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## PREFACE

This report is based on the Capital Investment Intentions Survey of the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce/Regional Economic Expansion covering some 280 large corporations which was carried out in April and May 1983. The survey does not include smaller firms, nor investments in housing, agriculture or fishing. Direct government outlays are also excluded.

The data provided in this report should only be regarded as the investment intentions at a given point in time, of a panel of large firms; thus the data do not provide the investment picture for the economy as a whole. Nevertheless, the data presented here are useful as an indication of those changes that have occurred in planned outlays since the previous survey, and as an indication of the factors which have caused these changes. The survey results also provide a breakout of investment intentions at a fairly disaggregated level.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Capital Investment Intentions Survey of the Department of Inḍstry, Trade and Commerce/Regional Economic Expansion conducted in April and May 1983 covers 280 large corporations and provides a reading on business capital spending for 1983. Several highlights from the current report are given below.
i) The Survey indicates that the 1983 investment plans of reporting companies have softened further in the past six months.
ii) The April 1983 Survey suggests that capital spending by these responding companies in 1983 may decline by about 3 percent. A similar Survey last October indicated an increase of 1 percent was expected for 1983.
iii) The proportion of capital spending intended for 1983 directed to research and development ( 1.3 percent) is somewhat higher than the 1.1 percent indicated for 1982 .
iv) Compared to a similar Survey conducted in October 1982 this recent Survey shows a 12 percent reduction in the level of investment plans for the 1984-1987 period. Companies presently indicate a total of about $\$ 90$ billion (current dollars) in investment plans for the medium term.
v) On a regional basis, all regions with the exception of the Prairies record reduced spending for 1983 compared with 1982 levels. The British Columbia and Atlantic regions and Ontario show the larger year-to-year decreases. The Prairie region investment is expected to increase by 1 percent.
vi) The spending of domestic majority-owned firms on new plant and equipment in 1983 is anticipated to decrease by 6 percent compared with an increase of 6 percent for the spending of foreign-owned firms.
vii) Private firms show a 9 percent decrease in capital spending plans for 1983 as compared with a 9 percent advance shown by public companies.
viii) A total of about $\$ 11$ billion in capital investments that was not included by the large companies in their spending plans was, nevertheless, thought to hold potential for introduction over the medium term.
ix) One-half of the survey panel reported that they expect their profit level in 1983 to be higher than 1982 levels while about one-third expect a lower profit performance.
x) Factors mentioned most often as affecting outlays were expected economic conditions in Canada, expected cash flow, government policies and expected sales.

## HIGELIGHTS OF THE APRIL 1983 SURVEY

## The Aggregate Picture

The April 1983 Survey* provides an indication of planned investment for 1983 based on conditions at the time of the survey. The Survey results indicate that the 1983 investment plans of some of Canada's larger firms have softened considerably since the Fall of 1982。

Last October the approximately 280 large firms who responded to our Survey expected that their capital spending in 1983 would increase by about 1 percent in current dollars over 1982 levels (unless otherwise stated dollar amounts and percentage changes in this report are in or based on current dollars). These same firms now indicate a decrease in 1983 of 3 percent.

It should be reiterated that the Survey does not cover investments in housing, agriculture and direct government outlays. As well, the spending patterns of the larger corporations may differ from those of smaller companies and industry sectors not covered by the Survey, and as such, the results cannot be extended directly to the total business sector. That is to say that this reported decline for 1983 is only for those large companies included in the survey panel and, thus, does not reflect the spending pattern of all businesses.

It should also be noted that while the Survey was conducted mainly during the month of April 1983, the results presented here include some returns received as late as May 25, 1983.

[^0]Business capital investment in 1983 will continue to decline in real terms but the decrease is expected to be smaller than the one experienced in 1982. These declines follow three years of exceptional strength in business capital spending activities (1979 to 1981) when real business spending (excluding housing) on new plant and equipment increased by 30 percent over the three years (on a National Accounts basis) while real Gross National Product grew by 7.7 percent. There currently exists excess capacity in the Canadian economy which helps to explain why the Survey results indicate weak investment spending. In addition, recent poor profit performance and weak demand have also contributed to the weakness in business investment outlays. About half the companies indicate an improved profit outlook for 1983, however, companies may tend to reduce debt rather than investing in new plant and equipment.

The National Accounts recently reported that real business spending on new plant and equipment (excluding housing) declined by 11.2 percent in 1982. This followed real increases of 7.6 percent for 1981, 7.4 percent for 1980 and 12.7 percent for 1979 . It is anticipated that actual or real business spending (excluding housing) will decline in 1983: possibly in the range of 8 - 10 percent.

The responding companies indicated that their level of spending on new plant and equipment in 1983 would be about $\$ 28.6$ billion in current dollars, a decrease of about $\$ 0.9$ billion over 1982. Increases by transportation equipment companies, food and beverage companies, and transportation and storage companies were more than offset by the year-to-year reductions reported by forest products companies, oil and gas pipelines, primary metal companies and chemical companies (Table 1 )。

Outlook for Manufacturing

Capital spending by reporting manufacturing corporations on new plant and equipment for 1983 is expected to be about $\$ 4.7$ billion in current dollars, a 13 percent drop from the revised 1982 level of spending. This current level of spending represents a drastic reduction from that expected for 1983 in the October 1982 Survey when only a 5 percent drop was expected. This reduced expectation reflects the adjustments made by the forest products, primary metals and chemical companies.

The transportation equipment and food and beverage industries show relatively strong spending plans for 1983. Spending plans of both these industry groupings are expected to advance by about 44 percent (Tables 1 and 2).

During 1983, the manufacturing companies in the Survey panel expect to operate at 78 percent capacity, up from 76 percent in 1982 .

Outlook for Non-Manufacturing

The spending plans of respondents in the non-manufacturing sector for 1983 are expected to be about $\$ 23.8$ billion in current dollars, a decrease of about 1 percent from the revised 1982 spending level. Notable strength is apparent in the transportation and storage industry. Oil and gas companies, as well as utilities and trade, finance and other commercial companies, show modest advances. Spending plans of oil and gas pipelines, communication and mining companies for 1983 all show anticipated decreases (Tables 1 and 2).

Cost of Capital Goods

The average cost adjustment factor or inflation rate used, in this current Survey, by the large firms in their capital budgets for 1983 was about 9.6 percent over the cost level for 1982; this represents a decrease from the 10.5 percent used for 1983 in the October 1982 Survey (Table 7).

On an industry basis, it is noted that chemical and primary metal companies are expecting larger cost increases in the 1983-1986 period than the manufacturing sector as a whole. Within the nonmanufacturing sector it is electric utilities that expect the larger cost increases.

Public Compared to Private Capital Spending

Capital spending in the public or government segment of the large firm panel (i.e. crown corporations) is expected to increase by 8.6 percent in 1983, while the private sector expects a decline of 9.4 percent (Table 11). The public portion represents 39 percent of the total capital spending for 1983 (Table 10).

For 1982 , the public and private sectors indicated downward revisions in the level of spending reported earlier, 8.4 percent and 3.5 percent respectively. For 1983 , the public sector indicated about a 6 percent (or $\$ 0.7$ billion) downward revision between the surveys while the private sector recorded an 11 percent reduction (or \$2.2 billion).

On a regional basis the government portion of total capital investments in 1983 ranges from 26 percent in the Prairies to

60 percent in Quebec (Table 10 ) . Within the public sector, the Prairie and Atlantic regions stand out as areas of strength in 1983 with expected year-over-year advances of 17 and 16 percent respectively (Table 11). For 1982, within this sector, the reported levels of investment were reduced from earlier estimates in all areas with the exception of the British Columbia and Northern region. For 1983, the expected spending in the Atlantic and British Columbia and Northern regions and Ontario have been lowered substantially from that reported in the October 1982 Survey.

For private sector investment in 1983, all regions are expected to experience year-to-year declines, the Atlantic region the largest with an anticipated year-to-year decline of 20 percent; the next being the B.C. and Northern region with a decline of some 17 percent. For 1982 , the reported levels of spending were reduced from those reported earlier in all but the Atlantic region. For 1983, the reported levels of spending were reduced from those reported in October 1982 in all regions.

## Foreign versus Domestic Companies

Foreign majority-owned firms plan an increase of 5.5 percent in their capital spending for 1983 compared with a decrease of about 6.4 percent for the domestic majority-owned firms (Table 9). Domestic majority-owned firms represent approximately 70 percent of total capital spending reported for 1983 by the Survey panel while the foreign majority-owned firms account for the remainder (Table 8).

Domestic majority-owned firms' spending intentions on plant and equipment for 1983 have been reduced by 6.6 percent ( $\$ 1.4$ billion) between the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys. Revisions to
investment intentions by foreign majority-owned firms in the Survey panel for 1983 amounted to a reduction of 15.5 percent or $\$ 1.5$ billion (Table 9).

Within the domestic sector, only the Prairie region shows a year-to-year advance for 1983 as all other areas record declines: the larger ones are anticipated for the B.C. and Northern region and Ontario. For foreign sector spending in 1983, Quebec and Ontario show large increases.

On a regional basis, downward revisions are prevalent for 1982 by both domestic and foreign majority-owned firms, the only exceptions being domestic majority-owned firms spending in the Atlantic and British Columbia and Northern regions.

For 1983 the domestic and foreign majority-owned firms spending show downward revisions in all regions since the October 1982 Survey (Table 9): and the proportion of investment associated with domestic majority-owned firms ranges from a high of 85 percent in Quebec for 1983 to 63 percent in the Prairie region (Table 8)。

Tentative Medium Term Investments

A total of $\$ 11$ billion in capital investments was not included by the large corporations in their spending plans, but nevertheless was thought to hold potential for introduction over the medium term. Regionally, firms with headquarters in Ontario reported about $\$ 3.7$ billion or 33 percent of the total while Prairie-based firms reported $\$ 6.1$ bil1ion or 55 percent of the total tentative investments (Table 14).

Fiftymseven percent of the $\$ 11$ million under consideration were reported by oil and gas companies, 10 percent by primary metal companies, and 8 percent by forest product companies.

## Factors Affecting Outlays

As was the case in October 1982, expected economic conditions in Canada was the factor mentioned most of ten during the April 1983 survey as affecting the companies' current and future plans (Table 15). Cash flow was also a major concern of the companies. Other concerns were government policies and expected sales in Canada and abroado Cost of funds (interest rates) was mentioned less frequently in the April 1983 Survey than in the October 1982 Survey as affecting the companies' investment plans.

Reasons for and Types of Changes in Investment 1982

An upward revision in spending for 1983 was reported by about 29 percent of firms; while 55 percent reported downward revisions and 16 percent indicated no change from the October 1982 Survey.

Domestic and foreign market demand and internal (cash flow) financial considerations, as well as better estimates, were identified as the major factors causing firms to revise their 1983 spending plans downward. Firms who reported upward revisions indicated that better estimates, domestic and foreign market demand and carry-overs were some of the factors in their budget decisions. For further details see Tables 18-27.

