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## Preface

The purpose of Current Economic Analysis is to provide a monthly description of macro-economic conditions and thereby to extend the availability of information on the macro-economy provided by the System of National Accounts.

The publication also contains information that can be used to extend or modify Statistics Canada's description of economic conditions. In particular the section on news developments provides a summary of important events that will be useful in interpreting current movements in the data. As well, extensive tables and charts, containing analytically useful transformations (percentage changes, ratios, smoothing, etc.) of the basic source data, are furnished for analysts wishing to develop their own assessments. Because of this emphasis on analytical transformations of the data the publication is not meant to serve as a compendium of source data on the macro-economy. Users requiring such a compendium are urged to consult the Canadian Statistical Review.
Technical terms and concepts used in this publication that may be unfamiliar to some readers are briefly explained in the glossary. More extensive feature articles will appear in this publication from time to time explaining these technical terms and concepts in more detail.

## Table of Contents

## Current Economic Developments

Analysis of May Data Releases vii
News Developments xxvii
Glossary xxxii

## Chart

1 Gross National Expenditure in Millions of 1971 Dollars, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted
Figures ..... 3
2 Gross National Expenditure in Millions of 1971 Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted at Annual Rates ..... 4
3 Real Output by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 5
4 Demand Indicators, Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 6
5 Labour Market, Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 7
6 Prices and Costs ..... 8
7 Gross National Expenditure, Implicit Price Indexes, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 9
8 Gross National Expenditure, Implicit Price Indexes and National Income, Selected Components, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 10
9 External Trade, Customs Basis, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 11
10 Canadian Balance of International Payments, Millions of Dollars ..... 12
11 Financial Indicators ..... 13
12 Canadian Leading and Coincident Indicators ..... 14
13-14 Canadian Leading Indicators ..... 15-16
Summary of Tables
Section I Main Indicators ..... 17
Section II Demand and Output ..... 27
Section III Labour ..... 39
Section IV Prices ..... 49
Section V Foreign Sector ..... 59
Section VI Financial Markets ..... 67
Table
Main Indicators ..... 17
1 Gross National Expenditure in 1971 Dollars.
Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 19
2 Real Output by Industry, $1971=100$, Percentage
Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 19
3 Demand Indicators, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 20
4 Labour Market Indicators, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 20
5 Prices and Costs, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 21
$6 \quad$ Prices and Costs, National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 21
7 External Trade, Customs Basis, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 22
8 Current Account, Balance of International Payments, Balances, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 22
9 Capital Account, Balance of International Payments, Balances, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 23
10 Financial Indicators ..... 23
11-12 Canadian Leading Indicators, Filtered Data ..... 24
13 United States Monthly Indicators, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 25
14-15 United States Leading and Coincident Indicators, Filtered Data ..... 25-26
Demand and Output ..... 27
16 Net National Income and Gross National Product, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted at Annual Rates ..... 29
17 Net National Income and Gross National Product, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 29
18 Gross National Expenditure, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted at Annual Rates ..... 30
19 Gross National Expenditure, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 30
20 Gross National Expenditure, Millions of 1971
Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted at Annual Rates ..... 31
21 Gross National Expenditure in 1971 Dollars,
Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 31
22-24 Real Domestic Product by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 32•33
25 Real Manufacturing Shipments, Orders, and
Unfilled Orders, Millions of 1971 Dollars.Seasonally Adjusted33
26 Real Manufacturing Shipments, Orders, andUnfilled Orders, Percentage Changes of SeasonallyAdjusted 1971 Dollar Values34
27 Real Manufacturing Inventory Owned, and, Real Inventory/Shipment Ratio, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 34
28 Real Manufacturing Inventory Owned by Stage of Fabrication, Millions of 1971 Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 35
$29 \quad$ Real Manufacturing Inventory Owned by Stage ofFabrication, Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figuresin Millions of 1971 Dollars35
30 Capacity Utilization Rates in Manufacturing, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 36
31 Value of Building Permits, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 36
32 Housing Starts, Completions and Mortgage Approvals, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 37
33 Retail Sales, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 37
Gabour ..... 39
34 Labour Force Survey Summary, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 41
35 Characteristics of the Unemployed, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 41
36 Labour Force Summary, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 42
37 Labour Force Summary, Women, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 42
38 Labour Force Summary. Men, Ages 15-24 and 25 and Over. Seasonally Adjusted ..... 43
39 Employment by Industry, Labour Force Survey Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 43
40 Estimates of Employees by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 44
41-42 Large Firm Employment by Industry. Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 44-45
43-44 Wages and Salaries by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 45-46
\& 5 Average Weekly Hours by Industry, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 46
45 Average Weekly Wages and Salaries by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 47
47 Wage Settlements ..... 47
Prices ..... 49
48 Consumer Price Indexes, 1981 = 100, Percentage
Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted51
49 Consumer Price Indexes, 1981=100, Ratio of SelectedComponents to All Items Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted51
50 Consumer Price Indexes, $1981=100$, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 52
51 Consumer Price Indexes, $1981=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to All ltems Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 52
52 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 53
53 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$,Ratio of Selected Components to GNE Index,Seasonally Adjusted53
54 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 54
55 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to GNE Index, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 54
56 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 55
57 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to Manufacturing Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 55
58 Industry Selling Price Indexes, 1971 =100, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 56
59 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to Manufacturing Index. Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 56
60 Unit Labour Cost by Industry, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 57
61 Export and Import Prices, Percentage Changes in Paasche Indexes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 57
Foreign Sector ..... 59
62 External Trade, Merchandise Exports by Commodity Groupings, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 61
63 External Trade, Merchandise Exports by Commodity Groupings, Year over Year Percentage Changes ..... 61
64 External Trade. Merchandise Imports by Commodity Groupings, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 62
65 External Trade, Merchandise Imports by Commodity Groupings, Year over Year Percentage Changes ..... 62
66 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Receipts, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 63
67 Current Account Balance of International Payments Receipts, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 63
68 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Payments, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 64
69 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Payments, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 64
70 Current Account Balance of International Payments, Balances, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted ..... 65
Financial Markets ..... 67
71 Monetary Aggregates ..... 69
72 Foreign Exchange and Money Market Indicators, Seasonally Adjusted, Millions of Dollars ..... 69
73 Net New Security Issues Payable in Canadian and Foreign Currencies, Millions of Canadian Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
74 Interest Rates. Average of Wednesdays, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
75 Exchange Rates, Canadian Dollars Per Unit of Other Currencies, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 71
76-77 Capital Account Balance of International Payments,
Long-Term Capital Flows, Millions of Dollars,
Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 71-72
78-79 Capital Account Balance of International Payments. Short-Term Capital Flows, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 72.73

## Notes

## A Note on the Role of Leading Indicators in the Statistical System

Policy-makers and decision-makers in both the government and private sectors are making increased and more sophisticated uses of quarterly national accounts and of other macro-economic frameworks in order to evaluate the current performance of the economy and to detect its underlying trends. However, by the time users have access to the elaborate frameworks which allow them to analyze the economy in a relatively disciplined fashion, events with consequences for the near and medium term future may have already taken place. The first quantitative manifestation of current economic developments often occurs in a group of indicators that lead cyclical movements in the economy and that can be assembled rapidly as events unfold. Consequently it is not surprising that "leading indicators" have long played a role in assessing current economic conditions. In the last decade the increased severity of recessions worldwide has disabused most analysts of the notion that the business cycle is dead and has rekindled interest in the leading indicator approach to economic analysis. Since the early 1970's the number of organizations, both in Canada and elsewhere, that have developed indicator systems to monitor economic developments is quite impressive. All of this activity has stimulated inquiries into the nature of the work being carried out and into possible directions of evolution of indicator systems.

These inquiries have led Statistics Canada to develop a set of theoretical guidelines that are useful in constructing, evaluating, or in guiding the evolution of leading indicator systems. Also, technical advances in data smoothing have been utilized so that the number of false signals emitted by the leading index has been minimized while preserving the maximum amount of lead time. A paper on these topics appeared in the May 1982 issue of this publication. (Catalogue number 13-004E.) Within the limits of this note we can only be suggestive and indicate that a leading indicator system should be structured as much as possible like the framework (eg. the quarterly national accounts) that it is intended to complement, and it must contain a broad enough range of component indicators to enable the system to warn of cyclical changes that may be generated by any of a large variety of causal mechanisms. Although the current version of Statistics Canada's leading indicator system does not incorporate all the implications of the theoretical guidelines, along with the guidelines, it constitutes a useful addition to the indicator systems in Canada, and will become increasingly more so as the system evolves in accordance with the theoretical principles underlying its development.

## CANSIM Note

CANSIM (Canadian Socio-Economic Information Management System) is Statistics Canada's computerized data bank and its supporting software. Most of the data appearing in this publication, as well as many other data series are available from CANSIM via terminal, on computer printouts, or in machine readable form. Historical and more timely data not included in this publication are available from CANSIM.

For further information write to CANSIM Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, K1A 0Z8 or call (613)995-7406.
, Registered Trade Mark of Statistics Canada.

# Analysis of May Data Releases 

(Based on data available as of June 14. 1983)'

## Summary

The forces propelling the recovery seem to have shifted somewhat entering the second quarter. In the first quarter the strength originated in exports, personal expenditure, residential construction, and in a substantially reduced rate of inventory liquidation. Early in the second quarter export demand took on new vigour, whereas personal expenditure appears to have lost some of its vitality, although the outlook for personal outlays remains positive. The inventory sector should remain a source of strength as the period of inventory liquidation appears to be nearing an end, implying that gains in demand will be matched by increases in production and employment, which in turn will generate income and revenues for further spending and aid the self. sustainment of the recovery. Another record increase of the leading indicator in March supports this view of the current stage of the recovery. In addition to exports and inventories, the economy will benefit from a strong housing sector in the second quarter, while the outlook for business fixed investment appears to have improved slightly.
The improvement in exports reflects a surprising acceleration of the U.S. economy to rates that have caused many forecasters to revise upwards their forecasts for 1983. As a result exports to that country increased a significant 5.3 per cent in April, led by end products, especially automobiles. As well, the economic recovery of many other countries appears to be gaining momentum, and our exports to those countries rose very strongly in April, led by sales of raw materials. The export data support the optimism expressed by export-oriented manufacturers in the April survey of business conditions. Imports rose anly marginally in April, led by end products such as automobiles and other consumer goods. As a result, the merchandise trade surplus rose to a new high.

The sluggishness evident in personal expenditure in January and February returned in April. Retail sales in current dollars fell in April following an increase in real retail sales in March, the only gain in real sales this year. Although some of the slackening in April appears to be due to technical factors associated with unusual seasonal movements, it seems that personal expenditure has, in the short run at least, lost some of its vitality. This view is supported by a fall in

[^0]employment in retail and wholesale trade in May. Nevertheless, the outlook for consumer spending remains positive as overall employment has increased 202,000 since November, inflation remains below the rate of increase in wages, and consumer confidence remains high.
Inventory liquidation in manufacturing accelerated in March to almost double the rates posted in January and February. This appears to bring us close to the end of the period of inventory liquidation as the number of manufacturers who judged their inventory levels to be about right jumped substantially in the April survey of business conditions, and in May employment in manufacturing posted a large gain, the first significant increase since the onset of the recession in July 1981

The housing sector exhibited renewed strength in the second quarter as starts of single family dwellings accelerated to record levels. Much of the strength appears to have been borrowed from the future, however, as builders rushed to complete starts in time to qualify for the federal government's Canadian Home Ownership Stimulation Plan which expired in May-June. Nevertheless, these starts represent a glimpse at a relatively robust near-term future, reflecting relatively low mortgage rates and an improved outlook for employment, which have permitted the realization of pent-up demand due to demographic factors.
Although investment is still expected to decline for the year, the outlook has improved slightly due to the unexpected strength of the recovery and to the improved profitability and liquidity of firms in the first quarter. The Conference Board's survey of business in the first quarter indicated that weakness of demand (not interest rates) was the most important factor now underlying the ongoing decline in investment. At the same time, the increase in demand raised capacity utilization in manufacturing moderately in the first quarter, commencing the removal of this impediment. This favourable movement was reinforced by the improved profitability and liquidity of firms in the first quarter, and it would not be surprising if investment were to exceed the intentions expressed in the January survey of Private and Public Investment Intentions. The inertia of this sector is so great, however, that even if the forecast intentions are exceeded, it will not be by a large margin.

The recovery has carried production to the first quarterly increase since the second quarter 1981 as both gross domestic and gross national product grew sharply in the first quarter. Growth had become relatively widespread by March as the trend-cycle of output was growing in over 50 per cent of industries at that time. The greatest gains were made in incustres whose sales are relatively sensitve to
variations in interest rates, and these same industries declined the most during the recession, reflecting the large role that interest rates have played both in the recession and in the recovery.
By May employment had increased 202,000 from its trough in November, and gains were registered in most areas of the country. In May, the number of young workers employed increased more than did those 25 years and over. Employment in manufacturing was up 1.8 per cent, the first appreciable increase since the onset of the recession. Despite the growth in employment, the unemployment rate has fallen only marginally as increases in the labour force have almost matched the gains in employment.

Price increases have become more widespread but, with a few exceptions, have remained moderate in size as the recovery has progressed. Consumer prices were flat in April while manufacturers' prices increased only marginally. At the same time over 75 per cent of manufacturing industries recorded increases or no change in their prices in April, in comparison to less than 60 per cent in September 1982. This combination of widespread yet moderate increases in prices is in contrast to the periods of rapid inflation in the 1970's when at times the number was over 90 per cent. The gasoline price wars, increased productivity, more moderate wage settlements, and improved profitability and liquidity lie behind the continued moderation in price increases in April.

- Real domestic production was up 0.8 per cent in March, contributing to the 1.8 per cent gain in the first quarter. By March, 54.3 per cent of industries registered an increasing trend for output, in comparison to a low of 25.9 per cent in May 1982.
- Employment gained 0.6 per cent in May, identical to April's increase. In May, however, 39,000 young workers found jobs in comparison to 24,000 for those 25 years and over, reversing a trend that had prevailed through April. Employment in manufacturing was up 1.8 per cent, while in wholesale and retail trade it fell by 18,000 .
- Retail sales gained 2.5 per cent in volume in March. In current dollars, sales fell 2.8 per cent in April, negating the 2.8 per cent current dollar increase in March. Delayed tax refunds, the early Easter, and unusually wet weather in April may have distorted the seasonal movement of this series, and the movement over the twomonth period is more reflective of the trend of current dollar retail sales.
- The indicators of residential construction accelerated in May following some softening in March. Housing starts registered 254,000 units at annual rates in May, mainly due to single starts, compared to an average of 174,750 from January to April. Building permits were up 10.1 per cent in March and 0.9 per cent in April to a level of 190,300 units.
- With inclusion of the April data, the underlying trend for merchandise exports and imports increased by 1.7 per cent and 2.3 per cent respectively, with the larger gain in imports reflecting the more robust recovery in Canada through the first quarter. Entering the second quarter, however, there was increased evidence of an acceleration in the recovery in the United States and in the European and Japanese economies. This was reflected in the 10.5 per cent gain in exports in April, compared to the 1.9 per cent increase in imports. As a result the merchandise trade surplus reached a record level of $\$ 1,993$ million in April.
- New orders in manufacturing fell 2.5 per cent in volume in March, as shipments dropped 1.3 per cent and inventories fell by $\$ 169$ million ( $\$ 1971$ ). This represents the second consecutive month of slackness in manutacturing and parallels similar weakening of consumer demand in January and February. This weakness appears to be transitory as, according to the business conditions survey, the number of manufacturing firms planning an increase in production rose to 46 per cent in April from 28 per cent in January.
- Consumer prices (not seasonally adjusted) were flat ini April while manufacturers' prices edged up by 0.2 per cent, and prices for raw materials climbed 1.2 per cent (not seasonally adjusted).
- Output per employee increased 0.7 per cent in March and wage settlements have continued to become more moderate as the annual rate of increase in base rates for all contracts settled in the first quarter was 4.3 per cent. Excluding COLA contracts, the rate of increase was 6.6 per cent compared to 7.3 per cent in the fourth quarter

The size and breadth of increases in the composite leading indicators in March suggest that the recovery will continue through the next several months at least. The composite leading index advanced 3.01 per cent in March to a level of 124.86 , as all ten components continued to increase. The non-filtered version rose 1.69 per cent to 133.5. The sources of strength in final demand appear to be consumer

Figure 1
The Conadian Composite Leading Index (1971=100)


January 1977 to March 1983

demand, expons and housang he perice st mosave in ventory liquidation appears to be over, with the result that increases in final demand are now being reflected in increased employment, especially in manufacturing

## The Canadian Composite Leading Indicator ${ }^{1}$

The indicators of personal expenditure on goods continued to rise in March, indicating that consumption should continue the upturn that began in the fourth quarter. Sales of new motor vehicles and of furniture and appliances grew 1.37 per cent and 1.04 per cent respectively. Signs of a slowdown in household demand early in the first quarter dissipated as the non-filtered ${ }^{2}$ sales of cars (and of many other durable goods) regained strength following two consecutive months of decline Although the weakness in sales of furniture and appliances (non-filtered) continued into March, this apparently was viewed by retailers as a transitory phenomenon as manufacturers new orders for fur niture and appliances jumped 9.3 per cent in March. These expectations of a recovery in demand are supported by strong gains in the indicators of residential construction, and

[^1]by the vigoras Wowth in emplovment in Aprl and May. which has considerably improved the outlook for reat incomes in the second quarter

The residential construction insex continued to advance rapidly in March, up 10.90 per cent. This virtually guarantees growth in residential construction throughout the first half of 1983. Moreover, the surge in the non-filtered housing starts and building permits early in the second quarter suggests that the growth in residential construction could extend over most of the year. The surge in housing starts in May (to a level of 254.000 at annual rates for nowfiltered data) is partly an irregular movement due to the termination of assistance programmes for housing. It aiso reflects, however, the underlying positive trend in housing that has emerged gradually since last September. Underlying demand for nem honsigg particularly for sinole family

Leading Indicators

|  | Percentage Change in March |
| :---: | :---: |
| Composite Leading Index (1971=100) | $+3.01$ |
| 1. Average Workweek Manufacturing (Hours) | +0.46 |
| 2. Residential Construction Index $(1971=100)$ | $+10.901$ |
| 3. United States Composite Leading Index $(1967=100)$ | $+1.61$ |
| 4. Money Supply (M1) (\$1971 Millions) | +127 |
| 5. New Orders - Durable Products Industries (\$1971 Millions) | +0.64 |
| 6. Retail Trade - Furniture and Appliances (\$1971 Millions) | $+1.04$ |
| 7. New Motor Vehicule Sales (\$1971 Millions) | $+1.37$ |
| 8. Shipment to Inventory Ratio (Finished Goods - Manufacturing | .+0.02 |
| 9. Stock Price index (TSE300 Excluding Oil \& Gas $1975=1000$ ) | $+7.03$ |
| 10. Percentage Change in Price Per Unit Labour Costs - Manufacturing | +0.15* |

*Net Chars:



units, may be bucyed by the chaming distibution of the population which, at the current time, should imply a greater ate of property acquisition.
Pecovery in the manufacturing sector proceeded in March. The growth in household and export demand since auturnn succeeded in reversing, in the first quarter, the downward rend in new orders for durable goods and in the ratio of chipments to finished goods inventories. The improvement ontinued into March with these series rising 0.64 per cent and 0.02 respectively. The improvement was not sufficient, iowever, to raise employment in manufacturing, which, according to the labour force survey, remained practically flat Hrough April. However, the improved outlook for consumer Gemand, for residential construction, and for exports, should limprove the performance of the manufacturing sector. Supworting this notion, the average workweek climbed 0.40 per Gent in March and employment in manufacturing was up 1.8 per cent in May.

The improvement in the indicator of profit margins accelerated in March, reflecting the substantial growth in profits in the first quarter. The percentage change in manufacturers' srice per unit labour cost advanced 0.15 to 0.02 per cent, While the non-filtered series was up 0.15 to 0.39 per cent. Profit margins continued to improve because of productivity Bains as oufput per employee increased and unit labour costs posted another large decline. Hourly earnings advanced 0.5 per cent, higher than the 0.2 per cent rate of hacrease in prices, muting somewhat the beneficial effect of the productivity gains. Although wage gains have become vore moderate, in the short term they will likely continue to :Srtially offset the effects of productivity increases. as : $e$ gotiated settlements in manufacturing advanced 5.9 per cent in the firct quarter.

The leading index for the United States accelerated in March (+1.61 per cent), indicating that the recovery of our exports to that country should continue in the second Zuarter, following a 10.3 per cent gain in the first quarter. Exports to the U.S increased 5.5 per cent in April after a one-month downturn in March, due mainly to a pause in witomobile trade between the two countries. The Atengthening of exports in April was widespread as all major commodity groups registered increases reflecting the imWoved demand by the United States. Demand in Europe arid Japan improved also as exports to both these regions Grew in April, reflecting the recent increases in the leading indicators for most major OECD countries.
Leading indicators of the financial sector continued their adance in March, although a slowdown became evident in the

 was up 1.27 per cent. Increased expenditures for residential housing were reflected in a growth in demand for mortgages but, excluding mortgages, consumer credit remained weak, suggesting that households are financing their expenses from current income or from asset liquidation.

## Output

The gross domestic product rose 0.9 per cent in March. leading to the first quarterly increase ( +1.8 per cent) since the beginning of the recession in July 1981. With the inclusion of the March data, over half of Canadian industries (54.3 per cent) recorded a rise in the trend-cycle of their output, indicating that the recovery had spread to these various industries. The GDP has risen by 2.4 per cent since October 1982, which marked to date the cyclical trough of the latest recession (this date. however, could be revised subsequently). An analysis of the industries contributing to the downturn and the current recovery clearly indicates the major role played by interest rates during this period. Goods-producing industries in which demand is affected by the cost of money, such as residential construction and automobile manufacturing, served the most to the decline and recovery of the GDP since June 1981 (a review of movements in industrial output during the current cycle was presented by the Gross Domestic Product Division in the Statistics Canada Daily of June 10. 1983). The strong growth in exports in April ( +10.5 per cent) and the sustained rise in employment in April and May $1+0.6$ per cent for each month) suggest an acceleration of GDP in the second quarter of 1983 .

The rise in the diffusion index of the gross domestic product in March clearly reflects the firming of the economic recovery at the end of the first quarter. The non-filtered index rose to 59.9 per cent in March compared with 39.5 per cent in July 1982. The proportion of industries in which the trend-cycle of output was positive increased sharply with the addition of the March data to reach a level of 54.3 per cent compared with 46.3 per cent in February. This is the first time since the beginning of the recession that the output of over half of the industries rose.
The increase in the GDP in March is attributable essentially to the services sector ( +1.3 per cent), while output of goods remained at the same level as in February. This slowdown in goods production is attributable to the manufacturing sector ( -0.8 per cent), but several indicators signal an upturn in industrial output. In fact, the March decresse was partly die to the temporay shut-down of a
car assembly line for model change-over. The bright prospects for retail sales despite the April downturn indicates that industrial output of durable consumer goods should rise in the coming months. The overall growth in exports in the first quarter and in April should also encourage an upturn in industrial output. Several industries in which output continued to fall in February recorded significant increases in their exports in March and April, notably metal products manufacturing, pulp and paper mills, chemical products and certain industries related to business investment, such as industrial and agricultural machinery. A major recovery of industrial output, however, could be delayed until May, since new orders declined in March ( -2.5 per cent) followed by a loss of 7,000 jobs ( -0.4 per cent) in April in the manufacturing sector. The gain of 32,000 jobs $(+1.8$ per cent) in May in this sector and the results of the survey conducted by the Purchasing Management Association of Canada indicating an upturn in output and new orders for May seem to confirm this outlook.

Excluding the manufacturing and agricultural sectors, output rose by 2.1 per cent in goods-producing industries in March. boosted by forestry output ( +13.4 per cent) and residential construction ( +7.4 per cent). The increase in housing starts up to May should generate sustained growth in residential construction in the second quarter, and hence in forestry output. (This leading effect, however, could be delayed due to the weakness of the lumber sector in March and April). Output fell in most mining industries in March, but the strong growth in non-metallic mines $(+52.5$ per cent) pushed up mines, quarries and oil wells as a whole by 0.3 per cent. The upward trend in exports of the major metals (iron, nickel, copper and coal) with the inclusion of April figures should result in a more widespread growth in mining output, which rose 11.8 per cent between August 1982 and March 1983. Oil and gas output fell again in March, and there are no indications that this trend will be reversed in exports level, although domestic demand should inıprove together with the production and trade of goods.

Output in service-producing industries rose 1.3 per cent in March, the strongest gain since February 1982. The breadth of this movement is due in part to the ending of strikes by schoolteachers in Quebec. which raised the noncommercial industries index by 2.2 per cent. In the commercial sector, output of services increased by 1.0 per cent as a result of evenly widespread gains in almost all sectors, particularly trade (+1.9 per cent), transportation (+3.5 per cent), communications ( +0.5 per cent), and storage ( +180 per cent). The growth in employment in these sec tors in April and May rexcept for trade in May) Sgenals that
output of services should continue to rise in the second quarter. There was, however, a drop of 0.2 per cent in the output of financial institutions, probably due to the reduction in credit demand and brokerage services by consumers and businesses. The fall in credit demand and in employment in the finance, insurance and real estate sector in April and May augurs new downturs in the output of this sectur early in the second quarter.

## Households

The recovery of employment continued to gain momen. lum in May, probably reflecting the more widespread growth in output levels during the second quarter, par. ticularly in the manufacturing sector. The firming of final demand in March led to a sharp increase in fulltime employment in the service-producing industries in April, and the movement spread to the goods sector in May. Retail sales resumed in March their upward trend which began in November, and as a result the quarterly level continued to increase steadily. Sales of durable goods rose and sales of semi-durables accelerated. The reversal of this positive movement in April appears to have been temporary, mainly caused by unusual factors since manufacturing orders rose again during April and retailers were very optimistic about consumer demand in May and June. The continuing moderating trend in prices, bolstered partly by productivily gains, probably reinforced the impacl of the recovery of employment and incomes on consumer demand. The long-term outlook for inflation also remains moderate due to the decline in the cost of borrowing and the continued slowdown in wage seltlements (excluding the effects of cost-of-living, clauses, wage increases decreased to 6.6 per cent in the first quarter). The housing sector strengthened in the second quarter, as single-family housing starts reached record levels. Athough much of this rise was due to the end of a federal housing assistance program, the medium-term prospects for the housing sector remain bright. Employment is improving in all regions and fo: all age groups and mortgage rates remain at low levels. which should stimulate the formation of housemoles anc: the realization of their potential demand

The upturn in employment gained vigour for the secorm consecutive month in May $(+63,000)$, affecting boti yount; workers ( $+39,000$ ) and persons aged 25 and over $(+24,000)$, which reflects the greater diffusion of the recovery in output. The monthly increase reflects the broadening of the recovery of employment in goods producing industries. and this followed a comparable move
ment in service-producing industries in April. The May in crease was concentrated more among men ( $+45,000$ ) than women ( $+18,000$ ). In April, the trade, services and transportation sectors recorded the largest gains in response to the strengthening of the indicators of final demand since last March. This movement was extended to the goods sector in May, particularly in manufacturing ( $+1,8$ per cent), where the first significant increase in employment was posted since the beginning of the recession. According to the business conditions survey. manufacturing inventories were judged as being at reasonable levels in April, and consequently the increased demand led to a more general rise in levels of activity and employment. The increase in employment in primary industries in May (excluding agriculture), however, continued a movement which began in the fourth quarter, and the rise in prices for raw materials and housing demand in North America encouraged a recovery in the mining and lumber sectors. Employment continued to pise in the construction sector in May in conjunction with the leading indicators in that sector.

The employment trend remained positive in serviceproducing industries $(+3,000)$, despite a reduction of 18,000 in trade and 3,000 in finance, insurance and real estate. Employment in community, business and personal services and in public administration continued to rise sharply $(+23,000)$, while it advanced slightly $(+1,000)$ in transportation, communication and other utilities. The decline in employment in the trade sector reflects less pronounced seasonal increases than usual, especially in Quebec and Ontario, where it remained practically unchanged. This movement supports the notion that retailers wish to continue to restrain the growth of unit costs, as their profit margins have been hard-hit by the recession. The substitution of part-time for full-time employment, which is usual at this time of the year, was not realized completely in May, as there has been a more suslained growth of parttime work in the trade sector in recent months. The drop in employment in the finance, insurance and real estate sector reflects more a seasonal siluation, indicating the cautious stance in the real estate business in view of the termination of government home ownership assistance plan. Current data (not seasonally adjusted) revealed a reduction in Ontario and British Columbia.

The provincial breakdown indicated that the employment trend in sectors that have shown an increase was evenly distributed throughout the country, except for British Columbia, where there was a reduction in the current data in manufacturing, in finance, insurance and real estate, and in community, business and personal services. Employment
rose more strongly in Quebec $\{+27,000)$, the Prairie provinces $(+15,000)$. New Brunswick $(+6,000)$ and Ontario $(+21,000)$. Employment has increased by 202,000 since the November trough, and the recent movement of the leading indicators suggests that this favourable trend will persist in the coming months.
The growth in the labour force, which began in December. continued to offset the recovery of employment in May ( +0.5 per cent), which in turn slowed the improvement in unemployment. The unemployment rate dropped from 12.5 per cent to 12.4 per cent of the labour force in May. The largest increase in the labour force was registered among men $(+46.000)$, in line with the improvement of employment conditions for this group. There was at the same time a greater increase in the labour force in provinces where employment prospects were better in May, notably Quebec, Ontario and the Prairie provinces, especially Alberta. The structure of the unemployment flows between April and May continued to be stable, which tends to indicate that the increase in the labour force was largely absorbed by the rise in the level of employment. There was also a significant decrease in the number of discouraged workers.

Major wage settlements signed in the first quarter of 1983 show continued downward pressure on wages in all key sectors. For all industries, the average annual increase in base rates decreased to 4.3 per cent in the first quarter, its lowest level in ten years. The movement of the overall average, however, reflects the large number of contracts with cost-of-living clauses (COLA), which normally are negotiated at lower rates (the figure mentioned above excludes any increases in wages that may result from such clauses). Furthermore, the agreements were largely concentrated ( 89 per cent) in the Quebec public sector, where an average annual decrease of 0.4 per cent was legislated in the Act on wages in the public sector (Bill 70). In fact, the forecast slowdown in wage increases in contracts without COLA clauses remained gradual, as the average rise in base rates decreased from 7.3 per cent to 6.6 per cent.

For collective agreements without a COLA clause, the average increase in base rates was 6.1 per cent in the commercial sector and 6.8 per cent in the non-commercial sector. The non-commercial sector, largely reflecting the provincial public sector, reacted to wage restraint programs in the public sector, which cut the increase in the average annual base rate to 6.9 per cent. All indications are that this trend will persist in the coming year since, after the federal government, Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia have implemented similar programs, and other provinces
have announced their intentions to do the same. Settlements in the commercial sector brought down the increase in the average annual base rate from 7.6 per cent to 6.1 per cent. Wage pressures eased considerably in the trade sector and in finance, insurance and real estate $(+6.2$ per cent compared with +9.7 per cent in the previous quarter), manufacturing ( +5.9 per cent compared with +8.8 per cent in the previous quarter) and the primary secfor $(+7.5$ per cent compared with +10.2 per cent in the previous quarter). Few agreements, however, were signed in these sectors in the first quarter, mostly originating in the transportation, communications and public utilities sector ( +6.1 per cent compared with +6.4 per cent in the previous quarter). Nevertheless, there were indications in the manufacturing sector (where a more accurate comparison is possible) that the gap of the average increase in base rates between Canada ( +5.9 per cent) and the United States (+4.3 per cent) was reduced enough to dissipate some of the concerns about the Canadian compelitiveness in end products trade. Despite the increased value of the Canadian dollar compared with the trough of August 1982, average hourly earnings in Canada adjusted for the American exchange rate were lower than in the United States, which is contrary to the 1975.77 period. In the first quarter, average hourly earnings in manufacturing slackened in concert with negotiated wages, although the recent strength of the downward trend of consumer prices contributed to the improvement in real labour income.

The indicators of the housing market continued to rise in March and April, especially for single-family housing, and the end of the Canadian Home Ownership Stimulation Plan increased housing starts in April and possibly in May. Building permits grew by 10.1 per cent in March. An irreguiar downturn in multiple housing starts, however, lowered the number of starts by 8.6 per cent in April.

The indicators of single-family housing rose after two months of slight downturns, and this increase was primarily due to the end of the federal Home Ownership Stimulation Plan. The $\$ 30$ million extension given to this plan in the April 19 budget was quickly absorbed, which should lead to a further increase in single-family housing starts in May. The CMHC expects that the effects of this plan also should help to raise the level of housing starts in June. The breakdown by province for April indicates that single-family housing starts fell sharply in Ontario, after maintaining record levels in the first quarter. There was a slight reduction in Quebec. while they increased in the other regions. Building permits for March confirmed the prospects that single-family housing will statriize at high levels in the central provinces and atll ise to the oter rgorves ina outloot it
good for the single-family housing market, since the pent-up demand which had been accumulating for over a year while high interest rates made this type of housing inaccessifte. should keep activity at high levels. This accumulated demand could be quite significant since the maturing of children of the baby boom should increase home owner ship. The recovery in employment, the decrease of mort. gage rates, and the resulting rise in consumer confidence should enable this pent-up demand to be realized.
Multiple housing starts fell sharply in April, down 29.9 per cent in urban areas. This movement probably does not indicate a reversal of the slight upward trend in this sector. since building permits increased by 9.5 per cent in Marcty. and many building permits appeared to have been issued us 10 April but had not yet been realized in housing starts. There are 31,200 units (seasonally unadjusted) for which permit was issued between the beginning of October and the end of March, and only 25,542 units were started between the beginning of November and the end of April. Activity in multiple housing, however, should not accelerate because of high vacancy rates for this type of housing in general ( 3.1 per cent in April), especially for new housing (47 per cent in April compared with 33 per cent on average between 1977 and 1983). In addition, new home building; will reduce the prospects for rental accommodation, slince it means a shift of demand toward ownershtis.

Retail sales resumed in March ( +2.5 per cent) the upward trend begun in November, and as a result, the quarterly level continued to rise gradually, up 0.9 per cent over the fourth quarter average. The relative strength of the com ponents was different, however, moving from the durable goods sector ( -0.9 per cent) to the semi-durable $(+2.2$ per cent) and non-durable sectors ( +1.9 per cent). The sharper decline in inflation in the semi-durable and nondurable sectors reinforced the effect of higher real incomes on the recovery of demand, which has been rising since November. The volume of durable goods was down due ta motor vehicule products, which had been stimulated by tomporary factors in the fourth quarter. Sales of other dewathe goods remained stable, partly reflecting the increase $\alpha:$ prices in this sector.

In March, sales of durable goods, particularly automobiles (+11 per cent), led the reversal in retail sales after two consecutive monthly declines. The upturn in sales of automobiles and parts and other durable goods componerms such as outdoor and indoor recreational equipment ( +13 and +1.1 per cent respectively) augurs well for consum:ar demand in the second quarter. Most components of retal sales pouted a decrease by aprl. This movemeat, however
can be attributed to the particularly bad weather during that month and the delay in income tax refunds. Sales of furniture and appliances remained weak $(+0.1$ per cent $)$, but they soon should begin benefitting from the stimulative measures in the federal, Ontario and Quebec budgets There was a jump in sales of semi-durable goods ( +1.6 per cent) in March, primarily due to clothing as a result of recent price weakness. Sales of semi-durable goods also were boosted by household goods ( +1.3 per cent) and books and other personal items ( +6.4 per cent). Footwear sales remained virtually unchanged ( -0.1 per cent) after the sharp advance recorded in February, and hardware sales continued to fall ( -0.2 per cent). Sales of nomdurable goods continued to rise at a moderate rate ( +0.7 per cent) because of the persistent weakness in gasoline prices ( -1.2 per cent), despite the monthly upturn in February. There was, however, a substantial increase in food sales ( +1.2 per cent), up sharply since December, as a result of the price wars in a number of large food stores

## Prices

Although it was more evenly distributed, inflation remained moderate at the consumer and industry levels in April, while it jumped by 1.2 per cent (not seasonally adjusted) at the raw materials level. The gasoline price war was a major factor in the stability of consumer prices ( 0.0 per cent not seasonally adjusted) in April and in the small advance in the Industry Selling Price Index $1+0.2$ per cent). The end of the price war in June will probably push upward the CPI and ISPI for that month. Price increases were more diffuse in April, reflecting a broadening of the economic recovery. The productivity gains typical of a cyclical upturn in output, the slowdown in wage rates negotiated in major collective agreements and the easing of debt loads should continue to restrain inflationary pressures. Large price increases, however, can be expected in industries that need to raise their selling prices in order to make their operations profitable, notably industries related to wood and minerals.

The Consumer Price Index (not seasonally adjusted) stabilized in April after monthly variations of -0.3 per cent, +0.4 per cent, and +1.0 per cent from January to March. A broad pattern of moderate price increases lies behind the erratic movements of the CPI since the beginning of the year. Excluding energy prices, which have fluctuated considerably since January ( -1.4 per cent, -2.1 per cent, +8.5 per cent and -4.6 per cent), consumer prices have varied by -0.2 per cent, +0.8 per cent, +0.3 per cent and +0.4 per cent over the same period. Gasoline price wars were responsible for the three monthly declines in
energy prices, while the March increase was the result of the $\$ 4$ per barrel rise in the price of Canadian crude oil. As in April, the continuation of the price wars in most urban centres in May and the moderate price increases in the other components probably will lead to a modest variation in the CPI for May

Despite the growth in retail sales, productivity gains in the trade and industry sectors should limit price increases. Unit labour costs in the trade sector dropped by 3.8 per cent in January and 0.3 per cent in February, helping to raise profit margins to 1.5 per cent for retail sales in the first quarter of 1983, the highest level since the beginning of the recession. In addition, the rise of 6.2 per cent in wage rates negotiated in major contract settlements in the first quarter in the trade sector and in finance, insurance and real estate ( +9.7 per cent in the previous quarter) will help to moderate inflation at the consumer level.

Food prices posted the largest increase in April $(+1.0$ per cent), largely due to the prices of beef processing $(+5.0$ per cent), and fresh fruit and vegetables $(+9.0$ and +7.1 per cent respectively), which were affected by bad weather in the United States and Central America. In the short-term, the food index could continue to rise appreciably because of a seasonal increase in beef demand and prices, poor weather conditions which are likely to delay domestic supplies of fresh vegetables in central and eastern Canada, and the end of the food price war in Quebec in June. Excluding food and energy, consumer prices rose by 0.3 per cent in April, which corresponds to the average monthly gain of the first quarter of 1983.

