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## PART I

POPULATION PROJECTIONS TO 1970
by
Yoshiko Kasahara

At the present stage of development in demographic research, population projection is essentially an attempt to extrapolate the past trends in the basic components of population change - fertility, mortality, and migration - under certain assumptions as to their probable levels at a future date. Such assumptions, of course. have to take into account relevant deteminants of population change. It should be noted, however, that the avallable data on the process of interplay between demographic factors and their socio-economic correlates provide little more than clues to what is expected in the future; the causal naxus involved is neither precisely identifiable nor quantifiable. Furthermore, an unforeseen course of events may alter the dynamics of population change sigaificantly even whin a short period of time. Particularly volatile are fertility and migration trends which ere highly sensitive to short-tem social and economic changes. Population projections, therefore, should be taken not as predictions, but as approximations to the probable size and conposition of a population anticipated under given assumptions. For this reason, they should be subject to revism ion menever new trends energe to belle the assumptions adopted earlier.
I - GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHOD

The projections presented here assume that there will be no catastrophic events which will seriously affect the levels of fertility and mortality and the volume of migration over the entire period of projection. It is further assumed that during this period more or less full employment conditions will prevall.

The method used is a "component method" involving separate projections of the basic components of population change, i.e., fertility, mortality, immigration and emigration. Starting with the estimates of Canada's popalation by age and sex as of June 1 , 1963, calculation of the expected survivors of this population subject to the assumed mortality conditions was carried out for each of the years over the period 1963-70. Additions to this popalation due to births after June 1, 1963, were estimated separately on the basis of assumed age-specific fertillty and mortality rates applicable to each birth cohort over the period involved. Losses due to emigration from this base population and the birth cohorts to be associated with it were then accounted for. Gains to be attributed to surviving immigrants and their children born since their entry into Canada were also calculated separately. The projected population by age and sex for any given year is thus the sum of the survivors of the segments of the population expected to be residing in Canada on June 1 of that year after an allowance was made for the accumulated emigration over the period preceding that date.

## Mortality

Allowances for the effects of mortality were made by the use of projected survival ratios. In order to facilltate the calculation of the required age-sex groups expected for each year over the period of projection, the survival ratios by single years of age were derived for each sex. Except for birth cohorts, the survival ratio at age x represents the probability that persons at age x as of last birthday will survive another year. The survival ratio for the birth cohort in a given year is adjusted to represent the probability that infants born at any time during the year will survive until the beginning of the following year.

In deriving survival ratios by age and sex for each of the years between 1963 and 1970, the past trends in life-table mortality rates $q x^{1 / /}$ by sex were first examined and projected for the selected ages essential for evaluating the probable levels of mortality in 1965 and 1970. In this process, the following factors were taken into account:

1) the past trend in mortality decline and its variations by age and sex;
2) major causes of death and their age-sex differentials;
3) probable adrances in medical research and public health measures in controlling mortality, with special reference to major causes of death in each age-sex group;
4) the past trends and current levels of mortality in countries whose mortality experience has been similar to that of Canada; and the projected levels of mortality for the camparable period wherever such data are avallable;
5) the levels of mortality attained in sone areas of Canada and in other countries which have reduced their mortality below the total Canadian level - in other words, improvements in mortality possible within the limits of the currently available means of mortality control;
6) possible effects of technological developments upon mortality (e.g., potential rise of mortality due to traffic accidents and occupational hazards and its implications for age-sex differentials in the rate of mortallty decline).

Table 10 shows the values of $q_{x}$ given in the 1960-62 life table for Canada and the projected values of $q_{x}$ for 1966 and 1971 for selected age groups by sex.

From these projected values of $q x$ the required survival ratios by single years of age were first derived for 1966 and 1971. In estimating these survival ratios for the two years, it was assumed that their rates of improvement over the given period of projection would form a reasonably smooth curve, although some discontinuity could not be eliminated. The survival ratios for the intervening years were interpolated by assuming a constant annual rate of change for each age by sex over each five-year interval.

[^0]The resulting matrix of projected survival ratios by single years of age and sex was then applied to the component segments of the population to estimate their expected survivors for each year from 1964 to 1970. The expected survivors of the 1963 population for each of the following years were first calculated by assuming no migration over the entire period. Survivorship of the migration components was then accounted for separately by cumulating the survivors of annual entries and outflows over the period preceding June 1 of a given year.

In the absence of any reliable data on the possible mortality differentials between migrant and nonigrant population, mortality is assumed to be the same for both. Adjustment was made, however, for estimating the effect of mortality upon imaigrants during the first year of their entry into Canada. The adjustment is based on the assumption that the dates of their entry are more or less evenly distributed over the twelve months and that the expected mean of the period of their exposure to the risk of death would be approximately six months. Similar adjustment was made in calculating the expected number of deaths among projected emigrants each year after thair departure. Estimates of deaths among emigrants after their departure were required in order to account for the net effect of emigration on the Canadian population.

## Fertility

Unlike mortality rates, which have shown a more or less steady decline over the last forty years, fertility rates in Canada have fluctuated considerably from time to time. Readily available measures of fertility, however, are inadequate for assessing even the past trends in fertility. In order to gain insight into the underiying social and economic forces, much more data are required.

Crude birth rates indicate merely the contribution made by births to annual population growth. Although somewhat more refined, age-specific fertility rates -- the only long-tem historical series other than crude rates - provide little more than pointers to same of the demographic factors in changing fertility. A more or less consistent decline in the fertility rates among women over 30 years of age in the last ten years implies that the majority of present-day women tend to complete their childbearimg period within ten or fifteen years after their marriage. This trend is also demonstrated by the decline in the proportion of large families with five or more children. Among the younger women, on the other hand, the fertility rates showed an impressive rise over the ten years prior to 1958. This reflects the post-war trend towards youngex marriages and earlier childbearing than in the preceding decades. Since 1958, however, the ferti11ty rates among these younger wamen have also begun to show a downard trend.

Within the limits of the data available at present, it is difficult to assess how much of the observed decline is a "true" reduction of fertility and how much is a function of a recent dacline in marriage rates due probably to a relatively maller proportion of potential brides under 30 years of age. As for social and economic deterinants of fertility, even less is known. Under the circumstances, the probable course of fertility change in the future has to remain largely a matter of conjecture and judgment based on circumstantial evidence alone.

Because of uncertainties in assessing fertility trends, long-tem projections should take into account more than one set of assumptions on the future levels of fertility. The projections presented here, however, are designed to cover only seven years up to 1970. Hence only one set of assumptions was adopted.

At the time when the present projections were prepared, statistics for 1963 were not available. In the light of the recent trends, however, it is reasonable to assume that by 1963 the fertility rate at every age of women 15-49 would have declined below the level reached in 1962. It is also assumed that the rate of decline would have been minimal among women under 25 years of age, whlle it would have been progressively greater for the older women.

In arriving at the fertility rates for 1963-64, the following steps were taken. First, the fertility rates by single years of age for all wamen $15-49$ were estimated for each year of the period 1951-62. On the basis of these estimates, the rates for 1963-64 were derived on the assumption that the rate of decline among wamen of a given age would be more or less consistent with the observed trend in the recent years. The major reasons for carrying out the projection of fertility by single years of age were (1) to reflect the effect of a rather sharply changing age structure expected among women passing through the reproductive period in the coming few years, and (2) to facilitate the calculation of the projected $0-4$ age group for each year between 1963 and 1970 as well as the age group 5-9 in the last two years of the projection period, which would contain survivors of the birth cohorts after 1963.

The projected age-specific fertility rates for the period 1963-64 were held constant over the entire period of profection. In the light of the fertility experience In the last few years, this may be a rather conservative assumption as to the possible fertility decline in the future. In adopting this assumption, however, a probable rise in the marriage rates over the coming few years and an expected increase in the proportion of women under 25 years of age in the total fortheaning marriages were taken into
account. It was also noted that the currently available time series of age-specific fertility rates for Canada excludes Newfoundland. Since this proviace has show a considerably higher crude birth rate than the other provinces, the fertility projection based on these statistics will tend to understate, at least slightly, the actual lovel of fertility for the country.

The projected values of fertility rates by single years of age of women are given in Table 11. As in the case of mortality, these rates are assumed to apply to both migrant and nomigrant population. Adjustment was made, howerer, to allow for the spread of the dates of movement of migrant population over twelve months and the consequent reduction of the period of exposure to the "risk" of childbearing in the firat year of migration.

