LIBRARY INDIAN AND NORTHERN AFFAIRS CANADA NOV 14 2005 AFFAIRES INDIENNES ET OU NORD CANADA BIBLIOTHÉQUE

Winter 2002 Survey of First Nations People Living On-Reserve

Detailed Survey Result Tables

March 28, 2002

Submitted to:

Indian and Northern Affairs 10 Wellington Street, Room 1900 Hull, Quebec K1A 0H4

Submitted by:

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

Head Office: 99 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1100, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6L7 – Tel: (613) 235-7215 – Fax: (613) 235-8498 Toronto Branch: 480 University Avenue, Suite 1006, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2 – Tel: (416) 598-8002 – Fax: (416) 598-2543 Edmonton Branch: 9925 109 Street NW, Suite 606, Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2J8 – Tel: (780) 408-5225 – Fax: (780) 408-5233 E-mail: pobox@ekos.com

7007 GT 11 11

Table of Contents

1	Page: 1
ettling land claims 3A	Page: 3
elf-government in First Nations communities. 3B	Page: 7
mproving economic conditions among First Nations people 3D	Page: 11
mproving community services such as housing, water and sewers 3F	Page: 15
eveloping good local government/strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities	
3G	Page: 19
he overall performance of the government of Canada? By government of Canada I mean government departments, suc ealth Canada	ch as Indian and Northern Affairs and
ER20	Page: 27
he overall performance of provincial government/city or town govnerment nearest you?	
EP20 .	Page: 31
he overall performance of your band government?	
EB20	Page : 39
n the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada directly (w. ouncil office) for service? This could be anyone in Parliament or a government department	
5A	Page: 43
n the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada for service ouncil office?	
58	Page: 47
id you make your most recent request for government information or services, through the Band or Tribal Counc: irectly?	il office or did you make it yourself
5C	Page: 51
ow did you contact the Government of Canada through your band in your most recent request for information or a	
9A	Page : 53


AC Study - March 2002	02/03/18	
Table of Contents		
How did you contact the Government of Canada directly in your most recent r	request for information or service?	
29В	Page : 5	57
What were you looking for in your most recent request? Q6	Page: 6	51
Did you get what you were looking for in your most recent request? Q8	Page: 6	59
How would you rate the Government of Canada on the quality of the service i Remember that no one will know what you said individually. Answers will be Q4A	it delivers on a scale where 1 is very bad, 7 is excellent and 4 is neither reported by province only Page: 7	
On the same scale, how would you rate the Government of Canada on the overa	all quality of the service it delivers on Aboriginal issues specifically.	
Q4B	Page : 5	75
And, how would you rate the overall quality of the service or information y		· · · ·
27	Page: 7	79
Generally, thinking about the information you receive from the Government of	of Canada, would you say that you receive(READ LIST)	
Q23B	Page: 8	33
	y is is very useflu, somewhat useful, not very useful or not at all useful?	
Q23C	Page : 8	35
There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide i like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.	information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd	
сомм	Page: 8	39
And ofthe one's you just picked, which one is the MOST useful? PREF1 Prompt with the list if necessary	Page: S	93 *
And of the one's you picked, which one is the second MOST useful? PREF2 Prompt with the list if necessary	Page: 9	97
And of the one's you picked, which one is the third MOST useful? PREF3 Prompt with the list if necessary	Page: 10	01
Of the information that you receive from the federal government, would you and Northern Affairs specifically?		
Q28	Page: 10)5
First Nations leaders or organizations Q29A	Page: 10	07
os Research Associates Inc. / Les Associ,s de recherche Ekos	PAGE: 2	

Table of Oresand	
Table of Contents	
our Band Chief or Councillor 29B	Page: 111
Member of Parliament in the federal government 29C	Page: 115
n official with the federal department of Indian and Northern Affairs 29D	Page: 119
n Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues	
29E	Page: 123
n official with your Band or Tribal Council Office 29F	Page: 129
eath Services 11A READ LIST	Page: 133
hild and family services 11B READ LIST	Page : 135
arly childhood development 11C READ LIST	Page: 137
ay care 11D READ LIST	Page: 139
ncome support 11E READ LIST	Page: 141
ow much time do you spend listening to the radio each day? 12	Page: 143
hat radio stations do you most often listen to? 13	Page: 145
hile listening to the radio, have you ever heard a radio ad called "Seeds of Success"? 14	Page: 147
hat are your impressions of the stories you have heard through the "Seeds of Success" advertisemen 15	nts? Page: 149
ow have the success stories changed your perception of Aboriginal people? 16	Page: 151
here is a need to highlight more Aboriginal success stories through the media	
17	Page: 155
ettling land claims provides First Nations people with the land and resources needed for expanding	g economic opportunity
198	Page: 159

2 Study - March 2002 02/03/18	
Table of Contents	
nly when First Nation's people can exercise treaty rights, in areas like hunting and fishing for sustenance, can they be more self-reliant and etter life.	l have a
9B	ige: 163
Band governments are going to make their communities better, they should have legal options like being able to enter into contracts, own pro prrow money and sue someone.	operty,
Pa	ige: 167
ood government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.	
	ige: 171 .
ood government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living	
Pa Pa	ige: 175
ood government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.	
	age: 179
ood government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.	
	age: 183
	age: 187
buld you like to receive more information from the Government of Canada about your treaty or other treaties?	
	age: 189
nich of the following statements comes closest to your view about historical treaties?	····
	age: 191
nich of the following statements comes closest to your view?	
Pa	age: 193
n another topic, do you recall reading, seeing or hearing any ads about not smoking over the past weeks? This includes television, billboards, ewspaper, or magazine.	, radio,
10K2 · Pa	age: 195
o you recall who these ads were from? Ny others?	
	age: 197
nere did you see or hear these ads? MOK4	arge = 201
Research Associates Inc. / Les Associ,s de recherche Ekos PAGE: 4	

AC Study - March 2002	02/03/18
Table of Contents	
What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?	
SMOK5	Page: 205
Have you used the Internet in the past three months? NET1	Page: 213
Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT emp	
EMPLO	Page: 215
Which of the following categories best describes your job? SECT	Page: 219
Do you currently smoke cigarettes? SMOK1	Page: 223
	ld? ** if they say they are living with their parent(s) then the household is either couple, with children) Page: 225
Of the people in your household, how many are under the age of 18	
KIDS	Page: 229
What is your own age, please? AGE	Page: 233
What is the language you first learned in childhood and still und LAN1	
What is the highest level of formal education that you have compl EDUC	
What is your annual household INCOME from all sources? **Your bes INCME	
Record gender of respondent SEX	Page: 249

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associ,s de recherche Ekos



					REG10N				GEN	ÐER			INCOME				t	BDUCATI	ON	
	Fotal	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	< II S	Some IIS	115	Coll	Univ
Q1																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Getting better	3.3%	30%	28%	33%	30%	38%	33%	17%	36%	32%	30%	27%	38%	39%	39%	23%	33%	36%	35%	40%
			-					++++	+	-				ŧ	+					4
Getting worse	13%	19%	15%	13%	1.1%	11%	5%	10%	13%	13%	15%	10%	13%	13%	16%	11%	11%	11%	12%	17%
		+ +										-								
About the same	50%	18%	53%	50%	49%	18%	59%	-11%	-18%	51%	52%	59%	45%	46%	42%	58%	49%	48%	50%	12%
							+ +	_				++++			_	+++				_
DK/NR	-1%	3%	.1%	1%	7%	1%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	8%	1%	1%	3%	2%
					++				-	+						+++				
Chi ² :		. 01							-		. 05					. 01				
\pm points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	-1	5	7	8

		t.	ANGUAGE	AGE						EMPL		EMPLOYMENT STATUS					
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-41	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mirginn t	Employ	Unempl	Not 1.F
QI																	
Unweighted n:	1423	612	13	751	271	377	356	249	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Getting better	33%	10°0	10%	28%	40%	36%	30%	30%	30%	28%	34%	46%	38%	30%	31%	31%	32%
		+ + + +			+ +		-			-		+++					
Getting worse	13%	12%	7%	13%	9%	12%	13%	18%	13%	11%	10%	13%	14%	18%	13%	1.1%	12%
					_			+++						++			
About the same	50%	46%	19%	53%	48%	49%	52%	47%	51%	55%	52%	40%	45%	48%	19%	51%	51%
		_		++						+		-					
DK/NR	4%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%	1%	6%	6%	3%	1%	3%	4%	1%	4%	5%
				+++						+		~					
Chi ² :		(.01)			. 10					(. 10)					-		
±., points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

In general, do you think that the relationship between Aboriginal people and other Canadians is getting better or worse or about the same as in the

past?

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADEA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q1																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	117	755	660	667	749	586	837	176	181	707	373	476	510	397	430	573
Getting better	33%	3.1%	32%	32%	35%	37%	30%	3.1%	33%	100%	0%	0%	24%	30%	13%	28%	31%	10%
						+ + +				++++				-				++++
Getting worse	13%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%	13%	14%	12%	0%	100%	0%	24%	12%	6%	19%	10%	11%
											+ + + +		++++			++++		
About the same	50%	19%	51%	51%	48%	18%	51%	48%	51%	0%	0%	100%	49%	51%	16%	47%	55%	17%
												++++		+ +	-		+++	
DK/NR	.1%	1%	5%	.1%	5%	2%	6^{n_0}	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	6%	4%	2%
							+ + +									++		
Chi ² :		-		-		. 01				, 01			, 01			. 01		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-1	4	-1	-1	3	4	7	4	5	4	-4	5	5	4

.

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane 1	Gen Pop
Q1 Unweighted n:	1123	454	969
Getting better	33%	36%	
Getting worse	13%	13%	13%
About the same	50%	18%	50%
DK/NR	1%	3ª:	5%
Chí * :		-	
\pm points around 50%:	3	5	3

					REGION			GENDER INCOME								EDUCATION							
	Total	BC	AH	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1			<\$10K	10-19	20-29		50+	< <u>I</u> IS	some HS		Coll	Univ			
Q3A									<u>+</u>			-											
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	1.19			
NOT INPORTANT	4%	8%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	.1%	5%	-1%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	2%	1%			
		+ + +	+														4						
ONEWHAT INPORTANT	18%	18%	15%	17%	22%	18%	1.1%	15%	17%	18%	20%	20%	18%	16%	16%	15%	19%	20%	17%	1.1%			
ERY IMPORTANT	77%	72%	75%	77%	++ 73%	78%	8.1%	80%	77%	76%	7.1%	75%	77%	81%	81%	75%	75%	7.1%	80%	85%			
TERT IMPORTANT	11.0	1.2.1	(.) 0	110		10.0	1++	00.0		10/0	1.1.0	10/0		010	01.0	10/0	10.0	1.1.0	00.0	++			
lot very important	2%	6%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	19			
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	03			
}	2%	()B)	15	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	14			
Somewhat important	8%	$10^{n_{\rm H}}$	90,	8%	9%	8%	6%	7%	9%	8%	10%	8%	10%	8%	6%	6%	10%	9%	7%	64			
i	8ª,	6^{0}	6°.,	7%	10%	99	6%	7%	7%	8%	9%	10%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	10%	8%	71			
	Q. U		1.1	6.0	10.4	, s (r	0.4		-0	Q 0	., 4	1.7.1		0,0	.,	•	0.1	10.0	(3.1)				
,	8"	$S^{a_{ii}}$	9ª.	6^{a_0}	$\overline{t}^{(0)}_{0}$	13%	10^{4}	∂v_{c}^{0}	8%	8%	9%	8%	5 9 %	11%	5%	-1%	6%	12%	10%	9"			
'ery important	68%	61%	67%	71%	66 ⁿ	66%	71%	71%	69%	68%	65%	67%	68%	70%	76%	71%	69%	63%	70%	759			
)K/NR	24	3%	3%	3%	2%	200	0%	2^{n_0}	2%	3%	2%	1%	5 13	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%	11			
							-									+++							
Chi²:		-							-							(. 05)							
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	-	-		7	7	4	5	7	8			
Weighted n for mean:	1391	140 5, 95	158	326 6 - 20	327	157 6. 29	147 6, 40	136 6.35	612 6.25	779 6. 22	274 6. 13				194 6, 38	186 6, 23		327 6. 16	223 6.36	148 6, 51			
Nean: Std_dev:	6.23 1.42	5, 95 1, 78	6. 11 1. 61	6.29 1.36	6.18 1.39	6. 29 1. 26	1.23	0.35	0.25 1.40	1.44	1.49				1.32	1.56		1. 39	1.21	1.0			
Student's t	1.444	. 05		1.50		1.20	. 10	1. 51			1. 15	1.01			, 10	1. 50		1. 05	. 10	. 01			

02 03 18

.

		LANGUAGE AGE								EMPLO	PYMENT		EMPLOYMENT STATUS				
	Total	Eng	Fi	Абот	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mnemnt	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q3A Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423	612 3%	413 - 9% ++	751 -1%	271 5%	377 3%	356 3%	219 2%	185 4%	155 3%	222 2%	112 3%	180 3%	1 13 1%	819 2%	245 5%	3-18 5%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	18%	18%	$12^{n_{\rm H}}$	17%	28%	18%	17%	11%	1.1%	16%	17%	12%	[8%	15%	16%	23%	
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	77%	79%	76%	6.1%	78%	78%	86%	77%	79%	78%	83%	77%	83%	80%	71%	73%
Not very important	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	210	2%	1%	1%	3%	4 [%]
2	1%	1%	7%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	28	1%
3	2%	2%	•3n/ ⇒ 0	2%	.1%	94/ 2-10	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Somewhat important	8%	9%	2%	8%	13%	7%	9%	5%	5%	10%	9%	-1%	8%	6%	8%	9%	8%
5	8¶	700	7%	8%	11%	8%	6%	5%	7%	-1 [°] / _•	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	11%	8%
6	8%	3%	9^{*}	7%	13%	8%	7%	7%	1%	10%	6%	12%	9%	7%	8%	8%	7%
Very important	68%	68%	$\frac{1}{6}O_{0}^{\alpha_{0}}$	69%	51%	70%	71%	79%	73%	70%	72%	71%	68%	76%	71%	63%	66%
DK/NR	- <u>.</u>	2%	$O^{\mathbf{q}}{}^{\mathrm{b}}$	3%	3%	1%	*30. 	1%	5% +++	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Chi * :		-			(. 01)					-					, 05		
±points around 50%:	3	-1	£5	4	6				7	8	7	9	7	8	-	-	
Weighted n for mean:	1391	600	-13	732	262		3 19		176		217	109	177				337
Meant	6.23	6.25	6.12	6.22	5.81	_	6, 29		6.33	6.25	6.32	6.44	6.25		6, 34	6.03	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.42 *	1.36	1, 68 -	1, 46 -	1.60 .01	1.41	1.36	1.20 .01	1. 44 -	1.40 -	1.33	1, 19	1. 36	1.12	1.30 .01	1, 56 . 05	1.59 .10

	KIDS SMO			SMOKER			RMET	CONTA	CTED	D CANADIAN RELATIONSHI				AN GOVI	RNMENT	T BAND GOVERNMENT		
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Wot se	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q3A Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1123 1%	1006 3 ⁿ "	17 °n	755 4%	660 3%	667 2%	7-19 5 ^{n/} ++	586 -1%	837 3%	476 2%	181	707	373 4%	-176 -1%	540 3%	397 6%	-130 3%	573 2%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	18%	18%	$18^{n_{\rm H}}$	17%	19%	18%	17%	17%	18%	19%	12%	18%	14%	20%	19%	19%	20%	15%
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	77%	71%	77%	75%	78%	75%	78%	76%	78%	8-1%	75%	81%	75%	76%	74%	76%	80%
Not very important	2%	2%	Onv Div	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	-1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%
2	1 10	n : 0	2%	1 ""	1%	1%	1.0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
3	(10) 14 10	- 0 - 0	04. 04.] ^{n/} 0	3%	2%	210/	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%
Somewhat important	8%	S ^a [']	$S^{n_{i}}$	8%	$\partial_{n_{1}^{\prime\prime}}$	8%	8%	9 ⁿ / ₀	8%	10%	5%	8%	5%	11%	8%	9%	11%	6%
5	$S^{n_{0}}_{\ 0}$	Stu	$S^{\rm sr}_{\rm h}$	8%	7%	8%	7^{n}_{0}	$7^{\prime\prime\prime}_{-0}$	8%	8.0	5%	8%	6%	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%
6	S^{*}	Sa	8°.	\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}	8%	$]\Theta^{n_{0}}_{-}$	7%	$\overline{I}^{(0)}_{(0)}$	9^{n_0}	8%	3%	9%	6%	8%	10%	9%	$5^{\prime\prime}$	10%
Very important	68°n	69°_{0}	675	$69^{\mu_{\rm H}}$	68%	68%	$68^{\eta'_{\mu}}$	70^{α}_{μ}	67%	69%	81%	65%	75%	66%	66%	65%	71%	71%
DK. 'NR	• 305 	24	1n; + +	2^{ψ}	2^{w}_{0}	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Chi * :		_		-		. 10		-		(. 01)			-			. 05		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	4	-1	-1	-1	3		7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1391 6. 23	989 6, 25	-102 6, 18	$738 \\ 6, 25$	645 6.19	655 6, 29	729 6. 17	576 6.25	815 6.21	-169 6, 30	180 6.43	690 6.12	367 6, 33	470 6. 13	528 6. 23	391 6. 03	423 6, 25	563 6.37
std dev∶	0.23	6.20 1.40	0.18 1.48	0.25	1.46	6. 29 1. 30	0.17	0.20 1.43	1.42		0.43	1.54	1.41	1.50	0.23	1.65	1. 38	0.37 1.24
Student's t:	*	-	-	~			-		-	-	. 05	. 01	. 10	. 10	-	. 01		. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. - Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		SAMP1.	e type
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q3A			
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 4%	454 4%	
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	18%	46%	19%
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	78%	76%
Not very important	2.%	3%	2%
2	1%	1~	1%
3	5.P		2^{9}_{0}
Somewhat important	8%	8%	8%
5	8%	6%	9%
6	8%	$10^{a_{a}}$	7.0
Very important	68°,	68%	60%
DK∠NR	Cod.	200 200	24.
Chi²:		_	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1391	444	
Mean:	6.23	6.20	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.42 *	1.49 -	1. 39 -

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	IDER			1 NCOME	2.2.			E	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Ałb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	(IIS	Some 41S	11S	Coll	Univ
Q3B Unweighted n: NOT INPORTANT	1423 14%	1-1-4 10%	163 20%	335 11%	335 17%	160 14%	147	139 12%	624 15%	799 13%	280 16%	287 16%	230 17%	249 10%	196 9%	195 18% +	505 16%	335 16%	226 10%	149 3%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	10%	40%	36%	41%	38%	39%	38%	45%	41%	38%	10%	41%	39%	45%	38%	30%	37%	42%	45%	18%
VERY IMPORTANT	42%	14%	38%	44%	41%	42%	47%	40%	39%	14%	38%	40%	40%	41%	49% ++	43%	13%	39%	12%	++ 15%
Not very important	10%	7%	17%	8%	13%	9%	7 m	9%	11%	10%	14%	10%	12%	6%	8%	15%	10%	13%	8%	1%
2	3%	3%	197	3%	$4^{n_{\mu}}$	$1^{n_{\mu}}$	1 . ⁰	34	1%	3%	3%	5%	5%	1%	2%	3%	6%	2%	3%	1%
3	· 1 ^a u	3^{m}	(10) 2 "1	1"	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	6%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	1%	1%
Somewhat important	23%	25%	224	26%	22%	19%	$2.1^{n_{0}}_{-0}$	21%	22%	2.1%	25%	24%	19%	25%	24%	17%	22%	25%	24%	28%
5	4.3%	12%	1^{-m}_{n}	12%	$11^{\theta'}_{\rm el}$	17°	114	21%	14%	12^{m}	1 1%	13%	1.1%	· 14%	12%	9%	12%	13%	17%	16 ⁿ /
6	8%	12^{W}	$\Omega^{0}{}^{0}$	6%	$\overline{\ell}^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ \mu}$	7 .0	12°	7%	8%	8%	5%	6%	8%	12%	12%	7%	6%	10%	10%	42%
Very important	3.1%	33%	29°	37%	33%	35%	35%	32%	31%	36%	34%	34%	32%	29%	38%	36%	37%	30%	32%	33%
DK./NR	5°°	$6^{\prime\prime}_{\mu}$	7 °°	4%	1%	5%	1%	1%	5%	5%	5%	3%	-1%	1%	3%	9% ++++		3%	3%	1%
Chi ² :		-	0	-	-	a	Ø	a	-	3	-	c	c	"	7	. 01		c	7	o
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1356	8 136	8 452	5 321	5 320	8 152	8 141	8 134	4 593	3 763	6 265	6 2 77		6 239	190	177	4 481	5 324	220	8 143
Mean:	4.95	5.40	4.63	5.08	4.78	5.01	5.13	4. 99	4.83	5.03	4.80	4.83			5.25	4. 83		4. 77	5.04	5.31
Std dev: Student's t:	1.98 *	1.84 -	2.17 .05	1.91 _	2.09 .10	1.97	1.87	1.90 -	2.00 .10	1. 97 . 10	2.09	2.02	2.07	1.80 -	1.83 .01	2. 23	2.05	2, 03 . 10	1.83 -	1.49 .01

02 03/18

INAC Study = March 2002 Self-government in First Nations communities.

02-03.118

		I.,	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL:	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMP1.0	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Tota1	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-31	35-11	15-54	55+	1.abour	Skil1ed	Service	Prof	Mingminit	Employ	UnempE	Not LF
Q3B				751	071	377	356	219	185	155	222	110	180	1 13	819	245	348
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 14%	612 12%	43 9%	15%	271 9%	1.1%		15%	185 19%	155 15%	18%	112 14%	7%		13%	13%	348 16∰
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	40%	41%	33%	39%	- 1 1%			34%	35%	13%	37%	42%	13%	39°_{-9}	10%	40%	38%
VERY IMPORTANT	42%	43%	58%	-10%	-18% ++		43%	18% ++	37%	34%	39%	39%	47%	48% +	12%	13%	12%
Not very important	10%	9%	5°4	12%	6%	11%	12%	9%	1 1%	11%	15%	11%	5%	7%	10%	11%	11%
2	3%	3%	5%	3.	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	-1%	3%	·1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	5%
3	-1%	3%	-10/ - 10/	·I%	2%	1%	5%	1%	3%	6%	3%	2%	7%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Somewhat important	23%	23%	19%	23%	21%	25%	26%	20%	19%	23%	24%	30%	26%	18%	24%	22%	22%
5	13^{n_0}	1 In	12"	12^{n}	17%	170	S""	10%	12%	1.1%	10%	10%	11%	19%	12%	16%	12%
6	$S^{a}_{\ \ \ }$	$\{O_{ij}^{n}$	1^{2n}_{-1}	1 ¹⁰	12%	15%	10°	5%	τ ^η ν	6º4	9%	10%	9%	10%	8∛	7%	9%
Very important	3.1%	33%	17%	3-1%	36°	31%	33%	13%	30%	28%	31%	29%	38%	38%	34%	37%	33%
DK /NR	5ªu	$\cdot F_{0}$	$\bar{Q}^{\mu_{ef}}$	5%	3*	3%	- Pi	5%	10^{0}	8% ++	5%	·1%	3%	3%	5%	4%	• 1 %
Chi²:		-			. 01					. 05					-		
±points around 50%:	3	-1	15	ŀ	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	-	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1356	589	13	711	264			208	167	142	210	107	175		778	236	334
Mean:	4, 95	5.00	5, 49	-1.86	5.25			5.18	4.68	4.70	4.69	4.80	5.18		4.95	5.04	4.87
Std_dev: Student's_t:	1.98 *	1. 92 -	1. 80 . 05	2.04 .10	1.77 .01	1. 95 -	2.06	2, 02 , 10	2.14 .10	2.01	2.42 .05	1.96 -	1.79 .05		1. 97 -	1. 99 -	2, 03

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

FAGE: 8

		KID	5	SMOK	ER	ENTE	RNET	CONTA	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSIIIP	CANADI	AN GOVI	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Gooil	Bad	Nei (h	Gunt
Q3B									_									
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 14%	1006 14%	-117 12%	755 15%	660 12%	667 10%	7-19 17%	586 11%	837 15%	476 12%	181 17%	707 14%	373 17%	176 13%	540 12%	397 19%	-130 14%	573 9%
101 Int OKTANI	1.1.0	1.10	1	10.0	120	10.0	++++		134	1 - 10	11-0	1.1.0	++	13.0	1 - 0	++++	14.0	.7.6
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	-10%	40%	-10%	·10%	39%	12%	37%	39%	40%	41%	38%	39%	36%	1-1%	10%	42%	43%	36%
						+	-						_	++				_
VERY IMPORTANT	12%	12%	12°_{0}	41%	44%	11%	10%	-15%	40%	-14%	40%	12%	43%	40%	14%	34%	39%	51%
Max union from a start	1.00%	1.10	9%	11%	9%	7%	13%	++		9%	13%	10%	13%	10%	9%	11%	10%	1+++ 7%
Not very important	10%	11%	9.0	11%	9%	170	135	9%	11%	9%	13%	10%	13.2	10%	37 h	1 1 70	10 %	170
2	3%	1 ⁿ .	3%	1%	3%	3%	·1º0	2%	1%	3%	1.0	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	1%	2%
3	. 1 %	-th	3%	-1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	6%	2%	5%	-1%	2%
3	-150	10	•1°0	-1 'n	- ii	10	1.0	35	-1 h	3.0	0 1	-1.'n	3.0	0%	20	0.0	4.96	-200
Somewhat important	23%	24%	$21^{\rm a}_{\rm e}$	24%	22%	25%	21%	23%	23%	24%	23%	23%	22%	28%	20%	28%	27%	17%
5	13°	$12^{n_{\rm b}}$	16 [%]	13^{n_0}	13%	11%	12%	13%	13%	1-1%	10%	13%	10%	0%	18%	9%	12%	16%
6	8%	8%	S≌	8%	9º0	11%	6%	9%	8%	8 ^{ny}	6%	8%	9%	7%	9%	7%	6%	11%
Very important	34%	34%	3.1%	33%	35%	34%	31%	37%	32%	35%	34%	34%	35%	33%	35%	27%	33%	-10%
DK/NR	5%	-1%	5%	5%	5%	3%	6%	-1%	5%	3%	6%	1%	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	0.					_	+		0.0									•
Chi ² :		-		-		. 01		. 05		-			-			、01		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-1	4	-1	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	
Weighted n for mean:	1356	966	390	720	630	614	707	564	792	460	170	676	358	458	518	377	412	
Mean:	4. 95	4. 91	5.05	4.86	5.01	5.08	4.82	5.09	1.81	5, 06	4.78	4.93	4.84	4.84	5.10	4.50	4.83	5.36
Std dev:	1.98	2.01	1.93	2.02	1.95	1.84	2.10	1.93	2.02	1.91	2.11	1.99	2.10	L 97	1.89	2.07	1, 99	1.83
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 05	. 05	, 05	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 01	**	. 01

02/03/18

Self-government in First Nations communities.

SAMPLE TYPE

	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q3B			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
NOT IMPORTANT	1-1 ⁿ /n	15%	13%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	-10 [%]	12 ^m	39%
VERY IMPORTANT	42%	-10 ⁿ ,	134
Not very important	10%	11 ^{n/} n	10%
2	3%	17.	3%
3	1%	14	140
Somewhat important	23%	23%	23%
5	13^{n_1}	15%	120
6	$S^{h_{\rm b}}$	S^{h}_{a}	$S^n_{\ b}$
Very important	$3 {\rm h}_0$	32%	35%
DK. NR	;7°u	Pe	5%
€hi ² :			
±.,points around 50%	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1356	438	918
Mean: Std-dev:	4, 95 1, 98	4.87	1.99
Staldev: Student's t:	1.98	1.99	1. 25

02 03 18

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				1	DUCATI	ON	
	Tota1	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	<11S	Some IIS	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q3D																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
NOT IMPORTANT	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%	1%
SONEWHAT IMPORTANT	19%	13%	19%	20%	20%	23%	22%	17%	20%	19%	25%	19%	24%	14%	10%	21%		2.1%	8%	11%
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	85%	71%	76%	7 5%	74%	76%	82%	76%	77%	69%	77%		81% +++	88% ++++	69%	73%	75%	9 1% ++++	8 8 %
Not very important	1%	1%	-14/ 	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%
2	1""	0%	$1^{\mathbf{n}_0'}$	1**	1%	1%	1%	$\theta_{\eta_i}^{u_i}$	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	O^{ν_0}	1%
3	1%	$\mathbf{O}^{n}{}_{0}$	1 "0	3%	1%	1%	$\Theta^{w}_{\ \nu}$	$\theta^{a_{\rm H}}$	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1 %	1%	2%	2%	1%	$O^{n\prime}_{\ n}$	1%
Somewhat important	1 () ⁿ ^a	$6^{n_{eq}}$	\mathfrak{P}^{0}_{ii}	$10^{a_{s}^{*}}$	$10^{a_{\mu}}$	104	11%	$\Omega_{n_0}^{n_0}$	9%	10%	15%	10%	12%	6^{ν}_{20}	1%	12%	11%	15%	2%	- 1 %
5	S^{n}_{n}	$6^{n_{\rm H}}$	Ω_0^{n}	8%	8ª6	12%	8%	7%	9%	84	10%	8%	9%	7 n/ 7 -10	6%	7%	10%	9%	G^{μ}	$\Theta_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{n}_{1}}$
6	10%	$\tilde{\Omega}^{0}{}_{0}$	1.30	7"	10%	$9^{n_{0}}$	13°	9%	7%	11%	10%	7%,	11%	10%	12%	6%	8%	13%	13%	9%
Very important	67%	76%	62%	69%	65%	65%	63%	73%	69%	66%	59%	70%	63%	7:3%	76%	63%	65%	62%	77%	79%
DK/NR	2%	1%	1"0	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%		1%	0%	1%	1%	7%		1%	1%	0%
Chi ² :		_	++						_		++++					++++ (.01)		-		
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3		6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1393	143	156	327	326	158	146	137	610	783	268	284	229	246	194	182	497	333	223	149
Mean:	6.28	6.51	6.21	6.27	6.22	6.21	6.24	6.47	6.31	6.27	6.09			6.48	6.59	6. 14		6.18	6.68	
Std dev:	1.25	1.09	1.34	1.31	1.33	1.30	1.16	0.99	1.24	1.26		1.34		1.06	0.91	1.45		1.23	0.69	
Student's t:	*	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	. 01	-	, 05	. 01	. 01	-	. 01	. 10	. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Improving economic conditions among First Nations people

		I.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPE	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMP1.0	DYMENT 3	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-41	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Marginia t	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q3D Unweighted n: NOT 1MPORTANT	1423 2%	612 1%	43 5%	751 2%	271	377	356 1%	219 3%	185 3%	155 2%	222 0%	112 1%	180 1%	143 1%	819 1%	245 3%	3-18 3% +
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	$19^{n_0}_{-0}$	21%	21%	18%	33% ++++	18%	16%	13%	16%	26%	18%	10%	13%	12%		27%	20%
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	77%	72%	77%	6.1%	80%		81%	77%		79%	87%	85%	87%	81%	69%	73%
Not very important	1%	$0^{a_0^*}$	2"	1%	2%		14	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%
2	1%	$\Theta^{n_0'}$	24	1%	0ª4	1%	() ⁰ "]%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%
3	1%] °	Q%	1%	3%	L ^{ev} e] 'n	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Somewhat important	1 O ⁿ .,	11.0	\tilde{T}_{0}^{n}	9%	18^{o}_{b}	7.0	$\Omega^{V}_{\ 0}$	6%	9 <u>~</u>	15%	7%	1%	1%	6%	7%	15%	12%
5	8%	8%	11°	8%	12"	$10^{0'_{11}}$	6°.,	5%	7%	10%	10%	1%	6%	• 6%	8%	10%	8.4
6	10 [%]]] ¹⁰] <u>- 10</u>	$\mathbf{S}^{n_{0}}$	13%	1.00	7%	8%	8%	9%	9%	6%	10%	7%	10%	9%	10 ⁿ /.
Very important	67%	66%	fi0ª:	69%	51%	68%	7.1%	73%	69%	62%	70%	71%	75%	80%	71%	60%	63%
DK/NR	1)m 2 0	140		3" ^b	1%	1%		2%	5%	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%
Chi * :		-			(. 01)					(. 01)					C 01)		
±points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1393	605	-12	731	268		3419	214	176		216	109	178			242	
Meant	6.28	6.28	6.12	6.29	5.84		6. 13	6. 37	6.35		6.42	6.51	6.49			6.02	6.17
Std dev:	1. 25	1.20	1.43	1.29	1.46	1.08 .10	1.15	1.32	1.25	1.39 .01	1.05	1.01	1.07	0.92	1.13 .01	1.45 .01	1.36
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 01	. 10	. UI		-	. 01	-	-	-	. 05	. 01	. 01	. 10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

-

		K1D	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD 1 A	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q3D Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 2%	1006 1%	417 2%	755 1%	660 2%	667 1%	749 2%	586 2%	837 1%	-176 0%	181 3%	707 2%	373 2%	476 1%	510 2%	397 2%	-130 1%	573 1%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	19%	20%	$18^{\nu}_{\rm H}$	20%	19%,	17%	21%	18%	21%	20%	11%	++ 20%	13%	22%	22%	16%	23%	19%
VERY INPORTANT	77%	78°°	75%	77%	77%	81% ++++	73%	79%	76%	79 [%]	81%	76%	84% ++++	76%	7.1%	81% +	73%	78%
Not very important	1%	1%	O_{α}^{n}	1%	1 ¹ / ₋	1%	[°.	2%	0%	0.8	1%	1 °%	1%	1%	1 %	1%	0%	1%
2	1%	$O_{\sigma_{n}^{*}}$	្នាត់	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1 %	11/0
3	1 ^{nc} n	1ºu	1_{0}	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Somewhat important	10%	10%	9º%	10%	10%	8%	1100	9%	10%	10%	7%	11%	5%	13%	11%	8%	15%	8%
5	8%	8%	3%	9%	$\overline{t}_{0}^{\alpha'}$	8%	9%	7%	9%	9%	7%	8%	6%	8%	10%	7%	7%	10%
6	10%	$10^{\rm e_H}$	$\Omega^{a_{0}}$	F0ª:	9%	12%	85	$10^{\prime\prime}$	9%	11%	3%	10%	8.4	9%	12%	10%	7%	12%
Very important	67%	68%	66%	67%	68%	69%	65°	69%	66%	67%	78%	66%	76%	67%	62%	71%	67%	65%
DK/NR	2%	1 ⁿ .	-1°n 1 * *	210	$3^{n_0'}$	0%	3%	1.00	3%	1%	2%	2%	2.0	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		. 01		-		. 01		. 10		(, 05)			. 01			-		
±. points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	4	-1	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1393 6.28	994 6.28	$399 \\ 6, 29$	$743 \\ 6, 28$	$643 \\ 6.28$	664 6.36	723 6. 21	$578 \\ 6, 29$	815 6, 28	470 6.35	178 6.45	692	367 6.47	- 169 6, 2 4	531 6. 18	392 6, 35	420 6, 20	564
Std dev:	0.28	0.28 1.25	6. 29 1. 26	$\frac{6.28}{1.23}$	6.28 1.28	1.17	1.33	6.29 1.30	6.28 1.22	6.35 1.09	6. 45 1. 22	6, 24 1, 31	1, 18	1.26	1. 29	1.25	1.32	6.30 1.19
Student's t	*	-	-		-	. 05	. 05	-	-	-	. 10	, 05	. 01	-	. 05	-	1.32	i. 15 →

Improving economic conditions among First Nations people

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q3D			
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 2%	454 2%	969 1%
		5	•••
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	19%	19 <u>%</u>	20%
VERY IMPORTANT	77%	78%	76%
Not very important	1%	1%	1%
2	1%	2%	0 ^{n/} p
3	1%	20.	1 %
Somewhat important	10%	10 [°] u	10%
5	8%	7 n.	∂v_{0}^{0}
6	$10^{a_{\rm fi}^*}$	11"	9°5
Very important	67%	68%	67%
DK/NR	20	$O^{a_{\mu}^{*}}$	3n,
			+ + +
Chi ² :		. 05	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1393		944
Mean:	6.28		6.30
Std_dev: Student's_t:	1.25	1.30	1. 23

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				1	DUCAT1	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<1IS	Some IIS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q3F																				
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 2%	1-1-1 1%	163 1%	335 3% +	335 2%	160 3%	117 1%	139 0%	624 3%	799 2%	280 3%	287 1%	230 2%	249 2%	196 2%	195 4%	505 3%	335 1%	226 1%	149 1%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	13%	13%	15%	11%	10%	16%	20% +++	9%	1.1%	12%	16% ++	11%	10%	11%	1.1%	13%	13%	1 1%	10%	10%
VERY IMPORTANT	85%	86%	83%	85%	87%	81%	78%	91% ++	83%	86%	80%	87%	87%	86%	81%	83%	8.1%	84%	89%	89%
Not very important	2"	1*0	1%	3%	1 %	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%
2	$\Theta^{\eta_{_{10}}}$	$0^{q_{\rm p}'}$	1 ⁿ .	$O^{\mathbf{a}'_u}_{\ u}$	1%	$\theta^{\mathfrak{g}_{n}^{*}}$	[⁴	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	O%	1%
3	1%	1 ⁿ .	1"	1%	24	$3^{a'}$	1 ⁰	$\theta_{:0}^{a\prime}$	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1 %	$0^{\prime\prime}_{2}$	1 %
Somewhat important	5%	3%	9ª,	5%	3%	$G^{n_{\mathcal{D}}}$	13%	3%	6%	5%	7%	-1%	-1%	5%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	3%
5	$G^{\alpha_{ij}}$	8%	\mathbf{B}_{0}^{n}	5^{h}_{-}	5%	$S^{n_{\rm H}}$	7%	6%	7%	5%	8%	6%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	6%
6	7%	5°ú	$6^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	5%	· 1 ″ŭ	10%	11%	8%	8%	6%	·1%	6%	9%	8%	8%	5%	7%	8%	8%	5%
Very important	78%	81%	78%	79%	83%	71%	65%	83%	75%	80%	76%	81%	79%	79%	76%	78%	77%	76%	81%	83%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1 **	1%	1%	0%	0_{ab}^{ab}	1%	0%	0%	1% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ²		-							-		-				_	-		_	_	_
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8 138	4	3			6 230	6 249	7 196	7 194	4 502	5 335	7 226	8 149
Weighted n for mean: Nean:	1417 6.48	144 6, 57	162 6, 48	333 6. 44	333 6. 56	160 6.29	147 6.21	6, 72	621 6.41	796 6, 53					6, 43	6, 41	-	535 6,45		-
Std dev:	1.20	1.06	1. 13	1.34	1.16	1. 39	1.23	0.70	1. 27	1.13		1.10		1. 22	1. 22	1. 33		1, 18		
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	. 10	. 05	. 01	. 05	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Improving community services such as housing, water and sewers

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	OYMENT :	SECTOR		EMPL(YMENT 3	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-41	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Moganit	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q3F									105								
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 2%	612 1%	-13 2%	751 3% ++	271 2%	377	356 2%	219 3%	185 4% +	155 1%	222 0%	112 4% ++	180 1%	143 1%	819 1%	245 4%	348 2%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	13%	12%	16%	13%	18%		11%	9%	11%	17%	11%	13%	11%	9%		18%	10%
VERY IMPORTANT	85%	87%	81%	83%	79%	$86^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	87%	88%	8.1%		88%	82%	88%	90%	86%	78%	87%
Not very important	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1 %	2%	2%	3%	1%	O ⁿ	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%
2	$O^{0}_{\ \mu}$	$O_{q_{n}}^{\alpha}$	() ⁿ	0.0	0%	0^{w}	0%	1%	1%	18	$\Theta_{n\ell}^{n\ell}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	l."
3	1 %	1%	$\Theta^{a}{}_{ii}$] ⁶ .	24/	1 "	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Somewhat important	5^{n_0}	5%	Θ^{a}_{b}	6%	8%	6%	6%	3%	-1%	8%	5%	5%	-1%	1%	6%	8%	3%
5	6^{n_0}	$6^{\rm th}$	īĽ	6%	8%	6%	Φ^{ν}_{μ}	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6 %	9%	5%
6	7%	8%	19^{w_0}	5%	9%	7%	-1%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	8%	6%
Very important	78%	79^{n_0}	63ª,	78%	70%	79%	83%	80%	78%	74%	81%	76%	82%	83%	79%	70%	81%
DK/NR	0"	Θ_{α}^{W}	$\theta_{w_{*}}^{a}$	0%	0%	$O^{N_{0}}$	0%	0%	1%		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		-			(. 05)					-					(. 05)		
±points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7		7	9	7	8	3	6	
Weighted n for mean:	1417	611	-13	748	270		356	219	183		221	111	180			244	
Mean	6. 18	6.55	6.26	6.42	6.28		6.55	6.50	6.44		6.63	6.36	6.61	6. 62		6.24	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.20 *	1.04 .05	1.27	1.32 .10	1.34 .01	1.01	1. 16 -	1. 25	1. 32	1.22 .10	0.88 ,10	1.41 -	1.01	1, 01	1.10 .10	1.44 .01	1.20

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	ENTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADTA	N RELAT	10NSHLP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q3F																		
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1123 2%	1006 2%	417 3%	755 2%	660 2%	667 Pi	719 3%	586 2%	837 2%	176 1%	181 3%	707 2%	373 3% ++	476 1%	510 2%	397 3%	130 2%	573 1%
SONEWHAT IMPORTANT	$13^{n_{\mathrm{H}}}$	12%	15%	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%	12%	13%	6%	15%	13%	13%	13%	12%	13%	12%
VERY INPORTANT	85%	86°	81%	85%	8·1ª	86%	83%	84%	85%	86%	91% ++	83%	84%	85%	85%	8.1%	85%	86%
Not very important	24	Ŷ	24	2^{ψ}	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	24	200	1%
2	$\Theta^{n_{it}}$	O_{u}^{n}	$O^{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}}$	$0^{n_{\rm H}^\prime}$	0%	0%	$1^{\frac{n}{6}}$	$O^{n_0^{\prime}}$	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
3	1%	$1^{n_0'}$	1"	2%	1 %	1%	Σ_{0}^{0}	1%	2%	1 👷] ⁴ 5	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%] %	1%
Somewhat important	5%	5%	7%	5%	6 %	5 %	6%	6%	5%	5%	2%	7%	4%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%
5	6%	5%	T NU	6°5	7%	6%	$G_{\mu_{0}}^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	65	6%	6%	3%	6%	7%	1%	7%	6%	5%	6%
6	7%	6%	8°5	85	6 %	8%	5%	7%	7%	8%	3%	7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	9%
Very important	78%	80 ⁿ ii	73%	77%	79%	78%	78%	77%	78%	78%	88%	75%	78%	79%	78%	80%	78%	77%
DK./NR	0^{a_0}	0%	1º0 +++	0_{a_i}	1%	0 %] ⁿ / ₀	۳6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% ++	0%	0%	0%	1%
Chi*:		(, 01)				-		-		(, 05)			-			-		
±,,points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1417	3	5 112	4 754	-1 656	4	-4 745	-1 583	3	4 -175	7 181	-1	5	4	4	5	5	-1
weighted n for mean. Mean:	6.48	$1005 \\ 6.52$	6.36	754 6.47	6.48	66 6 6, 52	6.43	583 6.48	834 6.47	6, 53	181 6.61	704 6.40	$373 \\ 6.41$	-174 6, 50	540 6.49	396 6.45	429 6.47	570 6.50
Std dev:	1. 20	1.15	1.31	1.20	1.20	1.09	1. 29	1.16	1.22	1.07	1. 24	1.26	1.35	1.15	1.15	1. 28	1. 22	1.12
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	+	. 05	-		-	-	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Improving community services such as howing, water and sewers

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	6en Pop
Q3F			
Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	1423 2%	-15-1 1%	969 24
SONEWHAT IMPORTANT	13%	12%	13%
VERY IMPORTANT	85%	87%	84%
Not very important	10/ 2 ⁻⁰	1%	2%
2	0^{n_0}	0%	1%
3	1%	1 ⁿ .	1%
Somewhat important	5%	6^{a_0}	54
5	6 ^{ny} .	5%	7%
6	7%	8ª.,	6%
Very important	78%	79%	78 ⁿ
DK/NR	0%	$O^{0_{\mathrm{H}}}$	١٩
Chi ² :		_	+
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean	1417	454	963
Mean	6.48	6. 53	6. 15
Std dev:	1.20	1.10	4. 24
Student's t	*		-

02/03/18

good local government

					REG10N				GEN	IDER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	A1b	Sask	Man	Out	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	< 11 S	Some IIS	llS	Coll	Univ
Q3G																				
Unweighted n:	726	73	81	182	171	75	73	71	329	397	145	150	125	124	95	104	277	160	107	72
NOT IMPORTANT	3%	1%	6% ∔	-1%	-1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	0%	6% ++	48	.1%	3%	1%	3%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	28%	30%	35%	30%	21%	32%	10%	15%	30%	27%	39%	27%	33%	24%	18%	30%	31%	35%	21%	13%
VERY IMPORTANT	66%	67%	56%	63%	71%	67%	59%	82%	65%	68%	+++ 52%	71%	62%	76%	76%	60%	64%	++ 61%	77%	83%
			_					+++	00.10	0.0.4			02.0	++	++	004	01.0	01 4	++	+++
Not very important	2%	10	$\overline{D}_{\mu \mu}^{\mu \mu}$	3%	2%	0.4	1°""	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	$1^{\prime\prime\prime}_{\prime\prime0}$
2	1.0	0%	1%	1 °.0	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
3	3%	1".	0%	3%	3%	5%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	1%
Somewhat important	1.1%	1.1%	$20^{\rm tr}_{\rm co}$	1 1%	П ^а ́л	16 [‰]	19%	13%	15%	14%	23%	14%	15%,	11%	8%	18%	16%	20%	7%	3%
5	110	15°n	15%	13%	7%	11~	15%	1%	12%	10%	13%	9%	13%	10%	7%	8%	12%	11%	10%	8%
6	11ª p	8°%	1""	8%	11%	19%	18%	11%	12%	10%	10%	10%	11%	12%	11%	11%	10%	13%	11%	11%
Very important	55%	59%	5- 1 %	55%	57%	18%	11%	70%	52%	58%	42%	61%	50%	61%	65%	49%	53%	49%	65%	72%
DK/NR	3%	1 0	1%	1"	·1 [°]	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	2%	1%
		(+++			-		+++				
Chi [*] : ±, points around 50%:	4	(. 05) 11	11	7	-	11	11	12		5	(. 01) 8	8	9	9	10	(. 01)		υ	0	10
Weighted n for mean:	707	72	78	175	164	75	73	70	322	385	138		-	124	95	10 97		8 159	9 105	
Mean:	5.93	6,06	5, 69	5.86	6.04	5.84	5, 61	6.34	5.84	5, 99	5. 57	6, 04		6, 23	6.05	5, 73		5, 71	6. 28	
Std dev:	1. 19	1.34	1.72	1. 57	1.47	1.39	1.44	1.23	1.53	1. 45	1.54	1, 42		1.17	1.63	1.63		1.55	1.23	1.21
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	. 10	. 01	-	-	. 01	-	-	. 01	-	-	-	. 05	. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. | Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

02.03 18

Developing good local government strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

good focal government

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Minganti t	Employ	Unempi	Not LF
Q3G Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	726 3%	307 1%	21 0%	390 5%	152	194 3%	173	106	93 6%	73	113	52 2%	89 3%	76 1%	109 2%	134 2%	178 5%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	28%	30%	29%	27%	38% +++	29%	26%	19%	++ 26%	34%	27%	17%	21%	25%	26%	31%	+ 33%
VERY IMPORTANT	66%	68%	71%	65%	57%	66%	69%	79%	63%		68%	75%	7.1%	7 1%		63%	58%
Not very important	- 18 - 11	1 "	O_{α}^{α}	31	3%	2%	2º%	$\mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{B}}^{N}$	1"0	$\Theta_{\alpha}^{n_{\ell}}$	3%	200	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
2	$1^{n'_{ij}}$	1%	0^{η_ℓ}	$2w_0$	1%	1"	$\Gamma_{0}^{\prime\prime}$	1%	20/	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	91V 2.0
3	$3^{a_{g}}$	en L	50	3%	1".	1°.0	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	7%	ľ	3%	1%	20
Somewhat important	1-1 ¹⁶	15%	$1.1^{a_{s}^{*}}$	1 1 ^{n/}	21%	15%	9%	11%	17%	. 1 ℃	18%	12%	7%	8%	12%	16%	20%
5	$1\Gamma_0^{n_0}$	12^{n}	$0^{\mu}_{\ \mu}$	10°	15%	10%	14%	6%	5%	19%	7%	1%	8%	16%	11%	10%	11%
6	11%	12%	29%	10%	16^{4}	9°.	8%	13%	12%	12%	9%	12%	10%	12%	11%	9%	13%
Very important	55%	56°°	\mathbb{R}^{2}	55%	10ª.	57%	61%	66%	52%	51%	59%	6.3%	64%	62%	59%	51%	·16%
DK/NR	3%	1.0	$\Omega^{a_{_{11}}}$	1°0 + +	3.0	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	6% ++	1%	0%	2%	1%	-4%
Chí ² :		(, 10)			(.05)					-					(, 10)		
±points around 50%:	4	6	21	5	8			10	10		9	14	10				
Weighted n for mean:	707	303	21	376	149			105	89	72	111	49	88	76			
Mean	5, 93	6, 01	5, 90	5, 86	5.60			6. 29	5.71	5.94	5.95	6.22	6, 07	6,20		5.89	
Std.dev: Student's t	1, 49 *	1.34	1.26	1.61	1.51 .01	1.50		1, 20 , 01	1.75	1.28	1.53 -	1.37 _	1. 54	1. 23	L 43 . 05	1.49 -	

02/03/18

Developing good local government/strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

good local government

		KID	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANAÐIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q3G Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	726 3%	510 3%	216 3%	372 - 1 %	350 2%	343 3%	380 3%	306 2%	420 4%	240 2%	90 6%	368 3%	189 -4%	236 3%	286 2%	193 -4%	223 3%	300 2%
SOMEWHAT INPORTANT	28%	27%	31%	29%	27%	29%	28%	24%	31%	24%	22%	32% ++	24%	31%	30%	26%	31%	27%
VERY IMPORTANT	66%	68%	63°°	65%	68%	67^{n_0}	65%	72%	62%	73% ++	70%	63%	71%	64%	65%	66%	64%	68%
Not very important	2%	2%	I %	3%	1 %	2%	2%	1%	34	1%	-1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%
3	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	2%	-1%	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%
Somewhat important	14%	1.1%	16%	15%	1.1%	15%	14%	12%	16%	12%	12%	16%	12%	20%	12%	15%	20%	10%
5	11%	11%	10%	11%	10%	12%	10º%	8.0	12%	10%	1%	13%	9%	7%	16%	9%	10%	13%
6	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	13%	9%	13%	10%	15%	6%	10%	6%	11%	15%	8%	9%	15%
Very important	55%	57%	51%	54%	57%	55%	56%	59%	52%	58%	6.1%	52%	65%	53%	50%	59%	56%	53%
DK/NR	3%	2%	- 1 % +	2%	3%	1%	• 1 % + + +	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%
Chi ² :		-	+	_		. 05		. 05		-			-			_		
±points around 50%;	-1	4	7	5	5	5	5	6	5		10	5	7	6	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	707	500	207	365	338	340	364	301	406	237	88	360	187	230	279 5. 91	187 5. 92	220	292
Mean:	5, 93	5.97 1.47	5.82 1.52	5, 84 1, 56	6.01 1.40	5.93 1.44	5.91 1.53	6.07 1.39	5.82 1.55		5.92 1.75	5.84 1.49	6.05 1.54	5.80 1.55	5.91	5. 92 1. 59	5, 86 1, 53	5, 99 1, 37
Std-dev: Student's t:	L 49*	1.47	1.02	1, 50	1, 40 -	1. 111	1. 55	. 05	1. 55		1. (3	. 10	1. 54	- 1.00	-	1. 35	1.00	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02 03 18

Developing good local government strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

good local government

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q3G			
Unweighted n:	726	240	486
NOT IMPORTANT	30	3%	1916 1917
SONEWHAT IMPORTANT	$28^{\prime\prime}$	28%	28%
VERY INPORTANT	66 [%]	68°.	66%
Not very important	Out Out	Çı,	20
2	1%	$\Gamma_{\rm b}$	1%
3	3n/i	- 1 %	54
Somewhat important	1.1%	16%	119
5	11%	8ª.,	12%
6	11%	12%	11%
Very important	55%	56%	55%
DK/NR	3%	1 th	34
Chi ^a :		-	
±points around 50%	4	6	
Weighted n for mean:	707	237	170
Mean:	5.93		
Std dev:	1.49	1.51	1.48
Student's t	*	-	-

02/03/18

Developing good local government strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

strong accountability

					REG10N				GEN	IDER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	AIb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	SHS	Some HS	hs	€o∏	Univ
Q3G																				
Unweighted n:	697	71	82	153	164	85	74	68	295	402	135	137	105	125	101	91	228	175	119	77
NOT IMPORTANT	3%	7%	2%	3%	2%	-1%	3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	4%	2%	-1%	5%	1%	1%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	26%	21%	30%	24%	23%	27%	28%	37%	27%	26%	24%	3-1%		25%	20%	25%	27%	34%	20%	16%
VERY IMPORTANT	67%	69%	61%	69%	73%	68%	61%	63%	66%	68%	67%	60%		73%	75%	63%	64%	59%	79%	
Not very important	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%	38	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	+++ 1%	+++ 0%
2	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
3	2%	0%	2%	3%	28	0%	1".	0%	3%	18	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%
Somewhat important	$13^{n_{\rm H}}$	7%	1700	1.1%	12^{W}_{-0}	15%	11%	21%	11%	13%	13%	18%	10%	13%	12%	18%	15%	14%	$9^{w}_{\cdot 0}$	8%
5	11%	14%	11.0	7 °.	$\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n'}$	12%	$1 1^{\nu_0}$	16%	11%	11%	10%	14%	12%	10%	7%	5%	10%	18%	9%	64
6	9%	7%	10%	8^{n_0}	11%	12^{n_0}	9°	$G^{n_0'}$	8%	10%	9%	9%	9%	11%	7%	9%	7%	10%	11%	9%
Very important	58%	62%	51%	61%	62^{n}_{-9}	56%	51%	57%	58%	58%	59%	50%	57%	62%	68%	54%	57%	19%	68%	73%
DK∕NR	$1^{n_{\mu}}$	$3^n_{\ b}$	6 [%]	5%	3%	1%	8% ++	0%	.1%	3%	5%	28	5%	2%	1%	10%		2%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		_							_		_					(.01)				
±points around 50%:	-1	12	11	8	8	11	11	12	6	5	8	8	10	9	10	10	6	7	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	671	69	77	146	159	8·1	68	68	283	388	128	134			100	82		171	119	
Mean:	6.01	6.01	5.86	6. O l	6.14	5.98	5.90	6.00	5.95	6.05				6.15	6.18			5.75		
Std dev:	1.46	1.63	1.51	1.55	1.35	1.46	1. 53	1.26	1.53	1.41	1.50			1.29	1.43	1. 51	1, 54	1.58	1. 19	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	, 05	. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. Les Associés de recherche Ekos

1.1

AL M

02-03 48

Developing good local government strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

strong accountability

		I.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL(DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	service	Prof	Mingminit	Emptoy	Unempł	Not LF
Q3G Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	697 3%	305 25	22 9%	36 1 3%	119 -1ª	183 20%	183 3%	113 .[ʰɨ	92 2%	82 5%	109 3%	60 3%	91 1%	67 1%	410 2%	111 5%	170 2%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	26%	28%	36%	24%	11%	26%	26%	15%	22%	32%	21%	32%	24%	22%	25%	29%	28%
VERY AMPORTANT	67%	68%	55%	67%	48%	71%	68%	77%	71%	60%	71%	62%	77%	75%	7 0%	62%	65%
Not very important	2%	1%	$\Theta_{a}^{n\prime}$	2%	3%	2%	1%	-1 ⁿ / ₄	2%	5%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	5%	2%
2	1 %	1%	$\theta_{\pi}^{\alpha'}$	1%	1%	1%	29/	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
3	2%	1%	5%	200	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%
Somewhat important	13%	12%	23%	1.1%	24%	13%	1-1 ⁿ "	9%	10%	18%	1.1%	18%	11%	7%	14%	16%	11%
5	11 ^a 0	$15^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	9ª	7 °.	19%	11%	$9^{\rm e}_{\rm li}$	6º.	8%	10%	7 ⁿ / ₀	13%	8%	12%	9%	12%	1-1%
6	∂d^p	11%	5 %	7%	13%	11.0	8%	8%	4%	6%	8%	12%	10%	1%	8%	13%	10%
Very important	58%	57%	50°	$60^{\alpha'}$	35%	$60^{a_{\mu}}$	64%	6 9 %	66%	54%	62%	50%	67%	70%	61%	50%	55%
DK/NR	$1_{\mathbf{p}}$	<u></u>	$\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{u}}}$	6% ++	7 m +	1%	3%	1%	5%	.1%	6%	3%	1%	1%	3%	4%	4%
Chi ² :		(.05)			(.01)					-					-		
±points around 50%:	4	6	21	5	9	7	7	9	10	11	9	13	10	12		-	
Weighted n for mean:	674	299	22	341	111	181	177	108	87	79	103	58	90	66			163
Mean	6.01	6.05	5.36	6.00	5.48	6.08	6.03	6.29	6. 16	5.71	6. 17	5.86	6.27	6.27	6.09		
Std dev:	1.46	1.33	1.99	1.54	1.54	1.36	4.47	4.42	1.48	1.73	1.37	1.41	1.23	1.32	1.41	1.64	1.46
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 01	-		. 05	-	. 05	-	-	. 10	-	. 10	. 10	-

.

