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## Preface

The purpose of Current Economic Analysis is to provide a monthly description of macro-economic conditions and thereby to extend the availability of information on the macro-economy provided by the System of National Accounts.

The publication also contains information that can be used to extend or modify Statistics Canada's description of economic conditions. In particular the section on news developments provides a summary of important events that will be useful in interpreting current movements in the data. As well, extensive tables and charts, containing analytically useful transformations (percentage changes, ratios, smoothing, etc.) of the basic source data, are fumished for analysts wishing to develop their own assessments. Because of this emphasis on analytical transformations of the data the publication is not meant to serve as a compendium of source data on the macro-economy. Users requiring such a compendium are urged to consult the Canadian Statistical Review.

Technical terms and concepts used in this publication that may be unfamiliar to some readers are briefly explained in the glossary. More extensive feature articles will appear in this publication from time to time explaining these technical terms and concepts in more detail.
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## Notes

## A Note on the Role of Leading Indicators in the Statistical System

Policy-makers and decision-makers in both the government and private sectors are making increased and more sophisticated uses of quarterly national accounts and of other macro-economic frameworks in order to evaluate the current performance of the economy and to detect its underlying trends. However, by the time users have access to the elaborate frameworks which allow them to analyze the economy in a relatively disciplined fashion, events with consequences for the near and medium term future may have already taken place. The first quantitative manifestation of current economic developments often occurs in a group of indicators that lead cyclical movements in the economy and that can be assembled rapidly as events unfold. Consequently it is not surprising that "leading indicalors" have long played a role in assessing current economic conditions. In the last decade the increased severity of recessions worldwide has disabused most analysts of the notion that the business cycle is dead and has rekindled inlerest in the leading indicator approach to economic analysis. Since the early 1970's the number of organizations, both in Canada and elsewhere, that have developed indicafor systems to monitor economic developments is quite impressive. All of this activity has stimulated inquiries into the nature of the work being carried out and into possible directions of evolution of indicator systems.
These inquiries have led Statistics Canada to develop a set of theoretical guidelines that are useful in constructing, evaluating, or in guiding the evolution of leading indicator systems. Also, technical advances in data smoothing have been utilized so that the number of false signals emitted by the leading index has been minimized while preserving the maximum amount of lead time. A paper on these topics will shortly be published in a forthcoming issue of the new publication Current Economic Analysis. (Catalogue number 13-004E.) Within the limits of this note we can only be suggestive and indicate that a leading indicator system should be structured as much as possible like the framework (eg. the quarterly national accounts) that it is intended to complement, and it must contain a broad enough range of component indicators to enable the system to warn of cyclical changes that may be generated by any of a large variety of causal mechanisms. Although the current version of Statistics Canada's leading indicator system does not incorporate all the implications of the theoretical guidelines, along with the guidelines, it constitutes a useful addition to the indicator systems in Canada, and will become increasingly more so as the system evolves in accordance with the theoretical principles underlying its development.

## CANSIM Note

CANSIM ${ }^{*}$ (Canadian Socio-Economic Information Management System) is Statistics Canada's computerized data bank and its supporting software. Most of the data appearing in this publication, as well as many other data series are available from CANSIM via terminal, on computer printouts, or in machine readable form. Historical and more timely data not included in this publication are available from CANSIM

For further information write to CANSIM Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, K1A 0Z8 or call (613)995-7406.

* Registered Trade Mark of Statistics Canada.


# Analysis of April Data Releases 

(Based on data available as of May 5, 1982) ${ }^{1}$

## Summary

Indications from last month that the rate of decline in economic activity accelerated in the first quarter of 1982 were reinforced by the data released in April. Last month the major sources of renewed weakness were consumer demand for goods, and business investment, and the April data releases reinforce this observation. While exports and investment in residential construction also appear to have declined in the first quarter, the drops should be smaller than in the fourth quarter of 1981. The decline in output does not appear to have drawn down the volume of inventories held at the manufacturing level, although preliminary indications are that inventories held at the retail and wholesale levels declined in the first quarter.

Although retail sales in constant dollars grew 1.2 per cent in February, the level remains considerably below the fourth quarter average due to large declines in December and January. It will take an exceedingly large increase in March to make up for these earlier declines, but this is unlikely to occur as in March employment fell again, the prime rate rose half a percentage point, and consumer prices accelerated to a 1.3 per cent gain.
Indicators of business investment such as output, real shipments, and new orders for machinery and electrical products all declined in February. Reinforcing these observations, the March data on imports disclosed an acceleration in the downward trend of imports of machinery and transportation equipment other than motor vehicles. These results are consistent with the latest survey of private and public investment which indicates that investment plans have been scaled back for 1982.

Although housing starts advanced considerably in the first quarter it is likely that residential construction will record another decline. Most of the increase in starts occurred in multiple units and was due to the impact of the MURB program. The relatively long delays in completing construction of multiple units, and the anticipated cancellation of many of the MURB starts, indicate that much of the strength seen in the multiples data will not translate into work-put-in-place in the first quarter.
The decline in exports has been slowing gradually since October, and by March the drop in the short-term trend was only 0.5 per cent and a number of positive signs were evident. Most notably, the short-term trend turned up for exports of motor vehicles, lumber, and a number of other
commodities. Much of the improvement appears to be related to a firming of the U.S. economy. Inventory liquidation began earlier and is more advanced in the United States, especially at the manufacturing level where inventories began to fall last November. Combined with modest increases in motor vehicle sales and residential construction this has increased demand for some Canadian commodities.
In Canada real inventories at the manufacturing level rose $\$ 48$ million in February following a revised increase of $\$ 35$ million in January. Although some of these accumulations may be related to industries that have increased their exports to the United States, much of the increased stocks was involuntary as indicated by further cutbacks in employment. Economy-wide employment fell 0.1 per cent in March and fell a further 0.7 per cent in April, and although output figures are not yet finalized for the first quarter, they are certain to be down, and the drop will likely be larger than in the fourth quarter.

Reduced demand continued to exert downward pressure on prices in March, but again most of the easing was seen in industry selling prices which rose only 0.3 per cent, while consumer prices climbed by 1.3 per cent. The relatively larger burden of manufacturers inventories has probably magnified the price reaction in that sector. In the consumer sector institutional effects such as the national energy pricing agreement have kept prices relatively high, especially in the last two months.

- Constant dollar retail sales increased 1.2 per cent in February following a decline of 2.9 per cent in January. The average over the first two months of 1982 is 3.1 per cent below the average in the fourth quarter of 1981.
- Within the manufacturing sector the volume of shipments rose 1.3 per cent in February, while new orders climbed 2.5 per cent and inventories rose by $\$ 48$ million.
- Exports fell 1.1 per cent in March following a 10.7 per cent increase in February. The short-term trend for exports slowed to a 0.5 per cent decline from the largest recent drop of 1.0 per cent recorded last October. With the inclusion of March data the short-term trend for imports fell 2.5 per cent following three months of declines of 3.0 per cent. For the first quarter of 1982 the nominal trade surplus was $\$ 3.414$ billion, an increase of $\$ 656$ million from the fourth quarter level.

[^0]- Real Domestic Product rose 0.1 per cent in February following a downward revised decline of 1.3 per cent in January. The weakness in output became more widespread as the percentage of industries exhibiting declining trends in output rose to 64 per cent in February from 62 per cent in January.
- Employment fell 0.1 per cent in March and dropped a further 0.7 per cent in April, boosting the unemployment rate to 9.6 per cent.
- Following strong MURB-related gains in the first quarter housing starts dropped to an annual rate of 142,000 units in April.
- The Industry Selling Price Index rose 0.3 per cent in March, while consumer prices gained 1.3 per cent following a 1.2 per cent increment in February.

According to the composite leading indicator in February there are few signs that the economy will recover in the near-term future. The sporadic signs of recovery which have been evident in some components in recent months have only marginally slowed the rate of decline in the leading index, which fell 2.32 per cent in February compared to a 2.41 per cent drop in January. The fillered index fell from 121.95 in January to 119.12 in February, while the non-filtered index declined from 116.9 to 114.0. This latter 2.5 per cent decline in February follows a similar drop in January ( -3.1 per cent), and suggests an extension of poor economic conditions through the first quarter at least. Indicators of domestic demand were particularly weak suggesting that the termination of inventory liquidation may be delayed. The outlook for exports improved slightly, however, as there was some evidence of a firming of economic activity in the United States, which may act as a restraint on further reductions in production.

Figure 1
The Canadian Composite Leading Index
1971-100


## The Canadian Composite Leading Indicator

The indicators of retail trade for February suggest that personal expenditure on goods acquired renewed downward momentum in the first quarter, after having fallen marginally in the fourth quarter of 1981. Despite the drop in the prime rate to 16.50 per cent in February, sales of furniture and appliances and new motor vehicles again recorded large declines, falling by 2.17 per cent and 3.19 per cent respectively. The non-filtered version ' of furniture and appliance sales points to a continuation of the downward trend in this series, dropping 2.4 per cent in February after a downward revised 2.9 per cent decline in January. This, and the unenthusiastic response of consumers to the automobile rebate programs in the first quarter reflect in part the marked declines in employment since December. The recent increases in interest rates, and reductions in employment through March, limit the chances of an imminent turnaround in consumer demand.
The index of residential construction ${ }^{2}$ rose for the second consecutive month in February ( +0.75 per cent), as the earlier firming in permits for multiple units, largely caused by the MURB program, was transmitted to housing starts, which increased 3.78 per cent. There is evidence in the non-filtered versions of a buttressing of building permits and housing starts for singles, although the downturn in mortgage loan approvals for this type of housing in February, when mortgage rates climbed once again, suggests the upturn may

[^1]be transitory. It appears unlikely, however, that the gain in these leading indicators will be reflected as an increase in the level of work-put-in-place in the first quarter, due to the long lag in completions of multiple units, to the likely cancellation of many of the MURB starts, and to the likely reduction in construction of singles in the first quarter.
The indicators of financial markets continued to retrench at rapid rates as there was an accentuation of the declines in the non-filtered data in line with the general weakening of economic activity. In February, the index of stock prices dropped by 1.77 per cent in reaction to the recent tumble in the non-filtered version. The non-filtered index turned down sharply in November and there has been little sign of a recovery by May. The real money supply (M1) fell 0.63 per cent. A very strong increase in the non-filtered version in December ( +6.3 per cent) and an upward revised gain in January ( +0.6 per cent) accounted for this slowing in the rate of decline. The non-filtered index, however, declined anew in February ( -3.2 per cent).

## Leading Indicators



The leading indicator for the United States fell by 1.09 per cent in February, giving little indication of a sustained upturn in the American economy. This trend continued in March with most of the weakness, however, shifting towards variables reflecting the financial sector. It seems, however, in light of data through March on external trade, that merchandise exports to the United States have firmed, and there is evidence of an upturn in new orders in industries which produce goods that have led the firming of exports, most notably automobiles, non-ferrous primary metal products and lumber products. The shift lowards financial indicators in the sources of weakness in the U.S. leading index, evident in the decline of the index of stocks and in the rate of growth of liquid assets, accompanies the growing concern of analysts over the dependence of the banking system on assets held by countries and firms in financial difficulty.
Mixed signals were given by the leading indicators in the manufacturing sector. There was a deceleration in new orders for durable goods ( -2.36 per cent in February compared to -3.43 per cent in January), reflecting the 6.4 per cent gain in February in the non-filtered data, and an easing in labour cutbacks was evident in a deceleration in the reduction of the average workweek ( -.17 per cent in February compared to -.33 per cent in January and --. 51 per cent in December). Production, however, remained restrained as unfilled orders dropped again and stocks of finished goods rose by about $\$ 7$ million. At the same time total shipments rose for the first time after seven consecutive reductions, and consequently led an increase in the non-filtered ratio of shipments to inventories of finished goods. Most of the improvement in shipments originated in a growth of exports. A further deterioration of profit margins was reflected in the fourth straight drop in the percentage change of prices per unit labour cost ( -.52 per cent). Prices have not succeeded in matching the increase in unit labour costs, but the growth of hourly earnings was a less important factor in February in the erosion of profit margins.

## Output

Real domestic product edged up 0.1 per cent in February following a downward revised dechine of 1.3 per cent in January. The firming in February was attributable to an upturn in retail activity and to increased output in the automobile and steel industries related to gains in export demand by the U.S. Although there are indications that the stimulus of export demand, which helped to slow the rate of decline in the goods-producing sector, may continue into March, the decline of the filtered diffusion index to a record
35.8 showed that the weakness was becoming more widespread as the short-term trend for production was declining for about 64 per cent of industries. In fact, the two month average of January and February of real domestic product was 1.5 per cent below the fourth quarter of 1981 indicating that production will decline at a faster rate in the first quarter than the 0.8 per cent decline recorded for the fourth.
Real domestic product rose 0.1 per cent in February following a revised decline of 1.3 per cent in January. Most of the reversal was attributable to the 0.4 per cent increase in the service-producing industries (following a sharp drop in January). An upturn in the retailing industries in the month was the major source of strength. The indices for community, business, and personal services and public administration edged up slightly while finance, insurance and real estate fell 0.5 per cent following a similar drop in January. Output in the goods-producing sector fell 0.2 per cent, the smallest decline recorded since the downturn began in July. Increased production by the auto industry and by steel mills slowed the decline in manufacturing industries to a 0.2 per cent drop in February, accounting for most of the deceleration in the retrenchment in the goods-producing sector. Data on export sales for March indicate that the upturn in these industries was directed to the U.S. market. Increased new orders in the transportation, primary metal and metal fabricating industries indicate that some of this activity may carry over into March, and in fact export sales of automobile products and primary metals remained buoyant in that month. Activity in many other manufacturing industries declined further in February. Declines in production of machinery and electrical products reflected the deterioration of domestic demand for these investment goods. Output in clothing and textile industries was unusually weak in light of the relatively buoyant sales of these goods at the consumer level. A pick-up in activity in the forestry industries also helped to brake the decline in goods. This may be related to the furnaround in the short-term trend in lumber product exports.

## Manufacturing

Data released on the manufacfuring sector for February indicate an upturn in activity as the volume of shipments and new orders rose 1.3 and 2.5 per cent respectively following protracted periods of decline. Much of the increase in activity can be explained by gains in export demand in February. The major sources of strength were automobiles, primary metals, and wood products, and external demand
for these goods rose further in March. Indicators of domestic demand remained weak, particularly for investment goods such as machinery and electrical products and in the clothing and textile sector. While there was some accumulation of inventories of goods-in-process, likely related to the pick-up in demand by the U.S., the rate of accumulation of finished goods slowed significantly.
Shipments of manufactured goods rose 1.3 per cent in volume in February, the first increase recorded since June 1981. This upturn corresponded with a sharp increase in export sales to the U.S., although the level of aggregate shipments remains 10 per cent below the peak in July. Most of the increase was accounted for by a 1.9 per cent jump in shipments of durable goods following six months of steep declines. As was the case for export sales, shipments by automobile manufacturers accounted for the largest portion of increase in sales of durables. While much of the upturn is likely the result of the relative success of the rebate programs in the U.S. (auto sales rose 15 per cent in the first quarter), the fact that the recall of workers occurred in very few plants indicates that the upturn in shipments (and production) was not general but was concentrated on specific new car models like the Ford Escort. Other sources of strength within durables were the second consecutive increase in primary metal sales and the first increase in shipments of wood products since October. Much of the jump in sales of primary metals was accounted for by exports of iron and sleel in February, although shipments of these goods remain at pre-strike (July-August 1981) levels. The increase in shipments of wood products coincides with an upturn in the short-term trend for exports of lumber products. In contrast to these gains, demand for investment goods was particularly weak as shipments of machinery and electrical products fell following steep declines in January. As export demand for these goods has been relatively stable, the source of this weakness appears to be a sharp retrenchment in domestic demand in the first quarter. Shipments of furniture and fixtures fell for the sixth consecutive month. Non-durable shipments rose 0.8 per cent following four months of decline. An upturn in shipments of food and beverage products accounted for most of the increase. The level of shipments of these goods remains 4.0 per cent below the July peak as demand has deteriorated sharply in this sector. Increased shipments of petroleum, paper, and chemical products also contributed to the gain. These increases also followed a period of weak demand. Partially offsetting the increases were declining shipments for the clothing and textile industries.
The volume of new orders registered a 2.5 per cent increase in February, as orders for durable goods rose 6.4 per cent. A

10 per cent jump in new orders of transportation equipment accounted for nearly half of the increase. The extent of this increase indicates that the pick-up in production and export sales in the auto industry in February should continue into March, and this was evident in the external trade data as exports of cars and parts rose slightly in March following sharp increases in February. Related to this was an upturn in new orders for metal fabricated goods, which occurred mostly in metal stamping industries and is likely related to the auto industry. Primary metals recorded the third conseculive increase in new orders which has been reflected in a reversal in the short-term trend for exports of processed metals. There were increases in new orders of construction materials as well. These also appear to be destined for export markets as indicated by the upturn in the short-term trend for lumber products. Declining orders for machinery and electrical products were indicative of a further retrenchment in investment demand for those goods. New orders for non-durable goods continued to decline, off 0.9 per cent in March. Continued weakness in the clothing and textile sector and chemical product industries were responsible for the drop. The volume of unfilled orders continued to fall in February, as a result of a widespread decline in industries which produce non-durable goods. The drop in the backlog in durable goods-producing industries continued for a fith consecutive month, although the rate of decline slowed.
Real inventories at the manufacturing level rose $\$ 48$ million in February following a revised increase of $\$ 35$ million in January. A $\$ 35$ million build-up in inventories of raw materials was largely responsible, which was recorded mostly in the petroleum industry ( $+\$ 27$ million). A \$19 million increase in inventories of goods-in-process was distributed among the petroleum and beverage industries within non-durables and the wood, electrical, and primary metal product industries within durables (partially offset by a drop in transportation industries). This build-up corresponds to the increased shipments and production in February. The rate of accumulation of finished goods inventories slowed to $+\$ 7$ million in February following $+\$ 29$ million in January. This represents a significant reduction in the rate of accumulation from the average increases of over $\$ 40$ million per month in the fourth quarter of 1981. This slowing in the first quarter was particularly evident in durable goods-producing industries, where the build-up was restrained to $\$ 10$ million again in February as increases were recorded in most industries along with a large drop in the transportation industries. Finished goods inventories of non-durables swung sharply to a $\$ 3$ million decline, largely as a result of cutbacks in the petroleum industry.

## Households

Most of the indicators of household demand recorded further declines in the first quarter. Despite a rallying of auto sales in February due to rebates, consumer demand for retail goods slumped sharply in the first quarter. The firming of housing starts served only to brake the rate of decline of construction activity. Additional cutbacks in employment in March and April suggest that real income flows will not improve, as nominal labour income has slowed to about a 0.5 per cent rate of increase in the early months of 1982, well below the recent gains in consumer prices.

Despite a few positive signs for the economy that have shifted from the components of final demand to the manufacturing sector in recent months, employment fell 0.1 per cent in March and the unemployment rate rose from 8.6 to 9.0 per cent. The source of the growth in unemployment shifted from a decline in employment in February to an increase in the labour force in March. In fact, the decrease in employment slowed to 0.1 per cent as a result of a marginal upturn ( +0.1 per cent) in full-time employment, while the turnaround in the size of the labour force was reflected in a 0.4 per cent increase. The entry movements into the labour force in the official statistics hide, however, the displacements between the categories of employed and unemployed. It is evident that about one-third of the number of newly-unemployed was caused by losses of employment, reflecting an additional hardship for households.
The fall in employment, only 0.1 per cent in March, was restrained by a slower rate of decline in the goods-producing industries ( -0.6 per cent) compared to -1.4 per cent in February, and by a 0.2 per cent rise in the service industries, which led to a slight increase in full-time employment for the first time in six months. However, these movements must be interpreted cautiously since they are a reflection of a few isolated increases in certain sectors or regions and may not be an indication of an incipient recovery. Employment went down 0.7 per cent in April, raising the unemployment rate to 9.6 per cent. While Central Canada continued in March to have a monopoly on falling employment, the new downturn in most of the final demand indicators in late March, when inventory adjustment had scarcely begun suggests that overall economic activity may not bottom-oul for another few months.
The slower rate of decline in the goods-producing industries was largely due to a localized surge in the Quebec construction industry. Manufacturing employment in Ontario was overstated because the numerous layoffs in the auto industry, concentrated in early and late March, were not
recorded by the survey which was conducted around the middle of the month. Markedly lower employment ( -7.4 per cent) in primary industries in Central and Western Canada coincided with businesses attempts to reduce inventories of raw materials in recent months. Higher employment in the service industries was due to trade in Quebec and the Maritimes and the finance, insurance and real estate and services sectors in the West, especially in Alberta. Employment in the transportation, communications and public utilities sector continued to drop sharply ( -0.9 per cent). A breakdown of these variations by region shows an increase in employment in the Maritimes and Alberta, a decrease in Ontario and British Columbia and little change in the other provinces.
The labour force, which had been shrinking for the preceding five months, turned around suddenly in March, posting a 0.4 per cent increase. Though there were upward trends in virtually every province, most of the advance was divided equally between Quebec on the one hand and Alberta and the Maritimes on the other. The most notable exception to this reversal was Ontario, the chief victim of falling employment in recent months. This point supports the prevalence of the discouraged worker effect during this recession.
An overview of the provincial and sectoral classifications reveals however that employment attracted mostly workers from outside the labour force, though the number of discouraged workers remained very high. This was particularly the case in the Maritimes. Alberta, and Quebec, whereas managerial and professional occupations recorded exactly parallel increases of employment and labour force. Since about half the people who lost their jobs remained in the labour force despite the only marginal decline in employment, the increase in overall unemployment is in part attributable to a rise in layoffs. These results, confirmed by the analysis of unemployment by previous activity, underscore the impact of cyclical influences on regionally and sectorally segmented markets. Even if there is no further significant decrease in employment, the unemployment rate, which climbed from 8.6 to 9.0 per cent of the labour force in March, could therefore retain its momentum through the next few months because of the heterogeneity of the labour factor. The International Monetary Fund stated in a recent report that the average unemployment rate in 1982 would probably reach 9.2 per cent in Canada (LeD 23/4).
On the basis of the latest data on the housing market, it appears that activity in this sector may not be sheltered from the general decline gripping the economy in the first quarter.

In fact, despite the pronounced upswing in multiple housing starts in the first quarter ( +36.2 per cent), work-put-in-place will probably be depressed again due to the long lag in completions of multiple units, to the likely cancellation of many of the MURB starts, and to the likely reduction in construction of single units in the first quarter. Starts of single housing rose by 9.0 per cent in the first quarter, but the slump of leading indicators that characterized the second half of 1981 will be fully reflected in the coincident indicators in the first quarter. Judging by the recent behaviour of the leading indicators, the housing market should remain depressed in the second quarter, especially as mortgage interest rates began rising again in March and April. Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation has just revised its annual housing stants forecast for 1982 downwards from 162,000 to 150,000 dwelling units. The Corporation attributes this adjustment to expectations of a very slow or delayed economic recovery in 1982, coupled with continuing high interest rates. It is necessary to go back to 1966 to find a more depressed level of housing starts, 134,474 units. Starts had sunk to 159.3 thousand units in 1980, bouncing back to 180.7 thousand units in 1981.

Housing starts in urban centres fell by 17 per cent in March, led primarily by multiple housing ( -20 per cent). The two-to-three month lag between building permits and housing starts at peaks leads us to believe that the weakness in multiples is not a short-lived movement, since after the MURB program was terminated, the number of multiple building permits plummeted from 23.4 to 10.1 thousand units in January and declined further in February to 6.2, the lowest level since May 1980. Nevertheless, the recent rise in mortgage loan approvals suggests that, even if starts of multiples reach a new record low in the second quarter (about 50,000 units at annual rates), the medium-term prospects are not too discouraging as the lag between mortgage loan approvals and housing starts in troughs is about five months. Stants of single-family homes were down by 5.7 per cent in March but should recover perceptibly in April in response to the higher numbers of building permits issued since February. However, the optimism that had swept through the single-family housing market seemed to be fading with the 13 per cent fall in mortgage loan approvals in February.
Retail sales increased by 1.2 per cent in February but, owing primarily to the automobile sector, remained somewhat below the fourth quarter average. We are in fact witnessing a slump in auto sales in the first quarter, in spite of the rebate programs. Automotive parts and furniture and household goods are another major source of weakness in the durable
goods sector while non-durable goods as a whole are also in sharp decline, both in January and February. The erosion of demand for semi-durable goods was less pronounced while the January decline in sales ( -1.8 per cent) was to all intents and purposes reversed in February ( +1.3 per cent). It is interesting to note that, in contrast to durable goods, the weakening of retail sales of non-durable and semi-durable goods is new and consequently does not provide an explanation for the decline in production that has lasted for more than six months. On the other hand, wholesale purchases appear to have slackened considerably since the fall and probably reflect retailer attempts to maintain low levels of inventory.
The further weakening in the labour market since December, brought on by massive layoffs that hit primary workers the hardest, is undoubtedly a major contributing factor in the recent decline in retail sales. Other factors include the sharp rise in non-durable prices and resurgent interest rates. The marked increase in consumer credit in December and January suggests that households have had to borrow in order to sustain their expenditures. As income stabilizing mechanisms like unemployment insurance come into play, the retreat of retail sales most evident in the quarterly data can be expected to taper off in the coming months, though there is little prospect of a turnaround, owing to the persistence of negative forces in the economy.

## Prices

Inflation continued to ease at the manufacturing level as the Industry Selling Price index rose only 0.3 per cent in March. The index has been recording moderate increases since July 1981. Within consumer prices a slowing trend was evident only for prices of durable goods, as the total Consumer Price Index rose 1.3 per cent. The acceleration was the result of sharp increases in energy prices, while prices of food, semi-durable goods and services rose about 1.0 per cent. The price restraint at the manufacturing level in part reflects the burden of inventories, which is still evident in rising finished goods inventories in many manufacturing industries, and a further rationalization was apparent in production and employment cutbacks in February and March. Inventories at the retail level appear to be less of a problem, partly explaining the relatively small improvement in consumer inflation. The resilience of inflation at the consumer level also reflects institutional effects such as the national energy pricing agreement and high interest rates. Raw material prices (excluding fuels) resumed the downward trend evident in late 1981, falling 0.7 per cent in March.

The Consumer Price Index rose 1.3 per cent on a seasonally adjusted basis in March, following an increase of 1.1 per cent in February. The major impetus to the sharp increase in March was the 2.0 per cent jump in prices of non-durable goods. Gasoline, fuel oil, and natural gas prices all rose about 9.0 per cent in March in a lagged response to the January 1 increase put through under the national energy program. This caused the total energy index to rise 5.5 per cent in the month. Food prices also contributed to the increase in March rising 0.8 per cent. This represented a marked slowdown from the sharp upturn in February, which was largely the result of unusually severe winter weather. Although these were temporary supply shocks, there are longer-term factors which will likely put pressure on food prices in the coming months. In particular, slaughtering of pork is expected to decline by 4.0 per cent in Canada this year and 10 per cent in the U.S. (as reported by the Department of Agriculture). This will cause pork prices to rise through supply constraints and beef prices to rise as demand is redirected to that type of meat (GM 31/3). In fact, much of the March increase in food prices was attributable to a 4.2 per cent jump in beef prices. Cost factors are also pushing up prices of dairy products in the short-run. These factors should be partly offset by an easing of poultry prices as feed costs come down. Dairy product prices rose sharply following a January 1 jump in industrial milk prices, and cereal and bakery product prices rose due to increases in domestic grain prices reflected earlier this year in the Industry Selling Price Index. Dampening these increases were declines in vegetable products and pork prices following the large increases registered in February.
A 1.4 per cent increase in the semi-durable price index was the result of increases in clothing, footwear, and household semi-durable product prices. While some of these hikes were due to the introduction of spring apparel, there may also have been some strengthening due to relatively buoyant demand for these types of goods. The other major contributor to the jump in inflation in March was the 0.9 per cent increase in the price of services. The continued sharp increase in mortgage interest costs (up 1.8 per cent) was a major factor although prices of rent, vehicle insurance, and dental care also accelerated.
A more positive sign for inflation in March was the 0.1 per cent increase in prices of durable goods. Automobile prices declined for the third consecutive month, and prices of furniture and major appliances rose at moderate rates of 0.3 and 0.1 per cent respectively.

Industry selling prices rose only 0.3 per cent on a seasonally adjusted basis in March following increases of 0.5 per cent in January and February. Non-durable prices rose 0.6 per cent. Some upward pressure was exerted by the January 1 crude oil price increase as petroleum product prices rose sharply. This was reflected in a 0.5 per cent increase in rubber and plastics which was largely due to the increased cost of feed stocks in the plastics industries. Paper and allied prices rose sharply. These increases, which took effect in March, were announced late in the fall of 1981 when demand was relatively buoyant. There are reports of a rolling back of these increases in April as the deterioration of demand has made them unsustainable (GM 9/4). Partially offsetting these gains was a restrained 0.2 per cent increase in selling prices of food and beverage industries. This was due to a combination of a sharp drop in poultry prices as a result of lower feed costs and a drop in sugar prices, virtually offsetting the increases in beef and pork prices and an increase in processed fruit and vegetable prices as a lagged result of the fresh produce increase in February. Price increases in clothing, knitting, textile and leather industries were also weak.

Selling prices for durable goods resumed the moderate trend evident in late 1981, remaining virtually unchanged following an uptick in January and February. The secondary effects of the increases in steel prices in late 1981 have subsided and selling prices of most major users such as the metal fabricating, transportation equipment, and electrical product industries were virtually unchanged in March. The other restraining factors were a slowing of furniture and fixture products prices, which had been unusually strong when allowance is made for the weak state of demand, and a 1.5 per cent drop in primary metal prices (which was recorded mostly for precious metals as other metal prices remained at low levels). A 0.5 per cent increase was recorded for wood products following a protracted period of decline. With many mills not in operation, a shortage of supply had developed and softwood prices rose as a result.
The Raw Materials Price Index edged up 0.1 per cent in March following increases of 3.6 and 1.7 per cent in January and February. The slight increase was the result of a 0.9 per cent rise in the fuels index while the index excluding fuels fell 0.7 per cent. The decline in the raw materials excluding fuels index represents a resumption of a declining trend in the latter half of 1981 (which was interrupted in January and February by higher food product prices), and the index is now 4.0 per cent below March 1981. The downward momentum was attributable to a sharp 3.1 per cent drop in vegetable product prices, mostly as a result of continued weakening
prices of sugar and cereals. Fresh vegetable prices also declined following the increases of January and February. Sugar, cereal and fresh vegetable prices are all well below levels in 1981. The 6.8 per cent drop in non-ferrous metal prices as a result of sharp declines in precious metal and copper prices, was a major contributor. The non-ferrous metals component remains 48 per cent below the peak in January 1980. Wood product prices were unchanged at low levels and ferrous metal and textile product prices declined slightly. The only partially offsetting increase other than fuel prices was animal product prices. Higher prices were recorded for cattle and calves ( 1 per cent) and hogs ( 6 per cent) and this trend is expected to continue to the end of 1982 as the rate of slaughtering of hogs is expected to decline substantially this year resulting in increased demand for beef. This effect has already been evident in industry and consumer prices.

## Business Investment

Business investment, which had posted a strong gain in the fourth quarter in spite of the deteriorating economic situation, should drop off substantially in the first quarter, at least according to the coincident indicators available for this period. The poor performance of capital expenditures at the start of the year coupled with the high number of businesses cutting back their planned investments for the next six months ( 32 per cent according to the latest Conference Board survey) suggest that the findings of the mid-year survey on private and public investments (PPl) will be lower than those noted at the beginning of the year. The latest findings from the same survey, however, reveal that businesses allocated only minimal sums to the Alsands Project and the Alaska Gas Pipeline Project, which removes one possible source of a downward revision.
Final demand for machinery and equipment for January and February is down sharply in relation to the last months of 1981, and the March data on external trade in these goods indicates that the decline will continue in March. The decrease in final demand is due mainly to a weakening, first noted in July, in the demand for transportation equipment (passenger and commercial vehicles, locomotives, trucks, and so on) and specialized industrial machinery, and in the decrease in the demand for farm equipment since October. The demand for goods related to communication and the distribution of electrical energy and for office equipment has remained steady throughout the current recession and should continue to do so, judging from the investments planned by these industries for 1982.

The coincident indicators suggest that non-residential construction fell in the first quarter from the fourth: employment in construction declined 2.9 per cent in the first quarter and shipments of construction materials fell 5.9 per cent (based on the average of January and February compared to the last quarter of 1981), even as residential construction declined only 2.5 per cent in the first quarter. Moreover, outlays for oil and natural gas exploration and drilling should be down, as the number of meters drilled in the first quarter fell 24 per cent below the average for 1981 (data published in Oil Week and seasonally adjusted). If this component does not post a significant recovery over the coming months, it will be a major factor in any downward revision at the time of the mid-year PPI survey. (The companies were forecasting an increase in this type of expenditure of approximately 25 per cent in Alberta). The strong gain in the number of meters drilled in April ( +60 per cent) compared to the monthly average in the first quarter, and the fiscal concessions and subsidies of the Alberta government which should inject into the oil industry $\$ 5.4$ billion up to 1986 and $\$ 1.3$ billion in 1982, augur well for the second quarter. Certain analysts believe, however, that these government measures are not sufficient in themselves to ensure a substantial recovery, since the companies will seek initially to reduce their debt loads acquired in the wake of last year's takeovers and will await openings in the natural gas markets; oil exploration cannot by itself generate a significant recovery (FP 28/4, FT 26/4). Moreover, Imperial Oil has no plans to step up its exploration on account of concessions from the Alberta government (GM 24/4). Judging from the rise in the value of non-residential building permits during the last three quarters of $1981(+25$ per cent) and the firm level of contract awards for this type of construction, the construction of commercial and industrial buildings would seem to represent the strongest link in the non-residential construction sector. These leading indicators and the exploration incentives suggest that the downward trend will not steepen in the second quarter. Moreover, major investments planned in the electrical energy and oil and gas transportation sectors are not likely to be affected by current conditions and should provide some degree of firmness in investments during the year.
On a more general level, the outlook is less encouraging. According to the latest Conference Board survey of corporate attitudes and investment plans, 75 per cent of businessmen believe that this is a bad time to invest and 32 per cent intend to actually cut back investments planned for the next six months. Steel mills in Ontario are finalizing their major projects but are reducing their expenditures as much as possible. For example, Stelco will reduce its investment
outlays planned for 1982 by 8-9 per cent and for 1983-1984 by 20 per cent (GM 20/4). Gulf is reducing its planned investments by $\$ 6$ billion over the next ten years and is cancelling several projects (GM 23/4). Plans to construct petrochemical plants have run into difficulty owing to falling demand and the loss of the comparative advantage of Canadian plants as a result of declining world prices for oil. Petrosar Ltd. of Sarnia is abandoning the construction of a $\$ 450$ million heavy fuel oil upgrader (GM 26/4). Esso has withdrawn from a project involving the construction of a $\$ 1.7$ billion stryrene-benzene plant (GM 23/4). However, Imperial Oil has announced that it will invest $\$ 61$ million to modernize its refinery facilities in east-end Montreal (LeD 1/5). Finally, Hydro-Québec is shelving its head office project, estimated at $\$ 300$ million.

## External Sector

Preliminary data released for Marchindicate that exports fell 1.1 per cent on a balance of payments basis following a sharp increase of 10.7 per cent in February. With the inclusion of this data the short-term trend for exports fell 0.5 per cent as the rate of decline has been slowing gradually since the 1.0 per cent drop recorded for October. Much of the upturn in February was attributable to an increase in industrial production in the U.S. and was concentrated in the auto industry. By March the positive signs were more widespread as disclosed by the short-term trend which had troughed and turned up for motor vehicles, lumber, fabricated non-ferrous metals and chemicals, although in total the trend was still declining. The indicators for domestic demand were less optimistic as imports fell 4.5 per cent following the February uptick of 18.7 per cent. This slowed the rate of decline of the short-term trend to 2.5 per cent following three consecutive months of declines of about 3.0 per cent. The continued relative weakness in import demand reflects the fact that the recession in Canada, although it has been relatively steep, is not as advanced in the cycle as in the U.S. Inventories in the manufacturing sector are still accumulating here while the liquidation process began in about November in the U.S. and there have been smaller gains made in fighting domestic inflation.
Exports fell 1.1 per cent in March on a balance of payments basis following the sharp 10.7 per cent increase in February. With the inclusion of this data the rate of decline of the short-term trend for exports slowed to 0.5 per cent from the largest recent decline of 1.0 per cent recorded for October. While the slowing of the overall trend in February was a result of an upturn in the trend of exports of wood products, and a substantial slowing in the decline of the trend for autos, the
forces which braked the slide in the trend of exports were more widespread with the March data. The short-term trend had troughed and begun to increase for sales of automobiles, lumber, wood pulp, fabricated non-ferrous metals and chemicals while the downward trend for exports of iron and steel slowed substantially. Export data by country revealed that the source of the slowing in the decline of shipments was sales to the U.S. The relative success of the rebate programs in the U.S. accounts for the firming of exports of motor vehicle products as a 6.0 per cent increase in unit sales in the first quarter helped to reduce retail inventories. The pick-up in lumber sales is likely the result of an attempt to rebuild inventories of softwoods as shortages have developed following the shutdown of many mills. There has been little evidence of a pick-up in housing construction activity in the U.S. although housing starts seem to have stabilized at an historically low level of about 900,000 units at annual rates. The increased demand for fabricated non-ferrous metals, particularly copper, nickel and aluminum may reflect some rebuilding of raw material inventories as prices of these metals (especially copper and nickel) have fallen substantially throughout 1981 and are expected to increase sharply at the onset of economic recovery. The decline of the Canadian dollar vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar in February and March may have been a factor in stimulating demand for these industrial goods including chemicals and iron and steel. The trend of exports to other countries except Japan was declining after including the March data. The trends of these series began to slow in about October in line with the firming of the Canadian dollar against European currencies beginning in August.
With the inclusion of the March data the merchandise trade balance rose to $\$ 1175$ million. For the first quarter of 1982 the nominal trade surplus measured $\$ 3.414$ billion, an increase of $\$ 656$ million following an increase of $\$ 1.899$ billion in the fourth quarter. The resilient performance of the merchandise trade surplus can be partially explained by the relatively steep recession in Canada. Imports have been particularly weak over the course of the downturn, falling 4.5 per cent on a balance of payments basis in March (following a sharp 18.7 per cent uptick in February). Imports are now 15.3 per cent below the peak of the short-term trend in July. With the inclusion of the March data the short-term trend fell 2.5 per cent following three months of declines of 3.0 per cent. The rate of decline of the trend of imports of end products slowed to a decline of 1.7 per cent accounting for most of the easing in the overall down trend. This was attributable to the pick-up in activity in the auto sector mostly as a result of increased imports of motor vehicle parts. Demand for
business investment goods continued to deteriorate rapidly as the downward trend was accelerated for purchases of machinery and other transportation. The short-term trends for crude and fabricated materials continued to decline at rates close to 3.0 per cent per month. Although there is widespread concern over the widening differential between consumer price inflation in Canada and the United States, an analysis of an index of real effective exchange rates compiled by Morgan Guaranty Trust, suggests that there has been little change in the competitiveness of Canadian manufactured goods in the past year. The index (March 1973=100), which adjusts for inflation differentials of nonfood manufactured goods, has been virtually unchanged over this period, and the index level of 91.1 in February 1982 leaves Canada with one of the most competitive manufacturing sectors in the industrialized world (along with Japan at 87.7 and Italy at $91.1)$ (Morgan Guaranty Trust, World Financial Markets, March 1982).

## United States Economy

The coincident indicators of economic activity in the United States recorded further declines in the first quarter. Real GNP fell 1.0 per cent, leaving output down about 2.0 per cent from its third quarter peak. The sources of the reduction, however, were encouraging for the prospect of a recovery in the economy later in 1982 . Final sales edged up 0.5 per cent, as a record $\$ 17.5$ billion reduction in stocks accounted for all of the drop in production. Most of the gain in sales and cuts in inventories reflected the 15 per cent jump in auto sales, which proved to be much more sensitive in the United States to rebate programs than was the case for Canada. Business investment in plant and equipment and residential construction continued to retrench. The decline in housing activity slowed, however, as building permits and housing starts give some indications of recovery. Most of the erosion of business investment reflected a sudden reversal in drilling for crude oil, as the number of active drilling rigs fell from a peak of 4530 in December to 3640 in February (FT 2/4).

