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## INTRODUCTION

The following report has been prepared for ISTC by Kormos, Harris \& Associates, Canada. This report overviews the segments of the U.S. footwear market in which there is an indication that Canadian footwear manufacturers can be competitive.

The data details estimated U.S. pairage and retail dollar sales for each of 18 different segments over the period of September, 1989 - August, 1990.

The data is based on the Kormos, Harris \& Associates' Footwear Market Index Study. Please see the appendix for a description of the program's methodology and a definition of the retail channel terminology used in the report.

## CANADIAN TARGET MARKET DEFINITION

The computer tabulations of the U.S. Footwear market that were the bases of this report were restricted by shoe style, price and distribution channel. These segments were defined as follows:

Style of Shoe
Men's Dress Shoes
Men's Casual Shoes
Men's Western/Casual Boots
Men's. Work/Safety/Shoes/Boots
Men's Sport Boots
Women's Dress Shoes
Women's Casual Shoes
Women's Dress Sandals
Women's Leather Boots
Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots
Women's Winter Boots
Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes
Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes
Infants' Nonathletic Shoes
Juvenile Winter Boots

## Retail Price

$\$ 60$ to $\$ 150$
$\$ 60$ to $\$ 150$
$\$ 60$ to $\$ 225$
$\$ 50$ to $\$ 200$
$\$ 50$ to $\$ 200$
$\$ 55$ to $\$ 130$ and $\$ 90$ to $\$ 180$
$\$ 55$ to $\$ 130$ and $\$ 90$ to $\$ 180$
$\$ 70$ to $\$ 150$
$\$ 60$ to $\$ 120$ and $\$ 125$ to $\$ 250$
$\$ 50$ to $\$ 150$
$\$ 60$ to $\$ 200$
$\$ 20$ to $\$ 50$
$\$ 20$ to $\$ 50$
, \$20 to \$50
$\$ 20$ to $\$ 50$

Only purchases in the following retail outlets are included in the report:

## Canadian Target Market Retail Channel Definitions

| Channel of Distribution |
| :--- |
| Department stores |
| Apparel specialty stores |
| Independently owned and minor family shoe store chains |
| Specialty shoe stores |
| Sporting goods stores and pro shops |
| Mail Order |
|  |
| Sears, J.C. Penney, Montgomery Ward |
| Army/Navy surplus stores |

## CANADIAN TARGET MARKET RETAIL CHANNEL DEFINITIONS

Following is a detailed description of the type of stores included in each retail channel definition:

| Retail Channel | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sears/Penney/Wards. | Sears, J.C. Penney and Montgomery Ward <br> (Including their catalog sales) |
| Department Stores | Macy's, Nordstroms, Bloomingdale's, Jordan <br> Marsh, etc. |
| Apparel Specialty Stores | Casual Corner, Pappagallo, Brooks Brothers, <br> etc. |
| Family \& Independent <br> Shoe Stores | Independent shoe stores and minor family shoe <br> store chains. Minor family shoe store chains <br> are defined as ownership of 20 stores or less. |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | Shoe specialty stores such as Naturalizer, <br> Florsheim, Johnston \& Murphy, etc.. |
| Total Shoe Stores | The sum of footwear purchases from family, <br> independent shoe stores and specialty stores. <br> Excluded from either shoe store category are <br> athletic shoe stores and self-service shoe stores <br> such as Payless, Thom McAn and Kinney. |


| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | Herman's, Oshman's, etc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mail Order | Spiegel, Lands End, Talbots, etc. (Does not <br> include mail order sales for Sears or J.C. <br> Penney) |
| All Other Outlets | Non-traditional outlets for shoe purchases, <br> e.g., Army/Navy surplus stores, mobile shoe <br> vans, western tack stores, etc. |

CANADIAN TARGET MARKET OPPORTUNITY ANALYSIS

The United States target market for Canadian footwear manufacturers is large with a pair potential in excess of 77. million and consumer expenditures in excess of $\$ 5$ billion.

## Total Market 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Dollars
Total Market $=\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$


The men's segment accounts for one out of every two pairs and $\$ .60$ out of every dollar within the Canadian target market.

> Total Footwear Market 1990 Retail Sales Percentage

| Pairs |  | Dollars |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54\% | 29\% | Women's | 49\% | 30\% |
| 27\% | 50\% | Men's | 40\% | 61\% |
| 19\% | 21\% | Juvenile | 11\% | 9\% |
| Total Market | Canadian <br> Target <br> Market |  | Total Market | Canadia <br> Target <br> Market |

In order of magnitude, the men's target market is twice the size of the women's and three times the size of the juvenile target markets.

Total Market 1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

|  | Pairs |  |  |  | Dollars |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Market | Canadian <br> Target <br> Market | Canadian <br> As Percent <br> of Total | Total <br> Market | Canadian <br> Target <br> Market | Canadian <br> As Percent <br> of Total |  |
| Women's | 539,400 | 22,275 | $4 \%$ | $\$ 15,100,000$ | $\$ 1,635,300$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| Men's | 265,200 | 39,154 | $15 \%$ | $\$ 12,200,000$ | $\$ 3,203,900$ | $26 \%$ |  |
| Juvenile | 182,800 | 16,197 | $9 \%$ | $\$ 3,300,000$ | $\$ 462,000$ | $14 \%$ |  |

Within the men's target market segment, dress shoes represent the largest category followed by boots. Combined, the two product areas account for more than $80 \%$ of the Canadian men's target market segment.
U. S. Footwear Market

Men's Market Size Estimate (000)

## Canadian Target Market

| Product | Price <br> Segment | Pairs | Dollars |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men's Dress Shoes | $\$ 60-\$ 150$ | 16,502 | $\$ 1,372,000$ |
| Men's Casual Shoes | $\$ 60-\$ 150$ | 4,313 | 339,000 |
| Men's Western Boots ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$ 60-\$ 225$ | 2,739 | 324,800 |
| Men's Boots ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\$ 50 \&$ Over | 15,600 | $1,168,100$ |
| Total |  | 39,154 | $\$ 3,203,900$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Includes Men's Western/Casual Boots
${ }^{(2)}$ See next page for product definition

The men's target boot area is highly concentrated in work and sport boots which account for $86 \%$ of the total boot pair and dollar potential.

Canadian Target Market
Men's Boots \$50 \& Over


The women's dress shoe target market is the largest target segment within women's and represents a pair potential that is three times greater than the women's boot target market.

## U. S. Footwear Market Women's Market Size Estimate (000) <br> Canadian Target Market

| Product | Price <br> Segment | Pairs | Dollars |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women's Dress Shoes | $\$ 55-\$ 130$ | 12,518 | $\$ 881,000$ |
| Women's Casual Shoes | $\$ 55-\$ 130$ | 5,109 | 354,000 |
| Women's Dress Sandals | $\$ 70-\$ 150$ | 432 | 37,000 |
| Women's Boots $:$ | $\$ 50 \&$ Over | 4,216 | 363,300 |
| Total |  | 22,275 | $\$ 1,635,300$ |

Of the 4.2 million pair women's boot target market, leather fashion boots account for 1.4 million pairs or $33 \%$, with winter boots accounting for an additional $19 \%$ or 800,000 pairs.

## Canadian Target Market <br> Women's Boots \$50 \& Over

| 4,216,000 | Total | \$363,300,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,416,000 | Leather Boots | \$109,000,000 |
| 1,000,000 | Ankle/Low Boots | \$65,800,000 |
|  | Winter Boots | \$61,600,000 |
| 700,000 | Casual Boots | \$99,300,000 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 100,000 \\ \hline 200,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sport Boots Work Boots | $\frac{\$ 12,600,000}{\$ 15,000,000}$ |
| Pairs |  | Dollars |

The juvenile target market represents a 16.2 million pairs and $\$ 462$ millions. Potential is highly concentrated in the dress and casual shoe categories.

## U. S. Footwear Market <br> Juvenile Market Size Estimate (000) <br> Canadian Target Market

| Product | Price <br> Segment | Pairs | Dollars |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Juvenile Dress and <br> Casual Shoes | $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | 13,397 | $\$ 403,000$ |
| Juvenile Boots | $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | 2,800 | 59,000 |
| Total | . | 16,197 | $\$ 462,000$ |

The men's dress shoe target market represents the largest target segment for Canadian manufactured footwear. Men's dress shoes represent $21 \%$ of the total Canadian potential. At 16.5 mm pairs and $\$ 1.4$ billion at retail, the men's dress shoe target segment is large and desirable, because of its absolute size.

## MEN'S DRESS SHOES <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Within the $\$ 60$ and over defined target segment, $49 \%$ of the target potential or 8 million pairs fall within a $\$ 20$ retail price spread from $\$ 60.00$ to $\$ 79.99$.

## Men's Dress Shoes 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$ $\$ 0.00$ | 67\% | 38,302 | - | - |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 10\% | 5,717 | 27\% | 4,456 |
| \$ 70.00-\$ 79.99 | 7\% | 4,002 | 22\% | 3,630 |
| \$ $80.00-\$ 89.99$ | 5\% | 2,858 | 17\% | 2,805 |
| \$ 90.00-\$ 99.99 | 3\% | 1,715 | 11\% | 1,815 |
| \$100.00-\$129.99 | 4\% | 2,287 | 16\% | 2,574 |
| \$130.00-\$160.00 | 2\% | 1,143 | 7\% | 1,221 |
| Over \$160.00 | 2\% | 1,143 | $=$ | = |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 57,167 | 100\% | 16,502 |

Shoe stores represent the largest retail channel at $53 \%$ of the target segment potential followed by department stores at $21 \%$. Combined, these two retail channels account for three out of every four pairs of the men's dress shoe target segment.

## Men's Dress Shoes 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 14\% | 8,003 | 21\% | 3,465 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 1,143 | 4\% | 660 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 14\% | 8,003 | 8\% | 1,320 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 11\% | 6,288 | 16\% | 2,640 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 18\% | 10,290 | 37\% | 6,106 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 29\% | 16,578 | 53\% | 8,746 |
| Mail Order | 7\% | 4,002 | 8\% | 1,320 |
| All Other Outlets | 5\% | 2,858 | 6\% | 990 |
| Discount Stores | 11\% | 6,288 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 15\% | 8,575 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 3\% | 1,715 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 57,167 | 100\% | 16,502 |

(1) Includes athietic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe stores such as Payless

The ten largest retailers of men's dress shoes account for only one third of the potential in the target group. This reinforces the importance of department stores and independent shoe stores as channels of distribution.

Men's Dress Shoes<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

## Top Retailers

Total Market
Price $\$ 60-\$ 150$

| J.C. Penney | $8.3 \%$ | Florsheim | $18.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Florsheim | $6.7 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $7.1 \%$ |
| Payless | $6.1 \%$ | Hanover | $2.4 \%$ |
| Sears | $5.2 \%$ | Jarman | $1.4 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $4.5 \%$ | Sears | $1.1 \%$ |
| Kinney | $2.9 \%$ | Johnston \& Murphy | $0.9 \%$ |
| K-Mart | $2.5 \%$ | Bally | $0.6 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $1.6 \%$ | Freeman | $0.2 \%$ |
| Hanover | $1.5 \%$ | Regal | $0.2 \%$ |
| Jarman | $1.0 \%$ | Hardy | $0.2 \%$ |

On a geographic basis the target market for men's dress shoes parallels the total market and reflects population concentrations. At least $47 \%$ of the potential is found in the northern parts of the United States.

## Men's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 13\% | 7,432 | 15\% | 2,475 |
| Mountain | 4\% | 2,287 | 4\% | 660 |
| West North Central | 8\% | 4,573 | 7\% | 1,155 |
| East North Central | 17\% | 9,718 | 17\% | 2,805 |
| Middle Atlantic | 19\% | 10,862 | 19\% | 3,135 |
| New England | 5\% | 2,858 | 4\% | 660 |
| South Atlantic | 17\% | 9,718 | 17\% | 2,805 |
| East South Central | 5\% | 2,858 | 5\% | 825 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 6,860 | 12\% | 1,980 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 57,167 | 100\% | 16,502 |

NOTE: See Appendix for regional map.

The potential for men's dress shoes within the target market is strongest in the 25-44 age group and accounts for nearly $50 \%$ of the total pairs purchased. And, men under 25 are less likely to be in the target audience ( $14 \%$ ) than typically found in the total population (22\%).

## Men's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 22\% | 12,577 | 14\% | 2,310 |
| 25-34 | 25\% | 14,292 | 28\% | 4,621 |
| 35-44 | 18\% | 10,290 | 20\% | 3,300 |
| 45-54 | 14\% | 8,003 | 16\% | 2,640 |
| 55-64 | 11\% | 6,288 | 13\% | 2,145 |
| 65 \& Over | 10\% | 5,717 | 9\% | 1,485 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 57,167 | 100\% | 16,502 |

Nearly half of the men's dress shoes (44\%) sold in the target market are purchased by those with incomes above $\$ 40,000$ and the market is particularly attractive for those in the highest income brackets.

## Men's Dress Shoes 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | \$60-\$150 | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | $28 \%$ | 16,007 | $21 \%$ | 3,465 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $18 \%$ | 10,290 | $14 \%$ | 2,310 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $22 \%$ | 12,577 | $21 \%$ | 3,465 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $9 \%$ | 5,145 | $10 \%$ | 1,650 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $14 \%$ | 8,003 | $18 \%$ | 2,970 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{9 \%}$ | $\underline{5,145}$ | $\underline{16 \%}$ | $\underline{2,640}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 57,167 | $100 \%$ | 16,502 |

The top ten brands in the target market account for $56 \%$ of category sales. Eight of these brands are also in the top ten in the non-restricted men's dress shoe market.

