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#### Abstract

Redfish (Sebastes marinus mentella) were shown to have had a variable catch history ranging from 84,000 tonnes in 1951 to 12,000 tonnes in 1979. Abundance (or catch rate) was affected by depth with peaks in catch rate at 150 , 250 and 350 meters; by bottom temperature with a peak at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and by time of day with peaks at 1200 and 2130 hrs . Length frequencies from research vessel catches show small fish ( $<15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to be very rare, although present in occasional catches (indicating their catchability). This lack of apparent recruitment over the last decade and the dependence of the fishery on 1 or 2 age groups ( $\pm 30 \%$ of catch made up of 15 and/or 16 year olds) indicates an unhealthy fishery which requires careful management. A summary of 10 years of R/V survey data is provided.
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## Résumé

Les prises de sébaste atlantique (Sebastes marinus mentella) ont subi dans le passé de fortes variations, allant de 84,000 tonnes en 1951 à 12,000 tonnes en 1979. L'abondance de l'espèce (ou son taux de capture) est affectée par la profondeur, des taux de capture maxima se trouvant à 150 , 250 et 350 mètres; par la température du fond, un maximum se rencontrant à $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; et par 1'heure du jour, des pics se produisant à 1200 h et 2130 h . Les fréquences de longueur des captures par les navires de recherche démontrent que les petits poissons ( $<15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) sont très rares, bien que présents occasionnellement dans les prises (signe qu'ils sont aptes à être capturés). Ce manque de recrutement apparent durant la dernière décennie et la dépendance de la pêche vis-à-vis 1 ou 2 groupes d'âge (les poissons de 15 et/ou 16 ans constituent environ $30 \%$ des prises) sont le signe d'une pêcherie en mauvaise posture, qui doit être gérée avec soin. On donne un résumé des données recueillies sur une période de 10 ans lors de relevés par navires de recherche.

Mots clés: Sébaste, plateau Scotian, distribution, abondance Sebastes marinus mentella

## INTRODUCTION

Redfish (Sebastes marinus, mentella) has been an important fish in removals from the Scotian shelf since the late 1930's when the USA first began the fishery. Canadian landings from this fishery were initially low and only exceeded 1000 tonnes once in the early 50 's (Figure 1, Table 1). Since the early 60's there has been a steady increase in Canadian landings from slightly in excess of 1000 tonnes to the present level of around 15,000 tonnes. This makes redfish the fourth most important groundfish in Canadian landings (in tonnage). Although this is an important stock, it has always been an unregulated species in terms of gear - and until 1974 in total allowable catch (TAC).

Good management depends on accurate up to date biological information which is lacking for Scotian shelf redfish. Inadequate sampling from vessels of unspecified codend mesh size, no routine ageing, great variability in random stratified survey results all present difficult obstacles for the assessment of the NAFO divisions 4VWX redfish stock. Clay (MS 1979) showed how with different assumptions the TAC could range between 7,000 and 40,000 tonnes. For these reasons no analytical assessment is being attempted for 1981, in its place analyses are being conducted on the biology and ecology of this species in an attempt to improve the parameters necessary for future stock assessments.

## METHODS

The 1979 provisional catch data were taken from ICNAF and NAFO circular letters and Department of Fisheries \& Oceans statistics from Maritimes and Quebec region and from Newfoundland region (Tables 2 and 3 ).

The data used in this study were collected during the summer (July) groundfish cruises on the Scotian shelf (see Halliday and Kohler (MS 1971) for details of random stratified design). The data were summarized by a series of computer programs (STRAT) from St. Andrews Biological Station, Department of Fisheries \& Oceans, St. Andrews, New

Table 1. Nominal redfish landings from NAFO division $4 V W X$ in tonnes (live

| Year | MARITIMES \& QUEBEC | NEWFOUNDLAND | USA | USSR | OTHERS | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1930 |  |  | 6* |  |  | 6* |
| 1931 |  |  | 28* |  |  | 28* |
| 1932 |  |  | 29* |  |  | 29* |
| 1933 |  |  | 35* |  |  | 35* |
| 1934 |  |  | 361 * |  |  | 361 * |
| 1935 |  |  | 233* |  |  | 233* |
| 1936 | 96* |  | 7195* |  |  | 7291* |
| 1937 | 44* |  | 11647* |  |  | 11691* |
| 1938 | 217* |  | 8846* |  |  | 9063* |
| 1939 | 267* |  | 9799* |  |  | 10066* |
| 1940 | 127* |  | 11856* |  |  | 11983* |
| 1941 | 17* |  | 10436* |  |  | 10453* |
| 1942 | 58* |  | 2208* |  |  | 2266* |
| 1943 | 15* |  | 3695* |  |  | 3710* |
| 1944 | 12* |  | 4089* |  |  | 4101* |
| 1945 | 11* |  | 21886* |  |  | 21897* |
| 1946 | 137* |  | 38383* |  |  | 38520* |
| 1947 | 195* |  | 26330* |  |  | 26525* |
| 1948 | 573* |  | 64367* |  |  | 64940* |
| 1949 | 895* |  | 76751* |  |  | 77646* |
| 1950 | 678* |  | 59662* |  |  | 60340* |
| 1951 | 744* |  | 83315* |  |  | 84059* |
| 1952 | 1457* | 1588* | 31344* |  |  | 34389* |
| 1953 | 282 | 375 | 19574 |  |  | 20231 |
| 1954 | 1037 | - | 20895 |  |  | 21932 |
| 1955 | 349 | 48 | 9330 |  |  | 9727 |
| 1956 | 240 | 2 | 16313 |  |  | 16555 |
| 1957 | 504 | 4 | 19990 |  |  | 20498 |
| 1958 | 749 | 8 | 31599 |  |  | 32356 |
| 1959 | 611 | - | 24704 |  |  | 25315 |
| 1960 | 1171 | - | 36294 |  | 18 | 37483 |
| 1961 | 1869 | 642 | 28960 | 9 | 4 | 31484 |
| 1962 | 2976 | 412 | 29370 | 3975 |  | 36733 |
| 1963 | 2553 | 622 | 23282 | 12288 | 7 | 38752 |
| 1964 | 2020 | 1025 | 15641 | 3659 | 493 | 22838 |
| 1965 | 3467 | 1250 | 13082 | 1571 | 208 | 19578 |
| 1966 | 7219 | 2791 | 16679 | 13943 | 204 | 40836 |
| 1967 | 5502 | 4354 | 6415 | 67 | 1906 | 18244 |
| 1968 | 5045 | 4206 | 4635 | 186 | 954 | 15026 |
| 1969 | 7792 | 2958 | 1142 | 2152 | 8260 | 22304 |
| 1970 | 13294 | 1999 | 1949 | 13218 | 1119 | 31579 |
| 1971 | 24953 | 4355 | 6261 | 15591 | 6221 | 57381 |
| 1972 | 17231 | 4638 | 12365 | 11858 | 4208 | 50300 |
| 1973 | 13388 | 3100 | 10751 | 10601 | 2333 | 40173 |
| 1974 | 12688 | 3051 | 8891 | 6696 | 1511 | 32837 |
| 1975 | 10422 | 6603 | 5465 | 4849 | 644 | 27983 |
| 1976 | 7448 | 5177 | 4446 | 1021 | 367 | 18459 |

Table 1. continued

| Year | MARTIIMES \& QUEBEC | NEWFOUNDLAND | USA | USSR | OTHERS | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 10115 | 4597 | 2876 | 175 | 82 | 17845 |
| 1978 | 9020 | 4556 | 2147 | 152 | 220 | 16095 |
| $1979{ }^{1}$ | 8264 | 3176 | 717 | 122 | 53 | 12332 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Provisional statistics

* All catch statistics of NAFO subdivision 4 (includes Gulf of St. Lawrence) are combined prior to 1953. It is generally accepted that the redfish fishery in the Gulf of St. Lawrence began in 1953 (Parsons and Parsons, MS 1976) and therefore the catches between 1930 and 1952 are from the Scotian Shelf.