The Industry Selling Price Index was up by 0.2 per cent in April, after a decline of 0.3 per cent in January and increases of 0.4 per cent and 0.7 per cent in February and March. Selling prices rose in most industries. The diffusion index increased to 76.9 per cent in April, compared with 70.2 per cent in March and 58.7 per cent in September 1982 (the industries which posted an increase in prices and those whose prices remained steady after one month of growth or are stable for two successive months are included in the index). The widespread growth of prices for manufactured products reflects the diffusion of the economic recovery. and the moderate increases in prices illustrate the ability of most businesses to improve their profit margins without substantially raising their selling prices. At the level of operating expenses, manufacturing firms benefitted from lower unit labour costs ( -6.9 per cent and -0.2 per cent in January and February) and a decline in interest payments, resulting from a reduction in debt and the cost of money. Interest payments (not seasonally adjusted) fell by
8.8 per cent between the last quarter of 1982 and the first quarter of 1983. It is noteworthy that the largest price increases originate in industries that showed a deficit during the recession and therefore have to raise prices in order to make their operations profitable. The long-term trend for inflation at the industrial level was improved by the slowdown of increase in wage rates negotiated in major collective agreements, up by 5.9 per cent in the first quarter compared with +8.8 per cent in the last quarter of 1982

The monthly movements of the overall index have been strongly affected by fluctuations in energy prices in recent months. Prices for coal and petroleum products dropped by 5.5 per cent in January and 1.7 per cent in February, rose by 8.6 per cent in March and again fell by 3 per cent (preliminary estimate) in April. The declines resulted from the gasoline price war in Ontario and Quebec, while the increase in March was due to the $\$ 4$ per barrel rise in the wellhead price of Canadian crude oil. The end of the price war in May probably will help 10 push upward the ISPI for that month. The index excluding energy climbed by 0.6 per cent in April, after monthly variations of +0.3 per cent, +0.6 per cent and -0.1 per cent between January and March.

Prices for food and beverages were up by 0.6 per cent in April, after average gains of 0.4 per cent in the previous four months. Food prices at the industrial level mirrored the movement of prices at the raw materials level, dominated by sharp increases in beef, veal and grain prices. The future movement of grain prices will determine the evolution of food prices because of their relative importance, their leading effect on the prices of certain products (substitution) and their impact on the production costs of various other products (for an analysis of the outlook for the prices of these products, see the section on raw materials prices).
The growth of construction in North America and the rapid increase in log prices due to supply problems led to a further 0.9 per cent rise in wood industry selling prices, up 11.5 per cent since August 1982. The eight consecutive monthly increases, however, were not sufficient to make this industry profitable, as operating losses were $\$ 57$ million in the first quarter of 1983. As a result, more price advances are to be expected in this sector.

Increased activity in the construction sector and higher wood prices should affect the prices of furniture and home furnishings, but there are no clear signs of renewed inflation for these products at the industrial level. After monthly increases of 0.7 per cent, 0.3 per cent and 0.6 per cent between January and March, those prices were up by a mere 0.1 per cent in April, even though this industry
continued to show a deficit in the first quarter of 1983. Similarly, despite the strong growth in demand for household appliances, prices for those goods at the industrial level remained unchanged since the seasonal increases in January. Nevertheless, the industry posted sharply higher profit margins in the fourth quarter of 1982 and the first quarter of 1983, partly as a result of productivity gains.

Higher prices for common and precious metals at the raw materials level in April appear to have been responsible for the rise in selling prices of primary metals, particularly in smelting and refining ( +5.3 per cent), and rolling, casting and extruding of aluminum ( +1.6 per cent) and other metals ( +0.9 per cent). As in the case of mining companies, productivity gains brought about by a recovery in output are probably insufficient to ensure the profitability of primary metals operations, forcing them to raise selling prices as soon as demand allows it. New orders and unfilled orders for primary metals increased by 12.9 per cent and 17.4 per cent respectively between the fourth quarter of 1982 and the first quarter of 1983.

For industries further along the metals processing chain and which managed to maintain positive profit margins during the last recession, increases in selling prices were generally small or non-existent. Most prices of fabricated metals remained stable in April, and the 0.5 per cent rise was due almost entirely to the food cans subcomponent.

Selling prices in the paper and allied industries were down by 0.1 per cent in April. These companies, however, will be forced to raise prices in order to make their operations profitable, after losses of $\$ 178$ million or 4 per cent of sales in the first quarter of 1983. Some industries exporting newsprint hope to be able to increase their prices from $\$ 468.50$ U.S. to $\$ 500$ per ton on July $1^{\text {st }}$ (LeD 26/5). The upturn in exports of newsprint in March ( +2.9 per cent) and in April (+13.6 per cent) appears to support their hopes. The weakness in investment since the beginning of 1983 has served to limit price increases for electrical products and machinery since January ( +2.0 per cent and +1.4 per cent respectively at annual rates).

The Raw Materials Price Index (not seasonally adjusted) rose by 1.2 per cent in April after levelling off in March. Price increases were evenly distributed as the recovery spread through the various manufacturing industries. The growth in output appeared to be responsible for advances in the prices of ferrous ( +0.8 per cent) and non-ferrous metals $(+5.4$ per cent) and textiles ( +0.7 per cent). The forecast growth in output should lead to further increases in
prices in metal mines. which need to raise their selling prices in order to make their operations profitable. Temporary productivity gains are unlikely to offset operating Iosses of $\$ 174$ million (or 9.7 per cent of sales) in the first quarter of 1983.

The strong upturn in the construction sector in the United States and Canada had not been anticipated by suppliers, and as a result, wood inventories fell rapidly just as logging was hampered by the arrival of spring. Consequently, log prices in April were up by 2.6 per cent relative to March and 5.2 per cent compared with the level attained in December 1982 , although they were still 8.3 per cent below the most recent peak in August 1981. in view of these supply problems, the relatively low prices and the bright outlook for the housing sector, further increases are expected in lumber prices. In addition, suppliers are likely to encourage higher prices to offset meagre profit margins in the pulpwood sector, where the price outlook is not as promising. Pulp inventories in North America and in the Scandinavian countries were high ( 1.7 million tons) at the end of January compared with the normal level ( 1.2 million tons). Despite weak demand, pulp prices have strengthened in Europe, indicating that the downward trend in North America would probably come to an end (GM 2/3). This prospect seemed to be confirmed by the increases of 0.1 per cent and 1.1 per cent in pulpwood prices in March and April. The boom in residential construction pushed up the price of non-metallic ores $(+1.3$ per cent), including sand and gravel ( +2.3 per cent) and stone ( +1.1 per cent).

World-wide surpluses for most agricultural products significantly helped to restrain inflation in 1981 and 1982. Current or expected decreases in the supplies of certain basic products resulted in a substantial rise in the prices of plant ( +3.6 per cent) and animal products ( +2.3 per cent) in April. Higher prices for wheat and other grains ( +6.1 per cent) and oilseeds ( +6.8 per cent) reflect expectations that production of most of these products will decline in the United States because of the new Payment in Kind Program. Due to its speculative nature, it is impossible to make forecasts on the basis of this surge in prices, but it probably indicates that the slump in grain prices is over. The increase of 9.4 per cent in beef and veal prices was due to a drop in supplies (down by 2 or 3 per cent from last year) as seasonal demand strengthened. The upward pressure on prices should continue in the short-term and possibly in the long-term, if the trend of demand for these "luxury" meats rises in tandem with incomes. Prices continued to fall for some agricultural products whose inventories remain high, notably sugar, coffee and pork.

## Business Investment

The prospects for business investment have improved. The strength of the economic recovery at both the domestic and international levels, accompanied by a notable rise in corporate profits, should contribute to the realization of the investment intentions for 1983 and perhaps even prompt an increase in those intentions.

The possibility of a rise in the level of investment forecast for 1983 was increased by the marked improvement in corporate profits in the first quarter of 1983. The growth in volume and in selling prices, and sizable productivity gains significantly contributed to raise profit margins for most companies. According to the Statistics Canada survey of the profits of non-financial corporations, 38 of a total of 43 in dustries posted an increase in their profit margins (net revenues relative to sales) in the first quarter of 1983, as compared with 26 in the previous quarter. In non-financial corporations as a whole, net profits after taxes and extraordinary gains rose by 36.2 per cent in the first quarter relative to the previous quarter. The 5.6 per cent reduction in interest payments (not adjusted for seasonality) helped to limit the growth of operating expenses and reflected the reduction in the cost of money and debt refinancing. Interest payments represented 3.1 per cent of the costs of production in the first quarter of 1983 , the lowest level since the beginning of the recession. This ratio should continue to fall since debt refinancing and possible further reductions in the cost of money should lower interest payments and operating expenses should increase along with production. A significant result of debt refinancing and profit growth is that it may facilitate internal financing of fixed capital and inventory investment intentions.

Only 16 industries out of 43 , however, recorded net profits after taxes and extraordinary gains higher or equal to the level attained in the second quarter of 1981 . For these 16 industries, good financial results in the first quarter and the strength of the economic recovery should contribute to the realization of the investment intentions, in particular for transportation equipment manufacturers who expected to invest 7.3 per cent more in 1983 than in 1982, even after posting a net loss after taxes and extraordinary gains of $\$ 63$ million between the third quarter of 1981 and the fourth quarter of 1982. A sustained increase in automobile demand, which is very likely in view of the growth in employment and consumer confidence, may prompt that industry to spend more than expected on investment in order to improve productivity and thus their competitiveness vis-à-vis imported makes.

The eight industries which continued to show a loss in the first quarter were connected with wood (wood, furniture and paper industries), metals (metal mines and primary metals) or business investment (producers and wholesalers of machinery). The leather industry also posted a deficit of its operations. It is unlikely that this poor performance in the first quarter will encourage these industries to lower their investment forecasts for 1983 , since they all had reduced significantly their fixed investment plans for 1983 relative to 1982, and demand and prices for wood and metals continued to rise until April.

For most industries, the stronger-than-expected economic recovery and investment incentives from the federal and certain provincial governments should ensure that investment forecasts for 1983 are realized. The rise in the capacity utilization rate should also encourage a strengthening of investment forecasts since it reflects the increase in demand. Firms surveyed by the Conference Board in the first quarter of 1983 cited weakness in demand as the most important factor which hinders an increase in fixed capital investment. The capacity utilization rate in manufacturing industries as compiled by Statistics Canada rose to 67.2 per cent in the first quarter, a growth of 6 per cent over the previous quarter, but a drop of 18.7 per cent from the most recent peak of the second quarter of 1981 .

## Manufacturing

Almost all indicators from the manufacturing sector remained weak in March, following a similar performance in February. The two-month slowdown in the recovery in manufacturing appears to be transitory, and due mainly to a similar slackening in consumer demand in January and February. In the April survey of business conditions, the number of manufacturers who judged inventory levels to be about right and who planned increased production, rose significantly. Along with the 1.8 per cent increase in employment in manufacturing in May, this would indicate that the weakening of manufacturing in February and March is indeed transitory.

The volume of shipments fell 1.3 per cent in March follow. ing a decline of 0.4 per cent in February. As in February, the largest decline occurred in transportation equipment, again a reflection of the transitory slackness in consumer demand evident in January and February. Excluding transportation equipment the drop in shipments was 0.4 per cent, and this decrease was more than accounted for by a 2.6 per cent fall in shipments by the food and beverage industry, a reversal of last month's 2.5 per cent increase.
Over the last three months shipments excluding transporta-
tion equipment have climbed 1.5 per cent, a movement more reflective of the positive trend in demand for manufacturing output. In March, the major contributors to this positive trend were the continued recovery of primary metals $(+3.7$ per cent) and rubber and plastics $(+4.5$ per cent), and an upfurn in metal fabricating ( +5.6 per cent) following declines in January and February.

The rate of inventory liquidation accelerated in March as the volume of manufacturers' inventories was drawn down by $\$ 169$ million (1971 dollars) following reductions of \$69 and $\$ 92$ million in January and February respectively. With inventories falling along with shipments, the inventoryshipment ratio remained steady at 2.04 , following four consecutive months of decline. The business conditions survey in April indicated that the percentage of firms that judged inventory levels to be about right jumped to 74 per cent from 59 per cent in January. This assessment seems substan. tiated by the 1.8 per cent increase in employment in May, indicating an acceleration in production and a reduction in the rate of inventory liquidation, or possibly even a small build-up of inventories. About two-thirds of the drawdown in total inventories occurred in the finished goods category, which, along with the declines in both shipments and production, indicates that the liquidation of inventories has continued to be voluntary. As of March, there was almost no evidence of a voluntary accumulation of inventories, as raw materials inventories fell $\$ 44$ million. The only evidence of a build-up of raw materials occurred in consumer nondurables such as food and beverages, tobacco products and textiles, and even these increases were small. The cautious reaction of firms about increasing their inputs seems to have dissipated in May, however, when employment rose 1.8 per cent. This may also signal an increased demand for material inputs, and raw materials inventories can be expected to rise in the near future.

The 2.5 per cent reduction in volume of new orders for manufactured goods in March, after a slight upturn of 0.6 per cent in February, reflects a decline in consumer demand in the first quarter. The decrease in car sales in January ( -2.1 per cent) and February ( -0.6 per cent), which led to an 11 per cent drop in new orders for transportation equipment in March, mainly affected the aggregate movement. New orders for all manufacturers related to clothing declined in March ( -4.3 per cent overall). It would seem that Canadian industry has not been able to take complete advantage of the sustained rise in demand for clothing between November 1982 and March 1983 (+4.4 per cent), as imports of these goods rose strongly during this period. The reduction in exports of
lumber in March ( -15.8 per cent) led to a 2.2 per cent drop in volume of new orders in that industry, but this should not indicate a reversal of the upward trend observed since the middle of 1982, due to continuing increases in housing starts in North America. The decline in output ( -0.2 per cent) and new orders ( -1.7 per cent) for paper and related industries also may explain partly the reduced demand for wood. The gain in exports of newsprint in March ( +2.9 per cent) and in April ( +13.6 per cent), however. should help to raise new orders for the paper industry, and thus benefit wood industries. The 5 per cent drop in shipments of petroleum products and coal seems to reflect the reduction in demand for gasoline ( -1.2 per cent) at the retail level in March, probably due to the sharp rise $1+13.7$ per cent) in the price of this product in the month. The gasoline price war resumed in April and ended in June, which could cause significant fluctuations in new orders and output of that industry in these months. An upward trend should become more apparent, however, as energy demand will increase in line with economic activity. There also have been declines which are probably irregular in the case of food and beverages $(-2.8$ per cent after a gain of similar amplitude in February) and tobacco ( -2.1 per cent after a jump of 11.6 per cent in February). New orders for metal products rose 1.8 per cent in March, which probably reflects an increase in export sales and may indicate an imminent turnaround in the downward trend of output in these industries. The upward trend of output in primary metals, non-metallic minerals and furniture should persist in the early part of the second quarter after increases of 1.8 per cent and 5.4 per cent respectively in new orders in March. In the case of machinery, the increase in exports of industrial and agricultural machinery in March and April are positive signs for this industry even though new orders fell by 3.6 per cent in March.

In aggregate unfilled orders were down 0.4 per cent in volume. This, however, was more a reflection of the drop in demand than of increased production schedules as new orders were off 2.5 per cent and shipments declined 1.3 per cent, while production fell 0.6 per cent. The level of unfilled orders was at $\$ 7051.1$ million ( $\$ 1971$ ) in March, equal to about 1.3 months of sales. The greatest reduction in order backlogs occurred in investment-related industries such as electrical products ( $-\$ 30.7$ million), metal fabricating ( $-\$ 10.1$ million), and machinery ( $-\$ 34.4$ million), reflecting the continued weakness in demand for investment-related goods. Unfilled orders rose by $\$ 35.3$ million in the primary metals industry, the fourth consecutive large gain.

## External Sector

The overall improvement in external trade in April suggests that the world economy is gaining strength entering the second quarter of 1983. There were signs of greater diffusion in Canadian exports, which until recently went almost exclusively to the United States, while imports from most regions continued to climb. With the addition of the April data, the trend-cycle for exports and imports increased by 1.7 per cent and 2.3 per cent respectively. Seasonally adjusted data indicated that exports were recovering more vigourously ( +10.5 per cent) than imports ( +1.9 per cent) in April, boosting the trade balance surplus to $\$ 1.99$ billion. After a decrease of $\$ 55$ million in March, part of the particularly strong gain (\$625 million) was due, however, to a substantial improvement in the balance of trade in fuels. The April increase in exports of fabricated materials was sufficient to reverse and raise the trend-cycle, while the trendcycle for exports of raw materials rose further. Automotive trade continued to lead the upturn of exports of end products after the one-month reversal in March. The increase in imports was quite widely distributed, encompassing amost all consumer goods. Among end products, there was also a small improvement in imports of investment goods as the prospects recently improved in this sector. Imports of fabricated materials were up, while coal and crude oil in particular continued to lead the decline in crude material imports.

With the inclusion of the 10.5 per cent increase recorded in the seasonally adjusted data for April, the trend-cycle for exports jumped by 1.7 per cent, supporting the optimism expressed by export-oriented manufacturing firms in April in the survey on business conditions made by Statistics Canada. This advance raised the trend-cycle for fabricated materials ( +0.3 per cent), and propelled the trend-cycles for raw materials $(+0.3$ per cent), end products $(+3.4$ per cent) and cereals ( +6.7 per cent). Crude material exports climbed by 14.5 per cent in April, led by coal ( $+\$ 92$ million), metallic minerals ( $+\$ 66$ million) and crude oil ( $+\$ 69$ million). The more vigourous recovery in exports of major metal ores seems to be related to the recent turnaround in the European and Japanese economies, where over 50 per cent of Canadian shipments were destined in 1980. There has been a particularly strong upturn in iron in recent months, as the trend-cycle posted an increase of 14.5 per cent. Exports of copper and nickel ores rose $\$ 8$ million and $\$ 4$ million respectively, although tonnage levels femain depressed. This trend should accelerate as the recovery in world demand begins to affect Canada,
which is one of the world's leading exporters of minerals. The strength of crude material exports, however, was attributable in part to special factors affecting crude oil that may not persist in the medium term. The domestic demand should regain vigour in concert with the forecast increase in activity for the next few months, which also would contribute to reduce the exports surplus.
Exports of fabricated materials grew by 12.4 per cent. largely attributable to petroleum and coal products $(+\$ 97$ million), fertilizers ( $+\$ 27$ million) and inorganic chemicals $(+16$ million), mostly exported to the United States. Wood pulp, newsprint and non-ferrous metals showed signs of recovery in April, either in the trend-cycle or in the seasonally adjusted data, as strong wood sales persisted into April. There were upturns in the trend-cycles for aluminum, copper, nickel and pulp. Among the other components, however, there was further weakening in sales of iron and steel ( $-\$ 16$ million) and precious metals ( $-\$ 26$ million), and little improvement in electricity sales $(+\$ 2$ million). Motor vehicle products ( $+\$ 79$ million) led the advance in exports of end products in April. There were increases in exports of cars $(+\$ 20$ million) and trucks $(+\$ 90$ million), while exports of parts were down by $\$ 31$ million, although the trend remained strongly positive $(+8.4$ per cent). Exports of farm machinery also rose, and consequently, the trend-cycle was reversed upwards. Exports of industrial machinery also increased, which is consistent with the improvement in business investment outlook in the United States, where contracts and orders for buildings and machinery have rebounded since March from the lows reached at the beginning of the year. New orders, however, remained below shipments for a wide range of products, and consequently, there was not enough momentum to affect supplier industries. In addition, exports of other transportation equipment, aircraft and office machinery were weak. Jerry Jasinowski, Chief Economist of the National Association of American Manufacturers, is expecting little strength in investment expenditures during 1983 According to Mr. Jasinowski, actual expenditures will mostly affect small goods made to reduce production costs. The construction of new plant and purchases of major equipment will not increase if interest rates remain at the same levels and if the capacity utilization rate does not improve (Wall Street Journal, 4/6).

The trend-cycle for imports accelerated to 2.3 per cent, including the 1.9 per cent increase recorded in the seasonally adjusted data for April. The movement of imports since November accurately reflects the pattern of recovery in the domestic production, which accelerated until January and
lost a little of its momentum in March, partly due to the transitory slowdown in the automobile sector. The April increase in imports was attributable primarily to end products $(+\$ 321$ million), and to a lesser degree to fabricated materials ( $+\$ 98$ million) and food $(+\$ 36$ million). Imports of crude materials showed a marked weakness due to coal ( $-\$ 5$ million) and crude oil ( $-\$ 82$ million), further reducing the trend-cycles for these products and reflecting the softness of domestic demand for fuels. The rise in imports of fabricated materials in April was largely due to non-ferrous metals and plastics, for which the trend accelerated. The seasonally adjusted data showed an appreciable decline in imports of organic chemicals, although the trend-cycle remained highly positive ( +6.4 per cent). The trend-cycle continued its strong advance, albeit at a less rapid rate, in textiles ( +3.5 per cent), wood and paper ( +2.8 per cent) and iron and steel (+8.9 per cent). The sharp increase in imports of end products was due to the automotive trade $(+\$ 152$ million), especially car parts $(+\$ 142$ million). The trend-cycle for these products has been rising very rapidly since March, suggesting that production schedules in this sector are likely to increase substantially in the second quarter, as sales strongly improved recently. There was also a considerable recovery in most components related to consumer demand, such as radios and televisions $(+\$ 9$ million), footwear ( $+\$ 6$ million), printed materials $(+\$ 15$ million), photographic equipment $(+\$ 10$ million) and loys ( $+\$ 7$ million). The trend-cycles for most of these products have accelerated in recent months. Some components related to business investment, such as office machinery ( $+\$ 8$ million) and telecommunications equipment continued to recover, in concert with the small improvement in investment outlook for Canada.

## Financial Markets

Highlights for the month of May include the general stability of interest rates, the persistence of high real rates of interest, strong public sector demand for funds, an optimism that business loans will rise within the next few months even though chartered Dank Dusiness loans have fallen again during May and continued price increases on North American stock markets in spite of many predictions of a retreat in the markels.
For the first time in eleven months, the month-end Bank Rate rose by 13 basis points to 9.50 per cent during May. The slight increase was primarily attributable to a combination of an increase in interest rates in the United States, a modest decline of the Canadian dollar compared to the United States dollar, and the demand for funds by both the

Canadian ard Amerlcan yovernments. In generat word yelds and 90 day short-term paper have risen between 15 to 25 basis points while mortgage rates, the prime rate, and 30 day short-term paper have remained unchanged. Many analysts have expressed concern that rates may rise Decause of a five-week surge in M1 in the United States flacluding a $\$ 2.1$ billion jump during the third week of May), fenewed fears of inflationary pressures, and the heavy schedule of U.S. treasury borrowing over the coming year Henry Kaufman, Chief Economist at Salomon Brothers Inc. of New York, has stated recently that further declines in interest rates would require a slowdown in the United States economy, evidence of a reduction in the United States federal deficit, institutional investors adopting a longer term irvestment position, or a combination of these developments.

M1 continued to strengthen in May. up about one per cent to $\$ 28,544$ million, after an increase of about one per cent in April. Although M1 has continued to rise for the last eight months, it should be noted that both M2 and M3 tell in April and May. This would indicate that individuals and corporadions may be drawing on interest-bearing assets. Data for April and May indicate that chartered bank non-personal term and notice deposits have decreased substantially (almost 10 per cent over two months). Although personal fixed term deposits at chartered banks fell by about 3 per cent over the two months, total personal savings deposits have continued to rise.

The Canadian dollar fell 0.40 cents U.S. to 81.28 cents by the end of May, as yield differentials between Canada and the United States fell by about 41 basis points to 36 basis points (in favour of investing in Canada on an uncovered basis) for 30 day short-term paper. The Canadian dollar is expected by most analysts to rise moderately over the next fow months due to a current account surplus for Canada, a current account deficit in the United States, a substantial :eduction in the inflation rate in Canada over the past half year and an improving attitude towards direct foreign investment in Canada.
For the sixth consecutive month, business loans in Canadian dollars at chartered banks fell, dropping $\$ 1,092$ million to $\$ 84,574$ million in May. Business toans have fallen 8.66 per cent from their peak in November of 1982. Although corporate short-term paper had risen about $\$ 3,500$ million from the end of November to the beginning of May, it fell ituring May by $\$ 338$ million to approximately $\$ 26,010$ witlion (data unadjusted for seasonal variation). The smaller dtecline in short-term paper compared to the drop in rumbess buk is patt actianed by the btracice yies dif
ferental Detween the 1 wo mstuments fat the beghming of
May a 165 basis point yield differential existed between 30 day short-term paper and the prime rate). In addition to the rise in short-term paper, increases in stock issues and corporate profits together with decreases in business bank deposits, business interest payments, and inventories help to explain the sources of funds permitting the dramatic fall in bank loans.

Federal and provincial governments continued to dominate borrowing in the financial markets, accounting for $\$ 2.577$ million of the total $\$ 3.435$ million of net new security issues placed in Canada and abroad. Federal government net new issues of bonds and treasury bills totalled $\$ 1,024$ million while provincial government net borrowings were $\$ 1,553$ million for May. Corporate net new bond and stock issues totalled $\$ 1,170$ million during May. During the first quarter of 1983 , the total value of corporate net new common share issues of $\$ 1.15$ billion was greater than the total for 1982. Undoubtedly a significant portion of this activity was devoted to restructuring corporate balance sheets. Some experts have indicated that corporations would have to acquire about $\$ 15.5$ billion to restore debt to equity ratios to pre-recession levels.

In spite of the fact that many predictions have been made for a downward correction in the stock market, the Dow Jones Average of 30 Industrial Stocks closed at 1199.98 , up from 1126.20 at the end of April, and the Toronto Stock Exchange Index of 300 stocks closed at 2420.65 , up from 2318.76 a month ago. Price to earnings ratios remain at precariously high levels (the TSE average P/E ratio at the end of May was 28.78).
Although consumer credit (as measured by total personal loans at chartered banks) continued to decline in May. residential mortgages at chartered banks continued their strong upward movement. This measure of consumer credit has been declining for the past 17 months at an average rate of $\$ 88$ million per month. Consumer credit declined again, this time by $\$ 83$ million to $\$ 30,360$ million. Reflecting the strong increase in housing starts, residential mortgages increased by about $\$ 217$ million during May (data unadjusted for seasonal variation).

## International Economies

The prospects for stronger-than-expected growth in the industrialized countries improved in the second quarter of 1983. For the OECD countries, the forecast growth is now 2 per cent. compared with 1.2 per cent last Decomber. The maveler seens to be mblater in 'the

European Economic Community countries, but the leading effect of the current growth in the North American economy and the increased confidence among European businesspersons and consumers regarding the prospects for their national economies could be reflected in a rise in consumption, investment and production over the next few months. The joint statement by the heads of State of the seven major industrial nations who met in Williamsburg for the economic summit is a further indication of the growing confidence in the outlook for a recovery. Moreover, industrial output increased substantially in the first quarter of 1983 in the United States $(+2.3$ per cent), Canada ( +6.0 per cent), Great Britain ( +1.4 per cent) and West Germany ( +2.0 per cent). In France, however, the 0.8 per cent drop in industrial output in March, the sharp rise in consumer prices and the continuing weakness of the French franc vis-à-vis the dollar are dampening the prospects for short-term growth, and may slow the revival of economic activity in Europe.

The ninth economic summit of the industrialized nations held in Williamsburg culminated in a joint statement that was essentially a commitment to promote non-inflationary growth and greater stability in exchange rates. In order to attain this goal, the heads of State agreed to pursue appropriate economic policy objectives to achieve and maintain a low inflation rate and reduce interest rates and structural budget deficits. They also agreed to eliminate or reduce protectionist measures and consider a special strategy to ease the financial difficulties of the developing countries. The United States and France also accepted the idea of negotiations on the international monetary system, in response to the presummit proposal of President Mitterand that another BrettonWoods type conference be set up to restore international monetary order. In addition, the heads of State recognized the necessity of promoting consultation among themselves in order to strengthen the positive growth achieved in the various national economies and pursue economic policies aimed at restraining inflation, lowering interest rates, promoting investment and increasing employment opportunities (GM, LaP, LeD 31/5).
In France, the economic austerity program introduced by President Mitterand's government in March already seems to be having positive results in reducing the balance of trade deficit. According to the most recent figures available, the trade balance deficit dropped sharply in April (77 per cent) to reach its lowest level in the past eighteen months. The deficit fell from FFr 6.5 billion in March to FFr 1.5 billion for the month of April The balance of trade deficit for the first

represents a decrease of 13.4 per cent from the third quarter of 1982 but only a slight decline of about FFr 1 billion ( 4.1 per cent) from the fourth quarter of last year iFT $20 / 5)$. The balance of trade which reflects exports and imports of goods, varied differently for those two components. In April imports totalled FFr 58.4 billion, a drop of 6 per cent compared with March, while exports increased slightly by 2 per cent to reach a total of FFr 56.9 billion. The depreciation of the French franc, particularly in relation to the deutschemark and the American dollar, and the slackening in household spending caused by the wage freeze and the reduction in public spending imposed by the: government in June 1982 account for the sharp drop in im ports in April. The value of the French franc relative to a weighted group of fifteen other currencies has fallen by 6.1 per cent since June 1982 and by 4.5 per cent since last January, partly explaining the higher cost of imports of goods and consequently their downward trend. According to government authorities, the sharp decline in the volume of crude oil imports, which reduced their cost in US dollars and thereby offset the continuing increase in the value of the dollar, played a major role in the reduction in the balance of trade deficit (FT 20/5). The European Ecomomic: Community gave France a loan of 4 billion ECUS (\$3.7 billion US) to help compensate this country for its balance of payments deficit. According to the Economy and Finance Department, it should be possible to repay this loan within six years, and the EEC did not impose any conditions beyond the austerity measures already adopted (FT 17/5). The strong increase in consumer prices in April, however, is likely to jeopardize the government's efforts to hold inflation to 8 per cent for 1983 . Retail prices rose by 1.4 per cent in April, compared with 0.9 per cent the previous month. This substantial increase is the result of an 8 per cent rise in public fees and taxes on alcohol and an increase in hous ing costs (LeM 25/5). According to INSEE, the rate of inflat tion will not ease sufficiently during the second quarter of the year to allow the government to achieve its objective of restraining inflation. According to a bulletin of the Employment Department, unemployment continued its downward trend for the third consecutive month. The number of peo ple seeking employment fell to 1,949 million in April compared with 2,015 million in March, the result of an increass in the number of discouraged workers who have left ine labour lorce (LeM 25/5).

According to the government authorities in Great Britain, in dustrial output declined by 0.9 per cent in March, followit: 0 increases of 2.0 per cent in December, 0.2 per cent if Jantiary and 1.0 per cent in February This decline in int


North Sea oil production and a drop in manufacturing output (FT 18:5). The latest results are dampening somiewhat the optimism of analysts and government authorities, who were predicting a sustained recovery in economic activity. In the first quarter of the year, however, industrial output increased by 1.5 per cent over the previous quarter and by 2 per cent over the first quarter of 1982 , and manufacturing output grew at the same rate as in the last quarter $(+1.5$ per cent). The upturn in industrial output since the end of the third quarter of 1982 is due to a 0.5 per cent increase in retail sales in the third quarter of the year and a 2 per cent rise over the first quarter of 1982 (FT 18/5). In addition, according to preliminary estimates released by the Central Statistical Office, gross domestic output rose by 0.75 per cent between the last quarter of 1982 and the first quarter of 1983, due to a growth in industrial output in the first quarter. The slowdown in inventory reduction among manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers to approximately £35 million in the first quarter of 1983 compared with a sharp drop of $£ 480$ million during the last half of 1982 contributed partly to the growth in GDP (LPS 18/5). The most recent figures confirmed the latest quarterly survey made by the Confederation of British Industries concerning the intention of manufacturing industries to reduce their inventory liquidation rates. The April current account deficit, however, is likely to slow the economic recovery, since the external sector is an important source of growth. The current account balance deteriorated considerably to register a 180 million deficit in April compared with a surplus of $£ 564$ million in March and of $£ 47$ million in February, while the February-to-April surplus was $\mathscr{\&} 431$ million compared with $\mathscr{L} 1.043$ billion from November 1982 to January 1983. The deficit seems to be the result of a sharp increase in the non-petroleum trade deficit, which rose from $£ 238$ million in March to $£ 834$ million in April, while the petroleum trade surplus fell from $£ 622$ million in March to $\mathscr{L} 474$ million in April. The 3.5 per cent increase in the volume of imports in April reflects the desire of the manufacturing industries to build up their raw material inventories since their imports increased by 8.5 per cent over the past three months. The volume of exports rose by only 0.5 per cent over the past three months, explaining the shift from a current account surplus to a deficit in April (FT 28/5). The downward trend in the inflation rate continued in April. Consumer prices rose by 1.4 per cent in April, following increases of 0.2 per cent in February and 0.4 per cent in March. This strong growth does not indicate a resumption of inflation but the result of a concentration of indirect tax increases on alcohol, tobacco, cigarettes and gasoline during the month of April. In fact, the annual rate of increase of inflation was 4 per cent over April 1982 and 4.6 per cent the previous month
as compared with March 1982 . The government still is predicting an annual inflation rate of about 6 per cent toward the end of the year, while other analysts are forecasting a rate of between 7 and 8 per cent (FT 21/5). The movement of inflation over the next few months depends on several factors, including trends in the value of the pound sterling vis-à-vis other major currencies, the growth in the money supply which is increasing more rapidly than the government objective, and wage demands. The downward trend in wages continued in March, although the rate of decline was lower than the decrease in inflation. According to the Department of Employment, average wages rose by 7.5 per cent over the last twelve months, following an increase of 7.7 per cent between February 1982 and February 1983 (FT 19/5).

In addition, the unemployment rate dropped to 12.8 per cent in April, compared with 13 per cent the previous month. The rate had risen rapidly since 1980, however, reaching 10.2 per cent in 1981, 12 per cent in 1982 and a peak of 13.0 per cent in March 1983. The current level of unemployment reflects the substantial slackening in economic activity in Great Britain in recent years. An economic recovery, however, is under way in this country, although it will perhaps not be strong enough to improve conditions on the labour market. According to the National Institute of Economic and Social Research, the growth rate will be approximately 2.4 per cent in 1983 and 1.2 per cent in 1984, while the number of unemployed persons should remain at 3.1 million in 1.983 and rise to 3.2 million in 1984 (FT 24/5)

In West Germany, the coalition government of Chancellor Helmut Kohl introduced its budget for the coming fiscal year. The objectives of the budget are to reduce the deficit and increase confidence in the business sector with measures designed to encourage investment. The budget limits the increase in nominal government expenditures to 2 per cent, restricts net loans to approximately 3 per cent of GDP (DM 38 billion), reduces social spending by DM 5 billion including a decrease in benefits for single unemployed persons (DM 2 billion), freezes the wages of public sector employees for the next nine months (DM 900 million) and substantially decreases taxes (DM 3.5 billion) on the profits of small and medium-sized businesses, as well as introducing measures to improve capital depreciation deductions. The prospects for economic recovery have improved in recent months. Industrial output increased by 3.7 per cent in March and by 1.0 per cent in April, after dropping by 2.6 per cent in February. Industrial output rose by 2.0 per cent in the first quarter of the year after declinino oon-
siderably since $1980(-0.8$ per cent in 1980, -2.7 per cent in 1981 and -3.0 per cent in 1982). The most recent balance of trade figures, however, confirmed the prospects for a slow recovery. The sharp decline in exports in April considerably reduced the balance of trade surplus. In fact, the surplus fell from DM 5.45 billion in March to DM 2.67 billion in April, a decline of 51 per cent from March and of 22.6 per cent from April 1982 when the world economy was in recession. Exports fell to DM 33.8 billion ( -15.5 per cent) in April, compared with DM 40 billion the previous month and DM 35.6 billion in April 1982. Imports declined by DM 3.5 billion to DM 31.1 billion in April. The realignment of foreign currencies within the EMS which led to an appreciation of the deutschemark seems to have been the major factor in the evolution of the balance of trade. There was a DM 300 million current account surplus in April, a decline of 90.6 per cent compared with the DM 3.2 billion surplus the previous month. The current account balance for the first four months of the year clearly increased, however, moving from a DM 500 million deficit for the first four months of 1982 to a DM 4.5 billion surplus (FT 28/5). Finally, the labour market situation improved during April. The unemployment rate fell to 9.2 per cent in April, compared with 9.8 per cent the previous month. The number of unemployed persons declined by 132,700 to reach a level of 2.25 million.

Several of the industrial countries are going through a period of instability in the value of their national currencies vis-a-vis the American dollar, and the governments of these countries recently expressed their concerns about the sharp fluctuations in exchange rates. These concerns are reflected in criticisms of the budget deficit in the United States, which is keeping interest rates at high levels and is one of the major reasons for the strength of the American dollar on international currency markets. According to several analysts, the American budgetary deficit is hindering the economic growth in the industrialized countries, and consequently the recovery may be too weak to generate a significant reduction in unemployment, for example. French President François Mitterand put forward the idea of negotiations on the instability of the international monetary system similar to the Bretton-Woods conference in 1947 in order to reduce fluctuations in other currencies vis-à-vis the dollar and to decrease their negative effects on the foreign trade balance of the various national economies. Since early 1980 , the international economy has experienced a relative scarcity of US dollars together with a sharp increase in the value of that currency as a result of demand exceeding supply. Between 1980 and 1982, the dollar rose 10.2 per cent relative to the yen, 55.7 per cent
as against the French franc, 33.7 per cent vis-à-vis the deutschemark and 32.8 per cent as against the pound sterling. From January 1983 to June 16, 1983, the French franc depreciated by 14.1 per cent to reach an historical low of FFr 7.68. The deutschemark depreciated by 7.1 per cent vis-à-vis the dollar during that period, while the pound sterling depreciated very slightly, by 3.3 per cent. The movement in exchange rates since 1980 is characterized by a strengthening of the dollar and a weakening of the EMS currencies and the yen. Several factors, including demand, explain the actual strength of the American dollar.

One of the major reasons for the increase in the value of the dollar is the high level of real interest rates in the United States, which in turn is due partly to the continuation of a restrictive monetary policy and a structural budget deficit. Since 1980, the recent movement in the value of the dollar has occurred in the context of sharp fluctuations in certain components of the balance of payments on current account and an improvement in the current account surplus. In 1979 and 1980, there was a negative direct foreign investment balance ( $-\$ 13.3$ billion in 1979 and $-\$ 5.5$ billion in 1980 ) since the capital outflow ( $\$ 25.2$ billion in 1979 and $\$ 19.2$ billion in 1980 ) exceeded the capital inflow ( $\$ 11.9$ billion in 1979 and $\$ 13.7$ billion in 1980). On the other hand, in 1981 and 1982, there was a reversal in the balance of foreign investment, resulting in a positive balance of $\$ 12.6$ billion and $\$ 11.6$ billion respectively. It is difficult to explain the evolution of the balance of direct foreign in. vestment, but higher real interest rates in the United States and expectations concerning the strength of the dollar in comparison with other major currencies certainly helped this reversal in the flow of capital from outside into the United States and thus increased the upward pressures on the dollar. Two further reasons for the strength of the US dollar are the possibility of an international financial crisis and increasing political tension in the Middle East, which are encouraging international capital to seek out a safe currency (such as the US dollar). A fourth factor is the disappearance of the current account surplus of the OPEC countries. From its historically high level of approximately $\$ 113$ billion US in 1980, the latter fell to $\$ 63$ billion in 1981 and to a small surplus of $\$ 3$ billion in 1982 . Furthermore, the OPEC Countries should have a large deficit in 1983 (approximately $\$ 3$ billion) particularly due to the decline in the volume of crude oil imported by the United States and the other industrialized countries and the drop in the price per barrel. In the context of the international financial system, the shift from a current account surplus to a probable deficit in the OPEC countries might lead to an increase in demand
for dollars. This would further strengthen the dollar vis-à-vis other currencies. Moreover, the demand for American dollars is linked to the financing of international trade, which is conducted to a large extent in dollars, and to the growth in dollars of the developing countries' debt. Since the sharp downturn in world economic activity, the rate of increase of international trade has declined considerably, resulting in a reduction in the demand for dollars. The economic recovery in the industrial countries that is beginning to take hold, however, could only increase the demand for US dollars. On the other hand, the debt load of the developing countries has increased rapidly to reach a total of approximately $\$ 750$ billion for the third world countries. In order to avoid an international financial crisis, the American and other banking systems have raised their loans to several Latin American and African countries. This situation combined with the current account deficit of the Latin American countries have increased the demand for dollars and probably accentuated the upward pressure on that currency.