Men's Dress Shoes<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Ten Brands.
Total Market $\quad$ Price $\$ 60-\$ 150$

| Florsheim | $7.1 \%$ | Florsheim | $20.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Dexter | $6.3 \%$ | Rockport | $8.2 \%$ |
| Bass | $3.9 \%$ | Dexter | $6.2 \%$ |
| Rockport | $3.1 \%$ | Bass | $5.6 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $2.9 \%$ | Johnston \& Murphy | $3.6 \%$ |
| Nunn-Bush | $2.6 \%$ | Freeman | $3.1 \%$ |
| Jarman | $2.0 \%$ | Bostonian | $2.9 \%$ |
| Freeman | $1.8 \%$ | Jarman | $2.2 \%$ |
| Johnston \& Murphy | $1.6 \%$ | Bally | $2.0 \%$ |
| Bally | $1.5 \%$ | Hanover | $2.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $32.8 \%$ | Subtotal | $55.8 \%$ |
| All Other | $67.2 \%$ | All Other | $44.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

At $11 \%$ of the total, men's casual shoes represent the third largest segment within the men's shoe market, exceeded by dress ( $42 \%$ ) and boots. The market potential for men's casual shoes is over 4.3 mm pairs and approximately $\$ 350$ million. The target market for men's casuals represents about $17 \%$ of the total men's casual shoes on a pairage basis, but $36 \%$ on a dollar basis.
MEN'S CASUAL SHOES
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000).
Pairs Dollars


The dominant price point in the target market is $\$ 60-\$ 70$. Nearly half the men's casuals in the target market are sold in this price range, while only $20 \%$ are sold above $\$ 90$.

## Men's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$60.00 | 83\% | 21,378. | - | - |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 7\% | 1,803 | 45\% | 1,941 |
| \$ $70.00-\$ 79.99$ | 3\% | 773 | 18\% | 776 |
| \$ 80.00-\$89.99 | 3\% | 773 | 16\% | 690 |
| \$ 90.00-\$ 99.99 | 2\% | 515 | 10\% | 431 |
| \$100.00-\$149.99 | 1\% | 258 | 11\% | 474 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 25,757 | 100\% | 4,313 |

Four out of every ten casual shoes sold in the target market are sold through shoe stores. Department stores are also a key channel, accounting for $25 \%$ of the volume.

## Men's Casual Shoes

1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 14\% | 3,606 | 25\% | 1,078 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 515 | 5\% | 216 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 9\% | 2,318 | 5\% | 216 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 2\% | 515 | 6\% | 259 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 12\% | 3,091 | 21\% | 906. |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 7\% | 1,803 | 22\% | 949 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 19\% | 4,894 | 43\% | 1,855 |
| Mail Order | 5\% | 1,288 | 11\% | 474 |
| All Other Outlets | 8\% | 1,983 | 5\% | 216 |
| Discount Stores | 21\% | 5,409 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 15\% | 3,941 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 5\% | 1,288 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 25,757 | 100\% | 4,313 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe
stores such as Payless

Florsheim and J.C. Penney are the dominant retailers serving the target market and account for $10 \%$ of the market volume.

Men's Casual Shoes 1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers
Total Market Price $\$ 60-\$ 150$

| K-Mart | $7.1 \%$ |  | Florsheim |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Payless | $6.6 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $5.9 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $5.1 \%$ | Hanover | $4.0 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $3.8 \%$ | Johnston \& Murphy | $2.1 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $3.6 \%$ | Jarman | $1.1 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.3 \%$ | Sears | $1.0 \%$ |
| Kinney | $2.7 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $0.7 \%$ |
| Florsheim | $1.7 \%$ | Gallenkamp | $0.5 \%$ |
| Jarman | $0.9 \%$ | Bally | $0.2 \%$ |
| Picway | $0.9 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

The regional potential for men's casual shoes in the target market follows very closely the demand for men's casuals shoes in the total population.

## Men's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 14\% | 3,606 | 14\% | 604 |
| Mountain | 6\% | 1,545 | 5\% | 216 |
| West North Central | 6\% | 1,545 | 8\% | 345 |
| East North Central | 16\% | 4,121 | 14\% | 604 |
| Middle Atlantic | 16\% | 4,121 | 18\% | 776 |
| New England | 5\% | 1,288 | 6\% | 259 |
| South Atlantic | 20\% | 5,151 | 20\% | 863 |
| East South Central | 5\% | 1,288 | 5\% | 216 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 3,091 | 10\% | 431 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 25,757 | 100\% | 4,313 |

In the target market, $39 \%$ of the men's casuals are purchased for use by men 45 years and older; in men's dress shoes this same age group accounts for $38 \%$ of the pairs. Men under 25 years of age purchases account for $24 \%$ of casuals but only $14 \%$ of the dress shoes sold in the target market.

## Men's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail•Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 26\% | 6,697 | 24\% | 1,035 |
| 25-34 | 25\% | 6,439 | 18\% | 776 |
| 35-44 | 17\% | 4,379 | 19\% | 819 |
| 45-54 | 12\% | 3,091 | 17\% | 733 |
| 55-64 | 10\% | 2,576 | 12\% | 518 |
| 65 \& Over | 10\% | $\underline{2,576}$ | 10\% | 431 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 25,757 | 100\% | 4,313 |

As with dress shoes, $44 \%$ of the pairage sales are to households with income of $\$ 40,000$ or higher and the affluent households are disproportionately high in their importance.

## Men's Casual Shoes

1990
Retail $\cdot M a r k e t$ Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 29\% | 7,470 | 20\% | 863 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 19\% | 4,894 | 13\% | 561 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 22\% | 5,667 | 23\% | 992 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 9\% | 2,318 | 10\% | 431 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 15\% | 3,864 | 16\% | 690 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 6\% | ' 1,545 | 18\% | 776 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 25,757 | 100\% | 4,313 |

Five of the top ten brands in the target market are not important enough to make the top ten list in the unrestricted casual market suggesting several brands have targeted their market position to higher price points with more limited distribution.

## Men's Casual Shoes

1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Ten Brands
Total Market . Price $\$ 60-\$ 150$

|  | $8.3 \%$ | Rockport | $15.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Bass | $6.6 \%$ | Bass | $10.6 \%$ |
| Dexter | $3.8 \%$ | Dexter | $8.2 \%$ |
| Sperry Top-Sider | $3.7 \%$ | Timberland | $5.7 \%$ |
| Rockport | $3.2 \%$ | Sperry/Top-Sider | $3.4 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $1.6 \%$ | Nike | $2.9 \%$ |
| Sebago | $1.4 \%$ | Mason | $2.5 \%$ |
| Nike | $1.4 \%$ | Wolverine | $2.3 \%$ |
| Levi | $1.3 \%$ | Red Wing | $2.1 \%$ |
| Reebok | $1.2 \%$ | Cole-Haan | $2.0 \%$ |
| Jarman |  |  |  |
|  | $32.5 \%$ | Subtotal | $54.8 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $67.5 \%$ | All Other | $45.2 \%$ |
| All Other | $10.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

Men's western/casual boots make up $9 \%$ of the footwear sold in the total target market and account for $12 \%$ of the dollar sales. Within this product category, $52 \%$ of products total sales fall within the definition of the target segment. The segments potential is 3.4 mm pairs and $\$ 373 \mathrm{~mm}$. With an average price of $\$ 108$ per pair, this segment also has the highest average price of all the target segments.

## MEN'S WESTERN/CASUAL BOOTS <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

Pairs
Dollars


In the target market, $39 \%$ of the men's western/casual boots are sold above $\$ 100$. By comparison, the corresponding percent in men's dress is $23 \%$ and $21 \%$ in men's casuals. Only one out of seven pairs in the target market is sold at between $\$ 60$ and $\$ 69$.

## Men's Western/Casual Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 225 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$ 60.00 | 35\% | 2,319 | - | - |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 8\% | 530 | 16\% | 550 |
| \$ $70.00-\$ 79.99$ | 8\% | 530 | 15\% | 516 |
| \$ $80.00-\$ 89.99$ | 11\% | 729 | 16\% | 550 |
| \$ $90.00-\$ 99.99$ | 10\% | 663 | 14\% | 481 |
| \$100.00-\$129.99 | 8\% | 530 | 14\% | 481 |
| \$130.00-\$149.99 | 5\% | 331 | 6\% | 206 |
| \$150.00-\$199.99 | 9\% | 596 | 15\% | 516 |
| \$200.00-\$225.00 |  |  | 4\% | 138 |
| Over \$225.00 | 6\% | 398 | $=$ | = |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,626 | 100\% | 3,439 |

Department stores and specialty shoe stores, which were seen to be very important in men's dress and men's casuals, become relatively unimportant in western/casual boots. Apparel and other specialty outlets do almost $45 \%$ of the business in the product category.

## Men's Western/Casual Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 225 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 5\% | 331 | 4\% | 138 |
| Apparel Specialty | 15\% | 994 | 21\% | 722 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 9\% | 596 | 9\% | 310 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 5\% | 331 | 6\% | 206 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 13\% | 861 | 20\% | 688 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 4\% | 272 | 7\% | 241 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 17\% | 1,133 | 27\% | 929 |
| Mail Order | 7\% | 464 | 10\% | 344 |
| All Other Outlets | 18\% | 1,160 | 23\% | 791 |
| Discount Stores | 15\% | 994 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 3\% | 225 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 6\% | 398 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,626 | 100\% | 3,439 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Traditional shoe retailers are not important in the western/casual boot market. But, the two leading catalog chains account for nearly $10 \%$ of the segment sales.

# Men's Western/Casual Boots <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

Top Retailers
Total Market
Price $\$ 60-\$ 225$

| Wal-Mart | $5.1 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $5.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J.C. Penney | $4.8 \%$ | Sears | $3.0 \%$ |
| K-Mart | $4.6 \%$ | Jarman | $0.8 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.2 \%$ | Wild Pair | $0.4 \%$ |
| Payless | $1.9 \%$ | Florsheim | $0.3 \%$ |
| Montgomery Ward | $1.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Kinney | $0.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Jarman | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Thom McAn | $0.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Wild Pair | $0.3 \%$ |  |  |

The men's western/casual boot target market reflects high regional concentrations in the Mountain, West North Central and West South Central regions. Combined, these four regions account for $49 \%$ of the target western/casual boot market, but, only $23 \%$ of the target dress and casual shoe markets.

## Men's Western/Casual Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 225 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 9\% | 596 | 12\% | 413 |
| Mountain | 13\% | 861 | 13\%. | 447 |
| West North Central | 12\% ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 795 | 15\% | 516 |
| East North Central | 11\% | 729 | 9\% | 310 |
| Middle Atlantic | 10\% | 663 | 9\% | 310 |
| New England | 1\% | 66 | 2\% | 69 |
| South Atlantic | 13\% | 861 | 12\% | 413 |
| East South Central | 9\% | 596 | 7\% | 241 |
| West South Central | 22\% | 1,458 | 21\% | 722 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,626 | 100\% | 3,439 |

There is almost no difference in the consumption patterns by age between the total unrestricted market and the target market.

> Men's Western/Casual Boots
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate $(000)$

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 225 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 25\% | 1,657 | 23\% | 791 |
| 25-34 | 29\% | 1,922 | 30\% | 1,032 |
| 35-44 | 20\% | 1,312 | 22\% | 757 |
| 45-54 | 13\% | 888 | 12\% | 413 |
| 55-64 | 8\% | 510 | 7\% | 241 |
| 65 \& Over | 5\% | 338 | 6\% | $\underline{206}$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,626 | 100\% | 3,439 |

A disproportionately high $50 \%$ of the pairs are sold to those with income under $\$ 30,000$; this compares to $35 \%$ in men's dress shoes and $33 \%$ in men's casual shoes.

## Men's Western/Casual Boots

1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ <br> $\$ 60-\$ 225$ | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | $41 \%$ | 2,723 | $38 \%$ | 1,307 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $21 \%$ | 1,385 | $12 \%$ | 413 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $19 \%$ | 1,226 | $17 \%$ | 585 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $7 \%$ | 437 | $6 \%$ | 206 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $8 \%$ | 537 | $10 \%$ | 344 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{5 \%}$ | $\underline{318}$ | $\underline{17 \%}$ | $\underline{585}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 6,626 | $100 \%$ | 3,439 |

In the target market, the business is concentrated among a few brands. Ten brands do two-thirds of the segment volume with two brands, Justin and Texas Boot, controlling $30 \%$ of the total.

Men's Western/Casual Boots<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Ten Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$60-\$225 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas Boot | 17.6\% | Justin | 15.8\% |
| Justin | 10.8\% | Texas Boot | 14.6\% |
| Dingo | 9.2\% | Tony Lama | 9.6\% |
| Acme | 7.5\% | Acme | 8.4\% |
| Tony Lama | 6.9\% | Dingo | 7.6\% |
| Dan Post | 3.8\% | Dan Post | 4.2\% |
| Laredo | 2.4\% | Laredo | 1.7\% |
| Wolverine | 2.0\% | Frye | 1.6\% |
| Nocona | 1.8\% | Mason | 1.6\% |
| Mason | 1.4\% | Wolverine | 1.5\% |
| Subtotal | 63.4\% | Subtotal | 66.6\% |
| All Other | 36.6\% | All Other | 33.4\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

The targeted men's work/safety shoe/boot segment represents the third largest target segment to $13 \%$ of the total target market pairs and $15 \%$ of target market dollars. Within the total men's work shoe/boot market, the target segment represents one out of every three pairs, and over fifty percent of the dollars.

## MEN'S WORK/SAFETY SHOES/BOOTS 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Within the target segment, $50 \%$ of the pair potential is concentrated in the $\$ 50-\$ 70$ price range.

Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$50.00 | 57\% | 16,974 | - | - |
| \$ 50.00-\$ 59.99 | 12\% | 3,573 | 25\% | 2,575 |
| \$ $60.00-\$ 69.99$ | 11\% | 3,276 | 24\% | 2,472 |
| \$ 70.00 - \$ 79.99 | 8\% | 2,382 | 18\% | 1,854 |
| \$ 80.00 - \$ 89.99 | 5\% | 1,489 | 13\% | 1,339 |
| \$ $90.00-\$ 99.99$ | 3\% | 893 | 8\% | 824 |
| \$100.00-\$129.99 | 3\% | 893 | 9\% | 927 |
| \$130.00-\$200.00 | 1\% | $\underline{298}$ | 3\% | 309 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 29,779 | 100\% | 10,300 |

Retail channel importance for men's work/safety shoes/boots is significantly different from other men's targeted product categories. Independent shoe stores account for $28 \%$ of the target segment with Sears/ Penney/Wards contributing an additional $20 \%$. Mail order and non-traditional footwear outlets (see other outlets) hold another $30 \%$ of the potential.

## Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | $\%$ Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 4\% | 1,191 | 5\% | 464 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 596 | 4\% | 443 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 16\% | 4,765 | 20\% | 2,060 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 3\% | 893 | 5\% | 494 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 13\% | 3,871 | 28\% | 2,884 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 4\% | 1,191 | 8\% | 855 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 17\% | 5,062 | 36\% | 3,739 |
| Mail Order | 6\% | 1,787 | 12\% | 1,236 |
| All Other Outlets | 10\% | 2,978 | 18\% | 1,864 |
| Discount Stores | 30\% | 8,934 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 9\% | 2,680 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 3\% | 893 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 29,779 | 100\% | 10,300 |

In the target segment, two major retail chains account for one out of every five pairs sold.

## Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  | Price \$50-\$200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-Mart | 15:9\% | Sears | 14.7\% |
| Sears | 10.1\% | J.C. Penney | 5.1\% |
| Walmart | 4.5\% |  |  |
| J.C. Penney | 4.4\% |  |  |
| Payless | 4.1\% |  |  |
| Kinney | 2.5\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 1.6\% |  |  |
| Montgomery Ward | 1.3\% |  |  |
| Fayva | 0.6\% |  |  |
| Picway | 0.6\% |  |  |

One third of the target market potential is located in two major regions bordering Canada.

> Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots $$
\text { •1990 }
$$ Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 11\% | 3,276 | 12\% | 1,236 |
| Mountain | 5\% | 1,489 | 6\% | 618 |
| West North Central | 9\% | 2,680 | 13\% | 1,339 |
| East North Central | 19\% | 5,658 | 20\% | 2,060 |
| Middle Atlantic | 19\% | 5,658 | 16\% | 1,648 |
| New England | 6\% | 1,787 | 6\% | 618 |
| South Atlantic | 15\% | 4,467 | 12\% | 1,236 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 1,787 | 5\% | 515 |
| West South Central | 10\% | $\underline{2}, 978$ | 10\% | 1,030 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 29,779 | 100\% | 10,300 |

The target market segment has the same age of wearer profile as the total market and reflects above average concentration (compared to other products) in the $25-44$ age segments.

## Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 14\% | 4,169 | 12\% | 1,236 |
| 25-34 | 35\% | 10,423 | 36\% | 3,708 |
| 35-44 | 23\% | 6,849 | 23\% | 2,369 |
| 45-54 | 14\% | 4,169 | 16\% | 1,648 |
| 55-64 | 10\% | 2,978 | 11\% | 1,133 |
| 65 \& Over | 4\% | 1,191 | 2\% | 206 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 29,779 | 100\% | 10,300 |

Although the target market reflects a somewhat more upscale income consumers than the total market, $77 \%$ of the pairs are still purchased by consumers with a household income of less that $\$ 40,000$ annually.
Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 39\% | 11,614 | 30\% | 3,090 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 22\% | 6,551 | 22\% | 2,266 |
| \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 23\% | 6,849 | 25\% | 2,575 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 7\% | 2,085 | 9\% | 927 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 2,085 | 10\% | 1,030 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 2\% | 596 | 4\% | 412 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 29,779 | 100\% | 10,300 |

One-third of the target market segment is contracted by the top three brands with brand shares fragmenting dramatically below the top three.

## Men's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Ten Brands
Total Market
Price $\$ 50-\$ 200$

| Texas Steer |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Sears | $17.2 \%$ | Red Wing | $19.0 \%$ |
| Red Wing | $10.7 \%$ | Wolverne | $8.3 \%$ |
| Wolverine | $7.8 \%$ | Mason | $7.9 \%$ |
| Payless | $5.5 \%$ | Knapp | $4.2 \%$ |
| Mason | $4.3 \%$ | Rockport | $2.3 \%$ |
| Knapp | $3.1 \%$ | Timberland | $1.8 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $2.0 \%$ | Dexter | $1.2 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $1.7 \%$ | Carolina | $1.2 \%$ |
| Texas Boot | $1.7 \%$ | Texas Boot | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | $1.6 \%$ | Herman's | $0.7 \%$ |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |
| All Other | $55.6 \%$ | Subtotal | $47.6 \%$ |
| Total | $44.4 \%$ | All Other | $52.4 \%$ |
|  | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

The men's targeted sport boot market segment accounts for over $50 \%$ of the pairs and $73 \%$ of the dollars in the total sport boot market.

## MEN'S SPORT BOOTS (1) <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

Pairs

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questiommaire mailed.

Sporting goods stores and mail order account for $80 \%$ of the potential of the target market.

> Men's Sport Boots (1)
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

|  | R Total <br> Retail Channel$\quad$Market$\quad$ Pairs$\%$ Price <br> $\$ 50-\$ 200$$\quad$ Pairs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Department Stores | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apparel Specialty | $2 \%$ | 121 | - | - |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | $7 \%$ | 423 | - | - |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | $21 \%$ | 1,268 | $46 \%$ | 1,472 |
| Independent Shoe Stores |  |  |  |  |
| and minor family chains | $16 \%$ | 966 | - | - |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | $6 \%$ | 362 | - | - |
| Net independent, family and |  |  |  |  |
| shoe specialty | $22 \%$ | 1,328 | $20 \%$ | 640 |
| Mail Order | $16 \%$ | 966 | $34 \%$ | 1,088 |
| All Other Outlets | $6 \%$ | 362 | - | - |
| Discount Stores | $20 \%$ | 1,208 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Stors Chains (2) | $6 \%$ | 362 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ |
|  | $100 \%$ | 6,038 | $100 \%$ | 3,200 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January $1,1991$.
(2) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Within the target men's sport boot segment, the $\$ 60-\$ 79$ and $\$ 80-\$ 99$ retail price segments are about equal in size and combined account for three out of every four pairs sold.

## Men's Sport Boots (1) 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$50.00 | 47\% | 2,838 | - | - |
| \$ $50.00-\$ 59.99$ | 13\% | 785 | 17\% | 544 |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 79.99 | 22\% | 1,328 | 39\% | 1,248 |
| \$ 80.00-\$ 99.99 | 16\% | 966 | 37\% | 1,184 |
| \$100.00-\$200.00 | 2\% | 121 | 7\% | 224 |
| Over \$200,00 | = | ニ | ニ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,038 | 100\% | 3,200 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

Over half of the target market potential is concentrated in the North Central and Northwestern regions bordering Canada.

Men's Sport Boots (1)
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 23\% | 1,389 | 15\% | 467 |
| Mountain | 3\% | 181 | 5\% | 173 |
| West North Central | 3\% | 181 | 8\% | 240 |
| East North Central | 24\% | 1,449 | 16\% | 502 |
| Middle Atlantic | 12\% | 725 | 17\% | 550 |
| New England | 3\% | 181 | 12\% | 394 |
| South Atlantic | 14\% | 845 | 21\% | 659 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 362 | 3\% | 83 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 725 | 4\% | 131 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,038 | 100\% | 3,200 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

The sport boot target segment is heavily concentrated ( $69 \%$ ) in the under 35 year old age segments.

> Men's Sport Boots (1)
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimates (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 29\% | 1,751 | 36\% | 1,149 |
| 25-34 | 33\% | 1,993 | 33\% | 1,053 |
| 35-54 | 31\% | 1,872 | 19\% | 608 |
| 55 \& Over | 7\% | 423 | 12\% | 390 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,038 | 100\% | 3,200 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

And the consumer in the target market is generally from a household with relatively modest income.

## Men's Sport Boots (1) <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 46\% | 2,777 | 58\% | 1,846 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 20\% | 1,208 | 8\% | 250 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 16\% | 966 | 21\% | 678 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10\% | 604 | 4\% | 131 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 302 | 3\% | 90 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 3\% | 181 | 6\% | 205 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 6,038 | 100\% | 3,200 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

Brand concentration in the target market is high with the top three brands controlling over $45 \%$ of the potential.

## Men's Sport Boots (1) <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Brands
Total Market
Price $\$ 50-\$ 200$

| Texas Steer | $12.9 \%$ | Timberland | $20.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Timberland | $9.9 \%$ | Red Wing | $13.7 \%$ |
| Red Wing | $9.8 \%$ | L.L. Bean | $12.7 \%$ |
| Wolverine | $7.2 \%$ | Carolina | $5.1 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $39.8 \%$ | Subtotal |  |
| All Other | $60.2 \%$ | All Other | $51.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $48.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $100.0 \%$ |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

At 12.5 mm pairs, women's dress shoes are the single largest product segment in the total target market for women's shoes, accounting for $54 \%$ of the pairs and $55 \%$ of the dollars. Average price per pair is $\$ 70$.

WOMEN'S DRESS SHOES
1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

Pairs
Dollars


Forty-one percent of the pairs in the women's dress category are sold above the average price point of $\$ 70$ and present a market potential of over 5.1 mm pairs. Less than 1 mm pairs are sold at $\$ 100-\$ 130$.

Women's Dress Shoes
1990
ail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$55.00 | 91\% | 147,841 | - | - |
| \$ 55.00-\$ 59.99 | 2\% | 3,249 | 20\% | 2,504 |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 3\% | 4,874 | 39\% | 4,882 |
| \$ 70.00-\$ 79.99 | 2\% | 3,249 | 21\% | 2,629 |
| \$ 80.00-\$ 99.99 | 1\% | 1,625 | 13\% | 1,627 |
| \$100.00-\$130.00 | 1\% | 1,625 | 7\% | 876 |
| Over \$130.00 | - - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 12,518 |

Within the target market, dominant outlets for women's dress shoes are department stores (43\%) and shoe specialty stores ( $30 \%$ ).

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 19\% | 30,868 | 43\% | 5,383 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 3,249 | 4\% | 501 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 8\% | 12,997 | 2\% | 250 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 12\% | 19,496 | 13\% | 1,627 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 15\% | 24,369 | 30\% | 3,755 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 27\% | 43,865 | 43\% | 5,383 |
| Mail Order | 2\% | 3,249 | 5\% | 626 |
| All Other Outlets | 6\% | 9,748 | 3\% | 376 |
| Discount Stores | 16\% | 25,994 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 18\% | 29,243 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 2\% | 3,249 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 1.62,463 | 100\% | 12,518 |

[^0]Three shoe specialty chains do $10 \%$ of the total women's dress target market business and these three control one third of all women's dress shoes sold through shoe specialty stores.

Women's Dress Shoes
1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Retailers
Total Market
Price $\$ 55-\$ 130$

| Payless | $11.1 \%$ | Naturalizer | $5.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Naturalizer | $5.1 \%$ | Joyce Selby | $2.5 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $4.8 \%$ | Red Cross/Cobbie | $2.3 \%$ |
| K-Mart | $4.7 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $1.5 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.2 \%$ | Bakers | $0.6 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $2.8 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $0.4 \%$ |
| Kinney | $2.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Fayva | $1.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Picway | $1.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Thom McAn | $1.4 \%$ |  |  |

The Northeast and particularly the West Coast are disproportionately important in the women's dress shoe business. Together they account for $44 \%$ of the target market but a smaller $35 \%$ of the non-restricted market.

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 13\% | 21,120 | 19\% | 2,378 |
| Mountain | 5\% | 8,123 | 5\% | 626 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 11,372 | 6\% | 751 |
| East North Central | 1.7\% | 27,619 | 13\% | 1,627 |
| Middle Atlantic | 17\% | 27,619 | 19\% | 2,378 |
| New England | 5\% | 8,123 | 6\% | 751 |
| South Atlantic | 18\% | 29,243 | 16\% | 2,003 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 9,748 | 5\% | 626 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 19,496 | 11\% | 1,377 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 12,518 |

Within the target market, one half of the dress shoes are purchased for use by women forty five or older.

Women's Dress Shoes<br>1990<br>Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \%. Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 19\% | 30,868 | 9\% | 1,127 |
| 25-34 | 27\% | . 43,865 | 23\% | 2,879 |
| 35-44 | 18\% | 29,243 | 18\% | 2,253 |
| 45-54 | 15\% | 24,369 | 18\% | 2,253 |
| 55-64 | 11\% | 17,871 | 15\% | 1,878 |
| 65 \& Over | 10\% | 16,246 | 17\% | 2,128 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 12,518 |

About $35 \%$ of the total target market is sold to households with incomes above $\$ 50,000$. However, $38 \%$ is sold to households with incomes below $\$ 30,000$.

## Women's Dress Shoes 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | \$55-\$130 | Pairs |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | $33 \%$ | 53,613 | $22 \%$ | 35,742 |  |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $19 \%$ | 30,868 | $16 \%$ | 25,994 |  |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $20 \%$ | 32,493 | $18 \%$ | 29,243 |  |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $9 \%$ | 14,622 | $8 \%$ | 12,997 |  |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $12 \%$ | 19,496 | $19 \%$ | 30,868 |  |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{7 \%}$ | $\underline{11,372}$ |  | $\underline{17 \%}$ | $\underline{27,619}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 162,463 | $100 \%$ | 12,518 |  |

Five brands do $27 \%$ of the business in the target market with Selby and Easy Spirit the strongest brands.

## Women's Dress Shoes

1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$55-\$130 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naturalizer | 7.8\% | Selby | 9.7\% |
| Hush Puppies | 2.4\% | Easy Spirit | 6.0\% |
| 9 West | 2.3\% | Naturalizer | 4.9\% |
| Selby | 2.2\% | Bandolino | 3.6\% |
| Cobbies | 1.9\% | Pappagallo | 3.2\% |
| Joyce | 1.8\% | Joyce | 3.0\% |
| Mushrooms | 1.5\% | Evan Picone | 2.7\% |
| Airstep | 1.4\% | Amalfi | 2.1\% |
| Fanfare | 1.3\% | Cobbies | 2.1\% |
| Candies | 1.2\% | Aigner | 2.1\% |
|  |  | 9 West | 2.1\% |
| Subtotal | 23.8\% | Subtotal | 39.4\% |
| All Other | 76.2\% | All Other | 60.6\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

When an even more restrictive definition (higher price points) of the target market for women's dress shoes is utilized, volume potential drops from 12.1 mm pairs to $1: 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ pairs, but dollars decrease less dramatically from $\$ 881 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $\$ 205 \mathrm{~mm}$. Average price in this redefined market is $\$ 114$.