Table 2. Provisional redfish catch statistics for 1979 (taken from ICNAF and NAFO Circular Letters).

|  | USSR | JAPAN | CUBA | USA | $\operatorname{CAN}(\mathrm{MQ})^{1}$ | $\operatorname{CAN}(N)^{1}$ | EEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan |  |  |  | 129 | 60 | 4 |  | 193 |
| Feb |  |  |  | 8 | 25 | 46 |  | 79 |
| Mar |  |  |  | 351 | 199 | 47 |  | 597 |
| Apr |  |  |  | 23 | 366 | 131 |  | 520 |
| May | 83 |  |  | 27 | 620 | 244 | 17 | 991 |
| Jun |  |  |  | 25 | 724 | 460 |  | 1209 |
| Jul |  |  | 1 | 46 | 795 | 297 |  | 1139 |
| Aug | 13 |  | 1 | 42 | 2051 | 459 |  | 2566 |
| Sept | 26 |  | 3 | 5 | 992 | 1058 |  | 2084 |
| Oct |  | 5 | 4 | 4 | 150 | 190 |  | 353 |
| Nov |  | 6 |  | 43 | 702 | 179 |  | 930 |
| Dec |  |  |  | 14 | 1580 | 61 |  | 1655 |
| Totals ${ }^{2}$ | 122 | 11 | 10 | 718 | 8264 | 3176 | 33 | 12316 |

1. Canadian statistics separated by Canada (Maritimes and Quebec) and Canada (Newfoundl and).
2. Totals do not always add up to the sum of the monthly catches because the monthly statistics are not complete.

Table 3. Nominal provisional landings for Scotian Shelf Atlantic redfish for 1979 by NAFO subdivision.

| NAFO | Subdivisions |  | 4 Vs |  | 4 V | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4VWX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month |  | M\&Q1 | $N^{2}$ | M\&Q | $N$ | M\&Q | N | M\&Q | $N$ | Total |
| Jan |  | 8 |  | 29 | 7 | 2 |  | 25 |  | 71 |
| Feb |  | 5 |  | 33 | 49 | 1 |  | 9 |  | 97 |
| March |  | 144 | 19 | 24 | 37 | 27 |  | 87 | 2 | 340 |
| April |  | 292 | 279 | 3 |  | 197 |  | 6 |  | 777 |
| May |  | 153 | 78 | 2 |  | 255 | 1 | 68 |  | 557 |
| June |  | 424 | 149 | 300 | 499 | 572 |  | 57 |  | 2001 |
| July |  | 572 | 100 | 307 | 361 | 301 |  | 94 |  | 1735 |
| Aug |  | 447 | 242 | 526 | 572 | 166 |  | 48 |  | 2001 |
| Sept |  | 106 | 140 | 581 | 431 | 146 |  | 26 | 1 | 1431 |
| 0ct |  | 253 | 20 | 76 | 111 | 30 |  | 21 | 1 | 512 |
| Nov |  | 836 |  | 304 | 8 | 30 | 1 | 222 |  | 1401 |
| Dec |  | 309 |  |  | 61 | 40 |  | 127 |  | 537 |
| Total |  | 35491 | 1027 | 2185 | 2135 | 1767 | 2 | 790 | 4 | $11460{ }^{3}$ |
| 1. Department of Fisheries and Oceans provisional statistics from the Maritimes and Quebec region. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Department of Fisheries and Oceans provisional statistics from Newfoundland region. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The foreign catch ( 872 tonnes) is not available subdivided by NAFO subdivision. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Brunswick. The data from these summaries were then studied in an attempt to identify any possible sources of variation or bias.

The STRAT programs' results (summarized in Appendix I: Tables 1 through 5) were adjusted in an attempt to remove some of the variability. The years 1975, 1977, and 1978 show extremely high biomass levels in NAFO division 4W (Figure 2). All survey data where one set made up over $90 \%$ of the stratum biomass and over $20 \%$ of the subdivision total were adjusted. The value was removed and replaced with the mean value of data from the same strata for 3 or more adjacent years. For the analysis of ecological parameters all of the sets and associated data were adjusted to standard tows of 30 minutes duration. The bottom types were found by using the surficial geology maps of the Scotian shelf (King, 1970).

## RESULTS \& DISCUSSION

After the assessment for 1980 (Clay, MS 1979) it became obvious that the research vessel biomass indices were very important in considering the status of the $4 V W X$ redfish stock. It was pointed out in the above assessment that although 75\% of the 1978 4VWX biomass was found in division $4 \mathrm{~W}, 60 \%$ of the nominal catch was reported to be from subdivision $4 V$ s. The distribution of catch in 1979 is slightly different (Tables 2 and 3 ), with only $40 \%$ coming from division $4 V$ s this year. The minimum trawlable biomass estimates (Figure 2) show wide fluctuations between years and NAFO divisions. The data for each division were then plotted by stratum (Figure 3) to see if the high degree of variation was limited to division level or was apparent between strata and/or at lower levels. Divisions 4 W and 4 X ( $\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{~W}$ ) (Figure 3 c , d , and e) indicate the variation between strata and between years. A closer investigation shows the increase in biomass in 1978
(Figure 3) to be due to set number 1 of stratum 60 where 6052 fish were taken. If that set is removed and the mean for all years of the stratum is subsituted, the biomass for NAFO division 4W drops from 195,000 tonnes to approximately 30,000 tonnes. A similar investigation for two other years of high biomass in 4W (1975 and 1977) indicates similiar circumstances. Because the subdivisions' variation apparently is the result of large fluctuations between sets, the biomass estimate (Figure 2) for redfish was reworked and adjusted in the above manner (Figure 4). This shows a very different picture compared to the increasing biomass presented in 1979 (Koeller, MS 1979).

The variation described above and adjusted for is similar to that identified by Halliday et al. (1971) and Pennington and Grosslein (MS 1978). This variation is a factor of the distribution of redfish. Because the variance is substantically greater than the mean catch/tow of the summer surveys, the distribution must be contagious or clumped (Elliott, 1973). When designing a survey there are several ways of reducing the variation for such distributions. One of these is to increase the sampling unit (possibly to one hour tows) in order to make the distribution appear more random (Elliott, 1973), however Pennington and Grosslein (MS 1978) attempted such a technique and did not see this effect for three other species from Georges Bank. Another method would be to increase the number of samples although this would be prohibitively expensive and the third would be to move to a fixed station type of survey and fish the commercial zones. This
latter technique may have special merit when considering redfish abundance surveys. If, as is often hypothesized, the genus Sebastes is a non migratory fish then a fixed station survey may reduce variation caused by a moving survey on a fixed population.

If it were deemed necessary to set up a separate redfish survey, then the ecological factors affecting redfish abundance and distribution would be important in its design. The ecological parameters presently available for study are depth, bottom temperature, time of day, and bottom type (surficial geology). Knowing the effect of each of these four parameters will allow for the matching of time and area of the survey to distribution of the fish.

The first of these parameters, depth, is the basis upon which the stratified-random survey is currently designed. In an overview Jean and McCracken (1961) found no redfish at less than 95 m ( 50 fathoms). Redfish were caught on the outer slopes in about $25 \%$ of their sets at between 95 and 185 m ( 50 to 100 fms ) and in most hauls over 185 m ( 100 fms). On the inner slopes of the Nova Scotian banks redfish were found in about $33 \%$ of the hauls-mainly between 100 and 140 m ( 55 and 75 fms ). The present analysis (Figure 5) shows a biomodal (possibly even tri-modal) peak in mean catch per standard tow ( 30 minutes). The first peak occurring at 150 m depth is due to a few sets with large catches on the inner slopes of the Nova Scotian banks. The second and third peaks at 225 and 325 m respectively are both on the outer slopes of the shelf.

