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#### Abstract

A method has been developed to determine fracture plane orientations in clay-rich glacial deposits from core samples obtained from inclined borings. In this method, a portion of each core sample is removed to expose vertical and horizontal surfaces which are used to map each identified fracture plane. An analytical geometry technique is used in conjunction with the mapping data to determine the pole to each fracture plane. This fracture pole data can then be presented on a lower hemisphere equal area projection diagram to indicate preferred fracture plane orientations. The utility of the developed method is demonstrated through a detailed analysis of core samples collected from a $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ site located near Sarnia, Ontario. The data from this investigation indicated the presence of three sets of fracture planes; however, only one of these fracture sets was determined to be statistically significant. The developed method offers an alternative to mapping fracture plane orientations on exposed surfaces.
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## MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE

The clay plains distributed throughout North America and northern Europe are widely used as repositories for hazardous wastes. This is because thick sequences of clay offer significant barriers to the transport of groundwater contamination that might emanate from a waste facility. However, at some facilities, wastes are placed in excavations which are capped when filled. This creates a groundwater mound and the potential for leakage of contamination in the shallow subsurface. Most of these clay sequences have been subjected repeated cycles of wetting and drying in the shallow subsurface, creating a horizon of weathered clay pervaded by fractures. Thus, the weathered zone provides for a conduit for groundwater flow from the groundwater mounds and the potential for widespread migration of contamination. The primary features controlling the groundwater flow are the fractures which form through desiccation processes.

This paper presents the results of a drilling study of the St. Joesephs Clay Till in Lambton County, Ontario. The objective was to determine the orientations of the fractures in the weathered zone to estimate the directions of preferential flow. A technique originally developed for orienting fracture planes from rock core was adapted using new planes of reference. The results show that there is some preferential directions of fracture orientation which should define the directions of shallow groundwater flow. It was also found that a bias introduced by the orientation of the inclined boreholes made for a difficult interpretation of the confidence levels of the interpretation. A paper to follow on the hydraulic properties of the fractures will illustrate the usefulness of determining fracture orientations.

## Introduction

Surficial clay-rich glacial till deposits are common in North America, particularly in the midwestern plains and regions surrounding the Great Lakes. As water levels have fluctuated seasonally, these clay till deposits have been subjected to physical and geochemical weathering which has resulted in a shallow fractured crust that ranges from 5 m to 6 m in thickness (McKay et al., 1993). Recent findings from studies conducted in the shallow weathered zone of clay till deposits suggest that substantial hydraulic activity occurs. The presence of this hydraulic activity indicates that a significant potential exists for solute migration within this zone. This potential can be enhanced with the occurrence of high hydraulic gradients associated with landfill mounds, ditches and agricultural drainage tiles. In the past, near surface contamination sources such as waste disposal landfills were sited on surficial clay till deposits since the unweathered clay till beneath the weathered zone, if sufficiently thick, would prevent deep ground water contamination. Despite physical evidence of surficial fracturing, the hydraulic conductivity of the weathered zone was considered to be sufficiently low to provide lateral hydraulic containment. Thus, lateral solute migration to surface waterways was perceived as negligible. In light of recent studies conducted in fractured clay tills, the potential for these fractures to provide preferential pathways for lateral as well as vertical contaminant migration within the weathered zone should not be ignored.

The capability to estimate the amount and extent of contaminant migration in a fractured clay till, both vertically and laterally from a source, is limited by the ability to characterize the fracture geometry. Since contaminant migration along fractures is expected to be the dominate transport mechanism through a weathered clay till deposit, a methodology to estimate fracture geometry is required. The characterization of fractures within a clay till deposit are usually conducted at locations of naturally or artificially exposed surfaces. Fracture plane orientations defined by the fracture strike and dip angles, fracture frequency or spacing, and relevant fracture surface characteristics such as roughness or chemical deposits, can be estimated on these exposed surfaces (Bosscher and Connell, 1988). The fracture plane orientation data can be resolved and presented on equal area projection plots (e.g., Vorauer et al., 1986). Fracture frequency is usually presented in terms of the number of fractures per horizontal metre with depth from ground surface (e.g., McKay et al., 1993).

The purpose of this paper is to present the development of a method for determining the orientations of fracture planes from inclined boreholes drilled in a clay till deposit. To demonstrate the utility of this method, a detailed analysis of core samples from a number of inclined borings drilled within a relatively small region of a clay till deposit will be described.

The methodology presented in this paper is similar to that described by Lau (1983) to determine fracture orientations from inclined drilled rock cores. However, due to the external
smearing of the clay soil cores a technique to expose undisturbed surfaces is required. This creates a different set of reference points, and hence a different analytical geometry transformation than presented by Lau (1983).

