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## Abstract

Limnological surveys were conducted on four large lakes (Atlin, Tagish, Marsh and Laberge) of the Yukon River system on four occasions during 1982 and 1983. Nutrient levels are very low, as are algal and zooplankton biomass, indicating that the lakes are ultraoligotrophic. Physical factors (i.e. flushing, turbidity, etc.) have pronounced effects on the chemistry and biology of these lakes. Comparisons are made to other northern Canadian and European lakes. Observations on possible effects of future development are made as well as recommendations for further research.

## Résumé

En quatre occasions, en 1982 et 1983, on a étudié les caractéristiques limnologiques de quatre grands lacs (Atlin, Tagish, Marsh et Laberge) du bassin du fleuve Yukon. Comme le montrent les très faibles concentrations d'éléments nutritifs et la très faible biomasse des algues et du zooplancton, ces lacs sont ultra-oligotrophes. Les facteurs physiques (c'est-à-dire, le renouvellement de l'eau des lacs, la turbidité, etc.) ont un effet prononcé sur les caractéristiques chimiques et biologiques des lacs. Des comparaisons sont établies entre ces lacs et d'autres lacs du Nord du Canada et de l'Europe. Des observations portent sur les effets possibles de mises en valeur ultérieures, tandis que des recommandations portent sur la recherche à faire.

# Reconnaissance of the Chemical and Biological Limnology in Four Large Lakes of the Yukon River Basin 

R.A. Kirkland and C.B.J. Gray

## INTRODUCTION

Increased interest in the development of the Canadian North has focused attention on hydroelectric projects, linear installations (pipelines, highways), and mining. These types of projects, particularly hydro-development, have the potential for significant environmental impacts on lakes, reservoirs and rivers. Major developments have been proposed in the area of the Yukon River and its large headwater lakes (Canada, Yukon and British Columbïa, 1979). Detailed limnological information on this system has not been collected in any consistent manner over the years since the initial studies by Withler (1956). In addition, as little is known about the limnology of large northern lakes, new data on their chemistry and microbiology will be helpful in understanding the processes influencing northern freshwaters. The development and modification of techniques for doing limnological work in northern environments were also considered of value for both the current study and for future investigations in the North.

The two principal concerns (potential development and lack of understanding of processes) provided the impetus for the National Water Research Institute to undertake a reconnaissance study of four of the headwater lakes of the mainstem Yukon River: Atlin, Tagish, Marsh and Laberge (Fig. 1).

The study area is in the northwest corner of British Columbia and the soüthwest Yukon Territory, spanning $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude. With a yearly mean temperature of about $-1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an elevation of $600-700 \mathrm{~m}$, the vegetation/ climate is subalpine/subarctic.

The lakes are aligned south to north, extending from the Boundary range of the Coast mountains onto the Yukon plateau (Bostock, 1946). Their morphometric parameters are summarized in Table 1 (Pharo 1981a, b; Pharo and Chamberlain, 1983a).

Inflows to the system are derived from glaciers to the south and west and snowmelt/surface runoff to the
east and north. Snowmelt is complete by late May or early June at which time ice-out usually occurs. Peak flows from glacial sources occur later, about mid-August.


Figure 1. Location map of the study area.

Table 1. Lake Morphometry

| Lake | Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mean }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Length <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | Width <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlin* | 670 | 283 | 86 | 103 | 5.7 | 589 | 54.4 |
| Tagish | 656 | 307 | 62 | 108 | 3.7 | 353 | 21.8 |
| Marsh | 654 | 52 | 13 | 30 | 3.2 | 96 | 1.2 |
| Laberge | 628 | 146 | 54 | 48 | 4.2 | 201 | 10.8 |

* Withler (1956).


## METHODS

A synoptic sampling strategy, combining chemical and biological sampling with a physical limnology survey, was adopted. Sampling was conducted throughout one limnological cycle but was constrained by time, cost and manpower limitations to four trips.

The survey area presented some difficult technical problems as a result of its size and climatic extremes. The sampling details are outlined in Table 2.

The use of a boat (18-ft runabout) in June 1982 permitted the collection of the largest number of samples per station, and the largest volume of water for each sample. However, this sampling routine only permitted sampling at six of the ten intended chemistry stations (Fig. 1); no "physics only" stations were monitored, and it required five days of calm weather for sample collection. An additional two to three days were required to process samples.

Subsequent monitors were carried out using aircraft, but this necessitated a reduction in the number of samples per station and the volume sampled. Sampling from a floatequipped aircraft (Cessna 206) was done using a handcranked winch system attached directly to the aircraft's seat tracks. Through-ice sampling was done using the same winch package on a removable base platform (Marles, 1985). Monitoring by aircraft required four to seven days in total, depending primarily on weather and the rate at which samples could be processed in the field laboratory at Whitehorse, Yukon Territory.

The March trip required a further reduction in the number of samples taken per station because of the decreased capacity of the smaller ski-equipped aircraft and the necessity of carrying winter survival gear.

Physical data (conductivity, temperature and depth) were collected at each station using an Applied Microsystems CTD system (Carmack and Marles, 1986). Chemistry
and biology samples were then collected at selected stations. Dissolved oxygen samples (modified Winkler method) were fixed immediately; zooplankton samples (collected with a $0.25-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Wisconsin net, $110-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh) were preserved with borax-buffered $4 \%$ formalin/sucrose solution (Haney and Hall, 1973).

Samples for the dissolved nutrient species (reactive silica, phosphorus, ammonia, nitrate + nitrite, and total dissolved nitrogen) were pressure-filtered (Sartorius SM 11106, $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ cellulose acetate filters presoaked in D.I. water) at the end of each sampling day. The soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) samples were preserved with chloroform. All samples were kept cool and dark.

Dissolved oxygen titrations were completed within 48 h . Other chemistry samples were shipped by air and analyzed at the Water Quality Branch Laboratory in North Vancouver, B.C., within two weeks. The procedures used by the laboratory are outlined in their Analytical Methods Manual (Environment Canada, 1981).

Epilimnion samples for algal species identification and volume measurement were stored in $125-\mathrm{mL}$ opaque plastic bottles and preserved with $2 \ddot{m L}$ of Lugol's solution. These samples were enumerated at a later date, at $125 x$ and $500 \times$ magnification using the method of Utermohl (1958). Cell volume estimates were calculated on samples collected in March, using length/diameter measurements and formulae for simple geometric shapes.

Aliquots ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) of the preserved zooplankton samples were transferred to a petri dish for identification and enumeration under a dissecting microscope. Estimates of the dry weight of zooplankton obtained in each haul were made by converting numbers of the various stages of the copepods to dry weight using a constant factor for each stage. The cladocerans were calculated using relationships of length and weight most appropriate to the species. The rotifers were converted from numbers to weight using a constant factor based on geometric shapes and a specific gravity of one. (Details are listed in Appendix C.)

Table 2. Sampling Schedule

|  |  | No. of samples <br> Der station | Volume sampled at <br> each depth <br> (L) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| June 1982 | Transport | 12 | 8.0 |
| August 1982 | Boat | 6 | 2.5 |
| October 1982 | Float aircraft (Cessna 206) | 6 | 2.5 |
| March 1983 | Float aircraft (Cessna 206) | 3 | 2.5 |

## RESULTS



Figure 2. Drainage basins and hydrometric gauging stations.

## Hydrology

Measured values of runoff and river flows (from hydrometric stations on Figure 2), and calculations of lake residence times are given in Appendix A. The most important results are summarized in Table 3. The minimum and maximum residence times, $R_{\text {min }}$ and $R_{\text {max }}$, are calculated from the mean flow extremes, as listed in Appendix $A$.

A simplified schematic chart showing the percentage of the flow out of each lake originating from various sources is given in Figure 3.

| DIRECT <br> INFLOW | PERCENTAGE OF DOWNSTREAM LAKE INPUT | MAJOR <br> TRIBUTARIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% | $\longrightarrow$ ATLIN |  |
|  | 44\% |  |
|  | $1 /$ |  |
| 39\% | $\longrightarrow \text { TAGISH } \longleftarrow 9$ | 17\% BENNETT |
|  | $1 /$ |  |
| 3\% | $\begin{gathered} \longrightarrow \text { MARSH } \\ 75 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | \/ | 19\% TAKHINI |
| 6\% | $\longrightarrow$ LABERGE |  |

Figure 3. Schematic flowchart of the study area.

