

This manuscript has been submitted to
IWD Scientific Series and the contents
are subject to change.

This copy is to provide information
prior to publication.

**A REVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE ART OF
TRACING THE MOVEMENT OF FINE-GRAINED
SEDIMENT IN AQUATIC SYSTEMS:
LITERATURE REVIEW GREAT LAKES**

by

J.P. Coakley and B.F.N. Long

NWRI Contribution No. 88-23

National Water Research Institute
Lakes Research Branch
Canada Centre for Inland Waters
Burlington, Ontario, Canada L7R 4A6

April 1988

ABSTRACT

A comprehensive literature search of major computer-maintained data bases was made for all references dealing with tracing fine-grained sediment movement. A total of 100 references on the topic were compiled and reviewed. The report summarizes the state of the art in tracing fine sediments and evaluates the various techniques for use in studies of contaminated sediment dynamics in the Toronto waterfront area of Lake Ontario.

RÉSUMÉ

Une recherche documentaire détaillée des principaux fichiers de données informatisés a été effectuée pour toutes les références relatives aux déplacements des sédiments de fine granulométrie. On a compilé et analysé au total 100 références portant sur ce sujet. Le présent document fait le point sur les dernières techniques en matière de surveillance des déplacements des sédiments fins et évalue les diverses techniques utilisées dans les études de la dynamique des sédiments contaminés dans la région de Toronto riveraine du lac Ontario.

MANAGEMENT PERSEPCTIVE

High levels of environment contaminants are associated with fine sediment deposits in many urbanized and industrial areas of the Great Lakes. It appears that the contaminants were adsorbed onto the proportionally large surface areas of fine sediment particles while both were being transported in the water column. It follows therefore that by determining the sources and transport pathways of the contaminated sediment, we would gain valuable insight into the dispersal and ultimate fate of the contaminants. Such insight would considerably enhance the ability of lake managers to plan and apply remedial actions. This report compiles and reviews the available literature for sediment tracers applicable to the fine size fraction, and concludes with recommendations for use in the Great Lakes.

PERSPECTIVE-GESTION

Les teneurs élevées de l'environnement en contaminants sont associées aux dépôts de sédiments fins dans bien des régions urbanisées et industrielles des Grands Lacs. Il semble que les contaminants aient été adsorbés à la surface des particules de sédiments fins pendant que ces particules étaient transportées dans la colonne d'eau. Nous pourrions donc obtenir des renseignements précieux sur la dispersion et le devenir des contaminants en déterminant les sources et les voies de cheminement des sédiments contaminés. Ce type d'informations pourrait améliorer considérablement la capacité des gestionnaires des lacs de planifier et d'appliquer les solutions qui s'imposent. Le présent document fait la compilation et l'analyse de la documentation existante sur toutes les techniques utilisées pour surveiller le déplacement des sédiments de fine granulométrie et conclut avec des recommandations applicables aux Grands Lacs.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Since the early 1950's, the technique of estimating the sediment transport rate in rivers and other bodies of water through the use of sediment tracers has become more and more common. In essence, the technique relies on the ability to recognize a distinguishing property of the existing sediment, or to deliberately mark a volume of sediment in such a way that, its subsequent history in the natural environment could be monitored. In brief, a good sediment tracer should have the following properties:

1. Its hydraulic behavior should be similar to that of the unmarked local sediment.
2. Its presence should be easily quantifiable.
- 3 The point source of the tracer material or property should be precisely known.

The transport rate of the actual sediment can then be estimated from the measured transport rate of the tracer. A thorough description of the concept and techniques involved is presented in Courtois [Reference No. 25], Nelson and Coakley [64], and Tola [91]. The simplicity and directness of the approach is obvious and accounts, in large measure, for its appeal in sediment transport studies. Furthermore, in cases where sediment transport is non-uniform, episodic, or otherwise complex (such as in estuaries, for example), tracer techniques may provide the only feasible means of quantifying such transport processes.

1.1 Problems in Tracing Fine Sediment

Until recently, the development of sediment tracer technology has been driven largely by coastal engineering concerns, such as quantifying longshore drift and beach sand movement. For that reason, most of the techniques are related to sand and coarser sediments. The larger grain-size of these materials allows them to be more readily labelled and detected. However, when the material studied consists of fine sediments (silts and clays), important complications arise, some of which are listed below.

1. Fine sediments may be carried in suspension and dispersed over large areas, thus increasing both the amount (or activity) of tracer, and the number of survey samples, required for plume definition. This wide dispersal potential can also create problems insofar as the safe containment of radioactive tracers is concerned.
2. Fine sediments in suspension are affected by inter-grain electro-chemical attractions which can cause partial or complete flocculation. Marking natural fines or simulating them would lead to unpredictable changes in flocculation processes, and could thus influence the hydraulic behavior of such sediments.
3. Affixing a stable surface tag onto fine particles of irregular surface properties is difficult, and may

result in changes in the effective particle diameter, shape, and density, thus also affecting hydraulic behavior. In addition, because of their large surface/mass ratio, interpretation of surface-labelled tracer data for transport rates can be problematic.

4. Physical simulation of fine sediment particles (e.g., by incorporating the tracer in glass and grinding it to size) is difficult because of problems associated with matching the grain-size and density of the simulated grains with those of the natural material.

1.2 Background and Study Objectives

The above difficulties might explain the relative scarcity of long-term tracer studies on fine sediments in the literature. Most of the experiments recorded are aimed at short-term problems related to dredged spoil dispersion patterns and harbour siltation (Tola et al. [90]). Techniques for tracing fine sediment have been developed also in the Gironde Estuary (Sauzay et al. [78]) and the Rhine River (de Groot et al. [28]). The need for more research into tracing fine sediments is becoming more and more pressing given the important role such sediments play in the dispersal of adsorbed contaminants and toxic chemicals in the Great Lakes system. This report is viewed as an initial step in assessing the utility of tracer techniques for studying fine sediment dynamics in the Great Lakes.

2.0 REVIEW OF TECHNIQUES FOR TRACING FINE SEDIMENTS

The report includes a comprehensive review of the existing literature relevant to tracing fine sediments (Appendix 1) compiled from computerized data bases (WATDOC, FLUIDEX, DELFT, GEOREF), as well as from the personal reference files of the authors. A number of the references came from journals and publications that were unavailable to the authors. These had to be assessed on the basis of their titles and of our prior knowledge of the research area of the author(s). The references were screened and classified into various categories, and an attempt was made to assess their potential usefulness for Great Lakes contaminant-related studies.

The 100 references making up the bibliography (Appendix 1) have been organized into two major cross-referenced groupings: tracer type and tagging procedure (Table 1). In addition, references published prior to 1970 have been identified, as these often have been superceded by improved technologies, and should therefore be downgraded somewhat. These references also are more difficult to obtain and evaluate.

2.1 Tracer Types

Two main tracer types are identified in the literature:

- Artificial
- Natural

Each are further subdivided into various categories: e.g., radioactive, non-radioactive, naturally-occurring, incidentally-introduced, etc. The various subdivisions have been coded in a straight-forward way (Table 1), and these codes have been assigned to the individual references in Appendix 1 for cross-reference purposes.

2.2 Artificial Tracers

Artificial tracers comprise those tracer materials that are tagged or simulated by the experimenter and include:

- radioactive tracers
- fluorescent tracers
- neutron-activable tracers
- tracers incidentally-introduced by man

In choosing an artificial tracer, care must be given to the environment into which it will be injected. The selection must take into account the local hydrodynamic conditions as well as the geochemistry and physical properties of the local sediment.

Some of the more important criteria governing the choice of an artificial tracer may be listed as follows (Nelson and Coakley [64]):

- it must be conservative, i.e., sufficiently durable and stable to survive unchanged over the period of the

observation, otherwise its reduction, decay, or consumption rate must be consistent and fully known;

- it must be detectable at low concentrations in order to reduce as much as possible the amount of material to be used;
- it should be temporary in order to allow other tests to be run later in the same area without concern about sediment contamination;
- its injection or sampling should not change or disturb the natural transport processes;
- it must be inexpensive;
- it must be non-toxic to man and to the aquatic environment.

For fine sediment studies, the main artificial tracers used are:

- radioactive (created by surface coating, incorporation in glass, or adsorption);
- non-radioactive (including neutron-activable elements incorporated in glass, fluorescent tracers, and incidentally-introduced chemical tracers).