## Description of Study Site

The data in this analysis was collected as part of a larger investigation into the hydraulic properties of the shallow weathered zone of a surficial clay till deposit known as the St. Joseph Till located in southwestern Ontario (Harris et al., 1996). Previous field investigations have been conducted within the weathered zone of this deposit at the Lambton Thermal Generating Station near Courtright, Ontario (Vorauer et al., 1986; and Harding, 1986), and at the Laidlaw Hazardous Waste Management Facility near Sarnia, Ontario (Klint, 1996; McKay et al., 1993; Balfour, 1991; Ruland et al., 1991; and D'Astous et al., 1989). In addition to investigating the hydraulic properties and solute migration processes within the weathered zone, these studies focused on describing the characteristics, frequency, extent and orientation of fractures observed in trench excavations and some core samples.

The weathered fractures within this deposit are believed to be formed by dessication and/or freezing mechanisms (Klint, 1996). In general, from ground surface to a depth of -3.5 m , this clay till deposit is extremely fractured and oxidized, and is reddish-brown in colour with an increasingly darker hue with depth. Fracture surfaces over -3.5 m depth are characterized by red oxidation staining with intermittent black and grey precipitate coatings. Fractures have been observed at spacings of 1 cm to 10 cm with a primarily vertical to sub-vertical orientation although there is a frequent occurrence of horizontal to sub-horizontal oriented fractures. Between the depths of -3.5 m and -5 m , the clay till gradually changes from a brown to a grey colour with spacings ranging from 0.5 m to 2 m .

Trench excavations were conducted at the Laidlaw Hazardous Waste Management Facility (D'Astous et al., 1989; and Ruland et al., 1986) to determine fracture orientations to a depth of ~ 6.0 m . The trenches were excavated in a manner which left the fracture orientations relatively undisturbed. Although, the fractures displayed a dominant vertical to sub-vertical orientation, no preferred fracture plane strike direction could be identified. McKay et al. (1993) used the same techniques to map fractures on vertical and horizontal surfaces of small trench excavations and within the larger landfill excavation. The fracture mapping data collected from the vertical surfaces indicated the presence of two sets of orthogonal fracture planes with preferential orientations in the north-south and east-west directions. However, the alignment of these vertical surfaces was coincident with the two preferential fracture orientations. As a result, there is some question whether the dominance of the two fracture orientations may have been due, in part, to stress relief causing fractures parallel to the excavated face to become more visible. Despite this
short coming, the same preferential orientations were observed in the fracture mapping data collected from some of the horizontal surfaces below a depth of 4.0 m . Although at this depth, the areas sampled by the horizontal surface mapping were too small to confirm this finding. In a recent study at this location by Klint (1996), -110 m of exposed trench walls along five profiles were mapped primarily over a depth interval from -3 m to 7 m below ground surface. All of these profiles indicated the predominance of a northeast-southwest and a northwest-southeast fracture orientation. In addition, two of the mapped profiles indicated an east-west preferential fracture orientation.

For the investigation described in this paper, a field site was established -200 m west of the McKay et al. (1993) study site on the Laidlaw property. The study site has a topographic relief of no more than -0.01 m . Overlying the upper zone of weathered clay till is -0.4 m of black sand. The site consisted of one vertical and eleven angled piezometers installed within a $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) present a plan and cross-section view, respectively, of the layout of the piezometers. The boreholes were drilled at inclination angles ranging from $35^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ from horizontal. The depth of the 12 piezometers ranged from -1.8 m to -5.5 m below ground surface. The piezometers were drilled using a continuous coring technique from which samples having defined orientations were obtained. The details of this methodology along with actual data from the field site are described in this paper.

## Field Method

The core samples were obtained using a 7.6 cm inside diameter split-spoon sampling barrel that was advanced using 17.8 cm outside diameter hollow-stem augers. The sampling barrel was inserted into the augers and was attached to the drilling rig by drill rods extending through the centre of the augers. The sampling barrel was set -15 cm beyond the auger cutting bit. The top of the core was tracked by scoring an orientation line on the exterior of the sampling barrel which was continued in a consistent manner along the drill rods. After positioning the sampling barrel inside the augers, this orientation line was rotated such that it faced vertically upwards. A hand level held in contact with this line was used to ensure that an upward orientation was maintained. The sampling barrel and drill rod assembly were then secured to the drilling rig such that the assembly remained in a constant orientation and did not turn with the augers. As the augers rotated, the sampling barrel, fixed its the upwards orientation, was advanced ahead of the augers in order to cut an undisturbed core sample along the inclination of the borehole. The top of the core corresponded to the orientation line scored on the outside of the sampling barrel. It is estimated that the accuracy of the orientation is $\pm 5^{\circ}$.