The sex ratio at birth is known to be remarkably stable. Hence the average sex ratio since 1926 was used to estimate the sex breakdown of each birth cohort.

## Immigration and Emigration

Immigration and emigration are the most unpredictable factors in population projection in Canada. The volume of immigration has fluctuated sharply in the past and may quite possibly undergo drastic changes in the future. Changes in the flow of inaigration depend in part on government policy. They also depend on social and econcuic conditions, not only in Canada but also in the potential supply areas of imalgrants.

Projection of emigration is even more hazardous, since no statistics on total annual emigration exist. The only information available at present on this factor comes fram the United States and United Kingdom atatistics on immigrants from Canada, and residual estimates derived from Canadian census data and statistics on births, deaths, and immigration. Estimates of emigration based on these statistics are mberet to various types of error.

In practice, therefore, the profection of migration components becomes primar1ly a matter of judgment. The assumptions made for the present projections are as follows:

|  | Annual Gross <br> Inmigration | Annual Gross <br> Emigration | Annual Net <br> Inmigration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 115,000 | 75,000 | 40,000 |
| $1965-70$ | 125,000 | 75,000 | 50,000 |

These assumptions imply levels of imigration, both gross and net, that are lower than those prevalling throughout much of the 1950's but higher than those of more recent years. Several considerations were taken into account in arriving at these figures. First, it was assumed that there would be no major change in the underlying principles of Canadian imigration policy. Secondly, it was recognized that the high levels of immigration of the early and midm1950's reflected, in large measure, the special circumstances of the period. With the econamies of Europe now fully recovered fram the effects of World War II and growing at a rapld pace in conditions of general labour shortage, the potential supply of immigrants fram this source has diminished. Furthermore, there is little reason to expect events as exceptional as the Fungarian revolution and the Suez crisis which together resulted in the phenamenal influx of same 282,000 persons in the single year 1957. On the other hand, the incame or standard-of-1iving gap between North America and the rest of the world still exists and will continue to be an inducement to immigrants in the years ahead. Moreover, it is assumed that relatively high levels of employment wlll be achieved and maintained in Canada throughout the projection period, thus further enhancing the attractiveness of this country to persons abroad. Weighing all of these factors, it is belleved that the above migration assumptions are reasonable. Even if they were to be rather wide of the mark, though, the effect on the rate of total population growth would be quite small. For example, if net inmigration should be 25,000 higher or lower than we have assumed for the period 1965-70, this would alter the annual average rate of growth by no more than one or two tenths of a percentage point.

The sex breakdown of immigrant population for the $1963-64$ period was estimated on the basis of the average sex ratio over the last decade, which indicates some excess of females over males. For the period 1966-70, however, the numbers of male and female immigrants are assumed to be equal. The proportion of each sex for the intervening years was derived by interpolation. One of the bases for the above assumptions is a relative increase in the immigration of family units, which is likely to reduce the sex differential in immigration. It was also noted that the post-war inamigration prior to 1957 showed pronounced male dominance and that the more recent reversal in the sex ratio represents largely the delayed immigration of wives, brides and other female dependants of men who had inmigrated earlier.

Estimated immigration for each sex was broken down first into five-year age groups according to the average age distribution of the immigrants of the given sex over the last decade. Single years of age distributions were then derived by the use
of Sprague's multipliers. The age-sex distribution of amigrants was based on the United States statistics on Canadian-born immigrants. Some adjustment was necessary, because the youngest age groups are apparently overweighted by inclusion of children born in Canada to persons born elsewhere. Graduation of emigrant population of each sex by single years of age was also calculated by applying Sprague's multipliers. It should be noted that statistics on the age distribution of both immigrants and emigrants represent the ages of migrant population at the time of their movement. Same adjustment was made, therefore, for the aging factor involved in order to make the age distribution of these segments of the population more or less consistent with that of the base population, for which the reference date is June 1.

## II - STATISTICAL TABLES

The population projections are presented in the following tables. These projections, which have been used in all the studies by the Ecenomic Council, including the labour force projections, were prepared before the Dominion Bureau of Statistics intercensal estimates by age and sex for June 1, 1964, became available. The differences between the DBS estimates and the projected figures for 1964 have proved to be negligible with the possible exception of the age groups under 5 and the female population over 70 years of age, where some minor overstatements are indicated.


|  | Actual (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 0-4 | 1,630.4 | 1,939.9 | 2,221.8 | 2,256.4 | 2,285.4 | 2,288.9 | 2,299.3 | 2,315.0 | 2,335.6 | 2,372.8 | 2,422.1 | 2,487.3 | 2,561.0 |
| 5-9 | 1,311.1 | 1,731.1 | 2,031.9 | 2,079.5 | 2,103.8 | 2,145.6 | 2,185.8 | 2,222.5 | 2,260.6 | 2,290.9 | 2,306.7 | 2,319.5 | 2,334.4 |
| 10-14 | 1,121.1 | 1,367.9 | 1,776.3 | 1,856.0 | 1,916.3 | 1,965.0 | 2,006.8 | 2,045.2 | 2,082.8 | 2,116.9 | 2,160.2 | 2,201.2 | 2,238.3 |
| 15-19 | 1,074.7 | 1,138.3 | 1,374.9 | 1,432.6 | 1,511.5 | 1,600.2 | 1,692.7 | 1,779.4 | 1,854.7 | 1,918.2 | 1,969.7 | 2,012.1 | 2,050.8 |
| 20-24 | 1,106.1 | 1,121.9 | 1,177.8 | 1,183.6 | 1,211.7 | 1,255.3 | 1,316.1 | 1,386.5 | 1,464.2 | 1,548.7 | 1,640.4 | 1,733.8 | 1,820.8 |
| 25-29 | 1,109.9 | 1,192.8 | 1,216.6 | 1,209.3 | 1,192.6 | 1,178.2 | 1,176.6 | 1,188.1 | 1,215.8 | 1,256.4 | 1,309.3 | 1,372.7 | 1,444.1 |
| 30-34 | 1,029.6 | 1,182.8 | 1,273.7 | 1,271.8 | 1,260.3 | 1,244.8 | 1,233.4 | 1,222.4 | 1,212.6 | 1,204.8 | 1,199.1 | 1,199.9 | 1,212.5 |
| 35-39 | 962.7 | 1,095.8 | 1,246.3 | 1,270.9 | 1,282.1 | 1,282.9 | 1,279.9 | 1,273.8 | 1,266.4 | 1,257.7 | 1,248.4 | 1,238.4 | 1,228.4 |
| 40-44 | 839.3 | 998.2 | 1,102.7 | 1.119 .0 | 1,144.2 | 1,174.4 | 1,207.3 | 1,235.9 | 1,256.1 | 1,268.3 | 1,272.7 | 1,270.7 | 1,265.1 |
| 45-49 | 728.5 | 852.1 | 992.3 | 1,015.3 | 1,032.8 | 1,045.9 | 1,059.5 | 1,076.1 | 1,098.2 | 1,124.7 | 1,156.4 | 1,189.3 | 1,217.7 |
| 50-54 | 653.2 | 720.9 | 837.1 | 863.2 | 889.1 | 914.8 | 940.7 | 964.7 | 986.1 | 1,004.8 | 1,019.7 | 1,033.3 | 1,049.9 |
| 55-59 | 570.9 | 619.6 | 690.7 | 705.8 | 727.3 | 749.8 | 774.2 | 799.2 | 824.4 | 849.6 | 875.3 | 900.5 | 923.8 |
| 60-64 | 500.2 | 522.0 | 570.1 | 583.7 | 595.7 | 608.0 | 622.1 | 636.2 | 656.5 | 676.8 | 698.5 | 721.7 | 745.4 |
| 65-69 | 416.3 | 457.9 | 480.1 | 487.1 | 491.4 | 497.3 | 504.9 | 513.4 | 522.7 | 533.2 | 545.0 | 557.9 | 572.5 |
| 70 and over | r 634.0 | 756.8 | 877.7 | 904.1 | 925.8 | 944.9 | 973.1 | 987.6 | 1,002.2 | 1,016.8 | 1,031.2 | 1,047.9 | 1,064.5 |
| All ages | 13.688 .0 | 15,698.0 | 17,870.0 | 18,238.3 | 18,570.0 | 18,896.0 | 19,272.4 | 19,646.0 | 20,038.9 | 20,440.6 | 20,854.7 | 21,286.2 | 21,729.2 |