Table 3. Residence Times

| Lake | Volume ( $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ ) | Residence time (yr) |  |  | Flushing rate ( $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {mean }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {min }}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {mean }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\min }{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}{ }^{-1}$ |
| Atlin | 54.4 | 18.4 | 13.7 | 24.2 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| Tagish | 21.8 | 3.25 | 2.42 | 4.27 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.23 |
| Marsh | 1.2 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 4.3 |
| Laberge | 10.8 | 1.06 | 0.80 | 1.41 | 0.93 | 1.3 | 0.71 |
| Bennett | 6.0 | 5.37 | 4.01 | 7.06 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.14 |

## Chemistry

Only representative or summary data are shown. Full data listings are presented in a preliminary data report (Kirkland and Marles, 1983).

## Conductivity

The range of specific conductivities $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25}\right)$ measured was small; most samples were between 85 and $105 \mu \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The lowest values were found at the south Tagish station (and in a Bennett Lake surface sample). Atlin Lake had specific conductivities typically $20 \%$ higher than those of Tagish Lake. It is not known whether this was due to an overall lower salinity of Tagish inputs or to in-lake changes such as a higher evaporation rate in Atlin (Appendix A).

The linear regression between specific conductivity and the sum of ions (in milliequivalents) in deep samples from all lakes (June 1982) has a relatively high correlation coefficient.

Sum of ions $=C_{25}(0.021)-0.062 \quad R^{2}=0.947 \quad n=36$

The good correlation indicates that ratios between the various ions are relatively constant among the lakes and, therefore, the specific conductivity is a good measure of the sum of ions in these lakes.

A linear regression of the sum of ions (in parts per million) plus non-ionic reactive silica versus $\mathrm{C}_{25}$ also has a high correlation.
$\operatorname{TDS}\langle\mathrm{ppm}\rangle=\mathrm{C}_{25}(0.479)+6.405 \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.892 \quad \mathrm{n}=36$

## Nutrient Chemistry

To follow the effects of biological uptake and nutrient additions via rivers, lakewide average concentrations were calculated. The depth of the metalimnion at each station was assigned after inspection of the continuous temperature profiles (Carmack and Marles, 1986). Data at each station from the surface to the top of the metalimnion were integrated and then averaged with the other stations of each lake to produce the lakewide average concentrations.

Stations A3 in Atlin Lake and T6 in Tagish Lake, however, had nutrient values that differed considerably from the other stations in each lake. Both A3 and T6 are adjacent to inflow rivers that are mainly glacial in origin, and results from these stations produced a disproportionate weighting of lakewide averages. Therefore, results from these two stations were excluded from most averages of nutrient and biological parameters and were handled separately.

Annual and growing season averages were calculated for all data. The growing season was defined as including the June, August and October sampling dates.

## Phosphorus

All phosphorus values were very low; total phosphorus (TP) rarely reached 10 ppb . The dissolved fraction (DP) was usually less than 5 ppb and was often at or below the detection limit of the method ( 1 ppb or $\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ).

Intra-lake TP values were almost uniform, with the particulate phosphorus (PP) fraction being the largest component. Near the inlet of Laberge during June; PP reached 10 ppb , likely due to riverine suspended sediment. Similar high values of PP were noted at the southern Atlin and Tagish stations in August when glacial runoff was greatest.

Differences in lakewide concentrations of the various phosphorus fractions (Table 4) throughout the year were minimal. Average lakewide PP values for Atlin and Tagish were highest in October, whereas Marsh and Laberge values

Table 4. Average Phosphorus Concentrations in the Epilimnion during Each Sampling

| Sampling trip | TP | DP | SRP | PP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlin |  |  |  |  |
| June | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| August | 2.6 | 1:25 | 0.75 | 1.3 |
| October | 4.25 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.75 |
| March | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Atlin (-A3) |  |  |  |  |
| June | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| August | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| October | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| March | 2.25 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Tagish (-T6) |  |  |  |  |
| June | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| August | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| October | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| March | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Marsh |  |  |  |  |
| June | 3.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 |
| August | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| October | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| March | 4.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Laberge |  |  |  |  |
| June | 6.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| August | 5.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 3.5 |
| October | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| March | 4.9 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 |

were highest in June. The DP values for all the lakes are highest in March and lowest in June.

Growing season (GS) and annual (An) averages (Table 5) generally increased downstream. Although the growing season dissolved components are less than their annual averages and particulate phosphorus is higher during the growing season, many of these averages are close to analytical detection limit.

Table 5. Annual and Growing Season Average Phosphorus Concentrations in the Epilimnion

| Lake | TP |  | DP |  | SRP |  | PP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GS | An | GS | An | GS | An | GS | An |
| Atlin | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.25 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| At (-A3) | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{Ta}(-\mathrm{T} 6)$ | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Marsh | 3.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Laberge | 5.2 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 |

GS - Growing season:
An - Annual.

## Nitrogen

The nitrogen concentrations in these lakes were low but only rarely below detection limit. Depth-weighted
mean values (Tables 6a and 6b) for the nitrogen fractions in the epilimnion of each lake are shown in Figures 4 to 7.

The three largest lakes (Atlin, Tagish and Laberge) had similar seasonal patterns with progressively higher concentrations occurring downstream. Both total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) were highest in these lakes in June. The dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), also highest in June, reached a minimum in August.

Marsh Lake had nitrogen values that were quite different from those of the other lakes, with higher peaks for dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and particulate nitrogen (PN), as well as lower and more protracted minima for the inorganic nitrogen species. Marsh also had a marked decrease in the concentration of DIN between March and June, particularly in nitrate + nitrite nitrogen (NN).

The differences between growing season and annual averages (Table 7) were generally small except for Marsh Lake. In this lake the largest seasonal variation was in DIN, with the GS value only about one third of the An value. Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was always the largest TDN component especially in Marsh Lake where, during the growing season, more than $80 \%$ of TDN was DON.

Table 6a. Epilimnion Nitrogen Values ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{L}^{-\mathrm{i}}$ )

| Lake | TDN |  |  |  | PN |  |  |  | NN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March |
| Atlin | 85 | 43 | 52 | 65 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 22 | 20 |
| A-A3 | 86 | 40 | 48 | 64 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 21 |
| Tagish | 83 | 53 | 66 | 67 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 22 | 14 | 21 | 35 |
| T-T6 | 83 | 45 | 67 | 68 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 19 | 36 |
| Marsh | 69 | 52 | 56 | 100 | 15 | 21 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 33 |
| Laberge | 118 | 57 | 90 | 90 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 2 | 22 | 37 |


| Lake | AN |  |  |  | DIN |  |  |  | DON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March |
| Atlin | 15 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 34 | 9 | 28 | 31 | 51 | 35 | 24 | 34 |
| A-A3 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 34 | 5 | 13 | 28 | 52 | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| Tagish | 14 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 36 | 19 | 31 | 45 | 47. | 35 | 35 | 23 |
| T-T6 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 36 | 11 | 30 | 42 | 47 | 34 | 36 | 27 |
| Marsh | 12 | 4 | 4 | 30 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 63 | 55 | 47 | 51 | 37 |
| Laberge | 18 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 43 | 6 | 35 | 45 | 75 | 51 | 55 | 45 |

Table 6b. Whole Lake Nitrogen Values ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-\mathbf{2}}$ )

| Lake | TDN |  |  |  | PN |  |  |  | NN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March |
| Atlin | 118 | 57 | 53 | 72 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 22 | 17 | 24 | 27 |
| Tagish | 91 | 68 | 72 | 74 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 38 |
| Marsh | 69 | 57 | 59 | 114 | 14 | 19 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 26 |
| Laberge | 129 | 77 | 94 | 99 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 44 |


| Lake | AN |  |  |  | DIN |  |  |  | DON |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March | June | August | October | March |
| Atlin | 16 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 37 | 24 | 35 | 37 | 81 | 33 | 18 | 35 |
| Tagish | 13 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 41 | 35 | 38 | 54 | 50 | 32 | 34 | 20 |
| Marsh | 14 | 8 | 4 | 35 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 61 | 51 | 44 | 54 | 53 |
| L Laberge | 14 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 43 | 33 | 41 | 51 | 85 | 43 | 53 | 48 |



Figure 4. Atlin Lake - epilimnion nitrogen species concentrations and composition.


Figure 5. Tagish Lake - epilimnioñ nitrogen species concentrations and composition.