WOMEN'S DRESS SHOES
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)
Pairs


Nearly one-half million pairs are sold above $\$ 130$ and over 300,000 are sold between $\$ 150$ and $\$ 180$ suggesting sizeable niches are available at high price points.

Women's Dress Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$90.00 | 99.1\% | 161,001 | - | - |
| \$ 90.00-\$ 99.99 | 0.3\% | 487 | 28\% | 504 |
| \$100.00-\$119.99 | 0.2\% | 325 | 21\% | 378 |
| \$120.00-\$129.99 | 0.2\% | 325 | 24\% | 432 |
| \$130.00-\$149.99 | 0.1\% | 162 | 10\% | 180 |
| \$150.00-\$180.00 | 0.1\% | 162 | 17\% | 306 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 1,800 |

Department stores become the dominant factor in this more restrictive definition of the women's dress shoe market accounting for more than half ( $56 \%$ ) of the total volume.

Women's Dress Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 19\% | 30,868 | 56\% | 1,012 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 3,249 | 4\% | 72 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 8\% | 12,997 | - | - |
| Independent Shoe Stores |  |  |  |  |
| and minor family chains | 12\% | 19,496 | 10\% | 176 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 15\% | 24,369 | 27\% | 477 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 27\% | 43,865 | 36\% | 653 |
| Mail Order | 2\% | 3,249 | 1\% | 18 |
| All Other Outlets | 6\% | 9,748 | 2\% | 45 |
| Discount Stores | 16\% | 25,994 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 18\% | 29,243 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 2\% | 3,249 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 1,800 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

The higher price points are not attractive to large volume retailers.

# Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

Top Retailers
Total Market
Price $\$ 90-\$ 180$

| Total Market |  | Price \$90-\$180 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payless | 11.1\% | Chandlers | 0.5\% |
| Naturalizer | 5.1\% | Sears | 0.2\% |
| J.C. Penney | 4.8\% |  |  |
| K-Mart | 4.7\% |  |  |
| Sears | 3.2\% |  |  |
| Wal-Mart | 2.8\% |  |  |
| Kinney | 2.0\% |  |  |
| Fayva | 1.6\% |  |  |
| Picway | 1.6\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 1.4\% |  |  |

The higher the price point, the more the two coasts become the dominate centers of potential.

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \hline \$ 90-\$ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 13\% | 21,120 | 27\% | 477 |
| Mountain | 5\% | 8,123 | 6\% | 108 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 11,372 | 4\% | 63 |
| East North Central | 17\% | 27,619 | 9\% | 158 |
| Middle Atlantic | 17\% | 27,619 | 21\% | 374 |
| New England | 5\% | 8,123 | 5\% | 90 |
| South Atlantic | 18\% | 29,243 | 13\% | 229 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 9,748 | 4\% | 72 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 19,496 | 13\% | 229 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 1,800 |

Fifty-two percent of women's dress shoes in this higher price market are purchased for use by women over 45 years of age; virtually the same percentage when the target market definition was \$55-130.

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 19\% | 30,868 | 8\% | 144 |
| 25-34 | 27\% | 43,865 | 20\% | 360 |
| 35-44 | 18\% | 29,243 | 20\% | 360 |
| 45-54 | 15\% | 24,369 | 25\% | 450 |
| 55-64 | 11\% | 17,871 | 14\% | 252 |
| 65 \& Over | 10\% | 16,246 | 13\% | 234 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 162,463 | 100\% | 1,800 |

Fifty-two percent of the 1.8 mm pairs $(1.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ are purchased by women with household income of $\$ 50,000$ or more.

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ Price <br> $\$ 90-\$ 180$ | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | $33 \%$ | 53,613 | $16 \%$ | 26,157 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $19 \%$ | 30,868 | $10 \%$ | 16,409 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $20 \%$ | 32,493 | $14 \%$ | 21,933 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $9 \%$ | 14,622 | $8 \%$ | 12,835 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $12 \%$ | 19,496 | $20 \%$ | 32,980 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{2} \%$ | $\underline{11,372}$ | $\underline{32 \%}$ | $\underline{52,151}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 162,463 | $100 \%$ | 1,800 |

Thirty-four percent ( $34 \%$ ) of the total volume in this target market for women's dress shoes is done by ten brands. Three brands - Ferragamo, Amalfi and Evan Picone - control $21 \%$ of the market.

## Women's Dress Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Ten Brands
Total Market Price \$90-\$180

| Naturalizer | $7.8 \%$ | Ferragamo | $10.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Hush Puppies | $2.4 \%$ | Amalfi | $6.7 \%$ |
| 9 West | $2.3 \%$ | Evan Picone | $4.2 \%$ |
| Selby | $2.2 \%$ | Bally | $3.9 \%$ |
| Cobbies | $1.9 \%$ | Pappagallo | $2.7 \%$ |
| Joyce | $1.8 \%$ | Perry Ellis/Portfolio | $2.4 \%$ |
| Mushrooms | $1.5 \%$ | Selby | $1.7 \%$ |
| Airstep | $1.4 \%$ | Bandolino | $1.6 \%$ |
| Fanfare | $1.3 \%$ | Charles Jourdan | $1.1 \%$ |
| Candies | $1.2 \%$ | Cole-Haan | $0.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $22.6 \%$ | Subtotal | $34.3 \%$ |
| All Other | $77.4 \%$ | All Other | $65.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Within the total women's target market, women's casual shoes are the second largest segment accounting for $22 \%$ of total purchases and dollars. There were 5.1 mm pairs sold in 1990 at an average of $\$ 69$ per pair. The target women's casual shoe market is roughly one twentieth the size of the non-restricted women's casual shoe market.

> WOMEN'S CASUAL SHOES
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)


In the target market, two thirds $(68 \%)$ of the product is sold below the average market price of $\$ 69$. Only $8 \%$ of the market retails at between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 130$.

Women's Casual Shoes
1990
. Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$55.00 | 94.9\% | 91,586 | - | - |
| \$ 55.00-\$ 59.99 | 1.5\% | 1,448 | 31\% | 1,584 |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 1.9\% | 1,834 | 37\% | 1,890 |
| \$ 70.00-\$ 79.99 | 0.7\% | 676 | 14\% | 715 |
| \$ 80.00-\$ 99.99 | 0.6\% | 579 | 10\% | 511 |
| \$100.00-\$130.00 | 0.3\% | 290 | 8\% | 409 |
| Over \$130.00 | 0.1\% | 97 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 5,109 |

Nearly half the casual business ( $48 \%$ ) in the target market is done through shoe stores. Department stores are important ( $31 \%$ ) but less so than found when looking at women's dress shoes (56\%).

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 14.4\% | 13,897 | 31.2\% | 1,594 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2.0\% | 1,930 | 4.4\% | 225 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 7.1\% | 6,852 | 2.9\% | 148 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 0.4\% | 386 | 1.6\% | 82 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 13.3\% | 12,836 | 24.0\% | 1,226 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 9.1\% | 8,782 | 23.7\% | 1,211 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 22.4\% | 21,618 | 47.7\% | 2,437 |
| Mail Order | 3.2\% | 3,088 | 6.7\% | 342 |
| All Other Outlets | 5.8\% | 5,597 | 5.5\% | 281 |
| Discount Stores | 23.8\% | 22,969 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | ) $17.0 \%$ | 16,406 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 3.9\% | 3,764 | = | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 5,109 |

(1) Includes athietic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe stores such as Payless

No single retailer dominates the targeted women's casual market at the $\$ 55-130$ price points. The market appears very fragmented.

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  | Price $\$ 55-\$ 130$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Payless | $11.1 \%$ | Naturalizer | $4.3 \%$ |
| K-Mart | $9.9 \%$ | Red Cross/Cobbie | $2.2 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $4.2 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $2.2 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $3.0 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $1.0 \%$ |
| Sears | $2.7 \%$ | Bakers | $0.9 \%$ |
| Naturalizer | $2.2 \%$ | Sears | $0.7 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $1.6 \%$ | Joyce Selby | $0.4 \%$ |
| Target | $1.5 \%$ | Air Step | $0.2 \%$ |
| Kinney | $1.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Bakers | $1.3 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

The strength of the West Coast is dramatic at these price points while the East Central areas (both North and South) appear underdeveloped.

> Women's Casual Shoes
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 12\% | 11,581 | 21\% | 1,073 |
| Mountain | 6\% | 5,790 | 5\% | 255 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 6,756 | 7\% | 358 |
| East North Central | 19\% | 18,337 | 16\% | 817 |
| Middle Atlantic | 18\% | 17,371 | 18\% | 920 |
| New England | 6\% | 5,790 | 4\% | 204 |
| South Atlantic | 1.6\% | 15,441 | 16\% | 817 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 5,790 | 3\% | 153 |
| West South Central | 10\% | 9,651 | 10\% | 511 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 5,109 |

Fifty-four percent of the business in this target market is done to satisfy the needs of women at least 45 years old. Younger women below 35 years old are less likely to buy casuals in this price range.

Women's Casual Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 24\% | 23,162 | 15\% | 766 |
| -25-34 | 21\% | 20,267 | 14\% | 715 |
| 35-44 | .17\% | 16,406 | 17\% | 869 |
| 45-54 | 12\% | 11,581 | 14\% | 715 |
| 55-64 | 12\% | 11,581 | 18\% | 920 |
| 65 \& Over | 14\% | 13,511 | 22\% | 1,124 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 5,109 |

Slightly more than one out of four casuals ( $28 \%$ ) in this target segment are bought by women with income above $\$ 50,000$. This income group buys nearly 1.5 mm pairs per year.

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 55-\$ 130 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 38\% | 36,673 | 28\% | 1,431 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 19\% | 18,337 | 19\% | 971 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 19\% | 18,337 | 15\% | 766 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 8\% | 7,721 | 10\% | 511 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 11\% | 10,616 | 14\% | 715 |
| \$75,000.\& Over | 5\% | 4,825 | 14\% | 715 |
| TOTAL | . $100 \%$ | 96,508 | 100\% | 5,109 |

Within the target market the ten largest brands account for $40 \%$ of total sales, whereas within the unrestricted market the top ten account for only $21 \%$. Brands found in the target market but not in the unrestricted market include Rockport, Easy Spirit, Pappagallo, Selby and Cole Haan.

Women's Casual Shoes
1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Ten Brands
Total Market
Price $\$ 55-\$ 130$

|  | $3.9 \%$ | SAS | $9.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Bass | $3.3 \%$ | Rockport | $6.6 \%$ |
| Dexter | $2.5 \%$ | Easy Spirit | $5.0 \%$ |
| Naturalizer | $2.4 \%$ | Bass | $4.5 \%$ |
| SAS | $2.1 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $3.3 \%$ |
| Cobbie | $2.1 \%$ | Dexter | $2.6 \%$ |
| Butterflies | $1.9 \%$ | Pappagallo | $2.3 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $1.6 \%$ | Naturalizer | $2.1 \%$ |
| Candies | $1.5 \%$ | Selby | $2.0 \%$ |
| Soft Spots | $1.4 \%$ | Cole Haan | $1.6 \%$ |
| 9 West |  |  |  |
|  | $21.3 \%$ | Subtotal | $37.9 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $78.7 \%$ | All Other | $62.1 \%$ |
| All Other | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

When the market for women's casuals is further refined to include only the $\$ 90-180$ price points, the target market drops from 5.1 million pairs to less than one million $(770,000)$. Average price is $\$ 112$. This is a very thin market and unlikely to provide major volume opportunity.

## WOMEN'S CASUAL SHOES <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Less than 350,000 pairs of women's casuals are sold above $\$ 110$.

> Women's Casual Shoes 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$90.00 | 99.1\% | 95,639 | - | - |
| \$ 90.00-\$ 99.99 | 0.3\% | 290 | 28\% | 216 |
| \$100.00-\$109.99 | 0.1\% | 97 | 27\% | 208 |
| \$110.00-\$119.99 | 0.1\% | 97 | 10\% | 77 |
| \$120.00-\$129.99 | 0.1\% | 97 | 14\% | 108 |
| \$130.00-\$149.99 | 0.1\% | 97 | 10\% | 77 |
| \$150.00-\$180.00 | 0.1\% | 97 | 10\% | 77 |
| Over \$180.00 | 0.1\% | $\underline{97}$ | 1\% | 8 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 770 |

And, the high price casual business is highly focused in shoe stores and department stores.

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 14.4\% | 13,897 | 36.4\% | 280 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2.0\% | 1,930 | 3.6\% | 28 |
| Sears/Peñey/Ward | 7.1\% | 6,852 | - | - |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 0.4\% | 386 | 1.1\% | 8 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 13.3\% | 12,836 | 25.7\% | 198 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 9.1\% | 8,782 | 23.4\% | 180 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 22.4\% | 21,618 | 49.1\% | 378 |
| Mail Order | 3.2\% | 3,088 | 5.0\% | 39 |
| All Other Outlets | 5.8\% | 5,597 | 4.8\% | 37 |
| Discount Stores | 23.8\% | 22,969 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 17.0\% | 16,406 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 3.9\% | 3,764 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 770 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe stores such as Payless

No one retailer in this segment commands greater than a $2 \%$ share of market.

