0·4- 220

# Environment Canada

## Water Science and Technology Directorate

## Direction générale des sciences et de la technologie, eau

# Environnement Canada

Trace metal levels in sediments deposited in urban stormwater management facilities

By:
J. Marsalek, W. Watt, B. Anderson

NWRI Contribution # 04-220

94-220

**Trace metal levels in sediments deposited in urban stormwater management facilities**

J. Marsalek, W.E. Watt and B.C. Anderson

## ABSTRACT

Characteristics of solids recovered from stormwater best management practice (BMP) facilities, including stormwater ponds, constructed wetlands, an infiltration basin, a biofilter, a stormwater treatment clarifier, and three-chamber oil and grit separators were described with respect to their metal chemistry. The reported trace metal concentrations in BMP sediments were assessed against the Ontario Sediment Quality Guidelines. Between 80 to 100% of all samples were marginally-to-intermediately polluted by Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ni and Zn. Severe pollution of sediments was noted for Cr (122 μg/g), Cu (151 and 196 μg/g), Mn (1259 and 1433 μg/g), and Zn (1116 μg/g), at several facilities studied, and even higher levels of metals were reported in the literature for certain oil and grit separators. With respect to individual BMPs, the severe pollution was found in sediments from oil and grit separators (for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn), the stormwater clarifier sludge (Cu, Mn and Zn), a biofilter (Cu and Mn), an industrial area stormwater pond (Cu only), and a commercial/residential pond (Cr only). Finally, the chemical pollution of pond sediment triggered toxicity testing at some of the facilities studied, and sediment toxicity was confirmed at several sites.

## NWRI RESEARCH SUMMARY

**Plain language title**

Potentially toxic metal levels in sediments from urban stormwater management facilities

**What is the problem and what do scientists already know about it?**

Significant quantities of solids are generated in urban areas by soil erosion, attrition/corrosion of pavements and other surfaces, vehicular traffic, atmospheric deposition, grass mowing, littering, spills and street sanding. Such solids become polluted by trace metals and other pollutants, and in wet weather, are transported to, and deposit in, stormwater control facilities. In these facilities, contaminated sediment pose ecotoxicological risks which need to be addressed.

**Why did NWRI do this study?**

This study is a part of the long-term co-operative research project on stormwater management conducted by NWRI and Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario. This project examines urban stormwater impacts on receiving waters and develops means of impact mitigation by best management practices (BMPs).

**What were the results?**

Sediments collected from stormwater ponds, constructed wetlands, an infiltration basin, a biofilter, a stormwater clarifier and three-chamber oil and grit separators were severely polluted by four heavy metals (Cr, Cu, Mn and Zn). The most severe pollution was found in oil and grit separators.

**How will these results be used?**

The results will be used by municipalities in planning the maintenance (including sediment removal) of their stormwater management facilities.

**Who were our main partners in the study?**

The main partners were Queen's University (Kingston, Ontario) and the Great Lakes Sustainability Fund (Burlington, Ontario).

**Concentrations de métaux-traces dans des sédiments déposés dans les installations de gestion des eaux pluviales urbaines**

J. Marsalek, W.E. Watt et B.C. Anderson

**RÉSUMÉ**

Le présent article décrit les caractéristiques de la composition chimique des métaux contenus dans les matières solides récupérées dans des installations de traitement des eaux pluviales qui appliquent des pratiques de gestion exemplaires (PGE), notamment des bassins de retenue, des milieux humides artificiels, un bassin d'infiltration, un filtre bactérien, un clarificateur des eaux pluviales et des dessableurs-déshuileurs à trois compartiments. Les concentrations de métaux-traces mesurées dans les sédiments de ces installations ont été évaluées en regard des Lignes directrices sur la qualité des sédiments de l'Ontario. Entre 80 et 100 % des échantillons étaient peu ou modérément pollués par le Cd, le Cr, le Cu, le Fe, le Pb, le Mn, le Ni et le Zn. Les sédiments étaient fortement contaminés par le Cr, (122 µg/g), le Cu (151 et 196 µg/g), le Mn (1259 et 1433 µg/g) et le Zn (1116 µg/g) dans plusieurs installations étudiées, et des concentrations plus élevées de métaux dans plusieurs dessableurs-déshuileurs ont été signalées dans la littérature. Une forte pollution a été relevée dans les sédiments des dessableurs-déshuileurs (Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb et Zn), dans les boues du clarificateur des eaux pluviales (Cu, Mn et Zn), dans un filtre bactérien (Cu et Mn), dans un bassin de retenue en zone industrielle (Cu uniquement) et dans un bassin de retenue en zone commerciale et résidentielle (Cr uniquement). Enfin, la pollution chimique des sédiments prélevés dans des bassins de retenue a déclenché l'exécution d'essais de toxicité dans certaines installations étudiées, lesquels ont confirmé la toxicité des sédiments à plusieurs endroits.

