	14%	16%	14%	8%	13%	6%
7 A great deal	49%	48%	60%	40%	44%	34%	62%	53%
, a great dear	1,70	100	500	100	•••			
Chi²:		(.05)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12		11	11	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	721	663	58	175	86	72	185	154
Mean:	5.59	5.54	6.19	5.52	5.68	5.04	6.03	
Std dev:	1.78	1.82	1.15	1.66	1.45		1.59	
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	-		.01	.01	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

			P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
Tota	. вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Unweighted n: 741	119	n reserve	76	118	129	100	96 10%	109	192 12%	325 16%	111	242	190 16%	189 13%	119 12%	258 12%	214 16%	186 15%
Low extent 15 Moderate extent 28			11% 33%	13% 32%	19% 33%	15% 23%	26%	28%	31%	27%	++ 30%	35%	25%	23%	28%	34%	23%	29%
High extent 54	57%	65 %	51%	53%	44%	60%	61%	58%	54%	54%	45% 	45%	57%	61% ++	60%	++ 51% -	60%	55%
DK/NR 3	1%	2%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	0%	3 *	2 %	1%
1 Not at all 8			5%	8%	9%	98	7%	5%	6%	8%	15%	10%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	6%
2 4			2%	2%	8%	3 %	1%	4%	3%	4%	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%
3			4%	3%,	2% 24%	4% 14%	1% 19%	2% 21%	3% 18%	4% 18%	2% 16%	1% 27%	5% 15%	2% 11%	3% 15%	1% 25%	3% 14%	5% 15%
4 Somewhat 18			23%	21% 11%	24*	9%	7%	7%	13%	9%	13%	8%	10%	12%	13%	238	9%	15%
6 11			14%	5%	13%	13%	11%	15%	11%	10%	7%	8%	9%	14%	18%	8%	13%	15%
7 A great deal 43	44%	56%	37%	48%	31%	46%	51%	42%	43%	44%	38%	37%	48%	47%	42%	43%	47%	41%
Chi ² :	-							-		_		(.05)	_	_		-	_	_
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: 71</pre>	5.33	103 5.65	11 70 5.33 1.75	9 134 5.39 1.92	9 182 4.87 1.99	10 76 5.41 1.97	10 29 5.61 1.87	9 147 5.43 1.80	7 174 5.41 1.82	5 276 5.30 1.98	9 113 4.85 2.22 .05	6 246 4.96 2.03 .01	7 200 5.42 1.92	7 167 5.52 1.90	9 102 5.46 1.78	256 5.28 1.91	7 205 5.42 1.96	7 180 5.38 1.81

0	7 05 728	

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20C Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 15%	e SOL 397 15%	on res 18 25%	erve 324 15%	308 15%	433 15%	458 13%	283 18%	57 12%	125 10%	45 22%	234 13%	355 12%	73 14%	337 14%	144 13%	259 18%	224 18%	331	184 17%
Moderate extent	28%	27%	9%	30%	31%	26%	28%	+ 29%	33%	24%	36 %	23%	25%	31%	26%	24%	33%	21%	31%	32%
High extent	54%	55%	66%	52%	52%	56%	57 %	50%	52%	63%	41%	62%	61%	52 %	57%	59%	47%	58%	55%	48%
DK/NR	3 %	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2 %	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2*	3%	3₺	3 %	2 %	3 %	3%	2 %
1 Not at all	8%	7%	19%	9%	9%	8%	6%	11%	7%	5%	11%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%	9%	10%	5%	11%
2	4 %	4%	6%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3 %	2%	1%	2%	2 %	1%	3%	1%	7%	4%	4%	4%
3	3 %	4%	0%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	10%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3 %	1%	4 %	3 %	2 %
4 Somewhat	18%	17%	0%	21%	20%	17%	19%	17%	22%	17%	15%	13%	17%	14%	16%	15%	23%	13%	18%	24%
5	10%	10%	9%	98	11%	9%	9%	12%	11%	8%	22%	9%	8%	17%	10%	10%	11%	88	12%	8%
6	11%	12%	22%	9%	10%	12%	9%	14%	16%	13%	5%	15%	13%	19%	13%	15%	7%	11%	13%	8%
7 A great deal	43%	43%	44%	42%	42%	44%	47%	36%	36%	50%	36%	47%	48%	33%	45%	44%	39%	47%	42%	40%
Chi²: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 714 5.29 1.95	5 402 5.35 1.91	23 14 5.15 2.44	5 295 5.22 1.99	356 356 5.24 1.95	5 358 5.34 1.95	5 427 5.44 1.88	6 287 5.07 2.03	13 62 5.28 1.83	9 115 5.67 1.74	15 45 4.95 1.99	6 213 5.54 1.87	5 329 5.53 1.85	11 76 5.25 1.86	5 309 5.43 1.87	8 141 5.46 1.90	6 262 5.02 2.05	.05 7 198 5.34 2.07	5 322 5.43 1.78	

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Nat		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20C Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve 741	SOL on	reserv 268	e 126	216	149	143	454	199	317	275	134	234	504	372	368	1	0
Low extent	15%	17%	13%	14%	12%	10%	16%	15%	16%	17%	15%	11%	14%	16%	16%	14%	0%	0%
Moderate extent	28%	28%	31%	24%	30%	25%	29%	28%	32%	24%	33%	32%	28%	28%	26%	31%	100%	0%
High extent	54%	51%	54%	62%	57%	64%	54%	55%	52%	57%	50%	54%	58%	53%	56%	51%	0%	0%
DK/NR	3%	48	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3 %	3%	1%	3 %	28	48	0%	0%
1 Not at all	8%	11%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	6%	12%	11%	6%	6%	7%	9%	9%	8%	0%	0%
2	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	1%	5%	5%	2%	3 %	5%	48	4%	48	4%	4%	0%	0%
3	3 %	2 %	4%	3 %	4% .	4%	4%	4 %	28	4%	4 %	0%	3 %	3 %	3 %	2 %	0%	0%
4 Somewhat	18%	18%	20%	15%	19%	15%	21%	19%	20%	14%	23%	19%	17%	19%	16%	20%	100%	0%
5	10%	10%	11%	88	11%	10%	8%	98	11%	98	10%	13%	11%	10%	10%	10%	0%	0%
6	11%	9%	12%	14%	16%	16%	13%	13%	9%	98	13%	11%	14%	10%	10%	12%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	43%	42%	42%	48%	40%	47%	40%	43%	43%	47%	37%	43%	43%	43%	47%	39%	0%	0%
Chi?:										_								
±points around 50%:	4	5	6	9	7	8	8	5	7	6	6	8	6	4	5	5	98	*
Weighted n for mean:	714	319	264	118	195	140	151		195	290	275	136	224	489	361	354	0	0
Mean:	5.29	5.18	5.36	5.51	5.40	5.64	5.23		5.18	5.31	5.19	5.41	5.39	5.25	5.35	5.23	4.00	*
Std dev:	1.95	2.06	1.82	1.87	1.77	1.72	1.92	1.89	2.05	2.07	1.86	1.84	1.87	1.99	1.98	1.92	*	*
Student's t:	*	-	-	~	-	.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*

Eliminating racism against First Nations people

		Locatio	n			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20C Extent thatwould								
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	15%	15%	12%	14%	7%	21%	15%	20%
Moderate extent	28%	29%	28%	37%	23%	32%	25%	27%
				+++		. = 4		
High extent	54%	53%	61%	49%	66%	45%	59%	50%
pur (am	2.0	2.0		1.0	++ 3%	2.9	+ 1%	3%
DK/NR	3%	3%	0%	1%	316	3₺	1 6	31
1 Not at all	8%	8%	8%	5%	3%	8 %	8 %	16%
2	4 %	4%	3%	4%	4%	11%	2%	4%
3	3 %	3%	1%	, 5%	0%	2%	4 %	1%
4 Somewhat	18%	198	13%	24%	15%	22%	16%	18%
5	10%	10%	15%	13%	8%	10%	9%	9%
6	11%	11%	16%	10%	15%	14%	11%	8%
7 A great deal	43%	43%	45%	39%	51%	31%	47%	42%
Chi²:		_		(.05)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12		11	11	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	714	656	58		84	72	184	152
Mean:	5.29	5.27	5.51		5.81	4.86	5.42	
Std dev:	1.95	1.96	1.85	1.79	1.64	2.01	1.93	2.24
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	. 10	-	. 10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20D Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve	SOL on	reserve	76	118	129	100	96	109	192	325	111	242	190	189	119	258	214	186
Low extent	18%	15%	17%	21%	18%	22%	16%	18%	12%	16%	22%	23%	18%	14%	26%	16%	18%	17%	17%
Moderate extent	38%	31%	42%	40%	46%	34%	36%	31%	44%	37%	40%	27%	39%	33%	36%	49%	35%	38%	43%
High extent	38%	48%	40%	33%	32%	34%	42%	44%	42%	41%	32%	41%	36%	47%	36%	30%	41%	39%	38%
DK/NR	6%	++ 6%	1%	6%	5%	9%	6%	6%	3%	7%	6%	10%	7%	7%	2%	5%	6%	5%	1%
1 Not at all	9%	98	11%	7%	11%	10%	6%	10%	6%	7%	11%	13%	10%	7%	11%	10%	10%	6%	98
2	4 %	1%	3%	2 %	4 %	7%	4 %	1%	0%	3 %	5%	6%	4 %	3 %	5%	3%	2%	6%	4 %
3	5%	4 %	4 %	12%	2%.	6%	5%	8 %	6%	5%	6%	3 %	4 %	4%	10%	3%	6%	6%	4 %
4 Somewhat	25%	19%	28%	23%	32%	23%	24%	21%	30%	23%	25%	22%	28%	20%	22%	31%	23%	28%	26%
5	13%	12%	14%	17%	14%	12%	13%	11%	13%	15%	15%	5%	11%	12%	13%	18%	12%	10%	17%
6	98	15%	9%	10%	6%	6%	10%	10%	8%	11%	7%	11%	7%	11%	11%	7%	8%	9%	12%
7 A great deal	29%	33%	31%	23%	26%	29%	32%	35%	33%	29%	25%	30%	29%	36%	25%	22%	33%	30%	26%
Chi ² :		-							.05				.01				-		
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 691	9 114	10 103	11 69	9 132	9 172	10 73	10 29	9 1 47	7 166	5 269	9 105	6 238	7 190	7 167	9 96	6 248	7 197	7 179
Meighted h for mean:	4.82	5.14	4.85	4.76	4.62	4.66	5.02	5.02	5.09	4.99	4.60	4.68	4.77	5.21	4.57	4.64	4.93	4.89	4.81
Std dev: Student's t:	1.93	1.89	1.93	1.77	1.93	2.02	1.85	1.99	1.72	1.84	1.97 .05	2:17	1.95	1.86 .01	1.98 .05	1.83	1.96	1.85	1.85

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Cor	munity
1	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20D Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 18%	7e SOL 397 18%	on res	324 20%	308 18%	433 19%	458 15%	283 23%	57 12%	125 18%	45 15%	234	355 17%	73 19%	337	144 16%	259 19%	224 19%	331 18%	184 19%
Moderate extent	38%	37%	41%	38%	36%	40%	39%	36%	45%	41%	39%	37%	41%	37%	40%	41%	33%	35%	38%	39%
High extent	38%	40%	41%	34%	42%	34%	41%	33%	38%	38%	40%	38%	37%	41%	37%	39%	39%	42%	38%	35%
DK/NR	6%	5%	4 %	8%	++ 4%	7%	++ 4%	8%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	8%	5%	6%	7%
1 Not at all	9%	9%	4 %	10%	9%	9%	68	14%	9%	6%	3%	11%	9%	10%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%	8%
2	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	3%	4 %	4 %	4 %	2 %	3%	6%	5%	4 %	3 %	4 %	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
3	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6₹	6%	5%	1%	9%	5%	4%	4 %	6%	6%	4 %	6%	5%	5%	7%
4 Somewhat	25%	24%	25%	26%	22%	27%	26%	23%	24%	26%	26%	24%	27%	22%	25%	27%	23%	24%	21%	31%
5	13%	14%	16%	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%	21%	15%	14%	14%	13%	15%	15%	14%	10%	11%	17%	8%
6	98	9%	23%	7%	10%	8%	9%	9%	8%	8%	6%	11%	8 %	10%	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%
7 A great deal	29%	31%	18%	27%	32 %	26%	32%	24%	30%	30%	33%	27%	29%	30%	28%	30%	30%	32%	29%	27%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 691 4.82 1.93	5 391 4.89 1.94	23 14 4.93 1.66	5 282 4.71 1.94	- 6 348 4.92 1.95	5 343 4.72 1.91	.01 5 419 5.01 1.82	6 272 4.54 2.05	13 61 5.00 1.84	9 115 4.90 1.82	15 42 5.05 1.79	6 206 4.73 1.98	5 318 4.81 1.91	11 76 4.87 1.95	302 4.79 1.91	8 141 4.91 1.85	6 246 4.84 1.98	7 194 4.88 1.99	5 311 4.85 1.93	7 184 4.72 1.88

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20D Extent thatwould																	_	
Unweighted n: Low extent	741 18%	331 18%	268 16%	126 21%	216 18%	149 16%	143 21%	454 17%	199 21%	317 21% +	275 16%	134 15%	234 20%	504 18%	372 19%	368 17%	1 100%	0 0%
Moderate extent	38%	37%	42%	29%	36%	39%	38%	40%	35%	34%	46%	31%	34%	39%	35%	41%	0%	0%
High extent	38%	37%	38%	46%	40%	40%	38%	40%	38%	40%	32%	47%	39%	38%	41%	35%	0%	0%
DK/NR	6%	8%	4%	3%	7%	5%	2%	4 %	6%	5%	5%	7%	7%	5%	5%	7%	0%	0 %
1 Not at all	9%	10%	8%	98	9%	9%	9%	7%	11%	13%	7%	7%	9%	9%	10%	8 %	0%	0%
2	4 %	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4 %	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4 %	0%	0%
3	5%	4%	7%	8%	6%,	5%	9%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	100%	0%
4 Somewhat	25%	26%	25%	21%	24%	23%	23%	25%	26%	24%	29%	18%	23%	25%	24%	26%	0%	0%
5	13%	11%	17%	8%	12%	16%	15%	15%	10%	9%	17%	13%	11%	14%	11%	15%	0%	0%
6	98	8%	10%	8%	9%	10%	9%	9%	9%	9%	7%	13%	7%	10%	88	10%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	29%	28%	28%	38%	30%	30%	30%	30%	29%	30%	25%	33%	32%	28%	33%	25%	0%	0%
Chi²:			(.10)		-			-		.01			-		-			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	5	6	9	7	8	8	5	7	6	6	8	6	4	5	5	98	*
Weighted n for mean:	691	305	258	115	184	134	150		185	281	266	131	210	479	349	342	0	_
Mean:	4.82	4.76	4.91	4.98	4.90	4.93	4.82	4.94	4.71	4.72	4.78	5.17	4.85	4.82	4.87	4.78	3.00	
Std dev:	1.93	1.98	1.80	2.05	1.92	1.89	1.91	1.84	2.02	2.07	1.77	1.86	1.99	1.90	2.01	1.85	*	*
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	. 05	-	-	_	-	*	*

		Locatio	on	0.0		Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20D Extent thatwould	improve SOL	on reserve						
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	18%	19%	11%	13%	15%	19%	21%	24%
Moderate extent	38%	38%	38%	 48% +++	38%	37%	33%	++ 35%
High extent	38%	38%	39%	38%	42%	36%	41%	38%
DK/NR	6%	5% 	12%	2%	6%	9%	6%	4 %
1 Not at all	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%	8%	10%	14%
2	4%	4%	1%	1%	0%	5%	5%	7%
3	5%	6%	3%	₹ 5%	7%	6%	6%	3%
4 Somewhat	25%	25%	27%	29%	22%	26%	22%	27%
5	13%	13%	11%	19%	16%	11%	11%	8%
6	9%	9%	9%	16%	7%	7%	6%	8%
7 A great deal	29%	29%	30%	22%	35%	29%	35%	30%
Chi²:		-		(.10)				
±points around 50%:	4	4	12	7	11	11	7	
Weighted n for mean:	691	640	51	174	82	68	176	
Mean:	4.82	4.80	5.08	4.92	5.12	4.78	4.87	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.93	1.94	1.81	1.65	1.83	1.95	2.04	2.12 .10
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-/	-	_	.10

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Tota1	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
			reserve																
Unweighted n:	343 12%	58 14%	56 10%	41 8%	50 13%	51 16%	45 8%	42 9%	46 3%	107 13%	142 15%	46 15%	117 15%	84 8%	93 13%	48 10%	116 11%	103 9%	83 16%
Low extent	128	146	104	0.8	134	10.0	0.0	20		138	130	13.	138	0.0	130	10.	11.0	,	10.
Moderate extent	42%	48%	39%	56%	39%	34%	46%	34%	48%	42%	48%	20%	45%	48%	34%	34%	46%	43%	34%
High extent	40%	28%	47%	29%	44%	46%	38%	47%	45%	43%	30%	51%	34%	37%	48%	47%	36%	43%	45%
												+	-		+			- 0'	
DK/NR	6%	11%	4%	7%	4%	4%	7%	10%	4 %	2%	6%	13%	6%	6%	5%	8%	88	5∜	6%
1 Not at all	7%	98	7%	4 %	9%	7%	4%	2%	0%	7%	8 %	10%	98	6%	6%	4%	88	3%	6%
2	3%	3%	2%	0%	4%	4%	2 %	2%	90	3 %	3 %	4 %	4%	0%	3 %	3 %	1%	3 %	3 ₺
3	3%	2%	1%	4%	0%.	5%	3 %	6%	3₺	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3 %	1%	2%	7%
4 Somewhat	29%	36%	29%	39%	29%	23%	25%	17%	35∜	26%	33%	19%	34%	32%	21%	25%	31%	30%	25%
5	13%	12%	11%	17%	10%	11%	22%	17%	13%	16%	15%	1%	11%	16%	13%	9%	15%	13%	9%
6	13%	98	8%	4%	19%	19%	15%	13%	7%	24%	5₹	19%	11%	13%	15%	16%	14%	15%	13%
7 A great deal	27%	19%	39%	26%	25%	26%	23%	34%	38%	20%	25%	32%	23%	24%	33%	31%	22%	29%	32%
Chi²:		_							(.01)				_				_		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	13	13	15	14	14	15	15	14	9	8	14	9	11	10	14	9	10	11
Weighted n for mean:	312	51	52	37	56	73	32	12	59	95	114	44	115	85	76	35	103	97	72
Mean:	4.97	4.59	5.23	4.93	4.92	4.98	5.11	5.44	5.44	4.94	4.70	5.12	4.70	5.00	5.20	5.22	4.87	5.16	5.05
Std dev:	1.76	1.78	1.83	1.55	1.87	1.83	1.57	1.61	1.41	1.70	1.82	2.06	1.82	1.63	1.79	1.74	1.75	1.61	1.85
Student's t:	*	.10	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.05	-	.05	_	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	_

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Moth	ner Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Cor	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT			Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20E Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 343 12%	re SOL 181 13%	on res	155 12%	144 13%	199 11%	221 13%	122	26 9%	65 12%	19 14%	106 10%	168 11%	30 13%	149 11%	74 10%	119 15%	105 17%	155 11%	81 8%
Moderate extent	42%	41%	54%	43%	41%	43%	44%	39%	68%	46%	37%	44%	47%	58%	45%	50%	33%	39%	39%	49%
High extent	40%	41%	46%	38%	40%	40%	38%	43%	21%	37%	42%	40%	36%	28%	36%	37%	45%	39%	45% +	32%
DK/NR	6%	5%	0%	8%	6%	7%	5%	8%	3%	5%	7%	6%	6%	0%	7%	2%	7%	4 %	5%	10%
1 Not at all	7%	9%	0%	4%	9%	4%	7%	5%	0%	7%	10%	7%	7%	2%	5%	88	8%	7%	5%	8%
2	3 %	2%	0%	4 %	1%	4 %	3%	3%	3 %	2%	0%	1%	1%	88	28	2%	4%	5%	3%	0%
3	3%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3 €	3%	2%	6%	3%	5%	2%	3 %	3₺	5%	0%	3%	5%	3%	0%
4 Somewhat	29%	28%	13%	31%	28%	30%	31%	26%	53%	28%	27%	27%	30%	44%	34%	25%	27%	26%	29%	32%
5	13%	13%	42%	12%	13%	13%	13%	12%	15%	18%	10%	17%	17%	15%	12%	25%	7%	13%	11%	17%
6	13%	15%	18%	10%	13%	13%	10%	17%	3%	10%	6%	15%	11%	7%	10%	12%	18%	9%	18%	9%
7 A great deal	27%	26%	28%	27%	27%	26%	27%	26%	17%	27%	36%	25%	25%	21%	26%	26%	27%	30%	27%	23%
Chi²: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	5 312 4.97 1.76	7 171 4.94 1.82	40 5 5.61 1.14	8 135 4.98 1.71	156 4.92 1.86	7 156 5.03 1.67	7 196 4.90 1.79	9 116 5.09 1.71	19 25 4.63 1.33	12 58 4.96 1.76	22 18 5.03 1.99	10 93 5.03 1.70	8 147 4.94 1.72	18 32 4.67 1.60	8 129 4.94 1.69	11 73 5.00 1.72	9 109 4.96 1.88	10 92 4.88 1.89	8 147 5.09 1.70	11 72 4.88 1.74

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. E Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3			First Na Indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20E Extent thatwould									-					0.7.0	1.60	100		
Unweighted n:	343	163	118	54	93	62	66	216	89 24%	149	120 12%	66 6%	102 15%	239 11%	160 12%	182 12%	1 100%	0 0%
Low extent	12%	11%	12%	13%	16%	10%	14%	8%	24 *	15%	12%	6.4	124	114	128	124	1004	0.6
Moderate extent	42%	42%	43%	39%	32%	44%	47%	46%	34%	33%	51% +++	42%	37%	44%	33%	50% +++	0%	0%
High extent	40%	37%	43%	47%	49%	44%	38%	41%	33%	45%	31%	47%	43%	38%	49%	32%	0%	0%
DK/NR	6%	10%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	5%	10%	6%	5%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	0%	0%
1 Not at all	7%	8%	4%	6%	5%	4 %	8%	4%	13%	8%	9%	0%	8%	6%	8%	5%	0%	0%
2	3%	0%	6%	4 %	7%	3%	4%	2%	4 %	3%	1%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%	0%	0%
3	3%	3%	2%	4 %	4%,	2%	2%	2%	6%	4%	3%	0%	4%	2%	2%	4%	100%	0%
4 Somewhat	29%	31%	24%	32%	22%	31%	29%	33%	22%	23%	37%	24%	25%	31%	25%	33%	0%	0%
5	13%	10%	18%	7%	11%	13%	18%	13%	12%	10%	14%	18%	12%	13%	8%	17%	0%	0%
6	13%	14%	12%	14%	15%	12%	12%	12%	11%	15%	11%	16%	12%	14%	18%	98	0%	0%
7 A great deal	27%	23%	30%	33%	34%	32%	26%	29%	22%	31%	21%	31%	31%	25%	31%	23%	0%	0%
Chi²:			_		_			.01		(.10)			-		(.05)			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	8	9	13	10	12	12	7	10	8	9	12	10	6	8	7	98	
Weighted n for mean:	312	146	114	46	79	59	65	197	78	130	115	62	91	220	142	170	0	
Mean:	4.97	4.88	5.10	5.08	5.13	5.14	4.86	5.13	4.50	5.03	4.71	5.37	4.99	4.95	5.14	4.83	3.00	*
Std dev:	1.76	1.77	1.72	1.80	1.86	1.69	1.81	1.62	2.04	1.90	1.72 .05	1.48	1.88	1.71	1.85	1.67	*	*
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 05	-	. 05	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Locati	lon			Cluster		
:	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20E Extent thatwould								
Unweighted n:	343	312	31	75	39	31	91	71
Low extent	12%	12%	9%	11%	6%	11%	13%	23%
Moderate extent	42%	42%	36%	41%	48%	42%	38%	++ 45%
High extent	40%	39%	51%	45%	42%	40%	46%	26%
DK/NR	6%	6%	4%	3%	4 %	7%	3%	7%
1 Not at all	7%	7%	7%	7%	0%	7%	8%	11%
2	3%	3 %	0%	2%	2%	4 %	1%	6%
3	3%	3%	2%	. 2%	4 %	0%	3%	5%
4 Somewhat	29%	30%	24%	28%	30%	30%	26%	36%
5	13%	13%	12%	13%	19%	12%	12%	9%
6	13%	13%	11%	16%	8%	17%	14%	8%
7 A great deal	27%	26%	39%	29%	34%	23%	32%	18%
Chi²:		-		_				
±points around 50%:	5	6	18	11	16	18	10	12
Weighted n for mean:	312	288	25	73	42	26	83	63
Mean:	4.97	4.94	5.36	5.07	5.35	4.94	5.09	4.28
Std dev:	1.76	1.76	1.80	1.76	1.44	1.79	1.85	1.88
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves
 accountability

				Pi	covince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20E Extent thatwould	improve	SOL on	reserve	•															
Unweighted n:	398	61	47	35 21%	68 17%	78 11%	55 7%	54 10%	63 12%	85 6 %	183 16%	65 17%	125 18%	106 9%	96 10%	71 15%	142 16%	111 8%	103 12%
Low extent	13%	9%	18%	218	1/6	114	/ 15	104	128		103	1/8	+	20	10%	138	+	-	120
Moderate extent	40%	38%	35%	35%	32%	49%	49%	31%	50%	46%	32%	39%	41%	42%	43%	30%	40%	40%	39%
						++			++	. = 0							205	-10	
High extent	43%	51%	47%	44%	47%	35%	34%	56%	35%	45%	50% ++	33%	35%	46%	46%	51%	38%	5 1%	47%
DK/NR	4 %	2%	0%	0%	5%	5%	10%	2%	2%	3%	3%	10%	7%	3%	1%	4 %	6%	2%	2%
•							++					+++	++				++		_5
1 Not at all	7%	5%	13%	11%	10%	4 %	5%	0%	6%	3 %	9%	8%	12%	4 %	3%	5%	9%	2%	7%
2	2 %	0%	0%	3₺	3%	4%	0%	88	0%	1%	4 %	3%	1%	4 %	2%	2%	2%	5%	0%
3	4 %	4%	6%	7%	4%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	6%	5%	1%	5%	7%	4%	0%	4 %
4 Somewhat	23%	21%	21%	13%	19%	31%	33%	9%	30%	22%	21%	25%	31%	27%	14%	14%	25%	21%	21%
4 Somewhat	236	210	210	130	100	310	330	, ,	300										
5	17%	17%	14%	21%	13%	18%	16%	23%	21%	24%	11%	15%	9%	16%	29%	16%	15%	19%	18%
6	11%	17%	2 %	13%	13%	11%	8%	13%	12%	13%	11%	8%	5%	15%	13%	15%	11%	10%	15%
6	115	1/6	23	138	132	112	0.9	136	120	130		0.0	5.	13.	130	130	110	200	130
7 A great deal	32%	34%	44%	31%	34%	24%	26%	43%	24%	32%	38%	25%	30%	31%	33%	36%	27%	41%	32%
Chi ² :		_							(.01)				-				_		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	13	14	17	12	11	13	13	12	11	7	12	9	10	10	12	8	9	10
Weighted n for mean:	386	63	51	34	76	107	39	17	88	78	159	59	125	110	90	61	144	105	103
Mean:	5.10 1.79	5.38 1.61	5.09 2.08	4.94 1.99	5.06 1.97	4.95	5.05 1.61	5.64 1.60	4.95 1.61	5.35 1.52	5.15 1.95	4.78	4.71 1.99	5.20 1.67	5.35 1.57	5.33 1.77	4.88	5.46 1.63	5.19 1.76
Std dev: Student's t:	1./9	1.61	2.08	1.99	1.9/	1.03	1.61		1.61	.10	1.95	-	.01	-	.10		.05	.05	1.70

01702728

accountability

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Emp1. Rese	,		oyment			of Com	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20E Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 398 13%	re SOL 216 12%	on res	169 13%	164 14%	234	237 10%	161 17%	31 14%	60 8%	26 13%	128 9%	187 10%	43 6%	188	70 12%	140 18%	119	176 14%	103 16%
Moderate extent	40%	40%	46%	40%	38%	42%	41%	+ 39%	43%	46%	48%	36%	42%	35%	41%	44%	++ 37%	37%	38%	46%
High extent	43%	44%	34%	42%	45%	41%	47%	37%	43%	41%	39%	53%	44%	58%	48%	41%	38%	53%	44%	33%
DK/NR	4 %	3 %	14%	4 %	3 %	5%	+ 1%	7%	0%	5%	0%	2%	3%	+ 0%	2 ¥	3%	7%	1%	5%	5%
1 Not at all	7%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	 6 %	+++ 7%	13%	1%	3 %	8%	7%	6%	 7%	7%	++ 6*	7%	4%	10%
2	2%	3 %	0%	1%	3 %	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%	10%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	5%	0%
3	4 %	4%	0%	4%	5%	3 €	2%	7%	1%	7%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	7%	2%	5%	5%
4 Somewhat	23%	24%	46%	22%	22%	25%	25%	21%	35%	29%	26%	16%	26%	18%	23%	26%	23%	20%	21%	29%
5	17%	16%	0%	18%	16%	17%	16%	18%	8%	17%	21%	21%	17%	17%	18%	19%	14%	16%	17%	17%
6	11%	13%	24%	8%	13%	98	11%	11%	19%	10%	11%	13%	11%	21%	15%	7%	9%	10%	14%	8%
7 A great deal	32%	31%	10%	34%	32%	32%	36%	26%	24%	31%	28%	39%	33%	37%	33%	34%	30%	42%	30%	25%
Chi²:		-			-		.01		_				_		(.10)			(.10)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	7	28	8	8	6	6	8	18	13	19	9	7	15	176	12		106	7	10
Weighted n for mean:	386	223 5.13	8 4.66	153 5.10	194 5.11	192 5.08	228 5.22	159 4.92	38 4.79	55 5.25	25 4.99	116 5.44	173 5.15	47 5.53	176 5.23			106 5.42	169 5.12	
Mean: Std dev:	5.10 1.79	1.75	1.66	1.85	1.79	1.79	1.76	1.81	1.90	1.49	1.73	1.75	1.78	1.63	1.74			1.77	1.72	_
Student's t:	1./5					1.75	.10	.10	7.50		-	.10		1.03				. 05		.05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves

accountability

		1nt	ernet U	se	1nter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3		-	irst Nat		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20E Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improve 398 13%	SOL on 168 13%	reserv	e 72 12%	123 9%	87 10%	77 17%	238 11%	110 18%	168 20%	155 10%	68 7 %	132 12%	265 14%	212 16%	186 10%	0 0%	0 0%
Moderate extent	40%	39%	43%	34%	39%	32%	46%	43%	34%	+++ 31%	47%	43%	37%	41%	32 %	49%	0%	0%
High extent	43%	41%	41%	54%	52%	55%	34%	43%	43%	44%	38%	49%	48%	41%	49%	36%	0%	0%
DK/NR	4 %	7%	2%	0%	0%	3 %	2%	3 %	5%	4 %	5%	1%	3%	5%	+++ 4%	4%	0%	0 %
1 Not at all	7%	+++ 5%	8%	8%	- 5%	7%	11%	5%	12%	10%	5%	4%	5%	8%	10%	3 %	0%	0%
2	2%	3 %	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4 %	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	4 %	0%	0 %
3	4 %	5%	3%	4 %	3%.	2%	4%	3%	4%	6%	3 %	3 %	4%	4 %	5%	3%	0%	0 %
4 Somewhat	23%	25%	24%	19%	16%	18%	30%	24%	22%	17%	34%	15%	18%	25%	19%	29%	0%	0%
5	17%	14%	19%	15%	23%	13%	17%	19%	12%	14%	13%	27%	19%	15%	13%	21%	0%	0 %
6	11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	16%	10%	13%	8%	7%	12%	19%	12%	11%	10%	13%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	32%	31%	29%	43%	40%	39%	24%	30%	36%	37%	26%	30%	36%	30%	39%	23%	0%	0 %
Chi?:			-		(.05)			-		(.01)			-		.01			
±points around 50%:	5 386	8	8 147	12 73	9 115	11 80	11 86	6 235	9 105	8 151	8 152	12 75	9 127	6 258	7 207	7 180	*	*
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	5.10	159 5.06	5.01	5.38	5.47	5.42	4.69	5.15	4.96	4.99	5.00	5.43	5.28	5.01	5.18	5.00	*	0 *
Std dev:	1.79	1.76	1.81	1.83	1.65	1.78	1.87	1.68	2.05	2.05	1.65	1.48	1.74	1.81	1.96	1.56	*	*
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	-	.01	_	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	*	*

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves

accountability

		Locati	on			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20E Extent thatwould								
Unweighted n:	398	360	38	96	41	46	108	84
Low extent	13%	14%	5%	11%	4 %	14%	11%	22% +++
Moderate extent	40%	39%	48%	44%	44%	46%	37%	34%
High extent	43%	43%	44%	44%	50%	38%	48%	35%
DK/NR	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3 %	9%
1 Not at all	7%	7%	0%	1%	4%	8%	4%	+++ 19%
2	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	4%	3%	2%
3	4%	4%	4%	. 9%	0%	2%	5%	1%
4 Somewhat	23%	23%	28%	22%	16%	35%	19%	28%
5	17%	16%	20%	22%	28%	11%	18%	6%
6	11%	11%	9%	11%	24%	12%	10%	6%
7 A great deal	32%	32%	34%	32%	27%	25%	39%	28%
Chi ² :		_		(.05)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	5	16	10	15	14	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	386	355	31	100	42	46	97	
Mean:	5.10	5.07	5.41		5.46	4.79	5.37	
Std dev:	1.79	1.82	1.39	1.48	1.42		1.70	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.10	-	.10	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Province								Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20F Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve	SOL on	reserve	76	118	129	100	96	109	192	325	111	242	190	189	119	258	214	186
Low extent	23%	18%	32%	19%	22%	27%	17%	24%	17%	25%	22%	30%	24%	24%	21%	25%	23%	22%	22%
Moderate extent	38%	43%	++ 28%	40%	47%	35%	29%	38%	32%	47%	36%	34%	42%	31%	43%	32%	40%	37%	36%
High extent	32%	37%	32%	30%	++ 25%	32%	41%	35%	44%	+++ 24%	34%	25%	28%	37%	29%	38%	30%	32%	38%
DK/NR	7%	2%	8%	11%	- 6*	6%	++ 13%	3%	+++ 7%	3%	7%	11%	6%	7%	8%	4%	6%	9%	4%
1 Not at all	13%	11%	19%	14%	14%	14%	++ 9%	9%	7%	13%	14%	18%	17%	10%	10%	16%	13%	10%	13%
2	4%	2%	3%	1%	4%	8%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	7%	2%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%
3	6%	6%	11%	4%	5%	6%	5%	10%	8%	88	5%	5%	5%	9%	6%	4%	7%	7%	5%
4 Somewhat	26%	28%	20%	31%	31%	26%	15%	27%	20%	33%	25%	25%	30%	20%	28%	21%	25%	26%	25%
5	12%	15%	88	9%	16%	10%	14%	11%	12%	14%	11%	10%	11%	11%	14%	11%	15%	11%	10%
6	11%	15%	12%	11%	7%	12%	98	8%	19%	9%	10%	5%	7%	15%	88	16%	8%	11%	16%
7 A great deal	21%	21%	19%	20%	18%	20%	33%	27%	25%	15%	24%	20%	21%	22%	21%	23%	22%	21%	22%
Chił:		(.05)							.01				-				-		
<pre>± points around 50%:</pre>	4	9	10	11	9	9	10	10	9	7	5	9	6	7	7	9	6	7	7
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	685 4.47	119 4.71	96 4.20	66 4.47	129 4.32	178 4.33	68 5.05	30 4.65	141 4.99	172 4.23	265 4.54	103 4.05	240 4.30	189 4.63	158 4.52	97 4.50	247 4.47	189 4.53	174 4.59
Std dev:	1.99	1.84	2.14	1.97	1.91	2.03	2.00	1.94	1.80	1.85	2.05	2.14	2.04	1.95	1.88	2.11	1.97	1.93	1.99
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	.01	-	.01	.05	-	.05	.10	-	-	-	-	-	-

1		Mother Tongue			Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment			of Com	nmunity
1	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20F Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 23%	e SOL 397 25%	on res	erve 324 22%	308 21%	433 25%	458 23%	283	57 21%	125 26%	45 27%	234	355 20%	73 22%	337	144 28%	259 26%	224	331 24%	184 27%
Moderate extent	38%	36%	31%	40%	37%	38%	36%	40%	35%	37%	39%	37%	38%	36%	38%	34%	39%	34%	40%	38%
High extent	32%	35%	44%	28%	35%	30%	35%	28%	39%	31%	29%	37%	36%	35%	37%	31%	28%	42%	29%	27%
DK/NR	7%	+ 4%	17%	10%	7%	7%	++ 6%	8%	5%	6%	4%	8%	6%	7%	++ 6%	88	7%	++++ 5%	7%	88
1 Not at all	13%	13%	8%	14%	12%	15%	14%	13%	9%	13%	8%	14%	12%	12%	11%	16%	14%	12%	11%	18%
2	4 %	5%	0%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	10%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%
3	6%	7%	0%	5%	7%	6%	6%	68	8%	10%	9%	2%	5%	7%	5%	8%	7%	4%	8%	6%
4 Somewhat	26%	25%	14%	27%	24%	27%	24%	27%	27%	24%	31%	23%	26%	21%	25%	24%	27%	20%	27%	30%
5	12%	11%	18%	13%	13%	11%	11%	13%	8%	12%	8%	13%	11%	15%	13%	10%	12%	14%	13%	88
6	11%	13%	13%	7%	11%	11%	13%	8%	12%	11%	7%	15%	12%	15%	13%	10%	9%	13%	10%	11%
7 A great deal	21%	22%	31%	21%	24%	19%	22%	20%	26%	20%	22%	22%	23%	20%	23%	21%	20%	30%	19%	16%
Chi ² :		(.01)	2.2	5	- 6	5	-	6	- 13	9	15	6	- 5	11	- 5	8	6	. 05	5	7
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 685	5 395	23 12	275	340	345	411	274	61	112	43	200	314	73	300	135	250	194	307	182
weighted h for mean: Mean:	4.47	4.49	5.37	4.40	4.63	4.32	4.55	4.36	4.72	4.43	4.37	4.67	4.63	4.60	4.67	4.31	4.33	4.85	4.42	4.14
Std dev:	1.99	1.99	1.86	2.00	1.96	2.00	2.01	1.96	1.95	1.97	1.92	2.00	1.97	1.94	1.94	2.07		2.02	1.91	2.03
Student's t:	*		.10	2.00	.05	.05	-	-		1.77	-	-			.05			.01		.05

ı

		Int	ernet U	Ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20F Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve	SOL on	reserv 268	re 126	216	149	143	454	199	317	275	134	234	504	372	368	1	0
Low extent	23%	25%	23%	22%	27%	19%	18%	19%	34%	28%	22%	19%	27%	22%	27%	20%	0%	0%
Moderate extent	38%	37%	41%	32%	36%	35%	43%	37%	38%	31%	45% +++	40%	32%	40%	33%	42% ++	0%	0%
High extent	32%	30%	31%	42%	33%	40%	34%	38% ++++	23%	35%	28%	35%	35%	31%	33%	32%	100%	0%
DK/NR	7%	98 ++	5%	4%	3%	6%	5%		5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	0%	
1 Not at all	13%	15%	13%	10%	12%	12%	11%		23%	17%	13%	6%		13%	16%	10%	0%	
2	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%		5%	4%	5%	3%		3%	4%	4%	0%	
3	6%	5%	6%	9%	11%	5%	3%		6%	6%	4%	10%	7%	6%	6%	6%	0%	
4 Somewhat	26%	28%	26%	18%	21%	19%	28%		24%	20%	30%	30%	20%	28%	23%	28%	0%	
5	12%	9%	15%	14%	16%	16%	15%		14%	10%	15% 8%	10%	12%	12%	10%	14%	0%	
6	11%	8%	12% 19%	15% 27%	14%	18% 22%	12% 22%	14%	6% 17%	12% 23%	20%	21%	23%	21%	23%	20%	0%	
7 A great deal Chi ² :	21%	22%	198	2/8	198	228	228	.01	1/1	. 05	20%	218	238	218	238	20%	0.8	0.8
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 685 4.47	5 304 4.34	6 253 4.47	9 114 4.82	7 191 4.48	8 133 4.78	8 146 4.66	5 421 4.72	7 187 3.91	6 277 4.40	6 265 4.42	8 133 4.72	6 212 4.45	4 470 4.48	5 340 4.37	5 345 4.58	98 0 6.00	0
Std dev: Student's t:	1.99 *	2.04	1.93 .10	1.96 .10	1.93	1.93	1.90	1.89	2.11 .01	2.15	1.92	1.74 .10	2.09	1.94	2.11	1.86	*	*

		Locatio	on			Cluster		
	== Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20F Extent thatwould	improve SOL	on reserve						
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	23%	24%	15%	11%	2 %	71%	7%	
		+	-			++++		++++
Moderate extent	38%	37%	3 9%	59%	25%	23%	35%	36%
				++++				
High extent	32%	32%	38₺	28%	71%	0%	54%	
ny (Ma	7.0	5 0		-	++++ 2%		++++ 3%	
DK/NR	7%	7%	8%	2 %	28	6%	3 6	7 6
1 Not at all	13%	13%	11%	1%	1%	39%	4%	
I NOT at all	120	130	11.0	1.0	1.6	3,78	40	320
2	4 %	4%	2%	1%	0%	19%	0%	7%
3	6%	7%	2%	9%	1%	13%	3%	8 %
4 Somewhat	26%	26%	21%	38%	13%	20%	22%	30%
5	12%	11%	18%	21%	12%	3%	13%	6%
6	11%	10%	17%	13%	22%	0%	16%	4%
7 A great deal	21%	21%	21%	16%	49%	0%	39%	7%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	7	11	11	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	685	632	53	174	85	70	180	145
Mean:	4.47	4.44	4.83	4.81	6.04	2.27	5.51	
Std dev:	1.99	1.99	1.89	1.32	1.23		1.58	
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
To	= otal	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
	prove 741 10%	SOL on 119 7%	reserve 103 12%	76 4%	118 11%	129 11%	100 10%	96 10%	109 8%	192 9%	325 10%	111 12%	242 11%	190 9 %	189 6%	119 14%	258 8%	214 10%	186 8%
Moderate extent	23%	27%	18%	36%	20%	21%	17%	24%	23%	22%	23%	22%	28%	21%	18%	21%	27%	21%	21%
High extent	67%	65%	70%	+++ 60%	68%	66%	72%	66%	69%	68%	67%	64%	598	70%	76% +++	65%	65%	69%	71%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
1 Not at all	4%	3%	5%	0%	7%	5%	5%	6%	1%	3%	6%	7%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%
2	3 %	2 %	1%	1%	3₺	6%	1%	1%	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	6%	2%	4 %	0%
3	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	1%	4 %	4 %	3%	1%	3%	2 %	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3 %	3%
4 Somewhat	12%	11%	13%	21%	13%	10%	10%	8%	11%	13%	13%	10%	17%	9%	8%	13%	16%	12%	9%
5	11%	16%	5%	15%	7%	11%	7%	15%	13%	9%	10%	12%	12%	11%	10%	8%	11%	98	12%
6	16%	22%	17%	17%	12%	15%	18%	13%	18%	20%	13%	16%	14%	19%	18%	15%	17%	19%	18%
7 A great deal	51%	43%	53%	44%	56%	52%	54%	54%	51%	48%	53%	47%	46%	51%	58%	50%	47%	50%	54%
	4 729 5.76 1.68	9 120 5.77 1.48	10 104 5.76 1.72	11 74 5.74 1.35	9 137 5.75 1.85	9 186 5.73 1.77	10 77 5.85 1.69	10 30 5.80 1.71	9 151 5.88 1.49	7 176 5.76 1.63	5 284 5.75 1.73	9 114 5.60 1.85	(.05) 6 252 5.56 1.73	7 203 5.84 1.62	7 171 6.06 1.50	9 102 5.57 1.86	6 263 5.69 1.67	7 206 5.78 1.63	7 182 5.91 1.58

