Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels Interlaboratory QA/QC Study - QM-1: PCBs, OCs and CHs in Ampules - Final Report

W. Horn, R. Szawiola and H.B. Lee

UPPER GREAT LAKES CONNECTING CHANNELS INTERLABORATORY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION STUDY QM-1: PCBs, OCs and CHs in AMPULES FINAL REPORT

by Wendy Horn, Richard Szawiola and Bill Lee

Analytical Methods Division
National Water Research Institute
Burlington, Ontario, Canada
September 1986

and
The Quality Management Work Group

Sent to the QMWG for review and approval

The Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels (UGLCC) Study recognizes Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) aspects as crucial elements to the overall utility of study results. As part of the QA/QC program, 13 interlaboratory performance evaluation studies were designed and conducted by the Quality Management Work Group.

This report describes the results from the first interlaboratory performance evaluation study, QM-1, which consisted of the analysis of total PCBs, 12 organochlorine insecticides (OC) and 13 chlorinated hydrocarbons (CH) in standard solutions. Results were received from 9 out of 16 participating laboratories (5 Canadian, 4 U.S.).

Overall, the PCB data were accurate and precise. The accuracy and precision of the OC results were good, except for a few parameters. Fewer participants analyzed CHs than OCs, and the overall results were precise, but less accurate than the OCs. Overall most data received from the participants for QM-1 were satisfactory and comparable, except for some of the data submitted by laboratory UO63, where precision and accuracy are questionable.

RÉSUMÉ

L'assurance et le contrôle de la qualité (AQ/CQ) sont des éléments essentiels à l'utilité générale des résultats de l'étude sur les canaux reliant les Grands Lacs de la région supérieure. Dans le cadre du programme AQ/CQ, le groupe de travail sur la gestion de la qualité a conçu et mené à bien 13 évaluations comparatives de la performance des laboratoires.

Le présent rapport décrit les résultats de la première évaluation de performance, QM-1, soit l'analyse des PCB totaux, de 12 insecticides organochlorés et de 13 hydrocarbures chlorés en solutions étalons. Neuf laboratoires participants sur 16 ont fait parvenir leurs résultats (5 laboratoires canadiens et 4 américains).

En général, les données sur les BPC étaient exactes et précises. L'exactitude et la précision des résultats sur les insecticides organochlorés étaient bonnes, sauf pour quelques paramètres. Les hydrocarbures chlorés ont été analysés par moins de participants que les insecticides organochlorés et, dans l'ensemble, les résultats étaient précis, mais moins exacts que les données sur les pesticides organochlorés. En général, presque toutes les données envoyées par les participants à l'étude QM-1 étaient valables et compatibles, sauf quelques données du laboratoire UO63, dont la précision et l'exactitude laissaient à désirer.

MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE

The Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels (UGLCC) have been designated "Areas of Concern" by the International Joint Commission. A Canada-U.S. binational study, involving the identification and assessment of the environmental impacts of toxic substances, in those areas, was initiated in 1984. In order to assist analytical laboratories contributing data to the UGLCC study, to generate reliable and accurate data, a Quality Management Work Group was formed and 13 interlaboratory studies were implemented. This report describes the results from the first interlaboratory performance evaluation study, QM-1, which consisted of the analysis of standard solutions of PCB, 12 organochlorine insecticides and 13 chlorinated hydrocarbons. Results were received from 9 out of 16 participating laboratories (5 Canadian, 4 U.S.). Overall, with the exception of one laboratory, most of the data received from the participants were satisfactory and comparable.

PERSPECTIVE GESTION

La Commission mixte internationale a désigné les canaux reliant les Grands Lacs de la région supérieure "secteurs de préoccupation". En 1984, le Canada et les États-Unis ont entrepris une étude conjointe sur la détermination et l'évaluation des effets de substances toxiques sur l'environnement de ces régions. Afin d'aider les laboratoires qui participent à cette étude à présenter des données fiables et précises, on a créé un groupe de travail sur la gestion de la qualité et effectué 13 études interlaboratoires. Le présent rapport décrit les résultats de la première évaluation comparative de la performance des laboratoires, QM-1; dans le cadre de cette étude, on a analysé les PCB totaux, 12 insecticides organochlorés et 13 hydrocarbures chlorés en solutions étalons. Neuf laboratoires participants sur 16 ont fait parvenir les résultats (5 laboratoires canadiens et 4 américains). En général, presque toutes les données reçues étaient valables et compatibles, sauf celles d'un seul laboratoire.

INTRODUCTION

The Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels (UGLCC) have been designated as "Areas of Concern" by the International Joint Commission (IJC). To identify and deal with the environmental problems, a three year binational study was started in 1984, involving Canadian and U.S. environmental and resource agencies, to study the St. Marys, St. Clair and Detroit Rivers, and Lake St. Clair. The study involves identifying, quantifying and determining the environmental impacts of conventional and toxic substances from various sources.

The UGLCCS recognizes Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) aspects as crucial elements to the overall utility of study results. As part of the QA/QC program, 13 interlaboratory performance evaluation (QC) studies were designed and conducted by the Quality Management Work Group. The goal of these QC studies was to assist analytical laboratories, who are producing data for the UGLCC study, to generate reliable, accurate data and to assess their overall performance during the study. A total of some 100 parameters (organic, inorganic and physical properties) in three types of matrices (water, sediment and biota) will be assessed.

This first interlaboratory study, QM-1, was initiated on December 17, 1985. It involved the analysis of organic parameters (total PCBs, 12 organochlorine insecticides and 13 chlorinated hydrocarbons) in standard solutions. The original deadline for reporting results was set for March 20, 1986. However, several laboratories were late in reporting, so the study was closed on July 4, 1986.

STUDY PROFILE

From the returned questionnaires, the following 16 laboratories affirmed that they would participate in this study: U001, U005, U009, U014, U063, U072, U075, U079, U086, U013, U049, U057, U077, U078, U085 and U090. By the time the study was closed, the last seven laboratories had not sent back any results. See the list of participants at the end of this report.

Since erratic in-house standard solutions had been shown to be the single major source of error in previous interlaboratory studies for organic parameters, the present study was designed to evaluate the accuracy of the participants' calibration standards for total PCBs, organochlorinated insecticides and chlorinated hydrocarbons. These parameters were included since they are usually analyzed simultaneously.

Each laboratory was provided with 12 ampules as described in Table 1. All standard solutions and the above test samples were prepared by the Quality Assurance and Methods Section (QAMS) of the National Water Research Institute (NWRI). Stock solutions of individual Aroclors were obtained from US EPA and those for organochlorines (OCs) and chlorinated hydrocarbons (CHs) were prepared from in-house analytical standards of purity greater than 98%. The design values and interlaboratory medians for each parameter are given in Table 2. The design values of the PCB samples were based on the

labelled concentrations on the US EPA ampules. Those for OCs and CHs were derived gravimetrically when the stock solutions were prepared. The values were also checked against in-house quality control samples from other QC studies by two analysts on different dates. PCB and OC samples were also used in IJC Interlaboratory Study 52 involving more than 20 laboratories. The design values of these samples were confirmed by the interlaboratory medians of the IJC study, since the discrepancy between the design value and the median was usually less than 10%. Participants were asked to analyze samples 101-104 for total PCBs, samples 105-108 for 12 OCs (hexachlorobenzene (HCB), α-BHC, γ-BHC, Mirex, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD, p,p'-DDT, heptachlor epoxide, dieldrin, α-chlordane, γ-chlordane, oxychlordane) and samples 109-112 for 13 CHs (1,4-dichlorobenzene (DCB), 1,3-dichlorobenzene (DCB), 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene (TCB). (DCB), 1,2-dichlorobenzene 1,2,3-trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB), (TCB). 1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene (TeCB), 1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobenzene (TeCB), pentachlorobenzene (PeCB), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), hexachloroethane (HCE), hexachlorobutadiene (HCBD), octachlorostyrene). provide a rough indication of the precision of such analyses, these samples were sent out in blind duplicate pairs as shown in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analytical Methodology

All standard solutions could be quantified by direct injection into a gas chromatograph using an electron-capture detector and a suitable column. For the analysis of PCBs, five out of nine reporting laboratories used packed columns while the rest used capillary columns. In most cases, PCB quantitation was done by summation of individual peaks of Aroclors 1242, 1254 and 1260. All laboratories except U072 and U079 used capillary columns for OC analysis and all participating laboratories used capillary columns for CH analysis. See Table 3 for details of the method of detection.

Data Evaluation

All raw data submitted by the participants are listed by parameter in the data summary (Appendix II). Individual lab results for total PCBs were evaluated by the Youden ranking technique (1) for the detection of bias, as well as a computerized flagging procedure (2). A laboratory's results are judged biased high or low, when its total rank is outside of a statistically allowable range. Results are flagged very low, low, high or very high, when they deviate significantly from the interlaboratory median. For a further

explanation of the ranking and flagging procedure, see Appendix I.