## Sommaire des recherches de l'INRE

**Titre en langage clair**

Concentrations possibles de métaux toxiques dans les sédiments d'installations de gestion des eaux pluviales urbaines

**Quel est le problème et que savent les chercheurs à ce sujet?**

De grandes quantités de matières solides sont produites en milieu urbain par l'érosion des sols, l'attrition et la corrosion de la chaussée et d'autres surfaces, la circulation routière, les retombées atmosphériques, la tonte des pelouses, les détritus abandonnés, les déversements et l'épandage de sable dans les rues. Ces matières solides qui sont polluées par les métaux-traces et d'autres contaminants sont transportées par temps pluvieux et déposées dans des installations de gestion des eaux pluviales. Dans ces installations, la toxicité des sédiments présente des risques écotoxicologiques auxquels il faut s'attaquer.

**Pourquoi l'INRE a-t-il effectué cette étude?**

Cette étudie fait partie d'un projet de recherche conjoint à long terme sur la gestion des eaux pluviales entrepris par l'INRE et l'Université Queen's (Kingston, Ontario). Ce projet consiste à examiner les incidences des eaux pluviales urbaines sur les eaux réceptrices et à trouver des façons de les atténuer au moyen de pratiques de gestion exemplaires (PGE).

**Quels sont les résultats?**

Les sédiments prélevés dans les bassins de retenue des eaux fluviales, les milieux humides artificiels, un bassin d'infiltration, un filtre bactérien, un clarificateur des eaux pluviales et des dessableurs-déshuileurs à trois compartiments ont été fortement pollués par quatre métaux lourds (Cr, Cu, Mn et Zn). La pollution la plus forte a été observée dans les dessableurs-déshuileurs.

**Comment ces résultats seront-ils utilisés?**

Les municipalités utiliseront les résultats pour planifier l'entretien des installations de gestion des eaux pluviales (y compris l'élimination des sédiments).

**Quels étaient nos principaux partenaires dans cette étude?**

Les principaux partenaires sont l'université Queen's (Kingston, Ontario) et le Fonds de durabilité des Grands Lacs (Burlington, Ontario).

# TRACE METAL LEVELS IN SEDIMENTS DEPOSITED IN URBAN STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES

J. Marsalek*, W.E. Watt**, and B.C. Anderson**

*National Water Research Institute, Burlington, ON L7R 4A6, Canada; jiri.marsalek@ec.gc.ca
**Queen's University, Department of Civil Engineering, Kingston, ON, K7L 3N6 Canada

## ABSTRACT

Characteristics of solids recovered from stormwater best management practice (BMP) facilities, including stormwater ponds, constructed wetlands, an infiltration basin, a biofilter, a stormwater treatment clarifier, and 3-chamber oil and grit separators were described with respect to their metal chemistry. The reported trace metal concentrations in BMP sediments were assessed against the Ontario Sediment Quality Guidelines. Between 80 to 100% of all samples were marginally-to-intermediately polluted by Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ni and Zn. Severe pollution of sediments was noted for Cr (122 μg/g), Cu (151 and 196 μg/g), Mn (1259 and 1433 μg/g), and Zn (1116 μg/g), at several facilities studied, and even higher levels of metals were reported in the literature for certain oil and grit separators. With respect to individual BMPs, the severe pollution was found in sediments from oil and grit separators (for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn), the stormwater clarifier sludge (Cu, Mn and Zn), a biofilter (Cu and Mn), an industrial area stormwater pond (Cu only), and a commercial/residential pond (Cr only). Finally, the chemical pollution of pond sediment triggered toxicity testing at some of the facilities studied, and sediment toxicity was confirmed at several sites.

## KEYWORDS

Best management practices; heavy metals; sediment; sediment quality guidelines; stormwater management.

