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## Preface

The purpose of Current Economic Analysis is to provide a monthly description of macro-economic conditions and thereby to extend the availability of information on the macro-economy provided by the System of National Accounts.

The publication also contains information that can be used to extend or modify Statistics Canada's description of economic conditions. In particular the section on news developments provides a summary of important events that will be useful in interpreting current movements in the data. As well, extensive tables and charts, containing analytically useful transformations (percentage changes, ratios, smoothing, etc.) of the basic source data, are furnished for analysts wishing to develop their own assessments. Because of this emphasis on analytical transformations of the data the publication is not meant to serve as a compendium of source data on the macro-economy. Users requiring such a compendium are urged to consult the Canadian Statistical Review.
Technical terms and concepts used in this publication that may be unfamiliar to some readers are briefly explained in the glossary. More extensive feature articles will appear in this publication from time to time explaining these technical terms and concepts in more detail.
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## Notes

## A Note on the Role of Leading Indicators in the Statistical System

Policy-makers and decision-makers in both the government and private sectors are making increased and more sophisticated uses of quarterly national accounts and of other macro-economic frameworks in order to evaluate the current performance of the economy and to detect its underlying trends. However, by the time users have access to the elaborate frameworks which allow them to analyze the economy in a relatively disciplined fashion, events with consequences for the near and medium term future may have already taken place. The first quantitative manifestation of current economic developments often occurs in a group of indicators that lead cyclical movements in the economy and that can be assembled rapidly as events unfold. Consequently it is not surprising that "leading indicators" have long played a role in assessing current economic conditions. In the last decade the increased severity of recessions worldwide has disabused most analysts of the notion that the business cycle is dead and has rekindled interest in the leading indicator approach to economic analysis. Since the early 1970's the number of organizations, both in Canada and eisewhere, that have developed indicator systems to monitor economic developments is quite impressive. All of this activity has stimulated inquiries into the nature of the work being carried out and into possible directions of evolution of indicator systems.

These inquiries have led Statistics Canada to develop a set of theoretical guidelines that are useful in constructing, evaluating, or in guiding the evolution of leading indicator systems. Also, technical advances in data smoothing have been utilized so that the number of false signals emitted by the leading index has been minimized while preserving the maximum amount of lead time. A paper on these topics appeared in the May 1982 issue of this publication. (Catalogue number 13-004E.) Within the limits of this note we can only be suggestive and indicate that a leading indicator system should be structured as much as possible like the framework (eg. the quarterly national accounts) that it is intended to complement, and it must contain a broad enough range of component indicators to enable the system to warn of cyclical changes that may be generated by any of a large variety of causal mechanisms. Although the current version of Statistics Canada's leading indicator system does not incorporate all the implications of the theoretical guidelines, along with the guidelines, it constitutes a useful addition to the indicator systems in Canada, and will become increasingly more so as the system evolves in accordance with the theoretical principles underlying its development.

## CANSIM Note

CANSIM ${ }^{*}$ (Canadian Socio-Economic Information Management System) is Statistics Canada's computerized data bank and its supporting software. Most of the data appearing in this publication, as well as many other data series are available from CANSIM via terminal. on computer printouts, or in machine readable form. Historical and more timely data not included in this publication are available from CANSIM.

For further information write to CANSIM Division. Statistics Canada, Ottawa, K1A OZ8 or call (613)995-7406.

Registered Trade Mark of Statistics Canada.

# Analysis of October Data Releases 

(Based on data available as of November 18, 1983) ${ }^{1}$

## Summary ${ }^{2}$

The Canadian economy continued to expand at a rapid rate in the third quarter. There are signs, however, of a redistribution of the sources of growth during the quarter away from household and export demand and towards business investment and inventory accumulation, which appears to be leading to a slowdown of the growth of real economic activity. The rate of growth of retail sales appears to have tapered-off, as demand in September was below the level in June, and housing demand continued to weaken as housing starts declined into October. At the same time, there are indications that the upturn in business investment and stock-building initiated in the third quarter will be limited by the substantial excess capacity and relatively high inventory-to-sales ratios in most manufacturing industries. United States demand for manufactured goods appears to be stabilizing by the end of the third quarter, although growth prospects for most primary commodities appear weak. The softening of commodity prices and the signs of a downshifting of the growth of final sales, however, have led to a further moderation in inflation.

The indicators for household expenditure weakened during the summer months, as housing starts continued to retrench into October while retail sales declined between June and September, notably as furniture and appliance sales dropped in Ontario and as demand for semi-durable goods remained weak. The weakness in household outlays was accentuated in the short term by the termination of temporary government stimulus programs, notably the CHOSP in May and the repeal of the sales tax in Ontario on furniture and appliances in August. A firming of sales in these areas can be expected in the fourth quarter, when the initial drop reflecting the short-term reaction to the end of these stimuli is over, but there are few signs of renewed growth entering the fourth quarter. The outlook for a rebound is restrained by the small drop in employ. ment in October, as widespread declines outweighed a further gain in manufacturing. One result of the sluggish demand for labour in many industries apparently has been to induce an increase in discouraged workers and a drop in

[^0]labour force participation in October, which may indicate a decline in consumer confidence following a tapering-off of growth in the third quarter. Nevertheless, the strength of manufacturing activity anticipated by new orders and production plans for the fourth quarter, notably in the transportation equipment and business investment-related industries, should provide ongoing support to household incomes. Weak commodity prices, notably for food, should lead to restraint in consumer prices in the quarter, while lower mortgage rates also should increase income for discretionary expenditures.
The recent slowdown in the growth of external demand for end products showed signs of stabilizing in September, particularly U.S. demand for motor vehicle products. The upward trend of growth for most manufactured goods should remain sturdy, in the short term at least, in light of the positive signs for growth in the United States suggested in both the coincident and leading indicators. Demand continued to falter in a number of primary commodity markets, however, notably for food, metals, natural gas, and wood products. The renewed downturn in wood products reflects the recent drop in housing starts in the United States, while sluggish export earnings in most food and metal products produced in Canada reflect weak markets in Europe and in the Third World. In particular, it appears that food purchases by non-OECD nations have been curtailed by the considerable constraint of servicing their external debt, while prices of metal products are being checked by the combination of sluggish industrial demand in Europe and Japan and the need for non-OECD producers to increase sales to reap foreign exchange and create domestic employment.

There has been an upturn in business investment in the North American economies in the third quarter. This has been most evident in the machinery and vehicle components, which began to recover in the second quarter. Nevertheless, there are reasons to believe that in Canada, unlike the United States, the recovery of business investment will not fully counterbalance the slowing of household demand and weak activity in primary industries. Capacity utilization rates remain much lower in Canada, while balance sheets and cash flow suffered by a greater degree during the recession in industrial corporations in Canada. Indeed, an upturn in non-residential construction does not appear to be evident in the related coincident and leading indicators. The upturn in demand for machinery and equipment seems more firmly rooted than non-residential construction in the motive of firms to control costs and increase distributive capacity, and is reflected in the related indicators of import demand and new orders. There were
signs in the most recent monthly data on imports of machinery and equipment that the short-term trend of growth is tapering-off from its earlier rapid rate of recovery
The upturn in manufacturing inventories in Juily continued into August, after hefty liquidation in the second quarter, although the gains continued to reflect factors specific to certain industries, such as the need to rebuild petroleum stocks and sluggish demand in the wood and consumer non-durable goods industries. There is little evidence of a concerted desire by manufacturing firms to voluntarily rebuild stocks at a rapid rate.
The shift in the sectorial composition of domestic demand, notably the increase in stocks, and the renewed weakness of most commodity prices since April, augur well for the short-term course of inflation. Consumer prices stabilized in September, notably as food prices declined, and further weakness is indicated by the widespread drop in the raw materials price index and moderate industry selling prices in that month. The recent slowing of consumer demand and increased retail inventories should reinforce this restraint, while the upturn in demand in investment-related industries has had little effect on prices, as capacity utilization in these industries is generally around 60 per cent in Canada and is low throughout the OECD nations. Profit margins continued to improve, despite the restraint in prices, as the result of a gradual improvement in the utilization of labour and capacity, lower interest payments, and the slow growth of nominal wage rates.

- Real domestic product was little changed in July and August. Output remains substantially above its second quarter average, however, as strength in the manufaciuring sector has outweighed a softening of residential construction activity and of output in most primary and service industry groups.
- The indicators of consumer spending on retail goods rose by 0.7 per cent in volume in August. after a revised 1.9 per cent decline in July. Nevertheless, the average level in July and August remains substantially above the second quarter average, as demand for durable goods has been spurred by temporary government stimulus programs and lower interest rates. Demand for most semi-durable and non-durable goods and services remains sluggish.
- Housing starts declined from 132,000 in September to 110,000 units at annual rates in October. A stabilizing of demand for single-family dwellings at weak levels has
coincided with a decline in demand for multiple units, reflecting the recent increase in vacancy rates for multiple units in most areas of the country.
- According to the labour force survey in October. employment declined ( -0.2 per cent) for the first time in 1983. Most industries outside of manufacturing recorded declines, notably in British Columbia, following a slowdown in growth during the third quarter. The softening of labour demand has been paralleled by a drop in labour force participation since August. An increase in the number of discouraged workers in October served to accentuate this dedine ( -0.4 per cent in October) such that the unemployment rate fell from 11.3 per cent to 11.1 per cent in the month.
- Led by strengthening demand for transportation equipment and investment-related goods, the volume of new orders received by manufacturing firms rose by 2.4 per cent in August, which outweighed a further deceleration in orders for housing-related and consumer non-durable goods. Unfilled orders jumped by 2.2 per cent in August, raising the filtered trend for the first time since June 1980, which should help to sustain a higher leve! of activity in the fourth quarter despite a fourth consecutive slowdown in the growth of shipments (to +0.4 per cent in August).
- The volume of manufacturing inventories rose marginally ( $+\$ 5$ million) in August after a $\$ 67$ million increase in July. This compares to an average monthly drop of $\$ 87$ million in the second quarter. Most of the recent buildup appears to be involuntary, as the largest increases were in wood and clothing industries, coincident with a faltering of final demand in these areas. Petroleum stocks also continued to rise as these firms attempted to rebuild stock-to-sales ratios.
- The short-term trend of the merchandise trade surpius declined for the second straight month, to \$1,515 million with the inclusion of data for September. The short-term trend for imports grew by 2.7 per cent, as a marked acceleration in petroleum imports coincided with a firming of demand for motor vehicle imports and a slight deceleration of the rate of expansion for imported machinery and equipment. The short-term trend for exports decelerated for the fourth consecutive month, as lower shipments of food products to less-developed countries, weak OECD nation demand for crude materials, and a drop in U.S. demand for lumber have offset a firming of American demand for end products, noticeably motor vehicle products.

Figure 1
The Conodion Composite Leoding Index $\quad(1971=100)$
Filtered $\qquad$ - $A C$

Actual -....-
Januory 1961 to August 1983


January 1977 to August 1983


- Price inflation declerated further in September, as the unadjusted CPI was unchanged in the month while the raw material price index declined 0.5 per cent in a return to its level in April. Industry selling prices edged up by 0.1 per cent after seasonal adjustment, as prices in household and wood-related industries eased in response to weakening demand, while substantial surplus capacity in Canada and world-wide has restrain. ed prices in investment-related industries.

The leading indicator slowed noticeably in August from +2.55 per cent to +2.03 per cent, to a level of 142.16,
and a small drop was registered in the non-filtered version (-0.1 per cent), the first decline since August 1982
Since the slowdown was due to those components with the longest leads (between 7 and 11 months at peaks). the index in August suggests the increased probability of a slowing in the expansion during the first half of 1984. Nevertheless, the short-term indicators still signal that the recovery should continue to be vigorous up to year-end, as the indicators of personal expenditure on goods and new orders for durable goods, which have leads varying between 2 and 4 months, posted the strongest gains.

## The Canadian Composite Leading Indicator

The indicators of personal expenditure on goods continued to record high rates of growth in August, which signals the continuation in the short run of the recovery of consumer demand. Sales of new motor vehicles (+2.26 per cent) as well as furniture and appliances ( +4.43 per cent) slowed only marginally, as the moderation of prices and the relatively lower cost of credit continued to stimulate demand. The more vigorous recovery of these indicators since April, however, has been slow to be reflected in the other components of retail sales, particularly semi-durable goods, while the trend of real wages continued to be weak economy-wide.

The rate of decline of the residential construction index ${ }^{3}$ was accentuated in August ( -5.20 per cent). Housing could continue to deteriorate for several months given the marked drop since June in the non-filtered version ${ }^{4}$ of housing starts. The continued weak level of starts sug. gests, however, that in addition to CHOSP, cyclical factors have played a part in the sharp drop of construction in recent months. Nevertheless, the stabilizing of building permits in August indicates that the drop should soon slow, while demand for mortgage loans remained relatively sturdy during this period, which has supported the strength of sales of housing-related goods to date.

3 This index is a composite of urban housing starts, residential building permits, and mortgage loan approvals.
4 The purpose of filtering is 10 reduce irregular movements in the data so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the business cycle. Unfortunately, all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in warning of cyclical changes.
All references to leading indicators are lo filtered data unless otherwise stated.
We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by fittering the leading index and its components with minimum phase shift filters so as to minimize false signals and maximize lead time. See D. Rhoades, 'Converting Timeliness into Reliability in Economic Time Series or Minimum Phase-shift Filtering of Economic Time Series", Canadian Statistical Review, February 1980.

Over the period January 1952 to January 1982 the unfiltered index exhibited a 6 month average lead at business cycle peaks. a 2 month lead at troughs, and emitted 64 talse signals. The filtered index emitted only 10 false signals over this period and had a 5 month average lead at peaks and a 1 month lag at troughs. Of the 361 months in the period January 1952 to January 1982 the 10 false signals in the filtered version represents an error rate of 2.8 per cent, whereas the 64 false signals in the non-filtered series represents an error rate of 17.8 per cent.

The strengthening of the leading indicators for manufacturing continued in August, suggesting that manufacturing output will regain its momentum after a slackening in August. New orders for durable goods rose at a particularly rapid rate ( +2.81 per cent), comparable to the growth during the period of expansion in 1978-1979. This movement has led to another important gain in shipments, which continued to contribute the largest portion of the growth of the ratio of shipments to stocks of finished goods, up 0.02 to 1.55 in August. Most manufacturing industries have recorded sustained growth, with the exception of those industries related to the household sector, such as clothing and some intermediate goods related to housebuilding, which gave some signs of easing. These also are the industries where inventory accumulation occurred in the nonfiltered version, suggesting that the increase was involuntary. Despite the signs of a slowing in recent months, final demand remains sufficiently high to foster a sustained recovery of manufacturing production.
The percent change of price per unit labour cost maintained a sustained rate of growth ( +0.63 per cent), indicating a continued recovery of profit margins which should encourage investment outlays. The non-filtered version

Leading Indicators

|  | Percentage Change in August |
| :---: | :---: |
| Composite Leading Index ( $1971=100)$ | +2.03 |
| 1. Average Workweek - Manufacturing (Hours) | ) +0.36 |
| 2. Residential Construction Index ( $1971=100$ ) | ) $-5.20 \dagger$ |
| 3. United States Composite Leading Index $(1967=100)$ | +1.32 |
| 4. Money Supply (M1) (\$1971 Millions) | +0.49 |
| 5. New Orders - Durable Products Industries (\$1971 Millions) | +2.81 |
| 6. Retail Trade - Furniture and Appliances (\$1971 |  |
| Millions) | +4.43 |
| 7. New Motor Vehicle Sales (\$1971 Millions) | +2.26 |
| 8. Shipment to Inventory Ratio (Finished Goods) - |  |
| Manufacturing | +0.02* |
| 9. Stock Price Index (TSE300 Excluding Oil \& Gas |  |
| $1975=1000$ ) ........... | +1.67 |
| 10. Percentage Change in Price Per Unit Labour |  |
| Costs - Manufacturing | +0.07* |

[^1]$\dagger$ The number of mortgage loans approved in August has been forecast due to unavailability of data.
declined, although this seems largely attributable to the spike of unit labour costs in August, when employment and the average workweek registered substantial increases while production weakened.

The leading index for the United States rose by 1.32 per cent in August, which represents a slowdown from the gains in June and July. In particular, the leading indicators for housing and financial markets continued to react negatively to the recent upturn in interest rates. The leading indicator, however, recovered strongly in the nonfittered version in September and GNP continued to grow rapidly in the third quarter, which reflects the persistence of vigorous growth in the U.S. economy in the short term. The trend of our exports of finished goods, which largely are destined for the U.S., appears to reflect these movements, having slowed with the inclusion of data for June before stabilizing at a fairly rapid rate of growth ( +1.9 per cent) with the inclusion of data for September.
The financial market indicators eased in Canada in August, as was the case in the United States. The rate of growth of stock market prices slowed to +1.67 per cent from +2.60 per cent in July, while the increase in the real money supply (M1) was reduced to +0.49 per cent. Preliminary data for September and October point to an accentuation of this weakening, such that these indices could soon join the downward movement initiated in July in the residential construction index. The fact that all these indicators have the longest leads underscores the probability of a noticeable slackening of the expansion in the first half of 1984.

## Output

Real domestic product was little changed in July and August. The third quarter as a whole is likely to show little slowdown from the 1.9 per cent gain in the second, as growth should still be respectable compared to the second quarter average. The signs of a slowdown, however, are likely to be accentuated by year-end, in light of the small drop of employment in Oclober and the labour disputes in the forestry and public sectors in British Columbia in October and November.

The virtual standstill in output in July and August was evident in both goods and services. Output of goods has slackened (off 0.3 per cent in August) due to a retrenchment in residential construction and a slowdown in manufacturirig and mining production. The drop in housing starts since May was evident in sharp declines in residential construction (off a cumulative 20 per cent in July and August, after eight consecutive gains) and output of feeder
industries, notably non-metallic minerals such as cement. Further declines into the fourth quarter are augured by the slump of housing starts into October. At the same time. the upturn of non-residential construction between May and July was reversed somewhat in August, as the recovery of business investment in structures is less solidly based in their coincident and leading indicators than the recovery of investment in machinery and equipment.
The recovery of manufacturing output slowed in August compared to an average monthly gain of 1.3 per cent in the previous four months. The easing in the growth rate reflected weakness in clothing and related industries and food and beverages - a reflection of the slack in consumer demand for non-durable goods - and a reversal in wood and paper products, where export demand dropped sharply in August in reaction to the drop in U.S. housing starts. The weakness of demand for metal products, even before the renewed slump of commodity prices in September and October, was reflected in lower output of primary metals (notably a 3.1 per cent decline in smelting and refining) and a slowdown in mining output (up only 0.5 per cent over July and August, after a recovery in the first half of the year).

Most other manufacturing industries continued to boost output at a rapid rate in August, notably industries related to business investment such as machinery, metal fabricating, and office furniture. The auto industry, after weakness in June and July, began to raise output in August (+4.2 per cent) in anticipation of an acceleration in sales with the introduction of the new model year in September. This upturn in auto activity also was reflected in important feeder industries to the auto sector, notably rubber and iron and steel. The plans of the auto industry to boost production rates sharply in the fourth quarter, and the accumulation of new and unfilled orders for manufacturing as a whole during the summer months, should provide a solid base for renewed growth in manufacturing in the fourth quarter. The favourable reports on manufacturing activily in the fourth quarter business conditions survey ( 36 per cent of firms expected to raise output. compared to 35 per cent in the third quarter survey). the October report of the Purchasing Managers Association of Canada, and the buoyant performance of manufacturing employment (up 3.0 per cent over September and October) all bear out the short-term strength of the leading indicators of activity in this sector.
Production of services continued to rise gradually, up 0.1 per cent in August after no change in July. Further weakness can be expected in light of the ongoing sluggishness of service employment into October. The
weakness of production of services in August reflected lower trade activity and a fourth consecutive monthly decline in consumer demand for personal and recreational services. At the same time, output of tinancial services and public administration continued to be restrained. The recent easing of unemployment led to the third consecutive drop in welfare services, after an increase of 17.3 per cent between August 1981 and May 1983, when unemployment was generally on the rise.

## Households

The indicators of household outlays and income deteriorated further in the most recent month. Employment declined slightly in October, the first drop in 1983, notably a result of declines in services, non-agricultural primary industries, and construction. The drop in construction coincided with another decline in housing starts (110,000 units at annual rates in October), which appear to be stabilizing at a level only slightly above the trough attained during the recession. Retail sales weakened slightly in July and August, although the level remains substantially above the second quarter average. Increased spending on non-automotive durable goods has been counterbalanced by persistent weakness for traditional semi-durable goods. The behaviour of manufacturing employment remained encouraging, as a small gain was added to the substantial 2.9 per cent increase in September. The softening of labour demand in most industries, however, appears to have led to a drop in the labour force, partly because of an increase in discouraged workers, which served to reduce the unemployment rate to 11.1 per cent.
In October, employment was down 0.2 per cent $(-25,000)$, the weakest performance this year. The decline, caused by ongoing weakness in the serviceproducing industries, confirms the signs of a slowdown in final demand that have surfaced in recent months. The October decrease was largely attributable to lower employment in transportation, communications and other utilities $(-15,000)$, which has been falling for two months. Employment also dropped for the second consecutive month in primary industries excluding agriculture ( $-9,000$ ), reflecting sluggish international demand in this sector, especially in the mining and wood industries. After a very small gain the previous month, employment in the construction industry fell 1.1 per cent because of the shortterm deterioration in the housing market. Employment also decreased in the trade sector ( $-5,000$ ), probably as a result of a downturn in semi-durable goods. In finance, insurance, and real estate, employment grew the same pace as it did in September. The manufacturing sector
posted a lower rate of increase ( +0.1 per cent) in October, which confirms the vigour revealed by the sharp increase the previous month. In contrast to September. October was marked by a slight improvement in the part-time labour market $(+3,000)$ and a decrease in full-time employment ( $-47,000$ ), reflecting the slowdown signalled above.
By age group and sex, women 25 years of age and over sustained the largest employment loss $(-9,000)$. At the provincial level, the sharpest decline was in British Columbia ( $-20,000$ ), followed by Quebec ( $-10,000$ ) and Ontario $(-8,000)$. The decrease in British Columbia was due primarily to a slowdown in the mining, wood and service industries. The steep decline in female employment in British Columbia ( $-12,000$ ) can be explained in part by the decrease in the service industry, which in turn is attributable to uncertainty stemming from both the cyclical stump in the mining and wood industries and the strikes and job cuts expected since July. In Quebec, the transportation and construction sectors appear responsible for the decline, which would explain the 0.9 per cent drop in male employment.
On the other hand, labour supply registered a third consecutive decrease, as the labour force shrank by 52,000 . This, coupled with a drop in the number of unemployed, helped to improve the unemployment rate (11.1 per cent). The trend in the labour force and the decrease in the number of people re-entering the labour force seem to point to a deterioration in consumer confidence regarding the labour market situation. The notion of an erosion of household confidence is also reflected in the larger number of discouraged workers. However, the temporary downturn in labour market conditions is partially counterbalanced by the decline in short- and long-term unemployment.

Despite the prospect of a levelling-off of interest rates, the indicators of the housing market reveal in particular the uncertainty of households about future income. Investment intentions as measured by the residential construction leading indicator were down again in July. This slowdown foreshadows a retrenchment in work-put-in-place in the fourth quarter. There were 132,000 housing starts in September, a rise of 7.3 per cent from August. Residential building permits fell to a low of 110,400 units (preliminary data) in August, signalling a possible dip in housing starts in October.
There was little change in single-family housing in September. Starts in urban areas totalled 55,000 units, a 1.8 per cent gain from the previous month. An almost
uninterrupted squeeze in the supply of new vacant singlefamily dwellings suggests that demand will maintain its momentum until at least year-end. At the regional level, Quebec and Ontario may even experience a resurgence of activity in this sector, sustained mostly by the prolongation of government programs in Quebec and a positive net migration into Ontario.
In comparison with the levels reached in the past, the multiple housing market remained sluggish in September. There were 58,000 housing starts in metropolitan areas, which constitutes an increase from August. The gain was due exclusively to Ontario's performance in apartment building starts. The extremely low vacancy rates in apartment buildings in Ontario should help maintain this activity to some extent. In British Columbia, the number of multiple-unit housing starts fell again in September despite a fairly tight market. The high rates of apartment vacancy in Calgary (12.3 per cent) and Edmonton ( 9.5 per cent) suggest that an imminent turnaround in the Prairie region is unlikely. Current economic conditions, together with the relative strength of the single-family market, are suppressing the potentially beneficial effects of government assislance programs for rental housing builders.
The volume of retall sales, adjusted to National Accounts concepts, rose by 0.7 per cent in August. Following a revised decline of 1.9 per cent in July, this leaves sales to date in the third quarter up 2.6 per cent compared to the second quarter average. This represents an acceleration of the recovery of consumer demand on a quarterly basis, up from +1.1 per cent both in the fourth quarter of 1982 and the first quarter of 1983 and +1.5 per cent in the second quarter.
The August gain in sales reflected continued strength in durable goods as well as an upturn in food consumption, which outweighed a further erosion of demand for semidurable goods. Sales of durable goods rose 0.9 per cent in total, as passenger car sales rose slightly following three months of sluggishness, and as furniture and appliance sales gained 0.3 per cent. Demand for furniture and appliances bolted ahead by 23 per cent between May and August, largely supported by demand in Ontario where the sales tax on these items was lifted between May 11 and August 9 . The expiry of this program is likely to precipitate a sharp retrenchment in the autumn months, although the severity of the initial downturn in September is likely to be muted by deliveries of goods ordered but not received by consumers in Ontario in August.
Demand for other durable goods, notably for home and recreational entertainment, showed renewed strength in

August, rising about 2 per cent. This has added some depth to the recovery of durable goods, which had been confined largely to passenger cars and furniture and appliances. The recent upturn of demand for recreational goods partly reflects the entry of new goods such as video cassette recorders and home computers on the market, although precise data are unavailable in the absence of an update to the 1974 Retail Commodity Survey or the 1982 Family Expenditure Survey. According to industry sources (GM 22/10), there are about 300,000 video cassette recorders in Canada, over half of which are owned by francophones. The concentration of VCR sales amongst francophones is explained by Jacques de Courville Nicol, president of Videoglobe Inc., in terms of "francophones like entertainment that is more individualistic" (GM 22/10). Similarly, the market for home computers is still in the process of being defined, as spectacular success stories for some firms are counterbalanced by the withdrawal of some large firms from this unstable market (in particular, Texas Instruments announced in November that it will no longer manufacture its 99/4A home computers NYT $21 / 10$ ). At the same time, IBM announced that the introduction of its first computer specifically designed for home use, the PCjr, will be made early in 1984 (NYT 2/11).

The buoyancy of sales and product innovation for durable goods (and financial services as well) is in marked contrast to the continued sluggish performance of sales of most semi-durable and non-durable goods in the current recovery. Sales of these goods, which traditionally are more related to real permanent incomes, generally faltered again in August after significant reversals in July. Demand for clothing has been particularly weak, down 0.2 per cent in August after a 6.2 per cent drop in July. Clothing purchases now rest only 2.8 per cent above the trough level attained in September 1982. Most retailers remain optimistic, however, that a return to normal seasonal patterns after the unusually mild winter in Eastern Canada last year, and more rigorous inventory control will prevent the spate of price-cutting to spur sales that occurred during November and December of last year (TS 21/10).

A number of economic fundamentals point to a slowing of consumer demand from the peak rate of increase projected for the third quarter. Most notably, consumer confidence stabilized in the third quarter, while real disposable incomes will be restrained by the interruption to employment growth in October and the increase in taxes imposed by most provincial governments in 1983 and scheduled by the federal government in 1984. The interpretation of the
stability of the Conference Board index of consumer attitudes at an 18 -year high of 123.3 is muddied by a number of factors. The actual level of the index should not be taken to indicate a return to a 'boom' psychology among consumers, according to survey specialists at the Conference Board in New York and the University of Michigan. The facts that confidence is at an 18 -year high, while per capita retail sales have returned to 1974 levels in Canada, are reconciled by remembering that it is the expected direction (not the expected level) of economic activity that is measured by the survey. In light of the depth of the past recession, it is not surprising that consumers widely perceive an improvement in economic conditions. Moreover, the third quarter results should be qualified by some important nuances after conducting a more detailed analysis. First, the flattening-out of confidence largely reflected expectations that the economy would weaken in the next six months, as the assessment of current economic conditions remained very positive in the third quarter. Second, the increased signs of nervousness among consumers were most evident in the upper-income class, as confidence for the middle class was flat, while it rose for the lower-income class. Given the greater discretionary income of the upper class, particularly for durable goods, Clayton Research Associates concluded that the recovery may not be "as soundly based as many retailers think" (GM 5/11).

In a related study of consumer attitudes in the United States, the Survey Research Center of the University of Michigan found that lower interest rates so far in 1983 have significantly reduced the backlog of pent-up consumer demand for durables. The Center cautions, however, that the backlog of demand remains relatively high for non-automotive household goods normally purchased by credit card debt rather than bank loans, as interest rates on most cards remain at very high levels. Moreover, the Center warns that "even if interest rates were to stabilize at current levels, their favourable impact on buying attitudes would gradually recede over time as people become accustomed to the prevaliing rates" (University of Michigan, Economic Outlook, Summer 1983).

In terms of disposable incomes, the third quarter is likely to record a significant rebound as income tax refunds are processed following the delay in the second quarter. The deceleration of the underlying trend, which had slowed in the third quarter, will be further weakened by the faltering of employment growth (paid-worker and full-time employment declined in unison in October, after steady gains in 1983) and a spate of tax increases scheduled for the second half of 1983 and 1984. A 5 per cent surtax on per-
sonal incomes in Ontario took effect on July 1, 1983, while Alberta announced that it will raise income tax rates by 5 per cent on January 1, 1984. As well, federal income tax rates will rise in fiscal 1984-85 such that personal income taxes are expected to increase by $\$ 365$ million (Dept. of Finance, The Fiscal Plan, April 19, 1983, p. 27).

## Prices

There were signs of a further easing of inflation in September, although seasonal factors accounted for much of the movement of unadjusted indexes (no change in consumer prices and a 0.5 per cent drop in raw materials prices). The seasonally adjusted Industry Selling Price index advanced only 0.1 per cent. At the domestic level, inflation was slowed by a moderation in hourly wages and raw materials prices, and a shift in the sources of economic growth the recent uplurn in stocks and business investment has had little impact on prices so far). The international situation also appears to have contributed to the improvement in inflation. The sluggishness of the recovery in Europe and Japan, capacity under-utilization, international competition and the willingness of developing countries to sell their exports even at low prices in order to accumulate foreign currency all helped ease the impact of the recovery on the prices of various commodities.

The Consumer Price Index (not seasonally adjusted) levelled off in September, apparently marking a renewed slowdown in inflation. The 0.3 per cent increase in the overall index excluding food was mostly due to government-controlled prices (tobacco, alcohol and telephone rates). The slowdown of inflation the consumer goods production level and the easing of consumer demand should help sustain this moderating trend in the CPI. Food prices declined, mainly as a result of seasonal factors, and according to the Department of Agriculture, they should remain stable in the fourth quarter.
The seasonally adjusted Industry Selling Price Index edged up 0.1 per cent in September, which indicates that inflation at the manufacturing level has slowed again after accelerating somewhat early in the recovery. The stability of raw materials prices, the weakness in hourly wages and the decrease in interest payments all played a role in alleviating inflationary pressures due to costs. On the demand side, softness in consumer demand for durable goods and housing helped keep the prices of these goods steady at the manufacturing level (in fact, wood prices were down), and the acceleration in the demand for investment goods has not had any noticeable effect on the
prices of these goods because of the low capacity utilization rate in these industries (about 60 per cent in the second quarter).
The situation on world markets also seems to have contributed to the moderation in price increases for certain manufactured goods in Canada. In the paper and allied industries, international competition and high inventories apparently prompted Canadian firms to limit price increases in order to preserve or increase their market shares, even though their shipments were up sharply and their balance sheets were in poor shape. The downturn in metals prices on international markets led to a fairly widespread decline in primary metals prices.
The Raw Materials Price Index (not seasonally adjusted) fell 0.5 per cent in September to reach its April 1983 level. This stability was quite evenly distributed among the various components of the index, especially fuels, wood, non-metallic minerals and non-ferrous metals (since May). The 1.2 per cent rise in ferrous metals prices in September after five months of no change does not indicate a new upswing since world prices for common and precious metals dropped substantially during September and early October. Recent weakness in these prices in spite of the vigorous economic upturn in the United States and Canada probably reflects the sluggishness of the recovery in Europe and Japan, while developing countries that produce these metals have increased their output to accumulate foreign currency (BW 24/9).

The 5.0 per cent decline in vegetable product prices was largely attributable to the seasonal abundance of fresh vegetables, which led to a decline in prices. There were also decreases in the prices of most of the products that had posted sharp gains over the past year (sugar, oilseeds and wheat). The latter decreases were triggered by poor weather or the production cutting policy in the United States; it therefore appears likely that these prices will stabilize at current levels now that more is known about the details of total output and supply. Increases in feed costs and low selling prices kepl cattle and pig slaughtering at high levels, which led to a further decline in selling prices. This was the main factor in the 0.4 per cent dip in the animal products component.

## Business Investment

The coincident indicators are signalling an upturn in business outlays on plant and equipment. Machinery and equipment expenditures accelerated in the third quarter, and non-residential investment strengthened. According to
the latest survey conducted by the Conference Board, demand appears to be the determining factor of an investment recovery. The healthy prospects for demand and improvements in the key factors sustaining a vigorous recovery in investment (profitability, capacity utilization rates and balance sheets) suggest that the upturn in investment and its impetus on economic growth is likely to continue.

In the third quarter, Canadian business people were of the opinion that weak demand remained the dominant factor in investment intentions. This opinion supports the notion put by the OECD secretariat that "in the short term, investment outlays are mainly influenced by the changes in output" 5 This firming of investment early in the recovery is a good sign because it permits time to improve other conditions that adversely affect investment, notably poor balance sheets, profitability and capacity utilization rates. This should enable the economy to switch from consumer-led growth to an investment recovery (led by profitability and the need to boost capacity), which is, according to the $O E C D$, the driving force behind longer-term prosperity.

This transition may be almost complete in the United States, as the capacity utilization rate rose to 78 per cent in the third quarter and balance sheets showed rapid improvement as a result of record stock issues and debt restructuring. Profitability also seems to have improved in a number of industries. For example, motor vehicle manufacturers posted record profits, although output is significantly below the level reached at the peak of the last cycle. There was also an appreciable upswing in these parameters in Canada, but conditions remain less favourable for investment growth because Canadian companies were harder-hit by the recession, as the stronger and more rapid recovery in the United States suggests (various other factors of a structural and fiscal nature may also explain the differences in phase and amplitude between the two countries, but the similarity in the shape of the movement despite such structural and fiscal differences supports the notion that an increase in output plays a dominant role in the initial stage of a recovery in investment).

Machinery and equipment imports made strong gains in the third quarter even though the rise in the monthly trendcycle slowed somewhat after September data were incorporated. The quarterly trend was positive for all investment-related imports, and accelerated for most of

[^2]them. The widespread slowdown in the monthly trendcycle for these goods indicates that the period of accelerating spending on machinery and equipment is about to give way to a period of steady growth.

The coincident indicators of non-residential construction posted another gain in the third quarter, and oil and gas exploration and development also increased. The rise in exploration, which began in the second quarter, seems to have been fuelled by government subsidy programs since international supply and demand conditions for oil and gas products offer no encouragement for this type of investment - as shown by the slump in this sector in the United States, where there are no subsidies. This suggests that either the international situation or government policies must stimulate exploration if strong investment growth is to continue in this sector.

The small decrease in non-residential construction (engineering work and buildings) and the trough level attained by the leading indicators at the beginning of the year suggest that this sector will reach a cyclical low soon. The evolution of the leading indicators, however, signals that the recovery in non-residential construction will be weak as the filtered leading indicators were up only slightly at the end of the third quarter. (The time lag between the leading and coincident indicators historically has been quite long, although the weak capacity utilization rate in construction should reduce the time lag.) Filtered building permits (buildings only) reached a low point early in the year, remained fairly steady for a while, and then increased by 2.5 per cent in July after September data were added. The third quarter upswing in the leading indicators may be related to the awarding of large contracts (worth over $\$ 1$ billion) for the construction of petrochemical plants in Alberta in the third quarter of 1982, which accounted for virtually all of the increase in filtered contract awards at the end of 1982 . The fact that the weather was very favourable for construction in the third quarter compared with the usual seasonal pattern and the exceptionally bad weather in the second quarter may also have contributed to the small decrease in activity.

## Manufacturing

Manufacturing activity should rebound at a rapid rate, following a pause in the growth of output in August. The filtered trend of new orders continued to accelerate into August, as strengthening demand for transportation equipment and investment-related goods has outweighed sluggish demand for non-durable consumer goods and in-
termediate goods for homebuilding. These relative movements in sectorial demand also were evident in inventories, where the small gain in August was largely attributable to involuntary accumulations in the clothing and wood industries. The arrival of new orders and an accumulation of unfilled orders (for which the trend rose for the first time since 1980) led to a strong gain in employment in September ( +2.9 per cent) which was sustained in October ( +0.1 per cent).

The filtered trend of real new orders continued to accelerate into August ( +1.64 per cent) and should continue to be positive in light of the favourable assessment of demand made in the October survey of business conditions. In that survey, 36 per cent of firms reported that orders were rising (compared to 35 per cent in July) while only 17 per cent reported that orders were declining (down from 20 per cent in July). The acceleration in new orders in August was the product of continued strong gains in export-oriented industries, notably transportation equipment ( +2.45 per cent), and business investment industries. The gain in transportation equipment reflected a healthy level of activity in the motor vehicle sector, as firms prepared for the new model year, and a gain in orders received in the shipbuilding industry, reflecting the placement of some orders for frigates by the Canadian government (TS 21/8). The bulk of these orders will be incorporated in the September data. The most startling reversal in business investment has occurred in machinery industries, where the inclusion of a 15.4 per cent gain in the non-filtered data in August helped to raise the trend from -2.18 per cent in June to +3.01 per cent in August. Electrical products also continued to recover strongly, up 3.20 per cent in August. The continued advance of activity in the auto sector also helped to support the rubber and plastics and iron and steel industries.

These sources of strength in business investment demand and some areas of export demand (notably autos) more than offset further slowdowns in the filtered trend of orders for most household-related industries. Orders placed with the textile and clothing industries decelerated for the third consecutive month in August, while the food and beverage industry declined slightly, and the growth for non-metallic mineral products slowed noticeably from +1.72 per cent in July to +1.48 per cent in August. This developing slack in household-related industries reflects the softening of consumer demand, particularly for clothing, and the downturn in housing activity. The sluggishness of interna. tional demand for metal products was evident in the unadjusted data on new orders for copper smelting and refining
(-3.2 per cent in August) within primary metals, even before prices began to recede again in international markets in September and October.

The filtered trend of shipments broadly reflected the recent movement of new orders. Total shipments gained 1.40 per cent in volume with the inclusion of August data. The most noticeable industry movement was the appearance of small gains in machinery ( +0.35 per cent) and electrical products ( +0.07 per cent), which broke a string of 19 consecutive monthly declines for both these industries. These were the most evident manifestations of the general improvement for investment-related industries. Activity continued to rise at a rapid rate for primary metals (+3.42 per cent), as strength in iron and steel offset sluggish activity in smelting and refining, as well as for transportation equipment ( +3.05 per cent). Export demand for wood and paper and allied products continued to rise sharply in the filtered version, although a sharp drop in unadjusted shipments by sawmills in August is a precursor of lower activity in the autumn when housing starts fell in - The United States (to an annual rate of 1.65 million units in September) and labour disputes erupted in the B.C. pulp sector. A slowdown in the growth rate was evident for the fourth straight month in consumer industries such as furniture, clothing, and textiles (the strike in August in the Quebec clothing industry apparently contributed to the weakness of this industry). Shipments also declined slightly for food and beverages, and growth slowed for nonmetallic mineral products as residential construction work-put-in-place began to decline at a rapid rate in August.
The filtered trend of unfilled orders rose by 0.13 per cent in constant dollars in August, the first increase in this series since June 1980. The gain was the product of a number of trends, notably a firming of demand for business investment industries which normally sell-to-order rather than sell-from-stock. The rate of decline has slowed markedly for industries such as machinery, electrical products, and metal fabricating. At the same time, unfilled orders have been accumulating in a number of transportation equipment industries so far this year, notably as the shipbuilding, railroad stock, and aircraft industries have been awarded large defense and export contracts in the past year (see TS 21/8/83 for a general review of some of these billion-dollar contracts). Within the non-durable goods sector ( +0.69 per cent), which normally sells from stock. some firms have recorded a backlog of orders in light of the prospect of labour disputes beginning in August in the forestry, pulpwood, and clothing sectors. Finally. the cautious approach of all firms to raising production to unwarranted levels before the recovery is solidly establish-
ed has contributed to a greater tendency to raise unfilled orders in the current recovery than in previous upturns in the post-war era.
The high level of unfilled orders was evident in the October business conditions survey, where 23 per cent of firms assessed the level of unfilled orders as higher than normal (versus 21 per cent in the July survey, and only 9 per cent in the April poll). Most of the backlog appears localized in the export-based sector (where 50 per cent feel unfilled orders are relatively high) and in durable consumer goods ( 34 per cent).