Litvinenko (1974) and Barsukov and Zaharov (1972) identified two species of similar gross morphology with overlapping ranges - Sebastes fasciatus a shallow water redfish and S. mentella a deepwater redfish. Kenchington (MS 1980) has shown that these two statistically separable species inhabit the Scotian shelf. It is therefore possible that these latter two peaks are the optimal depths of the two respective species or, less likely, the optimal depths of one species in different years. The inner slopes of the shelf banks would be inhabited by ( $\underline{S}$. fasciatus) although the basins often of equal depth do not appear to have redfish populations.

Alverson and Westrheim (1961) found Pacific ocean perch S. alutus moved from 50 to 75 m deeper during the winter. Paraketsov (1963) found two peaks of catch rate (at 225 and 325 m ) during the summer for S. alutus in the Bering Sea while in the winter the fish moved deeper to ( 300 to 400 m ). Such possible behaviour patterns should be born in mind if a non-summer survey were planned for redfish.

Many authors (Hennemuth and Brown, MS 1964;' Gulland, MS 1965) have shown redfish length distribution to vary statistically with depth. Hennemuth and Brown (op.cit.) found up to a 7 cm difference in length between 100 m and 200 m , with larger fish generally found in deeper waters.

Bottom temperature (Figure 6) shows major catch rates (over 100 kg per tow) occur between about $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These data agree with that of Taning (1949) and Templeman (1959). McLellan (1954 and 1955) shows bottom temperatures in this optimum range over much of the year, especially in northern Banquereau (Strata 44, 45, and 46, Appendix I: Figure l) and The Gully. The basins on the Scotian shelf are shown to warm up considerably in the summer and late fall, a possible reason why, though the depth is suitable and food available (i.e. euphausiids in Emerald Basin) redfish are not abundant.

Time of day, the third parameter, again supports data from other regions and species of the genus Sebastes. The largest catch occurs during daylight hours ( 1200 hrs) (Figure 7) with a second minor peak occuring between 2100 and 2200 hrs . At least the largest peak is probably associated with diurnal euphausiid migrations (vertical). (During feeding studies conducted by the author on redfish from the Scotian shelf in the past 2 years euphausiids were found to make up over $90 \%$ of all food items.)

Redfish appear to hold only a very loose association for bottom type (surficial geology). Although the five major bottom types (King, 1970) are well represented in the surveys, redfish catches with over 10 kg are virtually absent on the gravelly sands of the banks. The highest individual catches were recorded on Leflave clay, Sambro sand, and Emerald silt respectively. The highest rates of catch (over 10 kg were on Emerald silts ( $40 \%$ ) and the Scotian shelf drift ( $30 \%$ ). This however may be an auto correlation based more on the bottom type-depth relationship than on a fish-bottom type relationship. Until an analysis of variance is carried out on these data little can be said except, if a relationship exists it is very weak.

One last valuable piece of information available from research vessel surveys is the length composition of the catch. Because small mesh liners are used ( $\pm 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) the catch, it is hoped, will be representative of the population. The length frequencies (1975-1979) for NAFO division 4 V n show little pattern in the form of up coming year-classes (Figure 8). The mode at 19 cm in 1977 may be the same year-class as the large mode at $22-23 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 1979. However, in general there would appear to be a lack of fish below 30 cm or using age-length data from Clay and Clay (MS 1980) below 15 years of age. This is a potentially very dangerous situation if 4 Vn is a unit stock and this indicates recruitment failure. There is one other possible explanation, larvae spawned in 4 Vn could be carried away to other areas and immigration of older fish may occur from these and/or other areas possibly from the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

Bearing in mind the options for recruitment from division $4 V n$, an inspection of the length frequencies (1970-1979) for the neighbouring division $4 V_{s}$ (Figure 9) is necessary. This area appears to have an abundance of younger fish (under 25 cm ) under 12 years of age. Possibly, the missing recruitment from $4 V n$ ? There is a current moving the surface water from $4 V \mathrm{n}$ out on the shelf past Sable Island and around to $4 V$ s - possibly bringing with it the pelagic larvae. The effects of the heavy fishing in 1971 are apparent in 1972 and later years. After the heavy fishing period 1971-1974 the length frequency is less stable and the stock in this area appears to have moved to greater dependence on one or two individual year-classes. The 1972 year-class ( $14-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 1977, $17-18 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 1978, and 20 cm in 1979) seems to be the only sign of a strong year-class. The effect of the strong year-class in 1964 or 1965 (modes $18-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 1970 through to 30 cm in 1978) appears to be disappearing - a possible reason why the proportion of the catch from $4 V$ s dropped from $60 \%$ in 1978 to $40 \%$ in 1979.

The next set of length frequencies (1970-1979) are from NAFO division $4 W$ (Figure 10). This series is remarkable in its relative consistency over the entire decade. Except for 1979 and the small mode at 15 cm (1977) there have been no recruits (under 12 years) in this area. The middle-aged composition of the stock has remained steady despite both the lack of recruits and the heavy 4W fishery which only let up in 1976 ( $F$ igure 11). Many of the fish represented in these length frequencies are from strata 60 (see Appendix I: Figure 1 and Table 3) - an inshore strata. It has been hypothesized that the redfish of the "inshore holes" are smaller than those offshore because they grow more slowly. Neither the 1977 nor the 1978 data on age and growth support this hypothesis and the growth rate in strata 60 is almost identical to that off the slope. Kenchington (MS 1980) has found $\underline{S}$. fasciatus to be the predominant redfish species 1 on the Scotian shelf over the range of depths covered by the groundfish surveys and therefore these fish are probably not a separate species although the 4 W inshore population may well be a separate stock.

The length frequencies from division $4 X(E)$ show a population without recruitment between 1975 and 1978 (Figure 12). The young fish (15-22 cm) in 1979 are not readily explained - the size of the sample indicates that one set of small fish could affect this population distribution. The length frequencies from division 4X(W) show what might be the $1965+2$ year-class (Figure 13 ). Except for this year-class (starting mode $20^{-} \mathrm{cm} 1975$ ) there is little cause for optimism in recruitment in either part of division 4 X .

## RELEVANCE FOR STOCK ASSESSMENT

The data presented above make it obvious that the unadjusted minimum trawable biomass estimates are extremely unreliable for redfish and should not be used as predictive tools. The adjusted figures do not provide an optimistic outlook as the catch has been reduced to 25\% of its 1970 levels and no upward trend is visible in the biomass estimates (a possible query exists for $4 V n$ in 1979). The TAC set for 1979 was not reached - in fact a serious short fall occurred (40\%). It is probable that the TAC for 1980 will also not be met. The commercial CPUE figures (see Clay, MS 1979) are of little value as the major vessel size involved in this fishery - the OTB-4 class - provide a very steady catch rate of approximately 0.5 tonnes per hour. Clay (MS 1979) put forward two hypotheses for this. The first hypothesis suggest the vessels must fish at an economic rate of return or they switch to another species - the catch rate may be maintained at a set level by the schooling nature of these fish, a factor which coupled with modern

1 Although throughout this paper the redfish has been called Sebastes marinus mentella - it should probably be called S. fasciatus.
electronics allows them to be exploited economically until the last school is removed. The second possibility considered was the experience of the crews - fishermen on older vessels who know the stocks well are able to find fish even when they occur at very low concentrations. To these two possibilities, a third can now be added that the biomass level has remained essentially constant over the last 6 to 8 years.

The ecological data suggest some ways of possibly standardizing groundfish cruises. It may be necessary to standardize the catches in any year to one time of day - and between years to one temperature. Such techniques may lead to groundfish cruises that can be modified by various adjustments and/or stratification schemes for investigations of individual species.

The length frequency data for divisions $4 V W X$ show several middle-aged to old stocks of redfish. Few if any recruits are visible although at times young fish are caught in large numbers. This state of affairs does not bode well for the medium term future of this stock ( $5-10$ years). It is important to note that 2 or 3 year-classes (age groups 15 and 16) make up $\pm 30 \%$ of the catch. This does not indicate a fishery dependent on a few strong year-classes, but a fishery where the new recruits support the fishery and are removed very quickly once they enter the fishery.

