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## Formwori

The goal of this research project on the information economy is to evaluate the importance of the information sector in the Canadian economy and to construct a satellite account of the information sector using Statistics Canada's Input-Output Table. Our purpose is to propose a classification of information activities; to evaluate the proportion of information labour, the information content of industries, and the relationship between information employment and productivity; and to conduct an intersectoral analysis of information-intensive industries.

This study is also aimed at evaluating the necessary inputs for the production of information and linking the information sector with other sectors of the economy. Finally, a few simulations are performed using the information satellite account.

We feel that this study has a very significant scientific contribution to make, since it will allow us to explore the dynamics of a new activity sector--the information sector--of strategic importance to the development of the Canadian economy. The originality of our approach makes it possible to reconsider the process while it is underway and to answer questions that the lack of statistical data has prevented us from addressing in the past.

This exploratory research project complements certain initiatives by the Organizational Research Directorate, such as the proceedings of a conference held in Toronto in June 1989, "Canada's Information Revolution."

We strongly hope that these publications will highlight the importance of pursuing analyses in this sector, allowing us to consider the changes occurring in our economy as computers play a constantly growing role in our lives.
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## mirobuction

Our mandate was to establish an information satellite account in the intersectoral table of the Canadian economy. This involved:

- establishing a method for evaluating the information content of commodity production in Canada. This content must be established on the basis of sectors to be found in the Canada input-output table;
- developing a method for determining production functions in terms of inputoutput relating to the production of information; in other words, evaluating the inputs necessary for the production of information;
- combining all the production of information in the economy in a fictive information sector, and establishing links among this sector and other sectors of the economy through the production functions associated with the production of information.

Once the information satellite account had been defined, our objective was to conduct a number of simulations of the impact of a variation in final demand components, on both the production of information in the economy and the effects of the information sector on other economic sectors.

With the co-operation of Statistics Canada, we managed to create an operational information satellite account, which can now be used for many economic analyses.

In addition to this main achievement, our study allowed us to analyse various aspects of the information economy in Canada. Another aspect of our mandate was to classify information employment in Canada. To determine the information content of commodity production in Canada, we classified information employment and evaluated the value added produced by that labour. We compared our classification with the one prepared by CWARC, in keeping with our mandate. We were also able to establish the information content of production in each sector of the Canadian economy and then connect that content with the productivity of those sectors.

Using the data in the input-output table, we also examined the characteristics of certain sectors associated with the production of information or information-type goods.

Finally, we have managed to draw some overall conclusions from our study, using the various results obtained during the study and through simulations using the input-output table with an information sector.

## 1. MAASURING THE INEORMATION CONTENI OF PRODUCTION IN THE inthrindustrial tamle

### 1.1 Classification by sector

The phenomenon of information production and the use of information as an input in the economy are often analysed in the existing literature by identifying sectors producing commodities consisting mainly of information. Accordingly, four major economic sectors are generally defined:

- sectors producing information goods, such as computers;
- sectors producing information services, such as telecommunications and advertising;
- sectors producing non-information goods, such as mining and furniture manufacturing;
- sectors producing non-information services, such as hairdressing and dry cleaning.


## Infrastructure

Sectors producing information goods have been described in various studies as infrastructure sectors for the information services industry, also called the information sector. This classification may be useful in analysing information industries and the structure of the economy, but it poses a number of problems when it comes to evaluating the information content of national and sectoral production. For example, while the main activity of information service industries is to produce information, the value added by these sectors does not consist solely of information. Similarly, sectors producing non-information goods and services nevertheless produce information, which in turn may represent an important proportion of the value added if the industry makes heavy use of computers and robots. This is the case for the motor vehicle industry; its purpose is not to produce information goods, but its production includes a high information component. Finally, the classification we have just described may also lead to confusion in conceptual terms. Manufacturing information-type goods such as computers is not in the same as producing information. A computer is used to
handle information, but is not itself information. Its production naturally requires a large amount of information, but the information content of information-type goods is not immediately evident, and the final result of production cannot be considered information.

Since the purpose of our study is to determine the information content of value added, on a sectoral basis, and to see how information is exchanged among sectors, we will not make direct use of this classification of sectors on the basis of their production. Instead, we will establish a method for evaluating the information content of all sectors of the economy.

### 1.2 Method of evaluating the information content of production

The various studies that have been done on the information content of production (including the wide-ranging study on the American economy by Porat) evaluate the information content of value added by analysing the occupations of employed workers. In fact, there are no other practical methods for estimating information content. Even if we were to conduct a statistical sway, it would be difficult to evaluate the information content in terms of the value added in the production of goods and services. Given the intangible nature of information, it would be possible to take an indirect approach, by subtracting inputs other than information from production. But an analysis of employment structure would be necessary to determine the contribution by labour to information content.

With the data available, the information content of production can be evaluated only by considering the employment structure. Accordingly, occupations must be classified in order to be able to list those occupations whose main task or activity is to produce, process, handle, store, retrieve, transmit or disseminate information. Once a list of information occupations has been drawn up, the next step is to establish the value added produced by those workers.

This approach leads to a number of problems. One difficulty arises from the fact that any occupation possesses a certain information component:
"Clearly, all human endeavor contains some component of information processing. Without information, all cognitive function would collapse and there would be no human activity." ${ }^{1}$

In view of this difficulty in deciding whether an occupation can be classified as informationrelated, we can consider occupations the main activity of which is to produce, process, store, retrieve, transmit or disseminate information.

There is a relatively small number of occupations whose sole activity relates to information. These clear-cut cases do not represent the majority, hence any classification of information occupations is to some extent arbitrary. Two examples of occupations that do not easily lend themselves to classification are sales representatives and foremen/women. Naturally, foremen/women and sales representatives do not spend their entire days disseminating information received; but the information component of those tasks nevertheless represents a proportion sufficiently large for those activities to be classified as information occupations.

Thus if we consider that the entire value added produced by those two occupations consists of information, we will slightly overestimate the information content of their production, since they do not handle or transmit information exclusively. On the other hand, some occupations whose main activity is not considered to be information-related and which are excluded from the list of information occupations nevertheless handle or disseminate information. A worker assembling parts to produce equipment, for example, must decode information and use it in his or her work. Their exclusion from the list of information occupations tends to give an underestimated value of the information component of production. Such a method does not lead to highly accurate results, but rather to a reasonable estimate of the information component of production.

We should note at this point that as concerns the use of employment as a method of evaluating the information component of production, our criteria for classifying an occupation as an information occupation relate to the worker's main task and not to the activity sector. Accordingly, people who are employed in sectors producing information goods such as

[^0]computers, televisions and telephones are not automatically classified as information workers. Those responsible for assembling computers and installing and repairing communications equipment are not considered information workers, since their main task is to handle physical goods, rather than information. Thus there is no immediate relationship between the type of activity sector and the information-related nature of an occupation. Sectors producing noninformation goods may hire a large proportion of information workers and, inversely, sectors producing information commodities may employ a significant proportion of non-information workers.

In view of the importance of the classification of information occupations in determining the information component of production, the following chapter gives a detailed description of how we broke them down into information and non-information occupations.

### 1.3 Evaluating the value added by information workers and its application to the input-output table

## Evaluating the value added by information workers

To analyse the occupations of employed workers in order to evaluate the information component of production, we must determine the value added by those information workers in the various activity sectors. Insofar as the labour market functions with relative efficiency, workers' wages reflect their marginal productivity. Wages represent a way of evaluating information workers' direct contribution to production. In addition, the other part of value added, consisting mainly of profits and other income, must also be attributed to information workers. The method we have used is to attribute to those workers the balance of the value added, in proportion to their wages, as compared with the total wages of each industry.

This method should give us, overall, a reasonable estimate of the total value added corresponding to the production of information, and in fact is the approach employed in previous studies on this question. Obviously, it may include certain biases from one sector to another, and we assume that there is no systematic upward or downward bias in all the activity
sectors. In some sectors where information workers use much more productive capital than non-information workers, attributing the balance of the value added on the basis of the proportion of information workers' wages may then result in underestimating the total value added by those workers. However, the use of highly productive capital should be reflected in the productivity of information workers, and hence in their wages, if the labour market functions efficiently. Inversely, if information workers use less efficient capital than noninformation workers in a given sector, the value added attributable to the former may be underestimated. If wages have managed to adjust so as to reflect discrepancies in the productivity of capital, this bias should not be large. Nevertheless, as wages on the labour market adjust to the productivity of workers with a certain time lag when there are changes in the capital (e.g., generations of more-productive information capital replacing outdated information capital), there may be some biases in the estimated value added by information workers. Since our estimate deals with 1985, at a time when information capital was being replaced at a fairly rapid pace, it is possible that wages had not fully adjusted to the new levels of productivity (wage increases began accelerating in 1987). In such a case, our method would tend to somewhat underestimate the value added by information workers to the economy.

## Application of the method to the Canada Input-Output Table

The basic data that we use to evaluate the information component of production in Canada are drawn from the Statistics Canada Input-Output Table. The data on employment by occupation were provided by the federal Department of Employment and Immigration ${ }^{1}$ and come from the 1971, 1981 and 1986 censuses conducted by Statistics Canada. These time series data allowed us to examine the development of the structure of employment in Canada over a 15 -year period, and are analysed in Chapter 2.

The data on employment by occupation are broken down by industry, on the basis of the 1971 Standard Industrial Classification for three-digit industries. We would have had to delay our research by several months to obtain a version with the new classification; and in practice, working with the former classification caused no major difficulties, since the Input-Output

[^1]
##  $\pi \angle \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{L} \mathrm{S}$

Division had the necessary data available for adjusting the 1971 classification data to those in the input-output table.

In addition to the breakdown by industry, the employment data are broken down by occupation, on the basis of the four-digit Canadian Occupational Code. This gives a very large employment matrix with three-digit industries in columns and four-digit occupations in rows, allowing us to analyse the development of the occupational structure of employment by industry for the period concerned. The main results are given in the following chapter.

Since the 1986 census data actually refer to employment in 1985, we used the 1985 version of the input-output table to estimate the information component of production. We also obtained the census data on workers' wages by occupation, specially compiled by the Department of Employment and Immigration and its consultant, Ivation Data System. We were unable to obtain wages by occupation and by industry. Consequently, to evaluate the information value added from wages, we had to assume that the operation of the labour market is sufficiently efficient that there are no major wage disparities between industries in a specific occupation.

The link with the input-output table is made as follows:

- First of all, the data on employment by occupation by three-digit industry (1971 industrial classification) had to be reconciled with the 209 level W industries in the input-output table. In that way, employment by occupation was distributed among those 209 industries. It should be noted here that the data on the absolute values of employment by industry that we have used in this study are drawn from the inputoutput table. Thus the census data were used essentially to establish the employment structure by occupation by industry. The structure is defined as the percentage distribution of employment by occupation. In short, the absolute value of the jobs matches the data from the input-output table, but the employment structure by occupation is obtained using the census data.


##  

- The figure for total wages of information workers by industry was arrived at by totalling the average wage rates, multiplied by the number of workers corresponding to each information occupation. Once again, we are basing ourselves on the census data, since the wage rates by occupation are drawn from the census. For us to be able to apply the value added per industry on the basis of the data in the input-output table, the wage data are used essentially only to determine the proportion of the value added attributable to information workers. The total wages of information workers as a proportion of the total wages of all workers (obtained by adding up average wages, multiplied by the workers of all occupations in an industry) are thus used to assign the value added from the input-output table by industry to information workers. The balance is assigned to non-information workers.

For each industry in the input-output table, then, we know the jobs of information workers by occupation and those of non-information workers by occupation, from the data in the table. We also know the value added attributable to information workers and that attributable to other workers. The total value added by industry logically corresponds to that in the input-output table by industry. The total for the economy as a whole or for the major subsectors can be obtained by adding up the data by industry.

## 2. miommation worrers in canaba

We evaluate the information component of production in Canada using an approach based on the occupational structure of employment. The purpose of this Chapter is to present a detailed classification of information occupations. We will then illustrate the results of this approach, by analysing the information labour component of certain sectors of the Canadian economy.

### 2.1 Classification by occupation

As noted in the previous chapter, our classification of information occupations is founded on the basic principle that the information worker's main activity must consist of producing, processing, storing, retrieving, transmitting or disseminating information. Applying this definition to the four-digit occupations defined in the Canadian Occupational Code was simple for a number of occupations whose main task is directly related to the production, processing and diffusion of information. That is the case for occupations such as teachers, copywriters, radio or television announcers and so on. In other cases, we had to examine the workers' tasks in greater detail, and consulted the literature available on the matter (see Bibliography).

In general, our classification conforms with the one described in the literature we examined. In cases that we considered somewhat more arbitrary, we took what we felt was a conservative approach, so as not to overestimate the number of information workers. In that way our approach differs in one important respect from the literature available; workers in the information goods producing sector, or in services relating to information equipment, are often considered information workers in that literature.

We consider that these workers in so-called information infrastructure industries, whose tasks are generally to handle, process, assemble or repair equipment, are not information workers. Thus we have excluded from our list of information occupations certain occupations such as assemblers, installers or repairers of electronic communication equipment, since their main task is not related to information production. On the other hand, communication equipment sales staff are considered information workers. This occupation is included in our list not because it involves the sale of communication equipment, but because it has to do with sales. We feel that the main activity of a sales representative is to disseminate information.

Finally, we must remind the reader that classifications of information workers vary somewhat from one study to another, and some arbitrariness cannot be avoided, given the relative complexity of human tasks.

### 2.2 General typology of information workers

We can group information workers into a few general large categories, as follows:

Table 1
General typology of information occupations

## I. Organization of information

a) Clerical skills
b) Technical skills
c) Scientific and professional skills
d) Research and artistic creation

II- Decision-making and control
a) Management
b) Inspection
c) Supervision

## III. Dissemination of information

a) Various information and news
b) Entertainment
c) Promotion and sales
d) Training
IV. Infrastructure of information
a) Office information and communication equipment operators
b) Occupations related to printing and photographic development
c) Occupations related to mail and messenger services

Using this general typology, we examined the job descriptions of each four-digit occupation provided in the Canadian Occupational Code, and attempted to distribute the various information occupations on this basis. The detailed breakdown of information occupations is given in Appendix I.

### 2.3 Application of definitions to census data

We obtained the census data on employment by occupation and by industry for 1971, 1981 and 1986. With our classifications of occupations, described in general above and in detail in Appendix I, we prepared a general table giving the relative extent of information occupations. Table 2 shows the proportion of information occupations as a percentage of the labour force, as well as the changes that occurred between 1971 and 1981.

The results for all information occupations for Canada are similar to those obtained by Rubin and Sapp ${ }^{1}$. On the basis of our classification, in 1971 information occupations represented $42.32 \%$ of the labour force and $50.36 \%$ in 1981, for a considerable increase of $19.0 \%$. For their part, Rubin and Sapp obtain $41.0 \%$ for the United States in 1970. The different classifications of information occupations in our study and Rubin and Sapp's work may explain the slight discrepancy between the findings. In particular, Rubin and Sapp adopted a slightly more restrictive classification of information occupations, by excluding those such as social workers, inspectors and certain types of foremen/women, adult education teachers, etc. Thus the proportion of information workers in the total employment they obtained is somewhat lower than our figure.

[^2]Table 2
Information workers in Canada: 1971-1981
By major information-processing categories
As a percentage of the labour force

| I- |  |  |  | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Organization of information |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) | Clerical skills |  | 11,0 | 14,31 |
|  | b) | Technical skills |  | 0,64 | 0,81 |
|  |  | Scientific and | skills | 5,32 | 6,44 |
|  |  | Research and |  | 0,28 | 0,34 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 17,24 | 21,90 |
| II- | Decision-making and control |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Management |  | 2,46 | 4,85 |
|  | b) | Inspection |  | 1,01 | 1,08 |
|  | c) | Supervision |  | 8,73 | 7,83 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 12,20 | 13,76 |
| II. | Dissemination of information |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) | Various inform |  | 0,64 | 0,96 |
|  | b) | Entertainment |  | 0,17 | 0,24 |
|  | c) | Promotion and |  | 5,79 | 6,27 |
|  | d) | Training |  | 3,9 | 4,25 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 10,5 | 11,72 |
| IV- | Information infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office informa equipment ope | munication | 0,99 | 1,13 |
|  |  | Occupations re photographic | ing and | 0,61 | 0,63 |
|  |  | Occupations re messenger serv | and | 0,78 | 1,22 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 2,38 | 2,98 |
|  | Total according to our classification |  |  | 42,32 | 50,36 |

Appendix II contains a table giving a detailed breakdown of all information workers by category, i.e. the figures corresponding to the information on 1971 and 1981 in Appendix I.

Occupations responsible for the organization of information represented almost half of all information occupations, and $20 \%$ of the labour force. Within this category, occupations grouped under "clerical skills" accounted for nearly $70 \%$ of all occupations responsible for the organization of information. In addition, we should note the substantial increase in the proportion of clerical employees as a percentage of the labour force, i.e. $30.01 \%$. There was also considerable growth in the proportion of the overall category of occupations related to the organization of information between 1971 and 1981, i.e. $27.03 \%$.

The data in the second category, decision-making and control, which groups together the occupations of management, inspection and supervision, reveal an interesting phenomenon linked to the growth in information technologies. Management tasks almost doubled as a percentage of the labour force, while supervisory occupations lost ground. In overall terms, those occupations grew by $12.79 \%$.

For the last two categories, namely dissemination of information and infrastructure of information, there were increases of $11.62 \%$ and $25.21 \%$, respectively.

Information occupations thus totalled $50.36 \%$ of the labour force in Canada in 1981. Occupations related to clerical skills were the most important. Appendix $I I$ shows the relative size of each occupation in all categories as a percentage of the labour force.

### 2.4 Occupational structure by activity sector: a few examples

Given the considerable volume of manual calculations involved in evaluating the information labour component of employment by activity sector for 1971 and 1981 (only the 1986 data could be computer-processed, by Statistics Canada), we had to limit to a few examples the sectors for which the proportion of information workers in comparison with employment was calculated. To select those examples, we took the following factors into consideration:

- various studies consider that certain activity sectors, such as telecommunications, can automatically be grouped in the information sector. But since we use occupational structure as a method for evaluating information production by sector, it is appropriate to verify the information component of sectors that can automatically be considered information sectors. The information component may be considerably less than $100 \%$, for various reasons relating to the sector's production functions;
- there are other sectors in which there is known to be a high information component, although the main activity of the sector is not the production of information. That is the case, in particular, for the banking sector. We therefore examined the information component of that type of sector;
- moreover, it is known that information technologies play a growing role in goodsproducing sectors, but the information component of production in these industries is not very well known. For purposes of illustration, we chose three key sectors of the Canadian economy from all the goods-producing industries. First, the very important pulp and paper sector; then we examined another key sector that has undergone many changes in recent years, namely the motor vehicle sector; finally, we examined a promising high-technology sector, the aerospace industry;
- this exercise also allowed us to show the classification problems confronting us when we use census data by industry (on the basis of the 1970 industrial classification) for labour and data from the input-output table for evaluating value added and production functions. We illustrated the linkage problem using level W , that is the least aggregated level of the input-output table.

