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Abstract

Because of the limited amount of data it was not possible to do a cohort analyses. Survival rates 1978-79 for research and commercial data gave inconsistant results. Catch curves utilizing 1976-79 catch per unit effort at age data indicated removals averaging around $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ had produced a fishing mortality close to the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level.

Rēsumé

A cause du volume limité de données, il a été impossible de procéder à . l'anaTyses des cohortes. Les taux de survie de 1978-79, déduits des relevēs et des opērations commerciales, donnent des résultats contradictoires. Des courbes de capture ētablies à partir des prises par unitē d'effort à l'âge en 1976-79 indiquent que des prēlèvements d'environ 6000 t en moyenne ont produit une mortalité par pêche proche du niveau de $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.

## INTRODUCTION

This stock has been under catch quota management since 1974. The highest recorded catch from this stock was in 1970 when approximately $12,700 \mathrm{t}$ were reported, (Table 1). However, $8,600 t$ of this was reported by the USSR and there were problems prior to 1973 in separating the various flatfish species in the ICNAF statistical bulletins. Catches since 1974 have averaged around $5,300 \mathrm{t}$ with the fishery in recent years being primarily by Canada. Up to 1976 nearly all the Canadian catch was by inshore (gillnet) gear. TAC since regulations began are as follows:

|  | $\frac{1974}{}$ | $\frac{1975}{}$ | $\frac{1976}{}$ | $\frac{1977}{}$ | $\frac{1978}{}$ | $\frac{1979}{}$ | $\frac{1980}{}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TAC | 10.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |

## ASSESSMENT

Numbers at age are available from this stock for 1976 to 1979 , (Table 2). The 1979 numbers at age were calculated from data collected by the Canadian Commercial sampling group at St. John's (Table 3). It should be pointed out that although sampling in 1978 and 1979 was satisfactory the 1976 and 1977 data were very sparce with only one sample from the offshore component in 1976.

Records of directed catch and effort data by the Canadian offshore fleet is available since 1976; (Table 4) the first year that there was a significant otter trawler fishery on this stock. Effort data in 1978 and 1979 is calculated from relatively small amounts of directed fishing on the stock by the Canada ( $N$ ) otter trawler fleet.

Four years data was insufficient to run a cohort analysis especially since sampling in one or two years was minimal.

Survival rates between 1978 and 1979 for both commercial and research vessel data were calculated (Table 5). Both sets of data gave unrealistic values for $F_{7}$ i.e. 0.075 and 0.087 for males and females respectively for the commercial data and 1.39 and 1.00 for the research data.

Catch curves utilizing combined catch data for 1976-79 (Fig. 1) indicate total fishing mortality of 0.73 ( $F=0.48$ ) for males ages $9-13$ and for females a total mortality of 0.53 ( $F=0.33$ ) for ages $12-17$ and 0.46 ( $F=0.26$ ) for ages 11-17 (Fig. 1). These rates apparently reflect removal levels averaging around 6,000 $t$ with the fishing mortality values produced being close to the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level (Fig. 2).

Research vessel catches (Tables 6 \& 7) indicate a decline in abundance in Division 2 J with a lesser decline apparent in Div. 3K. However, it should be pointed out that there are very large variances associated with these data and it would not be prudent to put very much credence in such a short series of data.

## DISCUSSION

As compared to Grand Bank (3LNO) American plaice, this is a relatively small stock although it is probable that Div. 3K could support a fairly substantial population and it is possible that a reduction in foreign fishing, especially the pair trawler fishery, could result in an increase in abundance in this division, similar to what appears to be occurring in Div. 3L.

The data available for assessment purposes prior to 1976 was from the Canadian inshore gillnet fishery only and represented for the most part only a fraction of the total fishery (Table 1). Additionally gillnet generally removed only the larger fish, hence the samples were not representative of the stock and could not be used in this assessment.

Thus although it is not possible at this time to associate catch levels with particular fishing mortality levels it would appear that average removals of about $6,000 t$ have probably produced fishing mortalities at the F0. 1 level.

Hopefully, as the data series from both the inshore and offshore components is extended a proper analytical assessment will become available in the future.