02/03 [18]

Developing good local government/strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

strong accountability

		K1D	Ś	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI	GOVER	MENT
	Tota1	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q3G Unweighted n: NOT IMPORTANT	697 3%	196 2%	201 1 ^w	383 3%	310 3%	324 2%	369 1%	280 1%	417	236 2%	91 3%	339 4%	184 3%	240 1%	254 2%	204 3%	207 3%	273 3%
SONEWHAT IMPORTANT	26%	27%	2:1%	27%	25%	26%	26%	28%	25%	26%	20%	27%	22%	27%	29%	28%	29%	23%
VERY IMPORTANT	67%	67 ⁿ i	68%	65%	70%	69%	65%	65%	69%	69%	75%	65%	73%	66%	65 %	68%	6.1%	70%
Not very important		1".	1 ª°	2%	3"	1%	3%	24	2%	0%	3%	3%	* 2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%
2	$\Gamma_{\pi}^{n'}$	$1^{n_{p}}$	$\Omega^{a}{}_{\mu}$	1ª0	$\Theta^{\alpha_0^*}$	1%	1 ⁿ ii	1^{ω_u}	0"	1%	$O_{n'}^{n'}$	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1 º√	1%
3	2^{w}_{0}	<u>.</u>	ene tr	2%	24	0. 20	2ħ	2*	אורי וו ב	2%	$O_{\mu}^{a \prime}$	2%	2%	1%	3%	5%	1%	0%
Somewhat important	13%	$1\cdot 1^{a_{\mu}^{*}}$	13%	15%	12%	12"	1.1%	11%	13%	11%	11%	16%	13%	16%	114	13%	19%	10%
5	11 ⁿ / ₁₀	11%	9%	10%	11%	12%	$9^{n_{\rm H}}$	11%	10°	13%	$\partial_{u_{n}^{\mathrm{sl}}}$	9%	7%	10%	15%	11%	9%	12%
6	9ª,	11 ^{11′g}	5 ^{nz}	10%	8%	9%	10ª/	$10^{n_{\rm H}}$	8%	8%	3%	12%	7%	8%	13%	6%	7%	14%
Very important	58%	$56^{a_{a}}$	62%	55%	62%	61%	56%	55%	60%	61%	71%	53%	66%	59%	52%	62%	57%	56%
DK/NR	1.1	41	34	5%	3%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	0%	4%	
Chí ² :		_		-		-	+						_			. 05		++
±points around 50%:	4	1	7	5	6	5	5	6	5	6	10	5	7	6	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	671	477	194	365	302	316	351	270	404		89	324	181	233	244	204	199	
Mean	6.01	6.02	5, 98	5.96	6.07	6. 11	5.92	5.93	6.06		6.25	5.88	6.41	5.94	5.97	5.95	5, 91	6. 11
Std dev:	1.46	1.40	1.62	1. 16	1.47	1.35	1.56	4.50	1.44	1.30	4.44	1.54	1.48	1.56	1.37	1.58	1, 51	1.34
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	, 10	. 10	-	-	-		. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-

02/03/18

Developing good local government/strong accountability in local government in First Nations communities

strong accountability

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q3G			
Unweighted n:	697	214	183
NOT IMPORTANT	3%	3%	3%
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	26%	28%	25%
VERY IMPORTANT	67%	68°.	674
Not very important	$\mathfrak{D}_{n_0}^{n_0}$	2%	20
2	1%	1°5	13
3	2%	3%	24
Somewhat important	13%	13%	1 1%
5	11%	12^{n_0}	10%
6	9%	8°.,	10%
Very important	58%	60°,	573
DK/NR	-1%	1%	51
			++
Chi²:		-	
±points around 50%:	-1	7	4
Weighted n for mean:	671	211	460
Mean:	6.01	5.98	
Std dev:	1.46	1.51	1.45
Student's t:	*	-	-

02/03/18

The overall performance of the government of Canada? By government of Canada I mean government departments, such as Andian and Northern Affairs and Health Canada

					REG10N				GEN	DER			ENCOME				I	(DPCAT1	ON	
	Total	BC	AIb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At I	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	ais	Some IIS	11S	Coll	Univ
PER20																				
Unweighted n: BAD	1423 26%	144 34%	163 3 1 %	335 22%	335 28%	$\frac{160}{27\%}$	147 22%	139 23%	624 27%	799 26%	280 20%	287 25%	230 24%	249 31%	196 -40%	195 18%	505 22%	335 25%	226 38%	149 38%
NEITHER	33%	++ 38%	30%	33%	35%	32%	39%	25%	33%	34%	31%	37%	32%	35%	++++ 33%	30%	3.4%	39%	1111 30%	++++ 32%
GOOD	38%	26%	39%	41%	3.1%	39%	37%	50%	38%	38%	47%	37%	43%	32%	27%	48%	42%	++ 36%	31%	29%
1 Very bad	11%	13%	13%	9%	13%	9%	7%	+++ 12%	11%	11%	++++ 11%	11%	+ 9%	13%	14%	+++ 9%		10%	15%	13%
2	6°	7%	9°5	5%	6%	5%	1%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	7%	6%	9%	5%	5%	5%	7%	9%
3	9ºº	1.4%	5%	8%	9%	13%	10%	6%	10%	9%	4%	9%	8%	12%	17%	1%	7%	9%	17%	15%
4 Neither good nor bad	33%	38%	30%	33%	35%	32%	39%	25%	33%	34%	31%	37%	32%	35%	33%	30%	34%	39%	30%	32%
5	16	10%	11%	16 ^a .	1.1%	16%	19%	22%	15%	16%	15%	16%	18%	17%	12%	13%		16%	18%	15%
6	9%	5°a	5 	10%	7%	12%	7%	14%	9%	8%	8%	10%	10%	8%	9%	9%		10%	8%	8%
7 Excellent	11%	11%	17%	15%	1.1%	11%	11%	1.1%	13%	14%	23%	11%	15%		7%	26%		9%	-1%	
																				5%
ÐK/NR	200 1	1%	1^{w}	3%	3%	34	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	0% —	4% +	3%	1% -	1%	2%
Chi ² :		(. 05)		-	-	0		0	-		(. 01)	0			_	(. 01)		-	_	
±points around 50%:	1280	8	8 162	$\frac{5}{324}$	5 326	8 156	8 143	8 136	4 610	3 779	6 273	6 282	6 226	$\frac{6}{244}$	7	7 187	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	$1389 \\ 4.20$	142 3, 86	-4.13	- 324 - 4, 39	4.09	1.22	4.25	4.41	4.19	4. 22	4. 55	4.47	4.33	$\frac{244}{3,92}$	196 3, 71	187		332 4.14	223 3.72	$\frac{146}{3.75}$
std dev:	4.20	5, 80 1, 72	-4, 15 	1. 72	1.81	1. 32	4.25	1.80	1.76	1.75	4, 55	1.68	1.74	1.64	1.67	1. 70		4.14	3.72	1.63
Student's t:	*	, 05	-	, 05	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	-	-	. 05	, 01	, 01			. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

The overall performance of the government of Canada? By government of Canada 1 mean government departments, such as Indian and Northern Affairs and Health Canada

		1.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL:	IYMENT	SECTOR		EMP1.C	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-51	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Migmont	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
PER20 Unweighted n∶	1423	612	-13	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
BAD	1423 26%	28%	-15 -9%	26%	16%	22%	33%	33%	185 29%	21%	28%	29%	37%	34%	30%	245 21%	22%
NEITHER	33%	33%	4.1%	33%	31%	36%	++++ 33%	++ 39% +	29%	36%	36%	31%	++ 29%		++++ 33%	33%	35%
GOOD	38%	37%	-12%	38%	51% ++++	11%	32%	26%	38%	42%	32%	38%	32%	34%	35%	42%	41%
1 Very bad	11%	10%	$\theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm or}$	12%	5%	8%	1.1%	16%	12%		12%	7%	11%	17%	12%	10%	10%
2	6%	6°	5%	6%	5%	5%	£°%	8°0	5%	3%	5%	10%	11%	7%	7%	4%	5%
3	9%	$12^{n_{d}^{*}}$	5°°	8%	6%	8%	13%	8%	11%	6%	11%	12%	16%	10%	11%	7%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	33%	3.3%	$1 P_{\rm ii}$	33%	31%	36%	33%	39%	29%	36%	36%	31%	29%	30%	33%	33%	35%
5	16%	16%	$21^{0'}_{-0}$	15%	21%	16%	1.1%	11%	11%	15%	1.1%	14%	19%	16%	16%	15%	15%
6	9°"	11%	7%	7°°	13%	11%	7%	·1%	10%	10%	9%	13%	8%	5%	8%	9%	10%
7 Excellent	11%	11°	$\prod_{i=1}^n$	16 ^w	18%	Π ^α ο	11%	11%	11%	17%	9%	12%	6%	13%	11%	19%	17%
DK/NR	200	2%	5%	2%	1.6	- <u>0</u> %	2%	2%	5%		3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Chi ² :		_			(.01)					_					. 05		
±., points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1389	599	-11	734	267	371	3 18	215	176		215	110	176		801	237	342
Mean:	4.20	4.47	1.66	4.21	4.69	4.36	3, 94	3.77	4.17	4.37	4.01	4.22	3.82		4. 05	4.45	4.38
Std dev:	1.75	1.69	1.30	1.82	1.61	1.68	1.76	1.78	1.83		1.67	1.69	1.60		1.72	1.80	1.77
Student's t:	*	-	. 05	-	. 01	. 05	. 01	. 01	-	. 05	-	-	. 05	-	. 01	. 05	. 05

02 03 18

The overall performance of the government of Canada? By government of Canada I mean government departments, such as Indian and Northern Affairs and Health Canada

		K1DS	5	SMOKI	1 NTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT	
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
PER20 Unweighted n∶ BAD	1423 26%	1006 27%	117 24%	755 25%	660 28%	667 30% ++++	749 23%	586 32% ++++	837 22%	-176 19%	181 50% ++++	707 26%	373 100% ++++	476	5·10 	397 -13% ++++	430 24%	573 17%
NETHER	33%	34%	33%	33%	34%	32%	35%	32%	34%	30%	30%	36% ++	0%	100% ++++	0%	32%	45% ++++	26%
GOOD	38%	37%	30_{0}^{0}	10% +	35%	36%	39 ⁿ i	35%	- 1 0% ++	49%	19%	36%	0%	0%	100%	23%	29%	55% ++++
1 Very bad	11%	$11^{\frac{N}{10}}$	Нņ	11%	11%	12%	$10^{n_{\rm H}}$	13%	10%	6%	27%	11%	42%	0%	0%	22%	9%	5%
2	6^{n_0}	$G_{\mu}^{\mu *}$	5%	$6^{n_{_{\rm H}}}$	$6^{\alpha_{\rm H}}$	\overline{t}_{0}^{W}	5%	7%	5%	5%	14%	5%	23%	0%	0%	8%	6%	-1%
3	$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu_{n}^{\prime\prime}}$	10^{m}	$\mathfrak{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$	8%	11 ⁿ	1.00	75	12%	8"	8%	9%	10%	36%	0%	0%	13%	8%	8%
4 Neither good nor bad	33°-	31^{6}	33%	33%	31%	32%	35%	32%	34%	30%	30%	36%	0%	100%	0%	32%	45%	26%
5	$16^{a_{ij}}$	16°	Π^{i}_{v}	17%	13%	170	1.1%	13%	17%	20^{w}	8%	15%	0%	$\Omega_m^{\rm w}$	11%	12%	10%	23%
6	$\mathfrak{P}^{a_{ii}}$	\mathbf{S}^{u}_{μ}	$10^{\rm ep}$	S_{μ}^{n}	10 ⁿ .	∂_{u_i}	$\partial_{u^{(i)}}$	\mathbf{S}_{ii}^{n}	$9^{n_{\rm T}}$	13%	2%	8%	04	0%	23%	5%	6º	1-1%
7 Excellent	$1.1^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	13%	15%	$14^{n_0^\prime}$	13%	$10^{n_{\rm f}}$	1 6%	13%	$1 \cdot i^{w}_{-\pi}$	16%	9%	13%	0%	0%	36%	7%	13%	18.
DK/NR	2%	2%	1ºi + +	20	3%	1%	3e.	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2.4
Chi ^r : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Nean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1389 4. 20 1. 75 *	- 3 988 4. 17 1. 74	5 401 1.28 1.78	4 742 4. 25 1. 76	4 640 4.15 1.75 -	. 01 -1 660 4. 05 1. 71 . 01	4 723 4.34 1.78 .01	. 01 -1 577 4. 05 1. 80 . 01	3 812 4.32 1.71 .01	(. 01) 4 467 4. 61 1. 63 . 01	7 179 3. 20 1. 86 . 01	-1 690 4. 18 1. 71 -	. 01 5 373 1. 94 0. 88 . 04	4 476 4.00 0.00 .01	4 540 5.95 0.88 .01	. 01 5 389 3. 44 1. 75 . 01	5 421 4. 13 1. 65	562 4. 77

02:03 18 The overall performance of the government of Canada? By government of Canada I mean government departments, such as Indian and Northern Affairs and Health Canada

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
PER20 Unweighted n:	1423	-154	969
BAD	$26^{a_{ m b}'}$	28°	25%
NEITHER	33%	33%	33%
GOOD	38%	36%	39%
1 Very bad	11%	11°	110
2	6%	6%	6 ⁿ
3	$\Omega^{n_{_{U}}}$	11°	83
4 Neither good nor bad	$33^{w_{p}}$	33%	33%
5	$16^{0'}$	15%	16%
6	(\mathbf{h}_{2})	$10^{a_{s}}$	Sh
7 Excellent	1.1%	12^{n_0}	11%
DK/NR	2%	190 200	3%
Chi ² :		-	
±.,points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1389	5 -145	3 941
Mean:	4. 20		
Std dev:	1.75	1.72	1.77
Student's t:	*	-	-

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govnerment nearest you?

your provincial governm

					REGION				GEN	ÐER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ION	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Out	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>lls</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	lls	Coll	Univ
PEP20																				
Unweighted n: BAD	705 28%	80 58%	82 24%	155 23%	162 20%	82 27%	70 36%	74 19%	293 32%	412 25%	134 25%	143 21%	119 25%	131 28%	90 -44%	90 26%	267 21%	150 31%	118 36%	73 34%
NETTHER	3.1%	++++ 21%	32%	38%	38%	3.1%	29%	- 39%	++ 31%	36%	33%	12%	33%	32%	++++ 33%	30%	33%	35%	++ 39%	33%
		-										++								
600D	33%	15%	35%	35%	38%	35%	29%	38%	33%	33%	37%	34%	38%	33%	20%	10%	-10% +++	29%	21%	30%
1 Very bad	1.1%	11%	9%	10^{w}	10%	6%	21%	7%	16%	13%	13%	10%	11%	1.4%	21%	18%	10%	15%	18%	14%
2	5%	9%	6%	6%	1%	7%	(1^{n_0})	7%	5%	5%	5%	3%	4%	6%	9%	4%	2%	6%	8%r	10%
3	9^{a_0}	8%	$[\Theta^{q'_0}$	6ª,	9%	13%	10°_{0}	5%	11%	7%	7%	7%	10%	8%	14%	3%	8%	10%	10%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	3.1%	21%	32%	38%	38%	3.4%	29%	39%	31%	36%	33%	42%	33%	32%	33%	30%	33%	35%	39%	33%
5	18%	14%	12 ⁴ 0	20%	19%	22%	13%	23%	16%	19%	16%	21%	19%	20%	12%	19%	19%	17%	12%	23%
6	$6^{u_{\rm s}}$	1".	$12^{\circ}{}^{$	3%	8%	7%	$6^{a'_{\rm ff}}$	7%	7%	6%	7%	5%	8%	5%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	4%
7 Excellent	10^{α}	3%	11_{n_0}	13%	12%	6%	10%	8%	10%	9%	13%	8%	11%	8%	2%	14%	14%	6%	1%	3%
DK/NR	5%	6ª5	9%	.1%	4%	1%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	7%	2%	-1%	6%	5%	3%	34
Chi ² :		(. 04)							-		(. 05)					(. 05)				
±.,points around 50%	4	41 75	11 75	$\frac{8}{149}$	8 155	11 79	12 65	11 71	6 282	5 387	8 127	8 138	-	9 122	10 88	10 86		8 142	9 114	11 71
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	669 3.98	75 2.64	4.24	4. 15	4.30	4. 09	3.69	4.23	3, 92	4.03	4. 13			3. 92	3. 33	4.10		3, 81	3, 53	
Std dev:	1.73	1.74	1.72	1.67	1.63	1.46	1.92	1. 49	1.79	1.68	1.82			1.71	1.61	1.94	1.72	1.66	1.63	
Student's t:	*	. 01	-	-	. 01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	. 01	-	. 01	-	, 04	. 10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 31

02. 03/18

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govnerment nearest you?

your provincial governm

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	MENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-31	35-11	45-54	55+	Labour	SkiHed	Service	Prof	Mingomit	Emp10y	19nemp1	Not LE
PEP20 Unweighted n: BAD	705 28%	300 29%	28 29%	369 26%	131 13%	186 23%	178 34%	108 33%	93 37%	79 19%	107 39%	55 22%	86 38⊮	69 32%	396 31% ++	122 25%	183 22%
NEITHER	3.1%	36%	39%	32%	31%	39% F	31%	37%	29%	39%	28%	36%	28%	35%	32%	30%	-10%
GOOD	33%	31%	32%	36%	-17% ++++	34%	28%	27%	31%	34%	27%	35%	29%	30%	31%	41%	33%
1 Very bad	1.1%	1.1%	10	1.1%	1%	11%	16 ^w	21%	20%	13%	23%	7%	13%	22%	16%	10%	11%
2	5%	5%	7%	5%	1%	1%	7%	5%	6%	1%	2%	11%	9%	6%	5%	7%	4%
3	9^{a_0}	[O ⁿ u	14%	7%	5%	() ⁶⁰	11%	7%	10%	5%	14%	.1%	16%	1%	10%	8%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	3 1%	36%	39%	3.5%	31%	39%	31%	37%	29%	39%	28%	36%	28%	35%	32%	30%	10%
5	$1S_{\mu}^{\mu}$	$1S^{n_{\rm b}}$	•	18%	$19^{a_{0}^{*}}$	19%	17^{n}	16°_{0}	17%	18%	15%	22%	21%	16%	18%	16%	19%
6	6ºn	8%	$\overline{t}^{\mathrm{str}}$	5%	11°_{P}	5%	$1^{\nu_{\rm b}}$	6%	5%	1%	7%	·]%	5%	· ! %	5%	12%	4%
7 Excellent	10°.	5%	18°	13%	17%	$[O^{\prime \ell}_{\ \nu}$	6°	6ª	9°_{\circ}	13%	5%	9%	3%	10%	8%	13%	11%
DK / NR	$5^{a_{\mu}}$	$5^{n_{\rm b}}$	$\Theta^{n_{\rm s}}$	6%	8 ^w	·]"	6°	3%	3%	8%	6%	7%	5%	3%	64	5%	1%
Chi ² :		-			(. 01)					-					-		
±points around 50%; Weighted n For mean; Nean; Std dev;	4 669 3. 98 1. 73	6 286 3, 85 1, 62	19 28 4. 25 1. 76	5 347 4.08 1.79	9 120 4. 74 1. 56	7 179 4. 11 1. 61	7 167 3, 70 1, 68	9 105 3.62 1.74	10 90 3.69 1.85	73 4. 19 1. 71	9 101 3, 53 1, 79	13 51 1. 10 1. 59	11 82 3, 66 1, 56	3. 73	374 3.82 1.71	116 4.30 1.78	175 4. 10 1. 65
Student's t:	*	, 10		-	. 01	-	, 05	, 05	, 10	, 05	, 10	-	-	-	. 01	, 05	-

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govnerment nearest you?

your provincial governm

		KID	ŝ	SMOK	ER	INTE	rnet	CONTAC	TED	CANADIA	N RELAI	TONSITIP	CANAD1	AN GOVI	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
PEP20 Unweighted n: BAD	705 28%	503 26%	202 30%	377 26%	324 30%	329 30%	372 26%	281 31%	424 25%	247 21%	82 43%	345 29%	189 56%	226 23%	273 13%	201 43%	208 24%	286 20%
NE Î T HE R	3-1‰	35%	32%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	32%	+++ 35%	35%	++++ 26%	53%	25%	++++ 32%	51% ++++	24%
GOOD	33%	34%	33%	35%	32%	32%	35%	33%	33%	13% ++++	21%	30%	16%	20%	56%	19%	20%	53%
1 Very bad	1.1%	13%	17%	14%	14%	1.4%	$1.1^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	12%	15%	9%	28%	1.1%	30%	12%	5%	23%	13%	9%
2	5%	6%	1%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	-1%	3%	7%	6%	12%	3%	2%	6%	5%	4%
3	$9^{n_0'}$	$S^{n_0'}$	9º%	8%	10°°	114	6ª/i	11%	7%	9%	7%	9%	14%	8%	5%	13%	7%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	35%	32%	3.1%	34%	3 1%	34%	3.1%	34%	32%	35%	35%	26%	5:3%	25%	32%	51%	24%
5	18ºu	20%	12%	19%	16%	19%	17."	1.10	20%	21%	7%	17%	10%	10%	30%	14%	10%	26%
6	$6^{u_0'}$	5%	\mathfrak{H}^n_{n}	5%	7%	6 %	6%	5%	7%	9%	5%	·1%	3%	4%	10%	2%	1%	10%
7 Excellent	10%	$9^{\nu_{\rm el}}$	11%	10%	9ªº	7%	12%	14%	7%	13%	9%	8%	3%	6%	16%	3%	6%	16%
DK/NR	5%	5%	5°.	5%	5%	4%	6%	2%	7% ++	4%	1%	6% +	2%	4%	6%	6%	4%	3%
Chí ² :		-		-		-		. 05		(. 01)			. 01			. 01		
±points around 50%:	-1	-1	7	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	11	5	7	7	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	669	478	191	357	308	316	349	274	395	238	81	325	185	216	257	189	199	
Mean:	3.98	3.99	3, 96	1.02	3.93	3.89	1.06	1. 0.1	3, 94		3.36	3.86	2.96	3.87	4.79	3.27	3.81	4.57
Std dev:	1.73	1.67	1.86	1.73	1.73	1.66	1.79	1.79	1, 68	1.65	1, 90	1.69	1.68	1.47	1.53	1.63	1.50	1.73
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	. 10	. 01	-	. 01	. 01	. 10	. 01

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govuerment nearest you?

your provincial governme

		SAMPL1	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
PEP20			
Unweighted n: BAD	705 28%	239 30%	466 26%
NEITHER	34°4	32%	35%
GOOD	33%	33%	33*
I Very bad	1 1 ⁿ '	15%	13%
2	5%	$6^{n_{\alpha}}$	54
3	$\Omega^{w_{\rm p}}$	$S^{n_{a}}$	94
l Neither good nor bad	3 1 %	325	35%
5	$18^{n_{\rm H}}$	21%	16%
;	$6^{n_{\mu}}$	$\tilde{T}^{\alpha}_{\ \ \alpha}$	- G ⁱⁱ
Excellent	10ª.	$\mathbf{G}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}}$	42%
K∕NR	5%	10.	6ª
Chi ²		-	
±.,points around 50%:	-1	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	669	229	440
Mean: Std.dev:	3, 98 1, 73	3, 84 4, 68	4.00 4.75
Student's t	1. (3	4.08	a, 70 -

Ekos Research Associates Inc. 🦪 Les Associés de recherche Ekos

FAGE: 34

5 Z /

The overall performance of provincial government/city or town govnerment nearest you?

02/03/18

city or town government

					REG1 ON				GEN	DER			ENCOME				ľ	EDUCAT1	.ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Out	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< []S	Some HS	11 S	Coll	Univ
PEP20 Unweighted_n: BAD	718 21%	64 31%	81 27%	180 19%	173 21%	78 18%	77 10%	65 22%	331 22%	387 20%	146 18%	144 22%	111 23%	118 25%	106 24%	105 16%	238 18%	185 20%	108 26%	76 30%
NEITHER	37%	33%	30%	42%	35%	33%	-18%	37%	36%	38%	44%	35%	35%	36%	38%	33%	40%	38%	34%	36%
G00Ð	3-1%	34%	35%	29%	35%	36%	35%	34%	34%	33%	31%	35%	34%	34%	32%	39%	34%	37%	30%	22%
1 Very bad	$9^{n_{\rm H}}$	1.1%	$17^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	9^{n_0}	8%	8%	1""	11%	10%	9%	12%	12%	6%	8%	7%	11%	10%	8%	8%	8%
2	$5^{a_{ii}}$	0_{v}	500	5%	.1%	10	3%	6%	5%	5%	1%	-1%	5%	10%	8%	1%	3%	5%	7%	12%
3	7%	8%	5%	6%	10%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	5%	6%	12%	7%	9%	4%	5%	6%	10%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	37%	33%	30%	42%	35%	33%	18%	37%	36%	38%	44%	35%	35%	36%	38%	33%	40%	38%	3.4%	36%
5	16%	1.1%	1-1%	12%	22%	15%	16%	20%	17%	16%	17%	16%	16%	19%	13%	14%	15%	22%	16%	12%
6	7%	8%	10%	6%	1%	6°°	10%	9%	7%	7%	4%	10%	7%	8%	8%	4%	8%	7%	6%	8%
7 Excellent	11%	13%	11%	12%	9%	11%	9%	5%	11%	10%	10%	9%	11%	8%	11%	21%	11%	9%	8%	3%
DK/NR	8%	2%	9%	9%	9%	13%	6^{ν_0}	8%	7%	9%	7%	8%	8%	5%	7%	11%	8%	4%	10%	12%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	4 658	- 12 63	$\frac{11}{74}$	7 163	7 158	11 68	11 72	12 60	- 5 307	5 351	- 8 136	8 133		9 112	10 99	. 40 10 93	6	7	9 97	1 I 67
Wergnied in for mean. Mean: Stid dev: Student's t:	4. 19 1. 63 *	3, 98 1. 8 1 -	4.00 1.92 -	4. 19 1. 65 -	4. 19 1. 53 -	4. 38 1. 69 -	$4.51 \\ 1.24 \\ .05$	4. 03 1. 57 -	4. 17 1. 66 -	4. 21 1. 62 -	4. 12 1. 59 -	4. 14 1. 70	4. 25 1. 57	4. 04 1. 60 -	4. 19 1. 61 -	4. 51 1. 87 . 10	4. 23 1. 63	4. 21 1. 54	4.02 1.60	3, 75 1, 48 , 05

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govnerment nearest you?

02/03 18

city or town government

		I.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMP1.0	DYMENT :	SECTOR		EMPL.	FMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	service	Prof	Mingmint	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
PEP20	710	312	15	382	140	191	178	111	92	76	115	57	94	71	423	123	165
Unweighted n: BAD	718 21%	312 19%	15 7%	382 23%	145	191 24%	23%	2.1%	92 18%	18%	25%	12%	94 24%	35%	23%	123	
NETTHER	37%	39%	1700	36%	41%	38%	12%	33%	26%	37%	35%	-10%	35%	++ 32%	+ 36%	41%	36%
GOOD	34%	35%	10 ¹⁰	32%	40%	32%	26%	32%	12%	41%	33%	37%	32%	27%	33%	33%	36%
1 Very bad	9%	8%	$\theta_{\nu}^{\rm w}$	10%	+ 6%	8%	11 ^{°°}	13%	+ 10%	9%	11%	7%	7%	14%	9%	10%	8%
2	5%	6%	Q%	·1%	·1°u	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	1%	5%	12%	6%	3%	3%
3	7 °.'	5%	$\widetilde{t}_{0}^{(0)}$	8°.	5%	9^{n_0}	$\overline{t}^{N_{1}}$	7%	1%	5%	8%	2%	12%	9%	84	3%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	37%	39%	$17^{\circ\circ}$	36%	11%	38%	·12 ^{n/}	33%	26%	37%	35%	40%	35%	32%	36%	41%	36%
5	16%	$19^{\alpha_{\rm H}}$	ī,	1.1%	2.1^{6}	15%	$12^{\rm eV}$	1 1%	18%	17%	20%	18%	16%	12%	17%	14%	18%
6	700	$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{d}$.20%	6%	9%	9%	6%	3%	8%	5%	3%	11%	11%	7%	7%	5%	8%
7 Excellent	11%	9%	13%	12%	8%	8%	$8^{n_{\rm H}}$	15%	16%	18%	10%	9%	5%	8%	10%	15%	10%
DK/NR	8%	$7^{ m er}$	$\overline{t}^{\text{III}}$	9%	5%	7%	$9^{a_{ij}}$	10%	13%	1%	7%	11%	9%	5%	7%	10%	10%
Chi ²					. 05					-					-		
±points around 50%:	-1	6	25	5	8	ī	7	9	10	11		13	10	11	5		
Weighted n for mean:	658	290	14	347	133	178	162	-	80	73		51	86		393		
Mean	4.19	4.20	1. S6	1.17	4, 37	1.13	3.98	4.13	1.46	4, 44	4.02	1.39	4.09		4.12		
Std dev:	1.63	1.55	1.29	1.69	1.41	1.57	1.62		1.83	1.75		1.52	1.51	1.75	1.63	1.71	1.59
Student's t:	*	-	. 10	-	. 10	-	. 10	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. | Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 36

.

The overall performance of provincial government city or town govnerment nearest you?

city or town government

		K I Þ:	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAG	TEÐ	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSINF	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVER	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	6004	Bad	Neith	Good
PEP20																		
Unweighted n: BAD	718 21%	503 22%	215 18%	378 2 4 %	336 21%	$\frac{338}{24\%}$	377 18%	305 24%	413 18%	229 14%	99 43%	362 19%	184 40%	250 16%	267 13%	196 35%	222 18%	287 14%
						ł	-	+	-	•	1++1		****			++++	1	
NETTHER	37%	39%	33%	38%	36%	37%	37%	10%	35%	37%	29%	10%	33%	51% ++++	29%	33%	51% ++++	30%
GOOD	34%	31%	10^{n}	33%	3.1^{w}_{0}	30%	36%	$30^{a_{0}}$	36%	11 ¹⁰	19%	31%	18%	27%	52%	24%	23%	49%
1 Very bad	9%	10 ^a .	++ 7%	10%	8"	9%	+. 10%	10%	1 8%	+++ 4%	23%	9%	19%	6%	1+++ 6%	16%	8%	1+++ 6%
2	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	$\hat{t}^{(0)}$	$3^{n_{11}}$	6%	- 1 %	5%	7%	1º0	11%	1%	1%	8%	5%	2%
3	7%	$\mathbf{S}_{0}^{\mathbf{n}_{0}}$	5%	$6^{n_{\rm cl}}$	8%	$\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}'}$	5%	8%	6%	5%	13%	6%	10%	6%	5%	11%	5%	6%
4 Neither good nor bad	37%	39%	33%	38%	36%	37%	37%	10%	35%	37%	29%	40%	33%	51%	29%	33%	51%	30%
5	16%	16%	17%	16%	16%	17%	15%	15%	17%	18%	9%	18%	12%	1.1%	22%	9%	13%	24%
6	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	10%	5%	5%	2%	3%	14%	6%	3%	11%
7 Excellent	11%	9%	14%	10%	11%	6%	15%	9%	12%	13%	5%	11%	4%	10%	16%	9%	7%	15%
DK/NR	8%	8ª,	∂v_{i}^{a}	7%	10%	8 ⁿ "	8%	6%	10%	7%	8%	7%	9%	6%	7%	8%	8%	7%
Chi ² :		. 10		-		-		. 05	+ +	. 01			. 01			. 01		
±,,points around 50%:	4	. 10	7	5	5	5	5	6	5		10	5	7	6	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	658	163	195	351	304	310	346	287	371	212	91	335	168	234	249	181	204	266
Mean:	4.19	4.09	4. 43	4.15	4.23	4.02	4.31	4, 04	4.31		3, 32	4.21	3.32	4.21	4.76	3. 71	4.00	4.67
Std dev:	1.63	1.64	1, 68	1,65	1.62	1.53	1.71	1.63	1.63		1. 76	1,60	1.62	1.42	1.58	1. 78	1. 12	1.56
Student's t:	*	. 05	, 05	-		. 05	. 05	, 05	. 05	.01	. 01	-	. 01	-	.01	. 01	. 05	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 37

The overall performance of provincial government city or town government nearest you?

city or town government

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Punel	Gen Pop
PEP20			
Unweighted n:	718	215	503
BAD	21%	23%	20%
NEITHER	37%	36%	38%
GOOD	34%	35%	33%
1 Very bad	9%	$13n_0^{\prime}$	8%
2	5%	·] ⁿ u	5%
3	7"5	70,	- 1 N
4 Neither good nor bad	37%	36%	38%
5	16 [%]	$15^{a_{0}}$	17°
6	7%	8"°	6%
7 Excellent	11"	12^{n_0}	[0 ^a
DK/NR	8%	$6^{n_{\rm H}}$	10 ⁿ
Chi [#] :		_	ŧ
±points around 50%:	1	7	-]
Weighted n for mean:	658	203	-
Mean	4, 19	-1.16	1.20
Std dev:	1.63	1.76	1. 58
Student's t	*	-	-

-02/03/18

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

2 4 3

4NAC Study - March 2002 The overall performance of your band government?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	IDER			1 NCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	AIb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	< \$ 10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	4 H S	Some HS	11S	Coll	Ihiv
PEB20	1 (1)2)		1/12	335	335	160	117	139	624	799	280	287	230	219	196	195	505	335	226	1-19
Unweighted n: BAD	1423 28%	144 24%	163 -12%	32% ++	335 25%	100 24%	117	139 28%	26%	30% +	280 33%	204 29%	230	219	30%	195 29%	24%	355 27%	33%	33%
NE 1 THER	30°	26%	28%	31%	33%	28^{ν_0}	31%	31%	32%	29%	31%	32%	30%	27%	28%	24%	33%	32%	25%	31%
GOOD	40%	18%	29%	36%	39%	18%	51%	40%	41%	10%	36%	38%	10%	11%	42%	4.1%	11%	39%	12.5	$36^{a_{b}}$
1 Very bad	13%	+ 4 10%	$20^{n_{a}}$	$1 \cdot 1^{n_{ij}}$	13%	9%	6%	$16^{\prime\prime}$	$10^{\prime\prime}$	15%	15%	13%	1-1%	13%	12%	17%	11 ^{a/}	13%	$1.1^{\rm ev}_{\rm tr}$	1.1%
2	$6^{\alpha_{\rm b}}$	7%	$11^{n_{\theta}}$	3°°	5%	$6^{\omega_{n}}$	3%	1""	6°"	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	10%	4%	5%	7%	7%	13%
3	∂_{d}	7 "((11 ⁿ °	10%	7%	9%	7%	8 %] 0%	8%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	7%	12%	6 ^{n/}
4 Neither good nor bad	30%	26%	28 ⁿ .	31%	33%	28%	31%	31%	32%	29%	31%	32%	30%	27%	28%	24%	33%	32%	25%	31%
5	18%	2.1%	∂_{σ}^{a}	15%	17%	26%	18%	20%	18%	18%	13%	17%	22%	20%	21%	15%	14%	19%	24%	19%
6	10%	1.1%	10%	6%	$9^{n_{\rm H}}$	9%	17%	8%	9%	10%	5%	8%	8%	13%	15%	7%	9%	10%	12%	11%
7 Excellent	13%	10%	10%	15%	13%	13%	16%	12%	14%	12%	18%	13%	10%	12%	6%	22%	17%	10%	5%	5%
DK/NR	2%	3%	2%	1%	$\overline{D}_{P_{1}^{0}}$	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	0%
Chi ² :		(, 01)				-			-		-					(. 10)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3				-	7	7		5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1400	110	160	331	327	160	1-1-1	138	613	787	278				195	489		330	224	149
Mean	4.16	1.34	3.66	1.03	4.17	1.34	4.69 1.62	4.09 1.83	4.27	4.07 1.85	4.08 1.92				4.04	4.28 2.07		4.09 1.75	3, 98 1, 70	$3.85 \\ 1.72$
Std dev: Student's t:	1.81 *	1.72	1, 92 . 01	1.85 -	4.80 -	1. 70 -	1, 62	1. 5.3	, 05	1.85		1.80	1.70	J. 80		2.01	1.80 · .01	1.75	. 10	, 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

1.4

INAC Study - March 2002 The overall performance of your band government?

02/03/18

		1.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMITO	PYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-14	15=54	55+	Labour	Ski11ed:	Service	Prof	Mingionit	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
PEB20 Unweighted n:	1423	612	13	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
BAD	28%	27%	11%	29%	24%	28%	33%	23%	29%	29%	30%	24%	33%	22%	28%	26%	29%
NEITHER	30%	29%	37%	31ª'n	31%	31%	31%	30%	27%	32%	32%	30%	+ 28%	30%	30%	30%	30%
GOOD	10%	1.30/	$19^{\rm n\prime}$	38%	·11°0	·10 ^{a/}	3.1%	16%	42%	38%	36%	15%	38%	45%	104	1.1%	39%
1 Very bad	13%	$10^{n_u^*}$	20	16%	$10^{n_{\rm s}}$	1 20	15%	13%	15%	15%	11%	9%	14%] () ^{n/} e	12%	14%	14%
2	$6^{a_{\mu}}$	$8^{\circ}_{"}$	5°	5%	ϱ_{σ_i}	8 ⁿ 0	8%	5%	3%	5%	9%	11%	7%	3%	7%	6%	6%
3	9%	9%	7%	8 ⁿ u	8 ^{n/}	9%	10%	5%	10%	9%	9%	-1%	12%	8%	9%	6%	10%
4 Neither good nor bad	30%	$29^{\prime\prime}$	37%	31%	31%	31%	31%	30%	27%	32%	32%	30%	28%	30%	30%	30%	30%
5	18%	$19^{n_0^*}$	16%	16%	17%	20^{0}	16¶	17%	18%	14%	16%	23%	22%	17%	18%	1.1%	18%
6	$10^{a_{\rm tr}}$	12^w	19°_{0}	7%	12%	8¶	8ª,	11%	10%	9%	6%	11%	10%	17%	10%	10%	8%
7 Excellent	13%	11%	1.1%	15%	15%	11%	10%	18%	14%	15%	14%	11%	6%	10%	11%	20%	13%
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2°°	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%
Chi ²		-			-										-		
±. points around 50%:	3	-1 603	15 43	-1 739	6 266	5 37-1	5 349	$\frac{7}{216}$	7 181	8 154	7 217	9 111	7 178	8 139	3 806		5 342
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1400 4.16	-603	43	1.09	266 -1.36	1.12	3.19	-1.39	4. 19	4.14	4. 09	1.24	3, 90		4. 13		4.11
Std dev:	1. 81	1.73	1. 47	1. 89	1.78	1.71	1.80	1.89	1.87	1.88	1.79	1.70	1.71	1.71	1. 77	1.95	1.81
Student's t:	*	-	. 05	-	. 05	-	. 01	. 05		-	-	**	. 05	. 10	-	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 40

INAC Study - March 2002 The overall performance of your band government?

		К1Ю	s	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAG	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	10NSII1P	CANAD4	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
PEB20 Unweighted n∶	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	176	181	707	373	176	540	397	130	573
BAÐ	28%	30%	23%	28%	28^{h}	28%	28°_{\circ}	29%	27%	23%	-12%	26%	16%	27%	17%	100%	0%	0%
NEITHER	30°	31^{n}	<u>28</u> %	31%	29%	29%	31"	28%	31%	28%	2.1%	33% +++	27%	-11% ++++	23%	0%	100% ++++	0%
G00‡)	$\cdot 10^{n_{\rm eff}}$	37%	-18 ¹⁰	39%	-12%	12%	39%	·11°⁄	39 _n °	- 1 8% ++++	3.1%	38%	26%	32%	59% ++++	0%	0%	100%
1 Very bud	13%	13%	$1^{\rm orb}_{\rm will}$	13%	13"	12^{m}	$1.1^{\alpha_{\eta}}$	1.3%	13%	9%	25%	$12^{n_0'}$	23%	12%	7%	-16%	0%	0%
2	$\theta_0^{n_0}$	7°0	5°.	6 %	7°°,	8%	5%	8%	5%	6¥.	7%	6%	10%	7%	-1%	23%	0%	0%
3	9%	Ω_{ν}^{0}	$6^{\nu_{\rm ff}}$	8%	9 ^w	9%	S ⁿ	8%	9%	8%	9%	8%	13%	8%	6%	30%	0%	0%
4 Neither good nor bad	30%	31%	$\frac{1}{2}8\%$	31%	29%	29%	31%	28%	31%	28%	24%	33%	27%	41%	23%	0%	100%	0%
5	18 ⁿ	$16^{\rm s}_{\rm o}$	21°	170	18%	21^{h}	$1/F_{\rm eff}^{\rm ar}$	18%	17%	22%	1.1%	16%	15%	13%	24%	0%	$O^{\theta_{ij}}$	11%
6	10%	$\Omega_{\rm s}$	$12^{n\nu}$	9%	10%	12%	8°.	10%	9%	12%	9%	9%	8%	8%	13%	0%	0%	24%
7 Excellent	13%	12^{n}	15°_{-0}	13%	$13^{n_0^*}$	$\Omega_0^{n_0'}$	17%	13%	13%	14%	10%	1.1%	3%	11%	21%	0%	0%	32%
DK/NR	2%	1%	⊖n° ⊆ 0	2%	1%	1%	2% +++	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		. 01		-		. 05				(.04)			(, 0 1)			. 01		
\pm , points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4 	-1	3		7	4	5	4	4 531	5	5	4 579
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	1400 1.46	991 4.06	-109 -1.40	739 4.44	654 4.18	$\begin{array}{c} 663 \\ 4.11 \end{array}$	731 4. 20	579 4.14	821 4.17		180 3.64	693 4.18	369 3, 39	472 4. 03	4.81	397 1.84	430 4.00	573 5, 89
Std dev:	1.81	I. 80	1.80	1.83	1, 80	1.74	1.90	1.84	1.79	1.72	2.01	1.78	1.73	1. 72	1.74	0.86	0,00	0.86
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	-	. 01	. 05	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 41

Las man 1 - 1 -

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
PEB20			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
BAD	28%	31%	27%
NEITHER	30%	26%	32%
			+ +
GOOD	40 ^{n/}	12%	39%
1 Very bad	13%	13%	13^{6}
2	$6^{\alpha_{\rm B}}$	6°	T ^a r
3	9^{n_n}	11 [%]	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	30%	26^{n_u}	32%
5	18%	20°	17%
6	10%	11 ⁴	9%
7 Excellent	13%	12%	1-1"0
DK/NR	2%	1°a	24
		-	+
Chi ²		. 05	
±points around 50%:	3 1400	5 -151	3 949
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	4, 16	481 4, 14	949 4. 17
Std dev:	4.10	1.79	4.32
Student's t	1.01		r. 02

02:03/18

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada directly (without going through the Band or Tribal-Council office) for service? This could be anyone in Parliament or a government department

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				ſ	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q5A																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	1.17	139	624	799	280	287	230	219	196	195	505	335	226	1.19
Have not contacted the	71%	71%	61%	72%	71%	69%	78%	71%	70%	71%	70%	73%	71%	69%	6-1%	82%	72%	70%	65%	61%
Government directly			-				+								-	++++			-	
Once	10 ⁿ	$9^{n_{\rm H}}$	12%	10%	11%	$9^{\prime\prime\prime}_{-0}$	0_{d}	S ⁿ o	$9^{\prime\prime}{}_{0}$	11%	13%	8%	11%	8%	12%	5%	10%	13%	3%	7%
																		+		
Two or three times	10%	$10^{w_{1}}$	12%	8^{n_0}	10%	13^{a_0}	5%	12%	10%	10%	7%	10%	8%	13%	1.1%	7%	10%	7'6	11"	
							-		(10)	()))		(10)	0.01	+	*	Fat	04	_		++
Four or more times	Θ_{n_1}	10%	11%	9%	81	9%	7%	9%	9 ⁿ / ₀	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	11%	5%	8%	10%	11%	1.1%
Dk/NR	1	10	1%	I %	1%	0%	0%	₽/ 0	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	04	++ 0%
DR/ III	1 .11	1 11	17	1	1.0	0.1	0.		++		++			014		+			0.4	
Chi ^a :		_							(. 10)		-					(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1412	1 13	162	332	331	160	147	137	615	797	276	286	229	248	196	192	501	333	226	149
Mean :	1.18	1.30	1.82	1.02	0.99	0.89	0, 76	1.89	1.15	1.19	0.77	1. 10	0.96	1.37	1.38	0.56	0.81	1.65	1.35	1.81
Std dev:	1.54	4.23	8, 17	3.38	3.26	1.86	2.06	7.28	4.12	4.85	1.76	3, 95	2.72	4.69	4.34	2.40	2.49	7.23	4.14	4.84
Student's t:	*	-	-		-	-	. 05	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 05	-	-	. 10

02/03/18

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada directly (without going through the Band or Tribal-Council office) for service? This could be anyone in Parliament or a government department

		LANGUAGE					AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT :	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Frof	Mingmit	Employ	Unemp 1	Not LF
Q5A		<i>(</i>))			07.	037	950		105	155	29.0		100	1.1.1	010	0.45	910
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Have not contacted the	71°	70ª	7.1^{a}	71%	69%	65%	71%	73%	82%	7.1%	75%	75%	67%	56%	70%	71%	71 [%]
Government directly									* * * *		+ +						
Once	10%	11%	12%	9^{α}	1.1%	11%	10 ^{4/}	8%	7%	10%	9%	11 ⁿ /.0	8%	13%	9%	11%	11%
					+ +												
Two or three times	10%	$\Theta^{a_{ij}}$	7%	11%	8"	11%	10%	13%	5%	8%	8%	9%	15%	13%	11%	7%	9%
	• • •						•	+					4.4				
Four or more times	9%	$10^{a_{\mu}}$	7%	8ª	9ª;	12%	9ª.	6%	5%	8%	8%	-1°0	10%	18%	9%	9%	8º
Four or more times	.,	16.0	• •	1.4 10	1 11	100	.2 0		0.			10	100	103		5 10	1, 1 11
bl aib	• 16	4.41		1.0	• 0/			-	104	6.0/	0%	10/	0%		0%	1%	1%
Dk/NR	1	$O^{a_{10}^{*}}$	D*',	1 ""	1 1	1 1	1 *	0% 0	1%	0%	0.0	1%	0.0	1.30	0.6	1.20	10
		_		+ +											-		
Chi * :		-			(. 05)					(. 05)					-		
±points around 50%	3	-1	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1112	611	-13	742	269	374	353	218	184	155	221	111	180	142	816	242	341
Netati :	1.18	1.38	0.81	1.03	0.92	1.84	1.12	0.76	0.87	0.94	1.18	1.08	1.37	2.68	1.39	1.04	0,78
Std dev:	4.51	4, 99	3.25	4, 29	2.86	7.03	3.15	1.96	1.62	-1.18	6.32	5.14	4.65	6.73	5.52	3.57	4.79
Student's t	*				-	, 05		. 01		-	_	_		. 05			. 01
Student S to	т					. 00		. •••						. 00	. 90		. 01

02/03/18

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada directly (without going through the Band or Tribal Council office) for service? This could be anyone in Parliament or a government department

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	AN RELAT	TONSILLP	CANADI	AN GOVI	RNMENT	BAN	D GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Wolse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q5A																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	7.19	586	837	476	181	707	373	176	540	397	430	573
Have not contacted the	71%	69%	75%	72°	70%	61%	77%	29%	100%	72%	67%	71%	66%	71%	7.1%	67%	71%	73%
Government directly		_	+ +				++++		++++				_		++			
Once	10%	11%	7%	10°	10%	12%	8%	2.1%	04	9%	8%	12%	10%	13%	8%	11%	12%	8%
		+ +	_			+++		++++				++		++	_			_
Two or three times	$10^{n_{0}}$	10%	$-9_{\rm hc}$	9%	10%	11%	8"'a	24%	0%	12%	10 [%]	8%	1.1%	8%	9%	10%	8%	11%
						* *		++++		+		-	+++					
Four or more times	$\Theta^{n_{\mu}}$	Յեր	84	8%	9%	12%	- 6%	21%	0%	7%	15%	7%	1]**	8%	8%	11%	8%	8%
						+ + + +		++++			+ + + +		+			+		
Dk/NR	1%	1%	1%	1%	1 %	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
								++++				++	-					
Chri * :		(, 05)		-		(.01)		(, 01)		(, 01)			(.01)			-		
\pm points around 50%:	3	3	5	- 4	4	4	-1	4	3	4	7	-4	5	4	-1	5	5	-1
Weighted n for mean:	1.112	1000	412	749	656	663	743	575	837	474	181	698	373	472	536	394	428	569
Mean:	1.18	1.33	0.80	1.00	1.38	1.71	0.70	2.89	0.00	0.93	1.93	1.00	1.83	0.99	0, 85	1.64	0.99	0.97
Std dev:	4.54	5.49	2.28	3.30	5,65	6.13	2.30	6.77	0.00	2.89	7.35	3.90	6, 80	3.68	2.74	6.42	3.67	3.19
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01	-	-	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	-	. 10	-	, 05	-	. 05	. 05	-	

 $02 \ 03 \ 18$

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada directly (without going through the Band on Tribal

Council office) for service? This could be anyone in Parliament or a government department

			e type
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q5A			
	1423	151	969
llave not contacted the	71%	68%	72%
Government directly			
Once	$10^{\alpha'}$	125	$\Theta_{n_1}^{\alpha}$
		+	_
Two or three times	$10^{\rm e_o}$	11%	26
Four or more times	9%	8",	9°
Dk NR	1ª.,	Ω^{2}	1
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	ñ	3
Weighted n for mean:	1412	452	960
Mean:	1.18	1.14	1.19
Std dev:	4, 54	5.11	4. 24
Student's t:	*	-	-

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada for service, by going through your Band or Tribal

Council office?

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				B	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>IIS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q5B																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Have not contacted the	73%	67%	68%	7.4%	72%	7.1%	82%	75%	71%	7.1%	71%	78%	72%	73%	66%	76%	71%	73%	7.1%	7.1%
Government directly							+ + +					++			_					
Once	9%	5%	12%	11%	8%	9%	7%	6%	11%	7%	10%	8%	9%	8%	11%	7%	10%	8%	10%	6%
		-		+					++											
Two or three times	8%	15%	6%	7%	8%	9%	5%	11%	8%	8%	9%	6%	10%	8%	11%	5%	9%	10%	8%	7%
		+ + +														-				
Four or more times	9%	11%	13%	8%	1100	7%	5%	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%	9%	11%	12%	10%	9%	8%	8%	12%
			+				-													
Dk/NR	1 %	1 %	1%	1 %	1%	1%	0°°	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	$O_{0}^{n\prime}$	1%
																++				
Chi ^z :		(, 05)							-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1410	142	161	333	330	159	147	138	616	794	277	286	229	248	196	191	501	333	226	148
Mean	1.19	1.30	1.96	0, 87	1.57	0.69	0.66	1.21	1.05	1.30	0,82	0.60	1.40	1.88	1.62	0, 74	1.25	1.11	1.31	1.57
Std dev:	5.25	3.30	8.83	2,80	7.50	1.68	2.55	3.95	4.39	5.84	2,04	1.50	6.69	7.69	4.38	1.83	6.12	5.48	5.18	1.83
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 10	-	. 01	. 05	-,	-	-	, 05	. 01	~	. 10	-	. 05	-	-		-

02/03/18

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada for service, by going through your Band or Tribal-Council office?

		1	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMP1.	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	FYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Misgneit	Emp10y	Unempl	Not LF
Q5B																	
Unweighted n:	1123	612	13	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
flave not contacted the	7.3%	7.1%	79°i	72%	67%	75%	73%	68%	83%	76%	79%	76%	7.1%	59%	73%	70%	75%
Government directly								-	+ + +		+ +						
Once	$9^{a_{\nu}}_{,\nu}$	Sin	$1^{m_{\rm eff}}$	9%	12ª,	ST	O_{σ}	$9^{\rm ev}_{\rm ev}$	6º4	11"	8%	8%	10%	7%	$\Theta_{n_{1}}^{n_{1}}$	9%	∂a_{u}^{n}
Two or three times	8 ¹ / ₀	$9^{a_{\rm B}^{\rm a}}$	5°i	8%	12%	7%	$\overline{I}^{0}_{\ b}$	11%	5%	6%	8%	10%	6%	9 <u>∿</u>	8%	9%	8%
Four or more times	$\partial u_{\rm p}^{\rm p}$	0.2	$\overline{D}^{n_{i_{1}}}$	9%	8%	Ω_{d}	$10^{\rm d}_{\rm o}$	12%	5%	6%	5%	5%	9^{n_0}	21%	9%	11%	7%
Dk/NR	Γ^{W_0}	1%	QPC.	1%	1%	1%	1%	$0^{n'}_{u}$	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		-			(. 05)					(. 01)					-		
±points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7	. 8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1.110	607	13	7.1.1	269	373	353	218	183	154	220	111	179	142	813	243	344
Mean:	1.19	1.14	0.84	1.27	1.16	1.33	1. 22	1.52	0, 55	0.56	1.78	0.77	1.08	3.13	1.49	1.01	0.64
Std dev:	5.3	· 1 . 0	3, 2	6.2	5.7	5.9	4.5	6.6	2.1	1.3	10.6	2.6	3.5	7.4	6.7	2.5	1.5
Student's t:	*		-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	-	. 05	-	. 01	. 01	-	. 01

02 03 18

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada for service, by going through your Band or Tribad-Council office?

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1A	N RELAT	IONSIIIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q5B																	·····	
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	176	181	707	373	476	5.10	397	430	573
llave not contacted the	73%	72%	75%	70%	76%	69%	77%	35%	100%	70%	76%	74%	68%	76%	73%	77%	76%	68%
Government directly					+ +		114		++++	-				++		++	+	
Once	9%	9%	84	10%	7%	10%	8%	21%	0%	9%	7%	9%	9%	8%	10%	8%	9%	9%
				+				++++										
Two or three times	8%	8%	8%	9%	7%	10%	7%	20%	0%	10%	.1%	8%	11%	7%	8%	7%	7%	10%
						++		++++		+			+					++
Four or more times	$9^{\prime\prime}$	9^{a_0}	$\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}}$	10%	8%	} ()*d	S%	22%	0%	11%	11%	7%	12%	8%	9%	8%	7%	12%
						+	-	++++					+ +					* + +
Dk/NR	T.	1%	1 *	1 ^{ny} a	1 10	1 %	1%	2%	0°.°	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
								* * * *										
Chi " :		-		-		. 05		. 01		(. 10)			(. 10)			(. 05)		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	3	4	7	4	5	4	-1	5	5	4
Weighted a for mean:	1110	997	413	749	651	663	711	573	837	174	178	700	371	170	537	394	426	568
Mean :	1.19	1.34	0.83	1.12	1.29	1.74	0.71	2.93	0, 00	1.28	1.83	0.97	1.87	0.93	1.01	1.03	0.92	1.54
Std dev:	5, 25	6.01	2.46	3, 153	6, 67	7.34	1.97	7.93	0.00	-3.71	8,68	5.12	7.86	1.66	-3.23	5.17	4.90	5.64
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05	-	-	.01	. 01	. 01	. 01	-	-	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	. 10

In the past three months, approximately how many times have you contacted the Government of Canada for service, by going through your Band or Tribal Council office?

02.03 18

		SAMP1.	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q5B			
Unweighted n:	1423	154	969
Have not contacted the	73%	76°6	72%
Government directly		÷	-
Once	9%	8%	9%
Two or three times	8%	7%	9%
Four or more times	9%	8ª.	9%
Dk∕NR	1%	1ªº	1%
Chi ^z :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1410	451	959
Mean	1.19	1.15	1.21
Std dev:	5.25	5.68	5.04
Student's t	*	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 50

Did you make your most recent request for government information or services, through the Band or Tribal Council office or did you make it yourselldirectly?

					REGION				GEN	(DER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	ON	
	Totał	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Out	Que	Atł	Male	Fen	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some HS	4IS	CoH	Univ
Q5C																				
Unweighted n:	216	23	32	47	58	25	10	24	94	122	45	33	31	44	33	21	83	47	32	29
Through Band office or	45%	70%	53%	30%	47%	11%	50%	33%	.10%	18%	42%	61%	39%	36%	39%	52%	46%	17%	4.1%	31%
Tribal council				_								++								
Directly	52%	26%	17%	66%	50%	56%	50%	57%	5.1%	50%	56%	33%	61%	61%	61%	48%	49%	51%	56%	66%
				++																
DK/NR	3%	.1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	10%	5%	2%	2%	6%	0%	2%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	3%
												+								
Chi²:		-							-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	7	20	17	14	13	20	31	21	10	9	15	17	18	15	17	21	11	14	17	18

							AGE				EMP1.0	YMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT :	STATUS
	Tota4		Ŧ1	Alcor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-54	55+	Labour	Ski11ed	Service	e Frof	Merganert	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q 5C																	
Unweighted n:	216	90	3	118	58	60	45	3.1	16	20	16	1.1	34	39	120	38	55
Through Band office or	45%	$46^{0'_{0}}$	67%	43%	38%	15%	53%	- 11 ^{ny}	50%	55%	4.1%	50%	32%	.1.1%	43%	50%	47%
Tribal council																	
Directly	52%	52%	33%	53%	59%	53%	17%	53%	4.1%	40%	50%	50%	68%	51%	5.1%	17%	51%
													+				
DK/NR	3%	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	0%	6%	6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	5%	3%	3%	2%
Chi ² :		-			_					-					-		
±points around 50%:	7	10	57	9	13	13	15	17	25	22	25	26	17	16	9	16	13

02/03/18

.

Did you make your most recent request for government information or services, through the Band or Tribal Council office or did you make it yourself directly?