Finally, this exercise showed what changes have taken place in the information component of the industries we selected for the analysis.
A) Presentation of the linkage between the standard industrial classification (1970) and the classification used in the input-output table, for several industries

|  | tandard Industrial Classification (1970) SIC | Equivalent Interindustrial classification in the input-output table (IOA), Level W |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 543 | Radio and television broadcasting | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 176 \end{aligned}$ | Radio and television broadcasting industry Cable television industry |
| 701 | Banks and other depositaccepting establishments | $184$ $185$ $186$ $187$ | Banks and other depositaccepting institutions Trust/deposit-accepting mortgage corporations Credit unions Other finance \& real-estate industries |
| $\begin{aligned} & 861 \\ & 863 \\ & 864 \\ & 866 \end{aligned}$ | Offices of accountants Offices of architects Engineering \& scientific services Offices of lawyers \& notaries | 192 | Professional business services |
| $851$ <br> 853 <br> 855 | Employment agencies \& personnel suppliers Computer services Security \& investigation services | $\begin{aligned} & 191 \\ & 194 \end{aligned}$ | Computer \& related services Miscellaneous business services |

## B) Presentation of the information component of several industries

In the following tables we show the industries at level W , the least aggregated in the inputoutput table, and at level L, the most aggregated. For all the sectors under study, the proportion of information occupations is summarized for 1971, 1981 and 1986 in Table 4. A more detailed breakdown appears for 1971 and 1981 for the service sectors in Tables 3.1 to 3.4. For the manufacturing sectors, we have given the results for 1971,1981 and 1986 , to better evaluate the most important sectoral changes in those industries.

Table 3.1
Radio and Television Broadcasting

| SIC classification | Interindustrial classification (IOA) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 543: Radio and television broadcasting | 175: 176: | vision <br> industry <br> ion | 129: | mmunicatio <br> asting indu |  |
| Main occupations in radio and television broadcasting (543) |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 3330: Producers and direc |  | 2390 | 10,2 | 4605 | 11,2 |
| 3337: Radio and television | ncers | 2340 | 10,0 | 5240 | 12,7 |
| 4111: Secretaries and steno |  | 1290 | 5,2 | N/A ${ }^{1}$ | - |
| 9551: Radio and television equipment operators | castin | 1475 | 6,3 | 2265 | 5,5 |
| Other |  | $\underline{15935}$ | 68,0 | $\underline{29185}$ | 70,7 |
| Total |  | $\underline{23430}$ | 100,0 | 41295 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations |  | 16570 | 70,7 | 49480 | 71,4 |

Included with "Other occupations."

Table 3.2
Banks and other deposit-accepting institutions


Table 3.3
Professional business services


Table 3.3 (cont'd)
Professional business services

| Main occupations in industry 864 | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 2143: Civil engineers | 5345 | 11,4 | 10350 | 10,3 |
| 2161: Surveyors | 2735 | 5,8 | 5710 | 5,7 |
| 2163: Draughting occupations | 5575 | 11,9 | 11265 | 11,3 |
| 4111: Secretaries and stenographers | 2990 | 6,4 | 5760 | 5,7 |
| Other | 30288 | 64,5 | 67015 | 66,9 |
| Total | 46966 | 100,0 | 100100 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations | 40030 | 85,2 | 87725 | 87,6 |
| Main occupations in industry 866 | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 2343: Lawyers and notaries | 13645 | 37,3 | 27915 | 39,0 |
| 2349: Occupations in law and jurisprudence, n.e.c. | 2075 | 5,7 | 4420 | 6,2 |
| 4111: Secretaries and stenographers | 14120 | 38,6 | 26440 | 36,9 |
| 4131: Bookkeepers and accounting clerks | 1330 | 3,6 | 3165 | 4,4 |
| Other | 5390 | 14,7 | 9580 | 13,4 |
| Total | 36560 | 100,0 | 71520 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations | 34230 | 93,6 | 68575 | 95,9 |
| Total professional business services W-192 <br> Total employment: | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  | 119901 |  | 240505 |  |
|  | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Information occupations | 108675 | 90,6 | 222300 | 92,4 |

Table 3.4
Other business services industries

| SIC classification | Interindustrial classification (IOA) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 851, 853, 855: <br> Other services to business management | 191: Compu <br> service <br> 194: Miscel <br> service | related <br> business | 142: | business ser ies |  |
| Main occupations in industry 851 |  | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 1174: Personnel \& related | tions | 1020 | 11,9 | 2325 | 11,4 |
| 4111: Secretaries and stenog |  | 1285 | 15,0 | 3005 | 14,7 |
| 4131: Bookkeepers and acco6115: Guards and related se | clerks | N/A | - | 1835 | 8,9 |
|  | occupations | 1165 | 13,6 | 1255 | 6,2 |
| 6115: Guards and related sec Other |  | 5095 | 59,5 | 11995 | 58,8 |
| Total |  | 8565 | 100,0 | 20415 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations |  | 5415 | 63,2 | 13160 | 64,5 |
| Main occupations in industry 853 |  | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 2183: Systems analysts, com \& related occupations | programmers | 1155 | 26,2 | 9890 | 32,9 |
| 4111: Secretaries and stenog |  | 215 | 4,9 | 1115 | 3,7 |
| 4131: Bookkeepers and acco 4143: Electronic data-proces | $g$ clerks | N/A | - | 1015 | 3,4 |
| 4143: Electronic data-proces operators Other | quipment | 865 | 19,6 | 4360 | 14,5 |
|  |  | 2.170 | 49,3 | 13695 | 45,5 |
| Total |  | 4405 | 100,0 | 30.075 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations |  | 3740 | 84,9 | 25720 | 85,5 |

Table 3.4 (cont'd)
Other business services industries

| Main occupations in industry $\mathbf{8 5 5}$ | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| 4111: Secretaries and stenographers | N/A | - | 495 | 1,6 |
| 4131: Bookkeepers and accounting clerks | N/A | - | 420 | 1,3 |
| 6113: Police agents and investigators, private | 710 | 4,8 | 1120 | 3,2 |
| 6115: Guards and related security occupations | 10815 | 73,0 | 23875 | 75,1 |
| 9175: Truck drivers | 315 | 2,1 | 480 | 1,5 |
| Other | 2980 | $\underline{20,1}$ | 5410 | 17,0 |
| Total | 14820 | 100,0 | 31800 | 100,0 |
| Information occupations | 2295 | 15,5 | 4960 | 16,0 |
| 851, 853, 855 | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
| Total employment: | 27790 |  | 82290 |  |
|  | 1971 |  | 1981 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Information occupations | 11450 | 41,2 | 43840 | 53,3 |

Table 3.5
Pulp and Paper Industry

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SIC classification \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Interindustrial classification (IOA) \\
Level W \\
Level L
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 271: Pulp and paper mills \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
66: Pulp industry \\
67: Newsprint industry \\
68: Paperboard, building board \& other paper industries
\end{tabular}} \& 51: \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Pulp \& paper industries} \\
\hline Main occupations in the pulp and paper industry (271) \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1971} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1981} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1986} \\
\hline 8258: Occupations in labouring and other elemental work, pulp and papermaking \& 7390 \& 9,3 \& 11115 \& 11,8 \& 4730 \& 5,6 \\
\hline 8253: Papermaking and finishing occupations \& 6070 \& 7,7 \& 8815 \& 9,4 \& 7610 \& 9,0 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
8259: Pulp and papermaking and related occupations, n.e.c. \\
8284: Other workers
\end{tabular} \& 4730
4265 \& 5,9
5,4 \& 5560
4560 \& 5,9
4,8 \& 10395

4850 \& 12,3
5,6 <br>
\hline 8251: Cellulose pulp preparing occupations \& 4755 \& 5,4
4,7 \& 6515 \& 4,8
6,9 \& 5560 \& 6,6 <br>
\hline Other \& $\underline{26209}$ \& 33,1 \& $\underline{57540}$ \& 61,1 \& $\underline{51240}$ \& 60,7 <br>
\hline Total \& 79190 \& 100,0 \& 94105 \& 100,0 \& 84385 \& 100,0 <br>
\hline Information occupations \& 20505 \& 25,9 \& 26400 \& 28,1 \& 26735 \& 31,7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.6
Motor vehicle industries

| SIC classification | Interindustrial classification (IOA)Level WLevel L |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323: Motor vehicle manufacturers | 100: Motor vehicle industries |  | 76: |  | Motor vehicle industry |  |
| Main occupations in the motor vehicle industry (323) | Number 1971 |  | $1981$ | \% | 1986 |  |
| 8335: Welding and flame cutting occupations | 3715 | 4,3 | 3140 | 3,1 | 20955 | 34,6 |
| 8334: Metalworking-machine operators, n.e.c. | 2695 | 3,1 | 20840 | 20,5 | 2875 | 4,8 |
| 8581: Motor-vehicle mechanics and repairers | 4725 | 5,4 | 4605 | 4,5 | 2365 | 3,9 |
| 8513: Motor-vehicle fabricating and assembling occupations | 14735 | 16,9 | 3330 |  | 2180 | 3,6 |
| 8526: Inspecting and testing occupations, fabricating and assembling metal products, n.e.c. | 3370 | 3,9 | 2150 | 2,1 | 1750 | 2,9 |
| Other | 57775 | 66,4 | 63348 | 61,8 | 30465 | 50,3 |
| Total | 87015 | 100,0 | 102413 | 100,0 | $\underline{60590}$ | 100,0 |
| Information occupations | 20045 | 25,3 | 27115 | 26,5 | 14025 | 23,2 |

Table 3.7
Aircraft and aircraft parts industries


Table 4
Summary

|  | TOTAL EMPLOYMENT |  |  | INFORMATION EMPLOYMENT |  |  | SHARE OF INFO. EMPLOYMENT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1981 | \% | 1971 | 1981 | \% | $\underset{\%}{1971}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{1986}$ |
| SIC 701 <br> Banks and other deposit-accepting establishments $\begin{aligned} & W=184,185,186,187 \\ & L=137,138 \end{aligned}$ | 129550 | 244595 | 88,8 | 114390 | 223365 | 95,3 | 88,3 | 91,3 | 88,4 |
| SIC 543 <br> Radio and television broadcasting $W-175,176 ; L-129$ | 23430 | 41295 | 76,3 | 16570 | 29480 | 77,9 | 70,7 | 71,4 | 81,9 |
| SIC 861 <br> Offices of accountants | 30145 | 56475 | 87,3 | 28595 | 54240 | 89,7 | 94,9 | 96,1 | 98,1 |
| SIC 863 <br> Offices of architects | 6230 | 12410 | 99,2 | 5820 | 11760 | 102,1 | 93,4 | 94,8 | 96,7 |
| SIC 864 <br> Engineering \& scientific services | 46966 | 100100 | 113,1 | 40030 | 87725 | 119,2 | 85,2 | 87,6 | 89,1 |
| SIC 866 <br> Offices of lawyers and notaries | $36560$ | 71520 | 95,6 | 34230 | 68575 | 100,3 | 93,6 | 95,9 | 97,6 |
| TOTAL - Professional business services $W=192$ | 119901 | 240505 | 105,6 | 108675 | 222300 | 104,6 | 90,6 | 92,4 | 94,2 |
| SIC 851 <br> Employment agencies \& personnel suppliers | 8565 | 20415 | 138,4 | 5415 | 13160 | 143,0 | 63,2 | 64,5 | 84,8 |
| SIC 853 <br> Computer services | 4405 | 30075 | 582,7 | 3740 | 25720 | 587,7 | 84,9 | 85,5 | 93,0 |
| SIC 855 Security and investigation services | $14820$ | $31800$ | 114,6 | 2295 | 4960 | 116,1 | 15,5 | 16,0 | 35,4 |
| TOTAL - Computer and related services, miscellaneous business services $W-191,194 ; L-142$ | 27790 | 82290 | 196,1 | 11450 | 43840 | 282,9 | 41,2 | 53,3 | 66,4 |
| SIC 271 <br> Pulp and paper mills $W=66,67,68 ; L-51$ | 79190 | 94105 | 18,8 | 20505 | 26400 | 28,7 | 25,9 | 28,1 | 31,7 |
| SIC 323 <br> Motor vehicle manufacturers W - 100; L- 76 | 87015 | 102413 | 17,7 | 22045 | 27115 | 23,0 | 25,3 | 26,5 | 23,2 |
| SIC 321 <br> Aircraft and aircraft parts manufacturers W-99; L - 76 | 25425 | 37295 | 49,7 | 9870 | 15225 | 54,3 | 38,8 | 40,8 | 46,6 |

## C) Some comments on the results

First of all, it can be seen that in industries with a large information component--the component being defined here as the proportion of information workers compared with total employment in a sector ${ }^{1}$-there was no substantial variation in the proportion represented by information workers between 1971 and 1981. Thus, in the banking and financial institutions sector, the proportion of information workers rose from $88.3 \%$ to $91.3 \%$ over this period. On the other hand, employment in those sectors increased markedly over the same period. This increase is clearly greater than in the labour force, which points to strong growth in economic sectors related to information.

Furthermore, it is important to note that the radio and television broadcasting sector, which typologies generally include in the information sector, actually has a lower information component in labour terms than the banking sector, while that latter sector does not always appear in the information sector in typologies. In short, the information component of production in the banking sector, on the basis of these results, is higher than that of the radio and television broadcasting sector. This stems from the high number of technicians, electricians and other support workers not classified as information workers in the latter sector. Thus this calculation shows that if the information component of a sector is evaluated using the occupational structure of labour, a specific sector cannot automatically be classified as part of a "general information sector."

The information labour component is very high in professional business services sectors (W: 192), and generally exceeds $90 \%$ of the workforce in those sectors. Thus in those cases, these sectors can be considered totally information sectors. When drawing up the information satellite account presented later, the fact that the non-information component of production in these -sectors has been left out is a calculation error that will not have significant effects on the overall results.

[^3]In the goods-producing sectors it can be seen that for the pulp and paper industry, the information component represents approximately $26 \%$ of production. It is somewhat surprising to find that this proportion changed little between 1971 and 1981. Modernization in the pulp and paper sector resulted in a rather substantial decrease (more than $10 \%$ ) in employment between 1981 and 1986, and increased production, and also led to an increase in the proportion of information employment, which rose from $28 \%$ to $31.7 \%$. In this case, technical progress reduced non-information employment and also implies a greater use of information capital, which in turn seems to have brought about a rise in the proportion of information employment.

In the motor vehicle sector, the share of information employment as a proportion of total employment changed little between 1971 and 1981, remaining at about one-quarter. However, modernization in the industry between 1981 and 1986 led to a great upheaval in production structures:
total employment dropped by $40 \%$, while production rose, suggesting considerable productivity growth;
even more remarkably, the share of information employment dropped between 1981 and 1986, meaning that technological progress affected not only traditional labour, but also information workers. This corresponds to the idea expressed by C. Jonscher (1983), who felt that a second wave of technological progress is having an impact on information workers and will result in a reduction of the number of such workers and an increase in their quality in terms of human capital, as well as greater specialization to complement new information equipment.

The last example concerns a non-information industry, but one in the high-technology field, namely the aircraft and aircraft parts industry. First of all, it can be seen that the share of information occupations was already high in 1971, and grew to reach $40.8 \%$ in 1981. Technological progress thus resulted in a rise in the share of information employment. Between 1981 and 1986, modernization in this industry likewise produced a drop in employment. But unlike in the motor vehicle sector, it also brought about an additional increase in the proportion of information employment, to $46.6 \%$. In this case, the rise in productivity reduced the number and proportion of traditional workers. On the other hand, more sophisticated production processes and products sold resulted in a greater proportion of information employment in the total number of jobs.

We use the data in the first step of building the input-output table with an information satellite sector to analyse the penetration by information technologies into various economic sectors and their effects on productivity. It must be clearly understood that this is complementary information that we have decided to present, and not part of the mandate of the study as such. Thus an exhaustive analysis of these data should not be expected. The above-mentioned data-processing constraints also limited the scope of the analysis.

In this section we estimate the extent of penetration by information technologies by comparing the proportion of information workers with total employment in a sector, or the ratio of value added by information workers to total value added. When the share of the value added by information workers largely exceeds that added by employment in general, that is an indication that information workers are more productive than their non-information counterparts. Consequently, in those sectors an increase in the proportion of information workers probably tends to increase productivity. This can generally be achieved through greater use of information capital and more reliance on information technologies. We also calculated productivity by sector, dividing total value added by total employment. This allows us to examine the possible correlation between the use of information technologies and productivity. If sectors that make greater use of information workers generally have higher productivity than those where the share of information employment is lower, this would indicate that reliance on information technologies tends to boost productivity.

It must be stressed, however, that this is a simple test that bears on a single snapshot of industries for 1985. We do not have time series data that would allow us to determine the development over time of the relationship between information workers and productivity. As we saw in the preceding chapter, this relationship may have changed over the years; in particular, the penetration of new technologies into some sectors has been accompanied by a drop in information employment. This is the result of the replacement of a generation of information workers by a new generation, less numerous but more productive, and new information capital. The data that we have do not enable us to examine this development. Furthermore, measuring the penetration of information technologies by means of information employment is obviously an incomplete and imperfect approach. For a more complete analysis, it would be desirable to have other indicators concerning the nature of the equipment and

##  

technology used ${ }^{1}$. In our approach we make no distinctions regarding the composition and nature of information employment. Thus the same proportion of information workers may reflect different levels of technology. In one case, they may be first-generation workers operating with fairly old capital, while in another they may be workers of a new generation, using the most up-to-date capital. We use the share of the value added attributable to information workers in an attempt to take this phenomenon into account. The results that we present generally give valid indications of the penetration and impact of information technologies. However, those indications are incomplete, particularly when the analysis is carried to a less aggregated level. The use of complementary information is then desirable.

### 3.1 The relationship between information employment and productivity

The information component of production was calculated for the 154 industries at level L of the input-output table. We present the results in graphic form, showing "information employment over total employment" ( $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N}$ ) and "information wages over total wages" ( $\mathrm{Wi} / \mathrm{W}$ ) for the 154 industries (which, because of our method of estimating value added, represents the proportion of the value added by information workers in an industry), and productivity defined as total value added divided by total employment (GDP/N). The industry numbers are shown on the horizontal axis, the information employment/total employment and information value added/total value added ratios on the left-hand axis, with productivity on the right-hand vertical axis. The complete results are given in table form in Appendix III, along with the detailed list of industries.

Note that in many industries, a higher ratio of information employment to total employment is accompanied by greater-than-average productivity. In fact, the correlation coefficient between these two variables is on the order of 0.65 . We consider here that reliance on information technologies, as measured by the proportion of information employment to total employment, affects productivity when the proportion of value added attributable to information workers in an industry exceeds the average of an activity sector and the productivity of that industry also exceeds the average in the sector. Obviously, it should be stressed here that the existence of

[^4]a positive correlation between the proportion of information employment and productivity is only one possible factor in explaining the level of productivity. All the other usual factors (management style, labour relations, etc.) that also affect productivity are not taken into account in our measurements. We have grouped the sectors into three major activity sectors:
resources, raw materials and energy;
semi-processed and processed products (this sector is more or less equivalent to the manufacturing sector);
services.