(1) The figures for 1961 are from the census; those for the other years are DBS intercensal estimates.
Table 2
Male Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June 1, 1950-70

| Age | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 2967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 0-4 | 832.0 | 990.5 | 1,136.4 | 1,154.1 | 1.169.3 | 1,170.7 | 1,175.9 | 1,183.9 | 1,194.6 | 1.213.7 | 1,239.2 | 1.272.9 | 1,310.7 |
| 5-9 | 668.5 | 883.8 | 1.037.3 | 1,063.8 | 1,075.8 | 1,097.5 | 1,117.8 | 1,136.0 | 1,155.2 | 1,170.4 | 1,178.7 | 1.185.9 | 1,192.9 |
| 10-14 | 568.9 | 697.5 | 907.6 | 948.2 | 979.4 | 1,004.6 | 1,026.1 | 1,045.9 | 1,065.0 | 1,082.5 | 1,104.1 | 1,124.9 | 1,143.4 |
| 15-19 | 542.4 | 575.4 | 699.3 | 729.0 | 770.8 | 816.6 | 864.4 | 908.9 | 947.7 | 980.5 | 1,007.0 | 1,028.9 | 1,048.9 |
| 20-24 | 549.5 | 563.0 | 587.7 | 587.1 | 601.2 | 625.3 | 660.2 | 700.1 | 742.9 | 788.4 | 836.5 | 884.8 | 929.5 |
| 25-29 | 547.9 | 599.8 | 619.8 | 613.9 | 603.2 | 592.4 | 587.4 | 589.9 | 602.6 | 623.8 | 653.5 | 689.8 | 730.4 |
| 30-34 | 510.8 | 584.9 | 643.0 | 644.4 | 639.9 | 633.2 | 627.9 | 622.1 | 616.1 | 610.1 | 603.9 | 600.4 | 603.7 |
| 35-39 | 486.2 | 547.9 | 618.7 | 631.1 | 637.7 | 640.6 | 642.1 | 641.9 | 640.3 | 637.5 | 634.0 | 629.5 | 624.4 |
| 40-44 | 430.1 | 509.1 | 553.2 | 560.0 | 570.5 | 583.4 | 598.0 | 611.3 | 621.7 | 629.2 | 633.9 | 636.0 | 636.1 |
| 45-49 | 376.4 | 441.6 | 506.4 | 515.5 | 522.0 | 526.9 | 531.7 | 538.0 | 547.0 | 558.2 | 571.9 | 586.5 | 599.8 |
| 50-54 | 336.7 | 374.4 | 431.2 | 442.9 | 454.5 | 465.8 | 477.3 | 487.6 | 496.6 | 504.1 | 509.7 | 514.6 | 520.9 |
| 55-59 | 295.4 | 316.1 | 354.1 | 362.1 | 372.4 | 382.8 | 394.0 | 405.4 | 416.7 | 427.8 | 439.2 | 450.2 | 460.1 |
| 60-64 | 262.0 | 265.1 | 285.7 | 292.6 | 298.3 | 304.6 | 311.7 | 317.8 | 328.7 | 338.3 | 348.2 | 358.6 | 369.1 |
| 65-69 | 219.9 | 235.6 | 238.0 | 239.7 | 240.7 | 242.8 | 245.7 | 249.2 | 253.3 | 258.2 | 264.0 | 270.3 | 277.4 |
| 70 and over | r 315.5 | 373.9 | 424.2 | 434.4 | 441.4 | 447.2 | 450.4 | 453.6 | 456.8 | 460.0 | 463.4 | 467.5 | 472.0 |
| All ages | 6,942.2 | 7,958.6 | 9,042.6 | 9,218.9 | 9,377.1 | 9,534.4 | 9,710.6 | 9,891.6 | 10,085.2 | 10,282.7 | 10.487.2 | 10,700.8 | 10,919.3 |

(1) The figures for 1961 are from the census; those for the other years are DBS intercensal estimates.
Table 3
Female Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June 1. 1950-70

| Age | Actual (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 0-4 | 798.4 | 949.4 | 2,085.4 | 1,102.3 | 1,116.1 | 1.118.2 | 1,123.4 | 1.131 .1 | 1.141.0 | 1.159 .1 | 1.182 .9 | 1,214.4 | 1,250.3 |
| 5-9 | 642.6 | 847.3 | 994.6 | 1,015.7 | 1,028.0 | 1,048.1 | 1,068.0 | 1,086.5 | 1,105.4 | 1,120.5 | 1.128.0 | 1.133 .6 | 1,141.5 |
| 10-14 | 552.2 | 670.4 | 868.7 | 907.8 | 936.9 | 960.4 | 980.7 | 999.3 | 1,017.8 | 1,034.4 | 1,056.1 | 1.076 .3 | 1,094.9 |
| 15-19 | 532.3 | 562.9 | 675.6 | 703.5 | 740.7 | 783.6 | 828.3 | 870.5 | 907.0 | 937.7 | 962.7 | 983.2 | 1,001.9 |
| 20-24 | 556.6 | 558.9 | 590.1 | 596.5 | 610.5 | 630.0 | 655.9 | 686.4 | 721.3 | 760.3 | 803.9 | 849.0 | 891.3 |
| 25-29 | 562.0 | 593.0 | 596.8 | 595.4 | 589.4 | 585.8 | 589.2 | 598.2 | 613.2 | 632.6 | 655.8 | 682.9 | 713.7 |
| 30-34 | 518.8 | 597.9 | 630.7 | 627.4 | 620.4 | 611.6 | 605.5 | 600.3 | 596.5 | 594.7 | 595.2 | 599.5 | 608.8 |
| 35-39 | 476.5 | 547.9 | 627.6 | 639.8 | 644.4 | 642.3 | 637.8 | 631.9 | 626.1 | 620.2 | 614.4 | 608.9 | 604.0 |
| 40-44 | 409.2 | 489.1 | 549.5 | 559.0 | 573.7 | 591.0 | 609.3 | 624.6 | 634.4 | 639.1 | 638.8 | 634.7 | 629.0 |
| 45-49 | 352.1 | 410.5 | 485.9 | 499.8 | 510.8 | 519.0 | S27.8 | 538.1 | 551.2 | 566.5 | 584.5 | 602.8 | 617.9 |
| 50-54 | 316.5 | 346.5 | 405.9 | 420.3 | 434.6 | 449.0 | 463.4 | 477.1 | 489.5 | 500.7 | 510.0 | 518.7 | 529.0 |
| 55-59 | 275.5 | 303.5 | 336.6 | 343.7 | 354.9 | 367.0 | 380.2 | 393.8 | 407.7 | 421.8 | 436.1 | 450.3 | 463.7 |
| 60-64 | 238.2 | 256.9 | 284.4 | 291.1 | 297.4 | 303.4 | 310.4 | 318.4 | 327.8 | 338.5 | 350.3 | 363.1 | 376.3 |
| 65-69 | 196.4 | 222.3 | 242.1 | 247.4 | 250.7 | 254.5 | 259.2 | 264.2 | 269.4 | 275.0 | 281.0 | 287.6 | 295.1 |
| 70 and over | - 318.5 | 382.9 | 453.5 | 469.7 | 484.4 | 497.7 | 522.7 | 534.0 | 545.4 | 556.8 | 567.8 | 580.4 | 592.5 |
| All ages | $6,745.8$ | 7.739 .4 | 8,827.4 | 9.019 .4 | 9,192.9 | 9,361.6 | 9.561.8 | 9.754 .4 | 9,953.7 | 10.157 .9 | 10,367.5 | 10,585.4 | 10,809.9 |