The GNE deflator rose 0.9 per cent in the first quarter, reflecting the substantial slowdown evident in the producer and consumer price indices in recent months. Declining oil prices and auto rebates helped to accentuate this slowing trend. Wages and salaries decelerated to a 1.3 per cent gain. This slowdown reflected declining employment and a moderation in wage gains. New wage accords reached 2.2 per cent at annual rates in the first quarter, although this pronounced easing largely reflected contract renegotiations
by the United Auto Workers and the Teamsters (these two unions covered 70 per cent of the settlements reached in the quarter). Excluding these contracts, wage settlements for the first contract year were negotiated at about an 8 per cent annual rate. The gain in consumer demand at a time of slowing income growth reduced the personal savings rate from 6.1 per cent lo 5.3 per cent in the quarter.
While substantially more progress has been made in reducing inflation and inventories in the United States relative to Canada, it is not clear that the recession has run its course. Virtually all of the coincident indicators fell anew in March, particularly industrial output ( -0.8 per cent) and employment ( -0.1 per cent). A further decline in the leading indicators ( -0.5 per cent) is indicative of the cautious stance of firms and consumers in committing themselves to new purchases in the current environment. The impasse between Congress and the Administration over the federal budget fostered much of this uncertainty, particularly with regard to interest rates.

## Financial Markets

Canadian interest rates were somewhat firmer than those in the U.S. in April. The Bank Rate rose 12 basis points to 15.23 per cent, while the prime lending rate remained at 17.0 per cent. Long-term Canada bond yields fell by 20 to 40 basis points, considerably less than the decline in equivalent U.S. rates. From December 1981 to March 1982, Canadian money supply growth, as measured by M1, followed a very similar pattern to that in the U.S. M1 growth. That is the M1 measure of money supply surged in the December-January period and then decllned through the February-March period. In April, however, the Canadian money supply declined through the first three reporting weeks while U.S. M1 surged. The Canadian dollar rose to 81.83 cents (U.S. funds) in April, while the Canada-U.S. interest rate differential widened somewhat. A firming in world spot oil prices accompanied an increase in demand for Canadian resource company shares, as the TSE Oil and Gas Index rose 3.7 per cent after having declined for several months.

American credit market prices rallied mrodestly in April. The gains in the bond market were slightly larger than those in the money market as 20-year Treasury bond yields fell about 70 basis points to about 13.25 per cent, while money market yields declined by about 35 to 60 basis points. The surge in the U.S. money supply in April was not accompanied by the higher rates expected by many analysts. This is probably
because interest rates rose in March largely in anticipation of the large tax refunds which led to the April money supply surge. Also encouraging to credit market participants was an apparent unwillingness on the part of the Federal Reserve Board to take action to offset the money supply increase of April. Progress on inflation and continued weak economic activity in the U.S. may have contributed to the Federal Reserve's reluctance to tighten credit. There is also some feeling that the April money numbers represent an aberration that could be offset in May and June. Credit markets in April were liftle affected by the failure of the President and Congress to reach an agreement on how to reduce the budget deficit.

Despite the improvement in April, U.S. interest rates remain very high in comparison to previous recessionary periods. In the recessions of 1970, 1974-1975 and 1980, the U.S. prime lending rate fell by 37 to 45 per cent within a period of one year from the prime rate peak. In the current recession, the prime lending rate is only down 23 per cent from the December 1980 peak of 21.5 per cent.

News Developments

The United Auto Workers in the American-based operations of General Motors ratified a two and one-half year contract that will save the company an estimated $\$ 2.5$ billion in labour costs. The response was 52 per cent in favour, compared to 73 per cent in favour of renegotiation in the Ford plants in February. General Motors said that it will pursue additional concessions at the 147 individual plants within the 100-day limit agreed to in the contract (LaP 10/4, GM 13/4). Studies by management consultants and universities suggest that the Japanese have a cost advantage of between $\$ 1,300$ and $\$ 1,700$ a car. The difference in hourly labour costs (the average rate of pay is $\$ 11.57$ an hour in the U.S. versus $\$ 6.15$ in Japan) accounts for about $\$ 420$ of this differential. The remainder of the Japanese cost advantage appears to lie in areas left untouched by the renegotiation with the UAW, notably a more efficient organization of management structures and better inventory control (BW 14/9).

The federal government released statistics on its threemonth old plan for work-sharing to help minimize layoffs. The program calls for participating firms to keep on the payroll, at least part-time, those workers who would normally be discharged on layoff. Workers are assured 90 per cent of their weekly salary through a combination of hourly wages and supplementary unemployment benefits. Canada-wide participation in the program by April 1 involved 534 firms employing 29,000 workers. The government credits the program with safeguarding 12,600 jobs at a cost of $\$ 30$ million. Funds allocated for the work-sharing program have been doubled to $\$ 90$ million (LeD 6-14/4).
The extension of the work-sharing program did not prevent a further spate of layoff announcements and cutbacks in April. International Harvester plans to layoff 1,500 workers, or 80 per cent of the work force in its farm machinery plant in Hamilton, for four months beginning in June (GM 6/4). Steel firms amplified their cutbacks, as Algoma announced plans to close its steel works for one week in June. The closure will affect about 4,000 people, in addition to the 1,500 currently laid off. Sidbec will scale down its operating personnel by an additional 680 at the end of May as well (GM 3-17/4).

Newsprint production, no longer strongly-supported by hoarding by users in anticipation of price increases and strikes, will be reduced in Western Canada, as MacMillan Bloedel plans to close its mills for six weeks while Crown Zellerbach will curtail production schedules by 10 per cent (LFT 2/4). The weakness evident in the large drop in employment in primary industries in March was extended into the second quarter, to judge by the cutbacks announced by Gaspé Copper ( 1,445 will be laid off from June 20 to July 17), McIntyre Mines (staff will be reduced by 335 at its Grande Cache, Alberta coal mine), and Noranda (which will close its Granisle mine in central B.C. for one year from July). Early in May, Falconbridge Nickel announced the layoff of 4,000 workers for ten weeks this summer, while Noranda Mines will put an additional 6,000 employees on layoff in an effort to cut its operating personnel by 20 per cent (LeD 22/4, FT 19/4, GM $27 / 4,1 / 5$ ).

## News Chronology

Apr. 1 The Quebec National Assembly has adopted a bill that abolishes mandatory retirement at age 65. The legislation, the first of its kind in Canada, affects all workers except those under federal jurisdiction.
Apr. 5 The British Columbia budget called for a \$358 million deficit, due to sluggish revenue growth and some minor increases in tax rates on chartered banks.
Apr. 14 The federal government will delay for at least six months the plan to start collecting the federal sales tax at the wholesale rather than the manufacturing level.

The Alberta government has cut its average royalty rates on the oil and gas industries to 36 per cent and 34 per cent respectively, which should yield $\$ 1.3$ billion in additional revenues to the petroleum industry this year. Apr. 27 The Manitoba government re-introduced rent controls, with a ceiling of 9 per cent retroactive to January 1. Apr. 30 The Nova Scotia budget calls for a broad range of tax increases, including a jump in the retail sales tax from 8 per cent to 10 per cent, and sharply higher personal and corporate income tax rates. Operating expenditure of government departments will rise 12.8 per cent in 1982-83, while capital spending will be cut by 21.5 per cent.

# News Feature: International Financing <br> for Less-Developed Countries 

This note provides a brief sketch of the adjustments of the international financial system to the continuing balance of payments disequillbria of less-developed countries. The article begins by sketching the recent decline of the current account surplus for OPEC nations, which has largely been matched by an improved surplus for Western industrialized nations rather than for other Third World countries. The organization and response of multilateral aid institutions, such as the World Bank and regional development banks, is discussed in the next section. The paper concludes with a discussion of the increasing role of the international banking system (notably American banks) in financing the deficits of less-developed countries, and of the challenges posed to both lenders and borrowers by the current environment of rising debt burdens at a time of diminished export opportunities.
The slump in prices of crude petroleum on world markets has forced nine out of the thirteen OPEC nations into the unusual position of running current account deficits. The Wharton forecasting group predicts that the OPEC nations will have a $\$ 1.8$ billion (U.S. \$) ${ }^{\text {' current account deficit in 1982, down }}$ from a $\$ 58$ bilion surplus last year and the first deficit since 1970 (FT 6/4). The long-term implications for the world economy include a need for the international banking community to find new sources of liquidity, and for Western exporters to develop alternative export markets. The slump in oil revenues combined with on-going development programs, has boosted government deficits as well. The reason for the slump in oil revenues is clearly the drop in non-Communist world demand for oil from 52 million barrels per day (b/d) to an estimated 45 million b/d. Saudi Arabia's Sheikh Yamani maintains that oil companies are also reducing stocks at an unusually rapid rate of 4 million $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d}$ to exacerbate the glut, although the companies claim destocking is only at normal seasonal rates. If Yamani is correct, the current rate of destocking cannot be sustained and an upturn in demand will reverse the recent slump in prices, which has reduced the Rotterdam spot price for oil to about $\$ 28$ (U.S.) per barrel (FT 3/4). The International Energy Agency lent some support to this scenario, as the slide in demand in the industrialized world appears to have stopped with a recovery in prices in the spot market. The Agency predicts an upturn will raise demand from 43.8 million $b / d$ in the second quarter of 1982 to 47.9 million b/d in the first quarter of next year (GM 28/4).
The effects of decisions taken at the emergency meeting of OPEC in Vienna late in March were felt most immediately in Nigeria. Oil output in Nigeria dropped to 950,000 b/d in April
after the decision to hold the OPEC benchmark price at \$34 and the Nigerian price at $\$ 35.50$. This output rate compares to 1.8 million b/d in January. Output in Nigeria was particularly sensitive to market conditions because of its heavy reliance on sales to independent buyers or to the spot market and because of the availability of comparable North Sea oil at \$31. At the same time that buyers have deserted Nigeria to purchase North Sea oil, BP Oil threatened to reduce its purchases of North Sea oil to only 50 per cent of its British requirements. BP Oil said it would purchase oil on the Rotterdam spot market instead, unless tax laws were changed so that British prices were reduced to the level of spot prices (FT 26/3).
The drop in export earnings had already led Nigeria to a $\$ 1.4$ billion trade deficit in February, and the sudden drop in demand in March initially forced the Central Bank of Nigeria to reduce foreign exchange reserves to $\$ 2.8$ billion (or about two months of imports) from $\$ 9$ billion a year ago, and then to stop processing all applications for foreign exchange pending the implementation of import controls. The Central Bank of Nigeria imposed import controls on a wide-range of goods, and required importers to place cash deposits in interest-free accounts with the Central Bank in advance of shipment. The government also cancelled all capital projects not already under construction pending a review of its five-year $\$ 125$ billion development plan (FT 25/3, 3-8-16$21 / 4$ ). The squeeze on foreign exchange reserves was also evident in Libya, which has delayed payment for imports by up to five months. Japanese exporters have filed notices of default (FT 30/3).
One of the reasons for Nigeria's support of the OPEC decision to hold the benchmark price at $\$ 34$ was the assurance of Saudi Arabia support in terms of production cuts to end the glut and of direct financial aid. The ability of Saudi Arabia to cut output or boost aid was compromised by the current level of government expenditure at $£ 48.4$ billion per annum, which requires at least the present output rate of 7.0 million b/d. Government revenues are 90 per cent petroleum-based (FT 30/3). The squeeze on OPEC revenues was evident in the unusual appearance of budgetary deficits in nations such as Kuwait (\$1.5 billion), and the United Arab Emirates (a $\$ 620$ million deficit despite a 15 per cent cutback in spending). The Finance Ministry for Kuwait said that the country will "go bankrupt in four years" given projected outlays unless oil production recovers from its current 1.0 million b/d to over 2.0 million b/d and prices recover to between $\$ 35$ and $\$ 40$ a barrel. The war involving

Iraq and Iran has further complicated their current account and budgetary positions, as the $\$ 22$ billion of aid directed to Iraq last year absorbed one-half of the combined oil surplus of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Qatar, and the United Arab Emirates. Iraq also reduced its foreign exchange reserves by at least $\$ 13$ billion. This year's foreign borrowing requirement of $\$ 10-\$ 15$ billion at a time of lower oil surpluses may force Iraq to test the commercial money markets in Europe, a trend reinforced by Syria's refusal to permit Iraqi oil shipments across its territory. At the same time, Iran has boosted its oil sales from 0.5 million $b / d$ in December to 2.0 million $b / d$ in April by selling its crude oil to Japanese traders at \$26 per barrel, which has helped to erode the benchmark price (FT 24-26-30/3, 14/4, Ecst 3/4, GM 23/4, 1/5).
The slump in export earnings, sorely needed to finance heavy foreign borrowing, pressed acutely on nations in Latin
America. The Inter-American Bank (IAB) predicts that the combined current account deficit of Latin American countries will rise further from the $\$ 27.4$ billion recorded in 1980 and $\$ 34.0$ billion in 1981. The vise of weak revenues and rising costs of servicing foreign debt was particularly gripping on Brazil and Mexico, the two nations in the world with the largest foreign debt outstanding at about $\$ 70$ billion. The restrictive monetary and fiscal policies adopted throughout the region, and the devaluation of several currencies against the American dollar, caused a slump in economic growth in South America to about 1 per cent in 1981, well below the rate of population growth. The most notable downturns occurred in Brazil and Argentina. Real GDP per capita fell 5.8 per cent ( 3.5 per cent in absolute terms) in Brazil last year. as investment outlays fell 10 per cent while consumers slashed purchases (FT 24/3). Output in Argentina fell 6 per cent in 1981, and hopes for a recovery in the second half of 1982 were dashed by the Falklands crisis (FT 24/3, 8/4).

Argentina had to cancel a number of development projects due to the ban introduced by the European Economic Community and Canada on financial transactions and imports from Argentina. Argentina retaliated first by ceasing interest payments to British banks, and then by freezing all British funds in Argentine banks (there is about $\$ 13$ billion of British credit outstanding in Argentina, or about 35 per cent of Argentina's total foreign debt of $\$ 30$ billion). The sanctions imposed by the United States at month-end forbid American banks from extending new credit to Argentina, but do permit the renewal of the $\$ 9$ billion in credit already granted (FT $31 / 3,6-13 / 4$, GM 27/4, 1/5).
Venezuela and Ecuador, the two Latin American partners in the OPEC cartel, had to introduce austerity programs to cope with growing balance of payments deficits. Venezuelan
crude oil receipts, which supply 70 per cent of government revenues, are forecast to decline 30 per cent this year. As a result, the government announced a 10 per cent (or \$2 billion) cut in public spending, a 300 per cent increase in domestic petrol prices to raise $\$ 600$ million in revenue, a $\$ 19$ billion ceiling on foreign borrowing, and a scaling-back of the five-year $\$ 150$ billion development plan. These restrictive measures followed two years of stagnant economic activity, while a growing population has helped raise the unemployment rate to 9 per cent (FT 6-14/4). Ecuador also introduced spending cuts to help reduce price inflation from 20 per cent and to limit new foreign borrowing to $\$ 1.1$ billion in 1982. The measures were taken in response to a 50 per cent devaluation and difficulties in finding European lenders for a $\$ 900$ million three-year credit facility. Money markets were not impressed by the $\$ 5.5$ billion in foreign debt already outstanding, an amount equivalent to nominal GDP in Ecuador (FT 20/4).

The Mexican peso has fallen by over 40 per cent after being allowed to float by the Bank of Mexico in February. The devaluation reflects the $\$ 6$ billion drop in export revenues due to slumping demand for oil and a $\$ 5$ billion increase in capital outflows. Virtually all of the $\$ 13$ to $\$ 14$ billion in oil export revenues (which account for 70 per cent of all exports) will be required to meet interest payments on the $\$ 68$ billion of foreign debt outstanding. The government promised to reduce the budget deficit from 12.3 per cent to 9.5 per cent of GDP in 1982 by reducing expenditure by 8 pel cent, and hoped to restrain the current account deficit to 5 per cent of GDP by slowing real economic growth to 4 per cent in 1982. Foreign borrowing had proceeded on schedule in the first quarter, when $\$ 5$ billion was raised (largely in the Eurobond markets at rates of about 17 per cent). In April, however, concern grew in international financial markets over the prospect of higher budgetary and current account deficits (as oil prices dipped) and of a further drop in the peso (as the Mexican inflation rate is expected to rise to 60 per cent this year from 35 per cent, while the Bank of Mexico cut short-term interest rates by two percentage points). The deterioration in Mexican credit-worthiness first became evident in a rising risk premium attached to its external borrowing activities, and culminated in a sharp decline in the liquidity of Mexican debt. A number of leading market dealers in Europe decided to stop trading in Mexican floating rate note issues due to a lack of commercial demand. The government then imposed an $\$ 11$ billion ceiling on foreign borrowing this year, down from the original target of $\$ 20$ billion (FT 22-23-30/3, 5-8-22/4).

Foreign currency reserves appeared to be depleted in Eastern Europe. Western businessmen report that the Soviet Union has asked for extensions of up to six months in paying for goods already delivered. The USSR is also reported to be asking for rebates or extra credits before renewing contracts, although most of these demands have been rebuffed. The low level of foreign exchange reserves reflects three consecutive years of poor crops (which has boosted grain imports by $\$ 6$ billion), increased aid to the Polish regime ( $\$ 5$ billion in 1981), and weak export markets. The Soviet trade deficit with the West rose to $\$ 1.2$ billion last year. The strength of the American dollar relative to European currencies has also reduced the purchasing power of the largely European-currency-denominated foreign exchange reserves held by the Soviet Union, while the American dollar price has weakened dramatically for important USSR exports such as gold, crude oil, and diamonds (Ecst 3/4, LaP 3/4). Banks in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe have reduced their operations in Western money markets because of the concern over Comecon debts. Some American banks have stopped all dealings with the Soviet Foreign Trade Bank, while others have cut back sharply. Other Eastern European nations have also been affected; for example, the Czechoslovakian Bank of Prague reports that its short-term placement of orders in the London money markets have dropped from $\$ 100$ million a day in February to $\$ 10$ million, with virtually zero business with American banks (FT 14/4). The $\$ 2.4$ billion of debt payments outstanding from Poland has been rescheduled for the five hundred Western banks concerned. Poland will pay 5 per cent of this debt in 1982 before being granted a four-year grace period for the other 95 per cent. There will then be seven equal payments every six months. The total Polish debt owed to Western banks is about $\$ 25.5$ billion, of which $\$ 400$ million is owed to Canadian banks (GM 7/4). Poland has also asked to reschedule its 1982 debt payments. although American banks have refused at least for the moment. West German banks have been more receptive to this notion, which reflects the greater role of West Germany in supplying credit (West German banks hold $\$ 4.5$ billion of unsecured Polish debt, versus $\$ 2.0$ billion held by American banks) and in direct trade with Eastern Europe generally (West Germany accounted for 36 per cent of tolal OECD exports to the East bloc in 1980, or an amount equal to about 6.3 per cent of all West German exports) (FT 6/4, LeMD 3/82). Romania has asked to reschedule the repayment of its $\$ 3.0$ billion of debt owed to a consortium of 300 Western banks. Romania is $\$ 500$ million in arrears on 1981 debt payments, and has asked that this year's payments of \$2.5 billion be spread over the next seven years. The IMF has
halted any further drawdown of the $\$ 750$ million in standby credits arranged with Romania pending the payment of last year's debt (FT 16/4).

Debt-servicing problems in the Asian continent surfaced most virulently in Vietnam and India. Vietnam asked its Western creditors to reschedule payments on $\$ 1.4$ billion of its $\$ 3.0$ billion in outstanding foreign debt (the remaining $\$ 1.6$ billion is owed to Communist nations). This move follows the suspension of some payments last year and the delay in repaying an IMF loan earlier this year. Vietnam asked to reschedule $\$ 300$ million owed to France, $\$ 200$ million to Japan, and $\$ 600$ million to Third World nations such as India, Iraq, and Algeria. The Vietnamese debt-servicing ratio ${ }^{2}$ is about 65 per cent, as the domestic economy has withered in response to the trade embargo imposed by China and the United States following the 1979 invasion of Kampuchea (FT 8/4). The Asian Development Bank has agreed to a request from India to borrow $\$ 400$ million a year for five years, the first such borrowing since 1966. India promised to not present itself for the moment at the 'soft' loan window (the concessionary loan window is the Asian Development Fund), to help allay fears of crowding-out held by poorer Asian nations (FT 24/3).

The return of India to the Asian Development Bank reflects the deterioration in the balance of payments and in the international environment for concessional loans. A decline in the terms of trade has pushed the current account deficit to $\$ 7.6$ billion in 1982, while soft loans from the World Bank have been cut 50 per cent due to lower American support (concessional loans will amount to only $\$ 1.8$ billion this year). Despite a record $\$ 5.8$ billion loan from the IMF last year, India has had to return to the ADB and to the commercial capital markets. Following years of inactivity, borrowing abroad at market interest rates reached $\$ 1.2$ billion in the year to March 31 (with interest payments at a rate of $\$ 200$ million a year). The government wants to prevent any further increase in this source of funds, as a $\$ 1.4$ billion limit was agreed to as part of the IMF loan and as the government wants to keep the debt-servicing ratio at 15 per cent. Nevertheless, a rapid drawdown in foreign exchange reserves of $£ 2.2$ billion a year convinced the IMF to allow an early withdrawal of $\$ 330$ million in credits. Foreign exchange reserves had fallen to a 'critical' level of $\$ 3.2$ billion, or three months of imports, prior to the IMF move (FT 24/3).
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## Multilateral Aid Organizations

The plight of the less-developed countries, trapped between the Scylla of mounting current account deficits and the Charybdis of rising debt-servicing ratios (due to high interest rates and slumping commodity prices), has been compounded by the tightening of the budgets of the major multilateral aid organizations, including the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Development Association, the Inter-American Development Bank, and the Asian Development Bank. A review of the recent policy stance of these organizations is detailed below, although all the multilateral aid agencies have shown a distinct reversal from the transfer of resources to lessdeveloped countries advocated by the Brandt Commission. The preference of less-developed countries for official development assistance credits is easy to understand. In 1981, the terms of these loans were on average 2.5 per cent interest for 31 years. The average full market-price private bank loan was at 18 per cent for seven years. Multilateral aid is generally preferred to bilateral aid because there are fewer conditions which can reduce the effectiveness of the loan. For example, 70 per cent of bilateral American aid is linked to the purchase of American products. While the level of American bilateral aid has not yet been set by Congress. military aid will be boosted by 34 per cent for 1983 (Ecst 17/4).

The International Bank of Reconstruction and Development (IBRD), often called the World Bank, is owned by 139 governments who subscribe capital which is used as collateral by the IBRD to borrow on international bond markets. In turn, this money is lent out for lifteen years or more to less-developed countries at a small profit for the IBRD; in 1981, the World Bank lent $\$ 5.1$ billion in this fashion, making it the largest single source of funds for poor nations. In response to criticism from the United States, the World Bank in the last two years has made increased use of 'structural adjustment' loans (or loans conditional upon long-fun economic reforms; the main difference between these loans and loans granted by the IMF is that the IMF provides short-term credit of up to seven years to alleviate balance of payments problems) (FT 30/3).
Since the IBRD is a profit-making operation, its funding has not been squeezed by cutbacks in member government support. It is the International Development Association (IDA), which is the 'soft' loan window of the World Bank, which has suffered from cutbacks. In 1981, the IDA provided a total of $\$ 1.9$ billion of interest-free loans to the poorest less-developed countries. The $\$ 4.1$ billion capital replenishment promised to the IDA by the 35 supporting nations has
been cut to $\$ 3.1$ billion in 1982, however, as the United States decided to spread its three-year funding commitment to the IDA over a four-year period. Most Western nations, including Canada, decided to follow the American lead in order to maintain the relative burden of support shared by the affluent nations (Ecst 17/4, FT 30/3, 16/4, FP 24/4).

The Asian Development Bank (ADB) provides 'hard' loans at 11 per cent for 15 to 20 years to relatively well-off Asian nations such as Indonesia, Thailand, the Philippines and Singapore. In 1981, these loans amounted to $\$ 1.1$ billion. The poorest Asian nations, such as Pakistan and Bangladesh, can obtain interest-free loans for up to 40 years from the Asian Development Fund (ADF), the concessionary lending arm of the ADB. These loans totalled $\$ 531$ million in 1981. Budget cuts in the United States and the United Kingdom have reduced the funding of the Asian Development Bank for 1983 to 1986 from $\$ 4.1$ billion to $\$ 2.4$ billion (the 1978-1982 capital replenishment was $\$ 2.2$ billion). This cut in funding, at a time when India has announced it will resume borrowing $\$ 400$ million a year from the ADB, will force poorer nations to borrow more at the ADB than from the ADF, and push relatively well-off Asian nations to borrow more on the Eurocurrency markets than from the ADB, implying a generally higher debt struclure (FT 21/4).
The Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), which provides soft loans and technical assistance to Latin American countries, has asked subscribing nations to increase their funding of loans by $\$ 3$ billion this year. The IADB stressed the need for more concessional lending to the poorer Latin American nations at a time of rapidly growing populations and balance of payments deficits. The United States has held up negotiations over the four-year capital replenishment of the IADB in 1983 with its proposal that concessional lending be curbed for all Latin American nations, and that subsidized loans be withdrawn for the relatively better-off nations. Brazil, Mexico, and Argentina tried to break this impasse with a proposal to radically shift the operation of the IADB towards the poorest countries, in return for an 18 per cent (or $\$ 14.3$ billion) increase in American funding of the IADB for the 1983-1987 period. To date, no accord has been reached (FT 30-31/3).
Two other organizations, while not explicitly multilateral aid agencies but which are involved in support for lessdeveloped countries, have changed the course of their policies in the past year. The United Nations Common Fund, designed to stabilize the prices of eighteen commodities through a $\$ 750$ million buffer stock, will hot begin operation for at least a year after its scheduled start-up in April 1982. A
lack of ratification by member countries has caused the delay (FT 30/3, 21/4). The International Monetary Fund (IMF). which provides loans at 7 per cent for up to seven years to nations with severe balance of payments disequilibria, announced the suspension of loan agreements worth a record total of $\$ 5$ billion in April. The suspensions affected fifteen countries, including Romania, Zaire, Morocco, Bangladesh, Zambia, Grenada, Costa Rica, Tanzania, and Guyana, which were judged to have been unable to meet the economic and financial reforms negotiated as a condition of the loans. The loans can be re-issued under new accords with the IMF, but the cancellations do reflect both the increase in nations suffering from unmanageable current account deficits and increasingly stringent enforcement of the conditions of the loans by the IMF (FT 20/4). The three-year IMF loan to Zambia is in particular difficulty, as the IMF will likely postpone the third tranche of $£ 300$ million due to be given to Zambia in May. The IMF delayed the second tranche last year following a violation of external payments and domestic credit guidelines. Zambia is $£ 254$ million in arrears on payments, some of which have been outstanding for two years. Lower prices for copper and cobalt, which account for 95 per cent of exports, have slashed foreign currency earnings for Zambia (FT 26/3).

## The International Banking System

The reduced access to multilateral aid agencies implies that the international banking system will be under increased pressure to finance the balance of payments deficits of less-developed countries. Private banks surpassed aid agencies as a source of funds for less-developed countries following the appearance of large OPEC surpluses in the 1970's. The Bank of England correlates this growth of international bank lending with the growth of balance of payments disequilibria around the world in the 1970's. The sum of the absolute values of national current account surpluses and deficits, one measure of the disequilibria requiring the intermediation of the international financial system, rose from 1.0-1.5 per cent of world output to between $2.0-3.0$ per cent over the 1970 's. The sharp reduction in the OPEC nations current account surplus, matched by an improvement in the deficits of the industrialized nations, reduces the need for wholesale banks and bank consortiums, which grew partly in response to the concentration of petro-dollar deposits in a few institutions. This shift, together with the growing debt problems of less-developed nations, has made banks increasingly concerned with the risks involved in international lending. As a result, risk premiums attached to loans to Third World nations have increased relative to loans for domestic financial activity in industrialized nations (FT 21/4, NYJC 9/4).

In the decade to 1981, the total external debt of lessdeveloped countries grew from $\$ 87$ billion to $\$ 524$ billion. The debt service ratio (or the amount paid each year in interest and principal as a percentage of total exports) rose from 14 per cent in 1973 to 21 per cent in 1981 (although rescheduling and arrears in debt payments has helped to limit this percentage recently). The financial burden of this debt is quile skewed, however, as the poorest developing nations rely almost exclusively ( 87 per cent) on borrowing from official sources. Of the $\$ 267$ billion owed by less-developed nations to private creditors in 1980, 70 per cent or $\$ 187.2$ billion was owed by ten nations. ${ }^{3}$ Of these private-sector loans, 75 per cent are at floating interest rates and 45 per cent are scheduled to be repaid by June 1983. Particularly worrisome is that Brazil, Mexico, and Argentina, three of the largest debtors, will face the largest jump in interest costs when debt is rolled-over this year, while commodity export earnings remain weak (Ecst 20/3). This bunching of debt payments over the next five quarters implies that there will be numerous cases of a rescheduling of debt payments. Since 1979 there have been 10 major reschedulings of debt with nations, involving $\$ 16.1$ billion. In 1981 , there were twenty-five nations in arrears on debt involving at least $\$ 6.5$ billion.
The concentration of debt held by less-developed countries is matched by the small number of banks who loan the money. This is particularly true of American banks, which hold 40 per cent of all loans to less-developed countries. It is this correspondence of the concentration of debt owed by nations to large commercial banks that is held as a threat to the international financial system. If, for example, Brazil and Mexico and either Argentina or South Korea could not pay its debts this year, then more than 100 per cent of the capital and reserves of each of the nine largest U.S. banks would be erased (effectively, the banks would 'bust' pending action by the Federal Reserve Board). In total, the debt owed by non-oil less-developed countries to the nine largest American banks equals 204 per cent of their capital and reserves (LeMD 3/82). The concern of analysts such as the The Economist (20/3), however, is that "although their international lending may have grown too fast in the past, the danger now is that it will grow too slowly in the future" and precipitale the cash shortfall and subsequent loan delinquency which bankers seek to avert.

The nations are listed below, with their gross debt owed to BIS banks in 1981 in billions of U.S. dollars in brackels: Mexico (46.6), Brazil (46.4), Venezuela (24.5), Argentina (23.0), Poland (14.7), Philippines (9.9), Chile (8.8), Rumania (5.4), Turkey (4.0) and Peru (3.9).

Among banks, the international branches of American banks have traditionally taken the lead in financing the debt of Third World nations. The growth of this lending activity was reflected in international versus domestic loans as a source of profits. For the seven largest American banks ${ }^{4}$, the share of profits attributable to domestic loans fell from 78.5 per cent to 42.4 per cent between 1970 and 1976. The growth of international lending activity has been concentrated in the large banks, as three-quarters of the debt of less-developed countries held by American banks is owed to the 24 largest banks in the U.S. These debts represented about 10 per cent of total assets in 1980, or about 180 per cent of their total equity and retained earnings.
The heightened risk associated with loans 10 less-developed countries has contributed to a preference recently to loan in domestic markets in the industrialized nations. This has been matched by a surge in loan demand to finance merger activity. For example, the value of take-overs in the United States rose from $\$ 44$ billion in 1980 to $\$ 83$ billion in 1981. This represents over one-hall of the $\$ 160$ billion in the value of mergers of firms world-wide in 1981. The growth of take-overs has compounded the problem of reducing domestic interest rates (by boosting money demand at a time of monetary restraint), while boosting financing charges for Third World nations (LeMD 3/82, Ecst 10/4).

The increased preoccupation of financial institutions with the risks of lending when so many nations and domestic firms are experiencing liquidity problems was evident in their first quarter financial statements. All the major banks in the United States, Canada, and West Germany said that they were making increased provisions for loan losses. Many reported a drop in total assets in the last year, which used to be a rarity for major banks, reflecting an increased concern with the quality rather than the quantity of loans. Bank of America, for example, reported its assets fell $\$ 2.9$ billion to $\$ 118.3$ billion in the past year, while Citicorp cut its assets by $\$ 1.9$ billion to $\$ 117.3$ billion (Bank of America and Citicorp are the two biggest banks in the United States). The Dresdner Bank in West Germany reported another 16 per cent drop in net income in 1981, largely due to increased provision for loan losses which are netted against other assets (for example, the bank has put 20 per cent of its $\$ 163$ million in unsecured loans to Poland in reserve), while the Commerzbank reported a small loss for last year (FT 3-8-16-21/4).
"Bank of America, Citicorp. Chase Manhattan, Manufacturer's Hanover. J.P. Morgan, Banker's Trust of New York, and Chemical Bank.

The increased caution in loan activities exercised by American banks did not prevent Moody's Investor Services from down-grading the long-term debt of nine large banks. Reduced to double A were Bank of America, Chase Manhattan, Chemical, Continental Illinois, Manufacturers Hanover, Mellon National, Northwest Bancorp, National City, and First Bank System. Moody's cited over-generous use of short-term debt and declining credit-worthiness of bank customers in its decision. The mass demotion follows a thorough review of the U.S. banking industry by Moody's. This showed that while most U.S. banks are still immensely strong and well-managed', they have been forced to pile an ever-heavier load of debt onto their equity base (bank equity relative to assets has declined from 8.1 per cent to 5.8 per cent since 1960) (Ecst 27/3, FT 23/3).

The financial position of Canadian banks bears some similarities to their American counterparts. Profits in the past year were down for three of the five major chartered banks, partly because loss provisions for loans were up an average of about 50 per cent, while the National Bank reported a loss of $\$ 28$ million. The only cautionary note from the Inspector General of Banks in Canada, however, was a suggestion that banks limit the size of loans made to individual companies. The Dominion Bond Rating Service in Canada generally agreed. The firm said that seventeen non-financial corporations have had their debt-rating reduced since 1980 because of their growing debt at a time of receding cash flow. The DBRS said that the willingness of the large banks in Canada to lend large amounts to individual corporate clients was indicative of a weakness in the Canadian banking system. The problem of unsecured debt to overseas nations was less acute for Canadian banks, who hold only $\$ 400$ million of the foreign debt for Poland and $\$ 1.5$ billion for Argentina (Ecst 3/4, FP 24/4, GM 15-26/4).

## Legend

BW - Business Week
CP - Canadian Press
Ecst - The Economist
FP — Financial Post
FT - U.K. Financial Times
GM - Globe and Mail
LaP - La Presse
LeD - Le Devoir
LeMD - Le Monde Diplomatique
LFT - London Financial Times
NYJC - New York Journal of Commerce

# Special Study: Statistics Canada's Leading Indicator System 

Darryl Rhoades

## I Introduction

There are currently several leading indicator systems in Canada that enjoy varying degrees of public circulation. Another entrant to the field must be based on the belief that the leading indicator approach is useful for assessing the short term outlook and that the newcomer represents in some way an advance over the currently existing systems.
In Sections II and III of this paper we present the rationale for a leading indicator system and for selection of the individual indicators that comprise this system. We feel that these sections speak for themselves in demonstrating the usefulness of the leading indicator approach to assessing the economic outlook.
This new contribution by Statistics Canada adds to the field in two major ways. First, the rationale underlying the system is articulated. This is important since it establishes a set of principles that can guide the future evolution of the system. Also, understanding the rationale underlying the system enables users to better analyze and interpret movements in the leading index and its components. In the United States Hymans [5] and Zarnowitz-Boschan [10] have provided general statements outlining reasonable principles for developing a system of leading indicators. In Section II we review these principles and extend them with the consequence that the implied indicator system will be both comprehensive and analytically useful. It should be emphasized here that although we regard the current version of Statistics Canada's system as a useful addition to the field, the system can be improved by developing it further in directions suggested by the extended Hymans-ZarnowitzBoschan principles. We sketch such directions for future development in closing Section III below.
A second point of departure from existing systems concerns the false signal syndrome. Statistics Canada's leading indicators are smoothed with powerful autoregressive-moving-average filters that minimize the timeliness sacrificed for a given degree of smoothing, so that the leading index exhibits a minimum of false signals while maintaining as much lead time as possible. See Rhoades [6].
The purpose of Sections II and III of this paper has already been outlined. In Appendix I we document the details of assembling the overall leading index.
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## II Objectives and Rationale

The purpose of a leading indicator system is to foreshadow and to help analyze impending changes in the direction of aggregate economic activity. The components of such a system are a set of leading indicators and a summary measure of their behaviour, the composite leading index. To be useful, the composite leading index should perform better than any one of the component indicators, and these latter should contain enough information to help analyze and characterize the anticipated cyclical change.
Hymans [5] has articulated reasonable general principles on which an indicator system can be based and which are worth reproducing here:

1. A turn in overall business activity is generally preceded by a turning point in many of a group of series that can be identified.
2. Many of the leading series will therefore signal any impending turn in overall activity.
3. Which of the series signals earliest and most strongly depends, however, on the real cause of the impending turn and the exact process through which it operates to induce the turn.
4. It is therefore necessary to provide a mechanism that gathers many potentially duplicative signals of the same impending event - that is, to provide for the many possible causes of a turning point, to judge the quantitative importance of events by "counting up" the number of potential indicators giving the same signal, and thereby to reduce the likelihood of the index being overly affected by a false signal.
5. This implies not the fitting of a regression plane, but the averaging of a broadly based group of conforming series with positive weights somehow representative of their individual reliability in signaling turning points.
Zarnowitz and Boschan [10] have given Hymans' statements official blessing by embracing, in the latest review of the Commerce Department's leading indicator system, his interpretation of the principles implicitly underlying that system:
"The reasons why a group of indicators should be more reliable over time than any of its individual members or subsets have to do with the nature and causes of business cycles. It has long been observed that each cycle has its unique characteristics as well as aspects which it shares with other cycles. There is no single proven and accepted cause of cyclical fluctuations nor a single invariable chain of symptoms. In other words,
no set of simple, stable functions has yet been identified that would adequately explain or predict all the major fluctuations of the U.S. and other modern economies. ${ }^{20}$ Instead, we have a variety of plausible mutually exclusive hypotheses and a number of frequently observed regularities, which, though they might be expected to persist, are certainly not immutable. Thus, how the individual indicators would perform in a particular episode is likely to depend on which presumptive causes of a cyclical reversal are then in operation and how (through which process) they work. Some leading indicators, then, would prove most useful in one set of conditions, others in a different set. To increase the chances of getting true signals and reduce those of getting false ones, it is advisable to rely on all such potentially useful leading indicators as a group. ${ }^{21}$
${ }^{21}$ For a similar interpretation of the composite index of leading indicators, see Saul H. Hymans, "On the Use of Leading Indicators to Predict Cyclical Turning Points", Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1973, Vol. 2, pp. 347-348."

Both these statements are all right as far as they go. However, Hymans orientation is towards the construction of a composite leading index given the leading components. Consequently he doesn't seem to be much concerned with principles of selecting the leading series or with using the leading indicators as a group to interpret and characterize the nature of an impending cyclical change. Also, both statements refer to the plethora of possible causes of business cycles and indicate that as many leading series as possible are needed in order to cover all eventualities. In view of our incomplete knowledge of the causes of business cycles, an indicator system whose guiding principle in selecting component indicators is to include all series that have an association with some presumed cause of the business cycle, and that also exhibit leading behaviour, should give us pause. First, this would require including, perhaps unnecessarily, very short leaders and gratuitously reducing the lead time of the overall leading index. And second, there is the possibility that a cycle generating mechanism would be overlooked. Zarnowitz-Boschan are somewhat reassuring when they state that:
> "An important requirement of the composite index is diversified economic coverage. The component series should be drawn from all economic process groups that fit well into the given timing pattern".

Yet, it is not clear exactly what they mean by economic coverage. We believe that a slight reorientation and crystallization of the concept of economic coverage will pay some dividends in the way we think about the U.S. system, and will yield significant practical results in further developing a Canadian system.

It is likely that many of the plausible causes of business cycles operate simultaneously during any cyclical episode, and that the operative set of causes varies in content and intensity from episode to episode. However, the mechanism through which these various forces work themselves out is invariably by influencing the levels of aggregate expenditure, income and output, and their components. This observation suggests that the coverage by a set of leading indicators should be judged in terms of their correspondence with the components of aggregate expenditure, income and output. Furthermore, since each of these three is an exhaustive ${ }^{2}$ facet of the same underlying aggregate economic activity, complete coverage by a set of leading indicators could be defined as a one-to-one correspondence between the leading indicators and the components of any one of them. Complete coverage with respect to one facet, say expenditure, combined with partial (or complete) coverage with respect to the others, could be termed complete coverage with back-up. Like the fortress at Québec ${ }^{3}$, strategically located at the narrowest point of the river, a leading indicator system that uses this concept of coverage is strategically oriented at the confluence of the manifestations of business cycles, whatever their causes. It should be clear that "coverage", as used here and by Hymans and ZarnowitzBoschan, is not used in the statistical sense, but rather refers to accounting for all possible causes of business cycles in the selection of leading indicators. If one has "complete coverage" then although the leading series may vary from cycle to cycle (due to the variety and mixture of the presumptive causes of the cycle) the set of leading indicators as a group will always contain many series leading at any specific episode. Therefore, a composite leading index which summarizes the behaviour of the individual leading indicators should be a more reliable leading indicator than any one of its components, and in fact should never fail to signal an impending cyclical change.