The relationship between information employment and productivity is particularly evident in the resources and energy sector. This is a capital-intensive sector dominated by very large companies, where the use of information technologies makes a major contribution to increased productivity. Those industries include:

10 Coal mines
11 Petroleum, natural gas
91 Cement industry
96 Refined petroleum
97 Chemical and chemical products

## 103 Industrial chemicals

127 Pipeline transport
132 Electric power systems
133 Gas distribution systems
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These are industries dominated by very large companies, where information technologies are prevalent throughout the companies' operations. There are also primary commodities manufactured on a large scale, where information capital is particularly productive. It should be noted here that many of the industries are related to the energy sector. Gas pipeline transport, for instance, is an industry where information technologies play a preponderant role in the production process; nearly $60 \%$ of employees are information workers, and productivity is very high.

In the manufacturing sector, there is also a link between information workers and productivity, albeit a less systematic one. The penetration of information technologies varies (Statistics Canada, 1987) in the manufacturing sector, and even within a given industry the use of these technologies may differ considerably from one company to another. The use of information technologies is more generalized in large firms, and affects many of the company's operations. Among small and medium-sized companies, the use of information technologies may vary significantly from one company to another and, where small companies are concerned, tends to be limited to fairly simple operations. Moreover, the data at our disposal are industrial average, where the variance may be fairly high. We can expect to see a more obvious link between the proportion of information workers and productivity in sectors dominated by large companies. That is the case, as we have seen, in the transportation equipment sectors. In the manufacturing sector, the link between productivity and the proportion of information workers is noticeable in the following industries:

63

40 Carpet, mat and rug industry 66
47 Other wood industries
50 Other furniture \& fixture ind.
52 Asphalt roofing industry
58 Steel pipe \& tube industry 84
60 Non-ferrous smelting \& refining ind.
Other metal rolling, casting, etc.66737478 85848586

Stamped, pressed and coated metals Commercial refrigeration equipment Other machinery \& equipment ind. Motor vehicle parts \& accessories Record players, radio \& TV receivers Electronic equipment industries Office, store \& business machines

This list includes producers of partially processed goods, such as metals or other raw materials. Those industries are generally dominated by large companies, and the use of information
technologies augments their productivity. The other group of industries produces high information-content processed goods. That is the case, in particular, for industries 84 to 86 , which constitute the "infrastructure sectors" of the information economy. Productivity is quite high in those industries, and information technologies play a major role. In those sectors, the size of companies is not as important a factor as in the raw materials sectors for evaluating the penetration of information technologies and their impact on productivity. In sectors producing goods with a high information content, there are medium-sized companies where the use of information technologies makes it possible to obtain high productivity without having to depend on major economies of scale. Information technologies make it possible to use more flexible manufacturing processes, perform very exacting work (through robotics and other, similar, techniques), exercise better product quality control and so on.

In the service sector, the link between information workers and productivity is less significant. Information technologies have only just begun to penetrate a number of industries, and productivity gains have yet to come. In other industries, there may be many information workers but they are still involved in fairly simple tasks related to data processing. Those workers are not equipped with highly sophisticated information capital, and lack highly developed skills in the use of telematics. That is the case, for example, in the banking and retail sales sectors, where the many information workers handle basic data-processing tasks. But the quick-paced development of information technologies suggests that those sectors will experience an increase in their productivity in the near future; already some of them are posting higher-than-average productivity levels. The link between productivity and the proportion of information workers is strongest in the following service industries:

## 118 Air transport

130 Telecommunication carriers
138 Trust, other finance and real estate
147 Other health services

For trust companies, where productivity is four times greater than in the banking sector, it can be assumed that more extensive use of information technologies has led to appreciable productivity gains. The banking sector may benefit from such gains in future, in the wake of
the experience of other financial industries. However, the high number of bank branches hampers increased productivity (see III.4). Finally, generally speaking, it can be seen that there is only a limited number of industries where a higher than average proportion of information workers leads to greater than average productivity.

Judging from the above summary results, it appears that the service sector has yet to benefit from the penetration of information technologies, in terms of productivity measured by the value added per job.

### 3.2 Some results from statistical tests

Using cross-sectional data of the 154 industries in the input-output table, we first calculated a simple production function linking production to information employment, non-information employment, and capital. The objective was not to empirically test production functions, but rather to gain additional indications of the productivity of information workers. ${ }^{1}$ The data on capital per industry were provided by the Input-Output Division of Statistics Canada. We specified a Cobb-Douglas type production function, which in its logarithmic form is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \mathrm{GDP}=\mathrm{B}_{0} & +\mathrm{B}_{1} \log \mathrm{NI}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \log \mathrm{NNI} \\
& +\mathrm{B}_{3} \log K+u \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
GDP = value added or production
NI = information employment
NNI = non-information employment
K = capital

[^5]We lack the data necessary to perform a distribution between information and non-information capital. The only distinction that the Input-Output Division of Statistics Canada makes in this regard is limited to non-residential construction and machinery and equipment.

New definitions are necessary in the investigation into investments to obtain data on information capital. Moreover, the estimate involves some bias, since it was impossible to separate information capital from the conventional variety.

The cross-sectional results for 1985 are as follows (tests, " t ", appear in parentheses):

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \mathrm{GDP}= & 7.51+0.38 \log \mathrm{NI}+0.24 \log \mathrm{NNI} \\
& (17.9)(9.6)  \tag{6.2}\\
& +0.35 \mathrm{~K} \\
& (13.1) \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=93.3
\end{align*}
$$

All estimated coefficients are significant. The elasticity of production using information workers is thus 0.38 , and 0.24 for non-information workers. This reflects the higher value added by information workers as compared with non-information workers. An increase of $1 \%$ in information workers in the economy increases production by more than a $1 \%$ increase in noninformation jobs.

The second test dealt with the link between the proportion of information employment in relation to non-information jobs and productivity. We calculated, for the resources, raw materials and energy sector, the manufacturing sector and the service sector, the following relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \frac{G D P}{N}}{N}=B_{0}+B 1 \log \frac{\mathrm{NI}}{\mathrm{NNI}}+\mathrm{u} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results confirm our analysis from the previous section. For the resources sector, this regression gives good results (the coefficients are significant):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \underline{\mathrm{GDP}}= 7.7+1.1 \log \mathrm{NI} \\
& \mathrm{~N}(7.2)(3.2) \mathrm{NNI} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

An increase in information employment in relation to conventional employment boosts productivity significantly.

For the manufacturing sector, the variance in results is greater and the regression gives statistically weaker results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \frac{\mathrm{GDP}}{\mathrm{~N}}=9.2+0.4 \log \mathrm{NI} \\
&(22.2)(3.2) \mathrm{NNI} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.12
\end{align*}
$$

An increase in the proportion of information employment in the manufacturing sector has a much smaller effect on productivity than in the resources sector; furthermore, the relationship is not as close as in the resources sector. That is what we observed in our qualitative analysis of the data. This result was attributed to the widely varying sizes of companies in this sector and the unequal penetration of information technologies.

This relationship is no more statistically significant for the service sector. Once again, this confirms our study of data in the previous section.

Finally, we ran a regression where the total productivity of labour (GDP/N) is broken down into its information (GDP $/ \mathrm{NI}$ ) and non-information (GDP $/ \mathrm{NNI}$ ) components. This is a statistical breakdown of total productivity to confirm the results described above, which suggest that the value added attributable to information workers is, on average, higher than that attributable to conventional workers. The results are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\log (\mathrm{GDP} / \mathrm{N})= & 0.27+0.6 \log \underline{\mathrm{GDPI}}+ \\
(1.37)(14.2) \mathrm{NI} \\
& 0.38 \log \underline{\mathrm{GDPNI}}  \tag{3}\\
& (10.7) \mathrm{NNI} \\
\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.96
\end{array}
$$

As expected, the sum of the elasticities is approximately equal to one, and that applying to GDPI/NI is much higher than that applying to GDPNI/NNI.

### 3.3 Intersectoral analysis of some high-information-content industries

In the first chapter we noted that our approach to the information economy was to measure the information content of the production of each sector, rather than identify information industries by the type of goods and services they produce. Appendix III shows the information content of each of the 154 industries at level $L$ in the input-output table. Using the method described in the first chapter, we determined the value added by industry attributable to information workers, and this value added as a proportion of the total value added of the industry represents the information content of the production of that industry.

In that connection we note that the activities described as "information industries" on the basis of the nature of their production generally have a very high information content, as measured by the value added by information workers, in many cases exceeding $90 \%$. There are some exceptions, such as the telecommunication carrier industry, where the information content is high ( $68 \%$ ), but lower than that of other information industries. This specific case clearly illustrates the difference between our approach and that based on the nature of the services produced. This industry would be classified as an information industry, on the basis of its type of activity. With a sectoral approach, in fact, the entire production of industry 130 is information. But a considerable part of the value added of this industry, $30 \%$, is not really information, if our approach is applied. A number of workers in the industry are not considered information workers, and perform tasks associated with the handling of physical objects. This industry in fact includes professions such as installer, repair technician,
electrician, and so on, whose main task is to handle physical goods. Thus we estimate, using our method, that about $70 \%$ of the production of industry 130 is information.

We have chosen a number of industries that, on the basis of the nature of their activities, are considered information industries, so as to analyse their relationships with other industries in the economy. They are as follows:

## Industries

55 Printing and publishing
129 Telecommunications broadcasting
130 Telecommunication carriers
137 Banks, credit unions
138 Trust, other finance
143 Professional business services

$$
144 \text { Advertising services }^{2} \quad 97.0 \%
$$

## Information content ${ }^{1}$

Value added by information workers as a percentage of total value added.
2 At level L of the input-output table, this industry is included with sector 143 (professional business services) for reasons of confidentiality.

The input-output table allows us to determine, for an industry, the extent of purchases of inputs from other industries in the economy, and the destination of its production. The production of an industry may be used as input for other industries, or to meet final demand.

Final demand may be broken down into two major categories: domestic demand (consumption, investments and governments) and exports. The results of this analysis appear in Table 5.
compatible among institutions. This results in lost economies of scale in terms of the performance of computer systems, and makes transactions among institutions more costly.

Another interesting hypothesis explaining information technologies' lack of impact on productivity in the service sector relates to the effects of competition: a company may use telematics as a strategy (particularly for advertising purposes) to increase its share of sales on a market. In that case, technology leads to a redistribution of sales among companies in an industry, but does not contribute directly to increasing those companies' productivity. In the resources, energy and manufacturing sector, new technologies are generally more closely related to production processes and thus would have a more immediate effect on productivity.

Another, more familiar, hypothesis concerns the accumulation of information brought about by telematics, without a corresponding increase in the ability to use that information; this phenomenon affects the service sector in particular, where there is a large bureaucracy that produces and accumulates information. Finally, in the service sector, but also in other industries, information technologies may improve working conditions with no formal impact on the productivity measured. Productivity gains are then "consumed" by workers in the form of better working conditions. For example, one has only to think of office automation as compared with working conditions of ten or fifteen years ago. These technologies may lead to formal productivity gains, but a substantial proportion of the new technologies may simplify work and make it more pleasant or less stressful for employees; this could indirectly increase their productivity, since they would be prepared to work harder in return for better conditions. But this effect would not apply to the new generations of information workers, who consider the working conditions stemming from the new technologies "normal." All in all, it is probable that a considerable proportion of the gains brought about by new technologies is consumed by workers, and so cannot be measured with the data generally used to quantify productivity.

In the service sector, appreciable productivity gains have been recorded in the United States in a few specific industries--in fact, those in which we found a link between information jobs and productivity. They are the telecommunications industries, where there have been major investments in information technologies.

## Table 5

High information-content industries: inputs and production

| Industries | Inputs: purchases of goods and services (1) | Value added(2) | Gross production$(1)+(2)$ | Use of gross production Total: 100\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Intermed. demand | Final d domestic (1) | demand exports (2) | Final demand total $1+2$ |
| 55 Printing and publishing | 49,1\% | 50,9\% | 100\% | 79,0\% | 14,9\% | 6,1\% | 21,0\% |
| 129 Telecommunications broadcasting | 54,9\% | 45,1\% | 100\% | 66,4\% | 31,9\% | 1,7\% | 33,6\% |
| 130 Telecommunication carriers | 15,7\% | 84,3\% | 100\% | 52,5\% | 43,8\% | 3,7\% | 47,5\% |
| 137 Banks, credit unions and other | 25,8\% | 74,2\% | 100\% | 46,4\% | 53,4\% | 0,2\% | 53,6\% |
| 138 Trust, other finance | 34,1\% | 65,9\% | 100\% | 44,2\% | 55,0\% | 0,8\% | 55,8\% |
| 143 Professional business services | 21,7\% | 78,3\% | 100\% | 82,7\% | 3,7\% | 13,6\% | 17,3\% |
| $144 \begin{gathered}\text { Advertising } \\ \text { services }\end{gathered}$ | 32,8\% | 67,2\% | 100\% | N/A |  |  |  |

We note, first of all, that information industries in Canada, with the exception of business services, export very little. For the latter, approximately $14 \%$ of production is exported. The purchase of inputs varies considerably from one sector to another, and reflects the nature of the industry. In printing and publishing, nearly $50 \%$ of gross production consists of inputs. The purchase of paper, ink and other similar supplies is important for this industry. At the other extreme, the business services industry purchases relatively few inputs ( $22 \%$ of gross production), with production made up mostly of intellectual services produced by professionals from all disciplines.

If banking and financial services are excluded, it can be seen that a very large proportion of information production is sold to other companies as input. In the printing sector $79 \%$ of production goes to meet intermediate demand and, for business services, this proportion rises to nearly $83 \%$. The production of information is thus widely used in the economy as an intermediate input.

### 3.4 Comparison with the U.S. economy and hypotheses for explaining differences in behaviour between economic sectors

The results we have presented in this and the previous chapters bear some similarity to the findings of certain studies conducted in the United States.

A recent study ${ }^{1}$ using time series data noted that in the United States there was some acceleration in productivity growth between 1979 and 1987, at a time when the use of information technology was expanding. But this acceleration was very unequally distributed among the sectors. As in Canada, penetration was uneven on a sectoral basis, and its impact also varied substantially from one industry to another. There were considerable productivity gains in sectors comparable with those identified in the previous chapter for Canada. In those sectors, there was a second wave in the telematics revolution. Those industries, such as machinery or transport equipment production, are in the manufacturing sector.

The study noted a slowdown in productivity in various industries in the U.S. service sector. This result is compatible, in general terms, with our observations. The learning and penetration period for new technologies in the service sector is not over. In the banking and finance sector, some more-specific factors may explain the stagnating productivity. For instance, with strong competition among branches, banks forego economies of scale by serving multiple points of sale, while in sectors such as resources and energy, information technologies have accentuated the productivity gains associated with economies of scale. Moreover, computer systems have been developed that are specific to a given financial institution, rather than

[^6]Moreover, it can be seen in both Canada and the United States that information production in a sector such as business services is used mainly as input for other companies. One factor that explains these exchanges of information services in the economy is the fact that many firms lease rather than buy computer equipment, a process that includes the sale of professional services to help use telematics equipment, develop computer systems and so on. However, the growth of microcomputers is changing this situation, and many companies are buying their computer equipment because of its low cost and assigning their own employees to handle the user-friendly equipment. In fact, we saw that many industries in all sectors of the economy produce a large information value added even if their main activities have nothing to do with information. But although companies produce more information with microcomputers, the fact remains that the input-output data show that a large volume of information services is being exchanged, as inputs, among industries.

### 4.1 General presentation

The purpose of this step is to create an information industry in the input-output table, one that conducts transactions with other industries. In this way we wish to determine the importance of information as an economic commodity, how it circulates in the economy, and its impact on other activity sectors when the production of information varies.

The economy's production of information or, in other words, the value added by the information sector, consists of the sum of the value added associated with the production of information in every industry in the economy. For a specific industry, information production is estimated using the method explained in the first chapter. We will briefly summarize that method: through a classification of information occupations, we determine information employment by industry. Then, using data on wages by occupation, we determine total wages. This is then used to determine the proportion of value added produced by information workers, which represents the production of information by industry.

The production or value added by the information industry that we are adding as an industry in the input-output table thus comprises the sum of all value added corresponding to the production of information in each of the industries of the economy. In this way we create what is referred to as a "fictive" information industry. This industry is not to be found as such in the economy, since its production corresponds to the production of information by each industry in the economy. In that sense, it is "fictive". However, the production attributed to the information industry is real, and thus there is a transfer of value added in the input-output table; each industry's production is reduced by an amount corresponding to its information production, and this production is shifted to the information industry. There is a rearrangement of production in the interindustrial model, but the overall value added in the economy remains unchanged.

An example using a specific industry will illustrate this transfer. The production of information in the motor vehicle industry represents $32.5 \%$ of the entire production of that sector. The value added attributable to the motor vehicle industry represents $67.5 \%$ of the value added shown in the input-output table, prior to the addition of an information sector. In the table including the information industry, the balance of $32.5 \%$, representing the production of
information by the motor vehicle industry, is moved to the fictive information industry. The motor vehicle industry, in this new intersectoral model, will purchase information it needs for its activities from the fictive information industry. The amount purchased is equal to $32.5 \%$ of the initial value added. Thus each industry's production will be reduced by an amount equal to its information production, and that production will be purchased from the new information industry.

Once the value added by the new information industry has been determined, the next step is to develop a method to establish the input structure of that industry. These inputs will consist of purchases of goods and services from other industries in the economy. In the end, the goal is to devise a production function for this fictive information industry. These purchases of inputs will constitute the intersectoral link between the production of information and the other industries in the economy.

When the estimate of the purchases of inputs by the information industry is completed, we will then have a complete interindustrial model with an information sector, and can carry out various simulations using shocks on final demand. We can examine the information content of our exports, or analyse the implications of an increase in some of our exports resulting from a Canadian strategy on the international market.

### 4.2 Estimating production functions for the information sector

## A) General principle

Production functions were estimated on the basis of inputs used by information workers. We identified those inputs in two ways: first, we examined the list of commodities in the inputoutput table (a total of 602 inputs used by all industries) and selected those used almost solely by information workers. Second, for industries where information workers represent almost $100 \%$ of occupations, we selected all the inputs for that industry. These lists of inputs then become inputs for the fictive information industry. The value of the inputs selected is then divided by wages to determine the coefficients of inputs by labour cost for various
information occupations. We in fact used the average by information occupation of input coefficients by labour cost for all industries. Accordingly, the use of inputs by an information occupation in the fictive information industry will correspond to that obtained with the average coefficients by labour cost.

## B) The abridged list of occupations

In Chapter 2 we found that 251 out of 497 occupations are information occupations. Determining the production functions for 251 occupations would have involved extensive calculations, and the overall results would have been difficult to comprehend. Accordingly, to make this step feasible and simplify the calculations, we grouped the information occupations together, to arrive at an abridged list of 75 information occupations. The lexicon of those occupations appears in table form in appendix IV.

Two criteria were used in preparing the abridged list:

- the similarity of information occupations;
- but also the nature of the inputs associated with an information occupation in a given industry.

Thus, as an example, the occupations of bookkeepers and bookkeeper supervisors were placed in a single occupational group, since the two have similar functions and tasks. The tasks of a trade such as a foreman/woman may be similar from one sector to another (supervision, transmitting information, management, etc.), but the inputs in industries in which they are employed may vary considerably from one to the next, depending on production processes. Grouping all foremen/women into a single occupational group would result in the loss of many details relating to the use of inputs. Consequently, in such cases, we preferred to make a distinction among different categories of foremen/women. This approach allowed us to reduce the number of information occupations from 251 to 75 , which we will refer to as occupation groups.