		KID	S	SMOK	R	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT		CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANE	GOVERN	MENT
	fotal	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better			Bad	Neith	Gond	Bad	Neith	Good
Q5C					<u></u>												<u> </u>	
Unweighted n:	216	160	56	121	93	124	90	244	2	79	22	104	63	65	85	54	61	99
Through Band office or	45%	43%	50%	14%	-46%	13%	18%	-15%	50%	12%	11%	50%	18%	-19%	39%	43%	1.1%	16%
Tribal council																		
Directly	52%	55%	13%	52%	53%	56%	$\cdot 18^{\circ}_{\pi}$	52%	04.	57%	59%	45%	52%	16 ⁿ 0	58%	56%	52%	51%
DK/NR	3%	200	$\overline{t}_{\rm B}^{\rm or}$	12	1%	20	1 %	3%	50°°	1%	$O^{n_{\rm H}^*}$	5%	0%	5%	-1%	2%	3%	3%
			+					(
Chi ² :		(. 10)		-				(.01)		-			_			-		
±points around 50%:	7	8	13	9	10	9	10	7	69	11	21	10	12	12	11	13	13	10

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q 50			
Unweighted n:	216	64	152
Through Band office or	15%	53%	11%
Tribal council			
Directly	52%	-17°0	51%
DK/NR	3%	0%	5%
		-	+
Chi ² :		(. 10)	
±points around 50%:	7	12	8

					REGION				GEI	NDER			I NCOME				1	DUCATI	ION	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Matu	Out	Qite	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	<11S	Some IIS	115	Coll	Univ
Q9A																				
Unweighted n:	83	14	16	12	20	9	5	7	32	51	16	19	9	13	11	8	32	20	13	7
Telephoned directly to government office	12%	57%	38°°	33%	45%	33%	20%	57%	1-1%	41%	25%	37%	-14%	5-1%	36%	50%	28%	50%	62%	13%
Visited a government of fice in-person	16%	1.4%	6ª,	25%	10 [%]	0%	20%	57%	19%	14%	13%	11%	11%	23%	27%	25%	3%	25%	23%	14%
Sent mail to a governme nt office	3.1%	29%	31%	17%	·10%	1. n / D	-10%	13%	31%	35%	38%	37%	11%	23%	45%	13%	41%	25%	38%	13%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	16%	1.1%	13^{+}	25%	10%	0""	20%	43%	16%	16%	0%	0%	22%	54%	27%	0%	13%	10%	38%	29%
Telephoned the 1-800-0 Canada number	17%	7%	25m	17%	25%	22%	0%	0 %	22%	14%	25%	21%	11%	15%	18%	13%	22%	15%	15%	1.1%
Other (specify)	24	1 1 ⁿ	$\Theta^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$	$0^{n_{u}}$	0ªn	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	11%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	8%	0%
Dk/NR	7 ⁰ .	7%	13°°	0 ⁿ ²	5%	11%	0%	1.1%	3%	10%	13%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 13% +	5%	0%	0%
Chi ²		-							-		-					-	-			
±.,points around 50%:	11	26	25	28	22	33	44	37	17	14	25	22	33	27	30	35	5 17	22	27	37

02:03 18

- now did you contact the Government of Canada through your land in your most recent request for information or service?

		1.	ANGUAG₽				AGE				EMI1.0	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMF1.0	YMENT :	STATUS
	Tota1	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-31	35-11	15-5-1	55 F	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mingminit	Emptoy	Unemp1	Not LF
Q9A																	
Unweighted n:	83	37	2	41	20	25	22	7	7	9	6	7	7	16	-15	16	21
Telephoned directly to government office	$12^{a_{2}}$	·19%	20%	37%	30%	52%	50%	13%	11%	56%	50%	29%	43%	69%	53%	38%	24%
Visited a government of fice in-person	16%	8"	$\theta_{w_i}^{\ \alpha}$	22% +	$10^{\prime\prime}$	16 ⁿ /	$18^{n_0}_{-0}$	1.1%	1.1%	11%	33%	0%	29%	13%	16%	19%	0%
Sent mail to a governme nt office	34%	35%	O_{ϕ_0}	32%	35%	10%	23%	1.1%	57%	22%	33%	1.1%	29%	19%	22%	50%	48%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	16 ⁿ	2·1%	50ª	7%	20%	24%	11%	$\Theta_{n}^{\mathbf{a}_{n}^{\prime}}$	0°.	0%	17%	0%	14%	31%	16%	6%a	2.4^{o}_{-0}
Telephoned the 1-800-0 Canada number	17%	1 1 ⁿ '	04	22%	15%	20%	1.4%	1.1%	29%	11%	33%	1.1%	14%	13%	16%	19%	19%
Other (specify)	2%	3%	$\Theta_{0}^{a_{0}}$	5 ^m	0%	$O^{n_{\rm H}}$	5%	14%	0^{0}_{0}	0%	O_{a}^{N}	0%	`−0%	6%	2%	6%	0%
₽k/NR	$7^{n_{p}}$	<u>(</u> 00	$O_{\mathbf{u}_{a}^{n}}$	$10^{a_{\rm c}}$	5%	10	1 1 ⁿ .	1.4^{n_0}	0%	11%	0%	13%	0%	6%	11%	6%	O ⁶ /
Chi ²		-			-					-					-		
±points around 50%:	11	16	69	15	22	20	21	37	37	33	-10	37	37	25	15	25	21

How did you contact the Government of Canada through your band in your most recent request for information or service?

		K I D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q9A																		
Unweighted n:	83	58	25	-14	38	-18	34	83	0	28	8	45	26	28	28	19	23	-11
Telephoned directly to government office	42%	18%	28%	-13%	42%	42%	44%	42%	0%	54%	38%	36%	50%	36%	13%	63%	30%	39%
Visited a government of fice in-person	16%	16%	16%	11%	18%	21% +	6º/r _	16%	0%	14%	13%	18%	23%	14%	11%	16%	9%	20%
Sent mail to a governme nt office	3.1%	31%	10%	39%	29%	35%	32%	31%	0%	13%	38%	27%	23%	29%	50%	42%	17%	39%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	16^{v}	$19^{a_{\mathrm{ff}}}$	$8^{t_{\rm ff}}$	1. P ^v	18%	27% ++++	0%	16%	0 [%]	1-1%	25%	16%	23%	11%	14%	11%	9%	22%
Telephoned the 1-800 θ Canada number	17%	$19^w_{\ \rm H}$	12%	1.1%	21%	15%	21%	17%	0%	18%	25%	16%	19%	14%	18%	16%	22%	15%
Other (specify)	2%	2.0	10	2%	3%	2 4 5	3%	2%	0%	0%	$\Theta_{ca}^{n_{ca}}$	1%	-1%	4%	0%	5%	1%	0%
Dk/NR	$\overline{t}^{\alpha_{0}}$	5%	12^{n}	7%	8%	·1°0	12%	7%	0%	1%	0%	11%	0%.	11%	7%	0%	13%	7%
Chi ²		-		-		(, 05)				-			-			-		
±points around 50%:	11	13	20	15	16	1-1	$1\overline{i}$	11	*	19	35	15	19	19	19	22	20	15

.

Now did you contact the Government of Canada through your band in your most recent request for information or service?

02/03 18

SAMPLE TYPE

	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q9A			
Unweighted n:	83	29	54
Telephoned directly to	42%	41%	13%
government office			
Visited a government of	16%	24%	11%
fice in-person			
Sent mail to a governme	3.4%	34%	33%
nt office			
Visited a Government of	16%	1.1%	17%
Canada web site			
Telephoned the 1-800 0	17%	17%	17%
Canada number			
Other (specify)	200	$3^{n_{c}}$	5.
Dk∕NR	$\vec{f}_{\alpha}^{\alpha\beta}$	1 ·1**	1.0
Chi * :		-	
±points around 50%:	11	18	13

02/03/18

- How did you contact the Government of Canada directly in your most recent request for information or service?

					REG10N				GEN	4DER			INCOME				1	EDUCAT	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	< h s	Some HS	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q9B																				
Unweighted n:	107	6	11	30	27	13	5	12	50	57	23	10	19	26	20	7	40	24	17	19
Telephoned directly to government office	60%	83%	57°°	50%	59%	62%	$60^{a_{\theta}}$	75°°	5.1%	65%	52%	50%	63%	65%	65%	57%	58%	50%	76%	63%
Visited a government of fice in-person	13%	33%	710	7%	22%	15%	$O_{n_{1}}^{n_{1}}$	8%	1.1%	12%	13%	0%	32%	12%	10%	0%	13%	21%	12%	11%
Sent mail to a governme nt office	21%	0%	29%	27%	11%	23%	$\cdot 10_{v_i}$	25%	14%	28% +	26%	20%	26%	8%	25%	14%	25%	25%	18%	16%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	15%	33%	10	17%	19%	8%	$0^{\prime\prime}_{~\mu}$	17%	10%	19%	·]%	10%	21%	19%	25%	0%	8%	-1%	2.1%	-12%
Telephoned the 1-800-0 Canada number	23%	$\Theta^{\mathbf{a}_p}_{\ p}$	13^{0}_{0}	23%	22%	31%	20%	8%	22%	25%	17%	-10%	53%	8%	15%	29%	25%	29%	12%	21%
Other (specify)	1.	$O_{d_{r}^{n}}$	$\overline{I}_{0}^{n_{0}}$	0%	0%	$O_{0}^{n_{0}}$	$O^{n_{\rm H}}$	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Dk/NR	5%	0%	$0^{\nu_{\rm u}}$	3%	7%	8%	0%	8%	4%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	0%	3%	8%	6%	5%
Chi ² :		-							-		-					-				
±.,points around 50%:	9	-10	26	18	19	27	-4-1	28	14	13	20	31	22	19	22	37	15	20	24	22

02.[03/18

How did you contact the Government of Canade directly in your mest recent request for information or service?

		E.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO)YMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT S	TATUS
	Intal	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-51	55+	Labour	Skilled	service	Prof	Mingminit	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q9B																	
Unweighted n:	107	15	1	60	33	32	19	18	5	8	8	7	23	19	61	17	25
Telephoned directly to government office	60%	6.1%	1(1() ^{#/}	55%	52%	63 th	63%	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}^{w}_{\mathbb{P}}$	$80^{a_{\rm f}}$	63%	63%	86%	65%	79%	72% +++	-11%	·10 ⁿ /
Visited a government of	13%	18%	$O^{n_{\mu}}$	10%	15%	13%	16%	11%	$O^{a_{1}}$	13%	0%	29%	13%	21%	16%	18%	4%
fice in-person																	
Sent mail to a governme nt office	21%	20%	Q ^{ir} a	23%	21%	25%	21%	2.3 ^µ 0	() ^{0/}	0%	13%	13%	17%	16¥	16%	24%	36%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	5%	$13^{n_{\rm ff}}$	$\Theta^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$	\overline{T}^{0}	6ª:		21%	11%	O ⁿ	0%	13%	29%	990/ 991/	21%	19%	0%	1 G ^{ay} ii
Telephoned the 1-800 0	23%	210	$O^{\mu^{\prime}}_{\ \nu}$	25%	21%	25%	21%	28%	20%	25%	38%	29%	17%	16%	22%	35%	20%
Canada number																	
Other (specify)	100	5.0 14	$O_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu}$	$\Theta^{\omega}_{\ it}$	Ú.	3%	0.	0%	0%	0%	$O^{\mu\nu}_{\ \mu}$	0%	-1 ⁿ	0%	2%	0%	O_{n}^{n}
Dk./NR	5%	$9^{a_0^*}$	$\Theta^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	96 2 1	9%	3%	5%	0%	Q ₀ ⁿ	0%	0%	0 ⁴	9%	0%	3%	0%	12%
		1		-													
Chi (:		-			_					_					(. 10)		
±points around 50%:	9	15	98	13	17	17	22	23	44	35	35	37	20	22	12	24	20

02/03/18

How did you contact the Government of Canada directly in your most recent request for information or service?

		K1D	s	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSITTP	CANADI	AN GOVE	ERNMENT	BANI	D GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q9B																		
Unweighted n:	107	85	22	61	46	68	39	107	0	15	12	-15	32	29	-16	27	31	-19
Telephoned directly to government office	60%	58%	68%	5.1%	67%	63%	54%	60%	0%	6.1%	58%	56%	69 <u>%</u>	59%	54%	63%	71%	51% -
Visited a government of fice in-person	13%	1.1%	9 %	13%	13%	12%	15%	13%	0%	18%	25%	-1%	6%	17%	15%	15%	10 ^w	1.1%
Sent mail to a governme nt office	21%	24%	23%	20°_{\circ}	24%	19%	26%	21%	0%	18%	17%	29%	19%	21%	24%	19%	29%	18%
Visited a Government of Canada web site	15°°	16 [%]	9ª5	15%	15%	2.1% +++	0%	15%	0%	18%	25%	11%	25% +	14%	9%	19%	16%	12%
Telephoned the 1-800-0 Canada number	23%	24%	23%	23%	2.4%	24%	23%	23%	0%	22%	8%	27%	16%	21%	30%	19%	19%	29%
Other (specify)	1%	$0^{n_{\rm u}}$	5°%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	-1%	0%	0%
Dk/NR	5%	6%	0^{w_α}	3%	7%	7% +	0%	5%	0%	9% +	8%	0%	3%	7%	1%	0%	3%	8%
Chi ²		-		-		(.05)							_			-		
±points around 50%	9	11	21	13	14	12	16	9	*	15	28	15	17	18	14	19	18	14

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 59

How did you contact the Bovernment of Canada directly in your most recent request for information or service?

02/03/18

.

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane I	Gen Pop
Q9B			
Unweighted n:	107	30	77
Telephoned directly to government office	60%	53%	62%
Visited a government of fice in-person	13%	17^{6}_{-0}	12%
Sent mail to a governme	21%	10%	26%
nt office		-	+
Visited a Government of Canada web site	15%	$13^{n'}$	16%
Telephoned the 1-800 0	23%	33 ⁿ 0	19%
Canada number			
Other (specify)	1%	$O_{11}^{\mu\nu}$	1%
Dk/NR	5%	\mathfrak{R}^n	5%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	9	18	11

PAGE: 60

					REG10N				GEN	DER			INCOME				E	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC .	AIb	Sask	Man	Ont	Qite	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10~19	20-29	30-49	50+	< H S	Some 115	115	Coll	Univ
Q6																				
Unweighted n:	586	66	79	136	135	65	49	56	272	314	120	107	100	101	104	61	207	144	104	6
Education related	10%	9%	13%	13%	8%	6%	10%	9%	12%	8%	8%	11%	9%	12%	11%	5%	10 ^w	7%	14%	1
lousing related	85	6%	3%	10%	12%	$3^{n_{\alpha}}$	S^w_u	1.1%	6%	10%	13%	9%	11%	6.0	3%	15% +		10%	8%	:
lealth care related or	15%	23%	$19^{\%}$	13%	10%	23%	14%	11%	12%	18%	1.1%	21%	13%	15%	18%	11%		15%	1.1%	2
ealth Canada	• 00 0	+	• • •			+	•••	•••	-	+	•••			•	• • •		•••			-
Susiness-related	7%	9%	8%	10%	· 116	0%	1%	13%	8%	5%	3%	3%	9%	10%	12%	10%	6º5	5%	11%	
								+			_	-			+					
boriginal policies lan claims	- P %	5^{n}	3%	-1%	5%	5%	2"	Suc.	5%	3%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	7%	3%	2%	5%	
anada Pension Plan '01d	<u>1</u> 02/0	<u></u>	5%	3%	1%	39,	O_{ii}^{e}	20/	1%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	
Age Security			+						-	+										
Canadian Coast Guard	$O^{a_{\alpha}}$	Θ_{μ}	O_{1}^{α}	$0^{\mathbf{a}_{11}}$	$\Omega^{\mathfrak{q}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$	Θ_{n_0}	$\Omega^{n_{\mu}}$	$0_{a_{i}^{0}}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	04.	0%	$O_{2^{n}}^{n_{\ell}}$	0%	0 ^w	$O_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{W}}$	0 ⁿ /1	
Customs and Immigration	1%	0%	O^{q_r}	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	
Border Services or							+ + +		-	+									+	
imployment Insurance/HR	12%	11%	11%	13%	8%	15%	12%	1.1%	17%	8%	13%	17%	11%	11%	10%	113	12%	10%	13%	
C/GENERAL INQUIRIES									++++											
Federal Courts	0%	0°''	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	09	0%	0%	0%	
						+									+ +					
lational Parole Board	O_{n}^{ν}	0 ⁿ / _u	1 ***	0%	0.0	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	09	S 0%	1%	0%	
							++				0.00	1.00		001			1.01	• 014		
laxes	16%	11%	18%	15%	18%	12%	22%	13%	14%	17%	22%	19%	15%	9%	16%	89		19%	13%	
	1341	/10/	10'	106	194	907	1%	0%	1%	3%	+ 2%	5%	2%		0%	29		3%	2%	
Status cards or members	54	3%	·1**	3.¢	1%	2%	- 0	0.6	0.1	3.30	20	5% ++	20	1 /6	0%	27	0 200	3.40	20	
hip issues NAC on londo on Laborat	94	5"t	5%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%		3%	4%	3%	29	3%	1%	5%	
INAC or lands and trust - s îssues	3%	0 û	00	00	I U	0.0		1.0	- 10	0.0	0.0	1 0	50	.1.0	54	41	0.0	1.0	50	
other (specify)	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	39	6 0%	2%	0%	
OK. NR	1.2%	12%	11%	11%	17%	1 1%	8%	9%	11%	11%	15% +	7%	12%	10%	8%	15%	13%	14%	11%	
SOCIAL SERVICES-WELFARE	1%	$6^{\nu_{0}}$	6%	-1%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	7%	6%	1%	3%	6%	2%	09		1%	3%	
ETC										+++										
BIRTIL/MARRIAGE CERTIFIC	1 10	$\Theta_{n_1}^{\alpha}$	1%	n.,	1.0	3%	0%i	-1º	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3"	6 Q %	0%		
ATES/REGISTRY															•••	-			4.1	
NFRASTRUCTURE-1. E. ROA	5.6	(\mathbf{I}_{0}^{n})	1%	10	3%	34	0º5	4%	-1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	34	1%	5ª		1%	0%	1
DS, HIGHWAYSETC			19.4		= a-	1.01	10		++++		24	1.04	0 1⁄	UN.	.	+-		13.04	F -1	
GENERAL-STATISTICS INFO	$2_{0.2}$	6%	35	3.	5%	$6^{n_{\theta}^{*}}$	6 ⁿ .	7".	7%	3%	3*	1%	8%	84	7%	5*	6 G.e.	34	5%	1
RMATION									(.01)	-	_						_			
Chí ² :	,		11	8	8	12	14	13	6 (101)	6	9	9	10	10	10	13	-	S	10	
±points around 50%:	4	12	11	8	ų.	ند ا	1.1	10	0	0	9	9	10	19	10	1.	, ,	.,	1.5	

Ekos Research Assuciates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 61 (1/2)

and a strate in a y

INAC Study - March 2002 What were you looking for in your most recent request?

					REGION					DER			INCOME				J	EDUCATI		
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Nan	Ont	Que	Atl		Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50 ⊨	ाऽ	Some IIS		Coll	Univ
Unweighted n: GENERAL-GRANTS/FUNDING	586 - 1 %	66 5%	79 -1%	136 5%	135 -1%	65 3%	19 2%	56 4"	272 5%	314 3%	120 3%	107		10 1 5%	10- 1 7%	61 7%	207 1%].1.1 .1%	104 2%	64 6%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	4		11	8	8	12	14	13	(, 0 1) 6	6	-9	19	10	10	10	-	7	8	10	12

INAC Study - Narch 2002 What were you looking for in your most recent request?

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLC	YMENT	SECTOR		EMPL(OYMENT :	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-54	55+	Labour	SkilledS	etvice	Prof	Mingtonit	Employ	Unemp1	Not 1
QG																	
Unweighted n: Education related	586 10%	253 11%	17 12%	310 9%	117 15%	164 7%	153 10%	96 7%	51 10%	57 -4%	88 9%	·11 7%	72 11%	83 12%	342 9%	106 8%	134 13
llousing related	8_{n}^{n}	7%	12%	9%	++ 6%	6%	7%	11%	18% +++	19%	5%	7%	3%	11*	9%	6%	(
llealth care related or llealth Canada	15%	17%	18°	1.1%	8%	18%	14%	19%	20%		10%	12%	21%	17%	1.1%	15%	1
Business-related	7 %	(°•	$6^{\mathfrak{w}_{\mu}}$	7%	5%	140	ō*s	13%	6%	2%	8%	7%	0%	13%	6%	8%	
Aboríginal policies/lan d claíms	$\mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{it}}^{\mathrm{av}}$	$\cdot 1^{d^2}$	$\Theta^{a_{\rm H}^{\rm c}}$	5%	3°"	·1º/	ξi ⁰ i	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	1%	5%	
Canada Pension Plan/Old Age Security	2%	2"	$O^{\mu_{10}}$	3%	0%	1%	$1^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	3%	8% +++	4%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%	
Canadian Coast Guard	0%	On_0'	$\Theta_{u_1^{\prime}}$	0%	0%	0%	0º	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Customs and Immigration Border Services or	1 0	2% ++	$Q_{\rm uv}$	0%	1%	1%	1%	1 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
mployment Insurance/HR C/GENERAL INQUIRIES	12°	13%	12%	11%	13%	11%	15%	104	6%	23% ++++	10%	7%	8%	6%	11%	20% +++	
Federal Courts	0%	Ou ⁿ	$\Theta^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{U}}}$	$0^{n\prime}_{\ u}$	1 <i>u</i> ⁰	0%	1%	0°:	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% +	0%	0%	1%	
ational Parole Board	$O_{\theta_{0}}$	$O_{n_1^{\prime\prime}}$	$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$	0ª.	0%	1.	1%	0%	0%	2% ++	0%	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
axes	16 ⁿ 0	13%	12 [#]	18%	16%	19%	18%	11%	6%	11%	19%	15%	21% +	7%	15%	17%	
tatus cards or members ip issues	2%	20	Θ^{d}_{α}	3.2	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	6% ++	5%	0%	1%	2%	2%	
NAC or lands and trust issues	3%	3%	$\Omega^{a_0'}_{}$	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	2%	0%	3%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	
ther (specify)	1"	$\Theta^{n_{\rm H}^{\rm s}}$	$0^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{st}}}$	2%	l.¢	₿º,	2%	0%	- 1% +			0%	3% +				
K∕NR	12%	111	18%	12%	20°°		-	10%	10%			17%	7%			11%	
OCTAL SERVICES-WELFARE ETC	·1ª,	Gai	0%	1%	6%			3%	1%			12% +++	3%			5%	
1RTH/MARRIAGE CERTIFIC TES/REGISTRY	1 ""	1 "0	0°,	2%	1%			2%	2%			() %	3%			0%	
NFRASTRUCTURE-1.E. ROA S, HIGHWAYSETC	5.	2%	0%	2%	1%			1%	-1%	+		0%	1%			1%	
ENERAL-STATISTICS/INFO MATION	5%		6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	-	9%	2%	6%	6%	5%	7%	
:"Chi ±,.points around 50	-1	6	24	6	- 9	8	8	10	14	(. 05) 13	10	15	12	11	5	10	I

INAC Study - March 2002 What were you looking for in your most recent request?

.

	LANGUAGE AGE									EMPL	EMPLOYMENT STATUS						
	Total		Fr	Abor		25-34		15-54			Skilled:			Minguart			
Unweighted n:	586	253	17	310	117	164	153	96	54	57	88	41	72	83	342	106	134
GENERAL-GRANTS/FUNDING	1%	-1 <i>%</i>	6%	•1 ⁴ / ₀	-1%	2%	5%	6%	1%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	1%	2%
															1+	-	
Chi ²		-			-					(. 05)					-		
±.,points around 50%:	-1	6	21	6	9	8	8	10	44	13	10	15	12	11	5	10	8

What were you looking for in your most recent request?

	KIDS			SMOKER		INTERNET		CONTACTED		CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADIAN GOVERNMENT			BAND GOVERNMENT		
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q6																		
Unweighted n: Education related	586 10%	-134 9%	152 12%	318 10%	264 9%	322 13% ++	261 7%	586 10%	0 0 ¹⁰ 0	200 14% ++	80 6%	284 8%	185 9%	189 6%	203 11% 1+	169 7%	167 8%	243 14% ++
Nousing related	8%	8%	$9^{n_a^i}$	10%	6%	6% 	11%	8%	0%	7.0	13%	8%	8 %	9%	9%	9%	10%	7%
Health care related or Health Canada	15%	13%	20% ++	17%	13%	15%	++ 15%	15%	0%	16%	1.1%	15%	18%	16%	12%	16%	11% _	17%
Business-related	7%	70	$G^{\mu \prime}_{\ 0}$	70	7%	9% F	5 ⁿ ii	ī.0	0%	9%	84	5%	10%	5%	5%	9%	5%	6%
Aboriginal policies lan d claíms	f ⁿ o	\mathbf{J}_{μ}^{n}	3%	3%	5%	5% +	2%	1%	0%	1%	10%	2%	6% ++	1%	5%	5%	2%	5%
Canada Pension Plan Old Age Security	2""	<u>- 1</u> 0	3^{d}_{0}	902 1	$3^{n_{\rm H}}$	Qn.	3%	$\overline{5}_{n,i}^{n}$	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	34	-1% +	2%	P%
Canadian Coast Guard	$0^{n_{\rm H}}$	$\Theta^{n_{\rm f}}_{\rm al}$	0%	$O_{a_{1}^{n}}$	O^{a_0}	$\tilde{Q}^{0\prime}{}_{\rm B}$	$D^{n'_{11}}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	Qur ₆	$O_{70}^{n\prime}$	0%	0 ^{n/} "	0%	0%	$0^{\prime\prime}_{0}$
Customs and Immigration Border Services or	₿ ^a n	1°.	$O^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$	0%	1%	1"0 +	0ª. _] "ii	0%	2% +	0%	0%	2% +	0%	Θ_n^A	1%	0%	1%
Employment Insurance HR DC/GENERAL INQUIRIES	12%	11 ⁿ u	15%	12%	11%	10%	1.1%	12%	$\theta_{u^{\mu}}$	11%	10%	13%	11%	E3%	11%	11%]] ″	13%
Federal Courts	$\theta^{\mathfrak{n}_0'}$	0^{α}	1^{ν}	$O^{a_{it}}$	$O_{d}^{,0}$	0º'n	Θ^{v_0}	$0^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	0%	0.0	1%	()%	1%	0 ^{ny}	0%	0%	1%	0 ¹ /1
National Parole Board	$\Theta^{\alpha_{i1}}$	$O_{\alpha_{i}}^{n}$	$1^{a_0^+}$	0"	$\Theta^{q_{\rm H}}_{\rm H}$	0%	0%	$\Theta^{\alpha\prime}_{~\mu}$	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1% ++	0%
Taxes	16 [%]	18%	<u>9</u> %	1 P ^v ₆	18%	15%	17%	16%	0%	15%	20%	15%	13%	22% +++	13%	20%	19%	11%
Status cards or members hip issues	2%	3%] °i	3%	54	2%	5%	2%	0%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%	5% ++	1%	2%
INAC or lands and trust s issues	3"	0.º	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	0%	2%	5%	3%	-1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Other (specify)	1%	1 °o	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
DK/NR	12%	12%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%	12%	0%	10%	9%	15%	8%	12%	16% ++	8%	16%	14%
SOCIAL SERVICES-WELFARE	1%	5°4	3%	5%	3%	-1%	1%	1%	0%	5%	3%	5%	4%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%
BIRTH/MARRIAGE CERTIF1C ATES/REGISTRY	1%	1"0	1%	1%	3.°	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1	1%	-	÷
INFRASTRUCTURE-1. E. ROA DS, 111GHWAYSETC	2%	20. 1	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	עוני חיבי	0%	1%	-1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%		1%
GENERAL-STATISTICS/INFO RMATION	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	·1%	5%	0%	6%	3%	5%	8% ++ (. 01)	2%	5%	3%	7 °0 +	5%
Chi": ±points around 50%:	1	5	8	5	6	(, 10) 5	6	4	*	7	11	6	(, 01) 7	7	7	(, 05) 8	8	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02.03.18

PAGE: 65 (1/ 2)

What were you looking for in your most recent request?

		К11	DS	SMO	(ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1/	N RELAT	TONSHE	CANAD	IAN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI) GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Unweighted n:	586	-13-1	152	318	264	322	261	586	0	200	80	284	185	189	203	169	167	243

GENERAL-GRANTS/FUNDING	·[0	$\cdot 1^{n_0}$	•1°°	·1%	1%	5%	3%	1%	$\Theta^{n\prime}_{\nu}$	5%	6%	3%	6% ++	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	
Chi ² :		-		-	(. 10)							(, 01)			(. 05)			
±points around 50%:	-1	5	8	5	6	5	6	-4	*	7	11	6	7	7	7	8	8	6	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 What were you looking for in your most recent request?

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q6 Unweighted n:	586	189	397
Education related	10%	-	
llousing related	8%	7%	9%
llealth care related or llealth Canada	15%	17%	1.1%
Business-related	7%	8%	6%
Aboriginal polícies/lan d claims	$\cdot \mathbf{I}_{0}^{n_{0}}$	55	.1%
Canada Pension Plan/Old Age Security	2%	3%	20
Canadian Coast Guard	0%	0%	0%
Customs and Immigration , Border Services or	1 ª/0	1%	1°0
Employment Insurance/HR DC/GENERAL INQUIRIES	12%	14^{w}	11%
Federal Courts	$\Theta^{n_{u}'}$	1%	0%
National Parole Board	$O^{\alpha \prime}{}_{\theta}$	1%	0%
Taxes	16%	16°0	15%
Status cards or members hip issues	$2^{n_{\rm H}}$	3%	24
INAC or lands and trust s issues	3%	2°0	3%
Other (specify)	$1^{\alpha'_0}$	21,	1 1
DK/NR	12^{a_0}	10%	14%
SOCIAL SERVICES-WELFARE	1°°	7°5 + + +	
, ETC, BIRTII/MARRIAGE CERTIFIC	1 °.	+++ 2%	
ATES/REGISTRY INFRASTRUCTURE-I.E. ROA	2%	0%	
DS. HIGHWAYSETC GENERAL-STATISTICS INFO RMATION	5%	լս	++ 7% +++
RMATION Chi ² :		(, 01)	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

What were you looking for in your most recent request?

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Unweighted n:	586	189	397
GENERAL-GRANTS/FUNDING	100	1	6°°
			+ + +
Chi [*]		(. 01)	
土, points around 50%:	-1	7	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 68 (2/ 2)

.

02/03/18

Did you get what you were looking for in your most recent request?

						REGION				GEN	4DER			INCOME					EDUCATI	ON	
		Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At I	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30~49	50+	<11S	Some HS	lis	Col1	Univ
Q 8																					
	Unweighted n:	586	66	79	136	135	65	49	56	272	314	120	107	100	101	104	61	207	141	104	64
Yes		53%	52%	58%	55%	49%	5.1%	61%	46%	50%	56%	50%	53%	55%	59%	56%	41%	5 5 1%	52%	57%	63%
No		30%	30%	21%	26%	36%	31%	27%	3.4%	31%	29%	33%	30%	34%	25%	23%	4.1%		29%	28%	221/
Some etel	what, but not compl y	13%	1.1%	15%	16%	10%	12%	6%	18%	15%	12%	11%	15%	10%	14%	17%	11%	13%	1.1%	13%	1.1%
DK/N		1%	5%	3%	2%	5%	3%	6^{α_0}	2%	-1%	3%	6% ++		1%	2%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%
	Chi ² :		-							-		-					-	-			
±.	points around 50%:	4	12	11	8	8	12	14	13	6	6	9	9	10	10	10	13	3 7	8	10	12

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilleds	Gervice	Prof	Mingminit	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q8																	
Unweighted n:	586	253	17	310	117	164	153	96	51	57	88	-11	72	83	342	106	134
Yes	53%	60ª	65%	18%	65%	60°°	49%	1 1 %	37%	44%	50%	54%	60%	18%	52%	54%	58%
		+++			+++	+ +		_	_				+				
No	30%	22%	2.1%	36%	21%	25%	30%	10%	49%	39%	28%	32%	25%	33%	30%	29%	31%
			_	++++				+ +	+++								
Somewhat, but not compl	13%	13%	12%	13%	9%	12%	17%	5%	10%	16%	17%	10%	1.1%	14%	15%	14%	7%
etely	10.	100				100	+				• • •						_
DK/NR	1%	1%	$0_{v_{i}}$	3%	5%	2%	-1%	2%	4%	2%	5%	5%	1%	5%	4%	3%	3%
Chi ² :		(, 05)			(.01)					-					-		
±points around 50%:	-1	6	21	6	9	8	8	10	14	13	10	15	12	11	5	10	8

Did you get what you were looking for in your most recent request?

KIDS SMOKER INTERNET CONTAUTED CANADIAN RELATIONSHIP CANADIAN GOVERNMENT BAND GOVERNMENT The process of the contract structure of the contract of the contract of the structure of the No Yes No Yes Total Yes No Yes No Better Worse Same Bad Neith Good Bad Neith Good 08 Unweighted n: 586 434 152 318 2643222615860 200 80 284185 189 203169 167 24353% $O_{-0}^{0\prime}$ 53% 53% 59% 53% 55% 17°2 5.1% 53% 54% 53%62% 39%43% 56% 61% -16% Yes +++ + + _ ++ + -_____ 0% 23%38% 28% 27% No 30% 29°_{0} 33% 31% 28%29% 31% 30% 32% 38% 25% 37% 28%++ ----- 4 +++ _ 21% 17% 10% Somewhat, but not compl 3% 15% 13% 13% 13% 0% 1.1% 10% 1.1% 9% 17% 14% 2% 15% 12% ++ etely ----+ ____ + _ DK/NR 1% 0% 3% 3% 1% 2% 5% 2% 1% 5% 1% 10 3% 5% 3% 3% -1% 3% + + _ Chi≇∶ (.01) .01 . 05 ---_ ----4 5 8 5 6 5 6 4 * 7 11 6 7 8 8 6 7 7 ±..points around 50%:

SAMPLE TYPE

	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
QS			
Unweighted n: Yes	586 53%	189 54%	397 53%
No	30°°	30%	30%
Somewhat, but not compl	13%	1-1°o	13%
etely DK/NR	-1 °°.	20k	5%
Chi ² :		-	+
±points around 50%:	-1	7	5

02/03/18

How would you rate the Government of Canada on the quality of the service it delivers on a scale where 1 is very bad. 7 is excellent and 4 is neither. Remember that no one will know what you said individually. Answers will be reported by province only

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				ŀ	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< H S	Some HS	ĦS	Coll	I'n i v
Q4A Unweighted n∶ BAD	1423 20%	1-1-1 31%	163 23%	335 15%	335 22%	160 23%	117	139 16%	624 22%	799 19%	280 19%	287 18%	230 25%	249 23%	196 20%	195	505	335 20%	226 25%	F19 28%
NF.I THER	12%	++++ -10%	39%	43%	14%	35%	16%	12%	41%	12%	40%	-14%	+ 40%	43%	39%	41%	11%	13%	30% 1+	++ 37%
GOOD	35%	26%	36%	10°0	32%	10%	$34^{n\prime}_{u}$	10%	35%	36%	39%	37%	32%	33%	40%	39%	37%	34%	3 1%	33%
1 Very bad	10%	11°_{0}	$1 \cdot l^{\scriptscriptstyle D'}_{\; \rm tr}$	8%	10%	8%	7.0	$\partial \vec{k}^0$	11%	9%	13%	10%	11%	8%	6%	13%	10%	8%	10%	7%
2	$\cdot 1^{n_0}$	$9^{n_0^\prime}$	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	5%	6%	6%	2%	3%	1%	·1%	8%
3	7%	8%	6%	1%	9%	10%	9%	5%	8%	7%	4%	6%	10%	9%	9%	2%	5%	8%	11%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	12%	40°°	39%	13%	1.1%	35%	46%	42%	41%	42%	40%	4.1%	40%	43%	39%	41%	44%	43%	39%	37%
5	15%	12%	12%	17%	10%	21%	18%	17%	15%	15%	15%	14%	13%	15%	20%	11%	14%	14%	22%	t 7%
6	8%	6%	$10^{\rm W}$	6%	7%	9%	9%	12%	7%	9%	6%	10%	6%	8%	9%	7%	6%	10%	9%	$\Omega_{\rm e}^{\rm s}$
7 Excellent	13%	8%	13%	16%	15%	10%	7%	12%	12%	13%	18%	13%	13%	10%	11%	21%	17%	10%	·1%	7%
DK/NR	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2^{n_0}	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	01√ ₩ 1
Chi ² : ±points around 50%; Weighted n for mean; Mean; Std dev; Student's t;	3 1391 4. 26 1. 64 *	(. 10) 8 141 3. 81 1. 69 . 01	8 158 4. 19 1. 81 –	5 329 4.44 1.63 .05	5 327 4. 24 1. 69 -	8 157 1.25 1.57	8 143 4. 24 1. 39 -	8 136 4. 41 1. 58 -	4 613 4. 19 1. 67	3 778 4. 31 1. 63	- 6 272 4. 36 1. 79 -	283 4. 34	225 4.12	245 4. 14	7 194 4. 32 1. 52	 7 188 4. 45 1. 87 -		5 328 4. 25 1. 56 –	7 223 4.00 1.46 .01	$\frac{146}{4.05}$

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 71

02/03/18

How would you rate the Government of Canada on the quality of the service it defivers on a scale where 1 is very bad. 7 is excellent and 4 is neither. Remember that no one will know what you said individually. Answers will be reported by province only

		l.	ANGPAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT :	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	-125	25-34	35-14	15-51	55+	Labour	Ski11ed	Service	Prof	Mingminit	Emp10y	Decmp1	Not LF
Q4A				754	071		1150		105	1.55			100			015	240
Unweighted n: BAD	1423 20ª	612 21%	13 9%	751 21%	271 10%	377 17%	356 26%	219 27%	185 25%	$\frac{155}{21\%}$	222 22%	112 18%	180 27%	143 31%	819 24% ++++	245 14%	318 18%
NETTHER	12 ¹⁰	$10^{a_{\rm H}^\prime}$	51°a	13%	39%	-12%	12%	42%	44%	-16 [%]	$12^{6'}$	50% +	12%	34%	12%	42%	11%
GOOD	35%	37%	35%	34%	-19% ++++	-10% +	30%	27^{n}_{-0}	29%	29%	3.1%	29%	30%	31%	32%	42%	
1 Very bad	10%	$\Theta^{\mu \nu}_{\ 0}$	2%	11%	1%	7%	12"	13%	16%	11%	10%	84	9%	13%	10%	8%	
2	$\cdot 1^{n_{0}}$	$\cdot 1\%$	5%	3%	2^{w}_{0}	1"	-14	6%	2%	1%	3%	1%	5%	8%	5%	3%	2%
3	7^{n}_{0}	$8^{\alpha'}_{\ ii}$	1 3 47	7%	1%	7%	$10^{\rm v}$	8%	6%	6%	9%	6%	13%	11%	9%	3%	5%
4 Neither good nor bad	$12^{n_0'}$	$40^{\alpha'}$	51%	43%	39%	12%	$\cdot 12^{\prime\prime}$	42%	11%	16%	42%	50%	42%	31%	-12%	42%	41%
5	15%	17^{α_0}	16%	13%	20%	15%	15%	11%	12%	11%	14%	17%	13%	19%	15%	13%	16%
6	8%	9%	9%	7%	12%	10%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	9%	8%	7%	8%	10%
7 Excellent	13%	11%	∂v_0	15%	17%	1.1%	10%	10%	11%	13%	14%	5%	8%	6%	10%	21%	1.1%
DK/NR	$2^{n_{ij}}$	2%	5%	2%	2%	1%	2%	·1%	3%	5% ++	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Chi [*] :		_			(. 01)					(. 10)					. 01		
±,,points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-i	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8		6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1391	599	-11	735	265	372	350	210	180	148	217	108	179		799		
Mean:	4.26	4.26	1.16	4.26	4.77	4.45	4.03	3. 92	3.97	4.14	1. 22	4.08	4.01				
Std dev:	1, 64	1. 59	1.31	1.70	1.46	1.58	1.63	1.69	1.75	1.67	1, 65	1.38	1. 55	1.64	1.59		
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	, 05	-	-		-	-	. 01	. 01	. 10

PAGE: 72

02/03/18

How would you rate the Government of Canada on the quality of the service it delivers on a scale where 1 is very bad, 7 is excellent and 1 is neither. Remember that no one will know what you said individually. Answers will be reported by province only

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD I/	AN RELAT	TONSIMP	CANADI	AN GOVI	RNMENT	BAN	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neitli	Good
Q4A																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	510	397	430	573
BAÐ	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	2.74	19%	23%	18%	1.1%	39%	20%	42%	17%	- 9%	30%	17%	17%
NEITHER	42%	41%	15%	43%	11%	39%	-1-1%	35%	17%	37%	34%	17%	41%	54%	32%	41%	50%	37%
						-	F		+ + + +	_	—	****					4444	_
G001	35%	37%	32%	35%	36%	37%	31%	41%	32%		26%	32%	17%	27%	57%	27%	31%	11%
1 Very bad	10%	4 9%		10%	10%	8%	11%	10%	10%	++++ 6%	24%	8%	21%	7%	1+++ 5%	17%	8%	++++ 6%
i very bau	10 .	:• 0	11.0	10.	10 %	0.0	11.0	10.0	100	0.6	210	0.0	<u>41</u> 0	r.a	0.0	110	0.0	U a
2	1%	$\cdot 1^{**}$	24	-1%	3%	1%	3%	6%	2%	3%	7%	4%	9%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%
3	7%	7^{n}	$\overline{i}^{n_{v}}_{v}$	6%	8%	9%	5°	8 ^{n/}	6%	5%	8%	8%	12%	7%	4%	9%	6%	7%
4 Neither good nor bad	42%	11%	15°°	13%	41%	39%	11%	35%	17%	37%	34%	47%	41%	54%	32%	41%	50%	37%
5	15%	15°.	1.1%	15%	15%	18%	12%	15%	15%	20%	13%	12%	8%	1.1%	21%	13%	12%	19%
6	8°.	$\Theta^{n_{0}}$	6%	7%	8%	10%	6^{w}_{μ}	9^{n_0}	7%	11%	6%	7%	·1%	1%	14%	6°,	6%	12%
7 Excellent	13%	13ª#	12%	13%	13^{n}	8%	17%	17%	10%	16%	7%	12%	4%	9%	21%	9%	13%	1.1%
DK / NR	1)IL	- 10 	3%	1.70	20	2%	1910/ 1911	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	-				2 "	20	2.1		+++						2.0			2.0
Chi ² :		-		-		-		. 01		(. 01)			. 01			. 01		
±., points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	-1	-1	-1	4	3		7	4	5	-4	4			
Weighted n for mean:	1391	986	105	739	645	653	732	581	810		179	697	370		527		422	559
Mean:	4. 26	4. 28	1.19	4.25	4.26	4.22	4.29	4.36	4. 19		3.51	4.23	3.36	1.17	4.96			4.53
Std dev: Student's t:	1.64	1.65	1. 63	1.64	1.65	1.53	1. 74	1.77 .10	1.54		1.83	1.57	1,62	1.40	1.52	1, 73 . 01	1, 57	1.57
student s t	*	-	-	-		-	-	. 10	- 10	.01	. 01	-	. 01	-	. 01	. 01		.91

02/03/18

How would you rate the Government of Canada on the quality of the service it delivers on a scale where 4 is very bad. 7 is excellent and 4 is neither.

Remember that no one will know what you said individually. Answers will be reported by province only

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Paneł	Gen Pop
Q4A			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
BAD	$20^{\prime\prime}$	220	20%
NEITHER	42%	39ª'n	-134
GOOD	35%	37%	35%
I Very bad	10%	$10^{a'_{\rm H}}$	10%
2	1"n	1%	13
3	7%	8ª	73
4 Neither good nor bad	42%	39%	-13%
5	15%	18%	13%
6	8ªn	$8^{\rm A}_{\ \mu}$	8%
7 Excellent	13%	11%	1.1%
DK / NR	<u>0</u> #2	2%	30
Chi*:		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1391	143	
Mean:	4.26	4.22	4.27
Std dev:	1.64	1.62	1.65
Student's t:	*	-	-

					REG1 ON				GEN	DER			INCOME				ŀ	EDUCATI	ON	
	Tota4	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<1IS	Some HS	llS	Coll	Iniv
Q4B Unweighted n: BAD	1423 34%	144 40%	163 38%	335 33%	335 34%	160 38%	147 27%	139 29%	624 37%	799	280 31%	287 31%	230 33%	249 40%	196 44% +++	195 23%	505 29%	335 34%	226 43%	149 53%
NE I THER	34%	36%	35%	35%	34%	29%	37%	27%	34%	33%	32%	37%	35%	35%	31%	36%	35%	35%	32%	26%
GOOD	29%	23%	31ª6	29%	27%	29%	33%	42%	27%	30%	32%	29%	30%	23%	23%	35% ++	31%	31%	21%	19%
4 Very bad	$15^{0'}_{0}$	$16^{a_{\rm in}}$	$20^{o'_{\rm b}}$	1.4%	16%	13%	$12^{n_{1}}$	12%	16%	1.1%	16%	15%	12%	16%	18%	14%	14%	13%	$17^{o_{\rm in}}$	17%
2	$\overline{t}^{\alpha_{p}}$	9%	$\overline{f}^{1,j}_{-,0}$	7%	5¥	10%	3°_{\circ}	5%	8%	5%	6%	5%	7%	8%	9%	4%	6%	7%	8%	11%
3	13°°	15%	12^{a_0}	$12^{n_0'}$	13 ⁿ	1.1%	$12^{\rm m}$	12^{w}	12%	13%	9°_{\circ}	11%	13%	16%	17%	5%	10%	13%	18%	25%
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	36%	35°	35%	31%	29%	37%	27%	31%	33%	32%	37%	35%	35%	31%	36%	35%	35%	32%	26%
5	$1.4^{o} \mathrm{m}$	10°	$10^{\circ}{}_{\rm b}$	1.1 [%]	13%	13%	$\{G^{a_n}$	26%	13%	15%	12%	17%	17%	10%	16%	14%	13%	17%	15%	13%
6	$G^{\sigma'_{\rm H}}$	6°_{ii}	i v	4%	-4°°	7%	Ω_0^{n}	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	1%	6%	6%	7%	1%	1%
7 Excellent	$\mathfrak{P}_0^{n_0'}$	$6^{n_0'}$	$-\mathbf{I}_{0}^{n}$	10%	10%	9%	8%	11%	8%	10%	14%	7%	9%	6%	3%	15%	12%	7%	3%	2%
DK/NR	·] ⁿ / ₀	1%	$\theta^{n}_{\ u}$	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	2%	5% +++	5% ++	3%	2%	3%	2%	6% +	5% +++	1%	3%	2%
Chi ² :	c.	, 05	0	-	-	0	0	0	. 01	2	. 05	c	c	c	7	. 01		-	-	0
±.,points around 50%; Weighted n for mean;	3 1369	8 142	8 154	5 326	5 318	8 152	8 142	8 135	4 614	3 758	6 267	6 278	6 226	6 242	7 193	184	4 478	5 333	7 219	8 146
Mean:	3. 81	3. 64	3.51	3, 84	3,80	3. 78	1.04	4.42	3.70	3.90	3.97	3.80	3, 88	3, 61	3.42	4.18	3.99	3.85	3.43	
Std dev:	1.72	1.66	1.70	1.74	1.75	1.75	1.63	1.70	1.72	1.72	1.90	1.66	1.65	1.66	1.58	1.85	1.78	1.64	4.55	
Student's t	*	-	. 05	-	-	-	. 10	. 05	. 05	. 05	. 05	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	. 01		. 01	. 01

02/03/18

On the same scale, how would you rate the Government of Canada on the overall quality of the service it delivers on Aboriginal issues specifically.

		4.7	ANGUAGE				AGE			-	EMP4.0	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-41	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mission t	Employ	Unemp4	Not LF
Q4B Unweighted n: BAD	1423 34%	612 35%	$\frac{43}{14_{-9}^{\prime\prime}}$	751 34%	271 23%	377 32%	356 42%	219 37%	185 34%		222 39%	112 29%	180 14%	1 13 -17%	819 39%	245 27%	$\frac{348}{29\%}$
NE1 THER	34%	33%	4.1%	33%	33%	33%	++++ 33%	36%	32%	36%	33%	44%	+ 32%	++ 27%	33%	37%	31%
GOOD	29%	29^{6}	37%	29%	-10% ++++	32%	21%	22%	28%	30%	26%	++ 23%	21%	25%	25%	33%	35% +++
1 Very bad	15%	13%	5%	16%	6%	14%	20%	21%	1.1%	17%	17%	10%	17%	22%	17%	12%	
2	7 %	7°4/	$0^{n_{\rm b}'}$	6%	5%	6%	8%	6 <u>%</u>	8%	5%	7%	3%	9%	11%	7%	6%	6%
3	13%	5%	$9^{a_{\eta}}$	12%	13%	12%	15%	10%	12%	8%	15%	17%	19%	14%	15%	9%	11%
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	33%	·1·1 ^{*/} 0	33%	33%	33%	33%	36%	32%	36%	33%	41%	32%	27%	33%	37%	31%
5	11%	16 ^w	19%	13%	19%	18%	11%	8%	15%	12%	17%	13%	13%	15%	1.1%	13%	16%
6	6%	6%	9%	5%	10%	5%	3%	6%	5%	6%	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	8%
7 Excellent	9%	6 ^{ny} .	$9^{a_0'}$	11º0	12%	9%	7%	8%	8%	12%	6%	5%	2%	6%	6%	15%	11%
ÐK/NR	$\cdot \mathbf{I}_{n}^{V}$	3%	5%	-1%	.1%	2%	3%	5%	6%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	5%
Chi [*] :					. 01					(. 10)					. 01		
±, points around 50%:	3	-1	15	-1		5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8		6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1369	595	-11	719	261	369	344	209	174	150	217	108	175	141	796	235	330
Mean	3.81	3.78	4.41	3.81	4.36	3.90	3. 17	3.56	3.80	3.89	3.59	3.87	3.43	3, 39	3.63	4. 11	4.04
Std dev:	1.72	1.62	1.36	1.80	1.56	1.70	4.71	1.80	1.70		1.64	1.43	1.54	1.76		1.80	
Student's t:	*	-	. 01	-	. 01	-	. 01	. 05	-	. 05		. 05	. 10	. 10	. 01	. 01	. 04

	KIDS			SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSILLP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q-1B		1005			000				0.57	170		707			F 10	0.07	1.140	570
Unweighted n: BAD	1423 31%	1006 34%	417 34%	755 32%	660 36%	667 38% +++	$\frac{749}{31\%}$	586 36%	837 33%	476 26%	181 60%	707 33%	373 68%	-176 29%	5:10 16%	397 53%	·130 27%	$\frac{573}{27\%}$
NEITHER	34%	33%	354	37%	30%	33%	345	31%	35%	32%	23%	38%	20%	-19% ++++	29%	29%	47%	27%
GOOD	29%	29%	27"	27%	30%	27%	$30^{\rm W}_{\rm H}$	30%	28%	39% ++++	15%	26%	11%	19%	50%	15%	22%	12%
1 Very bad	15%	15%	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{c}}$	15%	11%	1.1%	15%	15%	11%	10%	32%	13%	34%	10%	7%	26%	11%	10 %
2	700	7.0	7%	5%	8%	8%	5%	8%	5%	3%	12%	8%	15%	6%	2%	11%	6%	5%
3	13%	13^{n_0}	13%	12°_{0}	13%	16%	10^{α}	12%	13%	13%	17%	12%	18%	13%	8%	16%	10%	12%
4 Neither good nor bad	34%	33%	35%	37%	30%	33%	34%	31%	35%	32%	23%	38%	20%	49%	29%	29%	47%	27%
5	14%	15%	12%	$12^{4'_0}$	17%	16%	13%	15%	1.1%	20%	8%	13%	6%	9%	25%	8%	11%	21%
6	$6^{n_0^{\prime}}$	5%	$\tilde{\ell}^{0}_{\ 0}$	6%	5¶"	6%	5%	6%	6%	9%	3%	-1%	2%	3%	10%	3%	4%	9%
7 Excellent	9 ^{4/}	9ºi	\mathbf{S}^{*_0}	9%	8%	5%	12%	10%	8%	10%	5%	9%	3%	7%	15%	4%	8%	12%
DK/NR	140	349	5^{n}	3%	1%	2%	5% +44	3.	5% +	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	·1%	3%	1%	3%
Chi ²		-		. 10		. 01		. 10		. 01			. 01			. 01		
±.,points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	ŀ	-1	-1	-1	3	4	7	4	5	-1	4	5	5	-1
Weighted n for mean:	1369	973	396	729	634	654	710	570	799		179	683	368	462	516	385	412	555
Mean	3.81	3.81	3, 81	3.83	3.78	3.67	3.91	3.80	3.82		2.91	3.82	2.66	3.80	1.61	3.09	3.87	1.24
Std dev:	1.72	1.73	1.71	1.72	1.72	1.60	1.82	1.77	1.68		1.74	1.68	1.58	1.45	1.58	1.67	1.53	1.72
Student's t:	*		-	-	-	. 01	. 01	-		. 01	. 01	-	, 01	-	. 01	. 01	-	. 01

02/03/18

On the same scale, how would you rate the Government of Canada on the overall quality of the service it delivers on Aboriginal issues specifically.

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q1B			
Unweighted n: BAD	1423 34%	454 36%	
NEITHER	34%	31%	351
GOOD	29%	31%	28%
1 Very bad	15%	13%	15%
2	7%	9ºi	5%
3	13%	13 ^{ng}	125
4 Neither good nor bad	31%	31%	351
5	$1 1^{\nu}$	16^{a_0}	1.1*
6	6%	7 W	51
7 Excellent	9º,	S_0^{0}	94
DK/NR	$1_{\mathbf{k}}^{n_{\mathbf{k}}}$	3%	-1*
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1369		928
Meant	3.81	3.81	3, 81
Std dev:	1.72	1.71	1, 72
Student's t:	*	-	-

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<115	Some 11S	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q7 Unweighted n: BAD	586 24%	66 26%	79 24%	136 18%	135 29%	65 29%	49 18%	56 27%	272 21%	314 25%	120 19%	107 21%	100 24%	101 31%	104 25%	61 25%	207 21%	144 24%	104 26%	64 33%
NEITHER	28%	30%	30%	32%	25%	23%	22%	30%	31%	26%	33%	32%	32%	31%	18%	28%	29%	28%	28%	27%
6000	45%	39%	11%	18%	41%	18%	57%	36%	42%	17%	43%	17%	13%	39%	52%	43%	48%	11%	45%	39%
1 Very bad	13 ^{n/}	17"	$20^{\prime\prime}$	8%	16%	1.1%	6%	13%	12%	15%	13%	13%	10%	16%	13%	15%	13%	10%	17%	14%
2	$1^{n_{eff}}$	$5^{n'_{\rm H}}$	$\Theta^{\eta'}_{\ tl}$	4%	1%	6º%	6%	9%	4%	4%	3%	5%	7%	1%	5%	5%	4%	6%	2%	6 ^w .
3	$6^{\prime\prime}_{\mu}$	5^{n}	- 1 ^{1V}	6%	9 ⁴ 0	9%	6%	5%	7%	6%	38	1%	7%	11%	8%	5%	1%	8%	7%	13%
4 Neither good nor bad	28%	30%	30 ⁴ 0	32%	25%	23%	22%	30%	31%	26°	33%	32%	32%	31%	18%	28%	29%	28%	28%	27%
5	16%	15%	$11^{\rm h}{\rm e}$	20%	15%	15%	22%	9%	13%	18%	15%	14%	11%	12%	22%	7%	16%	16%	20%	14%
6	13%	1.1"«	18%	7%	10%	$1 \cdot 1^{W_{\mathrm{b}}}$	27%	13%	13%	13%	. 6%	16%	13%	13%	21%	3%	11%	6%	18%	16%
7 Excellent	$16^{n_{\rm H}}$	11%	$15^{\rm iv}$	21%	19%	18%	$\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{H}}}$	11%	16%	17%	23%	17%	19%	14%	9%	33%	21%	13%	7%	9%
DK/NR	2%	5ºú	1 "	1%	2%	0%	9w 2 1	7%	3%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	1%	3%	%	2%
Chi*: ±., points around 50%:	4	- 12	11	8	8	12	14	13	-6	6	(, 05) 9	9	10	10	10	-	7	8	10	12
Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	1409 1.77 2.16 *	141 1. 84 2. 41	162 2.06 2.56 -	333 1. 81 2. 51	332 1. 70 2. 44 -	160 1. 77 2. 18	146 1. 53 2. 37	135 1. 61 2. 36	615 1, 87 2, 48	794 1.69 2.44	275	287	229 1. 92 2. 52	249 1. 67 2. 36	191 2. 27 2. 55 . 05	192 1.37 2.41 .05	502 1, 83 2, 53	331 1.85 2.44	225 1, 90 2, 40	148 1.73

02/03/18

And, how would you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received in your most recent request on the same scale?

		LANGUAGE					AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMP1.0	YMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35~11	15-54	55+	Labour	Ski Hed	Service	Prof	Mingmint	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q7	500	050	17	310	117	16.1	153	96	51	57	88	41	72	83	3.12	106	134
Unweighted n: BAD	586 24%	$253 \\ 23\%$	18%	310 26%	16%	20%	29%	96 29%	35%	18%	88 27%	91 22%	72 32%	83 28%	342 25%	22%	2.1%
NETTHER	28%	27%	29%	29%	26%	30%	+ 30%	28%	+ 24%	37%	28%	32%	21%	27%	28%	29%	27%
GOOD	45%	18%	53%	42%	56%	-19 [%]	38%	39%	37%	16%	13%	·]·] [*] 0	16%	15%	45%	42%	18%
1 Very bad	13%	12^{n_0}	$\Theta^{\mu_{11}^{\prime}}$	15%	+++ 7%	10^{ν}	17%	17%	22.0	11%	16%	17%	11%	16%	1.1%	16%	10%
2	\mathbf{P}_{u}	3%	$12^{n_{p}}$	5ºV	20	ů, U	6 ⁰	6°°	6%	- 1 %	7%	0%	8%	5%	5%	1%	6%
3	$6^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{d^2}{dt}$	$6^{a_{\rm H}}$	6%	8°_{-}	5°.	71	6%	8%	1%	5%	5%	13%	7%	7%	5%	7%
4 Neither good mor bad	28%	$2\hat{t}^{n}$	29^{a}	29%	26%	30%	30^{o}_{0}	28%	24%	37%	28%	32%	21%	27%	28%	29%	27%
5	16 ⁰ 0	170	12%	15%	20%	16º	115	10 ^m	15%	11%	17%	27%	17%	16%	17%	10%	16%
6	13%	16 ⁴ 0	29%	10%	15%	16".	11%	13%	-1 ^w	9%	11%	12%	21%	12%	13 ^{n/}	11%	13%
7 Excellent	16 [#]	15%	12%	18%	21%	16%	Γ_{10}^{10}	16 [%]	18%	26%	15%	5%	8%	17%	15%	21%	18%
DK/NR	2%		$\Theta^{a_{\mu}}$	3ªu	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%		7%	1%
Chi ²		_			(.05)					_					(. 10)		
±,.points around 50%	-1	6	24	6	9	8	8	10	14	13	10	15	12	11	5	10	8
Weighted n for mean:	1409	607	43	7.13	270	375	352	215	183	155	221	111	179			238	346
Meant	1.77	1.82	1.88	1.73	2.08	1.97	1.71	1.77	1.05	1.71	1.65	1.48	1.67			1.84	1.71
Std dev:	2.46	2.48	2, 55	2.44	2.64 、05	2.55 .10	2.36	2.43	2.05 .01	2.52	2.38	2.22	2.36	2, 59	2.44	2.55	2.46
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	, 05	. 10	-	-	. 01	-	-	-	-	- 01	-	-	-

.