Artificial tracers are preferred in some cases because they give the researcher complete control over the conditions of the experiment, especially in so far as timing and background levels are concerned. Artificial fluorescent tracers are usually disregarded for fine sediment work as they require grain-by-grain examination and

counting of the sediment sample - a process that is very difficult for fine sediments. Affixing a fluorescent surface label also poses considerable problems in the case of fine sediments.

2.2.1 Radioactive tracers

This technique is by far the most commonly used in past studies of fine sediment transport (Table 1). The bibliography lists 95 references on radioactive tracers out of a total of 100. The technique involves the affixing of a radioisotope to the sediment, or simulated sediment, and injecting the tracer into the natural sediment system. Because of the relatively high activity of such tracers, detection and counting can be carried out in real time in the field. In addition, cores must be taken in the field and vertically-scanned in the laboratory, to determine the depth of the sediment layer actively being transported. This step is required in order to keep track of tracer losses in the system.

The prime advantage of radioactive tracers is the ease of dynamic detection in the field, which allows the experimenter to follow the tracer plume as it moves. Furthermore, because such tracers naturally decay to background levels, they leave no permanent contamination at the site. The over-riding disadvantage is the inherent health and environmental hazards in the use of such tracers. These considerations necessitate the availability of trained personnel to handle the tracers, and the obtaining of special permits for their use.

Choosing the best radionuclide to use in a tracer experiment depends more on the duration and detectability of the isotope, and safety-related aspects of the experiment conditions, than on the grain-size of the sediment material. For instance, Sauzay et al. [78] used Scandium-45 (half-life of 84 days) in their study of fluid mud pools in the Gironde Estuary. The Sc-45 was readily absorbed onto the clay and its γ -activity allowed measurements over a relatively long period (6 mo). Au-198, however, with its half-life of 65 h, is best used for short-term studies. Table 2 lists some of the more common tags used in the references cited in the bibliography, their half-lives, and other relevant properties.

2.2.2 Neutron-activable tracers

According to Table 1, the above tracers have been used a number of times for fine sediment studies. An excellent description of the technique is found in de Groot et al. [28]. The major advantage they hold over the radioactive tracers is their relative safety of use and lower cost. The tracer elements chosen are not radioactive when injected, but radioactivity is induced when the sediment sample is brought to the laboratory and exposed to a neutron source (reactor) prior to analysis. Although initial equipment costs are lower than for radioactive tracers, the costs of repeated neutron-activation analysis (NAA) in outside laboratories, i.e., between \$25 and \$50 per sample, could be considerable. The main disadvantage is the lack of

good resolution of transport directions and rates because of the limitations of point-sampling in the field and NAA some time afterward. This also means that response to the results is relatively slow, and it is not possible to follow the tracer movement in the field.

The objective of the Toronto Harbour study is to determine long-term transport patterns, so the slowness of neutron-activable tracer techniques does not pose a serious problem, and they can still be considered as viable alternatives. The choice of such a tracer ultimately depends on the distribution and predictability of background levels of potential tracer elements. Elements that have potential for use as neutron-activable tracers are listed in Table 3. Most likely candidates include the rare earth elements cesium, barium, tantalum, and lanthanum, all of which have high neutron-capture "cross-sections", and thus low detection limits, in neutron-activation analysis (Attas [9]). These rare earth elements also have an affinity for fine sediments and thus are relatively easy to use as sediment tags (see Section 2.3.3).

2.2.3 Incidentally-introduced chemical elements

In areas where a readily identified chemical source exists, such as at a sewage treatment plant or nuclear generating station outfall, or at the outlet of a polluted river, the sediments in contact with that source might become contaminated, or labelled, by a

characteristic contaminant to the extent that they may be used as incidental tracers. Fine sediments, especially clays, are usually good ion-exchange and sorption media; insoluble trace metals and radionuclides are readily adsorbed onto the large surfaces they offer (Eichholz et al. [33]; Mundschenk [62]; Auffret et al. [10]).

One advantage of such incidental tracers is that, unlike the two previously-mentioned techniques, they do not require the researcher to purchase tracers or to inject any foreign or hazardous material into the natural system since they are already there. However, like neutron-activable tracers, they suffer from the inability to allow following the tracer movements in real time. Sediment samples also must be taken back to the laboratory for analysis and counting - a procedure that could take days or weeks. Also, analysis for some chemical elements or species could be expensive (up to several hundred dollars per sample). Another disadvantage of such techniques is that they provide only a qualitative estimate of the transport since the "tracer" addition times and rates are uncontrolled by the experimenter, and are often highly variable. Furthermore, partitioning of the chemicals between solid and liquid phases is often not well-known, and thus creates a problem for quantitative interpretation.

Good examples of the application of such a technique are found in Clifton and Hamilton [23] and Olsen et al. [65]. Both references describe the use of anthropogenic radionuclides, introduced into

the aqueous environment either by global fallout, or in the effluent of a nuclear facility (the Windscale fuel reprocessing plant in England and the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station in New Jersey, respectively) to trace sediment processes. Of the two studies, the latter deals more specifically with sediment transport, while the former is aimed more specifically at determining sediment accumulation rates. Other studies that deal with tracing sediments using incidentally introduced chemicals (radionuclides) are by Perkins et al. [70], Gross et al. [42], and Biscayne et al. [14].

In the case of a heavily polluted river (such as the Humber River), it is also conceivable that surficial adsorption of characteristic pollutants onto its fine sediment discharge might render the sediment recognizable after it has entered the general sediment population of the receiving body of water. Initial examination of trace metal contents of sediment samples from Humber Bay (Table 3) indicates that qualitative dispersal patterns of sediments from the Humber River may be thus defined. Examples of potential incidental tracers for Humber River sediments are copper, zinc, chromium, and lead. However, some loss of resolution occurs because precise shoreline locations of these inputs cannot be pin-pointed, and atmospheric inputs are often significant.

Similarly, discussions with NWRI organic geochemists (R. Bourbonniere, J. Carey, pers. comm., 1985) indicate the possibility of using conservative organic components associated with sewage treatment

plant effluents as incidental tracers of sediments originating at these sources. Three such organic compounds mentioned were Coprostanol (Hatcher and McGillivray [43]), linear alkylbenzenesulphonates or LAS (McFvoy and Giger [59]), and vitamin E acetate (Eganhouse and Kaplan [34]). All of these substances can be quantitatively evaluated in sediments using gas chromatography or mass spectrometry, and are believed to be conservative enough to be used as tracers.

2.2.4 Fluorescent tracers

Fluorescent tracers for fine sediment are very rare in the literature; only one was found [57a]. Nevertheless, because these tracers would offer the advantage of being relatively inexpensive and also detectable in the field, some work on such tracers has been carried out since the early 1980's at the Delft Laboratory in the Netherlands. Tests, both in the laboratory and in the field, were conducted using a commercial synthetic particle in powder form which contained a fluorescent pigment, such as Day-glo. The particles were less than 10 micrometres in size.

2.2.5 Natural tracers

Natural tracers include all natural sediment particles or distinctive sediment properties that can be related directly to a certain source, and are sufficiently conservative and easily

quantified to be used as sediment tracers. Examples of such tracers are heavy mineral suites (Byrne and Kulm [20]), trends in grain-size parameters (Pettijohn and Ridge, 1932; McLaren and Bowles, 1985; McLaren, 1986), and distinctive clay mineral assemblages (Lafond and Martin [54]; Neiheisel and Weaver [63], and anthropogenic particles (Dell and Booth [24]).

Such techniques are useful only for qualitative descriptions of sediment transport patterns integrated over relatively long periods. They do not provide any quantitative idea of transport rates, and often the resolution of a transport pattern is impossible due to analytical limitations. However, because they can utilize data usually collected for other purposes (such as grain-size data, for instance) which are relatively inexpensive to obtain, they can be useful as a crude, initial indicator of transport patterns.

2.3 Tagging and Tracer Preparation

The tagging technique for particles destined to be released into the environment must be selected carefully in terms of the detection method planned. The tracer must be easily distinguished from the natural sediment. However, tagging procedures should not alter the mechanical, geochemical, and hydrodynamic properties of the sediment.

Artificial tracers may be prepared by several different techniques. Three approaches are presently used:

- surface coating of sediment collected from the test site;

- creation of an artificial sediment incorporating the tracer in the matrix;
- surface adsorption of a chemical substance.

