

# PACIFIC REGION TECHNICAL NOTES

83-013 April 6, 1983

### Verification of 3-5 Day Forecasts

Peter Haering, Chief Meteorologist Pacific Weather Centre, Vancouver, B.C.

#### INTRODUCTION

There is always considerable interest expressed in the forecast quality of various forecast products. The 3-5 Day forecast as issued by the Pacific Weather Centre (see Attachment) has not been verified for any lengthy period. A.E.S. now verifies selected stations across Canada for Day-1 and Day-2. This verification study is an attempt to shed some light on PWC's performance in forecasting the occurrence of precipitation in the 3-5 Day range, and allow for some comparison in the Day-1 and Day-2 range. The statistics are presented in a similar manner as the AES Day-1 and Day-2 verifications. However, this study deals with an attempt at areal verification while the AES verifications are point verifications.

#### PROCEDURE

- 1. One year's (1981) data for B.C. was examined and compared to the 3-5 Day forecasts for the same period.
- 2. A forecast was considered a forecast of precipitation if any precipitation term was used.
- 3. A station was considered as reporting precipitation if measurable precipitation was recorded for the climate day.
- 4. The following stations were used in the study:

South Coast - Vancouver, Victoria, Abbotsford, Comox.

North Coast - Sandspit, Prince Rupert, Terrace.

Southern Interior - Kamloops, Penticton, Kelowna, Castlegar.

Central Interior - Williams Lake, Quesnel, Prince George, Smithers.

- 5. In order for an area (i.e. South Coast) to be considered as having received measurable rain, two out of four stations had to report measurable rain (for the North Coast 2 out of 3).
- 6. The results of the study are presented.
- 7. For some comparison data for day 1 and day 2 occurrence of precipitation compiled for the first 7 months of 1982 and are also presented.

# COMMENTS

- 1. There appears to be no evidence that the Day-3 forecasts are consistently better than those for Day-4 or Day-5.
- 2. The Heidke Skill Scores from the B.C. Interior are lower than for coastal areas suggesting a different precipitation regime (i.e. results are dependent on criteria used in verification study) or lower skill.

#### Sample Forecast

# 3-5 Days

FPCN52 CWVR 111200 WEATHER OUTLOOK FOR SUNDAY MONDAY AND TUESDAY FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA ISSUED BY ENVIRONMENT CANADA AT 4AM PST MARCH 11 1983.

#### SOUTH COAST.

SUNDAY. PERIODS OF RAIN. HIGHS NEAR 10.

MONDAY..SHOWERS. HIGHS NEAR 10.

TUESDAY .. RAIN . HIGHS NEAR 10.

#### NORTH COAST'.

SUNDAY. RAIN. HIGHS NEAR 9.

MONDAY, SHOWERS, HIGHS NEAR 9.

TUESDAY .. RAIN . HIGHS NEAR 9.

#### SOUTHERN INTERIOR.

SUNDAY. SHOWERS, HIGHS NEAR 9.

MONDAY. MAINLY CLOUDY. HIGHS NEAR 9.

TUESDAY.. MAINLY CLOUDY. HIGHS NEAR 9.

#### CENTRAL INTERIOR.

SUNDAY..A FEW SHOWERS. HIGHS NEAR 6.

MONDAY...A FEW SHOWERS, HIGHS NEAR 6.

TUESDAY .. MAINLY CLOUDY . HIGHS NEAR 6.

# SOUTH COAST

DAY 3

DAY 4

DAY 5

	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.
JAN.	58	.82	06	48	1.17	07	65	1.17	.27
FEB.	71	.73	.36	68	.67	.36	61	.80	.21
MAR.	61	.67	.14	52	.92	07	58	.75	.00
APR.	57	.81	.13	57	.71	.07	47	.67	07
MAY	52	.48	.11	42	.43	.00	48	.43	.11
JUNE	53	.81	.00	53	.81	.00	47	.76	.07
JULY	71	.10	.10	52	.50	36	52	.70	25
AUG.	81-	1.42	.50	81	1.28	.50	84	.86	.50
SEPT.	<b>77</b> .	.94	.53,	70	.81	.40	67	.75	.27
OCT.	74	.88	.50	71	.94	.44	71	1.00	.40
NOV.	.87	1.10	.67	77	1.15	.42	77	1.25	.30
DEC.	77	1.03	17	81	1.03	.00	77	1.03	17

Stations used: Vancouver, Victoria, Abbotsford, and Comox.

Note: 2 out of 4 stations must report measurable precipitation.