Manufacturing inventories rose in volume for the second consecutive month in August. The stabilization of inventories follows a liquidation of over 16.2 per cent between February 1982 and June 1983. The inventory-to-sales ratio for manufacturing stood at 1.89 in August, a level last seen early in 1979, although stock-to-sales ratios remain above pre-recession levels in most industries aside from petroleum and transportation equipment. About 72 per cent of firms evaluated inventories to be at about equilibrium levels, according to the October business conditions survey, a result little changed from the July survey. The end of the process of heavy inventory liquidation implies that increased final demand should be reflected directly in output and employment, and indeed LFS manufacturing employment has been accelerating since May.

By industry, the accumulation of stocks ( $+\$ 5$ million in August, after $+\$ 67$ million in July) was most pronounced in export-based industries. There is some reason for believing that much of this accumulation was involuntary, as the per cent of export-based firms who felt stocks were too high rose from 17 per cent in July to 26 per cent in the October business conditions survey. The build-up was most pronounced for the wood industry $(+\$ 45$ million in August, and $+\$ 87$ million since May), and to a lesser extent in primary metals ( $+\$ 22$ million), partly due to weak demand for smelting and refining products in international markets. All of the build-up of motor vehicle inventories in July was offset in August, while the swing to accumulation of petroleum stock in July was sustained into August. The petroleum and auto industries are the only major industry groups that have cut stock-to-sales ratios to below prerecession levels. Within non-durable goods, the largest movement was an upturn of clothing inventories $(+\$ 12$ million after a $\$ 14$ million gain in July), apparently a reflection of the slack of consumer demand in the summer months. Most other industries allowed rising demand to foster a slow reduction in stock-to-sales ratios.

## External Sector

The short-term trend of the merchandise trade balance declined for the second consecutive month to $\$ 1,515$ million, as the growth of the trend-cycle for exports decelerated from 1.3 per cent to 1.0 per cent with the inclusion of September data, while imports accelerated from +2.3 per cent $10+2.7$ per cent. The uplurn in imports largely originated in higher imports of crude petroleum from non-OECD nations and a continued high rate of demand for refined petroleum and motor vehicle products from the United States. The downshifting in export growth was most evident in demand for food products in other American nations (outside of the U.S.), a slackening of U.S. demand for lumber, and sluggish demand throughout the OECD nations for most metal products. On a more positive note, the upturn of industrial output led by the auto and business investment industries early in the autumn has led to a stabilizing of the growth of end products $(+1.9$ per cent).

The short-term trend of exports has slowed steadily, from +2.3 per cent to +1.0 per cent in the past four months, with the inclusion of data for September. On a customs basis, the downshifting has been most pronounced for non-manufactured goods, notably primary commodities. The largest reversal has occurred in food products, which declined 3.1 per cent in the most recent month compared to a small gain two months earlier. Demand has slumped for fish products ( -2.3 per cent) and cereal grains ( -4.8 per cent compared to +6.2 per cent in March) as Latin American demand has receded due to a lack of foreign exchange for non-debt-related purchases. Exports of crude materials continued to slump ( -1.0 per cent), as a result of weakness in coal ( -10.4 per cent), natural gas ( -7.6 per cent), asbestos ( -2.0 per cent), and copper and iron ores ( -1.3 per cent). The weakness for crude materials reflects the slow and uneven pace of the recovery in industrial activity in the OECD nations as a whole, and inten. sified competition among producing nations in light of this weakness in demand. Examples in the commodities noted previously include weak demand in the Japanese iron and steel industry for coal imported from Canada, declining U.S. demand for natural gas at a time of surplus production in the United States, and lower demand throughout the OECD nations for copper and iron ores.

The trend of demand for most fabricated materials $(+2.4$ per cent) and end products ( +1.9 per cent) remained relatively robust. Within end products, U.S. demand for motor vehicle products ( +1.6 per cent) showed signs of stabilizing following a significant deceleration from +7.6
per cent in March, as production plans were raised for the fourth quarter and as domestic sales stabilized at about 7.0 million units at annual rates in October. Demand for industrial machinery remains on a slowing upward trend ( +3.0 per cent compared to +4.5 per cent last month), while the strengthening of business investment in the United States was more evident in demand for aircraft (+1.7 per cent) and telecommunications equipment (+2.2 per cent). Business investment in the U.S. rose by 8.0 per cent and 14.7 per cent at annual rates in the second and third quarters respectively, notably in the durable equipment component. Exports of fabricated materials slowed slightly as a result of a deceleration in lumber exports (from +2.6 per cent to +1.7 per cent) in reponse to the recent drop in housing starts in the United States. Demand also continued to slide for commodities marked by over-supply in international markets, notably refined petroleum products ( -1.1 per cent), and chemicals ( -2.8 per cent).
The short-term trend for imports accelerated slightly from 2.3 per cent to 2.7 per cent, as the crude materials component jumped from +5.6 per cent to +8.1 per cent. Virtually all of this upturn was due to higher imports of crude petroleum, where the inclusion of a 37.1 per cent increase in the seasonally adjusted data for September helped to raise the short-term trend from +4.1 per cent to +14.4 per cent. This represents a dramatic reversal from the 20.9 per cent decline recorded four months ago, as petroleum inventories were reduced to low levels late in the second quarter. The rebuilding of petroleum stocks also was evident within fabricated materials, as refined petroleum products have risen from -4.3 per cent to +8.4 per cent in the past two months. Most other fabricated materials slowed in the month, which probably reflects the slowing pace of growth of industrial output in Canada in the summer. The growth of end products stabilized at a rapid rate ( +3.4 per cent), as increased demand for motor vehicle products offset a slight easing of growth for investment-related goods such as industrial and office machinery, and telecommunications equipment. This may indicate a tapering-off of the initial robust recovery of business investment in machinery and equipment in Canada.

## Financial Markets

Private sector demand for funds remained soff relative to government demand, afthough there were signs in October that business credit demand may be recovering. Households continued to slowly increase their demand for personal loans, while residential mortgages at chartered
banks declined in the month. Toronto Stock Exchange price levels and the money supply, as measured by M1, fell sharply in October. Interest rates remained stable during the month, with the exception of a 50 basis point drop in the five-year conventional mortgage rate.

The Canadian dollar continued to hold very steady in October, closing at 81.12 cents U.S. The steady deterioration of the merchandise trade balance since April is likely to exert some downward pressure on the dollar and, as a result, capital flows and interest rates may have to play a more important role in stabilizing the currency over the coming months. In this regard, the uncovered yield differential on 30-day short-term paper between Canada and the United States disappeared al the end of October.
The month-end Bank Rate was unchanged at 9.49 per cent in October. Most other short-term rates remained firm as well, extending the pattern of relative stability in interest rates that has been established over the last several months. The weighted average of long-term corporate and government bond yields was basically unchanged in Oclober, after a 50 basis point decline during the month of September.

The money supply, as measured by M1, fell sharply (\$417 million) in October. Two of the broader monetary aggregates (M1A and M2) also registered declines in the month. Growth in M1 had been weak throughout the third quarter, in line with a slower pace of economic activity during the summer months. In the United States, M1 growth also has moderaled over the past few months.
The Toronto Stock Exchange Index of 300 Stocks closed at 2361.1 in October, down from 2499.6 in September, to reach its lowest level since April. This may partly reflect lower earnings expectations, due to a slowing in the rate of economic expansion. Trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange in October was the slowest of the year. Net new issues of corporate equity totalled $\$ 230$ million in October, a lacklustre performance when compared to the last eight months when share issues, averaging $\$ 628$ million per month, have outpaced corporate bond issues at a rate of two to one. This reduced level of activity for the month of October is in line with weak share demand, evident in the decline in share prices.
Corporations raised more funds through the sale of bonds during the month of October than in the whole of the third quarter. Net new issues of corporate bonds were up $\$ 485$ million in the month. The perception that long-term bond yields are unlikely to fall much further in the near future may have influenced market participants, leading to
some of this increased activily in the bond market. Business loans at chartered banks grew in October with a $\$ 234$ million increase from the level at the end of September, following ten consecutive months of decline. Data unadjusted for seasonal variation show a drop of $\$ 348$ million in business bank loans compared with a $\$ 130$ million increase in total short-term paper. This redistribution can be explained in part by a yield differential of about 180 basis points between the rate on 30-day short-term paper and the prime rate that existed throughout the month

Residential mortgage loans at chartered banks fell by $\$ 121$ million in October. A 50 basis point decline in the fiveyear conventional mortgage rate in mid-October may provide some stimulus to mortgage demand. Personal loans at chartered banks rose for the fifth consecutive month, up $\$ 206$ million in October, despite the weakening in consumer expenditure since June.

The government sector continued to dominate borrowing in credit markets, accounting for 79 per cent of total funds raised through the issue of net new securities in October. The federal government issued $\$ 1,475$ million worth of treasury bills and $\$ 604$ million worth of bonds during the month. Provincial borrowing totalled $\$ 1,349$ million in October. A significant portion of provincial securities to date this year has been placed abroad, thus contributing to the strength of the Canadian dollar over the last several months.

## International Economies

In September, a number of industrial countries differed in their short-term economic outlook. In France, the latest figures reveal that on balance, the austerity policy implemented to curb the trade deficit has had positive results. In September, the country posted a balance of trade surplus for the first time since 1979. On the other hand, the indicators of economic activity continued to show signs of weakness. In Britain, the indicators were still pointing to a continuation of the recovery over the next few months. There were some signs that the foundations of the recovery were improving as business investment began raising its contribution as a factor in economic growth. In West Germany, the coincident indicators continued pointing to slow recovery. However, machinery and equipment expenditures have been rising rapidly since the end of 1982 in response to changes in the government's fiscal policies. The authorities in Japan also introduced new fiscal policies to stimulate the domestic economy.

The overall effect of these measures will probably be to increase real GNP growth by 0.4 per cent to an estimated 3.4 per cent for 1983.

In France, the economic indicators for September continued to signal weakness in the short-term economic outlook. On the other hand, the most recent figures also showed that by and large, the economic austerity measures implemented last March in an attempt to reduce the trade deficit have had positive results. In light of the marked improvement in France's trade balance since May, both the government and economic forecasting agencies revised their forecasts on the pace of economic growth in 1983 and 1984. However, the austerity measures aimed at curbing inflation suffered a setback in September. The upward trend in consumer prices accelerated in spite of partial controls on prices in the industrial and service sectors. The increase was apparently due in part to sharply higher prices for many food products, which in turn can be traced to the French franc's weakness against other major currencies and to steep increases in the prices of manufactured goods. The monthly change in inflation rose to 0.8 per cent from 0.6 per cent in August. In the labour market, the latest unemployment figures were little changed. The number of unfilled applications for employment slipped from 2,035 million to 2,033 million in September.
The economic austerity measures introduced by President Mitterrand's government have had positive effects on the key macroeconomic variables, especially the trade balance. There has been a distinct improvement in trade between France and its trading partners since May. The reversal of the trend of the trade balance in September may enable the external sector to impart some impetus to the economy. According to the "Observatoire français des conjonctures économiques" (OFCE), the positive contribution expected from the external sector ( +0.7 per cent) may transform 1983 from a recession year into a year of very slight real GDP growth (about 0.1 per cent), despite a further deterioration in business investment ( -2.5 per cent for 1983), a minimal increase in household spending ( +0.8 per cent) and inventory liquidation. For 1984, the OFCE expects that the export sector will continue to boost economic growth, but that the contribution of household spending to aggregate domestic demand will be very small ( +0.7 per cent) and that of business investment will remain negative ( -1.4 per cent). Overall, the agency's economists predict slight economic growth ( +0.7 per cent) in 1984. Finally, the Economics and Finance Ministry's experts are also anticipating limited economic growth. Unlike the private forecasting agencies, though, they attribute the increase in activity not only to a reversal
in the export earnings trend but also to a small upswing in business investment ( +0.8 per cent) (FT 12/11. LeM $14 / 10,22 / 10$ ).

In September, France registered a balance of trade surplus (FFro. 3 billion) for the first time since April 1979. This reversal of the trend was due in part to austerity measures, which had a strong impact on import demand, and the devaluation of the French franc, which made French companies more competitive in foreign markets. A continuing decline in the demand for imported crude oil also contributed to the upturn in trade. The shift from a trade deficit to a surplus was the product of a 3.8 per cent drop in export earnings and an even sharper decrease in the value of imports ( -4.9 per cent) from the previous month. A breakdown of France's trade with industrial countries is perhaps more revealing than the aggregate figures. The deficit in the balance of trade with EEC countries fell to FFr 3.6 billion, compared with an average monthly deficit of FFr4. 9 billion since the beginning of the year. The deficit in trade with other OECD countries was only FFro. 9 billion, compared with a monthly average of FFr2.8 billion since January. Moreover, the French economy is also benefiting from the vigorous recovery in the United States, as the trade deficit was approximately FFro. 1 billion, compared with an average of FFr1.5 billion since the beginning of the year. Finally, the surplus in the balance of trade with Third World nations was steady at about FFr2.4 billion (LeM 22/10).

In England, September data for the leading economic indicators suggest that the recovery will continue for the next few quarters. This forecast was echoed by the Confederation of British Industry. The coincident indicators seemed to be signalling that the recovery was becoming more broadly-based, as business investment started making a more substantial contribution to economic growth. The annual inflation rate rose slightly again, from 4.5 per cent in August to 5.1 per cent in September, but stayed below the government's 6 per cent target. The increase was partly attributable to higher food prices. Finally, the recovery, which was sparked by a surge in domestic demand - particularly household spending - apparently has yet to spill over into the labour market, since the unemployment situation remains essentially unchanged. The unemployment rate was down slightly, from 12.4 per cent in August to 12.3 per cent in September, which means that there were about 2.94 million people out of work.

According to the Central Statistical Office, the latest figures for the short- and long-term composite leading indicators
point to continuing economic recovery. For example, the short-term indicator, which provides information on the economic situation in six months time, rose again between July and August because of a sharp jump in the car sales component. The long-term indicator edged downward in August and September - its first decrease in the past twelve months - in response to declines in the stock index and the housing starts index from the previous month (FT $20 / 10$ ). Like the leading indicators, the most recent survey of the Confederation of British Industry suggested that the recovery would continue over the next four months, though the pace of growth would be a little slower. Business people who had seen production increase over the past four months revised their expectations for the coming months. They were slightly less optimistic, predicting marginally lower volumes of new orders and production than in the previous survey. Moreover, the Confederation's experts pointed out that new export orders remained sluggish, probably because British firms were uncompetitive. This in turn was probably due to the fact that the effective exchange rate of the pound had appreciated 7.6 per cent since March, adversely affecting relative prices for export, a measure of the competitiveness of British business. On the other hand, the survey also indicated that business investment was moving into an expansionary phase (FT 2/11).

The industrial sector continued showing signs of slow recovery. The industrial output index slipped 0.3 per cent, affer a strong advance of 2.1 per cent the previous month. Output has nevertheless risen 1.0 per cent in the past three months, compared with 0.3 per cent between April and June. The increase was primarily due to a 1.0 per cent gain in manufacturing output. However, the distribution of output among the various industries would appear to provide more information about the diffusion of the recovery in this sector. Production of investment goods rose at a faster rate ( +1.5 per cent) than that of consumer goods ( +1.0 per cent) and intermediate goods ( +0.5 per cent) during the last three months. These figures suggest that the cyclical upturn of the British economy will be fuelled by a slow upswing in business investment, a prerequisite to lasting, vigorous economic recovery (LPS 14/10, FT 14/10).

In Germany, the economic recovery that began in the first six months of 1983 should persist in the second half of the year. The rise in aggregate domestic demand will probably remain the major driving force behind economic growth until year-end. The coincident indicators continued to register slow economic recovery. The index of industrial output was unchanged in September following a 1.2 per
cent advance in August. According to the Federal Statistics Bureau, new orders increased by 1.0 per cent between August and September, which should have a beneficial effect on industrial output over the next few months (FT 8/11).

Meanwhile, the Bundesbank reported that the financial position of West German companies improved considerably in the first half of the year. According to these economists, this favourable trend may herald lasting economic recovery and an improvement in labour market conditions. Business investment, responding to the new fiscal policies introduced by the government to stimulate capital spending, was an important factor in initiating the recovery early in the year. The Bank stated that West German firms were able to finance about 99.5 per cent of their investments without resort to external financing. Gross investment by the private sector was roughly DM94.1 billion, compared with DM91.7 billion in the second half of 1982. The bank also noted that corporate operating profits improved after steep declines in 1980 and 1981. A sustained improvement in operating profits is probably essential if business investment is to increase its contribution to economic growth (FT 18/10).

On the other hand, the exports sector does not appear to have played a leading role in the economy since the begin. ning of the recovery. Export earnings have increased only slightly ( +0.9 per cent in the third quarter) since the cyclical low was reached in the first half of 1982 . West Germany's five major economic research institutes forecast a 6 per cent jump in nominal export earnings in 1984, but this improvement may be choked off by the sluggishness of the economic recovery in other European OECD countries and the exchange rate of the mark in the EMS. In particular, the growth rate remains low in France, Germany's largest trading partner. By contrast, countries with high growth rates, such as the United States, Japan and Canada, import very little from Germany (GM 14/11). As a result of the slow growth in exports, there was a balance of trade surplus of DM3.9 billion in August, compared with DM2.2 billion in August 1982.

In Japan, the government introduced new fiscal policies aimed at stimulating the domestic economy, a new trade policy designed to reduce tariff barriers on a number of imports and other economic measures to stabilize the value of the yen against major foreign currencies. In addition, the monetary authorities at the Bank of Japan changed
their interest rate policy to encourage household spending and business investment, which are sensitive to fluctuations in interest rates.
These policies will raise the growth rate of real GNP by 0.4 per cent to 3.4 per cent for the current fiscal year. The fiscal measures include a yen 0.2 billion cut in direct individual income taxes, a yen 1.4 billion increase in public works expenditures, and the removal or reduction of tariffs on 44 import items. The main purpose of the income tax cut is to promote household spending on both domestically produced goods and imports. The new trade policy is aimed primarily at giving foreign firms easier access to the Japanese domestic market and stimulating imports. This will help subdue the criticism levelled at Japan by the industrial countries concerning its balance of trade surplus. The administration also plans to offer government bonds denominated in foreign currencies on international capital markets. The resulting inflow of foreign capital will offset the outflow caused by the wide gap between US and Japanese interest rates, thereby eliminating one of the factors in the poor performance of the yen against the American dollar. Finally, the Bank of Japan cut the central bank rate by 0.5 per cent to 5.0 per cent, the first drop in 22 months. This new interest rate policy is also designed to stimulate domestic economic activity (FT 21/10). In short, these monetary and fiscal measures will establish a better balance between the contributions of the domestic economy and the exports sector to Japanese economic growth.

## United States Economy

Real GNP in the third quarter rose by 7.9 per cent at annual rates (ar), comparable to the 9.2 per cent gain in the second, to recoup all of the decline endured in the $1981-82$ recession. A slowdown in consumer demand ( +3.5 per cent ar), especially for durable goods, was largely offset by an acceleration in business fixed investment (+14.7 per cent ar) and inventory accumulation ( $+\$ 4.8$ billion ar). Price inflation remained moderate, as the GNE deflator rose only 3.4 per cent at annual rates, virtually identical to the increase in the second quarter.
Table 1 below compares the sectorial growth of U.S. demand in the first two quarters of the current recovery relative to the historical average in the past five cyclical recoveries. The data was drawn from a study (22/10) conducted for the U.K. Financial Times (including adjustments to government spending to account for farm subsidies), and is similar to the analysis conducted for Canada in the September issue of this publication.

Table 1
Percentage Growth Rates in the First Year of Recovery

|  |  | Average of Past <br> 5 Recoveries |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1983 <br> 1 1st Hall | 1st <br> Hall-Year | 2nd <br> Half-Year |
|  |  |  |  |
| Personal Expenditure | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Housing | 26.1 | 9.7 | 13.4 |
| Business Investment | 0.8 | -0.9 | 4.3 |
| Government | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Inventories | 1.2 |  |  |
| (as \% of GNP) | -1.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Exports | 9.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Imports | 3.5 | 3.1 | 9.3 |
| GNP |  |  |  |

As can be seen from the table, the first half-year of recovery in 1983 has been fairly typical of post-war upfurns. The initial driving force has originaled in consumer demand and housing, and an end to heavy inventory liquidation. In the second hali-year of recovery, business investment usually begins to turn up. In this regard, the strong gain in business investment in the second and third quarters of $1983(+8.0$ per cent and +14.7 per cent at annual rates respectively) augurs for a well-balanced recovery of domestic demand. The major oddity of the current recovery is the unusually weak external sector, which apparently is attributable to the exceptionally high international value of the American dollar since 1980. This appreciation has sharply eroded the international competitiveness of American industry. The recovery of housing has been robust in the current recovery, reflecting pent-up demand.
There appears to be little reason to believe that the cyclical recovery will not proceed at a normal pace, and with the usual redistribution towards business sector spending, at least to the end of 1983. In particular, business investment appears poised for further strong gains, which should also generate employment and incomes to sustain growth in consumer demand.
The surprising buoyancy of business investment reflects the constellation of a number of positive factors. In the short term, the need to boost capacity appears to be growing as the Federal Reserve Board measure of capacity utilization reached 78.1 per cent in September (compared
to 71.1 per cent a year earlier). In the past, a rate of between 80 per cent and 85 per cent has triggered a boom in outlays to augment capacity ( 8 W 7/11). Spending on new equipment also has been boosted by an accentuated desire to implement technical advances to raise productivity, especially high technology goods in the service sector, according to an analysis by the Continental Bank (FT $20 / 10$ ). Declining international competitiveness also may be encouraging firms to accentuate productivity-enhancing goods, although the net stimulus of this factor is partly offsel by the loss of market share. At the same time, the quality of corporate balance sheets has improved markedly to date in 1983, in a reversal of the secular erosion engaged since the late 1960's. In particular, equity issues have risen by an average of $\$ 3.9$ billion per month to date in 1983, compared to average monthly debt issues of $\$ 3.7$ billion. This implies that in 1983 new issues of equity will outstrip new long-term debt for the first time since 1966 (FT 20/10). This increase in debt-equity ratios has coincided with an improvement in cash flow and a reduction of short-term debt, despite the increase in investment outlays. Corporations have repaid about $\$ 2.5$ billion of short-term debt in the first nine months of 1983 (compared to a $\$ 29$ billion increase in the same period last year), while internally generated funds have risen about 25 per cent so far in 1983 after little change in 1981 and 1982 (FT 12-20/10).

The major source of concern for business investment is the increase in long-term interest rates early in the recovery, and whether there will be 'crowding-out' of business financial needs by high government deficits. In cyclical upturns between 1950 and 1980, long-term bond yields invariably were little changed in the first year of recovery. By contrast, the 1981 upturn saw yields rise by nearly 300 basis points, which contributed to the abortion of this recovery after one year. To date in 1983, bond rates have risen about 150 basis points. ${ }^{6}$ There is no sign, however, that this upturn will imperil investment plans in the foreseeable future. This reflects a number of fundamental differences in the current recovery from 1981, notably lower inflation and lower external financing needs. In particular, increased cash flow and slrong equity markets have reduced the propensity to issue new debt. It also has been noted by Albert Wojnilower of the First Boston Corporation that much of the federal debt was incurred to "promote rather than deter investment", notably about $\$ 25$ billion directed to investment tax credits, accelerated depreciation, and other subsidies (NYT 31/10).

Some analysts (FT 12/10) also note that substantial upward pressure on interest rates in the next year is likely to be resisted by the Federal Reserve Board, in light of the upcoming presidential election and the worsening debt repayment problem of less-developed countries (see the Analytical Note at the end of this month's review for a discussion of less-developed countries).
The performance of the coincident indicators in September and October gave no sign that the slowdown of economic activity anticipated by analysts was being realized, aside from housing, as the economy continued to perform strongly. Industrial output rose 1.5 per cent in September, as an upturn in stock-building by auto producers led the gain. Employment was flat in October, although a drop in labour force participation led to a drop in the unemployment rate to 8.8 per cent. A sharp increase in hours worked, however, points to renewed gains in employment in November and December. The average workweek in manufacturing rose to 40.7 hours, the highest level in over 5 years. Industrial output has risen 11.9 per cent in the past year to essentially recoup all of the losses incurred in the recession, while unemployment has fallen sharply from its monthly peak of 10.8 per cent in 1982. Further reductions in the unemployment rate may be more gradual, however, if confidence in job market conditions entices the 1.6 million discouraged workers in the third quarter to return to the labour force (this is down only slightly from the peak of 1.8 million in the fourth quarter of 1982). Nominal personal expenditure recovered by 1.5 per cent in September, after a pause in growth in August, as all components rose strongly (this compares to the highly localized nature of the recovery in retail sales in Canada, which has been largely confined to durable goods). The gain in September is consistent with the jump in the Conference Board indices of consumer confidence and buying plans in the same month. The most discouraging development was a 13.4 per cent drop in housing starts in September, following several months of gradually increasing mortgage rates. Concern about the cyclical course of housing, however, was partly allayed by a surge in house sales and prices in the autumn, as mortgage rates began to edge down in September and October (NYT 3/11).

[^3]
## News Developments

## Domestic

In October, a number of measures were taken to limit the number of immigrants entering the country. Specifically, the new policy will reduce the number of immigrants admitted to Canada to 90,000-95,000 in 1983, compared with 105,000-110,000 last year, a decrease of about 15 per cent. The cut is largely attributable to the high unemployment rate and is aimed at protecting Canadian jobs. However, according to the Minister of Employment and Immigration, John Roberts, the planned level could be amended because of the lack of skilled labour to fill positions created by technological change. Furthermore, in order to improve the employment situation, the new policy contains measures designed to stimulate immigration by certain categories of workers, especially businesspersons willing to invest in Canada by establishing or buying companies. Such immigrants will be given two years to acquire or invest in a company leading to the creation of jobs for one or more Canadians (GM 25/10, 2/11).

In both the public and private sectors, the trend in wage control programs over the next few years and their impact remain uncertain since better economic times are expected, which will help improve business performance and restore some employee rights lost during the recession. According to the annual survey of 418 firms across Canada conducted by Sobecco-Chapman, the 5 per cent wage increase ceiling is becoming a minimum standard. The results of the survey show that the average pay increase will be about 6 per cent in 1984 ( 6.1 per cent for senior and middle management and salaried employees and 6 per cent for junior managers and supervisors). These increases are determined by, in order of importance, the expected performance of the company, competition practices and government policy. In the public sector, the federal government must not only deal with demands by the Professional Institute of the Public Service for the right to negotiate layoffs, job classification, promotions and transfers but, according to the Economic Council of Canada, also take steps to counteract the negative trends in inflation and unemployment revealed in the Institute's last report. The main conclusions of the Council are that inflation will climb to 7 per cent by 1987 while unemployment will remain at about 11 per cent. To improve the Canadian economic prospects, the Council recommends in particular that a government agency be established to monitor price and wage increases when the 6 -and- 5 program ends, special measures be taken to bring the unemployment rate down to $6-8$ per cent within five years and monetary policies aimed at reducing interest rates be introduced (LeD 19/10, 22/10, GM 12, 13, 22/10).

In Quebec, the atmosphere has been somewhat tense in private industry since the provincial government introduced Bill 17 in September. The new legislation protects striking workers by preventing their employer from hiring non-union workers during a strike, leaving the company with no choice but to halt production and concentrate on reaching a settlement. Employers in Quebec, particularly those in the aircraft industry whose operations are planned far in advance, reacted sharply to this bill, saying that it gives employees virtually absolute power over contract negotiations. Some firms have even threatened to move to other provinces in an attempt to force the government to change the bill (GM 5, 12/9). Meanwhile, a plan to restructure the Quebec Department of Education has been prepared, a plan that may eventually reduce by half its current staff of 2,300 . It calls for a reorganization of the existing functions of the Department: those involving policy (design, setting of objectives and planning) would be retained. while more responsibility would be delegated to schools in order to move decision-making closer to the people. It should be noted, however, that these cuts began some time ago; in 1980, staff was reduced from 3,000 to the current level of 2,300 , while the number of casual employees fell from about 430 in 1980 to 250 (LeD 31/10).

Consumers received good news concerning certain rate increases during October. The Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission recently decided to delay until July 20, 1984 the increases on some service charges of Bell Cansda that were to have gone into effect at the beginning of November. This postponement of the 5 per cent rise in long distance rates and subscriber telephone repair fees, which will cost Bell about $\$ 1$ million, resulted from the August 1982 decision of the Cabinet to apply the 6 -and- 5 policy to other services provided by the company (GM 25/10). Similarly, Hydro-Québec reduced its requested rate increase to 3.4 per cent for 1984 and froze the over 900 kilowatt rate at its present level. The latter move will help preserve the electricity share of the market in relation to natural gas while benefiting people who use electric heating. In fact, the small increase, much lower than in previous years ( 16 per cent in 1982 and 7.3 per cent in 1983), is designed to counteract the competitive advantage that natural gas gained with the price freeze under the agreement between the federal and Alberta governments. However, Hydro-Québec is subject to other constraints, such as a 10 per cent rise in operating expenses as well as a recent wage increase for its workers (an immediate raise of 3.5 per cent and a lump sum of $\$ 500$. followed by annual increases of 5 per cent in 1984 and 1985; on the other hand, slaff has been cut
by 1,000 over the past twelve months). Despite an expected drop in profits to $\$ 250$ million in 1984 because of these difficulties, Hydro-Québec will remain one of the most profitable corporations in the province (LeD 22/10). Taxpayers of the province of Alberta, on the other hand, have not been so lucky. The Finance Minister, Lou Hyndman, announced a 13 per cent tax hike for January 1984. The increase will generate some $\$ 220$ million in additional revenue next year to help support the province's debt load, which resulted primarily from spiralling health and education costs. Hyndman pointed out, however, that 500,000 low-income taxpayers would not be penalized by this measure since tax reductions remain in effect. It is noteworthy that Alberta has no provincial sales or gasoline taxes (LeD 19/10).

In the employment sector, there have been layoffs by a number of large corporations, notably Massey Ferguson and Chrysler. As provided for in the agreement reached with its workers in September and despite indications of an imminent upturn in the industry, the farm machinery plants of Massey Ferguson in Brantford and Toronto will cease operations between November 12, 1983 and January 3, 1984, laying off about 1,825 employees. The shutdown is nevertheless much shorter than the 25 -week closure of last year, because of an improvement in the market share of the company and a decrease in its losses from \$112.7 million in 1982 to $\$ 29.3$ million in the first half of this year despite lower sales (GM 18/10). Meanwhile, Chrysler halted van production at its Windsor plant and laid off 3.000 workers indefinitely as a result of supply problems due to a strike by employees of its main supplier of parts for large vans in the United States. Moreover, the 3,000 workers at the smail van plant may also be laid off if the strike is not settled soon (GM 2/11).
Despite the troubled atmosphere in the aircraft industry, the government has concluded another major agreement with Bell Helicopter for the construction of a helicopter manufacturing plant in the Mirabel industrial park. The federal and Quebec governments will pour some $\$ 375$ million into this project, all of which will be repaid by Bell Helicopter within a few years. The American firm, which has posted record performances in the last three years with sales of $\$ 830$ million U.S., will be responsible for building and managing the new factory, which is scheduled to produce its first Canadian helicopter in 1985. In addition to the 3,800 jobs that will be created during the life of the agreement, the project aimed at designing a completely new generation of helicopters equipped with new engines developed by Pratt and Whitney of Longueuil. The latter continued to make profits even during the severe reces-
sion that has just ended. It appears likely, therefore, that efforts to achieve greater federal-provincial co-operation will continue since this is the first time that the federal and Quebec governments have worked together on a major project, a program that nevertheless involves some risk because of the rapid changes in the helicopter market triggered by new technology. Meanwhile, after injecting large amounts of money into Canadair last year and placing it under the control of the Canada Investment Development Corporation, the federal government will again have to underwrite part of the $\$ 400$ million loss projected for the current fiscal year. The financial position of the Toronto aircraft manufacturer De Havilland has also deteriorated; 1982 profits of $\$ 18.4$ million gave way to a loss of $\$ 76.7$ million in the first half of 1983 (LeD 1/9, GM 20/10).

In the fishing industry, while the negotiations on the restructuring of Quebec's fishery are making good progress, the reorganization project of the fishing industry in Nova Scotia is now well under way. The federal and Nova Scotia governments, in conjunction with the Bank of Nova Scotia in Toronto, recently took over the largest Canadian fishing company, H.B. Nickerson and Sons, currently experiencing serious financial difficulties. Small and mediumsized fish processing companies, however, expressed concern about the restructuring of the Nova Scotia and Newfoundland fishing industries. They feel that the project will lead to the creation of large, highly competitive firms that will force out of business small independent processors. According to a source connected with the consortium, the development of the industry is being hampered by its unfavourable financial position, which in 1982 included shortand long-term debts totalling $\$ 202$ million, five times its liquid assets (LeD 18/10, GM 19, 21/10).

## News Chronology

Oct. 2 Six major Canadian airlines raised fares by 5 per cent effective immediately (GM 24/9).
Oct. 4 British Columbia forest workers initiated rotating regional strikes (GM 5/10).
Oct. 5 The Bank of Montreal purchased Harris Bank of Chicago for $\$ 675$ million, raising its total assets to $\$ 75$ billion (LeD 6/10, GM 6/10).
Oct. 14 Quebec signed an agreement with France to import 450,000 cases of new wines between now and Christmas. The deal, worth about $\$ 20$ million, will stimulate competition in the market place (LeD 15/10). Oct. 19 The governments of Canada and the United States reached agreement on the export of specialty steels in the form of rods and bars to the United States. Under the agreement, the value of shipments will remain the same as last year, or $\$ 3.3$ million U.S. (LeD 20/10). Oct. 20 The 2,200 employees of 45 Provigo food stores in Quebec went on strike (LeD 20/10. GM 21/10). Oct. 24 As a result of pressure on the federal government by Canadian farmers, barley and oats prices were raised by $\$ 15$ per metric ton to $\$ 110$ and $\$ 140$ respectively (GM 15/10).

## Legend

BW - Business Week
CP - Canadian Press
Ecst - The Economist
FT - U.K. Financial Times
GM - Globe and Mail
LaP - La Presse
LeD - Le Devoir
LeM - Le Monde
LPS - London Press Service
MG - Montreal Gazette
NYT - New York Times
OW - Oilweek
TS - Toronto Star
VP - Vancouver Province

# Analytical Note: The External Debt of Developing Countries: 1974 to 1982 

Robert Lamy

## Introduction

In the past two years, the external debt problems of the non-oil less-developed countries (LDCs) ${ }^{1}$ have aroused increasing interest. This interest has for the most part consisted of concern and apprehension among members of the international banking community and political leaders in the industrialized world. Their concern, however, is likely to subside somewhat over the next few years, since the economic adjustment measures introduced by these countries and the arrangements made by international financial institutions to maintain the flow of credit to debtor countries suggest that the situation will improve.
Since early 1980, the LDCs and particularly Latin America have been hard hit by economic factors such as the second oil price shock, the recession in the OECD nations, and especially the increase in nominal and real interest rates. While their export earnings continued to rise until early 1981, these countries were slow to realize how long the economic downturn and high interest rates would last. Borrowings from private and public international financial institutions kept growing and the worsening of the recession in the industrialized world in 1982 helped to keep interest costs high. In short, because of the poor economic situation in the industrial nations and the spill-over effect it had on Third World economies, the LDCs and particularly the Latin American countries experienced increasing difficulty in servicing their debt. However, with the assistance of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, these countries have implemented or will soon implement the economic adjustment policies required to reduce their dependence on the flow of foreign capital and, more importantly, faster a return to the road to healthy, vigorous economic growth.

The main purpose of this analytical note is to examine the history of the external indebtedness of the LDCs and particularly Latin America between 1974 and the end of 1982 and identify its essential characteristics. Part I will deal primarily with trends in the medium- and long-term public debt of the LDCs during two distinct phases of the international economic cycle, namely 1974-78 and 1980-82. We will also attempt to isolate the two key factors responsible for the debt crisis. In Part Il of the study, we will present a quantitative analysis of the historical development of the external debt of the LDCs and especially the Latin

[^4]American countries. We will use indicators of external debt to show how the debt problem evolved. Finally, we will conclude with a brief analysis of the implications of the financial difficulties of the LDCs for the pace of growth in the industrial nations.

## 1. World Economic Conditions and the LDCs' External Debt

At present, two major concerns of the international financial markets, the various lending institutions and the governments of industrial countries are the size of the external debt of LDCs and its annual rate of increase. Figure 1 below clearly illustrates the sharp rise in medium- and longterm external public debt among the LDCs.

Analysing Figure 1 reveals that between 1974 and 1978, the medium- and long-term external public debt of LDCs in nominal terms increased by an average of 20.7 per cent per year, reaching about $\$ 286.6$ billion U.S. by the end of 1978. However, a breakdown by geographic region reveals differences in the rate at which debt accumulated during this period. For example, the average annual rate of increase in external debt was slightly higher in Africa $(+24.3$ per cent), Europe $(+21.5$ per cent) and the Middie East ( +23.3 per cent) than on average for LDCs. The external debt of Latin American countries grew at almost the same rate ( 20.9 per cent) as that of the LDCs, and Asia posted the lowest annual growth rate (17.6 per cent). At the end of 1978, medium- and long-term external public debt was $\$ 42.1$ billion U.S. for Africa, $\$ 67.4$ billion for Asia, $\$ 38.2$ billion for Europe, $\$ 24.7$ billion for the Middle East and $\$ 104.3$ billion for Latin America. We will now discuss the causes of the increase in external indebtedness of the LDCs, particularly Latin America, during the first period.

Analysis shows that this first period 1974-78 coincides with a period of dramatic deterioration in the current account balance of these countries. The current account deficit of LDCs rose from $\$ 11.3$ billion U.S. at the end of 1973 to $\$ 41.3$ billion in 1978 , of which 69.5 per cent was financed by long-term private and public capital. More particularly, Latin America's current account deficit was $\$ 13.3$ billion in 1978 , compared with only $\$ 4.7$ billion at the end of 1973; 78 per cent of the deficit in 1978 was financed by private and public capital. However, the accumulation of external public debt up to 1978 took place during a period of high inflation, when prices soared for primary commodities and food products in particular, which enabled the LDCs to make their debt service payments.

Figure 1
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Because of this inflation, the real interest rates on their foreign borrowings were largely negative, resulting in a transfer of real resources to the debtor countries. The rapid growth in external debt also occurred during a phase of strong expansion and current account surpluses in the oil-exporting countries. It probably appeared profitable to financial institutions to recycle the excess supply of American dollars on capital markets, and they boosted their credit flows to the debtor countries very rapidly.

Conversely, between the end of 1980 and the end of 1982, after the second oil shock and as inflation began to ease in the industrial countries, there was a significant change in the increase in the external public debt of LDCs. This change consists essentially of a much higher average annual rate of increase in external debt than in export receipts, which was not the case during the 1978-80 period. Figure 2 clearly shows the markedly different trend in the medium- and long-term external public debt of LDCs in relation to export earnings. Between 1980 and 1982, external public debt grew by an average of 13.9 per cent per year, reaching $\$ 500.0$ billion U.S. at the end of 1982, while export earnings dipped by about 2.5 per cent.

If we examine the distribution of external public debt by geographic region, we see from Figures 1 and 2 that Latin America's debt increased the fastest. Between 1980 and 1982 , its public external debt grew by an average of 15.8 per cent annually, a much higher rate than in other regions (Africa, +10.6 per cent; Asia, +14.8 per cent; Europe, +12.2 per cent; and the Middle East, +11.5 per cent). At the end of 1982, the external public debt of Latin American countries stood at about $\$ 209.0$ billion, a 258 per cent increase over 1974.2 Moreover, some 42 per cent of the public external debt of LDCs is concentrated in Latin America. Specifically, Argentina, Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela, which are at a relatively advanced stage of economic development, considerably expanded their borrowing. According to the most recent figures published by the World Bank, medium- and iong-term external public debt at the end of 1982 was approximately $\$ 70$ billion U.S. for Brazil, $\$ 50$ billion for Mexico, $\$ 12$ billion for Venezuela and $\$ 23$ billion for Argentina in 1981

In short, given the slump in international trade since 1980 and the current high level of real interest rates, the growth rate of external public debt is probably still too high. The

[^5]recent slowdown in debt accumulation since 1980 compared to the previous period is the result of economic adjustment measures taken by these countries to reduce their current account deficits, and hence decrease the need to borrow on foreign capital markets. In fact, financing of current account deficits through foreign borrowings dropped from 82 per cent in 1981 to 75 per cent at the end of 1982, a trend that should continue over the next few years, leading to a better balance among the various sources of funds.

In addition, increasing numbers of loans by the international banking community involve floating interest rates, which are automatically tied to the prevailing rates on the financial markets. As a result of this recent phenomenon, a higher percentage of the external debt of LDCs bears variable interest rates. According to the World Bank's latest figures, the percentage of medium- and long-term variable-rate debt soared from 15.7 in 1974 to 37.4 in 1981 for the LDCs and from 14.6 to 62.5 for Latin America. However, undertying the exceedingly high percentage for this region as a whole are very different figures for the major countries: Argentina, 59.4 per cent; Brazil, 68.2 per cent; Mexico, 75.0 per cent; and Venezuela, 81.3 per cent. Thus, the increased share of external debt at variable interest rates has increased debt service costs during this period.