		KIDS	5	SNOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANAÐIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Guod	Bad	Neith	Good
Q7 Unweighted n:	586	-13-1	152	318	264	322	261	586	0	200	80	284	185	189	203	169	167	243
BAD	2.1%	2·1%	26%	23%	26%	25%	24%	21%	0%	19%	36% +++	25%	41% ++++	19%	1 6%	33% +++	19%	22%
NEITHER	28%	30%	23%	30%	26ª,	30%	26%	28%	0%	30%	29%	28%	25%	38% ++++	22%	30%	37% +++	21%
GOOD	15%	-1 1%	17°u	·16%	11%	13%	47%	45%	0%	50% +	31%	15%	30%	12%	62% ++++	35%	10%	55% ++++
1 Very bad	$13^{0'}$	13%	1-1 ^{n/} 0	15%	11%	12%	[5%	13 [%]	0%	10 [%]	20%	1-1%	24%	9%	8%	20%	$\mathbf{S}_{0}^{\mathbf{n}'}$	12%
2	10	-1^{m_0}	7%	3.6	6%	5%	· I ^{nz} u	·] ^{n/} 0	0%] ^a / ₁	$9^{a_{ii}}$	1%	9%	3%	2%	6%	·1%	3%
3	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%	8%	5%	$G_{0}^{\prime\prime}$	0%	6%	8%	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	6%	î a
4 Neither good nor bad	28%	30%	23%	30%	26%	30%	26%	28 %	0%	30%	29%	28%	25%	38%	22%	30%	37%	21%
5	16%	17%	11%	15%	16%	17%	13%	16%	0%	16%	10%	16%	13%	15%	20%	14%	13%	19%
6	13%	13%	13%	13%	1.1%	16%	10%	13%	0%	18%	6%	12%	11%	11%	17%	12%	9%	16%
7 Excellent	16%	1-1%	23%	18%	15%	11%	24%	16%	0%	17%	15%	17%	6%	16%	25%	9%	18%	19%
DK/NR	2%	2%	1"0	1 %	-1% ++	20	3%	2%	0%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%
Chi ² :		-		. 10	,,,	-				(. 05)			(. 01)			(. 01)		
±points around 50%:	4	5	8	5	6	5	6	·I	*		11	6	7	7	7	8	8	6
Weighted n for mean:	1109	998	-111	752	650	661	742	572	837		178	700	367	472	538	394	423	569
Mean: Std_dev:	1.77 2.46	$\frac{1.84}{2.45}$	1.59 2.17	1, 83 2, 49	1.70 2.41	2. 04 2. 46	1.53 2.44	4.36 1.89	0.00		1.65 2.31	1.72 2.44	1.72	1.75	1,85 2.63	1.62 2.27	1.69 2.42	$\frac{1.93}{2.58}$
Student's t:	*	. 10	. FO	5.45	-	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	-		-	_		-	-	-	. 05

And, how would you rate the overall quality of the service or information you received in your most recent request on the same scale?

		SAMPL	e type
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q7			
Unweighted n:	586	189	397
BAD	24%	$24^{n_{0}}$	254
NETTHER	28%	26°	29%
GOOD	45%	18"	1.1%
1 Very bad	13%	$17^{a_0^*}$	12"
2	1%	3%	5%
3	6%	416	8%
4 Neither good nor bad	28%	26°	29%
5	16 ⁿ u	15^{n_0}	16%
6	$13^{n'_{\rm d}}$	16 [%]	111
7 Excellent	16 ^{n/}	17%	16%
ÐK/NR	2%	1907 2017	3%
Chi ²		-	
\pm points around 50%:	1	7	5
Weighted n for mean?	1409	151	958
Nean	1.77	1.81	1.75
Std dev:	2.46	2, 51	2.43
Student's t:	*	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 82

02/03/18

02/03/18 Generally, thinking about the information you receive from the Government of Canada, would you say that you receive (READ LIST)

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME					EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	AIb	Sask	Ман	Out	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< H S	Some HS	li S	Coll	Univ
Q23B																				
Unweighted n:	4423	141	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Too much information	·1 [*] "	5%	2^{0}_{0}	5%	4%	-1%	3%	3%	·1%	1%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	-1%	5%	1%	-1%	3%
Too little information	58%	61%	64%	53%	56%	58%	65% +	60%	59%	58%	54%	61%	63%	59%	55%	67%		55%	62%	56%
About the right amount	35%	33%	33%	39%	37%	35%	30%	31%	35%	36%	40%	33%	33%	34%	39%	27%	38%	40%	31%	38%
of information				+							+							+		
DK/NR	2^{N_0}	1%	1 "0	3%	2%	3%	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%
Chi ² :		-							-		-					-				
\pm points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	- 8	8	-4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	1	5	7	8

		I.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL.	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mirginint	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q23B								× .									
Unweighted n:	1423	612	13	751	274	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Too much information	4%	1%	7%	1%	7%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	1%	6%	.1%
					+++									+		+	
Too little information	58%	57%	51%	60%	4.1%	53%	61%	7.4%	62%	68%	61%	60%	58%	55%	60%	56%	55%
							+ +	++++		++					+		
About the right amount	35%	38%	37%	31%	48%	43%	31%	21%	30%	28%	33%	33%	35%	38%	34%	38%	39%
of information		+		-	++++	4 # # +	_		-						-		
DK/NR	2%	1%	5%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%
		-							+							_	
Chi ²		_			(.01)					-					. 10		
±points around 50%:	3	-4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

Generally, thinking about the information yes receive from the Government of Canada, would you say that you receive (READ LIST)

02/03/18

•

		KIDS			ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSIN	CANAD	AN GOVI	ERNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q23B																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Too much information	1%	1%	1%	5%	3%	-1%	10	6%	3%	4%	7%	3%	.1%	1%	1%	3%	-1%	.1%
								+++			+							
Too little information	58%	58%	60%	57%	59%	57%	60%	50%	61%	53%	66%	60%	70%	59%	50%	69%	56%	52%
									++++		++		++++			++++		
About the right amount	35%	37%	32%	36%	35%	38%	3.1%	12%	31%	41%	25%	3.1%	25%	35%	43%	26%	38%	40%
of information								++++		* + +					++++			1++
DK/NR	211/	0'	10	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	:216	2%	2%	3%
			14+															+
Chi*:		. 05		-		_		, 01		(.01)			. 01			, 01		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	4	-]	-1	-1	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	1

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Tetal	l'ane1	ներ Իսր
Q23B			
Unweighted n:	1423	451	969
Too much information	4%	-1ª-a	1%
Too little information	58%	58%	58%
About the right amount of information	35%	36%	35%
DK/NR	2%	10	3%
Chi*:		_	
±.,points around 50%:	3	5	3

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

02:03 18

Now thinking about the usefulness of the information you get, would you say is is very useful, somewhat useful, not very useful or not at all useful?

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				ł	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Out	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	≤HS	Some HS	llS	Coll	l'niv
Q23C													20					×		
Unweighted n:	556	53	57	147	139	61	-19	50	243	313	122	102	83	97	85	59	213	145	78	60
USEFUL	89%	96% +	93°.	86ªii -	91%	87%	81%	94%	88%	90%	89%	88%	89%	90%	91%	81%	91%	90%	86%	95 ^w
NOT USEFUL	$10^{\rm eff}$	1"	1"°	1.1% +	9%	13^{n_0}	16%	6%	12%	9%	10%	12%	11%	10 ⁴ /	8%	19%		10%	1.1^{w}	5%
Very useful	32%	38%	1-P%	27%	30%	$\Pi^{n'}_{\ 0}$	18%	10%	33%	32%	32^{*}	37%	36%	29%	27%	29%	38% ++	26%	29%	37%
somewhat useFul	57%	58%	$19^{a_{_{\rm H}}}$	59%	60 ⁿ	16ª	65%	54%	56%	58%	57%	51%	53%	61%	64%	53%	53%	64% ++	56%	58%
not very useFul	6%	1_{it}	- 1 %	S_{0}	5%	7%	$10^{\rm w}_{\rm u}$	6ª#	7%	6%	5%	9%	8%	5%	6%	7%	5%	8%	10%	<u>91/</u> /1
not at all useful	$\cdot \mathbf{l}^{\prime\prime\prime}_{\alpha}$	$\Theta^{u_{i1}}$	() ^{n/} "	6%	$\cdot l_{.0}^{\prime\prime}$	7%	6%	$O_{-}^{n_{\rm H}}$	5%	1%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	12%		2%	1%	3%
dk∕nr	$O_{\rm ii}^{n_{\rm f}}$	$O^{\mathbf{n}'_{\mathrm{in}}}$	-1% + + + +	0%	0%	$0^{\eta'_0}$	$(Y_{\mu}^{0\prime})$	0°'u	0%	0%	14	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ²		(, 05)							-		-					(. 10)				
±.,points around 50%	-1	13	13	8	8	13	14	14	6	6	9	10	11	10	11	13	7	8	11	13

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 85

02/03/18

Now thinking about the usefulness of the information you get, would you say is is very useful, somewhat useful, not very useful or not at all useful?

			L	ANGUAGE	Ē			AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	YMENT	STATUS
		Totał	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	551	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mingminit	Employ	Unemp 1	Not LF
Q23C																		
USEFUL	Unweighted n:	556 89%	253 92% +	19 79%	282 88%	150 87%	172 91%	419 - 93%	50 96%	61 79%	-17 91%	81 89%	39 92%	67 91%	62 94%	301 	108 89%	145 86%
NOT USEFU	JL.	$10^{a_0^*}$	8%	21%	$12^{0'}_{-0}$	11%	9%	$7^{n_{0}}$	4%	21% +++	9%	11%	8%	9%	6%	9%	11%	13≌
Very usef	ful	32%	31%	32%	3 1%	29%	3.1%	35%	38%	28%	36%	31%	33%	33%	31%	32%	38%	30%
somewhat	useful	57ª,	61% 1	47%	51 ⁴⁴	59%	56ª	58%	58%	51%	55%	58%	59%	58%	63%	59%	51%	56%
not very	useful	$6^{n_{\mu}}$	$6^{\mathfrak{n}_n}$	_16ª	6%	7%	7	3%	1%	13% ++	0.0v ⊖_0	9%	5%	6º/1	3%	5%	5%	10% +
not at al	1 useful	· 1 ⁰²	2%	5%	5% +	5%	2%	4_{00}	0%	8% +	6%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%
dk/nr		O ⁿ ii	$\theta^{a'_{tt}}$	$0^{n_0^\prime}$	1%	1% ++		0º'n	$O^{n_{\theta}'}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% + +
	Chi ²		-			(. 10)					-					-		
±poin	nts around 50%:	-1	6	22	6	8	7	9	14	13	14	11	16	12	12	6	9	8

02/03/18

Now thinking about the usefulness of the information you get, would you say is is very useful, somewhat useful, not very useful or not at all useful?

		KID	8	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAN	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q23C																		
Unweighted n	556	107	149	303	252	275	281	279	277	211	56	264	104	184	256	116	183	252
USEFUL	89%	91%	85%	91%	87%	91%	88%	93%	86%	91%	80%	90%	78%	91%	93%	82%	91%	92%
		+	-					+ + +			_				+ +	_		+
NOT USEFUL	10%	9^{n}	$15^{\prime\prime}$	8%	13%	8%	12%	6%	1.1%	9%	20%	9%	22%	9%	7%	18%	9%	8%
		_	+ +	-	ŧ				+++		+ +		++++		_	+ + +		_
Very useful	32%	31%	36%	30%	35%	31%	33%	-11%	23%	34%	25%	32%	-32%	26%	38%	29%	30%	36%
								++++						_	+ +			
somewhat useful	57%	60%	50^{v_u}	61%	53%	60%	51%	52%	62%	57%	55%	58%	46%	65%	55%	53%	61%	56%
		4.4	—	+	-				+ +				_	+ + +				
not very useful	6%	5%	Θ^{w}_{0}	1.0	9%	5%	T_{0}^{a}	5%	8%	5%	11%	6%	1.4%	7%	3%	10%	7%	1%
		-	+	—	+ +								+ + + +			++		
not at all useful	·1°.	3w	5%	1%	.1%	3%	5%	2%	6%	3%	9%	1%	8%	3%	1%	8%	2%	1%
									+ + +		+		+ +			+ +		
dk/n r	$O_{0}^{\alpha_{0}}$	$O_{\theta_1'}$	$O_{\theta^{(i)}}$	1%	0º4	0%	(0, 0)	$O_{\alpha}^{n\prime}$	0%	0. ⁴	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi *	:	(.10)		(. 10)		-		(. 01)		-			(.01)			(, 05)		
±points around 50%	: 4	5	8	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	13	6	10	7	6	9	7	6

02/03 [18]

.

Now thinking about the usefulness of the information you get, would you say is is very useful, somewhat useful, not very useful or not at all useful?

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q23C			
Unweighted u:	556	179	377
USEFUL	89%	89%	90%
NOT USEFUL	10%	10%	10%
Very useful	32%	-11%	28%
		++1	
somewhat useful	57%	17%	62%
		_	+++
not very useful	6%	ĩ %	6%
not at all useful	$\cdot \mathbf{l}_{0}^{n_{0}}$	3^{n_0}	\mathbf{l}_{0}^{n}
dk/nr	0%	1^{n_0}	$0^{n'}$
		+ +	_
Chi*:		(.05)	
±points around 50%:	1	7	5

02.03 18

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a fist of ways, and fidlike you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

					REGION				GEN	ADER			INCOME				ł	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	A1b	Sask	Man	Out	Que	Āt1	Male	fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	< <u>II</u> S	Some HS	llS	Coll	Ihiv
COMM																				
Unweighted n: Television	1423 84%	141 85%	163 84%	335 83%	335 82%	160 85%	147 86%	139 87%	624 84%	799 84%	280 85%	287 87%	230 85%	249 81%	196 82%	195 84%	505 86%	335 86%	226 83¥	149 78%
Radio	72%	64%	72%	80%	73%	69%	65%	65%	71%	72%	77%	72%	70%	70%	68%	75%	77%	71%	62%	67%
Weekly newspapers	65%	5.1%	69º	62%	70%	65%	63%	72%	66%	65%	++ 66%	64%	67%	63%	70%	58%	++++ 69% ++	65%	65%	66%
Daily newspapers	60%	56%	66% +	52%	6.1%	59%	57%	68%	59%	60%	62%	59%	60%	57%	66%	53%	62%	63%	53%	65 %
Mail	7.3%	71%	$75^{\rm h}{ m s}$	72%	75%	70%	73%	69%	68%	76% 1+++	7.1%	76%	75%	73%	69%	76%	76%	73%	67%	68%
The Internet	16%	16%	19 [%]	17%	11%	11%	19%	51%	11%	17%	33%	37%	17%	57%	63% ++++	27%	41%	53%	56%	58% +++
Posters	56ª	50°°	63ª + 1	59°	60°₀ +	51%	110	53%	52%	59% +++	54%	6 1% +	54%	57%	53%	56%	58%	54%	54%	56%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	$49^{n_{0}}_{0}$	15%	51%	11%	51%	$19^{\circ}_{}$	50 ⁿ	55%	19%	49%	51%	49%	17%	50%	18%	17%	52%	16%	50%	18%
Government offices in y our area	54%	51%	58%	52%	53%	50%	5.1%	64% +1	55%	53%	52%	53%	55 %	54%	57%	52%	56%	55%	55%	$\cdot 18^{n_{\mu}}$
Other (specify one)	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1 %	0_{w}	0%	1%	1"0	1 10	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
TELEPIIONE/FAX	1%	0%	11	2% ++	1%	0%	1%	.5 % ∥	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2% +	1%	0%	1*
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	1%	2% ++	10	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% +++	1%			0%	1%	1%	0."	0%	1%	3% ++++
GENERAL MEETINGS	1%	10	-30°	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%			2%	1%	1%		1%	3% +	2%
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0%	$0_{u_{i}^{0}}$	0 %	1%	1%	1%	0º"	1%	1%	0%	0%	++		0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1% +	1~
TO BAND OFFICE	$O^{a_0'}$	1 "ii	ľ°ن	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Chi ^{2:} ±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	- 4	3	- 6	6	6	6	7	(. 01) 7	1	5	7	я

02/03/18

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'dlike you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	YMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT S	STATUS
	Totał	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55 F	Labour	Skilled?	Service		Mognint	Emp loy	Unemp1	Not LF
CONIM										- <u></u>							
Unweighted n: Television	1423 84%	612 86%	-13 79%	75 1 83%	274 86%	377 	356 85%	219 84F%	185 83%	155 85%	222 85%	112 84%	180 82%	113 78%	819 83%	245 85%	348 86%
	0.0	++		-													
Radio	720	69 ⁿ	63%	75%	75%	73%	7.2%	$\overline{i} 1^{n_0}$	65%	71%	73%	70%	72%	69%	72%	73%	72%
Weekly newspapers	65%	67%	60°	65%	70% +	<u>65%</u>	$63^{n_{\rm s}}$	66%	65%	66%	63%	69%	6.1%	$6C_{\alpha}^{w}$	65%	67%	66%
Daily newspapers	60%	61^{a}	49°	5(P%	65%	56%	$\bar{\Omega} \Omega_{0}^{a}$	59%	63%	59%	58%	58%	63%	61%	60%	56%	62%
Mail	73%	71°	$81^{n_{\rm H}}$	7.1°	+ 76%	78%	74%	$69^{a_0^*}$	66%	77%	73%	7.1%	69%	72%	73%	72%	7.1%
The Internet	16 ^a "	51ªa +++	35%	13%	6.1%	+++ 53% +++	13%	36%	24%	28%	-1 1°°	49%	57%	64%	18%	40%	46%
Posters	56%	55%	17%	58%	59%	60%	58%	51%	18%	53%	50%	57%	58%	58%		60%	55%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	19%	51%	37%	18%	56% +1+	52%	16 [%]	17%	11%	18%	17%	11%	18%	52%	-19%	53%	18%
Government offices in y our area	54%	57%	58%	52%	63%	55%	52%	51%	48%	52%	51%	5.1%	53%	5-1%	54%	59%	52%
Other (specify one)	$\Theta^{n_{\theta}'}_{\ \theta}$	$1^{n_{to}}$	$O^{\mu}{}_{0}$	0%	0%	1%	10	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
TELEPHONE/FAX	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	1%	1 ""	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%
GENERAL MEETINGS	1 **	+ + 1 ^{n/} p	$O_{0}^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	++ 2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
OFDCOMME PICITO (IN DEDC	(10)	1.101	(10)	+	0.01	1.02	+ +	01/	. 0	000	0%	0%	2%	0%	00	0%	1%
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	$0^{n_{\rm H}}$	$\Theta^{\alpha}_{0^{*}}$	$\dot{\Omega}^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	1%	0%	1% +	0%	0°:s	1%	0%	0%	0.0	2:5 +++	0°a	0%	0%	1 0
TO BAND OFFICE	$D^{\mu_{10}^{\prime}}$	1 **	$O^{n\prime}_{\ u}$	O_{α}	0_{d}	O_{σ_0}	f 1º	1%	1 %	$\mathbf{U}_{d^{\prime}}$	$O_{u_{\alpha}^{*}}$	9 <u>/</u> 1	1%	() ^{*/} 9	()%	1%	1%
Chi ⁺ :					(, 01)										~		
±.,points around 50%:	3	-1	15	4	6	5	5	7	ī	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

02.03.18

There are a number of different ways the Government of Canada can provide information to Canadians. I am going to read you a list of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

		KID	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	C T ED	CANADIA	AN RELAT	TONSITIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAN	GOVER	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
COMM																	<u> </u>	
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	-117	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Television	84%	8.1%	81%	8.1%	85%	83%	85%	80%	87%	87%	78%	81%	79%	84%	58%	83%	85%	84%
Radio	72%	73%	69%	72%	73%	68°_{-}	75%	71%	72%		66%	7.4%	62%	72%	78%	69%	73%	72%
Weekly newspapers	65%	66%	$63^{n_0}_{-0}$	67%	63%	67%	+++ 64%	66%	մ5%		70%	66%	68%	61%	++++ 67%	62%	66%	67%
Daily newspapers	60%	60 [%]	58%	+ 60%	59%	60 ⁿ	59%	63%	58%	59%	64%	60%	60%	57%	63%	59%	59%	60%
								+	-					-				
Mail	73%	7-1%	69%	75%	70%	72%	73%	72%	73%	73%	70%	7.1%	66%	74%	76%	69%	73%	
T1 I 4	17.07	++	1:10/	+		70%	25%	50%	4 *20/	49%	48%	4.497	47%	13%	++ 49%	4.1%	4.1%	++ 49%
The Internet	16%	17%	13%	46%	-16%	++++	25%	50% ++	43%	49%	48%	4.1%	475	4.5%	49%	4.1%	4.1%	49%
Posters	55%	58%	50°.	57%	55%	56%	56%	57%	55%	58%	18%	56%	49%	56%	59%	53%	55%	
		+ + +									_				+ +			+
Fairs and exhibits in y	-19%	19^{n_p}	19%	18%	51%	51%	47%	52%	47%		47%	-18%	13%	46%	54%	4.1%	47%	
our area	r		53%	56%	52%	57%	52%	+ 57%	52%		50%	53%	17%	50%	++++	17%	53%	+++
Government offices in y our area	5-1%	54%	03°n	90%	52%	07%	02%	070i ++	0.10		50 a	335	110	00 a	++++	11.0	004	++++
Other (specify one)	$O^{u_{\rm ef}^*}$	$1^{n_{\rm b}}$	O_{c}^{μ}	1%	$0^{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}}$	1%	$O^{\psi}_{\ 0}$	1.11	() ⁿ '		1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK NR	1%	$Q^{\nu}_{\ II}$	1%	1*	O ⁿ 'ii	0%	$0^{n'}_{\ \mu}$	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	$0^{n_{\rm st}}$	1%
								+	-									
TELEPHONE/FAX	1%	1"0	1%	1"0	20	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
GENERAL MEETINGS	1%	1%	1%	1%	14	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
DEPONIS STOTES IN DEPO					(10)	00'		. 11/	(10)	- 0%	/01	014	++	0%	0%	10	0.00	
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	$0^{n'_0}$	1"u	0 ⁿ	1%	0^d	0_{v_i}	ik'	1^{ν}	0%	9%	2%	0%	1%	0.2	0%	1%	0%	0%
TO BAND OFFICE	0 4 ii	0%	1%	$O^{n_{\rm il}}$	1%	0°,	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
						()							(01)					
Chi ² :		- 3	5	- 4	1	(, 01) -1	1	-	3	- 4	7	4	(, 0 1) 5	1	4	5	5	4
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-	·+	ł	4	3	4		4	э	4	-	5	5	4

02/03-18

There are a number of different ways the fovernment of Canada can provide information to Canadians. 4 am going to read vin a fixt of ways, and I'd like you to tell me for each one if it's useful to you.

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane 1	Gen Pop
COMM Unweighted n: Television	1423 84%	454 81%	
Radio	72%	72%	72%
Weekly newspapers	65%	6.1"	66%
Daily newspapers	60%	6] ⁿ ii	59%
Mail	73%	71%	73%
The Internet	46%	- 1 1%	17%
Posters	56%		
Fairs and exhibits in your area	49°°	49%	+1 49%
Government offices in y our area	5. 1 %	53ªª	54%
Other (specify one)	$\Theta^{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}$	1%	O ⁶ ;
(DO NOT READ) DK NR	140	$\Theta^{\eta}{}_{\rm P}$	1 ⁿ .
TELEPHONE/ FAX] ""	1 ⁿ .	1 °.
PANPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	1%	$\Theta^{a}{}_{\mu}$	1 "0
GENERAL MEETINGS	1 ^a .	$\Theta^{n_{11}}$	
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS	$0^{n_{\rm c}}$	$\Theta^{\mu \prime}{}_{\mu}$	i +
TO BAND OFFICE	0°.	Ω^{n}_{μ}	I"n t
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	- 5	3
bounts atonna 20.8-	3	5	

INAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you just picked, which one is the MOST useful?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEI	NDER			INCOME					(DUCAT)	LON	
	Total	BC	АНЬ	Sask	Man	Out	Que	At1	Ma1e	Fem		10-19		30-49	50+		Some HS	115	Co11	Univ
PREF1 Prompt with the lis																				
Unweighted n:	1375 -10%	133	161 - 38%	327 	323 41%	152 36%	144 37%	135 39%	604 40%	771	271 46%	274 37%	227 44%	241 37%	193 35%	186 47%	490 42%	327 38%	220 35%	144 35%
Television	10 a	11%	30.0	13.0	41%	300	31.0	30%	100	10.8	10.8		11/0	315	20%	41/6	1.0	3.5%	30%	2020
Radio	9%	8%	9%	1.1%	10%	7%	6º	2%	10%	9%	8%	12%	9%	7%	7%	11%	10%	6%	7%	11%
		=	1341	++++			•••					++			-	50				
Weekly newspapers	5%	5%	2%	5%	6%	9% +++	-1%	1%	1%	5%	5%	5%	-1%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	1%
Daily newspapers	12%	11%	18 ^{n/}	6%	14%	10%	7%	21%	11%	12%	10%	15%	12%	7%	15%	9%	12%	12%	13%	11%
			+++				-	++++				+								
Marí I	10^{n_0}	10%	7%	11%	9%	13%	16%	7%	8%	12%	12%	9%	11%	11%	9%	12%	9%	11%	12%	10%
The Internet	10%	11%	9%	7%	5%	14%	++	1.1%	11%	++	6%	5%	8%	16%	17%	4%	7%	11%	15%	16%
me Internet	10.0	11.0				1-1-4 +	+++	+	11/0	574			0.4	++++	++++			110	+++	+++
Posters	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Government offices in y our area	9%	10 ⁴	9%	8%	8%	8%	11%	10%	9К	8%	7%	9%	10%	10%	7%	8%	8%	13% +++	7%	6%
The other one you menti oned	2%	3%	2%	24	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	$\Theta^{n_{ij}}_{\ ii}$	$1_{0}^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	1%	11/1	1%	0%	0%	ľ	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%		0%	• •	0°.
TELEPHONE/FAX	0 ¹¹ 2	0^{d}	Θ^{μ}_{μ}	0 ^w 0	0 ^{4'}	0%	0%	$O^{n'_{ij}}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+++ 09		0%	+ 0%	0%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	$0^{n_{0}}$	$O^{n}_{\ 11}$	0_v^a	0%	0°°	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	04	0%	0"	012
GENERAL MEETINGS	0%	0^{n}_{u}	$\Theta_{a_{1}^{\prime\prime}}$	0%	0 [%] u	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	04	0%	04	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<u>0%</u>
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	01		0%	0%	0"¥
Chi³: ±points around 50%:	3	(. 01) 8	8	5	5	8	8	8	-4	-4	(. 05) 6		7	6	7	(, 01) 7	4	5	7	8

And of the one's you just picked, which one is the MOST useful?

02 03 18

		Li	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT 3	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-51	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mingount	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
PREF1 Prompt with the li																	
Unweighted n: Television	1375 -10%	596 39%	42 31%	$723 \\ -11\%$	265 36∿	370 37%	346 -10%	207 -43%	176 46%		218 44%	109 30%	174 36%	139 35%	794 38%	238 40%	336 43%
Radío	9ª	8%	7%	10º%	8°''	10%	9%	8%	+ 9%		++ 10%	7%	9%	5%	8%	11%	10%
Weekly newspapers	5%	5%	3ª,	54	5%	5%	1º0	8% ++	3%	4%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Daily newspapers	12%	$13^{0'_{0}}$	0%	11 [°]	11%	9%		11%	15%	11%	10%	19% ++	11%	14%	12%	12%	10%
Marí 1	$10^{0'}$	10%	21%	11%	11%			$\partial_{u_{1}^{\prime}}$	9%	20%	9%	12%	10%	7%	11%	11%	8%
The Internet	$10^{\alpha}{}_{\rm H}$	12%	110	7º0	12%	13% ++	-	$7^{n'}$	3%		10%	9%	1.1%		11%	7%	
Posters	2%	1.	0%	2%	2%			1%	1%		$1^{\prime\prime}_{\pm}$	1%	3%		2%		
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	58° 21	I ^a e	្លាំ	20	3%	5.	0 ^{n/} 0	2%	2%	1 %	1 ^{n/} ii	·1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	2^{ν}
Government offices in y	9%	$1\mathrm{O}^{\mathfrak{u}_{D}^{*}}$	17 ^{.6} .	S°5	13%		6%	8%	10%	9%	7%	11%	9%	8%	9%	12%	
our area The other one you menti	2%	2%	010 200	2a. 2.0	1%			2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%		
oned (DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1 ""	$O^{\prime\prime}_{\cdot0}$	0^{d}	1%	0.0	1%	1"	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
TELEPHONE/FAX	0%	0%	0%	0%	0°	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0%	$O^{n_0^\prime}$	$O^{n_{\mu}}$	O ⁿ	0%	0%	() ^w	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
GENERAL NEETINGS	0%	$0^{n_{\rm ff}^\prime}$	$O^{n_{\rm H}}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	$O_{\cdot 0}^{q_0'}$	0%	0%	0%	0°	0%	0%
PERSONAL VISITS/1N-PERS ON	0°°	$0^{\alpha_{u}}$	$\Theta^{n_{\rm H}'}$	0%	0%	0%	$0^{n_0^\prime}$	0°0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	(, 01) 4	15	4	(, 05) 6		5	7	7	(, 05) 8	7	9	7	8	- 3	. 6	5

And of the one's you just picked, which one is the MOST useful?

KIDS SMOKER INTERNET CONTACTED CANADIAN RELATIONSHIP CANADIAN GOVERNMENT BAND GOVERNMENT Total Yes No No Better Worse Same Bad Neith Good Bad Neith Good No Yes Yes No Yes PREF1 Prompt with the list if necessary Unweighted n: 1375 981 720 564468 171 679 356 560 391 734 636 651 811 457 530380 414 38% 12% 33% 32% 45% 39% 30% 43% 36% 39% 39% 10% 44% 38% 46% 44% 40% 43% Television ++ ++++ ++++ ++ _ ++ 9% 11% 7^{*}_{0} 7% 10% 10% 9%8% 11% 10% 8% -9% 9% 9% 8% 9% Radio 9% 8% + + _ ____ ++5% 5%4% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 6% 1% 6% -1% 6% 5% Weekly newspapers 5% 5% ·1% -1% Daily newspapers 12% 12% 11% 11% 13% 11% 12% 13% 11% 12% 11% 11% 12% 11% 12% 13% 11% 11% Mail 10% 11% 9%11% 10% 10% 11% 12% 9% 10% 12% 10% 10% 10% 10% 11% 11% 10% 1 The Internet 10° 10°. 8% 8% 11% 18%2% 12% 8% 9%u 12% 10% 14% 8% 9% 9% 9% 11% +++ ++++ +++ _ + ____ _ ____ 1% 1% Posters 2% 000 11/ 2% 1% 2% 110 2% 1% 3% 2% 1% 3% 1% 2% 2% ++ ++ -----_ ÷ 3% 20 1% 2% 3% 1% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 2% 1% 2% 2% Fairs and exhibits in y 2% 290 ++ our area 1++ _____ + _ -9%7% 10% 10% 10% 8% 8% 8% 11% 9% 6% 11% Government offices in y - **G**A] $S^{n_{\theta}}$ $11^{v_{\rm H}}$ 10% 8% 8% _ ++ our area + 2% 3% 1% 3% 1% 1% 2^{N_0} 2% 2% 3% 1% 0% 2% 2% 1% The other one you menti 20 2% 1% oned ++ _ _ + +++ ____ + 2% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 0% (DO NOT READ) DK/NR 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1% ++ _ ŧ 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0^{w}_{0} 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% TELEPHONE/FAX 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% PAMPHILETS/NEWSLETTERS 0% $O_{n_1}^{n_2}$ 0. 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% GENERAL MEETINGS 0% 0^{n_0} $0^{\rm W}_{\rm b}$ 0% -0% -0% 0% -0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% PERSONAL VISITS / IN-PERS 0% $0^{n_{p}}$ 0% 0.0 0% 0° 0^{62} II 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% **O%** 0% $0^{n_{v}}_{.0}$ ON (. 05) (.05)Chi²: _ (.10)(.01)(.01)_ 5 7 5 5 5 5 3 4 5 -4 ±...points around 50%: 3 3 1 4 4 4 -1 - 1

PAGE: 95

And of the one's you just picked, which one is the MOST useful?

SAMPLE TYPE

1	otal	Panel	Gen	Pop

PREF1 Prompt with the list	if nece	ssary	
Unweighted n:	1375	-138	937
Television	10^{w}	38^{*}_{*}	11°6
Radio	9%	8 ^{n/} 0	10%
Weekly newspapers	5%	$1_{n}^{n_{n}^{\prime}}$	5%
Daily newspapers	12%	17%	9%
Maí 1	10%	12%	10%
The Internet	10%	$9^{n_0^\prime}$	10%
Posters	2%	2%	2%
Fairs and exhibits in y	2%	3n/i	1%
our area		ţ	-
Government offices in y	$9v_0^*$	π ⁿ o.	10_{-0}
our area		-	+
The other one you menti	2%	0%	20
oned			+ +
(DO NOT READ) DK, NR	$1^{n'}$	$0_{a_{1}^{\prime\prime}}$	1%
TELEPHONE/FAX	0^{n_0}	0%	0°
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	$O_{\alpha}^{n'}$	$\Theta^{o}{}_{\mu}$	0%
GENERAL MEETINGS	$O^{i\nu}_{0}$	Q°.,	04
PERSONAL_VISITS/1N-PERS ON	$O^{\mathbf{a}_{v}}$	O ⁿ	04
Chi ² :		(.01)	
±. points around 50%	3	5	3

.

INAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you picked, which one is the second MOST useful?

					REG10N				GE!	DER			INCOME					EDUCATI	LON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	•\$10K		20-29		50)		Some HS	lis	Co11	Univ
PREF2 Prompt with the li Unweighted n:	st íľ ne 1304	cessary 124	155	312	302	145	137	129	571	733	256	261	214	230	187	167	469	314	210	138
Television	22%	24%	27%	19%	19%	27%	$2 1^{n_0}$	26%	23%	22%	22%	25%	20%	24%	20%	22%	24%	22%	20%	22%
Radio	21%	16%	21%	27% +++	20%	18%	21%	15%	22%	20%	24%	22%	21%	20%	17%	29%		19%	18%	15%
Weekly newspapers	9%	8%	9%	13%	8%	9%	7%	7%	9%	10%	11%	8%	7%	8%	12%	8%	10%	7%	11%	8%
Daily newspapers	15%	15%	1-1%	9%	20% +++	1.1%	13%	19%	14%	15%	16%	1-1%	15%	16%	13%	10%	15%	16%	13%	17%
Mail	10%	12%	10%	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%
The Internet	9%	$10^{\rm eV}_{\rm eff}$	6%	7%	8%	8%	9%	1.1% ++	7%	10%		8%	9%	9%	13%	2%	6%	11%	12%	1 1%
Posters	5%	1%	6%	1%	6%	3%	7%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	3%	54	5%	4%	6%	5%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	<u>0</u> 60	<u>.</u> 	200	1%	3 %	2%	5% ++	· 1 %	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	21	6 I%	2%	5%	-
Government offices in y	$6^{a_{\mu}}$	700	<u>0</u> %	8%	$6^{\prime\prime}_{}$	10°	1%)	2%	8% ++	5%		1%	10%	1%	6%	81	6%	8%	3%	
our area The other one you menti- oned	1_v^n	$\Theta_{0}^{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}}$	$1^{(i)}$	n/ ti	1 ^{6.7} 0	0%	$\Theta^{n_{\rm c}}_{\rm s}$		1%					0%	1%	1%	6 1%	0%	0°,	
(DO NOT READ) DK NR	I na	21/1	1 ^{n;} 0	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	29		0%	1%	1%
TELEPHONE/FAX	$0^{n_{\rm H}}$	0°0	$0_{\theta_{1}}^{0}$	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09	6 0%	0%	0%	0%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	$0^{a_{\mu}}$	$\Theta^{n_{\theta}}$	0%	0%	0 ^{.0}	0 %	$\Theta^{\mathfrak{n}'_0}$	0 ^w 0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0°0	0%	0%	0%
GENERAL MEETINGS	0°°	0^{n_0}	0º.0	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0 %	O ⁿ t,	0٣
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0%	0%	0°0	0^{n_0}	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09	6 O%	0%	0.0	$0^{n_{_{\rm fl}}}$
Chi²: ±,,points around 50%:	3	(, 05) 9	8	6	6	8	8	9	4	-1	-6	6	7	6	7	(, 01) 8		6	7	8

And of the one's you picked, which one is the second MOST useful?

		1.7	ANGUAGE				AGE			EMP1.	EMPLOYMENT STATUS						
	Tota1	Eng	Fi	Abor	$\langle 25$	25~34	35-11	15-54			Skilled	Service		Minguini t	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
PREF2 Prompt with the li																	
Unweighted n: Television	1304 22%	573 22%	40 23%	681 22%	256 24%	359 21%	329 23%	191 22%	157 22%	142 21%	203 22%	106 22%	163 - 21%	131 18%	751 21%	229 26%	317 23%
Radio	21^{w}_{-}	17%	13%	24%	16%		12%	27% ++	21%	26%	21%	21%	21%	18%	224	17%	21%
Weekly newspapers	9%	9%	3%	10%	9%		9%		10%	6%	11%	11%	8%	7%	8%	11%	9%
Daily newspapers	15%	16%	13%	13%	1.4%	14%	16%	14%	15%	18%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	12%	1.1%
Mari 1	10%	9%	13%	10%	10%	12%	9%	9%	11%	9%	12%	11%	6%	16%	11%	10%	9%
The Internet	9%	11% +++	13%	6%	14% ++++	9%	9%	4%	1%	6%	6%	6%	10%		8%	9%	9%
Posters	5%	5%	13% ++	5%	5%	6%	1%	3%	6%	5%	1%	1%	7%	4%	5%	4%	6%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	2%	3%	5%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Government offices in y our area	6 ¹⁹ / ₁₁	$6^{\eta_0'}$	5%	6%	·1%	64	5%	9% +	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	-1%
The other one you menti	1%	1"" + +	$O^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{li}}}$	0%	0%	1%	1 %	1 "0	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
oned (DO_NOT_READ)_DK/NR	1 %	$O^{n_{\rm H}}$	3%	1%	0"	1 %	1"0	15	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	l n/	0%	1%
TELEPHONE. FAX	$\Theta^{n\prime}_{\ \alpha}$	$O^{\alpha_{10}}$	0^{a_b}	0~"	0%	O ^{ny} ii	0^{n_d}	$O_{0}^{n_{0}}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0°.	$O^{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{d}}^{*}}$	() ⁿ '	0 [%]	0%	0%	0%	0%	0º%	0%	O%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
GENERAL MEETINGS	0°.	0°°	$0^{a_0'}$	0%	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 [%]
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0 °.	0 °	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ^z : ±points around 50%:	3	(, 01) -1	15	·1	- 6	5	5	7	8		7	10	8	8	-1	6	6

INAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you picked, which one is the second MOST useful?

02+03-18

	К		5	SMOKER		INTERNET		CONTACTED		CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSIITP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND GOVERNMENT			
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good	
PREF2 Prompt with the lis																			
Unweighted n: Television	1304 22%	939 22%	365 23%	702 22%	599 23%	632 22%	670 23%	$\frac{542}{21\%}$	$\frac{762}{23\%}$	447 24%	157 22%	646 22%	$\frac{333}{22\%}$	130 20%	510 24%	362 22%	392 20%	$\frac{531}{24\%}$	
Radio	21%	20^{q}	22%	20%	22%	16%	25%	19%	22%	20%	13%	24%	1.1%	25%	190	19%	22%	21%	
Weekly newspapers	9%	Ω^{μ_0}	9°0	9%	10ª	$9^{n_1^{\prime\prime}}$	++++ 10 ⁿ	11%	+ 8%	7%	1.1%	9%	11%	+1+ 10%	7%	10%	9%	$9^{u_{\mu}}$	
Daily newspapers	15%	16 [#]	11%	1.1ª	15ª	15%	15%	+ 1-1%	- 15%		++ 12%	15%	18%	13%	15%	15%	17%	13%	
Mari 1	10%	++ 10%	10ª	$11^{\rm tr}_{\rm ~\Theta}$	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	11%	+ 13%	10%	8%	12%	9%	10%	
The Internet	9^{6}	8%	$9^{a_0^a}$	94	8%	11%	34	1 () ⁰ "	8%	10%	1.1%	7%	+ 9%	8%	9%	8%	84	10%	
Posters	5^{α}	5%	5%	6%	1%	++++ 5%	ā în	·1%	6%	-1%	++ 3%	5%	5%	1%	6%	6%	1%	5%	
Fairs and exhibits in y	2^{n_0}	<u>1</u> 0'	Qă'	3"	2%	200	200	21	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	
our area Government offices in y	6%	$6^{n_{\pi}^{*}}$	\vec{U}_{i}^{0}	$6^{\alpha_{\rm tr}}$	6%	6%	$6^{\rm nc}$	8% ++	5%		5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	1%	7%	7%	
our area The other one you menti	1%	1%	1%	1 %	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	+ 1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	- %	14	0%	
oned (DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1 "6	1 10	1%	1%	0%	++ 1"	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	
TELEPHONE/FAX	0%	0%	$\theta_{\rm ut}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.4	+++ 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0%	0%	0%	0°″	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0۴	0%	0 %	0%	
GENERAL MEETINGS	0%	Out	0%	0%	0%	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS	0%	0%	0°0	0%	0°°	0°.	0%	04	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
ON − Chi ^z : ±points around 50%:	3	- 3	5	-4	4	(, 01) -1	-1	- -1	1	(, 01) 5	8	-1	(. 10) 5	5	1		5	4	

INAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you picked, which one is the second MOST useful?

		SAMPLE TYPE					
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop				
PREF2 Prompt with the list							
Unweighted n: Television	1304 22%	426 23%	878 22%				
Radio	21%	200	21%				
Weekly newspapers	9%	8%	10%				
Daily newspapers	15%	16%	11%				
Marí 1	10%	12^{h}_{-0}	9^{u_0}				
The Internet	9%	7^{n_0}	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathrm{tt}}^{\mathrm{o}}$				
Posters	5%	5°0	5%				
Fairs and exhibits in y	thu: D 0	* 941 too 1					
our area Government offices in y	6^{0}_{-0}	$6^{n_{H}}$	6%				
our area The other one you menti	1%	$\bar{Q}^{\eta_0^*}$	1%				
oned (DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	$\Theta^{n'_0}$	1%				
TELEPHONE/FAX	0ª:	$\Theta^{u_0'}$	$0^{a_{0}^{\prime}}$				
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	$U^{\eta_{d}'}$	$0^{a_{\rm ff}}$	0^{μ_0}				
GENERAL MEETINGS	$0^{\prime\prime}{}_{\nu}$	$\Theta^{n_{0}}$	$U^{n_{\rm eff}}$				
PERSONAL VISITS/1N-PERS ON	$0^{n_{\phi}}$	$0^{a_{\nu}}$	$0^{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}}$				
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	3	- 5	3				

02/03.18

And of the one's you picked, which one is the third MOST useful?

	REGION							GENDER INCOME							EDECAT ION						
	Total	₿C	Alb	Sask	- Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	(IIS	Some 115	IIS	Coll	Unív	
PREF3 Prompt with the lis																	,				
Unweighted n: Television	1171 13%	108 18%	139 9%	275 13%	276 13%	130 15%	120 14%	123 12%	515 10%	656 16%	221 11%	237 14%	194 13%	207 12%	169 17%	146 8%	430 13%	280 16%	184 15%	126 11%	
Radio	22%	21%	23%	21%	22%	25%	21%	24%	23%	++++ 22%	28%	21%	15%	24%	+ 18%	28%	27%	19%	15%	20%	
Weekly newspapers	13%	10%	10%	13%	1.1%	18%	11%	11%	14%	12%	13%	14%	16%	11%	12%	11%		11%	13%	17%	
Daily newspapers	13%	13%	1.1%	12%	15%	+ 7%	15%	9%	12%	13%	12%	11%	16%	16%	10%	15%	12%	16%	8%	13%	
Ma í 1	11%	$10^{n'_{10}}$	13%	1 1%	12%	8%	9%	9%	12%	11%	13%	12%	10%	9%	9%	15%	11%	10%	11%	11%	
The Internet	8%	10 ⁿ 0	9%	10%	1%	7°2	8%	11%	8%	9%	6%	8%	8%	11%	9%	3%	9%	9%	12%	6%	
Posters	8 ⁿ /.	6%	9%	8ª6	10%	7%	5%	1%	8%	7%	5%	9%	8%	7%	10%	10%	7%	9%	++ 6%	10%	
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	3ª0	2%	⊖n/ ₩ 0	P	3%	2%	8% +++	6% +	·1% ++	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	5%	3%	
Government offices in y our area	6%	$\cdot 1_{0}^{n_{0}^{\prime}}$	6%	5%	6%	9%	6%	11%	7%	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%	9% +	5%	6%	5%	11%	6%	
The other one you montioned	1%	-30- 30-	1%	1%	15	<u>196</u>	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3% ++	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	
(ĐO NOT READ) DK NR	1%	1%	2ª0	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4% ++++	1%	1%	0%	0%	3% ++		1%	1%	$O^{n\prime}{}_{0}$	
TELEPHONE/ FAX	Θ_{a^0}	0%	0°º	0%	0%	0%	() ^a	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
PANPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0%	$O^{a_{\mu}}$	(ሆኑ	$O^{\nu_{p}}$	0%	θ^{0_0}	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	$\theta_{\ 0}^{\prime\prime}$	
GENERAL NEETINGS	$\Theta^{n_0'}$	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0۴	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% 0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0%	$\Theta^{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{u}}}$	() ⁴ 0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	O.R	()" ^w	
Chí ² :		-							. 10		(. 05)					(, 01)					
±.,points around 50%:	3	9	8	6	6	9	9	9	4	4	7	6	7	7	8	8	5	6	7	9	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 101

And of the one's you picked, which one is the third MOST useful?

LANGUAGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AGE EMPLOYMENT STATUS Total Eng – Fi – Abor (25 – 25-31 – 35-11 – 45-54 – 55) Labour SkilledService Prof. Mugnant Employ Unempl Not LF PREF3 Prompt with the list if necessary 525Unweighted n: 1171 35 603 244319 294 170 137121 184 90 152121 674 204 -28913% 15% 17% $12^{\prime\prime}$ 15% 13% 15% 12% D_{0}^{0} 15% 13% 17% 15% 15% 15% 11% 11% Television ł Radio 22% 21% 31% 23% 22% 25% 220 2200 19% 25% 23% 20% 17% 24% 31% 25% 21% Weekly newspapers 13% 12% 23% 12% 10% 12% 12% 15% 17% 13% 17% 12% 13% 8% 13% 10% 12% - 4 13% 12% 9% 13% 12% 13% 12% 1.1% 15% 13% 10% 11% 12% 13% 12% 12% 15% Daily newspapers 9% 15% 11% 9% 11% 11% 12% 11% 12% Mail 11% 12% 11% -9% 13% 9% 12% 12% 11% 84 9% 0^{n} 8% 12% 7% 9%6% ·1% 5% 7% 10% 10% 8% 9% 8% The Internet _ + + 7% 8% 11% 8% 8% $0^{n_{\rm H}}$ 8% 8% 7% 9% 7% 6% 7% 9% 8% 8% 7% Posters _ 1% 2№ 2% 2% 3% 5% Fairs and exhibits in v 3% 3% 3% 3% 11/1 3% 3^{a_0} 3% 1% 2% 3% our area + _ Government offices in v 8° 6% 5% 61 5% $S^{i\xi}$ 6% 7% 7% 8% 3% 7% 7% 7% 6% 5% -6% our area The other one you menti 1" 0^{∞} 24 1% 1" 3% 1% 1% 0% 2% 1% 5% 0% 2% 1% 1% 10 1++ 1.1 oned (DO NOT READ) DK (NR 1% 1% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 3% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 3% +++ ____ - 4 TELEPHONE/FAX $()^{a}$ O_{0}^{4} O_{11}^{0} 0^{*}_{0} 0.0 $0^{a'}$ $0^{n'}$ 0% 0% 0% ()% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS $\Theta_{\mu}^{\mu\nu}$ $0^{it'}$ 0°; 0% 0% 0%0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% GENERAL MEETINGS 0% O_{0}^{0} 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% $0^{n'}_{11}$ 0^{n} 0% $0^{i\nu}$ 0% O^4 O_{π}^{0} 0% $0^{n_{\theta}}$ 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% PERSONAL VISITS IN-PERS 0% $0^{0'}_{0}$ 0% 0^{v} 0% 05 ON Chi≟∶ -5 8 9 7 10 8 9 -1 7 -6 ±...points around 50%: 3 - 1 17 -1 6 6 8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you picked, which one is the third MOST useful?

02.03.18

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAÐ1A	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI) GOVER	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
PREF3 Prompt with the li Unweighted n:	st if ne	cessary 841	330	628	540	585	584	495	676	103	140	582	287	384	473	311	353	-189
Television	13%	14%	10%	1.1%	13%	16%	11%	17%	11%		13%	12%	15%	11%	14%	1.4%	13%	
Radio	22%	22%	24%	22%	23%	19%	26%	21%	23%	24%	21%	22%	20%	25%	22%	22%	21%	23%
Weekly newspapers	$13^{\prime\prime}$	13 ⁿ	12%	12%	13%	12%	13	11%	1.1%		10%	1.1%	13%	11%	14%	12%	12%	1.1%
Daily newspapers	13%	11°. _	15% +	13%	12%	11%	1 1º	11%	E3%		15%	1.1%	11%	17% +++	10%	12%	1.1%	12%
Mail	11 [°]	$\Pi^{\rm tc}_{\rm p}$	13%	11 ^{n/} u	12^{a_0}	10%	$13a^{\circ}$	0 ⁴	13%		11%	13%	10%	12%	11%	12%	12%	10%
The Internet	$S^{a_{11}}$	$\Theta^{a_{ij}}$	6°	∂a_i^0	1 00	13º;; + + + +	3.0	8%	8%	9%	9°:	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	9%	8.1
Posters	$\mathbf{S}^{n'_{q}}$	8%	6%	7%	8%	\tilde{t}^{w_0}	9% 9	8¶.	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9% 9%	7%	9%	7%	7%
Fairs and exhibits in y our area	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	$3^{w_{\rm fr}}$	3%	3%	·1%	0ª/	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Government offices in y our area	6ª"	7%	6^{n}_{μ}	7 º 0	$G^{n_{0}}_{\ u}$	8%	5°.	8% ++	5%	7%	8%	6%	7%	1%	8% +	6%	7%	7%
The other one you mentioned	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	I to	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1 °o	2%	1%	1%	1%	2% + +	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2% +++	1%	1%	2% ++
TELEPHONE/FAX	0%	0%	0°u	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
PAMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
GENERAL MEETINGS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS ON	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²∶ ±points around 50%:	3	3	5	- 4	4	. 01 -1	-1	. 05 -1	4	- 5	8	4	(. 05) 6	5	5	- 6	5	4

HNAC Study - March 2002 And of the one's you picked, which one is the third MOST useful?

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
PREF3 Prompt with the lis			
Unweighted n: Television	1171 13%	380	791
lefevision	13%	1-1"0	13%
Radio	22%	23%	22%
Weekly newspapers	13%	$1 \cdot h_0^{\mu_0}$	125
Daily newspapers	13%	10%	113
kai t	11%	- E1%	+ 11%
The Internet	8%	\mathbf{S}_{0}^{n}	S ⁵
Posters	$\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{n}_0^*}$	7^{n_0}	8%
airs and exhibits in your area	3%	3%	3%
overnment offices in y our area	$6^{n_{\rm b}}$	7 %	6ª,
he other one you menti	1%	1 11	0n 2
oned (DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1 ^{n/} 1	24
TELEPHONE/FAX	0^{a_0}	$\theta^{a'}_{\ u}$	$0^{n'}$
AMPHLETS/NEWSLETTERS	0%	$\Omega^{n_{0}}_{0}$	0%
GENERAL MEETINGS	O %	O ^{ay} n	0%
PERSONAL VISITS/IN-PERS	0%	0°°	0%
Chi*:		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

2

PAGE: 104

.

02/03.18

Of the information that you receive from the federal government, would you prefer to get it from the Government of Canada generally, or from Indian and Northern Affairs specifically?

					REGION				GEN	DER			1 NCOME				ŧ	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	3019	50+	্যাহ	Some 11S	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q28																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	1.17	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Government of Canada ge	35%	31%	36%	35%	-40%	36%	26%	32%	39%	31%	33%	34%	32%	39%	39%	32%	3.1%	33%	41%	36%
nerally					+ +				+++										++	
Indian and Northern Alf	4.1%	3.1%	13%	11%	13%	13%	56¥	19%	11%	17%	-19%	46%	45%	12%	38%	49%	17%	4.1%	38%	39%
airs specifically							4.4.4		_	+ +	+				-					
Does not matter	19%	31%	$20^{a_{0}}$	$20^{n_{0}}$	1.1%	21%	17%	17%	18%	21%	16%	18%	22%	18%	20%	17%	$7^{n'}_{0}$	21%	18%	23%
		+ + + +																		
Don't want any informat	$O^{a_{\alpha}}$	1 ⁿ /	Θ^{n_0}	0 ^{n/} 11	0%	$O^{\mathbf{a}'}_{-\mathrm{tr}}$	$O^{\eta}\!f_{1}$	0°4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	O.%	O^{ν_u}	0%
ion from government		+++																		
DK/NR		2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1 %
					+															
Chi 4 :		(.01)							(, 05)		-					-				
\pm points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	-1	3	- 6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Minginin t	Employ	l'nempl	Not LF
Q28																	
Unweighted n	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Government of Canada ge	35%	36%	$19^{0'}_{$	35%	31%	36%	35%	38%	33%	36%	35%	31%	39%	38%	36%	38%	29%
nerally			_														_
Indian and Northern Aff	·[·] ⁿ o	12%	56%	46%	51%	10%	42%	15%	48%	10%	41%	41%	37%	-11%	41%	19%	51%
airs specifically		-			+ +												+++
Does not matter	$19^{n_{\rm H}}$	230	26%	16%	17%	23%	21%	1.5%	16%	22%	19%	26%	21%	19%	21%	13%	18%
		* *				++		-							++		
Don't want any informat	$\Theta^{n_{n}}$	$0^{a_{it}}$	0^{α}	$0^{n_{0}}$	0ª.,	() ⁿ ,	() ⁿ .	$\Omega^{n_{0}}$	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0º	0%	0%	0%
ion from government									+ + +		++						
DK NR	26	1 1/4	$O^{a_{1}}$	21/	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	5. 5	1 ⁿ '	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
		—		+ +													
Chi * :		(, 05)			(. 10)										(, 05)		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	-1	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

02/03/18

Of the information that you receive from the federal government, would you prefer to get it from the Government of Canada generally, or from Indian and Northern Affairs specifically.