÷

	U		

	Mother Tongue			Gen	nder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20G Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 10%	re SOL 397 9%	on res	erve 324 9%	308 9%	433	458 9%	283	57 11%	125 8%	45 6%	234	355 10%	73 9%	337 7%	144 13%	259 10%	224 9%	331 8%	184 14%
Moderate extent	23%	23%	23%	23%	25%	20%	21%	25%	31%	20%	28%	19%	21%	22%	23%	20%	23%	21%	24%	++ 21%
High extent	67%	68%	56%	66%	65%	69%	70%	63%	58 %	72%	66%	71%	69%	69%	69%	67%	64%	70%	68%	62%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	++ 1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3 % ++++
1 Not at all	4 %	4%	14%	4%	5%	4%	3%	7%	78	3%	3%	48	5%	6%	3%	88	4%	5%	3 %	
2	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	5%
3	2%	2%	6%	3%	28	3%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3 %	3 %	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3 %
4 Somewhat	12%	12%	14%	13%	12%	12%	12%	12%	17%	12%	16%	8%	11%	9%	11%	13%	13%	13%	11%	11%
5	11%	11%	10%	10%	13%	9%	9%	13%	14%	8%	12%	11%	10%	12%	12%	7%	11%	8%	12%	10%
6	16%	18%	30%	13%	19%	13%	15%	18%	20%	21%	16%	18%	16%	29%	18%	18%	14%	15%	19%	13%
7 A great deal	51%	50%	26%	53%	46%	56%	55%	45%	38%	51%	51%	53₺	53%	40%	51%	49%	51%	56%	49%	49%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4 729 5.76	- 5 411 5.75	23 14 4.99	5 299 5.80	- 6 363 5.68	5 365 5.84	5 435 5.88	6 294 5.58	13 64 5.42	9 118 5.85	15 45 5.83	6 216 5.88	5 334 5.80	11 79 5.68	- 5 316 5.88	8 146 5.59	6 264 5.70	204	5 331 5.82	7 192 5.59
Std dev: Student's t:	1.68	1.67	2.07	1.67	1.67	1.68	1.60	1.78	1.74	1.60	1.51	1.61	1.67	1.64	1.52	1.89	1.74		1.56	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. I Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact t Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20G Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improve 741 10%	SOL on 331 10%	reserv 268 9%	/e 126 10%	216 7%	149 10%	143 10%	454 8%	199 12%	317	275 8%	134 6%	234	504 10%	372 11%	368 8%	1 0%	0 0%
Moderate extent	23%	23%	25%	16%	23%	18%	21%	23%	25%	18%	26%	25%	22%	23%	20%	25%	0%	0%
High extent	67%	65%	66 %	74%	69%	72%	70%	68%	63%	69%	65 %	68%	68%	67%	68%	66 %	100%	0%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
1 Not at all	4 %	+++ 5%	4%	3∜	3 %	4%	5%	4 %	6%	6%	4%	3 %	6%	4 %	7%	2%	0%	0 %
2	3 %	3 %	2%	4%	2 %	3 %	2%	2%	4%	3 %	3 %	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0 %
3	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3 %	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3 %	3 %	2%	0%	0%
4 Somewhat	12%	13%	13%	8%	11%	9%	11%	11%	16%	10%	15%	10%	10%	13%	11%	13%	0%	0%
5	11%	11%	12%	7%	12%	9%	10%	12%	8%	8%	11%	16%	12%	10%	9%	12%	0%	0%
6	16%	14%	19%	18%	19%	17%	20%	19%	11%	14%	17%	22%	16%	17%	13%	19%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	51%	52%	48%	56%	50%	55%	49%	49%	52%	55%	48%	46%	52%	50%	55%	47%	100%	0%
Chi ² :			-		-			-		(.05)			-		-			
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 729 5.76	5 327 5.73	6 268 5.73	9 119 5.93	7 197 5.86	8 142 5.87	8 154 5.76	5 449 5.81	7 195 5.59	6 295 5.73	6 279 5.73	8 140 5.87	6 224 5.76	501 5.76	5 364 5.74	5 364 5.77	98 0 7.00	* 0 *
Std dev: Student's t:	1.68	1.73	1.64	1.61	1.53	1.65	1.69		1.85	1.83	1.63	1:44	1.73	1.66	1.81	1.53	*	*

*		Locatio	on			Cluster		
1 5	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20G Extent thatwould)				100	1==
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171 7%	80	77 14%	199 9%	155 14%
Low extent	10%	10%	5%	7.8	5%	146	94	146
Moderate extent	23%	23%	20%	24%	26%	22%	19%	26%
High extent	67%	66%	75%	68%	70%	62%	73%	59%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%
1 Not at all	4 %	5%	0%	2%	2 %	1%	4%	12%
2	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%
3	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	10%	3%	0%
4 Somewhat	12%	12%	8%	10%	15%	14%	10%	17%
5	11%	10%	13%	14%	10%	8%	9%	9%
6	16%	16%	18%	18%	18%	23%	14%	12%
7 A great deal	51%	50%	56%	50%	51%	39%	58%	47%
Chi²:		-		(.05)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12		11	11	7	_
Weighted n for mean:	729	671	58		86	72	186	
Mean:	5.76	5.73	6.09		5.91		5.95 1.59	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.68	1.70 .05	1.33		1.44	1.58	.05	
student's t:	•	. 05	.05	-	_	-	. 05	.01

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q20H Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve 741	SOL on	reserve	76	118	129	100	96	109	192	325	111	242	190	189	119	258	214	186
Low extent	13%	10%	13%	16%	13%	15%	12%	12%	19%	11%	11%	14%	17%	13%	8%	14%	13%	12%	11%
Moderate extent	21%	21%	17%	30%	22%	21%	17%	26%	18%	23%	25 % ++	14%	20%	23%	23%	19%	21%	24%	22%
High extent	63%	66%	69%	53%	60%	61%	68%	59%	63%	63%	60%	68%	60%	62%	68%	66%	64%	63%	64%
DK/NR	3 %	2%	1%	1%	5% +	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
1 Not at all	8%	7%	8%	7%	10%	8%	9%	10%	11%	6%	8%	10%	12%	6%	5%	8%	9%	4 %	7%
2	2%	2 %	3%	4%	0%	3%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%
3	3 %	1%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	2 %	5%	4 %	2%	1%	3 %	4 %	1%	3%	3%	4 %	3 %
4 Somewhat	14%	11%	11%	23%	14%	16%	12%	17%	13%	16%	16%	10%	15%	19%	14%	6%	15%	18%	12%
5	7%	10%	6%	7%	8%	5%	5%	98	5%	7%	9%	4 %	6%	4 %	98	13%	6%	6%	10%
6	8%	12%	4%	5%	10%	7%	9%	12%	10%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	8%	12%	8%	9%	9%
7 A great deal	55%	55%	65%	48%	50%	55%	59%	47%	53%	56%	53%	61%	54%	53%	59%	54%	57%	54%	55%
Chi ² :		-					• •		(.05)	-	_	•	-	7	-	0	-	-	7
±points around 50%:	4 715	110	10 104	11 73	9 131	9 184	10 75	10 30	9 151	7 174	5 276	9 111	6 248	198	7 168	9 101	260	7 205	7 177
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	5.60	119 5.75	5.80	5.28	5.54	5.51	5.76	5.47	5.39	5.70	5.59	5.71	5.41	5.58	5.85	5.67	5.61	5.63	5.71
Std dev:	1.95	1.81	1.95	1.97	1.96	2.02	1.94	1.97	2.11	1.82	1.89	2.07	2.13	1.89	1.73	1.92	1.97	1.82	1.84
Student's t:	*	-						-			-	-	.10	-	.05	-	-	-	-

		Mother Tongue G			Gen	ıder	Child Under		Emp	loymer	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment			of Com	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q20H Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improv 741 13%	e SOL 397 14%	on res 18 25%	324 12%	308 15%	433	458 12%	283 15%	57 22%	125 8%	45 9%	234	355 13%	73 13%	337	144	259 15%	224 11%	331 11%	184 19%
Moderate extent	21%	20%	6%	24%	22%	21%	23%	19%	24%	25%	25%	21%	23%	20%	25%	17%	19%	25%	22%	17%
High extent	63%	65%	69%	60%	60%	65%	63%	62%	52%	62%	63%	66%	62%	65%	63%	62%	63%	64%	64%	61%
DK/NR	3 %	2 %	0%	4 %	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	4%	3 %	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%
1 Not at all	8%	8%	19%	++ 8%	10%	7%	7%	10%	13%	3%	4 %	88	88	6%	6%	11%	10%	9%	5%	13%
2	2 %	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2 %	2 %	3 %	1%	1%	5%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3∜	2 %
3	3 %	3%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3 %	3%	7%	3%	3 %	2%	3 %	2 %	3 %	3%	3%	1%	3%	4 %
4 Somewhat	14%	13%	6%	17%	14%	15%	15%	14%	19%	20%	19%	13%	16%	15%	18%	12%	12%	16%	14%	13%
5	7%	7%	0%	6%	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	5%	6%	88	7%	5%	8%	5%	7%	9%	88	3%
6	88	10%	0%	5%	9%	7%	6%	11%	14%	88	14%	7%	7%	12%	6%	14%	7%	6%	10%	6%
7 A great deal	55%	55%	69%	55%	51%	58%	57%	51%	39%	54%	49%	59∜	55%	53%	57%	49%	56%	58%	53%	55%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	- 5	23	5	<u>.</u>	5	- 5	6	13	9	15	6	- 5	11	(.10)	8	6	(.05)	5	7
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	715 5.60	405 5.62	14 5.43	293 5.58	355 5.47	361 5.72	430 5.66	285 5.50	63 5.00	114 5.74	44 5.65	215 5.70	327 5.59	77 5.58	311 5.71	141 5.37	261 5.57	202 5.66	321 5.68	190 5.38
Std dev: Student's t:	1.95	1.94	2.52	1.94	2.02	1.87	1.89	2.04	2.14 .05	1.71	1.74	1.92	1.93	1.92	1.79	2.12	2.03	1.91	1.80	2.20

		Internet Use			Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of C Federal Government			Contact w/ Gov' Last 3 Mos?		t First Nations Standard of Living			
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q20H Extent thatwould Unweighted n: Low extent	improve 741 13%	SOL on 331 14%	reserv 268 14%	/e 126 11%	216 7%	149 8%	143 16%	454 12%	199 18%	317 13%	275 15%	134 11%	234 11%	504 14%	372 10%	368 16%	1 0%	0 0%
Moderate extent	21%	19%	22%	26%	24%	21%	+++ 23%	22%	++ 21%	21%	23%	19%	25%	20%	21%	++ 22%	0%	0%
High extent	63%	62%	64%	62%	68%	70%	60%	65 %	57%	63%	59%	68%	61%	64%	67 %	59%	100%	0%
DK/NR	3%	5% +++	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3 %	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3 %	0%	0%
1 Not at all	8%	10%	6%	7%	4%	3%	10%	6%	15%	9%	9%	5%	8%	8%	88	98	0%	0%
2	2 %	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3 %	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%
3	3%	2 %	4%	2%	2%	3%	4 %	4 %	2%	1%	4%	4 %	2 %	3 %	1%	5%	0%	0%
4 Somewhat	14%	14%	13%	17%	15%	13%	13%	16%	12%	14%	17%	13%	16%	14%	14%	15%	0%	0%
5	7%	5%	9%	98	9%	7%	10%	6%	9%	8%	68	6%	98	6%	7%	7%	0%	0%
6	8%	7₹	10%	6%	8%	9%	10%	8%	4 %	7%	98	11%	5%	98	7%	9%	0%	0%
7 A great deal	55%	55%	54%	56%	60%	61%	50%	56%	53%	56%	51%	58%	56%	54%	59%	50%	100%	0%
Chi ² :		5	- 6	9	-	8	8	(.10) 5	7	- 6	6	8	- 6	4	-	5	98	
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:</pre>	4 715 5.60 1.95	318 5.56 2.05	267 5.61 1.87	117 5.65 1.87	197 5.89 1.65	140 5.92 1.65	152 5.43 2.02	443 5.68 1.84	191 5.32 2.22	287 5.62 1.98	274 5.44 2.00	139 5.78 1.79	219 5.65 1.90	494 5.57 1.97	358 5.76 1.88	357 5.44 2.00	7.00	0 *
Student's t:	1.95	2.05	-	-	1.05	1.05	.05	.05	.05	-	2.00	-	-	-	. 05	.05	*	*

ŧ

		Locati	ion	Cluster						
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q20H Extent thatwould	improve SOL									
Unweighted n:	741	672	69	171	80	77	199	155		
Low extent	13%	14%	7%	15%	10%	12%	10%			
Moderate extent	21%	22%	16%	20%	24%	32%	21%	++ 19%		
High extent	63%	62%	75%	64%	64%	53%	68%	58%		
DK/NR	3%	3%	++ 3%	1%	2%	- 3%	+ 2%	3%		
1 Not at all	8%	9%	3%	5%	5%	4%	8%	16%		
2	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%		
3	3 %	3 %	1%	. 8%	2%	3%	1%	1%		
4 Somewhat	14%	15%	10%	10%	21%	23%	16%	12%		
5	7%	7%	5%	9%	3%	9%	5%	8%		
6	8%	7%	16%	9%	8%	7%	11%	3%		
7 A great deal	55%	54%	59%	55%	56%	46%	57%	55%		
Chi::		_		_						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	7	11	11	7	_		
Weighted n for mean:	715	659	56		85	72	183			
Mean:	5.60	5.56	6.04		5.68	5.38	5.74			
Std dev:	1.95	1.97	1.56	1.83	1.81	1.84	1.87	2.28		

.05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

- 05

Student's t:

PAGE: 178

. 10

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves

		Province BC Alta Sask Man Ont Quebec Atlan								Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21A Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Disagree Disagree	7%	5%	9%	11%	8%	7%	6%	5%	8%	5%	9%	6%	9% +++	5%	5%	6%	8%	6%	7%
Neither	19%	19%	16%	++ 20%	20%	21%	20%	17%	21%	17%	20%	17%	20%	24%	14%	13%	23%	17%	12%
Agree	71%	74%	73%	66%	70%	68%	72%	76%	69%	75%	68%	72%	66%	++ 71%	 79%	- 79%	++++ 66%	76%	80%
DK/NR	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	++ 2%	2%	5%	4%	1%	+++ 2%	++ 1%	 3%	++ 1%	+++ 1%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	++ 3%	++++	3%	3%	3%	+++	2%	3%
2	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%,	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3∜	0%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%
3	3 %	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3 %	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2 %	2 %	1%	2 %	3 %	3 %
4 Neither	19%	19%	16%	20%	20%	21%	20%	17%	21%	17%	20%	17%	20%	24%	14%	13%	23%	17%	12%
5	17%	17%	17%	18%	10%	24%	20%	16%	21%	17%	16%	16%	13%	21%	23%	17%	15%	20%	23%
6	18%	23%	19%	17%	14%	15%	19%	22%	14%	19%	18%	22%	17%	19%	19%	15%	18%	21%	19%
7 Strongly agree	35%	35%	38%	32%	45%	29%	33%	38%	34%	39%	35∜	34%	36%	30%	36%	47%	33%	35%	38%
Chi [‡] :		-							-				(.01)				.01		
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1390	7 216	7 205	7 198	7 257	7 287	7 162	7 64	6 394	5 308	4 483	7 202	4 657	5 361	6 2 4 5	8 121	4 573	5 37 5	6 241
Mean:	5.48	5.57	5.53	5.29	5.62	5.32	5.49	5.67	5.37	5.66	5.39	5:58	5.42	5.40	5.62	5.75	5.37	5.57	5.62
Std dev: Student's t:	1.54	1.48	1.57	1.62 .10	1.65	1.49	1.44	1.44	1.54	1.43	1.63	1.48	1.64	1.43	1.42	1.54 .05	1.60 .05	1.45	1.49

PAGE: 179

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	der	Child		Emp	loymer	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	Туре		of Com	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q21A Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	698 7%	39 9%	687 8%	609 7%	818	887	540 6%	151 8%	250 7%	97 9%	353 6%	663 6%	140 10%	545 8%	339 6 %	540 8%	378 6%	612 6%	433
Neither	19%	20%	18%	18%	18%	21%	20%	19%	24%	27%	12%	13%	19%	24%	18%	21%	20%	15%	21%	21%
Agree	71%	70%	69%	71%	73%	68%	69%	73%	67%	++++ 64%	75%	79%	73%	65%	72%	71%	69%	77%	71%	65%
DK/NR	3%	2%	5%	3 ₺	2%	3%	3%	3 %	1%	2%	4%	1%	2 ¥	2 %	2%	2%	3%	+++ 2%	2%	4%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	3 %	3%	4 %	4%	3₺	3 %	3%	3%	*** 3*
2	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%	0%	2 %	1%	28	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
3	3%	2%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2*	3%	1%	2 %	4%
4 Neither	19%	20%	18%	18%	18%	21%	20%	19%	24%	27%	12%	13%	19%	24%	18%	21%	20%	15%	21%	21%
5	17%	21%	5%	15%	18%	16%	17%	19%	17%	19%	21%	19%	17%	21%	16%	21%	16%	16%	19%	17%
6	18%	17%	34%	18%	21%	15%	17%	19%	16%	17%	17%	20%	19%	12%	18%	17%	18%	19%	19%	15%
7 Strongly agree	35%	33%	29%	39%	34%	37%	35%	35%	34%	28%	37%	40%	37%	31%	37%	32%	35%	42%	33%	33%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1390 5.48 1.54	709 5.43 1.51	16 34 5.55 1.49	4 642 5.53 1.59	712 5.51 1.49	3 677 5.44 1.60	3 833 5.44 1.58	557 5.53 1.50	(.01) 8 157 5.33 1.63	6 238 5.25 1.51	10 89 5.53 1.62	5 317 5.67 1.49	610 5.54 1.51	8 146 5.21 1.63	- 4 495 5.50 1.60	5 334 5.43 1.48	558 5.48 1.53	.01 5 341 5.71 1.49	4 600 5.45 1.49	5 445 5.33 1.62

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves

		Int	ernet U	se	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		g
	Total	Non- User	Light	Неачу	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21A Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	730 9%	447	223 5%	357 5%	203	285 6%	954 6%	308	438	599 7%	348	345 8%	1079 7%	372 9%	368	431 9%	226 6%
Neither	19%	19%	20%	17%	19%	14%	20%	20%	18%	13%	26%	15%	14%	21%	14%	18%	23%	23%
Agree	71%	67%	73%	77%	74%	81%	73%	73%	66%	75%	++++ 65%	77%	76%	+++ 69%	75%	74%	++ 65%	69%
DK/NR	3 %	4%	1%	0%	2%	++ 1%	0%	1%	3%	++ 2%	2%	+++ 3%	1%	3%	1%	+ 2%	3%	2 %
1 Strongly disagree	3%	++++ 4%	2%	1%	+ 2%	1%	3%	2 %	++ 6%	4%	2%	2%	5%	* 3%	- 5%	1%	+ 2%	4%
2	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%.	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
3	3%	3 %	2 %	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	4 %	3%	1%	2 %	3%	2%	2%	4 %	0%
4 Neither	19%	19%	20%	17%	19%	14%	20%	20%	18%	13%	26%	15%	14%	21%	14%	18%	23%	23%
5	17%	13%	21%	24%	24%	21%	21%	20%	12%	18%	15%	21%	16%	18%	16%	21%	17%	17%
6	18%	19%	17%	16%	17%	21%	15%	17%	20%	20%	15%	21%	22%	17%	19%	18%	16%	20%
7 Strongly agree	35%	36%	34%	37%	33%	39%	37%	36%	34%	36%	35%	36%	39%	34%	41%	36%	33%	31%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1390 5.48 1.54	4 706 5.45 1.63	5 447 5.49 1.46	7 213 5.59 1.39	5 325 5.49 1.42	7 192 5.75 1.30	6 308 5.49 1.52	(.01) 3 943 5.52 1.48 .10	6 296 5.32 1.76	.01 5 409 5.51 1.61	4 595 5.39 1.54	5 347 5.63 1.44	.05 5 331 5.59 1.60	3 1057 5.44 1.52	(.01) 5 363 5.56 1.66	5 359 5.58 1.39	5 428 5.36 1.55	7 219 5.39 1.56

PAGE: 181

Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Tota1	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21A								
Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	1313 8%	114 3%	310 5%	302 2%	219 15%	281 7%	197 13%
		++		1		++++		+++
Neither	19%	20%	18%	24%	13%	25%	18%	18%
_ ~ ~				++		++		
Agree	71%	70%	78 % +	70%	84% ++++	56% 	74%	65%
DK/NR	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	4%
	-					+		++
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4 %	4%	7%
2	2%	2%	1%	. 0%	0%	4 %	1%	4%
3	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	7%	2 %	3%
4 Neither	19%	20%	18%	24%	13%	25%	18%	18%
5	17%	17%	17%	25%	15%	19%	14%	16%
6	18%	17%	23%	17%	24%	12%	19%	13%
7 Strongly agree	35%	35%	38%	28%	45%	26%	42%	37%
Chi²:		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1390	1296	94	319	316	209	259	
Mean:	5.48	5.46	5.74	5.34	5.93		5.64	5.27
Std dev:	1.54	1.56	1.31	1.41	1.27	1.67	1.56	1.82
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	.10	.01	.01	. 05	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think that Aboriginal people need to do more to help themselves

			Province							Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Tota1	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21B Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	206 5%	200 7%	200 8%	214 9 %	201 8%	203	203	289 7%	352 7%	579 7%	203 7%	652 7%	342 7%	279 8%	147 6%	582	394 5%	251 7%
Neither	9%	10%	98	98	7%	10%	11%	9%	8%	8%	11%	10%	9%	8%	14%	8%	98	10%	11%
Agree	83%	85%	82%	83%	84%	81%	81%	83%	85%	84%	80%	83%	83%	84%	778	85%	81%	85%	82%
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2 %	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	4 %	2%	4 %	5%	3%	2%	3 %	3 %	4%	++ 3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	0%	4%	2%	3 %
2	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	28	1%	28	2%	18	0%
3	2%	1%	2 %	2%	2%,	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4 %	4%	2%	2%	3%
4 Neither	9%	10%	9%	9%	7%	10%	11%	9%	8%	8%	11%	10%	9%	8%	14%	8%	98	10%	11%
5	13%	15%	7%	11%	10%	19%	11%	14%	13%	14%	13%	10%	12%	13%	14%	16%	13%	12%	15%
6	19%	20%	18%	18%	15%	22%	15%	23%	20%	17%	16%	24%	19%	20%	18%	12%	17%	22%	15%
7 Strongly agree	51%	49%	57%	53%	59%	39%	55%	46%	52%	53%	51%	49%	52%	51%	46%	57%	51%	50%	52%
Chi:: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1412 5.90 1.52	- 7 219 5.94 1.43	7 204 6.05 1.47	7 202 5.89 1.60	7 263 5.98 1.65	7 295 5.67 1.50	7 163 5.98 1.46	7 65 5.87 1.47	- 6 400 5.94 1.50	5 314 5.91 1.54	4 486 5.86 1.55	7 210 5.91 1.50	- 4 679 5.93 1.55	5 359 5.93 1.51	6 247 5.73 1.56	8 121 6.04 1.34	- 4 585 5.83 1.61	5 379 5.98 1.37	6 243 5.87 1.52
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think that Aboriginal people need to do more to help themselves

		Mother Tongue		Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21B Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	698 6%	39 6*	687 8%	609 7%	818 8%	887 7%	540 7%	151 8%	250 7%	97 6 %	353 7%	663 7%	140 6%	545 6%	339 9%	540 7%	378 6%	612 7%	433 8%
Neither	9%	10%	8%	8%	8%	11%	10%	8%	7%	8%	7%	98	9%	7%	10%	5%	11%	9%	9%	9*
Agree	83%	82%	81%	83%	85%	*+ *08	82%	84%	85%	84%	83%	84%	83%	86%	83%	85%	81%	83%	83%	82%
DK/NR	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3%	** 3*	4 %	4 %	3%	3%	4%	4%	4 %	4*	3%	3%	4 %	3%	4 %	3%	3%	3%	4 %
2	1%	1%	0%	2*	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
3	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3 %	3%	2%	3%	3%	2 %	3%	3 %	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2 %
4 Neither	9%	10%	8%	8%	8%	11%	10%	8%	7%	88	7%	98	9%	7%	10%	5%	11%	9%	9%	9%
5	13%	15%	0%	11%	14%	11%	12%	14%	13%	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%	14%	14%	11%	10%	14%	14%
6	19%	19%	22%	18%	22%	15%	18%	19%	19%	21%	17%	19%	20%	16%	21%	15%	18%	17%	23%	15%
7 Strongly agree	51%	49%	60%	54%	48%	54%	52%	51%	53%	50%	50%	49%	49%	55%	48%	55%	52%	56%	47%	53%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1412 5.90 1.52	720 5.87 1.49	16 34 6.22 1.39	4 653 5.92 1.58	717 5.90 1.49	3 695 5.90 1.56	3 847 5.89 1.53	565 5.91 1.52	(.05) 8 158 5.93 1.53	6 243 5.91 1.52	10 88 5.96 1.46	5 319 5.88 1.47	4 616 5.88 1.49	8 147 6.00 1.48	501 5.88 1.47	5 337 5.95 1.56		5 343 6.01 1.48	4 607 5.86 1.48	5 459 5.87 1.60

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think that Aboriginal people need to do more to help themselves

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Nat indard of		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21B Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 7%	730 8%	447	223	357 7 %	203	285 7 %	954 6%	308 11%	438 8%	599 7%	348 7%	345 9%	1079 7%	372 8%	368 8%	431	226 9%
Neither	9%	10%	8%	98	8 %	4%	9%		10%	10%	10%	6%	10%	98	12%	8%	10%	6%
Agree	83%	81%	84%	87%	85%	92%	84%	85% +++	77%	80%	82%	86%	80%	83%	79%	83%	85%	85%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%		2%	2 %	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	4 %	3%	2 %	3%	0%	3 %		6%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	6%	2 %	2%	4 %
2	1%	2 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3 %	2 %	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%
3	2 %	2 %	3%	2 %	3%.	2%	3%	2 %	2%	2 %	2 %	3%	3%	2%	2%	4 %	2 %	2 %
4 Neither	9%	10%	88	9%	8%	4 %	9%	98	10%	10%	10%	6%	10%	9%	12%	8%	10%	6%
5	13%	11%	14%	17%	15%	17%	11%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%	12%	13%	11%	15%	13%	11%
6	19%	19%	19%	15%	18%	18%	20%	19%	13%	16%	18%	23%	16%	19%	17%	20%	19%	18%
7 Strongly agree	51%	50%	51%	56%	52%	57%	52%	53%	50%	52%	52%	50%	52%	51%	51%	48%	53%	56%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1412 5.90 1.52	4 724 5.87 1.56	5 451 5.91 1.50	7 213 6.05 1.34	5 330 5.92 1.48	7 192 6.21 1.12	6 308 5.93 1.51	(.01) 3 948 5.99 1.44	6 300 5.68 1.80	5 412 5.82 1.65	4 604 5.91 1.49	5 354 5.96 1.45	5 335 5.81 1.67	3 1075 5.93 1.48	(.10) 5 363 5.79 1.68	5 363 5.85 1.49	5 439 6.02 1.38 .05	7 223 5.97 1.59

I think that Aboriginal people need to do more to help themselves

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21B Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	7%	7%	7%	6%	4 %	98	9%	14% ++++
Neither	9%	9%	14%	14%	 5%	7%	10%	12%
Agree	83%	83%	79%	*++ 80%	91% ++++	83%	80%	74%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3 %	3%	2%	1%	3 %	5%	9%
2	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4 %
3	2%	2%	3%	. 2%	2%	4%	3 %	1%
4 Neither	9%	9%	14%	14%	5%	7%	10%	12%
5	13%	13%	9%	19%	9%	14%	10%	9%
6	19%	19%	16%	23%	21%	13%	21%	10%
7 Strongly agree	51%	51%	54%	38%	61%	55%	49%	54%
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	
Weighted n for mean:	1412 5.90	1317 5.90	95 5.91	321 5.68	316 6.28	213 5.93	259 5.82	
Mean: Std dev:	1.52	1.53	1.53	1.42	1.21	1.56	1.62	
Student's t:	*	-	1.55	.01	.01		-	. 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

accoun	tab	11	1	tу

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree Neither Agree	715 10% 20%	100 9% 18%	101 8% 21%	97 13% 18%	107 13% 19%	91 10% 26% + 62%	107 7% 20% 71%	112 3% 14%	147 9% 22% 68%	168 9% 19% 71%	300 10% 21% 67%	97 12% 17% 63%	338 13% +++ 22%	163 7% 23% 70%	141 48 178 778 ++	67 13% 13%	311 14% +++ 20%	198 5% 23% 71%	115 8% 13% 77%
DK/NR	2 %	2%	2 %	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1 %	7%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%
1 Strongly disagree	3 %	4 %	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	++++ 5%	4%	1%	2%	5%	4%	2%	4 %
2	3 %	2%	1%	8%	6%	4 %	1%	0%	6%	2%	3 %	4%	4%	4%	1%	6%	6%	2%	2%
3	3%	4 %	5%	2%	3%.	5%	3%	2%	1%	5%	4%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	2 %
4 Neither	20%	18%	21%	18%	19%	26%	20%	14%	22%	19%	21%	17%	22%	23%	17%	13%	20%	23%	13%
5	17%	20%	12%	26%	7%	14%	27%	21%	26%	14%	13%	13%	15%	21%	20%	5%	14%	20%	19%
6	13%	17%	19%	6%	6%	20%	8%	19%	12%	15%	12%	15%	12%	15%	15%	12%	12%	15%	14%
7 Strongly agree	38%	35%	38%	33%	53%	28%	37%	43%	30%	43%	42%	35%	36%	33%	42%	57%	37%	37%	44%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 688 5.40 1.64	10 108 5.43 1.59	10 100 5.50 1.57	10 95 5.13 1.75	9 130 5.51 1.89	10 135 5.23 1.54	9 85 5.43 1.50	9 36 5.84 1.26	(.05) 8 201 5.23 1.56	8 146 5.56 1.58	6 249 5.45 1.67	10 90 5.31 1.80	(.05) 5 332 5.25 1.73	8 173 5.38 1.48	8 125 5.70 1.41	12 52 5.73 1.86	(.01) 6 297 5.25 1.76 .05	7 183 5.50 1.45	9 115 5.63 1.63

Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

accountability

		Moth	Mother Tongue		Gen	nder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect	or	Empl. Rese			yment	Туре		of Com	mmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree	715 10%	359 8%	21 13%	334	300	415 10%	435 10%	280	71 12%	122 5%	48 10%	171 6%	325 7%	65 7%	256 6%	176 11%	281	179 10%	310 9%	224 12%
Neither	20%	20%	15%	21%	19%	22%	22%	18%	21%	27%	16%	12%	20%	18%	19%	18%		11%	22%	25%
Agree	68%	70%	72%	64%	69%	66%	67%	70%	67%	+++ 66 %	75%	81%	71%	7 5%	75% +++	70%	61%	75%	69%	61%
DK/NR	2 %	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2 %	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%		3%	1%	3%
1 Strongly disagree	3 %	3%	4 %	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3 %	2%	3%	3 %	3%	4 %	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4 %
2	3 %	2%	0%	5 %	3%	4 %	4 %	3 %	3 %	3 %	1%	3 %	3%	1%	2%	3%	5%	4 %	4 %	3 %
3	3 %	3 %	9%	3%	4 %	3₹	3%	4 %	6%	1%	5%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3 %	3 %	5%
4 Neither	20%	20%	15%	21%	19%	22%	22%	18%	21%	27%	16%	12%	20%	18%	19%	18%	23%	11%	22%	25%
5	17%	18%	18%	15%	18%	16%	17%	16%	24%	20%	14%	17%	18%	25%	17%	20%	14%	16%	18%	17%
6	13%	17%	3 %	9%	14%	12%	13%	13%	14%	13%	12%	17%	15%	12%	16%	14%	11%	10%	17%	11%
7 Strongly agree	38%	35%	51%	40%	37%	39%	36%	40%	28%	33%	49%	46%	39%	38₺	41%	36%	36%	49%	35%	34%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 688 5.40 1.64 *	- 5 363 5.45 1.54	21 19 5.56 1.75	5 305 5.33 1.75	6 348 5.41 1.62	5 340 5.38 1.66	5 407 5.33 1.64	6 281 5.50 1.64	(.10) 12 71 5.16 1.58	9 112 5.36 1.48	14 47 5.66 1.63	7 155 5.77 1.54	295 5.51 1.56	12 70 5.45 1.59	(.01) 6 232 5.64 1.46 .01	7 171 5.32 1.70		(.01) 7 156 5.70 1.66 .01	6 306 5.39 1.55	5.20

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

accountability

		Int	ernet U	Ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact of Last 3		_	irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree	715 10%	373 11%	217	110 5%	168 7%	96 7 %	141 11%	477 8%	151 20%	214 10%	296 9%	186 10%	163 11%	549 10%	171 10%	194 8%	220 13%	115 9%
Neither	20%	22%	15%	26%	23%	13%	16%	20%	18%	17%	25%	19%	12%	22%	16%	17%	26%	21%
Agree	68%	64%	73%	69%	69%	79%	73%	71%	61%	71%	65%	68%	76 % +++	65%	72%	74%	59%	70%
DK/NR	2%	3%	1%	0 %	1%	0 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4 %	1%	2 %	2%	1%	2 %	0 %
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	4 %	3%	3%	4 %	3 %	4 %	2%	4 %	3 %
2	3%	4%	4 %	1%	0%	2%	5%	4 %	4%	3%	3 %	3 %	3%	4 %	4 %	3%	5%	2%
3	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%.	2%	3%	1%	10%	4 %	3 %	3%	. 3%	4 %	2%	3%	5%	4 %
4 Neither	20%	22%	15%	26%	23%	13%	16%	20%	18%	17%	25%	19%	12%	22%	16%	17%	26%	21%
5	17%	14%	20%	22%	22%	20%	17%	19%	13%	15%	16%	21%	18%	17%	12%	17%	17%	23%
6	13%	12%	13%	14%	16%	12%	14%	14%	12%	13%	13%	12%	14%	13%	14%	19%	9%	12%
7 Strongly agree	38%	38%	40%	33%	31%	48%	42%	39%	35%	43%	36%	35%	44%	36%	46%	38%	33%	34%
Chi?:		_	(.05)		-	10		(.01)	8	(.05) 7	6	7	(.05) 8	4	(.05)	7	7	9
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 688 5.40 1.64	5 358 5.36 1.69	7 213 5.45 1.66	9 103 5.40 1.42	8 155 5.37 1.44	10 92 5.72 1.56	8 146 5.52 1.64	469 5.46 1.59	142 5.10 1.84	194 5.52 1.67	296 5.34 1.61	179 5.36 1.62	155 5.58 1.68	530 5.35 1.62	161 5.61 1.72 .10	187 5.57 1.50	218 5.12 1.70 .01	113 5.34 1.57

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Strengthening the <rotl > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

accountability

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21C				157	154	105	139	94
Unweighted n: Disagree	715 10%	650 10%	65 11%	3%	154	19%	6%	
Disagice	100	100				+++		++++
Neither	20%	21%	14%	31%	10%	25%	17%	16%
Agree	68%	67%	75%	++++ 64%	81%	55%	74%	61%
					++++		+	-
DK/NR	2 %	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	3 %	3%	6%	1%	2%	6%	+ 1%	9%
2	3%	4%	1%	0%	4%	10%	2%	4%
3	3 %	3%	3%	. 2%	2%	3%	2%	10%
4 Neither	20%	21%	14%	31%	10%	25%	17%	16%
5	17%	17%	17%	16%	20%	17%	16%	13%
6	13%	13%	21%	22%	13%	10%	12%	6%
7 Strongly agree	38%	38%	37%	26%	48%	28%	46%	42%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	4	4	12		8	10	8	10
Weighted n for mean:	688	634	54	165	161	105	116	
Mean:	5.40	5.39	5.46		5.75	4.82	5.73	
Std dev:	1.64	1.63	1.71	1.33	1.55	1.86	1.50	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	. 01	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

responsibility

			Province								Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	A1ta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree	712 10%	106 6%	99 16%	103	107 12%	110 5%	96 14%	91 6%	142	184	279 12%	106 11%	314	179 12%	138	80 7%	271 10%	196	136 12%
Neither	19%	18%	10%	27%	19%	19%	20%	28%	25%	18%	19%	12%	22%	21%	11%	12%	21%	16%	14%
Agree	69%	73%	72%	61% -	69%	74%	63%	65 %	66%	72%	68%	72%	65 %	66%	80%	79%	68%	74%	72%
DK/NR	2%	4%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	48	2%	0%	1%	3 %	1%	0 %	1%
1 Strongly disagree	5%	2%	88	5%	9%	2%	7%	3 %	4%	6%	7%	3 %	6%	6%	3 %	3 %	6%	3%	7%
2	2%	1%	2 %	4%	2%	3%	2%	2 %	1%	2%	3%	5%	3 %	3 %	1%	2 %	1%	4%	3%
3	3%	3%	78	1%	1%,	1%	5%	0%	3 %	2%	2%	4 %	2%	3%	4 %	2%	3%	3%	3%
4 Neither	19%	18%	10%	27%	19%	19%	20%	28%	25%	18%	19%	12%	22%	21%	11%	12%	21%	16%	14%
5	16%	16%	11%	14%	16%	22%	14%	18%	15%	18%	15%	19%	13%	18%	20%	18%	14%	20%	20%
6	19%	22%	22%	18%	18%	18%	17%	12%	22%	17%	20%	15%	17%	23%	17%	18%	20%	19%	20%
7 Strongly agree	34%	35∜	40%	28%	36%	34%	32%	35%	29%	38%	34%	39%	35%	24%	43%	43%	34%	35%	32%
Chi ² :		(.05)							(.10)				(.05)				_		
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:</pre>	4 714 5.36	10 108 5.60	10 105 5.41	10 102 5.11	9 134 5.27	9 157 5.50	10 79 5.16	10 29 5.33	8 196 5.29	7 168 5.43	6 239 5.29	10 110 5.50	6 335 5.31	7 188 5.11	8 121 5.70	11 68 5.71	6 285 5.34	7 195 5.44	8 124 5.29
Std dev: Student's t:	1.67	1.44	1.86	1.70	1.85	1.44	1.80	1.61	1.57	1.68	1.77	1.65	1.74	1.67 .05	1.50 .01	1.54 .05	1.71	1.58	1.75

NAC On-Reserve Survey
Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

responsibility

Y .		Moth	Mother Tongue			der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment			of Com	munity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree	712 10%	339 7%	18 21%	353 12%	309 10%	403 10%	452 11%	260 8%	80 12%	128	49	182	338	75 15%	289 8%	163 10%	259 11%	199 9 %	302 8%	209
Neither	19%	20%	15%	19%	20%	18%	21%	16%	19%	21%	30%	14%	21%	16%	17%	23%	18%	12%	21%	22%
Agree	69%	71%	64%	68%	68%	70%	67%	73%	68%	68%	63%	76%	71%	69%	73%	65%	68%	77% +++	70%	63%
DK/NR	2%	28	0%	2%	28	2%	1%	3 %	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%
1 Strongly disagree	5%	4%	0%	7%	4%	68	6%	4 %	9%	5%	2%	4%	4%	98	3%	7%	6%	4%	4%	88
2	2%	1%	7%	3₺	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	2%	4 %	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
3	3%	2%	14%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
4 Neither	19%	20%	15%	19%	20%	18%	21%	16%	19%	21%	30%	14%	21%	16%	17%	23%	18%	12%	21%	22%
5	16%	18%	13%	14%	18%	15%	15%	18%	13%	11%	21%	18%	16%	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%	18%	13%
6	19%	21%	24%	16%	18%	19%	18%	20%	22%	23%	12%	20%	21%	23%	21%	19%	17%	16%	24%	14%
7 Strongly agree	34%	31%	27%	38%	32%	36%	34%	34%	32%	34%	30%	37%	34%	30%	37%	30%	34%	44%	28%	35%
Chi²: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 714 5.36 1.67	5 352 5.40 1.54	23 16 5.15 1.68	5 342 5.32 1.81	- 6 366 5.32 1.64	5 348 5.40 1.72	(.10) 5 440 5.30 1.72	6 274 5.46 1.60	11 86 5.24 1.83	9 130 5.33 1.72	14 44 5.31 1.42	7 161 5.54 1.58	5 318 5.45 1.57	11 78 5.16 1.86	- 6 268 5.51 1.59 .10	8 165 5.19 1.73	6 279 5.32 1.71	(.01) 7 181 5.64 1.64	6 302 5.32 1.55	7 230 5.20 1.83

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

responsibility

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inter	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F			ormance l Govern		ontact 1 Last 3			irst Na indard o		g
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21C Unweighted n: Disagree	712 10%	357 12%	230 10%	113	189 8%	107 10%	144 7%	477 8%	157 11%	224 10%	303 12%	162 7%	182 9%	530 10%	201 11%	174 8%	211 9%	111 13%
Neither	19%	19%	19%	23%	20%	12%	20%	19%	19%	17%	22%	19%	18%	20%	15%	14%	24%	26%
Agree	69%	67%	71%	73%	70%	78%	73%	72%	68%	72%	65%	73%	73%	68%	73%	76%	66%	61%
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	++ 2%	1%	1 %
1 Strongly disagree	5%	6%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6 %	5%	4 %	5%	5%	6%	3₺	5%	7%
2	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3 %	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%
3	3 %	3 %	3%	1%	3%,	3%	1%	2%	3 %	1%	4 %	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%
4 Neither	19%	19%	19%	23%	20%	12%	20%	19%	19%	17%	22%	19%	18%	20%	15%	14%	24%	26%
5	16%	17%	13%	19%	22%	19%	12%	19%	11%	16%	14%	19%	20%	15%	16%	17%	17%	14%
6	19%	15%	24%	20%	17%	23%	26%	21%	12%	18%	18%	22%	17%	19%	18%	21%	18%	16%
7 Strongly agree	34%	35₺	34%	33%	31%	36%	35%	32%	46%	38%	33%	32%	36%	34%	38%	38%	32%	30%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	4 714 5.36 1.67	5 360 5.30 1.74	6 236 5.40 1.67	9 109 5.51 1.43	7 172 5.34 1.56	9 102 5.52 1.63	8 160 5.52 1.60	4 479 5.38 1.59	8 160 5.48 1.79	- 7 217 5.45 1.73	6 308 5.25 1.72	8 170 5.44 1.53	7 178 5.44 1.63	4 535 5.33 1.69	7 199 5.42 1.74	7 177 5.58 1.58	7 218 5.27 1.65	9 109 5.10 1.76
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	.10

Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

responsibility

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21C								
Unweighted n:	712	663	49	153	148	114	142	103
Disagree	10%	10%	3 %	12%	4%	17%	3%	15%
Neither	19%	19%	26%	25%	15%	21%	18%	++ 17%
WCZCHCZ	176	17.	200	++	100	216	100	1/6
Agree	69%	69%	70%	63%	81%	60%	77%	65%
					+++		++	
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%
1 Strongly disagree	5%	5%	0%	4%	2%	10%	2%	11%
2	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3 %	1%	1%
3	3 %	3%	0%	. 5%	1%	3%	0%	2%
4 Neither	19%	19%	26%	25%	15%	21%	18%	17%
5	16%	16%	15%	20%	17%	12%	18%	13%
6	19%	19%	13%	12%	25%	18%	23%	15%
7 Strongly agree	34%	34%	43%	31%	39%	30%	36%	37%
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	14	8	8	9	8	10
Weighted n for mean:	714	672	42	154	155	108	142	106
Mean:	5.36	5.34	5.63	5.15	5.74	5.00	5.67	5.18
Std dev:	1.67	1.69	1.44	1.65	1.38	1.92	1.37	1.98
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 05	.01	. 05	.01	-

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21D										250		202		3.40	270	147		204	251
Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 36%	206 40%	200 32%	200 30%	214 33%	201 39%	203 44%	203 35%	289 32%	352 42%	579 39 %	203 29%	652 33%	342 38%	279 41%	147 39%	582 34%	394 38%	251 44%
Neither	22%	20%	28%	24%	21%	18%	++ 20%	19%	26%	++ 18%	20%	21%	25%	21%	17%	15%	23%	22%	++ 14%
Agree	41%	39%	++ 40%	45%	45%	42%	33%	44%	42%	39 %	39%	49%	41%	41%	41%	46%	42%	39%	42%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	++ 2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	18%	23%	15%	15%	15%	20%	+++ 25%	+ 16%	14%	23%	+ 20%	15%	+ 17%	18%	21%	23%	17%	18%	25%
2	7%	7%	9%	3%	6%	10%	7%	12%	7%	9%	9%	3%	6%	10%	10%	5%	7%	9%	8%
3	10%	11%	8%	11%	12%	9%	12%	6%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	10%	11%	11%
4 Neither	22%	20%	28%	24%	21%	18%	20%	19%	26%	18%	20%	21%	25%	21%	17%	15%	23%	22%	14%
5	12%	14%	10%	11%	11%	11%	15%	13%	14%	10%	11%	13%	11%	13%	15%	10%	12%	13%	11%
	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	10%	2%	8%	88	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%	10%	8%	7%	6%
6																			
7 Strongly agree	22%	18%	22%	25%	25%	21%	16%	24%	20%	22%	21%	26%	23%	20%	19%	26%	21%	20%	25%
Chi ² :		(.05)							(.01)				(.05)				(.05)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	212	4 4 9 7	7 208	4 675	5 362	6 248	8 121	4 589	5 378	6
Weighted n for mean:	1413	220	207	201	264	296 4.05	162 3.66	65 4.22	402 4.23	313 3.94	487 3.97	4.50	4.21	4.04	3.94	4.18	4.18	4.04	243 3.96
Mean: Std dev:	4.12	3.88 2.12	4.19 2.05	4.42	4.35 2.10	2.18	2.08	2.17	1.98	2.21	2.15	2.08	2.09	2.09	2.14	2.29	2.07	2.07	2.30
Sta dev: Student's t:	2.11	.10	2.05	.05	.10	2.10	.01			.10	.10	.01	-	2.05	2.14		2.07	-	2.30

I have absolutely no say in how things are run in my community

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	ıt Sect		Emp1. Rese	On/Off		yment			of Com	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21D Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 36%	698 40%	39 40%	687 32%	609 37%	818 35%	887 38%	540 33%	151 35%	250 35%	97 28%	353 47%	663 40%	140 34%	545 42%	339 35%	540 32%	378 44%	612 37%	433
Neither	22%	19%	13%	24%	20%	23%	21%	23%	24%	29%	22%	17%	23%	25%	20%	26% ++	20%	17%	23%	23%
Agree	41%	40%	42%	42%	41%	41%	40%	43%	39%	*** 36 %	47%	35%	36%	39%	37%	38%	47% ++++	37%	40%	47%
DK/NR	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	18%	21%	28%	16%	19%	18%	20%	17%	18%	19%	13%	24%	20%	18%	22%	18%	16%	26%	16%	16%
2	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	88	88	7%	7%	6%	6%	11%	9%	7%	9%	7%	7%	10%	9%	3 %
3	10%	11%	6%	9%	12%	9%	11%	10%	10%	10%	9%	13%	12%	9%	12%	10%	9%	7%	12%	10%
4 Neither	22%	19%	13%	24%	20%	23%	21%	23%	24%	29%	22%	17%	23%	25%	20%	26%	20%	17%	23%	23%
5	12%	13%	12%	11%	11%	13%	11%	14%	13%	13%	12%	10%	12%	10%	10%	14%	13%	10%	15%	10%
6	88	8%	4 %	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	5%	9%	88
7 Strongly agree	22%	19%	27%	24%	22%	21%	22%	21%	16%	17%	27%	18%	17%	22%	20%	17%	26%	23%	16%	29%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	3 1413 4.12 2.11	(.01) 4 723 3.98 2.12	16 34 3.97 2.43	4 651 4.28 2.09	- 4 717 4.12 2.14	3 696 4.12 2.09	3 848 4.07 2.15	4 565 4.18 2.06	(.01) 8 157 4.04 2.02	6 242 3.98 1.99	10 90 4.49 2.07	5 319 3.73 2.16	- 4 618 3.89 2.06	8 146 4.12 2.11	(.01) 4 501 3.89 2.15	5 336 4.02 2.00		(.01) 5 342 3.79 2.29	4 609 4.02 1.97	459 4.49 2.11
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	.01	-	-	-	-	· -	-	.01	. 05	-	-	.01	*	.01	.01	-	.01

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I have absolutely no say in how things are run in my community

			Int	ernet U	Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		g
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21D Disagree	Unweighted n:	1427 36%	730 33%	447 39%	223 43%	357 45%	203 43%	285 33%	954 36%	308 37%	438	599 34%	348 34%	345 44%	1079 34%	372 42%	368 39%	431 32%	226 28%
Neither		22%	23%	20%	19%	++ 15%	18%	25%	24%	16%	16%	24%	27%	15%	24%	16%	14%	32%	25%
Agree		41%	43%	40%	38%	39%	38%	42%	*** 39*	46%	42%	42%	38%	40%	42%	41%	47%	35%	45%
DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2 %
1 Strongl	ly disagree	18%	16%	19%	25%	22%	23%	17%	18%	23%	22%	18%	16%	25%	16%	23%	19%	17%	14%
2		7%	5%	11%	8%	12%	14%	7%	9%	5∜	8%	7₺	7₺	9%	7₺	7%	8%	68	7%
3		10%	11%	98	10%	11%.	7%	9%	10%	9%	12%	9%	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	98	8%
4 Neither	r	22%	23%	20%	19%	15%	18%	25%	24%	16%	16%	24%	27%	15%	24%	16%	14%	32%	25%
5		12%	11%	13%	12%	13%	13%	14%	12%	10%	11%	11%	14%	12%	12%	10%	15%	88	18%
6		8%	8 %	8%	6%	5%	7%	9%	8%	5%	8%	7%	8%	7%	8%	6₺	9%	8%	7%
7 Strongl	ly agree	22%	24%	19%	21%	21%	18%	19%	19%	31%	23%	23%	17%	21%	22%	25%	23%	19%	21%
	Chi ² : nts around 50%; ted n for mean; Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1413 4.12 2.11	4 727 4.27 2.08	5 450 3.98 2.10	7 213 3.86 2.20	(.05) 5 328 3.86 2.20	7 192 3.79 2.17	6 306 4.14 2.03	(.05) 3 948 4.05 2.07	6 303 4.25 2.33	(.01) 5 415 4.04 2.23	4 606 4.20 2.12	5 352 4.07 1.95	(.01) 5 334 3.88 2.24 .05	3 1077 4.20 2.07	(.01) 5 365 4.01 2.28	5 364 4.18 2.19	5 439 4.08 1.99	7 219 4.30 1.98

			Locat	ion			Cluster		
		= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21D	-				210	200	210		107
	ghted n:	1427 36%	1313 36%	114 40%	310 30%	302 30%	219 38%	281 47%	197 38%
Disagree		308	300	400	30%		50%	++++	300
Neither		22%	22%	19%	26%	28%	25%	16%	12%
					+	+++			
Agree		41%	41%	39%	44%	41%	36%	37%	
									++
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
1 Strongly disa	gree	18%	18%	21%	11%	16%	15%	27%	27%
2		7%	7%	9%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%
3		10%	10%	11%	. 13%	7%	14%	12%	4 %
4 Neither		22%	22%	19%	26%	28%	25%	16%	12%
5		12%	12%	13%	14%	12%	10%	15%	5%
6		8%	7%	10%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%
7 Strongly agre	e	22%	22%	17%	22%	20%	20%	14%	38%
	Chi2:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points aro</pre>	und 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	
Weighted n f	or mean:	1413	1319	94	320	315	214	262	
	Mean:	4.12	4.13	3.93	4.35	4.20		3.65	
	Std dev:	2.11	2.11	2.11	1.92	2.02		2.12	
Stud	lent's t:	*	-	-	. 05		-	.01	-

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21E Unweighted n: Disagree	736 30%	123	104 43% +++	104 32%	105 33%	106 20%	89 26%	105 21%	155 24%	169 29%	310 33%	99 34%	332 28%	180 31%	147 27%	72 44% +++	291 35%	210 27%	134 31%
Neither	21%	19%	22%	21%	22%	20%	22%	11%	17%	24%	24%	15%	23%	19%	15%	22%	21%	23%	16%
Agree	49%	49%	35%	47%	44%	60 %	52%	65 % +++	59 %	48%	42%	51%	48%	49%	58%	35%	44%	49%	51%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
1 Strongly disagree	16%	15%	24%	18%	14%	14%	12%	11%	12%	15%	17%	23%	16%	18%	12%	20%	21%	15%	12%
2	6%	9%	88	6%	6%	2%	5%	5%	4 %	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	8%	4 %	7%
3	8%	6%	11%	88	13%,	3%	8 %	5%	7%	8%	9%	6%	6%	88	10%	17%	7%	8%	12%
4 Neither	21%	19%	22%	21%	22%	20%	22%	11%	17%	24%	24%	15%	23%	19%	15%	22%	21%	23%	16%
5	15%	15%	10%	16%	13%	18%	13%	15%	15%	14%	13%	19%	14%	17%	16%	8%	15%	15%	17%
6	13%	14%	10%	14%	9%	15%	19%	15%	15%	13%	13%	10%	11%	15%	17%	14%	13%	15%	13%
7 Strongly agree	21%	20%	14%	18%	22%	26%	21%	35%	29%	21%	16%	22%	23%	17%	25%	13%	16%	20%	21%
Chi': ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	731 4.38 2.06	(.01) 9 129 4.33 2.07	10 105 3.74 2.08	10 103 4.23 2.06	10 128 4.31 2.01	10 160 4.75 2.01	10 73 4.57 1.97	10 33 5.06 2.09	(.05) 8 215 4.80 2.02 .01	8 149 4.37 2.01	6 260 4.12 2.00	10 105 4.21 2.23	(.10) 5 334 4.38 2.06	7 194 4.25 2.06	8 135 4.67 2.01	12 62 3.84 2.01	(.10) 6 289 4.05 2.08	7 208 4.45 1.98	8 123 4.46 1.99

I	get	the	help	Ι	need	from	${\tt my}$	community
---	-----	-----	------	---	------	------	------------	-----------

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21E Unweighted n: Disagree	736	370	14 14%	350 34%	300 26%	436	459 32%	277	75 25%	133	44	200	349 25%	74 36%	290 29%	176 25%	268 33%	190 24%	324 26%	219 38%
Neither	21%	20%	25%	++ 22%	19%	++ 22%	22%	18%	20%	22%	15%	19%	21%	16%	21%	17%	23%	19%	23%	++++
Agree DK/NR	49%	53% ++ 0%	61% 0%	44%	54% +++ 1%	44% 0%	46% 1%	54% ++ 1%	55% 0%	51% 1%	57% 2%	51% 1%	53% 1%	47% 1%	49% 1%	57% ++ 1%	44% 0%	56% ++ 0%	51% 1%	43% 1%
1 Strongly disagree	1% 16%	13%	0%	20%	15%	17%	16%	15%	13%	15%	12%	13%	12%	21%	14%	12%	19%	15%	12%	22%
2	6%	5%	9%	7%	4%	8%	7%	4%	5%	5%	0%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	8%	5%	5%	8%
3 4 Neither	8% 21%	9% 20%	5% 25%	7% 22%	7% 19%	8% 22%	8% 22%	7% 18%	7% 20%	6% 22%	15% 15%	12%	9% 21%	8% 16%	10% 21%	9% 17%	6% 23%	5% 19%	9% 23%	8% 18%
5	15%	15%	25° 5%	15%	16%	13%	12%	18%	12%	18%	21%	18%	17%	15%	16%	18%	11%	16%	15%	12%
6	13%	16%	16%	10%	16%	11%	14%	11%	15%	14%	16%	15%	17%	9%	15%	13%	12%	11%	15%	13%
7 Strongly agree	21%	22%	40%	19%	22%	21%	19%	25%	27%	19%	20%	17%	20%	23%	18%	25%	22%	29%	21%	17%
Chi:: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	731 4.38 2.06	5 380 4.56 2.00	26 14 5.34 1.78	5 333 4.11 2.10 .01	(.05) 6 356 4.55 2.02 .05	5 375 4.22 2.08 .05	5 440 4.28 2.05	6 291 4.54 2.06	11 81 4.68 2.05	8 134 4.43 1.99	15 44 4.64 1.90	7 178 4.40 1.94	331 4.58 1.91	11 78 4.18 2.21	6 270 4.37 1.97	7 180 4.68 1.98	6 279 4.22 2.16 .10	(.05) 7 171 4.67 2.09	5 321 4.51 1.94	

		Int	ernet l	Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E			ormance l Gover		Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21E Unweighted n:	736	365	244	112	174	101	162	469	174	221	319	180	174	560	210	187	211	112
Disagree Neither	30% 21%	32% 23%	28%	27% 13%	30% 12%	27% 21%	27%	26% 20%	42% ++++ 22%	38% +++ 23%	31% 21%	19% 18%	33% 16%	29% 22%	38% +++ 23%	29% 20%	26% 22%	22% - 16%
Agree	49%	43%	52%	60%	58%	52%	+ 51%	54%	35%	39%	48%	62%	50 %	49%	37%	50%	52%	62 %
DK/NR	1%	1 % +	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	16% 6%	19% 6%	13% 6%	13% 6%	13% 8%	14% 3%	13 % 5 %	13%	24%	21%	17% 6%	8% 2%	17% 7%	16% 6%	19% 9%	16% 7%	16% 3%	12%
3	8%	7%	9%	98	9%,	10%	9%	9%	7%	98	7%	8%	98	8%	11%	6%	7%	7%
4 Neither	21%	23%	20%	13%	12%	21%	22%	20%	22%	23%	21%	18%	16%	22%	23%	20%	22%	16%
5	15%	14%	15%	17% 16%	18% 11%	21% 15%	11%	14%	11%	14%	13%	17% 15%	14%	15% 12%	13%	17% 16%	12%	19% 16%
7 Strongly agree	21%	18%	24%	27%	29%	16%	25%	25%	11%	13%	21%	31%		22%	14%	17%	29%	27%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 731 4.38 2.06	5 357 4.15 2.07	250 4.53 2.02	9 110 4.71 2.06	7 165 4.61 2.12	10 101 4.40 1.89	8 174 4.58 2.02	(.01) 5 465 4.63 2.01	7 173 3.69 2.07	(.01) 7 215 3.90 2.02	5 315 4.32 2.10	7 186 5.01 1.86	7 167 4.33 2.10	4 562 4.39 2.04	(.01) 7 210 3.92 2.01 .01	7 185 4.31 2.01	7 218 4.61 2.11 .05	9 105 4.82 1.98

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21E				755	146	110	122	107
Unweighted n: Disagree	736 30%	669 30%	67 30%	155 33%	146 1%	110 39%	132 3%	127 77%
Disagree	30%	500	308	33.		++		++++
Neither	21%	21%	14%	34%	10%	19%	22%	16%
				++++				
Agree	49%	49%	53%	33%	89%	42%	74%	_
DK/NR	1%	0%	3%	0%	++++	0%	++++	
DK/ NK	1.0		++	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.0	+++
1 Strongly disagree	16%	16%	14%	12%	1%	20%	2%	
2	6%	5%	10%	8%	0%	5%	0%	16%
3	8%	8%	6%	, 13%	0%	14%	1%	13%
4 Neither	21%	21%	14%	34%	10%	19%	22%	16%
5	15%	15%	7%	17%	15%	17%	22%	2%
6	13%	13%	18%	10%	24%	8%	20%	2%
7 Strongly agree	21%	21%	28%	5%	50%	17%	32%	1%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	8	8	9	9	9
Weighted n for mean:	731	676	55	163	149	103	125	
Mean:	4.38	4.36	4.60		6.09	3.99	5.52	
Std dev:	2.06	2.04	2.22	1.61	1.15	2.05	1.34	
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01

				Pı	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21F Unweighted n: Disagree	691 19%	83 22%	96 28%	96 11%	109 23%	95 19%	114 11%	98 13%	134 21%	183 17%	269 19%	104 19%	320 21%	162 13%	132 22%	75 19%	291 18%	184 19%	117 23%
Neither	12%	12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	14%	98	7%	13%	14%	17%	13%	12%	11%	12%	13%	12%	11%
Agree	69%	66%	60%	77%	64%	68%	75%	79%	72%	70%	67%	64%	66%	75%	67%	69%	69%	69%	66%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	98	12%	12%	7%	10%	7%	7%	6%	7%	98	10%	9%	10%	7%	8%	12%	8%	9%	12%
2	4%	3 %	6%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3 %	2%	4%	6%	6%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%
3	6%	6₩	10%	3%	6%,	7%	3 %	4%	12%	3%	4%	4%	6%	5%	98	5%	5%	6%	7%
4 Neither	12%	12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	14%	98	7%	13%	14%	17%	13%	12%	11%	12%	13%	12%	11%
5	14%	15%	18%	22%	8%	12%	14%	12%	16%	15%	13%	13%	12%	16%	16%	19%	18%	14%	8%
6	18%	12%	15%	15%	15%	28%	19%	22%	18%	20%	17%	17%	15%	20%	24%	21%	13%	20%	28%
7 Strongly agree	36%	39%	27%	40%	42%	28%	42%	45%	38%	35%	37%	34%	40%	39%	27%	29%	39%	35%	30%
Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 692 5.18 1.95	11 90 5.07 2.09	10 103 4.71 2.04	10 99 5.45 1.76	9 137 5.13 2.10	10 138 5.13 1.84	9 94 5.51 1.77 .05	10 32 5.64 1.77	8 186 5.29 1.88	7 166 5.21 1.93	6 233 5.12 2.01	10 106 5.06 1.97	5 346 5.14 2.04	8 169 5.45 1.76 .05	9 115 5.02 1.89	11 61 5.02 1.97	6 303 5.20 1.92	7 170 5.17 1.95	9 119 5.04 2.04

	:		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	ıder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			yment	Туре		of Com	munity
	* *	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q21F Unw Disagree	weighted n:	691 19%	328 17%	25 11%	337 22%	309 15%	382 24%	428 21%	263 17%	76 15%	117 14%	53 14%	153 22%	314 17%	66 16%	255 18%	163 15%	272 22%	188 20%	288 17%	214 21%
Neither Agree		12% 69%	11% 72%	29% 61%	13% 65%	11% 74%	14% 62%	13% 67%	12% 72%	10% 75%	18% ++ 69%	12% 74%	10%	13% 70%	17% 67%	13% 69%	14% 71%	11% 67%	8% 72%	13% 70%	14% 65%
DK/NR		0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Strongly di 2	sagree	9% 4%	7% 3%	3% 0%	11% 5%	6% 3%	12% 5%	10%	8 % 4 %	8% 0%	6% 3%	7% 2%	10%	8 % 4 %	9% 0%	8 % 3 %	8% 2%	10% 6%	10% 5%	7% 4%	11%
3		6%	6%	8%	5%	5%	6₹	7%	5%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%
4 Neither 5		12% 14%	11% 18%	29% 18%	13%	11%	14%	13% 16%	12% 12%	10%	18% 18%	12% 20%	10% 12%	13%	17% 11%	13% 14%	14%	11% 15%	8% 12%	13% 16%	14%
6		18%	18%	7%	19%	21%	15%	18%	18%	16%	20%	24%	23%	20%	22%	23%	18%		15%	23%	14%
7 Strongly ag		36%	36%	35%	36%	39%	33%	33%	42%	48%	31%	30%	32%	36%	34%	33%	39%	38%	45%	31%	37%
	Chi ² : around 50%: a for mean: Mean: Std dev: tudent's t:	4 692 5.18 1.95	(.05) 5 345 5.29 1.84	20 21 5.22 1.62	5 324 5.08 2.07	.01 6 367 5.43 1.79	5 325 4.89 2.07	5 413 5.06 1.96	6 279 5.36 1.91	11 77 5.57 1.85	9 109 5.22 1.73	13 47 5.29 1.75	8 141 5.09 1.99	6 288 5.26 1.87	12 70 5.23 1.86	6 235 5.19 1.88	8 158 5.35 1.84	6 298 5.09 2.05	7 175 5.29 2.07	6 289 5.21 1.79	7 227 5.06 2.04

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I feel safe in my community

		Int	ernet t	Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance l Gover		ontact t Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21F Unweighted n: Disagree	691 19%	365 19%	203	111 17%	183 18%	102 20%	123 19%	485	134 30%	217 22%	280 20%	168 13%	171 26%	519 16%	162 32%	181 15%	220 16%	114 16%
Neither	12%	16%	11%	5%	6%	14% +	9%	11%	18%	12%	14%	12%	8%	14%	10%	16%	12%	13%
Agree	69%	+++ 66%	69 %	79%	76%	67%	72%	71%	52%	67%	66%	74%	66%	70%	58%	70%	72%	71%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %
1 Strongly disagree	9%	9%	10%	7%	7%	9%	88	8%	15%	10%	9%	7%	18%	6%	20%	5%	6%	9%
2	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	8%	4%	4 %	4%	3%	4 %	5%	2%	7%	1%
3	6%	4 %	88	8%	8%.	8%	8%	6%	7%	7%	7%	2%	5%	6%	7%	8%	4%	5%
4 Neither	12%	16%	11%	5%	6%	14%	9%	11%	18%	12%	14%	12%	8%	14%	10%	16%	12%	13%
5	14%	14%	13%	19%	13%	16%	16%	15%	9%	15%	12%	16%	15%	14%	12%	17%	14%	12%
6	18%	14%	24%	19%	25%	16%	19%	17%	15%	18%	17%	21%	21%	17%	20%	20%	15%	18%
7 Strongly agree	36%	38%	32%	40%	38%	34%	37%	40%	28%	34%	37%	37%	30%	38%	27%	33%	43%	42%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 692 5.18 1.95	5 376 5.12 1.99	7 202 5.16 1.93	9 103 5.46 1.80	7 165 5.41 1.86	10 92 5.10 1.93	9 135 5.27 1.90	.01 4 487 5.31 1.91	8 132 4.55 2.15	7 202 5.05 2.00	6 293 5.16 1.97	8 169 5.38 1.81	.01 7 167 4.82 2.20	523 5.30 1.84 .01	.01 8 153 4.54 2.26 .01	7 182 5.29 1.70	7 225 5.39 1.88 .05	9 118 5.39 1.91

		Locati	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21F								
Unweighted n:	691 19%	644 19%	47 28%	155 25%	156 2%	109 25%	149 5%	70 68%
Disagree	194	196	2015	236		25%		++++
Neither	12%	13%	10%	22%	6%	15%	6%	17%
				++++				
Agree	69%	69%	62%	53%	92%	60%	89%	15%
					++++		++++	
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	9%	9%	10%	8%	0%	10%	1%	44%
2	4%	4%	11%	4%	1%	8%	1%	14%
3	6%	6%	7%	, 13%	1%	7%	2%	9%
4 Neither	12%	13%	10%	22%	6%	15%	6%	17%
5	14%	14%	12%	23%	9%	15%	13%	7%
6	18%	18%	11%	19%	20%	12%	25%	2%
7 Strongly agree	36%	36%	39%	11%	63%	32%	51%	6%
Chi²:		_		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	14	8	8	9	8	12
Weighted n for mean:	692	652	40	158	170	113	137	67
Mean:	5.18	5.20	4.91	4.49	6.34		6.08	2.60
Std dev:	1.95	1.93	2.19	1.66	1.06	2.03	1.24	1.84

.01

.01

.10

.01

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Student's t:

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I feel confident that I have the skills to move easily in today's job market

				Pr	covince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
Т	Total	ВC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21H Unweighted n: 1 Disagree Neither Agree	1426 16% 18%	206 13% 17%	200 14% 18%	199 16% 23% ++ 60%	214 20% + 19%	201 12% 20%	203 21% ++ 12% 65%	203 17% 15%	288 15% 21% + 63%	352 14% 15% - 70%	579 17% 17%	203 16% 21% 48%	651 20% ++++ 25% ++++	342 15% 16% 67%	279 9% 11% 78%	147 6% 4% 89%	582 20% ++++ 23% ++++ 53%	393 12% 14% 71%	251 9% 11% 79%
DK/NR 1 Strongly disagree	3 % 7 %	4 % 6 %	3 % 4 %	0% 8%	2% 13%	5% + 4%	3% 9%	3% 10%	0% 5%	+++ 1% 8%	1% 8%	15% ++++ 9%	4% +++ 10%	++ 2% 6%	++++ 2% 3%	++++ 1% 4%	4% + 10%	++++ 3% 5%	++++ 1% 4%
2	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	0%	5%	4%	1%
3 4 Neither	5% 18%	4% 17%	7% 18%	5% 23%	6%、 19%	3% 20%	7% 12%	3% 15%	7% 21%	3% 15%	5% 17%	4% 21%	6% 25%	5% 16%	4% 11%	2% 4%	6% 23%	4%	4% 11%
5 6	14% 17%	17% 22%	11% 18%	16% 15%	16% 11%	13% 20%	14% 16%	13%	15% 20%	14% 20%	15% 15%	12%	14%	18% 20%	16% 24%	6% 20%	15% 12%	18% 22%	9% 21%
7 Strongly agree	31%	28%	36%	30%	32%	29%	35%	32%	28%	35%	35%	23%	24%	29%	38%	64%	26% .01	31%	49%
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:</pre>	3 1384 5.12 1.84	7 212 5.20 1.73	7 201 5.32 1.74 .10	7 200 5.00 1.83	7 261 4.88 2.01 .05	7 284 5.22 1.71	7 162 5.09 1.98	7 64 5.12 1.97	6 399 5.09 1.73	5 313 5.29 1.84 .05	4 489 5.14 1.89	7 179 4.81 1.93	4 654 4.69 1.91	5 357 5.16 1.76	6 246 5.63 1.56 .01	8 121 6.24 1.44 .01	4 571 4.75 1.92 .01	368 5.33 1.67	6 242 5.79 1.62 .01

I feel confident that I have the skills to move easily in today's job market

		Mother Tongue		Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment	Туре		of Com	mmunity	
	Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21H Unweighted n: Disagree	1426	698	39 13%	686 19%	609	817 18%	887 17%	539 14%	151 15%	249	97 12%	353 9%	662 14%	140	545 11%	338	540 20%	378	611 12%	433
Neither	18%	17%	15%	+++ 20% +	20%	17%	17%	20%	23%	20%	13%	8%	15%	19%	12%	21%	+++ 23% ++++	11%	21%	20%
Agree DK/NR	63% 3%	68% ++++ 3%	66% 6%	57% 3%	64% 3%	62% 3%	64% 1%	61% 5%	62% 0%	60%	72% 3%	83% ++++ 0%	70% 1%	67% 0%	76% ++++ 0%	62% 1%	52% 6%	72% ++++ 3%	64% 3%	54% 3%
1 Strongly disagree	7%	6%	3%	98	6%	9%	8%	++++ 7%	7%	8%	++++ 6%	4%	6%	7%	5%	 7%	10%	7%	4 %	13%
2	3% 5%	3% 4%	48 68	4% 6%	3% 5%	4% 5%	4% 6%	3% 4%	2 % 5 %	4 % 8 %	1% 5%	2% 2%	3% 5%	2% 5%	3 % 4 %	3% 6%	5% 5%	3% 3%	3 % 6 %	4% 6%
4 Neither	18%	17%	15%	20%	20%	17%	17%	20%	23%	20%	13%	8%	15%	19%	12%	21%	23%	11%	21%	20%
5	14%	16%	14%	13%	14%	15%	13%	16%	18%	16%	9%	16%	16%	11%	16%	14%	13%	14%	16%	13%
6 7 Strongly agree	17% 31%	20% 32%	9% 43%	14% 30%	18% 32%	16% 31%	19% 32%	15% 30%	19% 25%	17% 27%	16% 46%	22% 45%	19% 35%	19% 37%	19% 41%	19% 29%	14% 24%	16% 43%	21% 28%	13% 28%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01)	16	4	.10	3	.01	4	(.01)	6	10	5	4	8	.01	5	4	.01	4	5
Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	1384 5.12 1.84	709 5.28 1.74 .01	33 5.46 1.77	637 4.92 1.94 .01	707 5.19 1.77	678 5.04 1.91	845 5.13 1.87	539 5.10 1.80	158 4.99 1.74 (.01	242 4.91 1.84 .01	89 5.62 1.77	320 5.77 1.55 .01	614 5.32 1.76	149 5.29 1.83	504 5.54 1.68 .01	335 5.07 1.79	544 4.75 1.93 .01	335 5.50 1.82 .01	595 5.21 1.62 .10	451 4.72 2.04 .01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I feel confident that I have the skills to move easily in today's job market

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21H Unweighted n: Disagree	1426 16%	729 20%	447	223 10%	357 12%	203 8%	285 13%	954 14%	307 23%	438 17%	599 16%	347 14%	345 13%	1078 17%	372 14%	368 15%	431 18%	226 15%
Neither	18%	23% ++++	15%	11%	12%	8%	17%	18%	21%	13%	23%	18%	10%	21% ++++	15%	17%	22%	19%
Agree	63%	52%	73%	78%	75%	84%	70%	67%	53%	67%	59%	66%	75%	59%	67%	66%	57%	62%
DK/NR	3%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	++++ 1%	4%	++ 3*	2%	28	++++ 2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%
1 Strongly disagree	7%	11%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	6%	13%	9%	8%	4%	7%	8 %	+ 7%	7%	10%	6%
2	3%	4 %	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3 %	4%	3%	4 %	2%	2%	4%	3%	4 %	4 %	3 %
3	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%,	2%	5%	5%	68	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%
4 Neither	18%	23%	15%	11%	12%	8%	17%	18%	21%	13%	23%	18%	10%	21%	15%	17%	22%	19%
5	14%	13%	16%	16%	15%	14%	17%	14%	13%	13%	15%	16%	14%	15%	13%	17%	13%	14%
6	17%	14%	22%	20%	23%	22%	19%	18%	13%	18%	14%	20%	18%	17%	15%	18%	17%	20%
7 Strongly agree	31%	25%	36%	43%	37%	47%	35%	34%	27%	36%	29%	30%	43%	28%	38%	31%	28%	29%
Chi ² :			-		(.05)			.01		.01			.01		.10			
±points around 50%:	3 1384	4 700	5 449	7 211	5 326	7 192	6 307	3 941	6 293	5 406	4 595	5 347	5 330	3 1052	5 352	5 361	5 434	7 215
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	5.12	4.74	5.46	5.65	5.53	5.86	5.35	5.27	4.70	5.20	4.97	5.24	5.54	4.98	5.31	5.17	4.90	
Std dev:	1.84	1.96	1.62	1.62	1.61	1.50	1.67	1.77	2.04	1.95	1.85	1.67	1.80	1.84	1.86	1.79	1.91	1.76
Student's t:	*	.01	-	-	-	. 01	. 05	.01	.01	-	. 05		.01	.01	. 05	-	.01	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
1	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21H								
Unweighted n:	1426	1312	114	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	16%	16%	12%	12%	6%	23%	16%	29% ++++
Neither	18%	19%	13%	22%	20%	17%	15%	
116261162	200	1,0		+	200	2.0		200
Agree	63%	62%	71%	64%	72%	57%	68%	47%
		-	+		++++		++	
DK/NR	3 %	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%
1 Chronely discourse	7%	8%	6%	3%	2%	100	9%	++ 19%
1 Strongly disagree	7.6	8 6	6.6	3 *	2 5	10%	98	194
2	3 %	4%	2%	3%	1%	5%	3%	6%
3	5%	5%	4%	, 7%	3%	8%	4%	4 %
4 Neither	18%	19%	13%	22%	20%	17%	15%	20%
5	14%	15%	12%	17%	15%	16%	13%	8%
6	17%	17%	22%	22%	23%	14%	17%	6%
7 Strongly agree	31%	31%	36%	26%	35%	28%	38%	33%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384	1293	91	317	313	210	259	
Mean:	5.12	5.09	5.47	5.19	5.55	4.79	5.27	
Std dev:	1.84	1.85	1.73	1.55	1.46	1.98	1.92	
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	-	.01⁄	.01	-	.01


				Pı	rovince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21I Unweighted n: Disagree	734 20%	101 16%	108 23%	105 2 3%	107 21%	106 18%	99 21%	108	152 18%	181 16%	298 25%	100 19%	323 21%	178 17%	147 22%	83 24%	294 22%	195 20%	138 19%
Neither	21%	22%	30%	22%	21%	16%	19%	13%	23%	13%	23%	22 %	22%	20%	18%	24%	22%	23%	18%
Agree	58₺	61%	+++ 46%	55%	57%	65 % +	59%	64%	59%	70%	51%	55%	56%	62%	60%	50%	56%	57%	60%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0 %	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%
1 Strongly disagree	9%	98	8 %	11%	9%	7%	11%	9%	7%	7%	13%	8%	10%	6%	6%	13%	11%	7%	98
2	6%	2%	8%	3 %	7%	7%	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%
3	6%	5%	7%	8 %	5%	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	5%	12%	4 %	5%	6%	6%
4 Neither	21%	22%	30%	22%	21%	16%	19%	13%	23%	13%	23%	22%	22%	20%	18%	24%	22%	23%	18%
5	15%	12%	13%	8%	18%	25%	88	11%	10%	22%	14%	14%	12%	19%	19%	11%	18%	17%	12%
6	16%	19%	10%	20%	10%	18%	19%	16%	19%	18%	11%	16%	15%	17%	16%	17%	15%	13%	22%
7 Strongly agree	27%	29%	24%	27%	29%	23%	32%	37%	30%	29%	25%	25%	29%	26%	25%	22%	22%	27%	26%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	4 726 4.85 1.91	10 104 5.06 1.85	9 113 4.56 1.87	10 104 4.81 2.00	9 133 4.81 1.94	10 158 4.90 1.79	10 79 4.97 2.03	9 35 5.06 2.07	(.01) 8 215 5.00 1.86	7 160 5.14 1.80	6 244 4.56 2.00	10 103 4.85 1.88	5 333 4.84 1.99	7 189 4.96 1.80	8 132 4.88 1.78	11 67 4.55 2.02	6 290 4.66 1.90	7 193 4.83 1.85	8 129 4.95 1.89
Student's t:	1.91		.10	2.00		-	2.03			.05	.01	-		-	1.70	2.02			-

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gender Under 18?				Emp	loymen	t Sect		Empl. (yment			of Com	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	мо		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21I Unweighted n: Disagree	734	361 17%	16 37%	354 23%	315 16%	419 25%	453	281	84 12%	122 14%	47 22%	192 20%	352 15%	66 24%	287 19%	181 16%	265 25%	192 17%	303 17%	236 26%
Neither	21%	20%	17%	22%	21%	20%	21%	20%	26%	25%	17%	15%	20%	20%	19%	23%	20%	18%	19%	24%
Agree	58%	63%	46%	53%	62% ++	54%	56%	61%	58%	61%	56%	64%	63%	56%	61%	60%	54%	65 %	63%	49%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	4 %	0%	5%	1%	1%	0%	2 %	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	9%	7%	5%	11%	7%	11%	10%	8%	6%	5%	8%	8%	6%	13%	8%	7%	11%	8%	6%	12%
2	6%	4 %	13%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4 %	4 %	3%	6%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	7%	3%	5%	8%
3	6%	6%	19%	5%	4%	88	6%	5%	2 %	6%	7%	6%	5%	9%	6%	4 %	6%	6%	5%	6%
4 Neither	21%	20%	17%	22%	21%	20%	21%	20%	26%	25%	17%	15%	20%	20%	19%	23%	20%	18%	19%	24%
5	15%	17%	0%	13%	15%	15%	14%	15%	16%	20%	14%	16%	17%	10%	17%	16%	13%	12%	18%	13%
6	16%	19%	9%	12%	15%	17%	16%	16%	14%	16%	18%	17%	17%	17%	17%	14%	16%	15%	19%	13%
7 Strongly agree	27%	26%	37%	28%	32%	22%	26%	30%	28%	25%	25%	31%	29%	28%	27%	30%	26%	37%	25%	23%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 726 4.85 1.91	(.10) 5 377 4.99 1.79	25 16 4.69 2.16	5 327 4.70 2.02	(.05) 6 375 5.08 1.85 .01	5 350 4.62 1.95	(.10) 5 434 4.75 1.94	6 292 5.01 1.86	(.10) 11 83 5.04 1.76	9 121 5.00 1.67	14 41 4.83 1.93	7 171 5.03 1.92	5 325 5.06 1.77	12 67 4.76 2.04	6 250 4.94 1.84	7 188 5.02 1.83	6 285 4.67 2.01	(.05) 7 173 5.20 1.88	6 295 4.99 1.78	6 255 4.49 2.01

		Internet Use			Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of (Federal Government		Contact w/ Gov¹ Last 3 Mos?		t First Nations Standard of Living				
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21I Unweighted n: Disagree	734 20%	371 21%	231 20%	121 20%	185 20%	106 23%	139 18%	484 14%	160 43% ++++	204 30%	319 20%	183	181 24%	551 19%	189 29%	198 18%	214 20%	114
Neither Agree	21% 58%	23 % 55 %	19% 60%	18% 61%	19 % 59 %	15% 61%	21% 59%	20% 66%	21% 34%	44%	20% 59%	19% 71%	19% 57%	21% 58%	46%	19% 61%	20% 60%	19% 67% ++
DK/NR 1 Strongly disagree	1% 9%	1% 10%	1% 9%	1% 6%	2% 7%	1% 7%	2 % 9 %	0%	1% 26%	2% 13%	1% 9%	0% 4%	0% 10%	1% 9%	0% 15%	2 % 6 %	0% 10%	2 %
2	6 %	5% 6%	6 % 5 %	7% 7%	5% 8%,	11% 5%	4% 5%		11% 6%	9% 8%	5% 6%	4% 3%	6% 7%	6 % 5 %	9% 6%	6% 6%	4% 6%	_
4 Neither	21%	23%	19%	18%	19%	15%	21%	20%	21%	24%	20%	19%	19%	21%	24%	19%	20%	19%
5 6	15% 16%	14%	18% 18%	11%	17% 15%	17% 15%	13% 20%		13%	14%	16%	14%	16% 15%	14%	14%	19%	12%	
7 Strongly agree	27%	28%	24%	33%	27%	29%	27%	33%	11%	16% (.01)	29%	37%	26%	28%	22%	23%	32%	37%
<pre>±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	4 726 4.85 1.91	5 373 4.79 1.94	6 235 4.83 1.86	9 110 5.06 1.91	7 163 4.91 1.85	10 101 4.87 1.96	8 152 4.93 1.90	4 489 5.23 1.71	8 152 3.60 2.08 .01	7 184 4.25 1.95	5 323 4.90 1.91	7 190 5.44 1.65 :01	7 172 4.73 1.96	4 550 4.89 1.90	7 186 4.33 2.04 .01	7 192 4.91 1.75	7 221 4.95 1.98	9 114 5.39 1.68 .01

		Locat	ion	Cluster						
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q21I										
Unweighted n:	734	676	58	143	164	119	152	85		
Disagree	20%	20%	21%	20%	2 %	33%	7%			
Weither	218	21%	13%	35%	8%	++++ 26%	15%	++++ 21%		
Neither	21%	218	134	356	0.5	2016	154	218		
Agree	58%	57%	65%	46%	90%	40%	78%	12%		
9200	300	3.5	-		++++		++++			
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%		
								+		
1 Strongly disagree	9%	98	12%	3 %	0%	12%	2%	43%		
2	6%	6%	4%	8%	1%	11%	2%	13%		
3	6%	6*	5%	. 8%	1%	10%	3 %	9%		
4 Neither	21%	21%	13%	35%	8%	26%	15%	21%		
5	15%	15%	5%	26%	10%	13%	19%	1%		
6	16%	16%	19%	15%	21%	11%	20%	6%		
7 Strongly agree	27%	26%	42%	5%	59%	17%	39%	4%		
Chi':		_		(.01)						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	13	8	8	9	8	11		
Weighted n for mean:	726	682	43	143	180	120	139			
Mean:	4.85	4.83	5.20		6.27	4.16	5.63			
Std dev:	1.91	1.89	2.14	1.36	1.07	1.93	1.47			
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	. 01	.01	.01	.01		

