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## Reported daily bags of ducks in Ontario, 1972-82, and their relationships to bag limits and seasonal kill <br> by H. Boyd ${ }^{1}$ and J.S. Wendt

## Abstrac

Reports from respondents to the National Harvest Survey of daily bags of ducks were used to examine the effects an increase in the daily bag limit from five to six in 1979 and responses to the Species Composition Survey to stud appecies. Thmpliance with special regulations for individua was $2.72 \pm 0.11$ and increased bag per successful hunter from $2.68 \pm 0.10$ in 1972-78 to $2.80 \pm 0.08$ in 1979-82 fhe $2.6 \pm 0.10$ in $1972-78$ to $2.80 \pm 0.08$ in 1979-82. 978 to 1979 , and there has since been a strong correa ion between mean bag size and seasonal duck kill. Bas of one duck were the most frequent ( $29 \%$ ) but contribute less than $11 \%$ of the kill. Bags of five or more contributed $38 \%$ of the reported kill in 1972-78, and $40 \%$ in 1979-82 The total annual provincial duck kill was not clearly affected by raising the daily limit. Affording mergansers the same protection as that given to other ducks did no reduce the small reported kill. The 'bonus'" regulation that permitted taking two additional scaup from mid October onwards seems to have been used by nearly 1972-78, and by half of those taking bags of more than ix in 1979-82. Increasing the daily bag limit from one to wo doubled the reported kill of Redheads, but had no apparent effect on the kill of Canvasbacks. Infractions of the regulations were reported frequently enough to suggest that ignorance of, or contempt for, current regulations is widespread, though the reported illegal kill was less than $2 \%$ of the total reported kill.

## Introduction

The National Harvest Survey (NHS) elicits responses from current holders of Migratory Game Bird Hunting Permits concerning their waterfowl hunting activity and success, by means of mail questionnaires administered to stratified samples of permit-holders. Cooch et al. (1978) describe he survey and discuss its reliability. This report deals with the daily bags of ducks shot and retrieved, as recorded by the permit-holders themselves, and with relationships between daily bag size, the total reported duck kill each "successful" being defined as "reporting at least one duck retrieved during the season"'
The analysis begins with data from the 1972 season, ecause the sampling scheme in earlier years underepresented inexperienced hunters by sampling only hunter tho had purchased permits in the previous hunting season,
and so led to exaggerated estimates of hunters' success. run of 11 hunting seasons is not long, but fortunatel duck-hunding ackivy in Ontario remained relatively tion here on the extent to which the seasonal kill may have been influenced by the average daily kill. We pay particular attention to a change made in 1979, when the daily bag limit was raised from five (which it had been since 1961) to six. The analysis is limited to the total kill of ducks and to the kill of those individual species that were the subject of special regulations.
Hunters provide two independent sets of reports on daily bag sizes. Those who complete the "duck calendar" on the NHS questionnaire record their bags on each hunting hunted but failed to bag a duck Thar respondents to the Species Composition Survey (SCS) who are asked to send to CWS one wing from each duck they shoot, provide the second set, which lacks nil returns and is liable to be biased downward should the supply of wing envelopes prove insufficient for the most successful hunters.

## Results

During 1972-82, the number of permits sold in Ontario averaged 144300 rising from 131427 in 1972 to 15969 in 1978 , then falling to 137661 in 1982. The numbers of in 1978, then falling to 137661 in 1982 . The numbers o to receive NHS questionnaires were about 4700 in 1972-78, rising with sales to about 7700 in 1979-81. The propor tion of responses averaged $57.8 \%$, and $78.6 \%$ of the respondents said that they had bought permits in the cur rent years. There were no trends in those two sets of percentages. The proportion of permit-buying responden rising from $59.9 \%$ in 1972 to $66.2 \%$ in 1982

## Distribution of daily bag sizes

Just over half of the 144000 reports of daily bags recorded no ducks taken (Table 1). The mean daily bag of successful hunters was $2.72 \pm 0.11$. When the daily limit was raised from five to six ducks in 1979, the mean bag increased from $2.68 \pm 0.10$ per successful hunter in 1972-78 to significant.
Bags of one duck were the most frequent ( $290 \%$ of all successful records) and contributed $10.6 \%$ of the total kill. The proportion of bags of one to four changed very little over the entire period. The change in the daily bag limit made a great difference to the proportion of the kill made up of bags of five and six. Reported bags of five accounted for more than $29 \%$ of the kill in 1972-78, but for only $18 \%$ in 1979-82. Bags of six contributed $4.0 \%$ of the kill rade up of bags of five and more rose from $382 \%$ in nade up of bags of five and more rose form $40.3 \%$ after 1979, a comparatively small change.

