CANADA. INLAND WATERS DIrectorate Scientipic Series

Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Conservation and Protection

Conservation et Protection

# Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Trifluralin

SEP 28 1992

R.A. Kent, M. Taché, P.-Y. Caux and B.D. Pauli









SCIENTIFIC SERIES NO. 190

ECOSYSTEM SCIENCES AND EVALUATION DIRECTORATE ECO-HEALTH BRANCH OTTAWA, ONTARIO, 1992

(Disponible en français sur demande)

Canadä<sup>\*</sup>

GB 707 C335 no. 190E c.1



Conservation and Protection

Environnement Canada

nd Co

Conservation et Protection

# Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Trifluralin

R.A. Kent, M. Taché, P.-Y. Caux and B.D. Pauli

First draft prepared under contract by:

D.M. Trotter and J. Gareau Monenco Consulting Ltd. Calgary, Alberta

Note: Effective April 1, 1992, The Inland Waters Directorate became the Ecosystem Sciences and Evaluation Directorate.

**SCIENTIFIC SERIES NO. 190** 

ECOSYSTEM SCIENCES AND EVALUATION DIRECTORATE ECO-HEALTH BRANCH OTTAWA, ONTARIO, 1992

(Disponible en français sur demande)



Printed on paper that contains recovered waste

Published by authority of the Minister of the Environment

©Minister of Supply and Services Canada 1992 Cat. No. En 36-502/190E ISBN 0-662-19348-2

## Contents

| <b>\</b>  |           |           |         | rage |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|------|
|   |           |           |         |      |
|   | •         |           | ٠,      |      |
| ABSTRACT  |           |           |         | v    |
|   |           | 7         |         |      |
| RÉSUMÉ  |           |           |         | v    |
|   |           |           |         |      |
| SOURCES, OCCURRENCE, AND CHARACTERISTICS          |           |           |         | 1    |
| Uses and production                               |           |           |         | 1    |
| Physical and chemical characteristics             |           |           |         | 1    |
| Methods of analysis                               |           |           |         | 2    |
| Mode of action                                    |           |           |         | 2    |
| Entry into the environment                        | • • • •   | • • • • • | ••••    | 2    |
| Environmental concentrations                      |           | · · · · · |         | . 3  |
| Environmental fate, persistence, and degradation  | • • • •   |           | • • • • | . 4  |
| Soil  | • • • •   |           |         | . 4  |
| Volatilization                                    | • • • •   | • • • • • | • • • • | . 4  |
| Photolygia  | • • • •   | • • • • • | • • • • | . 5  |
| Photolysis  | • • • •   | • • • • • | • • • • | . 6  |
| Microbial degradation                             | • • • •   | • • • • • |         | . 7  |
| Water   |           |           |         | . 8  |
| DATIONALE   |           |           |         |      |
| RATIONALE   | • • • • • |           |         | . 9  |
| Raw water for drinking water supply               |           |           |         | . 9  |
| Concentrations in drinking water                  |           | : .       |         | . 9  |
| Removal by water treatment operations             |           |           |         | . 9  |
| Guideline   |           |           |         | . 9  |
| Freshwater aquatic life                           |           |           |         | . 9  |
| Bioaccumulation                                   |           |           |         | . 9  |
| Acute toxicity to aquatic organisms               |           |           |         | 10   |
| Chronic toxicity and sublethal reactions          |           |           |         | 11   |
| Community studies                                 |           | ,         | • • • • | 11   |
| Guideline   | • • • •   | • • • • • |         | 12   |
| Agricultural water supply                         | • • • •   |           |         | 12   |
| Livestock watering                                | • • • •   | • • • •   | • • • • | 12   |
| Acute toxicity                                    | • • • •   | • • • •   | • • • • | 12   |
| Subacute and chronic toxicity                     | • • • •   | • • • •   | • • • • | 12   |
| Untake metabolism and climination                 |           | • • •.•   | • • • • | 13   |
| Uptake, metabolism, and elimination               | •. • • •  |           | • • • • |      |
| Carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, and teratogenicity | • • ;• •  |           | • • • • | 14   |
| Guideline   | • • • •   | • • • •   | • • • • | 15   |
| Irrigation  |           | • • • •   |         |      |
| Toxicity to nontarget plant species               |           |           |         | 15   |
| Guideline   |           |           |         | 15   |
| Recreational water quality and aesthetics         |           |           |         | 16   |
| Organoleptic effects                              |           |           |         | 16   |
| Guideline   |           |           |         | 16   |
| Industrial water supplies                         |           |           |         | 16   |
| Guideline   |           |           |         | 16   |
|   | -         |           | - •     |      |

# **Contents (Continued)**

|                 |   |                                       |   | Page          |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| SUMMARY         | •               |                                       |   | 16            |
| ACKNOWLED       | AMENTS  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •         | 16            |
| REFERENCES      |   |                                       | • | 16            |
| APPENDIX A.     | Trifluralin residues in runof                         | f from agricultura                    | al land                                 | 23            |
| APPENDIX B.     | Environmental concentration surface water, groundwate |                                       |   | 29            |
| APPENDIX C.     | Summary of studies of trifle                          | uralin persistenc                     | e in soil                               | 35            |
| APPENDIX D.     | Observed or calculated triff aquatic biota            |                                       |   | 61            |
| APPENDIX E.     | Acute toxicity of trifluralin to                      | o aquatic organi                      | sms                                     | 67            |
| APPENDIX F.     | Chronic toxicity of trifluraling                      | to aquatic orga                       | nisms                                   | <del>77</del> |
| APPENDIX G.     | Summary of selected triflur                           | ralin phytotoxicity                   | / data                                  | 81            |
|                 |   |                                       |   |               |
| Tables          |   |                                       |   | *             |
| 1. Physical and | d chemical properties of trifle                       | uralin`                               |   | 2             |
| 2. Recommend    | ded water quality guidelines                          | for trifluralin                       |   | 16            |
|                 |   |                                       |   |               |
| Illustrati      | on  |                                       |   |               |
| <b></b>         |   |                                       |   |               |

### **Abstract**

A literature review was conducted on the uses, fate, and effects of trifluralin on raw water for drinking water supply, freshwater aquatic life, agricultural uses, recreational water quality and aesthetics, and industrial water supplies. The information is summarized in this publication. From it, water quality guidelines for the protection of specific water uses are recommended.

### Résumé

On a examiné la documentation relative à utilisation, au devenir et aux effets de la trifluraline sur l'eau brute utilisée comme eau potable, sur la vie aquatique en eau douce, sur l'utilisation de l'eau pour l'agriculture, sur la qualité et les aspects esthétiques des eaux récréatives, ainsi que sur les approvisionnements en eau industrielle. Ces informations sont résumées dans cette publication. À partir de celles-ci, on recommande des concentrations limites de trifluraline afin de protéger ces diverses utilisations de l'eau.

### Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Trifluralin

R.A. Kent, M. Taché, P.-Y. Caux, and B.D. Pauli

## SOURCES, OCCURRENCE, AND CHARACTERISTICS

#### **Uses and Production**

Trifluralin, the common name for  $\alpha,\alpha,\alpha,\alpha$ -trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N,N-dipropyl-p-toluidine (IUPAC) or 2,6-N,N-dipropyl-4-trifluoromethylaniline (CAS) is an orange crystalline solid compound with a molecular formula of  $C_{13}H_{16}F_3N_3O_4$  and a molecular weight of 335.5 (Worthing and Walker 1987). The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number is 1582-09-8. Trade names for trifluralin and its various formulations registered in Canada include Treflan, Triflurex, Co-op Garden Weed Preventer, Heritage Selective Granular Herbicide, Rival, and Fortress (Agriculture Canada 1989).

Trifluralin is available as 400, 450, 500, and 545 g.L.1 active ingredient (ai) emulsifiable concentrates, 1.47%, 4%, 5%, and 10% at granules, and 95%-96% ai technical product (Agriculture Canada 1989). It is used to control a wide range of annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in soybeans, dry beans (white or kidney), faba beans, snapbeans, lima beans, black beans, canola (rapeseed), triazine-tolerant canola, sunflowers, turnips, peas (field and canning). and direct seeded alfalfa; transplants of tomatoes. peppers, brussels sprouts, broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower; carrots, crambe, direct seeded cabbage and cauliflower, annual flowers, woody nursery stock, perennials, and established shelterbelts (Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food 1989). Trifluralin is usually preplant incorporated because of its volatility (Maguire et al. 1988) and has very little activity after emergence (Worthing and Walker 1987). For effective result, soil incorporation concentrations may range from 0.5 to 1.0 kg-ai ha<sup>-1</sup> (Worthing and Walker 1987).

Trifluralin is not manufactured in Canada and was first registered in 1965 (Agriculture Canada 1989). Reported imports of trifluralin-formulated herbicides and other pesticide and non-pesticide toluidine isomer derivatives amounted to 7542, 3560, 6621, and 4801 t for 1984, 1985, 1986, and 1987, respectively (Statistics

Canada 1988). These quantities, however, refer to the mass of the formulated product (which includes the active ingredient) and likely contain solvents and additives (e.g., surfactants); the formulations may also consist of secondary pesticide active ingredients. In addition, there are often several categories under which a product could potentially be classified. Therefore, a single category (e.g., formulated herbicides) may not reflect the total importation of a particular pesticide (Statistics Canada 1988).

#### Physical and Chemical Characteristics

The structural formula for trifluralin is presented in Figure 1. Selected physical and chemical properties of trifluralin are presented in Table 1. There appears to be wide disagreement on trifluralin water solubility in the literature with reported values ranging from 0.05 to 4 mg L-1. This variation could be due to the use of different temperatures and to the method by which the values were generated, i.e., whether these were measured or calculated. Discrepancies in the values obtained for the sediment/water distribution coefficient  $(K_{oc})$  may be due to the units of the  $K_d$  values that were used to calculate K<sub>sc</sub> (B.T. Bowman 1990, Agriculture Canada, London, Ont., pers. com.). K<sub>oc</sub> = K₂/F∞ where K₂ is the soil/water partition coefficient and F<sub>sc</sub> is the organic carbon fraction. Whether K<sub>d</sub> was derived from linear\_adsorption isotherms or from the Freundlich isotherm can significantly alter the magnitude of the K, (B.T. Bowman 1990, Agriculture Canada, London, Ont., pers. com.).

Figure 1. Structural formula for trifluralin.

Table 1. Physical and Chemical Properties of Trifluralin

| Chemical formula  | $C_{13}H_{16}F_3N_3O_4^{(1)}$   |
|---|---|
| Molecular weight  | 335.5 <sup>(1)</sup>  |
| Physical state  | Orange, crystalline solid <sup>(1)</sup>  |
| Henry's law constant  | 4.02 Pa m³ mol¹ (at 20°C)(2)  |
| Melting point   | 48.5°C-49°C <sup>(3)</sup><br>46°C-47°C <sup>(2)</sup>  |
| Boiling point   | 139°C-140°C at 4.2 mm Hg <sup>(3)</sup>   |
| Vapour pressure   | 2.93 x 10 <sup>3</sup> Pa at 25°C <sup>(7)</sup> 2.62 x 10 <sup>2</sup> Pa at 29.5°C <sup>(3)</sup> 6.46 x 10 <sup>3</sup> Pa at 20°C <sup>(2)</sup> 3.23 x 10 <sup>2</sup> Pa at 30°C <sup>(2)</sup>   |
| Octanol/water partition coefficient log (K <sub>ow</sub> )    | 1149 <sup>(2)</sup><br>66007 <sup>(6)</sup><br>112030 <sup>(6)</sup><br>218080 <sup>(6)</sup><br>190060 <sup>(7)</sup>  |
| Sediment/water distribution coefficient log (K <sub>w</sub> ) | 13700 <sup>(7)</sup><br>7340 <sup>(7)</sup><br>17750 <sup>(7)</sup>   |
| Solubility: water   | 4 mg L <sup>-1</sup> at 27°C <sup>(3)</sup> 1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> (temp. NR) <sup>(4)</sup> 0.05 mg L <sup>-1</sup> (temp. NR) <sup>(5)(7)</sup> <1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> at 27°C <sup>(1)</sup> 0.3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> (temp. NR) <sup>(8)</sup> |
| acetone   | 400 g L <sup>-1(1)</sup>  |
| xylene  | 580 g.L <sup>-1(1)</sup>  |
| Elemental analysis  | C, 46.57%; H, 4.81%; F, 17.00%; N, 12.53%; O, 19.09% <sup>(6)</sup>   |
| Half-life in topsoil  | 19-450 d <sup>(9)</sup>   |

Worthing and Walker, 1987.

<sup>2</sup>Suntio et al. 1988. <sup>3</sup>Verschueren 1983. <sup>4</sup>Windholz et al. 1983. <sup>6</sup>Newsome, Lipnick, and Johnson 1984. <sup>7</sup>Huckins, Petty, and England 1986.

Grover, Smith, et al. 1988. U.S. EPA 1984.

Poe et al. 1988.

NR = not reported

#### **Methods of Analysis**

The method of analysis for trifluralin is by gas liquid chromatography (GLC) or by colorimetry; residues are determined by GLC with an electron capture detector (ECD) (Worthing and Walker 1987). Detection limits are in the order of 0.003 µg·L¹ in surface waters. Air, soil, water, and biota analytical procedures for trifluralin residues are available (Grover and Kerr 1981; Grover, Smith, *et al.* 1988; Lee and Chau 1983; Spacie and Hamelink 1979; Camper, Stralka, and Skipper 1989).

#### **Mode of Action**

Trifluralin appears to act as a mitotic poison affecting root growth (Ashton and Crafts 1973; Probst, Golab, and Wright 1975; Poe et al. 1988). Various

cytological studies have established that trifluralin arrests mitosis by inhibiting the polymerization of tubulin, which is necessary for the formation of microtubules in the mitotic spindle apparatus (Vaughn and Vaughn 1986; DiTomaso 1988). The concentrations reported for disruption of the mitotic sequence is in the range of 1-4 μM (335.5-1342 μg L<sup>-1</sup>) trifluralin for (Triticum root meristems of wheat aestivum) (Lignowski and Scott 1972) and 0.3 µM (100 µg L-1) for the liquid endosperm of an African blood lilv (Jackson and Stetler 1973). As trifluralin is primarily used as a soil-incorporated herbicide, its target is the plant root system, especially the lateral roots (Bayer et al. 1967: Mitchell and Bourland 1986; Cranfill and Rhodes 1987: Sparchez et al. 1987). Trifluralin may also affect other metabolic reactions, such as lipid synthesis, on a plant-specific basis (Sparchez et al. 1987).

At the molecular level, trifluralin is known to inhibit chloroplast electron transport reactions (Moreland et al. 1969). Further investigations into the macromolecular effects of trifluralin indicate that its effect on electron transport may be the result of the partitioning of the trifluralin molecule into the inner membrane lipid and the subsequent alteration of membrane fluidity, alignment of electron transport components, and lipid bilayer modulation (Moreland and Huber 1979). Other studies indicate that trifluralin inhibits energy-dependent calcium uptake in plant mitochondria at concentrations less than those interfering with tubulin polymerization (Hertel and Marmé 1983).

#### **Entry into the Environment**

After application to soil, trifluralin has the potential to leave the site and disperse in the environment. Because it is a relatively volatile compound, volatilization is a major dissipation pathway. Trifluralin has been found to occur in the air at concentrations as high as 160 ng m³ in regions of Canada where it is extensively used. The occurrence of trifluralin in air generally follows the seasonal use patterns for this herbicide, although soil moisture and rainfall events can also influence the timing and concentrations in the air (Grover, Kerr, et al. 1988).

Another transport pathway allowing trifluralin dispersion in the environment is surface water runoff from treated fields (Willis, Rogers, and Southwick 1975). A summary of trifluralin concentrations in runoff water from treated fields is presented in Table A-1. Trifluralin is expected to exhibit minimal movement

from soils because of its low solubility and strong adsorption to soil (Helling and Turner 1968; Helling 1971), and as a result, low concentrations are found in runoff water. This is generally true as trifluralin concentrations in runoff from treated fields typically range from below detection limits (approximately.  $0.01~\mu g L^{-1}$ ) to  $0.04~mg L^{-1}$  in bulk water samples (Axe, Mathers, and Weise 1969; Sheets, Bradley, and Jackson 1973; Willis, Rogers, and Southwick 1975; Wauchope 1978; Leonard, Langdale, and Fleming 1979; Rhode *et al.* 1980; Grover 1983; Willis *et al.* 1983). Trifluralin has been detected in runoff for as long as 12 months after application (Wauchope 1978).

Sediment is considered to be the primary transport vector for trifluralin as a result of erosion from treated fields. Although trifluralin and sediment yields have been found to be poorly correlated (r = 0.48) over several years, better correlations exist between these two variables when considering the data for individual storm events or on an annual basis (r = 0.74-0.99) (Willis et al. 1983). As much as 84% of the trifluralin in runoff may occur in the sediment phase (Sheets, Bradley, and Jackson 1973; Wauchope, Savage, and Chandler 1977). One report stated, however, that only 15% of the total trifluralin occurred in the sediment phase (Leonard, Langdale, and Fleming 1979). Although herbicide concentrations, in general, can be 2-3 orders of magnitude higher in sediments than in the sediment-associated water, because sediment is usually a small fraction of the total runoff, most of the herbicide loss occurs in the runoff water (Wauchope 1978).

Trifluralin concentrations in runoff water are decreased by incorporation of the herbicide into soil (Leonard, Langdale, and Fleming 1979). Trifluralin concentrations in runoff are usually highest after the first post-application rainfall or irrigation event and decrease afterward (Grover 1983). The decrease of trifluralin in runoff water with time, described as both gradual (Sheets, Bradley, and Jackson 1973) and exponential (Leonard, Langdale, and Fleming 1979), reflects the decrease in herbicide concentration in the runoff-active zone at the soil surface. Trifluralin concentrations in subsurface or phreatic runoff have been reported to contain concentrations of trifluralin 2 orders of magnitude lower than the corresponding surface runoff (Rhode et al. 1980).

Factors such as the slope of the land, soil, and rainfall apparently all contribute to the final concentration of trifluralin in runoff water (Leonard, Langdale,

and Fleming 1979). Edge-of-field trifluralin concentrations in runoff are reduced by dilution in receiving waters and by adsorption to stream sediments, untreated soils, or vegetation surfaces (Wauchope 1978; Grover 1983). Crop type does not appear to affect the runoff concentration of trifluralin (Willis, Rogers, and Southwick 1975), however, the tillage practice does, as shall be discussed later.

#### **Environmental Concentrations**

A summary of trifluralin concentrations in Canadian surface waters, groundwater, sediment, and biota is presented in Table B-1. Trifluralin concentrations in streams in areas where the herbicide is used range from 0 to 1.8 μg·L<sup>-1</sup> and are frequently below detection limits. The lowest detection limit reported was 3 ng·L<sup>-1</sup> (Williamson 1984; Waite *et al.* 1986; Muir and Grift 1987; Therrien-Richards and Williamson 1987).

The concentration of trifluralin in surface waters has been found to follow a biannual pattern: increases occur during spring runoff and then again during autumn rains when erosion is expected to be greatest, particularly on the prairies (Williamson 1984). Increased concentrations in surface waters, however, are also reported to result from the deposition of trifluralin vapours or dust particles with adsorbed trifluralin from neighbouring applications (Muir and Grift 1987).

Nondetectable surface water residues in areas of the country during the normal spring application period were suggested to be the result of lower than normal precipitation (Therrien-Richards and Williamson 1987).

Because of the very low water solubility of trifluralin, contamination of groundwater is not expected to occur by leaching within the soil column. Contamination is more likely to occur by direct deposition or surface water runoff into wells. A survey of 91 farm wells across southern Ontario found trifluralin contamination at 41  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> in one well. This well was 1 of 14 from which water was drawn for pesticide formulating and spraying, and contamination was thought to have occurred during filling of the spray tanks (Frank et al. 1987).

The U.S. national water quality monitoring data base, STORET, contains trifluralin monitoring data from 511 selected sampling sites. Trifluralin concentrations in surface water ranged from 0.1 to 51 µg·L¹ (U.S. EPA 1984). The number of nondetectable versus

detectable trifluralin residues in the survey was not provided.

Slightly soluble pesticides such as trifluralin tend to be readily adsorbed and accumulated on bottom sediment and particulate matter (Therrien-Richards and Williamson 1987). Detectable levels of trifluralin, however, have been found in very few sediment samples. When detected, concentrations are typically higher than those in water on a weight or volume basis. Sediment trifluralin concentrations generally range from 4 to 6  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Therrien-Richards and Williamson 1987). Sediment concentrations of trifluralin in the United States ranged from 4 to 5000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> with an average of 115  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (U.S. EPA 1984).

#### **Environmental Fate, Persistence, and Degradation**

Fate, persistence, and degradation are highly dependent on the peculiarities of chemical molecules. The dinitro functional group of trifluralin and other dinitroaniline herbicides extensively decreases the molecules' water solubilities as these make hydrogen bonds with alkyl groups of surrounding molecules. This has the effect of forming lipophilic micelles resisting solvation into water (Weber 1987). It has been suggested that the binding of dinitroanilines is due to hydrogen bonds between the nitro groups and proteinaceous sites in soil organic matter and/or charge transfer bonds between high charge density aromatic rings in soil humic substances and the low charge density aromatic rings of the dinitroanilines (Weber 1987).

Soil

The persistence of trifluralin in soil depends on the biotic and abiotic characteristics of the soil and the behaviour of the herbicide in the soil. A summary of selected soil persistence studies is presented in Table C-1. Investigations in Canada and the United States have demonstrated that the data vary widely due to the uniqueness of the locations and of the experimental conditions used by the different analysts. Generally, cool, dry climates favour greater persistence than warmer, more moist conditions (Jensen, Ivany, and Kimball 1983; Weber 1990). Decreased persistence has been observed with increasing temperature (Horowitz, Hulin, and Blumenfeld 1974; Smith 1975; Duseia 1982) and increasing available moisture (Smith 1975; Savage 1978; Duseja 1982; Pchajek, Morrison, and Webster 1983). The absence of a soil microbial community also appeared to increase persistence in soils (Mostafa et al. 1982).

Trifluralin soil half-life values for Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island are reported to range from 140 to 164 d in sandy loam soils (Jensen, Ivany, and Kimball 1983) and can be as low as 63 to 77 d for southwestern Ontario (Gaynor 1985). Within regions of similar climate, seasonal differences would be expected to influence persistence. Trifluralin applications in the fall would be expected to persist longer than spring applications (Pchajek, Morrison, and Webster 1983) due to the supression of biological and chemical activity in frozen ground. Overwinter losses of trifluralin applied in the fall, however, may be as high as 38% (Jensen and Kimball 1980).

Soil residue carry-over has been observed to be as high as 47% in Nova Scotia and 38% in Saskatchewan after 1 year (Smith and Hayden 1976; Jensen and Kimball 1980) and 16% in Saskatchewan after 1.5 years (Smith 1975; Smith and Hayden 1976). Carry-over of phytotoxic levels (concentrations not reported) after 1 or more years has been reported in Ontario (Gaynor 1985). In the cooler climate of Alaska, 26% to 51% of trifluralin applied in the spring at 1.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found at the end of the growing season. Only a small quantity (10%) of that found at the end of the growing season was lost during the winter. Differences in trifluralin persistence in the Alaskan studies were not related to the application rate or soil type (Conn and Knight 1984).

Studies conducted in the warmer climates of Tennessee (Duseja, Akunuri, and Holmes 1980) and Texas (Menges and Hubbard 1970) have reported half-year values of 1%-3%. Little evidence for accumulation of trifluralin in U.S. soils, even after repeated applications, has been reported (Parka and Tepe 1969; Burnside 1974; Miller et al. 1978).

Trifluralin is usually applied preplant and soil incorporated and is strongly adsorbed to soil particles, especially in those soils with high organic matter content (Eshel and Warren 1966; Webster *et al.* 1978; Grover, Banting, and Morse 1979; Bush, Abernathy, and Gipson 1982). Increasing persistence has been reported to be associated with increased soil organic matter (Bardsley, Savage, and Childers 1967). This correlation, however, is variable. Weber (1990) stated that this variation may be due to the high variation in the literature-reported K<sub>oc</sub> values. Soils having organic matter contents below about 4% have been reported to show an increase in trifluralin persistence or a lack of any relationship (Smith 1975; Smith and Hayden 1976; Duseja and Holmes 1978; Duseja, Akuniri, and

Holmes 1980; Jensen and Kimball 1980; Solbakken et al. 1982; Jensen, Ivany, and Kimball 1983). Above approximately 4.0% organic matter, Smith (1975) and Smith and Hayden (1976) found increasing trifluralin persistence with increasing organic matter. Similar results with soils increasing in organic matter from 2.9% to 8.8% were reported by Webster et al. (1978). The evidence from the compiled literature supports a positive relationship between soil organic matter and persistence when soil organic matter exceeds 4%. Experiments with various adsorbents ranging from kaolinite and montmorillonite clays to activated charcoal demonstrated strong trifluralin adsorption onto hydrophobic adsorbents such as charcoal, peat moss. and cellulose triacetate (Grover 1974). Trifluralin adsorption to clays is weak and it is easily desorbed in the presence of water. The non-ionic nature of trifluralin suggests that soil pH would have minimal effects on adsorption (Hollist and Foy 1971).

The trifluralin pattern of degradation has been described as typical of first-order rate kinetics (Zimdahl and Gwynn 1977; Webster et al. 1978; Golab, Althaus. and Wooten 1979; Duseja, Akunuri, and Holmes 1980; Gaynor 1985; Smith, Aubin, and Derksen 1988). Strict first-order rate kinetics, however, tends to underestimate the initial rapid degradation phase of trifluraling in soil and overestimate the second, slower phase (Reyes and Zimdahl 1989). Field studies of trifluralin degradation at four locations in Colorado in June produced data, which, when described mathematically, produced a biexponential equation. This equation resulted from the integration of first-order and secondorder differential rate equations and described the observed field degradation of trifluralin at 15 of 25 soil-site combinations better than strict first-order rate kinetics (Reyes and Zimdahl 1989).

Large-scale field trials in southern Saskatchewan demonstrated three distinct phases in the dissipation of trifluralin from soil. Initially there is a rapid dissipation phase, lasting about 1 week, with vapour loss being the major route, especially under moist soil conditions. A second, slow dissipation phase, lasting over the entire growing season, occurs as the result of a combination of volatilization, adsorption, and microbial degradation. The third or no dissipation/breakdown phase follows soil freezing in the fall and lasts to the spring thaw under typical Canadian prairie conditions. Gross dissipation of trifluralin during phases one and two follows a first-order rate of reaction with a half-life of approximately 99 d (Grover et al. 1988).

The various processes governing the persistence and fate of trifluralin in the environment include volatilization, photodegradation, and microbial degradation. Chemical hydrolysis is not considered important in the fate of trifluralin as it is stable at pH 3, 6, and 9 (U.S. EPA 1987a).

#### Volatilization

Volatilization can be an extremely important factor in the loss of trifluralin from soil. Under some circumstances, the vapours leaving the application site can be of sufficient concentration to kill or injure nearby seedlings (Swann and Behrens 1972a, 1972b). The rate of loss depends on the amount of trifluraling applied to the surface of a moist soil and decreases in proportion to the inverse square root of the hours of daylight post-application (Glotfelty et al. 1984). This relationship exists because soil temperature, as controlled by solar insolation, is a major factor in the diffusion-controlled volatilization of trifluralin from moist soils. The report by Roggenbuck and Penner (1987) concluded that at 15°C, an application of 0.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> trifluralin reduced shoot and root fresh and dry weights and shoot length in com (Zea mays) seedlings to a much greater extent than at 25°C. The increased temperature apparently allowed extensive volatilization to occur thus reducing seedling injury. Volatilization of surface-applied trifluralin may be extensive. Laboratory chamber studies of volatilization showed rates of 1.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for trifluralin applied at 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to bare soil at 35°C. Moisture was maintained in the soil for the 154-d test period. Volatilization increased 1.8 times for each 10°C temperature increase (Nash and Gish 1989). During a field study, 2.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) volatilized from a moist soil with a temperature of 19°C and a wind speed at 1 m height of 5 m s<sup>-1</sup> during the period immediately following the application of 2.8 kg ha 1 trifluralin (Glotfelty et al. 1984).

Soil organic matter is also a factor in trifluralin volatilization; stronger adsorption restricts vapour loss. In a laboratory study, vapour densities of 3.19, 1.73, and 0.62 µg L <sup>1</sup> corresponded to soil organic matter contents of 0.20%, 0.58%, and 1.62%, respectively (Spencer and Cliath 1974). Soil moisture itself, however, is probably the major factor in trifluralin volatilization (Ketchersid, Bovey, and Merkle 1969; Harper *et al.* 1976; Grover 1983). Moist soil allows trifluralin vapour loss as the soil moisture competes with trifluralin for adsorption sites on the organic matter fraction. Thus, relatively small amounts of

moisture, such as that present in dew, may greatly enhance trifluralin volatilization (Glotfelty et al. 1984).

Air samples collected at Regina, Saskatchewan, at six heights (ranging from 30 to 200 cm) above the soil surface after trifluralin (0.74 kg·a<sup>-1</sup>) incorporation into the soil (5 cm) and then above the crop canopy following emergence 67 d after application, showed distinct gradients of trifluralin vapours in the air. The highest trifluralin air concentrations occurred closest to the ground. The highest flux rate for trifluralin was 3 g·ha<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> during the 4- to 6-h period after application when the concentration at 30 cm above ground was 1700 ng·m<sup>-3</sup>. The flux of trifluralin decreased with time and was dependent on soil moisture conditions. Total trifluralin vapour loss from the 67-d period was 23.7% (Grover et al. 1988).

Incorporation of trifluralin into the soil may retard, but will not eliminate, loss by volatilization. A 21-d half-life was reported for trifluralin incorporated into 2.5 cm in a Texas soil. Surface application to soil, without incorporation, can lower the half-life to between 1 and 18 h due to volatilization (Glotfelty et al. 1984) and possibly photodegradation. A trifluralin application of 1.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> incorporated to a depth of 7.5 cm decreased volatilization loss to 1.65% compared to 10.7% for that applied to the surface of the soil (Oliver 1979). Other studies that appear to show reduced volatilization with soil incorporation are complicated by differences in experimental conditions. A 2.5-cm incorportion depth resulted in a 22% volatilization loss in 120 d (White et al. 1977). The soil used in this study was a Georgia sandy loam with low (0.55%) organic matter content. By contrast, trifluralin incorporated to 7.5 cm in a heavier textured New York loam soil with 3%-4% organic matter produced only 3.4% volatilization loss in 90 d (Taylor 1978). The differences in soils and weather between the two experiments, however, account for the marked differences in volatilization losses, not the depth of incorporation (Taylor 1978).

Conventional tillage practices using a moldboard plow may "dilute" trifluralin concentrations if the depth of disturbance is below the trifluralin incorporation depth thus reducing persistence (Hartzler, Fawcett, and Owen 1989).

An attempt to simulate the environmental partitioning and fate of <sup>14</sup>C-trifluralin was conducted by applying the herbicide as a foliar spray to a terrestrial microcosm chamber at 0.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Trifluralin is not normally applied to foliage because of its high volatility

and susceptibility to photodecomposition. Accordingly, 62% of the total applied radioactivity in the air of the microcosm was found after 19 d. The plants in the microcosm accounted for 21% of the residual radioactivity while the soil contained 15% (Gile, Collins, and Gillet 1980).

#### **Photolysis**

Once released into the atmosphere, trifluralin is known to undergo vapour phase photochemical transformation. These transformations have been studied in the laboratory and confirmed by field sampling. One pathway results in the N-dealkylation of one or both Npropyl groups ultimately ending in 2,6-dinitro- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ trifluoro-p-toluidine. A second pathway involves the internal condensation between one of the N-propyl side chains and one of the nitro groups. Final dealkylation of the other N-propyl side chain produces 2ethyl-7-nitro-5-trifluoromethyl benzimidazole (Moilanen and Crosby 1975). In addition, dimerization of photochemically transformed trifluralin molecules and the subsequent photodegradation of the dimer produces at least two types of azobenzene and three types of azoxybenzene derivatives (Sullivan, Knoche, and Markle 1980).

The rates of photochemical transformation appear to be rapid, at least in the initial stages. The half-life for the photodegradation of vapour-phase trifluralin to a dealkylated product was 20 min during summer field studies (relative humidity 20%—30%, air temperature 20°C—30°C) (Woodrow et al. 1978). This half-life increased to 193 min in the fall under similar conditions of relative humidity and air temperature, but reduced daylight. These photochemical reaction rates are consistent with results obtained in laboratory studies (Woodrow et al. 1978). Other photolysis chamber studies derived half-lives ranging from 19 to 74 min in natural sunlight (Mongar and Miller 1988).

While these studies discuss vapour phase transformations, which might be expected in the air or on the surface of vegetation, little is known concerning the potential for trifluralin photodegradation on soil. One study reported that photodecomposition of trifluralin on soil particles did not occur during 9 h of exposure to natural sunlight (Plimmer 1978). Trifluralin exposed to sunlight on a soil surface at 0.07–0.28 kg ha for a period of 2 h had substantially reduced herbicidal activity measured by bioassay in comparison to unexposed trifluralin. Activity was reduced further with longer exposures, but the rate at which

herbicidal activity decreased slowed (Wright and Warren 1965). Dry soil thin layer plates, where trifluralin was applied at 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and exposed to natural sunlight for 7 d, exhibited a loss of 18.4% compared to dark controls (Parochetti and Dec 1978).

The similarity of trifluralin photoproducts from soil suspensions and in water alone suggests that trifluralin photodecomposition in moist soils occurs in homogenous solution with the rate dependent upon the equilibrium between soil adsorbed and dissolved trifluralin (Crosby and Leitis 1973).

#### Microbial Degradation

Trifluralin is degraded by soil microorganisms *via* aerobic and anaerobic pathways. Aerobic biodegradation usually involves a series of oxidative dealkylation steps, whereas anaerobic conditions generally result in the reduction of the nitro groups. Both biodegradation systems may occur in the same field soil (Camper, Stralka, and Skipper 1980; Zeyer and Kearney 1983). Relative rates of biodegradation are dependent on environmental moisture and oxygen conditions and are greatest in moist anaerobic conditions followed by flooded anaerobic and finally moist aerobic (Parr and Smith 1973; Junk, Richard, and Dahim 1984).

Laboratory biodegradation studies have demonstrated the potential for soil microbes to degrade trifluralin. Rapid biodegradation of tritiated trifluralin by pure cultures of three fungal species common in Egyptian soil was reported by Zayed et al. (1983). Approximately 91%–97% biodegradation was reported in the pure fungal cultures after 10 d at 25°C when incubated in the dark. It is noteworthy that the volatilization of trifluralin from the liquid culture media was not monitored. This may have contributed to the biodegradation.

Trifluralin containing <sup>14</sup>C-labelled propyl groups, <sup>14</sup>C-labelled ring carbons, or a <sup>14</sup>C-labelled CF<sub>3</sub> group was used by Zeyer and Kearney (1983) to monitor trifluralin biodegradation by pure strains and mixed cultures of soil microorganisms. Of the 180 strains of soil microorganisms tested, only 60 strains evolved <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> ranging from 1.5% to 11% of the added trifluralin within 21 d under dark, aerobic conditions at 26°C. The medium supporting the microbial growth contained carbon sources other than trifluralin. None of the 60 strains was able to grow with trifluralin as the sole carbon source. The amount of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from mixed cultures never exceeded 1.6% of the trifluralin

added. The slow liberation of 14CO, by the mixed microbial population probably resulted from slow degradation and high adsorption to particulates of the 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> trifluralin added (Zeyer and Kearney 1983). The lack of substantial trifluralin biodegradation in soils is supported by laboratory studies of 14C-trifluralin biodegradation in estuarine sediments. The release of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> could not be identified from sediments placed in plastic cylinders and monitored over a 100-h period (Spain and van Veld 1983). By contrast, Means, Wijayaratne, and Boynton (1983) produced a half-life of 9 d for trifluralin in estuarine sediments in outdoor microcosms. Some of the conflicting results of trifluralin degradation in soils and sediments may be due to differences in the redox potential of the soil/sediments. This parameter substantially affects trifluralin degradation. At a redox potential of +150 mV. about 60% of trifluralin, initially present at 1 µg·g<sup>-1</sup> in a soil suspension, remained after 21 d. Reducing the redox potential to +50 mV caused almost all the trifluralin to disappear in 8 d (Willis, Wander, and Southwick 1974). Similar results were obtained with trifluralin in a sediment slurry (Walker et al. 1988).

Extractable transformation products or metabolites of trifluralin have also been detected in soil at 4% of applied trifluralin levels, but evidence of their accumulation in soil was not found (Golab and Amundson 1975; Golab, Althaus, and Wooten 1979). As many as 28 identifiable and 4 unidentified metabolites appear to undergo further changes leading to complete mineralization. The total number of metabolites formed is not considered to be dependent on soil conditions. The metabolites have been proven to be less phytotoxic than the parent compound (Koskinen et al. 1986).

Proposed metabolic pathways for trifluralin biodegradation include mono- and di-dealkylation of Nalkyl substituents, reduction of nitro groups (the two major pathways), oxidation, hydrolysis, internal condensation, hydroxylation, dimeric condensation, and combinations of these processes (Golab and Amundson 1975; Golab, Althaus, and Wooten 1979; Camper, Stralka, and Skipper 1980; Mostafa et al. 1982; Zayed et al. 1983; Zeyer and Kearney 1983). As much as 50% of the total extractable metabolites is represented by polar condensation of aromatic amines which are formed by nitro group reduction (Mostafa et al. 1982; Zayed et al. 1983). Unlike the total number of metabolites, the number of these polar metabolites appears to be dependent on the soil texture and the number of soil microbes present during biodegradation

(Mostafa et al. 1982). Aromatic hydroxylation may aid in the cleavage of the ring eventually leading to the mineralization of these metabolites in the soil (Golab, Althaus, and Wooten 1979).

Trifluralin biodegradation also results in the formation of considerable quantities of soil-bound, nonextractable metabolites, which remain in the soil organic fraction (Golab and Amundson 1975). An extractable metabolite  $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$ -trifluorotoluene-3,4,5triamine) is considered to be a key metabolite in the formation of soil-bound, nonextractable residues (Golab, Althaus, and Wooten 1979). One year after trifluralin application, these residues were found to represent 43%-50% of the initial trifluralin levels (Golab and Amundson 1975; Golab, Althaus, and Wooten 1979). In another study, the nonextractable metabolites represented 45% and 72% of the originally applied trifluralin after 68 and 63 d, respectively (Wheeler et al. 1979). As much as 38% of the initial trifluralin application has been found to exist as soil-bound metabolites after 3 years (Golab and Amundson 1975; Wheeler et al. 1979).

Soil-bound residue concentrations are higher in soils with greater cation exchange capacity and percent organic carbon (% OC) (Wheeler et al. 1979). In a soil with higher organic matter content (3.87% OC), a strong relationship was found between the amount of binding and the substitution of the amino nitrogen of trifluralin and its metabolites (Wheeler et al. 1979). A reported higher percentage of soil-bound metabolites found in a sandy soil was attributed to the lower organic matter concentration and lower microbial density (Mostafa et al. 1982).

#### Water

Information concerning persistence of trifluralin in the aquatic ecosystem is mainly derived from microcosm studies. Trifluralin introduced as a single dose into artificial outdoor recirculating streams for a final concentration of 10 mg L<sup>1</sup> caused no detectable changes in stream periphyton community structure and exhibited a half-life of 51 minutes (Kosinski 1984). Although this short half-life was attributed to photodecomposition, the results were inconclusive.

After introduction into wetland microcosms, <sup>14</sup>C-trifluralin disappearance from the water column approximated the biphasic sediment adsorption kinetics. Volatilization and photodegradation were identified as

the major pathways for trifluralin removal from aquatic systems (Huckins, Petty, and England 1986). Volatilization of trifluralin from 310 mL of water (initial concentration <1 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>) in a laboratory chamber with an air flow of 20 L per minute at a temperature of 21°C–24°C was 100% after 24 h (Sanders and Seiber 1983).

A portion of the trifluralin lost from the water column to sediment adsorption is apparently returned to the water column in the form of more water-soluble degradation products (Huckins, Petty, and England 1986). Degradative mechanisms producing these more soluble degradation products were not discussed.

Karickhoff and Morris (1985) studied the effects of sediment-inhabiting oligochaete worms on trifluralin transport from the sediment to the water column using natural sediments (6–10 kg) equilibrated with 5–10 mg of trifluralin in approximately 20 L of distilled water. Approximately 1 kg of this trifluralin-containing sediment was transferred to microcosms with and without worms. The presence of worms dramatically altered the degradation of trifluralin producing rate constants of 0.2–0.4 d<sup>-1</sup>. The cause of the enhanced trifluralin degradation was not specifically discussed.