The catch has fallen steadily over the past 10 years. This is partly due to restrictions of various sorts, however, the restrictions are often lagging the reductions. Such reduction could be an indication of declining stocks - such as has been found in the Gulf of Maine. Unfortunately there does not appear to be a "salvation year class" in the wings such as the Gulf of Maine's 1971 year-class. I feel serious consideration must be given to a drastic reduction in TAC for 1981 - consideration that cannot wait for another year.
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Figure 1. Atlantic redfish nominal landings for NAFO divisions IVWX (Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy). Landings are subdivided by country.


Figure 2. Atlantic redfish biomass estimates for the Scotian Shelf as calculated from Canadian $R / V$ surveys. The data is unadjusted and divided by NAFO subdivisions.


Figure 4. Atlantic redfish biomass estimates for the Scotian Shelf as calculated from adjusted data of Canadian R/V surveys. The data, adjusted to remove some extreme between set variation, is plotted by NAFO subdivisions.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Figure 3. Biomass estimates for Atlantic redfish from NFO } \\
& \frac{d i v i s i o n s ~ 4 V n-(a), 4 V s-(b), 4 W-(c), 4 X(E)-(d), \text { and }}{4 X(W)-(e) . ~ E a c h ~ d i v i s i o n ~ t o t a l ~(s o l i d ~ l i n e) ~ i s ~ b r o k e n ~} \\
& \text { down into stratum components (numbered). }
\end{aligned}
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Figure 3. Biomass estimates for Atlantic redfish from NAFO
divisions $4 V n-(a), 4 V s-(b), 4 W-(c), 4 X(E)-(d)$, and 4X(W)-(e). Each division total (solid line) is broken down into stratum components (numbered).


Fig. 5. Distribution of total fishing effort, fishing effort catching over 1 kg of Atlantic redfish in a 30 minute tow, and mean catch ( kg ) of redfish by depth from R/V surveys on the Scotian shelf. The solid line represents the total fishing effort, the dotted line the effort when redfish in the catch is greater than 1 kg and the broken line the mean catch.

Fig. 6. Distribution of total fishing effort, catching over 1 kg of Atlantic redfish in a 30 minute tow, and mean catch ( kg ) of redfish by bottom temperatures from R/V surveys on the Scotian shelf. (Key symbols are same as for Figure 5).



Fig. 7. Distribution of total fishing effort, fishing effort catching over 1 kg of Atlantic redfish in a 30 minute tow, and mean catch ( kg ) of redfish by time of day (Atlantic standard time) from R/V surveys on the Scotian Shelf. (Key symbols are same as for Figure 5).



Figure 8. Length frequencies of Atlantic redfish from NAFO - division $4 V n$ (1975-1979).


Figure 9. Length frequencies of Atlantic redfish from NAFO ....division $4 V_{s}$ (1970-1979).


Figure 9. Continued.


Figure 10. Length frequencies of Atlantic redfish from NAFO division 4W (1970-1979).


Figure 10. Continued.


Figure 11. Atlantic redfish nominal landings for the Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy. Landings are divided by NAFO divisions.


Figure 12. Length frequencies of Atlantic redfish from NAFO division 4X (E) (1975-1979).


Figure 13. Length frequencies of Atlantic redfish from NAFO division 4X (W) (1975-1979).

Summary of Canadian summer groundfish cruise data for the Atlantic redfish (1970-1979).


Figure 1. Map of strata used for Canadian groundfish cruises on the Scotian Shelf. (after Halliday and Kohler, MS 1971).

Table 1. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 V n$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year S | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. ( $x 10^{-6}$ ) | - Biomass <br> Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 40 | 4 | $373.50+194.41$ | 32.16 | 34.26 | 16767.9 |
|  | 41 | 4 | $68.50 \pm 73.43$ | 22.57 | 6.80 | 1890.9 |
|  | 42 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1971 | 40 | 2 | 548.13+255.4 | **** | 50.3 | 28205.2 1. |
|  | 41 | 2 | $373.63 \mp 501.2$ | **** | 37.1 | 17632.5 A |
|  | 42 | 2 | $1.09 \mp 1.55$ | **** | 0.16 | 78.0 |
| 1972 | 40 | 2 | $884.82+748.3$ | **** | 81.2 | 18220.1 |
|  | 41 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 42 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1973 | 40 | 3 | 108.42+33.29 | 27.48 | 9.9 | 3893.7 |
|  | 41 | 2 | $55.07 \pm 77.89$ | 22.81 | 5.5 | 1277.3 |
|  | 42 | 3 | $0.34 \pm 0.59$ | 32.00 | 0.05 | **** |
| 1974 | 40 | 3 | $375.9+300.8$ | 27.81 | 34.4 | 14934.8 |
|  | 41 | 3 | $13.2 \overline{7}+17.13$ | 15.61 | 1.3 | 106.4 |
|  | 42 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1975 | 40 | 3 | $101.69+43.04$ | 36.48 | 9.3 | 6786.3 |
|  | 41 | 3 | 0.61 ¢1. ${ }^{\text {. }} 06$ | 14.50 | 0.06 | **** |
|  | 42 | 3 | $0.97 \pm \overline{1} .69$ | 16.33 | 0.14 | **** |
| 1976 | 40 | 3 | $120.92+17.19$ | 34.42 | 11.09 | 7832.9 |
|  | 41 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 42 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1977 | 40 | 3 | $44.4+42.68$ | 33.53 | 4.07 | 2706.2 |
|  | 41 | 4 | $4.8 \overline{9}+9.78$ | 17.79 | 0.48 | 51.1 |
|  | 42 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & (J u l y) \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 3 | $139.09+133.4$ | 36.82 | 12.76 | 10701.1 |
|  | 41 | 3 | **** | **** | **** | 595.6 |
|  | 42 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & \text { (Nov-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 3 | 46.86 | 38.37 |  |  |
|  | c) 41 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 42 | 3 | 0.32 | 19.00 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { (July) } \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 3 | $557.58+276.54$ | 32.80 | 51.14 | 30211.6 |
|  | 41 | 3 | $1.62 \pm 2.81$ | 21.40 | 0.16 | 32.2 |
|  | 42 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

1. A - indicates stratum where adjustment was carried out. If 1 set contributes over $90 \%$ of the biomass of a stratum and $20 \%$ of the biomass of a subdivision, then an adjustment was carried out before plotting on Figure 4 . (see text for details).

Table 2. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 V$ s from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

Biomass
Year Stratum No. Sets No. Fish/Set Mean Length No. (x10-6) Weight (tonnes)