[^4]A corollary is that failure of individual leading series to signal an impending cyclical change should not be regarded as failure of the system. However, if the leading index fails to signal everl at one episode the system is put in grave doubt.

Also, if the leading indicators are related to the components of aggregate demand, income and output, one can interpret and characterize the anticipated cyclical change in terms of its effect on these components.
Finally, it is worth emphasizing that combining component indicators into an index not only reduces the possibility of failing to signal when required, but also, the partial cancellation of independent errors in the component indicators reduces the probability of the leading index signalling a change of direction that fails to occur.

## III The Leading Indicators

We have seen that, although the causes of business cycles are not completely understood, the mechanisms through which they operate channel their effects through a limited number of observable variables measuring aggregate expenditure, income, and output.
We have suggested that a set of leading indicators should be related to one or all of these measures of aggregate economic activity, and that they should cover at least one of them as comprehensively as possible. We have selected ten such leading indicators for the Canadian economy, and in this section we discuss the reasons for their leading behaviour, their relation to and coverage of the various measures of aggregate economic activity, and their quantitative behaviour in terms of lead time and false signals. ${ }^{4}$ We close the section by comparing the selection of leading indicators in each of the four existing Canadian indicator systems and by suggesting directions of future development.

## A) Reasons for Leading Behaviour:

i) Average Work Week - Manufacturing:

For a variety of reasons the average workweek adjusts to cyclical changes prior to employment in manufacturing. Overtime hours worked in the later stages of expansion are of course the first to be cut back. Later, when even less labour is required, many firms preler to institute short hours rather than to lose trained employees. Conversely, the first increased labour requirements at the upturn are met by returning to regular hours rather than by new hiring. It is puzzling however that as hours fall, employment (and output) continues to rise rather than falling also as one would expect. Bry [1] has

[^5] measures.
explained this apparent conflict by showing that the decision about hours is made by foremen who react quickly and flexibly to the current situation, whereas employment policy is made centrally and reacts more slowly to changing requirements.
ii) Residential Construction Index:

This is a composite series made up of housing starts, building permits and mortgage loan approvals. All of these series represent early stages in the process leading to construction and consequently they lead expenditures on labour and materials in the residential construction sector. Conversely these series will respond to a variety of factors such as mortgage rates, construction costs, unsold housing inventories, etc.
iii) United States Composite Leading Index:

The U.S. leading index leads the U.S. economy and consequently also anticipates U.S. demand for our exports.
iv) New Orders, Durable Products Industries: The durable products industry manufactures mainly in response to a received order, in contrast to the non-durables sector that sells out of stocks on hand and adjusts output to the level of stocks. Consequently new orders for durable products logically must lead sales and production of durables. Also, the output of the durables industry is composed of consumer durable goods, machinery and equipment, and materials required for construction. Therefore, new orders for durables can be regarded as an advance indicator of consumption and of investment ir machinery and equipment and in construction.

## v) Ratio: Shipments to Finished Goods Inventories Manufacturing: <br> The ratio of shipments to finished goods inventories can be looked at in two ways. First, it is an extremely sensitive indicator of cyclical variations in shipments. When the growth rate of shipments slows down finished goods inventories begin accumulating faster than previously and consequently the shipment to inventory ratio will fall while shipments are merely slowing down. Second, the shipment inventory ratio is an indicator of the future requirement for inventories. An excess of inventories, signalled by a low shipment inventory ratio, means a weak future demand for inventories.

vi) Percentage Change - Ratio of Price to Unit Labour Costs - Manufacturing:

The National Bureau of Economic Research incorporated the ratio of price to unit labour costs (P/ULC) in manufacturing into their short list of leading indicators in the 1966 review of the indicators. They regarded this variable as a proxy for
profit margins and ascribed its leading characteristics to the encroachment of unit costs on prices as one of the main factors limiting the boom and, correspondingly, the improvement in price-cost ratios and profit margins as one of the main factors limiting the contraction and stimulating the revival. In the next review in 1975 they dropped this variable because it had performed poorly in the inflationary period of the early seventies. This was due to the fact that during this period the cyclical variations in prices and unit labour costs were obscured by larger variations caused by the generalized inflation that occurred during that period.

We have retained the ratio of price to unit labour cost in its percentage change form rather than its level form because in an inflationary environment the initial effects of a fall in demand imply a reduction in the growth rate of P/ULC rather than a fall in its level.

Alternatively, one can regard the percentage change of P/ULC as price inflation corrected for cost pressures, that is, as demand induced inflation (or deflation). The leading behaviour from this point of view can be attributed to the fact that a large portion of manufacturing prices are order prices and, since new orders are a leading indicator, so too will be their manifestation in price changes.
vii) Real Money Supply (M1):

Of course there has been a great deal of debate concerning the role played by the money supply in influencing the macro-economy. While both Keynesians and Monetarists agree that money is an important factor determining aggregate economic activity, they differ in their perceptions of the precise mechanisms with which monetary policy operates. (Teigen [9], Smith [7]). However, despite those differences both positions are consistent with the money supply leading changes in GNP. The monetarist position would assign money as the dominant causal factor in determining GNP while the Keynesian position, although assigning an important causal role to money, would also regard other variables such as fiscal policy as important influences on GNP.

The specific form of the money supply variable that should be used as a leading indicator is open to debate. In their 1963 study Friedman and Schwartz [4] examined the growth rate in M1 plus commercial bank time deposits in arguing for a causal link running from money to nominal income. We depart from their choice partly because we have different objectives and partly because we disagree with their methodology.

Our objective is to foreshadow changes in real income and one is therefore naturally led to use a real money supply variable. Also related to differing objectives, we believe M1 to be a more sensitive leading indicator than money supply definitions that include interest bearing assets. This can be seen, for example, by noting that in a contractionary monetary environment the weakening signal given by M1 is diluted in more comprehensive monetary aggregates by the increased attractiveness of higher interest paid on the interest bearing portion of the money supply. These conjectures can be empirically confirmed by noting that real M1 has considerably more cyclical volatility than real M2 or M3.
Methodologically we disagree with the use by Friedman and Schwartz of the percentage change of the money supply. As pointed out by discussants of their papers, the percentage change version of a series leads the level version for mechanical reasons. Therefore a lead of the percentage change of the money supply (real or nominal) over GNP (real or nominal) is not necessarily indicative of a causal link running from money to GNP. Accordingly we use the level form of real M1 which leads real GNP and is more suggestive of the causal link to which both Keynesians and Monetarists now subscribe.
viii) Retail Trade - Furniture and Appliances and New Motor Vehicle Sales:
Purchases of durable consumer goods such as motor vehicles and furniture and appliances are typically easier to postpone or to accelerate in response to changing economic conditions than are purchases of non-durables and services. Therefore consumer durable expenditures will change direction in advance of overall consumer expenditure. Also in contrast to non-durables and services, consumer durable purchases are made to a much greater degree by use of credit and therefore, to the extent that changing credit conditions lead the business cycle, so too will consumer durable purchases.
ix) Index of Stock Prices - TSE300:

For the first time in post-war history Canadian stock prices failed to signal an impending recession when they continued to climb throughout 1979 and 1980. According to principles articulated earlier failure of this indicator does not invalidate the leading indicator approach, nor for that matter does it rule out continued use of an index of stock prices. In future recessions the slock price index may return to normal form, as indeed it did in 1981. Alternatively, study of the abnormal behaviour of this indicator in the 1980 recession may lead to its reformulation and generalization so that it performs well in that and in past recessions, and hopefully also in the future.

It might be argued that the exceptional performance of oil and gas stocks and the high inflation rates in recent years are responsible for the abnormal performance of the Canadian stock market. However, exclusion of the oil and gas stocks from the TSE300 and deflation ${ }^{5}$ of the remainder still generates a series that fails to signal the 1980 recession.
Smith [8] has pointed out that the impact of inflation on stock prices may be compounded by portfolio adjustments that reduce bond holdings and increase demand for stocks, as inflationary expectations become manifested in higher bond yields and lower bond prices. This observation suggests it might be useful to investigate a combined index of stock and bond prices.
In any event the cyclical behaviour of the stock market index is an important indicator of investors' expectations of future profits, and until it can be shown conclusively that it no longer penforms this function, or until a more appropriate index can be constructed, it should be retained as one of the components of the leading index.

## B) Quantitative Assessment of the Leading Indicators:

In this section we evaluate the behaviour of the leading indicators in terms of average lead time in foreshadowing cyclical changes and in terms of the number of false signals emitted. A few preliminary comments are required.
First, we are going to evaluate the behaviour of the indicators in both their filtered and non-filtered forms. The purpose of filtering is to reduce irregular movements in the data so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the business cycle. Unfortunately all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in warning of cyclical changes. We have attempted to minimize this loss of timeliness by filtering the leading indicators with a minimum phase shift filter. ${ }^{6}$
Second, in order to count false signals it is necessary first to define what is meant by the term. In this paper a series is said to emit a false signal if it signals "recession (recovery)

It is difficult to determine the appropriate deflator for the stock market. In order to get a rough idea of the effect of inflation on the stock market we deflated with the overall CPI.
The filter is an autoregressive moving average

$$
y_{1}=a_{0} x_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{2} b_{k} \quad y_{1-k v}
$$

where $x_{t}$ is the original series and $y_{t}$ is the filtered data. We have used filter B from Rhoades (1980) whose coefficients are $\mathrm{a}_{0}=.134$, $b_{1}=1.451, b_{2}=-.586$.
coming" during any of its own expansionary (contractionary) phases. ${ }^{7}$ The signal "recession (recovery) coming" is defined to be any one month downward (upward) movement in a leading indicator. It may be objected that a one month movement is too severe a criterion to use in defining a false signal, and that in any event people use other rules (e.g. two or three consecutive downward movements) in interpreting movements in monthly data. The position taken in this paper is that a rule for interpreting movements in monthly data is really a filter, and that mathematical filters are more poweriul than rule-type filters. Therefore, any definition of the signal "recession (recovery) coming" other than one that entails no more loss of lead time in recognizing a recession (recovery) when one does occur than the simple rule defined above, would constitute application of a weaker filter than is available, and therefore would unnecessarily sacrifice lead time.

Finally, it should be noted that the lead time of a leading indicator is defined as the number of months between the turning point of the leading indicator and the associated turning point of aggregate economic activity. For turning points of aggregate economic activity we have used the study published by Cross $|3|$ which identified the following periods of expansion and contraction:

Expansion
Jan. 1952 to May 1953
July 1954 to Jan. 1957
Feb. 1958 to Mar. 1960
Feb. 1961 to May 1974
Apr. 1975 to Oct. 1979
July 1980 to June 1981

Contraction
June 1953 to June 1954
Feb. 1957 to Jan. 1958
Apr. 1960 to Jan. 1961
June 1974 to Mar. 1975
Nov. 1979 to June 1980

This study did not identify 1967 and 1970 as recessions, although they are recognized as periods of economic slowdown and the leading indicators warned of them. Both episodes contain short periods during which Gross Domestic Product exhibited an absolute decline and we have used these dates in our analysis. The dates used are January 1967 (peak) to March 1967 (trough), and February 1970 (peak) to June 1970 (trough) respectively. Also, for the purposes of this study, we take July 1981 as the first month of contraction in the 1981 recession.

[^6]Table 1
Evaluation of the Leading Indicators in Terms of Lead Time
Mean Lead and Standard Deviation in Months
(Over the Period January 1952 to January 1982)
MEAN LEAD AND STANDARD DEVIATION IN MONTHS

| Series Title | Peaks |  |  |  |  | Troughs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Filtered |  | Filtered |  |  | Not Filtered |  | Filtered |  |  |
|  | Mean Lead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { Lead } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Lead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { Lead } \end{aligned}$ | Lead Time Lost | Mean Lead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { Lead } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Lead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & \text { Lead } \end{aligned}$ | Lead Time Lost |
| Composite Leading Index | 6.3 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | (1.3) | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Retail Trade, Furniture and Appliances | 4.0 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 3.9 | . 9 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| New Motor Vehicle Sales | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 5.4 | . 6 | 5.6 | 1.7 |
| Residential Construction Index | 13.9 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 2.1 |
| New Orders - Durable Products Industries | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 5.5 | . 1 | 5.1 | 2.3 |
| United States Composite Leading Index | 9.5 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | (1.0) | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| Shipment to Inventory Ratio (Finished Goods), Manufacturing | 7.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 2.6 | (1.6) | 2.0 | (4.4) | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Average Workweek, Manufacturing | 10.3 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.1 | (.6) | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| Percentage Change in Price Per | 9.0 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 2.9 | (1.9) | 3.3 | (4.0) | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| Unit Labour Costs, Manufacturing TSE300 Stock Price Index (Excluding Oil \& Gas) | 8.4 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 2.7 | . 7 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Money Supply (M1) (\$1971) | 8.4 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| Average of Rows 2-11 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | (.4) | 3.6 | 2.5 |

S.D. Lead - Standard Deviation of Lead
(X) - Indicates a lag of $x$ months.

Table 2
Evaluation of the Leading Indicators in Terms of False Signals
(Over the Period January 1952 to January 1982)

|  | Not Filtered |  | Filtered |  | Reduction in |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series Title | Number False Signals | Error Rate | Number False Signals | Error Rate | Number False Signals | Error Rate |
| Composite Leading Index | 64 | 17.8 | 10 | 2.8 | 54 | 15.0 |
| Retail Trade, Furniture and Appliances | 139 | 38.6 | 70 | 19.4 | 69 | 19.2 |
| New Motor Vehicle Sales | 148 | 41.1 | 70 | 19.4 | 78 | 21.7 |
| Residential Construction Index | 130 | 36.1 | 67 | 18.6 | 63 | 17.5 |
| New Orders - Durable Products Industries | 111 | 30.8 | 64 | 17.8 | 47 | 13.1 |
| United States Composite Leading Index | 54 | 15.0 | 11 | 3.1 | 43 | 11.9 |
| Shipment to Inventory Ratio(Finished Goods), Manufacturing | 116 | 32.2 | 48 | 13.3 | 68 | 18.9 16.7 |
| Average Workweek, Manufacturing | 113 | 31.4 | 53 | 14.7 | 60 | 16.7 |
| Percentage Change in Price Per | 87 | 24.2 | 54 | 15.0 | 33 | 9.2 |
| Unit Labour Costs, Manufacturing TSE300 Stock Price Index (Excluding Oil \& Gas) | 115 | 31.9 | 67 | 18.6 | 48 | 13.3 |
| Money Supply (M1) (\$1971) | 102 | 28.3 | 39 | 10.8 | 63 | 17.5 |
| Average of Rows 2-11 | 111.5 | 31.0 | 54.3 | 15.1 | 57.2 | 15.9 |

Having disposed of these preliminary comments we can now turn our attention to Tables 1 and 2 which indicate that over the period January 1952 to January 1982 the non-filtered version of the leading index exhibited an average lead of 6.3 months at business cycle peaks, 1.9 months at troughs, and emitted a total of 64 false signals. If we define the error rate as the number of false signals divided by the total number of signals (that is by the 360 monthly movements in the span January 1952 to January 1982) we see that the non-filtered index had an error rate of 17.8 per cent. On the other hand the filtered index exhibited 10 false signals for an error rate of only 2.8 per cent. Of course a price was paid to reduce the error rate by 15.0 percentage points but we attempted to minimize this price. The filtered leading index has an average lead of 4.5 months at business cycle peaks (a loss of 1.8 months relative to the non-filtered index), and a 1.3 month lag at troughs (a loss of 3.2 months). The effect of filtering on the lead time of the component indicators is quite similar. The average lead time lost, across all components, is 2.0 months at peaks and 2.5 months at troughs. In terms of false signals, the average error rate across all 10 component indicators is 31.0 per cent for non-filtered data and 15.1 per cent for the filtered series. It is interesting to note that, as alluded to in Section II, the operation of aggregating the components to form the composite leading index reduces the error rate still further, independently of the filtering operation. In the case of non-filtered data the error rate drops from an average of 31.0 per cent across the components, to 17.8 per cent for the leading index. The effect of aggregation on the filtered error rate is even more dramatic, dropping from an average of 15.1 per cent for the components to just 2.8 per cent for the leading index.
C) Correspondence of the Leading Indicators with Income, Expenditure and Output Components:

At this point, in view of the previously expressed principle of obtaining comprehensive coverage of at least one of aggregate income, expenditure, and output, we review the correspondence of the leading indicators with components of these measures of aggregate economic activity.
A few preliminary remarks are in order. First, it appears that most available leading indicators are those that are associated with aggregate expenditure and its components. Accordingly, in what follows we should look most closely at the extent to which the leading indicators completely "cover" or are associated with the components of expenditure. The leaders associated with income and output can be regarded as back-up for the "core" expenditure-related indicators, as well as providing greater analytical and interpretive potential to the system.

Second, it is not always easy to determine the link between leading indicators and components of expenditure, income and output. In a previous section we sketched the rationale for the leading behaviour of each of the indicators and we hope that these rationales will explain the associations we are about to make below.

Finally, it should be pointed out that components of income, expenditure and output may have more than one leader associated with them and that, conversely, a leader may be associated with more than one of those components. In Table 3 below such multi-faceted correspondences are indicated by appropriate duplication of the table entries.

A brief glance at Table 3 indicates that most of the major expenditure components have associated leading indicators. The important exceptions are government current expenditures and imports. Government capital formation, like business investment, is partially related to and anticipated by new orders in durable manufacturing.
While it might be of some interest to have a leading indicator for government current expenditures, the lack of such a leader does not constitute a major defect. Also, since imports respond to aggregate income, the logical leading indicator for imports is the already defined leading index.

Table 3
Correspondence Between Leading Indicators and Income, Output and Expenditure Components

[^7]We close this section by comparing the coverage attained by the four existing Canadian leading indic ator systems. Since all four systems seem to come closest to achieving complete coverage with respect to expenditure, we will restrict our discussion to this sector. Also, since the usefulness of such a comparison lies as much in what it suggests for future development of existing systems as in evaluating their current relative merits, the discussion is structured by expenditure component rather than by leading indicator system.

## i) Consumption:

TRENDICATOR has no leading indicator that can be specifically associated with consumption. ${ }^{8}$ The Bank of Commerce uses constant dollar new orders in manufacturing, which contains some orders coming directly from the consumer sector, but these are not segregated from orders received from non-consumer sectors. A similar comment applies to Statistics Canada's use of constant dollar new orders for durable goods. Statistics Canada also uses new motor vehicle sales and furniture and appliance sales which can be directly related to consumption, but which also exhibit relatively short leads. Singer uses the percentage changes in consumer credit outstanding, although it appears that the leading behaviour of this series is due only to the mechanical effects of the percentage change operator since Singer also uses the levels of consumer instalment credit as a lagging indicator.

Future work in this sector should investigate splitting out the consumer portion of new orders; developing a theoretically more appealing form of the consumer credit variable; and evaluating other potential leading indicators such as consumer attitudes, etc.
ii) Residential Construction:

All four systems have reasonably good coverage in this area.

## iii) Machinery and Equipment:

TRENDICATOR has no leading series directly related to this sector. To the extent that new orders in manufacturing capture machinery and equipment expenditures this expenditure sector is covered by the Singer. Commerce, and Statistics Canada systems. In all cases, however, orders related to machinery and equipment need to be segregated from the overall orders series.

[^8]
## iv) Non-Residential Construction:

The Bank of Commerce and Singer use deflated nonresidential building permits as a leading indicator of non-residential construction expenditures. Statistics Canada's new orders for durable goods captures some of the expenditures on non-residential construction but again there is the need to segregate the appropriate portion of the total orders series. TRENDICATOR uses deflated value of total building permits and would also benefit by isolating the non-residential portion from the total.

Future development of a leading indicator for this sector should focus on combining the information contained by appropriately deflated non-residential building permits and by the portion of the new orders series that represents input to the non-residential construction sector.
v) Inventories:

Neither TRENDICATOR nor the Bank of Commerce have a series related to the inventory component of expenditure. Statistics Canada uses the ratio of shipments to finished goods inventories in the manufacturing sector, while Singer uses the quarterly change in business non-farm inventories. Future work might explore monthly inventory-related leading indicators in non-manufacturing sectors.

## vi) Exports:

TRENDICATOR has no leading indicator of exports whereas both Statistics Canada and the Bank of Commerce use the United States leading index. An index using only Canadian data could be constructed by replacing the U.S. leading index by new orders received by export-oriented industries. This would also have the advantage of capturing export expenditures made by countries other than the United States. An argument could be made that Singer's Index of U.S. Spot Market Prices reflects U.S. demand for Canadian commodities. Entering the 1980 recession however, the U.S. Leading Index peaked in October 1978 whereas the Singer Commodity Price Index peaked in February 1980.

## Appendix I

## Method of Constructing and Updating Composite Index

## A. Constructing Composite Index

The first step in constructing the composite leading index is to take month-to-month percent changes for each component series. To ensure symmetrical ${ }^{9}$ treatment of positive and negative changes, the percent changes are computed using the formula, $200\left(y_{t}-y_{t-1}\right) /\left(y_{t}+y_{t-1}\right)$. For those series which can contain zero or negative values, and for series which are already in percentage or ratio form, simple month-to-month differences rather than percent changes are computed.

To prevent the more volatile component series from dominating the index, the percent changes (or differences) for each component are standardized to make the average value equal to zero and standard deviation one. This also makes it possible to combine series with different units of measurement.
The next step is to combine the adjusted series to produce an equally weighted average. The resulting average is then multiplied by a constant (3.47019) equal to the average standard deviation of the components. This has the effect of replacing some of the cyclical amplitude which was removed by the standardization procedure. The average standardized series with the average standard deviation included is then subjected to a "reverse trend adjustment" to ensure that the index has the same trend as a coincident indicator. That is, the average monthly percentage change (.36) of a coincident indicator is added and the series $\left(y_{t}\right)$ transformed to levels ( $x_{t}$ ) by reversing the symmetric percentage change operation using the formula: $x_{1}=x_{1-1}\left(200+y_{j}\right) /\left(200-y_{0}\right)$. The index is then converted to the desired base (1971 is currently used as the base year) by dividing each term by the average value of the index in the base year and multiplying by 100 .
The leading index, and its components, are then filtered with minimum phase shift filters (Rhoades [6]) so as to minimize false signals and maximize lead time.

[^9]
## B. Updating and Revising the Composite Leading Index

 The composite leading index is updated and revisions made each month. Calculations of new standardization factors each month produce new standard deviations and means for the component indicators. Using these new factors in the construction of the leading index would result in revisions to the index extending back beyond the most recent data. To prevent this, standardization factors are calculated only on a periodic basis. The period presently being used is January 1952 to December 1979.
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## Glossary

| Diffusion index | a diffusion index is a measure, taken <br> across a group of time series, that <br> indicates the uniformity of movement <br> exhibited by the group. More pre- <br> cisely, for any given period the <br> diffusion index is equal to the per- <br> centage of series in the group that <br> are expanding during that period. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | The diffusion index thus indicates |
| the dispersion or diffuseness of a |  |
| given change in the aggregate. |  |
| Since business cycle changes gen- |  |
| erally affect many economy proces- |  |
| ses diffusion indexes are useful in |  |
| determining whether a change is |  |
| due to cyclical forces. |  |

## Final demand

Final domestic demand

## Inventories

By stage of
processing

## Labour market <br> Additional worker effect

selves with roughly the same frequency. In the context used here we refer to removing the high frequency, or irregular movements, so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the trend-cycle. Unfortunately all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in signalling cyclical changes. We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by filtering with minimum phase shift filters.
final domestic demand plus exports. it can also be computed as GNP excluding inventory changes.
the sum of personal expenditure on goods and services, government current expenditure, and gross fixed capital formation by Canadians. Final domestic demand can also be viewed as GNP plus imports less exports and the change in inventories; that is, it is a measure of final demand by Canadians irrespective of whether the demand was met by domestic output, imports or a change in inventories.
within a given industry inventories may be classified depending on whether processing of the goods, from that industry's point of view, is complete, is still underway, or has not yet begun. Inventories held at these various stages of processing are referred to as finished goods, goods in process, and raw materials respectively. Note that in this context the term raw materials does not necessarily refer to raw or primary commodities such as wheat, iron ore, etc. It simply refers to materials that are inputs to the industry in question.
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate rises, the main income earner in the family unit may

Discouraged worker effect

Employed


Employment, a monthly mail census of firms Payrolis and Manhours Survey

Employment rate

Labour force

Labour Force
Survey collecting payroll information on the last week or pay period in the reference month, including figures on average hours, earnings, and employment. employing 20 or more employees,
represents employment as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over.
persons in the labour force are those members of the population 15 years of age and over who, in the reference period were either employed or unemployed.
is a monthly household survey which measures the status of the members
become unemployed, inducing related members of the unit who were previously not participating in the labour force to seek employment. This is also referred to as the 'secondary worker effect'.
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate increases, some persons actively seeking employment may become 'discouraged' as their job search period is extended, and drop out of the labour force.
persons who, during the reference period for the Labour Force Survey: a) did any work at all, for pay or profit in the context of an employeremployee relationship, or were self-employed. It includes unpaid family work which is defined as work contributing directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned or operated by a related member of the household.
b) had a job but were not at work due to own illness or disability, personal or family responsibilities, bad weather, labour dispute or other reasons (excluding persons on layoff and those with a job to start at a future date). of the household with respect to the

Large firm employment


Participation rate

Unemployed
labour market, in the reference period. Inmates of institutions and full-time members of the Canadian Armed Forces are excluded because they are considered to exist outside the labour market.
includes all persons drawing pay for services rendered or for paid absence during the survey reference period and for whom an employer makes CPP or QPP and/or UIC contributions. The employee concept excludes owners of unincorporated businesses and professional practices, the self-employed, unpaid family workers, persons doing nonremunerative work, pensioners, home workers, members of elected or appointed bodies, military personnel and persons providing services to an establishment on a contract basis. It is based on data collected in the Employment, Payrolls and Manhours Survey.
a person who during the reference period did work for pay or profit. Paid workers do not include persons who did unpaid work which contributed directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned and operated by a related member of the household. represents the labour force as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over. The participation rate for a particular group is the percentage of that group participating in the labour force.
those who during the reference period:
a) were without work, and had actively looked for work in the past four weeks (ending with the reference week) and were available for work,
or
b) had not actively looked for work in the past four weeks but had been on

| Monetary base | the sum of notes in circulation, coins outside banks, and chartered bank deposits with the Bank of Canada. Also referred to as the high-powered money supply. | index | aggregate Laspeyres price index are fixed weights calculated for a base period. Thus changes in a price index of this type are strictly due to price movements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prices |  | Paasche price index | the weights used in calculating an aggregate Paasche price index are current period weights. Changes in a price index of this type reflect both changes in price and importance of the components. |
| Commodity prices | daily cash (spot) prices of individual commodities. Commodity prices generally refer to spot prices of crude materials. |  |  |
| Consumer prices | retail prices, inclusive of all sales, excise and other taxes applicable to individual commodities. In effect, the prices which would be paid by final purchasers in a store or outlet. The Consumer Price Index is designed to measure the change through time in the cost of a constant "basket" of goods and services, representing | Valuation Constant dollar | represents the value of expenditure or production measured in terms of some fixed base period's prices. (Changes in constant dollar expenditure or production can only be brought about by changes in the physical quantities of goods purchased or produced). |
|  | the purchases made by a particular population group in a specified time period. Because the basket contains a set of goods and services of unchanging or comparable quantity and quality changes in the cost of the basket are strictly due to price movements. | Current dollar | represents the value of expenditure or production measured at current price levels. A change in current dollar expenditure or production can be brought about by changes in the quantity of goods bought or produced or by changes in the level of prices of those goods. |
| Implicit prices | prices which are the by-product of a deflation process. They reflect not only changes in prices but also changes in the pattern of expenditure or production in the group to which they refer. | Nominal | represents the value of expenditure or production measured at current price levels. 'Nominal' value is synonymous with 'current dollar' value. |
| Industry prices | prices charged for new orders in manufacturing excluding discounts, allowances, rebates, sales and excise taxes, for the refererice period. The pricing point is the first stage of selling after production. The Industry | Real | 'real' value is synonymous with 'constant dollar' value. |
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GROSS NATIONAL EXPEMDITURE IN 1971 DOLLARS
PEREENTAGE GHAMGES OF SEASOMALIY ADNUSTED FIGURES

|  | EUSTNESS FIXED NVESTMENT |  |  |  |  | INVENTORY INVESTAENY |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | GROSSNATIONALEXPENDITUR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONAL EXPENDITURE | GOVERMMENT EXPEMD1TURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTIDN } \end{aligned}$ | NON RESIDENTIAL CONST- RUCTIDN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHI NERY } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \end{aligned}$ | BUSINESS MON-FARM (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FARM } \\ \text { AND G1CC } \\ (1)(2) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -6. 3 | 3.0 | -. 4 | -571 | -335 | E. 9 | 2.1 | 2. 1 |
| 1978 | 2.8 | 1.6 | -3.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | -45 | 218 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 3.7 |
| 1979 | 2.0 | . 5 | -7.3 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 1766 | - 125 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 3.0 |
| 1980 | 1.0 | $-.5$ | -10.6 | 12.4 | 5.6 | -2454 | -180 | 1.0 | -2.2 | . 0 |
| 1881 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 5,6 | 1154 | 380 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 1880 I | . 8 | -. 8 | 1 | 4.8 | 2 | -1248 | -20 | -1.8 | 1.1 | -. 9 |
| 11 | -. 5 | . 5 | - 12.9 | -1.5 | $-1.0$ | 328 | -548 | - 8 | -1.3 | -1.0 |
| III | 1.2 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | -3148 | 252 | 2.6 | $-2.5$ | . 2 |
| IV | . 8 | . 9 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1. 6 | 776 | 52 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| 18811 | B | 4 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2220 | 355 | -6.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| II | . 7 | 4 | 5. 1 | 6 | 2.3 | -152 | -148 | B. 2 | 5.3 | 1.4 |
| 111 | -. 9 | . 5 | $-11.5$ | 1. 6 | -5.4 | 1080 | 372 | -3.4 | $-1.0$ | -. 9 |
| IV | . 0 | . 5 | -10.8 | 4.9 | 2.4 | -2328 | -316 | . 0 | -4.7 | -. 5 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENTITJRE ACCOUNTS, CAFALOGUE 13-00T, STATTSTICS GANADA,
(1) DIFFERENGE FROH PRECEDING PERIOD. ANNUAI RATES.
(2) GICC - GRAJH IN COMMERCIAG CHANNELS.

MAY 12. 1982
TABLE 2

REAL OUTPUT BY INDUSTRY
1871:100
PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | GROSS DOMES TIC PRODUCT | GROSS DOMESTIC PRDDUCT EXELUOIMG AGRICUL- TURE | GOODS PRODUCING IMDUSTRIES | SERVIE producing INDUSTRIES | INOUSTRIAL PRODUCTION | DURABLE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES | NONDURABLE manufacTURING INDUSTRIES | MIMIMG INDUSTRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDM- } \\ & \text { MERCIAL } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | HON- <br> COM- <br> MERCIAL IMDUSTRIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| 1978 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.7 | -7.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| 1979 |  | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 5. 0 | 9.8 | 4.3 | . 3 |
| 1980 |  | . 4 | 3 | -1. 6 | 1.6 | $-2.0$ | -4.7 | -1.4 | 2.1 | . 3 | 8 |
| 1981 |  | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.2 | -5.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 1980 | MAR | . 9 | . 9 | 1.5 | E | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1. D | 8 | 2.7 |
|  | APR | -. 7 | -. 8 | -1.8 | -. 1 | -2.4 | -3.7 | -1.2 | . 5 | - 1.0 | 4 |
|  | MAY | -. 4 | -. 4 | -1. 6 | 2 | -1.5 | -2.9 | -1.5 | 1.9 | -. 6 | 2 |
|  | JUN | -. 4 | -. 3 | -. 9 | . 0 | -. 2 | -. 3 | . 0 | -. 5 | -. 4 | 2 |
|  | JUL | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | -. 4 | -. 1 | $-1.0$ | -2.9 | . 1 | . 2 |
|  | AUG | . 4 | . 5 | . 4 | 4 | 8 | 1.7 | -. 1 | 2.0 | . 4 | 2 |
|  | SEP | . 5 | . 6 | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 | -2.9 | . 6 | 2 |
|  | OCT | . 5 | . 5 | . 8 | . 5 | . 7 | 1.1 | . 4 | -1.1 | . 8 | 2 |
|  | NOV | . 6 | . 5 | . 2 | 7 | 4 | . 1 | -. 3 | 5.0 | . 5 | - |
|  | DEC | . 0 | . 1 | . 5 | -. 3 | 2 | 8 | . 7 | -4.3 | . 1 | 0 |
| 1981 | JAM | . 4 | . 2 | -. 1 | . 7 | $-1.5$ | $-2.6$ | - 2 | . 0 | . 5 | -. 2 |
|  | FEB | . 8 | . 7 | 1.8 | . 1 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | -. 3 |
|  | MAR | . 5 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.5 | 2. 6 | . 7 | -1.0 | . 5 | . 1 |
|  | APR | . 2 | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | . 0 | . 3 | $-.4$ | . 3 | . 3 | -. 3 |
|  | MAY | . 3 | 4 | 1. 0 | . 1 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 1.5 | -2.7 | . 3 | . 7 |
|  | JUN | . 5 | . 5 | 7 | . 3 | 9 | 2. 6 | . 0 | -2.4 | . 5 | . 1 |
|  | JUI | -1.1 | -1.2 | -1.9 | -. 5 | -2.3 | -3.0 | $-1.3$ | -8. 1 | -1.4 | . 9 |
|  | AUG | -. 6 | - . 6 | -1.7 | . 0 | -1.7 | -5.5 | -. 7 | 10.0 | -. 7 | -. 2 |
|  | SEP | -. 1 | -. 1 | $-1.2$ | . 5 | $-1.5$ | -3.1 | -. 4 | -2. 1 | -. 1 | . 0 |
|  | OCT | -. 4 | -. 5 | -. 9 | -. 3 | $-1.4$ | -2.7 | -. 8 | $-.3$ | -. 5 | . 5 |
|  | NOV | . 1 | . 1 | - 1.2 | . 8 | $-1.7$ | -2.0 | -2.1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 0 |
|  | DEC | $-.5$ | -. 5 | -1.3 | -. 1 | -. 8 | -. 6 | -1.4 | 1.2 | $-.5$ | -. 1 |
| 1982 | JRN | -1.3 | -1.3 | -1.4 | $-1.2$ | - 1.8 | -3.3 | -1.8 | . 1 | -1.6 | . 2 |
|  | FEB | . 1 | . 2 | -. 2 | . 4 | $-.3$ | . 1 | -. 6 | $-1.3$ | . 2 | .0 |

SOURCE: GROSS DOFESTIC PROWUCT BY TREUSTRY. CATMLDGUE NO. 61-005. STATIFTTCS EANADA.

|  |  | RETAIL SALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEPARTMEMT } \\ & \text { STORE } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | NEN <br> MOTOR <br> YEHJELE <br> SALES | MANUFACTURING SH!PMEMTS | OURADLE MANUFACTURING MEM ORDERS | MANUFAE- <br> TURING <br> INVENTORY <br> SHIPMENTS <br> 9AT10 (1) | GVERAGE MEEKLY MOURS IM MAMUFAL- TURING (1) | TDTAL HOUSIMG STARTS (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUILDIME } \\ & \text { PERMITS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TION } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { SH!PMENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 8.3 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 17.2 | 1.99 | 38.9 | 244.0 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| 1978 |  | 11.8 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 18.7 | 22.5 | 1.84 | 38.8 | 234.8 | 5.8 | 18.3 |
| 1979 |  | 12. | 10.8 | 18. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17.8 | 16.4 | 1.86 | 38.8 | 197.4 | 7.7 | 16.2 |
| 1880 |  | 8.7 | 9.5 | . 1 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 2.00 | 38.5 | 159.6 | 9.2 | 6.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.9 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 2.02 | 38.5 | 180.7 | 21.2 | 14.1 |
| 1880 | 11 | 4 | 2.4 | -11.1 | -2.5 | -11.5 | 2.08 | 38.4 | 143.0 | $-17.3$ | -4.3 |
|  | 111 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 14.2 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 2.03 | 38.3 | 158.3 | 16.4 | 3.9 |
|  | IV | 3.5 | 2.9 | . 6 | 6. 1 | 3.9 | 1.84 | 38.6 | 167.0 | 22.6 | 5.9 |
| 1981 | 1 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 8 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 1.97 | 38.7 | 191.3 | . 4 | 4.3 |
|  | II | 1.1 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 1.83 | 38.9 | 216.3 | 5.3 | 7.3 |
|  | 111 | 1.2 | -3. 5 | -6.3 | $-.3$ | -3.4 | 2.02 | 38.5 | 180.0 | -9.0 | - 8.1 |
|  | IV | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | -3.1 | -11.2 | 2.14 | 38.1 | 135.0 | 9.7 | -3.3 |
| 1982 | I |  |  | -18.0 |  |  |  |  | 180.0 | -18.3 |  |
| 1981 | 颠 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 1.92 | 38.8 | 229.0 | 10.3 | 1.2 |
|  | MAY | -2.1 | -3.7 | -12.1 | . 3 | -1.1 | 1.94 | 39.0 | 213.0 | $-12.3$ | 1.1 |
|  | UUN | 1.0 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.94 | 38.9 | 207.0 | 5.6 | . 4 |
|  | むUL | 1.8 | -6.8 | -6.1 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 1.93 | 38.8 | 189.0 | 5.7 | -. 8 |
|  | mug | -1.1 | . 5 | -. 1 | -3.9 | $-14.7$ | 2.04 | 38.4 | 176.0 | -16.2 | $-1.6$ |
|  | SEP | . 6 | $-2.3$ | B. 0 | -1.5 | 2.3 | 2.09 | 38.1 | 180.0 | -8.4 | -3. 3 |
|  | DCT | -1.3 | 2.8 | -23.5 | -. 6 | -5. 1 | 2.12 | 38.5 | 105.0 | -1. 6 | -3.5 |
|  | NOV | 4.0 | 1.7 | 54.8 | . 3 | -6.7 | 2. 13 | 38.0 | 121.0 | 32.2 | . 5 |
|  | DEE | -. 5 | $-.1$ | -20.2 | - 1.8 | 9.4 | 2.17 | 37.7 | 179.0 | 10.9 | . 5 |
| 1982 | dam | -2.4 | -9.4 | -21.8 | $-2.7$ | -10.2 | 2.24 | 37.9 | 165.0 | $-25.3$ | $-9.7$ |
|  | FE9 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 3.2 | 9.3 | 2.20 |  | $202.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11.2 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | 2.5 |
|  | M ${ }_{\text {M }}^{\text {A }}$ ( |  |  | -4.6 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 173.0 \\ & 142.0 \end{aligned}$ | 10.0 |  |

SDUREE: RETAIL TRADE, GATALOGUE B3-005, EMPLOYMENT, EARNINGS AND HOURS, CATALOGUE Y2-002, INVENTORIES, SHIPRENIS AND ORDERS TN MANUFACTURIMG INOUSTAIES, CATALOGUE $31-001$, NEN MOTOR VEHICLE SALES, CATALOLUE 63-00\%, GUILDING PERHITS, CATALDGUE SA-OOY, STATISTICS CANADA, CMMADIAN MOUSJMG STATISTICS, CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSJNG CORPORATION.
(1) MOT PERCENTAGE CHANGE
(2) THOUSANDS OF STARTS, AMMUAL RATES.