The photolysis of trifluralin in natural waters depends on water depth, the magnitude and spectral distribution of sunlight, and the molar extinction coefficient of trifluralin. Trifluralin absorbs sunlight strongly in the visible region (390–800 nm), thus as water depth increases, the photolysis rate decreases. In northern latitudes, depth dependence of photolysis becomes more pronounced as the underwater path length of direct sunlight becomes longer as the sun is lower in the sky (Zepp and Cline 1977). Canadian waters would, therefore, have a higher potential for longer trifluralin half-lives given comparable conditions of water quality and volatilization than more southerly waters.

Photodecomposition of trifluralin in water follows pathways and provides photoproducts similar to those observed in the vapour phase studies. The presence of a photosensitizer (methanol) increased the photolytic reaction rate about ten times the rate observed in water alone. The presence or absence of soil (50 g·L<sup>-1</sup>) apparently had little effect on photolytic rate. Photodecomposition was rapid at acid pH, but the rate declined sharply and the proportions of the photoproducts changed above pH 7.4 (Crosby and Leitis 1973).

#### **RATIONALE**

#### **Raw Water for Drinking Water Supply**

Concentrations in Drinking Water

Published measurements of trifluralin in treated water in Canada were not found.

Removal by Water Treatment Operations

Treatment technologies for the removal of trifluralin from water are available and have been reported to be effective. Available data indicate that reverse osmosis, granular activated carbon adsorption, and conventional water treatment with alum will remove trifluralin from water. Selection of individual or combinations of technologies for trifluralin removal from water, however, must be based on a case-by-case technical evaluation (U.S. EPA 1987b).

#### Guideline

An interim maximum acceptable concentration (IMAC) for trifluralin in drinking water of 45  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> has been proposed by Health and Welfare Canada (1987) as this herbicide is under review by this agency. The World Health Organization has established a drinking water quality guideline value of 170  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for trifluralin (WHO 1987).

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Drinking Water, issued a draft health advisory for trifluralin in August 1987. Health advisories describe nonregulatory concentrations of drinking water contaminants at which adverse health effects would not be anticipated to occur over specific exposure durations. Health advisories contain a margin of safety to protect sensitive members of the population. The 1-d, 10-d, 7-year, and lifetime exposure health advisories for trifluralin are 25, 25, 25, and 2 μg·L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (U.S. EPA 1987b).

#### Freshwater Aquatic Life

Bioaccumulation -

Observed or calculated bioconcentration factors (BCFs) for aquatic organisms are presented in Table D-1. Most of the data were obtained during microcosm studies in which <sup>14</sup>C-trifluralin was applied

to determine quantities of trifluralin in both water and tissue after specific periods of time. Generally, the water concentration of trifluralin in these microcosm studies was not stable whether the trifluralin entered the water as a result of desorption from treated soil in a static system or was continuously input to the microcosm with an automated dilution apparatus. Mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis) BCFs ranged from 750 to 3140 for water containing 0.2-0.9 µg L-1 trifluralin released from treated soil. Higher soil concentrations produced trifluralin water concentrations ranging from 3.4 to 9.1 µg L<sup>-1</sup> and from 36.9 to 160.1 µg L<sup>-1</sup> under static conditions. G. affinis BCFs under these conditions ranged from 300 to 1080 and from 70 to 1150, respectively (Yockim, Isensee, and Walker 1980). There seemed to be a tendency for the lower water concentrations to produce higher BCFs, but this was not discussed by the authors. Fish continually exposed to trifluralin doses for 30 d exhibited BCFs ranging from 1800 to 11 000 for water concentrations of 0.1-0.8 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, from 2080 to 5710 for water concentrations of 0.5-2.6 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, and from 1190 to 4050 for water concentrations of 9.3-29.8 µg L1 (Yockim, Isensee, and Walker 1980).

BCFs for the filamentous green alga *Oedogonium* cardiacum were generally in the 100 range for static microcosms regardless of water concentration. The same organism in continuously dosed microcosms had BCFs in the 1000 and 10 000 range. Snail BCFs in static microcosms generally ranged from 10 to 100, regardless of water concentrations. An increase of 1–2 orders of magnitude was observed in the microcosms receiving continuous trifluralin doses (Yockim, Isensee, and Walker 1980). These BCFs were based on the ratios of radioactive substances in tissues and water, and did not make the distinction between trifluralin and trifluralin metabolites.

A static microcosm system containing the same types of organisms was used by Kearney, Isensee, and Konston (1977). Trifluralin desorption from treated soil produced a 30-d average water concentration of 7.5 µg·L¹. BCFs for algae (276), snails (400), Daphnia (92), and fish (33) were also based on ¹⁴C activity in tissues and water. The actual identity of the compounds was unknown. Examination of water and fish extracts at the end of the experiment showed that trifluralin was not present; the ¹⁴C activity was entirely due to polar metabolites.

A somewhat more controlled laboratory method for determining trifluralin accumulation in fathead minnows

(Pimephales promelas) produced a BCF of 3261 for static conditions over a 40-h exposure to 20 µg L<sup>1</sup> (Spacie and Hamelink 1979). Trifluralin concentrations in the water were measured over the exposure period. The uptake of trifluralin from water was linear with a rate constant of 755.98 d<sup>-1</sup>. Transfer of fish to uncontaminated water resulted in first-order depuration with a rate constant of 0.23184 d1. Other BCF estimates given by Spacie and Hamelink (1979) for other fish species were 1030 (rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri), 1294 (mosquitofish, Gambusia affinis), 5800 (sauder. Stizostedion canadense), 2800 (shorthead redhorse, Moxostoma macrolepidotum), 1800 (golden redhorse, M. erythrurum), and 6000 (minnow, Notropis sp.). Continuous exposures of P. promelas to mean trifluralin concentrations of 5.1, 1.9, and 1.5 ug L1 for 425 d resulted in eviscerated carcass BCFs of 961, 1333, and 889, respectively (Macek et al. 1976).

Elimination of trifluralin from Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fry following an 11-h exposure to 0.5 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> followed first-order kinetics with a rate constant of 0.017 d<sup>-1</sup> resulting in a tissue half-life of 40.5 d (Wells and Cowan 1982).

Microcosms simulating a northern prairie wetland were exposed to 4 μg·L<sup>-1</sup> <sup>14</sup>C-trifluralin in a sediment water mixture by Huckins, Petty, and England (1986). Although trifluralin in the water column decreased below the minimum detectable limit of 0.5 μg·L<sup>-1</sup> after 7 d, residues in the form of <sup>14</sup>C degradation products were quantifiable at the end of the 6-week experimental period. *Daphnia* accumulated the highest concentration of <sup>14</sup>C-trifluralin/trifluralin metabolites (566 ng·g·<sup>1</sup>) of all the organisms in the microcosms. Midge larvae (*Chironomus riparius*) macrophytes and algae contained trifluralin/trifluralin metabolites in the range of 40 to 260 ng·g·<sup>1</sup> (Huckins, Petty, and England 1986). Calculation of BCFs from these data was not possible.

Information related to bioconcentration of trifluralin in terrestrial organisms was not found.

Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Organisms

A summary of the aquatic acute toxicity data for trifluralin is presented in Table E-1. The tests reported in this table were conducted with trifluralin dissolved in water with or without a solvent carrier. These tests did not consider the effect of suspended solids on trifluralin toxicity as the test procedures usually used filtered natural waters or dechlorinated tap water.

The vertebrate acute toxicity data base for trifluralin consists of fifty 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> values, two 48-h LC<sub>50</sub> values, and fifty-seven 96-h LC<sub>50</sub> values. Of the fifty-seven 96-h tests, two used the larval stage of an amphibian (tadpole) and the remainder were conducted with seven species of freshwater fish. Twenty-five tests used various life stages of the rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri). Hashimoto and Nishiuchi (1982) reported a 48-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 1.0 mg L<sup>1</sup> for the carp, Cyprinus carpio, and a 48-h LC<sub>50</sub> of 14 mg L<sup>1</sup> for the tadpole of the frog Bufo bufo japonicus. These tests were not presented in Table E-1 because details of the tests were not provided.

Acute toxicity studies by Mayer and Ellersieck (1986) using the rainbow trout ( $Salmo\ gairdneri$ ) have shown a decrease in the trifluralin  $LC_{50}$  (from 560 to 100  $\mu g \, L^{-1}$ ) with an increase in temperature of the bathing water, which is indicative of an increased metabolic rate.

Toxicity tests using trifluralin adsorbed onto soil, instead of dissolved in the water, required as much as 227 times the amount of trifluralin to produce 50% mortality among bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) (Parka and Worth 1965). They concluded that the possibility of acutely toxic quantities of trifluralin washing into an aquatic environment from an adjacent treated field is remote. Using their LC<sub>50</sub> value for trifluralin adsorbed to soil, Parka and Worth (1965) calculated that over 13 million kg of soil treated with 0.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> would have to wash into a 0.4-ha pond with an average depth of 0.9 m to produce 50% mortality among the fish population.

Invertebrate acute toxicity data for trifluralin consist of six 24-h LC<sub>50</sub> values, twenty 48-h LC<sub>50</sub> values, and ten 96-h LC<sub>50</sub> values from tests using 14 species of freshwater invertebrates and 1 species of estuarine mollusc (Table E-1).

Information related to the acute toxicity of trifluralin to aquatic plants is scarce. Significant (96%) decreases in the growth of populations of a single cell green alga, *Chlamydomonas eugametos*, as measured by cell counts, was caused by 335.5 µg·L¹ trifluralin. Significant changes in growth were not observed at 33.55 µg·L¹ (Hess 1980). A 50% decrease in the optical density of the green flagellated alga *Dunaliella bioculata* was also produced by a trifluralin concentration of 335.5 µg·L¹ (Felix, Chollet, and Harr 1988). Data related to the acute toxicity of trifluralin to aquatic vascular plants were not found.

#### Chronic Toxicity and Sublethal Reactions

Vertebrate chronic toxicity and sublethal reaction data include long-term (12–570 d) exposures using the freshwater fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) and the estuarine sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*). In addition, long-term (12-month) sublethal reactions were also observed in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) initially exposed to sublethal levels of trifluralin for less than 12 h. A summary of studies dealing with long-term exposure is presented in Table F-1.

Continuous exposures of fathead minnows for 125–158 d to a mean concentration of 8.2  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> produced 100% mortality among the 40 test fish. Over half of the fish exposed to mean concentrations of 5.1  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> died during the 163- to 263-d portion of the 425-d test period. Surviving fish spawned 100 d later than the control fish and fish exposed to 1.9  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>. Based on survival, the estimated maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) for this species is between 1.95 and 5.1  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup> (Macek *et al.* 1976).

Long-term (28–570 d) exposure to low recurrent trifluralin concentrations (1–6 µg L<sup>-1</sup>) caused abnormalities in vertebral development and other histopathological effects in sheepshead minnows. Vertebral dysplasia occurred in sheepshead minnows exposed to 5.5 µg L<sup>-1</sup> during the first 28 d of life from the zygote stage (Couch *et al.* 1979). An investigation into the possible role of the pituitary gland in the trifluralininduced vertebral lesions discovered histopathological changes in, and enlargement of, the pituitary gland in 11 out of 20 sheepshead minnows exposed to 1–5 µg L<sup>-1</sup> for 30 d to 19 months. These changes, however, could not be definitively linked to the observed vertebral lesions (Couch 1984).

In the laboratory, Atlantic salmon were exposed to 0.5 mg L-1 trifluralin for 11 h and observed for the following 12 months (Wells and Cowan 1982). The fish exhibited a rapid uptake of trifluralin, and concentrations of trifluralin in the fish of approximately 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> whole weight were retained for several days. Of the 100 fish exposed to the 11-h dose, 9 died soon after exposure ceased and the survivors appeared to be more susceptible to fungal infection. The results of measurements taken from X-ray plates showed vertebral deformation when the trifluralin concentration in the fish was at a maximum (approximately 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). There was no apparent increase in the degree of deformation during the first month after treatment. Subsequent measures of fish growth over the following 11 months indicated that trifluraling caused a contraction of the vertebral column resulting in the loss of the normal fusiform shape of the fish and the development of a more truncated shape. These same effects were observed in a natural population of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) as the result of an accidental spill of a trifluralin-containing herbicide (Wells and Cowan 1982). Trifluralin concentrations in the water as a result of the spill were not reported.

Trifluralin caused a 63% reduction *in vitro* in the sodium uptake by perfused carp (Cyprinus carpio) gills. The exact concentration of trifluralin causing this decrease was unknown, but was assumed to be less than the trifluralin solubility in carp Ringer solution (500  $\mu$ g·L<sup>-1</sup>) (McBride and Richards 1975).

Invertebrate chronic toxicity is represented by trifluralin exposures of 64 d for the freshwater cladoceran *Daphnia magna* and 80-d exposures of the burrowing aquatic oligochaete *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* to trifluralin-contaminated sediment. These studies are summarized in Table F-1.

Continuous exposure of D. magna to  $7.2~\mu g L^{-1}$  over three generations reduced survival with survival decreasing with each generation. None of the third generation animals survived. Production of young per adult exposed to  $14.0~\mu g L^{-1}$  during the first two generations was also reduced (52%–69%). Based on survival, the estimated MATC for D. magna continuously exposed through three generations is between  $2.4~and~7.2~\mu g L^{-1}$  (Macek *et al.* 1976).

A study to examine the impact of tubificid worms on pollutant transport in sediment demonstrated that a trifluralin sediment concentration of 1.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> did not affect the survival or normal functioning of these burrowing worms (Karickhoff and Morris 1985).

A variety of chronic toxicity data is available for marine and estuarine invertebrates. For example, an MATC for the zoeal stage of the dungeness crab (*Cancer magister*) was determined to be between 26 and 220 μg L<sup>-1</sup> for an 80-d exposure (Caldwell *et al.* 1979). Liu and Lee (1975) concluded that trifluralin may be lethal to adult bay mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) at 240 μg L<sup>-1</sup> after 4-d exposures and inhibitory to the larval stage of this mussel at 96 μg L<sup>-1</sup> if exposure exceeds 10 d.

#### Community Studies

The toxic effect of trifluralin on various types of aquatic communities has been investigated using

microcosms. Single doses producing a final concentration of 10 000 μg·L⁻¹ trifluralin had no effect on algal communities in artificial outdoor recirculating streams during a 3-week period (Kosinski 1984; Kosinski and Merkle 1984). A single dose of trifluralin resulting in an initial concentration of 1000 μg·L⁻¹ in a wetland microcosm did not adversely affect phytoplankton populations, gross primary productivity, or macrophytes Lemna sp. Ceratophyllum sp., and Elodea sp. As well, respiratory electron transport system activity, metabolism of organic carbon, oxygen consumption, and phosphate activity were not affected by 1000 μg·L⁻¹ trifluralin over the 30-d observation period (Johnson 1986).

A total of 5 mg trifluralin, containing <sup>14</sup>C-labelled carbon, was injected to a depth of 1 cm in the soil of a terrestrial microcosm (Cole and Metcalf 1980). This produced a total residue of 0.224 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in a vole (Michrotus ochrogaster) exposed to the contaminated environment for 5 d. Of this residue, 27% was the parent trifluralin. Additional residues in terrestrial animals were 4.29 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris) and 0.472 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for slugs (Limex maximus). After 20 d, the terrestrial microcosm was flooded with water and maintained as an aquatic microcosm for 7 d with snails (Physa sp.) and mosquitofish. After 7 d, the snails contained a residue of 0.571 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, of which 0.171 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> was the parent trifluralin. Total residues in the fish were 0.059 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, of which 0.007 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> was the parent trifluralin. The fish were rapidly killed after being introduced to the microcosm (within 4 h), but the authors note that the lethal substance was not the parent trifluralin but some toxic metabolite; the water contained a 14C residue of 9.13 µg L-1, but none of this was the parent compound.

Microcosms containing uncontaminated soil, snails (*Helosoma* sp.), algae (*Oedogonium cardiacum*), and mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*) received continuous inputs of  $^{14}\text{C-trifluralin}$  for 30 d. The highest input of trifluralin caused water concentrations ranging from 9.3  $\mu\text{g}\,\text{L}^{-1}$  at day 2 to 29.8  $\mu\text{g}\,\text{L}^{-1}$  at day 15 over the 30-d test period. During this time, the inhibition of growth was observed visually in comparison to the control microcosms. Both the fish and snails reproduced during the test period. The fish offspring were observed to behave abnormally and had an unusual curvature of the back and darkening of the tail region. Adult fish also exhibited abnormal behaviour and spinal curvature. This concentration range was not acutely toxic and all fish survived for 67 d after

trifluralin inputs were terminated (Yockim, Isensee, and Walker 1980).

Microcosms consisting of naturally coadapted communities of phytoplankton, bacteria, zooplankton, and small benthic invertebrates (designed for screening the ecological impacts of pesticides on community functions) received a single dose of trifluralin, which produced an initial concentration of 200 μg·L¹ (Sheehan, Axler, and Newhook 1986). For one set of tests over a 14-d period, the electron transport system (ETS) potential activity (mg O₂·L¹·h¹) of the trifluralintreated microcosms remained within the range of ETS activity defined by the control microcosms. Primary productivity was reduced below the control range when measured on days 4, 7, and 14, but recovered to within the control range at 28 d. Maximum deviation from the control occurred at 7 d.

#### Guideline

The derivation of the guideline value for freshwater aquatic life was initiated with the lowest or most sensitive MATC from the literature. The lower limit of the MATC for the 425-d trifluralin exposure for fathead minnows is 1.95 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (Macek *et al.* 1976). Thus, 1.95 µg L<sup>-1</sup> or 2 µg L<sup>-1</sup> is used to define the lowest-observed-effect concentration (LOEC).

Given the wide range of half-lives reported for trifluralin in the environment, some of which indicate that the compound is persistent, plus the bioaccumulation potential of this compound, it is appropriate that a safety factor of 0.1 level of magnitude be used to derive a guideline for the protection of freshwater organisms. Use of the application factor with the LOEC value of 2  $\mu g \, L^{-1}$  produces a guideline value of 0.2  $\mu g \, L^{-1}$ 

#### **Agricultural Water Supply**

Livestock Watering

**Acute Toxicity** 

Trifluralin exhibits low acute oral toxicity to mammals and birds with  $LD_{50}$  values for mice above 5 g  $L^1$  (U.S. EPA 1984, 1987b). Fertilized mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) eggs were used in embryo acute toxicity tests for trifluralin. Immersion of eggs for 30 s

at room temperature into various aqueous emulsions of trifluralin resulted in an  $LC_{50}$  equivalent of 1.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Doses equal to or greater than the  $LC_{50}$  reduced embryo growth and produced abnormalities in morphology at 18 d (Hoffman and Albers 1984). Information concerning acute trifluralin toxicity to livestock was not found.

#### Subacute and Chronic Toxicity

Long-term trifluralin ingestion studies generally been conducted with laboratory studies using rats, mice, and dogs. A 90-d feeding study using female rats continuously fed trifluralin at 50 and 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> produced a no-observed-adverseeffect level (NOAEL) of 25 mg kg-1 d-1, based on increased liver weights of the progeny (U.S. EPA 1987b). Another NOAEL of 100 mg kg 1 d 1 resulted from a 729-d trifluralin ingestion study using rat growth rate, mortality, and food consumption as effect criteria. Rats consuming 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, the next highest dose, exhibited reduced food consumption and displayed a slight proliferation of bile duct tissue. Other histopathological or hematological effects were not observed (U.S. EPA 1987b). A 2-year trifluralin ingestion study in male and female rats produced a NOAEL of 30-37 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Body weight, food consumption. hemoglobin, and red blood cell counts were decreased, and blood urea nitrogen, liver weight, and testes weights were increased at 128-mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (male) and 154-mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (female) dose rates. Kidney and heart weights were also decreased in females (U.S. EPA 1987b).

Based on the hematology, body, kidney, and spleen weights in both sexes and uterine weights in females, mice ingesting doses of trifluralin for 2 years exhibited a NOAEL of 40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. No increase in vomiting or liver-to-body weight ratios was observed in dogs fed 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> during a 3-year continuous trifluralin ingestion study (U.S. EPA 1987b).

A lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) of 2.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> was derived from a 90-d study of male rats in which increases in  $\alpha$ -1,  $\alpha$ -2, and  $\beta$ -globulins were monitored in the blood. Lower levels of trifluralin ingestion were not tested. Other effects observed at levels equal to or greater than 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> were increased levels of aspartate transaminase and urinary calcium, inorganic phosphorus, and magnesium (U.S. EPA 1987b).

A conference paper presented by the manufacturer of trifluralin stated that rats fed diets containing trifluralin levels as high as 2000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> feed (approximately 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) for 2 years did not exhibit changes in blood hematocrit, hemoglobin, total blood cell numbers, organ weight ratios, or gross and microscopic histology. The same diet through three generations of rats also failed to produce treatment-related effects (Worth and Anderson 1965). This paper, however, did not give specific documentation of the studies. Histopathological changes in mouse kidney were observed after ingestion of trifluralin at 14, 140, and 1400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for 140 d. Degeneration of proximal and distal tubule cells was observed at all dosages and the amount of degeneration was dose-related (Akay 1986).

#### Uptake, Metabolism, and Elimination

Trifluralin is not readily absorbed from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, and the fraction that is absorbed is completely metabolized. Low GI tract absorption of a single oral dose of 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight was indicated by 11%-14% excretion in the bile after 24 h (Emmerson and Anderson 1966). Although sufficient data are not available to completely characterize mammalian or avian trifluralin metabolism, four metabolites have been identified in rats. These metabolites were the result of the removal of the N-propyl groups and/or reduction of the nitro groups to amine groups (Emmerson and Anderson 1966). This is in agreement with the results of in vitro studies using rat hepatic microsomes (Nelson et al. 1977) and 14C-trifluralin administration to a cow and two goats (Golab et al. 1969).

Elimination of oral doses of trifluralin in rats is mainly via the feces. Approximately 78% of an oral dose of 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> was eliminated from rats via this route while the remainder was eliminated in the urine. Virtually all of the dose was excreted in 3 d (Emmerson and Anderson 1966).

Trifluralin with a <sup>14</sup>C-trifluoromethyl group was administered to a lactating cow at a dietary concentration of 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for 39 d followed by 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for 13 d. In contrast to studies using rats, only trace quantities of trifluralin and several trifluralin metabolites were found in the feces, but 99% of the ingested radioactivity was recovered in the urine within 6 d. A maximum concentration of 6.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> trifluralin in feces was found 6 d after initiation of the 1000—mg-kg<sup>-1</sup> dose. Metabolites were approximately

21 mg L<sup>-1</sup> feces at the same time (Golab *et al.* 1969). Any observed toxic responses were not discussed.

In a 26-d experiment, two lactating goats were fed unlabelled trifluralin at 1 mg kg¹ body weight for 11 d and received ¹⁴C-trifluralin on day 12 followed by unlabelled trifluralin for the remaining 14 d. The unlabelled trifluralin was not ingested in sufficient quantities for the identification of trifluralin metabolites. The ¹⁴C-trifluralin administration revealed that 17.8% and 81.2% of the trifluralin and metabolites, however, were eliminated in the urine and feces, respectively. The ¹⁴C-trifluralin and metabolites appeared in the urine for 3 d and in the feces for 6 d after ingestion. There was a 99% recovery of the labelled material (Golab *et al.* 1969). Any observed toxic responses were not discussed.

Carcinogenicity, Mutagenicity, and Teratogenicity

Trifluralin may be classified as a possible human carcinogen as it shows limited evidence of carcinogenicity in animals. Present evidence for human carcinogenicity, however, is lacking. Dose-related increases in hepatocellular carcinomas and alveolar adenomas were observed in female mice exposed to 33 or 62 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> trifluralin in the diet for 1.5 years. The trifluralin technical product used in this study, however, contained 84-88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dipropyl-nitrosamine (DPNA), a known carcinogen in rats and mutagen in bacterial and cell culture systems (U.S. EPA 1984). The issue of DPNA contamination of trifluralin resulted in the proposed cancellation of registration of all products containing trifluralin by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency if DPNA could not be reduced to a level at or below 1 mg kg-1 (U.S. EPA 1979). Subsequently, the manufacturer lowered the level of DPNA in trifluralin and conducted long-term ingestion studies with rats and mice. The manufacturer's 2-year dietary carcinogenicity assay with mice, using trifluralin containing <0.01 mg kg-1 DPNA, reported no treatment-related increases in benign or malignant neoplasms (U.S. EPA 1984, 1987a). Use of the same low-level DPNA trifluralin in a 2-year rat ingestion study showed increases in kidney, urinary bladder, and thyroid tumors in male rats receiving 30, 128, or 272 mg kg-1 d-1. Based on these studies and a reevaluation of the risk posed to individuals working with trifluralin, the U.S. EPA decided that the risks associated with the development of cancer as a result of trifluralin exposure were not excessively high. They concluded that the benefits of trifluralin use outweighed the identifiable risks involved with its registration if the

total DPNA level in the technical product could be maintained at or below 0.5 mg kg  $^1$  (U.S. EPA 1982). The U.S. EPA Carcinogen Assessment Group estimated a carcinogenic potency factor of 0.00766 per mg kg  $^1$  d  $^1$  and an estimated life-time cancer risk of  $10^{-6}$  for consumption of water containing 5  $\mu g \cdot L^1$  trifluralin (U.S. EPA 1987b). This corresponds to one additional case of cancer in a population of one million people.

Genotoxicity testing of trifluralin in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* systems was negative with and without metabolic activation. These systems included Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli reverse mutation assays, mouse lymphoma cells, and Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange (Andersen, Leighty, and Takahashi 1972; U.S. EPA 1987b).

Trifluralin was demonstrated to increase the incidence of chromosome nondisjunction in vivo in the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) (Murnik 1978; Bryant and Murnik 1979). In addition to nondisjunction, trifluralin has also been reported to produce deletion of the paternal X or Y chromosome in the progeny of male fruit flies fed trifluralin as larvae (Foureman 1981a, 1981b). Equivocal results for the sex-linked recessive lethal mutagenic assay were also produced with fruit flies (Yoon et al. 1985). Trifluralin apparently caused nondisjunction by interfering with the cytokinetic mechanism for separating the replicated chromosomes during cell division (Sentein 1977; Merezhinskii and Sharmankin 1986). This is similar to the cytokinetic mode of action in plants.

Increased chromosomal abnormalities in bone marrow cells, evidence of gametic mutation in the spermatocyte test, positive results in the dominant lethal assay, and alterations of F<sub>1</sub> embryonic chromosomes were cited by Nehez *et al.* (1980, 1981) to be the result of treatments of mice with the herbicide OLITREF®, which contains 26% trifluralin. The extent to which DPNA influenced the results reported by Nehez *et al.* (1980, 1981) is unknown. DPNA, however, is a common contaminant of commercial products containing trifluralin (U.S. EPA 1984). It is thought that DPNA is not responsible for the fruit fly chromosomal nondisjunction discussed above (U.S. EPA 1984).

Teratological studies using rabbits conducted by the manufacturer of trifluralin reported a NOAEL of 225 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for maternal and reproductive effects. Higher doses of 500 and 800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> caused anorexia and cachexia in the females and aborted litters at dosages of 225 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (U.S. EPA 1987b). Despite these studies, the manufacturer identified 1000-mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> trifluralin ingestion as a reproductive "safe level," citing no effects on litter size and weight in 32 pregnant rabbits receiving this dosage (U.S. EPA 1987b). The confidential nature of these reports did not permit further data analysis.

Exposure of female mice to trifluralin on each of gestational days 6–15 resulted in a significant (19%) increase in skeletal abnormalities in their progeny at 62 d post-partum. Doses of 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight in corn oil were administered by gavage (Beck 1977, 1981). The possible influence of DPNA in these studies was not discussed.

#### Guideline

An LOAEL of 2.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> was generated from a 90-d study with laboratory rats using changes in blood globulin levels as an effect criterion (U.S. EPA 1987b). If this value is used with a safety factor of 0.01, the assumed safe level would be 0.025 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Using the weight and water consumption of a dairy cow (500 kg and 160 L d<sup>-1</sup>), the concentration of 0.025 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> translates to a water concentration of 78 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. This is just under one half the drinking water guideline for trifluralin of 170 ug L-1 established by the World Health Organization (WHO 1987). The value of 78 μg L<sup>-1</sup> was derived from a rate of trifluralin ingestion less than the lowest known NOAEL in the scientific literature. This, plus the generally limited absorption of trifluralin by the GI tract and its rapid metabolism, could make the value of 78 μg L<sup>1</sup> an appropriate interim guideline value. Additional data related to the long-term ingestion of trifluralin by livestock via drinking water will be required prior to the development of a guideline value. In the interim, the procedure recommended by CCREM (1987) of adopting the drinking water guideline for livestock watering in the absence of sufficient information is followed. In the case of trifluralin, an interim drinking water quality guideline for trifluralin of 45 µg L-1 has been proposed, and this is also recommended as a livestock watering guideline.

#### Irrigation

#### **Toxicity to Nontarget Plant Species**

At the whole plant level, a large number of studies have described the toxicity of trifluralin to nontarget plants using a wide variety of criteria in addition to lethality. Some of these studies are presented in Table G-1. The data in this table demonstrate that a wide variety of crops, including those considered tolerant, are susceptible to the toxic effects of trifluralin given the proper dosage and conditions. Under the routine preplant incorportion conditions, trifluralin causes its greatest phytotoxic effect on the meristematic tissue at the region of the coleoptile node (Billett and Ashford 1978).

Field cultivation, greenhouse, and growth chamber studies have demonstrated adverse, sublethal reactions of seedling plants to applications as low as 0.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and water concentrations as low as 90 µg L<sup>1</sup>. The only generalization that can be drawn from the phytotoxicity data is that specific soil conditions and plant species are major factors in determining the potential for plant injury. The action of trifluralin on the root system may also induce stress on the plant related to its ability to obtain sufficient nutrients. This type of reaction was demonstrated by Udoh and Nelson (1986) for site-specific iron deficiency in soybeans. The bioavailability of trifluralin in soil is mainly dependent on the amount of soil organic matter. Increasing organic matter causes a decrease of trifluralin efficacy at constant levels of soil moisture. Clay content and temperature have no effect. Variation in moisture levels also plays a small role in trifluralin bioavailability (Moyer 1979). At low concentrations (3.4 µg L<sup>-1</sup>), trifluralin can be metabolized by some plants resulting in N-didealkylated products and/or a para-carboxylic acid derivative (Camper, Ahmed, and Figliola 1989).

#### Guideline

Much of the terrestrial phytotoxicity data was generated on the basis of the weight of trifluralin applied to a surface area of soil. It is difficult to extrapolate these units to the concentration of trifluralin in water that would be detrimental to irrigated crops.

Phytotoxicity studies with trifluralin in water used to irrigate a soil that supported seeds and/or seedlings showed that relatively small quantities of trifluralin, as low as 90 µg·L¹, could cause detrimental responses in the root growth of some plant species (Barrentine and Warren 1971). This study, however, used acetone as a carrier for trifluralin because of its low solubility in water. Although implied, the presence of acetone controls was not specifically identified. In addition, the matrix used for seed germination and seedling growth was silica sand, which allowed minimal adsorption of the trifluralin and maximum exposure of the plant

tissue. These conditions are unlike any that would be encountered in the field and represent extremes of toxicity. Thus, these values cannot be used to derive a guideline value for the protection of irrigated crops. A solvent carrier for trifluralin was not employed by Harvey (1973), who used trifluralin-amended Hoagland's nutrient solution to grow soybeans from seed in silica sand. This greenhouse study demonstrated that a trifluralin concentration of 3.35 mg L<sup>-1</sup> caused a 40% decrease in the dry weight of the seedling plants after 28 d. Unfortunately, this was the only concentration of trifluralin used and a NOEL was not defined.

Given trifluralin's low water solubility, high volatility, and sediment/water distribution coefficients, it is doubtful that sufficient quantities of trifluralin could be maintained in irrigation water to be harmful to plants. There is insufficient information, however, to support a specific guideline or interim guideline value for trifluralin in irrigation water.

#### **Recreational Water Quality and Aesthetics**

#### Organoleptic Effects

Although volatilization is a major transport pathway for dispersion of trifluralin in the environment, reports dealing with trifluralin-caused taste and odour in water were not found. Trifluralin is also known to be rapidly accumulated by fish, but reports dealing with trifluralin-caused tainting of fish flesh were not found.

#### Guideline

At present, there is no evidence to indicate that recreational water use would be adversely affected by trifluralin residues when this herbicide is used according to label instructions. In addition, water containing trifluralin residues at concentrations that could potentially affect recreational water uses would already be severely impaired for other water uses (i.e., water for the preservation of aquatic life). Thus, a water quality guideline has not been determined for recreational waters and aesthetics.

#### **Industrial Water Supplies**

#### Guideline

To date, there is no indication that trifluralin poses or has the potential to pose a threat to the quality of water used for industry when used according to registered use patterns. Although of potential concern if found in water supplies, a water quality guideline for trifluralin in industrial water supplies has not been determined.

#### SUMMARY

After an evaluation of the published information on the herbicide trifluralin, the Canadian water quality guidelines were derived (Table 2). The background information on trifluralin in terms of uses and production, occurrence in the aquatic environment, and persistence and degradation was reviewed. The rationale employed for the development of the recommended guidelines was summarized.

Table 2. Recommended Water Quality Guidelines for Trifluralin

| Uses                                      | Guidelines                    |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Raw water for drinking water supply       | 45 μg L <sup>-1</sup> (IMAC)* |
| Freshwater aquatic life                   | 0.2 µg L 1                    |
| Agricultural water supply                 |                               |
| Livestock watering                        | 45 μg L (Interim)             |
| Irrigation                                | No recommended guideline      |
| Recreational water quality and aesthetics | No recommended guideline      |
| Industrial water supplies                 | No recommended guideline      |

<sup>\*</sup>Existing drinking water guideline (Health and Welfare Canada 1987).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance and comments of the members of the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines and the scientific reviewers from Environment Canada (R.J. Maguire of the National Water Research Institute and N. Tolson and M.T. Wan of Environmental Protection), D. Muir of Fisheries and Oceans, and B.T. Bowman of Agriculture Canada.

#### REFERENCES

Agriculture Canada. 1989. Regulatory information on pesticide products. RIPP Database (CCINFODISK). Produced by Agriculture Canada and distributed by the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. (CD-ROM.)

Akay, M.T. 1986. Histological investigation of kidney degeneration caused by trifluralin in mice. Doga Biyol. Ser. 10(1): 1–7 (abstract).

- Alabaster, J.S. 1969. Survival of fish in 164 herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, wetting agents and miscellaneous substances. Int. Pest Control 11(2): 29–35.
- Andersen, K.J., E.G. Leighty, and M.T. Takahashi. 1972. Evaluation of herbicides for possible mutagenic properties. J. Agric. Food Chem. 20(3): 649–656.
- Anderson, W.P., A.B. Richards, and J.W. Whitworth. 1967. Trifluralin effects on cotton seedlings. Weeds 15: 224–227.
- Ashley, R.A. 1973a. Evaluation of herbicides and herbicide combinations for weed control in seeded cucumbers and summer squash. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 27: 226–231.
- Ashley, R.A. 1973b. Two-year study of weed control in snap beans. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 27: 178–183.
- Ashton, F.M., and A.S. Crafts. 1973. Mode of action of herbicides. Toronto: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ashton, F.M., D. Penner, and S. Hoffman. 1968. Effect of several herbicides on proteolytic activity of squash seedlings. Weed Sci. 16: 169–171.
- Ashton, F.M., O.T. de Villiers, R.K. Glenn, and W.B. Duke. 1977. Localization of metabolic sites of action of herbicides. Pestic. Biochem. 7: 122–141.
- Axe, J.A., A.C. Mathers, and A.F. Weise. 1969. Disappearance of atrazine, propazine and trifluralin from soil and water. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 22: 367 (abstract).
- Banks, P.A., and P.W. Santlemann. 1978. Influence of subsurface layered herbicides on horsenettle and various crops. Agron. J. 70: 5–8.
- Bardsley, C.E., K.E. Savage, and V.O. Childers. 1967. Trifluralin behaviour in soil. I. Toxicity and persistence as related to organic matter. Agron. J. 59: 159–160.
- Barrentine, W.L., and G.F. Warren. 1971. Differential phyto-toxicity of trifluralin and nitralin. Weed Sci. 19: 31-37.
- Basler, E., and P.W. Santlemann. 1975. Effects of trifluralin on the absorption and translocation of phosphorate and rubidium by soybean seedlings. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 28: 316–319.
- Bayer, D.E., C.L. Foy, T.E. Mallory, and E.G. Cutter. 1967. Morphological and histological effects of trifluralin on root development. Am. J. Bot. 54(8): 945–952.
- Beck, S.L. 1977. Postnatal detection of prenatal exposure to herbicides in mice using normally occurring variations in skeletal development. Teratology 15: 15A (abstract).
- Beck, S.L. 1981. Assessment of adult skeletons to detect prenatal exposure to 2,4,5-T or trifluralin in mice. Teratology. 23: 33–55.
- Behran, S., M. Maftoun, B. Sheibany, and S.M. Hojjati. 1979. Effect of fertilizer-N and herbicides on the growth and N content of soybeans and cowpeas. Agron. J. 71: 533–538.
- Beste, C.E. 1974. Weed control in transplanted tomatoes. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 28: 265–269.
- Beste, C.E. 1975. New herbicides for lima beans. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 29: 194–196.
- Billett, D., and R. Ashford. 1978. Differences in the phytotoxic response of wild oats (*Avena fatua*) to triallate and trifluralin. Weed Sci. 26(3): 273–276.
- Bollich, P.R., E.P. Dunigan, L.M. Kitchen, and V. Taylor. 1988. The influence of trifluralin and pendimethalin on nodulation,  $N_2(C_2H_2)$  fixation, and seed yield of field-grown soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci. 36: 15-19.
- Bradley, M.R., and G. Hargreaves. 1977. Weed control in drilled outdoor lettuce. Exp. Hortic. 29: 35–44.
- Brown, J.F., and H.D. Swingle. 1977. Herbicide evaluation in vegetable crops. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 30: 168–175.
- Bryant, M.L., and M.R. Murnik. 1979. Mutagenicity of the herbicide trifluralin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 91 (Suppl.): 15.
- Bucholtz, D.L., and T.L. Lavy. 1978. Pesticide interactions in oats (Avena sativa L. 'Neal'). J. Agric. Food Chem. 26(3): 520-524.
- Bucholtz, D.L., and T.L. Lavy. 1979. Alachlor and triffuralin effects on nutrient uptake in oats and soybeans. Agron. J. 71: 24–26.