After retrieving the sampling barrel the scored orientation line corresponding to the top of the core was transferred to the core ends before separating the sampling barrel. After separating the
sampling barrel, the two marks on the core ends were connected with a continuous line indicating the top of the core. The downhole direction was then indicated on the core before sealing it for transport

The depth interval of each core sample relative to ground surface was measured along the axis of the inclined borehole. Each borehole was completed using a 5 cm diameter piezometer casing that was centered within the borehole annulus using flexible centralizeres. The orientation of the piezometer casing below ground surface was determined using a Tro-Pari borehole directional surveying instrument (Pajari Instruments Ltd., Orillia, Ontario, Canada). By lowering the instrument into the inclined piezometers, measurements of the casing bearing angle $\beta$ with respect to north and the casing dip angle $\delta$ from horizontal were obtained. Since the piezometer casing was centered within the borehole annulus, the measured angles were assumed to be directly transferable to the axis of the borehole. The borehole bearing $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{B H}$ and borehole dip $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{B H}$ angles measured for each of the inclined piezometers are indicated on Figure 1(a). This orientation data was further assumed to represent the axis of the core samples obtained from each respective borehole. In combination with the plunge of the core recorded during sample retrieval, the angles $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{B H}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{BH}}$ provides a means to determine the in-situ orientation of each core sample.

## Mapping of the Fracture Plane

The mapping of fractures which were observed to intersect each core sample was conducted on a laboratory bench. To reveal intersected fractures, a quarter of the core sample was carefully cut away by hand to expose vertical and horizontal surfaces. Any smeared clay was diligently chipped away leaving relatively undisturbed surfaces. Figure 2 and 3 provide schematic views of the upper and lower exposed surfaces, respectively, of two example core sections as they would appear during this fracture mapping exercise

For the weathered clay encountered at this site, fractures were identified primarily by the red oxidation staining that created trace lines of the intersected fractures on the exposed surfaces. A discrete fracture plane was identified when two trace lines, continuous across both surfaces met along the axis of the core at a common apex (see Figure 2 and 3). Intersected fracture planes were found to belong to one of two in-situ orientation cases (Case I vertical to sub-vertical orientation, and Case II horizontal to sub-horizontal) as indicated by the shaded three-quarter ellipses on Figures 2 and 3. The orientation of the each of the trace lines identified on the upper and lower surfaces of the core samples were recorded by measuring the angles of these lines with respect to the core axis. Direct measurement of fracture trace line orientations from the two exposed surfaces was not possible. To alleviate this problem, the exposed surfaces of each core sample were photographed using 35 mm colour slide film. The slide images of the exposed surfaces were projected onto 0.2 m long full-scale maps of the upper and lower surfaces of the
core samples. The trace lines of the weathered fractures were then hand drawn from the projected image directly onto the core maps. Figure 4 displays the core sample map prepared for core 3 which was obtained from a interval of 3.8 m to 4.15 m below ground surface along the axis of BH \#7. This core sample map shows the trace lines of two intersected fractures, one of each orientation case, that were hand drawn from the slide images of the exposed surfaces of the core. The fracture plane trace line orientations with respect to the core sample axis were easily measured from these maps.

During the fracture mapping, as each core lay horizontally with the top of the sample pointing vertically upwards, a temporary mapping coordinate system $X^{m} \boldsymbol{Y}^{m} Z^{m}$ was defined to lie along the core sample axis. With the origin positioned at ground surface, the $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$-axis, referred to as the mapping north, was oriented along the axis of the core sample with the down hole direction being positive. The $X^{m_{.}} Y^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ plane, referred to as the mapping horizontal plane, was oriented along the core axis perpendicular to the direction of top of the core. The positive $Z^{m}$-axis was oriented opposite to the direction of the top of the core. This coordinate system is indicated on Figures 2 and 3.

The following data, as indicated on Figures 2 and 3, was measured directly from the core sample maps: (1) the angle $\delta_{F a}^{m}$ is the dip angle of the fracture plane with respect to the mapping coordinate system that is apparent in the view that is perpendicular to the upper surface and is measured in a clockwise direction from the top of the core to the trace line of the fracture plane on the upper surface; (2) the angle $\beta_{F}^{m}$ is the bearing of the fracture plane with respect to the mapping coordinate system and is measured in a clockwise direction from the $\boldsymbol{Y}^{m}$-axis to the trace line of the fracture plane on the lower surface; and (3) the angle $\phi$ is defined as the acute angle between the trace line of the fracture plane on the lower surface and the $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$-axis.