Table 7. Annual and Growing Season A $\mathbf{A}$ erage Nitrogen Concentrations in the Epilimnion

| Lake | TN |  | TDN |  | DIN |  | DON |  | PN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GS | An | GS | An | GS | An | GS | An | GS | An |
| Atlin | 65 | 66 | 60 | 61 | 23 | 26 | 37 | 36 | 4.3 | 4.75 |
| AT (-A3) | 62. | 64 | 58 | 60 | 17 | 20 | 41 | 40 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| TA (-T6) | 71 | 72 | 65 | 66 | 26 | 30 | 39 | 36 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| Marsh | 73 | 83 | 59. | 69 | 8 | 22 | 51 | 48 | 14 | 14 |
| Laberge | 96 | 97 | 88 | 89 | 28 | 32 | 60 | 57 | 8.0 | 8.3 |

GS - Growing season.
An - Annual.

With many of the phosphorus results near the analytical detection limit, the use of $N: P$ ratios as a guide to the relative limitations on biological growth is difficult to interpret for these lakes. In June, the epilimnetic ratio is high, typically $>\mathbf{3 0}$, dropping to $<10$ during August.

Oxygen
The dissolved oxygen values remained high (generally greater than $10 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) in all lakes throughout the year. The percent saturation, corrected for temperature and elevation (after Mortimer, 1981), also remained high. The minimum observed was $79 \%$ saturation at 138 m in Lake Laberge during March.

## Biology

## Phytoplankton-Chlorophyll

Chlorophyll concentrations were low (0.06-0.8 $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ) during the growing season. Lakewide averages are given in Table 8. The seasonal patterns in Tagish and Marsh were similar to those given in Figure 8a, with spring and fall maxima, and distinct summer minima. Atlin Lake had a somewhat similar pattern but without the strong spring peak. Laberge differed, its highest average occurring in the summer.


Figure 7. Lake Laberge - epilimnion nitrogen species concentrations and composition.


Figure 8. Lakewide average (a) chlorophyll concentrations, (b) algal volumes and (c) zooplankton concentrations.

These averages and seasonal patterns are crude, as the number of samples collected in August and October were reduced to two per station. The potential for missing significant chlorophyll accumulation was high in August when the mid-depth ( 10 m ) sample was used for algae species enümeration. During October, however, samples were more representative because of rapid mixing of the epiliminion.

Table 8. Chlorophyll (ing- $\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ )

| L.ake | Crowing season |  | Alunal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Percent pheophytin | Mcan | Percent pheophytin |
| $\wedge t \operatorname{lin}(-A 3)$ | 0.28 | 31 | 0.27 | 28 |
| Tagish (-16) | 0.53 | 27 | 0.44 | 26 |
| Marsh | 0.41 | 39 | 0.35 | 38 |
| Lalberge | 0.56 | 29 | 0.47 | 28 |

Note: Alliual mean for A3 was $0.16 \mathrm{mg}^{\cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}}$.

The only pronounced horizontal gradients observed within any given lake were from Atlin and Tagish. Values at the southern ends of these lakes were very low and were omitted from calculations of lakewide averages.

## Phytoplankton Biovolume and Numbers

Algal volumes ranged from 30 to $306 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ during the growing season. Growing season and annual averages for the epilimnion are listed in Table 9. Two con-
trasting seasonal patterns are apparent (Fig. 8b): in Atlin and Tagish peak volumes were in August, and in Marsh and Laberge the peaks were in June.

Table 9. Average Algul Volumes $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$

| Lake | Growing season | Annual |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Atlin (+A3) | 99 | 81 |
| Tagish (-T6) | 158 | 123 |
| Marsh | 135 | 116 |
| Laberge | 163 | 130 |

For several reasons, these values are only rough estimates of the total algal volume. First, they are based on only one sample per station, except in June when two samples were collected. Second, they are calculated from volume estimates for species observed in March and from literature values for species that were only observed during the growing season.

Cell numbers varied from 0.17 to 4.1 million cells per litre. The lowest values were obtained from samples 1 m below the ice in March, and the highest numbers were seen in June and August. Seasonally, the pattern of cell numbers was similar to the pattern for algal biovolume.

## Phytoplankton Taxonomy

Chrysophyceae were the dominant algal group, both by number and volume (Appendix B). Cryptophytes and
diatoms were the next most important groups, depending on lake and season. Occasionally, dinoflagellates and chlorophytes also made up significant volumes. The magnification used in counting (500x) was not high enough to enumerate quantitatively the picoplankton (including cyanobacteria) which were observed.

The most common alga observed was an unidentified chrysophyceaen just under $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size. Other chrysophytes were Chromulina mikroplankton, Ochromonas elegans and $O$. silvarum. Common cryptophytes were Rhodomonas lacustris and R. minuta var. nannoplanktonica. Diatoms were represented by Cyclotella glomerata and colonial Asterionella formosa. A large Periodinium sp. (diameter $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) was the greatest contributor to the dinoflagellate biomass and was often observed in Marsh Lake.

## Zooplankton Volume and Weight

Hauls for zooplankton were done vertically from 50 m in the deeper lakes and 25 m in Marsh. The average results are shown in Table 10. These values are not corrected for net efficiency (normally $60 \%$ to $70 \%$ with the Wisconsin net used).

Table 10. Average Growing Season Zooplankton Standing Stock (dry weight)

|  | Zooplankton standing stock |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lake | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ |
| Atlin (+A3) | 4.5 | 227 |
| Tagish (-T6) | 8.2 | 410 |
| Marsh | 3.9 | 99 |
| Laberge | 11.9 | 593 |

The three deeper lakes show the same seasonal pattern with maximum zooplankton biomass in August (Fig: 8c). Marsh Lake had the lowest summer concentration observed and had its peak in October.

## Zooplankton Taxonomy

The dominant groups were copepods and rotifers. Cladocera were also present, but only developed significant numbers in Marsh and Laberge. The numbers of animals collected in each haul are tabulated in Appendix C.

The most numerous species was the carnivorous copepod Cyclops scutifer ( 1.2 mm female and 0.95 mm
male). The herbivorous copepod Diaptomus pribilofensis ( 0.9 mm ) was usually the next most common. Much less frequent were Senecella calanoides ( 2.3 mm ) (in Laberge only) and Heterocope septentrionalis ( 2.3 mm ). All four species had sizes at the low end of the ranges cited in the literature.

The most common rotifers were Kellicottia longispina and Conochilus unicoris. During August, a Synchaeta sp. was the dominant rotifer in Marsh. Rotifer populations are probably underestimated, as the plankton net used had $110-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh through which these narrow organisms could escape.

Daphnia longiremis was the most common cladocera, with D. pulex (var. middendorffiana?) and D. rosea (var. longispina?) also present. Eubosmina coregoni (var. longispina?) was frequently found but was never numerous. Leptodora kindtii, caught oñly in Marsh and Laberge, were only 6 mm long compared with literature values of 20 mm .

Species assemblages (Table 11) show three different patterns. Atlin and Tagish have similar relative proportions, although Atlin has somewhat lower numbers. Marsh has more cladocera, in particular the large predator Leptodora. Chironomids were also frequently caught in Marsh. Laberge was characterized by large populations of both rotifers and copepods.

Table 11. Zooplankton Assemblages

| Lake | Rotifers | Copepods | Cladocerans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlin and Tagish | Kellicottia++ Conochilus++ | C. scutifer +++ <br> D. pribilofensis++ | D. pulex+ Eubosmina + |
| Marsh | Synchaeta+++ Kellicottia++ | C. scutifer + <br> D. pribilofensis+ | D. longirenis Leptodora++ |
| Laberge | Conocbilus+.+++ Keillicottia+ | C. scutifer ++++ <br> D. pribilofensis+++ <br> Senecella+ | D. longirenis++ <br> D. rosea + Leptodora+ |

Relative abundance $+=$ few, $++++=$ very many.

## DISCUSSION

In discussing the nutrient and biological characteristics of these lakes, two factors are particularly important for assessing the generality of the conclusions. First, the data were collected over only one year and therefore interannual variation is not known. Second, both the sampling frequency and number of samples collected in each lake were typical of a reconnaissance survey.

## Physics

Physical factors have pronounced effects on the chemistry and biology of these lakes, with low temperatures, deep mixing, flushing and turbidity all being important.

## Temperature

The temperature of the lakes is sufficiently low that biological production is likely reduced. The ice cover starts and ends late (December-May) and thus the growing season is short (May-October), starting under ice cover.