| 1970 | 43 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 44 | 4 | 106.33+95.44 | 24.07 | 41.43 | 12050.1 |  |
|  | 45 | 4 | $136.50 \mp 185.88$ | 37.78 | 13.86 | 12911.1 |  |
|  | 46 | 2 | $296.26 \mp 95.80$ | 30.75 | 14.44 | 6654.8 |  |
|  | 47 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 48 | 5 | $4.43+9.91$ | 24.21 | 0.64 | 167.8 |  |
|  | 49 | 2 | $31.02+43.87$ | 32.74 | 0.44 | 261.5 |  |
|  | 50 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 51 | 1 | 50.31 | 17.87 | 0.73 | 47.9 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $553.28+444.23$ | 20.21 | 18.95 | 2783.1 |  |
| 1971 | 43 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 44 | 2 | 38. $28+54.14$ | **** | 14.9 | 8736.2 | A |
|  | 45 | 2 | $105.74 \overline{+146.0}$ | **** | 10.7 | 2165.4 |  |
|  | 46 | 2 | $275.43 \mp 36.16$ | **** | 13.4 | 4658.1 |  |
|  | 47 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 48 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 49 | 2 | 154.58+218.6 | **** | 2.2 | 1028.4 |  |
|  | 50 | 2 | $3.40 \overline{+4.81}$ | **** | 0.13 | 36.9 |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | $934.31+1263.6$ | **** | 13.6 | 4571.1 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $275.18 \pm 26.18$ | **** | 9.4 | 4637.6 |  |
| 1972 | 43 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 44 | 5 | $3.27+5.90$ | **** | 1.27 | 374.8 |  |
|  | 45 | 5 | $62.37+56.32$ | **** | 6.3 | 2049.6 |  |
|  | 46 | 3 | $223.09 \mp 168.86$ | **** | 10.9 | 4062.6 |  |
|  | 47 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.00 |  |
|  | 48 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 49 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 50 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | $25.47+32.72$ | **** | 0.37 | 42.6 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $441.46 \pm 292.69$ | **** | 15.1 | 2954.6 |  |
| 1973 | 43 | 4 | $2.16+2.9$ | 20.64 | 0.28 | 28.6 |  |
|  | 44 | 4 | $53.6+75.7$ | 23.59 | 20.9 | 5729.6 | A |
|  | 45 | 4 | $188.6 \mp 345.5$ | 23.40 | 19.1 | 5223.7 | A |
|  | 46 | 3 | $721.6+609.1$ | 25.67 | 35.2 | 11307.9 |  |
|  | 47 | 5 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 48 | 4 | $0.24+0.49$ | 23.00 | 0.03 | **** |  |
|  | 49 | 2 | $42.05 \mp 33.34$ | 31.53 | 0.60 | 300.8 |  |
|  | 50 | 2 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $112.03+62.7$ | 26.32 | 3.84 | 1334.1 |  |
| 1974 | 43 | 8 | $0.16+0.45$ | 37.00 | 0.02 | 20.4 |  |
|  | 44 | 6 | $50.8+106.5$ | 31.4 | 19.8 | 11587.0 | A |

Table 2. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 V$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight | (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 45 | 5 | $61.7+123.6$ | 23.68 | 6.3 | 1587.8 |  |
|  | 46 | 3 | 674.3 +592.7 | 23.61 | 32.9 | 9030.6 |  |
|  | 47 | 7 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 48 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 49 | 3 | $0.78+1.35$ | 31.00 | 0.01 | 5.6 |  |
|  | 50 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | $9.67+13.68$ | 20.81 | 0.14 | 33.6 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $8.89 \pm 6.68$ | 20.95 | 0.3 | 64.2 |  |
| 1975 | 43 | 4 | $10.9+21.9$ | 30.65 | 1.4 | 679.7 |  |
|  | 44 | 4 | $135.9 \mp 247.5$ | 20.32 | 52.9 | 7885.7 |  |
|  | 45 | 4 | 92.4 +117.6 | 28.58 | 9.4 | 4221.1 |  |
|  | 46 | 3 | $554.9+475.7$ | 22.2 | 27.0 | 5779.7 |  |
|  | 47 | 4 | $1.04+2.08$ | 21.4 | 0.17 | 33.4 |  |
|  | 48 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 49 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 50 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | $19.4+25.8$ | 19.3 | 0.28 | 37.9 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $17.2 \pm 14.7$ | 21.7 | 0.59 | 111.3 |  |
| 1976 | 43 | 1 | 4.67 | 13.25 | 0.61 | 22.9 |  |
|  | 44 | 4 | 138.2+251.1 | 29.32 | 53.8 | 27060.9 | A |
|  | 45 | 3 | $44.1 \pm 52.5$ | 24.38 | 4.5 | 1507.7 |  |
|  | 46 | 3 | $992.7 \pm 1374.3$ | 24.78 | 48.4 | 15889.3 | A |
|  | 47 | 3 | $0.31 \mp 0.53$ | 31.00 | 0.05 | **** |  |
|  | 48 | 4 | $0.82 \pm 0.57$ | 24.43 | 0.12 | 34.9 |  |
|  | 49 | 3 | $0.39+0.67$ | 24.00 | 0.005 | **** |  |
|  | 50 | 3 | $0.61+0.54$ | 17.74 | 0.02 | **** |  |
|  | 51 | 2 | $1.09 \pm 1.5$ | 22.5 | 0.016 | **** |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $14.0 \pm 19.8$ | 26.28 | 0.48 | 179.8 |  |
| 1977 | 43 | 3 | $1.11+1.92$ | 27.00 | 0.15 | 72.7 |  |
|  | 44 | 4 | $13.9+\overline{21 .} 5$ | 22.04 | 5.4 | 1358.3 |  |
|  | 45 | 5 | $44.4 \mp 43.3$ | 21.41 | 4.5 | 998.9 |  |
|  | 46 | 3 | 166.4 ¢ 144.2 | 26.87 | 8.1 | 3599.3 |  |
|  | 47 | 3 | $0.3 \overline{9}+0.67$ | 31.00 | 0.06 | **** |  |
|  | 48 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 49 | 3 | $23.7+40.98$ | 23.36 | 0.34 | 88.01 |  |
|  | 50 | 3 | $1.0 \overline{9}+1.89$ | 23.33 | 0.04 | 13.9 |  |
|  | 51 | 1 | $4.67^{-}$ | 22.50 | 0.07 | 17.0 |  |
|  | 52 | 2 | $1691.2+2360.1$ | 30.59 | 57.9 | 29255.0 | A |
| 1978 | 43 | 4 | $3.02+5.38$ | 30.14 | 0.39 | 180.8 |  |
| (July) | 44 | 4 | $160.2+\overline{3} 11.82$ | 29.22 | 62.4 | 27986.2 |  |

Table $2 . \begin{gathered}\text { Canadian Research Vessel } \\ \text { 1970-1979 (inclusive). }\end{gathered}$


Table 3. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division 4W from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight | (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 53 | 2 | $1730.7+2314.7$ | 25.28 | 44.5 | 12144.3 | A |
|  | 54 | 3 | $2.4+4.16$ | 12.57 | 0.12 | **** |  |
|  | 55 | 7 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 56 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 57 | 2 | $308.5+379.96$ | 27.63 | 24.8 | 9438.5 | A |
|  | 58 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 59 | 3 | $891.4+813.9$ | 21.84 | 278.5 | 48711.9 | A |
|  | 60 | 2 | $126.7 \mp 176.6$ | 26.78 | 16.9 | 5679.5 |  |
|  | 61 | 3 | $230.65+309.7$ | 23.01 | 26.4 | 5390.2 |  |
|  | 62 | 3 | $427.1+463.3$ | 23.03 | 89.7 | 18175.4 | A |
|  | 63 | 2 | $1.25+1.77$ | 20.67 | 0.04 | **** |  |
|  | 64 | 4 | 0.29+0. 58 | 18.00 | 0.04 | **** |  |
|  | 65 | 6 | $0.43 \mp 0.78$ | 24.16 | 0.10 | **** |  |
|  | 66 | 2 | $11.42 \pm 14.78$ | 27.04 | 0.25 | 81.8 |  |
| 1971 | 53 | 2 | $496.3+668.5$ | 29.71 | 12.8 | 5657.9 | A |
|  | 54 | 2 | $5.7 \overline{+4.33}$ | 13.54 | 0.28 | **** |  |
|  | 55 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 56 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 57 | 2 | $89.8+127.04$ | 23.48 | 7.2 | 1737.6 |  |
|  | 58 | 3 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 59 | 2 | 4.4 | 22.30 | 1.37 | 136.7 |  |
|  | 60 | 2 | $100.8+25.7$ | 26.04 | 13.4 | 3910.8 |  |
|  | 61 | 2 | $54.7+61.9$ | 28.78 | 6.3 | 2393.8 |  |
|  | 62 | 3 | $504.6+871.6$ | 27.02 | 105.98 | 30765.2 | A |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.97+1.37$ | 16.50 | 0.03 | **** |  |
|  | 64 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 65 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 66 | 2 | $218.7 \pm 307.8$ | 23.46 | 4.9 | 1073.1 |  |
| 1972 | 53 | 3 | $328.12+536.96$ | **** | 8.4 | 4842.4 | A |
|  | 54 | 3 | $1.03 \mp 1.78$ | **** | 0.05 | **** |  |
|  | 55 | 7 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 56 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 57 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 58 | 3 | $0.97+1.69$ | **** | 0.06 | 42.3 |  |
|  | 59 | 4 | $104.9+189.4$ | **** | 32.8 | 9827.6 | A |
|  | 60 | 2 | 13.8 ¢ 19.4 | **** | 68.3 | 500.3 |  |
|  | 61 | 2 | $397.5+533.7$ | **** | 1.15 | 13253.5 | A |
|  | 62 | 4 | $157.0 \overline{1}+284.5$ | **** | 32.9 | 5003.3 |  |
|  | 63 | 2 | $3.13+4.42$ | **** | 0.09 | 37.5 |  |
|  | 64 | 5 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 65 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 66 | 3 | $16.2+26.43$ | **** | 0.36 | 21.8 |  |