Purpose of Investment

Of the total corporations in the Survey panel, 260 reported the breakdown of their capital spending by purpose; thus, about 90 percent of the total reported capital spending for 1983 was allocated to the various purpose categories. Categories generally fall into two
basic groups, namely those which add directly to production facilities, and those which do not, the latter group including research and development, pollution abatement and working environment, the former group including expansion of facilities at existing sites, upgrading and replacement and new facilities at new sites.

The larger portions of capital spending continue to be directed to the expansion of existing facilities and to new facilities at new sites. The proportion of capital spending directed to research and development at 1.3 percent in 1983 is somewhat higher than the 1.1 percent indicated for 1982. The proportion related to pollution abatement remains relatively stable at 1.8 percent in 1983. For further details, see Tables 28, 29 and 30.

Medium Term Investment

A comparison of the results of the current Survey with that of October 1982 shows that earlier investment plans for the 1984-1987 period in Canada as a whole shows a 12 percent reduction. This adjustment is attributable mostly to the non-manufacturing sector, which accounts for about 95 percent of this decrease. All companies presently indicate a total of about $\$ 90$ billion (current dollars) in investment plans for the medium term (1984-1987). Increased spending intentions for the medium term reported by companies in primary metals, food and beverages and transportation equipment were more than offset by reduced levels of spending reported by oil and gas companies and pipelines, electric utilities, communications and chemical companies.

On a regional basis; the British Columbia and Northern and Prairie regions show the larger between-Survey downward revisions for the 1984-1987 period. See Tables $12,13,16$ and 17 for further details.

Regional Distribution of Business Investment

Spending patterns reported in the Survey reflect developments in the various regions in broad terms only and therefore should.be interpreted with some caution.

For 1983 as compared to 1982 a.larger proportion of capital expenditures on new production facilities was designated to all regions but the British Columbia and Northern region and unallocated, which are receiving smaller shares. Also, from 1983 on, the proportional share of investment directed to the Atlantic region is increasing while that of Quebec is declining.

The regional percentage distribution of total business capital investment over the medium term, as reported by the firms surveyed in April 1983, is presented in the following table:

| Regions | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic | 7.6 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.8 |
| Quebec | 18.8 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 16.2 |
| Ontario | 17.1 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| Prairies | 30.2 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 33.3 |
| BC \& Northern | 17.0 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 15.1 |
| Unallocated* | 9.3 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.0 |

[^1]Atlantic Region (Tables 31-34)

Total spending on plant and equipment in 1983 in the Atlantic region by companies in the Survey panel is expected to be about $\$ 2.3$ billion. (As noted earlier, dollar levels and percent changes are based on current dollars.) This represents a decrease of 6.5 percent from the level of $\$ 2.5$ billion reported for 1982 (Table 31). Compared with the October 1982 Survey returns, these levels of investment represent revisions of 0.4 percent for 1982 and -12.0 percent for 1983.

Investment spending in 1983 by the manufacturing sector in the Atlantic region is expected to decline by about 33 percent, to a level of $\$ 338$ million. Within this sector the primary metals and food and beverages sectors register percentage increases for 1983 while forest products and transportation equipment report declines.

For the non-manufacturing sector in the Atlantic region, capital spending for 1983 is expected to reach $\$ 1,961$ million, up 0.3 percent over 1982. The largest year-to-year advances for 1983 are reported by oil and gas companies and trade, finance and other commercial companies. Mining companies investment is expected to drop in the Atlantic region by about 45 percent. Utilities are also recording a year-to-year decline.

Crown corporations, which account for 46 percent of total capital spending in the Atlantic region for 1983 (Table 10), have revised their 1983 spending plans downward by 11.9 percent, while the private sector recorded a 12.1 percent decrease in investment plans for 1983, since the last Survey. For 1982, crown corporations recorded a
downward revision of 14 percent in its level of spending from October levels while private firms reported an 11 percent upward revision. (Table 33).

Foreign majority-owned firms expect to decrease spending on new plant and equipment by 14.7 percent in the Atlantic provinces in 1983 while domestic majority-owned firms expect spending to drop by 3.8 percent from 1982 levels. Domestic majority-owned firms show a downward revision of 4.3 percent from the previous Survey in the level of spending expected for 1983, due to the revised levels of spending by non-manufacturing firms. Similarly, foreign majority-owned firms show a reduction ( 30.9 percent) in intended outlays for 1983 which is also concentrated in the non-manufacturing sector (Table 32).

Quebec (Tables 35-38)

The April 1983 Survey shows that the large corporations investing in new plant and equipment in Quebec expect to spend $\$ 4.8$ billion in 1983, a decrease of 1.5 percent from the revised 1982 level of $\$ 4.9$ billion. This level of spending for 1983 represents a reduction of 8.1 percent from that reported in October 1982 (Table 35) 。

Capital spending by the manufacturing sector in Quebec is expected to reach about $\$ 1.0$ billion in $1983,13.9$ percent higher than the 1982 leve1. The primary metals, food and beverages, and transportation equipment sectors indicated the strongest year-over-year percentage increases while the forest products sector showed a decline.

The 5 percent decrease in the spending plans in 1983 for the Quebec non-manufacturing sector is somewhat higher than the national
average decrease of 1 percent for this sector. The larger year-to-year percentage increases for 1983 are in transportation and storage and utilities. Communications, oil and gas companies and pipelines record relatively weak spending plans. It should be noted that the nonmanufacturing sector in Quebec is heavily influenced by the investment of crown corporations.

Crown (public) corporations, which account for 60 percent of the total capital spending in Quebec (Table 10), are expecting to increase spending in 1983 by about 3 percent. Private sector spending in Quebec is expected to decrease by 7 percent in 1983. The level of spending for 1983 reported by public companies has decreased slightly (-0.3 percent) since the October 1982 Survey, while the current level reported by private companies is over 17 percent lower.

Companies headquartered in Quebec are more optimistic about prospects for 1984 than they are for 1983. This is particularly true with respect to Canadian sales and business conditions. In addition, profits and export sales are expected to show some improvement in 1984 (Table 38).

In Apri1 1983, companies headquartered in Quebec had about $\$ 0.9$ billion of investments, which were not included in their reported spending plans but were nevertheless thought to hold high potential for introduction over the medium term (Table 14). Expected sales, cash flow and economic conditions were the factors that were most frequently mentioned as affecting the spending plans of these companies (Table 15). There has been a decrease in the frequency of response indicating the availability and cost of funds as having had a negative impact on investment plans.

Ontario (Tables 39-42)

Corporations with plant and equipment investments in Ontario reported in the April 1983 Survey that they expect their level of spending in 1983 to be $\$ 7.5$ billion, a decrease of 4 percent or \$300 million from the revised 1982 level (Table 39).

Investment spending in 1983 by the manufacturing sector in Ontario is expected to reach about $\$ 2.3$ billion, a decrease of 7 percent from the 1982 level. The transportation equipment, food and beverages and miscellaneous manufacturing sectors are recording year-to-year advances. Primary metals, chemicals, and forest products companies recorded decreases in the level of investment for 1983.

The 2 percent decrease in spending for 1983 by the nonmanufacturing sector in Ontario is somewhat above the national average decrease of 1 percent for this sector. Oil and gas pipelines and communications companies are the contributors to the expected decrease in spending. In contrast, transportation and storage; trade, finance and other commercial; and oil and gas companies are expecting to increase spending in Ontario in 1983.

Private sector spending in Ontario for 1983 is expected to decline by 8.4 percent as compared with a 4.6 percent year-over-year increase in the public segment of the business sector (Table 41). Private sector spending on plant and equipment accounts for 61 percent of the total investment in Ontario for 1983 (Table 10). Revisions in investment intentions from the October 1982 Survey for 1983 by the public segment of the Survey panel amounted to a decrease of about $\$ 330$ million or 10.3 percent and a decrease of $\$ 270$ million (5.6 percent) for the private portion of the Survey panel.

Foreign majority-owned firms show about a 31 percent increase in spending in Ontario in 1983 while domestic majority-owned firms indicate a 13 percent decline (Table 40). Strength for 1983 in the foreign sector is centered in the manufacturing sector which records a 45 percent or $\$ 450$ miliion increase over 1982. In contrast, in the domestic majority-owned segment the spending plans of the manufacturing sector showed a 43 percent decline ( $\$ 624$ million). The decrease is centred in primary metals and forest products.

Companies with headquarters in Ontario are more optimistic about profits and Canadian sales for 1984 than is expected for 1983 (Table 42). They are also more optimistic about domestic employment and export sales in 1984 as well as overall Canadian business conditions.

Capital investments of $\$ 3.7$ billion were not included in the capital spending plans of the Ontario-headquartered corporations but were thought to hold high potential for introduction over the next few years (Table 14). About two-thirds of this considered investment was reported by non-manufacturing firms, in particular, oil and gas companies and trade, finance and other commercial companies. The considered investments by manufacturing firms, are centered in primary metals and forest products.

In April 1983 expected economic conditions in Canada was the factor most often cited by companies with headquarters in Ontario as having a negative effect on spending plans (Table 15). Expected cash flow, government policies and domestic sales are also concerns.

Prairie Region (Tables 43-50)

Total capital spending in the Prairies in 1983 is now expected to be about $\$ 8.5$ billion, an increase of 0.8 percent from the revised 1982 level. This level represents a decrease of 10 percent or $\$ 980$ million from that expected for 1983 in October 1982 (Table 43). For 1983, spending in Alberta is expected to fall by 1 percent and in Manitoba by 8.2 percent. In contrast, spending in Saskatchewan is expected to increase some 12 percent in 1983. About 70 percent of the investment directed to the Prairies will be allocated to Alberta and as such it dominates the overall Prairie picture.

Manufacturing spending in the Prairies is expected to decline by 10 percent in 1983 due mainly to decreased spending by chemicals and primary metals companies which offset the increased spending reported by food and beverage companies (Table 43). Spending by manufacturing firms in Manitoba and Saskatchewan is expected to decline by 2.6 percent in 1983, while manufacturing spending in Alberta is expected to drop by 8.7 percent (Tables 44 and 45).

Total non-manufacturing spending in the Prairies of about $\$ 8.2$ billion for 1983 represents an advance of 1.4 percent over the revised 1982 level - this is in contrast to a percentage decrease (1 percent) expected for non-manufacturing in Canada as a whole (Table 43). For Alberta, non-manufacturing spending for 1983 was revised downward from October 1982 anticipations (by about 10 percent) and is now expected to decline by 0.6 percent over 1982 levels. All non-manufacturing sectors in Alberta, with the exception of communications and electric utilities, showed decreased levels of spending for 1983 from October 1982 projections (Table 45). Nonmanufacturing spending in Manitoba and Saskatchewan combined is expected to advance by about 6 percent in 1983. This increase is due mainly to the planned spending by utilities and trade, finance and other commercial companies in both Saskatchewan and Manitoba.