TABLE 4
2:12 PM

LaBOUR MARKET INOICATORS
SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | EMPLOTMEN |  |  | LABDUR FORCE | PARTIC]PATION RetE | EMPLOYMENT POPULATIOM RATIO <br> (3) | UNEMPLOYMENT RATE TOTAL | UNEMPLDY MENT RATE AGES 15-24 | UMEMPLOYMENT RATE MGES 25 amo OVER | UNEMPLDYMEMT IMSURAMCE <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOHAL EESTAB- LISHMEMT SURVEY (1) | MAMUFACTURIMG ESTM9LISHMENT SURYEY (1) | YOYAL - LABOUR FORCE SURVEY (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 2.7 | . 1 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 61.5 | 56.6 | 8.1 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 2807 |
| 1978 |  | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 62.6 | 57.4 | 8.4 | 14.5 | 5.1 | 2809 |
| 1979 |  | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 63.3 | 58.5 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 5.4 | 2602 |
| 1980 |  | 2.1 | -1.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 64.0 | 59.2 | 7.5 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 2762 |
| 1981 |  | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 64.7 | 59.8 | 7. 5 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 2895 |
| 1880 | 11 | . 2 | -1.6 | . 0 | . 3 | 63.9 | 58.9 | 7.8 | 13.8 | 5.8 | 593 |
|  | III | . 8 | -. 1 | . 6 | . 3 | 63.9 | 59.0 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 597 |
|  | IV | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 8 | 64.2 | 59.5 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 825 |
| 1981 | I | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 64.7 | 60.0 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 5.2 | 711 |
|  | 11 | 1.0 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 5 | 64.7 | 60.1 | 7.2 | 12.7 | 5.2 | 542 |
|  | II! | . 0 | -9.4 | -. 1 | . 3 | 64.7 | 59.8 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 5.8 | 683 |
|  | IV | -. 3 | -1.8 | -. 7 | . 2 | 54.6 | 59.1 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 6.3 | 959 |
| 1982 | 1 |  |  | -. 9 | -. 7 | 83.9 | 58.4 | 8.6 | 15.3 | 6.4 |  |
| 1881 | APR | . 2 | . 5 | . 3 | . 0 | 64.7 | 80.1 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 192 |
|  | MAY | . 5 | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | 64.7 | 80.1 | 7.2 | 12.8 | 5.2 | 187 |
|  | JUN | . 8 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | 64.8 | 80.1 | 7.4 | 12.9 | 5.4 | 183 |
|  | JUL | -. 3 | -1.5 | -. 2 | -. 2 | 64.5 | 59.9 | 7.4 | 12, 9 | 5.5 | 242 |
|  | AUG | -. 2 | -. 8 | . 3 | . 0 | 64.5 | 60.0 | 7.1 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 184 |
|  | SEP | . 5 | . 4 | -. 4 | . 8 | 85.0 | 59.5 | 8.2 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 257 |
|  | DCT | -. 4 | -1.1 | -. 2 | -. 2 | 84.8 | 58.4 | 8.3 | 14.2 | 6. 2 | 235 |
|  | MOV | -. 2 | -. 7 | -. 2 | -. 3 | 84.6 | 59.2 | 8.3 | 14.7 | 6.1 | 352 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -. 8 | -. 5 | -. 1 | 64.4 | 58.8 | 8.6 | 14.8 | 6.5 | 372 |
| 1982 | JAN | . 2 | -. 8 | -. 2 | -. 6 | 84.0 | 58.8 | 8.3 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 385 |
|  | FEB | . 2 | . 8 | -. 4 | -. 1 | 63.8 | 58.3 | 8.6 | 15.0 | 6.4 | 257 |
|  | MAg |  |  | -. 1 | . 4 | 64.0 | 58.2 | 9.0 | 15, 8 | 6.7 |  |
|  | APR |  |  | -. 7 | -. 1 | 63.9 | 57.7 | 9.6 | 16.5 | 7.2 |  |


(1) PEREENTAGE CHMMGE, ESTIMATES OF EMPLOYEES, TOTAL EMPLOYMEMT OF PALD MORKERS IM NDW-IGRICULTURAL INDUSTRIES,
(2) PERCENTAGE CHANGE,
(4) INITIAL ANO RENEMAL CLAIMS RECEIVED. THDUSANDS, MDT SEASONALLY ADUUSTEQ.

|  |  | CONSUMER PRTCE IMDEX |  |  | CANADIAN OBLLAR IN U.S. CENTS (1) | industry SELLING PRICE IMDEX | RESITENTIAI CONSTRUCTION IMPUTS PRICE INDEX | NON-RESIDENTIALCONSTRUC-TION JNPUTSPRICE INDEX | AVERAEE WEEKLY NAGES AND SALARIES (2) | DUTPUT <br> PER PERSOM <br> EMPLOYED <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { LaBDUR } \\ & \text { COSTS } \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL } \\ & \text { ITEMS } \end{aligned}$ | FOOD | NON-FOOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 84.10 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 109.3 | 177.5 |
| 1978 |  | 8.0 | 15.6 | 6.4 | E7. 72 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 109.2 | 187.4 |
| 1979 |  | 9.1 | 13.2 | 7.8 | 85.38 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 8. 6 | 108.9 | 202.0 |
| 1880 |  | 10.1 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 85.54 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 9.8 | 106.3 | 223.9 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.8 | 83.42 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9. 6 | 12.4 | 106.3 | 247.8 |
| 1980 | 11 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 85.48 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 106.3 | 221.3 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 86.32 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 105.9 | 226.6 |
|  | Iv | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 84.47 | 3.3 | . 9 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 106.2 | 232.2 |
| 1981 | I | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 83.78 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 106.3 | 236.2 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 83.45 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 107.0 | 243.0 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 82.53 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 105.5 | 251.6 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | $\cdots$ | 3.4 | 83.91 | 1.3 | -. 7 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 108.8 | 260.6 |
| 1982 | $!$ | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 82.72 | 1.3 | . 6 | 1.8 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | APR | . 3 | 1.0 | . 7 | 83.98 | . 8 | 1.9 | . 7 | . 7 | 106.7 | 240.0 |
|  | May | . 9 | -. 5 | 1.3 | 83.27 | . 8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 107.0 | 243.5 |
|  | dUW | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 83.06 | . 9 | . 3 | . 3 | -. 7 | 107.3 | 245.5 |
|  | JUL | . 8 | 1.3 | . 7 | 82.55 | . 7 | . 4 | . 4 | . 1 | 106.4 | 248.4 |
|  | mug | . 7 | . 3 | . 0 | 81.77 | . 7 | -. 4 | . 2 | 1.5 | 105.5 | 248:2 |
|  | SEP | 7 | -. 2 | 1.0 | 83.28 | . 3 | -1.1 | . 3 | 1.0 | 105.8 | 258.3 |
|  | OCT | 1.0 | $\because 1$ | 1.3 | 83.14 | . 9 | -. 2 | .7 | . 9 | 105.6 | 258.6 |
|  | MOY | . 9 | -. 2 | 1.2 | 84.22 | -. 2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 9 | 105.9 | 260.4 |
|  | DEC | 4 | - 8 | . 8 | 84.38 | 4 | . 3 | . 5 | . 5 | 105.9 | 262.8 |
| 1982 | JAM | . 7 | 1.0 | 5 | 83.86 | . 6 | . 2 | . 9 | . 3 | 104.8 | 257.1 |
|  | FEB | 1.2 | 2.0 | 8 | 82.37 | . 5 | -. 1 | . 4 |  | 105.4 | 288.0 |
|  | MAR | 1.3 | 8 | 1.4 | 81.84 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 |  |  |  |
|  | APR |  |  |  | 81.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


PRODUCT BY INDUSTRY CATALOGUE G1-0O5 ESTIMATES OF LABOUR IMCOME CATALOGUE 72-OOS THE LABDUR FORCE CATALOGUE $71-001$, THE COHSUMER PRICE IMDEX. CATALOGUE 62-001. EMPLOYMEMT, EARNIMGS AMD MOURS, CATALOGUE 72-OO2. STATISTICS CAMADA. BANK OF CAMADA REYIEM. (MDT PERCENTAGE CHAMGESI
(1) SVERAGE MOON SPOT RA
(3) DUTPUT IS DEFIMEO AS YOTAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, GND EMPLOYMENT IS OEFIMED ON A LABOUR FORCE SURVEY BASIS IMDEX FORM, 1977:700, USING SEASONALIY AONUSTED OATA: (NOT PERCENTAEE CHANGES) PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASOMALLY AOJUSTEO FIGURES

|  | PERSONAL EXPINTITURE |  |  |  | BUSINESS FTXED TNVESTMENT |  |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { MATIONAL } \\ & \text { EXPENDITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DURABLES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMI- } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | MON- <br> DURABLES | SERYICES | RESIOENTIAL CDNSTRUCTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CON- } \\ & \text { STRUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHINERY } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 12.3 | 7.1 |
| 1978 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 13.3 | 6.3 |
| 1978 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 19.2 | 14.9 | 10.4 |
| 1980 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 10.6 |
| 1881 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 14.8 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 10.0 |
| 19801 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| 11 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | -. 1 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 111 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2,0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| IV | 1.1 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 4. 1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 1881 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| 11 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | -2. 1 | 2.1 | 1. E |
| 111 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| IV | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2. 1 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | $-1.6$ | 3.0 |

PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASOMALLY ADUSTED FIGURES


CURRENT ACCOUNT, GALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
MIULIOMS BALANCES
MILLIOWS OF DOLLARS, SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAM - } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { TRADE } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE TRANSICTUNS |  |  |  | CTR TRAMSFERS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GODOS } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CURRENT ACCDUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | travel | INTEREST AND DIVIDEMDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FREIGHT } \\ \text { ANO } \\ \text { SHIPPING } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | TNHERI TANCES AND MIGRANTS' FUNDS | PERSONAL INSTITU- TIONAL REMITTANCES | TOTAL |  |  |
| 1979 | 2730 | -1641 | -3858 | -26 | -7444 | 455 | -33 | 413 | -4714 | -4301 |
| 1878 | 4007 | - 1706 | -4596 | 131 | - 8992 | 364 | 14 | 50 | -4985 | -4935 |
| 1979 | 4150 | - 1058 | -5241 | 309 | -9734 | 544 | 37 | 680 | -5584 | -4884 |
| 1980 | 7810 | -1228 | -5544 | 388 | - 10985 | 895 | 71 | 1281 | -3185 | - 1904 |
| 1881 | 6636 | -1158 | -6982 | 243 | -14814 | 1131 | 78 | 1602 | -8178 | -5575 |
| 18801 | 1532 | -282 | -1438 | 84 | -2902 | 181 | 10 | 324 | - 1270 | - 946 |
| 11 | 1101 | -270 | -1377 | 80 | -2530 | 243 | 10 | 354 | -1529 | - 1115 |
| 111 | 2290 | -315 | -1459 | 95 | -2794 | 219 | 26 | 255 | -444 | -189 |
| IV | 2787 | -361 | -1272 | 109 | -2729 | 252 | 25 | 348 | 58 | 406 |
| 19811 | 1679 | -252 | -1585 | 51 | -3461 | 290 | 12 | 387 | -1782 | - 1395 |
| 11 | 1340 | -279 | - 1724 | 101 | -3653 | 279 | 13 | 351 | -2313 | - 1952 |
| 111 | 859 | -288 | -1848 | 21 | -3913 | 259 | 27 | 456 | -3054 | - 2588 |
| IV | 2758 | -361 | - 1725 | 70 | -3787 | 303 | 27 | 388 | - 1029 | -63i |

SOURCE: UULRFENIY ESTIMGTES GF TAE GANADIMM BALANCE OF INFERNAMONAL PAYMENYS. EATALOGUE EF-OOT. STATISTIES CANADA.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OIRECT } \\ & \text { INVESTMENT } \\ & \text { IN CANADA } \end{aligned}$ | DIRECT IMVESTMENT ABROAD | PORTFITS TRANS: ACTIOMS CANADIAN SECURITIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PORTFOLIO } \\ & \text { TRANS } \\ & \text { ACTIONS } \\ & \text { FDREIGN } \\ & \text { SECURITIES } \end{aligned}$ | POYAL LONG TERM CAPITAL MOYEMENTS (BALANCE) | CHART. BEAK NET FOREIGN CURRENCY POSITIOM WITH NON RESJOENTS | TOTAL SHORT TERM CMPITAL MOVEMENTS (BALANCE) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET } \\ & \text { ERRORS } \\ & \text { MND } \\ & \text { OMISSIONS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALDOCATIDN } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { SPECIAL } \\ & \text { ORANING } \\ & \text { RIGHIS } \end{aligned}$ | NET* <br> DFFICIAL MONE TARY MOVEMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 475 | -740 | 5111 | 221 | 4217 | 1384 | 568 | -2005 | 0 | -1421 |
| 1978 |  | 85 | -2150 | 4854 | 25 | 3081 | 2771 | 1237 | -2582 | 0 | -3298 |
| 1979 |  | ¢ 75 | - 2350 | 3905 | - 582 | 2099 | 4107 | 5752 | -2258 | 219 | 1908 |
| 1980 |  | 585 | - 2780 | 5421 | - 114 | 1305 | 1405 | 1113 | -2011 | 217 | - 1280 |
| 1981 |  | -5300 | -4900 | 10883 | -95 | 1340 | 17898 | 14890 | -8438 | 210 | 1425 |
| 1980 | 1 | 250 | -445 | 1470 | -13 | 970 | -706 | -316 | 226 | 217 | -428 |
|  | 11 | 215 | - 560 | 1708 | 162 | 1035 | 95 | 684 | 221 | D | 873 |
|  | 111 | 340 | -475 | 1314 | -27 | \$62 | -254 | -404 | - 1565 | 0 | -532 |
|  | IV | -220 | - 1200 | 929 | -235 | - 1252 | 2270 | 1149 | -892 | 0 | -993 |
| 1981 | 1 | 205 | - 1305 | 1055 | -25 5 | -520 | 5912 | 6114 | -3322 | 210 | 400 |
|  | 11 | -3405 | -840 | 1717 | -335 | -3314 | 8098 | 6803 | - 1879 | 0 | -540 |
|  | III | -580 | - 1550 | 2797 | 500 | 2087 | 2721 | - 900 | -631 | 0 | -745 |
|  | IV | - 1520 | - 1195 | 5314 | -4 | 3087 | 1167 | 2873 | -2806 | 0 | 2411 |
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## FImAncial Indicatdrs

|  |  | MONEY SUPPLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 2 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | PRIME RATE (4) | CAMAOA-U.S COMHERCIAL PAPER OIFFERENTIAL (4) | 90-DAY <br> ©JNANCE <br> COMPANY <br> PAPER RATE <br> (4) | CONVEN- <br> TIOMAL <br> mortgage <br> RATE <br> (d) | LONG-TERM CANAOA 80 ND rate (4) | ```TDRDNTO STOCK EXCHANGE PRICE INDEX (5)``` | DOM JONES (U.5.) STOCK PRICE INDEX ( 6 ) |
| 1977 |  | 8. 4 | 14. 1 | 15.8 | 8.50 | 1.73 | 7.48 | 10.35 | 8. 70 | 1009.9 | 885.8 |
| 1978 |  | 10.0 | 10.7 | 13.7 | 9. 68 | . 51 | 8.83 | 10.59 | 9.27 | 1159.1 | 814.0 |
| 1979 |  | 5.9 | 15.7 | 19.3 | 12.90 | . 64 | 12.07 | 11.97 | 10.21 | 1577.2 | 843.2 |
| 1980 |  | 5.3 | 18.1 | 14.3 | 14.25 | . 12 | 13.15 | 14.32 | 12.48 | 2125.6 | 895.2 |
| 1981 |  | 3.9 | 14.4 | 12.2 | 18.29 | 2.44 | 18.33 | 18. 15 | 15.22 | 2158.4 | 932.7 |
| 1980 | 11 | -. 5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 14.58 | 3.11 | 12.98 | 14.52 | 11.57 | 1967.9 | 845.3 |
|  | 111 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 12. 25 | . 37 | 10.72 | 13. 8 柘 | 12.57 | 2225.1 | 933.4 |
|  | IV | 3.8 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 14.82 | - 1.65 | 14, 53 | 15. 16 | 12.97 | 2303.7 | 950.5 |
| 1981 |  | . 3 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 18.08 | 1.59 | 17.13 | 15.40 | 13.27 | 2245.4 | 975. 3 |
|  | 11 | 1.2 | 3.8 | . 5 | 19. 25 | 1.50 | 18.57 | 17.81 | 15.02 | 2345.3 | 988.8 |
|  | 111 | -1.0 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 21.57 | 3.37 | 21.02 | 20.55 | 17.17 | 2104.7 | 894.5 |
|  | IV | -4.3 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 18.17 | 3.22 | 16.52 | 19.04 | 15.42 | 1936. 3 | 872.2 |
| 1982 | I | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 16.57 | . 82 | 15.35 | 18.86 | 15.34 | 1582.0 | 839.4 |
| 1981 | Man | 1.3 | 1.4 | $-1.0$ | 17.75 | 3.01 | 17.00 | 15,75 | 13.48 | 2333.1 | 1003.9 |
|  | APR | 1.0 | 1.7 | . 5 | 18.25 | 1.35 | 17.50 | 15.45 | 15.07 | 2305,4 | 997.8 |
|  | MAY | -. 3 | . 6 | -1.1 | 19.50 | 1. 14 | 19.00 | 17.82 | 14.95 | 2371.2 | 991.8 |
|  | 」U* | -9.9 | .9 | 2.2 | 20.00 | 2.32 | 19.20 | 18.55 | 15.03 | 2361.1 | 975.9 |
|  | JUL | 3. 8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 21.00 | 3.04 | 21.25 | 18.90 | 17.07 | 2253.9 | 952.3 |
|  | AUG | -3.5 | . 7 | 2.1 | 22.75 | 4.04 | 22.20 | 21.30 | 16.77 | 2176.7 | 881.5 |
|  | SEP | -2.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 21.25 | 3.02 | 19.60 | 21.46 | 17.85 | 1883.4 | 850.0 |
|  | OCT | -1.9 | . 7 | . 7 | 20.00 | 3.38 | 18.80 | 20.54 | 16.65 | 1842.6 | 852.5 |
|  | NOV | -2.2 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 17.25 | 3.84 | 15.40 | 18.80 | 14.32 | 2012.1 | 889.0 |
|  | DEC | 5.8 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 17.25 | 2.45 | 15.65 | 17.79 | 15.27 | 1954.2 | 875.0 |
| 1882 | JAN | 1.3 | 1.4 | -. 4 | 16.50 | . 53 | 14.90 | 18.21 | 15.94 | 1785.9 | 871.1 |
|  | FEB | -1.7 | . 5 | 1.2 | 15.50 | . 87 | 15.00 | 18.97 | 15.01 | 1571.3 | 824.4 |
|  | MAR |  |  |  | 17.00 | . 95 | 16.15 | 19.41 | 15.08 | 1587.8 | 822.8 |

[^11]|  |  | $\frac{\text { COMPOSIT LEADNG INUIX }}{\text {（10 SERJES）}}$ |  |  | AVERAGEMORKMEEKMANUFACTUR－ING（HDURS） | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTDENTIAL } \\ \text { COHSTRUCT } \\ \text { ION INDEX } \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITE } \\ & \text { STATES } \\ & \text { LEADING } \\ & \text { IMDEX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RELK } \\ & \text { MOWEY } \end{aligned}$ <br> SUPPLY <br> （M1） <br> （3） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FITERES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOT } \\ & \text { FILTERED } \end{aligned}$ | PIT CHG IM FILTERED DATA |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | JUL | 149.47 | 147.8 | －． 28 | 38.93 | 90.9 | 141.66 | 12058.5 |
|  | AUG | 149.13 | 148． 7 | －． 23 | 38.91 | 92.1 | 141.29 | 12071.1 |
|  | SEP | 148.57 | 146.5 | －． 37 | 38.88 | 91.8 | 140.91 | 12079.2 |
|  | OCT | 147.61 | 143.9 | －． 65 | 38.82 | 91.2 | 140.27 | 12058.5 |
|  | NDY | 146． 36 | 142.5 | －． 85 | 38.77 | 90． 5 | 139.27 | 12031.8 |
|  | DEC | 144．96 | 141.4 | －． 96 | 38.67 | 90.4 | 138.14 | 11950.9 |
| 1980 | JAN | 144.04 | 144．2 | －． 64 | 38.64 | 89.2 | 137.01 | 11904.0 |
|  | FE8 | 143.31 | 142．6 | －． 51 | 38．51 | 87.3 | 135.96 | 11859.1 |
|  | MAR | 142.28 | 138.9 | －． 72 | 38.61 | 84.7 | 134.74 | 11821.4 |
|  | APR | 140.46 | 133．2 | －1．28 | 38.58 | 81.0 | 132.88 | 11780.5 |
|  | May | 138．05 | 130.4 | －1．72 | 38.55 | 75.3 | 130.47 | 11714.6 |
|  | JUN | 135.42 | 129.0 | －1．91 | 38.50 | 71.4 | 128.17 | 11604．6 |
|  | dul | 133．42 | 132.0 | －1．47 | 38.42 | 88.8 | 128.81 | 11518.5 |
|  | RUG | 132.27 | 133.6 | $-.86$ | 38.35 | 67.8 | 125.54 | 11462.7 |
|  | SEP | 132.25 | 137.8 | －． 02 | 38.35 | 68，9 | 127.44 | 11440，8 |
|  | OCT | 133.05 | 138.3 | ． 61 | 38.39 | 71.2 | 128.98 | 11451.5 |
|  | nov | 134.55 | 140.7 | 1． 13 | 38.45 | 73.5 | 130.88 | 11497.4 |
|  | DEC | 136.05 | 139.2 | 1.12 | 38.50 | 75.7 | 132.74 | 11534.2 |
| 1981 | J魚 | 137.19 | 138.0 | ． 84 | 38.58 | 78.4 | 134.15 | 11521． |
|  | FEE | 138．00 | 138.2 | ． 59 | 38.85 | 82.7 | 135.11 | 11472.9 |
|  | man | 138.77 | 140.2 | ． 56 | 38.68 | 87.2 | 135．8．8 | 11412.4 |
|  | AP厚 | 139.58 | 142.1 | ． 64 | 38.71 | 92.8 | 136.35 | 11369.1 |
|  | MAY | 140.24 | 140.1 | ． 41 | 38.77 | 86.2 | 136.78 | 11318.9 |
|  | JUW | 140.34 | 138．5 | ． 07 | 38.82 | 97.7 | 136.55 | 11205．8 |
|  | 』UL | 139.92 | 138.8 | －． 30 | 38.85 | 96.5 | 136.19 | 11095.1 |
|  | AUE | 138.38 | 130.3 | － 1.10 | 38.83 | 91.7 | 135．72 | 10952.2 |
|  | SEP | 135.80 | 125.8 | －1．87 | 38.71 | 85.5 | 134.78 | 10760.1 |
|  | OCT | 132.15 | 120.0 | －2． 58 | 38.61 | 78.4 | 133.36 | 10525.3 |
|  | NOV | 128． 26 | 119.0 | －2．96 | 38.47 | 72.4 | 131.83 | 10258.9 |
|  | DEC | 124.96 | 120.7 | －2．57 | 38.27 | 71.8 | 130.30 | 10088.8 |
| 1882 | JAN | 121.85 | 116.9 | －2．41 | 38.14 | 71.8 | 128．77 | 10007．6 |
|  | FEB | 119.12 | 114.0 | $-2.32$ | 38.08 | 72.4 | 127．37 | 9845．0 |
| SOURET：CURRENT ECONOMIE AWhLYSTS STAFF，STATISTIES CRMADA 8S2－444． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （2） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （3） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MAY 10， 1982
TABLE 12
8：44 AM
CAMADIAM LEADING INDICATORS
FILTERED DATA（1）
COMTINUED

|  |  | REN DRDERS DURABLE GOOOS $\$ 1979$ | RRGDE－ FURNITURE GND APPLIANCE SALES $\$ 1971$ | MEM MOTOR VEHICIE SALES $\$ 1971$ | RATIO SHIPMENTS／ FIMISHED INYENTORIES MARUFAC TURING | TMDEX OF STOCK PRICES $(2)$ | PCT CHG IM PRICE PER UNIT IABRUR CDST MANUFAE－ TURING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | แUL． | 3187.5 | 101398 | 800929 | 1.72 | 1288.2 | 76 |
|  | W46 | 3184.5 | 100424 | 505974 | 1.72 | 1304． 5 | 68 |
|  | SEP | 3126.1 | 99446 | 611471 | 1.71 | 1321.4 | 80 |
|  | 0С7 | 3094.9 | 98751 | 511088 | 1.70 | 1313.7 | 52 |
|  | NOV | 3071.5 | 98103 | 505315 | 1.88 | 1295．5 | 46 |
|  | DEC | 3056.1 | 97387 | 800129 | 1．85 | 1294.3 | 41 |
| 1980 | JAM | 3028． 3 | 97401 | 59154.4 | 1．64 | 1317.3 | 37 |
|  | FEB | 3010.1 | 97307 | 584760 | 1.82 | 1349．5 | 35 |
|  | MAR | 2983． | 98902 | 577088 | 1.60 | 1360.0 | 33 |
|  | APR | 2926.7 | 95851 | 585707 | 1.58 | 1355.8 | ． 30 |
|  | Mmy | 2846.6 | 95250 | 543999 | 1.55 | 1358.2 | 26 |
|  | dun | 2756.3 | 95091 | 523916 | 1.82 | 1364.3 | ． 20 |
|  | JUL | 2717.7 | 95459 | 512621 | 1.50 | 1388.7 | ． 12 |
|  | UG | 2705.4 | 95574 | 513922 | 1.49 | 1432.4 | ． 04 |
|  | SEP | 2726.7 | 95051 | 517945 | 1.49 | 1493.1 | －． 03 |
|  | DET | 2767.2 | 98835 | 520842 | 1.49 | 1558．2 | －． 08 |
|  | NDV | 2815.7 | 58035 | 524475 | 1.51 | 1632.0 | $\cdots 10$ |
|  | DEC | 2842.5 | 99205 | 525844 | 1.53 | 1891.1 | －． 10 |
| 1881 | JAN | 2842.8 | 101895 | 525773 | 1.55 | 1722.8 | －． 05 |
|  | FEB | 2886.5 | 104163 | 523288 | 1.56 | 1732.9 | －． 06 |
|  | MAR | 2895.7 | 105314 | 524882 | 1.57 | 1750． | －． 03 |
|  | APR | 2936.8 | 105797 | 528527 | 1.59 | 1763．9 | ． 07 |
|  | May | 2970.1 | 106302 | 528219 | 1.60 | 1767.2 | ． 04 |
|  | dUN | 3012.1 | 108164 | 523938 | 1． 89 | 1755．2 | ． 07 |
|  | dUL | 3058.5 | 107717 | 514121 | 1.62 | 1730.9 | ． 11 |
|  | AUG | 3045． 3 | 105139 | 504202 | 1.61 | 1588．4 | ． 14 |
|  | \＄EP | 3014．0 | 101457 | 485004 | 1.80 | 1633． 1 | ． 14 |
|  | OCT | 2947.7 | 97773 | 475182 | 1.57 | 1570.8 | ． 09 |
|  | MDV | 2843.0 | 94559 | 478137 | 1.53 | 1528．0 | －． 01 |
|  | DE 5 | 2752.1 | 52003 | 475123 | 1.49 | 1502.9 | －． 15 |
| 1882 | JAN | 2857.7 | 89822 | 460899 | 1.45 | 1477.2 | －． 32 |
|  | FEB | 2584.9 | 87858 | 446175 | 1.42 | 1450.9 | －． 52 |


（2）TOROWTO STOCK EXCMANGE（ 300 STOCK IMDEX EXCLUDING OIL MND GAS COMPONENT）．

UNITED STATES MOMTHLY IWDICATDRS
percentage changes dF seasonally adjusted figures

|  | TWUEX OF IMDUSTRIAL PRDDUCTION | EMPLDYMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NANUFAC- } \\ & \text { TURIMG } \\ & \text { SHIPMENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOUSING } \\ & \text { STARTS } \end{aligned}$ | PERSONAL EXPENDITURE \$ 1972 | DOMESTIE PASSENGER car sales UnITS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PER CAPITA } \\ & \text { DI SPDSAGLE } \\ & \text { INCOME } \\ & \text { \$ } 1972 \end{aligned}$ | COHSDRIE PRIGE IMDEX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THUUSTRIA } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { SPOT PRICE } \\ & \text { IMDEX } \end{aligned}$ | PRIME <br> RATE (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 14.5 | 27.8 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 6.8 |
| 1978 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 12.1 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 8.1 |
| 1978 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 13.4 | -14.2 | 2.8 | -10.1 | 1.9 | 11.3 | 28.8 | 12.9 |
| 1980 | -3.6 | . 5 | 6.9 | -24.4 | . 5 | -20.1 | -. 5 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 15.3 |
| 1981 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 8.2 | -15.2 | 2.5 | -4.6 | 1.2 | 10.3 | -4.8 | 18.8 |
| 1980 ! | . 1 | - 1 | 3.8 | -20.2 | 2 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 16.4 |
| 11 | -5.4 | -. 8 | -4.9 | -15.? | -2.6 | - 30.8 | -1.5 | 3.1 | -11.3 | 16.3 |
| 111 | -1.5 | . 1 | 4.4 | 31.2 | 1.3 | 17.8 | . 7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 11.6 |
| IV | 4.5 | . 5 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 3.1 | . 5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 16.7 |
| 18811 | 2.0 | . 6 | 1.8 | -6. 7 | 1.4 | 12.9 | . 5 | 2.6 | -4.2 | 19.2 |
| 11 | . 5 | . 7 | 2.1 | -16.2 | -. 5 | -24.8 | . 1 | 1.8 | . 0 | 18.9 |
| 111 | . 3 | -. 1 | . 4 | -18.0 | . 8 | 24.6 | 4 | 2.9 | -. 9 | 20.3 |
| iv | -4.4 | -. 8 | -4.1 | -9.4 | -. 6 | -25.0 | .1 | 1.9 | -5.3 | 19.0 |
| 1981 JAM | . 9 | . 3 | . 5 | 8.8 | . 9 | 11.1 | 2 | . 7 | -2.3 | 20.2 |
| FEB | . 3 | . 2 | . | -18.4 | . 0 | 7.1 | . 3 | 1.0 | $-2.5$ | 19.4 |
| MAR | . 2 | . 3 | 2 | 1.9 | . 1 | 2.9 | . 0 | . 6 | 2.0 | 18.0 |
| APR | -. 1 | . 5 | 1.0 | -1.3 | -. 6 | -24. 7 | . 1 | 4 | 1.1 | 17.2 |
| may | . 5 | . 2 | . 0 | -9.9 | -. 2 | -1.7 | -. 1 | 7 | -1.2 | 18.6 |
| JUN | .1 | -. 6 | 2.4 | -10.8 | 4 | -8.8 | . 0 | . 7 | -2.1 | 20.0 |
| JUL | . 7 | . 4 | -. 7 | $\therefore .6$ | . 3 | 13.5 | . 3 | 1.2 | . 8 | 20.4 |
| AUG | $\because 2$ | . 0 | -. 5 | -9.0 | 1.0 | 39.0 | 0 | . 8 | 1.3 | 20.5 |
| SEP | $-1.3$ | -. 6 | -. 6 | $-5.0$ | $-1.0$ | -18.3 | 4 | 1.2 | -2.0 | 20.1 |
| OCT | $-1,6$ | . 1 | -2.7 | -5.0 | - 5 | -82.4 | . 0 | .4 | -2.0 | 18.5 |
| MOY | $-1.8$ | -. 2 | -1.3 | . 7 | 4 | 3.8 | . 0 | . 5 | -2.5 | 16.8 |
| OEC | -2.0 | -. 6 | -. 2 | 4.5 | -. 1 | -7.4 | -. 6 | 4 | -2.3 | 15.8 |
| 1982 لAN | -3.0 | . 0 |  | -. 6 |  | 14.0 |  |  | -. 6 | 15.8 |

SOURCE: CTTBMSE: CITMEANR ECOROMIC DATABASE, NEM YORK. NE, T378.
(1) not percentage change.
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NET WATIONRL INCOHE AND GROSS MATIONAL PRODUCT
SEASOMALYLLIONS OF DOLLARS
SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED AT AMMU能 RATES

|  | LABOUR INCOME | CORPORATIDN PROFITS BEFORE TAXES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIVIDENDS } \\ & \text { PAID TO } \\ & \text { NON. } \\ & \text { RESIOEMTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TMPEREST } \\ & \text { o MISC } \\ & \text { IMVEST- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { IMCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FARH } \\ & \text { INCDME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NONFARM } \\ & \text { UNINCDR- } \\ & \text { PORATED } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | INVENTORY VALUATION GDJUSTMENT | NET MATIONAL INCOME FACTOR COST | $\begin{gathered} \text { TNDIRECT } \\ \text { TAXES } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { SUASIDIES } \end{gathered}$ | GROSS MAT1ONAL PRODUCT AT HARKET PRJCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 118992 | 20928 | -2094 | 13147 | 2831 | 9113 | -3418 | 151029 | 23907 | 20858 |
| 1978 | 129848 | 25614 | -2843 | 15771 | 3585 | 8544 | - 4577 | 178575 | 25854 | 230353 |
| 1979 | 145091 | 34884 | -3054 | 19143 | 3983 | 10503 | - 5718 | 205370 | 27925 | 261961 |
| 1980 | 162373 | 37172 | -3411 | 21782 | 3969 | 11438 | -8841 | 228145 | 29191 | 289859 |
| 1981 | 184752 | 33856 | -4329 | 26326 | 4850 | 12830 | - 5721 | 253220 | 38241 | 328501 |
| 19801 | 155875 | 37932 | -3440 | 21088 | 3804 | 11012 | -7055 | 220560 | 28884 | 280224 |
| 11 | 159352 | 36184 | - 3700 | 21116 | 3348 | 11204 | -5440 | 223748 | 28748 | 284388 |
| 111 | 153780 | 36748 | - 3584 | 22000 | 4168 | 11452 | -7120 | 229028 | 28855 | 291052 |
| IV | 170484 | 37824 | -2820 | 22944 | 4756 | 12084 | -7748 | 239244 | 30476 | 303782 |
| 28811 | 175535 | 38772 | -4400 | 23855 | 5384 | 12215 | -8000 | 245150 | 35520 |  |
| I1 | 182652 | 36852 | -3948 | $2498{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 5020 | 12556 | -8884 | 251292 | 37548 | 325148 |
| 111 | 187952 | 31196 | -4724 | 28020 | 4532 | 12760 | -8076 | 255696 | 39388 | 332500 |
| IV | 192768 | 28604 | - 4244 | 28440 | 4364 | 12988 | -4124 | 280732 | 40508 | 340784 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME ANO EXPENDTYURE AECOUNTS, CATALOGUE 13-001, STATISTICS CANADA.

M解 3. 1982
PABLE 17
3:03 PM

NET MATIOMAL INCOME AND GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDNALLY ADUUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | LABOUR INCOME | CDRPORATIDN PROFITS BEFDRE TAXES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIPIGENDS } \\ & \text { PAID TO } \\ & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { RESIDENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TNYEREST } \\ & \text { \& MISC. } \\ & \text { INVEST- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FSRM } \\ & \text { IMCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HONFRRM } \\ & \text { UNINCOR- } \\ & \text { PORATED } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | INVENTORY VALUATION ADJUSTMENT (1) | NE NATIONAL INCOME AT FACTOR COST | $\begin{gathered} \text { JHDTRECT } \\ \text { TAXES } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { SUSSIOIES } \end{gathered}$ | GROSS MATIDNAL PRDDUCT AT MARKET PRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 10.3 | 4.7 | 21.8 | 17.6 | -14.7 | 8.0 | - 1355 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 9.3 |
| 1978 |  | 9.1 | 22.4 | 35.8 | 20.0 | 25.5 | 5.8 | -1158 | 10.9 | 8.1 | 10.3 |
| 1979 |  | 11.7 | 36.2 | 7.8 | 21.4 | 11.1 | 8.9 | -2141 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 13.7 |
| 1980 |  | 11.8 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 13.8 | - 4 | 8.9 | -123 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 10.6 |
| 1981 |  | 13.8 | -8.9 | 26.9 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 10.4 | 120 | 11.0 | 31.0 | 13.3 |
| 1980 | 1 | 2.8 | 3 | 1.4 | -. 2 | $-15.5$ | 1.5 | -368 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
|  | 11 | 2.2 | -4. 6 | 7.6 | . 2 | -7. 1 | 1.7 | 1616 | 1.4 | . 2 | 1.5 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | 1.6 | -. 4 | 4.2 | 24.5 | 2.2 | -1680 | 2.4 | . 4 | 2.4 |
|  | IV | 4.1 | 2.9 | -23.5 | 4.3 | 14.1 | 5.5 | -628 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 4.4 |
| 1981 | 1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 58.0 | 4.0 | 13.2 | 1.1 | -252 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 3.9 |
|  | 11 | 4.0 | -5.0 | -10.3 | 4.7 | -5.8 | 2.8 | -684 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 3.0 |
|  | III | 2.9 | - 15.3 | 19.7 | 12.1 | -7.7 | 1.5 | 2808 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
|  | IV | 2.8 | -8, 3 | -10.2 | 1.5 | -5.8 | 1.8 | 1952 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 |

SOURCE: NATTONAL IHCOME AHO EXPENOTYURE AECOUNTS, CETALOEUE T3-001, STZYTSTIES CANADA.
(1) OIFFERENEE FROM PREEEOING PERIOD, ANMUAL RATES,

GROSS NATIONAL EXPENDITURE
MILIIONS OF DOLIARS
SEASONALGY ADJUSTED AT AHRUAL RATES

|  |  |  | BUSITESS FTXEU TNVESTMENT |  |  | TNYENTORY INYESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | GROSSKATIONALEXPENDITUREAT MARKETPRIGES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONAL EXPENDI TURE | GOVERMMENT EXPENDITURE | RESIDENTIAL CONST RUCTIDN | NOA- RESIDENTIAL CDNST- RUCTION | MACHINERY ANO EQUIPMENT | BUSINESS <br> NDN-FARM | ```FARM AND GICC (1)``` |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 122530 | 43374 | 12806 | 13472 | 15125 | 284 | 37 | 52548 | -57282 | 208888 |
| 1978 | 135271 | 47576 | 13552 | 14590 | 17008 | -66 | 369 | 62985 | -67970 | 230353 |
| 1978 | 150617 | 51979 | 14085 | 18127 | 20986 | 3988 | 117 | 77087 | -82671 | 261561 |
| 1980 | 168145 | 57913 | 13843 | 21937 | 24730 | -770 | -491 | 90258 | -93443 | 289859 |
| 1981 | 180025 | 65192 | 16093 | 26398 | 28749 | 877 | 688 | 98999 | -107177 | 328501 |
| 1980 | 160536 | 54828 | 14572 | 21244 | 23660 | 2636 | -16 | 87276 | -92356 | 280224 |
| 11 | 163856 | 57096 | 12928 | 21288 | 23992 | 4084 | -736 | 85416 | -92532 | 284368 |
| 111 | 171124 | 58712 | 13332 | 22084 | 25:16 | -4520 | -424 | 90888 | -92564 | 291052 |
| IV | 176958 | 67015 | 14540 | 23132 | 26152 | -5180 | -788 | 96452 | -96220 | 303792 |
| 1981 | 182644 | 62420 | 16080 | 24656 | 27908 | 1776 | 116 | 55000 | -102128 | 315572 |
| 11 | 188740 | 64644 | 17604 | 25500 | 29288 | 432 | 252 | 100804 | - 109856 | 325148 |
| 111 | 192480 | 67992 | 919136 | 26644 | 28324 | 3248 | 1920 | 99812 | -111828 | 332500 |
| IV | 196236 | 59712 | 14552 | 28792 | 29476 | -1948 | \$64 | 100780 | -104896 | 340784 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENDJTURE ACCOUNTS, CATALOGUE 13-OO1, STATISTTCS CANAOA.
(1) GIGC - GRAIN IN COMMERCJAL CHANHELS

PEREENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDNALIY ADJUSTED F!GURES

|  |  |  | EUSTMESS FIXED INVESTMEMT |  |  | INVENTORY TNVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | GROSSNATIONALEXPENDITUREAT MARKTPRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONAL EXPENDITURE | GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE | RESIDENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RON- } \\ & \text { RESIOENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST-. } \\ & \text { RUCTIDN } \end{aligned}$ | MACHINERY <br> AND <br> EqUIPMENT | BUSJNESS NON-FARM (1) | FARM <br> AND GICC <br> (1) (2) |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 10.5 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 11.3 | 6. 9 | -755 | -436 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 9.3 |
| 1978 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 5.8 | 8.3 | - 12.4 | -360 | 332 | 19.9 | 18.7 | 10.3 |
| 1979 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 3.9 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 4054 | -252 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 13.7 |
| 1980 | 11.6 | 11.4 | -1.9 | 21.0 | 17.8 | -4758 | -608 | 17.1 | 13.0 | 10.6 |
| 1981 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 16.3 | 20.3 | 16.3 | 1647 | 1179 | 8.7 | 14.7 | 13.3 |
| 19801 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 4.5 | -2358 | -148 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 1.8 |
| 11 | 2.1 | 4.1 | -11.3 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1448 | -720 | -1.0 | . 2 | 1.5 |
| 111 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.7 | -8704 | 312 | 5.2 | . 8 | 2.4 |
| iv | 3.4 | 3.9 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | -580 | -364 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| 19811 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6956 | 904 | -1.5 | 6.9 | 3.9 |
| 11 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 4.9 | -1344 | 136 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 3.0 |
| iil | 2.0 | 5.2 | -8.3 | 4.5 | -3.3 | 2816 | 1688 | $-1.0$ | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| IV | 2.0 | 2.5 | -9.8 | 8.1 | 4.1 | -5196 | -1456 | 1.2 | -6.2 | 2.5 |

SOURCE: NAYIONAL INEOME GND EXPENDTTURE ACCOUNTS, CAFALDEUUE 13-6O1, SFAYISTIES CANABA,
(1) DJFFERENCE FROM PRECEDING PERIOD, AKNUAL RATES.
(2) GICC - GRAIN IN CDMMERCIAL GHAMNEIS.

|  |  | PER50NAL EXPEMDITURE | government EXPENORTURE | BUSINESS F!XED INVESTMEMT |  |  | INVENTORY TRVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROS5 } \\ & \text { MATIONAL } \\ & \text { EXPENOITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIOENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | NON- RESIDENTIAL CONST. RUCTION | MACHIHERY AMD EOUIPMENT | BUSINES5 <br> NON - FARM | FARM and GICC (1) |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 77416 | 22392 | 6152 | 7847 | 9515 | 172 | -112 | 28045 | - 32844 | 121785 |
| 1978 |  | 78550 | 22757 | 5947 | 7781 | 9743 | 126 | 106 | 30929 | -34345 | 126281 |
| 1978 |  | 81138 | 22880 | 5513 | 8824 | 10831 | 1892 | -20 | 30929 31766 | -34345 | 126281 |
| 1980 |  | 81955 | 22762 | 4925 | 9917 | 11434 | -562 | -200 | 32087 | -35815 | 130180 |
| 1981 |  | 83374 | 23227 | 4897 | 10753 | 12074 | 582 | 180 | 32548 | -36733 | 134070 |
| 1980 | 1 | 81608 | 22584 | 5380 | 8895 | 11295 | 572 | 72 | 31588 | -36288 | 130332 |
|  | 11 | 81176 | 22704 | 4684 | 9752 | 11188 | 900 | -475 | 31300 | -35792 | 128988 |
|  | 111 | 82184 | 22716 | 4708 | 9916 | 11535 | -2248 | -224 | 32104 | - 34896 | 129192 |
|  | IV | 82852 | 22984 | 4932 | 10104 | 11716 | -1472 | - 172 | 33376 | - 35504 | 132128 |
| 188! | I | 83332 | 23068 | 5212 | 10488 | 12128 | 748 | 184 | 31204 | - 35932 | 133404 |
|  | 111 | 83900 | 23160 | 5528 | 10552 | 12404 | 596 | 36 | 33756 | - 37840 | 135304 |
|  | I11 | 83136 | 23280 | 4888 | 10724 | 11740 | 1676 | 408 | 32508 | -37456 | 134135 |
|  | IV | 83128 | 23400 | 4360 | 11248 | 12024 | -852 | 82 | 32624 | -35704 | 133435 |

(1) GICC - GRAIN IN CDMMERCIAL CHAMMELS

M槙 3. 1982
TASLE 21
3 : 03 PM

GROSS MATIONAL EXPEMDITURE IN 1971 DOLLARS
PERCEMTAGE CHANEES DF SEASDNALLY AOJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  |  | GUSNE SS FTXED TNVESTMENT |  |  | JHVENTORY INVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { MATIONAL } \\ & \text { EXPENDITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONAL EXPENDITURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERHMENT } \\ & \text { EXPENOI- } \\ & \text { TURE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | NON- RESIDENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | MACHINERY AND EQUI PMENT | BUSIMESS NON-FARM (1) | F ARM AND GICE (1) (2) |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -6.3 | 3.0 | - 4 | -571 | -335 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1978 | 2.8 | 1.8 | -3.3 | 1.9 | 2.4 | -46 | 218 | 10.3 | 4. 5 | 3.7 |
| 1878 | 2.0 | . 5 | -7. 3 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 1756 | - 125 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| 1980 | 1.0 | $-5$ | -10.6 | 12.4 | 5.6 | -2454 | - 180 | 1.0 | -2.2 | 3. 0 |
| 1981 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 1154 | 380 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 19801 | . 8 | -. 9 | . 1 | 4.8 | 2 | -1248 | -20 | -1.8 | 1.1 | -. 9 |
| 11 | $-.5$ | . 5 | -12.9 | -1.5 | $-1.0$ | 328 | -548 | -1.8 -.8 | -1.3 | -9.0 |
| 111 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | -3148 | 252 | 2.6 | -2.5 | -1.0 .8 |
| IV | . 8 | 9 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 775 | 52 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| 1981 | . 6 | . 4 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2220 | 356 | -6.5 | 1.2 | 9.0 |
| 11 | . 7 | . 4 | 6.1 | . 6 | 2.3 | -152 | -148 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 1.0 |
| 111 | -. 8 | . 5 | $-11.5$ | 1.6 | -5.4 | 1080 | 372 | -3.4 | -1.0 | -. 9 |
| IV | .0 | . 5 | -10.8 | 4.9 | 2.4 | -2328 | -316 | . 0 | -4.7 | $-.5$ |


(1) DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEOING PERIDD, ANNUAL RATES.
(2) GICC - GRAJN IN COMMERCIAL CHANMELS.