Women's Casual Shoes<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Rètailers
Total Market
Price \$90-\$180

| Total Market |  | Price \$90-\$180 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payless | 11.1\% | Wild Pair | 1.9\% |
| K-Mart | 9.9\% |  |  |
| J.C. Penney | 4.2\% |  |  |
| Wal-Mart | 3.0\% |  |  |
| Sears | 2.7\% |  |  |
| Naturalizer | 2.2\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 1.6\% |  |  |
| Target | 1.5\% |  |  |
| Kinney | 1.4\% |  |  |
| Bakers | 1.3\% |  |  |

There are definite regional skews in the business toward the affluent areas of the West and East Coast.
Women's Casual Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 12\% | 11,581 | 26\% | 200 |
| Mountain | 6\% | 5,790 | 1\% | 8 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 6;756 | 5\% | 39 |
| East North Central | 19\% | 18,337 | 17\% | 131 |
| Middle Atlantic | 18\% | 17,371 | 29\% | 223 |
| New England | 6\% | 5,790 | 6\% | 46 |
| South Atlantic | 16\% | 15,441 | 13\% | 100 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 5,790 | 1\% | 8 |
| West South Central | 10\% | 9,651 | 2\% | 15 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 770 |

This highly focused business has users in all age groups, but is disproportionately high in the middle ages of $45-64$ where $38 \%$ of the business is done.

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 24\% | -23,162 | 15\% | 117 |
| 25-34 | 21\% | 20,267 | 14\% | 108 |
| 35-44 | 17\% | 16,406 | 17\% | 134 |
| 45-54 | 12\% | .11,581 | 18\% | 139 |
| 55-64 | 12\% | 11,581 | 20\% | 157 |
| 65 \& Over | 14\% | 13,511 | 15\% | $\underline{270}$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 770 |

As would be expected, these high priced casuals find greatest acceptance in households with high incomes --. $22 \%$ of the business is done among those with at least $\$ 75,000$ annual incomes.

## Women's Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 90-\$ 180 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$ 20,000 | 38\% | 36,673 | 28\% | 216 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 19\% | 18,337 | 22\% | 169 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 19\% | 18,337 | 7\% | 54 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 8\% | 7,721 | 7\% | 54 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 11\% | 10,616 | 14\% | 108 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 5\% | 4,825 | 22\% | 169 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 96,508 | 100\% | 770 |

The market segment is also highly concentrated -- ten brands do $40 \%$ of the business -- and these brands also have strong entries in the women's dress shoe market at high price points.

Women's Cașual Shoes 1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

## Top Ten Brands

Total Market
Price $\$ 90-\$ 180$

| Bass | $3.9 \%$ | Cole-Haan | $11.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Dexter | $3.3 \%$ | Ferragamo | $7.2 \%$ |
| Naturalizer | $2.5 \%$ | Amalfi | $5.9 \%$ |
| SAS | $2.4 \%$ | Bally | $5.0 \%$ |
| Cobbie | $2.1 \%$ | Bass | $3.9 \%$ |
| Butterflies | $2.1 \%$ | Rockport | $2.0 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $1.9 \%$ | Nursemates/Restabouts | $1.8 \%$ |
| Candies | $1.6 \%$ | Pappagallo | $1.4 \%$ |
| Soft Spots | $1.5 \%$ | Bandolino | $1.2 \%$ |
| 9 West | $1.4 \%$ | Soft Spots | $1.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $21.3 \%$ | Subtotal | $40.1 \%$ |
| All Other | $78.7 \%$ | All Other | $59.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

The market size for women's dress sandals retailing between $\$ 70-150$ is less than one half million pairs and represents less than $2 \%$ of the targeted women's market for Canadian manufacturers.

## WOMEN'S DRESS SANDALS <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Nearly $60 \%$ of the sales in this target market occur between $\$ 70$ and $\$ 90$.

> Women's Dress Sandals
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 70-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$70.00 | 98.1\% | 17,895 | - | - |
| \$ 70.00-\$ 79.99 | 0.9\% | 164 | 38\% | 164 |
| \$ $80.00-\$ 89.99$ | 0.5\% | 91 | 18\% | 78 |
| \$ $90.00-\$ 99.99$ | 0.2\% | 36 | 17\% | 73 |
| \$100.00-\$150.00 | 0.2\% | 36 | 27\% | 117 |
| Over \$150.00 | 0.1\% | 18 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 18,242 | 100\% | 432 |

Department stores are the dominant distribution channel, with shoe specialty stores second in importance.

> Women's Dress Sandals
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 70-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 18\% | 3,284 | 57\% | 245 |
| Apparel Specialty | 4\% | 730 | 5\% | 19 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 10\% | 1,824 | - | 0 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 15\% | 2,736 | 9\% | 39 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 14\% | 2,554 | 22\% | 97 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 29\% | 5,290 | 31\% | 136 |
| Mail Order | 4\% | 730 | 4\% | 18 |
| All Other Outlets | 4\% | 730 | 3\% | 14 |
| Discount Stores | 15\% | 2,736 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 13\% | 2,371 | - |  |
| Factory Outlet | 4\% | . 730 | ニ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 18,242 | 100\% | 432 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Large volume retailers are a small factor in this target market for dress sandals and Connie is the sole retailer showing measurable share of market.

## Women's Dress Sandals <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  | Price \$70-\$150 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payless | 7.3\% | Connie | 2.3\% |
| J.C. Penney | 5.3\% |  |  |
| K-Mart | 5.1\% |  |  |
| Naturalizer | 4.0\% |  |  |
| Sears | 3.9\% |  |  |
| Wal-Mart | 3.2\% |  |  |
| Kinney | 2.5\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 1.1\% |  |  |
| Montgomery Ward | 1.0\% |  |  |
| Bakers | 0.9\% |  |  |

The West and Middle Atlantic regions account for $50 \%$ of the targeted dress sandal opportunity.

## Women's Dress Sandals 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 70-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 15\% | 2,736 | 23\% | 99 |
| Mountain | 6\% | 1,095 | 2\% | 10 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 1,277 | 6\% | 25 |
| East North Central | 15\% | 2,736 | 5\% | 21 |
| Middle Atlantic | 15\% | 2,736 | 27\% | 118 |
| New England | 5\% | 912 | 6\% | 26 |
| South Atlantic | 19\% | 3,466 | 15\% | 66 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 1,095 | 6\% | 25 |
| West South Central | 12\% | $\underline{2} .189$ | 10\% | 41 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 18,242 | 100\% | 432 |

Dress sandals have their greatest acceptance in the 35-54 age group where nearly one out of every two pair are sold.

Women's Dress Sandals 1990<br>Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market' | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 70-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 15\% | 2,736 | 11\% | 48 |
| 25-34 | 18\% | 3,229 | 17\% | 73 |
| 35-54 | 35\% | 6,385 | 44\% | 190 |
| 55-64 | 16\% | 2,846 | 18\% | 78 |
| 65 \& Over | 17\% | 3,046 | 10\% | 42 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 18,242 | 100\% | 432 |

Households with incomes above $\$ 75,000$ account for nearly thirty percent of the dress sandals in this target market, but there is also a big segment of the market (23\%) being purchased by households with incomes under $\$ 20,000$.

Women's Dress Sandals
' 1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ <br> $\$ 70-\$ 150$ | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | $38 \%$ | 6,932 | $23 \%$ | 4,104 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $20 \%$ | 3,648 | $10 \%$ | 1,733 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $18 \%$ | 3,284 | $20 \%$ | 3,667 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $7 \%$ | 1,277 | $7 \%$ | 1,240 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $11 \%$ | 2,007 | $12 \%$ | 2,153 |
| $\$ 75,000$ \& Over | $6 \%$ | $\underline{1,095}$ | $\underline{29 \%}$ | $\underline{5,345}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 18,242 | $100 \%$ | 432 |

The leading brands in dress sandals are manufacturers with a reputation and position in the women's dress shoes market in the targeted price ranges.

Women's Dress Sandals 1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

## Top Ten Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$70-\$150 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hush Puppies | 6.5\%. | Selby | 6.2\% |
| Naturalizer | 5.8\% | Naturalizer | 5.3\% |
| Mushrooms | 3.5\% | Etienne Aigner | 5.3\% |
| Cobbie | 3.0\% | Amalfi | 5.1\% |
| Connie | 1.9\% | Charles Jourdan | 3.6\% |
| Air Step | 1.4\% | Easy Spirit | 3.5\% |
| Candies | 1.1\% | Andrew Gellar | 2.7\% |
| Selby | 1.1\% | Bally | 2.6\% |
| Joyce | 1.0\% | Evan Picone | 2.4\% |
| Fanfares | 0.9\% | Pappagallo | 1.4\% |
| Subtotal | 25.3\% | Subtotal | 36.7\% |
| All Other | 74.7\% | All Other | 63.3\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

Roughly one out of every five of all women's leather boots are sold within the defined target market for Canadian manufactured products. The target segment offers 1.4 mm pairs and a $\$ 109.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ opportunity with an average price of $\$ 77$. Six percent of the total women's target market, all styles, is in this product category.

## WOMEN'S LEATHER BOOTS 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

## Pairs

Dollars


Roughly sixty percent of the pairs sell below the average price point, while only $11 \%$ ( 152,000 pairs) are at between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 120$.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 120 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$ 60.00 | 75\% | 5,459 | - | - |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 9\% | 655 | 39\% | 556 |
| \$ $70.00-\$ 79.99$ | 5\% | 364 | 21\% | 297 |
| \$ $80.00-\$ 89.99$ | 3\% | 218 | 17\% |  |
| \$ $90.00-\$ 99.99$ | 2\% | 146 | 12\% | 166 |
| \$100.00-\$120.00 | 2\% | 146 | 11\% | 152 |
| Over \$120.00 | 4\% | 291 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 1,416 |

While the department stores and shoe stores represent the major channels of opportunity ( $77 \%$ ), mail order is a special niche ( $11 \%$ ) which is unusually strong in this product segment.

```
Women's Leather Boots
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)
```

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 120 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 16\% | 1,164 | 33\% | 473 |
| Apparel Specialty | 3\% | 218 | 4\% | 55 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 8\% | 582 | 3\% | 41 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 1\% | 73 |  |  |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 17\% | 1,237 | 25\% | 351 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 10\% | 728 | 19\% | 270 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 27\% | 1,965 | 44\% | 622 |
| Mail Order | 3\% | 218 | 11\% | 149 |
| All Other Outlets | 9\% | 655 | 5\% | 76 |
| Discount Stores | 13\% | 946 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 18\% | 1,310 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 2\% | 146 | = | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 1,416 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Three retailers account for nearly 150,000 pairs in this target market where the top nine retailers account for $16 \%$ of the segment's sales.

Women's Leather Boots<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers
Total Market $\quad$ Price $\$ 60-\$ 120$

| Payless | $7.7 \%$ | Naturalizer | $4.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kinney | $4.4 \%$ | Wild Pair | $3.8 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.8 \%$ | Chandlers | $1.8 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $3.6 \%$ | Leeds | $1.3 \%$ |
| Bakers | $3.0 \%$ | Sears | $1.0 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $2.5 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $1.0 \%$ |
| Wild Pair | $2.4 \%$ | Bakers | $1.0 \%$ |
| K-Mart | $2.1 \%$ | Montgomery Ward | $0.9 \%$ |
| Naturalizer | $2.0 \%$ | Red Cross | $0.4 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $1.9 \%$ |  |  |

The West and the Southwest together represent a 500,000 pair annual opportunity and are the areas of highest per capita purchasing of women's leather boots in the target market.

Women's Leather Boots
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 120 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 15\% | 1,092 | 20\% | 283 |
| Mountain | 7\% | 509 | .5\% | 71 |
| West North Central | 6\% | 437 | 5\% | 71 |
| East North Central | 20\% | 1,456 | 10\% | 142 |
| Middle Atlantic | 20\% | 1,456 | 20\% | 283 |
| New England | 4\% | 291 | 6\% | 85 |
| South Atlantic | 13\% | 946 | 14\% | 198 |
| East South Central | 8\% | 582 | 5\% | 71 |
| West South Central | 7\% | 509 | 15\% | $\underline{212}$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 1,416 |

Within the target market, nearly six out of every ten ( $57 \%$ ) leather boots are purchased for use by women under 35 years old.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | \% Price <br> $\$ 60-\$ 120$ | $\underline{\text { Pairs }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under 25 | $39 \%$ | 2,824 | $30 \%$ | 425 |
| $25-34$ | $26 \%$ | 1,900 | $27 \%$ | 382 |
| $35-44$ | $14 \%$ | 997 | $18 \%$ | 255 |
| $45-54$ | $10 \%$ | 706 | $9 \%$ | 127 |
| $55-64$ | $7 \%$ | 480 | $9 \%$ | 127 |
| $65 \&$ Over | $\underline{5 \%}$ | $\underline{371}$ | $\underline{7 \%}$ | $\underline{99}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 7,278 | $100 \%$ | 1,416 |

In the target market, approximately one out of every two leather boots ( $46 \%$ ) is sold to households with incomes above $\$ 40,000$. The corresponding percentage for the total non-price restricted market is $27 \%$.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 60-\$ 120 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 41\% | 2,955 | 24\% | 1,754 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 17\% | 1,245 | 17\% | 1,215 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 16\% | 1,143 | 14\% | 990 |
| \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 8\% | 575 | 13\% | 932 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 12\% | 881 | 21\% | 1,543 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 7\% | 480 | 12\% | 844 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 1,416 |

The four largest brands, each of which is also important in the dress shoe segment, account for $25 \%$ of category sales; leather boots can be important product line extension for core brands.

Women's Leather Boots<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$60-\$120 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 West | 3.3\% | Joyce | 8.6\% |
| Joyce | 2.7\% | 9 West | 7.4\% |
| Naturalizer | 2.4\% | Naturalizer | 4.6\% |
| Dexter | 2.4\% | Cobbie | 4.6\% |
| Hush Puppies | 2.1\% | Dexter | 4.0\% |
| Cobbie | 1.7\% | Pappagallo | 3.3\% |
| Fanfare | 1.1\% | Hush Puppies | 2.5\% |
| Pappagallo | 1.1\% | Mason | 1.2\% |
| Calico | 0.8\% | Life Stride | 1.0\% |
| Subtotal | 16.8\% | Subtotal | 36.2\% |
| All Other | 83.2\% | All Other | 63.8\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

When the target market definition is further refined to include only the $\$ 125-250$ price points, the market becomes very thin. At 200,000 pairs annually, the market becomes a small specialty niche with opportunity for selected items but not a major multi-item brand.