This statistical procedure, which semi-quantitatively evaluates data accuracy is widely used in other interlaboratory QC studies. See Table 5 for a summary of the PCB data ranking and flagging.

This procedure was not used to evaluate the OCs or CHs, since the number of samples analyzed was limited (4) or, the number of reporting laboratories was small (<9) and varied for each parameter. Also in some cases, because of the small number of reported results and the presence of outliers, the median did not reflect the design value. To evaluate the precision and accuracy of OC and CH results in this study, the percent recoveries (reported vs design values or interlaboratory medians) were calculated (Table 4).

To provide a semi-quantitative evaluation of the results, the results were designated as very low, low, high and very high, based on the reported results as a % of the design value as shown below:

<u>></u> 150%	very high
149%-125%	high
124%-76%	satisfactory
75%-51%	low
<u><</u> 50%	very low

See Table 6 for a summary of each laboratories results.

General Comments

Only three of the nine reporting laboratories reported their data by the originally set deadline (U075, U079, U086). Computer printouts with the raw data were sent to all reporting laboratories for verification in April 1986. All laboratories except U063 returned their results verified. A final data summary was sent to the participating labs, the Quality Management Work Group, the work group chairmen and the MC and AIC chairmen on July 11, 1986.

After reviewing the data summary containing all of the laboratories' data, laboratory U063 discovered some anomalies in their previously reported data and submitted some updated results for OCs and CHs on August 6, 1986. These late changes were not incorporated into this report, but can be found in Appendix III.

The overall interlaboratory performance of total PCB analysis was satisfactory. For the four test samples, the interlaboratory medians agreed closely with the design values and the between-lab RSD ranged from 12 to 21%. The precision of duplicate PCB analysis was very good. Despite the various quantitative techniques used by the participants, these results indicated that PCB data in this study are generally comparable and accurate. It is of interest to note that the reported detection limits for PCBs among the participants varied from 0.5 to 100 pg/ μ L, a 200-fold difference. It is likely that the low detection limit was based on an individual PCB congener and the high detection limit was based on total PCBs.

Only three laboratories, namely U009, U063, U072 analyzed all 12 OCs in samples 105 to 108 as requested. Many laboratories do not analyze q-BHC and oxychlordane routinely. Due to the presence of outlying data from laboratory U063, there was a >40% difference between the interlaboratory mean and the median for q-BHC, mirex, heptachlor epoxide, dieldrin and a-chlordane for some samples (see Agreement between design values and interlaboratory Appendix II). medians for all OCs was good, although the medians were more than 10% lower than the design values in the cases of HCB, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD After rejection of outliers, the interlaboratory RSD and p.p'-DDT. for OCs were less than 20%, indicating comparability of results between the participants. Except for laboratory U063's results for some OC parameters, precision of within-lab OC analysis was again very good for all participants since the difference between duplicate analysis was usually <10%. The reported detection limits for the OCs ranged from 0.1 to 50 pg/uL.

There were fewer participants analyzing CHs than OCs in QM-1. Only laboratories U072 and U086 provided results on all 13 components in samples 109 to 112. Laboratory U001 reported results for all chlorobenzenes except 1,2,4,5-TeCB, HCE, HCBD and octachlorostyrene. The other laboratories only analyzed some of the parameters. The difference between design values and interlaboratory medians in the test samples was about 10% for the dichlorobenzenes, HCB, HCE, HCBD and octachlorostyrene. For the other CHs, the interlaboratory medians

were 20% or more lower than the design values. The cause of this discrepancy is uncertain, however, an outlying result in a small data set can change the median significantly. Other than a few minor exceptions, the precision of duplicate within-lab CH analyses was again very good. On the other hand, the between-lab comparability of data was less satisfactory for CHs than OCs since the interlaboratory RSD's are greater than 25% in many cases. It should be noted that the reported detection limits for the CHs ranged from 0.1 to 300 pg/ μ L, a 3000-fold difference.

Lab-Specific Comments

See definitions of low, very low, high and very high on page 5.

U001

Overall, data were satisfactory. Most results were fairly accurate (75-109% recovery). Precision, between duplicate results was within ±10% in most cases. There were six parameters which contained outlying low results (<75% of the design value), one OC and five CHs (see Table 6). Five parameters were not analyzed (oxychlordane, 1,2,4,5-TeCB, HCE, HCBD, octachlorostyrene). No detection limits were reported.

U005

There were eight parameters which contained high or low results (see Table 6). Two total PCB results were flagged (sample 101-high and sample 103-very high). All OC results were precise (within ±10%) and the accuracy was satisfactory except for HCB and p,p'-DDD. The CH results were not as precise or accurate since some values were close to the lab's detection limit. Seven out of thirteen reported results were T coded (see Appendix I for explanation of T code). The presence of four CHs (1,2,4-TCB, HCB, HCE and HCBD) were not detected. No results were provided for the following seven parameters (a-BHC, 1,3,5-TCB, 1,2,3-TCB, 1,2,4,5-TeCB, 1,2,3,4-TeCB, PeCB and octachlorostyrene), since they were not routinely analyzed by the lab.

U009

The PCB results of this lab were precise and slightly high when compared to the design values. The OC results were also precise and generally accurate (between 80 and 100% of the design values) except for HCB and heptachlor epoxide which were low and oxychlordane which was high. On the other hand, many of the CH results were low (<50% recovery) and those for 1,3,5- and 1,2,4-TCB as well as 1,2,4,5-TeCB were particularly low. No values were reported for the dichlorobenzenes since they were not currently included in their methodology.

U014

Total PCBs were flagged very high for sample 101, high for both samples 102 and 103, and the entire set of PCB data was determined biased high using the Youden ranking. The OCs were fairly accurate 90-109% recovery, except for Mirex and heptachlor epoxide which were high (138%) and very high (>150%), respectively. Five out of seven CHs were high and the precision overall was within $\pm 10\%$. Analysis for α -BHC, oxychlordane, 1,3,5-TCB, 1,2,3-TCB, 1,2,4,5-TeCB, 1,2,3,4-TeCB, PeCB and octachlorostyrene was not conducted.

U063

In general, several of this laboratory's results were erratic. Seventy-four percent (17 out of 23) of the parameters analyzed had at least one high or low result. Total PCB data was precise within ±5% and also had no flags. For the OCs the precision was poor, in some cases the RSD was as high as 130% (dieldrin). The accuracy of some parameters in some samples was also poor, ranging from 60.1%-2142% recovery of the design value. For the CHs, sample 112 was not analyzed although the blind duplicate (sample 109) was. Hence the precision for this laboratory cannot be evaluated for this sample pair. For the other two samples (110 and 111), the precision between duplicates was satisfactory (within ±10%). However, the accuracy in most cases was poor, ranging from 41.7% - 17,500% recovery of the

design value. Pentachlorobenzene results were out of control, as all three samples analyzed were greater than 10000% recovery from the design value. Hexachloroethane, hexachlorobutadiene and octachlorostyrene were not analyzed. No raw data verification was returned. When contacted by telephone, the laboratory requested to have their results remain as reported. See Appendix III for changes reported on August 6, 1986 (these changes are not used in this report). However, for the changes made for the OCs, all were satisfactory, except for α-chlordane which was high (138% recovery). Missing results were submitted for CHs for sample 112. Nine out of ten parameters were either high or low. Overall, the precision improved.

U072

Overall, this laboratory's performance was excellent for most parameters. For total PCB, accuracy was within ±20% of the design value, and precision between duplicates was within ±5%. OC and CH results were also accurate, and precision was within ±10%. Only for sample 112, the result for 1,2,4-TCB was high.

U075

This laboratory submitted data for only four parameters. For this study, these are the only common parameters which they are analyzing and reporting for the UGLCC study. The precision between duplicates for the total PCB and the two CHs was within ±10%. Sample

101 for total PCB was flagged low. The accuracy was good for the two CHs reported (84-101% recovery of design value). The low HCB result (54% recovery), in sample 108, was likely due to a random error.

0079

Only five parameters were analyzed. Total PCB was accurate and precision was within $\pm 5\%$. HCB, p,p'-DDE and γ -BHC were precise within $\pm 10\%$ and also accurate. For sample 105, p,p'-DDT was on the low side. No chlorinated hydrocarbons were analyzed.