2.3.1 Surface coating

This technique consists of coating sediment particles collected at the release site with a fine film of tracer material. This procedure preserves the morphology of the natural grain, but it alters very slightly the mean diameter by the thickness of the coating. While feasible for coarse particles such as sand, this technique is generally not suitable for fine material because it changes the grain size too much. Also, because of the larger surface-to-volume ratio of the finer particles, this procedure attributes much more weight to them than to the larger particles in the size distribution, and, since fine particles tend to migrate faster, the method usually leads to an overestimation of the total sediment transport.

2.3.2 Artificial sediment particles

A. Glass

The predominant medium for use as an synthetic fine sediment is glass. Glass has the advantage of being of roughly similar density to silicate minerals (quartz and feldspar) which comprise the bulk of detrital fine sediments, especially in glaciated terrains such as the Great Lakes area. It is also able to incorporate a number of

distinctive tracer elements within its internal structure, thus providing almost ideal "mass labelling", i.e., tracer concentration proportional to mass, rather than surface area. Both of these considerations result in realistic and accurate transport estimates. The main drawback in the use of glass as a tracer medium is that clay-sized particles cannot be easily simulated. That probably explains the relatively small number of references dealing with glass simulation of very fine sediments (Table 1). Synthetic sediments made from glass can be used for both radioactive and neutron-activable tracer studies.

Neutron-activable tracer glasses which require large quantities can best be prepared by commercial glass manufacturers. In contrast, because of the small quantities needed, and their specialized nature, radioactive glasses can be obtained only from government atomic energy research agencies (such as Atomic Energy of Canada (AECL) or the Commissariat d'Energie Atomique (CEA) in Saclay, France). The glass is manufactured commercially (e.g., Saint-Gobains in France) and is then activated by the government agency. Before activation, the glass is ground mechanically to fit the size distribution of the local sediment at the injection site. For practical reasons, the fit is usually made to the modal size fraction, although for more precise work, the entire size distribution curve may be reconstructed (Coakley et al., 1974).

A variety of tracer elements have been incorporated into glass (Table 3); however, one of the main restrictions is the amount of element that can be accommodated within the glass structure. The proportion by weight of the tracer element is usually 5% or less (Coakley et al., 1973).

B. Natural Ores and Commercial Powders

Instead of using an artificial glass containing the distinctive tracer element, a commercial, naturally-occurring ore of the tracer element might sometimes be less expensive and more conveniently obtained. Such materials would have all the advantages of glass, in addition to allowing the content of the tracer element to be even higher than that allowed in the glass. Staff at Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd. (AECL), Pinawa, Manitoba, have suggested the use of finely-ground pollucite (an alumino-silicate ore containing approximately 24% of the distinctive element, cesium (Cs)) as a tracer in areas where the background conditions are suitable (Michael Attas, AECL, pers. comm., 1986).

Fluorescent tracer particles in the form of a commercial powder have been used to trace fine sediments [57a]. Also, it is conceivable that naturally-fluorescent ores, such as scheelite (CaWO_4) or calcite (CaCO_3) could be used, if they are finely ground and if their densities are suitable. However, no report of such usage was found in the literature search.

2.3.3 Surface adsorption

Table 1 shows that this technique has been used the most in tagging fine sediments for transport tracing. Its most obvious advantage is that the tracer element is deposited physico-chemically onto the surface of the natural sediment itself. Thus the major hydraulic properties remain virtually unchanged, even for silts and clays. Another important advantage is that the technique can be carried out in the field, and so it is ideal for such applications as studying the movement of dumped dredged spoil (Tola et al. [93]). However, like all surface tags, the technique leads to a slight overestimation of the transport rate, as finer particles carry proportionally more tracer than coarser ones.

Successful labelling techniques have been carried out using radioactive Hf-181, 175 (Etcheber et al. [38]), Sc-46 (Sauzay et al. [78]), Au-198 and Cr-51 (Bougault [16], Bougault et al. [19], and Tola et al. [93]). In the case of Au-198, the gold tracer is first prepared in the form of a chlorauric (gold/hydrochloric acid) solution. When this solution is brought into contact with the silt suspension, the Au-198 is reduced to metallic gold and is fixed onto the particle surface. Cr-51 is precipitated onto the surface of the particle from a chromium hydroxide solution.

De Groot et al. [28] described the use of stable isotopes of cobalt and tantalum as neutron-activable tracers for fine sediments, mainly clay. Relevant details of these elements and other elements

are given in Table 3. Cobalt may be attached to the sediment particles as:

- intercalated Co-ions between clay lattice layers;
- exchangeable ions in the electric double layers of clay minerals;
- precipitated Co(OH)_3 ;
- chelated Co fixed to the organic matter in the sediments.

Of these modes, only the latter two provide the relative tightness of bonding necessary for the use of cobalt as a tracer. On the other hand, tantalum, like most rare earth elements, adheres readily to sediment. TaCl_5 is first dissolved in dilute HF, and the sediment is boiled in the solution.

Ecker et al. [31a] experimented with numerous elements (e.g., gold, rhenium), as neutron-activable tracers for fine-grained dredged spoil before choosing the element, iridium (Table 3). Ten kilograms of iridium metal in powdered form were first converted to the soluble iridium salt. The salt was then surface-adsorbed onto approximately 10^4 kg of dredged sediment.

2.4 Injection of Fine-Grained Tracer Materials

Injection of tracer materials is carried out in such a way that disturbance of the environment by the tracer is minimized. Large amounts of tracer dumped on the bottom, for instance, would form a pile, and would alter the natural equilibrium that existed on the

lake bed. In such a case, the tracer movement measured initially would be due primarily to the erosion of this unnatural obstacle.

The key to accurate tracer measurement of sediment movement is the rapid mixing and incorporation of the tracer into the local sediment system. For tracing fine sediment moving in suspension, it is definitely best that the tracer be injected directly into the suspension, rather than placed on the bottom. In such a case, good mixing occurs immediately during the release operation. Such an injection procedure is feasible for tracing instantaneous discharges from a plant or a polluted river. However in cases where movement takes place some time after injection by resuspension from the bottom during storms, for example, direct placement on the bottom is the most feasible approach.

2.4.1 Injection system for radioactive glass tracers

These tracers are usually injected in relatively small amounts (5 kg or less), so they can be easily isolated in a small container prior to, and during, injection. The techniques most commonly used are:

- time-delayed release from soluble plastic bags placed on the bottom (Schulz and Pillot, 1965; Duane, 1970);
- direct release from containers emptied by a diver (Vernon, 1964), with due attention given to personal safety;

- release from specially-designed injection systems opened on or above the seabed. The advantage of this last technique is that it creates a dispersion cloud directly during the immersion. The larger-diameter tracer origin point thus produced on the bottom greatly enhances tracking of the subsequent dispersion.

2.4.2 Injection of adsorbed tracers

When used for tracing dredged spoil movement, surface-absorbed tracers are injected from the barge as part of the dumping procedure [93, 31a, 57a]. In the case of large-scale marking and re-injection of natural fine sediments from the site, injection can be carried out from a boat other than that used in the detection phase in order to avoid contamination of the detectors. A secondary circuit including a high flow pump (50 L/min) ensures the dilution of the labelled sediment to a concentration of 18 g/L in order to avoid the formation of a density current, and delivers the suspension at a depth of about 1 m.

Injection lasts less than a minute and marker floats are placed at the beginning and end to indicate approximately the extremities of the sediment suspension, thus aiding the subsequent dynamic detection process.

2.4.3 Injection of neutron-activable tracers

One of the important differences between these and radioactive tracers is the large quantities of tracer needed to achieve a similar level of detection. For instance, assuming a uniform dispersal of a fine-grained tracer over an area of 5 km^2 , a detection limit of 1 ppm for the traced element, and a 10 cm active sediment transport layer, the amount of tracer element needed is 250 kg.

Injecting such large quantities of tracer poses some difficulty. One of the approaches considered for use in the Toronto waterfront area involves a boat equipped with apparatus for mixing a slurry of water with the tracer material, and for pumping the slurry at low concentrations over the side. This technique is intended for use at the mouth of the Humber River, to disperse the tracer rapidly into the river suspended sediment flow.

2.5 Detection and Survey of tracer Distribution

Sampling of the tracer cloud constitutes the most delicate part of an experiment, as it determines the quality of the data collected. Excellent position-fixing is mandatory for reliable results, and samples are usually collected along a pre-determined grid or survey pattern. In a lacustrine environment, a number of hydrodynamic factors (currents, waves, intermittent resuspension) affect the transport, and thus the probable direction of tracer transport is

difficult to determine beforehand. For this reason, the sampling grid is complex and the operation requires a large number of samples.