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21J Unweighted n: Disagree Neither Agree DK/NR	693 36% 23% 40%	105 32% 24% 43%	92 50% +++ 20% 31% 0%	95 29% 29% 41%	107 46% ++ 16% - 38%	95 35% 24% 39%	104 30% 25% 45%	95 20% 20% 60% ++++	137 30% 26% 45%	171 41% 22% 36%	281 39% 18% 42%	103 36% 27% 36%	329 35% 23% 41%	164 34% 21% 43%	132 36% 19% 44%	64 52% +++ 29% 18%	288 37% 23% 39%	199 38% 21% 40%	113 37% 19% 44%
1 Strongly disagree 2	21 % 6 %	22 % 3 %	23% 11%	17% 5%	31% 7%	18%	13% 8%	8 % 7 %	16 % 5 %	23%	22% 7%	21 % 5 %	22%	19 % 9 %	15 % 6 %	32 % 14 %	21 % 6 %	21% 7%	19 % 6 %
3	9%	6%	15%	7%	8%.	13%	9%	5%	8%	10%	10%	11%	10%	6%	15%	6%	9%	10%	12%
4 Neither	23%	24%	20%	29%	16%	24%	25%	20%	26%	22%	18%	27%	23%	21%	19%	29%	23%	21%	19%
5	15%	16%	16%	11%	11%	20%	14%	16%	14%	18%	17%	7%	12%	21%	21%	8 %	11%	19%	22%
6	10%	12%	4 %	12%	11%	8%	10%	21%	13%	5%	11%	10%	10%	10%	12%	8 %	9%	10%	15%
7 Strongly agree	15%	15%	10%	19%	16%	11%	21%	24%	17%	13%	14%	20%	20%	12%	12%	3%	18%	11%	8 %
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 689 3.97 2.04	(.05) 10 115 4.04 2.06	10 95 3.48 1.93	10 96 4.22 2.03	9 130 3.67 2.25	10 136 3.93 1.87	10 86 4.34 1.99	10 31 4.85 1.89	8 186 4.25 1.98	7 152 3.72 2.02 .10	6 246 3.89 2.06	10 103 4.04 2.11	(.10) 5 345 4.08 2.13	8 170 3.95 1.98	9 117 4.07 1.87	12 53 3.01 1.80	297 4.00 2.11	7 185 3.86 1.97	9 111 3.95 1.90



		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymen	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese			yment	Туре		of Com	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q21J Unweighted n: Disagree	693 36%	337 37%	23 22%	333 37%	294 36%	399 37%	434	259 31%	67 26%	128 38%	50 29%	161 39%	311 34%	74 39%	258 39%	158 29%	275 38%	186 36%	309 32%	197 44%
Neither	23%	20%	18%	25%	21%	24%	21%	25%	23%	27%	23%	18%	21%	30%	22%	23%	23%	16%	27%	22%
Agree	40%	42%	52%	38%	42%	38%	38%	44%	51 % +	35%	43%	43%	45% ++	31%	38%	48%	38%	48%	41%	33%
DK/NR	1%	1%	9%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5% ++++	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1% +
1 Strongly disagree	21%	19%	5%	23%	19%	23%	23%	17%	10%	21%	13%	23%	18%	24%	22%	14%	23%	25%	15%	26%
2	6%	6%	0%	7%	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	5∜	7%	4%	5%	8%	6%	8%	5%	7%
3	98	11%	18%	7%	11%	8\$	10%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	12%	7%	9%	4%	12%	10%
4 Neither	23%	20%	18%	25%	21%	24%	21%	25%	23%	27%	23%	18%	21%	30%	22%	23%	23%	16%	27%	22%
5	15%	16%	14%	14%	16%	14%	15%	15%	19%	14%	26%	19%	21%	12%	18%	18%	11%	15%	16%	13%
6	10%	11%	12%	98	12%	8%	9%	11%	12%	8%	7%	15%	13%	4 %	12%	10%	8%	15%	9%	8%
7 Strongly agree	15%	16%	26%	15%	15%	16%	14%	18%	19%	13%	10%	98	11%	15%	8%	20%	19%	18%	16%	12%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 689 3.97 2.04	(.01) 5 345 4.03 2.03	20 18 4.92 1.79	5 326 3.86 2.06	6 342 4.04 2.01	5 347 3.90 2.08	(.10) 5 417 3.81 2.06	6 272 4.21 1.99	(.01) 12 73 4.49 1.85	9 123 3.79 1.98	14 47 4.11 1.78	8 148 3.86 2.00	291 4.06 1.93	11 81 3.75 2.02	- 6 249 3.79 1.92 .10	8 150 4.31 1.99	6 289 3.94 2.15	(.01) 7 173 4.05 2.22	6 312 4.16 1.91	7 204 3.61 2.05

		Int	ernet U	se	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. H			ormance l Govern		Last 3			First Na Indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21J Unweighted n:	693	359	216	102	172	97	146	470	148	234	280	165	164	528	183	170	217	112
Disagree Disagree	36%	36%	38%	32%	31%	40%	39%	30%	58%	42%	37%	30%	41%	35%	51%	35%	30%	27%
Neither	23%	24%	23%	20%	22%	19%	21%	24%	16%	19%	24%	25%	19%	24%	19%	25%	25%	21%
Agree	40%	39%	39%	48%	48%	40%	40%	46%	24%	37%	39%	45%	40%	41%	30%	39%	45%	52%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	21%	21%	21%	16%	18%	21%	21%	15%	39%	26%	21%	14%	26%	19%	33%	17%	18%	14%
2	6%	6%	7%	88	5%	98	8%	6%	6%	8%	6%	5%	8%	6%	8%	6%	4 %	7%
3	98	10%	10%	98	8%,	10%	10%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	7%	10%	10%	13%	8 %	5%
4 Neither	23%	24%	23%	20%	22%	19%	21%	24%	16%	19%	24%	25%	19%	24%	19%	25%	25%	21%
5	15%	13%	16%	18%	21%	19%	16%	18%	7%	14%	15%	19%	18%	14%	13%	20%	11%	19%
6	10%	8%	11%	15%	15%	14%	9%	10%	11%	11%	10%	7%	13%	98	7%	10%	13%	98
7 Strongly agree	15%	18%	12%	15%	12%	7%	15%	18%	6%	12%	14%	20%	9%	17%	9%	98	21%	24%
Chi ⁱ :			_		_			(.01)		(.10)			-		(.01)			
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	4 689	5 357	7 215	10 102	7 164	10 92	8 154	5 461	8 150	6 228	6 281	8 166	8 162	526	7 180	8 17 1	7 220	9 106
weighted if for mean:	3.97	3.98	3.87	4.21	4.17	3.78	3.92	4.24	3.05	3.70	3.94	4.28	3.70	4.06	3.31	3.92	4.30	4.47
Std dev: Student's t:	2.04	2.09	2.00	2.00	1.94	1.94	2.05	1.96	2.04	2.11	2.01	1.94	2.05 .05	2.04	2.04	1.84	2.09	2.04
Student's t:		-	_	_	_	_	_	.01	.01	.03		.03	.03	.03	.01		.01	.01

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21J				1.07	120	100	100	112
Unweighted n: Disagree	693 36%	637 37%	56 29%	167 42%	138 2%	48%	129 12%	84%
Disagree	30 8	378	2,70	+		+++		++++
Neither	23%	22%	27%	35%	16%	28%	22%	11%
_				++++		252		
Agree	40%	40%	44%	23%	81% ++++	25%	66% ++++	4%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	21%	21%	11%	16%	1%	28%	5%	64%
2	6%	6%	9%	8%	0%	8%	2%	12%
3	9%	10%	8%	. 17%	2%	12%	5%	8%
4 Neither	23%	22%	27%	35%	16%	28%	22%	11%
5	15%	15%	15%	18%	20%	10%	24%	0%
6	10%	10%	9%	4 %	21%	5%	18%	2%
7 Strongly agree	15%	15%	20%	1%	40%	10%	24%	3%
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	13	8	8	10	9	9
Weighted n for mean:	689	637	52	178	136	95	123	114
Mean:	3.97	3.94	4.33	3.46	5.79	3.37	5.07	1.87
Std dev:	2.04	2.05	1.94	1.46	1.25	1.94	1.62	1.47
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 01	.01	.01	. 01	.01

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q21K Unweighted n: Disagree Neither	1427 24%	206 20%	200 31% ++ 21%	200 28%	214 27% 23%	201 25%	203 16% 18%	203 17% 16%	289 17% 17%	352 27% 23%	579 26% 20%	203 31% ++ 17%	652 27% +++ 20%	342 22%	279 23% 21%	147 19%	582 27% ++ 21%	394 22% 20%	251 20%
Agree DK/NR	53% 4%	53% 3%	46% 3%	53% 2%	47%	56% 58	59% ++ 6%	63% +++ 3%	64% ++++ 2%	48% 3%	50% 4%	45% 7%	49%	57% ++ 3%	52% 4%	64% +++ 3%	48% 4%	53% 5%	64% ++++ 1%
1 Strongly disagree	17% 4%	13%	22% 7%	18%	20% 3%	17% 6%	++ 9% 3%	12%	10%	16% 6%	19% 3%	+++ 24% 5%	19% 5%	15% 3%	14% 3%	10% 6%	18% 6%	+ 15% 4%	13%
3	3%	4%	2%	68	3%	2%	48	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	3%
4 Neither 5	19% 12%	24% 10%	21% 9%	16% 11%	23 % 8 %	14%	18% 14%	16% 12%	17% 12%	23% 12%	20% 10%	17% 13%	20 % 8%	19%	21%	15% 21%	21% 9%	20% 13%	14%
6	13% 28%	14% 29%	10% 26%	15% 27%	9% 30%	16% 24%	11% 34%	14% 38%	16% 36%	12% 24%	12% 28%	11% 21%	12% 28%	15% 30%	13% 25%	12% 30%	12% 27%	14% 26%	16% 30%
7 Strongly agree Chi?: ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	3 1372 4.62	(.01) 7 214 4.78	7 202 4.28	7 199 4.55	7 256 4.47	7 282 4.58	7 156 5.10	7 64 5.11	.01 6 394 5.12	5 305 4.44	4 475 4.54	7 196 4.16	(.10) 4 655 4.47	5 353 4.79	6 240 4.63	8 119 4.93	.01 4 571 4.46	5 361 4.68	6 241 4.92
Std dev: Student's t:	2.17	2.05	2.28	2.20	2.26	2.16	1.94	2.07	1.98	2.12	2.22	2:29	2.26	2.11	2.04	1.98	2.21	2.08	2.04

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	1oymen	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		oyment	туре		of Com	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	0n	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q21K Unweighted n: Disagree	1427 24%	698 23%	39 19%	687 27%	609 22%	818 27%	887 27%	540	151 20%	250 25%	97 23%	353 21%	663 21%	140 26%	545 23%	339 22%	540 27% ++	378 21%	612 23%	433 29%
Neither	19%	20%	16%	19%	18%	21%	21%	16%	19%	22%	14%	18%	18%	21%	17%	22%	19%	16%	20%	20%
Agree	53%	54%	56%	51%	57% +++	48%	48%	59% ++++	57%	49%	58%	58%	57%	52%	56% ++	53%	49%	59% +++	53%	47%
DK/NR	4 %	4 %	9%	4 %	3%	4 %	3%	5%	4 %	4 %	5%	3 %	4 %	2%	4 %	3%	4 %	3%	3%	4 %
1 Strongly disagree	17%	15%	12%	19%	13%	20%	19%	13%	15%	18%	12%	13%	14%	13%	15%	13%	20%	17%	14%	20%
2	4 %	4 %	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4 %	3%	4 %	6%	5%	4 %	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%
3	3 %	4 %	3%	2%	4%	3%	3 %	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%	7%	3 %	3 %	3%	1%	4 %	4 %
4 Neither	19%	20%	16%	19%	18%	21%	21%	16%	19%	22%	14%	18%	18%	21%	17%	22%	19%	16%	20%	20%
5	12%	13%	9%	10%	13%	10%	10%	14%	9%	12%	19%	13%	13%	12%	12%	14%	10%	11%	15%	8%
6	13%	14%	14%	11%	13%	13%	12%	14%	14%	11%	6%	16%	14%	10%	15%	9%	13%	14%	13%	12%
7 Strongly agree	28%	27%	33%	30%	31%	25%	27%	31%	34%	26%	33%	29%	30%	29%	29%	30%	27%	34%	26%	27%
Chi [‡] : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	3 1372 4.62	4 699 4.67	16 32 4.99	4 636 4.54	.05 4 702 4.81	3 670 4.44	.01 3 828 4.44	4 544 4.90	8 152 4.90	6 235 4.49	10 88 4.82	5 311 4.84	597 4.81	8 146 4.65	4 485 4.76	5 331 4.70	4 556 4.47	.05 5 335 4.87	4 590 4.64	5 444 4.42
Std dev: Student's t:	2.17	2.08	2.14	2.26	2.10	2.22	2.21	2.06	2.14	2.15	2.08	2.05	2.10	2.08	2.14 .10	2.07	2.24 .05	2.20 .05	2.06	2.26 .05

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think that Aboriginal self-government is a good idea

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ıg
		Tota1	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q21K	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Disagree	-	24%	28%	20%	20%	21%	17%	20%	21%	36% ++++	25%	26%	21%	29%	23%	25%	24%	26%	22%
Neither		19%	19%	18%	20%	18%	14%	20%	19%	19%	18%	22%	16%	16%	20%	17%	21%	19%	22%
Agree		53%	46%	60∜	59%	60%	68%	58%	57%	40%	52%	50%	59%	53∜	53%	54%	51%	53∜	52%
DK/NR		4%	68	2%	1%	2%	++ 1%	2%	++++ 3%	5%	5%	2%	4 %	3%	4 %	4 %	3 %	3%	4 %
1 Strongly	y disagree	17%	21%	12%	13%	12%	12%	11%	15%	24%	++ 17%	19%	12%	20%	15%	20%	15%	16%	16%
2		4 %	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4 %	3%	10%	4 %	4 %	6%	5%	4 %	2%	5%	6%	4 %
3		3 %	3 %	4%	2%	4%	1%	4 %	3 %	3%	3%	4 %	2%	3%	3 %	3%	4%	4 %	3%
4 Neither		19%	19%	18%	20%	18%	14%	20%	19%	19%	18%	22%	16%	16%	20%	17%	21%	19%	22%
5		12%	9%	15%	14%	16%	13%	12%	12%	7%	12%	12%	11%	10%	12%	16%	10%	9%	9%
6		13%	11%	14%	13%	15%	17%	13%	14%	88	12%	11%	16%	15%	12%	11%	14%	13%	13%
7 Strongly	y agree	28%	26%	31%	31%	29%	38%	33%	31%	25%	28%	27%	32%	28%	29%	28%	27%	30%	29%
	Chi ² :			-		-		_	.01		.01		_	.10	_	_	_	_	
	ts around 50%: ed n for mean:	3 1372	4 695	5 443	7 211	5 325	7 191	6 302	3 928	6 291	5 397	4 599	5 340	5 325	3 1045	5 352	5 355	5 430	7 214
neight	Mean:	4.62	4.38	4.90	4.84	4.86	5.17	4.90	4.82	4.02	4.59	4.51	4.91	4.51	4.66	4.56	4.62	4.64	4.68
	Std dev: Student's t:	2.17	2.27	2.00	2.06	2.01	2.04 .10	2.03	2.10	2.34	2.19	2.17 .05	2.10	2.28	2.13	2.20	2.12	2.20	2.15

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21K								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310 3%	302 2%	219 97%	281 3%	197 48%
Disagree	24%	25∜	21%	3 1		++++	3.4	++++
Neither	19%	19%	23%	41%	11%	2%	13%	27%
				++++				+++
Agree	53%	53%	51%	56%	86%	1%	83%	25%
					++++		++++	
DK/NR	4%	48	6%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	17%	17%	10%	1%	1%	66%	3%	34%
2	4%	4 %	7%	1%	0%	20%	0%	7%
3	3 %	3%	3%	1%	1%	12%	0%	6%
4 Neither	19%	19%	23%	41%	11%	2%	13%	27%
5	12%	11%	12%	24%	8%	1%	12%	12%
6	13%	13%	11%	14%	23%	0%	18%	2%
7 Strongly agree	28%	28%	28%	18%	55%	0%	53%	10%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1372	1282	90	320	316	215	260	196
Mean:	4.62	4.62	4.73	5.00	6.17		6.01	
Std dev:	2.17	2.18	2.01	1.24	1.18		1.37	
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Would you know where to go to get information from the government of Canada about a service, such as getting an Indian Status Card?

					P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	<u> </u>
		Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q22											1.60				100	145	70	201	210	124
Yes	Unweighted n:	736 85%	123 91%	104 86%	104 82%	105 79%	106 88%	89 84%	105 84%	155 85%	169 86%	310 86%	99 82%	332 82%	180 85%	147 90%	72 89%	291 82%	210 86%	134 88%
No		15%	++ 9%	14%	18%	21%	12%	16%	16%	15%	14%	14%	18%	18%	15%	10%	11%	18%	14%	12%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi ² :		-							-				. 10				-		
±poin	its around 50%:	4	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	6	10	5	7	8	12	6	7	8

			Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der '	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Com	nmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other		Very		Rare/ Never
Q22																					
Yes	Unweighted n:	736 85%	370 87%	14 84%	350 83%	300 84%	436 86%	459 86%	277 84%	75 89%	133 78%	44 89%	200 89%	349 86%	74 83%	290 88%	176 81%	268 86%	190 88%	324 84%	219 85%
No		15%	13%	16%	17%	16%	14%	14%	16%	11%			11%	14%	17%	12%	19%	14%	12%	16%	15%
DK/NR	:	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi ¹ :		_			_		_		.05				-		_			_		
±po:	ints around 50%:	4	5	26	5	6	5	5	6	′ 11	8	15	7	5	11	6	7	6	7	5	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Would you know where to go to get information from the government of Canada about a service, such as getting an Indian Status Card?

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1	-		ormance 1 Gover		Contact '			irst Na ndard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q22																			
Yes	Unweighted n:	736 85%	365 82%	244 87%	112 89%	174 85%	101 88%	162 91%	469 85%	174 86%	221 86%	319 87%	180 81%	174 89%	560 84%	210 85%	187 84%	211 85%	112 87%
No		15%	18%	13%	11%	15%	12%	9*	15%	14%	14%	13%	19%	11%	16%	15%	16%	15%	13%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi ² :			_		_			_		-			-		_			
±poi	nts around 50%:	4	5	6	9	7	10	8	5	7	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	7	9

			Locat	ion	•		Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q22	-	-							
	Unweighted n:	736	669	67	155	146	110	132	127
Yes		85%	85%	87%	89%	87%	83%	86%	80%
No		15%	15%	13%	11%	13%	17%	14%	20%
									+
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi²:		_		_				
±poi	nts around 50%:	4	4	12	8	8	9	9	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you know where to go to get information from the government of Canada about an issue like the proposed changes to the Indian Act or progress on land claim settlements?

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q23																			
Unweighted n:	691	83	96	96	109	95	114	98	134	183	269	104	320	162	132	75	291	184	117
Yes	43%	44%	44%	31%	36%	45%	62%	45%	41%	39%	45%	50%	38%	44%	44%	63%	38%	48%	53%
					_		++++									++++			++
No	56%	56%	56%	67%	64%	52%	37%	55%	59%	60%	54%	47%	60%	56%	5 5%	37%	60%	52%	47%
				++	+							_	++				++		-
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1 %	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%
													++				++		
Chi2:		(.01)							-				(.01)				(.05)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	11	10	10	9	10	9	10	8	7	6	10	5	8	9	11	6	7	9

			Moth	er Tor	igue	Gen	der .	Child Under		Emp	ployme:	nt Sect	or	Empl. c			oyment	Туре		of Cor	nmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q23			200			200	202	428	263	76	117	53	153	314	66	255	163	272	188	288	214
Yes	Unweighted n:	691 43%	328 45%	25 66%	337 40%	309 45%	382 42%	41%	46%	24%	47%		50%	46%	36%		_		59%	44%	31%
No		56%	55%	34%	58%	54%	57%	58%	52%	76%	53%	45%	50 %	54%	64%	52 %	61%	56%	41%	56%	67 %
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
	Chi2:		(.01)		***	_		_		(:01)				-		(.10)			(.01)		,,
±poi	nts around 50%:	4	5	20	5	6	5	5	6	11	9	13	8	6	12	6	8	6	7	6	7

PAGE: 225

Walld you know where to go to get information from the government of Canada about an issue like the proposed changes to the Indian Act or progress on land claim settlements?

			Int	ernet U	Ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Govern		Contact 1	•	-	irst Na indard o		g
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q23																			
	Unweighted n:	691	365	203	111	183	102	123	485	134	217	280	168	171	519	162	181	220	114
Yes		43%	39%	49%	48%	44%	53₺	53%	47%	34%	38%	43%	52 %	56% ++++	39%	47%	39%	41%	52%
No		56%	59%	51%	52%	56 %	47%	46%	+++ 52%	66%	61%	56%	47%	44%	59%	53%	60%	59%	46%
			++			+				+++	++				++++				
DK/NR		1%	2%	0%	08	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%
			++																++
	Chi2:			-		-			(.05)		(.10)			(.01)		(.10)			
±poir	nts around 50%:	4	5	7	9	7	10	9	4	8	7	6	8	7	4	8	7	7	9

			Locat	ion			Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q23	-								
	Unweighted n:	691	644	47	155	156	109	149	70
Yes	-	43%	42%	64%	42%	58%	36%	41%	34%
				+++		++++	-		-
No	:	56%	57%	36%	57%	41%	63%	59%	65%
			+++				+		+
DK/NR	:	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
	Chi ² :		(.05)		(.01)	,	•		
± poi	ints around 50%:	4	4	14	8	8	9	8	12

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Thinking about the information you receive from the government of Canada, would you say that you receive(READ LIST)

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q23B																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Too much information	5∜	4%	1%	9%	5₹	5%	4%	3∜	7€	5₺	3 %	3₺	4%	5₩	5₹	5∜	5∜	5₹	5₹
	528	600		+++	C 2 8	C 48	60%	64%	56 %	64%	66%	69%	64%	64%	61%	65%	64%	65%	66%
Too little information	63%	62%	66%	64%	63%	64%	004	041	201	044	+	+	04.0	044	01.4	034	04.6	034	004
About the right amount	30%	33%	32%	25%	29%	29%	35%	32%	36%	29%	29%	25%	30%	29%	32%	29%	30%	28%	28%
of information				-					++			-							
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	2∜	2%	3 %	2%	2 %	2 %	1%	2 %	1%	2%
Chi²:		_							(.01)				_				_		
±points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Thinking about the information you receive from the government of Canada, would you say that you receive (READ LIST)

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Of rve?		oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q23B																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Too much information	5%	4 %	0%	68	68	4 %	4 %	5%	3 %	4 %	10%	5%	5%	4 %	4 %	68	5%	7 %	3%	6%
					++						++							++		
Too little information	63%	62%	57%	65%	63%	64%	64%	63%	66%	65%	63%	63%	64%	66%	66%	61%	62%	62%	61%	67 % +
About the right amount	30%	33%	43%	27%	29%	31%	31%	30%	30%	29%	26%	29%	29%	30%	29%	31%	32%	31%	34%	25%
of information	300	++	+																+++	
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	28	2%	2 %	2 %	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
,		-		++			-	+					+	-						
Chi 2:		(.05)			_		_		_				-		-			.01		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Thinking about the information you receive from the government of Canada, would you say that you receive(READ LIST)

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 12			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q23B	1407	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Unweighted n: Too much information	1427 5%	730 5%	4%	223 5%	6% ++	3%	3%		5%	3%	3%	8%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	
Too little information	63%	66%	62%	58%	57%	61%	64%	60%	75 %	79% ++++	62%	48%	62%	64%	73% ++++	69%	57%	53%
About the right amount	30%	28%	33%	36%	34%	34%	32%		17%	17%	33%	43%	32%	30%	20%	26%	37% ++++	
of information DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%		3%	2 %	1%	1%		2%	3 %	1%	1%	
Chi ² :			_		-			(.01)	++	(.01)			-		(.01)			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5 5	3	5	5	5	7

Thinking about the information you receive from the government of Canada, would you say that you receive (READ LIST)

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q23B								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Too much information	5%	5%	3%	48	7%	4 %	3%	7%
					++			
Too little information	63%	63%	64%	64%	43%	61%	76%	80%
							++++	++++
About the right amount	30%	30%	32%	31%	49%	34%	19%	10%
of information					++++			
DK/NR	2 %	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	3₺
								++
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q24 Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
It works very well and	8%	68	14%	9%	88	88	4%	14%	11%	9%	68	9%	8%	10%	98	6%	9%	88	6%
needs no change	•		+++					+++	++										
It works reasonably wel	50%	51%	50%	46%	48%	55%	50%	57%	57%	51%	46%	48%	53%	52%	47%	41%	53%	50%	42%
 but needs some chang 								+	+++				+				++		
It does not work well a	25%	26%	24%	29%	29%	21%	25%	15%	20%	25∜	30%	24%	23%	25%	28%	29%	22%	30%	31%
nd needs major changes											+++								+
It does not work at all	11%	13%	88	11%	14%	9%	16%	7%	88	11%	15%	12%	11%	10%	11%	19%	11%	10%	17%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>							++				+++					+++			+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5∜	5₺	4 %	2%	7₹	4 %	6%	4 %	5%	4%	7%	5%	4 %	5%	5∜	5∜	3₺	4 %
						+						+					+		
Chi ² :		(.05)					_	_	. 01	_		_	-	_	_	_	. 01	_	_
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment			of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q24																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
It works very well and	88	7%	7%	10%	98	8%	8%	98	10%	98	98	68	98	48	7%	98	98	7%	88	10%
needs no change				++									++							
It works reasonably wel	50%	53%	63%	47%	54%	47%	53%	478	58%	49%	50%	47%	50%	52%	48%	53%	51%	48%	53%	48%
1, but needs some chang		++	+		++		++		++										+	
It does not work well a	25%	25%	20%	25%	228	28%	25%	25%	18%	27%	19%	27%	248	27%	26%	23%	26%	25%	23%	27%
nd needs major changes						++														
It does not work at all	11%	10%	10%	13%	12%	11%	10%	13%	11%	10%	14%	15%	12%	13%	14%	98	10%	16%	11%	8%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>							-	+				+			+++			++++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	48	0%	5%	3%	68	4 %	6%	28	5%	98	5%	5%	4 %	4 %	68	48	3 %	4 %	6%
						++	-	+			+									++
Chi ² :		-			.01		.10		-				-		-			.01		
±points around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	. 8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact Last 3	w/ Gov' Mos?		irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q24																		
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
It works very well and	88	7%	10%	9%	8%	10%	12%	98	5%	6%	9%	13%	88	9%	68	68	11%	12%
needs no change		-						++				+++					++	
It works reasonably wel	50%	50%	50%	53%	51%	44%	52%	53%	44%	42%	55%	548	47%	52%	37%	50%	58₺	59%
1, but needs some chang						-		+++			+++						++++	+++
It does not work well a	25%	26%	24%	24%	25%	31%	20%	23%	31%	31%	24%	20%	29%	24%	34%	29%	18%	18%
nd needs major changes						++			+++	++++			+	-	++++	++		
It does not work at all	11%	11%	12%	10%	11%	11%	98	10%	17%	17%	98	98	12%	11%	19%	11%	7%	9%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>									++++	++++					++++			
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5%	5%	3 %	48	48	68	5%	3 %	4 %	4 %	4%	5%	5%	4 %	4 %	68	3 %
																	++	
Chi?:			-		-			.01		.01			-		.01			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q24								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
It works very well and	88	8%	12%	8%	14%	88	4 %	3%
needs no change					++++			
It works reasonably wel	50%	50%	56%	53%	62%	51%	40%	46%
1, but needs some chang					++++			
It does not work well a	25%	25%	19%	27%	13%	30%	30%	32%
nd needs major changes						+	++	++
It does not work at all	11%	12%	7%	98	7%	5%	21%	17%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>		+	-	_			++++	+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	2%
Chi ² :		_		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

replaced

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont C)uebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q24 Unweighted n: It works very well and	701 10%	101 9%	101	96 10%	105 13%	96 9%	95 5%	107 13%	150 11%	181 12%	265 6%	103 12%	320 9%	157 12%	144 11%	78 5%	280 10%	197 10%	122 8%
needs no change It works reasonably wel 1, but needs some chang	50%	57%	54%	43%	41%	56%	51%	55%	55%	49%	50%	45%	53%	51%	46%	40%	58% ++++	46%	41%
It does not work well a nd needs major changes	22%	18%	24%	24%	25%	19%	27%	16%	18%	22%	28%	19%	20%	21%	27%	28%	16%	29%	31%
It does not work at all and needs to be <rot8></rot8>	13%	12%	98	20% ++	18% +	6 %	16%	9%	11%	13%	14%	14%	12%	12%	14%	18%	12%	11%	16%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	4%	4 %	4%	3%	10%	1%	7%	48	4%	3%	10%	6%	4%	2%	8%	4%	4%	4 %
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	(.05) 10	10	10	10	10	10	9	. 05 8	7	6	10	5	8	8	11	6	7	9

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

replaced

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	nder	Child		Emj	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. (oyment			of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	-	Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q24																				
Unweighted n:	701	348	18	332	306	395	440	261	81	120	47	176	328	71	266	172	263	190	297	214
It works very well and	10%	10%	68	10%	12%	8 %	9%	11%	98	10%	10%	9%	10%	68	88	11%	11%	78	98	13%
needs no change					++															+
It works reasonably wel	50%	51%	74%	48%	56%	45%	54%	45%	58%	47%	60%	48%	52%	49%	50₺	52%	49%	51%	53%	47%
1, but needs some chang					+++		++													
It does not work well a	22%	24%	16%	20%	17%	27%	23%	21%	20%	25%	14%	21%	19%	29%	23%	19%	23%	22%	21%	24%
nd needs major changes						+++								++						
It does not work at all	13%	10%	4 %	16%	12%	13%	11%	16%	11%	13%	10%	16%	14%	13%	15%	11%	11%	17%	12%	10%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>				++				++										++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5%	0%	68	3 %	7%	3 %	7 %	2 %	5%	5%	5%	68	3 %	4 %	68	5%	3%	5₺	7%
						+++		++												
Chi ² :		-			. 01		. 05		-				-		-			-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	5	23	5	6	5	5	6	11	9	14	7	5	12	6	7	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

replaced

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		ontact 1 Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q24																		
Unweighted n:	701	328	232	126	189	113	152	475	143	214	270	196	172	529	188	177	210	112
It works very well and	10%	8 %	11%	11%	98	11%	14%	11%	5%	7%	8%	15%	10%	10%	6%	5%	13%	15%
needs no change								++				+++			-		+	++
It works reasonably wel	50%	51%	50%	49%	48%	48%	53%	51%	49%	43%	54%	53%	48%	51%	41%	48%	57%	56%
1, but needs some chang																	++	
It does not work well a	22%	22%	23%	23%	26%	26%	19%	22%	22%	28%	22%	16%	26%	21%	31%	31%	14%	13%
nd needs major changes							-			++					+++	+++		
It does not work at all	13%	13%	12%	12%	13%	12%	88	11%	21%	17%	11%	10%	12%	13%	17%	12%	9%	13%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>									+++	++					++		-	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	3%	7%	5%	3%	4 %	4 %	5%	3%	5%	4 %	4 %	7%	2%
							+										++	
Chi2:			-		-			.01		.01			-		.01			
$\pm \dots$ points around 50%:	4	5	6	9	7	9	8	4	8	7	6	7	7	4	7	7	7	9

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

replaced

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q24								
Unweighted n:	701	631	70	143	153	109	134	107
It works very well and	10%	9%	14%	6%	17%	9%	7%	3%
needs no change					++++			
It works reasonably wel	50%	50%	59%	55%	56%	55%	37%	51%
1, but needs some chang								
It does not work well a	22%	22%	18%	27%	13%	25%	25%	29%
nd needs major changes								+
It does not work at all	13%	13%	4 %	8 %	10%	7%	26%	16%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>		++				_	++++	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	5 %	5%	5%	48	5%	6%	1%
·								-
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	8	8	9	8	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

abo			

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q24 Unweighted n: It works very well and	726 7%	105 2%	99 18%	104 8%	109 3%	105 7%	108	96 16%	139 11%	171 5%	314 6%	100 6%	332 7%	185 7%	135 8%	69 7%	302 8%	197 6%	129 5%
needs no change It works reasonably wel 1, but needs some chang	51%	45%	46%	50%	54%	53%	50%	60%	60%	53%	42%	52%	52%	53%	47%	41%	49%	54%	44%
It does not work well a nd needs major changes	28%	33%	24%	34%	32%	24%	24%	15%	22%	27%	31%	30%	27%	29%	29%	31%	28%	30%	31%
<pre>It does not work at all and needs to be <rot8></rot8></pre>	10%	14%	7%	3%	10%	12%	16%	4%	48	9%	16%	9%	10%	8%	8%	20%	10%	9%	+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	4%	6%	6%	5%	18	4%	7%	6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	48	8% ++	1%	6% ++	1%	4%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4	(.01) 10	10	10	9	10	9	10	(.01) 8	7	6	10	5	7	8	12	. 05 6	7	9

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

abolished

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emj	oloyme	nt Sect		Empl. (oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q24																				
Unweighted n:	726	350	21	355	303	423	447	279	70	130	50	177	335	69	279	167	277	188	315	219
It works very well and	7%	5%	88	10%	6%	9%	7%	88	10%	9%	7%	4 %	9%	2%	68	7%	8%	68	88	7%
needs no change				+++									+	-						
It works reasonably wel	51%	55%	53%	46%	52%	49%	51%	49%	59%	51%	40%	45%	47%	56%	468	54%	53%	46%	54%	50%
1, but needs some chang		++							++											
It does not work well a	28%	26%	23%	30%	27%	29%	28%	28%	16%	29%	24%	33%	29%	25%	30%	26%	28%	29%	25%	31%
nd needs major changes												+								
It does not work at all	10%	10%	16%	10%	12%	88	10%	10%	11%	7%	17%	14%	10%	13%	14%	7%	8 %	15%	10%	6%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>					+	-									++			+++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	4 %	4 %	0 %	5%	4 %	5%	4 %	5%	3%	4 %	12%	5%	5%	4 %	5%	5%	3 %	3%	3 %	6%
											++									+
Chi ² :		-			-		-		(.05)				-		-			.10		
±points around 50%:	4	5	21	5	6	5	5	6	12	9	14	7	5	12	6	8	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey 01/09/28 Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

abolished

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F		-	ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q24																		
Unweighted n:	726	402	215	97	168	90	133	479	165	224	329	152	173	550	184	191	221	114
It works very well and	7%	6%	98	88	78	98	10%	7%	6%	4 %	98	98	5%	8%	5%	6%	9%	9%
needs no change																		
It works reasonably wel	51%	49%	49%	57%	54%	40%	52%	56%	38%	41%	55%	55∜	45%	52%	34%	52%	59%	61%
 but needs some chang 								++++			++		-	+			+++	++
It does not work well a	28%	31%	24%	25%	24%	37%	22%	24%	39%	33%	26%	24%	31%	27%	37%	28%	23%	22%
nd needs major changes		+				+++			++++	++					+++		7	
It does not work at all	10%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	10%	9%	14%	17%	7%	8 %	13%	98	20%	10%	5 %	4 %
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>									++	++++					++++			
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	4 %	4 %	5%	2 %	5%	4 %	6%	4 %	3%	5%	4 %	4 %	6%	4 %	4 %	4 %	5%	3%
								0.1		0.1					(.01)			
Chi ² :		_	-		-		•	.01		.01	-		-		(.01)	7	-	9
$\pm \dots$ points around 50%:	4	5	7	10	8	10	8	4	8	,	5	8	,	4	,	,	,	9

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

abolished

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q24								
Unweighted n:	726	682	44	167	149	110	147	90
It works very well and	78	7%	98	10%	11%	8 %	3%	2 %
needs no change					+			
It works reasonably wel	51%	51%	50%	52%	69%	47%	42%	40%
1, but needs some chang					++++			
It does not work well a	28%	28%	22%	27%	13%	36%	35%	35%
nd needs major changes						++	++	
It does not work at all	10%	10%	10%	10%	4 %	3%	17%	18%
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>			-	-			+++	+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	4%	4%	8%	2%	4 %	6%	4 %	4%
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	15	8	8	9	8	10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q25A Importance ofin ch	anging	the Inc	dian Act	:															
9	1304	195	172	182	199	186	196	174	255	321	541	183	594	308	257	139	523	365	236
Low importance	5%	3%	7%	10%	4 %	2%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4 %	7% +++	3%	2 % 	5%	5%	5%	5%
Moderate importance	30%	32%	33%	27%	29%	34%	26%	27%	40%	33%	24%	25%	34%	36%	23%	10%	33%	29%	20%
									++++				+++	+++			+++		
High importance	62%	61%	59%	58%	65%	61%	66%	63%	51%	60%	69 % ++++	67%	55%	59%	73% ++++	84%	58%	65%	73% ++++
DK/NR	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3 %	3 %	4 %	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%	28	4 %	1%	1%
				+									+++				+++		-
1 Not at all important	2%	0%	4 %	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
2	1%	1%	1%	4 %	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
3	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	3%	3%	1%	2%
4 Somewhat important	17%	16%	19%	15%	20%	16%	13%	16%	21%	19%	13%	15%	20%	18%	12%	3%	19%	14%	11%
5	14%	16%	14%	11%	9%	18%	13%	12%	19%	14%	11%	10%	14%	18%	10%	6%	14%	15%	9%
6	15%	16%	15%	13%	13%	16%	13%	19%	18%	14%	13%	16%	13%	16%	19%	11%	14%	16%	17%
7 Extremely important	47%	45%	43%	45%	52%	45%	52%	45%	33%	46%	56%	51%	42%	43%	54%	73%	44%	50%	56%
Chi ² :		(.05)							.01				(.01)				.01		
±points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	6	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean:	1266	199	176	175	240	267	154	55 5.76	343 5.51	280 5.77	456 5.98	186 5.94	600 5.60	323 5.75	222 6.09	113 6.43	519 5.71	345 5.87	227 6.03
Mean: Std dev:	5.80 1.45	5.85 1.30	5.62 1.59	5.64 1.61	5.86 1.47	5.86 1.30	5.93 1.45	7 1.51	1.41	1.43	1.47	1:40	1.54	1.35	1.27	1.23	1.45	1.44	1.43
Student's t:	*	50		-		-	-		.01		.01		.01	-	.01	.01	. 05		.05

	Mot	her Tor	ıgue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	ıt Sect		Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	munity
Tota	l Engl	l Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q25A Importance ofin chang Unweighted n: 1304 Low importance	649 % 39	37 8 6%	615 7%	554 6%	750 4%	816 5%	488	136 5%	229 5%	87 3%	329 4%	603 4%	133 5%	506 3%	307 6%	489 6%	350 4%	562 4%	388 6%
Moderate importance 30	% 319		+++ 30%	33%	28%	31%	29%	38%	35%	22%	22%	30%	27%	25%	33%	33%	22%	33%	33%
High importance 62	% 639	79% ++	59%	60%	64%	62%	62%	+++ 56%	++ 57%	71%	73%	64%	67%	70% ++++	57%	57%	72%	61%	56%
DK/NR 3	% 3 ⁹		3%	2%	4 %	3%	3%	1%	3%	4 %	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	28	5%
1 Not at all important 2	% 1 ⁹	8 0%	2%	1%	+++ 2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	+++ 3%
2 1	% O9	k 2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
3	% 19	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3 %	3%	2%	3%	1%
4 Somewhat important 17	% 16 [§]	10%	18%	18%	15%	17%	15%	26%	18%	8%	9%	15%	12%	13%	17%	20%	11%	17%	20%
5 14	% 15 [§]	s 5%	12%	15%	13%	13%	14%	12%	17%	15%	13%	15%	15%	12%	16%	14%	10%	16%	13%
6 15	% 179	11%	12%	16%	14%	15%	15%	16%	13%	18%	16%	16%	14%	15%	15%	14%	16%	16%	13%
7 Extremely important; 47	% 46 [§]	k 68%	47%	44%	50%	47%	47%	40%	44%	53%	57%	48%	53%	55%	42%	43%	56%	46%	42%
Chi;:	(.05)			.01		-		(.01)				-		.01			.01		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3 4		4	4	4	3	4	8	6	11	5	4	8	4	6	4	5	- 4	5
Weighted n for mean: 126			572 5.71	651 5.71	616	764	502	142	214	81	296 6.10	550 5.88	142	461 6.03	298 5.67	504 5.66	316 6.06	550	398
Mean: 5.8 Std dev: 1.4			1.55	1.45	5.89 1.44	5.79 1.45	5.81	5.59 1.42	5.70 1.47	6.10 1.26	1.33	1.37	5.93 1.43	1.34		1.50	1.36	5.80 1.37	5.61 1.57
Student's t:	* .	.10	.10	.05	.05	1.45		.01	.05	-	.01	-		.01		.01	.01	-	.01

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1:			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q25A Importance ofin Unweighted n: Low importance	changing 1304 5%	the In 667 6%	407 48	205 4%	331 4%	185 4%	253 4%	871 5%	287 5%	412 5%	547 5%	303 5%	321 5%	980 5%	350 6%	347	382 5%	197 8%
Moderate importance	30%	33%	29%	26%	26%	20%	31%	32%	27%	18%	39%	30%	23%	32%	15%	29%	42%	37%
High importance	62%	58%	64%	70%	67%	76%	62% 	61%	64%	74%	53%	62%	71%	59%	77%	66 % +	49%	54%
DK/NR	3 %	4%	3*	0%	28	0%	3%	2%	4 %	3 %	3%	3 %	1%	4 % +++	2 %	3 %	4 %	1%
1 Not at all important	28	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2 %	28	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	2%	1%
2	1%	2 %	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	0 %	2%	3 %
3	2%	2 %	2%	28	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	28	28	2%	2 %	1%	2 %	4 %
4 Somewhat important	17%	19%	15%	12%	13%	98	14%	17%	16%	11%	23%	13%	11%	18%	9%	13%	25%	21%
5	14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	11%	17%	15%	12%	7%	16%	17%	12%	14%	68	16%	17%	17%
6	15%	12%	17%	19%	20%	19%	16%	15%	13%	16%	12%	17%	16%	14%	16%	15%	14%	15%
7 Extremely important	47%	46%	47%	51%	48%	57%	46%	45%	51%	58%	40%	45%	54%	45%	61%	51%	35%	39%
Chi': tpoints around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1266 5.80 1.45	4 657 5.70 1.52	(.10) 5 396 5.88 1.36	7 193 5.97 1.33	(.10) 5 296 5.93 1.33	7 173 6.12 1.30	6 264 5.84 1.39	3 848 5.78 1.41	280 5.83	.01 5 385 6.09 1.41	4 543 5.57 1.47	6 303 5.81 1.42	.01 5 307 5.99 1.42	3 956 5.74 1.45	.01 5 339 6.13 1.44	5 337 6.00 1.26	5 379 5.45 1.48 .01	7 193 5.51 1.52 .01