## C) Determining inputs for each information occupation, by industry

To determine the input vector for the information industry, we examined the 602 goods used as inputs by the 161 industries. Those data constitute the USE matrix of the input-output table.

On the basis of our classification of 75 information occupation groups, we identified a vector for goods used mainly by information workers (office supplies, communication equipment, etc.). The list of goods selected is as follows: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 543,544,545,552,554,555,556 \\
& 561,564,566,567,572,573 \\
& 575,576,581,584,585,586 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This series of inputs is selected for each industry, and identified in the text hereafter with the notation []. This vector can be used to calculate the use coefficients of these inputs, by industry, in relation to the wages of information workers. In the fictive information industry, by grouping together all information workers, we end up using an average of the use coefficients of these inputs.

This identification of inputs has the benefit of specifying the production function of those information workers whom we know do not use only inputs specific to information workers. For example, foremen/women, sorters and plant operation auditors are considered information workers, although not all their activities are related only to information. But the inputs that we have identified concern only that part of their activities related to information. The other inputs they may use are not then considered inputs for the information industry.

On the other hand, in industries where information workers represent nearly $100 \%$ of occupations, we then select all the inputs. This is the case, in particular, for the banking sector. Furthermore, those industries allow us to establish use coefficients for inputs that cannot be directly attributed to information workers in certain sectors. That is the case for the following inputs:

[^7]> 539. Taxicab transportation
> 546 - Electric power
> 549 - Water and other utilities
> 551 - Repair service
> 562 - Hospital services
> ()$=563-$ Health services
> 565 - Other recreational services
> 574 - Services to bldgs. \& dwellings
> 577 - Rental of automobiles \& trucks

This list will be identified hereafter with the notation (). Thus electricity or any other input appearing in () will be used by information workers in a given industry in the same proportion as that observed in the banking sector, where the information production is equivalent to nearly $100 \%$ of the value added by the industry.

For industries in which all inputs have been selected, the value of those inputs divided by the wages of information workers allows us to determine the use coefficients of inputs by labour cost.

Finally, aside from these more general cases, we identified, industry by industry, more specific inputs related to the particular nature of the work of various information occupations. To identify those inputs, we also considered the relative importance of those occupations in the total of information occupations in an industry. In the summary table that follows, we have shown the specific inputs by industry and the particular occupations with which we have associated those inputs. An example of such inputs would be scientific measuring devices used by engineers in an industry where there are laboratories and research is conducted.

The detailed calculation of input coefficients by labour cost is done as follows. We first divide the inputs in the [ ] and () groups by the labour costs of the 75 information occupations for each industry (all 75 categories are not usually to be found in a given industry, but rather a sub-set of those occupations). The average input coefficients by category of information occupation are obtained by calculating an average for all the industries. Thus the use of inputs
by an information occupation in the fictive information industry will correspond to that obtained using average coefficients by labour cost.

The complete inputs to be found in the few industries where all inputs have been selected are divided by the labour costs of the various information occupation categories of the industries in question. This time we obtain average coefficients for the industry for which we have decided to select all inputs. ${ }^{1}$ The use of these inputs by the 75 categories of information occupations in the fictive information industry corresponds in this case to their use in the industries for which all inputs were selected.

Finally, the other specific inputs are divided by the labour costs of the specifically identified occupations. The average coefficients of inputs by category of information occupation are obtained by taking the average of the coefficients for the entire set of industries. But in this case, "the entire set of industries" may amount to a single industry, owing to the specific nature of inputs and occupations.

The result of this detailed work to specify the production functions of the fictive information industry is summarized in the following table. This table represents the essential step that allowed us to create the satellite information sector in the input-output table. It may be interpreted as a three-dimensional matrix: industries, occupations and inputs.

[^8]Table 6
Summary table of links between the fictive information industry and other industries in the economy

| INDUSTRIES |  | GENERAL INPUTS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPECIFIC } \\ & \text { INPUTS } \end{aligned}$ | INFORMATION OCCUPATIONS ASSOCLATED WITH SPECIFIC INPUTS ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ \mathbf{W} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{L}{\text { Level }}$ | information workers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in industries } \\ & \text { i84-186-187-188 (W) } \\ & \text { or } 137-139 \text { (L) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1-71 | 1-54 | [ ] | () | -" | -- |
| 72-75 | 55-56 | All inputs | luding [ ] and () | -- | -- |
| 76-116 | 57-84 | [] | () |  |  |
| 117-121 | 85-86 | [] | () | $\begin{aligned} & 329,357,358, \\ & 359,360,497, \\ & 498 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|r} 122-136 \\ 138 \end{array}$ | 87-98 | [ ] | () | -- |  |
| 139-140 | 99-100 | [] | () | 5,15,63,488 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 141-144 \\ 137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101-103 \\ 108 \end{array}$ | [ ] | () | - |  |
| 145 | 108 | [] | () | 499,500,502 | 2165,2183,4143 |
| 146-148 | 104-105 | [] | () | - |  |
| 149 | 106 | [] | () | $\begin{aligned} & 135,231,241, \\ & 264,367,409, \\ & 515 \end{aligned}$ | 3113,3114 |
| 150 | 107 | [] | () | - | - |
| 151-152 | 108 | [] | () | $\begin{aligned} & 231,305,362, \\ & 404 \end{aligned}$ | 2165,2183,4143 |
| 153-174 | 109-128 | [ ] | () | - | - |
| 175-176 | 129 | [ ] | () | $\begin{aligned} & 357,362,503, \\ & 520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2183,3315,3330, } \\ & 337,3339,3351 \end{aligned}$ |
| 177 | 130 | [ ] | () | 358,359,370 | 2144,2183 |

[^9]Table 6 (cont'd)
Summary table of links between the fictive information industry and other industries in the economy


[^10]
## 

### 4.3 Presentation of the fictive information sector in the input-output table

## A) Relationship with the input-output table

The fictive information sector is handled in the same way as the other fictive sectors in the input-output model. ${ }^{1}$ All industries that need information to produce goods and services purchase the composite information product, product 587, for use as an input. To produce product 587 , the input structure was designed using the method described in the previous section.

Accordingly, to calculate the elements of the input structure for the fictive information industry, we use the breakdown of employment by occupation and industry (matrix E) and the breakdown of inputs associated with an occupation by employee (matrix P). The product PE gives us the inputs used by the information industry. Those inputs are subtracted from non-information industries, and hence added to the fictive information sector. The intermediate and primary use matrices ( Y and U , respectively) are thus divided into two sectors: the non-information industries sector (NI) and the information industries sector (I):

```
\GammaU ᄀ = r UNI: UI ᄀ
LY 」 L YNI: YI 」
```

Two important observations on the structure of the information and non-information sectors should be made at this point:

- First of all, after the production of information and the associated input structure are removed from the various industries in the economy, the intermediate (YNI) and primary (UNI) use matrices still have the same matrix dimensions. All non-information industries continue to produce the same goods and services and purchase their information from the new fictive sector.

[^11]- To produce its unique composite product, the satellite information sector has a technology that combines all the technologies used in all the industries of the economy that produce information. This is in fact a combination that is weighted by the information employment in each industry. In short, the information sector does not have a specific technology, but rather reflects the weighted value of the various technologies at the disposal of the entire economy for producing information. In this respect, a technological change in an industry affecting the production or use of information will affect the information sector in a proportion equivalent to the ratio of employment in this industry to total information employment in the economy.

Furthermore, to balance purchases and sales in the input-output table, the inputs subtracted from non-information industries are replaced by a fictive intermediate product, composite product $587\left(\mathrm{~B}_{587}\right)$, or the "information" product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{587}=1^{\prime} \mathrm{PE} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 is the summation vector and PE constitutes the structure of inputs for the information sector.

In terms of value added, equilibrium is assured by the transfer of value added toward the fictive information sector in a proportion equal to the wages of information workers as a proportion of total wages. The total value added in the input-output table remains equal to the value added in the non-information sector and the value added of the fictive information sector.

## B) Quantified results

To check the consistency of our calculations and ensure that the information sector functions properly, we conducted a first simulation, consisting of a purchase of $\$ 10$ million of composite product 587.

The simulation of a purchase of $\$ 10$ million of the information product allows us to evaluate, in terms of value added and employment, the direct effects on the information sector and also the indirect effects stemming from the interaction between the information sector and other industries in the economy. In fact, it is possible, in terms of employment, to obtain the direct employment matrix by occupation corresponding to the demand for an information product. The occupation/industry employment matrix can also be obtained, which shows what direct and indirect use (i.e., taking account of the interaction between activity sectors) had to be made of a given information occupation to satisfy the demand for the information product by other industries. This is the presentation format that we will be using to analyse the results of the simulations presented in the following chapter.

For a demand of $\$ 10$ million of the composite information product, we obtain the main results below:

## 1. Production and expenditures

a) Information sector

| 1) | Value added (at factor cost) | $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2) | $\$ 7.9$ million |  |
| Purchases of inputs | $=$ | $\$ 3.2$ million |

b) Non-information sectors
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1) } & \text { Value added (at factor cost) } & = \\ \text { Purchases of inputs } & = & \$ 1.2 \text { million } \\ 2) & \$ 0.5 \text { million }\end{array}$
c) Total value added at market prices:
a)1)+b)2)+net taxes
$=\quad \$ 9.5$ million
Total transactions
$=$
Total imports
2. Employment
a) Information sector =
b) Non-information sectors =

Total employment 302
$=\quad \underline{42}$
3. Productivity (value added/employment)

Direct and indirect effects
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a) Information sector } & = & \$ 30,385.00 \\ \text { b) } & = & \$ 28,571.00\end{array}$
b) Non-information sectors
$=$

It should be noted, first of all, that the results are consistent and match our expectations. The solution resulting from a demand shock of $\$ 10$ million on the information sector agreed, with negligible discrepancies, with the accounting equations of the input-output table, making it possible to verify the consistency of the solution. The satellite information account thus functions satisfactorily, and allows us to calculate various impacts relating to the input-output table.

The main conclusions to be drawn from the results are as follows:

- Information production is integrated into all the various economic sectors, since inputs of more than $\$ 3$ million are purchased to meet a demand of $\$ 10$ million. Out of 302 jobs created, approximately $15 \%$ are mainly in the sectors supplying inputs for the information sector.
- Information production involves very few imports, since out of total transactions of about $\$ 13$ million, only $\$ 0.4$ million represents imports. The increase in the production of information stimulates mostly production and employment in Canada, since the import coefficient is low. But it should be stressed that here we are dealing with current production of information, rather than investments in information technology. If we were looking at investments in these technologies, the results would certainly be different, since we know, in particular, that the proportion of imports in investments in machinery and equipment for Canadian companies is high. We also know that Canada is an importer of information-producing equipment, such as computers and other scientific devices. But once this equipment has been installed in Canada, economic agents here can produce information with a minimum of imports.
- Information workers, according to the results already analysed, are generally more productive than non-information workers. The results show that in this case information workers have $6.5 \%$ greater productivity than non-information workers. But this result conceals much greater discrepancies for certain occupations. The presence of a sizeable information bureaucracy in the information sector, which makes relatively basic use of information, particularly in the service industries, reduces average productivity in the sector.


##  SMMEIMML Aल OMMI

### 5.1 Presentation of simulations

To illustrate the operation of the information sector in the economy, and to show the role of information in the Canadian economy as both an input and an end-use product by consumers, companies, governments and non-residents, we conducted various simulations using the inputoutput table with a complete information sector. Each simulation corresponds to a shock on a final demand factor. The shocks fall into four categories:

- a variation in the demand for exports;
- a variation in the demand for consumer goods;
- a variation in the demand by companies for investments in construction, machinery and equipment;
- a variation in the demand by governments.

Part of the results is presented in the form of value added stemming from the production of information necessary to meet the needs of various non-information industries. The results have been aggregated for the entire information sector, and are broken down by user industry. The model also allows us to obtain a breakdown of employment by information occupation (the 75 groups from our occupation lexicon). The results of activities in the information sector have been reproduced in the tables in Appendix VI in the form of direct and indirect jobs by occupation, which are broken down by industry using the information product. Thus, for example, employment in occupation $i$ for industry $j$ shows what employment is necessary in the information sector for occupation $i$ to be able to meet the information needs of industry $j$. The production needs of industry $j$ are determined by the shock on the final demand. We have not shown all the jobs by occupation, since that would require 75 lines in each table. Instead, we show employment in the main occupations and group the other jobs under "other occupations". In addition, the results in terms of industries have been aggregated to give a total of 13 overall industries, since it would be near-impossible to analyse the overall results for the 154 industries
in the input-output table. ${ }^{1}$ The grouping of industries in the most aggregated version of the input-output table is as follows:

| Number | Industry definition |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 1 | Agriculture \& related industries |
| 2 | Fishing, trapping and other industries |
| 3 | Logging, forestry and related industries |
| 4 | Mining, quarrying \& oil wells |
| 5 | Manufacturing |
| 6 | Construction |
| 7 | Transportation \& storage |
| 8 | Communication |
| 9 | Public utilities |
| 10 | Wholesale trade |
| 11 | Retail trade |
| 12 | Finance, insurance \& real estate |
| 13 | Business and personal services |

Thus the figures shown on the line for the users of the information product refer to the industries defined above. The figures indicating occupations, in columns, refer to the minilexicon of combined occupations. In the last column of the table, we have given the structure of employment in information occupations necessary to meet the shock on final demand, in percentage form. We have also shown the non-information employment required, and have determined the distribution between information and non-information jobs.

Finally, the last lines in the tables show the value added associated with the jobs. We have aggregated the value added by information workers, which is broken down by industry using the information product. The value added is a measurement of the production of information in the economy, and we can see what industries use that production. The non-information

[^12]value added is also shown, as well as the total value added. In addition, a percentage distribution between information production and non-information production appears in the table.

### 5.2 Presentation and analysis of the simulations

In this section we will show the results of 15 simulations. We were obliged to restrict the number of simulations, owing to insufficient resources. Since the model is now operational, we can use the results presented here to devise many other simulations responding to various problem situations. One of the objectives of these simulations is to illustrate the operation of the input-output model including a satellite information sector. Another goal is to examine the information production component necessary to satisfy various aspects of final demand by economic agents in Canada and abroad. Finally, the simulations allow us to identify the main industries that consume information and the main information occupations involved in the production created by a shock on final demand.

## A) Exports

We chose six groups of export products and exported services, which we feel give a relatively representative range of the various categories of export products. It must be stressed, however, that transportation equipment and aeronautics were excluded, since those sectors were examined in greater detail in the second chapter. The groups of export products are as follows:

- raw materials and semi-processed products;
- textiles, clothing, other related products and food (non-durable and semi-durable goods);
- metal products;
- machinery, equipment and other related products;
- petrochemical and chemical products;
- communications equipment, scientific devices and related products.


## Raw materials

Canada remains a major exporter of raw materials. We have seen that in those sectors, the use of information technologies is associated with high productivity. The data from simulation No. 1 confirm this result. Exporting raw materials calls for many information workers. In fact, $38 \%$ of jobs created directly and indirectly by exports are information workers. It should be noted here that the business services sector is a major user of the information product. This sector acts as a supplier of services to sectors producing raw materials.

The information component represents approximately $25 \%$ of the initial expenditure. We then find that the total value added to the cost of the factors is approximately $\$ 7$ million, if direct and indirect effects are taken into account. The information component of this value added is $51 \%$; this result can be explained by the fact that information workers have high productivity in this case, since $30 \%$ of workers are responsible for $51 \%$ of the value added. The information component of exports of raw materials from Canada is high. In such a competitive market it can be assumed that a high information component in these products gives an exporting country a competitive advantage.

## Durable and non-durable goods

The composition of information employment differs considerably from that in the previous case, but the proportion of such workers in total employment remains fairly high, at nearly $42 \%$. Since imports represent more than $30 \%$ of the initial expenditure, total production at factor cost in this case reaches $\$ 6.3$ million. Canada imports a large part of its inputs in sectors such as clothing and related products. The productivity of information workers is slightly higher than that of other workers, with the result that the information content of value added reaches $45 \%$. Although information technologies do not have as important an effect on productivity as in the previous case, the fact remains that the information component of these exports is high.

## Metal products

Once again, there is a change in the composition of information employment. This export category requires a smaller variety of information workers, although their share of total employment is high, at over $45 \%$.

Many inputs are imported for metal production, and account for more than $45 \%$ of the expenditure of $\$ 10$ million. In addition, indirect effects generate a fairly high import component, so that the value added at factor cost produced in Canada reaches about $\$ 5$ million. Although these sectors are generally dominated by large companies, information workers are no more productive than other workers, with the result that the information component is equal to $45 \%$ of production.

## Machinery and equipment

These are activity sectors where it is to be expected that the information component of production is fairly high. But we also know that Canada imports a substantial proportion of the components for these products, so that the value added generated in Canada is likely to be small.

We note that the information component of employment in fact reaches $51 \%$, and that since those workers have higher-than-average productivity, information production accounts for close to $55 \%$ of value added. Furthermore, only a small proportion of the value added remains in Canada, in relation to export expenditure. The total value added actually reaches only $\$ 1.1$ million and imports represent over $80 \%$ of the initial expenditure. Thus, in this sector where information plays an important role, Canada is mainly a re-exporter, and the information content of the goods exported largely comes from other countries. A specialization strategy in this sector and import substitution would make it possible to increase the value added generated in Canada and thus the number of high-productivity information jobs.

## Chemical products

We saw that the industries relating to chemical products are among those where information technologies play an important part, and are associated with high productivity. The simulation confirmed this result, since the direct and indirect use of information labour represents almost $52 \%$ of the employment generated by this export shock. The information content of the value added reaches nearly $58 \%$.

The import content is still fairly high, and represents about $40 \%$ of the initial expenditure. The total value added is approximately $\$ 5.5$ million.

## Scientific equipment and related products

This type of products does not yet represent a major export category for Canada, as do raw materials or transportation equipment. Since the early '80s, however, there has been a diversification of exports, and communication and measuring equipment have increasingly risen in the list of Canadian exports. It is to be expected that the information content of these exports is high, manly because of the nature of the products, rather than because of the use of information technologies as a means of boosting the productivity of the manufacturing process (as is the case for raw materials or chemical products). But a relatively high import content can also be expected, since various components of this equipment are imported.

The results of the simulation show that information employment accounts for $56 \%$ of all jobs associated with this export shock. The information content of this production is $61 \%$. In this case, we can say that Canada exports its information technologies on the world market. But the proportion of imports is high, and reaches $75 \%$ of the initial expenditure. This proportion may vary, depending on the equipment concerned. Because of the high import content, the value added is slightly less than $\$ 2$ million. A policy of encouraging specialization in the bestintegrated niches in the Canadian economy would make it possible to increase the value added per dollar exported.

## Services

As anticipated, the information content of exported services is high, and information workers represent $60 \%$ of employment created directly and indirectly. It must be stressed, as shown in the occupations column for information occupations in the table for simulation No. 7, that exporting services calls for a wider variety of information workers than in the previous cases. In particular, there are occupations associated with the export of business services, such as architects, lawyers, administrators and computer programmers.

The information content of production reaches over $61 \%$. Consequently, the information component of exports of services is similar to that observed in the export of information equipment. In one case, it is the skills of information workers that are directly exported, while in the other, the expertise is sent by way of the products sold. In the case of services, however, the import content is fairly low ( $12 \%$ of the initial expenditure), meaning that exports result in the creation of value added of about $\$ 8$ million in Canada. Thus it would clearly be to Canada's advantage to encourage its exports of services on the world market.