# FORECAST

Rain No

No Rain

***************************************		
a	Ъ	J
С	đ	K
М	N	T

%	CORRECT	=	<u>a</u>	+	d	x	100%
				T			

BIAS =  $\frac{M}{J}$  > 1 Over Forecast < 1 Under Forecast

H.S.S. = 
$$\frac{(a + d) - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}{T - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}$$

H.S.S.

= Heidke Skill Score

a + d

= No. of Correct Forecasts

T

= Total Number of Forecasts

 $\underline{JM + KN}$ 

= No. of Forecasts expected to

be correct by chance.

OBSERVED

Rain

No Rain

# NORTH COAST

DAY 3

DAY 4

DAY 5

	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.
JAN.	74	1.23	.33	71	1.33	.18	68	1.19	.17
FEB.	75	.87	.50	75	.87	.50	71	1.00	.43
MAR.	52	.85	.00	48	1.00	14	52	.85	.00
APR.	83	.88	.40	90	.80	.75	77	.80	.42
MAY	84	.75	.67	71	.65	.44	74	.80	.47
JUNE	67	1.18	.00	67	1.27	11	63	1.13	10
JULY	68	1.16	.33	71	1.41	.44	68	1.16	.33
AUG.	77	1.70	.56	71	1.60	.44	61	1.60	.25
SEPT.	73	1.14	.20	67	1.05	.09	67	1.00	.16
OCT.	65	1.14	.08	61	1.18	09	58	1.14	08
NOV.	83	1.04	.00	87	1.07	.00	87	1.07	.00
DEC.	77	1.08	.28	68	1.08	.00	77	1.12	.12

Stations used: Sandspit, Prince Rupert, and Terrace.

2 out of 3 stations must report measurable precipitation.

#### FORECAST

Rain No Rain

No Rain

Rain

a	Ъ	J
С	đ	K
М	N	T

 $% CORRECT = a + d \times 100%$ 

BIAS =  $\frac{M}{J}$ . > 1 Over Forecast < 1 Under Forecast

H.S.S. = 
$$\frac{(a + d) - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}{T - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}$$

H.S.S.

= Heidke Skill Score

a + d

= No. of Correct Forecasts

= Total Number of Forecasts

= No. of Forecasts expected to

be correct by chance.

# SOUTHERN INTERIOR

DAY 3

DAY 4

DAY 5

	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.
JAN.	71	.44	.18	55	1.00	08	55	1.00	08
FEB.	64	.33	.23	57	.50	.08	54	.42	.00
MAR.	74	.40	.27	71	.30	.25	71	.10	.10
APR.	57	.91	.07	47	.64	23	53	.73	08
MAY	48	.22	.06	52	.28	.12	48	.22	.06
JUNE	43	.48	.00	53	.70	.07	57	.74	.07
JULY	52	1.40	.00	39	1.30	27	42	1.40	20
AUG.	48	3.80	.11	42	4.20	.05	. 35	3.80	11
SEPT.	67	.91	.29	60 -	1.27	.20	60	1.18	.14
OCT.	81	.87	56	71	.93	.40	74	.87	.47
NOV.	50	1.16	.00	47	1.16	07	53	.83	.00
DEC.	58	1.21	.07	55	1.31	08	58	1.26	.07

Stations used: Kamloops, Penticton, Kelowna, and Castlegar. Note: 2 out of 4 stations must report measurable precipitation.

## FORECAST

No Rain

Rain

Rain

No Rain

<del></del>		
а	Ъ	J.
С	đ	K
М	N	T

% CORRECT =  $\frac{a + d}{T}$  x 100%

BIAS = M

> 1 Over Forecast < 1 Under Forecast

H.S.S. = 
$$\frac{(a + d) - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}{T - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}$$

H.S.S.

= Heidke Skill Score

a + d

= No. of Correct Forecasts

T

= Total Number of Forecasts

 $\frac{JM + KN}{T}$ 

= No. of Forecasts expected to

be correct by chance.

#### CENTRAL INTERIOR

DAY 3

DAY 4

DAY 5

	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.	% CORRECT	BIAS	H.S.S.
JAN.	74	.43	.13	58	1.14	18	65	1.43	.08
FEB.	71	.80	.33	68	.70	.25	61	.90	.15
MAR.	68	.56	.00	68	.34	.00	68	.11	11
APR.	47	.70	07	44	.55	06	43	.35	.15
MAY	32	.28	24	32	.33	24	39	.28	12
JUNE	43	.57	.00	50	.78	15	47	.83	23
JULY	45	1.21	06	48	1.28	.00	48	1.28	.00
AUG.	52	2.75	.16	55	2.75	.26	55	2.75	.26
SEPT.	67	1.13	33	63	1.27	.27	63	1.00	.27
OCT.	55	1.00	.07	55	.90	.07	55	1.05	.07
NOV.	43	1.28	30	43	1.28	30	50	1.28	14
DEC.	48	1.56	33	48	1.56	33	61	1.56	.00

Stations used: Williams Lake, Quesnel, Prince George, and Smithers. Note: 2 out of 4 stations must report measurable precipitation.