The amount of tracer to be injected and the sampling grid size are interrelated. They depend of the expected spatial dispersion of the tracer, the thickness of the mobile sediment layer, and the sampling mode. De Vries (1966), in a theoretical calculation of the number of samples required showed that the relative error of the concentration measurements is inversely proportional to the square root of the number of marked grains in the sample. A minimum of 100 tagged particles are required per sample collected in order to have an error of only 10%.

Sampling modes commonly used include:

- point-by-point sampling (point sampling);
- static detection;
- dynamic detection on the bottom;
- dynamic detection in suspension.

Courtois and Sauzay (1970) demonstrated that the quantity of tracer injected depended on the type of detection. The relative quantity of the tracer used must vary from 1 (dynamic detection) to 10 (static detection) and 100 (point sampling).

2.5.1 Point sampling

This sampling mode is often used in connection with neutron-activable or chemical tracers. It consists of collecting sediment samples in the field:

- by centrifuging the water column (suspended sediment);
- on submerged grease-coated plates (surficial bottom sediment);
- by grab samples (bottom sediment);
- by coring (bottom sediment).

The sampling pattern is adjusted according to the assumed sediment transport direction and dispersion. The pattern plan can be a radial or rectangular grid of suitable size.

2.5.2 Static detection

This type of detection is used primarily in association with radioactive tracers. The method involves the fixed positioning of a detector array so as to intersect and measure the sediment flow past that position (Courtois and Sauzay, 1970). Due to the small area of coverage analysed per sensor at each point (1 m^2), this method requires the use of a much larger amount of tracer than that required for dynamic detection (ratio 1 to 10). The method is promising for measurement of the temporal evolution of the tracer at a single point for specialized studies such as those on the role played by sedimentary bedforms in bedload transport.

2.5.3 Dynamic detection on the bottom

For dynamic detection of the tracer distribution on the bottom, the detector may be mounted on a sled or on a remotely-operated vehicle (ROV), taking measurements at points along predetermined traverse lines. The detector thus normally covers a strip of the bottom approximately 1 m wide, so it has the opportunity of detecting a large number of tagged grains. The availability of compact data acquisition systems has made this procedure the most commonly used for detecting radioactive tracers on the bottom. Case et al. (1971) estimate that 2% of all tracer deposited on the bottom can be detected within one hour over an area of 300 x 600 m, which would correspond to the equivalent of 50,00 samples collected with a Shipek grab sampler (Nelson and Coakley, 1974).

The accuracy of this method is directly proportional to the number of cross-sections through the tracer plume. The sample rate is generally 1 Hz and the data are recorded continuously on magnetic tape or analog (strip-chart) recorder. Results are available in real time, so the detection plan may be modified in the field to follow the movement of the tracer plume.

2.5.4 Dynamic detection in suspension

This method is specifically used to determine the dispersion of the radioactive tracer plume in suspension, and is well documented by Tola [92] and Tola et al. [93].

Detection begins immediately after injection. Two boats are used simultaneously. One boat is used for injecting the tracer, and afterward, both boats carry out the transverse and longitudinal surveying of the suspended tracer plume. Two detectors per boat are needed in order to obtain sufficient and reliable results.

Survey of the tracer dispersion takes place at constant velocity passing as close as possible to the center of gravity (or maximum concentration) of the plume as it is advected by the flow. The velocity of the detection boats must be such that the concentration variation due to the movement of the plume during a traverse across it is negligible. The survey is considered instantaneous.

2.6 Analysis and Interpretation of Tracer Experiment Results

Qualitative results may be readily obtained by plotting the tracer concentration isolines and determining visually the transport pathway by the configuration of the resulting pattern with respect to the injection point. If the quantity of tracer detected is low, or if natural or incidentally-introduced tracers are being used, only qualitative results are obtainable. For quantitative results, however, analysis of tracer results is more complicated. The principal techniques found in the literature are briefly discussed below.

2.6.1 Count-rate balance method

The count rate balance method is defined in Courtois [25, 26]. This method is designed to obtain the transport rate (q) through a cross-section orthogonal to the resultant direction.

$$q = \rho L_t V_m E_m \quad (1)$$

where: q - a transport rate (t/d)
 ρ - density of sediment
 L_t - the width of transport (m)
 V_m - the mean transport velocity (m/day)
 E_m - the transport thickness (m)

The velocity of transport of the plume (V_m), is determined by the displacement, per unit time, of its centre of gravity. The position of the center of gravity is obtained from successive surveys. The transport thickness (E_m) is best estimated by coring and obtaining profiles of activity versus depth in the cores.

2.6.2 Lavelle method

Other authors have developed different methods for determining rates of sediment transport from tracer data. Lavelle et al. (1978) used an advection-diffusion model that described the movement of the sediment cloud in two dimensions.

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} V_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - D_x \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - D_y \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad (2)$$

where: $C(x,y,t)$ represents concentration of the tracer at points x,y , at time t

V_x is the sediment advection velocity

D_x and D_y , the sedimentary diffusion coefficients.

2.6.3 Inman method

Inman et al. (1980) used two different approaches to measure the transport in the littoral zone:

- a) Temporal Approach The sampling is made by coring at fixed intervals along a line across the tracer path. The tracer is injected on one line (L_1) at time (t_0) and is sampled along line (L_e) at time, t_1 (t_1 varies from 0 to ∞).

If y_1 is the distance between L_1 and L_e , then

$$M = \int_0^{x_b} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{y}{t} Z_0 \bar{N} dx dt \quad (3)$$

where: M is the total mass of tracer

$Z_0(x)$ is the thickness of the mobile layer

$\bar{N}(x,y,t)$ = mass/volume; (i) refers to a particular sampling event

The speed of the tracer movement can be expressed as:

$$V_L = \frac{1}{\sum N_i} \sum N_i \frac{y_i}{t_i} \quad (4)$$

and the quantity transported:

$$I_L = Q_L (\rho_s - \rho) g N_0 \quad (5)$$

where: I_L = the immersed weight of the sediment transported

Q_L = volume flux of sediment

N_0 = mass concentration (mass/volume) of tracer

g = acceleration due to gravity.

ρ = density of water

ρ_s = density of solids

- b) Spatial Approach Grab samples are collected synoptically over a fixed grid at a fixed time after the injection. The injection line is located inside this grid by y_0 , and the sampling lines (y_i) are characterised by:

$$-\infty \leq y_1 \leq +\infty$$

The equation of conservation of the tracer is:

$$M = \int_0^{x_b} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_0 \bar{N} dx dy \quad (6)$$

and the volume of the sediment flux is:

$$O_L = \int_0^{x_b} V_L Z_0(x) dx \quad (7)$$

with the total injected material obtained using equation (5).

2.6.4 Tola method for suspended load

In a more complex treatment, Tola [91] analysed the horizontal dispersion parameters of a tracer injection using an analytical model for the dispersion of fine sediment in a semi-infinite medium of a finite and constant depth. Assuming that the horizontal dispersion follows a Gaussian law, he defined a longitudinal dispersion equation:

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 \bar{C}_m dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{C}_m dx} \quad (9)$$

where: σ_x is the variance, or dispersion of the longitudinal Gaussian distribution relative to the GXY axis
 X is the abscissa relative to GXY axis, moving with the centre of gravity of the tracer distribution
 \bar{C}_m is the maximum average concentration

inator in equation (9) represents the total mass along the vertical axis (x). A similar expression can be defined for the transversal dispersion with respect to the horizontal axis (y). Further manipulation of these diffusion relationships enable the researcher to track the evolution of the tracer plume with time.

3.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The variety of tracer techniques described in the literature on tracing fine sediments suggests strongly that the choice of technique for tracing fine sediments depends primarily on the nature of the application. The best technique for one site or application is not necessarily the best for another. Most of the investigations were associated with short-term studies of dispersal plumes from dumped dredged spoil. It is clear that, for such studies, where the ability to follow the fine sediment plume in real time is important, the radioactive tracer techniques are preferable. An excellent example is the techniques developed by Tola and his colleagues, involving the surficial attachment to short-lived radioisotope Au-198 to natural

clayey sediments via a special chemical process. The sediment hydrodynamic properties remain virtually unchanged, and the transport of the tracer-marked sediment plume can be followed using dynamic detection procedures. Furthermore, this technique permits the natural sediment to be tagged concurrently with its injection into the system.