## INTRODUCTION

Management and control of solids is one of the primary tasks of stormwater management arising from the need to mitigate impacts of suspended solids and sediment on the operation of drainage systems and water and habitat quality in receiving waters (MOE, 2003). Sources of solids in urban areas are numerous and include soil erosion, attrition/corrosion of pavements and other surfaces, vehicular traffic, atmospheric deposition, vegetation, litter, spills, and street sanding (Sartor and Boyd, 1972). In wet weather, surface runoff scours solids deposited on urban surfaces and transports them to the drainage system, and/or directly to the receiving waters. Solids accumulations are best controlled by source controls, but depending on the success of such measures, significant quantities of solids do enter stormwater and are transported to, or deposit in, various components of the drainage system. The presence of solids and sediment in the drainage system causes concerns about physical effects on system operation (blockage of inlets and sewers; clogging of such stormwater BMPs as infiltration facilities and filters; reduction of active storage of wetlands and ponds, etc.), and the water quality and aesthetic impacts. Among the water quality impacts, the most common problem is the transport of pollutants, including pathogens. Association of pollutants with urban solids was reported by many authors, referring to street surface residue (Stone and Marsalek, 1996), deposits in sewers, and accumulations in various BMPs, including ponds (Marsalek *et al.*, 1997b), wetlands (Bishop *et al.*, 2000), filters (Mothersill *et al.*, 2000), and oil and grit separators (Schuler and Shepp, 1993). The occurrence of solids deposits and accumulations affect stormwater BMPs in two ways: (a) the need to mitigate adverse impacts on BMP operation by implementation of more complex and costly treatment trains, and (b) degradation of habitat functions of BMPs by accumulation of contaminated sediment, with a further risk of release of mobile pollutant fractions (Bishop *et al.*, 2000; vanLoon *et al.*, 2000). The issues of BMP sediment contamination by heavy metals and the need to account for such environmental risks by proper maintenance are discussed for various types of BMP facilities, including ponds, constructed wetlands, an infiltration basin, a biofilter, a stormwater clarifier and three-chamber oil and grit separators.

## SOURCES OF DATA: BMP FACILITIES ANALYSED

The description of the stormwater BMPs studied, or BMPs for which data were adopted from the literature, is limited to basic characteristics, with references to more detailed information presented elsewhere. The selection of the BMPs discussed herein follows from an overview of numerous studies of BMPs, including graduate thesis projects and BMP assessment studies.

### Kingston stormwater pond

The Kingston Pond is an on-line stormwater management pond, which was built in 1982 to reduce peak stormwater flows from a shopping mall. The two-cell pond consists of a permanent wet pond (area 5,200 $m^2$ and 1.2 m average depth) and a dry pond (area 5,000 $m^2$) that floods during larger storm events. Fig. 1 shows the pond layout and the location of instrumentation and weirs at the inlets and outlet. Research findings on the Kingston Pond performance and processes were presented elsewhere (Anderson *et al.*, 2002; Van Buren, *et al.*, 1997); only the basic findings concerning sediment issues are summarised herein. Marsalek *et al.* (1997b) reported that pond bottom sediments had accumulated at an average rate of 0.02 m/year and comprised gravel, sand, silt and clay; the gravel and sand accumulated only by the inlet whereas the silt and clay were spread throughout the pond and represented up to 45% and 54% of the total sediment respectively. Marsalek (1997) estimated the volume of the inlet sand spit at 150 $m^3$, and the corresponding sediment mass 160 t, accumulated over 15 years. The water content of the sediment (by volume) ranged from 48% by the inlet to 75% at the outlet. Anderson *et al.* (2002) noted a disadvantage of on-stream stormwater ponds built on urbanizing catchments – such ponds accumulate sediment at relatively high rates and will require more frequent sediment removal than off-line facilities.




Fig. 1. Kingston Stormwater Management Pond

### Stormwater wet pond 1

The pond WP1 consists of two irregularly shaped cells in series with a total storage of 68,000 $m^3$. The upstream cell (with a surface area of 1,750 $m^2$) is fed by three sewers draining two residential communities and a business park. Flow travels from the upstream cell into the downstream cell (surface area of 3,000 $m^2$) through three culverts. Sediment samples were collected in the downstream cell (vanLoon *et al.*, 2000).

### Stormwater wet pond 2

The pond WP2 consists of two elongated rectangular pond cells, in-series, each with dimensions of about 400 m x 25.5 m (length x width), and a total pond storage of 23,000 $m^3$. The pond serves principally a residential area, with additional input from about half a dozen agricultural drains. The facility is operated in batch mode and retains stormwater for up to 72 hours (vanLoon *et al.*, 2000).

### Harding Park pond

A relatively small pond (surface area = 0.7 ha; volume = 3,000 $m^3$, comprising 1,000 $m^3$ permanent pool storage and 2,000 $m^3$ active storage; maximum depth = 1.5 m) receiving stormwater runoff from a residential area of 16.8 ha in north-east Toronto. The pond consists of three cells – a sediment forebay, a quiescent settling permanent pool and a wetland (Bishop *et al.*, 2000).