Rélationship of daily bag size tó séasonal hunting activiity and kill The increase in mean daily bag after the daily limit was raised might be expected to have affected the total seasona kill and the average seasonal kill per hunter. Table 2 summarizes the NHS information on seasonal actul hunter kill. Apart from the mean daily bag per successfurence none of the other measures shows a clear difference between 1972-78 and 1979-82, nor a trend over the numbers period. Before the increase in the daily hotht, been rising (correlations with years, $r=0.898$ and 0.901 respecively, $p$ ₹ 0.001 ). They fell from 1978 to 1979 and fluctuated thereafter well below the number reached in 1977-78. It is hard to imagine that the change in bag limit was responsible for this decline in effective demand. There was significant correlation between the mean daily bag and the total seasonal kill during 1972-78 ( $r=0.753$ ) and after 1979 ( 0.998 ), though for the entire period, $r=0.523,0.1>p>0.05$. This suggests that a close relationship between demand and supply may have been monitoring in future.
The annual mean daily bags were not directly related to he numbers of active or successful hunters, but were positively correlated with the mean seasonal kills per active hunter ( $r=0.600, p<0.05$ ) and per successful hunter ( $r=0.645, p<0.02$ ). Yet the mean seasonal kill did not increase after the daily bag limit was raised.

Effects on kill of changes in special bag limits for individual species Although the taking of the great majority of ducks is ubject only to the standard dariod 1972-82, changes ffecting these exceptions were infrequent and mostly slight, but it is worth examining whether they produced perceptible and intended results (Table 3)
Until 1970, mergansers of all three species could be taken without daily limit. In 1971, the hunting of Hooded Mergansers was brought under the standard limit of five, and in 1977 the Common and Red-breasted Mergansers were also afforded that protection. Had appreciable take mergansers without limit, and if after 1977 they came to respect the new limitation, the reported kill of mergansers might be expected to have fallen in recent years. The estimates of mean annual kill of Common Merganser were $4100 \pm 1100$ in 1972-76, and $5100 \pm 1200$ in 977-82. For Red-breasted Mergansers the means were $2000 \pm 1200$ in 1972-76, and $1400 \pm 800$ in 1977-82 Table 3)
Thus the Common Merganser seems not to have benefited from protection. The Red-breasted Merganser alling prior to 1976 (in which year there was an exceptionally high estimated kill of 4700 ). The much higher Ontario kill of Hooded Mergansers ( $21500 \pm 3200$ ) showed no rend during the decade.
The imposition of the standard daily bag limit on the taking of mergansers brought about no appreciable change
repoited bag sizes, because bags of more than three birds of any of the mergansers were infrequent at any time: only $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ of 1269 bags of Hooded Mergansers, $2.2 \%$ of 267 Common Mergansers, and 4 of 101 of Red-breasted Mergansers. The only improperly large bags reported wer two of six Hooded Mergansers in 1976. Before limits on the taking of the larger mergansers were introduced in 1977, a single bag of nine Common Mergansers was larger than six. Although there seems to have been no measurable response by hunters to the change in regulation that resulted in mergansers being treated in law like other ducks the removal of an anomalythat put mergansers "outside the law" was surely justified.
Scaup, of both species, are subject to the regulatory peculiarity that after a date in October (i.e. when large numbers of scaup have arrived in southern Ontario), a bonus of two over the standard daily limit of ducks may be taken. In 1972-75, the date on which the bonus came into effect was 10-12 October. From 1976 onwards it was expected to fall. The annual kills of both species are unusually variable, so it is not surprising that the effects of the delayed bonus on the total kill were not detectable (Table 3)
An analysis of daily bags with and without scaup suggests that the "bonus scaup"' regulation has been used extensively by the minority of hunters in a position to exploit it (Table 4). In daily bags of 1-5 ducks, less than $9 \%$ contained any scaup, and less than $4 \%$ were made up wholly of scaup. Yet scaup were reported in more than $25 \%$ percentage of scaup in bags of six, before and after the increase in the limit in 1979, shows that when the standard limit was raised, hunters concentrated on using scaup to increase their take beyond the new limit
For many years the daily bag limits in Ontario on the Redhead and Canvasback, the diving ducks most highly prized by hunters, have been very small. During the period for which daily bag data are available, the limit changed from one Canvasback or Redhead in 1969-71 to three Redheads in 1972, one in 1973-75, and two from 1976 to 1982 , and The response of hunters of Redheads was as intended the estimated kill being high ( 24 100) in 1972 , low in 1973-75, and nearly doubling after the bag limit was increased to two. By contrast, increasing the bag limit o Canvasbacks from one to two did not increase the reported Canv
kill.
Ta