Longer-term fine sediment studies must deal with intermittent resuspension and transport events over periods of weeks or months, so longer-lived radioisotopes would be necessary, and detection would be confined to the bottom deposits. Use has been made of isotopes such as Iridium-192 (75 days) and Scandium-46 (84 days), with bottom surveys being carried out using a sled-mounted detector or a remotely-operated vehicle. However, the use of long-lived radio-nuclides must entail much thought being given to the safety-related factors, especially if the study is located in multiple-use areas, such as the Toronto waterfront. In addition to bathing beaches, there are three drinking water intakes along this waterfront within potential reach of the tracer plume.

Given these safety considerations, and the stated goal of focussing on longer-term transport trends, non-radioisotopic tracers might offer definite advantages. Although the literature here is much sparser than for radioactive tracers, the most attractive among this type is the neutron-activable tracers. These tracers have relatively low environmental impact, but at the same time, they give adequate

resolution for most applications. Their major problem is their inability to provide information on real-time transport patterns.

For long-term fine sediment transport integrated over periods of years, use might also be made of incidentally-introduced chemicals adsorbed onto the existing fine sediments being transported. These include both inorganic chemicals and trace metals. However, because the rate of their introduction into, and removal from, the system is not known, and their respective sources can often only be speculated upon, the level of resolution is less than those described above. As a result, they probably may best be regarded as preliminary indicators of transport, providing only qualitative or directional information on contaminated sediment transport.

Finally, natural tracers, including grain-size properties, heavy minerals, and clay mineral suites also offer limited use in tracing long-term transport patterns. The major disadvantage of these is that because the shoreline and watershed sediments in the Great Lakes area, for instance, are a complex of glacial and glaciolacustrine sediments, the source inputs of the properties can only be inferred. In any event, grain-size data are important for other aspects of contaminant investigations, so this information is supplied "free" to infer overall transport patterns, especially in the case of the fine sands and silts, where flocculation does not alter the original sediment texture.

REFERENCES

- Case, F.N., Acree, E.H. and Brashear, H.R. 1971. A survey system for use in tracing radionuclide-tagged sediment in the marine environment. In Nuclear Techniques in Environmental Pollution, Vienna, IAEA, p. 603-628.
- Coakley, J.P., Durham, R.W., Nelson, D.E. and Goble, R. 1974. Determination of nearshore sediment movement in the Great Lakes using neutron-activable glass sand. Proc. Symp. on Interrelationships of Estuarine and Continental Shelf Sedimentation, Inst. Geol., Bassin Aquitaine, Mem., 7: 363-368.
- Courtois, G. and Sauzay, G. 1970. Les masses de sediment marqués a injecter dans une experience de traceurs radioactifs en sédimentologie dynamique. La Houille Blanche, 7: 629-642.
- De Vries, M. 1966. Applications of luminophores in sand transport studies. Delft Tech. Univ. Lab. Mining Rep., 39p.
- Duane, D.B. 1970. Tracing sand movement in the littoral zone: Progress in the radio-isotope sand tracer (RIST) study). U.S. Army CECEL, MP4-70, 52 p.
- Inman, D.L., Zampol, J.A., White, T.E., Hanes, D.M., Waldorf, B.W. and Kastens, K.A. 1980. Field measurements of sand motion in the surf zone. Proc. 17th Int. Conf. on Coastal Engr. 1979, Sydney, Australia, 2: 1215-1234.

- Lavelle, J.W., Swift, D.J.P., Gadd, P.E., Stubblefield, W.L., Case, T.N., Brashear, H.R. and Haff, K.W. 1978. Fair weather and storm transport on the Long Island, New York, inner shelf. *Sedimentology*, 25: 823-842.
- McLaren, P. 1986. Practical applications in the interpretation of the sedimentary signature. *Dock and Harbour Auth.*, LXVII(780): 31-33.
- McLaren, P. and Bowles, D. 1985. The effects of sediment transport on grain-size distributions. *J. Sed. Petrology*, 55(4): 457-470.
- Nelson, D.E. and Coakley, J.P. 1974. Techniques for tracing sediment movement. *Scientific Series No. 32, IWD. CCIW Report*, 40 p.
- Pettijohn, F.J. and Ridge, J.D. 1932. A textural variation series of beach sands from Cedar Point, Ohio. *J. Sed. Petrology*, 2(2): 76-88.
- Schulz, H. and Pillot, G. 1965. Determination quantitative des mouvements de sable. *C.R. Reunion Bruxelles. Eurisotop Cah. Inf.*, 8(25): 401-406.
- Vernon, J.W. 1964. Shelf sediment transport. *Prog. Rep. U.S. Army Coastal Eng. Res. Center, Contract Da-49-055 Civ. Eng.* 63-13, 8 p.

TABLE 1: CLASSIFICATION OF REFERENCES RELATED TO FINE-GRAINED SEDIMENT TRACERS

TAGGING PROCEDURE				
TRACER TYPES	1. Artificial Particle	2. Surface Label	3. Adsorption	Total
<u>ARTIFICIAL</u>				
A: Radioactive	21,25,26,31, 45,66,71,72, 73,75,76,77, 78,79,80,81, 83,84,90,91, 96 (21)*	1,2,3,4,7,15, 21,24,25,26,31, 36,37,38,45,46, 47,49,50,51,52, 53,58,60,66,68, 69,71,72,73,74, 75,77,78,79,80, 81,83,84,85,86, 87,88,89,90,91, 96,97,30,35,39, 40,92,93,94 (56)	6,11,12,16, 17,18,19,21, 22,25,26,27, 31,33,37,38, 44,63,90,91, 95,100 (22)	99
B: Non-Radioactive				
1. Neutron-activable			5,9,28,41, 100 (31a)	5
2. Incidentally introduced			8,10,20,22, 23,29,34,42, 43,59,62,65, 70,75,82	15
3. Fluorescent	57a			
4. Chemical tracer		55,61 (2)	55,56,61 (3)	5
<u>NATURAL</u>				
C: Naturally-occurring substance	54,63 (2)		16,32,41 (3)	5
D: Naturally-occurring radioisotope			13,14,62,63 (4)	4
E: UNCLASSIFIED, GENERAL	30,31,48,57,60,64,66,67,88,94,98,99	(12)		12
REFERENCES PRE-1970	1,18,19,20,33,34,36,42,45,47,48, 49,50,52,52,53,58,61,63,68,69,71, 72,73,74,75,76,84,85,86,87,99	(32)		32

* Totals for individual tracer types are shown in brackets.

TABLE 2: RADIONUCLIDES USED IN SEDIMENT TRACER STUDIES (MODIFIED FROM NELSON AND COAKLEY, 1974)

Radio Nuclide	Decay Mode*	Efficiency	δ -Ray Energy(MeV)	Half-life
^{46}Sc	β^-	100% 100%	2.01 0.89	83.9 d
^{51}Cr	Electron Capture	9%	0.32	27.8 d
^{59}Fe	β^-	45% 53%	1.29 1.10	45 d
^{60}Co	β^-	100% 100%	1.33 1.17	5.26 y
^{65}Zn	β^+	49%	1.12	245 d
$^{95}(\text{Zr+Nb})$	β^-	49% 49% 100%	0.76 0.72 0.75	65 d
^{99}Mo	β^-	17% 82%	0.74, 0.78 0.14	67 h
^{110}Ag	β^-	100% 74% 33%	0.66 0.89 0.94	253 d
^{124}Sb	β^-	100% 51%	0.60 1.69	60 d
^{140}La	β^-	38% 48% 44% 96%	0.33 0.49 0.82 1.59	40.2 h
^{169}Tb	β^-	30% 31%	0.30 0.88 others	72.1 d
^{181}Hf	-	-	-	42.4 d
^{175}Hf	-	-	-	70 d
^{192}Ir	β^-	100% 60%	0.32 0.49 others	74.2 d
^{198}Au	β^-	99%	0.41	65 h

* β^- - Electron emission.

ρ - Positron emission.