[^1]Percentage Distribution of Total Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June $1,1950-70$

| Age | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Profected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 2964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 0-4 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.8 |
| 5-9 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 10.7 |
| 10-14 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| 15-19 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.4 |
| 20-24 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| 30-34 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 35-39 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| 45-49 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 50-54 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| 55-59 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 60-64 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 65-69 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 70 and ove | r 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| All ages | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(1) The distribution for 1961 is based on census data; the distributions for the other years are based on DBS intercensal estimates.
Table 5
Percentage Distribution of Male Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June 1 , $1950-70$

| Age | Actual (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 0-4 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.0 |
| 5-9 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 10.9 |
| 10-14 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 15-19 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| 20-24 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
| 25-29 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| 30-34 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| 35-39 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| 40-44 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 45-49 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 50-54 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 55-59 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 60-64 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 65-69 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 70 and ove | r 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| All ages | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(1) The distribution for 1961 is based on census data; the distributions for the other years are based on DBS intercensal estimates.
Table 6
Percentage Distribution of Female Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June 1, 1950-70
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Table 7
OL-OS6T T ${ }^{\prime}$

| Age | Actusl (1) |  | Projected |  | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950-55 | 1955-60 | 1960-65 | 1965-70 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 |
| 0-4 | 19.0 | 14.5 | 4.2 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| 5-9 | 32.0 | 17.4 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 10-14 | 22.0 | 29.9 | 15.1 | 9.4 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 15-19 | 5.9 | 20.8 | 29.4 | 15.3 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 20-24 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 17.7 | 31.3 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| 25-29 | 7.5 | 2.0 | - 2.3 | 21.5 | -0.6 | - 1.4 | - 1.2 | - 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| 30-34 | 14.9 | 7.7 | - 4.0 | -0.8 | - 0.1 | - 0.9 | - 1.2 | - 0.9 | - 0.9 | -0.8 | - 0.6 | - 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| 35-39 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 2.2 | - 3.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | - 0.2 | - 0.5 | - 0.6 | $-0.7$ | - 0.7 | -0.8 | -0.8 |
| 40-44 | 18.9 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - 0.2 | - 0.4 |
| 45-49 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 50-54 | 10.4 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 55-59 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| 60-64 | 4.4 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 17.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 65-69 | 10.0 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 11.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 70 and over | 19.4 | 16.0 | 12.5 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| All ages | 14.7 | 13.8 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |

[^2]Table 8
Percentage Change of Male Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June $1,1950-70$

| Age | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Projected |  | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950-55 | 1955-60 | 1960-65 | 1965-70 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 |
| 0-4 | 19.1 | 14.7 | 4.2 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| 5-9 9 | 32.2 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 10-14 | 22.6 | 30.1 | 15.2 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 15-19 | 6.1 | 21.5 | 30.0 | 15.4 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 20-24 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 32.8 | - 0.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.1 |
| 25-29 | 9.5 | 3.3 | - 4.8 | 23.8 | - 1.0 | - 1.8 | - 1.8 | $-0.8$ | 0.4 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 |
| 30-34 | 14.5 | 9.9 | - 3.3 | - 3.0 | 0.2 | $-0.7$ | - 1.0 | - 0.8 | -0.9 | - 1.0 | - 1.0 | - 1.0 | - 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 35-39 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 3.7 | - 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | - 0.4 | - 0.5 | - 0.7 | - 0.8 |
| 40-44 | 18.4 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 45-49 | 17.3 | 14.7 | 6.2 | 11.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| 50-54 | 11.2 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 55-59 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 60-64 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 65-69 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 70 and over | 18.5 | 13.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| All ages | 14.6 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

[^3]Table 9
Percentage Change of Female Population, Actual and Projected, by Age, for Canada, as of June 1 , $1950-70$

| Age | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Projected |  | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950-55 | 1955-60 | 1960-65 | 1965-70 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 |
| 0-4 | 18.9 | 14.3 | 4.2 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| 5-9 | 31.9 | 17.4 | 9.2 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 10-14 | 21.4 | 29.6 | 15.0 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 15-19 | 5.7 | 20.0 | 28.8 | 15.1 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 20-24 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 16.3 | 29.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| 25-29 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 19.3 | - 0.2 | - 1.0 | $-0.6$ | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| 30-34 | 15.2 | 5.5 | $-4.8$ | 1.4 | -0.5 | - 1.1 | - 1.4 | - 1.0 | $-0.9$ | - 0.6 | - 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| 35-39 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 0.7 | $-4.4$ | 1.9 | 0.7 | - 0.3 | $-0.7$ | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | - 0.9 | -0.9 | - 0.8 |
| 40-44 | 19.5 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | $-0.6$ | - 0.9 |
| 45-49 | 16.6 | 18.4 | 10.7 | 14.8 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| 50-54 | 9.5 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 55-59 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 7.9 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| 65-69 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 11.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| 70 and over | 20.2 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 11.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| All ages | 14.7 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |

Table 10

Life-Table Mortality Rates for the Selected Ages by Sex, Actual and Profected, 1961-71

| $\underline{ }$ | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000qx |  |  | 1,000 qx |  |  |
|  | 1961 | 1966 | 1971 | 1961 | 1966 | 1971 |
| 0 | 30.58 | 27.98 | 25.60 | 23.87 | 21.84 | 19.98 |
| 1 | 1.85 | 1.72 | 1.60 | 1.64 | 1.53 | 1.42 |
| 2 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.07 | . 96 | . 93 | . 90 |
| 3 | . 99 | . 93 | . 90 | . 71 | . 69 | . 67 |
| 4 | . 83 | . 81 | . 79 | . 61 | . 59 | . 58 |
| 5 | . 73 | . 71 | . 69 | . 53 | . 52 | . 50 |
| 7 | . 60 | . 58 | . 56 | . 39 | . 38 | . 36 |
| 10 | . 50 | . 48 | . 45 | . 29 | . 28 | . 27 |
| 12 | . 54 | . 51 | . 48 | . 29 | . 28 | . 27 |
| 15 | . 89 | . 82 | . 75 | . 40 | . 38 | . 35 |
| 17 | 1.17 | 1.09 | 1.01 | . 48 | . 45 | . 42 |
| 20 | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.35 | . 55 | . 52 | . 50 |
| 25 | 1.57 | 1.48 | 1.39 | . 64 | . 62 | . 60 |
| so | 1.50 | 1.44 | 1.38 | . 79 | . 78 | . 77 |
| 35 | 1.93 | 1.88 | 1.83 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.12 |
| 40 | 2.82 | 2.75 | 2.68 | 1.74 | 1.71 | 1.69 |
| 45 | 4.65 | 4.53 | 4.42 | 2.77 | 2.72 | 2.68 |
| 50 | 7.72 | 7.53 | 7.34 | 4.36 | 4.23 | 4.10 |
| 55 | 12.65 | 12.40 | 12.15 | 6.75 | 6.48 | 6.22 |
| 60 | 19.95 | 19.59 | 19.20 | 10.64 | 10.19 | 9.76 |
| 65 | 29.72 | 29.42 | 29.13 | 17.18 | 16.53 | 15.90 |
| 70 | 44.67 | 44.45 | 44.24 | 27.74 | 27.05 | 26.37 |
| 75 | 67.06 | 66.72 | 66.46 | 46.64 | 45.80 | 44.98 |
| 80 | 100.91 | 100.41 | 100.10 | 79.41 | 78.38 | 77.36 |
| 85 | 152.31 | 152.16 | 152.07 | 131.18 | 129.87 | 128.57 |
| 90 | 227.12 | 227.00 | 226.89 | 207.08 | 206.04 | 205.01 |

## Table 11

Assumed Annual Fertility Rates by Single Years of Age of Women, 15-49 Years Old, 1963-70

| Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 6.5 |
| 16 | 18.1 |
| 17 | 46.1 |
| 18 | 90.0 |
| 19 | 137.2 |
| 20 | 191.1 |
| 21 | 224.1 |
| 22 | 248.1 |
| 23 | 256.1 |
| 24 | 247.9 |
| 25 | 245.5 |
| 26 | 230.4 |
| 27 | 215.1 |
| 28 | 206.5 |
| 29 | 193.1 |
| 30 | 180.5 |
| 31 | 159.1 |
| 32 | 142.1 |
| 33 | 127.0 |
| 34 | 112.0 |
| 35 | 96.9 |
| 36 | 89.1 |
| 37 | 77.0 |
| 38 | 68.1 |
| 39 | 56.9 |
| 40 | 47.9 |
| 41 | 35.0 |
| 42 | 25.1 |
| 43 | 17.4 |
| 44 | 10.1 |
| 45 | 5.5 |
| 46 | 2.7 |
| 47 | 1.5 |
| 48 | 0.7 |
| 49 | 0.4 |

## by

Frank T. Denton Sylvia Ostry

The labour force projections presented here were obtained in the conventional manner by combining projections of the population in each age-sex group with projections of participation rates (ratios of labour force to population). The various assumptions and procedures are described below.

> I - GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHOD

## Basic Population Projections

The basic population projections were prepared by Yoshiko Kasahara, and are described in the previous part of this study.

For the labour force projections, the following four groups were excluded fram the basic population: (1) members of the Amed Forces; (2) Indians living on reserves; (3) inmates of institutions; and (4) residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories. (This was done in order to achieve comparability with historical series from the DBS Labour Force Survey.) For each of these groups, separate projections were made by age and sex, and subtracted from the totals to obtain what may be termed the "labour force population". Also, a minor adjustment was made to convert the population projections, which relate to June 1, to an annual average basis. These adjustments are described in detail below.