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1A	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RMMENT	BANI	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Na	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Goud
Q28																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	7.19	586	837	176	181	707	373	476	510	397	430	573
Government of Canada ge	35%	36%	31%	34%	36%	35%	34%	35%	3.1%	33%	36%	36%	42%	34%	31%	10%	38%	28%
nerally		+	-										* * +			+ +	+	
Indian and Northern Alf	1.1%	13%	16%	16%	13%	1.1%	15%	13%	15%	47%	15%	12%	36%	42%	52%	37%	42%	51%
airs specifically												-			+1++			++++
Does not matter	1.9°0	1.0%	20%	19%	20%	1.9%	$19^{v_{\scriptscriptstyle U}}$	20%	19%	17%	17%	20%	21%	21%	16%	21%	18%	[9%
Don't want any informat	$O_{ii}^{a'}$	$O_{\rm ef}^{n_{\rm ef}}$	1 °C	Q ^e u	$O^{a_{\ell}}$	0%	$O^{n'_{ij}}$	0%	$O_{ii}^{n_{\ell}}$	0%	ny o	O%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
ion from government			* * *		+								+++			+++		
DK/NR	말만	10°	2%	2%	1 %	2%	1%	$2^{\rm H}_{\rm H}$	1 ⁰⁷	2%	1 %	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
				+ +														
Chi*:		(. 05)		(. 05)		-		-		-			(. 01)			(. 01)		
±,.points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-1	-1	-1	4	3	4	7	-1	5	4	4	5	5	-1

		SAMPL	E TYFE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q28			
Unweighted n:	1423 =	454	969
Government of Canada generally	35%	37%	3.3%
Indian and Northern Aff- airs specifically	1.1%	11º0	-16°5
Does not matter	19%	20%	19%
Don't want any information from government	0%	(\mathbf{h}_{θ})	0%
DK./NR	2.0	1%	20
Chi * :		_	
±,.points around 50%:	3	5	3

1NAC Study - March 2002 First Nations leaders or organizations

					REGION				GEN	NDF.R			1 NCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At I	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	ाs	Some HS	HS	Coll	Dniv
Q29A Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1-123 16%	1-1-4 17%	163 19%	335 16%	335 18%	160 16%	1 17 7%	139 16%	624 17%	799 16%	280 16%	287 14%	230 20%	249 17%	196 19%	195 17%	505 15%	335 13%	226 19%	149 21%
NODERATELY	29%	30%	31%	32%	29%	22%	26%	29%	29%	29%	25%	36%	27%	29%	30%	27%	29%	30%	28%	30%
RELIABLE	53%	51%	19%	49%	51%	59%	63% +++	53%	52%	53%	57% +		52%	52%	51%	50%	54%	57%	50%	47%
l Not at all reliable	8%	9%	12%	7%	10%	6%	3%	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	12%	9%	9%	10%	9%	5%	10%	7%
2	1%	·] ⁰ 0	5%	5%	1%	3%	1%	1%	5%	3%	-1%	5%	3%	-1°,	1%	4%	5%	2%	3%	7%
3	•] <i>u</i> ⁱⁱ	1%	210/	·1°°	.1%	8%	3%	1%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	7%	3%	2%	6%	6%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	29º%	$30^{a_{\mu}}$	31%	32%	29%	22%	26%	39%	29%	29%	25%	36%	27%	29%	30%	27%	29%	30%	28%	30%
5	17°°	24%	$1\overline{t}^{n}$	1.1%	16 ⁿ	18%	18%	19%	19%	16%	19%	14%	20%	19%	19%	12%	15%	24%	19%	17%
6	13%	10%	13%	11%	10%	19%	22%	13%	13%	1.4%	12%	11%	12%	15%	15%	11%	13%	12%	16%	16%
7 Completely reliable	22%	17%	19%	24%	21%	22%	24%	21%	21%	23%	26%	23%	20%	18%	17%	27%	27%	21%	15%	13%
DK∕NR Chi²∶	20	2%] ⁿ / ₀	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	6% ++++ (. 05)		1%	2%	1%
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1390	141	162	326	327	155	142	137	613	777	276	-		245	195	184	-	332	221	147
Mean:	4.76	4.55	1.53	4.76	4.69	4.94	5.21	4.74	4.73	4.79	4.87	4.75	4.59	4.65	4.61	4.79	4.85	4.87	4.57	4.48
Std dev:	1.77	1.71	1.85	1.77	1.86	1.71	1.50	1.74	1.72	1.80	1.81	1.71	1.88	1.74	1,72	1.93	1.85	1.56	1.75	1.69
Student's t:	*	-	. 10	-	-	-	. 01	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 10	, 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. 7 Les Associés de recherche Ekos

		E	ANGUAGE				AGE		I		EMP1.	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-14	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mognat	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q29A Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 16%	612 16%	43 5%	754 17%	271	377 13%	356 22%	219 19%	185 22% ++	155 12%	222 18%	112 11%	180 21%		819 46%	245 14%	348 18%
NODERATELY	29%	27%	21%	31%	26%	28%	31%	28%	32%		30%	28%	32%		29%	27%	30%
RELIABLE	53%	56%	70%	+ -19%	65%	56%	16%	53%	41%	53%	50%	59%	46%	58%	53%	58% +	
1 Not at all reliable	8%	7%	0%	10 ^{n/}	3%	7%	11%	10%	10%	6%	8.4	5%	7%	8%	7%		
2	1^{ν_0}	200	5.	3%	1.1	2%	5%	6%	6%	3%	5%	2%	-1%	·1%	1%	3%	5%
3	·1%	1º"	2^{ν}	4%	3%	1%	${\bf 6}^{n\prime}_n$	3%	6%	3%	5%	1%	9%	5%	5%	2%	4%
4 Moderately reliable	29%	27%	21%	31%	26%	28%	31%	28%	32%	32%	30%	28%	32%	21%	29%	27%	30%
5	17^{n_0}	$19^{a_{\rm tr}}$	16^{0}	16 ^{a/}	20%	22%	$1\cdot\mathbf{I}_{0}^{w}$	15%	12%	17%	16%	25%	12%	. 26%	18%	17%	16%
6	13%	15%	28%	11%	18%	12%	12%	13%	11%	10%	12%	13%	16%	12%	13%	13%	15%
7 Completely reliable	$22_{0}^{a_{0}}$	1) () () 2 2 () 2 2 ()	26%	22%	27%	22%	20%	25%	17%	26%	23%	21%	19%	20%	22%	29%	18%
DK/NR	2m	\mathbf{I}_{0}^{w}	5%	3%	2%	24	1 ⁿ 0	1%	5% ++++		2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Chi ²		(.05)	_		(. 01)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-		-		_
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1390	-1 603	15 -11	4 732	$\frac{6}{266}$	5 368	5 352	7 217	7 175		7 217	9 109	7 177		3 803	6 242	
Weighted if for mean:	4.76	4, 83	5.49	4.66	5, 25	4, 84	4.50	4. 72	4. 41		4.71	4.93	4. 62				
Std dev:	1.77	1.72	1.31	1.82	1.49	1. 68	1.87	1.89	1.82		1.80	1. 57	1. 74	1.75	1.74		
Student's t:	*	-	. 01	. 05	. 01	-	, 01	-	. 01		-	-	-	-	-	, 10	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 108

.

INAC Study - March 2002 First Nations leaders or organizations

02/03/18

		KIDS	Ś	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANAÐ1A	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29A Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 16%	1006 16%	-117 	755 15%	660 17%	667 15%	$\frac{749}{17^{w_a}}$	586 17%	837 16%	176 12%	181	707 16%	373 25%	476 16%	540 10%	397 34%	430 42%	573 7%
MODERATELY	29%	30%	27%	29%	29%	28%	$30^{a_{\rm fr}}$	28%	30%	2.1%	33%	31%	29%	39%	21%	34%	38%	19%
RELIABLE	53%	53%	53%	53%	52%	56% ++	50%	53%	52%	61%	40%	51%	4.1%	+++1 -]-]%	67%	32%	47%	72%
1 Not at all reliable	$8_{0}^{\prime\prime}$	8%	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%	8%	8.	6%	17%	7%	13%	8%	5%	18%	6%	2%
2	1%	1^{v_0}	$-1^{\nu_{\rm H}}$	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	6%	5%	6%	3%	3%	7%	3%	2%
3	1%	\mathbf{l}^{a}_{μ}	5%	34,	5%	$- f^{\prime \prime \prime}_{~~0}$	$\cdot \mathbf{l}_{v}^{n}$	5%	1%	4%	$\cdot 1^{\alpha_0}$	·1%	7%	5%	2%	84	3%	2%
4 Moderately reliable	29^{a_0}	30%	27%	29%	29%	28%	30%	28%	30%	24%	33%	31%	29%	39%	21%	34%	38%	19%
5	17%	17%	18%	17%	18%	21%	14%	17%	18%	19%	13%	18%	17%	15%	20%	15%	14%	21%
6	$13^{\prime\prime}_{\mu}$	13%	4.3%	13%	11%	15%	11%	11%	13%	17%	8%	12%	12%	8%	19%	6%	10%	21%
7 Completely reliable	22%	22%	22%	21%	21%	20%	24^{n_0}	23%	22%	25%	19%	21%	15%	21%	28%	11%	23%	29%
DK/NR	2%	1%	1% + + +	2%	2%	1%	3% ++	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Chi * :		. 05		-		. 05		-		(.01)			, 01			. 01		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	4	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean:	1390	991	399	7.40	6.15	659	727	57.1	816	466	180	691	366	469	529	394	420	559
Mean:	4.76	1.75	4.79	4.78	4.74	1.78	4.74	1.78	4.75	5.05	4.22	4.71	4.30	4.59	5.22	3.81	4.77	5.42
Std_dev: Student's_t:	1.77 *	1.78	1. 74	1.80	1.73	1.70 -	1.83	1.77	1. 77 -	1.66 .01	1.98 .01	1.72	4.85 .01	1.73 .01	1.63 .01	1.84 ,01	1.68	$\begin{array}{c} 1.45\\.01 \end{array}$

INAC Study - March 2002 First Nations leaders or organizations

		SAMP1.	E TYPE	
	Total	Pane 1	Gen Pop	
Q29A				
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969	
NOT RELIABLE	16%	19%	15%	
MODERATELY	29%	+ 	31%	
			++	
RELIABLE	53%	5 ·1 °a	52%	
l Not at all reliable	8%	8º4	8%	
2	-1 ^a / ₁	· Pa	-1°o	
3	-1°0	7°.	3%	
4 Moderately reliable	29%	26%	31%	
5	17%	21%	16%	
6	13%	1.1ª	13%	
7 Completely reliable	22%	19ª.	23%	
DK/NR	2%	1%	3%	
		-	+	
Chi ² :		. 05		
\pm points around 50%:	3	5	3	
Weighted n for mean:	1390			
Mean :	1.76	4.70		
Std dev:	1.77	1.73	1.78	
Student's t:	*	-	-	

02/03/18

.

					REGION				GEN	IDER			1 NCOME				Æ	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	AIP	Sask	Mau	Ont	Que	At 1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some IIS	115	Coll	Iniv
Q29B																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	1.17	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
NOT RELIABLE	27%	26%	3.1%	32%	27%	21%	15%	3.1%	26%	29%	31%	30%	26%	28%	27%	30%	28%	24%	30%	28%
MODERATELY	25%	24%	28%	26%	28%	25%	27%	17%	27%	24%	24%	20%	30%	29%	29%	21%	23%	28%	26%	32%
	10.4	2.0		1010	20.0	000	5		2.0				00	504	10 "		201	000	10.4	+
RELIABLE	45%	46%	37%	11%	44%	53%	58%	-19%	15%	46%	1 1%	49%	13%	11%	1.1%	-16%	.17%	.17%	13%	-10 [%]
					. 74	+	+++					+				0.14		• • • • •		
1 Not at all reliable	16%	17%	23%	18%	17%	9%	0.8	21%	1.1%	18%	18%	20%	17%	17%	11%	21%	17%	144	15%	16%
2	5%	3%	1%	8%	1%	5%	1"	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	.1%	-1%	1%	7%	5%
0	6.0%	6%	7%	70	6%		54	0%	7%	CN	7%	8%		(°D)	10%	5%	-	4107	00/	- 01
3	6 [%]	15"ii	1 %	7%	0.9	6%	5%	8ºu	1.6	6%	1 0	8.2	4%	6%	10%	575	7%	6%	8%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	25%	24%	28%	26∿	28%	25%	27%	17%	27%	24%	24%	20%	30%	29%	29%	21%	23%	28%	26%	32%
5	11%	15ª	$10^{a_{\pm}}$	10%	10%	13%	1 1%	10%	12%	11%	9%	13%	13%	8%	12%	9%	10%	16%	11%	12%
6	12%	13%	84	7%	11%	16%	20^{0}_{-0}	16%	13%	11%	10%	9%	13%	12%	15%	8%	10%	13%	15%	15%
7 Completely reliable	220	$18^{+}_{}$	$20^{a_{\alpha}}$	23%	23%	24%	21%	23%	21%	23%	25%	26%	17%	21%	17%	28%	28%	19%	18%	13%
DK/NR	10 L	3%	1**	1 *	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0%
		+														++++				
Chi ²		(, 01)	0	-	-	0	0	0	-		-		C	6	-	(. 05)		-	-	
±, points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	$\frac{3}{1399}$	8 139	8 161	5 330	5 328	8 157	8 146	8 138	-1 615	3 784	6 275	6 285		0 246	7 196	7 187	4 499	5 333	7 223	8 149
Mean:	4.38	-1.32	4.01	4. 19	4.37	4.73	-1.93	1.30	4. 10	4.35	4. 31	4.38		4.28	4.38	-1.36		-1.42	4.27	4, 15
Std dev:	2.06	2.01	2.13	2.12	2.06	1.89	1.79	2. 22	1.99	2.12	2. 16	2.17		2.06	1.87	2. 28		1.91	2. 01	1. 90
Student's t:	*	-	. 05	. 10		. 05	. 01	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	_	-	-	-	

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS A 110 MILLION OF A Total Eng Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-14 45-54 55) Labour SkilledService Prof Magmat Employ Unempl Not LF Q29B Unweighted n: 1423 612 43 751 271 377 356 219 185 155 222 142 180 143 819 245 348 25% NOT RELIABLE 27% 2.1% 9% 31% 21% 21% 38% 29% 30% 31% 21% 27% 25%26%27% 31% ++++ +++ _ ____ 28% MODERATELY 25% 28% 23% 27% 30% 25% 20% 22% 26%26% 25% 31% 24% 27% 24% 23%____ ++ -63% 45% 18% 49%RELIABLE ·45% -16% 53% 36% 15% 18% 41% 52% 42% 49% 46% 48% 41% ÷+ +++ 4 Not at all reliable 16% 13% 7%20% 9% 12% $2.1^{\circ}_{-0.0}$ 18% 20% 19% 17% 1.4% 13% 13% 15% 16% 20%5% 5% 9% 5% 3% 2 5% 10-0 $(0^{n_{el}})$ 5% 200 11 3% 3% 1% 6% 5% 5% ·]% 6%8% 7% 6% 8% 6% 7%2% $6^{n'}_{\alpha}$ $9^{n'}_{0}$ 3% 8% 6^{w}_{0} 6% 3% 6% 3 27% 25% 26%25% 27%23%4 Moderately reliable 25% 28% 28% 23% 30% 20%22% 26% 31% 21% 24% 5 $11^{0/10}$ 12% 1.1% 11% $10^{n_{0}^{*}}$ 13% 9% 1.1% 12% 15% 10% 16% 9% 16% 13% 8% 10% 13% 6 12%11% $16^{n_{11}}$ 10% 17% 11% 9% 13% 10% 11% 9% 10% 16% 8% 11% 12% 26% 23% 23% 22% 23% 26% 16% 24% 22% 27% 21% 7 Completely reliable 22% 20%33% 24% 23% 18% DK/NR 2%2% $0^{\rm eV}$ 1% 0% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% +++ _ (.01)Chi²: (.01) ±...points around 50%: 3 -4 15 4 6 5 5 7 7 8 7 9 7 8 3 6 5 43 371 353 246 178 153 249 140 178 141 808 243342 Weighted n for mean: 1399 601 743 2714.26 Mean: 4.38 4.47 5.214.26 4.80 4.56 3.894.38 4.294.38 4.23 4.60 4.32 4.51 1.38 4.51 1.87 1.95 2.142.132.17 2.082.10 2.031.91 1.99 2.02 2.12 2.42 Std dev: 2.061.941.73 2.46

. 01

. 05

. 05

. 01

*

. 01

Student's t:

		KID	5	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29B Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 27%	1006	117 20%	755 28%	660 27%	667 27%	749 28%	586 29%	837 27%	476 20%	181	707 29%	373 -10% ++++	176 27%	5-10 20%	397 60%	-130 21%	573 10%
MODERATELY	25%	25%	27%	26%	25%	27%	24%	24%	26%	25%	22%	26%	24%	31%	21%	23%	·10%	16%
RELIABLE	15%	14% -	19% +	15%	-16 ⁴	16%	46%	15%	15%	5 ·1 % ++++	36%	-13%	35%	+ + + + - []%	57%	16%	37%	72%
1 Not at all reliable] (3 ⁴)	18%	$13^{n_{0}}$	17%	16ªa	12^{a}	18%	16%	16 [™] "	12%	29%	16%	23%	17%	12%	10%	12%	1%
2	5° n	5 4	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{r}}$	6%	3w	5°"	5%	5%	-1%	3%	6%	6%	8%	1%	3%	9%	1%	2%
3	6ª	S^{n}_{ii}	34	5%	8%	7 a	$\mathfrak{S}^{\mathbf{a}_{v}}$	7%	6%	5%	7ª.	7%	9%	7%	1%	11%	5%	1%
4 Moderately reliable	25%	25%	27%	26%	25%	27%	$24^{a_{\mu}}$	24^{n_0}	26%	25%	22%	26%	24%	31%	21%	23%	40%	16%
5	11%	11%	13^{W}_{u}	10%	13%	13%	10^{n}	11%	11%	1.1%	10%	10%	12%	9%	13%	7%	11%	1-1%
6	12%	11%	134	12%	$12^{n_{e}}$	13%	10^{ϕ}	12%	11%	15%	8%	11%	8%	9%	16%	3%	7%	21%
7 Completely reliable	22%	1300	21^{ω_a}	24%	21%	19%	26%	22%	23%	25%	17%	22%	15%	22%	27%	6%	18%	:37%
DK/NR	2%]%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	3 1399 4.38 2.06 *	. 01 3 995 -1. 28 2. 09 . 01	5 401 1.61 1.97 .01	- 747 1.36 2.10	4 618 4.40 2.02	. 05 -1 664 4. 36 1. 97 -	-1 732 4, 39 2, 15 -	- 4 577 4.36 2.07 -	3 822 4. 39 2. 06 –	(, 01) 4 471 4, 72 1, 95 , 01	7 180 3. 74 2. 20 . 01	4 693 4.33 2.06	.01 5 369 3.78 2.06 .01	4 473 4.31 2.01	4 528 4. 83 1. 98 . 01	. 01 5 394 2. 81 1. 84 . 01	5 424 4. 31 1. 81	-1 564 5. 51 1. 61 . 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. \mathbb{Z} Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02 03/18

		SAMP1.	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q29B			
Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	$\frac{1423}{27\%}$	454 29%	969 27%
MODERATELY	25%	25%	26%
RELIABLE	15%	- 1 6°,	-15%
1 Not at all reliable	16%	15%	17%
2	5%	6%	$\cdot]_{u_1}^{u_2}$
3	$6^{n_{\rm st}}$	S.	5%
4 Moderately reliable	25%	25ª	26%
5	$11^{\frac{n^{*}}{6}}$	13 ⁿ ₀	11%
6	12%	13^{n_0}	11%
7 Completely reliable	22%	20ª	23%
DK/NR	- 1 6-	1 ~	2%
Chi²:		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1399	419	950
Mean: Std.dev:	4.38 2.06	4.36 2.01	4.38 2.09
Student's t:	2.06	2.01	2.09

02/03/18

			REGION						GEN	DER			INCOME				1	EDECAT1	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Out	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< <u>n</u> s	Some 11S	IIS	сэ11	Bniv
Q29C																				******
Unweighted n:	1423	1.14	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	1.19
NOT RELIABLE	30%	10 ^{n/} "	270	26%	30%	32%	30%	29%	33%	28%	26%	30%	32%	35%	34%	26%	29%	27%	37%	34%
NODERATELY	32%	31%	31%	35%	33%	32%	31%	30%	31%	33%	32%	33%	30%	32%	32%	33%	31%	35%	++ 32%	34%
MUDERATELI	020	310	310	33.0	33.8	3270	31.9	30%	31%	33%	02%	33.5	-10%	120	3270	32.0	31%	25.0	95.0	94.0
RELIABLE	32%	23%	38%	36%	32%	31%	28%	33%	32%	32%		32%	32%	30%	31%	32%		34%	25%	28%
	• (10)		+		108	1.134/		• (5%)			+	1.00			1.74		++	1.04		
l Not at all reliable	16%	19%	11%	14%	19%	13%	13%	19%	17%	15%	15%	16%	19%	15%	17%	17%	17%	13%	17%	13%
2	6%	12%	·]%	6%	44	9%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	8%	8%	2%	5%	6%	8%	10%
3	8%	9%	12%	5%	7%	10%	1.1%	5%	10%	7%	7%	8%	7%	11%	8%	7%	7%	8%	12%	11%
4 Moderately reliable	32%	31%	31%	35%	33%	32%	31%	:30%	31%	33%	32%	33%	30%	32%	32%	33%	31%	35%	32%	3.1%
5	13%	10ª/	16º5	12%	14%	15%	1.2%	45%	1.4%	12%	11%	11%	17%	16%	13%	10%	12%	16%	11%	17%
6	7%	3%	S^{n_0}	7%	7 1	9%	$8^{n_{e}}$	9%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%	-1%	9%	7%	10%	10
7 Completely reliable	12%	$10^{n_{\rm H}^2}$	14%	17^{w}_{0}	11%	8%	8%	9%	11%	13%	18%	1.1%	9%	7%	10%	18%	15%	11%	4%	7%
DK . NR	6°,	6%	4%	3%	5%	5%	12%	7%	1%	7%	5%	5%	5%	3%	1%	9%	4%	-1%	7%	3%
				_			+ + + 1		_	++						+++				
Chi		. 05		_	_	0	0		. 05	0	-	0	c		-	. 05		-	-	
生,,points around 50%) Weighted n for mean:	3 1344	8 135	8 156	5 324	5 318	8 152	8 130	8 129	-1 599	3 745		6 273		$\frac{6}{241}$	188	7 177	4 484	5 320	7 214	8 414
Weighted n for mean. Mean:	3, 96	3.54	156	324	318	3, 88	3, 93	3, 86	3, 88	1.02				3.77	3. 81	4.11	4.06	4. 05	3, 63	
Std dev:	3, 96 1, 85	1.84	1.77	1. 91	1.89	1.72	1.72	1, 90	1, 85	1. 85	1.23	1.89		1.72	1.85	1. 99		1.75	1.71	1.65
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 05	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	-	-	. 10		-		-	. 01	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 115

.

INAC Study - March 2002 A Member of Parliament in the federal government

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMP1.0	NMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-11	15-51	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mregann t	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q29C Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 30%	612 30%	43 30%	751 30%	271 20%	377 26%	356 37%	219 36%	185 32%	155 26%	222 39%	112 27%	180 32%	143 32%	819 32%	245 26%	348 29%
MODERATELY	32%	33%	30%	32%	32%		35%	31%	30%	32%	28%	34%	37%	34%	33%	33%	31%
RELIABLE	32%	33%	35%	32%	-13% ++++	37%	21%	28%	27%	37% +	30%	29%	27%	29%	31%	37%	33%
1 Not at all reliable	16%	1.1%	70	18%	9%	1.3%	170	25%	19%	18%	19%	1.1%	16%	15%	17%	1.1%	15%
2	6 ^w	7%	5%	5%	4%	5%	9%	6%	3%	2%	8%	5%	7%	8%	6%	5%	5%
3	8°,	9%	19%	8%	6%	8%	12%	5%	10%	6%	11%	7%	9%	8%	9%	7%	9%
4 Moderately reliable	$32^{a_0^*}$	33%	30%	32%	32%	33%	35%	31%	30%	32%	28%	34%	37%	34%	33%	33%	31%
5	13%	16%	16%	11%	17%	16%	9%	11%	12%	15%	15%	1.1%	16%	11%	14%	10%	13%
6	7%	8%	∂_{u_i}	7%	11%	8%	5%	\hat{T}_{μ}^{N}	1%	9%	63	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%
7 Completely reliable	12%	10%	$9^{\prime\prime}_{0}$	$1 \cdot l^{\nu}_{\rm U}$	15%	13%	10%	10^{n_0}	10%	13%	9%	7%	5%	10%	9%	20%	13%
DK/NR	$6^{\alpha}_{\ \mu}$	1ºn		6°5 + +	5°°	1°	\mathbf{l}_{0}	5%	11% ++++	5%	3%	10%	4%	1%	5%	-1%	7%
Chi ²		-			, 01					. 10					-		
±points around 50%:	3	-4	15	-1	6		5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1344	588	-11	703	258		342	207	165	147	215	101	173	137	778	235	324
Mean	3.96	3, 96	4.15	3.96	1.45	4.15	3.69	3.60	3.76	4.07	3.68	3.90	3, 72	3.85	3.85	4.26	4. 02
Std dev: Student's t	1.85 *	1.75	1, 59 -	1, 93	1, 73 . 01	1.80 .05	1.79 .01	1, 98 , 01	1.87	1.91 .10	1.86 -	1. 73 -	1.64 -	1.81	1.80 .01	1.96 .01	1.87

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	ENTE	RNET	CONTAC	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANAÐ1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Tota1	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29C Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 30%	1006 31%	4117 28%	755 30%	660 30%	667 29%	749 31%	586 33% ++	837 28%	476 23%	181 -13% ++++	707 31%	373 51%	-176 -29%	540 17%	397 -14% ++++	430 26% -	573 23%
MODERATELY	32%	33%	31%	34%	31%	34%	31%	30%	34%	32%	35%	33%	27%	-10% ++++	29%	28%	40%	30%
RELIABLE	32%	31%	31%	32%	33%	32%	33%	32%	32%	38% ++++	20%	31%	18%	26%	18% ++++	2.1%	30%	-40%
1 Not at all reliable	164	16%	16%	17%	15%	13%	19%	17%	15%	10%	25%	17%	26%	16%	$\mathfrak{G}_{p}^{\mathfrak{a}_{p}^{\prime}}$	23%	17%	10%
2	6%	$\overline{t}^{n_{0}}$. 18. 	6ª:	6%	6%	$5^{n_{i_1}}$	7%	5%	5%	10%	5%	1.4%	3%	2^{w_0}	8%	·1ª.	5%
3	8%	8%	9%	8%	9%	10%	7%	9%	8 %	9%	7%	9%	11%	9%	6%	13%	5%	8%
4 Moderately reliable	32%	33%	31%	34%	31%	34%	31%	30%	34%	32%	35%	33%	27%	10%	29%	28%	40%	30%
5	13%	13%	13%	12%	11%	16%	11%	11%	15%	16%	8%	12%	9%	10%	20%	10%	10%	18%
6	7.0	7%	8%	8 <u>°</u> n	<u>7</u> °.	8°0	7 ° 0	8%	7%	10%	5%	6%	4%	6%	11%	6%	6%	10%
7 Completely reliable	$1^{O^{\circ}}_{+^{\circ}}$	11 ⁿ .	11	13%	11%	845	15%	1-1%	11%	12%	7%	13%	6%	11%	17%	8%	14%	13%
DK/NR	6%	5%	7%	·1%	7%	·1°0	6%	-1%	6% +	7%	2%	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	7% ++
Chi ² :		-		-		-		. 10		. 01			. 01			. 01		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	-4	4	3	4	7	4	257	4	1	5 382	5	-1 5 2 2
Weighted n for mean; Mean;	1344 3, 96	958 3. 91	386 4. 07	723 3, 96	617 3, 96	637 3, 96	704 3, 96	561 3, 92	783 3.99	414 4.26	177 3, 34	673 3, 92	357 3.14	452 3, 88	509 4.60	382 3.46	411 3.98	$533 \\ 4.30$
Std dev:	1.85	1.83	1.89	1.88	1.82	1.68	1.99	1.93	1.79	1.72	1.83	1.88	1.78	1.76	1.73	1.86	1.87	1.74
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 01	-	.01	-	. 01	. 01	-	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

A Nember of Parliament in the federal government

		SAMPL.	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q29C			
Unweighted n:	1423	15 I	969
NOT RELIABLE	$30^{n'_{0}}$	33ª.	29%
MODERATELY	32%	$32^{\rm h}$	33%
RELIABLE	32%	30".	33%
1 Not at all reliable	16^{n_0}	17"	15%
2	6 ^a a	$6^{\alpha_{\rm s}}$	5%
3	8 [%]	10 ⁿ ,	51
4 Moderately reliable	32%	32%	33%
5	13%	$\{-1^n \}$	13%
6	$\overline{\ell}^{n}_{v}$	$\overline{t}^{a_{0}}$	740
7 Completely reliable	12%	$\{\mathbf{y}_{0}^{n}\}$	13^{n}
DK/NR	6%	5^{a_0}	$6^{a_{p}}$
Chi ² :		_	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	13-H	130	914
Mean÷	3.96	3.83	I. 02
Std dev:	1.85	1.80	1.87
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10

				-	REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				E	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	AIb	Sask	Man	0n t	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q29D																				
Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 24%	144 28%	163 26%	335 23%	335 25%	160 21%	147 22%	139 21%	624 27% +++	799 21%	280 18%	287 24%	230 27%	249 27%	196 27%	195 22%	505 21%	335 20%	226 31% +++	149 33% +++
MODERATELY	33%	33%	27%	35%	37% +	33%	33%	29%	33%	33%	34%	36%	33%	34%	33%	31%	33%	37% +	32%	31%
RELIABLE	38%	32%	42%	39%	34%	42%	39%	46% ++	36% -	40% +	44% ++	34%	37%	35%	39%	39%	42% +	38%	35%	34%
1 Not at all reliable	12%	15%	20%	12%	13%	9%	9%	11%	14%	11%	12%	13%	13%	14%	12%	14%	12%	7%	16%	16%
2	5%	6%	1%	4%	6%	3%	6%	5%	6%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%	4%	6%	7%
3	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	9%	7%	5%	7%	7%	3%	8%	8%	9%	8%	4%	5%	9%	8%	9%
4 Moderately reliable	33%	33%	27%	35%	37%	33%	33%	29%	33%	33%	34%	36%	33%	34%	33%	31%	33%	37%	32%	31%
5	14%	15%	12%	14%	13%	15%	13%	15%	15%	13%	12%	13%	16%	12%	18%	10%	13%	16%	16%	12%
6	10%	6%	13%	7%	8%	14%	12%	14%	9%	10%	10%	8%	8%	12%	10%	7%	10%	9%	12%	10%
7 Completely reliable	15%	11%	17%	18%	13%	13%	14%	17%	12%	17%	22%	13%	13%	11%	11%	22%	18%	13%	6%	11%
DK/NR	5%	7%	5%	3%	4%	4%	7%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	8% +++	4%	4%	3%	3%
Chi ² :		-							. 05		-				_	. 05			_	
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6		7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1358	134 3.95	155 4. 23	324 4.31	322 4.12	153 4.41	137 4.36	133 4.48	601 4.06	757 4.41	269 4, 55	273 4, 17	223 4.13		192 4.14	180 4.38	486 4, 40	321 4.37	219 3, 90	145 3.94
Mean: Std.dev:	4.25 1.82	3.95	4.23 2.06	4.31	4.12	4.41	4.30	4.48	4.06	4.41	4, 55	4, 17	4.13	4.10	4.14	4. 38	4,40	1.62	1.75	3. 94 1. 87
Student's t:	1. 02	05	2.00		-	1.00			. 01	, 01	. 01		-	-	-	2.00	, 05		, 01	. 05

An official with the federal department of Indian and Northern Affairs

02/03/18

		L.	ANGUAGE	C			AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Ski1led	Service	Prof	Mingmint	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q29D																	
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
NOT RELIABLE	24%	24%	21%	24%	15%	16%	32%	32%	25%	25%	26%	21%	30%	30%	27%	19%	21%
MODERATELY	33%	33%	26%	34%	29%	37%	35%	30%	34%	27%	35%	46% +++	36%	29%	34%		33%
RELIABLE	38%	39%	19%	37%	53% ++++	43%	28%	35%	31%	41%	35%	32%	31%	37%	36%	44%	41%
1 Not at all reliable	12%	11%	9%	14%	4%		17%	19%	15%	1.4%	12%	12%	14%	13%	13%		12%
2	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%	7%	7%	3%	5%	7%	4%	6%	8%	6%	4%	2%
3	7%	8%	7%	6%	8%	5%	8%	6%	7%	7%	8%	4%	11%	8%	8%	4%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	33%	33%	26%	34%	29%	37%	35%	30%	34%	27%	35%	46%	36%	29%	34%	33%	33%
5	14%	14%	19%	13%	16%	15%	13%	16%	8%	14%	16%	11%	13%	15%	14%	12%	14%
6	10%	12%	12%	8%	17%	11%	5%	7%	10%	8%	7%	10%	8%	13%	9%	12%	11%
7 Completely reliable	15%	13%	19%	16%	20%	18%	10%	13%	1.1%	19%	12%	12%	10%	8%	12%	20%	17%
DK/NR	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	10%	7% ++	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	5%	5%
Chi ² :		_			. 01				****	(. 10)					. 10		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1358	587	41	717	263	364	340	214	167	144	213	110	175	137	787	233	332
Mean:	4.25	4.28	4.56	4.23	4.86	4.55	3.79	3, 90	4.11	4.32	4.09	4.17	3.95	4.02	4.11	4. 53	4.39
Std dev:	1.82	1.77	1.83	1.86	1.60	1.71	1.80	1.93	1.90	1.96	1.74	1.69	1.74	1, 79	1.79	1.86	1.84
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	, 01	. 01	. 01	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	. 05	-

		K1D	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29D														17.0	= 10			
Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 24%	1006 25%	417 21%	755 25%	660 23%	667 25%	749 23%	586 23%	837 24%	476 16%	181 39%	707 25%	373 45%	476 19%	540 14%	397 38%	430 19%	573 17%
MODERATELY	33%	34%	31%	32%	35%	31%	35%	31%	35% +	30%	++++ 32%	36%	++++ 29%	44%	28%	+++++ 30% -	-15% ++++	27%
RELIABLE	38%	38%	40%	39%	37%	41%	36%	42%	36%	50%	27%	34%	22%	32%	55% ++++	27%	32%	51%
1 Not at all reliable	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	12%	13%	12%	13%	8%	23%	13%	24%	11%	6%	23%	10%	7%
2	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	-1%	5%	5%	-1%	2%	8%	6%	8%	4%	2%	7%	4%	3%
3	7%	8%	4%	7%	6%	9%	5%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	13%	5%	5%	8%	5%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	33%	34%	31%	32%	35%	31%	. 35%	31%	35%	30%	32%	36%	29%	44%	28%	30%	45%	27%
5	14%	15%	12%	14%	13%	17%	11%	16%	12%	19%	9%	12%	10%	12%	18%	11%	11%	18%
6	10%	8.0	13%	10%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	13%	7%	9%	6%	6%	16%	6%	6%	16%
7 Completely reliable	15%	15%	15%	15%	1.1%	12%	17%	17%	14%	18%	11%	14%	6%	14%	21%	10%	16%	17%
DK/NR	5%	3%	7% +++	4%	5%	3%	6% ++	-1%	5%	· 1 %	2%	-1%	4%	4%	-1%	5%	4%	4%
Chi ² :		. 01	+++	-		. 05	11	. 10		. 01			. 01			. 01		
\pm points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	-4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean:	1358	971	387	728	626	648	707	564	794	458	177	677	357	455	521	379	413	549
Mean:	4.25	4. 22	4.35	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.26 1.88	4.34	4.19	4.66 1.70	3.61 1.95	4.15 1.81	3.38	4.23	4.88 1.68	3.61	4.26	4.70
Std dev: Student's t:	1.82	1.80	1.87	1.83	1.81 -	1. 75	1. 88	1.84	1.81	. 01	1.95 .01	. 05	1.80 .01	1.70	1.68 .01	1.94 .01	1.70	1.70 .01

An official with the federal department of Indian and Northern Affairs

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q29D			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
NOT RELIABLE	24%	28%	22%
		++	-
MODERATELY	33%	29%	
			++
RELIABLE	38%	39%	38%
l Not at all reliable	12%	13%	12%
2	5%	6%	4%
3	7%	8%	6%
4 Moderately reliable	33%	29%	35%
5	14%	15%	13%
6	10%	111	9º%
7 Completely reliable	15%	13%	16%
DK/NR	5%	4%	5%
Chi ² :		. 10	
±., points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1358	434	924
Mean:	4.25	4.17	4.30
Std dev:	1.82	1, 85	1.81
Student's t:	*	-	-

02/03/18

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

Aboriginal person who i

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EÐUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-19	50+	<11S	Some HS	ĦS	Coll	Univ
Q29E																				
Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	718 10%	72 10%	83 19%	170 8%	169 8%	84 10%	68 9%	72 7%	306 12%	412 8%	137 10%	148 15%	125 13%	116 5%	104 9%	88 16%	264 9%	166 8%	115 10%	76 5%
MODERATELY	23%	26%	+++ 24%	27%	22%	19%	18%	24%	25%	23%	19%	+ 29% +	25%	20%	22%	++ 27%	23%	25%	23%	17%
RELIABLE	64%	61%	54%	61%	66%	69%	69%	67%	62%	65%	66%	53%	62%	74%	67%	52%	64%	64%	65%	76%
1 Not at all reliable	5%	3%	13%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	1%	9%	6%	2%	4%	3%
2	1%	0%u	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%
3	4%	7%	5%	4%	2%	6%	3%	1%	5%	3%	1%	7%	4%	0%	8%	5%	2%	5%	6%	3%
4 Moderately reliable	23%	26%	24%	27%	22%	19%	18%	24%	25%	23%	19%	29%	25%	20%	22%	27%	23%	25%	23%	17%
5	13%	18%	7%	11%	14%	17%	13%	15%	12%	14%	14%	14%	12%	16%	12%	9%	12%	14%	1.1%	20%
6	16%	17%	19%	9%	17%	21%	25%	14%	19%	14%	11%	9%	14%	27%	26%	7%	13%	17%	24%	29%
7 Completely reliable	34%	26%	28%	42%	34%	31%	31%	38%	31%	37%	42%	31%	35%	31%	30%	36%	39%	33%	27%	28%
DK/NR	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	1%	1%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	1%
Chi ² :		-							_		(. 10)					-				
±points around 50%:	4	12	11	8	8	11	12	12	6	5	8	8	9	9	10	10		8	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	695	70	81	164	163	82	65	70	300	395	131	144	124	115 5.49	102 5.45	84 5.00	254 5.38	163 5.36	113 5. 27	75 5. 52
Mean: Std dev:	5.32 1.67	5.19 1.51	4.84 2.02	5.42 1.67	5.38 1.63	5.40 1.51	5.43 1.61	5.43 1.63	5.22 1.67	5.39 1.66	5.41 1.81	4, 99 1, 77	5, 19 1, 79	5.49 1.53	5.45	5.00	5. 38 1. 75	5.36 1.52	5. 27 1. 58	5. 52 1. 37
Student's t	*	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-			, 05	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

Aboriginal person who i

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT :	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Emp10y	Unemp l	Not LF
Q29E Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	718 10%	307 8%	19 5%	384 11%	125 6%	197 7%	180 12%	119 11%	86 15% +	85 13%	98 12%	64 3%	91 9%	79 9%	420 10%	120 6%	171 13%
MODERATELY	23%	24%	16%	23%	20%	22%	25%	24%	23%	29%	23%	22%	22%	25%	24%	21%	22%
RELIABLE	64%	66%	63%	62%	72%	68%	61%	61%	56% -	52%	63%	72%	67%	66%	64%	70%	61%
1 Not at all reliable	5%	3%	0%	7%	2%	2%	7%	8%	5%	7%	5%	3%	5%	1%	5%	4%	5%
2	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%
3	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	7%	5%	4%	0%	3%	6%	4%	2%	5%
4 Moderately reliable	23%	24%	16%	23%	20%	22%	25%	24%	23%	29%	23%	22%	22%	25%	24%	21%	22%
5	13%	14%	21%	12%	10%	17%	12%	14%	12%	7%	13%	16%	19%	15%	14%	13%	13%
6	16%	20%	11%	14%	14%	13%	20%	19%	17%	12%	17%	23%	26%	18%	20%	10%	13%
7 Completely reliable	34%	32%	32%	36%	49%	39%	28%	28%	27%	33%	33%	33%	22%	33%	30%	47%	35%
DK/NR	3%	1%	16%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	6% +	6% ++	1%	3%	2%	0%	2%	3%	4%
Chi [*] :		(.05)			-					-					-		_
±points around 50%:	4	6	22	5	9 123	7	7 176	9 115	11 81	11 80	10 97	12 62	10 89	11 79	5 410		7 165
Weighted n for mean: Mean:	695 5. 32	$\frac{303}{5,38}$	16 5, 56	370 5, 26	5.75	191 5. 50	5. 12	5. 12	5.05		97 5.22	62 5.56	5.20	79 5.37	410 5.27	116 5.65	165 5. 24
Std dev:	1.67	5.38 1.53	1.36	1.79	1.53	1.53	1.75	1.76	1.74	1.84	1.73	1.43	1.55	1.49	1.64	1. 62	1.76
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 01	. 10	. 10	-	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	. 05	-

02/03/18

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

Aboriginal person who i

		K1D:	5	SMOKI	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTAG	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSIIIP	CANAD	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVER	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29E Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	718	514 11%	20 4 8%	387 10%	327 10%	318 10%	396 10%	312 9%	406 10%	234 5%	93 16%	361 11%	186 18%	249 9%	267 5%	200 21%	229 8%	276 3%
MODERATELY	23%	23%	25%	24%	22%	20%	26%	23%	24%	17%	++ 26%	27%	++++ 23%	29%	19%	++++ 29%	30%	15%
RELIABLE	64%	64%	62%	63%	66%	69% ++	60%	65%	63%	75%	55%	++ 60%	55%	++	74%	+ 47%	+++ 60%	79%
1 Not at all reliable	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	5%	1%	5%	3%	11%	5%	9%	5%	2%	13%	3%	0%
2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%
3	4%	-1%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	8%	3%	2%	7%	3%	3%
4 Moderately reliable	23%	23%	25%	24%	22%	20%	26%	23%	24%	17%	26%	27%	23%	29%	19%	29%	30%	15%
5	13%	14%	10%	13%	14%	1.1%	13%	13%	14%	15%	11%	12%	13%	11%	15%	13%	12%	14%
6	16%	16%	17%	16%	17%	22%	12%	16%	17%	19%	11%	16%	17%	14%	18%	14%	12%	22%
7 Completely reliable	34%	34%	35%	34%	35%	34%	35%	36%	33%	41%	33%	32%	26%	35%	40%	20%	36%	42%
DK/NR	3%	3%	-1 ^{n/} 0	4%	2%	1%	5% +++	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Chi ² :		-		-		. 01		-		(. 01)			, 01			. 01		
±.,points around 50%:	4	4	7	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	10	5	7	6	6	7	б	6
Weighted n for mean:	695	500	195	373	320	315	378	302	393	228	90	351	178	243	262	192	225	268
Mean:	5.32	5.29	5.41	5.30	5.35	5.40	5.26	5.39	5.27	5.69	4.94			5.27		4.55	5.29	5.88
	1.67 *	1.69	1.59	1.66	1.67	1.62	1, 71	1.63	1.69					1.67			1.64	
Chi": ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	4 695	- 4 500	7 195	- 5 373	5 320	. 01 5 315	+++ 5 378	- 6 302	5 393	(. 01) 6 228	10 90	5	, 0 1 7	6 243 5.27 1.67	6	. 01 7 192	6 225	6 268

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

academic expert

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some HS	115	Coll	Univ
Q29E																				
Unweighted n:	705	72	80	165	166	76	79	67	318	387	143	139	105	133	92	107	241	169	111	73
NOT RELIABLE	19%	28% ++	18%	15%	19%	18%	19%	19%	20%	18%	15%	18%	25%	23%	21%	16%	18%	16%	24%	25%
MODERATELY	32%	29%	25%	33%	41% +++	28%	27%	27%	31%	32%	30%	33%	29%	29%	32%	32%	34%	30%	28%	32%
RELIABLE	14%	36%	51%	48%	36%	39%	17%	51%	41%	43%	50%	15%	41%	42%	42%	43%	43%	49%	40%	42%
1 Not at all reliable	10%	19%	9%	10%	12%	4%	9%	10%	12%	10%	10%	11%	10%	13%	11%	12%	9%	10%	13%	11%
2	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	7%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	4%	3%	0%	3%	2%	4%	7%
3	6%	6%	6%	3%	-4%	8%	9%	7%	5%	6%	3%	4%	11%	7%	7%	4%	6%	4%	8%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	32%	29%	25%	33%	41%	28%	27%	27%	31%	32%	30%	33%	29%	29%	32%	32%	34%	30%	28%	32%
5	$14^{n_0}_{-0}$	1.1%	13%	13%	12%	13%	19%	18%	14%	14%	1.1%	17%	10%	17%	13%	14%	12%	17%	17%	8%
6	12%	7%	13%	13%	10%	1.1%	15%	15%	14%	11%	15%	12%	1.1%	13%	11%	13%	11%	18%	6%	12%
7 Completely reliable	17%	15%	26%	22%	14%	12%	13%	18%	16%	18%	22%	17%	16%	13%	18%	16%	20%	14%	16%	22%
DK/NR	6%	7%	6%	4%	4%	14% ++++	8%	3%	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%	5%	9% +	5%	5%	8%	1%
Chi²:		(. 05)							-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	4	12	11	8	8	11	11	12	5	5	8	8			10	9		8	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	664	67	75	159	160	65	73	65	302	362	137	134	99		87	97	230	160	102	72
Mean	4.51	4.04	4.84	4.72	4.29	4.52	4.53	4.62	4.47	4.55	4.74	4.49	4.41	4.30	4.47	4.53	4.57	4.59	4.27	4.46
Std dev:	1.81	2.01	1.88	1.82	1.75	1.63	1.69	1.80	1.83	1.79	1.83 .10	1.78	1.84	1,83	1.85	1.82	1.79	1.72	1.87	1.94
Student's t	*	. 05	-	. 10	. 10	-	-	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

academic expert

		LANGUAGE					AGE				ENPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q 29E																	
Unweighted n:	705	305	24	367	146	180	176	100	99	70	124	48	89	64	399	125	177
NOT RELIABLE	19%	20%	25%	18%	12%	14%	23%	25%	25%	19%	18%	15%	22%	22%	19%	15%	21%
								+	+								
MODERATELY	32%	32%	17%	32%	31%	29%	35%	34%	29%	34%	36%	40%	40%	25%	35%	28%	27%
		100/	5000	4.40/	5 4 94	5.00	37%	36%	0.04	4.10/	0.0%	1.40/	0.54	4.70	++	5.0%	
RELIABLE	41%	13%	58%	44%	54% +++	52%	31%	36%	33%	41%	38%	44%	35%	47%	40%	50% +	46%
1 Not at all reliable	10%	9%	8%	11%		8%	11%	15%	18%	13%	12%	4%	11%	9%	11%		11%
I NOT at all fellable	104	1 0	0.0	11/0	0.4	0.0	11/0	10/6	104	134	1240	4.0	11/0	5.0	110	5/6	110
2	3%	.1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	7%	2%	2%	6%	0%	2%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%
-																	
3	6%	6%	13%	5%	7%	4%	5%	8%	5%	0%	6%	8%	6%	9%	6%	4%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	32%	32%	17%	32%	31%	29%	35%	34%	29%	34%	36%	40%	40%	25%	35%	28%	27%
5	14%	1.1%	21%	14%	19%	18%	11%	9%	10%	14%	17%	17%	9%	8%	13%	16%	15%
6	12%	12%	17%	12%	1.1%	12%	12%	12%	10%	11%	11%	8%	11%	14%	11%	13%	14%
	. 70/	1 70/	(3.0)	1.0%	(3.9.0/	0.0%	1.10/	1.5%	1.00/	1.0%	1.01	1.00%	1.50	050	1.0%	0.0%	1.7%
7 Completely reliable	17%	17%	21%	18%	21%	22%	1.1%	15%	13%	16%	10%	19%	15%	25%	16%	22%	17%
DK/NR	6%	5%	0%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	12%	6%	8%	2%	2%	6%	6%	6%	6%
DR/ MR	0.6	0.0	0.0	0/1		1 /0	0.0	01	+++	0.	+	270	270	0.	0.0	0.	0.0
Chi ² :		_			. 01										-		
±., points around 50%;	4	6	20	5	8	7	7	10	10	12	9	14	10	12	5	9	7
Weighted n for mean:	664	289	24	344	142	172	166	95	87	66	114	47	87	60	377	117	167
Mean:	4.51	4.49	4.71	4.53	4.89	4.79	4.28	4.22	4.07	4.36	4.29	4.66	4.25	4.72	4.42	4.76	4.51
Std dev:	1.81	1.77	1.85	1.83	1.57	1, 74	1.81	1.90	1, 99	1.88	1.68	1.55	1.79	1.94	1.78	1.80	1.85
Student's t	*	-	_	-	. 01	. 05	. 10	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 10	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

An Aboriginal person who is an academic expert/academic expert on Aboriginal issues

academic expert

		KIÐS	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSIIIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29E Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	705 19%	492 19%	213 19%	368 18%	333 20%	349 21%	353 17%	274 20%	431 18%	242 15%	88 24%	346 22%	187 33%	227 18%	273 12%	197 29%	201 19%	297 12%
MODERATELY	32%	32%	32%	33%	29%	32%	32%	29%	33%	29%	28%	35%	32%	42%	23%	34%	39%	26%
RELIABLE	1.1%	45%	10 ⁴ / ₆	43%	-15%	$11^{\prime\prime}_{-0}$	13%	18% +	41%	53% ++++	11%	38%	32%	3.1%	60%	33%	+++ 35%	58%
1 Not at all reliable	10%	10^{a_0}	110	10º0	$11^{n_{b}}$	$9^{a'}$	12^{0}	10%	11%	7%	17%	12%	19%	11%	5%	20%	10%	5%
2	3%	3%	20	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	7%	1%	1%	6%	3%	1%
3	6.0	5%	6%	5%	7%	8%	3%	7%	5%	6%	2%	6%	7%	6%	5%	4%	6%	6%
4 Moderately reliable	32%	32%	32%	33%	29%	32%	32%	29%	33%	29%	28%	35%	32%	42%	23%	34%	39%	26%
5	$1\cdot 1^{n_{\rm c}}_{-0}$	15%	12%	14%	1.1%	14%	14%	15%	13%	17%	13%	12%	12%	11%	18%	12%	8%	20%
6	12%	12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	12%	12%	12%	16%	13%	10%	12%	5%	18%	9%	11%	15%
7 Completely reliable	17%	18%	16%	17%	17%	17%	18%	20%	16%	20%	16%	16%	7%	18%	25%	12%	15%	22%
DK/NR	6%	1%	9% +++	5%	6%	3%	8% ++	3%	7% ++	3%	7%	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%
Chi ² :		. 10		-		. 10		. 10		(. 05)			. 01			. 01		
±points around 50%:	4	-4	7	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	10	5	7	7	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	664	471	193	349	312	337	325	265	399	234	82	327	181	212	259	188	188	282
Mean:	4.51	4.53	4.46	1.49	4.53	4.50	4.51	4.60	4.45	4.80	4.24	4.32	3.82	4.37	5.09	3.92	4.37	5.00
Std dev: Student's t:	1.81 *	1.80 -	1.83	1, 79	1.83	1.77	1.85	1.83	1. 79 -	1.70 .01	2.02	1.81 .05	1.85 .01	1.75 -	1.65 .01	1.95 .01	1.76 -	1.58 .01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

An official with your Band or Tribal Council Office

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				F	EDUCATE	[ON	
	Tota1	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<115	Some HS	HS	Col1	Univ
Q29F																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
NOT RELIABLE	21%	22%	26%	19%	23%	16%	14%	27%	20%	21%	19%	24%	21%	23%	22%	24%	17%	19%	26%	26%
	0.00	2.00	(2004)	0.0	15.49/	-		++	0.0%	0.04	0.54	0.000		0.001	1.00	0.00		0.00	+	+
MODERATELY	30%	30%	29%	31%	34%	27%	29%	24%	31%	29%	27%	32%	31%	30%	30%	22%	33%	31%	29%	28%
RELIABLE	47%	45%	45%	48%	42%	54%	56%	45%	48%	46%	51%	43%	47%	47%	16%	49%		49%	43%	13%
NDE INDED	11.0	10.0	10/0	10%		++	++	10.0	10.0	10/0	01/0	10.0	1.0	11-5	10,0	150	15/4	100	100	10.0
1 Not at all reliable	11%	11%	17%	11%	13%	4%	6%	15%	10%	13%	11%	13%	12%	12%	9%	16%	10%	10%	12%	12%
2	-1%	6%	5%	4%	3%	4%	1%	6%	5%	3%	1%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	6%	5%
3	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	10%	5%	5%	5%	8%	9%
3	0%	076	*# 76	9%G	0.9	170	076	070	0%	0.9	0.0	070	476	0%	10%	9.C	576	9.º	8%	9%
4 Moderately reliable	30%	30%	29%	31%	34%	27%	29%	24%	31%	29%	27%	32%	31%	30%	30%	22%	33%	31%	29%	28%
5	15%	14%	13%	16%	15%	16%	18%	12%	15%	15%	16%	11%	18%	14%	17%	10%	14%	17%	15%	17%
6	12%	13%	12%	8%	10%	20%	16%	14%	13%	11%	10%	9%	13%	15%	13%	9%	11%	13%	16%	13%
7 Completely reliable	20%	18%	20%	24%	17%	19%	22%	19%	20%	21%	25%	23%	16%	17%	16%	30%	24%	18%	12%	13%
r compretery remaine	2010	10.0	20.0	2.1.0	11,0	1 3.0	22/0	15/6	20%	210	2010	2010	10.6	1140	10.8	30%	24.0	10.0	12.70	13/0
DK/NR	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	1%	0%	0%	1%	5%	1%	1%	2%	3%
									_	++	++++					++++		-		
Ch i ² :		-							. 10		(. 10)					(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6			7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1392	139	162	328	329	155	144	135	617	775	270	283		248	194	185		333	222	145
Mean: Std.dev:	4.53 1.87	4.47 1.87	4.32 2.01	4.61 1.88	4.34 1.86	4.86 1.63	4.90 1.65	4, 36 2, 02	4.57 1.81	4.50 1.91	4.71	4.44 1.96		4.44 1.86	4.48 1.73	4.62 2.17	4.69 1.82	4.54 1.79	4.27	4.27 1.81
Stadev. Student's t:	1,87	1.0/	2.01	1.00	1.86	1.63	. 01	20.2	1.01	1.91	1.90	1.90	1, 82	1.00	1.13	2.17	. 05	1.79	1.81	1.81
Student S t.	Ť				.00	. 00					. 05						. 05		. 95	. 10

INAC Study - March 2002 An official with your Band or Tribal Council Office

-02/03/1	8
----------	---

		Ł	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-14	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q29F																	
Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	1423 21%	612 19%	43 9%	751 23%	271 12%	377 18%	356 28%	219 21%	185 26%	155 17%	222 24%	112 20%	180 22%	143 23%	819 21%	245 19%	348 22%
NUI KELIADLE	21%	19.0	9.6	20% ++	12%	10%	4016 ++++	2170	20%	17/0	2° 1 %	20.8	0/نين	200	2170	150	40 N
MODERATELY	30%	29%	21%	31%	30%	32%	32%	27%	24%	34%	31%	32%	33%	22%	31%	29%	29%
RELIABLE	47%	50%	67%	4.1%	56%	49%	38%	50%	45%	48%	-14%	46%	43%	53%	47%	50%	47%
		+	+++		++++									+			
1 Not at all reliable	11%	9%	5%	13%	4%	9%	17%	12%	15%	12%	10%	12%	11%	10%	11%	12%	13%
2	4%	5%	0%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	3%
3	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	3%	8%	4%	6%	8%	6%	3%	7%
4 Moderately reliable	30%	29%	21%	31%	30%	32%	32%	27%	24%	34%	31%	32%	33%	22%	31%	29%	29%
5	15%	16%	19%	14%	22%	15%	11%	16%	11%	12%	13%	18%	16%	15%	14%	14%	17%
6	12%	15%	$19^{\prime\prime}_{,0}$	10%	13%	13%	10%	11%	14%	10%	12%	13%	16%	16%	13%	10%	11%
7 Completely reliable	20%	19%	30%	21%	21%	21%	17%	23%	20%	26%	20%	15%	12%	22%	19%	26%	19%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-1% +++	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		(.05)			(. 01)					-							
±.,points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5			7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1392	598	42	739	267	371	352	215	177	153	219	110	178	140	807	239	340
Mean:	4.53	4.63	5.31	4.43	4.90	4.64	4.16	4.60	4.40	4.68	4.46	4.45 1.79	4.34		4.53 1.84	4.67	4.45 1.87
Std dev: Student's t:	1.87 *	1.78	1.60 .01	1.92 .05	1.58 .01	1.79	1.97 .01	1.91	2.03	1.92	1.86	1.79	1. (4	1.91	1.84	1.94	1.0/
Student's t-	*	-	. 01	.05	. 01		. 01										

INAC Study - March 2002 An official with your Band or Tribal Council Office

02/03/18

		KID	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAG	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT	
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q29F Unweighted n: NOT RELIABLE	I423 21%	1006 22% ++	417 18%	755 21%	660 21%	667 21%	749 21%	586 23%	837 20%	476	181 31% ++++	707 21%	373 34% ++++	476 19%	540 14%	397 45% ++++	430 15%	573
MODERATELY	30%	30%	30%	31%	29%	29%	30%	28%	31%	26%	31%	32% +	28%	40% ++++	22%	29%	44% ++++	20%
RELIABLE	47%	47%	47%	·17%	48%	19%	46%	47%	47%	56% ++++	35%	45%	37%	39%	62%	24%	38%	70%
1 Not at all reliable	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	10%	12%	12%	11%	8%	20%	11%	16%	11%	9%	27%	9%	3%
2	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	-1%	3%	-4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	8%	3%	2%	9%	2%	1%
3	6%	6%	4%	5%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	10%	5%	3%	9%	4%	-1%
4 Moderately reliable	30%	30%	30%	31%	29%	29%	30%	28%	31%	26%	31%	32%	28%	40%	22%	29%	44%	20%
5	15%	16%	12%	16%	13%	19%	11%	14%	15%	18%	10%	14%	12%	12%	20%	12%	12%	19%
6	12%	11%	1.1%	11%	14%	14%	10%	12%	12%	16%	8%	11%	13%	8%	15%	5%	7%	21%
7 Completely reliable	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	16%	24%	20%	20%	23%	17%	20%	13%	19%	27%	8%	19%	30%
DK/NR	2%	1%	5% ++++	1%	2%	1%	3% +++	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	Ι%	2%	2%	I%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		. 01		-		. 10		-		(. 01)			. 01			. 01		
±points around 50%; Weighted n for mean; Mean; 5td dev; Student's t;	3 1392 4. 53 1. 87 *	3 997 4.49 1.87	5 395 4.64 1.86	4 744 4.49 1.87	4 644 4.59 1.86 -	4 661 4.49 1.78 –	4 728 4.58 1.94	4 575 4. 51 I. 90	3 817 4.55 1.84	4 470 4, 83 1, 78 , 01	7 178 4.01 2.03 .01	4 690 4.49 1.84 -	5 368 4.03 1.93 .01	4 466 4.44 1.78 -	4 530 4.98 1.80 .01	5 392 3.36 I.87 .01	5 421 4. 49 1. 70 -	4 562 5.39 1.49 .01

An official with your Band or Tribal Council Office

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q29F			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
NOT RELIABLE	21%	25%	19%
MODERATELY	30%	+++ 28%	31%
RELIABLE	47%	45%	-18%
1 Not at all reliable	11%	12%	11%
2	1%	6%	3%
3	6%	7%	5%
4 Moderately reliable	30%	28%	31%
5	15%	15%	15%
6	12%	12%	12%
7 Completely reliable	20%	18.0	21%
DK/NR	2%	1%	3%
Chi²:		. 05	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1392		
Mean:	4.53	4.39	
Std dev:	1.87	1.88	1.85
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05

			REGION						GEN	DER			INCOME				H	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q11A READ LIST				0.05																
Unweighted n: Gotten better	335 32%	0 0%	0 0%	335 32%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	152 32%	183 31%	94 30%	64 31%	43 26%	47 32%	49 43%	69 28%	129 30%	63 37%	34 21%	38 47%
Gotten worse	21%	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	23%	26%	22%	23%	21%	+ 14%	17%	22%	22%	32%	++ 16%
Stayed about the same	45%	0%	0%	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	47%	43%	44%	45%	47%	47%	43%	52%	46%	40%	44%	37%
DK/NR	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%		0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	0%
Chi ² :									-				++			-				
\pm points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16

		L	ANGUAGE	5			AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q11A READ LIST												- <u> </u>					
Unweighted n:	335	100	2	231	76	94	96	45	22	37	46	27	33	33	177	67	88
Gotten better	32%	33%	50%	31%	41%	35%	28%	24%	18%	35%	22%	33%	36%	36%	32%	27%	36%
					+						-						
Gotten worse	21%	25%	0%	20%	9%	19%	31%	29%	18%	19%	24%	19%	21%	21%	20%	28%	20%
					—		+++										
Stayed about the same	45%	40%	50%	47%	49%	43%	39%	47%	64%	46%	52%	44%	42%	39%	47%	45%	40%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%
Chi ² :					(07)												
	-	-			(.05)					_					-		
\pm points around 50%:	5	10	69	6	11	10	10	15	21	16	14	19	17	17	7	12	10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 133

1 CLAR

INAC Study - March 2002 Heath Services

02/	03/	18
-----	-----	----

.