Private sector spending in 1983 is declining by 3.9 percent in the Prairies as compared with a 16.9 percent advance for the public segment of the business sector. Since October 1982 the public or government sector in the Prairies has decreased its expected level of spending for 1983 by some 4 percent, which is somewhat less than the Canadian average of 6 percent. Private sector spending levels for 1983 show a 12 percent reduction from October expectations, (somewhat more than the Canada average) reflecting lower spending by mining and oil and gas companies (Table 47).

Domestic majority-owned firms are expecting an increase in their capital spending in the Prairies for 1983 of about 2 percent while foreign majority-owned firms' spending will decline by 1 percent in 1983 (Table 46). Since October 1982, domestic firms spending for 1983 in the Prairies have been reduced by some 6 percent while those of the foreign firms have decreased 16 percent.

Companies with headquarters in the Prairies are generally more optimistic about prospects for 1984 as compared with 1983, especially with respect to profits and Canadian business conditions (Table 50).

Capital investments of about $\$ 6.1$ billion were not included in the capital spending plans of the Prairie-headquartered companies but were thought to hold high potential for introduction over the medium term (Table 14). Most of this considered investment was reported by the ofl and gas companies, however, there was some associated with mining and primary metal companies.

In April 1983, as was the case in October 1982, a dominant factor affecting capital spending plans were federal government
policies (Table 15). Economic conditions in Canada, cash flow, expected sales and cost of funds are continuing concerns.

British Columbia and Northern Region (Tables 51-54)

Spending for 1983 in the British Columbia and Northern region (including the Yukon and Northwest Territories) is expected to reach about $\$ 3.9$ billion. This current level of spending is about 11 percent below the revised 1982 level ( $\$ 4.3$ billion). This current level of spending for 1983 also represents a $\$ 0.6$ billion ( 14 percent) reduction from October 1982 estimates (Table 51).

Investment in the manufacturing sector in this region is expected to be $\$ 308$ million in 1983 , about a 55 percent reduction from 1982. Declines in forest products and primary metals more than offset increases in other areas.

Expected spending by non-manufacturing firms in the British Columbia and Northern region of $\$ 3.5$ billion for 1983 represents a drop of 3 percent from 1982 levels: somewhat above the national average decrease of 1 percent for this sector. Spending plans of the mining, communications, and trade, finance and other commercial companies account for most of this decrease. Pipelines expect to increase their level of spending in 1983.

Spending for 1983 by the private segment of the business sector on new plant and equipment is expected to decrease by 17.4 percent (Table 53). The public sector spending for 1983 is expected to increase by about 1.3 percent.

Foreign majority-owned firms investing in the British Columbia and Northern region are expected to decrease spending by about 5 percent in 1983, while a 14 percent drop is expected by domestic majority-owned firms. The year-to-year decrease for 1983 reported by the foreign segment in the British Columbia region contrasts the advance expected for Canada by all foreign companies (Table 52).

Companies with headquarters in the British Columbia and Northern region show more optimism for prospects in 1984 than for 1983, especially with respect to Canadian business conditions, profits and domestic and export sales (Table 54).

The British Columbia and Northern-headquartered firms reported $\$ 0.3$ billion of capital investments which were not included in their reported budgets but were considered to hold high potential for implementation over the next few years (Table 14). This amount was concentrated mainly in manufacturing (primary metals and miscellaneous manufacturing firms).

Expected business conditions both in Canada and abroad were the factors most often mentioned as affecting spending plans by the firms in this region (Table 15). Expected sales abroad and cash flow are also concerns.

## TABLE 1

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES* OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES FOR 1982 AND PLANS FOR 1983 - CANADA

(Current \$ Millions)

| 1982 | 1982 | 1983 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reported in | $:$ | Reported in | Reported in |
| Oct. 1982 | April 1983 | Oct. 1982 | April 1983 |

Industry

| Food \& Beverages | 360.4 | 348.0 | 516.5 | 501.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Products | 1,676.2 | 1,638.7 | 1,099.8 | 945.9 |
| Primary Metals | 1,153.3 | 1,056.9 | 1,018.7 | 728.6 |
| Chemicals | 805.4 | 601.6 | 671.5 | 447.9 |
| Transportation Equip。 | 674.3 | 625.4 | 897.8 | 902.4 |
| Other Manufacturing | 1,239.0 | 1,195.3 | 1,392.2 | 1,215.7 |
| Total Manufacturing | 5,908.6 | 5,465.9 | 5,596.5 | 4,741.6 |
| Mining Companies | 1,830.8 | 1,720.3 | 1,854.3 | 1,521.2 |
| Oil \& Gas Companies | 7,342.1 | 6,752.1 | 8,353.4 | 7,112.9 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 2,110.5 | 2,391.1 | 1,624.6 | 1,642.6 |
| Transp. \& Storage | 1,933.5 | 1,839.0 | 2,007.0 | 2,095.2 |
| Communications | 2,900.2 | 2,839.2 | 2,505.2 | 2,452.9 |
| Electric Utilities | 7,916.3 | 7,416.3 | 8,308.5 | 7,909.4 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Commercial | 1,169.1 | 1,061.6 | $1,265.3$ | 1,088.9 |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | 25,202.5 | 24,019.6 | 25,918.3 | 23,823.1 |
| TOTAL | 31,111.1 | 29,485.5 | 31,514.8 | 28,564.7 |

[^2]TABLE 2

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES* OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES FOR 1982 AND PLANS FOR 1983 - CANADA

(Current \$ Millions)

Revisions
Between April 1983 \& October 1982 Surveys (Percent Change) 1982

1983

1983/82
Year-to-Year
(Percent Change)
Reported in Reported in Oct. 1982 April 1983

## Industry

| Food \& Beverages | -3.5 | -3.0 | 43.3 | 43.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Products | -2.3 | -14.0 | -34.4 | -42.3 |
| Primary Metals | -8.4 | $-28.5$ | -11.7 | -31.1 |
| Chemicals | -25.4 | -33.3 | -16.7 | -25.6 |
| Transportation Equip. | -7.3 | 0.5 | 33.1 | 44.2 |
| Other Manufacturing | -3.6 | -12.7 | 12.3 | 1.7 |
| Total Manufacturing | -7. 5 | -15.3 | $-5.3$ | -13.3 |
| Mining Companies | -6.1 | -18.0 | 1.2 | $-11.6$ |
| Oil \& Gas Companies | -8.1 | -14.9 | 13.7 | 5.3 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 13.2 | 1.1 | $-23.1$ | -31.4 |
| Transportation \& Storage | -4.9 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 13.9 |
| Communications | -2.2 | -2.1 | -13.7 | $-13.7$ |
| Electric Utilities | -6.4 | -4.9 | 4.9 | 6.6 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Commercial | -9.2 | $-14.0$ | 8.2 | 2.5 |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | -4.7 | $-8.1$ | 2.8 | -0.9 |
| TOTAL | $-5.3$ | -9.4 | 1.2 | -3.2 |

[^3]
## TABLE 3

## CAPTRAL EXPENDITURES* OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES FOR 1982 AND PLANS FOR 1983 - CANADA.

(Constant 1982 \$ Millions)

1982
Reported in Oct. 1982

1982
Reported in Reported in April 1983 Oct. 1982

1983
Reported in Apri1 1983

Industry

| Food \& Beverages | 360.4 | 348.0 | 468.6 | 457.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Products | 1,676.2 | 1,638.7 | 1,003.0 | 867.0 |
| Erimary Metals | 1,153.3 | 1,056.9 | 925.8 | 670.8 |
| Chemicals | 805.4 | 601.6 | 609.2 | 410.1 |
| Transportation Equip. | 67.4 .3 | 625.4 | 833.8 | 847.5 |
| Other Manufacturing | 1,239.0 | 1,195.3 | 1,273.3 | 1,127.9 |
| Total Manufacturing | 5,908.6 | 5,465.9 | 5,113.7 | 4,380.3 |
| Mining Companies | 1,830.8 | 1,720.3 | 1,671.8 | 1,385.1 |
| Oil \& Gas Companies | 7,342.1 | 6,752.1 | 7,541.2 | 6,487.6 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 2,110.5 | 2,391.1 | 1,446.8 | 1,518.5 |
| Transp. \& Storage | 1,933.5 | 1,839.0 | 1,834.2 | 1,923.1 |
| Communications | 2,900.2 | 2,839.2 | 2,297.2 | 2,257.3 |
| Electric Utilities | 7,916.3 | 7,416.3 | 7,462.3 | 7,115.6 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Commercial | 1,169.1 | 1,061.6 | 1,140.6 | 997.1 |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | 25,202.5 | 24,019.6 | 23,394.1 | 21,684.3 |
| TOTAL | 31,111.1 | 29,485.5 | 28,507.8 | 26,064.6 |

[^4]TABLE 4

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES* OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES FOR 1982 AND PLANS FOR 1983 - CANADA

(Constant 1982 \$ Millions)

Revisions
Between Apri1 1983 \& October 1982 Surveys
(Percent Change) $1982 \quad 1983$

1983/82
Year-to-Year
(Percent Change) Reported in Reported in October 1982 April 1983

## Industry

| Food \& Beverages | -3.5 | -2.5 | 30.0 | 31.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Products | 2.3 | -13.6 | -40.2 | -47.1 |
| Primary Metals | -8.4 | -27.6 | -19.8 | -36.6 |
| Chemicals | -25.4. | -32.7 | -24.4 | -31.9 |
| Transportation Equip. | -7.3 | 1.6 | 23.6 | 35.5 |
| Other Manufacturing | -3.6 | -11.5 | 2.7 | -5.7 |
| Total Manufacturing | -7.5 | -14.4 | $\underline{-13.5}$ | -19.9 |
| Mining Companies | $-6.1$ | $-17.2$ | -8.7 | $-19.5$ |
| 011 \& Gas Companies | -8.1 | $-14.0$ | 2.7 | -4.0 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 13.2 | 4.9 | -31.5 | -36.5 |
| Transp. \& Storage | -4.9 | 4.8 | -5.2 | 4.5 |
| Communications | -2.2 | -1.8 | -20.8 | -20.5 |
| Electric Utilities | -6.4 | -4.7 | -5.8 | -4.1 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Commercial | -9.2 | -12.6 | -2. 5 | $-6.1$ |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | $-4.7$ | -7.4 | -7.2 | -9.8 |
| TOTAL | -5.3 | -8.6 | -8.4 | -11.7 |

[^5]TABLE 5

## CAPITAL INUESTMENT COMPARISONS OE SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

(Current \$ Millions)

|  | 1982 | 1982 | 1983 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of | Reported in | Reported in | Reported in | Reported in |
| Companies | Oct. 1982 | Apr. 1983 | Oct. 1982 | Apr. 1983 |