## Mixing

In the early growing season (June), after ice-out, the large lakes (Atlin, Tagish and Laberge) are very weakly stratified and are thus subject to deep mixing by wind events. Deep mixing can mask biologically induced changes in nutrient levels. Not only are changes in concentration diluted over a large volume of water but algal production is likely inhibited by mixing to depths below the photosynthetic compensation point.

## Flushing

The flushing rates of the epilimnion in these lakes during summer are shorter than the annual rates (Table 3). If the flushing is rapid enough, algal biomass accumulation may be reduced. This is probably the case in Marsh and, to a lesser extent, in Laberge because the epilimnion residence times can be as low as 27 and 77 days, respectively (volume to 30 m divided by average August discharge; 1943-1982).

## Turbidity

The two principal sources of (inorganic) turbidity in this drainage basin are glacial flour and resuspended glaciolacustrine sediments. Glacial flour is imported to the lakes primarily via rivers entering from the southwest and is particularly noticeable in Atlin and Tagish during late summer. Both the Yukon River, near Whitehorse, and the Takhini River pass through cutbanks of glaciolacustrine deposits. Maximum riverine turbidity likely accompanies maximum flow and occurs during early summer.

## Nutrient Chemistry

## Phosphorus

Total phosphorus values were lower than those observed in smaller Yukon Lakes (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985). Indeed, the growing season (summertime) average
( $3.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) is only about half that measured in the smaller lakes $\left(6.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$.

The major component of TP was PP (61\% of the GS total $P$ ) and the PP was highest when riverine suspended sediment concentrations were highest. Although the bioavailability of PP was not determined, it would have a significant effect on the overall phosphorus (TP) bioavailability (Gray and Kirkland, 1982). For instance, in the southern areas of Atlin and Tagish, the suspended sediments are likely high in apatite, and if so, the bioavailability of PP (and TP) would be low. Thus for these lakes, TP may be a poor indicator of biologically available phosphorus (BAP).

Dissolved phosphorus (DP) concentrations decrease rapidly between March and June with Marsh, Laberge and Atlin (-A3) dropping by a factor of two. During the rest of the growing season, however, there are no significant changes in the DP concentration. The routine chemical methods used were inadequate to follow the phosphorus dynamics, and direct measurement of phosphorus turnover times would be useful.

Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) values were, within experimental error, the same as those of DP. Many of the analyses gave results that were less than the detection limits, and often the SRP measurements were marginally greater than DP. These results suggest that dissolved organic $P$ concentrations were extremely low.

## Nitrogen

The average growing season TN value ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) is only $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of that noted in smaller Yukon lakes (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985). As PN was only a small fraction of TN, variations in TN were related to changes in the components of TDN. Like most lakes, for all four of these Yukon headwater lakes the highest values of TDN occurred early in the growing season (March-June) before uptake of DIN by algae.

Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in these lakes is usually the largest component of TDN. The DON values are highest in June and decrease by August. Phytoplankton production and/or inputs from snowmelt runoff are possible sources for the increase (Daley et al., 1981). If this organic matter is from autochthonous sources, then these lakes have considerable production under ice. By August, heterotrophic bacterial uptake could be responsible for the decrease. These bacteria would subsequently be a carbon and nitrogen source for some of the zooplankton. Dilution by glacial runoff low in DON may also explain some of the decrease.

The NN concentration drops rapidly during spring and is sometimes lower than the ammonia concentration. However, average growing season epilimnion nitrate values remained above the detection limit, in all of these lakes, while for nine of the 19 smaller Yukon lakes' (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985) averages were below the detection limit. The optimal time for algal uptake of nitrate is, perhaps, between snowmelt and ice-out. During this time there is probabbly adequäte light for photosynthesis and an absence of wind-induced mixing. Under-ice uptake in Marsh could explain the very low nitrate concentration observed in mid-June.

The presence of moderate amounts of ammonia during the growing season indicates a supply larger than the demand. Zooplankton excretion of ammonia is the probable source. During August it was likely that the phytoplankton, at the very low $P$ levels encountered, were $P$ limited and therefore could not use the ammonia at the rate it was produced.

Particulate nitrogen values remain so low that seasonal variations were not significant. The composition of this component (algae, bacteria, nauplii, detritus, etc.) was not determined.

Although the four large lakes show TP values 50\% lower than the smaller Yukon lakes (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985), typical TN values are less than $20 \%$ of these other lakes. Despite the lower nitrogen levels, however, DIN remains above the detection limit throughout the year. While the $N: P$ ratio drops from $>30$ in June to $<10$ by August, the very low nutrient levels make the use of this ratio suspect. Both the accuracy and precision of the DP method are significant factors in considering the accuracy and utility of the ratio. As well, the proportion of both N and P available for production and their relative turnover rates are not known. The absence of blue-green algae is indirect evidence that the BAN:BAP (biologically available nitrogen to biologically available phosphorus) ratio remains consistently high (>10).

## Specific Physical-Chemical Interactions

Physical processes, specific to each lake, modify the general patterns noted above.

## Atlin and Tagish

These are the deepest lakes of the group examined and have the longest residence times. Deep convective mixing early in the growing season (likely common to all of these lakes) is quite evident in Atlin and Tagish and biomass accumulations are inhibited. Both lakes also have pro-
nounced horizontal turbidity gradients. The turbidity at the southernmost stations, primarily from glacial sources, is typically several times that observed at other stations. (Secchi depths, during August, are 1.0 m at T 6 and 11.0 m at T4.) Light penetration is reduced so significantly that it may limit biological production. During August, the biomass of phytoplankton in the southern portions of both Atlin and Tagish was less than that observed at the other stations in each lake. Lower chlorophyll concentrations were also noted in October. Lakewide algal biomass peaks occurred in August between the mixing and turbidity events.

## Marsh

Of the four lakes studied, Marsh is the smallest, shallowest and warmest. In addition, it usually has the lowest turbidity. Marsh warms up more quickly than the other lakes, and thus its biomass peak likely occurs earlier. The early heating of Marsh Lake is significantly different from that of the other lakes and should be investigated further. Of special interest is the large area of shallow water near the exit of Tagish Lake, which serves to warm the water before it enters the river on its way to Marsh.

In Marsh, the shallow depth and warm temperatures increase the potential for sedimented material to be quickly regenerated and recycled and also increase the importance of benthic organisms. However, Marsh Lake also has the shortest residence time and the largest percentage of water entering from an upstream lake (Fig. 3). Therefore the physical effect of flushing of the epilimnion, which in summer extends to near the lake bottom, is likely to be a major factor affecting nutrient values and biological parameters. During summer, most of the water entering Marsh (from the epilimnion of Tagish) is already stripped of nutrients. Thus, a very short water residence time combines with low nutrient inputs to prevent a summertime accumulation of phytoplankton; hence the biomass peak was observed in early summer.

## Laberge

Because of its intermediate residence time, Lake Laberge also exhibits riverine effects. The Takhini River provides a substantial input of water (19\%), and during times of high flow, large amounts of glacially deposited sediments erode from its banks. The Yukon River downstream from Marsh Lake also entrains sediment. This turbid river water, upon entering Lake Laberge, experiences a Coriolis effect, follows the right-hand shoreline and produces eddies of sediment-laden water that are clearly visible over the entire $48-\mathrm{km}$ length of the lake (Ball, 1983). A denser sampling pattern would undoubtedly show pronounced lateral variations in chemistry and biology.

Laberge had the highest nutrient values of the four lakes studied, receiving additional inputs from both the Takhini River and the city of Whitehorse (population 12000 ) sewage treatment plant (STP). Preliminary estimates, using data from Jack et al. (1983) and Bethell (1981), indicate that the STP may increase the TP concentration of the river at Whitehorse by about $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ at low flow (winter-spring) and $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ during high flow conditions. This increase is approximately $10 \%$ to $20 \%$ of the ambient value of the river, and much of this added TP may be readily available to algae in the lake. There may also be significant loading of ammonia from the STP; the data, however, although sparse, suggest increases of only 1-4 $\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (<5\% of background TDN level). Despite these extra nutrient loadings, summertime accumulation of biomass in the lake may be inhibited by the turbidity. The peak in algal biomass was observed in June, although chlorophyll peaked in August. Overall, Laberge is likely the most productive of the four lakes, since its exhibits, in addition to the highest nutrient levels, the largest wintertime decrease in oxygen in the hypolimnion.