**TABLE 3: ELEMENTS POTENTIALLY USEFUL AS NEUTRON-ACTIVABLE TRACERS
(MODIFIED AFTER de GROOT ET AL., 1970)**

Element	Coincidence Method Detection Limit (ppm)	Gamma-ray Spectrometry (Ge-Li) Method Detection Limit in Sediment (ppm)	Natural Content (ppm)	Price/kg (\$US)
Tantalum (Ta)	-	1	1	125
Antimony (Sb)	0.2	0.2	2	5
Cobalt (Co)	1	1	20	30
Indium (In)	-	4	4	4000
Iridium (Ir)	-	0.05	0.05	4000
Terbium (Tb)	3	3	(2)	15000
Europium (Eu)	-	0.2	1	30000
Cesium (Cs)		0.5	2**	
Lanthanum (La)		0.2	35**	
Barium (Ba)		10	700	

* Natural content in Rhine River sediments.

** Natural content in Humber Bay sediment, Lake Ontario.

APPENDIX 1

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF REFERENCES ON TRACING FINE SEDIMENTS

FINE-GRAINED SEDIMENTS: TRACERS

1. Acree, E.H.; Brashear, H.R.; Case, F.N. 1970. An underwater
(A.2)* survey system for radionuclide tagged sediment tracing.
Proc. 12th Coastal Eng. Conf., Washington, ASCE publ., 2,
815-820.
2. Allen, G.P.; Sauzay, G.; Castaing, P.; Jouanneau, J.M. 1975.
(A.2) Transport and deposition of suspended sediment in the
Gironde estuary, France. In: Estuarine Processes (Proc.
3rd Int.-Est. Research Conf., Galveston, U.S.A.), 2, 63-81.
3. Allen, G.P.; Bonnefille, R.; Courtois, G.; Migniot, C. 1974.
(A.2) Processus de sédimentation des vases dans l'estuaire de la
Gironde: Contribution d'un tracer radioactif pour l'étude
du déplacement des vases. La Houille Blanche, 29, 129-136.
4. Allen, G.P.; Castaing, P.; Jouanneau, J.M. 1977. Mécanismes
(A.2) de remise en suspension et de dispersion des sédiments fins
dans l'estuaire de la Gironde. Soc. Geol. France,
Bull. 19, no. 2, 167-176.
5. Allersma, E.; De Bruin, M.; de Groot, A.J.; Houtman, J.P.W.
(B1.3) 1973. Use of activatable tracers. In: Tracer Techniques
in Sediment Transport. IAEA, Technical Report 145, Vienna.

* Keyed to rows and columns in Table in the main report.

6. André, H.; Douillet, G. 1971. Progrès réalisés dans les
(A.3) méthodes de jaugeage; traceurs radioactifs chimiques. In
Rapport Nat. Sur la XV ème Assemb Generale l'Union Geodes.
Int. Hydrologie Scientifique, 325-327.
7. Anguenot, F.; Courtois, G. 1973. Apports des traceurs radio-
(A.2) actifs à la connaissance des transports sédimentaires estu-
ariens et littoraux. Inst. Geol. Bassin Aquitaine, 10 p.
8. Aston, S.R.; Duursma, E.K. 1973. Concentrated effects on
(B2.3) Cs-137, Zn-65, Co-60 and Ru-106 sorption by marine sedi-
ments with geochemical implications. Neth. Jour. Sea Res.,
Amsterdam, 6(1-2), 225-240.
9. Attas, M. 1987. Dust, sand, and fish: NAA of rare earth
(B1.3) tags. Abstr. subm. to Int. Topical COnf. Am. Nuclear Soc.,
Hawaii, Apr. 1987.
10. Auffret, J.P.; Germain, P.; Guegueniat, P.; Lemosquet, Y.
(B2.3) 1971. Etude expérimentale de la fixation du césium-137 par
certains sédiments de la manche. Cah. Oceanogr., 23(10),
935-955.
11. Azoeuf, P.; Caillot, A.; Hoslin, R.; Jouanneau, J.M.;
(A.3) Latouche, C. 1979. Etude simultanée du comportement
physico-chimique du zinc et des mouvements sédimentaires
dans le système Garonne-Gironde: Application de la tech-
nique de double marquage des vases. IGBA-CNEXO Contract
Report No. 78/5613, 168 p.

12. Azoeuf, P.; Caillot, A.; Gourlez, P.; Hoslin, R. 1978. Etude
(A.3) du comportement physicochimique de l'hydroxyde de zinc dans
le système fluvio-estuarien Garonne-Gironde: Application
de la technique de double marquage des vases. Commis.
Energ. Atomique, Bull. Inf. Sci. Tech., 230-231, 125-129.
13. Benninger, L.K.; Lewis, D.M.; Turekian, K.K. 1975. The use
(D.3) of natural Pb-210 as a heavy metal tracer in the river-
estuarine system. Am. Chem. Soc. Sym., Ser. 18, 202-210.
14. Biscayne, P.E.; Olsen, C.R.; Mathieu, G. 1978. Suspended
(D.3) particulate matter and natural radionuclides as tracers of
pollutant transports in continental shelf waters of the
eastern U.S. First American-Soviet Symposium on Chemical
Pollution of the Marine Environment, 125-147.
15. Bonnefille, R.; Lepetit, R-P.; Lespine, E. 1975. Simulation
(A.3) of silt deposition in the Gironde Estuary. Proc. 16th IAHR
Congress, San Paulo, Brazil, 1(A24), 193-200.
16. Bougault, H. 1970. Etude de la sorption de quelques radio-
(A.3) éléments artificiels par les sédiments péltiques en vue de
son application au marquage radioactif de ces matériaux.
Unpubl. Ph.D. thesis, faculté dans Sciences, Univ. de
Paris, 127 p. (C.E.N. Report CEA-R-4094, Saclay, France).

17. Bougault, H. 1971. The absorption of some artificial radio-
(A.3) elements by pelitic sediments used in the radioactive
labeling of those materials. Comm. Energie Atomique Rapp.
No. 4094, 90 p.
18. Bougault, H. 1966. Le marquage des sédiments pélitiques par
(A.3) l'or 198 et le chrome 51. Rapport de stage DR/S.R.S/66-
37/BH/JJ.
19. Bougault, H.; Caillot, A.; Courtois, G.; Jeanneau, B. 1967.
(A.3) Dépôts superficiels de radioéléments sur les sables et les
vases. In: Isotopes in Hydrology, Vienna, IAEA, 233-262.
20. Byrne, J.V.; Kulm, L.D. 1967. Natural indicators of estu-
(A2.3) arine sediment movement. Waterways and Harbours Div.,
Proc. Amer. Soc. Civil Engrs., WW2, 181-194.
21. Caillot, A. 1970. Les méthodes de marquage des sédiments par
(A.1, des indicateurs radioactifs. Houille Blanche, 24(7),
661-674.
22. Case, F.N. 1975. The application of radionuclides in the
(A.3) study of sediment transport and treatment of waste
effluents. Am. Nucl. Soc., 22, 109-110.
23. Clifton, R.F.; Hamilton, E.I. 1982. The application of
(B2.3) radiosotopes in the study of sedimentary processes.
Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Sci., 14, 433-446.

24. Cormault, P. 1971. Détermination expérimentale du débit
(A.3) solide d'érosion de sédiments fins cohésifs. XIV Congr.
Assoc. Int. Rech. Hydraul., Paris, France, 9-16.
25. Courtois, G. 1973. The use of artificial radioactive tracers
(A.1, in France. In: Tracer techniques in sediment transport;
2,3) artificial radioactive tracers. IAEA, Tech. Rep. Ser.,
145, 71-80.
26. Courtois, G. 1970. La dynamique sédimentaire et les traceurs
(A.1, radioactifs; point de la situation en France. La Houille
2,3) Blanche, 25(7), 617-660.
27. Coutts, J.R.H.; Tinsley, J. 1970. Use of iron-59 for radio-
(A.3) active tracing of soil particles in erosion studies.
Proc. International Water Erosion Sympos., 2, 121-139.
28. de Groot, A.J.; Allersman, E.; deBruin, M.; Houtman, J.W.
(B1.3) 1970. Cobalt and tantalum tracers measured by activation
analysis in sediment transport studies. In: Isotope
Hydrology, Vienna, IAEA, 885-898.
29. Dell, C.I.; Booth, W.G. Anthropogenic particles in sediments
(B2.3) of Lake Eire. J. Great Lakes Res., IAGLR, 3(3-4), 204-210.
30. Duursma, E.K. 1976. Radioactive tracers in estuarine chemi-
(E) cal studies. In: Estuarine Chemistry, J.D. Burton and
P.S. Liss (Eds.), Chapt. 6, 159-183.