## Participation Rates

The post-war annual average participation rates were plotted and projected graphically fram 1963 to 1970. Particular attention was given to those groups in which there have been marked changes in participation; namely, younger and older men and married wonen. Specific factors were taken into account in the projection for each group but, in addition, the general assumption was made that the econony would be operating at a relatively high employment level throughout the period. No attempt was made to predict short-term fluctuations since the labour force projections were intended primarily for use in making projections of potential output. I/ Participation rates for years between 1963 and 1970 were calculated by straight-line interpolation, the only exception being the age-shift effects which are discussed below and which were calculated separately for each year. Past and projected rates are shown in Table 1 and Chart 1, together with comparable United States rates for 1963.

[^4]CHART I

## CIVILIAN PARTICIPATION RATES

LABOUR FORCE AS PERCENTAGE OF LABOUR FORCE POPULATION


## CHART I (continued)

## WOMEN
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Source: Canadian participation rates based on data fran DBS Labour Force Survey and estimates or projections by Econamic Council of Canada. United States rates from U.S. Manpower Report of the President, 1964.

Men 14-19 - The participation rate for male "teen-agers" has been falling more or less consistently since the Second World War as a result of the rapid rise in schoolleaving age. Sane further dacline in participation is anticipated for this group but the pace is expected to be much slower. From 1956 to 1963 , the rate fell by about 9 percentage points; for the period 1963-70 the projected drop is only about 2 percentage points.

The Canadian rate is already lower than the United States rate for this group, and same levelling off would seem to be inevitable before long under almost any circumstances. In addition, while the relationship between the level of unemployment and "teen-age" participation rates is difficult to establish with precision, there is a presumption that at high levels of employment some young men who might otherwise have remained in school would be drawn into the labour market. Another factor is the change in the internal age composition of the group. A relative shift towards the older end is taking place, and since the participation rates are, of course, much higher at the older end, this alone will tend to keep the group average fram falling much in the next few years. As described below, the participation rates for 14-19-year olds, and for other selected groups, were split into two parts: one representing the effect of age shifts and the other, referred to as the "standardised" rate, reflecting changes in propensity to participate. The two components were projected separately and then cambined.

Men 20-24 -- The rate for this group declined throughout the 1950 's and early 1960 's, and same further moderate decline is predicted. The same approach was followed here as in the case of the previous group, with separate projections of the age-shift effect and the standardised rate. However, the age effect turned out to be negligible in this case.

Men 25-34 - No change is projected since historically the rate for this group, as for the following two, has been very stable.

Men 35-44 - No change is projected.
Men 45-54 - No change is projected.

Men 55-64 - A very slight decline (half a percentage point) is projected for this group in the light of the observed trend.

Men 65 and over - The tendency towards earlier retirement has brought the rate for older men down sharply in the last two decades and will probably result in same further decrease. However, a levelling off seems likely, particularly in view of the
assumed high level of employment. (Again, though, the precise relationship between participation and employment level is not easy to establish.) The rate is now substantialiy lower in Canada than in the United States. The effect of changes in age camposition, which was measured separately, will also be to reduce the rate of decline. Weighing all of these factors, a drop of just over 1 percentage point is projected.

Wamen 14-19 - Separate projections of the age effect and standardised rates were made for this group. The standardised rate is expected to drop slightly but it is expected that this will be more than offset by the age shift. A rise of about 1 percentage point in the actual rate is projected.

Women 20-24 -- A slight increase is projected, the result of an increase in the standardised rate reinforced by an age-shift effect operating in the same direction.

Wamen 25-34 -- The rates for this group, as for the ones that follow, reflect the rapid influx of married women into the labour force. Although the Canadian rate has risen substantially since the mid-1950's, it still remains lower than the United States rate and a continuation of the upward trend is expected. In large measure, women in this and the subsequent age categories have been drawn into the rapidly expanding service sector of the econony and it is assumed that there will be considerable further expansion of employment in this sector.

Women 35-44 -- The rate for this group started to rise in the early 1950's. The United States Iate seems to have levelled off since 1956, whereas the Canadian rate has continued to move up rapidly. However, there still remains a large gap between the Canadian and United States rates, and while the increase in the Canadian rate may be less from 1963 to 1970 than it was in the previous seven years, it is still expected to be quite substantial.

Women 45-54 -- The growth of labour force participation in this group has been phenomenal and further rapid growth is expected. In 1963, the Canadian rate stood at just under 35 per cent, a level attained in the United States about 1949. Seven years later, the United States rate had risen some 10 percentage points. If the United States experience were to be duplicated here - and many of the same influences are present the Canadian rate would be slightly under 45 per cent in 1970. An independent, and more conservative projection puts it at 42 per cent. The final figure, 43.5 per cent, represents what seems to be a reasonable estimate in the light of both the United States experience and recent movements of the Canadian rate.

Wamen 55-64 -- Similar procedures were followed here. The United States rate again reached the 1963 Canadian level around 1949. Seven years later it had advanced by a little less than 10 percentage points. Applying this increase to the 1963 Canadian rate of 24.7 per cent yields a 1970 figure of 34.5. An alternative, and again more conservative projection, places it at 31.0. The final estimate is 32.0 .

Women 65 and over - A small increase in participation $1 s$ forecast for this group on the basis of the upward trend of the last ten years.

## Adjustments to Historical Estimates

Projections of participation rates having been obtained, these were then applied to the labour force population projections in each age-sex group to obtain the labour force projections. The population, and consequently the labour force, projections take account of revisions of the DBS annual population estimates based on 1961 census data, whereas at the time that the labour force projections were prepared the published DBS labour force estimates had not yet been revised. The revisions will affect the published series from mid-1956 on. In order to avold any lack of comparability between the projected and historical series, same preliminary estimates of the revisions were made by calculating a revised estimate of the 1963 labour force population in each age-sex group (using the same procedures as were used for the projections) and assuming that the difference between the original and revised figures had accumulated since 1956 by equal annual increments. Revised labour force estimates were then calculated by applying the original participation rates in each age-sex group to the revised labour force population figures.

## Adjustments to Population Projections

Armed Forces -- It was assumed that the Armed Forces would be maintained at a level of about 120,000 . The goverrment's plans to reduce this number were not announced until after the original calculations had been campleted. Since the anticipated reduction is very small in relation to the total labour force, and to the expected growth of the labour force, it was considered safe for most purposes to ignore it and no adjustments were made to the basic labour force projections. However, for purposes of calculating potential output sane allowance was made for the reduction. ${ }^{1 /}$

The allocation of the Armed Forces total by age and sex was based on the most recent distribution available at the time.

[^5]Indians on Reserves - The aumber of Indiane on reservos was projected from 1961 after an axamanation of 1851, 1958, and 1961 cosmus data. It wes aasmed that the annual arithmetle rate of ohange would be half of the 1956-61 rate until 1966 and a quarter of that rate thereafter. This assumpition was made for overy age-sex group.

Imates of Institutions -- After an examination of 1951, 1956 and 1961 census data, imates of institutions were projected on the assumption that there would be no change fram 1961 levels in any age group under 70. For men and women 70 years of age and over the arithmetic rate of increase from 1961 to 1966 was assumed to be the same as from 1956 to 1961. After 1966, the rate was assumed to be half of the 1956-61 annual rate.

Yukon and Northwest Territories -- The assumption made for residents of the Yukon and Northwest Territories was that the annual arithmetic rate of change would be the same fram 1963 to 1970 as it was fram 1956 to 1963. This assumption was made for every age-sex group.

Adjustment to Annual Average Basis - An estimate of the June 1, 1963 labour force population was made for each age-sex group by interpolating between the published May and June figures. The difference between this estimate and the published 1963 annual average was then calculated. This difference was added to the June 1 labour force population projection for each year.

Estimates of the Effects of Age Shifts on Participation Rates for Selected Groups
For the 14-19 and 20-24 male and female age groups, estimates of single-age participation rates were mada for the year 1962 by graphic interpolation between published rates. Estimates were also made of the labour force population at each age in every year from 1951 on. The 1962 participation rates were then reweighted on the basis of the population distributions for each of the other years. The difference between the actual 1962 group rate and the group rate obtained by reweighting the 1962 single-age rates according to the population distribution for, say, 1951 represents an estimate of the pure effect of age shifts between the two years. In this manner, the age effect was estimated for each year fram 1951 to 1963 and subtracted from the original rate to obtain the "standardised" rate. The age effect was estimated in a similar fashion for each year of the period of projection.