## Biology

## Phytoplankton

Algal biomass was very low in all four lakes, as would be expected from the low nutrient concentrations and relatively severe physical conditions. In comparison, many of the smaller lakes ( $1.6-90 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) in the Yukon River drainage basin have higher mean biomass during summer (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985). Deeper mixing and/or higher turbidity in the larger lakes studied here, together with their lower nutrient concentrations, are likely responsible for the lower biomass levels.

Relationships between chlorophyll and both TP and TN were not significant at the $5 \%$ level (Table 12). Of course the small number of data pairs (4) requires a very high regression coefficient for the relationship to be significant. In the Shortreed and Stockner stüdy (1985), 35\% of variation in chlorophyll could be predicted by TP at the $1 \%$ level of significance after log transformation of 19 data pairs. The four lakes in our study had a lower ratio of chlorophyll to TP than in the lakes of their study. If the lower ratio is confirmed after more extensive sampling, it may indicate a lower biological availability of TP in the epilimnion of these large headwater lakes.

Algal indicators from the growing season were regressed against late winter NN and SRP concentrations. These two values could represent large fractions of the biologically available nutrient supply for the spring and summer. The relationships between NN under the ice in March and both growing season chlorophyll and biovolume were significant at the $5 \%$ level (Table 12). On the other hand, the relationships between SRP and these biological indicators were not significant. Thus, while with this small data set the relative degree of springtime N or P limitation is not definitive, the importance of N appears to be greater than that of $P$.

The seasonal pattern of biomass development is best demonstrated by biovolume (Nicholls and Dillon, 1978). In both Marsh and Laberge, there were distinct early summer maxima (Fig. 8b). Atlin and, to some extent, Tagish accumulated biomass in midsummer. These differences could be due to the negative effect on biomass of rapid flushing and high turbidity in Marsh and Laberge, respectively, in midsummer as well as to the negative effect

Table 12. Regression Relationships between Values During the Growing Season

| Dependent variable | Independent variable | Intercept | Slope | n | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{2}{ }^{\text {* }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chlorophyll a | TP | 0.29 | 0.049 | 4 | 0.27 | 0.90 |
| Log chlorophyll $a$ | Log TP | -0.55 | 0.377 | 4 | 2.23 | 0.90 0.90 |
| Chlorophyll a | TN | -0.07 | 0.0068 | 4 | 0.60 | 0.90 |
| Biovolume | TP | 117 | 6.67 | 4 | 0.091 | 0.90 |
| Biovolume | TN | 29 | 1.45 | 4 | 0.50 | 0.90 |
| Zooplankton | TP | 2.5 | 1.6 | 3 | 0.47 | 0.99 |
| Zooplankton | TN | -8.5 | 0.21 | 3 | 0.87 | 0.99 |
| Zooplankton | Chiorophyll $a$ | 0.45 | 18 | 3 | 0.82 | 0.99 |
| Zooplankton | Biovolume | -4.9 | 0.093 | 3 | 0.81 | 0.99 |
| Chlorophyll a | $\mathrm{NN}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | -0.08 | 0.0166 | 4 | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| Chlorophyll a | $\mathrm{SRP}_{5}$ | 0.46 | -0.008 | 4 | 0.0003 | 0.90 |
| Biovolume | $\mathrm{NN}_{5}$ | 24 | 3.63 | 4 | 0.95 | 0.90 |
| Biovolume | $\mathrm{SRP}_{\mathbf{S}}$ | 202 | -34 | 4 | 0.193 | 0.90 |

Note: The $r^{2 *}$ is the $r^{2}$ required for $5 \%$ level of significance.
$\mathrm{NN}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{SRP}_{\mathrm{S}}$ - Springtime values of NN and SRP, respectively.
of prolonged deep mixing during early summer in Atlin and Tagish.

The algal species composition was more typical of some fjord lakes of Norway than other large oligotrophic lakes in Canada at this latitude. Norwegian lakes with chlorophyll concentraations of less than $2 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ had algal assemblages dominated by chrysophytes and cryptophytes (Rognerud and Kjellberg, 1984) as were these Yukon lakes. Diatoms were dominant in most large lakes in the Northwest Territories: and Labrador (Duthie, 1979;Moore, 1980). Although in some large lakes in the Territories the chrysophyte Dinobryon bavericum was the most frequently encountered species (Moore, 1978), Dinobryon sp. were not common in the Yukon lakes studied here.

For the three deepest lakes the differences in algal composition were not great. The large proportion of dinoflagellates in Marsh suggests that its shallower depth and warmer temperatures fundamentally affect species composition. However, all four lakes have low blue-green algal biomass; a common feature of most subarctic lakes (Holmgren, 1985).

The vertical distribution of algae cannot be ascertained from our limited data. However, using the relationship between algal volume and chlorophyll from June

$$
\mathrm{Chl}\left(\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)=0.0737+0.0015 \text { algal volume }\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)
$$

$$
R^{2}=0.717 \quad p<0.01 \quad n=10
$$

and applying it to the August volume data, estimates of chlorophyll from an intermediate depth in August can be calculated. Thus in August, Laberge had a near-surface biomass peak, whereas Atlin and Tagish had generally higher biomasses at deeper depths. The effect of our low sampling density is to bias the average chlorophyll value upward in Laberge and down in Atlin and Tagish during August. The bias in algal volume is the reverse. The biomass was probably homogenous during October sampling, as the temperature profiles indicated deep convective mixing.

## Zooplankton

Zooplankton biomass values were very low, far lower than any of the smaller lakes in the region (Shortreed and Stockner, 1985). Although temperature and predation have effects on zooplankton biomass, strong correlations to growing season chlorophyll content (food concentration) have been observed in other lakes. For instance, Rognerud and Kjellberg (1984) found that for 28 Norwegian lakes, the growing season average biomass of zooplankton (top

20 m ) was linearly related to the growing season average chlorophyll (top 10 m ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Zoop}\left(\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)= & 0.65+18 \mathrm{Chl}\left(\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \\
& R^{2}=0.62 \quad \mathrm{p}<0.01 \quad \mathrm{n}=28
\end{aligned}
$$

For the three deep Yukon lakes excluding Marsh and using zooplankton data for $0-50 \mathrm{~m}$ and chlorophyll data for $0-30 \mathrm{~m}$, a similar linear relationship was found (Table 12), although it was not significant at the $5 \%$ level. (As Marsh Lake appears to have a different zooplankton/ phytoplankton relationship [due to its shallow depth and rapid flushing], data from Marsh were not used in any of the subsequent correlation analyses.) This result must be taken as very preliminary due to the scanty data base. However, it is suggestive of a strong dependence of zooplankton on algal biomass in these big Yukon headwater lakes. There was also a similar correlation between zooplankton and algal volume.

The correlation between growing season mean zooplankton biomass and TN was almost significant at the $5 \%$ level (Table 12). The relationship with TP was not significant. The zooplankton relationship to TN is perhaps a reflection of nitrogen incorporation into zooplankton protein. Thus for these lakes, the total available nitrogen could be a measure of the potential zooplankton crop.

The species dominance pattern (Table 11) suggests that there are fundamental differences in the structures of the food webs in the four lakes. Marsh Lake with an assemblage quite different from the deeper lakes is not too surprising, considering its shallow depth and warm temperatures. It is likely that the populations of benthic organisms are high enough to affect the biomass and species composition of zooplankton in this lake. The highest growing season concentration of zooplankton was in Lake Laberge. The success of rotifers and filter-feeding cladocerans suggests that there are larger quantities of bacteria and picoplankton available than in the upstream lakes. In Atlin and Tagish, the lower relative abundances of rotifers imply a smaller forage base for these organisms.

There was a consistent difference in the cladoceran species composition between Atlin/Tagish and Marsh/ Laberge. The large Daphnia pulex was the dominant cladoceran in the upper two lakes and was rarely observed in the lower lakes where the smaller daphnids $D$. rosea and D. longiremis were common. Archibald (1977) found that the large daphnid $D$. middendorffiana was dominant in the absence of planktivorous fish like Coregonus clupeaformis and $C$. sardinella in the Yukon lakes he sampled. This
suggests that predation by planktivorous fish may have affected the zooplankton species composition in Marsh and Laberge.

Finally, the presence of Senecella calanoides in Laberge extends the known range of this species. The only other lake in the Yukon drainage basin where it has been previously reported is Kusawa Lake, on the Takhini River system upstream from Lake Laberge (Lindsey et al., 1981).

A summary of lake characteristics is presented in Table 13.