## Determination of the In-Situ Fracture Pole Attitude

After mapping the intersected fracture planes and measuring their orientation with respect to the core axis, the bearing and dip of the pole to each fracture plane was determined through the following four step process:

1. Identify the true dip angle $\delta_{F}^{m}$ of the fracture plane with respect to the mapping coordinate system.
2. Estimate the bearing angle $\beta_{P}^{m}$, and dip angle $\delta_{P}^{m}$ of the pole to the fracture plane defined by the vector $P^{m}$. In the mapping coordinate system, the vector $P^{m}$ represents the direction cosines of the pole to the fracture plane.
3. Rotate the mapping horizontal plane, or the $X^{m}-\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ plane, by the angle $\delta_{B H}$ to the orientation of the in-situ horizontal plane. This operation produces a vector $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}$ which represents the direction cosines of the same pole in the $X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}$ coordinate system.
4. Rotate the mapping north, now corresponding to the $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}$-axis, by the angle $\beta_{B H}$ to align it with the in-situ north. This produces the direction cosines of the pole to the in-situ orientation of the intersected fracture plane, denoted by the vector $P$, in the in-situ $X Y Z$ coordinate system where the $\boldsymbol{Y}$-axis now coincides with the in-situ north. The bearing angle $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ and dip angle $\delta_{P}$ of the in-situ pole are then determined from the direction cosines of the vector $\boldsymbol{P}$.

Unless the bearing angle of the fracture plane $\beta_{F}^{m}$ is equal to $90^{\circ}$, the apparent dip angle $\delta_{F a}^{m}$ is not the true fracture plane dip with respect to the mapping coordinate system; however, it is possible to determine the true dip angle $\delta_{F}^{m}$ in the mapping coordinate system with the angles measured from the core maps. The angle $\delta_{F}^{m}$ can be visualized by taking a view of the upper exposed surface in a direction that is perpendicular to $\beta_{F}^{m}$. Along the direction of this view as indicated on Figure 3, the length $l_{1}$ on Figure 2 would appear as $l_{2}$ and the apparent dip angle would now appear as the true dip angle in the mapping coordinate system. The true dip angle $\delta_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{m}$ can then be calculated from the inverse tangent of the core sample radius divided by the length $l_{2}$. The length $l_{2}$ is equal to the sine of $\phi$ multiplied by the length $l_{1}$. The length $l_{1}$ is equal to the sample radius divided by the tangent of $\delta_{F a}^{m}$. Therefore, after several substitutions, the true dip angle in the mapping coordinate system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{F}^{m}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\tan \delta_{F a}^{m} / \sin \phi\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a horizontal (Case II) fracture plane orientation, $\delta_{F a}^{m}$ is an obtuse angle and (1) provides a negative result equal to the acute angle made between the fracture trace on the upper surface and the top of the sample. In keeping with the established convention for $\delta_{F}^{m}$, this result is equal to $\delta_{F}^{m}$ less $180^{\circ}$. Thus, for a horizontal fracture plane orientation, $180^{\circ}$ must be added to the result of (1) to determine $\delta_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{m}$. For the remaining steps the treatment of both horizontal and vertical fractures is identical.

In step two, the attitude of the pole to the identified fracture plane is determined by adding $90^{\circ}$ to both $\beta_{F}^{m}$ and $\delta_{F}^{m}$ which gives the bearing angle $\beta_{P}^{m}$ and the dip angle $\delta_{P}^{m}$ of the pole in the temporary coordinate system. The attitude of the pole described by the vector $\boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$, was converted to direction cosines as shown in Figure 5. In Figure 5, $\boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is assumed to be a unit vector represented by the line $\overline{O P}$. The vector $\boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ can be expressed in terms of the direction cosines:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cos \alpha_{X^{m}}=\overline{O C} / \frac{O P}{O C}=\overline{O B}=\sin \beta_{P}^{m} \cdot \overline{O B}=\sin \beta_{P}^{m} \cdot \cos \delta_{P}^{m} \\
\cos \alpha_{Y^{m}}=\overline{O A} / / \frac{O P}{O A}=\overline{O A} \beta_{P}^{m} \cdot \overline{O B}=\cos \beta_{P}^{m} \cdot \cos \delta_{P}^{m} \\
\cos \alpha_{Z^{m}}=\overline{O F} / \overline{O P}=\overline{O F}=\overline{B P}=\sin \delta_{P}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using following relationships:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sin \delta_{P}^{m}=\sin \left(\delta_{F}^{m}+90^{\circ}\right)=\cos \delta_{F}^{m} \\
\cos \delta_{P}^{m}=\cos \left(\delta_{F}^{m}+90^{\circ}\right)=-\sin \delta_{F}^{m} \\
\sin \beta_{P}^{m}=\sin \left(\beta_{F}^{m}+90^{\circ}\right)=\cos \beta_{F}^{m} \\
\cos \beta_{P}^{m}=\cos \left(\beta_{F}^{m}+90^{\circ}\right)=-\sin \beta_{F}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