- Burnside, O.C. 1968. Control of wild cane in soybeans. Weed Sci. 16: 18–22.
- Burnside, O.C. 1974. Trifluralin dissipation in soil following repeated annual applications. Weed Sci. 22(4): 374–377.
- Bush, J.D., J.R. Abernathy, and J.R. Gipson. 1982. Adsorption and mobility of trifluralin and pendimethalin in west Texas soils. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 35: 340.
- Caldwell, R.S., D.V. Buchanan, D.A. Armstrong, M.H. Malon, and R.E. Millemann. 1979. Toxicity of the herbicides 2,4-D, DEF, propanil and trifluralin to the dungeness crab, Cancer magister. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 8: 383–396.
- Camanzo, J., C.P. Rice, D.J. Jude, and R. Rossman. 1987. Organic priority pollutants in nearshore fish from 14 Lake Michigan tributaries and embayments, 1983. J. Great Lakes Res. 13(3): 296–309.
- Camper, N.D., F.A. Ahmed, and S. Figliola. 1989. Growth effects, uptake and metabolism of trifluralin in tissue cultures. J. Environ. Sci. Health 24: 291–306.
- Camper, N.D., K. Stralka, and H.D. Skipper. 1980. Aerobic and anaerobic degradation of profluralin and trifluralin. J. Environ. Sci. Health B15: 457–473.
- Cassidy, J.C. 1972. Herbicide evaluation in *Brassica* crops. Proc. Br. Weed Control Conf. 11: 958–964.
- CCREM (Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers). 1987. Canadian water quality guidelines. Prepared by the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines of the Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers.
- Clark, J.R., D. DeVault, and R.J. Bowden. 1984. Contaminant analysis of fillets from Great Lakes coho salmon, 1980. J. Great Lakes Res. 10: 38–47.
- Cole, L.K., and R.L. Metcalf. 1980. Environmental destinies of insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides in the plants, animals, soil, air, and water of homologous microcosms. In: Microcosms in ecological research, ed. J.P. Giesy, Jr. Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Energy.
- Conn, J.S., and C.W. Knight. 1984. An evaluation of herbicides for broadleaf control in rapeseed. Efficacy, phytotoxicity and soil persistence studies. Bulletin 62. Agricultural Experimental Station, School of Agriculture and Land Resources Management, University of Alaska-Fairbanks.
- Cope, O.B. 1965. Some responses of freshwater fish to herbicides. Proc. South. Weed Conf. 48: 439–445.
- Cope, O.B. 1966. Contamination of the freshwater ecosystem by pesticides. J. Appl. Ecol. 3 (Suppl.): 33-44.
- Couch, J.A. 1984. Histopathology and enlargement of the pituitary in a teleost exposed to the herbicide trifluralin. J. Fish Dis. 7: 157–163.
- Couch, J.A., L.A. Courtney, and S.S. Foss. 1981. Laboratory evaluation of marine fishes and carcinogen assays subjects. In: Phyletic approaches to cancer, ed. C.J. Dawe et al. pp. 125-139. Tokyo: Japan Science Society Press.
- Couch, J.A., J.T. Winstead, D.J. Hansen, and L.R. Goodman. 1979. Vertebral dysplasia in young fish exposed to the herbicide trifluralin. J. Fish Dis. 2: 35–42.
- Cranfill, B.E., and A.R. Rhodes. 1987. A comparison of cotton yield response to PPI applications of pendimethalin and trifluralin for weed control. In: Proceedings of the National Cotton Council Beltwide Cotton Production Research Conference, pp. 131–133.
- Crosby, D.G., and E. Leitis. 1973. The photodecomposition of trifluralin in water. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 10: 237–241.
- Dill, T.R., and M.C. Carter. 1973. Preemergence weed control in southeastern forest nurseries. Weed Sci. 21: 363–366.
- DiTomaso, J.M. 1988. Effect of herbicides on polyamine formation in roots. Plant Physiol. 86(4, Suppl.): 110 (abstract).
- Duseja, D.R. 1982. Soil dissipation of three herbicides. J. Environ. Sci. Health A17: 21–30.
- Duseja, D.R., and E.E. Holmes. 1978. Field persistence and movement of trifluralin in two soil types. Soil Sci. 125: 41–48.

- Duseja, D.R., H.V. Akunuri, and E.E. Holmes. 1980. Triffuralin soil behaviour: Persistence, movement and weed control. J. Environ. Sci. Health A15: 65–99.
- Elmstrom, G.W., and S.J. Locascio. 1974. Evaluation of herbicides for watermelon in Florida. Proc. Fl. State Hortic. Soc. 87: 179–184.
- Emmerson, J.L., and R.C. Anderson. 1966. Metabolism of triffuralin in the rat and dog. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 9: 84–97.
- Environment Canada. 1989. Chronic toxicity of lindane, triallate and triffuralin to fathead minnows and Ceriodaphnia dubia. Report prepared for Environmental Conservation and Protection, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta, by Beak Consultants Ltd.
- Eshel, Y., and G.F. Warren. 1966. A simplified method for determining phytotoxicity, leaching, and adsorption of herbicides in soils. Weeds 14: 115–118.
- Fabacher, D.L., and H. Chambers. 1974. Resistance to herbicides in insecticide-resistant mosquitofish, *Gambusia affinia*. Environ. Lett. 7: 15–20.
- Felix, H.R., R. Chollet, and J. Harr. 1988. Use of the cell wall-less alga *Dunaliella bioculata* in herbicide screening tests. Ann. Appl. Biol. 113: 55–60.
- Fenster, C.R., and G.A. Wicks. 1971. Weed control in field beans in Nebraska. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 26: 50–52.
- Foureman, P.A. 1981a. Identification of aneuploidy inducing chemicals in *Drosophila*. Environ. Mut. 3: 319. (Cited in U.S. EPA 1984.)
- Foureman, P.A. 1981b. The TX: A test for the detection of non-disjunction and chromosome breakage in *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. Results of female exposures. Mut. Res. 203: 309–316.
- Fránk, R., B.D. Ripley, H.E. Braun, B.S. Clegg, R. Johnston, and T.J. O'Neill. 1987. Survey of farm wells for pesticide residues, southern Ontario, Canada, 1981–1982, 1984. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 16: 1–8.
- Gaynor, J.D. 1985. Dinitroaniline herbicide persistence in soil in southwestern Ontario. Can. J. Soil Sci. 65: 587-592.
- Gile, J.D., J.C. Collins, and J.W. Gillet. 1980. Fate of selected herbicides in a terrestrial laboratory microcosm. Environ. Sci. Technol. 14: 1124–1128.
- Gjerstad, D.J., and D.B. South. 1981. Preemergence weed control in loblolly, slash, shortleaf, and eastern white pine nursery seedbeds. Can. J. For. Res. 11: 1124–1128.
- Glaze, N.C. 1970. Evaluation of herbicides on southern peas. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 23: 192–196.
- Glaze, N.C. 1971. Herbicide evaluation on lima beans. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 24: 206–211.
- Glotfelty, D.W., A.W. Taylor, B.C. Turner, and W.H. Zoller. 1984.
  Volatilization of surface-applied pesticides from fallow soil. J.
  Agric. Food Chem. 32: 638–643.
- Golab, T., and M.E. Amundson. 1975. Degradation of trifluralin, oryzalin, and isopropalin in soil. In: Environmental quality and safety (Suppl.). Pesticides, ed. F. Coulston and F. Korte. 3: 258-261.
- Golab, T., W.A. Althaus, and H.L. Wooten. 1979. Fate of (14C)-trifluralin in soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 27: 163-179.
- Golab, T., R.J. Herber, E.W. Day, A.P. Raun, F.J. Holzer, and G.W. Probst. 1969. Fate of carbon-14 trifluralin in artificial rumen fluid and in ruminant animals. J. Agric. Food Chem. 17: 576-580
- Grande, J.A., and T. Ombrello. 1975. Weed control in transplanted tomatoes with metribuzin in combination with other herbicides. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 29: 219–224.
- Grover, R. 1974. Adsorption and desorption of trifluralin, triallate, and diallate by various adsorbents. Weed Sci. 22(4): 405–408.
- Grover, R. 1983. Transport of wild oat herbicides in the environment. In: Wild Oat Symposium Proceedings, Oct. 18–19, 1983, ed. A.E. Smith, pp. 119–132.

- Grover, R., and L.A. Kerr. 1981. Evaluation of polyurethane foam as a trapping medium for herbicide vapor in air monitoring and worker inhalation studies. J. Environ. Sci. Health 16:59–66.
- Grover, R., L.A. Kerr, K.E. Bowren and S.U. Khan. 1988. Airborne residues of triallate and trifluralin in Saskatchewan. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 40: 683–688.
- Grover, R., A.E. Smith, S.R. Shewchuk, A.J. Cessna, and J.H. Hunter. 1988. Fate of trifluralin and triallate applied as a mixture to a wheat field. J. Environ. Qual. 17(4): 543–550.
- Grover, R.A.J., J.D. Banting, and P.M. Morse. 1979. Adsorption and bioactivity of diallate, triallate and trifluralin. Weed Res. 19: 363–369.
- Hagood, E.S., Jr., J.L. Williams, Jr., and T.T. Bauman. 1980. Influence of herbicide injury on the yield potential of soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci. 28: 40–45.
- Hamilton, K.C., and H.F. Arle. 1972. Persistence of herbicides in fallow desert cropland. Weed Sci. 20: 573-576.
- Harper, L.A., A.W. White, Jr., R.R. Bruce, A.W. Thomas, and R.A. Leonard. 1976. Soil and microclimate effects on trifluralin volatilization. J. Environ. Qual. 5(3): 236–242.
- Hartnett, J.P. 1975. Weed control in soybeans with RH-2512 and RH-2915. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 29: 4-8.
- Hartzler, R.G., R.S. Fawcett, and M.D.K. Owen. 1989. Effects of tillage on trifluralin residue carryover injury to corn (*Zea mays*). Weed Sci. 37: 609–615.
- Harvey, R.G. 1973. Relative phytotoxicities of dinitroaniline herbicides. Weed Sci. 21(6): 517-520.
- Harvey, R.G., and G.L. Jacques. 1977. Dinitroaniline herbicides for weed control in peas. Weed Sci. 25: 256–259.
- Harvey, R.G., E.T. Gritton, and R.E. Doersch. 1972. Effects of selected herbicides on annual weed control and production of processing peas. Agron. J. 64: 812-815.
- Hashimoto, Y., and Y. Nishiuchi. 1982. Effects of herbicides on aquatic animals. In: Pesticide chemistry: Human welfare and the environment, ed. J. Miyamoto and P.C. Kearney. Proceedings of the 5th International Congress of Pesticide Chemistry, Kyoto, Japan, 29 August – 4 September 1982.
- Hatfield, H.H., D.T. Warholic, and R.D. Sweet. 1978. Dinitroaniline toxicity to galinsoga, ragweed, and several crops. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 32: 141–150.
- Hayden, B.J., and A.E. Smith. 1980. Comparison of the persistence of ethalfluralin and trifluralin in Saskatchewan field soils. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 25: 508-511.
- Health and Welfare Canada. 1987. Guidelines for Canadian drinking water quality. 4th ed. Prepared by the Federal-Provincial Subcommittee on Drinking Water of the Federal-Provincial Advisory Committee on Environmental and Occupational Health. Canadian Government Publishing Centre, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Helling, C.S. 1971. Pesticide mobility in soils. I. Parameters of thin-layer chromatography. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 35: 732–737
- Helling, C.S., and B.C. Turner. 1968. Pesticide mobility: Determination by soil thin-layer chromatography. Science 162: 562.
- Henne, R.C. 1977. New compounds with potential for weed control in tomatoes. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 31: 207–214.
- Hertel, C., and D. Marmé. 1983. Herbicides and fungicides inhibit Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake by plant mitochondria: A possible mechanism of action. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 19: 282–290.
- Hess, F.D. 1980. A Chlamydomonas algal bioassay for detecting growth inhibitor herbicides. Weed Sci. 28(5): 515–520.
- Hoffman, D.J., and P.H. Albers. 1984. Evaluation of potential embryotoxicity and teratogenicity of 42 herbicides, insecticides, and petroleum contaminants to mallard eggs. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 13: 15–27.
- Hollist, R.L., and C.L. Foy. 1971. Trifluralin interactions with soil constituents. Weed Sci. 19: 11-6.
- Horowitz, M., N. Hulin, and T. Blumenfeld. 1974. Behavior and persistence of trifluralin in soil. Weed Res. 14: 213–220.

- Huckins, J.N., J.D. Petty, and D.C. England. 1986. Distribution and impact of trifluralin, atrazine, and fonofos residues in microcosms simulating a northern prairie wetland. Chemosphere 15(5): 563-588.
- Ivany, J.A., and J.A. Cutoliffe. 1973. Herbicides for cole crops in eastern Canada. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 27: 194–198.
- Jackson, W.T., and D.A. Stetler, 1973. Regulations of mitosis IV. An in vitro and ultrastructural study of effects of trifluralin. Can. J. Bot. 51: 1513.
- Jensen, K.I.N., and E.R. Kimball. 1980. Persistence of dinitramine and trifluralin in Nova Scotia, Canada. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 24: 238–243.
- Jensen, K.I.N., J.A. Ivany, and E.R. Kimball. 1983. Efficacy of three dinitroaniline herbicides in processing peas and their residues in soils. Can. J. Plant Sci. 63: 687-693.
- Johnson, B.J. 1971. Soybean varieties react similarly to herbicides. G. Agric. Res. 13: 7-8.
- Johnson, B.T. 1986. Potential impact of selected agricultural chemical contaminants on a northern prairie wetland: A microcosm evaluation. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5: 473–485.
- Johnson, W.W., and M.T. Findley. 1980. Handbook of acute toxicity of chemicals to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Summaries of toxicity tests conducted at Columbia National Fisheries Research Laboratory. 1965–1978. Resource Publication 137. USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Jordan, T.N., R.S. Baker, and W.L. Barrentine. 1978. Comparative toxicity of several dinitroaniline herbicides. Weed Sci. 26(1): 72-75.
- Junk, G.A., J.J. Richard, and P.A. Dahm. 1984. Degradation of pesticides in controlled water-soil systems. In: Treatment and disposal of pesticide wastes, ed. R.F. Krueger and J.N. Seiber. Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser. 259. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C.
- Karickhoff, S.W., and K.R. Morris. 1985. Impact of tubificid oligochaetes on pollutant transport in bottom sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 19: 51–56.
- Kearney, P.C., A.R. Isensee, and A. Kontson. 1977. Distribution of dinitroaniline herbicides in an aquatic ecosystem. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 7: 242–248.
- Ketchersid, M.L., R.W. Bovey, and M.G. Merkle. 1969. The detection of trifluralin vapours in air. Weed Sci. 17: 484–485.
- Kosinski, R.J. 1984. The effect of terrestrial herbicides on the community structure of stream periphyton. Environ. Pollut. (Ser. A) 36: 165–189.
- Kosinski, R.J., and M.G. Merkle. 1984. The effect of four terrestrial herbicides on the productivity of artificial stream algal communities. J. Environ. Qual. 13(1): 75–82.
- Koskinen, W.C., J.E. Oliver, C.G. McWhorter, and P.C. Kearney. 1986. Effect of trifluralin soil metabolities on soybean (*Glycine max*) growth and yield. Weed Sci. 34: 471–473.
- Large, A., D. May, B. Fischer, V. Schweers, and F. Ashton. 1968. Weed control in cucurbits. Calif. Agric. 22: 8–9.
- Lange, A.H., B. Fischer, H. Agamalian, and H. Kempen. 1969. Weed control in young grapes. Calif. Agric. 23: 11-12.
- LeBaron, H.M., R.R. Wilson, and T.D. Taylor. 1971. Evaluation of CGA-10832 and other herbicides for soybeans in the north central states. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control. Conf. 26: 44–48.
- Lee, H.-B., and A.S.Y. Chau. 1983. Determination of trifluralin, diallate, triallate, atrazine, barban diclofop-methyl, and benzoylprop-ethyl in natural waters at parts per trillion levels. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 66:651–658.
- Leonard, R.A., G.W. Langdale, and W.G. Fleming. 1979. Herbicide runoff from upland piedmont watersheds—Data and implications for modeling pesticide transport. J. Environ. Qual. 8(2): 223–229.
- Lignowski, E.M., and E.G. Scott. 1971. Trifluralin and root growth. Plant Cell Physiol. 12: 701–708.
- Lignowski, E.M., and E.G. Scott. 1972. Effect of trifluralin on mitosis. Weed Sci. 10: 267.

- Liu, D.H.W., and J.M. Lee. 1975. Toxicity of selected pesticides to the bay mussel (*Mytilus edulis*). EPA 660/3-75-016.
- Lutman, P.J.W. 1977. Studies on the control of groundkeeper potatoes with the soil-applied herbicides chlorpropham, propyzamide and trifluralin. Pestic. Sci. 8: 637–646.
- Macek, K.J., C. Hutchinson, and O.B. Cope. 1969. The effects of temperature on the susceptibility of bluegills and rainbow trout to selected pesticides. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 4(3): 174–183.
- Macek, K.J., M.A. Lindberg, S. Sauter, K.S. Buxton, and P.A. Costa. 1976. Toxicity of four pesticides to water fleas and fathead minnows. Acute and chronic toxicity of acrolein, heptachlor, endosulfan, and trifluralin to the water flea (*Daphnia magna*) and the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). EPA/600/3-76-099. 58 pp.
- Maguire, R.J., J.H. Carey, M.E. Fox, B.G. Brownlee, and H.-B. Lee. 1988. Assessment of the environmental dynamics of selected pesticides of importance in western Canada. NWRI Contribution #88-20. National Water Research Institute, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.
- Marr, C., and H.D. Swingle. 1970. The effect of several herbicides on weed control and on the element content, yield, and maturity of snap beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 23: 181–186.
- Mayer, F.L., Jr., and M.R. Ellersieck. 1986. Manual of acute toxicity: Interpretation and data base for 410 chemicals and 66 species of freshwater animals. Resource Publication 160. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.
- McBride, R.K., and B.D. Richards. 1975. The effects of some herbicides and pesticides on sodium uptake by isolated perfused gills from the carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 51C: 105–109.
- McCorkle, F.M., J.E. Chambers, and J.D. Yarbrough. 1977. Acute toxicities of selected herbicides to fingerling channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 18(3): 267–270.
- McNevin, G.R., and R.G. Harvey. 1982. Wild proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum*) control in processing peas (*Pisum sativum*) and soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci. 30: 365–368.
- Means, J.C., R.D. Wijayaratne, and W.R. Boynton. 1983. Fate and transport of selected herbicides in an estuarine environment. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 40(Suppl 2): 337–345.
- Menges, R.M., and T.L. Hubbard. 1970. Selectivity, movement, and persistence of soil-incorporated herbicides in carrot planting. Weed Sci. 18: 247–252.
- Merezhinskii, Y.G., and S.V. Sharmankin. 1986. Assembly of microtubules in vitro in the presence of trifluralin. Fiziol. Biokhim. Kul't. Rast. 18(3): 299–300 (abstract).
- Miller, J.H., L.M. Carater, and C.H. Carter. 1983. An experimental incorporator-planter for cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Weed Sci. 31: 208–214.
- Miller, J.H., P.E. Keeley, R.J. Thullen, and C.H. Carter. 1978.

  Persistence and movement of ten herbicides in soil. Weed Sci. 26: 20–27.
- Mitchell, G.A., and F.M. Bourland. 1986. Effects of trifluralin and pendimethalin on cotton emergence and seedling characteristics. In: Proceedings of the National Cotton Council Beltwide Cotton Production Research Conference, pp. 64–66.
- Moilanen, K.W., and D.G. Crosby. 1975. Pesticide photo-oxidation in the atmosphere. In: Environmental quality and safety (Suppl.). Pesticides, ed. F. Coulston and F. Korte. 3: 308–312.
- Mongar, K., and G.C. Miller. 1988. Vapor phase photolysis of trifluralin in an outdoor chamber. Chemosphere 17(11): 2183–2188.
- Moomaw, R.S., and A.R. Martin. 1978. Interaction of metribuzin and trifluralin with soil type on soybean (*Glycine max*) growth. Weed Sci. 26: 327–331.
- Moreland, D.E., and S.C. Huber. 1979. Inhibition of photosynthesis and respiration by substituted 2,6-dinitroaniline herbicides. III.

- Effects on electron transport and membrane properties of isolated mung bean mitochondria, Pestic, Biochem, Physiol, 11: 247–257.
- Moreland, D.E., S.S. Malhotra, R.D. Gruenhagen, and E.H. Shokrah. 1969. Effects of herbicides on RNA and protein synthesis. Weed Sci. 17: 556–563.
- Mostafa, I.Y., S.M.A.D. Zayed, Y.M. Adam, and H.S.H. Attaby. 1982. Investigations on trifluralin binding to soil and possible uptake of bound residues by plants. J. Environ. Sci. Health B17: 265–275.
- Moyer, J.R. 1979. Soil organic matter, moisture, and temperature effect on wild oats control with trifluralin. Can. J. Plant Sci. 59: 763-768.
- Muir, D.C.G., and N.P. Grift. 1987. Herbicide levels in rivers draining two prairie agricultural watersheds (1984). J. Environ. Sci. Health B22: 259–284.
- Murnik, M.R. 1978. Mutagenicity of the herbicide trifluralin in Drosophila melanogaster. Mut. Res. 53: 235–236 (abstract).
- Murphy, H.J., and M.J. Goven. 1976. Weed control in potatoes with several pre-plant incorporated, preemergence, and early postemergence herbicides. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 30: 235–238.
- Murry, D.S., P.W. Santelmann, and H.A.L. Greer. 1973. Differential phytotoxicity of several dinitroaniline herbicides. Agron. J. 65: 34–36.
- Murry, D.S., J.E. Street, J.K. Soteres, and G.A. Buchanan. 1979. Growth inhibition of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) and soybean (Glycine max) roots and shoots by three dinitroaniline herbicides. Weed Sci. 27: 336–342.
- Naqvi, S.M., and T.S. Leung. 1983. Trifluralin and oryzalin herbicides toxicities to juvenile crawfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) and mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*). Bull. Environ. Pollut. 48: 275–283
- Naqvi, S.M., R. Hawkins, and N.H. Naqvi. 1987. Mortality response and LC<sub>50</sub> values to juvenile and adult crayfish, *Procambarus* clarkii, exposed to Thiodan (insecticide) Treflan, MSMA, Oust (herbicides) and cutrine-plus (algicide). Environ. Pollut. 48: 275–283.
- Nash, R.G., and T.J. Gish. 1989. Halogenated pesticide volatilization and dissipation from soil under controlled conditions. Chemosphere 18(11/12): 2353–2362.
- Negi, N.S., H.H. Funderburk, Jr., D.P. Schultz, and D.E. Davis. 1968. Effect of trifluralin and nitralin on mitochondrial activities. Weed Sci. 16: 83–85
- Nehez, M., A. Paldy, A. Selypes, and G. Berencsi. 1980. Experiments on the mutagenic effect of two pesticides, DNOC and trifluralin. Mut. Res. 74: 202-203 (abstract).
- and trifluralin. Mut. Res. 74: 202–203 (abstract).

  Nehez, M., A. Selypes, A. Paldy, E. Mazzag, G. Berencsi, and R. Jarmay. 1981. Histopathological and cytogenetical picture of microtoxicological effect of 2 nitrophenol-structured pesticides in mouse experiments on germ cells. Mut. Res. 85(4): 256 (abstract).
- Nelson, J.O., P.C. Kearney, J.R. Plimmer, and R.E. Menzer. 1977. Metabolism of trifluralin, profluralin, and fluchloralin by rat liver microsomes. Pestic. Blochem. Physiol. 7: 73–82.
- Newsome, L.D., R.L. Lipnick, and D.E. Johnson. 1984. Validation of fish toxicity QSARs for certain non-reactive, non-electrolyte organic compounds. In: QSAR in environmental toxicology, ed. K.L. Kaiser, pp. 279–299. Hingham, Mass.: Kluwer Academic Publication.
- Noll, C.J. 1975. Weeding of carrots using preplant incorporation herbicides followed by preemergence and/or postemergent herbicides: Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 29: 244–246.
- Nyffeler, A., H.R. Gerber, K. Hurle, W. Pestemer, and R.R. Schmidt. 1982. Collaborative studies of dose-response curves obtained with different bioassay methods for soil-applied herbicides. Weed Res. 22: 213–222.
- Oliver, J.E. 1979. Volatilization of some herbicide-related nitrosamines from soils. J. Environ. Qual. 8: 596–601
- Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1989. 1990 Guide to weed control. Publication 75. RV-11-89-62M. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto. 208 pp.

- O'Sullivan, P.A., and G.N. Prendeville. 1974. Studies on the rate of root growth of intact seedlings in a herbicide medium. Weed Res. 14: 345–348.
- Parka, S.J., and J.B. Tepe. 1969. The disappearence of trifluralin from field soils. Weed Sci. 17: 119–122.
- Parka, S.J., and H.M. Worth. 1965. The effects of trifluralin to fish. Proc. South. Weed Conf. 18: 469.
- Parochetti, J.V. 1975. Weed control in soybeans with metribuzin and combinations with other herbicides. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 29: 28–35.
- Parochetti, J.V., and G.W. Dec. 1978. Photodecomposition of eleven dinitroaniline herbicides. Weed Sci. 26: 153–156.
- Parr, J.F., and S. Smith. 1973. Degradation of trifluralin under laboratory conditions of soil anaerobiosis. Soil Sci. 115(1): 55–63
- Pchajek, D.A., I.N. Morrison, and G.R.B. Webster. 1983. Comparison of the efficacy and soil concentrations of fall- and spring-applied trifluralin in flax. Can. J. Plant Sci. 63: 1031–1038.
- Plimmer, J.R. 1978. Photolysis of TCDD and trifluralin on silica and soil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 20: 87–92.
- Probst, G.W., T. Golab, and W.L. Wright. 1975. Dinitroanilines. In: Herbicides: Chemistry, degradation, and mode of action, 2nd ed. Vol. 1., ed. P.C. Kearney and D.D. Kaufman, pp. 453–500. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Poe, R.R., D.P. Coyne, B.A. Swisher, and M.D. Clegg. 1988. Differential *Cucurbita* spp. tolerance to the herbicide trifluralin. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 113(1): 35–40.
- Reeves, S.A., Jr. 1977. Evaluations of selected pre- and postemergence chemicals on weed control and phytotoxicity to eight sugarcane varieties. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 30: 130–132.
- Reyes, C.C., and R.L. Zimdahl. 1989. Mathematical description of trifluralin persistence in soil. Weed Sci. 37: 604–608.
- Rhode, W.A., L.E. Asmussen, E.W. Hauser, R.D. Wauchope, and H.D. Allison. 1980. Trifluralin movement in runoff from a small agricultural watershed. J. Environ. Qual. 9: 37–42.
- Rizk, T.Y. 1973. Anatomical and cytological changes in excised cotton roots in response to trifluralin or nitralin treatments. Egypt. J. Bot. 16: 281–289.
- Roberts, H.A. 1972. Weed control in mini-cauliflowers. Hortic. Res.
- Roggenbuck, F.C., and D. Penner. 1987. Factors influencing corn (Zea mays) tolerance to trifluralin. Weed Sci. 35: 89–94.
- Sanders, H.O. 1970. Toxicities of some herbicides to six species of freshwater crustaceans. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 24: 1544–1550
- Sanders, H.O., and O.B. Cope. 1966. Toxicities of several pesticides to two species of cladocerans. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 95: 165-169
- Sanders, P.F., and J.N. Seiber. 1983. A chamber for measuring volatilization of pesticides from model soil and water disposal systems. Chemosphere 12(7/8): 999–1093.
- Sanok, W.J. 1974. Evaluation of herbicides for control of weeds in Long Island potatoes—1973. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 28: 282–286.
- Savage, K.E. 1978. Persistence of several dinitroaniline herbicides as affected by depth of soil incorporation. Weed Sci. 26: 465-471.
- Savage, K.E., and W.L. Barrentine. 1969. Trifluralin persistence as affected by depth of soil incorporation. Weed Sci. 17: 349–352.
- Schultz, D.P., H.H. Funderburk, Jr., and N.S. Negi. 1968. Effect of trifluralin on growth, morphology, and nucleic acid synthesis. Plant Physiol. 43: 265–273.
- Selleck, G.W., and W.J. Sanok. 1976. Herbicide trial for direct seeded fall cabbage. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 30: 256-260.
- Selleck, G.W., and W.J. Sanok. 1977. Herbicides for weed control in sweet corn and cabbage. Proc. North. Weed Sci. Soc. 31: 256-260
- Sentein, P. 1977. An inhibitor of the achromatic apparatus which alters chromosomes, trifluralin. Arch. Anat. Microsc. 66(4): 263–277.

- Sheehan, P.J., R.P. Axler, and R.C. Newhook. 1986. Evaluation of simple generic aquatic ecosystem tests to screen the ecological impacts of pesticides. In: Community toxicity testing, ed. J. Cairns, Jr., pp. 158–179. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM STP 920.
- Sheets, T.J., J.R. Bradley, Jr., and M.D. Jackson. 1973. Movement of trifluralin in surface water. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 26: 376 (abstract).
- Smith, A.E. 1975. Field persistence studies with herbicides in prairie soils. In: Environmental quality and safety (Suppl.). Pesticides, ed. F. Coulston and F. Korte, 3: 266–270.
- Smith, A.E. 1979. Soil persistence experiments with (14C)-2, 4-D in herbicidal mixtures, and field persistence studies with triallate and trifluralin both singly and combined. Weed Res. 19: 165–170.
- Smith, A.E. 1983. Persistence of wild oat herbicides. In: Wild Oat Symposium Proceedings, Oct. 18–19, 1983, ed. A.E. Smith, Agriculture Canada, pp. 111–116.
- Agriculture Canada, pp. 111–116, Smith, A.E., and K.P. Bucholtz. 1964, Modification of plant transpiration rate with chemicals. Plant Physiol. 39: 572–578.
- Smith, A.E., and B.J. Hayden. 1976. Field persistence studies with eight herbicides commonly used in Saskatchewan. Can. J. Plant Sci. 56: 769–771.
- Smith, A.E., and B.J. Hayden. 1982a. Field persistence studies with triallate and trifluralin both singly and in combination with chloramben. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 29: 240–242.
- Smith, A.E., and B.J. Hayden. 1982b. Carry-over of dinitramine, triallate, and trifluralin to the following spring in soils treated at different times during the fall. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 29: 483–486.
- Smith, A.E., A.J. Aubin, and D.A. Derksen. 1988. Loss of trifluralin from clay and loam soils containing aged and freshly applied residues. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 41: 569–573.
- Solbakken, E., H. Hole, O. Lode, and T.A. Pedersen. 1982. Trifluralin persistence under two different soil and climatic conditions. Weed Res. 22: 319–328.
- South, D.B. 1977. Pre- and postemergent weed control in forest nurseries. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 30: 269–278.
- Spacie, A., and J.L. Hamelink. 1979. Dynamics of triffuralin accumulation in river fishes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13: 817–822.
- Spain, J.C., and P.A. van Veld. 1983. Adaptation of natural microbial communities to degradation of xenobiotic compounds: Effects of concentration, exposure time, inoculum, and chemical structure. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45(2): 428–435.
- Sparchez, C., V. Soran, C. Craciun, A. Polizu, and V. Craciun. 1987. Trifluralin influence on the ultrastructure of root meristem cells in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and sunflower (*Hellianthus annuus* L.). Rev. Roum. Biol. Ser. Biol. Veg. 32(1): 39–41.
- Spencer, W.F., and M.M. Cliath. 1974. Factors affecting vapour loss of trifluralin from soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 22(6): 987–991.
- Statistics Canada. 1988. Imports. Commodity by country: C.I.T.C. detail (1984–1985 and 1986–1987). International Trade Division.
- Struckmeyer, B.E., L.K. Binning, and R.G. Harvey. 1976. Effect of dinitroaniline herbicides in a soil medium on snap bean and soybean. Weed Sci. 24: 366–369.
- Sullivan, R.G., H.W. Knoche, and J.C. Markle. 1980. Photolysis of trifluralin: Characterization of azobenzene and azooxybenzene photodegradation products. J. Agric. Food Chem. 28: 746–755.
- Suntio, L.R., W.Y. Shiu, D. Mackay, J.N. Seiber, and D. Glotfelty. 1988. Critical review of Henry's law constants for pesticides. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 103: 1–56.
- Swann, C.W., and R. Behrens. 1972a. Phytotoxicity of trifluralin vapors from soil. Weed Sci. 20: 143-146.
- Swann, C.W., and R. Behrens. 1972b. Trifluralin vapor emission from soil. Weed Sci. 20: 147–149.
- Taylor, A.W. 1978. Post-application volatilization of pesticides under field conditions. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 28(9): 922–927.
- Teasdale, J.R., R.G. Harvey, and D.J. Hagedorn. 1978. Suppression of pea (*Pisum sativum*) root rot by dinitroaniline herbicides. Weed Sci. 26: 609–613.
- Therrien-Richards, S., and D.A. Williamson, 1987. Contamination by

- pesticides of the La Salle and Assiniboine rivers, Manitoba, Canada. W&NR 87/88-CP(EP)-1. Environmental Protection Service, Western and Northern Region.
- Udoh, D.J., and L.E. Nelson. 1986. Triffuralin-induced chlorosis in soybean (*Glycine max*)(L.) Merr. grown on clayey, high pH soils. Plant Soil 96: 175–184.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1979. Trifluralin (Treflan). Position document 1/2/3. Special Pesticide Review Division, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1982. Pesticide rebuttal presumption against registration, Treflan (trade name). Position document 4. Special Pesticide Review Division, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1984. Health and environmental effects profile for trifluralin. EPA/600/X-84/234. Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1987a. Guidance for the registration of pesticide products containing trifluralin as the active ingredient. Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1987b. Trifluralin health advisory. Office of Drinking Water, Washington D.C. Draft report.
- Vaughn, M.A., and K.C. Vaughn. 1986. Carrot microtubules are resistant to some dinitroaniline herbicides. J. Cell Biol. 103(5 part 2): 272a (abstract).
- Verschueren, K. 1983. Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals. 2nd ed. New York; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.
- Waite, D., H. Sommerstad, R. Grover, and L.A. Kerr. 1986. South Saskatchewan watershed study (1985 interim report). W&NR 86/87-CP (EPS)-3. Environmental Protection Service, Western and Northern Region, Regina, Sask.
- Walker, W.W., C.R. Cripe, P.H. Pritchard, and A.W. Bourquin. 1988. Biological and abiotic degradation of xenobiotic compounds in in vitro estuarine water and sediment/water systems. Chemosphere 17(12): 2255–2270.
- Warner, J.E., S.R. Winter, and A.F. Wiese. 1987. Persistence of dinitroaniline herbicides and potential for injury to sugar beets. J. Am. Soc. Sugar Beet Technol. 24(1): 57–66.
- Wauchope, R.D. 1978. The pesticide content of surface water draining from agricultural fields—A review. J. Environ. Qual. 7: 459–472.
- Wauchope, R.D., K.E. Savage, and J.M. Chandler. 1977. Soil sample variation and herbicide incorporation uniformity. Weed Sci. Soc. Am. 25: 193--196.
- Weber, J.B. 1987. Physical/chemical interactions of herbicides with soil. Proc. Calif. Weed Conf. 39: 96–109.
- Weber, J.B. 1990. Behavior of dinitroaniline herbicides in soils. Weed Technol. 4: 394-406.
- Webster, G.R.B., C.F. Shaykewick, S. Kanhai, and G.J. Reimer. 1978. Availability of the herbicide trifluralin for control of wild oats as influenced by soil characteristics in four Manitoba soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58: 397–404.
- Wells, D.E., and A.A. Cowan. 1982. Vertebral dysplasia in salmonids caused by the herbicide trifluralin. Environ. Pollut. (Ser. A) 29: 249–260.
- Wheeler, W.B., G.D. Stratton, R.R. Twilley, L.T. Ou, D.A. Carlson, and J.M. Davidson. 1979. Trifluralin degradation and binding in soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 27: 702–706.
- White, A.W., Jr., L.A. Harper, R.A. Leonard, and J.W. Turnbull. 1977. Trifluralin volatilization losses from a soybean field. J. Environ. Qual. 6(1): 105–110.
- Environ. Qual. 6(1): 105–110.
  WHO (World Health Organization). 1987. Drinking-water quality guidelines for selected herbicides. Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Williamson, D.A. 1984. A preliminary investigation into the presence of agricultural pesticides in the La Salle and Assiniboine rivers, Manitoba, Canada. Water Standards and Studies Report No. 84-5. Environmental Management Services Branch, Manitoba Department of Environment and Workplace Safety and Health.

- Willis, G.H., R.L. Rogers, and L.M. Southwick. 1975. Losses of diuron, linuron, fenac, and trifluralin in surface drainage water. J. Environ. Qual. 4: 399–402.
- Willis, G.H., R.C. Wander, and L.M. Southwick. 1974. Degradation of triffuralin in soil suspensions as related to redox potential. J. Environ. Qual. 3(3): 262–265.
- Willis, G.H., L.L. McDowell, C.E. Murphree, L.M. Southwick, and S. Smith, Jr. 1983. Pesticide concentrations and yields in runoff from silty soils in the lower Mississippi valley. J. Agric. Food Chem. 31: 1171–1177.
- Windholz, M., S. Budayari, R.F. Blumetti, and E.S. Otterbein. 1983. The Merck index: An encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs, and biologicals. Rahway, N.J.: Merck and Co., Inc.
- Wnuk, M., R. Kelley, G. Breuer, and L. Johnson. 1987. Pesticides in water supplies using surface water sources. PB 88-136916/XAD. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 43 pp.
- Woodrow, J.E., D.G. Crosby, T. Mast, K.W. Moilanen, and J.N. Seiber. 1978. Rates of transformation of trifluralin and parathlon vapors in air. J. Agric; Food Chem. 26: 1312–1316.
- Worth, H.J., and R.C. Anderson. 1965. The toxicity of trifluralin, treflan, a herbicide to mammals and chickens. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. 18: 711-712.
- Worthing, C.R., and S.B. Walker (eds). 1987. The pesticide manual: A world compendium. 8th ed. British Crop Protection Council, Thornton Heath, U.K.

- Wright, W.L., and G.F. Warren. 1965. Photochemical decomposition of trifluralin. Weeds 13: 329–331.
- Yockim, R.S., A.R. Isensee, and E.A. Walker. 1980. Behavior of triffuralin in aquatic model ecosystems. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 24: 134–141.
- Yoon, J.S., J.M. Mason, R. Valencia, R.C. Woodruff, and S. Zimmering. 1985. Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila*. IV. Results of 45 coded compounds tested for the national toxicology program. Environ. Mutagen. 7: 349–367.
- Zayed, S.M.A.D., I.Y. Mostafa, M.M. Farghaly, H.S.H. Ataby, Y.M. Adam, and F.M. Mahdy. 1983. Microbial degradation of trifluralin by Aspergillus carneus, Fusarium oxysporum and Trichoderma viride. J. Environ. Sci. Health B18: 253–267.
- Zepp, R.G., and D.M. Cline. 1977. Rates of direct photolysis in aquatic environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 11(4): 359–366.
- Zeyer, J., and P.C. Kearney. 1983. Microbial dealkylation of trifluralin in pure culture. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 20: 10–18.
- Zilkah, S., P.F. Bocion, and J. Gressel. 1977. Cell cultures vs. whole plants for measuring phytotoxicity. II. Correlations between phytotoxicity in seedlings and calli. Plant Cell Physiol. 18: 657–670.
- Zimdahl, R.L., and S.M. Gwynn. 1977. Soil degradation of three dinitroanilines. Weed Sci. 25: 247-251.