Table 3. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division 4W from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 53 | 3 | 232.56 | 28.00 | 5.9 | 2078.7 |
|  | 54 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 55 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 5 | $7.91+11.5$ | 26.83 | 0.75 | 280.6 |
|  | 57 | 2 | $18.04 \mp 19.7$ | 31.31 | 1.45 | 756.7 |
|  | 58 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 4 | $198.8+351.4$ | 25.52 | 62.1 | 17553.2 A |
|  | 60 | 2 | $90.07+80.8$ | 23.25 | 12.02 | 2472.1 |
|  | 61 | 2 | $61.1+\overline{21.3}$ | 24.39 | 7.0 | 1886.8 |
|  | 62 | 3 | $18.5+31.2$ | 28.80 | 3.9 | 1513.6 |
|  | 63 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 3 | $2.76+4.79$ | 27.89 | 0.36 | 118.6 |
|  | 65 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 66 | 3 | $45.1+40.8$ | 24.08 | 1.01 | 399.4 |
| 1974 | 53 | 3 | $120.2+124.8$ | 27.85 | 3.09 | 1205.9 |
|  | 54 | 3 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 55 | 7 | $2.9+7.61$ | 20.74 | 0.60 | 78.9 |
|  | 56 | 5 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 57 | 3 | $21.6+37.44$ | 23.44 | 1.74 | 386.7 |
|  | 58 | 3 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 4 | $73.2+63.12$ | 25.82 | 22.9 | 6816.9 |
|  | 60 | 1 | 111.13 | 23.41 | 14.8 | 2685.0 |
|  | 61 | 2 | $11.5+9.81$ | 22.82 | 1.3 | 219.8 |
|  | 62 | 4 | $15.07+11.3$ | 23.22 | 3.2 | 530.96 |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 5 | $0.41+0.92$ | 10.50 | 0.1 | **** |
|  | 66 | 3 | $40.96 \mp 33.98$ | 14.02 | 0.92 | 22.2 |
| 1975 | 53 | 3 | 164.1+270.2 | 30.23 | 4.22 | 1789.7 |
|  | 54 | 3 | $7.55+13.08$ | 24.00 | 0.37 | 84.98 |
|  | 55 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 5 | $1.92 \pm 4.3$ | 21.82 | 0.18 | 33.2 |
|  | 57 | 2 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 58 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 4 | $3.99+3.81$ | 27.77 | 1.25 | 469.1 |
|  | 60 | 2 | $335.0+473.8$ | 25.62 | 44.7 | 11757.4 A |
|  | 61 | 2 | $4836.4 \pm$ ¢ 837.2 | 27.48 | 554.0 | 162646.8 A |
|  | 62 | 5 | $21.4 \overline{+14.9}$ | 26.49 | 4.5 | 1427.4 |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 66 | 3 | $22.8 \pm 37.7$ | 15.73 | 0.51 | 37.4 |

Table 3 . Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 W$ from
1970-1979 (inclusive). 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 53 | 2 | 2.19+3.09 | 17.50 | 0.06 | **** |
|  | 54 | 2 | $1.17 \pm 1.65$ | 15.00 | 0.06 | **** |
|  | 55 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 4 | $1.46+2.06$ | 21.50 | 0.14 | 25.9 |
|  | 57 | 2 | $0.97+1.37$ | 19.00 | 0.08 | **** |
|  | 58 | 3 | $4.86 \pm 4.46$ | 26.87 | 0.32 | 105.8 |
|  | 59 | 3 | $11.08+4.60$ | 27.09 | 3.46 | 1113.5 |
|  | 60 | 2 | $7.47 \pm 5.07$ | 26.65 | 0.997 | 314.0 |
|  | 61 | 2 | $1.84 \mp 2.61$ | 24.25 | 0.21 | 52.8 |
|  | 62 | 6 | $61.59 \pm 136.82$ | 30.01 | 12.9 | 6121.1 A |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 66 | 2 | $17.99+25.44$ | 20.57 | 0.40 | 65.4 |
| 1977 | 53 | 3 | $3.43+4.29$ | 14.40 | 0.09 | 8.82 |
|  | 54 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 55 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 6 | $0.31+0.75$ | 12.00 | 0.03 | **** |
|  | 57 | 2 | $473.49 \pm 257.73$ | 22.94 | 38.1 | 10457.0 A |
|  | 58 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 4 | $243.53+388.4$ | 26.38 | 76.1 | 22876.9 A |
|  | 60 | 2 | $1186.11 \mp 1677.4$ | 26.02 | 158.2 | 54413.7 A |
|  | 61 | 2 | $0.73 \mp 1.03$ | 29.00 | 0.08 | **** |
|  | 62 | 4 | $1.94 \pm 2.50$ | 24.10 | 0.41 | 89.7 |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 5 | $0.14+0.32$ | 27.00 | 0.30 | **** |
|  | 66 | 3 | $7.45 \pm 6.59$ | 14.15 | 0.17 | 9.35 |
| 1978 | 53 | 3 | $15.88+2.02$ | 17.62 | 0.41 | 50.9 |
| (July) | 54 | 3 | $17.89 \pm 30.98$ | 16.41 | 0.89 | 77.0 |
|  | 55 | 7 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 6 | $0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 57 | 2 | $68.91+97.45$ | 26.25 | 5.55 | 1761.1 |
|  | 58 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 60 | 2 | 3074.14+4212.2 | 28.26 | 410.1 | 168558.2 |
|  | 61 | 2 | 3.31 ¢1.93 | 31.15 | 0.38 | 189.3 |
|  | 62 | 4 | 288.51耳535.4 | 27.78 | 60.6 | 23371.0 |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 5 | $0.60+0.91$ | 18.85 | 0.14 | **** |
|  | 66 | 2 | $0.80 \pm 1.13$ | 22.50 | 0.018 | **** |

Table 3 - Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAEO division 4W from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass <br> Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 <br> (Nov- <br> Dec) | 53 | 3 | $64.18+101.8$ | 27.06 | 1.70 | 734.3 |
|  | 54 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 55 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 56 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 57 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 58 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 59 | 6 | $31.37+64.38$ | 25.56 | 10.1 | 3295.3 |
|  | 60 | 4 | 723.42¢1385.01 | 26.61 | 99.3 | 34982.0 |
|  | 61 | 4 | $7.43 \mp 12.03$ | 26.88 | 0.88 | 344.4 |
|  | 62 | 8 | $102.69+206.97$ | 26.85 | 22.2 | 8090.8 |
|  | 63 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 64 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 65 | 7 | $0.67+1.38$ | 22.43 | 0.16 | 32.0 |
|  | 66 | 3 | $1.90 \pm 2.45$ | 21.63 | 0.04 | 8.98 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { (July) } \end{aligned}$ | 53 | 3 | $15.40+20.87$ | 17.21 | 0.40 | 37.5 |
|  | 54 | 3 | $0.36 \pm .63$ | 8.00 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | 55 | 7 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 56 | 6 | $0.52+1.26$ | 25.33 | 0.05 | 16.3 |
|  | 57 | 2 | $2.67 \mp .86$ | 26.23 | 0.21 | 44.0 |
|  | 58 | 3 | $0.61 \mp 1.06$ | 20.00 | 0.04 | 0.0 |
|  | 59 | 4 | $6.76+6.04$ | 28.18 | 2.11 | 904.9 |
|  | 60 | 2 | $111.89 \mp 134.79$ | 27.30 | 14.93 | 6217.9 A |
|  | 61 | 2 | $17.39+19.08$ | 24.00 | 1.99 | 645.3 |
|  | 62 | 4 | $0.76 \pm .51$ | 28.84 | 0.16 | 108.5 |
|  | 63 | 2 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 64 | 5 | $1.75+3.91$ | 30.75 | 0.23 | 112.7 |
|  | 65 | 5 | $2.54+5.12$ | 28.89 | 0.60 | 276.0 |
|  | 66 | 3 | $10.40 \pm 11.84$ | 16.34 | 0.23 | 24.5 |