## EFFECTS OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Potential hydro-development plans for the area include damming the Atlin River with diversion of its flow south through a different drainage basin and/or regulating the flow of the Atlin River as it is now.

Diversion of the Atlin River through a new exit to the south would not change the annual bulk residence time of Atlin Lake but would increase those of the other lakes (as they would have decreased inflows). Biological production in the central part of Atlin may increase slightly because of increased nutrients available within this part of the lake with less dilution of lake water by nutrientpoor glacial meltwater. In addition, if the turbidity plume from the glacier exits the lake with less mixing into this area there would be decreased turbidity in the central portion of Atlin. The effects on seasonal patterns would depend on the specifics of the operations at the control structure(s) involved.

Residence times of Tagish and Marsh lakes would increase about 1.7 times and of Laberge, about 1.4 times. While these are not large changes, Tagish Lake may show larger shifts in the residence times of its various arms: For instance, Graham Inlet, connecting Tagish with Atlin,
would have a very large change in residence time. The mixing characteristics of the sediment plume from the Swanson River entering at the south end of Tagish may be altered and the turbidity gradient changed. The conductivity of Tagish would likely decrease and the nutrient level increase if the Atlin Lake outflow is diverted south.

Marsh Lake would still flush quickly (less than four months on a yearly basis). However, decreased summer flows could produce a significant lengthening of the residence time during the growing season. If the present Marsh Lake control structure is used to store water in summer (for electric power generation during the winter and spring), an additional increase in the growing season residence time will occur. The nutrient levels would, however, probably remain very low.

In Lake Laberge, the nutrient levels would likely increase with less dilution of the inputs from the Whitehorse sewage treatment plant and the Takhini River. The turbidity of the lake would increase as a greater percentage of input flow would come from the turbid Takhini River.

Simple regulation, rather than diversion, of the Atlin River would not alter the present yearly bulk residence times of the four lakes but would shift the seasonal patterns. Storage of water in Atlin Lake during the growing season would increase the downstream potential for biomass accumulation by decreasing washout (Reid Crowther, 1983). Increased winter flows may alter the spring ice characteristics (particularly in Graham Inlet) and change the early growing season (under-ice) nutrient dynamics.

The cumulative chemical and biological changes resulting from either of these hydrologic alterations may be complex (Daley et al., 1981). Although the average general limnology of the system is unlikely to change; local and site-specific alterations may be significant. More de: tailed studies while the projects are still in the planning stages would be desirable.

Table 13. Summary of Lake Characteristics

| Parameter | Atlin and Tagish | Marsh | Laberge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence time | Long | Short | Medium |
| Turbidity | Glacial plumes | Little | Takhini River |
| TDS (ppm) | 45-55 | 47 | 50-55 |
| Summer nutrient input | Low | Very low | Takhini River/ <br> Whitehorse sewage |
| Algal biomass | Lowest and moderate | Moderate | Highest |
| Algal biomass maximum Zooplankton dominance | August <br> Copepods | June Cladocera | June <br> Rotifer/copepod |

Despite the pronounced effects of physical processes, biological productivity in these lakes is still likely limited by the nutrients. In fact, nutrient levels in all of the lakes are so low that moderate increases are likely. to have positive impacts. Artificial increases or fertilization is thus a possible management option for enhancing fisheries production. Atlin Lake, with the longest residence time and lowest nutrients, would retain added nutrients longest and, therefore, has the greatest potential for increased biomass production. Marsh Lake, with its relatively warm temperatures and low growing season nutrients, is also an enhancement candidate, despite its rapid flushing rate.

Our data do not indicate a need for tertiary treatment technology at the city of Whitehorse sewage treatment plant. Indeed, future growth in the size of Whitehorse, with concomitant increases in effluent nutrients, would likely result in some positive biological responses in Lake Laberge. Algal biomass levels in Lake Laberge are not a problem at present and the decrease in oxygen concentration over winter is small. If the zooplankton and fish are food-limited, then increased nutrients may enhance fisheries production to varying degrees in each of these four large headwater lakes.

## FUTURE WORK

Several research opportunities and future information requirements are apparent from this preliminary study. In terms of nutrient cycling, the most dramatic changes occur under-ice in the early spring. Unfortunately, this is a difficult and expensive time to sample. Overfiow and melting ice necessitate the use of costly helicopters for safe sampling. After ice-out, when a boat could be used as a sampling platform, limited access and low water levels make launchthing difficult. Although expensive, the use of a small hovercraft may solve this problem.

To obtain more precise information on the potential biological productivity of these lakes, a more intensive sampling routine is required. Direct measurements of primary production are needed throughout the limnological cycle. The percentage of production taking place underice and estimates of carbon transfer rates within the food web would be of value. As well, nutrient budgets for each lake would be required.

For lakewide nutrient budgets and fluxes, better analytical methods and more intensive sampling are necessary. The extremely low nutrient concentrations encountered in these Yukon lakes presented problems. Pro-
cedures with reduced detection limits and increased precision are needed, particularly for phosphorus. The Applied Microsystems CTD instrument used during this survey did not permit interrogations between the physics sampling casts and the chemistry sampling. If this were possible, chemistry depths could be selected to sample the thermally defined intervals better. A longer (multi-year) study would permit more knowledgeable selection of sampling locations and afford better estimates of both lakewide mean values and inter-annual variability. The latter may be greater than either the intra-annual or inter-lake variation.

Additional research on the bioavailability of the various nutrient supply components in these lakes is also needed. Correlations between TP and chlorophyll were poor; information on the bioavailability of the TP components may resolve this discrepancy. Similarly, knowledge of the biologically available nitrogen (BAN) along with the sources, make-up, and fate of DON would be useful. Significant proportions of these materials may be unavailable for biological production, and therefore, estimates from them of potential phytoplankton and fish yields would be in error.

More detailed studies on the interactions among phytoplankton, zooplankton and fish on an annual basis would be of value in assessing the fisheries potential of these lakes. The trophic efficiency of northern food chains and the importance of bacteria and picoplankton within them should also be studied.

Marsh Lake was the most dynamic of the lakes studied, and further work on this fast flushing system would be of value to reservoir and riverine system modellers. The timing and extent of the early spring heating of Marsh should be investigated; this heating is perhaps associated with the extensive shallow areas of Tagish Lake adjacent to the exit about 5 km upstream from Marsh Lake.
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## APPENDIX A

## YUKON BASIN HEADWATER HYDROLOGY

The information on the hydrology of the study area was derived from Water Survey of Canada records (Environment Canada, 1983) ạnd Bathymetry of Yukon Lakes (Pharo 1981a,b; Pharo and Chamberlain, 1983a,b).

The upper Yukon River basin (Fig. 2) is made up of ten sub-basins: Long-term surface water volume records
depths and their total runoff accounts for the water necessary to make up that measured at the Whitehorse station.

With the estimates of the flows out of each subbasin given in Table $\mathbf{A}-2 \mathrm{~b}$, selected subtotals can be calculated. These permit calculation of the residence times for each of the lakes (Table A-3). The minimum and maximum

Table A-1. Measured Sub-basin Flows

| River | Sub-basin No. | Outflow volume ( $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ ) |  |  | Percentage max/mean | Percentage min/mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Maximum | Minimum |  |  |
| Atlin | 10 | 2.96 | 3.88 | 2.06 | 131 | 70 |
| Watson | 7 | 0.162 | 0.183 | 0.128 | 113 | 79 |
| Wheaton | 6 | 0.234 | 0.390 | 0.176 | 167 | 75 |
| McClintock | 4 | 0.316 | 0.384 | 0.227 | 122 | 72 |
| Takhini | 2 | 1.92 | 2.91 | 1.52 | 151 | 79 |
| Laberge outlet (all sub-basins) |  | 10.1 | 12.5 | 8.17 | 124 | 81 |
| Yukon at Whitehorse (basins 3-10) |  | 7.54 | 10.0 | 5.86 | 132 | 78 |
| Mean value of extremes |  |  |  |  | $134 \pm 19$ | $76 \pm 4$ |

are available from seven locations throughout the study area (Table A-1). This table also lists the maximum and minimum flows measured from these areas. The net yearly flow at the outlet of five of the sub-basins of interest is given directly (Environment Canada, 1983). Dividing the basin areas by their flow yields the net runoff depth. This is the depth of water over the entire subb-basin required to supply the measured outflow (Tables A-2a and A-2b).