	Locat	ion		Cluster	
= Total	Rural		Middle Of The Road	Concerned Insecure	7

		Localic	111	Clustel							
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt			
Q25A Importance ofin c			102	202	260	100	266	101			
Unweighted n:	1304 5%	1201 5%	103 1%	283 6 %	260 2%	198 8%	266 6%	191 5%			
Low importance	516	> t	1.6	0.6	20	+	0.6	3.0			
Moderate importance	30%	30%	34%	33%	34%	35%	25%	22%			
High importance	62%	62%	61%	58%	62%	54 %	68%	71%			
							++	+++			
DK/NR	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%			
1 Not at all important	2%	2%	0%	2%	0 %	2%	1%	3%			
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%			
3	2%	2%	0%	3%	0%	3%	3%	1%			
4 Somewhat important	17%	17%	15%	18%	17%	24%	13%	12%			
5	14%	13%	19%	16%	18%	11%	12%	10%			
6	15%	15%	14%	18%	14%	13%	15%	14%			
7 Extremely important	47%	47%	46%	40%	47%	41%	53%	57%			
Chi::		-		(.01)							
±points around 50%:	3	3	10	6	6	7	6				
Weighted n for mean:	1266	1185	81	288	267	192	247				
Mean:	5.80	5.79	5.91	5.64	5.90	5.50	5.94				
Std dev:	1.45	1.46	1.26	1.48	1.27		1.40				
Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	-	.01	.10	.10			

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms

		Province					Age Group				Education				Income				
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q25B Importance ofin	changing	the In	dian Ac	t															
Unweighted n:	1304	195	172	182	199	186	196	174	255	321	541	183	594	308	257	139	523	365	236
Low importance	9%	8%	7%	9%	7%	10%	15% +++	10%	10%	9%	10%	7%	8%	10%	11%	12%	10%	6 %	11%
Moderate importance	32%	31%	34%	33%	32%	32%	26%	34%	43%	30%	27%	25%	35%	36%	23%	20%	34%	31%	25%
High importance	54%	52%	54%	53%	60%	51%	54%	47%	++++ 42%	59%	58%	58%	++ 51%	++ 50%	62%	65%	+ 49%	58%	63%
3 .					++			-		+	++			-	+++	+++			+++
DK/NR	5%	9%	5%	5%	1%	6%	5%	98	5%	3 %	5%	9%	6%	4%	3%	3∜	7%	4%	1%
	1.2	++						++	4.0		7.0	++ 6%	++ 4%	6%	6%	110	+++ 6%	4%	8%
1 Not at all important	5%	5%	3 %	4%	4%	8%	9%	4%	4%	4%	7%	68	4 %	6-16	0.8	11%	6.6	4.5	8 6
2	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3 %	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3 %
3	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4 %	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2 %	1%
4 Somewhat important	18%	18%	18%	20%	21%	16%	16%	25%	23%	16%	17%	17%	21%	18%	17%	9%	19%	18%	14%
5	13%	13%	17%	13%	11%	17%	10%	10%	20%	14%	10%	8%	14%	18%	6%	11%	14%	13%	12%
6	15%	15%	14%	11%	14%	19%	13%	14%	13%	17%	13%	17%	12%	14%	21%	18%	14%	15%	19%
7 Extremely important	39%	37%	41%	42%	46%	33%	41%	33%	29%	41%	45%	42%	39%	36%	41%	48%	35%	43%	44%
Chi [;] :		(.05)							.01				.01				. 01		
tpoints around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	6	6	8	4	5	6
Weighted n for mean:	1238	190	171	174	243	256	151	51	338	280	441	176	589	313	219	112	503	334	227
Mean:	5.46	5.47	5.59	5.51	5.61	5.35	5.28	5.27	5.19	5.57	5.54	5.61	5.47	5.37	5.51	5.60	5.33	5.64	5.54
Std dev:	1.72	1.68	1.56	1.67	1.65	1.77	1.98	1.70	1.62	1.64	1.81	1.72	1.65	1.70	1.80	1.93	1.74	1.59	1.82
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.05	-

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Children Gender Under 18?			Employment Sector				Empl. On/Of: Reserve?		f Employment Type			Level of Community Involvement			
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q25B Importance ofin Unweighted n:	1304	649	37	615	554	750	816	488	136	229	87	329	603	133	506	307	489	350	562	388
Low importance	9%	8%	4 %	11%	11%	7%	8 %	11%	3 %	14%	14%	10%	9%	13%	10%	11%	7%	10%	10%	8 %
Moderate importance	32%	35%	30%	28%	34%	29%	34%	28%	43%	32%	29%	24%	31%	33%	25%	38%	34%	22%	34%	36% ++
High importance	54%	52%	62%	55%	50%	57% ++	53%	55%	50%	50% -	49%	63 % ++++	55%	52%	60% ++++	46%	53%	62% ++++	53%	49%
DK/NR	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	8%	2%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	7%
1 Not at all important	5%	5%	2%	7%	7%	4%	5%	7%	2 %	7%	9%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	5%	8%	4%	5*
2	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3 %	3 %	2%	4 %	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
3	2%	2%	2%	3 %	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%
4 Somewhat important :	18%	18%	18%	19%	20%	16%	19%	18%	21%	18%	18%	13%	17%	18%	15%	19%	21%	14%	19%	21%
5	13%	18%	12%	8%	14%	13%	15%	10%	22%	14%	12%	11%	14%	14%	10%	19%	13%	8%	15%	15%
6	15%	16%	20%	12%	13%	16%	13%	17%	10%	16%	13%	16%	15%	12%	16%	12%	15%	13%	19%	10%
7 Extremely important	39%	36%	42%	43%	37%	41%	40%	38%	40%	34%	35%	47%	40%	40%	45%	34%	38%	50%	34%	39%
Chi ² :		(.05)			.01		. 05		(.01)				-		.01	_		.01		
tpoints around 50%:	1020	4	16 31	4 557	624	602	3	4	8	6	11	5	- 4 	8	4 5 0	6 293	4 493	5 306	4	5
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1238 5.46	644 5.47	5.80	5.43	634 5.30	603 5.63	749 5.50	488 5.40	138 5.60	210 5.24	78 5.18	293 5.63	538 5.50	140 5.29	450 5.58	293 5.27	5.47	5.63	540 5.39	389 5.44
Std dev:	1.72	1.62	1.40	1.84	1.81	1.60	1.65	1.81	1.44	1.82	1.96	1.78	1.70	1.89	1.77	1.73	1.65	1.87	1.65	1.67
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	-	. 05	-	. 05	-	-	.10	.05	-	.10	-	-

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms

	Int	ernet l	Jse	Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of Co Federal Government			ontact (t First Nations Standard of Living			
Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q25B Importance ofin changing Unweighted n: 1304 Low importance 9%	the In 667 8%	10%	205 11%	331 12%	185 6%	253 11%	871 9%	287	412 13%	547 8%	303 7%	321 14%	980	350 12%	347 8%	382 9%	197 9 %
Moderate importance 32%	33%	29%	31%	29%	30%	31%	34%	26%	24%	38% ++++	32%	21%	35%	26% 	31%	36%	36%
High importance 54%	52%	57%	56%	55%	63%	53∜	53%	55%	55%	51%	58%	63%	51%	60%	57%	49%	50%
DK/NR 5%	7% ++	5 %	2%	4%	1%	5%	4%	8 8	7% +++	3 %	4%	1%	6% ++++	3%	5%	6%	6%
1 Not at all important 5%	4%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%	9%	4%	4%	8%	4%	10%	3%	4 %	5%
2 2%	2 %	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3∜	2 %	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
3 2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3∜	3%	2%	1%	28	4 %	2%
4 Somewhat important 18%	20%	14%	20%	18%	16%	14%	20%	15%	13%	22%	19%	11%	21%	12%	16%	24%	22%
5 13%	13%	15%	11%	11%	14%	17%	14%	11%	11%	16%	13%	10%	14%	13%	15%	13%	14%
6 15%	12%	18%	18%	20%	17%	15%	15%	13%	14%	13%	19%	16%	14%	14%	19%	12%	13%
7 Extremely important 39%	41%	38%	39%	35%	45%	38%	38%	43%	42%	38%	39%	48%	37%	46%	38%	36%	37%
Chi ² : ±points around 50 ⁴ : Weighted n for mean: 1238 Mean: 5.46 Std dev: 1.72 Student's t: **	4 639 5.50 1.68	388 5.50 1.72	7 190 5.40 1.75	.10 5 291 5.33 1.79	7 172 5.70 1.61	6 259 5.41 1.79	.01 3 838 5.44 1.67	6 266 5.52 1.83	.01 5 366 5.41 1.94	4 540 5.45 1.61	6 300 5.59 1:57	.01 5 305 5.53 1.92	3 930 5.44 1.65	.05 5 335 5.51 1.91	5 330 5.57 1.58	5 371 5.38 1.65	7 185 5.37 1.70

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms

		Locatio	on	Cluster							
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt			
Q25B Importance of in o	hanging the	Indian Act									
Unweighted n:	1304	1201	103	283	260	198	266	191			
Low importance	98	98	88	9%	4 %	9%	13%	15%			
							+	+++			
Moderate importance	32%	32%	26%	37%	37%	32%	27%	26%			
				++	+						
High importance	54%	54%	58₺	53%	56%	55%	55%	52%			
DK/NR	5%	5%	7%	1%	3 %	4%	5%	68 ++			
1 Not at all important	5%	5%	4%	3%	2%	4%	9%	12%			
2	2%	2%	2%	2%	0.8	3%	3%	2%			
3	2 %	2%	2%	4 %	2%	2%	1%	2%			
4 Somewhat important	18%	19%	13%	20%	23%	19%	15%	15%			
5	13%	13%	14%	17%	14%	14%	12%	11%			
6	15%	14%	16%	17%	14%	16%	14%	10%			
7 Extremely important:	39%	39%	42%	36%	42%	39%	41%	42%			
Chi ½ :		_		.01							
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	10	6	6	7	6	7			
Weighted n for mean:	1238	1159	78	291	266	190	240	180			
Mean:	5.46	5.45	5.64	5.44	5.64	5.48	5.38				
Std dev:	1.72	1.72	1.67	1.57	1.47	1.67	1.91	2.06			
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	_	-	-			

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Democratic Principles

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q25C Importance ofin	changing	the In	dian Act	:															
Unweighted n:	620	89	80	88	90	98	99	76	131	152	248	87	290	131	123	73	259	169	111
Low importance	6%	3%	10%	10%	6%	2%	4 %	8 %	8%	2%	5 %	8 %	7%	3%	5 %	7%	6%	6%	4 %
Moderate importance	38%	39%	36%	46%	35%	38%	33%	38%	52 %	39%	31%	25%	40%	42%	33%	24%	40%	38%	34%
High importance	51%	50%	52%	37 %	57%	51%	57%	50%	38%	53%	57%	56%	45%	50%	59 % ∔	68%	49%	51%	61%
DK/NR	6%	8%	3%	7%	3%	8%	6%	4 %	3%	5%	7%	11%	8 % ++	5 %	3 %	2%	6%	6%	1%
1 Not at all important	3 %	2%	7%	4 %	3%	2%	3%	0%	5%	1%	2%	5 %	3 %	2%	2%	5 %	4%	1%	3%
2	1%	1%	0%	28	0%	0%	0%	3 %	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
3	2%	0%	2%	4 %	3₹.	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	2%	3 %	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%
4 Somewhat important	23%	21%	19%	27%	27%	23%	20%	24%	35%	19%	18%	17%	. 23%	30%	16%	17%	25%	21%	19%
5	15%	17%	17%	20%	8%	16%	14%	14%	16%	20%	13%	9%	17%	12%	17%	7%	15%	17%	15%
6	12%	10%	22%	6%	8 %	12%	14%	16%	15%	14%	12%	3%	10%	11%	15%	18%	10%	16%	12%
7 Extremely important	39%	40%	30%	31%	49%	39%	43%	35∜	23%	40%	45%	53%	35%	39%	44%	50%	38%	35∜	48%
Chi ² :		-							(.01)				(.05)				-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	10	11	10	10	10	10	11	173	8	107	11	6	127	9 108	11	6	153	100
Weighted n for mean:	585 5.52	84 5.63	80 5.30	86 5.12	105 5.64	129 5.64	78 5.71	23 5.45	173 4.99	132 5.72	197 5.76	80 5.73	278 5.39	137 5.50	5.74	60 5.78	253 5.46	152 5.51	109 5.77
Mean: Std dev:	1.55	1.47	1.70	1.64	1.60	1.42	1.51	1.48	1.57	1.32	1.50	1.76	1.58	1.51	1.45	1.66	1.59	1.46	1.48
Student's t:	*		-	.05	-			·	.01	. 10	.01	-	.10		.10		-	-	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Democratic Principles

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	ıder	Child Under		Emp	loymen	t Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off rve?		yment	Туре		of Com	nmunity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q25C Importance ofin Unweighted n: Low importance	changing 620 6%	g the 314 4%	Indian 14 0%	291 7%	247 6%	373 5%	380 6%	240 5%	59 2%	115 6%	44 7%	147	279 5%	59 3%	233	148 5%	239 7%	164 5%	262 6%	192 6%
Moderate importance	38%	38%	37%	37 %	41%	35%	40%	35%	51%	38%	27%	34%	38%	40%	32%	45%	40%	35%	35%	44%
High importance	51%	53%	49%	49%	48%	53%	48%	54%	43%	50%	50%	62%	53%	55%	58 %	46%	47%	5 7%	55%	41%
DK/NR	6%	5%	14%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	16 % ++++	1%	4%	2%	6%	4 %	7%	2%	5%	9 % +++
1 Not at all important	3 %	2%	0%	4 %	4 %	2 %	3%	4 %	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	5%	2%	4 %	3%
2	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
3	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4 %	3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%
4 Somewhat important	23%	21%	31%	25%	23%	23%	24%	21%	23%	22%	11%	20%	20%	23%	16%	24%	28%	23%	17%	30%
5	15%	17%	6%	12%	18%	12%	16%	14%	28%	16%	16%	14%	18%	17%	15%	21%	12%	12%	18%	13%
6	12%	13%	10%	11%	10%	14%	13%	11%	9%	17%	10%	15%	14%	15%	17%	10%	9%	15%	13%	8%
7 Extremely important	39%	40%	39%	37%	38%	39%	35%	43%	34%	32%	39%	47%	39%	40%	41%	36%	38%	42%	42%	33%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4 585 5. 52	6 307 5.62	26 10 5.66	6 266 5.39	6 282 5.44	5 303 5.59	5 349 5.44	6 236 5.62	(.01) 13 59 5.49	9 107 5.43	15 35 5.68	8 133 5.78	- 6 250 5.59	13 64 5.63	.10 6 204 5.75	8 143 5.44	6 238 5.36		6 246 5.63	7 189 5.28
Std dev: Student's t:	1.55 *	1.46	1.43	1.66	1.61	1.50	1.53	1.58	1.32	1.51	1.60	1.45 .10	1.46	1.41	1.42	1.49	1.68 .10	1.49	1.55	1.58

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Democratic Principles

		Int	ernet U	lse	Inte	net Ac	cess	Econ. Next 12			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na andard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q25C Importance ofin Unweighted n: Low importance	changing 620 6%	the In 317 6%	dian Ac 193 4%	95 6%	158 5 %	87 4%	115 4%	426 6¥	129 6%	194 5%	276 5%	126 6%	150 6%	469 5%	161 6%	158	187 7%	100 8%
Moderate importance	38%	37%	43%	32%	32%	27%	50%	42%	27%	31%	46%	34%	27%	42%	29%	40%	44%	42%
High importance	51%	48%	51 %	62%	60₩	67%	44%	49%	57%	54%	47%	54%	64%	46%	61%	54%	42%	46%
DK/NR	6%	9%	2%	0%	2%	** 2*	3%	3%	11%	9%	3%	6%	2%	7 %	4%	5%	7%	4 %
1 Not at all important	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%		3%	2%	3%	3 %	3%	3%	3 %	1%	5%	4 %
2	1%	1%	08	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%
3	2%	2 %	2%	0%	2%.	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3 %	2%	2 %	3 %	1%	2%	2%
4 Somewhat important	23%	22%	23%	25%	21%	15%	27%	24%	19%	19%	29%	18%	22%	23%	17%	20%	29%	28%
5	15%	14%	21%	7%	11%	12%	22%	18%	8%	12%	17%	17%	6%	18%	12%	20%	15%	14%
6	12%	8 %	13%	20%	19%	26%	11%	13%	98	16%	9%	14%	14%	11%	15%	12%	9%	12%
7 Extremely important	39%	39%	38%	41%	41%	40%	33%	36%	47%	39%	38%	40%	50%	35∜	45%	43%	33%	33%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	585 5.52 1.55	6 298 5.49 1.61	7 189 5.56 1.41	10 89 5.56 1.62	(.05) 8 143 5.63 1.54	11 76 5.80 1.38	9 117 5.38 1.47 .10	.01 5 406 5.44 1.51	9 119 5.74 1.64 .10	.01 7 172 5.63 1.53	6 269 5.41 1.54	9 124 5.63 1.51	.01 8 145 5.75 1.60	5 438 5.43 1.53 .05	(.05) 8 156 5.73 1.53	8 155 5.76 1.31	7 178 5.23 1.67	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Democratic Principles

		Locati	on			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q25C Importance ofin	hanging the	Indian Act						
Unweighted n:	620	571	49	135	130	93	127	93
Low importance	6%	6%	5%	2%	5%	10%	4%	12%
		250				+	220	+++
Moderate importance	38%	37%	46%	50%	41%	35%	33%	29%
High importance	51%	51%	46%	+++ 47%	51%	49%	61%	51%
mportance	210	310	400	4,0	510	1,50	++	310
DK/NR	6%	68	3%	2%	3%	7%	1%	9%
								+++
1 Not at all important	3%	3%	5%	2%	3 %	3 %	2%	8%
2	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
2	1.8	14	018	0.8	0.8	1.2	1 6	2 8
3	2%	2%	0%	. 0%	2%	5%	2%	2%
4 Somewhat important	23%	23%	22%	27%	22%	24%	21%	20%
_								
5	15%	14%	24%	23%	19%	11%	12%	9%
6	12%	12%	11%	10%	14%	10%	16%	11%
7 February 3 - American	39%	39%	35%	37%	37%	39%	45%	40%
7 Extremely important	398	398	358	3/16	3/16	398	45*	4016
Chi2:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	14	8	9	10	9	10
Weighted n for mean:	585	545	40	144	127	86	119	86
Mean:	5.52	5.53	5.38	5.50	5.53	5.39	5.74	5.31
Std dev:	1.55	1.55	1.61	1.39	1.48	1.68	1.44	1.93
Student's t:	*	-	-	-		-	.10	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Aboriginal values and t

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q25C Importance ofin	changing	the In	dian Ac																
Unweighted n:	684	106	92	94	109	88	97	98	124	169	293 6%	96 7 %	304	177	134	66	264 7%	196	125
Low importance	5%	4 %	5%	10%	9%	3%	2 %	3%	3%	6%	6.8	78	6%	6%	4%	4%	/*	4 %	2%
Moderate importance	28%	29%	21%	35%	36%	20%	31%	27%	37%	24%	26%	26%	30%	33%	25%	13%	31%	26%	30%
7			-		++				+++					+					
High importance	64%	66%	72%	51%	54%	74%	67%	65%	56%	68%	67%	65%	62%	60%	69%	82%	60%	69%	66%
DK/NR	2 %	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	0%	4 %	48	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
2.1,																			
1 Not at all important	2%	1%	3%	4 %	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2 %	4 %	3%	2%	2 %	1%	4%	3%	2 %	2 %
2	1%	2 %	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2 %	1%	0%
2																			
3	2%	1%	2 %	6%	5%,	0%	0%	1%	2%	2 %	2 %	4 %	3%	2 %	2%	0%	3 %	2 %	1%
4 Somewhat important	16%	11%	14%	23%	24%	98	15%	12%	20%	12%	14%	17%	18%	18%	8%	10%	19%	12%	15%
1 bomewhat Important	100																		
5	13%	17%	7%	13%	12%	11%	16%	15%	17%	12%	12%	98	11%	16%	17%	3 %	12%	14%	15%
6	13%	14%	11%	11%	10%	18%	15%	12%	15%	19%	9%	13%	10%	19%	15%	12%	10%	19%	13%
•	130	140	110	110	100	100	13.	12.5		170	, ,	-51							
7 Extremely important	51%	52%	61%	41%	44%	55%	52%	53%	42%	49%	58%	52%	52%	41%	54%	70%	50%	50%	52 %
Chi ² :		(.05)							_				_						
±points around 50%:	4	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	8	6	10	6	7	8	12	6	7	9
Weighted n for mean:	668	114	94	89	135	128	77	31	172	146	249	101	317	182	112	54	266	187	115
Mean:	5.85	5.97	6.06	5.41	5.50	6.15	5.97	6.00	5.73	5.90	5.92	5.80	5.80	5.68	6.06	6.31	5.69	5.94	5.98
Std dev: Student's t:	1.49	1.35	1.49	1.69	1.64 .05	1.28	1.33	1.36	1.32	1.46	1.58	1.57	1.54	1.46	1.31	1.40	1.60	1.37	1.34
Scudent s t:		-	_	. 01	. 0 3	. 05								0	0		- 00		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Aboriginal values and t

	_	Moth	er Ton	gue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect		Empl. Rese			yment	туре		of Com	mmunity
י	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
Q25C Importance ofin ch Unweighted n: Low importance	hanging 684 5%	g the 335 4%	Indian 23 6%	Act 324 7%	307 5%	377 6%	436 5%	248 6%	77 5%	114	43	182 5%	324 5%	74 1%	273 5%	159 4%	250 7%	186 5%	300 5%	196 7%
Moderate importance	28%	27%	24%	30%	31%	25%	28%	29%	33%	36%	29%	24%	27%	37%	27%	32%	28%	20%	29%	35%
High importance	64%	66%	70%	60%	63%	65%	64%	63%	61%	58 %	71%	69%	66%	62%	67%	61%	63%	75%	65%	++ 54%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	3 %	1%	4 %	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3 %	0%	2%	3 %	2%	1%	2%	5%
1 Not at all important	2%	1%	0%	4 %	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	+++ 3%
2	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
3	2%	1%	6%	3%	1%	3₹	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%
4 Somewhat important	16%	14%	15%	18%	17%	14%	17%	14%	22%	19%	5%	10%	14%	9%	13%	16%	18%	12%	13%	23%
5	13%	14%	9%	12%	14%	11%	11%	15%	12%	16%	23%	14%	12%	28%	14%	16%	10%	8%	16%	12%
6	13%	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	15%	11%	7%	15%	11%	14%	13%	13%	11%	14%	15%	11%	16%	10%
7 Extremely important	51%	52%	60%	49%	50%	52%	50%	53%	53%	43%	61%	54%	53%	49%	56%	47%	48%	64%	49%	43%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	4 668	- 5 345	20 21	5 300	.10 6 362	5 306	- 5 406	6 263	11 81	9 106	15 42	7 161	- 5 295	11 78	- 6 249	8 154	6 263	(.01) 7 167	6 2 98	7 200
Mean: Mean: Std dev:	5.85 1.49	5.95	6.05 1.37	5.71	5.82	5.89	5.84	5.86 1.50	5.79	5.66	6.26 1.01	5.99	5.92 1.45	5.96 1.20	5.98 1.42	5.80	5.74 1.56	6.13	5.89	5.56 1.62
Student's t:	*	.10	1.37	.05	1.49	1.49	1.48	1.50	1.52	.10	.05	1.46	1.45	1.20	.10	1.47	1.56	.01	1.38	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Aboriginal values and t

		Int	ernet U	ise	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance l Gover		ontact Last 3			irst Nat		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q25C Importance ofin ch Unweighted n: Low importance	hanging 684 5%	the In 350 7%	dian Ac 214 4%	110 3%	173 4%	98 1%	138 4%	445 5%	158 7%	218 7%	271 3%	177 5%	171 7%	511 5%	189 4%	189 5%	195 8%	97 4%
Moderate importance	28%	*+ 32% +	25%	25%	26%	23%	22%	30%	25%	+ 15% 	36 % ++++	33%	17%	32%	14%	28%	36% +++	38%
High importance	64%	60% 	67%	71%	66%	76%	70%	64%	65%	76 % ++++	58%	59 % -	75 % ++++	61%	80% ++++	64%	54%	55 %
DK/NR	2%	2%	4 %	1%	4%	0%	3%	2%	3*	2%	2%	3*	2%	3 %	2%	3%	2%	3 %
1 Not at all important	2%	4 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3 %	2%	3∜	0%
2	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%
3	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%.	0%	2%	2*	1%	3*	1%	3*	1%	2%	1%	2%	3∜	4%
4 Somewhat important	16%	19%	15%	8%	12%	8%	13%	15%	18%	7%	19%	20%	7%	18%	8%	14%	23%	18%
5	13%	13%	11%	17%	14%	15%	9%	15%	7%	7%	17%	13%	9%	14%	6%	15%	14%	20%
6	13%	10%	16%	18%	16%	18%	17%	14%	10%	10%	12%	18%	18%	12%	14%	14%	10%	13%
7 Extremely important	51%	50%	50%	53%	50%	58%	53∜	49%	55∜	66%	47%	41%	57%	49%	65%	50%	43%	42%
Chi [‡] : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4 668 5.85	5 350 5.70	7 206 5.94	9 103 6.09	- 7 151 5.96	10 96 6.23	8 146 6.02	- 5 439 5.87	8 151 5.81	(.01) 7 201 6.15	6 275 5.76	7 174 5.70	(.01) 7 158 6.03	4 509 5.79	(.01) 7 179 6.25	7 179 5.88	7 200 5.51	10 99 5.73
Std dev: Student's t:	1.49	1.61	1.38	1.19	1.35	1.07	1.35	1.41	1.70	1.52 .01	1.43	1.39	1.54 .10	1.47	1.38	1.44	1.63	1.31

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Aboriginal values and t

		Location	on			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q25C Importance ofin c	hanging the	Indian Act						
Unweighted n:	684	630	54	148	130	105	139	98
Low importance	5%	5%	4 %	2%	1%	13%	3 %	11%
		201				++++	0.40	+++
Moderate importance	28%	29%	22%	32%	33%	37%	26%	15%
High importance	64%	63%	74%	66%	65%	+ 48%	71%	69%
mar (200							+	
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	5%
1 Not at all important	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	5%	1%	+++ 6%
I not at all important	20				0.0	3.0		
2	1%	1%	2%	0 %	0%	4 %	0 %	2 %
3	2 %	2%	0%	. 2%	0%	5%	2 %	3 %
4 Somewhat important	16%	16%	6%	18%	16%	22%	11%	13%
5	13%	12%	16%	14%	17%	15%	15%	2%
6	13%	12%	24%	18%	12%	14%	11%	12%
7 Extremely important	51%	51%	50%	48%	53%	34%	59%	57%
Chi²:		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	13	8	9	10	8	10
Weighted n for meah:	668	625	43	149	140	104	130	93
Mean:	5.85	5.83	6.04	5.92	6.00		6.12	
Std dev:	1.49	1.50	1.31	1.23	1.24	1.75	1.25	1.85
Student's t:	*	_	-	_		.01	.01	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Pı	covince					Age	Group			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	A1ta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q25D Importance ofin												100	504	200	0.53	139	523	365	226
Unweighted n: Low importance	1304 4%	195 4%	172 7%	182 7%	199 2%	186 2%	196 3%	174 3%	255 5%	321 4%	541 4%	183 1%	594 3%	308 4%	257 5%	139 5%	523 4%	365 48	236 5%
Moderate importance	26%	25%	25%	++ 29%	29%	24%	26%	27%	32%	25%	23%	25%	31%	26%	19%	15%	30%	24%	20%
High importance	67%	68%	68%	60%	67%	70%	68%	68%	60%	68%	71%	68%	62%	68%	74%	78 %	62%	71%	74%
DK/NR	3 %	3%	1%	4 %	3 %	4%	3 %	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4 %	2%	2%	3%	4 %	1*	1%
1 Not at all important	1%	1%	4%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	18	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
2	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	28	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1 %
3	1%	2%	2%	4 %	1%,	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2 %	1%	1%	2%
4 Somewhat important	16%	16%	17%	17%	19%	13%	16%	13%	20%	15%	14%	15%	21%	14%	9%	12%	19%	13%	12%
5	10%	9%	8%	12%	10%	10%	10%	13%	12%	10%	9%	10%	10%	12%	10%	4 %	11%	11%	98
6	16%	18%	15%	14%	98	23%	13%	19%	21%	16%	11%	18%	15%	18%	19%	12%	14%	18%	18%
7 Extremely important	51%	50%	52%	46%	58%	47%	55%	49%	40%	52%	60%	50%	48%	50%	56%	66%	48%	53%	56%
Chi ² :		_							.05				(.01)				.01		
<pre>tpoints around 50%: Weighted n for mean:</pre>	3 1268 5.93 1.40	7 202 5.94 1.36	7 178 5.81 1.61	7 176 5.74 1.50 .10	7 238 6.03 1.33	7 264 6.00 1.29	7 154 6.01 1.38	7 55 5.96 1.31	6 347 5.67 1.44 .01	5 282 5.95 1.40	4 454 6.07 1.41 .01	7 182 6.05 1.25	4 606 5.84 1.40 .05	322 5.92 1.40	6 222 6.09 1.35 .10	8 112 6.21 1.40 .05	517 5.83 1.42 .05	5 346 6.01 1.38	6 226 6.05 1.41

		Moti	ner Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		yment	туре	Level Inv	of Com	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q25D Importance ofin Unweighted n: Low importance		 ng the 649 3%	Indian 37 0%	Act 615 4%	554 4%	750 3%	816 4%	488	136 1%	229 4%	87 5%	329 5%	603	133	506 4%	307	489	350	562	388
Moderate importance	26%	26%	37%	26%	29%	24%	27%	24%	36%	29%	24%	16%	25%	24%	21%	30%	29%	18%	27%	31%
High importance	67%	68%	58%	66%	65%	69%	66%	69%	++++ 62%	65%	67%	77%	698	70%	73%	63%	64%	74%	698	+++ 60%
DK/NR	3 %	28	5%	3 %	2%	4%	3%	3 %	1%	3 %	4%	++++ 2%	2 %	2%	++++ 2%	2 %	- 4%	+++ 2%	2%	5% +++
1 Not at all important	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	18
2	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	2%	0%	2 %	1%	1%
3	1%	1%	0%	2 %	1%	2 ै	2%	1%	1%	1%	3 %	2 %	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2 %	1%	19
4 Somewhat important	16%	15%	35%	16%	17%	15%	17%	14%	27%	16%	7%	10%	15%	17%	13%	18%	18%	13%	15%	20%
5	10%	11%	2%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	98	12%	18%	6%	11%	7%	88	12%	11%	5%	12%	12%
6	16%	18%	16%	14%	19%	13%	16%	16%	19%	16%	15%	16%	16%	21%	17%	16%	15%	14%	19%	13%
7 Extremely important	51%	50%	42%	53%	46%	56%	50%	53%	43%	49%	52%	61%	54%	49%	56%	48%	49%	60%	50%	47%
Chi ² :		-			. 05		_		(.01)				-		. 05			. 01		
tpoints around 50%:	1000	660	16	- 4	650	<u>4</u>	3	4	8	6	11	5	- 4	8	4	6	- 4	5	4	2.00
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1268 5.93	660 5.95	31 5.70	572 5.93	650 5.83	618 6.04	767 5.88	501 6.02	142 5.75	215 5.87	81 6.04	294 6.15	553 6.01	140 5.92	460 6.07	301 5.84	505 5.86	316 6.08	552 5.96	398 5.79
Std dev:	1.40	1.36	1.38	1.46	1.42	1.37	1.43	1.35	1.34	1.42	1.31	1.36	1.33	1.40	1.34	1.40	1.44	1.43	1.33	1.45
Student's t:	*				. 01	.01	.10		7.05			.01			.01			.05		. 05

	Int	ernet l	Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E Next 12			ormance l Gover		Contact 1			irst Na ndard o		ıg
Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q25D Importance ofin changir Unweighted n: 1304 Low importance 4%	667	107 5%	205 4%	331 5%	185 6%	253 4%	871 4%	287 4%	412	547 5%	303 5 %	321 5%	980 3%	350 4%	347 3%	382 5%	197 3%
Moderate importance 26%		21%	21%	19%	17%	23%		21%	15%	32%	31%	17%	29%	17%	23%	34%	33%
High importance 67%	62%	72%	75%	74%	77%	71%	67 %	70%	79%	62%	62%	77%	64%	77%	72%	57%	61%
DK/NR 3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%		5%	4 %	2%	3 %	1%	4%	++++ 2%	2%	4%	3 %
1 Not at all important 19	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
2 19	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	1%
3 19	1%	2%	0%	1%、	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	1%
4 Somewhat important 16%	20%	14%	10%	10%	98	14%	16%	13%	9%	21%	17%	11%	18%	12%	13%	23%	17%
5 10%	12%	8%	11%	98	8%	8%	11%	8%	6%	11%	13%	6%	11%	6%	10%	11%	17%
6 168	13%	21%	18%	23%	15%	19%	17%	12%	16%	15%	18%	16%	16%	13%	16%	18%	17%
7 Extremely important 519	49%	51%	57%	52%	62%	52%	50%	58%	62%	47%	44%	61%	48%	63%	56%	39%	44%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: 3 Weighted n for mean: 1266 Mean: 5.93 Std dev: 1.40	660 5.85	- 5 397 5.97 1.41	7 192 6.11 1.34	- 5 298 6.00 1.43	7 172 6.15 1.38	6 263 5.99 1.39	851 5.91	6 275 6.08 1.41	.01 5 380 6.30 1.18	4 548 5.74 1.50	6 303 5.78 1.41	.01 5 307 6.12 1.43	3 958 5.87 1.39	.01 5 338 6.19 1.37	5 341 6.07 1.33	5 379 5.63 1.46	7 190 5.80 1.37
Student's t:	.05	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.10	.01	.01	: 05	.01	.01	. 01	. 05	.01	-

		Locati	on			Cluster		
	#= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q25D Importance ofin	changing the	Indian Act						
Unweighted n:	1304	1201	103	283	260	198	266	191
Low importance	4%	4%	7%	3%	5%	4%	4%	48
			+					
Moderate importance	26%	26%	23%	34%	26%	30%	21%	16%
High importance	67%	67%	69%	++++ 61%	66%	63%	75%	77%
High importance	6/6	6 / 16	031	914	061	631	/ ⊃ t	//6 +++
DK/NR	3 %	3%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
2.0,	3.0	3.	•	2.0	5.0	20	-	3.
1 Not at all important	1 %	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4 %
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%
3	1%	1%	3%	. 0%	2%	2%	1%	0%
4 Somewhat important	16%	16%	14%	18%	17%	20%	13%	12%
5	10%	10%	9%	16%	9%	11%	8%	3%
6	16%	15%	24%	17%	15%	16%	17%	17%
7 Extremely important	51%	52%	45%	44%	51%	47%	58%	60%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	3	10	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1268	1184	84	289	267	193	249	186
Mean:	5.93	5.94	5.79	5.78	5.88	5.82	6.09	6.13
Std dev:	1.40	1.39	1.54	1.38	1.45	1.40	1.34	1.48
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 05	~		. 05	. 05

					Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q28A	Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Yes	onweighted in:	82%	89%	78%	69%	71%	88%	92%	90%	78%	84%	85% +++	76%	72%	88%	948	95%	77%	86%	92%
No		18%	11%	22%	31%	28%	12%	7%	98	22%	15%	15%	24%	28%	12%	68	5%	22%	13%	8%
DK/NR		0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi:		(.01)						+	(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

			Moth	er Tor	ngue	Ger	der .	Child Under		Emj	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	-	Emplo Other	Not Emplo		Some	Rare/ Never
Q28A																					
	Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Yes	3	82%	87%	80%	76%	78%	85%	82%	80%	70%	85%	77%	91%	84%	79%	86%	80%	79%	89%	85%	71%
			++++				+++					-	++++			+++			++++	+++	
No		18%	13%	19%	24%	22%	15%	17%	20%	30%	15%	22%	98	16%	21%	14%	20%	21%	11%	15%	28%
					++++	+++				++++		+						++			++++
DK/NR		0%	0 %	2 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
				++								++									
	Chi ² :		(.01)			(.01)		-		(.01)				-		(.05)			(.01)		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

			Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	ccess	Econ. Next 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3	,	-	irst Na indard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q28A																			
	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Yes	_	82%	73%	89%	93%	94%	93%	88%	83%	82%	85%	82%	77%	92%	78%	88%	89%	72%	79%
						++					+			++++		++++	++++		
No		18%	27%	11%	7%	68	7%	12%	17%	18%	15%	17%	23%	8%	21%	12%	10%	28%	20%
			++++					+++			-		+++		++++			++++	
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	€0	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
																			++
	Chi2:			-		(.10)			-		(.10)			(.01)		(.01)			
±poi	ints around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5 5	3	5	5	5	7

			Locat	ion			Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q28A	•								
	Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Yes	-	82%	81%	91%	88%	75%	77%	88%	81%
				+++	+++			+++	
No		18%	19%	9%	12%	25%	22%	12%	19%
			+++			++++	++		
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.0	0%	0 %
	Chi2:		(.05)		(.01)				
±po	ints around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

					P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
Q28B		-					-													
	Unweighted n:	1190	185	156	142	156	178	189	184	232	303	494	159	478	304	264	140	459	347	234
Yes		52%	53%	52%	45%	43%	58%	56%	60%	52%	55%	56%	38%	40%	56%	61%	74%	45%	59%	63%
					-		+		++			++			+	+++	++++		++	++++
No		47%	47%	47%	55%	56%	42%	44%	40%	48%	45%	43%	62%	60%	43%	38%	26%	55%	41%	37%
					++	++	-		-				++++	++++	_			++++		
DK/NR		0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
				+		++														
	Chi²:		(.05)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)	-	
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	4	8	4	6	6	8	5	5	6

			Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	nder .	Child Under		Emj	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			yment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	-	Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
Q28B																					
	Unweighted n:	1190	614	33	541	488	702	741	449	111	214	79	323	573	113	475	278	434	341	533	312
Yes		52%	55%	58%	49%	50%	55%	54%	49%	43%	52%	48%	70%	58%	56₺	60%	53%	44%	68%	54%	35%
			+			_	+	+	-				++++			++++			++++		
No		47%	45%	42%	50%	50%	45%	45%	51%	57%	48%	52%	29%	41%	43%	40%	46%	55%	31%	46%	64%
			_		+				++	++++	++	++						++++			++++
DK/NR	:	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	1%	0%	1 %	0%	1%	0%	1%
																	++			-	
	Chi ² :		-			-		-		(.01)				-		(.01)			(.01)		
± po i	ints around 50%:	3	4	17	4	4	4	4	5	9	7	11	5	4	9	4	6	5	5	4	6

Have you ever participated in any of the activities associated with National Aboriginal Day?