Table 5 summarizes reports of 610 bags of Canvasbacks and 1198 bags of Redheads in 1972-82. During the years in which the limit was one, $18 / 274(6.6 \%)$ of successful Canvasback hunters said that they exceeded the limit, as
did $32 / 307(10.4 \%)$ of successful Redhead hunters. When the daily limit was two, $7 / 336$ ( $2.1 \%$ ) of Canvasback and 26/714 (3.6\%) of Redhead hunters claimed to have exceeded the limit. In 1972, when the Redhead limit wa three, only $3 / 177$ (1.7\%) of successful Redhead hunter reported exceeding that limit. The general result, that
ported infractions were more frequent when bag limit were lower, might be expected, though it is somewhat urprising to find how freely hunters reported their ow infractions or demonstrated their lack of awareness of special bag limits for these species.
If we assume that opportunities to take one, two, and hree or more Redheads in a day occurred in all years in proportion to the relative frequency of bag sizes in 1972, when the daily limit was three (i.e. 0.706:0.198:0.096), w an compare the recorded frequencies in years with limits regulations. In 1976-82, with a limit of two, the ratio of eported to expected was 508/504 1 bass of one, 180/141.4 bags of two, and 18/68.5 bags of three. That is, bags of one were much as expected, bags of two more frequent, and bags of three much less frequent. Even so, perhap $3 \%$ of hunters in a position to take an illegal third Redhead reported doing so. In 1973-75, when the limit wa ne Redhead, $37 \%$ of the hunters in a position to exceed he limit may have done so (reported/expected: 30/61.7 ags of two and $2 / 24.7$ bags of three)
If opportunities to take two or more Canvasbacks were ane bird, as in late years, with a limit of two the 18 reports of over limit bags, represented $26 \%$ of hunters expected to have had the opportunity to exceed the limit.

Reports of bags in excess of limits
We have shown the readiness of some hunters to report taking more ducks than permitted by the regulations. It seems unlikely that all hunters knowingly breaking the la in this respect would report having done so, even though CWS adheres to a policy of not using hunters' responses o NHS questionnaires, or reports of banded birds, as Migratory Birds Convention Act.
The possibility of using scaup to supplement the kill complicates the task of identifying how many improperly taken ducks were voluntarily recorded by respondents to the NHS. In analysing the data, it has been assumed that hunters acted legitimately whenever possible. Even so, in 972-78, when the standard daily limit was five ducks, 442 birds reported in bags of $6-10$ included at least 1009 $(22.8 \%)$ that could not have been taken in conformity with he regulations. (In 1969-71, with the same daily limit, 338 fter the rise in the daily limit to six 163 of 1060 birds $(15.4 \%)$ in bags of $7-10$ were taken illegally
These reported "over-limit"' ducks formed a very small proportion of the total reported kill, about $1.9 \%_{\text {in }}$ $1972-78$ and $0.7 \%$ in 1979-82. What is significant is tha a far from negligible proportion of the hunters who found themselves able to exceed the permitted limits chose to say that they did. Of 2969 daily bags of five or more ducks reported in 1972-78, at least 472 ( $15.9 \%$ ) included ducks teast $80(15.3 \%)$ with some illegally taken ducks. Thus more than one-sixth of the hunters reporting bags equal to or greater than the standard daily limit also reported
aking one or more ducks that they should not have taken This suggests that some of the most successful duck hunters were ignorant or contemptuous of the bag limits, at the same time as many others knew enough about the regula tions to make effective use of the scaup bonus.
It seems highly probable that, if the daily bag limits were lower, the proportion of hunters able to attain or exceed them would be increased. This suggests that, if it becomes necessary to impose more restrictive regulations on water fowl hunting in Ontario, increased efforts should be changes and to enforcing the regulations more effectively.
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## able 1

requencies of daily bags of different sizes reported from
Ontario in the NHS before (1972-78) and after (1979-82) the
ions of the reported kill contributed by bags of different sizs

| Reported bag | 1972-78 |  |  |  | 1979-82 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% of bag | Kill | \% of kill | No. | \% of bag | Kill | \% of kill |
| 0 | 47445 | 52.7 | 0 | - | 28834 | 53.1 | 0 | - |
| 1 | 12630 | 14.0 | 12630 | 11.0 | 7223 | 13.3 | 7223 | 10.1 |
| 2 | 11048 | 12.3 | 22096 | 19.2 | 6536 | 12.0 | 13072 | 18.2 |
| 3 | 6320 | 7.0 | 18960 | 16.5 | 3878 | 7.1 | 11634 | 16.2 |
| 4 | 4328 | 4.8 | 17312 | 15.1 | 2720 | 5.0 | 10880 | 15.2 |
| 5 | 6746 | 7.5 | 33730 | 29.4 | 2606 | 4.8 | 13030 | 18.2 |
| 6 | 774 | 0.9 | 4644 | 4.0 | 1981 | 3.6 | 11886 | 16.6 |
| 7-10 | 670 | 0.7 | 5459 | 4.8 | 493 | 0.9 | 3951 | 5.5 |
| Total | 89961 |  | 114831 |  | 54271 |  | 71676 |  |