31. Dyer, K.R. 1972. Sedimentation in estuaries. In: The
(E) Estuarine Environment, R.S.K. Barnes and J. Green (Eds.),
Applied Science Publ. (London), 11-32.
- 31a. Ecker, R.M.; Sustar, J.F.; Harvey, W.T. 1976. Tracing estu-
arine sediments by neutron activation. Proc. 15th Ocastal
Engineering Conference, ASCE, Honolulu, V.II, 2009-2026.
32. Edmond, J.M.; Boyle, E.D.; Drummond, D.; Grant, B.; Mislick,
(C.3) T. 1978. Desorption of barium in the plume of the Zaire
(Congo) River. Neth. Jour. Sea Res. (Amsterdam), 12(3/4),
324-328.
33. Eichholz, G.G.; Craft, T.F.; Galli, A.N. 1967. Trace element
(A.3) fractionation by suspended matter in water. Geochim.
Cosmochim. Acta, 31(5), 737-745.
34. Eganhouse, R.P.; Kaplan, I.R. 1985. α -Tocopheryl acetate as
(B2.3) an indicator of municipal waste contamination in the
environment. Environ. Sci. Technol., 19, 282-285.
35. Einstein, H.A.; Krone, R.B. 1961. Methods of determining
(A.2) sand and silt transport. Proc. 20th Int. Assoc. of Naviga-
tion Congr., Baltimore, S11-5, 175-192.
36. Ellis, W.R.; Gardner, M. 1958. Labelling sewage sludge with
(A.2) Iodine-131. Australian AEC Report AAEC/E.9, September
1958.
37. Etcheber, H.; Jouanneau, J.M.; Azoeuf, P. 1980. Double
(A.2,3) radio-tracer tagging of mud in the Gironde. Oceanologica
Acta, 3(4), 477-486.

38. Etcheber, H.; Jouanneau, J.M.; Latouche, C.; Azoeuf, P.;
(A.2,3) Caillot, A; Hoslin, R. 1980. L'experience "double
marquage de vase en Gironde." Contribution à la connais-
sance du devenir d'une pollution metallique en estuaire.
Oceanol. Acta, 3(4), 477-486.
39. Gourlez, P. 1973. Etude des transferts de crème de vase dans
(A.2) les estuaires a l'aide de traceurs radioactifs, application
à l'estuaire de la Gironde. Thèse Ing. CNAM, 56 p.
40. Gourlez, P.; Tola, F.; Radhakrishnan, R.; Cheong, T.A. 1984.
(A.2) A study of the stability of an artificial beach and of the
transport of fine sediment using radioactive tracers.
Int. At. Energy Agency, Ses. VIII, 771-779.
41. Grieve, D.; Fletcher, K. 1977. Interactions between zinc and
(B1.3) suspended sediments in the Fraser River estuary. Estuar.
Coast. Mar. Sc. (London), 5(3), 415-419.
42. Gross, M.G.; Nelson, J.L. 1966. Sediment movement on the
(B2.3) continental shelf near Washington and Oregon. Science
154(3571), 879-880.
43. Hatcher, P.G.; McGilivray, P.A. 1979. Sewage contamination
(B2.3) in the New York Bight. Coprostanol as an indicator.
Environ. Sci. Technol., 13(10), 1225-1229.

44. Hoslin, R. 1980. The simultaneous study of the physico-
(A.3) chemical behaviour of zinc and the sediment movements in
the Garonne-Gironde system. University of Paris,
Thesis VI.
45. Hours, R.; Jaffry, P. 1960. The application of radioactive
(A.1,2) isotopes to the study of motion of silt and pebbles in the
rivers and in the sea. Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique,
Paris, France, CEA-1269.
46. Jeanneau, B. 1967. Étude de divers procédés de marquage de
(A.2) sédiments par l'or 198. Thèse, rapport CEA, R-3389.
47. Klein, H.A. 1960. Silt transport observation in a tidal
(A.2) river by means of radioactive substances. Wasserwirtschaft,
50(4), 95-100.
48. Kriek, P.N. 1963. Report on a silt tracing test in Newcastle
(E) Harbour, December 1960. Hunter Valley Research Foundation
Monograph No. 18, 62 p.
49. Krone, R.B. 1957. Silt transport studies utilizing radio-
(A.2) isotopes. Hydrological Engineering and Sanitary Engineer-
ing Research Laboratory, University of California,
Berkeley, 112 p.

50. Krone, R.B.; Einstein, H.A., Kaufmann, W.J.; Orlob, G.T.
(A.2) 1960. Third annual report on silt transport studies utilizing radioisotopes. Hydrological Engineering and Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, 188 p.
51. Krone, R.B.; Einstein, H.A., Kaufmann, W.J.; Orlob, G.T.
(A.2) 1960. Methods for tracing estuarial sediment transport processes. Hydrological Engineering and Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley.
52. Krone, R.B.; Einstein, H.A., Kaufmann, W.J.; Orlob, G.T.
(A.2) 1959. Second annual report on silt transport studies utilizing radioisotopes. Hydrological Engineering and Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, 122 p.
53. Krone, R.B.; Einstein, H.A.; Kaufmann, W.J.; Snyder, N.W.
(A.2) 1957. First Annual Report on silt transport studies utilizing radioisotopes. Hydrological Engineering and Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
54. Lafond, L.R.; Martin, A. 1971. Utilization des minéraux argilleux dans l'étude de la dynamique des dépôts et des transports en suspension dans l'estuaire de la Gironde. Bull. Inst. Geol. Bassin d'Aquitaine, 11(2), 333-343.

55. Lallemand-Barres, A. 1971. Résultats d'expériences de
(B3.3) traçage récentes, utilisant la méthode de détection au
 charbon actif, réalisée en France. Fr. Bur. Rech. Geol.
 Minières, Bull. (Ser. 2), Section 3, No. 4, 17-19.
56. Levy, R.; Francis, C.W. 1976. Adsorption and desorption of
(B3.3) cadmium by synthetic and natural organo-clay complexes.
 Geoderma, 15(5), 361-370.
57. Long, B.F.N; Kulkarni, N.; Joice, G. 1978. Radioisotopes as
(E) sediment tracers: risks involved and proposed guidelines
 for use. Bedford Institute of Oceanography Report Series
 1BI-R-78-3, 38 p.
- 57a. Louisse, C.J.; Akkerman, R.J.; Suylen, J.M. 1986. A fluor-
 escent tracer for cohesive sediment. Proc. Int. Conf. on
 Measuring Techniques of Hydraulics Phenomena in Offshore,
 Coastal and Inland Waters, ACE/IAHR, London, England,
 367-390.
58. Martin, J.M.; Meybeck, M.; Heuzel, M. 1970. A study of the
(A.2) dynamics of suspended matter by means of natural radio-
 active tracers: an application to the Gironde estuary.
 Sedimentology, 14(1-2), 27-37.
59. McEvoy, J.; Giger, W. 1986. Determination of linear alkyl-
(B2.3) benzenesulphonates in sewage sludge by high-resolution gas
 chromatography/mass spectrometry. Environ. Sci. Technol.,
 20, 376-383.

60. Morch, E. 1977. Labelling of particulate matter for tracer studies in incinerators, sedimentation tanks and mixers. (E) Int. J. Appl. Rad. and Isotop., 20(10-11), 889-894.
61. Morris, D.A. 1967. Use of chemical and radioactive tracers (A.3) at the National Reactor Testing Station, Idaho. Am. (A3.3) Geophys. Union Geophys. Mon., Ser. No. 11, 130-142.
62. Mundschenk, H. 1983. Sorption of cesium by suspended matter (D.3) and sediment of river Rhine in case of the nuclides Cs-133, 134, and 137. Dtsch. Gewasserkd. Mitt., 27(2), 62-68.
63. Neiheisel, J.; Weaver, C.E. 1967. Transport and deposition (D.3) of clay minerals, southeastern United States. Jour. Sed. Petrology, 37(4), 1084-1116.
64. Nelson, D.E.; Coakley, J.P. 1974. Techniques for tracing sediment movement. Int. Waters Dir., Environ. Canada, Sci. Series 32, 40 p.
65. Olsen, C.R.; Biscaye, P.E.; Simpson, H.J.; Trier, R.M.; (B2.3) Kostyk, K.; Bopp, R.F.; Li, Y.H.; Feely, H.W. 1980. Reactor-released radio-nuclides and fine grained sediment transport and accumulation patterns in Barnegat Bay, New Jersey and adjacent shelf waters. Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci., 10, 119-142.
66. Pahlke, H. 1983. Some applications using artificial radio- (A.1,2) active tracers in Germany. I.A.E.A. Tech. Rep., Ser. No. 145, 81-96.