U086

Overall, this laboratory's accuracy was less satisfactory. Eighty-two percent of the parameters analyzed had some low values when compared to the design values. Total PCB data was accurate and precision was within $\pm 10\%$. For the OCs the precision was in most cases good, but the accuracy was poor in most cases. Recovery of p,p'-DDD was <40% of the design value. Four parameters were not quantified (heptachlor epoxide, dieldrin, α -chlordane and oxychlordane). The CHs were all low except hexachloroethane. The precision was within $\pm 5\%$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely thank all participants for their cooperation and Dallas Takeuchi, Pat Leishman and Jackie Abbott of the National Water Research Institute for their assistance.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Detroit Wastewater Treatment Plant, Detroit, Michigan

EPS, Wastewater Technology Centre, Burlington, Ontario

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Lansing, Michigan

Michigan Department of Public Health, Lansing, Michigan

National Water Research Institute, ECD, Burlington, Ontario

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Rexdale, Ontario

US EPA, GLNPO, The Bionetics Corp., Chicago, Illinois

Water Quality National Laboratory, Burlington, Ontario

Zenon Environmental Inc., Burlington, Ontario

The following laboratories were given samples, but did not submit any results:

Barringer Magenta, Rexdale, Ontario

NWRI, ECD, Burlington, Ontario

US Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit, Michigan

US EPA, Large Lakes Research, Grosse Ile, Michigan

US Geological Survey, Arvada, Colorado

IEC Beak Consultants, Mississauga, Ontario

Mann Testing Laboratory, Mississauga, Ontario

Volunteer

laboratories

REFERENCES

- 1. Youden, W.J. and Steiner, E.H. Statistical Manual of AOAC,
 Published by AOAC, P.O. Box 540, Benjamin Franklin Station,
 Washington, D.C. 20044 (1975).
- 2. Clark, J.L. Evaluation of Performance of Laboratories

 Determining Water Quality Constituents through Natural Water

 Samples whose True Values are Unknown. In summary of Conference

 Presentations. Environmetrics 81, p 54-55, 1981. Alexandria,

 Virginia, April 8-10, 1981.

Table 1. Samples distributed for analysis in QM-1.

Sample	Description
101	1:1:1 mixture of Aroclors 1242/1254/1260 in isooctane
102	2:1 mixture of Aroclors 1254/1260 in isooctane
103	same as 101
104	same as 102
105	mixture of 7 OCs in isooctane
106	mixture of 5 OCs in isooctane
107	same as 106
108	same as 105
109	mixture of 13 CHs in isooctane
110	sample 109 after dilution to 15%
111	same as 110
112	same as 109

Table 2. Design values and interlaboratory medians for PCBs, OCs and CHs. All values are in pg/µL.

		Number 10		Sample Number 102 & 104			
PCBs	Design	Interlat		Design	Interlab		
	Value	101	103	Value	102	104	
Total PCBs	1550	1550	1490	180	190	200	
Organochlorines	Sample	Number 10		Sample	Number 10	6 & 107	
	Design	Interlat		Design	Interlab		
	Value	105	108	Value	106	107	
НСВ	51.8	39.5	40.0	-		_	
Alpha-BHC	26.2	23.6	22.1	_		- .	
Gamma-BHC	24.9	24.25	21.35	-		-	
Mirex	54.3	49.3	48.0	_		_	
p,p'-DDE	111.4	98.0	94.5	-		-	
p,p'-DDD	50.4	43.1	36.2	-		-	
p,p'-DDT	50.9	45.8	44.0	-		-	
Heptachlor epoxide	_	-	_	39.5	41.05	38.5	
Dieldrin	-	-	_	43.0	41.9	39.0	
Alpha-Chlordane	,	-	-	52.6	53.55	55.0	
Gamma-Chlordane		-		48.9	47.4	49.0	
Oxychlordane			. 1994	24.5	26.95	25.55	
Chlorinated	Sample	Number 10	9 & 112	Sample	Number 11	0 & 111	
Hydrocarbons	Design	Interlab	Median	Design	Interlab	Median	
	Value	109	112	Value	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1013	958.0	978.0	152	146.0	143.0	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	952	890.5	903.0	143	134.0	131.0	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1050	1001.5	957.0	158	155.0	150.0	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	213	152.0	142.5	32.0	23.7	23.5	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	200	183.5	146.0	30.0	24.05	23.65	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	208	155.0	144.0	31.2	24.4	24.1	
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	101	55.25	62.0	15.1	8.5	9.04	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachlorobenzene	97.9	70.1	67.45	14.7	11.1	11.0	
Pentachlorobenzene	98.6	77.15	74.4	14.8	12.6	12.1	
Hexachlorobenzene	51.8	44.6	45.0	7.77	7.20	6.70	
Hexachloroethane	40.1	37.9	39.75	6.02	5.50	5.50	
Hexachlorobutadiene	49.5	46.6	46.8	7.42	7.10	6.80	
Octachlorostyrene	104	85.75	89.5	15.6	13.75	12.85	

Table 3a Analytical Methodology for Total PCBs.

Lab No.	GC Column Type and Det	ector	r Quantitation					
U001	3% OV-101 packed column	EC	Aroclors 1248:1254:1260 1 : 1 : 1					
ช005	3% SP-2100 on Supelcoport - quantitation 1.5% SP-2250/1.95% SP-2401 on Supelcoport-confirmation	EC	Individual Aroclors are summed					
U009	4m x 2 mm Dexsil 300 packed	EC	Designated peak summation (Aroclor)					
U 014	25 m x 0.2 mm HP SE-54 capillary column	63 _{NiEC}	Sum aroclors (7-10 peaks each) 1242,1254, 1260					
U063	30 m x .25 mm ID DB-1	EC	·					
Ú072	2 m x 2 mm ID 3% SE-30 packed	63 _{NiEC}	Peak height compared to known stds.					
Ū075	30 m DB-5 column		On average of 25 peaks based on 1:1:1 1242:1254:1260					
บ079	6'x 2 mm ID 1.5% SP-2250/ 1.95% SP-2401 packed	EC .	Summed individual Aroclors 1242, 1254, 1260					
บ086	2-30 m capillary columns - DB-5 and DB-17	2-EC	Individual PCB congeners were quantified and summed.					

Table 3b Analytical Methodology for Organochlorines and Chlorinated Hydrocarbons.

Lab No.	GC Column Type	Detector
U001	30 m SPB-5 column	Ni ⁶³ EC
บ005	Dual capillary column: for OCs DB-17, 30 m x 0.25 mm ID - analytical capillary SPB-5, 30 m x 0.25 mm ID - confirmatory capillary	EC
	for CHs: GC/MS 30 m x 0.25 mm ID DB-5 capillary column	
Ü009	Dual capillary DB-1701, DB-1	EC
U014	25 m x 0.2 mm HP SE-54 Capillary column	⁶³ Ni EC
U063	30 m x .25 mm ID DB=1 column	EC
U072	2 m x 2 mm ID, 3% SE-30 packing: OCs 30 m x 0.32 mm fused silica, coating - DB-5: CHs	63 _{Ni} EC
075	30 m DB-5 column no	details given
U 079	6'x 2 mm ID column packed - OCs 1.5% SP-2250/1.9% SP-2401	EC
U086	2-30 m capillary columns - DB-5 and DB-17	EC

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	95.3	96.7	109	105	92.3	97.3	104	94.5	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	
Hexachlorobenzene	76.3	77.2	-	_	100	100	-	-	
Alpha-BHC	98.1	84.4	-	-	109	100		-	
Gamma-BHC	96.8	83.1	-	•	99.4	97.0	-	-	
Mirex	79.0	75.1	-		87.0	85.0	-	-	
p,p'-DDE	88.3	82.8	-	-	100	97.6		-	
p,p'-DDD	80.2	71.8	-	-	93.7	100	_	_	
p,p'-DDT	91.7	86.4	-	-	102	100		-	
Heptachlor epoxide	-		106	105	-	- -	102 97.6	107	
Dieldrin	-		95.1	89.5	-			98.7	
Alpha-Chlordane	-	. -	87.8	87.5 76.3	_	_	86.3 80.8	83.6 76.1	
Camma-Chlordane	-		78.3	-	_	-	NA		
xychlordane			NA	NA.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	NA.	NA	
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample 110	Sample 111	Sample 109	Sample 112	Sample 110	Sample 111	
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	ini	109	112	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	94.2	96.5	96.1	94.1	99.6	100	100	100	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	92.5	94.9	93.7	91.6	98.9	100	100	100	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	88.9	91.1	89.9	88.0	93.2	100	91.6	92.7	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	71.4	72.8	74.1	73.4	100	109	100	100	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	71.0	73.0	75.0	74.3	77.4	100	93.6	94.3	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	74.5	76.0	78.2	77.2	100	110	100	100	
1,2,4,5-Tetra-	374	ΝŻΑ	NTA	374	NTA	NA	.NA	37 A	
chlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro=	68.8	70.9	75.5	74.8	96.1	103	100	100	
benzene Pentachlorobenzene	74.0	70.9	82.4	81.8	94.6	103	96.8	100	
Hexachlorobenzene	86.1	86.9	94.5	94.0	100	100	102	100	
Hexachloroethane	NA	ÑA	ŅĄ. J	NA	NA	NA.	NA	NA	
Hexachlorobutadiene	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	ΝA	NA.	NA NA	NA NA	
			NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	ÑA	NA NA	
Octachlorostyrene	NA	NA.	NA	NA	NA	ŅA	NA	NA	