### Col. S. Smith reservoir

The CSS pond serves a catchment of 340 ha, with industrial, commercial, residential and transportation land uses. It receives runoff from a major multilane divided highway with traffic densities over 100,000 vehicles/day. The pond is of a rectangular shape (38 m x 75 m, length x width), with a surface area of about 0.28 ha and average depth of 2.4 m (nominal volume of 7,000 $m^3$) (Mayer *et al.*, 1996).

### Constructed wetlands

The chemistry of sediments from constructed wetlands serving for stormwater management was reported by Bishop *et al.* (2000). To extend the discussion of BMP sediment quality, the data reported for four constructed wetlands in Guelph, Ontario were included here. These wetlands serve to control stormwater from residential areas, and their surface areas range from 2,500 to 7,700 $m^2$, and depths from 0.2 to 0.7 m. All four facilities yielded similar concentrations for individual metals, which were described by average values for the whole set.

### Infiltration basin (IB)

The basin was constructed in an abandoned sand pit, with a capacity of 6,500 $m^3$. Soils in the basin area are sandy to great depths and underlain with clay. The basin substrate is formed by slightly alkaline crushed stone, forming a 0.3 m layer over 1.25 m of sand. The sand is separated from native soils by a non-woven filter cloth. The facility is equipped with sub-drains. Roadways and other impervious areas form about 25% of the contributing area, another 25% are industrial lands, and the remaining 50% is divided among undeveloped land, a golf course, commercial and other land uses (vanLoon *et al.*, 2000).

### Stormwater biofilter

The biofilter was installed at the Kingston Pond outlet and used to polish the pond effluent. It was formed by filling a polyethylene tank (1 m x 1 m x 1 m) with inert expanded schist media, with a nominal diameter of 3-6 mm. When hydraulic losses increased over a threshold, the filter was backwashed. Samples of solids captured by the filter were collected by removing samples of media after three years of operation (Mothersill *et al.*, 2000).

### Etobicoke stormwater clarifier

Stormwater treatment by constant high-rate clarification was studied in Etobicoke, Toronto, Ontario, at a site upstream of the Col. S. Smith Reservoir. The clarifier was fed with a submersible pump from a 2.5 m diameter storm sewer draining an area of about 300 ha, comprising industrial, commercial, and residential land. The rectangular clarifier was 3 m long, 1.4 m wide and 2 m deep. Stormwater at this site was found to be polluted, with typical concentrations falling between the mean and the 90th percentile of the US NURP data (Wood *et al.*, 2004).

### Three-chamber oil and grit separators

Oil and grit separators (OGSs) were developed for control of contaminated sediments and free oil spills at inlets to storm sewers. The original designs used in Maryland comprised three chambers, serving for sediment settling and oil retention. These designs were susceptible to sediment washout and were later replaced by manhole-type designs. The chemistry of sediments retained in OGSs was reported by Schueler and Shepp (1993) and included here as average data for 19 facilities.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Metal burdens in BMP sediments were analyzed for up to 10 metals and inorganics, which are commonly listed in sediment quality guidelines (e.g., MOEE, 1992), and are of interest in environmental studies. Specifically, this list contains the three most ubiquitous anthropogenic metals, Cu, Pb and Zn, which are known to occur in urban areas at high concentrations, may exert toxic effects on the biota, and consequently are included on the US EPA list of 129 Priority Pollutants. All three were detected in at least 91% of all NURP runoff samples (US EPA, 1983). The next group of five chemicals, As, Cd, Cr, Hg and Ni, are also included in the US EPA Priority list, but their occurrences in urban areas are significantly less frequent (except for Hg, in > 40% of samples) (US EPA, 1983). Finally, Fe and Mn are included in the Ontario guidelines for assessing sediment quality (MOEE, 1992).

The Ontario sediment quality guidelines (MOEE, 1992), described by the Lowest Effect Level (LEL) and the Severe Effect Level (SEL), and metal concentrations in BMP sediments are presented in Table 1. For brevity, As, Hg and Fe concentrations were omitted; in the case of As and Hg, no data exceeded the corresponding LELs of 6 and 0.2 μg/g, respectively, and in the case of Fe, limited data ranged from 1.8 to 3.0% (LEL = 2%, SEL = 4%).