67. Pahlke, H.; Grimm-Strele, J.; Stinzing, H.D. 1973. Sediment
(E) transportation measurements by tracers. Berlin, Mitt.
Versuchsanst Wasserbau Schiffbau, 54, 164-166.
68. Partrikeyev, V.V.; Orlova, G.A. 1968. A new method of
(A.2) marking and quantitatively estimating tracer sands and silt
in bottom samples. Oceanology, 8(2), 276-280.
69. Pattisson, A.; Ellis, W.R.; Fisher, M.E.; Hinwood, J.B.;
(A.2) Kriek, P. 1961. The formation and operation of field
experiments using radioactive isotopes for tracing silt
movement. Hunter Valley Research Foundation Monograph No.
7, 76 p.
70. Perkins, R.W.; Nelson, J.L.; Hallshild, W.L. 1966. Behavior
(B2.3) and transport of radionuclides in the Columbian River
between Hamford and Vancouver, Washington. Limn. Oceano.,
11, 235-248.
71. Permanent International Associations of Navigation Con-
(A.1,2) gresses. 1961. Ocean navigation: Methods of determining
sand and silt movement along the coast, in estuaries and in
maritime rivers. Use of modern techniques such as radio-
active isotopes, luminophores, etc. In: Proc. of 20th
Int. Navigation Congress, Baltimore, General Secretariat,
Brussels, 207 p.

72. Putman, J.L.; Smith, D.B. 1956. Radioactive tracer techniques for sand and silt movements under water. Int. J. of Appl. Radiation and Isotopes, 1(1/2), 24-32.
(A.1,2)
73. Putman, J.L.; Smith, D.B.; Wells, R.M.; Allen, F.H.; Rowan, G. 1954. Thames siltation investigation; preliminary experiment on the use of radioactive tracers for indicating mud movements. At. Energy Res. Establ. (Gt. Brit.), Int. Report 1576, Harwell, 24 p.
(A.1,2)
74. Rakorczy, L. 1966. Investigations into the movement of fine sand and silt with radioactive tracers. Presented at IAEA Symp. on the Use of Isotopes in Hydrology, Vienna, Paper SM-83/20.
(A.2)
75. Reid, W.J.; Morgan, H.D. 1961. The use of radioactive and fluorescent tracers in England to measure the movement of silt, sand and shingle. Proc. 20th Int. Navigation Congr., Baltimore, S11-5, 59-97.
(A.1,2)
76. Sarma, T.P.; Iya, V.K. 1960. Preparation of artificial silt for tracer studies near Bombay Harbour. J. Scient. Ind. Res., 19(B), 98-101.
(A.1)
77. Sauzay, G.; Courtois, G. 1970. Radioactive tracers applied to measurements of suspended load and bedload discharge. Proc. Koblenz Symp. on Hydrometry, UNESCO/UMO/IAHS, 2, 627-635.
(A.1,2)

78. Sauzay, G.; Jouanneau, B.; Gourlez, P. 1977. Tracer study of
(A.1,2) suspended sediment in a costal plain estuary. Proc. 17th
Congress IAHR, Baden-Baden, 3(B32), 251-258.
79. Sauzay, G.; Jouanneau, B.; Gourlez, P. 1975. Etude des
(A.1,2) transferts sédimentaires en estuaire. Utilisation de
traceurs radioactifs. Rapport DCA/SAPRA, Cen Saclay, 89 p.
80. Sauzay, G.; Courtois, G. 1973. Utilisation des traceurs
(A.1,2) radioactifs pour la mesure des débits de charriage et pour
celle des matériaux en suspension. Int. Assoc. Sci.
Hydrol.-UNESCO, Stud. Rep. Hydrol. No. 99, 627-635.
81. Sauzay, G.; Allen, G.; Caillot, A.; Courtois, G. 1975.
(A.1,2) Etudes par indicateurs radioactifs des dispersion de pollu-
tants solides en milieu marin et estuarien. I.A.E.A. Panel
Proc., Ser. STI/PUB/432, 576-591.
82. Simpson, H.J.; Olsen, C.R.; Trier, R.M.; Williams, S.C.
(B2.3) 1976. Man-made radionuclides and sedimentation in the
Hudson River estuary. Science (AAAS), 194(4261), 179-183.
83. Smith, D.B. 1973. The use of artificial tracers in the
(A.1,2) United Kingdom. I.A.E.A., Tech. Rep., Ser. No. 145,
97-102.
84. Smith, D.B.; Parsons, T.V. 1967. Radioisotope techniques for
(A.1,2) determining silt movement from spoil grounds in the Firth
of Forth. In: Isotopes in Hydrology, IAEA, Vienna,
167-180.

85. Smith, D.B.; Parsons, T.V. 1965. An investigation using
(A.2) radioactive tracers into the silt movement in an ebb
channel, Firth of Forth. Report, Wantage Research Labora-
tory, Wantage, Berks, England, 6 p.
86. Smith, D.B.; Parsons, T.V. 1965. Silt movement in the Oxcans
(A.2) spoil ground, Firth of Forth. Report, Wantage Research
Laboratory, Wantage, Berks, England, 30 p.
87. Stephens, N.H.; Acree, E.H.; Case, F.N. 1968. Evaluation of
(A.2) 4 methods using gold-198 for surface labelling sand and
silt, a new technique for simulating mass labelling. U.S.
Atomic Energy Commission, ORNL., 4338.
88. Thorn, M.F.C. 1975. A quantitative experimental comparison
(A.2) of spoil disposal methods. Proc. 1st Int. Symp. on
Dredging Tech., Paper D1, P.D1-1-12 Discussion P.X30-33,
September 17-19.
89. Thorn, M.F.C.; McDowell, D.M. 1977. Analysis of dredging
(A.2) performance and effectiveness of spoil disposal by using
the mass balance equation. 24th Int. Navigation Congress,
Section II, Subj. 5, 117-132.
90. Tola, F. 1982. The use of radioactive tracers in dynamic
(A.1, sedimentology. Rapport CEA-N-2261, 42 p.
2,3)

91. Tola, F. 1982. The use of radioactive tracers in dynamic
(A.1, sedimentology. Part 1 - methodology. Part 2 - analysis of
2,3) results. Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires de Saclay, CEA-N-2271,
96 p.
92. Tola, F. 1984. Etude des rejets de produits de dragage à
(A.2) l'aide de traceurs radioactifs. Transfert et dispersion
des fines particules en suspension, et évolution dans le
temps des sédiments déposés sur le fond. Rapport ORIS/
SAR/S/84-03/T-22, 59 p.
93. Tola, F.; Caillot, A.; Courtois, G.; Gourlez, P.; Hoslin, R.;
(A.2) Massias, J.; Quesney, M; Sauzay, G. 1984. Study of the
evolution of dredged material discharges by means of radio-
active tracers. Proc. 19th Conf. Coastal Eng., Houston,
Texas, v.2, 2042-2062.
94. Tomlinson, E.G.; Chambers, B. 1979. The effect of longitu-
(A.2) dinal mixing on the settleability of activated sludge.
Water Res. Centre, Tech. Rep. 122, 46.
95. Tool, A.R. 1976. Chesapeake Bay radioactive tracer study.
(A.3) U.S. Army Eng. Waterw. Exp. Stn., Pap. H-76-1, 18.
96. Waters, C.B. 1975. Instrumentation for marine dispersion
(A.1,2) measurements using radioactive tracers. Reprint from
Proc. Conf. on Instr. In Oceanogr., University Coll., North
Wales, September 23-25, 9-22.

97. Vearn, P.L.; Smith, D.B. 1971. The selection of a radio-
(A.2) active tracer material for coastal silt movement studies.
AERE/R/6573.
98. Wilson, B.W. 1961. Methods of determining sand and silt
(E) movements along the coast. 20th Internat. Navigation
Congr., Baltimore, Sect. 2, Subject 5.
99. Zemel, B. 1980. The use of radioactive tracers to measure
(E) the dispersion and movement of a drilling mud plume off
Tanner Bank, California. Proc. on Research on Envir. Fate
and Effects of Drill Fluids and Cuttings Sym., 1, 812-827.
100. Zubkoff, P.L.; Carey, W.E. 1970. Neutron activation analysis
(B1.3) of sediments in western Lake Erie. 13th Great Lakes Conf.
Proc., 319-325.