Appendix A

Trifluralin Residues in Runoff from Agricultural Land

Table A-1. Trifluralin Residues in Runoff from Agricultural Land

| Plot description<br>(soil type, crop) | Formulation (% ai)                      | Application<br>rate<br>(kg·ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Method of application      | Residues in runoff<br>(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) and days<br>posttreatment  | Reference            |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Bushland, Texas:                      | NR                                      | NR  | NR                         | 0.04, the highest con-   | Axe, Mathers, and We |
| Pullman silty clay loam               | ·                                       |   | 1                          | centration found in any  | 1969                 |
| (1.6% O.M.); water samples            |   |   |                            | of the samples; no time  |                      |
| collected in plastic cups             |   |   |                            | frame report, but mentioned  | *                    |
| (buried in treated farmers'           |   |   |                            | analysis of soil samples   |                      |
| fields) each time it rained           |   |   |                            | 3 mo. posttreatment  |                      |
|                                       |   |   |                            | 5 mor postarounioni  |                      |
| Walkinsville, Georgia:                | NR                                      | 1.12  | NR (sprayed as             | 0.007-0.015 (runoff water  | Wauchope 1978        |
| Gravelly sandy loam;                  | •                                       |   | aqueous emulsion;          | plus suspended sediment);  | wauchope 1976        |
| 2%-10% slope; 2.71 ha;                |   |   | incorporated into          | in 8–9 runoff events over  |                      |
| soybean crop                          | •                                       |   | •                          |  |                      |
|                                       | •                                       | •   | soil)                      | a 3- to 4-mo. period   |                      |
| Sandy loam/sandy clay:                | NR                                      | 1.10  | <b>377</b> / P 4           |  | • •                  |
| 3% slope; 1.26 ha;                    | NK                                      | 1.12  | NR (applied as             | 0.009-0.01 (runoff water   | Ŧ                    |
|                                       |   |   | above)                     | plus suspended sediment);  | •                    |
| soybean crop                          |   |   |                            | measured below grass in  | •                    |
| 4.                                    |   | * .   |                            | 4-10 runoff events over a  |                      |
|                                       |   |   |                            | 2-mo. period   | •                    |
| Lewiston and Rocky Mount,             | NR                                      | 1.12  | NR (applied as             | 0.008-0.024 (runoff water  | . ,                  |
| North Carolina:                       |   |   | above)                     | plus suspended sediment);  |                      |
| Sandy loam and loamy sand;            |   |   |                            | 10-21 runoff events over   |                      |
| 2% and 4% slope respectively;         |   |   |                            |  |                      |
| 0.0017 ha (17-m <sup>2</sup> plots);  |   |   | •                          | a 5- to 8-mo. period   | , .                  |
| cotton crop                           |   |   |                            |  |                      |
|                                       |   | ,   | · · ·                      |  |                      |
| Stoneville, Mississippi:              | NR                                      | 0.84  | <b>177</b> /               |  | · : : : :            |
| Sandy loam; 0.5% slope;               | MK                                      | 0.84  | NR (applied as             | 0.0005-0.00027 (runoff   |                      |
|                                       | " |   | above)                     | water plus suspended sediment);  |                      |
| 0.20 ha; soybean crop                 | e                                       |   |                            | in 2-7 runoff events over  |                      |
|                                       |   |   |                            | a 1- to 5-mo. period   |                      |
| effective to the second               | •                                       |   |                            |  |                      |
| Clarksdale, Mississippi:              |   |   | *                          |  |                      |
| Silty loam; 0.2% slope;               |   |   | 1                          | the control of the co |                      |
| 15.6 ha                               |   |   |                            |  |                      |
|                                       |   |   |                            |  |                      |
| Soybean crop                          | NR                                      | 1.12  | NR (applied as             | 0.18% (2.0 g ha <sup>-1</sup> )  | ,                    |
|                                       |   |   | above)                     | of that applied lost in  |                      |
|                                       | **                                      |   |                            |  |                      |
|                                       | $(x,y) = (x,y) \in \mathcal{F}_{p}$     |   |                            | 21 runoff events over a  |                      |
| •                                     |   |   |                            | 12-mo. period  |                      |
| Cotton crop                           | NR                                      | 1.12  | NTD / 1' 1                 |  |                      |
|                                       | 1120                                    | 1.12  | NR (applied as             | 0.18% (2.0 g ha <sup>-1</sup> )  |                      |
|                                       |   |   | above)                     | of that applied lost in  |                      |
|                                       |   |   |                            | 39 runoff events over a  |                      |
| •                                     |   | •   | 4                          | 12-mo. period  |                      |
| Georgia:                              | NR                                      | 1.12  | NR (applied as             | 0-0.006 in solution  | •                    |
| Cecil sandy loam,                     |   | (July 1, 1972)                                | recommended for            |  | Leonard, Landgdale,  |
| Pacolet gravelly sandy loam;          |   | \=,  \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\      |                            | (dissolved) and 0-0.1 ppm  | and Fleming 1979     |
| o soil and water conservation         |   | •   | crop production;           | in sediment: in 6 runoff   | **                   |
| tructures present; 2.7 ha;            |   |   | applied with               | events over one 90-d period  |                      |
| % average slope; crop of              |   |   | diphenamid at              | (27 d from application to  |                      |
| oybeans; conventionally tilled        |   | •   | 3.36 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | first runoff event)  |                      |
| efore planting/no cultivating         |   |   |                            |  | •                    |
| fter plant                            |   | 4 - 4 4                                       |                            |  |                      |
| aust Diant                            |   |   |                            | the state of the s |                      |

ND = not detected

O.M. = organic matter

| Plot description   | Formulation  | Application rate                        | Method of                             | Residues in runoff<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) and days |  |
|--|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| (soil type, crop)  | (% ai)       | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | application                           | posttreatment  | Reference  |
| As above   | NR           | 1.12                                    | NR (applied as                        | 0-0.013 in solution                                  |  |
| The above  | - 12 - 1     | (June 13, 1973)                         | above)                                | (dissolved) and 0.01-0.06 ppm                        |  |
|  |              |   | • •                                   | in sediment; in 9 runoff                             |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | events over one 90-d period                          |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | (<1 d from application to                            | •  |
|  |              | ٠.                                      |                                       | first runoff event)                                  |  |
| •  |              |   |                                       |  |  |
| Cecil sandy loam;  | NR           | 1.12                                    | NR (applied as                        | 0-0.021 in solution                                  | •  |
| portion of a parallel-terraced   | . *          | (June 30, 1972)                         | above)                                | (dissolved) and 0-0.28 ppm                           | ·  |
| area with grassed outlet   | •            |   |                                       | in sediment; in 10 runoff                            | , ,  |
| channels serving to collect  |              | • •                                     |                                       | events over one 90-d period                          | •  |
| runoff; 1.3 ha; 3% average   |              | ·                                       |                                       | (2 d from application to first runoff event)         |  |
| slope; crop of soybeans;   | •            | 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | A                                     | Hist ranoti event)                                   | . *  |
| conventionally tilled before   | •            |   | •                                     |  |  |
| planting and no cultivating  |              |   |                                       |  |  |
| after planting   |              |   |                                       |  |  |
| <b>A</b> . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •                         | NR           | 1.12                                    | NR (applied as                        | 0-0.008 in solution                                  |  |
| As above   | INK          | (June 15, 1973)                         | above)                                | (dissolved) and 0-0.09 ppm                           | •  |
|  |              | (30110-15, 1575)                        | 400.07                                | in sediment; in 5 runoff                             | $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \{ (i,j) \mid i \in \mathcal{F}_{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}_{i,j} \}$ |
|  |              | . 1                                     | ·                                     | events over one 90-d period                          |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | (23 d from application to                            | ·  |
|  |              |   |                                       | first runoff event)                                  |  |
|  | : <b>-</b> - | 1.10                                    | ND (incomposition                     | Runoff samples collected                             | Sheets, Bradley, and   |
| North Carolina:  | NR           | 1,12                                    | NR (incorporation to 10 cm before     | after each rain producing                            | Jackson 1973   |
| 8 surface runoff plots   | * "          |   | planting)                             | considerable runoff.                                 |  |
| (4 treated and 4 controls)   |              |   | Pranting,                             | Suction filtered sediments                           |  |
| each surrounded by sheet metal with a catchment device                 | •            |   |                                       | contained an average of 84%                          |  |
| · ·  |              | •                                       |                                       | of trifluralin detected in                           |  |
| at plot lower end to collect   | • •          | •                                       |                                       | the runoff. Highest concen-                          |  |
| runoff; cotton planted   |              |   |                                       | tration in surface runoff was                        |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | 0.024 at one location 6 wk                           |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | after application in 1970.                           | •  |
|  |              |   |                                       | Concentrations generally                             |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | higher for first few rains                           | ,  |
|  |              |   |                                       | after application and grad-                          |  |
|  |              | •                                       |                                       | ually decreased.                                     |  |
|  |              |   |                                       | •••  |  |
| Small pond in a  | NR           | 0.84 to                                 | NR                                    | Highest concentration was                            | •  |
| watershed  |              | 50%-60% of                              |                                       | 0.002 mg L 1 5 d after                               | ,  |
|  |              | watershed                               |                                       | application.   |  |
| ر  |              |   | Aqueous emulsion                      | Lower limit of accurate                              | Willis, Rogers, and  |
| Baton Rouge, Louisiana:  | NR ,         | 1.4                                     | broadcast with                        | quantification 0.01.                                 | Southwick 1975   |
| Mhoon silty clay,  |              | April 30, 1971,                         | small tractor-                        | Ranges provided as a                                 |  |
| 0.93%-1.42% O.M.; pH 5.5-6.0;  |              | March 29, 1972,<br>April 30, 1973       | mounted sprayer,                      | result of data typically                             | 1  |
| 0.2% slope; plot rows and  |              | White 20, 1212                          | preplant, and soil                    | as fractions of µg L-1.                              |  |
| small berms used to channel  | 4            | * *                                     | incorporated                          | 0%-0.04% of that applied                             |  |
| runoff through Parshall flume  |              | •                                       | (double disked to                     | lost in 5-6 runoff events                            |  |
| (measured volume) and  |              | X f                                     | 7.5 cm)                               | over a 3- to 4-mo. period.                           | •  |
| Geibmultislot divisor (diverted  | •            | •                                       |                                       | •  |  |
| 1/9 aliquot to storage tank).  |              |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |
| Planted with cotton and soybeans,<br>but so similar in terms of runoff |              |   |                                       |  |  |
| had an aimilar in forme of filloff                                     |              |   |                                       | ,  |  |
| concentrations that treated as   |              | •                                       |                                       |  |  |

| Plot description<br>(soil type, crop)   | Formulation<br>(% ai) | Application rate (kg ha 1) | Method of application             | Residues in runoff (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) and days posttreatment   | Reference                               |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Tifton, Georgia:  | NR                    | 1.12 (ai)                  | Surface applied                   | <del></del>   | Rhode et al. 1980                       |
| Cowarts loamy sand,   |                       | ()                         | 1 d before planting               |   | Knode et al. 1980                       |
| 0.5% O.M.; <3% slope;   |                       |                            | and incorporated                  | *   | •                                       |
| 0.34-ha watershed planted   | 4                     | . •                        | •                                 |   |   |
| with soybeans (bedded) each   |                       |                            | to 10-cm depth with               |   |   |
| year (July 12, 1974, and  |                       |                            | a rototiller                      |   |   |
| May 14, 1975) after fer-  |                       | •                          | •                                 |   |   |
| tilization with 0-10-20 at  |                       | •                          |                                   |   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   |   |   |
| 560 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>   |                       |                            |                                   |   |   |
| Shallow phreatic flow, above  | NR                    | Tul., 11, 1074             | A 91 '9                           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |
| semi-permeable formation  | / NK                  | July 11, 1974              | Applied as above                  | ND-0.0003 (runoff water   |   |
| (92-214 cm depth), collected  |                       | ,                          |                                   | plus suspended sediments)   | •                                       |
|   |                       |                            | • "                               | in 0-2 runoff events per  |   |
| by a tile drain at low side of  |                       |                            |                                   | mo. (8 total) over a  |   |
| watershed and directed through  |                       |                            |                                   | 1-yr. period (no runoff after   |   |
| a V-notch weir and collected  |                       |                            |                                   | 9th mo.)  |   |
| by hand (450 mL). The sub-  |                       | j .                        | • 1                               |   | •                                       |
| surface watershed is 0.36 ha.   | •                     | May 13, 1975               | Applied as above                  | ND (runoff water plus   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | suspended sediments), 0-3   |   |
| •   |                       | •                          |                                   | runoff events per mo.   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (11 total) over a 1-yr. period  |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no runoff after 7th mo.)   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no renor area /ar mo.)   | •                                       |
| Surface runoff directed   | NR                    | July 11, 1974              | Applied as above                  | ND-0.038 (runoff water plus   |   |
| through a grassed waterway  |                       |                            |                                   | suspended sediments) in 0-4   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |
| adjoining the watershed and   |                       | and the second             | 1                                 | runoff events per mo.   |   |
| through an H-flume at bottom.   | •                     |                            | •                                 | (21 total) over a 1-yr. period  |   |
| Samples collected by hand.  | *                     | (N)                        | •                                 | (no runoff after 9th mo.)   |   |
| •   |                       |                            |                                   | (no ranon and sur mo.)  |   |
|   |                       | May 13, 1975               | Applied as above                  | ND-0.023 (runoff water plus   |   |
|   | . 1                   |                            |                                   | suspended sediments) in 0-6   | * |
|   |                       |                            | •                                 | runoff events per mo.   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (30 total) over a 1-yr. period  |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   |   | •                                       |
|   |                       |                            |                                   |   | `                                       |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above   |   |
|   |                       |                            |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)   |   |
| Three senarate subulote (28 m²)   |                       | 112                        |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.) Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.   |   |
| Three separate subplots (28 m²) within above watershed with   |                       | 1.12                       | Applied as above                  | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above   | •                                       |
| within above watershed with   |                       | 1.12<br>1974               | Applied as above                  | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d   | •                                       |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various   |                       | * *                        | Applied as above                  | (no runoff after 8th mo.) Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.   | •                                       |
| within above watershed with<br>simulated rainfall at various<br>days after application (pipes   |                       | * *                        | Applied as above                  | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d   | •                                       |
| within above watershed with<br>simulated rainfall at various<br>days after application (pipes<br>1.51 m above group applying  |                       | * *                        | Applied as above                  | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d   |   |
| within above watershed with<br>simulated rainfall at various<br>days after application (pipes<br>1.51 m above group applying<br>water at 19.1 cm hr <sup>-1</sup> for   |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d   |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm ftr for 30 min). Runoff collected  |                       | * *                        | Applied as above Applied as above | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d   |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm ftr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm lur¹ for 30 min). Runoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling.   |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm ftr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural   |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for  |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore                           |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each   |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm fur for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore greater runoff losses of |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each artificial watering in-   |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm fur for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore greater runoff losses of |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each artificial watering integrated with the discharge   |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm fur for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore greater runoff losses of |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each artificial watering integrated with the discharge hydrograph gives total load                       |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm fur for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore greater runoff losses of |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + I d  0.004 + 29 d  0.001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each artificial watering integrated with the discharge hydrograph gives total load loss and maximum time- |   |
| within above watershed with simulated rainfall at various days after application (pipes 1.51 m above group applying water at 19.1 cm hr for 30 min). Rünoff collected by a gutter at plot edge and directed through an HS-flume for measurement and sampling. Simulated rainfall greater than expected under natural conditions and therefore greater runoff losses of  |                       | 1974                       |                                   | (no runoff after 8th mo.)  Runoff was normal or above normal for both years.  0.025 + 1 d  0.004 + 29 d  0.0001 + 71 d  0.012 + 10 d  0.009 + 21 d  0.004 + 38 d  Concentration curves for sampling period after each artificial watering integrated with the discharge hydrograph gives total load                       |   |

| Plot description<br>(soil type, crop)  | Formulation (% ai) | Application<br>rate<br>(kg·ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Method of application  | Residues in runoff<br>(mg L ¹) and days<br>posttreatment                         | Reference  |
|--|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
|  |                    | 1.12  | Applied as above   | Upper flume 0.005-0.012  |  |
| Same watershed as above.  2-bed, 4-row subplot with  |                    | June 10, 1976                                 | Applica as accio   | Oppor name ones of   |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                    | (wet)   |  | Intermediate max. 0.008  | * .  |
| runoff directed onto the grassed   | 1000               | (well)  |  | <del></del>  |  |
| waterway (above). Discharge  |                    | • .   | •  | Lower flume 0.001-0.002  |  |
| measured and samples collected   |                    | •   |  |  |  |
| at two HS-flumes, one at the waterway entrance and one at  |                    | Oct. 18, 1977                                 | Applied as above   | Upper flume 0.006-0.013  | •  |
| the outlet. Samples also taken   |                    | Oca 10, 1777                                  | reppine a second   |  | •  |
| at 3 intermediate sites. Wet   | 1                  |   | 4.*  | Intermediate max. 0.001-0.002  |  |
|  |                    |   | •  |  |  |
| waterway (9.55 cm rainfall in  |                    |   | v = 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0 × 0  | Lower flume <0.004   | • •  |
| 2 wk prior) with dry waterway  | . *                |   |  |  |  |
| (no rainfall 2 wk prior);  |                    |   | A 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| both sprinkled by 1.3 cm water   |                    |   |  |  |  |
| 1 d before test. Subplot   |                    |   |  |  |  |
| artificially watered at same   |                    |   |  |  |  |
| rate and amount of time as above   |                    |   |  |  |  |
| during test on June 11, 1976 (wet)   | 100                | and the second                                |  | *  |  |
| and Oct. 19, 1977 (dry).   |                    |   |  |  | •  |
| المنافقة أومان المسا   | NR                 | 1.12  | NR preplant  |  | Willis et al. 1983   |
| Clarksdale, Mississippi:   | NK                 | March 1972                                    | application  |  |  |
| 18.7-ha watershed; mean slope  |                    | Water 1972                                    | application  |  |  |
| of 0.2% with several soil  | `.                 | May 1973                                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 0.0002   |  |
| types: Bruin silt loam (57%),  | . •                | May 1975                                      |  |  |  |
| Commerce silt loam (15%),  |                    | April 1974                                    | 1.0 N  | 0.0001   |  |
| Tunica silty clay (11%), and   |                    | April 1974                                    |  |  | ,  |
| Sharkey silty clay (17%).  | * •                | April 1975                                    | •  | 0.0004   | *  |
| Runoff directed by shallow   |                    | April 1975                                    |  | -  | and the second   |
| V-ditches into a 1.6-ha pond   |                    | April 1976                                    |  | 0.0008   |  |
| on the watershed. Before   |                    | April 1970                                    |  |  | •  |
| entering the pond 64% of the   |                    | April 1977                                    |  | 0.0005   |  |
| runoff was measured and sampled  |                    | April 1977                                    | The second secon |  |  |
| (i.e., only one drainage ditch   |                    | April 1978                                    |  | 0.0004   |  |
| carried sampling instruments).   |                    | April 1976                                    |  |  |  |
| Samples collected with an auto-  |                    |   |  | The above are values for   | 4  |
| matic pump at 10-min intervals   |                    |   |  | the year. The concen-  |  |
| throughout each runoff event   |                    |   | •  | trations from each storm   | **   |
| (storm). Planted to cotton   |                    |   |  | event are discharge weighted.  |  |
| each year and stalks shredded  |                    |   |  | A range of r <sup>2</sup> values from  |  |
| after harvest (fertilized in   |                    |   |  | 0.55 to 0.98 for years 1973-   |  |
| spring).   |                    |   |  | 1978 relate storm pesticide  |  |
| •  |                    |   |  | yield (g ha 1) to storm  |  |
| the state of the s |                    | *   |  | sediment yield (kg ha 1)   |  |
|  |                    |   | •  |  |  |
|  | NTD                | NR  | NR   | 0.0007 in tailwaters fol-  | Grover 1983  |
| Outlook, Saskatchewan:   | NR                 | TAÏZ  | A 144  | lowing first irrigation  |  |
| Irrigation basin   | * * *              |   |  |  | 1  |
|  |                    |   |  |  |  |
|  |                    |   |  | <0.0001 in drain canal   | The second secon |
|  |                    |   |  | <0.0001 in drain canal (carried all waste water                                  | •  |
|  | •                  |   |  | <0.0001 in drain canal<br>(carried all waste water<br>from the irrigation basin) |  |

Appendix B

Environmental Concentration Ranges of Trifluralin Residues in Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, and Biota

Table B-1. Environmental Concentration Ranges of Trifluralin Residues in Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, and Biota

| Sample                         | Location, years, and conditions  | Matrix                | Concentration range (& mean)  | Samples with pesticide                  | Reference            |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| 27 samples from 2              | La Salle River, Man.   | Water                 | NT 004 - 1-1 - 11 - 11 - 1  |   |                      |
| locations on 16                | Sampling interval  | w alcr                | ND-0.24 µg L <sup>-1</sup> . Highest  | NR                                      | Williamson 1984      |
| occasions                      |  |                       | values from one loca-   |   |                      |
| occasions                      | clustered during   |                       | tion on April 18, 1983,   |   |                      |
| 4.0                            | April 1983 to coincide   |                       | and Nov. 8, 1983, and   |   |                      |
|                                | with snowmelt water run-   |                       | other values typically  |   | . :                  |
|                                | off and at monthly   |                       | ND or trace amounts.  |   | • •                  |
|                                | intervals from May 1983  |                       | Detection limit:  |   |                      |
| •                              | to March 1984 (excluding   | •                     | 0.05 µg·L <sup>-1</sup>   |   |                      |
|                                | August 1983). Sample   |                       | . ` `   |   |                      |
|                                | filtration prior to  |                       |   | 4                                       |                      |
|                                | extraction removed 90%   |                       |   |   | k                    |
|                                | of the 20- to 25-mm size   |                       |   | * |                      |
|                                | particles. Drains  |                       |   |   | -                    |
|                                | agricultural land.   |                       | •   |   |                      |
| •                              | agriculturar land.   |                       |   |   |                      |
| 15 samples from 2              | a residence was a factor   | i                     |   |   | ,                    |
| •                              | Assiniboine River, Man.  | •                     | ND-0.1 μg L <sup>-1</sup> .   | NR                                      |                      |
| sites on 10                    | Sampling at monthly  |                       | Highest value from one  | ."                                      |                      |
| occasions                      | intervals from May 1983  |                       | location on Nov. 8, 1983,   | · .                                     | r e                  |
|                                | to March 1984 (excluding   | •                     | and other values typically  |   |                      |
|                                | August 1983). Drains   |                       | ND or trace amounts.  | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *   |                      |
|                                | agricultural land.   |                       | Detection limit:  |   |                      |
|                                |  |                       | 0.05 μg L <sup>-1</sup>   |   |                      |
|                                |  |                       | 0.05 µg·L   | •                                       |                      |
| Single samples                 | April 11, 1983   |                       | Trace   | NR                                      |                      |
| occasion from<br>3 small water | June 1, 1983   |                       | Trace   | NR                                      |                      |
| pools within study             | .Tuna 1 1002   |                       |   |   | •                    |
|                                | June 1, 1983   |                       | ND (detection   | NR                                      | • .                  |
| irea                           | •  | and the second second | limit: NR)  |   |                      |
| TD.                            |  |                       | ÷+  |   |                      |
| √IR.                           | NR   | Fish tissue           | 0.775 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   | NR                                      |                      |
| *                              |  | \                     | (trifluralin)   |   | * 1                  |
| •                              |  |                       | ,   |   | ,                    |
|                                |  |                       | 1.323 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>   | NR .                                    |                      |
|                                | •  | 4                     | (trifluralin & metabolites)   | • |                      |
|                                |  |                       | ( included included included in the second in the |   |                      |
| VR .                           | NR   | Snail tissue          | 27.085 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>  | <b>\T</b>                               |                      |
|                                | Ĺ  | Silati Hadde          |   | NR                                      |                      |
|                                |  | •                     | (trifluralin)   |   |                      |
| •                              |  |                       |   | :                                       |                      |
|                                |  |                       | 28.870 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>  | NR                                      | •                    |
|                                |  |                       | (trifluralin & metabolites)   |   |                      |
|                                |  | ,                     |   |   | •                    |
|                                | Ochre River, West. Man.  | Water                 | Maximum levels did not  | NR                                      | Muir and Grift 1987  |
|                                | 3.5-L grab sampling  |                       | exceed $25 \times 10^3  \mu g  L^{-1}$ ,  | - 177-                                  | Midir and Offic 1987 |
|                                | in duplicate using 4-L   |                       | and found at detectable   |   |                      |
|                                | amber glass bottles on   |                       | levels ( $<3 \times 10^3  \mu g  L^{-1}$ )  |   | •                    |
|                                | March 14, April 13, April  |                       |   |   |                      |
|                                |  |                       | on only three occasions   |   |                      |
|                                |  |                       | (May, June, July) or 10% of   |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals  | ,                     |   |   | · ·                  |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5,   |                       | the samples.  | 10 mm                                   | ,                    |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals<br>afterward until Sept. 5,<br>1984. Final collection  |                       | the samples.  |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals<br>afterward until Sept. 5,<br>1984. Final collection<br>on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains  |                       | the samples.  |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land   |                       | the samples.  |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals<br>afterward until Sept. 5,<br>1984. Final collection<br>on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains  |                       | the samples.  |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.   |                       | the samples.  |   |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.   | Water                 |   | ΝTD                                     |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above.                            | Water                 | Maximum levels did not  | NR                                      |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above. Drains mainly agricultural | Water                 | Maximum levels did not exceed $25 \times 10^{-3}  \text{mg L}^{-1}$ ;   | NR                                      |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above.                            | Water                 | Maximum levels did not exceed 25 × 10 <sup>3</sup> µg L <sup>-1</sup> ; below detection limits  | NR                                      |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above. Drains mainly agricultural | Water                 | Maximum levels did not exceed $25 \times 10^3 \text{ µg L}^{-1}$ ; below detection limits $(3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ µg L}^{-1})$ in  | NR                                      |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above. Drains mainly agricultural | Water                 | Maximum levels did not exceed $25 \times 10^3  \mu g  L^{-1}$ ; below detection limits $(3.5 \times 10^3  \mu g  L^{-1})$ in almost all samples (exceeded   | NR                                      |                      |
|                                | 27, and at weekly intervals afterward until Sept. 5, 1984. Final collection on Oct. 10, 1984. Drains mainly non-cropped land and forest.  Turtle River. As above. Drains mainly agricultural | Water                 | Maximum levels did not exceed $25 \times 10^3 \text{ µg L}^{-1}$ ; below detection limits $(3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ µg L}^{-1})$ in  | NR                                      |                      |

ND = not detected

| Sample                                   | Location, years, and conditions   | Matrix                        | Concentration range (& mean)   | Samples with pesticide                  | Reference  |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Z  | LaSalle River, Man.   | Water                         | ND (detection  | NR                                      | Therrien-Richards and  |
| 7 sampling loca-                         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 17 0001                       | limit: 0.1   |   | Williamson 1987  |
| tions along length                       | One grab sample per   |                               | μg L <sup>-1</sup> ). Possibly   |   |  |
| of river                                 | site at 30-day inter-   |                               | not detected because   |   |  |
|  | vals from Aug. to Dec.<br>1984 with a 1-L   |                               | during May (usual month  |   |  |
| •  | Boston round bottle   |                               | of trifluralin application)  |   |  |
|  | at midstream. Drains  |                               | rainfall below normal.   | · . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
|  | agricultural land.  | <u> </u>                      |  |   |  |
|  | agriculturar land.  |                               |  | 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - |  |
| As above                                 | Sampling with Ekman   | Sediment                      | 0.004 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 1/21                                    | A STATE OF THE STA |
| 110 110010                               | dredge at 3 equi-   |                               |  |   | * 4  |
|  | distant points across   |                               | •  |   |  |
|  | stream width at each  |                               | • •  |   | •  |
|  | sampling location on  |                               |  |   |  |
|  | 1 occasion in Aug.  | •                             |  |   |  |
|  | 1984 (1 sample per  |                               |  |   |  |
| P  | sampling site).   | * *                           |  |   |  |
|  |   | · ·                           |  |   | and the second   |
| 4 of the above 7                         | Samples of small forage   | Fish tissue:                  |  |   |  |
| sampling sites and                       | fish collected by seine,  | brown bullhead                | 0.0045-0.0057 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>  | NR                                      |  |
| 3 subsamples at one                      | nets, and basket-type   | (Ictalurus nebulosus)         | (0.0049 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )  | • •                                     |  |
| site for a total                         | minnow traps. Sampling  | brook stickleback             | 0.0047 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   | NR                                      |  |
| of 6 samples                             | data is variable (some  | (Culaea inconstans)           |  | NR                                      |  |
| *  | given, some not).   | central mud-                  | ND-0.0075 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>  | TÁIX                                    |  |
|  | Samples equal 100 g of  | minnow                        | (detection limit: NR)  |   |  |
|  | each fish species.  | (Umbra limi)                  |  | •                                       |  |
|  |   | A                             | NR   | NR                                      | *.   |
| Sample 4 of above                        | 100 g sampled from each   | Aquatic macro-                | NK   | ****                                    |  |
| 7 sampling sites                         | site  | phytes                        |  |   |  |
|  | A Cottooter Divine Man  | Water                         | ND (detection  | NR                                      | •  |
| 2 sampling sites                         | Assiniboine River, Man.<br>(downstream Trans-Canada                                 | W auci                        | limit: 0.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup> ).   | ,                                       |  |
| along river                              |   |                               | Possibly not detected  | • |  |
|  | Highway). One midstream grab sample per site at                                     |                               | because during May   | • 1                                     |  |
|  | 30-d intervals from   |                               | (usual month of trifluralin  |   |  |
|  | Aug. to Dec. 1984 with a  |                               | application) rainfall below  |   | 2  |
|  | 1-L bottle. Drains  |                               | normal.  |   |  |
|  | agricultural land.  |                               |  |   |  |
|  | agircular alia.   |                               |  |   |  |
| As above                                 | Sampling by hand of   | Sediment                      | 0.006 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>  | NR                                      | · · ·  |
| 1  | fine-grained deposits   | •                             | (1 sample)   |   |  |
|  | on lee side of mid-   |                               |  |   | * •  |
|  | stream obstructions   |                               |  |   |  |
|  | (sand bars and rocks) on  |                               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   | •  |
|  | 1 occasion in Aug. 1984.  |                               |  |   | *  |
| •  | Number of samples NR.   |                               |  |   |  |
| •  |   |                               | 10 % 1-1   | NR                                      |  |
| NR                                       | NR  | River water                   | 1.8 µg L <sup>-1</sup>   | THE                                     |  |
| 40 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   | **** 1 <b>6</b> 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 3.24-10.78 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   | NR                                      |  |
|  |   | Whole fish tissue             | 3.24=10.76 mg kg   |   |  |
|  |   | Curing graneff                | ND (detection  | NR                                      | Waite et al. 1986  |
| 7 sampling sites                         | Study area 2800 ha  | Spring runoff                 | limit: 0.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup> )  |   | •  |
| • •                                      | operated by 17 farmers  |                               | imme ou hêm )  | •                                       |  |
|  |   | ·                             |  | •                                       |  |
|  | and the City of Regina.   |                               | the state of the s |   | and the second s |
|  | Sampling on a daily basis   |                               |  | * * *                                   |  |
| ~  | Sampling on a daily basis for duration of runoff event                              |                               |  |   |  |
| ~  | Sampling on a daily basis<br>for duration of runoff event<br>at 4 culverts crossing |                               |  |   |  |
| ~  | Sampling on a daily basis for duration of runoff event                              |                               |  |   |  |

Table B-1. Continued

| Sample              | Location, years, and conditions | Matrix                   | Concentration range (& mean)             | Samples with pesticide | Reference                             |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                     |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | at a culvert siting the         |                          | •  |                        |                                       |
|                     | lower slough. Only 2            |                          |  | •                      |                                       |
|                     | runoff events reported          |                          | •  |                        | •                                     |
|                     | (March 27 and 28, 1984)         | •                        |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | apparently because of           |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | small snowfall and cold         |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | spring. Grab samples            | S                        |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | collected in 4-L                |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | glass bottles.                  | A transfer of the second | `. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                        |                                       |
| 25 gammling sites   | Ta C                            | <b>a</b> : <b>a</b>      |  |                        |                                       |
| 35 sampling sites,  | Iowa. Samples collected         | Surface water            | 0.13 ng L <sup>-1</sup>                  | 1/33                   | Wnuk et al. 1987                      |
| one sample from     | after rainfall from 35          |                          |  | •                      | •                                     |
| each site.          | treated public water supplies   |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | between May 1 and July 1,       |                          |  | and the second second  |                                       |
| ·                   | 1986, using 2 one-quart         |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | glass jars. 33 water supply     |                          | . /                                      |                        |                                       |
|                     | samples analyzed.               |                          |  |                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| •                   |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     |                                 | •                        |  |                        |                                       |
| 14 of the above     | Samples collected after         | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/15                   |                                       |
| 33 sampling sites,  | rainfall from 15 untreated      |                          | limit: $0.1 \mu g L^{-1}$ )              |                        |                                       |
| plus I other        | public water supplies           | . •                      | *  |                        |                                       |
| sampling site; 1    | (not including the one          |                          | •  |                        | •                                     |
| sample at each site | site where trifluralin          | •                        |  | •                      | • •                                   |
|                     | was detected in treated         |                          |  |                        | and the second second                 |
|                     | water) between May 1 and        |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | July 1, 1986, using same        |                          |  | × ,                    | •                                     |
|                     | sample size as above.           | •                        |  |                        |                                       |
| 48                  | ing the second of the second of |                          |  | •                      | A                                     |
| 45 samples (number  | University of Iowa.             | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/45                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | Samples collected approx.       |                          | limit: 0.2 µg L-1)                       |                        |                                       |
| NR)                 | weekly, May 1985 to March       | 4.                       | • .                                      |                        |                                       |
|                     | 1986 from untreated             |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     | community public water          | • •                      |  |                        | i                                     |
|                     | supply systems.                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 40                  |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 48 samples (number  | As above - treated              | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/48                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | water                           |                          | limit: 0.2 μg L <sup>-1</sup> )          |                        |                                       |
| NR)                 |                                 |                          | · ·                                      | *                      |                                       |
|                     |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 44 samples (number  | Davenport, Iowa.                | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/44                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | As above - untreated            |                          | limit: 0.2 µg L <sup>-1</sup> )          |                        | •                                     |
| NR)                 | water                           |                          |  | •                      |                                       |
|                     |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 46 samples (number  | As above - treated              | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/46                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | water                           |                          | limit: 0.2 µg L-1)                       |                        |                                       |
| NR)                 |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 41 1 .              |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 41 samples (number  | Clarinda, Iowa.                 | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/41                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | As above - untreated            | •                        | limit: 0.2 μg L <sup>-1</sup> )          | • =                    |                                       |
| NR)                 | water                           |                          |  |                        |                                       |
|                     |                                 |                          |  |                        |                                       |
| 16 samples (number  | As above - treated              | Surface water            | ND (detection                            | 0/46                   |                                       |
| of sampling sites   | water                           | 1.7                      | limit: 0.2 μg L <sup>-1</sup> )          | V) 1.0                 |                                       |
| NR)                 |                                 |                          | · - ro - /                               |                        | *                                     |

Table B-1. Continued

| Sample   | Location, years,<br>and conditions | Matrix             | Concentration range (& mean)    | Samples with pesticide                  | Reference   |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
|  | 7 1 M 15 1 M 15                    | Whole fish         | ,                               |   | Camanzo et al. 1987   |
| 15 samples of 2  | Lake Michigan, Michigan.           |                    |                                 |   |   |
| fish species from  | Sample sites included              | homogenate         |                                 | .*                                      |   |
| each of 14 sampling  | 13 tributaries and 1 bay           | (20-g samples)     |                                 | •                                       |   |
| sites  | of Lake Michigan. Samples          |                    | 0.002 0.126 11                  | NR                                      |   |
|  | collected in fall 1983.            | common carp        | 0.003-0.126 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | MK                                      |   |
|  | Each species separated             |                    | (0.028 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )    |   |   |
|  | according to size                  |                    |                                 | /                                       |   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | (assumed to positively             | smallmouth bass    | 0.005-0.011 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | NR (                                    | · ·   |
|  | reflect age and therefore          | (Micropterus       | (0.008 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )    |   |   |
|  | bioconcentration) and              | (dolomieui)        |                                 |   |   |
|  | group with largest fish            |                    |                                 |   | •   |
|  | analyzed.                          | channel catfish    | 0.050 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>       | NR                                      |   |
|  | uniary no an                       | (Ictalurus         |                                 |   | professional control of the control |
|  |                                    | punctatus)         |                                 | •                                       |   |
|  | •                                  | punciains)         |                                 |   |   |
|  |                                    |                    | 0.004 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>       | NR                                      |   |
|  |                                    | pumpkinseed        | Vivor ing Ag                    |   |   |
|  |                                    | (Lepomis gibbosus) | · ·                             | •                                       |   |
|  |                                    |                    | 0.010, 0.024, 11                | NR                                      | <b>,</b> .  |
| 1. The second of | •                                  | bowfin             | 0.018-0.034 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | MK                                      |   |
|  |                                    | (Amia calva)       | (0.024 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )    |   |   |
|  |                                    |                    |                                 |   |   |
|  |                                    | northern pike      | 0.004-0.100 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | NR                                      |   |
|  |                                    | (Esox lucius)      | (0.029 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )    | , , ,                                   |   |
|  |                                    |                    |                                 |   |   |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *  |                                    | rock bass          | ND-0.008 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>    | NR                                      |   |
| e · · · · ·  | •                                  | (Ambloplites       | (0.021 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )    |   |   |
|  |                                    | rupestris)         | Detection limit:                |   |   |
| •  |                                    | rupesiris          | 0.003 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>       | ,                                       |   |
| * * * *  |                                    | and the second     | 0.005 mg kg                     |   | 2.0   |
|  |                                    |                    | 0.011 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>       | NR                                      | 1   |
|  | •                                  | lake trout         | O.O.i.i.mg.r.g                  |   |   |
|  |                                    | (Salvelinus        |                                 |   |   |
| P + F  |                                    | namaycush)         |                                 |   |   |
|  |                                    |                    | And the second second           |   | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -   |
|  |                                    | largemouth bass    | 0.011 mg kg 1                   | , NR                                    | , Y 1   |
|  |                                    | (Micropterus       |                                 |   |   |
|  | 1.0                                | salmoides)         |                                 | :                                       |   |
|  | T.                                 | •                  |                                 | •                                       |   |
| 10 0 1 0 21 -6   | Fall 1980.                         | Fillet homogenate  | ND in any samples               | 1/36                                    | Clark, DeVault, and   |
| 15 fish from each of   | 12 sites throughout all            | coho salmon        | (detection limit:               |   | Bowden 1984   |
| 12 sampling sites.   | the Great Lakes. Adult             | (Oncorhynchus      | 0.005 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )     |   | ,   |
| 3 samples per site   | fish collected on trib-            | kisutch)           | except 1, which produced        |   |   |
| - each comprising  |                                    | Kisiichj           | a trace of trifluralin          |   | 4   |
| 5 single fillets   | utaries as they began              | 1                  | (present but below              |   | •   |
| (skin on) from each  | their fall upstream                |                    |                                 |   |   |
| fish   | migration. 36 samples              |                    | 0.01 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )      |   |   |
|  | total.                             | •                  |                                 |   | •   |
|  | •                                  |                    | 0041 7.1                        | NR                                      | Frank et al. 1987   |
| 2 samples per  | Nov. 25 and Dec. 16, 1984;         | Groundwater        | 0.041 mg L <sup>-1</sup>        | M                                       | * term 61 mm 1501   |
| 91 sample sites  | 91 farm wells across               | •                  | <u>~</u>                        | •                                       |   |
| NA manufact district   | southern Ontario sampled           |                    | the second second second        |   |   |
| **   | on these two dates;                |                    |                                 |   | 4   |
| 1  |                                    |                    |                                 | •                                       | 4   |
|  | 1.5-L samples.                     |                    |                                 |   | •   |
|  | Trifluralin detected in            |                    |                                 |   | ·   |
|  | only 1 well (13 m deep,            |                    |                                 |   |   |
|  | sandy soil, used for               |                    | •                               | * | Sec. 2016   |
|  | mixing and filling tanks).         |                    |                                 |   | . •   |
|  | mixing and mine.                   |                    |                                 |   | · ·   |

Appendix C

Summary of Studies of Trifluralin Persistence in Soil

Table C-1. Summary of Studies of Triffuralin Persistence in Soil

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai)      | Soil depths<br>measured  | Residues (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except when noted) | Results and comments         | Reference  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|------------|
| Melfort, Sask.:   | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-t</sup>               | 0–5 cm   | % of applied chemical                            | Incorporated into the        | Smith 1975 |
| Melfort silty clay  |                                       |  | remaining (mean ± SD)                            | top 5 cm of soil for         | Smith 1975 |
| (11.7% O.M., pH 5.2;  |                                       |  | $71 \pm 4$ (Oct. $71$ –May $72$ )                | 2 min with a fork to         |            |
| 36% field capacity  |                                       |  | ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )          | reduce volatility.           |            |
| moisture)   |                                       |  | 55 ± 1 (Oct. 72-May 73)                          | Plots tamped down to         |            |
|   |                                       | the second second  | · (,,,,,,  | prevent wind erosion         |            |
|   |                                       | * .  | $31 \pm 7$ (May 72–Oct. 72)                      | and weeded regularly with    | *          |
|   | i e                                   |  | (osa-y / osa- /)                                 | minimal disturbance.         |            |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *                       |                                       |  | 24 ± 6 (May 73-Oct. 73)                          | Considerably milder          |            |
|   |                                       |  |  | winter of 1972–73 may        |            |
| •   |                                       |  | $35 \pm 3$ (May 72–May 73)                       | have contributed to          |            |
|   |                                       | •  | (, , <u> </u>                                    | decreased carry-over         |            |
|   |                                       |  | 16 ± 3 (May 72-Oct. 73)                          | (soil temperature and        |            |
|   |                                       |  |  | moisture content prior       |            |
|   | •                                     | 5–10 cm  | Negligible residues (<2%)                        | to freeze-up and after       |            |
|   | · 1                                   | •  | 3. 8   | spring thaw may have         |            |
| Regina, Sask.:  | l.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>               | 0–5 cm   | 71 ± 11 (Oct. 71-May 72)                         | contributed to losses by     |            |
| Regina heavy clay   |                                       |  |  | biological degradation       |            |
| (4.2% O.M.; pH 7.7;   |                                       |  | 32 ± 8 (Oct. 72-May 73)                          | and volatilization).         |            |
| 40% field capacity  |                                       |  |  | Increased rainfall was       |            |
| moisture)   |                                       |  | 12 ± 4 (May 72-Oct. 72)                          | recorded during the 1973     |            |
|   |                                       | and the second s | : (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,          | studies compared to the      |            |
|   |                                       |  | 8 ± 2 (May 73-Oct. 73)                           | 1972 studies (23.9 and       |            |
|   |                                       |  | ,          | 47.2 cm as compared to       |            |
|   |                                       |  | 16 ± 5 (May 72–May 73)                           | 19.0 and 18.5 cm for         |            |
|   |                                       |  | (,,,,,, .  | Regina heavy clay and        |            |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  | $3 \pm 0$ (May 72-Oct. 73)                       | Melfort silty clay re-       | · .        |
|   |                                       | •  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·            | spectively) and was          |            |
|   | *                                     | 5-10 cm  | Negligible residues (<2%)                        | considered a possible        |            |
| · ·   |                                       |  | 3-5 (- <u>-</u> )                                | contributing factor to       | $\sim$     |
|   |                                       | •  |  | lower residue levels in      |            |
|   |                                       |  |  | Oct. 1973 than in Oct. 1972. |            |

Note: Field capacity is interpreted as the % soil moisture (by weight) retained by a saturated soil after it has been allowed to drain by gravity for three days (x% field capacity or field capacity x% indicates a soil's field capacity; x% of field capacity indicates the existing soil moisture as a fraction of field capacity).