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

ь No. U005

Reported Value x 100 Design/Median

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	om Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	134	142	104	111	130	143	98.4	100	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	
Hexachlorobenzene	48.3	50.2	_	-	63.3	65.0	-	-	
Alpha-BHC	NRA	NRA	- ·	-	NRA	NRA	-	-	
Gamma-BHC	80.3	84.3	-	-	82.5	98.4	-	-	
Mirex	88.4	88.4	-	-	97.4	100	-	-	
p,p'-DDE	81.7	84.4	-		92.9	99.5	-	-	
p,p'-DDD	53.6	53.6	_	-	62.6	74.6	-	-	
p,p'-DDT	80.6	80.6	-	-	89.5	93.2	_	-	
Heptachlor epoxide	ı.		83.5	86.1	-		80.4	88.3	
Dieldrin	-	-	74.4	79.1	-	-	76.4	87.2	
Alpha-Chlordane	-	-	98.9	105		-	97.0	100	
Gamma-Chlordane	· -	-	77.7	79.8	-	-	80.2	79.6	
xychlordane	-	-	93.9	98.0	-	-	85.3	93.9	
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	1.12	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	82.9	63.2	78.9(T)	72.4(T)	87.7	65.4	82.2(T)	76.9(T)	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	77.7	63.0	69.9(T)	90.9(T)	83.1	66.4	74.6(T)	99.2(T)	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	77.1	67.6	69.6(T)	75.9(T)	80.9	74.2	71.0(T)	80.0(T)	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	125(T)	ND	ND	ND	136(T)	ND	ND	ND	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
chlorobenzene 1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-	ÑŔA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
benzene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
Pentachlorobenzene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Hexachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Octachlorostyrene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	127	117	122	122	123	118	116	110	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample	
Hexachlorobenzene	68.7	74.5	-	-	90.1	96.5	-	-	
Alpha-BHC	90.0	93.1	-	-	100	110	-	-	
Gamma-BHC	98.0	98.0	-		101	114	-	-	
Mirex	95.8	95.8	-	-	105	108	-	-	
p,p'-DDE	80.6	81.5	_	_	91.6 116	96.1 141	-	_	
p,p'-DDD p,p'-DDT	99.2 102	101 100	_	_	114	116	_		
Heptachlor epoxide	102	-	65.3	62.8	114	-	62.9	64.4	
Dieldrin	_	_	91.6	90.2	_	-	94.0	99.5	
Alpha-Chlordane	_	_	103	106	-	_	101	101	
Samma-Chlordane	_	_	96.9	100	_	_	100	100	
xychlordane	_		150	144	-		137	139	
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	112	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NRA	NRA	ŃRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	NRA	ÑRA	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	25.2	23.0	32.2	29.4	35.3	34.3	43.5	40.0	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	47.1	41.9	53	46.3	51.3	57.3	66.1	58.8	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	61.1	54.8	70.2	59.0	82.0	79.1	89.8	76.3	
chlorobenzene	45.8	38.7	38.4	33.8	83.8	63.1	68.2	56.4	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-		•							
benzene	71.6	66.9	87.1	76.9	100	97.1	115	103	
Pentachlorobenzene	82.5	73.0	85.1	73.6	105	96.8	100	90.1	
Hexachlorobenzene	72.4	65.6	92.7	75.9	84.1	75.6	100	88.1	
Hexachloroethane	93.0	81.0	81.4	74.8	98.4	81.8	89.1	81.8	
Hexachlorobutadiene	91.3	81.0	90.3	82.2	97.0	85.7	94.4	89.7	
Octachlorostyrene	69.7	61.6	87.2	70.5	84.5	71.6	98.9	85.6	

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	135	125	131	130	131	126	1 24	117	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample	
Hexachlorobenzene	96.5	96.5	-	-	127	125	<u> ~</u>	-	
Alpha-BHC	NA	NA	-	-	ŇA	NA	-	-	
Gamma-BHC	100	100	-	-	103	117	-	-	
Mirex	138	138	-	-	152	156	#	-	
p,p'-DDE	89.8	92.5	-	-	102	109	-	-	
p,p'-DDD	107	109		=	125	152	-	-	
p,p'-DDT	102	102	-	-	114	118	-	-	
Heptachlor epoxide	-	-	152	162	-	-	146	166	
Dieldrin	==	-	100	100	-	_	103	110	
Alpha-Chlordane	-	-	105	105	-	-	103	100	
amma-Chlordane	-	-	106	106	-	-	110	106	
xychlordane	-	-	NA.	NA	•	-	NA 	ŇĄ	
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Samp1e	
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	112	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	118	118	132	125	125	123	137	133	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	116	116	133	119	124	122	142	130	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	114	124	120	120	120	136	123	127	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	NA	NA	ŇA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	115	115	100	100	125	158	125	127	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
chlorobenzene	ŃΑ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-	****	-4-	****			- 10-2			
benzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ŇA	ŇA	
Pentachlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Hexachlorobenzene	96.5	116	129	129	112	133	139	149	
Hexachloroethane	99.8	125	166	166	106	126	182	182	
Hexachlorobutadiene	141	141	135	135	150	150	141	147	
Octachlorostyrene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA.	NA	NA	

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	83.7	84.4	95.6	99.4	81.0	85.0	90.5	89.5	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	
Hexachlorobenzene	72.2	132	-	-	94.7	171	-	_, '	
Alpha-BHC	469	83.6	-	-	521	99.1	-	-	
Gamma-BHC	123	84.7	-	-	123	98.8	-	-	
Mirex	425	75.5	-	÷.	469	85.4	-	-	
p,p'-DDE	87.6	73.5	• -	-	99.6	86.7	-	-	
p,p'-DDD	90.9	71.2	-	-	106	99.2	-	-	
p,p'-DDT	102	60.1	-	-	114	69.5	-	-	
Heptachlor epoxide	-	-	691	95.4	:-	-	665	97.9	
Dieldrin	-	-	2142	91.2	-	-	2198	101	
Alpha-Chlordane	-	-	297	113	-	-	291	108	
Samma-Chlordane	-	-	124	.104	-	-	128	103	
xychlordane	- .	_	117	109		_	106	104	
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons	Sample 109	Sample 112	Sample 110	Sample 111	Sample 109	Sample 112	Sample 110	Sample 111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	95.0	NA	80.3	79.6	100	NA	83.6	84.6	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	119	NA	65.9	64.1	128	NA	70.4	69.9	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	146	NA	104	94.9	153	NA	106	100	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	139	ÑΑ	90.3	89.1	195	NA	122	121	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	131	NA	85.3	83.3	142	NA	106	106	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	107	NA	82.4	77.9	144	ŇA	105	101	
1,2,4,5-Tetra- chlorobenzene 1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-	49.0	NA	46.4	46.9	89.6	ŅA	82.4	78.3	
benzene	117	ŇA	70.1	68.0	164	NA	92.8	90.9	
Pentachlorobenzene	17526	NA	10736	10270	22399	NA	12611	12562	
Hexachlorobenzene	81.7	NA	44.5	41.7	94.8	NA	48.1	48.4	
Hexachloroethane	NA	NA	NA	ÑA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Hexachlorobutadiene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ŇA	
Octachlorostyrene	NA	NA	NA	NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	

^{*} See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used. **See Appendix III for revised results.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

 $\frac{\text{Reported Value}}{\text{Design/Median}} \times 100$

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	<pre>% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median</pre>				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	107	101	119	119	103	113	101	107	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	
Hexachlorobenzene Alpha-BHC Gamma-BHC	85.3 84.4 91.6	79.3 90.5 86.7	<u>-</u> -	- -	112 93.6 94.0	103 107 101	- - -	- - -	
Mirex p,p'-DDE p,p'-DDD	90.8 90.6 85.5	92.1 90.8 88.7	- - -	÷ -	100 103 100	104 107 123	- - -	- -	
p,p'-DDT Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin	88.2	87.6 -	- 102 99.8	99.5 100	98.0	101	- 98.4 102	- 102 110	
Alpha-Chlordane Samma-Chlordane xychlordane	- -	- -	101 102 103	100 101 100	- - -	- - -	99.2 105 93.9	95.6 101 95.9	
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons	Sample 109	Sample 112	Sample 110	Sample 111	Sample 109	Sample 112	Sample 110	Sample 111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	102 94.5	118 105	109 101	105 97.9	108 101	123 111	113 108 100	112 107 103	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	102 106 113	114 122 128	98.1 102 115	98.1 111 115	107 148 123	125 182 175	137 143	151 146	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra- chlorobenzene	111 99.0	115 109	106 99.3	111 99.3	148 181	167 177	135 176	143 166	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro- benzene Pentachlorobenzene	112 106	123 117	105 105	109 105	157 136	178 155	140 123	145 128	
Hexachlorobenzene Hexachloroethane Hexachlorobutadiene	91.7 96.0 97.0	97.5 103 108	96.5 99.7 101	96.5 99.7 101	107 102 103	112 104 114	104 109 106	112 109 110	
Octachlorostyrene	94.7	101	99.4	96.2	115	117	113	117	