Briefly, the present desequilibrium between the supply of and demand for US dollars compared with the long-term equilibrium determined by parity purchasing powers might continue until the end of 1984, due to a budget deficit and consequently high real interest rates in the United States. Long-term trends in the current account deficit and the strength of the economic recovery in the United States over the next few quarters may be, however, major factors in determining the evolution of the value of the US dollar vis-àvis other currencies. The system of flexible exchange rates could reach an equilibrium compatible with fundamental economic variables such as the difference in consumer prices between the United States and other countries, the movement of the American current account balance and the gap between interest rates in the United States and elsewhere.

## United States Economy

The U.S. economy appears to be picking up momentum entering the second quarter, following relatively weak growth in the first quarter. Real gross national product grew at an annual rate of just 2.5 per cent in the first quarter. A stronger growth rate failed to materialize mainly because the hoped for strength in consumer spending proved to be elusive. Constant dollar personal consumption expenditures rose a weak 2.6 per cent at annual rates in the opening quarter. Also contributing to the disappointing first quarter performance, inventories were drawn down at an annual rate of $\$ 16$ billion, only a slight slowdown from the $\$ 20$ billion pace in the fourth quarter.

Strength in both consumer spending and inventory rebuilding are essential to a vigourous recovery, and entering the second quarter both of these soft spots appeared to be firming up. Retail sales rose 1.6 per cent in April following a similar strong gain of 1.7 per cent in March. A large increase in consumer optimism lies behind the increased spending. The University of Michigan's Index of Consume: Sentiment surged from 70.4 in January to 89.1 in April, the highest level recorded since May 1977. Consumers cited favourable trends in employment, interest rates and pricess as factors underlying their improved expectations for this economy and for their own personal financial situation. Business inventories fell $\$ 5.5$ billion in March, which, aleng with the increase in sales brought the stock-to-sales ratio to 1.45, the lowest level in two years. The low ratio and the increasing sales suggest that inventories are now at acceptable levels, and that demand will be matched by production. In fact, industrial production increased 2.1 per cent in: April following a 1.2 per cent gain in March, adding weight to the notion that the period of massive inventory liquidation is over. New orders received by manufacturers rose 2.4 per cent in April following a 3.2 per cent gain in March, in dicating that the gains in production will continue. Manufacturing employment rose 0.6 per cent in April following more modest gains of 0.3 and 0.1 per cent the previous two months. This resulted in the first gain in overall employment in several months which should add to consumer incomes and contidence.

The major concern for the longevity of the recovery remans the federal government deficit which is expected to be about $\$ 200$ billion for fiscal 1984. Fears that the deficit will raise interest rates by rekindling inflation and overloafly the credit markets have prevented nominal interest rates from falling more in line with current inflation rates. In fatt interest rates have edged up recently in reaction to a surga in the money supply (M1) of $\$ 11.4$ billion in the first tw. weeks of May. However, this does not necessarily sichal the onset of the feared credit crunch as large income tap: refunds were made this spring and this explains much of the increase in M1. This view is supported by the cash flow picture for corporations. Although before-tax book profits increased only $\$ 2.4$ billion in the quarter, operating profiti. which are adjusted for the influence of inventory chang ${ }^{2}$ and depreciation allowances, surged $\$ 20.8$ billion in the quarter. Combined with the fact that inventories have $\mathrm{C} C$ : yet started to increase and business fixed investment clinued only marginally in the first quarter, this suggests that cotporate demand for credit should remain sluggish, as in fact has been the case. Thus, for the moment at least interest rates remain high more because of expectations than
because of excess credit demands. Fears that the deficit will rekindle inflation are not evident in the current data either. Although the Consumer Price Index advanced by 0.6 per cent in April, the largest increase since last summer, the 5 cents per gallon increase in federal taxes on gasoline and sharply higher prices for fresh fruit ancd
vegetables were major contributors. Unit labour costs rose only 1.3 per cent at annual rates in the first quarter, while new wage settlements were up just 1.4 per cent. sug gesting that conditions for a low inflation rate remain favourable

## News Developments

## Domestic

The month of May was marked by the introduction of several provincial budgets, notably in New Brunswick, Quebec and Ontario. The New Brunswick Minister of Finance introduced a budget on May 6, whose objectives are to reduce the provincial deficit and cut government expenditures. The deficit should be reduced from $\$ 511.5$ million in the 1982-1983 fiscal year to $\$ 391.8$ million in 1983-1984. To attain this goal, the budget contains a nil increase in public sector wages, a rise in the rates of taxation on social and educational services ( $+2 \%$, to a level of $10 \%$ ), and a higher tax on such products as tobacco $(+16 \%)$ and gas $(+4 \%)$. In addition, the new budget also includes a retroactive increase in personal taxes (commencing January 1, 1983) and a rise in property taxes to come into effect on January 1, 1984. In the public service sector, taxpayers will spend $\$ 6$ a day for hospital and diagnostic services, and senior citizens will disburse $\$ 3$ for prescriptions, which had been free until now. The Government of New Brunswick also expects to freeze grants to municipalities at their present levels. It would thus appear that the private sector was the least affected by this new budget, as businesses escaped this wide range of tax increases (GM, LeD 7/5).

On May 10, a budget was tabled in the Quebec National Assembly by the Minister of Finance, Mr Parizeau. Like the April 19 federal budget, the objectives of the Quebec budget are to encourage the economic recovery and keep the deficit at its current level of about $\$ 3.2$ billion. Thus, Mr Parizeau also expected the private sector to assist in ensuring recovery and planned to inject $\$ 220$ million into various new recovery programs and fiscal stimuli, in addition to the $\$ 260$ million already allocated to existing programs in the first three months of the year. The major fiscal measures included in this budget are an expansion of the Stock Savings Plan to make small and mediumsized businesses eligible, an increase (from 3\% to 9\%) in the tax credit refundable to a business which suffers a loss, abolition of the tax on income earned abroad for professional services provided by Quebec workers, and a refundable tax credit equivalent to $10 \%$ of total income allocated to research and development. The Quebec government promoted the movement by increasing its public investment in the sectors of transportation (in particular public and rural transportation) and water puritication. Job creation programs for 1983-1984 will amount to about $\$ 235$ million. To encourage recovery in the residential sector, the "Corvée-habitation" program was extended with an interest rate reduction guaranteed for three years at $9.5 \%$ and a
payment of $\$ 2,000$ on the purchase of a new house before January 1, 1984. This decision followed the end of the federal Home Ownership Stimulation Plan on May 5.

It appears, then, that this budget will not encourage an upturn in consumer spending in the short-term, as the personal exemption rate will be reduced from $7.5 \%$ to $5 \%$ in 1984 and the $9 \%$ sales tax as well as the $40 \%$ sliding scale tax on fuels will remain unchanged, at least for the moment. The price of wine at the consumer level will increase also after an advance in selling prices by the Société des alcools du Québec to retailers, who in turn will raise their retail prices. According to Mr Parizeau, however, the trough of the cycle has been reached, and a white paper on personal taxation will be published shortly. The purpose of this revision of taxation is to ensure progressive taxation as an incentive to work and to increase income. Moreover, the Home Ownership Savings Plan was modified so that these funds could be used to buy household furnishings and appliances (LeD, GM 11/5).
This new budget prompted various reactions from major Quebec business groups and unions. The reaction of businesspersons appears to have been favourable, as it will enable them to improve their profit margins and invest in research and development. The association of manufacturing industries welcomed the conservative and less costly measures contained in the budget, although not all their expectations were met. The leading unions in the province, however, indicated that the new job programs would have little positive effect on the high rate of unemployment, and that the recovery of consumer expenditure would be hindered by the persistence of high tax rates (LeD 11-12/5, GM 11-12-16/5).
Mr Miller, the Treasurer of Ontario, introduced his budget for fiscal year 1983-1984 on May 10. The aims of this new budget are similar to those of the federal and Quebec governments, notably to promote a firm economic recovery by providing aid to the private sector and to keep the deficit at its present level of about $\$ 2.7$ billion. in respect of the private sector, the budget contains various stimulus and tax reduction programs amounting to about $\$ 358$ million. Thus, the $10 \%$ small business income tax exemption for a twoyear period was extended for an additional year, and the sales tax exemption for machinery and equipment used in the manufacturing sector was expanded to tools and parts used in repairing and maintaining production machinery and transportation equipment. In addition, $\$ 30$ million will be injected into research and development for small businesses, and a new program providing $5 \%$ interest subsidy for a five. year period on loans to beginning farmers has been
developed to encourage recovery in the agricultural sector. Several stimuli were also designed to create jobs, including a youth jobs program ( $\$ 36$ million) and a project to build about 5,000 apartments, which should create 12,000 jobs. Despite these various stimulus programs, Mr Miller anticipated an unemployment rate of $11.7 \%$, a level slightly higher than last year. In the public sector, the Ontario Treasurer announced a reduction of revenue transfers to municipalities and to hospital and education centres and the ending of the wage restraint program for civil servants in the province at the end of 1983.

Like the federal and Quebec budgets, the new Ontario budget contains few measures to encourage a sustained recovery of consumer expenditures in the short-term, as new tax rates were introduced, such as a 5\% increase in personal taxation for an 18 -month period beginning in July, and a 5\% rise in Ontario Health Insurance Plan premiums beginning in September. Moreover, sales tax will be raised on certain products, such as alcoholic beverages ( $+2 \%$ ) and tobacco $(+7 \%)$, while the sales tax on furniture and appliances was suspended from May 11 to August $9,1983$. Mr Miller also announced that another budget might be tabled in six months if these tax measures and cuts proved to be inadequate (GM 11-12-16/5).
Despite the concern over the existing deficit, this budget prompted a favourable reaction from small business representatives, as it will enable them to repair the damage caused by the recession and high interest rates. Most farmers' associations welcomed the new farm aid programs, while building contractors remained uncertain as to how long the $\$ 40$ million stimulus allocated to that sector would last. The unions were dissatisfied with the new taxation measures primarily affecting consumers, and stated that the job creation programs were inadequate in light of the high rate of unemployment (GM 11/5).
Several wage restraint programs in provincial public sectors were introduced or extended in May. Thus, the provincial government of Prince Edward Island introduced on May 3 a wage restraint program retroactive to April 1 . This measure limits the increase in public sector employee wages to $5 \%$ for a two-year period. Agreements already signed will be maintained at the agreed rates of increase for one year, and the $5 \%$ limit will be applied at the end of the contract. The wage restraint program of last year in British Columbia was judged to be a success by the provincial administration and was therefore extended for an indefinite period of time. In addition, as announced in the latest budget, public sector employees in New Brunswick will also have to accept a nil increase in their wages (GM, LeD 7/5, CP 3-30/5).

The federal government decided to terminate the Canadian Home Ownership Stimulation Plan due to a lack of funds, and undertook to comply with all applications received before May 5. The latter date was deferred to June 15 for applications from Canadians in the north or in distant areas. In total this program, which was created in June 1982, will cost $\$ 800$ million and contributed to the recovery in the housing industry as mortgage rates were very high. The Quebec provincial association of home builders stated that lack of funds would cause a loss to a large number of consumers. Mr Lalonde said that the program had been very successful and that the drop in interest rates should help to sustain the recovery in this sector. Mr Trudeau supported this statement, observing that any program must come to an end at some time and that the supply of funds is not unlimited. In addition, the federal government emphasized that the changes made in the last budget to the Registered Home Ownership Plan and the various provincial aid programs should largely ensure the recovery in the residential sector (LeD 6-27/5, GM 10/5).

The supplementary budget of federal government expenditure will allocate $\$ 240$ million to Canadair, which is currently having serious financial problems. This amount will be used to pay interest on existing debt and to honour contracts already signed with suppliers. The Canadian government already injected $\$ 200$ million into this company last year and, in addition, recently wrote off part of the $\$ 1.4$ billion deficit built up by Canadair, as $\$ 1.054$ billion of the debts was considered unrecoverable. According to the federal government, it appears that the losses suffered by this company can be attributed to a lack of business knowledge by management, especially as regards accounting methods. Thus, the company was placed under the supervision of the Canada Development Investment Corporation (CDIC), recently created by the federal government to aid businesses. This corporation has an authorized capital of $\$ 1$ billion and a borrowing authority of $\$ 3$ billion, a credit line already completely allocated, including \$1.350 billion for Canadair. To repair the economic position of Canadair, and following the resignation of the company's manager, the chairman of the CDIC, Mr Bell, decided to assume control with the aid of five members of the board of directors. The aim of the new management will be to bring the deficit of this company down from $\$ 1.4$ billion last year to $\$ 500$ million and to regain the confidence of foreign markets by publishing quarterly results beginning in the first quarter of 1983. According to Mr Bell, future sales should cover manufacturing costs as a result of the development of the new "Challenger" jet and the economic recovery which is under way (LeD 19-26/5, 6-9/6, GM 19/5, 8-9/6).

The gasoline price wars in Quebec and Ontario and food price wars in Quebec ended in May and June. In fact. Esso announced an increase in the price per litre of gasoline sold at its self-serve stations in Quebec on May 2 (from 43.9 to 49.9 cents a litre), and Texaco followed the example on the 18 th with a rise of 10 cents a litre (from 44.5 cents to 54.5 cents). These companies hope their competitors will do the same, which probably would end the strong fluctuations in gasoline prices. Oil companies in Quebec consider that to maintain positive profit margins, gas prices must be about 57 cents a litre in order to cover overhead, federal and provincial taxes (including the sliding scale of $40 \%$ ), and the costs of refining, marketing and administration. In addition, the sliding scale tax is collected from an approximate price of 55.3 cents a litre fixed on March 25, which means that these companies lose money by selling gasoline at 43 cents a litre (LeD $3-18 / 5$ ). It seems that the gasoline market remains uncertain, because price variations are due to a sharp drop in demand, a surplus of refinery output and too many retail outlets (LeD 19/5). The Quebec food chains Steinberg and Metro-Richelieu ended on May 6 the price war which had lasted for three months in that sector. Métro-Richelieu stated that its strategy of cash discounts had proved to be profitable, whereas Steinberg apparently lost money during the same period (LeD 26/5). Provigo, which has about 33\% of the Quebec food market, announc. ed that it would end the $6 \%$ cash rebates on Saturday. June 11, but would maintain the various types of current promotions, such as "super-specials", which are also used by other competitors in this area (GM 7/6, LeD-GM 8/6) IGA-Boniprix is the only major food chain which has retained its discount policies for an indefinite period, as this strategy has led to a substantial increase in the number of customers in its stores (LeD 8-9/6).

The council of the Confederation of National Trade Unions adopted a cost-cutting and layoff program to save over \$2 billion by February 1984 and about $\$ 3$ billion in the next two years. The major measures proposed were the immediate abolition of 25 positions, several of which were in Lac-St-Jean, the suspension of the COLA clause and a reduction in vacation pay for other employees. Moreover, the weekly publication "Nouvelles CSN" will appear only every 15 days, travel costs and various expenses of agencies will be reduced and the Lanoraie training centre will be closed for one month this summer (LeD 8-9/6).

In the telecommunications sector, technological changes have already helped Canadian business to save significantly and also to regain some vigour in world markets. Bell Canada International renewed its agreement with Saudi Arabia for a five-year period. The new agreement covers expan-
sion and modernization of the telephone system of that country and will total about $\$ 1.6$ billion. The project will create 100 new positions for Canadian telecommunications management and will provide for the maintenance of several support employees in Canada and Saudi Arabia. In 1982. the company participated in the completion of 55 projects in 28 countries (GM 8/6, LeD 3/5). In addition, Teleglobe Canada and Northern Telecom signed on June 7 an agreement for the purchase and installation of DMS-300 numerical switches. These companies, however, have larger objectives in view, including the development of telecommunications products and obtaining new customers worldwide. Following a $\$ 450$ million expansion program last year, the Burnaby Telephone Company in British Columbia expects to invest $\$ 368.8$ million this year to modernize its telephone system (LeD. GM 8/6).

Canadian society appears to be advancing with each annual budget towards a technological transformation that will result in a new production and consumption pattern. Several studies have shown that technological changes will lead to the disappearance or transformation of a large number of traditional jobs in certain sectors of the economy, and that this development is necessary to increase productivity which in turn will ensure that many businesses survive and maintain their competitiveness. For workers, industries will have to introduce ongoing training programs so they can be adapted to these changes, as the recruitment of specialized foreign manpower has become increasingly difficult. The demand for such personnel is very high and economic considerations play a significant role in determining where researchers locate. They prefer to work for large businesses which offer high salaries and better prospects for promotion. To counter this negative effect on research and development, these various studies suggest that the educational system should be modified somewhat to increase the importance of instruction in science and mathematics. The new system also should encourage a reassessment of personal effort and reward excellence in students. Businesses should help this basic training by improving their development and information programs (LeD 17/5).

The federal budget allocated over $\$ 700$ million for research and development, $\$ 61$ million of which for the creation of a biotechnology research institute that would employ 220 researchers and technicians by 1986. The federal government also has established various programs to elaborate the most recent techniques and ensure that technological changes have beneficial effects for all Canadians. By these programs, the Canadian government is encouraging joint research and co-operation between universities and industry.

In addition, the Government of Quebec recently created a department of science and technology to give a new orientation to scientific research in that province. It has also tabled a bill encouraging early retirement at age 60, a measure which will help to create new positions for young persons more oriented towards the new technology. The Quebec Minister for the status of women, Mrs Marois, plans to monitor the entire technological transition process to ensure that women have access to the new jobs. Moreover, certain American programs aimed at providing young women with information on scientific and technical careers are being studied for their potential adaptation to the Quebec situation (LeD 12-17-19/5, 9/6).
Several people take a similar view of the long-term effects of technological changes. Mr Neufeld, chief economist of the Royal Bank, said that the new technology will not result in a long-term rise in unemployment if the economic situation remains stable (GM 13/5). According to the Minister of Labour. Mr Caccia, if Canada wants to remain a prosperous industrial country, it is apparent that industry and labour will have to join in the race for world markets by improving productivity. Mr Caccia stated that governments, employers and unions recognize that these changes must be accepled to raise productivity, and they acknowledge the positive effects that can result for our society. In addition, a study conducted by Informetrica Ltd showed that technological transformations will probably have a less severe impact than some have suggested. According to that study, the beneficial effects of higher productivity can be recycled to create new jobs and thus offset those lost in the process of technological changes. Emphasis should therefore be placed on accelerating the adoption of new technology rather than on delaying the transition, as such a change undoubtedly will have positive long-term effects on employment and productivity (GM 9/6).

Unions also wish to participate in the forthcoming technological changes. At a seminar held by the National Institute of Productivity in Montreal on technology and work. the union movement stated that it is not opposed to the new technology but wishes to be involved throughout the transition process. The unions also said that it will be necessary to modernize not only working equipment but also collective agreements and business management in order to allay the lears of workers caused by the technological transition. (LeD 2/6).

## News Chronology

May 2 Bell Canada International announced the renewal of its agreement with Saudi Arabia. This new agreement will cover a five-year period and will amount to about $\$ 1.6$ billion.*
May 5 The federal government ended the Home Ownership Stimulation Plan. *
May 6 The provincial government of New Brunswick tabled its new budget. *
May 10 The Quebec budget for fiscal year 1983-1984 was introduced to the National Assembly. *
May 10 The Treasurer of Ontario tabled his budget for fiscal year 1983-1984. This budget imposed a new $7 \%$ tax on tobacco beginning immediately, a higher sales tax on alcoholic beverages beginning May 24 and temporary abolition of the tax on furniture and appliances until August 9 .
May 11 Maintenance employees of the Montreal Urban Community Commission (MUCC) went on strike. The Quebec government tabled on May 13 a bill to end the unlawful strike of MUCTC maintenance employees (LeD 11-13/5, GM 12-13/5).
May 24 New Quebec savings bonds went on sale and, by an agreement concluded between the federal and provincial governments, premiums may be deducted from the pay of federal public servants residing in Quebec who wish to buy bonds. The interest rates offered are $9.5 \%$ for the first year and $8.5 \%$ per annum until the termination date of these bonds in 1993. The purchase limit was raised from $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 35,000$.
May 25 The Canadian government tabled a bill to establish officially the Canada Development Investment Corporation which has for its objective to aid businesses in Canada. This Corporation will submit financial statements and investment plans to Parliament and will have an authorized capital of $\$ 1$ billion and borrowing authority of $\$ 3$ billion.
May 25 The US Commerce Department announced that no customs duties will be charged on Canadian lumber crossing the American border (GM 25/5).
May 25 The sale of federal government bonds totalling $\$ 300$ million began. These bonds offer an interest rate of $9.25 \%$.
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## Glossary

Diffusion inde

End point
seasonal
adjustment

External trade
Balance-ofpayments basis

Customs basis

Net exports
Terms of trade

Filtered, filtering
a diffusion index is a measure, taken across a group of time series, that indicates the uniformity of movement exhibited by the group. More precisely, for any given period the diffusion index is equal to the percentage of series in the group that are expanding during that period. The diffusion index thus indicates the dispersion or diffuseness of a given change in the aggregate. Since business cycle changes generally affect many economy processes diffusion indexes are useful in determining whether a change is due to cyclical forces
this procedure uses the data for the current period in estimating the seasonal factor for that period. In contrast the projected factor procedure calculates the seasonal factor for the current period by extrapolating past data. The end point procedure therefore allows changing seasonal patterns to be recognized sooner than the projected factor procedure.
data which reflect a number of adjustments applied to the customs totals to make them consistent with the concepts and definitions used in the system of national accounts.
totals of detailed merchandise trade data tabulated directly from customs documents.
exports less imports.
the ratio of merchandise export prices to merchandise import prices. This ratio can be calculated monthly on a customs basis from External Trade data, or quarterly on a balance of payments basis from GNP data.
in general the term filtering refers to removing, or filtering out, movements of the data that repeat them-

Final demand

Final domestic demand

## Inventories

By stage of processing

## Labour market

Additional worker effect
selves with roughly the same frequency. In the context used here we refer to removing the high frequency, or irregular movements, so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the trend-cycle. Unfortunately all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in signalling cyclical changes. We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by filtering with minimum phase shift filters.
final domestic demand plus exports. It can also be computed as GNP excluding inventory changes.
the sum of personal expenditure on goods and services, government current expenditure, and gross fixed capital formation by Canadians. Final domestic demand can also be viewed as GNP plus imports less exports and the change in inventories; that is, it is a measure of final demand by Canadians irrespective of whether the demand was met by domestic output, imports or a change in inventories
within a given industry inventories may be classified depending on whether processing of the goods, from that industry's point of view, is complete, is still underway, or has not yet begun. Inventories held at these various stages of processing are referred to as finished goods, goods in process, and raw materials respectively. Note that in this context the term raw materials does not necessarily refer to raw or primary commodities such as wheat, iron ore, etc. It simply refers to materials that are inpuls to the industry in question.
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate rises, the main income earner in the family unit may

Discouraged worker effect

Employed

Employment, Payrolls and Manhours Survey
become unemployed, inducing related members of the unit who were previously not participating in the labour force to seek employment. This is also referred to as the 'secondary worker effect'.
refers to the hypothesis that as the unemployment rate increases, some persons actively seeking employ. ment may become 'discouraged' as their job search period is extended and drop out of the labour force. persons who, during the reference period for the Labour Force Survey: a) did any work at all, for pay or profit in the context of an employeremployee relationship, or were selfemployed. It includes unpaid family work which is defined as work contributing directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned or operated by a related member of the household
b) had a job but were not at work due to own illness or disability, personal or family responsibilities, bad weather, labour dispute or other reasons (excluding persons on layoff and those with a job to start at a future date). a monthly mail census of firms employing 20 or more employees collecting payroll information on the last week or pay period in the reference month, including figures on average hours, earnings, and employment.

Employment/Population Ratio

Labour force

Labour Force Survey
represents employment as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over
persons in the labour force are those members of the population 15 years of age and over who, in the reference period were either employed or unemployed.
is a monthly household survey which measures the status of the members of the household with respect to the labour market, in the reference period. Inmates of in-
stitutions, members of Indian Reserves, and full-time members of the Canadian Armed Forces are excluded because they are considered to exist outside the labour market.
includes all persons drawing pay for services rendered or for paid absence during the survey reference period and for whom an employer makes CPP or QPP and/or UIC contributions. The employee concept excludes owners of unincorporated businesses and professional practices, the self. employed, unpaid family workers, persons doing non-remunerative work, pensioners, home workers, members of elected or appointed bodies, military personnel and persons providing services to an establishment on a contract basis. It is based on data collected in the Employment, Payrolls and Manhours Survey.
Paid worker a person who during the reference period did work for pay or profit. Paid workers do not include persons who did unpaid work which contributed directly to the operation of a family farm, business, or professional practice owned and operated by a related member of the household.

Participation rate
represents the labour force as a percentage of the population 15 years of age and over. The participation rate for a particular group is the percentage of that group participating in the labour force.
Unemployed
those who during the reference period:
a) were without work, and had actively looked for work in the past four weeks (ending with the reference week) and were available for work. or
b) had not actively looked for work in the past four weeks but had been on

layoff (with the expectation of returning to work) for 26 weeks or less and were available for work.
or
c) had not actively looked for work in the past four weeks but had a new job to start in four weeks or less from the reference week, and were available for work.
the sum of notes in circulation, coins outside banks, and chartered bank deposits with the Bank of Canada. Also referred to as the high-powered money supply.
daily cash (spot) prices of individual commodities. Commodity prices generally refer to spot prices of rexise and or taxes applicable exise and oter taxes applable prices which would be paid by final purchasers in a store or outlet. The Consumer Price Index is designed to measure the change through time in the cost of a constant "basket" of goods and services, representing e purchases made by a particular population group in a specified time eniod. Because the basket contains a set of goods and services of unchanging or comparable quantity qually changes in the cost of the basket are strictly due to price movements
prices which are the by-product of a deflation process. They reflect not nly changes in prices but also lure or production in the group to which they refer.
prices charged for new orders in anturn excluding discounts, for the reterence period. The pricing point is the first stage of selling after production. The Industry

Selling Price Index is a set of base weighted price indices designed to measure movement in prices of products sold by Canadian Establishments classified to the manufacfuring sector by the 1970 Standard Industrial Classification.
the weights used in calculating an aggregate Laspeyres price index are fixed weights calculated for a base period. Thus changes in a price index of this type are strictly due to price movements.
the weights used in calculating an aggregate Paasche price index are current period weights. Changes in a price index of this type reflect both changes in price and importance of the components.
represents the value of expenditure or production measured in terms of some fixed base period's prices.
(Changes in constant dollar expenditure or production can only be brought about by changes in the physical quantities of goods purchased or produced).
represents the value of expenditure or production measured at current price levels. A change in current dollar expenditure or production can be brought about by changes in the quantity of goods bought or produced or by changes in the level of prices of those goods.
represents the value of expenditure or production measured at current price levels. 'Nominal' value is synonymous with 'current dollar value.
'real' value is synonymous with 'constant dollar' value.
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GROSS NATIONAL EXPENDITURE IN 1971 DOLLARS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALIY AOJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  |  | BUSINESS EIXED INVESTMENT |  |  | INVENTORY | INVESTMENT |  |  | GROSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { EXO\&NDI- } \\ & \text { URG! } \end{aligned}$ | GOVERMMENT EXPENDI－ TURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST. } \\ & \text { RUCTIDN } \end{aligned}$ | NON RESIDENTIAL CDNST－ RUCTION | MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { BUSINESS } \\ \text { NON-F ARM } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | FARM AND Al CC （1）（2） | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | NATIDNAL EXPENOITURE |
| 1978 | 2.7 | 1.8 | －1．8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | －60 | 216 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| 1979 | 2.0 | ． 9 | －2．8 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 1629 | － 136 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 2.9 |
| 1980 | 1.1 | －1．0 | －6． 1 | 11.0 | 4.5 | －2389 | － 122 | 1． B | －2．0 | ． 5 |
| 1981 | 1.9 | ． 9 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 4． 6 | 1251 | 312 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| 1982 | －2．5 | ． 7 | －23．5 | －6．0 | －16．4 | － 3900 | －55 | $-1.5$ | －10．4 | －4．8 |
| 998： | 3 | ． 2 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2364 | 236 | －6． 1 | －． 2 | 1.2 |
| 11 | 1.1 | －． 1 | 4.9 | ． 7 | 3.7 | －572 | 12 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 1．E |
| 1！1 | －1．1 | 1.5 | $-8.7$ | ． 0 | －5． 2 | 920 | 375 | －3．0 | －． 1 | －1．1 |
| IV | －． 3 | ． 9 | －11．7 | 3.2 | ． 2 | －2080 | －508 | －． 4 | －5．3 | －． 9 |
| 1982： | $-1.3$ | －． 9 | －4．0 | －1．0 | －6． 9 | － 1750 | 152 | －4．4 | －6． 3 |  |
| ＋1 | －． 6 | ． 7 | －12．5 | －5．4 | －5．9 | －908 | － 128 | 6.6 | 1.6 | $-1.3$ |
| 111 | $\therefore .6$ | －． 9 | －4． 7 | －7．8 | －9．4 | 184 | 180 | 1.1 | －1．9 | －1．1 |
| iv | ． 3 | ． 2 | 10.4 | 1.5 | －． 3 | －1232 | －44 | －9．4 | －6．8 | $-1.1$ |
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REAL OUTPUT GY INDUSTRY


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { ODMES. } \\ & \text { TIC } \\ & \text { 2RODUCT } \end{aligned}$ | GOMESTIL ProDUCT EXCLUOJNG AGRJCUL－ TURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOODS } \\ & \text { PROOUCIMG } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIE } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE PROOUCING INDUSTRIES | IndUSTRIAL PRODUCTION | DURABLE <br> MANUFAC－ <br> TURING IMDUSTRIES | NUA－ OURABLE MANUFAC． TURING INDUSTRIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINING } \\ & \text { INDUSTRY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COM- } \\ & \text { MERCIAL } \\ & \text { INOUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { COM- } \\ & \text { MERCIAL } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3． 6 | 5.0 | 5.4 | －9．8 | 3.7 | 1.4 |
| 1979 |  | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 6． 5 | 5.3 | 9.4 | 4.5 | －． 1 |
| 4380 |  | ． 8 | ． 7 | －． 8 | 1.8 | －1．7 | －5．0 | －． 7 | 3.4 | 8 | 9 |
| 1981 |  | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.5 | －5． 4 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| 1982 |  | －5．0 | －5．2 | －9．4 | $-2.3$ | －10．8 | －15．5 | －8．8 | $-12.6$ | －6．2 | 1.9 |
| 989 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 8 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 1.4 | －1．8 | 1.5 | 3 |
|  | 111 | －1． 1 | －1．1 | －2．4 | －． 3 | －2．9 | －5．0 | －1．2 | －3．6 | －1． 5 | 9 |
|  | IV | －1．3 | －1．3 | －3．7 | ． 1 | －4．4 | －8．0 | －3． 3 | 1.4 | －1． 6 | 3 |
| 1982 | 1 | －1．5 | －1．7 | －2．0 | －1．2 | －2．8 | －4． 1 | －3．6 | － 2 | －1．9 | 6 |
|  | II | － 9.7 | －1．7 | －3． 1 | －1．0 | －2．9 | －1．1 | －2．8 | －9．4 | －2． 1 | 5 |
|  | 111 | －1．6 | $-1.6$ | －2．9 | －． 8 | －2．9 | $-30$ | －． 6 | －12．9 | －2．0 | 2 |
|  | Iv | － 1.0 | －1．1 | －2． 3 | － 3 | －4．0 | $-10.5$ | $-1.1$ | 7.5 | －1．3 | 3 |
| ： 983 | I | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.9 | ． 1 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 30 | 2.1 | 1 |
| 148： | MAR | －． 6 | －． 6 | －1．2 | －． 3 | －1．4 | －1．4 | － 6 | －3． 6 | － 9 | 9 |
|  | 2 PR | －． 7 | 0.7 | －． 6 | － 7 | －1．3 | 2 | －3．3 | －4． 1 | －． 8 | 0 |
|  | mây | －． 3 | －． 3 | －1．1 | ． 2 | ． 9 | 1.4 | 2.1 | －． 3 | －． 4 | 0 |
|  | UUN | －1．1 | －1． 1 | －1．9 | －． 7 | －2． 5 | －3．4 | －． 2 | －8．7 | －1． 3 | －． 1 |
|  | JUL | － 1.2 | －1．2 | －2．2 | －． 5 | －3．2 | －3．3 | －2．1 | －8．0 | －1．4 | ． 2 |
|  | －Lia | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 5 | 1.2 | －． 1 |
|  | 解 | －． 9 | －． 9 | －2．1 | － 1 | －3．4 | －7． 2 | －1．5 | 2.3 | －1．1 | 3 |
|  | $3 C T$ | －． 9 | －1．0 | －2． 1 | －． 3 | －3． 1 | －7． 1 | －． 7 | 1.8 | －1．1 | ． 2 |
|  | Mav | 2 | ． 2 | 4 | ． 1 | 7 | －． 8 | 6 | 5.4 | 4 | －． 5 |
|  | 冓 | ． 0 | －． 1 | ． 3 | － 1 | －1．4 | $-1.6$ | －1．5 | ． 5 | － 2 | 9 |
| 1985 | SAN | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4.1 | ． 1 | 6.0 | 11.1 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | － 4 |
|  | －［ E | －． 1 | －． 1 | ． 7 | －． 5 | 1.5 | ． 9 | 2.5 | $-1.0$ | 1 | － 1.0 |
|  | MAD | ． 9 | ． 9 | ． 0 | 1.3 | －． 6 | － 9 | －． 8 | 3 | 6 | 2.2 |



PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASONALLY ADNUSTED FiGURES

|  |  | RETAIL SALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEPARTMENT } \\ & \text { STORE } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW } \\ & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { VEHICLE } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | MANUFACTURING SHIPMENTS | DURABLE <br> MANUF ACTURING NEM ORDERS | MANUFAC. TURIMG IMVENTORY SHIPMENTS RATIO (1) | AVERAGE MEEKLY HDURS IN MANUFACTURING (1) | TDTAL HOUSING STARTS (2) | BUILDING PERMITS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TION } \\ & \text { MATERJALS } \\ & \text { SHIPMENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 11.8 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 1.84 | 38.8 | 234. | 5.8 | 18.3 |
| 1979 |  | 12.1 | 10.8 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 16.6 | 1.86 | 38.8 | 197.4 | 7.7 | 16.3 |
| 1980 |  | 8.9 | 9.6 | -. 6 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 2.02 | 38.5 | 159.6 | 9.2 | 8.3 |
| 1981 |  | 12.6 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 12.8 | 8. 6 | 2.02 | 38.6 | 180.0 | 21.2 | 13.5 |
| 1982 |  | 3.4 | -. 6 | -17.0 | -3. 3 | -10.6 | 2. 19 | 37.7 | 130.4 | -31.7 | -13.5 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2 | 7.0 | 11.9 | 1.93 | 38.8 | 216.0 | 12.9 | 7.0 |
|  | 111 | . 1 | -2.4 | -7.4 | . 0 | -4. 1 | 2.01 | 38.6 | 183.0 | -11.8 | -1.5 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | -3.6 | - 12.6 | 2. 15 | 38.1 | 135.3 | 10.0 | -1. 5 |
| 1982 | I | -. 5 | -2.7 | -15.2 | -1.9 | -2.5 | 2.23 | 38.1 | 169.7 | -24.0 | -9. 2 |
|  | I1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 4 | 6. 6 | 2.20 | 37.7 | 118.0 | -22.9 | -2.6 |
|  | 111 | 6 | . 1 | -8.3 | 1.7 | -3. 3 | 2. 13 | 37.5 | 96.3 | . 2 | -4.0 |
|  | IV | 1.2 | 2.3 | 5.1 | -5.8 | -9.2 | 2. 19 | 37.4 | 137.7 | 18.8 | -2.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 2.05 | 38.0 | 176.7 | 12.8 | 2.7 |
| 1982 | MAY | 3.9 | . 9 | 4.9 | 4.1 | -2.2 | 2. 18 | 37.6 | 111.0 | -10.8 | 3.7 |
|  | JUN | -2.9 | -. 8 | 5.3 | . 9 | 5.9 | 2. 15 | 37.7 | 114.0 | -4.5 | -3.4 |
|  | dUL | . 8 | $-9.0$ | -25.0 | -2.8 | -7. 3 | 2.21 | 37.6 | 108.0 | 20.3 | -5.5 |
|  | AUG | . 9 | 1.9 | 21.9 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 2.04 | 37.6 | 93.0 | -19.7 | 5.6 |
|  | SEP | -. 1 | . 0 | 4.1 | -5.1 | -4. 6 | 2. 14 | 37.2 | 88.0 | 9.4 | -2.9 |
|  | OCT | 4 | . 0 | -23.1 | -5.2 | -9.9 | 2.24 | 37.4 | 119.0 | 14.4 | -3.4 |
|  | NOV | . 0 | 1.8 | 26.0 | 1.2 | 10.1 | 2. 19 | 37.3 | 137.0 | 5.1 | - |
|  | DEC | 1.5 | 1.2 | 18.7 | $-.3$ | -11.2 | 2.14 | 37.5 | 157.0 | 6.5 | 1.6 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 3 | -1.3 | -17.9 | 3.7 | 15.3 | 2.08 | 37.8 | 174.0 | 8.8 | 25 |
|  | FEB | -. 6 | 2.3 | -3.1 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 2.03 | 38.0 | 171.0 | -1.1 | -10 |
|  | MAR | 2.0 | 4.9 | 19.7 | -1.8 | -4.2 | 2.05 | 38.2 | 1850 | -4.0 | - 4 |
|  | APR |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 169.0 \\ 354.0 \end{array}$ | 9.0 |  |

SOURCE: RETAIL TRADE CATALDGUE G3-0OF EMPLOYMENT, EARNIMGS ANO HOURS CATALOGLE 72-DO2, JNVENTORIES SHIPMENTS ANO ORDERS
IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES CATALOGUE $31-001$. NEM MOTOR VEHICLE SALES, CATALOGUE G3-OOT, BUILDING PERMITS, EATALOGUT EA-OOI, STATISTICS CANADA, CANADIAN HOUSING STATISTICS CANADA MORIGARIE AND HOUSING CORPDRATION
(1) NOI PERCENTAGE CHANGE
(2) THOUSANDS OF STARTS. ANHUAL RATES


SOUßटह: ESTIMATES OF EMPLOYEES GY PROVINCE AND INDUSTRY, CATALOGUE $32-008$, THE LABDUR FDREE, CATALOGUE $71-001$
STATISTICAL REPOR? ON THE DPERATION OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT. CATALOGUE TJ-DOI. STATISTICS CANADA
(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE. ESTIMATES DF EMPLOYEES. TOTAL EMPLOYMENT OF PAID WCRKERS IN NON-AGR:CULYURAL INDUSTRIES
(2) PERCENTAGE CHANGE


PRICES ANO COSTS
PERCENTAGF CHANGES
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | CONSUMER PRICE INDEX |  |  | CANAOIAN ODLLAR IN <br> U.S. CENTS <br> (1) | INDUSTRY <br> SELIING PRICE INOEX | RESIDENTIAL CONS TRUCTION INPUTS PRICE INDEX | NON-RESIDENTIALCONSTRUC-TIDN INPUTSPRICE INOEX | AVERAGE WEEMLY WAGES AND SALARIES (2) | ```OUTPUT PER PERSON EMPLOYED (3)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNIT } \\ \text { LABDUR } \\ \text { COSTS } \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL } \\ & \text { ITEMS } \end{aligned}$ | F000 | NON-FOOO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 15.5 | 6.4 | 87.72 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 109.2 | 1874 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 13.1 | 7.9 | 85.38 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 109.0 | 202.0 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 85.54 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 107.0 | 225.9 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 83.42 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 12.2 | 107. 3 | 250.2 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 81.08 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 1054 | 279.2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 83.43 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 908.3 | 244.6 |
|  | III | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 82.53 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 107.0 | 253.8 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | -. 5 | 3.3 | 83.91 | 1.3 | -. 7 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 106.5 | 264.1 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 82.72 | 1.4 | . 8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 106 ! | 271.8 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 4. 1 | 2.8 | 80.37 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 105.5 | 277.6 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 80.02 | . 8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1. 5 | 105.1 | 280.8 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | -1.0 | 2.3 | 81.21 | . 3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 104.9 | 285.7 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 6 | . 4 | . 7 | 81.48 | . 6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 106.5 |  |
| 1982 | MAY | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 81.04 | 4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2 | 105.7 | 274.7 |
|  | JUN | 10 | 2.2 | . 9 | 78.41 | 3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | . 4 | 105. 1 | 279.9 |
|  | JUL | . 5 | . 5 | 4 | 78.75 | 2 | 1.1 | . 5 | .7 | 104. 1 | 283.9 |
|  | Auci | 4 | -. 8 | . 9 | 80.31 | . 0 | -. 1 | . 4 | 8 | 105.9 | 276.4 |
|  | SEP | . 5 | -. 8 | 1.0 | 80.99 | . 7 | . 2 | -. 1 | 0 | 105.2 | 282.3 |
|  | OCT | . 6 | - 3 | . 8 | 81.31 | - 1 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.1 | 104.6 | 284.5 |
|  | NOY | . 7 | . 3 | . 8 | 81.55 | -. 3 | 1.9 | . 9 | . 7 | 105.2 | 285.1 |
|  | OEC | . 0 | -. 4 | . 2 | 80.76 | . 3 | . 4 | . 1 | 1.8 | 105.0 | 290.5 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | . 2 | -. 3 | 81.40 | . 1 | . 7 | 5 | -. 9 | 106.6 | 281.5 |
|  | FEB | + 4 | - 6 | . 3 | 81.48 | . 3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 9 | 106. 1 | 282.5 |
|  | MAR | 1.0 | $-3$ | 1.4 | 81.55 | . 6 | . 2 | . 1 | -. 2 | 106.8 |  |
|  | APA | . 0 | 1.0 | -. 3 | 81.18 | 3 |  |  |  | 106. |  |
|  | MAY |  |  |  | 81.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 ESTIMATES DF LABOUR IMCOME (72-005). THE LABOUR FORCE 171-0011. THE CONSUMER PRICE INOEX (E2-OD1). EMPLOYMENT, EARNINGS AND HOURS (72-002), STAY:STICS CANADA, BANK OF CANADA REVIEM.
(1) AVERAGE NOON SPOT RATE: (NOT PERCENTAGE CHANGESI.
(2) SEASONALLY AOJUSTED.
(3) DUTPUT IS DEFINED AS TOTAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT EMPLOYMENT IS DEFINED ON A LABOUR FORCE SURVEY BASIS

AND LABOUR COSTS ARE DEFINED AS TOTAL LABOUR INCOME. INOEX FORM, I971: 100. USING SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO DATA (NOT PERCENTAGE CHANGESI.

|  |  | PESSOAAL EXPENOTTURE |  |  |  | BUSINESS FIXED THVESTMENT |  |  | EXPDRTS | IMPDRTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { MAIIONAL } \\ & \text { EXPENDITURE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OURABLES | SEMI- <br> DURABLES | NDNDURABLES | SEAVICES | RESIDENTIAL CDN STRUCTION | NON: RESIDENTIAL CON- STRUCTION | MACHINERY AND EqUIPMENT |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 5.1 | 4.5 | 10.4 | 7.1 | 7. 5 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 13.1 | 6.5 |
| 1979 |  | 8.2 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 7. 6 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 19.1 | 13.8 | 10.3 |
| 1980 |  | 8.5 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 11.0 |
| 1981 |  | 8.9 | 7.5 | 14.9 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 1982 |  | E. 1 | 6.2 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 3.0 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 10.7 |
| 1981 | 1 | 2.1 | 1. 6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 2.9 |
|  | 11 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | -2. 3 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
|  | 111 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.9 | . 3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
|  | IV | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | -1.3 | 3.1 |
| 1982 | I | . 6 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | . 1 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
|  | 11 | 1. 4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | -1.2 | . 6 | 1.2 |
|  | III | 1.3 | . 9 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -2.0 | 2.1 | . 7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
|  | IV | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.9 | -. 3 | 1.0 | . 7 | 1.8 | -1.5 | 3.1 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AMD EXPENDTYRE ACCOUNTS. CATALOGUE 13-DO1, STATISTIES CANADA.