A number of factors played a role in pushing the external debt crisis of LDCs to the forefront of world economic activity. However, we will examine only the most important ones which caused the indebtedness problem: the economic downturn in the industrialized world after the second oil shock, and the heavy concentration of LDC borrowings on private financial markets.

The economic recession that hit the industrial countries after the second oil shock in 1979-80 and its impact on the economies of the LDCs accounts for much of the deterioration in the external financial position of LDCs. Table 1 shows how a number of international economic indicators have behaved since 1976.

Since early 1980, however, the cyclical downturn in economic activity in the industrial countries also began to noticeably hinder the expansion of world trade. This downturn in the volume and value of world trade contrasts sharply with the strong gains in world trade volume between 1976 and 1979. This contraction in world trade was reflected primarily in decreases in the volume and value of both exports and imports by the non-oil LDCs. The latter's terms of trade fell 3.9 per cent in 1981 and
2.7 per cent in 1982. In short, the 1980-82 economic slump and the protectionist impediments to the flow of world trade made it even more difficult for the LDCs to service their external debts.

The impact of the recession in the industrial countries has been more severe in Latin America since late 1980. The region's economy contracted in 1981 for the first time since World War II. The recession continued into 1982 as real GDP dropped 1.5 per cent, after slipping 0.1 per cent in 1981. This period of economic decline in Latin America contrasts with the boom cycle it experienced between 1974 and 1979, when its average annual growth rate was 5.4 per cent. Moreover, according to the International Development Bank (IDB), the slowdown becomes even more pronounced when population growth is taken into account. Per-capita GDP decreased by 1 per cent in 1981 and by over 3 per cent in 1982. The IDB also forecasts very modest economic growth for 1983 because of the sluggish recovery in the industrial countries and the implementation of adjustment policies in the LDCs to curb inflation and their budget and external trade deficits.

The close ties between the national economies of Latin America and the economies of the industrialized world - as shown by the ratio of exports and imports to GDP, for example - explain in part why this region was hard-hit. The Latin American countries are primarily exporters of raw
materials, food products and manufactured goods; in 1981, 70.6 per cent of Latin America's aggregate export earnings came from the industrial nations. Thus, the economies of Latin America, because of their interconnection with the industrial economies, bore the full brunt of the slump in international economic activity

In addition to the effect that the recession in the industrial countries had on Third World economies, the fact that the borrowings of LDCs were heavily concentrated in private financial markets also led to difficulties in debt servicing, partly as these debts were acquired at high interest rates. For example, in late 1974, about 56.4 per cent of the medium- and long-term external public debt of LDCs was owed to private creditors, and the remaining 43.6 per cent to international financial institutions (the IMF. World Bank and so on). By the end of 1982, however, the situation had deteriorated to the point where over 60 per cent of public debt was contracted on private foreign capital markets. The distribution of Latin America's external public debt between official and private creditors was almost idenfical, except that a larger proportion of their credit flows ( 81.3 per cent) was provided by private foreign financial markets at the end of 1982. Thus, loans to the LDCs and Latin American nations, most of which were from foreign private banks at variable interest rates, far outweighed the traditional forms of official bilateral and multilateral financing.

Table 1
Key International Economic Indicators
(annual growth rates)

|  | $1976-1979$ | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | $1983^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real GNP, industrial countries | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 1.6 |
| Real GDP, LDCs | 5.3 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| Real GDP, Latin America | 5.4 | 6.0 | -0.1 | -1.5 | -0.2 |
| International trade |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Volume | 7.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 1.0 |
| - Unit value (U.S.\$) | 9.6 | 20.0 | -1.0 | -4.0 | - |
| Terms of trade | -0.5 | -7.4 | -0.8 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| - Industrial countries | 2.0 | -6.2 | -3.9 | -2.7 | 1.0 |
| - Non-oil LDCs |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook. 1983.

- The figures for 1983 are forecasts.


## II. Indicators of the External Indebtedness of LDCs and Latin American Countries

To examine the historical development of the external debt of LDCs, we have at our disposal a number of analytical lools defined as debt indicators, which include the ratio of external debt to exports of goods and services (or GDP), the debt service ratio, the interest payments ratio and others. Each of these indicators has a particular function, and together they reflect the development and structure of external debt. For example, the debt ratio is used to study the indebtedness problem, while other indicators measure a country's capacity to service its debt. The debt service ratio is a quantitative measurement that provides information on the short-term rigidity of the current account balance; that is, it indicates the need for external adjustments (such as a reduction in imports) to offset a deterioration in the balance of payments. As for the debt service-interest payments ratio, its behaviour over a given period measures the debt burden, or the capacity to service debt. In short, these are valuable quantitative analytical tools, especially given the concern about the external debt of the LDCs and particularly Latin America. For this study, we will use the debt ratio, the debt service ratio and the debt service-interest payments ratio. We use exports of goods and services as a measurement factor, since export earnings represent a supply of foreign currency from which the LDCs can service their debts or buy imported intermediate goods necessary for domestic production.

The purpose of the external public debt indicator is essentially to quantify the severity of external indebtedness. Table 2 illustrates two important features in the historical development of the external debt of LDCs. First, we see that the LDCs' public external debt grew more rapidly than export earnings between 1974 and 1978. This trend reversed itself between 1978 and 1980. From the end of 1980 through 1982, however, the ratio climbed sharply, reaching 143.3 per cent by the end of 1982, compared with 104.6 per cent in 1974. For 1983, the IMF forecasts that the increases in external debt and export earnings will be roughly equal. Hence, the debt ratio probably will remain unchanged. Secondly, the debt service ratio has followed a similar pattern as the ratio of public debt since 1974. Clearly then, one possible solution is a return to the situation that prevailed in the mid-1970's with a rapid rise in the export receipts of debtor countries. However, on the basis of forecasts by IMF economists for the volume and value of the LDCs' exports in 1983 and 1984, this solution appears unlikely. Thirdly. Table 2 reveals a sharp increase in debt service interest payments in relation to export earnings. Between 1974 and 1982, the interest payments ratio more than doubled, rising from 6.1 to 13.2 per cent. The greater significance of debt service interest payments, largely due to the effects of borrowing at floating interest rates, induced the LDCs to switch from long amortization periods to comparatively short- and medium-term loans from the international banking community.

Table 2
External Debt Indicators of LDCs (per cent)

|  | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Debt/ <br> exports | 104.6 | 122.4 | 125.5 | 126.4 | 130.2 | 119.2 | 112.9 | 124.9 | 143.3 |
| Debt Service/ <br> exports | 14.4 | 16.1 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 17.6 | 20.4 | 23.9 |
| Interest payments/ <br> exports | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 13.2 |

SOURCE: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, April 1983.

## Table 3

External Debt Indicators, Latin America
(per cent)

|  | 1974 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $1982^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Debt/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt service/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: World Bank, World Debt Tables, 1983 and International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, 1982 Annual and September 1983.

* The external public and private debt data for Latin America and the major countries of the region are preliminary figures obtained from the Worid Bank Moreover the different ratios for 1982 are preliminary figures.

At the regional level, we will study only the external debt indicators of Latin America. ${ }^{3}$ The figures for the period 1974-1982 are presented in Table 3 (data for 1975 are not available).

Upon analysing the evolution of the indicators of Latin American external debt, we find that the region's total external debt rose more rapidly than export receipts between 1974 and 1978. Over this period, the debt ratio jumped from 116.7 in 1974 to 178.8 per cent in 1978 . This upward trend reversed itself between 1979 and 1980, as export earnings surged by an average of 32.5 per cent per year, partly due to the large increase in oil prices. This increase of export receipts was coupled with a slowing in the average annual growth rate of total external debt to 16.1 per cent. Accordingly, the debt ratio plunged from 178.8 per cent in 1978 to 137.2 per cent at the end of 1980. However, the onset of recession in the industrial countries in 1981, which led to a sharp decline in their demand for imports, caused a serious deterioration in the debt ratio. Because of the approximate 11.0 per cent drop in export earnings between 1981 and 1982 and its effects on economic growth in the region, the Latin American countries were forced to increase their borrow. ings from creditor nations in 1982 due to the disequilibrium in their current accounts. By the end of 1982, the debt ratio was 197.8 per cent.
The debt ratios of the major Latin American nations followed similar patterns between 1974 and 1982. The debt ratios of Argentina and Brazil worsened considerably as a result of decreases of 18.7 and 12.0 per cent respectively in their export earnings. At the end of 1982, the debt ratio for Brazil was about 301.2 per cent, while the ratio of external public debt for Argentina was approximately 164.4 per cent although it was probably higher than the ratio of external total debt to exports of 1981 with the inclusion of external private debt. This means that their aggregate external debt was more than double the total value of their exports in 1982, which is unprecedented.
The debt service ratio of Latin America as a whole and the major nations has followed a pattern similar to the debt ratio since 1974 . At the end of 1982 , around 42.7 per cent of export earnings were absorbed by debt servicing,

[^6]and interest payments accounted for more than half of this (54.3 per cent, compared with only 37.1 per cent in 1974). Brazil seems to be in a more difficult situation in terms of the percentage of export receipts consumed by debt service payments. In 1982, 70.7 per cent of export earnings were spent on debt servicing, and 39.6 per cent are being used to make interest payments. Thus, the severe deterioration in the debt service ratios of Latin American countries, especially Argentina and Brazil, at a time of low inflation and modest international economic recovery, explains why the international banking community is concerned about indebtedness in this region.

## Conclusion

Although we have found throughout this study that the external debt of the LDCs and Latin America has reached critical levels because of the deterioration in debt struc. fure, their indebtedness problems are neither insurmountable nor irreversible. Several conditions are liable to have positive effects on the current and future financial situation of LDCs. First, the LDCs affected by the problem must select the appropriate economic adjustment measures to reduce their debt-to-export ratios significantly. However, economic growth in the industrial countries will have to be healthy and strong in the next few years, particularly with a durable recovery in world demand and international trade and a decline in real interest rates on international financial markets. This will enable the LDCs and particularly Latin American nations to cope with the indebtedness problem and service their debts. According to a forecast by OECD experts, real economic growth of about 3 per cent per year for the OECD countries between now and the end of 1985 is probably a necessary, though not sufficient, condition for solving the external debt problems of the LDCs. Another necessary condition for restoring vigorous economic growth in these countries is a steady increase in the volume and value of exports.
Moreover, the OECD economists also argue that fluctuations in the major macroeconomic variables (real GNP, interest rates and primary commodity prices) could have a significant effect on the external debt and economic activity in LDCs. For example, a 1 per cent upward (or downward) variation in the growth rate of OECD countries in the next few years could result in an increase (or decrease) of $\$ 5$ billion U.S. a year in the export receipts of the LDCs. Furthermore, the debtor countries would gain (or lose) $\$ 2.5$ billion a year in export earnings for every percentage point drop (rise) in interest rates in OECD nations, or between $\$ 1$ billion and $\$ 2$ billion for every 3 per cent increase in commodity prices, and $\$ 1$ billion for every

5 per cent depreciation in the effective exchange rate of the U.S. dollar (because debt service charges are usually paid in U.S. dollars). Conversely, according to analysts at Morgan Guaranty Trust, a 3 per cent drop in the economic performance of the LDCs could push economic activity down by about 0.8 per cent in OECD countries, and by 0.5 per cent in the United States. Sluggish economic growth in Latin America over the next few years could have a more serious impact on the United States, since some 31 per cent of its export earnings came from this region in 1981. This hypothetical scenario also has implications for growth in Canada because of our economy's heavy dependence on the United States. Canada's direct trade ties with Latin America, however, are very small. In 1982, Canada's export earnings from Latin American countries accounted for only 4.0 per cent of aggregate expont receipts, a very small contribution to the country's economic growth.

Consequently, the debt problems and growth prospects of the LDCs and particularly Latin America will be closely linked to the trend of economic activity in the OECD nations over the next few years. However, a number of other factors, such as the trade policies of the industrial countries, the effectiveness of the economic adjustment programs that have been or will be implemented by the LDCs and particularly the Latin American nations concerned, and the trend in credit flows from creditor to debtor countries in the next few years, will play major roles in determining the viability of the international financial system and the direction of the trend of the world economy.
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## Glossary

End point seasonal adjustment

External trade
Balance-ofpayments basis

Customs basis

Net exports
Terms of trade

Filtered, filtering
a diffusion index is a measure, taken across a group of time series, that indicates the uniformity of movement exhibited by the group. More precisely, for any given period the diffusion index is equal to the percentage of series in the group that are expanding during that period. The diffusion index thus indicates the dispersion or diffuseness of a given change in the aggregate. Since business cycle changes generally affect many economic processes diffusion indexes are useful in determining whether a change is due to cyclical forces.
this procedure uses the data for the current period in estimating the seasonal factor for that period. In contrast the projected factor procedure calculates the seasonal factor for the current period by extrapolating past data. The end point procedure therefore allows changing seasonal patterns to be recognized sooner than the projected factor procedure.
data which reflect a number of adjustments applied to the customs totals to make them consistent with the concepts and definitions used in the system of national accounts.
totals of detailed merchandise trade data tabulated directly from customs documents.
exports less imports.
the ratio of merchandise export prices to merchandise import prices. This ratio can be calculated monthly on a customs basis from External Trade data, or quarterly on a balance of payments basis from GNP data.
in general the term filtering refers to removing, or filtering out, movements of the data that repeat them-

## Final demand

Final domestic demand

## Inventories

By stage of processing

Labour market
Additional worker effect
selves with roughly the same frequency. In the context used here we refer to removing the high frequency, or irregular movements, so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the trend-cycle. Unfortunately all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in signalling cyclical changes. We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by filtering with minimum phase shift filters.
final domestic demand plus exports. It can also be computed as GNP excluding inventory changes.
the sum of personal expenditure on goods and services, government current expenditure, and gross fixed capital formation by Canadians. Final domestic demand can also be viewed as GNP plus imports less exports and the change in inventories; that is, it is a measure of final demand by Canadians irrespective of whether the demand was met by domestic output, imports or a change in inventories.
within a given industry inventories may be classified depending on whether processing of the goods, from that industry's point of view, is complete, is still underway, or has not yet begun. Inventories held at these various stages of processing are referred to as finished goods, goods in process, and raw materials respectively. Note that in this context the term raw materials does not necessarily refer to raw or primary commodities such as wheat, iron ore, etc. It simply refers to materials that are inputs to the industry in question.
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate rises, the main income earner in the family unit may
become unemployed, inducing related members of the unit who were previously not participating in the labour force to seek employment. This is also referred to as the 'secondary worker effect'.

Discouraged worker effect

Employed
Employed

Employment, Payrolls and Hours Survey
Employment/Population
Ratio

Labour force

Labour Force Survey
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate increases, some persons actively seeking employment may become 'discouraged' as their job search period is extended. and drop out of the labour force. persons who, during the reference period for the Labour Force Survey: a) did any work at all, for pay or profit in the context of an employeremployee relationship, or were selfemployed. It includes unpaid family work which is defined as work contributing directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned or operated by a related member of the household.
b) had a job but were not at work due to own illness or disability, personal or family responsibilities, bad weather, labour dispute or other reasons (excluding persons on layoff and those with a job to start at a future date).
a monthly mail survey of most nonagricultural employers collecting payroll information on the last week or pay period in the reference month, including figures on average hours, earnings, and employment. represents employment as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over.
persons in the labour force are those members of the population 15 years of age and over who, in the reference period were either employed or unemployed.
is a monthly household survey which measures the status of the members of the household with respect to the labour market, in the reference period. Inmates of in-
stitutions, members of Indian Reserves, and full-time members of the Canadian Armed Forces are excluded because they are considered to exist outside the labour market
a person who during the reference period did work for pay or profit.
Paid workers do not include per-
sons who did unpaid work which contributed directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned and operated by a related member of the household.
Participation rate

Unemployed

## Monetary base

## Prices

Commodity prices
represents the labour force as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over. The participation rate for a particular group is the percentage of that group participating in the labour force.
those who during the reference period:
a) were without work, and had actively looked for work in the past four weeks (ending with the reference week) and were available for work.
or
b) had not actively looked for work in the past four weeks but had been on layoff (with the expectation of return ing to work) and were available for work.
or
c) had not actively looked for work in the past four weeks but had a new job to start in four weeks or less from the reference week, and were available for work.
the sum of notes in circulation, coins outside banks, and chartered bank deposits with the Bank of Canada. Also referred to as the high-powered money supply.
daily cash (spot) prices of individual commodities. Commodity prices generally refer to spot prices of crude materials.

| Consumer prices | retail prices, inclusive of all sales, excise and other taxes applicable to individual commodities. In effect, the prices which would be paid by final purchasers in a store or outlet. The Consumer Price Index is designed to measure the change through time in the cost of a constant "basket" of goods and services, representing the purchases made by a particular population group in a specified time period. Because the basket contains a set of goods and services of unchanging or comparable quantity and quality changes in the cost of the basket are striclly due to price movements. | Paasche price index <br> Valuation Constant dollar <br> Current dollar | the weights used in calculating an aggregate Paasche price index are current period weights. Changes in a price index of this type reflect both changes in price and importance of the components. <br> represents the value of expenditure or production measured in terms of some fixed base period's prices. (Changes in constant dollar expenditure or production can only be brought about by changes in the physical quantities of goods purchased or produced). <br> represents the value of expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implicit prices | prices which are the by-product of a deflation process. They reflect not only changes in prices but also changes in the pattern of expenditure or production in the group to which they refer. |  | or production measured at current price levels. A change in current dollar expenditure or production can be brought about by changes in the quantity of goods bought or produced or by changes in the level of prices of those goods. |
| Industry prices | prices charged for new orders in manufacturing excluding discounts. allowances, rebates, sales and excise taxes, for the reference period. The pricing point is the first stage of selling after production. The Industry | Nominal | represents the value of expenditure or production measured at current price leveis. Nominal value is synonymous with 'current doliar' value. |
|  | Selling Price Index is a set of base weighted price indices designed to measure movement in prices of products sold by Canadian Establishments classified to the manufacturing sector by the 1970 Standard Industriai Classification. | Real | 'real' value is synonymous with 'constant doilar' value. |
| Laspeyres price index | the weights used in calculating an aggregate Laspeyres price index are fixed weights calculated for a base period. Thus changes in a price index of this type are strictly due to price movements. |  |  |
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GROSS NATIONAL EXPENOITURE IN 1971 OOLLARS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY MDJUSTED FIGURES


SOURCE: NATITNAL INCDME GND EXPENDTYURE ACCOUNTS, CATALOGUE 3 -001, STATTSTICS EANAOA
(I) DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEDSNG PERIOD. ANNUAL RATES.
(1) DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEDING PERIOD. ANNUAL RATES
(2) GICE - GRAIM IN COMMEREIAL CHANMELS.

REAL OUTPUT BY IMOUSTRY<br>PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASOMALLY ADJUSTEO FJGURES

|  |  | GRDSS DOME S IIC product | GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT EXCLUDING AGRICUL- TURE | goDos <br> PRODUCING INDUSTRIES | SERVICE PRDDUCJMG 1NDUSTRIES | IMDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION | DURABLE MANUF ALTURING INDUSTRIES | NOMDURABLE MANUFACTURING INOUSTRIES | MINIMG INDUSTRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDM- } \\ & \text { MERCIAL } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.2 | 3.4 | 21 | 4.0 | 33 | 4. 6 | 5.2 | -10.1 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| 1979 |  | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 6. 3 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 10.6 | 4.8 | -. 1 |
| 1980 |  | 1.3 | 1.1 | -. 7 | 2.5 | -1.5 | -5.5 | . 1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 1981 |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.4 | . 9 | 1.5 | 1. 6 | -5. 1 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| 1882 |  | -4. 9 | -4.8 | -9.9 | -1.5 | $-10,7$ | $-15.5$ | -8.4 | -12.5 | -5.9 | 2.1 |
| 1981 | 111 | -1.2 | -1.2 | -2.3 | -. 5 | $-2.7$ | -5.0 | -1.5 | -2. 1 | -1.5 | 8 |
|  | Iv | $-.8$ | -. 9 | -2. 6 | . 3 | -3.2 | -6.0 | -2.4 | 1.6 | -1.0 | 5 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1. 6 | -1.9 | $-3.2$ | -. 9 | -3.5 | $-5.2$ | -4.1 | -1.7 | -2.0 | . 9 |
|  | IJ | -1.7 | -1. 9 | $-3.4$ | $-.8$ | -3.2 | -2, 4 | -2.5 | -8.8 | -2.2 | . 5 |
|  | 111 | -1.4 | -1.5 | -2.7 | -. 6 | -2.5 | -2.5 | -. 5 | - 11.1 | -1. 7 | 2 |
|  | IV | -. 9 | -1.0 | $-2.0$ | -. 4 | -3.1 | -8.5 | -. 9 | 5.5 | -1. 2 | . 5 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.5 | 1. 6 | 4.3 | . 1 | 5.2 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | -. 1 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | AUG | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | . 3 | 4. 3 | 7.8 | 1.9 | . 2 | 1,3 | 1 |
|  | SEP | - . 6 | -. 6 | -1.6 | . 1 | -2,7 | -6.5 | -. 6 | 1.0 | -. 5 | 3 |
|  | OCT | -. 9 | -1.0 | -2.0 | -. 5 | -2.8 | -5.4 | -1.5 | 1.7 | -1.3 | . 2 |
|  | NOV | , 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 1 | . 4 | -2.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 | . 3 | -. 3 |
|  | DE | -. 1 | -. 2 | . 3 | -. 4 | -. 5 | . 0 | -. 6 | . 2 | -. 4 | . 6 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.9 | 1.8 | 4.5 | . 3 | 5.3 | 10.8 | 3.1 | -. 3 | 2.2 | -. 2 |
|  | FEB | -. 6 | -. 7 | -. 8 | - . 6 | -. 1 | -1. 7 | \% \% | -. 2 | -. 6 | -1.3 |
|  | MAR | . 8 | 1.0 | 3 | 1.3 | . 5 | . B | - 5 | 2.0 | . 7 | 2.1 |
|  | APR | 4 | 4 | . 8 | . 1 | 1.1 | 1. 4 | 1.5 | -. 5 | . 4 | . 2 |
|  | MAY | . 8 | 8 | 1.8 | . 3 | 1.1 | 9.8 | -. 5 | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 1 |
|  | JUN | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1. 5 | 4.1 | 2.1 | -. 4 |
|  | JUL | . 1 | . 2 | 4 | . 0 | . 9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | -. 8 | . 2 | -. 2 |
|  | AUG | -. 1 | -. 1 | - . 4 | . 1 | . 4 | 1.1 | -. 6 | 1.4 | -. 2 | . 4 |

DEMAND IMDICATORS
PERCEMTAGE CHANGES DF SEASONALLY ADUUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RETAIL } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OEPARTMENT } \\ \text { STORE } \\ \text { SALES } \end{gathered}$ | HEM MOTOR VEHICLE SALES | MANUFACTURING SHIPMENTS | DURABIE <br> MANUFAC- <br> TURING <br> MEM ORDERS | MANUFAC TURING JHVENTORY SHIPMENTS RATIO (1) | AVERAGE MEEKLY HOURS IN MANUFAC. TURING (i) | total MOUS ING STARTS (2) | BUILDING PERMITS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TIDN } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { SHIPMENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 11.6 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 18.7 | 22.5 | 1.84 | 38.8 | 234.8 | 5.8 | 18.3 |
| 1979 |  | 12.1 | 10.8 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 16.6 | 1.85 | 38.8 | 197.4 | 7.7 | 16.3 |
| 1980 |  | 8.7 | 9.6 | -. 5 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 2.04 | 38.5 | 159.8 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| 1981 |  | 12.6 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 13.8 | 9.6 | 2.05 | 318.6 | 180.0 | 21.2 | 13.8 |
| 1982 |  | 3.4 | -. 6 | -17.1 | -3.8 | -11.4 | 2.22 | 37.7 | 130.4 | -31.7 | -13.2 |
| 1981 | IV | 1. 5 | 1.2 | 2.9 | -2.5 | -8.5 | 2. 19 | 38.1 | 135.3 | 10.0 | -2.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | -. 5 | -2.7 | -15.0 | $-2.5$ | -3.6 | 2. 26 | 38.1 | 169.7 | -24.0 | -7.1 |
|  | 1] | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.7 | . 1 | 3.1 | 2.24 | 37.7 | 118.0 | -22.9 | -3.3 |
|  | II! | . 6 | . 1 | -7.9 | , 9 | -4.1 | 2.19 | 37.5 | 98.3 | . 2 | -4. 2 |
|  | iv | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.3 | -4.9 | -5. | 2.19 | 37.4 | 137.7 | 18.8 | -3. 6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 1.98 | 38.0 | 176.7 |  | 4.1 |
|  | 11 | 2.0 | -. 3 | 17.7 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 1.81 |  | 221.0 | -7.9 | 5.7 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 130.0 | -5. 1 |  |
| 1982 | OCT | 4 | 0 | -21.7 | -3.8 | - E. 6 | 2.26 | 37.4 | 119.0 | 14.4 | -4.4 |
|  | HOY | . 0 | 1.8 | 26. 1 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 2.21 | 37.3 | 137.0 | 5.1 | . 9 |
|  | DEC | 1.5 | 1.2 | 18.8 | $-.5$ | -14.1 | 2. 11 | 37.5 | 157.0 | 6.5 | . 3 |
| 1983 | JAM | . 3 | -1.3 | -17.6 | 3.5 | 13.8 | 1.99 | 37.8 | 174.0 | 8.8 | 3.9 |
|  | FEB | -. 5 | 2.3 | -3. 7 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 1.97 | 38.1 | 171.0 | -1.1 | -. 9 |
|  | MAR | 2.8 | 4.9 | 20.2 | - 4 | -4.4 | 1.97 | 38.2 | 185.0 | 2.1 | 5 8 |
|  | APR | -2.9 | -11.5 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 1.90 |  | 188.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 |
|  | MAY | 3.4 | 9.7 | -2.8 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 1.79 |  | 275.0 | -22.2 | -1.8 |
|  | JUN | 3.3 | 9.0 | 1.4 | . 9 | $-3.4$ | 1.75 |  | 200.0 | -3.1 | 1.9 |
|  | JUl | . 9 | -3.6 | -2.1 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 1.75 |  | 135.0 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
|  | AUG | -1.5 | 5.4 | 6.5 | . 2 | 2.2 | 1.77 |  | 123.0 | . 1 | . 2 |
|  | SEP | -. 4 | -8. 1 | -3.4 |  |  |  |  | 132.0 | 1.9 |  |
|  | OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110.0 |  |  |


IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES, CATALOGUE 31-001. NEN MOTOR VEHICLE SALES, CATALDGUE E3-OOT, GUIIOING PERMITS. CATALDGUE 64-001. STATISTICS CANAOA, CAMADIAN HDUSING STATISTICS. CANADA MORTGAGE ANO MDUSING CORPDRATION.
(1) NOT PERCENTAGE CHANG
(2) THDUSANDS OF STARTS. ANNUAI RATES

LABOUR MARKET JNDILCATORS
SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | EMPLOYMENT |  |  | LABOUR FORCE (2) | PARTICIPATION RATE | EMPLOYMENT PDPULATIDN RATIO <br> (3) | UNEMPLDYMENT RATE TOTAL | UNEMPLDY- <br> MENT RATE <br> AGES 15-24 | UNEMPLDY - <br> MENT RATE <br> AGES 25 <br> AND DVER | UNEMPLDYMENT INSUR ANCE <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL ESTAA- LISHMENT SURUEY (11) | MANUF ACTURING. ESTABIISHMENT SURVEY (I) | POTAL - LABDUR FOREE SURVEY $(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 62. 6 | 57.4 | 8.4 | 14.5 | E. 9 | 2809 |
| 1979 |  | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 63.3 | 58.8 | 7.5 | 13. D | 5.4 | 2602 |
| 1980 |  | 2.1 | -1.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 54.0 | 59.2 | 9.5 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 2762 |
| 1981 |  | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 64.7 | 59.7 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 2895 |
| 1982 |  | -3.2 | $-9.3$ | $-3.3$ | . 4 | 64.0 | 56.9 | 11.0 | 18.8 | 8.4 | 3921 |
| 1981 | IV | - 3 | -1.6 | -. 8 | . 2 | 64.6 | 59.1 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 6.2 | 959 |
| 1982 | ! | -1.0 | -3.1 | -1.1 | -. 6 | 63.9 | 58.2 | 8.9 | 15.7 | 6.5 | 939 |
|  | 11 | -1.3 | -3.1 | -1.2 | . 6 | 54.1 | 57.3 | 10.5 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 854 |
|  | III | -1.8 | -3.0 | -1.2 | . 7 | 64.2 | 56.4 | 12. 1 | 20.8 | 9.3 | 947 |
|  | IV | -1.8 | -4.3 | -. 8 | -. 2 | 63.9 | 55.8 | 12.7 | 20.8 | 10.1 | 1181 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 3 | . 8 | . 2 | . 0 | 53.8 | 55.8 | 12.5 | 20.8 | 9.9 | 911 |
|  | 11 |  |  | 1.4 | 1.3 | 64.4 | 56.4 | 12.4 | 20.9 | 9.7 | 713 |
|  | 111 |  |  | 1.3 | . 5 | 54.5 | 57.0 | 11.7 | 19.3 | 9.2 |  |
| 1982 | 0 CT | -. 9 | -1.9 | -. 2 | . 2 | 64.1 | 56.0 | 12.7 | 20.9 | 9.9 | 355 |
|  | NOV | -. 4 | -1.2 | -. 4 | -. 3 | 63.8 | 55.7 | 12.7 | 20.5 | 10.2 | 438 |
|  | DEC | -. 2 | -. 7 | . 2 | . 3 | 63.9 | 55.7 | 12.8 | 20.9 | 10.2 | 388 |
| 1983 | JAM | . 3 | 1. 1 | . 0 | - 4 | E3. 5 | 55.7 | 12.4 | 20.5 | 9.9 | 390 |
|  | FE日 | . 5 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 4 | 63.8 | 55.8 | 12.5 | 20.7 | 8.9 | 270 |
|  | MAR | . 0 | -. 7 | . 3 | . 4 | 63.9 | 55.9 | 12.6 | 21.3 | 9.9 | 251 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ |  |  | . 6 | . 5 | 64.2 | 56.1 | 12.5 | 21.5 | 9.7 | 243 |
|  | MAY |  |  | . 6 | . 5 | 64.4 | 58.4 | 12.4 | 21.1 | 9.6 | 228 |
|  | JUN |  |  | . 5 | . 3 | 64.5 | 56.6 | 12.2 | 20.1 | $9 . ?$ | 242 |
|  | JUL |  |  | . 6 | . 3 | E4. 7 | 56.9 | 12.0 | 18.7 | 9.5 | 257 |
|  | AUG |  |  | . 1 | -. 1 | 64.5 | 58.9 | 11.8 | 19.4 | 9.3 | 248 |
|  | SEP |  |  | . 4 | -. 1 | 54.4 | 57.1 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 8.9 |  |
|  | DCT |  |  | -. 2 | -. 4 | 64.0 | 58.9 | 11.1 | 18.5 | 8.8 |  |

(3) EMPLOYMENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATIDN 15 YEARS OF AGE AND DVER.
(4) JNITIAL AND RENEHAL CLAIMS RECEIVED. THOUSANDS. NOT SEASDNALIY ADJUSTED

PRICES AND CDSTS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES
NOT SEASONALIY AOJUSTEO

|  |  | COMSUMER PRICE IMOEX |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CANAOIAN } \\ \text { DOLIAR IN } \\ \text { U.S. CENTS } \\ \text { 11) } \end{gathered}$ | IMOUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEX | RESTDENTIAI CONSTRUCTION INPUTS PRICE INOEX | NON-RESIDENTIALCONSTRUC-TION INPUTSPRICE INOEX | AVERAGI WEEKLY NAGES AND SALARIES (2) | ```OUTPUT PER PERSON EMPLOYEO (3)``` | UNIT <br> LABOUR <br> COSTS <br> (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AL! } \\ & \text { ITEMS } \end{aligned}$ | F000 | NON-5000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 15.5 | 6.4 | 87.72 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 109.1 | 190.3 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 13.1 | 7.9 | 85.38 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 109.2 | 205.9 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 85.54 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 107.6 | 230.3 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 83.42 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 107.9 | 258.6 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 81.08 | F. 0 | 5.5 | B. 9 | 10.0 | 105.3 | 291.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1989 \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | IV | 2.5 | $-5$ | 3.3 | 83.81 | 9. 3 | -. 7 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 107.3 | 273.2 |
|  | I | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 82.72 | 1.4 | . 8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 105.8 | 282.6 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 80.37 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 106. 2 | 289.4 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 80.02 | 8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 105. 1 | 293.3 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | - 1.0 | 2.3 | 81.21 | 3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 105.0 | 299.8 |
| 1883 | 1 | . 6 | . 4 | . 7 | 81.48 | 7 | 2.8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 107.3 | 297.7 |
|  | II | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | t1. 23 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 3.1 |  | 107.8 | 300.7 |
|  | III | 1.6 | . 8 | 1.8 | 81.11 | . 8 | 1.8 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | OCT | 6 | -. 3 | 8 | 89.31 | - 1 | . 3 | 3 | 1.1 | 105.7 | 297. |
|  | NOV | .7 | . 3 | . 8 | 81.55 | -. 3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | . 7 | 106.3 | 298.2 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -. 4 | . 2 | 80.75 | . 3 | . 5 | 0 | 1.8 | 106.0 | 303.8 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | . 2 | -. 3 | B1. 40 | . 1 | 1.5 | 4 | -. 9 | 107.7 | 295.7 |
|  | CEB | . 4 | . 6 | . 3 | 81.48 | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.0 | 106.8 | 297.9 |
|  | MAR | 1.0 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 1.4 | 81.55 | . 6 | . 8 | . 1 | $-.1$ | 107.5 | 299.7 |
|  | APR | . 0 | 1.0 | -. 3 | 81.16 | . | . 1 | -. 2 |  | 107.2 | 299.8 |
|  | MAY | . 3 | 1.6 | -. 1 | 81.38 | . 5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |  | 107.4 | 309.9 |
|  | JUN | 1.1 | .2 | 1.4 | 81.18 | . 2 | 1.3 | . 3 |  | 108.7 | 301.3 |
|  | JUL | d | . 8 | 4 | 81.14 | . 4 | . 1 | - . 4 |  | 108.2 | 303.2 |
|  | AUG | . 5 | -. 1 | 6 | 81.08 | . 2 | -. 5 | -. 1 |  | 107.9 |  |
|  | SEP | 0 | $-1.0$ | .3 | $81.14$ | -. 1 | -. 9 | -. 3 |  |  |  |
|  | OCT |  |  |  | 81.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



PEREENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADNUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | PERSONAL EXPENDTTURE |  |  |  | BUSINESS IXED INVESTMEN! |  |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | GROSS <br> MATIONAL <br> EXPENDITURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OURABLES | SEMI- <br> DURA9LES | MON - <br> DURABLES | SERVICES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIOENT:AL } \\ & \text { CON- } \\ & \text { STRUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION | MACHINERY <br> AND <br> EQU:PMENT |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 4.9 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 6.9 |
| 1979 |  | 8.2 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 8. 4 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 19.0 | 13.9 | 10.3 |
| 1980 |  | 8.4 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 11.1 |
| 1981 |  | 8.8 | 7.9 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 10.6 |
| 1982 |  | 6.0 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 7. 7 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 10. 1 |
| 1981 | 111 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.7 | . 9 | 3. 4 | 2.6 | . 7 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
|  | IV | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | . 7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | -. 2 | 3.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | . 6 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | $-.7$ | 1.8 | 2.5 |
|  | 11 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | -. 5 | . 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 111 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.2 | $-9.5$ | 2.0 | . 7 | . 7 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  | IV | . 8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | . 0 | . 4 | . 9 | 2.5 | $-1.4$ | 1.6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 4 | 1.6 | . 5 | . 8 | . 5 | -2. 6 | $-1.6$ | 1.6 |
|  | 11 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | -1.3 | 1.5 | . 8 | . 2 | -1.5 | . 7 |

EXTERNAL TRADE
CUSTOMS BASIS (1)
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADUUSTED FIGURES


CURRENT ACCOUNT, BALANCE DF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS

- BALANCES

MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, SEASONALIY ADJUSTED

|  |  |  |  | SERYIEE, Y | SACTIONS |  |  | TRANSfERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MERCHAN $=$ OISE TRADE | travel | INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | (NMER1 - <br> TANCES AND MIGRANTS FUNOS | PERSONAL <br> INSTITU- <br> TIONAL <br> remittances | TOTAL | AND SERVICES | CURRENT <br> account |
| 1978 |  | 4345 | - 1706 | -4905 | 131 | -9282 | 364 | 14 | 50 | -4967 | -4917 |
| 1979 |  | 4425 | -1058 | -5369 | 304 | -9931 | 544 | 13 | 6E5 | -5505 | -4840 |
| 1980 |  | 8793 | - 1228 | - 5590 | 513 | - 11118 | 900 | 41 | 1256 | -2325 | - 1069 |
| 1981 |  | 7368 | -1116 | - 6622 | 44 D | -14686 | 1134 | 26 | 1552 | -7318 | -5765 |
| 1982 |  | 18338 | -1284 | -9006 | 589 | -96763 | 1107 | 36 | 1442 | 1575 | 3017 |
| 1981 | III | 1050 | -277 | -1881 | 77 | -4108 | 275 | 19 | 436 | -3048 | -2612 |
|  | IV | 2818 | -321 | - 1675 | 104 | -3730 | 311 | 10 | 412 | - 1112 | -700 |
| 1982 | 1 | 3522 | -324 | -2016 | 130 | -4018 | 324 | - | 382 | -496 | - 114 |
|  | 11 | 4755 | -352 | -2254 | 140 | -4204 | 313 | 8 | 414 | 551 | 955 |
|  | [1] | 5051 | -295 | -2345 | 152 | -4268 | 215 | 11 | 329 | 783 | 1112 |
|  | IV | 5010 | - 313 | -2389 | 159 | -4273 | 255 | 9 | 317 | 739 | 1054 |
| 1983 | 1 | 4048 | -394 | -2309 | 141 | -4028 | 257 | 2 | 233 | 20 | 253 |
|  | II | 5186 | -541 | -2472 | 149 | -4321 | 235 | 1 | 245 | 855 | 1110 |

CAPITAL ACCOUNT. BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
MHII IONS OF CAPITAL MOVEMEMTS
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. HOY SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | DJRECT investment IN CANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORECT } \\ & \text { INVESTMENT } \\ & \text { ABROAD } \end{aligned}$ | PORTFOLID TRAN5ACTIDNS CANADIAN SECURITIES | PORTFDLID TRANS ACTIONS FOREJGN SECURJTIES | TOTAL LONG TERM CAPITAL MOVEMENTS (GALANCE | CHART BANK NET PORE IGN CURRENCY POSIT10N HITH NONRESIOENTS | TOTAL SHORT TERM CAPITA! MOVEMENTS (BALANCE) | NET <br> ERRORS WNO OMISSIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALLOGATION } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { SPECJAL } \\ & \text { ORAMJNG } \\ & \text { RIGHYS } \end{aligned}$ | NE T DFFICIA: MONE TARY MOVEMENYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 135 | -2325 | 4997 | 26 | 3221 | 2772 | 1522 | -3126 | 0 | -3299 |
| 1979 |  | 750 | -2550 | 3964 | -581 | 2087 | 4107 | 7051 | -2810 | 219 | 1908 |
| 1980 |  | 800 | - 3150 | 5162 | -182 | 1191 | 1311 | -209 | - 1410 | 217 | -1281 |
| 1981 |  | -4400 | -6900 | 11010 | -99 | 148 | 17592 | 15884 | -9048 | 210 | 1426 |
| 1982 |  | - 1425 | -200 | 11804 | -539 | 9090 | -4032 | -8758 | -4043 | 0 | -594 |
| 1981 | 111 | -345 | -2115 | 2688 | 498 | 1308 | 2669 | 107 | - 559 | 0 | -745 |
|  | IV | -1205 | -2015 | 5279 | -6 | 2720 | 945 | 2707 | - 2555 | 0 | 2411 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1855 | 1310 | 3830 | -27 | 4502 | 1813 | - 1587 | -3349 | 0 | - 1858 |
|  | 11 | -165 | - 705 | 3199 | - 100 | 1899 | -2002 | - 5562 | - 374 | 0 | -3050 |
|  | III | 170 | -465 | 3242 | - 102 | 1986 | -1476 | 1435 | -2002 | 0 | 3479 |
|  | IV | 425 | - 340 | 1533 | -310 | 703 | -2367 | -3044 | 1682 | 0 | 545 |
| 1983 | , | -200 | -600 | 1326 | - 175 | 959 | 169 | - 1009 | 1262 | 0 | 575 |
|  | 11 | 380 | - 550 | 1697 | -382 | 1333 | 1849 | 1439 | -3613 | 0 | 181 |

SOURCE QUARYERLY ESTMMATES OF TME CANADTAN BALANCE OF INYERMATTOMAL PAYMEATS, CATALOGUE G7-CO1. STATISTICS CAMADA

FINANCIAL INDICAYORS


SOURCE: BANK OF CANADA REVIEK
(1) GURRENCY AND DEMAND DEPGSITS, SEASOMALGY ADJUSTED PERCENTAGE CHANGES
(2) CURRENCY AND ALL CHEOUABLE, NOTICE AND PERSONAL TERM DEPOSITS SEASONALLY ADJUSTED. PERCENTAGE CHANGES
3) CURRENCY AND TDTAL PRIVATELY-HELD CHARTERED BANK OEPDSITS. SEASINALLY ADJUSTED. PERCENTAGE CHANGES.