O.M. = organic matter

RMS = regression mean square

| ၽွ |
|----|

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai)  | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-l</sup> except<br>when noted)                          | Results and comment Reference   | ·<br> |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-------|
| Jameson, Sask.:   | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>     | 0–5 cm                  | 71 ± 6 (Oct. 71-May 72)   |   |       |
| Jameson sandy loam<br>(3.2% O.M.; pH 7.5;                   |                             |                         | 31 ± 6 (Oct. 72–May 73)   |   |       |
| 11% field capacity moisture)                                |                             |                         | 14 ± 1 (May 72-Oct. 72)   |   |       |
|   |                             |                         | 14 ± 6 (May 73–Oct 73)  |   | •     |
|   |                             |                         | 17 ± 4 (May 72–May 73)  |   |       |
|   |                             |                         | 7 ± 2 (May 72–Oct. 73)  |   |       |
|   |                             | 5–10 cm                 | Negligible residues (<2%)   |   |       |
| Lincoln, Nebr.:<br>Sharpsburg silty<br>clay loam (2.8%      |                             |                         | Chemical assays of soil<br>samples taken in Sept. 1972<br>(kg ha <sup>1</sup> ) | Burnside 1974   | •     |
| O.M.; pH 5.8 in<br>surface 15 cm of                         | 0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (May) | 0–20 cm                 | 0   | Residue values are averages of two soil                               |       |
| soil)   | 0.56                        |                         | 0 (applied 1969)  | depths (0–10 cm and 10–20 cm) not subject and subject to fall plowing | ·     |
|   | 1.12<br>2.24                |                         | 0 (applied 1969)  0.01 (applied 1969)   | (tandem discing to 12-cm<br>depth plus harrowing).                    | . :   |
|   | 0.56                        |                         | 0 (applied: 1969–70)  | Weeds removed by hand.  |       |
|   | 1.12                        |                         | 0.01 (applied 1969-70)  |   | • .   |
|   | 2.24                        |                         | 0.06 (applied 1969-70)  |   |       |
|   | 0.56                        |                         | 0.02 (applied 1969-71)  |   |       |
|   | 1.12                        |                         | 0.06 (applied 1969-71)  |   |       |
|   | 2,24                        |                         | 0.15 (applied 1969-71)  |   |       |

| <del></del>   |                                       | <u> </u>    | Table C-1. Continue                                   | :u             |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content) | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai)      | Soil depths | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>1</sup> except<br>when noted) |                | Results and comment                                    | Reference  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |             |   |                | - Continuent   | - Iwacioneo  |
| ·   | Residue values are                    | 0-10 cm     | 0.04 (not plowed)                                     | •              | After long-term appli-                                 |  |
|   | averages of all                       |             |   |                | cation (i.e., 1969–71),                                | •  |
|   | application rates                     | 10-20 cm    | 0.02 (not plowed)                                     |                | long-term carry-over                                   |  |
|   | (i.e., untreated,                     |             |   | r              | increased on fall plowed                               |  |
|   | 0.56 kg/ha, 1.12                      | 0–10 cm     | 0.04 (fall plowed)                                    | •              | plots (believed to reduce                              |  |
|   | kg/ha, and 2.24 kg/ha)                |             |   | •              | volatilization and photo-                              | ,  |
|   | and years (i.e., 1969,                | 10-20 cm    | 0.03 (fall plowed)                                    |                | decomposition losses, but                              |  |
|   | 1969-70, and 1969-71)                 |             |   |                | other detoxification methods                           |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | in moister soil might have                             |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | increased).  |  |
| Indian Head, Sask.:   | 271 1                                 | 0.40        | <u> </u>  |                |  |  |
| Clay (2.9% O.M.,  | 2.7 kg ha                             | 0-10 cm     | NR:   |                | Incorporated to 9-cm                                   | Smith, Aubin, and  |
| pH 7.9, 85% of  | (5% granular formu-<br>lation)        | *           |   | . <del>-</del> | depth by field cultivator                              | Derksen 1988   |
| field capacity)   | Application Oct. 1986                 |             | •   |                | and attached harrow;                                   |  |
| ion capacity)   | Application Oct. 1909                 |             |   |                | second incorporation to                                |  |
|   |                                       |             | •   |                | same depth in April 1987                               |  |
|   |                                       |             | -   |                | and third incorporation                                |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | 4 d later to 7.5 cm depth.  Applications and incorpor- |  |
| en e                    |                                       |             |   | ·              | ations of "aged" soils                                 |  |
|   |                                       | •.          |   |                | carried out in field and                               | N. Carlotte and Ca |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | after 10 mo sampled,                                   | · ·  |
|   |                                       | -           |   |                | added to polystyrene cartons                           |  |
| •   |                                       |             |   |                | in lab. "Fresh" soils are                              |  |
|   | ***                                   |             |   |                | those untreated from the                               |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | field which are treated at                             | •  |
|   | ,                                     |             | 9   |                | "aged" application rate and                            | ٠.   |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | incubated as above.                                    |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | Replicates were moistened to                           |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | 85% of their field capacities                          | •  |
| •   | •                                     |             |   |                | and maintained with waterings                          |  |
|   | •                                     | •           |   |                | every 2nd d. Dissipation                               |  |
| •   |                                       |             |   |                | described by first-order                               |  |
| •   | •                                     | -           |   |                | kinetics. Dissipation                                  |  |
|   |                                       | ,           | •   |                | equations were   |  |
|   |                                       |             | •   |                | calculated - Log <sup>10</sup> (%                      |  |
|   |                                       |             |   |                | herbicide at T days).                                  |  |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) |  | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment   | Reference           |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| Loam (4.6% O.M.,<br>pH 7.4, 85% of<br>field capacity)       |  |                         | NR   | Clay - Aged 10 months: 2.009- 0.00279 T, RMS = 0.96 - Fresh: 2.004-0.00325 T,   |                     |
|   |  |                         |  | RMS = 0.97  |                     |
|   |  |                         |  | - Aged 10 months: 1.979-<br>0.00217 T, RMS = 0.94<br>- Fresh: 1.967-0.00271 T,<br>RMS = 0.88                                    |                     |
| Manitoba: - Red Deer River heavy clay                       | 0.2–3.0 mg kg <sup>-1</sup><br>(dry weight soil) |                         | 50% (+ 102 d)  | Lab study involving incubation of treated   | Webster et al. 1978 |
| (8.8% O.M., pH 6.5, field capacity 33.8%)                   |  |                         |  | soil and analysis for<br>total trifluralin at<br>various posttreatment time   |                     |
|   |  |                         |  | intervals. Each replicate was fertilized at the beginning of the study. Replicates were watered to                              |                     |
|   |  |                         |  | field capacity and the loss compensated by weekly waterings.  |                     |
| - Gladstone<br>clay loam (9.2%                              |  |                         | 50% (+ 107 d)  | First-order equation (i.e., C/Co = e <sup>-tr</sup> ) where C = concentration at time,  |                     |
| O.M., pH 7.8, field<br>capacity 27.8%)                      |  |                         | 50% (+ 100 d)  | Co = initial concentration, and k = reaction rate constant) provided closest expression   |                     |
| (6.8% O.M.,<br>pH 7.4, field<br>capacity 24.9%)             |  |                         |  | of the degradation results.  Loss rate constants were  0.0068, 0.0065, 0.0069, and  |                     |
| - Almasippi<br>loamy sand (2.9%<br>O.M., pH 7.7, field      |  |                         |  | 0.0087 d <sup>-1</sup> for the Red Deer<br>River heavy clay, Gladstone<br>clay loam, Newdale loam, and<br>Almasippi loamy sand, |                     |

| • |  |
|---|--|
| _ |  |

|   |     |                                  |  | Table C-1. Continued                      |   |  |
|---|-----|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content) |     | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured  | Residues<br>(mg·kg¹ except<br>when noted) | Results and comment                     | Reference                              |
|   |     |                                  |  |   |   |  |
| Heavy soil  | *   | 30 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>           | e de la companya de l | Residues after 60 d                       | Lab study in which 1 kg                 | Mostafa et al. 1982                    |
| (2.5% O.M., pH 8.5)   |     | ³H-labelled                      |  | Autoclaved Nonautoclaved                  | of each soil type placed                |  |
|   |     | trifluralin                      | 0–10 cm  | 4.2 11.2                                  | in 15-cm diameter pots.                 |  |
|   | •   |                                  |  |   | Upper 10 cm moistened layers            | ,                                      |
|   |     |                                  | 10–15 cm   | 1.0 3.3                                   | mixed with H-labelled                   | The state of the state of the state of |
|   |     | •                                |  |   | trifluralin to 30 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . |  |
| •   |     | * .                              | Full depth   | 18.0 9.8                                  | Watered (every second day)              |  |
|   |     |                                  | (combustion bound)   |   | and incubated away from sunlight        |  |
| Light soil  |     |                                  |  |   | for 2 mo. Autoclaving carried           |  |
| (1.5% O.M., pH 8.2)   |     |                                  | 0–10 cm  | 6.9 14.2                                  | out prior to addition of                |  |
| (1.5 % O.M., pri 6.2)                                       |     |                                  | 10.15  |   | <sup>3</sup> H-labelled trifluralin.    |  |
|   |     |                                  | 10–15 cm   | 2.1 3.4                                   | The two depths measured                 |  |
|   |     | and the second second            | T2-11 dd-  | 150                                       | (i.e., 0-10 cm and 10-15 cm)            |  |
|   |     |                                  | Full depth   | 17.0 10.0                                 | refer to soil extracted with            |  |
| Sandy soil  |     |                                  | (combustion bound)   |   | solvent prior to combustion             |  |
| (0% O.M., pH 6.8)   |     |                                  | 0–10 cm  | 1.8 7.8                                   | to remove nonextractable                |  |
|   |     |                                  | 0-10 cm  | 1.8 7.8                                   | <sup>3</sup> H-residues.                |  |
|   |     |                                  | 10-15 cm   | 0.6 2.5                                   |   |  |
|   |     |                                  | 10-15 cm   | 0.0 2.5                                   |   |  |
|   |     | ,                                | Full depth   | 26.5 13.5                                 |   |  |
|   |     |                                  | (combustion bound)   | 20.0                                      |   |  |
|   |     | •                                | (  |   |   |  |
|   |     |                                  | •  |   |   |  |
| Graysville, Man.:   | •   | Year I                           | 0–5 cm   | Means ± standard deviation                | Fall treatments incorpor-               | Pchajek, Morrison, and                 |
| - Almasippi very  |     |                                  |  |   | ated to 10-cm depth with                | Webster 1983                           |
| fine sandy loam   |     | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>         | C  | 0.6 (at application)                      | tandem disc within 1 h of               | Websiel 1983                           |
| (3.7% O.M., pH 7.6)   | ~   | (May 11, 1978)                   |  | (m. Primarion)                            | application and a 2nd                   |  |
| ,   |     |                                  | • •  | 0.230 ± 0.026 (at seeding)                | incorporation in spring                 | * **                                   |
|   | 1.7 |                                  |  |   | following seeding (flax).               |  |
|   |     | • •                              |  | 0.120 ± 0.007 (+ 6 wk)                    | Spring treatments incorpor-             |  |
|   |     |                                  |  |   | ated twice within 1 h of                |  |
|   |     |                                  |  | 0.067 ± 0.015 (+ 15 wk)                   | application. Plots                      |  |
|   |     |                                  |  |   | fertilized prior to                     |  |
|   |     | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>         |  | 0.8 (at application)                      | 2nd incorporation.                      |  |
|   |     | (Oct. 23, 1977)                  |  |   | Seeding date reported as                |  |
|   |     | *                                |  | 0.280 ± 0.028 (at seeding)                | May 18, 1978. Time                      |  |
|   |     | •                                |  |   | posttreatment (i.e.,                    |  |
|   |     | •                                |  | 0.223 ± 0.024 (+ 6 wk)                    | (time of residue samples)               |  |
|   |     |                                  |  |   | given as the number of                  |  |
| * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |     |                                  |  | 0.109 ± 0.020 (+ 15 wk)                   | weeks after seeding.                    | *                                      |

Table C-1. Continued

Table C-1. Continued

| Location/soil type (% organic matter: pH: moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai)                  | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment                                    | Reference                          |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
|   | 2.24 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                    |                         | 1.6 (at application)                                   |  |                                    |
|   | (Oct. 23, 1977)                             |                         | 0.600 ± 0.043 (at seeding)                             |  |                                    |
|   | •   |                         | 0.449 ± 0.049 (+ 6 wk)                                 |  |                                    |
|   | ·.  |                         | 0.155 ± 0.010 (+ 15 wk)                                |  |                                    |
|   | Year 2                                      |                         |  |  |                                    |
|   | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(May 27, 1979)  |                         | 0.6 (at application)                                   | Seeding date June 5, 1979.  Lower residue concen-      |                                    |
|   | (Way 27, 1979)                              |                         | $0.140 \pm 0.010$ (at seeding)                         | trations in year 2 con-<br>sidered to possibly reflect |                                    |
|   |   |                         | 0.066 ± 0.015 (+ 6 wk)                                 | a much cooler and wetter<br>spring in 1979 than in     |                                    |
|   | ,   |                         | 0.018 ± 0.006 (+ 18 wk)                                | 1978.  |                                    |
|   | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(Nov. 1, 1978)  |                         | 0.8 (at application)                                   |  |                                    |
|   |   |                         | $0.215 \pm 0.043$ (at seeding)                         |  |                                    |
|   |   |                         | 0.143 ± 0.021 (+ 6 wk)                                 |  |                                    |
|   |   |                         | 0.074 ± 0.005 (+ 18 wk)                                |  |                                    |
|   | 2.24 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(Oct. 23, 1977) |                         | 1.6 (at application)                                   |  |                                    |
|   |   |                         | 0.542 ± 0.059 (at seeding)                             |  |                                    |
|   |   |                         | 0.386 ± 0.047 (+ 6 wk)<br>0.244 ± 0.010 (+ 18 wk)      |  |                                    |
| 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·       |                         | U.244 I U.U(U (T 10 WK)                                |  |                                    |
| Charlottetown, P.E.I.:<br>Charlottetown fine                | 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>May 1978         | 0–10 cm                 | NR   | Half-life (days ± standard error)                      | Jensen, Ivany, and<br>Kimball 1983 |
| sandy loam (2.1%<br>O.M., pH 6.1)                           | Mid-June 1979                               |                         | 0.21 (+ 320 d)   | of $164 \pm 14.9$ .<br>Half-life of $148.0 \pm 13.0$ . |                                    |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content)  | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment                                  | Reference                             |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Kentville, N.S.:<br>Somerset loamy sand  | May 1978                         |                         | NR   | Half-life of 144.3 ± 31.3.                           |                                       |
| (1.1% O.M., pH 5.2)  | Mid-June 1979                    | •                       | 0.27 (+ 320 d)   | Half-life of $164.1 \pm 15.4$ .                      |                                       |
|  | ·*                               |                         |  | Fertilized and disced prior to                       |                                       |
|  | •                                |                         |  | application, herbicide incor-                        |                                       |
|  |                                  | ·                       |  | porated with rototiller/disc                         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  |                                  |                         |  | harrow twice to 8-10 cm                              |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  | immediately following                                |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  | application and seeded                               |                                       |
|  |                                  | ~.                      | •  | (commercial peas) within 2 d.                        | •                                     |
| , and the second se | •                                |                         |  | Suggested that a cooler climated and shorter growing | •                                     |
|  |                                  |                         | •  | season would prolong the                             | •                                     |
| •  | •                                |                         | •  | persistence in eastern Canada.                       |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  |  |                                       |
| Lower Rio Grande   | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>          | 0-2.5 cm                | 50% (+ 3 wk)   | Reported that data on                                | Menges and Hubbard                    |
| Valley, Tex.:  | (Nov. 1)                         | 5 2.5 5                 | 30% (1 3 WK)   | percent activity with                                | 1970                                  |
| Hidalgo clay   |                                  | 0–5 cm                  | 20% (+ 1 mo)   | 0.6 kg ha applica-                                   |                                       |
| (1.7% O.M., pH 8.0,  |                                  |                         |  | tion rate similar to that                            |                                       |
| 31% water at field   |                                  |                         | 10% (+ 3 mo)   | for 1.1 kg ha 1 applica-                             |                                       |
| capacity)  | •                                |                         |  | tion rate. Application                               |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         | 1% (+ 7 mo)  | of herbicide onto                                    | •                                     |
|  | · <u>·</u>                       |                         |  | disced and rotary-tilled                             |                                       |
| •  |                                  |                         | 0% (13 mo)   | soil, immediately incorpor-                          | · .                                   |
|  |                                  |                         | <b>%</b>   | ated into top 2.5 cm with                            |                                       |
| _  | *                                |                         |  | rotary tiller and seeded<br>(carrots) 1 d later.     |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  | Total rainfall 14.7 cm in                            |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  | 12 wk following experiment                           |                                       |
| • •  | •                                |                         | A  | initiation and soil described                        |                                       |
|  |                                  |                         |  | as warm and wet after first                          |                                       |
| ,  |                                  |                         |  | month.   |                                       |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content)  | Application rate (as % ai)            | Soil depths<br>measured  | Residues<br>(mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment  | Reference             |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| Beltsville, Md.:   | 2.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>              | 0-5 cm   | 29% (+ 50 h)   | Herbicide not incorporated   | Glotfelty et al. 1984 |
| Hatboro silt   | (August 8, 1975)                      | :  |  | but left on soil surface.  |                       |
| loam (1.2% O.M.)   | (                                     |  | 50% (5–14 h)   | Application of mixture   |                       |
| (3,230, 3,137)   |                                       |  |  | included 3.55 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | heptachlor, 0.72 kg-ha-1   |                       |
|  | -                                     |  |  | chlordane, and 5.11 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                       |
|  | •                                     |  |  | dacthal.   |                       |
|  | 2.80 kg/ha <sup>-1</sup>              |  | 13% (+ 50 h)   | Application of mixture   |                       |
|  | (June 10, 1977)                       |  |  | included 1.10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                       |
|  | (                                     |  | 50% (1–18 h)   | lindane. Soil reported to  |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | be more compact than above   |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | due to previous rainfall.  |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | Herbicide loss from soil   |                       |
| $\label{eq:continuous} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}})$   |                                       |  |  | followed first-order kinetics.   |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  |  |                       |
| V - 1  |                                       | •  |  |  |                       |
| Salisbury, Md.:  | 2.50 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>              | 0–5 cm   | 75% (+ 50 h)   | Application of mixture also  |                       |
| Norfolk sandy loam   | (July 14)                             |  |  | included 3.75 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                       |
| Tropicin Suray Touri   | (,                                    |  | •  | heptachlor, 0.84 kg ha-1   |                       |
|  |                                       | •  |  | chlordane, and 0.62 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | lindane. For all experiments,  |                       |
|  |                                       |  |  | mixtures were applied to bare  |                       |
| •  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  | soil and allowed to remain   |                       |
| 4  |                                       |  | •  | on the surface.  | ·*                    |
| •  |                                       |  |  |  |                       |
|  |                                       | *  |  |  |                       |
| Stoneville, Miss.:   |                                       | and the second s | #A# / #A   | The second secon |                       |
|  | 1.0 mg kg 1                           | NK   | 50% (+ 50 d  | Greenhouse study. Water  | Savage 1978           |
| and the second of the second o | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | NR<br>(50-g samples)   | 50% (+ 50 d<br>range of 29–60 d)                       | Greenhouse study. Water emulsion of herbicide  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam  | 1.0 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>               | NR<br>(50-g samples)   | 50% (+ 50 d<br>range of 29–60 d)                       | •  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field   | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | •••  |  | emulsion of herbicide<br>thoroughly mixed with soil  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field<br>capacity 0.33  | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | •••  |  | emulsion of herbicide<br>thoroughly mixed with soil<br>and transferred to plastic  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field   | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | •••  |  | emulsion of herbicide<br>thoroughly mixed with soil  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field<br>capacity 0.33<br>bar moisture tension)   |                                       | •••  | range of 29-60 d)                                      | emulsion of herbicide<br>thoroughly mixed with soil<br>and transferred to plastic<br>pots 20 cm in diameter.   | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field<br>capacity 0.33<br>bar moisture tension)   | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | •••  | range of 29–60 d) 50% (+ 91 d                          | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter.  Dissipation rates exhibit   | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam (1.5% O.M., field capacity 0.33 bar moisture tension) Sharkey clay (4.2% O.M., field capacity  |                                       | •••  | range of 29-60 d)                                      | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter. Dissipation rates exhibit first-order kinetics (r values are 0.90 and 0.97   | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam<br>(1.5% O.M., field<br>capacity 0.33<br>bar moisture tension)   |                                       | •••  | range of 29–60 d) 50% (+ 91 d                          | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter. Dissipation rates exhibit first-order kinetics (r values are 0.90 and 0.97 for the Bosket and Sharkey  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam (1.5% O.M., field capacity 0.33 bar moisture tension) Sharkey clay (4.2% O.M., field capacity  | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | (50-g samples)   | range of 29–60 d)  50% (+ 91 d)  range of 45–124 d)    | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter. Dissipation rates exhibit first-order kinetics (r values are 0.90 and 0.97 for the Bosket and Sharkey soils, respectively) (P = 0.05).   | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam (1.5% O.M., field capacity 0.33 bar moisture tension) Sharkey clay (4.2% O.M., field capacity  |                                       | (50-g samples)   | range of 29–60 d) 50% (+ 91 d                          | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter. Dissipation rates exhibit first-order kinetics (r values are 0.90 and 0.97 for the Bosket and Sharkey soils, respectively) (P = 0.05). Maintain at field capacity  | Savage 1978           |
| Bosket sandy loam (1.5% O.M., field capacity 0.33 bar moisture tension) Sharkey clay (4.2% O.M., field capacity  | 1.0 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>               | (50-g samples)   | range of 29–60 d)  50% (+ 91 d)  range of 45–124 d)    | emulsion of herbicide thoroughly mixed with soil and transferred to plastic pots 20 cm in diameter. Dissipation rates exhibit first-order kinetics (r values are 0.90 and 0.97 for the Bosket and Sharkey soils, respectively) (P = 0.05).   | Savage 1978           |

Table C-1. Continued

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) |     | Results and comment                                 | Reference             |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----|---|-----------------------|
|   |                            |                         | <b>500</b> ( <b>30</b> )                               |     |   |                       |
|   |                            |                         | 50% (+ 20 d)   | **  | Pots without drainage holes                         |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | and water added until free                          |                       |
|   |                            | •                       |  |     | water remained (alternated                          | •                     |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | with 20-d drying period                             |                       |
| ,   | 4.                         | -                       |  |     | for subsampling). Dissipation with r value of 0.90. | •                     |
|   | 0.5 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>    | NR                      | 50% (+ 55 d)   |     | Maintain at field capacity.                         | *                     |
| · ·   | Old IIIg Rg                | (70-g samples)          | 30% (+ 33 d)   |     | Nonautoclaved soil (r value                         |                       |
|   | -                          | (//o-g sumpres)         |  |     | of 0.99).   |                       |
|   |                            |                         | 50% (+ 56 d)   |     | Autoclaved soil (r value                            | \$ 100 miles          |
|   |                            |                         | 50 % (+ 50 d)  | ,   | of 0.97).   | the second second     |
|   |                            |                         | 50% (+ 6 d)  |     | Flooded as previously de-                           |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | scribed. Nonautoclaved                              |                       |
|   |                            | · ·                     |  |     | soil (r value of 0.98).                             |                       |
|   |                            |                         | 50% (+ 7 d)  |     | Autoclaved soil (r value                            |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  | ,   | of 0.99).   |                       |
|   | •                          | •                       | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *                  |     |   |                       |
| As, Norway:   | l kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      | 0–10 cm                 | 0.3 (+ 17 wk)  |     | Lowest value and no further                         | Solbakken et al. 1982 |
| Loam (2.8% carbon,  | (May 22)                   |                         |  |     | decrease in next year.                              |                       |
| pH 5.6, 60°N and  | (Treflan used:             | v.                      |  | * * | Maximum reached (4 wk                               |                       |
| 80 m above sea level,                                       | 240 g ai 1 <sup>-1</sup> ) |                         |  |     | after application (sug-                             |                       |
| 3.5 mo of 12 with   |                            | •                       |  |     | gested to possibly be                               |                       |
| soil temperatures   |                            |                         |  |     | result of movement in the                           |                       |
| above 10°C)   |                            |                         |  |     | soil).  |                       |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                       | 5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      |                         | 1.1 (+ 51 wk)  |     | Lowest value and no further                         |                       |
|   | •                          | ·                       |  |     | decrease measured.                                  |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | Maximum levels as above.                            |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     |   |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  | -   | Incorporation with rotary                           |                       |
|   |                            |                         | * * *  |     | cultivator to approximately                         |                       |
|   | •                          |                         |  |     | 15 cm immediately after                             |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | spraying and sown with                              |                       |
|   |                            |                         |  |     | fodder rape.  |                       |

|   |                                       |                                       | Table C-1. Continued                                   | · .                            |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai)            | Soil depths<br>measured               | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment            | Reference                             |
| Holt, Norway:   | 1 kg·ha-1                             |                                       | 0.1 (+ 14 wk)  | Lowest value and no further    |                                       |
| Loamy sand  | (June 15)                             |                                       |  | decrease observed.             |                                       |
| (6.2% carbon, pH 6.2,                                       |                                       | • •                                   |  | . Y                            |                                       |
| 70°N and 10 m above   | 5 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>                 |                                       | 0.4 (+ 66 wk)  | Lowest value at experiment     |                                       |
| sea level. 2 mo   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | •                                     |  | end.                           |                                       |
| of 12 with soil   |                                       |                                       |  |                                |                                       |
| temperatures  |                                       | •                                     |  | Reapplications at both         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| above 10°C)   | 2                                     |                                       |  | locations in the following     | •                                     |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | year (May 15 for As and        |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | June 6 for Holt). The          |                                       |
|   | ,                                     |                                       |  | residue curves showed similar  |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | patterns to those of the first |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | year.                          |                                       |
|   | _                                     | ·                                     | •  | Incorporation as for As.       |                                       |
|   | ~                                     |                                       |  | Reported that the climate at   |                                       |
|   |                                       | •                                     |  | Holt compared to As did not    |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | show extraordinary dry or      |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | wet periods or variations in   |                                       |
|   | •                                     | 100                                   |  | temperature. However, soil     |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | appeared to have a greater     |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | influence on persistence       |                                       |
| •   | · ·                                   |                                       |  | than climate.                  |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | In the first year, May-Sept.   |                                       |
|   |                                       | •                                     |  | mean temperatures and pre-     | ``                                    |
|   |                                       | •                                     |  | cipitation were respectively   |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | 12.9°C and 331 mm for As       |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | (both below normal) and        |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | 9.0°C and 264 mm for Holt      |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | (temperature above normal,     |                                       |
|   | ,                                     | -                                     |  | but precipitation below        |                                       |
|   |                                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  | normal).                       |                                       |
| •   |                                       |                                       |  | Despite comparably lower       | •                                     |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | precipitation and temper-      |                                       |
|   |                                       | ,                                     |  | ature and greater percent      |                                       |
|   | 1. A. C. C. C. S. C. S.               |                                       |  | organic matter (apparently     |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | a reflection of %C), residue   |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | persistence was less at        |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | Holt than As. This trend       |                                       |
|   |                                       |                                       |  | contradicts the findings       |                                       |

of other studies.

Table C-1. Continued

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content)      | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai)   | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted)        |    | Results and comment                                 | Reference                             |    |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|----|---|---------------------------------------|----|
| Regina, Sask.: Regina heavy clay (physical character- istics NR) | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> May 77 (emulsifiable concentrate 399.1 kg·m <sup>-3</sup> ) | 0–5 cm                  | % remaining (averages ± standard deviation) 30 ± 0% (+ 10 wk) |    | Herbicide incorporated into soil, but procedure NR. | Smith 1979                            |    |
|  |  |                         | 19 ± 0% (+ 20 wk)   |    | . •   | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |    |
|  | As above but mixed with triallate in a 1:2 ratio by weight                           |                         | 34 ± 1% (+ 10 wk)<br>31 ± 3% (+ 20 wk)                        | ٠. |   | -                                     |    |
|  | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   |                         | 23 ± 2% (+ 10 wk)   | -  |   |                                       |    |
|  | May 78 (emul-<br>sifiable concentrate<br>399.1 kg m <sup>-3</sup> )                  |                         | 16 ± 4% (+ 20 wk)   |    |   |                                       |    |
|  | As above but mixed with triallate in   |                         | 22 ± 1% (+ 10 wk)   |    |   |                                       | ÷* |
|  | a 1:2 ratio by weight  |                         | 12 ± 1% (+ 20 wk)   | •  |   |                                       |    |
| White City sandy<br>loam (physical                               | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>May <i>7</i> 7 (emul-                                    |                         | 31 ± 4% (+ 10 wk)   |    |   |                                       |    |
| characteristics<br>NR)   | sifiable concentrate 399.1 kg·m <sup>-3</sup> )                                      |                         | 9 ± 2% (+ 20 wk)  |    |   |                                       |    |
|  | As above but mixed with triallate in   |                         | 26 ± 4% (+ 10 wk)   |    |   |                                       |    |
|  | a 1:2 ratio by weight  |                         | 12 ± 1% (+ 20 wk)   |    |   |                                       |    |
|  | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>May 78 (emul-  |                         | 28 ± 0% (+ 10 wk)   |    |   | · .<br>· · ·                          |    |
|  | sifiable concentrate<br>399.1 kg m <sup>-3</sup> )                                   |                         | 20 ± 1% (+ 20 wk)   |    |   |                                       |    |
|  | As above but mixed with triallate in   |                         | 37 ± 2% (+ 10 wk)   |    |   | · .                                   |    |
|  | a 1:2 ratio by weight  |                         | 21 ± 3% (+ 20 wk)   |    | * *   |                                       |    |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content)  |        | Application rate   | 0 11 1      |                                       |   |  |  |
|--|--------|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
|  |        |  | Soil depths | (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except           | * * .                                   | Results and  |  |
|  |        | (as % ai)  | measured    | when noted)                           |   | comment  | Reference                                |
| AT 1 '11 T   |        | 0.04 1 11  | 0–30.5 cm   | 0.077 (June: 28)                      |   | Herbicide incorporated                             | Duseja, Akunuri, and Holmes 1980         |
| Nashville, Tenn.:  |        | 0.84 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(emulsifiable  | 0-30.5 cm   | 0.077 (June 28)                       |   | to 9.8-cm depth immediately                        | Dasoja, radiati, and riolines 1700       |
| Egam loam  |        | concentrate  |             | 0.037 (July 14)                       | * | following application and                          |  |
| (1.41% O.M.,   |        |  |             | 0.037 (July 14)                       |   | soybean planted June 20.                           |  |
| pH 6.15, 1.5% slope  |        | 479.3 kg m <sup>-3</sup> )   |             | 0.000 (Aug. 20)                       |   | The growing season was                             |  |
| and moderate to  |        |  |             | 0.008 (Aug. 28)                       |   | recorded to be wetter than                         |  |
| good permeability,   |        |  |             | 0.007 (Sept. 28)                      | and the second                          | normal, although August and                        |  |
| 9% soil mixture -  |        |  |             | 0.007 (Sept. 28)                      |   | September received sub-                            |  |
| air dry soil weight  | ・ ノ ′・ |  |             | 0.002 (Nov. 17)                       |   | normal precipitation.                              |  |
| basis at time of   |        |  |             | 0.002 (Nov. 17)                       | •                                       | Until August, daily temper-                        | •  |
| herbicide application)   |        |  |             | 0.109 (June 28)                       |   | atures were slightly below                         |  |
|  |        | 1.27 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>   | ~           | 0.109 (June 28)                       |   | normal, but 3°F to 6°F above                       |  |
|  |        | (June 19)  |             | 0.027 (1-1 14)                        |   | normal later in the season.                        |  |
| •  | ,      |  | •           | 0.037 (July 14)                       |   |  |  |
|  |        |  | .,          | 0.022 (4                              |   | Dissipation described by first-order kinetics with |  |
| •  |        |  |             | 0.033 (Aug. 28)                       |   | calculated half-life of                            |  |
|  | •      |  |             | 0.011 (0 20)                          |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·              |  |
|  |        |  |             | 0.011 (Sept. 28)                      | *                                       | 35.8 d, although this value                        |  |
|  |        |  |             | 0.004.07                              |   | is not supported by the                            | en e |
|  | ,      |  |             | 0.004 (Nov. 17)                       |   | raw data.  |  |
|  |        |  |             |                                       |   | At an application rate of                          |  |
|  |        | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   |             | 0.134 (June 28)                       |   | 1.68 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup> , 29.1%                | <i>i</i>                                 |
|  | i e    | (June 19)  |             |                                       |   | residue persisted after                            |  |
|  |        | * **   |             | 0.02 (July 14)                        |   | 9 d and 2.0% after 21 wk                           |  |
|  |        |  |             | • **                                  | \$                                      | (based on theoretical                              |  |
|  | -      |  | 1 = 1       | 0.027 (Aug. 28)                       |   | concentration at time 0                            |  |
|  |        |  | ٠.          |                                       |   | (i.e., 0.46 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ).                 |  |
|  |        | · .  | *           | 0.026 (Sept. 28)                      |   | •  |  |
|  |        |  |             |                                       |   |  |  |
|  |        |  |             | 0.009 (Nov. 17)                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | **   |  |
|  |        |  |             |                                       |   |  |  |
| Beason clay  |        | 0.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 0-30.5 cm   | 0.065 (June 28)                       |   | Half-life of 25.7 d not                            |  |
| (1.69% O.M.,   |        | (June 19)  |             |                                       |   | supported by raw data.                             |  |
| pH 6.0, 0% slope   |        |  |             | 0.045 (July 14)                       |   | At an application rate                             |  |
| with impeded drain-  |        |  | `.          |                                       |   | of 1.68 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup> ,                   |  |
| age, soil moist  | •      |  |             | 0.004 (Aug. 28)                       |   | 18.3% residue persisted                            |  |
| 15% at time of   | -,     |  |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   | after 9 d and 0.5% after                           |  |
| application)   |        |  | e e e e     | 0.003 (Sept. 28)                      |   | 21 wk (based on theoretical                        |  |
|  |        | the State of the S |             |                                       |   | concentration at time 0                            |  |
|  |        |  |             | 0 (Nov. 17)                           |   | (i.e., 0.42 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ).                 |  |
| and the second of the second o |        | : >  | •           |                                       |   | Longevity basically the                            |  |

Table C-1. Continued

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) |  | Soil depths<br>neasured  | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) |     | Results and comment                                    | Réference   |
|---|--|--|--|-----|--|---|
|   | 1.68 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>                     |  | 0.077 (June 28)  |     | same in each soil although                             |   |
|   | (June 19)                                    |  | 0.020 (July 14)  |     | the clay soil was treated at higher rates of herbicide |   |
|   |  | A STATE OF THE STA | 0.009 (Aug. 28)  |     | application.   |   |
|   |  |  | 0.013 (Sept. 28)                                       |     |  |   |
|   |  |  | 0.002 (Nov. 17)  |     |  |   |
|   | 2.24 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(June 19)     |  | 0.188 (June 28)  | •   |  |   |
|   | (care 15)                                    |  | 0.042 (July 14)  |     |  |   |
|   |  |  | 0.039 (Aug. 28)  |     |  |   |
|   |  |  | 0.008 (Sept. 28)                                       |     |  |   |
|   |  |  | 0.003 (Nov. 17)  |     |  | en er en<br>En en er en en en er en er en |
| Nashville, Tenn.:<br>Egam Ioam                              | 0:84 kg ai ha <sup>-i</sup><br>(emulsifiable |  | 0.272 (June 11)  |     | Herbicide incorporated to 9.8-cm depth immediately     | Duseja, Akunuri, and Holmes 1980  |
| (same plots used as in above                                | concentrate<br>479.3 kg m <sup>3</sup> )     |  | 0.092 (July 12)  |     | following application and soybeans planted June 14.    |   |
| study but physical characteristics of                       | (June 11)                                    |  | 0.014 (Aug. 23)  |     | The growing season was recorded to be wetter than      |   |
| soil NR)  |  |  | 0.007 (Oct. 15)  |     | normal and the average daily temperatures were         |   |
|   | 1.27 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(June 11)        | <b>₹</b>   | 0.337 (June 11)  | . • | sub-normal. Degradation described by first-            |   |
|   |  |  | 0.123 (July 10)  | •   | order kinetics with<br>half-life of 27.1 d,            |   |
|   |  |  | 0.045 (Aug. 23)  |     | although this value is not supported by the raw data.  |   |
|   |  |  | 0.019 (Oct. 15)  |     |  |   |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai)            | Soil depths<br>measured                  | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) |                                       | Results and comment  | Reference                    |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
|   | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(June 11) | en e | 0.614 (June 11)<br>0.180 (July 12)                     | à                                     | At an application rate of 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> , 29.3% residue persisted after   |                              |
|   |                                       | -  | 0.049 (Aug. 23)  |                                       | 31 d and 2.9% after 18 wk<br>(based on actual concen-<br>tration at time 0, June 11) |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.018 (Oct. 15)  |                                       |  |                              |
| Beason clay<br>(same as for                                 | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(June 11) |  | 0.459 (June 11)  |                                       | Half-life of 27.0 d not supported by raw data.                                       |                              |
| Egam loam)  | (                                     |  | 0.102 (July 12)  |                                       | At an application rate of 1.68 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup> , 23:4%                           |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.027 (Aug. 23)  |                                       | residue persisted<br>after 31 d and 2.0% after                                       |                              |
|   |                                       | •  | 0.009 (Oct. 15)  |                                       | 18 wk (based on actual concentration at time 0,                                      |                              |
|   | 1.68 kg ha <sup>1</sup><br>(June 11)  |  | 0.602 (June 11)  | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | June 11). Temperatures in 1978 study warmer  |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.141 (July 12)<br>0.031 (Aug. 23)                     | •                                     | and first 2 mo (June/July) received more rainfall (31.75 cm) than                    |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.011 (Aug. 25)  |                                       | in 1980 study (22.1 cm). These climatic factors con-                                 |                              |
|   | 2.24 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>              |  | 0.914 (June 11)  |                                       | sidered to contribute to<br>higher residue levels in                                 |                              |
|   | (June 11)                             |  | 0.176 (July 12)  |                                       | 1980 study.  |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.044 (Aug. 23)  |                                       |  |                              |
|   |                                       |  | 0.017 (Oct. 15)  |                                       |  |                              |
|   |                                       |  |  | · .                                   |  |                              |
| Bushland, Tex.:<br>Pullman silty clay                       | NR<br>(spring)                        | 0-30.5 cm<br>(on beds)                   | 36% (+ 6 wk)   |                                       |  | Axe, Mathers, and Weise 1969 |
| (1.6% O.M.)   |                                       | 0-15.2 cm<br>(in furrows)                | 14% (+ 3 mo)   |                                       |  |                              |