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact (•		irst Na ndard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	l Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
Q28B																	-		
	Unweighted n:	1190	549	408	208	336	195	255	809	254	378	507	274	319	868	329	333	326	180
Yes	_	52%	41%	62%	65%	64%	73%	62%	54%	52%	59%	44%	58%	69%	46%	62%	49%	47%	49%
							++				+++		++	++++		++++			
No		47%	59%	38%	35%	36%	27%	38%	46%	48%	41%	55%	41%	31%	54%	38%	50%	53%	51%
			++++									++++			++++			++	
DK/NR		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
																	++		
	Chi²:			-		(.10)			_		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)			
± po.	ints around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	6	5	3	5	5	5	7

			Locat	ion			Cluster	×	
	<u> </u>	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q28B	-								
	Unweighted n:	1190	1084	106	276	235	171	253	163
Yes		52%	52%	56%	47%	52%	51%	61%	52%
								+++	
No		47%	48%	44%	52%	47%	49%	39%	48%
					++				
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0 %
	Chi²:		_		-				
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	3	10	6	6	7	6	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

					Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
NET1	Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Yes	omergined in	52%	54%	53%	46%	34%	60%	60%	73%	60%	58%	53%	24%	34%	63%	75% ++++	76% ++++	36%	63%	77% ++++
No		48%	46%	47%	54%	66%	40%	40%	27%	40%	42%	47%	76%	66%	37%	25%	24%	64%	37%	23%
DK/NR		0 %	0%	0 %	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	*+++	0%	0%
	Chi2:		.01							.01				.01				.01	*	
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	. 8	4	5	6

			Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der .	Child Under		Emp	loyme	nt Sect		Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Com olveme	mmunity
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
NET1																					
	Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Yes		52%	58%	56%	45%	50%	54%	57%	43%	40%	54%	52%	75%	59%	61%	64%	51%	42%	63%	55%	39%
			++++					++++					++++			++++			++++	++	
No		48%	42%	44%	55%	50%	46%	43%	57%	60%	46%	48%	25%	41%	39%	36%	49%	58%	37%	45%	61%
110		100			++++	5			++++	++++	++							++++			++++
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi?:		.01			_		.01		.01				-		.01			.01		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	. 8	4	5	4	5	4	5

			Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			irst Na andard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
NET1																			
	Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
Yes		52%	22%	79%	94%	98%	93%	71%	57%	41%	60%	48%	49%	68%	47%	58%	59%	44%	45%
					++++	++++	+++		++++		++++			++++		+++	++++		
No		48%	78%	21%	6%	2 %	7%	29%	43%	59%	40%	52%	51%	32%	53%	42%	41%	56%	55%
			++++	++++				++++		++++		++			++++			++++	++
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi ² :			.01		.01			.01		.01			.01		.01			
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

		_	Locat	ion	•		Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NET1	-								
	Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Yes		52%	51%	58%	58%	51%	48%	59%	43%
					++			++	
No	1	48%	49%	42%	42%	49%	52%	41%	5 7 %
									+++
DK/NR	:	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi2:		_		.01				
±po:	ints around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	. 7	6	7

					P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
NET2																				
	Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Yes		48%	51%	48%	39%	38%	54%	57%	62%	69%	53₺	44%	14%	31%	61%	68%	73%	35%	55%	69%
							+	+++	++++	++++	+				++++	++++	++++		++++	++++
No		52%	498	52%	61%	62%	468	43%	38%	31%	47%	56%	85%	69%	39%	32%	27%	65%	448	31%
		3			+++	++++	-				-	+++	++++	++++				++++		
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
					++								+							
	Chi2:		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
±poin	ts around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

			Moth	er Tor	ngue	Gen	der .	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	munity ent
	÷	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off	-	Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very		Rare/ Never
NET2																					
Yes	Unweighted n:	1427 48%	698 56%	39 54%	687 40%	609 45%	818 51%	887 52%	540 43%	151 39%	250 52%	97 5 1 %	353 67%	663 55 %	140 59%	545 58%	339 51%	540 38%		612 52%	433 39%
No		52%	++++ 44%	46%	60%	55 %	++ 49%	48%	57%	61%	48%	49%	**** 33%	45%	41%	42%	48%	61%	448	++ 48%	61%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi²:		(.01)			(.10)		(.01)		(.01)				-		(.01)			(.01)		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

			Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1:			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			First Na andard o		ng
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
NET2													240	245				421	226
Yes	Unweighted n:	1427 48%	730 0%	447 100%	223 100%	357 100%	203 100%	285 100%	954 54 %	308 39%	438 55% +++	599 46%	348 45%	345 65% ++++	1079 43%	372 56%	368 53%	431	226 39%
No		52%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		61%	45%	54%	55%		57 %	44%	47%	57 %	60 %
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Chi2:								(.01)		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)			•
+poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

			Locat	ion			Cluster	2,-	
	_	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NET2									
	Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Yes		48%	48%	59%	52%	51%	42%	57%	41%
				++				+++	
No		52%	52%	41%	48%	49%	58%	43%	59%
	1		++				+++		++
DK/NR	•	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%
	Chi ² :		-		(.05)				
±po:	ints around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7 ·

				Pr	ovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
NET3													100	100	100	107	204	221	176
Unweighted n:	695	103	90	74	80	107	117	124	202 38%	192 53%	264 54%	36 71%	199 40%	199 46%	190 59%	107 52%	204 37%	221 50%	62%
At home	48%	51%	40%	26%	35%	57%	61%	68%	38 €		54 f	116	406	401	++++	226	3/6	30%	++++
• • • •	2.00	35%	34%	27%	24%	24%	+++ 28%	++++ 21%	22%	+ 29%	35%	33%	13%	31%	34%	47%	19%	32%	42%
At work	28%	358	34 6	2/16	246	240	201	218	220	230	+++	330	136	31.0	746	++++		320	++++
At school	18%	13%	35%	19%	25%	15%	5%	10%	28%	13%	10%	4 %	20%	22%	14%	12%	23%	12%	17%
At school	10.	134	++++	170	230	130			++++				200	+			++	3	
At friends/family	19%	19%	14%	21%	25%	18%	14%	23%	27%	17%	11%	12%	26%	18%	14%	12%	24%	16%	9%
At IIIends/Iamily	170	17.	110	210	+	100			++++				+++		-	-	++++		
At the band office	5%	3%	68	12%	88	3%	1%	5%	4 %	68	6%	1%	8%	4 %	5%	2 %	7%	3%	3%
	-	-		+++			_						+++				++		
At a community centre o	9%	17%	5%	6%	4 %	14%	7%	4 %	12%	98	7%	3%	12%	12%	6%	3 %	12%	12%	2 %
r library on the reserv		+++			-,	++			++					+	-			++	
Somewhere elsewhere on	2%	1%	4 %	0%	1%	3₺	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	5%	5%	28	1%	1%	4 %	0%	2 %
the reserve							+			-			++				++		
At a community centre o	2 %	4 %	2%	1%	1%	3₺	2%	2%	1%	3 %	3%	0%	3%	3 %	1%	2%	2%	2%	4 %
r library off of the																			+
Do not have access anyw	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
here											- 4								
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	2 %	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
				++					(01)				(01)				(01)		
Chi?:		(.01)					9	9	(.01)	7	6	16	(.01) 7	7	7	9	(.01)	7	7
±points around 50%:	4	10	10	11	11	9	9	9	,	,	0	10	,	,	,	9	,	,	,

		Moth	er Tor	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	ploymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Cor	munity
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
NET3		200				410	465			120	45	220	266		315	170	210	212	315	167
Unweighted n: At home	695 48%	392 49%	21 44%	282 47%	276 49%	419 47%	465 49%	230 47%	55 40%	130 50%	45 448	238 52%	366 45%	81 61%	52%	43%	210 46%	212 52%	52%	167 37%
At work	28%	30%	50%	24%	24%	32%	30%	24%	8%	32%	18%	49%	37%	33%	42%	25%	12%	40%	++ 26%	19%
At school	18%	17%	5%	20%	17%	++ 19*	19%	15%	29%	15%	20%	11%	17%	9%	11%	21%	24%	15%	17%	21%
At friends/family	19%	19%	7%	19%	20%	18%	17%	23%	+++ 21%	14%	34%	11%	14%	18%	10%	25%	+++ 25%	15%	16%	28%
At the band office	5%	4 %	0%	7%	6%	4%	4%	+ 7%	4 %	4%	11%	5%	5%	3%	4%	++ 7%	+++ 6%	6%	4%	++++ 78
At a community centre o	9%	11%	17%	++ 7%	11%	8%	8%	12%	16%	9%	21%	3₺	8%	88	- 5%	15%	10%	8%	9%	11%
r library on the reserv Somewhere elsewhere on the reserve	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	28	3%	1%	++ 5%	0%	4*	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%
At a community centre or library off of the	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	5%	3∜	28	1%	2%	3%	2 %	4 %	2 %	2%
Do not have access anyw here	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Chi?: ±points around 50%:	4	- 5	21	6	- 6	5	(.10) 5	6	(.01)	9	15	6	- 5	11	(.01) 6	8	7	(.01)	6	8

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inter	net Ac	cess	Econ. E			ormance 1 Gover		Last 3			irst Na ndard o		3
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same !	Better
NET3																		
Unweighted n:	695	0	447	223	357	203	285 17%	516 48%	118 50%	245 52%	276 44%	159 50%	223 60%	470 42%	211 52%	197 47%	179 44%	94 50%
At home	48%	0%	35%	73%	100%	41%	1/6	48 6	506	521	446	50%	++++	428	528	4/8	448	30%
At work	28%	0%	28%	29%	24%	100%	11%	28%	31%	28%	28%	27%	36%	24%	37%	33%	18%	17%
						++++							+++		++++	+		
At school	18%	0 %	18%	19%	8%	8%	40%	17%	20%	15%	20%	19%	11%	21%	16%	13%	26%	16%
							++++							+++			+++	
At friends/family	19%	0%	23%	12%	8%	8%	42%	20₺	18%	21%	16%	20%	18%	19%	16%	15%	22%	28%
14 444 hand -664	5%	0%	+++ 6%	2%	2%	3%	12%	5%	6%	5%	5%	68	5%	5%	4%	4%	8%	++ 4%
At the band office	516	0.6	++	28			++++	310	0.0	2.0	٠,٠	0.	٠,٠	٠.	4.0	7.0	+	7.0
At a community centre o	98	0%	13%	2%	4%	68	21%	9%	11%	7%	13%	7%	7%	11%	68	9%	13%	13%
r library on the reserv			++++				++++			-	+++		-	+				
Somewhere elsewhere on	2 %	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	28	1%	2%	3 %	1%	2%	3 %	28	1%	4 %	4 %
the reserve							++++											
At a community centre o	2%	0%	2%	2%	3 %	2%	5%	2%	3 ₺	3₺	2%	2 %	3%	2%	2%	2 %	3 %	1%
r library off of the	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Do not have access anyw here	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.6	1.0	0.6	•	1.	•	•	•	•	+
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
												++						
Chi':			(.01)		(.01)			-		-		_	(.01)	_	(.01)	_	_	
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	*	5	7	5	7	. 6	4	9	6	6	8	7	5	7	7	7	10

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NET3								
Unweighted n:	695	624	71	162	153	92	162	86
At home	48%	46%	66%	46%	44%	53%	54%	44%
, Table 1			+++				+	
At work	28%	28%	24%	26%	26%	26%	35%	27%
		100		1.00		100	++	150
At school	18%	19%	8%	16%	24%	19%	15%	15%
At friends/family	19%	++ 19%	17%	21%	++ 19%	16%	16%	21%
At the band office	5%	5%	3%	4 %	6%	3%	6%	6%
At a community centre o	9%	9%	9%	7%	14%	9%	9%	8%
r library on the reserv		2.0		`	++	4.0		
Somewhere elsewhere on the reserve	2%	3∜	0%	4%	2%	4%	1%	2%
At a community centre o r library off of the	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	0%
Do not have access anyw here	0%	0%	0%	1%	9.0	1%	0%	0%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	1.%	1%	0%	0%
Chi::		-		_				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	8	8	10	8	11

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Pi	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
NET4								124	202	100	264	3.6	199	199	190	107	204	221	176
Unweighted n:	695	103	90	74	80	107	117	124	202	192 14%	264 18%	36 16%	199	13%	17%	13%	15%	18%	10%
Less than one hour/week	16%	17%	11%	15%	22%	16%	17%	98	15%	148	104	104	196	136	1/6	136	134	104	104
1-5	50%	47%	55%	59%	52%	47%	44%	45%	52%	49%	47%	58%	50%	52%	43%	54%	52%	47%	53%
6-10	15%	18%	13%	14%	12%	15%	15%	15%	16%	12%	15%	10%	14%	14%	16%	12%	13%	14%	17%
11-19	6%	5%	9%	4%	2%	7%	9%	10%	5%	10%	5%	9%	3%	6%	11%	88	6%	8 %	6%
20+	10%	9%	10%	8%	11%	10%	10%	13%	9%	13%	10%	4 %	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%
DK/NR	3%	4 %	1%	1%	1%	6% +	4%	6 % +	3%	3 %	5%	3%	3%	4 %	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Chi ² :		-							-				-				-		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	10	10	11	11	9	9	9	7	7	6	16	7	7	7	9	7	7	7
Weighted n for mean:	556	89	87	66	78	126	75	34	226	139	165	24	164	181	136	75	174	168	147
Mean:	7.66	7.08	7.83	6.06	6.83	8.31	8.41	9.73	7.17	8.51	7.79	6.03	7.37	7.46	8.76	6.77	7.44	8.39	7.65
Std dev:	8.7	6.8	9.3	6.2	7.0	9.8	10.1	11.2	8.2	8.8	9.5	6.3	9.0	8.8	8.9	7.3	8.4	9.6	8.5
Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	-	-	-	.10	_	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-	-	-	-

		Moth	Mother Tongue =			der	Child		Emp	oloymen	ıt Sect	or	Empl. Rese			oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
NET4 Unweighted n: Less than one hour/week	695 16%	392 16%	21 21%	282 16%	276 13%	419 19%	465 15%	230 17%	55 19%	130 14%	45 25%	238 16%	366 18%	81 10%	315 15%	170 18%	210 15%	212 17%	315 16%	167 15%
1-5	50%	50%	59%	49%	52%	48%	50%	49%	54%	47%	49%	51%	49%	56%	50%	48%	50%	49%	48%	54%
6-10	15%	13%	3 %	18%	13%	16%	15%	14%	88	21%	6%	15%	15%	13%	15%	14%	14%	15%	16%	11%
11-19	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4 %	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	8%	7%	7%	8%	4 %
20+	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	11%	8%	13%	98	4 %	9%	8%	14%	9%	10%	12%	11%	9%	11%
DK/NR	3%	5% ++	0%	2%	5 % +	2%	2 % 	5 % + +	3%	2 %	10%	3%	4 %	2%	5% ++	1%	3%	1%	3%	5%
Chi 2 :		-			. 05		-		-				-		-			-		
tpoints around 50%:	4	5	21	6	6	5	3.66	6	13	9	15	6 176	5 268	11 78	232	8 141	7 183	7 156	6 255	8 144
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	556 7.66	32 4 7.72	15 6.73	217 7.64	271 7.52	285 7.80	366 7.89	190 7.22	48 6.67	107 8.07	31 6.16	7.42	7.16	8.53	7.42	7.44	8.14	8.36	7.58	
Std dev:	8.7	8.8	7.0	8.7	8.5	8.9	8.9	8.2	8.0	8.3	8.2	8.7	8.0	10.3	8.9	7.4	9.3	10.2	7.9	8.4
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 On average, how many hours per week do you use the Internet?

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Next 1			ormance l Gover		Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
NET4																		
Unweighted n:	695	0	447	223	357	203	285	516	118	245	276	159	223	470	211	197	179	94
Less than one hour/week	16%	0%	24%	0 %	5 % -	11%	26% ++++	16%	15%	15%	17%	13%	13%	17%	18%	15%	13%	16%
1-5	50%	0%	76 % ++++	0%	44%	55 % +	52%	48%	56%	47%	52%	52%	46%	51%	48%	52%	57 % ++	36 %
6-10	15%	0%	0%	47%	20%	15%	10%	15%	13%	16%	15%	13%	17%	14%	16%	12%	15%	17%
11-19	6%	0%	0%	21%	11%	7€	3%	7%	6%	7%	6%	5%	10%	5 %	8%	6%	4 %	8\$
20+	10%	0%	0%	32%	16%	11%	7 %	11%	8%	9%	9%	14%	14%	8%	9%	9%	8%	21%
DK/NR	3 %	0%	0%	0%	4 %	2%	3∜	4 %	2%	5% ++	1%	3%	1%	5% ++	2%	6 % ++	4 %	2%
Chi ² :			.01		.01			_		-			.01		(.05)			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	*	5	7	5`	7	6	4	9	6	6	8	7	5	7	7	7	10
Weighted n for mean:	556	0	343	213	301	168	221	411	99	182	229	135	188	366	166	153	158	72
Mean:	7.7	*	2.8	15.5	9.8	8.1	6.1	8.1	6.5	7.9	6.7	9.0	9.1	6.9	7.6	7.3	6.4	11.4
Std dev:	8.7	*	1.4	9.7	9.6	9.5	7.8	9.1	7.6	8.5	7.2	11.1		8.0	8.4	8.4	7.7	11.2
Student's t:	*	*	.01	.01	.01	-	. 01	.10	.10	**	.05	.10	.01	. 01	-	-	.05	.01

On average, how many hours per week do you use the Internet?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NET4								
Unweighted n:	695	624	71	162	153	92	162	86
Less than one hour/week	16%	16%	11%	18%	11%	20%	17%	15%
1-5	50%	51%	40%	54%	54%	39%	44%	53%
		+						
6-10	15%	14%	22%	18%	12%	16%	16%	10%
11-19	6%	- 6¥	+ 16%	5%	7%	10%	4%	98
11 17	•		+++					-
20+	10%	10%	98	4%	12%	12%	14%	98
							+	
DK/NR	3%	3∜	2%	2 %	4 %	4%	5%	4%
Chi ² :		(.05)		, -				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	4	12	8	8	10	. 8	11
Weighted n for mean:	556	508	48	135	138	68	118	67
Mean:	7.66	7.53	8.98	5.93	8.21	9.35	8.40	7.37
Std dev:	8.7	8.7	8.6	6.1	9.6	10.5	8.3	9.1
Student's to	*	-	-	. 01	-	-	_	_

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Which of the following best describes your past involvement in your community?

		Province							Age Group					Educ	ation		Income		
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
INVL																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Very involved	24%	33%	18%	21%	23%	23%	25%	34%	14%	21%	33%	29%	19%	24%	27%	47%	18%	27%	41%
•		+++						+++			++++	+				++++			++++
Somewhat involved	43%	43%	42%	36%	40%	47%	46%	46%	45%	45%	42%	37₺	37%	45%	56% ++++	42%	41%	45%	44%
Rarely involved	23%	18%	24%	31%	27%	18%	22%	14%	30%	24%	17%	19%	28%	22%	15%	9%	27%	21%	12%
				+++		-			++++				++++				++++		
Never involved	10%	5%	15%	12%	10%	12%	68	6%	11%	98	8 %	15%	15%	98	2%	2%	13%	68	4 %
			++					-				++	++++				++++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
							+						+						
Chi ² :		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	7	7,	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Mother Tongue						Children Under 18?		Employment Sector			Empl. Rese	On/Of:	f Employment Type			Level of Community Involvement		
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
INVL																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Very involved	24%	22%	33%	26%	25%	24%	25%	23%	15%	17%	30%	44%	31%	20%				100%	0%	
				+								++++	++		++++			++++		
Somewhat involved	43%	48%	32%	38%	428	43%	42%	44%	52₺	49%	36%	41%	45%	43%	44%	46%	40%	0%	100%	0%
		++++							+	+	-	**					-		++++	
Rarely involved	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	22%	22%	23%	22%	26%	21%	12%	17%	26%	15%	26%	28%	0%	0%	70%
										++++				++		+	++++			++++
Never involved	10%	7%	12%	13%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	7%	13%	3%	68	10%	7₺	88	14%	08	0%	30%
				++++					+		++		-	+			++++			++++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
															+					
Chi ² :		(.01)			-		-		(.01)				(.01)		(.01)			.01		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	- 5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey Which of the following best describes your past involvement in your community?

		Internet Use			Internet Access			Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.		Performance of Federal Government			ontact Last 3		t First Nations Standard of Living				
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better	
INVL																			
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	35 7	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226	
Very involved	24%	21%	28%	29%	30%	40%	23%	25%	23%	32%	19%	25%	42%	19%	35%	20%	19%	23%	
						++++				++++			++++		++++				
Somewhat involved	43%	40%	44%	49%	50%	42%	43%	45%	36%	38%	47%	42%	37%	45%	41%	50%	39%	43%	
					++			++			+++			++		+++			
Rarely involved	23%	26%	21%	17%	13%	15%	26%	23%	24%	19%	25%	23%	17%	24%	17%	23%	28%	23%	
		+++					++++				+			+++			+++		
Never involved	10%	13%	7%	5%	7%	3%	88	7%	17%	11%	9%	10%	4%	12%	7%	7%	14%	11%	
		++++							++++					++++			++++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Chi ² :			_		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	5	7	5.	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Which of the following best describes your past involvement in your community?

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
INVL								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Very involved	24%	24%	32%	15%	27%	17%	39%	26%
			++				++++	
Somewhat involved	43%	42%	50%	53%	39%	42%	43%	34%
				++++				
Rarely involved	23%	23%	17%	24%	24%	28%	14%	24%
						+		
Never involved	10%	11%	1%	88	98	14%	3%	15%
		++++				+++		+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
					++			
Chi²:		(.01)		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	, 6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				P	rovince				Age Group				Education				Income			
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K	
EMPLO				•																
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1427 5%	206 7%	200 6%	200 4%	214 3%	201 5%	203 8%	203 6%	289 2%	352 5%	579 8%	203 6%	652 5%	342 5%	279 6%	147 7%	582 4%	394 5%	251 8	
Employed full-time	30%	29%	24%	26%	31%	35%	36%	26%	17%	35%	++++ 44% ++++	16%	21%	30%	42%	60%	12%	48%	59	
Employed part-time	13%	13%	14%	15%	11%	13%	98	14%	20%	13%	10%	6%	11%	16%	14%	6%	14%	12%	***	
Seasonal employment	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	3%	12%	14%	11%	9%	98	4%	10%	10%	9%	2%	12%	9%		
Term employment	2 %	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4 % ++	2 %	0%	1%	3%	3 %	5% ++	2%	1%		
nemployed	5%	6%	4 %	5%	9% +++.	2%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	68 +++	4 %	3*	1%	7% ++++	3%		
Jnemployed but looking For work	11%	98	11%	17%	16%	8%	8 %	5 %	14%	14%	10%	3%	15% ++++	11%	4 %	5 % 	18 % ++++	6 %		
Student/Attending school full-time	9%	5% 	19%	10%	9%	7%	88	13%	24%	88	3%	0%	98	11%	98	5% -	11%	5%		
Retired	7%	10%	2 %	2%	3%	14%	88	10%	0%	0%	1%	46%	10%	4 %	5%	4%	9 % ++++	5%	_	
ot in work force/Full- ime Homemaker	4 %	5%	3%	78 ++	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3 %	6% ++++	3%	2%	1%	6% ++++	2%		
isability / sick leave	3%	4%	2%	0%	2%	5% +++	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	98 ++++	4 %	2 %	1%	2%	5% ++++	1%		
aternity / paternal le ve	1%	0%	2%	2 %	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3 % ++	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2 %	1%	3 % ++		
ther (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%		
K/NR	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	80	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% ++		
Chi ² :	_	(.01)	_	_	_	_	_	7	(.01)	-		7	(.01)	5	_		(.01)	-		
<pre>±points around 50%: os Research Associates I</pre>	3	7 s Assoc	7	7	7 he Ekon	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6 PAGE	8 :: 283	4	5		

		Moth	er Ton	gue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	loymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment	Туре		of Cor olvem	mmunit ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
EMPLO																		-		
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1427 5%	698 6%	39 2%	687 5%	609 7% ++	818 4%	887 5%	540 5%	151 8%	250 9%	97 16% +++	353 6%	663 7%	140 7%	545 15%	339 0%	540 0%	378 7% +	612 6%	433 3%
Employed full-time	30%	28%	51%	31%	29%	31%	32%	27%	33%	39%	46%	73%	56% +++	42%	85%	0%	0%	43%	31%	
Employed part-time	13%	13%	+++ 6%	12%	12%	13%	14%	11%	26%	31%	19%	12%	20%	27%	0%	53%	0%	10%	14%	
Seasonal employment	9%	10%	8%	8%	13%	5%	9%	9%	31%	20%	14%	4%	13%	20%	0%	38%	0%	6% 	10%	10%
Term employment	2 %	2%	6% +	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4 %	5 % + +	4 %	4%	0%	9%	0%	4 % ++	2%	1%
Unemployed	5%	5%	3%	4 %	3%	68 +++	5%	4 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	3%	9% ++++
Unemployed but looking for work	11%	10%	0%	13%	14%	9%	10%	12%	0%	0%	08	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	28%	7% 	11%	
Student/Attending schoo 1 full-time	9%	11%	3%	8%	8%	11%	11%	7% 	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	8%	11%	
Retired	7%	5% 	16% ++	8%	7%	7%	1%	15% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	7%	6%	7%
Not in work force/Full- time Homemaker	4 %	4%	2%	4%	0%	8 %	6% ++++	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3 %	2%	7% ++++
Disability / sick leave	3%	3%	0%	3 %	4 % ++	2%	2 %	4 % +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	
Maternity / paternal le ave	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2% +++	2 % +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0 %	0%	0%
DK/NR	0%	0%	3% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	′ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: cos Research Associates I	3	(.01)	16	4	(.01) 4	3	(.01)	4	(.01)	6	10	5	(.10)	8	(.01) 4	5	_	(.01) 5 PAGE:	4 284	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT employment status?

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Govern		ontact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Bette
EMPLO						202			300	430	599	240	245	1079	372	368	431	226
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1427 5%	730 6%	447 4%	223 5%	357 8% ++	203 4%	285 4%	954 5%	308 7%	438 8%	599	348 3%	345 6%	1079 5%	3/2 7% +	68	431 5%	226
Employed full-time	30%	24%	38%	34%	38%	59% ++++	25%	32%	26%	35%	30%	26%	38%	28%	39%	35% ++	24%	
Employed part-time	13%	11%	14%	14%	13%	12%	17%	14%	10%	10%	12%	15% +	10%	13%	8%	12%	15%	15
Seasonal employment	9%	10%	8%	9%	7%	6%	11%	10%	6%	6%	10%	12%	7%	10%	9%	5%	11%	11
Term employment	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2
Unemployed	5%	7% ++++	3%	1%	2%	0%	3 % +	4%	6%	3 % -	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	9
Unemployed but looking for work	11%	14%	8%	7%	7%	2%	12%	10%	18%	10%	11%	12%	12%	11%	7 % 	15% +++	11%	1:
Student/Attending schoo 1 full-time	9%	4%	13%	19% ++	13%	9% 	20%	11%	6% 	8%	12% +++	7% -	7% -	10%	7% ~	7 % 	12%	
Retired	7%	12%	2%	1%	3 *	1%	0%	4%	10%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	8%	7%	6%	•
Not in work force/Full- time Homemaker	4 %	5% +++	3%	2%	2%	0% 	3%	4%	4 %	3%	4%	4%	2 % -	4%	2 % 	3%	6% ++	
Disability / sick leave	3%	4% ++++	1%	1%	2 % + +	0%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4 %	2 %	3%	3%	2%	3%	Ĵ
Maternity / paternal le ave	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1% -	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3 1 + +	1% 	2%	1%	1%	
Other (please specify)	80	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80	0%	0%	0%	
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	. 04	0%	9.0	0%	0%	0%	(
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: cos Research Associates II	3 nc./Le	4 s Assor	5 ciés de	7	(.01) 5 he Ekos	7	6	(.01) 3	6	(.01) 5	4	5	(.01) 5	3	(.01) 5 PAGE	5 E: 285	5	

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontno
EMPLO								
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1427 5%	1313 5%	114 7%	310 3%	302 5%	219 6%	281 7%	
Employed full-time	30%	31%	24%	31%	23%	27%	42%	
Employed part-time	13%	13%	11%	12%	14%	12%	14%	
Seasonal employment	9%	9%	9%	9%	14%	7%	8%	99
Term employment	2%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	3%	3%	29
Unemployed	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	3%	49
Unemployed but looking for work	11%	11%	8%	12%	13%	12%	5%	159
Student/Attending schoo l full-time	9%	10%	6%	13%	14 % +++	7%	7% 	59
Retired	7%	7%	13%	5%	4%	7%	5%	139
Not in work force/Full- time Homemaker	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	8 *	2%	
Disability / sick leave	3 %	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	29
Maternity / paternal le	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	29
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	08	0%	0%	09
Chi ² :		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%: os Research Associates Inc</pre>	3 / 100 ha	3 acaiáa da	9	6 Floor	6	7	6	10

			Province							Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
ONOFF																			
Unweighted n:	865	127	113	118	126	116	137	128	139	231	417	76	336	215	194	116	257	296	210
On-reserve	75%	68%	74%	63%	85%	74%	80%	83%	77%	76₺	73%	75%	72%	74%	77%	81%	75%	79%	72%
		_			+++			++										+	
Off-reserve	18%	22%	18%	26%	12%	19%	15%	98	19%	15%	20%	11%	20%	18%	16%	16%	16%	16%	22%
				++															+
Both	7%	10%	98	10%	3%	7%	5%	88	4 %	9%	7%	14%	8%	7%	7%	3₺	98	5%	7 %
					-				-			++				-	+		
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%
				++															
Chi ² :		(.05)							_				-				-		
±points around 50%:	3	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	6	5	11	5	7	7	9	6	6	7

			Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emj	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
		Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
ONOFF																					
	Unweighted n:	865	421	29	414	409	456	560	305	151	250	97	353	663	140	534	330	1	266	381	214
On-reser	rve	75%	71%	84%	78%	68%	83%	76%	74%	65%	74%	57%	85%	100%	0%	79%	69%	100%	81%	76₺	67%
					++		++++						++++	++++		+++			+++		
Off-rese	erve	18%	22%	10%	14%	228	13%	18%	17%	26%	18%	30%	11%	0%	100%	15%	23%	0%	13%	17%	25%
			+++			++++				+++		+++			++++		+++				+++
Both		7 %	7%	68	7%	10%	4 %	68	9%	98	88	13%	4 %	0%	0%	7%	8%	0%	68	78	8%
20011						++++						++									
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	′ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi:		_			(.01)		-		(.01)				.01		(.10)			(.05)		
±po:	ints around 50%:	3	5	18	5	5	5	4	6	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	98	6	5	7
Ekos Resea	arch Associates I	nc. / I	es Ass	ociés	de rec	cherche	Ekos											1	PAGE:	287	

		Int	ernet (Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. 1			ormance 1 Gover		Contact v			irst Na ndard o		ng
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
ONOFF																		
Unweighted n:	865	394	308	143	240	171	169	608	164	275	362	209	229	634	243	224	255	123
On-reserve	75%	74%	75%	75%	70%	79%	78%	76%	74%	75%	74%	75%	76%	74%	76%	72%	73%	80%
Off-reserve	18%	16%	19%	21%	24%	18%	15%	19%	15%	17%	19%	18%	19%	18%	15%	20%	21%	14%
Both	7%	10%	6%	4%	5%	3 %	6%	6%	11%	8%	7%	7%	4%	8%	9%	7%	6%	6%
		+++							++					+			•	•
DK/NR	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %
Chi²:			-		_			.10		_			-		_			
$\pm \dots$ points around 50%:	3	5	6	8	6	7	8	4	8	6	5	7	' 6	4	6	7	6	9

		_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
ONOFF	_							***************************************	
Unweig	ghted n:	865	804	61	179	183	127	204	109
On-reserve		75%	75%	75%	73%	82%	69%	76%	73%
						++	-		
Off-reserve	•	18%	18%	20%	19%	13%	24%	15%	20%
						-	++		
Both	•	7%	7%	5%	8%	5%	6%	8%	7%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	08	1%	0%	0%
							++		
	Chi²:		-		-				
±points arou	und 50%:	3	3	13	7	7	9	7	9
Ekos Research Asso	ociates Inc	. / Les As	enciés de	recherch	Fkoe				

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
SECT																			
Unweighted n:	865	127	113	118	126	116	137	128	139	231	417	76	336	215	194	116	257	296	210
Labourer	19%	18%	23%	26%	19%	15%	16%	22%	29%	19%	16%	7%	28%	24%	6%	0%	30%	13%	8 %
				+					++++				++++	++			++++		
Semi-skilled	14%	11%	13%	25%	18%	98	11%	13%	18%	12%	13%	22%	21%	15%	9%	1%	21%	15%	88
				++++								++	++++		100		++++		
Skilled tradesperson	11%	14%	98	10%	8%	13%	12%	13%	7%	12%	12%	16%	11%	11%	12%	98	98	13%	8%
												1.00	1.50	1.00			100	15%	0.0
Sales, service, clerica	15%	14%	16%	98	11%	17%	25%	14%	21%	15%	11%	16%	16%	16%	17%	6%	19%	12.4	9%
1							++++		+++			0.44	100	1.00	240		100	25.6	
Professional	22%	17%	19%	18%	24%	26%	24%	18%	10%	22%	27%	24%	12%	16%	24%	64%	10%	25%	33%
		2.12									+++	1.20	9%	17%	3.00	++++ 19%		170	++++
Management or administr	17%	24%	17%	98	18%	19%	11%	19%	13%	18%	20%	13%		1/8	32%	198	9%	17%	33%
ative		++					-	0.0	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%
Other (please specify)	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0*	0.8	1.4	0.8	1.8	Uŧ	0.6	0.8	0.48	0.45	0 8
(0.0	0.0		1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%
DK/NR	2%	2%	3 %	2%	2%	0%	1.8	0.8	28	28	14	2 15	_	1.4	0.4	0.5	28	7.4	1.0
Ch i a		/ 05)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
Chi ² :	-	(.05)	9	9	9	9	8	9	(.01)	6	5	11	(.01)	7	7	9	(.01)	6	7
±points around 50%:	3	9	9	9	9	9	٥	9	0	0	5	11		,	,	,	0	0	,

		Moth	ther Tongue			nder	Child Under		Emp	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of erve?		oyment	Туре		of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
SECT																				
Unweighted n:	865	421	29	414	409	456	560	305	151	250	97	353	663	140	534	330	1	266	381	214
Labourer	19%	21%	18%	16%	26%	11%	20%	17%	100%	0%	0%	0%	17%	28%	13%		0%	10%	22%	24%
Semi-skilled	14%	10%	7%	19%	++++ 19%	8%	14%	15%	++++	49%	0%	0%	14%	+++	9%	++++ 22%	1009	8%	1 - 0	++
Semi-Skilled	140	10%	/ 16	++++	138	01	146	134		++++	0.45		148	15%	98	22 T	100%	8 6	15%	20%
Skilled tradesperson	11%	12%	15%	10%	15%	7%	10%	13%	0%	0%	100%	0%	8%	19%	12%		0%	12%	9%	
•					++++			-0.			++++			++++			• •		-	+
Sales, service, clerica	15%	14%	22%	16%	7%	25%	15%	14%	0%	51%	0%	0%	16%	14%	14%	16%	0%	98	18%	17%
1						++++				++++									+	
Professional	22%	22%	14%	22%	18%	26%	21%	22%	0%	0%	0%	56%	23%	18%	28%	12%	0%	32%	20%	13%
						+++						++++			++++			++++		
Management or administr	17%	18%	24%	15%	13%	22%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	44%	21%	7%		98	0%	27%	16%	98
ative	0.0	0.0	0.0			++++						++++	++++		++++			++++		
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	. 0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
DK/NR	2 %	2 %	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2 *	0%	1%	+ 1%	2%
31,711	2 0	2 0	0.0	2 0	2 0	1.0	20	2 0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	4.0	0.0	1.0	20	0.0	1.6	1.4	2 16
Chi2:		(.10)			(.01)		_		. 01				(.01)	_	(.01)			(.01)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	5	18	5	5	5	4	6	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	98	6	5	7

		Int	ernet U	Ise	Inte	net Ac	cess	Econ. I			ormance 1 Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		g
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No		Somewt Worse	Same	Better
SECT Unweighted n: Labourer	865 19 %	394 26%	308 15%	143 11%	240 11%	171 3%	169 23%	608 19 %	164 19%	275 12%	362 24%	209 20%	229 8%	634 23%	243 8%	224 21%	255 24%	123 31%
Semi-skilled	14%	16%	12%	15%	13%	98	15%	15%	13%	12%	14%	18 % +	8%	16%	11%	13%	18%	17%
Skilled tradesperson	11%	12%	11 % +	6 % -	9%	5% 	16% +++		14%	15% ++	9 % 	10%	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	12%
Sales, service, clerica	15%	15%	13%	20%	16%	16%	12%			15%	16%	13%	14%	15%	13%	16%	16%	14%
Professional	22%	17%	25%	25% 23%	24% 26%	31% + 35%	24% 10%		24% 15%	26% ++ 20%	19% - 16%	21% 15%	30% ++++ 28%	19% 13%	32% ++++ 23%	21% 19%	14% 13%	16% - 10%
Management or administr ative Other (please specify)	17%	10% 0%	22% 0%	23%	20% 0%	*+++ *0	18		0%	+ 0%	1%	0%	++++ 0%		+++ 0%	1%	 0%	0%
DK/NR	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2 % ++	2%	1%	2%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	++++ 5	- 6	8	(.01) 6	.7	8	- 4	8	(.01) 6	5	7	(.01)	4	(.01) 6	7	6	9

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	= Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
SECT								
Unweighted n:	865	804	61	179	183	127	204	109
Labourer	19%	20%	11%	18%	25%	18%	17%	13%
					++			-
Semi-skilled	14%	15%	11%	15%	14%	14%	14%	16%
Skilled tradesperson	11%	11%	11%	11%	10%	10%	12%	12%
Sales, service, clerica	15%	15%	19%	15%	14%	20%	12%	16%
Professional	22%	21%	33%	17%	19%	23%	26%	25%
			++				+	
Management or administr	17%	17%	15%	22%	14%	13%	19%	17%
ative				+				
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
				+++				
DK/NR	2 %	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%
					+++			
Chi?:		-		-			_	
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	13	7	7	9	7	9

INAC On-Reserve Survey Do you have children under the age of 18 years of age living in your household?

					P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
		Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
KIDS																				
Unwei	ghted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Yes	3	60%	60%	72%	70%	64%	49%	48%	58%	58₺	80%	65%	21%	57%	62%	60%	67%	58%	62%	64%
				++++	+++						++++	+++		-			+	-		
No		40%	40%	28%	30%	36%	51%	52%	42%	42%	20%	35%	79%	43%	38%	40%	33%	42%	38%	36%
							++++	++++					++++	+			-	+		
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %
	Chi2:		.01							. 01				_				_		
±points aro	und 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

			Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emj	oloyme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent
		Total	Eng1	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other		Very		Rare/ Never
KIDS													2-2		140	- 4 -	220	540	220	610	422
Yes	Unweighted n:	1427 60%	698 60%	39 46%	687 61%	609 54%	818 67%	887 100%	540 0%	151 67%	250 63%	97 56%	353 63%	663 64%	140 64%		339 62%	540 55%	378 63%	612 58%	433 60%
No		40%	40%	54%	39%	46%	33%	*+++ 0%	100%	33%	37%	44%	37%	36%	36%	36%	38%	45%		42%	40%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+++ 0%		0%	0%
	Chi2:		_			. 01		. 01		_				-		. 05			-		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	/ 8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

			Int	ernet l	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3			irst Na indard o		ıg
		Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
KIDS																			
Yes	Unweighted n:	1427 60%	730 56%	447 64%	223 67%	357 65%	203 69%	285 63%	954 62%	308 60%	438 58%	599 62%	348 60%	345 64%	1079 59%	372 61%	368 58%	431 60%	226 62%
No		40%	44%	36%	33%	35%	31%	37%	38%	40%	42%	38%	40%	36%	41%	39%	42%	40%	38%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi²:			_		_			-		_			.10		_			
±poir	nts around 50%:	3	4	5	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

		_	Locat	ion	-		Cluster		
		Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
KIDS	_								
	Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Yes		60%	60%	56%	62%	57%	75%	55%	57%
							++++		
No		40%	40%	44%	38%	43%	25%	45%	43%
	•							++	
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi²:		-		. 01				
±poi	ints around 50%:	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7 .

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
AGE													450	2.40	270	1.45	500	204	251
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
<18 years	3%	1%	5%	5%	2%	1%	5%	5 %	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	80	0%	2%	1%	2%
			2.40	300	0.38	000	0.08	22%	++++ 89%	0%	0%	0%	25%	+++ 34%	22%	6%	28%	20%	20%
18-24 years	25%	22%	34%	32%	23%	22%	20%	228	++++	0.8	U 16	U 16	256	++++	220		20°	20%	20%
25 24	228	17%	+++ 24%	+++ 24%	29%	19%	18%	23%	0%	100%	0%	0%	19%	22%	28%	31%	24%	23%	22%
25-34 years	22%	1/6	248	243	23°	1 2%	100	238		++++			170	220	++	+++	240	230	226
3E 44 HOOMS	23%	27%	21%	18%	22%	22%	25%	24%	0%	0%	65%	0%	21%	21%	26%	28%	19%	29%	28%
35-44 years	238	2/8	210	10%	220	220	230	210			++++		210	210	200			+++	200
45-54 years	12%	13%	98	12%	12%	13%	15%	10%	0 %	0%	35%	0%	12%	11%	11%	21%	10%	12%	21%
43-34 years	120	130	, ,	12.0	120	130	150	200			++++					++++			++++
55-64 years	8%	8 %	5%	5%	7%	11%	98	5%	0%	0%	0%	52%	98	48	8%	98	8 %	10%	7%
33 31 / 3413			-			++						++++	+						
65+ years	7%	10%	3%	2%	5%	12%	8%	10%	0%	0%	0%	48%	10%	3%	5%	4 %	10%	5%	1%
7		+			•	++++						++++	++++				++++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	1%	0%	0 %	0%
		+++																	
Chi ² :		(.01)							.01			. *	(.01)				(.01)		
±points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	skill	Prof	On	Of f	Emplo FT			Very	Some	Rare/ Never
AGE Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	1 51	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
<18 years	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5% ++++	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	5%	4%	3%	2%	4%
18-24 years	25%	30% ++++	18%	20%	26%	24%	25%	25%	33% ++++	27%	13%	14%	22%	24%	14%	33%	30%	14%	27%	
25-34 years	22%	24%	7%	21%	21%	24%	29% ++++	11%	25%	23%	27%	26%	25%	21%	25%	24%	18%	19%	23%	23%
35-44 years	23%	21%	34%	23%	22%	23%	28%	15%	23%	23%	27%	33%	27%	31%	++ 32% ++++	20%			23%	17%
45-54 years	12%	10%	10%	15% +++	13%	11%	10%	15%	12%	12%	21%	19%	15%	18%	19%	11%	7%	17%	11%	9%
55-64 years	8%	6%	12%	10%	8%	8%	4%	13%	3%	9%	9%	6%	6%	4%	8%	5%	9%	10%	7%	7%
65+ years	7%	5%	14%	9%	7%	7%	1%	16%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	+ 15% ++++	8%	6%	8%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 4	16	4	4	3	(.01)	4	(.01)	6	10	5	4	8	(.01) 4	5	4	(.01) 5	4	5

		Int	ernet U	se	Inte	met Ac	cess	Econ. Next 1			ormance l Gover		Contact Last 3		-	irst Na ndard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
AGE Unweighted n: <18 years	1427	730	447	223	357 5%	203	285 7%	954 4%	308	438 1%	599 4%	348 3%	345 1%	1079 4%	372 1%	368 0%	431 5%	226 6%
18-24 years	25%	16%	36%	36%	27%	28%	47%	29%	16%	17%	27%	31%	18%	+++ 27% ++++	14%	26%	++++ 32% ++++	+++ 30% +
25-34 years	22%	20%	23%	27%	27%	25%	21%	23%	19%	20%	22%	25%	28% +++	20%	24%	25%	19%	20%
35-44 years	23%	24%	21%	22%	24%	28%	15%	22%	++	25%	24%	18%	27 % ++	21%	25%	22%	22%	
45-54 years	12%	14%	10%	9*	11%	11%	8%		++	18% ++++	11%	7 % 	15% +	11%	19% ++++	11%	98 	
55-64 years	8%	12%	4 %	28	48	3%	2%		+++	10%	6%	78	6%	8%	8%	9%	6%	
65+ years	78	13%	1%	18	28. +		0% 		++	8%	5 %	8%	5%	88	8%	6%	78	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi?: ±points around 50%:	3	4	5	7	(.01) 5	7	6	(.01) 3	6	(.01) 5	4	5	(.01) 5	3	(.01) 5	5	5	7

	_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
_	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
AGE								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
<18 years	3 %	3%	3%	3%	9%	1%	1%	0%
18-24 years	25%	25%	21%	30%	++++ 38%	22%	17%	16%
10 1. Juan	250	230	210	+	++++	22 0		
25-34 years	22%	22%	20%	23%	23%	26%	19%	19%
35-44 years	23%	22%	24%	23%	16%	27%	24%	25%
						+		
45-54 years	12%	12%	12%	8%	7%	10%	21%	16%
EE 64 voorg	8%	8%	9%				++++	++
55-64 years	5 4	8.4	98	8%	2 %	6%	11%	13%
65+ years	7%	7%	11%	. 5%	4%	7%	++ 6%	+++ 11%
•				, ,	• •	, ,	•	+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Chi²:		-		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	BC	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
LANG							-												
Unweighted n: English	1427 51%	206 75%	200 47%	200 30%	214 40%	201 67%	203 37%	203 52%	289 60%	352 56%	579 46%	203 37%	652 43%	342 61%	279 57%	147 53%	582 49%	394 50%	251 54%
		++++				++++			++++	++				++++	++				
French	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	18%	0%	2%	1%	3%	4 %	28	2%	4 %	3%	3%	3%	2%
						4.0	++++	0%	1.0	1%	2%	++ 1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	28
Ojibway	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	48 ++++	0%	0.6	1%	1.4	∠ *s + +	1.0	28	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.8	28	20
Cree	88	0%	18%	27%	7%	2%	0%	1%	10%	7%	98	5%	11%	5%	68	4 %	98	8%	68
Cree			++++	++++								-	++++			-			
Montagnais	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	28	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0 %	0%	0 %	0 %	08	0%
							++++				1.0	1.0	- 1 0		10	2%	1%	1%	1 9
Mic Mac	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.0	17%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	216	1.8	1.6	1%
Mohawk	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Hollawk	0.6	0.0	•		٠.,	++++		•	-	-		+					-		+
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%
Other Aboriginal langua	34%	20%	34%	42%	47%	23%	42%	29%	25%	33%	37%	46%	40%	26%	28%	37%	36%	34%	32%
ge				+++	++++		+++				+	++++	++++						
Other	1%	3 %	1%	0%	28	0%	0%	1%	0%	1 %	1%	3%	1 %	2 %	2 %	1%	18	1%	2 %
		+++			+	-						++							
DK/NR	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0 %	0%
Chi ² :		(.01)							(.01)			+++	(.01)				_		
±points around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Gen	der	Child Under		Emp	oloymer	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment			of Cor	mmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off	Emplo FT		Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
LANG																				
Unweighted n: English	1427 51%	698 100%	39 0%	687 0%	609 49%	818 53%	887 51%	540 51%	151 57%	250 42%	97 57%	353 52%	663 48%	140 62%	545 50%	339 54%	540 51%	378 46%	612 57%	433 47%
French	2%	++++ 0%	100%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	+ 3%	3%	4%	3%	3 %	+++ 2%	4%	2%	1%	 3%	++++ 2%	 3%
Ojibway	1%	0%	++++ 0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	+ 1%	0%	3%	0%	2%	2%	1%	++ 2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
Cree	8%	0%	0%	18%	10%	++ 7%	10%	6%	- 7%	+ 8%	5%	7%	6%	12%	+ 7%	8%	10%	 8%	7%	10%
Montagnais	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	++ 0%	0%	+++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+++ 0%	0%	0%	+ 0%	0%	0%	+ 0%
Mic Mac	1%	0%	0%	2 %	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Mohawk	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	+++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Aboriginal langua	34%	0%	0%	74%	35%	33%	33%	35%	30%	42%	29%	34%	38%	23%	35%	33%	33%	38%	30%	37%
ge Other	1%	0%	0%	++++ 2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	+++ 0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	1%	1%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	++ 1%	1%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)			(.05)		(.10)		+++				(.01)		-			(.05)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