PERCENT PER
(5) 300 STOCKS, MONTHLY CLOSE, 1975:1000
(6) 30 INDUSTRIALS, MOMTHLY CLOSE

|  |  | COMPDSTIE LEADING LNDEX |  |  | IVERAGEMORKMEEKMANUFACTUR-IMG(HQURS) | RESTDENTIAL CONSTRUCT- <br> ION INDEX (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UN!乌! } \\ & \text { STATES } \\ & \text { LEADING } \\ & \text { INDEX } \end{aligned}$ | REAL MDNEY SUPPLY (M1) (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FITYREJ | NOT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTY CRG } \\ & \text { IN FILTERED } \\ & \text { DATA } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | JAN | 142.43 | 142.2 | 74 | 38.61 | 81.3 | 141.32 | 11549.7 |
|  | FED | 14300 | 142.1 | 39 | 38.68 | 84.2 | 141.94 | 11495.9 |
|  | MAR | 14345 | 143.9 | 32 | 38.1 | 87.9 | 142.27 | 11430.1 |
|  | APR | 144.12 | 146.5 | 47 | 38.74 | 90.8 | 142.78 | 11362.4 |
|  | HAY | 144.77 | 146.0 | 45 | 38.78 | 93.9 | 143.31 | 11289.2 |
|  | JUN | 145.24 | 145.4 | . 32 | 38.80 | 95.8 | 143.60 | 11175.7 |
|  | dUL | 145.28 | 143.5 | . 03 | 38.80 | 95.9 | 143.68 | 11101. 3 |
|  | AUG | 144.19 | 137.0 | -. 75 | 38.76 | 93.0 | 143.55 | 10995. 2 |
|  | SEP | 142.00 | 132.6 | -1. 52 | 38.71 | 89.1 | 142.91 | 10835.4 |
|  | $06 T$ | 138.58 | 125.0 | -2. 42 | 38.64 | 81.4 | 141.72 | 10527.8 |
|  | MOV | 134.72 | 125.0 | -2.77 | 38.53 | 74.8 | 140.38 | 10393.7 |
|  | DEC | 131.44 | 127.0 | -2.44 | 38.37 | 73.7 | 139.05 | 10259.8 |
| 1982 | JAN | 128.25 | 122.0 | -2.42 | 38.24 | 73.1 | 137.73 | 10187.6 |
|  | FEB | 125.27 | 119.9 | -2.33 | 38.16 | 71.7 | 135.69 | 10132.0 |
|  | MAR | 122.37 | 116.7 | -2.39 | 38.07 | 69.4 | 135.81 | 10075.0 |
|  | APR | 118.78 | 115.7 | -2. 12 | 38.00 | 56.6 | 135.32 | 10032.5 |
|  | May | 117.59 | 114.8 | -1.82 | 37.91 | 62.5 | 135.15 | 10015.6 |
|  | JUN | 115.85 | 112.7 | -1.65 | 37.82 | 57.6 | 135.14 | 9979.5 |
|  | JUL | 113.99 | 111.7 | -1.44 | 37.74 | 53.1 | 135.33 | 9919.2 |
|  | AUG | 11295 | 113.6 | -. 91 | 37.68 | 43.2 | 135.57 | 9828.9 |
|  | SEP | 11245 | 113.7 | -. 45 | 37.57 | 46.3 | 136.04 | 9735.4 |
|  | 0 CT | 112.59 | 115.7 | . 12 | 37.49 | 46.1 | 13672 | 9546.6 |
|  | NOY | 113.38 | 117.9 | .71 | 37.42 | 49.4 | 13751 | 9565.4 |
|  | OEC | 114.98 | 121.8 | 1.41 | 37.38 | 54.6 | 138.43 | 9561.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 117.61 | 127. 6 | 2.29 | 37.42 | 62.3 | 139.86 | 9610.9 |
|  | FE8 | 120.87 | 130.3 | 2.76 | 37.53 | 69.8 | 141.74 | 9714.3 |
|  | MAR | 124.31 | 132.3 | 2.85 | 37.69 | 77.9 | 144.03 | 9817.3 |
|  | APR | 128.11 | 137.5 | 3.05 | 37.86 | 85.1 | 145.53 | 9921.3 |
|  | MAY | 132.12 | 149.4 | 3.13 | 38.02 | 90.6 | 149.05 | 10030.4 |
|  | JUM | 135.85 | 142.4 | 2.83 | 38.15 | 91.9 | 151.62 | 10119.1 |
|  | JUL | 139.32 | 145. | 2.55 | 38.25 | 90.1 | 154.09 | 10191.8 |
|  | AUG | 142.16 | 145.3 | 2.04 | 38.40 | 85.4 | 156.04 | 10242.1 |

## SOURCE: EURREN ECONOMIC MNATYSTS STAFF, STGYISTICS CRMADE 5E2-4RKT.

(2) COMPDSIIE IMOEX OF HOUSING STARTS(UNITS), BUILDIMG PERMITS(ODLLARS), AMO HORTGAGE LOAN APPROVALS(MUMBEAS)
(3) DEFLATEO BY TNE CDN5UHER PRICE IMDEX FDR ALL ITEMS.

Moy 18. 1983
TABLE 12
2:54 PM
CAMADIAN LEADING INBICATORS
FILTERED DATA (1)
CONTINUED

|  |  | MEW DRDERS DURABLE GODOS $\$ 1971$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FRADE- } \\ & \text { FURNITURE } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { APPLIANCE } \\ & \text { SALES } \\ & \text { S } 1971 \end{aligned}$ | NEK MOTOR VEHICLE SALES $\$ 197!$ | RATIO SHIPMENTS/ FINISHED INYENTORIES MANUFAC: TURING | $\begin{gathered} \text { TROEX OF } \\ \text { STDCK } \\ \text { PRICES } \\ \text { (2) } \end{gathered}$ | PET CRE IN PRICE PER UNIT LABOUR COST MANUFAC- TURING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | JAN | 2870.4 | 100479 | 523905 | 1.54 | 1722.9 | -. 12 |
|  | FEB | 2885.1 | 102587 | 522482 | 1.56 | 1732.8 | -. 10 |
|  | MAR | 2911.8 | 103642 | 525265 | 1.57 | 1750.1 | -. 07 |
|  | APR | 2948.1 | 104213 | 529226 | 1.58 | 1763.9 | -. 03 |
|  | may | 2991.6 | 104670 | 529951 | 1.59 | 1767.2 | . 02 |
|  | dUN | 3032.3 | 107310 | 526092 | 1.60 | 1756.2 | . 18 |
|  | dUL | 3080.5 | 106359 | 516531 | 1.61 | 1730.9 | 15 |
|  | AUG | 3067.8 | 103352 | 505018 | 1. 60 | 1588.5 | 21 |
|  | SEP | 3038.3 | 99482 | 494248 | 1.58 | 1633.2 | 22 |
|  | OCT | 2875. 7 | 95517 | 473370 | 1.56 | 1570.9 | 17 |
|  | MOV | 2880.6 | 92055 | 475262 | 1.53 | 1528.2 | 07 |
|  | DEC | 2788.6 | 89364 | 471190 | 1.48 | 1502.2 | -. 08 |
| 1982 | JAN | 2580.7 | 87054 | 458671 | 1.45 | 1477.3 | -. 27 |
|  | FED | 2609.6 | 85163 | 445381 | 1.42 | 1451.0 | -. 48 |
|  | Mar | 2554.3 | 83554 | 428317 | 1.39 | 1421.1 | -. 68 |
|  | APR | 2543.8 | 82523 | 414747 | 1.37 | 1383.3 | -. 85 |
|  | HAY | $2538 . ?$ | 81870 | 406147 | 1.35 | 1338.0 | -. 96 |
|  | JUN | 2553.0 | 80658 | 404761 | 1.35 | 128 1.4 | - 1.00 |
|  | Jul | 2550.9 | 79856 | 392583 | 1.34 | 1233.2 | -. 99 |
|  | AUG | 2553.3 | 78640 | 386140 | 1.35 | 1217.6 | -. 92 |
|  | SEP | 2534.8 | 78140 | 384885 | 1.36 | 1222.2 | -. 80 |
|  | DCT | 2486.3 | 78537 | 374912 | 1.36 | 1250.1 | -. 66 |
|  | Nov | 2459.8 | 79535 | 371142 | 1.35 | 1328.0 | -. 51 |
|  | DEC | 2409.6 | 81274 | 380986 | 1.36 | 1428.2 | -. 38 |
| 198.3 | JAN | 2400.9 | 83792 | 386994 | 1.37 | 1543.2 | -. 27 |
|  | FEg | 2410.3 | 85922 | 387899 | 1.38 | 1665.4 | -. 14 |
|  | maR | 2420.0 | 87037 | 395017 | 1.40 | 1782.4 | -. 01 |
|  | APR | 2445.5 | 87533 | 408900 | 1.42 | 1899.8 | . 15 |
|  | May | 2500.2 | 89181 | \$24118 | 1.45 | 2003.9 | . 31 |
|  | JUN | 2558.3 | 91449 | 438443 | 1.49 | 2082.8 | 45 |
|  | dUL | 2623.3 | 95701 | 449651 | 1.53 | 2136.9 | . 56 |
|  | AU6 | 2687.1 | 99939 | 459838 | 1.55 | 2172.7 | . 63 |

[^8]PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INOEX OF } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIAL } \\ & \text { PRODUCTIDN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MANUFAC: } \\ & \text { TURING } \\ & \text { SHIPMENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOUSING } \\ & \text { STARTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RETAIL } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | EMPLOYMENT | UNEMPTOYMENT RATE (1) | EONSUMEA PRICE INDEX | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIME } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (I) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MONEY } \\ & \text { SUPPLY } \\ & \text { MI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHANOTSE } \\ & \text { TRAOE } \\ & \text { BALANEE \{ } 1 \text { I } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 5.8 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 2378.2 |
| 1979 |  | 4.1 | 13.5 | -14.4 | 11.6 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 7.7 | 2047. 0 |
| 1980 |  | -3.5 | 7.3 | -24.3 | 6.7 | . 5 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 2029.1 |
| 1981 |  | 2.9 | 8.9 | -15.4 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 18.8 | 7.1 | 2747.8 |
| 1982 |  | -8.2 | -5.3 | -3.7 | 2.6 | -. 9 | 9.7 | 6. 2 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 3546.5 |
| 1981 | IV | -4.4 | -4.0 | -9.5 | $-1.2$ | - . 4 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 16.5 | . 8 | 3531.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | -3.3 | -2.8 | 3.7 | 1 | -. 4 | 8.8 | 7 | 16.3 | 2.6 | 3075.6 |
|  | II | $-1.5$ | 1.4 | 5.2 | 2.1 | . 1 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 16.5 | . 8 | 2368.8 |
|  | 111 | -. 8 | $-.5$ | 18.1 | . 2 | -. 1 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 14.3 | 1.5 | 4474.6 |
|  | IV | -2. 1 | -4. 1 | 12.4 | 2.8 | -. 5 | 10.7 | . 5 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 4267. 1 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 34.9 | 3 | 0 | 10.4 | -. 1 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 3593.1 |
|  | 11 | 4.3 | 5.7 | -1.1 | 5.9 | . 9 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 5487.9 |
|  | 111 | 4.9 |  | 5.9 |  | 1.7 | 9.4 | 1.2 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 6451.0 |
| 1982 | OCT | -1.1 | -3.9 | . 7 | 1.1 | -. 4 | 10.5 | . 4 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 5251.0 |
|  | NOV | -. 7 | . 1 | 19.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 10.7 | 0 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 3885.1 |
|  | DEC | . 3 | . 1 | -6.0 | . 0 | 0 | 10.8 | -. 3 | 11.5 | . 9 | 3655.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.6 | 2.4 | 32.3 | -. 2 | . 0 | 10.4 | . 2 | 11.0 | 8 | 3569.1 |
|  | FEE | . 5 | - 1 | 5.3 | -1.2 | . 0 | 10.4 | -. 2 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 3580.3 |
|  | MAR | 1.4 | 2.4 | -8.8 | 2. 3 | . 0 | 10.3 | . 1 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 3629.8 |
|  | APR | 1.8 | 1.0 | -7. 4 | 2.3 | . 4 | 10.2 | . 5 | 10.5 | -. 2 | 4601.0 |
|  | may | 1.3 | 2.8 | 20.0 | 3.1 | . 1 | 10.1 | . 5 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 6908.9 |
|  | JUM | 1.3 | 3.5 | -3.9 | . 8 | 1. 2 | 10.0 | . 2 | 10.5 | 8 | 4955.7 |
|  | JUL | 2.3 | -. 8 | 2.8 | 4 | 5 | 9.5 | 4 | 10.5 | 7 | 6359.2 |
|  | AUG | 1.2 | 1. $\frac{1}{}$ | 6.9 | -1. 6 | 3 | 9.5 | 5 | 11.0 | 2 | 7187.2 |
|  | SEP | 1.5 |  | $-13.5$ |  | 4 | 9.3 | 4 | 11.0 | 1 | 5806.6 |
|  | OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |

SOURCE: SURVEY OF CURRENT EUSTNESS. U.S. DEPGRTMENT OF COMMEREE
(1) not percentage chamge.

Nov 18. 1983
TABLE 14
UNITED STATES LEADING AND COINCIDENT INDICATOR5 FILTERED DATA (1)


UNITED STATES LEADING AND COINCIDENT [NDICATORS
FILTERED DATA (1) - CONTINUEO

|  |  | CONTBCCIS AND ORDERS FOR PIANT \& EQUIPMENT \$ 1972 (BILl]ONS) | MONEY gALANCE (M2) $\$ 1972$ (81LLIONS) | NET CHANGE IN INYENTORIES $\$$ 1992 (BILLIOMS) | PCT CHG SENSITIVE MATERIALS PRICES (2) | PCT CHE CREDIT OUTSTANDING (3) | VENDOR PERF ORM- ANCE $(4)$ | comocostte COINEIDENT [ $N$ DEX <br> (4 SERIES) | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMPOSITE } \\ \text { COINCIDENT } \\ \text { INDEX } \\ \text { SSERIES } \\ \text { (5) } \end{gathered}$ | SEY CHG COMPOSITE CDJNCIDENT INDEX | BET CHE COMPOSITE COINCIDENT INDEX $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | JAN | 14.28 | 7938 | -6. 17 | 87 | 7.20 | 42 | 143. $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ | 146.8 | 67 | 48 |
|  | FEB | 14.27 | 791.9 | -5. 11 | 74 | 7.86 | 44 | 144.87 | 147.2 | 70 | 27 |
|  | MAR | 14.23 | 790 E | -3.91 | 41 | 7. 52 | 47 | 145.77 | 147.2 | 62 | 00 |
|  | APR | 14.33 | 790.2 | -2. 69 | 09 | 7.80 | 50 | 145.48 | 147.1 | 49 | -. 07 |
|  | MAY | 14.38 | 789. | -1.30 | -. 09 | 8.36 | 51 | 146.95 | 146.9 | 32 | -. 14 |
|  | JUN | 14.42 | 789.6 | 42 | -. 15 | 8. 69 | 52 | 147.30 | 147.5 | 24 | 41 |
|  | JUL | 14. 35 | 789.2 | 253 | -. 19 | 9.05 | 52 | 147.54 | 147.6 | 17 | 07 |
|  | AUG | 14.30 | 789.0 | 4.35 | -. 23 | 9. 16 | 51 | 147.66 | 147.3 | . 08 | -. 20 |
|  | SEP | 14.26 | 788.6 | 5.53 | -. 31 | 9.22 | 49 | 147.57 | 145.5 | - 08 | -. 54 |
|  | OLT | 14.15 | 7885 | 5. 10 | - 45 | 8.41 | 47 | 147.10 | 144.5 | -. 32 | -1.37 |
|  | NOY | 14.13 | 789.0 | 5.86 | - 68 | 7.30 | 44 | 146.28 | 143.0 | -. 56 | -1.04 |
|  | DEE | 13.95 | 790.3 | 4.41 | -. 89 | 6.08 | 40 | 145.07 | 140.9 | -. 82 | -1.47 |
| 1982 | JAM | 13.74 |  | 1. 33 | - 1.06 | 5.88 | 36 | 143.47 | 138.4 | -1.10 | $-1.77$ |
|  | FEB | 13.72 | 795.2 | -3. 26 | -1.1t | 5.74 | 34 | 142.05 | 139.9 | - . 95 | 1.08 |
|  | MAR | 13. 62 | 798.6 | -8. 44 | -1.06 | 5.38 | 33 | 140.84 | 139.2 | -. 85 | -. 50 |
|  | APR | 13.63 | 802.1 | -12.57 | -. 99 | 5.34 | 32 | 139.74 | 138.0 | -. 78 | -. 86 |
|  | MAY | 13.39 | 804.8 | - 15.07 | -. 94 | 5.22 | 32 | 138.98 | 138.8 | -. 55 | . 58 |
|  | JUN | 12.97 | 806.7 | -16.23 | -. 90 | 4.89 | 32 | 138.30 | 137.3 | - 49 | -1.08 |
|  | JUt | 12.51 | 807.9 | -16.26 | -. 84 | 3.78 | 33 | 137.65 | 136.4 | -. 47 | -. 66 |
|  | AUG | 12.05 | 809.6 | -15.33 | -. 78 | 2.81 | 34 | 136.94 | 135.2 | - 52 | - 88 |
|  | SEP | 11.77 | 812.0 | -13.66 | -. 31 | 2.02 | 36 | 136.20 | 134.5 | -. 54 | - 52 |
|  | OCT | 11.53 | 814.7 | - 12.10 | -. 63 | . 74 | 3 B | 135.32 | 132.9 | -. 65 | -1. 19 |
|  | NOV | 11.53 | 818.2 | $-11.75$ | -. 56 | -. 86 | 39 | 134.45 | 132.7 | - 64 | - 15 |
|  | DEC | 11.54 | 822.8 | - 12.87 | -. 51 | 2.77 | 40 | 133.69 | 132.6 | -. 56 | - . 08 |
| 1983 | $\checkmark$ AN | 11.72 | 830.1 | - 14.82 | - 43 | 2.75 | 41 | 133.33 | 134.3 | -. 27 | 1.28 |
|  | FE8 | 11.78 | 840.6 | - 15.80 | - 20 | 2. 19 | 41 | 133.14 | 133.5 | - 14 | -. 60 |
|  | Mas | 11.93 | 852.5 | - 15.42 | . 22 | 1.72 | 43 | 133.23 | 134.6 | . 06 | . 82 |
|  | APR | 12.28 | 853.2 | -13.85 | . 72 | 1. 23 | 45 | 133.60 | 135.6 | . 28 | . 74 |
|  | MAY | 12.77 | 872.4 | -11.39 | 1.10 | 1.38 | 47 | 13439 | 137.9 | 59 | 1.70 |
|  | dun | 13.28 | 880.2 | -8.28 | 1.31 | - 52 | 49 | 135.58 | 139.8 | 89 | 1.38 |
|  | dul | 13.48 | 886.3 | -4.57 | 1.38 | 1.36 | 51 | 136.97 | 140.7 | 1.02 | - 64 |
|  | Aug | 13.59 | 890.8 | -. 09 | 1.37 | 3.42 | 53 | 138.24 | 140.4 | . 93 | -. 21 |
|  | SEP | 13.82 | 893.5 |  | 1.31 |  | 55 | 139.59 | 142.7 | . 97 | 1.64 |

[^9]
## Demand and Output
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MET MATIOMAL IKCOME AND gRDSS NATIOMAL PRODUCT
Mlllions of dollars
SEASOHALLY AOJUSTEO AT ANNUAL RATES


SOURCE: RITIONAL IHCOME ANO EXPENDITURE ACCOUNTS. CAYALDEUE 13-001. STATISTICS CANADA.

NET NATIONAL INCOME AND GROSS NATIONAL PRDDUCT
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY AOJUSTEO FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORPO } \\ & \text { RATION } \\ & \text { PROFIIS } \\ & \text { BEFORE } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIVIDENDS } \\ & \text { PAID TO } \\ & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { RESIDENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TNTEREST } \\ & \text { EMISC. } \\ & \text { INVEST- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FARM } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MONFAMM } \\ & \text { UNINCOR } \\ & \text { PORATED } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | INVENTORY VALUATIOM ADJUSTMENT (1) | NET MATIONAL INCOME AT FACTOR COST | $\begin{gathered} \text { TNDIREET } \\ \text { TAXES } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { SUBSIDIES } \end{gathered}$ | GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AT MARKET PRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.3 | 22.8 | 35.7 | 23.4 | 29.2 | 12.1 | -1215 | 11.7 | 6.9 | 10.5 |
| 1979 |  | 12.8 | 32.2 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 6.9 | 8.7 | -2490 | 14.7 | 8. 5 | 13.8 |
| 1980 |  | 13.3 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 331 | 13.2 | 4. 3 | 12.2 |
| 1981 |  | 15.4 | -11.4 | 16.7 | 22.9 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 101 | 12.1 | 31.1 | 14.3 |
| 1982 |  | 7.4 | -36.1 | -10.2 | 6.7 | -1.4 | 16.5 | 3043 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 5.2 |
| 1981 | 111 | 3.0 | -13.7 | 42. 1 | 10.2 | $-24.4$ | 9 | 2152 | 1.2 | 7. | 1.8 |
|  | IV | 2.7 | -12.0 | -30. 9 | 1.3 | -7.7 | 3.4 | 1328 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | I | 1.8 | -21.7 | 7.5 | 5 | 24.3 | 2.2 | 184 | - 4 | 2.4 | . 3 |
|  | 11 | 6 | -E. 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 5.3 | 6.6 | -420 | . 3 | -3.1 | 5 |
|  | 111 | . | -1.4 | -14.2 | 0.7 | -12.2 | 7.8 | 1404 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
|  | IV | 1.3 | 15. 1 | B. 9 | -17.6 | -2.1 | 1.6 | 1888 | 7 | 1.5 | 9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 4 | 23.5 | -7. 1 | 17.5 | 28.4 | 1.4 | 272 | 4. 6 | $-1.8$ | 3.5 |
|  | 11 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 4.0 | -. 9 | -4.0 | 3.3 | -2168 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.5 |

SOURCE: HATYONAL TNCOME ANO EXPERDITURE ACCOUNTS. CATALOGUE 13-001. STGYSTTCE CANDDA.
(1) DIFERENCE FRDM PRECEOING PERIOD, ANNUAL RATES.

|  | PERSONAL <br> EXPENDI- <br> TURE | GOVERNMENT <br> EXPENOI TURE | BUSINESS FIXEO INVESTMENT |  |  | INVEMTORY INVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | GROSSNATIDNALEXPENODTUREAT MARKETPRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTIDN } \end{aligned}$ | NON- RESIOENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | MACHINERY aND EQUIPMENT | BUSINESS <br> NON-FARM | $\begin{gathered} \text { FARM } \\ \text { AND GICC } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 136532 | 47772 | 13744 | 14590 | 17008 | -104 | 436 | 63307 | -68274 | 232211 |
| 1979 | 152088 | 52284 | 14411 | 18127 | 20986 | 3693 | 127 | 77532 | -83038 | 264275 |
| 1980 | 170236 | 59595 | 14284 | 22483 | 24152 | -898 | -461 | 91391 | -93716 | 295555 |
| 1981 | 193477 | 68405 | 16432 | 27195 | 28874 | 899 | 621 | 100628 | - 107946 | 339055 |
| 1982 | 209801 | 77193 | 12999 | 27615 | 26441 | -10258 | 437 | 101438 | -99863 | 356600 |
| 1981111 | 196036 | 70184 | 16544 | 27388 | 28924 | 2576 | 1464 | 100368 | - 112560 | 342536 |
| IV | 199452 | 72228 | 14668 | 29204 | 29932 | - 1308 | -232 | 102524 | -106972 | 350664 |
| 1982 I | 201972 | 73736 | 14056 | 29258 | 28524 | -5440 | 352 | 98884 | -100868 | 351744 |
| 11 | 207688 | 75940 | 12780 | 28036 | 27404 | -11336 | 396 | 103292 | - 101088 | 353376 |
| 111 | 212588 | 78144 | 11884 | 26308 | 24920 | -9012 | 616 | 105455 | -102324 | 359112 |
| IV | 216956 | 80952 | 13276 | 26848 | 24916 | - 15244 | 384 | 98120 | -95172 | 362168 |
| 19831 | 221104 | 80372 | 14652 | 25760 | 24608 | -3204 | 748 | 99548 | -99468 | 374920 |
| 11 | 226732 | 82204 | 18200 | 25316 | 25248 | -8120 | 952 | 106348 | -102888 | 384372 |
| SOURCE: NATTOMAL INCOME LAD EXPENDTTURE ACCOUNTS, CATALOEUE TB-OD1, STATISTICS CANADA. <br> (1) GICC - GRAIN IN COMMERCIAL CHARNELS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEP 8. |  |  |  |  | TA日LE 19 |  |  |  |  | 3: 16 PM |

GROSS NATIONAL EXPENDITURE
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALIY ADNUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | BUSINESS FTXEO TNIESTMENT |  |  |  |  | INVENTORY XNVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | CROSSMATIONALEXPENDITUREAT MARKETPRJEES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PERSONAL EXPEND1: TURE | GDVERNMENT EXPENDI TURE | RESIOENTIAL CONSTRUCTIDN | NON- RESIDENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHIMERY } \\ & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \end{aligned}$ | BUSINESS NON-FARM (I) | FARM <br> AND GICC <br> (1) (2) |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 10.5 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 12.4 | -9 90 | 399 | 19.9 | 18.5 | 10.5 |
| 1979 |  | 11.4 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 3797 | -309 | 22.5 | 21.5 | 13.8 |
| 1980 |  | 11.9 | 14.0 | -. 9 | 24.0 | 15.1 | -4591 | -588 | 17.9 | 12.9 | 12.2 |
| 1981 |  | 13.7 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 1797 | 1082 | 10.1 | 15.2 | 14.3 |
| 1982 |  | 8.4 | 12.8 | -20.9 | 1. 5 | -8.4 | -11157 | -184 | 8 | -7.5 | 5.2 |
| 1981 | 111 | 1.9 | 5.4 | -8. 1 | 3.1 | -1.6 | 2352 | 792 | -1.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
|  | IV | 1.7 | 2.3 | -11.3 | 6.6 | 3.5 | - 3884 | - 1696 | 2.1 | -5.0 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | I | 1.3 | 2.1 | -4. 2 | . 2 | -4.7 | -4132 | 584 | $-3.6$ | $-5.7$ | . 3 |
|  | [] | 2.8 | 3.0 | -9. 1 | -4. 2 | -3.9 | -5896 | 44 | 4.5 | . 2 | 5 |
|  | 111 | 2.4 | 2.9 | -9.0 | -6.2 | -9. 1 | 2324 | 220 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
|  | IV | 2.1 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 2.1 | . 0 | -6232 | -232 | -7.0 | -7.0 | . 9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.9 | -. 7 | 10.4 | -4.1 | -1.2 | 12040 | 364 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
|  | 11 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 24.2 | -1. 9 | 2.6 | -4916 | 204 | 6. 8 | 3.4 | 2.5 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENDTYURE ACCOUNTS. EATALOGUE T3-001. STATISTICS CANADA.
(1) DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEDING PERIOD, ANNUAL RATES
(2) GICL - GRAIN IN COMMERCIAL CHANAELS

> GROSS NATIONAL EXPENDITURE
> MILLIONS OF 1991 DOLLARS
> SEASONALLY ADJUSTEQ AT AMNUAL RATES

|  |  |  | BUSTNESS TIXED INVESTMEA? |  |  | INVENTORY INVESTMENT |  | EXPORTS | \MPORTS | GROSSMATIONALEXPENOTTURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONAL EXPEND! TURE | GOVERMMENT EXPENOTTUAE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RE SIDENT:AL } \\ & \text { CDNST. } \\ & \text { RUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | MON- RESIOENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | MACHI MERY ANO EOUI PMENT | BUSIMESS <br> NDN - F ARM | $\begin{gathered} \text { FARH } \\ \text { AND GiCC } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 99038 | 22671 | 6140 | 8075 | B5 19 | -3 | 104 | 31209 | -34291 | 126347 |
| 1979 | 80607 | 22750 | 5977 | 9156 | 10671 | 1771 | - 32 | 32141 | - 36652 | 130362 |
| 1980 | 81431 | 22932 | 5631 | 10161 | 11133 | -536 | -154 | 32753 | - 35915 | 131675 |
| 1981 | 82961 | 23053 | 5920 | 10994 | 11926 | 584 | 124 | 33685 | - 37286 | 136114 |
| 1582 | 81206 | 23175 | 4552 | 10207 | 10153 | -3364 | 100 | 33152 | - 33072 | 130059 |
| 1981 111 | 82908 | 23040 | 5896 | 10916 | 11792 | 1328 | 380 | 33732 | - 38232 | 135292 |
| IV | 82516 | 23476 | 5188 | 11248 | 11900 | -476 | 16 | 33452 | -36416 | 135164 |
| 1982 ) | $81: 80$ | 23012 | 4908 | 11076 | 11160 | -2168 | 76 | 32484 | -33716 | 132248 |
| 11 | 81192 | 23192 | 4436 | 10424 | 10524 | - 3536 | -28 | 34112 | - 33752 | 130340 |
| 111 | 81004 | 23156 | 4188 | 9584 | 9508 | - 3376 | 192 | 34596 | -33360 | 129304 |
| IV | \% 1448 | 23340 | 4575 | 9744 | 9420 | -4376 | 180 | 31416 | -31460 | 128384 |
| $18831$ | 82148 | 23040 | 5136 | 9280 | 9260 | -1524 | 236 | 32720 | - 33416 | 130756 |
| is | 83328 | 22944 | 64.64 | 8984 | 944* | -1815 | 276 | 34884 | - 35080 | 133152 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEP 8, |  |  |  |  | TABLE 21 |  |  |  |  | 3: 15 PM |

GROSS WATIDNAL EXPEMOITURE IN 1971 DOLIARS PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASONALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | BUSINESS FIXED INVESTMENT |  |  | TNVENTORY INVESTMENT |  | EXPORT\$ | IMPORTS | GROSSNATIDNALEXPENOITURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERSONAL EXPEND:TURE | GOVERNMENT EXPENOI TURE | HESIOENT:AL CONST. <br> RuCTION | NON- RESIDENTJAL CONST- RUCTION | MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT | BUSINESS NON = FARM <br> (I) | FARM AND GICC (1) (2) |  |  |  |


| 1978 |  | 2.6 | 1.7 | $-1.7$ | 1.3 | . 8 | - 453 | 215 | 10.5 | 4. 5 | 3.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1375 |  | 2.0 | . 3 | $-2.7$ | 13.4 | 12.1 | 1774 | - 136 | 3.0 | 6. 9 | 3.2 |
| 1980 |  | 1.0 | . 8 | $-5.8$ | 11.0 | 4.3 | -2307 | -122 | 1.9 | -2.0 | 1.0 |
| 1981 |  | 1.9 | . 5 | 5.1 | 8. 2 | 7.1 | 1120 | 278 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 1982 |  | $-2.1$ | 5 | -23.1 | $-7.2$ | -14.9 | -3948 | -24 | $-1.6$ | -11.3 | - 4.4 |
| 1981 | 111 | $-.8$ | 1. 6 | -8.8 | $-3$ | - 4. 1 | 860 | 380 | $-2.4$ | - | -. 7 |
|  | IV | -. 5 | 1.9 | - 12.0 | 3.0 | . 9 | - 1804 | - 364 | -. 8 | $-4.7$ | -. 8 |
| 1882 | 1 | -1. 5 | $-2.0$ | $-5.4$ | -1.5 | -6.2 | - 1692 | 60 | -2.9 | -7.4 | -2.2 |
|  | 11 | . 0 | . 8 | -9.6 | $-5.9$ | -5.? | - 1388 | - 104 | 5.0 | . 1 | -1.4 |
|  | 111 | -. 2 | -. 2 | $-5.5$ | -8.1 | -9.? | 150 | 220 | 1.4 | -1.2 | - . 8 |
|  | IV | . 5 | . 8 | 11.7 | 1.7 | -. 9 | - 1000 | -32 | -9.2 | -5.7 | -. 7 |
| 1983 | ! | 9 | -1.3 | 9.8 | -4.8 | -1.7 | 2852 | 76 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 1. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | II | 1.4 | -. 4 | 25.9 | $-3.2$ | 2.0 | -292 | 40 | 6. 6 | 5.0 | 1,8 |

[^10]|  |  | TOTAL | TOTAL EXCLUDIMG AGRICULTURE | INOUSTRIAL PROOLCTBAN | $\begin{gathered} \text { G0005 } \\ \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{gathered}$ | GDODS IMDUSTRIES EXCLUDING AGRICULTURE | SERVICES INDUSTRES | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIES | COMMEREIAL I HOUSTRIES EXCIUDING AGRICUI TURE | MON COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| 1979 |  | 4.0 | 4.4 | E. 3 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 5.3 | -. 1 |
| 1980 |  | 1.3 | 11 | -1.5 | -. 7 | -1.3 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 1981 |  | 2.9 | 2.7 | . 9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| 1982 |  | -4.7 | -4.8 | - 10.7 | -9.9 | -10.9 | -1.5 | -5.9 | -6. 1 | 2.1 |
| 1981 | 111 | -1.2 | -1.2 | -2.7 | -2.3 | -2. 4 | -. 5 | -1.5 | - 1.6 | 8 |
|  | IV | -. 8 | -. 9 | -3.2 | -2.6 | -2.9 | . 3 | $-1.0$ | -1. 1 | 5 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1. 6 | -1.7 | -3.5 | -3.2 | -3.6 | -. 7 | $-2.0$ | -2. 1 | 7 |
|  | 11 | -1. 7 | -1.7 | -3.2 | -3.4 | -3.6 | -. 8 | -2.2 | -2.2 | 5 |
|  | 111 | -1.4 | -1.5 | -2.5 | -2.7 | -3.2 | -. 6 | -1.7 | -1.8 | 2 |
|  | IV | -. 9 | $-1.0$ | -3. 1 | -2.0 | -2.2 | -. 4 | -1.2 | -1. 3 | . 5 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 | . 1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | -. 1 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3. 3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| 1982 | AUG | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | . 3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1 |
|  | SEP | -. 6 | -. 5 | -2.7 | -1. 6 | -1.7 | . 1 | -. 6 | 0.7 | . 3 |
|  | OCT | -. 9 | - 9.0 | -2.8 | -2.0 | -2.2 | -. 5 | -1.3 | -9.3 | . 2 |
|  | MOV | . 1 | . 2 | . 4 | . 3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 3 | . 3 | -. 3 |
|  | DEC | -. 1 | -. 2 | - 5 | 3 | . 3 | -. 4 | -. 4 | -. 4 | . 6 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.7 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 | . 3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | - 2 |
|  | FE8 | -. 5 | $=.7$ | - 1 | - 8 | -. 9 | -. 6 | -. 6 | -. 6 | -1.3 |
|  | MAR | . 9 | 1.0 | . 5 | 3 | . 6 | 1.3 | . 7 | . 8 | 2.1 |
|  | APR | . 4 | . 4 | 1.1 | 8 | 1.0 | , 1 | . 4 | .4 | . 2 |
|  | May | . 8 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | . 3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 1 |
|  | JUN | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | -. 4 |
|  | dUL | . 1 | . 2 | . 9 | 4 | . 5 | . 0 | . 2 | . 3 | -. 2 |
|  | aug | -. 1 | -. 1 | . 4 | - 4 | - . 4 | 1 | -. 2 | -. 2 | . 4 |

SOURCE: GROSS OOMESTIE DROUUCY BY TNDISYRY CATALOGUE ET-005. STATISTICS CANAOA

|  |  | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISHINE } \\ & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { TRAPPING } \end{aligned}$ | minina | MANUFACTORTNG |  |  | CONST RUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  | DURABLE | MONOURABLE |  |
| 1978 |  |  | -1.4 | 7.0 | 18.1 | -10.1 | 4.9 | 4. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | 5.2 | -2.4 |
| 1979 |  | - 10.0 | 1.3 | -3. 1 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 3.4 |
| 1980 |  | 7.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | -2.9 | -5.5 | . 1 | -. 5 |
| 1981 |  | 8.1 | -8. 6 | 3.0 | -5. 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 5.8 |
| 1982 |  | 2.8 | -18.4 | -6.0 | -12.5 | $-12.1$ | -15.5 | -8.4 | - 10.9 |
| 1981 | 111 | 0.8 | -11.9 | 23.8 | $-2.1$ | $-3.3$ | -5. 0 | -1.5 | -8 -8.8 |
|  | IV | 1.4 | 15.0 | -17.8 | 1.6 | -4.2 | - 5.0 | -2.4 | -2.9 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.2 | -8.7 | -11. 5 | -1.7 | -4.? | -5.2 | -4. 1 | -3.1 |
|  | 11 | -1.4 | -12.9 | 14.9 | -8.8 | -2.5 | -2. 4 | -2.5 | -4.7 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | -11.7 | 13.5 | -11.1 | -1.5 | -2.5 | - 5 | -5.? |
|  | IV | . 1 | 12.4 | 8.4 | 5.5 | -4.5 | -8.5 | $-.7$ | E |
| 1983 | ! | . 1 | 15.8 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 1.6 |
|  | II | - 1.9 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| 1882 | AUG | 1.5 | -14.4 | 2.0 | . 2 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 1.7 | -3.0 |
|  | SEP | . 2 | 22.9 | 11.1 | 1.0 | -3.5 | -6.5 | - 6 | . 1 |
|  | OCT | . 2 | 4.0 | -15.4 | 1.7 | -3.3 | -5.4 | - 1.5 | . 6 |
|  | NOV | $-1.1$ | 1.6 | 17.1 | 4.3 | -. 3 | -2.0 | 1.2 | -. 5 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -4. 3 | 22.9 | . 2 | -. 3 | . 0 | -. 6 | 4.1 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.2 | 24.9 | -6. 1 | - 3 | 6.8 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
|  | FEB | . 0 | -11.6 | -5.8 | $\therefore 2$ | -. 3 | - 1.7 | 1.1 | -3.3 |
|  | MAR | -2. 1 | 9.0 | -6. 1 | 2.0 | . 2 | . 9 | -. 5 | . 2 |
|  | APR | -1.2 | . 1 | -3.8 | - 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . 5 |
|  | May | . 4 | 3.1 | 13.0 | 3.7 | . 6 | 1.8 | - 5 | 5.2 |
|  | ЈU* | 1.6 | 4.2 | 10.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 |
|  | JUL | -1.2 | 7.1 | -17.5 | $\bigcirc 8$ | 1.3 | 1. 5 | 1.0 | -1.5 |
|  | AUG | -. 5 | 14.2 | -8. 5 | 1.4 | . 2 | 1.1 | -. 6 | -5.2 |

SOURCE: GROSS bOMESTTC PRODUCY BY TRDUSTRY, CRYLDEUUE E1-005, STATISTICS CANADA

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TRANSPORTATIDN COMMINTCATION AND } \\ \text { OTHER UTILITIES } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | IRADE |  |  |  COMMUNITY <br> FINANCE BUSJNESS <br> IWSURANEE PERSONAL <br> REAL ESTATE SERVICES |  | PuBlic <br> ADMINIS. <br> TRATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T0TAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPOR- } \\ & \text { TAYIDN } \end{aligned}$ | UTILITIES | T0TAL | MMOLESALE | RETAJL |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| 1979 |  | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 6. 2 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 3.0 | -. 7 |
| 1980 |  | 3.2 | 1.0 | 3.7 | . 1 | . 5 | -. 2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| 1981 |  | 2.8 | 3 | 1.9 | 9 | . 8 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
| 1982 |  | -3.1 | -8.5 | -. 1 | -6.7 | -11.3 | $-3.4$ | . 6 | -. 1 | 3. 3 |
| 1981 | [1] | -1.3 | -3.4 | 1.4 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -. 8 | . 9 | 1.4 |
|  | IV | 1.8 | 1.1 | . 1 | -2. 1 | $-3.6$ | -1.0 | . 8 | . 0 | . 9 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1.5 | -4.3 | 2.2 | -1.8 | -2.9 | -1.0 | 4 | -. 3 | 1.0 |
|  | 11 | -1.9 | -2.7 | -3.1 | -2. 1 | -4. 7 | -. 2 | -. 9 | -. 1 | 8 |
|  | 111 | -1.3 | $-1.5$ | -1.9 | -2.3 | -4.2 | -1.0 | . 6 | -. 5 | 4 |
|  | IV | $-2.0$ | -3.6 | -. 8 | . 6 | 1.0 | . 3 | . 6 | -. 7 | 3 |
| 1983 | I | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | -1.2 | -. 5 | 6 |
|  | 11 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.5 | . 3 | 1.4 | 4 |
| 1982 | AUG | 1.2 | . 3 | 4.0 | . 4 | . 1 | 6 | 1.1 | -. 1 | -. 1 |
|  | SEP | . 6 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 2 | 1.3 | -. 5 | - 2 | - 2 | 4 |
|  | OCT | $=2.8$ | -4.3 | -3.2 | . 5 | 2.2 | $-.7$ | . 2 | $-.5$ | . 1 |
|  | NOV | . 6 | . 0 | 2.1 | $-.1$ | -2.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | -. 2 | - 2 |
|  | DEC | -. 9 | -. 8 | -2.4 | -. 4 | -1.8 | . 5 | -1.8 | . 1 | . 4 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | . 8 | 3.5 | -. 8 | . 4 | $-.4$ | - 1 |
|  | FE8 | $=.2$ | -1.2 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 4 | . 0 | -1.1 | -1.1 | . 4 |
|  | MAR | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.3 | . 1 | 3.9 | . 0 | 1.8 | . 1 |
|  | APA | . 9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | -1.2 | 3.4 | -4.2 | . 5 | . 3 | . 2 |
|  | MAY | . 9 | . 0 | 2.1 | + 4 | -1.5 | 1. 8 | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 |
|  | JUN | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 2.4 | E. 3 | . 3 | . 1 | -. 5 |
|  | , UL | $\therefore .4$ | 0.9 | . 3 | . 2 | 5.2 | $-3.2$ | . 2 | . 3 | -. 4 |
|  | AUG | 2.1 | 3.2 | 7 | $-2.7$ | -7. 1 | . 5 | . 5 | . 1 | . 4 |

SOUREE: GROSS GOMESTIC PROUUCT EY JNOUSTRY, CATALOGUE E1-OOF, STATISTTES CANADA.