Province

| Newfoundland | 34 | 706.9 | 647.9 | 1,048.1 | 749.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prince Edward Island | 10 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 13.7 |
| Nova Scotia | 43 | 745.9 | 905.7 | 885.5 | 878.3 |
| New Brunswick | 29 | 728.0 | 752.4 | 450.6 | 455.0 |
| Atlantic Provinces | 82 | 2,446.5 | 2,457.6 | 2,612.2 | 2,299.8 |
| Quebec | 126 | 5,140.8 | 4,880.1 | 5,229.0 | 4,810.4 |
| Ontario | 172 | 8,317.1 | 7,789.5 | 8,104.3 | 7,503.4 |
| Manitoba | 58 | 505.4 | 486.9 | 502.4 | 447.0 |
| Saskat chewan | 55 | 1,193.2 | 979.0 | 1,267.8 | 1,098.3 |
| Alberta | 108 | 6,569.3 | 5,988.8 | 6,782.8 | 5,930.3 |
| , Prairie Region | 142 | 9,105.1 | 8,456.7 | 9,507.2 | 8,529.6 |
| British Columbia | 111 | 3,456.7 | 3,367.7 | 3,460.7 | 2,940.4 |
| Yukon | 5 | 13.4 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 9.8 |
| Northwest Territories | 18 | 876.2 | 864.3 | 935.9 | 815.0 |
| B.C. and Northern | 118 | 4,368.2 | 4,332.9 | 4,479.0 | 3;852.8. |
| CANADA | $\underline{274}$ | 31,111.1 | 29,485.5 | 31,514.8 | 28,564.7 |

[^6]
## TABLE 6

## (Percent Changes)

| Province | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Year-to-Year } \\ \text { Percent Change } \end{array} \\ 1983 / 82 \end{gathered}$ | Between Survey Revisions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1982 | 1983 |
| Newfoundland | 15.6 | -8.4 | $-28.6$ |
| Prince Edward Island | -4.2 | -13.4 | 7.0 |
| Nova Scotia | -3.1 | 21.4 | -0.9 |
| New Brunswick | -39.6 | 3.3 | 0.9 |
| Atlantic Provinces | -6.5 | 0.4 | $-12.0$ |
| Quebec | $-1.5$ | -5.1. | $-8.1$ |
| Ontario | -3.7 | -6.4 | -7.5 |
| Manitoba | -8.2 | -3.7 | -11.1 |
| Saskatchewan $\because$ | 12.1 | $-18.0$ | -13.4 |
| Alberta | -1.0 | -8.9 | -12.6 |
| Prairie Region | 0.8 | -7.2 | -10.3 |
| British Columbia | -12.7 | -2.6 | $-15.1$ |
| Yukon | -10.1 | -18.7 | 4.2 |
| Northwest Territories | -5.8 | -1.4 | $-13.0$ |
| B.C. \& Northern. | -11.1 | -0.9 | -14.0 |
| CANADA | -3.2 | -5.3 | -9.4 |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.

TABLE 7

## COST ADJUSTMENT FACTORS 1982-1987

| Industry | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Food \& Beverages | 100.0 | 109.6 | 119.5 | 129.0 | 137.7 | 147.3 |  |
| Forest Products | 100.0 | 109.1 | 118.6 | 128.6 | 138.9 | 151.3 |  |
| Primary Metals | 100.0 | 108.6 | 118.2 | 129.7 | 142.6 | 154.6 |  |
| Chemicals | 100.0 | 109.2 | 119.2 | 130.6 | 143.0 | 156.3 |  |
| Transportation Equipment | 100.0 | 106.4 | 114.7 | 124.1 | 134.5 | 146.3 |  |
| Other Manufacturing | 100.0 | 107.8 | 115.4 | 123.0 | 131.9 | 141.0 |  |
|  |  | 100.0 | 108.2 | 117.5 | 127.7 | 138.4 | 149.6 |
| Total Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8
distribution of capital Investment by DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN FIRMS WITHIN REGIONS

|  | Domestic |  | Foreign |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 | $\underline{1983}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |  | 1983 |
|  |  | (\%) |  | (\%) |  |
| Atlantic | 75 | 77 | 25 |  | 23 |
| Quebec | 89 | 85 | 11 |  | 15 |
| Ontario | 78 | 70 | 22 |  | 29 |
| Prairies | 62 | 63 | 38 |  | 37 |
| B.C. \& Northern | 67 | 65 | 33 |  | 35 |
|  | - | 70 | - |  | - |
| CANADA | 73 | 70 | 27 |  | 30 |

TABLE 9
CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES
$\left.\frac{\begin{array}{c}\text { Year-to-Year } \\ \text { Percent Change }\end{array}}{\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Between Survey } \\ \text { Revisions }\end{array}\right]$

Domestic Majority Owned

| Atlantic | -3.8 | 1.5 | -4.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quebec | -5.6 | -5.0 | -4.2 |
| Ontario | -13.1 | -5.2 | -7.8 |
| Prairies | 2.0 | -5.9 | -6.3 |
| B.C. \& Northern | -14.2 | 1.2 | $-\mathbf{- 1 4 . 9}$ |
| CANADA | -6.4 | -4.4 | $-\mathbf{- 6 . 6}$ |

Foreign Majority Owned

| Atlantic | -14.7 | -2.9 | -30.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quebec | 32.5 | -6.5 | -25.7 |
| Ontario | 30.6 | -10.4 | -6.6 |
| Prairies | -1.1 | -9.2 | -16.3 |
| B.C. \& Northern | -4.8 | -4.9 | -12.4 |
| CANADA | - | -15 | -15.5 |

Note: Actual 1932 and revised intentions 1983.

TABLE 9.A

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES 1982-1983

## DOMESTIC OWNED COMPANLES/FOREXGN OWNED COMPANIES

ALL INDUSTRIES

## (Current \$ Millions)

| DOMESTIC | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic | $1,847.4$ | $1,778.8$ |
| Quebec | $4,348.6$ | $4,106.1$ |
| Ontario | $6,114.7$ | $5,314.8$ |
| Prairies | $5,246.2$ | $5,353.1$ |
| B.C. \& Northern | $2,924.6$ | $2,511.3$ |
| CANADA | $\underline{21,478.3}$ | $\underline{20,109.6}$ |

FOREIGN

| At.lantic | 610.2 | 521.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quebec | 531.5 | 704.3 |
| Ontario | $1,674.8$ | $2,188.6$ |
| Prairies | $3,210.5$ | $3,176.5$ |
| B.C. \& Northern | $1,408.3$ | $1,341.5$ |
| CANADA | $\underline{8,007.2}$ | $\underline{8,455.1}$ |

Note: (1) Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
(2) The above table incorporates matched data for each region. This system of matching is applied separately for each region. Hence, regions do not add to the matched Canada total. See Appendix point (1) for an explanation of "matching".

TABLE 10

DISTRIBUTION OR CAPITAL INVESTMENT BY PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FIRMS UITHIN REGIONS


TABLE 11

CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

| Year-to-Year |
| :---: |
| Percent Change |


$\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$$\frac{$|  Between Survey  |
| :---: |
|  Revisions  |}{$\frac{1982}{(\%)}$}$\frac{1983}{(\%)}$

Public Majority Owned

Atlantic

$$
16.1
$$

$$
-14.0
$$

$$
-11.9
$$

Quebec
Ontario
Prairies
B.C. \& Northern

CANADA

Private Majority Owned

Atlantic

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-19.7 \\
-7.2 \\
-8.4 \\
-3.9 \\
-17.4 \\
\hline-9.4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

2.8
4.6
16.9
1.3
8.6
$-8.4$
$-0.3$
$-6.2$
$-10.3$
$-13.9$
-4.1
0.9
$-11.7$
$-8.4$
$-6.2$

Quebec
Ontario
Prairies
B.C. \& Northern

CANADA

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
See Table 11.A for the dollar levels for 1982 and 1983.

TABLE 11.A

## GAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANLES 1982-1983

PUBLIC COMPANIES/PRIVATE COMPANIES
ALL INDUSTRIES
(Current \$ Millions)

| PUBLIC | 1982 | 1983 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic | 907.7 | $1,054.5$ |
| Quebec | $2,787.5$ | $2,867.3$ |
| Ontario | $2,782.9$ | $2,913.4$ |
| Prairies | $1,904.4$ | $2,227.5$ |
| B.C. \& Northern | $1,459.2$ | $1,479.1$ |
| CANADA | $\underline{10,193.5}$ | $11,076.4$ |

## PRIVATE

| Atlantic | $1,549.9$ | $1,245.3$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quebec | $2,092.6$ | $1,943.1$ |
| Ontario | $5,006.6$ | $4,590.0$ |
| Prairies | $6,552.3$ | $6,302.1$ |
| B.C. \& Northern | $2,873.7$ | $2,373.7$ |
| CANADA | $\underline{19,292.0}$ | $\underline{17,488.3}$ |

Note: (1) Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
(2) The above table incorporates matched data for each region. This system of matching is applied separately for each region. Hence, regions do not add to the matched Canada total. See Appendix point (1) for an explanation of "matching"。

TABLE 12

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT INTENTIONS OF SELEGTED LARGE COMPANIES 1982-1987

## (Current \$ Millions)

SURVEY/REGION

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1987 & & 1986 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Apri1 1983*
Atlantic (54)
Quebec (87)
Ontario (114)
Prairies (96)
B.C. \& Northern (79)

CANADA (198)

| 1,720 | 1,833 | 1,997 | 2,282 | 2,572 | 2,720 | 13,124 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4,247 | 4,233 | 4,143 | 3,989 | 3,671 | 3,739 | 24,022 |
| 3,868 | 3,888 | 3,777 | 4,209 | 4,024 | 4,073 | 23,839 |
| 6,849 | 7,087 | 7,260 | 7,048 | 7,129 | 7,718 | 43,091 |
| 3,845 | 3,331 | 3,654 | 3,533 | 3,533 | 3,501 | 21,397 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

October 1982**
Atlantic (54)
Quebec (87)
Ontario (114)
Prairies (96)
B.C. \& Northern (79)

CANADA (198)

| 1,753 | 2,121 | 2,288 | 2,499 | 2,759 | 2,910 | 14,330 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4,553 | 4,496 | 4,093 | 3,879 | 3,780 | 3,928 | 24,729 |
| 4,095 | 4,191 | 3,956 | 4,171 | 4,258 | 4,379 | 25,050 |
| 7,342 | 7,922 | 7,928 | 8,316 | 8,494 | 8,706 | 48,708 |
| 3,889 | 3,914 | 4,372 | 4,474 | 4,852 | 5,483 | 26,984 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]TABLE 13

## CAPITAL INVESTRENT INTENTIONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

(Percent Changes)

## Between Survey Revisions

Atlantic
Quebec
Ontario
Prairies
B.C. \& Northern

CANADA

| 1982-1983 | 1984-1987 | 1982-1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -8.3 | -8.4 | -8.4 |
| -6.2 | -0.9 | -2.9 |
| -6.4 | -4.2 | -4.8 |
| -8.7 | -12.8 | -11.5 |
| -8.0 | -25.9 | -20.7 |
| -7.5 | -12.2 | -10.7 |

TABLE 14

CONSIDERED INVESTMENT

Percent Distribution


Note: The number of reporting companies is provided in brackets. Percentages may not add due to rounding.