Table 1. Sediment quality guidelines and metal concentrations in BMP sediment

| Guideline or BMP Type | Constituent concentration [μg/g] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cd | Cr | Cu | Mn | Ni | Pb | Zn |
| MOE-LEL (sediment quality guideline) | 0.6 | 26 | 16 | 460 | 16 | 31 | 120 |
| MOE-SEL (sediment quality guideline) | 10 | 110 | 110 | 1100 | 75 | 250 | 820 |
| Kingston Pond (outlet)(Kingston) | 1.4 | **122** | 80 | 485 | 34 | 149 | 406 |
| WP1 (pond) (Ottawa area) | 0.46 | 42 | 28 | --- | 25 | 20 | 127 |
| WP2 (pond) (Ottawa area) | 0.53 | 31 | 22 | --- | 15 | 22 | 95 |
| Harding Park pond (Toronto) | < 1 | 24 | 37 | 484 | 24 | 24 | 117 |
| Col. Sam Smith pond (Toronto) | 4.2 | 45 | **151** | 693 | --- | 202 | 610 |
| Constructed wetlands (average)(Guelph) | 0.07 | 16 | 39 | --- | 11 | 45 | 397 |
| Infiltration basin (Ottawa area) | 1.9 | 55 | 86 | --- | 28 | 107 | 514 |
| KP biofilter (Kingston) | 1.0 | 49 | 73 | **1433** | 43 | 82 | 352 |
| Stormwater clarifier (Toronto) | 1.7 | 61 | **196** | **1259** | --- | 200 | **1116** |
| Three-chamber OGS (average)(USA) | **19.4** | **300** | **346** | --- | --- | **599** | **2100** |

Legend: Sediment quality guidelines – LEL (lowest effect level) and SEL (severe effect level), after MOEE (1992); concentrations in bold font – exceed SEL. BMPs – (a) K Pond = Kingston pond; (b) WP1 = wet pond in the Ottawa area; (c) WP2 = wet pond in the Ottawa area; (d) Harding Park pond = residential pond in Toronto; (e) Col. Sam Smith = pond in Etobicoke, Toronto; (f) Constructed wetlands = average of 4 facilities, data from Bishop *et al.* (2000); (g) KP biofilter = biofilter used to polish effluent from the Kingston Pond; (h) Stormwater clarifier = a clarifier operated upstream of the Col. S. Smith pond; (i) Three-chamber Oil Grit Separators (average) = average of 19 facilities sampled by Schueler and Shepp (1993).

### Environmental significance of observed data

The presence of high concentrations of heavy metals creates an environmental hazard, but the actual risk is difficult to assess without assessing the mobility and bioavailability of such burdens (Mikkelsen *et al.*, 2001). There are a number of sediment quality guidelines that help assess the degree of sediment pollution. In this study, the Ontario aquatic sediment quality guidelines (see Table 1) were adopted as the most appropriate for most of the locations studied. These guidelines serve as triggers, which if exceeded, initiate further action including biotesting. Further discussion of potential ecotoxicological risks of sediment from various BMPs follows and focuses on individual BMPs, chemical levels, metal speciation, and toxicological data.

**Comparison of individual BMPs**

Among the sites studied by the authors, two stand out in terms of metal concentrations – the stormwater clarifier and Col. S. Smith pond, both located on the same sewer trunk and collecting sediment from the same sources. Undoubtedly, this catchment produces fairly polluted stormwater and sediments (Wood *et al.*, 2004) significantly exceeding the level of pollution for the US NURP median site (US EPA, 1983). The runoff from the area drained appears to be fairly polluted by highway runoff and possibly by older in-situ deposits arising from industrial operations in this catchment. However, the metal concentrations in the clarifier sludge are generally smaller than those reported for highway runoff sediment by Marsalek *et al.* (1997a): Cu = 314, Ni = 56, Pb = 402, and Zn = 997 μg/g, and much smaller than the fine fraction of such sediment (< 45 μm), Cu = 737, Ni = 126, Pb = 527, and Zn = 1634 μg/g. The quality of highway runoff sediments is fairly similar to that of sediments collected by Schuler and Shepp (1993) from oil and grit separators: Cd = 19.4, Cr = 300, Cu = 346, Pb = 599, and Zn = 2100 μg/g (average of 19 sites, with various land use). Such data exceed the Ontario SELs about 3 times, and reflect the nature of the trapped sediments – sediments associated with road runoff, with high content of volatile solids (5-45%) and presence of hydrocarbons. The lowest metal concentrations were observed for three residential ponds, WP1, WP2 (vanLoon *et al.*, 2000) and the Harding Park Pond, and four constructed wetlands (Bishop *et al.*, 2000).