## B) Consumer expenditures

We simulated an increase in the expenditures of households in the usual four major consumption categories:

- durable goods
- semi-durable goods
- non-durable goods
- services

It was to be expected that the information content would be higher for services and less so for non-durable goods. In the case of durable goods, consumers purchase commodities to produce information (such as radios or televisions); but the information content of such goods, while it varies considerably from one product to another, should be fairly high owing to the nature of the products. Moreover, the production processes for motor vehicles, which, as we saw,
require information technology, should give the goods purchased a fairly high information content.

However, in the case of durable goods, a significant share of information production is likely to lie outside the Canadian economy, given the fairly high import content associated with those goods.

The results are shown in the tables for simulations Nos 8 to 11 . As anticipated, the information content for durable goods purchased by Canadian households represents nearly $67 \%$ of the value added; the proportion of information jobs to manufacture these goods in total employment is similar, and so the productivity of all workers is also similar. As was the case for many categories of exports with high information content, the information component of purchases is high and represents over $40 \%$ of the initial expenditure. Thus the direct and indirect value added at factor cost gives a total of approximately $\$ 4.3$ million.

The information content of semi-durable goods is also high and represents $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ of value added. However, since these are less technologically sophisticated goods, we noted that less is lost to imports, as they make up $27 \%$ of the initial expenditure. The less sophisticated nature of semi-durable products is reflected in the composition of information occupations necessary to provide the information commodity for the industries using it. In this case there are occupations relating to the information bureaucracy (office clerks, production clerks, stenographers, other clerical employees, receptionists, etc.) created by the first wave of information technologies. In fact, we noted that when the information content is produced by these workers in the first generation of the information economy, the import component is smaller. A large proportion of information production in Canada, then, is still associated with the traditional information bureaucracy.

The information content of non-durable goods consumed is slightly greater than expected, at nearly $60 \%$. This can be explained in part by the entire process of marketing food products by wholesalers and retailers, which calls for many information workers. There are also occupations more specific to food production, such as agricultural operations managers. Finally,
the import component is about $20 \%$, so that the value added is fairly high in proportion to the initial expenditure.

The information component of the services consumed by households is no higher (65\%) than for purchases of durable goods. However, the composition of information labour used to produce services is different. In the case of durable goods, the information content can be attributed to production technology, and also to the sometimes complex nature of the good sold. In the case of services, it is above all the very nature of production that explains its information component, although information technologies play an increasing role in the production of services and also explain the high information content of the services sold to households. It must be noted that information workers are much more productive than non-information workers involved in the production of services for households: information workers represent just over $52.4 \%$ of employment, while their value added accounts for $65 \%$ of total production (direct and indirect).

The industries involved in producing services for households employ a wide variety of information workers; there is the usual bureaucracy associated with information production, but also workers related to housing services, artists, performing arts technicians and various other occupations associated with personal services.

## C) Business expenditures

There are two types of business expenditures: investments in machinery and equipment, and construction of infrastructures (non-residential construction).

Expenditures on machinery and equipment constitute a special case, since those are investments that generally incorporate the most recent technology. It should be expected that the information content of such expenditures would be high. However, if a country imports a high proportion of its equipment, the information component may then be lower and, in particular, less attractive in terms of technological strategy. When equipment is mostly imported, the Canadian information component is generally limited to sales, supervision of installation, processing of financing operations and so on.

The results of simulation No. 12 tend to confirm our expectations concerning expenditures on machinery and equipment. The information component of production to meet the demand by companies is about $60 \%$, lower than the information content of durable goods purchased by consumers. In addition, the value added produced in Canada on expenditures of $\$ 10$ million is only $\$ 3.4$ million, whereas the proportion of imports reaches $55 \%$ of the initial expenditure. Canada is an importer of machinery and equipment, and hence of foreign technology. With regard to the production of information in Canada, it can be seen that the occupations concerned are particularly involved in commercial operations (sales, etc.) and other traditional occupations relating to the information bureaucracy. The Canadian part of the information component of these capital expenditures is not concentrated in incorporating recent information technologies.

The information content of production, to satisfy the demand for non-residential construction, is $52 \%$ of the total value added. In addition to the traditional information bureaucracy, there are occupations related to the construction sector, such as construction operations managers, site superintendents, building foremen/women and so on. The import component is low ( $14 \%$ of the initial expenditure), which corresponds to the nature of construction activities (high labour content and work done locally).

## D) Government expenditures

This takes in all levels of government in Canada, excluding educational and health institutions. The first simulation concerns purchases of goods and services by governments.

The information employment necessary to meet the demand for goods and services represents $62 \%$ of the total employment required, and the information component of production is $65 \%$ of the total value added. As expected, government current expenditure in Canada has a high information content. In this case, the import content is low, about $15 \%$ of the initial demand, so the ratio of Canadian value added to expenditure is high. Moreover, the information content of demand by governments is among the most sophisticated of those observed in the various simulations, insofar as the information component is evaluated in terms of the composition of information employment. The occupations called upon to meet the demands of various
industries include, in addition to those associated with the normal information bureaucracy, mathematicians and computer programmers, teachers, health professionals, applied science professionals, high-level managers and so on. In addition to the expenditures by governments in the health and education fields, ${ }^{1}$ the demand by governments in the business services sector (communications services, computer programming, research in pure and applied sciences, social sciences and humanities, etc.) is largely responsible for the more sophisticated information content. In that respect, only exports of services that include business services had as advanced an information content.

The second simulation concerns expenses related to national defence. Various countries, including the United States, have often used expenditure programs to stimulate research and development, and to influence the industrial make-up of the national economy. Canada has a number of research programs related to national defence, but a large proportion of expenditures goes to operating the armed forces and military bases. Furthermore, Canada is an importer of military technology, although we are trying, by means of purchase contracts, to transfer part of the technological know-how here.

It is obviously impossible to draw conclusions on the general impact of defence expenditures from a simulation conducted for a structure of demand corresponding to a specific year. But inasmuch as that structure does not change very quickly over time, it should be noted that the information content of production in Canada to satisfy defence demand does not appear sophisticated, if it is evaluated in terms of the information component of employment. Information employment, which represents approximately $5.6 \%$ of total employment, and whose value added accounts for $61 \%$ of total production, is mainly made up of the traditional bureaucracy in the information sector. It must also be stressed that the high import content of defence expenditures produces fairly little value added in Canada in proportion to the initial expenditure. This seems to confirm our expectations concerning the nature of defence expenditures.

[^13]Finally, we conducted a number of other simulations, which we will not report on here, since we do not feel that they add a great deal to our understanding of the information sector in Canada. In particular, education and health expenditures produce a value added consisting almost entirely of the wages paid directly to professionals in those sectors. Information production for those sectors has little effect on the rest of the economy. This can be explained by the high labour level of production in those sectors. The structure of information occupations to produce information in those sectors corresponds essentially to the composition of occupations there (teachers, managers, health professionals and support bureaucracy).

### 5.3 Some conclusions drawn from the simulations

As the simulations concern the structure of the economy for a given year, and provide us with no indications of historical trends, we must limit the scope of the conclusions drawn from the results. However, the combination of these results with those in the preceding chapters allows us to come to some conclusions on the role of information in the Canadian economy.

The main conclusions may be summarized as follows:

- With the exception of raw materials and natural resources, where Canada is largely selfsufficient, it can be seen that the production of goods with a high information content is accompanied by a high level of imports. In the case of natural resources, we note that Canadian exports have a high information content, while the value added associated with these exports is mainly produced in Canada. In the case of other goods with high information content, the value added produced in Canada is often fairly low in proportion to the expenditure, because of the high import content. Given the structure of the information occupations necessary to produce the information component of the Canadian value added, we note that the production of information in Canada in macroeconomic terms corresponds mainly to that associated with the traditional information bureaucracy. This is what may be defined as the first generation of information workers, who process information at a relatively basic level and have no need for highly sophisticated information capital. In summary, the second wave of the telematics
revolution that we mentioned in Chapter 2 in a number of sectors does not yet seem to have extended to a more macro-economic level. Instead, Canada imports the information component of goods that require more-sophisticated workers and information capital using the most recent and most advanced technologies.
- With regard to the production and export of scientific communication and measuring devices, excluding telecommunications devices that we examined in our intersectoral analysis at a rather micro-economic level, it can be seen that the import content of these devices is very high, and that Canada retains relatively little value added from these exports. Canada again imports many components, whose information content is presumably high. This result, concerning the production of goods in general other than raw materials or natural resources, is entirely compatible with our observations in Chapter 3 at a more macro-economic level. In fact, we found that the penetration of information technologies appeared to be rather uneven in the manufacturing sector. Our simulations suggest that recent information technologies have not yet penetrated throughout the Canadian manufacturing sector, and that components with a high information content must be imported.
- Moreover, with regard to the production and export of services, particularly business services, the information content is very high, and the share of Canadian value added is also high in proportion to the value of exports. The composition of information workers required for this production suggests that the information content is sophisticated and that information workers use information capital incorporating the most recent technology.
- Insofar as a sophisticated information component in the production of services may affect the penetration of information technologies, or the development in Canada of information technologies in the widest sense of the term (including the design of software and computer systems), we can then say that public expenditures on goods and services by all levels of government in Canada and exports of services appear to be the components of global demand that would make it possible to encourage the penetration or development of made-in-Canada technologies.
- Contrary to the situation in other countries such as the United States or some European countries, national defence expenditure programs do not appear to lead to extensive production of high information-content goods and services in Canada. Those expenditures instead appear to support production associated with a traditional information bureaucracy. Canada seems to be obliged to import goods and services with more-sophisticated information content, and efforts to transfer more-advanced technology to Canada through defence equipment purchasing programs appear to have little success, at least in macro-economic terms.


## 6. Summint and conclustons

### 6.1 Size of the information economy, and recent trends

We have shown, in this study, that information plays a key role in the Canadian economy. Using a detailed classification of information workers, we determined that in 1971, approximately $42 \%$ of job-holders in Canada could be considered information workers. There was a fairly substantial increase in such employment between 1971 and 1981, and the proportion of information workers exceeded the $50 \%$ mark in 1981. Since the value added and produced by information workers is on average greater than that of non-information workers, it can be concluded that information now accounts for over $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of Canada's GDP. This result corresponds to those obtained for other OECD countries. The "information economy" revolution has thus had clear repercussions in Canada, although the economic accounts of Canada and other OECD countries unfortunately provide no details on the nature and extent of the phenomenon at present.

The importance of information workers generally continued to rise in Canada between 1981 and 1986. In micro-economic terms, the growing use of information workers has been accompanied by major productivity gains in certain strategic sectors of the Canadian economy. In sectors such as aeronautics and pulp and paper, growth in the proportion of information workers has kept pace with the modernization of capital and increased use of information technologies. This in turn has resulted in appreciably greater productivity at work. In the motor vehicle industry, modernization programs have resulted in the wholesale overhaul of production structures. Not only were there substantial productivity gains between 1981 and 1986, but the proportion of information employment dropped over that same period, a new phenomenon. This means that technological progress has affected both traditional and information labour, and that a second wave of technological progress is affecting information workers in some industries, such as the motor vehicle sector. The corollary of this movement will be a reduction in this type of labour and an increase in its quality in terms of human capital, as well as greater specialization for more efficient use of new information equipment. What all this means is that we are seeing one generation of information workers being replaced in various industries by a new generation, less numerous but more productive, and which uses new information capital. The proportion of highly qualified workers in the jobs created in recent years in Canada tends to back up this assertion.

### 6.2 Relationship between information workers and productivity

Throughout the economy, it can be seen that a higher ratio of information employment to total employment is generally accompanied by greater-than-average productivity. However, this relationship varies considerably among major activity sectors. The connection between information employment and productivity is particularly evident in the resources and energy sector. In this capital-intensive sector dominated by very large companies, the use of information technology makes an important contribution to increased productivity.

In the manufacturing sector, the link between information workers and productivity is also visible, but less systematic. Information technology has penetrated the sector to differing degrees, and may vary considerably even within a single industry. The use of information technologies is more widespread in large firms, and affects many of the company's functions. In small and medium-sized firms, the use of information technology may vary significantly from one to another, and the data from our study show fairly substantial differences between the results of different industries. The relationship between information workers and productivity is actually clearer in industries dominated by large companies. The link is also more evident among industries that produce high-information-content goods (in particular the "infrastructure sectors" of the information economy), where the size of the company is not so important a factor in the relationship between information technology and productivity.

The link between information workers and productivity becomes even more explicit in the service sector. The penetration of information technology in a number of industries is still at an early stage, and productivity gains are still to come. In other industries, there is sometimes a very large number of information workers, but who are still carrying out fairly basic dataprocessing tasks. Those workers are not equipped with very sophisticated information capital, and lack highly developed skills in the use of telematics technology. However, the rapid evolution of information technologies suggests that those sectors should experience considerable productivity growth in the near future.

All these results are confirmed by various statistical tests carried out with data from the 1985 input-output table.
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### 6.3 Analysis of information industries

Our study uses the value added by information workers to evaluate the information content of an industry. With this objective method, we do not automatically consider some industries information industries.

Nevertheless, we conducted an intersectoral analysis using the input-output tables of a certain number of industries that are considered "information industries" in the literature (telecommunications, business services [data processing, etc.], advertising, financial services and so on). First of all, we found that with the exception of business services, information industries in Canada export little. If banking services are excluded, it can be seen that a substantial proportion of information production is sold to other businesses, as an input. Information production in Canada, then, is widely used as an input by companies.

### 6.4 Comparison with the U.S. economy and other conclusions

We found that there was a certain acceleration in productivity growth in the United States between 1979 and 1987, with increasing reliance on information technology. But that acceleration was very uneven from one sector to the next. As in Canada, information technology penetrated different sectors to varying degrees, and within those sectors its impact differed considerably from one industry to another. In some sectors we also found that there was a second wave in the information revolution occurring (production of machinery, transport equipment, and others). Finally, there was no improvement in productivity in the service sector; in fact there was a deterioration in some industries. Those results are very similar to our own.

Different hypotheses are possible for explaining the weak link between information technologies and productivity gains in the service sector. Banks, for instance, with fierce competition at the branch level, forego economies of scale in an effort to serve multiple points of sale; while in sectors such as resources and energy, information technologies have added to the productivity gains associated with economies of scale. There is also a loss of economies of scale owing to the great variety of computer systems used by financial and related institutions.

The small impact of information technologies on productivity in the service sector may also be attributed to the workings of competition: a company may use telematics as a strategy (e.g., for advertising purposes) to increase its share of sales. The technology then results in a redistribution of sales among companies, but makes little difference in productivity. Furthermore, information technologies increase the quality of the product or service.

The other, more familiar, hypothesis concerns the accumulation of information resulting from telematics with no corresponding growth in the ability to use that information. Lastly, in the service sector but also in other industries, information technologies may improve working conditions without being clearly reflected in the productivity measured. Productivity gains are then "internalized" by workers in the form of better working conditions.

Finally, it can also be seen in the United States that a large proportion of information production is used as input by other companies. One explanation of these exchanges of information services is the fact that many companies rent, rather than buy, computer equipment, a process accompanied by the sale of professional services. The development of microcomputers is currently changing that situation, however.

### 6.5 Designing an information sector for the input-output table

The main objective of our study was to establish an information industry in the input-output table, one that conducts transactions with other industries, to show the importance of information as an economic commodity, how it circulates in the economy and its impact on other activity sectors when information production varies.

Information production in the economy or, in other words, the value added by the information sector, consists of the sum of value added associated with the production of information in each industry of the economy. For a specific industry, the information production content is estimated with the following method: using the classification of information occupations, we determine the information employment by industry. Then, using wage data by occupation, we determine the total wages of information workers, and total wages. The proportion of wages of information workers to total wages is then used to calculate the proportion of value added
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produced by information workers, and that value added represents information production by industry.

The production or value added by the information industry that we add as an industry in the input-output table, then, comprises the total value added corresponding to information production in each industry of the economy. In this way we create what is termed a "fictive" information industry. That industry is fictive in that it is not to be found as such anywhere in the economy, since its production corresponds to the information production of each industry in the economy. Nevertheless, the production attributed to the information industry is real, and there is thus a transfer of value added in the input-output table; each industry's production is reduced by an amount equal to its information production, and that production is shifted to the information industry. Thus there is a re-arrangement of production in the inter-industrial model, but the total value added in the economy remains unchanged.

Once the value added by the new information industry had been determined, we devised a method allowing us to establish the input structure for that industry. Those inputs are made up of purchases of goods and services from other industries in the economy. The aim here is to estimate a production function for the fictive information industry. Those purchases of inputs represent the intersectoral link between information production and the other industries in the economy.

Once the purchases of inputs from the information industry have been estimated, we then have a complete inter-industrial model with an information sector, and can carry out various simulations using shocks on final demand.

### 6.6 Estimating the production function of the information industry

Production functions were estimated on the basis of inputs used by information workers. We identified those inputs in two ways: first, we examined the list of commodities in the inputoutput table (a total of 602 inputs used by all industries) and selected those used almost solely by information workers. Second, for industries where information workers represent almost $100 \%$ of occupations, we selected all the inputs for that industry. These lists of inputs then
become inputs for the fictive information industry. The value of the inputs selected is then divided by wages to determine the input coefficients by labour cost for various information occupations. We in fact used the average by information occupation of input coefficients by labour cost for all industries. Accordingly, the use of inputs by an information occupation in the fictive information occupation will correspond to that obtained with the average coefficients by labour cost.

In practice, this method was fairly complex to use, and had to be applied in stages. In particular, we had to group occupations together for the method to be practicable. That approach allowed us to build a satellite information sector in the input-output table. The latter may be considered a three-dimensional matrix, comprising industries, occupations and input. This satellite information sector, or "fictive" information sector, is now operational, and can be used to conduct general equilibrium simulations in which the effect of a final demand shock can be calculated on information production in the economy.

To check that the information sector was operating properly, we carried out a simulation, consisting in a purchase of $\$ 10$ million of the "information" commodity. First of all, it can be seen that the production of information is integrated in the whole of various sectors of the Canadian economy. For example, out of a total of 3,000 jobs created to supply the units for this purchase of information, approximately $15 \%$ are in sectors providing inputs for the information sector. Furthermore, the production of information involves very few imports. It should be noted at this point that we are speaking of current production of information, and not the purchase of information equipment or investments in information technology. The results also confirm that information workers are more productive than non-information workers.

### 6.7 Results of simulations

To illustrate the operation of the information sector in the economy, and to show the role of information in the Canadian economy as both an input and an end-use product by consumers, companies, governments and non-residents, we conducted various simulations using the inputoutput table with a complete information sector. Each simulation corresponds to a shock on a final demand factor. The shocks fall into four categories:
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- a variation in the demand for exports;
- a variation in the demand for consumer goods;
- a variation in the demand by companies for investments in construction, machinery and equipment;
- a variation in the demand by governments.

The results are shown in the form of detailed tables giving employment by information occupation, non-information employment, the information value added (production), and noninformation value added. The results include the direct and indirect effects in terms of general equilibrium.

As the simulations concern the structure of the economy for a given year, and provide us with no indications of historical trends, we must limit the scope of the conclusions drawn from the results. However, the combination of these results with those in the preceding chapters allows us to come to some conclusions on the role of information in the Canadian economy.