## TABLE 15

## FACTORS REPORTED AS AFFECTING CURRENT AND CONSIDERED INVESTMENT SPENDING

(Frequency of Response)

| FACTOR/REGION OF H.Q. | ATLANTIC |  | QUEBEC |  | ONTARIO |  | PRAIRIES |  | B.C. \& NORTHERN |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 082 | A83 | 082 | A83 | $\underline{082}$ | A83 | 082 | A83 | 082 | A83 | 082 | A83 |
| 'Cdn. Fed. Gov't Policies | 6 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 52 | 51 | 22 | 27 | 6 | 8 | 97 | 97 |
| Other Cdn. Gov't Policies | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 22 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 41 | 46 |
| Foreign Gov't Policies | - | - | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 20 |
| Exchange Rates | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 26 |
| Non-Tariff Barriers Canada | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Cdn. Tariffs Too High | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| Cdn. Tariffs Too Low | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 2 |
| Barriers Abroad | - | - | - | 1 | 3. | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 |
| Other Trading Factors | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 8 | 5 |
| Expected Cash Flow | 4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 49 | 50 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 102 | 94 |
| Rates of Return | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 40 | 32 | 12 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 71 | 61 |
| Other Internal Fin. Cond. | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | 16 | 17 |
| Cost of Funds | 7 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 57 | 29 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 105 | 60 |
| Availability of Funds | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 34 | 27 |
| Other External Fin. Cond. | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 16 |
| Other Fin. \& Mon. Factors | 1 | - | - | - | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 14 | 4 |
| Raw Material Shortages | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 9 | 3 |
| Mach。\& Equip. Shortages | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Manpower Shortages | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Labour Problems | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 10 |
| Expected Sales in Canada | 5 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 51 | 49 | 15 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 90 | 92 |
| Expected Sales Abroad | 2 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 33 | 30 | 9 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 68 | 71 |
| Exp. Econ. Cond. in Canada | 4 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 64 | 55 | 23 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 118 | 100 |
| Exp. Econ. Cond. Abroad | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 28 | 24 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 59 | 57 |
| Other Factors | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 11 |
|  | - | - | - | - |  | -- | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Sample Size | 15 | 15 | 41 |  | 137 | 135 | 53 | 54 | 31 | 31 | 277 | 274 |

```
082 = Oct. 1982 Survey
A83 = Apri1 1983 Survey
```

TABLE 16

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES* OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES 1982-87 AS REPORTED IN CURRENT DOLLARS FOR THE APRIL 1983 SURVEY

$$
(1982=100.0)
$$

| Industry | Base Value | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | 258.0 | 100.0 | 147.2 | 145.3 | 128.4 | 128.2 | 120.7 |
| Forest Products | 995.1 | 100.0 | 52.1 | 81.2 | 126.0 | 117.1 | 115.3 |
| Primary Metals | 699.6 | 100.0 | 74.7 | 106.4 | 123.8 | 108.5 | 87.3 |
| Chemicals | 529.6 | 100.0 | 79.8 | 83.1 | 91.4 | 89.1 | 86.2 |
| Transportation Equip. | 346.9 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 78.4 | 96.1 | 97.4 | 107.3 |
| Other Manufacturing | 544.6 | 100.0 | 122.8 | 162.7 | 164.2 | 167.0 | 160.4 |
| Total Manufacturing | 3,373.8 | 100.0 | 84.2 | 104.5 | 123.4 | 117.8 | 111.8 |
| Mining Companies | 1,021.8 | 100.0 | 84.2 | 62.7 | 55.1 | 41.0 | 43.9 |
| Oil \& Gas Companies | 6,489.0 | 100.0 | 104.7 | 110.8 | 113.1 | 123.3 | 128.0 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 2,266.8 | 100.0 | 67.7 | 46.6 | 38.8 | 37.7 | 37.0 |
| Transp. \& Storage | 1,507.0 | 100.0 | 113.5 | 113.0 | 111.0 | 128.1 | 108.5 |
| Communications | 2,762.8 | 100.0 | 86.3 | 90.8 | 102.4 | 110.3 | 115.0 |
| Electric Utilities | 4,652.3 | 100.0 | 111.5 | 106.7 | 90.9 | 80.6 | 92.9 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Comercial | 579.4 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 135.0 | 137.7 | 118.3 | 112.2 |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | 19,279.1 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 97.7 | 94.9 | 96.9 | 100.5 |
| TOTAL | 22,652.9 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 100.0 | $\underline{102.2}$ |

[^8]TABLE 17

CAPITAL EXPERDITURES* ON SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES 1982-87 AS REPORTED TN CURRENT DOLLARS FOR THE OGTOBER 1982 SURVEY

$$
(1982=100.0)
$$

| Industry | Value | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | 272.1 | 100.0 | 147.9 | 122.7 | 113.9 | 117.5 | 118.2 |
| Forest Products | 1,026.2 | 100.0 | 64.5 | 109.3 | 136.2 | 112.9 | 106.7 |
| Primary Metals | 751.6 | 100.0 | 108.8 | 94.1 | 85.8 | 82.8 | 74.5 |
| Chemicals | 705.4 | 100.0 | 87.7 | 69.5 | 76.5 | 80.6 | 74.1 |
| Transportation Equip. | 360.4 | 100.0 | 75.3 | 77.1 | 80.9 | 94.6 | 93.6 |
| Other Manufacturing | 595.9 | 100.0 | 129.1 | 159.0 | 167.3 | 172.5 | 177.2 |
| Total Manufacturing | 3,711.6 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 104.5 | 112.6 | $\underline{108.8}$ | 104.9 |
| Mining Companies | 1,055.1 | 100.0 | 102.8 | 67.8 | 57.8 | 51.6 | 47.6 |
| 0 Oil \& Gas Companies | 6,990.0 | 100.0 | 113.4 | 118.7 | 125.5 | 140.4 | 144.0 |
| Oil \& Gas Pipelines | 1,977.9 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 74.5 | 82.7 | 75.4 | 74.5 |
| Transp. \& Storage | 1,569.5 | 100.0 | 109.5 | 108.1 | 109.9 | 124.2 | 104.1 |
| Communications | 2,824.4 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 90.1 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 128.9 |
| Electric Utilities | 5,049.9 | 100.0 | 106.5 | 102.8 | 91.6 | 82.8. | 98.9 |
| Trade, Finance and Other Commercial | 641.0 | 100.0 | 118.8 | 135.0 | 122.3 | 113.1 | 120.4 |
| Total Non-Manufacturing | 20,107.9 | 100.0 | 103.5 | 103.3 | 105.9 | 109.9 | 114.8 |
| TOTAL | 23,819.5 | 100.0 | 102.3 | 103.5 | 107.0 | 109.7 | 113.3 |

[^9]TABLE 18

## TYPES OF CHANGES IN PLANS

## (Percent Distribution of Response)

| Type of Change | 1982 | 1983 | 1984-1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abandoned Plans | 6.9 | 7.4 | 8.2 |
| Deferred Plans | 20.4 | 18.6 | 13.5 |
| Reduced Existing Plans | 20.7 | 22.6 | 16.2 |
| Expanded Existing Plans | 6.1 | 7.4 | 11.2 |
| Introduced New Plans | 4.4 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| Brought Forward Plans from Future Years | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Re-introduced Deferred Plans | 1.7 | 4.3 | 9.1 |
| No Change in Plans | 36.4 | 28.2 | 33.5 |
| Not Specified | 0.8 | 1.9 | - |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 363 | 376 | 340 |
| Number of Companies | 255 | 254 | 233 |

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

TABLE 19

## REASONS FOR CEANGES IN PLANS

1982

## (Percent Distribution of Total Response)

| Reasons for Change | Upward Revisions | Downward <br> Revisions | No Change | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Demand - Domestic | 3.4 | 13.4 | 1.0 | 17.8 |
| Market Demand - Foreign | 0.4 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 8.5 |
| Capacity | 1.4 | 2.6 | - | 4.0 |
| Rate of Return | 1.4 | 4.7 | - | 6.1 |
| Financing - External | 0.4 | 5.1 | - | 5.5 |
| Financing - Internal | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 5.7 |
| Costs - Labour | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| Costs - Other | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 1.6 |
| Strikes | - | 1.0 | -- | 1.0 |
| Government Regulations | 1.0 | 1.4 | - | 2.4 |
| Better Estimates | 10.3 | 14.8 | 1.2 | 26.3 |
| Carry-overs | 2.4 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 6.9 |
| Other Reasons | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 6.5 |
| Not Specified | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| TOTAL | 26.2 | 67.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 133 | 340 | 34 | 507 |
| Number of Companies | 72 | 156 | 27 | 255 |

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

TABLE 20

## REASONS FOR CHANGES IN PLANS

1983

## (Percent Distribution of Total Response)

| Reasons for Change | Upward Revisions | Downward Revisions | No Change | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Demand - Domestic | 4.9 | 12.3 | 0.6 | 17.8 |
| Market Demand -- Foreign | 2.3 | 7.6 | - | 9.9 |
| Capacity | 1.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 5.0 |
| Rate of Return | 1.7 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 5.3 |
| Financing - External | 1.3 | 4.4 | - | 5.7 |
| Financing - Internal | 0.6 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 9.9 |
| Costs - Labour | 0.4 | 0.9 | - | 1.3 |
| Costs - Other | 0.9 | 0.9 | - | 1.8 |
| Strikes | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 |
| Government Regulations | 0.9 | 2.1 | - | 3.0 |
| Better estimates | 6.5 | 11.2 | 0.6 | 18.3 |
| Carry-overs | 2.7 | 4.0 | - | 6.7 |
| Other Reasons | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 5.7 |
| Not Specified | 1.3 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 9.2 |
| TOTAL | 27.9 | 63.2 | 8.9 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 147 | 333 | 47 | 527 |
| Number of Companies | 75 | 139 | 40 | 254 |

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

TABLE 21

## REASONS FOR CRARGES IN PLANS

$$
1984-1987
$$

## (Percent Distribution of Total Responses)

| Reason for Change | Upward Revisions | Downward Revisions | No Change | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Demand - Domestic | 6.8 | 9.6 | 0.9 | 17.3 |
| Market Demand - Foreign | 2.2 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 8.1 |
| Capacity | 2.6 | 3.5 | - | 6.1 |
| Rate of Return | 2.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 5.9 |
| Financing - External | 2.6 | 3.1 | - | 5.7 |
| Financing - Internal | 0.7 | 6.8 | $\sim$ | $7 \cdot 5$ |
| Costs - Labour | - | 0.2 | - $\because$ | 0.2 |
| Costs - Other | 0.7 | 1.1 | - | 1.8 |
| Strikes | - | $-$ | - | - |
| Government Regulations | 0.7 | 1.5 | $\div$ | :2.2 |
| Better Estimates: | 8.1 | 10.1 | 0.7 | 18.9 |
| Carry-overs | 3.5 | 1.5 | - | 5.0 |
| Other Reasons | 3.1 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 6.8 |
| Not Specified | 2.0 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 14.5 |
| TOTAL | 35.3 | 52.0 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 161 | 237 | 58 | 456 |
| Number of Companies | 78 | 102 | 53 | 233 |