the direction cosines of the pole to the identified fracture plane $P^{m}$ can be expressed as

$$
P^{m}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \alpha_{x^{-}}  \tag{2}\\
\cos \alpha_{Y^{m}} \\
\cos \alpha_{z^{-}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \delta_{F}^{m} \times \cos \beta_{F}^{m} \\
\sin \delta_{F}^{m} \times \sin \beta_{F}^{m} \\
\cos \delta_{F}^{m}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Step three consists of multiplying $P^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ by the matrix representing the rotation of the mapping horizontal plane to the orientation of the in-situ horizontal plane. This involves the rotation of the $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{m}}-Z^{m}$ plane about the $X^{m}$-axis in a counter-clockwise direction through the borehole axis dip angle $\delta_{B H}$. The rotation matrix for step three is given by

$$
\mathbf{R}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & \cos \delta_{B H} & \sin \delta_{B H} \\
0 & -\sin \delta_{B H} & \cos \delta_{B H}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the resulting direction cosines of the pole $P^{\prime}$ in the coordinate system $X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}$ are

$$
\mathbf{P}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}^{m} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{3}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \alpha_{X^{\prime}}  \tag{4}\\
\cos \alpha_{Y^{\prime}} \\
\cos \alpha_{Z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \alpha_{X^{m}} \\
\cos \alpha_{Y^{m}} \\
\cos \delta_{B H}+\cos \alpha_{Z^{m}} \\
\sin \delta_{B H} \\
-\cos \alpha_{Y^{m}} \\
\sin \delta_{B H}+\cos \alpha_{Z^{m}} \\
\cos \delta_{B H}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In step four, the $\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ plane is rotated in a counter-clockwise direction about the $Z^{\prime}$-axis through the borehole bearing angle $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{B H}$. Using the following rotation matrix on $P^{\prime}$

$$
\mathbf{R}_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & \cos \beta_{B H} & \sin \beta_{B H} \\
0 & -\sin \beta_{B H} & \cos \beta_{B H}
\end{array}\right]
$$

yields

$$
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{4}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \alpha_{X}  \tag{6}\\
\cos \alpha_{Y} \\
\cos \alpha_{Z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \alpha_{X^{\prime}} & \cos \beta_{B H}+\cos \alpha_{Y^{\prime}} \sin \beta_{B H} \\
-\cos \alpha_{X^{\prime}} & \sin \beta_{B H}+\cos \alpha_{Y^{\prime}} \cos \beta_{B H} \\
\cos \alpha_{Z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which represents the direction cosines of the pole $P$ in the in-situ $X Y Z$ coordinate system. Finally, using the direction cosines of $\boldsymbol{P}$ in (6), the bearing and dip angles of the pole to the in-situ fracture plane orientation can be determined. Referring to Figure 5 and neglecting the superscript $m$ used to denote the temporary mapping coordinate system, the bearing and dip of $\boldsymbol{P}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{P}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\cos \alpha_{X} / \cos \alpha_{Y}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{P}}=\left|\tan ^{-1}\left(\cos \alpha_{Z} / \sqrt{\cos \alpha_{X}^{2}+\cos \alpha_{Y}{ }^{2}}\right)\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles to identified fracture planes are presented on a stereographic projection by plotting the poles on a lower hemisphere equal area, or Schmidt, net. The lower hemisphere Schmidt net is configured with the positive $\boldsymbol{Y}$-axis directed north, the positive $\boldsymbol{X}$-axis directed east and the positive $\mathbf{Z}$-axis directed upwards out of the net. The use of the inverse tangent in (7) results in angles the range between $\pm 90^{\circ}$. Thus, (7) does not provide a bearing angle in the usual sense, measured clockwise from north. For this reason, the direction cosines $\alpha_{X}$ and $\alpha_{Y}$ for $P$ were inspected to determine which quadrant contains the angle resulting from (7). Based on this inspection the angle $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ was corrected by the necessary multiple of $90^{\circ}$ to provide a corrected bearing angle $\beta_{P}^{C}$ which, when measured clockwise from north, lies within the same quadrant as $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$. The attitude of the pole to the fracture plane could then be located on the lower hemisphere plot. The corrected bearing angle $\beta_{p}^{c}$ was measured clockwise from north around the circumference of the Schmidt net. The dip angle $\delta_{P}$ was then measured from the outer edge of the plot. The resulting point represents the pole to the observed fracture plane.

## Example Fracture Pole Calculation

Table 1 presents the fracture plane orientation data measured from the example core map shown on Figure 4. The results of the fracture pole orientation calculations described in steps 1 through 4 for the two observed fracture planes shown on Figure 4 are presented in Table 2. Table 2(b) indicates the results of adjusting the calculated bearing angle $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ resulting from (7) to provide the corrected bearing angle $\beta_{P}^{C}$ measured clockwise from north on the lower hemisphere plot.