EXTERNAL TRADE<br>CUSTOMS BASIS (1)<br>PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDHALL ADUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | EXPORT'S of G0005 |  |  | IMPORTS OF GDOOS |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NE } 1 \\ \text { OF } \end{gathered}$ | EXPORTS <br> G00D5 <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TERMS } \\ & \text { DF TRADE } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TDTAL <br> VALUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INOEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | PRTEE INDEX (2) | TDTAL VALUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INDEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRICK } \\ & \text { IMDEX } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 19.4 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 18.3 | 3. 2 | 13.4 |  | 4315 |  | 102.3 |
| 1979 |  | 23.4 | 1.8 | 20.9 | 25.5 | 11.1 | 14.3 |  | 4425 |  | 108.2 |
| 1980 |  | 16.0 | -1.2 | 17.2 | 10.2 | -5. 1 | 15.7 |  | 8793 |  | 108.8 |
| 1981 |  | 10.0 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 14.7 | 2.5 | 11.5 |  | 7368 |  | 1040 |
| 1982 |  | 9 | . 2 | 5 | -14.5 | -16.1 | 1.8 |  | 18338 |  | 102.6 |
| 1981 | 11 | 5.4 | 9.8 | -4. 1 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 1.8 |  | 1604 |  | 101.8 |
|  | 111 | -3.1 | -5.2 | 2.3 | -1.2 | -4.0 | 2.9 |  | 1060 |  | 101.3 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | -5.5 | -3.4 | -2. 2 |  | 2618 |  | 104. 9 |
| 1982 | 1 | -3.2 | -4. 6 | 1.8 | -8.9 | -11.2 | 2.5 |  | 3522 |  | 103.9 |
|  | 11 | 4.8 | 9.7 | -4.9 | -1.7 | . 7 | -2.2 |  | 4755 |  | 101.1 |
|  | 111 | 2.4 | -. 9 | 2.9 | 2.2 | -1.2 | 3. 4 |  | 5051 |  | 100.6 |
|  | IV | -8.4 | -8.5 | 3 | -12.8 | -9.6 | -3.6 |  | 5010 |  | 104.7 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | . 4 | 10.1 | 11.1 | -1. 1 |  | 4003 |  | 106.3 |
| 1882 | APR | 1.8 | 3.5 | -2.0 | -3.2 | -1.2 | -2.0 |  | 1507 |  | 102.4 |
|  | MAY | -. 9 | -. 8 | . 1 | -. 7 | - 7 | . 0 |  | 1453 |  | 102.5 |
|  | JUN | 2.2 | 1.9 | 3 | -2. 6 | -6. 6 | 4.3 |  | 1795 |  | 985 |
|  | JUL | . 5 | -4.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 1. 1 | 2.8 |  | 1587 |  | 99.7 |
|  | AUG | . 5 | 1.0 | -. 3 | 3.6 | 5.8 | -2. 1 |  | 1514 |  | 101.5 |
|  | SEP | 1.1 | 4.3 | -3.3 | -5.8 | -3.5 | -2.4 |  | 1950 |  | 100.5 |
|  | DCT | -12.6 | -14.0 | 2.3 | -14.7 | -12.4 | -2.7 |  | 1571 |  | $105 . ?$ |
|  | NOV | 3.9 | 3.3 | -. 1 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 2.5 |  | 1652 |  | 103.1 |
|  | DEC | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.4 | -1.3 | - 7 | -. 7 |  | 1787 |  | 105.2 |
| 1983 | ЈAN | -4.0 | $-5.4$ | 2.1 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 3.3 |  | 1215 |  | 104.0 |
|  | FEB | E. 3 | 7.8 | -1.9 | 1.4 | 9.4 | -6.9 |  | 1421 |  | 109.6 |
|  | MAR | -4.3 | -. 2 | -3.9 | -4. 7 | -4.4 | 0 |  | 1367 |  | 105.3 |
|  | APR | 10.9 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |  | 1993 |  |  |

SOURCE: TRDDE OF CANADA. EXFORTE, CATALOGUE 65-00A, TRADE OF CANADA. TMPDRTS, CATALDGUE E5-CO7, STATTST?CS CANADA.
(1) SEE GLOSSARY OF TERMS.
(2) NOT SEASONALIY ADJUSTED
(3) BALANCE OF PAYMENTS BASIS ISEE GLOSSARYI. MILLIONS OF DOLLARS
(4) PRICE INDEX FOR MERCHANBISE EXPORTS RELATIVE TO PRICE INDEX FDR MERCHANDISE IMPDRTS, NDT SEASONALIY ADJUSTED, NOT PERCENTAGE CHANGE

CURRENT ACCOUNT. BALANCE DF INTERMATIDNAL PAYMENTS
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. SEASONALIY AOJUSTED


# CAPITAL ACCOUNT, BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS CAPITAL MOVEMENTS <br> mILLIONS DF DOLLARS. NOT SEASOMALLY ADJUSTED 

|  |  | DIRECT <br> INVESTMENT <br> IN CANADA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D!RECT } \\ & \text { IMVESTMENT } \\ & \text { ABROAD } \end{aligned}$ | PORTFOLID <br> TRANS - <br> ACTIONS. <br> CANADIAN <br> SECURITIES | PORIfOLIÓ TRANS- ACTIONS FOREIGN SECURITIES | TOTAL GONG TERM CAP]TAL MOVEMEATS (BALANCE | CHART GANK NET FOREIGN CURRENCY POSITION MITH NDN- RESIDENTS | TOTAL SHORT TERM CAPITAL MOVEMEMTS (BALAHCE) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET } \\ \text { ERRORS } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { DMISSIONS } \end{gathered}$ | ALLOCATION OF SPECIAL DRAHING RIGHTS | HET- <br> OFFICIAL MONETARY <br> MOVEMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 85 | -2150 | 4742 | 25 | 3111 | 2771 | 123 ? | -2730 | 0 | -3299 |
| 1979 |  | 675 | - 2500 | 3802 | -582 | 1905 | 4107 | 6915 | -2291 | 219 | 1908 |
| 1980 |  | 585 | - 3150 | 5216 | -181 | 907 | 1406 | - 730 | -605 | 217 | -1280 |
| 1981 |  | -4600 | -5900 | 10526 | -95 | 558 | 17965 | 15072 | -9058 | 210 | 1426 |
| 1982 |  | - 1425 | 200 | 11712 | -433 | 8561 | -4376 | -9411 | -2544 | 0 | -695 |
| 1981 | 11 | -3305 | -980 | 1541 | -335 | - 3551 | 8098 | 6755 | -1822 | 0 | -640 |
|  | 111 | -375 | - 1800 | 2709 | 500 | 1624 | 2726 | -466 | -722 | 0 | -745 |
|  | IV | - 1330 | - 1660 | 5297 | -4 | 2971 | 1229 | 2725 | -3067 | 0 | 2411 |
| 158 | 1 | - 1875 | 1325 | 3904 | 28 | 4400 | 1686 | - 1992 | -2991 | 0 | - 1658 |
|  | 11 | -75 | -890 | 2953 | - 82 | 1603 | -2180 | -5254 | 86 | 0 | - 3050 |
|  | 111 | 250 | -325 | 3317 | -85 | 2028 | - 1323 | 1123 | -1759 | 0 | 3499 |
|  | IV | 275 | - 110 | 1538 | -292 | 530 | -2559 | -3288 | 2100 | 0 | 544 |
| 1983 | I | - 150 | -800 | 1375 | -169 | 1034 | -89 | - 760 | 989 | 0 | 575 |



|  |  | - | SUPFL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & M 1 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 2 \\ & 12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 3 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | PRIME RAIE (4) | CAMADA-U S COMMERCIAL PAPER DIFFERENTIAL (4) | 90-DAY <br> FInANCE <br> COMPANY <br> PAPER RATE <br> (4) | CONVENTIONAL MORTGAGE RATE (4) | LONG-IERM CANADA BOND RATE (4) | ```TORONTO STOCK EXCHANGE PRICE INDEX (5)``` | OOM JONE S <br> (U.S.) <br> STOCK PRICE <br> INDEX <br> (6) |
| 1978 |  | 10.1 | 11.1 | 14.5 | 9.69 | . 51 | 8. 83 | 10.59 | 9.27 | 1159.1 | 814.0 |
| 1979 |  | 7.1 | 15.7 | 20.2 | 12.90 | . 64 | 12.07 | 11.97 | 10.21 | 1577.2 | 843.2 |
| 1980 |  | 6.3 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 14.25 | . 12 | 13. 15 | 14. 32 | 12.48 | 2125.6 | 895.2 |
| 1981 |  | 4.1 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 19.29 | 2.44 | 18.33 | 18.15 | 15.22 | 2158.4 | 932.7 |
| 1982 |  | 1.2 | 9.4 | 5.1 | 15.81 | 2.01 | 14. 15 | 17.89 | 14.26 | 1640.2 | 890.8 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 19.25 | 1.50 | 18.57 | 17.61 | 15.02 | 2346.3 | 988.8 |
|  | 【1 | -. 4 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 21.67 | 3.37 | 21.02 | 20.55 | 17.17 | 2104.7 | 894.6 |
|  | IV | -3.3 | . 9 | . 7 | 18. 17 | 3.22 | 16.62 | 19.04 | 15.42 | 1936.3 | 872.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 16.67 | . 82 | 15.35 | 18.86 | 15.34 | 1682.0 | 839.4 |
|  | 11 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 17.42 | 1.59 | 16.05 | 19.16 | 15. 17 | 1479.5 | 826.6 |
|  | III | -1.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 16.08 | 3. 70 | 14.32 | 18.48 | 14.35 | 1542.4 | 868.7 |
|  | IV | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 13.08 | 1.95 | 10.88 | 15.05 | 12.17 | 1856.8 | 1025. |
| 1983 | 1 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 11.67 | . 86 | 9.82 | 13.70 | 11.93 | 2092.6 | 1105.1 |
| 1982 | May | 2.2 | 9 | -. 3 | 17.00 | 1.92 | 15. 50 | 19.11 | 14.72 | 1523.7 | 819.5 |
|  | JUN | -1.7 | . 6 | . 5 | 18.25 | 1.83 | 17.05 | 19.10 | 16.03 | 1366.8 | 811.9 |
|  | JUL | -. 8 | . 1 | . 7 | 17.25 | 3.43 | 15. 65 | 19.22 | 15.62 | 1411.9 | 808.6 |
|  | AUG | -1.4 | . 0 | 4 | 16.00 | 4.91 | 14.20 | 18.72 | 13.96 | 1613.3 | 901.3 |
|  | SEP | . 8 | . 6 | 8 | 15.00 | 2.79 | 13.10 | 1749 | 13.48 | 1602.0 | 896.3 |
|  | OCT | -. 1 | . 4 | . 7 | 13.75 | 2.25 | 11.45 | 16.02 | 12.63 | 1774.0 | 991.7 |
|  | NOV | . 3 | $-.2$ | $\bigcirc 8$ | 13.00 | 2. 19 | 10.95 | 14.79 | 12.18 | 1838.3 | 1039.3 |
|  | DEC | 4.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 12.50 | 1.41 | 10.25 | 14.34 | 11.69 | 1958.1 | 1046.5 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 8 | . 8 | -. 2 | 12.00 | 1.53 | 10.05 | 14.05 | 12.28 | 2031.5 | 1075. 7 |
|  | FEB | 3.0 | 1.4 | 8 | 11.50 | 1.02 | 9.50 | 13.60 | 11.80 | 2090.4 | 1112.6 |
|  | MAR | . 0 | . 6 | . 6 | 11.50 | . 03 | 9.30 | 13.45 | 11.70 | 2156.1 | 11300 |
|  | APR | 1.0 | . 0 | -1.5 | 11.00 | . 70 | 9.30 | 13.26 | 1118 | 2340.8 | 1225.2 |
|  | May | 1.5 | -. 9 | -1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2340.8 | 1228.2 |

[^3]

JUN 17, 1983
TABLE 12
1:06 PM
CANADIAN LEADING INDICATORS
FILTERED OAJA (1)
COMIIMUED

|  |  | NEN ORDERS DURABLE GOODS $\$ 1971$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRADE- } \\ & \text { FURNITURE } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { APPLIANCE } \\ & \text { SALES } \\ & \$ 1991 \end{aligned}$ | NEN MOTOR VEHICLE SALES $\$ 1971$ | RATIT <br> SMIPMENTS/ <br> FINISHED I HVENTORIES MANUFACTURING | INOEX OF SIDCK PRICES 121 | PCT LHG IN PRICE PER UNIT LABOUR CDST MANJFAC TURING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | JUL | 2784.8 | 93370 | 514218 | 1.50 | 1388.7 | 07 |
|  | AUG | 2742.3 | 93688 | 515453 | 1.48 | 1432.4 | 00 |
|  | SEP | 2746.2 | 94513 | 516857 | 1. 48 | 1493.1 | -. 08 |
|  | OCT | 2776.1 | 95544 | 519001 | 1.49 | 1558.2 | -. 10 |
|  | MOY | 2825.9 | 96842 | 521851 | 1.50 | 1632.0 | -. 12 |
|  | OFC | 2865.6 | 97962 | 522215 | 1.53 | 1691.1 | -. 13 |
| 1981 | JAM | 2870.4 | 100479 | 523905 | 158 | 1722.9 | - 12 |
|  | FEB | 2885.1 | 102687 | 522482 | 1.56 | 1732.9 | - 10 |
|  | MAR | 2911.8 | 103642 | 525265 | 1.57 | 1750.1 | -. 07 |
|  | APR | 2948.1 | 104213 | 529226 | 1.58 | 1763.9 | -. 03 |
|  | May | 2991.6 | 104670 | 529951 | 1. 59 | 1767.2 | . 02 |
|  | JUN | 3032.3 | 107310 | 526092 | 1.60 | 1756.2 | . 08 |
|  | JUL | 3080.5 | 106359 | 516531 | 1.61 | 1730.9 | 15 |
|  | AUG | 3067.8 | 103352 | 505018 | 1. 50 | 1688.5 | 21 |
|  | SEP | 3038.3 | 99482 | 494248 | 1.58 | 1633.2 | 22 |
|  | OCT | 2975. 7 | 95517 | 473370 | 1. 56 | 1570.9 | 19 |
|  | NOV | 2880.6 | 92055 | 475262 | 1.53 | 1528.2 | . 07 |
|  | OEC | 2788.6 | 89364 | 471190 | 1.49 | 1502.2 | -. 08 |
| 1982 | JAM |  | 87054 |  | 1.45 | 1477.3 | -. 27 |
|  | FEB | 2609.6 | 85163 | 445391 | 1.42 | 1451.0 | - 48 |
|  | MAR | 2564.3 | 83564 | 428317 | 1.39 | 1421.1 | - 68 |
|  | APR | 2543.8 | 82523 | 414747 | 1.37 | 1383.3 | -. 85 |
|  | May | 2538.7 | 81670 | 406149 | 1.35 | 1338.0 | . .96 |
|  | JUN | 2553.0 | 80668 | 404751 | 1. 35 | 1281.4 | -1.00 |
|  | JUL | 2550.1 | 79665 | 392583 | 1. 34 | 1233.2 | -. 99 |
|  | AUG | 2553.3 | 78640 | 386140 | 1. 35 | 1217.6 | -. 92 |
|  | SEP | $2534 . \mathrm{B}$ | 78140 | 384886 | 1.36 | 1222.2 | - 80 |
|  | OCT | 2485.3 | 78537 | 374912 | 1.36 | 1260.1 | - 66 |
|  | NDV | 2459.0 | 79934 | 371156 | 1.35 | 1328.0 | - 51 |
|  | DEC | 2409.4 | 82349 | 380843 | 1.35 | 1428.2 | -. 39 |
| 1983 | JAN | 2401.8 | 85089 | 385511 | 1.37 | 1543.2 | -. 27 |
|  | FE日 | 2415.3 | 87192 | 387795 | 1.39 | 1665.4 | -. 13 |
|  | Mar | 2450.8 | 88102 | 393107 | 1.41 | 1782.4 | 02 |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INDEX OF } \\ & \text { INOUSTRIAL } \\ & \text { PRODUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | MANUFAC- <br> TURING <br> SHIPMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HDUSING } \\ & \text { STARTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RETAIL } \\ & \text { SALES } \end{aligned}$ | EMPLDYMENT | UNEMPLOYMENT RATE (1) | CDNSIMER PRICE INDEX | PRIME RATE (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MONEY } \\ & \text { SUPPLY } \\ & \text { MI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHANDIS } \\ & \text { TRADE } \\ & \text { BALANCE । } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 5.8 | 12.5 | 2.3 | 10.6 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 2378.2 |
| 1979 |  | 4.1 | 13.2 | -14.4 | 10.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 7.7 | 20470 |
| 1980 |  | -3.5 | 6.2 | -24.3 | 6.5 | 5 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 6. 3 | 2027, 1 |
| 1981 |  | 2.9 | 10.4 | -15.4 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 18.8 | 7.0 | 2747.8 |
| 1982 |  | -8.2 | -4.8 | $-3.7$ | 2.2 | $-9$ | 9.7 | 6.2 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 3545.5 |
| 1981 | 11 | . 9 | 4.5 | - 15.4 | -. 6 | 6 | 74 | 2.1 | 19.5 | 2.3 | 2272.1 |
|  | 111 | 2 | 5 | -18.3 | 2.5 | -. 3 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 20.2 | . 1 | 2532.1 |
|  | IV | -4.4 | -4. 2 | -9.5 | -1.2 | -. 4 | 8. 3 | 1.8 | 16.5 | 1.4 | 3531 d |
| 1982 | 1 | -3.3 | -2.4 | 3.7 | -. 5 | -. 4 | 8.8 | . 7 | 16.3 | 2.6 | 3075.6 |
|  | 11 | -1.5 | . 8 | 5.2 | 2.6 | . 1 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 16.5 | . 8 | 2368.8 |
|  | [11 | -. 9 | -. 3 | 18.1 | - 2 | -. 1 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 14.3 | 1.5 | 4474.6 |
|  | IV | -2. 1 | -4.2 | 12.4 | 3.0 | - 5 | 10.7 | 5 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 42671 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 34.3 | . 7 | . 0 | 10.4 | -. 1 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 3593.1 |
| 1982 | APR | -1.1 | -1.1 | -1.0 | 1.3 | -. 1 | 9.3 | 2 | 16.5 | 2 | -503.2 |
|  | MAY | -. 6 | 2. . | 12.8 | 2.7 | 5 | 9.4 | 10 | 16.5 | 7 | 32974 |
|  | JUN | -. 6 | -. 3 | -18.5 | -3.1 | -. 3 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 16.5 | 2 | 33059 |
|  | JUL | . 2 | - 1 | 30.2 | 1.1 | -. 1 | 9.8 | . 6 | 16.0 | . 2 | 2696.7 |
|  | AUG | -. 3 | -1.3 | -18.7 | -. 4 | . 1 | 9.9 | 3 | 13.5 | 9 | 65.29 .1 |
|  | SEP | - B | 0 | 8.4 | 6 | -. 1 | 10.2 | . 1 | 13.5 | 1.1 | 4197.9 |
|  | DCT | -1. 1 | -3.8 | . 7 | 1.4 | -. 4 | 10.5 | 4 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 5251.0 |
|  | MOV | -. 7 | -. 1 | 19.2 | 2.5 | . 0 | 10.7 | 0 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 3885.1 |
|  | DEC | . 3 | . 3 | -6.0 | -1.1 | 0 | 10.8 | -. 3 | 11.5 | . 9 | 3655.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.6 | 2.5 | 32.3 | . 9 | 0 | 10.4 | 2 | 11.0 | 8 | 3569.1 |
|  | fEB | 4 | -. 1 | 4.8 | -1.2 | 0 | 104 | -. 2 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 3580.3 |
|  | MAR | 1.2 | 2.4 | -9.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 10.3 | . 1 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 3629.8 |
|  | APR | 2.1 |  |  |  | 4 | 10.2 | 8 | 10.5 |  | 4601.0 |

SOURCE SUTRVE OF CURRENT BUSINESS. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CDMMERCE.
(1) NOT PERCENTAGF CHANGE

JUN 17. 1983
TABLE 14
1:06 PM
UNITED STATES LEADING ANO COINEIDENT INDICATORS FILTERED DATA (1)


# UNITED STATES LEADING AHD CDINCIDENT INOICATORS 

 FILTERED DATA (1) - CDNTJMUED|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONTRACTS } \\ & \text { AND ORDERS } \\ & \text { FOR PIANT } \\ & \text { \& EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { S } 1972 \\ & \text { (BILLIONS) } \end{aligned}$ | MDNEY BALANCE (M2) (BILLIONS) | MEf CHANGE IN INYENTORIES \$ 1972 (BILIIONSI | PEF CHE SENSITIVE MATERIALS PRICES (2) | PCT CHG CREDIT OUTSTANDING (3) | VENDOR PERFORMANCE (4) | COMPDSITE COINCIDENT INDEX (4 SEAIES) | COMPOSITE COINCIDENT INDEX (4 SERIES) $(5)$ | PCT CHE COMPOSITE COINCIDENT INOEX | PCY CHG COMPDSITE COINCIDENT INDEX $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | JUL | 13.97 | 789.6 | -11.36 | -1. 23 | 2.22 | 35 | 144. 25 | 140.8 | -1.15 | -. 21 |
|  | AUG | 13.97 | 789.9 | -12.16 | -1.27 | 1.82 | 33 | 142.85 | 141.2 | -. 97 | 28 |
|  | SEP | 14.03 | 791.7 | -12.48 | - 86 | 2.50 | 33 | 142.02 | 142 ? | -. 59 | 1.06 |
|  | DCT | 14.06 | 793.6 | -11.55 | -. 24 | 3.60 | 34 | 141.82 | 144.2 | 14 | 1.05 |
|  | Nav | 14.11 | 795.0 | -9.65 | . 32 | 5.02 | 37 | 142. 17 | 145.3 | 25 | . 75 |
|  | OEC | 14.34 | 794.9 | -7.52 | . 72 | 6.31 | 39 | 142.91 | 146.1 | 52 | 55 |
| 1981 | JAN | 14.56 | 793.6 | -5. 12 | . 87 | 7.27 | 42 | 143.85 | 146.8 | 67 | 48 |
|  | FEB | 14.44 | 791.9 | -5. 25 | 74 | 7.90 | 44 | 144.87 | 147.2 | 70 | 27 |
|  | MAR | 14. 34 | 790.6 | -4. 30 | 41 | 7.65 | 47 | 145.77 | 147.2 | 62 | . 00 |
|  | APR | 14.38 | 790.2 | -3.08 | 09 | 7.80 | 50 | 145.48 | 147.1 | 49 | -. 07 |
|  | may | 14.38 | 789.9 | -1.46 | -. 09 | 8.44 | 51 | 146.95 | 146.9 | 32 | -. 14 |
|  | JUN | 14.34 | 789.6 | . 75 | -. 15 | 8.72 | 52 | 147.30 | 147.5 | . 24 | .41 |
|  | UUL | 14.22 | 789.2 | 3. 64 | -. 19 | 9.04 | 52 | 147.54 | 147.6 | . 17 | . 07 |
|  | AUG | 14.15 | 789.0 | 6.38 | $\therefore .23$ | 9.15 | 51 | 147.66 | 147.3 | OB | -. 20 |
|  | SEP | 14.15 | 788.6 | 8. 32 | -. 31 | 9.21 | 49 | 147.57 | 146.5 | -. 06 | $\cdot .54$ |
|  | OCT | 14.06 | 788.5 | 9.34 | -. 45 | 8.47 | 47 | 147.10 | 144.5 | -. 32 | -1.37 |
|  | NOV | 14.04 | 789.0 | 9.35 | -. 66 | 7.26 | 44 | 146.28 | 143.0 | -. 56 | -1.04 |
|  | DEC | 14.01 | 790.3 | 7.81 | -. 89 | 6.01 | 40 | 145.07 | 140.9 | - 82 | -1.47 |
| 1982 | JAN | 13.92 | 792.5 | 4. 04 | -1.06 | 5.63 | 36 | 143.47 | 138.4 | $-1.10$ | -1.77 |
|  | FEB | 13.80 | 795.2 | -1.79 | $-1.11$ | 5.69 | 34 | 14205 | 139.9 | -. 99 | 1.08 |
|  | MAR | 13.66 | 798.6 | -8.34 | $-1.06$ | 5.35 | 33 | 140.84 | 139.2 | -. 85 | -. 50 |
|  | APR | 13.60 | 802.1 | $-13.58$ | -. 99 | 5.27 | 32 | 139.74 | 138.0 | -. 78 | -. 86 |
|  | MAY | 13.28 | 804.9 | -16.75 | -. 94 | 5.33 | 32 | 138.98 | 138.8 | -. 55 | -. 58 |
|  | , UN | 12.81 | 806.7 | -18.26 | -. 90 | 4.94 | 32 | 138.29 | 137.2 | -. 50 | -1. 15 |
|  | \$UL | 12.28 | 807.9 | -18.36 | -. 84 | 3.77 | 33 | 137.62 | 136.3 | -. 48 | -. 66 |
|  | AUG | 11. 84 | 809.6 | -17.13 | -. 78 | 2.82 | 34 | 136.90 | 135.2 | -. 52 | -. 81 |
|  | SEP | 11.63 | 812.0 | -14.74 | -. 71 | 2.04 | 36 | 136.13 | 139.3 | -. 56 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { a } \\ \hline .57\end{array}$ |
|  | DCT | 11.56 | 814.7 | -12.15 | - 63 | . 82 | 38 | 135.15 | 132.2 | -. 72 | -1.56 |
|  | NOV | 11.50 | 818.2 | -10.81 | -. 56 | $-.90$ | 39 | 134.19 133.35 | 132.3 | -71 -.63 | .08 -.15 |
|  | OEC | 11.68 | 822.8 | -11.41 | -. 51 | 2.87 | 40 | 133.35 | 132. 1 | -. 63 | -. 15 |
| 1983 | JAN | 11.79 | 830.0 | -13.50 | -. 43 | 2.87 | 41 | 132.93 | 133.9 | - - -14 | 1.36 -.37 |
|  | FEB | 11.82 | 840.3 | - 15.15 | -. 21 | 2. 30 | 41 | 132.75 | 133.4 | -. 14 | -. 37 |
|  | MAR | 11.95 | 852.0 | - 15.33 | .22 | 1.84 | 43 | 132.86 | 134.4 | .09 34 | .75 .97 |
|  | APR | 12.23 | 852.5 |  | .71 | 1.32 | 45 | 133.31 | 135.7 | . 34 | . 97 |

[^4]
## Demand and Output

16 Net National Income and Gross National Product, Millions of Dollars, Seasonally Adjusted at Annual Rates ..... 29
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NET NATIONAL JNCDME ANO GROSS NAYIDNAL PRODUCT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS SEASOMALCY ADJUSTED AT AHNUAL RAYES

|  |  | IABDUR INCOME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORPO- } \\ & \text { RATION } \\ & \text { PRDFITS } \\ & \text { BEFORE } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OIVIDENDS } \\ & \text { PAID TO } \\ & \text { NDN- } \\ & \text { RESIDENTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTEREST } \\ & 8 \text { MISC } \\ & \text { INVEST- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FARM } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | NONFARM UNINCDR- PORATED GUSIMESS INCOME | JNVEMTORY VALUATIDN AOJUSTMENT | NET NATIDNAL INCOME AT FACTOR COST | $\begin{gathered} \text { INDIREGT } \\ \text { TAXES } \\ \text { LESS } \\ \text { SUBSIOSES } \end{gathered}$ | GROSS HATIONA: PRODUCT AT MARKE? PRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 131703 | 25722 | -2843 | 15996 | 3657 | 8958 | -4902 | 179825 | 25563 | 232211 |
| 1979 |  | 148257 | 34000 | -3032 | 19189 | 3911 | 9740 | . 7392 | 206221 | 27728 | 264279 |
| 1980 |  | 167937 | 37266 | -3195 | 22062 | 4001 | 10827 | -7061 | 233506 | 28909 | 296555 |
| 1981 |  | 193875 | 33008 | -3728 | 27110 | 4227 | 12291 | -6960 | 261709 | 37896 | 339055 |
| 1982 |  | 208180 | 21102 | -3347 | 28926 | 4166 | 14323 | -3917 | 271601 | 40780 | 356600 |
| 1981 | 11 | 191812 | 36124 | -3296 | 25860 | 49.44 | 12240 | -8440 | 261168 | 36456 | 336548 |
|  | III | 197600 | 31160 | -4684 | 28512 | 3740 | 12356 | -6288 | 264328 | 39168 | 342536 |
|  | IV | 202916 | 27412 | -3272 | 28892 | 3452 | 12780 | -4960 | 269208 | 40248 | 350664 |
| 1982 | 1 | 206536 | 21476 | -3516 | 29060 | 4292 | 13064 | -4776 | 268184 | 41200 | 351744 |
|  | 11 | 207844 | 20168 | - 3556 | 29048 | 4520 | 13932 | -5196 | 268932 | 39936 | 353376 |
|  | 111 | 207812 | 19884 | -3052 | 31584 | 3968 | 15028 | -3792 | 273656 | 40680 | 359112 |
|  | IV | 210528 | 22880 | -3264 | 26012 | 3884 | 15268 | -1904 | 275632 | 41304 | 362168 |
| 1983 | 1 | 211724 | 28028 | -3032 | 30268 | 3852 | 15804 | -1496 | 287420 | 40948 | 374532 |

SOURCE: MATIONAL INCOME AMO EXPENOTYURE ACCOUNTS, CATALDGUE 13-0OT. STATISTICS CANAOA.

JUN 21. 1983
TABLE 17
$11: 32 \mathrm{AM}$

NET NATIDNAL INCOME ANO GROSS NAIIONAL PRODUCT
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { INCDME } \end{aligned}$ | CORPO. <br> RATION <br> PRDFITS <br> BEFORE <br> TAXES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIVIDENDS } \\ & \text { PAID TO } \\ & \text { MDH- } \\ & \text { RESIDENTS } \end{aligned}$ | INTEREST \& MISC. INVEST- MENT IMCDME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FARM } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | NONFARM UNINCOR- PORATEQ BUSINESS INCOME | INVENTORY VALUATION ADJUSTMENT (1) | NET NATIONAL INCOME AT FACTOR COST | INOTREET TAXES LESS SUBSIDIES | GRDSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AT MARKET PRICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.3 | 22.8 | 35, 7 | 23.4 | 29.2 | 12.1 | -1215 | 11.7 | 6.9 | 10.5 |
| 1979 |  | 12.6 | 32.2 | 5.6 | 20.0 | 6.9 | 8.7 | -2490 | 14.7 | 8.5 | 13.8 |
| 1980 |  | 13.3 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 331 | 13.2 | 4.3 | 12.2 |
| 1981 |  | 15.4 | $-11.4$ | 16.7 | 22.9 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 101 | 12.1 | 31.1 | 14.3 |
| 1982 |  | 7.4 | -36. 1 | -10.2 | 6.7 | -1.4 | 16.5 | 3043 | 3.8 | 7. 6 | 5.2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 4.7 | -3.2 | -9.9 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | -288 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
|  | 111 | 3.0 | -13.7 | 42.1 | 10.2 | $-24.4$ | 9 | 2152 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 1.8 |
|  | IV | 2.7 | -12.0 | -30. 1 | 1.3 | $-7.7$ | 3.4 | 1328 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.8 | -21. 7 | 7.5 | . 6 | 24.3 | 2.2 | 184 | - . 4 | 2.4 | . 3 |
|  | II | . 6 | -6. 1 | 1.1 | . 0 | 5.3 | 6.6 | -420 | 3 | -3.1 | 5 |
|  | 111 | . 0 | -1. | -14.2 | 8.7 | -12.2 | 7.9 | 1404 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1. 6 |
|  | IV | 1.3 | 15.1 | 6.9 | -17.6 | -2. 1 | 1. 5 | 1888 | 7 | 1.5 | 9 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 6 | 22.5 | -7.1 | 16.4 | -. 8 | 3.5 | 408 | 4.3 | -. 9 | 3.4 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENTITURE ACCOUNTS. CATALOGUE 13-001. STATISFICS CANAOA.
(1) DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEDING PERIDD, AMNUAL RATES.