REAL MANUFACTURING SHIPMEHTS, ORDERS. AND UNFILLED ORDERS MILIIONS DF 1971 DOLLARS. SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


REAL MAMUFACTURIMG SHIPMENTS, OROERS, ANO UNFIKLED DRDERS
PERCEMTAGE CHBHES OF SEASONALKY ADJUSTEO 1971 OOLLAR VALUES

|  |  | SHIPMEMT 5 |  |  | NEW OROERS |  |  | UNFILLED DRDERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fital | DUhat | Hondiukasle | TOTAL | DURABEt | NONDUKABEE | TOYAL | DURABEE | NONDUKAELE |
| 1978 |  | 9.1 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| 1979 |  | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3. 3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 11.9 | -8.0 |
| 1980 |  | -3.3 | -4. 5 | -2.0 | -5. 1 | -8.3 | -1.8 | -5.9 | -6. 2 | -2.9 |
| 1981 |  | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | -8.9 | -8.4 | -11.0 |
| $198 \%$ |  | -9.9 | -12.6 | -7.1 | -10.9 | -14.7 | - 7.2 | - 17.2 | -17.7 | -13.4 |
| 1881 | 111 | -2.8 | -4.0 | -1.8 | -3. 1 | -4.3 | -1.9 | -1.3 | -1.1 | -3.2 |
|  | IV | -4.3 | -6.7 | -2. 0 | -5. 2 | -10.5 | -2.1 | $-5.3$ | -5.5 | -3.6 |
| 1982 | 1 | $-3.2$ | -2.3 | -4.0 | -3.9 | -3. 6 | -4. 2 | -7.0 | -7. 1 | - 5.1 |
|  | 11 | -2.4 | -3.0 | -1.9 | -. 3 | 1.0 | -1.4 | -2.7 | -2.9 | -1.3 |
|  | 111 | 3 | . 2 | . 3 | -1.7 | -3.8 | . 3 | -7.1 | -7.7 | -1.7 |
|  | Iv | -6. 4 | -12. 2 | -1.0 | -4.0 | -7. 1 | -1.3 | -1.5 | -1.1 | -5.1 |
| 1983 | 1 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 3.2 | -. 8 | -1.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 11 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 4.2 | E. 3 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 2 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | AUG | 5.? | 8.8 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 3.0 | -3.0 | -3.2 | -1.2 |
|  | SEP | $-5.7$ | -8.2 | -3.2 | $-5.0$ | $-7.7$ | -2.5 | -2.4 | -2.8 | 1.3 |
|  | OCT | -4.9 | -9.9 | - 4 | -4.0 | -8.1 | -. 6 | -1.6 | -1.9 | . 5 |
|  | NOY | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 16.6 | . 0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | -4. 1 |
|  | DEE | - .5 | -. 3 | $=.6$ | -7.9 | -16.5 | . 1 | -2.8 | -2.9 | $-1.6$ |
| 1883 | $\checkmark A H$ | 6.5 | 12.3 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 20.5 | 2.5 | -. 2 | -. 4 | 1.6 |
|  | FEB | -1.1 | -3.6 | 1.1 | -. 6 | -2.3 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.1 |
|  | MAR | -. 2 | -. 3 | $-2$ | -1.4 | -2.9 | . 0 | -. 7 | -1.0 | 1.7 |
|  | APR | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 1.5 | -. 1 | -. 3 | 1.6 |
|  | MAY | 2.0 | 3.5 | . 7 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 1 | . 7 | . 8 | -. 7 |
|  | JUN | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 3 | -1.2 | 1.7 | -. 2 | -. 3 | 2 |
|  | dul | . 8 | 1. 5 | $=.4$ | 1.4 | 3.4 | - 3 | . 5 | . 5 | 5 |
|  | AUG | . 4 | -. 3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 3. 6 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2. 3 | 1.2 |

 5IC. STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END OF THE PERIOD 1971 DOLLAR VALUES GOE OBTAINED BY DEPLATING AT IHE TMO DIGIT IMDUSTRY LEVEL BY THE APPROPRIATE INOUSTRY SELIING PRICE INDEXES (SEE TECHNICAL NDTE, MARCH 19E2).


|  |  | RAW MATERIALS |  |  | GOODS IN PROCESS |  |  | ETNISHEDE GDODS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | NONDURAEIE | POtAL | DURA的! | NONDURAB! | TOTAL | DURABL | NOHIURABLE |
| 1978 |  | 4338 | 2246 | 2092 | 2502 | 1615 | 887 | 4554 | 2080 | 2473 |
| 9979 |  | 4672 | 2467 | 2205 | 2739 | 1865 | 874 | 4861 | 2312 | 2549 |
| 9980 |  | 4604 | 2438 | 2165 | 2723 | 1845 | 877 | 4838 | 2296 | 2541 |
| 1981 |  | 4908 | 2744 | 2164 | 2874 | 1776 | 898 | 5149 | 2427 | 2723 |
| 1982 |  | 4114 | 2159 | 1954 | 2387 | 1552 | 835 | 4738 | 2172 | 2566 |
| 1989 | 111 | 4883 | 2717 | 2167 | 2736 | 1829 | 907 | 5045 | 2350 | 2695 |
|  | IV | 4908 | 2744 | 2164 | 2674 | 1776 | 898 | 5149 | 2427 | 2723 |
| 1982 | 1 | 4842 | 2572 | 2170 | 2701 | 1798 | 903 | 5175 | 2426 | 2748 |
|  | 11 | 4603 | 2549 | 2054 | 2531 | 1754 | 877 | 5088 | 2388 | 2700 |
|  | 111 | 4333 | 2324 | 2009 | 2560 | 1695 | 865 | 4961 | 2320 | 2641 |
|  | IV | 4114 | 2159 | 1954 | 2387 | 1552 | 835 | 4738 | 2172 | 2565 |
| 1983 | 1 | 4079 | 2111 | 1966 | 2335 | 1496 | 839 | 4601 | 2043 | 2559 |
|  | 11 | 4025 | 2093 | 1932 | 2279 | 1490 | 789 | 4488 | 1983 | 2466 |
| 1982 | AUG | 4402 | 2390 | 2012 | 2580 | 1707 | 873 | 5004 | 2361 | 2643 |
|  | SEP | 4333 | 2324 | 2009 | 2560 | 1695 | 865 | 4961 | 2320 | 2641 |
|  | DCT | 4283 | 2279 | 2004 | 2519 | 1863 | 856 | 4915 | 2282 | 2534 |
|  | NOY | 4221 | 2220 | 2001 | 2451 | 1604 | 847 | 4827 | 2204 | 2624 |
|  | OEt | 4114 | 2159 | 1954 | 2387 | $15 \$ 2$ | 835 | 4738 | 2172 | 2566 |
| 1983 | JAN | 4145 | 2148 | 1997 | 2361 | 1522 | 839 | 4716 | 2094 | 2622 |
|  | FEB | 4123 | 2147 | 1976 | 2322 | 1480 | 842 | 4718 | 2086 | 2632 |
|  | MAR | 4077 | 21:1 | 1966 | 2335 | 1495 | 839 | 4601 | 2043 | 2559 |
|  | APR | 4077 | 2107 | 1970 | 2350 | 1530 | 820 | 4559 | 2031 | 2528 |
|  | MAY | 4038 | 2080 | 1957 | 2283 | 1478 | 805 | 4504 | 1997 | 2507 |
|  | JUN | 4025 | 2093 | 1932 | 2279 | 1490 | 789 | 4448 | 1983 | 2466 |
|  | JUL | 4038 | 2082 | 1955 | 2313 | 15.31 | 782 | 4469 | 1981 | 2488 |
|  | AUG | 4023 | 2081 | 1942 | 2309 | 1512 | 797 | 4492 | 2004 | 2489 |

SOUREE TMVENTORTES, SHTPMENTS ANU ORDERS TN MANUFACTURTNG TNDUSTRIES, CATALOGUE 3T-6O1, STATISTICS GANADA, BASED ON TG7O
SIC. STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END DF THE PERIDD, 1971 DOLLAR VALUES ARE ORTAINED GY DEFLATING AT THE TMO DIGIT IMDUSTRY LEVEL BY THE APPROPRIATE IMDUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES

REAL MAMUFACTURING INYENTDRY DMNED BY STAGE DF FABRICATIDN
Changes of seasonally adjusted figures in millions of 1979 dollars

|  |  | RAM MATERIALS |  |  | G0005 TN BROCESS |  |  | FIN! SHED 60005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | DURABLE | MONOUR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [EL | PTYAL | bURABE E | NONDURA8LE | TGTAL | DURABL E | NONOURABLE |
| 1978 |  | 120 | 141 | -29 | 46 | 33 | 13 | -232 | -72 | - 960 |
| 1979 |  | 334 | 221 | 114 | 237 | 250 | -13 | 307 | 232 | 75 |
| 1980 |  | -69 | -29 | -40 | -16 | -19 | 3 | -23 | -16 | -7 |
| 1981 |  | 305 | 306 | - 1 | -49 | -70 | 21 | 312 | 130 | 181 |
| 1982 |  | -795 | $-585$ | -209 | -287 | -224 | -63 | -411 | -255 | -157 |
| 1981 | 111 | 106 | 98 | 9 | -46 | -5 ! | 6 | 85 | 26 | 61 |
|  | IV | 25 | 28 | -3 | -62 | -53 | -9 | 104 | 76 | 28 |
| 1982 | 1 | -86 | -73 | 5 | 27 | 22 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
|  | 11 | -239 | -123 | - 116 | -69 | -44 | -25 | -87 | -39 | -48 |
|  | 111 | - 279 | -225 | -45 | -71 | -59 | -13 | -127 | -68 | -59 |
|  | IV | -219 | -165 | -54 | $-173$ | -143 | -30 | -223 | - 148 | -75 |
| 1983 | 1 | -37 | -48 | 11 | -52 | -56 | 4 | -137 | -129 | -9 |
|  | II | -51 | -18 | $-33$ | -55 | -6 | -50 | -153 | -50 | -93 |
| 1982 | AUG | -111 | -87 | -24 | -78 | -75 | -2 | -4 4 | -13 | -31 |
|  | SEP | -69 | -66 | -4 | -20 | -12 | -8 | -43 | -41 | -2 |
|  | OCI | -50 | -45 | -4 | -41 | -33 | -8 | -45 | -38 | -7 |
|  | NOY | -62 | -59 | -3 | - 58 | -58 | $-10$ | -89 | -78 | -11 |
|  | DEC | - 108 | - 51 | -47 | - 54 | -52 | - 12 | -89 | -32 | -58 |
| 1983 | JAN | 32 | -11 | 43 | - 26 | - 30 | 5 | -22 | -78 | 56 |
|  | FEB | -22 | -1 | -21 | -39 | -42 | 2 | 3 | -8 | 10 |
|  | MAR | -47 | -36 | - 10 | 13 | 16 | $-3$ | -117 | -43 | -73 |
|  | APR | 0 | -4 | 4 | 16 | 34 | -19 | -43 | - 11 | -31 |
|  | may | - 39 | -27 | -12 | -67 | -52 | -15 | -55 | -34 | -21 |
|  | JUN | $-12$ | 12 | -25 | -4 | 12 | -18 | -56 | -15 | -4i |
|  | $\checkmark$ UL | 12 | - 11 | 23 | 34 | 41 | -7 | 21 | -1 | 22 |
|  | AUG | -14 | -1 | $-13$ | -4 | -20 | 16 | 23 | 22 | 1 |

[^11]CAPACITY UTILIZATION RATES IN MANUFACTURING
SEASOHALLY AOJUSTED

|  |  | MANUFACTURIMG |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAPER AND } \\ & \text { AILIED } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | PRIMARY METALS | METAL <br> fabricating | MACHINERY | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT | ELECTRICAL PRDDUCTS | CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL PRODUCTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | fotal |  | DURAELE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 83.0 | B5. 9 | 80.3 | 87.8 | 75.0 | 80.3 | 84.0 | 88.5 | 76.9 | 73.1 |
| 1979 |  | 85.7 | 88. 3 | 83.2 | 88.4 | 76.2 | 83. 6 | 94.3 | 88. 1 | 84.5 | 75.6 |
| 1980 |  | 80.7 | 86.2 | 75.4 | 88.2 | 74.6 | 79.5 | 94.5 | 66.5 | 81.9 | 72.2 |
| 1981 |  | 78.6 | 84.4 | 72.9 | 83.2 | 72.2 | 77.5 | 90.5 | 61.0 | 83.9 | 69.8 |
| 1982 |  | 66.9 | 74.9 | 59.2 | 71.9 | 56.3 | 62.7 | 69.1 | 52.0 | 70.7 | 59.0 |
| 1981 | III | 78.2 | B4. 1 | 72.5 | 79.8 | 33.0 | 79.1 | 89.9 | 51.0 | 84.9 | 69.7 |
|  | IV | 74.2 | 81.4 | 67.2 | 81.3 | 62.5 | 72.7 | 86.8 | 54.6 | 81.5 | 65.9 |
| 1982 | I | 70.1 | 77.4 | 63.0 | 76.0 | 62.4 | 70.6 | 79.4 | 52.4 | 73.9 | 62.0 |
|  | II | 67.8 | 74.9 | 60.8 | 72.0 | 57.2 | 53.5 | 72.4 | 55.4 | 72.3 | 59.5 |
|  | III | 65.4 | 74.2 | 58.9 | 70.7 | 54.7 | 60.0 | E4.5 | 55.8 | 71.0 | 58.0 |
|  | IV | 63.5 | 73.3 | 54.0 | 69.0 | 51.1 | 56.7 | 60.2 | 44.3 | 65.7 | 56.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | 65.7 | 75.3 | 58.3 | 71.3 | 53.1 | 58.9 | 53.5 | 56.4 | 68.3 | 59.5 |
|  | 11 | 68. 1 | 75.9 | 60.4 | 74.3 | 61.0 | 60.6 | 53.7 | 56.4 | 67.8 | 61.6 |

SOUACE: CAFACITY UTILI2ATION RATES CATALOGUE 31-003, STATISTIES CANADA

NOV 7. 1983
TABLE 3
2:39 PM

VALUE OF BUILOING PERMITS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASOHALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | TOTAL | KOMRESTDENT IAL |  |  |  | RESIDENTIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOFAL TTR } \\ & 55 \\ & \text { MUNICI- } \\ & \text { PALITIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | IMDUSTRIAL | COMMEACIAL | INSTITU- TIONAL AND GOVERNMENT |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 5.8 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 28.5 | 1.3 | -. 6 | 5.4 |
| 1979 |  | 7.7 | 14.5 | 24.9 | 18.7 | -2.9 | 2.6 | 5.3 |
| 1980 |  | 9.2 | 25.2 | 45.3 | 15.9 | 31.3 | -3.9 | 10.8 |
| 1981 |  | 21.2 | 11.7 | -9.4 | 21.0 | 11.9 | 31.4 | 40.2 |
| 1982 |  | -31.7 | $-25.4$ | $-35.7$ | -33.4 | 5.8 | -37.5 | -31.7 |
| 1981 | 111 | -11.8 | -. 6 | 5.9 | -8.2 | 17.2 | -20.9 | -11.3 |
|  | IV | 10.0 | 15.0 | -8.4 | 22.4 | 17.7 | 5.0 | 46.3 |
| 1982 | I | -24.0 | -15.5 | -10.8 | -14. 1 | -22.2 | -33.5 | -36.4 |
|  | 11 | -22.9 | -25.6 | -32.1 | -33.5 | 2.0 | - 19.0 | -10.1 |
|  | [I] | . 2 | -3.6 | - 4 | -10.1 | 6.6 | 5.1 | -10.2 |
|  | Iv | 18.8 | -13.2 | -9.9 | -37.4 | 22.6 | 56.8 | -4.4 |
| 1983 | J | 15.2 | 6. 4 | 5.6 | 13.6 | . 9 | 20.9 | -6. 3 |
|  | JI | $-7.9$ | $-10.6$ | $-14.7$ | 5.5 | -23.5 | -6. 4 | 18.4 |
| 1982 | AUG |  |  |  | -51.8 | -1.7 | 1.3 | -46.9 |
|  | SEP | 9.4 | 11.8 | -9.2 | 22.7 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 42.5 |
|  | DCT | 14.4 | 6. 3 | 10.1 | -32.0 | 52.8 | 23.0 | 3.1 |
|  | NOV | 5.1 | $-17.5$ | -1. 5 | 14.2 | -40.0 | 25.5 | -5.0 |
|  | DEC | 6.5 | -. 7 | -17.7 | -5.0 | 12.2 | 10.7 | -10.6 |
| 1983 | JAN | 8.8 | 22. 6 | 2.4 | 35.0 | 18.5 | 1.4 | -15.1 |
|  | FEB | $-1.1$ | $-1.5$ | 67.6 | - 36.0 | 12.7 | - 8 | 27.7 |
|  | MAR | 2.1 | -17.0 | -47. 3 | 34.8 | -33.4 | 14,3 | 6.4 |
|  | APR | 8.0 | $-13.8$ | 4.9 | 7.4 | -45.5 | 18.1 | 13.8 |
|  | MAY | -22.2 | 23.6 | 18.3 | 6.2 | 67.8 | -3? ? | 6.2 |
|  | JUN | $-3.1$ | 5.8 | -7.4 | -25.5 | 61.4 | -9.8 | -32.2 |
|  | JUL | 5.5 | -13.2 | -9.8 | 31.4 | -44.4 | 20.3 | -7. 7 |
|  | AUG | . 1 | 26.0 | 24.2 | 19.5 | 36.6 | -14.7 | -. 4 |

SOURCE: BUTIDTHG PERRITS. CATGLOGUE 64-001. STATISTICS CANADA.

|  |  | UREAN MOUSTMG STARTS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UREAN } \\ & \text { HOUSING } \\ & \text { UHDER } \\ & \text { COHSIR. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { URBAN } \\ \text { HOUSING } \\ \text { COMPLETIONS } \end{gathered}$ | MOKTGAGE LOAN APPROVALS (2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THDUSANDS } \\ & \text { OF } 5 \text { TARTS } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | SINGLES | MULTIPLES |  |  | Total | NHA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONVEN- } \\ & \text { T10MAL } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1998 |  | 183.6 | -7. 5 | -1. 1 | -11.3 | -8.2 | $-3.8$ | 5693 | 2369 | 3324 | 2.6 |
| 1979 |  | 151.4 | -17.5 | -10 | -28.5 | -22.1 | -10.1 | 5667 | 1684 | 3983 | 3.7 |
| 1980 |  | 125.6 | -17. 1 | -15.8 | -18.2 | -24.6 | -19.8 | 4526 | 1453 | 3173 | 8.0 |
| 1981 |  | 143.5 | 14.3 | 6. 4 | 21.9 | -2.9 | -3.3 | 4403 | 1740 | 2663 | 12.0 |
| 1982 |  | 108.2 | $-24.6$ | -38.8 | -12.9 | -3.4 | -18.4 | 3202 | 1547 | 1555 | -. 2 |
| 1981 | IV | 110.3 | -26.9 | -46. 9 | -13,7 | -5.5 | -5.1 | 1755 | 834 | 321 | $-.3$ |
| 1982 | 1 | 140.7 | 27.5 | 3.1 | 37. 6 | 6.7 | -8.4 | 625 | 193 | 432 | . 7 |
|  | 11 | 98.0 | -30.3 | -3.0 | -38.8 | -3.8 | -6.9 | 738 | 397 | 341 | $-1.1$ |
|  | 111 | 81.3 | -17.0 | -3.1 | -23.9 | -11.2 | 7.1 | 615 | 340 | 275 | -1. 8 |
|  | Iv | 112.9 | 38.5 | 98.9 | 7 | -4.4 | -17. 2 | 1224 | 717 | 507 | -1.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | 147.9 | 31.1 | 50.8 | 6.6 | . 0 | 34.6 | 1067 | 421 | 545 | -. 2 |
|  | 11 | 177.0 | 19.9 | 10.3 | 35.6 | 13.4 | -6. 5 | 1387 | 654 | 733 | . 3 |
|  | 111 | 111.0 | -37. 3 | -45.6 | -24. 1 | -1.9 | 20.8 |  |  |  | . 7 |
| 1982 | SEP | 73.0 | -6. 4 | 3.2 | -12.8 | -4.4 | 17.4 | 225 | 131 | 94 | -. 8 |
|  | 0 CT | 94.0 | 28.8 | 46.9 | 14.6 | -. 7 | -35.2 | 287 | 162 | 125 | -. 3 |
|  | NOV | 112.0 | 19.1 | 17.0 | 21.3 | - 1 | 29.7 | 408 | 230 | 176 | -. 4 |
|  | DEC | 132.0 | 17.9 | 54.5 | $-17.5$ | 1.0 | 2.8 | 531 | 325 | 206 | -. 1 |
| 1983 | JAN | 145.0 | 9.8 | 20.0 | -8.5 | $-3$ | 16.5 | 248 | 80 | 168 | -. 1 |
|  | FEB | 142.0 | -2.1 | -10.8 | 18.6 | . 4 | -4.7 | 320 | 138 | 182 | 0 |
|  | MAR | 155.0 | 9.9 | -2.2 | 31.4 | $-2.0$ | 26.4 | 489 | 203 | 295 | 1 |
|  | APR | 144.0 | -7.7 | 9.0 | -29.9 | 4.6 | -27.5 | 382 | 131 | 251 | 2 |
|  | MAY | 231.0 | 60.4 | 33.0 | 117.0 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 475 | 261 | 214 | 1 |
|  | JUN | 156.0 | - 32.5 | -34.1 | -30.4 | 2.2 | 12.9 | 530 | 262 | 268 | 2 |
|  | JUL | 116.0 | -25.6 | -32.9 | -16.9 | -4.4 | 14.3 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | AUG | 104.0 | -10.3 | $-5.3$ | -15.3 | -2.6 | - 25.0 |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | SEP | 113.0 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 16.0 | $-3.4$ | 15.4 |  |  |  | 2 |



|  |  | CUFISENT DOLLAR (1) |  |  |  |  | 1997 DOLIARS (2) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW } \\ & \text { PASSENGER } \\ & \text { CAR SALES } \end{aligned}$ | GURAELE GOODS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMI - } \\ & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { GODOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WON-DURABIE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | WEN PASSENGER CAR SALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OURABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIMT } \\ & \text { OURABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MON-OUR } 48 \mathrm{ELE} \\ & \text { G000s } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 11.1 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 2.7 | 6 | 4.2 | 6.3 | -. 6 |
| 1979 |  | 11.7 | 14.8 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 2. 3 | 2.6 | 9 | 2 |
| 1980 |  | 9. 5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 15.0 | -1. 6 | $-7.3$ | -6. 1 | $-3.7$ | 4.2 |
| 1981 |  | 13.2 | 9.7 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 1.8 | -1.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | -3.2 |
| 1982 |  | 4.8 | $-14.4$ | -2.4 | 1.8 | 11.1 | -4.2 | $-18.4$ | -9.0 | -3.9 | . 4 |
| 1981 | 111 | 8 | -4.8 | -3.2 | . 9 | 3.6 | -2. 2 | -6. 5 | -5.2 | -. 8 | 2 |
|  | IV | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | . 4 | 2.7 | -. 3 | . 9 | -1.2 | -. 5 | 7 |
| 1982 | 1 | $-.3$ | -18.4 | -5. 1 | -. 6 | 3.2 | -2.8 | -18.7 | -6.3 | -2.2 | . 2 |
|  | 11 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.4 | . 3 | 8.8 | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | 111 | . 3 | $-5.4$ | -. 8 | -. 4 | 1.2 | $-1.0$ | -6. 7 | -1. 5 | -1.7 | -. 2 |
|  | IV | 1.8 | 6.3 | 5.1 | . 8 | . 2 | 1.1 | 5.9 | 4.2 | -. 1 | -1.1 |
| 1983 | $!$ | 1.5 | 3.3 | . 3 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1. 1 | 1.5 | -. 7 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
|  | 11 | 2.1 | 18.4 | 6. 1 | 1. 1 | -. 1 | 1.5 | 17.6 | 6.0 | -. 2 | -1.8 |
| 1982 | AUG | 1.4 | 21.5 | 5.7 | 1.9 | -1.3 | 1.3 | 20.8 | 4.8 | 1.7 | -1.9 |
|  | SEP | -. 1 | 5.2 | . 6 | -1.9 | . 1 | -. 6 | 4.9 | . 4 | -2.4 | -. 6 |
|  | OCT | -. 9 | -23.5 | $-3.3$ | . 3 | . 1 | -1,5 | $-23.0$ | -3.9 | . 3 | -. 2 |
|  | NDV | 2.3 | 28.4 | 5.6 | 1.1 | . 7 | 2.3 | 27.6 | E. 1 | . 7 | -. 2 |
|  | DEC | 2.6 | 17.6 | 7.4 | 1.0 | . 1 | 3.1 | 17.0 | 6.8 | .7 | . 8 |
| 1983 | JAN | -2.6 | $-17.1$ | $-7.0$ | . 2 | -. 7 | $-2.5$ | $-17.0$ | -6.9 | . 9 | . 5 |
|  | PEB | . 3 | -3.9 | -1.1 | 1.2 | . 8 | -. 5 | -5. | -2.5 | . 7 | . 8 |
|  | MAR | 4.9 | 21.6 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
|  | APR | -4.7 | 6.5 | $-1.4$ | $-7.6$ | -5.7 | -4.6 | 6. 6 | -1.1 | $-7.5$ | -6. 3 |
|  | MAY | 3.3 | -1.4 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 2.5 |
|  | JUN | 4.6 | . 5 | 4. 3 | 7.9 | 3.4 | 4.6 | -. 4 | 4.0 | 9.2 | 3.7 |
|  | JUL | $-1.2$ | $-2.3$ | . 1.5 | -5.5 | -. 5 | -1.9 | -3.1 | $-.3$ | -6.6 | -1.5 |
|  | AUG | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.5 | -. 3 | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.4 | . 9 | -. 2 | 1.0 |

 53-007. THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX CATALOEUE 62-001 STATISTICS CAMADA
(11) THESE INDICATORS ARE CALCULATED BY THE REMEIGKTING DF RETAIL TRADE BY TYPE DF BUSTHESS (CATALOGUE 63-OOS) TO DETAIN RETAIL TRADE BY COMMDDITY. THE MEIGHTS WERE TAKEN FROM THE 1974 RETAIL CDMMDDITY SURVEY (CATALOGUE 63-525). PASSEMGER CAR SALES ARE TAKEN FROM MEN MDTDR VEHICLE SALES (CATALOGUE G3-OOF) AND ARE USED AS AN INDICATOR DF SALES OF CARS TO PERSOMS. SEASONAL ADJUSTMENT IS DONE BY COMMDDITY. TO END POIMT (SEE GLOSSARY) FOR MORE IWFORMATIOM REFER TD TECHMICAL MDTE, FEBRUARY 1982
(2) ThESE OATA ARE THE RESULT OF OEFLATION GY COMmODITY OF THE RETAIL SALES DATA CALCULATED by THE METHODOLOGY EXPLAIMED ey fodindte 1.
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इOURCE: TAE LABOUR FORCE, CATALOGUE T1-OO!, SIATISTJES EANADA
(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE.


(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE.

|  | 4GE5 15-24 |  |  |  |  | AGES 25 dNU OVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ABOUR } \\ & \text { FORCE } \\ & \text { 111) } \end{aligned}$ | EMPLOYMENT (1) | UNEMPLOYMEMT <br> (i) | UNEMTLOY MENT RATE | PARTICI- PATION RATE | $\begin{gathered} \text { TABOUR } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ \text { (I) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EMPLOY - } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | UNEMPIOYMENT <br> ( 1 ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UMEMPLOY - } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PARTICI- } \\ \text { PAYIDN } \\ \text { RATE } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1978 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 13.9 | 58.9 | 7.0 | 5. 6 | 12.5 | 7.7 | 44.0 |
| 1979 | 4.2 | 5.5 | -4.9 | 12.7 | 51.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | -6. 2 | 7.0 | 44.9 |
| 1980 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 62.6 | 5.5 | 6.0 | -1.4 | 6.5 | 46.2 |
| 1981 | 4 | 8 | -2. 8 | 12.3 | 53.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 47.9 |
| 1982 | -2.9 | -7. 1 | 27.6 | 16.1 | 62.3 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 36.3 | 8.8 | 48.3 |
| 1981 IV | -. 6 | -1.9 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 63.0 | 9 | 9 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 48.2 |
| 1982 I | -1.2 | -2. 1 | 5. 1 | 13.7 | 62.5 | -. 1 | 1 | -2. 1 | 7.3 | 47.9 |
| II | -. 8 | -2.7 | 10.8 | 15.3 | 62.1 | 1.6 | 1 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 48.3 |
| I11 | -. 2 | -3. 1 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 62.3 | 1.0 | 3 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 48.5 |
| IV | -. 3 | . 0 | -1.8 | 17.5 | 62.3 | . 5 | - 2 | 7.0 | 9.8 | 48.5 |
| 1983 I | 0 | - 2 | 1.0 | 17.7 | 62.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 48.8 |
| 11 | -. 4 | - 5 | 7 | 17.9 | 62.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | -3.0 | 9.7 | 49.4 |
| III | . 4 | 2.0 | -6. 5 | 16.6 | 53.4 | . 6 | 1.1 | -3.5 | 9.3 | 49.4 |
| 1982 DCT | . 1 | - . 1 | 1.2 | 17.8 | 52.1 | 2 | . 0 | 2.9 | 9.5 | 48.4 |
| HOV | -. 9 | 4 | $-2.0$ | 17.5 | 62.1 | 1 | -. 3 | 3.8 | 9.9 | 48.4 |
| DEC | 8 | 1.1 | 0 | 17.3 | 62.8 | 7 | 4 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 48.6 |
| 1983 JAN | -. 7 | - 9 | 4 | 17.5 | 62.5 | 4 | . 5 | . 0 | 10.1 | 48.7 |
| FEB | . 3 | . 2 | . 8 | 17.6 | 62.8 | 4 | . 3 | 9. 9 | 10.2 | 48.8 |
| MAR | -. 2 | -. 7 | 2. 1 | 18.0 | 62.8 | 5 | 2 | 2.7 | 10.4 | 49.0 |
| APR | -1.0 | -9.0 | -1.2 | 18.0 | 62.2 | 1.1 | 9.5 | -2.7 | 10.0 | 49.4 |
| MAY | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.0 | 18.1 | 62.9 | -. 1 | 3 | -3. 5 | 9.6 | 49.3 |
| JUN | . 1 | . 9 | -3.2 | 17.5 | 63.1 | 5 | E | $-.3$ | 9.6 | 49.4 |
| JUL | . 8 | 1.7 | -3.3 | 16.8 | 83.8 | 1 | 2 | -1.4 | 9.4 | 48.4 |
| AUG | -. 9 | -. 4 | -3.4 | 15.4 | 63.3 | 2 | 2 | . 3 | 9.4 | 49.4 |
| SEP | - 4 | -. 8 | 1. 3 | 16.7 | 63.1 | . 2 | . 5 | -8. 5 | 9.2 | 49.4 |
| DCT | -. 8 | -. 5 | -2.2 | 16.5 | 62.7 | $-.3$ | -. 3 | -. 3 | 9.2 | 49.2 |
| SOUREE: <br> (1) | ABOUR FO | CATALOGI | $1-001,51$ | STICS CAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | AGES 15-24 |  |  |  |  | AGES 25 ANO OVEA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAGGUR } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | EMPLOYMENT ( 1 ) | UNEMPLOYMENT (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TNEMPLOY - } \\ & \text { MENI } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARTICI- } \\ & \text { PATION } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CABOUR } \\ & \text { FORCE } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | EMPLO4 - <br> MENT <br> (1) | UNEMPLOYMENT <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNEMPLOY- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PaRTICI- } \\ & \text { PATION } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 15.1 | 69.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 81.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.5 | 5.6 | -9.2 | 13.3 | 71.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | -11.0 | 4.5 | 80.9 |
| 1980 |  | 1.3 | 7 | 5.0 | 13.8 | 72.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 80.5 |
| 1981 |  | 4 | -. 1 | 3.9 | 14.2 | 72.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 80.3 |
| 1982 |  | $-5.2$ | -12.8 | 40.3 | 21.1 | 69.5 | 1.2 | -2. 3 | 69.2 | 8.1 | 79.3 |
| 1981 | IV | -1.2 | -3.9 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 71.6 | . 5 | -. 2 | 14.2 | 5.4 | 80.0 |
| 1982 | 1 | -2.4 | -4. 2 | 6.7 | 17.5 | 70.1 | -. 1 | -. 8 | 12.6 | 6.1 | 79.4 |
|  | 11 | -1.0 | -4.3 | 15.0 | 20.3 | 69.6 | . 7 | -. 8 | 24.6 | 7.5 | 79.5 |
|  | 111 | . 0 | -3.8 | 15.3 | 23.4 | 70.0 | . 8 | -1.0 | 24.9 | 9.3 | 79.7 |
|  | IV | -1.4 | -1.7 | -. 4 | 23.6 | 69.3 | -. 1 | -1.2 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 79.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | -1.9 | -1.9 | -1.9 | 23.5 | 88.3 | -. 3 | . 4 | -6. 4 | 9.6 | 78.5 |
|  | 11 | 1.2 | 1.3 | . 9 | 23.5 | 69.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 79.1 |
|  | 111 | . 6 | 3.0 | - 9.3 | 21.9 | 70.3 | . 5 | 1.0 | -4. 1 | 9.2 | 79.1 |
| 1882 | $06 T$ | . 0 | -. 7 | 2.2 | 23.6 | 69.8 | . 2 | -. 3 | 4.7 | 10.2 | 79.5 |
|  | NOV | -1.1 | -. 6 | -2.9 | 23.2 | 69.1 | -. 4 | -. 6 | . 9 | 10.4 | 79.0 |
|  | OEC | - . 4 | -1.5 | 3.3 | 24.0 | 68.9 | . 1 | . 2 | -. 9 | 10.2 | 79.0 |
| 1983 | JAN | $-1.7$ | -. 5 | -5.3 | 23.1 | 67.9 | -. 6 | . 0 | -5.8 | 9.7 | 78.4 |
|  | FEE | . 3 | -. 2 | 2.0 | 23.5 | 68.2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 6 | 9.7 | 78.5 |
|  | MAR | 6 | -. 2 | 3.3 | 24.1 | E8. 8 | 4 | 6 | -1. 7 | 9.5 | 78.7 |
|  | APR | -. 2 | -. 8 | 1.6 | 24.6 | 68.8 | . 6 | . 7 | $-2$ | 9.4 | 79.0 |
|  | MAY | 1.5 | 2.7 | -2. 1 | 23.7 | 70.0 | . 4 | . 3 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 79.1 |
|  | JUM | -. 4 | 1.4 | -6.2 | 22.3 | 69.8 | . 4 | . 1 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 79.3 |
|  | JUL | 1.2 | 1.4 | . 3 | 22.1 | 70.7 | . 1 | 4 | -2.4 | 9.6 | 79.3 |
|  | AUG | - . 8 | -. 6 | -1.4 | 22.0 | 70.3 | . 0 | 4 | -3. 6 | 9.2 | 79.1 |
|  | SEP | -. 6 | 7 | -5.5 | 20.9 | 70.0 | -. 1 | 5 | -6. 1 | 8.7 | 78.9 |
|  | 0 Cl | $-1.7$ | -. 9 | $-4.6$ | 20.3 | 68.9 | -. 1 | . 0 | -1.2 | 6. 6 | 78.6 |

SOURCE: THE LAEEUR FORCE EATALOEUE T-001, ST TYTSTIES CANAOA
(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE

|  |  | G00DS INJUSTRIES |  |  |  |  | SERVILE IMOUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL <br> EXCLUDING AGRICULTURE | TOTAL ExCIUDING AGRICULTURE | PRIMARY IMDUSTRIES EXCIUDING AGRICULTURE | MANUFAC = TURING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC. } \\ & \text { T10N } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | PRANSPOR- TATION, COMMUNICA- TION ANO OTHER UTILITIES | TRAOE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAHCE } \\ & \text { IMSURANCE } \\ & \text { AND REAL } \\ & \text { ESTATE } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER (1) |
| 1978 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 3.5 | -. 3 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| 1979 |  | 4.1 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
| 1980 |  | 3.0 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 1.7 | -3.3 | 3.7 | . 3 | 1.4 | 9.8 | 4.8 |
| 1981 |  | 2.7 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 7 | 4.2 | 3.0 | . 3 | 2.5 | -2.6 | 4.7 |
| 1982 |  | -3.2 | -9.6 | $-16.9$ | -9.2 | -8.5 | -. 5 | -3.2 | -1.9 | 1.5 | . 4 |
| 1981 | IV | -. 7 | -2. 4 | -6. 1 | -2.3 | - 8 | . 1 | . 4 | . 0 | 1.7 | - . 2 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1.0 | -3.3 | -6. 1 | -3.1 | -3.2 | . 0 | -. 9 | -. 9 | 2.3 | . 2 |
|  | 18 | -1.4 | -3.8 | -9.6 | -2. 8 | -4. 1 | -. 3 | -3.2 | -. 3 | . 2 | . 3 |
|  | 111 | -1.5 | -3. 1 | -1.9 | -3.1 | -3.9 | -. 8 | -1.7 | -1.9 | -4.9 | 6 |
|  | IV | - 6 | -3.0 | -1.4 | -3.3 | -2.6 | 3 | 2.9 | -1.7 | -2.1 | 9 |
| 1983 | ! | 4 | -. 1 | 4.1 | -. 1 | -1.9 | 4 | -1.E | . 7 | 3.1 | . 2 |
|  | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.9 | . 5 | 2.5 | 1.4 | -. 4 | 1.6 | -. 4 | 1.9 |
|  | 11: | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.8 | . 5 | . 8 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | OCT | -. 3 | $-1.4$ | 1.2 | -1.2 | -3.0 | . 2 | 1.0 | -. 5 | $-.5$ | 4 |
|  | MOV | -. 3 | $\cdots$ | -1.2 | -1.6 | 1.8 | $-.1$ | 1.4 | -. 3 | -1.4 | -. 1 |
|  | OEC | . 3 | -. 1 | . 0 | . 1 | -. 7 | . 2 | 0 | 1.2 | -. 3 | -. 1 |
| 1983 | JAK | . 0 | . 2 | 2.0 | . 9 | -2.8 | -. 1 | -1.6 | -. 4 | 2.3 | . 0 |
|  | PEB | , 3 | -. 2 | 2.4 | -. 0 | . 7 | . 4 | $-.6$ | . 3 | 3.1 | . 3 |
|  | MAR | . 4 | . 5 | 2.9 | -. 1 | 1.1 | . 3 | -. 1 | . 7 | -1.5 | . 5 |
|  | APR | . 7 | . 0 | 1.1 | -. 4 | . 9 | . 9 | . 8 | 1.4 | -. 5 | . 8 |
|  | MAY | 4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 1 | -1.0 | $-.5$ | 6 |
|  | JUM | 1 | . 0 | 2.5 | . 1 | -1.4 | .4 | -3.1 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 8 |
|  | JUL | 4 | 7 | -. 7 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 3 | 2.5 | -. 2 | . 8 | . 0 |
|  | AUG | . 2 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 8 | -. 9 | . 1 | , 3 | . 5 | -1.2 | 1 |
|  | SEP | . ${ }^{8}$ | 1.8 | -3.2 | 2.9 | . 7 | . 4 | -. 6 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 3 |
|  | OCT | -. 3 | -. 5 | - 3.3 | . 1 | - 1.1 | -. 1 | $-1.7$ | $-.3$ | 1.2 | . 1 |

SOUREE: THE LAGOUR FOREE, CATALOGUE TT-OOT STATTSTIES CANAOA
(1) COMMUNITY, BUS!NESS. PERSONAL SERVICES ANO PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

|  |  | 60005 IMDUSTRTIS |  |  |  |  | SERVICE TNOUSTRTES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { POTAL } \\ \text { EXCLUDING } \\ \text { AGRICULTURE } \end{gathered}$ | total <br> EXCLUDING AGRICULTURE | PRIMAAY INDUSTRIES EXCLUDING AGRICULTURE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUCT- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | TRGNSPDRT- ATION COMPUMICA- TION AND OTHER UTILITIES | TRADE | all commercial SERVICES(1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MON- } \\ & \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ & \text { SERVIIES } \\ & \text { INCIUOING } \\ & \text { PUBII } \\ & \text { ADMINIS- } \\ & \text { TRATION } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 2.0 | - 1 | . 2 | 1.6 | -6.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.6 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
| 1980 |  | 2.1 | - 6 | 7.9 | -1.2 | -2.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
| 1881 |  | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | . 8 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 2.9 |
| 1982 |  | -3.2 | -10.4 | -13.4 | -9.3 | -13.4 | -. 4 | -2.9 | -3.2 | 4 | 2.8 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 7 | 1 | 1.9 | 3 | 5 |
|  | III | 0 | -1.8 | -2.9 | - 1.6 | -2.0 | . 7 | -1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 7 |
|  | iv | -. 3 | -1.7 | 9 | $-1.6$ | -3.5 | . 3 | 1.0 | -. 6 | . 3 | 5 |
| 1982 |  | -1.0 | -3. 1 | -3.3 | -3.1 | -2.7 | -. 1 | -. 9 | -. 7 | 3 | 2 |
|  | II | -1.3 | -4.4 | -7.7 | -3.1 | -8.0 | - 1 | -1.6 | $-1.4$ | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  | 111 | -1.8 | -3. 6 | -7.4 | -3.0 | -4.4 | -1.2 | $-1.5$ | $-2.6$ | $-1.8$ | 4 |
|  |  | -1.8 |  | -4.8 | -4.3 | - 1.0 | -1.1 | -1.7 | -2.4 | $-1.5$ | 3 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . | -2.5 | 4 | . 4 | -. 1 | . 1 | 9 |
| 1982 | Mag | -. | -. 6 | -. 9 | -. 9 | 4 | 2 | -. 4 | -. 6 | . | 6 |
|  | APR | -. 6 | -2.3 | -4.7 | -1.5 | -4.4 | . | -. 6 | -. 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  | may | -. 7 | -1.7 | $-1.5$ | -. 5 | -6. 6 | -. 4 | -1.0 | -. 5 | - 4 | 1 |
|  | JUN | -. 6 | -1.4 | -5.5 | $-1.3$ |  | -. 4 | $-.3$ | -1.5 | -. 2 | 2 |
|  | JUL | -. 5 | -. 9 | -1.9 | -1.0 | -1 | -. 3 | - 3 | - 3 | -. 8 | 1 |
|  | AUG | -. 8 | $-1.5$ | -2. 2 | - 6 | -4.7 | -. 6 | -. 7 | -1.4 -.8 | -. 8 | 2 2 |
|  | SEP | -.5 -.9 | -1.0 -1.7 | .2 -1.5 | -1.8 -1.9 | 2.1 -.8 | .8 -.6 | -1.5 | -8 -.8 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 |
|  | nov | -.9 -.4 | -1.7 | -1.0 | -1.2 | . 0 | -. 1 | . | -. 9 | -. 2 | 2 |
|  | DEC | -. 2 | -. 7 | -2.2 | -. 7 | -. 1 | -. 1 | -. 3 | 0 | 2 | -. 3 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 3 | 5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | -1.9 | . 2 | . 1 | -. 2 | 0 | 6 |
|  | FEB | . 5 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 1.2 | -. 5 | 2 | 2 | . 7 | - 4 | 3 |
|  | MAR | . 0 | -. 8 | -2.8 | - .7 | -. 5 | . 3 | . 1 | -. 2 | 8 | 4 |


(1) GIMANCE. INSURAMCE AND REAL ESTATE AMD COMMUNITY, BUSENESS AND PERSONAL SERVICES.

PEREENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES


ARGE FIRM EMPLOYMENT BY IWOUSTAY (1)
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES CONTINUED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | TRANSPDR- |  | TRADE |  |  | Communty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TION \& } \\ & \text { UTILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | MHOLESALE | RETALL | $\begin{gathered} \text { INSURANCE } \\ \text { R } \\ \text { REAL ESTATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G } \\ & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | -10.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | -. 4 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 |
| 1979 |  | -3.2 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| 1980 |  | -3.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 4.6 |
| 1981 |  | 5.3 | . 9 | 1.9 | . 9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 5. 4 |
| 1982 |  | -12.3 | -2.3 | -5.7 | -9. 4 | $-3.9$ | . 9 | -2.3 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.1 | -. 2 | 6 | 5 | E | . 9 | 1.4 |
|  | 111 | 2 | -. 5 | -. 1 | $-.5$ | 1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | IV | . 0 | 1.5 | -. 3 | -. 8 | - 1 | . 8 | 1. 6 |
| 1982 | 1 | -2.0 | -. 9 | -2.8 | -4.4 | -2.0 | . 6 | -2.2 |
|  | 11 | -10.4 | -1.7 | -1.7 | -3.1 | -1.1 | - 5 | $-1.3$ |
|  | 111 | -6. 1 | $-1.3$ | -2.2 | $-3.5$ | -. 8 | $-1.4$ | $-1.3$ |
|  | IV | -1. 5 | -1.E | $-2.3$ | $-2.4$ | $-3.2$ | $-1.5$ | $-2.1$ |
| 1983 | 1 | -8.5 | -. 7 | -. 2 | -1.3 | . 4 | -1.3 | - 9.5 |
| 1982 | MAR | -1.5 | -1.2 | - 5 |  | - 1 | - .4 | - . $\frac{1}{}$ |
|  | $\triangle P A$ | $-2.6$ | . 1 | $\because .7$ | -1.0 | -. 5 | . 0 | -. 5 |
|  | MAY | $-10.5$ | $-1.0$ | -. 7 | -1.4 | - 5 | -. 5 | - 9 |
|  | JUN | 1. 4 | -. 7 | - . 5 | -. 7 | -. 3 | -. 5 | . 2 |
|  | JUL | $-1.4$ | -. 1 | -. 9 | -1.5 | 2.1 | -. 5 | -. 7 |
|  | AUC | -4. 1 | -. 4 | -. 7 | -. 8 | $-3.2$ | -. 2 | -. 3 |
|  | SEP | 2.5 | $=7$ | -1. 8 | - 1.4 | - 1.1 | - 1.0 | -. 5 |
|  | OCT | . 2 | -1.2 | $-1.0$ | -. 8 | -1.2 | -. 5 | - 1.5 |
|  | noy | -2.4 | . 2 | -. 5 | -. 4 | -. 5 | -. 3 | . 3 |
|  | OEC | -1.4 | - 1 | . 2 | -. 3 | . 4 | -. 2 | -. 6 |
| 1983 | JAN | $-5.2$ | - . 6 | -. 1 | -. 8 | .2 | - 1.1 | -1.0 |
|  | FE8 | -1.6 | . 0 | -. 1 | . 1 | - . 1 | . 3 | -. 2 |
|  | MAR | $-2.2$ | -. 2 | . 2 | -. 8 | . 4 | -. 4 | -. 4 |

SOURCE: ENPLOYMENT, EARNTNGS AND HOURS, CATALOGUE $72-002$, STATISTIES CANADA.
(!) SEE GIOSSARY

PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY AOUUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | GOODS IRDUSTRTES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | MINING | $\begin{gathered} \text { MANUFAC- } \\ \text { TURING } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC: } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1998 |  | 6.6 | 14.8 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 9.9 | -3. 3 |
| 1999 |  | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 21.2 | 14.2 | 7.6 |
| 1980 |  | 11.9 | 8.0 | 9. 7 | 25.4 | 10.4 | 8.1 |
| 1981 |  | 14.8 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 13.8 | 18.8 |
| 1982 |  | -. 4 | 5.5 | -8.3 | 3.5 | . 7 | -5.7 |
| 1981 | 111 | . 8 | . 8 | -11.8 | 2.8 | 1 | 4.2 |
|  | IV | 2.0 | . 1 | 15.0 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| 1982 | 1 | -. 2 | -1.4 | -7.9 | 4.4 | -. 2 | -1.1 |
|  | 11 | -2. 4 | 5.1 | -2.9 | -3.4 | -. 1 | -10.3 |
|  | 111 | $-8.7$ | 3.6 | $-1.9$ | -6. 4 | - 1.1 | -7.0 |
|  | IV | -. 7 | 4.0 | $-6.9$ | -2.1 | -3. 1 | 8.8 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.8 | -2.4 | 12.8 | $-1.3$ | 3.0 | -1.3 |
|  | 11 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 5.6 | . 3 |
| 1982 | dul | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.0 | . 3 | 1.6 | -1.2 |
|  | AUG | $-5.7$ | -. 3 | -1.2 | -9.5 | -4.8 | -9.4 |
|  | SEP | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | $\therefore 5$ | 11.5 |
|  | OCT | . 2 | -. 3 | -. 4 | . 3 | -1.8 | ?. 3 |
|  | NOV | - .8 | 1.5 | -9.2 | -1.8 | . 3 | -3. 3 |
|  | DEC | 1.0 | 4.9 | $-3.3$ | 1.0 | 1.5 | -1.0 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 8 | -5.2 | 15.7 | $-2.4$ | . 7 | 1.9 |
|  | FEB | 1.1 | -. 9 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | -. 8 |
|  | MA | $-.2$ | . 0 | -4.? | . 3 | . 5 | -2.2 |
|  | APR | 2.2 | - 2 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
|  | May | 1.5 | 4.1 | -1.2 | 1.0 | 2.5 | -1.4 |
|  | JUN | 2.9 | 1.6 | 11.3 | . 8 | 2.3 | 3.7 |
|  | JUL | 1.7 | -1.3 | . 1 | $-1.8$ | 2.1 | 2.4 |


|  |  | total | TRGNSPOR- TATION STORAGE, AND COMMU- NICATION | SERVICE <br> trade |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMHUNTY } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { SERYICES } \end{aligned}$ | PUETIC ADMINIS- TRATON AND DEFENSE III | total <br> MAGES AND <br> 5ALARIES <br> (2) | SUPPLE- MENTARY abour INCOME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { IMCOME } \end{aligned}$ | TIME LOST IN MORK STOPPAGES (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.9 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 15.2 | 9.3 | 615.1 |
| 1979 |  | 12.4 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 16.9 | 11.8 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 552.8 |
| 1980 |  | 15.0 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 748.0 |
| 1981 |  | 14.9 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 14.9 | 21.3 | 15.4 | 739.9 |
| 1982 |  | 11.1 | 12.3 | 3.8 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 14.5 | 7.1 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 482.9 |
| 1981 | 111 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 4:1 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1380.0 |
|  | IV | 3.1 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 465.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 214.2 |
|  | If | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.4 | . | . 4 | 6 | 544.2 |
|  | 111 | 1.1 | -. 2 | -1.1 | . 8 | 1.9 | 3.3 | $\because 1$ | 1.0 | 0 | 755.8 |
|  | IV | 2.2 | 1.6 | 6 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 407.6 |
| 1983 | I | -. 3 | . 1 | 1.2 | $-1.9$ | -1.5 | 1.5 | . | 5.1 | 8 | 751.1 |
|  | if | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 |  |
| 1982 | dul | -. 1 | -. 9 | -. 9 | -. 4 | 1 | 1.3 | . 3 | 1.5 | 4 | 599.8 |
|  | aug | 6 | 1 | -. 6 | 8 | 6 | 3.0 | -1.4 | -1.5 | -1.4 | 12579 |
|  | SEP | .7 | 2.0 | - 1 | 6 | 8 | - 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | $439 . ?$ |
|  | OCT | . 2 | -8. 1 | -. 5 | 1.2 | 9 | . 7 | 2 | . 3 | $\frac{2}{4}$ | 332 627 |
|  | NOY | 1.0 2.0 | 2.0 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 8.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 263.5 |
| 1983 | Jan | -2.5 | -3.0 | $-.3$ | -3. 1 | -3.4 | -1.2 | -1.5 | 3.1 | -1.0 | 451.4 |
|  | EEB | -. 3 | -1 | -. 2 | -. 1 | -1.0 | 1.1 | . 1 | $\cdots 1$ | . 1.5 | 1800.3 |
|  | mar | 2.3 | 1.9 | . 5 | . 0 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 201.7 |
|  | APR | -. 4 | -. 6 | -1. 1 | 1.4 | -1.6 | -. 2 | 1.4 | . 5 | 4 |  |
|  | MAY | 1.1 | 1 | . 0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |
|  | JUN | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 1.9 | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.2 -.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | . 8 |  |

SOURCE: ESTIMATES OF [ABODIR INCOME, CEYALDEUE $12-005$ STATISTTCS CANADA
GASED ON THE 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION
EXCLUDES MILITARY PAY AND ALLOMANCES.
INCLUDES FISHING AND TRAPPING.
THDUSANOS OF PERSON-DAYS, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO.

|  |  | MINIMG | RANJFACTURING |  |  | COHSTRUCTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FOPAL | DURAELE | NONDURABEE | TOTAL | BUILOJNG | EMGIMEERTNG |
| 1978 |  |  | 40.6 | 38. | 39.6 | 37.9 | 35.0 | 37.3 | 42.1 |
| 1979 |  | 41.1 | 38.8 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 39.4 | 37.8 | 42.6 |
| 1980 |  | 40.7 | 38.5 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 39.0 | 37.6 | 41.9 |
| 1981 |  | 40.4 | 38.5 | 39.3 | 37.7 | 38.9 | 37.6 | 41.8 |
| 1982 |  | 39.7 | 37. 7 | 38.4 | 37.0 | 38.1 | 36.7 | 41.1 |
| 1981 | 11 | 40.5 | 38.8 | 39.5 | 3 B .0 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 41.6 |
|  | 111 | 40.4 | 38. E | 39.4 | 37.8 | 38.9 | 37.7 | 42.0 |
|  | IV | 40.0 | 38.1 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 41.8 |
| 1982 | $!$ | 40.4 | 38.1 | $38 . ?$ | 37.4 | 38.4 | 36.9 | 41.5 |
|  | 11 | 39.9 | 37.7 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 40.8 |
|  | 111 | 39.3 | 37.5 | 38.2 | 36.9 | 38.0 | 36.5 | 40.8 |
|  | IV | 39.0 | 37.4 | 38.1 | 36.8 | 38.6 | 37.4 | 41.5 |
| 1883 | I | 39.6 | 38.0 | 38.9 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 37.0 | 40.3 |
| 1982 | MAR | 40.7 | 37.9 | 38.4 | 37.3 | 38.4 | 37.0 | 41.15 |
|  | APR | 40.3 | 37.9 | 38.9 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 35.8 | 41.6 |
|  | may | 39.9 | 37.6 | 38.3 | 36.7 | 36.5 | 35.2 | 40.2 |
|  | JUN | 39.8 | 37.7 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 40.7 |
|  | JUL | 39.5 | 37.6 | 38.6 | 37.0 | 37.9 | 36.5 | 40.5 |
|  | AUG | 39.3 | 37.8 | 38.3 | 36.9 | 38.1 | 36.5 | 41.1 |
|  | SEP | 39.2 | 37.2 | 37.7 | 36.8 | 38.0 | 36.5 | 40.8 |
|  | OCT | 39.0 | 37.4 | 38.2 | 36.6 | 38.6 | 37.8 | 40.7 |
|  | Mav | 38.9 | 37.3 | 37.6 | 37.0 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 40.4 |
|  | DEC | 39.1 | 37.5 | 38.5 | 36.8 | 38.8 | 37.2 | 43.3 |
| 1883 | JAM | 38.0 | 37.8 | 38.4 | 37.4 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 40.7 |
|  | FEB | 37.1 | 38.1 | 38.9 | 37,0 | 38.3 | 37.3 | 40.1 |
|  | Maf | 37.8 | 38.2 | 39.3 | 37.2 | 37.9 | 36.5 | 40.0 |

GOUREE: EMPIDYMENT, EARNINGS AMO HOURS, CATAEDGUE 72-002, STATISTICS CAMADA
BASED ON 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.

AVERAGE KEEKLY WAGES AND SALARIES BY INOUSTRY
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | INDUSTRIAL COMPOSITE | FORESTRY | MINING | MANUFACTURING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONS- } \\ & \text { TRUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | TRANSPORTATION | WHOLESALE Trade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RETAIL } \\ & \text { TRADE } \end{aligned}$ | FINANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CQRMUNITY } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { SERYICES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 6.1 | 4.4 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 5.1 |
| 1979 |  | 8.7 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 7.4 |
| 1980 |  | 10.1 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 11.5 | 9.0 |
| 1981 |  | 11.9 | 121 | 14.0 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 11.5 |
| 1982 |  | 10.0 | 7.9 | 13.8 | 10.6 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 11.0 |
| 1981 |  | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
|  | 111 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
|  | IV | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.7 | -. 5 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 3. 1 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
|  | 11 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | -. 4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
|  | 111 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
|  | IV | 2.4 | 8.2 | . 6 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 2.0 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 8 | 1.8 | $-1.4$ | 2.7 | . 7 | 1.1 | . 3 | . 5 | -. 3 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | MAR | 7 | $-.5$ | 1.3 | -. 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | -1.2 | *. 8 | 7 |
|  | APR | 1.2 | 1.7 | . 8 | 9 | 2,3 | 1.3 | 8 | . 6 | 9 | 6 |
|  | MAY | . 0 | . 8 | . 2 | 4 | -5.9 | . 8 | 6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 4 |
|  | JUN | . 4 | $-5.1$ | 1.7 | 9 | 3.2 | . 3 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 3 |
|  | JU6 | 8 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 9 | 1.2 | . 6 | 4 | -. 2 | 4 | 2 |
|  | AUG | 5 | 2.0 | 4 | 6 | , 7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | . 8 | 1.7 | 8 |
|  | SEP | 3 | . 3 | . 0 | - 4 | 1.8 | . 3 | 0 | . 8 | 1.2 | . 2 |
|  | OC\% | 9 | 1.8 | -. 5 | . 8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | . 5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | NOV | 8 | -3.4 | . 4 | 5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 4 | 2.1 | 4 |
|  | DEC | 1.9 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | . 8 | . 6 | - 1 | . 5 |
| 1983 | JAN | -1. 1 | -9.0 | $-2.5$ | - 7 | -3.0 | -1.2 | - 7 | - | $-1.2$ | . 1 |
|  | FEB | . 2 | 2.8 | -1.5 | 9.5 | . 8 | . 5 | -. 3 | -. 2 | . 7 | . 9 |
|  | mar | . 8 | $\because 1$ | 1.8 | . 1 | . 3 | .2 | 1.1 | . 0 | -. 6 | -. 3 |

SOURCE: EMPLOYMENT, EARNTRGS AMO ROURS. CATALDEUE 12 --02. STATISTICS CANADA

Nov 4. 1983
TABLE 47
11:12 AM

MAGE SETTLEMENTS

|  |  | DT AGREMENTS M19H COLA CLALSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EMPOUYEES } \\ & \text { COVERED BY } \\ & \text { MEN } \\ & \text { SETTEEMENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ALL AGREEMENTS |  |  | Wlith cola clause |  |  | WITHOUT COLA C[AUSE |  |  |  |
|  |  | ALL | COMAERCIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ & \text { \|2\| } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A L L \\ \text { INOUSTRIES } \end{gathered}$ | COMmerctal | $\begin{gathered} \text { NON- } \\ \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AL! } \\ \text { INOUSTRIES } \end{gathered}$ | COMMERCIAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1978 |  | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6. 7 | 326761 |
| 1979 |  | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7. 3 | B. 8 | 9.4 | B. 3 | 280741 |
| 1980 |  | 10.3 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 8. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 8.2 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 303623 |
| 1981 |  | 12.3 | 11.5 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 223904 |
| 1982 |  | 9.9 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 285351 |
| 1989 | 111 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 6. 7 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 230920 |
|  | IV | 12.7 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 12. 1 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 14.1 | 178110 |
| 1982 | 1 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 234405 |
|  | 11 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 291960 |
|  | 111 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10. 1 | 281820 |
|  | IV | 6.9 | 6.6 | 7. 1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 353420 |
| 1983 | ! | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | . 0 | 1. 6 | -. 5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 591125 |
|  | 11 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 3.3 | .0 | 3.2 | -1.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 320250 |

SOUFEE LABOUF DATA - MAGE DEVELOFMENYS TABOUR CANADA BASEO ON NEM SETTLEMENTS COVERING COLIECTIVE BARGAINING UNTTS OF 500 OR MORE EMPLOYEES CDASTRUCTIOM INDUSTRY EXCLUDEO.
(1) INCREASES EXPRESSED IN COMPOUND TERMS
(12) INCLUDES highay And bridge maintenance mater systems and othea utilifies, hdspitals. melfare organizations religious organjzatidns, private householos education and relateo services, public aoministratidn and OEFENCE. CDMMERCIAL JNQUSTRIES CONSIST OF ALL INOUSTRIES EXCEPT THE NDN-COMMERCIAL INDUSTRJES
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CONSUMER PRICE INDEXES, $1981=100$
PERCENTAGE CHANGES. NOT SEASONALIY ADJUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} A L L \\ I T E M S \end{gathered}$ | 1700 | HOUSTMG | CLOTHING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANS- } \\ & \text { PORTATION } \end{aligned}$ | HEALTH | RECREAPTON B EOUCATIOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOBACCO } \\ & 8 \text { ALCOHOL } \end{aligned}$ | ENERGY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 15.5 | 7. 6 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 9.4 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 9.8 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 10.9 | 8. 1 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 16.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 10.9 | 10. 1 | 12.9 | 30.0 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 7.2 | 12.5 | 5.6 | 14. 1 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 15.5 | 19.8 |
| 1981 | IV | 2.5 | $-.5$ | 3.4 | 2.1 | 4. 1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 5.0 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | . 8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4. 3 | 2.7 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | - 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2,3 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | 6 | . 4 | 1.1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.6 | . 5 | 1.3 | . 2 |
|  | 11 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2. 1 | . 3 | 1.9 | 1. 4 | 2.9 | . 6 |
|  | 111 | 1.6 | . 9 | 1.1 | 1 | 3.6 | . 9 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| 1982 | SEP | . 5 | - . 8 | 1.2 | . 7 | . 9 | 4 | . 1 | 1.6 | 4.5 |
|  | OCT | . 5 | -. 3 | 1.2 | . 1 | -. 3 | . 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | -1.3 |
|  | NOV | . 7 | . 3 | . 4 | . 7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 8 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -. 4 | . 4 | . 0 | -. 1 | 2 | -. 5 | . 3 | -. 2 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | . 2 | . 1 | -2.3 | -. 8 | 4 | - 2 | 2 | -1.4 |
|  | FEB | 4 | . 6 | . 3 | 2.8 | -. 9 | 7 | 1.2 | . 5 | -2.1 |
|  | MAR | 1.0 | -. 3 | . 9 | 1.0 | 3.3 | . 5 | . 3 | . 4 | 8.5 |
|  | APR | . 0 | 1.0 | . 3 | 4 | -2.4 | . 9 | , 3 | . 8 | -4.6 |
|  | MAY | . 3 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 1 | -1.3 | 4 | . 7 | 2.0 | -3.4 |
|  | JUN | 1.1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 | 5.3 | . 0 | . 3 | . 9 | 9.1 |
|  | dUL | . 4 | . 5 | . 3 | -. 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 2 | . 0 |
|  | AUG | 5 | $\therefore 1$ | . 8 | . 5 | . 5 | . 2 | . 3 | . 8 | 8 |
|  | SEP | . 0 | -1.0 | . 5 | . 3 | -. 8 | 4 | . 3 | 2.4 | -. 3 |

SOURCE: THE CONSUMER PRJCE JNDEX, CATALDGJE 62-001, STATISTICS CANODA

RATIO OF SELECTEO COMPONENTS TO ALL ITEMS INDEX. NDT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | F00b | HOUSINE | CLOTHING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANS } \\ & \text { PORTATION } \end{aligned}$ | MEALPH | RECREATION 8 EOUCATION | FOBAECD A ALCOHOL | ENERGY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 96.8 | 104.0 | 103.5 | 92.4 | 101.7 | 105.0 | 100.5 | 81.7 |
| 1979 | 100.4 | 102.0 | 103.5 | 92.8 | 109.6 | 102.8 | 98.7 | 82.1 |
| 1980 | 100.9 | 100.1 | 105.0 | 95.0 | 101.4 | 102.2 | 99.6 | 86.4 |
| 1981 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| 1982 | 96.8 | 101.6 | 95.3 | 103.0 | 99.8 | 98.1 | 104.2 | 108.1 |
| 1981 IV | 97.4 | 101.0 | 98.6 | 101.7 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 102.8 | 103.7 |
| 1982 ! | 96.8 | 101.5 | 96.6 | 102.9 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 102.5 | 106.2 |
| [1 | 97.8 | 101.1 | 95.8 | 103.2 | 99.9 | 97.6 | 102.5 | 108. 1 |
| 111 | 97.6 | 101.3 | 94.5 | 103.0 | 99.9 | 98.0 | 104.5 | 108.7 |
| IV | 95.0 | 102.4 | 94.4 | 102.9 | 99.9 | 98.5 | 107.3 | 109.5 |
| 19831 | 94.8 | 102.9 | 93.9 | 102.3 | 100.9 | 98.5 | 108.0 | 109.0 |
| 11 | 95.6 | 102.5 | 94. 6 | 101.2 | 101.4 | 98.6 | 109.6 | 108.1 |
| 111 | 94.9 | 102.0 | 93.2 | 103.2 | 100.7 | 89.2 | 111.0 | 112.8 |
| 1982 SEP |  | 101.9 | 94.9 | 103.3 1024 | 100.1 | 97.8 | 105. 5 | 111.7 |
| MCT | 95.4 | 102.5 | 94.4 | 1024 | 99.6 | 99.0 | 105.8 | 109.5 |
| DEC | 94.7 | 102.2 | 94.4 | 103.2 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 107.3 | 109.5 |
| 1983 JAN | 85.1 | 103.0 | 92.5 | 102.5 | 100.9 | 98.2 | 108.2 | 108.2 |
| FE日 | 95.3 | 102.9 | 94.7 | 101.1 | 101.1 | 99.0 | 108. 3 | 105.5 |
| MAR | 94.0 | 102.8 | 94.6 | 103.4 | 100.7 | 98.3 | 107.6 | 113.3 |
| APR | 95.0 | 103.0 | 95.0 | 100.9 | 101.6 | 98.5 | 108.5 | 108.0 |
| MAY | 96.3 | 102.8 | 94.8 | 99.3 | 101.8 | 99.0 | 110.3 | 104.0 |
| JUN | 95.4 | 101.8 | 93.9 | 103.4 | 100.7 | 98.2 | 110.1 | 112.3 |
| JUL | 95. 6 | 101.7 | 93.0 | 103.5 | 100.8 | 99.2 | 109.8 | 112.7 |
| AUG | 95.0 | 101.9 | 93.1 | 103.5 | 100.4 | 99.0 | 110.2 | 113.0 |
| SEP | 94.1 | 102.4 | 93.3 | 102.6 | 100.8 | 99.3 | 112.8 | 112.7 |

CONSUMER PRICE INDEXES. 1981 - 100 PERCENTAGE CHANGES, NDT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | ALI. | G0005 |  |  |  | SETVIEES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ToTaL } \\ & \text { ExCLUDING } \\ & \text { FODO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OOTAL } \\ & \text { EXCLUDING } \\ & \text { ENERGY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1TEMS | T0TAL | OURABLES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMI- } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { OURABLES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 12.4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 9.0 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 9.0 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 19.5 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 9.7 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 13.1 | 9.4 | B. 0 | 16.0 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 11.0 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 9.8 |
| 1981 | IV | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | . 4 | 6 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 3.3 | . 9 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
|  | iv | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | . 6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 6 | . 5 | . 9 | . 1 | . 5 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | 11 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
|  | III | 1.6 | 1.8 | .7 | . 4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| 1982 | SEP | . 5 | 7 | -. 1 | 7 | 1.0 | . 3 | 1.0 | 2 |
|  | OCT | . 6 | 0 | . 2 | 7 | -. 3 | 1.5 | B | . 8 |
|  | Mov | . 7 | . | 1.6 | 6 | . 5 | . 5 | . $\mathrm{B}^{\text {c }}$ | . 7 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -. 1 | . 1 | . 1 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 0 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | -. 5 | -. 1 | -2. 1 | - . 3 | . 1 | -. 3 | -. 2 |
|  | FEB | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | 2.3 | . 0 | . 5 | . 3 | . 8 |
|  | MAR | 1.0 | 1.6 | . 4 | 1.3 | 2.1 | . 3 | 1.4 | 3 |
|  | APR | . 0 | -. 3 | . 3 | . 9 | -. 5 | . 3 | -. 3 | , 4 |
|  | MAY | . 3 | . 3 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 4 | -. 1 | . 7 |
|  | SUN | 1.1 | 1.5 | -. 1 | . 1 | 2.5 | . 5 | 1.4 | , 3 |
|  | dUL | . 4 | . 4 | . 2 | - 3 | . 7 | . 5 | 0 | 3 |
|  | , UG | 5 | . 4 | . 7 | . 6 | . 3 | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | . 6 | . 5 |
|  | SEP | 0 | $-.1$ | . 2 | . 4 | $-.3$ | .1 | . 3 | . 0 |
| SOUREE: THE LONSUMER PRICE INDEX, CATALOGUE b2-001, STATTSTICS CANAOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NDY | 4. |  |  |  | TABLE |  |  |  | 11: 13 AM |

RATIO OF SELECTED COMPONENTS TO ALL ITEMS INDEX. NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | 60065 |  |  |  | SERVICES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EOTAL } \\ & \text { EXCIUEING } \\ & \text { FOOD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOFAL } \\ & \text { EXCLUEING } \\ & \text { ENERGY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F01AL } \\ & \text { G0005 } \end{aligned}$ | DUFAELES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEAI- } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NON- } \\ \text { DURABLES } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 87.0 | 101.7 | 105.1 | 93.5 | 104.8 | 101.0 | 101. 8 |
| 1979 | 88.3 | 102.1 | 104.5 | 95.2 | 102.7 | 99.9 | 101.7 |
| 1980 | 89.4 | 102.8 | 104.1 | 97.0 | 100.9 | 99.7 | 101. 3 |
| 1981 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 98.8 | 95.3 | 96.2 | 100.8 | 101.9 | 100.9 | 99.1 |
| 1981 1V | 99.5 | 99.5 | 98.9 | 98.6 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 99.6 |
| 19821 | 98.9 | 97.4 | 97.0 | 99.9 | 101.7 | 100.9 | 99.3 |
| 11 | 99.1 | 95. | 96.7 | 101. 1 | 101.4 | 100.6 | 99.1 |
| 111 | 98.8 | 94.3 | 95.4 | 101.5 | 101.8 | 100.7 | 99.1 |
| IV | 88.3 | 94.2 | 95.8 | 100.5 | 102.7 | 101.4 | 99.0 |
| 19831 | 98.2 | 94.4 | 95.3 | 100.4 | 102. 8 | 101.5 | 99.1 |
| 11 | 98.4 | 93.7 | 95.7 | 101.0 | 102.5 | 101.3 | 99.2 |
| 111 | 98.6 | 92.9 | 94.5 | 102.0 | 102.3 | 101.5 | 98.7 |
| 1982 SEP | 98.8 | 94.0 | 95.7 | 101.6 | 101.9 | 101.2 | 98.8 |
| OCT | 38.2 | 93.6 | 95.8 | 100.7 | 102.7 | 101.3 | 99.0 |
| NDV | 98.3 | 94.4 | 95.7 | 100.5 | 102.5 | 101.4 | 99.0 |
| DEC | 98.3 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 100.3 | 102.7 | 101.6 | 99.0 |
| 1983 JAN | 98.0 | 94.7 | 94.0 | 100.4 | 103.1 | 101.5 | 99.1 |
| FE8 | 98.0 | 94.6 | 95.8 | 93.9 | 103. 1 | 101. 4 | 99.5 |
| MAR | 98.5 | 34.0 | 95.0 | 100.9 | 102.3 | 101.7 | 98.7 |
| APR | 98.3 | 94.2 | 96.1 | 100.4 | 102.6 | 101.5 | 99.1 |
| May | 98.3 | 94.1 | 96.0 | 100.6 | 102.8 | 101.1 | 99.6 |
| JUN | 98.6 | 92.9 | 95.0 | 102.0 | 102. 1 | 101.4 | 98.8 |
| JUL | 98.6 | 92.7 | 94.3 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 101.4 | 98.7 |
| AUG | 98.6 | 92.9 | 94.4 | 102.0 | 102.3 | 101.4 | 98.7 |
| SEP | 98.5 | 93.1 | 94.8 | 101.7 | 102.4 | 101.8 | 98.7 |

SOURCE: THE CONSUAER PRTCE INDEX, CATALOGUE E2-001, STATISTIES C MNDDA.

# NATIDNAL ACCDUNTS IMPLICIT PRICE INOEXES. 1971 = 100 PERCENTAGE CHAHGES OF SEASOMALEY ADJUSTED FIGURES 



5OURCE: WITTOWAL INCOME ANE EXPTNDTYURE ACCOUNTS, CATALOGUE 13-001. SYATTSTES CANDDA

Nov 4. 1983
TABLE 53
11:13 AM

MATIONAL ACCOUNTS IMPLJCIT PRICE INDEXES. 1971 : 100 RAT1O OF SELECTED CDMPONENTS TO GNE INQEX. SEASONALIY ADJUSTED

|  | FESSOMAL EXPENDTYUR |  |  |  |  | GOVERNMENT <br> EXPENOITURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F6YL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURWELE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { झEMI-DUR } \\ & \text { ABLE G0005 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WON-BUK } \\ & \text { ABLE GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | Stavices |  |
| 1978 | 94.0 | 78.2 | 81.4 | 101.3 | 100.3 | 114.5 |
| 1979 | 93.1 | 76.7 | 82.0 | 101.5 | 98.6 | 113.4 |
| 1880 | 92.8 | 74.8 | 82.2 | 102.2 | 97.7 | 115.3 |
| 1881 | 93.5 | 73.6 | 80.2 | 105.2 | 98.2 | 119.1 |
| 1982 | 94.2 | 70.9 | 77.3 | 107.8 | 99.6 | 121.4 |
| 1981 I!1 | 94.1 | 73.8 | 80.2 | 107.4 | 98.2 | 121.2 |
| IV | 93.2 | 73.0 | 78.8 | 106.4 | 97.3 | 118.8 |
| 1982 | 93.5 | 71.7 | 78.1 | 107.0 | 97.7 | 120.5 |
| II | 94.4 | 71.4 | 77.7 | 108.3 | 99.5 | 120.8 |
| 111 | 94.5 | 70.5 | 78.8 | 108. 1 | 100.3 | 121.5 |
| IV | 94.4 | 70.0 | 75.7 | 107.9 | 100.7 | 122.5 |
| 1983 | 93.9 | 69.6 | 75.4 | 105.6 | 100.7 | 121.7 |
| 11 | 94.3 | 69.7 | 78.8 | 107.6 | 101.2 | 124. 1 |



|  |  | CUS:MESS T]XED IRVESTMENT |  |  |  | EXPORT 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Toral | RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCT10N | NOA- RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC- TION | MACH!NEEY 8 EQUIPMENT | TOTAL | MERCHANDISE | 70142 | MERCHANDISE |
| 1978 |  | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 13.2 | 13.3 |
| 1979 |  | 8.5 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 19.0 | 21.1 | 13.9 | 14.4 |
| 1980 |  | 9.2 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 16.8 | 15.2 | 16.9 |
| 1981 |  | 11.2 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| 1982 |  | 7.1 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 2.5 | . 5 | 4. 3 | 2.0 |
| 198) | 111 | 2.3 | 9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 9 | 6 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
|  | IV | 2.3 | 1 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -. 2 | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| 1952 | 1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | -. 7 | -1.6 | 1.8 | 1. 6 |
| 1 | 11 | 1.5 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | -. 5 | $-1.4$ | . 1 | $-1.3$ |
|  | III | . 9 | -1.5 | 2.0 | . 7 | . 7 | . 2 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
|  | Iv | 6 | . 0 | . 4 | . 9 | 2.5 | 2.7 | -1.4 | -2.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | 7 | . 5 | . 8 | . 5 | -2. 6 | -3.2 | -1. 6 | -2. 6 |
| 108 | II | 6 | $-1.3$ | 8.5 | 6 | . 2 | . 0 | -1.5 | $-2.5$ |




| 1978 |  | 110.8 | 120.7 | 98.0 | 93.0 | 108.5 | 109.5 | 101.9 | 102.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 |  | 112.8 | 121.8 | 98.3 | 97.1 | 110.3 | 111.7 | 108.1 | 109.1 |
| 1980 |  | 111.6 | 119.0 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 118.9 | 122.5 | 111.7 | 113.2 |
| 1981 |  | 111.7 | 112.6 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 123.9 | 128.8 | 115.9 | 119.2 |
| 1982 |  | 108.2 | 111.5 | 99.2 | 97.2 | 120.0 | 123.4 | 116.2 | 119.1 |
| 1981 | 811 | 117.8 | 111.9 | 98.2 | 95.9 | 122.5 | 127.0 | 115.1 | 118.5 |
|  | IV | 111.5 | 113.1 | 98.5 | 96.7 | 123.8 | 128.3 | 115.4 | 118.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | 110.1 | 112.1 | 98.0 | 98.7 | 122.9 | 127.4 | 118.6 | 119.6 |
|  | 11 | 109.6 | 113.5 | 99.0 | 97.5 | 120.4 | 123.7 | 117.9 | 121.5 |
|  | 111 | 107.9 | 111.7 | 99.6 | 97.6 | 118.4 | 121.4 | 117.2 | 120.0 |
|  | IV | 105.2 | 109.0 | 100.1 | 97.0 | 118.2 | 121.3 | 113.3 | 115.3 |
| 1983 | 1 | 103.2 | 107.7 | 95.3 | 95.1 | 114.4 | 115.4 | 112.5 | 114.2 |
|  | 11 | 101.9 | 105.3 | 99.2 | 95.1 | 111.7 | 112.7 | 110.5 | 110.5 |



|  |  | TOTAL manufac. TURING | FOOD AND BEVERAGE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YOBACCO } \\ \text { PROOUCTS } \end{array}$ | RUBBER ANO PLASTICS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEATHER } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | TEXTILES | KWITTIMG | W000 | FURNTTURE 8 FIXTURES | PAPER AMO ALLIEO INOUSTRIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 19.4 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| 1979 |  | 14.5 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 25.0 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 17.3 |
| 1980 |  | 13.5 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 15.3 | 2.5 | 12.8 | B. 8 | -8.2 | 12.0 | 15.9 |
| 1981 |  | 10.2 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 6.8 | 11.9 | 8. 4 | . 3 | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| 1982 |  | 6.0 | 5.4 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 5.5 | -2. 8 | 9.2 | 3.6 |
| 1981 | IV | 1.3 | 1 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | B | . 9 | -6. 6 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | . 8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | . 2 | 2.0 | . 3 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | 3.6 | b. 0 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 4 | 9.0 | 1.8 | . 8 | . 6 |
|  | 111 | . 8 | . 8 | 4.9 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 5 | 5.5 | -1.0 |
|  | IV | 3 | -. 7 | 1.3 | -. 1 | 1 | -. 1 | -. 3 | -. 2 | . 6 | -3.6 |
| 1983 | ! | . 7 | 1.2 | . 2 | -. 1 | 4 | 2 | 9.2 | 6. 1 | 1.2 | $-1.7$ |
|  | 11 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | . 5 | . 7 | 8.4 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | 111 | . 8 | . 6 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | . 4 | $-1.5$ | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 1982 | SEP | . 7 | -. 2 | 1.7 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 3 | -. 8 | -. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | -. 4 |
|  | DCT | -. 1 | -. 4 | . 0 | . 0 | 4 | -. 2 | . 2 | -. 6 | 3 | - 1.4 |
|  | NDV | -. 3 | -. 4 | . 1 | . 0 | -. 9 | -. 1 | , 1 | . 5 | . 0 | -2.7 |
|  | DEC | . 3 | . 4 | . 3 | -. 4 | . 6 | . 0 | .1 | 3.1 | . 1 | . 2 |
| 1983 | JAN | . | . 4 | . 0 | - . 3 | 4 | . 3 | . 8 | 2.7 | . 7 | - 1.0 |
|  | FEB | . 3 | . 9 | . 0 | . 2 | - 2 | -. 2 | . 3 | . 9 | . 3 | . 1 |
|  | MAR | 6 | - 1 | . 0 | 1.0 | -. 1 | . 2 | . 5 | 1.3 | . 6 | . 0 |
|  | APR | 6 | 7 | 4.7 | . 4 | 5 | . 3 | . 0 | 1.5 | . 1 | . 5 |
|  | may | . 5 | . 3 | 1.5 | 4 | . 7 | . 1 | . 4 | 6.3 | . 0 | . 1 |
|  | JUN | 2 | . 1 | . 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | . 0 | 3. 7 | 1.0 | 3 |
|  | JUL | 4 | -. 3 | . 0 | 0 | . 9 | . 7 | . 2 | -1. 1 | . 5 | 1.1 |
|  | AUE | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | . 1 | 2 | . 3 | . 1 | -4.7 | 2 | . 1 |
|  | SEP | - 1 | . 3 | 6 | 0 | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | -4.9 | 0 | . 0 |