The main conclusions may be summarized as follows:

- With the exception of raw materials and natural resources, where Canada is largely selfsufficient, it can be seen that the production of goods with a high information content is accompanied by a high level of imports. In the case of natural resources, we note that Canadian exports have a high information content, while the value added associated with these exports is mainly produced in Canada. In the case of other goods with high information content, the value added produced in Canada is often fairly low in proportion to the expenditure, because of the high import content. Given the structure of the information occupations necessary to produce the information component of the Canadian value added, we note that the production of information in Canada in macroeconomic terms corresponds mainly to that associated with the traditional information bureaucracy. This is what may be defined as the first generation of information workers, who process information at a relatively basic level and have no need for highly
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sophisticated information capital. In summary, the second wave of the telematics revolution that we mentioned in Chapter 2 in a number of sectors does not yet seem to have extended to a more macro-economic level. Instead, Canada imports the information component of goods that require more-sophisticated workers and information capital using the most recent and most advanced technologies.

- With regard to the production and export of scientific communication and measuring devices, excluding telecommunications devices that we examined in our intersectoral analysis at a more micro-economic level, it can be seen that the import content of these devices is very high, and that Canada retains relatively little value added from these exports. Canada again imports many components, whose information content is presumably high. This result, concerning the production of goods in general other than raw materials or natural resources, is entirely compatible with our observations in Chapter 3 at a more macro-economic level. In fact, we found that the penetration of information technologies appeared to be rather uneven in the manufacturing sector. Our simulations suggest that recent information technologies have not yet penetrated throughout the Canadian manufacturing sector, and that components with a high information content must be imported.
- Moreover, with regard to the production and export of services, particularly business services, the information content is very high, and the share of Canadian value added is also high in proportion to the value of exports. The composition of information workers required for this production suggests that the information content is sophisticated and that information workers use information capital incorporating the most recent technology.
- Insofar as a sophisticated information component in the production of services may affect the penetration of information technologies, or the development in Canada of information technologies in the widest sense of the term (including the design of software and computer systems), we can then say that public expenditures on goods and services by all levels of government in Canada and exports of services appear to be the components of global demand that would make it possible to encourage the penetration or development of made-in-Canada technologies.
- Contrary to the situation in other countries such as the United States or some European countries, national defence expenditure programs do not appear to lead to extensive production of high information-content goods and services in Canada. Those expenditures instead appear to support production associated with a traditional information bureaucracy. Canada seems to be obliged to import goods and services with more-sophisticated information content, and efforts to transfer more-advanced technology to Canada through defence equipment purchasing programs, in the year on which our simulations are based, appear to have little success, at least in macro-economic terms.


### 6.8 Future research

In this study we developed an operational satellite account for the information sector in Canada. The model may be used to conduct many other simulations and calculations in future research projects.

A matrix of information output multipliers could be developed, which would be useful in a study of economic strategies. Simulations combining various assumptions in terms of economic policies may be considered. For example, the effect of an increase in the information content of the production of various commodities in Canada could be examined, assuming a drop in import coefficients. A number of different scenarios could be considered with regard to free trade with the United States. In addition, the impact of increased specialization in the Canadian economy on various export niches is another possible subject for study, involving information goods or high-information-content goods.

The input-output model with an information sector is a flexible tool that can be used to analyse various strategies available to Canada in the next decade.


Detailed breakdown of information occupations by occupation code

## I) Organization of information

a) Clerical skills

Occupation codes:

| 4111 | 4137 | 4157 | 4192 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4113 | 4139 | 4159 | 4193 |
| 4131 | 4151 | 4161 | 4195 |
| 4133 | 4153 | 4169 | 4197 |
| 4135 | 4155 | 4191 | 4199 |

b) Technical skills

Occupation codes:
$2161 \quad 2349$
$2163 \quad 2353$
$2164 \quad 3152$
2331
c) Scientific and professional skills

Occupation codes:

| 1171 | 2131 | 2189 | $2511-9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1173 | 2133 | 2311 | 3111 |
| 1174 | 2135 | 2313 | 3119 |
| 1175 | 2139 | 2315 | 3136 |
| 2111 | 2141 | 2319 | 3151 |
| 2112 | 2142 | to 2159 | 2333 |
| 2113 | 2165 | 2339 | 3153 |
| 2114 | 2169 | 2343 | 3156 |
| 2117 | 2181 | 2351 | 3158 |
| 2119 | 2183 | 2359 |  |

d) Artistic creation and researchOccupation codes:
3311 ..... 3331
3313 ..... 3334
3314 ..... 3351
3319
II) Decision-making and control
a) Management
Occupation codes:
1111 all codes 113 and 114
1113 ..... 1179
1115 ..... 2341
1119 ..... 3330
b) Inspection
Occupation codes:
1116 ..... 8236 ..... 8536
1176 ..... 8256 ..... 8546
6113 ..... 8276 ..... 8566
7196 ..... 7516
8116 ..... 8296 ..... 8576
8146 ..... 8336 ..... 8596
8156 ..... 8356 ..... 8736
8156 ..... 8376 ..... 8796
8176 ..... 8396 ..... 9916
8226 ..... 8526
c) SupervisionOccupation codes:

| 2160 | 5190 | 8250 | 8710 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2350 | 6120 | 8260 | 8730 |
| 3130 | 6130 | 8290 | 8780 |
| 3360 | 6160 | 8310 | 9110 |
| 3371 | 6190 | 8350 | 9130 |
| 4110 | 7180 | 8370 | 9170 |
| 4130 | 7510 | 8390 | 9190 |
| 4140 | 7710 | 8510 | 9310 |
| 4150 | 8110 | 8530 | 9510 |
| 4160 | 8130 | 8540 | 9530 |
| 4170 | 8150 | 8550 | 9550 |
| 4190 | 8160 | 8570 | 9590 |
| 5130 | 8210 | 8580 | 9910 |
| 5170 | 8230 | 8590 |  |

III) Information dissemination
a) Miscellaneous information and news
Occupation codes:
3337
3355
3359
41714194
b) Entertainment
Occupation codes:
3332 ..... 3339
3333 ..... 3373
3335 ..... 6144
c) Sales promotion
Occupation codes:
5131 ..... 5171
5133 ..... 5172
5135 ..... 5173
5141 ..... 5174
5143 ..... 5177
5149 ..... 5179
d) Training
Occupation codes:
2391 ..... 2791
2399 ..... 2792
2711 ..... 2793
2719 ..... 2795
2731 ..... 2797
2733 ..... 2799
2739 ..... 3370
IV) Information infrastructure
a) Operators of office information and communication equipment
Occupation codes:
4141 ..... 9553
4143 ..... 9555
4175 ..... 9557
9551 ..... 9559
b) Occupations related to printing and photographic development

Occupation codes:
$3315 \quad 9515$
$9511 \quad 9517$
$9512 \quad 9518$
$9513 \quad 9519$
$9514 \quad 9591$
c) Occupations related to mail and messenger services

Occupation codes:
4172
4173
4177
4178
4179


Detailed breakdown of information occupations by census data ( 1971 and 1981) and the Canadian Occupational Code (as a \% of the labour force)

## APPENBMXII

## I. Organization of information

| Occupation Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Clerical skills |  |  | b) Technical skills |  |  |
| 4111 | 2,79 | 3,03 | 2161 | 0,12 | 0,15 |
| 4113 | 1,01 | 0,86 | 2163 | 0,31 | 0,35 |
| 4131 | 2,29 | 3,31 | 2164 |  |  |
| 4133 | 1,29 | 2,02 | 2331 | 0,13 | 0,25 |
| 4135 | 0,23 | 0,34 | 2349 | 0,04 | 0,06 |
| 4137 | 0,08 | 0,08 | 2353 | 0,02 | 0,03 |
| 4139 | 0,05 | 0,05 | 3152 | 0,02 | 0,03 |
| 4151 | 0,14 | 0,15 |  | 0,64 | 0,81 |
| 4153 | 0,75 | 0,77 |  |  |  |
| 4155 | 0,64 | 0,83 |  |  |  |
| 4157 | 0,05 | 0,04 |  |  |  |
| 4159 | 0,04 | 0,07 |  |  |  |
| 4161 | 0,37 | 0,38 |  |  |  |
| 4169 | 0,02 | 0,02 |  |  |  |
| 4191 | 0,05 | 0,09 |  |  |  |
| 4192 | 0,07 | 0,08 |  |  | - |
| 4193 | 0,13 | 0,20 |  |  |  |
| 4195 | 0,03 | 0,07 |  |  |  |
| 4197 | 1,45 | 1,17 |  |  |  |
| 4199 | 0,81 | 0,75 |  |  |  |
|  | 11,00 | 14,31 |  |  |  |

## I. Organization of information (cont'd)

| Occupation Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c) Scientific | professional skills |  | 2339 | 0,0096 | 0,05 |
|  |  |  | 2343 | 0,19 | 0,28 |
| 1171 | 1,17 | 1,22 | 2351 | 0,08 | 0,13 |
| 1173 |  |  | 2359 | 0,0066 | 0,02 |
| 1174 | 0,28 | 0,26 | 2511-9 | 0,28 | 0,26 |
| 1175 | 0,13 | 0,14 | 3111 | 0,32 | 0,33 |
| 2111 | 0,08 | 0,08 | 3119 | 0,015 | 0,03 |
| 2112 | 0,05 | 0,06 | 3136 |  |  |
| 2113 | 0,01 | 0,01 | 3151 |  | 0,11 | 0,11 |
| 2114 | 0,01 | 0,0076 | 3153 | 0,017 | 0,016 |
| 2117 | 0,2 | 0,16 | 3156 | 0,20 | 0,27 |
| 2119 | 0,035 | 0,013 | 3158 | -- | -- |
| 2131 | 0,07 | 0,07 |  | 5,32 | 6,44 |
| 2133 | 0,03 | 0,06 |  |  |  |
| 2135 | 0,097 | 0,086 |  |  |  |
| 2139 | 0,019 | 0,016 | d) Artistic | rch and | tion |
| 2141 | 0,05 | 0,06 |  |  |  |
| 2142 to 2159 | 0,87 | 1,10 | 3311 | 0,026 | 0,018 |
| 2165 | 0,27 | 0,40 | 3313 | 0,15 | 0,17 |
| 2169 | 0,10 | 0,045 | 3314 | 0,094 | 0,15 |
| 2181 | 0,04 | 0,05 | 3319 | 0,0055 | 0,0055 |
| 2183 | 0,26 | 0,50 | 3331 | -- | -- |
| 2189 | 0,0012 | 0,009 | 3334 | -- | -- |
| 2311 | 0,06 | 0,10 | 3351 | -- | -- |
| 2313 | 0,0049 | 0,01 |  | 0,28 | 0,34 |
| 2315 | 0,04 | 0,07 |  |  |  |
| 2319 | 0,02 | 0,04 |  |  |  |
| 2333 | 0,20 | 0,37 | Subtotal I | 17,24 | 21,90 |

## II. Decision-making and control

| Occupation <br> Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Management |  |  | b) Inspection (cont'd) |  |  |
| 1111 | 0,013 | 0,019 | 8526 | 0,09 | 0,10 |
| 1113 | 0,16 | 0,19 | 8536 | 0,062 | 0,074 |
| 1115 | 0,065 | 0,048 | 8546 | 0,001 | 0,005 |
| 1119 | 0,017 | 0,064 | 8566 | 0,029 | 0,048 |
| 113/4 | 1,64 | 4,00 | 8576 | 0,019 | 0,022 |
| 1179 | 0,51 | 0,43 | 8686 | 0,024 | 0,024 |
| 2341 | 0,014 | 0,016 | 8596 | 0,02 | 0,017 |
| 3330 | $\underline{0,044}$ | 0,08 | 8736 | 0,033 | 0,039 |
|  | 2,46 | 4,85 | 8796 | 0,05 | 0,05 |
|  |  |  | 9916 | 0,11 | 0,03 |
| b) Inspection |  |  |  | 1,01 | 1,08 |
| 1116 | 0,19 | 0,22 | c) Supervision |  |  |
| 1176 | 0,04 | 0,055 |  |  |  |
| 6113 | 0,04 | 0,036 | 2160 | 0,033 | 0,069 |
| 7196 | -- | -- | 2350 | 0,013 | 0,018 |
| 7516 | 0,02 | 0,02 | 3130 | 0,17 | 0,17 |
| 8116 | 0,0016 | 0,004 | 3360 | -- | -- |
| 8146 | 0,015 | 0,031 | 3371 | 0,0025 | 0,0054 |
| 8156 | 0,024 | 0,015 | 4110 | 0,030 | 0,037 |
| 8176 | 0,022 | 0,035 | 4130 | 0,14 | 0,26 |
| 8226 | 0,053 | 0,075 | 4140 | 0,044 | 0,064 |
| 8236 | 0,045 | 0,046 | 4150 | 0,16 | 0,15 |
| 8256 | 0,028 | 0,029 | 4160 | 0,012 | 0,018 |
| 8276 | 0,037 | 0,04 | 4170 | 0,093 | 0,084 |
| 8296 | 0,009 | 0,011 | 4190 | 0,56 | 0,27 |
| 8316 | 0,025 | 0,018 | 5130 | 2,84 | 2,26 |
| 8336 | 0,011 | 0,019 | 5170 | 0,28 | 0,18 |
| 8356 | 0,0005 | 0,0016 | 5190 | 0,02 | 0,021 |
| 8376 | 0,0028 | 0,006 | 6120 | 0,46 | 0,58 |
| 8396 | 0,0022 | 0,002 | 6130 | 0,50 | 0,40 |

## II. Decision-making and control (cont'd)

| Occupation Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c) Supervision (cont'd) |  |  | c) Supervision (cont'd) |  |  |
| 6160 | 0,06 | 0,04 | 8540 | 0,029 | 0,074 |
| 6190 | 0,13 | 0,15 | 8550 | 0,07 | 0,073 |
| 7180 | 0,05 | 0,073 | 8570 | 0,03 | 0,036 |
| 7510 | 0,079 | 0,068 | 8580 | 0,32 | 0,33 |
| 7710 | 0,12 | . 0,12 | 8590 | 0,08 | 0,06 |
| 8110 | 0,011 | 0,0088 | 8710 | 0,21 | 0,14 |
| 8130 | 0,072 | 0,076 | 8730 | 0,18 | 0,19 |
| 8150 | 0,026 | 0,022 | 8780 | 0,64 | 0,66 |
| 8160 | 0,060 | 0,056 | 9110 | 0,015 | 0,016 |
| 8210 | 0,14 | 0,11 | 9130 | 0,061 | 0,047 |
| 8230 | 0,044 | 0,045 | 9170 | 0,14 | 0,14 |
| 8250 | 0,044 | 0,045 | 9190 | 0,011 | 0,0086 |
| 8260 | 0,041 | 0,032 | 9310 | 0,18 | 0,11 |
| 8290 | 0,0073 | 0,005 | 9510 | 0,076 | 0,063 |
| 8310 | 0,093 | 0,065 | 9530 | 0,08 | 0,065 |
| 8350 | 0,012 | 0,0073 | 9550 | 0,013 | 0,011 |
| 8370 | 0,0075 | 0,0097 | 9590 | 0,0087 | 0,009 |
| 8390 | 0,0047 | 0,004 | 9910 | 0,15 | 0,099 |
| 8510 | 0,078 | 0,097 |  | 8,73 | 7,83 |
| 8530 | 0,09 | 0,081 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Subtotal II | 12,20 | 13,76 |

## III. Dissemination of information

| Occupation Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Miscellaneous information \& news |  |  | d) Training |  |  |
| 3337 | 0,029 | 0,048 | 2391 | 0,051 | 0,048 |
| 3355 | 0,023 | 0,051 | 2399 | 0,015 | 0,044 |
| 3359 | 0,003 | 0,0058 | 2711 | 0,27 | 0,27 |
| 4171 | 0,52 | 0,77 | 2719 | 0,034 | 0,090 |
| 4194 | 0,067 | 0,09 | 2731 | 1,66 | 1,42 |
|  | 0,64 | 0,96 | 2733 | 1,26 | 1,13 |
|  |  |  | 2739 | 0,17 | 0,25 |
| b) Entertainment |  |  | 2791 | 0,10 | 0,25 |
|  |  |  | 2792 | 0,16 | 0,15 |
| 3332 | 0,10 | 0,12 | 2793 | 0,12 | 0,053 |
| 3333 | 0,0042 | 0,0083 | 2795 | 0,06 | 0,16 |
| 3335 | 0,12 | 0,023 | 2797 | 0,065 | 0,12 |
| 3339 | 0,018 | 0,036 | 2799 | 0,069 | 0,11 |
| 3373 | 0,008 | 0,008 | 3370 | 0,10 | 0,16 |
| 6144 | 0,032 | 0,041 |  | 3,9 | 4,25 |
|  | 0,17 | 0,24 |  |  |  |
| c) Promotion and sales |  |  | Subtotal III | 10,50 | 11,72 |
| 5131 | 0,068 | 0,093 |  |  |  |
| 5133 | 0,62 | 0,86 |  |  |  |
| 5135 | 3,6 | 4,02 |  |  |  |
| 5141 | 0,10 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| 5143 | 0,09 | 0,06 |  |  |  |
| 5149 | 0,07 | 0,074 |  |  |  |
| 5171 | 0,38 | 0,43 |  |  |  |
| 5172 | 0,25 | 0,46 |  |  |  |
| 5173 | 0,088 | 0,084 |  |  |  |
| 5174 | 0,050 | 0,07 |  |  |  |
| 5177 | 0,028 | 0,041 |  |  |  |
| 5179 | $\frac{0,034}{\mathbf{5 , 7 9}}$ | $\frac{0,024}{6,27}$ |  |  |  |

## MenENDAKH

## IV. Infrastructure of information

| Occupation Code | 1971 | 1981 | Occupation code | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Operato | office cation | ation ment | b) Occupations related to printing and photographic development |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3315 | 0,062 | 0,073 |
| 4141 | 0,24 | 0,12 | 9511 | 0,15 | 0,11 |
| 4143 | 0,31 | 0,63 | 9512 | 0,13 | 0,16 |
| 4175 | 0,36 | 0,30 | 9513 | 0,005 | 0,001 |
| 9551 | 0,04 | 0,034 | 9514 | 0,035 | 0,029 |
| 9553 | 0,019 | 0,013 | 9515 | 0,016 | 0,021 |
| 9555 | 0,004 | 0,017 | 9517 | 0,078 | 0,082 |
| 9557 | 0,017 | 0,015 | 9518 | 0,020 | 0,034 |
| 9559 | $\underline{0,002}$ | 0,004 | 9519 | 0,065 | 0,083 |
|  | 0,99 | 1,13 | 9591 | 0,054 | 0,039 |
|  |  |  |  | 0,61 | 0,63 |
|  |  |  | c) Occupations related to mail and messenger services |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4172 | 0,20 | 0,21 |
|  |  |  | 4173 | 0,36 | 0,36 |
|  |  |  | 4177-9 | 0,22 | 0,65 |
|  |  |  |  | 0,78 | 1,22 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal IV | 2,38 | 2,98 |