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

 $\frac{\text{Reported Value}}{\text{Design/Median}} \text{ x } 100$

Parameter	% Reco	very fro	m Design	Value	% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median				
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	
Total PCBs	78.1	89.3	86.7	88.3	75.5	89.9	82.1	79.5	
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	
Hexachlorobenzene	84.9	54.1	.	-	111	70.0	-	_	
Alpha-BHC	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Gamma=BHC	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Mirex	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
p,p'-DDE	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	ÑAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
p,p'-DDD	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
p,p'-DDT	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Heptachlor epoxide	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Dieldrin	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	ŇAPP	
Alpha-Chlordane	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Samma-Chlordane	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
xychlordane	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	112	110	111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
chlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-		2° °							
benzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Pentachlorobenzene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Hexachlorobenzene	88.8	86.9	83.7	86.2	103	100	90.3	100	
Hexachloroethane	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Hexachlorobutadiene	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	NAPP	
Octachlorostyrene	100	101	89.1	87.8	121	117	101	107	

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

Parameter	% Recovery from Design Value				% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median			
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104
Total PCBs	103	99.3	106	112	100	100	100	101
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107
Hexachlorobenzene	91.7	103	-		120	134	-	-
Alpha-BHC	NA	NA	-	=	NA	NA	-	-
Gamma-BHC	104	105	-		1.07	122	-	-
Mirex	NA	NA	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
p,p'-DDE	94.3	94.3	-	-	107	111	-	-
p,p'-DDD	NA	NA O/ 2	-	-	NA 0/- 1	NA OZ 5	_	_
p,p'-DDT	75.6	84.3	-		84.1	97.5	- NA	274
Heptachlor epoxide	-	-	NA NA	NA NA	_	-	N <u>A</u> NA	NA NA
Dieldrin	-	- -	NA NA	NA NA	_	_	NA NA	NA NA
Alpha-Chlordane Samma-Chlordane	_	_	NA NA	NA NA	_	-	NA NA	NA NA
xychlordane		. 	NA.	NA	-	-	NA	NA
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	112	110	111
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ΝA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΝA
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	ÑĄ	NA	NA	NA
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
chlorobenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-		***	***	374	37.4	27.4	37.4	37.4
benzene	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Pentachlorobenzene	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Hexachlorobenzene	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Hexachloroethane Hexachlorobutadiene	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	ŅĀ NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Octachlorostyrene	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
octacii forostyrene	.NA	NA	NA	IVA.	INA	IVA	INA	INA

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

Table 4. % Recovery Calculated from the Design Value and the Median.

ab No. 0086

 $\frac{\text{Reported Value}}{\text{Design/Median}} \text{ x } 100$

Parameter	% Recovery from Design Value				% Recovery from Interlaboratory Median			
PCBs	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104	Sample 101	Sample 103	Sample 102	Sample 104
Total PCBs	86.0	85.3	83.3	94.4	83.2	85.9	78.9	85.0
Organochlorines	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107	Sample 105	Sample 108	Sample 106	Sample 107
Hexachlorobenzene	54.1	59.8	-	-	70.9	77.5	-	-
Alpha-BHC	64.9	72.5	-	-	72.0	86.0	-	-
Gamma-BHC	76.3	76.3	-	-	78.4	89.0	. ∸	=
Mirex	66.3	64.5	-	-	73.0	72.9	-	-
p,p'-DDE	67.3	85.3	-	-	76.5	101	-	-
p,p'-DDD	31.7	37.7	-	-	37.1	52.5		-
p,p'-DDT	70.7	86.4	=	-	78.6	100	-	-
Heptachlor epoxide	-		NA	NA	. -	-	NA	NA
Dieldrin	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	NA	NA
Alpha-Chlordane	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	NA	NA
amma-Chlordane	-	. ÷	85.9	83.8	-	-	88.6	83.7
xychlordane	-	-	NA	NA	_	. 	NA	NA
Chlorinated	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Hydrocarbons	109	112	110	111	109	112	110	111
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	61.2	56.3	72.4	72.4	64.7	58.3	75.3	76.9
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	57.7	59.9	64.3	64.3	61.8	63,1	68.7	70.2
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	58.1	61.0	69.6	69.6	60.9	66.9	71.0	73.3
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	61.0	61.0	68.8	68.8	85.5	91.2	92.8	93.6
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	55.0	60.0	66.7	63.3	60.0	82.2	83.2	80.3
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4,5-Tetra-	62.5	62.5	64.1	64.1	83.9	90.3	82.0	83.0
chlorobenzene	60.4	61.4	66.2	72.8	110	100	118	122
1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro- benzene	58.2	59.2	63.3	64.6	81.3	86.0	83.8	86.4
Pentachlorobenzene	61.9	61.9	64.9	66.2	79.1	82.0	76.2	81.0
Hexachlorobenzene	59.8	59.8	66.9	69.5	69.5	69.0	72.2	80.6
Hexachloroethane	92.2	94.8	83.1	83.1	97.6	95.6	90.9	90.9
Hexachlorobutadiene	64.6	66.7	67.4	66.0	68.7	70.5	70.4	72.1
Octachlorostyrene	70.2	71.2	76.9	76.9	85.1	82.7	87.3	93.4

^{*}See Appendix I for an explanation of codes used.

DOS SECTION INSTITUTE 10

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND NATER RESERVENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

86/09/16

TOTAL PCB 1 UN-1

OF TOTAL PCBs ANALYSIS OF CONCENTRATION ERROR INCREMENTS . 20 ERROR=150.0 BASIC ACCEPTABLE ERROR=35.00: U077, U085, U090, U049, U073 LOWER LIMIT FOR USE OF BASIC ACCEPTABLE LABORATORIES VET TO REPORT! U013, U057, LABORATORY RESULTS OMITTED ARE NOWE

104 REPORTED VALUE 200.000 103 REPORTED VALUE ¥ 1490.000 0100MV WW REPORTED. 190,000 40040 444 600040 460 600040 600 101 REPORTED VALUE 1550,000 SAMPLE LAB NO

NO.OF SAMPLES RANKED

AVERAGE Rank

TOTAL

LAB NO.

DETECTION LIMIT DETECTION LIMIS 0.000 000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0. 60 10 10 20 100 **ELASED HIGH EIASED HIGH** SUMMARY OF FLAGGING SUMMARY OF FLAGGING 1 H X

NO OF SAMPLES

AVERAGE RANK

TOTAL RANK

LAB NO.

000

AVERAGE

OVERALL RANK IS

5.000

AVERAGE

OVERALL RANK IS

Table 6 Summary of laboratory results based on the % recovery of the design value. (See page 5).

Lab No.	Parameter	Comments
U001	p,p'-DDD	Sample 108 - low
	1,3,5-TCB	Samples 109,112,110,111 - low
	1,2,4-TCB	Samples 109,112,110,111 - low
	1,2,3-TCB	Sample 109 - low
	1,2,3,4-TeCB	Samples 109,112,111 - low
	Pentachlorobenzene	Sample 109 - low
U005	Total PCB	Samples 101,103 - high
	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 105 - v.low; 108 - low
	p,p'-DDD	Samples 105,108 - low
•	Dieldrin	Sample 106 - low
	1,4-DCB	Samples 112,111 - low
	1,3-DCB	Samples 112,110 - low
	1,2-DCB	Samples 112,110 - low
	1,2,4-TCB	Samples 109 - high; 112,111,
		110 - ND
	Hexachlorobenzene	ND all 4
	Hexachloroethane	ND all 4
	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND all 4
Ü009	Total PCB	Sample 101 - high
	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 105,108 - 1ow
	Heptachlor epoxide	Samples 106,107 - low
	Oxychlordane	Samples 106 - v.high; 107 - high
	1,3,5-TCB	Samples 109,112,110,111 - v.low
	1,2,4-TCB	Samples 109,112,111-v.low; 110 - low
	1,2,3-TCB	Samples 109,112,110,111 - low
	1,2,4,5-TeCB	Samples 109,112,110,111 - v.low
	1,2,3,4-TeCB	Samples 109,112 - low
	Pentachlorobenzene	Samples 112,111 - low
	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 109,112 - low
	Hexachloroethane	Sample 111 - low
	Octachlorostyrene	Samples 109,112, 111 - low
U014	Total PCB	Samples 101,103,102,104 - high
	Mirex	Samples 105,108 - high
	Heptachlor epoxide	Samples 106,107 - v.high
	1,4-DCB	Samples 110,111 - high
	1,3-DCB	Sample 110 - high
	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 110,111 - high
	Hexachloroethane	Samples 112 - high; 110,111 -
	17100211110011 (A. 171 ²)	v.high
	Hexachlorobutadiene	Samples 109,112,110,111 - high