The volume of runoff from sub-basin 1, Laberge, can be estimated from the Laberge outflow minus the inflow (YukonRiver at Whitehorse + Takhini River). The volumes for sub-basins 3 (Yukoon River) and 9 (Marsh Lake) can be approximated, assuming that the runoff depth of these areas is equal to that of sub-basin 4 (McClintock). Reasons for this assumption are the similar degree of glaciers (zero) and similar topography and elevation.

The remaining areas, 8 (Tagish) and 5 (Bennett), can be estimated by assuming they have similar runoff

Table A-2a. Measured Outflows

|  | Sub-basin <br> No. | Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Outflow <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3}\right)$ | Runoff depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Area | 10 | 6593 | 2.96 | 0.449 |
| Atlin | 7 | 1172 | 0.162 | 0.138 |
| Watson | 6 | 849 | 0.234 | 0.276 |
| Wheaton | 4 | 1624 | 0.316 | 0.195 |
| McClintock | 2 | 8279 | 1.92 | 0.232 |

Table A-2b. Calculated Outflows

| Area | Sub-basin <br> No. | Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Outflow <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3}\right)$ | Runoff depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laberge | 1 | 2189 | 0.64 | 0.292 |
| Yukon River | 3 | 1390 | 0.271 | 0.195 |
| Marsh | 9 | 1218 | 0.238 | 0.195 |
| Tagish | 8 | 5509 | 2.64 | 0.479 |
| Bennett | 5 | 1510 | 0.72 | 0.479 |

residence times, $R_{\text {min }}$ and $R_{\text {max }}$, are calculated from the extreme values listed in Table A-1.

Estimates of the relative magnitudes of the evaporation volumes are listed in Table A-4 (from Canadian Sürvey
on the Water Balance of Lakes, Canadian National Committee, IHD). These volumes are not accounted for in Table A-2 and are only a significant inflow/outfiow balance difference for Atlin Lake where sub-basin sources are not considered.

Table A-3. Residence Times

| Lake | Volume ( $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ ) | Residence Time (yr) |  |  | Flushing rate ( $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {mean }}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {min }}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {mean }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {min }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}{ }^{-1}$ |
| Atlin | 54.4 | 18.4 | 13.7 | 24.2 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| Tagish | 21.8 | 3.25 | 2.42 | 4.27 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.23 |
| Marsh | 1.2 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 4.3 |
| Laberge | 10.8 | 1.06 | 0.80 | 1.41 | 0.93 | 1.3 | 0.71 |
| Bennett | 6.0 | 5.37 | 4.01 | 7.06 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.14 |

Table A-4. Evaporation Losses

| Lake | Volume evaporation <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ | Evaporation <br> as percentage of outflow |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Atlin | 0.239 | 8.1 |
| Tagish | 0.143 | 2.1 |
| Marsh | 0.039 | 0.5 |
| Laberge | 0.077 | 0.7 |

## APPENDIX B

## ALGAL DATA

Table B-1. Algal Numbers, Volumes, and Volume Percentage by Division or Class, June

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | No.$\left(10^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Volume percentage (by class or division) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CHR | CRY | BAC | DIN | CHL | CYA |
| A 3 | 2 | 480 | 30.5 | 51 | 9 | 35 | <1 | 5 | $<1$ |
| A3 | 10 | 681 | 49.3 | 64 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 5 | $<1$ |
| A5 | 2 | 907 | 99.1 | 74 | 5 | 17 | 4 | <1 | $<1$ |
| A5 | 10 | 1234 | 120 | 65 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 5 | $<1$ |
| T4 | 5 | 1176 | 250 | 72 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 3 | $<1$ |
| T4 | 20 | 1181 | 166 | 63 | 12 | 19 | 5 | 1 | $<1$ |
| M2 | 2 | 3922 | 281 | 78 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 1 | $<1$ |
| M2 | 14 | 2975 | 244 | 61 | 4 | 24 | 10 | 2 | $<1$ |
| L2 | 2 | 4114 | 276 | 75 | 8 | 14 | 2 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| L2 | 10 | 3732 | 281 | 55 | 21 | 20 | 4 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| L. 5 | 2 | 1807 | 138 | 72 | 18 | 5 | 4 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| L5 | 10 | 2237 | 306 | 44 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 10 | $<1$ |

CHR - Chrysophytes (excluding diatoms).
CRY - Cryptophytes.
BAC - Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) (class).
DIN $=$ Dinoflagellates (class).
$\overline{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{C}$ - Chlorophytes (greens).
CYA - Cyanophytes (blue-greens).

Table B-2. Algal Numbers, Volumes, and Volüme Percentage by Division or Class, August

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \left(10^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Volume percentage (by class or division) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CHR | CRY | BAC | DIN | CHL | CYA |
| A3 | 15 | 3003 | 166 | 54 | 4 | 37 | 5 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| A5 | 15 | 1261 | 196 | 51 | 6 | 24 | 4 | 15 | $<1$ |
| A 7 | 10 | 966 | 150 | 54 | 9 | 19 | 17 | 1 | $<1$ |
| T2 | 10 | 2020 | 123 | 46 | 3 | 46 | 4 | 1 | $<1$ |
| T4 | 10 | 3297 | 278 | 50 | 2 | 44 | 4 | <1 | $<1$ |
| T6 | 10 | 2571 | 62.7 | 86 | 4 | 9 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| M2 | 5 | 885 | 84.4 | 40 | 3 | 8 | 48 | 1 | <1 |
| L2 | 10 | 1609 | 133 | 77 | 12 | 5 | 5 | $<1$ | <1 |
| L5 | 10 | 874 | 109 | 60 | 21 | 9 | 10 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| L6 | 10 | 1085 | 153 | 54 | 19 | 11 | 14 | 2 | <1 |

CHR - Chrysophytes (excluding diatoms).
CRY - Crÿptöphytes.
BAC - Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) (class).
DIN = Dinoflagellates (class).
CHL =Chlorophytes (greens).
CYA - Cyañophytes (blue-greens).

Table B-3. Algal Numbers, Volumes, and Volume Percentage by Division or Class, October

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | $\stackrel{\text { No. }}{\left(10^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Volume percentage (by class or division) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CHR | CRY | BAC | DIN | CHL | CYA |
| A 3 | 10 | 1558 | 50.6 | 72 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 2 | $<1$ |
| T2 | 15 | 756 | 69.4 | 42 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 5 | $<1$ |
| T4 | 15 | 632 | 63.3 | 32 | 19 | 33 | 14 | 1 | $<1$ |
| T6 | 15 | 913 | 28.4 | 71 | 9 | 15 | 4 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| M2 | 5 | 807 | 57.7 | 47 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 1 | $<1$ |
| L2 | 4 | 932 | 61.5 | 54 | 21 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| L5 | 15 | 1134 | 105 | 46 | 19 | 32 | $<1$ | 2 | 1 |
| L6 | 15 | 878 | 149 | 29 | 37 | 31 | 1 | 3 | $<1$ |

CHR - Chrysophytes (excluding diatoms).
CRY - Cryptophytes.
BAC - Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) (class).
DIN - Dinoflagellates (class).
CHL - Chlorophytes (greens).
CYA - Cyanophytes (blue-greens).

Table B-4. Algal Numbers, Volumes, and Volume Percentage by Division or Class, March

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | $\stackrel{\text { No. }}{\left(10^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Volume percentage (by class or division) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CHR | CRY | BAC | DIN | CHL | CYA |
| A 3 | 1 | 341 | 25.0 | 34 | 64 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 |
| A5 | 1 | 297 | 27.0 | 31 | 33 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| T4 | 1 | 178 | 16.5 | 25 | 47 | 4 | 22 | $<1$ | 1 |
| T6 | 1 | 296 | 20.2 | 27 | 56 | <1 | 16 | <1 | 1 |
| M2 | 1 | 674 | 56.6 | 38 | 36 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| L2 | 1 | 809 | 38.8 | 56 | 23 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| L5 | 1 | 532 | 31.9 | 40 | 23 | 30 | 5 | <1 | 1 |
| L6 | 1 | 394 | 20.5 | 33 | 66 | $<1$ | <1 | <1 | 1 |

CHR - Chrysophytes (excluding diatoms).
CRY - Cryptophytes.
BAC - Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) (class).
DIN - Dinoflagellates (class).
CHL - Ċhlorophytes (greens).
CYA - Cyanophytes (blue-greens).