The method developed in this paper to determine the pole to an observed fracture plane can be confirmed graphically using a Schmidt net. Figure 6 presents a graphical determination of the pole for the second fracture plane shown in Figure 4. The procedure begins by plotting the attitude of the borehole on the Schmidt net. The great circle of the lower surface corresponds to the Schmidt net meridian that intersects the borehole attitude. The great circle of the upper surface corresponds to the single line passing through the borehole attitude that bisects the Schmidt net. The great circles of the lower and upper surfaces are shown on Figure 6. The intersection of the apparent dip angle $\delta_{F a}^{m}$ with the upper surface is measured from the borehole attitude along the great circle of the upper surface in a downward direction from the top of the core as the angle is measured on Figures 2(a) and 3(a). The intersection of the bearing angle $\beta_{F}^{m}$ with the lower surface is measured from the borehole attitude along the great circle of the lowerright quarter from the downhole side of the core axis in an uphole direction as the angle is measured on Figures 2(b) and 3(b). The two intersection points can then be aligned along the common Schmidt net meridian corresponding to the great circle of the intersected fracture plane. The location of the pole to the fracture plane is then determined by measuring a $90^{\circ}$ angle along a Schmidt net axis from the mid-point of the fracture plane meridian. The dip angle of the pole $\delta_{P}$ is measured along a Schmidt net axis from the circumference of the Schmidt net. The bearing angle of the pole $\beta_{P}$ is measured clockwise from north around the circumference of the Schmidt net to the plotted location of the pole. The fracture pole bearing and dip angles from the graphical method presented on Figure 6 are the same as those shown in Table 2, thus confirming the developed analytical geometry technique.

## Results and Discussion

The calculated poles to 120 discrete fracture planes observed during the core sample analysis are presented on a lower hemisphere equal area projection plot (see Figure 7). The majority of the fracture pole observations lie around the perimeter of the plot indicating that the fracture planes are primarily vertical to sub-vertical. Previous fracture mapping investigations conducted at the Laidlaw site also identified the weathered clay till fractures as being primarily vertical (Klint, 1996; McKay et al., 1993; Ruland et al., 1991; and D'Astous et al., 1989). The observation points that lie near the centre of the plot indicate that some horizontal to subhorizontal fracture planes were intersected.

A standard method used to analyze the observation points on a polar plot is to calculate the density of points that lie within a counting circle of a given diameter as it is uniformly shifted about the plot (Kamb, 1959). The point density values obtained from this procedure are contoured and these contours are used to identify clusters of fracture pole observations that have similar orientations. Robin and Jowett (1986) developed an algorithm to perform density
contouring and statistical evaluations of orientation data using counting circles and continuous Gaussian weighting functions. The algorithm was applied in this study to analyze the calculated fracture pole data. The contoured equal area projection plot determined using this algorithm is shown on Figure 8. The contoured intervals are shaded to assist in the identification of the peak observation point densities. A list of the statistical parameters determined by the algorithm for the fracture pole densities are shown in the bottom right corner of this figure. The first three parameters are the greatest calculated peak density value (Peak), the peak density value that corresponds to the $99 \%$ confidence level ( P 99 ) and the peak density value that corresponds to the $95 \%$ confidence level. Definitions of the remaining parameters are given in Robin and Jowett (1986).

Three sets of peak densities are evident in the contoured density plot. These are identified as peak density sets 1,2 and 3 on Figure 9 . The peak sets identify a concentration of calculated fracture poles with similar orientations. Each peak set corresponds to a set of fracture planes with orientations that are orthogonal to the orientation of the calculated fracture poles. Having identified these peaks, it is necessary to determine which, if any, are statistically significant. The density values calculated for each peak set are listed in Table 3. Of the three pairs of peaks that make up each peak set, only peak \# 1.1, with a density value of 5.66 , is above the P95 value of 5.20. Thus, the density of peak \#1.1 is statistically significant at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

The three sets of peak contour densities for the fracture pole observations indicate the presence of three sets of fracture plane orientations. Corresponding to the density peak labels, the orientation of fracture plane set 1 would be in the east-west direction, the orientation of fracture plane set 2 would be in the northwest-southeast direction, and the orientation of fracture plane set 3 would be in the northeast-southwest direction. Fracture plane sets 2 and 3 are nearly orthogonal to one another. Fracture plane set. 1 lies approximately $45^{\circ}$ between sets 1 and 3 .