B DOSS DDMESTIC PRODUCT IM CONSTAKT (1871) PRICES BY IMDUSTRY
PERCENTAGE CMANGES OF SEASOMALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EXCLUOING } \\ \text { AGRICUITURE } \end{gathered}$ | industrial PRODUCTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G000S } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GODDS } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \\ & \text { EXCLIDING } \\ & \text { AERICULTURE } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICES industries | COMNERCIAL INDUSPRIES | COUPERCIAL industries ExCluding gGRICUITURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDM- } \\ & \text { COMERCIML } \\ & \text { IMOUSTRJES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| 1978 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 1.5 |
| 1979 |  | 3.7 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.8 | . 3 |
| 1880 |  | 1 | . 3 | -2.0 | $-1.6$ | -2.0 | 1.6 | , 3 | . 1 | b |
| 1981 |  | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 1980 | 1 | -. 4 | - 4 | -. 8 | -. | -. 9 | - 2 | -. 3 | - 4 | -. 8 |
|  | 11 | -. 6 | -. 9 | -2.5 | -2.4 | -2.7 | 4 | -1.1 | -1.2 | 1.9 |
|  | 111 | . 2 | . 3 | . 0 | -. 3 | -. 2 | . 5 | . 1 | 2 | . 5 |
|  | iv | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | . 8 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | . 8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | . 9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | -. 2 |
|  | 11 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 | . 5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 1 |
|  | i11 | -1. 1 | -1.1 | -3.0 | $-2.6$ | -2.8 | -. 2 | $-1.5$ | $-1.5$ | 1.0 |
|  | iv | - 8 | -. 8 | -4.3 | -3.2 | $-3.5$ | . 8 | -1.0 | -1.1 | . 4 |
| 1881 | FEB | . 8 | . 7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.0 \\ \hline .5\end{array}$ |  | -. 3 |
|  | MAR | . 5 | . 3 | 1.5 .0 | 1.1 .1 | 1.1 .2 | . 1 | .5 .3 | . 3 | -.1 |
|  | MRY | .3 | . 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1 | . 3 | 4 | . 7 |
|  | JUN | .5 | 5 | . 8 | . 7 | . 8 | . 3 | . 5 | 6 | . 1 |
|  | dut | -1.1 | -1.2 | -2.3 | -1.9 | -2.1 | -. 5 | -1.4 | -1.5 | . 9 |
|  | aut | - 5 | -. 8 | -1.7 | -1.7 | -1.7 | 0 | -. 7 | - 7 | -. 2 |
|  | SEP | -. 1 | -. 1 | -1.5 | -1.2 | -1. | 5 | -. 1 | -. 2 | - |
|  | OCT | -. 4 | -. 5 | -1.4 | -. 7 | - 1.7 | - 3 | -. 5 | -. 6 | . 5 |
|  | woy | . 1 | . 1 | -1.9 | -1.2 | -1.4 | . 8 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | DEC | $-.5$ | -. 5 | - .8 | -1.3 | -1.3 | -7.1 | - -5 | -1.5 | - 1 |
| 1882 | JAN | -1.3 | -1.3 .8 | -1.8 -.3 | -1.4 | -1.5 $-\quad .2$ | -1.2 .4 | -1.6 .8 | -1.9 .2 | . 0 |

SOURCE: GROSS DOFESTTC PRODUCT BY TMDUSTRY, CETIDEGUE $6 T-005$, STATISTIES CAMADA.

MAY 5. 1982<br>TABLE 23<br>2:15 PM

GROSS DONESTIC PRODUCT IN CONSTANT (1971) PRICES BY INDUSTRY PERCEMTAGE CHAMEES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

CDNTINUED

|  |  |  |  | FISHIME |  |  | (JFACYUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { TRAPPIMG } \end{aligned}$ | MIMING | total | DURABLE | NDNDUR A ALE | CONST - <br> RUCTION |
| 1977 |  | 3.4 | E. 0 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.5 | -2.0 |
| 1978 |  | -1.6 | 4.8 | 11.9 | $-7.8$ | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.7 | -2.1 |
| 1879 |  | $-10.1$ | 1.4 | 1.2 | 9.8 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 1.2 |
| 1886 |  | 5.4 | -3. 7 | $-7.4$ | 2.1 | -3. 1 | -4.7 | -1.4 | - 1.8 |
| 1881 |  | 8.4 | -4.4 | 7.4 | -5.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 6.3 |
| 1880 | 1 | 3.5 | 5.6 | -4.4 | -1.2 | - 1.2 | $-1.5$ | -1.0 | -1.6 |
|  | II | 2.2 | -9.1 | -15.0 | 1.7 | -3.2 | -5.0 | $-1.4$ | -2.4 |
|  | III | -2.5 | . 8 | -11.0 | -2.2 | -. 2 | . 7 | -1.1 | -. 5 |
|  | IV | -1.5 | 4, ? | 13.1 | -. 8 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| 1881 | 1 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 10.9 | $-7$ | 1.3 | 1. 2 | 1.4 | 3.5 |
|  | II | -1.2 | -13.0 | , 2 | -2. 5 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 |
|  | 11! | . 1 | -18. 1 | 1.8 | $-5.2$ | -3.4 | -5.4 | $-1.1$ | -. 5 |
|  | Iv | . 6 | 27.4 | -8. 1 | 1.8 | $-5.6$ | -8.0 | -3. 1 | -2.1 |
| 1981 | FE9 | 2.6 | -3.7 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
|  | MAR | . 1 | -1.5 | 1.9 | -1.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | . 7 | $-1$ |
|  | APR | -1.5 | . 0 | -2.8 | . 3 | -. 1 | . 3 | - 0 | 1.1 |
|  | MAY | -. 6 | -20.0 | . 3 | -2.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 |
|  | JUK | -. 6 | 8.5 | -9.8 | -2.4 | 1.3 | 2.6 | . 0 | . |
|  | JUL | 1.1 | -17.5 | 4.6 | - 10.1 | -2.2 | -3.0 | - 1.3 | . 1 |
|  | AUG | -. 8 | -7.3 | -1.9 | 10.0 | -3.1 | -5.5 | -. 7 | -1.1 |
|  | SEP | . 5 | 21.2 | - 8 | -2. 1 | -1.8 | -3.1 | -. 1 | -2.4 |
|  | OCT | $-.1$ | 13.1 | -7, 3 | -. 3 | -1. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $-2.7$ | -. 8 | 1.2 |
|  | MDV | 1.4 | 7.9 | 3.4 | .1 | -2.1 | -2.0 | $-2.1$ | $=.8$ |
|  | DEC | -. 9 | -9.4 | -8.8 | 1.2 | -1.0 | -. 5 | -1.4 | -2,3 |
| 1882 | dAN | . 8 | -1.9 | $-7.0$ |  | $-2.5$ | -3.3 | -1.8 | -. 1 |
| 181 | FEB | -. 7 | 4.0 | 1.7 | -1.3 | -. 2 | . 1 | -. 8 | - . 4 |

SOURLE: GROSS DOFESTIC PROBUCT BY INDUSTKY, CATALOEUE G1-005, STAYSTICS GAMAUA.

GROSS DOMESTIC P涠ODUCT IN COWSTANT（IS71）PRIEES BY IMOUSTRY PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASONALLY AOUUSTED FIGURES OF SEASOMAL
CONT：MUED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPORTAYIOA COMMUMCATTON AND } \\ & \text { OTHER UTILTIES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TRADE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINANEE } \\ & \text { INSURANCE } \\ & \text { REAL ESTATE } \end{aligned}$ | COMMINTTY． <br> BUSINESS \＆ <br> personal <br> SERVICES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIE } \\ & \text { AOMINIS } \\ & \text { TRATION } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | rotal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSODR } \\ & \text { TATIDN } \end{aligned}$ | UIILITIES | TOTAL | MHOLESALE | RETAIL |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 5.5 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| 1878 |  | 4.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| 1979 |  | 6.9 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 3.3 | －． 4 |
| 1880 |  | 2.4 | $-5$ | 2.5 | ． 0 | ． 9 | －． 7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 1881 |  | 3.1 | ． 8 | 3.1 | .9 | －． 4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| 1880 | 1 | ． 8 | －． 3 | 1.9 | ． 0 | ． 5 | －． 5 | ． 9 |  | ． 5 |
|  | 11 | －． 5 | $-1.4$ | $-1.4$ | －1．1 | $-1.0$ | －1．1 | ． 4 | －1．9 | ． 8 |
|  | 111 | 1.2 | $-.4$ | 3.1 | ． 7 | －1．1 | 2.0 | .3 | ． 4 | ． 7 |
|  | 1 l | 1.7 | 1． 3 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | ． 8 | ． 9 | ． 8 |
| 1881 | $1$ | .6 | 1.4 | －2．6 | 1.3 | ． 7 | 1.9 | ． 9 | ． 9 | －． 6 |
|  | 11 | 1.1 | ． 5 | 2.2 | ． 0 | ． 5 | －． 3 | ． 2 | ． 9 | －． 4 |
|  | 11］ | －1．2 | －3．5 | 2.2 | －2．3 | $-2.7$ | －2．1 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
|  | IV | 1.7 | 1.4 | －． 8 | －1．9 | －3．3 | －． 9 | 1.3 | ． 5 | $8$ |
| 1981 | FEB | ． 2 | 1.1 | －2．3 | $-.3$ | －． 2 | －． 3 | －． 2 | ． 6 | －1．1 |
|  | MAR | ． 8 | ． 0 | 2.9 | $=.8$ | $-1.2$ | －． 1 | ． 6 | ． 2 | －1． 3 |
|  | APR | ． 0 | $-.3$ | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | ． 8 | －． 1 | ． 3 | .3 -.8 |
|  | MAY | ． 5 | ． 2 | 1.7 | －． 8 | ． 5 | －1．9 | －． 2 | ． 2 | 1.8 |
|  | JUN | ． 6 | ． 9 | ． 3 | $-.1$ | $-1.4$ | ． 8 | ． 3 | ． 3 | ． 5 |
|  | JUL | －2．8 | －3．5 | 1.6 | －1． 1 | －1．1 | －1．2 | ． 3 | ． 7 | ． 5 |
|  | 的G | ． 6 | －2．2 | －． 4 | $-.7$ | ． 1 | －1．3 | ． 8 | －． 3 | －． 5 |
|  | SEP | 2.1 | 2.4 | ． 4 | $-1.0$ | $-2.5$ | ． 2 | ． 5 | ． .4 | －． 8 |
|  | OCT | －． 4 | －． 5 | －． 2 | －1．1 | －． 3 | －1．5 | －． 4 | .4 | ． 5 |
|  | NOY | ． 6 | 1.3 | $=.4$ | 1.0 | －． 3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | ． 3 | .1 |
|  | DES | ． 3 | ． 6 | －1．5 | $-1.6$ | －3．0 | －． 7 | 1． 3 | ． 1 | ． 1 |
| 1882 | JAN | $-2.2$ | $-4.2$ | 1.0 | －1．9 | ． 9 | $-3.9$ | －． 7 | －． 5 | $\therefore .1$ |
|  | FE日 | ． 7 | ． 7 | ． 2 | 1.3 | －． 4 | 2.6 | －． 5 | ． 3 | .1 |

SOURCE：GROSS DOMESTIE PRODUCT GY INDUSTRY，CARLOEUE bT－CO5，STATTSTICS CWNADA．

REAL MANUFACTURING SHIPMENTS，OROERS，ANO UNFILLED ORDERS MILLIDNS OF 1971 DOLLARS．SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  |  |  |  | NCT MEN ORDERS |  |  | COT UNFILLED DRDERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | JURAELE | MOWDURABLE | TUTAL | OURABLE | NOMOURAELE | Forat | buhable | WONUWhmbLE |
| 1897 |  | 64109 | 31863 | 32246 | 64855 | 32558 | 32288 | 7295 | 6439 | 857 |
| 1998 |  | 59975 | 35168 | 34807 | 71303 | 36341 | 34963 | 8623 | 7611 | 1012 |
| 1979 |  | 72744 | 36483 | 35281 | 73553 | 37348 | 35215 | 9442 | 8495 | 947 |
| 1880 |  | 69891 | 34272 | 35419 | 69302 | 33873 | 35429 | 8054 | 8097 | 957 |
| 1981 |  | 71007 | 35208 | 35799 | 70187 | 34486 | 35701 | 8235 | 7375 | 859 |
| 1980 | $!$ | 17780 | 8832 | 8949 | 17781 | 8851 | 8919 | 9443 | 8524 | 919 |
|  | 111 | 16896 | 8179 | 8718 | 16442 | 7755 | 8687 | 8990 | 8101 | 889 |
|  | 118 | 17288 | 8470 | 8799 | 17351 | 8545 | 8805 | 9071 | 8175 | 895 |
|  | IV | 17746 | 8790 | 8955 | 17729 | 8711 | 9018 | 9054 | 8097 | 957 |
| 1981 | 1 | 17755 | 8824 | 8931 | 17572 | 8773 | 8895 | 8971 | 8048 | 925 |
|  | 11 | 18432 17851 | 9337 | 9095 | 18276 | 9193 | 9083 | 8815 | 7902 | 913 |
|  | III | 17851 16958 | 8860 | 8982 | 17751 | 8782 | 0969 | 8715 | 7824 | 890 |
|  | J | 16968 | 8187 | 8781 | 16488 | 7738 | 8750 | 8235 | 7375 | 859 |
| 1581 | FEB | 5914 | 2947 | 2955 | 5988 | 2992 | 2998 | 8008 |  |  |
|  | MAR | 6043 | 3032 | 3011 | 8005 | 3025 | 2980 | 8971 | 8048 | 925 |
|  | APR | 6118 | 3080 | 3038 | 8096 | 3067 | 3029 | 8948 | 8033 | 915 |
|  | Mar | 5133 | 3105 | 3028 | 6018 | 2987 | 3021 | 8832 | 7925 | 908 |
|  | JUN | 6180 | 3153 | 3028 | 5163 | 3130 | 3033 | 8815 | 7902 | 913 |
|  | JUL | 6159 | 3105 | 3054 | 5200 | 3163 | 3038 | 8855 | 7959 | 896 |
|  | AUG | 5913 | 2954 | 2959 | 5896 | 2749 | 2947 | 8639 | 7755 | 884 |
|  | SEP | 5779 | 2801 | 2979 | 5855 | 2870 | 2984 | 8715 | 7824 | 880 |
|  | OCT | 5707 | 2743 | 2964 | 5588 | 2557 | 2931 | 8595 | 7738 | 857 |
|  | NOV | 5669 | 2727 | 2943 | 5391 | 2458 | 2933 | 8317 | 7470 | 847 |
|  | OEC | 5592 | 2718 | 2874 | 5509 | 2623 | 2886 | 235 | 7375 | 859 |
| 1982 | UAN | 5487 | 2855 | 2832 | 5284 | 2446 | 2838 | 8031 | 7155 | 865 |
|  | FEB | 5561 | 2707 | 2855 | 5415 | 2602 | 2814 | 7885 | 7051 | 824 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

REAL MANUFACTURIMG SHIPMENTS, DRDERS, ANO UMFILLED OROERS
PERCENTAGE CMAMGES DF SEASOMALLY RDJUSTEO 1971 DOLLAR YALUES

|  |  | TUTL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHIPMEN } \\ & \text { DURASTL } \end{aligned}$ | NOWDUK $88 L$ | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW orDERS } \\ & \text { DURABLE } \end{aligned}$ | WDNDOKABLE | UHFILLED OHDERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 9.2 | 3.0 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 6.4 |
| 1878 |  | 9.2 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 9. 9 | 11.6 | 8. 3 | 18. 2 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| 1878 |  | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 11.6 | -6.5 |
| 1880 |  | -4. 2 | - 5.0 | -2.4 | -5.8 | -8.3 | -2.2 | -4.1 | -4. 9 | 1.1 |
| 1881 |  | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | . 8 | -8.0 | -8.8 | $-10.2$ |
| 1980 | 1 | -. 7 | -1.0 | -. 5 | -1.9 | $-3.5$ | -. 1 | 0 | . 3 | -2.9 |
|  | 11 | $-5.0$ | -7.4 | -2. 5 | -7.5 | - 12.5 | -2.6 | -4.8 | $-5.0$ | -3.3 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 3.6 | . 9 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 9 | . 7 |
|  | IV | 2.8 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | -. 2 | -1.0 | 7.0 |
| 1881 | 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 0.3 | -. 3 | . 7 | $-1.3$ | -. 8 | -. 6 | $-3.4$ |
|  | 11 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 2.1 | $-1.7$ | -1.8 | -1.3 |
|  | 111 | -3.2 | -5.1 | $-1.1$ | $-2,0$ | -4.5 | $-1.3$ | -1.1 | $-1.0$ | -2.5 |
|  | IV | -4.9 | -7. 5 | $-2.3$ | -7.1 | -11.9 | -2.4 | -5.6 | -5.7 | -3.5 |
| 1981 | FEA | 2.0 | 3.5 | 4 | 5.5 | 8. 6 | 2.6 | . 8 | . 6 | 3.4 |
|  | MAR | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | . 3 | 1.1 | -. 6 | -. 4 | -. 1 | -3.2 |
|  | APR | 1.2 | 1.6 | . 9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | -. 3 | -. 2 | -1.0 |
|  | MAY | . 2 | . 8 | -. 3 | -1. 3 | -2.3 | $-.3$ | -1.3 | -1.3 | -. 8 |
|  | さU* | . 8 | 1.5 | . 0 | 2.4 | 4.4 | . 4 | - . 2 | -. 3 | . 6 |
|  | JUL | -. 3 | $-1.5$ | . 9 | . 6 | 1.1 | . 2 | . 5 | . 7 | -1.8 |
|  | AUG | -4.0 | -4.8 | -3.1 | -8. 1 | -13.1 | -3.0 | -2.4 | -2.6 | -1.3 |
|  | SEP | -2.3 | -8. 2 | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | . 8 | . 9 | . 7 |
|  | OCT | -1.3 | -2.1 | $=.5$ | $-4.6$ | -7.4 | -1. 6 | $-1.4$ | -1.1 | -3. 7 |
|  | NOY | 0.7 | -. 5 | -. 7 | -3.5 | $-7.5$ | . 1 | -3.2 | - 3.5 | -1.2 |
|  | DEC | -1.4 | -. 3 | $-2.3$ | 2.2 | 6.7 | -1.6 | -1.0 | -1.3 | 1.5 |
| 1882 | JAN | $-1.9$ | $-2.3$ | -1.5 | -4.1 | -6.8 | -1.7 | $-2.5$ | -2.8 | . 7 |
|  | FE8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | . 8 | 2.5 | 6.4 | -. 8 | -1.8 | $-1.5$ | -4.7 |


SIC, STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END OF THE PERIDD, 1971 DOLLAR VALUES ARE OBTAIMED BY DEFLATING AT THE TMD DIGIT INDUSTRY LEVEL OY THE APPROPRIATE IMPUSTRY SELLIMG PRICE INDEXES (SEE TECHMICAL MOTE, MARCH 19E2).
mar 5, 1882
TABLE 27
2:15 PM

GEAL MANUFACTURING INVENTORY OMHED, AND REAL INYENTORY/SHIPMENT RATID

SEASONALLY ADUUSTED


REAL HAMUFACTURING INYENTORY OMMED BY STAGE OF FABRICATION MILLJONS OF 1971 DOLLARS, SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | RMM MATETAS |  |  | GOODS 7 l Process |  |  | FNTSHED GOOUS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TBTLL | bukatie | WDWDURMELE | TOTAL | OURABEE | NOMOURABLE | TOTAL | DURAELE | NOWDURAELE |
| 1977 |  | 4245 | 2144 | 2102 | 2536 | 1660 | 876 | 4723 | 2120 | 2503 |
| 1978 |  | 4399 | 2309 | 2090 | 2886 | 1798 | 888 | 4495 | 2052 | 2444 |
| 1979 |  | 4750 | 2543 | 2207 | 2947 | 2105 | 842 | 4969 | 2234 | 2536 |
| 1980 |  | 4655 | 2470 | 2185 | 2920 | 2090 | 829 | 4510 | 2138 | 2472 |
| 1981 |  | 4951 | 2780 | 2181 | 2983 | 2143 | 840 | 4924 | 2256 | 255\% |
| 1880 | 1 | 4743 | 2520 | 2223 | 2940 | 2098 | 842 | 4797 | 2261 | 2515 |
|  | 11 | 4754 | 2532 | 2222 | 2951 | 2120 | B30 | 4887 | 2320 | 2567 |
|  | 111 | 4662 | 2498 | 2164 | 2903 | 2087 | 816 | 4773 | 2264 | 2510 |
|  | IV | 4855 | 2470 | 2185 | 2920 | 2090 | 829 | 4510 | 2138 | 2472 |
| 1981 | 1 | 4812 | 2625 | 2185 | 294 震 | 2112 | 836 | 4875 | 2125 | 2549 |
|  | 11 | 4831 | 2555 | 2175 | 3048 | 2203 | 845 | 4700 | 2140 | 2561 |
|  | 111 | 4910 | 2721 | 2189 | 3037 | 2185 | 852 | 4831 | 2203 | 2528 |
|  | IV | 4951 | 2780 | 2191 | 2983 | 2143 | 840 | 4924 | 2265 | 2658 |
| 1881 | FEB | 4737 | 2527 | 2210 | 2984 | 2144 | 840 | 4815 | 2099 | 2516 |
|  | MAR | 4812 | 2626 | 2185 | 2948 | 2112 | 835 | 4675 | 2126 | 2549 |
|  | APR | 4819 | 2843 | 2176 | 2996 | 2152 | 845 | 4871 | 2118 | 2553 |
|  | MAY | 4833 | 2645 | 2188 | 3005 | 2161 | 844 | 4698 | 2123 | 2575 |
|  | \$UM | 4831 | 2656 | 2175 | 3048 | 2203 | 845 | 4700 | 2140 | 2551 |
|  | dUL | 4840 | 2573 | 2187 | 3015 | 2167 | 848 | 4732 | 2157 | 2574 |
|  | AUG | 4916 | 2725 | 2190 | 3022 | 2182 | 841 | 4767 | 2172 | 2595 |
|  | SEP | 4910 | 2721 | 2189 | 3037 | 2185 | 852 | 4831 | 2203 | 2628 |
|  | OCT | 4938 | 2741 | 2197 | 3053 | 2203 | 850 | 4876 | 2257 | 2619 |
|  | NOV | 4962 | 2779 | 2183 | 3033 | 2188 | 845 | 4914 | 2263 | 2651 |
|  | DEC | 4951 | 2760 | 2191 | 2983 | 2143 | 840 | 4924 | 2268 | 2858 |
| 1982 | JAM | 4916 | 2722 | 2194 | 3020 | 2168 | 852 | 4957 | 2278 | 2679 |
|  | FE日 | 4951 | 2728 | 2223 | 3039 | 2174 | B65 | 4950 | 2280 | 2570 |

 SIC, STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END OF TME PERIOD, 1971 ODLLAR VALUES ARE OGTAINED BY DEFLATIMG AT TME TMO DIGIT IMDUSTRY LEVEL BY THE APPROPRIATE INOUSTRY SEIIING PRICE IMOEXES.

CHANGES OF SEASDMALLY AOJUSTED FIGURES IN MILLIDNS DF 1971 DDLLARS

| RAM MATERTALS |  |  |  |  | GOODS IN PROCESS |  |  | FINISHED GOOOS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% 41 | bURABLE | NONTURABLE | T07AL | DURABLE | NOROURAELE | TOTAL | DUKABEE | NOMOURAETE |
| 1877 |  | -70 | -13 | -58 | 98 | 90 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 154 | 165 | -11 | 151 | 138 | 13 | -228 | -68 | -159 |
| 1979 |  | 351 | 234 | 117 | 251 | 307 | - 46 | 274 | 182 | 82 |
| 1980 |  | -95 | -73 | -22 | -28 | -15 | -13 | -160 | -96 | -64 |
| 1981 |  | 295 | 290 | 6 | 53 | 52 | 11 | 314 | 128 | 186 |
| 1980 | 1 | - 8 | -23 | 16 | -8 | -7 | -1 | 1 | 27 | - 19 |
|  | II | 11 | 12 | -1 | 11 | 22 | -11 | 110 | 60 | 50 |
|  | 111 | -92 | -34 | -58 | -47 | -33 | - 15 | - 114 | - 56 | -57 |
|  | IV | -7 | -28 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 13 | - 164 | - 125 | -38 |
| 1981 | 1 | 155 | 156 | 0 | 29 | 22 | 7 | 55 | - 12 | 77 |
|  | 11 | 19 | 29 | - 10 | 100 | 91 | 9 | 26 | 13 | 12 |
|  | 111 | 79 | 65 | 14 | -11 | -19 | 7 | 131 | 63 | 68 |
|  | IV | 41 | 39 | 2 | -54 | -42 | - 12 | 93 | B4 | 29 |
| 1981 | FEB | B | 11 | -3 | 37 | 27 | 11 | 22 | -18 | 41 |
|  | MAR | 74 | 99 | -25 | -35 | -32 | -4 | 59 | 27 | 32 |
|  | APR | 7 | 17 | -9 | 48 | 39 | 9 | -4 | -8 | 4 |
|  | MAY | 15 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 9 | -1 | 27 | 5 | 23 |
|  | JUN | -3 | 10 | -13 | 43 | 42 | 1 | 2 | 17 | - 15 |
|  | dul | 10 | 17 | -8 | - 33 | -36 | 3 | 32 | 18 | 14 |
|  | AUG | 76 | 53 | 23 | 8 | 15 | -7 | 36 | 15 | 21 |
|  | 5EP | -5 | -5 | -1 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 63 | 30 | 33 |
|  | OCT | 28 | 20 | 8 | 16 | 18 | -2 | 45 | 54 | -9 |
|  | NOY | 25 | 35 | -14 | -20 | -15 | -5 | 38 | 7 | 31 |
|  | OEC | - 11 | - 19 | 8 | -50 | -45 | -5 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| 1982 | JAM | -35 | -38 | 3 | 38 | 26 | 12 | 33 | 12 | 21 |
|  | Fer | 35 | 5 | 30 | 19 | 6 | 13 | - 6 | 2 | -9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# capacity utilization rates in manufacturing 

 SEASOMALLY ROJUSTED|  | Total |  | DURTEELE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAPER AND } \\ & \text { ALIIED } \\ & \text { IWDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | PRIMARY METALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METAI } \\ & \text { FABRICETIMG } \end{aligned}$ | MACMIMERY | TRANSPORTATIOM EQUI PMENT | ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRIHICTI } \\ & \text { MMD } \\ & \text { CHEMICAL } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1877 | 82.4 | 84.5 | 80.4 | 81.1 | 73.3 | 78.6 | 78.8 | 97.4 | 74.0 | 77.3 |
| 1978 | 84.3 | 87.3 | 81.4 | 91.2 | 75.4 | 78.8 | 83.7 | 96.0 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| 1979 | 85.2 | 90.8 | 81.8 | 97.0 | 76.6 | 82.6 | 96.0 | 86.0 | 80.4 | 76.1 |
| 1980 | 81.0 | 87.3 | 74.8 | 94.6 | 17.9 | 79.8 | 89.8 | 86.8 | 77.1 | 73.7 |
| 1981 | 79.5 | 85.8 | 73.3 | 88.1 | 75.8 | 78.8 | 88.1 | 11.8 | 78.8 | 71.6 |
| 1980 I | 83.7 | 89.3 | 98.3 | 99.5 | 79.3 | 84.8 | 93.7 | 71.9 | 79.4 | 76.0 |
| 15 | 80.4 | 87.5 | 73.1 | 95.6 | 76.0 | 79.2 | 91.7 | 83.2 | 76.8 | 73.7 |
| III | 79.4 | 88.0 | 73.0 | 91.6 | 76.5 | 77.2 | 87.7 | 64.2 | 75.8 | 71.8 |
| Iv | 80.5 | 86.3 | 74.7 | 81.6 | 79.8 | 77.8 | 86.1 | 67.8 | 96.2 | 73.3 |
| 1881 | 80.8 | 85.8 | 74.9 | 92.1 | 79.2 | 79.7 | 83.6 | 62.1 | 78.2 | 74.1 |
| II | 82.7 | 87.6 | 78.0 | 92.4 | 82.7 | 83.0 | 88.9 | 67.8 | 82.7 | $72 . ?$ |
| 111 | 79.4 | 85.8 | 73.2 | 83.9 | 76.7 | 81.2 | 88.7 | 63.5 | 82.1 | 71.8 |
| IV | 75.0 | 83.2 | 57.0 | 88.1 | 65.1 | 75.7 | 85.1 | 53.8 | 76.4 | 68.0 |

SOURCE; CAFLCTY UY ICIZAYOH RAYES, CKYKLOGUE 31-003, STATTSTIES CANADA

Mar 5. 1982
TABLE 31
2:13 PM

VALUE DF BUILDING PERMITS
percentage changes of seasonaliy adousted pigunes

|  |  | TOTAL | NONRESTVINTIML |  |  |  | RESIDENTIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL FOR } \\ & 55 \\ & \text { MUNICI- } \\ & \text { PALITIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | t07al | INDUSTRIAL | COMMERCIAL | TIOMAL GNO GOYERHMENT |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | -. 5 | -3. 6 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| 1978 |  | 5.8 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 28.5 | 1.7 | -. 6 | 5.4 |
| 1979 |  | 7.7 | 14.5 | 24.8 | 18.7 | -2.8 | 2.6 | 5.3 |
| 1880 |  | 9.2 | 25.2 | 45.3 | 15.8 | 31.3 | -3.8 | 10.8 |
| 1881 |  | 21.2 | 11.7 | -9.4 | 21.0 | 11.8 | 31.4 | 39.7 |
| 1880 | 1 | 10.2 | 33.8 | 33.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | -8.0 | 12.4 |
|  | 11 | -17.3 | -18.8 | -8.5 | -9.9 | -42.4 | -15.3 | -15.2 |
|  | 111 | 16.4 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 10.2 | 28.8 | 14.5 |
|  | IV | 22.5 | 29.3 | 79.1 | 18.5 | 7.2 | 15.4 | 7.3 |
| 1981 | I | . 4 | -14.0 | -34.1 | -7.4 | -2 ${ }^{2}$ | 15.4 | 7.2 19.5 |
|  | III | 5.3 -9.0 | 8.6 |  | 19.5 -8.7 | -27.6 | - 27.7 | 19.5 -6.7 |
|  | III | -8.0 8.9 | .9 14.3 | 5.8 -13.5 | -8.7 21.8 | 27.6 20.6 | -17.9 5.2 | -6.7 36.2 |
| 1881 | JaM | -12.6 | -27.0 | -59.0 | -5.0 | -2.6 | 4.5 | 18.8 |
|  | FEB | 7.8 | 5.2 | -9.2 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 20.2 |
|  | Mar | - 4 | -5.8 | 21.0 | -28.4 | 36.4 | 4.7 | -29.6 |
|  | Mer | 10.3 | 18.0 | -5.4 | 53.2 | -22.5 | 4.9 | 88.3 |
|  | may | -12.3 | -11.8 | -19.4 | -14.2 | 5.5 | -12.7 | -28.7 |
|  | JUN | 5.6 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 16.3 | -6. ${ }^{5}$ | 2.4 | 18.4 |
|  | JUL | 5.7 -18.3 | 11.3 -12.4 | 10.1 | -1.5 -14.1 | -18.9 | -19.9 | 18.2 -24.8 |
|  | SEP | -8.4 | -9.2 | -3.9 | -7.4 | -18.0 | -7. 6 | -15. 1 |
|  | DCT | -1.6 | 4.6 | -17.0 | 12.1 | 7.4 | -8.0 | 18.2 |
|  | Nov | 32.8 | 60.0 | 11.8 | 31.5 | 85.8 | 23.1 | 59.9 |
|  | DEC | 10.9 | -9.4 | - -4.2 | -23.2 | -29.8 | 37.7 -34.5 |  |
| 1882 | Jan | -26.4 | -17.2 | -20.1 | -23.2 | 1.6 | -34.5 |  |



HOUSING STARTS, COMPLETIONS AND MORTBAEE APPROVALS
PEREENTAGE CHMNGES OF SEASOMALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | URBN HOUSTHG STARTS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { URERN } \\ & \text { HOUSIMG } \\ & \text { URDER } \\ & \text { COHSTR. } \end{aligned}$ | URRBANHOUSIMGCOMPLETIONS | TCTAL NEKLY COMPLETEO UNDCCUPIED DNELLINGS (2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | THOUSANDS of STARTS <br> (1) | TOTAL | SIMGIES | MULTIPLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 198.5 | -6.5 | -14.2 | -1. 1 | 2.2 | 15.0 | NA | 8987 | 4302 | 2885 |
| 1878 |  | 183.8 | -7.5 | -1.1 | -11.3 | -8.3 | -3.88 | 10.5 | 5638 | 2313 | 3324 |
| 1979 |  | 151.4 | -17.5 | -1.0 | -28.5 | -22.1 | -10. 1 | -5. 1 | 4346 | 353 | 3983 |
| 1980 |  | 125.6 | -17.1 | $-15.8$ | -18.2 | -24.8 | -19.8 | -8.4 | 3287 | 114 | 3173 |
| 1881 |  | 144.2 | 14.8 | 9.2 | 22.0 | -2,5 | $-3.4$ | -10.5 | 2818 | 155 | 2683 |
| 9880 | 11 | 112.0 | - 16.4 | -11.7 | $-20.3$ | -8.2 | $=90.1$ | -8.5 | 457 | 15 | 642 |
|  | 111 | 122.3 | 8.2 | 13.2 | 5.6 | -6.3 | -11.0 | -4.9 | 888 | 32 | 856 |
|  | IV | 134.0 | 9.5 | 19.4 | . 0 | $-.2$ | -2. 6 | -8. 3 | 978 | 54 | 914 |
| 1981 | 1 | 143.3 | 7.0 | 20.0 | -8.0 | -2. 7 | 6. 3 | -3.5 | 740 | 7 | 733 |
|  | 11 | 175.3 | 23.0 | . 0 | 57.6 | 9.9 | 1.7 | -2. 1 | 1088 | 20 | 1048 |
|  | 111 | 145.0 | -17.8 | -31.0 | $-5.2$ | 1.8 | . 0 | 2.0 | 607 | 46 | 561 |
|  | IV | 112.0 | -22. | -47.8 | $-5.4$ | -7. 2 | -6. 4 | 15.8 | 403 | 82 | 321 |
| 1882 | 1 | 148.0 | 32.1 | 8.7 | 40.7 |  | -9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | Mar | 159.0 | 2.9 | -5. 8 | 15.5 | -1.0 | 9.2 | 1.2 | 312 | 4 | 308 |
|  | APR | 189.0 | 25.2 | 8.3 | 46.3 | 5.8 | -2. 8 | -2.6 | 368 | 5 | 363 |
|  | MAY | 173.0 | -8.5 | -5.5 | -91.2 | 7.2 | -6.5 | -. 9 | $3{ }^{6}$ | 6 | 380 |
|  | JUN | 167.0 | -3.5 | -5. | -1.1 | . 1 | 18.5 | 1.1 | 314 | 9 | 305 |
|  | JUL | 149.0 | -10.8 | - 19.8 | -2.3 | $-1.3$ | -7.8 | -2.9 | 245 | 12 | 234 |
|  | AUG | 141.0 | -5.4 | -9.2 | -2.4 | 1.5 | -5.6 | 2.8 | 170 | 15 | 155 |
|  | SEP | 185.0 | 2.8 | -8.5 | 11.0 | -. 1 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 191 | 19 | 172 |
|  | OCT | 82.0 | -43.4 | -37.0 | $-47.3$ | -6.0 | -11.6 | 8.0 | 114 | 21 | 83 |
|  | NOY | 88.0 | 19.5 | -17.6 | 45.6 | -3.9 | - . 8 | 2.8 | 118 | 27 | 81 |
|  | DEC | 156.0 | 59.2 | 10.7 | 78.6 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 171 | 36 | 137 |
| 1882 | JAN | 133.0 | -14.7 | 9.9 | -20.8 |  | -22.6 |  | 144 | 0 | 144 |
|  | FE8 | 170.0 | 27.8 | 2.9 | 36.4 |  | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | MAR | 141.0 | $-17.1$ | -5.7 | -20.0 |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |


(1) SEASONALIY AOSUSTED, ANNIAL RATES.
(2) NOT SEASONALLY ADUUSTED.