In the overall assessment of Ontario data, only 8.1% (6 cases) of site mean concentrations listed in Table 1 exceeded SEL, with two thirds of those originating in the Etobicoke catchment. Exceedances of Cu, Zn and Mn limits were consistent with the pollution sources in the areas studied, including highway runoff. Among the remaining sites, only the outlet concentrations of Cr in the Kingston Pond exceeded SEL, but those elevated concentrations were affected by the local geology and represented largely non-bioavailable Cr, as documented by the high residual Cr fraction at this site, up to 60% (Marsalek *et al.*, 1997b). The last exceedance of SEL was observed for Mn in the biofilter sediment. There is a possibility that the filter medium retained the finest Mn enhanced sediments, with Mn concentrations about twice those found in the pond sediment. The remaining metal concentrations were typically significantly below SEL, but greater than LEL.

To synthesise the BMP sediment pollution data, a pollution index was introduced, relating individual metal concentrations to the corresponding SEL, and characterising each BMP by an average index value. Further simplification was possible by grouping all residential pond data together. BMP sediment pollution index values are shown in Fig. 2.

The data in Fig. 2 indicate that the least polluted sediments are found in residential ponds and constructed wetlands with low input of road runoff. Increased inputs of polluted sediments from road/highway runoff (or similar sources) are reflected in lower quality of sediments in ponds or "treatment" devices processing stormwater (biofilter, clarifier, OGS separators).




Fig. 2. Sediment pollution index (SPI) for various BMPs ($SPI = \Sigma (C_i/SEL_i)/n$, $i = 1-n$)

While sediment chemistry data may indicate relatively high burdens, such loads represent environmental risks only if bioavailable, or susceptible to transformations which would make them bioavailable. To address the issues of bioavailability, various approaches are used, starting with speciation of metal fractions using sequential analysis, followed by biotesting either in situ, or in the laboratory. Some experience with such approaches is reviewed below.

Sequential analysis proposed by Tessier *et al.* (1979) leads to determination of five particular metal fractions operationally defined as exchangeable (Fraction 1), bound to carbonate (Fraction 2), bound to iron and manganese oxides (Fraction 3), bound to organic matter (Fraction 4), and residual (Fraction 5). Using this procedure, sediment samples from seven sites were analysed and the results are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2. Sequential analysis results for sediment samples from seven sites

| Source | Sediment fractions [%](mean of seven sites) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cd | Cr | Cu | Pb | Zn |
| Fraction 1 | 12.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| Fraction 2 | 38.5 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 14.7 | 15.8 |
| Fraction 3 | 25.3 | 7.7 | 15.0 | 43.2 | 19.5 |
| Fraction 4 | 12.5 | 23.3 | 53.5 | 22.3 | 28.8 |
| Fraction 5 | 11.8 | 67.5 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 35.8 |

The data in Table 2 indicate large differences in speciation of metals in BMP sediments. The most potentially mobile is Cd, followed by Cu and Pb. The least potentially mobile (and bioavailable) is Cr, which is mostly in the residual fraction. Cu is mostly found in Fractions 4 and 5; and, Pb and Zn in Fractions 3-5. With Fraction 1 almost absent and Fraction 2 bearing some significance only for Cd, it appears that for most metals, the oxidizing and reducing conditions would be most critical with respect to release of metals from sediments, and this indicates the importance of maintaining a stable oxygen regime in stormwater management ponds.

Sediment particle size affected the sediment chemistry, with fine particles (silt and clay) by the Kingston pond outlet (line 5 in Table 1) containing up to 4 times higher concentrations of metals than sandy particles by the inlet (Marsalek *et al.*, 1997b). The presence of organic carbon would be particularly important for Cu, which seems to have a significant fraction bound to organic matter. For Kingston pond sediment, organic carbon varied from 3.8% in bottom sediment to 5.8% in suspended particulate (Marsalek *et al.*, 1997b); much higher levels were noted in the stormwater clarifier (Wood *et al.*, 2004), with VSS representing on average 26% of TSS. Also, the OGS data in Table 1 indicate that sediments with higher organic content contain higher metal levels (Schueler and Shepp, 1993).

Besides heavy metals, other chemicals in BMP sediment are also of interest in connection with toxicological considerations. Earlier research indicated two major groups of potential toxicants – hydrocarbons (particularly polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, PAHs) and pesticides. For Kingston Pond, Marsalek *et al.* (2002) reported total PAH concentrations of 16.37 μg/g, well below the SEL level in Ontario guidelines (specified as 10,000 μg/g of organic carbon). Dutka *et al.* (1994) studied sediment toxicity in four Toronto area ponds, and found incidences of sediment toxicity, which appeared related to pesticides (triazine, metolachlor) in late spring sampling. Without specialized TIE (Toxicity Identification Evaluation) analysis, it appears impossible to clearly identify the sources of toxicity. Most likely, the synergistic effect of various chemicals leads to sediment toxicity and the development of chemical protocols should focus on known sources of toxicants in the areas studied.