Table 6 Summary of laboratory results based on the % recovery of the design value. (See page 5).

continued

Lab No.	Parameter	Comments
U063	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 105 - low, sample 108
		high
	α-BHC	Sample 105 - v.high (469%)
	Mirex	Sample 105 - v.high (425%)
	p,p'-DDE	Sample 108 - low
	p,p'-DDD	Sample 108 - low
	p,p'-DDT	Sample 108 - low
	Heptachlor epoxide	Sample 106 - v.high (691%)
	Dieldrin	Sample 106 - v.high (2142%)
	a-chlordane	Sample 106 - v.high (297%)
	1,3-DCB	Samples 110,111 - low
	1,2-DCB, 1,2,4-TCB and 1,3,5-TCB	Sample 109 - high
	1,2,4,5-TeCB	Samples 109,110,111 - v.low
	1,2,3,4-TeCB	Samples 110,111 - 1ow
	Pentachlorobenzene	Samples 109,110,111 - v.high
		(>10,000%)
	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 110,111 - v.low
บ072	1,2,4-TCB	Sample 112 - high
บ075	Hexachlorobenzene (only 4 parameters analyzed	Sample 108 - 10w
U079	No chlorinated hydrocarbons	analyzed
U086	Hexachlorobenzene	Samples 105,108 - low
	α-ВНС	Samples 105,108 - low
	Mirex	Samples 105,108 - low
	p,p'-DDE	Sample 105 - low
	p,p'-DDD	Samples 105,108 - v.low
	p,p'-DDT	Sample 105 - low
	1,4-DCB, 1,3-DCB,1,2-DCB,	}
	1,3,5-TCB, 1,2,4-TCB,	 Samples 109,112,110,111 - low
	1,2,3-TCB, 1,2,4,5-TeCB,	Samples 107,112,110,111 - 10W
	1,2,3,4-TeCB, PeCB, HCB And Hexachlorobutadiene	
	Octachlorostyrene	Samples 109,112 - low
	octacii ioi oșt y teile	namhres 103 try - 10m

Glossary of Terms

(1) Ranking

Ranking is a non-parametric statistical technique used for the detection of pronounced systematic error (bias) in interlaboratory According to Youden's procedure, rank 1 is given to the studies. laboratory that provided the lowest result, rank 2 to the next lowest. In case of a tie, the average rank is given to the tied laboratories. Results with a < sign are not ranked. For each parameter, the total rank of each laboratory is the sum of individual ranks on each sample. In the case of six test samples and ten laboratories, the 5% probability limits for ranking scores are 14 and 52. A laboratory with a score lower than 14 is identified as biased low. laboratory with a total rank higher than 52 is biased high. cases, their results are classified as outliers. In cases where a laboratory did not provide all the results, or some of the results were not ranked, the average rank instead of total rank was used for the determination of biased statements.

The more comparable, i.e. better, laboratories should have ranks in the middle rather than at the extreme ends. However, laboratories with middle ranks do not necessarily mean that they provide more consistent results since very high results (high ranks) and very low

results (low ranks) would average out to yield a total rank close to the median. Therefore, ranking alone is not sufficient to determine the performance of a laboratory.

(2) Flagging

When the true values of constituents in test samples are unknown, individual results can be evaluated in terms of their absolute differences from the interlaboratory medians. Medians are chosen rather than means since they are not influenced by a moderate number of extreme values. By this flagging technique, all results are graded into the following three groups in the order of decreasing accuracy: (1) results with no flags, (2) results with H or L flags, and (3) results with VH or VL flags. Before evaluation is performed, three parameters, namely, Lower Limit for use of Basic Acceptable Error (LLBAE), Basic Acceptable Error (BAE), and Concentration Error Increment (CEI) are to be set. LLBAE is usually set at the lower end of the medians in the test samples. According to our previous interlaboratory studies on PCBs, a 30% error at LLBAE is considered reasonable and thus this is used as BAE. For samples whose medians are at or below LLBAE, the results are evaluated according to the following formulae:

Absolute difference between

< BAE : acceptable

sample and median results

Absolute diffence between

< 1.5 x BAE : H or L

sample and median results

Absolute difference between

> 1.5 x BAE : VH or VL

sample and median results

For samples whose medians are above the LLBAE, the allowable BAE is augmented by adding an increment to the BAE. This increment is calculated by multiplying the CEI by the difference between the sample median and LLBAE values. In this study, CEI is set at 0.2. Sample results are again evaluated by the above three formulate except that the augmented BAE is used instead of BAE.

For futher discussion on this evaluation technique, please refer to the original paper by Clark.

Codes

BAE <

NA:

not analyzed

NRA:

not routinely analyzed

N or ND:

not detected

NAPP:

not applicable

A set of results is said to biased when the set exhibits a tendency to be either higher or lower than some standard—the standard which has been used in the analysis of our studies thus far has been the performance of all other participating laboratories. The ranking procedure employed in testing for bias is described in W.J. Youden's paper, "Ranking Laboratories by Round-Robin Tests from Precision Measurement and Calibration, H.H. Ku, Editor, NBS Special Publication 300 - Volume 1, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1969. In this paper, Youden establishes the rationale for evaluating laboratories' performance by ranking results. In our use of the procedure there is about 1 chance in 20 of deeming a set of results biased when in fact it is not, that is, α = 0.05.

Bias:

W: A "W" code is used with a reported result when no measurement
was possible due to no response of the instrument to the
sample. The "W" is preceded by the smallest determinative
division that can be used in the units used in reporting.

The "T" code is used with values between the Criterion of Detection and the "W" value. The Criterion of Detection is commonly thought of by many as the limit of detection.

APPENDIX II

UGLCC INTERLABORATORY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION STUDY

QM-1 PCBs, OCs and CHs in AMPULES

FINAL DATA SUMMARY

UPPER GREAT LAKES CONNECTING CHANNELS

QM-1: PCBs IN AMPULES Part I

FINAL DATA SUMMARY

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS TOTAL PCB PG/UL

		S	AMPLE	RESUL	TS			
	101		102		103		104	•
LAB								
U001 U005 U009 U014 U075 U075 U079 U086	1430. 2010. 1900. 2030. 1256. 1600. 1171. 1550.		197. 1870. 2352. 17146. 1590.		1450 2130 1760 1870 1265 1510 1340 1490		1500 2200 2234 1714 2159 2010	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	9		9		9		9
TOTAL LABS	USED	9		9		9		9
MEAN	1581.898	89	191.	22222	1565.22	2222	196.22	222
STD DEV	329.758	41	28.	77837	29++40	354	24.41	197
MEDIAN	1550.000	0 0	190.	00000	1490.00	000	200.00	000

UPPER GREAT LAKES CONNECTING CHANNELS

QM-1: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES IN AMPULES Part II

FINAL DATA SUMMARY

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PG/UL PARAMETER: HCB

		SA	MPLE RESUL	.TS		
	105		106	107		108
LAB						
U001 U005 U009 U014 U063 U072	39.5 35.6 50.4	N N		N		40.0 26. 38.6 50. 68.3
U072 U075 U079 U086	44. 2 44. 47. 5 28.	N N N		N N N		28. 53.6 31.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	9	9		9	9
TOTAL LABS	USED	9	0		Ó	9
MEAN	39.0222	2	0.00000	0.00	000	41.84444
STD DEV	8.4901	1	0.00000	0.00	000	13.59872

0.00000

0.00000

40.00000

39.50000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED 8	86/09/15.
----------	------------	-----------

	PARAMETE	RE ALPHA	-BHC		PG	'UL
na Neuwraina		SAMPLE	RESULTS			
e de la composition de la composition La composition de la	105	106		107	108	•
LAB		•				
U 0 0 1 U 0 0 9	25.7 23.6 123.	N	N		22.1	
U 063 U 072	123. 22.1 17.				24.4 21.9 23.7 19.	
0086	17.	N	N		19.	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 5		5	5	5	;
TOTAL LABS	USED 5		0	0	5	;
MEAN	42.28000	Ó • D	0000	0.0000	22.22000)
STO DEV	45.23789	0.0	0000	0.0000	2.08734	.
MEDIAN	23,60000	0.01	0000	0.00000	22.10000)

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBS . OCS AND CHB

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	PARAMETE	R# GAMI	A-BHC		PG	/UL
		SAMPLE	RESULTS			
• .	105	106	Ė	107	108	
LAB			,			
U001 U005 U009 U014	24.1 20. 24.4 25.	N N	N N		20.7 21. 24.4 25.	
U063 U072 U079 U086	25. 7 30. 7 22. 8 25. 9	N N	N N		25. 21.1 21.6 26.1 19.	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 8		8	8		8
TOTAL LABS	USED 8		0	Ó		8
MEAN	23.98750	0.	00000	9.00000	22.3625	8
STD DEV	3.62745	Ö,	,00000	0.0000	2.4836	2

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBB . OCS AND CHE

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/03/15.