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bađ	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q11A READ LIST																		
Unweighted n:	335	267	68	209	125	144	190	136	199	111	43	169	73	112	139	108	104	119
Gotten better	32%	31%	35%	32%	31%	33%	31%	35%	29%	42%	19%	30%	18%	31%	40%	25%	30%	39%
										+++			_		+++	-		++
Gotten worse	21%	22%	19%	23%	19%	22%	21%	25%	19%	19%	33%	20%	41%	17%	16%	25%	26%	15%
											++		++++					
Stayed about the same	45%	46%	41%	42%	50%	42%	47%	38%	50%	37%	47%	49%	41%	50%	42%	48%	42%	45%
									++			+						
DK/NR	2%	1%	4%	3%	0%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%
				+	_													
Chi ² :		_		-		-		-		(.10)			(.01)			-		
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

		SAMPLI	e type
	Total	PaneI	Gen Pop
Q11A READ LIST			
Unweighted n:	335	71	264
Gotten better	32%	24%	34%
Gotten worse	21%	28%	20%
Stayed about the same	45%	46%	44%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		_	
±points around 50%:	5	12	6

1

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				f	EDUCATI	ON				
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At l	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ			
Q11B READ LIST					·									<u> </u>		<u> </u>							
Unweighted n:	335	0	0	335	0	0	0	0	152	183	94	64	43	47	49	69	129	63	34	38			
Gotten better	40%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41%	39%	35%	41%	47%	40%	51%	32%	39%	44%	47%	45%			
Gotten worse	12%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	11%	12%	8%	12%	11%	14%	16%	10%	11%	6%	16%			
Stayed about the same	44%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45%	44%	50%	52%	35%	43%	31%	46%	48%	43%	44%	32%			
DK/NR	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%		0%	7%	6%	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	8%			
Chí²:									_	++		-											
±.,points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16			

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPI	EMPLOYMENT STATUS					
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	dService	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q11B READ LIST												<u> </u>					
Unweighted n:	335	100	2	231	76	94	96	45	22	37	46	27	33	33	177	67	88
Gotten better	40%	47%	0%	37%	33%	43%	47%	38%	27%	38%	46%	48%	48%	45%	45%	43%	26%
		+													++		
Gotten worse	12%	11%	0%	12%	12%	5%	16%	18%	9%	11%	13%	7%	24%	9%	12%	16%	8%
Stayed about the same	44%	41%	50%	46%	55%	46%	32%	40%	64%	46%	41%	33%	24%	42%	38%	39%	61% ++++
DK/NR	4%	1%	50%	5%	0%	6%	5%	4%	0%	5%	0%	11%	3%	3%	5%	1%	
Chi²:		(. 05)			(, 05)					-					(, 05)		
±,.points around 50%:	5	10	69	6	11	10	10	15	21	16	14	19	17	17	7	12	10

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 135

.

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q11B READ LIST					105		100	196	100		12	100	70	110	190	100	101	
Unweighted n:	335	267	68	209	125	144	190	136	199	111	43	169	73	112	139	108	104	119
Gotten better	40%	40%	38%	42%	37%	43%	37%	43%	38%	54%	35%	33%	29%	41%	-15%	40%	41%	39%
										++++			_		+			
Gotten worse	12%	11%	15%	12%	12%	10%	14%	15%	10%	6%	28%	12%	25%	9%	7%	16%	11%	9%
										_	++++		++++		_			
Stayed about the same	44%	46%	37%	44%	46%	44%	45%	40%	47%	36%	35%	51%	41%	18%	42%	42%	43%	48%
										_		+++						
DK/NR	4%	3%	10%	3%	6%	3%	-1%	2%	6%	-1%	2%	4%	5%	2%	6%	3%	5%	4%
			+++															
Chi ² :		(.05)		-		-		-		(.01)			(. 01)			-		
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

	SAMPLI	E TYPE
Total	Panel	Gen Pop
335	71	264
40%	31%	12%
	-	+
12%	18%	10%
	+	-
14%	45%	44%
4%	6%	4%
	-	
5	12	6
	335 40% 12% 44% 4%	Total Panel 335 71 40% 31% - 12% 12% 18% + 44% 44% 45% 4% 6%

					REG10N				GEN			EDUCAT10N								
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>115</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	115	Coll	Univ
Q11C READ LIST				0.05					150	100							100	<i>a</i> 0		
Unweighted n: Gotten better	335 44%	0 0%	0 0%	335 44%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	152 41%	183 45%	94 41%	64 39%	43 53%	47 43%	49 55%	69 29%	129 45%	63 48%	34 59%	38 47%
Gotten worse	9%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	7%	12%	6%	2%	11%	4%	14%	10%	5%	+ 3%	8%
Stayed about the same	41%	0%	0%	41%	0%	0%	0%	0%	+ 41%	42%	+ 39%	52%	37%	40%	37%	+ 46%	40%	44%	3 2%	39%
DK/NR	6%	() ^{n/} 0	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	7%	7%	+ 3%	7%	6%	4%	10%	5%	3%	6%	5%
Chi ² :									-							-				
\pm ., points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16

		L.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q11C READ LIST																	
Unweighted n:	335	100	2	231	76	94	96	45	22	37	46	27	33	33	177	67	88
Gotten better	44%	52%	50%	40%	42%	56%	44%	31%	23%	30%	52%	67%	45%	33%	44%	42%	47%
		++				+++		-		_							
Gotten worse	9%	7%	0%	10%	11%	5%	10%	11%	9%	14%	9%	0%	12%	6%	8%	10%	9%
Stayed about the same	41%	36%	0%	44%	45%	34%	41%	42%	59%	54%	28%	26%	42%	52%	41%	43%	39%
						-				+	-						
DK/NR	6%	5%	50%	6%	3%	4%	5%	16%	9%	3%	11%	7%	0%	9%	7%	4%	6%
								+++					-				
Chi ² :		(. 10)			(. 05)					(. 05)					-		
±points around 50%:	5	10	69	6	11	10	10	15	21	16	14	19	17	17	7	12	10

		KIDS			ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1 A	N RELAT	10NSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	ERNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Wo1 se	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q11C READ LIST																		
Unweighted n:	335	267	68	209	125	144	190	136	199	111	43	169	73	112	139	108	104	119
Gotten better	44%	16%	32%	-15%	41%	52%	37%	42%	45%	59%	42%	35%	37%	45%	48%	41%	46%	45%
		++				+++	_			++++								
Gotten worse	9%	7%	18%	8%	10%	6%	11%	12%	7%	5%	14%	11%	11%	8%	7%	14%	7%	6%
			+++							_						++		
Stayed about the same	41%	12%	38%	13%	39%	36%	15%	41%	41%	31%	37%	49%	1.1%	38%	41%	38%	42%	44%
							+					+ + +						
DK/NR	6%	5%	12%	-1%	10%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	9%	1%	7%	5%	6%
			++	_	++									+				
Chi ²		(.01)				, 05		-		(.01)			-			-		
\pm points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q11C READ LIST			
Unweighted n:	335	71	264
Gotten better	44%	44%	44%
Gotten worse	9%	14%	8%
		+	-
Stayed about the same	41%	34%	43%
DK/NR	6%	8%	6%
Chi²:		-	
±points around 50%:	5	12	6

				REGION						DER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	[0N	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	0nt	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q11D READ LIST															1					
Unweighted n:	335	0	0	335	0	0	0	0	152	183	94	64	43	47	49	69	129	63	34	38
Gotten better	36%	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	36%	36%	35%	36%	40%	30%	39%	36%	33%	37%	41%	42%
Gotten worse	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	7%	7%	9%	0%		6%	3%	8%	6%	18%	11%
														++		-			++	
Stayed about the same	44%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45%	43%	45%	50%	51%	43%	43%	49%	45%	49%	38%	29%
																				_
DK/NR	12%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	14%	13%	5%	9%	13%	12%	12%	14%	8%	3%	18%
												-							-	
Chi ² :									-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q11D READ LIST Unweighted n: Gotten better	335 36%	100 42%	2 0%	231 34%	76 34%	94 39%	96 32%	45 40%	22 41%	37 30%	46 30%	27 37%	33 33%	33 36%	177 33%	67 40%	88 39%
Gotten worse	8%	5%	0%	9%	5%	11%	9%	4%	5%	3%	11%	4%	12%	9%	8%	10%	6%
Stayed about the same	44%	39%	0%	47%	51%	41%	43%	38%	50%	57%	50%	37%	45%	42%	47%	39%	44%
DK/NR	12%	14%	100%	10%	9%	9%	16%	18%	5%	11%	9%	22%	9%	12%	12%	10%	11%
Chi²: ±points around 50%:	5	(. 01) 10	69	6	- 11	10	10	15	21	 16	14	19	17	17	- 7	12	10

		KIDS	5	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	IN RELAT	TONSILLP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	ERNMENT	BANE	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q11D READ LIST																		
Unweighted n: Gotten better	335 36%	267 36%	68 38%	209 34%	125 39%	144 42%	190 32%	136 40%	199 33%	111 49%	43 23%	169 33%	73 30%	112 34%	139 42%	108 33%	104 38%	119 38%
Gotten better	30,8	20.8	30.0	34.0	33/0	12 0 ++	52/0	40.0	55.0	+++	20/0	000	00/0	01/0	+	00%	00%	00.0
Gotten worse	8%	8%	6%	7%	9%	8%	7%	11%	6%	5%	16%	8%	14%	8%	5%	11%	6%	7%
								+	-		++		++		-			
Stayed about the same	44%	15%	43%	46%	42%	40%	48%	38%	48%	37%	47%	47%	42%	46%	42%	41%	50%	43%
									+	-								
DK/NR	12%	12%	13%	12%	10%	10%	13%	10%	13%	9%	14%	12%	14%	13%	11%	15%	7%	13%
Chí ² :		_		-		_		. 10		(. 05)						-	-	
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

		SAMPL	E TYPE	
	TotaI	PaneI	Gen Pop	
Q11D READ LIST				
Unweighted n:	335	71	264	
Gotten better	36%	38%	36%	
Gotten worse	8%	6%	8%	
Stayed about the same	44%	49%	43%	
DK/NR	12%	7%	I 3%	
Chi ² :		-		
\pm points around 50%:	5	12	6	

			al barrator or also before	REGION					GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
QIIE READ LIST																				
Unweighted n:	335	· 0	0	335	0	0	0	0	152	183	94	64	43	47	49	69	129	63	34	38
Gotten better	23%	0%	0%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	27%	20%	23%	35%	21%	18%	23%	25%	19%	21%	26%
										++			++							
Gotten worse	17%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	14%	24%	16%	9%	21%	10%	17%	16%	17%	24%	11%
											++						-			
Stayed about the same	55%	0%	0%	55%	0%	0%	0%	0%	57%	53%	55%	59%	47%	49%	61%	52%	57%	59%	50%	53%
eraying about the sume	004	0.0		00.0	0,0	0.10	070	0.5	01/0	00.0	00%	00/1		10/0	01/0	01/0	01/0	00%	00%	00/0
DK/NR	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	2%	9%	9%	10%	7%	2%	5%	6%	11%
	0.0	0.4	0.0	070	070	070	0.4	0.0	U/U	0.0	0.0	21	370	J /0	++	1 /0	270 T	UL	0/6	11.0
C1 2 .											(05)						-			
Chi ² :	_			_					-	_	(. 05)					_			_	
±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16

		L	ANGUAGE	;			AGE				EMPL	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q11E READ LIST																	
Unweighted n:	335	100	2	231	76	94	96	45	22	37	46	27	33	33	177	67	88
Gotten better	23%	22%	0%	24%	20%	27%	26%	16%	23%	24%	11%	22%	30%	21%	20%	30%	23%
Gotten worse	17%	14%	0%	18%	12%	13%	24%	18%	18%	22%	26%	22%	9%	21%	20%	12%	16%
Stayed about the same	55%	61%	100%	52%	68% +++	55%	43%	60%	50%	49%	57%	56%	48%	48%	53%	57%	57%
DK/NR	5%	3%	0%	6%	0%	5%	7%	7%	9%	5%	7%	0%	12%	9%	7%	1%	5%
01.12.																	
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	5	10	69	6	- 11	10	10	15	21	16	14	19	17	17	- 7	12	10

INAC Study - March 2002 Income support

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1 A	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	ERNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q11E READ LIST		••••••••••																
Unweighted n:	335	267	68	209	125	144	190	136	199	111	43	169	73	112	139	108	104	119
Gotten better	23%	24%	19%	21%	26%	22%	24%	20%	25%	32%	16%	19%	15%	21%	29%	24%	20%	25%
										+++			-		++			
Gotten worse	17%	16%	22%	17%	18%	13%	20%	20%	15%	11%	3 3%	17%	29%	15%	11%	21%	19%	11%
											+++		+++					
Staved about the same	55%	57%	47%	58%	50%	59%	52%	55%	54%	50%	49%	59%	52%	57%	55%	51%	57%	57%
Staft a sour the sour												+						
DK/NR	5%	4%	12%	4%	6%	6%	1%	5%	6%	6%	2%	5%	4%	7%	4%	4%	4%	7%
2.17 T. T.	0.0		+++															
Chi ² :		(.05)		-				-		(.01)			(.05)			-		
±points around 50%:	5		12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q11E READ LIST			
Unweighted n:	335	71	264
Gotten better	23%	18%	24%
Gotten worse	17%	15%	17%
Stayed about the same	55%	59%	53%
DK/NR	5%	7%	5%
Chi ² :	5	-	6
±points around 50%:	D	12	0

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

How much time do you spend listening to the radio each day?

.

					REG10N	I.		GEN	IDER			INCOME				ž	EDUCATI	ON		
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At l	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q12																				
Unweighted n:	335	0	0	335	0	0	0	0	152	183	94	64	43	47	49	69	129	63	34	38
Less than an hour	24%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	24%	21%	30%	14%	30%	24%	17%	26%	24%	24%	29%
1-3 hours	46%	0%	0%	46%	0.0	0%	0%	0%	48%	44%	48%	50%	49%	43%	39%	52%	48%	40%	47%	39%
More than 3 hours	24%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	27%	27%	17%	28%	23%	29%	23%	20%	30%	26%	29%
Never listen to radio	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	4%	3%	9%	4%	6%	7%	5%	6%	3%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	. 0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3% +++
Chi * :									-		_					_				
±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	5 14	14	12	9	12	17	16

		L	ANGUAGE	8			AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q12																	
Unweighted n:	335	100	2	231	76	94	96	45	22	37	46	2 7	33	33	177	67	88
Less than an hour	24%	28%	0%	23%	29%	24%	23%	20%	18%	24%	11%	33%	27%	27%	23%	22%	27%
1-3 hours	46%	44%	50%	47%	49%	48%	44%	42%	50%	46%	48%	48%	39%	42%	45%	42%	53%
More than 3 hours	24%	19%	50%	26%	21%	22%	27%	29%	23%	19%	35% +	7%	30%	27%	25%	30%	18%
Never listen to radio	5%	8%	0%	1%	1%		6%	7%	9%	11%	7%	11%	3%	0%	7%	6%	1%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%		0%	0%
Chi ² :		_			_					_					-		
±,.points around 50%:	5	10	69	6	11	10	10	15	21	16	14	19	17	17	7	12	10

-llow much time do you spend listening to the radio each day?

		K1D	s	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1A	N RELAT	10NSIIIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q12																		
Unweighted n:	335	267	68	209	125	144	190	136	199	111	43	169	73	112	139	108	104	119
Less than an hour	2.1%	25%	21%	23%	25%	32% +++	18%	27%	22%	23%	26%	24%	26%	21%	24%	33% +++	20%	19%
1-3 hours	46%	46%	11%	47%	45%	42%	49%	41%	49%	46%	47%	46%	41%	5 4% +	44%	47%	39%	50%
More than 3 hours	24%	24%	25%	24%	25%	23%	26%	25%	24%	26%	23%	25%	27%	20%	27%	19%	34% +++	22%
Never listen to radio	5%	4%	9%	5%	6%	3%	7% +	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	1%	6%	8% ++
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1% +	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		-		_		(.05)		-		-			~			(.05)		
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9

		SAMPL	Ε ΤΥΡΕ	
	Tota1	Pane1	Gen Pop	
Q12				
Unweighted n:	335	71	264	
Less than an hour	2.1%	27%	23%	
1-3 hours	46%	49%	45%	
More than 3 hours	24%	21%	25%	
Never listen to radio	5%	3%	6%	
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	
Chi ² :		-		
±points around 50%:	5	12	6	

•

INAC Study - March 2002 What radio stations do you most often listen to?

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME					DUCATI	ON	
	Tota1	BC	A1b	Sask	Mam	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem		10-19		30-49	50+	<hs< td=""><td>Some HS</td><td>HS</td><td>Co11</td><td>Univ</td></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Co11	Univ
Q13		0	0	210		0		0	141	177	90	62	39	45	46	64	100	50		
Unweighted n: Specify stations	318 43%	0 0%	0 0%	318 43%	0 0%	0%	0 0%	0 0%	141 42%	44%		62 42%		45 44%	46 41%	33%	I22 48%	59 44%	33 48%	38 37%
DK/NR	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	3%	3%	8%	7%	0%	8%	3%	7%	0%	5%
MBC	18%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	18%	12%	18%	23%	22%	20%	23%	16%	15%	21%	18%
СВС	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	9%	4%	5%	15%	9%	13%	5%	7%	3%	12%	24%
NBC ABORGINAL RADIO	24%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	25%	24%	32%	26%	18%	26%	28%	24%	29%	15%	21%
C.ILR	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	8%	5%	8%	2%	2%	6%	8%	5%	6%	3%
Chi²: ±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	- 8	7	10	12	16	15	14	- 12	9	13	17	16

		ι	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO)YMEN T	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q13																	
Unweighted n:	318	92	2	222	75	89	90	42	20	33	43	24	32	33	165	63	87
Specify stations	43%	58%	50%	36%	56%	42%	40%	29%	40%	48%	40%	42%	28%	36%	38%	52%	46%
		++++			+++			_							-		
DK/NR	5%	5%	0%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	15%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	4%	5%	6%
MBC	18%	I1%	50%	21%	13%	22%	20%	17%	10%	6%	23%	8%	31%		18%	I 4%	21%
		_		+						-			++				
CBC	8%	12%	0%	7%	4%	7%	9%	17%	10%	9%	9%	0%	19% +		10%	3%	8%
NBC ABORGINAL RADIO	24%	16%	0%	28%	23%	24%	24%	33%	15%	27%	26%	42%	22%	27%	28%	19%	20%
				++											+		
CJLR	6%	5%	0%	7%	8%	7%	4%	5%	10%	9%	7%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%
Chi ² :		(, 05)			-					_					-		
±points around 50%:	5	10	69	7	11	10	10	15	22	17	15	20	17	17	8	12	11

INAC Study - March 2002 What radio stations do you most often listen to?

02/03/18

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANAD	AN GOVE	ERNMENT	BANL	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q13	·																	
Unweighted n:	318	256	62	199	118	140	177	129	189	105	41	162	70	106	133	107	98	109
Specify stations	43%	45%	32%	44%	42%	47%	40%	37%	47%	47%	37%	40%	40%	39%	45%	50%	35%	43%
		+	-					-	+							+	-	
DK/NR	5%	4%	8%	5%	4%	3%	6%	6%	4%	6%	2%	6%	3%	3%	7%	6%	2%	6%
MBC	18%	16%	24%	16%	21%	20%	16%	18%	18%	21%	12%	17%	20%	18%	18%	13%	17%	23%
MDC	10/0	10.0	414	10/0	2170	2010	10,0	10/0	10%	21/0	12.0	11/0	2010	10.0	10%	10/0	11/0	+
CBC	8%	7%	15%	6%	13%	9%	8%	12%	6%	8%	15%	7%	19%	8%	3%	7%	7%	10%
		_	++	_	++			+	-				++++					
NBC ABORGINAL RADIO	24%	24%	24%	27%	20%	21%	27%	28%	22%	20%	32%	26%	20%	29%	24%	21%	36%	18%
																	+++	-
C.JLR	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	4%	8%	5%	7%	5%	10%	7%	4%	8%	6%	7%	7%	6%
Chi ² :		-		_		_		_		_			(.05)			(. 10)		
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	9	7	10	15	8	12	10	8	9	10	9

		SAMPL	E TYPE	
	Total		Gen Pop	
Q13				
Unweighted n:	318	69	249	
Specify stations	43%	39%	4.1%	
DK/NR	5%	7%	4%	
MBC	18%	16%	18%	
CBC	8%	12%	7%	
NBC ABORGINAL RADIO	24%	22%	25%	
¢JLR	6%	10%	5%	
Chi ² :		_		
±points around 50%:	5	12	6	

While listening to the radio, have you ever heard a radio ad called "Seeds of Success"?

					REGION				GE	DER			INCOME				H	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th></th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS		Coll	Univ
Q14						· · · · · ·														
Unweighted n:	318	0	0	318	0	0	0	0	141	177	90	62	39	45	46	64	122	59	33	38
Yes	13%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	12%	19% ++		18%	7%	9%	19% +	11%	7%	15%	11%
No	79%	0%	0%	79%	0%	0%	0%	0%	77%	80%	77%	84%	72%	82%	80%	73%	84%	78%	73%	82%
Do not recall	9%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	8%	4%	8%	10%	11%	11%	8%	5%	15% ++	12%	8%
Chi ² :									-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	16	15	14	12	9	13	17	16

			-	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS	
		Total		Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF	
QI4 Yes	Unweighted n:	318 13%	92 13%	2 0%	222 12%	75 13%	89 11%	90 11%	42 12%	20 20%	33 9%	43 16%	24 13%	32 9%	33 9%	165 12%	63 14%	87 13%	
No		79%	80%	50%	79%	84%	78%	81%	71%	75%	76%	79%	67%	78%	88%	77%	79%	83%	•
Do :	not recall	9%	7%	50%	9%	3%	11%	8%	17%	5%	15%	5%	21%	13%	3%	11%	6%	5%	,
±.	Chi ^z : points around 50%:	5	 10	69	7	- 11	10	10	15	22	17	15	20	17	17	- 8	12	11	

While listening to the radio, have you ever heard a radio ad called "Seeds of Success"?

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	TONSHIP	CANAÐ1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANL	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q14																		
Unweighted n:	318	256	62	199	118	140	177	129	189	105	41	162	70	106	133	107	98	109
Yes	13%	12%	15%	11%	15%	11%	14%	16%	10%	17%	12%	9%	10%	11%	14%	9%	12%	16%
No	79%	80%	74%	82%	74%	79%	79%	74%	81%	72%	83%	82%	83%	79%	76%	82%	78%	76%
Do not recall	9%	8%	11%	7%	11%	10%	7%	9%	8%	10%	5%	9%	7%	9%	10%	8%	10%	8%
Chi ² :		_		-		-		-		-			-			-		
\pm points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	9	7	10	15	8	12	10	8	9	10	9

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q14			
Unweighted n:	318	69	249
Yes	13%	1.1%	12%
No	79%	75%	80%
Do not recall	9%	10%	8%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	5	12	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

02/03/18

What are your impressions of the stories you have heard through the "Seeds of Success" advertisements?

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				F	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<¶S	Some H S	HS	Coll	Univ
Q15 Unweighted n: POSITIVE	40 78%	0 0%	0 0%	40 78%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0%	19 63%	21 90%	17 88%	5 80%	7 71%	3 100%	-4 75%	12 75%	14 86%	4 100%	5 60%	4 75%
NEGATIVE	15%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	10%	12%	0%	14%	0%	25%	17%	14%	0%	0%	25%
Very positive	25%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	29%	41%	0%	29%	33%	0%	33%	29%	0%	40%	0%
Moderately positive	53%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%	0%	0%	42%	62%	47%	80%	43%	67%	75%	42%	57%	100%	20%	75%
Moderately negative	10%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	5%	6%	0%	14%	0%	25%	8%	7%	0%	0%	25%
Very negative	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	0%	0%	20%	14%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	40%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	15	*	*	15	*	*	*	*	(. 10) 22	21	24	-44	37	57	49	28	26	49	44	49

			L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT	STATUS
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Magmat	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
	Q15 Unweighted n: POSITIVE	40 78%	12 83%	0	27 78%	10 100%	10	10 70%	5 80%	4 75%	3 67%	7 57%	3 100%	3 67%	3 67%	20 70%	9 78%	11 91%
	NEGATIVE	15%	17%	0%	11%	0%	10%	20%	20%	25%	33%	29%	0%	33%	0%	20%	22%	0%
	Very positive	25%	17%	0%	30%	30%	30%	20%	20%	25%	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	20%	11%	45%
	Moderately positive	53%	67%	0%	48%	70%	40%	50%	60%	50%	67%	57%	67%	67%	0%	50%	67%	45%
	Moderately negative	10%	17%	0%	4%	0%	10%	20%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	33%	0%	15%	11%	0%
	Very negative	5%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	20%	25%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	11%	0%
	DK/NR	8%	0%	0%	11%	0%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	33%	10%	0%	9%
	Chi²: ±points around 50%:	15	28	*	19	31		31	44	49	- 57	37	57	57	57	22		
E	kos Research Associates Ir	nc. / Le	s Assoc	iés de	rechero	che Eko	s									PAG	E: 149)

What are your impressions of the stories you have heard through the "Seeds of Success" advertisements?

		KID	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNE t	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE		BANL	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith		Bad	Neith	Good
Q15																		
Unweighted n: POSITIVE	40 78%	31 84%	9 56%	22 77%	18 78%	15 73%	25 80%	21 81%	19 74%	18 78%	5 80%	15 87%	7 71%	12 100%	19 74%	10 80%	12 75%	17
FUSTINE	10.0	0470	00%	1170	10%	13%	00%	01%	14%	(0%	0 U%	0 (70	11/0	100%	14:70	00%	10%	82%
NEGATIVE	15%	6%	44%	14%	17%	7%	20%	10%	21%	22%	0%	7%	14%	0%	21%	10%	17%	18%
Very positive	25%	32%	0%	23%	28%	7%	36%	33%	16%	39%	20%	13%	14%	33%	26%	10%	8%	47%
Moderately positive	53%	52%	56%	55%	50%	67%	41%	48%	58%	39%	60%	73%	57%	67%	47%	70%	67%	35%
Moderately negative	10%	3%	33%	9%	11%	7%	12%	5%	16%	11%	0%	7%	0%	0%	16%	10%	8%	12%
Very negative	5%	3%	11%	5%	6%	0%	8%	5%	5%	11%	0%	0%	14%	0%	5%	0%	8%	6%
DK/NR	8%	10%	0%	9%	6%	20%	0%	10%	5%	0%	20%	7%	14%	0%	5%	10%	8%	0%
Chi ² :		(. 05)		-		(. 05)		-		-			-					
\pm points around 50%:	15	18	33	21	23	25	20	21	22	23	41	25	37	28	22	31	28	24

02/03/18

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q15			
Unweighted n:	40	10	30
POSITIVE	78%	80%	77%
NEGATIVE	15%	10%	17%
Very positive	25%	10%	30%
Moderately positive	53%	70%	47%
Moderately negative	10%	0%	13%
Very negative	5%	10%	3%
DK/NR	8%	10%	7%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	15	31	18

How have the success stories changed your perception of Aboriginal people?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	NDER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	.0N	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<115	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q16 Unweighted n: POSTIVE	40 65%	0	0 0%	40 65%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	19 47%	21 81%	17 71%	5 60%	7	3 33%	4 75%	12 58%	14 71%	4 100%	5 60%	4 50%
NEGATIVE	13%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	26%	0%	18%	0%	14%	0%	0%	17%	14%	0%	0%	0%
No change in perception	18%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	19%	12%	20%	14%	67%	25%	25%	14%	0%	0%	50%
Much more positive	23%	0%	$0^{a_0^\prime}$	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	33%	29%	20%	29%	0%	25%	33%	14%	25%	0%	50%
More positive	43%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37%	48%	41%	40%	43%	33%	50%	25%	57%	75%	60%	0%
More negative	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	0%	6%	0%	14%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Much more negative	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	5%	$O_{\ 0}^{a_{\nu}}$	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	0%
Chi': ±points around 50%:	15	*	*	15	*	*	*	*	(. 05) 22	21	24	44	37	57	49	(. 05) 28	26	49	44	49

How have the success stories changed your perception of Aboriginal people?

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS Total Eng Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-44 45-54 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Mngmnt Employ Unempl Not LF Q16 5 7 9 Unweighted n: 10 12 0 27 10 10 10 4 3 3 3 3 2011 POSTIVE 65% 67% 0% 67% 80% 60% 50% 60^{er} 100% 0% 57% 67% 33% 67% 50% 89% 73% NEGATIVE 29%33% 25%0% -0% 13% 17% 0°6 7%0% 10% 20% 20% 0% 67% 0% 0% No change in perception 18% 17% 0% 19% 20% 10% 30% 20%0% 33% 0% 33% 67% 0% 20% 11% 18% 60% 25%0% 1.1% 0% 33% 33% 20% 33% 18% Much more positive 23% 17% 0% 26%20%30% $0^{0'}_{-0}$ 33% 30% 56% 55% 50% $0\%{0}$ 41%60% 30% 50% 0% 75% 0% 13% 67% 0% More positive 43%8% 0% 10% 0% 0% 33% 14% 0% 0% 33% 15% 0% 0% More negative 8% 0% 4% 10% 33% 1.1% 0% 10% 0% 5% 8% 0% 4% 0% 0% 10% 20% 0% 0% 0% 0% Much more negative 0% 5% 0% DK/NR 5% 0% 0% 7% 0% 20% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.1% 0% 0% 9% Chi²: -_ 57 37 57 57 57 22 33 30 19 31 31 49 ±...points around 50%: 15 28* 31 44

How have the success stories changed your perception of Aboriginal people?

02/03/18

		K1D5	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Tota1	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q16 Unweighted n: POSTIVE	40 65%	31 68%	9 56%	22 68%	18 61%	15 67%	25 64%	21 62%	19 68%	18 72%	5 60%	15 67%	7 71%	12 75%	19 63%	10 60%	12 67%	17 71%
NEGATIVE	13%	13%	11%	9%	17%	7%	16%	10%	16%	17%	0%	7%	0%	0%	16%	20%	8%	6%
No change in perception	18%	13%	33%	18%	17%	13%	20%	24%	11%	11%	20%	27%	14%	25%	16%	10%	17%	24%
Much more positive	23%	23%	22%	18%	28%	20%	24%	24%	21%	28%	40%	13%	0%	42%	21%	0%	25%	35%
More positive	13%	45%	33%	50%	33%	47%	40%	38%	47%	4.1%	20%	53%	71%	33%	42%	60%	42%	35%
More negative	8%	6%	11%	5%	11%	7%	8%	5%	11%	6%	0%	7%	0%	0%	5%	20%	0%	0%
Much more negative	5%	6%	0%	5%	6%	0%	8%	5%	5%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	8%	6%
DK/NR	5%	6%	0%	5%	6%	13%	0%	5%	5%	0%	20%	0%	14%	0%	5%	10%	8%	0%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%:	15	- 18	33	21	23	_ 25	20	21	22	- 23	44	25		28	22		28	24

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

How have the success stories changed your perception of Aboriginal people?

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q16			
Unweighted n:	40	10	30
POSTIVE	65%	50%	70%
NEGATIVE	13%	10%	13%
No change in perception	18%	30%	13%
Much more positive	23%	10%	27%
More positive	43%	40%	43%
More negative	8%	0%	10%
Much more negative	5%	10%	3%
DK/NR	5%	10%	3%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	15	31	18

There is a need to highlight more Aboriginal success stories through the media

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	EDUCAT1	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	0nt	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Co11</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Co11	Univ
Q17 Unweighted n: DISAGREE	335 7%	0 0%	0 0%	335 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	152 9%	183 6%	94 10% +	64 5%	43 5%	47 4%	49 4%	69 6%	129 9%	63 8%	34 6%	38 3%
NEITHER	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	6%	5%
AGREE	88%	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	86%	91%	87%	91%	91%	96%	9.1%	87%	88%	92%	88%	92%
Strongly disagree	2%	$O^{a_{0}^{\prime}}$	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	3%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	5%	3%	0%
Disagree	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%	2%	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	0%	. 2%	1%	3%	0%	6%	5%
Agree	28%	0%	0%	28%	0%	O%	0%	0%	31%	26%	23%	30%	35%	30%	22%	36%	35%	16%	21%	21%
Strongly agree	60%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	55%	64%	64%	61%	56%	66%	71%	51%	53%	76%	68%	71%
DK/NR Chi ² :	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	6% ++++ (. 05)	0%	0%	0%	0%
±points around 50%:	5	*	*	5	*	*	*	*	8	7	10	12	15	14	14	12	9	12	17	16
Weighted n for mean:	1418	144	163	330	335	160	147	139	622	796	279	286	229	249	196	191	505	335	226	149
Mean:	1.03	0.00	0.00	4.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.04	1.01	1.47	0.99	0.81	0.86	1.15	1.49	1.10	0.86	0.67	1.17
Std dev:	1.92	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1. 91	1.93	2.16	1.90	1.76	1.82	2.03	2.14	1.94	1.84	1.64	2.05
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	-	-	. 01	-	. 05	. 10	-	. 01	-	. 05	. 01	-

There is a need to highlight more Aboriginal success stories through the media

EMPLOYMENT STATUS LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR Total Eng 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Mngmnt Employ Unempl Not LF Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-44 45-54 Q17 88 335 231769645 22 37 46 2733 33 177 67 Unweighted n: 100 2947% 6%0% 8% 9% 11% 2% 4% 14% 5% 7% 7% 3% 12% 7% 6% 9% DISAGREE _ NEITHER 3% 4% 50% 2% 5% 1% 4% 0% 0% 8% 0% 4% 3% 3% 3% 1% 2% 86% 85% 89% 90% 88% AGREE 88% 89% 50% 89% 86%88% 93% 93% 77% 93% 89% 94% 2%2% 3% $0^{0'}_{.0}$ 2%3% 0% 4% 0% 0% 2% 0% 0% 6% 2% 3% Strongly disagree 1% 7% 2% 0% 5% 4% 7% 3% 6% 5% 3% 7% Disagree 5% 3% 0% 6% 6% 1.4% 0% 8% 0% 4% 3% 3% 3% 1% 2% Neither Agree nor Disag 3% 4% 50% 2% 5% 1% 4% 0% ree 27%19% 29% 35% 28% 26% 15% 30% 27% 31% 30% 21% 0% 32%39% 41% Agree 28% 65% 63% 79% 55% 63% 58% 58% Strongly agree 60% 68% 50% 57% 46% 62% 74%64% 36% 51% DK/NR 1% 1% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 2% 9% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 3% 1% Chi²: (.01)(.01)10 5 69 10 15 21 16 14 19 17 17 7 12 ±..points around 50%: 10 6 11 10 347 43271377355 218 183 155 222 112 180 143 818 243 Weighted n for mean: 1418 611 7481.03 0.93 1.07 0.86 0.97 0.96 1, 19 1.09 Mean: 1.03 0.73 0.19 1.34 1.18 1.08 1.25 0.91 0.45 1.95 1.89 1.87 1, 96 1,85 1.861.88 2, 02 1, 92 1.70 0.88 2.08 1.96 1.96 2.10 1.86 1.32 Std dev: Student's t: . 01 , 01 , 01 -_ . 05 _ .01 -_ _ -. 10 _ ----*

02/03/18

There is a need to highlight more Aboriginal success stories through the media

02/03/18

		KID	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q17										<u> </u>							<u> </u>	
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	335 7%	267 7%	68 10%	209 8%	125 6%	144 7%	190 8%	136 7%	199 8%	111 9%	43 5%	169 7%	73 1%	112 5%	139 12%	108 6%	104 8%	119 9%
	20/	*) Ø/	-242/	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	-4%	1%	2%	+++ 4%	3%	3%	3%
NEITHER	3%	3%	3%	£70	-10	o. 1-	2 10	9.6	3.0	1.9	2.0	•1/0	1.0	<i>4</i> 70	4.0	0.0	3.0	3%
AGREE	88%	90%	84%	89%	89%	89%	88%	90%	87%	90%	93%	86%	97% ++	92%	83%	91%	88%	87%
Strongly disagree	2%	3%	0%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	0%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
Disagree	5%	-1%	10%	5%	6%	3%	7%	4%	6%	8%	2%	3%	1%	-1%	7%	3%	6%	7%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	3%	3%	2%	-1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%
Agree	28%	28%	31%	31%	24%	26%	30%	33%	25%	23%	23%	33%	21%	28%	32%	23%	30%	29%
Strongly agree	60%	62%	53%	57%	65%	63%	58%	57%	62%	67%	70%	54%	77%	64%	50%	68%	58%	57%
DK/NR	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	3% ++	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		_		-		-		-		-			(. 10)			-		
±points around 50%:	5	6	12	7	9	8	7	8	7	9	15	8	11	9	8	9	10	9
Weighted n for mean:	1418	1003	415	752	659	667	745	585	833	476	181	702	373	475	538	396	428	571
Mean	1.03	1.17	0.68	1.20	0.84	0.95	1.10	1.01	1.04	1.04	1.08	1.01	0.92	1.05	1.07	1.22	1.04	0.89
Std dev:	1.92	2.01	1.62	2.01	1.79	1.87	1.96	1.90	1.93	1.94	1.99	1.90	1.89	1.95	1.91	2.06 .05	1.92	1.81 .05
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01	. 01	. 01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	. 05	-	. 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

There is a need to highlight more Aboriginal success stories through the media

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q17			
Unweighted n:	335	71	264
DISAGREE	7%	1.1%	6%
		++	-
NEITHER	3%	6%	2%
		+	-
AGREE	88%	80%	91%
			++
Strongly disagree	2%	6%	2%
Disagree	5%	8%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag	3%	6%	2%
ree Agree	28%	27%	29%
NRI CC	20.0	510	2.5.4
Strongly agree	60%	54%	62%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%
Chi ² :		(.05)	
±points around 50%:	5	12	6
Weighted n for mean:	1418	454	964
Mean:	1.03	0.65	1.20
Std dev:	1.92	1.58	2.04
Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01

02/03/18

Settling land claims provides First Nations people with the land and resources needed for expanding economic opportunity

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>IIS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	IIS	Coll	Univ
Q19A Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
DISAGREE	8%	14% +++	5%	9%	6%	8%	6%	8%	8%	7%	11%	9%	7%	8%	5%	4%	9% +	9%	7%	6%
NEITHER	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	1%	6% ++	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
AGREE	86%	78%	90%	86%	87%	88%	85%	86%	86%	86%	83%	86%	87%	88%	91% +	85%	85%	87%	89%	90%
Strongly disagree	3% 5%	6% 8%	2% 3%	3% 6%	2% 4%	4% 3%	1%	2% 6%	3% 6%	3% 4%	4% 6%	2% 7%	2% 6%	3% 5%	3% 2%	2% 3%	3% 7%	4% 5%	2% 5%	4% 2%
Disagree Neither Agree nor Disag	3%	5%	- 2%	3%	4%	1%	5% 6%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	-1%	2% 4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2% 4%
ree Agree	32%	31%	25%	29%	35%	35%	32%	35%	30%	33%	27%	35%	37%	29%	26%	32%	31%	36%	29%	30%
Strongly agree	54%	17%	65%	57%	53%	53%	53%	51%	55%	54%	55%	51%	51%	59%	65%	53%	54%	50%	61%	60%
DK/NR	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	-4% ++++	1%	2%	0%	1%	8% ++++	2%	1%	2%	0%
Chi ² :		-		_		_	_		-		(.05)			0	-	(. 01)		_	-	0
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	3 1381	8 139	8 159	5 326	5 323	8 155	8 143	8 136	4 608	3 773	6 268	6 285	6 225	$\frac{6}{248}$	7 195	179	4 494	5 332	7 222	8 149
Mean:	4. 34	4.09	4. 52	4.34	4.37	4.34	4.34	4.30	4.33	4.35	4.28	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.49	4.45	4.29	4.26	4.44	4.40
Std-dev: Student's t:	0.97 *	1.19 .01	0.85 .01	1.01	0.89	1.00	0.90	0.95 -	0.99 -	0.96 -	1.10 _	0. 99 -	0.92	0.97	0.90 .05	0.82 .10	1.02	1.01 .10	0.90 .10	0.97 -

02/03/18

Settling land claims provides First Nations people with the land and resources needed for expanding economic opportunity

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q19A Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423 8 ¹⁰ / ₂₀	612 8%	43 2%	751 8%	271 8%	377 5%	356 9%	219 8%	185 9%	155 8%	222 10%	112 4%	180 6%	143 8%	819 8%	245 8%	348 8%
NEITHER	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	+ 4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
AGREE	86%	88%	88%	85%	85%	91% +++	87%	88%	78%	87%	84%	90%	91% +	85%	87%	87%	84%
Strongly disagree	3%	4%	0%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Disagree	5%	4%	2%	6%	6%	2%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	4%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
Agree	32%	33%	33%	31%	35%	34%	30%	28%	32%	34%	33%	36%	32%	27%	32%	31%	32%
Strongly agree	54%	55%	56%	54%	50%	57%	56%	60%	46%	54%	51%	54%	59%	59%	56%	56%	52%
DK/NR	3%	5.6	7% +	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	8% ++++	1%	2%	4% ++	0%	2%	2%	3%	4% ++
Chi ^a :		-			(.01)					-					-		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	-4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	1381	602	40	728	265	372	349	217	170	154	218	108	180	140	805	238	333
Mean:	4.34	4.33	4.53	4.34	4.27	4.42	4.34	4.39	4.20	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.42	4.35	4.35	4.36	4.30
Std dev: Student's t:	0.97 *	1.00	0.68 .10	0. 95 -	0.97	0.88 ,05	1.00	0.97	1.07 .10	0. 91 ~	1.08 .10	0. 79 -	0, 91	1.02	0.96 -	0.96	1, 01

Settling land claims provides First Nations people with the land and resources needed for expanding economic opportunity

		KIDS	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	TED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Sume	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19A Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
DISAGREE	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	13% +++	7%	8%	9%	7%	10% ++	9%	5%
NEITHER	3%	3%	$\cdot 1^{n_0'}$	3%	4 [%]	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	-4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%
AGREE	86%	87%	83%	87%	85%	89%	84%	86%	86%	88%	81%	87%	86%	86%	87%	84%	85%	89%
Strongly disagree	3%	2^{a_0}	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%
Disagree	5%	5%	5%	6.%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	7%	-1%	6%	6%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	3%	1%	3%	.1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%
Agree	32%	32%	31%	30%	33%	32%	32%	31%	32%	32%	24%	33%	27%	33%	34%	30%	33%	32%
Strongly agree	54%	55%	52%	57%	52%	57%	53%	56%	54%	56%	57%	54%	59%	52%	54%	54%	52%	57%
DK/NR	3%	2%	5% ++++	2%	4% +++	1%	4% ++++	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Chi ² :		. 01		. 05		. 01		-		(. 10)			-			-		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3		7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean:	1381	986	395	743	635	660	719	570	811	463	179	686 4.35	366	463 4.30	526	389	418	558
Mean:	4.34 0.97	4.36 0.95	4.30	4.35 0.97	4.33	4.38	4.31 0.99	4.35 0.96	4.33 0.97	4.40 0,89	4.20	4.35 0.95	4.36 1.01	4.30 0.97	4.35 0.95	4.27 1.07	4.30 0.99	4.43 0.86
Std dev: Student's t:	0.97	0.95	1.02	0.97	0.96	0.94	0, 99	0,96	0.97	0.09	. 10	0.95	-	0.97	0.95	. 10	0.99	. 01

02/03/18

02/03/18

.

Settling land claims provides First Nations people with the land and resources needed for expanding economic opportunity

		SAMPL	Ε ΤΥΡΕ
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q19A			
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423 8%	454 8%	
NEITHER	3%	4%	3%
AGREE	86%	85%	86%
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%
Disagree	5%	5%	5%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	4%	3%
Agree	32%	35%	30%
Strongly agree	54%	51%	56%
DK/NR	3%	2%	4% +
Chi ² :		_	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1381	446	935
Mean:	4.34	4.27	
Std_dev:	0.970	0. 995	
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10

02/03/18

Only when First Nation's people can exercise treaty rights, in areas like hunting and fishing for sustenance, can they be more self-reliant and have a better life.

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	t0-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some HS	hs	Coll	Univ
Q19B Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423 10%	144 11%	163 9%	335 12%	335 8%	160 12%	147 11%	139 12%	624 10%	799 11%	280 10%	287 9%	230 10%	249 12%	196 10%	195 12%	505 8%	335 11%	226 14%	149 10%
NEITHER	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	8%	5%	10%	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	9% ++	3%		5%	10%	9%
AGREE	82%	8.1%	84%	83%	84%	78%	83%	77%	84%	81%	86%	85%	82%	83%	80%	82%		82%	75%	+ 81%
Strongly disagree	-1%	5%	2%	5%	3%	-1%	5%	3%	3%	41%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%	2%
Disagree	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%	9%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	5%	7%	9%	8%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	3%	-1%	1%	5%	8%	5%	10%	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	9%	3%	-4%	5%	10%	9%
Agree	31%	30%	25%	30%	32%	24%	43%	36%	30%	32%	31%	33%	30%	35%	27%	29%	26%	37%	31%	38%
Strongly agree	51%	54%	59%	52%	52%	53%	.10%	41%	54%	49%	55%	52%	53%	47%	54%	52%	60%	45%	44%	4.1%
DK/NR	2%	2%	- <u>2</u> %	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4% +++	1%	1%	1%	0%
Chi ² : ±,,points around 50%:	3	- 8	8	5	5	8	8	8	- 4	3	- 6	6	6	6	7	(, 01) 7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	1395 4. 21 1. 07	141 4.25 1.11	159 4.35 1.02	331 4, 20 1, 12	327 4.28 1.00	155 4. 19 1. 13	145 4.08 1.08	137 4.05 1.07	614 4. 27 1. 04	781 4. 17 1. 10	277 4.30 1.04	282 4.26 1.02	227 4. 21 1. 10	247 4.16 1.08	193 4. 23 1. 07	187 4. 22 1. 14	498 4.37 1.01	330 4.15 1.06	224 4.00 1.18	149 4. 13 1. 01
Student's t:	*	-	. 10	-	-	-	-	. 10	. 10	. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 01	-	.01	-

02/03/18

Only when First Nation's people can exercise treaty rights. in areas like hunting and fishing for sustenance, can they be more self-reliant and have a better life.

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT	STATUS
	Totał	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Margmant	Employ	Unempi	Not LF
Q19B Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423 10%	612 11%	43 14%	751 10%	271 13%	377 9%	356 10%	219 10%	485 11%	155 9%	222 9%	112 8%	180 12%	143 8%	819 9%	245 14% +	
NEITHER	5%	7% ++	5%	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%	8%	5%	6%		
AGREE	82%	81%	79%	84%	80%	84%	82%	87% +	78%	84%	85%	84%	79% _	86%	83%	80%	82%
Strongly disagree	4%	1%	7%	3%	6%	4%	.1%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	-4%	5%
Disagree	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	6%	5%	9%	5%	6%	10%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%	8%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Agree	31%	31%	35%	32%	32%	35%	29%	27%	31%	31%	29%	33%	37%	31%	32%	26%	33%
Strongly agree	51%	50%	4.4%	52%	18%	49%	53%	59%	48%	53%	55%	51%	42%	55%	52%	54%	49%
DK/NR	2%	1.0	26	200	2%	2%	2%	0.0	3% +	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		-			-					-					_		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	-4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	-	
Weighted n for mean:	1395	603	-12	738	266	371	350	219	179	152	218	109	179		806		
Mean:	4.21	4.18	4.05	1.24	4.11	4.22	4.23	4.33	4.16	4.26	4.30	4.28	4.06		4.25	4.18	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.07 *	1.08	1.21	1.05	1.16	1.04	1.08	1.03 . 1 0	1.07	1.05	1.03	0.99 _	1, 08 . 05	0.98	1.03	1.46	1.12

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

Only when First Nation's people can exercise treaty rights, in areas like hunting and fishing for sustenance, can they be more self-reliant and have a better life.

		K1D:	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAC	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	10NSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT	
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19B																		
Unweighted n:	1423 10%	1006 10%	417	755 8%	660 13%	667 11%	749 10%	586 11%	837 10%	476 12%	181 14%	707 8%	373 11%	476 9%	540 10%	397 13%	430 10%	573 9%
DISAGREE	10%	10%	12%	0%	++++	11/0	10%	11%	10%	1-2-70	14/0	0%	110	9.10	10.6	++	10.0	5%
NEITHER	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	7% +++	-1%	5%	6%	5%	2%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%
AGREE	82%	83%	81%	86%	79%	80%	85%	82%	82%	82%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	79%	82%	85%
				++++			++									-		++
Strongly disagree	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
Disagree	7%	7%	7%	-1%	10%	7%	6%	7%	6%	8%	11%	5%	8%	6%	6%	10%	7%	5%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%	2%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%
Agree	31%	30%	33%	31%	31%	34%	29%	31%	31%	32%	28%	32%	27%	33%	33%	30%	31%	32%
Strongly agree	51%	52%	47%	5.1%	48%	46%	56%	52%	51%	50%	55%	51%	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%	53%
DK/NR	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2% ++	1%	1%	2%	1%	3% ++	1%
Chi ² :		-		.01		, 05		-		(. 05)			-			, 10		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	-4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean:	1395	990	405	744	648	656	737	576	819	471	181	6 92	368	469	529	393	418	565
Mean	4.21	4.24	4.15	4.30	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.22 1.08	4.21	4.16	4.21 1.13	4.26 1.01	4.26 1.08	4.21	4.21 1.07	4.13 1.13	4.22	4.27 1.03
Std dev: Student's t:	1.07 *	1.06	1. 11 -	1.02 .01	1.12 .01	1.09 .01	1.06 .01	1.08	1.07	1. 11 -	1. 13	1.01	1.08	1.04	1.07	1. 13 . 10	t. 00	. 10

02/03/18

Only when First Nation's people can exercise treaty rights, in areas like hunting and fishing for sustenance, can they be more self-reliant and have a better life.

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q19B			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
DISAGREE	10%	110	10%
NEITHER	5%	6%	5%
AGREE	82%	82%	82%
Strongly disagree	4%	3%	4%
Disagree	7%	8%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	6%	5%
Agree	31%	37%	28%
Strongly agree	51%	45%	54%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		_	
\pm points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1395	449	9.16
Mean:	4.21	4.14	4.25
Std dev:	1.07	1.05	1.08
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10

02/03/18

If Band governments are going to make their communities better, they should have legal options like being able to enter into contracts, own property, borrow money and sue someone.

1.

			REGION						GEN	DER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Col1</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Col1	Univ
Q19C																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
DISAGREE	18%	14%	24% ++	15%	18%	18%	19%	19%	17%	18%	18%	18%	17%	16%	16%	19%	16%	17%	20%	19%
NEITHER	6%	11%	3%	5%	5%	4%	12%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	8%	7%	4%	5%	8%	8%	7%
AGREE	73%	+++ 72%	69%	77%	74%	75%	+++ 65%	71%	73%	72%	74%	74%	73%	74%	75%	72%	76%	73%	69%	73%
Strongly disagree	6%	5%	10%	3%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%	8%	9%
Disagree	12%	9%	13%	11%	12%	9%	12%	14%	11%	12%	12%	12%	11%	11%	9%	13%	10%	13%	12%	9%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	6%	11%	3%	5%	5%	4%	12%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	8%	7%	4%	5%	8%	8%	7%
Agree	33%	34%	28%	32%	30%	35%	31%	42%	32%	33%	29%	34%	36%	34%	30%	28%	33%	38%	31%	31%
Strongly agree	40%	38%	.10%	45%	44%	40%	34%	29%	41%	40%	45%	39%	38%	40%	45%	44%	43%	35%	38%	42%
DK/NR	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4% +	1%	3%	2%	2%	5% +	3%	3%	2%	1%
Chi ² :		(.05)							-		-					-				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8
Weighted n for mean:	1373	140	156	323	323	155	142	134	599	774	269	284	223	243	192	185		326	221	148
Mean:	3.92	3.94	3.78	4.08	3.97	3.92	3.76	3.77	3.94	3.91	3.99	3.89	3.91	3.96	3.99	3.95		3.90	3.82	3.88
Std dev:	1.23	1.16	1.40	1.14	1.25	1.27	1.25	1.19	1.23	1.23	1.27	1.23	1.22	1.18	1.25	1.28		1.16	1, 29	1.31
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	-		. 10	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	, 10	-	-	-

02/03/18

If Band governments are going to make their communities better, they should have legal options like being able to enter into contracts, own property,

borrow money and sue someone.

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT.	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	lService	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q19C Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423 18%	612 18%	43 16%	751 18%	271 18%	377 16%	356 16%	219 19%	185 23% ++	155 19%	222 18%	112 21%	180 17%	43 15%	819 17%	245 17%	348 18%
NEITHER	6%	7%	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%	5%	6%	8%	5%	7%	6%		7%	4%	6%
AGREE	73%	74%	70%	73%	73%	7 5%	76%	73%	65%	70%	74%	71%	74%	+ 71%	73%	76%	72%
Strongly disagree	6%	7%	2%	6%	6%	4%	7%	6%	9%	8%	7%	4%	7%	6%	6%	4%	7%
Disagree	12%	11%	14%	12%	13%	11%	9%	13%	15%	10%	11%	16%	9%	10%	11%	13%	11%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	6%	7%	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%	5%	6%	8%	5%	7%	6%	10%	7%	4%	6%
Agree	33%	33%	26%	32%	34%	35%	31%	32%	30%	30%	33%	35%	31%	29%	32%	31%	36%
Strongly agree	40%	40%	4.1%	40%	39%	39%	45%	42%	35%	41%	41%	37%	43%	41%	41%	45%	35%
DK/NR	4%	2%	5%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Chi ² :		-			-										-		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	-4	6		5		7	8	7	9	7	8	3	-	
Weighted n for mean:	1373	599	41	723	267	366	344	213 3.92	176 3.72		216 3, 94	111 3, 84	175 3, 97	138 3. 94	795 3, 93	238 4. 03	
Mean: Std dev:	3, 92 1, 23	3, 91 1, 24	4.00	3.93 1.23	3.89 1.22	3, 97 1, 16	4.01 1.25	3.92 1.26	3.72		3. 94 1. 25	3, 84 1, 22	1.25	3. 94 1. 21	3, 93 1, 24	1. 19	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-				-	. 05		-	-		-	-	-	

02/03/18

If Band governments are going to make their communities better, they should have legal options like being able to enter into contracts, own property, borrow money and sue someone.

		KID	KIDS		ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD I A	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
QI9C Unweighted n: DISAGREE	1423	1006	417	755 18%	660 17%	667 17%	749 18%	586 18%	837	476 16%	181	707	373 21%	476	540 14%	397 21%	430	573 14%
DISHOREL	10/0	1. 0	10.0	10.0	1.10	1. 10	10.0	.0.0	10.0		++		++			++		
NEITHER	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	8% +++	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	5%
AGREE	73%	73%	71%	73%	73%	73%	73%	73%	73%	75%	6 7%	74%	70%	71%	77% ++	70%	70%	78% ++++
Strongly disagree	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	4%	9%	6%	9%	7%	4%	9%	5%	5%
Disagree	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	10%	13%	10%	12%	12%	14%	11%	13%	13%	10%	13%	13%	9%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	8%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	5%
Agree	33%	33%	32%	31%	34%	33%	32%	32%	33%	35%	22%	34%	27%	33%	36%	28%	33%	36%
Strongly agree	-10%	41%	39%	42%	39%	40%	41%	41%	40%	40%	45%	40%	43%	38%	41%	42%	38%	42%
DK/NR	4%	3%	5% +	2%	4% ++	2%	4% ++	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4% +	3%
Chi * :		-		, 10		. 01		-		-			-			, 05		
±,.points around 50%:	3	3	5	-1	-4	4	4	4	3	-	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
Weighted n for mean:	1373	976	397	739	632	654	718	567	806		174	685	365	461	521	387	411	557
Mean:	3.92	3.94	3.89	3.92	3.92	3.91 1.23	3.94 1.24	3.92 1.26	3.93 1.22		3.83 1.40	3.93 1.22	3.85 1.34	3.87 1.25	4.03 1.13	3.83 1.33	3.88 1.23	4.04 1.13
Std dev: Student's t:	1.23 *	1. 22	1.27	1. 25	1.22 -	1.23	1.24	1, 20	1. 22		1.40	1.22	1, 34	1, 25	. 05	. 10	1.23	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

If Band governments are going to make their communities better, they should have legal options like being able to enter into contracts, own property,

borrow money and sue someone.

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q19C			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
DISAGREE	18%	19%	17%
NEITHER	6%	8%	5%
		+	-
AGREE	73%	70%	74%
Strongly disagree	6%	8%	5%
Disagree	12%	11%	12%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	6%	8%	5%
Agree	33%	36%	31%
Strongly agree	40%	35%	43%
DK/NR	4%	3%	4%
Chi ² :		_	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1373	442	931
Mean:	3.92	3.80	3.98
Std dev:	1.23	1.26	1.22
Student's t:	*	. 05	. 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

.

.