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

## TAFLE 22

TIEXS AND RRASOWS FOR DOMWARD REVISTCNS
IN CAPTTAL SPERDINE - CANADA
1982
(Percent Distribution of Total Responses)
TYPES OF CHANGES

| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Expanded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Plans } \end{aligned}$ | Brought Forward | Re-introduce Deferred Plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { in } \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Specified } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Demand-Domestic | 3.2 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | - | - | 0.9 | - | 20.0 |
| Market Demand-Foreign | 2.1 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 11.5 |
| Capacity | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 3.8 |
| Rate of Return | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 7.1 |
| Financing - External | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | - | 7.6 |
| Financing - Intemal | 0.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 7.4 |
| Costs - Labour | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.6 |
| Costs - Other | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 1.2 |
| Strikes | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 1.5 |
| Government Regulations | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.1 |
| Better Estimates | - | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 15.0 | - | 22.1 |
| Carry-overs | - | 1.2 | 4.7 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 6.2 |
| Other Reasons | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | - | - | - | - | 2.4 | - | 6.2 |
| Not Specified | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 2.4 | - | 2.9 |
| TOTAL | 11.8 | 31.5 | 29.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | $\underline{22.1}$ | 0.6 | 100.0 |

Number of Responses 340
Number of Companies 156
Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

TAESE 23
 TM CAPITAS SPERDRG - CARADA

1922
(Percent Distribution of Total Responses)
TYPES OF CPAMGES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Re-introd |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Expanded | Ne Plans | Brought | Deferred | ${ }^{2} 0$ | not Specified | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maxket Denand-Domestic | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 12.8 |
| Market Demend-Foreign | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| Capacity | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 5.3 |
| Rate of Retum | 0.8 | 0.8 | . 0.8 : | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 5.3 |
| Financing - External | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| Financing - Intemal | - | 1.5 | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2.3 |
| Costs - Labour | - | - | - | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | - | 3.8 |
| Costs - Other | - | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | 2.3 | - | 3.0 |
| Strikes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Goverment Regulations | - | 1.5 | - | - | 1.5 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 3.8 |
| Better Estimates | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 28.6 | - | 39.1 |
| Carry-overs | - | 0.8 | - | 3.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.8 | - | - | 9.0 |
| Other Reasons | - | - | - | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.3 | - | 8.3 |
| Not Specified | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | 3.8 | - | 4.5 |
| TOTAL | 2.3 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 18.0 | 14.3 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 39.1 | - | 100.0 |

Number of Responses 133
Number of Companies 72
Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

## TABLE 24 <br> TYPES AND REASCOS FGR DOHWHARD REVISIONS IN CAPITAL SPEADING - CANMA <br> 1983 <br> (Percent Distribution of Total Reponses)

TYPES OR CAANEES

| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Expanded | Re-introduced |  |  |  | Not | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nest } \\ \text { Plens } \end{gathered}$ | Brought Fornerd | Deferred Plans | No Change |  |  |
| Market Denand-Domestic | 2.7 | 9.0 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 19.5 |
| Market Demand-Foreign | 1.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 12.0 |
| Capacity | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 4.2 |
| Rate of Return | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 5.1 |
| Financing - Extemal | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 6.9 |
| Financing - Intemal | 3.3 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 14.4 |
| Costs - Labour | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | - | 1.5 |
| Costs - Other | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | - | 1.5 |
| Strikes | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 |
| Government Regulations | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.3 |
| Better Estimates | - | 1.8 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 0.3 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 17.7 |
| Carry-overs | - | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.3 |
| Other Reasons | - | 1.8 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| Not Specified | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 1.5 | - | 2.1 |
| TOTAL | 12.0 | 31.8 | 35.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | - | 2.1 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 |

Number of Companies 139
Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

## TYPES AND REASONS FOR LPWAKD REVLSIONS

IN CAPITAL SPERDING - CANADA
1983
(Percent Distribution of Total Responses)
TIPES OF CRAREES

| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Expanded | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Plans } \end{gathered}$ | Brought Forward | Re-introd Deferred Plans | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Not Specified | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Demand-Domestic | - | 2.0 | - | 6.1 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | 17.7 |
| Market Demand-Foreign | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | - | 0.7 | 8.2 |
| Capacity | - | 1.4 | - | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | - | 6.8 |
| Rate of Return | - | 1.4 | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | - | - | 6.1 |
| Financing - External | - | - | 1.4 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | - | 4.8 |
| Financing - Internal | - | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | - - | 2.0 |
| Costs - Labour | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 1.4 |
| Costs - Other | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | - | 3.4 |
| Strikes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Govermment Regulations | - | - | - | 0.7 | 2.0 | - | 0.7 | - | - | 3.4 |
| Better Estimates | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | - | 1.4 | 15.6 | - | 23.1 |
| Carry-overs | - | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | - | 9.5 |
| Other Reasons | - | - | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | - | 8.8 |
| Not Specified | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | 4.1 | - | 4.8 |
| TOTAL | 1.4 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 21.1 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 26.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 |

Number of Responses 147
Number of Companies 75

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

## TAESE 26

TMES AND REASMS HCR DOREMRO RWUSTCRS
ITN CAPHPA SEFTOME - CATADA

$$
1984-1987
$$

(Percent Distribution of Total Responses)
TMES OT CHATHS

| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Expanded | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Plans } \end{gathered}$ | Brought Forward | Re-introduc Deferred Plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Specified } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mariket Demand-Domestic | 3.8 | 6.8 | 5.5 | - | - | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | - | 18.6 |
| Market Demand-Foreign | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | - | - | 1.7 | - | - | 11.0 |
| Capacity | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 6.8 |
| Rate of Retum | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 5.9 |
| Financing - External | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 5.9 |
| Financing - Intemal | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.6 | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | 13.1 |
| Costs - Laborr | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 |
| Costs - Others | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | - | 2.1 |
| Strikes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Government Regulations | - | 0.8 | 1.3 | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | 3.0 |
| Better Estimates | 0.8 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | 8.9 | - | 19.4 |
| Carry-overs | - | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 |
| Other Reasons | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.5 | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | - | 6.3 |
| Not Specified | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 0.4 | - | 2.1 | - | 4.6 |
| TOTAL | 16.5 | $\underline{24.9}$ | 29.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Number of Responses 237
Number of Companies 102
Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

## TARIE 27

## 

 IH CAPITAL SPRTIITG - CAMEDA1984-1987
(Percent Distribution of Total Responses)
TYPGS OF CHANESS

| Reasons for Change | Abandoned | Deferred | Reduced | Re-introduced |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expanded | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { New } \\ \text { Plans } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Brought <br> Forward | Deferred Plans | No Change | Not Specified | Total |
| Market Demand-Domestic | - | 3.7 | - | 6.8 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 2.5 | - | - | 19.3 |
| Market Demand-Foreign | - | 1.2 | - | 3.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | 6.2 |
| Capacity | - | 0.6 | - | 3.1 | 2.5 | - | 1.2 | - | - | 7.5 |
| Rate of Return | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 2.5 | - | - | 6.8 |
| Financing - External | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | - | - | 7.5 |
| Financing - Internal | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | - | 1.9 |
| Costs - Labour | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Costs - Other | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | 1.9 |
| Strikes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Government Regulations | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 1.9 |
| Better Estimates | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.9 | - | 2.5 | 13.7 | - | 23.0 |
| Carry-overs | $-$ | - | 1.2 | 6.2 | - | - | 2.5 | - | - | 9.9 |
| Other Reasons | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.6 | - | 3.1 | 1.9 | - | 8.7 |
| Not Specified | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | - | 4.3 | - | 5.6 |
| TOTAL | 1.9 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 29.8 | 14.3 | 2.5 | 17.4 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Number of Responses 161

Number of Companies . 78

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES BY PURPOSE

|  | Number of Reporting Companies | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (\$ M | ions) |
| Research and Development | 81 | 289.8 | 320.8 |
| Po11ution Abatement | 89 | 442.7 | 458.7 |
| Working Environment | 84 | 142.4 | 119.6 |
| Expansion of Facilities Existing Sites | 158 | 9,029.3 | 8,210,1 |
| Upgrading \& Replacement of Existing Facilities | 225 | 4,316.1 | 4,499.4 |
| Expansion of Facilities New Sites | 95 | 8,666.6 | 8,813.8 |
| Other | 74 | 2,583.5 | 3,061.5 |
| TOTAL | 260* | 25,470.4 | 25,483.9 |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.

* As one company may allocate its invéstment expenditures to various purpose categories, this number is not the sum of the column.

TABLE 29

## TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES BY PURPOSE

## (Percent Distribution* of Total)

|  | Manufacturing |  | Nonnfacturing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1982 | 1983 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Research and Development | 2.4 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Pollution Abatement | 4.9 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Working Environment | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Expansion of Facilities Existing Sites | 26.3 | 19.4 | 37.4 | 34.7 | 35.5 | 32.2 |
| Upgrading \& Replacement of Existing Facilities | 40.5 | 40.5 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 16.9 | 17.7 |
| Expansion of Facilities New Sites | 11.0 | 9.3 | 38.9 | 39.4 | 34.0 | 34.6 |
| Other | 12.6 | 20.4 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 12.0 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* Percentages may not add up to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

TABLE 30

## CAPITAI EXPENDITURES BY PURPOSE

1983/1982

## (Year-to-Year Percent Change in Level of Spending)

|  | Manufacturing | NonManufacturing | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research and Development | 43.4 | $-8.4$ | 10.6 |
| Pollution Abatement | $-13.3$ | 20.1 | 3.6 |
| Working Environment | -15.1 | -18.4 | $-16.1$ |
| Expansion of Facilities Existing Sites | -32.4 | -5.7 | -9.1 |
| Upgrading \& Replacement of Existing Facilities | $-8.3$ | 13.2 | 4.2 |
| Expansion of Facilities New Sites | -22.2 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Other | 48.3 | 10.2 | 18.5 |
| TOTAL | -8.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

* The year-to-year changes in total investment here are different from those reported in Table 2. This is due to differences in coverage.