Table 4. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 X(E)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight (tonnes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 70 | 1 | 229.7 | 25.36 | 21.0 | 5493.9 |  |
|  | 71 | 2 | $133.2+141.6$ | 22.31 | 13.3 | 2637.0 |  |
|  | 72 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 73 | 2 | $0.83+1.18$ | 29.50 | 0.02 | 11.0 |  |
|  | 74 | 2 | $0.51+0.73$ | 18.00 | 0.008 | **** |  |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 76 | 2 | $65.6+92.8$ | 25.10 | 9.6 | 2282.3 |  |
|  | 77 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 78 | 2 | $174.6+226.3$ | 31.26 | 4.0 | 2327.4 |  |
|  | 80 | 4 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 81 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1971 | 70 | 2 | 2809.4+3474.9 | 21.61 | 256.6 | 43817.9 | A |
|  | 71 | 2 | $1000.5+1374.8$ | 22.33 | 99.7 | 17859.4 | A |
|  | 72 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 73 | 2 | $9.9+6.60$ | 18.66 | 0.26 | 25.6 |  |
|  | 74 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 76 | 2 | $1.9+2.75$ | 27.25 | 0.28 | 142.6 |  |
|  | 77 | 2 | $2.2 \mp 3.09$ | 18.00 | 0.27 | **** |  |
|  | 78 | 2 | 23.3 -16.5 | 12.93 | 0.54 | 11.2 |  |
|  | 80 | 4 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 81 | 3 | $0.58+1.01$ | 36.00 | 0.11 | 108.6 |  |
| 1972 | 70 | 2 | 4253.5+5659.6 | **** | 388.5 |  | A |
|  | 71 | 2 | $22.7+24.7$ | **** | 2.26 | $402.7$ |  |
|  | 72 | 2 | $1.75+2.48$ | **** | 0.22 | **** |  |
|  | 73 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 76 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 77 | 2 | $0.76+1.08$ | **** | 0.09 | **** |  |
|  | 78 | 3 | $68.54 \pm 92.2$ | **** | 1.58 | 44.2 |  |
|  | 80 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 81 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1973 | 70 | 2 | $5026.5+7044.6$ | 24.94 | 459.1 | 113327.0 | A |
|  | 71 | 2 | $49.7 \pm 16.31$ | 27.26 | 4.9 | 1833.3 |  |
|  | 72 | 2 | $45.5-62.53$ | 25.40 | 5.6 | 1559.5 |  |
|  | 73 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 74 | 2 | $1.9+2.65$ | 13.00 | 0.03 | **** |  |
|  | 75 | 2 | $1.8 \overline{+2} .61$ | 12.75 | 0.03 | **** |  |
|  | 76 | 2 | $196.5+256.9$ | 25.96 | 28.8 | 8408.8 | A |
|  | 77 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 78 | 2 | $69.0+23.4$ | 20.38 | 1.6 | 351.4 |  |
|  | 80 | 3 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 81 | 4 | $0.31+0.62$ | 14.00 | 0.06 | **** |  |

Table 4 - Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 \times(E)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass <br> Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 70 | 3 | $281.03+220.3$ | 26.67 | 25.7 | 7959.8 |
|  | 71 | 2 | $7.11 \mp 0.77$ | 24.31 | 0.71 | 163.5 |
|  | 72 | 2 | $2.27 \mp 1.15$ | 13.23 | 0.28 | -**** |
|  | 73 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 75 | 2 | $0.46+0.65$ | 18.00 | 0.007 | **** |
|  | 76 | 2 | 1047.7+1112.9 | 17.38 | 153.7 | 15319.9 A |
|  | 77 | 2 | $1.25+1.77$ | 14.00 | 0.15 | **** |
|  | 78 | 3 | $5.95+5.54$ | 16.66 | 0.14 | 9.6 |
|  | 80 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 81 | 4 | $14.9+28.42$ | 18.43 | 2.8 | 465.3 |
| 1975 | 70 | 2 | 178.9+244.7 | 26.22 | 16.3 | 5025.5 A |
|  | 71 | 2 | $0.95+1.30$ | 25.00 | 0.09 | 45.9 |
|  | 72 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 73 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 76 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 77 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 78 | 3 | $10.21+12.4$ | 15.21 | 0.24 | 8.4 |
|  | 80 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | **** |
|  | 81 | 4 | $9.47 \pm 13.1$ | 24.96 | 1.8 | 712.9 |
| 1976 | 70 | 3 | $9.41+2.52$ | 26.62 | 0.86 | 288.3 |
|  | 71 | 1 | $2.92{ }^{-}$ | 30.33 | 0.29 | 96.9 |
|  | 72 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 73 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 76 | 3 | $188.77+325.28$ | 21.69 | 27.7 | 5931.6 A |
|  | 77 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 78 | 3 | $4.57+4.09$ | 16.73 | 0.10 | 6.7 |
|  | 80 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 81 | 4 | $4.38+8.75$ | 29.50 | 0.81 | 407.1 |
| 1977 | 70 | 2 | $607.6+859.3$ | 27.35 | 55.5 | 18273.0 A |
|  | 71 | 2 | $6.5 \overline{2}+0.97$ | 18.87 | 0.65 | 72.7 |
|  | 72 | 2 | $146.9+\overline{1} 83.0$ | 25.57 | 18.2 | 5613.8 A |
|  | 73 | 2 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 74 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 75 | 3 | $0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 76 | 4 | $56.48+105.74$ | 24.83 | 8.3 | 2357.6 A |
|  | 77 | 2 | $2.63 \pm 3.71$ | 23.67 | 0.32 | 107.0 |
|  | 78 | 3 | $131.43 \mp 209.53$ | 22.33 | 3.0 | 620.8 |
|  | 80 | 4 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 81 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 4. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 X(E)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. ( $x^{10^{-6}}$ ) | Biomass <br> Weight (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & \text { (July) } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 2 | $8.75+5.30$ | 23.62 | 0.8 | 190.31 |
|  | 71 | 2 | $171.43 \pm 216.15$ | 28.27 | 17.1 | 7429.2 A |
|  | 72 | 3 | $1.54+2.66$ | 13.00 | 0.2 | **** |
|  | 73 | 2 | $16.82 \pm 22.33$ | 14.81 | 0.44 | 20.9 |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 75 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 76 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 77 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 78 | 4 | $4.45+3.97$ | 15.30 | 0.10 | 5.3 |
|  | 80 | 3 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 81 | 4 | $0.42+0.83$ | 17.50 | 0.80 | **** |
| 1978 <br> (Nov- <br> Dec) | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1979$ | 70 | 2 | $24.61+34.80$ | 23.76 | 2.25 | 549.4 |
|  | 71 | 2 | $2.89+2.79$ | 22.79 | 0.29 | 48.4 |
|  | 72 | 3 | $1.70 \mp 2.15$ | 23.55 | 0.21 | 85.1 |
|  | 73 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 75 | 2 | $1.64+2.32$ | 15.00 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | 76 | 1 | $1.17^{-}$ | 18.00 | 0.17 | 0.0 |
|  | 77 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 78 | 3 | $180.41+272.51$ | 29.70 | 4.17 | 2257.7 |
|  | 80 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 81 | 4 | $0.27+.55$ | 43.00 | 0.05 | 101.8 |