Table 1. Nominal catches, American plaice, ICNAF Subarea 2-
Division 3 K, 1967-78

| Year | Canada | FRG | GRD | Poland | USSR | U.K. | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 395 |  | 195 | 1,134 | 1,701 | 162 | 4 | 3,591 |
| 1968 | 1,023 |  | 38 | 1,889 | 2,911 | 90 |  | 5,951 |
| 1969 | 1,689 |  | 214 | 867 | 4,129 |  | 3 | 6,902 |
| 1970 | 3,751 |  | 104 | 378 | 8,160 |  | 293 | 12,686 |
| 1971 | 2,486 |  | 19 | 233 | 2,597 | 2 | 11 | 5,348 |
| 1972 | 1,197 | 4 | 169 | 849 | 6,760 | 42 | 102 | 9,123 |
| 1973 | 1,384 | 70 | 138 | 225 | 3,011 | 76 | 236 | 5,140 |
| 1974 | 568 | 223 | 24 | 91 | 4,643 | 61 |  | 5,610 |
| 1975 | 859 |  | 29 | 95 | 4,449 | 11 | 305 | 5,748 |
| 1976 | 2,477 | 29 | 23 | 118 | 3,373 |  | 87 | 6,107 |
| 1977 | 6,616 | 10 | 89 | 27 | 702 |  | 63 | 7,507 |
| 1978 | 3,175 | 55 | 6 | 138 | 123 |  | 25 | 3,522 |
| 1979* | 2,986* | 2* |  | 13* | 25* |  |  | 3,026 |

[^0]Table 2. Numbers at age of American plaice in $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{~K}$ 1976-79.

## MNㅣㄴ든



| Table | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lis } \\ & \text { (OT }= \end{aligned}$ | of d $r$ tr | used to calculate numbers at age for NAFO Divs. $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{~K}$ Am. Plaice $G N=G i l l n e t$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Div. | Month | Gear |  | Measured e,Female | Av. Length $M+F$ | $\begin{aligned} & A v, W \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Nomina 1 <br> Catch(T) | Catch Numbers |
| 2 J | May | $0 T$ | 17 | 361 | 37.47 | 0.50 | 182.5 | 365 |
| 3 K | Apr | 0 T | 107 | 204 | 39.83 | 0.61 | 396.0 | 649 |
| 3K | May | OT | 50 | 255 | 42.78 | 0.82 | 296.6 | 320 |
| 3K | July | GN | 914 | 1463 | 36.73 | 0.45 | 1782.0 | 3960 |
| 3 K | Dec. | OT | 243 | 617 | 40.62 | 0.73 | 86.7 | 119 |

Table 4. Directed catch per unit effort and effort American plaice $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$. Canada (N) O.T. 5 used as effort standard.

| Year | Catch <br> (Tons) | CPUE <br> (Tons) | Directed <br> Effort(hr) | Catch with <br> Directed effort |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 6707 | 0.394 | 17,022 | 1797 |
| 1977 | 7507 | 0.402 | 18,674 | 3628 |
| 1978 | 3522 | 0.376 | $9,4.47$ | 652 |
| 1979 | 3018 | 0.467 | 6,463 | 315 |

Table 5 Calculation of survival rates from commercial catch per 100 hours fishing and from estimation of total numbers from research vessel surveys (Div. $2 J+3 K$ )
(a) Commercial
MALE FEMALE

|  | 1978 | 1979 |  | 1978 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | No/100 hours | Age | No/1079 |  |


| 6 | 228 | 45 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 895 | 446 | 7 | 171 | 478 |
| 8 | 3847 | 802 | 8 | 514 | 6016 |
| 9 | 4333 | 9269 | 9 | 1561 | 8333 |
| 10 | 2771 | 4901 | 10 | 4761 | 10606 |
| 11 | 800 | 623 | 11 | 9002 | 11275 |
| 12 | 171 | 267 | 12 | 7100 | 8779 |
| 13 | 76 | 45 | 13 | 6010 | 5660 |
| 14 |  |  | 14 | 3820 | 1560 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 2028 | 535 |
|  |  | 2244 | 16 | 2499 | 45 |
| Effort | 5250 |  | 178 | 701 | 45 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 841 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 198 |  |

$z=\frac{\Sigma 10-13}{9-12}=0.325$
$F=.075$
$Z \quad \sum \quad \frac{12-17}{11-18}=0.287$
$\mathrm{F}=0.087$

## Research vessels surveys

MALE (000)

| 6 | 16476 | 12001 | 6 | 17737 | 6201 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 22383 | 17368 | 7 | 17731 | 12144 |
| 8 | 15607 | 13864 | 8 | 22293 | 16622 |
| 9 | 14920 | 7158 | 9 | 15286 | 13553 |
| 10 | 8218 | 2477 | 10 | 13425 | 10294 |
| 11 | 1268 | 332 | 11 | 11351 | 5656 |
| 12 | 898 | 191 | 12 | 9713 | 7786 |
| 13 | 56 | 62 | 13 | 9000 | 4352 |
|  |  | 14 | 4438 | 1336 |  |
|  |  | 15 | 3292 | 381 |  |
|  |  | 16 | 1639 | 171 |  |
|  |  | 17 | 580 | 11 |  |
|  |  | 19 | 96 | 17 |  |
|  |  | $Z=\Sigma \frac{12-17}{11-16}=1.050$ |  |  |  |