# Employment and value added and information productivity by sector in Canada 

No Industry Industry name
(1)
(2)
(3)

| 1 | AGRIC | Agricultural \& related services ind. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | FISHI | Fishing \& trapping industries |
| 3 | LOGGI | Logging \& forestry industries |
| 4 | GOLD | Gold mines |
| 5 | OTHER | Other metal mines |
| 6 | IRON | Iron mines |
| 7 | ASBES | Asbestos mines |
| 8 | NON-M | Non-metal mines exc. coal \& asbestos |
| 9 | SALT | Salt mines |
| 10 | COAL | Coal mines |
| 11 | CRUDE | Crude petroleum \& natural gas |
| 12 | QUARR | Quarry \& sand pit industries |
| 13 | SERVI | Service related to mineral extract. |
| 14 | MEAT | Meat \& meat prod. (exc. poultry) |
| 16 | FISH | Fish products industry |
| 17 | FRUIT | Fruit and vegetable industries |
| 18 | DAIRY | Dairy products industries |
| 19 | FEED | Feed industry |
| 21 | BISCU | Biscuit industry |
| 24 | MISC. | Misc. food products industries |
| 25 | SOFT | Soft drink industry |
| 29 | TOBAC | Tobacco products industries |
| 31 | PLAST | Plastic products industries |
| 32 | LEATH | Leather tanneries |
| 33 | FOOTW | Footwear industry |
| 34 | MISC. | Misc. leather \& allied prod. ind. |
| 35 | MAN-M | Man-made fibre yarn \& woven cloth |
| 36 | WOOL | Wool yarn \& woven cloth industry |
| 37 | BROAD | Broad knitted fabric industry |
| 38 | MICS. | Misc. textile products industries |
| 40 | CARPE | Carpet, mat \& rug industry |
| 41 | CLOTH | Clothing industries exc. hosiery |
| 42 | HOSIE | Hosiery industry |
| 43 | SAWMI | Sawmills, planing \& shingle mills |
| 44 | VENEE | Veneer and plywood industries |
| 45 | SASH | Sash, door \& other millwork ind. |
| 46 | WOODE | Wooden box \& coffin industries |
| 47 | OTHER | Other wood industries |
| 48 | HOUSE | Household furniture industries |
| 49 | OFFIC | Office furniture industries |
| 50 | OTHER | Other furniture \& fixture ind. |
| 51 | PULP | Pulp \& paper industries |
| 52 | ASPHA | Asphalt roofing industry |
| 53 | PAPER | Paper box \& bag industries |
| 54 | OTHER | Other converted paper products ind. |
|  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Employment } & \mathrm{Va} & (\mathrm{Wi} / \mathrm{W}) \\ (\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N}) \% & (\mathrm{Wi} / \mathrm{W}) \% & (\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N})\end{array}$
(4)
(5) (6)

| 14.902 | 21.122 | 6.220 | 513394 | 0.021 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.161 | 10.448 | 2.287 | 34119 | 0.019 |
| 28.254 | 34.207 | 5.953 | 57237 | 0.044 |
| 23.034 | 33.441 | 10.407 | 6690 | 0.138 |
| 23.034 | 32.644 | 9.610 | 29018 | 0.118 |
| 20.531 | 32.303 | 11.772 | 6215 | 0.100 |
| 20.033 | 31.322 | 11.289 | 3199 | 0.062 |
| 24.012 | 28.137 | 4.125 | 7681 | 0.093 |
| 27.600 | 36.191 | 8.591 | 1551 | 0.067 |
| 20.162 | 28.832 | 8.670 | 8684 | 0.110 |
| 68.770 | 75.883 | 7.113 | 43603 | 0.275 |
| 23.683 | 31.185 | 7.502 | 8928 | 0.041 |
| 37.087 | 49.073 | 11.986 | 41010 | 0.052 |
| 27.438 | 35.217 | 7.779 | 31443 | 0.029 |
| 16.731 | 24.172 | 7.441 | 26581 | 0.029 |
| 36.149 | 44.936 | 8.787 | 17228 | 0.035 |
| 38.122 | 44.214 | 6.092 | 25435 | 0.035 |
| 45.243 | 55.198 | 9.955 | 9387 | 0.050 |
| 34.969 | 41.742 | 6.773 | 6369 | 0.035 |
| 42.775 | 54.370 | 11.595 | 39610 | 0.047 |
| 18.303 | 45.997 | 27.694 | 12380 | 0.047 |
| 68.543 | 47.383 | -21.160 | 7097 | 0.046 |
| 36.491 | 45.731 | 9.240 | 38213 | 0.033 |
| 26.757 | 38.430 | 11.673 | 2157 | 0.029 |
| 30.387 | 40.527 | 10.140 | 16450 | 0.021 |
| 26.310 | 41.551 | 15.241 | 4320 | 0.023 |
| 32.127 | 40.228 | 8.101 | 19555 | 0.036 |
| 27.249 | 36.374 | 9.125 | 4051 | 0.035 |
| 25.178 | 37.261 | 12.083 | 2994 | 0.089 |
| 36.731 | 50.411 | 13.680 | 22808 | 0.024 |
| 34.488 | 44.765 | 10.277 | 5348 | 0.035 |
| 25.076 | 39.828 | 14.752 | 105781 | 0.018 |
| 29.393 | 38.342 | 8.949 | 5410 | 0.018 |
| 32.501 | 26.450 | 3.949 | 60861 | 0.038 |
| 23.815 | 28.308 | 4.493 | 9019 | 0.039 |
| 30.074 | 40.272 | 10.198 | 26213 | 0.028 |
| 24.297 | 33.639 | 9.342 | 3581 | 0.022 |
| 28.612 | 36.174 | 7.562 | 7850 | 0.043 |
| 23.172 | 32.068 | 8.896 | 24627 | 0.020 |
| 34.539 | 44.956 | 10.417 | 10477 | 0.029 |
| 34.036 | 45.018 | 10.982 | 15015 | 0.026 |
| 31.700 | 35.421 | 3.721 | 76801 | 0.062 |
| 41.546 | 47.402 | 5.856 | 1562 | 0.072 |
| 37.737 | 44.696 | 6.959 | 19099 | 0.027 |
| 46.169 | 52.858 | 6.689 | 15857 | 0.081 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

No Industry
(1)
(2)

Employment $\mathrm{Va} \quad$ (Wi/W)$(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N}) \% \quad(\mathrm{Wi} / \mathrm{W}) \% \quad(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N})$
(4)
(5)

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 93.297 | 6.926 | 102228 | 0.032 |
| 89.462 | 9.017 | 15495 | 0.025 |
| 37.800 | 4.995 | 47503 | 0.047 |
| 41.825 | 6.474 | 5978 | 0.055 |
| 31.464 | 5.603 | 7620 | 0.046 |
| 38.565 | 6.466 | 29585 | 0.068 |
| 44.399 | 6.702 | 6177 | 0.040 |
| 39.828 | 5.364 | 3008 | 0.034 |
| 37.944 | 7.050 | 5620 | 0.050 |
| 44.725 | 9.172 | 22458 | 0.043 |
| 45.587 | 10.958 | 15689 | 0.026 |
| 43.821 | 9.950 | 30838 | 0.040 |
| 43.557 | 8.732 | 15363 | 0.038 |
| 37.914 | 5.565 | 19384 | 0.082 |
| 53.540 | 11.337 | 5617 | 0.027 |
| 33.186 | 5.708 | 15600 | 0.029 |
| 46.029 | 9.534 | 14955 | 0.032 |
| 49.049 | 9.123 | 9118 | 0.088 |
| 53.726 | 9.227 | 3698 | 0.037 |
| 53.262 | 8.571 | 61677 | 0.056 |
| 58.136 | 11.503 | 33815 | 0.030 |
| 32.502 | 9.338 | 56866 | 0.037 |
| 35.488 | 6.791 | 11298 | 0.025 |
| 35.904 | 9.000 | 84388 | 0.057 |
| 46.957 | 6.095 | 7562 | 0.045 |
| 36.900 | 5.972 | 10990 | 0.029 |
| 33.622 | 6.474 | 5975 | 0.019 |
| 46.721 | 8.890 | 5788 | 0.047 |
| 42.449 | 7.565 | 9369 | 0.035 |
| 54.602 | 9.234 | 3007 | 0.093 |
| 71.087 | 7.694 | 51620 | 0.036 |
| 80.158 | 8.641 | 18586 | 0.108 |
| 55.643 | 6.495 | 8155 | 0.044 |
| 52.490 | 9.272 | 37717 | 0.030 |
| 38.081 | 5.788 | 2749 | 0.027 |
| 47.866 | 7.070 | 3533 | 0.074 |
| 39.412 | 8.425 | 8376 | 0.038 |
| 33.125 | 6.108 | 8966 | 0.036 |
| 43.775 | 5.444 | 12886 | 0.042 |
| 50.689 | 9.785 | 13988 | 0.038 |
| 65.834 | 6.556 | 15647 | 0.050 |
| 60.892 | 6.773 | 19204 | 0.080 |
| 61.521 | 6.289 | 5794 | 0.046 |
| 77.568 | 8.434 | 16656 | 0.048 |
| 69.219 | 11.686 | 6605 | 0.052 |
|  |  |  |  |

No Industry
(1) (2)

| 101 SOAP | Soap \& cleaning compounds ind. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 102 TOILE | Toilet preparations industry |
| 103 CHEMI | Chemical \& chemical products n.e.c. |
| 104 JEWEL | Jewellery \& precious metal ind. |
| 105 SPORT | Sporting goods \& toy industries |
| 106 SING | Sign and display industry |
| 107 FLOOR | Floor tile, linoleum, coated fabric |
| 108 OTHER | Other manufacturing ind, n.e.c. |
| 109 REPAI | Repair construction |
| 118 AIR T | Air transport \& services incidental |
| 119 RAILW | Railway transport \& rel. services |
| 120 WATER | Water transport \& rel. services |
| 121 TRUCK | Truck transport industries |
| 122 URBAN | Urban transit system industry |
| 123 INTER | Interurban \& rural transit systems |
| 124 TAXIC | Taxicab industry |
| 125 OTHER | Other transport \& serv, to transp. |
| 126 HIGHW | Highway \& bridge maint. ind. |
| 127 PIPEL | Pipeline transport industries |
| 128 STORA | Storage and warehousing ind. |
| 129 TELEC | Telecommun, broadcasting ind. |
| 130 TELEC | Telecommunication carriers \& other |
| 131 POATA | Postal service industry |
| 132 ELECT | Electric power systems industry |
| 133 GAS D | Gas distribution systems industry |
| 134 OTHER | Other utility industries n.e.c. |
| 135 WHOLE | Wholesale trade industries |
| 136 RETAI | Retail trade industries |
| 137 BANKS | Banks, credit union \& other. dep. inst. |
| 138 TRUST | Trust, other finance \& real estate |
| 142 OTHER | Other business service industries |
| 143 PROFE | Professional business services |
| 145 EDUCA | Educational service industries |
| 146 HOSPI | Hospitals |
| 147 OTHER | Other health services |
| 148 ACCOM | Accommodation \& food serv. ind. |
| 149 MOTIO | Motion picture \& video industries |
| 150 OTHER | Other amusement \& recreational serv. |
| 151 LAUND | Laundries \& cleaners |
| 152 OTHER | Other personal services |
| 153 PHOTO | Photographers |
| 154 MISC. | Misc. service industries |

Employment Va (Wi/W)$(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N}) \% \quad(\mathrm{Wi} / \mathrm{W}) \% \quad(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N})$

## (4)

61.1
56.4
54.4
37.0
39.3
57.1
43.2
46.0
28.9
45.4
43.6
31.3
24.4
21.8
28.4
11.9
47.27
26.0

## 5

54.7

81

### 60.49

9
54

## 55

28
55.6
41.

| 94.615 | 98.324 | 3.709 | 242587 | 0.016 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 84.200 | 94.218 | 10.018 | 267851 | 0.064 |
| 66.415 | 76.798 | 10.383 | 274313 | 0.020 |
| 94.159 | 97.391 | 3.232 | 250139 | 0.024 |
| 84.980 | 91.737 | 6.757 | 22773 | 0.032 |
| 29.369 | 39.171 | 9.802 | 5119 | 0.025 |
| 53.916 | 63.687 | 9.771 | 138592 | 0.043 |
| 19.077 | 41.840 | 22.763 | 672369 | 0.011 |
| 62.395 | 87.603 | 25.208 | 21396 | 0.013 |
| 53.786 | 67.472 | 13.686 | 84575 | 0.021 |
| 18.639 | 42.492 | 23.853 | 37892 | 0.017 |
| 11.081 | 19.895 | 8.814 | 124004 | 0.018 |
| 59.551 | 75.558 | 16.007 | 15771 | 0.012 |
| 44.119 | 61.446 | 17.327 | 246312 | 0.016 |



Mini-lexicon of combined occupations

1. Members of legislative bodies2. Government administrators
2. Postal managers
3. Government inspectors
4. Government administrators and senior officials
5. General managers and other senior officials
6. Personnel management
7. Purchasing management
8. Services management
9. Production management
10. Construction operations management
11. Agricultural operations management
12. Transport and communications operations management
13. Other managers and administrators
14. Agriculturalists, biologists
15. Architects, surveyors, technical and other draughting
16. Chemical engineers
19 Civil engineers
17. Electrical engineers
18. Industrial engineers
19. Agricultural engineers
20. Mechanical engineers
21. Metallurgical engineers
22. Mining engineers
23. Petroleum engineers
24. Aerospace engineers
25. Nuclear engineers
26. Urban planners
27. Other engineers and technicians
28. Mathematicians, statisticians and computer programmers
29. Social science workers
30. Social and related workers
31. Library, museum and archival science workers
32. Ministers of religion
33. University teachers
34. Health professionals
35. Performing arts professionals
36. Artists and performing arts technicians
37. Sports
38. Stenographers
39. Bookkeepers
40. Office clerks, supervisors and officers
41. Office machine operators
42. General production clerks
43. Library employees
44. Receptionists, telephone operators, messengers
45. Postal employees
46. Other office employees
47. Sales representatives and others
48. Police agents and private detectives
49. Guides
50. Printing and similar occupations
51. Communication equipment operators
52. Radio and television announcers
53. Supervisors: food and beverage
54. Supervisors: lodging and related sectors
55. Supervisors: laundering
56. Supervisors: other services
57. Foremen/women and inspectors: agriculture and forestry
58. Foremen/women and inspectors: metal processing
59. Foremen/women and inspectors: clay, glass and stone processing
60. Foremen/women and inspectors: chemicals, plastics
61. Foremen/women and inspectors: wood and paper pulp
62. Foremen/women and inspectors: textile
63. Foremen/women and inspectors: other processing
64. Foremen/women and inspectors: wood machining
65. Foremen/women and inspectors: electrical, electronic equipment
66. Foremen/women and inspectors: textile repair
67. Foremen/women and inspectors: mechanics
68. Foremen/women and inspectors: construction
69. Foremen/women and inspectors: air transport
70. Foremen/women and inspectors: other transport
71. Foremen/women and inspectors: other
72. Occupations in law and jurisprudence ${ }^{1}$
[^14]
## Aggregation of information occupations

| 1 | [111] | 42 | [4230, 4131] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | [1113] | 43 | [1135, 1171, 1173, 4133, 4135, 4137, |
| 3 | [1115] |  | 4139] |
| 4 | [1116] | 44 | [4140, 4141, 4143] |
| 5 | [1119] | 45 | [4150, 4151, 4153, 4155, 4157, 4159] |
| 6 | [1130, 1179] | 46 | [4160, 4161, 4169] |
| 7 | [1136, 1174, 1176] | 47 | [4170, 4171, 4175, 4177, 4179, 4194] |
| 8 | [1141, 1175] | 48 | [4172, 4173] |
| 9 | [1142] | 49 | [4190, 4191, 4192, 4193, 4195, 4197, |
| 10 | [1143] |  | 4199] |
| 11 | [1145] | 50 | [1137, 5130, 5131, 5133, 5135, 5141, |
| 12 | [1146] |  | 5143, 5149, 5170, 5171, 5172, 5173, |
| 13 | [1147] |  | 5174, 5177, 4179, 5190] |
| 14 | [1149] | 51 | [6113] |
| 15 | [2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2117, 2119] | 52 | [6144] |
| 16 | [2131, 2133, 2135, 2139] | 53 | [9510, 9511, 9512, 9513, 9514, 9515, |
| 17 | [2141, 2161, 2163, 2164] |  | 9517, 9518, 9519] |
| 18 | [2142] | 54 | [3315, 9550, 9551, 9553, 9555, 9557, |
| 19 | [2143] |  | 9559] |
| 20 | [2144] | 55 | [3337] |
| 21 | [2145] | 56 | [6120, 8210, 8226] |
| 22 | [2146] | 57 | [6130] |
| 23 | [2147] | 58 | [6160] |
| 24 | [2151] | 59 | [6190] |
| 25 | [2153] | 60 | [7180, 7196, 7510, 7516] |
| 26 | [2154] | 61 | [7710, 8110, 8116, 8146, 8310, 8316, |
| 27 | [2155] |  | 8320, 8330, 8336, 8390, 8396, 8510, |
| 28 | [2156] |  | 8526] |
| 29 | [2156] | 62 | [8150, 8156, 8370, 8376] |
| 30 | [1131, 2159, 2165, 2169, 2160] | 63 | [8160, 8176, 8570, 8576] |
| 31 | [2181, 2183, 2189] | 64 | [8230, 8236, 8250, 8256, 8540, 8546] |
| 32 | [1132, 2311, 2313, 2319, 2399] | 65 | [8260, 8276] |
| 33 | [2331, 2333, 2339, 2315, 2391] | 66 | [8290, 8296] |
| 34 | [2350, 2351, 2353, 3559] | 67 | [8350, 8356] |
| 35 | [2511, 2513, 2519] | 68 | [8550, 8556, 8730, 8736] |
| 36 | [1133, 2711, 2719, 2731, 2733, 2739, | 69 |  |
|  | 2791, 2793, 2795, 2797, 2799] | 70 | [8580, 8586, 8590, 8596, 9530, 9590] |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & {[1134,3111,3119,3136,3151,3152,} \\ & 3153,3156,3158,3130] \end{aligned}$ | 71 72 | $\begin{aligned} & {[8710,8780,8796]} \\ & {[9110]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | [2792, 3311, 3313, 3314, 3319] | 73 | [9130, 9770, 9190, 9310] |
| 39 | [3330, 3331, 3332, 3333, 3334, | 74 | [9910, 9916] |
|  | 3335, 3339, 3351, 3355, 3359] | 75 | [2341, 2343, 2349] |
| 40 | [3360, 3370, 3371, 3373] |  |  |
| 41 | [4110, 4111, 4113]. |  | Total: 75 production functions |

The data refer to the 1971 standard occupational classification.