INDICATORS OF PERSOMAL EXPENOTTURE ON GOODS
PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASOHALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES


## Labour

34 Labour Force Survey Summary, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 41
35 Characteristics of the Unemployed, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 41
36 Labour Force Summary, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 42
37 Labour Force Summary, Women, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 42
38 Labour Force Summary, Men, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 43
39 Employment by Industry, Labour Force Survey,
Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 43
40 Estimates of Employees by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 44
41-42 Large Firm Employment by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 44-45
43-44 Wages and Salaries by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 45-46
45 Average Weekly Hours by Industry, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 46
46 Average Weekly Wages and Salaries by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 47
47 Wage Settlements ..... 47

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CABOIN } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  | UNEMPLOYNENT RATE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNEMPLOY- } \\ & \text { MENT (1) } \end{aligned}$ | PARTICIPATIDM RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POFAL } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FULL-TME } \\ & (1)(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PART-TIME } \\ \{1\}(2) \end{gathered}$ |  | TOTAL | AGES 15-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGES } 25 \\ & \text { AMD DYER } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 1.6 | B. 1 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 16.9 | 61.5 |
| 1978 |  | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 3.0 | B. 4 | 14.5 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 52.6 |
| 1979 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 5.4 | -8.0 | 53.3 |
| 1880 |  | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 64.0 |
| 1981 |  | 2.7 | 2. 6 | 2.0 | 6. 8 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 13,3 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 54.7 |
| 1880 | II | . 3 | . 0 | . 2 | 1.5 | . 3 | 7.8 | 13.8 | 5.6 | 4.4 | B3. 8 |
|  | 111 | . 3 | . 6 | . 2 | 2.6 | . 7 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 5.5 | -2. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 63.9 |
|  | IV | . 9 | 1.2 | . 8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 5.3 | -2.9 | 64.2 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 64.7 |
|  | II | . 5 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.5 | . 5 | 7.2 | 12.7 | 5.2 | -. 2 | 64.7 |
|  | III | . 3 | -. 1 | - . 2 | . 5 | -. 2 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 5.3 | E4. 7 |
|  | IV | . 2 | -. 7 | -1.3 | . 1 | -. 8 | B. 4 | 14.5 | E. 3 | 11.4 | 64.6 |
| 1982 | 1 | $-.7$ | -. 9 | -1.1 | . 4 | - . $B$ | B. 5 | 15.3 | 6. 4 | 2. 1 | 63.9 |
| 1981 | MAR | . 1 | -. 1 | -. 2 | . 2 | -. 2 | 7.4 | 13.4 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 54. 8 |
|  | APR | . 0 | . 3 | . 8 | -. 3 | . 3 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 5.1 | -4.4 | 64.7 |
|  | May | . 3 | . 1 | -. 3 | 2.9 | . 0 | 7.2 | 12.8 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 54.7 |
|  | JUN | . 3 | . 2 | . 4 | -1.8 | . 1 | 7.4 | 12.9 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 64.8 |
|  | dUL | -. 2 | -. 2 | -. 1 | . 3 | -. 3 | 7.4 | 12.7 | 5.5 | -. 1 | 54.6 |
|  | AUG | . 0 | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | 7.1 | 12.2 | 5.3 | -3.7 | 64.5 |
|  | SEP | . 8 | -. 4 | $\therefore .7$ | . 9 | -. 4 | 8.2 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 17.0 | 65.0 |
|  | DCl | - 2 | -. 2 | -. 5 | - 1.0 | -. 3 | B. 3 | 14.2 | 6. 2 | . 7 | 64.8 |
|  | MOV | $-.3$ | -. 2 | -. 3 | . 9 | -. 3 | 8.3 | 14.7 | 6.1 | -. 6 | 64.6 |
|  | DEC | -. 1 | -. 5 | -. 8 | -. 6 | -. 4 | 8.6 | 14. B | S. 5 | 4.4 | 64.4 |
| 1982 | JAN | - 6 | -. 2 | -. 2 | 1.0 | -. 1 | B. 3 | 15.0 | 6.0 | -4.2 | 64.0 |
|  | FEB | -. 1 | -. 4 | -. 4 | -. 5 | -. 4 | 8. 5 | 15.0 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 63.8 |
|  | MAR | 4 | -. 1 | . 1 | -. 5 | -. 2 | 9.0 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 64.0 |

SOLIRCE: THE LGGOUR FORCE, CATALOGUE 7T-001, STAFTSTICS CANADA.
(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE
(2) END POINY SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED (SEE GLOSSARY) BY G.E.A. SYAFF,


LABOUR FDREE SUMMARY, GGES $15-24$ And 25 AMO OVER SEASOMALLY AQdUSTED


LABOUR FORCE SUMMARY，MEN，AGES $15-24$ AND 25 AND OVER
SEASOMALLY ADUUSTED

|  |  | AdE5 15－24 |  |  |  |  | HGES 25 AND OVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EMPLOY－ MENT （1） | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNEMPLOY } \\ \text { MENT } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNERTRLDY - } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAEOUR } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPLDY - } \\ & \text { MEMT } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | UNEMPLOY－ MEM ${ }^{-1}$ <br> （ 1 ） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNEMPIOY= } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARTICI- } \\ & \text { PATIOM } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1877 |  | 3.3 | 1.4 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 88.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 18.0 | 4.9 | 80.8 |
| 1878 |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 15.1 | 89.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | B． 2 | 5.2 | 81.0 |
| 1875 |  | 3.5 | 5.6 | －9．2 | 13.3 | 71.4 | 1.5 | 2.8 | $-11.0$ | 4.5 | 80.9 |
| 1880 |  | 1.3 | ． 7 | 5.0 | 13．8 | 72.0 | 9， 7 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 80.5 |
| 1881 |  | ． 4 | $-.1$ | 3.8 | 14.2 | 72.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 80.3 |
| 1880 | II | ． 4 | － 8 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 92.1 | 3 | ． 3 | 1.2 | 4． 7 | 80.5 |
|  | III | －． 5 | ． 3 | －5．1 | 13.9 | 71.7 | ． 5 | ． 3 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 80.4 |
|  | IV | ． 4 | 1.2 | －4．2 | 13.2 | 72.1 | ， 5 | ． 7 | －1． 6 | 4． 7 | 80.5 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.3 | ． 7 | 4.7 | 13.8 | 73.1 | ， | 1.0 | －4．2 | 4.5 | 80.7 |
|  | II | －． 7 | －． 4 | －2．3 | 13.4 | 72.6 | ． 1 | ． 1 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 80.1 |
|  | II】 | －． 5 | －1．1 | 3.6 | 13.8 | 12．4 | ． 4 | ． 1 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 80.2 |
|  | IV | －1．1 | －3．4 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 71.9 | 4 | －． 3 | 12.8 | 5.5 | 80.0 |
| 18：2 | 1 | －2． 8 | － 3.8 | 3.0 | 15.8 | 70.1 | $=.4$ | －． 7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 79.3 |
| 1981 | MAR | ． 8 | －． 1 | 6.2 | 14.1 | 73.5 | －． 1 | －． 2 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 80.6 |
|  | APR | －1．2 | ． 1 | －8．8 | 13.0 | 72.6 | ． 1 | ． 3 | －3．3 | 4.4 | 80.6 |
|  | MAY | －． 4 | － 1.0 | 3.7 | 13.5 | 72.4 | －． 3 | －． 5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 80.2 |
|  | UUN | ． 5 | ． 3 | 2.7 | 13.8 | 72.8 | ． 3 | ． 2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 80.3 |
|  | dUL | － 1.0 | ． .7 | －2．6 | 13.8 | 72.2 | ． 2 | .1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 80.3 |
|  | AUG | －． 5 | ． 0 | －3．5 | 13.1 | 72.0 | ． 0 | ． 3 | －6． 1 | 4.6 | 80.2 |
|  | SEP | 1.5 | －． 8 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 73.1 | ． 2 | －． 5 | 15．0 | 5.3 | 80.2 |
|  | OCT | － 1.2 | －1．7 | 1.6 | 15.6 | 72.3 | ． 2 | ． 1 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 80.2 |
|  | MOV | －． 8 | －1．2 | 1.5 | 16.0 | 71.8 | －． 1 | .1 | －4．8 | 5.2 | 80.0 |
|  | DEC | －． 1 | －． 8 | 1.5 | 16.3 | 71.5 | ． 1 | －． 9 | 15.8 | 8.0 | 79.9 |
| 1882 | JAN | －2．1 | －2．4 | － .4 | 16.6 | 70.1 | －． 6 | － 2 | －5．9 | 5.7 | 79.3 |
|  | EEB | －． 2 | $=.1$ | ． 7 | 16.7 | 70.1 | 0 | －． 1 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 79.2 |
|  | M ${ }_{\text {仡 }}$ | －． 2 | $-1.0$ | 4.1 | 17.5 | 70.0 | ． 4 | ． 1 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 79.4 |


（1）PERCENTAGE CHANGE．
（APR 15．1882
TABLE 38
$10: 27$ M

EMPLDYMENT SY INDUSTRY，LABOUR FOREE SURVEY AERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDKALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES


|  |  | G0005 TNDUSTRIES |  |  |  |  | TEANSPOER-- SEICE INIUSTRTES - MON- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total Excluding AGRICULTURE | total EXCLUBING agriculture | PRIMARY IMOUSTRIES EXCLUDING AGRICULTURE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUCT- } \\ & \text { TIDH } \end{aligned}$ | total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRADSPDRT- } \\ & \text { ATION, } \\ & \text { COMMUMICA- } \\ & \text { TIDN AND } \\ & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { UTILPTIES } \end{aligned}$ | trade | Ab COMERCIAL SERVICES(1) | NON- <br> COMMERCIAL SERVICES INCIUDING PUBLIC MOMINISTRATJON |
| 1977 |  | 2.7 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 1 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.0 | . 9 | 8.5 | 2.1 |
| 1978 |  | 2.0 | -. 1 | . 2 | 1.6 | -6.5 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.6 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
| 1980 |  | 2.1 | -. 6 | 8.0 | $-1.2$ | -2.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
| 1981 |  | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 4.1 | , 8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| 1980 | 1 | . 1 | -. 5 | 2.5 | -. 4 | -2.8 | . 4 | . 9 | $-.3$ | . 9 | . 3 |
|  | 11 | . 2 | -1.9 | 1.5 | -1.6 | -3.7 | . 9 | . 9 | . 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | III | . 7 | . 2 | -1.0 | -. 4 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 8 |
|  | Iv | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | . 8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | . 8 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | . 4 | 1.8 | . 9 | 1.4 |  | 1.5 | 3.0 | . 7 |
|  | II | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | . 7 | $-1$ | 2.0 | . 1 | .9 |
|  | 111 | -. 1 | -1.8 | -3.8 | $-1.7$ | $-1.9$ | . 6 | -1.1 | 1.0 -.9 | 1.2 .5 | . 6 |
|  | iv | -. 2 | -1.6 | 1.4 | -1.8 | -2.5 | . 3 | 1.6 | -. 9 |  |  |
| 1981 | Jan | . 5 | -. 3 | -. 8 | . 3 | -2.4 | . 8 | 1 | . 7 | 1.8 | 2 |
|  | FEB | . 6 | 1.5 | . 9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | . 2 | -1.3 | . 2 | . 5 | . 8 |
|  | MAR | . 1 | . 3 | 1.7 | . 0 | . 5 | . 1 | 1.8 | . 1 | -. 9 | . 3 |
|  | APR | . 3 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.3 | . 8 | -1.6 | . 6 | 4 | -. 5 |
|  | May | . 6 | .3 -.8 | . 3 | . 2 | -2.5 | . 1 | -. 1 | 1.4 .7 | -. 4 | . 3 |
|  | JUL | -. 3 | -9.5 | -5.0 | -1.6 | 1.0 | 2 | -3.2 | . 3 | 1.4 | . 4 |
|  | AUG | -. 4 | -. 8 | -1.0 | -. 7 | -1.0 | -. 2 | 2.7 | -. 8 | $\therefore 7$ | -. 8 |
|  | SEP | 6 | 3 | 4.5 | . 5 | -2.8 | . 7 | . 5 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 3 |
|  | OCT | -. 4 | -1.2 | -. 4 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -. 1 | 4 | -1.0 | - 2 | . 5 |
|  | noy | -. 2 | -. 6 | -. 8 | -. 8 | . 3 | -. 1 | -. 3 | -. 3 | -. 1 | . 0 |
|  | DEC | . 9 | . 0 | -. 4 | -. 5 | 2.5 | . 2 | . 5 | -. 2 | . 5 | . 0 |
| 1982 | JAN | 2 | -1.4 | -2.1 | -1.0 | -2.5 | . 8 | -. 3 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 8 |

SOURCE: ESTMMATES OF EMPLOYEES GY BRDYTNEE AND INDLETRY, CATALOGUE 72-008.
(1) BASED ON THE 1960 STANDARO INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.

|  |  | TWDUSTRYAL |  |  |  | TVFACTUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMPOSITE } \\ \text { (2) } \end{gathered}$ | FORESTRY | MINING | toral | DURABLE | nondurable |
| 1977 |  | 1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | -1.4 | -1.8 | -1. 1 |
| 1978 |  | 1.5 | 4.4 | -3.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | . 5 |
| 1979 |  | 2.8 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.1 |
| 1980 |  | 1.1 | -4.0 | 19.5 | -1.9 | -3.0 |  |
| 1981 |  | 2.1 | - 7.9 | 3.6 | . 7 | -. 2 | 1.5 |
| 1980 | 1 | 3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | - 6 | -. 8 | -. 5 |
|  | 11 | -. 3 | -3.1 | 3.8 | $-1.5$ | -2. 7 | -. 4 |
|  | 111 | . 0 | -7.0 | . 5 | -. 8 | -. 9 | -. 8 |
|  | IV | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1. 4 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.6 | - 0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 .7 |
|  | III | 1.0 -7 | $-1.7$ | -2. 2 | 1.8 -2.2 | 2.7 -3.8 | -.7 |
|  | IV | -.7 -.5 | -7.4 2.6 | -2.0 -4 | -2.2 -2.9 | -3.8 -3.3 | -2.0 -2.0 |
| 1981 | JAN | 1.0 | 8 | . 6 | . 5 | -. 8 | 1.5 |
|  | FEB | . 1 | -1.3 | . 7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | . 2 |
|  | mar | . 5 | 2.9 | . 7 | . 2 | . 7 | -. 3 |
|  | APR | . 3 | -4.4 | . 2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . |
|  | May | . 4 | 2.1 | -1.3 | . 0 | 1 | 12 |
|  | JUN | . 3 | -. 5 | . 5 | 6 | 1.0 | . 1 |
|  | dUI | -1.3 | -13.2 | . 1 | -2.3 | -4.3 | -. 8 |
|  | AUG | . 3 | 2.6 | -3, 7 | -. 5 | - 8 | - 4 |
|  | SEP | . 3 | 13.3 | 1.5 | -1. 5 | -2.8 | -. 8 |
|  | OCT NOY | -. 4 | -5.5 | . 0 | -1.5 -1.2 | -2.2 | -. 8 |
|  | DEC | -. 5 | -6. 3 | . 6 | -1.0 | -. 7 | -. 8 |
| 1982 | Jan | -. 3 | 5.8 | $-1.3$ | -. 9 | $-1.1$ | -. 8 |

[^13]
## LARGE FIRM EMPLOYMENT BY IMOUSTRY (1) <br> PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES COMTINUED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSFDR- } \\ & \text { TATION } \\ & \text { COMMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TIONG } \\ & \text { UTILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | TRADE |  |  | Fimance InSIIRANCE \& REAL ESTATE | CEFPTUNTYY <br> BUSINESS <br> ${ }_{6}^{6}$ <br> PERSOMAL <br> SERVICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | MHOLESALE | RETAIL |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  | -2. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.0 | -1.5 | -2.2 | -1.1 | 5.7 | 3.0 |
| 1978 |  | -10.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | -. 4 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| 1979 |  | -3.5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| 1880 |  | -2.8 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.5 |
| 1981 |  | 5.5 | . 7 | 1.9 | . 9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| 1980 | 1 | -. 1 | 1.2 | . 4 | . 5 | . 5 | -. 2 | 1.3 |
|  | 11 | -3.6 | 1.0 | .1 | -. 1 | . 1 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | 111 | 2.0 | . 1 | . 5 | . 4 | . 6 | . 3 | , 4 |
|  | IV | . 6 | . 6 | . 0 | . 1 | -. 1 | . 5 | . 8 |
| 1981 | 1 | 4.4 | -. 4 | 1.4 | . 7 | 1.7 | . 8 | 3.5 |
|  | 11 | . 8 | . 3 | . 6 | . 5 | . 8 | . 8 | 1.2 |
|  | III | . 0 | -. 5 | -. 2 | -. 3 | -. 1 | 1.8 | . 8 |
|  | IV | -. 5 | 1.9 | -. 8 | $-1.2$ | $-.7$ | . 8 | 1.5 |
| 1981 | JAN | 3.5 |  | 1.0 | . 6 | 1.8 | . 3 | 2.8 |
|  | FEB | 1.8 | -2. 1 | . 4 | . 3 | -. 6 | . 0 | . 1 |
|  | MAR | -1.4 | 1.4 | . 0 | . 4 | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 |
|  | APR | 1.6 | $-4$ | . 2 | -. 4 | . 4 | . 2 | 4 |
|  | MAY | -. 8 | . 5 | . 1 | . 7 | . 1 | . 7 | 7 |
|  | JUM | , 3 | . 2 | . 8 | . 2 | . 9 | . 1 | 0 |
|  | JUL | . 1 | -3.1 | -. 2 | -. 1 | -. 3 | . 7 | . 5 |
|  | AUG | .2 | 3.2 | -. 5 | $-.3$ | $-.4$ | 1.1 | -. 4 |
|  | SEP | - . 4 | . 2 | -. 5 | -1.1 | -. 3 | . 1 | 1.4 |
|  | OCT | -. 5 | . 7 | -. 1 | . 4 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 5 |
|  | MOV | 1.5 | -. 1 | -. 2 | -. 8 | . 0 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | DEC | -2. 3 | . 3 | -. 5 | 0.7 | -. . 6 | . 0 | . 5 |
| 1982 | J ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 2.7 | . 0 | . 2 |  |  | . 5 | $-1.7$ |

SOURCE: EMPLOYMENI, EARNINGS AND HOUHई, CATALOGUE 12-002, STATISTICS CANADA
(1) SEE GLOSSARY. STANOARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.

PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | G00\|IS INOUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | MINING | MANUFAETURING | CONSTRUCTION |
| 1977 |  | 0.1 | 17.7 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| 1978 |  | C. 6 | 14.8 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 9.9 | -3. 2 |
| 1979 |  | 12.4 | 11.4 | 13.3 | 20.5 | 13.6 | 5.7 |
| 1980 |  | 9.0 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 23.7 | 8.1 | 7.0 |
| 1981 |  | 13.2 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 17.3 | 12.0 | 17.3 |
| 1980 | 1 | 2.1 | -11.4 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
|  | II | . 2 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 7.2 | . 3 | -4.3 |
|  | 111 | 1.9 | . 5 | -7. 6 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 5.7 |
|  | IV | 5.0 | 9. 5 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 7.4 |
| 1981 | 1 | 4.0 | -4.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4,3 | 4.2 |
|  | II | 4.4 | 3.2 | 2. 5 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 2.8 |
|  | III | . 0 | 3.8 | - 14.4 | 1.5 | -1.0 | 4.2 |
|  | IV | 2.2 | 1.0 | 14. 6 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.3 |
| 1981 | JAH | 1.1 | -9.4 | . 0 | 9,7 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
|  | FEB | 1.5 | 10.7 | . 2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | . 1 |
|  | MAR | . 1 | $-7.8$ | 7.7 | -. 3 | . 4 | -. 5 |
|  | APR | 1.5 | 2.3 | -4.1 | 3.0 | 2.2 | -. 6 |
|  | May | 2.6 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 5.0 |
|  | Jun | 1.6 | $-4.0$ | 2.6 | . 5 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
|  | dut | -1.1 | 1.6 | -14.0 | 1.0 | -1.2 | -. 3 |
|  | AUG | -2.7 | 3.1 | -13.4 | -1.6 | -4.4 | 2.8 |
|  | SEP | 3.2 | 2.1 | 22.1 | 2.0 | 3.6 | . 5 |
|  | DCI | . 4 | -4.4 | 12.3 | 1.1 | . 3 | -. 5 |
|  | NOY | . 9 | 4.3 | -5.8 | 1.0 | . 0 | 4.3 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | . 8 | -6.8 | 1.6 | . 4 | -1.1 |
| 1982 | JAN | -. 6 | -10.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | -. 7 | . 1 |

SOURCE: ESTMMAFES OF LABOUR INCDME, CATALOGUE 72-005, STATISTICS GARADA. BASED ON THE 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATIDN.

PERCEMTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES COMTINUEO

|  |  | SERVICE MOUSTRTES |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> MAGES AND SALARIES (2) | SUPPLE- <br> MENTARY <br> LABOUR <br> I MCOME | TOTAL LAg0UR INCOME | TIME LOST <br> IN MORK <br> STOPPAGES <br> (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | TRaNSPORTATIOM STORAGE. AMO COMMUMICATION | TAADE | FTMANCE INSURANCE REAL ESTATE | COMNUNTTY <br>  <br> PERSONAL <br> SERVICES | MUBLIE ADMINIS- TRATION AND DEFENSE (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 10.5 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 13.4 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 275.7 |
| 1978 |  | 9.9 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 13.9 | 8.1 | 616.) |
| 1979 |  | 11.8 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 16.1 | 11.3 | 8.3 | 12.0 | 8.5 | 11.7 | 648.8 |
| 1980 |  | 12.5 | 14.3 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 747.9 |
| 1981 |  | 13.8 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 13.8 | 15.3 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 728.0 |
| 1980 | 1 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 800.0 |
|  | II | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 706. 7 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 959.0 |
|  | IV | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 525.9 |
| 1881 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 584.0 |
|  | 11 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 482.4 |
|  | II! | 3.7 | . 6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1382.8 |
|  | IV | 3.0 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 1. 5 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 462.8 |
| 1881 | JAM | . 5 | . 8 | . 6 | 1.9 | . 3 | 0.1 | . 7 | 4 | . 7 | 308.8 |
|  | FE8 | . 4 | . 0 | 1.0 | -. 8 | 1.3 | -1.2 | . 8 | , 8 | . 8 | 658.4 |
|  | MAR | . 7 | 9.5 | . 6 | . 7 | . 8 | -. 3 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 774.9 |
|  | APR | 2.0 | 3.3 | 1.2 | T. 7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 561.1 |
|  | MAY | 1.4 | . 8 | . 4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 462.6 |
|  | JUN | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.1 | . 5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 423.5 |
|  | JUL | . 7 | -3. 7 | 1.8 | 2.5 | . 5 | 3.9 | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 | 1784.1 |
|  | AUG | . 5 | 3.9 | -. 5 | -. 1 | . 5 | - 1.8 | -. 5 | -. 6 | 0.6 | 1713.3 |
|  | SEP | 4.3 | 4.4 | . 4 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 871.1 |
|  | OCT | -. 7 | 2.4 | . 7 | -. 5 | -3.0 | . 4 | - 3 | - . 4 | -. 3 | 651.0 |
|  | NOV | . 6 | . 4 | . 6 | 1.4 | . 8 | . | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 | 545.3 |
|  | DEC | . 8 | 2 | . 6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 182.1 |
| 1882 | JAN | . 5 | $-1.0$ | . 2 | . 3 | 1.9 | -. 9 | . 2 | . 1 | . 2 |  |

SOUREE: ESTIRATES OF LABOUR TNEOME. BATALOGUE T2-805. STATISTTCS CANADA.
SOURE: ESEED ON THE 1960 STANOARII INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.
(1) EXCLUDES MILITARY PAY AND ALLOMANCES.
(2) INCLUDES FISHING AND TRAPPING.
(3) THOUSANOS OF PERSON-DAYS. NOT SEASONALLY AOJUSTED.

|  |  | MINING | MANUFACTURINS |  |  | COASTRUTTIN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TOYAL | DIURAELE | NONDURABLE | T016 | BUILDIKG | ENGIFEERING |
| 1977 |  | 40.6 | 38.7 | 39.5 | 37.8 | 38.7 | 37.0 | 41.5 |
| 1978 |  | 40.5 | 38.8 | 39.6 | 37.9 | 38.9 | 37.2 | 42.1 |
| 1979 |  | 41.1 | 38.8 | 39.5 | 38.0 | 39.3 | 37.8 | 42.5 |
| 1980 |  | 40.8 | 38.5 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 39.1 | 37.6 | 41.9 |
| 1881 |  | 40.4 | 38.5 | 39.3 | 37.8 | 38.9 | 37.5 | 41.9 |
| 1980 | 1 | 41.3 | 38.7 | 39.4 | 38.0 | 39.4 | 38.0 | 42.1 |
|  | 11 | 41.1 | 38.4 | 39.1 | 37.8 | 38.7 | 37.1 | 41.8 |
|  | III | 40.6 | 38.3 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 38.9 | 37.6 | 41.8 |
|  | IV | 40.4 | 38.6 | 39.4 | 37.9 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 42.0 |
| 1981 | 1 | 40.6 | 38.7 | 39.4 | 38.0 | 39.3 | 38.0 | 42.2 |
|  | 11 | 40.6 | 38.9 | 39.7 | 38.0 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 41.6 |
|  | I11 | 40.4 | 38.5 | 39.4 | 37.5 | 38.9 | 37.6 | 42.1 |
|  | IV | 39.8 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 37.6 | 38.8 | 37.6 | 41.8 |
| 1881 | JAN | 40.8 | 38.9 | 39.7 | 38.2 | 39.9 | 38.5 | 43.0 |
| 188 | FEB | 40.6 | 38.7 | 39.2 | 38.0 | 39.1 | 37.8 | 41.9 |
|  | MAR | 40.1 | 38.6 | 39.3 | 37.7 | 38.9 | 37.8 | 41.7 |
|  | APR | 40.7 | 38.8 | 39.7 | 37.9 | 37.8 | 36.6 | 41.4 |
|  | MAY | 40.7 | 39.0 | 39.8 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 37.5 | 41.7 |
|  | JUN | 40.3 | 38.9 | 39.7 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 37.5 | 41.8 |
|  | JUL | 40.1 | 38.9 | 39.9 | 37.7 | 38.6 | 37.5 | 41.3 |
|  | AUG | 40.5 | 38.4 | 39.4 | 37.5 | 39.3 | 37.7 | 43.2 |
|  | SEP | 40.6 | 36. 1 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 38.9 | 37.7 | 41.7 |
|  | OCT | 40. | 38.5 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 38.1 | 37.5 | 40.0 |
|  | NOV | 40.2 | 38.0 | 38.5 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 41.8 |
|  | OEC | 39.1 | 37.7 | 38.3 | 37.3 | 39.5 | 37.6 | 43.8 |
| 1982 | JAM | 40.0 | 37.9 | 38.5 | 37.4 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 41.1 |


BASED DN 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.

> GYERAGE MEEKLY WAGES AND SALARIES BY INDUSTRY PEREENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASONALHY MDJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | INDUSTRIAL COMPOSITE | FORESTRY | MINING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MANU- } \\ & \text { PACTURING } \end{aligned}$ | CONS- <br> TRUCTIDN | TRANS PDRTAIION | MHOLESALE trade | RETAIL Trade | FINANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORMUNTYY } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 9.9 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.0 |
| 1978 |  | 6.2 | 4.4 | 8. 1 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 5.1 |
| 1979 |  | 8.6 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 8.9 | B. 3 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| 1980 |  | 9.8 | 12.2 | 91.7 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 7. 6 | 11.5 | 9.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.4 | 11.8 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 12. E | 11.2 | 9. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 15.5 | 11.5 |
| 1980 | I | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3. $B$ | 2.4 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
|  | 11 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | . 3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
|  | 111 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2. 6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
|  | IV | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| 1981 | 1 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | B. 0 | 3.1 |
|  | 11 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1. E | 2.2 | 2.6 |
|  | 111 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
|  | IV | 2.9 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | . 6 | 2.5 |
| 1881 | JAN | 1.4 | 4 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 1.4 |
|  | FEB | 1.7 | $-.3$ | 1.2 | 9.8 | -1.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | . 8 | 1.5 |
|  | MAR | . 1 | 3.0 | . 6 | . 2 | . 2 | -. 4 | -. 2 | . 1 | -. 2 | -. 2 |
|  | APR | . 7 | -1. 3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | -. 7 | . 6 | . 4 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
|  | MAY | 2.8 | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | . 4 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
|  | JUN | -. 7 | 2.0 | . 4 | . $B$ | 1.3 | . 5 | . 6 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.0 |
|  | JUL | . 1 | -2.4 | 1.3 | . 4 | -1.0 | - 1.2 | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | . 8 |
|  | AUG | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | . 8 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.1 | . 4 | - 1 | 1.2 |
|  | SEP | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.7 | . 8 | -. 2 | 9.5 | 1.3 | . 5 | . 4 | . 7 |
|  | OCT | . 0 | 3.4 | . | 1.5 | -. 5 | 1.5 | . 9 | . 8 | . 1 | . 8 |
|  | NDV | . 9 | -1. 8 | 1.2 | . 8 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 9 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.0 |
|  | DEC | . 5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | . 7 | . 6 | . 8 | . 7 | -. 3 | -. 3 | . 7 |
| 1982 | UAN | . 3 | $-.7$ | 1.9 | 1.0 | $-1.3$ | -. 7 |  |  | . 6 | 1.2 |

SOURCE: EMPLOYMENT, EARNTWGS WND HOURS, CATILOGUE $12=002$. STEYTSTIES CAMIDA.
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COMSUMER PRICE IMDEXES, 1971 : 100
PERCENTAGE CHANGES, MDT SEASONALLY ADUUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { ITEMS } \end{gathered}$ | F00b | ROUSTNE | CLOTHINE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANE: } \\ & \text { PORTATION } \end{aligned}$ | HEALTH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECREATION } \\ & \text { \& EOUCATION } \end{aligned}$ | COBACCD 8 ALCOHOL | ENEKGY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 8. 0 | 8. 4 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 12.2 |
| 1978 |  | 9.0 | 15.5 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 8.1 | 9.3 |
| 1979 |  | 9.1 | 13.2 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 9.8 |
| 1980 |  | 10.1 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 11.2 | 16.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 7.1 | 18.4 | 10.9 | 10. 1 | 12.8 | 30.1 |
| 1980 | 11 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.1 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
|  | IV | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 8.5 |
| 1981 |  | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 9.6 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 4.4 | E. 6 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 6.4 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | -. 6 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 4. 1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.0 | . 4 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| 1981 | MAR | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | . 7 | 1.0 | 4.8 |
|  | *PR | . 7 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 2 | 1.0 | . 5 | . 0 | . 8 | . 0 |
|  | MAY | . 8 | $-.8$ | 1.1 | . 2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
|  | JUN | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | . 7 | 2.3 | . 3 | . 5 | 2.5 | 4.9 |
|  | dUL | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | -. 3 | . 6 | , 7 | . 6 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | AUC | .7 | . 3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . 3 | 1.1 | . 6 | 1.0 | . 5 |
|  | $5{ }^{\text {SP }}$ | .7 | -. 2 | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 3.1 |
|  | OCT | 1.0 | -. 1 | 1.8 | . 7 | . 4 | . 2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
|  | HOV | . 9 | -. 2 | . 4 | . 7 | 2.5 | 1.3 | . 7 | 2.6 | -. 1 |
|  | DEC | . 4 | $\bigcirc 8$ | . 7 | -. 4 | 2.0 | . 3 | . 1 | . 4 | 2.9 |
| 1982 | JAN | 9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | -1.6 | . 7 | . 4 | $-.1$ | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  | FEB | 1.2 | 2.0 | . 8 | 2.4 | . 3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 3 |
|  | MAR | 1.3 | . 8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | . 4 | .1 | 5.4 |

SOURCE: THE CONSOMER PRTCE TNDEX, CATALDEUE 62-OOT. STATISTICS CAHADA.

CONSUMER PRICE INDEXES, 1971: 100
Ratio of selected components to all items index, not seasomally adusted

|  | F000 | ROUSINE | CLOFMIMG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANS- } \\ & \text { PDRTATION } \end{aligned}$ | HEALYH | REEREKTION - EDUCATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TBBACCO } \\ & \text { \& ALCDHOL } \end{aligned}$ | ENERGY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1877 | 112.0 | 100.7 | 87. 7 | 95.4 | 96.4 | 88.7 | 89.4 | 118.0 |
| 1978 | 118.7 | 99.4 | 83.6 | 92.6 | 84.9 | 84.6 | 88.8 | 118.4 |
| 1979 | 123.1 | 97.4 | 83. 6 | 93.1 | 94.8 | 82.9 | 87.2 | 119.2 |
| 1980 | 123.7 | 95.6 | 84.8 | 85.3 | 94.6 | 82.4 | 88.0 | 125.4 |
| 1981 | 122.6 | 95.5 | 80.8 | 100.3 | 83. 3 | 80.6 | 88.3 | 144.9 |
| 198011 | 122.8 | 85.7 | 85.9 | 85.1 | 84.9 | 82.6 | 88.5 | 124.0 |
| 111 | 124. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 95.2 | 84.5 | 85.1 | 94.8 | 82.4 | 88. | 123.5 |
| IV | 124.8 | 95.1 | 84.0 | 96.3 | 94.0 | 82.0 | 87.8 | 130.4 |
| 1981 | 124.5 | 95.0 | 82.4 | 98.7 | 93.5 | 81.5 | 86.3 | 138.4 |
| 11 | 123.6 | 95.1 | 81.3 | 99.9 | 94.0 | 80.8 | B7. 4 | 143.0 |
| 111 | 123.0 | 95. | 80.0 | 100.4 | 93.2 | 80.1 | 88.6 | 147.8 |
| IV | 119.4 | 96.5 | 79.6 | 102.0 | 92.5 | 80.2 | 90.7 | 150.4 |
| 19821 | 118.7 | 97.0 | 78.0 | 103.2 | 92.7 | 79.1 | 90.5 | 154.0 |
| 1981 MAR | 124.3 | 95.0 | 82, 3 | 99.0 | 94.5 | 81.2 | 86.0 | 141.3 |
| APR | 124. 5 | 95.0 | 81.9 | 99.2 | 94.2 | 80.6 | 86.1 | 140.3 |
| MAY | 122.9 | 95.2 | 81.3 | 99.9 | 94.5 | 81.3 | 87.7 | 142.0 |
| JUN | 123.2 | 85.1 | 80.7 | 100.5 | 93, 3 | 80.5 | 88.5 | 145.7 |
| JUL | 123.8 | 95.3 | 79.7 | 100.3 | 93.2 | B0. 3 | 88.5 | 145.8 |
| AUE | 123.3 | 85.6 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 80.2 | 88.8 | 146. 5 |
| SEP | 122.1 | 95.9 | 80.2 | 101.0 | 93,0 | 79.7 | 88. 7 | 150.0 |
| DCT | 120.7 | 86.7 | 79.9 | 100.4 | 92. 2 | 80.4 | 89.7 | 150.1 |
| NOV | 119.5 | 96. 3 | 79.8 | 102.0 | 92.7 | 80.2 | 91.3 | 148.7 |
| DEC | 118.0 | 96.5 | 79.2 | 103.6 | 92.6 | 79.9 | 81.2 | 152.4 |
| 1882 JAN | 118.3 | 97.1 | 77.4 | 103.6 | 82.4 | 79.3 | 91.1 | 152.9 |
| FEB | 119.2 | 86.8 | 78.3 | 102.7 | 82.5 | 79.4 | 90.8 | 151.5 |
| MAR | 118.7 | 97.1 | 78.3 | 103.3 | 83.4 | 78.7 | 89.7 | 157.6 |

[^14]COMSUMER PRICE InOEXES, 1971: 100 PERCENTAGE CHAMOES, NOT SEASOMALIY MDJUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { ITEMS } \end{gathered}$ | cobos |  |  |  | SERUICES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PGTAL } \\ & \text { ExCluOINE } \\ & \text { FOOD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOYTL } \\ & \text { EXCLUDING } \\ & \text { EMERGY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Toft | DURABEES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMT- } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MoN- } \\ & \text { durables } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 9977 |  |  | 8.0 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 7.6 |
| 1978 |  | 9.0 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 12.4 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 8.9 |
| 1979 |  | 9.1 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 9.1 |
| 1980 |  | 10.1 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 12.2 15.9 | 11.5 | 10.0 18.8 | 9.8 11.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 13.1 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 15.9 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 11.0 |
| 1980 | 11 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  | III | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
|  | iv | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2. |
| 1981 | 1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
|  | i) | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
|  | iv | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.3 2.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 4 | . 6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| 1981 | mar | 1.3 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | . 9 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
|  | APR | . 7 | . 5 | 3 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.1 | , 3 | 8 |
|  | May | 8 | . 8 | 2.0 | . 0 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.3 | , 8 |
|  | Jun | 1.5 | 1.8 | 4 | . 8 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
|  | JUL | . 8 | . 8 | 5 | -1. | 1.1 | . 9 | 9 | . 7 |
|  | AUG | . 7 | . 7 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | . 5 |
|  | Oct | 1.0 | . 5 | . 3 | . 9 | . 5 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
|  | Nor | . 9 | . 8 | 2.5 | . 8 | . 1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 9 |
|  | DEC | 4 | . 2 | 4 | $-.3$ | . 2 | . 8 | 8 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |
| 1982 | JAN | . 7 | . 2 | -. 7 | -1.5 | 1.0 | 1. 1 | 8 | 1.3 |
|  | FE8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | -. 1 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
|  | Mar | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | . 9 | 1.4 | 8 |



MAY 3. 1882
TABLE 51
3:48 PM

CONSUMER PRICE INDEXES, 1971 : 100
RATID DF SELECTED COMPONENTS TO ALG ITEMS JMDEX. NOT SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | G0005 |  |  |  | SERVICES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { EXCLUDING } \\ & \text { FOOD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOFAL } \\ & \text { EXCLUDING } \\ & \text { ENERGY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOFAL } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | OURAELES | डहा।durables | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  | 99.5 | 81.9 | 86.0 | 107.6 | 101.5 | 95.8 | 98.7 |
| 1978 |  | 100.6 | 78.6 | 82.1 | 111.0 | 99.5 | 93.6 | 88. 7 |
| 1979 |  | 101.9 | 78.8 | 81.7 | 113.1 | 97.5 | 82.5 | 88.6 |
| 1980 |  | 103.1 | 80.4 | 81.3 | 115.1 | 95.9 | 92.4 | 98.2 |
| 1981 |  | 103.7 | 78.3 | 78.2 | 118.7 | 95.0 | 92.8 | 97.0 |
| 9980 | II | 103.0 | 80.8 | 81.9 | 114.4 | 96.1 | 82.6 |  |
|  | 111 | 103.2 | 80.5 | 81.1 | 115.4 | 85.7 | 82.2 | 98.3 97 |
|  | IV | 103.8 | 79.8 | 80.6 | 115.9 | 95.0 | 82.2 | 87.9 |
| 1981 | 1 | 103.9 | 79.0 | 79.2 | 118.2 | 94.8 | 82.2 | 87.4 |
|  | 11 | 103.5 | 78.5 | 78.7 | 118.8 | 94.7 | 92.4 | 97.1 |
|  | 111 | 103. 9 | 77.8 | 77.5 | 119.6 | 94.7 | 92.6 | 85.8 |
|  | IV | 103.2 | 77.8 | 77.3 | 118.3 | 95.8 | 83.4 | 96.6 |
| 1982 | 1 | 102.5 | 76.2 | 75.8 | 118.5 | 96.6 | 83.5 | 86.3 |
| 1981 | HAP | 104.1 | 78.6 | 79.5 | 118.7 | 94.5 |  | 97.2 |
|  | APR | 103.8 | 78.2 | 79.4 | 118.5 | 94.8 | 92.2 | 97.3 |
|  | May | 103.8 | 78.1 | 78.6 | 118.3 | 94.8 | 92.6 | 97. 1 |
|  | JUN | 104.1 | 78.2 | 78.1 | 119.5 | 94.5 | 82.5 | 95,8 |
|  | JUL | 104.1 | 78.0 | 77.3 | 119.8 | 94,5 | 92.4 | 96.8 |
|  | AUG | 103.9 | 77.7 | 77.5 | 119.5 | 94.8 | 92.5 | 86.8 |
|  | SEP | 103.8 | 77.6 | 79.6 | 119.5 | 94.8 | 92.8 | 96.6 |
|  | OC\% | 103.3 | 77.0 | 77.5 | 118.0 | 95.5 | 93.1 | 96.6 |
|  | NOV | 103.2 | 78.3 | 77.4 | 118.9 | 95.7 | 93.4 | 96.7 |
|  | DEC | 102.9 | 78.2 | 78.9 | 117.8 | 96. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 93.7 | 96.5 |
| 1882 | JAN | 102.4 | 77.2 | 75.2 | 118. | 98.8 | 93.6 | 96.4 |
|  | FEB | 102.5 | 76.2 | 75.0 | 118.4 | 88.7 | 93.4 | 98.5 |
|  | MAR | 102.7 | 75.3 | 78.1 | 118.3 | 86.4 | 93.5 | 86.1 |



|  | GROS5 | PERSORAL EXPENDTIURE |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERNRENT } \\ & \text { EXPNOITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MAT IOMAL EXPEND:TURE | Tofat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { t0000S } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEM-DUK } \\ & \text { ABLE GODOS } \end{aligned}$ | MDN-DUR- BLE GOOOS | SERYIEES |  |
| 1977 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 9.6 |
| 1978 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| 1978 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 1880 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 8.4 | 12.0 |
| 1981 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 14.9 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| 19801 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3, 5 |
| 11 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| 111 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| IV | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1. 3 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| 1881 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 11 | 1. 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| 111 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 4.7 |
| iv | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |

SOURCE: WRYTONAL TNCOME ANO EXPENDTYURE RCEOUNYS, CAYALOEUE 13-601, SYAYTSYTCS CANADA

MAY 3. 1982
TABLE 53
3:48 PM

NATIONAL ACCOUNTS IMPLICIT PRICE IMDEXES. I97I 100
RATIO DF SELECTED COMPDMENTS TO GME IMDEX, SEASOMALLY ADUSTED

| PERSONAI EXPENDTM界: |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERNMENT } \\ & \text { EXPEMDITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yotal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUKABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEM - DUR - } \\ & \text { ABLE GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | NON-OUR ASLE GOOOS | SERVICES |  |


| 1977 |  | 82.3 | 79.9 | 83.2 | 88.2 | 95.5 | 112.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 83.2 | 78.9 | 81.7 | 102.1 | 97.2 | 114.8 |
| 1979 |  | 92.2 | 77.4 | 82.2 | 102.0 | 95.5 | 112.9 |
| 1880 |  | 92.1 | 75.0 | 82.8 | 103.3 | 94.5 | 114.2 |
| 1981 |  | 83.0 | 75.3 | 80.9 | 10\%.9 | 94.5 | 116.2 |
| 1980 | 1 | 81.5 | 75.7 | 82.7 | 101. 8 | 94.3 | 112.9 |
|  | 11 | 91.5 | 75.9 | 82.7 | 101.7 | 94.1 | 114.1 |
|  | 111 | 92.4 | 75.5 | 82.7 | 103.9 | 94. 5 | 114.4 |
|  | IV | 92.9 | 75.8 | 82.1 | 105. 2 | 94.9 | 115.5 |
| 1981 | 1 | 92.5 | 75.0 | 81.4 | 106. 7 | 94.6 | 114.4 |
|  | 11 | 93.8 | 75.8 | 82. 1 | 108.4 | 95.3 | 116.1 |
|  | 111 | 93.4 | 75.5 | 80.6 | 108.8 | 94.5 | 117.8 |
|  | IV | 92.4 | 74.9 | 79.4 | 107.8 | 93.6 | 116.6 |

[^15]
## MTIONAL ACCOUNTS IMPIICIT PRILE IMOEXES, IST 100 PERCEMTAGE CHANGES OF SEMSOHALLY ADUUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | DU5ITES5 PXE WVFSTMENT |  |  | EXPORTS |  | MPORTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FOTAL | RESIUENTIAL CDNSTRUCTION | NOH- RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC TIDM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HACHINERY } \\ & \text { \& EQUIPMENT } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | MERCMGNDTSE | TOTAL | MERCHANUTSE |
| 1877 | 8.4 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 12.2 |
| 1878 | 6. 2 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 13.4 |
| 1979 | 8.8 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 19.2 | 21.1 | 14.9 | 14.3 |
| 1980 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 15.8 | 16.6 | 15.6 | 16.5 |
| 1981 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 10.9 | 10.2 | B. 2 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 10.7 |
| 1880 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| 11 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.3 | -. 1 | -. 5 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 111 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| IV | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| 1881 ! | 3.4 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| II | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | -2.1 | -3.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 111 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 -1.5 | 2.4 -2.2 |
| IV | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | . 8 | -1.6 | -2.2 |

SOURCE: MAYTONAL TWCORE ANI EXFENDTTURE ACCOUNTS, CRYALOGUE 13-001, STAYISTIES CMADA.