SUURCE: INOUSTRY PमICE INOEXES. CAYALOGUE Eर2-011, STATISTTCS CARADA

RATJO OF SELECTED COMPDNENTS TO MANUFACTURIMG INDEX, NDT SEASONALLY GDUSTED

|  |  | FODC AND BEVERAGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOBACCO } \\ & \text { PROOUCT\$ } \end{aligned}$ | RUBEER AND PLASTICS | LEATHER prooucts | TEXTILES | KMJTMTMG | W000 | FURNTURE 8 FIXTURES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAPER } \\ & \text { AND ALLIED } \\ & \text { IMDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 108.0 | 80.7 | 82.2 | 100.5 | 83.9 | 73.4 | 118.3 | 96.5 | 107.3 |
| 1979 |  | 106.4 | 75.7 | 79.8 | 109.9 | 82.9 | 70.6 | 119.8 | 95.9 | 110.0 |
| 1980 |  | 103.7 | 74.7 | 8. 0 | 99.3 | 82.5 | 67.7 | 99.0 | 94.5 | 112. 1 |
| 1981 |  | 1026 | 75.8 | 82.2 | 95.3 | 83.8 | 66.6 | 90.2 | 94.9 | 112.4 |
| 1982 |  | 102.0 | 80.1 | 83.6 | 94.2 | 8 9.8 | 65.2 | 82.6 | 97.9 | 108.9 |
| 1981 | IV | 101.1 | 79.6 | 83.5 | 95.0 | 83.8 | 65.3 | 83.9 | 95.9 | 113.1 |
| 1982 | 1 | 100.9 | 79.1 | 84.2 | 95.6 | 82.8 | 66.7 | 82.9 | 98.1 | 112.8 |
|  | 11 | 102.6 | 78.4 | 83.7 | 94.0 | 81.6 | 56.1 | 82.9 | 87.1 | 111.6 |
|  | [11 | $102 . ?$ | 81.0 | 83.4 | 93.7 | 81.6 | 68. 3 | 82.6 | 97.7 | 109.7 |
|  | IV | 101.6 | 81.8 | 83.1 | 93.5 | 81.3 | 65,9 | 82.2 | 96.0 | 105.5 |
| 1983 | 1 | 102. 1 | 81.5 | 82.4 | 93.3 | 80.9 | 65.2 | 86.6 | 98.6 | 103.0 |
|  | [1] | 101.8 | 84.8 | 82.4 | 92.8 | 80.0 | 65.7 | 92.5 | 98.0 | 102.2 |
|  | IJ! | 101.7 | 84. 8 | 82.0 | 93.6 | 80.3 | 65.5 | 90.4 | 98.5 | 102.8 |
| 1982 | SEP | 102.0 | 81.5 | 83.0 | 93.4 | 81.4 | 65.6 | 81.4 | 97.5 | 108.7 |
|  | OLT | 101.7 | 81.7 | 83.1 | 93.9 | 81.3 | 55.8 | 89.0 | 97.9 | 107.2 |
|  | NOY | 101.6 | 82.0 | 83.4 | 93.2 | 81.4 | 86.0 | 81.6 | 98.2 | 104.6 |
|  | DEC | 101.6 | 81.9 | 82.7 | 93.5 | 89.1 | 65.8 | 83.9 | 98.0 | 104.5 |
| 1983 | WAN | 101.9 | 87.8 | 82.4 | 93.7 | 61.2 | 66.2 | 86.0 | 98. 5 | 103.3 |
|  | FEB | 102. 5 | 81.5 | 82.3 | 93.3 | 80.9 | 66.2 | 86.6 | 98.6 | 103.1 |
|  | MAR | 101.9 | 89.1 | $82 . ?$ | 92.7 | 80.6 | 66.2 | 87.2 | 98. | 102.6 |
|  | APR | 102.0 | 84.3 | 82.5 | 92.6 | 80.3 | 65.8 | 88.0 | 98.0 | 102.4 |
|  | MAY | 101.8 | 85.2 | 82.4 | 92.8 | 80.0 | 85.7 | 93.1 | 97.6 | 102.0 |
|  | JUM | 101.7 | 85.0 | 82.3 | 92.9 | 79.9 | 65.6 | 96.4 | 98.3 | 102.1 |
|  | JUL | 101.0 | 84.7 | 82.0 | 93.4 | 80.1 | 55.5 | 95.0 | 98.5 | 102.8 |
|  | aUG | 101. 8 | 84.5 | 81.9 | 93.5 | 80.2 | 65.4 | 90.3 | 98.5 | $102 . ?$ |
|  | SEP | 102.2 | 85.1 | 82.0 | 93.8 | 80.5 | 65.5 | 86.0 | 98.6 | 102.9 |

INOUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES, 1971: 100
PERCENTAGE CHANGES, NOT SEASONALIY AOJUSTED

|  |  | PRIMARY METALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MITAL } \\ & \text { FABRICATION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLE } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELECTRIGAI } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { METALIIC } \\ & \text { MINERALS } \end{aligned}$ | CHEM]CALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MON-DURABLE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT - } \\ & \text { URING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURAEIE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT } \\ & \text { URING } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.0 | 9. 3 | 8.8 | 11.0 | b. 6 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| 1979 |  | 24.6 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| 1980 |  | 19.1 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 10.5 |
| 1981 |  | 1.4 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 12.3 | ?. 4 |
| 1982 |  | -. 6 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 10.2 | 6.6 | 12.8 | 7, 1 | 6.9 | 5.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | IV | 1 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | 1 | - 4 | 2.6 | -1.7 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | 11 | -. 8 | 2.0 | . 3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
|  | 111 | -. 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1. 6 | . 9 | . 9 | 7 |
|  | IV | . 0 | . 3 | 3.0 | . 3 | 4 | . 5 | -. 8 | . 1 | . 6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.9 | -1 | -. 1 | ${ }_{4}$ | 8 | 3.1 | 1.4 | . 0 | 1.5 |
|  | 11 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 5 | . 6 | 5 | -. 5 | . 2 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  | 111 | 1.2 | , 8 | . 3 | . 0 | $\theta$ | -. 1 | . 7 | . 9 | 6 |
| 1882 | SEP | 2.1 | - . 1 | -1.0 | -. 2 | 2 | - .1 | . 0 | 1.1 | . 3 |
|  | OCI | -. 9 | 4 | 3.6 | . 2 | 2 | . 1 | -. 2 | -. 4 | . 3 |
|  | MOV | -. 8 | . 1 | . 0 | -. 2 | 0 | , 4 | . 2 | -. 5 | 0 |
|  | DEC | . 8 | -. 4 | . 0 | . 7 | 1 | . 3 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 5 |
| 1983 | $\checkmark$ AM | 1.6 | 2 | - 2 | - 1 | 8 | 2.4 | 1.5 | -. 5 | 1.0 |
|  | FEB | . 8 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 1 | 2 | . 7 | . 0 | . 2 | . 3 |
|  | MAR | -1.2 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | - 1 | . 0 | -. 1 | 1.0 | -. 1 |
|  | APR | 2.0 | . 5 | . 1 | . 4 | 1 | -. 9 | . 3 | . 5 | . 7 |
|  | MAY | . 7 | . 2 | . 4 | . 1 | 4 | . 5 | - 1 | . 1 | . 9 |
|  | JUN | -2.1 | 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 5 | -. 3 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 |
|  | ЈUL | 1.9 | 0 | . 0 | - 1 | 2 | -. 2 | . 2 | , 3 | . 5 |
|  | AUG | . 9 | 4 | . 0 | . 0 | 2 | . 1 | . 5 | . 6 | $-.2$ |
|  | SEP | $=.3$ | 0 | . 1 | -. 2 | 4 | . 1 | . 3 | . 1 | -. 5 |

SOURCE: INOUSTRT PRTCE TNDEXES. CRTALOGUE 62-011. STATISTIES CANADA.


|  |  | PRIMARY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METAT } \\ & \text { FABRICATIDN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOFOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOYOR } \\ & \text { VEMICLE } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEECTRICAL } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WON- } \\ & \text { METALLIC } \\ & \text { MINERALS } \end{aligned}$ | CHIMICALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- OURAEIE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT- } \\ & \text { URIMG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT- } \\ & \text { UR ING } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 109.1 | 88.9 | 75.5 | 91.9 | 82.5 | 101.1 | 99.5 | 104. 1 | 95.3 |
| 1979 |  | 118.6 | 97.1 | 74.1 | 86.7 | 79.2 | 95.5 | 98.6 | 104.2 | 95,3 |
| 1980 |  | 124.8 | 94.1 | 73.0 | 84.4 | 75.7 | 95.1 | 101.8 | 105.3 | 92.8 |
| 1981 |  | 114.8 | 54.0 | 74.4 | 84.0 | 74.8 | 99.4 | 105.2 | 108.4 | 90.4 |
| 1982 |  | 107.6 | 96.2 | 73.2 | 87.4 | 75.2 | 105.7 | 106.3 | 109.0 | 89.6 |
| 1981 | IV | 112.5 | 55.1 | 76.0 | 34.5 | 75.0 | 99.5 | 106.4 | 108.7 | 90.0 |
| 1982 | I | 110.5 | 96.3 | 73.6 | B6. 9 | 95.0 | 105.0 | 106.8 | 108. 5 | 90.1 |
|  | 11 | 107.5 | 96.4 | 72.5 | 87.3 | 75.1 | 105.3 | 106.2 | 109.2 | 89.5 |
|  | 111 | 106.3 | 95.1 | 72.4 | 87.5 | 75.3 | 105. 2 | 105.3 | 109.3 | 89.4 |
|  | IV | 106.0 | 96.1 | 74.3 | 87.6 | 75.3 | 105.4 | 105.9 | 109.1 | 89.5 |
| 1983 | I | 107.3 | 95.4 | 73.8 | 87.4 | 75.5 | 109.0 | 105. 7 | 108.4 | 90.4 |
|  | [1] | 105.9 | 94.9 | 73. 1 | 86.8 | 74.7 | 105.9 | 105.4 | 108.5 | 90.3 |
|  | 111 | 107.4 | 94.9 | 72.7 | 85.9 | 74.8 | 105.9 | 105.3 | 108.6 | 90.1 |
| 1982 | SEP | 107.0 |  | 71.6 |  |  | 105.7 105.0 | 105.8 105.8 | 109.5 109.2 | 89.1 89.4 |
|  | OCT | 105.2 | 96.1 | 74.3 74.5 | 87.4 | 75.2 75.4 | 105.0 105.7 | 105.8 106.2 | 109.2 109.0 | 88.4 |
|  | NOV | 105. | 96.4 | 74.5 | 87.5 | 75.4 | 106.7 | 105.? | 108.9 | 89.8 |
|  | OEC | 105. 1 | 95.8 | 74.2 74.0 | 87.8 | 75.3 | 105.5 109.0 | 105.? | 108.9 108.3 | 89.8 90.5 |
| 1983 | JAN FEB | 107.6 108.1 | 95.8 95.4 | 74.0 73.9 | 87.6 87.5 | 75.7 75.7 | 109.0 109.4 | 107.2 105.9 | 108.3 108.2 | 90.5 90.6 |
|  | MAR | 106.2 | 95.0 | 73.5 | 87.0 | 75.1 | 108.7 | 106.1 | 108.7 | 90.0 |
|  | APR | 107.6 | 84.9 | 73.1 | 86.8 | 74.7 | 107. 1 | 105.8 | 108.7 | 90.0 |
|  | May | 107.8 | 94.6 | 73.1 | 86.5 | 74.5 | 107. 1 | 105.2 | 108.3 | 90.5 |
|  | JUN | 105.3 | 95.1 | 73.0 | 86. 4 | 74.8 | 105. 5 | 105.1 | 108.4 | 90.4 |
|  | JUL | 107.0 | 94.8 | 72.8 | 86.0 | 74.7 | 105.9 | 105.0 | 108.3 | 90.5 |
|  | AUG | 107.7 | 94.9 | 72.5 | 85.8 | 74.7 | 105. | 105.2 | 108.5 | 90.1 |
|  | SEP | 107.5 | 95.0 | 72.8 | 85.8 | 75.0 | 106. 1 | 105.7 | 108.8 | 89.8 |

SOUREE: TNOUSTRY PRTCE INDEXES, CATALOGUE E2-OT, STAYISTICS CANADA.

UN』T LABOUR COST BY INOUSTRY
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | AGRI CUL TURE | FORESTRY | Minling | MANUFBCTURING | CONSTRUC - <br> TJON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPOR- } \\ & \text { TATION } \\ & \text { COMMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TION AND } \\ & \text { UTILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | Thate | FINANCE INSURANCE REAL ESTATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMINITY } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { PERSDNAL } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ | ```PUBLIC ADMINISFRA- TION ANO GEFENSE``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 16. 5 | 3.9 | 17.1 | 4.8 | -. 9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 1979 |  | 26.0 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 4.1 | E. 1 | B. 6 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 9.6 |
| 1980 |  | . 1 | 5. 8 | 22.3 | 13.7 | $8 . ?$ | 13.2 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.9 |
| 1981 |  | 1.7 | 13.7 | 25.5 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 10.4 | 12. 9 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 13.6 |
| 1982 |  | 3.6 | 12.9 | 18.5 | 14.5 | 5.7 | 16.0 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 10.8 |
| 1981 | 111 | 1.6 | -. 2 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 1981 | IV | -1.3 | . 0 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | -3.5 | 8 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
|  | 11 | 5.5 | 11.5 | 6. 0 | 2.4 | -5.0 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
|  | 111 | . 8 | 11.9 | 5.2 | . 4 | -9.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 2 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
|  | IV | 3. 9 | -17.8 | -7.2 | 1. 5 | 8.2 | 3. 6 | . 0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 1983 | 1 | -2. 5 | -2.2 | -3.1 | -3.1 | -2.9 | -. 9 | - 2 | . 2 | -1.0 | . 9 |
|  | 11 | 4.8 | -1.5 | . 2 | 3.0 | -3.8 | -1.6 | -1.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 1982 | JUL | . 1 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 4.6 | . 2 | 8 | . 9 | . 1 | . 2 | . 9 |
|  | AUG | $-1.9$ | 15.5 | -7.7 | -9.2 | -6. 5 | -1.1 | -1.0 | -. 4 | . 5 | 3.1 |
|  | SEP | 2.5 | -17.3 | . 5 | 3.2 | 11.5 | 1.4 | -. 3 | . 7 | 1.0 | -. 5 |
|  | OCT | -. 5 | -4. 2 | $-1.3$ | 1.6 | 6.7 | . 7 | -. 6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | . 6 |
|  | NOY | 2.7 | -10.6 | -5.8 | . 6 | -2.9 | 1.4 | . 6 | . 2 | . 8 | 1.5 |
|  | OEC | 4.7 | 1.1 | . 8 | 1.9 | -4.8 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 1.5 | .9 |
| 1983 | JAM | -5. 3 | -6.6 | -2.1 | -5.7 | . 4 | -4.0 | -1.1 | $-3.5$ | -3.0 | $-1.3$ |
|  | FEB | -. 9 | 19.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 | . 1 | -. 4 | 1.1 | . 1 | . 7 |
|  | MAR | 2.2 | -12.6 | $-1.7$ | . 2 | -2.3 | . 3 | -1.8 | . 0 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
|  | APR | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | . 9 | 1. 3 | -1.3 | . 1 | . 9 | -. 9 | -. 5 |
|  | MAY | 3.7 | -4. 9 | -2.6 | 1.8 | -6. 3 | -. 8 | . 3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | . 3 |
|  | +UN | . 0 | 6.9 | -3.0 | . 4 | -. 5 | . 0 | $-2.6$ | . 8 | . 7 | 1.7 |
|  | JUL | -. 1 | -6.5 | -1.0 | . 9 | 3.9 | -. 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -. 3 | 2 |

SOURLE: TNDEXES OF REAL DOMESTIL PRODUET BY INOUSTRY, CATALDGUE $61-005$, ESYTMAFES OF LABDUR INCOME, CAFALDGUE YR-OOF, STATISTICS CANADA

|  |  | Exports |  |  |  |  | IMPORTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD FEEEL. } \\ & \text { BEVERAGES } \\ & \text { AND TOBACCD } \end{aligned}$ | CRJDE <br> MATERIALS | FABRTCATEO MATERIALS | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \end{gathered}$ | TTYAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD FEED } \\ & \text { GEVERAGES } \\ & \text { AND TDGACCD } \end{aligned}$ | CRUDE <br> MATER\&ALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fagkleatec } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 16. 1 | 14.0 |
| 1979 |  | 20.9 | 22.1 | 26.9 | 23.6 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 10.8 |
| 1980 |  | 17.2 | 15.2 | 34.1 | 14.7 | 11.0 | 16.9 | 10.5 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 12.0 |
| 1981 |  | 6. 5 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 20.7 | 4.1 | 14.3 |
| 1982 |  | . 5 | -5. 1 | 6.1 | -1. 6 | 7.1 | 1.8 | -3.5 | - 15.2 | 3.5 | 7.0 |
| 1981 | 111 | 2.3 | -6. 1 | -1.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | -2. 6 | 11.1 | $-1.3$ | 2.0 |
|  | IV | 1.1 | -1. 1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 4.2 | -2.2 | -8.2 | -15.4 | -2.0 | 1.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.8 | -6. 1 | 15.3 | -1.8 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
|  | Id | -4.9 | 7.5 | -3.0 | -3. 1 | -. 9 | -2.2 | -1.0 | -21.2 | -1.3 | 1.7 |
|  | 111 | 2.9 | -2. 7 | -3.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | -2. 6 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 1.5 |
|  | IV | . 3 | -3. 7 | 5.6 | -2.6 | 2.4 | -3.6 | -6. 7 | -11.9 | -2.3 | -1.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 4 | -1.0 | 14.0 | $-1.0$ | -. 5 | $=.9$ | 6.0 | -17.3 | 1.7 | . 7 |
| 198 | 11 | $-2.6$ | 6. 4 | -19.4 | . 0 | 1.1 | $-2.9$ | -. 4 | -19.3 | -3.5 | . 3 |
| 1982 | AUG | -. 3 | -4.4 | 11.5 | $-1.0$ | -2.4 | -2.1 | -4.0 | -6. 2 | -3.1 | . 0 |
|  | SEP | -3.3 | -. 5 | -10.3 | 2.9 | -. 8 | -2.4 | -4.2 | -22.2 | 5.5 | $-.8$ |
|  | DCT | 2.3 | -1.7 | B. 8 | -3.4 | 3.0 | -2.7 | -3.0 | -5.2 | -4.5 | -1.2 |
|  | NOY | -. 1 | -1.5 | 4.7 | -1.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | . 5 | 21.4 | 3.1 | -1.4 |
|  | DEC | 1.4 | 2.4 | -4.0 | 1.1 | . 0 | -. 7 | . 2 | -2.6 | -6. 5 | 2.7 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.8 | -3. 5 | 19.4 | . 8 | -. 8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 11.3 | . 2 |
|  | FE8 | - 1.5 | 1.5 | 5.7 | $-2.7$ | -. 7 | -6.8 | . 8 | -38.0 | -8.3 | .3 |
|  | MAR | -4.0 | 1.6 | -20.4 | -. 9 | 1.2 | . 0 | 5.5 | 15.5 | . 7 | -2.9 |
|  | APR | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | . 7 | . 0 | . 3 | -2.2 | -2.1 | . 7 | 1.4 |
|  | MAY | -1. 5 | 2.5 | $-12.0$ | 1.3 | . 1 | -1.8 | -2. 7 | -20.8 | -2.7 | . 9 |
|  | JUN | -. 1 | 1.0 | -7.4 | -. 1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | -. 5 | 11.1 | . 3 | . 6 |
|  | JUL. | 3.3 | -3.2 | 9.5 | 4. 0 | . 1 | . 8 | 1.8 | 9.5 | 1.5 | -1.2 |
|  | AUG | -. 1 | -. 3 | -2.9 | -4.8 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 3.1 |

## Foreign Sector

62 External Trade, Merchandise Exports by Commodity Groupings, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 61
63 External Trade, Merchandise Exports by Commodity Groupings, Year over Year Percentage Changes ..... 61
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66 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Receipts, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 63
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68 Current Account Balance of International Payments. Payments, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 64
69 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Payments, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 64
70 Current Account Balance of International Payments,
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|  |  | INDEX OF PHYSICAL VOLUME |  | DOMESTIC EXPORTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TOTAL EXPORTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD ANIT } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | CRUDE PETROLEUM E NATURAL GAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATEO } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHINEKY \& } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { FDR } \\ & \text { INVESTMENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 144.8 | 53182.7 | 5301.6 | 8830.8 | 3763.1 | 19155.0 | 18855.0 | 2707. 1 | 12540.4 |
| 1979 |  | 147.5 | 65641.2 | 6314.0 | 12537.8 | 5293.8 | 24375.7 | 20923.8 | 3572.4 | 11899.7 |
| 1980 |  | 145.7 | 75158.7 | 8263.3 | 14759.4 | 6883.0 | 29345.0 | 21850.5 | 4082. 1 | 10923.9 |
| 1981 |  | 149.6 | 83811.5 | 9441.5 | 15210.8 | 6874.9 | 30540.3 | 25473.2 | 4997.8 | 13184.4 |
| 1882 |  | 149.9 | 84534.6 | 10225.3 | 14771.5 | 7483.1 | 27886.2 | 28675.9 | 4534.5 | 18507.2 |
| 1981 | IV | 153.9 | 21768. | 2738.6 | 3901.9 | 1759.2 | 7317.4 | 7058.0 | 1322.9 | 3749.8 |
| 1982 | , | 142.4 | 20431.0 | 1858.5 | 3947.9 | 2152.8 | 7200.2 | 6757.0 | 1236.8 | 3853.8 |
|  | 11 | 165.1 | 22649.3 | 28748 | 3588.2 | 1585.5 | 7045.1 | 8254.0 | 1199.4 | 5107.4 |
|  | III | 147.4 | 20890.3 | 2759.7 | 3565.0 | 1720.8 | 6891.5 | 6873.2 | 1054.1 | 4013.7 |
|  | IV | 144.9 | 20583.8 | 2734.3 | 3576.4 | 1924.0 | 6749.4 | 6781.? | 1044.2 | 3722.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | 145.9 | 20580. 7 | 2023.1 | 3720.5 | 2291.4 | 6899.0 | 7374.5 | 988.7 | 4805.7 |
|  | 11 | 171.0 | 23600.1 | 2900.2 | 3628.2 | 1747.4 | 7845.1 | 8709.7 | 1765.5 | 5867.1 |
|  | 111 |  | 21321.5 | 2832.9 | 3211.8 | 1624.9 | 1430.9 | 7243.1 | 1037.9 | 4413.8 |
| 1982 | SEP | 163.6 | 7567.2 | 985.2 | 1263.5 | 577.2 | 2342.6 | 2699.1 | 372.5 | 1665.0 |
|  | OCT | 142.2 | 6673.9 | 912.0 | 1136.0 | 579.8 | 2202.2 | 2209.5 | 339, 3 | 1249.0 |
|  | NOV | 147.7 | 6991.8 | 1003.7 | 1130.4 | 639.5 | 2310.8 | 2265.1 | 356.1 | 1253.6 |
|  | OEC | 144.9 | 6898.1 | 818.5 | 1310.0 | 704. 5 | 2235.4 | 2307.0 | 348, 8 | 1218.8 |
| 1983 | J. N | 132.4 | 8414.8 | 608.7 | 1249.3 | 798.8 | 2201.7 | 2149.5 | 338.7 | 1271.4 |
|  | FE8 | $142 . ?$ | 5823.2 | 543.7 | 1318.9 | 842.3 | 2199.8 | 2433.1 | 285.7 | 1599.8 |
|  | MAR | 182.6 | 7442.9 | 770.7 | 1152.3 | 650.3 | 2497 ? | 2791.9 | 360.3 | 1734.5 |
|  | APR | 158.7 | 7376.7 | 988.0 | 1253.2 | 852.1 | 2409.5 | 2705.5 | 354.8 | 1735.1 |
|  | MAY | 174.3 | 7972.9 | 1100.2 | 1157.9 | 558.9 | 2565.2 | 2918.0 | 358.8 | 1935.0 |
|  | JUM | 180.0 | 8250.5 | 1012.0 | 1217.1 | 536.4 | 2870.4 | 3086.2 | 842.9 | 1997.0 |
|  | JUL | 142.2 | 6708.5 | 905.8 | 975.5 | 529.1 | 2389.4 | 2248.2 | 323.9 | 1351.7 |
|  | AUG | 147.9 | 6971.7 | 995.0 | 1129.3 | 547.2 | 2481.8 | 2166.5 | 320.3 | 1290. |
|  | SEP |  | 7641.2 | 832.1 | 1105.0 | 548.6 | 2559.7 | 2828.4 | 393.7 | 1771.5 |

SOUREE: TRADE OF CGNAOA. EXPORTS, CATALOGOE 65-004, STATISTICS CAMADA.
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EXTERNAL TRADE
MERCHANDISE EXPORTS BY COMMDDITY GROUPIMGS YEAR DYER YEAR PERCENTAGE CMANGES


MERCHANDISE IMPORTS BY COMMOOITY GROUPINGS
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. NDT SEASOMALLY ADUUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TWOEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDTAL } \\ & \text { IMPORIS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FODE ANO } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { PETROLEUM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATEO } \\ & \text { MAYERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { PRODUCTS } \\ \text { INEDIBLE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHINERY \& } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { FOR } \\ & \text { IMVESTMENI } \end{aligned}$ | MOTOR VERICLES AND PARTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 158.0 | 50107.9 | 3781.7 | 5882.1 | 3457.0 | 8748.2 | 31303.5 | 7308.9 | 13385.9 |
| 1979 |  | 175.5 | 62870.5 | 4236.2 | 7970.0 | 4497.1 | 12023.8 | 38073.3 | 9770.5 | 15160.7 |
| 1980 |  | 165.8 | 69273.9 | 4802.8 | 11344.6 | 5919.3 | 12708.3 | 39656.1 | 11082.7 | 13609.2 |
| 1981 |  | 170.9 | 79481.8 | 5234.4 | 12307.5 | 8004.2 | 14547. ? | 46464.0 | 12451.7 | 16202.2 |
| 1982 |  | 143.3 | 67926.3 | 4946.1 | 8707.2 | 4984.7 | 11796.9 | 41462.9 | 9923.9 | 15169.8 |
| 1981 | IV | 167.4 | 19493.9 | 1360.4 | 2908.5 | 1749 g | 3572.3 | 11397.2 | 3008.3 | 3812.0 |
| 1982 | 1 | 147.3 | 17614.9 | 1145.9 | 2366.4 | 1647.4 | 3185.5 | 10686.5 | 2820.8 | 3550.0 |
|  | 11 | 156.0 | 18242.1 | 1286.2 | 2090.0 | 1055.7 | 2961.5 | 11657.5 | 2703.5 | 4879.9 |
|  | 111 | 136. | 15502.7 | 1242.7 | 2257.2 | 1253.7 | 2880.4 | 9885.6 | 2257.0 | 3845.0 |
|  | IV | 133.4 | 15566.5 | 1271.3 | 1993.6 | 10279 | 2759.4 | 9233.3 | 2142.5 | 3093.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 146.6 | 16923.8 | 1091.3 | 1733.5 | 983.3 | 3237.2 | 10625.4 | 2182.6 | \$201.8 |
|  | 11 | 170.2 | 19093.9 | 1281.0 | 1392.1 | 423.6 | 3587.9 | 12583.8 | 2573.6 | 5405.6 |
|  | 111 |  | 18656.2 | 1305.0 | 1950.9 | 854.2 | 3451.6 | 11691.6 | 2625.5 | 4050.0 |
| 1982 | SEP |  |  |  | 884.9 | 348.0 | 994.9 | 3350.6 | 749.2 | 1315.3 |
|  | OCT | 134.4 | 5153.9 | 444.6 | 613.7 | 252.5 | 897.5 | 3109.1 | 747.5 | 1052.0 |
|  | NOV | 141.3 | 5552.4 | 427.5 | 762.6 | 413.0 | 1054.1 | 3197.7 | 751.9 | 1018. 1 |
|  | OEC | 124.5 | 4860.3 | 399.2 | 617.3 | 352.4 | 817.8 | 2925.5 | 643.1 | 1023.8 |
| 1983 | $\checkmark$ AN | 131.6 | 5301.9 | 357.7 | 696.9 | 463.5 | 10554 | 3112.3 | 724. 3 | 1105.9 |
|  | FE日 | 145.1 | 5456.0 | 344.0 | 456.2 | 200.3 | 975.7 | 3807.5 | 540.6 | 1604.9 |
|  | MAR | 163.4 | 5165.9 | 389.5 | 580.4 | 319.5 | 1205.1 | 3906.5 | 817.7 | 1491.0 |
|  | APR | 164.0 | 6193.2 | 402.6 | 509.1 | 221.2 | 1171.6 | 4032. | 805.5 | 1712.8 |
|  | MAY | 174.0 | 5447.4 | 421.6 | 407.1 | 71.4 | 12550 | 4277.6 | 857.0 | 1895.8 |
|  | Jun | 172.5 | 5453.3 | 456.8 | 475.9 | 131.0 | 1161.3 | 4273.8 | 901.1 | 1797.9 |
|  | JUL | 151.4 | 5710.2 | 418.7 | 561.7 | 220.1 | 1020. 8 | 3530.5 | 851.5 | 1338.2 |
|  | AUG | 162.7 | 6337.3 | 451.5 | 598.6 | 275.2 | 1291.9 | 3909.4 | 895.5 | 1225.9 |
|  | SEP |  | 6618.7 | 434.8 | 790.5 | 368.9 | 1148.9 | 4151.7 | 878.5 | 1485.9 |

SOURCE: TRADE OF CAMADA, IMPORTS. CATALOGUE B5-007. STATTSTICS CAMDOA.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TWDEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { YOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOYAL } \\ & \text { IMPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD AND } \\ & \text { LIVE } \\ & \text { ANIMALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CTUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { PETRDLEUM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICAYEE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEOIGLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { PROOUCTS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | HACRINERY 8 EQUIPMENT FOR INUESTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \\ & \text { AND PARTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 3.2 | 18.3 | 14.4 | 10. 5 | 7.5 | 25.1 | 18.9 | 19.8 | 15.6 |
| 1979 | 11.1 | 25.5 | 12.0 | 35. 5 | 30.1 | 37.4 | 21.6 | 33.7 | 13.3 |
| 1980 | -5.5 | 10.2 | 13.4 | 42.3 | 53.9 | 5.7 | 4. 2 | 13.4 | -10.2 |
| 1981 | 3.1 | 14.7 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 15,7 | 14.5 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 19.1 |
| 1982 | - 16.2 | -14.5 | -5.5 | -29.3 | $-37.7$ | -18.9 | -10.8 | -20.3 | -6. 4 |
| 1981 1V | -2.8 | 5.1 | -9.0 | -1.1 | 3.4 | 13.5 | 6.1 | 6.9 | -3.2 |
| 19821 | -11.4 | -6.9 | -5.1 | -20.7 | -17.0 | -4.0 | -4.7 | -8.0 | -4.9 |
| 11 | -17.2 | -16.5 | -5.2 | -35. 5 | -51.3 | -27.5 | -9.5 | -19.3 | -1.9 |
| 111 | -15.5 | -14.1 | -5.2 | -27.6 | -40.4 | -19.4 | -9.9 | -25.4 | -1.0 |
| IV | -20.3 | -20.1 | -5.5 | -31.5 | -41.3 | -22.5 | -19.0 | -28.8 | -18.8 |
| 1983 | -. 5 | -3.9 | -4.8 | -26. 7 | -40.3 | 1.6 | -. 6 | -22.6 | 18.4 |
| II | 9.1 | 4.7 | $\therefore 4$ | -33.4 | -59.9 | 21.1 | 7.9 | -4.8 | 10.8 |
| 111 |  | 13.1 | 5.0 | -13.E | -31.1 | 20.2 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 11.1 |
| 1982 SEP | -18.6 | -17.5 | -8.7 | -28.4 | -41.9 | -23.6 | $-14.4$ | -29.6 | - 9 |
| OCT | -24.4 | -25.0 | -8. 9 | -38.3 | -55.9 | -30.0 | -22.3 | -32.4 | -21.3 |
| NDV | -18.9 | -15.3 | -5.5 | -2. 7 | -. 8 | -13.6 | -20.5 | -25.7 | -25.2 |
| DEC | -17.1 | -19.9 | $-5.0$ | -45.4 | -52.3 | $-23.6$ | -13.3 | -27.8 | -8.0 |
| 1983 JAN | 4.5 | 6.2 | 7.0 | -1.8 | -2.4 | 7. 5 | 7.3 | -12. ? | 33.2 |
| FE8 | E | - 7.2 | -3.7 | -46.1 | -67. 6 | $-5.3$ | 1.2 | -28.4 | 28.2 |
| MAR | $-5.0$ | -8. 6 | -14.3 | -28.4 | -42.4 | 2.7 | -7.5 | -25.4 | 1.6 |
| APR | 1.9 | . 1 | . 1 | -21. 4 | -36.6 | 9.7 | 1.3 | - 14.6 | 5.1 |
| MAY | 12.4 | 8.3 | 8 | -38.1 | -98.0 | 28.3 | 12.1 | -1.8 | 16.6 |
| JUN | 13.3 | 5.7 | -1.9 | -39.3 | -65.8 | 26.8 | 10.6 | 2.8 | 10.7 |
| JUL | 11.8 | 2.3 | -. 4 | -31.5 | - 53.9 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 14.3 |
| AUG | 21.5 | 17.2 | 5.8 | -20.4 | - 35.8 | 44.7 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 5.7 |
| SEP |  | 20.0 | 9.9 | 15.4 | 6.0 | 15.5 | 23.9 | 17.3 | 13.0 |

CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
MILLIONS OF OOLIARS. SEASONALLY AOUUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { EXPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVILE RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFER RECEIPTS  <br> TNHERI- PERSONAL S  <br> TANCES AND INSTITU-  <br> MIGRANTS TIOHAL <br> FUNDS REMITTANCES  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MTHHDLD- } \\ & \text { ING } \\ & \text { TAX } \end{aligned}$ | total CURRENT RECEIPTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Thavel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { DIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { SERYJCE } \\ & \text { RECEJPTS } \end{aligned}$ | TOPAL |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 53382 | 2378 | 1208 | 2714 | 3645 | 9945 | 616 | 394 | 582 | 64899 |
| 1979 |  | 65582 | 2887 | 1271 | 3453 | 4329 | 11950 | 799 | 450 | 754 | 79535 |
| 1980 |  | 77086 | 3349 | 1577 | 3980 | 5419 | 14305 | 1161 | 519 | 995 | 9405 |
| 1981 |  | 84480 | 3760 | 1829 | 4293 | 6268 | 15148 | 1404 | 545 | 1110 | 103887 |
| 1982 |  | 84577 | 3724 | 1587 | 3924 | 7625 | 16861 | 1391 | 610 | 1178 | 104517 |
| 1981 | 111 | 20942 | 945 | 470 | 1081 | 1658 | 4150 | 342 | 149 | 334 | 25917 |
|  | IV | 21390 | 939 | 522 | 1082 | 1698 | 4241 | 379 | 141 | 291 | 26442 |
| 1982 | 1 | 20555 | 941 | 423 | 978 | 1824 | 4166 | 394 | 150 | 287 | 25552 |
|  | II | 21571 | 924 | 372 | 1011 | 1945 | 4252 | 384 | 150 | 300 | 26659 |
|  | III | 22182 | 919 | 350 | 983 | 1930 | 4182 | 287 | 155 | 298 | 27104 |
|  | IV | 20269 | 940 | 442 | 952 | 1927 | 4251 | 325 | 155 | 293 | 25304 |
| 1983 | 1 | 20784 | 928 | 472 | 955 | 1748 | 4103 | 330 | 157 | 231 | 25605 |
|  | 11 | 22633 | 915 | 390 | 992 | 1658 | 3954 | 30 ? | 157 | 252 | 27303 |

SOUREE; QUARTERCY ESTIMATES OF THE CANADIAN BALANCE OF YNTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS, CATALOGUE B7-OOI, SMATISTTCS CANAOA.