WOMEN'S LEATHER BOOTS
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)


About 100,000 pairs are sold between $\$ 150-180$ which is no more than those sold at the lower $\$ 120-149$ price point.

```
Women's Leather Boots
1990
Retail Market Stize Estimate (000)
```

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 125-\$ 250 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$125.00 | 96.5\% | 7,023 | - | - |
| \$125.00-\$149.99 | 1.0\% | 73 | 34\% | 71 |
| \$150.00-\$1.79.99 | 1.7\% | 124 | 44\% | 92 |
| \$180.00-\$250.99 | 0.2\% | 15 | 22\% | 46 |
| Over \$250.00 | 0.6\%. | 44 | = | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 208 |

A broad distribution base supports this market with department stores, apparel specialty stores and mail order being major sources of volume.

Wómen's Leather Boots<br>1990<br>Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 125-\$ 250 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 16\% | 1,164 | 31\% | 64 |
| Apparel Specialty | 3\% | 218 | 14\% | 29 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 8\% | 582 | - | - |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shiops | 1\% | 73 | - | - |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 17\% | 1,237 | 14\% | 29 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 10\% | 728 | 28\% | 58 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 27\% | 1,965 | 42\% | 87 |
| Mail Order | 3\% | 218 | 10\% | 21 |
| All Other Outlets | 9\% | 655 | 3\% | 6 |
| Discount Stores | 13\% | 946 | - - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 18\% | 1,310 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 2\% | 146 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 208 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

The leading retailers in the middle priced $\$ 60-120$ market examined previously do not extend to these higher price points. Leeds, the market leader, sells about 5,000 pairs of women's leather boots per year.

Women's Leather Boots<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  |  | Price \$125-\$250 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payless | 7.7\% | Leeds | 2.6\% |
| Kinney | 4.4\% |  |  |
| Sears | 3.8\% |  |  |
| J.C. Penney | 3.6\% |  |  |
| Bakers | 3.0\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 2.5\% |  |  |
| Wild Pair | 2.4\% |  |  |
| K-Mart | 2.1\% |  |  |
| Naturalizer | 2.0\% |  | . |
| Wal-Mart | 1.9\% |  |  |

As in the $\$ 60-120$ market, the Western areas of the United States account for the majority of the business.

> Women's Leather Boots 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 125-\$ 250 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 15\% | 1,092 | 25\% | 52 |
| Mountain | 7\% | 509 | 18\% | 38 |
| West North Central | 6\% | 437 | 18\% | 38 |
| East North Central | 20\% | 1,456 | - | - |
| Middle Atlantic | 20\% | 1,456 | 16\% | 33 |
| New England | 4\% | 291 | 6\% | 12 |
| South Atlantic | 13\% | 946 | 8\% | 16 |
| East South Central | 8\% | 582 | - | - |
| West South Central | 7\% | 509 | 9\% | 19 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 208 |

The core customer for this target segment is from 35-54 years of age, the fastest growing age segments in the U.S.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 125-\$ 250 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 39\% | 2,824 | 13\% | 27 |
| 25-34 | 26\% | 1,900 | 18\% | 38 |
| 35-44 | 14\% | 997 | 25\% | 51 |
| 45-54 | 10\% | 706 | 31\% | 65 |
| 55-64 | 7\% | 480 | 5\% | 10 |
| 65 \& Over | 5\% | 371 | 8\% | 17 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 208 |

And the customer in this target segment is affluent.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 125-\$ 250 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 41\% | 2,955 | 6\% | 400 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 17\% | 1,245 | 34\% | 2,438 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 16\% | 1,143 | 18\% | 1,281 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 8\% | 575 | 8\% | 568 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 12\% | 881 | 17\% | 1,230 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 7\% | 480 | 19\% | 1,361 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 7,278 | 100\% | 208 |

Eddie Bauer, the largest brand in the target market, sells less than 20,000 pairs in this market through both mail order and apparel stores.

## Women's Leather Boots <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Brands
Total Market
Price $\$ 125-\$ 250$

| 9 West | $3.3 \%$ | Eddie Bauer | $9.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Joyce | $2.7 \%$ | Miss Capezio | $6.5 \%$ |
| Naturalizer | $2.4 \%$ | Pappagallo | $6.2 \%$ |
| Dexter | $2.4 \%$ | Qualicraft | $3.0 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $2.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Cobble | $1.7 \%$ | Subtotal | $21.7 \%$ |
| Qualicraft | $1.6 \%$ | All Other | $78.3 \%$ |
| Fanfare | $1.1 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pappagallo | $1.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Calico | $0.8 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $18.4 \%$ |  |  |
| All Other | $81.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

The targeted market for women's winter boots is a relatively small market at 800,000 pairs and $\$ 61,600,000$ annually.

WOMEN'S WINTER BOOTS(1)
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)


Within the target market segment $54 \%$ of the potential is from $\$ 60-\$ 69$ at retail.

> Women's Winter Boots (1)
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$60.00 | 79\% | 3,081 |
| \$ 60.00-\$ 69.99 | 11\% | 429 |
| \$ 70.00-\$ 79.99 | 2\% | 78 |
| \$80.00-\$89.99 | 4\% | 156 |
| \$ 90.00-\$ 99.99 | 2\% | 78 |
| \$100.00-\$120.00 | 1\% | 39 |
| Over $\$ 120.00$ | 1\% | 39 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 3,900 |

Within the total women's winter boot market, shoe stores are the second largest channel of distribution at 780,000 pairs followed by department stores at 468,000 pairs.
Women's Winter Boots (1)
$\quad 1990$
etail Market Size Estimate (000)

Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 12\% | 468 |
| Apparel Specialty | 1\% | 39 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 10\% | 390 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 1\% | 39 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 10\% | 390 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 10\% | 390 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 20\% | 780 |
| Mail Order | 6\% | 234 |
| All Other Outlets | - | - |
| Discount Stores | 31\% | 1,209 |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (2) | 19\% | 741 |
| Factory Outlet | = | = |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 3,900 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.
(2) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinnev and self-service shoe

Within the total market, the top ten volume retailers control over $40 \%$ of the pair sales.

# Women's Winter Boots (1) 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| K-Mart | $10.7 \%$ |
| Sears | $5.7 \%$ |
| Payless | $5.0 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $5.0 \%$ |
| Picway | $4.2 \%$ |
| Kinney | $3.7 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $3.6 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $3.1 \%$ |
| Target | $1.3 \%$ |
| Bakers | $1.2 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Subtotal | $43.5 \%$ |
| All Other | $56.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

As would be expected, four northern regions account for almost $75 \%$ of the potential.

## Women's Winter Boots (1) <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 14\% | 546 |
| Mountain | 10\% | 390 |
| West North Central | 16\% | 624 |
| East North Central | 25\% | 975-2,808/72\% |
| Middle Atlantic | 21\% | 819 |
| New England | 4\% | 156 |
| South Atlantic | 4\% | 156 |
| East South Central | 2\% | 78 |
| West South Central | 4\% | 156 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 3,900 |

More than half of the market is accounted for by consumers under the age of 35 .

## Women's Winter Boots (1) <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| : Age of Wearer | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Under 25 | $29 \%$ | 1,131 |
| $25-34$ | $23 \%$ | 897 |
| $35-44$ | $12 \%$ | 468 |
| $45-54$ | $17 \%$ | 663 |
| $55-64$ | $12 \%$ | 468 |
| 65 \& Over | $\underline{7 \%}$ | $\underline{273}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 3,900 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMi computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991 .

The total women's boot market does reflect a normal income distribution.

## Women's Winter Boots (1) 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Under \$20,000 | $30 \%$ | 1,170 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $19 \%$ | 741 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $26 \%$ | 1,014 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $11 \%$ | 429 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $10 \%$ | 390 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $4 \%$ | 156 |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 3,900 |

(1) This data is not available from standard FMI computer tabulations and is based on a special questionnaire mailed to 15,000 households on January 1, 1991.

Within the women's work/safety area, the target market segment accounts for $26 \%$ of the total pairs, but $42 \%$ of the total dollars.

## WOMEN'S WORK/SAFETY SHOES/BOOTS 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Within the target market segment over $60 \%$ of the potential falls within the narrow price spectrum of $\$ 50.00$ to $\$ 59.99$.

## Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | $\%$ Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ <br> $\$ 50-\$ 150$ | Pairs Price |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

And shoe stores account for one out of every two pairs sold within the target segment.

## Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 8\% | 1,096 | 14\% | 499 |
| Apparel Specialty | 5\% | 685 | 9\% | 324 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 12\% | 1,644 | 11\% | 385 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 2\% | 274 | 3\% | 107 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 17\% | 2,328 | 32\% | 1,151 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 9\% | 1,233 | 19\% | 681 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 26\% | 3,561 | 51\% | 1,831 |
| Mail Order | 6\% | 822 | 4\% | 125 |
| All Other Outiets | 7\% | 959 | 8\% | 292 |
| Discount Stores | 17\% | 2,328 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 12\% | 1,644 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 5\% | 685 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 13,697 | 100\% | 3,563 |

[^1]Retailer importance within the target market is highly fragmented with the top two retail chains accounting for less than $15 \%$ of the market.

Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots
1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Retailers

| Total Market |  | Price \$50-\$150 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-Mart | 9.5\% | J.C. Penney | 7.8\% |
| Payless | 7.5\% | Naturalizer | 7.0\% |
| J.C. Penney | 7.2\% | Red Cross | 3.8\% |
| Sears | 4.5\% | Sears | 3.0\% |
| Naturalizer | 3.2\% | Hush Puppies | 1.1\% |
| Wal-Mart | 3.1\% | Gallenkamp | 0.7\% |
| Kinney | 1.8\% | Joyce Selby | 0.6\% |
| Red Cross | 1.5\% | Air Step | 0.1\% |
| Fayva | 1.3\% |  |  |
| Thom McAn | 0.8\% |  |  |

The target segment reflects normal demand patterns on a regional basis.

Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 12\% | 1,644 | 13\% | 463 |
| Mountain | 5\% | . 685 | 5\% | 178 |
| West North Central | 9\% | 1,233 | 10\% | 356 |
| East North Central | 21\% | 2,876 | 22\% | 784 |
| Middle Atlantic | 18\% | 2,465 | 18\% | 641 |
| New England | 6\% | 822 | 7\% | 249 |
| South Atlantic | 16\% | 2,192 | 15\% | 534 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 822 | 5\% | 178 |
| West South Central | 9\% | 1,233 | 5\% | 178 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 13,697 | 100\% | 3,563 |

And few differences exist between the total market and the target market on an age basis.

## Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 10\% | 1,329 | 8\% | 274 |
| 25-34 | 20\% | 2,698 | 15\% | 542 |
| 35-44 | 19\% | 2,534 | 18\% | 648 |
| 45-54 | 21\% | 2,876 | 25\% | 901 |
| 55-64 | 17\% | 2,342 | 18\% | 648 |
| 65 \& Over | 14\% | 1,918 | 15\% | 549 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 13,697 | 100\% | 3,563 |

And the same is true on an income basis.

## Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 50-\$ 150 \end{array}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 48\% | 6,533 | 43\% | 1,514 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 22\% | 3,013 | 19\% | 684 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 16\% | 2,219 | 22\% | 770 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 6\% | 753 | 6\% | 224 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 890 | 8\% | 299 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 2\% | 288 | 2\% | 71 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 13,697 | 100\% | 3,563 |

Brand concentration in the target market is high and reflects product requirements for medical and service oriented occupations.

Women's Work/Safety Shoes/Boots 1990
Pairs Retail Market Share
Top Ten Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$50-\$150 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAS | 9.4\% | Nursemates/Restabouts | 15.3\% |
| Nursemates/Restabouts | 8.7\% | SAS | 15.1\% |
| Hush Puppies | 3.3\%. | Soft Spots | 5.2\% |
| Airstep | 3.0\% | Hush Puppies | 4.7\% |
| Soft Spots | 2.8\% | Naturalizer | 4.5\% |
| Naturalizer | 2.7\% | Reebok | 3.6\% |
| Reebok | 2.7\% | Rockport | 3.1\% |
| Mason | 2.2\% | Easy Spirit | 2.9\% |
| Nike | 2.2\% | Nike | 1.7\% |
| Easy Spirit | 1.7\% | Airstep | 1.3\% |
| Subtotal | 37.0\% | Subtotal | 56.1\% |
| All Other | 63.0\% | All Other | 43.9\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

Target market for boys' dress/casual is 3.1 mm pairs and $\$ 99.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Boys' dress/casual shoes represents $23 \%$ of the total target juvenile market.

## BOYS' DRESS/CASUAL SHOES <br> 1990

Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

Pairs
Dollars


Both the $\$ 20-29$ and $\$ 30-39$ price points sell over one million pairs per year and represent a sizeable opportunity.

> Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20.00 | 61\% | 7,019 | - | - |
| \$ 20.00-\$ 29.99 | 15\% | 1,695 | 43\% | 1,341 |
| \$ 30:00-\$ 39.99 | 14\% | 1,569 | 35\% | 1,092 |
| \$ 40.00-\$ 50.00 | 6\% | 687 | 22\% | 686 |
| Over \$50.00 | 4\% | 481 | = | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 11,450 | 100\% | 3,119 |

Within the target market, more than half the business ( $53 \%$ ) is done through traditional non-discount shoe stores. However, the catalog retailers (Sears/Penney/Ward) and department stores also become attractive distribution channels.

> Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 8\% | 916 | 16\% | 508 |
| Apparel Specialty | 1\% | 115 | 2\% | 62 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 9\% | 1,031 | 22\% | 686 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | 2\% | 229 | 2\% | 62 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 7\% | 802 | 28\% | 873 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 7\% | 802 | 25\% | 767 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 14\% | .1,603 | 53\% | 1,641 |
| Mail Order | .1\% | 115 | 1\% | 37 |
| All Other Outlets | 3\% | 344 | 4\% | 122 |
| Discount Stores | 32\% | 3,664 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 30\% | 3,435 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 1\% | 115 | = | = |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 11,450 | 100\% | 3,119 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAnikinney and self-service shoe
J.C. Penney is the leading retailer in this segment and outsells Sears nearly three to one. Stride-Rite has the dominant position among the specialty stores.

Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers

| Total Market |  | Price \$20-\$50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payless | 16.9\% | J.C. Penney | 16.1\% |
| K-Mart | 11.1\% | Stride Rite | 14.2\% |
| Wal-Mart | 7.9\% | Sears | 5.9\% |
| J.C. Penney | 5.7\% | Buster Brown | 4.2\% |
| Kinney | 4.8\% | Jarman | 1.8\% |
| Stride Rite | 4.3\% | Jack N' Jill | 0.3\% |
| Thom McAn | 3.0\% |  |  |
| Fayva | 2.6\% |  |  |
| Sears | 2.5\% |  |  |
| Target | 2.2\% |  |  |

The northern areas of the country, particularly those west of the Mississippi, are the strongest areas for boys' dress/casuals priced between $\$ 20-50$.

> Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes
> 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 12\% | 1,374 | 7\% | 206 |
| Mountain | 7\% | 802 | 2\% | 50 |
| West North Central | 7\% | 802 | 5\% | 159 |
| East North Central | 17\% | 1,947 | 17\% | 536 |
| Middle Atlantic | 17\% | 1,947 | 27\% | 827 |
| New England | 6\% | 687 | 4\% | 125 |
| South Atlantic | 16\% | 1,832 | 21\% | 639 |
| East South Central | 7\% | 802 | 11\% | 331 |
| West South Central | 11\% | 1,260 | 8\% | $\underline{243}$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 11,450 | 100\% | 3,119 |

One out of every four products sold in this market are worn by boys $5 \&$ under, and the target market closely parallels the age distribution found in the general population.

## Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 \& Únder | 40\% | 4,580 | 39\% | 1,216 |
| 6-10 | 47\% | 5,382 | 43\% | 1,341 |
| 11-13 | 9\% | 1,031 | 12\% | 374 |
| 14 \& Over | 4\% | 458 | 6\% | 187 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 11,450 | 100\% | 3,119 |

$49 \%$ of target market sales are to households where the income is less than $\$ 30,000$; however, $16 \%$ is to households with incomes above $\$ 50,000$.

## Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20,000 | 39\% | 4,466 | 28\% | 3,206 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 19\% | 2,176 | 21\% | 2,405 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 22\% | 2,519 | 24\% | 2,748 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 7\% | 802 | 11\% | 1,260 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 916 | 11\% | 1,260 |
| \$75,000 \& Over | 5\% | 572 | 5\% | 573 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 11,450 | 100\% | 3,119 |

Stride-Rite is the dominant brand selling one out of every five pairs in the market targeted by Canadian manufacturers. Brand names are important with almost half (46\%) of the business concentrated among ten brands.

# Boys' Dress/Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

## Top Ten Brands

| Total Market |  | Price \$20-\$50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stride Rite | 6.0\% | Stride Rite | 19.7\% |
| Buster Brown | 2.7\% | Bass | 7.8\% |
| Bass | 1.8\% | Buster Brown | 6.7\% |
| *Nike | 1.6\% | Sperry Top-Sider | 2.3\% |
| Dexter | 1.5\% | Dexter | 2.1\% |
| *Reebok | 1.3\% | *Reebok | 1.7\% |
| Sperry Top-Sider | 1.0\% | Sebago | 1.6\% |
| *Keds | 0.9\% | Hush Puppies | 1.4\% |
| Hush Puppies | 0.8\% | Jarman | 1.3\% |
| Jarman | 0.5\% | *Nike | 1.3\% |
| Subtotal | 18.1\% | Subtotal | 45.9\% |
| All Other | 81.9\% | All Other | 54.1\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | Total | 100.0\% |

[^2]Target market for girls' dress/casual shoes is 3.7 mm pairs which is roughly $20 \%$ greater than the market for boys earlier reviewed. Girls' dress/casual shoes represent about $28 \%$ of the targeted juvenile market.

## GIRLS' DRESS/CASUAL SHOES <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



Girls' dress/casuals are priced averaging about $\$ 2$ per pair lower than boys ( $\$ 30$ vs. $\$ 32$ ). Within girls', 1.7 mm pairs are sold between $\$ 20-29$ and an additional 1.5 mm pairs between $\$ 30-39$.

## Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20.00 | 81\% | 15,992 | - | - |
| \$ 20.00 - \$ 29.99 | 9\% | 1,823 | 45\% | 1,680 |
| \$ 30.00-\$ 39.99 | 6\% | 1,209 | 40\% | 1,494 |
| \$ 40.00-\$ 50.00 | 3\% | 495 | 15\% | 560 |
| Over \$50.00 | 1\% | 297 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 19,816 | 100\% | 3,734 |

In the target market where sales in both discount and family shoe stores have been removed, the bulk ( $66 \%$ ) of the business is done through shoe specialty stores. The catalog retailers, however, sell nearly one half million pairs per year.

## Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes

 1990Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 7\% | 1,387 | 17\% | 635 |
| Apparel Specialty | 1\% | 198 | 1\% | 37 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 8\% | 1,585 | 13\% | 485 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 5\% | 991 | 27\% | 1,008 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 6\% | 1,189 | 39\% | 1,456 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 11\% | 2,180 | 66\% | 2,464 |
| Mail Order | - | - | 1\% | 37 |
| All Other Outlets | 6\% | 1,189 | 2\%. | 75 |
| Discount Stores | 40\% | 7,926 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 26\% | 5,093 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | 1\% | 198 | = | ニ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 19,816 | 100\% | 3,734 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Stride-Rite sells one out of every four girls' dress/casual shoes in the target market for Canadian manufacturers. As also found in the boys' category, J.C. Penney is a much stronger retailer than either Sears or Montgomery Ward.

# Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

Top Retailers
Total Market Price $\$ 20-\$ 50$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| K-Mart | $14.2 \%$ | Stride Rite | $25.7 \%$ |
| Payless | $13.8 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $9.7 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $8.7 \%$ | Buster Brown | $6.9 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $4.1 \%$ | Sears | $2.1 \%$ |
| Kinney | $4.0 \%$ | Montgomery Ward | $1.4 \%$ |
| Stride Rite | $3.9 \%$ | Naturalizer | $1.1 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.1 \%$ | Bakers | $0.3 \%$ |
| Target | $3.0 \%$ | Red Cross | $0.2 \%$ |
| Fayva | $2.7 \%$ | Air Step | $0.2 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $2.4 \%$ | Jack N' Jill | $0.1 \%$ |

Girls' dress/casual shoes have their strongest penetration in the Central and Eastern parts of the United States where the population is more traditional, less informal.

## Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 16\% | 3,171 | 11\% | 411 |
| Mountain | 6\% | 1,189 | 3\% | 112 |
| West North Central | 6\% | 1,189 | 5\% | 187 |
| East North Central | 22\% | 4,360 | 23\% | 859 |
| Middle Atlantic | 16\% | 3,171 | 21\% | 784 |
| New England | 4\% | 793 | 6\% | 224 |
| South Atlantic | 5\% | 991 | 15\% | 560 |
| East South Central | 13\% | 2,576 | 6\% | 224 |
| West South Central | 12\% | 2,378 | 10\% | 373 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 19,816 | 100\% | 3,734 |

Over half of the annual sales are for wearers whose age is between 6-10 years old. This age group represents nearly two million pairs per year.

## Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 \& Under | 39\% | 7,728 | 36\% | 1,344 |
| 6-10 | 52\% | 10,304 | 52\% | 1,942 |
| 11-13 | 4\% | 793 | 4\% | 149 |
| 14 \& Over | - 5\% | 991 | 8\% | 299 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 19,816 | 100\% | 3,734 |

One out of every five pairs of girls' dress/casuals are bought by families with income above $\$ 50,000$.

Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ Price <br> $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under \$20,000 | $38 \%$ | 7,530 | $27 \%$ | 1,008 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $22 \%$ | 4,360 | $19 \%$ | 709 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $23 \%$ | 4,558 | $25 \%$ | 934 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $7 \%$ | 1,387 | $8 \%$ | 299 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $7 \%$ | 1,387 | $14 \%$ | 523 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{3 \%}$ | $\underline{594}$ | $\underline{7 \%}$ | $\underline{261}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 19,816 | $100 \%$ | $3,734$. |

Stride-Rite has a commanding position in this market segment. When Buster Brown is added, the two brands sell one out of every two shoes sold in this target market: a very high brand concentration.

# Girls' Dress/Casual Shoes 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share 

Top Ten Brands
Total Market
Price $\$ 20$ - $\$ 50$

| Stride Rite | $7.5 \%$ | Stride Rite | $36.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Buster Brown | $3.6 \%$ | Buster Brown | $14.0 \%$ |
| Candies | $1.4 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $2.6 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $1.1 \%$ | Jumping Jack | $1.9 \%$ |
| Highlights | $0.9 \%$ | Bass | $1.8 \%$ |
| *Keds | $0.8 \%$ | Naturalizer | $1.2 \%$ |
| *Nike | $0.6 \%$ | *Keds | $1.2 \%$ |
| Miss Capezio | $0.5 \%$ | Miss Capezio | $1.0 \%$ |
| Cuddler | $0.5 \%$ | *L.A. Gear | $1.0 \%$ |
|  |  | *Reebok | $1.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $16.9 \%$ |  |  |
| All Other | $83.1 \%$ | Subtotal | $61.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | All Other | $38.4 \%$ |
|  |  | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

* These brands represent casual products

The market for infants' non-athletic shoes priced between $\$ 20-\$ 50$ and not sold through discount type outlets is 6.5 mm pairs. It is the third largest segment of total footwear market available to Canadian manufacturers, exceeded only by men's dress and men's casuals. And, half ( $49 \%$ ) of the targeted juvenile market is infants' non-athletic footwear.

INFANTS' NONATHLETIC SHOES
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)
Pairs
Dollars


Average price is $\$ 29$, but 3.7 mm pairs ( $56 \%$ ) are sold above the average.

## Infants' Nonathletic Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$20.00 | 77\% | 20,366 | - | - |
| \$ $20.00-$ \$ 29.99 | 8\% | 2,124 | 44\% | 2,879 |
| \$ 30.00-\$ 39.99 | 13\% | 3,425 | 48\% | 3,141 |
| \$ 40.00 - \$ 50.00 | 1\% | 319 | 8\% | 524 |
| Over \$50.00 | 1\% | 319 | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 26,553 | 100\% | 6,544 |

The targeted market is dominated by shoe stores where specialty shoe stores alone do over half the business. Neither department nor catalog retailers are major factors in this market niche.

## Infants' Nonathletic Shoes

1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Palrs | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 6\% | 1,593 | 10\% | 654 |
| Apparel Specialty | 2\% | 531 | 3\% | 196 |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 7\% | 1,859 | 8\% | 524 |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 8\% | 2,124 | 22\% | 1,440 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | 14\% | 3,717 | 51\% | 3,337 |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 22\% | 5,842 | 73\% | 4,777 |
| Mail Order | - | - | - | - |
| All Other Outlets | 6\% | 1,593 | 6\% | 393 |
| Discount Stores | 39\% | 10,356 | - | - |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (1) | 18\% | 4,780 | - | - |
| Factory Outlet | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 26,553 | 100\% | 6,544 |

(1) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinmey and self-service shoe
stores such as Payless

The two major shoe store retailers of juvenile products, Stride-Rite and Buster Brown, control $50 \%$ of the market.

Infants' Nonathletic Shoes<br>1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers
Total Market Price $\$ 20-\$ 50$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| K-Mart | $16.6 \%$ | Stride Rite | $38.2 \%$ |
| Payless | $10.3 \%$ | Buster Brown | $10.5 \%$ |
| Stride Rite | $9.8 \%$ | Sears | $4.1 \%$ |
| Wal-Mart | $7.5 \%$ | J.C. Penney | $3.4 \%$ |
| J.C. Penney | $3.6 \%$ | Jack N' Jill | $0.2 \%$ |
| Sears | $3.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Kinney | $3.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Buster Brown | $3.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Target | $2.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Picway | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Infants' non-athletic follows the same geographic pattern seen for both boys' and girls' categories -- strong in the East and Central areas, less developed in the West and South.

## Infants' Nonathletic Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Region | \% Total Market | Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Price } \\ . \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 14\% | 3,717 | 14\% | 916 |
| Mountain | 5\% | 1,328 | 1\% | 65 |
| West North Central | 8\% | 2,124 | 5\% | 327 |
| East North Central | 19\% | 5,045 | 18\% | 1,178 |
| Middle Atlantic | 16\% | 4,248 | 21\% | 1,374 |
| New England | 3\% | 797 | 5\% | 327 |
| South Atlantic | 18\% | 4,780 | 21\% | 1,374 |
| East South Central | 6\% | 1,593 | 5\% | 327 |
| West South Central | 11\% | $\underline{2,921}$ | 10\% | 654 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 26,553 | 100\% | 6,544 |

Half of the business is under 2 years old, half is over.

> Infants' Nonathletic Shoes $$
1990
$$ Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Age of Wearer | \% Total Market | Pairs ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Price } \\ \$ 20-\$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 2 | 39\% | 10,356 | 51\% | 3,337 |
| 2-5 | 61\% | 16,197 | 49\% | 3,207 |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 26,553 | 100\% | 6,544 |

The more affluent households lean toward the market available to Canadian manufacturers.
$\quad$ Infants' Nonathletic Shoes
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Household Income Total <br> Market | Pairs | $\%$ Price <br> $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | Pairs |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under \$20,000 | $44 \%$ | 11,683 | $29 \%$ | 1,898 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 29,999$ | $20 \%$ | 5,311 | $20 \%$ | 1,309 |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 39,999$ | $22 \%$ | 5,842 | $26 \%$ | 1,701 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 49,999$ | $5 \%$ | 1,328 | $8 \%$ | 524 |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | $7 \%$ | $.1,859$ | $11 \%$ | 720 |
| $\$ 75,000 \&$ Over | $\underline{2 \%}$ | $\underline{531}$ | $\underline{6 \%}$ | $\underline{393}$ |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | 26,553 | $100 \%$ | 6,544 |

Stride-Rite sells over 3.6 mm pairs in the infant market, and has $55 \%$ of the total market. And, Stride-Rite sells four times the volume of its next biggest competitor, Buster Brown.