MAY 3. 1982
TABLE 55
3:48 PM

NATIONAL ACCDUNTS IMPLICIT PRICE IMDEXES, 1971: 100
RATIO OF SELECTED COMPDNENTS TO GNE INDEX, SEASONALLY GDUSTED

|  | TOTAL | GUSINES5 TTXED GVESTMENT |  |  | EPORTS |  | MPDRTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC: TIOM | WON- RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC- TION | MACHIMERY S EQUIPMENT | T07AL | METEHMNDISE | TJIL | MERCHANUISE |
| 187\% | 110.9 | 130.0 | 109.9 | 99.3 | 116.8 | 118.1 | 108.9 | 110.5 |
| 1978 | 112.1 | 132.9 | 109.2 | 101.7 | 118.6 | 120.0 | 115.2 | 117.0 |
| 1979 | 115.8 | 140.1 | 112.5 | 106.1 | 132.9 | 136.6 | 124.4 | 125.8 |
| 1980 | 114.4 | 199.7 | 109.9 | 107.4 | 139.8 | 144.4 | 130.4 | 132.5 |
| 1881 | 115.0 | 144.8 | 110.2 | 107.0 | 136.7 | 139.8 | 131.0 | 133.1 |
| 1880 | 116.3 | 140.7 | 111.5 | 108. 8 | 143.6 | 149.2 | 132.3 | 134.8 |
| 11 | 113.9 | 138.4 | 109.5 | 107.5 | 138.5 | 143.3 | 129.6 | 131.9 |
| 111 | 113.5 | 138.8 | 109.2 | 106.8 | 138.8 | 143.3 | 130.2 | 133.2 |
| Iv | 114.0 | 140.8 | 109.4 | 106.6 | 138.1 | 141.8 | 129.5 | 131.8 |
| 1881 | 114.7 | 143.5 | 109.3 | 107.0 | 141.6 | 146.3 | 132.2 | 134.7 |
| 11 | 115.2 | 144.4 | 109.6 | 107.1 | 135.1 | 138.1 | 131.7 | 134.2 |
| III | 115.4 | 145.5 | 110.3 | 107.1 | 135.6 | 138.5 | 132.5 | 134.5 |
| IV | 114.8 | 145.2 | 111.4 | 105.6 | 134.4 | 135.8 | 127.8 | 128.8 |



|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOFAL } \\ & \text { MAMUFAC- } \\ & \text { TURING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FODD AND } \\ & \text { BEVERAGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOBACCD } \\ & \text { PRDOUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUBEEK AND } \\ & \text { PLASTICS } \end{aligned}$ | REATKER pRODuCTS | TEXTILES | KNTTTME | Totil | FURMTFURE - FIXTURES | PAPER AND ALIIED INDUSTRIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 7.9 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 12.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| 1978 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 19.4 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| 1979 |  | 14.5 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 25.0 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 17.3 |
| 1980 |  | 13.5 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 8.8 | -5.2 | 12.0 | 15.7 |
| 1981 |  | 10.2 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 6.8 | 11.9 | B. 4 | . 3 | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| 1980 |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | . 8 | 3.6 | -1.9 | 3.4 | 2.3 | -7.1 | 2.1 | 5.8 |
|  | $111$ | 2.8 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
|  | IV | 3.3 | 5. 1 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | . 7 | -. 4 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 1981 | J | 2.5 | . 8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.0 | -. 3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | 11 | 2.2 | , 7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
|  | 111 | 2.1 | 1.7 | . 9 | 2.8 | . 2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | -. 1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
|  | 18 | 1.3 | . 1 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 1. 1 | . 8 | . 7 | -5. 6 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | . 8 | 2.2 | 2.1 | . 1 | 2.1 | . 2 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
| 1981 | MAR | . 7 | - 7 | . 0 | . 5 | . 6 | . 5 | . 5 | -. 3 | . 4 | -. 2 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ | . 9 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 7 |
|  | May | . 8 | . 0 | . 8 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | . 5 |
|  | JUN | . 9 | 1. 3 | . 0 | . 7 | -. 1 | 1.1 | . 7 | . 1 | . 9 | . 5 |
|  | dUL | . 7 | . 5 | . 1 | . 8 | . 0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | mug | , 9 | , 4 | . 1 | 1.7 | .1 | . 6 | . 5 | $-2.7$ | . 5 | 2.5 |
|  | SEP | . 3 | - | 1.3 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | $\therefore 1$ | -3.9 | . 5 | -. 5 |
|  | DCT | . 9 | .4 | 7.2 | 1.6 | . 3 | . 6 | .5 | -3.1 | . 8 | 1.2 |
|  | NDV | -. 2 | -. 3 | 1.6 | . 5 | . 8 | .1 | .1 | -1.0 | . 8 | -. 3 |
|  | DEC | . 4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 2 | -. 2 | .1 | 1.9 | . 7 | . 4 |
| 1982 | Jak | . 5 | . 5 | . 2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | . 1 | 1.8 | -. 6 | 2.7 | . 3 |
|  | FE8 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 8 | -. 1 | .2 | . 1 | -. 4 | 2.6 | . 9 |
|  | MAR | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | . 0 | .0 | . 5 | . 5 | . 1 | 1.3 |

SOUREE: THJUSYRY PRICE INDEXES. CATALOCUE 62-OT1. STATISTTES CANADA.

MAY 3. 1982
TABLE 57
3:48 PM

INDUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES, 1971: 100
RATID DF SELECTED COMPDNENTS TO MANUFACTURING INDEX, MOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | FODO 2ND beverage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YBAELD } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUEEER AND } \\ & \text { PLASTICS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LERYHER } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \end{gathered}$ | TExTIES | KNIT+TM6 | W000 | FURNITURE <br> \& FIXTURES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAPER } \\ & \text { AND ALIIED } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 105.6 | 83.8 | 85.0 | 99.4 | 86.3 | 75.9 | 108. 2 | 99.2 | 111.0 |
| 1978 | 108.0 | 80.7 | 82.2 | 100.5 | 83.9 | 73.4 | 118.3 | 96.5 | 107.3 |
| 1979 | 106.4 | 75.9 | 79.9 | 109.9 | 82.9 | 70.5 | 119.8 | 95.9 | 110.0 |
| 1980 | 103.7 | 74.7 | 82.0 | 99.3 | 82.5 | 67.9 | 99.0 | 94.5 | 112.1 |
| 1981 | 102.6 | 75.8 | 82.2 | 96.3 | 83.8 | 66.5 | 90.2 | 94.8 | 112.4 |
| 1980 I1 | 102.3 | 74.9 | 83.1 | 99.4 | 83.6 | 68.6 | 96,4 | 95.3 | 114.7 |
| 111 | 104. 5 | 73.7 | 82.3 | 98.4 | 82.8 | 88.0 | 99.1 | 95.3 | 112.6 |
| IV | 106. 4 | 75.1 | 81.3 | 97.0 | 81.8 | 66.3 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 111.6 |
| 1981 | 104.3 | 75.1 | 81.7 | 97.9 | 83.3 | 6 C .6 | 92.7 | 94.3 | 112.4 |
| 11 | 102.? | 74.7 | 81.6 | 97.1 | 83.8 | 66.6 | 93.0 | 94.3 | 111.5 |
| 111 | 102.3 | 73.8 | 82.1 | 95.2 | 84.2 | 65.7 | 91.0 | 95.2 | 112.6 |
| IV | 101.1 | 79.6 | 83.5 | 95.0 | 83.8 | 66.4 | 83.9 | 95.9 | 113.1 |
| 19821 | 101. 1 | 79.2 | 84.3 | 95.7 | 82.9 | 65.9 | 83.0 | 98.3 | 113.3 |
| 1987 MAR | 103.3 | 74.7 | 81.8 | 97.9 | 83.4 | 66.6 | 92.2 | 94.2 | 112.D |
| APR | 103.1 | 74.8 | 81.7 | 97.7 | 83.5 | 65.8 | 92.7 | 94.1 | 111.8 |
| MAY | 102.3 | 74.9 | 81.5 | 97.2 | 83.7 | 66.6 | 93.5 | 94.4 | 111.5 |
| JUM | 102.8 | 74.3 | 81.5 | 96. 3 | 84.0 | 66.4 | 92.8 | 94.5 | 111.1 |
| JUL | 102.7 | 73.8 | 81.5 | 95.6 | 84.3 | 66.9 | 94.4 | 95.2 | 111.6 |
| 喔G | 102.4 | 73.4 | 82.3 | 95.1 | 84.2 | 66.8 | 91.2 | 95.1 | 113.5 |
| SEP | 101.8 | 74.2 | 82.5 | 94.9 | 84.1 | 66.6 | 87.4 | 95.3 | 112.7 |
| OCT | 101.3 | 78.8 | 83.1 | 94.4 | 83.9 | 66.3 | 84.0 | 95.2 | 113.1 |
| NOY | 101.2 | 80.2 | 83.8 | 95,4 | 84.1 | 66.5 | 83.2 | 96.1 | 113.1 |
| DEC | 100.8 | 79.9 | 83.6 | 95.2 | 83.6 | 66.3 | 84.5 | 96.4 | 113.1 |
| 1982 JAN | 100.7 | 79.6 | 84.1 | 96.2 | 83.1 | 67.0 | 83.5 | 98.3 | 112.7 |
| FE8 | 101.3 | 79.2 | 84.3 | 95.7 | 82.9 | 56.8 | 82.7 | 98.4 | 113.1 |
| Mar | 101.2 | 79.0 | 84.4 | 95.3 | 82. b | B6. 9 | 82.9 | 98.1 | 114.2 |


|  |  | PRTARKY METALS | FABRICATIDN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOYOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUYOK } \\ & \text { VEMICLE } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ | ETELTAICRL PRODUCTS | MDN- METALLIC MINERALS | CHEMTCALS | NON-DURABLE MANUFACT URIMG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GURABLE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT - } \\ & \text { URIHG } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 12. 1 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 8.5 |
| 1978 |  | 8.0 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| 1979 |  | 24.8 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| 1980 |  | 18.1 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 10.5 |
| 1881 |  | 1.4 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 7.4 |
| 1980 | II | -3.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.0 | -. 1 |
|  | 111 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | . 9 | . 7 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
|  | IV | 2.0 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
| 1881 | 1 | -1.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
|  | 11 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
|  | 111 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
|  | IV | . 1 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | -. 4 | 2.5 | -1.7 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 1881 | Mar | 1.5 | . 7 | . 1 | -. 2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | . 6 | . 8 |
|  | APR | . 8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | . 2 | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.2 |
|  | MAY | . 6 | . 7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | . 3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | . 6 | 1.0 |
|  | JUN | . 0 | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | -. 1 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.4 | . 2 |
|  | JUL | -1.2 | . 7 | . 0 | . 8 | 1.3 | . 6 | 1.6 | . 9 | . 5 |
|  | AUG | 1.8 | - . 1 | . 0 | 2.1 | . 4 | . 3 | . 7 | . 9 | - 4 |
|  | SEP | . 6 | . 3 | . 2 | $-1.2$ | 1.0 | -4 | . 0 | 4 | . 1 |
|  | OCT | $=.1$ | 2.6 | 5.4 | 1.4 | . 3 | . 7 | 2.0 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | MOV | $-1.5$ | . 6 | -. 6 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | -. 2 | -. 2 |
|  | DEC | . 7 | . 5 | . 0 | . 4 | . 5 | . 0 | . 2 | . 3 | . 6 |
| 1982 | JAM | -. 3 | 1.5 | -1. 1 | 1.4 | . 7 | 5.7 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 8 |
| 1802 | FEB | . 7 | . 6 | -. 6 | 1.7 | . 2 | . 6 | . 0 | . 6 | - 4 |
|  | MAR | -1.5 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 6 | .0 | . 6 | -. 1 |



Mar 3. 1982

RATIO OF SELECTED COMPONENTS TO MANUFACTURING IMDEX, MDT SEASOMALLY MDJUSTED

|  |  | PRIMARY METALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METAL } \\ & \text { FABRICATION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOFOR } \\ & \text { VEHICIES } \end{aligned}$ | MOYOR VEHICLE PARTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EIECYTCRL } \\ & \text { PROOUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON: } \\ & \text { METALLIC } \\ & \text { MINERALS } \end{aligned}$ | EHENTCALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-DJVRBCE } \\ & \text { MANLPFACT- } \\ & \text { URING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OURABLE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT- } \\ & \text { URING } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 109.3 | 98.8 | 75.8 | 90.4 | 84.5 | 101.9 | 100.9 | 104.4 | 95.0 |
| 1978 |  | 109.1 | 98.8 | 75.5 | 99.9 | 82.5 | 101. 1 | 98.5 | 104. 1 | 95.3 |
| 1979 |  | 118.6 | 87.1 | 74.1 | 86.7 | 79.2 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 104.2 | 95.3 |
| 1880 |  | 124.8 | 84.1 | 73.0 | 84.4 | 76.7 | 95. 1 | 101.6 | 106.3 | 92.8 |
| 1981 |  | 114.8 | 94.0 | 74.4 | 84.0 | 74.8 | B9. 3 | 105.2 | 108. 4 | 80.4 |
| 1980 |  | 124.2 | 85.4 | 72.8 | 85.1 | 77.8 | 96.3 | 104.2 | 106.2 | 92.9 |
| 1880 | III | 123.3 | 94.1 | 73.1 | 84.2 | 78.7 | 94.5 | 102.9 | 106.5 | 82.5 |
|  | IV | 121.9 | 53.0 | 74.7 | 84.3 | 75.4 | 94.0 | 100.5 | 107.4 | 91.5 |
| 1981 | 1 | 116.6 | 83.6 | 74.0 | 03.5 | 74.7 | 98.1 | 103.8 | 108.1 | 80.6 |
|  | 11 | 116.0 | 94.0 | 74.3 | 83.8 | 74.8 | 99.7 | 104.8 | 108. 0 | 90.8 |
|  | 111 | 114.0 | 93.2 | 73.2 | 84.3 | 74.7 | 89.3 | 105, 5 | 108.6 | 90.1 |
|  | IV | 112.7 | 95.1 | 76.0 | 84. | 74.8 | 98. 1 | 105.4 | 108.7 | 90.0 |
| 1982 | I | 110.8 | 05.2 | 73.7 | 85.7 | 74.9 | 104. 1 | 106.9 | 108.5 | 80.1 |
| 1981 | MAR | 116.6 | 83.8 | 73.7 | 83.1 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 104.4 | 108.1 | 80.7 |
|  | AP品 | 116.5 | 84.2 | 74.2 | 83.5 | 75.3 | 98.4 | 104.9 | 107.9 | 80.9 |
|  | May | 116.2 | 94.1 | 74.6 | 84.3 | 74.9 | 100.1 | 105.1 | 107.8 | 81.1 |
|  | \LH | 115.2 | 93.6 | 74.1 | 83.9 | 74.2 | 99.6 | 104. ? | 108.3 | 90.5 |
|  | $\downarrow$ UL | 113.0 | 83.7 | 73.5 | 83.9 | 74.6 | 99.5 | 105.5 | 108.5 | 90.3 |
|  | AUG | 114.3 | 92.8 | 73.1 | 85.1 | 74.4 | 88.2 | 105.5 | 108.7 | 80.0 |
|  | SEP | 114.7 | 93.0 | 73.0 | 83.9 | 75.0 | 88.3 | 105.3 | 108.8 | 89.8 |
|  | OCT | 113.5 | 94.6 | 76.3 | 84.3 | 74.6 | 89.1 | 105.4 106.6 | 108.7 | 889.0 |
|  | NOV | 112.1 | 95.3 | 76.0 | 84.4 | 75.0 | 99.4 | 106.6 | 108. 7 | 88.8 |
| 1882 | DEC | 112.4 | 85.4 96.2 | 75.7 | 88.4 | 75.1 75.1 | 98.8 103.8 | 105.3 107.3 | 108.6 108.5 | 80.3 80.3 |
| 1382 | FEB | 111.6 | 96.3 | 73.5 | 85.1 | 74.8 | 104. 1 | 106.8 | 105.6 | 80.2 |
|  | MAR | 109.5 | 95.1 | 73.3 | 85.8 | 74.8 | 104.4 | 106.5 | 108.9 | 89. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

SOURCE: THUUSTRV PRTCE INDEXES, CAYKLOEUE 52-67, SYATISTIES CAN KDA

|  |  | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | MIMING | MANUFACTURIWG | CDNSTRUC- <br> TIDM | TRANSPOR- TATIDN COMMUNICA- TION AND UTILITIES | TRAOE | FINANCE IMSURAMCE, REAL ESTATE | Colwidnty. BUSIRESS aNO PERSDMAL SERVICES | PUBL IC <br> ADMIMISTRG <br> TION ANO DEFEMSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 13.8 | 3.9 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 10.7 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 9.4 |
| 1978 |  | 18.6 | 6.1 | 14.2 | 4.6 | -1.1 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 7.1 |
| 1979 |  | 24.0 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 7.7 | 8.7 |
| 1980 |  | . 7 | 11.5 | 21.3 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| 1981 |  | . 5 | 8.3 | 24.7 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
| 1980 | 1 | -14.4 | -2. 1 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4. 5 |
|  | 11 | 4.9 | 12.2 | 5.4 | 3.7 | -2.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | . 7 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
|  | 111 | 3.2 | -8.4 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
|  | IV | 11.1 | -. 3 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 4.8 | . 6 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| 1981 | 1 | -14.1 | -2. 6 | 5.2 | 2.9 | . 6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  | 11 | 4.4 | 18.9 | 7.3 | 1.5 | $-.7$ | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
|  | 111 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
|  | IV | . 4 | -9.9 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 3 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| 1981 | Jem | -18.1 | -9.1 | 1.7 | 2.7 | . 8 | . 8 | -1.7 | 1.4 | -. 1 | 3 |
|  | FEB | 8.0 | 4.1 | . 7 | -1.1 | $-1.3$ | -. 3 | 1.3 | -. 7 | . B | 0.1 |
|  | HAR | $-7.9$ | 9.3 | . 7 | -1.3 | -. 4 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 0 | . 6 | -. 8 |
|  | APR | 3. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -4.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | $-1.7$ | 3.3 | . 1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
|  | may | 7.3 | 25.6 | 3.9 | . 3 | 2.1 | . 4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | JUN | -3.4 | -5.4 | 3.4 | . 7 | 1.0 | -. 5 | 1.2 | . 2 | 1.3 | . 8 |
|  | JUL | . 5 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 1.0 | -. 4 | -. 9 | 2.9 | 2.2 | -. 2 | 3.4 |
|  | AUG | 3.9 | -6.7 | - 10.5 | -1.3 | 4.1 | 3.2 | . 2 | $-.7$ | . 8 | -1.4 |
|  | SEP | 1.6 | . 7 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | . 7 | 7.0 | 2.3 |
|  | DCT | -4.3 | $-.7$ | 1.4 | 2.1 | -1.8 | 2.8 | 1. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | . 0 | -3.1 | -. 1 |
|  | NOV | 2.9 | $-12.7$ | . 8 | 2.1 | 5.3 | -. 2 | - 4 | -. 3 | . 5 | . 0 |
|  | OEC | 1.7 | 2.8 | . 4 | 1.4 | 1. 3 | -. 1 | 2.3 | . 8 | 1.0 | 8 |
| 1982 | JMM | -11.6 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | . 2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.4 | -. 8 |

may 3. 1 H82
TABLE 61
3: 48 PH

EXPORT AND IMPORT PRICES
PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN PAASCHE INDEXES (1)
MOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | EXPDRTS |  |  |  |  | IMPORTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD FEED. } \\ & \text { BEVERAGES } \\ & \text { GND TOBACCO } \end{aligned}$ | CRUDE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRTEATE } \\ & \text { WATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FODD FEED } \\ & \text { BEVERAGES } \\ & \text { ANO TDBACCD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAERIEXTED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 |  | 6.5 | -9.3 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 19.3 | 11.0 | 13.4 | $12.3{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 16.1 | 14.0 |
| 1979 |  | 20.8 | 22.1 | 26.8 | 23.6 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 10.8 |
| 1980 |  | 17.3 | 45.1 | 33.9 | 14.7 | 11.0 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 11.8 |
| 1881 |  | 6.9 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 5.4 | 19.0 | 3.7 | 13.8 |
| 1980 | 1 | 8.6 | -2.0 | 23.6 | 9.0 | 3.0 | ह. 0 | 1.9 | 8. 0 | 5.8 | 4.5 |
|  | 11 | -. 6 | 3.8 | -8.8 | -3. 1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
|  | 111 | 2.3 | 4.6 | -2.5 | -. 9 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 1.3 | -4.4 | 2.1 |
|  | IV | 1.1 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 7.1 | -2.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| 1889 | I | 6.8 | -3.2 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 14.4 | -. 2 | 6.5 |
|  | 11 | -3.7 | 7.9 | -12.3 | -2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | -4.3 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 1.4 |
|  | 111 | 2.3 | -5.5 | -1.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | -2.9 | 9.2 | -1.4 | 1.9 |
|  | IV | . 3 | -. 8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.8 | $-2.3$ | -8.9 | -15.5 | -2.3 | 1.0 |
| 1881 | FE8 | 1.4 | $-1.2$ | E. 3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | -1.9 | 2. 1 | -9.0 | 7.9 | 0 |
|  | MAR | -5.7 | -. 5 | -12.9 | -3.4 | . 4 | -. 7 | 1.8 | 19.2 | -5.8 | -. 8 |
|  | AP品 | . 3 | 3.4 | 7.6 | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | -4. 1 | -9. 1 | 7.4 | . 6 |
|  | MAY | -. 5 | 8.4 | -14.5 | -. 8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | -4.4 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
|  | JUN | -. 7 | $-1.5$ | -8.9 | - 1.4 | . 0 | -2.0 | 3.8 | -1.1 | -3.2 | . 1 |
|  | dU1 | 2.7 | -5. 6 | 13.0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | -2. 6 | -2.1 | -. 8 | 8 |
|  | AUG | 1.9 | -2.9 | -. 4 | -. 2 | 1.5 | 5.4 | -. 5 | 25.2 | -1. 6 | 1.5 |
|  | SEP | -2.9 | -2. 1 | -4.1 | - 2 | -. 9 | -5.7 | -2.9 | -19.2 | 5.2 | -2. 1 |
|  | DCT | . 3 | . 5 | . 0 | . 4 | 2.8 | -. 5 | -3.7 | -7. 3 | -6.0 | 1.6 |
|  | NOV | 2.1 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 2.3 | $-.8$ | -2. 6 | -2.5 | -14.2 | 1.2 | . 0 |
|  | DEC | -. 2 | -3.1 | -1.3 | -2.3 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 26.6 | . 6 | 8 |
| 1982 | JaN | 4.3 | -6.2 | 20.7 | . 4 | $-.3$ | -1.8 | 8.2 | -4.0 | 1.0 | . 8 |
|  | FEB | -4.0 | 1.6 | . 5 | -1.7 | -1.8 | 3.0 | . 8 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 |

(1) SEE GLOSSaRy.
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EXTERMAL TRADE
MERCHANDISE EXPDRTS BY COMPDDITY GROUPINGS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, NOT SEASDMALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | INDEX OF PHYSICAL VOLUME | DOMESTIC EXPORTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TOPAL EXPORTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD ARI } \\ \text { UIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ | CRDDE MATERIALS INEDIBLE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRTCATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | END PRODUCTS INEDIBLE TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALRINERY \& } \\ & \text { EQU!PMENT } \\ & \text { FOR } \\ & \text { IMVESTMENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOYOR } \\ \text { VEHICLES } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { PARTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 |  | 131. | 44554.4 | 4608.0 | 8850.2 | 3778.7 | 14925.9 | 15231.1 | 2128.1 | 10423.8 |
| 1978 |  | 144.8 | 53182.7 | 5301.6 | 8830.8 | 3763.1 | 19155.0 | 18855.0 | 2707.1 | 12540.4 |
| 1978 |  | 147.5 | \$5641.2 | 8314.0 | 12537.8 | 5293.8 | 24375.7 | 20923.8 | 3572.4 | 11899.7 |
| 1980 |  | 145.3 | 75963.7 | 8214.9 | 14756.3 | 8883.0 | 29334.0 | 21726.4 | 4076.3 | 10818.4 |
| 1981 |  | 148.8 | 83698.4 | 9435.8 | 15207.8 | 8874.8 | 30566.2 | 25347.9 | 4990.8 | 13071.6 |
| 1980 | 11 | 147.5 | 15978.9 | 2004.5 | 3880.0 | 1765.7 | 7204.2 | 5423.7 | 1128.2 | 2532.4 |
|  | 111 | 135.2 | 17806.8 | 2331.7 | 3471.7 | 1449.1 | 6960.4 | 4584.5 | 893.9 | 2120.5 |
|  | IV | 154.2 | 20522.4 | 2360.9 | 3585.8 | 1852.1 | 7659.4 | 6342.9 | 1011.7 | 3520.1 |
| 1881 | 1 | 140.5 | 20085. 1 | 1842.7 | 3962.4 | 2046. 1 | 7948.3 | 5554.3 | 1130.4 | 2737.9 |
|  | 11 | 163.0 | 22441.5 | 2505.9 | 3757.8 | 1576.2 | 8355.0 | 5974.6 | 1306.3 | 3593.6 |
|  | 111 | 138.4 | 19503.3 | 2354.5 | 3588.0 | 1493.4 | 8948.8 | 5848.1 | 1234. 3 | 2953.2 |
|  | IV | 153.3 | 21588.5 | 2732.7 | 3899.5 | 1759.2 | 7314.1 | 6970.9 | 1319.6 | 3585.9 |
| 1882 | 1 |  | 20349.5 | 1854.0 | 3939.6 | 2145.2 | 7217.0 | 5672.8 | 1238.4 | 3581.0 |
| 18*) 1 | Mar | 152.6 | 7045.9 | 621.8 | 1252.5 | 631.4 | 2758.1 | 2112.4 | 417.4 | 1114.2 |
|  | APR | 151.8 | 7031.2 | 592.0 | 1192.9 | 602.7 | 2722.3 | 2237.5 | 437.5 | 1167.1 |
|  | May | 159.5 | 7320.4 | 870.5 | 1228.5 | 492.2 | 2628. | 2313.4 | 421.9 | 1215.3 |
|  | JUN | 177.6 | 8089.9 | 1043.4 | 1336.5 | 481.3 | 3004.1 | 2423.7 | 446.9 | 1311.2 |
|  | JUL | 143.2 | 6735.1 | 897.8 | 1158.3 | 484.3 | 2536.8 | 2054.6 | 450.3 | 1004.7 |
|  | AUG | 125.1 | 5963.6 | 792.6 | 1140.5 | 499.1 | 2128.0 | 1673.7 | 350.1 | 809.5 |
|  | SEP | 146.8 | 8804.6 | 854.1 | 1289.2 | 510.0 | 2284.0 | 2119.8 | 423.9 | 1139.0 |
|  | OCT | 155.2 | 7213.5 | 936.5 | 1241.5 | 532.3 | 2455.5 | 2332. | 453.3 | 1209.3 |
|  | NOV | 160.7 | 7828.4 | 1002.0 | 1378.9 | 621.1 | 2544.7 | 2428.6 | 424.1 | 1389.0 |
|  | DEt | 144.1 | 6826.6 | 794.1 | 1279.1 | C05.8 | 2313.9 | 2209.9 | 442.2 | 1088.5 |
| 1982 | JAN | 121.5 | 5997. 3 | 533.5 | 1284.8 | 721.5 | 2223.8 | 1780.2 | 385.2 | 832.8 |
|  | FEB | 142.4 | 6757.5 | 599.5 | 1329.3 | 764.2 | 2318.8 | 2285.0 | 403.0 | 1288.5 |
|  | MAR |  | 7594.7 | 721.0 | 1345.5 | [58.5 | 2674.4 | 2507.6 | 450.2 | 1459.7 |

SOURCE: TREDE OF CARADA, EXPORTS. CATAIOGUE E5-004, STATISTICS CANADA.

MAY E. 1882
merchandise exports by compodity grdupings YEAR OYER YEAR PERCENTAGE CHANGES

|  |  | INDEX OF PHYSICAL VOLUME | TOTAL <br> EXPERTS | DOMESTIC EXPDRTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD WND } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | ```CRUDE PETRDLEUM : MATURAL. GAS``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOFOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1877 |  |  | 8.8 | 15.8 | 7.3 | 6.8 | -3. 2 | 22.1 | 19.8 | 16.4 | 26.7 |
| 1978 |  | 9.9 | 19.4 | 15,1 | - 2 | - 4 | 28.3 | 23.8 | 27.2 | 20.3 |
| 1879 |  | 1.8 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 42.0 | 40.9 | 27.3 | 11.0 | 32.0 | -5.1 |
| 1980 |  | $-1.5$ | 15.7 | 30.1 | 17.7 | 30.0 | 20.3 | 3. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 14.1 | -9.1 |
| 1881 |  | 2.4 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 22.4 | 20.8 |
| 1980 | 11 | - 1.0 | 17.7 | 40.0 | 28.9 | 41.4 | 21.3 | 1.0 | 22.0 | -21.1 |
|  | 111 | -4. 7 | 9.2 | 33.4 | 5.6 | 17.0 | 11.6 | -1.9 | -. 9 | -7. 8 |
|  | IV | 1.2 | 13.3 | 18.8 | . 5 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 5.3 | 18.0 |
| 1881 | 1 | $-2.6$ | 7.7 | 21.4 | 3. 8 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 8.4 | 3.5 |
|  | 11 | 10.5 | 18.2 | 25.0 | -3.1 | -10.7 | 16.0 | 28.6 | 15.8 | 45.9 |
|  | 111 | 2.4 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | -. 2 | 27.6 | 38.1 | 39.3 |
|  | Iv | -. 6 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 8.7 | 6.5 | -4.5 | 9.8 | 30.4 | 4.7 |
| 1982 | 1 |  | 1.3 | . 6 | -. E | 4.8 | -9.2 | 20.1 | 9. 5 | 30.8 |
| 1981 | Mar | -. 8 | 7.7 | 21.1 | -1.4 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 17.9 | 14.1 |
|  | APR | 3.3 | 11.5 | 22.6 | -8.5 | -5.8 | 11.7 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 29.3 |
|  | MAY | 12.2 | 20.4 | 41.0 | . 4 | - 12.0 | 12.8 | 32.0 | 10.2 | 48.4 |
|  | dUN | 15.8 | 22.8 | 15.4 | -1.2 | - 15.0 | 23.3 | 38.4 | 29.8 | 61.7 |
|  | JUL | 4.0 | 11.6 | -5.2 | -4.6 | $-1.8$ | 4.8 | 35.2 | 34.5 | 63.1 |
|  | AUG | . 6 | 7.7 | $-5.7$ | 5.8 | 4.7 | -2.3 | 28.8 | 33.1 | 49.5 |
|  | SEP | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.6 | 9.2 | 6.5 | -3. 3 | 19.3 | 46.9 | 18.3 |
|  | OCT | -6.4 | -. 3 | -1.9 | 2.9 | B. 1 | -9.0 | 6.4 | 26.5 | -1.8 |
|  | NOY | 3.8 | 11.4 | 40.0 | 14.6 | 16.8 | -1.8 | 13.5 | 36.8 | 11.9 |
|  | DEC | 1.5 | 5.9 | 15.0 | 8.7 | -3.6 | -2. 4 | 9.9 | 28.8 | 4.0 |
| 1982 | JAN | $-12.5$ | -10.1 | -17.6 | - 10.0 | 2.3 | -15.9 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 4.6 |
|  | FEB | 9.4 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 7.7 | -8.9 | 35.5 | 15.2 | 55.7 |
|  | M $\mathrm{R}^{\text {R }}$ |  | 7.8 | 16.0 | 7.4 | 4.5 | -3.0 | 23.4 | 7.9 | 31.0 |

MERCHANDISE IMPORTS BY COMMODITY GROUPIMGS
MILLIONS DF DOLLARS, MDT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TNOEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSJCAL } \\ & \text { YDLUME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { IMPDRTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOV ANLI } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { SHMALS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | CRUDE PETROLEUM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICAIED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEOIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | END PRODUCTS IMEDIBLE | MIEHINTRY : <br> EQUIPMEMT FOR <br> IMYE STMEMT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOK } \\ & \text { YEMICLES } \\ & \text { ANO PARTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4977 |  | 153.1 | 42362,6 | 3308.7 | 5320.2 | 3275.2 | 8993.2 | 26321.5 | 8101.7 | 11575.6 |
| 1978 |  | 153.0 | 50107.8 | 3781.7 | 5882.1 | 3457.0 | 8748.2 | 31303.5 | 7308.9 | 13385.9 |
| 1979 |  | 175.5 | 62870.6 | 4236.2 | 7970.0 | 4497.1 | 12023.8 | 38073.3 | 9770.5 | 15160.7 |
| 1980 |  | 165.4 | 69127.9 | 4803.0 | 11335.4 | 6919.3 | 12700.6 | 39525.6 | 11081.7 | 13478.8 |
| 1881 |  | 170.5 | 78875.9 | 5183.8 | 12144.8 | 7839.8 | 14553.8 | 45892.2 | 12288.8 | 15980.9 |
| 1980 |  | 174.5 | 17839.7 | 1156.2 | 2727.8 | 1615.6 | 3422.9 | 10450.8 | 2959.5 | 3788.3 |
| 188 | III | 148.1 | 15720.6 | 1169.5 | 2869.5 | 1792.2 | 2702.4 | 8789.2 | 2575.4 | 2517.7 |
|  | IV | 171.2 | 18437.1 | 1495.4 | 2935.5 | 1691.7 | 3139.1 | 10645.5 | 2814.1 | 3841.8 |
| 1981 | 1 | 166.8 | 18912.8 | 1201.5 | 2992.9 | 1984.7 | 3316.5 | 11154.3 | 3023.5 | 3115.1 |
|  | 11 | 188.6 | 21804.2 | 1345.9 | 3291.3 | 2164.2 | 4087.4 | 12807.3 | 3315.9 | 4955.8 |
|  | III | 161.1 | 19033.3 | 1288.9 | 3032.8 | 2017.9 | 3572.2 | 10058.1 | 2983.7 | 3618.6 |
|  | IV | 185.4 | 19125.6 | 1348.3 | 2827.8 | 1673.0 | 3577.7 | 11072.5 | 2985.8 | 3671.4 |
| 1982 | I |  | 17418.0 | 1132.1 | 2367.8 | 1648.5 | 3178.8 | 10516.0 | 2818.7 | 3424.5 |
| 1981 | MAR | 184.4 | 8885.4 | 440.9 | 985.8 | 696.3 | 1230.0 | 4145.3 | 1140.3 |  |
| , | APR | 188.0 | 7163.1 | 436.7 | 1108.9 | 692.2 | 1340.5 | 4184.1 | 1077.5 | 1550.9 |
|  | May | 180.5 | 7069.2 | 421.0 | 1121.5 | 745.0 | 1359.5 | 4081. | 1063.6 | 1588.3 |
|  | IUN | 197.3 | 7571.9 | 488.2 | 1061.7 | 727.0 | 1387.4 | 4531.8 | 1174.8 | 1816.8 |
|  | JUL | 172.3 | 6697.7 | 474.7 | 1029.0 | 648.0 | 1190.4 | 3893.1 | 1083.2 | 1342. 6 |
|  | AUG | 139.6 | 5718.2 | 382.9 | 1074.9 | 799.6 | 1080.4 | 3101.8 | 863.4 | 986.1 |
|  | SEP | 171.3 | 5617.4 | 430.5 | 928.9 | 570.3 | 1301.4 | 3863.2 | 1051.1 | 1289.8 |
|  | 0 OT | 176.5 | 6791.4 | 483.1 | 985.4 | 587.6 | 1285.8 | 3824.6 | 1090.0 | 1277.0 |
|  | Moy | 169.8 | 5356.4 | 448.3 | 780.4 | 384.6 | 1221.0 | 3830.0 | 998.1 | 1323.8 |
|  | DEC | 149.8 | 5977.8 | 416.9 | 1082.0 | 690.8 | 1070.9 | 3317.9 | 877.7 | 1070.6 |
| 1982 | JAN | 125.7 | 4930.0 | 324.0 | 688. 3 | 454.1 | 981.7 | 2870.4 | 829, | 800.1 |
|  | FEB | 144.4 | 5833.3 | 357.2 | 842.5 | 615.2 | 1032.8 | 3521.7 | 894.8 | 1208.8 |
|  | MAR |  | 6654.7 | 450.9 | 837.1 | 579.2 | 1154.3 | 4123.9 | 1094.8 | 1415.6 |