PARAMETER: NIREX

PG/UL

					$\dot{\phi}$	
en e		SAMPLE	RESULTS		1:	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	105	10€		107	108	
LAB						
U001 U005 U009 U014	42.9 48. 52. 75.	N N	N N	· · ·	40.8 52. 75.	
U063 U072 U086	231. 49.3 36.	N .	N		41.0 50.0 35.	
OTAL LABS	REPORTING 7		7	7	7	
OTAL LABS	USED 7		0	0	7	
EAN	76.31429	0.0	0000	0.00000	48.8285 7	
TD DEV	69 • 27 458	0.0	0000	0.00000	13.00022	
FOTAN	49.30000	0.0	0000	0.00000	48.00000	

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRÍNTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15. PARAMETERS P.P -DDE

PG/UL

94.50000

	ENVALLET	EK	F 91 -002		
		SA	MPLE RESUL	TS	
	105		106	107	108
LAB					
U001 U005 U009 U014 U063	98.4 91. 89.8 100. 97.6 100.9	N N		N N	92.2 94. 90.8 103.
ŬÕŹŽ UDZ9 UD£6	100.9 105. 75.	N N		N N	101.2 105. 95.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING :	8	8	8	8
TOTAL LABS	USED	8	0	0	8
MEAN	94.7125	0	0.00000	0.00000	95.38750
STD DEV	9.4151	.0	0.00000	0.0000	7.55294

0.00000

0.00000

98.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBB . DCs AND CHS

PRINTCUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS P.P -DDD

PG/UL

36.20000

0.00000

•					
* **	·	SAMPLE	RESULTS		•
	105	10€		107	108
LAB					
U001 U005 U009 U014 U063 U072	40.4 27. 50. 54. 45.8	N N	N		36.2 27. 51. 55. 35.9
086 8800	16.	N	·N		19.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 7		7	. 7	7
TOTAL LABS	USÉD 7		0	Ö	7
MEAN	39.47143	0.1	00000	0.00000	38.40000
STD DEV	13.43164	0.0	00000	0.00000	12.8 5444

0.00000

43.10000

ANALYSIS OF TCTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS P.PDDT		PG/UL
SAMPLE RESULTS	•	

LAB	105		106	187	108
U 001 U005 U009 U014 U063	46.7 41. 52. 52. 52.1	N	N		44.0 41. 51. 52. 30.6
0072 0079 0086	52. 52. 548. 548. 536.	N N	N N		44.6 42.9 44.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 8		8	8	8
TOTAL LABS	USED 8	ı	0	0	8
MEAN	45.40000		0.00000	0.00000	43.7 E25 D
STD DEV	6.42851		0.00000	0.00000	6.57570
MEDIAN	45.80000		0.00000	0.00000	44.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs , OCs A	ANU	CHs
--------------------------------	-----	-----

PRINTOUT PE	KEPARED 8	86/03/15.	
PARAMETER:	HEPTACHLO	R EPOXÍDE	PG/UL

	PARAMEI	EK	# HEPIACHLOR	ELOXIDE		P6/0	L
		:	SAMPLE RESULT	S			
	105		106	107		108	
LAB							
U001 U005 U009 U014 U063 U072	N N		41.7 33. 25.8 60. 273. 40.4	41.3 34.8 64.7 37.3	N N		
	DE O ORTING	e					
TOTAL LABS		6	6	6		6	
TOTAL LABS	USED	0	6	6		0	
MEAN	0.0000	0	78.98333	40.18333		0.00000	
STD DEV	0.0000	0	95.73347	13.04077		0.00000	
MEDIAN	0.0000	0	41.05000	33.50000		0.00000	

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs , OCs AND CHS

PRINTOUT PRÉPAREDS 86/03/15.

PG/UL PARAMETER: DIELDRIN SAMPLE RESULTS 105 10€ 107 108 LAB TOTAL LABS REPORTING 6 6 6 6 TOTAL LABS USED 0 6 0.00000 186.53333 39.41667 0.00000 STD DEV 0.00000 359.83648 3.35524 0.00000

41.90000

33.00000

0.00000

0.00000

MEAN

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS ALPHA-CHLORDANE PG/UL

55.00000

0.00000

	· p··					
		:	SAMPLE RESULT	rs	. •	
e in the second	105		106	107	108	
LAB					÷	
0001 0005 0009 0014 0063 0072	N N		46.2 52. 54.0 55. 156. 53.1	45.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0	N N	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	6	6	6	6	
TOTAL LABS	USED	0	6	6	0	
MEAN	0.00	000	69.38333	53.93333	0.00000	
STD DEV	0.00	000	42.54590	4.43200	0.00000	

53.55000

0.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBS . OCS AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED 8	86/09/15.
PARAMETER	RE GAMMA-CHI	LORDANE

PG/UL

CA	MD	i E	RE	21	18 1	7
->-	7		T	.31		

V.* .	105	106	107	108
LAB				
U001 U005 U009 U014 U063	N N	38.3 38.4 47.4 52. 60.7	37° 3 37° 0 52° 7 50° 7	N N
U072 U086	N	50.0 42•	41.	N .
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	7	7	7
TOTAL LABS	USED	7	7	0
MEAN	0.0000	0 46.91429	45.48571	0.00000
STD DEV	0.0000	8.20049	5.14395	0.00000
MEDIAN	0.0000	0 47.40000	49.00000	0.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTA	L PCBs .	OCs	AND CHS
------------------	----------	-----	---------

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETER OXYCHLORDANE PG/UL
SAMPLE RESULTS

	105		10€	107	108
LAB					
U 005 U 009	N		23. 36.8	24. 35.4	N
0063 0072			28 • 6 25 • 3	35.4 25.6 24.5	
0012			2505	2402	,
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	4	4	4	4
TOTAL LABS	USED	0	4	4	. 0
MEAN	0.000	00	28 • 42 5 0 0	27.62500	0.0000
STD DEV	0.000	00	6.03787	5.30432	0.00000
MEDIAN	0.000	00	26.95000	25.55000	0.0000

UPPER GREAT LAKES CONNECTING CHANNELS

QM-1: CHLORINATED HYDROCARBONS IN AMPULES Part III

FINAL DATA SUMMARY

ANALYSIS	0F	TOTAL	PC B.s	, OCs	AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PRE	PAREDS	86/	09/15.

PG/UL

		SAMPLE RE	SULTS	
	109	110	111	112
LAB				
0001 0005 0014 0063	954. 840. 1200.	T 120. 200. 122.	143. T 110. 190.	978. 640. 1200.
0072 0086	962. 1030. 620.	165	121. 160. 110.	1200. 570.

TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 6	6	6	6
TOTAL LABS	USED 6	5	5	5
MEAN	934.33333	148.50000	144.80000	917.60000
STD DEV	194. 20985	35.76031	31.83866	300.43102
MEDIAN	958.00000	146.00000	143.00000	978.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBs . OCs AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED .	86/09/15.
DADAMETER	24 4.3-DTCHI	OPCRENZENE

PG/UL

903.00000

gen		S	AMPLÉ ŘĚSÜL	. T	S		
	109		110		111	112	
LAB							
U001 U005 U014	88 1. 74 0. 1100.	Ť	134. 100. 190.	T	131. 130. 170.	903. 600. 1100.	
ÜÜE 3 UU7 2 UU8 6	1137. 900. 550.		94.3 145. 92.		91.5 140. 92.	1000. 570.	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 6		6		6	6	
TOTAL LABS	USED 6		5		5	5	
MEAN	88 4. 66667		131.06000		124.92000	834.60000	
STD DEV	220.55355		40.47799		33.50570	238.49696	

890.50000 134.00000 131.00000

ANALYSIS	OF	TOTAL	PCBs .	0 Cs	AND CHE

PRINTOUT	PREF	PARED #	86/09/15.
DARAMETEC	. 4	2-01041	OR COENTENE

PG/UL

290.73149

957.00000

	•		SAMPLE R	E SUL 1	rs			
	109		110		111		112	
LAB	•							
U001 U005 U014 U063 U072 U086	933. 810. 1200. 1529. 1070. 610.		142. T 110. 190. 165. 155.	1	139. 120. 190. 150. 155. 110.		957. 710. 1300. 1200. 640.	
		•		ć				
LABS	REPORTING	. 6		6		6		6
LABS	USED	6		5		5		5
	1025.3	3333	152.40	000	145.80	000	961.40	000