> GROSS MATIONAL EXPENOTTURE
> MILLIDNS DF DDLLARS
> SEASONALLY ADJUSTED AT ANNUAL RATES

|  | PERSONAL EXPEND]TURE | GDVERNMENT EXPENDITURE | BUSTMESS FTXED INVESTMENT |  |  | INVENTORY | INVE 5 TMENT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | NON- RESIDENTIAL CDNST- RUCTION | MACHINERY <br> AND <br> EQUIPMENT | BUSINESS NON-FARM | $\begin{gathered} \text { FARM } \\ \text { AND GICC } \\ 111 \end{gathered}$ | EXPORTS | JMPDRTS | NAT 1 DNAL EXPENDITURE AT MARKET PRICES |
| 1978 | 135532 | 47772 | 13744 | 14590 | 17008 | - 104 | 436 | 63307 | -68274 | 232211 |
| 1979 | 152088 | 52284 | 14411 | 18127 | 20986 | 3693 | 127 | 77532 | -83038 | 254279 |
| 1980 | 170236 | 59595 | 14284 | 22483 | 24152 | -898 | -461 | 91391 | -93716 | 296555 |
| 1981 | 193477 | 68405 | 16432 | 27195 | 28874 | 899 | 621 | 100628 | -107946 | 339055 |
| 1982 | 209801 | 77193 | 12999 | 27615 | 25441 | - 10258 | 437 | 101438 | -99863 | 356600 |
| 1981 I1 | 192344 | 66564 | 17996 | 25554 | 29404 | 224 | 672 | 102080 | - 109860 | 336548 |
| 111 | 196036 | 70984 | 16544 | 27388 | 28924 | 2576 | 1464 | 100368 | - 112560 | 342536 |
| Iv | 199452 | 72228 | 14668 | 29204 | 29932 | - 1308 | -232 | 102524 | - 106972 | 350654 |
| 1982 I | 201972 | 73736 | 14056 | 29268 | 28524 | -5440 | 352 | 98884 | - 100868 | 351744 |
| II | 207688 | 75940 | 12780 | 28035 | 27404 | - 11336 | 396 | 103292 | - 101088 | 353376 |
| 111 | 212588 | 78144 | 11884 | 26308 | 24920 | -9012 | 615 | 105456 | - 102324 | 359112 |
| IV | 216956 | 80952 | 13276 | 26848 | 24916 | -15244 | 384 | 98120 | -95172 | $362168$ |
| 1983 1 | 220832 | 80232 | 15048 | 25760 | 24192 | -2356 | -4 | 99236 | -99196 | 374532 |
| SOURCE: <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAL INCDME } \\ & \text { - GRAIN IN } \end{aligned}$ | AND EXPEND <br> CDMMERCIAL | URE ACLD.N. HANNE | LABADJU! | $\sqrt{3} 001$ | STICS CAF |  |  |  |  |

PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASONALIY AGULSTEO fJGURES


GROSS NATIONAL EXPENOITURE
MILLIONS OF 1971 DOLLARS
SEASONALLY AOJUSTED AT ANNUAL RATES

|  |  | PERSONAL <br> EXPENDI- <br> TURE | GDVERMMENT EXPEND]TURE | EUSJNESS FIXED TNVESTMEMT |  |  | INVENTORY <br> BUSINESS <br> NON-F ARM | $\begin{gathered} \text { INVESTMENT } \\ \text { PARM } \\ \text { AND GICC } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GROSS } \\ \text { MATIONAL } \\ \text { EXPENDITURE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION | NON- RESIDENTIAL CONST- RUCTION | MACHIMERY AND EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 79038 | 22671 | 6140 | 8075 | 9519 | -3 | 104 | 31207 | -34291 | 128347 |
| 1979 |  | 80607 | 22750 | 5977 | 9156 | 10671 | 1771 | -32 | 32141 | - 36562 | 130362 |
| 1980 |  | 81431 | 22932 | 5631 | 10161 | 11133 | -535 | -154 | 32753 | -35915 | 131675 |
| 1981 |  | 82961 | 23053 | 5920 | 10994 | 11926 | 584 | 124 | 33685 | -37286 | 136114 |
| 1982 |  | 81206 | 23175 | 4552 | 10207 | 10153 | -3364 | 100 | 33152 | -33072 | 130089 |
| 1981 | 11 | 83564 | 22672 | 64Es | 10944 | 12296 | 468 | 0 | 34564 | -37992 | 137240 |
|  | 111 | 82908 | 23040 | 5896 | 10916 | 11792 | 1328 | 380 | 33732 | -38232 | 136292 |
|  | 1 V | 82516 | 23476 | 5188 | 11248 | 11900 | -476 | 15 | 33452 | -36416 | 135164 |
| 198. | 1 | 81180 | 23012 | 4908 | 11076 | 11160 | -2168 | 76 | 32484 | -33716 | 132248 |
|  | 11 | 81192 | 23192 | 4436 | 10424 | 10524 | -3535 | -28 | 34112 | - 33752 | 130340 |
|  | 111 | 81004 | 23156 | 4188 | 9584 | 9508 | - 3376 | 192 | 34596 | -33360 | 129304 |
|  | IV | 81448 | 23340 | 4875 | 9744 | 9420 | -4376 | 160 | 31416 | -31460 | 128384 |
| 1983 | 1 | 82036 | 23144 | 5324 | 9276 | 9108 | - 1448 | 148 | 32604 | -33356 | 130676 |

SOUAEE: MATTONAL INCOME AND EXPENDITURE ACCOUNTS CATALOGUE 13-009. STATISTICS CANADA.
:1) GICC - GRAIN IN CDMMERCIAL CHANNEIS.

Jun : 1 2985
TABLE 21
11. 32 AM

TRIOSS MATIOMAL EXPENDITURE [N 1991 DOLLARS
pEME[NTAGE CMANGES OF SEASDHALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | BUSIMESS FIXED INVESTMENT |  |  | INVENTORY JNVESTMENY |  | EXPORTS | IMPORTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GRDSS } \\ \text { MATIDNAL } \\ \text { EXPENDITURE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERSONAL EXPENDI: TURE | GOVERNMENT EXPEND:TURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONST- } \\ & \text { RUCTION } \end{aligned}$ | NON- RESIDENTIAL CDNST- RUCTION | MACHINERY AND EOUIPMENT | BUSINESS <br> NON-F ARM (1) | F ARM ANO GICC (1) (2) |  |  |  |


| 1978 |  | 2.6 | 1.7 | -1. 7 | 1.3 | 8 | -453 | 216 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 3. 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 |  | 2.0 | 3 | $-2.7$ | 13.4 |  | 1774 | - 136 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 3.2 |
| 1980 |  | 1.0 | 8 | -5.8 | 11.0 | 4.3 | -2307 | - 122 | 1.9 | -2.0 | 1.0 |
| 1981 |  | 1.9 | 5 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 1120 | 278 | 2. 8 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 1982 |  | -2.1 | 5 | -23.1 | - 7.2 | -14.9 | -3948 | -24 | $-1.6$ | -11.3 | -4.4 |
| 1981 | 11 | 9 | $-1.5$ | 5.5 | 7 | 5.0 | -548 | - 100 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1.1 |
|  | 111 | - 8 | 1.6 | -8.8 | $-3$ | -4. 1 | 860 | 380 | -2.4 | 6 | -. 7 |
|  | IV | -. 5 | 1.9 | -12.0 | 3.0 | 9 | -1804 | -364 | -. 8 | -4.7 | -. 8 |
| 198 ? | 1 | -1.6 | $-2.0$ | -5.4 | -1.5 | -6.2 | -1692 | 60 | -2.9 | -7.4 | -2.2 |
|  | 11 | 0 | . 8 | -9.6 | -5.9 | -5. 9 | - 1368 | - 104 | 5.0 | . 1 | -1.4 |
|  | JII | -. 2 | -. 2 | $-5.6$ | -8. 1 | -9.? | 160 | 220 | 1.4 | -1.2 | -. 8 |
|  | 14 | 5 | 8 | 11.7 | 1.7 | -. 9 | -1000 | -32 | -9.2 | -5. 7 | -. 7 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 7 | -. 8 | 13.9 | -4.8 | -3.3 | 2928 | -12 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 1.8 |

[^5](2) GICE - GRAIN IN COMMERCIAL CHANAEIS PERCENTAGE CHAMGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | TOTAL | TOTAL <br> EXCLUOJMG AGRICULTURE | INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { GODDS } \\ \text { IMDUSTRIES } \end{gathered}$ | GOODS IMDUSTRJES EXCLUDJNG AGRJCULTURE | SERVICES INOUSTRIES | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIES | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIES EXCIUDING AGRICULTURE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON- } \\ & \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 1.4 |
| 1979 |  | 3.8 | 4.2 | 6. 1 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 5.0 | -. 1 |
| 1980 |  | . 8 | . 7 | -1.7 | -. 8 | $-1.3$ | 1.8 | . 8 | . 6 | . 9 |
| 1981 |  | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 1982 |  | -5.0 | -5.2 | -10.8 | -9.4 | -10.4 | -2.3 | -6. 2 | -6.5 | 1.9 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | . 8 | 1.5 | 1. 5 | 3 |
|  | II\\| | -1.1 | -1.1 | -2.7 | -2.4 | -2.5 | -. 3 | -1.5 | -1.5 | 9 |
|  | IV | -1.3 | -1.3 | -4.4 | $-3.7$ | -3.8 | . 1 | -1. 6 | -1.6 | 3 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1.5 | -1.7 | -2.8 | -2.0 | -2. 5 | -1.2 | -1.9 | -2.2 | 6 |
|  | 11 | -1.7 | - 1.7 | -2.9 | -3. 1 | -3.3 | $-1.0$ | -2.1 | -2.2 | 5 |
|  | 111 | -1. 5 | $-1.6$ | -2.9 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -. 8 | -2.0 | -2.0 | 2 |
|  | IV | -1.0 | $-1.1$ | - 9.0 | -2.3 | -2. 8 | -. 3 | -1.3 | -1.4 | 3 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | . 1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1 |
| 1982 | MAR |  | - 6 |  | -1.2 |  | -. 3 | - 9 |  |  |
|  | $A P R$ | -. 7 | -. 7 | -1.3 | -. 6 | - 7 | -. 7 | -. 8 | -. 8 | - 0 |
|  | MAY | -. 3 | -. 3 | . 9 | -1. 1 | -1.3 | . 2 | - 4 | - 4 | . 0 |
|  | JUN | -1.1 | -1 1 | -2.5 | -1.9 | -2.0 | -. 7 | -1.3 | -1.3 | - 1 |
|  | JUL | -1.2 | -1.2 | -3.2 | $-2.2$ | -2. 4 | -. 5 | -1.4 | -1.5 | * |
|  | AUG | 1.0 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 2. 5 | 2.7 | . 2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | - 1 |
|  | SEP | -. 9 | -. 9 | -3.4 | -2. 1 | -2. 4 | -. 1 | -1.1 | $-1.2$ | \% |
|  | OCT | -. 9 | -1.0 | -3.1 | -2.1 | -2.5 | -. 3 | -1.1 | -1.2 | + |
|  | NOV | . 2 | . 2 | 7 | 4 | 6 | . 1 | . 4 | 4 | - 5 |
|  | DEC | . 0 | -. 1 | $-1.4$ | 3 | 1 | -. 1 | -. 2 | -. 3 | 9 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.5 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 4.7 | . 1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | - 4 |
|  | FEB | -. 1 | - 1 | 1.5 | . 7 | . 7 | $-.5$ | . 1 | . 1 | -1.0 |
|  | MAR | . 9 | 9 | -. 5 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | , 5 | 6 | 2.2 |



> GROS5 DOMESTIC PRODUCT IN CONSTANT (1971) PRICES BY INDUSTRY PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY AOJUSTED FIGURES CONIJNUED

|  |  | AGRICULTURE | FORESTRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISHING } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { IRAPPING } \end{aligned}$ | MJNING | HANUFACTURING |  |  | COMST- <br> RUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | DURABLE | MONDURABLE |  |
| 1978 |  | $-1.4$ | 7.0 | 10.5 | -9.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | $-3.4$ |
| 1979 |  | -10.1 | . 9 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 2.8 |
| 1980 |  | 7.2 | 2.3 | -5.8 | 3.4 | -3.0 | $-5.0$ | -. 7 | 2 |
| 1981 |  | 11.7 | -3.7 | -7.4 | -5.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | E. 5 |
| 1982 |  | 3.4 | -18.7 | 15.7 | -12. 6 | -12.2 | -15.5 | -8.8 | - E. 0 |
| 1981 | 11 | -. 1 | -8.4 | -35.9 | -1.8 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 20 |
|  | 111 | -1.1 | -14.0 | 30.7 | -3.6 | -3.2 | -5.0 | - 1.2 | - 2 |
|  | IV | -2.2 | 19.8 | - 16.0 | 1.4 | -5.7 | -8.0 | -3.3 | -3.3 |
| 1982 | I | 5.5 | -8.9 | 10.3 | -. 2 | -3.9 | -4.1 | -3. 6 | -4.0 |
|  | II | 0.1 | -14.9 | 10.5 | -9.4 | -1.9 | $-1.1$ | -2.8 | - 4.4 |
|  | III | -. 8 | -10.1 | 14.5 | -12.7 | -1.8 | $-3.0$ | -. $\quad$. | $-2$ |
|  | IV | 2.6 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 7.5 | -5.8 | -10.5 | -1.1 | 1 ? |
| 1983 | 1 | $-1.0$ | 20.8 | -5.5 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 5.0 | A. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1982 | MAR | . 5 | -5. 4 | 12.9 | -3.6 | $-1.0$ | -1.4 | -. 6 | $-10$ |
|  | APR | . 3 | -9.3 | 3.2 | -4. 1 | -1.5 | . 2 | -3.3 | $\therefore 0$ |
|  | MAY | . 5 | $-2.3$ | -9.2 | -. 3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | -¢ 8 |
|  | JUN | $-.8$ | -5.9 | 2.2 | -8.7 | -1.8 | -3.4 | -. 2 | 1. 0 |
|  | JUL | -. 5 | . 1 | 9.3 | -8.0 | $-2.7$ | $-3.3$ | -2.1 | - 5 |
|  | AUG | $-.4$ | $-18.7$ | 7.9 | . 5 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 2.1 | - 2 E |
|  | SEP | 1.4 | 24.7 | 4.3 | 2.3 | -4.5 | -7.2 | -1.5 | - |
|  | OCT | 1.6 | 1.9 | 6.7 | 1.8 | -3.8 | -7. 1 | -. 7 | - |
|  | HDV | -. 9 | -. 1 | -11. 6 | 5.4 | -. 2 | -. 8 | . 6 | - 7 |
|  | DEC | 2.3 | $-1.3$ | 14.2 | . 5 | -1.5 | -1. 5 | -1.5 | 6. 0 |
| 1983 | JAN | -2. 1 | 27.5 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 4.4 | $-2.1$ |
|  | FEB | -. 2 | - 12.5 | $-14.4$ | $-1.0$ | 1.7 | . 9 | 2.5 |  |
|  | MAR | . 1 | 13.4 | -10.5 | . 3 | -. 9 | $-.9$ | -. 8 | i. 3 |

[^6]|  |  | $\frac{\text { TRANSPDRTATION COMMUNICATION ANG }}{\text { OTHER UIIITTIES }}$ |  |  | TRADE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINANCE } \\ & \text { INSURANCE } \\ & \text { REAL ESTATE } \end{aligned}$ | COMMIUNITY. BUSINESS \& PERSONAL SERVICES | PUBL 15 <br> ADMINIS- <br> TRAIION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPOR- } \\ & \text { TATIDN } \end{aligned}$ | UTILITIES | IDTAL | MHOLESALE | RETAIL |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 4.8 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| 1979 |  | 7.4 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2. 6 | -. 5 |
| 1980 |  | 2.8 | 6 | 2.5 | . 3 | 1.0 | -. 2 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 1981 |  | 3.7 | 1.2 | 5.4 | . 4 | - E | 1.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.0 |
| 1982 |  | -3.1 | -8.6 | -. 2 | -8.8 | -14.0 | -5.1 | . 0 | -. 7 | 3.2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.8 | . 0 | . 6 | $-.4$ | 9 | 1.0 | 4 |
|  | 111 | -1.3 | -3.3 | 1.7 | -2.5 | -2.5 | -2.5 | 9 | . 7 | 1.4 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | . 5 | 4 | -2.4 | -4. 1 | - 1.2 | 8 | 0 | . 8 |
| 1982 | ! | -1.5 | -4. 1 | 1.5 | -3.1 | -4.0 | -2.4 | $-.6$ | -. 6 | . 8 |
|  | 11 | -1.8 | -2. 4 | -3.2 | $-2.3$ | $-5.7$ | . 0 | $-1.4$ | -. 2 | . 8 |
|  | 111 | -1.5 | -1.9 | -2.0 | -2.7 | -5.0 | -1.2 | . 3 | -. 7 | . 4 |
|  | IV | $-1.9$ | -3.8 | -. 1 | . 8 | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | -. 7 | . 4 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 3 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.0 | $-1.6$ | -. 5 | 6 |
| 1982 | MAR | - 6 | . 3 | -2. 1 | -1.9 | -3.3 | -. 9 | $=.2$ | . 0 | 1.2 |
|  | APR | -. 5 | -1.9 | 1.9 | -1.3 | -3.0 | -. 2 | -1. 1 | . 1 | - 1 |
|  | MAY | -. 9 | -. 9 | -3. 1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 8 | . 0 | -. 1 | . 2 |
|  | JUN | -. 9 | -1.0 | $-1.8$ | -2.0 | $-3.4$ | -1.2 | -. 1 | -. 5 | -. 2 |
|  | JUL | -1.5 | -1.5 | -2.6 | -2.0 | -3.8 | -. 9 | . 2 | -. 1 | . 4 |
|  | AUG | 1.4 | . 7 | 4.5 | . 3 | . 0 | . 5 | . 8 | . 1 | -. 1 |
|  | SEP | . 0 | 4 | . 0 | . 4 | 1.3 | $-3$ | -. 8 | -. 4 | . 4 |
|  | OCT | $-2.5$ | -4. 5 | -2.0 | . 3 | 2.0 | - 6 | 1.3 | -. 4 | . 0 |
|  | NOV | . 7 | 4 | 1.9 | . 3 | -2.5 | 2.0 | . 5 | -. 3 | -. 1 |
|  | DEC | -. 7 | . 1 | $-2.4$ | -. 2 | $-1.5$ | . 6 | $-1.3$ | . 5 | . 4 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 6 | 8 | $-.1$ | 1.1 | 5.4 | -1. 4 |  | -. 8 | -. 3 |
|  | FEB | 0.2 | $-1.7$ | 1.8 | . 1 | -. 8 | . 6 | $-1.4$ | -. 6 | . 5 |
|  | MAR | 2.0 | 3.5 | . 5 | 1.9 | . 0 | 3.1 | -. 2 | 1.7 | 8 |

SOURCE: GरOSS DOMESTIE PRODUCT BY JNDUSTRY, LATALOGUE B1-OO5. STATISTICS CANADA.

JUN 10, 1983
TABLE 25
2:49 PM

REAL MANUFACTURING SHBPMENTS ORDERS AND UNFILLED DRDERS MILLIONS OF 1971 DOLLARS. SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | SHIPMENTS |  |  | NEN ORDERS |  |  | UNFILLED ORDERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | DURAELE | NONOURAELE | BTTAL | DURABLE | NONDURABLE | T0941 | DURABIE | NOMIURAELE |
| 1978 |  | 69969 | 35185 | 34803 | 71298 | 36338 | 34959 | 94474 | 82946 | 11528 |
| 1979 |  | 72788 | 36504 | 36284 | 73612 | 37410 | 36204 | 110427 | 98403 | 12022 |
| 1980 |  | 70410 | 34825 | 35585 | 70315 | 34704 | 35614 | 114500 | 103548 | 10957 |
| 1981 |  | 71357 | 35446 | 35912 | 70552 | 34736 | 35815 | 108473 | 97587 | 10887 |
| 1982 |  | 64681 | 31252 | 33409 | 63180 | 29878 | 33302 | 91295 | 81553 | 9642 |
| 1981 | 11 | 18528 | 9373 | 9155 | 18422 | 9284 | 9138 | 27480 | 24685 | 2776 |
|  | 111 | 17934 | 8935 | 9000 | 17855 | 8893 | 8973 | 27211 | 24516 | 2695 |
|  | IV | 17103 | 8308 | 8795 | 16614 | 7852 | 8762 | 25092 | 23504 | 2589 |
| 1982 | 1 | 16650 | 8160 | 8490 | 16026 | 7587 | 8439 | 24255 | 21747 | 2508 |
|  | 11 | 16372 | 8040 | 8332 | 16194 | 7863 | 8330 | 23410 | 20971 | 2440 |
|  | 111 | 16344 | 8000 | 8343 | 15802 | 7475 | 8327 | 22190 | 19818 | 2371 |
|  | IV | 15296 | 7052 | 8243 | 15158 | 6952 | 8206 | 21440 | 19117 | 2323 |
| 1983 | I | 16054 | 7618 | 8447 | 16051 | 7584 | 8466 | 21176 | 18854 | 2311 |
| 1982 | MAR | 5571 | 2738 | 2833 | 5405 | 2588 | 2817 | 7923 | 7111 | 811 |
|  | APR | 5396 | 2666 | 2730 | 5358 | 2617 | 2741 | 7884 | 7052 | 822 |
|  | MAY | 5470 | 2654 | 2807 | 5367 | 2576 | 2792 | 7781 | 6974 | 807 |
|  | JUN | 5505 | 2710 | 2795 | 5468 | 2671 | 2798 | 7744 | 6934 | 810 |
|  | JUL | 5348 | 2582 | 2765 | 5223 | 2473 | 2750 | 7620 | 6826 | 794 |
|  | AUG | 5667 | 2831 | 2835 | 5414 | 2589 | 2825 | 7367 | 6583 | 784 |
|  | SEP | 5329 | 2587 | 2742 | 5165 | 2413 | 2752 | 7203 | 6409 | 793 |
|  | OCT | 5057 | 2335 | 2722 | 4977 | 2251 | 2726 | 7123 | 6326 | 797 |
|  | NOV | 5115 | 2343 | 2772 | 5244 | 2498 | 2746 | 7252 | 6481 | 791 |
|  | OEC | 5124 | 2375 | 2749 | 4937 | 2203 | 2734 | 7065 | 6309 | 755 |
| 1983 | JAN | 5393 | 2589 | 2804 | 5373 | 2565 | 2807 | 7045 | 6286 | 759 |
|  | FEB | 5371 | 2530 | 2840 | 5406 | 2547 | 2859 | 7080 | 6303 | 777 |
|  | MAR | 5301 | 2498 | 2803 | 5273 | 2472 | 2801 | 7051 | 8276 | 775 |

 SIC, STOCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE END DF THE PERIOD, IG7I DOLLAR YALUES ARE OBIAINED BY DEFLATJNG AT
INDUSTRY LEVEL BY TME APPROPRIATE INDUSTRY SELLING PRICE IMDEXES (SEE TECHN]CAL NOTE, MARCH IG82)

REAL MANUFACTURING SHIPMENTS, ORDERS AND UNFILLED OROERS
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED 1971 DDLLAR VALUES

|  |  | SHTPMENTS |  |  | NEM OROERS |  |  | UKFTLLED ORDERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | OURAgLE | NONDURAELE | 707AL | DURAELE | NONDURAELE | 1014L | DURABLE | NONOURA日LE |
| 1978 |  | 9.1 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| 1975 |  | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 32 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 11.9 | -8.1 |
| 1980 |  | -3.3 | -4. 8 | - 1.9 | -4.5 | -7.2 | -1. 5 | -1.0 | -1.4 | 3.1 |
| 1981 |  | 1.3 | 1.8 | 9 | . 3 | . 1 | . 5 | -8.6 | -8.4 | -10.1 |
| 1982 |  | -9.4 | -19.8 | -7.0 | $-10.4$ | $-14.0$ | -9.0 | -17.4 | $-18.0$ | -12.6 |
| 1981 |  | 4.1 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 2.2 | -1.2 | -1. 1 | $-1.7$ |
|  | 111 | -3.2 | $-4.7$ | -1.7 | -3.0 | -4.2 | -1.8 | -. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -. 5 | -3.0 |
|  | iv | -4. 5 | -7.0 | -2. 3 | -7.0 | -11.7 | -2.4 | -5.4 | $-5.6$ | -3. 5 |
| 1982 | 1 | -2.6 | -1.8 | -3.5 | -3.5 | -3.4 | -3.7 | - 7.4 | -7.5 | -6. 1 |
|  | 11 | -1. 7 | -1.5 | -1.9 | 10 | 3.6 | $-1.3$ | -2.2 | -2.5 | -. 2 |
|  | 111 | -. 2 | - 5 | . 9 | -2. 4 | -4.9 | . 0 | - 7.0 | - 7.6 | -2.0 |
|  | IV | -6. 4 | -11.8 | -1.2 | -4.1 | -7.0 | -1.5 | -1.9 | $-1.6$ | -4.8 |
| 1983 | 1 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 9.1 | 3.2 | -. 2 | -. 5 | 2.6 |
| 1982 | MAR | 1 | 3 | -. 2 | - 1 | -1.0 | 8 | -2.0 | -2. 1 | -1.9 |
|  | APR | -3. 1 | -2.6 | -3.6 | - 9 | 1.1 | -2.7 | -. 5 | - 3 | 1.4 |
|  | MAY | 1.4 | $=1$ | 2.8 | . 2 | -1.6 | 1.8 | $-1.3$ | -1.2 | -1.8 |
|  | JUN | . 6 | 1.8 | - 4 | 1.9 | 3.9 | . 2 | -. 5 | - 6 | . 3 |
|  | dUL | -2.9 | -4.7 | -1. 1 | -4.5 | -7. 4 | - 9.7 | -1. 6 | -1.6 | $-1.9$ |
|  | AUG | 6. 0 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 2.7 | -3.3 | -3. 6 | -1.4 |
|  | SEP | -6.0 | -8.6 | -3.3 | $-4.5$ | -6. 8 | -2.6 | -2.2 | -2. 6 | 1.2 |
|  | OCT | -5.1 | -9.7 | $-9$ | -3. 6 | -6. 7 | -. 9 | -1.1 | $-1.3$ | . 5 |
|  | NOV | 1.1 | . 3 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 10.9 | . 7 | 1.8 | 2.5 | -3.3 |
|  | OEC | . 2 | 1.4 | -. 9 | -5.9 | -11.8 | -. 4 | -2. 6 | -2. 7 | -2.0 |
| 1983 | $\checkmark$ AN | 5.2 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 16.5 | 2.7 | - 3 | -. 4 |  |
|  | FEB | $\cdots 4$ | $-2.3$ | 1.3 | . 6 | $\therefore .9$ | 1.8 | . 5 | . 3 | 2. 4 |
|  | MAR | $-1.3$ | $-1.3$ | $-1.3$ | $-2.5$ | -2.9 | -2.0 | - . 4 | -. 4 | -. 3 |

SOURCE: INVENTORIES SHIPMENTS AMD OROERS IN MANUFACTURTHG THDUSTRTES CZTGLOGUE $31-001$ STAMISYICS CANADA. BASED ON ISTO
SJL. STDCKS ARE MEASURED AT THE ENO DF THE PERIOD, 1971 DOLLAR VALUES ARE OBTAINEG BY DEFLATJNG AT THE TMO DIGIT INDUSTRY LEVEL BY THE APPROPRIATE INDUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES (SEE TECHNICAL NDTE. MARCH 1982)

TABLE 27


VALEUR REELLE DES STOCKS POSSEDES PAR LES INDUSTRIES MANUFACTURIERES
DONHEES EXPRIMEES SELON LE STADE DE FA日RICATION
DONNEES EXPRIMEES EN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OE 1971 ET OESAISONMALISEES


28 JUJN 1983
TABLEAU 29
8H 57

VALEUR REELLE DES STDCKS POSSEOES PAR LES INOUSTRIES MANUFACTURIERES
SELDN LE STAOE DE FABRICATION
VARIATION DES CHIFFRES OESAISONNALISES EN MILLIONS DE OOLLARS OE 1971

|  |  | MATIERES PREMIERES |  |  | PROOUTY EN COUS 5 |  |  | PROOUITS ITNIS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIENS } \\ & \text { OURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | BIENS NON OURA8LES | TDTAL |  | BIENS NON DURABLES | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIENS } \\ & \text { DURABLES } \end{aligned}$ | BIENS MON DURABLES |
| 1978 |  | 93 | 106 | -13 | -38 | -50 | 12 | -233 | -80 | - 153 |
| 1979 |  | 340 | 284 | 116 | 247 | 258 | -11 | 315 | 235 | 80 |
| 1980 |  | -71 | -58 | -13 | -64 | -56 | -8 | -137 | -81 | -56 |
| 1981 |  | 304 | 302 | 2 | -46 | -55 | 9 | 292 | 120 | 171 |
| 1982 |  | -770 | -575 | - 195 | -275 | -215 | -60 | -413 | -247 | -168 |
| 1981 | $!1$ | 58 | 44 | 14 | 82 | 68 | 14 | 41 | 29 |  |
|  | III | 115 | 99 | 16 | -29 | -39 | 10 | 114 | 28 | 85 |
|  | IV | 37 | 39 | -2 | -66 | -54 | - 12 | 85 | 67 | 18 |
| 1982 |  | -82 | -93 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | -5 | 7 |
|  | II | -243 | - 125 | - 118 | -67 | -45 | -22 | -87 | -42 | -45 |
|  | 111 | -248 | -210 | -38 | -60 | -47 | -13 | - 120 | -56 | - 55 |
|  | IV | - 196 | -147 | -49 | - 153 | - 125 | -28 | -207 | -145 | -52 |
| 1983 | I | -56 | -56 | -1 | -95 | - 95 | -1 | -179 | -150 | -29 |
| 1982 |  | -38 | -29 | -9 | -31 | -8 | -23 | 12 | -4 | 16 |
|  | AVR | -90 | -32 | -58 | -7 | 9 | -16 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  | MAI | - 109 | -83 | -26 | -6 | -3 | -3 | -38 | -17 | -22 |
|  | JUIN | -45 | -11 | -34 | -54 | -51 | -4 | -53 | -29 | -24 |
|  | JU1L | -83 | -65 | -18 | 32 | 35 | -2 | -34 | -9 | -25 |
|  | AOUT | - 102 | -83 | -19 | -72 | -71 | -1 | -44 | -12 | -32 |
|  | SEP | -52 | -62 | -1 | -21 | -11 | - 10 | -43 | -35 | -8 |
|  | OCT | -43 | -41 | -2 | - 16 | -9 | - 7 | -25 | -38 | 12 |
|  | MOV | -58 | -50 | -8 | -85 | - 72 | -13 | -85 | -75 | -11 |
|  | OEC | -95 | -55 | -39 | -52 | -44 | -8 | -95 | -32 | -63 |
| 1983 | JAN | 21 | -7 | 28 | -34 | -32 | -2 | -55 | -88 | 33 |
|  | FEV | $-34$ | $-12$ | $-22$ | $-35$ | -35 | -2 | -22 | $-24$ | 2 |
|  | MARS | -43 | $-36$ | -7 | -25 | -28 | 3 | - 102 | -38 | $-54$ |
| SOURC | E: STOCKS. ITVRAISONS ET CDMMANDES DES INOUSTRIES MANUFACYURIERES. (NO. 31-OO1 AU CATALOGUET, STATISTIOUE CANADA. D'APRES LA CLASSIFICATION DES ACTIVITES ECONOMIOUES DE 1970 (5.1.C.). LES STOCKS SONT HESURES EN FIN DE PERIODE, les valeurs en oollars de 1971 sont ogtenues apres oeflatlon par les indices des prix de vente dans limoustrie a la nomenclature a deux chiffres de la c.a.E. DE 1970. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | MANUF ACTURING |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAPER AND } \\ & \text { ALIIED } \\ & \text { INDUSTRIES } \end{aligned}$ | PRIMARY METALS | METAL <br> fabricating | MACHINERY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPOR- } \\ & \text { TATIDN } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \end{aligned}$ | ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS | CHEMICAI AND <br> CHEMICAL PRODUCTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | MON-DURAELE | OURABGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 83.4 | 85.8 | 80.0 | 89.1 | 75.7 | 80.7 | 836 | 88.6 | 74.0 | 74.4 |
| 1979 | 85.1 | 89.5 | 82. 7 | 90.2 | 77.1 | 83.4 | 951 | 88.1 | 81.1 | 77.2 |
| 1980 | 81.0 | 86.7 | 75.5 | 89.6 | 77.6 | 79.5 | 95.4 | 55.0 | 79.1 | 72.8 |
| 1981 | 79.2 | 84.8 | 73.8 | 84.9 | 75.7 | 77.5 | 95.3 | 61.9 | 82.2 | 71.4 |
| 1982 | 67.2 | 74.8 | 59.8 | 73.4 | 58.9 | 62.9 | 729 | 53.3 | 68.8 | 60.0 |
| 19811 | 80.8 | 85.5 | 75.3 | 87.4 | 78.4 | 77.9 | 95.8 | 63.5 | 80.7 | 74.0 |
| 11 | 82.6 | 86.8 | 78.6 | 88.1 | 82.5 | 80.7 | 98.0 | 67.8 | 85.4 | 724 |
| 111 | 79.3 | 84.8 | 74.0 | 81.4 | 77.6 | 79.3 | 96.1 | 62.8 | 83.4 | 720 |
| IV | 74.1 | 81.3 | 67.2 | 82.7 | 64.3 | 72.2 | 91.5 | 53.6 | 79.4 | 674 |
| 1982 | 90.6 | 77.6 | 63.7 | 77.5 | 55.5 | 70.5 | 83.1 | 53.0 | 71.9 | 63.9 |
| 11 | 68.4 | 74.9 | 62.1 | 73.5 | 60.4 | 64.0 | 76.5 | 58.4 | 70.7 | 60.9 |
| 111 | 66.8 | 73.9 | 59.8 | 72.1 | 56.9 | 50.2 | 68.3 | 58.6 | 69.2 | 58.9 |
| Iv | 63.2 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 70.5 | 52.9 | 56.2 | 63.9 | 43.3 | 63.4 | 56.4 |

SOUREE: CAPACITY OMITZAYION RATES CATALOGUE $31-003$. STATSTICS CANABA.

PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASOWALIY ADNUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | TOTAL | NONRESTOENTIAL |  |  |  | RESIDENTIAL | TOTAL FOR55MUNICI-PALITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | yotal | INDUSTRIAL | COMMERCIAL | TIONAL AND GOVERMMENT |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 5.8 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 28.5 | 1.7 | $-.6$ | 5.4 |
| 1979 |  | 7.7 | 14.5 | 24.9 | 18.7 | -2.9 | 2. 6 | 5.3 |
| 1980 |  | 9.2 | 25.2 | 45.3 | 15.9 | 31.3 | -3.9 | 10.8 |
| 1981 |  | 21.2 | 11.7 | -9.4 | 21.0 | 11.9 | 31.4 | 40.2 |
| 1982 |  | -31.7 | -25.4 | $-36.7$ | -33.4 | 5.8 | -37.5 | -31.7 |
| 1981 | 11 | 12.7 | 15.8 | -2.2 | 29.0 | 5.3 | 9.6 | -2.2 |
|  | 111 | -11.8 | -. 5 | 5.9 | -8. 2 | 17.2 | -20.9 | -11.3 |
|  | IV | 10.0 | 15.0 | -8.4 | 22.4 | 17.7 | 5.0 | 45.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | -24.0 | -15.5 | $-10.8$ | -14. 1 | -22.2 | -33.5 | $-36.4$ |
|  | II | -22.9 | -25.6 | -32. 1 | -33.5 | 2.0 | -19.0 | -10.1 |
|  | 111 | . 2 | -3.6 | - 4 | -10. 1 | 6.6 | 5.1 | -10.2 |
|  | IV | 18.8 | -13.2 | -9.7 | $-37.4$ | 22.5 | 55.8 | -4.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | 12.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 11.5 | -1.4 | 18.3 | -11.3 |
| 1982 | MAR | 4.2 | 8.4 | 18.4 | -5.0 | 55.6 | -2.3 | 2.4 |
|  | APR | -12.4 | -20. 5 | -35.0 | $-23.7$ | . 2 | 1.3 | -12.5 |
|  | MAY | -10.8 | -12.9 | 2.0 | -21.6 | -3. 7 | -8.1 | -7.7 |
|  | SUN | -4. 5 | -1.5 | -29.9 | 9.2 | -2.4 | -8. 3 | 3.4 |
|  | UUL | 20.3 | 27.2 | 45.7 | 33.6 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 18.3 |
|  | AUG | -19.7 | -33.4 | -15.6 | -51.8 | -1. 7 | 1.3 | -46.9 |
|  | SEP | 9.4 | 11.8 | -9.2 | 22.7 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 42.6 |
|  | DCT | 14.4 | 6. 3 | 10.1 | -32.0 | 52.8 | 230 | 3.1 |
|  | NOY | 5. 1 | -19.5 | -1. 6 | 14.2 | -40.0 | 25.5 | $-5.0$ |
|  | DEC | 6.5 | -. 7 | -97.7 | -5.0 | 12.2 | 10.7 | -10.6 |
| 1983 | JAN | 8.8 | 22.6 | 2.4 | 35.0 | 18.5 | 1.4 | -15.1 |
|  | FEB | -1. 1 | -1.5 | 67.6 | -36.0 | 12.7 | -. 8 | 27.7 |
|  | MAR | -4.0 | -22.2 | -48. 2 | 27.4 | -39.4 | 7. 6 | -8.7 |


|  |  | UREAN HOUSING STARIS |  |  |  | UR8AN HOUSING UNDER COMSTR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { URBAN } \\ & \text { HOUSING } \\ & \text { COMPIETIONS } \end{aligned}$ | MORTGAGE LOAN APPRDVALS (2)NOTALMILLION OOLLARSTIONAL |  |  | NEWHOUSINGPRICEINDEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | THDUSANDS OF STARTS (1) | TOTAL | SINGLES | MULT1PLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 183.6 | -7.5 | -9.1 | -11.3 | -8. 2 | -3.8 | 5693 | 2369 | 3324 | 2.6 |
| 1979 |  | 159.4 | -17.5 | -1.0 | -28.5 | -22 1 | -10.1 | 5667 | 1684 | 3983 | 3.7 |
| 1980 |  | 125.6 | -17. 1 | -15.8 | -18.2 | -24.6 | -19.8 | 4525 | 1453 | 3173 | 8.0 |
| 1981 |  | 143.5 | 14.3 | 6.4 | 21.7 | $-2.9$ | $-3.3$ | 4403 | 1740 | 2663 | 12.0 |
| 1982 |  | 108.2 | -24.6 | -38.8 | -12.9 | -3.4 | -18.4 | 3202 | 1647 | 1555 | -. 2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 173.0 | 23.9 | -3. 1 | 65.9 | 7.4 | 9 | 1333 | 285 | 1048 | 4.4 |
|  | 111 | 151.0 | -12.7 | -26. 3 | -. 4 | 4.5 | $-3.0$ | 1001 | 440 | 561 | 8 |
|  | IV | 110.3 | $-26.9$ | -46.7 | -13.7 | -5.8 | -5. 1 | 1155 | 834 | 321 | -. 3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 140.7 | 27.5 | 3.1 | 37.6 | 6. 5 | -8.4 | 625 | 193 | 432 | . 7 |
|  | 11 | 98.0 | -30.3 | $-3.0$ | -38.8 | $-3.1$ | -6.9 | 738 | 397 | 341 | $-1.1$ |
|  | 111 | 81.3 | -17.0 | -3. 1 | -23.9 | - 11.5 | 71 | 615 | 340 | 275 | -1.8 |
|  | IV | 112.7 | 38.5 | 98.9 | . 7 | -4.8 | $-17.2$ | 1224 | 717 | 507 | -1.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | 147.7 | 31.1 | 50.8 | B. 5 | 0 | 34.6 |  |  |  | -. 2 |
| 1982 | APR | 109.0 | -16.8 | 3.1 | -23.2 | - 5 | -20.3 | 287 | 154 | 133 | -. 2 |
|  | May | 91.0 | -16.5 | -6.1 | -21.1 | -2.5 | 14.7 | 256 | 149 | 107 | -. 9 |
|  | JUN | 94.0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 1.7 | -4.3 | . 0 | 195 | 94 | 101 | -. 4 |
|  | 2UL | 93.0 | -1.1 | -6. 1 | 1.6 | $-3.7$ | 5.1 | 172 | 84 | 88 | -. 7 |
|  | AJG | 78.0 | -15.1 | 0 | -24.2 | -3.7 | $-11.4$ | 218 | 125 | 93 | -. 5 |
|  | $5 \mathrm{SP}^{\text {P }}$ | 73.0 | -5.4 | 3.2 | -12.8 | -6. 6 | 17.4 | 225 | 131 | 94 | -. 8 |
|  | 9 CT | 94.0 | 28.8 | 46.9 | 14.6 | 8 | - 35.2 | 287 | 162 | 125 | -. 3 |
|  | 43\% | 112.0 | 19.1 | 17.0 | 21.3 | -. 2 | 27.9 | 406 | 230 | 176 | -. 4 |
|  | 15 C | 132.0 | 17.9 | 54.5 | $-17.5$ | 1.0 | 2.8 | 531 | 325 | 206 | -. 1 |
| 1983 | $\triangle A N$ | 145.0 | 9.8 | 20.0 | -8.5 | -. 3 | 16.5 | 248 | 80 | 168 | -. 1 |
|  | FEB | 142.0 | -2.1 | -10.8 | 18.6 | . 6 | -4.7 | 320 | 138 | 182 | 0 |
|  | MRR | 156.0 | 9.9 | -2.2 | 31.4 | -2. 1 | 26. 4 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ | 144.0 | $-7.7$ | 9.0 | -29.9 | 4.1 | -27.5 |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: ROUSING STARTS AND CTMPLETIONS CATAI DGUE $6 A-002$. STATISTICS CANADA, ANO CARADIAN HOUSING STETISYICS. CMHC.



|  |  | CURFENT COLLAR (1) |  |  |  |  | 1971 DOLIARS (2) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | rotal | NEM PAS5ENGER CAR SALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMI - } \\ & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NON- DURABLE } \\ \text { GOODS } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | NEM PASSENGER CAR SALES | $\begin{gathered} \text { DURABLE } \\ \text { G000S } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMI - } \\ & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { GOODS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-DURABLE } \\ & \text { GOOOS } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 11.1 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 2.7 | . 5 | 4.2 | 5.3 | -. 6 |
| 1379 |  | 11.7 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | . 9 | 2 |
| -380 |  | 9.6 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 15.0 | -1.6 | -7.4 | -5. 1 | -3.7 | 4.2 |
| 981 |  | 13.2 | 9.6 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 1.8 | -1.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 | -3.2 |
| 1982 |  | 4.8 | -14.4 | -2.4 | 1.9 | 11.1 | -4.2 | -18.4 | -9.0 | -3.8 | . 4 |
| :981 | 11 | 2.1 | -. 2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.5 | -. 3 | -2.7 | - 3 | -. 5 | -. 2 |
|  | 111 | . 5 | -4.3 | -3.4 | . 8 | 3.4 | -2.4 | -6.0 | -5.4 | -1.0 | - 1 |
|  | IV | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | . 5 | 2.5 | - 2 | -. 4 | -. 8 | -. 3 | , |
| 11982 | ! | $-2$ | -18.9 | $-5.0$ | -. 6 | 3.3 | -2.9 | -19. 6 | $-5.7$ | -2.3 | 4 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 3.5 | . 6 | 11.5 | 1.3 | . 2 | 2 |
|  | [11 | . 0 | - 5.5 | $-1.3$ | -. 6 | 1.0 | -1.3 | -8. 1 | -1.9 | -1.8 | - 4 |
|  | 17 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 5.0 | . 7 | . 1 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 4.2 | -. 1 | -1.1 |
| 148.3 | $!$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | . 2 | 3.4 | 1.3 | . 9 | -. 6 | -. 9 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 1982 | MAR | -. 8 | -8.0 | -1.7 | $-1.8$ | . 1 | -1.5 | -9.9 | -2.1 | -2.5 | -. 3 |
|  | \&PR | 2.0 | 10.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | . 6 |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Y | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | . 9 | . 3 |
|  | , JJN | -. 8 | 4.4 | $-.8$ | - 1.8 | -. 5 | $-1.1$ | 4.7 | -1.1 | -1.8 | -. 7 |
|  | , ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -1.1 | -22.4 | -5.5 | $-7$ | 1.4 | -1.6 | -23.5 | -5.0 | -1.1 | 1.4 |
|  | AUG | 1.3 | 21.3 | 5.8 | 1.7 | -1. 5 | 1.3 | 20.6 | 4.9 | 1.6 | -2.0 |
|  | SFP | -1. 1 | 4.5 | . 6 | - 1.8 | 5 | - 5 | 4.1 | . 3 | -2.3 | -. 2 |
|  | $0: 7$ | -1.2 | -22.8 | -3.5 | . 3 | -. 2 | -1. 5 | -19.9 | -3.6 | . 2 | -. 5 |
|  | NO: | 2.3 | 28.2 | 5.7 | 1.1 | . 7 | 2.2 | 23.6 | 5.7 | 9 | -. 1 |
|  | dec | 2.7 | 16.7 | 7.5 | 1.2 | . 2 | 3.0 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 9 | 8 |
| 1983 | UAN | -2.5 | -17.5 | -6.9 | . 6 | - . 8 | -2.3 | -18.4 | -6.8 | . 2 | 8 |
|  | FEB | . 2 | -1.1 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 7 | -. 4 | -1.0 | -2.2 | 9 | 6 |
|  | MAR | 3.7 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 2. 5 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 13.7 | 4.8 | 1.6 | . 7 |

[^7]Labour
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LAGOUR FORCE SURVEY SUMMARY
SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


SOURCE: THE LABOUR PRRCE CETALOGUE $71-001$, STATISTICS GANADA
(1) PERCENTAGE CHANGE.