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

Good government

		REGION							GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EDUCA T I	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>115</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	115	Coll	Univ
Q19F												_								
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	702 9%	73 10%	83 12%	171 11%	152 5%	76 14%	73 14%	74 4%	324 11% +	378 8%	146 10%	155 14%	111 15% ++	120 3%	78 6%	90 11%	251 11%	169 6%	117 7%	68 13%
NEITHER	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	8% +++	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	0%	2%	7% +++	3%	6%
AGREE	86%	86%	86%	87%	91% ++	79%	75%	89%	84%	88%	86%	83%	83%	92% ++	88%	86%	86%	86%	89%	81%
Strongly disagree	3%	5%	5%	5%	0%	7%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	7%
Disagree	6%	4%	7%	6%	5%	8%	11%	3%	8%	4%	6%	8%	13%	2%	4%	7%	7%	5%	4%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	8%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	0%	2%	7%	3%	6%
Agree	34%	44%	33%	32%	30%	38%	37%	36%	30%	39%	29%	34%	32%	43%	26%	27%	34%	36%	43%	31%
Strongly agree	51%	42%	53%	56%	61%	41%	38%	53%	54%	49%	56%	49%	51%	48%	63%	59%	53%	50%	16%	50%
DK/NR	2%	0%	0%	1%	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	0%
Chi ² :		(.05)								_						(, 05)		_		
±,,points around 50%: Weighted n for mean:	$\frac{4}{690}$	11 73	11 83	7 169	$\frac{8}{148}$	11 73	11 71	11 73	5 318	5 372	8 145	8 153	111	118	11 77	10 87	248	8 167	9 115	12 68
Mean: Std-dev: Student's t:	4, 26 1, 02 *	4. 14 1. 06	4. 22 1. 12 -	4.29 1.08	4.51 0.76 .01	4.03 1.19 .10	4.00 1.10 .05	4.38 0.83	4.25 1.08 -	4. 27 0. 97	4, 28 1, 07	4. 14 1. 16 -		4, 37 0, 78 _	4.44 0.94 .10	4.33 1.10	4.26 1.06	4, 31 0, 88 -	4.28 0.91	4. 10 1. 21 -

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

Good government

		Ł	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	15-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mingminit	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
Q19F Unweighted n:	702	300	20	376	129	183	193	105	85	91	10.4	61	86	66	410	114	174
DISAGREE	9%	8%	10%	10%	10%		8%	105	11%		8%	2%	12%		410 8%		15%
NEITHER	3%	4%	5%	2%	5%	3%	4%	1%	2%		5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	
AGREE	86%	88%	80%	85%	84%	86%	87%	88%	84%	86%	87%	90%	81%	94% +	87%	89%	82%
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	0%	4%	2%	2%	3%	6%	7%	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%
Disagree	6%	5%	10%	7%	8%	7%	6%	4%	4%	9%	5%	2%	8%	2%	6%	4%	9%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	-1%	5%	2%	5%	3%	4%	1%	2%	1%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Agree	34%	35%	30%	35%	36%	38%	33%	27%	39%	36%	31%	34%	29%	32%	32%	33%	41%
Strongly agree	51%	53%	50%	50%	48%	48%	54%	61%	45%	49%	56%	56%	52%	62%	55%	55%	41%
DK/NR	2%	0%	5%	2% ++	0%	2%	1%	2%	- 1 % +	1%	1%	5% ++	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%
Chi ² :		(. 10)			-					-					-		
±,,points around 50%:	4	6	22	5	9		7	10	11		10	13	11	12	5		
Weighted n for mean:	690	300	19	367	129		191	103	82		103	58	84	66			
Mean	4.26	4.29	4.26	4.24	4.20		4.32	4.36	4.15		4.33	4.52	4.21	4.52	4.33	4.38	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.02 *	0. 99 -	0. 99 -	1.05 -	1.01	0.97	0. 98 -	1.09	1.13 -	1.07	0. 98 -	0.66 .05	1.10 -	0.77 ,05	0.96 .05	0. 92 -	1.17 ,01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

Good government

		a and a second of a second of		SMOKI	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	TED	CANAD1A	N RELAT	10NSH1P	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Tota1	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19F Unweighted n: DISAGREE	702 9%	-194 9%	208 10%	370 9%	329 9%	322 9%	377 10%	283 11%	419 9%	235 8%	85 9%	348 10%	182 11%	226 9%	276 8%	202 14%	214 10%	277 5%
NEITHER	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	-1%	3%	3%	4%	3%	+++ 2%	4%	3%
AGREE	86%	86%	85%	86%	86%	86%	86%	85%	86%	88%	86%	85%	85%	86%	87%	82%	86%	90% ++
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	1%
Disagree	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%		5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Agree	34%	34%	36%	34%	36%	38%	32%	35%	34%	34%	33%	35%	34%	40%	31%	33%	36%	34%
Strongly agree DK/NR	51% 2%	52% 1%	49% 3%	53% 1%	50% 2%	48% 0%	54% 2%	51% 2%	52% 2%	54% 2%	53% 1%	51%	52% 1%	46% 1%	56% 2%	49% 2%	50% 0%	55% 2%
Chi ² :	2.70	0-1	2.0	170	2.70	(. 05)	276 ++	2%	270	270	1%	170	170	1%	2%	(.05)	0.9	270
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	4 690 4.26 1.02	4 488 4.28 1.00	7 202 4. 23 1. 06	5 367 4. 28 1. 00	5 322 4. 25 1. 04	5 321 4. 22 1. 02	5 368 4.30 1.02	6 278 4.23 1.08	5 412 4. 29 0. 98	6 230 4.35 0.96	11 84 4. 26 1. 07	5 344 4.24 1.02	7 180 4. 22 1. 10	7 224 4.21 1.00	6 271 4.34 0.99	198 4.12 1.19	7 213 4. 22 1. 04	6 271 4. 42 0. 83
Student's t:	1.02	-	1.00	1.00	1.04	1.02	1.02		0.90	0. 50	1.07	-	-	-	0.59	1. 19 . 05	-	. 01

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

Good government

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q19F			
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	702 9%	231 12%	471 8%
VEITHER	3%	4%	3%
AGREE	86%	83%	87%
Strongly disagree	3%	4%	3%
Disagree	6%	7%	5%
Neither Agree nor Disag	3%	-1%	3%
ree Agree	34%	40%	32%
Strongly agree	51%	43%	55%
DK/NR	2%	1.0	2%
Chi²:		-	
±.,points around 50%:	-1	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	690	229	
Mean	4.26	4.11	
Std dev: Student's t:	1.02	1.08	0. 98 . 01

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

Good government

					REGION				GEN	DER			1NCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some HS	HS	Co11	Univ
Q19H Unweighted n: DISAGREE	702 9%	73 15%	83 10%	171 8%	152 9%	76 12%	73 7%	74 5%	324 11% +	378 7%	146 9%	155 10%	111 10%	120 8%	78 9%	90 8%	251 8%	169 8%	117 12%	68 12%
NEITHER	4%	4%	0%	4%	3%	7%	8%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	7%	3%
AGREE	85%	78%	88%	87%	88%	79%	+ 85%	89%	84%	87%	84%	87%	85%	88%	86%	83%	89% +	86%	81%	82%
Strongly disagree	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	7%	5%	0%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	2%	2%	7%
Disagree	6%	10%	8%	5%	7%	5%	1%	5%	8%	4%	6%	6%	7%	5%	4%	4%	4%	7%	10%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	4%	0%	4%	3%	7%	8%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	7%	3%
Agree	36%	37%	34%	33%	32%	39%	45%	41%	35%	37%	35%	38%	31%	35%	28%	37%	37%	40%	30%	29%
Strongly agree	49%	41%	54%	54%	56%	39%	40%	49%	49%	50%	49%	49%	54%	53%	58%	47%	52%	46%	51%	53%
DK/NR	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%
Chi ² :		-							-		-					-				
±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev:	4 692 4.24 1.00	11 71 4.01 1.18	11 81 4.35 0.95	7 168 4. 32 0. 97	8 151 4.34 0.97	11 74 4.03 1.15	11 73 4. 12 1. 01	11 74 4. 32 0. 81	5 320 4. 20 1. 06	5 372 4.28 0.96	8 144 4. 24 1. 00	154 4. 23	4.27		11 77 4.31 1.08	10 88 4. 22 1. 00	249 4. 30	8 167 4. 23 0. 94	9 117 4.19 1.06	12 66 4. 20 1. 19
Student's t:	*	. 10	v. 50 -	-	-	. 10	-	-		-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living,

Good government

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT :	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Ski1led	Service	Prof	Mingmunt	Emp 1 oy	Unempl	Not LF
Q19H Unweighted n: DISAGREE	702 9%	300 9%	20 15%	376 9%	129 5%	183 8%	193 10%	105 11%	85 13%	91 8%	10·1 10%	61 8%	86 14% +		410 9%	114 7%	174 10%
NEITHER	4%	1%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	7%	6%	2%	3%		5%	-	5%
AGREE	85%	85%	80%	86%	88%	86%	85%	85%	84%	85%	8.1%	89%	80%	89%	84%	91%	
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	15%	2%	2%	-1%	2%	6%	4%	0%	3%	0%	6%	0%	2%	5%	1%
Disagree	6%	6%	0%	6%	3%	4%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	8%	8%	5%	7%	2%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	$1_{\cdot \mathbf{D}}^{0'}$	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	7%	6%	2%	3%	6%	5%	1%	5%
Agree	36%	36%	35%	36%	43%	38%	31%	28%	41%	31%	32%	43%	26%	39%	33%	43%	38%
Strongly agree	49%	49%	15%	50%	46%	48%	54%	57%	42%	54%	52%	46%	55%	50%	52%	48%	46%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		-			_					-					-		
±points around 50%:	4	6	22	5	9	7	7	10	11	10	10	13	11			9	
Weighted n for mean:	692	295	20	373	127	180	190	105	85		103	60	84	66			
Mean: Std dev:	4.24 1.00	4.24 1.01	3.95 1.39	$\frac{1.26}{0.98}$	4.30 0.84	4.24	4.28	4.25 1.14	4.09	4.32 0.91	4.24 1.03	4.28 0.87	4.18 1.20	4.35 0.79	4.26	4.28 0.99	
Student's t:	1.00	-	1. 59	0,98	v. 84 	0, 99	1.01	1, 14	1.08	0.91	- 1.03	0.07	1.20	0.79	- 1.00	0.99	1.04

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 176

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

Good government

		KIDS	5	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19H Unweighted n:	702	494	208	370	329	322	377	283	419	235	85	348	182	226	276	202	214	277
DISAGREE	9%	9%	9%	8%	10%	9%	9%	12%	7%	7%	14%	8%	15% ++++	8%	6%	15% ++++	7%	5%
NEITHER	4%	-1%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%	3%	5%	6% +	7%	2%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%
AGREE	85%	86%	84%	87%	84%	85%	86%	85%	86%	86%	76%	89% ++	80%	88%	87%	80%	87%	88% +
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	7%	2%	5%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%
Disagree	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%	10%	6%	4%	10%	5%	4%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	-1%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%	3%	5%	6%	7%	2%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%
Agree	36%	34%	39%	35%	37%	37%	34%	36%	36%	35%	31%	39%	34%	37%	36%	28%	43%	35%
Strongly agree	49%	52%	44%	52%	47%	48%	51%	49%	50%	51%	46%	50%	46%	51%	51%	51%	43%	53%
DK/NR	1%	1%	3% ++	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2% +	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		-		-		-		(. 05)		(. 05)			(. 05)			(.01)		
\pm points around 50%:	4	-4	7	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	11	5	7	7	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	692	490	202	367	323	319	371	281	411	234	83	346	180	225	271	198	212	274
Mean:	4.24	4.27	4.19	4.28	4.20	4.22	4.26	4.19	4.28	4.29	4.04	4.30	4.06	4.31	4.32	4. 13	4.22	4.36
Std dev: Student's t:	1.00 *	1.00	1.01	1.00	1.02	0.98	1.03	1.08	0.95	0.93	1.22 .10	0.94 -	1.19 .01	0. 92	0.92 .10	1.20 .10	0.93	0.88 .05

02/03/18

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

Good government

		SAMPL	ε τγρε
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q19H			
Unweighted n:	702	231	471
DISAGREE	9%	9%	9%
NEITHER	4%	6%	4%
AGREE	85%	85%	86%
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	3%
Disagree	6%	6%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag	4%	6%	-4%
ree	0.044	1.201	
Agree	36%	42%	33%
Strongly agree	49%	42%	53%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%
Chi ² :		_	
±points around 50%:	4	6	5
Weighted n for mean:	692	230	
Mean	4.24	4.16	4.29
Std dev:	1.00	0.98	1.02
Student's t:	*	-	-

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 178

and the second

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

strong accountability

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				F	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q19F Unweighted n: D1SAGREE	721 8%	71 7%	80 13%	164 9%	183 10%	84 5%	74 8%	65 5%	300 9%	421 8%	134 10%	132 6%	119 7%	129 8%	118 10%	105 9%	254 11% +	166 6%	109 10%	81 4%
NE1 THER	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	1%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%	2%
AGREE	85%	86%	83%	84%	84%	86%	91%	88%	87%	84%	84%	88%	89%	84%	86%	81%	84%	88%	85%	93% +
Strongly disagree	2%	4%	4%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	6%	1%
Disagree	6%	3%	9%	8%	7%	5%	7%	3%	7%	6%	8%	5%	4%	5%	8%	8%	9%	4%	5%	2%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	1%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%	2%
Agree	35%	39%	34%	31%	31%	35%	47%	40%	36%	35%	35%	37%	43%	30%	29%	38%	35%	39%	28%	36%
Strongly agree	50%	46%	49%	52%	53%	51%	43%	48%	52%	49%	49%	51%	46%	54%	58%	43%	49%	49%	57%	57%
DK/NR	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	1%	3% ++	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	5% +++		1%	1%	1%
Chi ² : ±points around 50%: Weighted n for mean: Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4 707 4.27 0.97 *	- 12 69 4. 25 0. 99	11 79 4. 16 1. 10	8 161 4. 28 0. 98	7 179 4.27 1.04	11 81 4. 37 0. 81	11 74 4. 24 0. 89	12 64 4. 31 0. 85	. 10 6 298 4. 29 0. 98	5 409 4.26 0.97	8 131 4. 24 0. 98	129 4. 34	4.27	9 128 4. 29 1. 01	9 118 4.31 1.03	10 100 4.20 0.94	252 4. 21	8 165 4. 30 0. 89	9 108 4.28 1.11	11 80 4.46 0.78 .05

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

strong accountability

		L	ANGUAGE		AGE						EMPLO	DYMENT S	SECTOR		EMPLO	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mingmint	Employ	Unemp l	Not LF
Q19F Unweighted n:	721	312	23	375	142	194	163	114	100	64	118	51	94	77	409	131	174
DISAGREE	8%	9%	4%	9%	10%	8%	9%	8%	8%	6%	12%	6%	5%	8%	8%	9%	10%
NE1 THER	-1%	4%	0%	5%	8% ++	5%	4%	3%	1%	11% +++	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	5%
AGREE	85%	85%	96%	85%	82%	86%	84%	89%	87%	83%	86%	90%	89%	88%	87%	84%	83%
Strongly disagree	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	0%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%
Disagree	6%	6%	4%	7%	9%	4%	7%	5%	6%	6%	8%	2%	4%	5%	6%	8%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	4%	0%	5%	8%	5%	-1%	3%	1%	11%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	5%
Agree	35%	34%	61%	34%	41%	41%	30%	33%	27%	34%	36%	37%	33%	26%	33%	34%	41%
Strongly agree	50%	51%	35%	50%	42%	45%	54%	56%	60%	48%	49%	53%	56%	62%	54%	50%	42%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3% ++	1%	1%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		-			-					(. 10)							
±points around 50%:	4	6	20	5	8	7	8	9	10	12	9	14	10	11	5	9	7
Weighted n for mean:	707	306	23	370	142	192	159	114	96	64	118	51	91	76	405	129	170
Mean:	4.27	4.27	4.26	4.26	4.13	4.21	4.30	4.35	4.43	4.25	4, 19	4.33	4.44	4.42	4.32	4.26	4.14
Std dev:	0.97	0.99	0.69	0.98	0.95	0.98	0.99	0.96	0.95	0.89	1.06	0.95	0.83	0.97	0.95	0. 9 5	1.02
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	. 10	-	-	-	-	-	. 10	-	. 10

02/03/18

Good government/5trong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

strong accountability

		KID	S	5MOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19F																		
Unweighted n: DI5AGREE	721 8%	512 8%	209 9%	385 9%	331 8%	345 9%	372 8%	303 10%	418 7%	241 6%	96 10%	359 9%	191 11%	250 9%	264 6%	195 9%	216 7%	296 8%
NEITHER	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	-4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	-4%
AGREE	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	86%	85%	85%	85%	90% ++	83%	83%	85%	84%	87%	84%	87%	86%
Strongly disagree	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Disagree	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	5%	5%	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%
Agree	35%	35%	35%	36%	34%	34%	36%	30%	39%	37%	24%	38%	28%	38%	37%	33%	39%	33%
Strongly agree	50%	50%	50%	49%	51%	52%	49%	55%	46%	53%	59%	45%	57%	46%	50%	50%	47%	53%
DK/NR	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Chi [*] :		-		-				_		-			_			-		
±points around 50%:	4	4	7	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	10	5	7	6	6	7	7	6
Weighted n for mean:	707	504	203	379	326	343	363	299	408	239	94	350	188	246	259	192	211	292
Mean:	4.27	4.27	4.28	4.25	4.29	4.28	4.26	4.30	4.25	4.37	4.32	4.19	4.29	4.21	4.32	4.23	4. 28	4.32
5td dev:	0.97	0.98	0.95	0.99	0.96	0.98	0.96	1.01	0.94		1.07	1.01	1.08	0.99	0.88	1.03	0.91	0.95
5tudent's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	-	. 05	-	-	-	-	-	-

02/03/18

•

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is the best way to attract business investment and jobs to the community.

strong accountability

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q19F			
Unweighted n:	721	223	498
DISAGREE	8%	11%	7%
NEITHER	4%	5%	4%
AGREE	85%	83%	86%
Strongly disagree	2%	3%	2%
Disagree	6%	8%	5%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	4%	5%	4%
Agree	35%	37%	34%
Strongly agree	50%	45%	52%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	4	7	4
Weighted n for mean:	707	219	488
Mean:	4.27	4.16	4.32
Std dev:	0.97	1.03	0.94
Student's t:	*	. 10	. 10

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

strong accountability

					REG 10N				GEN	DER			INCOME				Ĩ	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	2029	3049	50+	<11S	Some HS	1łS	Coll	Univ
Q1911																				
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	721 10%	71 7%	80 9%	164 11%	183 13%	84 11%	74 3%	65 6%	300 10%	421 9%	134 15% ++	132 11%	119 11%	129 6%	118 5%	105 16% ++		166 8%	109 6%	81 4%
NEITHER	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%		4%	3%	5%	5%	4%		5%	8%	4%
AGREE	84%	87%	84%	85%	80%	81%	88%	86%	84%	83%	78%	85%	84%	88%	89%	75%	84%	87%	83%	91% +
Strongly disagree	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	-4%	1%	0%	5%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Disagree	7%	3%	8%	8%	9%	10%	1%	3%	7%	6%	10%	8%	7%	5%	5%	1 1%	8%	7%	4%	2%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	8%	4%
Agree	36%	39%	10%	32%	34%	32%	43%	38%	39%	34%	40%	44%	33%	35%	29%	35%	42%	36%	28%	30%
Strongly agree	48%	48%	44%	52%	46%	49%	45%	48%	45%	49%	38%	41%	51%	53%	60%	40%	42%	51%	55%	62%
DK/NR	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5% +++		1%	3%	1%
Chi ² :		-							-		-					(. 05)				
±points around 50%:	4	12	11	8	7	11	11	12	6	5	8	9	9	9	9	10		8	9	11
Weighted n for mean:	705	69	78	162	178	82	72	64	294	411	132	131	117	128	117	100		165	106	80
Mean:	4.22	4.28	4.21	4.25	4.12	4.21	4.32	4.27	4.18	4.24		4.13		4.34	4.45	3.99		4.30	4.35	4.50
Std dev: Student's t:	1.01 *	0.98	0.94	1.05	1.12	1.02	0.78	0.95	1.02	1.00	1.15 .01	1.01	1.08	0.87 . 1 0	0.81	1.18 .05		0.93	0.93	0.80 .01

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

strong accountability

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q19H																	
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	721 10%	312	23	375	142 9%	194	163	114	100	64	118	51	94	77	409	131	174
DISAGREE	10%	7%	0%	12%	9%	8%	9%	14%	10%	14%	11%	4%	2%	9%	8%	11%	12%
NEITHER	5%	4%	9%	5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	5%		3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%
AGREE	84%	87%	91%	81%	84%	87%	86%	78%	83%	81%	84%	88%	91%	83%	86%	82%	82%
Strongly disagree	3%	+ 3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	4%	- 3%	3%	2%	4%	0%	+ 0%	1%	1%	3%	6%
Disagree	7%	4%	0%	9%	7%	5%	6%	11%	7%	13%	7%	4%	2%	8%	7%	8%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	4%	9%	5%	6%	4%	• 3%	7%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Agree	36%	36%	48%	36%	44%	42%	28%	28%	36%	33%	42%	41%	26%	26%	33%	37%	43%
Strongly agree	48%	51%	43%	46%	39%	44%	58%	50%	47%	48%	42%	47%	66%	57%	53%	44%	40%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
Chi ² :		-			_					-					-		
±points around 50%:	4	6	20	5	8	7	8	9	10		9	14	10	11	5	9	7
Weighted n for mean:	705	306	23	368	140	190	160	113	98	64	115	49	93	75	401	129	172
Mean:	4.22	4.29	4.35	4.14	4.14	4.23	4.34	4.12	4.19	4.14	4.14	4.37	4.57	4.33	4.31	4.14	4.05
Std dev: Student's t:	1.01 *	0. 97 . 10	0.65	1.06 .05	0.96 -	0. 94 -	1.04 .10	1. 13	1.03	1.08	1.06 .05	0. 76 -	0.70 .01	0. 99 -	0. 94 . 01	1.04	1. 11 . 05

02/03/18

Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

strong accountability

		KIDS		SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	10NSHIP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q19H							0.50							050		105		00.2
Unweighted n: DISAGREE	721 10%	512 7%	209 15% ++++	385 10%	331 9%	345 8%	372 11%	303 11%	418 8%	241 8%	96 11%	359 10%	191 14% ++	250 8%	264 8%	195 14% +++	216 7%	296 8%
NEITHER	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	4%	2%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%
AGREE	84%	87% ++++	76%	83%	85%	87% +	81%	80%	86%	87% +	82%	82%	81%	85%	86%	81%	85%	85%
Strongly disagree	3%	2%	-1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	5%	2%	2%	6%	1%	2%
Disagree	7%	5%	11%	7%	6%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	9%	6%	6%	9%	6%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag ree	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	-1%	5%	6%	4%	2%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%
Agree	36%	36%	36%	35%	38%	35%	37%	29%	41%	36%	29%	38%	30%	39%	38%	30%	43%	35%
Strongly agree	48%	51%	·10%	48%	48%	52%	44%	51%	45%	51%	53%	43%	51%	46%	48%	51%	42%	50%
DK/NR	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Chi ² :		(.01)		_		_		-		_			-			-		
±points around 50%; Weighted n for mean:	4 705	4 506	7 199	5 378	5 325	5 341	5 363	6 294	5 411	6 235	10 95	5 351	7 189	6 245	6 259	7 194	7 210	6 290
Mean: Std dev: Student's t:	4. 22 1. 01 *	4.30 0.94 .01	4.01 1.15 ,01	-1. 20 1. 02 -	4.23 1.00	4.30 0.96 .05	4.14 1.05 .05	4.20 1.09 -	4.23 0.95 -	4.32 0.93 .05	4. 20 1. 14 -	4.15 1.03 .10	4. 14 1. 16 _	4.24 0.93	4.27 0.94 -	4. 12 1. 19 -	4. 23 0. 88 -	4.28 0.96 -

02/03/18 Good government/Strong accountability in First Nations communities is a key to a good standard of living.

strong accountability

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q19H			
Unweighted n:	721	223	498
DISAGREE	10%	11%	9%
NEITHER	5%	-1%	5%
AGREE	84%	84%	84%
Strongly disagree	3%	2%	3%
Disagree	7%	9%	6%
Neither Agree nor Disag	5%	-4%	5%
ree			
Agree	36%	42%	33%
Strongly agree	48%	42%	50%
DK/NR	2%	1%	3%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	4	ī	4
Weighted n for mean:	705	221	184
Mean:	4.22	4.13	4.26
Std dev:	1.01	1.01	1.01
Student's t:	*	-	-

INAC Study - March 2002 Are you a treaty member?

02/03/18

REGION GENDER **1NCOME** EDUCATION Que Atl Male Fem <\$10K 10-19 20-29 30-49 50+ <11S Some HS HS Coll Univ Total BC Alb Sask Man Ont Q30 Unweighted n: 1423 144 163335 335 160 147 139 624799 280 287230 249196 195 505 335 226149 75% 80% 80% 79% 79% 86% 86% 80% 45% 96% 98% 98% 33% 68% 8.1% 84% 74% 75% 71% 81% Yes ++ ++++ ++++ ++++ _ + -----++++ ____ _____ -----____ ____ 3% 1% 17% 52% 23% 16% 15% 12% 14% 23% 12% 15% No 15% 48% 1% 15% 16% 11% 19% 23% ++++ +++ ++++ ++ +++ ++++ 9% 5% 2% DK/NR 4% 7% 1% 0% 1% 8% 15% 4% 5% 4% 6% 2% 2% 3% 5% 6% 3% ++ ++++ ++ + + ----Chi*: . 01 . 05 .01 _ 4 7 ±., points around 50%: 3 5 5 8 8 8 3 6 6 6 6 7 5 7 8 8 8 4

			L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT				OYMENT S	
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor		25-34		45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled						
Q30																		
	Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Yes		80%	73%	33%	89% ++++	83%	81%	80%	81%	77%	80%	78%	79%	78%	83%	79%	82%	81%
No		15%	20% ++++	56% ++++	9%	13%	14%	17%	16%	19%	14%	18%	13%	18%	15%	16%	13%	16%
DK/NR		1%	7%	12%	1%	-1%	5%	4%	3%	4%	6%	4%	8%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%
			++++	++									++					
	Chi ² :		(.01)			-					-					-		
±poi	ints around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 187

INAC Study - March 2002 Are you a treaty member?

			KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSIIIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q 30																			
	Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Yes		80%	83%	7.1%	85%	75%	76%	85%	80%	80%	78%	83%	81%	80%	79%	81%	85%	80%	78%
			++++		++++			++++									+++		
No		15%	1.1%	20%	13%	18%	19%	13%	16%	15%	16%	14%	15%	17%	16%	1.1%	11%	15%	18%
				+++		+++	+++	_									_		++
DK/NR		4%	-1%	6%	2%	6%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	-1%	5%	4%
			_	++		++++	++												
	Chi ² :		. 01		, 01		. 01		-		-			-			, 10		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

		SAMPLI	е түре
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Q30			
Unweighted n:	1.123	454	969
Yes	80%	77%	82%
			+ +
No	15%	$17^{\alpha'}_{ \theta}$	1.1%
DK/NR	4%	6%	1%
		+	-
Chi ² :		, 05	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 188

02/03/18

02/03/18

Would you like to receive more information from the Government of Canada about your treaty or other treaties?

						REG10N				GEN	IDER			INCOME				F	EDUCATI		
		Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At l	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th></th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS		Coll	Univ
Q31													100	102				100	050		
Yes	Unweighted n:	1142 90%	65 88%	157 93%	329 91%	327 91%	121 88%	48 90%	95 81%	501 90%	641 90%	236 92%	228 91%	182 92%	209 90%	147 86%	168 92%		252 87%	162 91%	121 83%
No		10%	12%	7%	9%	9%	12%	10%	18%	10%	9%	7%	9%	8%	10%	14%	8%	+++ 6%	13%	9%	17%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	+++ 1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++ 0%	1%	0%	++ 0%	0%	+++ 0%
	Chi * :		_							-	+	+					(. 05)				
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	12	8	5	5	9	14	10	4	4	6	6	7	7	8	8	5	6	8	9

		L	ANGUAGE.	Ξ			AGE				EMPL	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q31																	
Unweighted n	: 1142	450	14	670	226	306	284	177	142	124	173	89	141	118	652	202	281
Yes	90%	87%	93%	92%	87%	92%	90%	93%	88%	92%	94%	93%	84%	93%	91%	88%	90%
				++	_												
No	10%	12%	7%	8%	12%	8%	10%	7%	11%	8%	6%	7%	16%	7%	9%	12%	9%
	• • •	++		_	+								++++				
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
									++						_		+++
Chi ²	:	-			-					. 05					(. 05)		
±points around 50%	3: 3	5	26	4	7	6	6	7	8	9	7	10	8	9	4	7	6

Would you like to receive more information from the Government of Canada about your treaty or other treaties?

			KIÐ	S	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT		GOVERN	
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q	31																		
	Unweighted n:	1142	835	307	642	496	506	633	469	673	373	150	572	298	377	440	337	344	446
Y	es	90%	91%	87%	92%	88%	89%	91%	88%	91%	90%	91%	90%	87%	91%	91%	89%	91%	90%
			+	-	++	_			-	+				_					
N	0	10%	9%	12%	8%	12%	11%	8%	12%	8%	10%	9%	10%	13%	9%	8%	11%	9%	9%
			-	+	_	++			++	_				++					
D	K/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
									-	+						+			++
	Chi * :		-		(. 10)		-		(.05)		-			(. 10)			-		
	±points around 50%:	3	3	6	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	8	4	6	5	5	5	5	5

02/03/18

		SAMPL	e type	
	Total	PaneI	Gen Pop	
Q31				
Unweighted n:	1142	348	794	
Yes	90%	89%	90%	
No	10%	11%	9%	
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	
Chi ² :		-		
±points around 50%:	3	5	3	

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 190

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view about historical treaties?

					REG10N				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	DUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	A16	Sask	Man	0n t	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<11S	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
Q32																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
They are sacred promise	32%	26%	36%	36%	30%	31%	27%	32%	34%	30%	28%	38%	31%	33%	30%	25%	31%	34%	38%	31%
s that CANNOT BE ALTERE				+					+	-	-	++							++	
They are sacred promise	28%	28%	26%	27%	27%	28%	35%	29%	26%	30%	25%	24%	25%	34%	42%	17%	24%	28%	35%	46%
s that cannot be altere							+				-	-		+	++++		_		+++	++++
They are agreements tha	34%	36%	33%	33%	36%	34%	33%	34%	34%	35%	41%	36%	40%	27%	24%	46%	40%	34%	24%	17%
t need to be UPDATED F											+++		+			++++	+++			
DK/NR	6%	10%	6%	4%	7%	7%	5%	4%	6%	6%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	12%	5%	4%	2%	6%
		++														++++			_	
Chi ² :		_							-		. 01					. 01				
±.,points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	.0YMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45 - 54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q32				<u>.</u>													
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
They are sacred promise	32%	32%	23%	32%	28%	32%	38%	31%	27%	35%	37%	23%	33%	35%	34%	31%	28%
s that CANNOT BE ALTERE					-		+++										-
They are sacred promise	28%	30%	28%	27%	28%	31%	24%	32%	28%	17%	24%	36%	39%	35%	30%	23%	28%
s that cannot be altere							_						+++			-	
They are agreements tha	34%	33%	12%	35%	41%	33%	31%	32%	34%	40%	32%	37%	24%	26%	32%	40%	37%
t need to be UPDATED F					+++					+++						++	
DK/NR	6%	5%	7%	6%	4%	3%	6%	5%	11%	8%	7%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	6%
									++++								
Chi ² :		-			.01					.01					-		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view about historical treaties?

		KIDS	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANU	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Q32																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
They are sacred promise	32%	33%	29%	35%	28%	33%	31%	33%	30%	29%	46%	30%	40%	30%	28%	36%	31%	29%
s that CANNOT BE ALTERE				++	_					-	++++		++++			++		-
They are sacred promise	28%	28%	28%	26%	31%	33%	24%	28%	28%	31%	22%	29%	32%	28%	26%	27%	28%	29%
s that cannot be altere				-	+	++++					_							
They are agreements tha	34%	34%	34%	35%	33%	30%	38%	33%	35%	36%	26%	35%	24%	35%	41%	31%	36%	35%
t need to be UPDATED F							+++								++++	-		
DK/NR	6%	5%	9%	4%	8%	1%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%
			++++	_	+++		++											
Chi ² :		. 01		. 01		. 01		-		. 01			. 01			-		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
Q32			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
They are sacred promise s that CANNOT BE ALTERE	32%	30%	33%
They are sacred promise s that cannot be altere	28%	28%	28%
They are agreements tha	34%	38%	33%
t need to be UPDATED F		+	-
DK/NR	6%	4%	7%
		-	+
Chi²:		. 10	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view?

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< 11 S	Some HS	lis	Co11	Univ
Q33																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Treaty rights override	25%	21%	36%	25%	23%	29%	24%	21%	27%	24%	20%	31%	26%	26%	28%	18%	26%	24%	29%	28%
all conservation			+++									++								
Treaty rights can be ba	46%	48%	42%	47%	43%	48%	45%	56%	48%	45%	45%	43%	43%	53%	55%	39%	44%	46%	53%	60%
lanced with conservatio								++						+	++		-		+	++++
All people in Canada sh	23%	22%	17%	24%	27%	17%	24%	20%	21%	25%	31%	23%	26%	18%	14%	32%	26%	25%	14%	9%
ould be subject to the			-		++	-			-	+	++++					+++	++			
DK/NR	6%	9%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	3%	5%	6%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	11%	4%	4%	4%	3%
		+														++++				
Chi ² :		. 05							-		, 01					. 01				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

		1.	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPLO	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Servíce	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
Q33																	
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Treaty rights override	25%	27%	16%	25%	28%	25%	29%	21%	20%	26%	27%	24%	25%	26%	26%	22%	26%
all conservation							+	-	-								
Treaty rights can be ba	46%	50%	47%	44%	41%	49%	46%	52%	45%	46%	47%	47%	56%	50%	50%	42%	42%
lanced with conservatio		+		-	-			+					++		+++		
All people in Canada sh	23%	19%	33%	26%	26%	22%	20%	24%	24%	25%	20%	23%	17%	19%	20%	32%	23%
ould be subject to the				+++												++++	
DK/NR	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	11%	3%	6%	5%	2%	5%	4%	4%	9%
									++++								++++
Chi²:		(, 05)			. 01					-					. 01		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view?

K1DS SMOKER INTERNET CONTACTED CANADIAN RELATIONSHIP CANADIAN GOVERNMENT BAND GOVERNMENT No Better Worse Same Bad Neith Good Bad Neith Good Total Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Q33 Unweighted n: 1423 1006 417 755 660 667 749 586 837 476 181 707 373 476 540 397 430 573 28% Treaty rights override 23% 25%25% 22% 29% 25% 23%25% 27%21%27% 23% 28% 26% 24% 36% 21% all conservation ++ -----++ _ ++++ _ -++ -+ _ Treaty rights can be ba 46% 46%47% 45% 48% 51% 43% 46% 47% 50% 48% 45% 42% 51% 46% 43% 48% 48% lanced with conservatio +++ -----_ _ ++ All people in Canada sh 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 18% 28% 23% 23% 22%19% 24% 16% 22% 28% 23% 21% 24% ould be subject to the ____ ++++ ____ ++++ DK/NR 4% 10% 4% 6% 4% 6% 5% 4% 5% 6% 5% 5% 5% 6% 5% 6% 6% 6% ++++++ _ ____ Chi²: . 01 . 01 .01 3 5 4 3 4 7 5 5 5 4 ±...points around 50%: 3 4 4 4 -4 4 4 4

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total		Gen Pop
Q33			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
Treaty rights override	25%	25%	25%
all conservation			
Treaty rights can be ba	46%	51%	44%
lanced with conservatio		++	
All people in Canada sh	23%	20%	24%
ould be subject to the			
DK/NR	6%	4%	7%
			++
Chi':		. 05	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

02/03/18

.

02/03/18

On another topic, do you recall reading, seeing or hearing any ads about not smoking over the past weeks? This includes television, billboards, radio, newspaper, or magazine.

						REG1 ON					DER			1NCOME				E	EDUCATI		
		Total		Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male				20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< td=""><td>Some HS</td><td></td><td>Coll</td><td>Univ</td></hs<>	Some HS		Coll	Univ
SMOK2																					
	Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Yes		83%	83%	87%	84%	79%	84%	8.1%	84%	81%	84%	84%	80%	83%	86%	90%	69%	83%	89%	87%	88%
						_							-			++			+++		
No		16%	17%	13%	16%	20%	15%	16%	14%	18%	15%	15%	20%	17%	14%	10%	31%	17%	11%	13%	12%
						+							++			_	++++				
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						+						+					++				
	Chi ² :		-							-		(. 10)					(. 01)				
±p	oints around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

			L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
SMOK2															-			
	Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Yes		83%	85%	84%	82%	85%	84%	82%	82%	85%	83%	78%	83%	88%	87%	83%	84%	83%
			+		_							_		+				
No		16%	15%	16%	18%	15%	16%	18%	17%	15%	17%	22%	17%	12%	13%	17%	15%	17%
			-		+							++		_				
DK/NR		1%	O%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
									++								++	
	Chi " :		-			-					. 10					-		
±po	ints around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	i 5

02/03/18

On another topic, do you recall reading, seeing or hearing any ads about not smoking over the past weeks? This includes television, billboards, radio, newspaper, or magazine.

			KIĐ		SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA		IONSHIP		AN GOVE			GOVERN	
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SMOK2														0.00			0.07		
	Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Yes		83%	8.4%	81%	86%	81%	87%	81%	87%	80%	84%	88%	81%	85%	82%	84%	85%	83%	81%
					++	—	+++		+++			+	_						
No		16%	16%	18%	14%	19%	13%	19%	12%	19%	15%	12%	18%	15%	18%	16%	14%	16%	18%
						++		+++		++++		-	+						
DK/NR		1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
				++++															
	Chi ² :		(.01)		(.05)		(. 01)		(.01)					-			~		
±po:	ints around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

			SAMPL	Ε ΤΥΡΕ	
		Total	PaneI	Gen Pop	
SMOK2					
	Unweighted n:	1423	454	969	
Yes		83%	83%	83%	
No		16%	17%	16%	
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	
			-	+	
	Chi ² :		-		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	5	3	

INAC Study - March 2002 Do you recall who these ads were from? Any others?

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	A1b	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem		10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Co11</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Co11	Univ
SMOK3																				
Unweighted n:	1184	120	142	281	266	135	123	117	509	675	236	231	192	215	178	134	418	298	198	131
Government of Canada/He	37%	43%	36%	35%	36%	35%	36%	44%	40%	35%	30%	34%	43%	40%	46%	25%	35%	35%	47%	45%
alth Canada								+			•••••		+		++	•••••			+++	++
Tobacco company/tobacco	9%	13%	12%	11%	10%	6%	6%	8%	12%	8%	7%	9%	9%	9%	12%	7%	10%	11%	7%	11%
industry									++											
Provincial Government	3%	0%	6%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	5%	5%
		-	++												+				+	
Health organization/non	10%	10%	15%	9%	8%	16%	5%	13%	9%	11%	10%	13%	10%	12%	7%	6%	7%	11%	15%	16%
-smoking organization			+			++	—									-	******		++	++
Companies selling smoki	2%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	0%	3%	1%	1%	3%
ng cessation products/			-					++								-	+			
Other (specify)	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										0/	=									
DO NOT READ: Don't know	-15%	38%	42%	48%	48%	43%	51%	37%	42%	48%	53%	48%	41%	39%	36%	63%	48%	47%	35%	30%
/Refused								-		++	++++			-		++++				
Chi ² :		(. 05)						0	. 05		(.10)		_	-	-	(, 01)	-	0	-	0
±,.points around 50%:	3	9	8	6	6	8	9	9	4	4	6	6	7	7	7	8	5	6	7	9

PAGE: 197

02/03/18

INAC Study - March 2002 Do you recall who these ads were from? Any others?

		L	ANGUAGE	3			AGE				EMPLO	DYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
SMOK3																	
Unweighted n:	1184	523	36	616	231	318	291	181	157	128	174	93	158	125	684	207	290
Government of Canada/He	37%	41%	31%	35%	36%	38%	37%	41%	31%	32%	39%	45%	43%	45%	40%	32%	34%
alth Canada		++							-	_					++	-	
Tobacco company/tobacco industry	9%	11%	0%	9%	12%	10%	9%	7%	9%	10%	13%	8%	9%	9%	10%	10%	8%
Provincial Government	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	3%	$2^{n_{0}}_{0}$	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Health organization/non -smoking organization	$10^{\prime\nu}_{0}$	11^{w_0}	3%	10%	8%	12%	12%	7%	10%	12%	7%	16%	15%	9%	11%	8%	10%
Companies selling smoki ng cessation products/	2 ^{n/}	1%	3^{w_0}	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	4% +	1%	2%	1%	2%
Other (specify)	2%	214	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
DO NOT READ: Don't know	45%	41%	64°_{0}	48%	48%	41%	·13%	43%	56% +++	51%		35%	36%	39%	41%	52%	49%
/Refused		(05)	++	+					+++	++						**	
۲۰۲۲ ±points around 50%:	3	(.05) 4	16	4	- 6	5	6	7	8	9	. 7	10	8	9	. 4	7	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 198

1NAC Study - March 2002 Do you recall who these ads were from? Any others?

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	10NSR1P	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SMOK3																		
Unweighted n:	1184	848	336	647	536	579	605	511	673	402	160	577	318	390	452	341	358	466
Government of Canada/He	37%	38%	36%	39%	35%	44%	31%	41%	34%	42%	34%	36%	39%	36%	38%	39%	39%	35%
alth Canada				+	-	++++		++		++								
Tobacco company/tobacco	9%	11%	6%	11%	8%	10%	9%	11%	8%	8%	13%	10%	13%	8%	8%	11%	10%	8%
industry		+++	—	+	-			+	-				+++		-			
Provincial Government	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	-1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%
						+	-							-			-	
Health organization/non	10%	11%	8%	10%	10%	11%	9%	9%	11%	11%	9%	10%	7%	12%	12%	11%	8%	11%
-smoking organization																		
Companies selling smoki	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
ng cessation products/						+												
Other (specify)	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
DO NOT READ: Don't know	45%	43%	51%	42%	48%	37%	53%	40%	49%	42%	43%	46%	42%	46%	46%	41%	45%	47%
/Refused			+ +		+ +		++++		+++							-		
Chi ² :		. 05		-		, 01		, 05		-			, 05			-		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	8	4	5	5	5	5	5	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

02/03/18

INAC Study - March 2002 Do you recall who these ads were From? Any others?

SAMPLE TYPE

		1.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1	COLUMN TRANSPORT
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
SMOK3			
Unweighted n:	1184	376	808
Government of Canada/He alth Canada	37%	40%	36%
Tobacco company/tobacco industry	9%	8%	10%
Provincial Government	3%	3%	2%
Health organization/non -smoking organization	10%	11%	10%
Companies selling smoki ng cessation products/	210	2%	2%
Other (specify)	2%	2%	2%
DO NOT READ: Don't know	45%	41%	47%
/Refused		-	++
Chi*:		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

INAC Study - March 2002 Where did you see or hear these ads?

02/03/18

REGION GENDER INCOME EDUCATION Total BC Alb Sask Man Ont Que Atl Male Fem <\$10K 10-19 20-29 30-49 50+ <HS Some HS HS Coll Univ SMOK4 Unweighted n: 422 5219 98 9242 41 48 195 227 68 74 80 81 79 32 143 100 91 53 83% 76% 68% 76% 77% 79% 71% 69% 87% 66% 79% 78% 76% 84% 78% 78% 76% 74% Television 77% 83% +++ _ + 16% Radio 18% 12% 10% 32% 20%7% 12% 21% 17% 20% 22% 8% 20% 23% 19% 19% 17% 15% 25% ++++ _ -----Movie Theatre 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 3% 0% 0% 1% 0% 2% +++ 19% 19% 24% 12% 22% 19% 24% 17% 18% 20% 21% 8% 26% 19% 22% 34% 15% 19% 14% 30% Newspaper ++ ++ + _ Outdoor billboard 8% 8% 12%10% 7% 2% 7% 6% 8% 7% 12% 7% 9% 5% 8% 16% 6% 6% 8% 11% + 3% 4% 6% 14% 0% 13% Magazine 6% 10% 12% 4% 4% 2% 2%6% 4% 7% 1% 4% 8% 3% ++ ++++ ++ _ 28% 25% 37% 27% 23%27% 29% 25% 26% 27% 32% On cigarette packages 25% 25% 20% 28% 14% 23% 19% 16% 21%++ 5% 9% 0% Doctor's office/Clinic/ 6% 12% -1% 7% 3% 5% 10% -1% 8% 3% 3% 7% 10% 7% 8% 7% 6% Pharmacy _ Visual Media (brochures 21% 19% 10% 27% 22% 14% 17% 29% 19% 22% 10% 20% 19% 23%23% 22% 14% 19% 29% 30% , flyers, posters on bu ++ _ Other (specify) 2% 2% 4% 2% 2% 0% 0% 2% 2% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 4% 0% 3% 2% 1% 2% + DK/NR $2^{\%}$ 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 2% 1% 0% 3% 3% 0% 4% 0% 1% 1% 3% 2% 4% AT BAND OFFICE 1% 4% 4% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 3% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% 2% ++ + Chí²: (.05)_ _ 7 12 11 11 17 13 ±..points around 50%: 5 14 10 10 15 15 14 7 11 11 8 10 10 -14

+

INAC Study - March 2002 Where did you see or hear these ads?

02/03/18

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS Total Eng Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-44 45-54 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Mngmnt Employ Unempl Not LF ____ SMOK4 Unweighted n: 422 205203 78117 109 71 44 39 65 41 64 51 26464 93 11 Television 77% 79% 64% 76% 79% 72% 80% 72% 86% 74% 82% 73% 78% 65% 75% 81% 80% _ 19% 21%15% 18% 25% 11% 14% 20% Radio 18% 9% 19% 21% 20%9% 26% 17% 19% _ _ 0% Movie Theatre 0% 0% 0% 0% 3% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 27%19%16% 22% 12% 17% 20%17% 34% 26% 15% 15% 30% 11% 20% 11% 23%Newspaper +++ ++ _ -_ Outdoor billboard 8% 8% 9% 8% 6% 8% 14% 8% 2% 10% 9% 6% 6% 13% 9% 9% 6% -5% Magazine 6% 7% 18% 3% 8% 3% 6% 8% 2%5% 6% 7% 9% 6% 7% 3% On cigarette packages 25%26%27% 24% 37% 30% 20% 13% 23%26%23% 29%28% 15% 24% 33% 22% +++ Doctor's office/Clinic/ 7% 0% 6% 6% 4% 6% 11% 5% 5% 3% 5% 11% 9% 7%5% 6% 6% Pharmacy + Visual Media (brochures 22% 9% 20% 15% 26%20%20% 20% 26% 12% 20% 36% 33% 25% 11% 15% 21%, flyers, posters on bu + ____ ++ +++ _ Other (specify) 0% 2%3% 2% 4% 0% 0% 5% 3% 0% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 2% 2% 0% DK/NR 1% 0% 2% 3% 3% 1% 0% 0% 0% 2% 2% 1% 2% 4% 2% 1% ++ _ AT BAND OFFICE 1% 2% 0% 0% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2% 2% 1% 2% 2% 1% 0% 2% Chi²: _ _ 7 11 9 12 15 16 12 15 12 6 12 ±...points around 50%: 5 7 -30 9 13 10

PAGE: 202

INAC Study - March 2002 Where did you see or hear these ads?

		KID	s	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1 A	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SNOK4 Unweighted n:	422	310	112	250	172	245	177	205	217	163	53	193	121	135	162	131	135	153
Television	77%	76%	79%	77%	76%	76%	78%	74%	79%	82%	81%	72%	77%	77%	77%	80%	73%	77%
Radio	18%	20%	15%	19%	17%	19%	18%	20%	17%	18%	21%	16%	17%	16%	22%	20%	18%	18%
Novie Theatre	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Newspaper	19%	19%	19%	17%	22%	18%	20%	20%	18%	18%	11%	20%	21%	15%	22%	25% ++	14%	18%
Outdoor billboard	8%	6%	12%	6%	10%	7%	8%	9%	7%	9%	4%	8%	7%	10%	7%	11%	7%	6%
Magazine	6%	6%	4%	4%	8%	7%	4%	8% ++	3%	8% +	8%	3%	5%	8%	4%	5%	4%	7%
On cigarette packages	25%	26%	21%	30% +++	17%	26%	24%	25%	24%	29% +	26%	21%	28%	25%	22%	26%	26%	22%
Doctor's office/Clinic/ Pharmacy	6%	7%	-1%	7%	5%	6%	7%	8%	5%	6%	8%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	2%	10%
Visual Media (brochures , flyers, posters on bu	21%	21%	21%	22%	19%	22%	19%	20%	22%	23%	17%	19%	17%	23%	22%	20%	23%	20%
Other (specify)	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
AT BAND OFFICE	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	3% ++	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		-		-		-		-		-			-			-		
±points around 50%:	5	6	9	6	7	6	7	7	7	8	13	7	9	8	8	9	8	8

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
SMOK4			
Unweighted n:	422	146	276
Television	77%	81%	75%
Radio	18%	11%	22%
			+++
Movie Theatre	0%	0%	1%
Newspaper	19%	19%	19%
Outdoor billboard	8%	8%	8%
Magazine	6%	5%	6%
On cigarette packages	25%	17%	29%
			+++
Doctor's office/Clinic/ Pharmacy	6%	5%	7%
Visual Media (brochures , flyers, posters on bu	21%	19%	22%
Other (specify)	2%	3%	1%
DK/NR	2%	0%	3%
		-	+
AT BAND OFFICE	1%	0%	2%
Chi ² :		(. 05)	
±points around 50%:	5	8	6

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 204

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME		EDUCATION						
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>lis</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	lis	Coll	Univ	
SMOK5		·																			
Unweighted n:	1182	120	141	281	265	135	123	117	508	674	236	231	192	215	177	134	417	298	197	131	
A television ad in whic	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
h Canadian figure skate				+							+							+			
A television ad in whic	-1%	4%	-1%	5%	·1%	5%	1%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	7%	1%	3%	-1%	5%	7%	
h Canadian figure skate							-						-	+	++	_	· 1			+	
Pictures of bodies in a	5%	8%	6%	6%	3%	6%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%	7%	8%	5%	3%	8%	5%	7%	
morgue with the tag li					_									+	+		_	++			
A woman talks about her	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	
addiction and smokes a																					
Picture of different po	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
isons labeled "light" o																					
"Tobacco. We can live w	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	4%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	
ithout it" (Tag line)				_		++															
A boy talks about his b	1%	·1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	24	1%	1%	2%	2%	
rother and how de doesn		+++																			
Man in bar squeezing ci	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	
garettes and then drink							+														
A woman with severely d	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	
amaged voice talks abou					+											++	. •	-			
A picture of a person e	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	13	1%	2%	1%	0%	
xhaling a cloud of smok		+									+			-							
A man compares cigarett	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	
e filters to a vacuum					+						+									+++	
A young boy talks about	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	12	1%	1%	1%	2%	
how he misses his dad.				_							++										
An older man those wife	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	09	6 0%	2%	1%	2%	
has died.				-		++++											- E			+	
An older man talking ab	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	6%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	29	2%	1%	1%	2%	
out losing his 43 year	1.1					++++						+									
Poster or billboard: Sm	-1%	6%	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%	8%	39	6 3%	6%	4%	5%	
oking can kill you.		1.5									_				+++			+			
Poster of a man breathi	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	.0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	01	6 1%	1%	1%	0%	
ng a cloud of smoke in												+++									
Commercial tobacco cess	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	2%	01	6 1%	2%	3%	1%	
ation products/Nicorett		0.4		•••								++									
Picture of containers w	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	19	6 2%	0%	1%	1%	
ith different poisons	1.0	++++		0.0	0,0		2.0					++					++				
A woman sees herself in	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	01	6 0%	1%	- 2%	1%	
a mirror and sees body	1.0	++	0,0	. /0	• •	• /0	. //	574	++										++		

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	EDUCAT1	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HIS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HIS	Coll	Univ
Unweighted n:	1182	120	141	281	265	135	123	117	508	674	236	231	192	215	177	134	417	298	197	131
Joy — a girl talking ab out losing her mother w	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	-1% ++	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%
Thelon - a young man we nt to the doctor, might	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pictures of a young gir	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
1 and old woman smoking		+							- <u>-</u>	++										
Other specify	3%	3%	6% ++	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4% +++	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%
DK/NR	42%	33%	41%	41%	44%	46%	43%	40%	41%	42%	49% +++	42%	42%	43%	27%	51% ++	46% +++	37%	38%	31%
CIGARETTE PACKAGES WITH WARNINGS WRITTEN ON TH	12%	10%	12%	14%	9%	12%	14%	18% ++	13%	11%	11%	13%	9%	11%	14%	16%	12%	12%	11%	13%
SMOKING WHILE PREGNANT HARMS THE BABY	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	1%	7%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	7% +	6%
COMMERCIAL WITH THE LOG O "BUTT-OUT"	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2% +	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3% ++
GENERAL-DISEASES CAUSED BY SMOKING-HEART DISEA	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	4%	9%	3%	8%	6%	6%	8%	8%	7%	8%	4%	7%	9%	6%	8%
GENERAL-EFFECTS OF SECO ND HAND SMOKE	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%
GENERAL-ADS WITH CHILDR EN AND THE EEFECTS ADUL	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4% +	2%
GENERAL-ANTI-SMOKING TE EN ADS	.1%	3%	1%	5%	1%	2%	7% +	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	1%	5%
GENERAL-ADS ON NO SMOKI NG IN PUBLIC PLACES	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ²		(.01)							(. 10)		-					-				
\pm points around 50%:	3	9	8	6	6	8	9	9	4	4	6	6	7	7	7	8	5	6	7	9

N. .

02/03/18

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

		L	ANGUAGE	2			AGE				EMPL	EMPLOYMENT STATUS					
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not Ł
SMOK5																	
Unweighted n:	1182	522	36	615	230	318	291	180	157	128	174	93	158	124	683	206	290
A television ad in whic	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
h Canadian figure skate					++												
A television ad in whic	1%	-1%	0%	4%	4%	3%	-1%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	9%	2%	5%	3%	3
h Canadian figure skate													+++				
Pictures of bodies in a	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	-1%	9%	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	3%	-1
morgue with the tag li															++		
A woman talks about her	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3
addiction and smokes a																	
Picture of different po	1%	1 %	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	6 0
isons labeled "light" o					+												
"Tobacco. We can live w	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1
ithout it" (Tag line)							+	+									
A boy talks about his b	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	i ⊢ 1
rother and how de doesn						_											
Man in bar squeezing ci	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	5 2
garettes and then drink													+				
A woman with severely d	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	6 0
amaged voice talks abou						-									+		
A picture of a person e	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5 1
xhaling a cloud of smok																	
A man compares cigarett	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 0
e filters to a vacuum									++							++	
A young boy talks about	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5 2
how he misses his dad.					++	_							+				+
An older man those wife	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	5 1
has died		+		_													
An older man talking ab	2%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	5 2
out losing his 43 year		+++	0.0						++								
Poster or billboard: Sm	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	6%	6%	5%	3%	3
oking can kill you	10	0.0	00												+		
Poster of a man breathi	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	6 0
ng a cloud of smoke in	1,0		0.4	0.0	• •		•	0.0	0.0	•/*		- /-				+	
Commercial tobacco cess	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	3%	19	1%	1%	2%	6 1
ation products/Nicorett	1.0	- 0	0.0	-			2.0		0.4			+	•			1	
Picture of containers w	1%	1%	3%	1%	19	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	5 2
ith different poisons	1.0	1.0	0.0		• 1		0.0		• ^	-10	0.0		57	2.0	. /	510	
A woman sees herself in	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	13	2%	1%	1%	6 0
	1 /0	++	3.0	0.6	04	1.0	1.0	1.70	01	, 0.5	₽ 70	01	• *		• •		
a mirror and sees body		77															

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPLO	EMPLOYNENT STATUS					
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	SkilledS	ervíce	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	UnempI	Not LF
Unweighted n:	1182	522	36	615	230	318	291	180	157	128	174	93	158	124	683	206	290
Joy - a gírl talking ab	2%	2%	$O_{0^{\prime}}^{\infty}$	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
out losing her mother w		+						-									
Thelon - a young man we	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%u	0%	0%	0%
nt to the doctor, might							+				+						
Pictures of a young gir	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	1%
1 and old woman smoking			+	-										++			
Other specify	3%	-1%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	-4%	6%	-1%	2%	4%		3%
			_											- 04	++		
DK/NR	42%	37%	56%	45%	42%	38%	38%	46%	51%	44%	45%	38%	32%	33%	39%	45%	46%
			+	++				1.04	++		++		-	1.01			+
CIGARETTE PACKAGES WITH	12%	12%	8%	12%	12%	13%	11%	10%	16%	12%	11%	15%	9%		13%	11%	12%
WARNINGS WRITTEN ON TH	E ov	10/	0%	En/	4%	7%	4%	4.0/	3%	201	5%	5%	6%	++ 6%	5%	5%	4%
SMOKING WHILE PREGNANT HARMS THE BABY	5%	1%	0%	5%	470	475	4.76	4%	.3%	2%	9.6	0.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	4170
COMMERCIAL WITH THE LOG	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
0 "BUTT-OUT"	-0	1.0	0.9	0.1	1 %	170	1%	1 70	1 70	0%	0.0	1 /0	2/0 +	170	1,10	1 70	170
GENERAL-DISEASES CAUSED	7%	8%	8%	6%	9%	9%	4%	6%	6%	9%	6%	6%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%
BY SMOKING-HEART DISEA	6.10	0.0	0.0	0,0	3.0	570 ++		0.0	0.0	5 1	0/0	0/6	01	0.0	¥ /U	0.0	070
GENERAL-EFFECTS OF SECO	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	1%	3%
ND HAND SMOKE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	- ·U	0.0	0.0	1/0	070	2 1	0,0	170	10	2/0	0.0	- 1/0	070
GENERAL-ADS WITH CHILDR	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	2%
EN AND THE EEFECTS ADUL	210	2.0	0.10	+	1.0	0.0	+		• /0	5.0	• /•		+				-
GENERAL-ANTI-SMOKING TE	-1%	2%	6%	5%	7%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	3%	7%	2%
EN ADS		_		+	+++						+					+++	
GENERAL-ADS ON NO SMOKI	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
NG IN PUBLIC PLACES													-				
Chi ² :		-			-					-					(. 10)		
±points around 50%:	3	4	16	4	6	5	6	7	8	9	7	10	8	9	4	7	6

02/03/18

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

		K1DS			SMOKER INTERNET			CONTA	CTED	CANAD I A	N RELAT	10NSHIF	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND GOVERNMENT			
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good	
SMOK5																			
Unweighted n:	1182	846	336	647	534	578	604	510	672	401	160	576	316	390	452	339	358	466	
A television ad in whic	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
h Canadian figure skate																			
A television ad in whic	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	
h Canadian figure skate						+	-				-		+						
Pictures of bodies in a	5%	6%	3%	4%	7%	7%	3%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	
morgue with the tag li		+	-		++	+++	—												
A woman talks about her	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	
addiction and smokes a																			
Picture of different po	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
isons labeled "light" o														-					
"Tobacco. We can live w	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	
ithout it" (Tag line)																			
A boy talks about his b	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
rother and how de doesn											++								
Man in bar squeezing ci	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	
garettes and then drink														+	_			-	
A woman with severely d	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
amaged voice talks abou								+	_										
A picture of a person e	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	
xhaling a cloud of smok										+		-						-	
A man compares cigarett	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
e filters to a vacuum																			
A young boy talks about	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
how he misses his dad.																			
An older man those wife	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	
has died.	1 30		1.0	•	1.0	++	_	1.0					• **	• /-	•	+++			
An older man talking ab	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	
out losing his 43 year	2.0	1.0	+++	2.0	2 10	4 /0	2.0	-	++		0.0	2.0		2.0					
Poster or billboard: Sm	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%		6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	
oking can kill you.	1.0	00	1.0	0.9	1.0	1/0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	• **	0.0	0.0	•	• •	• • •	
Poster of a man breathi	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	
ng a cloud of smoke in	1 /0	1 0	0.0	+	-	1 /0	1 0	10	10	+++	1/0		1.0	00	1.0	110	070	1.0	
Commercial tobacco cess	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	
ation products/Nicorett	1.0	~ 0	1.0	170	170	2.0	1,0	2,0	170		1,0	2.0		50	210	110	170	L //	
Picture of containers w	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	
ith different poisons	1,0	1 0	0, 1	1 /0	1 0	1.0	0.0	10	1.4	. 10	1.0	170	1.10	1.10		5.0	1 /0	++	
A woman sees herself in	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%		
	1.20	1.9	1.0	1 /0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.70 +	1.40	1.70	0.0		170	0.0	1 /0	
a mirror and sees body																			

02/03/18

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	AN RELAT	IONSHIP	CANAÐI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
Unweighted n:	1182	846	336	647	534	578	604	510	672	401	160	576	316	390	452	339	358	466
Joy — a girl talking ab out losing her mother w	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2% ++	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Thelon — a young man we nt to the doctor, might	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pictures of a young gir 1 and old woman smoking	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Other specify	3%	4% +	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	5% ++	3%	2%
DK/NR	42%	39%	48% +++	40%	44%	35%	48%	36%	45% +++	33%	45%	45% +++	40%	40%	43%	37%	42%	44%
CIGARETTE PACKAGES WITH WARNINGS WRITTEN ON TH	12%	12%	12%	13%	11%	13%	12%	12%	13%	14%	9%	12%	14%	11%	13%	14%	11%	12%
SMOKING WHILE PREGNANT HARMS THE BABY	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	4%	3%	6% +	5%
COMMERCIAL WITH THE LOG 0 "BUTT-OUT"	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% ++	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
GENERAL-DISEASES CAUSED BY SMOKING-HEART DISEA	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%	6%	8%	9%	7%	6%
GENERAL-EFFECTS OF SECO ND HAND SMOKE	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4% +++	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%
GENERAL-ADS WITH CHILDR EN AND THE EEFECTS ADUL	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%
GENERAL-ANTI-SMOKING TE EN ADS	4%	3%	4°_{0}	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5% +	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
GENERAL-ADS ON NO SMOKI NG IN PUBLIC PLACES	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² :	0	_	-	-		(, 01)		-		-	0		-	5	5	- 5	5	-
±points around 50%;	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	8	4	6	5	5	5	b	5

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

SAMPLE TYPE ------

807 0% 3% 5%

2%

0%

-

1%

1% _____

1%

0%

1%

0%

1%

0% _

1%

5%

0%

1%

1%

1%

Total Panel Gen Pop

S	IOK5
A	tele
h	Cana
A	tele
h	Cana
P	ictur

SWOVO		
Unweighted n:	1182	375
A television ad in whic	0%	0%
h Canadian figure skate		
A television ad in whic	4%	5%
h Canadian figure skate		
Pictures of bodies in a	5%	6%
morgue with the tag li		
A woman talks about her	2%	3%
addiction and smokes a		
Picture of different po	1%	1%
isons labeled "light" o		+
"Tobacco. We can live w	1%	2%
ithout it" (Tag line)		
A boy talks about his b	1%	3%
rother and how de doesn		+ + +
Man in bar squeezing ci	1%	1%
garettes and then drink		
A woman with severely d	1%	1%
amaged voice talks abou		
A picture of a person e	1%	1%
xhaling a cloud of smok		
A man compares cigarett	0%	0%
e filters to a vacuum		
A young boy talks about	1%	1%
how he misses his dad.		
An older man those wife	1%	2%
has died.		++
An older man talking ab	2%	2%
out losing his 43 year		
Poster or billboard: Sm	4%	4%
oking can kill you.		
Poster of a man breathi	1%	1%
ng a cloud of smoke in		
Commercial tobacco cess	1%	2%
ation products/Nicorett		
Picture of containers w	1%	1%
ith different poisons		
A woman sees herself in	1%	1%
a mirror and sees body		

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 211 (1/2)

What ads do you recall? Please describe some scenes or phrases. Do you recall any other ads?