Seasonal changes in duck hunting and kill in Ontario, 1972-82 from NHS returns

| Years | Total duck kill | Active hunters | Successfulhunters | Mean seasonal kill |  | Mean daily bag per successful hunter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | successful hunter |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag | SE $\dagger$ |
| 1972 | 822.4* | 99.3* | 79.8* | 8.3 | 10.3 | 2.66 | 0.020 |
| 1973 | 752.7 | 109.9 | 86.6 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 2.58 | 0.024 |
| 1974 | 811.5 | 106.3 | 83.5 | 7.6 | 9.7 | 2.62 | 0.022 |
| 1975 | 938.3 | 114.9 | 89.9 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 2.64 | 0.017 |
| 1976 | 976.4 | 110.5 | 87.1 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 2.86 | 0.017 |
| 1977 | 913.3 | 122.3 | 92.2 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 2.66 | 0.017 |
| 1978 | 943.2 | 121.5 | 94.6 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 2.71 | 0.014 |
| 1979 | 845.4 | 112.0 | 86.6 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 2.77 | 0.017 |
| 1980 | 912.1 | 112.9 | 88.5 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 2.86 | 0.020 |
| 1981 | 850.1 | 106.3 | 82.7 | 8.0 | 10.3 | 2.78 | 0.020 |
| 1982 | 861.2 | 110.4 | 87.3 | 7.8 | 9.9 | 2.79 | 0.022 |
| Mean | 875.1 | 111.5 | 87.2 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 2.72 |  |
| SE | 64.1 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.06 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972-78 | 879.7 | 112.1 | 87.7 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 2.68 |  |
| SE | 77.5 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.05 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979-82 | 867.2 | 110.4 | 86.3 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 2.80 |  |
| SE | 26.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.04 |  |

Table 3
解
bag limits


Standard error

## able 4

Proportions of daily bags of ducks in Ontario that contained
scaup, 1972-78 and 1979-82

| Period | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Bag } \\ \text { sizes } \end{array}$ | Bags with |  |  | Total bags | \%o of bags with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { scaup } \end{array}$ | Some scaup | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All } \\ \text { scaup } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { scaup } \end{array}$ | Some scaup | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All } \\ \text { scaup } \end{array}$ |
| 1972-78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1-5 | 20985 | 1016 | 837 | 22838 | 91.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 |
|  | 6 | 226 | 76 | 19 | 321 | 70.4 | 23.7 | 5.9 |
|  | 7-10 | 206 | 85 | 18 | 309 | 66.7 | 27.5 | 5.8 |
| Total |  | 21417 | 1177 | 874 | 23468 | 91.3 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 1979-82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1-5 | 9502 | 475 | 387 | 10364 | 91.7 | 4.6 | 3.7 |
|  | 6 | 322 | 8 | 59 | 389 | 82.8 | 2.0 | 15.2 |
|  | 7-10 | 65 | 57 | 10 | 132 | 49.2 | 43.2 | 7.6 |
| Total |  | 9889 | 540 | 456 | 4885 | 90.8 | 5.0 | 4.2 |

Table 5
Reported daily bags of Canvasbacks and Redheads in Ontario,
1972-82, in relation to daily bag limits. In 1972 the limits were
1972-82, in relation to daily bag limis. In 1932
one Canvasback and three Redheads; in 1973-75, one and one; in 1978-82, two and two

| Canvasback |  |  |  |  | Redhead |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reported bag | 72 | 73-75 | 76-82 | Total | Reported bag | 72 | 73-75 | 76-82 | Total |
| 1 | $\underline{60}{ }^{*}$ | $\underline{196}$ | 251 | 507 | 1 | 125 | $\underline{275}$ | 508 | 908 |
| 2 | 8 | 7 | 78 | 93 | 2 | 35 | 30 | $\underline{180}$ | 245 |
| 3 | 2 | - | 5 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 33 |
| 4, 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4, 5 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 12 |
| Total | 70 | 204 | 336 | 610 |  | 177 | 307 | 714 | 1198 |

Underlining denotes observance of daily bag limit.