The procedures were the same for the male 65-and-over group, except that here the calculations were eaxried out using only two age divisions -- 65-69 and 70 and over.

## II - BRIEF SUMMARY OF RESULTS

On the basis of the foregoing calculations, the labour force is expected to grow by a little over a million people between 1965 and 1970, or samething in excess of 200 thousand per year. This would represent an increase of just under 15 per cent in the second half of the decade - a substantially higher rate than in the first half and slightly above the 1955-60 rate. A comparison of labour force growth in the 1950's and 1960 's is afforded by Chart 2.

## CHART 2

LABOUR FORCE GROWTH


[^6]The changes in particular components of the labour force are of interest. The impact of the "baby boan" has been modified and delayed during the last few years by the tendency for young people to stay in school longer. However, this could only act as a temporary brake. The number of people $20-24$ in the labour force is expected to 1 ncrease by 33 per cent from 1965 to 1970 , and 57 per cent over the decade as a whole. Whereas the male labour force in this age group increased by 25 thousand in the 1950's, for the 1960 's an increase of 270 thousand is projected, or more than ten times as much. The population changes which underlie these changes in the labour force are displayed in Chart 3 based on the material presented by Yoshiko Kasahara in Part I of this study.

Another important aspect of labour force growth is the rapid increase in the number of working women referred to in section. I. In 1950, the female labour force numbered a little over a million; in 1970, it is expected to number about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ million, or almost a third of the total Canadian labour force. It is anticipated that by the end of the decade roughly three out of every ten married wamen will be in the labour force.

Immigration played a major role in the growth of the labour force in the $1950^{\circ}$ s, especially in the early years. With the damestic supply of young people severely limited by the low pre-war birth rates, there was a heavy dependence on foreign sources of manpower. It is calculated that net immigration accounted for roughly two thirds of the total labour force increase in the period 1950-55 and almost half over the decade as a whole. But in the 1960's the situation is quite different, as can be seen in Chart 4. It is estimated that over 90 per cent of the 1960-65 increase in the labour force and perhaps 85 per cent of the $1965-70$ increase will cown from within the population now living in Canada. Even if net fualgration were to ba considerably higher than the 50,000 per annum assumed here, domestic population vould still be the dominant source of labour force growth throughout this decade.
PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN PHART 3 3 LATION BY AGE GROUP
(1950-55
Source: DBS intercensal estimates and projections by Economic Council of Canada


## Average Annual Participation Rates

(Labour force as percentage of labour force population)

|  | United States(Civilian WhitePopulation)1963 | (Civilian Population) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1956 | 1963 | 1970 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Change } \\ 1956-63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Change } \\ 1963-70 \end{array}$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 40.7 | 48.1 | 39.0 | 37.1 | -9.1 | -1.9 |
| 20-24 | 85.8 | 91.7 | 88.9 | 87.4 | -2.8 | -1.5 |
| 25-34 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 97.8 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 45-54 | 96.2 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55-64 | 86.6 | 86.4 | 86.0 | 85.5 | -0.4 | -0.5 |
| 65 and over | 28.4 | 34.1 | 26.3 | 25.1 | -7.8 | -1.2 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 78.1 | 82.2 | 78.4 | 77.2 | -3.8 | -1.2 |
| Wamen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 29.0 | 33.9 | 29.9 | 30.9 | -4.0 | 1.0 |
| 20-24 | 47.3 | 47.1 | 50.0 | 51.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| 25-34 | 34.8 | 25.1 | 29.2 | 33.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| 35-44 | 43.1 | 23.8 | 31.7 | 37.0 | 7.9 | 5.3 |
| 45-54 | 49.5 | 24.4 | 34.7 | 43.5 | 10.3 | 8.8 |
| 55-64 | 38.9 | 15.9 | 24.7 | 32.0 | 8.8 | 7.3 |
| 65 and over | 9.4 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 35.9 | 24.9 | 29.6 | 34.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 |

[^7]Civilian Labour Force, Estimated and Projected, by Sex and Age, Annual Averages

|  | Estimated (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1988 | 1969 | 1970 |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 559 | 548 | 636 | 643 | 654 | 671 | 703 | 738 | 769 | 794 | 812 | 824 | 834 |
| 20-24 | 735 | 729 | 787 | 801 | 808 | 833 | 877 | 928 | 984 | 1,044 | 1.109 | 1.173 | 1,234 |
| 25-34 | 1,248 | 1.382 | 1,493 | 1,491 | 1.481 | 1.479 | 1.474 | 1.479 | 1,495 | 1,523 | 1,559 | 1,610 | 1,671 |
| 35-44 | 1.059 | 1.237 | 1,446 | 1.477 | 1.507 | 1.538 | 1,568 | 1,592 | 1,612 | 1.626 | 1,634 | 1.637 | 1,637 |
| 45-54 | 793 | 932 | 1,146 | 1,185 | 1,221 | 1,263 | 1,300 | 1,336 | 1,376 | 1.417 | 1.460 | 1,504 | 1,548 |
| 55-64 | 538 | 569 | 670 | 699 | 720 | 745 | 771 | 799 | 832 | 863 | 895 | 931 | 965 |
| 65 and over | 230 | 213 | 227 | 226 | 223 | 215 | 217 | 220 | 222 | 225 | 229 | 233 | 238 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 5,163 | 5,610 | 6,405 | 6,522 | 6,614 | 6,744 | 6,910 | 7,092 | 7.290 | 7,492 | 7,698 | 7,912 | 8,127 |


| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-19 | 352 | 327 | 365 | 361 | 371 | 385 | 402 | 419 | 434 | 446 | 454 | 459 | 462 |
| 20-24 | 480 | 475 | 505 | 510 | 506 | 521 | 551 | 585 | 621 | 659 | 700 | 740 | 779 |
| 25-34 | 990 | 1,096 | 1,163 | 1,154 | 1,144 | 1,134 | 1.124 | 1.121 | 1.127 | 1,142 | 1.165 | 1.198 | 1,240 |
| 35-44 | 879 | 999 | 1,104 | 1,120 | 1,134 | 1,151 | 1,167 | 1,179 | 1,188 | 1,193 | 1,194 | 1,191 | 1,186 |
| 45-54 | 669 | 766 | 879 | 894 | 910 | 931 | 947 | 962 | 979 | 997 | 1,016 | 1,035 | 1,054 |
| S5-64 | 472 | 489 | 541 | 554 | 567 | 581 | 596 | 611 | 630 | 646 | 663 | 682 | 699 |
| 65 and over | 209 | 190 | 191 | 187 | 185 | 174 | 173 | 174 | 173 | 174 | 175 | 177 | 179 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 4,050 | 4,341 | 4,748 | 4,780 | 4,817 | 4,877 | 4,960 | 5.051 | 5,152 | 5,257 | 5,367 | 5.482 | 5,599 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 207 | 222 | 271 | 282 | 283 | 286 | 301 | 319 | 335 | 348 | 358 | 365 | 372 |
| 20-24 | 255 | 254 | 282 | 291 | 302 | 312 | 326 | 343 | 363 | 385 | 409 | 433 | 455 |
| 25-34 | 258 | 285 | 330 | 337 | 337 | 345 | 350 | 358 | 368 | 381 | 394 | 412 | 431 |
| 35-44 | 180 | 238 | 342 | 357 | 373 | 387 | 401 | 413 | 424 | 433 | 440 | 446 | 451 |
| 45-54 | 125 | 166 | 267 | 291 | 311 | 332 | 353 | 374 | 397 | 420 | 444 | 469 | 494 |
| 55-64 | 67 | 81 | 129 | 145 | 153 | 164 | 175 | 188 | 202 | 217 | 232 | 249 | 266 |
| 65 and over | 21 | 23 | 36 | 39 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 46 | 49 | 51 | 54 | 56 | 59 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 1,112 | 1,269 | 1,657 | 1,742 | 1.797 | 1,867 | 1,950 | 2,041 | 2,138 | 2,235 | 2,331 | 2,430 | 2,528 |