Table C-1. Continued

|                                       |                         |               |  | <del></del>                             |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; | Application rate        | Soil depths   | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except  | Results and                             |  |
| pH; moisture content)                 |                         | measured      | when noted)  | comment                                 | Reference  |
| Shafter, Calif.                       | 1.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 0-30 cm       | 0.06 (year 1 at harvest)   | Each year of study                      | Miller et al. 1978   |
| Panoche loam                          |                         | (average of   |  | cotton plants shredded and              |  |
| (<1% O.M.)                            | 6 years during last     | 2 top 15-cm   | 0.10 (year 4 at harvest)   | returned to soil by disking.            |  |
|                                       |                         | depth in-     |  | Applied as direct spray to              | *  |
|                                       |                         | crements)     | 0.105 (year 5 at harvest)  | base of cotton plants at                |  |
|                                       | •                       |               |  | time of last cultivation and            |  |
|                                       | •                       |               | 0.075 (year 6 at harvest)  | incorporated same day with              |  |
|                                       |                         | •             |  | two passes of a rolling                 |  |
|                                       |                         |               |  | cultivator. Two furrow                  |  |
|                                       | •                       |               | -0.03 (1 yr after 1st  | irrigations per year                    | ·  |
|                                       | 4                       |               | application)   | (first within a few days                | ,  |
|                                       |                         | • •           | -0.015 (1 yr after   | of application). Chemical               |  |
| *                                     |                         | •             | 2nd application)   | analysis at harvest                     |  |
|                                       | •                       | •             | -0.01 (1 yr after 3rd  | of cotton. From 30 to                   |  |
|                                       | * .                     |               | application)   | 120 cm depth, residue                   | Q.   |
|                                       |                         |               | -0.07 (1 yr after  | was not detectable                      |  |
| •                                     | •                       |               | 4th application)   | (limit of detection was about           |  |
|                                       | •                       |               | -0.045 (1 yr after 5th   | 0.02 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> for year 4 for |  |
|                                       | ,                       | <i></i>       | application)   | each 15-cm depth increment              |  |
| •                                     |                         | •             | -0.02 (1 yr after 6th  | below 30 cm and for year 1              |  |
| •                                     |                         |               | application)   | where analyses not obtained             |  |
|                                       | •                       |               |  | below 30 cm (this refers to             | The state of the s |
|                                       | 0.8 kg ha <sup>-t</sup> | •             | -0.015 (1 yr after 3rd   | analysis at harvest). Harvest           | 4.   |
|                                       |                         |               | application)   | approximately 6 mo after                |  |
|                                       | •                       |               | -0.015 (1 yr after   | application. For                        |  |
| •                                     |                         |               | 4th application)   | 0.8 kg ha 1 application,                | •  |
|                                       |                         |               | -0.045 (1 yr after 5th   | samples were not taken for              |  |
|                                       |                         |               | application)   | 1 yr after each of first                |  |
|                                       |                         | •             | -not detectable (1 yr  | and second yr application.              |  |
|                                       |                         |               | after 6th yr application)  |   |  |
| ÷ ÷                                   |                         |               |  |   |  |
|                                       |                         |               |  |   |  |
| Nashville, Tenn.:                     | . 1                     | 5 cm (used    |  | Lab study (soil with                    | Duseja 1982  |
| Etowah silt loam                      | f                       | or lab study) |  | stated properties removed               |  |
| (1.79% O.M.,                          |                         | •             | ÷.   | from field, dried, ground,              |  |
| pH 6.4, 64%                           |                         |               | The state of the s | passed through sieve and                |  |
| field capacity)                       |                         |               |  | "spiked" with herbicide);               |  |
|                                       |                         | •             |  | 40 g incubated in styrofoam             |  |

|   | Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) |   | Application rate (as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured               | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) |   | Results and comment  | Reference |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------|
|   | pH 5.3, 50% soil<br>moisture<br>22.8°C                      | ; | 0.5 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>    | 10 g of<br>each 40 g<br>per replicate | 87.3% (+ 7 d)  |   | cups and moisture replenished<br>every 24 h. Soil<br>moistures maintained          |           |
| 1 | 35.0°C  |   |                            |                                       | 69.7% (+ 7 d)  | • | in the lab as 50% and 100%<br>of the field capacity.<br>Residue values followed by |           |
|   | 100% soil moisture  |   |                            |                                       | 34.7% (+ 17 d)   |   | same letter within same time interval are not signifi-                             |           |
| ٠ | 35.0°C  |   |                            |                                       | 34.8% (+ 7 d)<br>25.5% (+ 17 d)                        |   | cantly different at 1% level according to Duncan multiple range test.              |           |
|   | pH 6.4, 50% soil<br>moisture                                |   | •                          |                                       | : •  |   |  |           |
|   | 22.8°C<br>35.0°C  |   |                            |                                       | 80.0% (+ 7 d)<br>57.6% (+ 7 d)                         |   |  |           |
|   | 100% soil moisture  | , |                            |                                       | 24.2% (+ 17 d)   |   |  |           |
| ٠ | 35.0°C  |   |                            |                                       | 24.2% (+ 7 d)  |   | -  |           |
|   | pH 7.5, 50% soil moisture                                   |   |                            |                                       | 20.0% (+ 17 d)   |   |  |           |
|   | 22.8°C  |   |                            |                                       | 89.7% (+ 7 d)  |   |  |           |
|   | 35.0°C  |   |                            |                                       | 74.3% (+ 7 d)<br>37.9% (+ 17 d)                        |   |  |           |
|   | 100% soil moisture<br>35.0°C                                |   |                            |                                       | 48.5% (+ 7 d)  | • |  |           |
|   | · ·   |   |                            |                                       | 30.3% (+ 17 d)   |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |           |

0.42 (Nov. 26/77)

0.26 (Apr. 16/78)

0.17 (Aug. 29/78) 0.17 (Nov. 23/78)

0.38 (May 16/78)

0.40 (June 20/78)

0.30 (July 20/78)

0.32 (Aug. 29/78)

0.28 (Nov. 23/78)

Results and

Incorporated to approx-

after application with a

Over the 190-d spring test

period, the herbicide level

did not dissipate to 50% in the Somerset loamy sand

despite organic matter content lower than the

Berwick loamy sand.

imately 10 cm immediately

comment

Residues

(mg kg-1 except

when noted)

Soil depths

measured

0-10 cm

(20-g sub-

samples)

Jensen and Kimball 1980

Reference

Location/soil type

(% organic matter:

Kentville, N.S.:

pH: moisture content)

Berwick loamy sand

(4.2% O.M., pH 5.2)

Application

(as % ai)

1.0 kg-ha-1

0.75 kg ha-1

(May 16, 1978)

(Nov. 26, 1977)

rate

| Location/soil type    | Application   |  | Residues                              |  |                                |
|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| (% organic matter;    | rate  | Soil depths  | (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> except           | Results and                            | Reference                      |
| pH; moisture content) | (as % ai)   | measured   | when noted)                           | comment                                | Reference                      |
|                       |   |  |                                       |  | Warner, Winter, and Weise 1987 |
| Buchland, Tex.:       | 0.8 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 0–7.5 cm   | 0.15 (Aug. 1/81)                      | Sprayed broadcast and                  | warner, winter, and weise 1987 |
| Sand (1.3% O.M.,      | (2 wk before late   | As the   |                                       | incorporated with a rolling            |                                |
| pH 5.3)               | May/81 - preplant   |  | 0.04 (Oct. 15/81)                     | cultivator approximately               | general control of             |
|                       | incorporated)   |  | No.                                   | 2 wk before planting soybeans          |                                |
|                       | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •   |  | 0.08 (Feb. 18/82)                     | in late May (preplant                  |                                |
|                       |   | *  |                                       | incorporated). Sprayed                 |                                |
|                       | 0.8 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   |  | 0.22 (Aug. 1/81)                      | broadcast at layby                     |                                |
|                       | (mid-July-layby)  |  |                                       | on soybeans and incorpor-              |                                |
|                       | (IIIId-suly-layoy)  |  | 0.10 (Oct. 15/81)                     | ated as above in mid-July.             | •                              |
| •                     |   |  |                                       | Received preplant irri-                |                                |
|                       |   | A Company of the Comp | 0.06 (Feb. 18/82)                     | gation, rainfall and four              | -                              |
|                       |   |  | 0.00 (100. 10/02)                     | additional irrigations                 |                                |
|                       | 00111   |  | 0.36 (Aug. 20/82)                     | during the summer (total               |                                |
|                       | 0.8 kg ha 1   |  | 0.30 (Aug. 20/02)                     | of 50 cm irrigated water               |                                |
|                       | (2 wk before late   |  | 0.00 (0 - 15.00)                      |  |                                |
| •                     | May/82 - preplant   |  | 0.22 (Oct. 15/82)                     | each yr).                              |                                |
|                       | incorporated)   |  |                                       | Experiment repeated the fol-           |                                |
|                       |   |  | 0.14 (Mar. 15/83)                     | lowing yr (i.e., May/81 and            |                                |
|                       | •   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | May/82); 24.6 cm rainfall              |                                |
|                       | 0.8 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   |  | 0.40 (Aug. 20/82)                     | in the 2nd week of Aug.                |                                |
|                       | (mid-July-layby)  |  |                                       | 1981, shortly after irri-              |                                |
|                       | ,   | <i>:</i>   | 0.36 (Oct. 15/82)                     | gation and flooded the level           |                                |
|                       |   | •  |                                       | borders for 3 d (believed              |                                |
|                       | •   | ٠.   | 0.32 (Mar. 15/83)                     | that the anaerobic condi-              |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | tions probably caused                  | •                              |
| •                     |   |  |                                       | rapid breakdown of the herbi-          |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | cide). The residue decrease            |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | was not as great in 1982               |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | experiment where flooding              |                                |
|                       | e de la companya de |  |                                       |  |                                |
|                       |   |  | . (*                                  | did not occur.                         |                                |
| . •                   |   |  |                                       |  |                                |
|                       |   |  | •                                     |  |                                |
| Woodslee, Ont.:       | 1.0 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 0-10 cm  | 50% (+ 116–173 d)                     | Applied with metribuzin                | Gaynor 1985                    |
| Brookston clay loam   | May 23, 1979  |  |                                       | (0.5 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup> ) preplant |                                |
|                       | 171ay 25, 1575  |  |                                       | incorporated (to 10 cm                 |                                |
| (3.6% O.M., pH 6.6)   | and the second second   | <b>S</b>   |                                       | with a disc in 2 direc-                |                                |
|                       | •   | •  | •                                     | tions) to soybeans; 1st                |                                |
|                       | ,   |  |                                       | order rate of loss identified          |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | t 1/2 (half-life in days)=             |                                |
| •                     |   |  |                                       | • •                                    |                                |
|                       |   | •  | • •                                   | In 2/K;                                |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | k(regression coefficient) =            |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | 0.005 ± 0.001 and R2(coefficient       |                                |
|                       |   |  |                                       | of determination) = $0.70$             | •                              |
|                       | *   |  |                                       | for Brookston clay loam, 1979;         |                                |
|                       | June 21, 1980   |  | 35% (+ 110 d)                         | $k = 0.005 \pm 0.003$ and $R^2 = 0.39$ |                                |
|                       | JULIC & I, 1700   |  | ·- · · ·/                             | for 1980.                              | ,                              |

ğ

|  |  |                         | Table C-1. Continued                                   |   |         |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|---------|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH: moisture content)  | Application<br>rate<br>(as % ai)               | Soil depths<br>measured | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment Re  | ference |
| Fox sandy loam<br>Brookston clay loam<br>(3.6% O.M., pH 6.6) | 2.0 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup><br>(April 17, 1980) | 0–10 cm                 | SOM (1, C2, 27, 1)                                     | Applied with metribuzin (0.75 kg ai ha 1) and incorporated to 10 cm in 2 directions with a disc;  |         |
|  |  | 10-20 cm                | 50% (+ 63-77 d) 50% (+ 69-116 d)                       | $k = 0.010 \pm 0.001$ and $R^2 = 0.92$ ;<br>$k = 0.008 \pm 0.002$ and $R^2 = 0.78$ .  |         |
|  |  | Full depth              | 35% (+ 90 d)   | These depths were sampled, but<br>the tabulated data for each<br>were not specifically desig-<br>nated to them, therefore, the<br>residue-depth matchups for the<br>2.0 kg ai ha <sup>-1</sup> are assumed. |         |
|  |  |                         |  | Gaynor (1985) attempted to assess soil persistence in southwestern Ontario soils as compared to those of the prairies and the maritimes   |         |
|  |  |                         |  | (average temperatures are 2°C–4°C higher in southwestern Ontario, and this area receives 59% more rainfall than south Saskatchewan, but 21%–25% less  |         |
|  |  |                         |  | than the Maritimes), but sug-<br>gests that soil type does not<br>have an effect on persistence<br>in this case (the data do<br>not support his conclusion)<br>and may be related to the                    |         |
|  | <del> </del>                                   | S. Carlotte             |  | low organic matter content (less than 4%).  |         |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content) |                          | oil depths<br>casured   | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment            | Reference                               |           |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Regina, Sask.   | 1 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup> 0- | -5 cm   | 16% (+ 10 wk)  | Incorporated to 5-cm depth     | Hayden and Smi                          | th 1980   |
| Regina heavy clay   | May 4, 1977              |   | 2010 (1 22 11.0)                                       | with a small fork. Less        |   |           |
| (physical character-  | 11amy 47, 121.7          | $\mathcal{F}_{i} = \{ i, i \in \mathcal{F}_{i} \mid i \in \mathcal{F}_{i} \}$ | 9% (+ 20 wk)   | than 2% of the applied         |   | •         |
| istics NR)  |                          |   |  | herbicide was found in the     |   | •         |
| But Tiley   |                          |   | 6% (+ 52 wk)   | 5-10 cm soil depth; over       | 1 ·                                     |           |
|   |                          |   |  | 64% lost in first 10 wk;       |   |           |
|   | May 4, 1978              |   | 27% (+ 10 wk)  | Minimal overwintering losses.  |   |           |
|   |                          | ·   |  | Slower losses from the soils   | 100                                     |           |
|   |                          |   | 16% (+ 20 wk)  | in 1979 attributed to later    |   | •         |
|   |                          |   |  | application date and drier     |   |           |
|   |                          |   | 10% (+ 55 wk)  | conditions (10.8 cm precip-    |   |           |
|   |                          |   |  | itation compared to 25.4 cm    |   |           |
|   | May 31, 1979             |   | 36% (+ 10 wk)  | and 27.8 cm for 1977 and 1978, | * * *                                   |           |
|   |                          |   |  | respectively, from time of     | 100                                     |           |
| , ,   |                          |   | 29% (+ 20 wk)  | application until freeze-up),  |   |           |
| <i>,</i> · · · · ·  |                          | •   |  | which would reduce volitiliza- | •                                       |           |
|   |                          | * *   | 20% (+ 48 wk)  | tion (considered to be the     |   |           |
|   |                          |   |  | most important means of        |   |           |
| White City sandy  | May 4, 1977              |   | 20% (+ 10 wk)  | dissipation).                  |   |           |
| loam (physical  |                          |   | 700 ( 00 1)  |                                | •                                       |           |
| characteristics   |                          |   | 7% (+ 20 wk)   |                                |   |           |
| NR)   |                          |   | 701 ( . 50 -10   | · .                            |   |           |
|   |                          | •   | 7% (+ 52 wk)   |                                |   |           |
| S. A. S.  | 24 4 1070                |   | 2407 (1.10 mls)  |                                |   |           |
|   | May 4, 1978              |   | 34% (+ 10 wk)  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          | •• .  | 19% (+ 20 wk)  | •                              |   | • .       |
|   | •                        |   | 19% (+ 20 WK)  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          |   | 13% (+ 55 wk)  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          |   | 1570 (+ 33 WK)   |                                | •                                       | f         |
|   | May 31, 1979             |   | 30% (+ 10 wk)  |                                | • •                                     |           |
|   | May 31, 1979             | 6   | . 50% (1 10 WZ)  |                                |   | , , , , , |
| 1   |                          |   | 25% (+ 20 wk)  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          |   |  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          |   | 15% (+ 48 wk)  |                                |   | :         |
|   |                          |   |  |                                |   |           |
|   |                          |   |  |                                |   |           |
| Manitoba  | NR N                     | IR.   | 17%-26% (+ 50 wk)                                      | Review paper                   | Smith 1983                              |           |
| (physical char-   | (May application)        |   |  |                                | * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |           |
| acteristics NR)   |                          | · ·   | •  |                                |   |           |

56

Table C-1. Continued

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content)  |            | Application rate (as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured |     | Residues (mg kg 1 except when noted)   | Results and comment              | Reference   |
|--|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Saskatchewan   |            | NR                         | NR                      |     | 8%-53% (following October)   |                                  |   |
| (physical char-  |            | (Spring application)       |                         |     | (Louis (Louis (Louis Louis Control (Louis Louis Control (Louis Con |                                  | <del>-</del> ``   |
| acteristics NR)  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  |            | NR                         | NR                      | . ^ | 17%-71% (following spring)   | •                                |   |
| . 1  |            | (Fall application)         |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
| •  |            |                            | • *                     |     |  |                                  |   |
| Nova Scotia  |            | NR                         |                         |     | 44%-74% (+ 28 wk)  |                                  | ·   |
| (physical char-  | •          | (May application)          |                         |     |  | *                                |   |
| acteristics NR)  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  | ر          | NR                         |                         |     | 60% (following spring)   |                                  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |
|  |            | (Fall application)         |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         | *   | •. •   |                                  |   |
| Regina, Sask.:   |            | l.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 0.5                     |     |  |                                  | 0 11 177 1 1076   |
| Regina heavy clay  |            | (May 1972, 1973            | 0–5 cm                  |     | 100 + 40 90 + 00 110 + 20  | Incorporation to 5 cm            | Smith and Hayden 1976   |
| (4.2% O.M., pH 7.3,  |            | and 1974)                  |                         |     | 12% ± 4%, 8% ± 2%, 11% ± 3%  | depth for 2 min. with            |   |
| field capacity 40%)  |            | airu 1974)                 | •                       |     | $(avg. 10\% \pm 2\%) + 5 mo$   | small fork. <2% of the herbicide |   |
| note capacity 40%)   | 8          | May 1972, 1973             |                         | 1 1 | 16% ±5%, 5% ± 0%   | applied was recovered            |   |
|  |            | May 1512, 1515             |                         |     | + 12 mo  | from the 5-10 cm soil            |   |
|  |            | 4                          | •                       |     | + 12 mo  | depths.                          | •   |
|  |            | May 1972, 1973             |                         |     | 3% ± 0%, 3% ± 0%   | осраіз.                          |   |
|  | <i>i</i> . |                            |                         |     | + 17 mo  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     |  | •                                |   |
| Jameson, Sask.:  |            | 1.1 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>    |                         | -   |  | Incorporation to 5-cm            |   |
| Asquith sandy loam   | • •        | (May 1972, 1973            |                         |     | $14\% \pm 1\%$ , $14\% \pm 6\%$ , $11\% \pm 4\%$   | depth for 2 min. with            |   |
| (3.2% O.M., pH 6.7,  |            | and 1974)                  |                         |     | $(avg. 13\% \pm 2\%) + 5 mo$   | small fork.                      |   |
| field capacity 12%)  |            |                            |                         |     |  | <2% of the herbicide             |   |
| J  |            | May 1972, 1973             |                         |     | 17% ± 4%, 9% ± 3%  | applied was recovered            |   |
|  |            | *                          |                         |     | + 12 mo  | from the 5-10 cm soil            |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     |  | depths.                          |   |
| . 14   |            |                            |                         |     | $7\% \pm 2\%$ , $2\% \pm 0\%$  |                                  |   |
|  |            |                            |                         |     | + 17 mo  |                                  |   |
| 36.16  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
| Melfort, Sask.:  |            | 1.1 kg·ha <sup>-1</sup>    |                         |     |  | Incorporation to 5-cm            |   |
| Melfort silty loam   | • *        | •                          |                         |     | 31% ± 7%, 24% ± 6%, 15% ±4%  | depth for 2 min with             |   |
| (11.7% O.M., pH 6.2,   |            |                            |                         |     | (avg. 23% ± 8%) + 5 mo   | small fork.                      | e de la companya de |
| field capacity 35%)  |            | •                          |                         |     | 250 1 20 100 20  | <2% of the herbicide             |   |
| A company of the comp |            |                            |                         |     | 35% ± 3%, 19% ± 3%   | applied was recovered            |   |
| •  |            |                            |                         | *   | + 12 mo  | from the 5-10 cm soil            |   |
| ·  |            |                            |                         |     |  |                                  |   |
| •  |            |                            |                         |     | 16% ± 3%, 14% ± 2%   | depths.                          |   |

| Location/soil type (% organic matter; |       | Application rate          | Soil depths                           | Residues<br>(mg-kg <sup>-1</sup> except | Results and                        |                                       |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| pH; moisture content)                 |       | (as % ai)                 | measured                              | when noted)                             | comment                            | Reference                             |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       |   |                                    |                                       |
| Saskatchewan:                         |       | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 0–5 cm                                |   | Incorporation to 5-cm              | Smith and Hayden 1982a                |
| Sandy loam (soil                      |       | 2nd wk May 1979           |                                       | $33\% \pm 3\% + 22 \text{ wk}$          | depth with a small fork.           |                                       |
| conditions NR)                        |       |                           |                                       |   | Plots left fallow and              |                                       |
|                                       | •     | 2nd wk May 1980           |                                       | 39% ± 4% + 22 wk                        | hand weeded.                       |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       |   | No residues found below            |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1981           |                                       | 13% ± 2% + 22 wk                        | 5-cm depth.                        |                                       |
|                                       | •     | -                         |                                       | •                                       | Losses of trifluralin              |                                       |
|                                       |       | 0.75 kg ha 1              |                                       |   | not significantly affected         |                                       |
| · · · · · ·                           |       | + 2.0 kg ha <sup>-t</sup> |                                       |   | by the addition of                 |                                       |
|                                       | · · · | chloramben                |                                       |   | chloramben.                        |                                       |
| And the second second                 |       | 2nd wk May 1979           |                                       | 25% ± 2% + 22 wk                        | ,                                  |                                       |
|                                       |       | ZIN WE MAY 1717           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2ll. Mr 1000              |                                       | 40% ± 2% + 22 wk                        |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1980           |                                       | TO 70 1 270 T 22 WR                     |                                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       | 13% ± 2% + 22 wk                        |                                    | • •                                   |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1981           |                                       | 13% I 2% + 22 WK                        |                                    |                                       |
|                                       | •     |                           | •                                     |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       | •                                       |                                    |                                       |
| Heavy clay                            |       | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-i</sup>  |                                       |   | . 1                                | •                                     |
| (soil conditions                      |       | 2nd wk May 1979           | · -                                   | 40% ± 4% + 22 wk                        |                                    |                                       |
| NR)                                   |       | *.                        |                                       |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1980           |                                       | 53% ± 3% + 22 wk                        |                                    |                                       |
|                                       | -     |                           |                                       | •                                       | •                                  |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1981           |                                       | 16% ± 11% + 22 wk                       | •                                  |                                       |
|                                       |       | •                         |                                       |   | ··                                 |                                       |
|                                       |       | 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |                                       |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | + 2.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | • •                                   |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | chloramben                |                                       |   |                                    | •                                     |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1979           |                                       | 47% ± 5% + 22 wk                        |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | and wk may 1979           |                                       |   |                                    | •                                     |
|                                       |       | 0. JJ. Nr 1000            | •                                     | 45% ± 6% + 22 wk                        |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1980           |                                       | 4570 ± 070 + 22 WK                      |                                    |                                       |
| 3                                     |       |                           |                                       | 15% ± 3% + 22 wk                        |                                    | •                                     |
|                                       |       | 2nd wk May 1981           | <u>.</u>                              | 13% I 3% + 22 WK                        |                                    | `.                                    |
|                                       | *.    |                           | •                                     |   |                                    |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       | 0.10                                    | TY 10 . 11                         | C                                     |
| Stoneville, Miss.:                    |       | 0.84 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>  | Full depth                            | 0.10 + 8 wk                             | Half-gallon cartons                | Savage and Barrentine                 |
| Bosket silt loam                      | -     |                           | (10 cm)                               | (1.3 cm incorporation                   | filled with 2000 g of soil;        | 1969                                  |
| (physical char-                       | •     |                           | •                                     | depth)                                  | appropriate depth of soil          |                                       |
| acteristics NR)                       |       |                           |                                       |   | removed and thoroughly             | •                                     |
|                                       |       | •                         | Full depth                            | 0.16 + 8 wk                             | mixed with trifluralin             |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           | (10 cm)                               | (3.8 cm incorporation                   | to achieve application             | · .                                   |
| ,                                     |       |                           | ert i                                 | depth)                                  | rate of 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> . |                                       |
| And the second second                 |       | •                         |                                       |   | Planted with cotton and            |                                       |
|                                       | ı     |                           | Full depth                            | 0.22 + 8 wk                             | morninglory for 3 wk,              |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           | (10 cm)                               | (7.6 cm incorporation                   | chipped and planted with           |                                       |
|                                       |       |                           |                                       |   |                                    |                                       |

ŭ

Table C-1. Continued

Reference

|   | ·_·                                     |   | Table C-1. Continued                                   |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Location/soil type<br>(% organic matter;<br>pH; moisture content) | Application rate (as % ai)              | Soil depths<br>measured   | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment                                |
| Moisture maintained   | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | Full depth  | 0.19 + 8 wk  | As above but no plants                             |
| at field capacity (NR)  |   | (10 cm)   | (1.3 cm incorporation depth)                           | grown.   |
|   | *                                       |   | • •  |  |
|   |   | Full depth  | 0.25 + 8 wk  |  |
|   |   | (10 cm)   | (3.8 cm incorporation                                  |  |
|   |   |   | depth)   |  |
| ••  |   |   |  |  |
| ·   |   | Full depth  | 0.30 + 8 wk  |  |
|   |   | (10 cm)   | (7.6 cm incorporation                                  |  |
|   |   |   | depth)   |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| As above, but soil  | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                |   | July 28, 1967 (+ 12 wk)                                | Tradition and the state                            |
| moisture NR   | May 12, 1967                            |   | July 28, 1967 (+ 12 WK)                                | Herbicide applied in                               |
|   |   |   |  | field to a 51-cm band on<br>top of preformed rows. |
|   |   | 0-10 cm   | 0.015 (surface application)                            | Incorporation treatment                            |
|   | •                                       |   |  | includes surface applica-                          |
|   | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | 0-10 cm   | 0.18   | tion, incorporation to                             |
| •   | •                                       |   | (2.5-5 cm incorporation                                | 2.5-5 cm using a double                            |
| •   |   |   | depth)   | lawn mower reel incorporator                       |
|   |   |   |  | and incorporation to                               |
|   |   | 0-10 cm   | 0.305  | 7.6-10 cm with a power                             |
|   | •                                       | 14.   | (7.6–10 cm incorporation                               | cultivator.  |
|   | 4.00                                    |   | depth)   |  |
|   |   |   | January 22, 1968 (+ 40 wk)                             |  |
|   |   |   | January 22, 1906 (+ 40 WK)                             |  |
|   |   | 0-10 cm   | 0.015 (surface application)                            |  |
|   |   |   | cio io (cui imo appiiomion)                            | <u> </u>   |
|   | •                                       | 0–10 cm   | 0.055  |  |
| -   |   |   | (2.5-5 cm incorporation depth)                         |  |
| _   |   | 0–10 cm   | 0.020  |  |
|   |   | l am  | (7.6-10 cm incorporation depth)                        | • •  |
|   | 4 40 4 4 4                              |   |  | •  |
|   | 4.48 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                |   | January 28, 1967 (+ 12 wk)                             |  |
|   | May 12, 1967                            | 0–10 cm   | 000/   | •            |
|   |   | √ to cm .   | 0.06 (surface application)                             |  |
| <i></i>   | and the second second                   | 0–10 cm   | 0.735  |  |
| -   |   |   | (2.5-5 cm incorporation depth)                         | •  |
|   |   | 0-10 cm   | 1.03   |  |
|   | •                                       | $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \mid \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \}$ | (7.6-10 cm incorporation depth)                        |  |
|   |   |   |  | •  |

| ۹ | מכ |  |
|---|----|--|
| ( | 0  |  |

| · /  |                            |                                  |  |   |                                       |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Location/soil type (% organic matter; pH; moisture content)  | Application rate (as % ai) | Soil depths<br>measured          | Residues<br>(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> except<br>when noted) | Results and comment                                   | Reference                             |
|  |                            |                                  | December 19, 1967 (+ 36 wk)                            |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  | December 19, 1907 (+ 30 WZ)                            |   |                                       |
|  |                            | 0-10 cm                          | 0.02 (surface application)                             |   |                                       |
|  | •                          | 0-10 cm                          | 0.22   |   |                                       |
|  |                            | 0-10 Cm                          | (2.5-5 cm incorporation depth)                         |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  |  | •   |                                       |
|  |                            | 0–10 cm                          | 0.415  |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  | (7.6-10 cm incorporation depth)                        |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  |  | Tonas and Sam   | Smith and Hayden 1982b                |
| Regina, Sask.:   | 1.25 kg-ha <sup>-1</sup>   |                                  | 37% 2nd wk of following                                | Incorporation to 5-cm depth with a small fork.        | Simuland Hayden 19020                 |
| Heavy clay   | 1st wk September           | 0–5 cm                           | May (average for 5 yr)                                 | With exception of one                                 |                                       |
| (physical char-  |                            |                                  | May (average for 5 yr)                                 | application years, all                                |                                       |
| acteristics NR)  | 1st wk October             | 0-5 cm                           | 38.6% 2nd wk of follow-                                | data within other applica-                            |                                       |
|  | ist we october             | <b>V D C I I I I I I I I I I</b> | ing May (average for 5 yr)                             | tion years not significantly                          |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  |  | different at the 0.01 level                           | * **                                  |
|  | 1st wk November            | 0–5 cm                           | 38.6% 2nd wk of follow-                                | (Duncan's multiple range                              |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  | ing May (average for 5 yr)                             | test) whether different soil                          |                                       |
|  | •                          |                                  | 21.27.0.1.1.66.11                                      | type or different month of application. This suggests |                                       |
| Sandy loam   | 1st wk September           | 0–5 cm                           | 31.2% 2nd wk of follow-                                | that the difference between                           |                                       |
| (physical char-  |                            |                                  | ing May (average for 6 yr)                             | the average values is also                            |                                       |
| acteristics NR)  | 1st wk October             | 0–5 cm                           | 33.3% 2nd wk of follow-                                | quite small.  |                                       |
|  | ISE WE OCTOOL              | 0–5 cm                           | ing May (average for 6 yr)                             | Less than 2% of the applied                           |                                       |
|  |                            | •                                |  | herbicide detected in the                             |                                       |
|  | 1st wk November            | 0-5 cm                           | 34.3% 2nd wk of follow-                                | 5-10 cm depths.                                       |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  | ing May (average for 6 yr)                             |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  |  |   | Warning While and Dismanfied          |
| Haifa, Israel:   | 4.0 mg·kg                  | NR                               | 2.4 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> (10°C)                         | Soil sieved, mixed                                    | Horowitz, Hulin, and Blumenfled       |
| Newe la'ar soil  |                            |                                  |  | with trifluralin, and 1 kg                            |                                       |
| (2.5% O.M., 50% of   | 4.0 mg·kg                  | NR                               | 1.6 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> (20°C)                         | placed in a double poly-                              |                                       |
| field capacity,  |                            |                                  |  | ethylene bag. Incubated                               |                                       |
| pH NR)   | 4.0 mg·kg                  | NR                               | 0.8 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> (30°C)                         | in the dark at  |                                       |
|  | •                          | ,                                | 0.5 1.1(400.00   | 10°C, 20°C, 30°C, or 40°C.                            |                                       |
|  | 4.0 mg kg                  | NR .                             | 0.5 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> (40°C)                         | Water lost by evaporation was replaced to initial     |                                       |
|  | 0.0 1                      | NR:                              | 6.4 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> (10°C)                         | moisture level.                                       |                                       |
| ,  | 8.0 mg kg                  | INK.                             | 0.4 mg kg (10 C)                                       | Incubated for 2 mo.                                   |                                       |
|  | 8.0 mg kg                  | NR                               | 3.5 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> (20°C)                         |   |                                       |
|  |                            |                                  |  |   |                                       |
|  | 8.0 mg·kg                  | NR                               | 1.2 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> (30°C)                         |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  |                            |                                  |  |   |                                       |
| A. Carrier and A. Car | 8:0 mg-kg                  | NR                               | 0.8 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> (40°C)                         |   |                                       |

Appendix D

Observed or Calculated Trifluralin Bioconcentration Factors in Aquatic Biota

Table D-1. Observed or Calculated Trifluralin Bioconcentration Factors in Aquatic Biota

| Species/<br>tissue                      | Exposure medium (single, continuous) | Formulation<br>(% ai)                 | BCF      | Treatment duration | Comments Reference                                 |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--|
| Fish                                    | Static exposure to                   | Trifluralin                           | 1000     | 1 d                | Static microcosm study with Yockim, Isensee, an    |
| (Gambusia affinis)                      | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 3140     | 3 d                | <sup>14</sup> C-trifluralin introduced Walker 1980 |
|   | 0.2 to 0.9 μg L <sup>-1</sup>        |                                       | 750      | 7 d                | adsorbed to soil. Continuous-                      |
|   | over 30-d period                     | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 5750     | 15 d               | flow microcosms received                           |
|   | •                                    |                                       | 2630     | 30 d               | trifluralin dissolved in water                     |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                                      | 4                                     |          |                    | via acetone carrier. BCF based                     |
| . "                                     | Static exposure to                   | Trifluralin                           | 320      | 1 d                | on ratios of <sup>14</sup> C in water              |
|   | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 1080     | 3 d                | and tissue. No discrimination                      |
|   | 3.4 to 9.1 µg L-1                    | (237.10)                              | 380      | 7 d                | of metabolites.                                    |
|   | over 30-d period                     |                                       | 500      | 7 d<br>15 d        | of metabolities.                                   |
|   | over so a period                     |                                       |          |                    |  |
|   |                                      |                                       | 300      | 30 d               |  |
|   | Static amanage to                    | Trifluralin                           | 500      |                    |  |
|   | Static exposure to                   |                                       | 690      | 1 d                |  |
|   | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 1150     | 3 d                |  |
| *                                       | 36.9 to 160.1 µg L-1                 |                                       | 350      | 7 d                |  |
|   | over 30-d period                     |                                       | 80       | 15 d               |  |
|   |                                      |                                       | 70       | 30 d               |  |
|   |                                      | •                                     |          |                    |  |
|   | Continuous-flow                      | Trifluralin                           | 11000    | 1 d                |  |
| (                                       | exposure to water                    | (>97%)                                | 3000     | 3 d                |  |
| 4                                       | containing 0.1 to                    | * .                                   | 6000     | 7 d                | $\mathcal{A}_{i}$                                  |
|   | 0.8 μg L <sup>1</sup> over           |                                       | 1800     | 15 d               |  |
|   | 30-d period                          | •                                     | 3250     | 30 d               |  |
| · .                                     |                                      |                                       |          | 50 5               |  |
|   | Continuous-flow                      | Trifluralin                           | 2200     | 1 d                |  |
|   | exposure to water                    | (>97%)                                | 2670     | 3 d                |  |
|   | containing 0.5 to                    | (23170)                               |          |                    |  |
| •                                       | 2.6 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over          |                                       | 5710     | 7 d                |  |
|   | 30-d period                          |                                       | 2080     | 15 d               |  |
|   | 50-a perioa                          |                                       | 5080     | 30 d               |  |
| *                                       | Continuous-flow                      | Trifluralin                           | 1100     |                    |  |
|   |                                      |                                       | 1190     | 1 d                |  |
| /                                       | exposure to water                    | (>97%)                                | 1910     | 3 d                |  |
| • '                                     | containing 9.3 to                    |                                       | 3960     | 7 d                |  |
|   | 29.8 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over         |                                       | 4050     | 15 d               |  |
|   | 30-d period                          |                                       | 3810     | 30 d               |  |
|   | •                                    |                                       | ٠.       |                    |  |
|   |                                      |                                       |          |                    |  |
| Snail                                   | Static exposure to                   | Trifluralin                           | 1000     | 1 d                | Static microcosm study with                        |
| (Helisoma sp.)                          | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 140      | 3 d                | 14C-trifluralin introduced                         |
| , •                                     | 0.2 to 0.9 µg L-1                    |                                       |          | <del>, -</del>     | adsorbed to soil. Continuous-                      |
|   | over 30-d period                     |                                       |          | • .*               | flow microcosms received                           |
|   | •                                    | *                                     |          |                    | trifluralin dissolved in water                     |
|   |                                      | 3                                     |          | 1000               | uniorann dissolved in water                        |
|   | Static exposure to                   | Trifluralin                           | 150      | 1 d                | via acetone carrier. BCF based                     |
|   | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 200      | 3 d                | on ratios of <sup>14</sup> C in water              |
|   | 3.4 to 9.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup>        | (-2110)                               | 40       |                    | and tissue. No discrimination                      |
| •                                       | over 30-d period                     |                                       |          | 15 d               | of metabolites.                                    |
|   | a w so a policia                     |                                       | 10       | 30 d               |  |
|   | Statio experies to                   | т.:а                                  | <i>i</i> |                    |  |
|   | Static exposure to                   | Trifluralin                           | 150      | 1 d                |  |
|   | water containing                     | (>97%)                                | 140      | 3 d                |  |
| * | 36.9 to 160.1 µg·L <sup>-1</sup>     |                                       | 40       | 7 d                |  |
|   | over 30-d period                     |                                       | 20       | 15 d               |  |
|   |                                      |                                       | 20       | 30 d               |  |

BCF = concentration in organism or tissue/concentration in medium (water or diet).

Table D-1. Continued

|                    | /                                     |                       |               | ,                  |  |   |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|--|---|
| Species/<br>tissue | Exposure medium (single, continuous)  | Formulation<br>(% ai) | BCF           | Treatment duration | Comments   | Reference                               |
|                    | Continuous-flow                       | Trifluralin           | 2000          | 1 d                |  |   |
| •                  |                                       | (>97%)                | 500           | 3 d                |  |   |
|                    | exposure to water                     | (>9/90)               | 1000          | 7 d                | ,  | · .                                     |
| 4                  | containing 0.1 to                     |                       | 600           | 15.d               |  |   |
|                    | 0.8 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over           | •                     |               | 30 d               |  |   |
|                    | 30-d period                           |                       | 130           | 30 a               |  |   |
|                    |                                       |                       | 070           |                    |  |   |
|                    | Continuous-flow                       | Trifluralin           | 270           | 1 d                |  |   |
|                    | exposure to water                     | (>97%)                | 1110          | 3 d                | the state of the s |   |
| ,                  | containing 9.3 to                     | • • •                 | 1590          | 7 d                |  |   |
|                    | 29.8 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over          |                       | 1090          | 15 d               |  |   |
|                    | 30-d period                           |                       | 870           | 30 d               |  |   |
|                    |                                       |                       | * *           | *                  |  |   |
|                    |                                       | m .a .:               | 1000          | 1.4                | Static microcosm study with  |   |
| Green, filamentous | Static exposure to                    | Trifluralin           | 1000          | 1 d                | <sup>14</sup> C-trifluralin introduced   |   |
| alga               | water containing                      | (>97%)                | 290           | 3 d                | adsorbed to soil. Continuous-  | •                                       |
| (Oedogonium        | $0.2$ to $0.9~\mu g~L^{-1}$           |                       | 250           | 15 d               | •  |   |
| cardiacum)         | over 30-d period                      |                       |               |                    | flow microcosms received   |   |
|                    | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |                       |               | •                  | trifluralin dissolved in water   | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|                    | ,                                     |                       |               |                    | via acetone carrier. BCF based   | · .                                     |
| , · ·              | Static exposure to                    | Trifluralin           | 500           | 1 d                | on ratios of <sup>14</sup> C in water  |   |
|                    | water containing                      | (>97%)                | 240           | 3 d                | and tissue. No discrimination  |   |
| •                  | 3.4 to 9.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup>         | • •                   | 240           | 7 d                | of metabolites.  |   |
|                    | over 30-d period                      |                       | 210           | 15 d               |  |   |
|                    |                                       | :                     | 230           | 30 d               |  |   |
|                    | Static exposure to                    | Trifluralin           | 1030          | 1 d                |  |   |
|                    | water containing                      | (>97%)                | 160           | 3 d                | f(x) = f(x) +    |   |
|                    | 36.9 to 160.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup>      | (227.0)               | 280           | 7 d                |  |   |
|                    | over 30-d period                      |                       | 210           | 15 d               |  | <u>.</u>                                |
|                    | Over 50-a perioa                      |                       | 220           | <b>30 d</b> .      | w .  | We have                                 |
| •                  |                                       | T-:                   | 4500          | 3 d                |  |   |
|                    | Continuous-flow                       | Trifluralin           |               | 7 d                |  |   |
| •                  | exposure to water                     | (>97%)                | 20000<br>2600 | 15 d               | 4  |   |
| . "                | containing 0.1 to                     | •                     |               | 30 d               |  |   |
|                    | 0.8 µg L <sup>-1</sup> over           |                       | 1880          | 30 Q               |  |   |
|                    | 30 <sup>4</sup> d period              | ,                     | ,             |                    |  |   |
|                    |                                       | Trigonalia            | 1000          | 1 d                |  |   |
| 1000               | Continuous-flow                       | Trifluralin           |               | 3 d                |  | •                                       |
| ,                  | exposure to water                     | (>97%)                | 1560<br>23640 | 7 d                |  | •                                       |
|                    | containing 0.5 to                     |                       |               | 15 d               |  |   |
| ,                  | 2.6 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over           | •                     | 4270          | 30 d               |  |   |
|                    | 30-d period                           |                       | 1240          | ,50 a              |  |   |
|                    |                                       | m et e                | 200           | í á .              |  |   |
| •                  | Continuous-flow                       | Trifluralin           | 280           | 1 d                |  |   |
|                    | exposure to water                     | (>97%)                | 2560          | 3 d                |  |   |
|                    | containing 9.3 to                     |                       | 4630          | 7 d                |  |   |
|                    | 29.8 μg L <sup>-1</sup> over          | •                     | 3770          | 15 d               |  |   |
| •                  | 30-d period                           |                       | 4730          | 30 d               |  |   |
| •                  |                                       |                       | •             |                    |  | •                                       |
|                    |                                       | Trifluralin           | 1000          | 1 d                | Static microcosm study with  |   |
| Water flea         | Static exposure to                    |                       | 140           | 3 d                | 14C-trifluralin introduced   |   |
| (Daphnia magna)    | water containing                      | (>97%)                | 1250          | 7 d                | adsorbed to soil. BCF based  | •                                       |
| ` .                | 0.2 to 0.9 µg L <sup>-1</sup>         | . *                   | 110           | 30 d               | on ratios of <sup>14</sup> C in water  |   |
| * .                | over 30-d period                      |                       | 110           | 50 u               | and tissue. No discrimination  |   |
|                    |                                       | A second              |               |                    | of metabolites.  |   |
|                    |                                       | m                     |               | . ,<br>1 .1        | OI, INCLUOTING   |   |
|                    | Static exposure to                    | Trifluralin           | 560           | 1 d                |  |   |
|                    | water containing                      | (>97%)                | 1080          | 3 d                |  |   |
|                    | 3.4 to 9.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup>         | •                     | 280           | 7 d                |  |   |
|                    | over 30-d period                      | en en grande en en    | 20            | 15 d<br>30 d       |  |   |
|                    |                                       |                       | 40            | 70 J               |  |   |

Table D-1. Continued

|                    |   |                       | Table D   | -1. Continued         |  | A Committee of the Comm |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Species/<br>tissue | Exposure medium (single, continuous)                                    | Formulation<br>(% ai) | ВСГ       | Treatment<br>duration | Comments   | Reference  |
|                    |   |                       |           | i,                    |  |  |
|                    | Static exposure to  | Trifluralin           | 530       | 1 d                   |  |  |
| ,                  | water containing  | (>97%)                | 630       | 3 d                   |  |  |
|                    | 36.9 to 160.1 μg L <sup>-1</sup>  |                       | 250       | 7 d                   | •  |  |
|                    | over 30-d period  |                       | 40        | 15 đ                  |  |  |
|                    |   | 100                   | . 30      | 30 d                  |  |  |
| * .                |   | , •                   |           |                       |  |  |
| Fathead minnow     | Canala  | D                     |           |                       | •  |  |
| (Pimephales        | Static exposure to water containing                                     | Reagent grad          | le 2361   | 40 h                  |  | Spacie and Hamelink  |
| promelas)          | 20 µg L <sup>-1</sup> for 40 h  | (NR)                  |           |                       |  | 1979   |
| prometas           | 20 µg 12 101 40 ft  |                       |           |                       | •  |  |
|                    | , .   | )                     |           | r                     |  |  |
| Fish               | River water   | NR                    | 1800-6000 | <b>&gt;TD</b>         | •  | * . * <u></u>  |
| (various species)  | (concentration of   | INK.                  | 1900-0000 | NR.                   | It was reported that   | Thierren-Richards and  |
| (various apecies)  | 0.0018 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )   |                       |           |                       | trifluralin is accumulated   | Williamson 1987  |
|                    | 0.0018 ing L  |                       |           |                       | by direct uptake from  |  |
|                    | 0   |                       |           | • •                   | water; fish residues   |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | were proportional to concen-   |  |
|                    |   | •                     | •         | •                     | tration in the river water.  | etta.<br>Geografia   |
|                    | •   | ٠                     |           |                       | Karamatan Barana Ba | **   |
| Fish               | Water   | NR                    | 7200      | NTS.                  | Water to the state of the  |  |
|                    | (concentration of   | NK                    | 7200      | NR                    | Water concentration refers   | Williamson 1984  |
|                    | 1.8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> mg L <sup>-1</sup> )                             |                       | 100       |                       | to that for trifluralin  | 4  |
|                    | no x to mg L /  |                       |           |                       | plus metabolites.  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           | •                     |  | •  |
| Snails             | Water   | NR                    | 157000 .  | NR                    | W  |  |
|                    | (concentration of   |                       | 137000 .  | . 1417                | Water concentration refers   | -  |
|                    | 1.8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> mg L <sup>-1</sup> )                             |                       |           |                       | to that for trifluralin  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | plus metabolites.  |  |
| •                  |   |                       |           |                       |  |  |
| Algae              | Static water  | NR                    | 276       | 33 d                  | 4 L aquatic microcosms con-  | <del></del>  |
| (Oedogónium        | microcosms  |                       | 270       | 33 <b>u</b>           |  | Kearney, Isensee and   |
| cardiacum)         |   |                       | *         |                       | taining <sup>14</sup> C-triffuralin  | Konston 1977   |
|                    | $\mathcal{L}_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{i}$ | *                     |           | •                     | adsorbed to soil. Daphnia,   |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | snails, algae, and "old<br>aquarium water" added   |  |
|                    |   |                       |           | •                     |  | ,  |
|                    | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                 |                       | •         |                       | immediately. After 30 d,  Daphnia removed and two  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | fish added. All organisms  |  |
| ,                  |   |                       |           |                       | harvested 3 d later.   | ,  |
|                    | •   |                       |           |                       | naivested 3 d later.   |  |
| *                  |   |                       |           |                       |  |  |
| Snails             | Static water  | NR                    | 400       | 33 d                  | 4 L aquatic microcosms con-  |  |
| (Helisoma sp.)     | microcosms  |                       |           | <i>55</i> <b>G</b>    | taining 14C-trifluralin  | *  |
|                    | . t   |                       |           | ,                     | adsorbed to soil. Daphnia,   | *. *   |
|                    |   | •                     |           |                       | snails, algae, and "old  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | aquarium water" added  |  |
|                    | •   | •                     |           |                       | immediately. After 30 d,   |  |
| *                  |   |                       |           |                       | Daphnia removed and two  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | fish added. All organisms  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           | *                     | harvested 3 d later.   |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | Janes Janes .  | •  |
|                    |   |                       | *         |                       |  |  |
| Cladoceran         | Static water  | NR                    | . 92      | 30 d                  | 4 L aquatic microcosms con-  |  |
| Daphnia magna)     | microcosms  |                       |           | <del>-</del>          | taining "C-trifluralin   |  |
|                    |   |                       |           | •                     | adsorbed to soil. Daphnia,   |  |
|                    | •   |                       |           |                       |  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | snails, algae, and "old  |  |
| ,                  |   |                       |           |                       | aquarium water" added  | 9  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | immediately. After 30 d,   |  |
| e e e              | •   |                       | .*        |                       | Daphnia removed and two  |  |
| •                  | $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X$   |                       |           |                       | fish added. All organisms  |  |
|                    |   |                       |           |                       | harvested 3 d later.   |  |

Table D-1. Continued

| Species/<br>tissue                   | Exposure medium (single, continuous)  | Formulation<br>(% ai) | BCF  | Treatment<br>duration | Comments  | Reference         |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Mosquitofish<br>(Gambusia affinis)   | Static water<br>microcosms  | NR                    | 33   | 3 d                   | 4 L aquatic microcosms con-<br>taining "C-trifluralin<br>adsorbed to soil. <i>Daphnia</i> ,             |                   |  |
| (                                    |   |                       | · .  |                       | snails, algae, and "old<br>aquarium water" added<br>immediately. After 30 d,<br>Daphnia removed and two |                   |  |
|                                      |   |                       |      | 2 m                   | fish added. All organisms harvested 3 d later.  |                   |  |
| Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) | Continuous exposure to water containing 5.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup> (mean conc.) | Technical (99)        | 961  | 425 đ                 |   | Macek et al. 1976 |  |
| (eviscerated carcass)                | Continuous exposure to water containing 1.9 µg L <sup>-1</sup> (mean conc.) | Technical<br>(99)     | 1333 | 425 d                 |   |                   |  |
|                                      | Continuous exposure to water containing 1.5 µg L <sup>-1</sup> (mean conc.) | Technical<br>(99)     | 889  | 425 d                 |   |                   |  |

Appendix E

Acute Toxicity of Trifluralin to Aquatic Organisms

Table E-1. Acute Toxicity of Trifluralin to Aquatic Organisms

| Species                            | Test conditions* | Temperature<br>(°C) | рН  | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ·L· <sup>1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h                | EC <sub>50</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )  48 h dence interval) | 96 h | Reference                 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|------|---------------------------|
| VERTEBRATES                        |                  |                     |     |  |                       |                     |  |      |                           |
| Salmo gairdneri<br>(Rainbow trout) | S, M             | 2.0                 | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 560<br>(471–666)    | 330<br>(281–387)   |      | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986 |
|                                    | S, M             | 7.0                 | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 250<br>(218–287)    | 120<br>(98–147)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | -/44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 167<br>(149–188)    | 92   |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 100<br>(79–127)     | <14  |      |                           |
|                                    | , <b>S, M</b> ,  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 13.5<br>(11.3–16.2) | 10<br>(7.2–14)   |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 210<br>(161–273)    | 76<br>(52–111)   |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 120<br>(86–167.3)   |  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 135<br>(92.5–196.8) | 98<br>(71.5–134.1)   |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 98<br>(66–144)      | 28<br>(18–42)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Emul. conc. (46)      | 96<br>(67–136)      | 50<br>(34–74)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44 )   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 78<br>(51–118)      | 41<br>(26–62)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4 | 320  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 92<br>(63–134)      | 43<br>(28–66)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.5 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 86<br>(58–126)      | 42<br>(27–65)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 6.5 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 56<br>(38–83)       | 33<br>(24–46)  |      |                           |
|                                    | S, M             | 12.0                | 8.5 | 44   | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 43<br>(25–73)       | 25<br>(15–41)  |      |                           |

Note: Trifluralin acute toxicity data reported by Johnson and Findley (1980) was also reported in Mayer and Ellersieck (1986). \*Test conditions: S = static

NR = not reported

F = flow-measured
M = measured

V = unmeasured

Table E-1. Continued

|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                     | -   |   |                       | LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 1            |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|---|-----------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Species                                  | Test conditions*                      | Temperature<br>(°C) | pН  | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ·L <sup>1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h 48 h<br>(confidence interva                       | 96 h         | Reference                             |
|  | S, M                                  | 7.0                 | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 100<br>(58–172)  | ···          | T.                                    |
|  | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 60<br>(37–98)  |              |                                       |
|  | S, M                                  | 17.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 22<br>(16–30)  |              |                                       |
|  | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.6 | 42  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 53 51<br>(39–72) (36–73)                               |              |                                       |
| (fingerling)                             | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 130 86<br>(96–180) (61–120)                            |              |                                       |
| (swimup fry)                             | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | >1800 83<br>(53–130)                                   |              |                                       |
|  | → <b>S</b> , M                        | 12.0                | 7.4 | 40  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | >1000 140<br>(80–240)                                  |              |                                       |
|  | s, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 40  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 370 170<br>(270–510) (100–280                          | )            |                                       |
| •  | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 40  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 430 160<br>(310–590) (96–270)                          |              |                                       |
| (yolk-sac fry)                           | S, M                                  | 12.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | >1000 1600<br>(1200–21                                 | 00)          |                                       |
| Carassius auratus<br>(Goldfish)          | S, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Emul. conc.<br>(46)   | 700 145<br>(459–1068) (108–195                         | <b>6)</b>    | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986             |
| Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)     | S, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 350 160<br>(268–456) (116–220                          | <b>)</b> )   | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986             |
| (rauseau miniow)                         | S, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 205 124<br>(167–251) (95–162)                          |              |                                       |
|  | S, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 148 105<br>(121–182) (83–134)                          |              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Ictalurus punctatus<br>(Channel catfish) | S, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 500<br>(424–589)                                       |              | Mayer and Ellersieck 1980             |
| (Chaire Caulon)                          | s, M                                  | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 650 440<br>(436–969) (361–530                          | 5)           |                                       |
|  | S, M                                  | 24.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 400 210<br>(198–809) (135–37:                          | 5)           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  | s, M                                  | 22.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 4400 2200<br>(2460–7860) (1420–3                       | <b>110</b> ) |                                       |

|   |                  |                     | · . · |  |                       |                     |  | •    |                                       |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|
|   | •                |                     |       |  | . ;                   | LC <sub>50</sub> /1 | EC <sub>50</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) |      |                                       |
| Species                                 | Test conditions* | Temperature<br>(°C) | pΗ    | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h<br>(confid     | 48 h<br>lence interval)                | 96 h | Reference                             |
| (swimup fry)                            | S, M             | 22:0                | 7.4   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   |                     | 330<br>(213–511)                       | -    |                                       |
| (yolk-sac fry)                          | S, M             | 22.0                | 7.4   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   |                     | 660<br>(520–830)                       |      |                                       |
| Lepomis macrochirus<br>(Bluegill)       | S, M             | 24                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 22.5<br>(19-9-25.2) | 18.5<br>(16.8–19.9)                    | • .  | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986             |
|   | S, M             | 7                   | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 1300<br>(1000–1700) | 280<br>(240–330)                       | ,    |                                       |
| · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | S, M             | 12                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 530<br>(460–610)    | 210<br>(170–250)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | . 18                | 7.4   | 44   | Technical (95.9)      | 360<br>(300–430)    | 135<br>(120–160)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 24                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 120<br>(100–140)    | 47<br>(40–55)                          |      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|   | S, M             | 29                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 10<br>(8–13)        | 8.4<br>(6.5–11)                        | ·    |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 77<br>(62.7–94.6)   | 60<br>(48.7–73.9)                      |      |                                       |
| ,                                       | S, M             | 22                  | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 69<br>(54–87)       | 58<br>(47–70)                          | ÷ ,  |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 12.0                | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | >5600               | 400<br>(300–540)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 17.0                | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | ٠,                  | 240<br>(170–330)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22.0                | 7.4   | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 460<br>(340–630)    | 190<br>(130–280)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22.0                | 6.5   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   |                     | 100<br>(64–144)                        |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22.0                | 7.5   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   |                     | 260<br>(169–399)                       |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22:0                | 8.5   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   |                     | 120<br>(87–163)                        |      |                                       |
|   | S, M             | 22.0                | 7.5   | 40   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 440<br>(295–541)    | 140<br>(45–206)                        |      |                                       |

Table E-1. Continued

|  |                       |                     |     |  |                       | LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) |                        |   |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-----|--|-----------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| Species  | Test conditions*      | Temperature<br>(°C) | pН  | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h 48 h<br>(confidence interval)                       | 96 h                   | Reference                                 |
|  | S, M                  | 22.0                | 7.4 | 320  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 400 70<br>(302–530) (47–104)                             |                        |   |
| Micropterus salmoides<br>(Largemouth bass)               | S, M                  | 18:0                | 7.4 | 272  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 120<br>(92–157)  | 75<br>(65–87)          | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986                 |
| Stizostedium vitreum<br>vitreum<br>(Walleye)             | S, M -                | 18.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 180`<br>(125–260)  |                        | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986                 |
| Bufo woodhousei fowleri<br>(Fowler's toad)<br>(tadpole)  | S, M                  | 15.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 200<br>(151–266)   | 115<br>(82–161)        | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986                 |
| (m.F)  | S, M                  | 15.0                | 7.4 | 44   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 180<br>(108–300)   | 110<br>(66–183)        |   |
| Gambusia affinis<br>(Mosquito fish)                      | s, u                  | 23–25               | NR  | NR   | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 28<br>(30–35)  | 12<br>(11–13)          | Naqvi and Leung 1983                      |
| Gambusia affinis<br>(Mosquito fish)<br>("susceptible")   | S, U                  | 21                  | NR  | NR -   | NR                    | 2.00   |                        | Fabacher and Chambers 1974                |
| ("resistant")  | S, U                  | 21                  | NR  | NR   | NR                    | 4.10   | 14.                    |   |
| Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill)                           | s, u                  | 21–23               | NR  | 60   | Triflan<br>(46)       |  | 58.2<br>(11–13)        | Parka and Worth 1965                      |
| Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)                     | s, u                  | 21–23               | NR  | 60   | Triflan<br>(46)       |  | 85.8-103.8             | Parka and Worth 1965                      |
| Carassius auratus<br>(Goldfish)                          | . <b>s</b> , <b>u</b> | 21–23               | NR  | 60   | Triflan (46)          |  | 585                    | Parka and Worth 1965                      |
| Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill)                           | s, u                  | <b>24</b> .         | NR  | NR   | NR                    | 0.019  |                        | Cope 1966                                 |
| Salmo gairdneri<br>(Rainbow trout)                       | s, u                  | 13                  | NR  | NŘ   | NR                    | 0.011  |                        | Cope 1966                                 |
| Rasbora heteromorpha<br>(Harlequin fish)                 | F, U                  | <b>20</b>           | 7.2 | 250  | Treflan<br>(46)       | 0.6  |                        | Alabaster 1969                            |
| Ictalurus punctatus<br>(Channel catfish)<br>(fingerling) | <b>S,</b> U           | 20-21               | 8.2 | 22   | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   |  | 0.417<br>(0.380-0.447) | McCorkle, Chambers, and<br>Yarbrough 1977 |

Table E-1. Continued

|  | 3 . · ·          |                     |     |   |                       | LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> (n | ng L¹)           |                                     |
|--|------------------|---------------------|-----|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Species  | Test conditions* | Temperature<br>(°C) | рН  | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h 48 h<br>(confidence in           |                  | Reference                           |
| Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill)                             | S, U             | 12.7                | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 540<br>(460–640)                      | 190<br>(160–230) | Macek, Hutchinson, and<br>Cope 1969 |
|  | S, U             | 18.3                | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 360<br>(300–430)                      | 120<br>(100-140) |                                     |
|  | S, U             | 23                  | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 130<br>(110–150)                      | 47<br>(40-55)    |                                     |
| Salmo gairdneri<br>(Rainbow trout)                         | S, U             | 7.2                 | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 239<br>(196–267)                      | 152<br>(132–175) | Macek, Hutchinson, and<br>Cope 1969 |
|  | S, U             | 12.7                | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 98<br>(85–113)                        | 42<br>(38–46)    |                                     |
|  | S, U             | 1.6                 | 7.1 | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   |                                       | 210<br>(270–375) |                                     |
| Lepomis macrochirus<br>(Bluegill)                          | NR               | 29.4                | NR  | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 0.010 0.00                            | 84 0.0084        | Cope 1965                           |
|  | NR               | 23.9                | NR  | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 0.120 0.06                            | 6 0.047          |                                     |
|  | NR               | 18.3                | NR  | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 0.360 0.20                            | 0 0.135          |                                     |
|  | NR               | 12.8                | NR  | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 0.530 0.386                           | 0.210            |                                     |
|  | NR               | 18.3                | NR  | NR  | Trifluralin<br>(NR)   | 1.300 0.590                           | 0.280            | ,                                   |
| Salmo gairdneri<br>(Rainbow trout)                         | NR               | NR                  | NR  | ∪ NR  | (NR)                  | Trifluralin                           | 0.014-0.210      | Cope 1965                           |
| INVERTEBRATES  | •                |                     | •,  |   |                       |                                       |                  |                                     |
| Daphnia magna<br>(Cladoceran)<br>(1st instar)              | S, M             | 21.0                | 7.4 | 272   | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 560<br>(320                           | -1000)           | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986           |
| Daphnia pulex<br>(Cladoceran)<br>(1st instar)              | S, M             | 15.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 625<br>(446-                          | <b>-876</b> )    | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986           |
| Simocephalus<br>serrulatus<br>(Cladoceran)<br>(1st instar) | S, M             | 15.0                | 7.4 | 44  | Technical<br>(95.9)   | 900<br>(651-                          | -1245)           | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986           |

Table E-1. Continued

|   |                       |                     |         | •                                       | •                   | LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) |                     |                                   |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Species   | Test conditions*      | Temperature<br>(°C) | рН      | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> :L-1) | Formulation (% ai)  | 24 h 48 h<br>(confidence interval)                       | 96 h                | Reference                         |
| Asellus brevicaudus<br>(Isopod)<br>(early instar)     | S, M                  | 15.0                | 7.4     | 272                                     | Technical<br>(95.9) | >1800  | >1000               | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986         |
| Gammarus fasciatus<br>(Amphipod)<br>(immature)        | S, M                  | 15.0                | 7.4     | 44                                      | Technical<br>(95.9) | 8700<br>(6200–12 200)                                    | 2200<br>(1400–3400) | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986         |
| Palgemonetes<br>tidiakensis                           | S, M                  | 21.0                | 7.4     | 272                                     | Technical<br>(95.9) | 210<br>(162–273)   | 37<br>(26–54)       | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986         |
| Shrimp)<br>immature)                                  |                       |                     |         |   |                     |  |                     |                                   |
| Pteronarcys<br>californica                            | S, M                  | 15.0                | 7.4     | 44                                      | Technical<br>(95.9) | 13000<br>(8400–20 000)                                   | 2800<br>(2100–3700) | Mayer and Ellersieck 1986         |
| (Stonefly)<br>(2nd year class)                        |                       | •                   |         | -<br>1                                  | •                   |  | •                   |                                   |
| Alonella sp.<br>(Cladoceran)                          | S, U                  | 17–23               | 7.8     | 15                                      | Emul. conc. (46)    | 0.06   |                     | Naqvi, Hawkins, and Naqvi<br>1987 |
| Diaptomus sp.<br>(Calanoid copepode)                  | ( <b>S</b> , <b>U</b> | 17–23               | 7.8     | 15                                      | Emul. conc.<br>(46) | 0.08   |                     | Naqvi, Hawkins, and Naqvi<br>1987 |
| Eucyclops sp. (Cyclopoid copepode)                    | s, U                  | 17–23               | 7.8     | 15                                      | Emul. conc.<br>(46) | 0.05   |                     | Naqvi, Hawkins, and Naqvi<br>1987 |
| Cypria sp.<br>(Ostracod)                              | s, u                  | 17–23               | 7.8     | 15                                      | Emul. conc.<br>(46) | 0.06   |                     | Naqvi, Hawkins, and Naqvi<br>1987 |
| Simocephalus<br>serrulatus<br>(Cladoceran)            | S, U                  | 15–16               | 7.4-7.8 | 60                                      | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 450<br>(330–620)   |                     | Sanders and Cope 1966             |
| (1st instar)  Daphnia pulex (Cladoceran) (1st instar) | S, U                  | 15–16               | 7.4–7.8 | <b>60</b> +                             | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 240<br>(160–360)   |                     | Sanders and Cope 1966             |
| Procambarus clarkii<br>(Crawfish)                     | s, u                  | 23–25               | NR      | NR                                      | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 13<br>(12–14)  | 12<br>(11–13)       | Naqvi and Leung 1983              |
| (juvenile) (adult)                                    | s, u                  | 21–27               | 6.8     | NR                                      | Trifluralin<br>(NR) |  | 26<br>(23.8–28.9)   | Naqvi, Hawkins, and Naqvi<br>1987 |
| (juvenile)  | S, U                  | 21–27               | 6.8     | NR                                      | Trifluralin<br>(NR) |  | 13<br>(12.1–15.0)   |                                   |
| Daphnia magna<br>(Cladoceran)                         | S, U                  | 21                  | 7.4     | 272                                     | Technical (NR)      | 0.56   |                     | Sanders 1970                      |

| • | • | ı |
|---|---|---|
| C | J | ĺ |

|  |                  |                                    |         |  | , te                  | LC <sub>so</sub> /I | EC <sub>50</sub> (mg L 1) |   |                   |
|--|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Species                                      | Test conditions* | Temperature<br>(°C)                | рН      | Hardness<br>(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai) | 24 h<br>(confid     | 48 h<br>ence interval)    | 96 h                                    | Reference         |
| Cypridopsis vidua<br>(Ostracod)              | S, U             | 21                                 | 7.4     | 272  | Technical<br>(NR)     |                     | 0.25                      |   | Sanders 1970      |
| Asellus brevicaudus (Isopod)                 | S, U             | 15.5                               | 7.4     | 272  | Technical (NR)        | ٠.                  | 2.0                       |   | Sanders 1970      |
| Palaemonetes<br>kadiakensis<br>(Shrimp)      | S, U             | 21                                 | 7.4     | 272  | Technical<br>(NR)     | •                   | 1.2                       |   | Sanders 1970      |
| Orconectes nais (Crayfish)                   | s, u             | 15                                 | 7.4     | 272  | Technical<br>(NR)     |                     | 50.0                      |   | Sanders 1970      |
| Gammarus fasciatus (Amphipod)                | S, U             | 15.5                               | 7.4     | 272  | Technical<br>(NR)     | 3.2<br>(1.9–17)     | 1.8<br>(1.6–12)           | 1.0<br>(0.3–3.6)                        | Sanders 1970      |
| Pteronarcys california (Stonefly nymph)      | S, U             | 21                                 | NR      | NR   | NR                    |                     | 4.0                       |   | Cope 1966         |
| Daphnia pulex<br>(Cladoceran)                | s, u             | 21                                 | NR      | NR   | NR                    |                     | 0.24                      |   | Cope 1966         |
| Simocephalus<br>serrulatus<br>(Cladoceran)   | <b>s, u</b>      | 21                                 | NR      | NR   | NR                    |                     | <b>-0.45</b>              | ا الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال | Cope 1966         |
| Mytilus edulis<br>(Mussel)<br>(embroyo)      | S, M             | NR<br>Sal = 25 g L <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |  | Trifluralin<br>(99)   |                     | 0.12<br>(mortality)       | 0.35<br>(attachment)                    | Liu and Lee 1975  |
| (adult)                                      | S, M             | NR<br>Sal = 25 g L 1)              |         |  | Trifluralin<br>(99)   |                     |                           | 0.24<br>(mortality)                     | -                 |
| Daphnia magna<br>(Cladoceran)<br>(<24 h old) | S, M             | 19-21                              | 6.8–7.2 | 34_39  | Trifluralin<br>(99)   |                     | 0.193<br>(0.115–0.327)    |   | Macek et al. 1976 |

Appendix F

**Chronic Toxicity of Trifluralin to Aquatic Organisms** 

| Species  | Test conditions* | Temperature<br>(°C) | рН       | Hardness (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Salinity<br>(g.L. <sup>1</sup> ) | Formulation (% ai)  | Test<br>duration<br>(d) | Effect  | Reference                                   |
|--|------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| VERTEBRATES  |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     |                         | <u>,                                     </u>   |   |
| Cyprinodon variegatus<br>(Sheepshead minnow)             | F, M             | 30                  | NR       | NR                             |                                  | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 28                      | 5.5 µg L <sup>-1</sup> caused extreme<br>dysplasia of vertebrae;  | Couch et al. 1979                           |
| (Zygote)   |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     |                         | 2.7 µg L <sup>1</sup> apparently had no effect.   |   |
| Cyprinodon variegatus<br>(Sheepshead minnow)<br>(Zygote) | F, M             | 30                  | NR       | NR                             |                                  | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 570                     | 1–5 μg·L <sup>-1</sup> caused diffuse<br>vertebral dysplasia in 1.7 of<br>20 fish, focal hyperostosis   | Couch, Courtney, and Foss 198<br>Couch 1984 |
|  |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     | · .                     | of vertebrae in 7 of 20 fish,<br>and combined pituitary<br>enlargement plus other   |   |
|  |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     |                         | histopathological changes in 10 of 20 fish.   |   |
| (30 d old)   | F, M             | 30                  | ŃR       | NR                             |                                  | Trifluralin<br>(NR) | 540                     | 1-5 µg·L <sup>-1</sup> caused diffuse<br>vertebral dysplasia in 18 of<br>20 fish, focal hyperostosis<br>of vertebrae in 11 of 20 fish,                            |   |
|  |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     |                         | and combined pituitary<br>enlargement plus other<br>histopathological changes in  |   |
| Pimephales promelas<br>(Fathead minnow)                  | F, M             | 24–26               | 6.6–7.2  | 23-39                          |                                  | Trifluralin         | 12                      | 11 of 20 fish.  Incipient $LC_{so} = 115 \mu g \cdot L^{-1}$  | Macek et al. 1976                           |
| (44 d.old)   |                  |                     |          | •                              | •                                | (99)                |                         | (95% confidence interval = 48–211 μg L <sup>-1</sup> )  |   |
| (26 d old)   | F, M             | 24–26               | 6.6–7.2  | 23–39                          |                                  | Trifluralin<br>(99) | 425                     | Maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) = >1.95, <5.1 µg·L <sup>-1</sup>   |   |
| INVERTEBRATES  |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  |                     | :                       |   |   |
| Cancer magister [Dungeness crab] Zocal stage)            | F, M             | 12–14               | 7.30–8.1 |                                | 32–34.5                          | Technical<br>(93)   | 80                      | 31% of larvae exposed to 220 µg L <sup>-1</sup> survived to day 5; 100% mortality by day 8; 26 and 3.1 µg L <sup>-1</sup> had <u>no</u> effect on survival in the | Caldwell et al. 1979                        |
| *,   |                  |                     |          |                                |                                  | * *                 | ÷                       | period day 10–50.   | •   |

<sup>\*</sup>Test conditions: S = stati

F = flow-through

M = measured

Table F-1. Continued

| Species  | Test conditions* | Temperature | pН       | Hardness<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Salinity<br>(g.L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Formulation<br>(% ai)                | Test<br>duration<br>(d) | Effect  | Reference                  |
|--|------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| (Juvenile stage)   | F, M             | 12–14       | 7.30–8.1 |                                   | 32–34.5                          | Technical<br>(93)                    | 80                      | Survival not affected by 590 μg L <sup>-1</sup> .   |                            |
| (Adult)  | F, M             | 12–14       | 7.30-8.1 |                                   | 32–34.5                          | Technical<br>(93)                    | 85                      | Survival $\underline{not}$ affected by 300 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ .                           |                            |
| Limnodrilus<br>hoffmeisteri (>90%)<br>Tubifex tubifex (<10%) | S, M             | NR          | NR       | NR                                | ·                                | Analytical<br>Reference Std.<br>(NR) | 80                      | Survival and functioning of worms not affected by sediment conc. of 1.2 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | Karickhoff and Morris 1985 |
| (Oligochaetes)  Daphnia magna (Cladoceran)                   | S, M             | 19–21       | 6.8–7.2  | 34–39                             |                                  | Trifluralin<br>(99)                  | 64                      | MATC = >2.4, <7.2 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup>   | Macek et al. 1976          |

Appendix G

Summary of Selected Trifluralin Phytoxicity Data

Table G-1. Summary of Selected Trifluralin Phytotoxicity Data

| Species  | Dosage   | Response (relative to control)                                    | Conditions  | Reference                            |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Cabbage  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | 12%-19% increase in number  | Field cultivated  | Cassidy 1972                         |
| (Brassica oleracea)  |  | of plants grown from seeds  |   |                                      |
| (seeds)  |  | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| (30043)  |  | (time tite)   |   | •                                    |
| Cauliflower  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | 14% increase in number of   | Field cultivated  | Cassidy 1972                         |
| (Brassica oleracea)  | THE RE III   | plants grown from seeds;  | Tileid Cultivaled   | Cassidy 1972                         |
| (seeds)  |  |   |   | •                                    |
| (secus)  |  | 90% increase in fresh weight                                      |   | N * *                                |
|  | V 1  | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| Broccoli   | 175-6-1  | 1400 1  | THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, |                                      |
| (Brassica oleracea)  | 1.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                              | 14% decrease in fresh weight                                      | Field cultivated  | Ivany and Cutcliffe 1973             |
|  | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \                | of plants (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| (seeds)  |  |   | • •   | ••                                   |
|  |  | <u> </u>  |   |                                      |
|  | 1.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                              | 9% increase in fresh weight                                       | Field cultivated  |                                      |
|  |  | of plants (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| grade the state of |  |   |   |                                      |
| Brussels sprout  | 1.7 kg ha <sup>1</sup>                               | No effect on fresh weight   | Field cultivated  | Ivany and Cutcliffe 1973             |
| (Brassica oleracea)  |  | of plants (time NR)   |   | · ·                                  |
| (seeds)  |  |   |   | •                                    |
| ¥  |  |   |   |                                      |
| Cauliflower  | 1.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                              | 21% fresh weight decrease   | Field cultivated  | Ivany and Cutcliffe 1973             |
| (Brassica oleracea)  |  | in plants (time NR)   |   | Ivally and Cuclinic 1973             |
| (seeds)  | •  | III piulia (unio 1111)  |   | •                                    |
|  |  |   |   |                                      |
| Soybean  | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | 6%-23% of plants injured;   | Field cultivated  | Parochetti 1975                      |
| (Glycine max)  | 1.00 kg na   |   | rield cultivated  | Parochetti 19/5                      |
| (seeds)  |  | plant number decreased by   | · ·   |                                      |
| (seeds)  |  | 6% at 37 d  |   |                                      |
|  | 0044 4 41  |   |   | ;                                    |
|  | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | No effect on plant growth   | Field cultivated  |                                      |
|  |  | at 37 d   | •   |                                      |
| _  |  |   |   |                                      |
| Tomato   | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | 3% of plants injured and  | Field cultivated  | Brown and Swingle 1977               |
| (Lycopersicon esculentum)  |  | 14% decrease in fresh   |   |                                      |
| (seeds)  |  | weight at maturity (time NR)                                      |   |                                      |
|  |  |   |   |                                      |
| Tomato   | 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                              | 5% increase in fruit yield  | Field cultivated  | Henne 1977                           |
| (Lycopersicon esculentum)  | ·  | by weight at harvest at   | ,   |                                      |
| (seedlings)  |  | 105 d   |   |                                      |
|  |  | - <del></del>   |   |                                      |
| Soybean  | 0.56 kg ha 1   | 14% of mature plants injured                                      | Field cultivated  | TT                                   |
| (Glycine max)  | ono KR iia   | after 120 d; 10% of seedlings                                     | rieid cuitivated  | Hartnett 1975                        |
| (seeds)  |  |   | 1   |                                      |
| (sects)  |  | injured after 21 d  |   |                                      |
| Potato   | 1 10 1-1-1   | 267   |   |                                      |
|  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | 26% decrease in tubers at   | Field cultivated  | Sanok 1974                           |
| (Solanum tuberosum)  |  | harvest at 3 mo   | •.  |                                      |
| seedlings)   |  | •   | • •   |                                      |
|  |  |   |   | And the second of the second of      |
|  |  |   |   |                                      |
| •  | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | No effect on plants   | Field cultivated  | Selleck and Sanok 1977               |
| Brassica oleracea)   | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | No effect on plants<br>(time NR)                                  | Field cultivated  | Selleck and Sanok 1977               |
| Brassica oleracea)   | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             |   | Field cultivated  | Selleck and Sanok 1977               |
| Brassica oleracea)<br>seeds)   |  |   | Field cultivated  | Selleck and Sanok 1977               |
| Brassica oleracea)<br>seeds)   | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| Brassica oleracea)<br>seeds)<br>Cucumber<br>Cucumis sativus)   |  |   | Field cultivated  | Selleck and Sanok 1977  Ashley 1973a |
| Brassica oleracea)<br>seeds)<br>Cucumber<br>Cucumis sativus)   |  | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| Brassica oleracea)<br>seeds)<br>Cucumber<br>Cucumis sativus)   |  | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds)   | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | (time NR) 30% of plants injured 55 d                              | Field cultivated  | Ashley 1973a                         |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) Gumner squash   |  | (time NR)   |   |                                      |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) summer squash Cucurbita pepo condensa)  | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | (time NR) 30% of plants injured 55 d                              | Field cultivated  | Ashley 1973a                         |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) summer squash Cucurbita pepo condensa)  | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | (time NR) 30% of plants injured 55 d                              | Field cultivated  | Ashley 1973a                         |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) Summer squash Cucurbita pepo condensa) seeds)   | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | (time NR)  30% of plants injured 55 d  40% of plants injured 57 d | Field cultivated Field cultivated   | Ashley 1973a                         |
| Cabbage (Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) Summer squash Cucurbita pepo condensa) seeds)  | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                             | (time NR)  30% of plants injured 55 d  40% of plants injured 57 d | Field cultivated  | Ashley 1973a                         |
| Brassica oleracea) seeds) Cucumber Cucumis sativus) seeds) Summer squash Cucurbita pepo condensa) seeds)   | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | (time NR)  30% of plants injured 55 d  40% of plants injured 57 d | Field cultivated Field cultivated   | Ashley 1973a<br>Ashley 1973a         |

| Species                                      | Dosage                   | Response (relative to control)                    | Conditions       | Reference                       |
|--|--------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Baby lima bean ( <i>Phaseolus lunatus</i> )  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 13% of seedlings injured 28 d after exposure; all | Field cultivated | Beste 1975                      |
| (seeds)                                      |                          | plants recovered by 77 d                          |                  |                                 |
|  | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 7% of mature plants<br>injured 77 d               | Field cultivated |                                 |
| Pea  | 0.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 30% fresh weight of                               | Field cultivated | Harvey, Gritton, and Doersch    |
| (Pisum sativum)                              |                          | mature plants 1 mo                                |                  | 1972                            |
| (mature plants)                              |                          |   | Field cultivated | Le Baron, Wilson, and Taylor    |
| Soybean<br>(Glycine max)                     | 3.4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 23% of plants injured (time NR)                   | Pield cultivated | 1971                            |
| (seeds)                                      |                          |   |                  |                                 |
| Cabbage                                      | 0.84 kg ha 1             | No effect on seedlings<br>after 19 d              | Field cultivated | Selleck and Sanok 1976          |
| (Brassica oleracea)<br>(seeds)               |                          | aiw, 17 G   |                  |                                 |
| Grape  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 27% of plants injured                             | Field cultivated | Lange et al. 1969               |
| (Vitis sp.) (lifestage NR)                   |                          | (time NR)   |                  |                                 |
| Potato                                       | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 10% increase in tubers at                         | Field cultivated | Murphy and Goven 1976           |
| (Solanum tuberosum)<br>(mature plants)       |                          | harvest (time NR)                                 |                  |                                 |
|  | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 14% injury of plants after                        | Field cultivated | Beste 1974                      |
| Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) (seedlings) | 0.50 kg na               | 33 d posttreatment                                |                  |                                 |
| Soybean                                      | 1.12 kg ha <sup>1</sup>  | 90% increase in dry weight                        | Field cultivated | Burnside 1968                   |
| (Glycine max) (seeds)                        |                          | of shoots (time NR)                               |                  |                                 |
|  | . 1 10 tick-1            | 76% decrease in dry weight                        | Field cultivated | Burnside 1968                   |
| Wild cane<br>(Sorghum bicolor)               | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | of shoots (time NR)                               |                  |                                 |
| (seeds)                                      |                          |   |                  | D.W. 1 G . 1072                 |
| Slash pine<br>(Pinus elliottii)              | 2.2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | No effect on survival or dry weight after 3 d     | Field cultivated | Dill and Carter 1973            |
| (seedlings)                                  |                          |   |                  |                                 |
| Loblolly pine                                | 2.2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | No effect on survival or dry weight after 3 d     | Field cultivated | Dill and Carter 1973            |
| (Pinus taeda)<br>(seedlings)                 |                          | weight after 3 d                                  |                  |                                 |
| Sorghum                                      | 4 mg L <sup>-1</sup>     | 96% shoot fresh weight                            | Greenhouse study | Horowitz, Hulin, and Blumenfeld |
| (Sorghum vulgare)<br>(seeds)                 |                          | decrease; 97% decrease in leaf size after 1 mo    |                  | 1974                            |
|  | 1101 1 1                 | 11% decrease in plant number;                     | Field cultivated | Noll 1975                       |
| Carrot (Daucas carota sativa)                | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 34% fresh weight decrease                         | Title Cale value |                                 |
| (seeds)                                      |                          | in root (time NR)                                 |                  |                                 |
| Soybean                                      | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6% of plants injured after<br>30 d                | Field cultivated | Johnson 1971                    |
| (Glycine max) (seeds)                        |                          | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,             |                  |                                 |
| Tomato                                       | 0.56 kg ha <sup>1</sup>  | 15% plant injury after 28 d                       | Field cultivated | Grande and Ombrello 1975        |
| (Lycopersicon esculentum) (seedlings)        |                          | posttreatment                                     |                  |                                 |

Table G-1. Continued

|                                     | . 4                     | Response   |                                   | • . (                         |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Species                             | Dosage                  | (relative to control)                            | Conditions                        | Reference                     |
| Soybean (Glycine max) (seeds)       | 0.9 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | Plant number decrease<br>(numbers NR) after 10 d | Field cultivated                  | Hamilton and Arle 1972        |
|                                     |                         |  |                                   |                               |
| Cucumber (Cucumis sativus) (seeds)  | 2 mg·L <sup>-1</sup>    | 100% decrease in germination after 96 h          | Greenhouse study with silica sand | Barrentine and Warren<br>1971 |
|                                     | 245 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |
|                                     | 16 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |
| Soybean (Glycine max)               | 1.4 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Lab study with silica sand        | Barrentine and Warren 1971    |
| (seeds)                             | 16 mg L-1               | 100% decrease in germination after 96 h          | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
|                                     | 16 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |
|                                     | 5.8 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
| 1                                   | 3.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
| Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (seeds) | 16 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 100% decrease in germination after 96 h          | Greenhouse study with silica sand | Barrentine and Warren 1971    |
| (Jacobs)                            | 16 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 50% decrease in shoot and root size after 96 h   | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |
|                                     | 1.6 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
|                                     | 2.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
| Barley (Hordeum vulgare) (seeds)    | 16 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 100% decrease in germination after 96 h          | Greenhouse study with silica sand | Barrentine and Warren 1971    |
|                                     | 3.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Greenhouse study with silica sand |                               |
|                                     | 0.09 mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |
|                                     | 3.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Lab study with silica sand        | <i>i</i> .                    |
| Rice<br>(Oryza sativa)<br>(seeds)   | 0.17 mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 50% decrease in shoot size after 96 h            | Greenhouse study with silica sand | Barrentine and Warren 1971    |
|                                     | 0.40 mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 50% decrease in root size after 96 h             | Lab study with silica sand        |                               |

|  | <u> </u>                 | Response                        |                   |     | Defende                                 |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----|---|
| Species                                      | Dosage                   | (relative to control)           | Conditions        |     | Reference                               |
|  | • <b>v</b> •1            | 100% decrease in germination    | Greenhouse study  |     |   |
|  | 1 mg L <sup>1</sup>      | after 96 h                      | with silica sand  | ,   |   |
| 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -      | * .                      |                                 |                   |     | /                                       |
|  | 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 50% decrease in shoot size      | Lab study with    |     | $\cdot$                                 |
|  |                          | after 96 h                      | silica sand       |     |   |
|  | 0.18 mg L-1              | 50% decrease in root size       | Greenhouse study  | .*  |   |
|  | U.16 mg·L                | after 96 h                      | with silica sand  |     | Programme and the second                |
| again an |                          | 1                               | -                 |     |   |
| ea ,   | 8 mg L <sup>-1</sup>     | 50% decrease in shoot size      | Lab study with    |     | Barrentine and Warren 1971              |
| Pisum sativum)                               |                          | after 96 h                      | silica sand       |     | •                                       |
| seeds)                                       | 6 mg·L <sup>-1</sup>     | 50% decrease in root size       | Lab study with    |     |   |
|  | o mg L                   | after 96 h                      | silica sand       |     |   |
|  |                          |                                 |                   |     |   |
| Cotton                                       | 0.6 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 5% reduction in number          | Field cultivated  | į   | Miller, Carater, and Carter 1983        |
| Gossypium hirsutum)                          |                          | of seedlings                    |                   |     |   |
| seeds)                                       |                          |                                 |                   |     | • |
| landar sina                                  | 1.12 kg ha 1             | 35% decrease in fresh           | Field cultivated  |     | South 1977                              |
| hortleaf pine<br>Pinus echinata)             | 1.12 kg 11a              | weight (time NR)                |                   |     |   |
| seeds)                                       |                          |                                 |                   |     |   |
|  |                          |                                 |                   |     | 7: North Parsian and Channel 1077       |
| Tomato                                       | 100 mg m <sup>-2</sup>   | 20% of plants exhibited         | Greenhouse study  |     | Zilkah, Bocion, and Gressel 1977        |
| Lycopersicon esculentum)                     |                          | deformed leaves and stems       |                   |     |   |
| mature plant)                                |                          |                                 |                   |     |   |
| oybean                                       | 0.8 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 56% decrease in yield of        | Field cultivated  |     | McNevin and Harvey 1982                 |
| Glycine max)                                 | -                        | seeds at harvest (time NR)      |                   |     |   |
| seeds)                                       |                          |                                 | •                 |     |   |
| <b>.</b>                                     | 0.8 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 12% decrease in yield of        | Field cultivated  |     | McNevin and Harvey 1982                 |
| Pea<br>Pisum sativum)                        | 0.0 kg 11a               | seeds at harvest; 60 d          |                   |     |   |
| seeds)                                       |                          |                                 | ,                 |     |   |
|  |                          |                                 | 777 1.1           |     | Reeves 1977                             |
| Sugar cane                                   | 4.48 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 5% of plants injured after      | Field cultivated  |     | Reeves 1977                             |
| Saccharum officinarum)                       | <u></u>                  | 8 wk                            |                   |     |   |
| (seeds)                                      |                          |                                 |                   |     |   |
| Shortleaf pine                               | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 51% decrease in fresh           | Field cultivated  |     | Gjerstad and South 1981                 |
| (Pinus echinata)                             |                          | weight of seedlings (time NR)   | χ'                | ` . |   |
| (seeds)                                      | •                        |                                 |                   |     | •                                       |
| Olaula Dima                                  | 1.1 kg ha 1              | No effect on fresh weight       | Field cultivated  |     | Gjerstad and South 1981                 |
| Slash Pine<br>(Pinus elliottii)              | 1.1 Ag 114               | of seedlings (time NR)          |                   |     |   |
| seeds)                                       |                          |                                 |                   | . : | A Section 1                             |
| ,  |                          |                                 | Field cultivated  |     | Gjerstad and South 1981                 |
| Loblolly pine                                | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 83% decrease in fresh weight    | rield cultivated  |     | Ojorstad and bount 1901                 |
| (Pinus taeda)                                | *                        | of seedlings (time NR)          | ·                 |     | $\smile$                                |
| (seeds)                                      |                          | •                               | •                 |     |   |
| Potato                                       | 12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 85% decrease in number of       | Field cultivated  |     | Lutman 1977                             |
| (Solanum tuberosum)                          |                          | of tubers in 8 mo               |                   |     |   |
| (mature plants)                              |                          |                                 |                   |     |   |
|  |                          | 110 inium to applicate          | Field cultivated  |     | Hatfield, Warholic, and Sweet           |
| Kidney bean                                  | 1.1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 11% injury to seedlings at 48 d | 1 1010 04101 4000 |     | 1978                                    |
| (Phaseolus sp.)                              |                          | at 70 u                         |                   |     |   |
| (seeds)                                      | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 15% decrease in beans from      | Field cultivated  |     |   |
| E.   |                          | mature plants at 115 d          |                   |     |   |

|                               |         |  | Response   |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Species                       |         | Dosage   | (relative to control)  | Conditions   | Reference  |
| C-11                          |         | 0.561.1.1  | 100  |  |  |
| Cabbage                       |         | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 19% injury to seedlings at   | Field cultivated   | Hatfield, Warholic, and Sweet  |
| (Brassica oleracea)           |         |  | 34 d posttreatment; 21%  | 1978   | y v  |
| (seeds)                       |         | -  | decrease in yield at harvest   |  |  |
|                               | N       |  | (103 d)  |  |  |
|                               | ,       | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 22% injury to seedlings at   | Diald andstored  | ,  |
|                               |         | 0.04 kg 11a  |  | Field cultivated   |  |
|                               | •       |  | 34 d posttreatment; 6%   |  |  |
| •                             |         |  | decrease in yield at harvest (103 d)   |  |  |
|                               |         | •  | (103 d)  | a de la companya de l | Ji   |
| Snap bean                     | •       | 3.4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | Cellular injury observed   | Grandania atuda  | . C. 1 D' 111  |
| (Phaseolus vulgaris)          |         | J.4 Kg 11a   |  | Greenhouse study   | Struckmeyer, Binning, and Harvey   |
| (seeds)                       |         |  | in seedlings; vascular dis-  |  | 1976   |
| (30003)                       |         |  | ruption and swelling of stem   | -  |  |
|                               |         |  | at 15 d  |  |  |
| Pea                           |         | 1.10.111   | 1000 1   |  | · _  |
| rea<br>(Pisum sativum)        |         | 1.12 kg ha 1   | 18% decrease in mature   | Field cultivation  | Teasdale, Harvey, and Hegedon  |
| (risum sativium)<br>(seeds)   |         |  | plants; 1% fresh weight  |  | 1978   |
| occus)                        |         |  | decrease at 54 d   | •  |  |
|                               |         | 1 60 1 11"   | SOM decrees the second   |  |  |
|                               |         | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 50% decrease in mature   | Field cultivation  | •  |
|                               |         |  | plants; 25% fresh weight   |  | •  |
|                               |         | 1. The second of | decrease at 54 d   |  |  |
| 01                            |         |  |  |  | •  |
| Soybean                       |         | 3.35 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 7% decrease in germination;  | Lab study, no soil   | Harvey 1973  |
| (Glycine max)                 |         |  | 25% decrease in fresh weight;  | , , ,  | ·  |
| seeds)                        |         |  | 64% decrease in root size;   | · /  | •  |
| ***                           |         |  | 74% decrease in shoot size at  | A Section of the sect |  |
|                               | ٠.,     | V  | 5 d  |  |  |
|                               | •       |  |  |  | .*   |
|                               |         | 3.35 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 40% decrease in dry weight   | Greenhouse study   |  |
|                               |         | • •  | at 28 d  |  |  |
|                               |         | 0.5 7.1  | ada sa an a  |  |  |
|                               |         | 0.5 mg·L <sup>-1</sup>   | 25% root injury; 9% decrease   | Lab study, environ-  | Murry et al. 1979  |
|                               |         |  | in root dry weight; 13%  | mental chamber with  |  |
|                               |         | * *  | decrease of plant fresh  | soil   |  |
|                               |         |  | weight and dry weight at   |  | ` ·  |
| •                             |         |  | 34 d   |  |  |
|                               |         |  |  |  |  |
|                               |         | 1 mg kg 1  | 35% root injury; 20% decrease  | Lab study, environmental   | •  |
|                               |         |  | in root dry weight; 18%  | chamber with soil  |  |
|                               |         |  | decrease in plant fresh  |  | •  |
|                               |         |  | weight; 16% decrease in plant  |  |  |
|                               | 11      |  | dry weight at 34 d   |  |  |
|                               |         |  |  |  | •  |
|                               |         | 4 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 75% root injury; 66% decrease  | Lab study, environmental   |  |
|                               |         |  | in root dry weight; 51%  | chamber with soil  | <b>A</b>   |
|                               | 1 1     | * •  | decrease in plant fresh  |  |  |
|                               |         |  | weight; 53% decrease in plant  |  |  |
|                               |         |  | dry weight at 34 d   |  |  |
|                               |         |  | •  | and the second second  |  |
|                               |         | 8 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 85% root injury: 78% decrease  | Lab study, environmental   |  |
| •                             |         | ,  | in root dry weight; 61%  | chamber with soil  |  |
|                               |         |  | decrease in plant fresh  |  |  |
|                               |         |  | weight; 65% decrease in plant  |  |  |
|                               |         |  | dry weight at 34 d   |  |  |
| · 7                           |         |  |  |  |  |
| otton                         |         | 4 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 82% root injury; 65% decrease  | Lab study, environmental   | Murry et al. 1979  |
|                               |         | /  | in root dry weight; 39%  | chamber with soil  | Muity et al. 1979  |
| Gossypium hirsutum)           |         | · ·  |  | ATTURNOS WILL SOIL   | and the second s |
|                               |         |  | decrease in plant fresh  |  | :  |
|                               |         |  | decrease in plant fresh<br>weight: 33% decrease in plant                       |  |  |
| Gossypium hirsutum)<br>seeds) | · . i . |  | decrease in plant fresh<br>weight; 33% decrease in plant<br>dry weight at 34 d |  |  |

| Species Dosage (relative to control) Conditions Reference   |                                       |                       | Response                         |   |   |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 mg kg   S8% root injury; 41% decrease in root dry weight; 10% decrease in root dry weight; 10% decrease in root dry weight; 12% decrease in root dry weight; 12% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d     0.5 mg kg   S4% root injury; 28% decrease in root dry weight; 12% decrease in root dry weight; 12% decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight; 10% decrease in plant fresh weight; no change in dry weight; 10% decrease in plant fresh decrease in d  | Species                               | Dosage                |                                  | Conditions  | Reference   |
| in root dry weight at 34 d  O.5 mg kg 1 S4% root injury; 28% decrease in incomplete weight and dry weight at 12% decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight at 34 d  O.25 mg kg 1 S4% root injury; 28% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  O.25 mg kg 2 28% root injury; 9% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight at 34 d decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight at 34 d d decrease in plant fresh weight, 86% decrease in not dry weight at 19 d decrease in root dry weight at 19 d decrease in plant dry weight; 6% dec  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| decrease in plant fresh weight at 34 d  0.5 mg kg <sup>1</sup> 54% root injury, 28% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  0.25 mg kg <sup>2</sup> 28% root injury, 9% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  0.25 mg kg <sup>2</sup> 28% root injury, 9% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  0.25 mg kg <sup>2</sup> 28% root injury, 9% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  0.28 g of soil <sup>2</sup> ) 29% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  4 pg g <sup>2</sup> (pg g of soil <sup>2</sup> ) 29% decrease in root dry weight, 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 34 d  1 pg g <sup>2</sup> (pg g of soil <sup>2</sup> ) 2 45% decrease in root dry weight at 39 d  1 pg g <sup>2</sup> 34% decrease in root dry weight, 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 pg g <sup>2</sup> 34% decrease in root dry weight, 44% decrease in shoot dry weight, 44% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 pg g <sup>2</sup> (pg g of soil <sup>2</sup> ) 2 19% decrease in root dry weight, 28% decrease in shoot dry weight, 28% decrease in shoot dry weight, 28% decrease in root dry weight, 28% decrease in plant dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Giycine max) (No leaf chloronis; 36% decrease in shoot dry weight, 28% decrease in plant dry weight; 28% decrease in plant dry weight; on change in root dry weight; 28 dafter spray application  3 mg L <sup>1</sup> 49% fact folloronis; 36% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 6  |                                       | 1 mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> |                                  |   | ,   |
| weight and dry weight at 34 d  0.5 mg kg 1  |                                       |                       | • •                              | chamber with soil                                     |   |
| 0.5 mg kg 2   |                                       |                       | ' <del>-</del> '                 | •   |   |
| 0.5 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   54% root injury; 28% decrease in root dry weight; 12% decrease in paint fresh weight no change in dry weight weight to change in dry weight to change in dry weight; 13 dd   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   28% root injury; 9% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in land fresh weight; no change in dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   37% decrease in root dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   37% decrease in root dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   41% decrease in root dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   41% decrease in root dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   41% decrease in root dry weight; as 4d   0.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   41% decrease in root dry weight; 44% decrease in shoot dry weight; 44% decrease in pant dry weight; 45% decrease in noot dry weight; 45% decrease in noot dry weight; 45% decrease in pant dry weight; 45% decrease in noot dry weight; 45% decrease   |                                       |                       | •                                |   |   |
| in root dry weight: 12% decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight no change in dry weight no change in dry weight at 34 d character in plant fresh weight at 34 d plant fr  |                                       |                       | 34 d                             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                 |   |
| in root dry weight: 12% decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight no change in dry weight no change in dry weight at 34 d character in plant fresh weight at 34 d plant fr  |                                       | 0.6 11                | 5400 mass internet 2000 decrease | I sh ctudy environmental                              |   |
| decrease in plant fresh weight no change in dry weight at 34 d  O.25 mg kg*  28% root injury. 9% decrease in root dry weight 4% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d  Oat A µg g*1 57% decrease in root dry weight so change in dry weight no change in dry weight at 34 d  Oat (Arena sativa) (µg g of soil*)  (µg g of soil*)  2 µg g*1 41% decrease in root dry weight 65% decrease in shoot dry weight 44% decrease in root dry weight 44% decrease in root dry weight 65% decrease in shoot dry weight 65% decrease in shoot dry weight 65% decrease in root dry weight 65% decrease in shoot dry weight 65% decrease in root dry weight 65% decrease in shoot dry weight 65% decrease in root dry weight 65% increase in plant dry weight 65% increase in root dry weight 25 d after spray application  2 mg L**  No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in root dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 25 d after spray application on change in root dry weight; 25 d after spray application  1 mg L**  No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 25 d after spray application  1.12 kg sha**  No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Marry, Sautlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  (Gessypium hirratunm)   |                                       | U.5 mg·kg             |                                  | •   |   |
| weight a 13 d  O.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> 28% root injury; 9% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in foot dry weight at 34 d  Ont (Avens sativa)  (aveds)  Other (Avens sativa)  Other (Avens sativa)  Other (Avens sativa)  Other (Avens sativa)  (aveds)  Other (Avens sativa)  Other (Avens s   |                                       |                       |                                  | Chambol With Boll                                     | •   |
| O.25 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>   28% root injury; 9% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in root dry weight; 4% decrease in plant fresh weight; 00 change in dry weight at 34 d  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| Oct 1 4 µg g ' 57% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d (Avera zativa) (seeds)  Oct 4 µg g ' 57% decrease in root dry weight at 34 d (Avera zativa) (g g g of soit ') (g g of soit ') (g g g of soit ') (  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| in root dry weight; 4% decrease in plant fresh weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; 86% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  2 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  2 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  3 ¼% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  3 ¼% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  4 ½% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  5 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight  |                                       |                       | Wolght at 34 d                   |   |   |
| in root dry weight; 4% decrease in plant fresh weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; no change in dry weight and the weight; 86% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  2 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  2 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  3 ¼% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  3 ¼% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  4 ½% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d.  5 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 µg g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d.  5 yu g of soit of the weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight  |                                       | 0.25 mg/kg-1          | 28% root injury: 9% decrease     | Lab study, environmental                              |   |
| decrease in plant fresh weight; no change in dry weight at 34 d  Oat (Avena sativa) (ug g of soit) weight; 86% decrease in root dry weight; 86% decrease in shoot dry weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g d soit) 4% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g d soit) 19% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g d soit) weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in shoot dry weight; 28% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L 4% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha 1 No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  Cotton  Cotton  O.56 kg ha No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  |                                       | v.20gg                |                                  |   |   |
| weight, no change in dry weight at 34 d  Oat (Avena sativa) (seeds)  2 µg g <sup>1</sup> (lyg of soil <sup>1</sup> ) (lyg g of soil <sup>2</sup> ) (lyg g of so   |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| Oat (Avena sativa) (µg g of soit¹) weight; 86% decrease in noot dry weight at 19 d  2 µg g¹ 41% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g² (µg g of soit¹) weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g² (µg g of soit¹) weight; 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g² (µg g of soit¹) weight; 45% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g¹ (µg g of soit¹) weight; 26% decrease in noot dry weight; 26% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  O.5 µg g¹ (µg g of soit¹) weight; 26% decrease in shoot dry weight; 26% decrease in aboot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Glycine max) (mature plants)  Soybean (Clycine max) (mature plants)  2 mg L¹ No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in plant dry weight; 26 after spray application  2 mg L¹ No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% decrease in plant dry weight; 26 after spray application  3 mg L¹ 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 6  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| Oat (Avena sativa) (A  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| (Avena sativa) (seeds)  (pg g of soil')  (pg of   | r .                                   |                       |                                  |   |   |
| Soybean   1 mg.L   No leaf chlorosis; 9%   decrease in root dry weight: 6% decrease in shoot dry weight: 6% decrease in shoot dry weight: 8% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight: 419 d  | Oat                                   | 4 μg g <sup>-1</sup>  | 57% decrease in root dry         | Greenhouse study                                      | Bucholtz and Lavy 1979  |
| Soybean   1 mg.L   No leaf chlorosis; 9%   decrease in root dry weight: 6% decrease in shoot dry weight: 6% decrease in shoot dry weight: 8% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight: 419 d  | (Avena sativa)                        | (μg·g of soil 1)      | weight; 86% decrease in shoot    |   |   |
| weight: 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 34% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 19% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 19% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean 1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in root dry weight: 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decr   |                                       |                       | dry weight at 19 d               |   |   |
| weight: 65% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 34% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 19% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soit <sup>-1</sup> ) 19% decrease in shoot dry weight: 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean 1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in root dry weight: 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 65% decrease in toot dry weight; 65% decr   |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| dry weight at 19 d  1 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> ) 34% decrease in root dry weight; 44% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> ) 19% decrease in root dry weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Glycine max) (Glycine max) (Glycine max) (mature plants)  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       | 2 μg·g <sup>-1</sup>  |                                  | Greenhouse study                                      |   |
| 1 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> )  10.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> 10.5 µg g <sup></sup> |                                       | (μg g of soil 1)      |                                  |   | •   |
| (pg g of soil*) weight; 44% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 pg g* (pg of soil*) weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Glycine max) (mature plants)  2 mg L* No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 6% increase in plant dry weight; 6% increase in plant dry weight; 18 d after spray application  2 mg L* No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L* 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha* No plant injury after 16 d  1.68 kg ha* No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       |                       | dry weight at 19 d               |   | •   |
| (pg g of soil*) weight; 44% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  0.5 pg g* (pg of soil*) weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Glycine max) (mature plants)  2 mg L* No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 6% increase in plant dry weight; 6% increase in plant dry weight; 18 d after spray application  2 mg L* No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L* 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha* No plant injury after 16 d  1.68 kg ha* No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       |                       | nim t                            | Grandana studu  |   |
| dry weight at 19 d  0.5 µg g¹ (µg g of soil¹) 19% decrease in root dry weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean 1 mg L¹ No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L¹ No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L¹ 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 85% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha¹ No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       |                       |                                  | Greennouse study                                      |   |
| O.5 µg g <sup>-1</sup> (µg g of soil <sup>-1</sup> ) weight; 28% decrease in root dry weight at 19 d  Soybean (Glycine max) (Glycine max) (Mature plants)  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; no change in root dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (µg g of soil")       |                                  |   |   |
| (Ig.g of soil.1) weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean 1 mg L.1 No leaf chlorosis; 9% Greenhouse study Behran et al. 1979  (Glycine max) 6% increase in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L.1 No leaf chlorosis; 36% Greenhouse study decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L.1 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha.1 No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton 0.56 kg ha.1 No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  |                                       |                       | dry weight at 19 d               |   |   |
| (Ig.g of soil.1) weight; 28% decrease in shoot dry weight at 19 d  Soybean 1 mg L.1 No leaf chlorosis; 9% Greenhouse study Behran et al. 1979  (Glycine max) 6% increase in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  2 mg L.1 No leaf chlorosis; 36% Greenhouse study decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight; no change in root dry weight; 28 d after spray application  3 mg L.1 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha.1 No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton 0.56 kg ha.1 No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  | •                                     | 0.5                   | 19% decrease in root dry         | Greenhouse study                                      |   |
| Soybean   1 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   No leaf chlorosis; 9%   Greenhouse study   Behran et al. 1979    (Glycine max)   G(flycine max)   G(fl  |                                       | (υσσοf soil-i)        |                                  | <b>5.002_10</b> 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5. |   |
| Soybean (Glycine max) (Glycine max) (Glycine max) (mature plants)  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 9% decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       | (hg g or son )        |                                  |   |   |
| Cotton (Gossprium hirsutum)   Compared to the field cultivated (Gosssprium hirsutum)   Cotton (Gosssprium hirsutum)   Cott  |                                       | 1.5                   | ary weight as 25 c               |   |   |
| (Glycine max) (mature plants)  decrease in plant dry weight; 6% increase in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  | Souhean                               | 1 mg T. 1             | No leaf chlorosis: 9%            | Greenhouse study                                      | Behran et al. 1979  |
| (mature plants)  6% increase in root dry weight: 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973   | •                                     |                       |                                  |   | , .   |
| weight 28 d after spray application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973   |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| application  2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973   | (mature prants)                       |                       | weight 28 d after spray          | •   |   |
| 2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> No leaf chlorosis; 36% decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973   |                                       |                       |                                  | •   |   |
| decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  Cotton  0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| decrease in plant dry weight; no change in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg.L. 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha. No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  1.68 kg ha. 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       | 2 mg L-1              |                                  | Greenhouse study                                      |   |
| weight 28 d after spray application  3 mg L <sup>1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Cotton  O.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| application  3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  | ,                                     |                       |                                  |   |   |
| 3 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 49% leaf chlorosis; 66% decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  |                                       |                       | application                      |   | · ·   |
| decrease in plant dry weight; 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  | 2 (2)                                 | • • •                 | 400 16 .11 660                   | Greenhouse study                                      |   |
| 65% decrease in root dry weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973   |                                       | 3 mg L                |                                  | Oreenhouse study                                      |   |
| weight 28 d after spray application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  No plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Greer 1973  |                                       | •                     |                                  |   |   |
| application  1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)  No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  |                                       |                       |                                  |   | •   |
| 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton O.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       |                       |                                  |   |   |
| 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Cotton  (Gossypium hirsutum)  1973  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  |                                       |                       | application                      |   | $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \mathcal{F}_{i,j} = F$ |
| 1973  1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d  Field cultivated  Cotton  (Gossypium hirsutum)  1973  Murry, Santlemann, and Green 1973  |                                       | 1 10 1 11             | No plant initial after 16 d      | Field cultivated                                      | Murry, Santlemann, and Greer  |
| 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7% plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated  Cotton 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Green (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       | 1,12 Kg na ^          | No plane injury after 10 d       | A 20/20 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C         | and the second s  |
| Cotton 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> No plant injury after 16 d Field cultivated Murry, Santlemann, and Greer (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                                       | 1 60 1 - 1 1          | 7% plant injury after 16 d       | Field cultivated                                      |   |
| (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       | 1.00 kg na-           | 170 brant fillinth after 10 c    | a ayan waara, mara                                    |   |
| (Gossypium hirsutum)  |                                       | 0.56 1-1-1            | No plant injury after 16 d       | Field cultivated                                      | Murry, Santlemann, and Green  |
|   |                                       | U.JO Kg na            | 110 plant injury area 10 d       |   |   |
|   |                                       | 10 mg                 |                                  |   |   |

| Species   | Dosage                   | Response (relative to control)  | ``_ | Conditions         | Reference                     |
|---|--------------------------|---|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 13% plant injury after 16 d   |     | Field cultivated   |                               |
|   | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 20% plant injury after 16 d   |     | Field cultivated   |                               |
| Soybean (Glycine max) (seedlings)                         | 1 mg·L·1                 | plant dry weight increase;<br>(figures NR)  |     | Greenhouse study   | Basler and Santlemann<br>1975 |
| (   | 2 mg L-1                 | plant dry weight decrease;<br>(figures NR)  |     | Greenhouse study   |                               |
| Corn (Zea mays) (seedlings)                               | 3.35 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 0 to 5% decrease in root protein content at 3 h                                     |     | Lab study, no soil | Lignowski and Scott 1971      |
| (secumgs)   | 1.34 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 19% decrease in root size;<br>20% decrease in root dry                              | •   | Lab study, no soil |                               |
|   |                          | weight; 29% root swelling<br>at 6 h   |     |                    |                               |
|   | 1.34 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 38% decrease in root size;<br>25% decrease in root dry<br>weight; 56% root swelling |     | Lab study, no soil |                               |
|   |                          | at 9 h  |     |                    |                               |
|   | 1.34 mg L <sup>-1</sup>  | 46% decrease in root size;<br>51% decrease in root dry<br>weight; 86% root swelling |     | Lab study, no soil |                               |
| <b>G</b>  |                          | at 12 h   |     |                    |                               |
| Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (seedlings)                   | 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 9% decrease in root size<br>at 48 h posttreatment; 13%<br>decrease in root size and | *   | Lab study, no soil | Rizk 1973                     |
|   |                          | 47% decrease in root tissue mitosis rate at 72 h                                    |     |                    |                               |
|   | 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 22% decrease in root size at 48 h posttreatment; 41%                                |     | Lab study, no soil |                               |
|   |                          | decrease in root size and<br>50% decrease in root tissue<br>mitosis rate at 72 h    |     |                    |                               |
| Snap bean (Phaseolus vulgaris humilis)                    | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 10% injury to plants (time NR)  | •   | Field cultivated   | Ashley 1973b                  |
| (seeds)   |                          |   | • . |                    |                               |
| Cauliflower<br>( <i>Brassica aleracea</i> )<br>(stage NR) | 1.1 kg ha-1              | 26% decrease at harvest (time NR)   |     | Field cultivated   | Roberts 1972                  |
| Lima bean<br>(Phaseolus limensis)                         | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 8% plant injury (time NR)   | * . | Field cultivated   | Glaze 1971                    |
| (seeds)<br>Snap bean<br>( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> )     | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 5% plant injury at 4 wk   | •   | Field cultivated   | Marr and Swingle 1970         |
| (seeds)   | 300 mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | 10% decrease in transpiration at post-spray   | *   | Lab study          | Smith and Bucholtz 1964       |

| Species  | Dosage                      | Response (relative to control)   | Conditions         | Reference                        |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Pea  | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 19% decrease in pea pro-   | Field cultivated   | Glaze 1970                       |
| (Vigna sinensis)   |                             | duction at harvest   | •                  |                                  |
|  | · ,                         | (time NR)  |                    |                                  |
| (seeds)  |                             | (this 14K)   |                    |                                  |
| ` . ` . ` . ` . ` . ` . ` . ` . ` .  | 0.05 7.1                    | 4700 - 1 in mlant  | Greenhouse study   | Harvey and Jacques 1977          |
| Pea  | 3.35 mg L <sup>-1</sup>     | 47% decrease in plant  | Greetinouse study  | Harvey and sucques 1977          |
| (Pisum sativum)  |                             | number; 51% decrease in  | •                  |                                  |
| (seeds)  | 7                           | shoot dry weight at 21 d   | •                  |                                  |
|  | 4                           |  | <b>/</b> ·         |                                  |
| Lettuce  | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 32% decrease in plant  | Field cultivated   | Bradley and Hargreaves           |
| (Lactuca sativa)   |                             | number at 48 d   |                    | 1977                             |
|  |                             | Hallos de 10 C   | •                  |                                  |
| (seeds)  |                             |  |                    |                                  |
| •  |                             | Landard Company of the Company of th | V.1                | Ashton et al. 1977               |
| Snap bean  | 3.35 mg L <sup>-1</sup>     | 27% decrease in secondary  | Lab study, no soil | Ashton et al. 1977               |
| (Phaseolus vulgaris)   |                             | metabolism at 2 h  | V.                 |                                  |
| (seedlings)  |                             | •  |                    |                                  |
| (min minute)   | · .                         |  |                    |                                  |
| and the second s | 16.75 1-1                   | 80% in protein synthesis;  | Lab study, no soil | ÷ . •                            |
|  | 16.75 mg·L <sup>-1</sup>    |  | 200 00007, 20 0011 |                                  |
|  |                             | 85% decrease in RNA synthesis  | es. *              | •                                |
| •  |                             | rate; 90% decrease in photo-   | *                  |                                  |
|  |                             | synthesis; 70% decrease in   |                    |                                  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · ·                         | secondary metabolism at 2 h  | •                  | ,                                |
|  |                             |  |                    |                                  |
|  |                             |  |                    | <i>'</i> ,                       |
|  |                             |  | 7 -ttr na nail     | Moreland et al. 1969             |
| Soybean  | 6.7 mg L <sup>-1</sup>      | 21% decrease in protein  | Lab study, no soil | MOICIAIRI ET A 1707              |
| (Glycine max)  |                             | synthesis in hypocotyl at  |                    | •                                |
| (seedlings)  | ·e                          | 6 h  | 1                  |                                  |
| (accumigs)   |                             |  |                    |                                  |
|  | 2.2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>     | 16% decrease in plant size;  | Field cultivated   | Banks and Santlemann 1978        |
| Soybean  | 2.2 kg 11a                  | 35% decrease in fruit yield  |                    | *                                |
| (Glycine max)  |                             |  | · · · · ·          |                                  |
| (seeds)  |                             | at harvest; 74 d   |                    |                                  |
|  |                             |  |                    | cim i D d 1 C1 1077              |
| Tomato   | 100 mg m <sup>-2</sup>      | 25% leaf deformities;  | Greenhouse study;  | Zilkah, Bocion, and Gressel 1977 |
| (Lycopersicon esculentum)  |                             | 25% stem deformities at  | applied as spray   | •                                |
|  | •                           | 2 wk   | 7                  | 7                                |
| (seedlings)  |                             | 2 WR   |                    |                                  |
|  |                             | 4.4.4. 4   | Field cultivated   | Moomaw and Martin 1978           |
| Soybean  | 0.8 kg ha <sup>.1</sup>     | 11% plant injury with  | Lield ChittAgred   | 11100imm                         |
| (Glycine max)  |                             | chlorosis and necrotic   |                    |                                  |
| (mature plants)  |                             | lesions; 16% decrease in   |                    |                                  |
| (manic home)   |                             | harvest yield at 5 wk  |                    |                                  |
| •  |                             |  | •                  |                                  |
|  |                             | 21% plant injury with  | Field cultivated   |                                  |
|  | 1.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>     |  | I DR Cultivaso     |                                  |
|  | 4                           | chlorosis and necrotic   |                    |                                  |
|  |                             | lesions; 18% decrease in   |                    |                                  |
|  |                             | plant dry weight at 5 wk   | •                  |                                  |
|  | a tr                        |  |                    |                                  |
|  | 0.28-84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 14%-17% decrease in shoot size   | Greenhouse study   | Anderson, Richards, and          |
| Cotton .   | U.28-84 Kg 118              |  |                    | Whitworth 1980                   |
| (Gossypium hirsutum)   |                             | at 15 d  |                    |                                  |
| (seeds)  | _                           |  |                    | the second second                |
|  |                             | •  |                    | Danisation and William           |
| Cucumber   | 1-5 g L <sup>-1</sup>       | 35%-50% decrease in shoot  | Greenhouse study   | Barrentine and Warren            |
|  | (applied to mer-            | size at 7 d  |                    | 1971                             |
| (Cucumis sativus)  |                             |  |                    | :                                |
| (seedlings)  | istem)                      |  | •                  |                                  |
|  |                             | ·  | Grankause study    |                                  |
| *  | 1-5 g L <sup>-1</sup>       | 10%-25% decrease in shoot  | Greenhouse study   |                                  |
|  | (applied to stem)           | size at 7 d  | 1                  |                                  |
| •  | (-FF)                       |  | 1                  |                                  |
| <u>.</u>   | 10 64                       | 10%-46% decrease in plant dry  | Greenhouse study   | Bucholtz and Lavy 1978           |
| Oat  | 1.8-5.4 μg g <sup>-1</sup>  |  |                    |                                  |
| (Avena sativa)   |                             | weight at 18 d   |                    |                                  |
| (Avenu sanva)  |                             |  |                    |                                  |

| er en |   | Response   |                                       | j i i                         |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Species                                   | Dosage                                  | (relative to control)                            | Conditions                            | Reference                     |
| Cantaloupe                                | 3.4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                 | No effect on fruit number or                     | Field cultivated                      | Lange et al. 1968             |
| (Cucumis malo)                            |   | fresh weight at 59 d                             | 2 1010 00111 11100                    | zango er an. 1700             |
| (seedlings)                               | •                                       |  | •                                     |                               |
|   | •                                       |  | •                                     |                               |
| Soybean                                   | 0.335 mg·L <sup>-1</sup>                | 48% decrease in mitochondria                     | Lab study, no soil                    | Negi et al. 1968              |
| (Glycine max)                             | (seedlings dipped                       | respiration; 71% decrease in                     |                                       |                               |
| (seedlings)                               | into solution)                          | ATP at 1 h                                       |                                       | . **                          |
|   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Barley                                    | 2.4 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>                 | 50% decrease in root number:                     | Greenhouse study                      | O'Sullivan and                |
| (Hordeum vulgare)                         | (as slow release                        | 50% decrease in root size                        | ****** <b>*****</b>                   | Prendeville 1974              |
| (seedlings)                               | formulation)                            | at 72 h  | •                                     |                               |
|   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Soybean                                   | 4.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                 | 7% decrease harvest yield                        | Field cultivated                      | Hagood, Williams, and Bauman  |
| (Glycine max)                             |   | (time NR)  |                                       | 1980                          |
| (seeds)                                   | * | •  |                                       |                               |
|   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Watermelon                                | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | 58% plant injury at 32 d                         | Field cultivated                      | Elmstrom and Locascio         |
| (Citrullus lanatus)                       |   |  |                                       | 1974                          |
| (seeds)                                   |   | •  |                                       | •                             |
|   |   |  | •                                     |                               |
| Squash                                    | 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup>                  | 41% decrease in cotyledon                        | Lab study, no soil                    | Ashton, Penner, and Hoffman   |
| (Cucurbita maxima)                        | (dipped into                            | enzyme activity; 50% decrease                    |                                       | 1968                          |
| (seeds)                                   | solution)                               | in plant size at 3 d                             |                                       |                               |
|   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Squash                                    | 5 μg L <sup>-1</sup>                    | 27% decrease in root protein                     | Lab study, no soil                    | Schultz, Funderburk, and Negi |
| (Cucurbita maxima)                        | (dipped into                            | synthesis; 18% decrease in                       |                                       | 1968                          |
| (seeds)                                   | solution)                               | root RNA synthesis rate;                         | •                                     |                               |
|   | •                                       | stunted roots and shoots;                        |                                       |                               |
|   |   | 31% decrease in root DNA                         |                                       | · .                           |
|   |   | synthesis rate at 3 d                            | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | •                             |
| Cotton                                    | 1 60 1 1                                | 100%   | _                                     |                               |
| (Gossypium hirsutum)                      | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | 100% decrease in lateral root                    | Greenhouse study                      | Jordan, Baker, and Barrentine |
| (Seedlings)                               | ·.                                      | production; 37% decrease in                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1978                          |
| (accdings)                                |   | shoot fresh weight; 33%                          |                                       |                               |
|   |   | decrease in root size                            |                                       |                               |
|   | 1 12 halad                              | DOM A  |                                       | •                             |
| •   | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | 89% decrease in lateral root                     | Greenhouse study                      |                               |
|   |   | production; 24% decrease in                      |                                       |                               |
|   | /                                       | shoot fresh weight; 27%<br>decrease in root size |                                       | •                             |
|   |   | decrease in root size                            | , .                                   | . *                           |
|   | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | 89% decrease in lateral root                     | G                                     |                               |
|   | Olo v Kg IIu                            | production; 22% decrease in                      | Greenhouse study                      |                               |
|   |   | shoot fresh weight; 19%                          |                                       |                               |
| -   | •                                       | decrease in root size                            |                                       |                               |
| •   | •                                       |  |                                       |                               |
| •   | 0.56 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                | 8% decrease in ratio of                          | Greenhouse study                      | Mitchell and Bourland         |
|   |   | cotyledon weight to stem                         | Cicciniouse study                     | 1986                          |
|   | ,                                       | weight in 12 d old seedlings;                    | •                                     | 1980                          |
|   |   | 35% decrease in lateral roots                    |                                       |                               |
| •   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Dat                                       | 1.0 µg·g <sup>-1</sup> soil             | 35% decrease in plant fresh                      | Greenhouse study                      | Nyffeler et al. 1982          |
| Avena sativa)                             |   | weight at 14 d                                   |                                       | 1.9110101 61 41. 1702         |
| seeds)                                    | :7                                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·            | •                                     |                               |
|   | 0.5 μg g ¹ soil                         | 25% decrease in plant fresh                      | Greenhouse study                      |                               |
|   |   | weight at 14 d                                   |                                       |                               |
|   |   |  |                                       |                               |
| Soybean                                   | 1.1 kg ha <sup>1</sup>                  | 54% decrease in N <sub>2</sub>                   | Field cultivated                      | Bollich et al. 1988           |
| Glycine max)                              | 1                                       | fixation (time NR)                               |                                       | 20min et al. 1700             |
| seeds)                                    | <u> </u>                                | •  |                                       |                               |

Table G-1. Continued

| Species                     | Dosage   | Response (relative to control)   | Conditions       | Reference                |
|-----------------------------|--|--|------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | 1.7 kg ha <sup>1</sup>   | 70% decrease in N <sub>2</sub> fixation (time NR)  | Field cultivated |                          |
| Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) | 0.84 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 19% decrease in tap root<br>length; 89% decrease in  | Field cultivated | Cranfill and Rhodes 1987 |
| (seeds)                     |  | number of lateral roots (time NR)  |                  |                          |
|                             | 1.12 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 27% decrease in tap root length; 89% decrease in   | Field cultivated |                          |
|                             | en de la companya de<br>La companya de la co | number of lateral roots (time NR)  |                  |                          |
|                             | 1.68 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 33% decrease in tap root<br>length; 100% decrease in<br>number of lateral roots<br>(time NR) | Field cultivated |                          |