#### FORECAST

No Rain

Rain

Rain	No Rai	<u>.                                    </u>
. а	Ъ	J
С	đ	K
М	N	Т

% CORRECT =  $\frac{a + d}{T}$  x 100%

BIAS =  $\frac{M}{J}$  > 1 Over Forecast < 1 Under Forecast

H.S.S. = 
$$\frac{(a + d) - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}{T - \frac{(JM + KN)}{(T)}}$$

H.S.S.

= Heidke Skill Score

= No. of Correct Forecasts

= Total Number of Forecasts

JM + KNT

= No. of Forecasts expected to

be correct by chance.

DAY 1 OCCURRENCE OF PRECIPITATION

	н.s.s.	.17	.47	.35	.13	05	.20	.47		
PRINCE GEORGE	BIAS	1.00	.84	1.67	2.43	74.	1.14	68.	ccast	
PRINCE	Z CORRECT	74	7.5	89	53	45	70	74	x 100%   x	
٠.	н.s.s.	.05	97.	-44	.38	.32	.62	80.	$= \frac{a+d}{T} \times 100Z$ $= \frac{1}{T} \text{ Over For } $ $= \frac{1}{T} \text{ Inder For } $ $= \frac{1}{T$	
VICTORIA	BIAS	1.00	.85	1.20	1.08	98.	.50	1.57	Z CORRECT = $\frac{a+d}{T}$ BIAS = $\frac{M}{J}$ C.  H.S.S. = $(a+d)$ - $\frac{T-(JM)}{T}$ 1 Score  ect Forecasts  r of Forecasts  r of Forecasts  casts expected to by chance.	
	Z CORRECT	74	. 68	74	70	11	06	61	Z CORRECT = $\frac{a+d}{T}$ BIAS = $\frac{M}{J}$ (a + d) -  (b - Correct Forecasts)  (b - Correct Forecasts)  (c - Correct Forecasts)  (d - Correct Forecasts)  (e - Correct Forecasts)  (f - Correct Forecasts)	
	H.S.S.	60.	27.	.74	.60	.63	.36	.41	7 M H	
VANCOUVER	BIAS	1.04	56.	98.	1.15	27.	.50	1.50	FORECAST No Rain N H.S.S. a + d T T T	
Þ	Z CORRECT	11	88	87	80	87	83	71	Rain M C a	
		JAN.	FEB.	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	OBSERVED NO RAIN	
					·			·		
N	H.S.S.	.17	84.	.23	777	.41	.33	25		
CE GEORGE	BIAS	1.47	1.09	1.20	2.33	.93	1.67	1.67	recast orecast	
PRINC	Z CORRECT	58	75	11	73	11	73	65	+ d x 100% T > 1 Over Forecast < 1 Under Forecast d) - (JM + KN) (JM + KN) (JR + KN) (T )	
	н.s.s.	.18	62.	.26	.52	.51	.52	.34	Z CORRECT = $\frac{a+d}{T}$ x 100Z  BIAS = $\frac{M}{J}$ > 1 Over For H.S.S. = $\frac{(a+d)-(JM+KN)}{T}$ T - $\frac{(JM+KN)}{T}$ 1. Score sect Forecasts rat of Forecasts expected to by chance.	
VICTORIA	BIAS	1.60	.80	1.00	1.27	.86	1.00	1.71	% CORRECT BIAS = M H.S.S. = 1 Score ect Forec r of Fore casts exp	
	Z CORRECT	58	68	74	7.7	84	87	7.1	Z CORRECT = a + d  BIAS = H  H.S.S. = (a + d)  H.S.S. = (a + d)  T - (JM)  T	
	H.S.S.	.31	.57	69.	.73	.63	.61	.54	h x H	
VANCOUVER	BIAS	1.47	98.	.64	1.17	1.00	1.25	1.88	No Rain No Rain No Rain N H.S.S. T T T T T	
Δ,	Z CORRECT	89	79	87	87	87	06	7.7	Rain Rain	
٠	_	JAN.	FEB.	MARCH	APRIL	МАУ	JUNE	JULY	OBSERVED No Rain	•