		Int	ernet U	Jse	Inte	rnet Ac	cess	Econ. F Next 12			ormance l Gover		ontact Last 3			rirst Na Indard o		ıg
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
LANG Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368	431	226
English	51%	43%	59%	57%	60%	63%	57%	54%	47%	51%	51%	51%	52%	51%	52%	60%	47%	42%
French	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	++ 2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3∜	1%	3%	3%	3%
Ojibway	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Cree	8%	9%	8%	6%	4%	3%	11%	9%	++ 7%	7%	9%	8%	5%	9%	6%	7%	10%	10%
Montagnais	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Mic Mac	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Mohawk	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1% +++	0%	0%	0%	1%	2% ++++	0%	0%	1%
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Aboriginal langua	34%	41%	25%	29%	29%	27%	25%	31%	39%	35%	33%	34%	35%	34%	35%	27%	36%	39%
ge Other	1%	++++ 2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
DK/NR	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%
Chi $\frac{1}{2}$: $\pm \dots$ points around 50%:	3	4	- 5	7	(.05) 5	7	6	(.10)	6	- 5	4	5	- 5	3	(.01) 5	5	5	7

	_	Locat	ion			Cluster		
_	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
LANG								
Unweighted n: English	1427 51%	1313 50%	114 70% ++++	310 53%	302 50%	219 51%	281 56% +	197 44%
French	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Ojibway	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%
Cree	8%	9%	0%	9%	10%	11%	3%	7%
Montagnais	0%	++++ 0%	1%	0%	0%	+ 0%	0%	0%
Mic Mac	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Mohawk	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Aboriginal langua	34%	35%	24%	31%	33%	33%	35%	42%
Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	++ 2%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Chi2: ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 3	9	- 6	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey
What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

				P	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	ВС	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont	Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
EDUC																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Grade 8 or less	12%	13%	12%	19%	10%	12%	9%	98	5%	9 % 	12%	33*	26% ++++	80		8 -	15% ++++	10%	4%
Some high school	36%	31%	43%	43%	45%	28%	27%	27%	448	32%	34%	31%	74% ++++	0%	0%	0%	46%	25%	19%
High school graduate	25%	29%	21%	17%	27%	30%	26%	25%	35% ++++	25%	23%	13%	0%	100%	0%	0%	24%	30%	25%
Technical/Vocational/Co llege/CEGEP	12%	13%	15%	4%	4%	19%	19%	8%	9%	15%	13%	11%	0%	0%	68 %	0%	8 %	16%	19% ++++
Some university	6%	5%	5%	8 %	5%	2%	6%	18% ++++	5%	7%	6%	5%	0%	0%	32%	0%	3%	8*	10%
Undergraduate universit y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	6%	5%	3%	6%	6%	7%	9%	9%	2%	9 % +++	8 *	5%	0%	0%	0%	72 %	2%	8 %	16%
Graduate or post gradua te university degree (e	2 %	2 %	1%	2 %	3%.	2%	2 %	3%	0%	2 %	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	23%	1%	2%	6 %
Professional certificat	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1 % ++	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5% ++++	0%	0%	1%
ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng. Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)							(.01)				(.01)				(.01)		
tpoints around 50%:	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	4	7	4	5	6	8	4	5	6

		Moth				Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or	Empl. Rese	On/Of:		oyment	Туре		of Co	mmunity ent	
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	Off		Emplo Other	Not Emplo	Very	Some	Rare/ Never
EDUC																				
Unweighted n:	1427	698	39	687	609	818	887	540	151	250	97	353	663	140	545	339	540	378	612	433
Grade 8 or less	12%	7%	17%	18%	12%	13%	10%	16%	13%	10%	12%	4%	88	10%	88	12%	16%	11%	8%	19%
				++++				++++	++				-				++++			++++
Some high school	36%	34%	23%	38%	39%	32%	36%	35%	44%	39%	26%	17%	30%	33%	27%	34%	44%	27%	34%	44%
			-	++	+++				++++	++++							++++			++++
High school graduate	25%	31%	18%	20%	26%	25%	26%	24%	34%	29%	28%	23%	27%	28%	25%	30%	23%	25%	27%	24%
		++++							+							++				
Technical/Vocational/Co	12%	14%	21%	98	10%	14%	12%	12%	68	13%	16%	22%	15%	15%	16%	14%	7%	12%	16%	6%
llege/CEGEP		+++	+		-	+						++++			++++				++++	
Some university	6%	5%	4 %	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	1%	68	7%	10%	7%	5%	88	5%	4 %	7%	7%	3 %
***************************************												+++			++				+	
Undergraduate universit	6%	6%	6%	68	5%	7%	68	6%	0%	3 %	5%	17%	98	88	12%	3₺	3 %	12%	5%	3%
y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	•											++++			++++			++++		
Graduate or post gradua	2%	2%	4 %	2%	1%	3 ₹	3 %	1%	0%	0%	3 %	6%	3 %	2%	4 %	1%	1%	4 %	2%	0%
te university degree (e Professional certificat	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	++ 1%	++ 1%	0%				++++			++++	-		++		
ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.	Ų ti	0.8	0.48	1.4	∪*	1.8	T.4	0.8	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0.8	1%	1%	0%
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++ 0%	0%		++ 0%	0%	0%			
Other (specify)	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.8	015	0.48	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	0%	0*	0.8	0.8	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	7%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
			++++		++						+	-				++			-	
Chi ² :		(.01)			(.01)		(.05)		(.01)				-		(.01)			(.01)		
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

INAC On-Reserve Survey
What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

		Int	ernet U				Econ. F Next 12			ormance l Govern		Contact Last 3			irst Na ndard o		ng	
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
EDUC																2.50		204
Unweighted n: Grade 8 or less	1427 12%	730 21%	447	223 2%	357 3%	203 2%	285 3*	954 11%	308 12%	438 12%	599 11%	348 14%	345 7%	1079 14%	372 9%	368 7%	431 16%	226 17%
Some high school	36%	43%	28%	26%	22%	12%	37%		42%	28%	42%	35%	21%	40%	23%	31%	+++ 47%	+++ 45%
High school graduate	25%	++++ 19%	32%	31%	31%	35%	*+++ 33%	-	++ 19%	24%	**** 25%	28%	27%	++++ 25%	26%	29%	++++ 22%	+++ 25%
Technical/Vocational/Co	12%	8%	14%	19%	20%	18%	12%	12%	9%	15%	11%	10%	17%	10%	14%	14%	10%	9%
llege/CEGEP Some university	6%	2%	8%	11%	10%	11%	8%	5%	7%	++ 7%	5%	5%	11%	4%	9%	9% +++	2%	1%
Undergraduate universit	6%	3%	10%	8%	9%	16%	6%	6%	6%	10%	5%	5%	12% ++++	4%	148	7%	2%	2%
y degree (e.g., BA, BSc Graduate or post gradua	2%	1%	3%	4%	4%,	++++ 5%	1%	1%	4 % ++	++++ 3% +++	1%	2%	5%	1%	4%	3%	0%	1%
te university degree (e Professional certificat	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng. Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	4	- 5	7	(.01) 5	7	6	(.01) 3	6	(.01) 5	4	5	(.01) 5	3	(.01) 5	5	5	7

		Locat	ion			Cluster		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
EDUC								
Unweighted n:	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Grade 8 or less	12%	12%	13%	8%	14%	15%	10%	12%
Some high school	36%	36%	29%	37%	42%	42%	27%	35%
3					++	+		
High school graduate	25%	26%	21%	27%	25%	23%	29%	22%
							+	
Technical/Vocational/Co llege/CEGEP	12%	12%	14%	12%	10%	12%	13%	12%
Some university	6%	6%	6%	8%	3%	3%	9%	5%
•				++			+++	
Undergraduate universit	68	6%	12%	5%	5%	3%	9%	9%
y degree (e.g., BA, BSc			++				++	++
Graduate or post gradua	2%	2%	48	. 2%	1%	28	2%	4 %
te university degree (e					-			++
Professional certificat ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1% +++	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		_		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	3	9	6	6	7	6	7

INAC On-Reserve Survey
What is your annual household INCOME from all sources?

				P:	rovince					Age	Group			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	вс	Alta	Sask	Man	Ont (Quebec	Atlan	<25	25-34	35-54	55+	LS HS	HS Grad	Tech	Univ	<\$20K	20-39	\$40K+
INCME																			
Unweighted n:	1427	206	200	200	214	201	203	203	289	352	579	203	652	342	279	147	582	394	251
Less than \$10,000	22%	22%	27%	33%	23%	14%	16%	17%	25%	22%	16%	29%	30%	20%	11%	5%	52%	0%	0%
			++	++++	200	218	24%	17%	19%	23%	18%	+++ 20%	++++ 23%	20%	15%	9%	++++ 48%	0%	0%
\$10-\$19K	20%	19%	18%	18%	20%	21%	248	1/8	198	238	184	2016	4++	2018	154		++++		0.8
\$20~\$29K	16%	11%	14%	17%	14%	20%	21%	14%	14%	15%	19%	16%	13%	21%	20%	10%	0%	60%	0%
320° 32 3K	104	116	140	1,0	110	+	++	110		-54	++	200		+++	+			++++	
\$30-\$39K	11%	15%	10%	5%	10%	11%	12%	12%	6%	13%	13%	11%	7%	10%	17%	22%	0%	40%	0%
****		++								+	++				++++	++++		++++	
\$40-\$49K	68	98	4 %	3%	7%	98	5%	68	3∜	7%	10%	3 %	4 %	88	98	14%	0%	0%	38%
				-		+					++++	-			+	++++			++++
\$50-\$59K	4 %	2 %	2 %	3%	3%	8%	3%	3 %	3 %	4 %	5%	2%	1%	4 %	7%	9%	0%	0%	22%
000 000	2 %	1%	2 %	5%	28	++++ 0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	+++ 3%	++++ 8%	0%	0%	11%
\$60-\$69K	28	18	2 8	++++	2%,	V 16	28	28	2 6	1.0	2 6	1.0		1.0	, ,	++++			++++
\$70-\$79K	2%	3%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	48	7%	0%	0%	10%
QTO QTOR					+	-					++				+++	++++			++++
\$80K or above	3 %	4 %	5%	1%	3 %	2%	3%	5%	4 %	3%	4 %	1%	2 %	2 %	6%	7%	0%	0%	19%
			+												+++	+++			++++
DK/NR	15%	15%	16%	15%	15%	13%	13%	21%	24%	10%	10%	15%	20%	11%	9%	8%	0%	0%	0%
								++	++++				++++				/ 011		
Chi ² :	3	(.01) 7	-	7	7	7	7	7	(.01) 6	5	4	7	(.01)	5	6	8	(.01)	5	6
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	,	,	,	,	′	,	. 0	5	4	,	4	5	0		4	5	0

		Moth	er Ton	igue	Ger	nder	Child Under		Emp	ployme	nt Sect	or		On/Off		oyment	Туре		of Com	nmunity ent
	Total	Engl	Fre	Abor	Male	Fem	Yes	No	Lab	Semi- Skill	Skill	Prof	On	off		Emplo Other		Very	Some	Rare/ Never
INCME																				
Unweighted n: Less than \$10,000	1427 22%	698 19%	39 3%	687 25% +++	609 20%	818 23%	887 21%	540 23%	151 20%	250 18%	97 5%	353 6%	663 13%	140 11%	545 6%	339 21%	540 36%	378 15%	612 20%	433 29%
\$10-\$19K	20%	21%	39%	18%	20%	20%	19%	21%	++++ 28%	++++ 24%	21%	9%	18%	16%	12%	27%	++++ 22%	16%	20%	++++ 22%
\$20-\$ 2 9K	16%	16%	+++ 15%	17%	17%	15%	16%	17%	14%	++ 20%	25%	19%	21%	16%	21%	++++ 16%	12%	16%	17%	15%
\$30-\$39K	11%	10%	19%	10%	11%	10%	12%	98	9%	15%	15%	18%	15%	15%	++++ 19%	8%	4%	14%	12%	7%
\$40-\$49K	6%	8 %	+ 5%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	5%	4%	10%	+ 14% ++++	98	9%	++++ 12% ++++	5%	3%	11%	7%	3%
\$50-\$59K	4 %	3 %	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2 %	3%	4%	8%	5%	6%	7%	3%	2%	++++ 6%	5%	1%
\$60-\$69K	2%	2%	2 %	2 %	2%	2 %	2 %	2 %	1%	2%	1%	++++ 6% ++++	3 %	3 %	++++ 4% ++++	2 %	0%	++ 3%	1%	2 %
\$70-\$79K	2 %	2%	3 %	2 %	2%	2 %	2 %	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	2%	68	4%	1%	0%	++ 3%	2%	1%
\$80K or above	3%	3 %	2 %	3%	4 %	2 %	3%	3 %	0%	3 %	2 %	++++ 7% ++++	4%	+++ 5%	++++ 6%	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%
DK/NR	15%	16%	8%	14%	13%	16%	14%	16%	19%	10%	16%	88	11%	14%	10%	15%	19%	10%	15%	19%
Chi²:		(.05)			_		_		++++ (.01)				_		.01		++++	.01		+++
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	4	16	4	4	3	3	4	8	6	10	5	4	8	4	5	4	5	4	5

		Int	Internet Use			Internet Access Econ. Future Next 12 Mos.				Performance of Contact w/ Go Federal Government Last 3 Mos				't First Nations Standard of Living				
	Total	Non- User	Light	Heavy	Home	Work	Other	Better	Worsen	Poor	Aver	Good	Yes	No	Much Worse	Somewt Worse	Same	Better
INCME																		
Unweighted n:	1427	730	447	223	357	203	285	954	308	438	599	348	345	1079	372	368 21%	431 26%	226
Less than \$10,000	22%	30%	15%	98	98	68	18%	19%	30%	17%	25%	22%	13%	24%	15%	218	268	23%
\$10-\$19K	20%	22%	17%	21%	15%	15%		19%	24%	18%	21%	21%	17%	21%	16%	19%	22%	22%
\$20-\$29K	16%	13%	19%	19%	19%	17%	18%	18%	12%	19%	14%	16%	17%	16%	17%	16%	18%	12%
\$30-\$39K	11%	9%	11%	14%	13%	18%	8%	10%	11%	12%	11%	8%	16%	98	14%	10%	8%	12%
						+++					5%	6%	++++		++	7%	3%	
\$40-\$49K	68	5%	6%	11%	9%	11%	7%	7%	5%	9%	58	6.8	10%	6%	12%	/ %	3 %	5%
\$50-\$59K	4 %	3%	5%	++ 6%	7%	8%	2%	3%	4 %	++ 5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	7%	4 %	1%	3%
						++					20	10	7.0	24	++++	3%	19.	0.8
\$60-\$69K	2%	1%	4 %	3%	5%,	5%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3 %	3 %	1%	0%
\$70-\$79K	2%	1%	3%	4 %	4%	5%	2%	++ 2*	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
\$80K or above	3%	1%	6%	3%	7%	8%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	+++ 6%	2%	6%	48	1%	2%
			+		+					+++			++++		++++		100	100
DK/NR	15%	15%	15%	10%	13%	8%	19%		11%	10%	15%	18%	98	17%	7%	15%	19%	19%
Chi²:			. 05		.01		+++	. 01		.01		++	.01	++++	(.01)		+++	++
tpoints around 50%:	3	4	. 05	7	5	7	6	3	6	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	7

		Locat	ion	Cluster				
	Total	Rural	Urban	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
INCME								
Unweighted n: Less than \$10,000	1427	1313	114	310	302	219	281	197
Less than \$10,000	22%	22%	17%	23%	22%	23%	13%	28%
\$10-\$19K	20%	20%	19%	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%
\$20-\$29K	16%	16%	17%	14%	15%	22%	18%	13%
\$30-\$39K	11%	10%	15%	13%	8%	++ 5%	13%	12%
450 4500	-10	200	230	+	0 8		+	12 0
\$40-\$49K	6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	7%	9%	6%
\$50-\$59K	4 %	4 %	4%	4%	3%	2%	7%	4%
\$60-\$69K	2 %	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	++ 2%	2%
				•		-		
\$70-\$79K	2 %	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3*
\$80K or above	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%
DK/NR	15%	15%	18%	11%	19%	17%	13%	10%
en 1 a				-	+++			
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	3	9	(.01) 6	6	7	6	7
I Poinca around 30%;	3	3	9	0	6	,	6	7

Cluster

					Cluster		
		Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
SEX							
	Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Male		51%	49%	58%	44%	57%	45%
				+++		++	-
Female		49%	51%	42%	56%	43%	55%
					++		+
	Chi²:		. 01				
±poin	its around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Overall, thinking about your own economic future in the next 12 months, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly, or worsen a lot?

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q2						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Get much better	19%	15%	34%	17%	19%	8%
			++++			
Get slightly better	48%	58%	51%	51%	50%	35%
000 011g, 100001		+++				
Worsen slightly	13%	11%	6%	14%	14%	25%
						++++
Worsen a lot	98	5%	2%	10%	9%	24%
						++++
DK/NR	12%	11%	8%	88	8%	7%
Chi²:		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	• 7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

economic future

Cluster

		Clasel										
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt						
Q3												
Unweighted n:	686	166	136	100	126	111						
Get much better	24%	24%	35%	24%	25%	13%						
			+++									
Get slightly better	40%	39%	51%	48%	45%	29%						
			++									
Worsen slightly	12%	18%	4 %	12%	11%	17%						
		+++										
Worsen a lot	11%	6%	3%	13%	12%	27%						
						++++						
DK/NR	12%	12%	7%	2 %	88	14%						
				~ -		++						
Chi²:		. 01										
±points around 50%:	4	8	8	10	9	9						

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Overall, thinking about your children's <rot5 >, do you think it will get much better, slightly better, worsen slightly or worsen a lot?

quality of life

		Cluster										
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt						
Q3												
Unweighted n:	721	140	162	119	150	83						
Get much better	25%	11%	51%	23%	23%	10%						
			++++									
Get slightly better	40%	48%	37%	43%	46%	30%						
		+										
Worsen slightly	14%	25%	7%	15%	10%	23%						
		++++			-	++						
Worsen a lot	8%	4 %	0%	7%	11%	26%						
		_				++++						
DK/NR	13%	12%	6%	12%	11%	11%						
Chi ² :		.01										
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	9	8	11						

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

:				Cluster		
1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q4						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Health care	13%	13%	8%	13%	16%	12%
B					+	
Economy	4 %	7%	1%	5%	2%	7%
Unemployment	5%	+++ 6%	3%	4%	 5%	++ 3%
Education	12%	10%	16%	16%	9%	14%
		-	+		-	
Poverty	3%	2%	2%	5%	3 %	68
						++
Taxes	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%
Debt	1%	2%	1%	. 08	+	1.0
Debc	1 %	∠* +++	18	0.8	0%	1%
Environment	4 %	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%
Dirvitoriment	40	3.6	9.5	4.0	5.6	40
Trade	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Canadian unity	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	28
				++		
Immigration	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Social services	7%	8%	5%	5%	4 %	98
Oning and duspins		+				
Crime and justice	2 %	4%	2 %	2%	2%	1%
Land claims	5%	3%	3%	3%	12%	6%
Duriu Cauamb	2.6	3.9	2.0	3.6	126/	6.5

PAGE: 5 (1/ 2)

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Thinking about the issues facing Canada today, which one would you say the Government of Canada should focus on most?

:				Cluster		
#	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Housing	3%	3%	3%	3%	5% +	2%
Aboriginal treaty right	8%	8%	4%	6%	14%	
Aboriginal self-government	2%	2%	2%	1%	2 %	1%
Other	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
DK/NR	26%	24%	41%	25%	14%	18%
			++++			
Chi?:		(.01)				
<pre>tpoints around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 6 (2/2)

echerally speaking, now would you rate the periormance excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q5				2.02		
Unweighted n:	1427 29%	310 23%	302 10%	219 20%	281 51%	197 56%
1001	2,00				++++	++++
Average	43%	5 2 %	46%	48%	35%	31%
		++++				
Good	25%	23%	42%	28%	13%	11%
DV ATD	2.0		++++			
DK/NR	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%
1 Terrible	12%	6%	3%	++ 6%	19%	37%
2	6%	2 %	1%	5%	13%	8%
3	12%	15%	6%	10%	18%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	43%	52%	46%	48%	35%	31%
5	15%	17%	22%	16%	10%	7%
6	4%	3%	7%	4%	1%	2%
7 Excellent	6%	4 %	13%	8 %	1%	2%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384	317	312	207	258	193
M	2 00	2 25				

3.97

1.19

.01

4.58

1.31

.01

4.13

1.40

.01

3.13

1.41

.01

2.77

1.62

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Mean:

Std dev:

Student's t:

3.80

1.52

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of service provided by the government of Canada? Please rate on a 7 point scale, where 1 is terrible, 7 is excellent, and the midpoint, 4 is neither good nor bad.

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q5B						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	32%	29%	98	25%	48%	63%
	268	- 449	35%	36%	++++ 36%	++++ 29%
Average	36%	44%	358	30%	30%	2 2 8
Good	29%	26%	52%	36%	14%	7%
good	2,0	200	++++	+++		
DK/NR	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%
,			++			
1 Terrible	12%	4%	2%	10%	16%	36%
2	7%	8%	1%	. 3%	14%	12%
3	13%	17%	6%	13%	18%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	44%	35%	36%	36%	29%
5	16%	19%	27%	17%	98	4%
6	7%	5%	14%	9%	2%	2%
7 Excellent	6%	2%	11%	11%	3 %	1%
Chi ² :		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384		306	210	260	195
Mean:	3.85		4.77	4.20	3.28	
Std dev:	1.58	1.20	1.27	1.62	1.49	1.53
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Unemployment

Cluster

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q7A Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance	on			-		
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Poor	48%	41%	21%	41%	67%	85%	
					++++	++++	
Average	29%	40%	32%	32%	24%	13%	
- 1		++++			===		
Good	20%	17%	46%	25%	7%	1%	
DK/NR	3%	1%	++++ 1%	+ 2%	2%	1%	
DR/ NR	3.0	1.9	1.4	28	2.5	T 42	
1 Terrible	21%	10%	88	17%	29%	58%	
2	10%	9%	4%	10%	14%	15%	
3	16%	21%	9%	13%	23%	11%	
4 Neither good nor bad	29%	40%	32%	32%	24%	13%	
5	12%	12%	24%	15%	6%	1%	
6	4%	2%	12%	4 %	1%	0%	
7 Excellent	4%	2%	98	6%	0%	0%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1390	317	314	211	257	195	
Mean:	3.29	3.51	4.36	3.55	2.66	1.81	
Std dev:	1.65	1.32	1.56	1.68	1.37	1.13	
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	. 05	.01	. 01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Cluster Middle Of Super Concerned Super Content Insecure Activist Discontnt Total The Road Q7B Gov't of Canada's performance on... 310 219 281 197 302 Unweighted n: 1427 88 22% 48% 59% 25% Poor 30% ++++ ++++ 30% 26% 37% 23% 32% Average 29% +++ ---68% 45% 22% 14% 38% Good 39% ++++ 1% 1% 1% DK/NR 1% 1% 1% 3 % 3% 88 16% 32% 11% 1 Terrible 12% 68 1% 48 11% 2 7% 17% 10% 4 % 21% 15% 13% 3 37% 23% 32% 30% 26% 4 Neither good nor bad 29% 26% 24% 20% 13% 98 19% 5 10% 4 % 1% 88 78 17% 6 27% 15% 5% 4 % 5% 7 Excellent 12% (.01) Chi2: 6 7 6 ±...points around 50%: 3 6 261 195 214 Weighted n for mean: 1411 320 316 2.87 4.43 3.44 Mean: 4.13 4.19 5.23 1.68 1.58 1.65 Std dev: 1.72 1.26 1.48

.01

.01

.01

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Student's t:

01	 ~+	_	•

	Cluster					
:	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7C Gov't of Canada's perfe	ormance	on				
Unweighted n:	1427	310	3 0 2	219	281	197
Poor	41%	40%	16%	27%	60%	75%
2					++++	++++
Average	31%	36%	34%	41%	28%	18%
Good	25%	23%	48%	+++ 30%	10%	6%
9000	25%	238	++++	30%	104	
DK/NR	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
1 Terrible	17%	8%	3 %	11%	29%	46%
2	7%	8%	1%	3%	13%	15%
2	, ,	0.6	1.6	3.6	13*	13*
3	17%	25%	12%	13%	18%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	36%	34%	41%	28%	18%
4 Neither good hor bad	31.6	30%	340	41.0	20%	10*
5	13%	16%	20%	17%	9%	5%
			100	7.		
6	6%	4 %	12%	7%	1%	0 %
7 Excellent	6%	3 %	15%	7%	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3		6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1389		314	211	259	195
Mean:	3.57	3.69	4.69	3.98	2.80	2.23
Std dev:	1.66	1.34	1.44	1.53	1.45	1.39
Student's t:	*	_	. 01	. 01	. 01.	. 01

		Cluster				
:	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7D Gov't of Canada's perfe	on					
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	39%	37%	13%	28%	60%	72%
_ 3		220		2.49	++++	++++ 18%
Average	27%	33%	25%	34%	22%	184
Good	33%	+++ 29%	60%	38%	18%	10%
Good	331	298	++++	+		
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
DK/ NK	1.0	+		• •		
1 Terrible	16%	7%	4%	14%	25%	43%
2	9%	8 %	3%	4%	16%	18%
3	14%	22%	6%	, 10%	20%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	33%	25%	34%	22%	18%
5	14%	16%	20%	14%	11%	5%
6	10%	8%	20%	9%	5%	3%
7 Excellent	9%	6%	21%	15%	2%	1%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1408	318	316	215	263	197
Mean:	3.82	3.88	4.98	4.18	3.00	2.40
Std dev:	1.81	1.46	1.58	1.83	1.60	1.56
Student's t:	*	-	. 01	.01	. 01.	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

On-Reserve Sur Improving Job skills

	Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7E Gov't of Canada's perfo	rmance	on				
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	35%	32%	10%	30%	44%	70%
					++++	++++
Average	32%	42%	30%	30%	36%	23%
03	2.00	++++	500	200		
Good	30%	25%	59%	38%	17%	5%
DK/NR	3%	0%	++++ 1%	+++ 1%	3%	3%
DK/ NK	ه د	-	1.2	1.6	316	3 6
1 Terrible	14%	7%	4 %	12%	16%	39%
2	7%	7%	1%	4%	11%	17%
3	14%	17%	5%	. 14%	17%	14%
4 Neither good nor bad	32%	42%	30%	30%	36%	23%
5	16%	17%	23%	23%	14%	2%
6	8 %	6%	18%	8%	2%	1%
7 Excellent	6%	3%	17%	8%	1%	1%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1385	320	314	213	256	192
Mean:	3.80	3.84	4.93	4.04	3.33	2.39
Std dev:	1.65	1.33	1.45	1.62	1.41	1.41
Student's t:	*	-	. 01	.05	. 01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Cluster						
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q7F Gov't of Canada's perfo	ormance	on						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197		
Poor	50%	48%	23%	43%	68%	79%		
					++++	++++		
Average	27%	35∜	32%	32%	20%	16%		
		+++	++					
Good	21%	16%	43%	25%	11%	5%		
			++++					
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%		
			+		3.00	F.4.9		
1 Terrible	23%	12%	7%	22%	32%	54%		
2	11%	11%	6%	7%	16%	16%		
3	16%	25%	10%	14%	20%	9%		
4 Neither good nor bad	27%	35%	32%	32%	20%	16%		
5	10%	8%	15%	13%	8%	3%		
6	5%	4%	12%	4%	2%	1%		
7 Excellent	6%	4%	15%	9%	2%	1%		
Chi ² :		(.01)						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7		
Weighted n for mean:	1401	320	313	214	262	195		
Mean:	3.32	3.44	4.42		2.67			
Std dev:	1.76	1.47	1.70	1.81	1.51	1.40		
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.10	.01,	.01		

Cluster

		Clustel					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q7G Gov't of Canada's perfo							
Unweighted n: Poor	1427 31%	310 25%	302 9%	219 22%	281 48%	197 65%	
POO1	318	238	23	223	++++	++++	
Average	36%	47%	34%	45%	33%	24%	
		++++		+++			
Good	26%	24%	54%	27%	13%	5%	
DK/NR	7%	4%	++++ 4%	7%	 6 %	 7%	
DR/ NR	7.8	4.5	4.6	7.5	0.8	/ 8	
1 Terrible	10%	3%	0 %	5%	18%	33%	
2	7%	6%	2%	5%	10%	15%	
3	14%	17%	6%	, 11%	21%	17%	
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	47%	34%	45%	33%	24%	
5	16%	17%	30%	13%	10%	4%	
6	5%	4 %	12%	7%	2%	0%	
7 Excellent	5%	4%	11%	7%	1%	1%	
Chi 2 :		.01					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1331	310	305	202	246	184	
Mean:	3.83	3.99	4.80	4.09	3.19	2.52	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.50	1.15	1.19	1.40	1.40	1.39	
student's t:		. 05	.01	. 01	.01	.01	

.01

1.00

1.60 0.96

.01 .01 .01

;

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q7H Gov't of Canada's perfo	ormance	on					
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Poor	57%	57%	21%	49%	92%	89%	
					++++	++++	
Average	24%	32%	34%	34%	7%	10%	
		++++	++++	++++			
Good	13%	9%	39%	13%	0%	0%	
			++++				
DK/NR	6%	1%	5%	4%	1%	1%	
			++++	+			
1 Terrible	29%	12%	5%	21%	61%	66%	
2	12%	15%	5%	11%	19%	17%	
3	16%	30%	12%	17%	12%	6%	
4 Neither good nor bad	24%	32%	34%	34%	7%	10%	
5	7%	6%	18%	6%	0%	0%	
6	3%	1%	11%	2%	0%	0%	
7 Excellent	4 %	2%	10%	5%	0%	0%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3		6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1348		302	207	261	196	
Mean:	2.89		4.36	3.21	1.65	1.60	
0-1	1 (7	1 20	1 51	1 60	Λ 96	1 00	

1.26

.01

1.51

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Std dev:

Student's t:

1.67

On-Research Sur Supporting Aboriginal self government

		Cluster					
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q7I Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance (on					
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Poor	41%	39%	8%	27%	79%	74%	
					++++	++++	
Average	31%	40%	39%	46%	18%	17%	
0.1		+++	+++	++++			
Good	20%	19%	51%	21%	1%	1%	
DV/ND	7.0	2.0	++++				
DK/NR	7%	2%	2%	6%	2%	8%	
1 Terrible	18%	5%	1%	++ 13%	200	++++	
1 Terrible	18 4	515	1.5	138	38%	49%	
2	10%	10%	2%	5%	24%	14%	
3	13%	25%	5%	, 9%	17%	11%	
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	40%	39%	46%	18%	17%	
5	11%	14%	23%	15%	1%	0%	
6	3%	2%	10%	2%	0%	1%	
7 Excellent	5%	2%	17%	4%	0%	0%	
Chi²:		.01					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1322	314	313	203	257	182	
Mean:	3.42	3.67	4.85	3.71	2.18	1.99	
Std dev:	1.67	1.20	1.33	1.46	1.17	1.23	
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Cluster						
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q7J Gov't of Canada's perfo	rmance	on						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197		
Poor	37%	34%	11%	25%	59%	65%		
					++++	++++		
Average	34%		35%	44%	26%	28%		
		+++		+++				
Good	24%	21%	49%	27%	11%	5%		
		2.0	++++	4%	4%	1%		
DK/NR	5%	3 %	5%	4.6	4 6	1.6		
1 Terrible	14%	6%	* 3 %	9%	25%	34%		
2	9%	8%	1%	5%	17%	17%		
3	14%	20%	7%	, 10%	17%	13%		
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	42%	35%	44%	26%	28%		
5	13%	15%	21%	15%	7%	2%		
6	5%	4 %	11%	5%	2%	1%		
7 Excellent	6%	3%	17%	7%	2%	2%		
Chi?:		.01						
tpoints around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7		
Weighted n for mean:	1353		302	207	253	194		
Mean:	3.67		4.80	3.98	2.86	2.58		
Std dev:	1.63	1.25	1.44	1.48	1.50			
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.01	.01	.01		

On-Research Sur Managing the economy

				Cluster		
		Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q7K Gov't of Canada's perf	ormance	on				
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Poor	32%	27%	9%	26%	49%	67%
					++++	++++
Average	36%	44%	37%	40%	36%	25%
		+++				
Good	27%	27%	50%	29%	12%	5%
			++++			
DK/NR	5%	3%	4 %	5%	3 %	2%
1 Terrible	11%	4%	1%	10%	19%	32%
2	7%	6%	1%	5%	10%	16%
3	14%	17%	7%	10%	19%	19%
4 Neither good nor bad	36%	44%	37%	40%	36%	25%
5	17%	21%	23%	22%	9%	4 %
6	5%	2%	16%	2%	1%	0%
7 Excellent	5%	3%	11%	5%	1%	1%
Chi²:		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1350	312	306	206	255	193
Mean:	3.80	3.96	4.78	3.90	3.17	2.58
06.3.3			-	1 11	5 1 7 3	

1.18

.01

1.25

.01

1.46

1.41

.01

1.40

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

1.52

Std dev:

Student's t:

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q7L Gov't of Canada's perfo	ormance	on					
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Poor	30%	27%	6%	24%	46%	68%	
					++++	++++	
Average	31%	38%	23%	33%	35%	23%	
		+++			+		
Good	35%	33%	68%	39%	15%	8%	
			++++				
DK/NR	4 %	2%	3 %	4%	3%	1%	
1 Terrible	11%	3%	1%	+ 10%	14%	37%	
2	8%	6%	1%	4%	14%	18%	
3	12%	17%	4%	10%	19%	13%	
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	38%	23%	33%	35%	23%	
5	18%	21%	25%	19%	11%	5%	
6	8%	7%	19%	8%	2%	2%	
7 Excellent	9%	5%	24%	11%	2%	1%	
Chi:		.01					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6		6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1373	316	309	207	254		
Mean:	4.02	4.11	5.28		3.31		
Std dev:	1.69	1.30	1.34		1.42		
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.05	.01	.01	

÷

Cluster

			Clustel						
		Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q8									
	Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197		
Yes		24%	20%	18%	24%	29%	32%		
			-			++	+++		
No		76%	79%	81%	76%	71%	68%		
				++					
DK/NR		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	Chi ² :		(.05)						
±poin	ts around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

How do you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received? Please use a 7-point scale, where 1 means very poor, 7 is very good and the midpoint 4 is neither good nor poor.

		Cluster				
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q9	244	68	54	51	85	63
Unweighted n: Poor	344 42%	36%	17%	28%	56%	63%
1001	-				+++	++++
Average	23%	27%	24%	15%	25%	22%
Good	35%	37%	59%	56%	20%	14%
			++++	++++		
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Very poor	19%	7%	8%	17%	20%	41%
2	8%	10%	2%	. 3%	9%	9%
3	15%	19%	7%	8%	26%	13%
4 Neither good nor poor	23%	27%	24%	15%	25%	22%
5	10%	14%	15%	8%	5%	9%
6	11%	11%	19%	15%	10%	3 %
7 Very good	14%	13%	25%	34%	5%	2%
Chi ² :		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5		13	14	11	12
Weighted n for mean:	334		59	51	76	62
Mean:	3.88		4.95	4.73	3.33	
Std dev:	2.00	1.71	1.78	2.24	1.70	
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Of 06 Inere are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

•				Cluster		
1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
COMM	, , ,					
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Television	77%	81%	81%	74%	77%	72%
Radio	66%	72%	73%	61%	61%	60%
		++	+++	-		
Weekly newspapers	64%	69%	71%	57%	57%	62%
		++	+++			
Daily newspapers	59%	63%	69%	53%	55%	57%
			++++			
Mail	66%	69%	76%	61%	59%	63%
			++++	-		
The Internet	40%	42%	49%	34%	42%	37%
			+++	`		
Posters	48%	49%	56%	48%	44%	43%
			+++			-
Telephone/Fax	3%	2%	4%	2 %	2%	3%
Pamphlets/newsletters	2 %	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
General meetings	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%
Personal visits/In pers	2%	2%	 0%	2%	2%	5%
on						++++
Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
					++	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	5%
orbin.		(0.1)			-	++++
Chi ² :	_	(.01)	_	_	_	_
±points around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

				Cluster		
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
PREF1					240	100
Unweighted n: Television	1309 42%	298 45%	284 39%	194 38%	249 42%	177 39%
101011011		+				
Radio	10%	98	9%	12%	7%	10%
Weekly newspapers	6%	7%	5%	4%	4 %	8%
Daily newspapers	15%	12%	17%	16%	15%	19%
Mail	12%	12%	11%	15%	15%	11%
The Internet	11%	11%	14%	11%	13%	
Posters	2 %	2%	2%	. 2%	2 %	3%
Telephone	0 %	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Personal visits	2 %	1%	1%	2%	2%	
Other	0%	0 %	1%	0%	1%	++ 0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:		++				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7

~1	
	ter

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
PREF2							
Unweighted n:	1171	270	271	167	217	156	
Television	25%	23%	26%	27%	29%	24%	
Radio	23%	20%	28%	24%	21%	23%	
			++				
Weekly newspapers	10%	11%	10%	11%	7%	10%	
Daily newspapers	18%	21%	17%	19%	19%	15%	
Mail	11%	10%	11%	98	10%	14%	
The Internet	7%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	
Posters	4 %	4%	2%	. 2%	4%	5%	
Telephone	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	
Personal visits	1%	++ 1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
al. i a		+					
Chi ² :	2	-	_		-		
±points around 50%:	3	6	6	8	7	8	

INAC On-Reserve Survey And of(READ LIST), which one is the third MOST useful?

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
PREF3		-				
Unweighted n:	970	224	236	131	175	132
Television	10%	6%	13%	12%	11%	11%
Radio	24%	24%	24%	27%	26%	20%
Weekly newspapers	16%	16%	14%	22%	15%	18%
meenly newspapers	10.	100	110	+		
Daily newspapers	14%	16%	13%	10%	12%	18%
Mail	16%	18%	19%	12%	11%	18%
The Internet	10%	10%	9%	8%	15%	7%
					++	
Posters	8%	7%	7%	10%	7%	6%
Telephone	1%	0%	0%	0%	3 %	2 %
			0.00		+++	
Personal visits	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:	-	- 7	6	9	7	9
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	,	0	,	,	,

would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal television?

Cluster Middle Of Super Concerned Super Content Insecure Activist Discontnt Total The Road TV Unweighted n: 1112 251 246 164 218 143 Aboriginal 54% 52% 54% 45% 60% 62% Non-Aboriginal 32% 34% 36% 37% 40% 26% DK/NR 12% 12% 98 16% 14% 68 Chi2: .01 ±...points around 50%: 6 8 7 8 3 6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

01/09/06

Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal radio?

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
RADIO						
Unweighted n:	948	220	220	135	172	122
Aboriginal	60%	64%	59%	48%	70%	60%
•					+++	
Non-Aboriginal	31%	30%	35%	40%	21%	30%
				++		
DK/NR	98	6%	6%	12%	98	10%
,				++		
Chi²:		.01				
. nointe around EOS.	2	7	7	8	7	9

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

÷

On-Record Sur | Would you prefer to receive information from the government of Canada through Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal newspapers

•		Cluster					
1	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
NEWS							
Unweighted n:	1044	237	238	153	192	144	
Aboriginal	55%	52%	56%	50%	64%	59%	
				-	++		
Non-Aboriginal	36%	39%	37%	43%	28%	34%	
				+			
DK/NR	9%	9%	7%	7%	9%	7%	
Chi²:		_					
<pre>+points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	8	7	8	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
In what language would you like to receive information from the Government of Canada?

				Cluster		
1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q15 Unweighted n: English	1391	300	297 82%	216 88%	271 82%	194 84%
French	4%		5%	+	3%	
Ojibway	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	5% ++++
Cree	6%		8%		5%	
Montagnais Mic Mac	1%		1%		1%	
Mohawk	1%		1%		++ 4%	
Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 1% ++	0%
DK/NR	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 6	6	7	6	7

~1	 •	+	0	~

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q17A							
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Disagree	27%	22%	9%	22%	37% ++++	59%	
Neither	22%	26%	16%	19%	25%	++++ 24%	
	223	+		250	230	210	
Agree	49%	52%	74%	58%	37%	15%	
			++++	+++			
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
1 Strongly disagree	10%	5%	2%	9%	16%	26%	
2	6%	3%	2 %	5%	8%	17%	
3	11%	14%	5%	8%	13%	17%	
4 Neither	22%	26%	16%	19%	25%	24%	
5	18%	21%	22%	15%	17%	7%	
6	12%	13%	17%	19%	8%	2%	
7 Strongly agree	19%	18%	35%	25%	12%	5%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1411	322	317	215	259	195	
Mean:	4.48	4.67	5.46	4.82	3.91	2.99	
Std dev:	1.87	1.61	1.52	1.88	1.86	1.69	
Student's t:	*	. 05	.01	.01	.01	. 01	

		Cluster				
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q17B						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	15%	88	10%	12%	22%	30%
					++++	++++
Neither	27%	33%	21%	25%	29%	32%
		++			400	3.68
Agree	55%	58%	69%	59%	48%	36%
			++++	2.0		3%
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	3 1
			1%	++ 6%	7%	16%
1 Strongly disagree	6%	2%	1.4	0.8	/18	104
2	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%	3 %
3	6%	4 %	6%	, 3%	9%	11%
4 Neither	27%	33%	21%	25%	29%	32%
5	16%	18%	19%	19%	14%	12%
6	14%	15%	15%	14%	12%	7%
7 Strongly agree	25%	25%	36%	26%	22%	16%
Chi ² :		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1392	319	318	209	259	
Mean:	4.92		5.40	5.02	4.62	
Std dev:	1.72		1.52	1.71	1.80	
Student's t:	*	.05	.01	-	. 01	.01

C1	

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q17C							
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Disagree	29%	23%	9%	20%	45%	55%	
32. 2. 12					++++	++++	
Neither	31%	32%	25%	35%	37%	32%	
Agree	39%	44%	66%	44%	++	129	
Agree	320	++	++++	445	18%	13%	
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
						0.0	
1 Strongly disagree	12%	5%	3%	7%	17%	31%	
2	7%	4%	3%	7%	14%	11%	
3	10%	14%	3%	. 6%	13%	12%	
4 Neither	31%	32%	25%	35%	37%	32%	
5	15%	24%	19%	13%	11%	5%	
6	11%	11%	19%	14%	2%	5%	
7 Strongly agree	13%	9%	28%	17%	5%	3%	
Chi:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1412	321	318	215	262	196	
Mean:	4.17	4.36	5.21	4.51	3.35	2.95	
Std dev:	1.78	1.46	1.56	1.73	1.57	1.67	
Student's t:	*	.05	. 01	.01	.01	.01	

01/09/06 INAC On-Reserve Survey

8

9

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number on First Nations governance?

******IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-550-1540*****

9

10

Cluster Middle Of Super Concerned Super Content Insecure Activist Discontnt Total The Road Q10A 128 97 145 149 111 Unweighted n: 694 7 % 12% 16% 11% 7% Very aware 10% 14% 17% 20% 88 19% Somewhat aware 16% 17% 19% 23% 10% 14% 17% Not very aware 62% 67% 56% Not at all aware 56% 45% 0% 0% 08 0% DK/NR 1% 1% Chi2: (.05)

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

±...points around 50%:

On-Keepe Sur would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of the toll-free number or the Government of Canada *****IF ASKED - THAT NUMBER IS 1-800-0-CANADA*****

:		Cluster				
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q10B Unweighted n: Very aware	733 15%	165 18%	153 16%	108	153 11%	100
Somewhat aware	19%	17%	22%	24%	17%	19%
Not very aware	17%	21%	16%	20%	17%	13%
Not at all aware	49%	43%	47%	38%	55%	52%
DK/NR	0%	2%	08	0%	08	0%
Chi ² :	4	-	0	۵	٥	1.0

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

01/09/06

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you say you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the government main web site for Aboriginal people?

IF ASKED----The site address is " www.aboriginalcanada.gc.ca"?*

:		Cluster				
1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q11 Unweighted n: Very aware	1427 9%	310 8%	302 14%	219 7%	281 9%	197 8%
Somewhat aware	17%	17%	23%	19%	16%	11%
Not very aware	17%	18%	21%	17%	14%	11%
Not at all aware	56%	57%	42%	55%	61 % +	70% ++++
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2 % +++	0%	0%
Chi ² : <u>tpoints</u> around 50%:	3	(.01) 6	6	, 7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

on-Reference Survive would you say the system of government on reserves in Canada is? Please respond using a 7-point scale where I means not at all, 7 means extremely, and the mid-point 4 means somewhat. By democratic we mean open, fair, responsive and accountable.

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q18							
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Low	21%	16%	7%	21%	17%	55%	
Moderate	61%	71%	64%	64%	66%	++++ 36%	
1.0402400	010	++++	0.10	0.10	00%		
High	13%	9%	26%	10%	14%	5%	
_			++++	-			
DK/NR	48	4 %	3%	6%	3%	3%	
				++			
1 Not at all	14%	88	4 %	13%	11%	45%	
2	7%	8%	3%	, 7%	6%	10%	
3	8 %	12%	3%	9%	11%	9%	
4 Somewhat	40%	47%	42%	42%	43%	25%	
5	12%	12%	19%	12%	12%	3%	
6	5%	48	11%	2%	6%	0%	
7 Extremely	8 %	5%	15%	8%	8 %	5%	
Chí:		. 01					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	
Weighted n for mean:	1364	310	310	203	255	192	
Mean:	3.83	3.81	4.65	3.75	3.90	2.50	
Std dev:	1.67	1.40	1.47	1.62	1.58	1.73	
Student's t:	*	-	.01	-	-	.01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Generally speaking, do you think that the overall standard of living of First Nations people is better or worse than other Canadians or is it about the same?

		Cluster				
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q19						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Much worse	26%	19%	98	14%	42%	55∜
					++++	++++
Somewhat worse	26%	36%	18%	20%	29%	24%
		++++				
The same	31%	28%	48%	44%	16%	15%
			++++	++++		
Somewhat better	10%	12%	13%	12%	98	4%
			+			
Much better	5%	3%	10%	98	4 %	1%
			++++	++		
DK/NR	2%	2 %	2%	2%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Cluster

•	Cluster							
		ddle Of he Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q20A Extent thatwould	improve SOL	on reser						
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155		
Low extent	88	3%	2%	15%	6%	20%		
				++	_	++++		
Moderate extent	22%	25%	19%	30%	16%	25%		
II i ala contract			540	+				
High extent	69%	71%	76%	55%	79%	53%		
DK/NR	1%	1%	+ 3%	0%	++++ 0%	2%		
Dity int	1.6	1.0	++	0.8	0.6	28		
1 Not at all	48	0%	0%	6%	2%	14%		
2	1%	1%	1%	4 %	1%	1%		
3	3%	2%	1%	6%	2%	5%		
4 Somewhat	14%	15%	9%	21%	8%	19%		
5	8%	10%	10%	9%	7%	6%		
6	15%	17%	22%	10%	16%	8%		
7 A great deal	54%	54%	53%	45%	62%	45%		
Chi²:		(.01)						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	7	11	11	7	8		
Weighted n for mean:	727	176	84	74	186	153		
Mean:	5.83	6.03	6.19	5.32	6.15	5.11		
Std dev:	1.65	1.29	1.12	1.88	1.41	2.17		
Student's t:	*	. 05	.01	. 05	.01	. 01		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Increasing the amount of lands and resources available to First Nations people

		Cluster				
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20B Extent thatwould	improve S	OL on reserv	ve			
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155
Low extent	12%	11%	4%	19%	8%	19%
				++		+++
Moderate extent	26%	32%	37%	35%	17%	21%
		+	++	+		-
High extent	60%	56%	58%	42%	75%	60%
					++++	
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%
				++		
1 Not at all	6%	4%	2%	3%	4%	15%
2	3%	4%	0%	6%	2%	1%
3	3%	3%	2%	10%	2%	3%
4 Somewhat	14%	10%	21%	22%	10%	13%
5	13%	22%	17%	14%	7%	7%
6	11%	16%	14%	8%	13%	6%
7 A great deal	498	40%	44%	34%	62%	53%
Chi2:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4		11	11	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	721	175	86	72	185	154
Mean:	5.59	5.52	5.68	5.04	6.03	5.31
Std dev:	1.78		1.45	1.81	1.59	2.21
Student's t	*	_	_	.01	. 01	.10

	Cluster							
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q20C Extent thatwould								
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155		
Low extent	15%	14%	7%	21%	15%	20%		
						+		
Moderate extent	28%	37%	23%	32%	25%	27%		
		+++						
High extent	54%	49%	66%	45%	59%	50%		
DI /ND	2.0		++		+			
DK/NR	3%	1%	3%	3 %	1%	3%		
1 Not at all	8%	5%	3%	8%	8%	16%		
2	4 %	4 %	4%	11%	2%	4%		
3	3 %	5%	0%	2%	4%	1%		
4 Somewhat	18%	24%	15%	22%	16%	18%		
5	10%	13%	8%	10%	9%	9%		
6	11%	10%	15%	14%	11%	8%		
7 A great deal	43%	39%	51%	31%	47%	42%		
Chi ² :		(.05)						
±points around 50%:	4	7	11	11	7	8		
Weighted n for mean:	714	176	84	72	184	152		
Mean:	5.29	5.22	5.81	4.86	5.42	4.98		
Std dev:	1.95	1.79	1.64	2.01	1.93	2.24		
Student's t:	*	-	.01	.10	-/	.10		

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20D Extent thatwould Unweighted n:	improve S	OL on reserv	ve 80	77	199	155
Low extent	18%	13%	15%	19%	21%	24%
Moderate extent	38%	48%	38%	37%	33%	*+ 35*
High extent	38%	38%	42%	36%	41%	38%
DK/NR	6%	2%	6%	9%	6%	4 %
1 Not at all	9%	6%	7%	88	10%	14%
2	4%	1%	0%	5%	5%	7%
3	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	3 %
4 Somewhat	25%	29%	22%	26%	22%	27%
5	13%	19%	16%	11%	11%	8%
6	9%	16%	7%	7%	6%	8%
7 A great deal	29%	22%	35%	29%	35%	30%
Chi ² :		(.10)				
±points around 50%:	4		11	11	7	
Weighted n for mean:	691		82 5.12	68 4.78	176 4.87	150 4.57
Mean: Std dev:	4.82 1.93		1.83		2.04	
Student's t:	1.93	-	-	-	2.01	

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20E Extent thatwould	improve S	OL on reserv	ve			
Unweighted n:	343	75	39	31	91	71
Low extent	12%	11%	6%	11%	13%	23%
Moderate extent	42%	41%	48%	42%	38%	45%
High extent	40%	45%	42%	40%	46%	26%
DK/NR	6%	3%	4%	7%	3%	7%
1 Not at all	7%	7%	0%	7%	8 %	11%
2	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	6%
3	3%	2%	4%	. 0%	3%	5%
4 Somewhat	29%	28%	30%	30%	26%	36%
5	13%	13%	19%	12%	12%	9%
6	13%	16%	8%	17%	14%	8%
7 A great deal	27%	29%	34%	23%	32%	18%
Chi²:		_				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5	11	16	18	10	12
Weighted n for mean:	312	73	42	26	83	63
Mean:	4.97	5.07	5.35	4.94	5.09	4.28
Std dev:	1.76	1.76	1.44	1.79	1.85	1.88
Student's t:	*	-	. 10	_		0.1

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Improving the level of <rot9 > of governments on reserves
 accountability

		Cluster				
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q20E Extent thatwould	improve S	OL on reserv	<i>r</i> e		, •	
Unweighted n:	398	96	41	46	108	84
Low extent	13%	11%	4 %	14%	11%	22%
			-			+++
Moderate extent	40%	44%	44%	46%	37%	34%
High extent	43%	44%	50%	38%	48%	35%
DK/NR	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	9%
,						+++
1 Not at all	7%	1%	4 %	8%	4 %	19%
2	2%	1%	0%	4 %	3%	2%
3	4%	9%	0%	. 2%	5%	1%
4 Somewhat	23%	22%	16%	35%	19%	28%
5	17%	22%	28%	11%	18%	6%
6	11%	11%	24%	12%	10%	6%
7 A great deal	32%	32%	27%	25%	39%	28%
Chi ² :		(.05)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	5		15	14	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	386		42	46	97	
Mean:	5.10	5.30	5.46	4.79	5.37	
Std dev:	1.79	1.48	1.42	1.82	1.70	
Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	. 10	.01

:

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q20F Extent thatwould		OL on reser					
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155	
Low extent	23%	11%	2%	71%	7%	46%	
				++++		++++	
Moderate extent	38%	59%	25%	23%	35%	36%	
***		++++					
High extent	32%	28%	71%	0%	54%	11%	
DK/NR	7%	- 2%	++++ 2%		++++ 3%		
DIC/ INC	/ 15	28	28	6%	36	7% ++	
1 Not at all	13%	1%	1%	39%	4%	32%	
2	4%	1%	0%	19%	0%	7%	
3	6%	9%	1%	` 13%	3%	8%	
4 Somewhat	26%	38%	13%	20%	22%	30%	
5	12%	21%	12%	3%	13%	6%	
6	11%	13%	22%	0%	16%	4%	
7 A great deal	21%	16%	49%	0%	39%	7%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	7	11	11	7	8	
Weighted n for mean:	68 5	174	85	70	180	145	
Mean:	4.47	4.81	6.04	2.27	5.51	3.12	
Std dev:	1.99	1.32	1.23	1.32	1.58		
Student's t:	*	. 01	.01	.01	.01	.01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Improving community services, such as housing, water and sewers

•		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q20G Extent thatwould							
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155	
Low extent	10%	7%	5%	14%	9%		
Moderate extent	23%	24%	26%	22%	19%	++ 26%	
High extent	67%	68%	70%	62%	73%	59%	
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	
DR/ WR	10			+++			
1 Not at all	4 %	2 %	2%	1%	4 %	12%	
2	3%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	
3	2 %	1%	1%	10%	3%	0%	
4 Somewhat	12%	10%	15%	14%	10%	17%	
5	11%	14%	10%	8%	9%	9%	
6	16%	18%	18%	23%	14%	12%	
7 A great deal	51%	50%	51%	39%	58%	47%	
Chi ² :		(.05)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	7	11	11	7	_	
Weighted n for mean:	729		86	72	186		
Mean:	5.76		5.91		5.95	_	
Std dev:	1.68		1.44	1.58	1.59		
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 05	.01	

C	٦.	• ~	•	_	_

4		Clustel					
1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q20H Extent thatwould							
Unweighted n:	741	171	80	77	199	155	
Low extent	13%	15%	10%	12%	10%	19%	
Moderate extent	21%	20%	24%	32%	218	++	
Hoderate extent	218	201	248	321	21%	19%	
High extent	63%	64%	64%	53%	68%	58%	
				-	+		
DK/NR	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	
1 Not at all	8%	5%	5%	4%	88	16%	
2	2%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%	
3	3%	8%	2%	3%	1%	1%	
4 Somewhat	14%	10%	21%	23%	16%	12%	
5	7%	9%	3%	9%	5%	8%	
6	8%	9%	8%	7%	11%	3%	
7 A great deal	55%	55%	56%	46%	57%	55%	
Chi::		-					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	7	11	11	7	8	
Weighted n for mean:	715	175	85	72	183	150	
Mean:	5.60	5.67	5.68	5.38	5.74	5.32	
Std dev:	1.95	1.83	1.81	1.84	1.87	2.28	
Student's t:	*	~	-	-	-	.10	

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Strenghtening First Nations communities, by providing the tools for good governance will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves

:		Cluster				
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21A		*				
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Disagree	7%	5%	2%	15%	7%	13%
				++++	109	+++ 18%
Neither	19%	24%	13%	25%	18%	184
		++	84%	++ 56%	74%	65%
Agree	71%	70%		568	/46	05%
nu /1-n	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	4%
DK/NR	3 8	1.6	1.0	3*	28	++
1 Strongly disagree	3%	3%	2%	4%	4 %	7%
2	2%	0 %	0%	. 4%	1%	4 %
3	3%	3%	1%	7%	2 %	3%
4 Neither	19%	24%	13%	25%	18%	18%
5	17%	25%	15%	19%	14%	16%
6	18%	17%	24%	12%	19%	13%
7 Strongly agree	35%	28%	45%	26%	42%	37%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3		6	7	6	
Weighted n for mean:	1390		316	209	259	
Mean:	5.48	5.34	5.93	4.95	5.64	
Std dev:	1.54	1.41	1.27	1.67	1.56	
Student's t:	*	.10	.01	.01	. 05	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

	_	_	

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21B						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	3 02	219	281	197
Disagree	7%	6%	4 %	9%	9%	14%
		2.1				++++
Neither	9%	14%	5%	7%	10%	12%
Agree	83%	+++ 80%	91%	0.28	0.00	740
Agree	838	804		83%	80%	74%
DK/NR	1%	0%	++++ 1%	1%	1%	1%
21.7 M	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
1 Strongly disagree	3 %	2%	1%	3%	5%	9%
2	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%
3	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%
4 Neither	9%	14%	5%	7%	10%	12%
5	13%	19%	9%	14%	10%	9%
6	19%	23%	21%	13%	21%	10%
7 Strongly agree	51%	38%	61%	55%	49%	54%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1412	321	316	213	259	196
Mean:	5.90	5.68	6.28	5.93	5.82	5.59
Std dev:	1.52	1.42	1.21	1.56	1.62	1.98
Student's t:	*	. 01	.01	-	-/	.05

INAC On-Reserve Survey
Strengthening the <rot1 > of First Nations leaders to their citizens will improve conditions for economic and social development on reserves.

accountability

				Cluster		
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21C						
Unweighted n:	715	157	154	105 19%	139 6%	94 23%
Disagree	10%	3%	8%	198	-	++++
Neither	20%	31%	10%	25%	17%	16%
Netther	20%	++++	100	250	1,0	200
Agree	68%	64%	81%	55%	74%	61%
19100			++++		+	-
DK/NR	2%	1%	1%	2%	3 ₺	0%
·					+	
1 Strongly disagree	3 %	1%	2%	6%	1%	9%
2	3 %	0%	4%	10%	2%	4 %
3	3 %	2%	2%	3 %	2 %	10%
4 Neither	20%	31%	10%	25%	17%	16%
5	17%	16%	20%	17%	16%	13%
6	13%	22%	13%	10%	12%	6%
7 Strongly agree	38%	26%	48%	28%	46%	42%
Chi?:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	10	8	10
Weighted n for mean:	688	165	161	105	116	89
Mean:	5.40	5.34	5.75	4.82	5.73	
Std dev:	1.64	1.33	1.55	1.86	1.50	
Student's t:	*	***	. 01	.01	. 01	.10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

responsibility

Cluster

•	Cluster						
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q21C							
Unweighted n:	712	153	148	114	142	103	
Disagree	10%	12%	48	17%	3%	15%	
Mainhan	100	0.50		+++		++	
Neither	19%	25%	15%	21%	18%	17%	
Agree	69%	63%	81%	60%	77%	65%	
ngree	0,76		+++		++	038	
DK/NR	2 %	0%	0%	2 %	1%	2 %	
1 Strongly disagree	5%	4%	2%	10%	2%	11%	
2	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	
3	3%	5%	1%	3%	0%	2%	
4 Neither	19%	25%	15%	21%	18%	17%	
5	16%	20%	17%	12%	18%	13%	
6	19%	12%	25%	18%	23%	15%	
7 Strongly agree	34%	31%	39%	30%	36%	37%	
Chi ² :		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	9	8	10	
Weighted n for mean:	714	154	155	108	142	106	
Mean:	5.36	5.15	5.74	5.00	5.67	5.18	
Std dev:	1.67	1.65	1.38	1.92	1.37	1.98	
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 01	. 05	. 01	-	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I have absolutely no say in how things are run in my community

		Cluster				
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21D			2.00	010	281	197
Unweighted n:	1427 36%	310 30%	302 30%	219 38%	281 47%	38%
Disagree	364	301	308	30%	++++	300
Neither	22%	26%	28%	25%	16%	12%
NC2 CHC2		+	+++			
Agree	41%	44%	41%	36%	37%	49%
-						++
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
1 Strongly disagree	18%	11%	16%	15%	27%	27%
2	7%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7₺
3	10%	13%	7%	. 14%	12%	4 %
4 Neither	22%	26%	28%	25%	16%	12%
5	12%	14%	12%	10%	15%	5₺
6	8 %	8%	8%	7%	8 %	6%
7 Strongly agree	22%	22%	20%	20%	14%	38%
Chi:		(.01)				
tpoints around 50%:	3		6	7	6	
Weighted n for mean:	1413	320	315		262	
Mean:	4.12		4.20		3.65	
Std dev:	2.11	1.92	2.02	2.02	2.12	
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	-	.01	_

01	untor	

				Cluster		
: :	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21E						
Unweighted n:	736	155	146	110	132	127
Disagree	30%	33%	1%	39%	3%	77%
Neither	21%	34%	10%	++ 19%		++++
Neither	216	346	10%	198	22%	16%
Agree	49%	33%	89%	42%	74%	5%
9200	100		++++	120	++++	
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
						+++
1 Strongly disagree	16%	12%	1%	20%	2%	48%
2	6%	8%	0%	5%	0%	16%
3	8%	13%	0%	14%	1%	13%
4 Neither	21%	34%	10%	19%	22%	16%
5	15%	17%	15%	17%	22%	2%
6	13%	10%	24%	8%	20%	2%
7 Strongly agree	21%	5%	50%	17%	32%	1%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	9	9	9
Weighted n for mean:	731	163	149	103	125	127
Mean:	4.38	3.89	6.09	3.99	5.52	2.17
Std dev:	2.06	1.61	1.15	2.05	1.34	1.42
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	. 05	.01	. 01

				Cluster		
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21F		155	156	109	149	70
Unweighted n: Disagree	691 19%	155 25%	2%	25%	5%	68%
Disagree	198	++		+		++++
Neither	12%	22%	6%	15%	6%	17%
		++++				
Agree	69%	53%	92%	60%	89%	15%
3			++++		++++	
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Strongly disagree	9%	8%	0%	10%	1%	44%
2	4 %	4%	1%	8%	1%	14%
3	6%	13%	1%	. 7%	2 %	9%
4 Neither	12%	22%	6%	15%	6%	17%
5	14%	23%	9%	15%	13%	7%
6	18%	19%	20%	12%	25%	2%
7 Strongly agree	36%	11%	63%	32%	51%	6%
Chi ² :		.01				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	9	8	12
Weighted n for mean:	692	158	170		137	67
Mean:	5.18	4.49	6.34		6.08	2.60
Std dev:	1.95		1.06		1.24	
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01

		Cluster				
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q21H						
Unweighted n:	1426	310	3 0 2	219	281	197
Disagree	16%	12%	6%	23%	16%	29%
Madahan	200			++++		++++
Neither	18%	22%	20%	17%	15%	20%
Agree	63%	+ 64%	72%	57%	68%	47%
1191 00	03.	040	++++	37.6	++	17.0
DK/NR	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4 %
1 Strongly disagree	7%	3%	2%	10%	9%	++ 19%
g-,g		•				
2	3%	3%	1%	5%	3%	6%
3	5%	7%	3%	8%	4 %	48
4 Neither	18%	22%	20%	17%	15%	20%
5	14%	17%	15%	16%	13%	8%
6	17%	22%	23%	14%	17%	6%
7 Strongly agree	31%	26%	35%	28%	38%	33%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1384	317	313	210	259	189
Mean:	5.12	5.19	5.55	4.79	5.27	4.47
Std dev:	1.84	1.55	1.46	1.98	1.92	2.29
Character to			0.1			

.01

.01

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Student's t:

	Cluster						
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q21I	_					0.5	
Unweighted n:	734	143	164	119	152 7%	85 64%	
Disagree	20%	20%	2%	33%		++++	
**- ! * h	219	35%	8%	++++ 26%	15%	21%	
Neither	21%	356		20%	136	210	
Agree	58%	46%	90%	40%	78%	12%	
Agree	500		++++		++++		
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2∜	
						+	
1 Strongly disagree	9%	3%	0%	12%	2%	43%	
2	6%	8 %	1%	11%	2%	13%	
3	6%	8 %	1%	10%	3 %	9%	
4 Neither	21%	35%	8%	26%	15%	21%	
5	15%	26%	10%	13%	19%	1%	
6	16%	15%	21%	11%	20%	6%	
7 Strongly agree	27%	5%	59%	17%	39%	4%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
±points around 50%:	4		8		8		
Weighted n for mean:	726	143	180		139		
Mean:	4.85	4.36	6.27		5.63		
Std dev:	1.91		1.07		1.47		
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	

y community is werr run

Cluster

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q21J							
Unweighted n:	693	167	138	100	129	112	
Disagree	36%	42%	2%	48%	12%	84%	
Neither	23%	+ 35%	16%	+++ 28%	22%	++++ 11%	
Welchel	238	++++	10%	201	228	114	
Agree	40%	23%	81%	25%	66%	4%	
_			++++		++++		
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	
1 Strongly disagree	21%	16%	1%	28%	5%	64%	
2	6%	8%	0%	8%	2%	12%	
3	9%	17%	2%	12%	5%	8%	
4 Neither	23%	35%	16%	28%	22%	11%	
5	15%	18%	20%	10%	24%	0%	
6	10%	4 %	21%	5%	18%	2%	
7 Strongly agree	15%	1%	40%	10%	24%	3%	
Chi ² :		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	10	9	9	
Weighted n for mean:	689	178	136	95	123	114	
Mean:	3.97	3.46	5.79	3.37	5.07	1.87	
Std dev:	2.04	1.46	1.25	1.94	1.62	1.47	
Student's t:	*	. 01	.01	.01	.01	.01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
I think that Aboriginal self-government is a good idea

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q21K			200	210	281	197	
Unweighted n:	1427	310 3%	302 2%	219 97%	281 3%	48%	
Disagree	24%	316	28	++++		++++	
Neither	19%	41%	11%	2%	13%	27%	
110201102		++++				+++	
Agree	53%	56%	86%	1%	83%	25%	
3			++++		++++		
DK/NR	4 %	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	
1 Strongly disagree	17%	1%	1%	66%	3%	34%	
2	4 %	1%	0%	20%	0%	7 %	
3	3 %	1%	1%	` 12%	0%	6%	
4 Neither	19%	41%	11%	2%	13%	27%	
5	12%	24%	8%	1%	12%	12%	
6	13%	14%	23%	0%	18%	2%	
7 Strongly agree	28%	18%	55%	0%	53%	10%	
Chi²:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3		6	7	6		
Weighted n for mean:	1372	320	316	215	260		
Mean:	4.62		6.17	1.51	6.01		
Std dev:	2.17		1.18	0.82	1.37		
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	. 01	.01	

:				Cluster		
!	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q22						
Unweighted n:	736	155	146	110	132	127
Yes	85%	89%	87%	83%	86%	80%
No	15%	11%	13%	17%	14%	20%
	13.	110	13 8	170	7.4.0	208
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		-				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	9	9	9

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Would you know where to go to get information from the government of Canada about an issue like the proposed changes to the Indian Act or progress on land claim settlements?

•				Cluster		
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q23						
Unweighted n:	691	155	156	109	149	70
Yes	43%	42%	58%	36%	41%	34%
			++++	-		-
No	56%	57%	41%	63%	59%	65%
				+		+
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		(.01)				
+points around 50%:	4	8	8	9	8	12

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		Cluster						
	Total	Middle Of The Road	*	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q23B								
Unweighted n:	1427	310	3 0 2	219	281	197		
Too much information	5%	4%	7%	4%	3 %	7%		
			++					
Too little information	63%	64%	43%	61%	76%	80%		
					++++	++++		
About the right amount	30%	31%	49%	34%	19%	10%		
of information			++++					
DK/NR	2 %	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%		
						++		
Chi²:		(.01)						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7		

INAC On-Reserve Survey 01/09/06
Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

		Cluster					
_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q24							
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
It works very well and	8%	88	14%	8%	4 %	3 %	
needs no change			++++			-	
It works reasonably wel	50%	53%	62%	51%	40%	46%	
1, but needs some chang			++++				
It does not work well a	25%	27%	13%	30%	30%	32%	
nd needs major changes				+	++	++	
It does not work at all	11%	9%	7%	5%	21%	17%	
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>		_			++++	+++	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	5%	3%	4 %	5₩	5%	2%	
Chi ² :		.01					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

replaced

Cluster Middle Of Super Concerned Super Total The Road Content Insecure Activist Discontnt Q24 Unweighted n: 701 143 107 153 109 134 It works very well and 10% 6% 17% 98 7% 3% needs no change ++++ It works reasonably wel 50% 55% 56% 55% 37% 51% 1, but needs some chang It does not work well a 228 27% 13% 25% 25% 29% nd needs major changes It does not work at all 88 13% 10% 7% 26% 16% and needs to be <rot8> - -++++ (DO NOT READ) DK/NR 5% 5% 48 5% 68 1% Chi2: (.01) ±...points around 50%: 8 9 9 4 8 8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following best describes your view of the Indian Act?

abolished

		Cluster						
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q24								
Unweighted n:	726	167	149	110	147	90		
It works very well and	7%	10%	11%	88	3%	2%		
needs no change			+					
It works reasonably wel	51%	52%	69%	47%	42%	40%		
1, but needs some chang			++++					
It does not work well a	28%	27%	13%	36%	35%	35%		
nd needs major changes				++	++			
It does not work at all	10%	10%	4 %	3%	17%	18%		
and needs to be <rot8></rot8>					+++	+++		
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	4 %	2 %	4 %	6%	4%	4 %		
Chi ² :		(.01)						
 noints around 50%: 	4	8	8	9	8	10		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

:				Cluster		
# 1	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q25A Importance ofin of Unweighted n: Low importance	hanging the 1304	ne Indian Ac 283 6%	260 2%	198 8% +	266 6%	191 5%
Moderate importance	30%	33%	34%	35%	25%	22%
High importance	62%	58%	62%	+ 54%	 68% ++	71% +++
DK/NR	3 %	2%	2%	3 %	1%	2%
1 Not at all important	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%
2	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
3	2%	3%	0%	. 3%	3%	1%
4 Somewhat important	17%	18%	17%	24%	13%	12%
5	14%	16%	18%	11%	12%	10%
6	15%	18%	14%	13%	15%	14%
7 Extremely important	47%	40%	47%	41%	53%	57%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(.01) 6	6	7	6	7
Weighted n for mean:	1266	288	267	192	247	188
Mean:	5.80	5.64	5.90	5.50	5.94	5.99
Std dev:	1.45	1.48	1.27	1.59	1.40	
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	.01	.10	.10

INAC On-Reserve Survey

Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve operate within the Canadian Constitution and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms

:	Cluster							
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q25B Importance ofin	hanging th	ne Indian A	et					
Unweighted n:	1304	283	260	198	266	191		
Low importance	9%	98	48	9%	13%	15%		
					+	+++		
Moderate importance	32%	37%	37%	32%	27%	26%		
		++	+	F.F.0.	55%	 52%		
High importance	54%	53%	56%	55%	224	524		
DK/NR	5%	1%	3%	4%	5%	6%		
DK/NR	24		3.6	7.0	5.	++		
1 Not at all important	5%	3%	2%	4%	9%	12%		
2	2%	2 %	0%	3%	3%	2 %		
3	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	28		
4 Somewhat important	18%	20%	23%	19%	15%	15%		
5	13%	17%	14%	14%	12%	11%		
6	15%	17%	14%	16%	14%	10%		
7 Extremely important	39%	36%	42%	39%	41%	42%		
Chi ² :		.01						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7		
Weighted n for mean:	1238	291	266	190	240	180		
Mean:	5.46	5.44	5.64	5.48	5.38	5.24		
Std dev:	1.72	1.57	1.47	1.67	1.91	2.06		
Student's t:	*	-	. 05	-	-	-		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Cluster

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q25C Importance ofin c	hanging th	e Indian Ac	t:				
Unweighted n:	620	135	130	93	127	93	
Low importance	68	2%	5%	10%	4%	12%	
				+		+++	
Moderate importance	38%	50%	41%	35%	33%	29%	
		+++					
High importance	51%	47%	51%	49%	61%	51%	
					++		
DK/NR	6%	2%	3%	7%	1%	9%	
	-11					+++	
1 Not at all important	3 %	2%	3%	3%	2 %	8%	
2	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	
3	2%	0%	2%	5%	2%	2%	
4 Somewhat important	23%	27%	22%	24%	21%	20%	
5	15%	23%	19%	11%	12%	9%	
6	12%	10%	14%	10%	16%	11%	
7 Extremely important	39%	37%	37%	39%	45%	40%	
Chi ² :		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	9	10	9	10	
Weighted n for mean:	585	144	127	86	119	86	
Mean:	5.52	5.50	5.53	5.39	5.74	5.31	
Std dev:	1.55	1.39	1.48	1.68	1.44	1.93	
Student's t:	*	_	-	-	.10		

INAC On-Reserve Survey
 Ensuring that First Nations governments on reserve reflect <rot10 >

Aboriginal values and t

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
Q25C Importance ofin c	hanging t	ne Indian A	ct				
Unweighted n:	684	148	130	105	139	98	
Low importance	5 %	2%	1%	13%	3%	11%	
X: 7/2 -				++++		+++	
Moderate importance	28%	32%	33%	37%	26%	15%	
				+			
High importance	64%	66%	65%	48%	71%	69%	
					+	5 0	
DK/NR	2 %	0%	1%	2%	1%	5%	
	2.0	- 0%	0%	5%	1%	+++ 6%	
1 Not at all important	2 %	0.8	0 16	31	1.0	0.0	
2	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	2%	
3	2 %	2 %	0%	5%	2 %	3%	
4 Somewhat important	16%	18%	16%	22%	11%	13%	
5	13%	14%	17%	15%	15%	2%	
6	13%	18%	12%	14%	11%	12%	
7 Extremely important	51%	48%	53%	34%	59%	57%	
Chí:		(.01)					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	9	10	8	10	
Weighted n for mean:	668	149	140	104	130	93	
Mean:	5.85	5.92	6.00	5.21	6.12	5.80	
Std dev:	1.49	1.23	1.24	1.75	1.25	1.85	

.01

.01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Student's t:

	Cluster							
_	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q25D Importance ofin cha	anging th	ne Indian Ad	ct					
Unweighted n:	1304	283	260	198	266	191		
Low importance	4 %	3%	5%	4 %	4 %	4 %		
Moderate importance	26%	34%	26%	30%	21%	16%		
		++++						
High importance	67%	61%	66%	63%	75%	77%		
					+++	+++		
DK/NR	3%	2%	3 %	2%	1%	3%		
1 Not at all important	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%		
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	2 %	0%		
3	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%		
4 Somewhat important	16%	18%	17%	20%	13%	12%		
5	10%	16%	9%	11%	8%	3%		
6	16%	17%	15%	16%	17%	17%		
7 Extremely important	51%	44%	51%	47%	58%	60%		
Chi:		(.01)						
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7		
Weighted n for mean:	1268	289	267	193	249	186		
Mean:	5.93	5.78	5.88	5.82	6.09	6.13		
Std dev:	1.40	1.38	1.45	1.40	1.34	1.48		
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	-	. 05	.05		

		Cluster						
·	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt		
Q28 A						,		
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197		
Yes	82%	88%	75%	77%	88%	81%		
		+++			+++			
No	18%	12%	25%	22%	12%	19%		
			++++	++	-			
DK/NR	0%	98	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Chi ² :		(.01)						
nointe around 50%.	3	6	6	7	6	7		

					Cluster		
	:	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
Q28B							
	Unweighted n:	1190	276	235	171	253	163
Yes		52%	47%	52%	51%	61%	52%
						+++	
No		47%	52%	47%	49%	39%	48%
			++				
DK/NR		0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi2:		_				
<u>+</u> poi	nts around 50%:	- 3	6	6	7	6	8

			Cluster					
	:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
NET1	_							
Unweight	ed n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
Yes		52%	58%	51%	48%	59%	43%	
			++			++		
No		48%	42%	498	52%	41%	57%	
		• • •					+++	
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Chi2:		.01					
<pre>±points around</pre>	50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7	

-					
Cl	11	0	+	0	r

		Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
NET2							
	Unweighted n:	1427	310	3 02	219	281	197
Yes		48%	52%	51%	42%	57%	41%
						+++	
No		52%	48%	49%	58%	43%	59%
					+++		++
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi2:		(.05)				
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
NET3							
Unweighted n:	695	162	153	92	162	86	
At home	48%	46%	44%	53%	54%	44%	
					+		
At work	28%	26%	26%	26%	35%	27%	
					++		
At school	18%	16%	24%	19%	15%	15%	
			++				
At friends/family	19%	21%	19%	16%	16%	21%	
At the band office	5%	4%	6%	3 %	6%	6%	
At a community centre o	9%	7%	14%	9%	9%	8%	
	, ,	, ,	++	, ,	, ,		
r library on the reserv Somewhere elsewhere on	2%	4%	2%	` 4%	1%	2%	
	23	40	20	10	1.0	2.0	
the reserve	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	0%	
At a community centre o	26	2 6	3 %	28	40	0.8	
r library off of the			0.0	1%	0%	0%	
Do not have access anyw	0%	1%	0%	1.0	0.6	0.8	
here				- 0	0.0	0.0	
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	
Chi²:		_					
±points around 50%:	4	8	8	10	8	11	
Poz and and and a	-						

~3	11	o	٠	0	~

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
NET4							
Unweighted n:	695	162	153	92	162	86	
Less than one hour/week	16%	18%	11%	20%	17%	15%	
			-				
1-5	50%	54%	54%	39%	44%	53%	
6-10	15%	18%	12%	16%	16%	10%	
11-19	6%	5%	7%	10%	4 %	9%	
20+	10%	4 %	12%	12%	14%	9%	
					+		
DK/NR	3 %	2%	4 %	4 %	5%	4 %	
Chi²:		_					
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	4	8	8	` 10	8	11	
Weighted n for mean:	556	135	138	68	118	67	
Mean:	7.66	5.93	8.21	9.35	8.40	7.37	
Std dev:	8.7	6.1	9.6	10.5	8.3	9.1	
Student's t:	*	. 01	-	_	_	_	

•			Cluster			
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
INVL						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Very involved	24%	15%	27%	17%	39%	26%
•					++++	
Somewhat involved	43%	53%	39%	42%	43%	34%
00		++++				
Rarely involved	23%	24%	24%	28%	14%	24%
Rulery involved	250			+		
Never involved	10%	8%	9%	14%	3 %	15%
never involved		•	7.1	+++		+++
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DR/NR	0.0	0.0	++			•
Chi²:		(.01)	, ,			
+points around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7

:	Cluster					
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
EMPLO						
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1427 5%	310 3%	3 02 5 %	219 6%	281 7%	197 8%
Sell-employed	⊃*6	3 16	24	0.6	7.6	0 1
Employed full-time	30%	31%	23%	27%	42%	27%
					++++	
Employed part-time	13%	12%	14%	12%	14%	10%
Seasonal employment	9%	9%	14%	7%	8%	9%
• •			+++			
Term employment	2 %	1%	2%	3%	3%	2 %
Unemployed	5%	5%	5∜	5%	3%	4 %
Unemployed but looking	11%	12%	13%	12%	5%	15%
for work						+
Student/Attending schoo	9%	13%	14%	7%	7%	5%
1 full-time		++	+++			
Retired	7%	5%	4 %	7%	5%	13%
Not in work force/Full-	4 %	3%	3%	8%	2%	++++ 4%
time Homemaker	4.0	3.6	310	++++	28	4.0
Disability / sick leave	3 %	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Maternity / paternal le	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%
ave Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%,	. 0%
Chi²:		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	. 3	6	6	7	6	7
kos Research Associates Inc.	/ Les /	Associés de	recherch	e Ekos		

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
ONOFF							
Unweighted n:	865	179	183	127	204	109	
On-reserve	75%	73%	82%	69%	76%	73%	
			++	-			
Off-reserve	18%	19%	13%	24%	15%	20%	
			-	++			
Both	7%	8%	5%	6%	8%	7%	
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
DR/ MR	0.0	• •	• •	++			
Chi ² :		-		**			
+points around 50%:	3	7	7	9	7	9	

PAGE: 78

.

.

-

.

α	 _	 _	

	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
SECT						
Unweighted n:	865	179	183	127	204	109
Labourer	19%	18%	25%	18%	17%	13%
			++			_
Semi-skilled	14%	15%	14%	14%	14%	16%
Skilled tradesperson	11%	11%	10%	10%	12%	12%
Sales, service, clerica	15%	15%	14%	20%	12%	16%
Professional	22%	17%	19%	23%	26%	25%
Management or administr	17%	22%	14%	13%	+ 19%	17%
Other (please specify)	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	2%	+++ 1%	4%	2%	1%	1%
Ob i a			+++			
Chi ² :		-	_	_	_	_
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	7	7	9	7	9

			Cluster					
	_	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
KIDS				200	010	201	107	
	Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197 57%	
Yes		60%	62%	57%	75%	55%	3/15	
			209	428	++++	45%	43%	
No		40%	38%	43%	25%		438	
				0.0		++	0%	
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.6	
	Chi ² :		.01					
<u> </u>	nts around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7	

what is your age; prease?

Cluster

· ·		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road		Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
AGE							
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197	
<18 years	3%	3%	98	1%	1%	0%	
			++++	-			
18-24 years	25%	30%	38%	22%	17%	16%	
		+	++++				
25-34 years	22%	23%	23%	26%	19%	19%	
35-44 years	23%	23%	16%	27%	24%	25%	
				+			
45-54 years	12%	8%	7%	10%	21%	16%	
					++++	++	
55-64 years	88	8%	2%	6%	11%	13%	
					++	+++	
65+ years	7%	5 %	4%	7%	6%	11%	
						+++	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	
Chi':		(.01)					
±points around 50%:	3	6	6	7	6	7	
		•	-				

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC On-Reserve Survey
What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand?

		Cluster					
	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt	
LANG		210	302	219	281	197	
Unweighted n: English	1427 51%	310 53%	302 50%		56 %	44%	
French	2%	3%	3%	1%	+ 2%	2 %	
Ojibway	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	
Cree	8 %	98	10%		3%	7%	
Montagnais	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Mic Mac	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Mohawk	0%	1%	0%	. 1%	1%	0%	
Dakota	0 %	80	0%	0%	08	0%	
Other Aboriginal langua	34%	31%	33%	33%	35%	42%	
ge Other	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		
DK/NR	0%	0.8	1%	0%	1%	0%	
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	- 6	6	7	6	7	

	•	/	U
_	_	•	

				Cluster		
: "	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
EDUC						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Grade 8 or less	12%	8%	14%	15%	10%	12%
				+		
Some high school	36%	37%	42%	42%	27%	35%
			++	+		
High school graduate	25%	27%	25%	23%	29%	22%
					+	
Technical/Vocational/Co	12%	12%	10%	12%	13%	12%
<pre>1lege/CEGEP Some university</pre>	6%	8%	3%	3%	9%	5%
some university	0.6	0 f	3 15	3 15	9 5	5 %
Undergraduate universit	6%	5%	5%	3%	9%	9%
y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	0.6	2.0	2.6		++	++
Graduate or post gradua	28	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%
te university degree (e	20	2 6	1.0	. 20	20	++
Professional certificat	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.			0.0	0.5	0.0	0 0
Other (specify)	08	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
211/1111		0.6	+++	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chi ² :		(.01)	***			
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7

		Cluster				
:	Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
INCME						
Unweighted n:	1427	310	302	219	281	197
Less than \$10,000	22%	23%	22%	23%	13%	28%
						++
\$10-\$19K	20%	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%
\$20 - \$29K	16%	14%	15%	22%	18%	13%
\$20 \$23K				++		
\$30-\$39K	11%	13%	88	5%	13%	12%
		+			+	
\$40-\$49K	6%	6%	68	7%	9%	6%
\$50-\$59K	4%	4%	3%	2%	7%	4%
, ,					++	
\$60-\$69K	2%	3%	2%	. 0%	2%	2%
\$70-\$79K	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%
4.5 4.4.						
\$80K or above	3 %	4 %	2%	4%	4%	3 %
DK/NR	15%	11%	19%	17%	13%	10%
,		-	+++			
Chi ² :		(.01)				
<pre>±points around 50%:</pre>	3	6	6	7	6	7

On- e Su

			Cluster				
		Total	Middle Of The Road	Super Content	Concerned Insecure	Activist	Super Discontnt
RURAL							
Rural	Unweighted n:	1427 93%	310 95%	302 94%	219 95%	281 92%	197 91%
Urban		7%	5%	6%	5%	8%	9%
±poin	Chi²: ts around 50%:	3	- 6	6	7 7	6	7

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos