

Evaluation of the Public Service Modernization Act Strategic Investment Framework

Published: 2012-00-04

*

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the President of the Treasury Board, 2012

Published by Treasury Board of Canada, Secretariat 90 Elgin, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0R5, Canada

Catalogue Number: BT66-55/2011-1E-PDF ISBN: 978-0-660-25613-9

This document is available on the Government of Canada website, Canada.ca

This document is available in alternative formats upon request.

Aussi offert en français sous le titre : Évaluation du cadre d'investissement stratégique en vertu de la Loi sur la modernisation de la fonction publique

Management Response – Approved by Secretary – December 6, 2011

Lessons Learned	Management Response
Lesson Learned 1: Large funding initiatives such as the SIF should, whenever possible, be implemented from within a stable organizational structure Reorganizations that take place simultaneously with the implementation of major enterprise initiatives run the risk of preventing a new initiative from measuring and evaluating the achievements of its intended outcomes. If this cannot be avoided, an information management strategy specific to the reorganization should be developed that includes all the relevant contacts for specific responsibilities of the initiative. Lesson Learned 2: Reporting frameworks should be designed in such a way as to balance expenditure and outcome (not just output) reporting with the administrative demand These frameworks should be designed in consultation with all stakeholders well in advance of implementation.	(TBS)/Office of the Chief Human Resources Officer (OCHRO) will respond to the issue of information management by bringing greater awareness regarding the importance of establishing performance measurement strategies and reporting frameworks in advance of implementing government initiatives. OCHRO, with support from the Expenditure Management Sector (EMS), agrees to
Lesson Learned 3: Performance measurement strategies need to be developed before the implementation of major enterprise initiatives in order to provide the information needed for evaluations.	
Lesson Learned 4: Conducting a baseline assessment at the initial stage of the implementation of an initiative would provide program managers with a basis for comparison with results that could measure the impacts of a policy, program or initiative.	
Lesson Learned 5: When planning for an evaluation,	

consideration should be given to evaluating all related funds that contribute to a single outcome. - In this case, for instance, the <u>PSMA</u> Reserve Fund (\$238 million) included the <u>SIF</u> (\$200 million), both of which contributed to the same desired outcomes. - It is difficult to isolate the impact of the \$38 million used for the start-up phase from the rest of the <u>SIF</u> funds, since they were all part of the implementation of the <u>PSMA</u>.

Lesson Learned 6: Developing a system to follow up on an initiative after its conclusion will help program managers track long term benefits of long term initiatives such as the <u>PSMA</u>.