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
Unweighted n:	1182	375	807
Joy — a girl talking ab out losing her mother w	2%	1%	2%
Thelon - a young man we nt to the doctor, might	0%	0%	0%
Pictures of a young gir 1 and old woman smoking	I%	0º0	1%
Other specify	3%	3%	3%
DK/NR	·12%	43%	41%
CIGARETTE PACKAGES WITH WARNINGS WRITTEN ON TH	12%	11%	13%
MARVINGS WRITTEN ON TH SMOKING WHILE PREGNANT HARMS THE BABY	5%	5%	4%
COMMERCIAL WITH THE LOG 0 "BUTT-OUT"	1%	1%	1%
GENERAL-DISEASES CAUSED BY SMOKING-HEART DISEA	7%	5%	8%
GENERAL-EFFECTS OF SECO ND HAND SMOKE	3%	2%	3%
GENERAL-ADS WITH CHILDR EN AND THE EEFECTS ADUL	2%	3%	2%
GENERAL-ANTI-SNOKING TE EN ADS	4%	2%	4%
GENERAL-ADS ON NO SNOKI NG IN PUBLIC PLACES	1%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		(. 05)	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

02/03/18

INAC Study - March 2002 Have you used the Internet in the past three months?

02/03/18

						REGION				GEN	IDER			1 NCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
		Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
NET 1							·														
	Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Yes		47%	49%	52%	43%	36%	49%	59%	61%	45%	49%	29%	36%	47%	65%	72%	12%	35%	59%	73%	69%
								+++	++++						++++	++++			++++	++++	++++
No		53%	51%	48%	57%	64%	50%	41%	37%	55%	51%	71%	6.1%	53%	35%	28%	88%	65%	41%	27%	31%
					+	++++						++++	++++				++++	++++			
DK/NR		0%	0.0	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
									+												
	Chi ² :		(.01)							-		. 01					. 01				
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

			L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
NET 1																		
	Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Yes		47%	55%	47%	41%	64%	57%	48%	33%	18%	32%	46%	55%	63%	68%	52%	28%	49%
			++++			++++	++++							++++	++++	++++		
No		53%	45%	53%	59%	36%	43%	52%	67%	82%	68%	54%	45%	37%	32%	48%	72%	51%
			—		++++				++++	++++	++++	++					++++	
DK/N R		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi ² :		. 01			. 01					. 01					. 01		
±po	ints around 50%:	3	-4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

$INAC\ Study$ - March 2002 Have you used the Internet in the past three months?

			KID		SMOKI		INTE		CONTA		CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
NET 1		1.402	1000	417		<i>cco</i>	607	710	F90	007	170	101	707	373	476	E 40	397	430	573
Yes	Unweighted n:	1423 47%	1006 50%	417 40%	755 47%	$\frac{660}{47\%}$	667 100%	749 0%	586 55%	837 41%	476 53%	181 45%	45%	54%	476 45%	540 45%	47%	430	49%
No		53%	++++ 50%	58%	53%	53%	++++ 0%	100%	++++ 45%	58%	+++ 47%	55%	54%	+++ 45%	55%	54%	52%	53%	51%
DK/NR		0%	0%	+++ 2%	0.0	0%	0%	++++ 0%	1%	++++ 0%	0%	0%	+ 1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Chi ² :		(. 01)	++++	-		. 01		(.01)		(. 10)			(. 05)			_	+	
±poir	nts around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	-1	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	-4

		SAMPL	е түре
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
NE T1 Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
Yes	47%	50%	
No	53%	50%	54%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		(. 10)	+
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT employment status?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	[ON	
	Total	BC	A1b	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>11S</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	1 1 S	Coll	Univ
EMPLO																				
Unweighted n:	1422	144	163	334	335	160	147	139	624	798	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	334	226	149
Self-employed	6%	9%	7%	8%	5%	6%	5%	2%	9% ++++	4%	6%	8%	4%	6%	8%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%
Employed full-time	31%	24%	34%	25%	37% +++	33%	32%	33%	29%	33%	8%	16%	37%	55% ++++	62% ++++	13%	24%	31%	46% ++++	61% ++++
Employed part-time	10%	19% ++++	7%	11%	10%	11%	6%	9%	9%	12% ++	13%	12%	10%	11%	5%	11%	10%	13%	10%	8%
Seasonal employment	8%	9%	5%	7%	7%	4%	12%	17% ++++	15% ++++	3%	6%	13% +++	11%	7%	7%	12%	10%	8%	6%	3%
Term employment	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	4% ++++	2%
Unemployed	5%	5%	2%	6%	7%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	9% ++++	7% ++		2%	2%	7%	7% ++	4%	4%	1%
Unemployed but looking for work	12%	13%	10%	14%	14%	8%	10%	12%	14% ++	11%	23% ++++	13%	13%	4%	5%	14%	16% +++	13%	6%	5%
Student/Attending schoo 1 full-time	10%	8%	19% ++++	14% ++	7%	6%	10%	9%	8%	12%	14% ++	14% ++	9%	7%	4%	2%	14% +++	14% ++	10%	4%
Retired	6%	5%	6%	3%	4% _	11% +++	12% ++++	7%	5%	6%	6%	8% +++	5%	2%	3%	16% ++++		4%	4%	5%
Not in work force/Full- time Homemaker	5%	3%	4%	7% ++	4%	5%	5%	4%	0%	8% ++++	9% ++++	4%	4%	3%	1%	12% ++++		3%	3%	1%
Disability / sick leave	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	6% +++	3%	1%	2%	2%	6% ++++	2%	. 1%	0%	1%	5% ++		1%	1%	1%
Maternity / paternal le ave	1%	1%	2% ++	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2% ++++	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0.%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi ² :		(.01)							(.01)		(.01)					(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT employment status?

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT 3	STATUS
	Total	Eng	fr	Abor	<25	25-3.1	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unemp]	Not LF
EMPLO																	
Unweighted n:	1422	611	43	751	271	376	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Self-employed	6%	7%	7%	6%	-4%	5%	6%	8%	9%	14%	14%	7%	7%		11% ++++	0%	0%
Employed full-time	31%	30%	28%	33%	16%	34%	42%	41%	19%	25%	41%	63% +	72% ++++	78%	54% ++++	0%	0%
Employed part-time	10%	11%	14%	10%	9%	11%			4%	26%	18%	26%	12%		18%	0%	0%
Emproyed part time	1010				0.10		+			+++		++			++++		
Seasonal employment	8%	9%	14%	8%	4%	10%	9%	9%	10%	30%	24%	3%	6%	1%	14%	0%	0%
					_					++++	++++		_		++++		
Term employment	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	3% ++	1%	2%	0%		3%	0%	3%	1%	2% ++++	0%	0%
Unemployed	5%	5%	0%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29% ++++	0%
Unemployed but looking	12%	13%	2%	12%	22%	10%	12%	10%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%
for work			_		++++						++					++++	—
Student/Attending schoo	10%	13%	2%	9%	32%	12%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	43%
1 full-time		++	-		++++												++++
Retired	6%	5%	23%	6%	0%	0%	0%	2%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%
		-	++++						++++		0.01						++++
Not in work force/Full-	5%	·1%	5%	5%	1%		5%	-1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%		0%	0%	20%
time Homemaker Disability / sick leave	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	+++	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++	0%	0%	++++ 9%
Disability / Sick leave	270	270	210	3%	0%	170		++++	5% ++		0%	0./0	0/6	0/6	0%	0%	970 ++++
Maternity / paternal le	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%				0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
ave	1.0	170	0.0	1/0	1.0	+++	00	0/0	070	070	0.0	070	0.10	0/0		-	++++
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
									+++								
Ch i ² :		(.01)			(.01)					(. 01)					(. 01)		
\pm points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT employment status?

02/03/18

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTAG	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSH1P	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
EMPLO																		
Unweighted n:	1422	1005	417	754	660	667	7.18	586	836	476	181	706	373	475	540	397	429	573
Self-employed	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	9%	6%	8% ++	6%	4%	11% ++++	5%	4%
Employed full-time	31%	33%	27%	31%	32%	40%	24%	33%	30%	34%	33%	30%	39%	31%	27%	27%	34%	33%
		+ +	_			++++							++++					
Employed part-time	10%	11%	8%	12%	9%	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	9%	11%	8%	11%	12%	10%	10%	11%
Seasonal employment	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	5%	11%	7%	9%	7%	6%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%	8%	8%
							++++											
Term employment	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2% ++	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Unemployed	5%	4%	7%	5%	5%	2%	8%	4%	6%	5%	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	3%	7%	5%
		-	+				++++									-	+	
Unemployed but looking	12%	13%	10%	13%	12%	8%	16%	14%	11%	11%	16%	12%	10%	11%	14%	13%	10%	13%
for work							++++	+	-		+				+			
Student/Attending schoo	10%	12%	8%	13%	8%	18%	4%	12%	10%	12%	8%	10%	7%	11%	13%	9%	11%	11%
l full-time		++	_	+++		++++						-0/			++			
Retired	6%	2%	15%	2%	11%	2%	9%	4%	8%		6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%
			++++		++++		++++	40/	+++		7%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	49
Not in work force/Full-	5%	6% ++	3%	6% ++	3%	2%	7% ++++	4%	5%	4%	(%) +	5%	5%	5%	4%	0%	5%	4%
time Homemaker	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%
Disability / sick leave	7a	2%	-11/0 ++	2 /0	270	1 %	5.0 ++	4.0	2.70	<i>د</i> ا	270	270	2.0	270	570	+	2,0	2.1
Maternity / paternal le	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	19
ave	170	++		1.0	1.0	+	-	1 /4	1 /5	0,0	1,0	++	1.10		110	• /•	1,0	• /
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	• /0		++++	\$ 70	- /4	2.0	2.70		3.10								+	
Chi ² :		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)		(.05)					(.01)			(.01)		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

Which of the following categories best describes your CURRENT employment status?

		SAMPL	Ε ΤΥΡΕ
	Total	Pane1	Gen Pop
EMPLO			
Unweighted n: Self-employed	1422 6%	453 7%	969 6%
Employed full-time	31%	31%	31%
Employed part-time	10%	11%	10%
Seasonal employment	8%	8%	8%
Term employment	1%	2%	1%
Unemployed	5%	5%	5%
Unemployed but looking for work	12%	11%	13%
Student/Attending schoo l full-time	10%	9%	11%
Retired	6%	8%	
Not in work force/Full- time Homemaker	5%	++ 1%	
Disability / sick leave	2%	2%	2%
Maternity / paternal le ave	1%	1%	1%
other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%
Chi [*] :		_	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

.

INAC Study - March 2002 Which of the following categories best describes your job?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				i	EDUCATI	ION	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At l	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
SECT																				
Unweighted n:	819	90	87	177	204	90	84	87	398	421	92	143	148	199	165	85	250	195	164	122
Labourer	19%	20%	24%	21%	18%	17%	13%	17%	25% ++++	13%	40%	34%	16%	10%	5%	44% ++++	29%	18%	5%	2%
Semi-skilled	13%	11%	13%	13%	14%	10%	12%	13%	17%	9%	15%	20%		10%	9%	15%	19%	14%	7%	2%
Skilled tradesperson	14%	20% +	11%	12%	13%	12%	18%	16%	22% ++++	7%	13%	12%	15%	16%	15%	13%	18% ++	16%	14%	3%
Sales, service, clerica l	13%	12%	20% +	15%	12%	12%	15%	8%	5%	22%	12%	12%	17%	11%	10%	7%	12%	21% ++++	16%	5%
Professional	22%	14%	14%	19%	25%	29% +	29%	25%	16%	28%	8%	13%	18%	29%	36%	7%	8%	13%	29%	67%
Management or administr ative	17%	19%	17%	18%	18%	16%	11%	21%	15%	19% +	10%	7%	18%	25%	22% +	9%	12%	18%	27%	20%
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1% +	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
DK/NR	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	5% +++		0%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		_							(.01)		(, 01)					(. 01)				
±points around 50%:	3	10	11	7	7	10	11	11	5	5		8	8	7	8	11	6	7	8	9

Which of the following categories best describes your job?

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
SECT																	
Unweighted n:	819	351	28	432	92	234	255	156	77	154	219	110	180	141	819	0	0
Labourer	19%	18%	18%	20%	22%	19%	16%	21%	18%	100% ++++	0%	- 0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%
Semi-skilled	13%	10%	11%	15% ++	17%	12%	11%	13%	12%	0%	47% ++++	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%
Skilled tradesperson	14%	16%	18%	12%	13%	15%	15%	13%	14%	0%	53% ++++	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%
Sales, service, clerica 1	13%	15%	11%	13%	21%	14%	12%	15%	6%	0%		100% ++++	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%
Professional	22%	20%	29%	24%	10%	20%	27% ++	19%	34% +++	0%	0%	0%	100% ++++	0%	22%	0%	0%
Management or administr ative	17%	20% +	7%	16%	16%	19%	16%	18%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	17%	0%	0%
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Chi ² :	0	(, 10) 5	19	5	-	c	6	8	1.1	. 01	-	C	-	8	0	.4.	J-
±points around 50%:	3	5	19	5	10	6	6	8	11	8	(9	1	8	3	*	*

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 Which of the following categories best describes your job?

02/03/18

		K1D	S	SMOK	ER	1NTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SECT																		
Unweighted n:	819	600	219	435	384	428	391	342	477	282	105	400	243	271	287	231	248	327
Labourer	19%	20%	17%	20%	17%	11%	27%	16%	21%	16%	16%	21%	14%	21%	22%	19%	20%	18%
							++++					+			+			
Semi-skilled	13%	13%	12%	14%	11%	11%	15%	12%	13%	11%	10%	14%	12%	15%	10%	12%	16%	11%
Skilled tradesperson	14%	13%	16%	13%	15%	13%	+ 15%	13%	15%	15%	11%	15%	14%	15%	14%	16%	+ 13%	13%
Sales, service, clerica	13%	15%	9%	16%	11%	14%	13%	12%	14%	18%	13%	11%	13%	13%	15%	11%	14%	15%
1		++	_	+ +	_					+ +		_						
Professional	22%	21^{h_0}	26%	18%	26%	27%	17%	21%	23%	24%	24%	20%	27%	19%	20%	26%	20%	21%
		-	+	_	+++	+ + + +							++			+		
Management or administr	17%	17%	17%	17%	18%	23%	11%	24%	13%	15%	23%	17%	19%	16%	17%	14%	17%	19%
ative						+ + + +		++++			+							
Other (please specify)	0%	0.º	1%	1%	0_{θ}^{0}	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
		-20-				+	-	++		00/	(30)	001	• 0/	01%	13.0%	• •		++
DK/NR	1%	$2^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1%	1%	2%	1 °°	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Chi ² :		-		(, 05)		(, 01)		(.01)		_								
±.,points around 50%:	3	-1	7	5	5	5	5	5	4	6	10	5	6	6	6	6	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 Which of the following categories best describes your job?

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
SECT			
Unweighted n:	819	267	552
Labourer	19%	18%	19%
Semi-skilled	13%	14%	12%
Skilled tradesperson	14%	13%	15%
Sales, service, clerica	13%	18%	11%
1		+ + +	
Professional	22%	20%	23%
Management or administr ative	17%	16%	18%
Other (please specify)	0%	0%	1%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%
		-	+
Chi ² :		(. 10)	
±points around 50%:	3	6	-4

02/03/18

					REGION					GEN	DER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI		
		Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
SMOKI	Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Yes	onwergnten n.	53%	47%	57%	62%	56%	46%	50%	37%	53%	53%	57%				47%	46%		55%	53%	35%
No		46%	53%	42%	37%	43%	54% ++	50%	62% ++++	46%	46%	43%	43%	50%	46%	53% +	54% ++	39%	45%	47%	65%
DK/NR		1%	O%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% +	0%	0%
	Chi ² :		(.01)							-		-					(.01)				
±.,poi	nts around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	-1	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

			L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPI	.OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT S	STATUS
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25 - 34	35-44	45 ~ 54	55+	Labour	Skilled	lService	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
SMOK 1																		
	Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Yes		53%	53%	-12%	54%	62%	5 9 %	55%	54%	23%	57%	55%	61%	44%	52%	53%	56%	51%
						++++	+++						+	·				
No		46%	47%	58%	46%	37%	41%	45%	46%	77%	43%	45%	39%	56%	48%	47%	44%	49%
										++++				+++				
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						++												+
	Chi ² :		-			(.01)					. 10					-		
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 Do you currently smoke cigarettes?

02/03/18

			KIDS		SMOKI		INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1A	N RELAT		CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better			Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SMOK 1																			
	Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Yes		53%	57%	13%	100%	0%	53%	5.1%	54%	52%	51%	56%	5.1%	51%	53%	56%	53%	54%	52%
			++++		++++														
No		46%	43%	55%	0%	100%	47%	46%	-15%	47%	48%	44%	45%	49%	47%	43%	47%	45%	48%
				++++		++++													
DK/NR		1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
				++++														++	
	Chi ² :		(.01)		. 01		_		-		-			-			-		
±.,poi	nts around 50%:	3	3	5	4	-1	4	4	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

SAMPLE TYPE

	Totaf	Panel	Gen Pop
SMOK1	-		
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
Yes	53%	48%	56%
			+++
No	46%	52%	44%
		+++	
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%
Chi ^z :		(.01)	
±., points around 50%:	3	5	3

02/03/18

Which of the following types best describes your current household? ** if they say they are living with their parent(s) then the household is either 02 (One adult with child/children) or 04 (Married or common-law couple, with children)

		REGION								DER			INCOME				H	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	0nt	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>ĦS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	ĦS	Coll	Univ
HOU16																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
One person, living alon	10%	10%	7%	7%	9%	12%	18%	9%	12%	7%	12%	12%	11%	9%	4%	14%	8%	7%	8%	15%
е				-			++++		+++							++				++
One adult with child/ch	15%	15%	18%	15%	12%	14%	14%	19%	10%	19%	18%	20%	14%	12%	7%	13%	15%	17%	15%	13%
ildren					-					++++	+	+++								
A married or common-law	9%	10%	7%	6%	7%	11%	16%	9%	10%	7%	6%	9%	7%	13%	8%	12%	9%	7%	6%	7%
couple, without childr				-			+++		++	_				+++		+				
A married or common-law	50%	52%	42%	55%	53%	46%	41%	50%	50%	50%	39%	44%	56%	54%	68%	43%	49%	51%	58%	50%
couple, with children			-	++			_						+		++++				+++	
Two or more unrelated p ersons	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3% +	3%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Living with relatives o	7%	-1%	13%	8%	5%	6%	4%	4%	8%	6%	11%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	7%	8%	6%	5%
ther than parents			++++						+	-	++++									
More than one adult wit	8%	7%	9%	7%	12%	9%	1%	4%	7%	8%	10%	7%	7%	5%	6%	9%	10%	7%	5%	5%
h child/children					++++						++						+		-	
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
							++++	+												
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi ² :		(. 01)							(. 01)		(. 01)					-				
\pm points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

02/03/18

Which of the following types best describes your current household? ** if they say they are living with their parent(s) then the household is either 02 (One adult with child/children) or 04 (Married or common-law couple, with children)

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
HOU16																	
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
One person, living alon	10%	8%	33%	9%	6%	5%	8%	12%	24%	6%	10%	5%	12%	5%	8%	10%	13%
e		-	++++		_				++++				++		_		++
One adult with child/ch	15%	18%	9%	13%	14%	16%	18%	12%	11%	13%	14%	17%	14%	11%	14%	16%	17%
ildren		+++		_			+										
A married or common-law	9%	7%	14%	9%	3%	-1%	-1%	15%	28%	6%	10%	8%	9%	8%	9%	5%	10%
couple, without childr								++++	++++							_	
A married or common-law	50%	18%	37%	52%	42%	57%	63%	48%	24%	59%	56%	57%	54%	57%	56%	47%	37%
couple, with children			-	+		++++	++++								++++		
Two or more unrelated p	2%	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
ersons		++		-													
Living with relatives o	7%	8%	2%	6%	17%	6%	3%	4%	1%	5%	-1%	7%	3%	10%	5%	9%	9%
ther than parents		++		-	++++			_	_					+++			
More than one adult wit	8%	7%	2%	8%	14%	8%	3%	7%	7%	9%	5%	4%	4%	7%	6%	9%	11%
h child/children					++++					+							+++
Other (specify)	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
		_	+		+								+		_		
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
				+													
Chi ² :		(.01)			(.01)					-					(, 01)		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

02/03/18

Which of the following types best describes your current household? ****** if they say they are living with their parent(s) then the household is either 02 (One adult with child/children) or 04 (Married or common-law couple, with children)

		KID	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BANI	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
HOU16																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
One person, living alon	1 0%	0%	32%	84	12%	8%	11%	7%	11%	10%	7%	9%	7%	9%	11%	7%	10%	11%
e			++++		+++	-	++	-	++				-			-		+
One adult with child/ch	1 5%	18%	7%	14%	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%	12%	21%	16%	15%	13%	16%	16%	16%	13%
í ldren		++++								—	++							
A married or common-law	9%	2%	24%	6%	12%	6%	10%	7%	10%	8%	10%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	8%	9%
couple, without childr			++++		++++		+++		++									
A married or common-law	50%	64%	16%	52%	47%	52%	48%	52%	48%	52%	48%	48%	53%	53%	45%	51%	50%	49%
couple, with children		++++		+	-			+	-					+				
Two or more unrelated p	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
ersons			++++															
Living with relatives o	7%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	6%	8%	6%	8%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	8%	7%	6%
ther than parents		-	+	++	_			+	-		-							
More than one adult wit	8%	9%	-1%	10%	6%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%
h child/children		++++		+++														
Other (specify)	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
			++++															
Chi ² :		(, 01)		(.01)		(. 10)		(, 10)		-			-			-		
±points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	-1	4	-1	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	-1

02/03/18

Which of the following types best describes your current household? ** if they say they are living with their parent(s) then the household is either

02 (One adult with child/children) or 04 (Married or common-law couple, with children)

		SAMPLI	e type
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
H0U16			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
One person, living alon e	10%	9%	10%
One adult with child/ch ildren	15%	13%	15%
A married or common-law	9%	12%	73
couple, without childr		+++	
A married or common-law couple, with children	50%	51%	49%
Two or more unrelated p ersons	2%	2%	1%
Living with relatives o ther than parents	7%	7%	6%
More than one adult wit	8%	5%	93
h child/children		_	++
Other (specify)	0%	0%	1%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%
		_	++
Chi ² :		(.01)	
±.,points around 50%:	3	5	3

Of the people in your household, how many are under the age of 18 years of age?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	JDER			INCOME				E	DUCATI	0N	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	AtI	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>CoII</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	CoII	Univ
KIDS																				
Unweighted n:	1276	130	151	310	302	139	120	124	542	734	246	252	204	226	188	166	462	310	208	125
None	21%	28% +	21%	14%	14%	27% +	42% ++++	23%	26% ++++	17%	24%	22%	17%	19%	22%	31% ++++	19%	19%	18%	23%
0ne	22%	23%	21%	16%	26%	25%	20%	23%	21%	22%	22%	19%	27%	19%	25%	16%	18%	25%	27%	25%
Two	23%	18%	28%	24%	19%	22%	20%	32%	22%	24%	23%	23%	20%	24%	26%	17%	22%	25%	24%	27%
Three or four	25%	23%	20%	32% +++	32% +++	20%	9%	18%	23%	26%	21%	28%	27%	27%	22%	27%	26%	24%	23%	20%
Five or more	9%	8%	11%	14% ++++	9%	5%	8%	4%	7%	11% ++	11%	8%	9%	11%	5%	8%	13% ++++	6% —	8%	5%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% +	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)							(.01)		-					(.01)				
±points around 50%:	3	9	8	6	6	8	9	9	4	4	6	6	7	7	7	8	5	6	7	9
Weighted n for mean:	1421	144	162	335	335	160	146	139	623	798	280	285	230	249	196	195	503	335	226	149
Mean:	1.83	1.63	1.90	2.35	2.00	1.41	1.14	1.50	1.60	2.01	1.77	1.80	1.84	2.02	1.70	1.63	2. 10	1.75	1.82	1.48
Std dev:	1.75	1.70	1.74	1.91	1.74	1.45	1,66	1.39	1.66	1.80	1.89	1.67	1.67	1.88	1.47	1.81	1.92	1.54	1.65	1.59
Student's t:	*	-	-	. 01	. 05	. 01	. 01	. 01	.01	. 01	-	-	-	. 10	-	, 10	. 01	-	-	. 01

Of the people in your household, how many are under the age of 18 years of age?

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS Abor <25 25-34 35-44 45-54 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Magmant Employ Unempl Not LF Total Eng Fr KIDS Unweighted n: 1276 159 302 56429 676 253 356 329 192 140 145 201 106 135 752 219 23% 21% 23% 45% 13% 28% 19% 22% 13% 22% 20% 20% 25% None 19% 14% 15% 57% _ +++ ++++ _ 0ne 22% 26% 10% 19% 25% 16% 19% 31% 23% 21% 23% 22% 28% 26% 24% 18% 20% ++++ ++ +++ . . . 23% 25% 17% 22% 28% 27% 28% 13% 8% 21% 24% 30% 19% 21% 23% 26% 21% Two + ++ ++ . 25%21% 28% 20% 32% 29% 21% 9% 32% 20% 25% 20% 27% 25% 27% 23% Three or four 21% ++ _ ++++ ++ ++ 12% Five or more 9% 5% 7% 13% 13% 10% 10% 6% 3% 8% 10% 10% 10% 4% 8% 9% ++++ ++ . + 0% DK/NR 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% + + Chi*: (.01)(.01)_ ±...points around 50%: 3 18 6 5 5 7 8 7 10 8 8 7 6 4 4 8 4 Weighted n for mean: 1421 612 43 749 271 377 356 218 184 155 221 112 180 143 818 245 347 1.82 1.83 1.64 1.05 2.06 2.082.23 2.141.42 0.64 1.96 1.76 2.13 1.71 1.71 1.821.91 Mean: 1.70 1.25 1.58 1.67 1.71 1.53 1.66 1.76 1.96 Std dev: 1.53 1.68 1.89 1.87 1.69 1.62 1.81 1.75 Student's t: * .01 .01 . 01 . 05 . 01 .01 . 01 . 01 _ _ . 05

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

PAGE: 230

02/03/18

Of the people in your household, how many are under the age of 18 years of age?

02/03/18

			KID	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	10NSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
KIDS		107.0	1000	070		570	<u></u>		·	700	405	107		0.45	400	470	067	00.4	500
None	Unweighted n:	1276 21%	1006	270 100%	697 17%	579 26% ++++	615 19%	661 23% ++	538 19%	738 22%	425 20%	167 22%	634 22%	345 21%	428 21%	479 21%	367 17%	384 18%	506 26% ++++
One		22%	28%	0%	20%	25%	23%	21%	23%	21%	20%	23%	23%	23%	21%	22%	21%	20%	24%
Two		23%	29%	0%	25%	20%	26%	20%	23%	23%	24%	26%	21%	23%	23%	24%	24%	25%	21%
Three or	four	25%	31% ++++	0%	27% +	22%	24%	26%	24%	25%	27%	19%	25%	24%	27%	23%	29% ++	25%	21%
Five or	more	9%	12% ++++	0%	11%	7%	8%	10%	10%	8%	9%	11%	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	11%	8%
DK/NR		0%	000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi²:		(.01)		(.01)		(.05)		-		-			-			(.05)		
±poi	ints around 50%:	3	3	6	4	-1	4	-4	-1	4	5	8	4	5	5	4	5	5	4
Weigh	nted n for mean:	1421	1004	417	753	660	667	747	586	835	475	181	706	373	475	539	397	429	572
	Mean:	1.83	2.59	0,00	2.07	1.58	1.88	1.81	1.92	1.76	1.88	1.86	1.79	1.84	1.83	1.83	2.01	1.98	1.60
	Std dev:	1.75	1.54	0.00	1.80	1.66	1.72	1.78	1.77	1.74	1.73	1.81	1.74	1.73	1.67	1.82	1.71	1.88	1.66
	Student's t:	*	. 01	. 01	. 01	.01	-	-	. 10	. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 05	. 05	. 01

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

Of the people in your household, how many are under the age of 18 years of age?

		SAMPL	Ε ΤΥΡΕ
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
KIÐS			
Unweighted n:	1276	414	862
None	21%	25%	19%
		++	_
One	22%	20%	23%
Two	23%	21%	24%
Three or four	25%	23%	25%
Five or more	9%	10%	9%
DK/NR	0%	0%	. 0%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3
Weighted n for mean:	1421	454	967
Mean:	1.83	1.84	1.82
Std dev:	1.75	1.88	1.69
Student's t:	*	-	-

					REGION				GEN	IDER			INCOME				1	EDUCATI	[ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
AGE																				
Unweighted n:	1423	1.14	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
<18 years	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	5% ++	1%	2%	3%	3% ++	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	1%	0%	0%
18-24 years	17%	8%	21%	20%	20%	9%	15%	15%	1.1%	19%	23%	13%	17%	13%	10%	3%	24%	24%	12%	4%
25-34 years	26%	25%	28%	28%	26%	29%	20%	27%	26%	27%	25%	29%	25%	27%	30%	16%	25%	31%	32%	28%
35-44 years	25%	29%	21%	29%	22%	23%	20%	27%	26%	24%	19%	27%	29%	31%	31%	27%	19%	23%	35% ++++	34% +++
45-54 years	15%	23% +++	12%	13%	16%	17%	17%	10%	18%	14%	15%	15%	15%	18%	19%	16%	18%	13%	13%	17%
55-64 years	8 ⁿ / ₀	9%	10%	4%	8%	9%	12%	10%	8%	9%	9%	8%	8%	9%	7%	18%		6%	7%	11%
65+ years	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	7%	8% ++	7%	5%	4%	6%	7% +++	4%	2%	1%	15%	4%	2%	2%	3%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%	2%
Chi ² :		(. 01)							. 05		(,01)					(. 01)				, , , ,
±. points around 50%	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

02/03/18

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS Total Eng Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-44 45-54 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Mngmut Employ Unempl Not LF AGE Unweighted n: 1423 612 13 271 377 356 219 185 155 222112 180 143 819 245348 751 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 3% 1% 0% 0% 4% 5% <18 years 2% 13% 0% 0% 1% 1% ++++ _____ ++ ++++ 18-24 years 17% 23% 2% 87% 0% 0% 0% 11% 12% 18% 5% 10% 11% 28% 24% 13% 0% ++++ ____ ++++ +++ _____ ++++ ++++ 30% 25%0% 0% 30% 26% 22% 25% 25-34 years 26% 19% 0% 100% 0% 29% 28% 31% 29% ++ ++++ 35-44 years 25% 24% 23% 26%0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 27% 31% 27% 38% 29%31% 26% 11% ++ ++++ ++++ 15% 12% 23%0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 21% 18% 21% 17% 20% 19% 14% 8% 45-54 years 17% ++ ++++ ++++ 55-64 years 8% 6% 14% 10% 0% 0% 0% 0% 64% 8% 9% 4% 14% 8% 9% 5% 10% ++++ ____ +++ _____ ++ 65+ years 5% 3% 14% 5% 0% 0% 0% 0% 36% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 16% +++ ++++ ++++ -----(DO NOT READ) DK/NR 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% + Chi²: (. 01) (.01) (.01)(.10) ±..points around 50%: 15 6 5 5 7 7 8 7 9 7 8 3 6 5 3 4 4

02	/03/	/18

		KID	S	SMOKI	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
AGE				Ŷ														
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
<18 years	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4% +++	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4% ++	1%	3%	3%
18-24 years	17%	19%	11%	20% ++++	13%	22% ++++	12%	18%	16%	21% +++	12%	15%	10%	16%	22% ++++	15%	17%	18%
25-34 years	26%	30% ++++	18%	30% +++	23%	32% ++++	22%	28%	25%	29%	25%	26%	22%	28%	29%	27%	27%	26%
35-44 years	25%	28% ++++	17%	26%	24%	25%	25%	26%	24%	22%	26%	26%	32% ++++	24%	21%	30% +++	25%	21%
45-54 years	15%	14%	19% +++	16%	15%	11%	19% ++++	16%	15%	14%	22% +++	15%	19% ++	18% +	11%	13%	15%	18% +
55-64 years	8%	4%	18% ++++	5%	13% ++++	3%	13% ++++	6%	10% ++	7%	8%	9%	9%	7%	9%	8%	7%	9%
65+ years	5%	1%	12% ++++	1%	9% ++++	2%	7% ++++	2%	6% ++++	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	0.0	3% ++++	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)		. 01		(, 10)			(. 01)			-		
\pm points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	-1	4	3	4	7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

		SAMPLI	е түре	
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop	
AGE				
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969	
<18 years	2%	1%	3%	
			+++	
18-24 years	17%	15%	17%	
25-34 years	26%	25%	27%	
35-44 years	25%	25 [%]	25%	
45-54 years	15%	18%	14%	
55-64 years	8%	10%	8%	
65+ years	$5^{n}_{.0}$	6 ⁿ / ₀	1%	
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	
Chi ² :		(.05)		
±points around 50%:	3	5	3	

What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand?

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	DER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	A1b	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	At1	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Col1</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Col1	Univ
LAN1 Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
English	43%	78%	43%	30%	39% -	58%	35%	39%	43%	43%	37%	39%	43%	44%	51%	22%	42%	53% ++++	50% ++	44%
French	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	25% ++++	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	5% +	3%
Aboriginal language (sp ecify)	4%	15% ++++	3%	1%	1%	3%	10%	1%	4%	3%	5%	6% ++	4%	2%	1%	6%	4%	2%	5%	3%
DK/NR	1%	5% ++++	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1% +	0%	1%
OJIBWAY	6%	0%	0%	0%	14% ++++	20% ++++	0%	0%	6%	6%	5%	3%	7%	8%	6%	9% +	6%	4%	6%	4%
CREE	29%	1%	40% ++++	57% ++++	39% ++++	12%	1%	2%	29%	29%	35% ++	32%	28%	24%	27%	45% ++++	32% ++	22%	19%	28%
MONTAGNAIS	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12% ++++	0%	2%	1%	3% +	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	3% ++	1%	0%
MIC MAC	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%		6%	55% ++++	5%	7%	5%	8%	3%	8%	6%	5%	_	7%	8%	11 %
MOHAWK	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2% +	4% ++++	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2% +	0%	0%		0%	1%	2% ++
DAKOTA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%	0%
BLACKFOOT	1%	0%	12% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%		1%	1%	0% -	3%		1%	1%	1%
CAYUGEA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% +++	0%		0%	1%		0%	0%	0%
DENE/CHIPAWAN	3%	0%	1%	10% ++++	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%		2%	3%		6% ++	7% ++++		2%	2%	2%
SAULTAUEX	1%	0%	I%	0%	4% ++++	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%			3% ++	1%	0%	1%	+++	1%	0%	0%
ALGONQUIN	1%	0%	0%	0%	0% _	0%	7% ++++	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² ; ±points around 50%:	3	(, 01) 8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	(.01) 6	6	6	6	7	(, 01) 7	4	5	7	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand?

LANGUAGE AGE EMPLOYMENT SECTOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS Fr Abor <25 25-34 35-14 45-54 55+ Labour SkilledService Prof Mngmnt Employ Unemp1 Not LF Total Eng LAN1 222Unweighted n: 1423 612 43 751 271377 356 219185 155 112 180 143819 245348English 43% 100% 0% 0% 56% 48% 11% 34% 30% 41% 41% 48% 38% 50% 13% 44% 44% ++++ ++++ ++ 4 French 3% 0% 100% 0% 1% 2% 3% 5% 6% 3% 4% 3% 4% 1% 3% 0% 4% ++++ +++ 8% 5% 5% Aboriginal language (sp 4% 0% 0% 7% 2%2% 3% 5% 3% 1% 3% 4% 1% 3% ++++ +++ ecify) _ +____ DK/NR 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2%1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% _ +++ _ OJIBWAY 6% 0% 0% 11% 3% 5% 7% 8% 6% 9% 6% 2%8% 6% 6% 6% 4% _ ++++ _ CREE 29% 0% 55% 24% 29% 36% 23% 30% 29% 30% 28%24% 28% 33% 28% 0% 32% ++++_ ++ _ MONTAGNAIS 3% 3% 1% 0% 1% 1% 2% 2% 1% 0% 1% 1% 2% 1% -0% 0% 1% ++++ ++++MIC MAC 6% 0% 0% 11% 4% 4% 10% 4% 7% 3% 10% 7% 6% 7% 5% 6% 7% _ ++++ ++ -++ MOHAWK 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% +++ +++ 1% DAKOTA 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% ++ + 5% 3% 0% 2% 1% 0% 1% 0% 3% BLACKFOOT 1% 0% 0% 3% 0% 1% 1% 1% ++++ ++++ ++ _ -++++ 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% CAYUGEA 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% ++ ++ + -2% 1% DENE/CHIPAWAN 3% 0% 0% 5% 2% 5% 3% 2% 1% 3% 3% 6% 2% 6% 4% +++ ++++ ++ + _ SAULTAUEX 2% 1% 1% 2% 1% 3% 1% 0% 2% 2% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% ++ -+ ++++ 0% 0% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% ALGONQUIN 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 2% 1% +++ +++ ++ Chi²: (, 01)(.01)(.01)7 8 7 7 6 5 5 7 9 8 3 ±...points around 50%: 3 4 15 4 6 5

02/03/18

INAC Study - March 2002 What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand?

02/03/18

		KIDS	5	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANAD1A	N RELAT	10NSH1P	CANAD1	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Ne i th	Good
LAN1	1400	1006			660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Unweighted n: English	1423 43%	43%	417 42%	755 43%	43%	51%	37%	580 43%	43%	476 51%	40%	40%	45%	476	540 42%	397 42%	430	573 45%
French	3%	2%	6% ++++	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%	4% +	3%	2%	4%	4%
Aboriginal language (sp ecify)	4%	3%	6% ++	3%	5% +	3%	4% +	4%	3%	2%	4%	4% ++	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%
DK/NR	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
OJIBWAY	6%	6% +	4%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%	7%	5%	6%
CREE	29%	31%	24%	32%	26%	23%	35% ++++	31%	27%	26%	30%	30%	29%	30%	27%	31%	29%	27%
MONTAGNAIS	1%	1%	2%	2% ++	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
MIC MAC	6%	6%	6%	4%	8% ++++	7% +	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7% +	7%	7%	4%
MOHAWK	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2% ++++	0%	0%	2% ++	0%	0%
DAKOTA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% +	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	1% ++
BLACKFOOT	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3% +++	1%	0%
CAYUGEA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1% +	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
DENE/CHIPAWAN	3%	3% ++	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4% ++	2%	3%	4%
SAULTAUEX	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2% ++	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
ALGONQUIN	1%	0%	2% ++++	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Chi²: ±points around 50%:	3	(. 01) 3	5	(. 01) 4	4	(. 01) 4	4	- 4	3	(. 10) 4	7	4	(. 01) 5	4	4	(. 01) 5	5	4

What is the language you first learned in childhood and still understand?

		SAMPL	e type
	Tota1	Panel	Gen Pop
LAN1			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
English	43%	48%	
French	3%	3%	3%
Aboriginal language (sp	4%	5%	3%
ecify)		++	
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%
		-	+
OJIBWAY	6%	5%	6%
CREE	29%	21%	32%
			++++
MONTAGNAIS	1%	2%	1%
MIC MAC	6%	9%	5%
		+++	
MOHAWK	1%	1%	0%
DAKOTA	0%	+ 0%	0%
BLACKFOOT	1%	3%	1%
	1.0	+++	
CAYUGEA	0%	1%	0%
DENE/CHIPAWAN	3%	2%	4%
			++
SAULTAUEX	1%	1%	1%
ALGONQUIN	1%	0%	1%
Chi²:		(. 01)	
\pm points around 50%:	3	5	3

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

					REGION				GEN	NDER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	[ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< H S	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
EDUC																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505.	335	226	149
Grade 8 or less	14%	11%	13%	21% ++++	13%	13%	7%	10%	16% +	12%	25% ++++	15%	12%	6%	3%	100% ++++	0%			0%
Some high school	35%	35%	42%	39%	43%	30%	29%	17%	40%	32%	45%	44%	32%	27%	20%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
High school graduate	24%	33%	20%	19%	23%	20%	30%	28%	22%	25%	20%	25%	29%	25%	22%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Technical/Vocational/Co llege/CEGEP	10%	15%	11%	3%	6%	16% +++	18%	10%	9%	10%	4%	6%	11%	14%	19% ++++	0%	0%	0%	60% ++++	0%
Some university	6%	2%	6%	7%	4%	5%	5%	17%	5%	7%	3%	5%	7%	10%	8%	0%	0%	0%	40% ++++	0%
Undergraduate universit y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	7%	3%	4%	9%	6%	8%	8%	10%	5%	9% +++	2%	3%	5%	13%	19% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	66% ++++
Graduate or post gradua te university degree (e	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	5% ++	1%	5% +	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	6% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%
Professional certificat ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9% ++++
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi²:		(.01)							. 01		(. 01)					(. 01)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

02/03/18

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45 54	55+	Labour	Skilled	Service	Prof	Mngmnt	Emp 1 oy	Unempl	Not LF
EDUC																	
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Grade 8 or less	14%	7%	5% -	20% ++++	5%	8%	15%	15%	35% ++++	2 4% ++++	11%	5%	3%	6%	10%	17%	20% ++++
Some high school	35%	34%	35%	37%	52% ++++	33%	27%	41% +	27%	47% ++++	43% ++++	29%	11%	21%	31%	47% ++++	40% ++
High school graduate	24%	29% ++++	23%	19%	31%	28% ++	21%	19%	15%	23%	27%	36% +++	14%	26%	24%	24%	23%
Technical/Vocational/Co llege/CEGEP	10%	13% ++++	16%	7%	6%	12%	13% +++	9%	6%	4%	10%	16%	16%	22% ++++	13% ++++	5%	5%
Some university	6%	6 ⁿ / ₀	9%	7%	4%	7%	9% ++	5%	5%	1%	5%	9%	11% ++	9%	7%	4%	7%
Undergraduate universit y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	7%	6%	9%	8%	1%	8%	9% ++	7%	9%	1%	2%	4%	31% ++++		10% ++++	2%	4%
Graduate or post gradua te university degree (e	3%	4% ++	0%	2%	0%	3%	4% +	4%	2%	1%	0%	1%	11% ++++		4% +++		1%
Professional certificat ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4% ++++		1%	1%	0%
Other (specify)	0%	0.5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Chi ² :		(.01)			(.01)					(. 01)					(. 01)		
±points around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

		KID	s	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
EDUC																		
Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Grade 8 or less	1 4%	11%	19% ++++	12%	16% ++	1%	23% ++++	10%	16% +++	9%	12%	16% ++++	9%	12%	17%	14%	11%	15%
Some high school	35%	37%	32%	41% ++++	30%	27%	-14% ++++	35%	36%	35%	38%	35%	29%	36%	39% ++	31%	39% +	36%
High school graduate	24%	25% +	20%	24%	23%	29% ++++	19%	25%	23%	26%	21%	23%	22%	27% ++	22%	23%	25%	23%
Technical/Vocationa1/Co 11ege/CEGEP	10%	9%	10%	9%	10%	16% ++++	-1%	10%	9%	11%	8%	9%	14% +++	9%	8%	11%	6%	11% +
Some university	6%	7% +++	-1%	6%	6%	9% ++++	-1%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	9% +++	5%	5%	8%	7%	5%
Undergraduate universit y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	7%	6%	9% ++	-4%	10% ++++	10%	1%	6%	7%	8%	10%	6%	9% ++	8%	5%	8%	7%	6%
Graduate or post gradua te university degree (e	3%	3%	3%	2%	4% ++	4% +++	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5% +++	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%
Professional certificat ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2% +++	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Chí ² :		(. 01)		(.01)		(, 01)		. 10		(. 10)			(. 01)			(.05)		
±,.points around 50%:	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3		7	4	5	4	4	5	5	4

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

		SAMPL	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
EDUC			
Unweighted n	1423	45-1	969
Grade 8 or less	14%	12%	15%
Some high school	35%	33%	37%
High school graduate	24%	23%	24%
Technical/Vocational/Co	10%	12%	8%
llege/CEGEP		+ +	
Some university	6%	8%	6%
		+	-
Undergraduate universit	7%	8%	6%
y degree (e.g., BA, BSc	0.11/	00/	3%
Graduate or post gradua te university degree (e	3%	2%	3%
Professional certificat	1%	0%	1%
ion (e.g., CPA, P. Eng.			
Other (specify)	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

02/03/18

					REGION				GEN	NDER			INCOME				I	EDUCATI	ON	
	Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	< H S	Some HS	lls	Coll	Univ
INCME																				
Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Less than \$10,000	20%	17%	25%	28%	17%	12%	16%	14%	18%	21%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	36%		17%	8%	6%
\$10 \$19K	20%	22%	+ 21%	++++ 19%	19%	23%	17%	24%	22%	19%	++++ 0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 22%		21%	1.1%	11%
\$20 -\$ 29K	16%	15%	13%	13%	21%	17%	20%	12%	16%	16%	0%		100%	0%	0%	14%		20%	19%	13%
\$30 -\$ 39K	11%	11%	10%	9% -	12%	11%	14%	14%	12%	10%	0%	0%	0%	64% ++++	0%	6%	9%	12%	18% ++++	13%
\$40 -\$ 49K	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%	4%	7%	6%	7%	0%	0%	0%	36% ++++	0%	2%	4%	6%	9% +	17%
\$50 \$ 59K	-1%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	0%	-0%	0%	0%	30% ++++	1%	2%	5%	8% +++	9% ++++
\$60 - \$69K	4%	-1%	2%	3%	3%	8% ++	8% +++	4%	5%	4%	0%	-0%	0%	0%	30% ++++	2%	2%	4%	8% +++	11% ++++
\$70 - \$79K	1%	3%	1^{w}_{-u}	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11% ++++	0%		3% ++	2%	3%
\$80K or above	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30% ++++	1%	3%	2%	7% ++	12%
DK/NR	13%	13%	11%	11%	1-1%	11%	13%	15%	10%	15% +++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%		10%	9%	5%
Chi ² :		(.05)							. 10		(.01)					(. 01)				
±points around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

What is your annual household INCOME from all sources? **Your best guess is fine, we are only looking for a range**

		L	ANGUAGE				AGE				EMPL	.OYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	DYMENT S	STATUS
	Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skilled	lService	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unemp1	Not LF
INCME																	<u> </u>
Unweighted n:	1423	612	43	751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Less than \$10,000	20%	17%	16%	22%	27%	18%	15%	19%	23%	24%	12%	11%	4%	8%	11%	36%	29%
		_		++	++++		_			++++						++++	++++
\$10\$19K	20%	18%	16%	22%	14%	22%	22%	20%	24%	32%	21%	16%	10%	7%	17%	24%	24%
		-		+						++++	+		—				++
\$20\$29K	16%	16%	26%	16%	15%	15%	19%	16%	15%	16%	20%	22%	15%	18%	18%	15%	13%
			+												++		-
\$30\$39K	11%	10%	14%	12%	5%	13%	14%	12%	10%	12%	17%	12%	18%	17%	16%	3%	7%
							+								++++		
\$40-\$49K	6%	8%	12%	5%	8%	5%	8%	8%	4%	1%	6%	8%	13%	17%	9%	3%	3%
		+		_		-					-		++	++++	++++		
\$50\$59K	-1%	-1%	0%	5%	3%	-1%	5%	5%	3%	-1%	5%	6%	9%	4%	6%	2%	2%
													++		++++		
\$60\$69K	-1%	5%	5%	3%	1%	5%	5%	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%	11%	8%	6%	1%	2%
••••		+		_				•					++++		++++		
\$70-\$79K	1%	200	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	0%	0%
	1	1				2.0	+	2.0	_	• / •					+++		_
\$80K or above	-1%	5%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%	7%	1%	1%	6%	.1%	9%	10%		2%	1%
400M 01 800VE	10	++	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	++		170	0.9	1.00	++	++	++++		A //4
DK/NR	13%	14%	9%	11%	23%	11%	6%	8%	16%	10%	6%	16%	7%			14%	19%
D45/ 110	10.0	1-1-0	0.10	11/0	++++	11.0	5.6	0.0	10/0	10/0		+++	170	576		110	++++
Chi ² :		(. 05)			(, 01)				+	(.01)					(, 01)		
	3		15		6	5	5	7	7	(. 01)	7	9	7	8	3	6	5
±points around 50%:	3	-4	10	4	6	5	Э	1	(8	(9	(0	3	0	C

02/03/18

		KIDS	ŝ	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNE T	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
	Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
INCME																		
Unweighted n: Less than \$1 0,000	1423 20%	1006 19%	417 22%	755 21%	660 18%	667 12%	749 27% +++++	586 20%	837 19%	476 18%	181 23%	707 21%	373 15%	476 18%	540 24% ++++	397 23% +	430 20%	573 17% -
\$10 - \$19K	20%	20%	22%	22%	19%	15%	25% ++++	18%	22%	16%	16%	24% ++++	19%	22%	19%	21%	22%	19%
\$20 -\$ 29K	16%	17%	14%	15%	18%	16%	16%	17%	16%	18%	17%	15%	15%	15%	18%	16%	16%	16%
\$30 \$ 39K	11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	15%	8%	11%	11%	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	10%	9%	11%	13%
\$40 - \$49K	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	10% ++++	3%	6%	6%	9% +++	6%	5%	8% +	6%	5% _	8%	5%	7%
\$50 -\$ 59K	4%	-1%	4%	-4%	5%	6% +++	3%	5%	-1%	5%	4%	4%	6% ++	4%	3%	6% +	4%	3%
\$60 - \$69K	-1%	-1%	5%	3%	5%	6% ++++	2%	5%	3%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5% ++
\$70 -\$ 79K	1%	2% ++	0%	2%	1%	3% +++	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
\$80K or above	4%	-1%	3%	-1%	5%	6% ++++	2%	6% ++++	3%	5%	7% ++	3%	8% ++++	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%
DK/NR	13%	12%	14%	12%	13%	11%	14%	9%	15% ++++	11%	9%	13%	10%	.12%	14%	8%	14%	14%
Chi ² ; ±.,points around 50%;	3	-3	5	- -	4	. 01 4	4	. 01 4	3	(, 01) 4	7	4	. 01 5	4	4	- 5	5	4

02/03/18

What is your annual household INCOME from all sources? **Your best guess is fine, we are only looking for a range**

.

		SAMPLI	E TYPE
	Total	Panel	Gen Pop
INCME			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
Less than \$10,000	$20^{n_{0}}$	20%	20%
\$10-\$19K	20%	24%	18%
		++	-
\$20 -\$ 29K	16%	16%	16%
\$30 -\$ 39K	11%	13%	10%
\$40 - \$49K	6%	6%	7%
\$50 - \$59K	·1%	3%	5%
		-	+
\$60 - \$69K	4%	4%	-1%
\$70 - \$79K	1%	1%	2%
\$80K or above	4%	4%	4%
DK/NR	13%	10%	14%
		-	+
Chi ² :		-	
\pm points around 50%:	3	5	3

						REG10N				GEN	IDER			INCOME				E	EDUCATI	ON	
		Total	BC	Alb	Sask	Man	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<\$10K	10-19	20-29	30-49	50+	<hs< th=""><th>Some HS</th><th>HS</th><th>Coll</th><th>Univ</th></hs<>	Some HS	HS	Coll	Univ
SEX	Unweighted n:	1423	144	163	335	335	160	147	139	624	799	280	287	230	249	196	195	505	335	226	149
Male	onwergnten n.	44%	42%	39%	45%	43%	48%	48%	40%	100%	0%	40%	47%		45%	52%	50%	50%	40%	38%	32%
Female		56%	58%	61%	55%	57%	52%	52%	60%	0%	100%	60%	53%	57%	55%	48%	50%	50%	60%	62%	68% +++
	Ch i ² :		-							. 01		-					. 01				
±poi	nts around 50%:	3	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	4	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	8

			L	ANGUAGE	2			AGE				EMP	LOYMENT	SECTOR		EMPL	OYMENT	STATUS
		Total	Eng	Fr	Abor	<25	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Labour	Skille	dService	Prof	Mngmnt	Employ	Unempl	Not LF
SEX	Unweighted n:	1423	612		751	271	377	356	219	185	155	222	112	180	143	819	245	348
Male	onici gnice in	44%	14%	42%	44%	36%				44%		70% ++++	17%	36%			49%	
Female		56%	56%	58%	56%	64% +++		54%	49%	56%	35%	30%	83% ++++	64% ++++		51%	51%	
	Chi²:		-			. 05					.01					. 01		
±poi	ints around 50%:	3	4	15	4	6	5	5	7	7	8	7	9	7	8	3	6	5

			K1D	S	SMOK	ER	INTE	RNET	CONTA	CTED	CANADIA	N RELAT	IONSHIP	CANADI	AN GOVE	RNMENT	BAND	GOVERN	MENT
		Total	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Better	Worse	Same	Bad	Neith	Good	Bad	Neith	Good
SEX	Unweighted n:	1423	1006	417	755	660	667	749	586	837	476	181	707	373	476	540	397	430	573
Male		44%	40%	54% ++++	44%	44%	42%	46%	46%	42%	47%	45%	42%	45%	43%	44%	40%	46%	45%
Female		56%	60% ++++	46%	56%	56%	58%	54%	54%	58%	53%	55%	58%	55%	57%	56%	60% +	54%	55%
	Chi ² :	0	. 01	~	-		-		. 10	0	-	7		-		4	~	5	
±poin	its around 50%:	3	3	ъ	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	(4	5	4	4	ъ	Э	4

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

INAC Study - March 2002 Record gender of respondent

			E TYPE
	Total		Gen Pop
SEX			
Unweighted n:	1423	454	969
Male	44%	44%	44%
Female	56%	56%	56%
Chi ² :		-	
±points around 50%:	3	5	3

AFFAIRES INDIENNES ET DU NORD CANADA BIBLIOTHÉQUE INDIAN AND NORTHERN AFFAIRS CANADA NOV 14 2005

Ekos Research Associates Inc. / Les Associés de recherche Ekos