A fracture orientation investigation conducted at the Lambton Generating Station also found three fracture plane sets with similar orientations (Vorauer et al., 1986). The three sets were oriented in the northeast-southwest, east-west and southeast-northwest directions. These sets were, however, oriented at approximately $60^{\circ}$ angles to one another unlike the three sets observed in this study. At the Laidlaw site, a fracture orientation conducted by McKay et al. (1993) identified two orthogonal fracture sets oriented in the east-west and north-south directions. The fracture plane orientations found in this study confirms the presence of the east-west oriented fracture plane set.

To qualify the findings presented in this paper, the bearings of the boreholes from which the calculated fracture pole orientations were determined are plotted on the equal area projection plot shown in Figure 9. It is evident from this figure that there are three groups of borehole bearing angles from which the calculated fracture pole observations were obtained. The three fracture
plane sets identified are, for the most part, orthogonal to these three sets of borehole bearing angles. It would seem that a definite trend in the observed fracture set orientations has been introduced by the bearing angles of the boreholes used to conduct the fracture mapping. For the fracture pole calculation method developed in this study, the orientation of an identified fracture plane can only be determined from the core sample fracture mapping approach if the fracture plane is oriented orthogonally or sub-orthogonally to the bearing of core sample axis. If a fracture plane parallel to the borehole bearing angle was intersected, the ability to identify that fracture plane on the exposed upper or lower surfaces of the core sample is limited.

## Summary

The developed method illustrates a framework that can be applied in future studies to increase the amount of fracture orientation information gained from a piezometer network. The method alleviates the need to map fractures on the exposed surfaces of trench excavations. The core sampling technique is an intermediate step easily implemented during piezometer installation. The collected core samples can be removed from the site to be analyzed in a controlled laboratory setting leaving behind a piezometer network where further field experiments can be continued. Although the developed core sampling technique requires certain care to implement, it does not significantly hinder the piezometer installation process. The core sample mapping technique which is critical to this approach can be tedious and time consuming. The identification of intersected fracture planes is also inherently subjective.

The fracture orientation results presented in this study are encouraging. This is the first fracture mapping study conducted within the shallow weathered zone of the clay till deposit found at the Laidlaw site that provides confidence intervals for the preferential fracture plane orientations that were identified. It appears, however, that the fracture plane orientation trends observed in this study could possibly have been introduced by the borehole orientations from which the mapped core samples were obtained. As a result, it can not be assumed that the calculated fracture pole orientations represent a random sample obtained from a parent population of fracture planes with a preferred orientation. Perhaps by obtaining fracture pole observations from a wider range of borehole bearing angles, a more definitive fracture plane orientation data set could be compiled. However, the utility of this methodology has merit and therefore should be explored at other fractured clay till sites.
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Table 1. Borehole attitude and fracture plane mapping data for the fracture planes shown in Figure 4.

| Example <br> Fracure <br> Plane | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BH} \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Borehole Attitude |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Core } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |  | Data Measured From Core Map |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Case I Orientation |  | Case II Orientation |  |  |
|  |  | $\beta_{\text {BHY }}$ | $\delta^{\text {BHI }}$ |  |  | $\delta_{\text {Fa }}^{m}$ | \$ | $\beta_{F}^{m}$ | $\delta_{F a}^{m}$ | $\phi$ | $\beta_{F}^{m}$ |
| 1 | 7 | 315.6 | 35 |  | 3 | 3.80 to 4.15 | 60 | 75 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 7 | 315.6 | 35 | 3 | -" |  |  |  | 110 | 80 | 100 |

Table 2(a). Pole attitude calculations for the fracture planes shown in Figure 4.

| Example <br> Fracture Plane | True dip angle (Step 1) $\delta_{F}^{m}$ | Direction Cosines of $P^{m}$ (Step 2) |  |  | Direction Cosines of $\boldsymbol{P}$ (Steps 3 \& 4) |  |  | Calculated Pole Attitude |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\cos \alpha^{\underline{m}}$ | $\cos \alpha_{Y^{m}}$ | $\cos \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{m}$ | $\cos \alpha_{X}$ | $\cos \alpha y$ | $\cos \alpha_{z}$ | $\beta_{P}$ <br> (7) | $\delta_{p}$ <br> (8) |
| 1 | 60.9 | -0.226 | 0.844 | 0.487 | -0.840 | 0.535 | -0.085 | -57.5 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 109.7 | 0.163 | 0.927 | -0.337 | -0.279 | 0.519 | -0.808 | -28.3 | 53.9 |

Table 2(b). Correction to bearing angle of pole.

| Quadant Location of <br> $\beta_{P}$ from direction cosines <br> $\cos \alpha_{X}$ and $\cos \alpha_{Y}$ | $\beta_{P}^{c}$ <br> Correction Angle | Corrected <br> Pole Bearing <br> Angle $\beta_{P}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 360 | 302.5 |
| 4 | 360 | 331.7 |

Table 3. Fracture pole contour density peak values as determined from the Robin and Jowett (1986) algorithm. Peak densities greater than a value of 5.20 are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

| Identified Density Peak | Calculated Peak Density |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Value |
| Peak \# 1.1 | 5.66 |
| Peak \#1.2 | 4.44 |
| Peak \#2.1 | 4.63 |
| Peak \#2.2 | 3.93 |
| Peak\#3.1 | 4.30 |
| Peak \#3.2 | 4.56 |

## List of Fiqures

Figure 1. (a) Plan view of the piezometer network, (b) cross section A-A' of the piezometer network.