## Infants' Nonathletic Shoes <br> 1990 <br> Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Ten Brands
Total Market $\quad$ Price $\$ 20-\$ 50$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Stride Rite | $17.4 \%$ | Stride Rite | $54.9 \%$ |
| Buster Brown | $6.3 \%$ | Buster Brown | $13.9 \%$ |
| *Nike | $0.9 \%$ | *Nike | $2.5 \%$ |
| *Keds | $0.9 \%$ | *Weeboks | $1.3 \%$ |
| Hush Puppies | $0.8 \%$ | Jumping Jack | $1.2 \%$ |
| *Weeboks | $0.4 \%$ | Little Capezio | $1.1 \%$ |
| *Reebok | $0.4 \%$ | Childife | $1.0 \%$ |
| Mother Goose | $0.4 \%$ | Hush Puppies | $0.8 \%$ |
| *L.A. Gear | $0.4 \%$ | *Keds | $0.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $27.9 \%$ | Subtotal | $77.4 \%$ |
| All Other | $72.1 \%$ | All Other | $22.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | Total | $100.0 \%$ |

* These brands represent casual products

The juvenile target winter boot market is a 2.8 million pair and $\$ 59$ million market.

JUVENILE WINTER BOOTS (1)(2)
1990
Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

(1)Boys' and Girls' segments combined
(2/Same footnote as Women's winter boots

Price points below and above the target market account for $84 \%$ of the total market.

> Juvenile Winter Boots (1) 1990
> Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Total Price Paid | \% Total <br> Market | Pairs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $81 \%$ |
| Under $\$ 20.00$ | $12 \%$ | 14,175 |
| $\$ 20.00-\$ 29.99$ | $3 \%$ | 525 |
| $\$ 30.00-\$ 39.99$ | $1 \%$ | 175 |
| $\$ 40.00-\$ 50.00$ | $\underline{3 \%}$ | $\underline{525}$ |
| Over $\$ 50.00$ | $100 \%$ | 17,500 |
| TOTAL |  |  |

(1) Boys' and Girls' segments combined

Sears/Penney/Wards represents the only concentrated potential above the low price retail channels.

## Juvenile Winter Boots (1) 1990

Retail Market Size Estimate (000)

| Retail Channel | \% Total Market | Pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Stores | 5\% | 875 |
| Apparel Specialty | - | - |
| Sears/Penney/Ward | 11\% | 1,925 |
| Sporting Goods/Pro Shops | - | - |
| Independent Shoe Stores and minor family chains | 4\% | 700 |
| Shoe Specialty Stores | - | - |
| Net independent, family and shoe specialty | 4\% | 700 |
| Mail Order | - | - |
| All Other Outlets | - | - |
| Discount Stores | 71\% | 12,425 |
| Family Shoe Store Chains (2) | 9\% | 1,575 |
| Factory Outlet | = | $=$ |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 17,500 |

(1) Boys' and Giris' segments combined
(2) Includes athletic shoe stores, MicAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

Over $40 \%$ of the market is controlled by the two major discount store chains.

Juvenile Winter Boots (1) 1990<br>Pairs Retail Market Share

Top Retailers
Total Market

| K-Mart | $31.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Wal-Mart | $11.1 \%$ |
| Sears | $8.2 \%$ |
| Payless | $3.9 \%$ |
| Kinney | $3.1 \%$ |
| J.c. Penney | $2.6 \%$ |
| Target | $1.7 \%$ |
| Thom McAn | $1.2 \%$ |
| Fayva | $0.6 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Subtotal | $63.5 \%$ |
| All Other | $36.5 \%$ |
| Total. | $100.0 \%$ |

(1) Boys' and Giris' segments combined

Executive Summary

The U.S. market for footwear (stated in Canadian dollars) is twelve times the size of the footwear market in Canada.

Total Footwear Market Canadian Dollars Estimated 1989 Retail Dollar Market Sales (Billions)


United States
Canada
Source: FMI and Statistics Canada 1989 Commodity Survey (May 1991)

The U.S. target market for Canadian manufacturers at $\$ 5.3$ billion U.S. (or $\$ 6.1$ billion Canadian) is almost twice as large as the total Canadian retail footwear market.

## Total Market <br> 1990 Retail Market Size Estimate (000)



## Dollars

Total Market $=\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$


Of the 77.6 million pair target market, boots account for $29 \%$ of the potential and men's dress, casual and western products an additional $30 \%$.

## Canadian Target Market Merchandise Concentration <br> (Millions of Pairs)



Within the target market, one out of every two pairs sold are accounted for by shoe specialty stores and the geographic areas bordering Canada.

Canadian Target Market Characteristics
(100\% = Target Market Pairs)


One third of the 77.6 million pair target segment, or 26.4 million pairs, is accounted for by the East North Central and Middle Atlantic regions.

## Canadian Target Market

## (Regional Potential: Pairs in Millions)



The target market reflects moderate promotional activity and retail price concentration from $\$ 50-\$ 89$.
Canada's Target Market Characteristics ( $100 \%$ = Target Market in Pairs)

| Purchased On-Sale | 30\% | Retail Price Range |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Under \$50 | 18\% |
|  |  |  | \$50-\$69 | 38\% |
|  |  |  | \$70-\$89 | 25\% |
| Purchased |  |  | \$90-\$109 | 10\% |
| At Regular Price |  | 70\% | \$110 \& Over | 9\% |

The target market has desirable characteristics from an age and income basis.

Canadian Target Market Characterisitics ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}=$ Target Market Pairs)

| Age of Wearer | Household Income |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Under 14 Years | $18 \%$ | Under $\$ 15,000$ | $17 \%$ |
| $14-24$ Years | 11 | $\$ 15,000-\$ 24,999$ | 18 |
| $25-34$ Years | 21 | $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$ | 38 |
| $35-44$ Years | 16 | $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | 14 |
| $45-54$ Years | 14 | $\$ 75,000$ and Over | 13 |
| $55-64$ Years | 11 | Total | $100 \%$ |
| 65 and Over | 9 |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 38,900$ |

The men's target market holds the greatest opportunity for Canadian manufacturers based on market size, channel availability, competitive brand concentration and retail buyer willingness to consider Canada as a source of supply. In order of penetration opportunity, the key target market segments are:

## Men's Market

| Product |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category/Price | Core <br> Price | Total <br> Segment <br> Market Size <br> (Millions) | Channel (1) <br> Availability | Competitive ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> Brand <br> Concentration | Retail (3) <br> Buyer <br> Receptivity <br> Index |
| Men's Work $\$ 50-\$ 200$ | $\$ 60-\$ 90$ | 10.3 | $92 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 85 |
| Men's Sport Boots $\$ 50-\$ 200$ | $\$ 60-\$ 100$ | 3.2 | $95 \%$ | $47 \%$ | 106 |
| Men's Casual $\$ 60-\$ 150$ | $\$ 60-\$ 80$ | 4.3 | $78 \%$ | $34 \%$ | 106 |
| Men's Dress $\$ 60-\$ 150$ | $\$ 60-\$ 90$ | 16.5 | $63 \%$ | $34 \%$ | 96 |

(1) Target retail channels minus chain specialty stores, e.g., Florsheim
(2) Top three brands
${ }^{(3)}$ Average equals 100 ; relative measure of U.S. Retail buyers willingness to consider Canada as a source of supply (See U.S. Retail Footwear Buyer Image and Awareness of the Canadian Footwear Industry report).

Within the women's target market, the women's leather boot market holds the greatest opportunity followed by the casual shoe segment.

## Women's Market

| Product |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category/Price | Core <br> Price | Total <br> Segment <br> Market Size <br> (Millions) | Channel ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> Availability | Competitive ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> Brand <br> Concentration | Retail ${ }^{(3)}$ <br> Buyer <br> Receptivity <br> Index |
| Women's Leather Boots $\$ 50-\$ 120$ | $\$ 60-\$ 90$ | 1.4. | $81 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 91 |
| Women's Casual $\$ 55-\$ 130$ | $\$ 55-\$ 70$ | 5.1 | $76 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 89 |
| Women's Dress $\$ 55-\$ 130$ | $\$ 60-\$ 80$ | 12.5 | $70 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 80 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Target retail channels minus chain specialty stores, e.g., Naturalizer
(2) Top three brands
${ }^{\text {(3) }}$ Average equals 100 ; relative measure of U.S. Retail buyers willingness to consider Canada as a source of supply (See U.S. Retail Footwear Buyer Image and Awareness of the Canadian Footwear Industry report).

Channel availability and competitive brand concentration dramatically restrict the opportunities in the girl's and infant's market segment.

> Juvenile Market

| Product |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category/Price | Core <br> Price | Total <br> Segment <br> Market Size <br> (Millions) | Channel ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> Availability | Competitive ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> Brand <br> Concentration | Retail ${ }^{(3)}$ <br> Buyer <br> Recepitivity <br> Index |
| Boys' $\$ 20-\$ 150$ | $\$ 20-\$ 30$ | 3.1 | $75 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Girls' $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | $\$ 20-\$ 40$ | 3.7 | $61 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Infants' $\$ 20-\$ 50$ | $\$ 30-\$ 40$ | 6.5 | $49 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Target retail channels minus chain specialty stores, e.g., Stride Rite
(2) Top three brands
${ }^{(3)}$ Not availabie for children's segments

## APPENDIX

- Survey Methódology
- FMI/U.S. Census Regions
- Age and Size Matrix


## FOOTWEAR MARKET INDEX

FMI has been a source of marketing information for the footwear industry since 1982. FMI's objective is to be a continuous source of accurate, action-oriented information to benefit a company's operations. FMI has over 50 clients.

FMI provides marketing information that includes:

```
Market size and growth rates
Market share -
    - retail channels
    - brands
    Channels of distribution
    Pricing/promotion
    Consumer demographics
    Competitive positioning '
    Metropolitan area market size estimates
    Forecasts for five and ten years
```


## METHODOLOGY

Questionnaires are mailed three times a year to a sample of 30,000 males and 30,000 female heads of household yielding an approximate 70 percent return. The sample size of 60,000 is statistically adjusted by NFO Research, Inc. in Toledo, Ohio to be representative of the total U.S. population. The reported data are projected to represent total retail footwear pairs and dollar sales. Statistical reliability of the data is $+/-0.2 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

## MEN'S MARKET SEGMENT DEFINITIONS

| * Dress Shoes: | Dress lace, dress sllp-on, and dress boots |
| :--- | :--- |
| *Casual Shoes: | Casual/boat/jean shoes and sandals |
| Athletic/Tennis Shoes: | Athletic/jogging and tennis shoes/sneakers |
| Western/Casual Boots: | Western and casual boots |
| Work Shoes/Boots: | Work shoes, work boots, steel toe safety shoes and boots |
| Hunting/Hiking/Fishing Boots: | Defined by primary use of boot purchased |

* Also defined for the boys' market segment


## WOMEN'S MARKET SEGMENT DEFINITIONS

| * Dress Shoes: | Pumps and other dress shoes. Includes high, <br> medium and low heels, wedges and flats. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dress Sandals: | Includes high, medium and low heels, wedges <br> and flats |
| *Casual Shoes: | Casual/boat/jean shoe, other casual shoes <br> and loafers/penny mocs |
| Athletic/Tennis Shoes: | Athletic/jogging and tennis shoes/sneakers |$\quad$| Work/Duty Shoes: |
| :--- |

* Also deflned for the girls' market segment


## REGIONS OF THE U. S.



| NORTHEAST | NORTH CENTRAL (Continued) | SOUTH (Continued) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England: | West North Central: | West South Central: |
| Maine | Minnesota | Texas |
| Vermont | lowa | Oklahoma |
| New Hampshire | Missouri | Arkansas |
| Massachusetts | Kansas | Louisiana |
| Connecticut | Nebraska |  |
| Rhode Island | South Dakota | WEST |
|  | North Dakota | Mountain: |
| Middle Atlantic: |  | Montana |
| New York | SOUTH | Idaho |
| New Jersey | South Atlantic: | Wyoming |
| Pennsylvania | West Virginia | Colorado |
|  | Virginia | New Mexico |
| NORTH CENTRAL | Washington, D.C. | Arizona |
| East North Central: | Maryland | Utah |
| Michigan | North Carolina | Nevada |
| Wisconsin | South Carolina |  |
| Illinols | Georgia | Pacific: |
| Indiana | Florida | Oregon |
| Ohio | Delaware | Washington California |
|  | East South Central: |  |
|  | Kentucky |  |
|  | Tennessee |  |
|  | Mississippi |  |
|  | Alabama |  |

THE FIVE FOOTWEAR MARKET SEGMENTS IN THIS REPORT ARE DEFINED BY BOTH AGE AND SIZE - -

| Wearer's Age | AGE/SIZE MATRIX FOR SEGMENT DEFINITION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infants | Boys | Girls | Men | Women |
| Under 2 | All Sizes |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0-8 | 8.5 \& Over | 8.5 \& Over |  |  |
| 3 | 0-8 | 8.5 \& Over | 8.5 \& Over |  |  |
| 4 | 0-8 | 8.5 \& Over | 8.5 \& Over |  |  |
| 5 | 4-8 | $\begin{gathered} 1-3.5 \& \\ 8.5 \& \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3.5 \& \\ \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 6 |  | 1-6.5 \& Over | 1-6.5 \& Over |  |  |
| 7 | ! | 1-6.5 \& Over | 1-6.5 \& Over |  |  |
| 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-3.5 \& \\ & 4 \& \text { Over } \end{aligned}$ | Under 4 |  | 4 \& over |
| 9 |  | Under 6 \& 11 \& Over | Under 4 | 6-11 | 4 \& over |
| 10 \& Over |  | Under 6 ' | $\text { Under } 4$ | 6 \& Over | 4 \& over |
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[^0]:    (1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAn/Kinney and self-service shoe

[^1]:    (1) Includes athletic shoe stores, McAnMinney and self-service shoe stores such as Payless

[^2]:    *These brands represent casual products