## APPENDIX C

## ZOOPLANKTON DATA

Table C-1. Length-Dry Weight Relationships*

| Species | a | b |  | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cyclops scutifer | 1.0866 | 1.5493 | Bottrell et al. (1976) | Speecies $\dagger$ |
| Diaptomús pribilofensis | 1.5013 | 1.730 | Rosen (1981) |  |
| Heterocope septentrionalis | 1.8551 | 1.9756 | Bottrell et al. (1976) | D. pallidus |
| Senecella calanoides | 1.2431 | 2.634 | Bottrell et al. (1976) | H. saliens |
| Dapbnia rosea | 1.0727 | 2.8915 | Bottrell et al. (1976) | D. gracilis |
| D. longiremis | 1.0727 | 2.8915 | Bottrell et al. (1976) | D. longispina |
| D. pulex | 1.4663 | 3.1932 | Bottrell et al. (1976) |  |
| Eubosmina coregoni | 2.7839 | 2.505 | Persson and Ekbohm (1980) |  |
| Leptodora kindtii | -0.822 | 2.67 | Rosen (1981) |  |

*These relationships are summarized in McCauley (1984), where $\ln$ (weight) $=a+b \ln$ (length) (units are in micrograms and millimetres).
$\dagger$ Where statistics for species listed in column 1 were not available, the measurements from similar species below were used!

Table C-2. Rotifer Weeight Based on Geometric Shape*

| Genera | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{m m})}{\mathrm{D}}$ | Volume formula | Wcight ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asplancbria | 0.320 |  |  | $0.23 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ | 0.75 |
| Gephalodella | 0.295 | 0.180 | 0.10 | 0.52 LWD | 0.28 |
| Conochilus | 0.10 | 0.075 |  | $0.26 \mathrm{LW}^{2}$ | 0.015 |
| Kellicottia | 0.110 | 0.050 |  | $0.26 \mathrm{LW}^{2}$ | 0.007 |
| Keratella | 0.10 | 0.050 |  | $0.13 \mathrm{LW}^{2}$ | 0.003 |
| Polyarthra | 0.060 |  |  | $0.28 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ | 0.006 |
| Synchaeta | 0.200 |  |  | $0.1 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ | 0.080 |

[^0]* Ruttner-Kolisko (1977); specific gravity $=1$; dry weight $=10 \%$ wet weight.

Table C-3. Zooplankton (except rotifers) (individuals/sample)

| Lake | Station | Date | Diaptomus pribilofensis |  |  | Cyclops scutifer |  |  | Heterocope or (Senecella) | Daphnia |  |  | Eubosmina coregoni | Leptodora kindtii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nauplii | Copepodids | Adults | Nauplii | Copepodids | Adults |  | D. rosea | D. longiremis | D. pulex |  |  |
| Atlin (50 m) | A3 | 82-06-17 | 9081 | 28 | 714 | 3995 | 3458 | 1540 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A3 | 82-08-19 | 1668 | 4600 | 1960 | 27448 | 21960 | 3760 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A 3 | 82-10-14 | - | 949 | 1124 | 17573 | 6357 | 814 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A5 | 82-06-17 | 6112 | 39 | 2172 | 14104 | 6305 | 2424 | 20 |  |  | 9 | 5 |  |
|  | A5 | 82-08-19 | 1060 | 6738 | 3588 | 6546 | 14175 | 918 | 403 |  |  | 26 |  |  |
|  | A7 | 82-08-19 | 2475 | 5178 | 6288 | 17917 | 10800 | 528 | 647 |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| Tagish (50 m) | T2 | 82-08-20 | 242 | 10539 | 8025 | 48175 | 13803 | 321 | 1268 |  |  | 50 | 10 |  |
|  | T2 | 82-10-17 | 30 | 4655 | 3134 | 8962 | 42.687 | 159 | 277 |  | 10 | 18 |  |  |
|  | T4 | 82-06-15 | 24600 | 25 | 800 | 3900 | 17025 | 1975 | 3196 |  |  | 4 | 75 |  |
|  | T4 | 82-08-20 | 4203 | 9608 | 5251 | 65191 | 10132 | 1313 | 638 |  |  | 29 | 1575 |  |
|  | T4 | 82-10-17 | 108 | 5724 | 4212 | 10044 | 64800 | 182 | 139 |  |  | 8 | 864 |  |
|  | T6 | 82-08-20 | 256 | 2672 | 475 | 6954 | 6771 | 1623 | 20 | 3 | 6 |  | 61 |  |
| Marsh ( 25 m ) | M2 | 82-06-16 | 8487 | 2067 | 86 | 540 | 1855 | 619 | 22 |  | 141 |  | 18 |  |
|  | M2 | 82-08-18 | 166 | 762 | 22 | 753 | 986 | 102 |  |  | 3494 |  | 426 | 81 |
|  | M2 | 82-10-14 | - | 585 | 54 | 5850 | 9165 | 78. |  |  | 14000 |  | 663 | 3 |
| Laberge ( 50 m ) | L2 | 82-06-19 | 30863 | - | 2418 | 95059 | 5022 | 14508 | (265) | 186 | 10 |  | 10 |  |
|  | L2 | 82-08-24 | 489 | 20428 | 186 | 64494 | 51612 | 1684 | (93) 5 | 2385 | 9257 | 3 | 47 | 1 |
|  | L2 | 82-10-16 | - | 1624 | 1995 | 41183 | 45999 | 86 | (6) | 1226 | 5444 |  | 485 |  |
|  | L5 | 82-06-19 | 17300 | 273 | 3417 | 88.900 | 6526 | 6321 | (419) 15 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 23 |  |
|  | L5 | 82-08-24 | 855 | 11020 | 1140 | 204500 | 55290 | 3230 | (115) 5 | 5130 | 7695 |  | 1045 | 1 |
|  | L5 | 82-10-16 | 20 | 1120 | 2590 | 75780 | 36500 | 70 | (2) | 525 | 4130 |  | 175 |  |
|  | L6 | 82-08-24 | 734 | 9870 | 105 | 58013 | 65940 | 2993 | (107) 6 | 6983 | 11666 |  | 263 | 3 |
|  | L6 | 82-10-16 | 30 | 510 | 1275 | 24092 | 10594 | 28 |  | 623 | 7252 |  | 283 |  |

Table C-4. Rotifers (individual sample)

| Lake | Station | Date | Conochilus | Keratella | Kellicotiia | Asplancbna | Cephalodella or Polyarthra |  | Syncbaeta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlin ( 50 m ) | A3 | 82-06-17 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 40(P) |  |
|  | A3 | 82-08-19 | 1000 |  | 1800 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A 3 | 82-10-14 |  | 20 | 760 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A5 | 82-06-17 |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A5 | 82-08-19 | 3412 |  | 11681 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A7 | 82-08-19 | 8280 |  | 8376 |  |  |  |  |
| Tagish ( 50 m ) | T2 | 82-08-20 | 10432 |  | 5778 |  |  | 54(P) |  |
|  | T2 | 82-10-17 |  |  | 1330 |  |  | 32(P) |  |
|  | T4 | 82-06-15 | 200 | 25 | 200 |  |  | 50(C) |  |
|  | T4 | 82-08-20 | 24830 |  | 11290 | 20 |  | 53(P) |  |
|  | T4 | 82-10-17 | 27972 |  | 24786 | 54 |  |  |  |
|  | T6 | 82-08-20 | 1232 |  | 1891 |  |  |  |  |
| Marsh ( 25 m ) | M2 | 82-06-16 | 144 | 53 | 6289 |  | 18(P) | 495(C) | 2400 |
|  | M2 | 82-08-24 | 156 | 269 | 8915 |  |  | 5532 (C) | 48070 |
|  | M2 |  |  | 624 | 15483 |  |  | 156(C) | 4134 |
| Laberge ( 50 m ) | L2 | 82-06-19 | 1054 |  | 686 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L2. | 82-08-24 | 33847 | 47 | 2291 | 94 |  | 47(P) |  |
|  | L2 | 82-10-16 | 2889 | 29 | 2024 | 15 |  | 285(P) |  |
|  | L5 | 82-06-19 | 444 |  | 854 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L5 | 82-08-24 | 29735 | 190 | 5890 |  |  | 475(P) |  |
|  | L5 | 82-10-16 | 2385 |  | 2170 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L6 | 82-08-24 | 165533 |  | 4624 | 5 |  | 53(P) |  |
|  | L6 | 82-10-16 | 2633 |  | 1841 | 1105 |  |  |  |



Canadắ


[^0]:    $\mathrm{L}=$ Length.
    W - Width.
    D - Depth.