Table 6. Average no \& weight (kg) per set for strata surveyed 1977, 1978, 1979, 101-400 M a. Division 2J b. Division 3 K

| Strat. No. | Area Sq.Mi. | Depth M | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Avg. No/Set | Avg. Wt/Set Kg | Ava. No/Set | Avg. Wt/Set Kg | Avg. No/Set | Avg. Wt/Set Kg |
| 201 | 1427 | 101-200 | 74 | 52.7 | 119 | 56.5 | 145 | 69.4 |
| 205 | 1823 | " | 177 | 75.3 | 43 | 13.7 | 133 | 51.7 |
| 206 | 2583 | " | 534 | 253.3 | 235 | 129.4 | 75 | 31.0 |
| 207 | 2246 | " | 156 | 72.6 | 75 | 21.9 | 75 | 30.0 |
| 202 | 440 | 201-300 | 71 | 45.9 | 78 | 14.5 | 15 | 7.0 |
| 209 | 1608 | " | 107 | 54.1 | 65 | 20.5 | 64 | 21.9 |
| 210 | 774 | " | 54 | 12.8 | 144 | 40.9 | 107 | 18.8 |
| 213 | 330 | " | 151 | 61.8 | 126 | 48.4 | 32 | 17.9 |
| 214 | 1171 | " | 42 | 23.6 | 50 | 25.6 | 27 | 11.7 |
| 215 | 1270 | " | 54 | 27.8 | 189 | 59.0 | 56 | 26.8 |
| 228 | 1428 | " | 102 | 21.9 | - |  | 56 | 8.3 |
| 234 | 508 | " | 70 | 23.6 | 34 | 9.7 | 24 | 6.3 |
| 203 | 480 | 301-400 | 16 | 7.4 | - |  |  |  |
| 208 | 448 | " | 31 | 16.9 | 55 | 15.3 | 61 | 25.4 |
| 222 | 441 | " | 8 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.7 | 11 | 4.1 |
| 229 | 567 | " | 23 | 7.0 | 3 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.6 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area | 17544 |  | 169 | 80.5 | 107 | 44.5 | 75 | 29.8 |

Table 6 continued
(b)


| Strat. |  |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { Sq.N.Mi. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth } \\ M \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average No/Set | Average Wt/Set kg. | Average No/Set | Average Wt/Set kg. |
| 620 | 2709 | 201-300 | 113 | 29.9 | 50 | 29.5 |
| 621 | 2859 | " | 135 | 46.7 | 126 | 64.3 |
| 624 | 668 | " | 70 | 20.1 | 44 | 11.3 |
| 632 | 447 | " | 23 | 6.4 | 37 | 14.1 |
| 634 | 1618 | " | 262 | 38.0 | 15 | 4.3 |
| 635 | 1274 | " | 153 | 23.1 | 32 | 7.5 |
| 636 | 1455 | " | 81 | 20.1 | 18 | 7.0 |
| 637 | 1132 | " | 18 | 5.7 | 14 | 7.0 |
| 623 | 1027 | 301-400 | 39 | 12.6 | 34 | 16.0 |
| 625 | 850 | " | 47 | 6.7 | 24 | 7.7 |
| 626 | 919 | " | 47 | 17.8 | 36 | 21.2 |
| 628 | 1085 | " | 55 | 17.9 | 65 | 22.2 |
| 629 | 495 | " | 141 | 24.7 | 19 | 6.6 |
| 630 | 544 | " | 81 | 11.3 | 19 | 5.4 |
| 633 | 2179 | " | 45 | 8.0 | 14 | 4.8 |
| 638 | 2059 | " | 88 | 19.4 | 21 | 10.1 |
| 639 | 1463 | " | 14 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Total | 22783 |  | 93 | 21.6 | 40 | 18.3 |

Table 7. Biomass estimates from survey data Plaice $2 \mathrm{~J}+3 \mathrm{~K}$

## Division 2J

Numbers $\times 10^{-6}$
Weight (T)
198.7
125.8

88,2
94,645
51,731
35,271

Division 3 K

Numbers $\times 10^{-6}$
Weight ( $T$ )
159.0

68, 4
36,938


Fig. 1. Catch curves of male and female plaice using average no caught per 1000 hours by otter trawler, 1976-79.


Fig. 2 Yield per recurit of American plaice in $S$ a $2+3 \mathrm{~K}$.
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