Figure 2. Schematic view of upper-left quarter (a) case I fracture (vertical to sub-vertical orientation), and (b) case II fracture (horizontal to sub-horizontal orientation).

Figure 3. Schematic view of lowernight quarter (a) case I fracture (vertical to sub-vertical orientation), and (b) case II fracture (horizontal to sub-horizontal orientation).

Figure 4. Example core map from $\mathrm{BH} \# 7$ (core 3 with a depth interval from 3.80 to 4.15 m below ground surface) as drawn from a photographed image.

Figure 5. Attitude conversion of pole $P^{m}$ into direction cosine coordinates.
Figure 6. Graphical confirmation of methodology used to calculate the pole attitude of intersected fractures.

Figure 7. Lower hemisphere equal area projection plot of the calculated poles to intersected fracture planes.

Figure 8. Density contoured lower hemisphere equal area projection plot of the calculated poles to intersected fracture planes based on algorithm from Robin and Jowett (1986).

Figure 9. Density contoured lower hemisphere equal area projection plot of the calculated poles to observed fracture planes and the borehole bearing angles.
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Table 1. Borehole attitude and fracture plane mapping data for the fracture planes shown in Figure 4.

| Example <br> Fracture <br> Plane | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BH} \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Borehole Attitude |  | Core \# | Core <br> Depth <br> Interval | Data Measured From Core Map |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Case I Orientation |  | Case II Orientation |  |  |
|  |  | $\beta_{B H}$ | $\delta^{\text {B }} \mathrm{H}$ |  |  | $\delta_{\text {ca }}^{\text {ma }}$ | ¢ | $\beta{ }_{F}^{m}$ | $\delta_{\text {Fa }}^{m}$ | $\phi$ | $\beta_{F}^{m}$ |
| 1 | 7 | 315.6 | 35 |  | 3 | 3.80 to 4.15 | 60 | 75 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 7 | 315.6 | 35 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 110 | 80 | 100 |

Table 2(a). Pole attitude calculations for the fracture planes shown in Figure 4.

| Example | True dip angle (Step 1) | Direction Cosines of $P^{m}$ (Step 2) |  |  | Direction Cosines of P(Steps 3 \& 4) |  |  | Calculated Pole Attitude |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fracture Plane | $\delta^{m}$ | $\cos \alpha_{X^{m}}$ | $\cos ^{\alpha} \gamma^{m}$ | $\cos ^{\alpha} Z^{\text {m }}$ | $\cos \alpha X$ | $\left\|\cos \alpha_{Y}\right\|$ | $\cos \alpha_{Z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \beta_{p} \\ & (7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\delta_{P}$ (8) |
| 1 | 60.9 | -0.226 | 0.844 | 0.487 | -0.840 | 0.535 | -0.085 | -57.5 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 109.7 | 0.163 | 0.927 | -0.337 | -0.279 | 0.519 | -0.808 | -28.3 | 53.9 |

Table 2(b). Correction to bearing angle of pole.

| Quadant Location of <br> $\beta_{P}$ from direction cosines <br> $\cos \alpha_{X}$ and $\cos \alpha_{Y}$ | Corrected <br> $\boldsymbol{c}$ | Pole Bearing <br> Angle $\beta_{P}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 360 | 302.5 |
| 4 | 360 | 331.7 |

Table 3. Fracture pole contour density peak values as determined from the Robin and Jowett (1986) algorithm. Peak densities greater than a value of 5.20 are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

| Identified Density Peak | Calculated Peak Density <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Peak \# 1.1 | 5.66 |
| Peak \# 1.2 | 4.44 |
| Peak \# 2.1 | 4.63 |
| Peak 2.2 | 3.93 |
| Peak \# 3.1 | 4.30 |
| Peak \# 3.2 | 4.56 |


| CORE SAMPLE ANALYSIS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uats: MAE./AES | BH: Axis Dip | Grey Precipitale .o..- Red Oxidation Stainung |
| OH ¢ 7 | BH Axis Azimuih: S/5: $6^{\circ}$ | Notes: |
| CORE 1: 3 | Depth (from): S. go (80): 4trom |  |
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