## TABLE 31 <br> CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983

## ATLANTIC REGION

(Current \$ Millions)

|  |  |  |  |  | Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change | Betw | n Surv <br> (\% | Revis | ons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1983/1982 | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 503 | $(5,466)$ | 338 | $(4,742)$ | -32.8(-13.3) | 1.9 | $(-7.5)$ | 0.1 | $(-15.3)$ |
| Non-Manufacturing | 1,955 | $(24,020)$ | 1,961 | $(23,823)$ | $0.3(-0.9)$ | 1.0 | $(-4.7)$ | -13.8 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 2,458 | $(29,486)$ | 2,300 | $(28,565)$ | -6.5 (-3.2) | 0.4 | $(-5.3)$ | -12.0 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 32

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

ATLANTIC REGION

Year-to-Year
Percent Change
$\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$

Between Survey Revisions
$1982(\%)$

Foreign Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | -49.3 | $(19.5)$ | $-1.8(-12.0)$ | $-11.6(-16.3)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Manufacturing | -1.0 | $(0.1)$ | -3.3 | $(-5.8)$ | $-33.8(-15.1)$ |
| TOTAL | -14.7 | $(5.5)$ | -2.9 | $(-7.7)$ | $-30.9(-15.5)$ |

Domestic Majority Owned

Manufacturing

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
-24.1 & (-36.4) & -2.0 & (-4.1) & 5.0
\end{array}(-14.0)
$$

Note: Actua1 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 33

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

## ATLANTIC REGION

$\frac{$|  Year-to-Year  |
| :---: |
|  Percent Change  |}{$\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$}


$\frac{$|  Between Survey  |
| :---: |
|  Revisions  |}{1982}

Total Government Majority Owned
16.1 (8.6)
$-14.0(-8.4) \quad-11.9 \quad(-6.2)$

Total Private Majority Owned

$$
-19.7(-9.4) \quad 11.3(-3.5) \quad-12.1(-11.3)
$$

Manufacturing $\quad-43.4(-10.9)$
Non-Manufacturing
$-8.8 \quad(-8.9)$
$1.2(-7.3) \quad-9.0(-15.6)$
$16.8(-2.1)-12.9 \quad(-9.8)$

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

EXPECTATIONS FOR 1983 AND 1984
BY COMPAMIES HEADQUARTERED IR TEE ATLARTIC REGION*
(Percent Distribution of Response)


Note: For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

* There are 15 firms in the Survey panel with headquarters in the Atlantic Region.
table 35


## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983

## QUEBEC REGION

(Current \$ Millions)

|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change <br> $1983 / 1982$ |  | Between Survey <br> Revisions (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 880 | $(5,466)$ |  |  | 1,002 | $(4,742)$ | 13.9 | (-13.3) | -8.9 | (-7.5) | -15.0 | (-15.3) |
| Non-Manufacturing | 4,001 | $(24,020)$ | 3,809 | $(23,823)$ |  |  | -4.9 | $(-0.9)$ | -4.2 | (-4.7) | -6.0 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 4,880 | $(29,486)$ | 4,810 | $(28,565)$ | -1.5 | (-3.2) | -5.1 | $(-5.3)$ | -8.1 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.
Totals may not add due to rounding.

## QUEBEC REGION

| Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change |
| :---: |
| $\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$ |


$\frac{$|  Between Survey  |
| :---: |
|  Revisions  |}{$\therefore(1982$}

Foreign Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | 69.9 | $(19.5)$ | $-12.9(-12.0)$ | $-26.7(-16.3)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Manufacturing | -5.5 | $(0.1)$ | 1.2 | $(-5.8)$ | $-23.9(-15.1)$ |
| TOTAL | 32.5 | $(5.5)$ | $-6.5(-7.7)$ | $-25.7(-15.5)$ |  |

## Domestic Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | -10.6 | $(-36.4)$ | -7.0 | $(-4.1)$ | -2.1 | $(-14.0)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Manufacturing | -4.8 | $(-1.2)$ | -4.6 | $(-4.4)$ | -4.5 | $(-5.7)$ |
| TOTAL | -5.6 | $(-6.4)$ | -5.0 | $(-4.4)$ | -4.2 | $(-6.6)$ |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983. For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 37

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

## QUEBEC REGION

| Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change |
| :---: |
| $\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$ |


| Between Survey <br> Revisions |
| :---: |
| 1982 |

Total Government Majority Owned

$$
\begin{equation*}
-8.4(-8.4) \quad-0.3 \quad(-6.2) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Total Private Majority Owned $-7.2 \quad(-9.4)$
$-0.4(-3.5) \quad-17.5(-11.3)$

Manufacturing
$26.5(-10.9)$
$-9.2(-7.3)-13.4(-15.6)$

Non-Manufacturing
$-26.5 \quad(-8.9)$
$5.5(-2.1) \quad-21.2(-9.8)$

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 38

EXPECTATIONS FOR 1983 ARD 1984
BE COEPANIES HEADQUARTERED IN TEE QUEBEC REGION*


## TABLE 39

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OE SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983
ONTARIO REGTOR
(Current \$ Millions)

|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | Year-to-Year Percent Change | Between Survey Revisions (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1983/1982 | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 2,446 | $(5,466)$ |  |  | 2,273 | $(4,742)$ | -7.1 (-13.3) | -5.8 | (-7.5) | -5.2 | (-15.3) |
| Non-Manufacturing | 5,344 | $(24,020)$ | 5,231 | $(23,823)$ | -2.2 (-0.9) | -6.5 | (-4.7) | -8.4 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 7,790 | $(29,486)$ | 7,503 | $(28,585)$ | -3.7 (-3.2) | -6.4 | $(-5.3)$ | -7.5 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April. 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 40

CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

## ONTARIO

$\frac{$|  Year-to-Year  |
| :---: |
|  Percent Change  |}{$\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$}


$-\frac{$|  Between Survey  |
| :---: |
|  Revisions  |}{1982}

Foreign Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | 45.2 | $(19.5)$ | -7.8 | $(-12.0)$ | $-2.8(-16.3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Manufacturing | 9.2 | $(0.1)$ | -14.0 | $(-5.8)$ | $-13.3(-15.1)$ |
| TOTAL | 30.6 | $(5.5)$ | -10.4 | $(-7.7)$ | $-6.6(-15.5)$ |

Domestic Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | $-43.2(-36.4)$ | -4.4 | $(-4.1)$ | -9.3 | $(-14.0)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Manufacturing | -3.8 | $(-1.2)$ | -5.5 | $(-4.4)$ | -7.5 | $(-5.7)$ |
| TOTAL | -13.1 | $(-6.4)$ | -5.2 | $(-4.4)$ | -7.8 | $(-6.6)$ |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 41

CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELEETED LARGE COMPANIES

## ONTARIO REGION

| Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change | Between Survey <br> Revisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1983 / 1982$ |  |

Total Government Majority Owned
$-6.2(-8.4)-10.3(-6.2)$

Total Private Majority Owned $-8.4(-9.4) \quad-6.5(-3.5) \quad-5.6(-11.3)$

Manufacturing
$-1.6(-10.9)$
$-5.6(-7.3) \quad-4.3(-15.6)$
Non-Manufacturing
$-13.6 \quad(-8.9)$
$-7.2 \quad(-2.1) \quad-6.7 \quad(-9.8)$

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 42

EXPECTATIONS FOR 1983 AND 1984
BY COMPANIES EEADOUARTERED IN TEE ONTARIO REGION:
(Percent Distribution of Response)

|  | 1983/82 |  |  | 1984/83 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UP / } \\ \text { BETTER } \end{gathered}$ | NO CHANGE | DOWN/ <br> WORSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { UP/ } \\ \text { BETTER } \end{gathered}$ | NO <br> CHANGE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OWN / } \\ & \text { ORSE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Canadian Sales | 58.7 (54.4) | 22.8 (24.0) | 18.4 (21.5) | 72.3(70.8) | 18.7 (19.3) | 8.9 | (9.8) |
| Export Sales | 48.9 (46.8) | $34.0(30.2)$ | 17.0 (22.9) | 57.1 (58.6) | 31.8 (28.4) | 10.9 | (12.9) |
| Profits | .54.5 (50.0) | 19.0 (18.6) | 26.3 (31.3) | 76.6 (67.6) | 13.0 (18.5) | 10.2 | (13.7) |
| Corporate Employment in Canada | 15.0.(14.9) | 38.9 (39.4) | $46.0(45.6)$ | 31.1 (28.3) | 49.5 (55.5) | 19.2 | (16.1) |
| Corporate Financial Conditions | $47.3(40.5)$ | 33.3 (35.0) | 19.2 (24.4) | 60.9 (54.7) | 30.9 (35.7) | 8.1 | (9.4) |
| Canadian Business Conditions | 43.6 (38.9) | $30.0(31.6)$ | 26.3 (29.4) | 72.3 (67.6) | 20.9 (26.1) | 6.6 | (6.1) |
| Foreign Investment Climate | 41.2 (33.0) | 39.6 (46.1) | 19.0(20.7) | 51.5 (45.3) | 46.6 (50.0) | 1.6 | (4.6) |

Note: For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

* There are 135 firms in the Survey panel with headquarters in the Ontario Region.

| TABLE 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPITAL EXPERDITURES OR SELECTED LARGE COMPARIES - 1982-1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRATRİP REGION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Current \$ Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | Year-to-Year Percent Change |  | Between Survey Revisions (\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1983/1982 | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 396 | $(5,466)$ |  |  | 355 | $(4,742)$ | -10.4 | $(-13.3)$ | -31.9 | (-7.5) | -42.2 | (-15.3) |
| Non-Manufacturing | 8,061 | $(24,020)$ | 8,175 | $(23,823)$ | 1.4 | (-0.9) | -5.5 | (-4.07) | -8.1 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 8,457 | $(29,486)$ | 8,530 | $(28,565)$ | 0.8 | $(-3.2)$ | -7.2 | $(-5.3)$ | -10.3 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 44

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983

## MANITOBA \& SASKATCHEWAN

(Current \$ Millions)

|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | {f88f05b2c-7d18-45aa-8dec-219d0f3046e9} Year-to-Year  <br>  Percent Change }$1983 / 1982$ | Between Survey Revisions (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 66 | $(5,466)$ |  |  | 65 | $(4,742)$ | -2.6 (-13.3) | 4.7 | (-7.5) | -12.2 | (-15.3) |
| Non-Manufacturing | 1,400 | $(24,020)$ | 1,481 | $(23,823)$ |  | 5.8 (-0.9) | -14.4 | (-4.7) | -12.7 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 1,466 | $(29,486)$ | 1,545 | $(28,565)$ | 5.4 (-3.2) | -13.7 | (-5.3) | -12.7 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 45
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983

## ALBERTA

(Current \$ Millions)

|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | Year-to-Year Percent Change1983/1.982 | Between Survey <br> Revisions (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1982 | 1983 |  |
| Manufacturing | 290 | $(5,466)$ |  |  | 265 | $(4,742)$ | -8.7 (-13.3) | -38.3 | (-7.5) | -44.7 | (-15.3) |
| Non-Manufacturing | 5,699 | $(24,020)$ | 5,665 | $(23,823)$ |  | -0.6 (-0.9) | -6.6 | (-4.7) | -10.2 | (-8.1) |
| TOTAL | 5,989 | $(29,486)$ | 5,930 | $(28,565)$ | -1.0 (-3.2) | -8.9 | (-5.3) | -12.6 | (-9.4) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the gears 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firms who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investment.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 46

## CAPITAL INVESTTUNT CONRARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE CORAPANIES

PRAIRIR REGION

$\left.$| Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change | $:$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1983 / 1982}{(\%)}$ |  |$\quad$| Between Survey |
| :---: |
| Revisions | \right\rvert\,

Foreign Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | $-2.2(19.5)$ | $-51.9(-12.0)$ | $-57.8(-16.3)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Manufacturing | -1.0 | $(0.1)$ | $-4.4(-5.8)$ | $-11.5(-15.1)$ |
| T0TAL | -1.1 | $(5.5)$ | $-9.2(-7.7)$ | $-16.3(-15.5)$ |