29.51779

155.00000

28.89118

150.00000

320.49316

1001.50000

TOTAL LA TOTAL LA MEAN STD DEV

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBs . OCs AND CHS

PRI	NT	OUT	. b	REP	AF	śĒD	8	8	6/	09	/	15	•	
	_							 	.		_	<u> </u>	

PG/UL PARAMETERS 1,3,5-TRICHLORD BENZENE

23.50000 142.50000

		SAMPLE RESUL	TS			
	109	110	111	112		
LAB			•			
U001 U009	152. 53.7	23.7 10.3	23.5	155. 48.9		
UÕĒ3 UŪ72 UD86	296. 225. 130.	10.3 28.9 32.5 22.	25.5 35.5 22.	260. 130.		
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 5	5	5	5		
TOTAL LABS	USED 5	5	5	4		
MEAN	171.34000	23.48000	23.78000	148.47500		
STD DEV	92.65758	8.46593	9.61338	87.05728		

23.70000

152.00000

ANALYSIS OF TCTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS 1,2,4-TRICHLORDBENZENE PG/UL

SAMPLE RESULTS

	109		110	111	112
LAB					
U001 U005	142. T 250.	N	22.5 N	22.3	146.
U009 U014	94. 2 230.		15.9 30.	13.9	N 83.7 230.
ŬŎĒ 3	261• 225•		25 • 6	30 • 25 • 0	
ÜÖ72 U086	225. 110.		25 • 6 34 • 5 20 •	34.5 19.	255. 120.
TOTAL LABS	RÉPORTING	7	7	7	7
TOTAL LABS	USED	6	6	6	5
MEAN	177.033	33	24.75000	24.11667	166.94000
STD DEV	70.340	36	6.77193	7 - 44404	72.37580
MEDIAN	183.500	00	24.05000	23.65000	146.00000

24.10000

ANALYSIS OF TCTAL PCBs , OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETERS 1,2,3-TRICHLORDBENZENE

PG/UL

7 3 Ma			SAMPLE RESUL	.TS	
1 April 1985	109		.110	111	112
LAB				,	
U001 U009	155. 127. 1 223.		24.4 21.9	24.1 18.4	158. 113.9
ÜÕĜ3 UB72 UB86	223. 230. 130.		21.9 25.7 33.0 20.	16.4 24.3 34.5 20.	240. 130.
TOTAL LABS	RĒP ORTĪNG	5	5	5	5
TOTAL LABS	USED	5	5	5	4
MEAN	173.020	00	25 .000 00	24.26000	160.47500
STD DEV	50.071	97	4.98648	5 • 27 24 0	56.06041

24.40000

155.00000

ANALYSIS OF TCTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETER: 1,2,4,5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE PG/UL

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB	109	110	111	112
U009 U063 U072 U086	46.3 49.5 100. 61.	5.8 7.00 15.0 10.	7.08 15.0 11.	39.1 110. 62.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 4	4	4	4
TOTAL LABS	USED 4	4	4	3
MEAN	64. 20000	9.45000	9.54500	70.36667
STD DEV	24.68724	4.10000	4.38590	36.18291
MEDIAN	55.25000	8.50000	3.04000	62.00000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs . OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT PREPARED: 86/09/15.

PARAMETER: 1,2,3,4-TETRACHLOROBENZENE PG/UL

SAMPLE RESUL		2
--------------	--	---

* * *	109	110	111	112
LAB				
U001 U009	67.4 70.1 115.	11.1 12.8	11.0 11.3	69.4 65.5
ŬÕĚЗ UO72	115. 110.	10.3 15.5	10.0 15.0	
Ŭ 0 86	57.	9.3	9.5	120. 58.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 5	-5	5	5
TOTAL LABS	USED 5	5	5	4
MEAN	83.90000	11.80000	11.56000	78.22500
STD DEV	26.62104	2.43311	2.58708	28.24894
MEDIAN	70.10000	11.10000	11.00000	67.45000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBs , OCs AND CHs

PRINTOUT	PREPARED:	86/09/15.
DADAMÉTES	PENTACHI	OPOBENZENE

					:		
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		SAMPLE RESULTS					
1	109	110	111	112			
LAB							
U 001 U 009 U 063	73.0 81.3 #17281.#	12.2 12.6 1589.	12.1 10.9 1520.	76.8 72.0			
U072 U066	105. 61.	15.5 9.6	15.5 9.8	115. 61.			
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING 5	5	5	5			
TOTAL LABS	USED 4	5	5	4			
MEAN	80.07500	327.78000	313.66000	81,20000			
STD DEV	18.58913	705.04652	674.36795	23.48390			
MEDIAN	77.15000	12.60000	12.10000	74.40000			

^{*} NOT USED IN THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.*

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs , OCS AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED .	86/09/15.
DACAMETER	SO MENACHI	COORENZENE

PG/UL

45.00000

		SA	MPLE RESU	JLTS		
	109		110	111		112
LAB						
U 001 U005	44. E	, N	7.34	7.30 N	N	45.0
ŬÕÕ9 UO14	37.5	;	7.2 10.	5.9 10.	.,	34.0 60.
0063 0072	50. 42.3 47.5		3.46 7.5	3.24 7.5		50.5 45.
U075 U086	46. 31.		10. 3.46 7.5 6.5 5.2	7.5 5.7 5.4		45. 31.
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	8	6	3	8	8
TOTAL LABS	USED	7	. 7		7	6
MEAN	42.7	0000	6.74286	6.57	714	44.25000
STD DEV	6.5	1818	2.03979	2.08	510	10.66654

7.20000

44.60000

5.70000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL POBS , OCS AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED:	86/09/15.
PARAMETER	RE HEXACHL	ORDETHANE

5.50000 39.75000

i i	SAMPLE RESULTS				
S. A. Walter	109	111	0	111	112
LAB					
U005 U009 U014 U072 U086	N 37.3 40. 38.5 37.	N 10 6	•	4.5 10. 5.0 5.0	N 32.5 50. 41.5 38.
TOTAL LABS	PEPORTING S	5	5	5	5
TOTAL LABS	USED	•	4	4	4
MEAN	38.20000	6.	47500	6.37500	40.50000
STD DEV	1.36382	2 2	40191	2.49583	7.33712

37.90000 5.50000

ANALYSIS OF TOTAL PCBs , OCs AND CHS

PRINTOUT	PREPARED:	86/03/15.
PARAMETER	* HEXACHLO	ROBUTADIENE

PG/UL

	PARAME	CN	II EX MOILE O		J 2 5 11 5			_
		SA	MPLE RES	ULTS			•	•
	109		.110	1	11		112	
LAB								
U005 U009 U014 U072 U086	N 45.2 70. 48.0 32.	N	6.7 10. 7.5 5.0	N 1	5.1 0. 7.5 4.9	N	40.1 70. 53.5 33.	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	5		5	5		5	
TOTAL LABS	USED	4		4	4		4	
MEAN	48.800	0 0	7.3000	0	7.12500		49.15000	
STD DEV	15.761	6	2.0800	6	2.19146		16.29284	
MEDIAN	46.600	Ó O	7.1000	0	6.80000		46.80000	

	ANALY	SIS	OF TOTAL PO	Bs , OCs	AND	CHg	**
	PRINTO	UT P	REPARED: 8	6/09/15。			
	PARAME	TER	OCTACHLORO	STYRENE			PG/UL
en e		S	AMPLÉ RÉSUL	TS			
는 기계	109		110	111		112	,
LAB						•	
U 0 09 U072	72.5 98.5 104.		13.6 15.5	11.0 15.0		64.1 105. 105.	
0075 U 0 86	104. 73.		13.6 15.5 13.9 12.	11.0 15.0 13.7 12.		105. 74.	
TOTAL LABS	REPORTING	4	4		4		4
TOTAL LABS	USED	4	4		4		4
MEAN	87.000	00	13.75000	12.92	0 0	87.0	2500
STD DEV	16.608	23	1.43411	1.776	47	21.1	4559

13.75000

12.85000

89.50000

85.75000

APPENDIX III

Changes submitted on August 6, 1986 by Laboratory U063

UGLCC INTERLABORATORY STUDY QM-1 ORGANOCHLORINE & CHLORINATED HYDROCARBON RESULTS (pg/uL)

Sample 112	
1,3 Dichlorobenzene1,4 Dichlorobenzene1,2 Dichlorobenzene	1217 747 1878
1,3,5 Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4 Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3 Trichlorobenzene	344 283 264
1,2,4,5 Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,3,4 Tetrachlorobenzene	42.8 140
Pentachlorobenzene Hexachlorobenzene	133 47.6
Sample 109	
Pentachlorobenzene	110
Sample 110	
Pentachlorobenzene	10.1
Sample 111	
Pentachlorobenzene	9.64
Sample 105	
α-BHC Mirex p,p'-DDT	27.3 47.6 41.5
Sample 106	
Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin α-Chlordane γ-Chlordane	43.6 42.1 72.5 58.8