[^8]Table 3
Changes in the Civilian Labour Foroe, Estimated and Projected, by Sex and Age

|  | Increase or Decrease in Thousands |  |  |  |  |  | - Percentage Increase or Decrease |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated (1) |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1950-55 | 1955-60 | 1960-65 | 1965-70 | 1950-60 | 1960-70 | 1950-55 | 1955-60 | 1960-65 | 1965-70 | 1950-60 | 1960-70 |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | -11 | 88 | 102 | 96 | 77 | 198 | -2.0 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 31.1 |
| 20-24 | - 6 | 58 | 141 | 306 | 52 | 447 | -0.8 | 8.0 | 17.9 | 33.0 | 7.1 | 56.8 |
| 25-34 | 134 | 111 | -14 | 192 | 245 | 178 | 10.7 | 8.0 | -0.9 | 13.0 | 19.6 | 11.9 |
| 35-44 | 178 | 209 | 146 | 45 | 387 | 191 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 10.1 | 2.8 | 36.5 | 13.2 |
| 45-54 | 139 | 214 | 190 | 212 | 353 | 402 | 17.5 | 23.0 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 44.5 | 35.1 |
| 55-64 | 31 | 101 | 129 | 166 | 132 | 295 | 5.8 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 44.0 |
| 65 and over | -17 | 14 | -7 | 18 | - 3 | 11 | -7.4 | 6.6 | -3.1 | 8.2 | -1.3 | 4.8 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 447 | 795 | 687 | 1,035 | 1,242 | 1,722 | 8.7 | 14.2 | 10.7 | 14.6 | 24.1 | 26.9 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | -25 | 38 | 54 | 43 | 13 | 97 | -7.1 | 11.6 | 14.8 | 20.3 | 3.7 | 26.6 |
| 20-24 | - 5 | 30 | 80 | 194 | 25 | 274 | -1.0 | 6.3 | 15.8 | 33.2 | 5.2 | 54.3 |
| 25-34 | 106 | 67 | -42 | 119 | 173 | 77 | 10.7 | 6.1 | -3.6 | 10.6 | 17.5 | 6.6 |
| 35-44 | 120 | 105 | 75 | 7 | 225 | 82 | 13.7 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 25.6 | 7.4 |
| 45-54 | 97 | 113 | 83 | 92 | 210 | 175 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 31.4 | 19.9 |
| 55-64 | 17 | 52 | 70 | 88 | 69 | 158 | 3.6 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 29.2 |
| 65 and over | -19 | 1 | -17 | 5 | -18 | -12 | -9.1 | 0.5 | -8.9 | 2.9 | -8.6 | -6.9 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 291 | 407 | 303 | 548 | 698 | 851 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 17.2 | 17.9 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 15 | 49 | 48 | 53 | 64 | 101 | 7.2 | 22.1 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 30.9 | 37.3 |
| 20-24 | -1 | 28 | 61 | 112 | 27 | 173 | -0.4 | 11.0 | 21.6 | 32.7 | 10.6 | 61.3 |
| 25-34 | 27 | 45 | 28 | 73 | 72 | 101 | 10.5 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 20.4 | 27.9 | 30.6 |
| 35-44 | 58 | 104 | 71 | 38 | 162 | 109 | 32.2 | 43.7 | 20.8 | 9.2 | 90.0 | 31.9 |
| 45-54 | 41 | 101 | 107 | 120 | 142 | 227 | 32.8 | 60.8 | 40.1 | 32.1 | 113.6 | 85.0 |
| 55-64 | 14 | 48 | 59 | 78 | 62 | 137 | 20.9 | 59.3 | 45.7 | 41.5 | 92.5 | 106.2 |
| 65 and over | 2 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 23 | 9.5 | 56.5 | 27.8 | 28.3 | 71.4 | 63.9 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 157 | 388 | 384 | 487 | 545 | 871 | 14.1 | 30.6 | 23.2 | 23.9 | 49.0 | 52.6 |

[^9]Table 4
Percentoge Dietribution of the Civilian Labour Foron. Eatimated and Protected, by Sax and Are, Anpual Arererpa

|  | Estimated ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Prefingted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1968 | 1965 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 196.9 | 1970 |
| Man |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| 20-24 | 9.3 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8,8 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.6 |
| 25-34 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 25.1 | 15.1 | 15.2 |
| 35-44 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 14.6 |
| 45-54 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 13.0 |
| 55-64 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| 65 and over | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Al2 ages, 14 and over | 78.5 | 77.4 | 74.1 | 73.5 | 72.8 | 72.3 | 71.8 | 71.2 | 70.7 | 70.2 | 69.7 | 69.3 | 68.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 20-24 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| 25-34 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| 35-44 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| 45-54 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| 55-64 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| 65 and over | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| A11 ages, 14 and over | 21.5 | 22.6 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 28.2 | 28.8 | 29.3 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 30.7 | 31.1 |
| Total Both Sexes | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^10]Table 5
Civilian Labour Force Population 14 Years of Age and Over, Estimated and Projected, by Sex and Age, Annual Averages

| 14-19 | 630 | 673 | 849 | 891 | 936 | 986 | 1,039 | 1,088 | 1,130 | 1,167 | 1,198 | 1,223 | 1,246 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-24 | 516 | 515 | 554 | 562 | 569 | 586 | 621 | 661 | 704 | 750 | 798 | 846 | 891 |
| 25-34 | 1,022 | 1,123 | 1,188 | 1,182 | 1,172 | 1,162 | 1,152 | 1,149 | 1,155 | 1,170 | 1.194 | 1,227 | 1,271 |
| 35-44 | 896 | 1,024 | 1,130 | 1,146 | 1,160 | 1,177 | 1,193 | 1,206 | 1,215 | 1,220 | 1,221 | 1,218 | 1,213 |
| 45-54 | 697 | 799 | 912 | 933 | 952 | 970 | 986 | 1,002 | 1,020 | 1,039 | 1.058 | 1.078 | 1,098 |
| 55-64 | 544 | 568 | 623 | 640 | 658 | 676 | 694 | 711 | 734 | 754 | 775 | 797 | 817 |
| 65 and over | 517 | 588 | 633 | 643 | 651 | 660 | 665 | 670 | 677 | 684 | 693 | 703 | 714 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 4,822 | 5,290 | 5,889 | 5,997 | 6,098 | 6,217 | 6,350 | 6,487 | 6,635 | 6,784 | 6,937 | 7,092 | 7,250 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 628 | 674 | 832 | 871 | 912 | 958 | 1,008 | 1,054 | 1,094 | 1,129 | 1,158 | 1,182 | 1,204 |
| 20-24 | 549 | 549 | 587 | 597 | 608 | 624 | 650 | 680 | 715 | 754 | 798 | 843 | 885 |
| 25-34 | 1.073 | 1,176 | 1,208 | 1,199 | 1,191 | 1,182 | 1,179 | 1,183 | 1.194 | 1,212 | 1,236 | 1,267 | 1,307 |
| 35-44 | 879 | 1,025 | 1,164 | 1,185 | 1,203 | 1,221 | 1,235 | 1,244 | 1,248 | 1,247 | 1,240 | 1,231 | 1,220 |
| 45-54 | 660 | 747 | 877 | 904 | 933 | 958 | 981 | 1,005 | 1,030 | 1,057 | 1,084 | 1,111 | 1,136 |
| 55-64 | 506 | 551 | 610 | 626 | 643 | 662 | 682 | 703 | 726 | 751 | 777 | 804 | 831 |
| 65 and over | 498 | 585 | 662 | 678 | 696 | 713 | 741 | 756 | 770 | 786 | 802 | 821 | 839 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 4,793 | 5,306 | 5,940 | 6,060 | 6.186 | 6,318 | 6,476 | 6,625 | 6,777 | 6,936 | 7,095 | 7.259 | 7,422 |