Domestic Majority 0wned

| Manufacturing | $-16.6(-36.4)$ | -0.5 | $(-4.1):-14.1(-14.0)$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Manufacturing | $2.8(-1.2)$ | -6.1 | $(-4.4) \ldots-6.0$ | $(-5.7)$ |  |
| T0TAL | $2.0(-6.4)$ | -5.9 | $(-4.4)$ | -6.3 | $(-6.6)$ |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 47

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELBCTED LARGE COMPANIES

## PRAIRTE RREGION

|  | Year-to-Year Percent Change | Between Survey Revisions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983/1982 | 1982 | 1983 |
|  | (\%) |  |  |
| Total Government Majority Owned | 16.9 (8.6) | -13.9 (-8.4) | -4.1 (-6.2) |
| Total Private Majority Owned | -3.9 (-9.4) | -5.0 (-3.5) | -12.3(-11.3) |
| Manufacturing | $-10.7(-10.9)$ | -32.6 (-7.3) | -43.1 (-15.6) |
| Non-Manufacturing | -3.4 (-8.9) | $-2.5 \quad(-2.1)$ | -9.5 (-9.8) |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 48
CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

## ALBERTA

| Year-to-Year <br> Percent Change | Between Survey <br> Revisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1983 / 1982$ | 1982 |
| $(\%)$ |  |

## Foreign Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | $1.7(-19.5)$ | $-57.4(-12.0)$ | -61.7 | $(-16.3)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Manufacturing | -1.5 | $(0.1)$ | -3.7 | $(-5.8)$ | -10.4 |
| TOTAL | -1.3 | $(5.5)$ | -8.9 | $(-7.7)$ | -15.7 |$(-15.5)$

Domestic Majority Owned

| Manufacturing | -17.7 | $(-36.4)$ | 1.3 | $(-4.1)$ | 6.1 | $(-14.0)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Manufacturing | 0.2 | $(-1.2)$ | -9.4 | $(-4.4)$ | -10.0 | $(-5.7)$ |
| TOTAL | -0.7 | $(-6.4)$ | -8.9 | $(-4.4)$ | -9.4 | $(-6.6)$ |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 49

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES

ALBERTA


| $\because \quad$Between Survey <br> Revisions |
| :---: |
| $(\%) \quad 1983$ |

Total Government Majority Owned
12.4 (8.6)
$-13.1(-8.4) \quad 4.6(-6.2)$

Total Private Majority Owned

$$
-3.6 \quad(-9.4)
$$

$$
-8.0(-3.5) \quad-15.7(-11.3)
$$

Manufacturing
$-8.7(-10.9)$
$-38.3(-7.3) \quad-44.7(-15.6)$
Non-Manufacturing
$-3.3(-8.9)$
$-5.2(-2.1) \quad-13.1(-9.8)$

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures Eor Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 50

## EXPECTATIONS FOR 1983 AND 1984 by COMPANIES HEADQUARTERED IN THE PRAIRIE REGION*

(Percent Distribution of Response)

|  | 1983/82 |  |  | 1984/83 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UP/ BETTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { CHANGE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | DOWN/ WORSE | UP/ BETTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OWN/ } \\ & \text { ORSE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Canadian Sales | 48.9 (54.4) | 31.9 (24.0) | 19.1 (21.5) | 56.5 (70.8) | 30.4 (19.3) | 13.0 | (9.8) |
| Export Sales | 38.2 (46.8) | 26.4 (30.2) | 35.2 (22.9) | 45.4 (58.6) | 39.3(28.4) | 15.1 | (12.9) |
| Profits | $37.5(50.0)$ | 18.7 (18.6) | 43.7 (31.3) | $45.8(67.6)$ | 35.4 (18.5) | 18.97 | (13.7) |
| Corporate Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in Canada | 14.2 (14.9) | 44.8 (39.4) | 40.8 (45.6) | 16.3 (28.3) | 77.5 (55.5) | 6.1 | (16.1) |
| Corporate Financial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conditions | 24.4 (40.5) | 40.8 (35.0) | 34.6 (24.4) | 30.6 (54.7) | 59.1 (35.7) | 10.2 | (9.4) |
| Canadian Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conditions | 26.5 (38.9) | 36.7 (31.6) | 36.7 (29.4) | 46.9 (67.6) | 44.8 (26.1) | 8.1 | (6.1) |
| Foreign Investment Climate | 24.1 (33.0) | 51.7 (46.1) | 24.1 (20.7) | 36.6(45.3) | 53.3 (50.0) $\cdots$ | 10.0 | (4.6) |

Note: For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.
$\star$ There are 54 firms in the Survey panel with headquarters in the Prairie Region.

TABLE 51
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES - 1982-1983
B.C. AND NORTHERN REGION



Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
These are dollar amounts reported for the years 1982-83 from the April 1983 Survey by firas who reported in both the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys of Capital Investinent.

For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

TABLE 52
CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES
B.C. AND NORTHERN REGION



Foreign Majority Owned


Note: Actual 1982 and revised Lntentions 1983.

For comparlson purposes, flgures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 53

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT COMPARISONS OF SELECTED LARGE COMPANIES



Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.
For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.

## TABLE 54

## EXPECTATIONS FOR 1983 AND 1984

BY COMPANIES HRADQUARTERED IR THE B.C. AND NORTGERN REGION*

Canadian Sales

Export Sales
Profits

Corporate Employment in Canada

Corporate Financial Conditions

Canadian Business
Conditions

Foreign Investment Climate
(Percent Distribution of Response)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { UP/ } \\ \text { BETTER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NO <br> CHANGE | DOWiN/ WORSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { UP/ } \\ \text { BETTER } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DOWN/ } \\ & \text { WORSE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59.2 (54.4) | 25.9 (24.0) | 14.8 (21.5) | 77.7 (70.8) | 14.8 (19.3) | 7.4 (9.8) |
| $51.8(46.8)$ | 33.3 (30.2) | 14.8 (22.9) | 80.7 (58.6) | 7.6 (28.4) | $11.5:(12.9)$ |
| 58.6 (50.0) | 24.1 (18.6) | 17.2 (31.3) | 79.3 (67.6) | 6.8 (18.5) | 13.7 (13.7) |
| 23.3 (14.9) | 43.3 (39.4) | 33.3 (45.6) | 43.3 (28.3) | 53.3 (35.5) | 3.3 (16.1) |
| 50.0(40.5) | 26.6 (35.0) | 23.3(24.4) | 80.0 (54.7) | 10.0 (35.7) | 10.0 (9.4) |
| 50.0 (38.9) | 36.6 (31.6) | 13.3 (29.4) | 80.0 (67.6) | 13.3 (26.1) | $6.6 \quad(6.1)$ |
| 31.2 (33.0) | 56.2 (46.1) | 12.5 (20.7) | 62.5 (45.3) | $37.5(50.0)$ | 0.0 (4.6) |

Note: For comparison purposes, figures for Canada are provided in brackets.
$\pm$ There are 31 firms in the Survey panel with headquarters in the $B . C$. and Northern Region.

```
Survey of Business Capital Investment -
Nature and Coverage of the Data
```

1) All of the tables containing regional data ineorporate "matched" company data to avoid the variat ton in the number of firms reporting in each year and in different surveys. Matching allows one Lo make consistent comparisons, since only the data for those companies which have reported in the last two surveys for specified years, e.g., 1982 and 1983 are used. This is referred to as a 2 survey - 2 year matched panel.

The above system of matching is appled separately for each of the investment categories specified on a regional table. Hence it is clear that the regional totals obtained on a matched sample basis will not necessarily add to the matched Canada total.
2) The problem of companies in the Survey not reporting their regional distribution of expenditures is mindmal. The following table provides a reconciliation between the total dollar amounts reported on Table $l$ and the total amounts distributed by region.
$\frac{1982}{(\text { Current } \$ \text { Billions) }}$

Totals as per Table 1

Nmounts reported by region

| Atlantic | 2.46 | 2.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quebec | 4.88 | 4.81 |
| Ontario | 7.79 | 7.50 |
| Prairies | 8.46 | 8.53 |
| B.C. \& Northern Regton | 4.33 | 3.83 |
| Unallocated Region* | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| T'()TAL | 28.88 | 27.96 |
| Difference between totals | 0.61 | 0.60 |
| Portion of difference explained by "matching" | 0.23 | 0.29 |
| Portion of difference explained by non-response | 0.38 | 0.31 |

Note: Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983.

* Includes investment which was not speciflcally allocated to a particular region because it was uncertain which province or region it would take place in; and expenditures on movable machinery and equipment which are not allocated to a specific region, i.e., rall rolling stock, ships, etc.

3) The fact that companies reported on a corporate rather than on an establishment basis would definitely affect the sectoral breakdown of both the regional and Canada distribution, i.e., whether outlays were categorized as coming under manufacturing, resource industries, etc. Therefore, the IT\&C/REE sectoral coverage cannot be properly related to the Statistics Canada Private and Public Investment in Canada Survey (PPI) because investment data collected on a corporate basis are allocated to the various industrial sectors according to the company's mainline of business.
4) The following table provides a breakdown of the number of companies reporting to the IT\&C/REE Survey, by manufacturing or nonmanufacturing sector, and by region of investment. Since the companies in the Survey panel are the larger ones, they tend to have investments in more than one region and as such the figures for Canada are not the sum of the regions.

|  | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | B.C. | CANADA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mfg. | 39 | 81 | 115 | 62 | 56 | 151 |
| Non-Mfg。 | 43 | 45 | 57 | 80 | 62 | 123 |
| TOTAL | 82 | 126 | 172 | 142 | 118 | 274 |


$\square$


[^0]:    * See appendix for details on the nature and coverage of the Survey.

[^1]:    * Includes investment which was not specifically allocated to a particular region because it was uncertain which region it would take place in; and expenditures on moveable machinery and equipment, i.e., rail rolling stock, ships, etc.

[^2]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and the April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982 and 1983 are used in this table, namely 274 firms.

[^3]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982 and 1983 are used in this table, namely 274 firms.

[^4]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982 and 1983 are used in this table, namely 274 firms.

[^5]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982 and 1983 are used in this table, namely 274 firms.

[^6]:    Note: The above table incorporates matched data for each province and/or region. This system of matching is applied separately for each province. Hence, provinces do not add to regional totals and regional totals will not necessarily add to the matched Canada total. See Appendix point (1) for an explanation of "matching".

[^7]:    Note: * Actual 1982 and revised intentions 1983-1987.
    ** Preliminary actual 1982 and intentions 1983-1.987. Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982-87 (each and every year) are used in this table, i.e. a matched panel. The number of reporting companies is provided in brackets after the name of the region. See Appendix, point (1) for explanation of "matching".

[^8]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and the April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982-87 (each and every year) are used in this table, namely, 198 firms.

[^9]:    * Only those firms which reported for the October 1982 and April 1983 Surveys for the years 1982-87 (each and every year) are used in this table, namely, 198 firms.