Table 5 . Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 \times(W)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biomass } \\ & \text { Weight (tonnes) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 82 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 83 | 2 | $2.06+2.91$ | 31.75 | 0.11 | 54.3 |
|  | 84 | 2 | $37.07 \pm 49.33$ | 31.23 | 8.3 | 4383.7 |
|  | 85 | 2 | 2.43 +3.44 | 34.40 | 0.38 | 229.0 |
|  | 90 | 2 | $1.17 \mp 1.65$ | 23.50 | 0.07 | **** |
|  | 91 | 2 | $31.17 \mp 0.77$ | 33.54 | 2.12 | 1765.3 |
|  | 92 | 3 | $0.39+0.67$ | 17.00 | 0.04 | **** |
|  | 93 | 1 | 0.67 | 21.00 | 0.035 | **** |
|  | 94 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1971 | 82 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 83 | 2 | $87.5+24.75$ | 33.79 | 4.62 | 3419.5 |
|  | 84 | 2 | $24.8 \mp 11.91$ | 31.62 | 5.58 | 3146.4 |
|  | 85 | 2 | $0.5 \overline{8}+0.82$ | 37.00 | 0.09 | 91.6 |
|  | 90 | 2 | $2.6+3.71$ | 24.50 | 0.16 | 52.2 |
|  | 91 | 2 | $1.5 \pm 2.15$ | 24.25 | 0.10 | 25.9 |
|  | 92 | 2 | 3.9+5.5 | 24.88 | 0.42 | 104.8 |
|  | 93 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 94 | 2 | $0.97+1.37$ | 26.00 | 0.04 | 20.1 |
|  | 95 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1972 | 82 | 2 | $23.95+33.9$ | **** | 2.5 | 1476.7 |
|  | 83 | 2 | $2.19+3.09$ | **** | 0.12 | 69.3 |
|  | 84 | 3 | $438.47 \mp 351.55$ | **** | 98.5 | 64998.9 |
|  | 85 | 2 | $4.38 \pm 6.19$ | **** | 0.69 | 274.8 |
|  | 90 | 2 | $0.88+1.24$ | **** | 0.05 | 26.1 |
|  | 91 | 3 | $0.34 \mp 0.59$ | **** | 0.02 | **** |
|  | 92 | 4 | $0.55 \pm 1.10$ | **** | 0.06 | **** |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 94 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1973 | 82 | 2 | $0.49+0.69$ | 12.00 | 0.05 | **** |
|  | 83 | 2 | $27.71 \pm 11.69$ | 33.81 | 1.46 | 1001.2 |
|  | 84 | 3 | $70.95+63.36$ | 32.23 | 15.9 | 9153.2 |
|  | 85 | 3 | $54.93 \mp 65.01$ | 29.71 | 8.63 | 3841.1 |
|  | 90 | 2 | $1.17 \pm 1.65$ | 37.00 | 0.07 | 69.6 |
|  | 91 | 3 | $15.72 \pm 14.61$ | 31.66 | 1.07 | 750.4 |
|  | 92 | 3 | $2.46 \pm 1.00$ | 29.75 | 0.27 | 72.4 |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 94 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 5. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 X(W)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(x^{10^{-6}}\right)$ | Biomass Weight | (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 82 | 2 | $1.38+1.95$ | 36.67 | 0.14 | 95.3 |  |
|  | 83 | 2 | $66.72 \mp 78.89$ | 33.15 | 3.52 | 2130.4 |  |
|  | 84 | 3 | $70.53+49.54$ | 32.01 | 15.85 | 8875.2 |  |
|  | 85 | 3 | $22.17 \mp 38.39$ | 31.78 | 3.48 | 2061.2 | A |
|  | 90 | 2 | $2.39+3.37$ | 18.17 | 0.14 | 23.7 |  |
|  | 91 | 3 | $38.89 \pm 66.64$ | 38.66 | 2.65 | 3012.0 | A |
|  | 92 | 3 | $5.05+2.89$ | 24.17 | 0.54 | 149.7 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.49 \mp 0.84$ | 29.00 | 0.026 | **** |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1975 | 82 | 2 | $111.55+157.76$ | 39.93 | 11.54 | 14662.3 | A |
|  | 83 | 2 | $125.96 \mp 77.60$ | 31.40 | 6.65 | 4203.1 |  |
|  | 84 | 3 | $23.54+16.71$ | 34.92 | 5.29 | 4374.3 |  |
|  | 85 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 90 | 3 | $14.77+14.17$ | 22.19 | 0.88 | **** |  |
|  | 91 | 3 | 6. 93 +6.59 | 26.21 | 0.47 | **** |  |
|  | 92 | 3 | $182.18 \mp 289.96$ | 32.12 | 19.64 | **** |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.53 \mp 0.48$ | 19.16 | 0.028 | **** |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1976 | 82 | 5 | $7.96+14.7$ | **** | 0.82 | 293.5 |  |
|  | 83 | 1 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 84 | 3 | $99.93+118.3$ | **** | 22.46 | 14768.4 | A |
|  | 85 | 3 | $10.5+\overline{18.2}$ | **** | 1.65 | 824.5 |  |
|  | 90 | 3 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 91 | 1 | 10.00 | **** | 0.68 | 284.2 |  |
|  | 92 | 5 | $3.67+1.5$ | **** | 0.39 | 210.8 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | $1.58 \overline{+1.8}$ | **** | 0.08 | 42.6 |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | $0.00^{-1}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.51 | **** | 0.03 | **** |  |
| 1977 | 82 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 83 | 2 | 150.07+137.0 | 33.61 | 7.92 | 5529.7 |  |
|  | 84 | 3 | $76.32-66.9$ | 32.45 | 17.15 | 10316.7 |  |
|  | 85 | 3 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 90 | 1 | 43.17 | 28.16 | 2.57 | 1044.1 |  |
|  | 91 | 5 | $32.87+51.3$ | 31.84 | 2.24 | 1512.3 | A |
|  | 92 | 3 | $3.18+4.5$ | 26.55 | 0.34 | 166.7 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.00{ }^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 95 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |

Table 5. Canadian Research Vessel cruise data for redfish in NAFO division $4 X(W)$ from 1970-1979 (inclusive).

| Year | Stratum | No. Sets | No. Fish/Set | Mean Length | No. $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | Biomass Weight | (tonnes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & (J u l y) \end{aligned}$ | 82 | 2 | $57.17+80.85$ | 31.56 | 5.91 | 3439.3 | A |
|  | 83 | 2 | $22.75+\overline{17.32}$ | 37.07 | 1.20 | 1124.4 |  |
|  | 84 | 2 | $13.42 \mp 14.02$ | 34.96 | 3.01 | 2228.7 |  |
|  | 85 | 3 | $1.75 \mp 1.38$ | 30.43 | 0.28 | 170.7 |  |
|  | 90 | 3 | $2.13+1.85$ | 32.69 | 0.13 | 96.5 | A |
|  | 91 | 3 | 55.13 +79.16 | 32.03 | 3.76 | 2090.5 |  |
|  | 92 | 3 | $31.82 \mp 49.13$ | 29.58 | 3.43 | 1893.3 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | $0.42 \mp 0.72$ | 29.00 | 0.02 | **** |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 95 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1979 | 82 | 3 | 20.36+28.89 | 29.97 | 2.11 | 1057.9 | A |
| (July) | 83 | 2 | $35.64 \pm 35.56$ | 33.29 | 1.89 | 1553.3 |  |
|  | 84 | 3 | $90.28 \mp 107.74$ | 33.67 | 20.29 | 15758.0 | A |
|  | 85 | 3 | $38.43 \pm 66.56$ | 31.46 | 6.04 | 3987.7 |  |
|  | 90 | 2 | $0.00^{-}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | A |
|  | 91 | 3 | $9.33+11.49$ | 26.13 | 0.64 | 212.2 |  |
|  | 92 | 4 | $0.22 \pm .44$ | 36.00 | 0.02 | 23.6 |  |
|  | 93 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 94 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 95 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |  |