1) DBS Labour Force Survey estimates. The $1960-6 s$ entimates have been adjusted to achieve consistency with population data from the 1961
consum and revised DBs intercensal population estimates.
Table 6
Civilian Labour Forge Partionpation Rates, Sotimated and Projected, by Sox and Age, innual Avexagas

|  | Eatimated (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Prajacted. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| Ken |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 55.9 | 48.6 | 43.0 | 40.5 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 38.7 | 38.5 | 38.4 | 38.2 | 37.9 | 37.5 | 37.1 |
| 20-24 | 93.0 | 92.2 | 91.2 | 90.7 | 89.0 | 88.9 | 88.7 | 88.5 | 88.2 | 87.9 | 87.7 | 87.5 | 87.4 |
| 25-34 | 96.9 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 |
| 35-44 | 98.1 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 |
| 45-54 | 96.0 | 95.9 | 96.4 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 |
| 55-64 | 86.8 | 86.1 | 86.8 | 86.6 | 86.1 | 86.0 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 85.8 | 85.7 | 85.6 | 85.6 | 85.5 |
| 65 and over | 40.4 | 32.3 | 30.2 | 29.1 | 28.4 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 25.1 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 84.0 | 82.1 | 80.6 | 79.7 | 79.0 | 78.4 | 78.1 | 77.9 | 77.6 | 77.5 | 77.4 | 77.3 | 77.2 |
| Whomen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-19 | 33.0 | 32.9 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 |
| 20.24 | 46.4 | 46.3 | 48.1 | 48.8 | 49.7 | 50.0 | 50.2 | 50.5 | 50.7 | 51.1 | 51.2 | 51.4 | 51.4 |
| 25-34 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 28.1 | 28.3 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 30.3 | 30.8 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 32.5 | 33.0 |
| 35-44 | 20.5 | 23.2 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 31.7 | 32.5 | 33.2 | 34.0 | 34.7 | 35.5 | 36.2 | 37.0 |
| 45-54 | 18.9 | 22.2 | 30.4 | 32.2 | 33.3 | 34.7 | 36.0 | 37.2 | 38.5 | 39.7 | 41.0 | 42.2 | 43.5 |
| 55-64 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 23.8 | 24.7 | 25.7 | 26.8 | 27.8 | 28.9 | 29.9 | 31.0 | 32.0 |
| 65 and over | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.0 |
| All ages, 14 and over | 23.2 | 23.9 | 27.9 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 30.1 | 30.8 | 31.5 | 32.2 | 32.9 | 33.5 | 34.1 |
| Both Sexes, All agee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 and over | 53.7 | 52.9 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 54.1 | 54.4 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 55.1 | 55.4 |

(1) DES Labour Force Sarvey estimates. The 1960-63 estimates have been adjusted to achieve consistency with population data from the 1961 census and revised DBS interoensal population estimates. In the case of participation rates, these adjustments affect only the total men, total women, and total both sexes figures.
Effects of Age Shifts on Civilian Labour Force Partioipation Rates for Selected Groupz, Satimated and Projected, Annual Averages

|  | Man | 14-19 |  | Men 2 | 20-24 |  | Men 65 | 5 and or |  | Women | 14-19 |  | Women | 20-24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standardiled } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Effect } \end{gathered}$ | Coserved Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standardiled } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cbserved } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standardised } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Effect } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observed Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standardided } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Effect } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Observed } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Standardised } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { Effect } \end{gathered}$ | Cbserved Rate |
| 1951 | 53.4 | 1.9 | 55.3 | 93.2 | 0.2 | 93.4 | 35.9 | 2.0 | 37.9 | 32.1 | 2.1 | 34.2 | 47.6 | -0.7 | 46.9 |
| 1952 | 51.0 | 1.8 | 52.8 | 92.7 | 0.2 | 92.9 | 35.0 | 1.7 | 36.7 | 31.2 | 1.9 | 33.1 | 47.8 | -0.7 | 47.1 |
| 1953 | 50.1 | 1.6 | 51.7 | 92.6 | 0.3 | 92.9 | 33.3 | 1.5 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 1.8 | 33.2 | 47.9 | $\sim 0.7$ | 47.2 |
| 1954 | 48.8 | 2.4 | 50.2 | 91.7 | 0.3 | 92.0 | 31.9 | 1.3 | 33.2 | 32.0 | 1.6 | 33.6 | 47.2 | -0.6 | 46.6 |
| 1955 | 47.5 | 1.1 | 48.6 | 91.9 | 0.8 | 92.2 | 31.2 | 1.1 | 32.3 | 31.6 | 1.3 | 32.9 | 46.9 | -0.6 | 46.3 |
| 1956 | 47.3 | 0.8 | 48.1 | 91.4 | 0.3 | 91.7 | 33.2 | 0.9 | 34.1 | 32.9 | 1.0 | 33.9 | 47.7 | -0.6 | 47.1 |
| 1957 | 47.3 | 0.5 | 47.8 | 91.3 | 0.2 | 91.5 | 33.5 | 0.7 | 34.2 | 32.4 | 0.7 | 33.1 | 47.0 | -0.5 | 46.5 |
| 1958 | 45.3 | 0.3 | 45.6 | 91.4 | 0.2 | 91.6 | 31.7 | 0.5 | 32.2 | 31.5 | 0.6 | 32.1 | 47.9 | -0.5 | 47.4 |
| 1959 | 43.5 | 0.2 | 43.7 | 90.8 | 0.2 | 91.0 | 30.8 | 0.3 | 31.1 | 31.5 | 0.5 | 32.0 | 46.9 | -0.4 | 46.5 |
| 1960 | 42.9 | 0.1 | 43.0 | 91.0 | 0.2 | 91.2 | 30.0 | 0.2 | 30.2 | 32.3 | 0.3 | 32.6 | 48.4 | -0.3 | 48.1 |
| 1961 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 90.6 | 0.1 | 90.7 | 29.0 | 0.1 | 29.1 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 49.0 | -0.2 | 48.8 |
| 1962 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 89.0 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 49.7 | 0.0 | 49.7 |
| 1963 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 89.0 | -0.1 | 88.9 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 30.0 | -0.1 | 29.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| 1964 | 38.5 | 0.2 | 38.7 | 88.8 | -0.1 | 88.7 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 29.9 | 50.1 | 0.1 | 50.2 |
| 1965 | 38.0 | 0.5 | 38.5 | 88.6 | -0.1 | 88.5 | 25.8 | 0.1 | 25.9 | 29.9 | 0.4 | 30.3 | 50.3 | 0.2 | 50.5 |
| 1966 | 37.5 | 0.9 | 38.4 | 88.4 | -0.2 | 88.2 | 25.5 | 0.1 | 25.6 | 29.8 | 0.8 | 30.5 | 50.4 | 0.3 | 50.7 |
| 1967 | 37.0 | 1.2 | 38.2 | 88.1 | -0.2 | 87.9 | 25.3 | 0.2 | 25.5 | 29.7 | 1.1 | 30.8 | 50.6 | 0.5 | 51.1 |
| 1968 | 36.5 | 1.4 | 37.9 | 87.9 | -0.2 | 87.7 | 25.0 | 0.3 | 25.3 | 29.6 | 1.3 | 30.9 | 50.7 | 0.5 | 51.2 |
| 1969 | 36.0 | 1.5 | 37.5 | 87.7 | -0.2 | 87.5 | 24.8 | 0.4 | 25.2 | 29.6 | 1.3 | 30.9 | 50.9 | 0.5 | 51.4 |
| 1970 | 35.5 | 1.6 | 37.1 | 87.5 | -0.1 | 87.4 | 24.5 | 0.6 | 25.1 | 29.5 | 1.4 | 30.9 | 51.0 | 0.4 | 51.4 |
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[^0]:    1/ This is the probability that persons at the exact age of $x$ wll die before reaching age $x+1$.

[^1]:    (1) The figures for 1961 are from the census; those for the other years are DBS intercensal estimates.

[^2]:    (1) Based on census data or DBS intercensal estimates.

[^3]:    (1) Based on census data or DBS intercensal estimates.

[^4]:    $1 /$ See B. J. Drabble, Potential Output, 1946 to 1970 , Staff Study No. 2, Econamic Council of Canada, Ottawa: Queen's Printer.

[^5]:    1/ See B. J. Drabble, Potential Output, 1946 to 1970, Staff Study No. 2, Econamic Council of Canada, Ottawa: Queen's Printer.

[^6]:    Source: DBS Labour Force Survey and estimates or projections by Economic Council of Canada.

[^7]:    Source: Canadian participation rates based on data fram DBS Labour Force Survey and estimates or projections by Econamic Council of Canada. United States
    rates fram U.S. Manpower Report of the President, 1964.

[^8]:    DBS Labour Force Survey estimates. The 1960-63 estimates have been adjusted to achieve consistency with population data fran the 1961
    oensus and revised DBS intercensal papulation estimates.
    (1)

[^9]:    1) Based on DBS Labour Force Survey estimates. The 1960 estimates have been adjusted to achieve consistency with population data fram the 1961
    oonsua and rovised DES interoensal popalation estimates.
[^10]:    
    Based on DBS Labour Force Survey estimates. The 1960-6s estimates have been adjusted to aohieve consistency with population data from the 1961 census and revised DBS intercensal population estimates.