SOURCE: TRIDE OF CANADA, IMPORY'S, CATALOGUE 65-007, STAT ISTICS EANADA

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TNBEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | TOTA IMPORTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD AND } \\ & \text { LIVE } \\ & \text { ANIMALS } \end{aligned}$ | CRUUE materials INEOIBLE | CRUDE PETROLEUM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRTCATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | END PRODUCTS INEDIBLE | ```MACKINERY: EQUIPMEWT FOR INVESTMENT``` | MOTOR VEMICLES AND PARTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 |  | 7 | 13.0 | 15.2 | 4.5 | -2.0 | 12.6 | 15.3 | 8. 3 | 22. 8 |
| 1978 |  | 3.2 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 25.1 | 18.9 | 19.8 | 15.8 |
| 1979 |  | 11.1 | 25.5 | 12.0 | 35.5 | 30.1 | 37.4 | 21.6 | 33.7 | 13.3 |
| 1980 |  | $-5.7$ | 10.0 | 13.4 | 42.2 | 53.9 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 13.4 | -11.1 |
| 1981 |  | 3.0 | 14.1 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 16.1 | 10.9 | 18.4 |
| 1980 | II | -5.5 | 13.7 | 10.3 | 56.5 | 81.4 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 17.1 | -10.9 |
|  | 111 | -11.6 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 30.3 | 41.0 | -9.7 | -1. 8 | . 2 | -16.5 |
|  | IV | -2.9 | 9.5 | 28.1 | 23.0 | 26.0 | -9.4 | 10.6 | 15.7 | $-1.6$ |
| 1981 | 1 | -. 9 | 11.1 | 22.4 | 5.8 | 9.1 | $-3.5$ | 15.7 | 10.3 | 10.8 |
|  | 11 | 8.1 | 21.5 | 16.4 | 20.7 | 34.0 | 19.4 | 22.5 | 12.3 | 31.5 |
|  | 111 | 8.7 | 21.1 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 12.6 | 32.2 | 23.5 | 15.9 | 43.7 |
|  | IV | -3.4 | 3.7 | -9.8 | -3.7 | -1.1 | 14.0 | 4.0 | 5.4 | -4.4 |
| 1982 | I |  | -7.9 | -5.8 | $-20.8$ | -16. 3 | -4.2 | $-5.7$ | -6. 8 | -7.8 |
| 1981 | MAR | 5.0 | 13.7 | 38.3 | 3.8 | 10.4 | -6. 1 | 20.9 | 18. 1 | 9.2 |
|  | APR | 1.2 | 10.5 | 20.3 | 7.0 | 1.8 | . 7 | 13.7 | 6.1 | 10.4 |
|  | MAY | 8.0 | 23.8 | 11.9 | 22.2 | 35.5 | 33.1 | 22.6 | 9.4 | 35.8 |
|  | IUN | 15.8 | 31.6 | 17.0 | 37.1 | 88.5 | 29.7 | 32.0 | 21.9 | 51.8 |
|  | JUL | 8.4 | 21.0 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 14.0 | 52.5 |
|  | AUG | 2.0 | 18.7 | 1.1 | 34.8 | 70.5 | 22.2 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 44.5 |
|  | SEP | 15.3 | 23.3 | 29.2 | -17.0 | -22.3 | 50.7 | 29.5 | 29.8 | 35.1 |
|  | OCT | -7.1 | . 2 | -6. 2 | -15.5 | -15.1 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 5.0 | -6.0 |
|  | Mov | . 1 | B. 6 | - 7.2 | -10.5 | -17.7 | 24.6 | 7.3 | 9.9 |  |
|  | DEC | -2. 8 | 4.9 | -16.2 | 97.6 | 32.9 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | -8.4 |
| 1982 | JAN | -18.4 | -17.7 | -20.0 | -38.1 | -39. 1 | -2.0 | $-15.5$ | - 12.5 | $-25.3$ |
|  | FEB | -9.7 | $-3.2$ | . 4 | -5.8 | 13.5 | -4.8 | -2.5 | -4.2 | -5.5 |
|  | MAR |  | -3.5 | 2.3 | $-15.1$ | -16.8 | -5.3 | -. 5 | -4.0 | 3.8 |

CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE DF INTERNATIONAL PAYMERTS
RECEIPTS
MILIIDONS OF OOLLARS, SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { EXPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | SESVICE RECEIPYS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFER RECEIPTS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MITHHOLO- } \\ \text { ING } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL CURRENT RECEIPTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRavel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { DIVIDERDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FREIGHT } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { SHIPPING } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { SERYICE } \\ & \text { RECEIPTS } \end{aligned}$ | TDTAL | TNHERITANCES AND MIGRANTS' FUNDS | PERSONAL : INSTITU- TIONAL REMITTANCES |  |  |
| 1977 | 44253 | 2025 | 874 | 2371 | 3025 | 8295 | 590 | 331 | 534 | 54103 |
| 1978 | 53054 | 2378 | 1208 | 2714 | 3631 | 9931 | 516 | 394 | 582 | 64577 |
| 1979 | 65275 | 288 ? | 1271 | 3469 | 4185 | 11812 | 799 | 448 | 754 | 79088 |
| 1980 | 76170 | 3349 | 1860 | 3894 | 5185 | 14088 | 1169 | 507 | 995 | 92921 |
| 1981 | 84140 | 3731 | 9507 | 4193 | 5328 | 14859 | 1404 | 544 | 1110 | 102057 |
| 1980 I | 18487 | 825 | 343 | 929 | 1235 | 3332 | 247 | 118 | 314 | 22498 |
| II | 18039 | 833 | 470 | 935 | 1325 | 3565 | 308 | 118 | 253 | 22283 |
| 111 | 19954 | 840 | 389 | 994 | 1325 | 3558 | 287 | 135 | 226 | 223870 |
| IV | 20480 | 851 | 448 | 1035 | 1299 | 3633 | 319 | 136 | 202 | 24770 |
| 19811 | 20224 | 930 | 403 | 1008 | 1185 | 3525 | 357 | 127 | 244 | 24478 |
| 111 | 21533 | 941 | 329 | 1074 | 1274 | 3618 | 346 | 128 | 236 | 25851 |
| 111 | 21067 | 944 | 391 | 1041 | 1460 | 3836 | 329 | 144 | 367 | 25743 |
| IV | 21316 | 916 | 484 | 1070 | 1409 | 3879 | 372 | 145 | 263 | 25975 |



TABLE 67

CURRENT ACCDUNT BALANCE DF internatidnal payments
PERCEMTAGE RECEIPTS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  |  |  | TCE RECE |  |  | TRANSFER | RECEIPTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MERCHAN - <br> DISE <br> EXPORTS | Travel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { OIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | FREIGHT AND SHIPPING | DTHER SERVIEE RECEJPTS | TOTAL | TNHERTTANCES AND MIGRANTS* FUNDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERSDNAL a } \\ & \text { INSTITU- } \\ & \text { TIONAL } \\ & \text { REMITTANCES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MITHHDLD- } \\ \text { ING } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { RECEIPTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 | 16.5 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 9.1 | -5. 1 | 19.1 |  |  |
| 1978 | 19.9 | 17.4 | 38.2 | 14.5 | 20.0 | 19.7 | -10.7 | 19.0 | 9.0 | 14.8 |
| 1979 | 23.0 | 21.4 | 5.2 | 27.8 | 15.3 | 18.9 | 29.7 | 13.9 | 29.6 | 22.5 |
| 1980 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 30.6 | 12.3 | 23.9 | 15.3 | 45.3 | 13.2 | 32.0 | 19.5 |
| 1981 | 10.5 | 11.4 | -3.2 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 20.9 | 7.3 | 11.8 | 9.8 |
| 19801 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 15.7 | 9.8 | -3.5 | . 9 | 95.0 | 4.9 |
| II | -2.4 | 1.0 | 37.0 | . 8 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 24.7 | . 0 | -19.4 | -1.0 |
| I11 | 6.2 | . 8 | -15.1 | 6.2 | $\because 1$ | -. 2 | -6.8 | 14.4 | - 10.7 | -4.9 |
| $1981{ }^{\text {IV }}$ | 6. 9 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 4.1 | -2.0 | 2.1 | 11.1 | . 9 | -10.5 | 5.0 |
| 1981 ! | -1.3 | 9.3 | -10.0 | -2.6 | -8.8 | -2.9 | 11.9 | -6. 5 | 20.8 | -1.2 |
| 11. | 6.5 | 1.2 | -18.4 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 2.6 | -3.1 | . 8 | -3.8 | -1.2 |
| I11 | -2.2 | . 3 | 18.8 | -3.1 | 14.6 | 6.0 | -4.9 | 12.5 | 55.5 | 5.6 -.5 |
| IV | 1.2 | $-3.0$ | 23.8 | 2.8 | -3.5 | 1.1 | 13.1 | . 7 | -28.3 | . 9 |

[^16]CURRENT ACCOURT BALANCE OF INTERMATIOMAL PAMENTS
PAYMENTS
MILLIONS OF ODLLARS, SEASDMALIY MDJUSTEO

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MERCHMM- } \\ \text { DISE } \\ \text { IMPORTS } \end{gathered}$ | SERVICE PAYMENYS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRINSTER } \\ & \text { THHEST- } \\ & \text { TANCES AND } \\ & \text { MIGRANS } \\ & \text { FUNOS } \end{aligned}$ | PTVFIRTSPERSONALINSTITU-TIONALREMITANCES | OFFICIAL CONTRIBU= TIOHS | total CURRENT parment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAYEL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { ONVDE } \\ & \text { OIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { SHIPD } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DTHER } \\ \text { SERYICE } \\ \text { PAYMENT } \end{gathered}$ | MITHHOLD- ING TAX |  |  |  |  |
| 1877 | 41523 | 3586 | 4532 | 2359 | 4610 | 534 | 235 | 364 | -543 | 58404 |
| 1978 | 49047 | 4084 | 5904 | 2583 | 5790 | 582 | 252 | 380 | -910 | 89512 |
| 1978 | 51125 | 3955 | 8512 | 3180 | 7185 | 754 | 255 | 411 | -645 | 83982 |
| 1980 | 68360 | 4577 | 7204 | 3525 | 8781 | 995 | 256 | 436 | - 680 | 94825 |
| 1981 | 77504 | 4889 | 8589 | 3950 | 11135 | 1110 | 273 | 485 | -718 | 108533 |
| 19808 | 16855 | 1107 | 1779 | 845 | 2189 | 314 | 68 | 108 | -181 | 23644 |
| 11 | 16938 | 1103 | 1847 | 856 | 2136 | 253 | 65 | 108 | -152 | 23458 |
| III | 16874 | 1155 | 1858 | 895 | 2154 | 226 | 68 | 109 | -216 | 23558 |
| Iv | 17693 | 1212 | 1720 | 925 | 2302 | 202 | 67 | 119 | -131 | 24364 |
| 18811 | 18545 | 1182 | 2088 | 957 | 2518 | 244 | 67 | 115 | -159 | 25873 |
| II | 20193 | 1218 | 2053 | 973 | 2791 | 236 | 67 | 115 | -177 | 27823 |
| 111 | 20208 | 1212 | 2239 | 1020 | 2311 | 357 | 70 | 117 | -187 | 28331 |
| IV | 18558 | 1277 | 2209 | 1000 | 2917 | 263 | 69 | 118 | -195 | 26505 |


mar 30. 1982
TABLE 69
current account balance dF intermational payments

- PAYMEHTS

PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONGLLY ADJUSTEO FIGURES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { OISE } \\ & \text { IMPDRTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE PAYMINTS |  |  |  |  | TRAMSFET PAYMENTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | trayEl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { OHD } \\ & \text { OIVIDEWDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DTHER } \\ & \text { SERYE } \\ & \text { PAMMENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MITHHOLO- } \\ \text { IMG } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ | TWHER1- <br> TANCES AND MIGRAMTS FUNDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERSGNA } \\ & \text { INSTITU- } \\ & \text { TIONAL } \\ & \text { REMITANCES } \end{aligned}$ | OFFIC1AL contribuTIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { PAYMENTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 | 13.4 | 17.5 | 36.4 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 29.8 | E. 1 | 19.3 | 14.8 |
| 1978 | 18.1 | 11.4 | 30.3 | 7.8 | 25.2 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 67.6 | 19.0 |
| 1978 | 24.8 | -3.2 | 10.3 | 22.3 | 24.2 | 29.5 | 1.2 | 8.2 | -29.1 | 20.8 |
| 1980 | 11.8 | 15.9 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 22.6 | 32.0 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 12.9 |
| 1581 | 13.4 | E. 8 | 19.2 | 12.0 | 26.8 | 11.6 | $2 . \mathrm{E}$ | 6.7 | 5.6 | 14.6 |
| 1980 ! | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 16.3 | 85.0 | 1.5 -1.5 | 3.8 |  |  |
| 11 | . 5 | -. 4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | -2.4 | -19.4 | -1.5 | . 0 | -16.0 | . 1 |
| 111 | -. 4 | 4.7 | - 6 | 5.0 | . 8 | -10.7 | 4.6 | , 8 | 42.1 -39.4 | 4 |
| IV | 4.9 | 4.8 | -7.4 | 3.0 | 6.9 | -10.5 | -1.5 | 1.8 | -39.4 | 3. 4 |
| 1881 il | 8.8 | -2.5 3.0 | 21.4 -1.7 | 3.3 | 9.3 10.9 | 20.8 -3.3 | O | 3.6 .0 | 21.4 11.3 | 5.2 7.5 |
| II | 8.9 | 3.0 -.5 | -1.7 8.1 | 1.7 | 10.9 4.3 | -3.3 55.5 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 11.3 5.6 | 7.5 1.8 |
| IV | -8.2 | - 5.4 | 9.1 -1.3 | -2.0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -28.3 | -1.4 | . 9 | 4.3 | -6. 1 |



CURRENT ACCOUNT BALAMEE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS BALANLES
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. SEASONALLY AOJUSTED

|  | MERCHAN015 S tRADE | SERVICE TRAKSKCTIONS |  |  |  |  |  | TDTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GODDS } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CUREENT ACCOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAVEL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { DIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AHD } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 2730 | - 1541 | -365 | -26 | -7444 | 455 | -33 | 413 | -4794 | -4301 |
| 1878 | 4007 | -1706 | -4696 | 131 | -8992 | 354 | 14 | 50 | -4985 | -4935 |
| 1979 | 4150 | - 1058 | -524 | 309 | -9734 | 544 | 37 | 690 | -5584 | -4094 |
| 1980 | 7810 | -1228 | -5544 | 358 | - 10995 | 895 | 71 | 1281 | - 3185 | -1904 |
| 1981 | 6636 | -1158 | -6982 | 243 | -14814 | 1131 | 79 | 1602 | -8170 | -6576 |
| 1980 | 1632 | -282 | -1436 | 84 | -2902 | 181 | 10 | 324 | - 1270 | -945 |
| 11 | 1101 | -270 | -1377 | 80 | -2630 | 243 | 10 | 354 | - 1529 | - 1175 |
| 111 | 2290 | -315 | -1459 | 95 | -2734 | 219 | 25 | 255 | -444 | - 189 |
| IV | 2787 | -351 | -1272 | 109 | -2729 | 252 | 25 | 348 | 58 | 405 |
| 1981 | 1679 | -252 | -1885 | 51 | - 3461 | 290 | 12 | 387 | - 1782 | -1395 |
| II | 1340 | -297 | -1724 | 101 | -3653 | 279 | 13 | 351 | -2313 | -1962 |
| 111 | 858 | -268 | -1848 | 21 | -3513 | 259 | 27 | 466 | -3054 | -2588 |
| 1 V | 2758 | - 361 | -1725 | 90 | -3787 | 303 | 27 | 398 | - 1029 | -831 |

## Financial Markets

71 Monetary Aggregates ..... 69
72 Foreign Exchange and Money Market Indicators, Seasonally Adjusted, Millions of Dollars ..... 69
73 Net New Security Issues Payable in Canadian and Foreign Currencies, Millions of Canadian Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
74 Interest Rates, Average of Wednesdays, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
75 Exchange Rates, Canadian Dollars Per Unit of Other Currencies, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 71
76-77 Capital Account Balance of International Payments, Long-Term Capital Flows, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... $71-72$
78-79 Capital Account Balance of International Payments.
Short-Term Capital Flows, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... $72-73$
monetary aggregates

|  |  | NOT SEASONALY ADJUSTET |  |  |  |  | SESSONALTY ADJUSTEO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YEAR OVER YEAR PERCENTLGE CHANGES |  |  |  |  | MONTMLY PERCERTAGE CHANGES |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | HIGH POMERED MONEY (1) | M 1 <br> (2) | M18 <br> (3) | M2 <br> 14) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & \text { (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { POWERED } \\ & \text { MONEY (I) } \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> (2) | M18 <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2 } \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & 15) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1977 |  | 10.2 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 14.0 | 15.8 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 14.1 | 15.8 |
| 1978 |  | 12.1 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 13.7 |
| 1979 |  | 10.4 | E. 9 | 4.8 | 15.7 | 19.3 | 10.3 | E. 9 | 4.8 | 15.7 | 19.3 |
| 1980 |  | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 18.1 | 14.3 | 7.6 | 6. 3 | 4.4 | 18.1 | 14.3 |
| 1981 |  | 7.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 12.2 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 14.4 | 12.2 |
| 1980 | 11 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 19.0 | 15.9 | 3.1 | -. 5 | -. 5 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
|  | III | 7. 4 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 17.5 | 13.4 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
|  | IV | 9.7 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| 1881 | I | 10.3 | 6.4 | E. 2 | 13.5 | 11.1 | 1.6 | . 3 | -. 1 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
|  | I] | 8.8 | 8. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.6 | 13.8 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 4 | 3.8 | . 5 |
|  | III | 7.5 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 1.3 | - 1.0 | - 9.5 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
|  | IV | 3.5 | -4. 1 | -5.6 | 15.4 | 16.8 | $-.7$ | -4.3 | -4.2 | 4.2 | 5.9 |
| 1982 | I |  | -. 4 | -2.2 | 17.5 | 17.3 |  | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 1981 | MAR | 10.4 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 13.3 | 9.9 | . 3 | 1.3 | 7 | 1.4 | -1.0 |
|  | APR | 8.8 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 13.8 | 9.5 | $=.6$ | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.7 | . 5 |
|  | MAY | 10.1 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 13.9 | 7.2 | 2.1 | $=.3$ | $=.5$ | . 6 | -1.1 |
|  | JUM | 7.6 | 7. B | 5.2 | 13.8 | 8.5 | -. 7 | -1.9 | -1.8 | . 3 | 2.2 |
|  | UUL | 8.2 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 14.7 | 9.1 | . 5 | 3.8 | 2. 5 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
|  | AUG | 7.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 12.9 | . 1 | -3. 5 | -2.5 | . 7 | 2.1 |
|  | SEP | 7.3 | . 1 | -. 5 | 14.6 | 14.5 | . 9 | -2.8 | -2.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
|  | OCT | 5.6 | -4.3 | -5.0 | 13.8 | 13.4 | -. 8 | -1.9 | -1.8 | 7 | . 7 |
|  | MOV | 2.3 | -7.9 | -9.0 | 15.4 | 17.1 | -1.8 | -2.2 | -2.4 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
|  | DEE | 2.6 | -. 1 | -2.8 | 16.8 | 19.9 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| 1982 | JAM | 6.5 | 1.3 | -1.3 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | -. 4 |
|  | FEB | 4.8 | -. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-2.5$ | 17.5 | 16.0 | .1 | -2.1 | -1.4 | . 5 | 1.2 |

SOURCE: BAMK OF CANADA REVIEM. COINS DUTSIDE BAMKS AND CHARTERED SANK DEPDSITS HITH THE BANK OF CANADA.
(9) NOTES IN CIRCULATION. CDIN
(2) CURRENCY AND DEMAND DEPDSITS.
(3) CURRENCY AND ALL CHEQUABLE OEPOSITS.
(4) CURRENCY AND ALL CHEOUABLE, NOTICE AND PERSONAL TERM DEPDSITS.
(5) CURRENCY AND TOTAL PRIYATELY-HELD CHARTERED GANK OEPOSITS.

met men security issues payable in canadian ano foreign currercies
MJLLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS HDT SEASONALLY ADUUSTED

|  | GDVERNMENT OF CANAOA |  |  | PROVIMCIAL GOVERMMENTS | MUNIEIPAL GDVEAMMENTS | CORPORCTIOHS |  | OYHERIMSTITUTIONS ANDFDREIGNOEBTORS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOndS | TREASURY日lLLS | TOTAL |  |  | BONDS | AND COMMON stocks |  |  |
| 1977 | 5537 | 2470 | 8007 | 7463 | 1205 | 5020 | 3143 | E2 | 24897 |
| 1978 | 7670 | 2820 | 10490 | 7240 | 650 | 4543 | 6924 | 3 | 29847 |
| 1979 | 6159 | 2125 | 8284 | 5464 | 587 | 2895 | 4350 | 47 | 22625 |
| 1980 | 5813 | 5475 | 11388 | 8708 | 439 | 3829 | 4796 | 215 | 29374 |
| 1981 | 12785 | -35 | 12750 | 11455 | 361 | 5547 | 5507 | 54 | 36673 |
| 1980 | 1233 | 1065 | 2298 | 1936 | 58 | 915 | 815 | 2 | 6025 |
| 11 | -78 | 2300 | 2222 | 3572 | 64 | 1142 | 1496 | 19 | 8495 |
| 111 | 1571 | 1180 | 2731 | 1162 | 195 | 1067 | 981 | 160 | 6296 |
| IV | 3187 | 950 | 4137 | 2038 | 122 | 705 | 1523 | 34 | 8558 |
| 1981 | 714 | 1035 | 1749 | 2289 | -60 | 1366 | 1380 | 80 | 6805 |
| II | -602 | 620 | 18 | 2248 | 151 | 1767 | 2100 | 3 | 6285 |
| 111 | 786 | 500 | 1266 | 3019 | 16 | 911 | 1158 | -26 | 6342 |
| IV | 11907 | -2190 | 9717 | 3898 | 254 | 2503 | हf1 | -3 | 17241 |

SOUREE: EATR OF CAKADA REVIEH.

MAY 5, 1982
TABLE 74
2:14 PM

> INTEREST RATES
> MONTH-END
> NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BANK } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | GOVERNMENT OF CANADA SECURTYIES |  |  |  |  | MCLEOO YOUKG MEIR AVERAGES |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SO OAY } \\ & \text { EINANCE } \\ & \text { EOMPANY } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-HONTH } \\ \text { BILLS } \end{gathered}$ | 1-3 YEAR BOHDS | $\begin{gathered} 3-5 \text { YEAR } \\ \text { 9DNDS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-10 \text { YEAR } \\ \text { BONDS } \end{gathered}$ | 10. YEAR BONDS | 10 PRDVINCIALS | 10 MUNICJPALS | 10 INDUS: TRIALS |  |
| 1977 |  | 7.71 | 7. 33 | 7.33 | 7.79 | 8. 13 | 8. 70 | 9.53 | 8.71 | 9.71 | 7.48 |
| 1978 |  | 8.98 | 8. 68 | 8. 74 | 9.00 | 9.08 | 9.27 | 9.88 | 10.06 | 10.02 | 8.83 |
| 1979 |  | 12.10 | 11.69 | 10.75 | 10.42 | 10. 16 | 10.21 | 10.74 | 10.94 | 10.88 | 12.07 |
| 1980 |  | 12.89 | 12.79 | 12.44 | 12.32 | 12.29 | 12.48 | 13.02 | 13.35 | 13.24 | 13.15 |
| 1961 |  | 17.93 | 17.72 | 15.96 | 15.50 | 15.29 | 15.22 | 15.95 | 16.46 | 16.22 | 18.33 |
| 1980 | II | 12.72 | 12.37 | 11.23 | 11.02 | 11.24 | 11.57 | 12. 10 | 12.49 | 12.43 | 12.98 |
|  | III | 10.55 | 10.50 | 11.93 | 12.19 | 12.17 | 12.57 | 13.23 | 13,49 | 13.43 | 10.72 |
|  | IV | 14.03 | 14.21 | 13.05 | 12.88 | 12.85 | 12.97 | 13.48 | 13.93 | 13.78 | 14.53 |
| 1881 | I | 16.91 | 15.71 | 13.59 | 13.44 | 13.25 | 13.27 | 14.00 | 14.39 | 14.20 | 17.13 |
|  | 11 | 18.51 | 18.20 | 16.05 | 15.44 | 15.06 | 15,02 | 15.65 | 16.21 | 45.97 | 18.5 ? |
|  | III | 20.18 | 20.15 | 18.82 | 18.05 | 17.45 | 17.17 | 18.10 | 18.63 | 18.32 | 21.02 |
|  | IV | 16.12 | 15.81 | 15.35 | 15.04 | 15.41 | 15.42 | 15.05 | 15.82 | 15.41 | 16.62 |
| 1982 | I | 14.86 | 14.59 | 15.41 | 15.02 | 15.27 | 15.34 | 16.59 | 17.04 | 17.01 | 15.35 |
| 1981 | MAR | 15.59 | 16.44 | 14.04 | 13.83 | 13.61 | 13.48 | 14. 18 | 14.65 | 14.41 | 17.00 |
|  | APR | 17.40 | 17.35 | 15.78 | 15. 30 | 14.84 | 15.07 | 15.78 | 16. 16 | 16.03 | 17.50 |
|  | MAY | 19.05 | 18.43 | 16. 22 | 15.51 | 15.09 | 14.96 | 15.53 | 16. 10 | 15.84 | 19.00 |
|  | JUN | 19.07 | 18.83 | 16. 19 | 15.52 | 15.24 | 15.03 | 15.63 | 16.36 | 15.93 | 19.20 |
|  | dNL | 19.89 | 20.29 | 18. 77 | 17.91 | 17.37 | 17.07 | 18.09 | 18.50 | 17.93 | 21.25 |
|  | AUG | 21.03 | 20.82 | 18.77 | 17.58 | 17.00 | 16.77 | 17.48 | 18.24 | 17.95 | 22.20 |
|  | SEP | 19.63 | 19.35 | 18.93 | 18.68 | 17.98 | 17.65 | 18.73 | 19.15 | 19.09 | 19.60 |
|  | OCT | 18.30 | 17.98 | 17.30 | 16.91 | 16.78 | 16.86 | 17.01 | 17. 55 | 17.28 | 18.80 |
|  | NOV | 15.40 | 15.07 | 13.56 | 13.41 | 14.14 | 14. 32 | 15. 16 | 15.84 | 15.48 | 15.40 |
|  | DEC | 14.66 | 14.41 | 15.19 | 14.80 | 15. 28 | 15.27 | 15.97 | 16.37 | 16.48 | 15.65 |
| 1982 | JAN | 14.72 | 14.34 | 15.93 | 15.73 | 15.95 | 15.94 | 15.81 | 17. 15 | 16.87 | 14.90 |
|  | FE8 | 14.74 | 14.58 | 14.99 | 14.58 | 14.87 | 15.01 | 16.53 | 16.94 | 17.24 | 15.00 |
|  | MAR | 15,11 | 14.86 | 15.32 | 14.76 | 14.99 | 15.05 | 16.44 | 17.08 | 16.93 | 16. 15 |

SOURCE: BANK OF CANADA REVIEN.

EXCHANGE RATES
not SEASCNALLY ADJUSTED


SOURTE: BAMK OF CANADA REVIEN. ELONOMIC REVIEN. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
(1) GEDMETRIGALLY NEIGHTEO BY 1971 BILATERAL SHARES OF TRADE. THE GROUP OF TEN COUMTRIES COMPRISE BELGIUM, CANADA FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY, JAPAN, THE NETHERLANOS, SMEDEN. THE UNITED KINGDOM, THE UNITED STATES AND SMITZERLAND.

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
LONG-TERM CAPITAL FLOKS
MILLIDNS DF DOLLARS, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


CAPITAL ACCOUNT GALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
LONG-TERM CAPITAL FLONS CONTINUED
LOMG-TERM CAPITAL FLONS COMTINUED
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. HDT SEASONALLY AONUSTEO

|  | F0REIGN SECURTTIE |  |  | GOVERNMEM OF CAMADA |  |  | OTHER LDNG-TERM CAPITAL | TOTAL LONG-TERM CAPITAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | AND SUESCR | OWS |  |  |
|  | TRADE IN DUPSTANDING SECURITIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEM } \\ \text { ISSUES } \end{gathered}$ | REY IREMENTS | TO MATIONAL GOVERNMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TO INFEA } \\ & \text { MATIONAL } \\ & \text { GGENCIES } \end{aligned}$ | REPAYMENTS |  |  |
| 1977 | 166 | -41 | 85 | -200 | -339 | 36 | 176 | 4217 |
| 1978 | 29 | -25 | 21 | -261 | -248 | 252 | 1395 | 3081 |
| 1979 | -315 | -313 | 48 | -230 | -322 | 33 | 1846 | 2093 |
| 1980 | 60 | - 194 | 20 | -238 | -279 | 35 | -140 | 1305 |
| 1981 | -7 | -99 | 9 | -319 | -309 | 41 | 2234 | 1340 |
| 1880 I | $4{ }^{\text {E }}$ | -84 | 5 | -97 | - ${ }^{18}$ | 5 | -19 | 970 |
| II | 162 | -5 | 5 | -54 | -8 | 1 | 101 | 1035 |
| 111 | 39 | -70 | 4 | -40 | 0 | 0 | -217 | 552 |
| IV | - 187 | -55 | 6 | -37 | -262 | 30 | -5 | -1252 |
| $1981 \%$ | -243 | -17 | 4 | -124 | -24 | 9 | - 14 | -520 |
| 11 | -315 | -22 | 2 | -29 | -9 | 1 | 43 | -3314 |
| 111 | 548 | -50 | 2 | -67 | -57 | 0 | 1280 | 2087 |
| iv | 3 | -8 | 1 | -99 | -219 | 31 | 945 | 3087 |

SDURCE: QUARTERIY ESTIMATES OF THE CZNADIAN BALGNCE OF JNTERNAYTONAL PAYMENTS. CATALOGUE ET-COI, STATISTICE EANIDA

MAY 5. 1982
TASLE 78
2:14 PM

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIDNAL PAYMENTS
SHDRT-TERM CAPITAL FLDMS HILLIONS OF DOLLARS. MDT SEASDHALLY ADJUSTEO

|  | NDN-RESTOENT HOLDINES OF: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CANADIAN } \\ & \text { ODLIAR } \\ & \text { OEPOSITS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERNMENT } \\ & \text { DEMAND } \\ & \text { LIABILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | TREASURY BILLS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINANCE } \\ & \text { COMPANY } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER FINANCE COMPANY DELIGATIDNS | CDMMERCILL PAPER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DYRER } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1977 | 230 | 172 | 242 | 42 | -55 | -85 | 243 |
| 1978 | 37 | 55 | -53 | 128 | -40 | -186 | 144 |
| 1979 | 524 | 217 | -178 | -5 | 0 | 153 | 527 |
| 1980 | -56 | 171 | 542 | - 164 | 70 | -64 | 751 |
| 1981 | 1401 | 164 | -61 | 760 | 471 | -86 | 543 |
| 19801 | - 108 | -16 | 165 | 300 | 58 | 177 | 513 |
| 1981 | 34 | -19 | 212 | -290 | 27 | -55 | 512 |
| III | 74 | -25 | 240 | - 18 | -36 | -48 | -532 |
| Iv | -56 | 231 | -75 | - 156 | 21 | -128 | 258 |
| 19891 | 402 | -8 | 26 | 73 | 29 | 92 | 563 |
| II | -4 | -57 | -93 | 265 | 135 | -11 | -99 |
| IJ | -43 | 41 | 213 | 209 | 200 |  | 491 |
| IV | 1048 | 188 | -207 | 213 | 107 | -167 | -412 |

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
SHORT-TERM CAPITAL FLOWS CONTIMUED
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. NOT SEASONGLIY ADJUSTED

|  | RESIDENY FORETGN CDRRENCY HOLDINGS |  |  |  |  | HOVEMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHARTEREO BAMKS' MET POSITION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOMBANK } \\ & \text { HDLDINGS } \end{aligned}$ | ALL OTHER TRAN. SACTIONS | TOTAL SHORT-TERM CAPITAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { MET } \\ \text { CAPITAL } \\ \text { MOVEMENT } \end{gathered}$ | ```OF OFFICIAL INTER= MATIONAL RESERYES``` |
| 1979 | 1384 | -855 | -870 | 668 | 4885 | - 1421 |
| 1978 | 2791 | -66? | -952 | 1237 | 4318 | -185 |
| 1979 | 4107 | 7 | 1400 | 6752 | 8851 | -85 8 |
| 1980 | 1406 | -517 | - 1026 | 1113 | 2418 | -542 |
| 1981 | 17898 | -6141 | -59 | 14890 | 16230 | 382 |
| 1980 \% | - 706 |  |  | -316 |  |  |
| II | 96 | -642 | 819 | 884 | 1719 | 331 |
| 111 | -254 | 390 | - 195 | -404 | 158 | -532 |
| IV | 2270 | -116 | - 1100 | 1149 | - 113 | 84 |
| 1981 J | 5912 | -1337 | 362 | S114 | 5594 | -314 |
| II | 8098 | -1241 | - 190 | 6803 | 3489 | -637 |
| III | 2721 | -1949 | -2783 | -900 | 1189 | -126 |
| IV | 1167 | -1614 | 2552 | 2873 | 5960 | 1459 |


[^0]:    'All references are to seasonally adjusted data unless otherwise stated.

[^1]:    The purpose of filtering is to reduce irregular movements in the data so that one can better judge whether the cuprent movement represents a change in the business cycle. Unfortunately, all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in warning of cyclical changes.
    We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by filtering the leading index and its components with minimum phase shift filters so as to minimize false signals and maximize lead time. See D. Rhoades, "Converting Timeliness into Reliability in Economic Time Series" or "Minimum Phase-shift Filtering of Economic Time Series", Canadian Statistical Review, February 1980.
    Over the period January 1952 to January 1982 the unfiltered index exhibited a 6 month average lead at business cycle peaks, a 2 month lead at troughs, and emitted 64 false signals. The filtered index emitted only 10 false signals over this period and had a 5 month average lead at peaks and a 1 month lag at troughs. Of the 361 months in the period January 1952 to January 1982 the 10 false signals in the filtered version represents an error rate of 2.8 per cent, whereas the 64 false signals in the non-filtered series represents an error rate of 17.8 per cent.
    All references to leading indicators are to filtered data unless otherwise stated.
    This index is a composite of housing starts, residential building permits, and mortgage loan approvals.

[^2]:    2The debt-servicing ratio is the ratio of external debt payments to merchandise exports.

[^3]:    The major leading indicators that we are aware of are the Royal Bank's Trendicator, the Commerce Leading Indicator put out by the Imperial Bank of Commerce, and the leading indicator published by Singer Associates of Toronto.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ These measures are exhaustive at least in concept. There are of course elements of economic activity that are not reflected in the system of national accounts, such as non-market activities, etc. ${ }^{3}$ Québec originates from an Algonquin indian word meaning the narrowest part of the river.

[^5]:    4 n what follows all references are to volume or constant dollar

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ In order to define expansionary and contractionary phases turning points for all the leading indicators were found using the Bry-Boschan program (Bry-Boschan [2]). In some cases program selected turning points were changed due to knowledge of special events (eg. strikes), or furning points were selected that the program did not identify. A listing of turning points for all leading indicators is available on request.

[^7]:    (i) Expenditure Component Consumption

    Capital Formation
    Residential Construction
    Machinery and Equipment
    Non-Residential Construction
    Inventories
    Exports
    Associated Leaders
    Furniture and Appliance Sales
    New Motor Vehicle Sales
    Money Supply
    New Orders Durable Goods
    Residential Construction Index
    Money Supply
    New Orders Durable Goods Money Supply
    New Orders Durable Goods
    Money Supply
    Shipment-Inventory Ratio
    Money Supply
    United States Leading Index
    (ii) Output Component

    RDP Manufacturing
    (iii) Income Component Protits

    Labour income

    Average workweek, manufacturing Shipment-Inventory Ratio. Manutacturing

    Stock Price Index
    Price Per Unit Labour Cost,
    Manulacluring
    Average workweek, manulacturing

[^8]:    Of course TRENDICATOR contains indicators such as the money supply which affect many sectors of the economy and can be related to consumption. In this discussion, however, we are concerned with relationships that can be used to directly assess the outlook for the sector in question.

[^9]:    The conventional formula for calculating the percent change over a given time interval is $100\left(y_{t}-y_{t-1}\right) / y_{t-1}$. In the modified formula, the sum of $y_{t}$ and $y_{t-1}$ is used as the denominator in order to keep positive and negative percent changes symmetrical. Consider, for example, a series in which the consecutive values are $4,8,4,8,4,8$ and 4. Although there is no upward trend in such a series, the conventional percent change formula will yield an average change of +25 percent (since 3 increases of 100 percent, and 3 decreases of 50 percent would be averaged). The modified formula, however, will yield an average change of zero since án equal number of increases and decreases of $66-2 / 3$ percent would be averaged.

[^10]:    T-Trough

[^11]:    SOURCE: BANK OF CAHADA REVIEK.
    (1) CURRENCY AMO DEMAND DEPDSITS. SEASDMALLY ADJUSTED, PERCENTAGE CHANGES
    CURRENCY AND ALL CHEOUABLE HDTJCE AND PERSONAL TERM DEPDSITS SEASONALIY AOJUSTED PERCENTAGE CHANGES
    CURRENCY ANO TOTAL PRIVATELY-MELD GMARTERED BANK DEPOSITS. SEASONALLY ADJUSTED, PERCENTAGE CHANGES
    PERCENT PER YEAR
    300 STOCKS. MONTHLY CLOSE, $1975=1000$
    30 INDUSTRIALS. MONTHLY CLOSE

[^12]:    SOUREE: EDSTNESS COMOIYTOKS DIGEST. GUREAU OF ECOMORIC ANALYSIS.U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMEFEE
    (1) SEE GLOSSARY OF TERMS
    (2) AVERAGE OF MEEKLY FIGURES. THOUSANDS OF PERSONS.

[^13]:    SDURCE: EMFLOYMEMT. EARNINGS GNO ROURS, CATALDGUE 72-002, STATISTICS CANADA
    BASED ON 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICAYIDN.
    (1) SEE GLOSSARY
    $(1)$ SEE GLOSSARY
    (2) ERCLUDES AGRICULTURE, FISKIMg and TRAPPING, EDUCATIDN, MEALTH, RELIGIDUS ORGANIZATYDNS. AND PUBLIC ADMINJSTRATION AND DEFENSE.

[^14]:    SOUNCE: THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, CATALOGUE E2-001, STATISTICS CARADA.

[^15]:    SOUREE: NATIOMAL INEOME ANO EXPENDTYURE ACCDUNTS, CAYALOUUE 13-001, STRTTSTYCS CANADA

[^16]:    SOURCE: QUARTERTY ESTAMETES OF THE CANAOTAN BALAMCE OF INTERNATYONAL PAYMENTS, CATALOELUE $67-001$, SYATISTTES CANDDA.