## characteristics of the unemployed

HOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

(1) THOUSANDS OF PERSONS.

|  |  | AGES 15-24 |  |  |  |  | ALES 25 ANO OVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LABOUR } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | EMPLOYMENT (1) | UNEMPIOYME NT (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNEMPLOY- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARTICI- } \\ & \text { PATION } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | LABDUR FORCE (1) | EMPLOY- MENT ( 11 | UNEMPLOYMEMT (1) | UNEMPIOY MENT RATE | PARTICTPATION RATE |
| 1978 |  | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 14.5 | 64.4 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 9.9 | 6. 1 | 62.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.7 | 5.6 | -7 1 | 13.0 | 66.2 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -8.6 | 5.4 | 62.3 |
| 1980 |  | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 67.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 62.9 |
| 1981 |  | 4 | . 3 | 1.0 | 13.3 | 67.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 63.6 |
| 1982 |  | -4.2 | -10.2 | 35.2 | 18.8 | 65.9 | 2.0 | -1.0 | 53.9 | 8.4 | 63.3 |
| 1981 | 11 | -. 1 | 5 | -3.8 | 12.7 | 68.3 | 6 | 6 | -. 8 | 5.2 | 63.6 |
|  | 111 | -1.0 | - 8.0 | -. 8 | 128 | 67.8 | 7 | . 3 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 63.6 |
|  | IV | -. 9 | $-3.0$ | 12.8 | 14.6 | 67.4 | 6 | - 1 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 63.6 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1.8 | -3.2 | 6.1 | 15.7 | 66.3 | - 1 | -. 5 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 63.2 |
|  | 11 | -. 9 | -3. 5 | 13.3 | 18.0 | 65.9 | 1.0 | - 5 | 22.6 | 8.0 | 63.5 |
|  | III | -. 1 | $-3.5$ | 15.4 | 20.8 | 66.1 | 9 | -. 5 | 17.7 | 9.3 | 63.6 |
|  | iv | -. 9 | - 9 | - 9 | 20.8 | 65.9 | 1 | -. 8 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 63.3 |
| 1983 | 1 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -. 8 | 20.8 | 65.5 | 4 | E | -2.0 | 9.9 | 63.2 |
| 1982 | MAY | -. 7 | -1.6 | 3.5 | 17.9 | 65.7 | 6 | 2 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 63.5 |
|  | JUN | . 2 | -1.1 | 6.0 | 18.9 | 65.9 | 3 | - . 4 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 63.6 |
|  | dUL | 1.5 | -1.0 | 12.3 | 20.9 | 67.0 | 5 | . 1 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 63.7 |
|  | AUE | -2. 2 | $-2.0$ | -2.9 | 20.8 | 65.6 | 2 | - 4 | 5.6 | 9.4 | 63.7 |
|  | SEP | . 2 | . 5 | -1.0 | 20.6 | 65.8 | -. 2 | - 4 | 2.4 | 9.6 | 63.5 |
|  | OCT | . 1 | -. 4 | 1.8 | 20.9 | 66.0 | 2 | - . 2 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 63.5 |
|  | NOV | -. 6 | -. 1 | -2.6 | 20.5 | 65.7 | - 2 | -. 5 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 63.2 |
|  | DEC | . 2 | -. 3 | 2.0 | 20.9 | 65.9 | 3 | 3 | . 7 | 10.2 | 63.3 |
| 1983 | JAN | -1.2 | -. 7 | -31 | 20.5 | 65.2 | - . 2 | . 2 | -3.6 | 9.9 | 63.1 |
|  | FE8 | . 3 | . 0 | 1.5 | 20.7 | 65.6 | 4 | . 3 | . 8 | 9.9 | 63.2 |
|  | MAR | . 2 | - 4 | 2.8 | 21.3 | 65.8 | 4 | . 5 | . 1 | 9.9 | 63.3 |
|  | APR | -. 6 | -. 9 | . 5 | 21.5 | 65.6 | . 8 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 9.7 | 63.7 |
|  | MAY | 1.2 | 1.7 | -. 5 | 21.1 | 66.5 | 2 | 3 | -. 5 | 9.6 | 63.7 |

SOUREE: THE LABOUR FORCE CATALOGUE T1-001. STATISTTCS CINADA
(1) percentage chamge

I ABOUR FORCE SUMMARY, MOMEN. AGES 1524 AND 25 AND OVER SEASONALIY ADJUSTED


|  |  | AGES 15-24 |  |  |  |  | AGES 25 AND DVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LABOUR } \\ \text { FORCE } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | EMPLOYMENT 111 | UNEMPLOYMENT (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNEMPLOY- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARTIC]- } \\ & \text { PAIION } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | lABOUR FORCE <br> (I) | EMPLOY - <br> MENT <br> (1) | UNEMPLOYMENT <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNENPLOY- } \\ & \text { MEMT } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARTICI- } \\ & \text { PATION } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 15. 1 | 69.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 81.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.5 | 5.6 | -9.2 | 13.3 | 71.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | -11.0 | 4.5 | 80.9 |
| 1980 |  | 1.3 | 7 | 5.0 | 13.8 | 72.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 80.5 |
| 1981 |  | 4 | -. 1 | 3.9 | 14.2 | 72.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 80.3 |
| 1982 |  | -5. 2 | -12.8 | 40.3 | 21.1 | 69.5 | 1.2 | $-2.3$ | 69.2 | 8.1 | 79.3 |
| 1981 | 11 | $-.7$ | - 1 | -4.1 | 13.4 | 72.8 | . 0 | . 0 | -. 7 | 4.6 | 80.4 |
|  | 111 | -. 9 | -1.2 | 1.2 | 13.7 | 72.3 | . 3 | . 1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 80.1 |
|  | IV | -1.2 | -3.9 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 71.6 | . 5 | -. 2 | 14.2 | 5.4 | 80.0 |
| 1982 | 1 | -2.4 | -4.2 | 5.7 | 17.5 | 90.1 | -. 1 | - 8 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 79.4 |
|  | 11 | -1.0 | -4.3 | 15.0 | 20.3 | 69.6 | 7 | - 8 | 24.6 | 9.5 | 79.5 |
|  | II! | . 0 | -3.8 | 15.3 | 23.4 | 70.0 | 9 | -1.0 | 24.9 | 9.3 | 79.7 |
|  | IV | -1.4 | -1.7 | -. 4 | 23.6 | 65.3 | - 1 | -1.2 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 79.2 |
| 1983 | 1 | $-1.9$ | -1.9 | -1.9 | 23.6 | 68.3 | - 3 | 4 | -6. 4 | 9.5 | 78.5 |
| 1982 | MAY | -. 3 | -1.5 | 4.9 | 20.3 | 69.5 | 4 | -. 1 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 79.5 |
|  | JUN | . 1 | -1.2 | 5.3 | 21.3 | 69.7 | 5 | -. 4 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 79.7 |
|  | SUL | 1.6 | -1.1 | 11.5 | 23.4 | 70.9 | 0 | . 0 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 80.0 |
|  | AUG | -2.5 | $-2.7$ | -1. 6 | 23.6 | 69.3 | -. 2 | -. 8 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 79.7 |
|  | SEP | . 4 | 1.1 | -1. 6 | 23.1 | 69.7 | . 0 | -. 4 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 79.5 |
|  | DCT | . 0 | -. 7 | 2.2 | 23.6 | 59.8 | . 2 | -. 3 | 4.7 | 10.2 | 79.5 |
|  | NOV | -1. 1 | -. 6 | -2.9 | 23.2 | 69.1 | -. 4 | -. 6 | . 9 | 10.4 | 79.0 |
|  | DE | -. 4 | - 1.5 | 3.3 | 24.0 | 68.9 | . 1 | . 2 | -. 9 | 10.2 | 79.0 |
| 1983 | JAN | $-1.9$ | -. 5 | -5.3 | 23.1 | 67.9 | -. 6 | . 0 | -5.9 | 9.7 | 78.4 |
|  | FEB | - 3 | $-.2$ | 2.0 | 23.5 | 68.2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 6 | 9.7 | 78.5 |
|  | MAR | . 6 | -. 2 | 3.3 | 24.1 | 68.8 | . 4 | . 6 | $-1.7$ | 9.5 | 78.9 |
|  | APR | -. 2 | $-.8$ | 1.6 | 24.5 | 68.8 | . 6 | . 7 | -. 2 | 9.4 | 79.0 |
|  | Mar | 1.5 | 2.7 | $-2.1$ | 23.7 | 70.0 | . 4 | .3 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 79.1 |

SOUREE: TME LASOUK FORCE, CATALOGUE $91-001$. STAYTSTICS CANADA.
(1) PERCENTAGE CMANGE.

JUN 10. 1983
TABLE 39
$10: 09 \mathrm{AM}$

EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY. LABDUR FORCE SURVEY
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALIY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | GOODS INDUSTRTES |  |  |  |  | SERVICE INOLSTRTES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total ExCLUDING AGRICULTURE | TOTAL <br> Excluding AGRICULTURE | primary INOUSTRIES EXCLUOING AGRICULTURE | MANUFAC TURING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ThRNSPOR- } \\ & \text { TATIDN } \\ & \text { COMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TION } \\ & \text { AND OTHER } \\ & \text { UTILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | trane | FJNANCE. <br> F INSURANCE AND REAL estale | DTHER <br> (1) |
| 1978 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 3.5 | -. 3 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| 1979 |  | 4. 1 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
| 1980 |  | 3.0 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 1.7 | -3.3 | 3.7 | . 3 | 1.4 | 9.9 | 4.8 |
| 1981 |  | 2.7 | 1.9 | 6.1 | . 7 | 4.2 | 3.0 | . 3 | 2.5 | -2.6 | 4.7 |
| 1982 |  | -3.2 | $-9.6$ | -16.9 | -9.2 | -8.5 | -. 5 | $-3.2$ | -1.9 | 1.5 | 4. |
| 1981 | 11 | . 6 | 7 | 2.6 | . 3 | 1.3 | 6 |  | -. 1 |  | 6 |
|  | I11 | - 1 | 2 | . 5 | -. 3 | 1.7 | -. 2 | -11 | 1.3 | 1.8 | -1.1 |
|  | IV | - 7 | -2.4 | -6. 1 | -2.3 | - B | . 1 | , | . 0 | 1.7 | -. 2 |
| 1982 | I | -1.0 | -3.3 | -5.1 | -3.1 | -3.2 | . 0 | -. 9 | -. 9 | 2.3 | . 2 |
|  | 11 | -1.4 | -3.8 | -9.8 | -2.8 | -4.1 | -. 3 | -3.2 | -. 3 | . 2 | . 3 |
|  | III | -1.5 | -3.1 | -1.9 | -3.1 | -3.9 | -. 8 | -1.7 | -1.9 | -4.9 | . 6 |
|  | IV | -. 6 | -3.0 | - 1.4 | -3.3 | -2.8 | , 3 | 2.9 | -1.7 | -2.1 | . 9 |
| 1983 | I | . 4 | -. 1 | 4.1 | -. 1 | -1.9 | 4 | -1.6 | . 7 | 3.1 | .2 |
| 1982 | MAY | -. 5 | -1.1 | 1.2 | -1.1 | -1.8 | -. 3 | -. 8 | 1 | -2.4 | 0 |
|  | JUN | -. 7 | -1.2 | -. 4 | -1.4 | -. 8 | -. 3 | -. 9 | -. 3 | -1.0 | 1 |
|  | JUL | - 4 | - 8 | - 4 | -. 5 | -1.7 | -. 3 | -1.2 | -. 1 | -2.5 | 2 |
|  | AUG | -. 8 | -1.4 | -1. 5 | -1.4 | $-1.4$ | -. 6 | -. 2 | $-2.2$ | -1.7 | 2 |
|  | SEP | . 1 | -1.0 | $-2.0$ | -. 9 | -. 5 | . 4 | 1.5 | -1.0 | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | OCT | -. 3 | -1.4 | 1.2 | -1.2 | -3.0 | .2 | 1.0 | -. 5 | -. 5 | . 4 |
|  | NOV | -. 3 | -. 8 | - 1.2 | -1.6 | 1.8 | -. 1 | 1.4 | -. 3 | - 1.4 | -. 1 |
|  | OEC | . 3 | -. 1 | . 0 | . 1 | -. 7 | . 2 | . 0 | 1.2 | -. 3 | -. 1 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 0 | . 2 | 20 | .9 | -2.8 | -. 1 | $-1.6$ | -. 4 | 2.3 | 0 |
|  | FEE | . 3 | -. 2 | 2.4 | - 8 | 2.8 | . 4 | -. 6 | . 3 | 3.1 | . 3 |
|  | MAR | . 4 | . 5 | 2.7 | - 1 | 1.1 | . 3 | -. 1 | . 7 | -1.5 | . 5 |
|  | APR | . 7 | . 0 | 1.1 | $-.4$ | . 9 | . 9 | . 8 | 1.4 | -. 5 | 8 |
|  | MAY | . 4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1. 8 | 1.6 | . 0 | 1 | -1.0 | -. 5 | 5 |

SOURCE: THE LAEOUR FORCE, CATALOGUE T1-001, STATISTICS CANADA
(I) COMMUNITY. BUSIMESS PERSONAL SERVICES AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION.

|  |  | GOOOS INOUSTRIES |  |  |  |  | SERVICE INDUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EXCLUDING } \\ \text { AGRICULTURE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EXCLUDING } \\ \text { AGRICULTURE } \end{gathered}$ | PRIMARY INDUSTRIES Excluding AGRICULTURE | MANUFACTURING | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONSTRUCT- } \\ \text { TION } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPORT- } \\ & \text { ATIDN. } \\ & \text { COMMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TIDN AND } \\ & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { UTILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | TRADE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL } \\ & \text { COMMERCIAL } \\ & \text { SERVICES(1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1978 |  | 2.0 | - 1 | . 2 | 1.6 | -6.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 4. 1 | 2.0 |
| 1979 |  | 3.6 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 68 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
| 1980 |  | 2.1 | -. 6 | 7.9 | -1.2 | -2. 2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 5.5 | 2. C |
| 1981 |  | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 2.9 |
| 1982 |  | -3.2 | -10.4 | -13.4 | -9.3 | $-13.4$ | -. 4 | -2.7 | -3.2 | 4 | 21 |
| 1981 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | -. 2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | -. 1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | $?$ |
|  | 11 | 1.0 | 1. 7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | . 7 | . 1 | 1.7 | . 3 | - 5 |
|  | 111 | . 0 | $-1.8$ | -2.9 | $-1.6$ | -2.0 | . 7 | -1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | - 7 |
|  | Iv | -. 3 | -1.7 | 9 | -1. 5 | -3.5 | . 3 | 1.0 | -. 6 | . 3 | 5 |
| 1982 | I | -1.0 | -3. 1 | -3. 3 | -3. 1 | -2.9 | -. 1 | - 7 | - 7 | . 3 | 2 |
|  | II | -1.3 | -4.4 | -7.7 | -3.1 | -8.0 | -. 1 | $-1.6$ | -1.4 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  | III | -1.8 | -3. E | -7. 4 | $-3.0$ | -4.4 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -2. 6 | -1.8 | , 4 |
|  | Iv | -1.8 | -3.8 | -4.8 | -4.3 | $-1.0$ | -1.1 | $-1.7$ | -2.4 | -1. 5 | , 3 |
| 1982 | FE8 | 1 | -. 5 | 6 | -1. 1 | 1.1 | 3 | -. 1 | 4 | 7 | -2 |
|  | MAR | -. 1 | -. 6 | -. 9 | -. 9 | . 4 | 2 | -. 4 | - 6 | . 6 | - 5 |
|  | APP | -. 6 | -2. 3 | -4.7 | -1.5 | $-4.4$ | . 0 | -. 6 | -. 3 | . 2 | 5 |
|  | May | -. 7 | -1.7 | -1.5 | -. 5 | -6.6 | - 4 | -1.0 | -. 5 | -. 4 | 1 |
|  | JUN | -. 6 | $-1.4$ | -5.5 | -1.3 | 4 | -. 4 | - 3 | -1.5 | -. 2 | - 2 |
|  | JUL | -. 5 | -. 9 | -1.9 | - 1.0 | . 1 | -. 3 | -. 3 | - 3 | -. 8 | ! |
|  | AUS | - 8 | -1.5 | -2.2 | - 5 | -4.7 | -. 6 | $-7$ | -1.4 | -. 8 | 2 |
|  | SEP | -. 5 | -1.0 | . 2 | $-1.8$ | 2. 1 | - 4 | -. 5 | -. 8 | -. 5 | ? |
|  | DCT | -. 9 | -1.7 | $-1.5$ | $-1.9$ | - 8 | -. 5 | -1.6 | -. 9 | -. 8 | 1 |
|  | MOV | -. 4 | $-1.2$ | -3.0 | -1.2 | . 0 | - 1 | . 8 | -. 9 | -. 2 | 2 |
|  | DEC | -. 2 | -. 7 | -2.2 | -. 7 | -. 1 | -. 1 | -. 3 | . 0 | . 2 | - 3 |
| 1983 | JAN | . 2 | . 3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | -3. 7 | . 2 | . 3 | -. 1 | -. 1 | 5 |
|  | FEB | .3 | . 1 | 2.1 | 2 | $-1.2$ | 3 | 5 | 8 | $-.3$ | 3 |

SOURCE: ESTMMATES OF EMPLOYEES BY PROVINCE AND INDUSTRY CATGLOGUE 72-008.
BASED ON THE 1960 STANDARD INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.
111 FINANCE INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE AND COMMUNITY BUSINESS ANO PERSONAL SERVIEES


|  |  | TNOUSTRTM COMPOSITE (2) | FORESIRY | MIMING | MANUTATTJTM: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAB |  |  | DURABLE | NONDURAEIE |
| 1978 |  |  | 1.5 | 4.4 | -3.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 |  |
| 1979 |  | 2.9 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 21 |
| 1980 |  | 1.1 | -4.0 | 11.5 | -1.8 | -3.0 | -7 |
| 1981 |  | 2.1 | -8.1 | 3.5 | . 6 | -. 3 | i. 5 |
| 1982 |  | -6.0 | -15.5 | - 10.8 | $-9.3$ | -12.0 | -6.6 |
| 1981 | I | 1.4 | $=.3$ | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
|  | 11 | 7 | -2.0 | 4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | - 1 |
|  | 111 | - 5 | -6. 1 | -1.7 | -1.7 | -3.0 | $\because 6$ |
|  | IV | -. 3 | . 9 | . 2 | $-2.3$ | -2,5 | - : |
| 1982 | 1 | $-2.0$ | $-3.7$ | $-3$ | -2.7 | -2.8 | $\because 1$ |
|  | 11 | -2.7 | -8.8 | $-5.7$ | -3. 2 | -4. 5 | - 1.6 |
|  | JII | $-2.4$ | 1.1 | -11.4 | $-2.5$ | -3.6 | 1.2 |
|  | IV | $-2.8$ | -15.0 | -1.3 | -4.5 | -6.2 | -10 |
| 1982 |  | *. 3 | 2.1 | 2.2 | - 9.2 | -2.0 | - 0 |
|  | MAR | -. 7 | -. 3 | -. 9 | -. 6 | -. 8 | $\rightarrow 8$ |
|  | APR | $-1.0$ | -6.0 | -3.0 | -1. 5 | -2.0 | -1-1 |
|  | MAY | -1.2 | $-1.5$ | $-7$ | 0.7 | $-1.5$ | - $\ddot{\square}$ |
|  | JUN | -. 9 | $-7.7$ | $-7.4$ | $-1.2$ | $-1.7$ | -1. |
|  | JUL | -. 5 | 4.8 | -4.1 | $-3$ | -1.1 | - 2 |
|  | AUG | -. 9 | 2.8 | -4.2 | $-1.0$ | $-2$ | -0 |
|  | SEP | $-1.0$ | 1.6 | 1.1 | $-1.7$ | -2.1 | - 5 |
|  | OCT | -1.5 | -9.2 | . 6 | -2.3 | -3.7 | -1.0 |
|  | NOV | - 4 | -9.1 | $-1.2$ | - 8 | $-1.0$ | - 2 |
|  | OEC | -. 3 | -7. 1 | -. 9 | -. 9 | -1.1 | * 5 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 1 | 17.0 | - 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | - |
|  | FEB | . 1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | -. 3 | -. 9 | - 1 |

SOURCE: EMPLOYMENT. EARMINGS ANO ROURS. CATALOGUE $72-002$, STATISTICS CANAOA BASED DH 1950 STAMBARO INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION
(1) SEE GLOSSARY
 AND PUELIC AMMITISTRATION ANO DEFEMSE

|  |  | CONSTRUS TION | TRARSPOR-TATIONCOMMUNICA-TIONUTILITIES | TRADE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINANCE } \\ & \text { INSURANCE } \\ & \text { REAL ESTATE } \end{aligned}$ | COMMUNTY <br> BUSINESS <br> 5 <br> PERSONAL <br> SERVICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | WHOLESALE | RETAIL |  |  |
| 1978 |  |  | -10. 6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | -. 4 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 |
| 1979 |  | -3.2 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| 1980 |  | -3.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 4.6 |
| 1981 |  | 5.3 | . 9 | 1.9 | 9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| 1982 |  | -12.3 | -2.3 | -5.7 | -9.4 | -3.9 | . 7 | -2.3 |
| 1981 | 1 | 3.2 | . 2 | 1.1 | . 6 | 1.5 | 8 | 3.1 |
|  | II | 1.1 | -. 2 | . 6 | . 5 | . 6 | . 9 | 1.4 |
|  | 111 | . 2 | -. 5 | -. 1 | -. 5 | . 1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | IV | . 0 | 1.6 | -. 3 | -. 8 | -. 1 | . 8 | 1. 6 |
| 1982 | $!$ | -2.0 | -. 9 | -2.8 | -4.4 | -2.0 | 5 | -2.2 |
|  | 11 | -10.4 | $-1.7$ | -1.7 | -3.1 | -1.1 | -. 5 | -1. 3 |
|  | 111 | -6. 1 | -1.3 | -2.2 | -3.5 | -. 8 | -1.4 | -1.3 |
|  | IV | -1. 6 | $-1.6$ | $-2.3$ | $-2.4$ | $-3.2$ | -1. 5 | -2.1 |
| 1982 | FE日 | $-1.3$ | $\because 3$ | -. 3 | $-.3$ |  |  |  |
|  | MAR | -1.5 | -1.2 | -. 5 | -1.3 | -. 1 | - 4 | - 5 |
|  | APR | -2. 6 | . 1 | - 7 | -1.0 | -. 5 | . 0 | -. 5 |
|  | May | -10.5 | -1.0 | - 7 | $-1.4$ | -. 5 | -. 5 | -. 9 |
|  | JUN | 1.4 | $-.7$ | - 5 | -. 7 | -. 3 | - 5 | . 2 |
|  | UUL | -1.4 | - 1 | - 9 | $-1.5$ | 2.1 | -. 5 | -. 7 |
|  | AUG | -4. 1 | -. 4 | $-7$ | - 8 | -3.2 | -. 2 | -. 3 |
|  | SEP | 2.5 | -. 7 | $-1.1$ | -1.4 | -1.1 | -1.0 | - 5 |
|  | OCT | . 2 | $-1.2$ | -1.0 | -. 8 | -1.2 | -. 5 | -1. 5 |
|  | HOV | $-2.4$ | . 2 | -. 5 | - 4 | -. 5 | -. 3 | . 3 |
|  | OEC | $-1.4$ | -. 1 | . 2 | -. 3 | . 4 | -. 2 | -. 6 |
| 1983 | JAN | -3.9 | $-.3$ | -. 4 | -. 9 | $-.1$ | . .6 | -1.3 |
|  | FEB | $-1.1$ | . 5 | . 3 |  |  | . 4 | -. 6 |

SOURCE: EMPLÓYMENT, EARNINGS AKO MOURS CATALOGUE Y2-002 STATISTICS CANADA.
BASED ON 1960 STANOARD INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICAYIDN.
BASEO DN 1960 STANDARD INOUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATIDN
(i) SEE GLOSSARY.

JUN 3. 1983
TABLE 43
3:00 PM

MAGES AND SALARIES BY INDUSTRY
PERCENTAGE TMANGES OF SEASONALLY AOJUSTED FIGURES


|  |  | SERVICE INDUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |  | rotal WAGES AND SALARJES (2) | SUPPLE- <br> MENTARY <br> LaBOUR <br> JNCDME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { INCOME } \end{aligned}$ | TIME LOST IN MORK STOPPAGES (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YOTAL | TRANSPOR- <br> TATION <br> STDRAGE <br> AND COMMU. <br> NICATION | TRADE | FINANCE <br>  <br> REAL ESTATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMUNTTY, } \\ & \text { BUSIMESS B } \\ & \text { PERSOMAL } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC ADMINIS- TRATION AND DEFENSE 111 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 5.9 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 13.9 | 9.1 | 696.1 |
| 1979 |  | 11.7 | 12. 6 | 12.4 | 15.9 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 652.8 |
| 1980 |  | 14.5 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 15. | 14.6 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 748.0 |
| 1981 |  | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 16.8 | 13.9 | 739.9 |
| 1982 |  | 9.9 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 15.5 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 483.6 |
| 1981 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 9.8 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 509.7 |
|  | 11 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 504.4 |
|  | 111 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1380.0 |
|  | IV | 3.0 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 465.3 |
| 1982 | I | 2.3 | 1.2 | - 6 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 219.3 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | 3.4 | - 2 | . 9 | 1.7 | 3.7 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 524.7 |
|  | 111 | . 8 | -. 8 | -1. 4 | . 3 | 1.6 | 3.5 | - 4 | -. 4 | $=4$ | 782.5 |
|  | IV | 1.9 | 1.2 | . 2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 408.1 |
| 1982 | FE8 |  | 1.5 | . 6 | 1.0 | -1.1 | 2.5 | 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 205.7 |
|  | MAR | 1.3 | 1.4 | -. 6 | -. 3 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 7 | . 7 | . 7 | 300.1 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ | 1.0 | 2.5 | . 0 | , 6 | 1.0 | . 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 153.3 |
|  | MAY | -. 5 | -. 6 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | $-2.5$ | -1.5 | -1.5 | -1.5 | 610.2 |
|  | JUN | . 7 | -. 4 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | 810.6 |
|  | JU1 | -. 1 | $-1.1$ | - 1.0 | -. 9 | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | . 3 | 3 | 575.2 |
|  | AlJG | . 5 | . 1 | -. 7 | . 8 | 2 | 3.1 | -1.6 | -1.7 | $-1.7$ | 1290.5 |
|  | SEP | . 7 | 1.9 | - 2 | . 5 | B | . 2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1. 3 | 480.8 |
|  | OCT | -. 1 | -2.5 | -. 7 | 5 | . 7 | . 5 | - . 1 | . 0 | - 1 | 330.8 |
|  | NOY | 9 | 2.1 | 5 | 1.4 | 5 | . 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 629.9 |
|  | DEE | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 263.5 |
| 1983 | JAN | -3.0 | -3.4 | -2.4 | -2.2 | -3.9 | -1.1 | $-2.2$ | 5. 1 | -1.7 |  |
|  | FE6 | -. 2 | 1.4 | -. 3 | . 3 | -1.4 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |  |

SOURCE: ESTIMATES OF LABOUR INCOME, CATALOGUE $92-005$, STATISTICS CANADA
GASED DN THE 1960 STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION.
(1) EXCLUDES MILITARY PAY AND ALLOMANCES
(3) THOUSAMOS OF PERSON-DAYS. NOT SEASONALLY AOJUSTEO.

JUN 3,1983
TABLE 45

AVERAGE MEEKLY HOURS BY IMDUSTRY SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | MANUFACTURINT |  |  |  | CONSTRUCTIDN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MIMIMG | TOTAL | DURAELE | NONDURABIE | T01AL | 6UJLDJMG | ENGTMEERING |
| 1978 |  | 40.6 | 38.8 | 39.6 | 37.9 | 39.0 | 37.3 | 42.1 |
| 1979 |  | 41.1 | 38.8 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 39.4 | 37.8 | 42.6 |
| 1980 |  | 40.7 | 38.5 | 39.2 | 37.8 | 39.0 | 37.6 | 41.9 |
| 1981 |  | 40.4 | 38.6 | 39.3 | 37.7 | 38.9 | 37.6 | 41.9 |
| 1982 |  | 39.7 | 37.7 | 38.4 | 37.0 | 38.1 | 36.7 | 41.1 |
| 1981 | I | 40.7 | 38.7 | 39.4 | 37.9 | 39.4 | 37.9 | 42.1 |
|  | II | 40.5 | 38.8 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 41.5 |
|  | III | 40.4 | 38.6 | 39.4 | 37.5 | 38.9 | 37.7 | 42.1 |
|  | Iv | 40.0 | 38.1 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 41.8 |
| 1982 | 1 | 40.5 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 38.4 | 36.9 | 41.3 |
|  | 11 | 39.9 | 37.7 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 37.6 | 36.0 | 50.8 |
|  | [11 | 39.3 | 37.5 | 38.2 | 36.9 | 38.0 | 35.5 | 40.9 |
|  | I4 | 38.9 | 37.4 | 38.1 | 36.8 | 38.6 | 37.4 | 41.3 |
| 1982 | FEB | 40.4 | 38.2 | 38.9 | 37.5 | 38.4 | 37.0 | 91.3 |
|  | MAR | 40.8 | 37.9 | 38.4 | 37.3 | 38.3 | 36.9 | 41.6 |
|  | APR | 40.2 | 37.9 | 38.7 | 37.2 | 38.7 | 36.8 | 41.5 |
|  | MAY | 39.7 | 37.6 | 38.3 | 36.7 | 36.6 | 35.2 | 40.6 |
|  | JUN | 39.8 | 37.7 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 40.5 |
|  | JUL | 39.5 | 37.6 | 38.6 | 37.0 | 37.9 | 36.5 | 40.5 |
|  | AUG | 39.3 | 37.6 | 38.3 | 36.9 | 38.1 | 35.5 | 41.1 |
|  | SEP | 39.2 | 37.2 | 37.7 | 36.8 | 38.0 | 36.5 | 41.0 |
|  | OCT | 39.0 | 37.4 | 38.2 | 36.6 | 38.5 | 37.8 | 40.5 |
|  | NOY | 38.9 | 37.3 | 37.6 | 37.0 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 40.3 |
|  | DEC | 39.0 | 37.5 | 38.5 | 38.8 | 38.9 | 37.2 | 43.1 |
| 1983 | JAN | 38.1 | 37.8 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 41.4 |
|  | FEB | 37.8 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 37.0 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 41.0 |


|  |  | INDUSTRIAL <br> COMPDSITE | FORESTRY | MINING | MANUFACTURING | CONS - <br> TRUCTION | TRANS PORTATION | NHOLESALE TRADE | RETAIL TRADE | F INANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMINTTY. } \\ & \text { BUSINESS a } \\ & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { SERYICES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 6.1 | 4.3 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 5.1 |
| 1979 |  | 87 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 78 | 9.6 | 7.4 |
| 1980 |  | 101 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 8.9 |
| 1981 |  | 11.9 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 16.6 | 11.5 |
| 1982 |  | 10.0 | 7.9 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 11.0 |
| 1981 |  | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 2.8 |
|  | Id | 3.1 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
|  | 111 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.9 | -. 7 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | . 3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | $\therefore .4$ | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
|  | 111 | 1. 6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
|  | IV | 2.3 | 6.1 | . 6 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| 1982 | FEB | . 8 | 9 | 1.4 | . 9 | . 0 | 1.7 | . 9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
|  | MAR | . 9 | -. 8 | 1.5 | . 6 | . 3 | . 9 | . 0 | -1.2 | -. 9 | 1.0 |
|  | APR | 1.0 | 2.0 | . 6 | 9.1 | 24 | 1.2 | . 7 | . 5 | . 8 | . 4 |
|  | May | - 1 | . 6 | . 2 | . 0 | - 5.9 | . 9 | 6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4 |
|  | JUN | . 5 | -5.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 3.1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 | . 2 | 3 |
|  | dul | . 8 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 5 | . 3 | -. 2 | . 4 | 2 |
|  | AUG | . 5 | 1.9 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.1 | . 8 | 1.7 | . 8 |
|  | SEP | . 3 | - 4 | . 0 | -. 2 | 1.7 | . 3 | - 1 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 2 |
|  | OCT | . 9 | 2.0 | -. 5 | . 8 | 2.4 | 1. 3 | . 5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
|  | NOV | 1.8 | -2.8 | ${ }_{2} .3$ | . 6 | -4. 7 | 1.0 | . 8 | 4 | 2.0 | . 4 |
|  | OEC | 1.6 -.9 | 16.9 -8.8 | 2.0 -2.5 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 2.3 | -.8 | . 6 | -. 1 | . 5 |
| 1983 | JEN | -.9 -.2 | -8.8 -2.8 | -2.5 -1.5 | 4 .4 | -2.7 1.3 | $-1.3$ | -1.1 | . 3 | $-1.3$ | - |

SOURCE: EMPLOYMENT. GARNTMES AND HOURS. CATALDEUE T2-002. STATISTTCS CANAOA.