SEF 8. 1983
TABLE 67
3.19 PM

CURRENT ACCDUNT GALANCE OF INTERNATIDNAL PAYMENTS
PERCENYAGE CHANEE RECEDPTS
PERCENYAGE CHANGES OF SEASOHALIY AONUSYED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { EXPDRTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE RECETPTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFER RECETPYS |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { MITHHOLD } \\ \text { ING } \\ \text { TAX }}}{\text { nen }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { CURREMT } \\ \text { RECEIPTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | travel | IMTEREST AND OIVIDENDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGKT } \\ & \text { AHD } \\ & \text { SKIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER SERVICE RECEIPTS | TOTAL | TNHER! <br> TAMEES AND MIGRANTS. FUNOS | PERSONAL ${ }^{\text {I }}$ INSTITU- TIONAL PEMITYANCES |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 19.9 | 17.4 | 38.2 | 14.5 | 19.8 | 19.6 | -10.7 | 19.0 | 9.0 | 18.4 |
| 1979 |  | 22.9 | 21.4 | 5.2 | 27.6 | 18.8 | 20.2 | 29.7 | 14.2 | 29.5 | 22.6 |
| 1980 |  | 17.5 | 15.0 | 24.1 | 14.4 | 25.2 | 19.7 | 45.3 | 15.3 | 32.0 | 18.3 |
| 1981 |  | 9.6 | 12, 3 | 16.0 | 8.4 | 15. 5 | 12.9 | 20.9 | 5.0 | 11.6 | 10.2 |
| 1982 |  | 1 | -1.0 | -13.2 | -8. 6 | 21.7 | 4.4 | -. 9 | 11.9 | 6.1 | . 9 |
| 1981 | 111 | $-3.3$ | 4 | 42.0 | . 5 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 6 | 13.7 | 35.8 | -1.2 |
|  | IV | 2.1 | - 6 | 11.1 | . 1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 10.8 | -5.4 | - 12.9 | 2.0 |
| 1982 | 1 | $-3.9$ | 2 | -19.0 | -9.6 | 7.4 | -1.8 | 4.0 | E. 4 | $-1.4$ | -3.4 |
|  | II | 4.9 | -1.8 | -12. 1 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 2.1 | -2. 5 | 0 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | -. 5 | -5.9 | -2.8 | -. 8 | -1. 6 | -25.3 | 3.3 | -. 7 | 1.7 |
|  | IV | -8.6 | 2.3 | 25.3 | $-3.2$ | -. 2 | 1.9 | 13.5 | . 0 | -1.7 | - 5.5 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.5 | -1.3 | 6.8 | . 3 | -9.3 | $-3.7$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | -21.2 | 1.2 |
|  | II | 8.9 | -1.4 | -17.4 | 3.9 | -5.1 | -3.6 | -7.0 | . 0 | 9.1 | B. 5 |

SOURCE: QUAFTERLY ESTMATES OF THE CANBDIAN GALANCE OF INTERNATIOMAT PAYMENTS. CATGLOGUE $6 \%-001$, STATISTICS CANADA

CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE OF JNTERMATJONAL PAYMENTS
PAYMENTS
HILLJONS OF DOLLARS. SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { OISE } \\ & \text { IMPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFEK FAYMENTS |  | OFFICIAL CONTRIBUTIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \\ & \text { PAYMENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAVEL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { DIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER SERVICE PAYMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MITHHDL D- } \\ & \text { ING } \\ & \text { TAX } \end{aligned}$ | NHER I- <br> TANCES AND MIGRAMTS FUNDS | PERSUNAL \& INSTITUTIONAL REMITTANCES |  |  |
| 197\% | 49049 | 4084 | 6113 | 2583 | 5865 | 582 | 252 | 380 | -910 | E9896 |
| 1979 | 61159 | 3955 | 5640 | 3159 | 7373 | 754 | 255 | 437 | -645 | 84375 |
| 1980 | 68293 | 4597 | 7167 | 3447 | 9237 | 995 | $25^{\circ}$ | 478 | -580 | 95135 |
| 1981 | 77112 | 4875 | 845 | 3853 | 12544 | 1110 | 270 | 519 | -718 | 109453 |
| 1982 | 6E239 | 5008 | 10593 | 3343 | 13502 | 1178 | 284 | 574 | -879 | 101600 |
| 1981 111 | 19882 | 1222 | 2351 | 1004 | 3347 | 334 | 67 | 130 | - 192 | 28529 |
| IV | 18772 | 1260 | 2197 | 978 | 3245 | 291 | 58 | 131 | -200 | 27142 |
| 19821 | 17033 | 1265 | 2439 | 848 | 3345 | 287 | 70 | 142 | -237 | 25666 |
| II | 16816 | 1276 | 2636 | 871 | 3373 | 300 | 71 | 142 | -207 | 25692 |
| III | 17139 | 1214 | 2595 | 831 | 3412 | 298 | 72 | 144 | - 195 | 25992 |
| IV | 15259 | 1253 | 2823 | 793 | 3372 | 293 | 71 | 145 | -240 | 24250 |
| $19831$ | 16736 | 1322 | 2781 | 814 | 2983 | 231 | 73 | 455 | -257 | 25352 |
| $11$ | 17447 | 1455 | 2882 | 842 | 2864 | 252 | 13 | 155 | -243 | 26193 |

TABLE 69
3:17 PM

CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIOMAL PAYMENTS
PAYMENTS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED EIGURES

| MERCHANOISE IMPORTS |  | SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |  |  |  | TRINSFER PAYMEMTS |  | OFFICIAL CONTRIBUT10NS | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { PAYMENTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAVEL | IATEREST AND <br> OIVIDENOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FREJGHT } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { SHIPPING } \end{gathered}$ | OTHER SERVICE PAYMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { MITHMOLO- } \\ \text { ING } \\ \text { TAK } \end{gathered}$ | TANCES AND MJGRANTS FUNDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMSTITU- } \\ & \text { TIONAL } \\ & \text { REMITTANCES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1978 | 18.1 | 11.4 | 29.9 | 7.8 | 24.2 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 67.5 | 18.9 |
| 1979 | 24.7 | -3. 2 | 8.6 | 22.3 | 25.7 | 29.6 | 1.2 | 15.0 | -29.1 | 20.9 |
| 1980 | 11.7 | 15.7 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 25.3 | 32.0 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 12.8 |
| 1881 | 12.9 | 6.5 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 35.8 | 11.6 | 3.4 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 15.1 |
| 1982 | -14.1 | 2.7 | 25.3 | -13.2 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 10.6 | 22.4 | -7. 2 |
| 1981 JII | -. 9 | 1.0 | 21.2 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 35.8 | - 1.5 | 8 | 15.0 | 2.5 |
| IV | -5. 5 | 3.1 | -6. 6 | -2.6 | -3.0 | -12.9 | 9.5 | . 8 | 4. 2 | -4.9 |
| 19828 | -8. 3 | 4 | 11.0 | $-13.3$ | 3. 1 | -1.4 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 18.5 | -5.4 |
| 11 | -1.3 | 9 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 8 | 4.5 | 1.4 | . 0 | -12. 7 | . 9 |
| III | 1.8 | -4.5 | 2.2 | -4. 6 | 1.2 | -. 7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | -5.8 | 1.2 |
| JV | -10.8 | 3.2 | 4.7 | -4.6 | -1.2 | $-1.7$ | -1.4 | 1.4 | 23.1 | -6.7 |
| 19831 | 8.7 | 5.5 | -1.5 | 2.6 | -11.5 | -21.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 4.5 |
| IJ | 4.2 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -4.0 | 9.1 | . 0 | . 0 | $-5.4$ | 3.3 |

SOURCE: QULRTERLY ESTIMATES OF THE CARADIAN BALANCE OF INTERNGTIONAL PAYMERTS, CATALDEUE $6 \%-001$, STATISTICS CANGOA

CURRENT ACCOUNT GALAMCE DF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
MILLJDNS OF DOLLARS. SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


## Financial Markets
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monetagy aggregates

|  |  | NO\% SEASONALIY ADJUST EI |  |  |  |  | SEASONALIY AJJUSTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YEAR OVER YEAR PERCEMTAGE CHANGES |  |  |  |  | MONTHLY PERCENTAGI CHANGES |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIGH } \\ & \text { POMEREO } \\ & \text { MOMEY (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 1 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 18 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 2 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 3 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { POWERED } \\ & \text { MONEY (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 18 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 2 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & \text { (5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 12.1 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 14.5 | 12.1 | 10.1 | B. 8 | 11.1 | 14.5 |
| 1979 |  | 10.4 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 15.7 | 20.2 | 10.4 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 15.7 | 20.2 |
| 1980 |  | 7.7 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 18.9 | 16.9 |
| 1981 |  | 7.4 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 15.2 | 13.1 |
| 1982 |  | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | . 7 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 5.0 |
| 1981 | IV | 3.5 | -3.5 | -4.8 | 12.7 | 11.5 | -. 7 | -3.2 | -3.4 | . 9 | 7 |
| 1982 | 1 | 4.4 | . 3 | -1.5 | 12.0 | 5. $5^{\text {b }}$ | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0 |
|  | 11 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 11.1 | 6.5 | -2.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
|  | 111 | . 1 | -1.4 | 1 | 9.2 | 3.3 | 1.0 | -2.0 | -. 8 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
|  | IV | . 4 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 3.8 | -. 3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | -. 4 | 7.2 | 9,4 | 7.7 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
|  | 11 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 2.0 | -. 1 | 3.2 | 3.8 | . 5 | -1.7 |
|  | 111 | 3.3 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 5.7 | . 1 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.4 | -. 3 |
| 1982 | 0 Cl | $-1.3$ | 3.5 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 3.3 | -. 1 | . 1 | 5 | 4 | 8 |
|  | MOV | 1.2 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 4.9 | . 8 | -. 1 | . 2 | -. 2 | -. 0 |
|  | DE E | 1.3 | 3.1 | 5. 3 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 5 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 4.5 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | -. 2 |
|  | FEB | $-.7$ | 8. 6 | 10.4 | 8.0 | 5.7 | - . 2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 | . 8 |
|  | mar | . 0 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 4.4 | -. 9 | -. 3 | . 2 | . 5 | . 6 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ | -. 8 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 6.7 | 2.8 | -. 1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0 | -9.5 |
|  | may | 2.9 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | -. 8 | -1.2 |
|  | JUN | 3.5 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 9 | -. 2 |
|  | Jul | 3.5 | 12.4 | 14.5 | 5.5 | 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 7 | -. 1 |
|  | AUG | 1.8 | 15.1 | 17.5 | 6. 1 | 3 | - 4 | . 3 | 1.3 | 5 | 2 |
|  | SEP | 4.5 | 13.4 | 16.7 | 5.6 | $-3$ | . 2 | $-1$ | 3 | . 0 | . 2 |
|  | OCT |  | 12.3 | 15.8 | 5.0 | -. 6 |  | -1.4 | -. 7 | -. 2 | 4 |



FOREIGM EXGHANGE AND MONEY MARKET IMDICATORS
MILLIONS OF OOLIARS


# NET NEM SECURITY ISSUES PAYABLE IN CANADIAN AND FOREIGN CURREMEIES 

MLLIIONS OF CANADIAN ODLIARS
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


INTEREST GATES
MONTH-ENB
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


SOURCE: GANK OF CRHADA REVIEK.

## EXCHANGE RATES

CANAOIAN OOLLARS PER URIT OF OTHER CURRENCIES NBT SEASONALLY ADJUSTLD

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S } \\ \text { DOLIAR } \end{gathered}$ | BRITISM POUNE | FRENCH FRANC | GERMAN MARK | SMISS <br> FRANC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JAPANESE } \\ & \text { YEN } \\ & \text { (THDUSAND } \end{aligned}$ | TNDEX of GRDUP OF TEN COUNTRIES (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 1.141 | 2.191 | . 254 | 570 | E44 | 5.484 | 118.4 |
| 1979 |  | 1.171 | 2.486 | . 276 | 640 | 705 | 5. 369 | 122.4 |
| 1980 |  | 1169 | 2.720 | . 277 | 644 | 698 | 5. 185 | 122.4 |
| 1981 |  | 1. 199 | 2.430 | . 222 | 532 | 613 | 5.452 | 122.7 |
| 1982 |  | 1.234 | 2. 158 | . 189 | 509 | 609 | 4.967 | 123.3 |
| 1881 |  | 1.192 | 2.244 | 211 | 531 | 652 | 5. 315 | 121.3 |
| 1982 |  | 1.209 | 2.231 | 202 | . 515 | 645 | 5.173 | 122.1 |
|  | 11 | 1.245 | 2.215 | . 198 | . 523 | 524 | 5.101 | 124.8 |
|  | 111 | 1.250 | 2. 155 | . 180 | 503 | 591 | 4.828 | 124.2 |
|  | Iv | 1.231 | 2.030 | . 174 | 493 | 576 | 4.765 | 121.9 |
| 1983 | $!$ | 1.227 | 1.880 | 178 | . 510 | 609 | 5.211 | 122.1 |
|  | II | 1.231 | 1.913 | . 165 | . 496 | 593 | 5. 184 | 122.0 |
|  | 111 | 1.233 | 1.861 | . 155 | 466 | 574 | 5.086 | 121.3 |
| 1982 | DET | 1.230 | 2.086 | . 172 | 486 | 586 | 4.530 | 121.5 |
|  | NOV | 1.226 | 2.002 | . 170 | 481 | 560 | 4.656 | 121.0 |
|  | DE 5 | 1.238 | 2.002 | . 180 | . 511 | 603 | 5. 109 | 123.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.228 | 1.933 | . 181 | 514 | 625 | 5.280 | 122.6 |
|  | FEB | 1.227 | 1.881 | . 178 | . 505 | 609 | 5.204 | 122. 1 |
|  | MAR | 1.226 | 1.827 | . 175 | . 509 | 594 | 5. 148 | 121.7 |
|  | APR | 1.232 | 1.897 | - 168 | 505 | 599 | 5. 185 | 122.2 |
|  | May | 1.229 | 1.935 | . 165 | 498 | 597 | 5.233 | 122.0 |
|  | JUN | 1.232 | 1.908 | . 151 | 483 | 583 | 5. 133 | 121.8 |
|  | JUL | 1. 232 | 1.883 | . 158 | 476 | 582 | 5. 124 | 121.6 |
|  | AUG | 1.234 | 1.854 | . 153 | 451 | 590 | 5.04\% | 121.2 |
|  | SEP | 1.232 | 1. 847 | 153 | 452 | 570 | 5.088 | 121.2 |
|  | OCT | P. 232 | 1.845 | 155 | 473 | 584 | 5.291 | 121.6 |

SOUREE: BANM OF CLNAOA REVIEW. ECONOMIC REVIEN DEPARTMENT DF TINANCE. THE GROUP OF TEN COUNTRIES COMPRISE BELGIUM. CANADA
(I) GEOMETRICALLY MEIGHTED BY 19T7-8! BILATERAL SHARES OF TRAOE. THE PRANCE GERMANY. ITALY, JAPAN. THE NETHERIANDS, SHEDEN, THE UNITED KINGDOM, THE UHITEO STATES AND SMITZERLAND.

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
MILLIDHS OF DOLLARS HOY SEASONALLY AOJUSTED

|  | DIRECT [MVESTMENT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET } \\ & \text { CANADIAN } \\ & \text { STOCKS } \end{aligned}$ | DUTSTANDING [AMAD]典 BONDS | MEN ISSUES of canadian BONES | RETIREMENTS OF CANADIAN BONDS | TOTAL <br> CANADIAN <br> EDNOS | EXPORT CREDITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | ABRDAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 135 | -2325 | -270 | 36 | 6547 | -1314 | 5267 | -881 |
| 1979 | 750 | -2550 | 522 | 476 | 5079 | -2113 | 3442 | -877 |
| 1980 | 800 | - 3150 | \$485 | 1071 | 5052 | -2454 | 3677 | - 1185 |
| 1981 | - 8400 | -6900 | -635 | 1266 | 13605 | - 3227 | 11645 | -847 |
| 1982 | - 1425 | $-200$ | -326 | -130 | 16002 | -3741 | 12130 | -2239 |
| 1981111 | - 345 | -2115 | 164 | 246 | 2830 | -551 | 2524 | -184 |
| IV | - 1205 | -2015 | -168 | 275 | 6458 | - 1298 | 5447 | -156 |
| 1982 | - 785 | 1310 | - 177 | 345 | 4388 | -726 | 4007 | -201 |
| 11 | - 165 | - 705 | 23 | 120 | 4089 | - 1032 | 3176 | -609 |
| 111 | 170 | -465 | -276 | -202 | 4733 | - 1013 | 3518 | -964 |
| IV | 425 | - 340 | 104 | - 393 | 2792 | -970 | 1429 | -685 |
| 1983 J | -200 | - 800 | 51 | -37 | 2642 | - 1330 | 1275 | 523 |
| 11 | 380 | -550 | 99 | 307 | 2658 | -1367 | 1598 | 217 |



CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS LONG-TERM CAPITAL FLOMS CONTINUED
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | FDRE[GN SELURITIES |  |  | GOVERNMENT OF CANADA |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { LONG-TERM } \\ & \text { CAPITAL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { LONG-TERM } \\ & \text { CAPITAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LOANS AND SUESCRIPIJONS |  |  |  |  |
|  | TRADE IN OUTSTANDING SECURIT]ES | $\begin{gathered} \text { NEM } \\ \text { ISSUE } \$ \end{gathered}$ | RETIREMENTS | TO NATIDNAL GOVERNMENT S | TO JNTERNATIONAL AGENCIES | REPAYMENTS |  |  |
| 1978 | 29 | -24 | 21 | -261 | -248 | 261 | 1518 | 3221 |
| 1979 | -315 | -312 | 46 | -230 | -321 | 33 | 1900 | 2087 |
| 1980 | -7 | -195 | 20 | -238 | -279 | 38 | 227 | 1191 |
| 1981 | -14 | -95 | 10 | -320 | -310 | 41 | 1971 | 148 |
| 1982 | -527 | -30 | 18 | -288 | -201 | 43 | 2135 | 9090 |
| 1981 III | 546 | -50 | 2 | -67 | -57 | 0 | 889 | 1308 |
| 18 | 1 | -8 | 1 | -99 | -219 | 31 | 1119 | 2720 |
| 1982 ] | -22 | -10 | 5 | - 101 | -27 | 7 | 1566 | 4502 |
| II | - 100 | -4 | 4 | -44 | 0 | 1 | 323 | 1899 |
| 111 | -99 | -5 | 2 | -69 | -1 | 1 | -26 | 1986 |
| IV | -306 | -11 | 7 | -74 | -173 | 34 | 272 | 703 |
| $19831$ | -174 | -5 | 4 | -92 | -151 | $4$ | 323 | 959 |
| $11$ | -379 | -6 | 3 | -25 | -96 | 1 | 91 | 1333 |
| SOURCE: QUARTERLY ESTJMATES OF THE CANAOIAN BALANCE OF INTERNAT JONAL PAYMENTS, CATALOGUE E7-OOT. STATISTICS CANADA. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 16. |  |  |  | TABLE 78 |  |  |  | 8:58 AM |

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF JNTERNATIONAL PAYMENYS SHDRT-TERM CAPITAL FLOMS
MILLIONS DF DDLLARS, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | NON-RESIDENT HDLTINES OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CANADIAN } \\ & \text { DOLLAR } \\ & \text { DEPOSITS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERNMENT } \\ & \text { DEMAND } \\ & \text { LIABILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TREASURY } \\ \text { BILLS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINANCE } \\ & \text { COMPANY } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | GYHER FJHANCE COMPANY DOLIGATIONS | COMMERCIAL PAPER | OFHER PAPER |
| 1978 | 37 | 55 | - 53 | 128 | -66 | -187 | 143 |
| 1979 | 525 | 217 | -179 | -4 | -1 | 154 | 527 |
| 1980 | -60 | 172 | 542 | - 164 | 68 | -79 | 752 |
| 1981 | 1394 | 165 | -2 | 759 | 471 | -86 | 544 |
| 1982 | -731 | 0 | 107 | - 1149 | 53 | 16 | 181 |
| 1981!11 | -43 | 41 | 219 | 208 |  | 0 | 497 |
| IV | 1038 | 188 | - 148 | 213 | 107 | -167 | -412 |
| 1982 | -530 | -6 | 6 | -34 | 47 | 66 | - 120 |
|  | -217 | -50 | $-87$ | - 512 | -15 | 2 | 256 |
| [11 | 62 | -36 | 256 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 254 |
| It | -46 | 92 | -58 | -508 | 18 | -55 | -209 |
| $19831$ | -201 | 410 | 357 | 90 | -13 | -9 | -102 |
| II | -251 | 41 | 120 | 176 | -34 | 158 | 42 |

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF IHTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
SHDRT-TERM CAPITAL FLOMS CONTINUED
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


## International

80 Gross National Product in Constant Dollars, Percentage Change of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 77
81 Current Account Balance, Seasonally Adjusted
Figures in Local Currency ..... 77
82 Industrial Production, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 78
83 Unemployment Rate, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 78
84 Consumer Price Index, Percentage Changes,
Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 79
85 Merchandise Exports, Balance of Payment Basis, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 79
86 Merchandise Imports, Balance of Payment Basis,
Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 80
87 Merchandise Trade Balance, Balance of Payment Basis, Seasonally Adjusted Figures in Local Currency ..... 80
88 Money Supply (M1), Percentage Changes of
Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 81
89 Prime Rate ..... 81

GRDSS NATIONAL PROOUCT IN CDNSTANT DOLLARS PERCENTAGE EHANGE OF SEASONALLY AOJUSTED FJGURES

|  | CAMADA | UNITED STATES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \\ & 111 \end{aligned}$ | FRANCE (1) | GERMANY | ITALY <br> (1) | $\checkmark$ APAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 3.6 | 5.0 | Na | 3.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 |
| 1979 | 2.9 | 2.8 | Na | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| 1980 | . 5 | -. 4 | NA | 1.1 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| 1981 | 3.1 | 1.9 | $-1.1$ | . 2 | -. 2 | . 1 | 3.9 |
| 1982 | -4.3 | $-8.7$ | 2.3 | 1.7 | -1.1 | $-.3$ | 2.9 |
| 1981 IV | -. 9 | $-1.3$ | 1.8 | . 8 | . 0 | 1. 3 | $-.3$ |
| 19821 | -2. 3 | -1.3 | 2 | . 0 | -. 9 | 1.5 | . 4 |
| It | -1.3 | 5 | 3 | . 9 | 0 | -1.4 | 1.9 |
| 111 | -1.1 | 2 | 5 | -. 5 | -. 8 | -2.3 | . 9 |
| IV | - .9 | 0 | 2.5 | . 8 | -. 2 | - 1 | A |
| 19831 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.1 |  | . 5 | . 4 | 2 |
| III | 1.8 | 2.3 1.9 | - 1.8 |  | 1.1 | -1.9 | 9 |

SOURCE: OATA RESOURCES OF CANADA
(1) GROSS DOMESTIC PRDOUCT

Nov 16. 1983
TABLE 81
8:53 am

CURRENT ACCDUNT BALANCE
SEASONALIY AOJUSTED FIGURES IN LOCAL CURRENCY

|  | CANADA (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTTED } \\ & \text { STATES } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITEO } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \\ & \{21 \end{aligned}$ | FRANCE (1) | GERMANY 121 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITALY } \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | JAPAN <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | - 1229 | -3.86 | 05 | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.44 | 44 | 1365 |
| 1978 | -1210 | -. 24 | -. 07 | Ha | -. 96 | . 07 | -744 |
| 1980 | -267 | 11 | . 24 | NA | -2.49 | -. 89 | -907 |
| 1901 | -1442 | 1.15 | 52 | -7393 | -1.31 | -. 65 | 389 |
| 1882 | 754 | -2.80 | 45 | -19787 | . 70 | -. 85 | 543 |
| 1981 lv | -700 | 58 | 48 | - 11628 | 1.34 | -. 99 | 304 |
| 1982 | -114 | 56 | 28 | - 11900 | -. 39 | -1.15 | 410 |
| 1] | 965 | 1.43 | . 30 | -27904 | . 76 | -. 63 | 775 |
| [1] | 1112 | -5. 60 | 42 | -22793 | 47 | -. 46 | 545 |
| IV | 1054 | -6. 62 | 81 | -16552 | 1.97 | -1.17 | 443 |
| 1983 | 253 | -3.59 | . 25 | -25044 | 1.30 | -. 28 | 1204 |
| il | 1110 | $-9.71$ | -. 10 |  | . 71 | 15 | 2062 |
| 111 |  |  | .03 |  | . 08 |  | 1888 |

SOTRCE: GATA RISOURCES OF CANATA.
(1) MILLJONS.
(2) BILIONS
(3) TRILLIONS.
(4) MLLLIDNS OF U.S. DOLLARS.

|  |  | CANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \end{aligned}$ | France | germany | ITALY | JAPAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.6 | 5.8 | Na | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 6.3 |
| 1979 |  | 6.1 | 4.4 | Na | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 7.4 |
| 1980 |  | -1.7 | -3.6 | NA | -. 7 | -. 8 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| 1981 |  | 1.7 | 2.6 | Ha | -2.6 | -2.7 | -3.6 | 1.0 |
| 1982 |  | - 10.8 | -8. 1 | NA | -1.5 | -3.0 | -2.4 | 3 |
| 1982 | IV | -4.4 | -4.4 | 9.5 | 5 | -. 3 | 5.6 | 1.4 |
|  | 1 | -2.8 | -3.1 | -9.0 | -1.5 | -. 3 | 5.2 | -. 8 |
|  | 11 | $-2.7$ | $-1.9$ | . 9 | . 5 | -. 5 | -4.6 | -1.6 |
|  | 111 | -3.0 | -. 9 | . 8 | $-2.3$ | -3.0 | -9.0 | 1.0 |
|  | IV | -4.0 | -2.1 | -. 5 | 1.1 | -1.6 | 2.2 | -1.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 1.2 | . 5 | 1.3 | -. 5 | . 9 |
|  |  | 3.0 |  | . 2 | 1.0 | 2.3 | -2.7 |  |
|  | 111 |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4 |  | 2.9 |
| 1982 | SEP | -3.4 | -. 8 | 6 | 0 | -2.6 | 20.5 | 1.4 |
|  | DCT | -3.1 | -1.2 | -. 7 | 1.6 | -2.2 | -4. 9 | -3.2 |
|  | nov | . 7 | -. 6 | -1.6 | . 0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
|  | DEC | -1.4 | 2 | 2.1 | -1. 5 | - 1 | -9.2 | -8.0 |
| 1983 | JAN | 6.3 | 1.6 | . 4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | FEB | -. 1 | . 5 | . 5 | . 0 | $-2.5$ | -. 7 | -. 6 |
|  | MAR | . 5 | 1.4 | -1.1 | 0 | 1.7 | -. 5 | 2.3 |
|  | APR | 1.1 | 1.9 | 7 | 0 | ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -4.5 | -. 2 |
|  | may | 1.1 | 1.3 | 9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 4.9 | . 2 |
|  | JUN | 2.2 | 1.4 | $-1.9$ | -1.5 | 1.2 | -2.3 | 1.0 |
|  | Jut | 9 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.68 | -1.6 1.2 | .6 -8.9 | 2.28 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {SEP }}^{\text {AUG }}$ | 4 | 9.2 | -. 3 | . 0 | 1.2 .0 | -8.7 | 2.8 .5 |

SOURCE: DATA RESOURCES DF CARADA.

DEC 1. 1983
TABLE 83
10:04 AM

UNEMPLDYMENT RATE
SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO

|  |  | CAMADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTYEO } \\ & \text { STATES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITEO } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRSNCE } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | GERMANY | JAPAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 8.4 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
| 1979 |  | 7.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 15.8 | 3.8 | 2.1 |
| 1980 |  | 7.5 | 71 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 2.0 |
| 1981 |  | 7.6 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 22.3 | 5.6 | 2.2 |
| 1982 |  | 11. 1 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 7.7 | 2.4 |
| 1981 | 1 V | 8.4 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 2.2 |
| 1982 | I | 8.9 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 2.2 |
|  | 11 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 2.4 |
|  | 111 | 12. 1 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 2.4 |
|  | IV | 12.7 | 10.5 | 12.2 | -. 3 | 8.5 | 2.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 12.6 | -1.0 | 9.0 | 2.7 |
|  | 11 | 12.4 | 10.0 | 12.5 | , 3 | 9.4 | 2.6 |
|  | 111 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 5 | 9.4 | 2.7 |
| 1982 | OCT | 12.7 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 0 | 8.3 | 2.5 |
|  | NOV | 12.7 | 10.6 | 12.2 | -. 3 | 8.5 | 2.4 |
|  | OEC | 12.8 | 10.7 | 12.4 | - 5 | 8.6 | 2.4 |
| 1983 | Jan | 12. 4 | 10.2 | 12.5 | -. 4 | 8.7 | 2.7 |
|  | FE8 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 12.6 | . 0 | 9.1 | 2.9 |
|  | MAR | 12.6 | 10.1 | 12.7 | -. 3 | 9.2 | 2.6 |
|  | APR | 12.5 | 10. 1 | 127 | -. 5 | 9.3 | 2.7 |
|  | May | 12.4 | 10.0 | 12.4 | 1. 3 | 9.4 | 2.7 |
|  | JUN | 12.2 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 4 | 9.5 | 2.6 |
|  | JUL | 12.0 | 9.3 | 12.4 | - 2 | 9.4 | 2.5 |
|  | AUG | 11.8 | 9.4 | 12.3 | . 1 | 9.4 | 2.8 |
|  | SEP | 11.3 | 9.1 | 12.4 | -. 1 | 9.4 | 2.8 |
|  | OCI | 19.1 | 8.7 | 12.3 |  |  |  |

(i) PERCENTAGE CHANEE IN UNEMPLOYMENT

|  |  | CANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITEO } \\ & \text { K1HGOOM } \end{aligned}$ | France | .germany | lialy | J APAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 8.9 | 7.6 | 8.3 | NA | 2.5 | 12.4 | 3.9 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 11.3 | 13.4 | NA | 4. 1 | 15.7 | 3.5 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 13.5 | 18.0 | NA | 5.5 | 21.2 | 8.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 6.0 | 19.3 | 4.9 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 15.4 | 2.6 |
| 1981 | IV | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 1.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 8 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 31 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.9 | . 5 | 16 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 5 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | 2 | . 7 | 1.8 | . 7 | 4.7 | . 9 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 6 | 0 | . 5 | 2.9 | 5 | 3.5 | -. 3 |
|  | II | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 6 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
|  | 111 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.4 | -. 3 |
| 1982 | Oct | . 6 | 3 | . 5 | 5 | 3 | 2.0 | . 3 |
|  | Nov | . 3 | -. 2 | . 5 | 9 | 2 | 1.3 | $-1.1$ |
|  | DEC | 0 | - 4 | - . 2 | 8 | 2 | . 7 | -. 2 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 14 | . 2 |
|  | FEB | 4 | 0 | 4 | , | 1 | 1.3 | - ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | mar | 1.0 | ! | . 2 | 1.0 | 1 | . 9 | 5 |
|  | APR | . 0 | ? | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2 | 10 | , |
|  | MAY | 3 | 5 | . 4 | , | 4 | 10 | 1.1 |
|  | JUN | 1.1 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 | . 6 | -. 9 |
|  | Jut | - | 4 | . 5 |  | 4 | 1.0 | -. 5 |
|  | AUG | . 5 | . 3 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 8 | 3 | 1.4 |  |
|  | OCt |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1.7 | . 9 |
| SOURCE: DATA RESUURCES OF CANADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEC | 1. |  |  |  | 85 |  |  | 10:04 |

MERCHANDTSE EXPORTS
BAIANCE OF PAYMENT GASIS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | [ANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTTED } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRANEE } \\ 111 \end{gathered}$ | GERMANY <br> (I) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITALY } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | JAPAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 19.9 | 18.4 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 4.5 | 17.9 | 20.7 |
| 1979 |  | 22.9 | 28.8 | 16.0 | 19.2 | 10.6 | 27.4 | 5.7 |
| 1980 |  | 17.5 | 21.5 | 16. 5 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 25.0 |
| 1981 |  | 9.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 18.0 | 13.2 | 28.8 | 18.4 |
| 1982 |  | . 1 | -9.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 15.2 | -7. 5 |
| 1981 | IV | 2.1 | -1.2 | 4.6 | $-.4$ | 2.1 | - 8 | 2 |
| 1982 | 1 | $-3.9$ | -2.9 | -2.1 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 10.6 | -1.0 |
|  | 11 | 4.9 | - 1.3 | 2.4 | . 1 | $-1.0$ | -3. 6 | -8. 4 |
|  | 111 | 2.8 | -3.8 | -. 6 | 2.7 | -2.0 | -2.7 | -3. 7 |
|  | IV | -8. | -7. 5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | -. 2 | $-1.8$ | -4.0 |
| 1983 | ! | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | -2.2 | - 1 | 10.8 | 9.4 |
|  | 11 | 8.9 | -3.6 | $-6$ | 6.3 | . 3 | -. 8 | . 2 |
|  | 111 |  | 4.1 | 1.3 | 6.4 |  |  | 2.8 |
| 1982 | $55^{\circ}$ | 1.1 | - 8 | 84 | 1.0 | 2.1 | -6. 6 | 1.1 |
|  | OCT | -13.0 | -3.7 | - 7.7 | 5.1 | $-2.3$ | 1.9 | -3.3 |
|  | NOV | 3.9 | -4.9 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 20.6 | -. 5 |
|  | DEC | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 | -2.8 | $-2.2$ | -30.6 | $-1.5$ |
| 1983 | JAN | -4.2 | 8.4 | -8.5 | . 6 | 2.1 |  | 15.1 |
|  | FEB | 4.3 | -6. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | E. 6 | $-5.4$ | -2.3 | -21.2 | -6. 9 |
|  | Man | -1.3 | 2.6 | B. 0 | 5.7 | . 6 | 5.0 | 1.9 |
|  | APR | 10.2 | -4.0 | -9.2 | 2.0 | $-8.7$ | 7.1 | 1.0 |
|  | MAY | $-3.0$ | -3.2 | - 6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | $-6.0$ | -. 8 |
|  | JUN | 1.0 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
|  | JUL | -1.9 | -2.2 | -7. | - 3.7 | -3.2 | 2.9 | -. 5 |
|  | AUG | 5.8 | . 0 | 4.1 | 9.0 | 2.9 | -6.5 | 3.8 |
|  | 51P |  | 4. 6 | 5.8 | -3.8 |  |  | -1.1 |

## SDURCE: DATA RESOURCES OF CAMADA <br> (1) CUSTOMS BASIS.

|  |  | canada | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { KINGDOM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRANCE } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | GERMANY <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITALY } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | JAPAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 18.1 | 16.2 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 11.7 | 14.5 |
| 1979 |  | 24.7 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 23.1 | 20.0 | 35.6 | 40.0 |
| 1980 |  | 11.7 | 17.5 | 4.6 | 25.3 | 16.7 | 33.9 | 25.5 |
| 1981 |  | 12.9 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 14.3 | 8.2 | 21.1 | 3.8 |
| 1982 |  | -141 | -6.8 | 10.8 | 15.3 | 1.7 | 12.7 | -7.5 |
| 1981 | Iv | -5.6 | 1.0 | 1 | 5.3 | - 1.8 | -8.7 | 3.0 |
| 1982 |  | -9.3 | -5. 2 | -. 9 | . 7 | 4.4 | 19.9 | -1.0 |
|  | 11 | -1.3 | -4.4 | 3.2 | 4. E | -2.2 | -6.9 | -6.9 |
|  | I11 | 1.9 | 6. 8 | -4.2 | 4.2 | -2.2 | . 2 | -2.5 |
|  | IV | -10.9 | -6.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | . 2 | -7.2 | -3.6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 97 | - 7 | 12.3 | -. 2 | . 0 | 12.3 | . 7 |
|  | 11 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 2.4 | - 3 | 2.8 | -4.5 | -3.7 |
|  | 111 |  | 7.5 | $-.5$ | . 7 |  |  | 3.0 |
| 1982 | SEP |  |  | 3.1 |  | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | OCT | $-10.7$ | 1.9 | . 6 | -2.0 | . 2 | -4. 7 | -5.1 |
|  | NOV | 3.5 | -10.0 | $-2.3$ | 2.5 | -1.8 | 10.6 | 4.2 |
|  | DEC | 3.8 | 1.3 | 3.6 | -3.9 | -2.8 | -28. 4 | -6. |
| 1983 | Jan | 4.9 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 61 | 2.8 | 72.0 | 9.2 |
|  | FEB | 1.9 | -5.0 | -. 9 | -7.6 | -. 9 | -30.9 | -8.4 |
|  | MAS | -. 7 | 2.4 | -2.5 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 9. 3 | 2.2 |
|  | APR | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.5 | - 8.3 | 1.5 | 23.9 | -4. 1 |
|  | MAY | 1.0 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 11.5 | 0 | -27.2 | -5.2 |
|  | JUN | 3.9 | -2.3 | -6. 3 | -1.9 | 2.5 | 24.4 | 170 |
|  | UU6 | $\therefore 2$ | 4.7 | 2.8 | -2.7 | -1.4 | . 0 | -12.6 |
|  | AUG | 8.9 | 3.5 | -. 3 | 4.3 | . 9 | 2.8 | 10.6 |
|  | 5EP |  | $-2.6$ | . 8 | -4.9 |  |  | 3.5 |
| SOUREE DAPA RESOURCES DF CANAOA(1) CUSIGMS BASIS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEC 1. 1983 |  |  |  |  | 87 |  |  | 10:04 |

MERCHANDISE TRADE BALANCE
BALANCE OF PAYMENT GASIS
SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES IM LOCAL CURRENCY

|  |  | CAMADA (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES } \\ & \text { (1) (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTTED } \\ & \text { KINGOOM } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FRANCE } \\ & \text { (1) (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GERMANY } \\ & \text { (1) (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TTALY } \\ & (1)(4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jab } A N \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 360 | -3. 30 | - 13 | 17 | 3.43 | -. 02 | 2049 |
| 1979 |  | 369 | -3. 10 | -. 29 | -. 93 | 1.88 | -. 35 | 137 |
| 1980 |  | 733 | -3.04 | . 10 | -4.97 | . 74 | -1.59 | 133 |
| 1981 |  | 614 | -3. 32 | 24 | -4. 19 | 2.26 | -1.49 | 1657 |
| 1982 |  | 1528 | -3.55 | 19 | -7.79 | 4.21 | -1.43 | 1536 |
| 1981 | IV | 873 | $-3.75$ | 13 | -6. 21 | 3.94 | +. 85 | 1716 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1174 | -3.08 | 08 | -5.94 | 3.96 | -1.77 | 1697 |
|  | 11 | 1585 | $-2.37$ | . 04 | -8. 48 | 4.38 | -1.35 | 1634 |
|  | 111 | 1684 | -4.47 | . 20 | -9.63 | 4.33 | -1.59 | 1457 |
|  | IV | 1670 | -4. 27 | . 42 | -6.81 | 4.18 | -1.02 | 1354 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1349 | -3.59 | -. 06 | -7.92 | 4. 15 | -1.25 | 2299 |
|  | 11 | 1729 | -5. 49 | -. 22 | -4. 30 | 3.38 | -. 87 | 2671 |
|  | 111 |  | -6. 45 | -. 13 | -1.03 |  |  | 2721 |
| 1982 | SEP | 1950 | -4.20 | . 32 | -11.00 | 4.20 | -2.06 | 1373 |
|  | OCT | 1571 | -5.26 | . 21 | -7.07 | 3.32 | $-1.45$ | 1502 |
|  | NOV | 1552 | -3.89 | 54 | $-7.15$ | 4.56 | -. 89 | 1045 |
|  | DE 6 | 1787 | $-3.65$ | . 51 | -6. 20 | 4.65 | -. 78 | 1510 |
| 1983 | JAK | 1235 | -3.57 | -. 47 | -9.58 | 4.56 | -2.54 | 2279 |
|  | FE8 | 1433 | $-3.58$ | -. 12 | -7.61 | 4.04 | -. 76 | 2302 |
|  | MAR | 1380 | $-3.63$ | . 40 | -6.58 | 3.86 | -. 47 | 2315 |
|  | APR | 1973 | -4. 60 | -. 31 | -1.54 | 2.83 | -2.00 | 2816 |
|  | MAY | 1683 | -6. 21 | -. 51 | -7. 66 | 3.39 | . 47 | 3190 |
|  | JUN | 1530 | -4.96 | . 16 | $-3.70$ | 3.9 ? | $-9.09$ | 2008 |
|  | JUL | 1399 | -6. 36 | -. 35 | -3.03 | 3.21 | $-.82$ | 3191 |
|  | AUG | 1283 | -7.19 | -. 14 | -. 39 | 3.92 | -1.69 | 2723 |
|  | SEP |  | -5.81 | . 11 | . 32 |  |  | 2249 |
| SOUREE: DATA RESOURCES OF EANADA <br> (1) CUSTOMS BASIS. <br> (2) MILIDNS. <br> (3) BILLIDNs. <br> (4) TRILLIONS. <br> (5) MILLIONS OF U.5. ODLEARS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MONEY SUPPLY (M1)
PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASONALIY ADUUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | CANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED } \\ & \text { STATES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTTED } \\ & \text { KINEDDM } \end{aligned}$ | FRANCE | GE RMANY | ITALY | JAPAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 10.1 | 8.2 | 89.6 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 22.0 | 10.8 |
| 1979 |  | 1.1 | 7.7 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 7.5 | 23.9 | 9.9 |
| 1980 |  | 6.3 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 15.9 | . 8 |
| 1981 |  | 4.4 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 1.2 | 11.2 | 3.7 |
| 1982 |  | . 8 | 6.5 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 7.1 |
| 1989 | IV | -4.5 | 8 | 4.7 | 3.9 | -. 1 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4. 1 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
|  | 11 | 1.6 | . 8 | . 5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | . 3 |
|  | 111 | - 8.9 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 1.5 |
|  | IV | 1.3 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 1.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 2.4 | -. 1 |
|  | 11 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | $2 . ?$ | 1.8 | . 2 |
|  | 111 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| 1982 | OCH | -. 2 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | . 2 | . 9 | 1.1 |
|  | NOY | -. 2 | 1.1 | . 0 | -1.4 | . 0 | 2.6 | -2.4 |
|  | DEC | 4.9 | 9 | 1. 1 | - 3 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 5 | . 8 | . 8 | 2.4 | 3.0 | -. 1 | -. 8 |
|  | FEB | 3.1 | 1.9 | 6 | -. 9 | 6 | -. 3 | -. 4 |
|  | MAR | $-3$ | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | . 0 | 2.1 |
|  | APR | 1.1 | -. 2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | . 9 | . 8 | -1.8 |
|  | may | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 7 | 9 |
|  | JUN | . 5 | . 8 | 2.4 | . 5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 5 |
|  | JUk | 1.0 | . 7 | -. 4 |  | . 5 | 2.2 | 3. 6 |
|  | AUG | . 3 | 2 | B |  | 4 |  | $-3.2$ |
|  | SEP | -. 1 | . 1 | - 2 |  | -. 5 |  |  |
|  | DCT | -1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All references are to seasonally adjusted data unless otherwise stated. Also, the data have been processed specifically for the purpose of current analysis. For example, in some cases endpoint seasonal adjustment methodology has been used instead of the projected factor method employed in the numbers published by the data source. For this reason numbers cited in this report may differ from those published by the data source.
    2 The summary is published each month in Statistics Canada's Daily Bulletin approximately one week following the data availability date.

[^1]:    - Net Change

[^2]:    5 Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development, OECD Economic Outlook, July 1983, p. 64.

[^3]:    6 See the Conference Board, Across the Board. October 1983.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less-developed countries are classified by five geographical regions, Africa, Asia, Europe. the Western Hemisphere (largely Latin American countries), and the Mid-East. For a complete list of member nations in these regions, see the International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, Occasional Paper No. 21. 1983. p. 168-9

[^5]:    2 in the other regions, medium- and long-term external public debt at the end of 1982 was $\$ 67.1$ billion U.S. for Africa, $\$ 115.1$ billion for Asia, $\$ 69.2$ billion for Europe and $\$ 39.3$ billion for the Middile East.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ In our analysis of external debt, we have used total external debt, which is the sum of medium- and long-term public and private debt due to the high level of privale external debt. As well, we will use this definition to obtain the most realistic approximation of the different ratios. However, data on private exfernal debt are not available for Mexico and Venezuela. For Argentina, total external debt figures go back only as far as 1978 and extend to the end of 1981

[^7]:    T-Trough

[^8]:    SOURCE: CURRENY ELONOHIL INLLFSTS STZFF, STRIISTICS CANADA G92-44di.
    (1) SEE GIOSSARY OF IERHS.
    (2) TORONTO STOCK EXCHAMGE ( 300 STOCK JMOEX EXCLUDIMG OIL ANO GAS COMPONENT).

[^9]:    SOUREE: BUSTMESS CONOTTIONS DIGEST, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS. U.S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
    (1) SEE GLOSSARY OF TERMS

    PRODUCER PRICES FOR 28 SELECTED CRUDE ANO INTERMEDIATE MATERIALS AMD SPOT MARKET PRICES FDR 13 RAM IMDUSTRIAL MATERIALS
    (3) BUSINESS AND CONSUMER BORROMJNG
    (4) PERCEMTAGE OF COMPANIES REPORTIMG SLDMER DELIVERIES
    (5) NDT FILTERED

[^10]:    SOURCE: NAYTONAL INCOME ANO EXPENDTYURE ACCOUNTS, CAYALOGUE 13-001, STATISTIES CANADA.
    (1) OIFFERENEE FROM PRECEDING PER!OD, ANNUAL RATES
    (2) GICC - GRAIN IN CDMMERCIAL CHANNELS.

[^11]:    
    SIC, STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END OF THE PERIOD, 1971 DDLLAR VALUES ARE OBTAINED BY DEFLATIMG AT THE TMO DIGIT INOUSTRY LEVEL BY THE APPROPRIATE INDUSYRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES.