Sediment toxicity testing was reported for the Kingston Pond (Marsalek, *et al.*, 1999) and five Toronto ponds (Dutka *et al.*, 1994; Mayer *et al.*, 1997; Rochfort *et al.*, 2000). A variety of bioassays were employed in these tests, including the well-known Microtox™ solid phase test, the direct solid-phase SOS-chromotest (for genotoxicants), Panagrellus redivivus (nematode), and benthic toxicity tests (H. azteca, C. riparius, Hexagenia spp., T. tubifex; Rochfort *et al.*, 2002). Such tests indicate the overall toxicity of sediments, without identification of causal constituents. In all studies, some toxic effects were noted. In Kingston Pond, Microtox™ test results indicated toxicity in pond flow sources – an upstream creek and runoff from a commercial plaza, strong toxicity of sediments in the pond, and no toxicity in the creek downstream of the pond (Marsalek *et al.*, 1999). Even higher toxicity was indicated by Microtox™ for sediments in the Rouge River Pond (Toronto), receiving runoff from a major multi-lane divided highway (Marsalek, *et al.*, 1999). In four Toronto ponds (including Col. S. Smith reservoir), sediment solvent extracts showed toxic responses, suggesting presence of organic toxicants/genotoxicants. The highest incidence of responses was found in the Col. S. Smith pond (Mayer *et al.*, 1997), and on a seasonal basis, in spring. Dutka *et al.* (1994) study also showed the presence of toxicants and genotoxicants in sediments, indicating the presence of bioavailable toxicants and promutagens, with no seasonal patterns. Finally, benthic toxicity testing indicated no toxicity in the Harding Pond (residential pond), but toxicity indications in the receiving creek, both upstream and downstream of the pond outlet, and therefore attributable to other sources (Rochfort *et al.*, 2000).

## CONCLUSIONS

Stormwater BMP treatment trains are designed to immobilize suspended solids and sediment in various train elements to protect downstream BMPs and drainage elements against clogging and the downstream waters against pollution. These designs lead to accumulation of solids in BMPs, including ponds, wetlands, biofilters, infiltration basins, and oil and grit separators. Such solids are fairly polluted by metals and inorganics, as indicated by the data reported in this study, and by other chemicals, as reported in the literature. The highest concentrations of heavy metals were found in two facilities serving an area with industrial land and a highway corridor. Identification of chemicals exerting toxic effects remains a challenge and, consequently, direct toxicity testing may be more effective. Circumstantial evidence points to highway runoff sediment as a major contributor to BMP sediment toxicity. Guidelines for operation and maintenance of BMPs neglect the ecotoxicological risks associated with contaminated BMP sediments and should be amended to account for, and minimise, such risks.

## REFERENCES


Anderson B.C., Watt W.E. and Marsalek, J. (2002). Critical issues for stormwater ponds: learning from a decade of research. *Wat. Sci. Tech.*, **45**(9), 277-283.

Bishop C.A., Struger J., Barton D.R., Shirose L.J., Dunn L. and Campbell G.D. (2000). Contamination and wildlife communities in stormwater detention ponds in Guelph and the Greater Toronto Area, Ontario, 1997 and 1998. Part II – contamination and biological effects of contamination. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **35**(3), 437-474.

Dutka B.J., Marsalek J., Jurkovic A., McInnis R. and Kwan K.K. (1994). A seasonal ecotoxicological study of stormwater ponds. *Zeitschrift fuer Angewandte Zoologie*, **80**(3), 361-381.

Marsalek J., Brownlee B., Mayer T. Lawal S. and Larkin G.A. (1997a). Heavy metals and PAHs in stormwater runoff from the Skyway Bridge, Burlington, Ontario. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **32**(4), 815-827.

Marsalek J., Rochfort Q., Grapentine L. and Brownlee B. (2002). Assessment of stormwater impacts on an urban stream with a detention pond. *Wat. Sci. Tech.*, **45**(3), 255-263.

Marsalek J., Rochfort Q., Mayer T., Servos M., Dutka B. and Brownlee B. (1999). Toxicity testing for controlling urban wet-weather pollution: advantages and limitations. *Urban Water*, **1**, 91-103.