List of inputs

## APYEMDIX:

543 Radio and television broadcasting
544 Telephone \& telegraph
545 Postal services
552 Rental of office equipment
554 Banking services
555 Real estate services
556 Insurance
561 Education services
564 Motion picture entertainment
566 Services to business management
567 Advertising services
572 Miscellaneous services
573 Photographic services
575 Data processing equipment services
576 Other services to businesses
581 Office supplies
584 Laboratory equipment and supplies
585 Travel services
586 Advertising and promotion


Simulations 1 to 15

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
2. At factor cost.
SIMULATION 2 : EXPORTS OF NON-DURABLE AND SEMI-DURABLE GOODS (FOOD, TEXTILES, CLOTHING) (IN \$10 MLLLIONS)
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment | MAIN USERS |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information occupations | 3 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 0,061 | 2,662 | 0,636 | 1,998 | 1,877 | 1,584 | 0,325 | 9,143 | 15,5\% |  |
| 42. | 0,351 | 1,390 | 0,002 |  |  |  | 1,502 | 4,389 | 7,4\% |  |
| 64. | - | 3,964 | 0,002 |  |  |  | 0,099 | 4,065 | 6,8\% |  |
| 41. | 0,197 | 1,440 | 1,071 |  |  |  | 1,116 | 3,824 | 6,4\% |  |
| 45. | 0,080 | 2,221 | 0,102 |  |  |  | 0,890 | 3,293 | 5,5\% |  |
| 43. | 0,095 | 0,985 | 0,765 |  |  |  | 1,117 | 2,962 | 5,0\% |  |
| 6. | 0,127 | 1,312 | 0,580 |  |  |  | 0,729 | 2,748 | 4,6\% |  |
| 49. | 0,102 | 0,843 | 0,386 |  |  |  | 1,037 | 2,368 | 4,0\% |  |
| Other occupations | 2,903 | 12,129 | 5,019 |  |  |  | 6,102 | 26,153 | 44,3\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 3,916 \\ 9,483 \\ 13,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,446 \\ & 50,199 \\ & 77,645 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,207 \\ 4,370 \\ 13,577 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18,376 \\ & 18,601 \\ & 36,977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,945 \\ 82,653 \\ 141,597 \end{array}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{gathered} 41,6 \% \\ 58,4 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.B Value added ( $\$ 000)^{1.2}$ Information Non-information Total | $\begin{aligned} & 24,8 \\ & 11,41 \\ & 138,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1457,5 \\ & 2176,9 \\ & 3634,5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 265,6 \\ 91,6 \\ 357,3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1170,7 \\ & 1011,4 \\ & 2182,1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2918,6 \\ & 3394,0 \\ & 6312,6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 45,3 \% \\ 54,7 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

[^15](SNOITIIW 0I\$ ND SLOMGOYd TVLTW HO SLYOdXG: $\mathcal{E}$ NOLLVTNWIS
1.A Measured by employment
1.B Measured by value added

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SIMULATION 4 : EXPORTS OF MACHINERY (IN \$10 MILLIONS) |  |
| 1.A Measured by employment |  |
| 1.B Measured by value added | DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRO |


| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPORTION } \\ & \text { IN\% } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 0,115 | 2,671 | 0,613 | 1,579 | 1,331 | 1,610 | 4,783 | 8,182 | 17,0\% |  |
| 41. | 0,073 | 1,248 | 1,059 |  |  |  | 1,146 | 3,526 | 7,34\% |  |
| 42. | 0,335 | 1,088 | 0,637 |  |  |  | 1,279 | 3,339 | 6,95\% |  |
| 43. | 0,036 | 0,676 | 0,319 |  |  |  | 1,509 | 2,540 | 5,28\% |  |
| 6. | 0,025 | 1,051 | 0,558 |  |  |  | 0,780 | 2,414 | 5,02\% |  |
| 45. | 0,025 | 1,284 | 0,100 |  |  |  | 0,719 | 2,128 | 4,43\% |  |
| Other specifics professions |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other occupations | 1,995 | 11,105 | 5,769 |  |  |  | 11,121 | 25,889 | 53,9\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 2,604 \\ 14,098 \\ 16,702 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,122 \\ & 14,822 \\ & 33,994 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,055 \\ 4,169 \\ 13,224 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21,337 \\ & 12,109 \\ & 33,446 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,018 \\ & 45,198 \\ & 93,216 \end{aligned}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{gathered} 51,5 \% \\ 48,5 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.B Value added ( $\$ 000)^{1,2}$ <br> Information <br> Non-information <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} 74,8 \\ 279,6 \\ 354,4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1335,5 \\ 856,7 \\ 2192,3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 263,6 \\ 88,6 \\ 352,2 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1650,6 \\ 941,5 \\ 2591,9 \end{array}$ | 3324,4 2166,4 5490,8 |  | $\begin{gathered} 57,4 \% \\ 42,6 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
2. At factor cost.

1.A Measured by employment DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 12 | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 2,306 | 0,689 | 0,199 | 0,373 | 0,602 | 0,050 | 4,219 | 15,9\% |  |
| 41. | 1,500 | 0,095 | 0,349 |  |  | 0,225 | 2,169 | 8,2\% |  |
| 42. | 1,379 | 0,154 | 0,210 |  |  | 0,205 | 1,948 | 7,3\% |  |
| 45. | 1,422 | 0,162 | 0,033 |  |  | 0,074 | 1,691 | 6,3\% |  |
| 6. | 1,191 | 0,09 | 0,181 |  |  | 0,116 | 1,578 | 5,9\% |  |
| Other occupations | 10,768 | 0,418 | 2,005 |  |  | 3,032 | 14,840 | $56,11 \%$ |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{aligned} & 18,566 \\ & 17,035 \\ & 35,601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,608 \\ & 0,622 \\ & 2,230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,977 \\ & 1,350 \\ & 4,327 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,702 \\ & 1,741 \\ & 5,443 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,445 \\ & 20,748 \\ & 47,193 \end{aligned}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{gathered} 56,0 \% \\ 44,0 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.B Value added ( $\$ 000)^{1,2}$ <br> Information <br> Non-information <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} 713,1 \\ 562,2 \\ 1239,4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,0 \\ & 22,9 \\ & 83,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87,1 \\ 28,9 \\ 116,0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 188,2 \\ 88,3 \\ 276,5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1049,4 \\ 666,3 \\ 1715,7 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60,8 \% \\ 39,2 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
2. At factor cost.
3. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
(SNOITTIW OI\$ ND SUOOD TTGVZOA AO NOILdWASNOD: 8 NOLEVTONS
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment | MAIN USERS |  |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information occupations | 5 | 10 | 11 | 13 | Other Specifics | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 1,268 | 5,010 | 40,290 | 0,750 | 1,502 |  | 48,969 | 46,8\% |  |
| 43. | 0,293 | 0,407 | 9,289 | 0,679 |  |  | 11,070 | 10,5\% |  |
| 42. | 0,681 | 1,120 | 3,500 | 0,644 |  |  | 6,433 | 6,1\% |  |
| 45. | 0,908 | 1,182 | 3,475 | 0,113 |  |  | 5,830 | 5,5\% |  |
| 41. | 0,549 | 0,690 | 1,193 | 1,036 |  |  | 4,025 | 3,8\% |  |
| 49. | 0,327 | 0,482 | 1,645 | 0,455 |  |  | 3,463 | 3,3\% |  |
| 6. | 0,571 | 0,655 | 1,039 | 0,686 |  |  | 3,233 | 3,09\% |  |
| Other occupations | 5,104 | 2,149 | 6,335 | 5,087 |  |  | 21,551 | 20,6\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 9,701 \\ 14,884 \\ 24,585 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,695 \\ 4,526 \\ 16,221 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66,766 \\ & 21,081 \\ & 87,847 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,450 \\ 5,387 \\ 14,837 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,962 \\ 5,985 \\ 11,947 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104,574 \\ 50,863 \\ 155,437 \end{array}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 67,3 \% \\ 32,7 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{array}$ |
| 1.B Value added (\$000) ${ }^{1,2}$ Information Non-information Total | $\begin{aligned} & 445,5 \\ & 515,3 \\ & 960,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 443,8 \\ & 166,8 \\ & 610,6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1249,3 \\ 386,2 \\ 1635,6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254,3 \\ & 103,2 \\ & 357,6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 466,1 \\ & 242,3 \\ & 708,1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2859.0 \\ 1413,8 \\ 4272,8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 66,5 \% \\ 33,5 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{array}$ |

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.

[^16]
1.A Measured by employment

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  |  |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 13 |  | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 0,201 | 2,645 | 4,226 | 27,616 | 0,554 | 1,693 | 0,298 | 37,233 | 38,3\% |  |
| 43. | 0,062 | 1,474 | 0,343 | 6,367 | 0,684 |  | 0,661 | 9,591 | 9.8\% |  |
| 42. | 0,582 | 1,194 | 0,945 | 2,399 | 0,563 |  | 0,924 | 6,607 | 6,8\% |  |
| 45. | 0,043 | 1,232 | 0,997 | 2,380 | 0,091 |  | 0,334 | 5,077 | 5,2\% |  |
| 41. | 0,126 | 1,135 | 0,583 | 0,818 | 0,926 |  | 1,940 | 4,527 | 4,6\% |  |
| 49. | 0,059 | 0,539 | 0,407 | 1,127 | 0,330 |  | 1,097 | 3,559 | 3,6\% |  |
| 6. | 0,043 | 0,830 | 0,552 | 0,712 | 0,494 |  | 0,552 | 3,183 | 3,0\% |  |
| 12. | 2,361 | - | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | 2,361 | 2,4\% |  |
| Other occupations | 1,046 | 8,269 | 1,814 | 4,345 | 4,401 |  | 4,973 | 24,848 | 25,6\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 4,523 \\ 24,483 \\ 29,006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,318 \\ & 15,952 \\ & 32,270 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,866 \\ 3,818 \\ 13,684 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,764 \\ & 14,449 \\ & 60,213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,043 \\ 3,879 \\ 11,922 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12,473 \\ 9,544 \\ 22,017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96,986 \\ 75,125 \\ 169,111 \end{array}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{gathered} 57,3 \% \\ 42,7 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.B Value added $(\$ 000)^{1,2}$ Information Non-information Total | $\begin{aligned} & 130,0 \\ & 485,6 \\ & 615,6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 917,9 \\ 777,0 \\ 1695,0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 374,4 \\ & 140,7 \\ & 515,1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 856,3 \\ 264,7 \\ 1121,0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232,8 \\ 81,0 \\ 313,9 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1418,6 \\ 801,9 \\ 2220,3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3930,0 \\ & 2550,9 \\ & 6480,9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ 41 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ |

[^17]1.A Measured by employment

SIMULATION 11 : CONSUMPTION OF SERVICES (IN $\$ 10$ MLLLIONS)
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

2. At factor cost.
1.A Measured by employment
SIMULATION 12 : INVESTMENT IN MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT BY BUSINESSES
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  | OTHER USERS |  |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | Other specifics |  | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 1,916 | 6,554 | 3,611 | 0,925 | 0,489 | 13,495 | 27,6\% |  |
| 42. | 1,106 | 1,466 | - |  | 1,063 | 3,635 | 7,4\% |  |
| 45. | 1,568 | 1,546 | - |  | 0,515 | 3,629 | 7,4\% |  |
| 41. | 0,959 | 0,903 | -- |  | 1,095 | 2,957 | 6,0\% |  |
| 43. | 0,535 | 0,532 | 0,832 |  | 0,700 | 2,599 | 5,3\% |  |
| 6. | 1,044 | 0,856 | -- |  | 0,629 | 2,529 | 5,1\% |  |
| 49. | 0,544 | 0,631 | -- |  | 0,849 | 2,024 | 4,1\% |  |
| Other occupations | 9,391 | 2,813 | -- |  | 8,996 | 17,928 | 36,7\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{aligned} & 17,063 \\ & 20,560 \\ & 37,623 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,301 \\ 5,921 \\ 21,222 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16,432 \\ 8,927 \\ 25,359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,796 \\ & 35,408 \\ & 84,204 \end{aligned}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57,9 \% \\ 42,1 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{array}$ |
| 1.B Value added $(\$ 000)^{1,2}$ Information Non-information Total | $\begin{array}{r} 838,0 \\ 822,0 \\ 1660,0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 580,6 \\ & 218,2 \\ & 798,8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 615,2 \\ & 316,5 \\ & 931,7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2033,8 \\ 1356,7 \\ 3390,5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 59,9 \% \\ 41,1 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
2. At factor cost.
SIMULATION 13 : NON-RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION BY BUSINESSES

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  |  |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPORTION } \\ & \text { IN\% } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 13 | Other specifics | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 1,646 | 1,349 | 3,608 | 5,509 | 0,757 | 1,951 | 0,375 | 15,195 | 18,4\% |  |
| 71. | 0,158 | 7,752 | 0,015 | 0,027 | 0,032 |  | 0,105 | 8,089 | 9,8\% |  |
| 42. | 0,982 | 2,850 | 0,807 | 0,879 | 0,775 |  | 0,841 | 7,044 | 8,5\% |  |
| 41. | 0,736 | 2,135 | 0,497 | 1,352 | 1,285 |  | 0,615 | 6,620 | 8,0\% |  |
| 43. | 0,436 | 1,126 | 0,293 | 1,095 | 0,926 |  | 0,698 | 4,574 | 5,5\% |  |
| 6. | 0,794 | 1,268 | 0,472 | 0,530 | 0,691 |  | 0,471 | 4,226 | 5,1\% |  |
| 49. | 0,424 | 0,760 | 0,347 | 0,684 | 0,461 |  | 0,698 | 3,374 | 4,1\% |  |
| 45. | 1,099 | 0,293 | 0,851 | 0,046 | 0,122 |  | 0,407 | 2,818 | 3,4\% |  |
| 14. | 0,365 | 0,635 | 0,264 | 0,425 | 0,317 |  | 0,377 | 2,383 | 2,8\% |  |
| 11. | 0,037 | 1,976 | 0,005 | 0,027 | 0,019 |  | 0,037 | 2,101 | 2,5\% |  |
| Other occupations | 6,649 | 5,250 | 1,264 | 2,030 | 5,667 |  | 6,971 | 25,831 | 31,4\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{aligned} & 13,326 \\ & 18,858 \\ & 32,184 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,394 \\ & 55,270 \\ & 80,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,423 \\ 3,260 \\ 11,683 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,514 \\ 1,477 \\ 13,991 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,052 \\ 5,242 \\ 16,294 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11,546 \\ & 11,217 \\ & 22,763 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82,255 \\ 95,324 \\ 177,579 \end{array}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46,3 \% \\ 53,7 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{array}$ |
| 1.B Value added $(\$ 000)^{1.2}$ <br> Information <br> Non-information <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} 661,1 \\ 752,8 \\ 1414,0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1158,1 \\ & 2044,0 \\ & 3202,2 \end{aligned}$ | 319,6 120,1 439,8 | $\begin{array}{r} 673,7 \\ 66,6 \\ 740,3 \end{array}$ | 319,2 109,7 429,0 |  | 717,8 610,7 1328,1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3849,5 \\ & 3703,9 \\ & 7553,4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 50,5 \% \\ 49,5 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

[^18]2. At factor cost.
SIMULATION 14 : GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES ON GOODS AND SERVICES
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  |  |  | OTHER USERS |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Other Specifics | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 1,137 | 6,988 | 4,956 | 3,193 | 2,081 | 0,580 | 18,935 | 17,2\% |  |
| 41. | 0,448 | 0,207 | 1,217 | 6,214 |  | 1,068 | 9,154 | 8,4\% |  |
| 43. | 0,312 | 1,611 | 0,985 | 3,756 |  | 0,594 | 7,258 | 6,5\% |  |
| 42. | 0,480 | 0,607 | 0,710 | 3,582 |  | 1,533 | 6,912 | 6,2\% |  |
| 6. | 0,384 | 0,180 | 0,477 | 3,253 |  | 0,820 | 5,114 | 4,6\% |  |
| 33. | 0,005 | 0,001 | 0,021 | 4,865 |  | 0,007 | 4,899 | 4,4\% |  |
| 49. | 0,293 | 0,285 | 0,615 | 2,219 |  | 1,413 | 4,825 | 4,3\% |  |
| 47. | 0,170 | 0,127 | 0,258 | 2,752 |  | 0,949 | 4,256 | 3,8\% |  |
| 37. | 0,096 | 0,149 | 0,013 | 3,845 |  | 0,013 | 4,116 | 3,7\% |  |
| 36. | 0,014 | 0,007 | 0,016 | 3,488 |  | 0,067 | 3,592 | 3,2\% |  |
| 31. | 0,137 | 0,030 | 0,272 | 2,668 |  | 0,328 | 3,435 | 3,1\% |  |
| 48. | 0,034 | 0,014 | 0,037 | 0,144 | 2,604 | 0,017 | 2,850 | 2,5\% |  |
| 14. | 0,220 | 0,102 | 0,382 | 1,612 |  | 0,497 | 2,813 | 2,5\% |  |
| 44. | 0,197 | 0,069 | 0,322 | 1,794 |  | 0,410 | 2,792 | 2,5\% |  |
| 45. | 0,459 | 0,602 | 0,041 | 0,602 |  | 0,850 | 2,554 | 2,3\% |  |
| Other occupations | 3,856 | 0,601 | 0,937 | 15,275 |  | 5,923 | 26,592 | 24,1\% |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 8,242 \\ 4,860 \\ 13,102 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,580 \\ 3,656 \\ 15,236 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,259 \\ 1,328 \\ 12,587 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,262 \\ & 36,892 \\ & 96,154 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19,917 \\ & 20,240 \\ & 40,157 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,060 \\ 66,976 \\ 177,036 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62,1 \% \\ 37,9 \% \\ 100,0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1.B Value added $(\$ 000)^{1,2}$ Information Non-information Total | $\begin{aligned} & 420,2 \\ & 211,6 \\ & 631,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216,6 \\ 66,9 \\ 283,6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 629,4 \\ 62,2 \\ 691,6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2251,9 \\ & 1232,4 \\ & 3484,3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1259,3 938,4 2197,5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4777,4 \\ & 2511,5 \\ & 7288,9 \end{aligned}$ |  | 64,8\% 35,2\% 100,0\% |

[^19]1.A Measured by employment
SIMULATION 15 : NATIONAL DEFENCE EXPENDITURES
DIRECT AND INDIRECT USE OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS BY INDUSTRY

| Major industrial groups 1.A Employment by main information occupations | MAIN USERS |  | OTHER USERS |  |  |  |  | PROPORTION IN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 13 | Other specifics |  |  | Other | Total | Infor. | Total |
| 50. | 0,746 | 0,779 | 1,681 | 1,483 | 0,729 | 0,223 | 5,591 | 17,2\% |  |
| 41. | 0,533 | 1,077 |  |  |  | 0,747 | 2,357 | 7,2\% |  |
| 42. | 0,494 | 0,684 |  |  |  | 1,013 | 2,191 | 6,7\% |  |
| 43. | 0,351 | 0,851 |  |  |  | 0,787 | 1,989 | 6,1\% |  |
| Other occupations | 7,020 | 7,028 |  |  |  | 6,240 | 20,288 | 62,5\%. |  |
| Total information employment Total non-infor. employment Total employment | $\begin{array}{r} 9,144 \\ 9,195 \\ 18,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,419 \\ 6,453 \\ 16,872 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12,853 \\ 9,998 \\ 22,851 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32,416 \\ & 25,646 \\ & 58,062 \end{aligned}$ | 100,0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 55,8 \% \\ 44,1 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{array}$ |
| 1.B Value added (\$000) ${ }^{1,2}$ Information <br> Non-information <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 463,8 \\ & 372,6 \\ & 836,4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 279,3 \\ & 113,9 \\ & 393,2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 699,6 \\ 437,2 \\ 1136,8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1442,7 \\ 923,7 \\ 2366,4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60,9 \% \\ 39,1 \% \\ 100,0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

1. Totals do not always match because of rounding-off errors.
2. At factor cost.
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(514) $682-3400$
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