JUN 3. 1983
TABLE 47


## Prices

48 Consumer Price Indexes, $1981=100$, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 51
49 Consumer Price Indexes, $1981=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to All Items Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 51
50 Consumer Price Indexes. $1981=100$. Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 52
51 Consumer Price Indexes, $1981=100$. Ratio of Selected Components to All Items Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 52
52 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 53
53 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$ Ratio of Selected Components to GNE Index Seasonally Adjusted ..... 53
54 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$. Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 54
55 National Accounts Implicit Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to GNE Index Seasonally Adjusted ..... 54
56 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 55
57 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to Manufacturing Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 55
58 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Percentage Changes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 56
59 Industry Selling Price Indexes, $1971=100$, Ratio of Selected Components to Manufacturing Index, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 56
60 Unit Labour Cost by Industry. Percentage Changes of Seasonally Adjusted Figures ..... 57
61 Export and Import Prices, Percentage Changes in Paasche Indexes, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 57

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { ITEMS } \end{gathered}$ | F000 | HOUSTNG | CLOTHING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANS- } \\ & \text { PORTATION } \end{aligned}$ | HEALTH | RECRESTION 8 EDUCAYION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOBACCO } \\ & \text { \& ALCOHOL } \end{aligned}$ | ENERGY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 15.5 | 7. 6 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 9.4 |
| 1979 |  | 9.2 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 9.8 |
| 1980 |  | 10.2 | 10.9 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 16.0 |
| 1981 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 30.0 |
| 1982 |  | 10.8 | 7.2 | 12.5 | 5.6 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 15.5 | 19.8 |
| 1981 |  | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 4. 4 | 6.5 |
|  | $111$ | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 6.4 |
|  | IV | 2.5 | -. 5 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.3 |
| 1982 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.0 | . 4 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 5.0 |
|  | 11 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
|  | 111 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | . 8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
|  | IV | 1.6 | $-1.0$ | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| 1983 | 1 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.1 | . 1 | .1 | 1.6 | . 5 | 1.3 | 2. 2 |
| 1982 | Mar | 1.4 | 2.2 | . 7 | . 5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2. 6 | 1.2 |
|  | JUN | 1.0 | 2.2 | . 6 | . 4 | . 5 | . 4 | . 6 | 2.0 | . 1 |
|  | JUL | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 | -. 8 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 1 |
|  | AUG | 4 | -. 8 | . 8 | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | SEP | . 5 | -. 8 | 1.2 | . 7 | . 9 | 4 | 1 | 1.6 | 4.5 |
|  | OLT | . 6 | -. 3 | 1.2 | . 1 | $-.3$ | . 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | -1. 3 |
|  | NOV | . 7 | . 3 | . 4 | . 7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 8 |
|  | Df C | 0 | - 4 | 4 | . 0 | -. 1 | . 2 | -. 5 | . 3 | -. 2 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 3 | . 2 | . 1 | -2.3 | -. 8 | . 4 | -. 2 | . 2 | $-1.4$ |
|  | FEB | . 4 | . 6 | 3 | 2.8 | -. 9 | .7 | 1.2 | .5 | -2.1 |
|  | MAR | 1.0 | $-.3$ | 9 | 1.0 | 3.3 | . 6 | . 3 | . 4 | 6.5 |
|  | APR | . 0 | 1.0 | 3 | . 4 | -2. 4 | . 9 | . 3 | 8 | -4. 6 |
|  | MAY | . 3 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 1 | $-1.3$ | 4 | 7 | 2.0 | $-3.4$ |

SOURCE: THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX. CAYALOGUE 82-001, STATISTICS CANADA.



SOURCE: THE CONSUMER PRICE JNDEX. CATALOGUE G2-OD1, STATISTICS CANADA.

RAYID OF SELECTED COMPONENTS TD ALL ITEMS INOEX. NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


SOURCE: YHE CONSUNER PRICE INOEX. CATALOGUE 62-D01, STATISTICS CAMADA.

NATIONAL ACCOUNTS IMPLICIT PRICE 【NDEXES, 1971 = 100
PERCENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASONALLY AOJUSTED FIGURES


SJURCE NETIDNAL TNCOME AMK EXPENDTYURE ACCOUNTS. CAYALOGUE 13-001. STATISTIES CANADA

> NATIONA! ACCOUNTS [MDIICIT PRICE INDEXES IG7: $=100$
> RAT:O OF SEICCTED COMPONENTS TO GNL INDEX, SLASDNAIIY AOJUSIEE


| 1978 |  | 94.0 | 78.2 | 81.4 | 101.3 | 100.3 | 114.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore 379$ |  | 93.1 | 76.7 | 82.0 | 101.5 | 98.6 | 113.4 |
| 1980 |  | 92.8 | 74.8 | 82.2 | 102.2 | 97.7 | 115.3 |
| 2481 |  | 93.6 | 73.6 | 80.2 | 106.2 | 98.2 | 119 1 |
| 1982 |  | 94.2 | 70.9 | 77.3 | 107.8 | 99.6 | 121.4 |
| 1981 | 11 | 93.9 | 73.9 | 81.0 | 105.0 | 98.9 | 119.9 |
|  | 11] | 94.1 | 73.9 | 80.2 | 107.4 | 98.2 | 121.2 |
|  | IV | 93.2 | 73.0 | 78.8 | 106.4 | 97.3 | 118.6 |
| 1992 | 1 | 93.5 | 71.7 | 78.1 | 107.0 | 97.7 | 120.5 |
|  | $1!$ | 94.4 | 71.4 | 77.7 | 108. 3 | 99.5 | 120.8 |
|  | 411 | 94.5 | 70.5 | 76.8 | 108.1 | 100.3 | 121.5 |
|  | IV | 94.4 | 70.0 | 76.7 | 107.9 | 100.7 | 122.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 93.9 | 69.6 | 76.4 | 106.5 | 100.8 | 121.0 |

[^8]
# MATIONAL ACCOUNTS JMPIJCIT PRICE JNDEXES $1971=100$ 

 PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF SEASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES|  |  | BUSINESS FIXED THVESTMENT |  |  |  | ESPORTS |  | Mports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10741 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESIDENTIAL } \\ & \text { CONSIRUC- } \\ & \text { TJON } \end{aligned}$ | MON- RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC- TION | MACHINERY \& EQUPPMENT | TOTAL | MERCHANOISE | TOTAL | MERCHANOISE |
| 1978 |  | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 8. 8 | 13.2 | 13.3 |
| 1979 |  | 8.5 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 19.0 | 21.1 | 13.9 | 14.4 |
| 1980 |  | 9.2 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 15.9 |
| 1981 |  | 11.2 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| 1982 |  | 7.1 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 2.5 | . 5 | 4.3 | 2.0 |
| 1981 | 1 I | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | -. 1 | -. 9 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
|  | 111 | 2.3 | . 9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | . 7 | 6 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
|  | Iv | 2.3 | 9 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -. 2 | - 8 |
| 1982 | I | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | -. 7 | -1. 6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
|  | 11 | 1.5 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | -. 5 | -1.4 | . 1 | -1.3 |
|  | III | . 9 | $-1.5$ | 2.0 | 7 | . 7 | . 2 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
|  | Iv | . 5 | . 0 | . 4 | 9 | 2.5 | 2.7 | $-1.4$ | -2. 4 |
| 1983 | I | . 5 | -. 5 | . 8 | 4 | -2.5 | -3.2 | -1.7 | -2.7 |

SOURCE: NATTOAAL TNCOME AND EXPENOTTURE ACCOURTS, CATALOGUE 13-001, STATISTICS CANADA
JUN 21, 1983
TABLE 55
11:28 AM

> NATJONAL ACCOUNTS MMPITCTT RATIO OF SELECTED COMPONENTS TO GNE INDEX. SEASONALIY ADJUSTED

|  |  | BUSINESS FIXEO JNVESTMENT |  |  |  | CXPORTS |  | IMPORTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1014L | RESJDENTIAL CONSTRUC TION | NON- RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUC- TION | MACHINERY <br> \& EQUIPMENT | TOTAL | MERCHANTEISE | TOIAL | MERCHANDI5E |
| 1978 |  | 110.8 | 120.7 | 98.0 | 93.0 | 108.5 | 109.5 | 101.9 | 102.8 |
| 1979 |  | 112.8 | 121.8 | 98.3 | 97.1 | 110.3 | 111.7 | 108.1 | 109. 1 |
| 1980 |  | 111.6 | 119.0 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 118.9 | 122.5 | 111.7 | 113.2 |
| 1981 |  | 111.7 | 112.6 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 123.9 | 128.8 | 115.9 | 119.2 |
| 1982 |  | 105.2 | 111.5 | 99.2 | 97.2 | 120.0 | 123.4 | 116.2 | 119.1 |
| 1981 | 11 | 111.8 | 110.5 | 98.1 | 96.2 | 123.7 | 129.1 | 116.5 | 120.0 |
| 190 | III | 111.8 | 111.9 | 98.2 | 95.9 | 122.5 | 127.0 | 115.1 | 118.6 |
|  | Iv | 111.5 | 113.1 | 98.5 | 96.9 | 123.8 | 128.3 | 115.4 | 118.2 |
| 1982 | I | 110.1 | 112.1 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 122.9 | 127.4 | 116.6 | 119.6 |
|  | II | 109.6 | 113.5 | 99.0 | 97.5 | 120.4 | 123.7 | 117.9 | 121.5 |
|  | III | 107.9 | 111.7 | 99.8 | 97.6 | 118.4 | 121.4 | 117.2 | 120.0 |
|  | IV | 105.2 | 109.0 | 100.1 | 97.0 | 118.2 | 121.3 | 113.3 | 115.3 |
| 1983 | I | 103.1 | 107.7 | 99.3 | 96.1 | 114.4 | 116.4 | 112.5 | 114.2 |

SOURCE: NATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENDTTURE ACCOUNTS, CATALDGUE 13-00T, STATISTIES CANADA

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { MANUFAC- } \\ & \text { TURING } \end{aligned}$ | FOOD AND BEVERAGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOBACCD } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUEEER ANIO } \\ & \text { PLASTICS } \end{aligned}$ | LEATHER PROOUCTS | TEATILES | KNTYIING | W006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FURMITURE } \\ & \text { a FIXTURES } \end{aligned}$ | PAPER ANO ALIIED IHDUSTRIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.5 | E. 2 | 5.7 | 19.4 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| 1979 |  | 14.5 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 25.0 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 17.3 |
| 1980 |  | 13.5 | 10.7 | 120 | 16. 3 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 8.8 | -6.2 | 12.0 | 15.7 |
| 1981 |  | 10.2 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 6.8 | 11.9 | 8.4 | 3 | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| 1982 |  | 6.0 | 5.4 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 5.5 | -2.8 | 9.2 | 3.6 |
| 1981 | 11 | 2.2 | . 7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
|  | III | 2.1 | 1.7 | . 9 | 2.8 | . 2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | -. 1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
|  | IV | 1.3 | . 1 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 7 | -6.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | . 8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | . 2 | 2.0 | . 3 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
|  | 11 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | . 8 | 8 |
|  | 111 | . 8 | . 8 | 4.1 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.5 | -1.0 |
|  | IV | . 3 | -. 7 | 1.3 | -. 1 | . 0 | -. 1 | - 3 | - 2 | E | -3. 6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 6 | 1.2 | . 2 | -. 1 | .3 | $-.2$ | . 7 | 6.1 | 1.2 | -1.7 |
| 1982 | APA | 1.0 | 2.0 | - 1 | . 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.1 | 4 | -. 6 |
|  | May | 4 | 1.2 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | . 2 | 2 | -. 1 | 0 | E |
|  | JUN | . 3 | . 5 | 3.3 | . 7 | . 4 | . 0 | . 4 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.3 |
|  | dUL | 2 | . 2 | 1.3 | -. 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8 | $-1.6$ |
|  | AUG | 0 | -. 1 | 0 | . 2 | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 | -1. 6 | 2 | -. 5 |
|  | SEP | 7 | -. 2 | 1.7 | -. 2 | .2 | . 3 | - 8 | -. 7 | . 2 | -. 4 |
|  | OCT | - 1 | -. 4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 4 | -. 2 | . 2 | - . 6 | . 3 | $-1.4$ |
|  | MOV | - 3 | -. 4 | 1 | . 0 | -. 9 | -. 1 | 1 | . 5 | . 0 | -2.7 |
|  | DEC | 3 | 4 | 3 | -. 4 | 4 | . 0 | 1 | 3.1 | . 1 | . 2 |
| 1983 | JAM | 9 | 4 | 0 | -. 3 | 4 | -. 1 | 5 | 2.7 | . 9 | -1.0 |
|  | FE8 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 | . 2 | -. 2 | - 2 | .2 | 1.0 | . 3 | . 1 |
|  | MAR | 6 | . 0 | 0 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 1 | 1.3 | . 6 | . 0 |
|  | APR | 3 | . | 4.6 | . 4 | . 5 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.4 | . 1 | . 5 |

SDURCE: INDUSTRY PRICE INDEXES. CATALOGUE 62-011. SIATISTICS CANADA.

JUM 21. 1983
TABLE 57
11:28 AM

INDUSTRY SELLING PRICE INDEXES, 1971: 100
RATIO OF SELECTEJ COMPDNENTS TD MANUFACTURING IMOEX. NOT SEASOMALIY ADJUSTED


INDUSTRY SELLING PRICE IMDEXES. $1971=100$
PERCENTAGE CHANGES MOT SEASONALIY GDUUSTED

|  |  | PRIMARY METALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METAL } \\ & \text { FABRICATION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTDR } \\ & \text { VEHICLES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOIDR } \\ & \text { VEHICLE } \\ & \text { PARTS } \end{aligned}$ | ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS | NON- METALLIC MINERALS | CHEMICALS | NON-OURABLE MANUFACT URING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURABLE } \\ & \text { MANUFACT- } \\ & \text { URING } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 9.0 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| 1979 |  | 24.6 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 8. 0 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| 1980 |  | 19.1 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 10.5 |
| 1981 |  | 1.4 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 7.4 |
| 1982 |  | -. 6 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 10.2 | 6.6 | 12.8 | 7. 1 | 6.7 | 5.1 |
| 1981 |  | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 21 | 2.4 |
|  | 111 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | $2 . ?$ | 1.3 |
|  | IV | 1 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 1982 | I | - 4 | 2.6 | $-1.7$ | 4.4 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 |  |
|  | II | -. 8 | 2.0 | . 3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
|  | III | - 5 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | . 9 | . 9 | 7 |
|  | IV | . 0 | . 3 | 3.0 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | -. 1 | . 1 | -6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.9 | -. 1 | . 0 | . 4 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1.4 | . 0 | 15 |
| 1982 | APR | 1.1 | 1.4 | -. 5 | 7 | 1.5 | . 3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 8 |
|  | Mar | -1.3 | . 3 | 1.5 | . 8 | . 3 | 1.1 | . 4 | 6 | $\therefore$ |
|  | JUN | $-.7$ | . 4 | -. 1 | 1.0 | . 3 | . 6 | . 3 | . 3 | - 4 |
|  | JUL | . 0 | . 1 | . 3 | - 1 | . 6 | . 8 | . 5 | - 1 | 4 |
|  | AUS | -. 5 | . 1 | . 3 | . 5 | 0 | . 2 | . 1 | 1 | - i |
|  | SEP | 2.1 | $\therefore 1$ | - 1.0 | $-.2$ | . 2 | -. 1 | . 0 | 1.1 | - |
|  | OCT | -. 9 | . 4 | 3.6 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 | -. 2 | -. 4 | - |
|  | NDV | - 8 | . 1 | . 0 | - . 2 | . 0 | .4 | . 2 | - 5 | - 0 |
|  | DEC | . 8 | - 4 | . 0 | . 6 | . 1 | . 3 | - 2 | . 2 | 5 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.6 | . 2 | - 1 | -. 1 | . 7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | - 5 | 1.0 |
|  | FEB | . 7 | -. 2 | . 1 | . 1 | - 4 | . 5 | . 0 | . 2 | -3 |
|  | Mar | -1.2 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | -. 1 | 1.1 | - 1 |
|  | APR | 2.1 | . 5 | . J | . 4 | .1 | $-.8$ | . 3 | . 0 | 7 |



BuN : $1, \quad$ : 232

RATIO OF SELECTED COMPDNENTS TO MANUFACTURING IMDEX. NOT SEASONALLY ADJJSTEC

|  |  | PRIMARY METALS | METAL FABRICATION | MOYOR VEHICLES | MDTOR VEHICLE PARTS | EIECTRICAL PRDDUCTS | NON: METALIIL MINERALS | CHEMICALS | NON-OURABII ManUFACTURING | DURABIE MANUFACIURING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 109.1 | 98.9 | 75.5 | 91.9 | 82.5 | 101.1 | 99.5 | 104. 1 | 95-3 |
| 1979 |  | 118.6 | 97.1 | 74.1 | 86.7 | 79.2 | 96.5 | 98.6 | 104.2 | 95-3 |
| 1980 |  | 124.8 | 94.1 | 73.0 | 84.4 | 76.7 | 95.1 | 101.8 | 106. 3 | 92.8 |
| 1981 |  | 114.8 | 94.0 | 74.4 | 84.0 | 74.8 | 99.4 | 105.2 | 108. 4 | 90.4 |
| 1982 |  | 107.6 | 96.2 | 73.2 | 87.4 | 75.2 | 105.7 | 106. 3 | 109.0 | 89.6 |
| 1981 | [1] | 1160 | 94.0 | 74.3 | 83.9 | 74.8 | 99.7 | 104. 9 | 108.0 | 90. 4 |
|  | III | 114.0 | 93.2 | 73.2 | 84.3 | 74.7 | 99.3 | 105.5 | 108.6 | 90.1 |
|  | Iv | 112.6 | 95.1 | 76.0 | 84. 5 | 75.0 | 99.5 | 105. 4 | $108 . ?$ | 90.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 110.6 | 96.3 | 73.6 | 86.9 | 75.0 | 105.0 | 106.8 | 108.6 | 9 C .1 |
|  | II | 107.6 | 96.4 | 72.5 | 87.3 | 75.1 | 105.3 | 106.2 | 109.2 | 89. |
|  | III | 106.3 | 96.1 | 12.4 | 87.6 | 75.3 | 106.2 | 106. 3 | 109.3 | 89.3 |
|  | IV | 106.0 | 96.1 | 74.3 | 87.6 | 75.3 | 105.4 | 105.9 | 109.1 | 89.6 |
| 1983 | I | 107.4 | 95.4 | 73.9 | 87.3 | 75.6 | 109.0 | 106.8 | 108.4 | 90.4 |
| 1982 | APR | 109.2 | 96.4 | 72.0 | 86.8 | 75.1 | 104.7 | 106.2 | 109.0 | 89.5 |
|  | MAY | 107.4 | 96.3 | 72.9 | 87.2 | 75.0 | 105.4 | 106.2 | 109.2 | 84.4 |
|  | JUN | 106.3 | 96.4 | 72.6 | 87.8 | 75.0 | $105 . ?$ | 106. ? | 109.3 | 89.4 |
|  | dUl | 106.1 | 96.3 | 72.6 | 87.6 | 75.4 | 106.3 | 106. 4 | 1091 | 84.6 |
|  | AUG | 105.6 | 96.4 | 72.9 | 88.0 | 75.4 | 106.5 | 106.6 | 109. 2 | 84.8 |
|  | SEP | 107.0 | 95.6 | 71.6 | 87.2 | 75.0 | $105 . ?$ | 105.8 | 109.5 | 85. |
|  | OCT | 106. 2 | 96.1 | 74.3 | 87.4 | 75.2 | 105.0 | 105.8 | 109.2 | 89. |
|  | HOY | 105.6 | 95.4 | 74.5 | 87.5 | 75.4 | 105. 7 | 106.2 | 109.0 | 89.4 |
|  | OEC | 106.1 | 95.8 | 74.2 | 87.8 | 75.3 | 105.6 | 105. $?$ | 108.9 | 89. |
| 1983 | JAN | 107.7 | 95.8 | 74.1 | 87.6 | 75.7 | 109.0 | 107. 2 | 108.2 | 90.3 |
|  | FEB | 108.2 | 95.4 | 74.0 | 87.5 | 75.8 | 109. 3 | 106.9 | 108.2 | $90 \cdot 8$ |
|  | MAR | 106.2 | 94.9 | 73.5 | 87.0 | 75.3 | 108.6 | 106.1 | 108.7 | 90.3 |
|  | APR | 108.1 | 95.2 | 73. | 87.1 | 75.2 | 107.4 | 106. 1 | 108.4 | 90.5 |



[^9]|  |  | AGRICULTURE | PORESTRY | MIN!NG | MANUF AC TURING | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONSTRUC- } \\ \text { TION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPQR- } \\ & \text { TATJON. } \\ & \text { COMMUNICA- } \\ & \text { TION AND } \\ & \text { UTIIITIES } \end{aligned}$ | TRAOE | ```FINANCE INSURANCE. REAL ESTATE``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMMUNITY. } \\ \text { BUSINESS } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { PERSONAL } \\ \text { SERVICES } \end{gathered}$ | ```PUBLIC ADMINISTRA IION ANO DEFENSE``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 16.5 | 3.9 | 16.7 | 4.5 | -. 9 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 7.2 |
| 1979 |  | 25.4 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 | B. 6 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| 1980 |  | . 2 | 6.8 | 21.9 | 13.3 | 7.4 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 13.0 | 12.3 |
| 1981 |  | -3.4 | 6.8 | 24.4 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 13.0 |
| 1982 |  | 4.1 | 11.6 | 17.0 | 13.9 | . 7 | 14. 1 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 12.1 |
| 1981 | 1 | -15.3 | $-3$ | 5.9 | 2.0 | -. 5 | 1.5 | 20 | 2.0 | 8 | 2.1 |
|  | 11 | 2.9 | 11.2 | 6. 3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
|  | 111 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 2. 6 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
|  | IV | 5.4 | -4.8 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.2 | . 9 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| 1982 | 1 | -10.2 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 | -. 6 | 2.7 | 2. 6 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
|  | 11 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 6. 5 | 1.9 | -8. 1 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
|  | 111 | 3.1 | 9.1 | 6.0 | . 5 | $-2.7$ | . 7 | 1.3 | . 0 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
|  | Iv | 3.1 | -16.4 | $-9.7$ | 2.8 | 8.6 | 3.2 | -. 6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | FEB | 7.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | -. 3 | 1.7 | . 3 | 1.3 | -1.1 | 2.3 |
|  | MAR | . 7 | 9.3 | 5.1 | . 4 | . 2 | 2.0 | 1.3 | -. 1 | 1.0 | 4.2 |
|  | APR | 4.3 | 7.9 | . 8 | 1.4 | -4.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | . 9 | . 8 |
|  | MAY | -1.4 | 2.3 | -1 | $-2.2$ | -5.6 | . 3 | -1.1 | . 1 | . 1 | $-2.7$ |
|  | JUN | 4.9 | -4.7 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | . 5 | 2.2 | . 5 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
|  | JUL | . 0 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 4.4 | -1.0 | . 5 | 1.1 | -. 9 | . 4 | 1.1 |
|  | AUG | -. 8 | 20.7 | -8.8 | -9.8 | -6.6 | -1.3 | - 1.0 | . 2 | 3 | 3.1 |
|  | SEP | 2.7 | -16.4 | -. 5 | 4.8 | 12.6 | 1.9 | -. 6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | -. 2 |
|  | OCT | $-1.6$ | -2.1 | -2. 3 | 1.9 | 7.6 | . 1 | - 1.0 | $-.7$ | 1.1 | . 5 |
|  | NOV | 2.7 | $-13.3$ | -6. 4 | . 4 | -3.5 | 1. 4 | . 2 | . 8 | . 8 | 1.0 |
|  | DEC | 4.2 | -1.5 | . 0 | 3.1 | -6.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 1. 6 | . 9 |
| 1983 | JAN | -9.0 2.2 | -7.9 120 | -1.5 | -6.9 | -.8 -5 | -4.0 | $-3.5$ | $-2.3$ | -3.1 | -. 8 |
|  | FEB | 2.2 | 12.0 | 2.7 | -. 2 | -. 5 | 1.6 | -. 4 | 1.7 | -. 7 | . 8 |

SOURCE: INDEXES OF REAL DOMESTIC PROOUCT EY INDUSTAY. CATALOGUE 61-OO5, ESTIMATES OF LAEGUR JNCOME. CATALOGLE T2-OUS STATLSTIC5 CANADA

JUN 21. 1983
TABLE 61
11:28 AM
EXPORT AND IMPORT PRICES
PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN PAASCHE INDEXES (1) NOT SEASDNALEY ADJUSTED

|  |  | EXPORTS |  |  |  |  | IMPORTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | FODD FEED. BEVERAGES AND TOBACCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERJALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD GEED. } \\ & \text { BEVERAGES } \\ & \text { AND TOBACCD } \end{aligned}$ | CRUOE <br> MATERIALS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { END }}{\text { PROOUCTS }}$ |
| 1978 |  | 8.8 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 16.1 | 14.0 |
| 1979 |  | 20.9 | 22.1 | 26.9 | 23.6 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 10.8 |
| 1980 |  | 17.2 | 15.2 | 34.1 | 14.7 | 11.0 | 16.7 | 10.5 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 12.0 |
| 1981 |  | 6.5 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 20.9 | 4.1 | 14.3 |
| 1982 |  | . 5 | -5.1 | 6.1. | $-1.6$ | 9.1 | 1.8 | -3.5 | -15.2 | 3.5 | 7.0 |
| 1981 |  | -4. 1 | 9.9 | -12.0 | -1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | -3.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 1.3 |
|  | JII | 2.3 | -6. 1 | -1.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | -2.6 | 11.1 | -1.3 | 2.0 |
|  | IV | 1.1 | -1.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 4.2 | -2.2 | -8.2 | -15.4 | -2.0 | 1.4 |
| 1982 | 1 | 1.8 | -6. 1 | 15.3 | $-1.8$ | 1.2 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 8. 2 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
|  | 11 | -4.9 | 9.5 | -9.0 | -3.1 | $-.7$ | -2.2 | -1.0 | -21.2 | -1.3 | 1.7 |
|  | ]1] | 2.9 | $-2.7$ | -3.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | $-2.6$ | 4.8 | 4.4 | 1.5 |
|  | IV | . 3 | -3.7 | 6.6 | -2.6 | 2.4 | $-3.6$ | -6. 7 | -11.9 | -2. 3 | -1.9 |
| 1983 | I | . 4 | -. 9 | 12.6 | - 8 | $-5$ | -1.0 | 5.9 | $-19.7$ | 1. 6 | . 7 |
| 1982 | APR | -2.0 | 4. 6 | 2.7 | -2.0 | -1. 7 | -2.0 | 1.1 | -15.6 | 1.5 | - 5 |
|  | MAY | . 1 | 2.5 | -8.8 | $\because .7$ | 1.7 | . 0 | -2.9 | -4.2 | -5. 1 | 1.5 |
|  | JUH | . 3 | 1.3 | 13.6 | 1.8 | -. 7 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
|  | JUL | 4.1 | -1.3 | $-11.7$ | 1.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | . 8 | 14.5 | 4.4 | -. 9 |
|  | AUG | -. 3 | -4.4 | 11.5 | -1.0 | -2.4 | -2. 1 | -4.0 | -6.2 | -3. 1 | . 0 |
|  | SEP | -3.3 | -. 5 | -10.3 | 2.9 | -. 8 | $-2.4$ | -4.2 | -22.2 | 5.5 | -. 9 |
|  | OCT | 2.3 | $-1.7$ | 8.8 | $-3.4$ | 3.0 | $-2.7$ | -3.0 | -5.2 | -4.5 | -1.2 |
|  | NOY | -1 1 4 | -1.5 | 4.7 -4.0 | -1.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | . 5 | 21.4 | 3.1 | $-1.4$ |
|  | DEC | 1.4 | 2.4 -3.4 | $-4.0$ | 1. 1 | . 0 | $-7$ | . 2 | -2.6 | -6. 6 | 2.9 |
| 1983 | JAN FEB | 2.1 -1.8 | $-3.4$ | 19.4 | 1.1 -2.8 | -6 -1.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 11.3 | . 2 |
|  | FEB | -1.8 | 1.3 | 5.5 | -2.8 | -1.2 | -7. ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | . 5 | - 39.0 | -8.5 | . 3 |
|  | MAR APR | -4.1 2.0 | 8.7 | -23.5 | -. 8 | 1.5 | . 2 | 5.5 | 18.5 | 1.0 | -2.9 |
|  | $\triangle P R$ | 2.0 | 2.8 | 9.3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 2 | -4. 1 | -3.8 | $-.3$ | 1.3 |
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# EXTERNAL TRADE 

MERCHANDISE EXPORIS BY COMMODITY GROUPINGS
MILLIONS OF OOLLARS. MOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO

|  |  |  | OOMESTIC EXPORTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INDEX DF PHYSICAL VOLUME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { EXPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD ANO } \\ & \text { LJVE } \\ & \text { ANIMALS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUOE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | CRUDE PETROLEUM S NATURAL GAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { IMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | END PRODUCTS INEDIBLE. TOTAL | MACHIAERY \& EQUIPMENT FOR INVESTMENT | MOTOR VEHICLES ANO PARTS |
| 1978 | 144.8 | 53182.7 | 5301.6 | 8830.8 | 3763.1 | 19155.0 | 18855.0 | 2707.1 | 12540.4 |
| 1979 | 1475 | 65641.2 | 6314.0 | 12537.8 | 5293.8 | 24375.7 | 20923.8 | 3572.4 | 11899.7 |
| 1980 | 145.7 | 76158.7 | 8263. 3 | 14759.4 | 5883.0 | 29345.0 | 21850.5 | 4082 1 | 109239 |
| 1981 | 149.6 | 83811.5 | 9441.5 | 15210.8 | 6874.9 | 30540.3 | 25473.2 | 4997.8 | 13184.4 |
| 1982 | 149.9 | 84534.5 | 10225.3 | 14777.5 | 7483.1 | 27886.2 | 28675.9 | 4534.5 | 16507.2 |
| 1981 ! 1 | 163.9 | 22415.0 | 2505. 1 | 3757.9 | 1575.2 | 8333.8 | 6969.1 | 1307.6 | 36954 |
| 111 | 139.6 | 19545.8 | 2354.1 | 3587.9 | 1493.4 | 6340.7 | 5895.3 | 1234.3 | 3000.5 |
| IV | 153.9 | 21768.1 | 2738.6 | 3901.9 | 1759.2 | 7317.4 | 7058.0 | 1322.9 | 3749.8 |
| 1982 I | 142.4 | 20431.0 | 1858.5 | 3947.9 | 2152.8 | 7200.2 | 6757.0 | 1236.8 | 3663.9 |
| 11 | 165.1 | 22649.5 | 2874.8 | 3688.2 | 1685.5 | 7045.1 | 8264.0 | 1199.4 | 5107.4 |
| 111 | 147.4 | 20890.3 | 2757 ? | 3565.0 | 1720.8 | 6891.5 | 6873.2 | 1054.1 | 4013.7 |
| IV | 144.9 | 20563.8 | 2734.3 | 3576.4 | 1924.0 | 6749.4 | 6781.7 | 1044.2 | 3722.2 |
| 19831 | 145.8 | 20659.2 | 2023. 1 | 3728.2 | 22514 | 68958 | 7351.6 | 982.2 | 4605.6 |
| 1982 APR | 156.7 | 7185.4 | 759.3 | 1227.8 | 519.8 | 2300.1 | 2 E 18.0 | 387.0 | 1581.7 |
| MAY | 164.8 | 7511.8 | 964.2 | 1243.4 | 530.1 | 2370.5 | 2692.9 | 407.5 | 1630.7 |
| JUN | 173.7 | 7951.3 | 1151.3 | 1217.0 | 535.6 | 2374.5 | 2953.1 | 404.9 | 1895.0 |
| JUL | 142.3 | 6836.7 | 958.9 | 1139.4 | 526.0 | 2319.7 | 2138.0 | 381.2 | 1134.0 |
| AUG | 135.2 | 64.86 .4 | 833.6 | 1162.1 | 517.6 | 2229.2 | 2036. 1 | 300.4 | 1213.7 |
| SEP | 163.6 | 7567.2 | 955.2 | 1263.5 | 577.2 | 2342.6 | 2693.1 | 372.5 | 1665.0 |
| OCT | 142.2 | 6673.9 | 912.0 | 1136.0 | 579.6 | 2202.2 | 2209.6 | 339.3 | 1249.0 |
| NDV | 147.7 | 6991.8 | 1003.7 | 1130.4 | 539.5 | 2310.8 | 2265.1 | 356.1 | 1253.5 |
| OEC | 144.9 | 6898.1 | 818.6 | 1310.0 | 704.9 | 2236.4 | 2307.0 | 34.8 .8 | 1219.6 |
| 1983 JAN | 132.1 | 6411.1 | $608 . ?$ | 1249.4 | 798.8 | 2199.0 | 2149.5 | 338. 7 | 1271.4 |
| FE8 | 142.8 | 6817.9 | 643.0 | 1318.9 | 842.3 | 2199.4 | 2428.7 | 285.0 | 1599.8 |
| MAR | 162.5 | 7430.4 | 7714 | 1159.9 | 650.3 | 2437.4 | 2773.4 | 358.5 | 17344 |
| APR |  | 73714 | 788.0 | 1253.8 | 652.1 | 2409.0 | 2901.0 | 360.0 | 1735.1 |

SOUREE TKADE OF CANADA. EXPGRTS. CATALDGUE 65-004. STATISTICS CANADA.
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EXTERNAL TRADE
MERCHANDISE EXPORTS BY COMMOITY GROUPINGS YEAR OYER YEAR PERCENTAGE CHANGES

|  |  | I NDEX DF PHYSICAL VILUME | TDTAL EXPORTS | DOMESTIC EXPORTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD AND } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ |  | CAUDE MATERIALS INEDIBLE | CRUDE PETROLEUM \& NATURAL GAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAGRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | END PROOUCIS INEDIBLE TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACRINERY } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { FDR } \\ & \text { IMVESTMENT } \end{aligned}$ | MOTOR VEHICLES AND PARTS |
| 1978 |  |  | 9.9 | 19.4 | 15. 1 | -. 2 | $-.4$ | 28.3 | 23.8 | 27.2 | 20.3 |
| 1979 |  | 1.8 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 42.0 | 40.7 | 27.3 | 11.0 | 32.0 | -5. 1 |
| 1980 |  | -1.2 | 15.0 | 30.9 | 17.7 | 30.0 | 20.4 | 4.4 | 14.3 | -8. 2 |
| 1981 |  | 2.7 | 10.0 | 14.3 | 3.1 | -. 1 | 4.1 | 16.6 | 22.4 | 20.7 |
| 1982 |  | . 2 | 9 | 8.3 | -2.8 | 8.8 | -8.7 | 12.6 | -9.3 | 25.2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 11.2 | 18. 1 | 25.5 | -3.1 | $-10.7$ | $15 . ?$ | 28.4 | 15.6 | 45.9 |
|  | 111 | 2.9 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | -. 3 | 27.4 | 37.9 | 39.0 |
|  | IV | -1.1 | 5.3 | 12.9 | 8.7 | 6. 5 | -4. 6 | 9.9 | 30.6 | 4.5 |
| 1982 | 1 | 9 | 1.7 | 9 | - 4 | 5.2 | -9.4 | 21.7 | 9.2 | 33.8 |
|  | 11 | 7 | 1.0 | 14.7 | -1.9 | 6.9 | -15.5 | 18.5 | -8.3 | 38.2 |
|  | 111 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 17.1 | -. 6 | 15.2 | -. 7 | 16.6 | -14.5 | 33.8 |
|  | IV | -5.8 | -5. 5 | -. 2 | -8.3 | 9.4 | -7. 8 | -3.9 | -21.1 | -. 7 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 8.9 | -5.6 | 6.4 | -4.2 | 8.8 | -20.6 | 25.7 |
| 1982 | APR | 2.3 | 2.2 | 28.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | $-15.5$ | 17.1 | -11.7 | 35.3 |
|  | MAY | 2.4 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 7.7 | - 10.0 | 16.6 | -3.4 | 34.2 |
|  | JUN | -2.3 | $-1.4$ | 10.3 | -8.9 | 11.3 | -20.3 | 21.8 | -9.5 | 44.5 |
|  | ЈUL | -1.5 | 1.5 | 39.4 | -1.6 | 8.6 | -8.6 | 4.2 | -15.3 | 13.1 |
|  | AUG | 7.1 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 23.7 | 5.2 | 19.1 | -16.6 | 43.6 |
|  | SEP | 11.2 | 10.9 | 11.8 | -2.0 | 13.2 | 2.5 | 25.5 | -12. 1 | 44.5 |
|  | OCT | -8.9 | -7.9 | -2.6 | -8.5 | 8.9 | -10.4 | -6. 4 | -25.6 | 1.9 |
|  | NDV | -8.3 | -8.8 | 2 | -18.2 | 3.0 | -9.2 | -7.9 | -16.2 | -11.6 |
|  | DE 5 | . 3 | 6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 16.4 | -3.3 | 3.1 | -21.1 | 10.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 9.4 | E. 6 | 13.2 | -. 8 | 10.7 | -1.3 | 19.9 | -12.0 | 50.4 |
|  | FEB | . 2 | . 6 | 7.3 | -. 8 | 10.2 | -5. 1 | 5.3 | -29.3 | 22.2 |
|  | MAR | -1.0 | -2.9 | 7.0 | $-14.6$ | -2.5 | -5.9 | 4.3 | -20.1 | 14.9 |
|  | APR |  | 2.6 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.2 | -7.0 | 9.7 |

## EXPERNAL IRADE

MERCHAMDISE IMPORTS EY COMMODITY GRDUPINGS
MILLIONS OF OOLLARS NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INDEX OF } \\ & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YOTAL } \\ & \text { IMPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD AND } \\ \text { IIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { MAIERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ¿RUDE } \\ \text { PETROLEUM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATED } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIGLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \\ & \text { JMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHINERY } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { FOR } \\ & \text { INVESTMENY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTOR } \\ & \text { YEH]CIES } \\ & \text { AND PARIS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 158.0 | 50107.9 | 3781.7 | 5882.1 | 3457.0 | 8748.2 | 31303.5 | 7308.9 | 13385.9 |
| 1979 |  | 175.5 | 62870.6 | 4236.2 | 7970.0 | 4497.1 | 12023.8 | 38073.3 | 9770.5 | 15160.7 |
| 1980 |  | 165.8 | 69273.9 | 4802.8 | 11344.6 | 6919.3 | 12708. 3 | 39656.1 | 11082.7 | 13609.2 |
| 1981 |  | 170.9 | 79481.8 | 5234.4 | 12307.5 | 8004.2 | 14547.7 | 45464.0 | 12451.7 | 16202.2 |
| 1982 |  | 143.3 | 67926.3 | 4946. 1 | 8707.2 | 4984.7 | 11796.9 | 41462.9 | 9923.9 | 15169.8 |
| 1981 | 11 | 188.4 | 21839.9 | 1356.7 | 3293.8 | 21658 | 4086.6 | 12876.8 | 3351.0 | 4973.9 |
|  | 111 | 161.5 | 19219.2 | 1310.2 | 3119.6 | 21038 | 3572.2 | 10976.6 | 3027.1 | 3683.8 |
|  | IV | 167.4 | 19493.9 | 1360.4 | 2908.5 | 1749.9 | 3572.3 | 11397.2 | 3008.3 | 3812.0 |
| 1982 | I | 147.3 | 17614.9 | 1145.9 | 2366.4 | 1647.4 | 3185.5 | 10686.5 | 2820.8 | 3550.0 |
|  | II | 156.0 | 18242.1 | 1286.2 | 2090.0 | 1055.7 | 2961.6 | 11657.5 | 2703.6 | 4879.9 |
|  | 111 | 135.4 | 16502.7 | 1242.7 | 2257.2 | 1253.7 | 2880.4 | 9885.6 | 2257.0 | 3646. 0 |
|  | IV | 1334 | 15566.5 | 1271.3 | 1993.5 | 1027 9 | 2769.4 | 9233.3 | 2142.5 | 3093.9 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1454 | 15899.6 | 1091.1 | 1727.4 | 353. 1 | 3223.4 | 10621.8 | 2177.9 | 4200.4 |
| 1982 | APR | 160.9 | 6184.9 | 402.3 | 64B. 0 | 348.9 | 1067.9 | 3980.1 | 943.7 | 1629.8 |
|  | MAY | 154.8 | 5952.1 | 418.2 | 658.0 | 324.2 | 978.0 | 3814.5 | 883.2 | 1625.7 |
|  | JUN | 152.2 | 6105.1 | 465.7 | 7840 | 382.6 | 915.7 | 3862.9 | 876.7 | 1524.4 |
|  | JUL | 135.4 | 5581.5 | 420.3 | 819.9 | 477.3 | 992.6 | 3275.4 | 758.5 | 1171. |
|  | AUG | 133.9 | 5407.7 | 426.9 | 752.4 | 428.4 | 892.9 | 3258.6 | 749.3 | 1159.6 |
|  | SEP | 139.9 | 5513.5 | 395.5 | 684 9 | 348.0 | 994.9 | 3350.6 | 749.2 | 1315.3 |
|  | 0 Cl | 134.4 | 5153.9 | 444.6 | 613.7 | 262.5 | 897.5 | 3109.1 | 747.5 | 1052.0 |
|  | NOV | 141.3 | 5552.4 | 427.5 | 762.6 | 413.0 | 1054.1 | 3197.7 | 751.9 | 1018.1 |
|  | DEC | 124.5 | 4860.3 | 399.2 | 617.3 | 352.4 | 817.8 | 2925.5 | 643.1 | 10238 |
| 1983 | JAN | 130.7 | 5303.9 | 357.9 | 697.2 | 463.5 | 1055.9 | 3113.0 | 719.9 | 1105.6 |
|  | FEB | 144.9 | 5453.4 | 343.7 | 458.3 | 198.2 | 973.0 | 3606.9 | 640.4 | 16049 |
|  | MAR | 163.9 | 6142.3 | 389.5 | 571.9 | 301.4 | 1194.5 | 3901.9 | 817.6 | 1489.9 |
|  | APR |  | 6199.0 | 400.0 | 510.0 | 221.2 | 1164.1 | 4040.0 | 800.7 | 17130 |