Marsalek J., Watt W.E., Anderson B.C. and Jaskot C. (1997b). Physical and chemical characteristics of sediments from a stormwater management pond. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **32**(1), 89-100.

Marsalek P.M. (1997). *Special characteristics of an on-stream stormwater pond: winter regime and accumulation of sediment and associated contaminants*. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada.

Mayer T., Dutka B. and Marsalek J. (1997). Toxicity and contaminant status of suspended and bottom sediments from urban stormwater ponds. In: *Proc. 23rd Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop*, J.S. Goudey, S.M. Swanson, M.D. Treissman and A. J. Niimi (eds.), Oct. 7-9, 1996, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 159-165.

Mayer T., Marsalek J. and Delos Reyes E. (1996). Nutrients and metal contaminants status of urban stormwater ponds. *J. Lake and Reservoir Mgmt.*, **12**(3), 348-363.

Mikkelsen P.S., Baun A. and Ledin A. (2001). Risk assessment of stormwater contaminants following discharge to soil, groundwater or surface water. In: *Advances in stormwater and agricultural runoff source controls*, J. Marsalek, E. Watt, E. Zeman and H. Sieker (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, NATO Science Series, Dordrecht/Boston/London, pp. 69-80.

Ministry of the Environment (MOE) (2003). *Stormwater management planning and design manual*. Toronto, Ontario.

Ministry of the Environment and Energy (1992). *Guidelines for the protection and management of aquatic sediment quality in Ontario*. MOEE, Toronto.

Mothersill C.L., Anderson B.C., Watt W.E. and Marsalek J. (2000). Biological filtration of stormwater: field operations and maintenance experiences. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **35**(3), 541-562.

Rochfort Q., Grapentine L., Marsalek J., Brownlee B., Reynoldson T., Thompson S., Milani D. and Logan C. (2000). Using benthic assessment techniques to determine combined sewer overflow and stormwater impacts on the aquatic ecosystem. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **35**(3), 365-397.

Sartor, J.D. and Boyd, G.B. (1972). *Water pollution aspects of street surface contaminants*. U.S. EPA report EPA-R2-72-081, Washington, D.C.

Schueler T.R. and Shepp D. (1993). *The quality of trapped sediments and pool water within oil grit separators in suburban Maryland (interim report)*. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Washington, D.C.

Stone M. and Marsalek J. (1996). Trace metal compositions and speciation in street sediment: Sault Ste. Marie, Canada. *Wat. Air Soil Poll.*, **87**, 149-169.

Tessier A., Campbell P.G.C. and Bisson M. (1979). Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. *Anal. Chem.*, **51**(7), 844-850.

U.S. EPA (1983). *Results of the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program. Volume I – Final report.* U.S. EPA report PB84-185552, Washington, D.C.

Van Buren M.A., Watt W.E. and Marsalek J. (1997). Removal of selected urban stormwater constituents by an on-stream pond. *J. Environmental Planning and Management*, **40**(1), 5-18.

vanLoon G., Anderson B.C., Watt W.E. and Marsalek J. (2000). Characterizing stormwater sediments for ecotoxic risk. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, **35**(3), 341-364.

Wood J., Yang M., Rochfort Q., Chessie P., Marsalek J., Seto P. and Kok S. (2004). Feasibility of stormwater treatment by conventional and lamellar settling with and without polymeric flocculant addition. In: *Proc. NOVATECH 2004, 5th International Conference on Sustainable Techniques and Urban Water Management*, Lyon, France, June 6-10, 2004, pp. 227-234.

Environment Canada Environnement Canada

Canada

**Canada Centre for Inland Waters**
P.O. Box 5050
867 Lakeshore Road
Burlington, Ontario
L7R 4A6 Canada

**National Hydrology Research Centre**
11 Innovation Boulevard
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
S7N 3H5 Canada

**St. Lawrence Centre**
105 McGill Street
Montreal, Quebec
H2Y 2E7 Canada

**Place Vincent Massey**
351 St. Joseph Boulevard
Gatineau, Quebec
K1A 0H3 Canada

**Centre canadien des eaux intérieures**
Case postale 5050
867, chemin Lakeshore
Burlington (Ontario)
L7R 4A6 Canada

**Centre national de recherche en hydrologie**
11, boul. Innovation
Saskatoon (Saskatchewan)
S7N 3H5 Canada

**Centre Saint-Laurent**
105, rue McGill
Montréal (Québec)
H2Y 2E7 Canada

**Place Vincent-Massey**
351 boul. St-Joseph
Gatineau (Québec)
K1A 0H3 Canada