SOURCE: TGEDE OF CANADA, IMPORTS, CATALOGUE 65-007. STATISTICS CANADA

|  |  | TMOEX OF PHYSICAL VOLUME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { IMPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD ANO } \\ \text { LIVE } \\ \text { ANIMALS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRDOE } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { JMEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUDE } \\ & \text { PETROL EUM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FABRICATEG } \\ & \text { MATERIALS } \\ & \text { INEDIBLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { PRODUCTS } \\ & \text { INEDIELE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACHINERY \& } \\ & \text { EQUIPMENT } \\ & \text { FDR } \\ & \text { INYESTMENT } \end{aligned}$ | MOTOR VEHICLES AMD PARIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 3.2 | 18.3 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 25. 1 | 18.9 | 19.8 | 15.6 |
| 1979 |  | 11.1 | 25.5 | 12.0 | 35.5 | 30.1 | 37.4 | 21.6 | 33.7 | 13.3 |
| 1980 |  | -5.5 | 10.2 | 13.4 | 42.3 | 53.9 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 13.4 | -10.2 |
| 1981 |  | 3.1 | 14.7 | 9. 0 | 8.5 | 15.7 | 14.5 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 19.1 |
| 1982 |  | -16.2 | -14.5 | -5.5 | -29.3 | -37.7 | -18.9 | -10.8 | -20.3 | -6. 4 |
| 1981 | 11 | 7.8 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 20.7 | 34.1 | 19.4 | 23.2 | 13.5 | 32.0 |
|  | [1] | 8.9 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 32.2 | 24.4 | 17.5 | 44.2 |
|  | IV | -2.8 | 5.1 | -9.0 | -1. 1 | 3.4 | 13.5 | 6.1 | 6.9 | -3.2 |
| 1982 | I | - 11.4 | -6. 9 | -5. 1 | $-20.7$ | -17.0 | -4.0 | -4.7 | -8.0 | -4.9 |
|  | II | -17.2 | -16.5 | -5.2 | -36.5 | -51.3 | -27.5 | -9.5 | -19.3 | $-1.9$ |
|  | 111 | -15.5 | -14.1 | -5.2 | -27.6 | -40.4 | -19. A | -9.9 | -25.4 | -1.0 |
|  | IV | -20.3 | -20.1 | -6.5 | -39.5 | -41.3 | -22.5 | -19.0 | -28.8 | -18.8 |
| 1983 | 1 | -. 5 | -4. 1 | -4.8 | -27.0 | -41.5 | 1.2 | -. 6 | -22.8 | 18.3 |
| 1982 | APR | -14.3 | $-13.7$ | -8.8 | -41. 4 | -49.3 | -20.3 | $-5.5$ | $-13.5$ | 4.7 |
|  | MAY | -14.1 | -16.0 | $-1.9$ | -41.6 | -56.8 | -28.1 | -7.0 | -18. 1 | 1.9 |
|  | JUK | -22.8 | -19.5 | -4.8 | -25.2 | -47. 4 | -34.0 | $-15.3$ | -25.9 | -10.8 |
|  | JUL | -21.6 | -17.1 | -13.8 | -20.9 | -27. 1 | $-16.6$ | -16.5 | -30.3 | -13.0 |
|  | AUG | -4.3 | -6.8 | 9.7 | -33.2 | -49. 6 | -17.4 | 3.9 | -14.3 | 14.9 |
|  | SEP | $-18.6$ | $-17.5$ | -8.7 | -28.4 | -41.9 | -23.6 | $-14.4$ | -29.6 | -. 9 |
|  | OCT | -24. 4 | -25.0 | -8.9 | -38.3 | -55.9 | - 30.0 | -22.3 | -32.4 | $-21.3$ |
|  | NOV | -18.9 | -15.3 | $-5.5$ | -2.7 | -. 8 | -13.6 | -20.5 | -25.7 | -25.2 |
|  | DEE | $-17.1$ | - 19.9 | -5.0 | -45.4 | -52.3 | -23.6 | $-13.3$ | -27.8 | -8.0 |
| 1983 | JAN | 4. 1 | 6.3 | 7.1 | -1.7 | -2. 4 | 7.7 | 7.4 | $-13.2$ | 33.2 |
|  | FEB | . 4 | -7.2 | -3.8. | -45.8 | -57.9 | -5. 6 | 1.2 | -28.4 | 28.2 |
|  | MAR | -4.8 | -9.0 | $-14.3$ | -29.5 | -45. ? | 1.8 | $-7.6$ | $-25.5$ | 1.5 |
|  | APR |  | . 2 | -. 6 | $-21.3$ | $-36.6$ | 9.0 | 1.5 | -15.2 | 5.1 |

CURRENT ACCOUNT GALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
RECEIPTS
MILIIONS OF OOLLARS, SEASONALLY AOJUSTEO

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAN- } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { EXPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVIEE RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFER RECEIPTS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MITHHOLD- } \\ & \text { ING } \\ & \text { TAX } \end{aligned}$ | TDTAL CURRENT RECEIPTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IRAVEl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IHTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { OIVIDENDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER SERVICE RECEIPTS | TOTAL | INHER! - <br> Tances and MIGRANTS' FUNDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { INSTITU- } \\ & \text { IIONAL } \\ & \text { REMITTANCES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1978 |  | 53362 | 2378 | 1208 | 2714 | 3645 | 9945 | 616 | 394 | 582 | 64899 |
| 1979 |  | 55582 | 2887 | 1271 | 3463 | 4329 | 11950 | 799 | 450 | 754 | 79535 |
| 1980 |  | 77086 | 3349 | 1577 | 3960 | 5419 | 14305 | 1161 | 519 | 995 | 94086 |
| 1941 |  | 84480 | 3760 | 1829 | 4293 | 6266 | 16148 | 1404 | 545 | 1110 | 103687 |
| 1982 |  | 84577 | 3724 | 1587 | 3924 | 7626 | 16861 | 1391 | 510 | 1178 | 104617 |
| 1981 | II | 21660 | 941 | 331 | 1076 | 1512 | 3860 | 340 | 131 | 246 | 26237 |
|  | 111 | 20942 | 945 | 470 | 1081 | 1654 | 4150 | 342 | 149 | 334 | 25917 |
|  | IV | 21390 | 939 | 522 | 1082 | 1698 | 4241 | 379 | 141 | 291 | 26442 |
| 198. | 1 | 20555 | 941 | 423 | 978 | 1824 | 4166 | 394 | 150 | 287 | 25552 |
|  | I! | 21571 | 924 | 372 | 1011 | 1945 | 4252 | 384 | 150 | 300 | 26657 |
|  | : 11 | 22182 | 919 | 350 | 983 | 1930 | 4182 | 287 | 155 | 298 | 27104 |
|  | ! | 20269 | 940 | 442 | 952 | 1927 | 4261 | 326 | 155 | 293 | 25304 |
| 198. | 1 | 20779 | 952 | 375 | 955 | 1748 | 4030 | 330 | 158 | 212 | 25509 |

JUh 14. 1983
14B1.E ह?

CURRENT ACCOUNT GALANCE DF IMYERNATIDNAL PAYMENTS
RECELPTS
PEREENTAGE CHANGES OF SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERCHAR- } \\ & \text { DISE } \\ & \text { EXPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVIEE RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFER | RECETPTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | trayel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMTEREST } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { DIVIDENOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FREIGHT } \\ \text { ANO } \\ \text { SHIPPING } \end{gathered}$ | OTHER SERVICE RECEJPTS | TOTAL | [NHET]. <br> TANCES AND MIGRANTS. FUNDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERSONAL } \\ & \text { INSTITU- } \\ & \text { TIONAL } \\ & \text { REMITTANCES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MI THHOL D- } \\ & \text { ING } \\ & \text { TAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL CURRENT RECEIPTS |
| 1978 |  | 19.9 | 17.4 | 38.2 | 14.5 | 19.8 | 19.6 | -10.7 | 19.0 | 9.0 | 19.4 |
| 1979 |  | 22.9 | 21.4 | 5.2 | 27.6 | 18.8 | 20.2 | 29.7 | 14.2 | 29.6 | 22.6 |
| 1980 |  | 19.5 | 16.0 | 24. 1 | 14.4 | 25.2 | 19.7 | 45.3 | 15.3 | 32.0 | 18.3 |
| 198. |  | 9.6 | 12.3 | 16.0 | 8.4 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 20.9 | 5.0 | 11.6 | 10.2 |
| 1922 |  | . 1 | $-1.0$ | -13.2 | -8. 6 | 21.7 | 4.4 | -. 9 | 11.9 | 6.1 | . 9 |
| ¡98. | 11 | 5.7 | . 6 | $-34.6$ | 2.1 | 7.18 | 0.9 | -. 9 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
|  | 111 | $-3.3$ | 4 | 42.0 | . 5 | 9.4 | 7.5 | . 6 | 13.9 | 35.8 | - 1.2 |
|  | IV | 2.1 | -. 6 | 11.1 | . 1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 10.8 | -5.4 | - 12.9 | 2.0 |
| 1982 | 1 | -3.9 | . 2 | -19.0 | -9. 6 | 7.4 | - 1.8 | 4.0 | 6.4 | -1.4 | -3.4 |
|  | 11 | 4.9 | -1.8 | -12.1 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 2.1 | -2.5 | . 0 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
|  | III | 2.8 | $-.5$ | -5.9 | -2.8 | -. 8 | -1.6 | -25.3 | 3.3 | -. 7 | 1.7 |
|  | IV | -8.6 | 2.3 | 26.3 | -3.2 | -. 2 | 1.9 | 13.5 | . 0 | -1.7 | -6.6 |
| 1983 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.3 | -15.2 | . 3 | -9.3 | -5.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | -27.6 | . 8 |



CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS
PAYMENTS
MILIIONS OF DOLLARS SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


CURRENT ACCDUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS

- PAYMENTS

PERCENTAGE CHANGES DF \$EASONALLY ADJUSTED FIGURES

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MERCHAN- } \\ \text { DISE } \\ \text { IMPORTS } \end{gathered}$ | SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |  |  |  | TRANSFEA | PAYMENIS | 矿 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TRAVEL | INTEREST ANO DIVIDENDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREIGHT } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SHIPPING } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER SERVICE PAYMENYS | MITHHOLD- <br> ING <br> TAX | TANCES AND MIGRANTS' FUNDS | INSIITU. <br> TIONAL <br> REMITTANCES | CONTRIEU- <br> TIONS | CURRENT payment s |
| 1978 |  | 18. 1 | 11.4 | 29.7 | 7.8 | 24.2 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 67.5 | 18.9 |
| 1979 |  | 24.7 | -3.2 | 8.6 | 22.3 | 25.9 | 29.5 | 1.2 | 15.0 | -29. 1 | 20.9 |
| 1980 |  | 1.1 .7 | 15.7 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 25.3 | 32.0 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 12.8 |
| 1981 |  | 12.9 | 5.5 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 35.8 | 11.6 | 3.4 | 8. 6 | 5.6 | 15. 1 |
| 1982 |  | -14.1 | 2.7 | 25.3 | -13.2 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 10.6 | 22.4 | -7.2 |
| 1981 | 11 | 9.0 | 2.2 | -1.3 | $-.1$ | 7.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0 | 5.0 | 7.2 |
|  | 111 | -. 9 | 1.0 | 21.2 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 35.8 | -1.5 | 8 | 15.0 | 2.5 |
|  | IV | $-5.6$ | 3.1 | -6.6 | -2. 6 | $-3.0$ | -12.9 | 1.5 | 8 | 4.2 | -4.9 |
| 1982 | 1 | -9.3 | . 4 | 11.0 | -13.3 | 3.1 | -1.4 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 18.5 | -5.4 |
|  | 11 | $-1.3$ | . 9 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 8 | 4.5 | 1.4 | . 0 | -12.7 | - 1 |
|  | III | 1.9 | -4.9 | 2.2 | -4.6 | 1.2 | -. 7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | -5.8 | 1.2 |
|  | IV | -10.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | -4.6 | -1.2 | -1.7 | -1.4 | 1.4 | 23.1 | -6.7 |
| 1983 | I | 9.9 | -1.2 | -1.7 | 2.6 | -11.5 | $-27.6$ | 1.4 | 6.2 | 7. 1 | 4.3 |

SOURCE: QUARTERLY ESTJMATES OF THE CANADIAN BALANCE OF INTERNATIDNAL PAYMENTS. CATALOGUE E7-OD1, STATISTICS CANADA.

CURRENT ACCOUNT balance of internatlonal parments
bALANCES
MILCIONS DF DOLLARS SEASONALLY ADJUSTED


## Financial Markets

71 Monetary Aggregates ..... 69
72 Foreign Exchange and Money Market Indicators, Seasonally Adjusted, Millions of Dollars ..... 69
73 Net New Security Issues Payable in Canadian and Foreign Currencies, Millions of Canadian Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
74 Interest Rates, Average of Wednesdays, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 70
75 Exchange Rates, Canadian Dollars Per Unit of Other Currencies. Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 71
76-77 Capital Account Balance of International Payments, Long-Term Capital Flows, Millions of Dollars, Not Seasonally Adjusted ..... 71-72
78-79 Capital Account Balance of International Payments.
Short-Term Capital Flows. Millions of Dollars.
No: Seasonaly Adfusted ..... $72-73$

|  |  | NOT SEASDNALLY ADJUSTED |  |  |  |  | SEASONALTY AOJUSTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YEAR OVER YEAR PERCENTAGE CHANGES |  |  |  |  | MONTHIY PERCENTAGE CHANGES |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | HIGH POMEREO MONEY (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 1 B \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M 2 \\ & 14 \mid \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { POMERED } \\ & \text { MONEY (I) } \end{aligned}$ | $M 1$ <br> (2) | M1B (3) | M2 <br> (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 |  | 12. 1 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 14.5 | 12. 1 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 14.5 |
| 1979 |  | 10.4 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 15.7 | 20.2 | 10.4 | 7.1 | 50 | 15.7 | 20.2 |
| 1980 |  | 7.7 | 5. 4 | 4. 6 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 18.9 | 16.9 |
| 1981 |  | 7.4 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 7.5 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 15.3 | 13.1 |
| 1982 |  | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 5.1 |
| 1981 | II | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 15.8 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | . 2 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
|  | II] | 7.5 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 16.8 | 12.2 | 1.3 | - 4 | -. 7 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
|  | IV | 3.5 | -3.2 | -4.7 | 12.8 | 11.7 | -. 6 | -3.3 | -3.5 | . 9 | . 7 |
| 1982 | I | 4.4 | . 5 | -1.3 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 25 | 2.4 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 3 | . 9 | 8 | 11.2 | 6.5 | $-2.3$ | 1.6 | 25 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
|  | 111 | . 1 | -1.1 | 4 | 7.3 | 3.4 | . 9 | $-1.9$ | - 7 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
|  | IV | . 4 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | -. 3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 1983 | 1 | -. 4 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | May | -2. 1 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 3.2 | $-3.0$ | 2.2 | 2.2 | 9 | - . 3 |
|  | JUN | -. 2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 1.2 | -1.7 | - 7 | 6 | 5 |
|  | JU1 | 1.0 | $-3.8$ | -2.0 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 1.5 | -. 8 | - 7 | . 1 | . 7 |
|  | AUG | 1.4 | -1.7 | -. 2 | 7.1 | 2.9 | . 8 | -1.4 | -6 | 0 | . 4 |
|  | SEP | -2.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 3.1 | -2.8 | . 8 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
|  | OCT | $-1.3$ | 4.2 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 3.4 | . 4 | -. 1 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
|  | NOV | 1.2 | 5. 8 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 5.1 | . 8 | . 3 | . 5 | -. 2 | -8 |
|  | DEC | 1.3 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 1983 | JAN | -. 5 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 4.6 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | -. 2 |
|  | FEB | -. 7 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 5.8 | -. 2 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.4 | . 8 |
|  | MAR | . 0 | 9. 6 | 11.6 | 7.6 | 4.5 | -. 9 | . 0 | . 5 | . 6 | 6 |
|  | APR | -. 8 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 6.7 | 2.8 | -. 3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | . 0 | -1.5 |
|  | may |  | 7.9 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 1.9 |  | 1.5 | 1.4 | -. 9 | -1.2 |

SOURCE: BENK OF CANADA REVIEM.
(1) NOTES IN CIRCULATION COINS OUTSIOE GANKS AND CHARTERED BANK DEPOSITS MITH THE BANK OF CANADA
(2) CURRENCY AND OEMAND DEPOSITS
(3) CURRENCY AND ALI CHEOUABLE DEPOSITS
(4) CURRENCY AND ALL CHEQUABLE NOTJCE AND PERSONAL TERM DEPOSITS.
(S) CURRENCY AND TOTAL PRIVATELY-HELD CHARTERED BANK DEPOSITS

FDREIGN EXCHANGE AND MONEY MARKET INDICATORS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

|  |  | CHANGE IN HOLOTMGS |  |  |  |  | CHARTERED BANKS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CHANGE IN DFFICIAL INTER MATIONAL RESERVES (IN S U.S.) | BY BANK OF CANADA  <br> GDVERNMENY ALL <br> OF CANADA GOVERNMENT <br> TREASURY OF CANADA <br> BILLS SECURJTIES |  | RATIO DFACTUAL TOREQUIREDCASHRESERYES | CALL <br> LOAN <br> AATE <br> (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CANADIAN DOLLAR OSSETS, SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL |  |  | LIDUIO |  | TOTAL | 107AL | BUSTNESS |
|  |  | ASSETS |  |  | ASSETS |  | LOANS | PERSOMAL | LOANS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IDANS | Loans |
|  |  | (1) |  |  | (1) |  | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1978 |  |  | -41 | 1071 |  | 1699 | 1.008 | 8.11 | 105178 | 16910 | 65635 | 22507 |  |
| 1979 |  |  | -679 | 751 |  | 1628 | 1.008 | 11.23 | 125242 | 17985 | 81804 | 26161 | 53928 |
| 1980 |  |  | 143 | 1012 |  | 2242 | 1. 007 | 12.13 | 139048 | 17324 | 95785 | 29703 | 64248 |
| 1981 |  |  | 341 | -7 |  | 1121 | 1.009 | 17.62 | 185009 | 17569 | 129934 | 31596 | 91867 |
| 1982 |  |  | -578 | -2819 | -154 | 1.008 | 13.79 | 186685 | 19305 | 129226 | 30923 | 91492 |
| 1981 | 11 | -661 | 1139 | 1242 | 1.007 | 17.55 | 153370 | 19091 | 108683 | 31738 | 74141 |
|  | III | -58 | -923 | -620 | 1.013 | 19.38 | 15509 B | 19825 | 118883 | 32491 | 83002 |
|  | IV | 1374 | 1085 | 1193 | 1.009 | 16.77 | 185009 | 17569 | 129934 | 31596 | 91867 |
| 1982 | 1 | -1402 | -432 | -205 | 1.009 | 14.28 | 185198 | 17331 | 130413 | 31671 | 90917 |
|  | 11 | -42 | -231 | -287 | 1.010 | 15.07 | 186091 | 16071 | 129316 | 31402 | 90180 |
|  | I11 | 864 | -2277 | -1718 | 1. 007 | 14. 70 | 188214 | 16823 | 131449 | 30933 | 92144 |
|  | IV | 3 | 120 | 667 | 1.008 | 11.12 | 186685 | 19305 | 129226 | 30923 | 91492 |
| 1983 | 1 | 459 | - 197 | -274 | 1. 009 | 9.32 | 184013 | 20000 | 125485 | 30578 | 87239 |
| 1982 | MAY | -65 1 | 104 | 246 | 1.005 | 14.98 | 185303 | 16368 | 128552 | 31559 | 89350 |
|  | JUN | 56 | 253 | 408 | 1.014 | 15.50 | 186091 | 16071 | 129316 | 31402 | 90180 |
|  | JU1 | 344 | -1187 | - 1030 | 1.006 | 15. 62 | 184615 | 15875 | 128357 | 31248 | 89570 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {AUG }}$ | 593 | -68 | 143 | 1.006 | 15.12 | 187120 | 16364 | 130597 | 31061 | 91089 |
|  | SEP | -73 | - 1023 | -831 | 1. 009 | 13.37 | 188214 | 16823 | 131449 | 30933 | 92144 |
|  | OCT NDV | -193 68 | -120 883 | 4 1285 | 1.006 | 12.09 | 187605 | 17615 | 130660 | 31010 | 92378 |
|  | MDV | 68 | 883 | 1285 | 1.011 | 10.87 | 187213 | 18182 | 130293 | 30795 | 92712 |
| 1983 | JAN | 127 315 | -643 | -622 | 1. 0006 | 10.40 | 186585 | 19305 | 129226 | 30923 | 91492 |
|  | FEB | 513 | -829 | -728 | 1.007 | 9.18 | 184827 | 18853 | 127778 | 31132 | 89391 |
|  | MAR | -371 | -8 | -200 | 1.011 | 9.19 | 184013 | 20000 | 125485 | 30578 | 87239 |
|  | APR | 225 | 17 | 319 | 1.006 | 9.20 | 183456 | 20405 | 123215 | 30493 | 87238 85665 |
|  | May | -244 |  |  |  |  | 183504 | 21125 | 121914 | 30364 | 84574 |

SDURCE: GANK OF CANADA REVIEM.
(1) average of nedmesdars.

|  | GOVERMMENT Of CANADA |  |  | PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS | MUMICIPAL GOVERNMENTS | CORPORATIONS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DTHER } \\ & \text { INSTITU- } \\ & \text { TIONS AHD } \\ & \text { FORIIGN } \\ & \text { DEBTORS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BONDS | TREASURY Blits | TOTAL |  |  | BOMDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AND COMMON } \\ & \text { STOCKS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1978 | 7670 | 2820 | 10490 | 7204 | 636 | 4641 | 6982 | 4 | 29958 |
| 1979 | 6159 | 2125 | 8284 | 6474 | 587 | 2776 | 4510 | -8 | 22622 |
| 1980 | 5913 | 5475 | 11388 | 8641 | 439 | 3705 | 5373 | 215 | 29760 |
| 1981 | 12784 | -35 | 12749 | 12432 | 361 | 6104 | 6369 | 42 | 38058 |
| 1982 | 13975 | 5025 | 19000 | 13219 | 906 | 4876 | 3956 | 246 | 42202 |
| 198111 | -602 | 620 | 18 | 2645 | 151 | 1639 | 2482 | -9 | 6926 |
| 111 | 765 | 500 | 1266 | 3338 | 16 | 861 | 1239 | -26 | 6694 |
| IV | 11906 | -2190 | 9716 | 4192 | 254 | 2203 | 952 | -3 | 17314 |
| 1982 | 338 | -1325 | -987 | 3561 | 215 | 1850 | 724 | -32 | 5331 |
| 11 | 939 | 775 | 1714 | 2795 | 157 | 615 | 718 | 148 | 5145 |
| III | 998 | 2675 | 3673 | 3772 | 253 | 1681 | 622 | 118 | 10119 |
| IV | 11700 | 2900 | 14600 | 3091 | 281 | 730 | 1892 | 12 | 20505 |
| 19831 | -15 | 3400 | 3385 | 3017 | 54 | 872 | 1057 | -11 | 8384 |

SOURCE: BANK OF CANADA REVIEK.

JUN 16. 1983
TABLE 74
$1: 15 \mathrm{PM}$

IMTEREST RATES
MDNTH-END
MOT SEASDHALLY ADJUSTED


SOURCE: BAMK OF CAMAOA REVIEM.

CANADIAN DOLLARS PER UNIT OF DTHER CURRENCIES
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  |  | U.S.i. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRITISH } \\ & \text { POUND } \end{aligned}$ | FRENCH FRANC | GERMAN MARK | SMISS <br> FRANG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JAPANESE } \\ & \text { YEN } \\ & \text { ITHDUSANOI } \end{aligned}$ | TNOEX OF GROUP OF TEN COUNTRIES (I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  | 1. 141 | 2. 191 | 254 | 570 | 644 | 5484 | 198.4 |
| 1979 |  | 1. 171 | 2.486 | 276 | . 640 | 705 | 5.369 | 122.4 |
| 1980 |  | 1. 169 | 2.720 | . 277 | . 644 | 698 | 5.185 | 122.4 |
| 1981 |  | 1. 199 | 2.430 | . 222 | . 532 | 613 | 5.452 | 122.7 |
| 1982 |  | 1.234 | 2. 158 | . 189 | . 509 | 609 | 4.967 | 123.3 |
| 1981 |  | 1. 199 | 2.492 | 222 | 527 | 589 | 5.455 | 122.7 |
|  | $111$ | 1.212 | 2.225 | . 209 | 499 | 579 | 5.228 | 122.4 |
|  | IV | 1. 192 | 2.244 | 211 | 531 | 652 | 5.315 | 121.3 |
| 1982 | I | 1.209 | 2.231 | . 202 | 515 | 645 | 5.173 | 122.1 |
|  | [1] | 1. 245 | 2.215 | . 198 | 523 | 624 | 5.101 | 124.8 |
|  | 111 | 1.250 | 2. 155 | 180 | 503 | 591 | 4.828 | 124.2 |
|  | IV | 1.231 | 2.030 | 174 | 493 | 576 | 4.765 | 122.0 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1.227 | 9.880 | 178 | 510 | 609 | 5.211 | 122.1 |
| 1982 | may | 1.234 | 2.234 | 205 | 533 | 633 | 5.204 | 124.4 |
|  | dUN | 1.275 | 2.240 | . 194 | 525 | . 614 | 5.07 E | 127.3 |
|  | JUL | 1.270 | 2.203 | . 185 | 515 | 606 | 4.982 | 128.4 |
|  | AUG | 1.245 | 2.148 | . 180 | 502 | . 590 | 4.809 | 123.8 |
|  | SEP | 1.235 | 2.114 | . 175 | 493 | . 577 | 4.692 | 122.4 |
|  | OCT | 1.230 | 2.086 | . 172 | 486 | . 566 | 4.530 | 121.5 |
|  | NOV | 1.226 | 2.002 | . 170 | 481 | . 560 | 4. 656 | 121.4 |
|  | DEC | 1.238 | 2. 002 | . 180 | 511 | 603 | 5. 109 | 123.2 |
| 1983 | JAN | 1.228 | 1.933 | . 181 | 514 | 625 | 5.280 | 122.6 |
|  | FEB | 1.227 | 1. 881 | 178 | 506 | 609 | 5.204 | 122.1 |
|  | MAR | 1.225 | 1.827 | 175 | 509 | 594 | 5. 148 | 121.7 |
|  | APR | 1.232 | 1.897 | 168 | 505 | 599 | 5. 185 | 122.2 |
|  | MAY | 1.229 | 1.936 | 166 | 498 | 597 | 5.233 | 122.0 |

SOURCE: BAMK OF CGNAOA REVIEM. ECONOMIC REVIEN. DEPARTMERT DF IIMANCE
(1) GEDMETRICALLY HE[GHTED BY 1977-81 BILATERAL SHARES DF TRADE, THE GRDUP OF TEN COUNTRIES COMPRISE BELGIUM. CAMADA FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY, JAPAN. THE NETHERLANDS, SNEDEN, THE UNITED KJNGOOM. THE UNJTED STATES AND SMITZERLAMD.

JUN 16, 1983
TABLE 76
$1: 15 \mathrm{PM}$

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERMATIONAL PAYMENTS
LONG-TERM CAPITAL FLOMS
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. NOT SEASOHALLY AOJUSTEO


> CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERNATIDNAL PAYMENTS
> LDNG-TERM CAPITAL FLOMS CONTINUED

MILLIONS OF DOLLARS NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

|  | FOREIGN SECURITIES |  |  | GOVERNMENT OF CANAOA |  |  | DTHER LONG-TERM CAPITAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { LONG-TERM } \\ & \text { CAPITAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - |  | LOANS ANO SUBSCRIPTIONS |  |  |  |  |
|  | trade IN OUTSTANDING SECURITIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEN } \\ & \text { ISSUES } \end{aligned}$ | RETIREMENTS | TO NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS | TO INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES | REPAYMENTS |  |  |
| 1978 | 29 | -25 | 21 | -261 | -248 | 262 | 1537 | 3111 |
| 1979 | -315 | -313 | 45 | -230 | -322 | 33 | 1906 | 1905 |
| 1980 | -7 | -194 | 20 | -238 | -281 | 37 | 105 | 907 |
| 1981 | -7 | -97 | 9 | -319 | - 309 | 41 | 1943 | 558 |
| 1982 | -420 | -31 | 18 | -288 | -200 | 45 | 1227 | 8551 |
| 198111 | -315 | -22 | 2 | -29 | -9 | 1 | -44 | -355. |
| 111 | 548 | -50 | 2 | -67 | -57 | 0 | 920 | 1624 |
| IV | 3 | -8 | 1 | -99 | -219 | 31 | 1121 | 2971 |
| 1982 | 31 | - 10 | 5 | - 101 | -27 | 7 | 1342 | 4400 |
| II | -82 | -4 | 4 | -4.4 | 0 | 1 | 149 | 1603 |
| 111 | -81 | - 5 | 2 | -69 | -1 | 1 | -260 | 2028 |
| 1 V | -288 | -11 | 7 | -74 | -172 | 34 | -4 | 530 |
| 19831 | -168 | -5 | 4 | -91 | - 151 | 4 | 279 | 1034 |

STURT! OUARTERLY ESTIMATES GI TH! GANADIAN BALANCE OE INTERNATIONA. PAVMENTS CATAIDGEE G? OGI STATISTICS CANADA

JUN : 6, 1983
lAEL: PG
$11:$ PM

CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE OF INTERHATIDNAL PAYMEST: SHORT-TERM CAPITAL SIOMS


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CANADIAN } \\ & \text { DOLLAR } \\ & \text { QEPDSITS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVEKNMEMT } \\ & \text { OEMAND } \\ & \text { LIABILITIES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TREASURY } \\ \text { BILLS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN HUL } \\ & \text { INANCI } \\ & \text { COMPANY } \\ & \text { PAPER } \end{aligned}$ | UTHEF IINANCE COMPANY OBLIGATIONS | COMMERCIAL PAPER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { PAPFR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 37 | 55 | -53 | 128 | -40 | - 186 | 1.2i |
| 1979 | 524 | 217 | - 178 | -5 | 0 | 153 | $52 \%$ |
| 1980 | -60 | 171 | 542 | - 164 | 70 | -79 | $75:$ |
| 1981 | 1401 | 164 | -2 | 760 | 471 | -86 | 54. |
| 1982 | -731 | -26 | 127 | -1183 | 54 | 18 | 893 |
| 198111 | -4 | -57 | -93 | 265 | 135 | - 91 | -95 |
| 111 | -43 | 41 | 213 | 209 | 200 | 0 | $49 i$ |
| IV | 1046 | 188 | -148 | 213 | 107 | -167 | -4: |
| 19821 | -5.30 | -6 | 6 | -34 | 48 | 66 | - 33 |
| $11$ | -217 | -50 | -87 | -612 | -15 | 2 | $2: 5$ |
| 111 | 62 | -36 | 256 | -25 | 3 | -51 | , 束 |
| iv | -46 | 66 | -48 | -512 | 18 | 1 | - : 1 |
| 1983 I | $-200$ | 110 | 358 | 41 | $-13$ | 7 | -50 |

# CAPITAL ACCOUNT BALANCE DF INTERNATIONAL PAYMENTS <br> SHORT-TERM CAPITAL FLOWS CONTJNUED 

MILIIONS OF DOLIARS. NOT SEASDNALIY ADJUSTED

|  | RESTDENT FOREIGN CURRENCY HOLDJNGS |  |  |  |  | MOVEMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHARTERED <br> BANKS NET PDSIT10N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NONBANK } \\ & \text { HOLDINGS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { OTHER } \\ \text { TRAN- } \\ \text { SACTIONS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { SHDRI-TERM } \\ & \text { CAPITAL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET } \\ \text { CAPJTAL } \\ \text { MOVEMENT } \end{gathered}$ | OF OPFICIAL JNTERNATIDNAL RESERVES |
| 1978 | 2771 | -667 | -952 | 1237 | 4348 | -185 |
| 1979 | 4107 | 72 | 1498 | 6915 | B820 | -858 |
| 1980 | 1406 | -489 | -2878 | -730 | 177 | -542 |
| 1981 | 17965 | -6736 | 592 | 15072 | 15630 | 382 |
| 1982 | -4376 | -3052 | -435 | -9491 | -850 | -655 |
| 198111 | 8098 | - 1242 |  |  |  |  |
| III | 2726 | -1960 | -2343 | -466 | 1158 | - 126 |
| IV | 1229 | -2203 | 2872 | 2725 | 5696 | 1459 |
| 1982 I | 1686 | -2016 | - 1082 | -1992 | 2408 | -1668 |
| 11 | -2180 | -720 | -1618 | -5254 | -365 | -27 |
| 111 | -1323 | 141 | 1897 | 1123 | 3151 | 1100 |
| 1983 IV | -2559 | -457 | 368 | -3288 | -2758 | -71 |
| 19831 | -89 | 50 | -934 | -760 | 274 | 575 |
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[^0]:    All references are to seasonally adjusted data unless otherwise stated. Also, the data have been processed specifically for the purpose of current analysis. For example, in some cases endpoint seasonal adjustment methodology has been used instead of the projected factor method employed in the numbers published by the data source. For this reason numbers cited in this report may differ trom those published by the data source

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Composite Leading Indicator has been updated in order to in clude the annual revisions made to the data at the source during the last 18 months.
    2 The purpose of fittering is to reduce irregular movements in the data so that one can better judge whether the current movement represents a change in the business cycle. Unfortunately, all such filtering entails a loss of timeliness in warning of cyclical changes.

    All references to leading indicators are to filtered data unless otherwise stated.
    We have attempted to minimize this loss in timeliness by filtering the leading index and its components with minimum phase shift fitters so as to minimize false signals and maximize lead time. See D. Rhoades, "Converting Jimeliness into Reliability in Economic Time Series or Minimum Phase-shift Fillering of Economic Time Series", Canadian Statistical Review, February 1980.

    Over the period January 1952 to January 1982 the unfiltered index exhibited a 6 month average lead at business cycle peaks, a 2 month lead at troughs, and emitted 64 false signals. The filtered index emitted only 10 false signals over this period and had a 5 month average lead at peaks and a 1 month lag at troughs. Of the 361 months in the period January 1952 to January 1982 the 10 false signals in the filtered version represents an error rate of 2.8 per cent. whereas the 64 false signals in the non-filtered series represents an error rate of 17.8 per cent.
    3 This index is a composite of urban housing starts, residential
    

[^2]:    *For more information, see News Developments, Domestic.

[^3]:    BSRCE BANK OF CANADA REVIEM
    CURRENCY ANO DEMANO DEPOSITS, SEASONALLY ADJUSTED, PERCENTAGE CHANGES.
    CURRENCY ANO ALL CHEQUABLE, NOTICE AND PERSDNAL TERM OEPOSITS. SEASONALLY AOJUSTED. PERCENTAGE CHANGES
    CURRENCY ANO TOTAL PRIVATELY-HELD CHARTERED BANK DEPOSIIS SEASONALLY ADJUSTEO. PERCENTAGE CHANGES.
    PERCENT PER YEAR
    700 STOCKS MONTH
    300 STOCKS MONTHLY CLOSE $1975=1000$
    30 IHDUSTRIALS MONTHIY CLOSE

[^4]:    SOUREE: BUSINESS COMDTTIONS DIGEST, BUREAU OF ECOHOMIC AMAIYSTS, D.S. DEPARTMENY OF COMMEREE
    (11) SEE GLDSSARY OF TERMS
    (2) PRODUCER PRICES FOR 28 SELECTED CRUDE AND INTERMEDIATE MATERIALS AND SPOT MARKET PRICES FDR 13 RAM INDUSTRIAL MATERIALS
    (3) BUSINESS AND CONSUMER BORRDNIMG
    (4) PERCENTAGE OF COMPANIES REPORTING SLUNER DELIVERIES
    (5) MOT FILTEREO

[^5]:    SOURCE WATIONAL INCOME AND EXPENDTIURE ACCOUNTS CATALOGUE 13-OO1, STATISTICS CAKAOA
    11 DIFFERENCE FROM PRECEDING PERIOD, ANMUAL RATES

[^6]:    

[^7]:    SOUFLE RETATG TRADE GATALOGUE G3-ODF, 1974 RETAIL CDMMDDITY SURVEY, CATALOGUE 63-526, NEN MDTOR VEMYCLE SALES, CATALOGJE 63-007 THE CONSUMER PRICE IMDEX. CATALOGUE 62-001, STATISTICS CANADA THESE IMDICATORS ARE CALCULATEO BY THE REMEIGHTIMG OF RETAIL TRADE BY TYPE OF EUSIMESS (CATALOGUE G3-OOS) TO OBTAIN RETAIL TRADE BY COMMODITY, THE MEIGHTS MERE TAKEN FROM THE 1974 RETAIL COMMODITY SURVEY (CATALDGUE G3-S2E) PASSENGER CAR SALES ARE TAKEN FROM MEN MOTOR VEMICLE SALES (CATALDGUE G3-OOT) AND ARE USEO AS AN INDTCATOR OF SALES OF CARS IO PERSONS. SEASONAL ADJUSTMENT IS DONE EY COMFODITY. TO ENO POINT (SEE GLOSSARY)
    FOR MORE IMFORMATION REFER TO IFCHNICAL NOTE FEBRUARY 1982
     8) focingl: i=

[^8]:    

[^9]:    perrentage changes of seasonaily adjusted figures

